BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC Form DEF 14A March 21, 2003

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Exchange Act OI 1934
Filed by the registrant [X] Filed by a party other than the registrant [_]
Check the appropriate box:
[_] Preliminary proxy statement.
[_] Confidential, for use of the Commission only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).
[X] Definitive proxy statement.
[_] Definitive additional materials.
[_] Soliciting material under Rule 14a-12.
Baxter International Inc.
(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)
N/A
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Payment of filing fee (check the appropriate box):
[X] No fee required.
[_] Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:
[_] Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
[_] Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.
(1) Amount Previously Paid:
(-,
(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(E) Form, Someware of negrociation Statement no.
(2) Filing Party.
(3) Filing Party:
(4) Date Filed:
Letter to Stockholders
letter to becombine
Baxter International Inc. 847.948.2000
One Baxter Parkway
Deerfield, Illinois 60015
[CUADT]
[CHART]
Baxter
March 21, 2003
riateli at, aug

To our Stockholders:

The Board of Directors joins me in inviting you to attend the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The meeting will be held at the Drury Lane Theatre in Oakbrook Terrace, Illinois, on Tuesday, May 6, 2003. The meeting will begin at 10:30 a.m. Central time. Registration will begin at 9:00 a.m.

At the meeting, in addition to covering matters in the proxy statement, I will report on Baxter's business and our achievements in 2002. I believe that Baxter is well-positioned for future success in the global healthcare environment. I look forward to discussing our plans for Baxter's future at the Annual Meeting, and I hope to see you there.

Sincerely,

/s/ Harry M. Jansen Kraemer, Jr. HARRY M. JANSEN KRAEMER, JR. Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

[GRAPHIC]

Recycled Printed on Recycled Paper

Notice of Annual Meeting

Baxter International Inc.
One Baxter Parkway
Deerfield, Illinois 60015
[CHART]

Baxter

March 21, 2003

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders

The 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Baxter International Inc. will be held at the Drury Lane Theatre in Oakbrook Terrace, Illinois, on Tuesday, May 6, 2003 at 10:30 a.m. Central time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect three directors to hold office for three years;
- To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent accountants for Baxter in 2003;
- 3. To approve the 2003 Incentive Compensation Program;
- 4. To act on a stockholder proposal relating to cumulative voting in the election of directors; and
- To transact any other business which is properly presented at the meeting.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on March 7, 2003 will be entitled to vote at the meeting. A list of these stockholders will be made available to any stockholder, for any purpose germane to the meeting, at Baxter's Corporate Headquarters located at One Baxter Parkway, Deerfield, Illinois for the 10-day period prior to the meeting.

Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, please read these proxy materials and cast your vote on the matters that will be presented at the meeting. You have the option of voting your shares through the Internet, by telephone or by mailing the enclosed proxy card. Instructions for our registered stockholders are described under the question "How do I vote?" on page 2 of the proxy statement.

Finally, if you receive more than one of these mailings at the same address, or if you wish to receive future mailings electronically, please follow the instructions on page 43 of the proxy statement under the heading "Reducing Mailing Expenses."

By order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ Jan Stern Reed Jan Stern Reed Corporate Secretary and Associate General Counsel

[CHART]

Baxter

Baxter International Inc., One Baxter Parkway, Deerfield, Illinois 60015, 847.948.2000

This Proxy Statement and the accompanying proxy card are being mailed, beginning on or about March 21, 2003, to owners of shares of Baxter common stock in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors for the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

Questions and Answers about Voting
Management Proposals: Election of DirectorsProposal 1 on the Proxy Card
Board of Directors: Director Biographies Corporate Governance Committees of the Board. Compensation of Directors.
Audit Committee Report
Compensation Committee Report
Executive Compensation: SummaryStock Option GrantsStock Option ExercisesPension Plan, Excess Plans and Supplemental Plans
Ownership of Baxter Stock: Stock Ownership of Directors and Officers
Equity Compensation Plan Information
Baxter's Financial Performance

Minority Stockholder Proposal:	
Cumulative Voting in the Election of DirectorsProposal 4 on the Proxy Card	
Other Information:	
Attending the Annual Meeting	
Reducing Mailing Expenses	
Cost of Proxy Solicitation	
Future Stockholder Proposals and Nominations	
Exhibit A2003 Incentive Compensation Program	P
Exhibit BRevised Audit Committee Charter	E
Directions to the Annual Meeting	Back Cov

1

Questions and Answers about Voting

Your shares can be voted at the Annual Meeting only if you vote by proxy or if you are present and vote in person. Even if you expect to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to vote by proxy to assure that your shares will be represented. If you wish to attend the Annual Meeting, please follow the instructions on page 43 under the heading "Attending the Annual Meeting."

- Q: Who is entitled to vote?
- A: All record holders of Baxter common stock ("Common Stock") as of the close of business on March 7, 2003 are entitled to vote. On that day, approximately 597,020,517 shares were issued and outstanding and eligible to vote. Each share is entitled to one vote on each matter presented at the Annual Meeting.
- Q: How do I vote?
- A: Once again, we offer our registered stockholders three ways to vote, other than by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person:
 - . By mail, using the enclosed proxy card and return envelope;
 - . By telephone, using the telephone number printed on the proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card; or
 - . Through the Internet, using a unique password printed on your proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card.
- Q: What does it mean to vote by proxy?
- A: It means that you give someone else the right to vote your shares in accordance with your instructions. In this case, we are asking you to give your proxy to our Chief Executive Officer and our General Counsel (the "Proxyholders"). In this way, you assure that your vote will be counted even if you are unable to attend the Annual Meeting. If you give your proxy but do not include specific instructions on how to vote, the Proxyholders will vote your shares for the election of the Board's nominees, for the ratification of the appointment of the independent accountants, for the approval of the 2003 Incentive Compensation Program, and against the minority stockholder proposal.
- Q: On what am I voting?
- A: There are four items on the agenda: (1) election of three directors, (2) ratification of the appointment of the independent accountants, (3) approval of the 2003 Incentive Compensation Program, all of which are supported by the Board and management, and (4) one minority stockholder

proposal relating to cumulative voting in the election of directors, which is opposed by the Board and management.

- Q: What happens if other matters are raised at the meeting?
- A: Although we are not aware of any matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting other than those contained in the Notice of Annual Meeting, if other matters are properly raised at the meeting in accordance with the procedures specified in Baxter's bylaws, any proxies given will be voted by the Proxyholders in accordance with their best judgment, unless you have indicated otherwise.
- Q: Is my vote confidential?
- A: Whether voting in person, by mail, by telephone or through the Internet, you will be given the opportunity to request that your vote be treated as confidential. If you request confidential treatment, only the inspectors of election and the proxy tabulator will have access to your vote.

2

Questions and Answers about Voting (continued)

- Q: What if I submit a proxy and later change my mind?
- A: If you have given your proxy and later wish to revoke it, you may do so by either: giving written notice to the Corporate Secretary; submitting another proxy bearing a later date (in any of the permitted forms); or casting a ballot in person at the Annual Meeting.
- Q: Who will count the votes?
- A: Baxter's transfer agent, EquiServe, will serve as proxy tabulator and count the votes, and the results will be certified by the inspectors of election.
- Q: How is it determined whether a matter has been approved?
- A: Assuming a quorum is present, the approval of the matters specified in the Notice of Annual Meeting will be determined as follows: The three people receiving the largest number of votes cast at the Annual Meeting will be elected as directors. For each other matter, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote is required for approval.
- Q: What constitutes a quorum?
- A: A quorum is present if a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote is represented. Broker non-votes and abstentions will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.
- Q: What are broker non-votes?
- A: Broker non-votes occur when nominees, such as banks and brokers holding shares on behalf of beneficial owners, do not receive voting instructions from the beneficial holders at least ten days before the meeting. If that happens, the nominees may vote those shares only on matters deemed "routine" by the New York Stock Exchange, such as the election of directors, ratification of the appointment of independent accountants, and approval of the 2003 Incentive Compensation Program. On non-routine matters, such as the minority stockholder proposal, nominees cannot vote unless they receive voting instructions from beneficial holders, resulting in so-called "broker non-votes." Broker non-votes have no effect on the outcome of any of the matters specified in the Notice of Annual Meeting.
- O: What effect does an abstention have?

- A: Abstentions or directions to withhold authority will have no effect on the outcome of the election of directors. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote against any of the other matters specified in the Notice of Annual Meeting.
- Q: What shares are covered by the proxy card?
- A: The proxy card covers all shares held by you of record (i.e., registered in your name), including those held in Baxter's Dividend Reinvestment Plan, Shared Investment Plan, executive compensation plans, Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and shares credited to your Incentive Investment Plan account held in custody by the plan trustee, State Street Bank. The proxy card does not cover shares held by you through a broker, bank or other nominee.
- Q: What if I am a beneficial holder rather than an owner of record?
- A: If you hold your shares through a broker, bank, or other nominee, you will receive separate instructions from the nominee describing how to vote your shares.

3

Management Proposals

Election of Directors--Proposal 1 on the Proxy Card

Baxter's Board of Directors currently consists of eleven members and is divided into three classes. Each year, the directors in one of the three classes are elected to serve a three-year term. At the Annual Meeting, three directors are proposed for election for a three-year term expiring in 2006. John W. Colloton, whose current term expires on May 6, 2003, will not run for re-election as he is retiring from the Board. In addition, Susan Crown, whose current term also expires on May 6, 2003, has decided not to run for re-election. The Board and management would like to thank Mr. Colloton and Ms. Crown for their many years of dedicated service to Baxter and its stockholders. In February 2003, the Board elected James R. Gavin III, M.D., Ph.D. to serve as a director until the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board has nominated the following persons for election, all of whom except Mr. Storm are currently directors of Baxter:

Walter E. Boomer
James R. Gavin III, M.D., Ph.D.
Kees J. Storm

Information regarding each of the nominees follows on page 12. If any nominee for director becomes unavailable for election, the number of directors will be reduced. No nominations for directors were received from stockholders, and no other candidates are eligible for election as directors at the Annual Meeting.

The Proxyholders intend to vote the shares represented by proxy in favor of all of the Board's nominees, except to the extent a stockholder withholds authority to vote for the nominees.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of all of the nominees for director.

Ratification of Appointment of Independent Accountants--Proposal 2 on the Proxy Card

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) as independent accountants for Baxter in 2003. The Audit Committee requests that the stockholders ratify the appointment. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will consider the selection of another public accounting firm for 2003 and future years.

One or more representatives of PwC will attend the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire, and they will be available to answer questions.

Audit Fees

Fees for services performed by PwC relating to the audit of the consolidated annual financial statements and all other services performed to comply with generally accepted auditing standards such as those related to debt and equity offerings and reviews of reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, aggregated approximately \$4.8 million in 2002 and \$5.3 million in 2001.

4

Management Proposals (continued)

Audit-Related Fees

Fees for assurance and related services performed by PwC related to the performance of the audit or review of the financial statements, including acquisition due diligence, internal control reviews and employee benefit plan audits, aggregated approximately \$500,000 in 2002 and \$800,000 in 2001.

Tax Fees

Fees for services performed by PwC for international, federal, state and local tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning, including acquisition, transfer pricing and VAT reviews, aggregated approximately \$5.8 million in 2002 and \$8.8 million in 2001.

All Other Fees

Fees for all other services performed by PwC aggregated approximately \$12.3 million in 2002 and \$29.4 million in 2001. These amounts include fees of approximately \$12.1 million in 2002 and \$28.7 million in 2001 which were paid to PwC's consulting business, which was separated from PwC on October 1, 2002 and is now part of a separate publicly-traded company. These amounts include approximately \$3.6 million in 2002 and \$17.9 million in 2001 related to financial system design and implementation services, all of which were paid to PwC's consulting business. Excluding fees paid to PwC's consulting business which is now separated from PwC and part of a separate company, fees for all other services performed by PwC, primarily acquisition integration services, aggregated approximately \$200,000 in 2002 and \$700,000 in 2001. Since the Audit Committee, with the endorsement of the full Board of Directors, revised its guidelines in February 2002 to permit the completion of then existing projects but otherwise preclude the use of PwC for any new financial systems and management consulting services, the company has not engaged PwC to perform any such new services.

Reports on PwC projects and services are presented to the Audit Committee on a regular basis. The Audit Committee is responsible for the engagement for

all audit services. In February 2003, the Audit Committee established pre-approval policies and procedures for engaging PwC for permitted non-audit services identified in the policy. The engagement for these non-audit services will require the pre-approval of the chairman of the Audit Committee or the entire Audit Committee, depending upon the amount of the engagement.

The Proxyholders intend to vote the shares represented by proxy in favor of the ratification of the appointment of PwC as independent accountants, except to the extent a stockholder votes against or abstains from voting on this proposal.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of PwC as independent accountants for Baxter in 2003.

5

Management Proposals (continued)

Approval of 2003 Incentive Compensation Program--Proposal 3 on the Proxy Card

On February 25, 2003, the Board of Directors adopted the 2003 Incentive Compensation Program (the "Program"), subject to approval by Baxter's stockholders. The Program is similar to the 2001 Incentive Compensation Program, which Baxter's stockholders approved at the 2001 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. As of February 28, 2002, a total of approximately 3,062,264 shares of Baxter Common Stock remained available for future awards under Baxter's Incentive Compensation Programs. The Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interest of Baxter and its stockholders to adopt a new program, approved by Baxter's stockholders, that will allow Baxter to continue to provide long-term incentives to employees and others providing services to Baxter. The Board and management believe that stock incentives are critical to Baxter's ability to attract, retain and motivate employees. If the Program is not approved by stockholders, the Program will not be effective and, under rules currently proposed by the New York Stock Exchange, the additional 25,000,000 shares authorized by the Program would not be available for future awards, which would put Baxter at a competitive disadvantage. For further information on the company's current stock incentive programs, please refer to "Equity Compensation Plan Information" beginning on page 36 of this proxy

The Program contains a number of provisions that the Board of Directors believes are consistent with the interests of stockholders and sound corporate governance practices. These include:

- . Prohibition on Stock Option Repricings. The Program prohibits the cancellation of any outstanding stock option for the purpose of reissuing an option to the option holder at a lower exercise price.
- . No Discount Stock Options. The Program prohibits the grant of a stock option with an exercise price of less than the fair market value of Baxter Common Stock on the date the stock option is granted.
- . Independent Committee. The Program will be administered by the Compensation Committee, which is comprised of independent, non-employee directors. As further described under "Board of Directors-Corporate Governance" and "Board of Directors-Committees of the Board" below, the Board of Directors has determined, after careful review, that all members of the Compensation Committee are independent as defined by

Baxter's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the rules currently proposed by the New York Stock Exchange.

. No Annual "Evergreen" Provision. The Program provides for a specific number of shares available for awards.

The complete text of the Program is included as Exhibit A to this proxy statement. The following is a summary of the material terms of the Program.

General

The purpose of the Program is to increase stockholder value and to advance the interests of Baxter by providing a variety of economic incentives designed to attract, retain and motivate Baxter employees and other individuals providing services to Baxter. Incentives may consist of the following: (a) stock options; (b) restricted stock; (c) stock awards; (d) performance shares; and (e) other incentives, including cash. Incentives may be granted to any employee, director, consultant or other

6

Management Proposals (continued)

independent contractor of Baxter as selected from time to time by the Compensation Committee. As of December 31, 2002, Baxter had approximately 54,600 employees.

The Program will be administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Committee"). The Committee must consist of two or more non-employee directors within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, who qualify as outside directors under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended.

Subject to the provisions and limitations of the Program, the Committee will have the authority to:

- interpret the provisions of the Program, and prescribe, amend, and rescind rules and procedures related to the Program;
- grant awards;
- modify the terms of, cancel, or repurchase outstanding awards;
- prescribe the form of agreement, certificate or other instrument evidencing any award;
- correct any defect or omission and reconcile any inconsistency in the Program or in any award; and
- . make all other determinations and take all other actions as it deems necessary or desirable for the administration of the Program.

The Committee may not cancel any outstanding stock option for the purpose of reissuing an option to the holder at a lower exercise price. The Committee can delegate its authority with respect to participation, the grant of awards and related performance objectives for any person other than Baxter's directors and those officers subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16 of the Exchange Act.

The number of shares of Baxter's Common Stock which may be issued under the

Program may not exceed 25,000,000 shares. This represents approximately 4.2% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock on December 31, 2002. The closing market price of the Common Stock on December 31, 2002 was \$28.00 per share.

Stock Options

Under the Program, the Committee may grant non-qualified and incentive stock options to eligible participants to purchase shares of Common Stock from Baxter. The Program gives the Committee discretion, with respect to any such stock option, to determine the number and purchase price of the shares subject to the option, the term of each option and the time or times during its term when the option becomes exercisable, subject to the following limitations. No stock option may be granted with a purchase price below the fair market value of the shares subject to the option on the date of grant. The fair market value of shares on the date of grant will be the closing sale price of Common Stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange. Each stock option will expire on (1) the date provided by the option terms, which may not be later than eleven years after the grant date or (2) if the option terms do not provide for an expiration date, the date which is ten years and one day after the grant date. No incentive stock option may be transferred other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution. No person may receive, in any calendar year, stock options which, in the aggregate, represent more than 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock. Payment of the option price will be made in such form and manner as the Committee may approve.

7

Management Proposals (continued)

Restricted Stock

Restricted stock consists of the sale or grant by Baxter to an eligible participant of one or more shares of Common Stock which are subject to restrictions on transfer by the participant. The price, if any, at which restricted stock will be sold or granted will be determined by the Committee, and it may vary from time to time and among participants and may require no payment or be less than the fair market value of the shares at the date of sale or grant. Subject to any restrictions established by the Committee and the other requirements of the Program, a participant receiving restricted stock will have the rights of a stockholder (including voting and dividend rights) as to those shares only to the extent the Committee designates at the time of the grant. No person may receive, in any calendar year, more than 300,000 shares of restricted stock. The Committee, in its sole discretion, may substitute cash for shares of Common Stock otherwise required to be distributed.

Stock Awards

Stock awards consist of the grant by Baxter to an eligible participant of shares of Common Stock, without payment, as additional compensation for services to the company. No person eligible to receive a stock award may receive a stock award representing more than 50,000 shares of Common Stock in any calendar year.

Performance Shares

Performance shares consist of the grant by Baxter of a contingent right to receive payment of shares of Common Stock. Each performance share entitles the participant to one share of Common Stock, subject to the attainment of performance goals and other terms and conditions specified by the Committee.

The performance shares will be paid in shares of Common Stock (or cash, in the discretion of the Committee) to the extent performance goals set forth in the grant are achieved. The number of shares granted and the performance goals will be determined by the Committee. No person may receive, in any calendar year, more than 300,000 performance shares.

Other Incentives

The Committee may grant other incentives, including stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units and performance units, payable in cash or in kind by Baxter to an eligible participant. The form, amount and the terms and conditions of other incentives will be determined by the Committee. The total number of shares of Common Stock for which stock appreciation rights or other incentives may be granted to any person may not exceed 1,000,000 in any calendar year. The total amount that may be awarded to any person in the form of performance unit and other cash-based awards may not exceed \$3,000,000 in any calendar year.

Section 162(m)

Section 162(m) prevents a publicly-traded corporation from taking a tax deduction for certain compensation in excess of \$1 million per year which it or any subsidiary pays to specified executives. Those specified executives or covered employees are the chief executive officer and the four next most highly compensated executive officers for whom proxy disclosure is required. Certain compensation, including compensation based on the attainment of performance goals, is excluded from the deduction

۶

Management Proposals (continued)

limit and, therefore, is deductible even if it exceeds \$1 million per year. To qualify for this performance-based exemption, the material terms pursuant to which the compensation is to be paid, including the performance goals and the maximum amount payable to the covered employees, must be approved by the stockholders before payments are made.

Except as otherwise determined by the Committee, grants of restricted stock, performance shares and other incentives granted to executive officers will be subject to the attainment of performance goals in compliance with the provisions of Section 162(m) of the code. The specific performance goals applicable to a particular award will be established by the Committee within the first 90 days of the applicable performance period, based on one or more of the following business criteria: net income (before or after taxes), stock price, total shareholder return, market share, sales, net sales, earnings per share, costs, cash flow (including without limitation operational cash flow), gross margin, operating margin, gross profit ratio, economic value added, and return measures (including without limitation return on assets, capital, equity, and sales).

Non-transferability

No restricted stock, performance share or other incentive granted under the Program will be transferable by its holder, except in the event of the holder's death, by will or the laws of descent and distribution. Non-qualified stock options may be transferred by the holder to the limited extent authorized by the rules and procedures established by the Committee from time to time or by will or by the laws of descent and distribution.

Amendment of the Program

The Committee may amend or discontinue the Program at any time. However, no amendment or discontinuance may (a) impair, without the consent of the recipient, an incentive previously granted or (b) result in a change which would disqualify awards made under the Program from the exemption provided by Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act. In addition, the Committee may not amend the Program without approval of Baxter's stockholders to the extent such approval is required by law, agreement or any exchange on which the Common Stock is traded.

Change in Control

In the event of a change in control of Baxter (as specified in the Program), the restrictions on all outstanding shares of restricted stock will lapse immediately, all outstanding stock options will become exercisable immediately and all performance goals will be deemed to be met and payment made immediately.

In connection with certain change of control events (as specified in the Program) the Committee can require that any outstanding stock option granted under the Program be surrendered to Baxter for cancellation. The holder of a stock option that is cancelled will be entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the number of shares of Common Stock subject to the option immediately before it was cancelled multiplied by the excess, if any, of the greater of (A) the highest per share price offered to Baxter's stockholders in the change of control transaction or (B) the fair market value of a share of Common Stock on the date of the change of control, over the exercise price.

9

Management Proposals (continued)

Antidilution Provisions

In the event of any merger, consolidation, reorganization, recapitalization, spinoff, stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split, exchange, or other distribution with respect to Baxter Common Stock or other change in the corporate structure or capitalization affecting the Common Stock, the type and number of shares of stock which are or may be subject to awards under the Program, the terms of any outstanding awards (including the price at which shares of stock may be issued pursuant to an outstanding award) and the limits on the number of shares that can be subject to awards received by individuals in any calendar year described above, will be equitably adjusted by the Committee, in its sole discretion, to preserve the value of awards made or to be made under the Program.

United States Federal Income Tax Consequences

Under existing U.S. federal income tax provisions, a participant who receives a stock option or performance shares under the Program or who purchases or receives shares of restricted stock under the Program will not normally realize any income, nor will Baxter normally receive any deduction, for federal income tax purposes in the year such incentive is granted. A participant who receives a stock award under the Program consisting of shares of Common Stock will realize ordinary income in the year of the award in an amount equal to the fair market value of the shares of Common Stock covered by the award on the date it is made, and Baxter will be entitled to a deduction equal to the amount the participant is required to treat as ordinary income. A

participant who receives a cash award will realize ordinary income at the time the award is paid equal to the amount received, and the amount of the cash is expected to be deductible by Baxter.

When a non-qualified stock option granted pursuant to the Program is exercised, the participant will realize ordinary income measured by the difference between the aggregate purchase price of the shares of Common Stock as to which the option is exercised and the aggregate fair market value of shares of the Common Stock on the exercise date, and Baxter will be entitled to a deduction in the year the option is exercised equal to the amount the participant is required to treat as ordinary income.

Options which qualify as incentive stock options are entitled to special tax treatment. Because the capital gains rate is currently lower than the highest individual rate, there are income tax advantages to receiving incentive stock options rather than non-qualified options. Incentive stock options must be exercised within ten years after the grant date or they expire. Incentive stock options are not transferable, other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution, and are exercisable, during the optionee's lifetime, only by the optionee. Under existing federal income tax law, if shares purchased pursuant to the exercise of an incentive stock option are not disposed of by the optionee within two years from the date of the option grant or within one year after the transfer of the shares to the optionee, whichever is longer, then:

- . the optionee recognizes no income upon the exercise of the option;
- . any gain or loss will be recognized by the optionee only upon ultimate disposition of the shares and, assuming the shares constitute capital assets in the optionee's hands, will be treated as a long-term capital gain or loss;
- the optionee's basis in the shares purchased will equal the amount of cash paid for such shares; and
- . Baxter will not be entitled to a federal income tax deduction in connection with the exercise of the option.

10

Management Proposals (continued)

Baxter understands that the difference between the option price and the fair market value of the shares acquired upon exercise of an incentive stock option will be treated as an "item of tax preference" for purposes of the alternative minimum tax. In addition, incentive stock options exercised more than three months after termination of employment are treated as non-qualified options.

Baxter further understands that if the optionee disposes of the shares acquired by exercise of an incentive stock option before expiration of the holding period described above, the optionee must treat as ordinary income in the year of that disposition an amount equal to the difference between the optionee's basis in the shares and the lesser of the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise or the selling price. In addition, Baxter will be entitled to a deduction equal to the amount the employee is required to treat as ordinary income.

If the exercise price of an option is paid by surrender of previously owned

shares, the basis of the shares received in replacement of the previously owned shares is carried over. If the option is a non-qualified option, the gain recognized on exercise is added to the basis. If the option is an incentive stock option, the optionee will recognize gain if the shares surrendered were acquired through the exercise of an incentive stock option or through Baxter's employee stock purchase plan and have not been held for the applicable holding period. This gain will be added to the basis of the shares received in replacement of the previously owned shares.

A participant who receives restricted stock or performance shares will normally realize taxable income on the earliest of the date the shares become transferable, the date the shares are no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, or the date of their disposition. The amount of such taxable income will equal the amount by which the fair market value of the shares of Common Stock on the date such restrictions lapse (or any earlier date on which the shares are disposed of) exceeds their purchase price, if any. A participant may elect, however, to include in income in the year of purchase or grant the excess of the fair market value of the shares of Common Stock (without regard to any restrictions) on the date of purchase or grant over its purchase price. Baxter expects to be entitled to a deduction for compensation paid in the same year and in the same amount as income is realized by the participant.

The Proxyholders intend to vote the shares represented by proxy in favor of approval of the Program, except to the extent a stockholder votes against or abstains from voting on this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR approval of the 2003 Incentive Compensation Program.

11

Board of Directors

Director Biographies

Nominees for Election as Directors (Term Expires 2006)

[PHOTO]

Walter E. Boomer, age 64, has been a director of Baxter since 1997. Since March General Boomer has served as president and chief executive officer of Rogers Corporation, a manufacturer of specialty materials for use in the communication, transportation, imaging and computer markets. General Boomer was named Chairman the Board of Rogers Corporation in April 2002. From 1994 through 1996, he served executive vice president of McDermott International Inc. and president of the Bawilcox Power Generation Group. In 1994, General Boomer retired as a general and assistant commandant of the United States Marine Corps after 34 years of service General Boomer also serves as a director of Cytyc Corporation.

[PHOTO]

James R. Gavin III, M.D., Ph.D., age 57, was elected as a director of Baxter in February 2003. Since July 2002, Dr. Gavin has served as president of the Morehous School of Medicine. From 1991 to July 2002 he was Senior Science Officer at Howard Hughes Medical Institute, a nonprofit medical research organization. From 1987 to he was at the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center as a professor and a of the Diabetes Section and acting chief of the Section on Endocrinology, Metaboland Hypertension. Dr. Gavin is non-executive chairman of the board of directors Equidyne Corporation and a director of MicroIslet, Inc.

[PHOTO]

Kees J. Storm, age 60, director nominee, has been nominated by the Baxter Board

Directors. Mr. Storm is a registered accountant (the Dutch equivalent of a Certi Public Accountant) and was chairman and chief executive officer of AEGON N.V., a international insurance group from 1993 until 2002 when he retired. Mr. Storm is supervisory board member and chairman of Royal Wessanen N.V., a Dutch food manufacturer, and Laurus N.V., a Dutch supermarket group. He also serves on the supervisory boards of AEGON N.V., Interbrew S.A. and KLM N.V.

12

Board of Directors (continued)

Directors Continuing in Office (Term Expires 2004)

[PHOTO] Pei-yuan Chia, age 64, has served as a director of Baxter since 1996. Mr. Chia was chairman of Citicorp and Citibank, N.A., its principal subsidiary, from 1994 to 1 when he retired. From 1993 to 1996, he served as a director of Citicorp and Citibank, N.A., and assumed responsibility for their global consumer business in 1992. Between 1974 and 1992, Mr. Chia held various senior management positions in Citicorp and Citibank, N.A. and was Citibank, N.A.'s senior customer contact for corporate bars.

Inc. and Bank of China (Hong Kong) Limited.

[PHOTO] Gail D. Fosler, age 55, has served as a director of Baxter since 2001. Since 1989 Fosler has held several positions with The Conference Board, a research and busin membership organization. Ms. Fosler is currently senior vice president and chief economist of The Conference Board and directs its Economics Research Program, whi

produces economic indicators and analyses. Ms. Fosler is also a director of Cater Inc., Unisys Corporation, H.B. Fuller Company, DBS Holdings (Singapore).

activities in Asia. Mr. Chia also serves as a director of American International

[PHOTO] Monroe E. Trout, M.D., age 71, has served as a director of Baxter since 1995. Dr. was chairman of the board, president and chief executive officer of American Heal Systems, a network of integrated health care systems, from 1987 until he retired He was elected president and chief executive officer of American Healthcare Systems 1986. Dr. Trout also serves as chairman emeritus of Cytyc Corporation and as a di

of Science Applications International Corporation.

13

Board of Directors (continued)

Directors Continuing in Office (Term Expires 2005)

[PHOTO] Harry M. Jansen Kraemer, Jr., age 48, has been a director of Baxter

chairman of the board since January 2000. Mr. Kraemer has been presisince 1997 and chief executive officer since January 1999. From 1993 as senior vice president and chief financial officer of Baxter. Mr.

a director of Science Applications International Corporation.

[PHOTO] Joseph B. Martin, M.D., Ph.D., age 64, has been a director of Baxter

Dr. Martin has served as the Dean of the Harvard Faculty of Medicine

He was Chancellor of the University of California, San Francisco from Dean of the UCSF School of Medicine from 1989 to 1993. Dr. Martin all director of Cytyc Corporation and Scientific Learning Corp.

[PHOTO]

Thomas T. Stallkamp, age 56, has been a director of Baxter since 200 is vice chairman and chief executive officer of MSX International, I of technology-driven engineering, business and specialized staffing through 1999, Mr. Stallkamp held various positions with DaimlerChrys and its predecessor Chrysler Corporation, the most recent of which wand president. Mr. Stallkamp also serves as a director of Kmart Corp Corporation.

[PHOTO]

Fred L. Turner, age 70, has been a director of Baxter since 1982. Mr chairman of the board of directors of McDonald's Corporation, a rest Turner previously was chairman of the board and chief executive offi Corporation. He joined McDonald's in 1956. Mr. Turner is a Life Trus McDonald House Charities, Inc., a not-for-profit corporation. Mr. Tu director of W. W. Grainger, Inc.

14

Board of Directors (continued)

Corporate Governance

The Board of Directors recognizes the importance of good corporate governance as a means of addressing the needs of Baxter's stockholders, employees, customers and community. Pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, under which Baxter is organized, the business, property and affairs of Baxter are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. Members of the Board are kept informed of Baxter's business through discussions with the Chairman and management, by reviewing materials prepared for them by management and by participating in meetings of the Board and its committees. During 2002, the Board held six meetings and the committees held a total of 21 meetings. The aggregate attendance of all current directors at the total number of Board and committee meetings was over 92 percent.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Baxter first adopted formal corporate governance principles in 1995. In 1998, the Board of Directors revised these principles by adopting new Corporate Governance Guidelines (the "Guidelines") which address the role of the Board of Directors in areas such as fiduciary oversight, strategic planning, social responsibility, succession planning and board elections. The Guidelines also set standards relating to the composition and operation of the Board of Directors and its committees, including standards relating to the selection, qualification and evaluation of directors. They also address a number of other matters, such as: director access to management, the authority of the Board and its committees to hire outside advisors, the appropriateness of continued Board membership in the event of a change in a director's employment or other circumstances, the mandatory retirement age for directors, executive compensation and director compensation. In February 2003, the Board of Directors approved further changes to Baxter's Guidelines to update or establish new guidelines with respect to a number of topics, such as specific criteria for director independence, establishment of a lead director, director continuing education, and recommended stock ownership for directors.

Rules currently proposed by the New York Stock Exchange would require

listed companies to adopt governance guidelines covering various matters. The company believes that Baxter's Guidelines currently comply with the proposed rules in all material respects. However, the company will make any required changes after these rules are final. The Corporate Governance Committee of the Board reviews at least annually the adequacy of the Guidelines, and recommends any proposed changes to the Board for approval.

The Guidelines, as well as other information on Corporate Governance, are available on Baxter's website at www.baxter.com under "Corporate Governance" and in print upon request by writing to Baxter International Inc., Corporate Secretary, One Baxter Parkway, Deerfield, Illinois 60015.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors has determined, after careful review, that all directors and director nominees except for Harry Kraemer are independent, as defined by Baxter's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the rules currently proposed by the New York Stock Exchange. Baxter's Guidelines require that a majority of Baxter's directors qualify as independent. To be considered independent, the Board must affirmatively determine that a director does not have any direct or indirect material relationship with Baxter.

15

Board of Directors (continued)

Baxter's Guidelines include the following standards for determining director independence: $\[$

- A director will not be independent if, within the preceding five years:

 (a) the director was employed by Baxter; (b) an immediate family member of the director was employed by Baxter as an executive officer; (c) the director or an immediate family member of the director was employed by or affiliated with the independent auditor of Baxter; or (d) a Baxter executive officer was on the compensation committee of the board of directors of a company which concurrently employed the Baxter director, or which concurrently employed an immediate family member of the director as an executive officer.
- The following commercial or charitable relationships will not be considered to be material relationships that would impair a director's independence: (a) if a Baxter director is a partner, officer, or controlling shareholder of or is otherwise affiliated with another company or professional entity (including any law firm or investment banking firm) that does business with Baxter and the annual payments to, or from, Baxter in any year do not exceed (i) one percent of the annual revenue of Baxter for its most recently completed fiscal year or (ii) the greater of \$200,000 or one percent of the annual revenue of the other company or professional entity for its most recently completed fiscal year; (b) if a Baxter director is a partner, executive officer or controlling shareholder of or is otherwise affiliated with another company which is indebted to Baxter, or to which Baxter is indebted, and the total amount of either company's indebtedness to the other does not exceed (i) one percent of the total consolidated assets of Baxter as of the end of its most recently completed fiscal year or (ii) one percent of the total consolidated assets of the other company as of the end of its most recently completed fiscal year; and (c) if a Baxter director serves as an officer, director or trustee of or is otherwise affiliated with a charitable organization, and Baxter's

discretionary charitable contributions to the organization are less than the greater of \$100,000 or five percent of that organization's total annual charitable receipts.

For relationships not covered by these guidelines, the determination of whether the director is independent or not will be made by the directors who satisfy the independence guidelines.

Executive Sessions and Lead Director

Baxter's Corporate Governance Guidelines require the Board to meet in executive session without management or any employee director present at every regularly scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee is required by its charter to hold separate executive sessions during at least five committee meetings per year with the internal auditor, the independent auditor and management. The other committees of the Board also have the authority to hold executive sessions without management present.

In February 2003, the Board of Directors amended Baxter's Guidelines to provide for a lead director who will be responsible for presiding at all executive sessions of the Board and acting as the liaison between the non-management directors and the Chairman of the Board. In addition, the lead director will serve as the contact person to facilitate communications by Baxter employees and shareholders directly with the non-management members of the Board. The Corporate Governance Committee will recommend a lead director to the full Board for approval on an annual basis, with the first lead director to be elected in May 2003.

16

Board of Directors (continued)

Annual Assessment of Board Performance

The Board has annually reviewed its own performance, structure and processes for the past nine years in order to assess how effectively it is functioning. This assessment was implemented and is administered by the Corporate Governance Committee through an annual Board self-evaluation survey. The views of individual directors are collected by the Corporate Secretary and the chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee and summarized for consideration by the full Board. In addition, beginning this year, each committee of the Board is required by its charter to conduct an annual self-evaluation of its performance.

Business Practice Standards and Corporate Responsibility Office

Baxter's ethics policies can be found in its Global Business Practice Standards, which are designed to promote honest and ethical conduct and compliance with applicable laws and serve as a guide for making business decisions. The Global Business Practice Standards are applicable to all employees of the company, including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other senior financial officers. These standards include policies on a number of topics, such as protection and use of company assets, competitive and confidential information, insider trading, bioethics, conflicts of interest, employment practices, gifts, political activities, trade compliance and other aspects of business ethics. These standards address Baxter's commitment to full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in all public communications, including reports that the company files with, or submits to, the Securities and Exchange Commission and other

government agencies. The standards also address the consequences of failure to comply with applicable law and Baxter's policies and procedures and require prompt internal reporting of wrongdoing.

Baxter's Global Business Practice Standards include procedures for employees to seek guidance or report concerns about business practices, including concerns regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, auditing, or other matters. These procedures have been reviewed by the Public Policy Committee and the Audit Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. Questions and concerns can be submitted confidentially or anonymously. Employees may raise issues or concerns through multiple channels, such as calling the toll-free Business Practice Standards Helpline, sending an e-mail to the Business Practices website, writing to the Corporate Responsibility Office's post office box, or contacting the members of the Corporate Responsibility Office or its regional committees directly.

The Corporate Responsibility Office was established by the Board in 1993 to assist the Public Policy Committee in carrying out its responsibility to oversee Baxter's global business practices. The Corporate Responsibility Office is responsible for communicating the company's business practice standards, maintaining processes for employees to report issues, providing guidance and training to employees, and monitoring compliance. The monitoring process includes an annual certification of compliance with Baxter's business practice standards by senior managers and thousands of other employees worldwide. These activities are coordinated and implemented by members of the Corporate Responsibility Office and Baxter's Business Practices staff. Permanent members of the Corporate Responsibility Office include the company's Vice President of Business Practices, who reports to the Public Policy Committee, and the Vice President of Corporate Audit, who reports to the Audit Committee.

Baxter's Global Business Practice Standards are available on Baxter's website at www.baxter.com under "Corporate Governance" and in print upon request by writing to Baxter International Inc., Business Practices, One Baxter Parkway, Deerfield, Illinois 60015.

17

Board of Directors (continued)

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The company has established disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that financial and non-financial information required to be disclosed by Baxter in the reports it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. These controls and procedures are designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Each quarter, the company carries out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's Disclosure Committee, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as well as other members of the company's management team, of the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures.

Committees of the Board

The Board of Directors has five committees. Each committee consists solely of independent directors who are not Baxter employees. In February 2003, the Board approved amendments to the Audit Committee's charter and established

charters for the other committees of the Board. Each committee is required by its charter on an annual basis to conduct a performance evaluation of the committee and to review the adequacy of its charter. The company believes that these committee charters substantially comply with the rules currently proposed by the New York Stock Exchange and satisfy the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. However, the company will make any required changes after these rules are final. A copy of the Audit Committee's charter is attached to this proxy statement as Exhibit B. All committee charters are available on Baxter's website at www.baxter.com under "Corporate Governance" and in print upon request by writing to Baxter International Inc., Corporate Secretary, One Baxter Parkway, Deerfield, Illinois 60015.

The Audit Committee consists of four directors. The committee reviews the company's financial reporting process and the integrity of its financial statements, Baxter's system of internal controls, the internal and external audit process, and the process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations. Common recurring activities of the Audit Committee in carrying out its oversight function include:

- reviewing the adequacy and effectiveness of Baxter's financial and accounting controls with the independent and internal auditors, and reviewing with management Baxter's disclosure controls and procedures;
- retaining and evaluating the qualifications, independence and performance of the independent auditor;
- the pre-approval of permissible non-audit engagements to be undertaken by the independent auditor, either explicitly or through pre-approval policies and procedures approved by the committee;
- . reviewing the scope of the annual internal and external audits;
- reviewing the company's consolidated financial statements including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and discussing with the independent auditor the quality and acceptability of accounting principles used to prepare the consolidated financial statements;

18

Board of Directors (continued)

- discussing earnings press releases with the Chairman of the Audit Committee prior to issuance of the release;
- holding separate executive sessions with the internal auditor, the independent auditor and management; and
- discussing guidelines and policies governing the process by which Baxter assesses and manages risk.

The Audit Committee also establishes Baxter's policy with respect to the hiring of employees and former employees of its independent auditor. The current policy is that Baxter will not hire any current or previous employees of its independent auditor who are or have, within the last two years, worked on the company's account.

The Audit Committee met five times in 2002. The current members of the Audit Committee are Thomas T. Stallkamp (chairman), Pei-yuan Chia, Gail D.

Fosler and Fred L. Turner.

The Compensation Committee consists of four directors. The committee exercises the authority of the Board relating to employee benefit plans and the compensation of Baxter's executives. The Compensation Committee's responsibilities include:

- making recommendations to the Board concerning the salary of the Chief Executive Officer;
- . determining the salaries of executive officers, other than the Chief Executive Officer, and advising the Board of such determination;
- making recommendations to the Board with respect to incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans and exercising the authority of the Board concerning benefit plans; and
- . serving as the administration committee of the company's stock option plans.

The Compensation Committee met three times in 2002. The current members of the Compensation Committee are Pei-yuan Chia (chairman), Walter E. Boomer, Susan Crown and Thomas T. Stallkamp.

The Corporate Governance Committee consists of four directors. The Board of Directors changed the name of this committee in 2002 from the Planning and Organization Committee to the Corporate Governance Committee in order to better align this committee's name with its responsibilities. The committee assists and advises the Board on corporate governance and general organization and planning matters. The Corporate Governance Committee's responsibilities include:

- developing criteria for use in selecting potential new Board members and assisting the Board in identifying and attracting qualified director candidates;
- selecting and recommending that the Board approve the director nominees for the next annual meeting of stockholders, and recommending persons to fill any vacancy on the Board;
- . determining Board committee structure and membership;
- reviewing at least annually the adequacy of Baxter's Corporate Governance Guidelines;
- overseeing the succession planning process for management, including the Chief Executive Officer;

19

Board of Directors (continued)

- developing and implementing an annual process for evaluating the performance of the Chief Executive Officer;
- developing and implementing an annual procedure for evaluating Board performance; and
- . making recommendations to the Board concerning director compensation.

Recommendations for director nominees received from stockholders by the Corporate Secretary will be referred to the Corporate Governance Committee for consideration.

The Corporate Governance Committee met four times in 2002. The current members of the Corporate Governance Committee are John W. Colloton (chairman), Joseph B. Martin, M.D., Ph.D., Monroe E. Trout, M.D. and Fred L. Turner.

The Finance Committee consists of four directors. The committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities in connection with the company's financial affairs The Finance Committee reviews and, subject to the limits specified in its charter, approves or makes recommendations or reports to the Board regarding:

- proposed financing transactions, capital expenditures, acquisitions, divestitures and other transactions;
- . dividends;
- . results of the management of pension assets; and
- risk management relating to the company's hedging activities, use of derivative instruments, and insurance coverage.

The Finance Committee met six times in 2002. The current members of the Finance Committee are Walter E. Boomer (chairman), John W. Colloton, Joseph B. Martin, M.D., Ph.D. and Monroe E. Trout, M.D.

The Public Policy Committee consists of three directors. The committee reviews the policies and practices of Baxter to ensure that they are consistent with its social responsibility to act with integrity as a global corporate citizen to employees, customers and society. The Public Policy Committee has a wide range of responsibilities, including:

- addressing the company's responsibilities with respect to the health and safety of employees and consumers, and the environment;
- overseeing, reviewing and making recommendations to the Corporate Responsibility Office as set forth in the company's Business Practice Standards;
- . reviewing and making recommendations regarding Baxter's Quality and Regulatory programs and performance; and
- . reviewing and making recommendations on the company's Government Affairs Program, including the company's positions with respect to pending legislative and other initiatives.

The Public Policy Committee met three times in 2002. The current members of the Public Policy Committee are Susan Crown (chairperson), Walter E. Boomer and Gail D. Fosler.

20

Board of Directors (continued)

Compensation of Directors

The Corporate Governance Committee revisits director compensation from time

to time to evaluate the market competitiveness of Baxter's program. Based on a review of current market practices, the increased demands being placed on directors of publicly-traded companies, and the need to be able to attract and retain directors, the Board of Directors has approved a new non-employee director compensation plan (New Director Compensation Plan).

Under the former non-employee director compensation plan (Former Director Compensation Plan), non-employee director compensation consisted solely of stock options. Under the New Director Compensation Plan, the Board has transitioned to a new compensation structure which consists of a combination of stock options, restricted stock and cash compensation, as described below.

Under the New Director Compensation Plan, each non-employee director will continue to be entitled to receive a grant of stock options annually on the date of the annual meeting of stockholders. However, under the new plan, the amount of the grant will no longer be increased or decreased based on the percentage change in Baxter's total shareholder return (TSR) compared to the TSR for the Standard & Poor's 500 Health Care Index, as was the case under the Former Director Compensation Plan. Rather, beginning with the option grant on the date of the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the annual stock option grant to each non-employee director will have a target value on the grant date based on a Black-Scholes valuation of \$60,000. The stock options will become exercisable on the date of the next annual meeting of stockholders, and may become exercisable earlier in the event of death, disability, or a change in control of Baxter.

In addition, beginning on the date of the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, each non-employee director will also receive an annual grant of restricted shares of Baxter Common Stock on the date of the annual meeting of stockholders. The number of restricted shares to be granted to each non-employee director each year equals the quotient of \$60,000 divided by the closing sale price for a share of Baxter Common Stock on the date of the annual meeting. The restricted shares will vest on the date of the next annual meeting of stockholders, and will be forfeited if the non-employee director leaves the Board for any reason other than death or disability prior to that date. In the event of a change in control of Baxter, all restrictions on the shares will terminate. Until vested, the restricted stock cannot be transferred or sold. During the restriction period, the directors have all of the other rights of a stockholder, including the right to receive dividends and vote the shares.

Under the New Director Compensation Plan, effective beginning in May 2003, each non-employee director will receive a \$45,000 annual cash retainer. As of September 2002, each non-employee director also receives a \$1,000 fee for each Board and each committee meeting attended, and each non-employee director who acts as the chairperson of any committee meeting receives an additional \$1,000 for each meeting chaired by him or her. In addition, non-employee directors will be eligible to participate in a deferred compensation plan which will allow deferral of all or any portion of cash payments until Board service ends. Each non-employee director will continue to be eligible for life insurance benefits. Life insurance premiums of \$878 in the aggregate were paid in 2002 for the benefit of non-employee directors.

In February 2003, the Board of Directors amended Baxter's Corporate Governance Guidelines to establish recommended stock ownership guidelines for directors. The current stock ownership guideline recommended for each director, after five years of Board service, is to hold five times the annual cash retainer provided to directors.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Baxter assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities. The Audit Committee consists of four independent directors, as defined by New York Stock Exchange listing standards. The Board of Directors has determined that Thomas T. Stallkamp, who is the Chairman of the Audit Committee, as well as Kees J. Storm, who is a director nominee but not a current member of the Board or Audit Committee, each qualify as an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Audit Committee's duties and responsibilities are set forth in a written charter, which was initially adopted and approved by the Board on March 21, 2000. In February 2003, the Board approved amendments to the Audit Committee's charter to address the New York Stock Exchange's corporate governance rule proposals and the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. A copy of the written charter, which is annually reviewed and revised as appropriate, is attached to this proxy statement as Exhibit B.

Management is responsible for Baxter's internal controls and the financial reporting process. A professional staff of in-house corporate auditors reviews the design of, and compliance with, Baxter's internal control systems and the accounting policies and procedures supporting the financial reporting process. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), the company's independent accountants, are responsible for performing an independent audit of Baxter's consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and for issuing a report on those statements. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes.

In the course of fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee has:

- reviewed and discussed the results of the internal audit plan for the year ended December 31, 2002;
- reviewed and discussed with management Baxter's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002;
- discussed with representatives of PwC the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees;
- received the written disclosures and the letter from PwC required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, Independence Discussions with Audit Committees;
- discussed with representatives of PwC the public accounting firm's independence from Baxter and management; and
- . considered whether the provision by PwC of non-audit services is compatible with maintaining PwC's independence.

Based on the foregoing, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that Baxter's audited financial statements referred to above be included in Baxter's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Thomas T. Stallkamp (Chairman)
Pei-yuan Chia
Gail D. Fosler
Fred L. Turner

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Committee") makes recommendations to the Board concerning compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and determines compensation for other officers. The Committee also exercises the authority of the Board with respect to Baxter's employee benefit plans. The Committee is comprised of four independent, non-employee directors, as discussed above under "Board of Directors-Corporate Governance" and "Board of Directors-Committees of the Board."

Compensation Philosophy for Executive Officers

The Committee's compensation philosophy provides a framework for aligning compensation with business objectives. The Committee's philosophy is to provide compensation opportunities that are structured to: (i) recognize performance by basing compensation on the achievement of pre-established performance goals for the company as well as individual performance and (ii) be competitive when compared to health care and non-health care companies of similar size and scope. Consistent with Baxter's goal of building the best team in health care, this philosophy is intended to assist Baxter in attracting, retaining and motivating executives with superior leadership and management abilities.

Relationship of Executive Compensation to Performance

To promote a pay-for-performance philosophy, employee compensation is based on company as well as individual performance. The Committee believes that management should be motivated and compensated based on both financial and non-financial measures. For this reason, the Committee emphasizes the financial measures of sales growth, earnings per share, operational cash flow, gross profit ratio and total shareholder return when determining compensation for all executive officers. In terms of non-financial measures, the Committee focuses on such areas as quality, leadership, building customer loyalty, product development, innovation, talent management, operational excellence, and adherence to Baxter's shared values of respect, responsiveness and results. The Committee believes that a combination of financial and non-financial measures were the appropriate focus for 2002. These goals are also incorporated in Baxter's 2003 incentive plans for executive officers and other executives.

In order to reward individual performance in a manner which differentiates between the members of management, a performance differentiation framework is used to determine each officer's compensation, including salary, bonus and stock options. This approach is designed to strengthen the link between an individual's compensation and his or her personal performance as measured by select talent management data points, such as individual performance reviews, future potential performance, achievement of key business strategies, feedback from colleagues, and adherence to Baxter's shared values of respect, responsiveness and results. Using these measurements, adjustments are made to each officer's compensation which differentiate individual compensation based on relative performance.

The company's philosophy with respect to the \$1 million cap on the tax-deductiblity of executive compensation is to maximize the benefit of tax laws for Baxter's stockholders by seeking performance-based exemptions and the related stockholder approval where consistent with Baxter's compensation policies and practices.

Market Competitive Compensation

The Committee reviews compensation survey data from selected companies in

the pharmaceutical, medical device, and biotech industries included in the Standard & Poor's 500 Health Care Index, as well as other large non-

23

Compensation Committee Report (continued)

health care companies of similar size and scope ("comparable companies"). Based on the survey data from the comparable companies, the Committee determines the competitiveness of the total compensation structure for each officer, including Mr. Kraemer, who served as Baxter's chairman and chief executive officer in 2002.

Compensation Elements

The company's compensation structure consists primarily of salaries, cash bonuses, and stock options. The executive officers ordinarily receive the majority of their total compensation through performance-based incentive plans, which place a greater percentage of their compensation at risk while more closely aligning their interests with the interests of Baxter's stockholders.

Salaries

The Committee has established salaries each year based on each executive officer's individual performance within a structure intended to be competitive with the 50th percentile of salaries paid to executive officers in the comparable companies. Officer salaries are typically reviewed and adjusted each year at the Committee's February meeting. However, at management's suggestion, the Committee did not approve any salary increases for Baxter's executive officers at its meeting in February 2003. Management's suggestion to not increase officer salaries was based on the company's financial performance in 2002 and the decline in the company's stock price.

Cash Bonuses

Cash bonuses are intended to provide executive officers with an opportunity to receive additional cash compensation, but only if it is earned through achievement of specified performance goals. Cash bonuses, when combined with salary, are intended to provide a total cash compensation target of approximately the 60th percentile of total cash compensation paid to executive officers in the comparable companies. The Committee establishes annual and quarterly performance goals for the company under the officer cash bonus plan. The Committee also establishes annual and quarterly bonus targets for each executive officer by utilizing the market data from the comparable companies. After year-end results are reported, the Committee determines each executive officer's bonus based on the achievement of the specified annual and quarterly performance goals and the executive officer's individual performance. Individual performance is assessed using the performance differentiation framework discussed above.

Baxter did not achieve the target sales, earnings per share, gross profit ratio, and operational cash flow goals established by the Committee as the performance measures under the officer cash bonus plan for 2002. Accordingly, actual bonus amounts for 2002 ranged from 20% to 49% of the individual officers' annual bonus targets, and officers did not receive any bonuses based on the quarterly performance criteria. In addition, at management's suggestion, the Committee did not increase bonus ranges for the executive officers for 2003, given the company's financial performance in 2002 and the decline in the company's stock price.

Long-Term Incentives

To further align management and stockholder interests and to continue to promote a pay-for-performance philosophy, Baxter maintains a Long Term Incentive (LTI) Plan. The LTI Plan permits the grant of stock options to senior managers within the company, including Mr. Kraemer and the other executive officers. The LTI Plan is structured so that the value of the stock option targets are competitive with approximately the 75th percentile of the long-term incentive opportunities provided to the LTI Plan participants' counterparts in the comparable companies.

24

Compensation Committee Report (continued)

To motivate participants to achieve superior total shareholder return (TSR) compared to Baxter's competitors, the LTI Plan contains a Stock Performance Multiplier that increases or decreases a participant's stock option target. The Stock Performance Multiplier measures the percentage change in Baxter's TSR compared to the TSR for the Standard & Poor's 500 Health Care Index for the 12-month period from October 1 to September 30, prior to the stock option grant. Based on this comparison, a participant's stock option target could increase up to a maximum of 150% or decrease to a minimum of 75% of target. Actual stock option awards are based on a combination of the participant's stock option target, the Stock Performance Multiplier and the participant's individual performance.

Since the company's TSR for the period from October 2001 through September 2002 was below the TSR for the Standard & Poor's 500 Health Care Index, participants' stock option targets were adjusted to the minimum of 75% of target. The Committee then assessed individual officer performance based on the performance differentiation framework discussed above. Actual stock option awards for executive officers ranged from 50% to 120% of the adjusted target due to individual performance.

Mr. Kraemer's 2002 Compensation

In 2002, Mr. Kraemer participated in the same compensation plans provided to the other executive officers as described above. The Committee's general approach to setting Mr. Kraemer's compensation was to be competitive with the comparable companies, while ensuring that his compensation was dependent upon achievement of Baxter's financial performance goals and personal performance objectives, both of which are reviewed and approved by the Board. The Board has established a process through which the Compensation and the Corporate Governance Committees work together to ensure that decisions regarding Mr. Kraemer's compensation are directly based upon the extent to which his performance objectives are met. All compensation actions relating to Mr. Kraemer are subject to the approval of the Board.

In February 2002, the Committee increased Mr. Kraemer's salary from \$880,000 to \$925,000, in recognition of Mr. Kraemer's exceptional leadership skills and development as a chief executive officer. This salary increase was also made to bring Mr. Kraemer's salary closer to the 50/th/ percentile of salaries paid to Mr. Kraemer's counterparts at the comparable companies. As stated above, in February 2003, at management's suggestion, the Committee did not approve any salary increases for Baxter's executive officers, including Mr. Kraemer.

Consistent with its pay-for-performance philosophy, the Committee focused

on increasing Mr. Kraemer's long-term incentive compensation for 2002. To bring his long-term incentive compensation closer to the 75/th/ percentile of long-term incentive opportunities provided to Mr. Kraemer's counterparts in the comparable companies, the Committee increased Mr. Kraemer's stock option target under the company's LTI Plan from 400,000 to 500,000 options in February 2002.

In November 2002, pursuant to the terms of the LTI Plan described above, Mr. Kraemer's 500,000 stock option target was decreased to 375,000 options due to the Stock Performance Multiplier. The Committee granted 375,000 options to Mr. Kraemer at that time with an exercise price equal to the then current fair market value of \$30.06 per share, which represented 100% of his adjusted target.

In February 2002, based on Mr. Kraemer's performance and to ensure competitiveness with approximately the 60/th/ percentile of cash compensation provided to Mr. Kraemer's

25

Compensation Committee Report (continued)

counterparts in the comparable companies, the Committee approved an increase in Mr. Kraemer's annual target bonus amount from \$880,000 to \$1,156,250, which was equal to 125% of his salary. In addition, the Committee established an incremental quarterly target bonus amount of \$231,250, equal to 20% of Mr. Kraemer's annual target bonus, which could be earned based on achievement of quarterly performance goals for sales, gross profit ratio and operational cash flow.

Baxter did not achieve the target sales, earnings per share, gross profit ratio and operational cash flow goals established by the Committee under the officer cash bonus plan for 2002. Accordingly, in February 2003, the Committee determined that, for 2002, Mr. Kraemer earned a bonus of \$403,000. Mr. Kraemer's bonus represented approximately 35% of his annual target bonus amount. Mr. Kraemer did not receive any bonus based on the quarterly performance criteria.

Pei-yuan Chia (Chairman)
Walter E. Boomer
Susan Crown
Thomas T. Stallkamp

26

Executive Compensation

Summary

The following table shows, for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, the compensation provided by Baxter and its subsidiaries to the chairman of the board and chief executive officer and the four next most highly compensated executive officers in all capacities in which they served. The five individuals identified in the Summary Compensation Table are referred to as the "named executive officers" throughout this proxy statement.

Share numbers and per share amounts have been adjusted in this proxy statement to reflect the two-for-one split of Baxter's Common Stock in May 2001.

Summary Compensation Table

					I	Long Term Cor	mpensatio
		Annua	Annual Compensation		Award	Payouts	
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(1)		Other (\$)(2)	Restricted Stock Award(s) (\$)(3)	4 2	Payouts
Harry M. Jansen Kraemer, Jr. Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	2001	916,346 880,000 880,000	•	169,658	-0-	375,000 600,000 975,000	-0 -0 2,649,37
Alan L. Heller(7) Senior Vice President and President-Renal	2001	620,192 600,000 92,308	240,000	3,864	-0-	84,000 164,700 183,000	-0 -0 -0
	2001	441,154 425,000 385,192	224,000	3,612	-0-	99,000 108,000 247,000	-0 -0 772,73
	2001	486,154 470,000 418,077	248,500	9,047	-0-	66,000 120,000 246,000	-0 -0 662,34
Senior Vice President and	2001	450,603 401,539 350,000	252 , 000	2,113	-0-	63,000 156,000 218,500	-0 -0 551,95

- (1) Amounts shown in these columns include cash compensation earned by the named executive officers during the year covered, including amounts deferred at the election of those officers. Bonuses are paid in the year following the year during which they are earned.
- (2) As permitted by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, this column excludes perquisites and other personal benefits for the named executive officer if the total incremental cost in a given year did not exceed the lesser of \$50,000 or 10 percent of the combined salary and bonus for that year. Accordingly, for Messrs. Heller, del Salto, Anderson and Glanzmann, the amounts shown exclude such perquisites and only represent reimbursements for the payment of taxes. Of the amounts shown for Mr. Kraemer, \$48,742 in 2002, \$42,122 in 2001 and \$41,787 in 2000 represent the approximate incremental cost to Baxter for his personal use of company aircraft, which Baxter required for security reasons.
- (3) Except for the amount shown for Mr. Heller, the amounts in this column are based on the \$27.9375 closing price of Baxter Common Stock on February 21, 2000, the date the restricted stock was awarded. As of December 31, 2000, these shares were no longer held by Messrs. Kraemer, del Salto, Anderson and Glanzmann because they converted their restricted stock to stock options pursuant to the transition to a new Long Term Incentive Plan. The amount for Mr. Heller is based on the \$41.345 closing price of Baxter Common Stock on November 13, 2000, the date the 6,000 shares of restricted stock were awarded to

27

Executive Compensation (continued)

Mr. Heller. The restricted stock awarded to Mr. Heller vested on December 31, 2001. Mr. Heller still held all of these shares as of December 31, 2002, valued at \$168,000 based on the \$28.00 closing price of Baxter Common Stock on December 31, 2002.

- (4) The numbers shown in this column represent the number of shares of Common Stock for which options were granted to each named executive officer. The 2000 options include, for all of the named executive officers except Mr. Heller, options granted as a result of the transition to a new Long Term Incentive Plan. On November 13, 2000, options to purchase 183,000 shares of Common stock at an exercise price of \$41.345 per share were granted to Mr. Heller. These options granted to Mr. Heller become exercisable on November 13, 2003. If Mr. Heller's employment terminates for any reason other than willful misconduct before January 1, 2004, then Baxter will pay Mr. Heller in cash the difference between the fair market value and the exercise price of the shares of Common Stock underlying these options.
- (5) Amounts shown in this column represent the market value of earned restricted stock which vested under Baxter's old LTI Plan on December 31, 2000. These vested shares were earned as of December 31, 1999.
- (6) Amounts shown in this column represent matching contributions in Baxter's Incentive Investment Plan, a qualified section 401(k) profit sharing plan, additional matching contributions in Baxter's deferred compensation plan and the dollar value of split-dollar life insurance benefits in 2000 and 2001 and the dollar value of term life insurance benefits in 2001 and 2002. Baxter terminated the split-dollar life insurance program in October 2001. In 2002, matching contributions in Baxter's Incentive Investment Plan, additional matching contributions in Baxter's deferred compensation plan, and the dollar value of term life insurance benefits for each of the named executive officers were as follows: Mr. Kraemer--\$6,000, \$37,330 and \$2,031; Mr. Heller--\$6,000, \$19,805 and \$990; Mr. del Salto--\$6,000, \$13,955 and \$3,284; Mr. Anderson--\$6,000, \$16,039 and \$1,328; and Mr. Glanzmann--\$6,000, \$15,008 and \$446.
- (7) Mr. Heller joined Baxter in October 2000.

28

Executive Compensation (continued)

Stock Option Grants

The following table contains information relating to the stock option grants made in 2002 to the named executive officers.

Option Grants Table
Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year

Individual Grants

	Securities Underlying	Percent of Total Options Granted to				Potential Reali Assumed Annu Stock Price A for Opti
Name	_	Employees in Fiscal Year (%)		Date	0%	5% (2)
Mr. Kraemer	375,000	3.21	30.06	11/16/2012	\$0.00	\$ 7,089,215
Mr. Heller	84,000	.72	30.06	11/16/2012	\$0.00	\$ 1,587,984
Mr. del Salto	99,000	.85	30.06	11/16/2012	\$0.00	\$ 1,871,553
Mr. Anderson	66,000	.56	30.06	11/16/2012	\$0.00	\$ 1,247,702
Mr. Glanzmann	63,000	.54	30.06	11/16/2012	\$0.00	\$ 1,190,988
All Stockholders						\$11,333,372,530(
All Optionees	11,832,472	100.00	various	various	\$0.00	\$ 223,687,825(
Optionee Gain as % of All Stockholders' Ga	f					

- (1) The exercise price shown for the named executive officers is the closing price of Baxter Common Stock on November 15, 2002, which was the trading day immediately preceding the date of the grant which was a Sunday. The options become exercisable three years from the date of grant. The exercise price of the options may be paid in cash or in shares of Baxter Common Stock. If specified corporate control changes occur, all outstanding options will become exercisable immediately.
- (2) Potential realizable values are calculated net of the option exercise price but before taxes associated with exercise. The assumed rates of stock price appreciation are set by rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission governing proxy statement disclosure and are not intended to forecast the future appreciation of Baxter Common Stock.
- (3) The potential realizable values for all stockholders were calculated on the 599,504,302 shares of Baxter Common Stock outstanding on December 31, 2002. The potential realizable values were calculated assuming the stockholders purchased Baxter Common Stock at \$30.06, the closing price on November 15, 2002.
- (4) The potential realizable values for all optionees were calculated based on the approximately 11.7 million shares subject to options that were granted to approximately 6,865 employees of Baxter at various exercise prices at different times during the year. The potential realizable values were calculated assuming that all of the options were granted at the \$30.06 exercise price.

29

Executive Compensation (continued)

Stock Option Exercises

The following table contains information relating to the exercise of stock options by the named executive officers in 2002, as well as the number and value of their unexercised options as of December 31, 2002.

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values

			Underlying Optio	Securities Unexercised ons at r End (#)(1)	In-the Optio	Unexercised e-Money ons at r End (\$)(2)
Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized (\$)	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable
Mr. Kraemer Mr. Heller Mr. del Salto Mr. Anderson	2,112 -0- -0- -0-	33,102 	1,186,034 -0- 426,566 412,398	1,650,000 431,700 366,500 357,000	3,214,436 -0- 1,155,432 1,771,493	-0- -0- -0- -0-
Mr. Glanzmann	-0-		313,666	362,500	937,650	-0-

- (1) The sum of the numbers under the Exercisable and Unexercisable columns of this table represents each named executive officer's total number of outstanding options.
- (2) The dollar amounts shown under the Exercisable and Unexercisable columns of this table represent the number of shares subject to exercisable and unexercisable options, respectively, which had an exercise price below the closing price of Baxter Common Stock on December 31, 2002, which was \$28.00, multiplied by the difference between that price and the exercise price of the options.

Pension Plan, Excess Plans and Supplemental Plans

The table on the following page shows estimated annual retirement benefits payable to participants in Baxter's United States pension plan ("Pension Plan") whose employment terminates at normal retirement (age 65). The normal retirement benefit equals (i) 1.75 percent of a participant's Final Average Pay multiplied by the employee's number of years of Pension Plan participation, minus (ii) 1.75 percent of a participant's estimated primary social security benefit, multiplied by the employee's years of Pension Plan participation. The Final Average Pay is equal to the average of a participant's five highest consecutive calendar years of earnings out of his or her last ten calendar years of earnings. In general, the earnings covered by the Pension Plan include salary, annual cash bonuses and other regular pay. The figures shown include benefits payable under the Pension Plan, Baxter's related defined benefit excess pension plan and supplemental plans for certain individuals. The estimates assume that benefit payments begin at age 65 under a single life annuity form. The figures are net of the Social Security offset specified by the Pension Plan's benefit formula and therefore do not include Social Security benefits payable from the federal government. The estimated primary Social Security benefit used in the calculations is that payable for an individual attaining age 65 in 2002.

Executive Compensation (continued)

Although age 65 is the normal retirement age under the Pension Plan, the Pension Plan has early retirement provisions based on a point system. Under the point system, each participant is awarded one point for each year of Pension Plan participation and one point for each year of age. Participants who terminate employment after accumulating at least 65 points, and who wait to begin receiving their Pension Plan benefits until they have 85 points, receive an unreduced Pension Plan benefit regardless of their actual age when they begin receiving their Pension Plan benefits.

Pension Plan Table

Estimated Annual Retirement Benefits
Years of Pension Plan Participation(1)(\$)

Final Average					
Pay(1)(2)(\$)	10	15	20	25	30
300,000	49,000	73 , 500	98,000	122,500	147,00
400,000	66 , 500	99 , 800	133,000	166,300	199 , 50
500,000	84,000	126,000	168,000	210,000	252,00
600,000	101,500	152 , 300	203,000	253 , 800	304,50
700,000	119,000	178,500	238,000	297,500	357,00
800,000	136,500	204,800	273,000	341,300	409,50
900,000	154,000	231,000	308,000	385,000	462,00
1,000,000	171,500	257,300	343,000	428,800	514,50
1,100,000	189,000	283,500	378,000	472,500	567,00
1,200,000	206,500	309,800	413,000	516,300	619,50
1,300,000	224,000	336,000	448,000	560,000	672,00
1,400,000	241,500	362,300	483,000	603,800	724,50
1,500,000	259,000	388,500	518,000	647,500	777,00
1,600,000	276,500	414,800	553,000	691,300	829,50
1,700,000	294,000	441,000	588,000	735,000	882,00
1,800,000	311,500	467,300	623,000	778,800	934,50
1,900,000	329,000	493,500	658,000	822,500	987,00
2,000,000	346,500	519 , 800	693,000	866,300	1,039,50
2,100,000	364,000	546,000	728,000	910,000	1,092,00
2,200,000	381,500	572 , 300	763,000	953 , 800	1,144,50
2,300,000	399,000	598 , 500	798,000	997,500	1,197,00
2,400,000	416,500	624,800	833,000	1,041,300	1,249,50
2,500,000	434,000	651,000	868,000	1,085,000	1,302,00

(1) As of December 31, 2002, the named executive officers' years of Pension Plan participation and Final Average Pay for purposes of calculating annual retirement benefits payable under the Pension Plan are as follows: Mr. Kraemer--19 years and \$1,621,023; Mr. Heller--1 year and \$753,462; Mr. del Salto--28 years and \$643,469; Mr. Anderson--10 years and \$651,950; and Mr. Glanzmann--1 year and \$702,603. Mr. Glanzmann began participating in the Pension Plan in July 2002. Mr. Glanzmann also participates in Baxter's International Retirement Plan. In connection with his transition to the Pension Plan, Mr. Glanzmann's years of credited service under the International Retirement Plan will be capped at 14 years as of December 31, 2003. In addition, the retirement benefit payable to Mr. Glanzmann under the International Retirement Plan will be based on his average compensation

during 2000, 2001 and 2002. Mr. Glanzmann earned his first year of service under the International Retirement Plan in 1999. For each of the five years Mr. Glanzmann participates in the International Retirement Plan through December 31, 2003, he is credited with 20 percent of his 11 years of pre-participation Baxter service. As of

31

Executive Compensation (continued)

December 31, 2002, the annual retirement benefit payable to Mr. Glanzmann after retirement under the International Retirement Plan is approximately \$368,000, based on Mr. Glanzmann's 12 years of credited service under the plan and his average compensation, excluding deferred and certain other types of compensation specified in the plan, during the last three years.

(2) In the event Mr. Heller's employment terminates for any reason other than willful misconduct before December 31, 2003, the company has committed to provide Mr. Heller with a special supplemental pension benefit under Baxter's Supplemental Pension Plan (the "Supplemental Plan") equal to the sum of (i) his accrued benefit under the Pension Plan and (ii) the excess benefit and pension make-whole benefit he would have been entitled to under the Supplemental Plan upon termination of employment had Mr. Heller's Pension Plan benefit been vested. Under this arrangement, if Mr. Heller's employment had terminated as of December 31, 2002 for any reason other than willful misconduct, he would have been entitled to an annual retirement benefit after reaching age 64 of approximately \$11,000.

32

Ownership of Baxter Stock

Stock Ownership of Directors and Officers

On February 28, 2003, there were approximately 596,996,864 shares of Baxter Common Stock outstanding. The following table sets forth information as of that date, unless otherwise specified, regarding beneficial ownership of Baxter's Common Stock by the named executive officers and all directors and nominees, each of whom owned less than one percent of the outstanding Common Stock. The table also sets forth the total number of shares of Baxter Common Stock beneficially owned by all executive officers and directors and nominees, as a group, which amounted to 1.39 percent of the outstanding Common Stock. Except as otherwise noted, each individual has sole investment and voting power with respect to the shares listed.

	Options			
	Shares Beneficially	Exercisable Within 60		
Name	Owned	Days	Total	
Non-employee Directors and Director				
Nominees:				
Walter E. Boomer	11,192	60,328(9)	71,520	
Pei-yuan Chia	13,640(1)	65,000(9)	78,640(1)	

James R. Gavin III, M.D., Ph.D.		(9)	
Joseph B. Martin, M.D., Ph.D.	100(5)	2,500(9)	2,600(5)
Thomas T. Stallkamp	7,160(4)	27,600(9)	34,760(4)
Kees J. Storm			
Monroe E. Trout, M.D.	26,770(5)	15,000(9)	41,770(5)
Fred L. Turner	35,466	17,656(9)	53,122
Named Executive Officers:			
Harry M. Jansen Kraemer, Jr.	618,732(3)(4)(8)	1,186,034	1,804,766(3)(4)(
Alan L. Heller	11,431(4)(5)(6)		11,431(4)(5)(
Carlos del Salto	167,240(6)(8)	426,566	593,806(6)(8)
Brian P. Anderson	154,595(4)(6)(8)	412,398	566,993(4)(6)(
Thomas H. Glanzmann	103,833(6)(8)	313,666	417,499(6)(8)
All directors, director nominees and			
executive officers as a group (31			
persons)	2,505,359 (1)-(7)(8)	5,766,360(9)	8,271,719 (1)-(7)

11,802

40,672(2)(3)

1,000(4)

- (1) Excludes 3,120 shares held for the benefit of Mr. Chia's adult children in various trusts, for which Mr. Chia's adult children act as trustees. Mr. Chia does not have or share voting or investment power over these shares and disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (2) Includes 2,000 shares held by a partnership of which Ms. Crown is a partner and 4,000 shares held by various trusts of which her minor children are beneficiaries. Ms. Crown disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares, except to the extent of her interest in such entities.
- (3) Includes shares not held directly by the named individual but held by or for the benefit of their spouses or minor children as follows: Ms. Crown--8,000 shares; Mr. Kraemer--340 shares; and all directors, director nominees and executive officers as a group--13,382 shares.

33

Ownership of Baxter Stock (continued)

John W. Colloton

Gail D. Fosler

Susan Crown

- (4) Includes shares held in joint tenancy with spouse over which the named individual shares voting or investment power as follows: Ms. Fosler--1,000 shares; Mr. Stallkamp--7,160 shares; Mr. Kraemer--138,052 shares; Mr. Heller--3,000 shares; Mr. Anderson--34,137 shares; and all directors, director nominees and executive officers as a group--314,452 shares.
- (5) Includes shares not held directly by the named individual but in a family trust of which the named individual is a co-trustee or trustee as follows:

 Dr. Martin--100 shares; Dr. Trout--26,770 shares and Mr. Heller--380 shares.
- (6) Includes shares which the individual has a right to acquire within 60 days of February 28, 2003 pursuant to his or her participation in Baxter's Employee Stock Purchase Plan as follows: Mr. Heller--188 shares; Mr. del Salto--174 shares; Mr. Anderson--192 shares; Mr. Glanzmann--142 shares; and all executive officers as a group--2,570 shares.
- (7) Includes shares beneficially owned as of February 28, 2003 by executive officers in Baxter's Incentive Investment Plan, a qualified 401(k) profit

140,328(9) 152,130 100,328(9) 141,000(2)(3)

12,250(4)

11,250(9)

sharing plan, over which such executive officers have voting and investment power; all executive officers as a group--28,893.

- (8) Includes shares held by individuals pursuant to the terms of the Shared Investment Plan, as follows: Mr. Kraemer--480,000 shares; Mr. del Salto--140,000 shares; Mr. Anderson--120,000 shares; and Mr. Glanzmann--100,000 shares; and all executive officers as a group--1,775,000 shares.
- (9) Does not include options to purchase 15,000 shares vesting on May 7, 2003 which were granted on May 7, 2002 under the Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan for Annual Grant to each of the current non-employee directors except Dr. Gavin. Also excludes options to purchase 2,500 shares vesting on February 25, 2004 which were granted to Dr. Gavin upon his election to the Board.

34

Ownership of Baxter Stock (continued)

Stock Ownership of Largest Stockholder

As of December 31, 2002, the following entity was the beneficial owner of more than five percent of Baxter's Common Stock:

		Percent
	Shares	of
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Beneficially Owned	Class
FMR Corp.(1)		
82 Devonshire Street		
Boston, Massachusetts 02109	44,884,574 shares	7.4%

(1) Based solely on a Schedule 13G dated February 14, 2003, which indicates that these shares are beneficially owned by FMR Corp. ("FMR") and various FMR subsidiaries and related persons and entities, including Fidelity Management and Research Company, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR and an investment adviser ("Fidelity"), Edward C. Johnson, Chairman of FMR, Abigail Johnson, a director of FMR, Fidelity Management Trust Company, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR and an investment manager of institutional accounts, and other entities. The Schedule 13G reports sole power to vote or direct the voting of 1,680,906 shares and sole power to dispose or direct the disposition of 44,884,574 shares. The Schedule 13G reports that voting power for 42,939,058 of these shares resides with the Boards of Trustees of various funds and is carried out by Fidelity under written guidelines established by the Boards of Trustees. The Schedule 13G also indicates that a total of 3,950,720 shares in the table above are included based on the assumed conversion of other securities.

Baxter is not aware of any other stockholder owning in excess of 5 percent of the outstanding Common Stock.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

John Colloton, a director, inadvertently failed to timely file a Form 4 to report a purchase of 366 shares of Baxter Common Stock made in March 2000. Mr. Colloton subsequently filed a Form 5 reporting this transaction.

35

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information relating to shares of Common Stock that may be issued under Baxter's existing equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2002. The table does not include shares that may be issued under the 2003 Incentive Compensation Program, if that program is approved by Baxter's stockholders at the 2003 Annual Meeting.

Share numbers and per share amounts have been adjusted in this proxy statement to reflect the stock dividend paid pursuant to the spin-off of Edwards Lifesciences Corporation in March 2000 and the two-for-one split of Baxter's Common Stock in May 2001.

	A	В	С
Plan Category	Number of Shares to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Shares Remai Available for Future Is Under Equity Compensa Plans (Excluding Sha Reflected in Column
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Stockholders(1)	53,964,582(2)	\$39.228	15,888,435(3)
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Stockholders(4)	15,865,674(2)(5)	\$35.748	7,134,326(6)
Total	69,830,256	\$38.437	23,022,761

- (1) Consists of the 1987, 1994, 1998, 2000 and 2001 Incentive Compensation Programs (collectively, the "Programs") and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan for United States Employees and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan for International Employees (collectively, the "Employee Stock Purchase Plans"). No additional awards may be granted under the 1987 Incentive Compensation Program.
- (2) Excludes purchase rights under the Employee Stock Purchase Plans. Under the Employee Stock Purchase Plans, eligible employees may purchase shares of Common Stock through payroll deductions of up to 12 percent of base pay. On the last trading day of each month, participating employees purchase shares at a per share price equal to the lower of (i) 85 percent of the closing price on the first day of the employee's 24-month subscription period or (ii) 85 percent of the closing price on the monthly purchase date. A participating employee may not purchase more than \$25,000 in fair market value of Common Stock under the Employee Stock Purchase Plans in any calendar year and may withdraw from the Employee Stock Purchase Plans at any time.

- (3) Includes 10,097,212 shares of Common Stock available for purchase under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan for United States Employees as of December 31, 2002.
- (4) Consists of the 2001 Global Stock Option Plan and various other plans, which are described below.
- (5) Of the 15,865,674 shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options granted under equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders, 7,382,400 are issuable upon exercise of options granted in February 2001 under the 2001 Global Stock Option Plan described below. No options were granted under the 2001 Global Stock Option Plan to any directors or executive officers.
- (6) Consists of (i) 3,634,326 shares of Common Stock available for purchase under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan for International Employees and (ii) 3,500,000 additional shares of Common Stock available under the 2001 Incentive Compensation Program. Although the Employee Stock Purchase Plan for International Employees and the 2001 Incentive Compensation Program have been approved by the company's stockholders, these additional shares have been approved by the company's Board of Directors but not by the company's stockholders.

36

Equity Compensation Plan Information (continued)

2001 Global Stock Option Plan

The 2001 Global Stock Option Plan is a broad-based plan that was adopted by Baxter's Board of Directors in February 2001 to enable Baxter to make a special one-time stock option grant to eligible non-officer employees worldwide. On February 27, 2001, Baxter granted a non-qualified option to purchase 200 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$45.515 per share to approximately 44,000 eligible employees under the 2001 Global Stock Option Plan. The exercise price of these options equals the closing price for Baxter Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the grant date. The options become exercisable on February 27, 2004, which is the third anniversary of the grant date, and expire on February 25, 2011.

Active employees on the February 27, 2001 grant date were eligible to participate in the 2001 Global Stock Option Plan, except that the following persons were excluded: Baxter's executive officers and all other participants in the company's Long Term Incentive Plan, temporary employees, people receiving severance pay, independent contractors, leased employees, employees of therapy centers of the Renal Therapy Services business, and employees of the company's contractual joint venture with Edwards Lifesciences in Japan.

If an option holder over the age of 55 leaves the company before the option becomes exercisable on the February 27, 2004 vesting date, the holder will have the right to exercise the options during the three month period following the vesting date. If the option holder dies, the option will be immediately exercisable and will expire on the first anniversary of the holder's death. Otherwise, the option terminates if the holder leaves Baxter prior to the vesting date. If an option holder leaves Baxter after the vesting date, then the option will expire three months after the holder leaves the company. In the event of a change in control of Baxter (as specified in the 2000 Incentive Compensation Program), all outstanding options will become exercisable immediately. The options are not transferable during the holder's lifetime.

Other Stock Option Plans Not Approved by Stockholders

The company has made several stock option grants outside of the Programs approved by stockholders. Although these grants were not made under the Programs, the terms and conditions of each of these grants provide that the provisions of either the 1994 Incentive Compensation Program or the 1998 Incentive Compensation Program, as the case may be, govern these stock option grants (except for the limit on shares available under these Programs). Accordingly, the terms and conditions of these grants are consistent with the terms of the Programs previously approved by stockholders. Specifically, nitarian organizations wi Industry In-depth knowledge of and expertise in the global public health field, a complementary in

Ellen M. Hancock Public Company Directorships:

Age: 68 Aetna Inc. (current)

Independent Director since Electronic Data Systems Corporation 1988 (2004-2008) Jazz Technologies, Inc.

(2005-2007)

Mrs. Hancock served as the President of Jazz Technologies, Inc. and President and Chief Operating Officer of its predecessor Acquicor Technology Inc. from August 2005 to June 2007. She was the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Exodus Communications, Inc., a public company specializing in computer network and internet systems she joined in March 1998, from June 2000 to September 2001. Mrs. Hancock is a director of the Pacific Council on International Policy and serves as Chairman of the Board of Marist College and as a trustee of Santa Clara University.

Skills and Qualifications:

Business Operations Considerable operational leadership experience in the field of information technology through service as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Exodus Communications, Inc. and an over thirty-year career at IBM and other leading technology companies.

Finance Strong knowledge of business finance and financial statements through oversight and management of operating budgets for various companies throughout her career.

Industry In-depth knowledge of Colgate s business through long service as a Colgate director.

Corporate Governance Experience serving as a director of multiple U.S. public companies in addition to Colgate.

Joseph Jimenez Public Company Directorships:

Age: 52 AstraZeneca (2002-2007) **Independent Director since 2010** Blue Nile (2000-2006)

Mr. Jimenez has been Chief Executive Officer of Novartis AG since February 2010. He joined Novartis AG in April 2007 as Head of the Consumer Health Division and became Head of the Pharmaceuticals Division in October 2007. Prior to joining Novartis AG, Mr. Jimenez served as an advisor for The Blackstone Group, a private equity firm, from 2006 to 2007. In 1998, Mr. Jimenez joined H.J. Heinz Company (Heinz) as President and Chief Executive Officer of Heinz s North America business and, in 2002, became President and Chief Executive Officer of Heinz s European business, a position he held until 2006. He began his career in brand management at The Clorox Company (Clorox) and later served as the president of two operating divisions at ConAgra Grocery Products (ConAgra).

Skills and Qualifications:

Business Operations Significant operational leadership experience through service as Chief Executive Officer of Novartis AG and as president of various operating divisions at ConAgra and Heinz.

Industry In-depth knowledge of fast-moving consumer goods industry through experience at Clorox, ConAgra and Heinz and of the pharmaceutical industry, a complementary industry, through experience at Novartis AG.

Finance Strong knowledge of business finance and financial statements through oversight of operating budgets and

financial statements at Novartis AG.

International Experience managing international operations at Novartis AG and Heinz and living and working in Europe.

Corporate Governance Experience serving as a director of multiple U.S. public companies in addition to Colgate.

12

Richard J. Kogan Public Company Directorships:

Age: 70 The Bank of New York Mellon (current)

Independent Director since 1996

Mr. Kogan has been a principal of the KOGAN Group LLC, which provides consulting services to senior management at companies in the pharmaceutical and other industries, since 2004. Previously, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Schering-Plough Corporation (Schering-Plough) from 1996 to 2003 and as President and Chief Operating Officer of Schering-Plough from 1986 to 1996. He was also Chairman of Schering-Plough from 1998 until 2002. Mr. Kogan serves as Chairman of the St. Barnabas Medical Center, Vice-Chairman of St. Barnabas Corporation, a trustee of New York University and an Overseer and Member of the Executive Committee of the Stern Business School. He is also a member of the Council on Foreign Relations.

Skills and Oualifications:

Business Operations Significant operational leadership experience through service as President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer of Schering-Plough.

Finance Strong knowledge of business finance and financial statements through oversight of operating budgets and financial statements at Schering-Plough.

Industry In-depth knowledge of the pharmaceutical industry, a complementary industry, through experience at Schering-Plough and of Colgate s business through long service as a Colgate director.

Corporate Governance Experience serving as a director of a U.S. public company in addition to Colgate.

Delano E. Lewis
Age: 73
Eastman Kodak Company (current)
Independent Director from 1991 to
1999 and since 2001

Mr. Lewis served as Interim Dean of International and Border Programs at New Mexico State University from July 2010 to June 2011. From 2006 until July 2010, Mr. Lewis was a Senior Fellow at New Mexico State University. He was the U.S. Ambassador to South Africa from December 1999 to July 2001, and previously served on the Peace Corps staff in Africa and on the staff of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the United States Department of Justice. From 1994 to 1998, Mr. Lewis served as the Chief Executive Officer and President of National Public Radio and, from 1988 through 1993, Mr. Lewis was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Company.

Skills and Qualifications:

Public Service Extensive international diplomatic and public service experience through service as U.S. Ambassador to South Africa and on the staff of several government agencies.

International Experience living and working in Africa, both in a diplomatic capacity and for the Peace Corps.

Industry In-depth knowledge of Colgate s business through long service as a director.

Corporate Governance Experience serving as a director of a U.S. public company in addition to Colgate.

J. Pedro Reinhard
Age: 66
Royal Bank of Canada (current)
Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (current)
The Dow Chemical Company (1995-2007)

Mr. Reinhard has served as President of Reinhard & Associates, a financial advisory practice, since 2006. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Dow Chemical Company (Dow) from 1995 to 2005. Previously, Mr. Reinhard held a variety of senior international, financial and operating leadership positions at Dow after beginning his career there in 1970 in Brazil, including serving as Finance Director of Dow Europe, Vice President of

Dow Europe and Managing Director of Dow in Italy.

Skills and Oualifications:

Finance Strong knowledge of business finance and financial statements through service as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of and holding various senior financial positions at Dow.

International Experience managing Dow s international operations and living and working in a number of foreign countries, including Italy and Brazil. Native of Brazil and native speaker of Portuguese.

Corporate Governance Experience serving as a director of multiple U.S. public companies in addition to Colgate.

Stephen I. SadovePublic Company Directorships:Age: 60Saks Incorporated (current)Independent Director since 2007Ruby Tuesday, Inc. (current)

Mr. Sadove has served as Chief Executive Officer of Saks Incorporated (Saks) since January 2006 and was named Chairman in May 2007. He joined Saks as Vice Chairman in January 2002 and served as Chief Operating Officer from 2004 to 2006. Previously, Mr. Sadove worked for Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (Bristol-Myers), which he joined in 1991 as President of Clairol in the United States. He later gained additional responsibility for the consumer businesses in Canada, Europe, the Middle East, Africa and Latin America. In 1996, he was named President of Bristol-Myers s worldwide beauty care business and was later named a senior vice president with additional responsibility for Mead Johnson Nutritionals. He began his career at General Foods USA, where he spent over seventeen years in various management roles including Executive Vice President and General Manager of the Desserts Division.

Skills and Qualifications:

Business Operations Significant operational leadership through service as Chief Executive Officer of Saks and as head of various operating divisions at Bristol-Myers and General Foods USA.

Industry In-depth knowledge of fast-moving consumer goods industry through his experience at Bristol-Myers and General Foods USA.

Finance Strong knowledge of business finance and financial statements through oversight of operating budgets at various companies and financial statements at Saks.

International Experience managing Bristol-Myers s international operations.

Corporate Governance Experience serving as a director of multiple U.S. public companies in addition to Colgate.

Director Independence

As described above, the Board has adopted director independence standards which are stricter than those required by the listing standards of the NYSE. Specifically, a director is not considered independent if the director has any relationship with Colgate or its senior management or with another director that in the Board s judgment may impair the director s ability to make independent judgments. Such relationships could include voting arrangements and personal, economic or professional ties between a director and an officer of

Colgate or another Colgate director. Relationships and transactions that may impair independence include: (i) current or former employment with the Company; (ii) affiliation with Colgate s advisors; (iii) compensation from the Company (other than director fees); (iv) direct or indirect material business relationships with the Company; (v) loans between directors and the Company or its senior management; (vi) direct or indirect material investments with the Company, its officers or other directors; (vii) leadership roles in charitable organizations that receive significant support from Colgate; (viii) affiliation or employment with a present or former Colgate auditor; and (ix) service on interlocking boards of directors or compensation committees. A copy of the complete standards is available on the Company s website at www.colgatepalmolive.com.

In making its determination regarding the independence of each non-employee director, the Board considers any transactions, relationships or arrangements as required by the Company s director independence standards. Based on these standards, the Board has determined that each director, other than Mr. Cook, who is the Company s Chairman of the Board, President and CEO, is independent as there were no transactions, relationships or arrangements of the types described in the Company s director independence standards.

Board Leadership Structure

The Governance Committee regularly reviews Board leadership trends and has determined that, at this time, combining the positions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is best for Colgate, as discussed in greater detail below. Currently, therefore, the offices of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer are held by the same person, Ian Cook. Colgate s Board has adapted its approach over time and, during the transition of the position of Chief Executive Officer from Reuben Mark to Ian Cook during the second half of 2007 and 2008, Mr. Mark served as Chairman of the Board while Mr. Cook served as Chief Executive Officer. Colgate has a small Board that works very effectively together and nine of Colgate s ten directors are independent. In addition, the Board s committees are composed solely of, and chaired by, independent directors. The independent directors meet at each regularly scheduled Board meeting in separate executive sessions without Mr. Cook present, which are chaired by an independent lead director.

Colgate has long been committed to having an independent lead director, having established the position of Presiding Director in 2003 and expanded the role in 2006. Renamed in early 2012 in connection with a review of external practice, the role of the Lead Director is to: (i) preside at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present (including the executive sessions); (ii) establish agendas for the executive sessions in consultation with the other directors; (iii) review proposed Board meeting agendas; (iv) serve as liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman (although all independent directors are encouraged to communicate freely with the Chairman); (v) review, at his or her discretion, the information to be sent to the Board; (vi) review meeting schedules to help ensure there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items; (vii) call meetings of the independent directors, as appropriate; (viii) be available (as deemed appropriate by the Board) for consultation and direct communication with shareholders; and (ix) perform such other duties as the Board may specify from time to time. The Lead Director is selected annually by and from the independent directors. The position of Lead Director is rotated among the independent directors each year in accordance with a schedule established by the independent directors based on the recommendation of the Governance Committee.

Colgate s small, independent Board, with its proactive Lead Director and independent committee chairs, ensures that the Board, and not the Chairman alone, determines the Board s focus. The Chairman is guided by these strong independent leaders and having the Chief Executive Officer serve as the Chairman creates a bridge to management that helps provide the Board with the management support it needs. Based on these considerations, the Governance Committee determined that combining the positions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is best for Colgate at this time.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

Colgate has established a systematic and thorough risk management process. The responsibility for the day-to-day management of risk lies with Colgate s management, while the Board is responsible for overseeing the risk management process to ensure that it is properly designed, well-functioning and consistent with Colgate s overall corporate strategy. Each year Colgate s management identifies what it believes are the top

individual risks facing Colgate. These risks are then discussed with, and approved as top risk areas by, the Board. The Board has assigned its responsibilities for overall risk oversight to the Audit Committee, though all Board members attend Audit Committee meetings and participate in risk management discussions. The Audit Committee therefore is responsible for overseeing the enterprise risk management process, while the full Board or appropriate committee thereof oversees the top individual risk areas identified by management and approved as top risk areas by the Board. Colgate s chief risk officer (the Chief Financial Officer) and other members of senior management responsible for the day-to-day management of the individual risk areas present directly to the Board and its committees regularly. In addition, the P&O Committee oversees an annual risk assessment of the Company s compensation policies and practices, which is conducted by the Company s Global Human Resources executives and its Chief Financial Officer and reviewed by the Board s independent compensation consultant and focuses primarily on the design of the incentive compensation programs and the degree to which such programs appropriately balance enterprise risk and compensation.

Communications to the Board of Directors

Stockholders and other interested parties are encouraged to communicate directly with the Company s independent directors by sending an e-mail to directors@colpal.com or by writing to Directors, c/o Office of the Chief Legal Officer, Colgate-Palmolive Company, 300 Park Avenue, 11th Floor, New York, NY 10022-7499. Stockholders and other interested parties may also communicate with individual independent directors and committee chairs by writing to them at the above mailing address, in care of the Office of the Chief Legal Officer. Such communications are handled in accordance with the procedures described on the Company s website, www.colgatepalmolive.com.

Significant concerns and questions relating to accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters are promptly brought to the attention of the Audit Committee chair and handled in accordance with the procedures established by the Audit Committee. Under these procedures, the Company s Global Ethics and Compliance function, in conjunction with the Company s Internal Audit and Corporate Legal departments, addresses these concerns in accordance with the directions of the Audit Committee chair. The Audit Committee chair approves recommendations regarding the handling of each matter, oversees any investigations and approves the disposition of each matter. The Audit Committee chair may, in his or her discretion, engage outside counsel and other independent advisors. The Audit Committee receives quarterly updates regarding other concerns or questions relating to accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters.

Concerns relating to accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters may also be reported to the Global Ethics and Compliance function by telephone, facsimile and e-mail as follows: 24-hour EthicsLine: (800) 778-6080 (toll free from United States, Canada and Puerto Rico) or (212) 310-2330 (collect from all other locations); facsimile number: (212) 310-3745; and e-mail: ethics@colpal.com.

Colgate policy prohibits the Company from retaliating against any individual who provides information to the directors. Concerns may be submitted to the directors on an anonymous basis through their postal address or through the 24-hour EthicsLine numbers maintained by the Global Ethics and Compliance function. If requested, Colgate will keep information submitted confidential, subject to the need to conduct an effective investigation and take appropriate action.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings

It is the Company s policy that all members of the Board should attend the Company s Annual Meeting of Stockholders, unless extraordinary circumstances prevent a director s attendance. All but one of the directors who were elected to the Board at the 2011 Annual Meeting were in attendance.

Other Information Regarding Directors

On September 9, 2003, the SEC and Schering-Plough Corporation announced a settlement of the SEC enforcement proceeding against Mr. Kogan and Schering-Plough Corporation, of which Mr. Kogan is the former Chairman and CEO, regarding meetings held with investors and other communications. Without

admitting or denying any allegations of the SEC, Mr. Kogan agreed in connection with the settlement not to commit any future violations of Regulation FD and related securities laws.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board has four standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Finance Committee, the Governance Committee and the P&O Committee. The members and a summary of the responsibilities of these committees are set forth below. The committee charters are available on the Company s website at www.colgatepalmolive.com.

Committee Membership (* indicates Chair)

		Nominating and Corporate	
Audit Committee	Finance Committee	Governance Committee	Personnel and Organization Committee
John T. Cahill*	Ellen M. Hancock*	Helene D. Gayle	John T. Cahill
Ellen M. Hancock	Joseph Jimenez	Ellen M. Hancock	Helene D. Gayle
Richard J. Kogan	Richard J. Kogan	Joseph Jimenez	Richard J. Kogan*
Stephen I. Sadove	Delano E. Lewis	Delano E. Lewis*	Delano E. Lewis
	J. Pedro Reinhard		J. Pedro Reinhard
			Stephen I. Sadove

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight of management s fulfillment of its financial reporting and disclosure responsibilities and its maintenance of an appropriate internal control system. It also appoints the Company s independent registered public accounting firm and oversees the activities of the Company s Internal Audit function and the Global Ethics and Compliance function. In addition, as discussed above, the Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight of the Company s overall risk management process. The Board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are independent, as required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), the listing standards of the NYSE and Colgate s own, stricter director independence standards.

The Audit Committee met eight times during 2011, including to review and participate in discussions regarding each quarterly earnings press release prior to its announcement. The Audit Committee also met separately on four occasions with the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, head of Internal Audit, head of Global Ethics and Compliance and other members of management.

Finance Committee

The Finance Committee oversees the financial policies and practices of the Company, reviews the budgets of the Company and makes recommendations to the Board on financial and strategic matters. It also oversees the Company s finance, treasury and related functions. The Finance Committee met six times during 2011. All members of the Finance Committee are independent directors.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Governance Committee recommends nominees for the Board and develops and implements formal Board self-evaluation procedures. It also makes recommendations to the Board regarding Board and committee structure, corporate governance and director compensation. The Governance Committee met five times during 2011. All members of the Governance Committee are independent directors.

In making recommendations to the Board regarding director compensation, the Governance Committee annually reviews information provided by the Global Human Resources function regarding emerging best practices in director compensation and comparison data regarding peer company practices, both in the industry peer group used in the cumulative total shareholder return graphs on page 22 and in the

compensation comparison group discussed on page 27. The Global Human Resources function purchases such comparison data from Towers Watson. In 2011 the Company s Global Human Resources function also engaged Compensation Advisory Partners, an independent consultant, to review the Company s director compensation program. Based on these reviews, the Governance Committee determines whether to recommend to the Board any changes in the director compensation program. During 2011, the Governance Committee recommended and the Board approved shortening the term of stock options issued to directors from ten years to six years. The director compensation program is described on page 55. The Governance Committee does not delegate any of its authority in making director compensation recommendations.

The Board has adopted the Independent Board Candidate Qualifications, a written statement of the criteria for Board membership that is used by the Governance Committee in evaluating individual director candidates. This statement outlines the qualities required for Board membership, including experience in the fields of business, education and public service, international experience, educational achievement, strong moral and ethical character and diversity. A copy of the Independent Board Candidate Qualifications is available on the Company s website at www.colgatepalmolive.com. Since the Company operates in over 200 countries around the world, the Governance Committee believes that members of the Board must as a group, whether as a result of the business or other experiences of the individual Board members, be able to understand the Company s business from a global perspective. As evidenced by the selection criteria identified in the Independent Board Candidate Qualifications, which emphasize the value, among other things, of international perspectives, different points of view and diversity in the traditional sense, the Company has a policy of promoting diversity on its Board. The Governance Committee implements this policy through its director recruitment efforts and assesses the effectiveness of the policy regularly through Board and committee self-evaluations.

Personnel and Organization Committee

The P&O Committee is appointed by the Board to act on its behalf with respect to overseeing the personnel and organizational matters of the Company, including the compensation of the Company s executives. All members of the P&O Committee are independent directors. The P&O Committee met five times during 2011.

The P&O Committee devotes substantial time each year to executive compensation matters. It recommends and approves, with the participation and concurrence of the other independent directors of the Board, the compensation of the CEO. The CEO plays no role in setting his own compensation. The P&O Committee also reviews and approves the compensation recommended by the Global Human Resources function of the Company and the CEO for the other executive officers of the Company in accordance with the compensation programs described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement. In reviewing and approving compensation for executive officers, the P&O Committee uses tally sheets that summarize all material components of compensation. The P&O Committee does not delegate any of its responsibilities regarding the consideration and determination of executive compensation.

The P&O Committee periodically retains the services of outside compensation consultants to provide it with objective, third party advice on the appropriateness of the Company s compensation of the CEO and other senior executives. In 2009, the P&O Committee adopted a written policy regarding its selection and use of outside compensation consultants, a copy of which is available on the Company s website at www.colgatepalmolive.com. The policy contains the following key principles:

The P&O Committee selects all outside compensation

consultants
that provide
advice to it,
and directly
retains such
consultants,
who report to
and are solely
responsible to
the

Committee.

Such consultants may not provide any other services to the Company unless these are expressly approved by the P&O Committee in advance. The P&O Committee will approve such other services only if it concludes that providing them will not impair the ability of the consultant to provide

objective and independent advice to the Committee.

Since August 2008, the P&O Committee has retained Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (F.W. Cook & Co.) to advise it with respect to the CEO $\,$ s compensation and such other matters as the P&O Committee may

direct. F.W. Cook & Co. does not provide any other services to the Company. F.W. Cook & Co. works directly with the P&O Committee and its Chair and meets with the Committee in executive session.

During 2011 and early 2012, the Global Human Resources function of the Company purchased executive compensation survey data from Mercer Human Resources Consulting, Hewitt Associates and Towers Watson and used Aon Hewitt to provide change of control and similar calculations for this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2011, the following directors were members of the P&O Committee: Dr. Gayle and Messrs. Cahill, Johnson, Kogan, Lewis, Reinhard and Sadove. None of the members of the P&O Committee has been an officer of the Company and none were employees of the Company during 2011, and none had any relationship with the Company or any of its subsidiaries during 2011 that would be required to be disclosed as a transaction with a related person. None of the executive officers of the Company has served on the board of directors or compensation committee of another company at any time during which an executive officer of such other company served on the Company s Board or the P&O Committee.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Report

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommends nominees for the Board of Directors, among other responsibilities. A copy of the charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which describes this and other responsibilities of the committee, is available on the Company s website at www.colgatepalmolive.com. The Board has determined that each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is independent, as independence for nominating committee members is defined in the NYSE listing standards and in Colgate s own, stricter director independence standards.

The Board selects new director candidates based on the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies, screens and recruits potential candidates for membership on the Board of Directors, taking into account the needs of the Company and the Board at the time. The Company has engaged an international executive search firm to assist the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in identifying and evaluating potential director nominees.

On the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Board has adopted the Independent Board Candidate Qualifications, a written statement of the criteria for Board membership that is used by the committee in evaluating individual director candidates. This statement outlines the qualities needed for Board membership, including experience in the fields of business, education and public service, international experience, educational achievement, strong moral and ethical character and diversity. In addition, prospective directors must satisfy the Company s director independence standards and be willing and able to devote sufficient time to discharge their duties. A copy of the Independent Board Candidate Qualifications is available on the Company s website at www.colgatepalmolive.com.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director candidates recommended by stockholders and others if such candidates meet Colgate s criteria for Board membership, evaluating them in the same manner in which the committee evaluates other candidates. Such recommendations should be made in writing to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or the Company s Secretary and should include a description of the qualifications of the proposed candidate. Any stockholder of the Company may also nominate a director in accordance with the information and timely notice requirements of the Company s by-laws relating to stockholder nominations as described in Other Information Nominations for Director. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has approved ten director nominees for election at the 2012 Annual Meeting, nine of whom are standing for reelection. Mr. Arora, who joined the Board in March 2012, was recommended by a third party international executive search firm.

The foregoing report has been submitted by the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee: Delano E. Lewis (Chair), Helene D. Gayle, Ellen M. Hancock and Joseph Jimenez.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee is composed of four independent directors. The Board of Directors has determined that it would be desirable for all Audit Committee members to be audit committee financial experts as that term is defined by the SEC. The Board has conducted an inquiry into the qualifications and experience of each member of the Audit Committee, and has determined that they each meet the SEC s criteria for audit committee financial experts.

The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of the Company's financial statements and reporting processes, including the Company's internal control over financial reporting and the Company's Internal Audit function. The committee also oversees the Company's Global Ethics and Compliance function and the Company's enterprise risk management process. A copy of the charter of the Audit Committee, which describes these and other responsibilities of the committee, is available on the Company's website at www.colgatepalmolive.com. Management has the direct and primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting processes, including establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing the annual financial statements prepared by management and expressing an opinion as to whether those financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company and its subsidiaries and the results of their operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The independent registered public accounting firm is also responsible for auditing the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to audit the Company s financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. The Audit Committee met eight times in 2011.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm together and separately. These discussions and reviews included the reasonableness of significant judgments, significant accounting policies (including critical accounting policies), the auditors assessment of the quality, not just acceptability, of the Company s accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the Audit Committee under the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (the PCAOB). In addition, the Audit Committee has received the written disclosures of the independent registered public accounting firm as required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB, and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm, and received a letter from them confirming, their independent registered public accounting firm together and separately to discuss matters related to the design and operating effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Based upon the review and discussions described in this report, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be accepted and included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC.

The foregoing report has been submitted by the members of the Audit Committee: John T. Cahill (Chair), Ellen M. Hancock, Richard J. Kogan and Stephen I. Sadove.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) discusses the compensation paid to the executive officers listed in the Summary Compensation Table of this Proxy Statement (the Named Officers), namely Ian Cook (Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer), Dennis J. Hickey (Chief Financial Officer), Michael J. Tangney (retired Vice Chairman), Fabian T. Garcia (Chief Operating Officer, Global Innovation and Growth, Europe & Hill s Pet Nutrition) and Franck J. Moison (Chief Operating Officer, Emerging Markets & South Pacific). The key principles underlying the Company s compensation philosophy are pay for performance, driving strong business results, focusing on long-term shareholder return and attracting, motivating and retaining strong talent. In 2011 70-90% of total compensation paid to the Named Officers was performance-based. Annual and long-term incentive award payments vary based on the Company s business performance and equity compensation awards also vary based on the performance of the Company s common stock. This direct link between incentive payouts and achievement of business goals has helped drive the Company s strong and consistent performance year after year, as shown by the following charts, which demonstrate that cumulative total shareholder returns on the Company s common stock have exceeded the S&P 500 Index for each of the twenty-year, ten-year, five-year and one-year periods ended December 31, 2011 and have exceeded the cumulative total shareholder returns of a group of peer companies composed of Avon Products, Inc., The Clorox Company, Kimberly-Clark Corporation, The Procter & Gamble Company and Unilever (N.V. and plc) (the Industry Peer Group) for all but one of these periods.

The Company believes that awards to executive officers should vary based on the degree to which performance objectives are achieved. The following charts demonstrate the strong link between compensation and the Company s business performance, illustrating the growth in the Company s as adjusted non-GAAP earnings per share (a non-GAAP financial measure), a key performance measure in the Company s compensation program, and the total direct compensation of Colgate s CEO over the past three years.

Please see Annex A to the **Proxy Statement** for a reconciliation of as adjusted non-GAAP earnings per share to earnings per share calculated in accordance with GAAP and page 24 for an explanation regarding the components of total direct compensation.

Pay for Performance Relative to Peers

On an annual basis, the Global Human Resources function of the Company prepares for the Personnel and Organization Committee of the Board (the P&O Committee) a detailed analysis of the relationship between pay and performance for the Named Officers. The analysis includes a three-year historical review of the relationship between the compensation paid to the Named Officers and Company performance relative to both the Industry Peer Group and the compensation comparison group used in those years. The P&O Committee s independent compensation consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (F.W. Cook & Co.), also reviews with the committee on an annual basis its analysis of the linkage between pay and performance, particularly with regard to CEO compensation, and may conduct additional pay-for-performance analyses throughout the year at the P&O Committee s request. These reviews show a very strong link between Company pay and Company performance in terms of various key operating measures and total shareholder return. For example, during the three-year period from 2009-2011, the Company s average total shareholder return, earnings per share growth, net sales growth and return on sales were between the 44th and 88th percentiles versus the Company s compensation comparison group and total direct compensation of the Company s CEO in 2011 was at the 36th percentile of the compensation comparison group.

2011 Pay for Performance Overview

The P&O Committee selected the following performance measures to assess the performance of the Named Officers in 2011 and in the three-year performance period ending in 2011:

For annual incentive awards (paid in the form of cash bonuses): growth in earnings per share over the prior year and volume growth relative to the weighted average volume growth (by revenue) of the Industry Peer Group;

For long-term incentive awards (paid in the form of performance-based restricted stock): compound annual growth in net sales and earnings per share, each measured over the three-year performance period from 2009-2011 against targets

established at the beginning of each three-year performance period; and

For a supplemental long-term incentive award (also paid in the form of performance-based restricted stock): total shareholder return relative to the Industry Peer Group measured over the three-year performance period from 2009-2011.

The earnings-per-share measure (used for both annual and long-term incentive awards) was selected to ensure a strong focus on the Company s overall profit goal and its underlying drivers of sales, cost control and financial efficiency. The net sales measure (used for long-term incentive awards) and the volume measure (used for annual incentive awards) together reflect the underlying momentum of the Company s business and its ability to generate cash to reinvest in business-building activities and return value to shareholders. Because the volume growth measure is relative to the Industry Peer Group, it also adds a relative performance measure. The Named Officers were eligible for a supplemental long-term incentive award if the Company s total shareholder return during the 2009-2011 performance period was one of the top two when compared with the total shareholder return of the Industry Peer Group, which adds an additional relative performance measure.

Annual Incentive Awards. Based on achieving Base Business Earnings Per Share (as defined below) of \$5.03 and the relative volume growth measure in 2011, annual bonuses were 99.3% of salary for the Named Officers other than the CEO and 212.9% of salary for the CEO (reflecting the Board's desire for the CEO s incentive compensation to represent a larger portion of his compensation mix). These awards were paid at approximately the 29th to the 56th percentile of annual bonuses for similar jobs in the Comparison Group, based on the most recent data available to the Company.

Long-Term Incentive Awards. Actual compounded annual growth in net sales and Base Business Earnings Per Share for the 2009-2011 performance cycle were 3.0% and 9.1%, respectively. Based on this performance, restricted stock awards to the Named Officers for the 2009-2011 performance cycle were 73.4% of their individual assigned award opportunities. This percentage payout represents a decrease of 48.2% versus the percentage payout of 141.8% for the 2008-2010 cycle, during which growth in net sales and earnings per share were 4.1% and 12.9%, respectively. For both cycles, compounded annual growth in net sales and Base Business Earnings Per Share of 6% and 11%, respectively, were required to achieve a payout

at 100% of the assigned award opportunity. These targets were set at the beginning of each three-year performance cycle and were not revised to reflect the Company s 2011 strategy to invest in the business to strengthen volume and market share while achieving lower earnings-per-share growth than in prior years.

The Company s total shareholder return of 45.7% for the 2009-2011 performance cycle did not qualify the Named Officers for the supplemental award described above because it was not one of the two highest in the Industry Peer Group. The Company s total shareholder return was the highest in the Industry Peer Group for the 2008-2010 performance cycle so the Named Officers did receive the supplemental award for that period.

This variability in payout percentages reflects the Company sphilosophy that awards to executives should vary based on the degree to which performance objectives are met or exceeded. For the 2009-2011 performance cycle, while compounded annual growth in net sales and Base Business Earnings Per Share was significant, the compounded annual growth rate was 26.8% less in the case of net sales and 29.5% less in the case of Base Business Earnings Per Share than the 2008-2010 performance period. The degree of achievement against performance goals for the 2009-2011 performance cycle contributed significantly to a reduction in the CEO s total direct compensation in 2011 versus 2010, from \$13,342,212 in 2010 to \$10,617,717 in 2011. For purposes of this CD&A, Mr. Cook s total direct compensation includes for each year Mr. Cook s year-end salary, the amounts shown in the Summary Compensation Table in the columns Option Awards, Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation and All Other Compensation and the restricted stock award for the three-year performance cycle ending in that year, consistent with the way the P&O Committee analyzes Mr. Cook s compensation.

The long-term incentive awards are made in the form of restricted stock units, which are subject to additional time vesting. The combination of an additional three-year vesting period with the original three-year performance period underscores the Company s focus on long-term results and commitment to pay for performance.

In 2011, the P&O Committee also approved annual stock option awards for the Named Officers. These awards were either at or within 18% of the guideline award levels, which are established annually based on a review of market data, historical stock price performance, expected award values and share utilization.

Additional Compensation Program Highlights

As highlighted below and described in greater detail throughout the CD&A, we believe Colgate s executive compensation program is reasonable, is competitive with its peers, aligns the interests of its executives with its stockholders and does not encourage excessive risk-taking.

Stockholder Support for Executive Compensation Programs. The Company s proposal regarding an advisory vote to approve executive compensation at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders received a vote of 94.6% in favor of the proposal, evidencing strong support of the Company s executive compensation programs.

Non-Performance-Based Compensation Elements are Reasonable. Executive perquisites are modest and represent less than 1% of the total compensation for each Named Officer reflected in the Summary Compensation Table.

No Tax Gross-Ups on Perquisites. Any personal income taxes due as a result of perquisites provided to executives are the responsibility of the executives.

No Single Trigger Severance Payments under the Company s Severance Plan. Severance payments under the Company s severance plan are payable only if an executive s employment is terminated (actually or constructively) following a change in control. In addition, the plan does not provide for tax gross-ups.

No Executive Employment Agreements. The Company does not have employment agreements with its Named Officers, meaning they are not entitled to minimum base salaries, guaranteed bonuses or guaranteed levels of equity or

other incentives.

Compensation Programs Are Competitive with Peers. Colgate regularly benchmarks its compensation programs and designs the programs to compensate employees at the median level, with above median payouts for exceptional performance.

Incentives Do Not Encourage Excessive Risk-Taking. The Company s incentive programs do not contain features that may encourage excessive risk-taking, such as multi-year guaranteed bonuses, high pay

24

opportunities relative to peer companies or mega annual equity grants. In addition, the Company utilizes multiple performance metrics for long-term incentives.

Stock Ownership Guidelines and Hedging Policy Align Executives and Stockholders. The Board has established minimum stock ownership guidelines for members of senior management. Increased during the first quarter of 2012, these guidelines now require the CEO to own Colgate stock equal in value to eight times his annual salary, and require the other Named Officers to hold Colgate stock in amounts equal to four times their annual salaries. In addition, Colgate s hedging policy prohibits the Named Officers from engaging in transactions that hedge against declines in the value of Colgate s stock, strengthening the alignment between stockholders and executives. All of the Named Officers are in compliance with these policies.

No Backdating or Repricing of Stock Options. The Company makes annual equity awards at the same predetermined times each year. Equity awards, including stock options, are never backdated or issued with below-market exercise prices. Repricing of stock options without stockholder approval is expressly prohibited.

Clawback Policy Adopted. In the first quarter of 2012, the Board adopted a clawback policy that would permit recoupment of incentive awards made to executive officers if the financial results on which such awards were based were subsequently restated and the executive officer s intentional misconduct contributed to the restatement.

Compensation Philosophy

Colgate believes that people are the most important driver of its business success and, accordingly, views compensation as an important tool to motivate leaders at all levels of the organization. Outlined below are the principles underlying Colgate s executive compensation programs and examples of specific program features used to implement those principles:

Deliver pay for performance:	Payouts vary based upon the degree to which performance measures are achieved.
	Multiple performance measures are used to ensure a focus on overall Company performance.
	Colgate does not guarantee minimum base salaries, bonuses or levels of equity or other incentives for its Named Officers, through employment agreements or otherwise.
Drive strong business results:	Selecting performance measures, such as growth in net sales, earnings per share and volume, that are key metrics for investors fosters profitable growth and increases shareholder value.
	Using performance measures tied to Colgate s operating goals, the achievement of which the Named Officers have the ability to influence, motivates the Named Officers to achieve strong business results.
Focus on long-term	Colgate s performance-based restricted stock award program has a three-year performance

shareholder return:

nce period followed by an additional three-year vesting period, driving a focus on long-term results.

A significant portion of the Named Officers total compensation is paid in equity (45-65% in 2011), aligning the interests of the Named Officers with those of stockholders.

Stock ownership guidelines require significant levels of stock ownership, further strengthening the focus on long-term shareholder return.

Colgate provides a supplemental performance-based restricted stock award to the Named Officers if Colgate s three-year total shareholder return is one of the top two when

compared to the Industry Peer Group, directly tying a portion of the Named Officers compensation to relative shareholder return.

25

Attract, motivate and retain strong talent:

Colgate regularly benchmarks its compensation programs and designs the programs to compensate executives at the median level, with above median payouts for exceptional performance.

Individual performance influences salary increases, motivating the Named Officers to perform at the highest levels.

Colgate rewards employees for strong performance, including through supplemental awards based on total shareholder return and volume growth when Colgate outperforms its peers.

The P&O Committee devotes substantial time and attention throughout each year to executive compensation matters to ensure that compensation is aligned with the Company s performance and the best interests of stockholders. As discussed in more detail below, the P&O Committee s well-balanced and disciplined approach includes regular reviews with its independent compensation consultant (as described below) and careful benchmarking to ensure that Colgate s overall compensation program is effective in attracting, retaining and motivating high quality talent and that compensation is supported by underlying performance and is reasonable relative to the Company s peers. In reviewing and approving compensation for the Named Officers, the P&O Committee considers all material components of compensation as well as comprehensive reports that provide background on market practices. The purpose of these materials is to bring together all of the elements of actual and potential future compensation of the Named Officers, so that the P&O Committee may review individual elements of compensation, including compensation mix, as well as the aggregate amount of total compensation.

In designing its compensation programs, the Company seeks to preserve tax deductibility, including under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Internal Revenue Code), to the extent consistent with the primary objectives of the compensation program outlined above. Of the incentive compensation components currently awarded by the Company, the annual incentive, stock option and performance-based restricted stock programs are deductible under Section 162(m).

This CD&A discusses the compensation paid to the Named Officers. The compensation programs described, however, apply more broadly to other officers and management personnel at the Company, with changes as appropriate to reflect different levels and job responsibilities. The Company believes that this approach helps to align Colgate people into one global team sharply focused on the Company s performance objectives and key strategic initiatives.

Role of Independent Compensation Consultant

In addition to the annual review of the pay-for-performance analysis prepared by the Company s Global Human Resources function discussed above, the P&O Committee regularly reviews the Company s executive compensation programs with the P&O Committee s independent compensation consultant, F.W. Cook & Co., which was retained beginning in August 2008 to advise the P&O Committee with respect to CEO compensation and other executive compensation matters. As a first step in supporting the P&O Committee, F.W. Cook & Co. conducted an introductory review of Colgate s executive compensation programs and advised the P&O Committee that it found the programs to be structurally sound, fair and balanced in comparison to similarly situated companies and to effectively support Colgate s business strategy and executive compensation philosophy as described herein. Since conducting this initial review, F.W. Cook & Co. has been actively involved in advising the P&O Committee on the setting of target compensation levels, the design of the Company s variable incentive plans, the setting of applicable performance goals and ensuring that there is a strong positive relationship between earned compensation and performance, as measured by operating results and changes in shareholder value.

CEO Compensation Review

In 2011, the P&O Committee worked with F.W. Cook & Co. to determine the appropriate level of direct compensation for Mr. Cook, based on his individual and overall Company performance. Based on this review, which also took into account Colgate s compensation philosophy, competitive data from the Comparison Group (described below) and market trends, the P&O Committee determined to increase modestly Mr. Cook s salary, which had not increased since 2008, but not to make any changes to Mr. Cook s annual or long-term incentive targets. Because Mr. Cook did not receive salary increases in 2009 or 2010, the competitiveness of

his salary had decreased over time though the Company, under Mr. Cook s leadership, continued to achieve strong financial and business performance. As a result, the P&O Committee determined that a salary increase was warranted. Based upon the Company s strong performance under Mr. Cook s leadership, the P&O Committee concluded that the annual and long-term incentive targets, which remained consistent with the Committee s targeted competitive positioning, continued to be appropriate to hold Mr. Cook accountable for the successful operation of the Company in 2011.

Accordingly, as in 2008, 2009 and 2010, Mr. Cook s target direct compensation (salary, annual bonus and long-term incentives) was set at the median of the Comparison Group for 2011, with the possibility of above-median compensation based on exceptional performance and below median compensation if performance fell below expectations. In addition, for 2011, the P&O Committee decided to set the cash portion of Mr. Cook s target direct compensation (salary and annual bonus) below median and the long-term equity incentive portion (stock options and restricted stock) above median to ensure a strong link between pay and long-term performance. In making these determinations, the P&O Committee worked together with the other independent directors of the Board.

The Compensation Comparison Group

Colgate uses comparative compensation data from a group of other leading companies, referred to in this CD&A as the Comparison Group, as a point of reference in designing its compensation programs and in setting compensation levels. Colgate does not use this data as a single determinative factor but rather as an external check to verify that its compensation programs are reasonable and competitive in light of compensation levels at similarly situated companies.

The Comparison Group is selected to represent both the Company speer companies from a performance perspective as well as the market for executive talent in which the Company competes. It consists primarily of consumer products companies with product portfolios primarily consisting of globally recognized brands, including those companies making up the Industry Peer Group and other select companies that are similarly situated to the Company in terms of overall size or performance against relevant measures. The Company s revenues approximate the median, and market capitalization falls between the median and the 75th percentile, of the Comparison Group. The companies comprising the Comparison Group are approved by the P&O Committee after taking into account observations and recommendations of management and the Committee s independent compensation consultant. For 2011, the Comparison Group, which remained unchanged from the prior year, consisted of the following 18 companies:

Comparison Group

3M Company
Anheuser-Busch InBev
Avon Products, Inc.
H.J. Heinz Company
Johnson & Johnson
Kellogg Company

Campbell Soup Company Kimberly-Clark Corporation

The Clorox Company Kraft Foods, Inc.
The Coca-Cola Company PepsiCo, Inc.

ConAgra Foods, Inc.

The Procter & Gamble Company

The Estee Lauder Companies, Inc. Sara Lee Corporation

General Mills, Inc. Unilever

Benchmark compensation data is collected for these companies for all of the Company s three primary compensation components (base salary, annual incentive pay and long-term incentive pay), both individually and in the aggregate, as well as for indirect compensation elements such as perquisites and retirement benefits.

Compensation Components

Compensation Mix

Colgate s executive compensation programs consist of the following three primary components:

Base salary;

Annual incentives paid in the form of cash bonuses; and

Long-term incentives paid in the form of stock options and performance-based restricted stock.

In allocating compensation among these three components, the Company seeks to provide reasonable and competitive levels of fixed compensation (i.e., salary), while emphasizing performance-based compensation that varies based on overall Company or business unit performance and/or the performance of the Company s common stock. Accordingly, as the following chart illustrates, of the compensation paid for 2011, base salaries for the Named Officers other than the CEO represented approximately 25-30% of the three compensation components noted above, and incentive compensation, both annual and long-term, represented approximately 70-75%, while the base salary for Mr. Cook represented approximately 10% of the three components noted above and incentive compensation represented approximately 90%.

The mix between annual incentive pay (cash bonus) and long-term incentives (stock options and restricted stock) is determined based on competitive practice (as discussed in the Compensation Comparison Group section above) and Colgate s desire to focus, first, on long-term performance and shareholder value and, second, on annual performance. Within the long-term incentive category, the target mix for the Named Officers is weighted more heavily towards stock options because such awards provide value to executives only to the extent that the Company s stock price appreciates, thereby providing an effective link to changes in shareholder value that align the interests of executives and stockholders. The Company s compensation philosophy and competitive practice also drive determinations about total compensation levels for the Named Officers.

In addition to the three primary components of compensation discussed above, the Company provides its executive officers, including the Named Officers, with certain perquisites. These perquisites are modest and represent less than 1% of the total compensation for each Named Officer shown in the Summary Compensation Table. At the level of the Named Officers, such perquisites consist primarily of an annual allowance of up to \$11,500 to be used for financial planning or tax preparation and planning, an annual physical exam and, in the case of Mr. Cook, the use of a Company car and driver. The Company implemented this allowance plan, which is available to approximately 800 employees with allowance amounts

varying based on salary grade level, over 20 years ago to ensure transparency and uniformity of treatment for all executives regarding perquisites. For more information on perquisites provided to the Named Officers in 2011, see note 6 to the Summary Compensation Table. Any personal income taxes due as a result of these perquisites are the responsibility of the Named Officers.

The compensation and benefits payable to the Named Officers in the event of retirement, severance and change in control are described on pages 43 to 45 and 49 to 51. The Company s retirement programs are designed to provide the Company s long-service, retiring employees with fair and adequate replacement income based on then-prevailing market practice. The Named Officers participate in the same retirement programs that are available to other U.S. employees, with supplemental benefits provided to make up benefits under plans that are subject to certain limits under the Internal Revenue Code. Retirement benefits for four of the five Named Officers are calculated based on a formula available to salaried employees who were employed by the Company on June 30, 1989. The Company s severance program is designed to provide participants with reasonable compensation if their employment is terminated in the event of a change in control or at the Company s convenience other than for cause. The potential payments and benefits under these various programs did not influence the decisions discussed in the balance of this CD&A regarding the setting of salary, annual bonus and long-term incentives for the Named Officers since these programs serve very different purposes.

Base Salary

Base salaries for the Named Officers and all other employees are based on established salary ranges for each grade level. The CEO s salary is set independently by the P&O Committee, without the involvement of the CEO. The P&O Committee reviews salaries for the other Named Officers based on recommendations from the Global Human Resources function and the CEO in accordance with the established salary ranges and the guidelines described below.

Since base salaries are designed to provide a reasonable, competitive level of fixed compensation, the mid-point of each salary range is set at the median pay level for similar jobs at companies in the Comparison Group. Salaries above the median are available for key contributors to the success of the Company and long-tenured exceptional performers. Setting salaries in the median range or above mitigates pressure that might otherwise exist to support short-term focused or higher-risk business strategies if fixed compensation were set materially below market rates.

Decisions regarding where in the range a particular individual s salary should be and whether he or she should be granted a salary increase during the year are based on the following factors:

Colgate s annual salary budget guidelines;

Company or business unit performance, as applicable;

Assumption of new responsibilities;

Data from the Comparison Group; and

Individual performance, elements of which include:

the

individual s

ability to

translate the

Company s

four strategic

initiatives

(Engaging to

Build Our

Brands,

Effectiveness

and

Efficiency,

Innovation

for Growth

and Leading

to Win) into

specific

strategies

applicable to

his or her

function, to

communicate

those

strategies

clearly and

effectively to

his or her

team and,

working with

his or her

team, to

deliver results

against those

strategies that

help the

Company

achieve its

performance

objectives

and strategic

initiatives;

and

other performance measures, such as the successful launch of innovative new products, increases in market share of Colgate products, geographic expansion and increases in productivity.

All of the Named Officers are high-performing executives, most of them with long tenure at the Company. Accordingly, salaries for the Named Officers other than Mr. Cook ranged between approximately the 40th and 74th percentile of salaries for similar jobs in the Comparison Group based on the most recent data available to the Company. The increases in the salaries of Messrs. Tangney, Garcia and Moison in 2011 were based on a qualitative assessment of these individuals performance (based on the factors described above) and were in accordance with the Company s 2011 salary budget guidelines applicable to all employees. Mr. Hickey

received a promotion in January 2011. The increase in his salary in 2011 was the result of this promotion and his assumption of new responsibilities.

Mr. Cook s salary for 2011 was increased by 2.8%. Because Mr. Cook s salary had not increased since 2008, the competitiveness of his salary had decreased over time though the Company, under Mr. Cook s leadership, continued to achieve strong financial and business performance. His salary remains below the 50th percentile for CEOs in the Comparison Group because the P&O Committee has determined in Mr. Cook s case to place greater emphasis on equity and long-term incentive compensation (performance-based restricted stock and stock options) than on cash compensation (salary and annual bonus) to provide an especially strong link between pay and performance.

Annual Incentives Cash Bonuses

Award Opportunities. Cash bonuses, which are granted under the stockholder-approved Executive Incentive Compensation Plan (the EICP Plan), are designed to reward performance over a one-year period against one or more pre-established performance measures set by the P&O Committee at the beginning of the year. Awards are determined by the P&O Committee based on audited financial results available early in the following year in accordance with a set formula based on achievement against the designated goals. The P&O Committee has discretion to adjust the calculated awards downward, but not upward.

Executives, including the Named Officers, are each assigned a bonus award opportunity, which is based on salary grade level, expressed as a percentage of base salary and generally set at or below the median of the Comparison Group. For 2011, Mr. Cook s assigned bonus award opportunity was 150% of base salary, and the assigned bonus award opportunity for each of the other Named Officers was 70% of base salary. The formula-driven award payouts depend upon performance against the pre-established measures discussed below and range from zero, if performance falls below a threshold level, to a maximum of 1.5 times the assigned award opportunity for Mr. Cook and the other Named Officers. In 2011, the cap on Mr. Cook s annual bonus was reduced from two times the assigned award opportunity to 1.5 times, in light of the Company s business strategy to invest in the business to strengthen volume and market share while achieving lower earnings-per-share growth than in prior years. In addition, the annual bonuses for the Named Officers for 2011 were subject to a 10% adjustment based on performance against the pre-established relative volume growth measure discussed below. The annual bonuses for the Named Officers for 2011 also were subject to a 10% downward adjustment if certain key global ethics and compliance objectives were not met. These ethics and compliance objectives were achieved in 2011.

Performance Measures and Bonus Payouts. Earnings per share is the primary performance measure for annual bonuses for the Named Officers. In 2011, an additional performance measure based on volume growth relative to the weighted average volume growth (by revenue) of the Industry Peer Group was added. The earnings-per-share measure was selected to create a strong focus on the Company s overall profit goal and its underlying drivers of sales, cost control and financial efficiency. Earnings per share also is a key measure followed by investors. The volume measure was selected because it reflects the underlying momentum of the Company s business and its ability to generate cash to reinvest in business-building activities and return value to shareholders.

Pre-established EICP Plan procedures require the adjustment of the earnings-per-share measure for the effects of the following, as applicable: accounting changes, restructuring charges, gains or losses on the sales of businesses and other unusual, non-recurring items (as adjusted, the Base Business Earnings Per Share). This practice is consistent with Colgate s public filings, in which the impact of these items is discussed separately. The Base Business Earnings Per Share for 2011 excludes the gain from the sale of the Company s laundry detergent business in Colombia and charges resulting from the implementation of various business realignment and other cost-saving initiatives, the sale of land in Mexico and a competition law matter in France related to a divested detergent business. For 2011, in order for the Named Officers to earn bonuses at the maximum level, Base Business Earnings Per Share had to be at least \$5.08. The \$5.08 goal was set based on the Company s business strategy to invest in the business to strengthen volume and market share while achieving earnings-per-share growth at a lower rate than in prior years.

In 2011, annual bonuses for the Named Officers would be increased (subject to the cap discussed above) or decreased by 10% if Colgate s volume growth exceeded or fell below the weighted average volume growth

of the Industry Peer Group by at least 1%. The weighted average volume growth of the Industry Peer Group for 2011 was 2.0%.

Base Business Earnings Per Share was \$5.03 in 2011, which was just under the level necessary to generate maximum awards. Colgate s volume growth in 2011 of 3.4% exceeded the weighted average volume growth of the Industry Peer Group by at least 1% so the annual bonus for each of the Named Officers was increased by 10%. Therefore, bonuses for the Named Officers other than Mr. Cook were 99.3% of salary and for Mr. Cook was 212.9% of salary, or 141.9% of their assigned award opportunities. These awards were paid at approximately the 29th to the 56th percentile of annual bonuses for similar jobs in the Comparison Group, based on the most recent data available to the Company.

Long-term Incentives

Overview. Colgate s long-term incentive compensation is designed to focus the Named Officers and other Colgate managers on shareholder value and to reward their contribution to the long-term growth and performance of the Company. Colgate uses two types of long-term incentives for the Named Officers, both paid in the form of equity: stock options and performance-based restricted stock. Stock options and performance-based restricted stock are used to balance and support all of the key objectives discussed on pages 25 to 26. Because compensation is solely dependent on appreciation in share price, stock options strongly support the objectives of ensuring that pay is aligned with changes in shareholder value and creating commonality of interest between the Named Officers and shareholders. Performance-based restricted stock ensures that payouts are tied directly to both changes in shareholder value and the achievement of critically important multi-year performance objectives. Due to the multi-year vesting requirements, all of Colgate s long-term incentives support the goal of retaining the Named Officers.

Consistent with Colgate s longstanding practice of encouraging stock ownership at all levels of the organization to reward employees for the long-term value they create and to create common interests between management and stockholders, long-term equity grants are the largest component of total compensation for the Named Officers. In general, following a review of the practices of the Comparison Group, long-term incentives are targeted at or below the median of the Comparison Group, with above-median awards available based on superior performance. In 2011, Colgate s annual stock option and restricted stock utilization for all awards was 1.2% of outstanding stock, placing it just above the median, at the 54th percentile, of the Comparison Group based on the most recently available market data at the time these awards were granted.

Equity Grant Process and Policies. The Company makes annual equity awards at the same predetermined times each year, at regularly scheduled P&O Committee meetings in the first and third quarters. The calendar for such meetings is set in July of the preceding year. Equity awards for new hires or newly promoted employees or special awards for recognition or retention purposes are made at the next regularly scheduled Board or P&O Committee meeting after the hire, promotion or recognition or retention recommendation is made. The grant date of any award is the date of the Board or P&O Committee meeting, as applicable, at which such award is approved, and the grant price of any award is never less than the closing price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant.

Stock Options

Overview. Stock options are granted under the stockholder-approved 2005 Employee Stock Option Plan. The number of stock options granted to individual executives is determined based on guidelines set for each salary grade level. Established annually, the stock option guidelines are determined based on a review of market data, historical stock price performance, expected award values and share utilization. Actual awards may vary from such guidelines based on a qualitative assessment of factors similar to those used to determine salary, including each individual s performance, the performance of the business unit or function for which they are responsible and the assumption of new job responsibilities. (See discussion of salary beginning on page 29.) As with other compensation decisions, in the case of the CEO, the P&O Committee makes such assessment with the participation and concurrence of the other independent directors of the Board. In the case of the other Named Officers, the P&O Committee reviews, and

approves awards taking into account the recommendations of the Global Human Resources function and the CEO.

Stock Option Grants. During 2011, stock option grants to Colgate s Named Officers were either at or within 18% of the guideline award level. Variations from the guideline award level were based on strong individual, business unit and/or Company performance, recent promotions and internal pay equity

31

considerations. See column (j) of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for the number of stock options granted to the Named Officers in 2011. Mr. Cook s award was consistent with the pre-established guideline.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Awards

Award Opportunities. Performance-based restricted stock awards are made to executive officers, including the Named Officers, under the stockholder-approved EICP Plan.

Each year, at the beginning of a three-year measurement cycle, the P&O Committee approves a set of performance goals and assigns each Named Officer a restricted stock award opportunity, expressed as a percentage of the mid-point of the salary range for his grade level. The Named Officers are also eligible for a supplemental award equal to 25% of their assigned award opportunities based on total shareholder return versus the Industry Peer Group. At the conclusion of each three-year cycle, actual performance is measured against the pre-established performance goals to determine the award value. The award value is calculated in dollars and then converted into restricted stock awards by dividing the dollar value by the then-current share price. As with annual bonuses, the P&O Committee has discretion to adjust the calculated awards downward, but not upward. Awards are made in the form of restricted stock units, which are subject to a three-year vesting period during which time the recipient must remain employed by the Company unless he or she is eligible for retirement. At the conclusion of the vesting period, awards are distributed in the form of shares of common stock. The combination of this additional three-year vesting period with the original three-year performance period underscores the Company s focus on long-term results and commitment to pay for performance.

As noted above, assigned award opportunities are set as a percentage of the midpoint of the salary range for the executive s grade level and are expressed in dollars. For the 2009-2011 measurement cycle, Mr. Cook s assigned award opportunity was 285% of his salary grade midpoint, and the assigned award opportunity for the other Named Officers ranged from 115% to 125% of salary grade midpoint. Depending upon performance against the pre-established measures discussed below, including the supplemental measure based on total shareholder return versus peers, actual award payouts range from zero, if performance falls below a certain level, to a maximum of two times the assigned award opportunity.

Performance Measures and Award Payouts. The performance measures used are compounded annual growth in net sales and earnings per share over the three-year measurement period. The earnings-per-share measure is subject to the same required adjustments applicable to the annual incentive awards discussed on page 30. The two measures of net sales and earnings-per-share growth were chosen based on the Company s view that together they reflect the underlying momentum of the Company s business and its ability to generate cash to reinvest in business-building activities and return value to shareholders.

A Profitable Growth Matrix, approved by the P&O Committee for each performance cycle, sets forth the percentage of the assigned award opportunity that will be paid for various levels of compounded annual growth in net sales and earnings per share over the three-year measurement period. The payout levels reflected in the Profitable Growth Matrix are selected to support the sales and earnings-per-share goals that the Company has set for itself in its multi-year strategic plan. For the 2009-2011 cycle, a payout at 100% of the assigned award opportunity required compounded annual growth in net sales and Base Business Earnings Per Share over the three-year period of 6% and 11%, respectively. Actual compounded annual growth in net sales and Base Business Earnings Per Share for the 2009-2011 cycle were 3.0% and 9.1%, respectively. This resulted in awards at 73.4% of the assigned award opportunity. (See note 2 to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for the number of restricted shares granted to each Named Officer in respect of the 2009-2011 cycle.)

The Named Officers are also eligible for a supplemental award based on total shareholder return versus peers over the same three-year period, which adds a relative performance measure. If the Company s total shareholder return during the period, defined as stock price appreciation plus dividends accrued, is one of the top two when compared with the total shareholder return of the five companies making up the Industry Peer Group plus the Company, a supplemental

award equal to 25% of an individual s assigned award opportunity may be made. For the 2009-2011 cycle, the Company s total shareholder return was the third highest in the Industry Peer Group, so the Named Officers did not receive the supplemental award.

Since awards for the 2009-2011 cycle were granted in March 2012, after results for the 2009-2011 period were known, they are not shown in column (e) (Stock Awards) of the Summary Compensation Table, which

32

reflects awards granted during 2011, 2010 and 2009. The percentage payout versus assigned award opportunities for the Named Officers for the award cycles that are reflected in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table was 141.8% for the 2008-2010 cycle, 167.3% for the 2007-2009 cycle and 162.4% for the 2006-2008 cycle. The same performance measures described above applied for these prior cycles. The variability in payout percentage among the cycles reflects the Company s philosophy that awards to executives should vary based on the degree to which performance objectives are met or exceeded.

Restricted Stock Terms. For all of the Named Officers other than Mr. Tangney, the above restricted stock awards vest and are distributed as shares of common stock three years from the date of the award. Awards are forfeited if the recipient terminates his or her employment with the Company, other than through retirement, prior to the end of the three-year vesting period. For more information regarding the effect of various types of termination of employment on the vesting of outstanding equity awards, including restricted stock awards, see page 51. Because Mr. Tangney retired from the Company on February 1, 2012, before the performance-based restricted stock awards were granted, his award for the 2009-2011 performance period was paid in cash, rather than in restricted stock. Recipients of restricted stock awards do not have voting rights or receive dividends until the awards vest. During the vesting period, since the performance goals for the applicable measurement cycle have been met, even though the award is subject to a further vesting requirement, dividend equivalents in the form of additional shares of restricted stock accrue at the same rate that dividends are paid on the Company s common stock, to be distributed as shares together with the underlying award.

Other Awards

The P&O Committee has the authority under the EICP Plan to make additional discretionary awards of cash, common stock, restricted stock or a combination thereof. Using this discretion, the P&O Committee in 2011 granted an award of 2,200 shares of restricted stock to Mr. Moison to recognize his contribution and to help ensure his continued service to the Company.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

To further align the interests of the Company s officers with those of its stockholders and ensure a long-term perspective, the Board has established minimum stock ownership guidelines for members of senior management. In the first quarter of 2012, the Board increased these guidelines for certain categories of officers. The CEO is now required to own Colgate stock equal in value to eight times (rather than five times) his annual salary, and the other Named Officers now must hold Colgate stock in amounts equal to four times (rather than three times) their annual salaries. Other senior managers of the Company are subject to ownership requirements of one or two times their annual salary. Executives have five years from their initial promotion into an eligible position to achieve required ownership levels. Compliance with these guidelines is evaluated on an annual basis. All of the Named Officers are in compliance with this policy.

As discussed above, in 2011, the Board adopted a hedging policy that prohibits Colgate s directors, officers and employees who receive stock-based compensation from engaging in transactions to hedge against declines in the value of Colgate s stock. During 2011, all of the Named Officers were in compliance with this policy.

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

The Company was pleased to receive a vote of 94.6% in favor of the proposal regarding the advisory vote on executive compensation at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The P&O Committee reviewed the overall vote results and the votes of its top 30 institutional shareholders who were required to report their voting records, all of whom voted in favor of the proposal. Based on this review, the Committee determined no stockholder outreach or changes to the Company s compensation programs were warranted.

Conclusion

In summary, the Company believes that strong executive performance is vital to strong Company performance. Thus, its approach to executive compensation is guided by the principle that executives should have the potential for increased compensation when performance objectives are exceeded, provided that there is appropriate downward adjustment if performance objectives are not met.

P&O Committee Report

The P&O Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis and, based on such review and discussion, recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and this Proxy Statement.

The foregoing P&O Committee report has been submitted by the members of the P&O Committee: Richard J. Kogan (Chair), John T. Cahill, Helene D. Gayle, Delano E. Lewis, J. Pedro Reinhard and Stephen I. Sadove.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table shows the compensation of the Company $\,$ s Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and three other most highly compensated executive officers (the Named Officers) for 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b)		Salary (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) ¹ (d)		Stock Awards (\$) ² (e)		Option Awards (\$) ³ (f)]	on-Equity Incentive Plan mpensation (\$) ⁴ (g)
Ian Cook	2011	\$	1,171,467		\$	4,647,563	\$	4,235,754	\$	2,516,313
Chairman of the	2011	Ф	1,1/1,40/		Ф	4,047,303	Ф	4,233,734	Ф	2,310,313
Board,	2010	\$	1,150,000		\$	5,483,348	\$	3,904,752	\$	3,381,000
President and Chief Executive Officer	2009	\$	1,150,000		\$	5,299,596	\$	4,282,223	\$	3,450,000
Dennis J. Hickey Chief Financial Officer ⁷	2011	\$	663,000	\$ 500,000 8	\$	386,126	\$	536,927	\$	665,014
Michael J. Tangney Retired Vice	2011	\$	855,767		\$	1,247,841	\$	894,878	\$	855,629
Chairman ⁹	2010	\$	838,933		\$	1,472,294	\$	824,948	\$	771,076
	2009	\$	824,533		\$	1,390,574	\$	904,695	\$	806,149
Fabian T. Garcia Chief Operating	2011	\$	811,733		\$	1,247,841	\$	775,561	\$	811,625
Officer,	2010	\$	790,900		\$	1,293,025	\$	714,955	\$	700,104
Global Innovation	2009	\$	736,867		\$	2,408,729	\$	741,850	\$	653,417

and
Growth,
Europe &
Hill s Pet
Nutrition

Franck J. Moison	2011	\$ 749,367	\$	1,298,289	\$ 775,561	\$ 749,246
Chief						
Operating Officer,	2010	\$ 728,633	\$	1,752,707	\$ 714,955	\$ 523,066
Emerging Markets &						
South Pacific	2009	\$ 661,733	\$	1,068,298	\$ 663,443	\$ 700,980

Notes to the Summary Compensation Table

- 1 Bonus. Except as described in note 8 below, cash bonuses are awarded based on specific pre-established performance measures and therefore are reported in column (g) under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.
- Stock Awards. This column reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awards made to the Named Officers in the years reported. The value of restricted stock awards is based on the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant, which is the closing stock price on the date of grant. For more information regarding these

awards and the programs under which they were made, including the terms and conditions and applicable performance measures, see pages 32 to 33 of the CD&A and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table.

Option Awards. This

column reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of stock option awards granted to each of the Named Officers in the years reported. The estimated value of stock options is calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. For a description of the assumptions used to calculate the amounts, see Note 7 (Capital Stock and Stock-Based Compensation Plans) to the Company s Consolidated **Financial Statements** included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. For more information regarding these awards, including their terms and conditions, see pages 31 to 32 of the CD&A and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table.

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. As discussed more fully on pages 30 to 31 of the CD&A, the Named Officers earn cash bonuses under the stockholder-approved EICP Plan based on one or more pre-established performance measures. For 2011, for all of the Named Officers the performance measures are growth in earnings per share and volume growth relative to the weighted average volume growth (by revenue) of the Industry Peer Group. For 2009 and 2010, for Named Officers with corporate-wide responsibilities (Mr. Cook), the performance measure was growth in earnings per share. Officers with divisional responsibilities (Mr. Moison) were assigned net sales and net profit-after-tax targets specific to their divisions. For officers with both corporate-wide and divisional responsibilities (Mr.

Tangney and Mr.

(Notes continued on next page)

Garcia), the primary performance measure was earnings per share, but they were also assigned net sales and net-profit-after-tax targets specific to their divisions. These bonuses were awarded and paid after actual financial results for the years for which performance was measured were known early in the following year. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for more information regarding 2011 bonuses.

5 Change in Pension Value.

This column reflects the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of each Named Officer s accumulated benefit under the Colgate-Palmolive Company Employees Retirement Income Plan (the Retirement Plan) and the Colgate-Palmolive Company Supplemental Salaried Employees Retirement Plan (the Supplemental Retirement Plan) from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011, December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2009, as applicable. For Messrs. Cook, Hickey, Tangney and Moison, whose benefits are calculated under the final average earnings formula discussed on page 44, the year-over-year changes are attributable to changes in compensation, an increase in years of service with the Company, changes to the discount rate and, for 2011, changes in mortality assumptions. For Mr. Tangney, the changes in the

actuarial present value as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2011 are also attributable to actuarial increases resulting from his service beyond the normal retirement age under the Retirement Plan (age 65). The discount rates used to determine the present value of the benefits as of December 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were 4.9%, 5.3%, 5.75% and 6.3%, respectively. For more information about the discount rate and how it is calculated, see Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates and Note 9 (Retirement Plans and Other Retiree Benefits) to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. In addition, the aggregate benefits payable to a participant under the Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan are subject to the limits described under Retirement Plans. For Mr. Garcia, the year over year changes reflect additional amounts credited to his account by application of the PRA Formula, as discussed on page 44. This column also includes the following amounts of above-market interest earned under the Company s Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan, as described on page 47: Mr. Hickey \$1,552; Mr. Tangney \$3,465; Mr. Garcia \$900; and Mr.

Moison \$534.

⁶ All Other Compensation.

The amounts shown in this column are paid pursuant to programs available either to all U.S. employees generally or to a broad group of management employees, except as specifically noted in the footnotes below. The dollar amounts paid under each such program and the value of perquisites and other personal benefits granted to the Named Officers in 2011 were:

Named Officer	Company Contributions to Savings and Investment 401(k) Plan (a)		Alle Sur Sa In	Company ocations to oplemental vings and evestment (b)	Co Pa Ins	alue of mpany- aid Life surance emiums	Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits (c)		
Ian Cook	\$	35,175	\$	181,904	\$	2,550	\$	58,112	
Dennis J. Hickey	\$	32,809	\$	35,692	\$	1,676	\$	11,500	
Michael J. Tangney	\$	34,698	\$	58,295	\$	2,550	\$	11,500	
Fabian T. Garcia	\$	41,424	\$	79,386	\$	2,500	\$	12,483	
Franck J. Moison	\$	34,393	\$	49,894	\$	2,192	\$	12,000	

(a) This column reflects Company contributions to the Named Officers accounts under the Colgate-Palmolive Company **Employees** Savings and **Investment Plan** (Savings and Investment Plan), a broad-based employee stock ownership and 401(k) plan available generally to all U.S.

employees. These contributions are made in the form of shares of Common Stock pursuant to the following programs: Company match, profit-sharing accounts and additional allocations as a result of participation in the Company s bonus savings and income savings programs. The plan also includes Company contributions under the Company s retirement programs. The amounts shown represent the value of such contributions at the time of allocation to the Named Officers accounts.

(b) This column reflects Company allocations to the Colgate-Palmolive Company Supplemental Savings and **Investment Plan** (Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan), a plan available to all U.S. employees who are not able to receive the full Company matching or

retirement

contributions pursuant to the Savings and Investment Plan due to certain Internal Revenue Service (IRS) limits.

(Notes continued on next page)

Amounts allocated by the Company to the Named Officers and other employees accounts under this plan are equal only to the amount of the Company matching and/or retirement contributions in excess of these IRS limits.

(c) This column consists of: (i) a pre-determined annual allowance available to approximately 800 employees in amounts ranging from a maximum of \$11,500 for senior executives including the Named Officers to \$2,000 for junior executives, (ii) personal use of a car and driver for Mr. Cook, (iii) an annual physical exam for Mr. Garcia and (iv) tax preparation services for Mr. Moison, who formerly served as President-Colgate Europe in Switzerland, in connection with his relocation to the United States from Switzerland.

> Each of the Named Officers received the pre-determined allowance, described in (i) above, of \$11,500 during 2011. The pre-determined allowance may be used as reimbursement for a number of qualified expenditures, including legal, financial or tax counseling. The Company implemented this allowance plan over 20 years ago to ensure transparency and uniformity of treatment for all executives regarding perquisites. The incremental cost to the Company of the personal use of a car and driver by Mr. Cook was

\$46,612, valued as a proportionate amount of the cost of the annual lease, driver and related operating expenses. Any income taxes due as a result of these perquisites are the responsibility of the Named Officers.

- Mr. Hickey was appointed Chief Financial Officer effective January 1, 2011.
- In February 2009, Mr. Hickey was awarded a cash retention bonus, which was payable in February 2011 if he remained an employee of the Company. Mr. Hickey satisfied this condition and therefore this retention award vested and was paid in February 2011.
- 9 Mr. Tangney retired from the Company effective February 1, 2012.
- The amount reported for Mr. Tangney s change in pension value for 2010 in the proxy statement

for the 2011 **Annual Meeting** of Stockholders was \$528,429. This amount was under-reported by \$1,140,475 due to a calculation error. Because Mr. Tangney s age exceeded the normal retirement age under the Retirement Plan, the value of his pension benefits in 2010 should have included the actuarial equivalent of the benefit Mr. Tangney would have received had he retired at normal retirement age.

For 2009, this amount includes amounts paid to Mr. Moison under the Company s International Assignment Policy, which applies to all Company employees who accept international assignments at the Company s request and are classified as expatriate employees. The

Company s

International

Assignment

Policy is

designed to

develop leaders

with

broad-based

international

experience by

promoting

global career

mobility and

ensuring

consistent and

equitable

treatment for the

Company s

global

expatriate

employees.

Under the

policy, an

expatriate

employee is

paid on a net,

after-tax basis

after

withholding for

estimated U.S.

taxes. The

Company pays

an expatriate

employee s

income and

social insurance

taxes

attributable to

Company

income in the

employee s host

country and the

Company uses

the amount of

estimated U.S.

taxes withheld

to help cover

these

obligations.

From 2004 to

2007, Mr.

Moison served as President,

Colgate-Europe

in Switzerland.

In 2009, the

Company paid

on Mr. Moison s

behalf the taxes

he owed in

Switzerland on

the

compensation

he received

from the

Company

during this

period and

reimbursed him

for income taxes

due in the

United States as

a result of that

payment.

37

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table shows information about the non-equity incentive awards, stock options and restricted stock awards that are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table for 2011 and that were granted to the Named Officers either during, or with respect to services rendered in, 2011.

Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards¹

Estimated Possible Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards²

Name (a)	Grant Date (b)	Threshold (\$) (c)	Target (\$) (d)	N	Maximum (\$) (e)	Thresh- old (\$) (f)	Target (\$) (g)	M
Ian Cook	2/24/11					\$ 1,081,575	\$ 3,277,500	\$
	9/8/11							
	3/8/12	\$	\$ 1,773,300	\$	2,659,950			
Dennis J.								
Hickey	2/24/11					\$ 89,859	\$ 272,300	\$
	9/8/11							
	3/8/12	\$	\$ 468,650	\$	702,975			
Michael J.								
Tangney	2/24/11					\$ 290,400	\$ 880,000	\$
	9/8/11							
	3/8/12	\$	\$ 602,980	\$	904,470			
Fabian T.								
Garcia	2/24/11					\$ 290,400	\$ 880,000	\$
	9/8/11							
	3/8/12	\$	\$ 571,970	\$	857,955			
Franck J.								
Moison	2/24/11					\$ 255,816	\$ 775,200	\$
	9/8/11							
	9/8/11							
	3/8/12	\$	\$ 528,010	\$	792,015			

Notes to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

- The amounts shown represent the threshold, target and maximum payouts for annual performance-based cash bonuses under the EICP Plan with respect to services rendered in 2011. The threshold, target and maximum payouts are based on performance against the pre-established performance measures. The actual amounts awarded are reported in column (g) of the Summary Compensation Table. See pages 30 to 31 of the CD&A for a description of the Company s annual incentive program, including the above-mentioned performance measures.
- The amounts shown represent the dollar value of threshold, target and maximum award opportunities for performance-based restricted stock awards pursuant to the EICP Plan for the 2008-2010 measurement cycle. As described in more detail on

pages 32 to 33 of the CD&A, such restricted stock awards are made based on the strength of compound annual growth in both net sales and earnings per share over a three-year measurement period. Award opportunities are expressed in dollars and are converted into shares based on the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. Actual awards based on the award opportunities shown above were made in February 2011 following the completion of the 2008-2010 measurement period, and the number of shares granted to the Named Officers, which was 141.8% of their target award opportunities, was as follows: Mr. Cook 59,845; Mr. Hickey (then Vice President and Corporate Controller) 4,972; Mr. Tangney 16,068; Mr. Garcia 16,068; and Mr. Moison 14,155. The aggregate grant

date fair value of such awards is included in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table.

Performance-based

restricted stock awards based on the award opportunities discussed in the CD&A were made in March 2012 following the completion of the 2009-2011 measurement period, and the number of shares granted to the Named Officers, which was 73.4% of their target award opportunities, was as follows: Mr. Cook 25,609; Mr. Hickey 5,392; Mr. Garcia 7,014; and Mr. Moison 6,142. Because Mr. Tangney retired before the awards were granted, his award of \$658,765, which was also 73.4% of his target award opportunity, was paid in cash. See pages 32 to 33 of the CD&A for a description of the

(Notes continued on next page)

awards granted in March 2012 and the performance-based restricted stock program, including the terms and conditions and applicable performance measures.

- The amount shown represents a restricted stock award made to Mr. Moison to recognize his contributions and to help ensure his continued service to the Company, as described on page 33 of the CD&A. The aggregate grant date fair value of such award is included in column (e) of the Summary Compensation Table.
- 4 The amounts shown represent stock option awards granted in 2011 under the stockholder-approved Colgate-Palmolive Company 2005 Employee Stock Option Plan. The key terms of the Company s stock options are as follows: (a) the exercise price is equal to the closing price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant, (b) the term is six years and (c) they vest in equal annual installments over three years.
- This column shows the grant date fair value of: (i) the actual restricted stock awards for which the estimated payout range is described in columns (f) through (h) of this table; and (ii) the restricted stock and stock option awards shown in columns (i) and (j) of this table, respectively. The value of restricted stock awards is based on the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant, which is the closing stock price on the date of

grant. The estimated value of options is calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. For a description of the assumptions used to calculate the amounts, see Note 7 (Capital Stock and Stock-Based Compensation Plans) to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table contains information about stock options and restricted stock awards held by the Named Officers as of December 31, 2011.

			Option	Awa	ards ¹		Stoc	k Aw	Awards		
Name (a)	Option Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable ² (c)	E :	Option xercise Price (\$) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) ^{3,4} (g)	o Uı	farket Value of Shares or nits of Stock nat Have No Vested (\$) ⁵ (h)		
Ian Cook	9/12/02	95,000		\$	55.11	9/12/12	220,958	\$	20,414,31		
	9/7/06	165,000		\$	60.68	9/7/12	,	·	, ,		
	9/12/07	250,000		\$	68.15	9/12/13					
	9/11/08	355,000		\$	79.52	9/11/14					
	9/10/09	236,666	118,334	\$	73.23	9/10/15					
	9/16/10	118,333	236,667	\$	76.58	9/16/16					
	9/8/11		355,000	\$	90.46	9/8/17					
Dennis J. Hickey	9/12/02 5/1/03	30,000 20,000		\$	55.11 56.71	9/12/12 5/1/13	38,615	\$	3,567,64		
	9/7/06	30,000		\$	60.68	9/7/12					
	9/12/07	32,000		\$	68.15	9/12/13					
	9/11/08	32,000	40.66	\$	79.52	9/11/14					
	9/10/09	21,333	10,667	\$	73.23	9/10/15					
	9/16/10	10,666	21,334	\$	76.58	9/16/16					
	9/8/11		45,000	\$	90.46	9/8/17					
Michael J.											
Tangney	9/12/02	75,000		\$	55.11	9/12/12 6	58,754	\$	5,428,28		
	9/7/06	72,000		\$	60.68	9/7/12 6					
	9/12/07	75,000		\$	68.15	9/12/13 6					
	9/11/08	75,000		\$	79.52	9/11/14 6					
	9/10/09	50,000	25,000	\$	73.23	9/10/15 6					
	9/16/10	25,000	50,000	\$	76.58	9/16/16 6					

Edgar Filing: BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEF 14A

	9/8/11		75,000	\$ 90.46	9/8/17 6		
Fabian T.							
Garcia	9/7/06	56,000		\$ 60.68	9/7/12	76,254	\$ 7,045,10
	9/12/07	60,000		\$ 68.15	9/12/13		
	9/11/08	61,000		\$ 79.52	9/11/14		
	9/10/09	41,000	20,500	\$ 73.23	9/10/15		
	9/16/10	21,666	43,334	\$ 76.58	9/16/16		
	9/8/11		65,000	\$ 90.46	9/8/17		
Franck J.							
Moison	12/12/02	30,000		\$ 53.06	12/12/12	56,921	\$ 5,258,93
	9/7/06	56,000		\$ 60.68	9/7/12		
	9/12/07	55,800		\$ 68.15	9/12/13		
	9/11/08	55,000		\$ 79.52	9/11/14		
	9/10/09	36,666	18,334	\$ 73.23	9/10/15		
	9/16/10	21,666	43,334	\$ 76.58	9/16/16		
	9/8/11		65,000	\$ 90.46	9/8/17		

(Notes appear on next page)

Notes to the Outstanding Equity Awards Table

The following table contains information about the aggregate value of stock options held by each of the Named Officers as of December 31, 2011. The values shown are calculated based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock on December 31, 2011 and the applicable exercise

Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at Fiscal Year-End

Named Officer	1	Exercisable	Unexercisable			
Ian Cook	\$	25,807,965	\$	6,694,135		
Dennis J. Hickey	\$	4,548,290	\$	628,520		
Michael J. Tangney	\$	9,215,620	\$	1,414,250		
Fabian T. Garcia	\$	5,143,329	\$	1,203,341		
Franck J. Moison	\$	6,061,312	\$	1,161,840		

² The stock

prices.

option awards shown in this column will vest as follows:

Named Officer	9/8/12	9/10/12	9/16/12	9/8/13	9/16/13	9/8/14
Ian Cook	118,333	118,334	118,333	118,333	118,334	118,334
Dennis J. Hickey	15,000	10,667	10,667	15,000	10,667	15,000
Fabian T. Garcia	21,666	20,500	21,667	21,666	21,667	21,668
Franck J. Moison	21,666	18,334	21,667	21,666	21,667	21,668

Because Mr. Tangney retired from the Company effective February 1, 2012, all 150,000 of his outstanding stock options vested on February 1, 2012.

The amounts shown include dividend equivalents in the form of additional shares of restricted stock that have

accrued during the applicable vesting period.

The restricted stock awards shown in this column will vest as follows:

Named Officer	2/26/12	9/8/12	2/25/13	9/8/13	12/9/13	2/24/14	2/26/14	9/8/14
Ian Cook	93,668		67,597			59,693		
Dennis J. Hickey	7,556		5,559		20,541	4,959		
Michael J. Tangney	24,577		18,149			16,028		
Fabian T. Garcia	22,098		16,302			16,392	21,462	
Franck J. Moison	18,881	720	21,782	719		14,097		722

- 5 The market value of unvested restricted stock is calculated based on the closing price of the Company s common stock on December 31, 2011.
- Because
 Mr.
 Tangney
 retired from
 the
 Company

effective February 1, 2012 and all of his outstanding stock option awards vested on that date, his outstanding stock options expire on the earlier of their original expiration date (noted in column (f)) and February 1, 2015.

41

Option Exercises and Vesting of Previously Granted Restricted Stock Awards

The following table contains information about the number of shares acquired and value realized (including, in the case of restricted stock awards, dividends accrued during the vesting period) during 2011 upon the exercise or vesting of equity awards previously granted to each of the Named Officers.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

	Option	n Awa	ards	Stock Awards			
Name (a)	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) (b)		ue Realized 1 Exercise (\$) ¹ (c)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) (d)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ² (e)		
. ,	` ,			` ,		, í	
Ian Cook	270,000	\$	7,837,800	25,424	\$	2,002,393	
Dennis J. Hickey	56,500	\$	1,683,263	2,875	\$	225,950	
Michael J. Tangney	137,500	\$	4,242,663	8,953	\$	703,773	
Fabian T. Garcia	52,000	\$	1,292,980	13,616	\$	1,140,722	
Franck J. Moison	123,000	\$	3,411,895	6,569	\$	517,918	

Notes to the Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

The aggregate dollar amount realized upon the exercise of stock options is calculated based on the difference between the fair market

value of
the
Company s
common
stock on
the
exercise
date and
the
exercise
price of the
stock
option.

² The

aggregate

dollar

amount

realized

upon the

vesting of

restricted

stock

awards is

calculated

based on

the fair

market

value of

the

Company s

common

stock on

the vesting

date of

each

award.

42

Retirement Plans

The Named Officers are participants in and will receive retirement benefits under the Colgate-Palmolive Company Employees Retirement Income Plan (the Retirement Plan), a broad-based, tax-qualified retirement plan available generally to all U.S. employees who were eligible for the plan as of August 31, 2010, and the Colgate-Palmolive Company Supplemental Salaried Employees Retirement Plan (the Supplemental Retirement Plan), a non-qualified supplemental plan available to employees whose benefits under the Retirement Plan are subject to certain IRS limits. The Supplemental Retirement Plan provides only for payment of the portion of the Retirement Plan benefit that exceeds these IRS limits. Colgate s retirement programs, including these plans, are generally designed to provide the Company s long-service, retiring employees with fair and adequate replacement income based on then-prevailing market practice.

Under the Retirement Plan, benefits are determined in accordance with one of two formulas: (i) the final average earnings formula, the formula in effect under the Retirement Plan on June 30, 1989; or (ii) the Personal Retirement Account (PRA) formula, which was added to the Retirement Plan on July 1, 1989. Currently, the vast majority of the Company s eligible employees benefits are determined in accordance with the PRA formula.

All of the Company's salaried employees employed at June 30, 1989 were offered a one-time opportunity to elect to maintain the Retirement Plan's benefit under the final average earnings formula by making monthly contributions of 2% of recognized earnings up to the Social Security wage base and 4% of recognized earnings in excess of the wage base. Employees who made this election receive at retirement the greater of: (i) the benefit under the final average earnings formula or (ii) the sum of the benefit under the PRA formula plus the contributions made by the employee. Employees who did not make this election, and eligible employees hired on or after July 1, 1989 and before June 1, 2010, receive at retirement the benefit under the PRA formula. The final average earnings and PRA formulas are described in more detail below.

Following a review of its retirement benefits, effective September 1, 2010, the Company made several adjustments to its retirement programs, including the following: (i) allocating a larger portion of the Company's retirement benefit allocations to the Savings and Investment Plan, a defined contribution plan, rather than the Retirement Plan; (ii) simplifying the formula for determining monthly pay-based credits to the Retirement Plan; and (iii) determining interest credits under the Retirement Plan using long-term rates instead of short-term rates. The simplified formula and the interest crediting rate are described below under PRA Formula. New employees hired after June 1, 2010 are not eligible to participate in the Retirement Plan, but are eligible to participate in the Savings and Investment Plan. These changes were designed to help ensure that the Company continues to provide a level of benefits to employees, at a cost to the Company of providing such benefits, targeted at the median level for similar programs at peer companies.

For employees who receive the benefit under the final average earnings formula, the normal retirement age is 65, with early retirement available at age 55, if the applicable employee has been employed by the Company for ten years. The benefit payable upon early retirement is reduced by one- third of one percent for each month a person retires and begins collecting benefits before age 60. However, there is no reduction in the benefit if the participant has attained 85 years of combined age and service with the Company at the time of early retirement. For employees who receive the benefit under the PRA formula, the benefit payable upon the employee s departure from the Company at any age is the amount in the employee s account, provided the employee is vested in the benefit, as described in more detail below.

Total annual retirement benefits payable under the Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan are subject to a maximum of 70% of the sum of an individual s base salary at retirement plus cash-based executive incentive compensation awarded for services rendered in the calendar year immediately preceding retirement. Benefits under the final average earnings formula are subject to an offset for Social Security and certain other amounts. In addition, in February 2010, the Company amended the Supplemental Retirement Plan to further limit the benefits payable thereunder such that a participant s aggregate benefits under the Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan, as currently calculated and projected, may not exceed a cap of \$22.5 million when expressed as a lump sum.

Such cap will be increased at an annual rate of 6%. If an employee dies during retirement, the employee s spouse is entitled to receive a monthly pension equal to 50% of the employee s normal monthly retirement benefit for life. For approximately 400 employees, including the Named Officers, the employee s spouse is entitled to receive an additional monthly amount equal to 25% of the employee s normal monthly retirement benefit for life, if the employee dies during

retirement. However, this benefit is not available to the extent it would cause the total retirement benefit payable to the employee s spouse to exceed 100% of the employee s normal retirement benefit.

If the participant in question is a specified employee under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, there may be a six-month delay in the commencement of Supplemental Retirement Plan distributions, if triggered by the participant s termination or retirement.

Final Average Earnings Formula

Messrs. Cook, Hickey, Tangney and Moison made the one-time election in 1989 described above and, accordingly, will receive the greater of the final average earnings formula or PRA formula calculated using the pay-based credit schedule in effect on August 31, 2010. Benefits under the final average earnings formula are computed by multiplying final average earnings by the product of years of service and 1.8%. Final average earnings is defined as the average of an individual s highest recognized earnings for any three consecutive years during the ten years immediately preceding retirement. Recognized earnings for a particular year are set on February 1 each year, and consist of (i) the higher of the compensation earned by an employee during the previous year or (ii) his or her annual salary as of January 1 of the year in question plus the annual bonus paid to the employee in the previous year. Recognized earnings do not include the value of restricted stock awards or stock options. Employees retiring under the final average earnings formula may request that their retirement benefit under the Supplemental Retirement Plan be paid to them in a lump sum rather than an annuity. Such requests may be accepted or denied. If accepted, the lump sum value is calculated by projecting the annual benefit payable over the actuarially determined life of the participant and spouse, if applicable, and discounting each year s benefit back to the present using currently prevailing interest rates. This amount is limited to the present value of the benefit accrued through December 31, 2004, in accordance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. The residual value over the limitation applicable to the lump sum is paid in the form of an annuity.

PRA Formula

Eligible employees hired on or after July 1, 1989 and before June 1, 2010, and those hired before July 1, 1989 who did not make the one-time election referred to above, will receive at retirement the benefit under the PRA formula. Mr. Garcia, who joined the Company in 2003, will receive benefits under the PRA formula, which are determined as follows: On July 1, 1989, an account was established for each eligible person employed on June 30, 1989, with an opening balance equal to the greater of (i) the value of the pension then accrued under the final average earnings formula or (ii) an amount equal to the sum of the monthly pay-based credits that would have been made to the employee s account had the PRA always been in effect. For employees hired between July 1, 1989 and June 1, 2010, monthly pay-based credits accumulate in a PRA account established in the employee s name. Through August 31, 2010, these credits equaled a percentage of the employee s monthly recognized earnings determined in accordance with the following schedule:

Years of Service	Up to ¹ / ₄₈ of Social Security Wage Base	Over ¹ / ₄₈ of Social Security Wage Base
0 9	2.50 %	3.75 %
10 14	3.00 %	4.50 %
15 19	4.00 %	6.00 %
20 24	5.35 %	8.00 %
25 or more	7.50 %	11.25 %

The PRA formula was amended effective September 1, 2010 to provide for monthly pay-based credits equal to a percentage of the employee s monthly recognized earnings determined in accordance with the following schedule:

Years of Service	Basic Retirement Contributions
0 9	2.00 %
10 or more	2.50 %

In addition, eligible employees received additional allocations to their PRA accounts in September 2010 and September 2011 of 0.25% of their projected PRA balance as of August 31, 2010 for each full year of vesting service through August 31, 2010, up to a combined maximum of 15% based on 30 years of service.

Under the PRA formula, the employee s account receives a monthly credit for interest. The interest crediting rate is equal to the IRS Composite Corporate Bond Rate (not to exceed the Third Segment Rate, which is a rate defined under the Internal Revenue Code regulations for pension plans). This rate was 5.43% for 2011.

The balance of the employee's account vests based on his or her years of service as follows: two years 50%; three years 100%. Employees retiring under the PRA formula may elect to have their retirement benefit under the Supplemental Retirement Plan paid in a lump sum. If such request is made, the full benefit will be paid in a lump sum. Otherwise, benefits earned through December 31, 2004 will follow the form of benefit elected under the Retirement Plan and benefits earned after December 31, 2004 will be paid in a lump sum.

Pension Benefits

The following table shows the actuarial present value of each Named Officer s total accumulated benefit as of December 31, 2011 under the terms of the Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan, and assumes that each Named Officer elects a joint and survivor annuity at the time of retirement.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#) ¹	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$) ² (d)		Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$) (e)	
(a)	(b)	(c)				
Ian Cook	Retirement Plan	35.83	\$	2,164,540		
	Supplemental Retirement Plan	35.83	\$	20,307,460		
			\$	22,472,000		
Dennis J. Hickey	Retirement Plan	34.58	\$	1,888,753		
•	Supplemental Retirement Plan	34.58	\$	6,132,521		
			\$	8,021,274		
Michael J. Tangney	Retirement Plan	40.25	\$	2,248,400		
2 ,	Supplemental Retirement Plan	40.25	\$	16,159,666		
			\$	18,408,066		
Fabian T. Garcia	Retirement Plan	8.25	\$	75,396		
	Supplemental Retirement Plan	16.923	\$	430,809 3		
			\$	506,205		
Franck J. Moison	Retirement Plan	33.00	\$	2,232,367		
	Supplemental Retirement Plan	33.00	\$	10,084,834		
			\$	12,317,201		

Notes to the Pension Benefits Table

Except as described in footnote 3 below, the years in this

column represent the actual years worked for Colgate by the Named Officers as of December 31, 2011.

For Messrs. Cook, Hickey, Tangney and Moison, the amounts shown were calculated assuming credited service and final average earnings, as described above, as of December 31, 2011 and a discount rate of 4.9%. Accrued benefits were assumed to be payable at the earliest age at which each Named Officer is eligible to retire under each plan without any benefit reduction due to age. In addition, as noted above, the aggregate benefits payable to a participant under the Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan are subject to a cap of \$22.5 million, with such cap to be increased at an annual rate of 6%. Based on their respective ages and years of service at December 31, 2011, Messrs. Cook, Hickey, Tangney and Moison were eligible for retirement with full benefits. For more information regarding the assumptions used to

calculate the accrued benefits as of December 31, 2011, see Note 9 (Retirement Plans and Other Retiree Benefits) to the Company s Consolidated **Financial Statements** included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

For Mr. Garcia, the amounts shown reflect the value of his retirement benefits as of December 31, 2011 under the PRA formula described above.

As noted above, the Named Officers may request that a portion of their benefit under the Supplemental Retirement Plan be paid in the form of a lump sum. In such case, the lump sum amount payable as of December 31, 2011 would be as follows: Mr. Cook \$4,914,686;

Mr.

Hickey \$2,477,924;

Mr.

Tangney \$5,421,226;

and Mr.

Moison \$2,274,701.

The residual value

over the limitation

on the lump sum

value would be paid

in the form of an

annuity. Mr. Garcia is eligible to receive his full benefit of \$506,205 in a lump sum under the PRA formula.

For Mr. Garcia, the amount shown includes an enhancement to his years of credited service of 8.67 years that the Company agreed to give him upon his joining the Company to compensate him for forfeited benefits that he had earned in his previous employment. This enhancement resulted in an incremental benefit to Mr. Garcia of \$111,905.

Deferred Compensation Plan

Eligible employees, including the Named Officers, may elect annually to defer a portion of their salary and/or cash bonus under the Colgate-Palmolive Company Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan). Under this plan, participants can defer up to 75% of their salary and/or 100% of their cash bonus payable in the following calendar year. At the option of the participant, these amounts may be deferred to a specific date, at least five years from when the compensation is otherwise payable, or until retirement. Interest on deferred amounts is credited to the participant s account at the end of each calendar year and compounded annually. Interest accrues at a fixed rate equal to 120% of the Applicable Federal Rate (AFR) published by the Internal Revenue Service, which, for amounts deferred in 2011, equaled 1.91% and 4.02% for mid- and long-term rates, respectively. Mid- or long- term AFRs are used based on the length of the deferral period elected. Once established, the same rate remains in effect throughout the entire deferral period.

At the time of deferral, a participant must indicate whether he or she wishes to receive the amount deferred in either a lump sum or up to ten annual installments. If a participant is less than 55 years old and leaves or retires prior to the elected commencement date for distributions, the deferred amounts will be distributed immediately in a lump sum, regardless of the method of distribution originally elected by the participant. If a participant is 55 or older and leaves or retires prior to the elected commencement date for distributions, the deferred amounts will be paid according to the participant s original election. If the participant in question is a specified employee under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, there may be a six-month delay in the commencement of distributions, if triggered by the participant s termination or retirement. Changes to deferral elections and early withdrawals from deferred accounts are only permitted in extreme cases, such as unforeseen financial hardship which is demonstrated to the P&O Committee. Of the Named Officers, only Mr. Garcia has elected to participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan. Mr. Garcia deferred a portion of his annual cash bonus for 2010 (which was paid in 2011), and information about earnings on his deferral is included in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table.

Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan

Employees, including the Named Officers, whose earnings exceed certain applicable federal limitations on compensation that may be recognized under tax-qualified plans, such as the Savings and Investment Plan, are entitled to receive a supplemental contribution under the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan. The supplemental contribution is equal to the amount of the Company s matching contributions and retirement contributions that cannot be made under the Savings and Investment Plan due to certain federal tax limits. Under the Savings and Investment Plan, the Company matches a portion of employee contributions up to 6% of the employee s recognized earnings (as defined on page 44) and provides retirement contributions, subject to a maximum amount of recognized earnings under applicable federal tax regulations of \$250,000 in 2012 and \$245,000 2011. The supplemental contributions are allocated to the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan.

Interest is credited under the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan as follows:

Contributions allocated to the plan through December 31, 2002 realize investment results based on the performance of the

Company s common stock.

Contributions allocated to the plan from January 1, 2003 through September 30, 2010 were credited with interest at annual interest rates calculated on the same basis as under the Deferred Compensation Plan described above. Effective October 1, 2010, the interest crediting rate was adjusted so that these contributions are credited with interest at the rate of 6.01%.

Contributions allocated to the plan in December 2010 for 2010 matching contributions are credited with interest at the rate of 6.01%.

Contributions allocated to the plan for retirement contributions

beginning on September 1, 2010, and for matching contributions beginning on January 1, 2011, are credited with the same interest rate that applies under the Retirement Plan described on page 45.

Amounts allocated to the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan are distributed upon the participant s departure from the Company. If the participant in question is a specified employee under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, there may be a six-month delay in the commencement of distributions, if triggered by the participant s termination or retirement.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table shows information about the amount of contributions, earnings and balances for each Named Officer under the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan and, in the case of Mr. Garcia, the Deferred Compensation Plan as of December 31, 2011.

Name (a)	B Be	ggregate alance at ginning of Fiscal Year (\$)	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$) (b)		Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)1 (c)		E i		Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$) (e)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End (\$) ³ (f)	
Ian Cook	\$	151,576			\$	181,904	\$	30,778	\$	364,258	
Dennis J. Hickey	\$	538,830			\$	35,692	\$	68,218		642,740	
Michael J. Tangney	\$	1,080,530			\$	58,295	\$	130,595	•	5 1,269,420	
Fabian T. Garcia	\$	143,489	\$	100,0004	\$	79,385	\$	13,955	\$	336,829	
Franck J. Moison	\$	182,290			\$	49,893	\$	23,883		256,066	

Notes to the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table

These amounts represent Company contributions under the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan for 2011, which were allocated to the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan. These contributions

were also included in compensation reported for each Named Officer in column (i) of the Summary Compensation Table.

- These amounts represent the interest credited to each Named Officer during 2011 for amounts allocated under the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan and, in the case of Mr. Garcia, deferred under the Deferred Compensation Plan. For information regarding the calculation of interest earnings on these amounts,
- To the extent that an executive was a Named Officer for a reported year, these amounts, other than the portion attributable to accrued earnings, were

see page 47.

reported in previous proxy statements as compensation in the year of the executive s deferral (under the Deferred Compensation Plan or the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan) or the Company s contribution (under the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan), as applicable.

This amount represents the portion of Mr. Garcia s annual cash bonus for 2010 that he deferred under the Deferred Compensation Plan during 2011. This amount is also included in Mr. Garcia s compensation reported for 2010 in column (g) of the Summary Compensation

Table.

48

Executive Severance and Other Termination Benefits

The P&O Committee periodically reviews the appropriateness of the payment and benefit levels provided under the plans and programs described in this section, based on competitive market information and emerging best practices and governance trends. In particular, the Company s Executive Severance Plan (the Severance Plan) is subject to renewal every three years by the Board. During its most recent review of the Severance Plan in July 2010, the P&O Committee and the Board determined to reduce the bonus component of the severance amount, replacing the highest annual bonus award in the last five years with the average of the three highest annual bonus awards in the last five years. During its review in June 2007, the P&O Committee and the Board determined to reduce the maximum amount of severance payable under the plan from 36 months to 24 months, eliminated the tax gross-up provision in the plan and limited compensation under the plan to a level that maintains deductibility by the Company under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code.

Severance Plan

Change in Control. The Severance Plan is designed to provide participants with reasonable compensation if their employment is terminated following a change in control of the Company. Individual employees are assigned a particular severance level up to the maximum allowed under the plan (24 months) based on grade level and years of service, subject to individual negotiation from time to time in the case of new hires.

The P&O Committee selects participants from among the executive officers and other key personnel of the Company and has selected a group of approximately 150 participants, including the Named Officers. In addition to the Severance Plan, the Company has incorporated other arrangements relating to a change in control in its compensation and benefit plans, as described below.

Under the Severance Plan, if at any time within two years of a change in control of the Company, the Company terminates a Named Officer s employment or a Named Officer terminates employment due to an adverse change in his conditions of employment, such as a diminution in his position, authority or responsibilities, or a salary reduction (each a Qualified Termination), such Named Officer is entitled to receive an amount equal to (i) 24 months of compensation (defined as base salary as of the termination date plus the greater of (a) the average of his three highest bonus awards within the last five years or (b) the annual bonus he would have received for the year in which the Qualified Termination occurs assuming all performance targets had been met), plus (ii) the present value of additional retirement plan accruals the participant would have received had he remained employed until the end of the severance period, or age 65, if earlier, plus (iii) the continuation of medical, dental and life insurance benefits during the severance period. No severance payments are required if a Named Officer is terminated for cause, which is defined as willful and continued failure to substantially perform his duties or gross misconduct that is materially and demonstrably injurious to the Company.

Generally under the plan, a change in control is deemed to occur if: (a) any person, entity or group acquires 20% or more of the Company s outstanding shares of common stock or voting securities (other than securities acquired directly from the Company); (b) a majority of the board of directors as of the effective date of the Severance Plan is replaced (unless any subsequent board member is approved by at least a majority of the original incumbent board, who shall thereafter be considered an incumbent board member); (c) a reorganization, merger, consolidation or sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the Company s assets is consummated (other than under specific circumstances); or (d) a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company is approved by the Company s stockholders.

If an outside accounting firm were to determine that a payment under the Severance Plan would cause a Named Officer to exceed the statutory limit and subject him to tax under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then the Named Officer would receive a reduced amount.

In addition to the foregoing severance benefit, the Severance Plan provides for a payment within 30 days after the change in control, whether or not the Named Officer remains employed, of a pro-rated bonus for the year in which the change in control occurs. The pro-rated bonus paid may be used to offset any other bonus awarded for such year.

Termination for Company Convenience. Whether or not a change in control has occurred, if the Company terminates the employment of a Named Officer at the Company s convenience other than for cause, the

Company will pay in a lump sum an amount between 12 and 24 months of the Named Officer s base salary and continue to pay certain medical, dental and life insurance benefits for the same period. For employees who are eligible to receive benefits under the final average earnings formula described on page 44, the severance period and the period during which the Company continues such benefits ends upon the earlier of the Named Officer reaching age 65 or attaining 85 years of combined age and service with the Company. The Company is not required to make these payments if it terminates a Named Officer s employment for cause (as defined above) or if such officer voluntarily terminates his employment.

Other Change-in-Control Arrangements

Other arrangements relating to a change in control in the Company s compensation and benefit plans are as follows.

Equity Awards.

Under the Company s stock option plans, stock options held by employees and non-employee directors that are not yet exercisable become exercisable upon a change in control. Unvested restricted stock awards are considered earned in full and non-forfeitable (i) in the case of performance-based awards, upon a change in control, and (ii) in the case of all other awards, upon a Qualified Termination of employment (as defined above under Severance Plan).

Deferred Compensation Balances. Under the Severance Plan, participating employees are also entitled to receive within 30 days

following a change in control all amounts previously deferred by the employee under the Deferred Compensation Plan and amounts held in the employee s Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan account. For more information regarding the Deferred Compensation Plan and the Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan, see page 47.

Letter of Credit for Unfunded Retirement Plan.

With respect to the Supplemental Retirement Plan, which is an unfunded plan, the Company has arranged for a letter of credit that requires the issuing bank to fund the accrued benefits payable under this plan if the Company refuses to pay these benefits after a change in control. Funding would be made by payments to a trust, the assets of which would be subject to the claims of the Company s creditors if the Company were to

become insolvent.

Death and Disability Benefits

The Company provides additional benefits to approximately 1,000 employees, including the Named Officers, upon their death or disability. If a Named Officer dies while actively employed, his eligible survivors are entitled to an annuity equal to 20% of the Named Officer s recognized earnings (as defined on page 44) at the time of death. The benefit is payable until the Named Officer would have reached age 65. If the Named Officer s spouse is not living and his dependent children are under the age of 23, the benefit is paid to them until they reach age 23, or until the employee would have reached age 65, whichever is earlier.

Under the Long-term Disability Plan available to all U.S. employees, the Company generally provides long-term disability benefits based on an employee s earnings up to a maximum of \$300,000. Certain executives, including the Named Officers, receive additional benefits based on the amount of their earnings that exceed \$300,000, at no additional cost to them. If a Named Officer becomes disabled at or before age 60 while he is actively employed, he is entitled to receive these increased disability benefits until he reaches age 65. If a Named Officer becomes disabled after age 60 while he is actively employed, he is entitled to receive disability benefits until the earlier of the date on which he reaches age 70 or five years from the date he became disabled.

Deferred Compensation and Retirement Benefits

For information about the pension benefits payable to the Named Officers upon their retirement and deferred compensation balances, see pages 43 to 48. In addition to the post-retirement welfare benefits available to U.S. employees generally, approximately 1,000 employees, including the Named Officers, who have at least 10 years of service at retirement, can qualify for a post-retirement life insurance benefit equal to one-half of recognized earnings up to a maximum of \$750,000 in lieu of the Company s regular life insurance plan for retirees.

Equity Awards

The treatment, in general, of previously granted equity awards in the case of the termination of employment under the following circumstances is as follows:

Death, Disability or Retirement. All unvested restricted stock awards, including those subject to continued employment, will continue to vest and be distributed in accordance with their original vesting schedule, except, in the case of retirement, for special retention awards that are subject to continued employment. All outstanding stock options, whether or not previously exercisable, will be exercisable for a period of three years from the death, disability or retirement, as applicable, or until the end of the original term of the option, whichever is shorter.

Termination for Company
Convenience.
Where severance is paid following a termination of employment at the Company s convenience, the severance period is

counted in determining the vesting of restricted stock awards and stock options and whether the employee would have been eligible for retirement. If the employee would have been eligible for retirement during the severance period, equity awards are treated as outlined under Death, Disability or Retirement above. If not, any unvested restricted stock awards that would have vested during the severance period will continue to vest and be distributed in accordance with their original vesting schedule. Any unvested stock options that would have vested during the severance period will be vested upon termination and, together with any other vested stock options, will be exercisable for a period of three months or until the end of the original term of the option, whichever is shorter. Any remaining unvested restricted stock

awards and stock options will be forfeited.

Termination for Cause. Unvested restricted stock awards and both vested and unvested stock options are forfeited.

Resignation.
Unvested restricted stock and unvested stock option awards are forfeited. Vested stock options are exercisable for a period of three months after termination, or until the end of their original term, if shorter.

Change in Control. For a description of the treatment of equity awards following a change in control of the Company, see Other Change-in-Control Arrangements on page 50.

51

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The following table sets forth the estimated incremental payments and benefits that would be payable to each Named Officer upon termination of his employment or a change in control of the Company, assuming that the triggering event occurred at year-end 2011. These amounts would be incremental to the compensation and benefit entitlements described previously in this Proxy Statement that are not contingent upon a termination or change in control.

Change In Control		Involuntary Termination								
Without Qualified Namæerminatio	1 (With Qualified ermination ²	With Cause		Vithout Cause ³	Resignation	Death ^{4,5}	Di	isability ^{5,6} Retire	mei
Ian Cook	\$	9,061,561					\$ 4,047,782	\$	9,185,396	
Dennis J. Hickey	\$	2,703,503					\$ 423,219	\$	2,068,323	
Michael J. Tangney	\$	3,781,952						\$	1,893,202	
Fabian T. Garcia	\$	3,453,380		\$	835,161		\$ 2,772,373	\$	6,507,460	
Franck J. Moison	\$	3,042,599					\$ 1,717,491	\$	3,187,829	

Notes to the Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control Table

Change in
Control
without
Qualified
Termination.
As shown in
this column, if
there is a
change in
control but
there is no
Qualified
Termination
of the Named
Officer s

employment (as defined above under Severance Plan), the Named Officer would not be entitled to receive any incremental payment or benefit. However, the vesting or distribution of certain existing compensation reported previously in this Proxy Statement would be accelerated as follows:

Equity Awards. The

vesting of previously granted stock options and performance-based restricted stock awards would be accelerated as described under Other

Change-in-Control
Arrangements Equity
Awards on page 50.
All such awards were
reported on Forms 4
when granted and as
compensation in the
proxy statement for
the year of grant, to
the extent the
executive was a

Named Officer for that year. The estimated value as of year-end 2011 of the

previously granted awards that would be accelerated for the applicable Named Officers is as follows: Mr. Garcia \$4,286,821. The estimated value of restricted stock awards that would be accelerated was calculated based on the closing price of the Company s common stock on December 31, 2011. The estimated value of the stock options that would be accelerated was calculated based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock on December 31, 2011, and the applicable exercise price.

Pro-Rated Bonus.

The Named Officers would be entitled to receive a pro-rated portion of their annual cash bonus for the year in which the change in control occurs (reported as of year-end 2011 in column (g) of the Summary Compensation Table).

Deferred Compensation Balances. The Named Officers would be entitled to receive any amounts

previously deferred by or allocated to them under the Deferred Compensation Plan or Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan (reported as of year-end 2011 in column (f) of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table).

Change in **Control** with Qualified

Termination. This column consists of the following benefits under the Severance Plan described beginning on page 49: (i) severance payments, (ii) the value of accruals under Company retirement plans during the severance period and (iii) continuation of medical, dental and life insurance benefits during the severance period. The value of retirement

between the

present value of

additional

retirement plan

accruals that the

Named Officer

would have received had he remained employed until the end of the severance period, or age 65, if earlier, and the present value of retirement benefits payable at the change-in-control date without assuming future service. For more information regarding the assumptions used to calculate the present value of retirement benefits, see note 2 to the Pension Benefits Table. The additional medical, dental and life insurance benefits were valued based on the aggregate premiums paid by the Company for the applicable severance period.

(Notes continued on next page)

In addition to the amounts shown in this column, the vesting or distribution of certain existing compensation reported previously in this Proxy Statement would be accelerated as follows:

Equity Awards. The vesting of previously granted stock options and restricted stock awards would be accelerated as described under Other Change-in-Control Arrangements Equity Awards on page 50. All such awards were

reported on Forms 4 when granted and as compensation in the proxy statement for the year of grant, to the extent the executive was a

Named Officer for that year. The estimated value as of year-end 2011 of the previously granted awards that would be accelerated for the applicable Named

Officers is as follows: Mr.

Hickey \$1,897,780;

Mr.

Garcia \$8,248,455;

and Mr.

Moison \$726,072. For the assumptions used to calculate these amounts, see

note 1 above.

Pro-Rated Bonus.

The Named Officers would be entitled to receive a pro-rated portion of their annual cash bonus for the year in which the change in control occurs (reported as of year-end 2011 in column (g) of the Summary Compensation Table).

Retirement Accruals and **Deferred** Compensation Balances. The Named Officers would be entitled to receive their accrued retirement benefits (reported in the Pension Benefits Table) and any amounts previously deferred by or allocated to them under the Deferred Compensation Plan or Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan (reported as of year-end 2011 in column (f) of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table).

Involuntary Termination without Cause. Messrs. Cook, Hickey, Tangney and Moison are not

eligible for severance in the

event of termination for Company convenience under the Severance Plan because they are eligible for retirement with full benefits under the final average earnings formula described on page 44. This column shows the severance payment and the continuation of medical, dental and life insurance benefits during the severance period that would be payable to Mr. Garcia. For the assumptions used to calculate the additional retirement and insurance benefits, see note 2 above.

In addition to the amounts shown in this column, certain previously granted and unvested equity awards would be allowed to vest if they would have otherwise vested before the end of the severance period, as described under Termination for Company Convenience on page 51. All such awards were reported on Forms 4 when granted and as compensation in

the proxy statement for the year of grant, to the extent the executive was a Named Officer for that year. The estimated value as of year-end 2011 for awards that would be accelerated for the applicable Named Officers is as follows: Mr. Garcia \$2,818,765 and Mr. Moison \$726,072. For the assumptions used to calculate these amounts, see note 1 above. If the triggering event occurs at year-end, as assumed in the table above, each Named Officer would also be entitled to receive his annual cash bonus for the year in which the triggering event occurs (reported in column (g) of the Summary Compensation Table), his performance-based restricted stock award for the three-year performance cycle ending such year (reported in footnote 2 to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table) and any

amounts previously deferred by or

allocated to him under the Deferred Compensation Plan and Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan (reported in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table).

- **Death.** This column consists of a spousal annuity, the actuarial present value of which is calculated based on the lump sum of all of the annuities payable until the Named Officer would have reached 65. The amounts shown were calculated assuming an interest rate of 4.9%. No amount is shown for Mr. Tangney because he is over 65 years old and his children are over 23 years old.
- In addition to the amounts shown in this column, unvested restricted stock awards would continue to vest in accordance with their original vesting schedule and all outstanding stock options would be exercisable for a period of three years or until the

end of the original term, whichever is shorter, as described under Death, Disability or Retirement on page 51. All such awards were reported on Forms 4 when granted and as compensation in the proxy statement for the year of grant, to the extent the executive was a Named Officer for that year. The estimated value as of year-end 2011 for awards that would continue to vest for the applicable Named Officers is as follows: Mr. Hickey \$1,897,780; Mr. Garcia \$8,248,455; and Mr. Moison \$726,072. For the assumptions used to calculate these amounts, see note 1 above. If the triggering event occurs at year-end, as assumed in the table above, each Named Officer

would also be

(Notes continued on next page)

entitled to receive his annual cash bonus for the year in which the triggering event occurs (reported in column (g) of the Summary Compensation Table) and his performance-based restricted stock award for the three-year performance cycle ending such year (reported in footnote 2 to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table).

- Disability. This column consists of the actuarial present value of additional long-term disability benefits for which each Named Officer is eligible, as described more fully on page 50. The amounts shown were calculated assuming an interest rate of 4.9%.
- **Retirement.** As shown in this column, the Named Officers would not be entitled to receive any incremental payment or benefit upon retirement. In addition to the amounts shown in this column. unvested restricted stock awards, except those subject to continued employment, would continue to vest in accordance with their original vesting schedule and all outstanding stock options would be exercisable for a period of three years or until the end of the original term, whichever is shorter, as described under Death, Disability or Retirement on page 51. All such awards were reported on Forms 4 when granted and as compensation in the proxy statement for the year of grant, to the extent the executive was a Named Officer for that year.

Each Named Officer would be entitled to receive his retirement benefits under the Retirement Plan and Supplemental Retirement Plan, as described on pages 43 to 45. If the triggering event occurs at year-end, as assumed in the table above, each Named Officer would also be entitled to receive his annual cash bonus for the year in which the triggering event occurs (reported in column (g) of the **Summary Compensation** Table), his performance-based restricted stock award for the three-year performance cycle ending such year (reported in footnote 2 to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table), and any amounts previously deferred by or allocated to him under the Deferred Compensation Plan and Supplemental Savings and Investment Plan (reported as of year-end 2011 in column (f) of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table) in accordance with the distribution schedule elected by the Named Officer.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Compensation for the non-employee directors is set by the Board at the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The substantial majority of the compensation paid to the non-employee directors is in the form of Colgate equity pursuant to stockholder-approved plans that provide for fixed annual grants, as described below.

In 2011, non-employee director compensation consisted of the following, as applicable:

Annual Fee 2,600 shares of common stock

Annual Retainer \$25,000

Committee \$3,000 for the chair of each committee

Chairperson Fees

Stock Option Grant Options to purchase 4,000 shares of common stock

Expenses andReimbursement of travel and related expenses incurred in attending meetings; life and travel/accident insurance; and Charitable Matching Gifts Program available to U.S.

employees as described below

Mr. Cook does not receive any compensation for serving on the Board.

Deferral of Compensation

Under the Colgate-Palmolive Company Non-Employee Director Stock Plan (the Director Stock Plan), approved by the Company s stockholders in 2006, directors may elect to defer all or a part of their annual stock compensation. Deferred stock compensation is credited to a stock unit account, the value of which reflects changes in the market price of the Company s common stock and dividends paid. No interest is paid on deferred balances. The directors also may elect to receive cash in lieu of up to 25% of the shares of the Company s common stock granted and not deferred under the Director Stock Plan solely for the purpose of satisfying related tax obligations.

Directors may elect to defer all or a part of their cash compensation under the Colgate-Palmolive Company Restated and Amended Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors. As with the Director Stock Plan, deferred fees are credited to a stock unit account, the value of which reflects changes in the market price of the Company s common stock and dividends paid. No interest is paid on deferred balances. Under both plans, distributions are made in shares of the Company s common stock in annual installments or by lump sum in accordance with the distribution election made by the director.

The table included in Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers includes information concerning directors who have elected to defer their fees.

Election to Purchase Stock

Directors may elect to purchase the Company s common stock with all or a portion of their cash compensation. Shares of the Company s common stock that represent committee chairperson fees are purchased on behalf of directors who make this election at the beginning of the year, and shares that represent the annual retainer are purchased after the end of the year. In both cases, shares are purchased on behalf of directors on the third business day following the announcement of the Company s annual earnings.

Director Compensation

The following table shows the compensation earned by each non-employee director in 2011.

Name (a)	Earned or id in Cash (\$) ¹ (b)	Stock Awards (\$) ² (c)		Option Awards (\$) ³ (d)		All Other Compensation (\$) ⁴ (g)		Total (\$) (h)	
John T. Cahill	\$ 26,500	\$	220,558	\$	48,828	\$	10,158	\$	306,044
Helene D. Gayle	\$ 80,140 5	\$	165,419 5	\$	48,828	\$	1,908	\$	296,295
Ellen M. Hancock	\$ 83,140 5	\$	165,419 5	\$	48,828	\$	10,158	\$	307,545
Joseph Jimenez	\$ 25,000 6	\$	220,558 6	\$	48,828	\$	1,908	\$	296,294
David W. Johnson ⁷	\$ 14,000	\$		\$		\$	679,926 7	\$	693,926
Richard J. Kogan	\$ 28,000	\$	220,558	\$	48,828	\$	10,158	\$	307,544
Delano E. Lewis	\$ 83,140 5	\$	165,419 5	\$	48,828	\$	9,408	\$	306,795
J. Pedro Reinhard	\$ 25,000	\$	220,558	\$	48,828	\$	1,908	\$	296,294
Stephen I. Sadove	\$ 25,000	\$	220,558	\$	48,828	\$	10,158	\$	304,544

Notes to the Director Compensation Table

Consists of an annual retainer and committee chair fees, as described above.

This column reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards granted to each director in 2011. As noted above, directors receive an annual grant of 2,600 shares of the Company s common stock. The grant date fair value of stock awards granted in 2011 to each director was \$84.83 per share, based on the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant.

As noted above, directors receive an annual grant of 4,000 stock options. The key terms of such stock options granted in 2011 are as follows: (a) the exercise price is equal to the closing price of the Company s stock on the date of grant, (b) the term is six years and (c) they vest in equal annual installments over three years.

The grant date fair value of stock options

to each director was \$12.21 per option. The estimated value of options is calculated using the **Black-Scholes** option valuation model. For a description of the assumptions used to calculate the amounts shown in this column, see Note 7 (Capital Stock and Stock-Based Compensation Plans) to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

granted in 2011

The aggregate number of stock options outstanding for each director as of December 31, 2011 was as follows: Mr. Cahill 25,000; Dr. Gayle 7,334; Mrs. Hancock 40,000; Mr. Jimenez 7,334; Mr. Johnson 20,000; Mr. Kogan 32,000;

Mr. Lewis 8,001; Mr.

Reinhard 24,000

and Mr. Sadove 18,334. The amounts shown include (a) the value of Company-paid life insurance premiums and (b) matching charitable donations contributed by the Company in the director s name pursuant to the Charitable **Matching Gifts** Program, which is available to all directors, U.S. retirees and U.S. employees who are actively employed on a full time basis and have completed at least one year of service. Under the Charitable **Matching Gifts** Program, the Company matches contributions to schools and other eligible institutions up to a maximum of \$8,000 per

> individual per year. Eligible gifts up to \$250 are matched on a 2:1 basis with all other eligible gifts up to

\$7,750 matched on a 1:1 basis. The Company does not match certain gifts such as contributions to organizations that are not tax-exempt, dues to alumni or similar groups, tuition payments, contributions to school funds or associations that are not used exclusively to support educational purposes of the institution and any gift for which the donor receives a substantial benefit.

- Dr. Gayle, Mrs. Hancock and Mr. Lewis each elected to receive 25% of her or his annual stock fee in cash to satisfy tax obligations pursuant to the procedure described on page 55.
- 6 Mr. Jimenez elected to defer the cash retainer and the stock award he earned in 2011 pursuant to the procedure described on page 55.

(Notes continued on next page)

Mr. Johnson retired as a director of the Company effective May 6, 2011. Following his retirement, in May 2011, Mr. Johnson received a distribution of 7,763 shares of Common Stock from an account the Company created in his name upon the termination of the Pension Plan for Outside Directors as of December 31, 1996. On the termination date of that plan, the account was credited with common stock units representing the accrued value under the plan as of that date. In addition, in honor of Mr. Johnson s long service as a Colgate director, following his retirement in May 2011, the Company made a one-time \$10,000 donation to an international scholarship program at the University of Chicago in Mr. Johnson s name.

7

STOCK OWNERSHIP

Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

Directors and executive officers of the Company own significant amounts of Company stock. Under the Company s stock ownership guidelines, non-employee directors are required to own stock equal in value to at least five times their annual stock retainer, and executive officers of the Company are required to own stock equal in value to at least two to eight times their salary, depending on their grade level.

The following table shows the beneficial ownership of Common Stock of each director, each of the Named Officers appearing in the Summary Compensation Table and the directors and executive officers (including the Named Officers) as a group. Beneficial ownership as used here means more than ownership as that term is commonly used. For example, a person beneficially owns Colgate stock not only if he or she holds it directly, but also if he or she has (or shares) the power to vote or sell the stock indirectly (for example, through a relationship, a position as a director or trustee, or a contract or understanding). Beneficial ownership also includes shares a person has the right to acquire within 60 days, for example, through the exercise of a stock option.

Common Stock Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership^{1,2}

Name of Beneficial Owner	Directly Owned	Exercisable Options ³	Common Stock Units	Held by Savings & Investment Plan Trustee ⁴
Ian Cook ⁵	368,532	1,219,999		48,450
Dennis J. Hickey ⁶	124,094	115,999		24,053
Michael J. Tangney	454,241	522,000		68,049
Fabian T. Garcia	36,902	183,666		3,960
Franck J. Moison	31,435	255,132		17,253
Nikesh Arora ^{7, 8}				
John T. Cahill ⁹	15,694	20,999	9,456 10	
Helene D. Gayle ⁸	3,575	3,555		
Ellen M. Hancock ¹¹	36,538	31,999	39,561 10	

Joseph Jimenez ⁸	1,625	3,555	3,273 10	
Richard J. Kogan	43,516	27,999		
Delano E. Lewis	4,956	4,000	7,895 10	
J. Pedro Reinhard	103	19,999	16,897 10	
Stephen I. Sadove ¹²	15,479	14,333		
All directors and executive officers as a group (32 persons)	1,551,122	3,659,157	77,082	346,436

Notes to the Stock Ownership Table

Information about Common Stock holdings is as of March 12, 2012, the record date for the Annual Meeting. Unless stated otherwise in these notes, each person named in the table owns his or her shares directly and has sole voting and investment power over

Each person named in the table beneficially owns less

such shares.

than 0.25% of the outstanding Common Stock, except for Mr. Cook who owns 0.33%. The directors and executive officers as a group beneficially own 1.1% of the outstanding Common

Stock.

- This column includes options that are exercisable on or before May 11, 2012, which is 60 days after March 12, 2012. As of March 12, 2012, a total of 21,818,464 options were outstanding under the Company s stock option plans and 8,724,354 shares were
- Consists of Common

available for future grants.

Stock

credited to

executive

officers

under the

Company s

Savings and

Investment

Plan. The

Company

issues,

under its

Savings and

Investment

Plan,

Common

Stock to a

trustee

acting on

behalf of the

Savings and

Investment

Plan.

Employees

who

participate

in this plan,

including

the Named

(Notes continued on next page)

Officers, have voting power over such shares allocated to their accounts under the plan, subject to the right of the plan trustee to vote shares if a participant fails to do so. Participants have no investment power over such shares until they are distributed or diversified at the participant s election in accordance with the terms of the plan.

- Mr. Cook s holdings include 235,938 shares of Common Stock owned jointly with his spouse.
- Mr. Hickey s holdings include 108,161 shares of Common Stock owned jointly with his spouse.
- In accordance with the Director Stock Plan and the Colgate-Palmolive Company 2005 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan, Mr. Arora, who was first elected to the Board effective March 15, 2012, will receive pro-rata grants in 2012 of 2,167 shares of Common Stock and 3,334 stock options on May 14, 2012.
- Mr. Arora was first elected to the Board effective March 15, 2012 and Dr. Gayle and Mr. Jimenez were first elected to the Board effective March 1, 2010. Directors have five years from the date of their initial election to meet the Company s stock ownership guidelines.

- Mr. Cahill s holdings include 10,397 shares of Common Stock owned by the John Tobin Cahill Revocable Trust.
- 10 Consists of Common Stock units credited to one or more of the following accounts: (i) a deferred account under the Director Stock Plan; (ii) a deferred account under the Restated and Amended Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors; or (iii) an account representing the accrued value under the Pension Plan for Outside Directors that was terminated as of December 31, 1996. In each case, the holder of Common Stock units has no voting or investment power over such units.
- Mrs. Hancock s holdings include 17,164 shares of Common Stock owned jointly with her spouse.
- Mr. Sadove s holdings include 9,879 shares of Common Stock held through a grantor retained annuity trust.

Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth information regarding persons or groups known to the Company to be beneficial owners of more than 5% of the Company s outstanding Common Stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned as of December 31, 2011	Percent of Common Stock Outstanding as of December 31, 2011
State Street Corporation ¹	37,721,6472	7.8 %
State Street Financial Center		
One Lincoln Street		
Boston, MA 02111		

Notes to the Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners Table

- 1 State Street Bank and Trust Company (State Street), a subsidiary of State Street Corporation, is the trustee of the Colgate-Palmolive Company Employee Stock Ownership Trust (the Trustee).
- On a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by State Street Corporation on February 13, 2012, State Street Corporation reported that, as of December 31, 2011, it beneficially owned 37,721,647 shares of Common Stock over which it had shared voting and dispositive power and State Street,

acting in various capacities, reported that, as of December 31, 2011, it beneficially owned 25,595,258 shares over which it had shared voting and dispositive power.

For information regarding the voting of shares allocated to the Colgate-Palmolive **Employee Stock** Ownership Plan participants, please see Voting Procedures Voting by Employees Participating in the Company s Savings and Investment Plan. The Trustee will vote unallocated shares in the same proportion in which allocated shares are voted.

60

Compliance with Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company s directors and executive officers and any persons owning more than 10% of a class of the Company s stock to file reports with the SEC and the NYSE regarding their ownership of the Company s stock and any changes in such ownership. The Company undertakes to file such reports on behalf of its directors and executive officers pursuant to a power of attorney given to certain attorneys-in-fact. Based on the Company s review of copies of these reports and officer and director certifications, the Company believes that all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its directors and executive officers were complied with during 2011, except that (1) on November 17, 2011, a Form 4 was filed on behalf of Delano E. Lewis reporting four gifts of 50 shares each on December 8, 9 and 20, 2010; (2) on August 9, 2011, a Form 4 was filed on behalf of Alexandre de Guillenchmidt, rather than on August 8, 2011, for a sale of 2,176 shares that occurred on August 4, 2011; and (3) on January 26, 2012 an amendment to a Form 4 filed on May 20, 2011, was filed on behalf of Francis M. Williamson reporting a transaction date of May 13, 2011, rather than May 18, 2011, for the sale of 8,700 shares.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Colgate has a longstanding policy prohibiting its directors, officers and employees from entering into transactions that present actual or potential conflicts of interest. This policy is reflected in the Company s Code of Conduct, Business Practices Guidelines and Director Independence Standards. In addition, the Board has adopted a written policy regarding related person transactions which supplements these policies by establishing additional procedures for monitoring and reviewing and, if appropriate, approving or ratifying, these types of transactions. The policy covers any related person transaction , as defined under SEC rules, which generally includes any transaction, arrangement or relationship involving more than \$120,000 in which the Company or any of its subsidiaries was, is or will be a participant and in which a related person has a material direct or indirect interest. Related persons means directors and executive officers and their immediate family members, and shareholders owning five percent or more of Colgate s outstanding stock.

The Company s Corporate Legal Department, together with the Corporate Controller s Department, is responsible for monitoring compliance with these policies and procedures. In the rare instance where a related person transaction is determined to provide a material benefit to the Company and its stockholders, the transaction must be submitted to the independent directors of the Board may approve or ratify the transaction in accordance with the procedures for review and approval or ratification described in the policy. In the course of its review of related person transactions, the independent directors of the Board will consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances that are available to them, including but not limited to: (i) the benefits to the Company, (ii) in a transaction involving a director, the impact on the director s independence, (iii) the availability of comparable products or services, (iv) the terms of the transaction and (v) whether the transaction is proposed to be on terms more favorable to the Company than terms that could have been reached with an unrelated third party.

Nikesh Arora, who was elected to the Company s Board effective March 15, 2012, is Senior Vice President and Chief Business Officer of Google, Inc. During 2011, in the ordinary course of business the Company purchased advertising from Google, Inc. on arm s length terms, totaling approximately \$7 million. In accordance with the Company s Related Person Transaction Policy, these transactions were referred to the independent directors of the Board for their approval and they concluded the transactions are in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Based on the Company s review of its transactions, there were no other transactions considered to be a related person transaction during 2011.

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

The following four proposals will be presented at the meeting for your vote. When voting by internet or telephone, you will be instructed how to vote for or against or abstain from voting on these proposals. If you received a printed copy of your proxy materials, space is provided on the proxy card to vote for or against or abstain from voting on each of the proposals.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board has nominated ten people for election as directors at the Annual Meeting. All nominees are currently serving as directors of the Company. All nominees, except Mr. Arora, were elected at the 2011 Annual Meeting. Mr. Arora was elected by the Board effective March 15, 2012. If you elect these nominees, they will hold office until the next Annual Meeting or until their successors have been elected and qualified. For information about Colgate s by-law provisions regarding the election of directors, please see Majority Voting in Director Elections.

The nominees are Nikesh Arora, John T. Cahill, Ian Cook, Helene D. Gayle, Ellen M. Hancock, Joseph Jimenez, Richard J. Kogan, Delano E. Lewis, J. Pedro Reinhard and Stephen I. Sadove. Biographical information regarding the nominees and information regarding the skills and qualifications of the nominees appears on pages 9 to 14 of this Proxy Statement.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the nominees for director listed above.

PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We are asking you to ratify the Audit Committee s selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2012. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has audited the accounts of the Company since May 2002. The Board considers it desirable to continue the services of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

The fees billed or expected to be billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for professional services rendered to the Company during 2011 and 2010 are set forth below. The Audit Committee has concluded that the provision to the Company of the non-audit services by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP described below did not and does not impair or compromise their independence. All such services were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with the pre-approval policy described on page 63.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Fees

(in millions)

	2	2011	2	2010
Audit Fees	\$	11.1	\$	10.3
Audit-Related Fees		0.2		0.2
Tax Fees		4.5		4.1
All Other Fees				
Total	\$	15.8	\$	14.6

Audit Fees

These amounts represent fees billed or expected to be billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for professional services rendered for the audits of the Company s annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the reviews of the financial statements included in the Company s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and services related to statutory and regulatory filings and engagements for such fiscal years.

62

Audit-Related Fees

These amounts represent fees billed or expected to be billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for professional services rendered that were reasonably related to the performance of the audits or the reviews of the Company s financial statements in 2011 and 2010 (but which are not included under Audit Fees above). Audit-Related fees consist primarily of certain agreed-upon procedures engagements.

Tax Fees

These amounts represent fees billed or expected to be billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for professional services rendered relating to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning in various tax jurisdictions around the world. This category includes fees of \$2.6 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 related to tax compliance services for the Company s expatriate employee programs. The remaining fees were associated with assistance in tax return filings, tax audits and refund claims, as well as advice on interpretation of and compliance with tax laws and tax valuation services (aggregating \$1.9 million and \$1.5 million in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively).

All Other Fees

None.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of all audit and permitted non-audit services that may be performed by the Company s independent registered public accounting firm. Under this policy, each year, at the time it engages the independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee pre-approves the audit engagement terms and fees and may also pre-approve detailed types of audit-related and permitted tax services, subject to certain dollar limits, to be performed during the year. All other permitted non-audit services are required to be pre-approved by the Audit Committee on an engagement-by-engagement basis. The Audit Committee may delegate its authority to pre-approve services to one or more of its members, whose activities are reported to the Audit Committee at each regularly scheduled meeting.

Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have the opportunity to make a statement and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2012.

PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Company asks that you indicate your support for the executive compensation, as described in this Proxy Statement, of the executive officers of the Company named in the Summary Compensation Table appearing on page 35. The Company is providing stockholders with this vote pursuant to Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Company currently intends to submit the executive compensation to an advisory vote annually, consistent with the advisory vote of the stockholders at the Company s 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Board of Directors is asking you to cast a non-binding advisory vote on the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Colgate-Palmolive Company (the Company) approve the compensation of the

Company s executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, as disclosed in the proxy statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of the Company s stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (which disclosure includes the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the executive compensation tables and the related footnotes and narrative accompanying the tables).

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis, beginning on page 22, describes the Company s executive compensation programs and the compensation decisions made by the Personnel and Organization Committee

63

and the Board of Directors in 2011 with respect to the Chief Executive Officer and the other officers named in the Summary Compensation Table (referred to as the Named Officers). As described in detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and highlighted in the section captioned Executive Summary, the key principle underlying the Personnel and Organization Committee's compensation philosophy is pay for performance and, in 2011, 70-90% of total compensation paid to Colgate's Named Officers was performance-based, with incentive award payouts varying based on the Company's business performance and, in the case of stock options, the performance of the Company's common stock. This direct link between incentive payments and achievement of business goals and shareholder value has helped drive the Company's strong and consistent performance year after year.

For these reasons, the Board is asking you to support this proposal. Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Board. However, the Board and the Personnel and Organization Committee will review the voting results in their entirety and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the executive compensation of the Company s Named Officers, as described in this Proxy Statement.

PROPOSAL 4: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL

John Chevedden, 2215 Nelson Avenue, No. 205, Redondo Beach, California 90278, owner of at least 50 shares of Common Stock, has informed the Company in writing that he intends to offer the following resolution for consideration at the Annual Meeting.

Proposal 4 Independent Board Chairman

RESOLVED: Shareholders request that our board of directors adopt a policy that, whenever possible, the chairman of our board of directors shall be an independent director (by the standard of the New York Stock Exchange), who has not previously served as an executive officer of our Company. This policy should be implemented so as not to violate any contractual obligations in effect when this resolution is adopted. The policy should also specify how to select a new independent chairman if a current chairman ceases to be independent between annual shareholder meetings.

To foster flexibility, this proposal gives the option of being phased in and implemented when our next CEO is chosen.

When a CEO serves as our board chairman, this arrangement may hinder our board s ability to monitor our CEO s performance. Many companies have an independent Chairman. An independent Chairman is the prevailing practice in the United Kingdom and many international markets. Transition to an independent chairman is particularly important at our company because we did not even have a Lead Director.

An independent Chairman can enhance investor confidence in our Company and strengthen the integrity of our Board. This proposal topic won 50%-plus support at four companies in 2011.

The merit of this Independent Board Chairman proposal should also be considered in the context of the opportunity for additional improvement in our company s 2011 reported corporate governance status in order to more fully realize our company s potential:

The Corporate Library www.thecorporatelibrary.com, an independent investment research firm rated our company
High Concern in executive pay \$15 million for our CEO Ian Cook. Mr. Cook received 355,000 stock options valued at
\$3.9 million in 2010 while also realizing nearly \$5.3 million on the exercise of 176,000 options.

Our company had not implemented clawback provisions to recoup unearned executive incentive pay awards. A

significant portion of long-term equity given to our Named Executive Officers consisted of stock options that simply vested after time.

Equity awards should have performance-vesting features in order to assure full alignment with shareholder interests. Market-priced stock options can give our executives rewards due to a rising market alone, regardless of executive performance. These facts suggested that executive pay practices were not aligned with shareholder interest.

Directors with 15 to 23 years tenure held four seats on our key board committees: Richard Kogan and Ellen Hancock. As tenure increases director independence declines. This included Mr. Kogan s chairmanship of our Executive Pay Committee.

Our newest directors, Helene Gayle and Joseph Jimenez, did not serve on any other significant boards. However Mr. Jimenez had failed attendance at a board that he retired from, Blue Nile (NILE).

On the other hand, an independent Chairman policy can further enhance investor confidence in our Company and strengthen the integrity of our Board. Please encourage our board to respond positively to this proposal for an Independent Board Chairman Yes on 4.

Company Response

Your Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST this stockholder proposal for the following reasons:

The Board is truly independent and has an independent lead director with the authority to ensure proper checks and balances.

With the exception of Ian Cook, the Chairman, President and CEO, the Board is composed entirely of independent directors. The independent directors meet at each regularly scheduled Board meeting in separate executive sessions without Mr. Cook present. These sessions are led by an independent lead director, who is selected by and from the independent directors for a one-year term. Colgate has long been committed to having an independent lead director, having established the role of Presiding Director in 2003 and expanded the role in 2006 and again in 2012 when it changed the title to Lead Director. The role of the Lead Director is clearly delineated in the Company's corporate governance guidelines, entitled Board Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues and available on Colgate's website, www.colgatepalmolive.com. The duties of the Lead Director are to:

Preside at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present (including the executive sessions of independent directors);

Establish agendas for the executive sessions in consultation with the other directors:

Review proposed Board meeting agendas;

Serve as liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman (although all independent directors are encouraged to communicate freely with the Chairman);

Review, at his or her discretion, the information to be sent to the Board;

Review meeting schedules to ensure there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items;

Call meetings of the independent directors, as appropriate; and

Be available (as deemed appropriate by the Board) for consultation and direct communication with stockholders.

Stephen Sadove, who currently serves as Lead Director, has five years of experience on Colgate s Board and has experience serving on other public company boards, serving as Chairman of the Board of Saks Incorporated since May 2007 and as a director of Ruby Tuesday Inc. since 2002.

One of the Company s longstanding governance practices is that all of the members (including the chairs) of the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board s compensation committee (known as the Personnel and Organization Committee) are independent directors, nominated to the committees by the

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. This, when coupled with the independent composition of the Board as described above, ensures that independent directors guide all critical matters, such as the integrity of the Company s financial statements, Chief Executive Officer and senior management compensation, Board evaluation and selection of directors. In addition, the Board has long had established governance guidelines, which, as noted above, are available on Colgate s website.

The Board and the Company are committed to the highest standards of corporate governance.

Colgate s corporate governance practices and policies are described in the section of this Proxy Statement entitled, Governance of the Company. As discussed in that section, Colgate has had a longstanding commitment to good corporate governance and has been recognized by governance rating

agency GovernanceMetrics International, among others, for its good corporate governance, earning a rating of 10.0 out of 10.0 in March 2012.

Reflecting the Board s commitment to continuous improvement, the Board reviews its governance practices on an ongoing basis to ensure that they promote shareholder value. As a result of this review, the Board has made a number of enhancements in recent years. For example, the Board voluntarily provided stockholders an advisory vote on executive compensation at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, a year before the Company was required to do so by law. During 2011, the Board adopted a hedging policy that prohibits Colgate s directors, officers and employees who receive stock-based compensation from engaging in transactions to hedge against declines in the value of Colgate s stock. In addition, in the first quarter of 2012, the Board adopted a clawback policy that would permit recoupment of incentive awards made to executive officers if the financial results on which such awards were based were subsequently restated and the executive officer s intentional misconduct contributed to the restatement.

An absolute rule to separate the Chairman and CEO roles is not in the best interests of stockholders.

Stockholders are best served if the Board retains flexibility to decide what leadership structure works best for the Company based on the facts and circumstances existing from time to time. In fact, Colgate has adapted its approach over time. During the transition of the position of Chief Executive Officer from Reuben Mark to Ian Cook during the second half of 2007 and 2008, Mr. Mark served as Chairman of the Board while Mr. Cook served as Chief Executive Officer. Currently, Mr. Cook serves as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. Colgate s small, independent Board, with its proactive Lead Director and independent committee chairs, ensures that the Board, and not the Chairman alone, determines the Board s focus. The Chairman is guided by these strong independent leaders and having the Chief Executive Officer serve as the Chairman presents a bridge to management that helps provide the Board with the management support it needs. Based on these considerations, the Board believes that this remains the best leadership structure for the Company at this time and that, operating under this structure, the Board is very effective in guiding the Company and representing the interests of the stockholders. The Board believes that retaining the flexibility to determine the best Board leadership structure based on the circumstances in effect from time to time best protects the interests of the stockholders.

For these reasons, the Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST this proposal.

OTHER INFORMATION

Future Stockholder Proposals

Under the rules of the SEC, if you wish us to include a proposal in the Proxy Statement for next year s Annual Meeting, we must receive it no later than November 28, 2012.

Under the Company s by-laws, if you wish to submit a proposal for consideration at next year s Annual Meeting, the Secretary of the Company must receive your proposal at least 60 days but not more than 90 days prior to the date of the meeting. Generally, the Company holds its Annual Meeting during the first or second week of May. Your proposal also must comply with certain information requirements set forth in the Company s by-laws. You may obtain a copy of the Company s by-laws from the Secretary. These requirements apply to any matter that a stockholder wishes to raise at the Annual Meeting other than pursuant to the procedures set forth in Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act. The deadline under the Company s by-laws for receiving proposals for consideration at this year s Annual Meeting was March 12, 2012.

Nominations for Director

Nominations for directors of the Company may be made at a stockholders meeting by the Board or by any stockholder of the Company who complies with the information and timely notice requirements of the Company s by-laws. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director nominees recommended by stockholders in writing if such candidates meet Colgate s criteria for Board membership, as described in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Report. The deadlines for nominations for this year s and next year s Annual Meetings are the same as those described above under Future Stockholder Proposals.

Cost and Methods of Soliciting Proxies

We pay the cost of soliciting proxies for the meeting. Proxies may be solicited in person by our employees, or by mail, courier, telephone, facsimile or e-mail. In addition, we have retained D.F. King & Co. Inc. to solicit proxies by mail, courier, telephone, facsimile and e-mail. We will pay a fee of approximately \$23,000 to D.F. King & Co. plus expenses for these services.

Information Regarding Householding

Unless they have previously requested electronic delivery of proxy materials, we have sent to certain of our registered stockholders who have the same address and last name a single copy of this Proxy Statement and the 2011 Annual Report and one proxy card for each stockholder and, to all other such stockholders, a single envelope containing one Notice of Internet Availability for each stockholder that includes that stockholder s unique control number, which he or she will use to vote his or her shares, as described under Voting Procedures above. This procedure helps Colgate reduce printing and postage costs associated with the distribution of its proxy materials as well as helping to preserve the earth s valuable resources.

If, in the future, you do not wish to participate in householding and prefer to receive separate copies of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report or your Notice of Internet Availability in a separate envelope, please call us at (800) 850-2654 or (212) 310-2575 or inform us in writing at: Colgate-Palmolive Company, Attention: Investor Relations, 300 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10022. Or, if you are currently receiving separate copies of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report or Notice of Internet Availability at one address and would like to receive a single copy, please contact us at the phone numbers or mailing address listed in the previous sentence. We will respond promptly to such requests.

If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other holder of record, you can request information about householding from such holder of record.

Available Information

The Company s website address is www.colgatepalmolive.com. The information contained on the Company s website is not included as a part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Proxy Statement. The Company makes available, free of charge on its internet website, its annual reports on Form 10-K, its quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, its current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company has electronically filed such material with, or furnished it to, the SEC. Also available on the

67

Company s website are the Company s Code of Conduct and Corporate Governance Guidelines, the charters of the Committees of the Board and reports under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act of transactions in Company stock by the Company s directors and executive officers. Hard copies of these materials are also available free of charge from the Company s Investor Relations department by calling (800) 850-2654 or (212) 310-2575.

Other Business

As of the date of this Proxy Statement s printing, we do not intend to submit any matters to the meeting other than those set forth herein, and we know of no additional matters that will be presented by others. However, if any other business should come before the meeting and you have voted by proxy, the members of the Proxy Committee have discretionary authority to vote your shares with respect to such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

By order of the Board of Directors.

Andrew D. Hendry Chief Legal Officer and Secretary

68

ANNEX A

Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

	2008		2009		2010		2011	
Diluted Earnings Per Share As Reported GAAP	\$	3.66	\$	4.37	\$	4.31	\$	4.94
Restructuring		(0.21)						
Venezuela Hyperinflationary						(0.53)		
Termination Benefits						(0.12)		
Gain on Sale of Non-Core Product Lines						0.06		
Tax Initiatives						0.06		
Gain on Sale of Colombia Detergent Business								0.27
Business Realignment Initiatives								(0.30)
Mexico Land Sale								(0.02)
French Competition Law Matter								(0.04)
Diluted As Adjusted Earnings Per Share Non-GAAP	\$	3.87 A-1	\$	4.37	\$	4.84	\$	5.03

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS AND PROXY STATEMENT

Printed on Recycled Paper

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT VOTE BY INTERNET / TELEPHONE / MAIL 24 HOURS A DAY, 7 DAYS A WEEK

300 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-7499

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the internet to vote up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 10, 2012. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the website and follow the instructions.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to vote up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 10, 2012. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Detach the below proxy card. Mark, sign and date your proxy card. Return it in the postage-paid envelope enclosed or mail it to Colgate-Palmolive Company, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717, so we receive it by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 10, 2012.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M42224-Z57279-Z57280 KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees for director.

1. FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN

179

Election of directors				The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR proposals 2 and 3.
Nominees:				
1a. Nikesh Arora	o	o	o	2. Ratify selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Colgate s independent registered public accounting firm.
1b. John T. Cahill	o	o	o	3. Advisory Vote on Executive o o o o Compensation.
1c. Ian Cook	o	o	o	
1d. Helene D. Gayle	o	o	o	
1e. Ellen M. Hancock	o	o	o	The Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST proposal 4. FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN
1f. Joseph Jimenez	0	0	0	4. Stockholder Proposal on Independent o o o o Board Chair.
1g. Richard J. Kogan	o	o	o	
1h. Delano E. Lewis	o	o	o	This proxy when properly executed will be voted in the manner directed herein. If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted in accordance
1i. J. Pedro Reinhard	o	o	o	with the Board of Directors recommendations as specified above. In its discretion, the Proxy Committee is authorized to vote upon such other
1j. Stephen I. Sadove	o	o	o	business as may properly come before the meeting.

NOTE: Please sign within the box below exactly as name appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. When signing as corporate officer, please give full corporate name and officer s title.

Date

Signature [PLEASE SIGN Date WITHIN BOX]

Signature (Joint Owners)

ANNUAL MEETING OF COLGATE-PALMOLIVE COMPANY STOCKHOLDERS

Friday, May 11, 2012
Marriott Marquis Hotel
10:00 a.m.
Broadway Ballroom
1535 Broadway
(Between 45th and 46th Streets)
New York, NY 10036

Your vote is important to us. You may vote your proxy by internet, telephone or mail. Please vote your proxy at your earliest convenience even if you plan to attend the meeting. Voting instructions appear on the reverse side of this card. Your vote is held in confidence by our outside tabulator, Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc.

If you plan to attend the meeting, please fill out and mail separately the enclosed ticket request.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

M42225-Z57279-Z57280

COLGATE-PALMOLIVE COMPANY

Proxy Solicited by the Board of Directors for Annual Meeting on May 11, 2012

The undersigned hereby appoints as proxies, with full power of substitution to each, IAN COOK, ELLEN M. HANCOCK and DELANO E. LEWIS (the Proxy Committee) to vote as designated on the reverse side all shares that the undersigned would be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company to be held in New York, New York on May 11, 2012 or at any adjournments thereof. Action hereunder may be taken by a majority of said proxies or their substitutes who are present, or if only one be present, then by that one

You are encouraged to specify your choices by marking the appropriate boxes, SEE REVERSE SIDE. If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors recommendations as set forth on the reverse side of this card. The Proxy Committee cannot vote the shares unless you sign and return this card or vote by internet or telephone in accordance with the applicable instructions.

(Continued and to be signed on the reverse side.)