

COTT CORP /CN/
Form 10-K
February 29, 2016
Table of Contents

United States

Securities and Exchange Commission

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

x **Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended January 2, 2016**

.. **Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____**

Commission file number 001-31410

COTT CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

CANADA (State or Other Jurisdiction of	98-0154711 (IRS Employer
Incorporation or Organization)	Identification No.)
6525 VISCOUNT ROAD	L4V 1H6
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO, CANADA	
5519 WEST IDLEWILD AVENUE	
TAMPA, FLORIDA, UNITED STATES	33634
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (905) 672-1900 and (813) 313-1800	

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
COMMON SHARES WITHOUT NOMINAL OR	NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE
PAR VALUE	TORONTO STOCK EXCHANGE
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: NONE	

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12-12 of the
Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of July 4, 2015 (based on the closing sale price of \$9.82 for the registrant's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on July 2, 2015) was \$1,057.0 million.

(Reference is made to Part II, Item 5 for a statement of assumptions upon which the calculation is made).

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of February 19, 2016 was 109,907,005.

Documents incorporated by reference

Portions of our definitive proxy circular for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, to be filed within 120 days of January 2, 2016, are incorporated by reference in Part III. Such proxy circular, except for the parts therein which have been specifically incorporated by reference, shall not be deemed filed for the purposes of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>	4
<u>ITEM 1. BUSINESS</u>	4
<u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u>	13
<u>ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	25
<u>ITEM 2. PROPERTIES</u>	25
<u>ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	25
<u>ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	25
<u>SUPPLEMENTAL ITEM PART I. EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT</u>	26
<u>PART II</u>	28
<u>ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREOWNER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	28
<u>ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	32
<u>ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	33
<u>ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	58
<u>ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	60
<u>ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	60
<u>ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	60
<u>ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	61
<u>PART III</u>	62
<u>ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	62
<u>ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	62
<u>ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREOWNER MATTERS</u>	62
<u>ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	62
<u>ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	62
<u>PART IV</u>	63
<u>ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	63
<u>INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-1
<u>SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS</u>	F-71

Table of Contents

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in U.S. dollars. Unless otherwise indicated, all amounts in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are in U.S. dollars and U.S. GAAP.

Any reference to 2015, 2014 and 2013 corresponds to our fiscal years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015, and December 28, 2013, respectively.

Forward-looking statements

In addition to historical information, this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the reports and documents incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, may contain statements relating to future events and future results. These statements are forward-looking within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and applicable Canadian securities legislation and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, future expectations and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements of Cott Corporation to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such statements include, but are not limited to, statements that relate to projections of sales, earnings, earnings per share, cash flows, capital expenditures or other financial items, statements regarding our intentions to pay regular quarterly dividends on our common shares, and discussions of estimated future revenue enhancements and cost savings. These statements also relate to our business strategy, goals and expectations concerning our market position, future operations, margins, profitability, liquidity and capital resources. Generally, words such as anticipate, believe, continue, could, endeavor, estimate, expect, intend, may, will, plan, predict, project, and phrases are used to identify forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in the documents incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference. These forward-looking statements reflect current expectations regarding future events and operating performance and are made only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or events and, by their nature, are based on certain estimates and assumptions regarding interest and foreign exchange rates, expected growth, results of operations, performance, business prospects and opportunities and effective income tax rates, which are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties. Material factors or assumptions that were applied in drawing a conclusion or making an estimate set out in forward-looking statements may include, but are not limited to, assumptions regarding management's current plans and estimates, our ability to remain a low cost supplier, and effective management of commodity costs. Although we believe the assumptions underlying these forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of these assumptions could prove to be inaccurate and, as a result, the forward-looking statements based on those assumptions could prove to be incorrect. Our operations involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are outside of our control, and any one or any combination of these risks and uncertainties could also affect whether the forward-looking statements ultimately prove to be correct. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and those described from time to time in our future reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and Canadian securities regulatory authorities.

We undertake no obligation to update any information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or to publicly release the results of any revisions to forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances of which we may become aware of after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements.

All future written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

When used in this report, the terms Cott, the Company, our Company, Cott Corporation, we, us, or our me Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, collectively.

We incorporated in 1955 and are governed by the Canada Business Corporations Act. Our registered Canadian office is located at 333 Avro Avenue, Pointe-Claire, Quebec, Canada H9R 5W3 and our principal executive offices are located at 5519 W. Idlewild Avenue, Tampa, Florida, United States 33634 and 6525 Viscount Road, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada L4V 1H6.

Our Company

With the acquisition of DS Services of America, Inc. (DSS) in December 2014, we combined a leading provider in the direct-to-consumer beverage services industry with our traditional business, one of the world's largest producers of beverages on behalf of retailers, brand owners and distributors. We now have the largest volume-based national presence in the U.S. home and office delivery (HOD) industry for bottled water and one of the five largest national market share positions in the U.S. office coffee services (OCS) and filtration services industries. We reach over 1.5 million customers (approximately 60% commercial and 40% residential) through over 2,000 routes located across our national network supported by national sales and distribution facilities, as well as a fleet of over 2,000 vehicles. Our broad portfolio allows us to offer, on a direct-to-consumer basis, a variety of bottled water, coffee, brewed tea, water dispensers, coffee and tea brewers and filtration equipment. With the ability to cover approximately 90% of U.S. households, in terms of geography, we believe we have the broadest distribution network in the direct-to-consumer beverage services industry in the United States, which enables us to efficiently service residences and small and medium size businesses, as well as national corporations, universities and government agencies.

Our Operations

During 2015, our business operated through four reporting segments: DSS, Cott North America, Cott United Kingdom (Cott U.K.) and All Other (which includes our Mexico operating segment, Royal Crown International (RCI) operating segment and other miscellaneous expenses). We refer to our Cott North America, Cott U.K. and All Other reporting segments together as our traditional business . Our corporate oversight function (Corporate) is not treated as a segment; it includes certain general and administrative costs that are not allocated to any of the reporting segments. In December 2014, in connection with the acquisition of DSS (the DSS Acquisition), DSS was added as a fourth reporting segment. During the fourth quarter of 2013, management reviewed our reporting segments and decided to combine our Mexico, RCI and All Other reporting segments into one reporting segment classified as All Other.

DSS

Our DSS reporting segment provides direct-to-consumer bottled water, coffee and water filtration services to customers in North America. DSS products include bottled water, coffee, brewed tea, water dispensers, coffee and tea brewers and filtration equipment. DSS's net revenue was \$1,021.1 million and \$28.7 million, and represented 34.7% and 1.4% of our total net revenue for the fiscal years ended January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015, respectively (the fiscal year ended January 3, 2015 reflects two weeks of DSS operations following the closing of the acquisition of DSS in December 2014).

Traditional Business

Our traditional business consists of our Cott North America, Cott U.K. and All Other reporting segments.

Our traditional business produces, either directly or through third-party manufacturers with whom we have co-packing arrangements, carbonated soft drinks (CSDs), 100% shelf stable juice and juice-based products, clear, still and sparkling flavored waters, energy drinks and shots, sports drinks, new age beverages, ready-to-drink teas, liquid enhancers, freezables, ready-to-drink alcoholic beverages, hot chocolate, coffee, malt drinks, creamers/whiteners, cereals and beverage concentrates. Cott North America s net revenue from external customers was \$1,308.3 million, \$1,411.2 million and \$1,535.2 million, and represented 44.4%, 67.1% and 73.3% of our total net revenue for the fiscal years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, respectively. Cott U.K. s net revenue was \$557.0 million, \$597.9 million and \$494.3 million, and represented 18.9%, 28.4% and 23.6% of our total revenue for the fiscal years ended January 2, 2016, January 3,

Table of Contents

2015 and December 28, 2013, respectively. All Other's net revenue was \$57.6 million, \$65.0 million and \$64.5 million, and represented 2.0%, 3.1% and 3.1% of our total net revenue for the fiscal years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, respectively.

Competitive Strengths

The combination of our national scale and density of our routes in key markets, our industry-leading infrastructure, and our emphasis on superior customer service is intended to create significant competitive strengths. With respect to our DSS business, we continually invest in our delivery infrastructure, call center and service capabilities to maintain our established position as a leader in this segment. In addition, we have developed and implemented proprietary information technology systems, including GPS-enabled handheld order management systems and our route operations management dashboard, in order to consistently enhance our delivery efficiency and daily route density. We believe these investments have uniquely positioned us to capitalize on a number of positive industry dynamics and new growth opportunities. First, we intend to capture new customers as we capitalize on favorable consumer trends across our addressable markets, including increased focus on health and wellness, concerns about deteriorating municipal water quality and the shift to single-cup coffee systems. Second, we believe our ability to cross-sell complementary water and coffee products and services represents a significant untapped opportunity; approximately 5% of our commercial water delivery customer locations purchase our coffee products. Third, the highly fragmented market in which we operate affords us ample opportunity to make the most of our scale, systems and customer density to execute synergistic tuck-in acquisitions across all of our service areas. We believe these strengths, along with the strengths outlined below, will allow us to capitalize on future opportunities to drive sustainable and profitable growth.

Leading Position in Multiple Beverage Categories with Diverse Products and Services Portfolio

With the DSS Acquisition, we combined a leading provider in the direct-to-consumer beverage services industry with our traditional business, one of the world's largest producers of beverages on behalf of retailers, brand owners and distributors. We have the largest national presence in the U.S. HOD bottled water industry by volume and are one of the top five presences in the OCS and filtration services industries. The HOD bottled water and OCS market segments in the U.S. exhibited strong growth, and we believe we are well-positioned to capitalize on future growth given our leading position in both market segments. In bottled water, we offer a portfolio of well-known regional brands with longstanding heritages, such as Sparkletts, Hinckley Springs, and Kentwood Springs, which have contributed to our being the largest or one of the largest HOD bottled water providers in most cities in which we operate. In OCS, we offer a complete range of products under leading brands including Keurig®, Mars Alterra®, Starbucks® Coffee, Caribou Coffee®, Peet's Coffee & Tea and Javarama®. We are one of the only direct-to-customer providers that can offer comprehensive services to residential customers and small and medium-sized businesses, as well as large regional and national corporations and retailers, universities and government agencies. Our broad direct-to-consumer network creates an advantage in marketing and customer reach, while our extensive range of products and capabilities allows us to offer customers a convenient, single solution for coffee, tea and high quality drinking water. We believe our position will be further strengthened through our ongoing efforts to enhance and promote our full-service beverage offering to new and existing customers.

Our traditional business focuses on marketing or supplying retailer, licensed and Company-owned brands, as well as manufacturing beverages on a contract basis for national brand customers. We also sell CSD concentrates and non-carbonated concentrates internationally. We believe that our position as a market leader, our broad portfolio offering and our existing infrastructure will enable us to continue to penetrate the contract manufacturing, private-label and value brand markets, whether by winning new customers, launching new product stock keeping units (SKUs) with existing customers, or supplying retailers who currently self-manufacture.

Extensive, Flexible Manufacturing and Distribution Capabilities

Our business is supported by our extensive international manufacturing and distribution network and our flexible production capabilities. Our manufacturing footprint encompasses strategically located beverage manufacturing, production, distribution and fruit processing facilities in North America, which includes combined production and distribution facilities supporting our DSS business, in the United Kingdom and in Mexico. In addition, we have a vertically-integrated global concentrate manufacturing facility in Columbus, Georgia that supplies our manufacturing plants and RCI's global customer base. We also have a state of the art customer service call center for the DSS business in the United States.

Manufacturing flexibility is one of our core competencies and is critical to our success, as our products will typically feature customized packaging, design and graphics for our key customers. We believe our ability to produce multiple SKUs and packages on our production lines and manage complexities through quick-line changeover processes differentiates us from our competition.

Table of Contents

With the DSS Acquisition, we believe we own the largest combined national production and distribution network for HOD, OCS and filtration services, serving approximately 1.5 million customers in the United States. DSS operates a national footprint of branch distribution facilities, combined production and distribution facilities and over 2,000 direct-to-consumer routes. We believe that having the largest national HOD production and distribution network in the industry gives us the ability to reduce our purchasing, manufacturing and delivery costs relative to our competitors.

High Levels of Customer Service and Strong Customer Integration

Our traditional business requires a high level of coordination with our customers in areas such as supply chain, product development and customer service. In addition to efficiently managing complex product manufacturing, we have a proven track record of maintaining high service levels across our customer base. We partner closely with customers on supply chain planning and execution to minimize freight costs, reduce working capital requirements and increase in-store product availability. We work as partners with our customers on new product development and packaging designs. Our role includes providing market expertise as well as knowledge of category trends that may present opportunities for our customers. A high level of customer integration and partnership coupled with an international manufacturing footprint is critical for the development of successful beverage programs for our customers.

Customer service in DSS's HOD and OCS businesses are driven by its Route Sales Representatives (RSRs). As the consumer facing part of the business, RSRs are an important part of the customer relationship. DSS provides reliable deliveries and closely tracks call center and customer service metrics to continually improve customer satisfaction.

Strategic Importance to Our Customers

We have longstanding partnerships with many of the world's leading retailers in the grocery, mass-merchandise and drug store channels, as well as customers for whom we manufacture beverages on a contract basis, giving our customers access to high-quality, affordable beverages. Our competitive advantages include:

beverage manufacturing expertise;

vertically integrated, low-cost production platform;

one-stop sourcing;

category insights and marketing expertise;

supply chain and high quality consistency in products; and

product innovation and differentiation.

With the DSS Acquisition, we have an extensive HOD and OCS distribution network with a unique ability to service customers. We believe few competitors have a comparable footprint or infrastructure to support local, regional and

national accounts directly, which differentiates us in the industry. DSS's scaled network has allowed it to secure strategic relationships, which have been successful in attracting new customers and leveraging its production and delivery infrastructure. Furthermore, with DSS's HOD and OCS trucks and RSRs, we are able to provide multiple products to our customers at minimal additional cost and generate additional profits on those incremental sales.

For 2015, our top 10 customers accounted for 32.2% of total revenue. Walmart accounted for 18.0% of our total revenue for the year. We have established long-standing relationships with most of our top 10 customers. As a result of our high product quality and commitment to service, coupled with an international manufacturing and distribution footprint, we believe we will continue to play a meaningful role in helping our customers develop strategies to build loyalty with consumers.

Business Strategy

Our vision is to continue to strengthen Cott by holding volume stable and focusing on revenue growth, which should in turn lead to strong free cash flow generation, higher margins, lower customer concentration, and hence lower risk, and ultimately result in a higher valuation that is more in line with our peer group. We have developed five building blocks or steps towards achieving this vision.

Table of Contents

Grow Contract Manufacturing and Other Beverage Categories in Our Traditional Business

The first step of our vision and the foundation of our business strategy, is to hold the volume of our traditional business broadly stable through growing our sparkling water and mixer category and contract manufacturing channel to offset declines in private label CSDs and shelf stable juices, and continue to focus on our 4Cs of customers, costs, capex and cash.

Maintain Customer Focus

Customer relationships are important for any business, but at Cott, where many of our products bear our customers brand names, we must maintain particularly close partnerships with our customers. We will continue to provide our customers with high quality products and services at an attractive value that will help them provide quality, value-oriented products to their consumers. We will continue to focus on our high levels of customer service, as well as innovations through the introduction of new packages, flavors and varieties of beverages. We believe our focus on our customers will enable us to leverage our existing relationships and to develop new ones in current and new markets.

Control Operating Costs

We understand that our long-term success will be closely tied to our ability to remain a low-cost supplier. Effective management of our operating costs is critical to our success. As part of our ongoing management of costs, we enter into contract commitments with suppliers of key raw materials such as aluminum sheet metal, high fructose corn syrup (HFCS), polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles, caps and preforms, fruit and fruit concentrates. On an ongoing basis we review our fixed overhead and manufacturing costs for opportunities for further reductions. In 2011, we transformed the Company's information technology function from a nearly 100% outsourced, single vendor relationship to a combination of in-house resources and multi-vendor strategy, significantly reducing our total information technology spending. In 2012, we vertically integrated our manufacturing capabilities in order to manufacture our products with increased efficiency and at a lower cost. In 2014, we implemented our three-year \$30.0 million cost reduction plan, which focuses on reducing production costs by improving procurement practices, increasing operational efficiency, eliminating waste and reducing packaging cost, resulting in approximately \$9.0 million and \$6.0 million in cost savings in 2015 and 2014. Our low cost position will be further supported by cost saving initiatives at DSS, which included reformulation of DSS's periodic surcharge in 2012 to more closely align with the cost of petroleum-based products used in the business to mitigate the effect of increases in petroleum-based product costs.

Control Capital Expenditures and Rigorously Manage Working Capital

Consistent with our status as a low-cost supplier, we leverage our existing manufacturing capacity to maintain an efficient supply chain. We are committed to carefully prioritizing our capital investments that provide the best financial returns for Cott and for our customers, while maintaining safety, efficiency and superior product quality. Our manufacturing facilities operate according to the highest standards of safety and product quality. We perform regular third-party audits of our facilities and are subject to quality audits on behalf of our customers. We will continue to evaluate growth and other opportunities, while remaining mindful of our total capital expenditure targets. As a low-cost supplier, we actively manage our manufacturing capacity and routinely rationalize under-utilized assets. In 2015, our capital expenditures were devoted primarily to supporting growth in our business, maintaining existing facilities, making equipment upgrades and continuing to implement our cost reduction plan.

Cash Flow Management

We believe that a strong financial position will enable us to capitalize on opportunities in the marketplace. As a result, we continuously review and improve the effectiveness of our cash management processes. We strive to achieve the most optimal working capital level, rationalize our capital expenditures and continuously drive operating cost improvements to enhance cash flow.

Focus on DSS Organic Growth

Our goal is to position DSS to profitably grow the business and create shareowner value by focusing on expanding the customer base, and increasing revenue per customer through cross-selling and enhanced product offerings.

We will continue to capitalize on our strong direct-to-consumer distribution network and strategic partnerships to expand our customer base. Our nation-wide coverage provides us a significant advantage in competing for national commercial accounts, which is an increasingly important component of our distribution strategy and marketing efforts. We also remain focused on small and medium-sized businesses, a market segment that we believe remains underpenetrated.

Table of Contents

We expect our strategic partnerships to further add to growth and reflect our ability to market our products through new channels to attract new customers. We believe that replicating this model with additional partners will expand our access to customers and accelerate our growth.

We believe our ability to cross-sell complementary water and coffee products and services represents a significant untapped opportunity as nearly all our existing and target customers consume both products. We are well-positioned to capitalize on this opportunity given the large installed customer base with which we have strong relationships and frequent face-to-face interactions. RSRs are trained to sell across our product set and are highly incentivized through our commission structure to promote new products to existing customers, which increases sales and average revenue per customer. We currently provide office coffee products to approximately 5% of our commercial water delivery customer locations, and, as a result, we believe there exists substantial opportunity to cross-sell our coffee products, which would generate incremental revenue per customer with minimal increases in delivery costs. To assist our RSRs in cross-selling coffee products, we have successfully rolled out the AquaCafe, a newly designed bottom loading bottled water cooler with an integrated single-cup coffee brewer. This machine not only capitalizes on growth in the single-cup coffee market, but increases overall consumption as the bottled water is used to produce the single-cup coffee.

Capture DSS Synergies

We captured \$10.0 million of DSS synergies in 2015. We have established a goal of delivering approximately \$10.0 million of incremental synergies in 2016 and 2017, for a total expected delivery of \$30.0 million of DSS synergies by the end of 2017.

Pursue Synergistic HOD Water, OCS and Filtration Tuck-In Acquisitions

We intend to proactively pursue accretive acquisitions to complement our organic growth. The highly fragmented market in which we operate affords us ample opportunities to execute synergistic HOD water, OCS and filtration tuck-in acquisitions. For instance, there are thousands of regional and local water delivery operators. OCS and filtration services are even more fragmented with the top five providers aggregating for approximately 20% and 52% market share, respectively. Our acquisition strategy is consistent with our objective to continually build customer density and reduce the overall cost of servicing our existing customer base. We have a proven track record of achieving significant synergies and integrating companies onto our platform and we believe we will continue to improve our profitability and margins through acquisitions.

During the year ended January 2, 2016, we acquired nine separate HOD water businesses. These acquisitions support our previously announced objective of strategic acquisitions where we expect to be able to capitalize on synergies with our existing business.

Evaluate Mid-to-Larger Scale Acquisitions

Our business strategy also includes evaluating additional mid-to-larger scale opportunities to consolidate our positions in the HOD water, OCS and filtration services categories, as well as other higher margin or growth-oriented categories where our platform, operating strength and synergies can be leveraged. This is consistent with our ongoing strategy to continue to accelerate the pace and scale of our acquisition-based diversification outside of CSDs and shelf stable juices, with a focus on other beverage categories and beverage adjacencies, as well as driving our channel mix beyond large format retail and supermarket stores while ensuring our transactions are value-creative.

Principal Market Segments and Products

We estimate that we are one of the largest service providers of HOD bottled water, and produce (either directly or through third-party manufacturers with whom we have co-packing agreements) a significant portion of all retailer brand CSDs and juice sold in North America, as well as a significant portion of all retailer brand CSDs, sports and energy products sold in the United Kingdom.

As a producer of beverages on behalf of retailers, brand owners and distributors, we have a diversified product portfolio across major beverage categories, including beverages that are on-trend with consumer demand. With the DSS Acquisition, we believe we have the largest national presence in the HOD industry for bottled water, with a distribution network reaching approximately 90% of the U.S. population, and one of the top five positions in the U.S. OCS and filtration services industries.

Table of Contents

We believe that opportunities exist to increase sales of our products in our core markets by optimizing existing customer relationships, capitalizing on cross-selling and up-selling opportunities, obtaining new customers, manufacturing beverages (including alcoholic beverages) on a contract basis for new and existing customers, exploring new channels of distribution, introducing new products and vertically integrating our traditional and DSS businesses which will allow us to manufacture, sell and distribute a variety of beverages through our DSS delivery channel and its broad reaching distribution network.

Restructuring Initiatives

We implement restructuring programs from time to time that are designed to improve operating effectiveness and lower costs. When we implement these programs, we incur various charges, including severance and other employment related costs. We did not incur any restructuring charges in 2015.

During the first quarter of 2014, we implemented one such program, which involved the closure of two of our smaller plants, one located in North America and the other located in the United Kingdom (the 2014 Restructuring Plan). For the year ended January 3, 2015, we incurred charges of approximately \$4.1 million related primarily to employee redundancy costs and relocation of assets, and non-cash charges related to asset impairments and accelerated depreciation on property, plant and equipment in connection with the 2014 Restructuring Plan. During June 2013, we implemented another such program (the 2013 Restructuring Plan), which consisted primarily of headcount reductions and resulted in charges of approximately \$2.0 million during the year ended December 28, 2013.

Financial Information about Segments

For financial information about reporting segments and geographic areas, see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Manufacturing and Distribution Network

Our business is supported by our extensive international manufacturing and distribution network and our flexible production capabilities. Our manufacturing footprint encompasses strategically located beverage manufacturing, production, distribution and fruit processing facilities in North America, which includes combined production and distribution facilities supporting our DSS business, in the United Kingdom and Mexico.

In our traditional business, our products are either picked up by our customers at our facilities or delivered by us, a common carrier, or third-party distributors to our customers' distribution centers, or to retail or wholesaler locations.

In our DSS business, we operate a national footprint of branch distribution facilities, combined production and distribution facilities and over 2,000 direct-to-consumer routes. We believe that having the broadest national HOD production and distribution network in the industry gives us the ability to reduce our purchasing, manufacturing and delivery costs relative to our competitors.

Ingredient and Packaging Supplies

In addition to water, the principal raw materials required to produce our products are aluminum cans and ends, resin for PET, high-density polyethylene (HDPE) and polycarbonate bottles, caps and preforms, labels, cartons and trays, sweeteners, such as HFCS and sugar, fruit concentrates and fruit. The cost of these raw materials can fluctuate substantially over time.

Under many of our supply arrangements for these raw materials, the price we pay fluctuates along with certain changes in underlying commodity costs, such as aluminum in the case of cans and ends, resin in the case of PET, HDPE and polycarbonate bottles, caps and preforms, corn in the case of HFCS, fruit and fruit concentrates. We believe that we will be able to either renegotiate contracts with these suppliers when they expire or find alternative sources for supply. We also believe there is adequate supply of the ingredient and packaging materials used to produce and package our products.

Generally, we bear the risk of increases in the costs of the ingredient and packaging materials used to produce our products, including the underlying costs of the commodities used to manufacture them and, to some extent, the costs of converting those commodities into the materials we purchase.

Aluminum for cans and ends, resin for PET, HDPE and polycarbonate bottles, caps and preforms, corn for HFCS, sugar, fruit and fruit concentrates are examples of underlying commodities for which we bear the risk of increases in costs. In

Table of Contents

addition, the contracts for certain of our ingredient and packaging materials permit our suppliers to increase the costs they charge us based on increases in their cost of converting the underlying commodities into the materials we purchase. In certain cases those increases are subject to negotiated limits. Changes in the prices we pay for ingredient and packaging materials occur at times that vary by product and supplier, and take place on a monthly, quarterly or annual basis.

PET resin prices have fluctuated significantly in recent years as the price of oil, one of its components, has fluctuated and demand for synthetic fibers, an alternate use, has increased. Because resin is not a traded commodity, no fixed price mechanism has been implemented, and we expect to pay prevailing market prices for our resin needs, although at times we have been able to enter into short-term fixed price commitments.

Corn has a history of volatile price changes. The sugar market is susceptible to volatility as well.

Fruit and fruit concentrate prices have been, and we expect them to continue to be, subject to significant volatility. While fruit is available from numerous independent suppliers, these raw materials are subject to fluctuations in price attributable to, among other things, changes in crop size and federal and state agricultural programs.

A portion of our revenues is derived from coffee product distribution. The supply and price of coffee beans may be affected by weather, international conditions, consumer demand, and access to transportation. An increase in the price of coffee beans could reduce our coffee sales and coffee product margins, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Trade Secrets, Copyrights, Trademarks and Licenses

We sell the majority of our beverages under retailer brands to customers who own the trademarks associated with those products. We also own registrations, or applications to register, various trademarks that are important to our worldwide business, including *Cott*[®] and *Red Rain*[®] in North America and the United Kingdom, *Stars & Stripes*[®], *Vess*[®], *Vintage*[®], *So Clear*[®], *Shanstar*[®], *Harvest Classic*[®], *Chadwick Bay*[®], *Exact*[®], *Alhambra*[®], *Belmont Springs*[®], *Deep Rock*[®], *Hinckley Springs*[®], *Sparkletts*[®], *Crystal Springs*[®], *Kentwood Springs*[®], *Mount Olympus*[®] *Standard Coffee*[®] and *Javarama*[®] in the United States, *Emerge*[®], *Red Rooster*[®], *MacB*[®], *Carters*[®], *Calypso*[®], *Mr. Freeze*[®], *Jubbly*[®], *Suso*[®], *Cafe Nueva*[®] and *Ben Shaws*[®] in the United Kingdom, *Stars & Stripes*[®] in Mexico, and RC[®] mark in various formats in more than 120 countries and territories outside of North America. Moreover, we are licensed to use certain trademarks such as *Old Jamaica Ginger Beer* and *Ting* in the United Kingdom. The licenses to which we are a party are of varying terms, including some that are perpetual. Trademark ownership is generally of indefinite duration when marks are properly maintained in commercial use.

Our success depends in part on our intellectual property, which includes trade secrets in the form of concentrate formulas for our beverages and trademarks for the names of the beverages we sell. To protect this intellectual property, we rely principally on registration of trademarks, contractual responsibilities and restrictions in agreements (such as indemnification, nondisclosure and confidentiality agreements) with employees, consultants and customers, and on the common law and/or statutory protections afforded to trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets and proprietary know-how. We also closely monitor the use of our trademarks and when necessary vigorously pursue any party that infringes on our trademarks, using all available legal remedies.

Seasonality of Sales and Working Capital

The beverage market is subject to some seasonal variations. Our beverage and water delivery sales are generally higher during the warmer months, while sales of our coffee products are generally higher during cooler months and

also can be influenced by the timing of holidays and weather fluctuations. Our purchases of raw materials and related accounts payable fluctuate based upon the demand for our products as well as the timing of the fruit growing seasons. The seasonality of our sales volume combined with the seasonal nature of fruit growing causes our working capital needs to fluctuate throughout the year, with inventory levels increasing in the first half of the year in order to meet high summer demand, and with fruit inventories peaking during the last quarter of the year when purchases are made after the growing season. In addition, our accounts receivable balances decline in the fall as customers pay their higher-than-average outstanding balances from summer deliveries.

Customers

A significant portion of our revenue is concentrated in a small number of customers particularly in our traditional business. Our customers include many large national and regional grocery, mass-merchandise, drugstore, wholesale and convenience store chains, as well as customers for whom we manufacture beverages on a contract basis. For 2015, sales to

Table of Contents

Walmart accounted for 18.0% of our total revenue (2014 26.1%; 2013 30.1%), 33.2% of our Cott North America reporting segment revenue (2014 33.3%; 2013 36.1%), 11.5% of our Cott U.K. reporting segment revenue (2014 12.7%; 2013 14.8%), 3.7% of our All Other reporting segment revenue (2014 3.8%; 2013 3.9%) and 2.2% of our DSS reporting segment revenue (2014 2.7%). Walmart was the only customer that accounted for more than 10% of our total revenue in those periods. Sales to our top ten customers in 2015, 2014 and 2013 accounted for 32.2%, 46.5% and 50.0%, respectively, of our total revenue. We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to account for a high percentage of revenue in our traditional business for the foreseeable future. The loss of any customers that individually or in the aggregate represent a significant portion of our revenue, or a decline in sales to these customers, would have a material adverse effect on our operating results and cash flow.

We supply Walmart and its affiliated companies, under annual non-exclusive supply agreements, with a variety of products in North America, the United Kingdom, and Mexico, including CSDs, clear, still and sparkling flavored waters, 100% shelf stable juice, juice-based products, bottled water, energy products, sports products, new age beverages and ready-to-drink teas. In 2015, we supplied Walmart with all of its private-label CSDs in the United States. In the event Walmart were to utilize additional suppliers to fulfill a portion of its requirements for CSDs, our operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Research and Development

We engage in a variety of research and development activities. These activities principally involve the development of new products, improvement in the quality of existing products, improvement and modernization of production processes, and the development and implementation of new technologies to enhance the quality and value of both current and proposed product lines. Consumer research is excluded from research and development costs and included in other marketing costs. Research and development costs were \$2.8 million in 2015, \$2.9 million in 2014 and \$3.1 million in 2013 and are included as a component of selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses.

Competition

In our traditional business, we compete principally in the non-alcoholic beverages category, which is highly competitive in each region in which we operate. Competition for incremental retail volume is intense. The brands owned by the four major national non-alcoholic beverage companies, Coca-Cola, Pepsi, Nestle Waters North America and Dr. Pepper Snapple (formerly Cadbury Schweppes), control 62.2% of the total CSD and alternative beverage category within the United States. These companies have significant financial resources and spend heavily on promotional programs. They also have direct store delivery systems in North America, which enable their personnel to visit retailers frequently to promote new items, stock shelves and build displays. We also face competition in the juice category from juice brands such as Welch's, Ocean Spray and Mott's. In addition, we face competition in North America, the United Kingdom and Mexico from regional beverage manufacturers who sell aggressively priced brands and, in many cases, also supply retailer brand products. A few larger U.S. retailers also self-manufacture products for their own needs and regularly approach other retailers seeking additional business.

Our principal competitor in the three gallon (3G) and five gallon (5G) HOD bottled water business is Nestle, which competes with us directly in many of our markets within the United States. We face competition in our HOD business as distribution methods for residential and commercial bottled water products continue to change and evolve, including increasing availability of 3G and 5G water bottles in retail stores. This could affect our business as some customers may choose to purchase water in returnable bottles through retailers rather than through our sales and distribution network. We have a strategic alliance with Primo Water Corporation (Primo) to bottle and distribute Primo's 3G and 5G water bottles through retail stores, however, customers could choose to purchase Primo's competitors' retail products. Our HOD business also faces increased competition from filtration units in the residential

and commercial market, including countertop filtration, faucet mounted filtration, in-line whole-house filtration, water filtration dispensing products and refrigerator-dispensed filtration. Because homes and offices with installed filtration systems participate at a lower rate in the bottled water market, the installation of these systems poses a competitive threat to our business and reduces the number of potential customers for our bottled water products. Although we compete in the filtration area and have realized growth of our filtration customer base, we may not be able to offset a decline in revenue from bottled water customers that switch to filtered water. In addition, consumers may choose to drink from municipal water sources instead of purchasing bottled water or using a filtration unit.

Our OCS business is also subject to intense competition. Our coffee business consists of both large brewers and single-serve brewers, where increased competition has developed from food, beverage and office products distributors. Additionally, retail and internet availability of these products could negatively affect demand for the direct distribution sources we offer.

Table of Contents

We seek to differentiate ourselves from our competitors by offering our customers high-quality products, category management strategies, packaging and marketing strategies, efficient distribution methods, and superior service.

Government Regulation and Environmental Matters

The production, distribution and sale in the United States of many of our products are subject to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Federal Trade Commission Act, the Lanham Act, state consumer protection laws, federal, state and local workplace health and safety laws, various federal, state and local environmental protection laws and various other federal, state and local statutes and regulations applicable to the production, transportation, sale, safety, advertising, labeling and ingredients of such products. Outside the United States, the production, distribution and sale of our many products and related operations are also subject to numerous similar and other statutes and regulations.

A number of states have passed laws setting forth warning or labeling requirements relating to products made for human consumption. For example, the California law known as Proposition 65 requires that a specific warning appear on any product sold in California containing a substance listed by that state as having been found to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity. This law, and others like it, exposes all food and beverage producers to the possibility of having to provide warnings on their products. The detection of even a trace amount of a listed substance can subject an affected product to the requirement of a warning label, although products containing listed substances that occur naturally or that are contributed to such products solely by a municipal water supply are generally exempt from the warning requirement. From time to time over the past several years, certain of our customers have received notices alleging that the labeling requirements of the relevant state regulation would apply to products manufactured by us and sold by them. There can be no assurance that we will not be adversely affected by actions against our customers or us relating to Proposition 65 or similar failure to warn laws.

We currently offer and use non-refillable recyclable containers in the United States and other countries around the world. We also offer and use refillable containers, which are also recyclable. Legal requirements apply in various jurisdictions in the United States and other countries requiring that deposits or certain taxes or fees be charged for the sale, marketing and use of certain non-refillable beverage containers. The precise requirements imposed by these measures vary. Other types of beverage container-related deposit, recycling, tax and/or product stewardship statutes and regulations also apply in various jurisdictions. We anticipate that additional, similar legal requirements may be proposed or enacted in the future at local, state and federal levels, both in the United States and elsewhere.

We are a member of the International Bottled Water Association (IBWA) and the Water Quality Association. These associations often set higher water quality standards than those set by governmental agencies. Members must comply with these standards, which are enforced by the members themselves. The IBWA requires submission to annual plant inspections administered by an independent third-party inspection agency, such as the National Sanitation Foundation. These inspections audit quality and testing records, review all areas of plant operations and the bottling process, and check compliance with relevant national standards, good manufacturing practices, and any other regulations set by the IBWA. If we fail to meet the standards set by the IBWA and Water Quality Association, there could be an adverse impact on our reputation, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

All of our beverage production facilities and other operations are subject to various environmental protection statutes and regulations, including those of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which pertain to the use of water resources and the discharge of waste water. Failure to comply with these regulations can have serious consequences, including civil and administrative penalties. Compliance with these provisions has not had, and we do not expect such compliance to have, any material adverse effect on our capital expenditures, net income or competitive position. However, as discussed below, changes in how the Ontario Ministry of the Environment enforces

the Ontario Environmental Protection Act could result in our having to make material expenditures for environmental compliance.

Subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable policies, we have coverage for product recalls and product liability claims that could result from the injury, illness or death of consumers using our products, contamination of our products, or damage to or mislabeling of our products.

The Ontario Environmental Protection Act (OEPA)

OEPA regulations provide that a minimum percentage of a bottler's soft drink sales within specified areas in Ontario must be made in refillable containers. The penalty for non-compliance by a corporation is a fine of C\$250,000 per day beginning upon when the first offense occurs and continues until the first conviction, and then increasing to C\$500,000 per day for each subsequent conviction. These fines may be increased to equal the amount of monetary benefit acquired by the offender as a result of the commission of the offense.

Table of Contents

We, and we believe other industry participants, are currently not in compliance with the requirements of the OEPA. To comply with these requirements we, and we believe many other industry participants, would have to significantly increase sales in refillable containers to a minimum refillable sales ratio of 30%. We do not expect to be in compliance with these regulations in the foreseeable future. Ontario is not enforcing the OEPA at this time, despite the fact that it is still in effect and not amended, but if it chooses to enforce it in the future, we could incur fines for noncompliance and the possible prohibition of sales of soft drinks in non-refillable containers in Ontario. We estimate that approximately 2% of our sales in Canada would be affected by the possible limitation of sales of soft drinks in non-refillable containers in Ontario if the Ontario Ministry of the Environment initiated an action to enforce the provisions of the OEPA against us. Moreover, the Ontario Ministry of the Environment released a report in 1997 stating that these OEPA regulations are outdated and unworkable. However, despite the unworkable nature of the OEPA regulations, they have not yet been revoked.

We believe that the magnitude of the potential fines that we could incur if the Ontario Ministry of the Environment chose to enforce these regulations is such that the costs to us of noncompliance could be, although are not contemplated to be, material. However, our management believes that probability of such enforcement is remote.

Employees

As of January 2, 2016, we had over 9,500 employees, of whom approximately 2,500 were in the Cott North America reporting segment, 5,320 were in the DSS reporting segment, 1,460 were in the Cott U.K. reporting segment, and 290 were in the All Other reporting segment. We have entered into collective bargaining agreements covering 1,071 employees in North America, the United Kingdom and Mexico that contain terms that we believe are typical in the beverage industry. As these agreements expire, we believe that they can be renegotiated on terms satisfactory to us. We consider our relations with employees to be generally good.

Availability of Information and Other Matters

We are required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) and Canadian securities regulatory authorities. The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information in the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-202-551-8090. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file with the SEC at www.sec.gov. Information filed with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities is available at www.sedar.com.

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to reports filed or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are also available free of charge on our website at www.cott.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The information found on our website is not part of this or any other report that we file with, or furnish to, the SEC or to Canadian securities regulatory authorities.

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as required by the SEC. See Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting in Item 9A.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, you should carefully consider the following factors, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. The risks described below are not the only risks that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may negatively affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may be unable to compete successfully in the markets in which we operate.

In our traditional business, the markets for our CSD and shelf stable juice products are extremely competitive. In comparison to the major national brand beverage manufacturers, we are a relatively small participant in the industry. We face competition from the national brand beverage manufacturers in all of our markets, from other retailer brand beverage manufacturers, and from other contract beverage manufacturers. If our competitors reduce their selling prices, increase the

Table of Contents

frequency of their promotional activities in our core market, enter into the production of private-label products or expand their contract manufacturing efforts, or if our retail customers do not allocate adequate shelf space for the beverages we supply, we could experience a decline in our volumes, be forced to reduce pricing, forgo price increases required to offset increased costs of raw materials and fuel, increase capital and other expenditures, or lose market share, any of which could negatively affect our results of operations.

We face competition in our HOD business as distribution methods for residential and commercial bottled water products continue to change and evolve. The increasing availability of three- and five- gallon (3G and 5G) water bottles in retail stores could affect our business as some customers may choose to purchase water in returnable bottles through retailers rather than through our sales and distribution network. We have a strategic alliance with Primo to bottle and distribute Primo s 3G and 5G water bottles through retail stores, however, customers could choose to purchase Primo s competitors retail products. Our HOD business also faces increased competition from filtration units in the residential and commercial market, including countertop filtration, faucet mounted filtration, in-line whole-house filtration, water filtration dispensing products and refrigerator-dispensed filtration. Because homes and offices with installed filtration systems participate at a lower rate in the bottled water market, the installation of these systems poses a competitive threat to our business and reduces the number of potential customers for our bottled water products. Although we compete in the filtration area and have realized growth of our filtration customer base, we may not be able to offset a decline in revenue from bottled water customers that switch to filtered water. In addition, consumers may choose to drink from municipal water sources instead of purchasing bottled water or using a filtration unit. Our OCS business is also subject to intense competition. Our coffee business consists of both large brewers and single-serve brewers, where increased competition has developed from food, beverage and office products distributors. Additionally, retail and internet availability of these products could negatively affect demand for the direct distribution sources we offer.

We may not be able to respond successfully to consumer trends related to our products.

Consumer trends with respect to the products we sell are subject to change. Consumers are seeking increased variety in their beverages, and there is a growing interest among consumers, public health officials and government officials regarding the ingredients in our products, the attributes of those ingredients and health and wellness issues generally. In addition, some researchers, health advocates and dietary guidelines are encouraging consumers to reduce consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, including those sweetened with HFCS or other nutritive sweeteners. As a result, consumer demand has declined for full-calorie CSDs and increased for products associated with health and wellness, such as reduced-calorie CSDs, water, enhanced water, teas, juices and certain other non-carbonated beverages.

Consumer preferences may change due to a variety of other factors, including the aging of the general population, changes in social trends, the real or perceived impact that the manufacturing of our products has on the environment, changes in consumer demographics, changes in travel, vacation or leisure activity patterns, negative publicity resulting from regulatory action or litigation against companies in the industry, or a downturn in economic conditions. Any of these changes may reduce consumers demand for our products. We may not be able to develop or be a fast follower of innovative products that respond to consumer trends. Our failure to develop innovative products could put us at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace and our business and results of operations could be negatively affected.

Because a small number of customers account for a significant percentage of our sales in our traditional business, the loss of or reduction in sales to any significant customer could negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations.

In our traditional business, a significant portion of our revenue is concentrated in a small number of customers. Our customers include many large national and regional grocery, mass-merchandise, drugstore, wholesale and convenience store chains in our core markets of North America, the United Kingdom and Mexico, as well as customers for whom we manufacture beverages on a contract basis. Sales to Walmart, our top customer in 2015, 2014 and 2013 accounted for 18.0%, 26.1% and 30.1%, respectively, of our total revenue. Sales to our top ten customers in 2015, 2014 and 2013 accounted for 32.2%, 46.5% and 50.0%, respectively, of our total revenue. We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to account for a high percentage of our revenue in our traditional business for the foreseeable future.

The loss of Walmart or any significant customer, or customers that in the aggregate represent a significant portion of our revenue, or a material reduction in the amount of business we undertake with any such customer or customers, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and cash flows. Furthermore, we could be adversely affected if Walmart or any significant customer reacts unfavorably to any pricing of our products or decides to de-emphasize or reduce their product offerings in the categories with which we supply them. At January 2, 2016, we had \$422.9 million of customer relationships recorded as an intangible asset. The permanent loss of any customer included in the intangible asset would result in impairment in the value of the intangible asset or accelerated amortization and could lead to an impairment of fixed assets that were used to service that customer.

Table of Contents

Additionally, our retail customers continually evaluate and often modify their in-store retail strategies, including shelf-space allocation, store set-up and design and demographic targets. Our business could suffer significant setbacks in sales and volume if one or more of our major retail customers were to modify its current retail strategy so as to terminate or reduce its business relationship with us, reduce our in store penetration or allocate shelf space within such retailer's stores in a manner unfavorable to us, any or all of which could negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operation.

The consolidation of retail customers may negatively affect our results of operations in our historic business.

Many of our retail customers have consolidated in recent years, and this consolidation trend may continue. As a result of these consolidations, our large retail customers may seek lower pricing or increased promotions from us. If we fail to respond to these trends in our industry, our volume growth could slow or we may need to lower prices or increase trade promotions and consumer marketing for our products and services, any of which would negatively affect our results of operations in our historic business. In addition, retailers are increasingly carrying fewer brands in any one category and our results of operations will suffer if our vendor relationships with our significant customers are discontinued. In the event of consolidation involving our current retailers, we may lose key business if the surviving entities do not continue to purchase products or services from us.

Our ingredients, packaging supplies and other costs are subject to price increases, and we may be unable to effectively pass rising costs on to our customers.

We typically bear the risk of changes in prices on the ingredient and packaging materials in our products. The majority of our ingredient and packaging supply contracts allow our suppliers to alter the prices they charge us based on changes in the costs of the underlying commodities that are used to produce them. Aluminum for cans and ends, resin for PET, HDPE and polycarbonate bottles, caps and preforms, corn for HFCS, sugar, fruit and fruit concentrates and green coffee are examples of these underlying commodities. In addition, the contracts for certain of our ingredient and packaging materials permit our suppliers to increase the costs they charge us based on increases in their cost of converting those underlying commodities into the materials that we purchase. In certain cases those increases are subject to negotiated limits. These changes in the prices we pay for ingredient and packaging materials occur at times that vary by product and supplier, and take place, on a monthly, quarterly or annual basis.

Accordingly, we bear the risk of fluctuations in the costs of these ingredient and packaging materials, including the underlying costs of the commodities used to manufacture them and, to some extent, the costs of converting those commodities into the materials we purchase. If the cost of these ingredients or packaging materials increases, we may be unable to pass these costs along to our customers through adjustments to the prices we charge, which could have a negative effect on our results of operations. If we are able to pass these costs on to our customers through price increases, the impact those increased prices could have on our volumes is uncertain.

Our beverage and concentrate production facilities use a significant amount of electricity, natural gas and other energy sources to operate. Fluctuations in the price of fuel and other energy sources for which we have not locked in long-term pricing commitments or arrangements would affect our operating costs, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

If we fail to manage our operations successfully, our business and results of operations may be negatively affected.

In recent years, we have grown our business and beverage offerings primarily through the acquisition of other companies, development of new product lines and growth with key customers. We believe that opportunities exist to

grow our business by leveraging existing customer relationships, obtaining new customers, exploring new channels of distribution, introducing new products or identifying appropriate acquisition or strategic alliance candidates. The success of this strategy with respect to acquisitions depends on our ability to manage and integrate acquisitions and alliances into our existing business. Furthermore, the businesses or product lines that we acquire or align with may not be integrated successfully into our business or prove profitable. In addition to the foregoing factors, our ability to expand our business in foreign countries is also dependent on, and may be limited by, our ability to comply with the laws of the various jurisdictions in which we may operate, as well as changes in local government regulations and policies in such jurisdictions. If we fail to manage the geographic allocation of production capacity surrounding customer demand, we may lose certain customer product volume or have to utilize co-packers to fulfill our customer capacity obligations, either of which could negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We may devote a significant amount of our management's attention and resources to our ongoing review of strategic opportunities, and we may not be able to fully realize the potential benefit of any such alternatives that we pursue.

As part of our overall strategic planning process, from time to time we evaluate whether there are alternatives available to complement our strategy of organic growth and growth through diversification, or otherwise enhance shareholder value. Accordingly, we may from time to time be engaged in evaluating potential transactions and other strategic alternatives, and we may engage in discussions that may result in one or more transactions. Although there would be uncertainty that any of these discussions would result in definitive agreements or the completion of any transaction, we may devote a significant amount of our management's attention and resources to evaluating and pursuing a transaction or opportunity, which could negatively affect our operations. In addition, we may incur significant costs in connection with evaluating and pursuing other strategic opportunities, regardless of whether any transaction is completed. We cannot assure you that we would fully realize the potential benefit of any strategic alternative or transaction that we pursue.

We may not realize the expected revenue and cost synergies related to the DSS Acquisition.

We may not achieve revenue and cost synergies related to the DSS Acquisition. These synergies are inherently uncertain, and are subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are difficult to predict and are beyond our control. If we achieve the expected benefits, they may not be achieved within the anticipated time frame. Also, the synergies from the DSS Acquisition may be offset by costs incurred in consummating the DSS Acquisition or integrating the DSS business, increases in other expenses, operating losses or problems in the business unrelated to the DSS Acquisition. As a result, there can be no assurance that such synergies will be achieved.

Our indemnification rights under the DSS Merger Agreement are limited.

In connection with the DSS Acquisition, we are generally subject to all of the liabilities of DSS that were not satisfied on or prior to the closing date. There may be liabilities that we underestimated or did not discover in the course of performing our due diligence investigation of DSS. Under the Merger Agreement, we have been provided with a limited set of warranties and indemnities in relation to identified risks. Our sole remedy from the sellers for any breach of those warranties is an action for indemnification, which is subject to certain negotiated limitations and thresholds. Damages resulting from a breach of warranty or indemnity could negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations, and our ability to recover from the sellers may be limited or costly to pursue.

We incurred substantial indebtedness in order to finance the DSS Acquisition, which could adversely affect our business and limit our ability to plan for or respond to changes in our business.

We issued the 2020 Notes, assumed the DSS Notes, and amended our ABL facility and drew down a substantial amount of indebtedness under that facility in order to fund the DSS Acquisition. As a result, we have substantially more indebtedness than has been the case for us historically. The interest rate on the DSS Notes is higher than we have historically experienced.

Our ability to make payments on our debt obligations and to fund planned capital expenditures depends on our ability to generate cash from our future operations. This, to a certain extent, is subject to financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. In addition, if we cannot service our indebtedness, we may have to take actions such as selling assets, seeking additional equity or reducing or delaying capital expenditures, strategic acquisitions, investments and alliances, any of which could impede the implementation of our business

strategy, prevent us from entering into transactions that would otherwise benefit our business and/or negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations. We may not be able to refinance our indebtedness or take such other actions, if necessary, on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

Changes in future business conditions could cause business investments and/or recorded goodwill, indefinite life intangible assets or other intangible assets to become impaired, resulting in substantial losses and write-downs that would negatively affect our results of operations.

As part of our overall strategy, we will, from time to time, make investments in other businesses. These investments are made upon target analysis and due diligence procedures designed to achieve a desired return or strategic objective. These procedures often involve certain assumptions and judgment in determining investment amount or acquisition price. After consummation of an acquisition or investment, unforeseen issues could arise that adversely affect anticipated returns or that are otherwise not recoverable as an adjustment to the purchase price. Even after careful integration efforts, actual operating results may vary significantly from initial estimates. Goodwill accounted for approximately \$759.6 million of our recorded

Table of Contents

total assets as of January 2, 2016. We evaluate the recoverability of recorded goodwill amounts annually, or when evidence of potential impairment exists. The annual impairment test is based on several factors requiring judgment and certain underlying assumptions. Our other intangible assets with indefinite lives relate to the 2001 acquisition of intellectual property from Royal Crown Company, Inc., including the right to manufacture our concentrates, with all related inventions, processes, technologies, technical and manufacturing information, know-how and the use of the Royal Crown brand outside of North America and Mexico (the Rights), and trademarks acquired in the DSS Acquisition. The trademarks acquired in the DSS Acquisition relate to established brands in use for over 100 years in some cases. These assets have a net book value of \$228.1 million, and are more fully described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

As of January 2, 2016, our intangible assets subject to amortization and other assets, net of accumulated amortization were \$483.6 million, which consisted principally of \$422.9 million of customer relationships that arose from acquisitions, \$9.9 million of deposits, \$24.9 million of information technology assets, and \$4.9 million of trademarks. Customer relationships are typically amortized on an accelerated straight-line basis for the period over which we expect to receive the economic benefits. The customer relationships acquired in connection with the DSS Acquisition are amortized over the expected remaining useful life of those relationships on a basis that reflects the pattern of realization of the estimated undiscounted after-tax cash flows. We review the estimated useful life of these intangible assets annually, taking into consideration the specific net cash flows related to the intangible asset, unless a review is required more frequently due to a triggering event such as the loss of a significant customer. The permanent loss of, or significant decline in sales to any customer included in the intangible asset would result in either an impairment in the value of the intangible asset or an accelerated amortization of any remaining value and could lead to an impairment of fixed assets that were used to service that customer. Principally, a decrease in expected reporting segment cash flows, changes in market conditions, loss of key customers and a change in our imputed cost of capital may indicate potential impairment of recorded goodwill or the Rights. For additional information on accounting policies we have in place for goodwill impairment, see our discussion under Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates in Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our geographic diversity subjects us to the risk of currency fluctuations.

We conduct operations in many areas of the world, involving transactions denominated in a variety of currencies. We are subject to currency exchange rate risk to the extent that our costs are denominated in currencies other than those in which we earn revenues. In addition, because our financial statements are denominated in U.S. dollars, changes in currency exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and other currencies have had, and will continue to have, an impact on our results of operations. While we may enter into financial transactions to address these risks, there can be no assurance that currency exchange rate fluctuations will not negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, while the use of currency hedging instruments may provide us with protection from adverse fluctuations in currency exchange rates, by utilizing these instruments we potentially forego the benefits that might result from favorable fluctuations in currency exchange rates.

If we are unable to maintain relationships with our raw material suppliers, we may incur higher supply costs or be unable to deliver products to our customers.

In addition to water, the principal raw materials required to produce our products are aluminum cans and ends, PET, HDPE and polycarbonate bottles, caps and preforms, labels, cartons and trays, sweeteners, such as HFCS and sugar, fruit and fruit concentrates and green coffee. We rely upon our ongoing relationships with our key suppliers to support our operations.

We typically enter into annual or multi-year supply arrangements with our key suppliers, meaning that our suppliers are obligated to continue to supply us with materials for one-year or multi-year periods, at the end of which we must either renegotiate the contracts with those suppliers or find alternative sources for supply. There can be no assurance that we will be able to either renegotiate contracts (with similar or more favorable terms) with these suppliers when they expire or, alternatively, if we are unable to renegotiate contracts with our key suppliers, there can be no assurance that we could replace them. We could also incur higher ingredient and packaging supply costs in renegotiating contracts with existing suppliers or replacing those suppliers, or we could experience temporary disruptions in our ability to deliver products to our customers, either of which could negatively affect our results of operations.

With respect to some of our key packaging supplies, such as aluminum cans and ends, and some of our key ingredients, such as sweeteners, we have entered into long-term supply agreements, the remaining terms of which range from 12 to 72 months, and therefore we expect to have a supply of those key packaging supplies and ingredients during such terms. In addition, the supply of specific ingredient and packaging materials could be adversely affected by many factors, including industry consolidation, energy shortages, governmental controls, labor disputes, natural disasters, transportation interruption, political instability, acts of war or terrorism and other factors.

Table of Contents

We have a significant amount of outstanding indebtedness, which could adversely affect our financial health, and future cash flows may not be sufficient to meet our obligations.

As of January 2, 2016, our total indebtedness was \$1,650.8 million. Our present indebtedness and any future borrowings could have important adverse consequences to us and our investors, including:

requiring a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make interest payments on this indebtedness;

making it more difficult to satisfy debt service and other obligations;

increasing the risk of a future credit ratings downgrade of our indebtedness, which would increase future debt costs;

increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

reducing the cash flow available or limiting our ability to borrow additional funds for share repurchases, to pay dividends, to fund capital expenditures and other corporate purposes and to grow our business;

limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry; and

placing us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors that may not be as highly leveraged as we are. To the extent we become more leveraged, we face an increased likelihood that one or more of the risks described above would materialize. In addition, our actual cash requirements in the future may be greater than expected. We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations, or that future borrowings will be available to us in amounts sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs.

If we fail to generate sufficient cash flow from future operations to meet our debt service obligations, we may need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity. We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness on attractive terms, commercially reasonable terms or at all. If we cannot service or refinance our indebtedness, we may have to take actions such as selling assets, seeking additional equity or reducing or delaying capital expenditures, strategic acquisitions, investments and alliances, any of which could impede the implementation of our business strategy, prevent us from entering into transactions that would otherwise benefit our business and/or have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Our future operating performance and our ability to service or refinance our indebtedness will be subject to future economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Our ABL facility, the indentures governing the 2022 Notes, the 2020 Notes and the DSS Notes each contain various covenants limiting the discretion of our management in operating our business, which could prevent us from capitalizing on business opportunities and taking some corporate actions.

Our ABL facility, the indentures governing the 2022 Notes, the 2020 Notes and the DSS Notes each impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions will limit or restrict, among other things, our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to:

incur additional indebtedness;

make restricted payments (including paying dividends on, redeeming, repurchasing or retiring our capital stock);

make investments;

create liens;

sell assets;

enter into agreements restricting our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends, make loans or transfer assets to us;

engage in transactions with affiliates; and

consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets.

Table of Contents

These covenants are subject to important exceptions and qualifications. In addition, our ABL facility also requires us, under certain circumstances, to maintain compliance with certain financial covenants as described in the **Covenant Compliance** section in Item 7. Our ability to comply with these covenants may be affected by events beyond our control, including those described in this **Risk Factors** section. A breach of any of the covenants contained in our ABL facility, or the indentures governing the 2022 Notes, the 2020 Notes or the DSS Notes could result in an event of default under one or more of the documents governing such obligations, which would allow the lenders under our ABL facility to declare all borrowings outstanding, or in the case of the noteholders of the 2022 Notes, the 2020 Notes or the DSS Notes, all principal amounts outstanding on such notes, to be due and payable. Any such acceleration would trigger cross-default provisions under the ABL facility, the indentures governing our outstanding notes and, potentially, our other indebtedness. In the event of an acceleration of payment obligations, we would likely be unable to pay our outstanding indebtedness with our cash and cash equivalents then on hand. We would, therefore, be required to seek alternative sources of funding, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, terms as favorable as our current agreements or at all. If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness or find alternative means of financing our operations, we may be required to curtail our operations, face bankruptcy, or take other actions that are inconsistent with our current business practices or strategy. For additional information about our ABL facility, see our discussion under **Liquidity and Capital Resources** in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

A portion of our debt may be variable rate debt, and changes in interest rates could adversely affect us by causing us to incur higher interest costs with respect to such variable rate debt.

Our ABL facility subjects us to interest rate risk. The rate at which we pay interest on amounts borrowed under such facility fluctuates with changes in interest rates and our debt leverage. Accordingly, with respect to any amounts from time to time outstanding under our ABL facility, we are and will be exposed to changes in interest rates. If we are unable to adequately manage our debt structure in response to changes in the market, our interest expense could increase, which would negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations. The outstanding borrowings under the ABL facility as of January 2, 2016 were \$122.0 million.

Our results of operations may be negatively affected by global financial events.

In recent years, global financial events have resulted in the consolidation, failure or near failure of a number of institutions in the banking, insurance and investment banking industries and have substantially reduced the ability of companies to obtain financing. These events also adversely affected the financial markets. These events could continue to have a number of different effects on our business, including:

a reduction in consumer spending, which could result in a reduction in our sales volume;

a negative impact on the ability of our customers to timely pay their obligations to us or our vendors to timely supply materials, thus reducing our cash flow;

an increase in counterparty risk;

an increased likelihood that one or more members of our banking syndicate may be unable to honor its commitments under our ABL facility; and

restricted access to capital markets that may limit our ability to take advantage of business opportunities. Other events or conditions may arise or persist directly or indirectly from the global financial events that could negatively affect our business.

We may not fully realize the expected cost savings and/or operating efficiencies from our restructuring activities.

We have in the past implemented, and may in the future implement, restructuring activities to support the implementation of key strategic initiatives designed to achieve long-term sustainable growth. These activities are intended to maximize our operating effectiveness and efficiency and to reduce our costs. We cannot be assured that we will achieve or sustain the targeted benefits under these programs or that the benefits, even if achieved, will be adequate to meet our long-term growth expectations. In addition, the implementation of key elements of these activities may have an adverse impact on our business, particularly in the near-term.

Table of Contents

Substantial disruption to production at our beverage concentrates or other beverage production facilities could occur.

A disruption in production at our beverage concentrates production facility, which manufactures almost all of our concentrates, could have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, a disruption could occur at any of our other facilities or those of our suppliers, bottlers, distribution channels or service networks. The disruption could occur for many reasons, including fire, natural disasters, weather, manufacturing problems, diseases, strikes, transportation interruption, government regulation or terrorism. Alternative facilities with sufficient capacity or capabilities may not be available, may cost substantially more or may take a significant time to start production, each of which could negatively impact our business and results of operations.

Our business is dependent on our ability to maintain access to our water sources; water scarcity and poor quality could negatively affect our long-term financial performance.

A disruption in the water flow at any one of our water sources, a dispute over water rights, increased legal restrictions on water use or access at our water sources or the failure to maintain access to our water sources could cause an increase in the cost of our products or shortages that would likely not allow us to meet market demand. The potential delivery and price disruptions due to the loss of any one water source or a decline in the volume of water available could significantly disrupt our business, result in the loss of customer confidence and have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Further, if any of our municipal water sources were curtailed or eliminated as a result of, for example, a natural disaster, work stoppage or other significant event that disrupted water flow from such municipal source, we may have to purchase water from other sources, which could increase water and transportation costs and could result in supply shortages and price increases. Any one of these events could have a negative impact on our business, financial condition, reputation and results of operations.

Water is a limited resource facing significant challenges from population growth, environmental contamination and poor management. As demand for water continues to increase and if water becomes more scarce and the quality of water available deteriorates, our business may incur increasing costs or face capacity constraints, which could adversely affect our profitability or net sales in the long run.

Our success depends, in part, on our intellectual property, which we may be unable to protect.

We possess certain intellectual property that is important to our business. This intellectual property includes trade secrets, in the form of the concentrate formulas for most of the beverages that we produce, and trademarks for the names of the beverages that we sell. While we own certain of the trademarks used to identify our beverages, other trademarks are used through licenses from third-parties or by permission from our customers. Our success depends, in part, on our ability to protect our intellectual property.

To protect this intellectual property, we rely principally on registration of trademarks, contractual responsibilities and restrictions in agreements (such as indemnification, nondisclosure and confidentiality agreements) with employees, consultants and customers, and on common law and statutory protections afforded to trademarks, trade secrets and proprietary know-how. In addition, we vigorously protect our intellectual property against infringements using any and all legal remedies available. Notwithstanding our efforts, we may not be successful in protecting our intellectual property for a number of reasons, including:

our competitors may independently develop intellectual property that is similar to or better than ours;

employees, consultants or customers may not abide by their contractual agreements and the cost of enforcing those agreements may be prohibitive, or those agreements may prove to be unenforceable or more limited than anticipated;

foreign intellectual property laws may not adequately protect our intellectual property rights; and

our intellectual property rights may be successfully challenged, invalidated or circumvented.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property, our competitive position would weaken and we could face significant expense to protect or enforce our intellectual property rights. At January 2, 2016, we had \$45.0 million of Rights and \$183.1 million of trademarks recorded as intangible assets (see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Occasionally, third-parties may assert that we are, or may be, infringing on or misappropriating their intellectual property rights. In these cases, we intend to defend against claims or negotiate licenses when we consider these actions appropriate. Intellectual property cases are uncertain and involve complex legal and factual questions. If we become involved in this type of litigation, it could consume significant resources and divert our attention from business operations.

Table of Contents

If we are found to infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, we could incur significant damages, be enjoined from continuing to manufacture, market or use the affected product, or be required to obtain a license to continue manufacturing or using the affected product. A license could be very expensive to obtain or may not be available at all. Similarly, changing products or processes to avoid infringing the rights of others may be costly or impracticable.

Our products may not meet health and safety standards or could become contaminated and we could be liable for injury, illness or death caused by consumption of our products.

We have adopted various quality, environmental, health and safety standards. However, our products may still not meet these standards or could otherwise become contaminated. A failure to meet these standards or contamination could occur in our operations or those of our bottlers, distributors or suppliers. This could result in expensive production interruptions, recalls and liability claims. We may be liable to our customers if the consumption of any of our products causes injury, illness or death. Moreover, negative publicity could be generated from false, unfounded or nominal liability claims or limited recalls. Any of these failures or occurrences could negatively affect our business, results of operations or cash flows.

Litigation or legal proceedings could expose us to significant liabilities and damage our reputation.

We are party to various litigation claims and legal proceedings. We evaluate these claims and proceedings to assess the likelihood of unfavorable outcomes, and, if possible, estimate the amount of potential losses. If our products are not safely and/or properly manufactured or designed, personal injuries or property damage could result, which could subject us to claims for damages. The costs associated with defending product liability and other claims, and the payment of damages, could be substantial. Our reputation could also be adversely affected by such claims, whether or not successful.

We may establish a reserve as appropriate based upon assessments and estimates in accordance with our accounting policies and we have also asserted insurance claims where appropriate. We base our assessments, estimates and disclosures on the information available to us at the time and rely on legal and management judgment. Actual outcomes or losses or any recoveries we may receive from insurance may differ materially from assessments and estimates. Actual settlements, judgments or resolutions of these claims or proceedings may negatively affect our business and financial performance. A successful claim against us that is not covered by insurance or is in excess of our available insurance limits could require us to make significant payments of damages and could negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. For more information, see Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Changes in the legal and regulatory environment in the jurisdictions in which we operate could negatively affect our results of operations, adversely affect demand for our products and services or result in litigation.

As a producer and distributor of beverages, we must comply with various federal, state, provincial, local and foreign laws relating to production, packaging, quality, labeling and distribution, including, in the United States, those of the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act, the Federal Trade Commission Act, the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act and California Proposition 65. We are also subject to various federal, state, provincial, local and foreign environmental laws and workplace regulations. These laws and regulations include, in the United States, the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Unfair Labor Standards Act, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Safe Drinking Water Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act, laws governing equal employment opportunity, customs and foreign trade laws and regulations, laws relating to the maintenance of fuel storage tanks, laws relating to water consumption and treatment, and various other federal statutes and

regulations. Outside the United States, the production and distribution of our products are also subject to various laws and regulations. These laws and regulations may change as a result of political, economic, or social events. Such regulatory changes may include changes in food and drug laws, laws related to advertising, accounting standards, taxation requirements, competition laws and environmental laws, including laws relating to the regulation of water rights and treatment. Changes in laws, regulations or government policy and related interpretations may alter the environment in which we do business, which may negatively affect our results of operations or increase our costs or liabilities.

Food/Beverage Production

A number of states have passed laws setting forth warning or labeling requirements relating to products made for human consumption. For example, the California law known as Proposition 65 requires that a specific warning appear on any product sold in California containing a substance listed by that state as having been found to cause cancer or reproductive

Table of Contents

toxicity. This law, and others like it, exposes all food and beverage producers to the possibility of having to provide warnings on their products. The detection of even a trace amount of a listed substance can subject an affected product to the requirement of a warning label, although products containing listed substances that occur naturally or that are contributed to such products solely by a municipal water supply are generally exempt from the warning requirement. From time to time over the past several years, certain of our customers have received notices alleging that the labeling requirements of the relevant state regulation would apply to products manufactured by us and sold by them. There can be no assurance that we will not be adversely affected by actions against our customers or us relating to Proposition 65 or similar failure to warn laws. Were any such claim to be pursued or succeed, we might in some cases be required to indemnify our customers for damages and provide warnings on our products in order for them to be sold in certain states. Any negative media attention, adverse publicity or action arising from allegations of violations could adversely affect consumer perceptions of our products and harm our business.

Energy/Conservation Initiatives

The EPA has oversight over the voluntary Energy Star certification program for appliances, including bottled water dispensers. Since February 1, 2014, the EPA has required appliances in the program to adhere to a lower energy consumption standard of 0.87 kilowatt hours per day. While we are working closely with our water cooler manufacturers to ensure we have continued access to Energy Star certified bottled water dispensers, there can be no assurances that we will continue to have such access. Our inability to utilize compliant dispensers could negatively affect our business, financial condition, reputation and results of operations.

Recent initiatives have taken place in several markets in which we operate regarding bottled water, particularly with respect to smaller bottles. Regulations have been proposed in some jurisdictions that would ban the use of public funds to purchase bottled water, enact local taxes on bottled water and water extraction and restrict the withdrawal of water from public and private sources. We believe adverse publicity focused on any element of the bottled water business could affect consumer behavior by discouraging consumers from buying any type of bottled water products. Successful legislative and executive action and increased negative publicity could reduce the number of bottled water consumers, which could negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The increasing concern over climate change also may result in more regional, federal and/or global legal and regulatory requirements to reduce or mitigate the effects of greenhouse gases. In the event that such regulation is more aggressive than the sustainability measures that we are currently undertaking to monitor our emissions and improve our energy efficiency, we may experience significant increases in our costs of operation and delivery. In particular, increasing regulation of fuel emissions could substantially increase the cost of energy, including fuel, required to operate our facilities or transport and distribute our products, particularly in our DSS business, thereby substantially increasing the distribution and supply chain costs associated with our products. As a result, climate change could negatively affect our business and results of operations.

Packaging Ingredients

The manufacture, sale and use of resins and Bisphenol A (BPA) used to make our three- and five-gallon water bottles are subject to regulation by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). These regulations relate to substances used in food packaging materials, not with specific finished food packaging products. BPA is contained in substantially all of our three- and five-gallon returnable polycarbonate plastic bottles. Negative media attention regarding BPA has generated concern in the bottled water market, although a January 2010 report by the FDA notes studies that suggest the low levels of BPA used in polycarbonate bottles are safe for human exposure and the FDA sustained this opinion in its March 2013 BPA consumer update. The FDA indicated that it will continue to evaluate these studies before issuing a final assessment on the safety of BPA and the FDA 's current public health recommendations include taking

reasonable steps to reduce exposure of infants and young children to BPA. The FDA and certain states, however, may in the future decide to regulate more aggressively the potential harmful effects of BPA. Although the FDA rejected a 2012 citizen petition from the Natural Resources Defense Council seeking the ban of BPA from all food and drink packaging, including plastic bottles and canned foods, our customers and potential new customers may share the concerns raised by the citizens petition and may reduce their exposure to BPA as a result. The FDA has also asserted the need for additional studies on the safety of BPA in food packaging materials and acknowledged recent studies regarding potential developmental and behavioral effects of BPA exposure on infants and young children. The EPA and certain states also may in the future study or regulate BPA. Additionally, a number of states have passed legislation banning the use of BPA in packaging intended for children three years of age and younger, such as in baby bottles and sippy cups. Extensive negative public perception regarding food packaging that uses BPA could cause consumers to stop purchasing our products manufactured in polycarbonate bottles. Further, the emergence of new scientific evidence or reports that suggests our polycarbonate water bottles are unsafe, or interpretations of existing evidence by regulatory agencies that lead to prohibitions on the use of polycarbonate plastic as packaging for food contact materials, could cause a serious

Table of Contents

disruption in our ability to package our bottled water products. If polycarbonate plastic becomes a banned substance, we may not be able to adopt alternative packaging, and conduct extensive and costly safety testing, in time to prevent adverse effects to our business, financial condition and results of operations. Further, if our competitors successfully integrate BPA-free packaging into their business and BPA is subsequently deemed undesirable or unsafe, our competitors may have a significant competitive advantage over us.

Hazardous Materials

We engage in or have in the past engaged in the handling, storage or use of hazardous substances, including for the maintenance and fueling of our vehicle fleet for our DSS business. We are also required to obtain environmental permits from governmental authorities for certain operations. We cannot assure you that we have been or will be at all times in complete compliance with such laws, regulations and permits. If we violate or fail to comply with these laws, regulations or permits, we could be fined or otherwise sanctioned by regulators. We could also be held liable for any consequences arising out of human exposure to hazardous substances or other environmental damage.

Certain environmental laws impose liability on current or previous owners or operators of real property for the cost of removal or remediation of hazardous substances. These laws often impose liability even if the owner or operator did not know of, or was not responsible for, the release of such hazardous substances and also impose liability on persons who arrange for hazardous substances to be sent to disposal or treatment facilities. In addition to actions brought by governmental agencies, private plaintiffs may also bring personal injury claims arising from the presence of hazardous substances on a property. Certain environmental contamination has been identified at or in the vicinity of some of our DSS properties. From time to time, we have also been named a potentially responsible party at third-party waste disposal sites. There can be no assurances that we will not be required to make material expenditures in the future for these or other contamination-related concerns or that other responsible parties will conduct any required cleanup. Environmental laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. We cannot assure you that our costs of complying with current and future environmental laws and regulations and our liabilities arising from past or future releases of, or exposure to, hazardous substances will not negatively affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Taxes on CSDs and other drinks could have an adverse effect on our business.

Federal, state, local and foreign governments have considered or have enacted taxes on soda and other sugary drinks, as well as energy products. Any such taxes could negatively affect consumer demand for our products and have an adverse effect on our revenues.

We are not in compliance with the requirements of the OEPA and, if the Ontario government seeks to enforce those requirements or implements modifications to them, we could be adversely affected.

Certain regulations under the OEPA provide that a minimum percentage of a bottler's soft drink sales within specified areas in Ontario must be made in refillable containers. The penalty for noncompliance by a corporation is a fine of \$250,000 per day beginning when the first offense occurs and continuing until the first conviction, and then increasing to \$500,000 per day for each subsequent conviction. These fines may be increased to equal the amount of monetary benefit acquired by the offender as a result of the commission of the offense. We, and we believe other industry participants, are currently not in compliance with the requirements of the OEPA. We do not expect to be in compliance with these regulations in the foreseeable future. Ontario is not enforcing the OEPA at this time, but if it chose to enforce the OEPA in the future, we could incur fines for noncompliance and the possible prohibition of sales of soft drinks in non-refillable containers in Ontario. We estimate that approximately 2% of our sales in Canada would be affected by the possible limitation on sales of soft drinks in non-refillable containers in Ontario if the Ontario

Ministry of the Environment initiated an action to enforce the provisions of the OEPA.

Our business is seasonal and adverse weather conditions could negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The sales of our products are influenced to some extent by weather conditions in the markets in which we operate. Unusually cold or rainy weather during the summer months may reduce the demand for our CSDs, bottled water and other products and contribute to lower revenues, which could negatively affect our profitability. Warmer winter weather could decrease sales of our coffee and hot chocolate products and negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Global or regional catastrophic events could affect our operations and results of operations.

Our business can be affected by large-scale terrorist acts, especially those directed against the United States or other major industrialized countries in which we do business, major natural disasters, or widespread outbreaks of infectious diseases. Such events could impair our ability to manage our business, could disrupt our supply of raw materials, and could affect production, transportation and delivery of products. In addition, such events could cause disruption of regional or global economic activity, which can affect consumers' purchasing power in the affected areas and, therefore, reduce demand for our products.

Our success depends in part upon our ability to recruit, retain and prepare succession plans for our CEO, CFO, senior management and key employees.

The performance of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO), senior management and other key employees is critical to our success. We plan to continue to invest time and resources in developing our senior management and key employee teams. Our long-term success will depend on our ability to recruit and retain capable senior management and other key employees, and any failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our future operating results and financial condition. Further, if we fail to adequately plan for the succession of our CEO, CFO, senior management and other key employees, our results of operations could be negatively affected.

We may not be able to renew collective bargaining agreements on satisfactory terms, or we could experience strikes.

Some of our employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements expiring on various dates. We may not be able to renew our collective bargaining agreements on satisfactory terms or at all. This could result in strikes or work stoppages, which could impair our ability to manufacture and distribute our products and result in a substantial loss of sales. The terms of existing or renewed agreements could also significantly increase our costs or negatively affect our ability to increase operational efficiency.

We depend on key information systems and third-party service providers.

We depend on key information systems to accurately and efficiently transact our business, provide information to management and prepare financial reports. We rely on third-party providers for various networking, application hosting and related business process services which support our key information systems. Issues with performance by these third-parties may disrupt our operations and as a result, our operating expenses could increase, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

In addition, these systems and services are vulnerable to interruptions or other failures resulting from, among other things, natural disasters, terrorist attacks, software, equipment or telecommunications failures, processing errors, computer viruses, hackers, other security issues or supplier defaults. Security, backup and disaster recovery measures may not be adequate or implemented properly to avoid such disruptions or failures. Any disruption or failure of these systems or services could cause substantial errors, processing inefficiencies, security breaches, inability to use the systems or process transactions, loss of customers or other business disruptions, all of which could negatively affect our business and results of operations.

If we are unable to securely maintain our customers' confidential or credit card information, or other private data relating to our employees or our Company, we could be subject to negative publicity, costly government enforcement actions or private litigation, which could damage our business reputation and negatively affect our results of operations.

The protection of our customer, employee and Company data is critical to us. We have procedures and technology in place to safeguard our customers' debit card, credit card and other personal information, our employees' private data and Company records and intellectual property. However, if we experience a data security breach of any kind, we could be exposed to negative publicity, government enforcement actions, private litigation or costly response measures. In addition, our reputation within the business community and with our customers may be affected, which could result in our customers discontinuing their purchases of our products and services or their use of the debit or credit card payment option. Any loss of our ability to securely offer our customers a credit card payment option would make our products less attractive to many small organizations by negatively affecting our customer experience and significantly increasing our administrative costs related to customer payment processing. This could cause us to lose market share to our competitors and could have a negative effect on our results of operations.

Table of Contents

We may not continue our quarterly dividend and shareowners may never obtain a return on their investment.

We have paid quarterly cash dividends since 2012. Most recently, the board of directors declared a dividend of \$0.06 per common share to be paid in cash on March 24, 2016 to shareowners of record at the close of business on March 9, 2016. However, there can be no assurance that we will continue to declare quarterly dividends in the future. The declaration and payment of future dividends on our common shares is subject to, among other things, the best interests of our shareowners, our results of operations, cash balances and future cash requirements, financial condition, statutory regulations and covenants and other restrictions on payment set forth in the instruments governing our indebtedness and preferred shares in effect from time to time. Accordingly, shareowners must rely on sales of their common shares after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any return on their investment.

We also face other risks that could adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition, which include:

any requirement to restate financial results in the event of inappropriate application of accounting principles or otherwise;

any event that could damage our reputation;

failure to properly manage credit risk from customers;

failure of our processes to prevent and detect unethical conduct of employees;

a significant failure of internal controls over financial reporting;

failure of our prevention and control systems related to employee compliance with internal policies and regulatory requirements; and

failure of corporate governance policies and procedures.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our business is supported by our extensive manufacturing and distribution network and flexible production capabilities. Our manufacturing and distribution footprint encompasses 62 strategically located beverage

manufacturing, production, distribution and fruit processing facilities, including 52 in North America, which include 28 combined production and distribution facilities acquired as part of the DSS Acquisition, eight in the United Kingdom, one in Mexico, and one vertically-integrated global concentrate manufacturing facility in Columbus, Georgia. In addition, we have one customer service call center for the DSS business in the United States.

Total square footage of our beverage manufacturing, production, distribution and fruit processing facilities is approximately 6.3 million square feet in North America; 1.2 million square feet in the United Kingdom; and 0.3 million square feet in Mexico. This square footage does not include 169 separate leased and sub-leased warehouses and 57 owned warehouses that together comprise 5.1 million square feet and eight leased office spaces and two owned office spaces that together comprise 0.4 million square feet. Lease terms for non-owned beverage production facilities and offices expire between 2016 and 2035.

The beverage production facilities and square footage amounts noted above do not include vacant or underutilized properties.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to various claims and legal proceedings with respect to matters such as governmental regulations, income taxes, and other actions arising out of the normal course of business. Management believes that the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**SUPPLEMENTAL ITEM PART I. EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

The following is a list of names, ages, offices and backgrounds of all of our executive officers as of February 29, 2016. Our officers do not serve for a set term.

	Office	Age
Jerry Fowden	Chief Executive Officer	59
Jay Wells	Chief Financial Officer	53
Steven Kitching	President - Cott North America Business Unit	52
Thomas Harrington	Chief Executive Officer - DS Services of America, Inc.	58
Marni Morgan Poe	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	46
Michael Creamer	Vice President - Human Resources	59
Carlos Baila	Chief Procurement Officer	49
Jason Ausher	Chief Accounting Officer	42

Jerry Fowden was appointed Chief Executive Officer in 2009. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Fowden served as President of our international operating segments and Interim President, North America from 2008 to 2009, and as Interim President of our U.K. operating segment from 2007 to 2008. He served as Chief Executive Officer of Trader Media Group Ltd., a media company, and as a member of its parent Guardian Media Group plc's board of directors from 2005 until 2007. From 2001 until 2004, Mr. Fowden served in a variety of roles with AB InBev S.A. Belgium, an alcoholic beverage company, including President, European Zone, Western, Central and Eastern Europe from 2003 to 2004, Global Chief Operating Officer from 2002 to 2003 and Chief Executive Officer of Bass Brewers Ltd., a subsidiary of AB InBev S.A. Belgium, from 2001 to 2002. From 1997 to 2001, Mr. Fowden was Managing Director of the Rank Group Plc's Hospitality and Holiday Division and was a member of the Rank Group Plc board of directors from 1999 to 2001. Mr. Fowden currently serves on the board of directors of Constellation Brands, Inc., a premium wine, beer and spirits company, and on the board of directors of the American Beverage Association. Mr. Fowden has served on our board of directors since March 2009.

Jay Wells was appointed Chief Financial Officer in 2012. Prior to joining Cott, Mr. Wells held various senior finance positions with Molson Coors from 2005 to 2012, including Chief Financial Officer of Molson Coors Canada, a subsidiary of Molson Coors Brewing Company, and Global Vice President, Treasury, Tax, and Strategic Finance of Molson Coors Brewing Company. From 1990 to 2005, Mr. Wells held several positions within Deloitte and Touche LLP, including partner.

Steven Kitching was appointed President of Cott's North America business unit in 2013. From 2008 to 2013, Mr. Kitching served as Managing Director of Cott's United Kingdom/Europe business unit. From 2005 to 2008, Mr. Kitching held several positions with InBev UK, including Managing Director - On Trade Sales and Managing Director - Commercial and Field Operations. Prior to that, Mr. Kitching held several positions with Interbrew and Whitbread Beer Company from 1986 to 2005, including General Manager Netherlands of Interbrew from 2004 to 2005.

Thomas J. Harrington was appointed Chief Executive Officer of our DSS business unit in connection with the closing of the DSS Acquisition on December 12, 2014. Prior to the DSS Acquisition, Mr. Harrington served in various roles with DSS from 2004 to 2014, including Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Operating Officer, West Division President, and Senior Vice President, Central Division. Prior to joining DSS, Mr. Harrington served in various roles with Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. including Vice President and General Manager of Coca-Cola Enterprises New York and Chicago divisions. He also served in various sales and marketing roles with Pepperidge Farm from 1979 to 1985. Mr. Harrington currently serves on the board of directors of the International Bottled Water Association, the National Automatic Merchandising Association and the Water Quality Association.

Marni Morgan Poe was appointed Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary in 2010. Prior to her appointment, Ms. Poe served as Corporate Counsel of the Company from 2008 to 2010. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Poe was a partner at the law firm of Holland & Knight LLP from 2000 to 2006 and an associate of the law firm from 1995 to 2000.

Michael Creamer was appointed our Vice President of Human Resources for International and Tampa, Florida in 2007 and promoted to Vice President of Human Resources for Cott in 2008. Mr. Creamer currently serves as our Corporate Human Resources Vice President. Prior to joining Cott, Mr. Creamer was Senior Director of Human

Table of Contents

Resource Operations and International for Avanade Corporation, a global IT consultancy formed as a joint venture between Accenture and Microsoft Corporation. From 1990 to 2004, Mr. Creamer held several positions within Microsoft, including senior global human resources positions.

Carlos Baila was appointed Chief Procurement Officer in 2013. From 2005 to 2012, Mr. Baila worked for PepsiCo Inc. as Vice President of Global Procurement. From 1998 to 2005, Mr. Baila worked as a Supply Chain Executive at Accenture Ltd. where he provided clients with management consulting services primarily around supply chain and strategic sourcing projects, procurement transformations, contract structuring, financial valuations, and supply chain optimizations. Mr. Baila has been involved in multinational projects across the Americas, Europe, Middle East and Asia; and, in Argentina, held several positions in operations at Keystone Foods from 1995 to 1997 and Quilmes Brewery from 1992 to 1995.

Jason Ausher was appointed Chief Accounting Officer in May 2015. Prior to this appointment, from 2015 to 2011, Mr. Ausher served as the Company's VP Treasurer, Corporate Development. From 2010 to 2011, Mr. Ausher served as the Company's Corporate Controller and from 2008 to 2010 he held the position of Controller for the Company's U.S. business unit. From 2003 to 2008, Mr. Ausher held numerous positions with Walter Industries, Inc. and Mueller Water Products Inc. (a water infrastructure business and spin-off of Walter Industries, Inc.), including the position of Vice President of Finance. Prior to this, from 1996 to 2002, Mr. Ausher was with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREOWNER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common shares are listed on the TSX under the ticker symbol BCB, and on the NYSE under the ticker symbol COT.

The tables below show the high and low reported per share sales prices of our common shares on the TSX (in Canadian dollars) and the NYSE (in U.S. dollars) for the indicated periods for 2015 and 2014.

Toronto Stock Exchange (C\$)**TSX**

	2015		2014	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 12.52	\$ 7.95	\$ 9.45	\$ 8.30
Second Quarter	\$ 13.00	\$ 10.29	\$ 9.43	\$ 7.33
Third Quarter	\$ 15.62	\$ 12.11	\$ 8.42	\$ 7.19
Fourth Quarter	\$ 15.71	\$ 11.97	\$ 8.23	\$ 6.66

New York Stock Exchange (U.S.\$)**NYSE**

	2015		2014	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 10.05	\$ 6.76	\$ 8.55	\$ 7.60
Second Quarter	\$ 10.66	\$ 8.56	\$ 8.57	\$ 6.78
Third Quarter	\$ 11.87	\$ 9.56	\$ 7.75	\$ 6.60
Fourth Quarter	\$ 11.84	\$ 8.96	\$ 7.09	\$ 5.95

As of February 19, 2016, we had 980 shareowners of record. This number was determined from records maintained by our transfer agent and does not include beneficial owners of securities whose securities are held in the names of various dealers or clearing agencies. The closing sale price of our common shares on February 19, 2016 was C\$15.43 on the TSX and \$11.22 on the NYSE.

The board of directors has declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.06 per common share in each quarter during 2014 and 2015 for an aggregate yearly dividend payment of approximately \$22.0 million, and \$25.1 million, respectively. Cott intends to pay a regular quarterly dividend on its common shares subject to, among other things, the best interests of its shareowners, Cott's results of operations, cash balances and future cash requirements, financial condition, statutory regulations and covenants set forth in the ABL facility and indentures governing the 2020 Notes, the 2022 Notes and the DSS Notes, as well as other factors that the board of directors may deem relevant from time to time.

Dividends to shareowners who are non-residents of Canada will generally be subject to Canadian withholding tax. Under current Canadian tax law, dividends paid by a Canadian corporation to a non-resident shareowner are generally subject to Canadian withholding tax at a 25% rate. Under the current tax treaty between Canada and the United States, U.S. residents who are entitled to treaty benefits are generally eligible for a reduction in this withholding tax rate to

15% (and to 5% for a shareowner that is a corporation and is the beneficial owner of at least 10% of our voting stock). Accordingly, under current tax law, our U.S. resident shareowners who are entitled to treaty benefits will generally be subject to a Canadian withholding tax at a 15% rate on dividends paid by us, provided that they have complied with applicable procedural requirements to claim the benefit of the reduced rate under the tax treaty. The fifth protocol to the tax treaty between Canada and the United States places additional restrictions on the ability of U.S. residents to claim these reduced rate benefits. U.S. residents generally will be entitled on their U.S. federal income tax returns to claim a foreign tax credit, or a deduction, for Canadian withholding tax that applies to them, subject to certain applicable limitations. U.S. investors should consult their tax advisors with respect to the tax consequences and requirements applicable to them, based on their individual circumstances.

Table of Contents

There are certain restrictions on the payment of dividends under our ABL facility and under the indentures governing the 2020 Notes, the 2022 Notes and the DSS Notes. The ABL facility and the indentures governing the 2020 Notes, the 2022 Notes and the DSS Notes are discussed in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For information on securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans, see Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareowner Matters in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In December 2014, in connection with and as part of the consideration for the DSS Acquisition, the Company issued to the sellers Convertible Preferred Shares having an aggregate value of approximately \$116.1 million and Non-Convertible Preferred Shares having an aggregate value of approximately \$32.7 million. The issuance of the Preferred Shares pursuant to the Merger Agreement was made in reliance on the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and the rules and regulations thereunder. As of June 11, 2015, all of the outstanding Convertible Preferred Shares and Non-Convertible Preferred Shares were redeemed by the Company (see Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). Other than the issuance of the Preferred Shares in 2014, the Company has not sold any equity securities that were not registered under the Securities Act during the past three years.

Calculation of aggregate market value of non-affiliate shares

For purposes of calculating the aggregate market value of common shares held by non-affiliates as shown on the cover page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, it was assumed that all of the outstanding shares were held by non-affiliates except for outstanding shares held or controlled by our directors and executive officers. For further information concerning shareholdings of officers, directors and principal shareowners, see Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareowner Matters in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**Shareowner return performance graph**

The following graph shows changes over our past five fiscal years in the value of C\$100, assuming reinvestment of dividends, invested in: (i) our common shares; (ii) the Toronto Stock Exchange's S&P/TSX Composite Index; (iii) the peer group utilized in prior annual reports, which consists of publicly-traded companies in the bottling industry comprised of Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc., Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated, National Beverage Corp., Pepsi Bottling Group Inc. and PepsiAmericas Inc., and (iv) a new peer group utilized in this Annual Report, which better reflects our business following the DSS Acquisition, consisting of publicly-traded companies in the bottling and route-based service industries comprised of Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc., Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated, Coca Cola FEMSA, S.A.B de C.V., Coca Cola Amatil Ltd., National Beverage Corp., A.G. Barr plc, Britvic plc, G&K Services, Inc., UniFirst Corp., ABM Industries Inc., Chemed Corp., ServiceMaster Global Holdings, Inc., Cintas Corp., and Aramark. The closing price of Cott's common shares as of January 2, 2016 was C\$15.24 on the TSX and \$10.99 on the NYSE. The following table is in Canadian dollars.

COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

ASSUMES \$100 (CANADIAN) INVESTED ON JAN. 01, 2011

ASSUMES DIVIDEND REINVESTED

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JAN. 02, 2016

<i>Company / Market / Peer Group</i>	1/1/2011	12/31/2011	12/29/2012	12/28/2013	1/3/2015	1/2/2016
Cott Corporation	\$ 100.00	\$ 71.51	\$ 88.89	\$ 99.96	\$ 98.12	\$ 186.30
S&P / TSX Composite	\$ 100.00	\$ 91.28	\$ 96.91	\$ 110.26	\$ 123.32	\$ 112.02
New Peer Group	\$ 100.00	\$ 120.39	\$ 152.03	\$ 215.51	\$ 258.89	\$ 354.30
Old Peer Group	\$ 100.00	\$ 110.36	\$ 115.70	\$ 146.29	\$ 186.74	\$ 242.55

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*Common Share Repurchase Program*

On May 6, 2014, our board of directors approved the renewal of our share repurchase program for up to 5% of our outstanding common shares over a 12-month period commencing upon the expiration of our then-effective share repurchase program on May 21, 2014. We suspended our share repurchase program during the fourth quarter of 2014 and we made no additional repurchases of our common shares. The share repurchase program expired on May 21, 2015.

Table of Contents*Tax Withholdings*

The following table contains information about shares that we withheld from delivering to employees during 2015 to satisfy their tax obligations related to stock-based awards.

			Total Number of Common Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Approximate Dollar Value of Common Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
February 2015	87,157	\$ 7.90	N/A	N/A
August 2015	4,777	\$ 11.30	N/A	N/A
Total	91,934			

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected financial data reflects our results of operations. This information should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by reference to Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The financial information presented may not be indicative of future performance.

	January 2, 2016 (52 weeks)	January 3, 2015 ¹ (53 weeks)	December 28, 2013 (52 weeks)	December 29, 2012 (52 weeks)	December 31, 2011 (52 weeks)
(in millions of U.S. dollars, except per share amounts)					
Revenue, net	\$ 2,944.0	\$ 2,102.8	\$ 2,094.0	\$ 2,250.6	\$ 2,334.6
Cost of sales	2,048.5	1,826.3	1,818.6	1,937.9	2,034.3
Gross profit	895.5	276.5	275.4	312.7	300.3
SG&A expenses	768.6	213.7	180.3	197.4	189.2
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	6.9	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.2
Restructuring		2.4	2.0		
Asset impairments		1.7			0.6
Intangible asset impairments					1.4
Acquisition and integration expenses	20.6	41.3	3.1	3.4	6.7
Operating income	99.4	15.7	88.2	110.1	101.2
Other (income) expense, net	(9.5)	21.0	12.8	(1.4)	3.1
Interest expense, net	111.0	39.7	51.6	54.2	57.1
(Loss) income before income taxes	(2.1)	(45.0)	23.8	57.3	41.0
Income tax (benefit) expense	(22.7)	(61.4)	1.8	4.6	(0.7)
Net income	\$ 20.6	\$ 16.4	\$ 22.0	\$ 52.7	\$ 41.7
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	6.1	5.6	5.0	4.5	3.6
Less: Accumulated dividends on convertible preferred shares	4.5	0.6			
Less: Accumulated dividends on non-convertible preferred shares	1.4	0.2			
Less: Foreign exchange impact on redemption of preferred shares	12.0				
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (3.4)	\$ 10.0	\$ 17.0	\$ 48.2	\$ 38.1
<u>Net (loss) income per common share attributed to Cott Corporation</u>					
Basic	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.40

Diluted	(0.03)	0.10	0.18	0.50	0.40
Financial Condition					
Total assets ²	\$ 2,887.3	\$ 3,073.2	\$ 1,410.7	\$ 1,545.2	\$ 1,485.6
Short-term borrowings	122.0	229.0	50.8		
Current maturities of long-term debt	3.4	4.0	3.9	1.9	3.4
Long-term debt ²	1,525.4	1,541.3	397.9	593.2	591.3
Convertible preferred shares		116.1			
Non-convertible preferred shares		32.7			
Equity	645.9	548.9	604.4	621.4	566.3
Cash dividends paid to common and preferred shareholders	31.0	22.8	21.9	5.8	
Dividends declared per common share	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.06	

1. In 2014, we completed the acquisition of DSS for approximately \$1.246 billion, paid for through a combination of incremental borrowings under our ABL facility of \$180.0 million, issuance of \$625.0 million of our 2020 Notes, assumption of DSS's \$350.0 million DSS Notes, and the issuance of Preferred Shares to the owners of DSS having an aggregate value of approximately \$148.8 million.
2. Balances as of fiscal years ended January 3, 2015, December 28, 2013, December 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011 have been retrospectively adjusted and presented to reflect the adoption of ASU 2015-03 and ASU 2015-17 (see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Table of Contents**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Overview**

With the acquisition of DS Services of America, Inc. (DSS) in December 2014, we combined a leading provider in the direct-to-consumer beverage services industry with our traditional business, one of the world's largest producers of beverages on behalf of retailers, brand owners and distributors. We now have the largest volume-based national presence in the U.S. home and office delivery (HOD) industry for bottled water and one of the five largest national market share positions in the U.S. office coffee services (OCS) and filtration services industries. We reach over 1.5 million customers (approximately 60% commercial and 40% residential) through over 2,000 routes located across our national network supported by national sales and distribution facilities, as well as a fleet of over 2,000 vehicles. Our broad portfolio allows us to offer, on a direct-to-consumer basis, a variety of bottled water, coffee, brewed tea, water dispensers, coffee and tea brewers and filtration equipment. With the ability to cover approximately 90% of U.S. households, in terms of geography, we believe we have the broadest distribution network in the direct-to-consumer beverage services industry in the United States, which enables us to efficiently service residences and small and medium size businesses, as well as national corporations, universities and government agencies.

The beverage market is subject to some seasonal variations. Our beverage and water delivery sales are generally higher during the warmer months, while sales of our coffee products are generally higher during the cooler months and also can be influenced by the timing of holidays and weather fluctuations. Our purchases of raw materials and related accounts payable fluctuate based upon the demand for our products as well as the timing of the fruit growing seasons. The seasonality of our sales volume combined with the seasonal nature of fruit growing causes our working capital needs to fluctuate throughout the year, with inventory levels increasing in the first half of the year in order to meet high summer demand, and with fruit inventories peaking during the last quarter of the year when purchases are made after the growing season. In addition, our accounts receivable balances decline in the fall as customers pay their higher-than-average outstanding balances from the summer deliveries.

Our traditional business typically operates at low margins and therefore relatively small changes in cost structures can materially affect results. Industry-wide carbonated soft drink (CSD) sales have declined during 2013, 2014, and 2015 and ingredient and packaging costs have remained volatile.

Ingredient and packaging costs represent a significant portion of our cost of sales. These costs are subject to global and regional commodity price trends. Our most significant commodities are aluminum in the case of cans and ends, polyethylene terephthalate (PET) resin, high-density polyethylene (HDPE) and polycarbonate, corn in the case of high fructose corn syrup (HFCS), sugar, fruit and fruit concentrates. We attempt to manage our exposure to fluctuations in ingredient and packaging costs by entering into fixed price commitments for a portion of our ingredient and packaging requirements and implementing sales price increases as needed.

We supply Walmart and its affiliated companies, under annual non-exclusive supply agreements, with a variety of products in North America, the United Kingdom, and Mexico, including CSDs, 100% shelf stable juice and juice-based products, clear, still and sparkling flavored waters, energy drinks, sports products, new age beverages, and ready-to-drink teas. In 2015 we supplied Walmart with all of its private-label CSDs in the United States. If Walmart were to utilize additional suppliers to fulfill a portion of its requirements for CSDs, our operating results could be materially adversely affected. Sales to Walmart in 2013, 2014 and 2015, accounted for 30.1%, 26.1%, and 18.0% respectively, of total revenue.

We conduct operations in countries involving transactions denominated in a variety of currencies. We are subject to currency exchange risks to the extent that our costs are denominated in currencies other than those in which we earn

revenues. As our financial statements are denominated in U.S. dollars, change in currency exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and other currencies have had, and will continue to have an impact on our results of operations.

In 2015, our capital expenditures were devoted primarily to supporting growth in our business, maintaining existing facilities, making equipment upgrades and continuing to implement our cost reduction plan.

For the year ended January 3, 2015, we had 53 weeks of activity, compared to 52 weeks of activity for the years ended January 2, 2016 and December 28, 2013. We estimate the additional week contributed \$29.1 million of additional revenue and \$1.1 million of additional operating income for the year ended January 3, 2015.

Table of Contents**Acquisition and Financing Transactions*****DSS Acquisition, Related Financing and Follow-on Acquisitions***

In December 2014, we completed the acquisition by merger of DSS Group, Inc. (the *DSS Group*), parent company to DS Services of America, Inc. and its subsidiaries, a leading bottled water and coffee direct-to-consumer services provider in the United States (the *DSS Acquisition*). The DSS Acquisition was consummated pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the *Merger Agreement*) dated November 6, 2014. Aggregate consideration was approximately \$1.246 billion payable through a combination of incremental borrowings under our asset based lending facility (*ABL facility*) of \$180.0 million, the issuance of \$625.0 million of our 6.75% senior notes due January 1, the assumption of existing \$350.0 million senior notes due 2021 originally issued by DSS, and the issuance of Series A Convertible First Preferred Shares (the *Convertible Preferred Shares*) having an aggregate value of approximately \$116.1 million and Series B Non-Convertible First Preferred Shares (the *Non-Convertible Preferred Shares*, and together with the *Convertible Preferred Shares*, the *Preferred Shares*) having an aggregate value of approximately \$32.7 million.

As noted above, we issued \$625.0 million of the 6.75% senior notes due January 1, 2020 in December 2014 to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (*Securities Act*), and used the proceeds from the issuance to partially finance the DSS Acquisition. On July 14, 2015, we exchanged the privately-placed notes for notes that are registered under the Securities Act and that do not contain transfer restrictions, registration rights or additional interest provisions, but otherwise contain identical economic terms (the *2020 Notes*).

On May 26, 2015, we completed an offering, on a bought deal basis, of 16,215,000 common shares at a price of \$9.25 per share for gross proceeds to us of approximately \$150.0 million (the *2015 Offering*). We incurred \$6.0 million of underwriter commissions and \$1.5 million in professional fees in connection with the 2015 Offering. The proceeds of the 2015 Offering were used to redeem all of the Preferred Shares (see Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

During the year ended January 2, 2016, we, through our DSS reporting segment, acquired nine separate HOD water businesses. We have accounted for all of these transactions as business combinations in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (*GAAP*). These acquisitions support our previously announced objective of strategic acquisitions where we expect to be able to leverage synergies with our existing business. Net assets, including goodwill, acquired have been allocated to the DSS reporting segment. All of the goodwill recorded is expected to be tax deductible.

On January 4, 2016, we acquired AquaTerra Corporation (*AquaTerra*), a Canadian direct-to-consumer home and office water delivery business, for approximately C\$62 million (approximately \$45 million on the closing date). The acquisition was funded using cash on hand as well as borrowings under our ABL facility. AquaTerra will become a part of our DSS reporting segment.

Other Strategic Transactions

In May 2014, our Cott U.K. reporting segment acquired 100% of the share capital of Aimia Foods Holdings Limited (the *Aimia Acquisition*), which includes its operating subsidiary company, Aimia Foods Limited (together referred to as *Aimia*). Aimia produces and distributes hot chocolate, coffee and powdered beverages primarily through food service, vending and retail channels, and produces hot and cold cereal products on a contract manufacturing basis. The aggregate purchase price for the Aimia Acquisition was £52.1 million (\$87.6 million) paid in cash, which included a

payment for estimated closing balance sheet working capital, £19.9 million (\$33.5 million) in deferred consideration paid in September 2014, and aggregate contingent consideration of up to £16.0 million (\$23.6 million at exchange rates in effect on January 2, 2016), which is payable upon the achievement of certain measures related to Aimia's performance during the twelve months ending July 1, 2016. The closing payment and the deferred consideration payment were funded from ABL borrowings and available cash.

In June 2013, our Cott U.K. reporting segment acquired 100% of the share capital of Cooke Bros Holdings Limited (the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition), which includes the subsidiary companies Calypso Soft Drinks Limited and Mr. Freeze (Europe) Limited (together, Calypso Soft Drinks). Calypso Soft Drinks produces fruit juices, juice drinks, soft drinks, and freezable products in the United Kingdom. The aggregate purchase price for the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition was \$12.1 million, which included approximately \$7.0 million paid at closing and deferred payments of approximately \$2.3 million and \$2.5 million paid on the first and second anniversaries of the closing date, respectively. Each payment was funded from available cash.

Table of Contents***Financing Activity***

On June 25, 2015, we entered into a sale-leaseback transaction (the *Sale-Leaseback Transaction*) involving five of our manufacturing, production and distribution facilities in North America, pursuant to which we received cash proceeds of \$40.1 million, after related transaction expenses, and recorded a gain of \$22.6 million. The facilities are being leased from the buyer-lessor over an initial lease term of 20 years and the lease is classified as an operating lease. We determined we have retained the lease rights to the facilities but not the benefits and risks incident to ownership; thus \$21.6 million of the \$22.6 million gain was deferred, with the remaining \$1.0 million recognized as a gain on sale in loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended January 2, 2016. This deferred gain is being amortized as a reduction to rent expense over the 20-year initial lease term.

In June 2014, we issued \$525.0 million of our 5.375% senior notes due 2022 to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act. We used the proceeds to redeem \$375.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 8.125% senior notes due 2018 (the *2018 Notes*) and provide additional funding for Company operations. On May 13, 2015, we exchanged the privately-placed notes for notes that are registered under the Securities Act and that do not contain transfer restrictions, registration rights or additional interest provisions, but otherwise contain identical economic terms (the *2022 Notes*).

In November 2013, we redeemed \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 8.375% senior notes due 2017 (the *2017 Notes*) primarily through the use of available cash and borrowings under the ABL facility. In February 2014, we redeemed the remaining \$15.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2017 Notes at 104.118% of par via borrowings under the ABL facility.

Summary Financial Results

Our net loss attributed to Cott Corporation in 2015 was \$3.4 million or \$0.03 per diluted common share, compared with net income of \$10.0 million or \$0.10 per diluted common share in 2014.

The following items of significance affected our 2015 financial results:

our revenue increased \$841.2 million, or 40.0%, in 2015 compared to the prior year due primarily to a full year of operations from our Aimia and DSS businesses and the impact of four additional shipping days in 2015, partially offset by the impact of unfavorable foreign exchange rates, the impact of the 53rd week in 2014, and a mix shift from private label to contract manufacturing in the Cott North America and Cott U.K. reporting segments. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange, the impact of the 53rd week in 2014 and four additional shipping days in 2015, revenue increased \$927.5 million, or 44.7%, from the prior year;

our gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased to 30.4% in 2015 compared to 13.1% in the prior year due primarily to the addition of the Aimia and DSS businesses and cost and efficiency savings, partially offset by the impact of unfavorable foreign exchange rates and the competitive environment in our traditional business;

our selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses increased to \$768.6 million in 2015 compared to \$213.7 million in the prior year due primarily to the addition of our DSS and Aimia businesses. As a percentage of revenue, SG&A expenses increased to 26.1% from 10.2% in the prior year;

our loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment was related to the disposal of \$6.9 million of equipment that was either replaced or no longer being used in our operating segments;

our acquisition and integration expenses decreased \$20.7 million, or 50.1%, in 2015 compared to the prior year due primarily to the incurrence of a significant portion of the transaction costs in connection with the acquisition of the DSS and Aimia businesses in the prior year;

our other income, net was \$9.5 million in 2015 compared to other expense, net of \$21.0 million in the prior year due primarily to net realized gains on translation of balances denominated in foreign currencies and a favorable legal settlement in 2015 compared to expenses incurred due to the redemption of our 2018 Notes in the prior year;

our interest expense, net was \$111.0 million in 2015 compared to \$39.7 million in the prior year due primarily to carrying higher debt balances throughout the year from the DSS Acquisition as compared to the prior year;

our income tax benefit was \$22.7 million in 2015 compared to \$61.4 million in the prior year due primarily to the release of the U.S. federal valuation allowance in 2014. We expect to generate taxable income in the future in the United States and therefore are now able to realize tax benefits, such as net operating losses, generated in the United States;

Table of Contents

our EBITDA increased to \$332.7 million from \$105.4 million in 2014;

our Adjusted EBITDA increased to \$357.0 million from \$180.2 million in 2014; and

our adjusted net income and adjusted earnings per diluted share were \$23.0 million and \$0.22, respectively, compared to \$57.1 million and \$0.60 in the prior year, respectively.

The following items of significance affected our 2014 financial results:

our revenue increased \$8.8 million, or 0.4%, in 2014 compared to 2013 due primarily to the addition of the Aimia and DSS businesses in 2014, the Calypso business in 2013, as well as the inclusion of a 53rd week, partially offset by the competitive pricing environment and a product mix shift into contract manufacturing. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange and the impact of the 53rd week, revenue decreased \$39.4 million, or 1.9%, from the prior year period;

our gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased to 13.1% in 2014 from 13.2% in the prior year due primarily to the addition of the Calypso, Aimia and DSS businesses, offset by a competitive pricing environment, additional freight and start-up costs associated with the growth and launch of our contract manufacturing business in North America, and increased freight and transportation in our Cott U.K. reporting segment as we ended the year holding more inventory with third-parties as we implement a new warehouse management system;

our SG&A expenses increased to \$213.7 million in 2014 from \$180.3 million in the prior year due primarily to increased SG&A expenses associated with the addition of the Calypso, Aimia and DSS businesses, lower employee-related incentive costs and the reversal of certain long term incentive accruals in the prior year, and the inclusion of a 53rd week. SG&A expenses were also affected by the reclassification of \$22.6 million in amortization related to customer list intangible assets from cost of goods sold to SG&A expenses (this reclassification has been made for all years presented, and it was \$22.7 million for 2013);

our loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment was related to the disposal of \$1.7 million of equipment that was either replaced or no longer being used in our operating segments;

our acquisition and integration expenses increased to \$41.3 million in 2014 from \$3.1 million in the prior year due primarily to the integration costs incurred in connection with the acquisition of the DSS and Aimia businesses.

our other expense, net increased by \$8.2 million, or 64.1%, from the prior year due primarily to costs associated with the redemption of \$375.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2018 Notes, partially offset by a favorable legal settlement;

our interest expense decreased by \$11.9 million, or 23.1%, from the prior year due primarily to the redemption of the remaining \$15.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2017 Notes, the refinancing of \$375.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2018 Notes with our 2022 Notes and a prior year amendment to our ABL facility to more favorable terms, partially offset by interest expense incurred on new debt issued and assumed with the DSS Acquisition;

our income tax benefit was \$61.4 million in 2014 compared to income tax expense of \$1.8 million in 2013 due primarily to the release of our U.S. federal valuation allowance and the expected future benefit of pre-tax losses;

our EBITDA decreased to \$105.4 million from \$176.0 million in 2013;

our Adjusted EBITDA decreased to \$180.2 million from \$197.9 million in 2013; and

our adjusted net income and adjusted earnings per diluted share were \$57.1 million and \$0.60, respectively, compared to \$38.0 million and \$0.40 in the prior year, respectively.

The following items of significance affected our 2013 financial results:

our revenue decreased 7.0% in 2013 compared to 2012 due primarily to lower global volumes partially offset by an increase in net selling price per servings. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange, revenue decreased 6.5% from the prior year period;

our gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased to 13.2% in 2013 from 13.9% in 2012 due primarily to lower global volumes that resulted in unfavorable fixed cost absorption;

our SG&A expenses decreased to \$180.3 million from \$197.4 million in 2013 due primarily to lower employee-related expenses, lower information technology costs and a reduction in professional fees and similar costs;

Table of Contents

our loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment was related to the disposal of \$1.8 million of equipment that was either replaced or no longer being used in our operating segments;

our acquisition and integration expenses decreased 8.8% in 2013 compared to 2012;

our other expense, net in 2013 was \$12.8 million due primarily to costs associated with the redemption of \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2017 Notes, compared to other income in 2012 of \$1.4 million as a result of insurance recoveries in excess of the loss incurred on a facility in the United States and a bargain purchase in the United Kingdom, partially offset by foreign exchange losses;

our interest expense decreased by \$2.6 million, or 4.8%, due primarily to the redemption of \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2017 Notes and to an amendment to our ABL facility to more favorable terms;

our income tax expense decreased to \$1.8 million in 2013 compared to an income tax expense of \$4.6 million in 2012 due primarily to a reduction in pre-tax income;

our EBITDA decreased to \$176.0 million from \$208.8 million in 2012;

our Adjusted EBITDA decreased to \$197.9 million from \$212.9 million in 2012;

our net income and earnings per diluted share were \$17.0 million and \$0.18, respectively, compared to \$48.2 million and \$0.50 in the prior year, respectively; and

our adjusted net income and adjusted earnings per diluted share were \$38.0 million and \$0.40, respectively, compared to \$51.9 million and \$0.55 in the prior year, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies and recently issued accounting pronouncements are described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We believe the following represent our critical accounting policies:

Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts in the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. These estimates are based on historical experience, the advice of external experts or on other assumptions management believes to be reasonable. Where actual amounts differ from estimates, revisions are included in the results for the period in which actual amounts become known. Historically, differences between estimated and actual amounts have not had a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Impairment testing of goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price of acquired businesses over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but instead is tested for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter, based on our reporting unit carrying values as of the end of the third quarter or more frequently if we determine a triggering event has occurred during the year. Any impairment loss is recognized in our results of operations. We evaluate goodwill for impairment on a reporting unit basis. Reporting units are operations for which discrete financial information is available and are at or one level below our operating segments. For the purpose of testing goodwill for impairment in 2015, we have determined our reporting units are Cott North America, DSS, Calypso Soft Drinks, Aimia, and Royal Crown International (RCI). We had goodwill of \$759.6 million on our balance sheet at January 2, 2016, which represents amounts for the Cott North America, DSS, Calypso Soft Drinks, Aimia, and the RCI reporting units.

We have the option of performing a qualitative assessment to determine whether any further quantitative testing for a potential impairment is necessary. Our qualitative assessment will use judgments including, but not limited to, changes in the general economic environment, industry considerations, current economic performance compared to historical economic performance, entity-specific events and events affecting our reporting units, where applicable. If we elect to bypass the qualitative assessment or if we determine, based upon our assessment of those qualitative factors that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its net carrying value, a quantitative assessment is required. The quantitative test is a two-step test. The first step identifies whether there is potential impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit to the carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the second step of the impairment test is required to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any.

Table of Contents

For the 2015 annual test, we elected to perform a qualitative assessment for our Calypso Soft Drinks reporting unit. In performing this assessment, management relied on a number of factors including, but not limited to, macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, cost factors that would have a negative effect on earnings and cash flows, overall financial performance compared with forecasted projections in prior periods, and other relevant reporting unit events, the impact of which are all significant judgments and estimates. Additionally, management considered the recent fair value calculation performed during the third quarter of 2015 where the estimated fair value exceeded the reporting unit's carrying value by approximately 19%. Refer to Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information. Based on these factors, management concluded that it was more likely than not that the fair value of the Calypso Soft Drinks reporting unit was greater than its respective carrying amount, including goodwill, indicating no impairment. Goodwill allocated to the Calypso Soft Drinks reporting unit as of January 2, 2016 is \$7.9 million.

For the Cott North America, DSS, Aimia and RCI reporting units, we elected to bypass the qualitative assessment and performed a quantitative analysis due to an overall CSD industry decline impacting the Cott North America reporting unit, the fact that a quantitative analysis has not previously been performed for DSS and Aimia and the length of time that has elapsed since the last quantitative analysis for the RCI reporting unit. We determined the fair value of each reporting unit being evaluated using a mix of the income approach (which is based on the discounted cash flows of the reporting unit) and the guideline public company approach. We believe using a combination of the two approaches provides a more accurate valuation because it incorporates the expected cash generation of the Company and accounts for how a third-party market participant would value the reporting unit. Because the business is assumed to continue in perpetuity, the discounted future cash flows includes a terminal value. Critical assumptions used in our 2015 valuation of the reporting units were weighted-average terminal growth rates of 1.0%, 2.5%, 2.0% and 2.0% for our Cott North America, DSS, Aimia and RCI reporting units, respectively, and discount rate ranging from 8.0% to 11.0%. The terminal growth rate assumption incorporated into the discounted cash flow calculation reflects our long-term view of the market and industry, projected changes in the sale of our products, pricing of such products and operating profit margins. The discount rate was determined using various factors and sensitive assumptions, including bond yields, company-specific risk and size premiums and tax rates. This rate was based on the weighted average cost of capital a market participant would use if evaluating the reporting unit as an investment. These assumptions are considered significant unobservable inputs and represent our best estimate of assumptions that market participants would use to determine the fair value of the respective reporting units. The key inputs into the discounted cash flow analysis were consistent with market data, where available, indicating that the assumptions used were in a reasonable range of observable market data.

Based on our quantitative assessment including consideration of the sensitivity of the assumptions made and methods used to determine fair value, industry trends and other relevant factors, we noted that the estimated fair values of the Cott North America, DSS, Aimia and RCI reporting units exceeded its carrying value by approximately 102%, 152%, 44% and 478%, respectively. Therefore, a second step analysis was not required and no goodwill impairment charges were recorded in the fourth quarter ended January 2, 2016. Goodwill allocated to Cott North America, DSS, Aimia and RCI reporting units as of January 2, 2016 are \$120.0 million, \$579.1 million, \$48.1 million and \$4.5 million, respectively.

Each year during the fourth quarter, we re-evaluate the assumptions used in our assessments, such as revenue growth rates, operating profit margins and discount rate, to reflect any significant changes in the business environment that could materially affect the fair value of our reporting units. Based on the evaluations performed in 2015, we determined that the fair value of each of our reporting units exceeded their carrying amounts.

Impairment testing of intangible assets with an indefinite life

Our intangible assets with indefinite lives relate to the 2001 acquisition of intellectual property from Royal Crown Company, Inc., including the right to manufacture our concentrates, with all related inventions, processes, technologies, technical and manufacturing information, know-how and the use of the Royal Crown brand outside of North America and Mexico (the Rights), and trademarks acquired as part of the DSS Acquisition (the DSS Trademarks). These assets have a net book value of \$228.1 million. Prior to 2001, we paid a volume-based royalty to the Royal Crown Company for purchase of concentrates. There are no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic, or other factors that limit the useful lives of these intangibles.

The life of the Rights and DSS Trademarks are considered to be indefinite and therefore not amortized, but instead are tested for impairment at least annually or more frequently if we determine a triggering event has occurred during the year. We compare the carrying amount of the Rights and DSS Trademarks to their fair value and where the carrying amount is greater than the fair value, we recognize in income an impairment loss.

To determine the fair value of the Rights, we use a relief from royalty method of the income approach, which calculates a fair value royalty rate that is applied to a forecast of future volume shipments of concentrate that is used to produce CSDs.

Table of Contents

The forecast of future volumes is based on the estimated inter-plant shipments and RCI shipments. The relief from royalty method is used since the Rights were purchased in part to avoid making future royalty payments for concentrate to the Royal Crown Company. The resulting cash flows are discounted using a rate to reflect the risk of achieving the projected royalty savings attributable to the Rights. The assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the Rights are subjective and require significant management judgment, including estimated future volume, the fair value royalty rate (which is estimated to be a reasonable market royalty charge that would be charged by a licensor of the Rights) and the risk adjusted discount rate. Based on our impairment tests, the estimated fair value of the Rights significantly exceeded the carrying value for all periods presented.

To determine fair value of the DSS Trademarks, we use a relief from royalty method of the income approach, which calculates a fair value royalty rate that is applied to DSS revenue forecasts adjusted to exclude private label sales. The resulting cash flows are discounted using a rate to reflect the risk of achieving the projected royalty savings attributable to the DSS Trademarks. The assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the DSS Trademarks are subjective and require significant management judgment, including estimated future revenues, the fair value royalty rate (which is estimated to be a reasonable market royalty charge that would be charged by a licensor of the trademarks) and the risk adjusted discount rate. Based on our impairment tests, the estimated fair value of the DSS Trademarks exceeded the carrying value for all periods presented.

Other intangible assets

As of January 2, 2016, our intangible assets subject to amortization and other assets, net of accumulated amortization were \$483.6 million, consisting principally of \$422.9 million of customer relationships that arose from acquisitions, \$9.9 million of deposits, \$24.9 million of information technology assets, and \$4.9 million of trademarks. Customer relationships are typically amortized on an accelerated straight-line basis for the period over which we expect to receive the economic benefits. With the DSS Acquisition, the acquired customer relationships are amortized over the expected remaining useful life of those relationships on a basis that reflects the pattern of realization of the estimated undiscounted after-tax cash flows. We review the estimated useful life of these intangible assets annually, taking into consideration the specific net cash flows related to the intangible asset, unless a review is required more frequently due to a triggering event such as the loss of a significant customer. The permanent loss of, or significant decline in sales to any customer included in the intangible asset would result in either an impairment in the value of the intangible asset or an accelerated amortization of any remaining value and could lead to an impairment of the fixed assets that were used to service that customer. In 2014, we recorded \$76.5 million of customer relationships acquired in connection with the Aimia Acquisition and \$219.8 million in connection with the DSS Acquisition. In 2013 we recorded \$10.7 million of customer relationships acquired with the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition.

Impairment of long-lived assets

When adverse events occur, we compare the carrying amount of long-lived assets to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows at the lowest level of independent cash flows for the group of long-lived assets and recognize any impairment loss based on discounted cash flows in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, taking into consideration the timing of testing and the asset's remaining useful life. The expected life and value of these long-lived assets is based on an evaluation of the competitive environment, history and future prospects as appropriate.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost, determined on the first-in, first-out method, or net realizable value. Finished goods and work-in-process include the cost of raw materials, direct labor and manufacturing overhead costs. As a result, we use an inventory reserve to adjust our costs down to a net realizable value and to reserve for estimated

obsolescence of both raw and finished goods.

Income taxes

We are subject to income taxes in Canada as well as in numerous foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgments and estimates are required in determining the income tax expense in these jurisdictions. Our income tax expense, deferred tax assets and liabilities and reserves for unrecognized tax benefits reflect management's best assessment of estimated future taxes to be paid in the jurisdictions in which we operate.

Our income tax expense includes the results of several reorganizations of our legal entity structure and refinancing of intercompany debt during the last three years. The reorganizations of our legal entity structure and refinancing of

Table of Contents

intercompany debt should result in a reduction of Cott's effective tax rate versus statutory rates. However, since the calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations in a multitude of jurisdictions across our global operations, our effective tax rate may ultimately be different than the amount we are currently reporting.

Deferred income taxes arise from temporary differences between the tax and financial statement recognition of revenue and expense. In evaluating our ability to recover our deferred tax assets within the jurisdiction from which they arise we consider all available positive and negative evidence, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. In projecting future taxable income, we begin with historical results adjusted for the results of discontinued operations and changes in accounting policies and incorporate assumptions including the amount of future Canadian and foreign pre-tax operating income, the reversal of temporary differences, and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions require significant judgment about the forecasts of future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and estimates we are using to manage the underlying businesses.

Changes in tax laws and rates could also affect recorded deferred tax assets and liabilities in the future. Management is not aware of any such changes that would have a material effect on our results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations in a multitude of jurisdictions across our global operations.

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 740, Income Taxes (ASC 740) provides that a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits. ASC 740 also provides guidance on measurement, derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

We recognize tax liabilities in accordance with ASC 740 and we adjust these liabilities when our judgment changes as a result of the evaluation of new information not previously available. Due to the complexity of some of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may result in a payment that is materially different from our current estimate of the tax liabilities. These differences will be reflected as increases or decreases to income tax expense in the period in which they are determined.

Pension costs

We account for our defined benefit pension plans in accordance with ASC No. 715-20, Compensation Defined Benefit Plans General (ASC 715-20). The funded status is the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation. The adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income represents the net unrecognized actuarial gains or losses and unrecognized prior service costs. Future actuarial gains or losses that are not recognized as net periodic benefits cost in the same periods will be recognized as a component of other comprehensive income.

We maintain four defined-benefit plans that cover certain employees in the United Kingdom and the United States. We record the expenses associated with these plans based on calculations which include various actuarial assumptions such as discount rates and expected long-term rates of return on plan assets. Material changes in pension costs may occur in the future due to changes in these assumptions. Future annual amounts could be impacted by changes in the discount rate, changes in the expected long-term rate of return, changes in the level of contributions to the plans and other factors.

We utilize a yield curve analysis to determine the discount rates for our defined benefit plans' obligations. The yield curve considers pricing and yield information for high quality corporate bonds with maturities matched to estimated payouts of future pension benefits. The expected return on plan assets is based on our expectation of the long-term rates of return on each asset class based on the current asset mix of the funds, considering the historical returns earned on the type of assets in the funds. The current investment policy target asset allocation differs between our four plans, but the targets are between 45% to 80% for equity securities, 20% to 45% for fixed income investments, and 5% to 15% in extended asset class investments. We review our actuarial assumptions on an annual basis and make modifications to the assumptions based on current rates and trends when appropriate. The effects of the modifications to the actuarial assumptions which impact the projected benefit obligation are amortized over future periods.

In connection with certain other collective bargaining agreements to which we are a party, we are required to make contributions on behalf of certain union employees to multiemployer pension plans. The ongoing contributions and liabilities associated with these plans are not material.

Table of Contents**Non-GAAP Measures**

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we supplement our reporting of financial measures determined in accordance with GAAP by utilizing certain non-GAAP financial measures that exclude certain items to make period-over-period comparisons for our ongoing core operations before material changes. We exclude these items to better understand trends in the business. We exclude the impact of foreign exchange and, in some cases, the impact of DSS or Aimia operating results, to separate the impact of currency exchange rate changes and recent acquisitions from our results of operations.

We also utilize earnings before interest expense, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA), on both a global and reporting segment basis, which is GAAP (loss) earnings before interest expense, provision for income taxes, depreciation and amortization. We consider EBITDA to be an indicator of operating performance. We also use EBITDA, as do analysts, lenders, investors and others, because it excludes certain items that can vary widely across different industries or among companies within the same industry. These differences can result in considerable variability in the relative costs of productive assets and the depreciation and amortization expense among companies. We also utilize adjusted EBITDA, on both a global and reporting segment basis, which is EBITDA excluding restructuring expenses and asset impairments, bond redemption and other financing costs, facility reorganization costs, acquisition and integration costs related to the DSS Acquisition or the Aimia Acquisition, purchase accounting adjustments, net, unrealized (gain) loss on commodity hedging instruments, net, unrealized foreign exchange and other gains, net, loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment, and other adjustments, as the case may be (Adjusted EBITDA). We consider Adjusted EBITDA to be an indicator of our operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA excludes certain items to make more meaningful period-over-period comparisons of our ongoing core operations before material charges.

We also utilize adjusted net income, which is GAAP (loss) earnings excluding restructuring expenses and asset impairments, bond redemption and other financing costs, facility reorganization costs, acquisition and integration costs, purchase accounting adjustments, unrealized (gain) loss on commodity hedging instruments, unrealized foreign exchange and other gains, foreign exchange impact on redemption of preferred shares, loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment, and other adjustments, as well as adjusted earnings per diluted share, which is adjusted net income divided by diluted weighted average outstanding shares. We consider these measures to be indicators of our operating performance.

Additionally, we supplement our reporting of net cash provided by operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP by excluding additions to property, plant & equipment to present free cash flow and adjusted free cash flow (which is free cash flow excluding bond redemption cash costs, DSS integration capital expenditures, acquisition and integration cash costs, cash collateral costs, and interest cash cost timing variances attributable to the 53rd week in 2014), which management believes provides useful information to investors about the amount of cash generated by the business that can be used for strategic opportunities, including investing in our business, making strategic acquisitions, paying dividends, and strengthening the balance sheet.

Because we use these adjusted financial results in the management of our business and to understand underlying business performance, we believe this supplemental information is useful to investors for their independent evaluation and understanding of our business performance and the performance of our management. The non-GAAP financial measures described above are in addition to, and not meant to be considered superior to, or a substitute for, our financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. In addition, the non-GAAP financial measures included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K reflect our judgment of particular items, and may be different from, and therefore may not be comparable to, similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our Consolidated Statements of Operations as a percentage of revenue for 2015, 2014 and 2013:

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except percentage amounts)	2015		2014		2013	
	Percentage of Revenue		Percentage of Revenue		Percentage of Revenue	
Revenue, net	\$ 2,944.0	100.0%	\$ 2,102.8	100.0%	\$ 2,094.0	100.0%
Cost of sales	2,048.5	69.6%	1,826.3	86.9%	1,818.6	86.8%
Gross profit	895.5	30.4%	276.5	13.1%	275.4	13.2%
SG&A expenses	768.6	26.1%	213.7	10.2%	180.3	8.6%
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	6.9	0.2%	1.7	0.1%	1.8	0.1%
Restructuring		%	2.4	0.1%	2.0	0.1%
Asset impairments		%	1.7	0.1%		%
Acquisition and integration expenses	20.6	0.7%	41.3	2.0%	3.1	0.1%
Operating income	99.4	3.4%	15.7	0.7%	88.2	4.2%
Other (income) expense, net	(9.5)	(0.3)%	21.0	1.0%	12.8	0.6%
Interest expense, net	111.0	3.8%	39.7	1.9%	51.6	2.5%
(Loss) income before income taxes	(2.1)	(0.1)%	(45.0)	(2.1)%	23.8	1.1%
Income tax (benefit) expense	(22.7)	(0.8)%	(61.4)	(2.9)%	1.8	0.1%
Net income	20.6	0.7%	16.4	0.8%	22.0	1.1%
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	6.1	0.2%	5.6	0.3%	5.0	0.2%
Less: Accumulated dividends on preferred shares	5.9	0.2%	0.8	%		%
Less: Foreign exchange impact on redemption of preferred shares	12.0	0.4%		%		%
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (3.4)	(0.1)%	\$ 10.0	0.5%	\$ 17.0	0.8%
Depreciation & amortization	\$ 223.8	7.6%	\$ 110.7	5.3%	\$ 100.6	4.8%

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our revenue, gross profit and operating income by reporting segment for 2015, 2014 and 2013 (for purposes of the table below, our corporate oversight function (Corporate) is not treated as a segment; it includes certain general and administrative costs that are not allocated to any of the reporting segments):

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	2015	2014	2013
<i>Revenue</i>			
Cott North America	\$ 1,330.9	\$ 1,433.5	\$ 1,556.2
DSS	1,021.1	28.7	
Cott U.K.	557.0	597.9	494.3
All Other	57.6	65.0	64.5
Eliminations	(22.6)	(22.3)	(21.0)
Total	\$ 2,944.0	\$ 2,102.8	\$ 2,094.0
<i>Gross profit</i>			
Cott North America	\$ 173.8	\$ 163.5	\$ 197.5
DSS	618.3	12.8	
Cott U.K.	81.5	78.8	59.8
All Other	21.9	21.4	18.1
Total	\$ 895.5	\$ 276.5	\$ 275.4
<i>Operating income (loss)</i>			
Cott North America	\$ 38.5	\$ 29.7	\$ 67.1
DSS	39.0	(1.7)	
Cott U.K.	28.0	26.3	25.6
All Other	10.5	10.0	7.2
Corporate	(16.6)	(48.6)	(11.7)
Total	\$ 99.4	\$ 15.7	\$ 88.2

The following tables summarize revenue by channel for 2015, 2014 and 2013:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended January 2, 2016					
	Cott North America	DSS	Cott U.K.	All Other	Eliminations	Total
<i>Revenue</i>						
Private label retail	\$ 1,075.9	\$ 65.3	\$ 262.3	\$ 4.5	\$ (1.6)	\$ 1,406.4
Branded retail	114.9	84.1	169.8	4.1	(1.5)	371.4
Contract packaging	111.8		114.0	22.2	(6.5)	241.5
Home and office bottled water delivery		651.3				651.3

Office coffee services		121.3				121.3
Concentrate and other	28.3	99.1	10.9	26.8	(13.0)	152.1
Total	\$ 1,330.9	\$ 1,021.1	\$ 557.0	\$ 57.6	\$ (22.6)	\$ 2,944.0

For the Year Ended January 3, 2015

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Cott North America	DSS	Cott U.K.	All Other	Eliminations	Total
<i>Revenue</i>						
Private label retail	\$ 1,206.4	\$ 2.1	\$ 296.7	\$ 7.4	\$ (1.2)	\$ 1,511.4
Branded retail	108.4	2.6	173.7	4.5	(1.6)	287.6
Contract packaging	86.9		120.8	24.6	(6.7)	225.6
Home and office bottled water delivery		12.2				12.2
Office coffee services		4.3				4.3
Concentrate and other	31.8	7.5	6.7	28.5	(12.8)	61.7
Total	\$ 1,433.5	\$ 28.7	\$ 597.9	\$ 65.0	\$ (22.3)	\$ 2,102.8

Table of Contents**For the Year Ended December 28, 2013**

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Cott North America	Cott U.K.	All Other	Eliminations	Total
Revenue					
Private label retail	\$ 1,364.1	\$ 283.4	\$ 7.6	\$ (0.2)	\$ 1,654.9
Branded retail	114.0	111.6	5.4	(0.4)	230.6
Contract packaging	54.1	97.1	24.3	(7.1)	168.4
Home and office bottled water delivery					
Office coffee services					
Concentrate and other	24.0	2.2	27.2	(13.3)	40.1
Total	\$ 1,556.2	\$ 494.3	\$ 64.5	\$ (21.0)	\$ 2,094.0

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes the change in revenue by reporting segment for 2015:

For the Year Ended January 2, 2016

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except percentage amounts)	Cott North America	DSS	Cott U.K.	All Other	Eliminations	Total
Change in revenue	\$ (102.6)	\$ 992.4	\$ (40.9)	\$ (7.4)	\$ (0.3)	\$ 841.2
Impact of foreign exchange ¹	20.7		44.0	5.0		69.7
Change excluding foreign exchange	\$ (81.9)	\$ 992.4	\$ 3.1	\$ (2.4)	\$ (0.3)	\$ 910.9
Percentage change in revenue	(7.2)%	3,457.8%	(6.8)%	(11.4)%	1.3%	40.0%
Percentage change in revenue excluding foreign exchange	(5.7)%	3,457.8%	0.5%	(3.7)%	1.3%	43.3%
Impact of 53rd week in 2014	\$ 21.5	\$	\$ 6.4	\$ 1.2	\$	\$ 29.1
Impact of four additional shipping days in 2015	\$	\$ (12.5)	\$	\$	\$	\$ (12.5)
Change excluding foreign exchange and impact of 53rd week in 2014 and additional shipping days in 2015	\$ (60.4)	\$ 979.9	\$ 9.5	\$ (1.2)	\$ (0.3)	\$ 927.5
Percentage change in revenue excluding foreign exchange and impact of 53rd week in 2014 and four additional shipping days in 2015	(4.3)%	3,414.3%	1.6%	(1.9)%	1.3%	44.7%

¹ Impact of foreign exchange is the difference between the current year's revenue translated utilizing the current year's average foreign exchange rates less the current year's revenue translated utilizing the prior year's average foreign exchange rates.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the change in revenue by reporting segment for 2014:

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except percentage amounts)	For the Year Ended January 3, 2015					
	Cott North America	DSS	Cott U.K.	All Other	Eliminations	Total
Change in revenue	\$ (122.7)	\$ 28.7	\$ 103.6	\$ 0.5	\$ (1.3)	\$ 8.8
Impact of foreign exchange ¹	10.9		(31.0)	1.0		(19.1)
Change excluding foreign exchange	\$ (111.8)	\$ 28.7	\$ 72.6	\$ 1.5	\$ (1.3)	\$ (10.3)
Percentage change in revenue	(7.9)%		% 21.0%	0.8%	6.2%	0.4%
Percentage change in revenue excluding foreign exchange	(7.2)%		% 14.7%	2.3%	6.2%	(0.5)%
Impact of 53rd week	\$ (21.5)	\$	\$ (6.4)	\$ (1.2)	\$	\$ (29.1)
Change excluding foreign exchange and impact of 53rd week	\$ (133.3)	\$ 28.7	\$ 66.2	\$ 0.3	\$ (1.3)	\$ (39.4)
Percentage change in revenue excluding foreign exchange and impact of 53rd week	(8.6)%		% 13.4%	0.5%	6.2%	(1.9)%

1. Impact of foreign exchange is the difference between the current year's revenue translated utilizing the current year's average foreign exchange rates less the current year's revenue translated utilizing the prior year's average foreign exchange rates.

The following table summarizes the change in revenue by reporting segment for 2013:

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except percentage amounts)	For the Year Ended December 28, 2013				
	Cott North America	Cott U.K.	All Other	Eliminations	Total
Change in revenue	\$ (167.6)	\$ 21.1	\$ (5.5)	\$ (4.6)	\$ (156.6)
Impact of foreign exchange ¹	5.3	6.4	(0.9)		10.8
Change excluding foreign exchange	\$ (162.3)	\$ 27.5	\$ (6.4)	\$ (4.6)	\$ (145.8)
Percentage change in revenue	(9.7)%	4.5%	(7.9)%	28.0%	(7.0)%
Percentage change in revenue excluding foreign exchange	(9.4)%	5.8%	(9.1)%	28.0%	(6.5)%

1. Impact of foreign exchange is the difference between the current year's revenue translated utilizing the current year's average foreign exchange rates less the current year's revenue translated utilizing the prior year's average foreign exchange rates.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the fiscal years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, respectively.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (3.4)	\$ 10.0	\$ 17.0
Interest expense, net	111.0	39.7	51.6
Income tax (benefit) expense	(22.7)	(61.4)	1.8
Depreciation & amortization	223.8	110.7	100.6
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	6.1	5.6	5.0
Accumulated dividends on preferred shares	5.9	0.8	
Foreign exchange impact on redemption of preferred shares	12.0		
EBITDA	\$ 332.7	\$ 105.4	\$ 176.0
Restructuring and asset impairments		4.1	2.0
Bond redemption and other financing costs		25.2	12.7
Facility reorganization costs	3.0		
Acquisition and integration costs	20.6	41.3	3.1
Purchase accounting adjustments, net	4.2	2.9	1.0
Unrealized commodity hedging (gain) loss, net	(1.2)	1.2	
Unrealized foreign exchange and other gains, net	(10.9)	(0.5)	(0.7)
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	6.9	3.2	2.4
Other adjustments	1.7	(2.6)	1.4
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 357.0	\$ 180.2	\$ 197.9

The following table summarizes our adjusted net income and adjusted earnings per share for the fiscal years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, respectively.

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except share amounts)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (3.4)	\$ 10.0	\$ 17.0
Restructuring and asset impairments, net of tax		3.0	1.8
Bond redemption and other financing costs, net of tax		15.8	12.7
Facility reorganization costs, net of tax	1.9		
Acquisition and integration costs, net of tax	13.1	26.3	2.7
Purchase accounting adjustments, net of tax	2.6	1.0	0.7
Unrealized commodity hedging (gain) loss, net of tax	(0.8)	0.8	
Unrealized foreign exchange and other gains, net of tax	(8.0)	(0.3)	(0.6)
Foreign exchange impact on redemption of preferred shares	12.0		
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment, net of tax	4.5	2.1	2.3
Other adjustments, net of tax	1.1	(1.6)	1.4

Adjusted net income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ 23.0	\$ 57.1	\$ 38.0
Adjusted net income per common share attributed to Cott Corporation			
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.40
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.40
Weighted average outstanding shares (millions) attributed to Cott Corporation			
Basic	103.0	93.8	94.8
Diluted, excluding dilutive effect of convertible preferred shares	103.7	94.8	95.6

Table of Contents

For the purposes of our calculation of diluted adjusted net income per common share attributable to Cott Corporation for the year ended January 3, 2015, we have continued to reflect the impact of accumulated dividends on the Convertible Preferred Shares, as they represent an economic cost to the Company. The dilutive effect of the Convertible Preferred Shares have been eliminated, as the Convertible Preferred Shares were not convertible until the third anniversary of their issuance.

The following table summarizes our free cash flow and adjusted free cash flow for the fiscal years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, respectively:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 254.6	\$ 56.7	\$ 154.9
Less: Additions to property, plant & equipment	(110.8)	(46.7)	(55.3)
Free Cash Flow	\$ 143.8	\$ 10.0	\$ 99.6
Plus:			
Bond redemption cash costs		20.8	9.9
53rd week interest payment 2022 Notes		14.7	
DSS integration capital expenditures	5.3		
Acquisition and integration cash costs	13.9	32.2	
Cash collateral ¹	(29.4)	29.4	
Adjusted Free Cash Flow	\$ 133.6	\$ 107.1	\$ 109.5

1. In connection with the DSS Acquisition, \$29.4 million of cash was required to collateralize certain DSS self-insurance programs. The \$29.4 million was funded with borrowings under our ABL facility, and the cash collateral was included within prepaid and other current assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at January 3, 2015. Subsequent to January 3, 2015, additional letters of credit were issued from our available ABL facility capacity, and the cash collateral was returned to the Company and used to repay a portion of our outstanding ABL facility.

The following unaudited financial information for the fiscal years ended January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, respectively, represents the activity of Calypso and Aimia for such periods. Calypso and Aimia were combined with our Cott U.K. operations as of their respective dates of acquisition:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended	
	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Revenue		
Cott U.K.	\$ 597.9	\$ 494.3
Less:		
Calypso	(60.0)	(30.7)

Aimia	(62.3)		
Cott U.K. excluding Calypso and Aimia	\$ 475.6	\$	463.6

Table of Contents

The following unaudited financial information for the fiscal years ended January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, respectively, represents the activity of DSS for such periods. DSS was combined with our consolidated operations as of its date of acquisition:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended	
	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Revenue		
Cott Corporation	\$ 2,102.8	\$ 2,094.0
Less:		
DSS	(28.7)	
Cott Corporation excluding DSS	\$ 2,074.1	\$ 2,094.0

2015 versus 2014

Revenue increased \$841.2 million, or 40.0%, in 2015 from 2014. Excluding the impact of the 53rd week in 2014, four additional shipping days in 2015 for DSS and foreign exchange, revenue increased 44.7% due primarily to the DSS Acquisition, partially offset by a general market decline in the CSD category in North America and the United Kingdom, the competitive pricing environment in the United Kingdom, and product mix shift into contract manufacturing.

2014 versus 2013

Revenue increased \$8.8 million, or 0.4%, in 2014 from 2013. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange and the impact of the 53rd week, revenue decreased 1.9% due primarily to the competitive pricing environment and product mix shift into contract manufacturing in our Cott North America and Cott U.K. reporting segments.

Revenue Results for Reporting Segments*2015 versus 2014*

Cott North America revenue decreased \$102.6 million, or 7.2%, in 2015 from 2014. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange and the impact of the 53rd week in 2014, revenue decreased 4.3% due primarily to an overall product mix shift into contract manufacturing and other private label categories and a competitive environment. Revenue associated with contract manufacturing typically does not include a charge for ingredients and packaging as the customer provides these commodities and thus bears the risk of commodity cost increases. This results in revenue growing at a lower rate than increases in volumes.

DSS revenue increased \$992.4 million in 2015 from 2014, due primarily to a full year of revenue in 2015 compared to only two weeks of operations in 2014 included in our results.

Cott U.K. revenue decreased \$40.9 million, or 6.8%, in 2015 from 2014, due primarily to the competitive environment. We expect the competitive environment in the United Kingdom to affect revenues over the next several years as a result of several factors, including narrowed price gaps in the energy drink category, increased import activity into the United Kingdom from Continental Europe aided by the weakening of the Euro against the British pound sterling, and the ceding of market share by large format retailers to small format discount retailers, a customer

segment in which the Cott U.K. reporting segment has historically had relatively less penetration. At present, we do not expect this competitive environment to have a material impact on our overall liquidity or capital resources. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange and the impact of the 53rd week in 2014, Cott U.K. revenue increased 1.6% in 2015 from 2014 due primarily to the addition of our Aimia business, offset in part by the previously mentioned competitive environment.

All Other revenue decreased \$7.4 million, or 11.4%, in 2015 from 2014, due primarily to the impact of unfavorable foreign exchange rates. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange and the impact of the 53rd week in 2014, revenue decreased 1.9% in 2015 from 2014.

2014 versus 2013

Cott North America revenue decreased \$122.7 million, or 7.9%, in 2014 from 2013. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange and the impact of the 53rd week, revenue decreased 8.6% due primarily to the competitive pricing environment and an overall product mix shift into contract manufacturing. Revenue associated with contract manufacturing typically does not include a charge for ingredients and packaging as the customer provides these commodities and thus bears the risk of commodity cost increases. This results in revenues growing at a lower rate than increases in volumes.

Table of Contents

The Company acquired DSS on December 12, 2014, and \$28.7 million in revenues was included in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended January 3, 2015 to reflect two weeks of DSS operations.

Cott U.K. revenue increased \$103.6 million, or 21.0%, in 2014 from 2013, due primarily to the Aimia Acquisition in 2014 and a full year of revenue in 2014 associated with the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition in 2013, partially offset by a product mix shift in the core Cott U.K. business. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange and the impact of the 53rd week, Cott U.K. revenue increased 13.4% in 2014 from 2013.

All Other revenue increased \$0.5 million, or 0.8%, in 2014 from 2013, due primarily to increased higher margin contract manufacturing and export customers, partially offset by the exiting of low gross margin business. Excluding the impact of foreign exchange and the impact of the 53rd week, revenue increased 0.5% in 2014 from 2013.

Cost of Sales

2015 versus 2014

Cost of sales represented 69.6% of revenue in 2015 compared to 86.9% in 2014. The decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to the addition of the DSS and Aimia businesses, growth in contract manufacturing in Cott North America and cost and efficiency savings.

2014 versus 2013

Cost of sales represented 86.9% of revenue in 2014 compared to 86.8% in 2013. The overall cost of sales relative to revenues was flat as the positive impact of the growth in contract manufacturing, juice and drinks, sparkling/flavored water as well as a full year of the Calypso business and the additions of the Aimia and DSS businesses during the year offset the negative impact of higher fixed cost absorption due to lower CSD volumes during the year which was attributed to the aggressive promotional pricing of the national brands.

Gross Profit

2015 versus 2014

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue was 30.4% in 2015 compared to 13.1% in 2014. The increase in gross profit was due primarily to the addition of the higher margin businesses of DSS and Aimia, stable volumes in Cott North America, and cost and efficiency savings, partially offset by the impact of unfavorable foreign exchange rates and the competitive environment in our traditional business.

2014 versus 2013

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue was 13.1% in 2014 compared to 13.2% in 2013, as increases due to the addition of the Calypso, Aimia and DSS businesses were offset by the competitive pricing environment, additional freight and transportation costs associated with the growth of our contract manufacturing business in Cott North America, and increased costs in our Cott U.K. operations as we ended the year holding more inventory with third-parties as we implement a new warehouse management system.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

2015 versus 2014

SG&A expenses in 2015 increased \$554.9 million, or 259.7%, from 2014. The increase in SG&A expenses was due primarily to the addition of the DSS business. As a percentage of revenue, SG&A expenses were 26.1% in 2015 and 10.2% in 2014.

2014 versus 2013

SG&A expenses in 2014 increased \$33.4 million, or 18.5%, from 2013. The increase in SG&A expenses was due primarily to SG&A expenses associated with the addition of the DSS and Aimia businesses and a full year of SG&A expenses associated with the Calypso business, higher employee-related incentive costs and the inclusion of a 53rd week. As a percentage of revenue, SG&A expenses were 10.2% in 2014 and 8.6% in 2013.

Table of Contents

Acquisition and Integration Expenses

2015 versus 2014

Acquisition and integration expenses include costs directly related to integrating and reorganizing acquired businesses. Acquisition and integration expenses were \$20.6 million in 2015 compared to \$41.3 million in 2014. The decrease in acquisition and integration expenses was due primarily to the incurrence of a significant portion of the transaction costs in connection with the acquisition of the DSS and Aimia businesses in the prior year. As a percentage of revenue, acquisition and integration expenses were 0.7% in 2015 and 2.0% in 2014.

2014 versus 2013

Acquisition and integration expenses were \$41.3 million in 2014 compared to \$3.1 million in 2013. The increase in acquisition and integration expenses was due primarily to the integration costs incurred in connection with the acquisition of the DSS and Aimia businesses. As a percentage of revenue, acquisition and integration expenses were 2.0% in 2014 and 0.1% in 2013.

Restructuring and Asset Impairments

2015 versus 2014

We had no restructuring activities during 2015.

2014 versus 2013

During 2014, we implemented a restructuring plan (the 2014 Restructuring Plan), which involved the closure of two of our smaller plants, one located in North America and the other in the United Kingdom. For the year ended January 3, 2015, in connection with the 2014 Restructuring Plan, we incurred charges of approximately \$4.1 million related primarily to employee redundancy costs and relocation of assets, as well as non-cash charges related to asset impairments and accelerated depreciation on property, plant and equipment.

Operating Income

2015 versus 2014

Operating income in 2015 was \$99.4 million compared to operating income of \$15.7 million in 2014. Overall, operating income increased by \$83.7 million, or 533.1%, as a result of the DSS Acquisition and the reduction of restructuring and asset impairment charges and acquisition and integration expenses, partially offset by higher SG&A expenses and losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment.

2014 versus 2013

Operating income in 2014 was \$15.7 million compared to operating income of \$88.2 million in 2013. Overall, operating income decreased by \$72.5 million, or 82.2%, as a result of higher SG&A expenses, losses incurred on the disposal of property, plant and equipment, and certain restructuring and asset impairment charges.

Other (Income) Expense, Net

2015 versus 2014

Other income, net in 2015 was \$9.5 million compared to other expense, net of \$21.0 million in 2014. The difference was due primarily to net realized gains on translation of balances denominated in foreign currencies compared to expenses incurred due to the redemption of our 2018 Notes in the prior year.

2014 versus 2013

Other expense, net in 2014 was \$21.0 million compared to \$12.8 million in 2013. The increase was due primarily to costs associated with the redemption of \$375.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2018 Notes.

Table of Contents**Interest Expense, Net***2015 versus 2014*

Interest expense, net in 2015 was \$111.0 million compared to \$39.7 million in 2014. The increase was due primarily to a full year of interest incurred on our 2022 Notes, 2020 Notes and DSS Notes, partially offset by the redemption of \$375.0 million of our 2018 Notes.

2014 versus 2013

Interest expense, net in 2014 was \$39.7 million compared to \$51.6 million in 2013. The decrease was due primarily to the redemption of \$375.0 million of our 2018 Notes, partially offset by increased interest expense associated with the issuance of \$525.0 million of our 2022 Notes and the assumption of the DSS Notes as part of the DSS Acquisition.

Income Taxes*2015 versus 2014*

In 2015, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$22.7 million compared to income tax benefit of \$61.4 million in 2014. The difference was due primarily to the recognition of tax benefits in the United States. As a result of the DSS Acquisition, we expect to generate taxable income in the future in the United States and as such are now able to realize tax benefits, such as net operating losses, generated in the United States. For 2015, the effective income tax rate was 1,081.0% compared to 136.4% in 2014. This is due primarily to significant permanent benefits that create additional losses for tax purposes for which we have recognized a benefit during 2015, compared to a relatively lesser amount of pre-tax book income.

2014 versus 2013

In 2014, we recorded income tax benefit of \$61.4 million compared to an income tax expense of \$1.8 million in 2013. The difference was due primarily to the release of our U.S. federal valuation allowance and the expected future benefit of pre-tax losses in connection with the DSS Acquisition, due to the anticipated timing of deferred tax asset and liability reversal in future periods, as well as projections of future taxable income in the United States.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table summarizes our cash flows for 2015, 2014 and 2013 as reported in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 254.6	\$ 56.7	\$ 154.9
Net cash used in investing activities	(99.7)	(850.3)	(71.6)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(160.1)	835.7	(213.3)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(3.9)	(3.1)	(2.2)

Edgar Filing: COTT CORP /CN/ - Form 10-K

Net (decrease) increase in cash & cash equivalents	(9.1)	39.0	(132.2)
Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period	86.2	47.2	179.4
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 77.1	\$ 86.2	\$ 47.2

Table of Contents**Operating Activities**

Cash provided by operating activities in 2015 was \$254.6 million compared to \$56.7 million in 2014 and \$154.9 million in 2013. The \$197.9 million increase in 2015 compared to 2014 was due primarily to the increase in net income, adjusted for non-cash operating activities, driven by lower acquisition and integration related costs, payment of cash collateral included in prepaid expenses and other current assets, partially offset by reduced inventory balances.

The \$98.2 million decrease in 2014 compared to 2013 was due primarily to lower net income driven by acquisition related and bond redemption costs, decreased deferred tax balances driven by the release of our U.S. federal valuation allowance, and a reduction in outstanding accounts payable and accrued expense balances.

Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities was \$99.7 million in 2015 compared to \$850.3 million in 2014 and \$71.6 million in 2013. The \$750.6 million decrease in 2015 compared to 2014 was due primarily to the reduction in acquisition activity and proceeds received from the Sale-Leaseback Transaction, partially offset by an increase in purchases of property, plant and equipment.

The \$778.7 million increase in 2014 compared to 2013 was due primarily to the increase in acquisition activity with the addition of our DSS and Aimia businesses, partially offset by the use of less cash for equipment purchases.

Financing Activities

Cash used in financing activities was \$160.1 million in 2015 compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$835.7 million in 2014 and cash used in financing activities of \$213.3 million in 2013. The \$995.8 million decrease in cash provided in 2015 compared to 2014 was due primarily to prior year activity relating to the issuance of our 2020 Notes and 2022 Notes, partially offset by the reduction of our ABL facility balance in the current year.

The \$1,049.0 million increase in cash provided in 2014 compared to 2013 was due to the issuance of our 2020 Notes and 2022 Notes, net of cash paid for financing costs and an increase in outstanding borrowings under our ABL facility, partially offset by an increase in the redemption of long term debt and payment of deferred consideration associated with the Aimia Acquisition.

Financial Liquidity

As of January 2, 2016, we had \$1,650.8 million of debt and \$77.1 million of cash and cash equivalents compared to \$1,774.3 million of debt and \$86.2 million of cash and cash equivalents as of January 3, 2015.

We believe that our level of resources, which includes cash on hand, available borrowings under our ABL facility and funds provided by operations, will be adequate to meet our expenses, capital expenditures, and debt service obligations for the next twelve months. Our ability to generate cash to meet our current expenses and debt service obligations will depend on our future performance. If we do not have enough cash to pay our debt service obligations, or if the ABL facility or the 2020 Notes, the 2022 Notes, or the DSS Notes were to become currently due, either at maturity or as a result of a breach, we may be required to take actions such as amending our ABL facility or the indentures governing our 2020 Notes, 2022 Notes, and DSS Notes, refinancing all or part of our existing debt, selling assets, incurring additional indebtedness or raising equity. The ABL facility and the DSS Notes are secured by substantially all of our assets and those of the respective guarantor subsidiaries. If the ABL facility or the DSS Notes were to become currently due, the lenders or the trustee, as applicable, may have the right to foreclose on such assets

subject to the terms of an intercreditor agreement that gives priority to the rights of the ABL lender. If we need to seek additional financing, there is no assurance that this additional financing will be available on favorable terms or at all.

As of January 2, 2016, our total availability under the ABL facility was \$325.1 million, which was based on our borrowing base (accounts receivables, inventory, and fixed assets as of the December month end under the terms of the credit agreement governing the ABL facility). We had \$122.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the ABL facility and \$45.6 million in outstanding letters of credit. As a result, our excess availability under the ABL facility was \$157.5 million. Each month's borrowing base is not effective until submitted to the lenders, which usually occurs on the fifteenth day of the following month.

We earn most of our consolidated operating income in subsidiaries located outside of Canada. We have not provided for federal, state and foreign deferred income taxes on the undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries. We expect that these earnings will be permanently reinvested by such subsidiaries except in certain instances where repatriation attributable to current earnings results in minimal or no tax consequences.

Table of Contents

We expect existing cash, cash equivalents, cash flows from operations and the issuance of debt to continue to be sufficient to fund our operating, investing and financing activities. In addition, we expect existing foreign cash, cash equivalents, and cash flows from operations to continue to be sufficient to fund our subsidiary operating activities.

In the future, should we require more capital to fund significant discretionary activities in Canada than is generated by our domestic operations and is available through the issuance of domestic debt or stock, we could elect to repatriate future periods' earnings from subsidiary jurisdictions. This alternative could result in a higher effective tax rate during the period of repatriation. While the likelihood is remote, we could also elect to repatriate earnings from subsidiary jurisdictions that have previously been considered to be indefinitely reinvested. Upon distribution of those earnings in the form of dividends or otherwise, we may be subject to additional Canadian income taxes and withholding taxes payable to various subsidiary jurisdictions, where applicable. This alternative could result in a higher effective tax rate in the period in which such a determination is made to repatriate prior period subsidiary earnings.

On November 15, 2013, we redeemed \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2017 Notes at 104.118% of par. The redemption included approximately \$8.2 million in premium payments, the write off of approximately \$4.0 million in deferred financing fees and discount charges, and other costs of approximately \$0.5 million.

On February 19, 2014, we redeemed the remaining \$15.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2017 Notes at 104.118% of par. The redemption included approximately \$0.6 million in premium payments as well as the write off of approximately \$0.3 million in deferred financing fees and discount charges.

On June 24, 2014, we issued \$525.0 million of our 2022 Notes to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act. We incurred \$9.2 million of financing fees in connection with the issuance of the 2022 Notes.

On June 24, 2014, we used a portion of the proceeds from our issuance of the 2022 Notes to purchase \$295.9 million aggregate principal amount of our 2018 Notes in a cash tender offer. The tender offer included approximately \$16.2 million in premium payments as well as accrued interest of \$7.5 million, the write off of approximately \$3.0 million in deferred financing fees, and other costs of approximately \$0.2 million. The 2018 Notes were retired upon repurchase.

On July 9, 2014 and July 24, 2014, we redeemed the remaining \$79.1 million aggregate principal amount of our 2018 Notes. The redemption included approximately \$3.8 million in premium payments as well as accrued interest of approximately \$2.5 million and the write off of approximately \$0.8 million in deferred financing fees.

On December 12, 2014, we issued \$625.0 million of our 2020 Notes to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act. We incurred \$14.4 million of financing fees in connection with the issuance of the 2020 Notes. In connection with the DSS Acquisition, we assumed \$350.0 million of the DSS Notes. We incurred \$26.5 million of consent solicitation fees and bridge financing commitment fees related to the assumption of the DSS Notes.

On May 26, 2015, we completed the 2015 Offering for gross proceeds to us of approximately \$150.0 million. We incurred \$6.0 million of underwriter commissions and \$1.5 million in professional fees in connection with the 2015 Offering. The proceeds of the 2015 Offering were used to redeem all of the previously outstanding Preferred Shares (see Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

On June 25, 2015, we entered into the Sale-Leaseback Transaction involving five of our manufacturing, production and distribution facilities in North America, pursuant to which we received cash proceeds of \$40.1 million, after related transaction expenses, and recorded a gain of \$22.6 million.

In 2015, we declared a dividend of \$0.06 per common share each quarter for an aggregate dividend payment of approximately \$25.1 million.

We may, from time to time, depending on market conditions, including without limitation whether the 2020 Notes, the 2022 Notes, or the DSS Notes are then trading at a discount to their face amount, repurchase the 2020 Notes, the 2022 Notes, or the DSS Notes for cash and/or in exchange for shares of our common stock, warrants, preferred stock, debt or other consideration, in each case in open market purchases and/or privately negotiated transactions. The amounts involved in any such transactions, individually or in aggregate, may be material. However, the covenants in our ABL facility subject such purchases to certain limitations and conditions.

Table of Contents**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements as defined under Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K as of January 2, 2016.

Contractual Obligations

The following table shows the schedule of future payments under certain contracts, including debt agreements and guarantees, as of January 2, 2016:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Payments due by period						
	Total	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Thereafter
6.750% Senior notes due in 2020	\$ 625.0	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 625.0	\$
10.000% Senior notes due in 2021 ¹	350.0						350.0
5.375% Senior notes due in 2022	525.0						525.0
ABL facility ²	122.0	122.0					
GE Term Loan ³	6.4	2.2	2.2	2.0			
Contingent consideration ⁴	16.8	16.8					
Deferred consideration	0.8	0.8					
Capital leases and other long-term debt	2.9	1.2	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3
Interest expense ⁵	554.2	108.3	105.5	105.3	105.2	64.3	65.6
Operating leases	192.8	37.8	35.2	21.0	18.8	15.0	65.0
Guarantee purchase equipment	7.0	7.0					
Pension obligations	26.2	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.0	1.8	12.2
Purchase obligations ⁶	223.5	174.6	14.3	7.9	7.3	7.3	12.1
Total⁷	\$ 2,652.6	\$ 473.8	\$ 160.9	\$ 139.6	\$ 134.5	\$ 713.6	\$ 1,030.2

1. The outstanding aggregate principal amount of the DSS Notes of \$350.0 million was assumed by Cott at fair value of \$406.0 million in connection with the DSS Acquisition. The fair value premium of \$56.0 million is being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the remaining contractual term of the DSS Notes.
2. The ABL facility is considered a current liability. As of January 2, 2016, we had \$122.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the ABL facility.
3. In January 2008, we entered into a capital lease finance arrangement with General Electric Capital Corporation (GE Capital) for the lease of equipment. In September 2013, we purchased the equipment subject to the lease for an aggregate purchase price of \$10.7 million, with the financing for such purchase provided by GE Capital at a 5.23% interest rate.
4. Contingent consideration is the estimated undiscounted cash payment associated with the Aimia Acquisition payable in 2016.
5. Interest expense includes fixed interest on the 2020 Notes, the 2022 Notes, the DSS Notes, the GE Term Loan, the ABL facility, capital leases and other long-term liabilities. Actual amounts will differ from estimates provided.
6. Purchase obligations consist of commitments for the purchase of inventory, energy transactions, and payments related to professional fees and technology outsourcing agreements. These obligations represent the minimum

contractual obligations expected under the normal course of business.

7. The contractual obligations table excludes the Company's ASC 740 uncertain tax positions of \$11.5 million because the Company cannot make a reliable estimate as to when such amounts will be settled.

Table of Contents**Debt**

Our total debt as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015 was as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016 Unamortized Debt Issuance			January 3, 2015 Unamortized Debt Issuance		
	Principal	Costs	Net	Principal	Costs	Net
6.750% senior notes due in 2020	\$ 625.0	\$ 12.0	\$ 613.0	\$ 625.0	\$ 14.2	\$ 610.8
10.000% senior notes due in 2021 ¹	390.1		390.1	405.6		405.6
5.375% senior notes due in 2022	525.0	8.2	516.8	525.0	8.8	516.2
ABL facility	122.0		122.0	229.0		229.0
GE Term Loan	6.4	0.4	6.0	8.2	0.7	7.5
Capital leases and other debt financing	2.9		2.9	5.2		5.2
Total debt	1,671.4	20.6	1,650.8	1,798.0	23.7	1,774.3
Less: Short-term borrowings and current debt:						
ABL facility	122.0		122.0	229.0		229.0
Total short-term borrowings	122.0		122.0	229.0		229.0
GE Term Loan - current maturities	2.2		2.2	2.0		2.0
Capital leases and other debt financing - current maturities	1.2		1.2	2.0		2.0
Total current debt	125.4		125.4	233.0		233.0
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,546.0	\$ 20.6	\$ 1,525.4	\$ 1,565.0	\$ 23.7	\$ 1,541.3

1. The outstanding aggregate principal amount of the DSS Notes of \$350.0 million was assumed by Cott at fair value of \$406.0 million in connection with the DSS Acquisition. The fair value premium of \$56.0 million is being amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the remaining contractual term of the DSS Notes.

Asset-Based Lending Facility

In March 2008, we entered into a credit agreement with JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. as Agent that created an ABL facility to provide financing for our Cott North America, Cott U.K. and Mexico operations. We have amended and refinanced the ABL facility from time to time and incurred related financing fees, \$9.0 million of which have been capitalized and deferred and are being amortized using the straight-line method over the duration of the amended ABL facility.

As of January 2, 2016, we had \$122.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the ABL facility. The commitment fee was 0.375% per annum of the unused commitment, which, taking into account \$45.6 million of letters of credit, was \$232.4 million as of January 2, 2016. The weighted average effective interest rate at January 2, 2016 on our

outstanding LIBOR and Prime loans was 2.2%. The effective interest rates are based on our consolidated leverage ratio.

5.375% Senior Notes due in 2022

On June 24, 2014, we issued \$525.0 million of 5.375% senior notes due 2022 to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act. The obligations were issued under an indenture with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee. The issuer of the notes is our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary Cott Beverages Inc. (CBI), and we and most of our U.S., Canadian and U.K. subsidiaries guarantee the obligations. On May 13, 2015, we exchanged the notes for the 2022 Notes, which are registered under the Securities Act and do not contain transfer restrictions, registration rights or additional interest provisions, but otherwise contain identical terms. The interest on the 2022 Notes is payable semi-annually on January 1st and July 1st of each year.

Table of Contents

We incurred \$9.6 million of financing fees in connection with the issuance of the 2022 Notes. The financing fees are being amortized using the effective interest method over an eight-year period, which represents the term to maturity of the 2022 Notes.

10.00% Senior Notes due in 2021

On August 30, 2013, DS Services of America, Inc. (formerly DS Waters of America, Inc.) issued \$350.0 million of 10.00% senior secured notes to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulations S under the Securities Act. The obligations were issued under an indenture with Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee and as collateral agent. The interest on the notes is payable semi-annually on March 1st and September 1st of each year. In July 2014, the notes were exchanged for notes that are registered under the Securities Act and that do not contain transfer restrictions, registration rights, or additional interest provisions, but otherwise contain identical economic terms (the DSS Notes). In connection with the DSS Acquisition, DSS solicited and obtained consent from the holders of the DSS Notes to certain modifications and amendments to the indenture and related security documents and a consent payment of approximately \$19.2 million was made. Our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary CBI, and we and most of our U.S., Canadian and U.K. subsidiaries guarantee the obligations.

The DSS Notes were recorded at their fair value of \$406.0 million as part of the DSS Acquisition. The difference between the fair value and the principal amount of \$350.0 million is amortized as a component of interest expense over the remaining contractual term of the DSS Notes. We incurred approximately \$26.5 million of consent solicitation fees and bridge financing commitment fees.

6.75% Senior Notes due in 2020

On December 12, 2014, we issued \$625.0 million of 6.75% senior notes due January 1, 2020 to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act. The obligations were issued under an indenture with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee. The issuer of the notes is our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary CBI, and we and most of our U.S., Canadian and U.K. subsidiaries guarantee the obligations. On July 14, 2015, we exchanged the notes for the 2020 Notes, which are registered under the Securities Act and do not contain transfer restrictions, registration rights or additional interest provisions, but otherwise contain identical economic terms. The interest on the 2020 Notes is payable semi-annually on January 1st and July 1st of each year.

We incurred \$14.4 million of financing fees in connection with the issuance of the 2020 Notes. The financing fees are being amortized using the effective interest method over a five-year period, which represents the term to maturity of the 2020 Notes.

8.125% Senior Notes due in 2018

On August 17, 2010, we issued \$375.0 million of 2018 Notes. The issuer of the 2018 Notes was our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary CBI, and most of our North America and U.K. subsidiaries guaranteed the 2018 Notes.

We incurred \$8.6 million of financing fees in connection with the issuance of the 2018 Notes. The financing fees were amortized using the effective interest method over an eight-year period, which represents the term to maturity of the 2018 Notes.

On June 24, 2014, we used a portion of the proceeds from our issuance of the 2022 Notes to purchase \$295.9 million aggregate principal amount of our 2018 Notes in a cash tender offer. The tender offer included approximately \$16.2 million in premium payments as well as accrued interest of \$7.5 million, the write off of approximately \$3.0 million in

deferred financing fees, and other costs of approximately \$0.2 million.

On July 9, 2014 and July 24, 2014, we redeemed the remaining \$79.1 million aggregate principal amount of our 2018 Notes. The redemptions included approximately \$3.8 million in premium payments as well as accrued interest of approximately \$2.5 million and the write off of approximately \$0.8 million in deferred financing fees.

8.375% Senior Notes due in 2017

On November 13, 2009, we issued \$215.0 million of 2017 Notes. The 2017 Notes were issued at a \$3.1 million discount by our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary CBI, and most of our North America and U.K. subsidiaries guaranteed the 2017 Notes.

We incurred \$5.1 million of financing fees in connection with the 2017 Notes. The financing fees were amortized using the effective interest method over an eight-year period, which represents the term to maturity of the 2017 Notes.

Table of Contents

On November 15, 2013, we redeemed \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2017 Notes at 104.118% of par. The redemption included approximately \$8.2 million in premium payments, the write off of approximately \$4.0 million in deferred financing fees and discount charges, and other costs of approximately \$0.5 million.

On February 19, 2014, we redeemed the remaining \$15.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2017 Notes at 104.118% of par. The redemption included approximately \$0.6 million in premium payments, as well as the write off of approximately \$0.3 million in deferred financing fees and discount charges.

GE Term Loan

In January 2008, we entered into a capital lease finance arrangement with GE Capital for the lease of equipment. In September 2013, we purchased the equipment subject to the lease for an aggregate purchase price of \$10.7 million, with the financing for such purchase provided by GE Capital at 5.23% interest. The GE term loan is expected to be paid in full in September 2018.

Credit Ratings and Covenant Compliance**Credit Ratings**

Our objective is to maintain credit ratings that provide us with ready access to global capital and credit markets at favorable interest rates.

As of January 2, 2016, the Company's credit ratings were as follows:

	Credit Ratings	
	Moody's Rating	Standard and Poor's Rating
Corporate credit rating	B2	B
2020 Notes	B3	B-
DSS Notes	Ba3	BB-
2022 Notes	B3	B-
Outlook	Stable	Stable

Any downgrade of our credit ratings by either Moody's or S&P could increase our future borrowing costs or impair our ability to access capital markets on terms commercially acceptable to us or at all.

Covenant Compliance*Indentures governing 2022 Notes, DSS Notes and 2020 Notes*

Under the indenture governing the 2022 Notes, DSS Notes and 2020 Notes, we are subject to a number of covenants, including covenants that limit our and certain of our subsidiaries' ability, subject to certain exceptions and qualifications, to (i) pay dividends or make distributions, repurchase equity securities, prepay subordinated debt or make certain investments, (ii) incur additional debt or issue certain disqualified stock or preferred stock, (iii) create or incur liens on assets securing indebtedness, (iv) merge or consolidate with another company or sell all or substantially all of our assets taken as a whole, (v) enter into transactions with affiliates and (vi) sell assets. As of January 2, 2016, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under each series of notes. There have been no amendments to any such covenants of the 2022 Notes, the DSS Notes or the 2020 Notes, since the date of their issuance or assumption, as

applicable.

ABL Facility

Under the credit agreement governing the ABL facility, Cott and its restricted subsidiaries are subject to a number of business and financial covenants, including a covenant requiring a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.1 to 1.0 effective when and if aggregate availability is less than the greater of 10% of the lenders' commitments under the ABL facility or \$40.0 million. If excess availability is less than the greater of 12.5% of the aggregate availability under the ABL facility or \$50.0 million, the lenders will take dominion over the cash and will apply excess cash to reduce amounts owing under the facility. We were in compliance with all of the applicable covenants under the ABL facility as of January 2, 2016.

Table of Contents***Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities******Common Share Repurchase Program***

In May 2014, our board of directors approved a renewal of our share repurchase program for up to 5% of Cott's outstanding common shares over a 12-month period. During 2014, we repurchased 1,587,377 common shares for approximately \$11.0 million through open market transactions. In connection with the DSS Acquisition, we suspended our share repurchase program during the fourth quarter of 2014 and did not make any further repurchases of our common shares. The share repurchase program expired on May 21, 2015.

Tax Withholding

During 2015 and 2014, 91,934 and 156,754 shares, respectively, of our previously-issued common stock were withheld from delivery to our employees to satisfy their tax obligations related to stock-based awards.

Capital structure

Since January 3, 2015, equity has increased by \$97.0 million. The increase was primarily the result of net income of \$20.6 million and the issuance of common shares in the 2015 Offering of \$142.6 million, partially offset by dividend payments of \$31.0 million, distributions to non-controlling interests of \$8.5 million, and an adjustment to retained earnings for the foreign exchange impact upon redemption of Preferred Shares of \$12.0 million.

Dividend payments***Common Share Dividend***

The board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.06 per common share in each quarter during 2013, 2014, and 2015 for an aggregate dividend payment of approximately \$21.9 million, \$22.0 million, and \$25.1 million, respectively. Until the second quarter of 2014, the dividend was paid in Canadian dollars. Since the second quarter of 2014, the dividend has been paid in U.S. dollars. Cott intends to pay a regular quarterly dividend on its common shares subject to, among other things, the best interests of its shareowners, Cott's results of operations, cash balances and future cash requirements, financial condition, statutory regulations and covenants set forth in the ABL facility and indentures governing the 2020 Notes, the 2022 Notes and the DSS Notes, as well as other factors that the board of directors may deem relevant from time to time.

Preferred Share Dividend

The terms of the Preferred Shares required us to pay a quarterly dividend to the holders of the Preferred Shares. On April 1, 2015, we paid dividends to holders of record of the Preferred Shares as of March 11, 2015 for an aggregate dividend payment of approximately \$3.4 million. As of June 11, 2015, all outstanding Preferred Shares were redeemed (see Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), for an aggregate cash payment of \$151.3 million, which included \$2.5 million of accrued and unpaid dividends.

Equity-Based Plans

During the second quarter of 2015, with the approval of our shareowners, we (i) amended the Amended and Restated Cott Corporation Equity Incentive Plan (the "Equity Incentive Plan") to, among other things, increase the number of shares that may be issued under the Equity Incentive Plan, and (ii) adopted the Cott Corporation Employee Share

Purchase Plan (the ESPP) to provide eligible employees of the Company and its designated subsidiaries with an opportunity to acquire an ownership interest in the Company through the purchase of Company common shares via payroll deductions. The first three-month offering period under the ESPP commenced on October 1, 2015 and ended on December 31, 2015.

We also adopted the Cott Corporation Dividend Reinvestment Plan, which allows eligible shareowners to acquire additional common shares via reinvestment of cash dividends paid by Cott.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not trade market risk sensitive instruments.

Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We are exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Operations outside of the United States accounted for 25.3% of 2015 revenue and 36.8% of 2014 revenue, and are concentrated principally in the United Kingdom, Canada and

Table of Contents

Mexico. We translate the revenues and expenses of our foreign operations using average exchange rates prevailing during the period. The effect of a 10% change in the average foreign currency exchange rates among the U.S. dollar versus the Canadian dollar, pound sterling and Mexican peso for the year ended January 2, 2016, would result in our revenues in 2015 changing by \$71.4 million and our gross profit in 2015 changing by \$9.7 million. This change would be material to our cash flows and our results of operations.

Our primary exposure to foreign currency exchange rates relates to transactions in which the currency collected from customers is different from the currency utilized to purchase the product sold. In 2015, we entered into foreign currency contracts to hedge some of these currency exposures for which natural hedges do not exist. Natural hedges exist when purchases and sales within a specific country are both denominated in the same currency and, therefore, no exposure exists to hedge with foreign exchange forward, option, or swap contracts (collectively, the foreign exchange contracts). We do not enter into foreign exchange contracts for trading purposes. The risk of loss on a foreign exchange contract is the risk of non-performance by the counterparties, which we minimize by limiting our counterparties to major financial institutions. The fair values of the foreign exchange contracts, which are \$0.6 million, are estimated using market quotes. As of January 2, 2016, we had outstanding foreign exchange contracts with notional amounts of \$4.5 million.

Debt Obligations and Interest Rates

We have exposure to interest rate risk from the outstanding principal amounts of our short-term borrowings on our ABL facility. Interest rates on our long-term debt are fixed and not subject to interest rate volatility. Our ABL facility is vulnerable to fluctuations in the U.S. short-term base rate and the LIBOR rate. Because we had \$122.0 million of ABL borrowings outstanding as of January 2, 2016, a 100 basis point increase in the current per annum interest rate for our ABL facility (excluding the \$45.6 million of outstanding letters of credit) would result in additional interest expense of approximately \$1.2 million. The weighted average interest rate of our outstanding ABL facility at January 2, 2016 was 2.2%.

We regularly review the structure of our indebtedness and consider changes to the proportion of variable versus fixed rate debt through refinancing, interest rate swaps or other measures in response to the changing economic environment. Historically, we have not used derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk. If we use and fail to manage these derivative instruments successfully, or if we are unable to refinance our indebtedness or otherwise increase our debt capacity in response to changes in the marketplace, the expense associated with debt service could increase. This would negatively affect our financial condition and profitability.

The information below summarizes our market risks associated with long-term debt obligations as of January 2, 2016. The table presents principal cash flows and related interest rates by year. Interest rates disclosed represent the actual weighted average rates as of January 2, 2016.

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except percentage amounts)	Debt Obligations	
	Outstanding debt balance	Weighted average interest rate
Debt maturing in:		
2016	\$ 125.4	2.3%
2017	2.9	5.3%
2018	2.3	5.4%
2019	0.2	9.2%

2020	625.2	6.8%
Thereafter	875.3	7.2%
Total	\$ 1,631.3	

Commodity Price Risk

The competitive marketplace in which we operate may limit our ability to recover increased costs through higher prices. As a result, we are subject to market risk with respect to commodity price fluctuations principally related to our purchases of aluminum for cans and ends, resin for PET, HDPE and polycarbonate bottles, caps and preforms, corn for HFCS, sugar, fruit and fruit concentrates. We manage some of our exposure to this risk through the use of supplier pricing agreements, which enable us to fix the purchase prices for certain commodities, as well as derivative financial instruments. We estimate that a 10% increase in the market prices of these commodities over the current market prices would cumulatively increase our cost of sales during the next 12 months by approximately \$31 million. This change would be material to our cash flows and our results of operations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Financial statements and exhibits filed under this item are listed in the index appearing in Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of January 2, 2016 (the "Evaluation"). Based upon the Evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) are effective.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Management evaluates the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013). Management, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 2, 2016, and concluded that it was effective as of January 2, 2016.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 2, 2016 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent registered certified public accounting firm, who also audited the Company's consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Remediation of Material Weakness

Management first reported a material weakness in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, related to the review of the assumptions, data inputs and calculations used in the annual goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment tests, on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended October 3, 2015, filed on November 12, 2015. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

During the fourth quarter of 2015, with oversight from the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, the Company's management reviewed the process related to the impairment assessment for goodwill and other indefinite lived intangible assets. As a result, management designed, documented and implemented additional control

procedures and enhanced existing control procedures related to the review of the assumptions, data inputs, and calculations used in the goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment analyses. These procedures include additional reviews by qualified personnel, at the proper precision levels, and the preparation and retention of additional documentation supporting such reviews.

The Company completed the documentation and testing of the design and operating effectiveness of the controls described above and, as of January 2, 2016, has concluded that the steps taken have remediated the material weakness related to the review of assumptions, data and calculations used in the annual goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment tests.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As described above under the heading entitled Remediation of Material Weakness , there were changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended January 2, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 5.02. Departure of Directors or Certain Officers; Election of Directors; Appointment of Certain Officers; Compensatory Arrangements of Certain Officers.

At its February 2016 meeting, the board of directors determined to reduce its size from 11 to 10 directors, effective immediately prior to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, which is expected to be held on May 3, 2016. On February 25, 2016, Mr. George Burnett advised the board that he would not stand for re-election as a director of the Company at the end of his term. Mr. Burnett will serve the remainder of his term, which will conclude immediately prior to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareowners.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item regarding directors is incorporated by reference to, and will be contained in, the Election of Directors section of our definitive proxy circular for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, which will be filed within 120 days after January 2, 2016 (the 2016 Proxy Circular). The information required by this item regarding audit committee financial expert disclosure is incorporated by reference to, and will be contained in, the Corporate Governance section of our 2016 Proxy Circular. The information required by this item regarding executive officers appears as the Supplemental Item in Part I. There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to our board of directors.

The Audit Committee of our board of directors is an audit committee for the purposes of Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Audit Committee charter is posted on our website at www.cott.com. The members of the Audit Committee are Graham Savage (Chairman), George Burnett and Gregory Monahan. As required by the NYSE rules, the board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is independent, financially literate and that Mr. Savage qualifies as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of the rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

All of our directors, officers and employees must comply with our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. In addition, our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and principal accounting officer and certain other employees have a further obligation to comply with our Code of Ethics for Senior Officers. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and our Code of Ethics for Senior Officers are posted on our website, www.cott.com, and we intend to comply with obligations to disclose any amendment to, or waiver of, provisions of these codes by posting such information on our website.

Section 16(a) Beneficial ownership reporting compliance

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to, and will be contained in, the Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance section of our 2016 Proxy Circular.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to, and will be contained in, the Compensation of Executive Officers section of our 2016 Proxy Circular.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREOWNER MATTERS

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to, and will be contained in, the Principal Shareowners, Security Ownership of Directors and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information sections of our 2016 Proxy Circular.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to, and will be contained in, the Certain Relationships and Related Transactions section of our 2016 Proxy Circular.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to, and will be contained in, the Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm section of our 2016 Proxy Circular.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The documents filed as part of this report are as follows:

1. Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements and accompanying report of a registered independent certified public accounting firm are listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and are filed as part of this report.

2. Financial Statement Schedule

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

3. Exhibits

Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K set forth on the Exhibit Index.

All other schedules called for by the applicable SEC accounting regulations are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and, therefore, have been omitted.

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Cott Corporation

/S/ JERRY FOWDEN
Jerry Fowden
Chief Executive Officer
Date: February 29, 2016

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

/S/ JERRY FOWDEN
Jerry Fowden
Chief Executive Officer, Director
(Principal Executive Officer)
Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ GREGORY MONAHAN
Gregory Monahan
Director
Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ JAY WELLS
Jay Wells
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)
Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ MARIO PILOZZI
Mario Pillozzi
Director
Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ JASON AUSER
Jason Auser
Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)
Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ GEORGE A. BURNETT
George A. Burnett
Director
Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ DAVID T. GIBBONS
David T. Gibbons
Chairman, Director

/S/ ANDREW PROZES
Andrew Prozes
Director

Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ MARK BENADIBA

**Mark Benadiba
Director**

Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ STEPHEN H. HALPERIN

**Stephen H. Halperin
Director**

Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ BETTY JANE HESS

**Betty Jane Hess
Director**

Date: February 29, 2016

Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ GRAHAM SAVAGE

**Graham Savage
Director**

Date: February 29, 2016

/S/ ERIC ROSENFELD

**Eric Rosenfeld
Director**

Date: February 29, 2016

Table of Contents

COTT CORPORATION

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page(s)
<u>Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm</u>	F 2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	F 3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income</u>	F 4
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	F 5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F 6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity</u>	F 7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F 8 to F 70

F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Cott Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of comprehensive (loss) income, of equity, and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Cott Corporation and its subsidiaries at January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 2, 2016 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 2, 2016, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)2 presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 2, 2016, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it classifies debt issuance costs and the manner in which it classifies deferred income taxes in 2015.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may

deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Tampa, Florida

February 29, 2016

F-2

Table of Contents**COTT CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except share and per share amounts)

	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Revenue, net	\$ 2,944.0	\$ 2,102.8	\$ 2,094.0
Cost of sales	2,048.5	1,826.3	1,818.6
Gross profit	895.5	276.5	275.4
Selling, general and administrative expenses	768.6	213.7	180.3
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	6.9	1.7	1.8
Restructuring		2.4	2.0
Asset impairments		1.7	
Acquisition and integration expenses	20.6	41.3	3.1
Operating income	99.4	15.7	88.2
Other (income) expense, net	(9.5)	21.0	12.8
Interest expense, net	111.0	39.7	51.6
(Loss) income before income taxes	(2.1)	(45.0)	23.8
Income tax (benefit) expense	(22.7)	(61.4)	1.8
Net income	\$ 20.6	\$ 16.4	\$ 22.0
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	6.1	5.6	5.0
Less: Accumulated dividends on convertible preferred shares	4.5	0.6	
Less: Accumulated dividends on non-convertible preferred shares	1.4	0.2	
Less: Foreign exchange impact on redemption of preferred shares	12.0		
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (3.4)	\$ 10.0	\$ 17.0
Net (loss) income per common share attributed to Cott Corporation			
Basic	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.18
Diluted	(0.03)	0.10	0.18
Weighted average outstanding shares (thousands) attributed to Cott Corporation			
Basic	103,037	93,777	94,750
Diluted	103,037	95,900	95,633
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.24

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**COTT CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME**

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Net income	\$ 20.6	\$ 16.4	\$ 22.0
Other comprehensive (loss) income:			
Currency translation adjustment	(23.0)	(29.9)	(5.1)
Pension benefit plan, net of tax ¹	2.3	(4.0)	0.7
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments, net of tax ²	(4.9)		
Total other comprehensive loss	(25.6)	(33.9)	(4.4)
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (5.0)	\$ (17.5)	\$ 17.6
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests	6.4	5.9	5.0
Less: Accumulated dividends on convertible preferred shares	4.5		
Less: Accumulated dividends on non-convertible preferred shares	1.4		
Less: Foreign exchange impact on redemption of preferred shares	12.0		
Comprehensive (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (29.3)	\$ (23.4)	\$ 12.6

1. Net of the effect of a \$1.0 million tax expense, \$0.4 million tax benefit and \$0.3 million tax expense for the years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, respectively.

2. Net of the effect of a \$2.5 million tax benefit for the year ended January 2, 2016.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**COTT CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except share amounts)

	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015
ASSETS		
<i>Current assets</i>		
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ 77.1	\$ 86.2
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$9.2 (\$6.5 as of January 3, 2015)	293.3	305.7
Income taxes recoverable	1.6	1.6
Inventories	249.4	262.4
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	17.2	47.6
Total current assets	638.6	703.5
Property, plant & equipment, net	769.8	864.5
Goodwill	759.6	743.6
Intangibles and other assets, net	711.7	758.0
Deferred tax assets	7.6	3.4
Other tax receivable		0.2
Total assets	\$ 2,887.3	\$ 3,073.2
LIABILITIES, PREFERRED SHARES AND EQUITY		
<i>Current liabilities</i>		
Short-term borrowings	\$ 122.0	\$ 229.0
Current maturities of long-term debt	3.4	4.0
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	437.6	420.0
Total current liabilities	563.0	653.0
Long-term debt	1,525.4	1,541.3
Deferred tax liabilities	76.5	109.4
Other long-term liabilities	76.5	71.8
Total liabilities	2,241.4	2,375.5
Commitments and contingencies - Note 18		
Convertible preferred shares, \$1,000 stated value, no shares issued (January 3, 2015 - 116,054 shares issued)		116.1
Non-convertible preferred shares, \$1,000 stated value, no shares issued (January 3, 2015 - 32,711 shares issued)		32.7
<i>Equity</i>		
Common shares, no par - 109,695,435 shares issued (January 3, 2015 - 93,072,850 shares issued)	534.7	388.3
Additional paid-in-capital	51.2	46.6

Retained earnings	129.6	158.1
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(76.2)	(51.0)
Total Cott Corporation equity	639.3	542.0
Non-controlling interests	6.6	6.9
Total equity	645.9	548.9
Total liabilities, preferred shares and equity	\$ 2,887.3	\$ 3,073.2

Approved by the Board of Directors:

/s/ Graham Savage

Director

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COTT CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Operating Activities			
Net income	\$ 20.6	\$ 16.4	\$ 22.0
Depreciation & amortization	223.8	110.7	100.6
Amortization of financing fees	4.8	2.5	2.8
Amortization of senior notes premium	(5.6)	(0.4)	
Share-based compensation expense	10.3	5.8	4.0
(Decrease) increase in deferred income taxes	(30.4)	(65.8)	0.5
Write-off of financing fees and discount		4.1	4.0
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	6.9	1.7	1.8
Asset impairments		1.7	
Other non-cash items	(9.4)	0.3	0.9
Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:			
Accounts receivable	4.5	1.5	13.9
Inventories	6.5	12.9	(1.0)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	30.8	(25.2)	(1.3)
Other assets	(8.5)	1.7	6.1
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and other liabilities	(3.3)	(6.8)	(1.1)
Income taxes recoverable	3.6	(4.4)	1.7
Net cash provided by operating activities	254.6	56.7	154.9
Investing Activities			
Acquisitions, net of cash received	(24.0)	(798.5)	(11.2)
Additions to property, plant & equipment	(110.8)	(46.7)	(55.3)
Additions to intangibles and other assets	(4.6)	(6.9)	(5.9)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant & equipment and sale-leaseback	40.9	1.8	0.2
Proceeds from insurance recoveries			0.6
Other investing activities	(1.2)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(99.7)	(850.3)	(71.6)
Financing Activities			
Payments of long-term debt	(3.7)	(393.6)	(220.8)
Issuance of long-term debt		1,150.0	
Borrowings under ABL	994.5	959.0	131.9
Payments under ABL	(1,101.8)	(779.6)	(82.1)

Distributions to non-controlling interests	(8.5)	(8.5)	(6.6)
Issuance of common shares	143.1		
Financing fees	(0.6)	(24.0)	(0.8)
Preferred shares repurchased and cancelled	(148.8)		
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(0.8)	(12.1)	(13.0)
Dividends to common and preferred shareholders	(31.0)	(22.8)	(21.9)
Payment of deferred consideration for acquisitions	(2.5)	(32.4)	
Other financing activities		(0.3)	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(160.1)	835.7	(213.3)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(3.9)	(3.1)	(2.2)
Net (decrease) increase in cash & cash equivalents	(9.1)	39.0	(132.2)
Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period	86.2	47.2	179.4
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 77.1	\$ 86.2	\$ 47.2

Supplemental Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities:

Additions to property, plant & equipment through accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 5.8	\$ 7.8	\$ 3.9
Capital lease additions			1.3
Acquisition related deferred consideration		19.0	5.1
Accrued deferred financing fees		1.5	1.2
Preferred Shares issued as consideration for DSS Acquisition		148.8	

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:

Cash paid for interest	\$ 113.2	\$ 45.5	\$ 50.9
Cash paid for income taxes, net	2.8	2.5	0.1

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COTT CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except share amounts)

	Cott Corporation Equity						
	Number of Common Shares <i>(In thousands)</i>	Common Shares	Additional Paid-in- Capital	Retained Earnings (Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Non- Controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at December 29, 2012	95,371	\$ 397.8	\$ 40.4	\$ 184.5	\$ (12.4)	\$ 11.1	\$ 621.4
Common shares issued - Director							
Share Awards	87		0.8				0.8
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(1,251)	(5.3)		(4.8)			(10.1)
Common shares issued - Time-based RSUs	31	0.3	(0.3)				
Share-based compensation			3.2				3.2
Common shares dividend				(21.9)			(21.9)
Distributions to non-controlling interests						(6.6)	(6.6)
Comprehensive income (loss)							
Currency translation adjustment					(5.1)		(5.1)
Pension benefit plan, net of tax					0.7		0.7
Net income				17.0		5.0	22.0
Balance at December 28, 2013	94,238	\$ 392.8	\$ 44.1	\$ 174.8	\$ (16.8)	\$ 9.5	\$ 604.4
Common shares issued - Director							
Share Awards	112		0.8				0.8
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(1,744)	(7.8)		(4.3)			(12.1)
Common shares issued - Time-based RSUs	467	3.3	(3.3)				
Share-based compensation			5.0				5.0
Common shares dividend				(22.0)			(22.0)
Distributions to non-controlling interests						(8.5)	(8.5)
Preferred shares issuance costs				(0.4)			(0.4)
Comprehensive income (loss)							
Currency translation adjustment					(30.2)	0.3	(29.9)
Pension benefit plan, net of tax					(4.0)		(4.0)

Edgar Filing: COTT CORP /CN/ - Form 10-K

Preferred shares dividend			(0.8)				(0.8)
Net income			10.8		5.6		16.4
Balance at January 3, 2015	93,073	\$ 388.3	\$ 46.6	\$ 158.1	\$ (51.0)	\$ 6.9	\$ 548.9
Common shares issued - Director							
Share Awards	110		1.0				1.0
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(92)	(0.8)					(0.8)
Common shares issued - Performance-based RSUs	255	1.7	(1.7)				
Common shares issued - Time-based RSUs	10	0.1	(0.1)				
Common shares issued - Equity issuance	16,215	144.6					144.6
Common shares issued - Dividend reinvestment plan	11	0.1					0.1
Options exercised	113	0.7	(0.2)				0.5
Share-based compensation			9.3				9.3
Common shares dividend				(25.1)			(25.1)
Redemption of preferred shares				(12.0)			(12.0)
Distributions to non-controlling interests						(8.5)	(8.5)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from non-controlling interest			(3.7)		0.7	1.8	(1.2)
Comprehensive (loss) income							
Currency translation adjustment				(23.3)	0.3		(23.0)
Pension benefit plan, net of tax				2.3			2.3
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments, net of tax					(4.9)		(4.9)
Preferred shares dividend				(5.9)			(5.9)
Net income			14.5		6.1		20.6
Balance at January 2, 2016	109,695	\$ 534.7	\$ 51.2	\$ 129.6	\$ (76.2)	\$ 6.6	\$ 645.9

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****Description of Business**

As used herein, Cott, the Company, our Company, Cott Corporation, we, us, or our refers to Cott Corporation together with its consolidated subsidiaries. With the acquisition of DS Services of America, Inc. (DSS) in December 2014, we combined a leading provider in the direct-to-consumer beverage services industry with our traditional business, one of the world's largest producers of beverages on behalf of retailers, brand owners and distributors. We now have the largest volume-based national presence in the U.S. home and office delivery (HOD) industry for bottled water and one of the five largest national market share positions in the U.S. office coffee services (OCS) and filtration services industries. We reach over 1.5 million customers (approximately 60% commercial and 40% residential) through over 2,000 routes located across our national network supported by national sales and distribution facilities, as well as a fleet of over 2,000 vehicles. Our broad portfolio allows us to offer, on a direct-to-consumer basis, a variety of bottled water, coffee, brewed tea, water dispensers, coffee and tea brewers and filtration equipment. With the ability to cover approximately 90% of U.S. households, in terms of geography, we believe we have the broadest distribution network in the direct-to-consumer beverage services industry in the United States, which enables us to efficiently service residences and small and medium size businesses, as well as national corporations, universities and government agencies.

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**Basis of presentation**

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) using the U.S. dollar as the reporting currency, as the majority of our business and the majority of our shareowners are in the United States.

For the year ended January 3, 2015, we had 53 weeks of activity, compared to 52 weeks of activity for the years ended January 2, 2016 and December 28, 2013. The additional week contributed \$29.1 million of additional revenue and \$1.1 million of additional operating income for the year ended January 3, 2015.

During 2015, our business operated through four reporting segments: DSS, Cott North America, Cott United Kingdom (Cott U.K.), and All Other (which includes our Mexico operating segment, Royal Crown International (RCI) operating segment and other miscellaneous expenses). We refer to our Cott North America, Cott U.K. and All Other reporting segments together as our traditional business . Our corporate oversight function (Corporate) is not treated as a segment; it includes certain general and administrative costs that are not allocated to any of the reporting segments. In December 2014, in connection with the acquisition of DSS (the DSS Acquisition), DSS was added as a fourth reporting segment. During the fourth quarter of 2013, management reviewed our reporting segments and determined to combine our Mexico, RCI and All Other reporting segments into one reporting segment classified as All Other. Prior year information has been updated to reflect the change in our reporting segments.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified for consistency with the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on the reported results of operations. For the year ended December 28, 2013, the Company concluded that it was appropriate to reclassify the amortization of customer list intangible assets to selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses. Previously, such amortization had been classified as cost of sales. Accordingly, the Company has changed the classification to report these SG&A expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 28, 2013. Also, for the years ended January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, the Company concluded that it was appropriate to reclassify acquisition and integration expenses

separately. Previously, such expenses had been classified as SG&A expenses. Accordingly, the Company has changed the classification to report these expenses separately in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended January 3, 2015 and

F-8

Table of Contents

December 28, 2013. Additionally, as of January 3, 2015, the Company concluded that it was appropriate to reclassify certain acquired assets in connection with the DSS Acquisition (see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements) from inventories to property, plant and equipment, net to be consistent with Cott's historical accounting treatment. Accordingly, the Company has changed the classification to report these assets under property, plant and equipment, net in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of January 3, 2015. The impact of the reclassifications are shown in the tables below:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended December 28, 2013	
Decrease to cost of sales	\$	(22.7)
Increase to SG&A expenses	\$	22.7

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended January 3, 2015	For the Year Ended December 28, 2013
Decrease to SG&A expenses	\$ (41.3)	\$ (3.1)
Increase to acquisition and integration expenses	\$ 41.3	\$ 3.1

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 3, 2015	
Decrease to inventories	\$	(8.9)
Increase to property, plant and equipment, net	\$	8.9

Basis of consolidation

The financial statements consolidate our accounts, our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries and joint ventures that we control. All intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

Estimates

The preparation of these consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the amount of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The consolidated financial statements include estimates and assumptions that, in the opinion of management, were significant to the underlying amounts representing the future valuation of intangible assets, long-lived assets and goodwill, accounting for share-based compensation, realization of deferred income tax assets and the resolution of tax contingencies.

Revenue recognition

We recognize revenue, net of sales returns, when ownership passes to customers for products manufactured in our own plants and/or by third-parties on our behalf, and when prices to our customers are fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured. This may be upon shipment of goods or upon delivery to the customer, depending on contractual terms. Shipping and handling costs paid by the customer to us are included in revenue. Although we occasionally accept returns of products from our customers occasionally, historically returns have not been material.

With regards to DSS, the Company recognizes rental income on filtration, brewers and dispensing equipment at customer locations based on the terms of the related rental agreements, which are generally measured based on 28-day periods. Amounts billed to customers for rental in future periods are deferred and included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Sales incentives

We participate in various incentive programs with our customers, including volume-based incentives, contractual rebates and promotional allowances. Volume incentives are based on our customers achieving volume targets for a period of time. Volume incentives and contractual rebates are deducted from revenue and accrued as the incentives are earned and are based on management's estimate of the total the customer is expected to earn and claim. Promotional allowances are accrued at time of revenue recognition and are deducted from revenue based on either the volume shipped or the volume sold at the retailer location, depending on the terms of the allowance. We regularly review customer sales forecasts to ensure volume targets will be met and adjust incentive accruals and revenues accordingly.

Table of Contents**Cost of sales**

We record costs associated with the manufacturing of our products in costs of sales. Shipping and handling costs incurred to store, prepare and move products between production facilities or from production facilities to branch locations or storage facilities are recorded in cost of sales. Costs incurred in shipment of products from our production facilities to customer locations are also reflected in cost of sales, with the exception of shipping and handling costs incurred to deliver products from DSS branch locations to the end-user consumer of those products. Finished goods inventory costs include the cost of direct labor and materials and the applicable share of overhead expense chargeable to production.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

We record all other expenses not charged to production as SG&A expenses. Costs incurred to deliver products from DSS branch locations to the end-user consumer are considered a selling expense and are included within SG&A expenses. Advertising costs are expensed at the commencement of an advertising campaign and are recognized as a component of SG&A expenses. Advertising costs are not significant to any reporting segment other than DSS. Advertising costs expensed by DSS for the year ended January 2, 2016 were approximately \$18.0 million and for the period from acquisition to January 3, 2015 were approximately \$0.4 million.

Share-based compensation

We have in effect equity incentive plans under which Time-based RSUs, Performance-based RSUs, non-qualified stock options and Director share awards have been granted (as such terms are defined in Note 7 of the Consolidated Financial Statements). Share-based compensation expense for all share-based compensation awards is based on the grant-date fair value. We recognized these compensation costs net of a forfeiture rate on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting term of three years. No estimated forfeitures were included in the calculation of share-based compensation for the 2015, 2014 and 2013 share-based awards. The fair value of the Company's Time-based RSUs, Performance-based RSUs and Director share awards are based on the closing market price of its common shares on the date of grant as stated on the NYSE. We estimate the fair value of non-qualified options as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. This model considers, among other factors, the expected life of the award, the expected volatility of the Company's stock price, and expected dividends. The Company records share-based compensation expense in SG&A expenses.

Additional paid-in capital is adjusted by the tax impact related to the difference between the amount deducted for tax purposes and the compensation cost for accounting purposes. Where the tax deduction exceeds book compensation cost, an increase in additional paid-in capital is recorded. Where the tax deduction is less than book compensation cost, a reduction in additional paid-in capital is recorded to the extent there is an accumulated balance or charged to income tax expense if a shortfall remains after the accumulated additional paid-in capital is brought to zero.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all highly liquid investments with original maturities not exceeding three months at the time of purchase. The fair values of our cash and cash equivalents approximate the amounts shown on our Consolidated Balance Sheets due to their short-term nature.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

A portion of our accounts receivable is not expected to be collected due to non-payment, bankruptcies and deductions. Our accounting policy for the allowance for doubtful accounts requires us to reserve an amount based on the evaluation of the aging of accounts receivable, detailed analysis of high-risk customers' accounts, and the overall market and economic conditions of our customers. This evaluation considers the customer demographic, such as supermarket retailers as compared to small business or individual consumers. We consider our accounts receivable delinquent or past due based on payment terms established with each customer. Accounts receivable are written off when the account is determined to be uncollectible.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost, determined on the first-in, first-out method, or net realizable value. Returnable bottles are valued at the lower of cost, deposit value or net realizable value. Finished goods and work-in-process include the cost of raw materials, direct labor and manufacturing overhead costs. As a result, we use an inventory reserve to adjust our costs down to a net realizable value and to reserve for estimated obsolescence of both raw materials and finished goods.

Customer deposits

The Company generally collects deposits on three- and five-gallon bottles used by its DSS customers. Such deposits are refunded only after customers return such bottles in satisfactory condition. The associated bottle deposit liability is estimated based on the number of water customers, average consumption and return rates and bottle deposit market rates. The Company analyzes these assumptions quarterly and adjusts as necessary.

Table of Contents**Property, plant and equipment**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is allocated between cost of sales and SG&A expenses and is determined using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining life of the lease or useful life, whichever is shorter. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operating expense when incurred.

Goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price of acquired businesses over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but instead is tested for impairment at least annually. A company may assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, including goodwill. Alternatively, a company may bypass the qualitative assessment and perform the first step of the goodwill impairment test which compares the book value of a reporting unit, including goodwill, with its fair value. If the book value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, we complete the second step to determine the amount of goodwill impairment loss that we should record, if any. In the second step, we determine an implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all of the assets and liabilities other than goodwill (including any unrecognized intangible assets). The amount of impairment loss is equal to the excess of the book value of the goodwill over the implied fair value of that goodwill, and any impairment loss would be recognized in our results of operations.

The following table summarizes our goodwill on a reporting segment basis as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Reporting Segment				Total
	Cott North America	DSS	Cott U.K.	All Other	
Balance December 29, 2013	\$ 125.9	\$	\$ 8.8	\$ 4.5	\$ 139.2
Goodwill acquired during the year		556.9	54.5		611.4
Foreign exchange	(2.2)		(4.8)		(7.0)
Balance January 3, 2015	\$ 123.7	\$ 556.9	\$ 58.5	\$ 4.5	\$ 743.6
Goodwill acquired during the year		4.7			4.7
Adjustments ¹		17.5			17.5
Foreign exchange	(3.7)		(2.5)		(6.2)
Balance January 2, 2016	\$ 120.0	\$ 579.1	\$ 56.0	\$ 4.5	\$ 759.6

¹ During the fiscal year ended January 2, 2016, we recorded adjustments to goodwill allocated to the DSS segment in connection with the DSS Acquisition (see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

We test goodwill for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter, based on our reporting unit carrying values as of the end of the third quarter, or more frequently if we determine a triggering event has occurred during the year. Any

impairment loss is recognized in our results of operations. We evaluate goodwill for impairment on a reporting unit basis. Reporting units are operations for which discrete financial information is available and are at or one level below our operating segments. For the purpose of testing goodwill for impairment in 2015, we have determined our reporting units are Cott North America, DSS, Calypso Soft Drinks, Aimia, and Royal Crown International (RCI). Calypso Soft Drinks and Aimia are reporting units included in our Cott U.K. reporting segment. Calypso Soft Drinks was acquired in June of 2013 and Aimia was acquired in May of 2014 (see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). The RCI reporting unit is included in the All Other reporting segment. We had goodwill of \$759.6 million on our balance sheet at January 2, 2016, which represents amounts for the Cott North America, DSS, Calypso Soft Drinks, Aimia and RCI reporting units.

We have the option of performing a qualitative assessment to determine whether any further quantitative testing for a potential impairment is necessary. Our qualitative assessment will use judgments including, but not limited to, changes in the general economic environment, industry considerations, current economic performance compared to historical economic performance, entity-specific events and events affecting our reporting units, where applicable. If we elect to bypass the qualitative assessment or if we determine, based upon our assessment of those qualitative factors that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its net carrying value, a quantitative assessment is required. The

F-11

Table of Contents

quantitative test is a two-step test. The first step identifies whether there is potential impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit to the carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the second step of the impairment test is required to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any.

For the 2015 annual test, we elected to perform a qualitative assessment for our Calypso Soft Drinks reporting unit. In performing this assessment, management relied on a number of factors including, but not limited to, macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, cost factors that would have a negative effect on earnings and cash flows, overall financial performance compared with forecasted projections in prior periods, and other relevant reporting unit events, the impact of which are all significant judgments and estimates. Additionally, management considered the recent fair value calculation performed during the third quarter of 2015 where the estimated fair value exceeded the reporting unit's carrying value by approximately 19%. Based on these factors, management concluded that it was more likely than not that the fair value of the Calypso Soft Drinks reporting unit was greater than its respective carrying amount, including goodwill, indicating no impairment. Goodwill allocated to the Calypso Soft Drinks reporting unit as of January 2, 2016 is \$7.9 million.

For the Cott North America, DSS, Aimia and RCI reporting units, we elected to bypass the qualitative assessment and performed a quantitative analysis due to an overall CSD industry decline impacting the Cott North America reporting unit, the fact that a quantitative analysis has not been previously performed for DSS and Aimia and the length of time that has elapsed since the last quantitative analysis for the RCI reporting unit. We determined the fair value of each reporting unit being evaluated using a mix of the income approach (which is based on the discounted cash flows of the reporting unit) and the guideline public company approach. We believe using a combination of the two approaches provides a more accurate valuation because it incorporates the expected cash generation of the Company in addition to how a third-party market participant would value the reporting unit. Because the business is assumed to continue in perpetuity, the discounted future cash flows includes a terminal value. Critical assumptions used in our 2015 valuation of the reporting units were weighted-average terminal growth rates of 1.0%, 2.5%, 2.0% and 2.0% for our Cott North America, DSS, Aimia and RCI reporting units, respectively, and discount rate ranging from 8.0% to 11.0%. The terminal growth rate assumption incorporated into the discounted cash flow calculation reflects our long-term view of the market and industry, projected changes in the sale of our products, pricing of such products and operating profit margins. The discount rate was determined using various factors and sensitive assumptions, including bond yields, company-specific risk and size premiums and tax rates. This rate was based on the weighted average cost of capital a market participant would use if evaluating the reporting unit as an investment. These assumptions are considered significant unobservable inputs and represent our best estimate of assumptions that market participants would use to determine the fair value of the respective reporting units. The key inputs into the discounted cash flow analysis were consistent with market data, where available, indicating that the assumptions used were in a reasonable range of observable market data.

Based on the quantitative assessment including consideration of the sensitivity of the assumptions made and methods used to determine fair value, industry trends and other relevant factors, we noted that the estimated fair values of the Cott North America, DSS, Aimia and RCI reporting units exceeded its carrying value by approximately 102%, 152%, 44% and 478%, respectively. Therefore, a second step analysis was not required and no goodwill impairment charges were recorded in the fourth quarter ended January 2, 2016. Goodwill allocated to Cott North America, DSS, Aimia and RCI reporting units as of January 2, 2016 are \$120.0 million, \$579.1 million, \$48.1 million and \$4.5 million, respectively.

Each year during the fourth quarter, we re-evaluate the assumptions used in our assessments, such as revenue growth rates, operating profit margins and discount rate, to reflect any significant changes in the business environment that could materially affect the fair value of our reporting units. Based on the evaluations performed in 2015, we determined that the fair value of each of our reporting units exceeded their carrying amounts.

Intangible and other assets

As of January 2, 2016, our intangible assets subject to amortization and other assets, net of accumulated amortization were \$483.6 million, consisting principally of \$422.9 million of customer relationships that arose from acquisitions, \$9.9 million of deposits, \$24.9 million of information technology assets, and \$4.9 million of trademarks. Customer relationships are typically amortized on an accelerated straight-line basis for the period over which we expect to receive the economic benefits. With the DSS Acquisition, the acquired customer relationships are amortized over the expected remaining useful life of those relationships on a basis that reflects the pattern of realization of the estimated undiscounted after-tax cash flows. We review the estimated useful life of these intangible assets annually, taking into consideration the specific net cash flows related to the intangible asset, unless a review is required more frequently due to a triggering event such as the loss of a significant customer. The permanent loss of, or significant decline in sales to any customer included in the intangible asset would result in either an impairment in the value of the intangible asset or an accelerated amortization of any remaining value and could lead to an impairment of the fixed assets that were used to service that customer. In 2014 we recorded \$76.5 million of customer relationships acquired in connection with the Aimia Acquisition and \$219.8 million of customer relationships acquired in connection with the DSS Acquisition. In 2013 we recorded \$10.7 million of customer relationships acquired in connection with the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition. We did not record impairment charges for other intangible assets in 2015, 2014 or 2013.

Table of Contents

Our intangible assets with indefinite lives relate to the 2001 acquisition of intellectual property from Royal Crown Company, Inc., including the right to manufacture our concentrates, with all related inventions, processes, technologies, technical and manufacturing information, know-how and the use of the Royal Crown brand outside of North America and Mexico (the Rights), and trademarks acquired in the DSS Acquisition (the DSS Trademarks). These assets have a net book value of \$228.1 million. Prior to 2001, we paid a volume based royalty to the Royal Crown Company for purchase of concentrates. There are no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic, or other factors that limit the useful life of this intangible.

The life of the Rights and DSS Trademarks are considered to be indefinite and therefore not amortized, but instead are tested for impairment at least annually or more frequently if we determine a triggering event has occurred during the year. We compare the carrying amount of the Rights and DSS Trademarks to their fair value and where the carrying amount is greater than the fair value, we recognize in income an impairment loss.

To determine the fair value of the Rights, we use a relief from royalty method of the income approach, which calculates a fair value royalty rate that is applied to a forecast of future volume shipments of concentrate that is used to produce CSDs. The forecast of future volumes is based on the estimated inter-plant shipments and RCI shipments. The relief from royalty method is used since the Rights were purchased in part to avoid making future royalty payments for concentrate to the Royal Crown Company. The resulting cash flows are discounted using a rate to reflect the risk of achieving the projected royalty savings attributable to the Rights. The assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the Rights are subjective and require significant management judgment, including estimated future volume, the fair value royalty rate (which is estimated to be a reasonable market royalty charge that would be charged by a licensor of the Rights) and the risk adjusted discount rate. Based on our impairment tests, the estimated fair value of the Rights significantly exceeded the carrying value for all periods presented.

To determine fair value of the DSS Trademarks, we use a relief from royalty method of the income approach, which calculates a fair value royalty rate that is applied to DSS revenue forecasts adjusted to exclude private label sales. The resulting cash flows are discounted using a rate to reflect the risk of achieving the projected royalty savings attributable to the DSS Trademarks. The assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the DSS Trademarks are subjective and require significant management judgment, including estimated future revenues, the fair value royalty rate (which is estimated to be a reasonable market royalty charge that would be charged by a licensor of the trademarks) and the risk adjusted discount rate. Based on our impairment tests, the estimated fair value of the DSS Trademarks exceeded the carrying value for all periods presented.

Impairment and disposal of long-lived assets

When adverse events occur, we compare the carrying amount of long-lived assets to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows at the lowest level of independent cash flows for the group of long-lived assets and recognize any impairment loss in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, taking into consideration the timing of testing and the asset's remaining useful life. The expected life and value of these long-lived assets is based on an evaluation of the competitive environment, history and future prospects as appropriate. As part of restructuring activities during 2014, we recorded impairments of long-lived assets of \$1.0 million, which were recorded as a component of asset impairments in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. We did not record impairments of long-lived assets in 2015 or 2013. As part of normal business operations, we identify long-lived assets that are no longer productive and are disposed. Losses on disposals of assets are presented separately in our Consolidated Statements of Operations as part of operating income. We recognized losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment of \$6.9 million for the year ended January 2, 2016 (\$1.7 million January 3, 2015; \$1.8 million December 28, 2013).

Derivative financial instruments

We use derivative financial instruments to manage our exposure to movements in foreign currencies and certain commodity prices. All derivative instruments are recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. We do not use derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. We manage credit risk related to the derivative financial instruments by requiring high credit standards for our counterparties and periodic settlements. Refer to Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on our derivative financial instruments.

Foreign currency translation

The assets and liabilities of non-U.S. active operations, all of which are self-sustaining, are translated to U.S. dollars at the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet dates. Revenues and expenses are translated using average monthly exchange rates prevailing during the period. The resulting gains or losses are recorded in accumulated comprehensive income under shareowners' equity.

Table of Contents

Income taxes

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the differences between the accounting values of assets and liabilities and their related tax bases using currently enacted income tax rates. A valuation allowance is established to reduce deferred income tax assets if, on the basis of available evidence, it is not more likely than not that all or a portion of any deferred tax assets will be realized. The consideration of available evidence requires significant management judgment including an assessment of the future periods in which the deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be realized and projections of future taxable income. We classify interest and income tax penalties as income tax expense (benefit).

The ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets, related to net operating losses, is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods prior to their expiration. If our estimates and assumptions about future taxable income are not appropriate, the value of its deferred tax asset may not be recoverable, and may result in an increase to its valuation allowance that will impact current earnings.

We account for uncertain tax positions using a two-step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits. The second step requires us to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as we have to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. We re-evaluate these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit, and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision.

We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the income tax expense line in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, and we include accrued interest and penalties within the income tax payable or receivable account in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Pension costs

We record annual amounts relating to defined benefit pension plans based on calculations, which include various actuarial assumptions such as discount rates and assumed rates of return depending on the pension plan. Material changes in pension costs may occur in the future due to changes in these assumptions. Future annual amounts could be impacted by changes in the discount rate, changes in the expected long-term rate of return, changes in the level of contributions to the plans and other factors. The funded status is the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation. Future actuarial gains or losses that are not recognized as net periodic benefits cost in the same periods will be recognized as a component of other comprehensive income.

Insurance accruals

For DSS, it is the Company's policy to retain a portion of expected losses related to workers' compensation, general, product, casualty, and property and vehicle liability through retentions or deductibles under DSS insurance programs. Provisions for losses expected under these programs are recorded based on estimates of the undiscounted aggregate liabilities for claims insured.

Recently issued accounting pronouncements

Update ASU 2014-09 Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)

In May 2014, the FASB amended its guidance regarding revenue recognition and created a new Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The objectives for creating Topic 606 were to remove inconsistencies and weaknesses in revenue recognition, provide a more robust framework for addressing revenue issues, provide more useful information to users of the financial statements through improved disclosure requirements, simplify the preparation of financial statements by reducing the number of requirements to which an entity must refer, and improve comparability of revenue recognition practices across entities, industries, jurisdictions and capital markets. The core principal of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve the core principle, an entity should apply the following steps: 1) identify the contract(s) with a customer; 2) identify the performance obligations in the contract; 3) determine the transaction price; 4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract; and 5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. For public entities, the amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. The amendments may be applied retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying the amendment recognized at the date of initial application. We are currently assessing the impact of adoption of this standard on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents*Update ASU 2014-12 Compensation Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period*

In June 2014, the FASB amended its guidance regarding accounting for share-based payments when the terms of an award provide that a performance target could be achieved after the requisite service period. The amendments require that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718 as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. If the performance target becomes probable of being achieved before the end of the requisite service period, the remaining unrecognized compensation cost should be recognized prospectively over the remaining requisite service period. The total amount of compensation cost recognized during and after the requisite service period should reflect the number of awards that are expected to vest and should be adjusted to reflect those awards that ultimately vest. The requisite service period ends when the employee can cease rendering service and still be eligible to vest in the award if the performance target is achieved. The stated vesting period (which includes the period in which the performance target could be achieved) may differ from the requisite service period. For public entities, the amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within that reporting period. The amendments may be applied prospectively to all awards granted or modified after the effective date or retrospectively to all awards with performance targets that are outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements and to all new or modified awards thereafter. We believe that the adoption of these amendments will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Update ASU 2015-03 Interest Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs

In April 2015, the FASB amended its guidance to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs. The amendments require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by these amendments. For public entities, the amendments in this update are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. An entity should apply the new guidance on a retrospective basis, wherein the balance sheet of each individual period presented should be adjusted to reflect the period-specific effects of applying the new guidance. We have adopted this guidance and applied it retrospectively into the presentation of our consolidated financial statements. The January 3, 2015 consolidated balance sheet and related disclosures were adjusted to reflect the reclassification of \$23.7 million of debt issuance costs from intangibles and other assets, net to long-term debt. There was no other impact to the consolidated financial statements from the adoption of this guidance.

Update ASU 2015-15 Interest Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements

In April 2015, the FASB amended its guidance on the presentation and subsequent measurement of debt issuance costs associated with line-of-credit arrangements. The amendments update the guidance with ASU 2015-03 for debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements, the SEC staff would not object to an entity deferring and presenting debt issuance costs as an asset and subsequently amortizing the deferred debt issuance costs ratably over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the

line-of-credit arrangement. For public entities, the amendments in this update are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. We have adopted this guidance and applied it retrospectively into the presentation of our consolidated financial statements.

Update ASU 2015-16 Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments

In September 2015, the FASB amended its guidance regarding business combinations. The amendment requires that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. The amendment also requires that the acquirer record, in the same period's financial statements, the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization, or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date

Table of Contents

and requires the entity to present separately on the face of the income statement or disclose in the notes the portion of the amount recorded in current-period earnings by line item that would have been recorded in previous reporting periods if the adjustment to the provisional amounts had been recognized as of the acquisition date. For public entities, the amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The amendments in this update should be applied prospectively to adjustments to provisional amounts that occur after the effective date of this update with earlier application permitted for financial statements that have not been issued. We have adopted this guidance and incorporated it into the presentation of our consolidated financial statements. See Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Update ASU 2015-17 Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes

In November 2015, the FASB amended its guidance to simplify the presentation of deferred income taxes. The amendment requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet. The current requirement that deferred tax assets and liabilities of a tax-paying component of an entity be offset and presented as a single amount is not affected by the amendments in this update. For public entities, the amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. The amendments in this update may be applied prospectively to all deferred tax assets and liabilities or retrospectively to all periods presented. We have adopted this guidance and applied it retrospectively into the presentation of our consolidated financial statements. The adoption of this standard resulted in a decrease to prepaid expenses and other current assets, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and noncurrent deferred tax liabilities of \$11.7 million, \$0.3 million and \$10.5 million, respectively, and an increase in noncurrent deferred tax assets of \$0.9 million on the consolidated balance sheet as of January 3, 2015. There was no other impact to the consolidated financial statements from the adoption of this guidance.

Update ASU 2016-02 Leases (Topic 842)

In February 2016, the FASB issued an update to its guidance on lease accounting. This update revises accounting for operating leases by a lessee, among other changes, and requires a lessee to recognize a liability to make lease payments and an asset representing its right to use the underlying asset for the lease term in the balance sheet. The distinction between finance and operating leases has not changed and the update does not significantly change the effect of finance and operating leases on the statement of operations and the effective for the first interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. At adoption, this update will be applied using a modified retrospective approach. We are currently assessing the impact of adoption of this standard on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2 Acquisitions*HOD Water Business Acquisitions*

During the year ended January 2, 2016, the Company acquired nine separate home and office delivery (HOD) water businesses for an aggregate cash purchase price of \$12.6 million. The Company has accounted for all of these transactions as business combinations in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These acquisitions support the Company's previously announced objective of strategic acquisitions where it expects to be able to leverage synergies with its existing business. Net assets, including goodwill, acquired have been allocated to the DSS reporting segment. All of the goodwill recorded is expected to be tax deductible.

DSS Acquisition

In December 2014, the Company completed the acquisition by merger of DSS Group, Inc. (DSS Group), parent company to DS Services of America, Inc., a leading bottled water and coffee direct-to-consumer services provider in the United States (the DSS Acquisition). The DSS Acquisition was consummated pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the DSS Merger Agreement) dated November 6, 2014. Aggregate consideration was approximately \$1.246 billion paid through a combination of incremental borrowings under the ABL facility (defined below) of \$180.0 million, the issuance of \$625.0 million of our 6.75% senior notes due January 1, 2020, assumption of existing \$350.0 million senior notes due 2021 originally issued by DSS, the issuance of Series A Convertible First Preferred Shares (the Convertible Preferred Shares), having an aggregate value of approximately \$116.1 million and Series B Non-Convertible First Preferred Shares (the Non-Convertible Preferred Shares and together with the Convertible Preferred Shares, the Preferred Shares), having an aggregate value of approximately \$32.7 million. Pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, a portion of the aggregate consideration is being held in escrow to secure the indemnification obligations of DSS s former security holders under the Merger Agreement. The Company amended its existing ABL facility in connection with the acquisition to increase the amount of borrowings available thereunder.

The total cash and stock consideration paid by us in the DSS Acquisition is summarized below:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	
Cash paid to sellers	\$ 449.7
Working capital adjustment	11.4
Cash paid on behalf of sellers for sellers expenses	25.3
Cash paid to retire term loan on behalf of sellers	317.3
Convertible Preferred Shares	116.1
Non-Convertible Preferred Shares	32.7
Total consideration	\$ 952.5

Table of Contents

The estimated merger consideration was subject to adjustment upon the determination of actual working capital, net indebtedness and certain transaction related expenses, which adjustment was resolved in July 2015 by the payment of \$11.4 million to the former security holders of DSS.

Our primary strategic reasons for the DSS Acquisition were to accelerate Cott's acquisition based diversification outside of CSDs and shelf stable juices, broaden our distribution platform by adding a national direct-to-consumer distribution channel and extend our beverage portfolio into new and growing markets, including home and office bottled water delivery services, office coffee services and filtration services, while creating opportunities for revenue, cost synergies and growth prospects.

The DSS Acquisition was accounted for as a business combination which, among other things, requires that assets acquired and liabilities assumed be measured at their acquisition date fair values. The purchase price consideration of \$952.5 million was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on management's estimates of their fair values as of the acquisition date. Measurement period adjustments were recorded during the year ended January 2, 2016, primarily for adjustments to certain assets and liabilities existing at the acquisition date. Included as part of these adjustments to the initial purchase price allocation is the correction of \$6.2 million of certain balance sheet classification errors previously identified at January 3, 2015. The results of operations of DSS have been included in our operating results beginning as of the acquisition date. We allocated the total purchase price to tangible assets, liabilities and identifiable intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the aggregate fair values was recorded as goodwill.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price to the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with the DSS Acquisition.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	As reported at January 3, 2015	Adjustments	As reported at January 2, 2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 74.5	\$	\$ 74.5
Accounts receivable	103.4	(0.8)	102.6
Inventories	46.8	(0.4)	46.4
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	8.8		8.8
Deferred income taxes	2.8	0.9	3.7
Property, plant & equipment ¹	403.3	(13.3)	390.0
Goodwill ¹	556.9	17.5	574.4
Intangibles and other assets ¹	417.2	16.8	434.0
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(110.2)	(8.3)	(118.5)
Long-term debt	(406.0)		(406.0)
Deferred income tax liabilities ¹	(129.1)	1.2	(127.9)
Other long-term liabilities	(27.3)	(2.2)	(29.5)
Total	\$ 941.1	\$ 11.4	\$ 952.5

- ¹ During the fourth quarter of the year ended January 2, 2016, we adopted ASU 2015-16 and as a result measurement period adjustments were recorded in the fourth quarter of 2015, resulting in a \$22.7 million decrease to property, plant & equipment, a \$16.8 million increase to intangibles and other assets and a \$5.0

million increase to deferred income tax liabilities, with a corresponding increase to goodwill of \$10.9 million. This measurement period adjustment resulted in a decrease of \$4.8 million, \$0.2 million, and \$1.9 million in cost of sales, SG&A expenses, and income tax benefit, respectively, associated with a decrease in depreciation expense offset by an increase in amortization expense associated with the adjustment, of which \$0.2 million of the total change in cost of sales and less than \$0.1 million of the total change in SG&A expenses and income tax benefit, respectively, related to the prior year and with the remainder related to the nine months ended October 3, 2015.

F-17

Table of Contents

The Company recognized \$35.9 million of acquisition related costs associated with the DSS Acquisition that were expensed during 2014. These costs are included in acquisition and integration expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. These costs do not include financing fees related to the Preferred Shares financing, which were approximately \$0.4 million. The Preferred Shares issuance costs were adjusted to retained earnings.

Selected Financial Data (unaudited)

The following unaudited financial information from the acquisition date through January 3, 2015 represents the activity of DSS that has been combined with our operations as of the acquisition date.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the period from December 12, 2014 through January 3, 2015	
Revenue	\$	28.7
Net loss		(2.8)

Aimia Acquisition

In May 2014, our Cott U.K. reporting segment acquired 100% of the share capital of Aimia Foods Holdings Limited (the *Aimia Acquisition*), which includes its operating subsidiary company, Aimia Foods Limited (together referred as *Aimia*) pursuant to a Share Purchase Agreement dated May 30, 2014. Aimia produces and distributes hot chocolate, coffee and powdered beverages primarily through food service, vending and retail channels, and produces hot and cold cereal products on a contract manufacturing basis. The aggregate purchase price for the *Aimia Acquisition* was £52.1 million (\$87.6 million) paid in cash, which included a payment for estimated closing balance sheet working capital, £19.9 million (\$33.5 million) in deferred consideration paid in September 2014, and aggregate contingent consideration of up to £16.0 million (\$23.6 million at exchange rates in effect on January 2, 2016), which is payable upon the achievement of certain measures related to Aimia's performance during the twelve months ending July 1, 2016. The closing payment and deferred consideration payment were funded from ABL borrowings and available cash.

The total consideration paid by us for the *Aimia Acquisition* is summarized below:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	
Cash paid to sellers	\$ 80.4
Deferred consideration	33.5
Contingent consideration ¹	17.9
Working capital payment	7.2
Total consideration	\$ 139.0

¹ Represents the estimated present value of the contingent consideration based on probability of achievement of performance targets recorded at fair value.

Our primary reasons for the Aimia Acquisition were to diversify Cott's product portfolio, packaging formats and channel mix, and enhance our customer offering and growth prospects.

The Aimia Acquisition was accounted for as a business combination which, among other things, requires that assets acquired and liabilities assumed be measured at their acquisition date fair values. Identified intangible assets, goodwill and property, plant and equipment were recorded at their estimated fair values. The results of operations of Aimia have been included in our operating results beginning as of the acquisition date. We allocated the total purchase price to tangible assets, liabilities and identifiable intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the aggregate fair values was recorded as goodwill.

The sellers are entitled to contingent consideration of up to a maximum of £16.0 million (\$23.6 million at exchange rates in effect on January 2, 2016), which will become due by us if and to the extent Aimia meets certain targets relating to net income plus interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) for the twelve months ending July 1, 2016. We estimated the fair value of the contingent consideration based on financial projections of the acquired business and

Table of Contents

estimated probabilities of achievement of the EBITDA targets. We believe that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable, but there is significant judgment involved. The acquisition date fair value of the contingent consideration was determined to be £10.6 million (\$15.6 million at exchange rates in effect on January 2, 2016) using a present valued probability-weighted income approach. During the second quarter of 2015, we recorded a fair value adjustment of £0.4 million (\$0.6 million at exchange rates in effect on July 4, 2015) to the contingent consideration based on our review of the key assumptions used to calculate the fair value at the acquisition date. During the fourth quarter of 2015, we recorded a fair value adjustment of £0.1 million (\$0.2 million at exchange rates in effect on January 2, 2016) to the contingent consideration based on review of the key assumptions used to calculate the fair value at the acquisition date. Key assumptions include probability-adjusted EBITDA amounts with discount rates consistent with the level of risk of achievement. The change in the fair value adjustment of the contingent consideration was recognized in other (income) expense, net in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended January 2, 2016.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price to the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with the Aimia Acquisition.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Acquired Value
Cash	\$ 9.5
Accounts receivable	11.0
Inventories	9.6
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1.9
Property, plant & equipment	10.9
Goodwill	54.5
Intangibles and other assets	86.2
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(27.4)
Deferred tax liabilities	(17.2)
Total	\$ 139.0

The Company recognized \$2.2 million of acquisition related costs associated with the Aimia Acquisition that were expensed during the fiscal year 2014. These costs are included in the acquisition and integration expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Selected Financial Data (unaudited)

The following unaudited financial information from the acquisition date through January 3, 2015 represents the activity of Aimia that has been combined with our operations as of the acquisition date.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the period from May 30, 2014 through January 3, 2015	
Revenue	\$	62.3
Net income		2.3

Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition

In June 2013, our Cott U.K. reporting segment acquired 100% of the share capital of Cooke Bros Holdings Limited (the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition), which includes the subsidiary companies Calypso Soft Drinks Limited and Mr. Freeze (Europe) Limited (together, Calypso Soft Drinks). Calypso Soft Drinks produces fruit juices, juice drinks, soft drinks, and freezable products in the United Kingdom. The aggregate purchase price for the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition was \$12.1 million, which included approximately \$7.0 million paid at closing, deferred payments of approximately \$2.3 million and \$2.5 million, paid on the first and second anniversaries of the closing date, respectively. In connection with the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition, we paid \$18.5 million of outstanding debt of the acquired companies. Each payment was funded from available cash.

F-19

Table of Contents

The total consideration paid by us in the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition is summarized below:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	
Cash paid to sellers	\$ 7.0
Deferred consideration ¹	5.1
Total consideration	\$ 12.1

1. Principal amount of \$5.3 million discounted to present value.

Our primary reasons for the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition were to expand Cott's product portfolio and enhance our customer offering and growth prospects.

The Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition was accounted for as a business combination which, among other things, requires that assets acquired and liabilities assumed be measured at their acquisition date fair values. Identified intangible assets, goodwill and property, plant and equipment were recorded at their estimated fair values. The results of operations of Calypso Soft Drinks have been included in our operating results beginning as of the acquisition date. We allocated the total purchase price of the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition to tangible assets, liabilities and identifiable intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the aggregate fair values was recorded as goodwill. The fair value assigned to identifiable intangible assets acquired was based on estimates and assumptions made by management.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price to the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Acquired Value
Cash	\$ 0.5
Accounts receivable	15.9
Inventory	8.1
Prepaid expenses and other assets	0.6
Property, plant and equipment	8.7
Goodwill	8.5
Intangibles and other assets	15.0
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(15.0)
Shareholder loans	(1.6)
Deferred tax liabilities	(3.4)
Other long-term liabilities	(25.2)
Total	\$ 12.1

The Company recognized \$1.7 million of acquisition-related costs associated with the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition that were expensed during 2013. These costs are included in acquisition and integration expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Intangible Assets

In our determination of the estimated fair value of intangible assets, we consider, among other factors, the best use of acquired assets, analysis of historical financial performance and estimates of future performance of the acquired business products. The estimated fair values of identified intangible assets are calculated considering market participant assumptions and using an income approach and estimates and assumptions provided by management of the acquired business and our management.

The estimated fair value of customer relationships represent future after-tax discounted cash flows that will be derived from sales to existing customers of the acquired business as of the date of acquisition.

The estimated fair value of trademarks and trade names represent the future projected cost savings associated with the premium and brand image obtained as a result of owning the trademark or trade name as opposed to obtaining the benefit of the trademark or trade name through a royalty or rental fee.

The estimated fair value of non-competition agreements represent the future after-tax discounted cash flows that are expected to be retained by the acquired business as a result of preventing certain employees or prior owners from competing with us in the specified restricted territories for a period of time subsequent to the date of acquisition or the date of termination of their employment with us, as the case may be.

Table of Contents*DSS Acquisition*

The following table sets forth the components of identified intangible assets associated with the DSS Acquisition and their estimated weighted average useful lives:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	As Reported at January 3, 2015	
	Estimated Fair Market Value	Estimated Useful Life
Customer relationships	\$ 219.8	16 years
Trademarks and trade names	183.1	Indefinite
Non-competition agreements	0.4	5 years
Software	5.7	3 years
Total	\$ 409.0	

Aimia Acquisition

The following table sets forth the components of identified intangible assets associated with the Aimia Acquisition and their estimated weighted average useful lives:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	As Reported at January 3, 2015	
	Estimated Fair Market Value	Estimated Useful Life
Customer relationships	\$ 76.5	15 years
Trademarks and trade names	1.5	20 years
Non-competition agreements	2.9	5 years
Total	\$ 80.9	

Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition

The following table sets forth the components of identified intangible assets associated with the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition and their estimated weighted average useful lives:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	As Reported at December 28, 2013	
	Estimated Fair Market Value	Estimated Useful Life
Customer relationships	\$ 10.7	15 years
Trademarks and trade names	3.0	20 years

Non-competition agreements	1.3	5 years
Total	\$ 15.0	

Goodwill*DSS Acquisition*

The principal factor that resulted in recognition of goodwill in the DSS Acquisition was that the purchase price was based in part on cash flow projections assuming the reduction of administration costs and the integration of acquired customers and products into our operations, which is of greater value than on a standalone basis. The goodwill recognized as part of the DSS Acquisition was allocated to the DSS reporting segment, a portion of which is expected to be tax deductible.

Table of Contents*Aimia Acquisition*

The principal factor that resulted in recognition of goodwill in the Aimia Acquisition was that the purchase price was based in part on cash flow projections assuming the reduction of administration costs and the integration of acquired customers and products into our operations, which is of greater value than on a standalone basis. The goodwill recognized as part of the Aimia Acquisition was allocated to the Cott U.K. reporting segment, none of which is expected to be tax deductible.

Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition

The principal factor that resulted in recognition of goodwill in the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition was that the purchase price was based in part on cash flow projections assuming the reduction of administration costs and the integration of acquired customers and products into our operations, which is of greater value than on a standalone basis. The goodwill recognized as part of the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition was allocated to the Cott U.K. reporting segment, a portion of which is expected to be tax deductible.

Supplemental Pro Forma Data (unaudited)

The following unaudited financial information for the years ended January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013 represent the combined results of operations as if the DSS Acquisition, the Aimia Acquisition and the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition had occurred on December 30, 2012. The unaudited pro forma results reflect certain adjustments related to these acquisitions such as increased amortization expense on acquired intangible assets resulting from the preliminary fair valuation of assets acquired. The unaudited pro forma financial information does not necessarily reflect the results of operations that would have occurred had we operated as a single entity during such periods.

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except per share amounts)	For the Year Ended	
	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Revenue	\$ 3,099.1	\$ 3,141.1
Net loss attributed to Cott Corporation	(8.1)	(102.0)
Net loss per common share attributed to Cott Corporation, diluted	\$ (0.08)	\$ (1.08)

Table of Contents**Note 3 Restructuring**

We implement restructuring programs from time to time that are designed to improve operating effectiveness and lower costs. When we implement these programs, we incur various charges, including severance, asset impairments, and other employment related costs. During the first quarter of 2014, we implemented one such program, which involved the closure of two of our smaller plants, one located in North America and the other located in the United Kingdom (the 2014 Restructuring Plan). The plant closures were completed during our 2014 fiscal year and resulted in cash charges associated with employee redundancy costs and relocation of assets, and non-cash charges related to asset impairments and accelerated depreciation on property, plant and equipment. In connection with the 2014 Restructuring Plan, we incurred total charges of approximately \$4.1 million. We also implemented a restructuring plan in June 2013, which consisted primarily of headcount reductions. We had no restructuring activities during the year ended January 2, 2016.

The following table summarizes restructuring and asset impairment charges for the years ended January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended	
	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Restructuring	\$ 2.4	\$ 2.0
Asset impairments	1.7	
	\$ 4.1	\$ 2.0

The following table summarizes our restructuring charges on a reporting segment basis.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended	
	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Cott North America	\$ 2.3	\$ 1.0
Cott U.K.	0.1	0.7
All Other		0.3
Total	\$ 2.4	\$ 2.0

The following table summarizes our asset impairment charges on a reporting segment basis for the year ended January 3, 2015. There were no asset impairment charges for the year ended December 28, 2013.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended	
	January 3, 2015	
Cott North America	\$	0.9

Edgar Filing: COTT CORP /CN/ - Form 10-K

Cott U.K.		0.8
Total	\$	1.7

As of January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013, no amounts were owed under our restructuring plans.

F-23

Table of Contents**Note 4 Other (Income) Expense, Net**

The following table summarizes other (income) expense, net for the years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	\$ (7.8)	\$ (0.3)	\$ 0.2
Proceeds from legal settlement	(1.4)	(3.5)	
Proceeds from insurance recoveries			(0.1)
Bond redemption		20.8	8.7
Write-off of financing fees and discount		4.1	4.0
Other gain	(0.3)	(0.1)	
Total	\$ (9.5)	\$ 21.0	\$ 12.8

Note 5 Interest Expense, Net

The following table summarizes interest expense, net for the years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Interest on long-term debt	\$ 100.9	\$ 33.2	\$ 47.4
Other interest expense, net	10.1	6.5	4.2
Total	\$ 111.0	\$ 39.7	\$ 51.6

Note 6 Income Tax (Benefit) Expense

(Loss) income before income taxes consisted of the following:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Canada	\$ 24.2	\$ 17.2	\$ 30.7
Outside Canada	(26.3)	(62.2)	(6.9)
(Loss) income before income taxes	\$ (2.1)	\$ (45.0)	\$ 23.8

Table of Contents

Income tax (benefit) expense consisted of the following:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Current			
Canada	\$ 4.0	\$	\$ (0.3)
Outside Canada	3.7	2.5	(0.4)
	\$ 7.7	\$ 2.5	\$ (0.7)
Deferred			
Canada	\$ (2.5)	\$ 0.3	\$ (0.6)
Outside Canada	(27.9)	(64.2)	3.1
	\$ (30.4)	\$ (63.9)	\$ 2.5
Income tax (benefit) expense	\$ (22.7)	\$ (61.4)	\$ 1.8

The following table reconciles income taxes calculated at the basic Canadian corporate rates with the income tax provision:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Income tax (benefit) expense based on Canadian statutory rates	\$ (0.5)	\$ (11.5)	\$ 5.7
Foreign tax rate differential	(3.7)	(9.3)	(0.6)
Nontaxable interest income	(5.5)	(9.3)	(9.7)
Nontaxable dividend income	(13.8)	(11.2)	(5.4)
Nontaxable capital (gain) loss	(1.4)	1.5	
Dividend income	0.9		
Changes in enacted tax rates	1.3	(1.4)	(1.5)
Change in valuation allowance	(0.4)	(29.4)	12.5
(Decrease) increase to uncertain tax positions	(0.6)	1.9	0.8
Non-controlling interests	(2.1)	(1.9)	(1.8)
Equity compensation adjustment to net operating loss		2.7	
Permanent differences	1.3	1.7	0.4
Contingent consideration goodwill basis adjustments		1.0	(0.1)
Equity compensation permanent adjustment	0.9	0.6	0.6
Mexico deferred adjustment		2.5	
Preferred share costs	0.4		
Other items	0.5	0.7	0.9

Income tax (benefit) expense	\$ (22.7)	\$ (61.4)	\$ 1.8
------------------------------	-----------	-----------	--------

The income tax benefit differs from the statutory benefit due primarily to non-taxable interest income, non-taxable dividend income, and differences in foreign tax rates. In connection with the DSS Acquisition in 2014, it was determined that the valuation allowance should be released for all U.S. federal valuation allowances.

F-25

Table of Contents

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities were recognized on temporary differences between the financial and tax bases of existing assets and liabilities as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015
Deferred tax assets		
Loss carryforwards	\$ 136.9	\$ 148.9
Leases	0.3	0.1
Property, plant & equipment	5.5	3.3
Liabilities and reserves	42.1	22.3
Stock options	5.9	3.0
Inventories	2.2	2.6
Other	5.6	4.4
	198.5	184.6
Deferred tax liabilities		
Property, plant & equipment	(105.6)	(127.9)
Intangible assets	(146.4)	(146.4)
Other		(0.5)
	(252.0)	(274.8)
Valuation allowance	(15.4)	(15.8)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (68.9)	\$ (106.0)

The deferred tax assets and liabilities have been classified as follows on the Consolidated Balance Sheets:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015
Deferred tax assets:		
Current	\$	\$
Long-term	7.6	3.4
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Current	\$	\$
Long-term	(76.5)	(109.4)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (68.9)	\$ (106.0)

As a result of certain realization requirements of ASC Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation (ASC 718), the table of deferred tax assets and liabilities shown above does not include certain deferred tax assets at January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015 that arose directly from tax deductions related to equity compensation in excess of compensation recognized for financial reporting. As of January 2, 2016, equity will be increased by \$2.8 million if and when such

deferred tax assets are ultimately realized.

We treat our portion of all accumulated foreign subsidiary earnings through January 2, 2016, as indefinitely reinvested under the accounting guidance and accordingly, have not provided for any tax thereon. In order to arrive at this conclusion, we considered factors including, but not limited to, past experience, domestic cash requirements, cash requirements to satisfy the ongoing operations, capital expenditures and other financial obligations of our subsidiaries. As of January 2, 2016, approximately \$17.9 million of retained earnings attributable to foreign subsidiaries was considered to be indefinitely invested. Our intention is to permanently reinvest the earnings outside of Canada. It is not practicable to determine the amount of incremental taxes that might arise if these earnings were to be remitted. The amount of tax payable could be significantly impacted by the jurisdiction in which a distribution was made, the amount of the distribution, foreign withholding taxes under applicable tax laws when distributed, relevant tax treaties and foreign tax credits. While it is not practical to determine the amount of tax, we believe that tax planning strategies would allow us to make remittances in a tax efficient manner.

As of January 2, 2016, undistributed earnings of foreign operations were considered to be permanently reinvested. Deferred taxes have not been recorded on the excess book basis in the shares of certain foreign subsidiaries as these basis differences are not expected to reverse in the foreseeable future and are expected to be permanent in duration. The basis differences arose primarily from undistributed book earnings, which we intend to reinvest indefinitely, except in certain

Table of Contents

instances where repatriation attributable to current earnings results in minimal or no taxes. We repatriated earnings of \$17.3 million, nil and nil in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, incurring no tax expense. The basis differences could reverse through a sale of the subsidiaries or the receipt of dividends from the subsidiaries, as well as various other events. These earnings will be permanently reinvested by such subsidiaries except in certain instances where repatriation attributable to current earnings results in minimal or no tax consequences.

As of January 2, 2016, we have operating loss carryforwards totaling \$741.6 million, credit carryforwards totaling \$3.7 million and capital loss carryforwards totaling \$3.3 million. The operating loss carryforward amount was attributable to Mexico operating loss carryforwards of \$20.1 million that will expire from 2018 to 2025 and U.S. federal and state operating loss carryforwards of \$328.0 million and \$333.9 million, respectively. The U.S. federal operating loss carryforwards will expire from 2028 to 2034 and the state operating loss carryforwards will expire from 2016 to 2035.

The credit carryforward amount was attributable to a U.S. federal alternative minimum tax credit carryforward of \$1.3 million with an indefinite life, other U.S. federal credit carryforwards of \$0.9 million with an indefinite life, and U.S. state credit carryforwards of \$1.5 million that will expire from 2015 to 2021. The capital loss carryforward is attributable to a U.K. capital loss of \$3.3 million with an indefinite life.

We establish a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets if, based on the weight of the available evidence, both positive and negative, for each respective tax jurisdiction, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Due to uncertainty resulting from the lack of sustained taxable income in recent years in Mexico, we have determined that it is more likely than not that the benefit from net operating loss carryforwards and other net deferred tax assets in this jurisdiction will not be realized in the future. In recognition of this risk, we have provided a valuation allowance of \$6.6 million to reduce our deferred tax assets in Mexico.

Additionally, we have determined that it is more likely than not that the benefit from our capital losses in the U.K. will not be realized in the future due to the uncertainty regarding potential future capital gains in the jurisdiction. In recognition of this risk, we have provided a valuation allowance of \$0.6 million on our U.K. capital losses.

In connection with the DSS Acquisition in 2014, it was determined that the valuation allowance should be released for all U.S. federal valuation allowances, due to the anticipated timing of deferred tax asset and liability reversal in future periods as well as projections of future taxable income in the U.S. An analysis of various U.S. state attributes indicated a need to continue providing a valuation allowance on certain filings in the amount of \$8.2 million.

If our assumptions change and we determine we will be able to realize these deferred tax assets, an income tax benefit of \$15.4 million will be realized as a result of the reversal of the valuation allowance at January 2, 2016.

In 2006, the FASB issued guidance regarding provisions of uncertain tax positions in ASC 740, which provides specific guidance on the financial statement recognition, measurement, reporting and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. ASC 740 addresses the determination of whether tax benefits, either permanent or temporary, should be recorded in the financial statements.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of our unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

For the Year Ended
January 2, January 3, December 28,

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	2016	2015	2013
Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$ 12.5	\$ 10.5	\$ 9.2
Additions based on tax positions taken during a prior period	0.2	0.5	0.2
Reductions based on tax positions taken during a prior period	(0.2)	(0.9)	
Settlement on tax positions taken during a prior period	(0.6)	(0.8)	(1.2)
Lapse in statute of limitations	(1.8)		
Additions based on tax positions taken during the current period	1.9	3.9	2.4
Foreign exchange	(0.5)	(0.7)	(0.1)
Unrecognized tax benefits at end of year	\$ 11.5	\$ 12.5	\$ 10.5

As of January 2, 2016, we had \$11.5 million of unrecognized tax benefits, a net decrease of \$1.0 million from \$12.5 million as of January 3, 2015. If we recognized our tax positions, approximately \$9.8 million would favorably impact the effective tax rate. We believe it is reasonably possible that our unrecognized tax benefits will decrease or be recognized in the next twelve months by up to \$0.2 million due to the settlement of certain tax positions and lapses in statutes of limitation in various tax jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes. We recovered nil of interest and penalties during the years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013. The amount of interest and penalties recognized as an asset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for 2015 and 2014 was \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Years through 2008 have been audited by the Internal Revenue Service, though the statutes are still open for the 2007 and later years due to certain net operating loss carryforwards. Years prior to 2010 are closed to audit by U.S. state jurisdictions. We are currently under audit in Canada by the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) for tax years 2011 through 2012. Years prior to 2010 are closed to audit by the CRA. Years prior to 2014 are closed to audit by the U.K. tax authorities, while years prior to 2012 are closed to audit by the Mexico tax authorities.

Note 7 Share-based Compensation

Each of our share-based compensation plans has been approved by our shareowners, except for our 1986 Common Share Option Plan, as amended (the Option Plan), which was adopted prior to our initial public offering, and a stock option award granted to our Chief Executive Officer, which was an inducement grant made to attract and retain that executive. Subsequent amendments to the Option Plan that required shareowner approval have been approved.

In 2010, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee of the board of directors (HRCC) determined that certain of Cott 's long-term incentive plans were no longer needed and terminated the Option Plan. As of January 2, 2016, no options were outstanding under the Option Plan.

Our shareowners approved our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan at the Annual and Special Meeting of Shareowners held on May 4, 2010. Awards under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan may be in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted shares, restricted share units, performance shares, performance units, stock appreciation rights, and stock payments to employees, directors and outside consultants. The 2010 Equity Incentive Plan is administered by the HRCC or any other board committee as may be designated by the board from time to time. At the inception of the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, 4,000,000 shares were reserved for future issuance, subject to adjustment upon a share split, share dividend, recapitalization, and other similar transactions and events.

On February 14, 2013, our board of directors adopted an amendment and restatement of the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the Amended and Restated Equity Plan), pursuant to which the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan was amended and restated to, among other things, increase the number of shares that may be issued under the plan to 12,000,000 shares and to provide that the number of shares available for issuance will be reduced 2.0 shares for each share issued pursuant to a full-value award (i.e., an award other than an option or stock appreciation right) after the effective date of the amendment and restatement. The Amended and Restated Equity Plan was approved by Cott 's shareowners on April 30, 2013. Awards made in 2012 prior to the amendment and restatement are generally governed by the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan.

On February 18, 2015, our board of directors adopted the Amended and Restated Equity Plan, pursuant to which the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan was amended and restated to, among other things, increase the number of shares that may be issued under the plan to 20,000,000 shares, increase the limitations of issuance of common shares to non-employee directors without further shareholder approval equal to the lesser of (i) 3% of Cott 's issued and outstanding shares, and (ii) an annual equity award of \$500,000, expands the list of situations in which the Company may accelerate the exercisability or vesting or otherwise terminate restrictions relating to an award, and decreases the minimum vesting for restricted shares and restricted share units that vest solely as a result of the passage of time and continued service by the participant (to not less than one year from the date of grant). Additionally, the amended Plan will provide a minimum vesting period for option and stock appreciation right awards that vest solely as a result of the passage of

time and continued service by the participant (not less than one year from the date of grant). The Amended and Restated Equity Plan was approved by Cott's shareowners on May 5, 2015.

Awards under the Amended and Restated Equity Plan may be in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted shares, restricted share units, performance shares, performance units, stock appreciation rights, and stock payments to employees, directors and outside consultants. The Amended and Restated Equity Plan is administered by the HRCC or any other board committee as may be designated by the board from time to time.

Shares to be issued pursuant to Time-based RSUs, Performance-based RSUs, or stock options that are forfeited, expired, or are cancelled or settled without the issuance of shares return to the pool of shares available for issuance under the Amended and Restated Equity Plan. As of January 2, 2016, there were 9,880,672 shares available for future issuance under the Amended and Restated Equity Plan.

The table below summarizes the share-based compensation expense for the years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015, and December 28, 2013. This share-based compensation expense was recorded in SG&A expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. As used below: (i) Performance-based RSUs mean restricted share units with performance-based vesting granted under the Company's 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan) or Amended and

Table of Contents

Restated Equity Plan (as defined below), as the case may be, (ii) Time-based RSUs mean restricted share units with time-based vesting granted under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan or Amended and Restated Equity Plan, as the case may be, (iii) Stock options mean non-qualified stock options granted under the Amended and Restated Equity Plan, the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, or the Option Plan, as the case may be, (iv) Director share awards mean common shares issued in consideration of the annual board retainer fee to non-management members of our board of directors under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan or Amended and Restated Equity Plan, as the case may be, and (v) ESPP means the Cott Corporation Employee Share Purchase Plan, under which common shares are issued to eligible employees at a discount through payroll deductions.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Stock options	\$ 1.9	\$ 1.6	\$ 0.8
Performance-based RSUs	4.9	0.6	0.2
Time-based RSUs	2.4	2.8	2.2
Director share awards	1.0	0.8	0.8
Employee Share Purchase Plan	0.1		
Total	\$ 10.3	\$ 5.8	\$ 4.0

During the fourth quarter of 2013, we concluded that it was no longer probable that the targets established for the Performance-based RSUs awarded in 2013 would be met, and we no longer expect these awards to ultimately vest. We continue to accrue the compensation expense for the Performance-based RSUs awarded in 2014 and 2015.

The tax benefit recognized related to share-based compensation expense for the fiscal year ended January 2, 2016 was \$2.7 million (January 3, 2015 \$1.3 million; December 28, 2013 \$1.0 million).

As of January 2, 2016, the unrecognized share-based compensation expense and weighted average years over which we expect to recognize it as compensation expense were as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except years)	Unrecognized share-based	
	compensation expense as of January 2, 2016	Weighted average years expected to recognize compensation
Stock options	\$ 2.6	1.8
Performance-based RSUs	7.9	1.9
Time-based RSUs	2.3	1.6
Total	\$ 12.8	

Stock Options

During 2015, 2014 and 2013 approximately 684,000, 441,000 and 392,000 options were granted to certain of our employees under the Amended and Restated Equity Plan at a weighted-average exercise price of \$9.22, \$8.00 and \$9.29 per share, respectively. The weighted-average grant date fair value of the options was estimated to be \$4.31, \$3.84 and \$4.10 per share in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

The grant date fair value of each option granted during the years ended January 2, 2016, January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Risk-free interest rate	2.0%	2.7%	1.7%
Average expected life (years)	10.0	10.0	10.0
Expected volatility	58.7%	58.5%	32.3%
Expected dividend yield	3.0%	2.9%	

F-29

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity for Company stock options:

	Stock Options (in thousands)	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted average remaining contractual term (years)	Aggregate intrinsic value (in thousands)
Outstanding at December 29, 2012	468	\$ 7.13	7.3	\$ 788.8
Granted	392	9.29		
Forfeited or expired	(30)	6.58		
Outstanding at December 28, 2013	830	8.17	7.6	811.9
Granted	441	8.00		
Forfeited or expired	(50)	16.45		
Outstanding at January 3, 2015	1,221	7.77	7.6	400.7
Granted	684	9.22		
Exercised	(113)	4.94		637.4
Forfeited or expired	(35)	8.56		
Outstanding at January 2, 2016	1,757	\$ 8.50	8.0	\$ 4,373.8
Exercisable at January 2, 2016	670	\$ 8.10	6.8	\$ 1,929.0
Vested or expected to vest at January 2, 2016	1,757	\$ 8.50	8.0	\$ 4,373.8

The aggregate intrinsic value amounts in the table above represent the difference between the closing price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2015, which was \$10.99 (January 2, 2015 \$7.00; December 27, 2013 \$8.06), and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money stock options as of the same date.

The total amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$0.5 million during the fiscal year ended January 2, 2016 with no associated tax benefit realized. There were no stock options exercised during the years ended January 3, 2015 and December 28, 2013. The total fair value of options that vested during the year ended January 2, 2016 was \$1.5 million (January 3, 2015 \$1.3 million; December 28, 2013 nil).

Outstanding options at January 2, 2016 were as follows:

Exercise Price	Options Outstanding	Options Exercisable
----------------	---------------------	---------------------

	Number of Options (in thousands)	Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$6.58	294	6.1	\$ 6.58	294	\$ 6.58
\$8.00	421	8.1	\$ 8.00	3	\$ 8.00
\$9.00	86	9.2	\$ 9.00		\$
\$9.25	583	9.2	\$ 9.25		\$
\$9.29	373	7.3	\$ 9.29	373	\$ 9.29
	1,757	8.0	\$ 8.50	670	\$ 8.10

Other Awards

On May 29, 2015, we granted 110,000 common shares to the non-management members of our board of directors under the Amended and Restated Equity Plan with a grant date fair value of approximately \$1.0 million. The common shares were issued in consideration of the directors' annual board retainer fee and were vested upon issuance.

In 2015, we granted 320,180 Performance-based RSUs and 213,453 Time-based RSUs to certain of our employees. The Performance-based RSUs vest based on the achievement of a specified target level of pre-tax income for the period beginning on January 4, 2015 and ending on the last day of our 2017 fiscal year. The amount of Performance-based RSUs that may vest and the related unrecognized compensation cost is subject to change based on the level of targeted pre-tax income that is achieved during the period beginning on January 4, 2015 and ending on the last day of our 2017 fiscal year. The Time-based RSUs and the stock options vest on the last day of our 2017 fiscal year.

Table of Contents

In 2014, we granted 111,880 common shares to the non-management members of our board of directors under the Amended and Restated Equity Plan with a grant date fair value of approximately \$0.8 million. The common shares were issued in consideration of the directors' annual board retainer fee and were vested upon issuance.

In 2014, we granted 273,906 Performance-based RSUs and 368,125 Time-based RSUs to certain of our employees. The Performance-based RSUs vest based on the achievement of a specified target level of pre-tax income for the period beginning on December 29, 2013 and ending on the last day of our 2016 fiscal year. The amount of Performance-based RSUs that may vest and the related unrecognized compensation cost is subject to change based on the level of targeted pre-tax income that is achieved during the period beginning on December 29, 2013 and ending on the last day of our 2016 fiscal year. The Time-based RSUs and the stock options vest on the last day of our 2016 fiscal year.

In 2014, we granted 1,082,348 Performance-based RSUs to certain of our employees in connection with the DSS Acquisition. The Performance-based RSUs vest based upon the achievement of specified level of DSS EBITDA (weighted 60%), revenue (weighted 20%) and net cooler rental activity (which is net new cooler rental customers, or total cooler rental customer additions for the year less total cooler rental customers who terminated service in the year) (weighted 20%) over the three-year period ending at the end of fiscal 2017. The amount of Performance-based RSUs that may vest and the related unrecognized compensation cost is subject to change based on the level of performance objectives that are achieved during the period beginning on December 28, 2014 and ending on the last day of DSS's 2017 fiscal year.

In 2013, we granted 87,190 common shares to the non-management members of our board of directors under the Amended and Restated Equity Plan with a grant date fair value of approximately \$0.8 million. The common shares were issued in consideration of the directors' annual board retainer fee and were vested upon issuance.

In 2013, we granted 247,181 Performance-based RSUs and 382,452 Time-based RSUs to certain of our employees. The Performance-based RSUs were to vest based on the achievement of a specified target level of pre-tax income for the period beginning on December 30, 2012 and ending on the last day of our 2015 fiscal year. The performance targets established for the Performance-based RSUs were not met at the end of our 2015 fiscal year, and as a result, those awards did not vest. The Time-based RSUs and the stock options granted in 2013 vested on the last day of our 2015 fiscal year.

During the year ended January 2, 2016, Performance-based RSU and Time-based RSU activity was as follows:

	Number of Performance- based RSUs <i>(in thousands)</i>	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Number of Time-based RSUs <i>(in thousands)</i>	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Balance at January 3, 2015	1,782	\$ 7.01	664	\$ 8.63
Awarded	320	9.22	213	9.22
Awarded in connection with modification	55	7.90		
Issued	(255)	6.87	(10)	8.60
Forfeited	(24)	8.61	(40)	8.67

Outstanding at January 2, 2016	1,878	\$	7.41	827	\$	8.78
Vested or expected to vest at January 2, 2016	1,878	\$	7.41	827	\$	8.78

Employee Share Purchase Plan

On March 9, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors authorized and approved the Cott Corporation Employee Share Purchase Plan (the "ESPP"), which was approved by Cott's shareowners on May 5, 2015. The ESPP was effective October 1, 2015 and qualifies as an employee share purchase plan under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 ("IRC"), as amended. Substantially all employees are eligible to participate in the ESPP and may elect to participate at the beginning of any quarterly offering period. The ESPP authorizes the issuance, and the purchase by eligible employees, of up to 3,000,000 shares of Cott common shares through payroll deductions. Eligible employees who choose to participate may purchase Cott common shares at 90% of market value on the first or last day of the quarterly offering period, whichever is lower. The minimum contribution which an eligible employee may make under the ESPP is 1% of the employee's eligible compensation, with the maximum contribution limited to 15% of the employee's eligible compensation. At the end of each quarterly offering period for which the employee participates, the total amount of each employee's payroll deduction for that offering period will be used to purchase Cott common shares. The Company recognized \$0.1 million of share-based compensation expense in SG&A expenses in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended January 2, 2016. At January 2, 2016, 2,970,838 shares remained available for issuance under the ESPP.

Table of Contents**Note 8 Net (Loss) Income per Common Share**

Basic net (loss) income per common share is calculated by dividing net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented. Diluted net (loss) income per common share is calculated by dividing diluted net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding adjusted to include the effect, if dilutive, of the exercise of in-the-money stock options, Performance-based RSUs, Time-based RSUs and Convertible Preferred Shares during the periods presented. The dilutive effect of the Convertible Preferred Shares is calculated using the if-converted method. In applying the if-converted method, the convertible shares are assumed to have been converted at the beginning of the period (or at the time of issuance, if later).

Set forth below is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator for the diluted (loss) income per common share computations for the periods indicated:

Numerator

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (3.4)	\$ 10.0	\$ 17.0
Plus:			
Accumulated dividends on convertible preferred shares ¹		0.6	
Diluted net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (3.4)	\$ 10.6	\$ 17.0

Denominator

(in thousands)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic	103,037	93,777	94,750
Dilutive effect of stock options		83	55
Dilutive effect of Performance-based RSUs		325	303
Dilutive effect of Time-based RSUs		619	525
Dilutive effect of Convertible Preferred Shares ¹		1,096	
Adjusted weighted average number of shares outstanding - diluted	103,037	95,900	95,633

- ¹ For the year ended January 3, 2015, the accumulated dividends on Convertible Preferred Shares were added back to the numerator to calculate diluted net income per common share because the Convertible Preferred Shares were assumed to have been converted at the time of issuance even though they were not actually convertible until

three years after issuance.

The following table summarizes anti-dilutive securities excluded from the computation of diluted (loss) income per common share for the periods indicated:

(in thousands)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Stock options	1,757	833	442
Performance-based RSUs ¹	1,631		
Time-based RSUs	827		

1. Performance-based RSUs represent the number of shares expected to be issued based on the estimated achievement of pre-tax income for these awards.

F-32

Table of Contents**Note 9 Segment Reporting**

Our broad portfolio of products include bottled water, coffee, brewed tea, water dispensers, coffee and tea brewers, filtration equipment, CSDs, 100% shelf stable juice and juice-based products, clear, still and sparkling flavored waters, energy drinks and shots, sports products, new age beverages, ready-to-drink teas, liquid enhancers, freezables, ready-to-drink alcoholic beverages, hot chocolate, coffee, malt drinks, creamers/whiteners, cereals and beverage concentrates.

During 2015, our business operated through four reporting segments: DSS, Cott North America, Cott U.K. and All Other (which includes our Mexico operating segment, RCI operating segment and other miscellaneous expenses). We refer to our Cott North America, Cott U.K. and All Other reporting segments together as our traditional business. Our Corporate function is not treated as a segment; it includes certain general and administrative costs that are not allocated to any of the reporting segments.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016					Total
	Cott North America	DSS	Cott U.K.	All Other	Corporate Eliminations	
Revenue, net ¹	\$ 1,330.9	\$ 1,021.1	\$ 557.0	\$ 57.6	\$ (22.6)	2,944.0
Depreciation and amortization	79.6	119.9	22.7	1.6		223.8
Operating income (loss)	38.5	39.0	28.0	10.5	(16.6)	99.4
Property, plant & equipment, net	293.4	372.6	97.6	6.2		769.8
Goodwill	120.0	579.1	56.0	4.5		759.6
Intangibles and other assets, net	222.4	402.5	86.8			711.7
Total assets ²	943.1	1,513.1	402.5	28.6		2,887.3
Additions to property, plant & equipment	30.9	67.2	11.6	1.1		110.8

1. Intersegment revenue between Cott North America and the other reporting segments was \$22.6 million for the year ended January 2, 2016.

2. Excludes intersegment receivables, investments and notes receivable.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 3, 2015					Total
	Cott North America	DSS	Cott U.K.	All Other	Corporate Eliminations	
Revenue, net ¹	\$ 1,433.5	\$ 28.7	\$ 597.9	\$ 65.0	\$ (22.3)	2,102.8
Depreciation and amortization	82.1	5.2	21.7	1.7		110.7
Operating income (loss)	29.7	(1.7)	26.3	10.0	(48.6)	15.7
Property, plant & equipment, net	331.9	415.4	109.9	7.3		864.5
Goodwill	123.7	556.9	58.5	4.5		743.6
Intangibles and other assets, net	243.1	415.5	99.2	0.2		758.0
Total assets ²	1,048.4	1,567.6	426.8	30.4		3,073.2
	29.2	3.4	13.3	0.8		46.7

Additions to property, plant &
equipment

1. Intersegment revenue between Cott North America and the other reporting segments was \$22.3 million for the year ended January 3, 2015.
2. Excludes intersegment receivables, investments and notes receivable.

F-33

Table of Contents

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	December 28, 2013				
	Cott North America	Cott U.K.	All Other	Corporate Eliminations	Total
Revenue, net ¹	\$ 1,556.2	\$ 494.3	\$ 64.5	\$ (21.0)	2,094.0
Depreciation and amortization	84.2	14.2	2.2		100.6
Operating income (loss)	67.1	25.6	7.2	(11.7)	88.2
Property, plant & equipment, net	360.1	111.0	9.4		480.5
Goodwill	125.9	8.8	4.5		139.2
Intangibles and other assets, net	262.6	27.7	0.3		290.6
Total assets ²	1,075.2	296.3	39.2		1,410.7
Additions to property, plant & equipment	41.6	12.4	1.3		55.3

1. Intersegment revenue between Cott North America and the other reporting segments was \$21.0 million for the year ended December 28, 2013.

2. Excludes intersegment receivables, investments and notes receivable.

For the year ended January 2, 2016, sales to Walmart accounted for 18.0% of our total revenue (2014 26.1%; 2013 30.1%), 33.2% of our Cott North America reporting segment revenue (2014 33.3%; 2013 36.1%), 11.5% of our Cott U.K. reporting segment revenue (2014 12.7%; 2013 14.8%), 3.7% of our All Other reporting segment revenue (2014 3.8%; 2013 3.9%) and 2.2% of our DSS reporting segment revenue (2014 2.7%).

Credit risk arises from the potential default of a customer in meeting its financial obligations to us. Concentrations of credit exposure may arise with a group of customers that have similar economic characteristics or that are located in the same geographic region. The ability of such customers to meet obligations would be similarly affected by changing economic, political or other conditions. We are not currently aware of any facts that would create a material credit risk.

Revenues for our DSS reporting segment from sales to external customers were generated exclusively in the United States. In our other reporting segments, revenues attributed to external customers located outside of Canada are displayed separately within the Cott U.K. and All Other reporting segments above, with the exception of revenues attributed to external customers located in the United States, which are reported within the Cott North America reporting segment. Revenues generated from sales to external customers in the United States for the Cott North America reporting segment were as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
United States	\$ 2,198.0	\$ 1,259.7	\$ 1,348.0
Total	\$ 2,198.0	\$ 1,259.7	\$ 1,348.0

Revenues by channel by reporting segment were as follows:

For the Year Ended January 2, 2016

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Cott North America	DSS	Cott U.K.	All Other	Eliminations	Total
<i>Revenue</i>						
Private label retail	\$ 1,075.9	\$ 65.3	\$ 262.3	\$ 4.5	\$ (1.6)	\$ 1,406.4
Branded retail	114.9	84.1	169.8	4.1	(1.5)	371.4
Contract packaging	111.8		114.0	22.2	(6.5)	241.5
Home and office bottled water delivery		651.3				651.3
Office coffee services		121.3				121.3
Concentrate and other	28.3	99.1	10.9	26.8	(13.0)	152.1
Total	\$ 1,330.9	\$ 1,021.1	\$ 557.0	\$ 57.6	\$ (22.6)	\$ 2,944.0

Table of Contents**For the Year Ended January 3, 2015**

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Cott North America	DSS	Cott U.K.	All Other	Eliminations	Total
<i>Revenue</i>						
Private label retail	\$ 1,206.4	\$ 2.1	\$ 296.7	\$ 7.4	\$ (1.2)	\$ 1,511.4
Branded retail	108.4	2.6	173.7	4.5	(1.6)	287.6
Contract packaging	86.9		120.8	24.6	(6.7)	225.6
Home and office bottled water delivery		12.2				12.2
Office coffee services		4.3				4.3
Concentrate and other	31.8	7.5	6.7	28.5	(12.8)	61.7
Total	\$ 1,433.5	\$ 28.7	\$ 597.9	\$ 65.0	\$ (22.3)	\$ 2,102.8

For the Year Ended December 28, 2013

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Cott North America	Cott U.K.	All Other	Eliminations	Total
<i>Revenue</i>					
Private label retail	\$ 1,364.1	\$ 283.4	\$ 7.6	\$ (0.2)	\$ 1,654.9
Branded retail	114.0	111.6	5.4	(0.4)	230.6
Contract packaging	54.1	97.1	24.3	(7.1)	168.4
Home and office bottled water delivery					
Office coffee services					
Concentrate and other	24.0	2.2	27.2	(13.3)	40.1
Total	\$ 1,556.2	\$ 494.3	\$ 64.5	\$ (21.0)	\$ 2,094.0

Property, plant & equipment, net by geographic area as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015 were as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015
North America	\$ 666.0	\$ 747.3
United Kingdom	97.6	109.9
All Other	6.2	7.3
Total	\$ 769.8	\$ 864.5

Table of Contents**Note 10 Accounts Receivable, Net**

The following table summarizes accounts receivable, net as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015
Trade receivables	\$ 285.5	\$ 299.8
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(9.2)	(6.5)
Other	17.0	12.4
Total	\$ 293.3	\$ 305.7

Note 11 Inventories

The following table summarizes inventories as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015
Raw materials	\$ 95.3	\$ 105.8
Finished goods ¹	118.4	118.4
Resale items	15.8	17.4
Other	19.9	20.8
Total	\$ 249.4	\$ 262.4

- ¹ DSS finished goods inventory of \$8.9 million were reclassified to property plant & equipment, net as of January 3, 2015 (see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements) to be consistent with Cott's accounting treatment.

Note 12 Property, Plant & Equipment, Net

The following table summarizes property, plant and equipment, net as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Estimated Useful Life in Years	January 2, 2016			January 3, 2015		
		Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net	Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net
Land	n/a	\$ 86.6		\$ 86.6	\$ 101.0	\$	\$ 101.0
Buildings	10-40	207.4	74.7	132.7	220.8	73.7	147.1
Machinery and equipment	7-15	759.3	442.0	317.3	759.8	402.8	357.0
Plates, films and molds	1-10	19.2	11.5	7.7	21.5	13.2	8.3

Edgar Filing: COTT CORP /CN/ - Form 10-K

Vending	5-10	10.4	10.2	0.2	11.2	10.8	0.4
Vehicles and transportation equipment	3-15	70.2	17.6	52.6	64.3	1.3	63.0
Leasehold improvements ¹		50.6	32.0	18.6	46.5	26.9	19.6
IT Systems	3-7	14.4	8.4	6.0	13.4	7.1	6.3
Furniture and fixtures	3-10	10.1	5.7	4.4	9.2	5.9	3.3
Customer equipment ²	3-7	144.4	31.5	112.9	129.4	1.5	127.9
Returnable bottles ³	3	32.7	8.8	23.9	23.2	0.4	22.8
Capital leases ⁴		13.7	6.8	6.9	14.4	6.6	7.8
Total		\$ 1,419.0	\$ 649.2	\$ 769.8	\$ 1,414.7	\$ 550.2	\$ 864.5

1. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the remaining life of the lease or remaining useful life, whichever is shorter.
2. Customer equipment consists of coolers, brewers, refrigerators, water purification devices and storage racks held on site at DSS customer locations.
3. Returnable bottles are those bottles on site at DSS customer locations.
4. Our recorded assets under capital leases relate primarily to buildings and machinery and equipment.

F-36

Table of Contents

Depreciation expense, which includes depreciation recorded for assets under capital leases, for the year ended January 2, 2016 was \$147.3 million (\$74.7 million January 3, 2015; \$69.2 million December 28, 2013).

Note 13 Intangibles and Other Assets

The following table summarizes intangibles and other assets as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016			January 3, 2015		
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Intangibles						
<i>Not subject to amortization</i>						
Rights ¹	\$ 45.0		\$ 45.0	\$ 45.0	\$	\$ 45.0
DSS Trademarks	183.1		183.1	183.1		183.1
Total intangibles not subject to amortization	228.1		228.1	228.1		228.1
<i>Subject to amortization</i>						
Customer relationships	663.9	241.0	422.9	646.2	174.6	471.6
Trademarks	33.0	28.1	4.9	33.5	26.9	6.6
Information technology	54.0	29.1	24.9	53.3	25.7	27.6
Other	7.8	4.5	3.3	7.6	3.6	4.0
Total intangibles subject to amortization	758.7	302.7	456.0	740.6	230.8	509.8
Total Intangibles	986.8	302.7	684.1	968.7	230.8	737.9
Other Assets						
Financing costs	12.6	8.5	4.1	12.7	7.5	5.2
Deposits	10.3	0.4	9.9	7.8		7.8
Other	15.2	1.6	13.6	8.4	1.3	7.1
Total Other Assets	38.1	10.5	27.6	28.9	8.8	20.1
Total Intangibles & Other Assets	\$ 1,024.9	\$ 313.2	\$ 711.7	\$ 997.6	\$ 239.6	\$ 758.0

1. Relates to the 2001 acquisition of intellectual property from Royal Crown Company, Inc., including the right to manufacture our concentrates, with all related inventions, processes, technologies, technical and manufacturing information, know-how and the use of the Royal Crown brand outside of North America and Mexico.

Amortization expense of intangible and other assets was \$81.3 million during 2015 (\$38.5 million January 3, 2015; \$34.2 million December 28, 2013). Amortization of intangibles includes \$6.5 million (\$3.2 million January 3, 2015; \$2.9 million December 28, 2013) relating to information technology assets and \$4.8 million (\$2.5 million January 3, 2015; \$2.8 million December 28, 2013) relating to deferred financing assets.

Table of Contents

The estimated amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization over the next five years is:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	
2016	\$ 71.3
2017	61.6
2018	54.8
2019	46.5
2020	40.4
Thereafter	181.4
Total	\$ 456.0

Note 14 Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

The following table summarizes accounts payable and accrued liabilities as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015
Trade payables	\$ 227.2	\$ 231.7
Accrued compensation	49.8	44.0
Accrued sales incentives	25.2	31.5
Accrued interest	12.2	4.2
Payroll, sales and other taxes	13.3	17.8
Accrued deposits	28.6	30.6
Other accrued liabilities	81.3	60.2
Total	\$ 437.6	\$ 420.0

Note 15 Debt

Our total debt as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015 was as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016 Unamortized Debt Issuance			January 3, 2015 Unamortized Debt Issuance		
	Principal	Costs	Net	Principal	Costs	Net
6.750% senior notes due in 2020	\$ 625.0	\$ 12.0	\$ 613.0	\$ 625.0	\$ 14.2	\$ 610.8
10.000% senior notes due in 2021 ¹	390.1		390.1	405.6		405.6
5.375% senior notes due in 2022	525.0	8.2	516.8	525.0	8.8	516.2
ABL facility	122.0		122.0	229.0		229.0
GE Term Loan	6.4	0.4	6.0	8.2	0.7	7.5
Capital leases and other debt financing	2.9		2.9	5.2		5.2

Total debt	1,671.4	20.6	1,650.8	1,798.0	23.7	1,774.3
Less: Short-term borrowings and current debt:						
ABL facility	122.0		122.0	229.0		229.0
Total short-term borrowings	122.0		122.0	229.0		229.0
GE Term Loan - current maturities	2.2		2.2	2.0		2.0
Capital leases and other debt financing - current maturities	1.2		1.2	2.0		2.0
Total current debt	125.4		125.4	233.0		233.0
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,546.0	\$ 20.6	\$ 1,525.4	\$ 1,565.0	\$ 23.7	\$ 1,541.3

- The outstanding aggregate principal amount of the DSS Notes of \$350.0 million was assumed by Cott at fair value of \$406.0 million in connection with the DSS Acquisition. The premium of \$56.0 million is being amortized as an adjustment to interest expense using the effective interest method over the remaining contractual term of the DSS Notes. The effective interest rate is 7.515%.

Table of Contents

The long-term debt payments (which include current maturities of long-term debt) required in each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Long Term Debt (incl. current)
2016	\$ 125.4
2017	2.9
2018	2.3
2019	0.2
2020	625.2
Thereafter	875.3
	\$ 1,631.3

Asset-Based Lending Facility

In March 2008, we entered into a credit agreement with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Agent that created the ABL facility to provide financing for our Cott North America, Cott U.K. and Mexico operations. We have amended and refinanced the ABL facility from time to time and incurred financing fees in connection therewith, an aggregate of \$9.0 million of which have been capitalized and deferred and are being amortized using the straight-line method over the duration of the amended ABL facility.

On December 12, 2014, in connection with the DSS Acquisition, we amended the ABL facility to, among other things, (1) provide for an increase in the lenders' commitments under the ABL facility to \$400.0 million (which, with the accordion feature, if used, permits us to increase the lenders' commitments under the ABL facility to \$450.0 million, subject to certain conditions), (2) extend the maturity date to the earliest of (i) December 12, 2019, (ii) June 12, 2019, if we have not redeemed, repurchased or refinanced the 2020 Notes (described below) by May 28, 2019, or (iii) any earlier date on which the commitments under the ABL facility are reduced to zero or otherwise terminated, (3) include DSS and its subsidiaries as borrowers, (4) permit certain adjustments to the borrowing base calculation, (5) permit the debt, liens and intercreditor arrangements contemplated by the supplemental indenture entered into in connection with the DSS Notes (described below), (6) permit certain other indebtedness that we intend to issue or assume in connection with the DSS Acquisition, (7) permit certain other changes to dollar thresholds and limitations within our covenants generally reflecting the increased size of the facility. We incurred approximately \$1.7 million of financing fees in connection with the amendment of the ABL facility.

As of January 2, 2016, our total availability under the ABL facility was \$325.1 million, which was based on our borrowing base (accounts receivables, inventory, and fixed assets) as of January 15, 2016 (the December month-end under the terms of the credit agreement governing our ABL facility). We had \$122.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the ABL facility and \$45.6 million in outstanding letters of credit. As a result, our aggregate availability under the ABL facility was \$157.5 million. In connection with the DSS Acquisition, \$29.4 million was required to cash collateralize certain DSS self-insurance programs. The \$29.4 million was funded with borrowings against our ABL facility, and the cash collateral was included within prepaid and other current assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at January 3, 2015. Subsequent to January 3, 2015 additional letters of credit were issued from our available ABL facility capacity, and the cash collateral was returned to the Company, which was used to repay a portion of our outstanding ABL facility.

The commitment fee was 0.375% per annum of the unused commitment of \$232.4 million, which was based on our total ABL facility commitment of \$400.0 million excluding outstanding borrowings and outstanding letters of credit. Each month's borrowing base is not effective until submitted to the lenders, which usually occurs on the fifteenth day of the following month.

The weighted average effective interest rate at January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015 on our outstanding LIBOR and Prime loans was 2.2%. The effective interest rates are based on our consolidated leverage ratio.

Table of Contents*5.375% Senior Notes due in 2022*

On June 24, 2014, we issued \$525.0 million of our 5.375% senior notes due 2022 to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). The issuer of the notes is our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary Cott Beverages Inc. (CBI), and we and most of our U.S., Canadian and U.K. subsidiaries guarantee the obligations. The interest on the notes is payable semi-annually on January 1st and July 1st of each year. On May 13, 2015, we exchanged the notes for notes that are registered under the Securities Act and do not contain transfer restrictions, registration rights or additional interest provisions, but otherwise contain identical economic terms (the 2022 Notes).

We incurred \$9.6 million of financing fees in connection with the issuance of the 2022 Notes. The financing fees are being amortized using the effective interest method over an eight-year period, which represents the term to maturity of the 2022 Notes.

10.000% Senior Notes due in 2021

On August 30, 2013, DS Services of America, Inc. (formerly DS Waters of America, Inc.) issued \$350.0 million of senior secured notes to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulations S under the Securities Act. In July 2014, the notes were exchanged for notes that are registered under the Securities Act and do not contain transfer restrictions, registration rights, or additional interest provisions, but otherwise contain identical economic terms (the DSS Notes). The interest on the DSS Notes is payable semi-annually on March 1st and September 1st of each year. In connection with the DSS Acquisition, DSS solicited and obtained consent from the holders of the DSS Notes to certain modifications and amendments to the indenture and related security documents, and payment of approximately \$19.2 million was made. At the DSS Acquisition closing, we and most of our U.S., Canadian and U.K. subsidiaries executed a supplemental indenture to be added as guarantors to the DSS Notes.

The DSS Notes were recorded at their fair value of \$406.0 million as part of the DSS Acquisition. The difference between the fair value and the principal amount of \$350.0 million is amortized as a component of interest expense over the remaining contractual term of the DSS Notes. We incurred approximately \$26.5 million of consent solicitation fees and bridge financing commitment fees. These costs are included in the SG&A expenses of our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

6.750% Senior Notes due in 2020

On December 12, 2014, we issued the \$625.0 million of 6.75% senior notes due January 1, 2020 to qualified purchasers in a private placement under Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act. The issuer of the notes is our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary CBI, and we and most of our U.S., Canadian and U.K. subsidiaries guarantee the obligations. The interest on the notes is payable semi-annually on January 1st and July 1st of each year. On July 14, 2015, we exchanged the notes for notes that are registered under the Securities Act and do not contain transfer restrictions, registration rights or additional interest provisions, but otherwise contain identical economic terms (the 2020 Notes).

We incurred \$14.4 million of financing fees in connection with the issuance of the 2020 Notes. The financing fees are being amortized using the effective interest method over a five-year period, which represents the term to maturity of the 2020 Notes.

8.125% Senior Notes due in 2018

On August 17, 2010, we issued \$375.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 8.125% senior notes due 2018 (the 2018 Notes). The issuer of the 2018 Notes was our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary CBI, and we and most of our U.S., Canadian and U.K. subsidiaries guaranteed the 2018 Notes. We incurred \$8.6 million of financing fees in connection with the issuance of the 2018 Notes.

On June 24, 2014, we used a portion of the proceeds from our issuance of the 2022 Notes to purchase \$295.9 million aggregate principal amount of our 2018 Notes in a cash tender offer. The tender offer included approximately \$16.2 million in premium payments as well as accrued interest of \$7.5 million, the write off of approximately \$3.0 million in deferred financing fees, and other costs of approximately \$0.2 million.

On July 9, 2014 and July 24, 2014, we redeemed the remaining \$79.1 million aggregate principal amount of our 2018 Notes. The redemption included approximately \$3.8 million in premium payments as well as accrued interest of approximately \$2.5 million and the write off of approximately \$0.8 million in deferred financing fees.

Table of Contents*8.375% Senior Notes due in 2017*

On November 13, 2009, we issued \$215.0 million of our 8.375% senior notes due 2017 (the 2017 Notes). The 2017 Notes were issued at a \$3.1 million discount by our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary CBI, and we and most of our U.S., Canadian and U.K. subsidiaries guaranteed the 2017 Notes. We incurred \$5.1 million of financing fees in connection with the 2017 Notes.

On November 15, 2013, we redeemed \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2017 Notes at 104.118% of par. The redemption included approximately \$8.2 million in premium payments, the write off of approximately \$4.0 million in deferred financing fees and discount charges, and other costs of approximately \$0.5 million.

On February 19, 2014, we redeemed the remaining \$15.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2017 Notes at 104.118% of par. The redemption included approximately \$0.6 million in premium payments as well as the write off of approximately \$0.3 million in deferred financing fees and discount charges.

GE Term Loan

In January 2008, we entered into a capital lease finance arrangement with General Electric Capital Corporation (GE Capital) for the lease of equipment. In September 2013, we purchased the equipment subject to the lease for an aggregate purchase price of \$10.7 million, with the financing for such purchase provided by GE Capital at 5.23% interest. The GE term loan is expected to be paid in full in September 2018.

Covenant Compliance*Indentures governing 2022 Notes, DSS Notes and 2020 Notes*

Under the indenture governing the 2022 Notes, DSS Notes and 2020 Notes, we are subject to a number of covenants, including covenants that limit our and certain of our subsidiaries' ability, subject to certain exceptions and qualifications, to (i) pay dividends or make distributions, repurchase equity securities, prepay subordinated debt or make certain investments, (ii) incur additional debt or issue certain disqualified stock or preferred stock, (iii) create or incur liens on assets securing indebtedness, (iv) merge or consolidate with another company or sell all or substantially all of our assets taken as a whole, (v) enter into transactions with affiliates and (vi) sell assets. As of January 2, 2016, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under each series of notes. There have been no amendments to any covenants of the 2022 Notes, the DSS Notes or the 2020 Notes, since the date of their issuance or assumption, as applicable.

ABL Facility

Under the credit agreement governing the ABL facility, Cott and its restricted subsidiaries are subject to a number of business and financial covenants, including a covenant requiring a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.1 to 1.0 effective when and if aggregate availability is less than the greater of 10% of the lenders' commitments under the ABL facility or \$40.0 million. If excess availability is less than the greater of 12.5% of the aggregate availability under the ABL facility or \$50.0 million, the lenders will take dominion over the cash and will apply excess cash to reduce amounts owing under the facility. We were in compliance with all of the applicable covenants under the ABL facility as of January 2, 2016.

Note 16 Retirement Plans

Cott primarily maintains defined contribution retirement plans covering qualifying employees. The total expense with respect to these plans was \$9.4 million for the year ended January 2, 2016 (\$4.1 million January 3, 2015; \$4.8 million December 28, 2013).

We also maintain four defined benefit (DB) plans acquired as a part of prior acquisitions. One DB plan covers certain employees at one plant in the United States under a collective bargaining agreement. In connection with the DSS Acquisition, we assumed the obligations associated with a DB plan covering certain employees of DS Services of America, Inc. These two DB plans are referred to collectively as the U.S. Plans. DB plans covering certain employees of Cott Beverages Limited and Cooke Bros. Limited in the United Kingdom are referred to collectively as the U.K. Plans. Retirement benefits for employees covered by the U.S. Plans and U.K. Plans are based on years of service multiplied by a monthly benefit factor. Pension costs are funded in accordance with the provisions of the applicable law. All DB plans are closed to new participants. All DB plans are frozen. The plan covering certain employees of Cott Beverages Limited was frozen during the year ended January 3, 2015. We use a January 2, 2016 measurement date for all DB plans. Any valuation differences based on one day of trading are deemed immaterial.

Table of Contents*Obligations and Funded Status*

The following table summarizes the change in the projected benefit obligation, change in plan assets and unfunded status of the four DB plans as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015
<u>Change in Projected Benefit Obligation</u>		
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 77.9	\$ 62.5
Transfer in		10.5
Service cost		0.2
Interest cost	2.8	2.7
Benefit payments	(1.7)	(1.9)
Actuarial (gains) losses	(5.5)	8.5
Settlement losses		0.1
Curtailement gains		(0.9)
Translation gains	(2.8)	(3.8)
Projected benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 70.7	\$ 77.9
<u>Change in Plan Assets</u>		
Plan assets beginning of year	\$ 59.1	\$ 49.6
Transfer in		7.1
Employer contributions	3.0	2.2
Benefit payments	(1.6)	(1.8)
Actual return on plan assets	(0.4)	4.7
Translation gains	(2.2)	(2.7)
Fair value at end of year	\$ 57.9	\$ 59.1
<u>Funded Status of Plan</u>		
Projected benefit obligation	\$ (70.7)	\$ (77.9)
Fair value of plan assets	57.9	59.1
Unfunded status	\$ (12.8)	\$ (18.8)

The accumulated benefit obligation for the DB plans equaled \$70.7 million and \$77.9 million at the end of 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents*Periodic Pension Costs*

The components of net periodic pension cost were as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Service cost	\$	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.5
Interest cost	2.8	2.7	2.4
Expected return on plan assets	(3.2)	(3.0)	(2.4)
Amortization of prior service costs	0.1	0.1	0.1
Amortization of net actuarial loss	0.4	0.3	0.3
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.9

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, at year-end which have not yet been recognized in net periodic benefit cost were as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
Unamortized prior service cost	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.2)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	(10.0)	(12.3)	(8.2)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (10.1)	\$ (12.4)	\$ (8.4)

Actuarial Assumptions

The following table summarizes the weighted average actuarial assumptions used to determine the projected benefit obligation:

	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
U.K. Plans			
Discount rate	3.9%	3.6%	4.5%
Rate of compensation increase	n/a	n/a	3.4% ¹
CPI Inflation factor	2.0%	1.9%	2.4%
U.S. Plans			
Discount rate	4.0%	3.9%	4.4%

Rate of compensation increase	n/a	n/a	n/a
-------------------------------	-----	-----	-----

1. Applicable to the plan covering certain employees of Cott Beverages Limited. This plan closed to future benefit accruals during the year ended January 3, 2015, which resulted in a curtailment gain. As a result, no assumption for rate of compensation increase was necessary in estimating the projected benefit obligation at January 3, 2015.

F-43

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the weighted average actuarial assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost:

	For the Year Ended		
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	December 28, 2013
<u>U.K. Plans</u>			
Discount rate	3.8%	4.5%	4.6%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	5.2%	6.2%	5.7%
Inflation factor	1.9%	2.4%	2.5%
<u>U.S. Plans</u>			
Discount rate	3.9%	4.2%	3.5%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	7.2%	7.2%	7.0%

The Company utilizes a yield curve analysis to determine the discount rates for its DB plan obligations. The yield curve considers pricing and yield information for high quality corporate bonds with maturities matched to estimated payouts of future pension benefits. The Company evaluates its assumption regarding the estimated long-term rate of return on plan assets based on historical experience, future expectations of investment returns, asset allocations, and its investment strategy. The Company's long-term rate of return on plan assets reflect expectations of projected weighted average market returns of plan assets. Changes in expected returns on plan assets also reflect any adjustments to the Company's targeted asset allocation.

Asset Mix

Our DB plans weighted-average asset allocations by asset category were as follows:

	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015
Cash and cash equivalents	4.4%	3.2%
Equity securities	48.0%	57.6%
Fixed income investments	47.6%	39.2%

Plan Assets

Our investment policy is that plan assets will be managed utilizing an investment philosophy and approach characterized by all of the following, but listed in priority order: (1) emphasis on total return, (2) emphasis on high-quality securities, (3) sufficient income and stability of income, (4) safety of principal with limited volatility of capital through proper diversification and (5) sufficient liquidity. (The target allocation percentages for the U.K. Plans assets range between 60% to 80% in equity securities and 20% to 40% in fixed income investments. The target allocation percentages for the U.S. Plans assets range between 45% to 55% in equity securities, 35% to 45% in fixed income investments, and 5% to 15% in extended asset class investments. None of our equity or debt securities are included in plan assets.)

Cash Flows

We expect to contribute \$2.7 million to the DB plans during the 2016 fiscal year.

The following benefit payments are expected to be paid in the periods indicated below:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

Expected benefit payments

FY 2016	\$ 1.8
FY 2017	1.9
FY 2018	2.0
FY 2019	1.9
FY 2020	2.0
through FY 2021	11.0

F-44

Table of Contents

The fair values of the Company's pension plan assets at January 2, 2016 were as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<u>Cash and cash equivalents:</u>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2.5	\$	\$
<u>Equities:</u>			
International mutual funds	5.3	0.9	
U.S. mutual funds	1.9	3.6	
Balanced	15.3	0.4	
Property	0.3		
Other	0.1		
<u>Fixed income:</u>			
Mutual funds	22.9	2.9	
Insurance contract		1.8	
Total	\$ 48.3	\$ 9.6	\$

The fair values of the Company's pension plan assets at January 3, 2015 were as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 3, 2015		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<u>Cash and cash equivalents:</u>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1.9	\$	\$
<u>Equities:</u>			
International mutual funds	5.4	1.0	
Index mutual funds	6.8		
U.S. mutual funds	1.4	3.5	
Balanced	15.4	0.4	
Property	0.1		
Other	0.1		
<u>Fixed income:</u>			
Mutual funds	18.0	3.2	
Insurance contract		1.9	
Total	\$ 49.1	\$ 10.0	\$

Note 17 Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Changes in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income (AOCI) by component for the year ended January 2, 2016 were as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars) ¹	January 2, 2016			
	Gains and Losses on Derivative Instruments	Pension Benefit Plan Items	Currency Translation Adjustment Items	Total
Beginning balance January 3, 2015	\$ 0.2	\$ (12.4)	\$ (38.8)	\$ (51.0)
OCI before reclassifications	(5.6)	1.9	(23.3)	(27.0)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	0.7	0.4		1.1
Net current-period OCI	(4.9)	2.3	(23.3)	(25.9)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from non-controlling interest			0.7	0.7
Ending balance January 2, 2016	\$ (4.7)	\$ (10.1)	\$ (61.4)	\$ (76.2)

^{1.} All amounts are net of tax. Amounts in parenthesis indicate debits.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the amounts reclassified from AOCI for the years ended January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015.

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	For the Year Ended		Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income Is Presented
	January 2, 2016	January 3, 2015	
Details About AOCI Components¹			
Gains and losses on derivative instruments			
Foreign currency and commodity hedges	\$ (1.5)	\$ (1.0)	Cost of sales
	\$ (1.5)	\$ (1.0)	Total before taxes
	0.8	0.3	Tax (expense) or benefit
	\$ (0.7)	\$ (0.7)	Net of tax
Amortization of pension benefit plan items			
Prior service costs ²	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.1)	
Actuarial adjustments ²			
Actuarial (losses)/gains ²	(0.4)	(0.3)	
	(0.5)	(0.4)	Total before taxes
	0.1	0.1	Tax (expense) or benefit
	\$ (0.4)	\$ (0.3)	Net of tax
Total reclassifications for the period	\$ (1.1)	\$ (1.0)	Net of tax

1. Amounts in parenthesis indicate debits.

2. These AOCI components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost.

Note 18 Commitments and Contingencies

We lease buildings, machinery and equipment, computer hardware and furniture and fixtures. All contractual increases and rent free periods included in the lease contract are taken into account when calculating the minimum lease payment and recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Certain leases have renewal periods and contingent rentals, which are not included in the table below. The minimum annual payments under operating leases are as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	
2016	\$ 37.8
2017	35.2
2018	21.0

2019	18.8
2020	15.0
Thereafter	65.0
Total	\$ 192.8

Operating lease expenses were:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	
Year ended January 2, 2016	\$ 48.3
Year ended January 3, 2015	24.8
Year ended December 28, 2013	21.4
Total	\$ 94.5

Operating lease expenses are shown net of sublease income of \$1.1 million for 2015. As of January 2, 2016, we had commitments for capital expenditures of approximately \$7.0 million.

Table of Contents

We are subject to various claims and legal proceedings with respect to matters such as governmental regulations, and other actions arising out of the normal course of business. Management believes that the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flow.

In June 2013, we completed the Calypso Soft Drinks Acquisition, which included deferred payments of approximately \$2.3 million and \$2.5 million paid on the first and second anniversaries of the closing date, respectively.

In March 2014, we had a favorable legal settlement in the amount of \$3.5 million, of which \$3.0 million was collected in April 2014 and \$0.5 million was collected in December 2014.

In May 2014, we completed the Aimia Acquisition, which included deferred consideration of £19.9 million (\$33.5 million), which was paid by us on September 15, 2014 and aggregate contingent consideration of up to £16.0 million (\$23.6 million at exchange rates in effect on January 2, 2016), which is payable upon achievement of certain measures related to Aimia's performance during the twelve months ending July 1, 2016.

We had \$45.6 million in standby letters of credit outstanding as of January 2, 2016 (\$6.9 million January 3, 2015; \$7.5 million December 28, 2013).

We have future purchase obligations of \$223.5 million that consist of commitments for the purchase of inventory, energy transactions, and payments related to professional fees and information technology outsourcing agreements. These obligations represent the minimum contractual obligations expected under the normal course of business.

Note 19 Preferred Shares

As a portion of the consideration in the DSS Acquisition, we issued to certain former security holders of DSS approximately \$116.1 million of Convertible Preferred Shares and approximately \$32.7 million of Non-Convertible Preferred Shares, which shares were redeemable at our option. As of June 11, 2015, all of the outstanding Preferred Shares were redeemed for an aggregate cash payment of \$151.3 million, which included accrued and unpaid dividends of \$2.5 million. The aggregate cash payment was funded primarily through an issuance of our common shares, which generated cash proceeds, net of related issuance expenses, broker commissions, and tax benefit of approximately \$144.6 million. The difference in the U.S. dollar and Canadian dollar exchange rates at issuance of the Preferred Shares compared to those exchange rates in effect at redemption, resulted in an adjustment to retained earnings upon redemption of approximately \$12.0 million.

The following table summarizes the activity in the Convertible Preferred Shares and Non-Convertible Preferred Shares accounts for the year ended January 2, 2016:

	Convertible Preferred Shares		Non-Convertible Preferred Shares	
	Authorized/Outstanding (in thousands)	Amount	Authorized/Outstanding (in thousands)	Amount
Balance at January 3, 2015	116.1	\$ 116.1	32.7	\$ 32.7
Cumulative preferred dividends				
Redemption of preferred shares	(116.1)	(116.1)	(32.7)	(32.7)

Balance at January 2, 2016

\$

\$

F-47

Table of Contents**Dividends**

Holders of Convertible Preferred Shares were entitled to a quarterly fixed cumulative dividend in an amount equal to 9.0% per annum of the redemption value of each Convertible Preferred Share, and such dividend shall increase by 1.0% on each of the first through fifth anniversaries of issuance. Holders of Non-Convertible Preferred Shares were entitled to a quarterly fixed cumulative dividend in an amount equal to 10.0% per annum of the redemption value of each Non-Convertible Preferred Share, and such dividend shall increase by 1.0% on each of the first through fifth anniversaries of issuance. The following table summarizes the Preferred Shares dividend activity for the year ended January 2, 2016:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Convertible Preferred Shares	Non-Convertible Preferred Shares
Cumulative dividends at January 3, 2015	\$	\$
Plus: accrued dividends	4.5	1.4
Less: dividends paid	(4.5)	(1.4)
Cumulative dividends at January 2, 2016	\$	\$

Voting Rights

The Preferred Shares had the right to approve certain actions by us, with each series of Preferred Shares voting separately as a series, as long as the Preferred Shares were outstanding. The Convertible Preferred Shares had the right to vote alongside our common shares with respect to certain matters beginning on June 13, 2016 and unrestricted rights to vote alongside our common shares beginning on December 13, 2017. The Non-Convertible Preferred Shares did not have the right to vote alongside our common shares.

Following redemption, the Preferred Shares were retired by the Company and were cancelled.

Note 20 Hedging Transactions and Derivative Financial Instruments

We are directly and indirectly affected by changes in foreign currency market conditions. These changes in market conditions may adversely impact our financial performance and are referred to as market risks. When deemed appropriate by management, we use derivatives as a risk management tool to mitigate the potential impact of foreign currency market risks.

We use various types of derivative instruments including, but not limited to, forward contracts and swap agreements for certain commodities. Forward contracts are agreements to buy or sell a quantity of a currency at a predetermined future date, and at a predetermined rate or price. A swap agreement is a contract between two parties to exchange cash flows based on specified underlying notional amounts, assets and/or indices.

All derivatives are carried at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in the line item accounts receivable, net or accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The carrying values of the derivatives reflect the impact of legally enforceable agreements with the same counterparties. These allow us to net settle positive and negative positions (assets and liabilities) arising from different transactions with the same counterparty.

The accounting for gains and losses that result from changes in the fair values of derivative instruments depends on whether the derivatives have been designated and qualify as hedging instruments and the types of hedging relationships. Derivatives can be designated as fair value hedges, cash flow hedges or hedges of net investments in foreign operations. The changes in the fair values of derivatives that have been designated and qualify for fair value hedge accounting are recorded in the same line item in our Consolidated Statements of Operations as the changes in the fair value of the hedged items attributable to the risk being hedged. The changes in fair values of derivatives that have been designated and qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded in AOCI and are reclassified into the line item in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in which the hedged items are recorded in the same period the hedged items affect earnings. Due to the high degree of effectiveness between the hedging instruments and the underlying exposures being hedged, fluctuations in the value of the derivative instruments are generally offset by changes in the fair values or cash flows of the underlying exposures being hedged. The changes in fair values of derivatives that were not designated and/or did not qualify as hedging instruments are immediately recognized into earnings. We classify cash inflows and outflows related to derivative and hedging instruments with the appropriate cash flows section associated with the item being hedged.

For derivatives that will be accounted for as hedging instruments, we formally designate and document, at inception, the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure, the risk management objective and the strategy for undertaking the hedge transaction. In addition, we formally assess both at the inception and at least quarterly thereafter, whether the financial instruments used in hedging transactions are effective at offsetting changes in either the fair values or cash flows of the related underlying exposures. Any ineffective portion of a financial instrument's change in fair value is immediately recognized into earnings.

Table of Contents

We estimate the fair values of our derivatives based on quoted market prices or pricing models using current market rates (see Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). The notional amounts of the derivative financial instruments do not necessarily represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a direct measure of our exposure to the financial risks described above. The amounts exchanged are calculated by reference to the notional amounts and by other terms of the derivatives, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates or other financial indices. We do not view the fair values of our derivatives in isolation, but rather in relation to the fair values or cash flows of the underlying hedged transactions. All of our derivatives are over-the-counter instruments with liquid markets.

Credit Risk Associated with Derivatives

We have established strict counterparty credit guidelines and enter into transactions only with financial institutions of investment grade or better. We monitor counterparty exposures regularly and review promptly any downgrade in counterparty credit rating. We mitigate pre-settlement risk by being permitted to net settle for transactions with the same counterparty. To minimize the concentration of credit risk, we enter into derivative transactions with a portfolio of financial institutions. Based on these factors, we consider the risk of counterparty default to be minimal.

Cash Flow Hedging Strategy

We use cash flow hedges to minimize the variability in cash flows of assets or liabilities or forecasted transactions caused by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. The changes in fair values of hedges that are determined to be ineffective are immediately reclassified from AOCI into earnings. We did not discontinue any cash flow hedging relationships during the years ended January 2, 2016 or January 3, 2015, respectively. Foreign exchange contracts typically have maturities of less than twelve months and commodity contracts typically have maturities of less than 27 months. All outstanding hedges as of January 2, 2016 are expected to settle in the next twelve months.

We maintain a foreign currency cash flow hedging program to reduce the risk that our procurement activities will be adversely affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We enter into forward contracts to hedge certain portions of forecasted cash flows denominated in foreign currencies. The total notional values of derivatives that were designated and qualified for our foreign currency cash flow hedging program were \$4.5 million and \$22.5 million as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015, respectively. Approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million of unrealized net of tax gains related to the foreign currency cash flow hedges were included in AOCI as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015, respectively. The hedge ineffectiveness for these cash flow hedging instruments during fiscal 2015, fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 was not material.

We have entered into commodity swaps on aluminum to mitigate the price risk associated with forecasted purchases of materials used in our manufacturing process. These derivative instruments have been designated and qualify as a part of our commodity cash flow hedging program. The objective of this hedging program is to reduce the variability of cash flows associated with future purchases of aluminum. The total notional value of derivatives that were designated and qualified for our commodity cash flow hedging program were \$49.3 million and \$55.4 million as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015, respectively. Approximately \$5.3 million and \$0.7 million of unrealized net of tax losses related to the commodity swaps were included in AOCI as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015, respectively. The cumulative hedge ineffectiveness for these hedging instruments was approximately \$1.2 million in fiscal year 2014 and was recognized as an increase in cost of sales within the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The cumulative hedge ineffectiveness for fiscal years 2015 and 2013 was not material.

The fair value of the Company's derivative assets included within other receivables as a component of accounts receivable, net was \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015, respectively. The fair value of the Company's derivative liabilities included in accrued liabilities was \$8.0 million and \$2.3 million as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015, respectively. A reconciliation of the Company's derivatives by contract type is shown below:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

Derivative Contract	Assets	Liabilities
Foreign currency hedge	\$ 0.6	\$
Aluminum swaps		8.0
	\$ 0.6	\$ 8.0

F-49

Table of Contents

Aluminum swaps subject to enforceable master netting arrangements are presented net in the reconciliation above. The fair value of the aluminum swap assets and liabilities which are shown on a net basis are reconciled in the table below:

	Assets	Liabilities
Aluminum swap assets	\$	\$
Aluminum swap liabilities		8.0
Net asset (liability)	\$	\$ 8.0

The settlement of our derivative instruments resulted in a debit to cost of sales of \$1.5 million for the year ended January 2, 2016, compared to a credit of \$0.2 million for the year ended January 3, 2015.

Note 21 Fair Value Measurements

ASC No. 820 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, the inputs used to measure fair value are prioritized based on a three-level hierarchy. This hierarchy requires entities to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

We have certain assets and liabilities such as our derivative instruments that are required to be recorded at fair value on a recurring basis in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Our derivative assets and liabilities represent Level 2 instruments. Level 2 instruments are valued based on observable inputs for quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets. The fair value of the derivative assets as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015 was \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. The fair value of the derivative liabilities as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015 was \$8.0 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

Fair value of financial instruments

The carrying amounts reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables, short-term borrowings and long-term debt approximate their respective fair values, except as otherwise indicated. The carrying values and estimated fair values of our significant outstanding debt as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015 were as follows:

(in millions of U.S. dollars)	January 2, 2016		January 3, 2015	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
6.750% senior notes due in 2020 ^{1,3}	\$ 613.0	\$ 641.4	\$ 610.8	\$ 630.1
10.000% senior notes due in 2021 ^{1,2}	390.1	397.3	405.6	403.4
5.375% senior notes due in 2022 ^{1,3}	516.8	522.4	516.2	481.7
Total	\$ 1,519.9	\$ 1,561.1	\$ 1,532.6	\$ 1,515.2

1. The fair values were based on the trading levels and bid/offer prices observed by a market participant and are considered Level 1 financial instruments.
2. The outstanding aggregate principal amount of the DSS Notes of \$350.0 million was assumed by Cott at fair value of \$406.0 million in connection with the DSS Acquisition. The premium of \$56.0 million is being amortized as an adjustment to interest expense using the effective interest method over the remaining contractual term of the DSS Notes.
3. Carrying value of our significant outstanding debt is net of unamortized debt issuance costs as of January 2, 2016 and January 3, 2015 (see Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Table of Contents**Fair value of contingent consideration**

We estimated the fair value of the contingent consideration related to the Aimia Acquisition based on financial projections of the acquired business and estimated probabilities of achievement of certain EBITDA targets. The fair value was based on significant inputs not observable in the market and thus represented a Level 3 instrument. Level 3 instruments are valued based on unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and reflect our own assumptions in measuring fair value. The acquisition date fair value of the contingent consideration was determined to be £10.6 million (\$15.6 million at exchange rates in effect on January 2, 2016) using a present valued probability-weighted income approach. During the second quarter of 2015, we recorded a fair value adjustment of £0.4 million (\$0.6 million at exchange rates in effect on July 4, 2015) to the contingent consideration based on review of the key assumptions used to calculate the fair value at the acquisition date. During the fourth quarter of 2015, we recorded a fair value adjustment of £0.1 million (\$0.2 million at exchange rates in effect on January 2, 2016) to the contingent consideration based on review of the key assumptions used to calculate the fair value at the acquisition date. The changes in the fair value adjustment of the contingent consideration were recognized in other (income) expense, net in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended January 2, 2016. The maximum potential payout is £16.0 million (\$23.6 million at exchange rates in effect on January 2, 2016) on an undiscounted basis.

Note 22 Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except per share amounts)	Year ended January 2, 2016				
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter ¹	Total
Revenue, net	\$ 709.8	\$ 779.8	\$ 755.6	\$ 698.8	\$ 2,944.0
Cost of sales	508.5	539.2	523.1	477.7	2,048.5
Gross profit	201.3	240.6	232.5	221.1	895.5
SG&A expenses	188.5	190.2	196.2	193.7	768.6
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment	1.4	0.2	1.1	4.2	6.9
Restructuring					
Asset impairments					
Acquisition and integration expenses	4.7	4.1	6.6	5.2	20.6
Operating income	6.7	46.1	28.6	18.0	99.4
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (6.0)	\$ 2.2	\$ 4.8	\$ (4.4)	\$ (3.4)
Per share data:					
Net (loss) income per common share					
Basic	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.03)
Diluted	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.03)

¹ During the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended January 2, 2016, we decreased cost of sales, SG&A and income tax benefit by \$4.8 million, \$0.2 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, as a result of a measurement period

adjustment associated with the DSS Acquisition, of which \$0.2 million of the total change in cost of sales and less than \$0.1 million of the total change in SG&A expenses and income tax benefit, respectively, related to the prior year and with the remainder related to the nine months ended October 3, 2015 (see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Table of Contents

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except per share amounts)	Year ended January 3, 2015				
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
Revenue, net	\$ 475.1	\$ 549.2	\$ 535.0	\$ 543.5	\$ 2,102.8
Cost of sales	418.9	470.2	465.5	471.7	1,826.3
Gross profit	56.2	79.0	69.5	71.8	276.5
SG&A expenses	46.9	50.7	49.9	66.2	213.7
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant & equipment	0.1	(0.1)	0.4	1.3	1.7
Restructuring	2.2	0.1	0.1		2.4
Asset impairments	1.6	0.3	(0.2)		1.7
Acquisition and integration expenses	1.1	1.8	0.5	37.9	41.3
Operating income (loss)	4.3	26.2	18.8	(33.6)	15.7
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (4.1)	\$ (5.9)	\$ 1.3	\$ 18.7	\$ 10.0
Per share data:					
Net (loss) income per common share					
Basic	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.11
Diluted	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.10

Note 23 Guarantor Subsidiaries**Guarantor Subsidiaries of DSS Notes**

The DSS Notes assumed as part of the DSS Acquisition are guaranteed on a senior secured basis pursuant to guarantees by Cott Corporation and certain other 100% owned direct and indirect subsidiaries (the "DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries"). DSS and each DSS Guarantor Subsidiary is 100% owned by Cott Corporation. The guarantees of the DSS Notes by Cott Corporation and the DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries are full and unconditional, and all such guarantees are joint and several. The guarantees of the DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries are subject to release in limited circumstances only upon the occurrence of certain customary conditions.

We have not presented separate financial statements and separate disclosures have not been provided concerning the DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries due to the presentation of condensed consolidating financial information set forth in this Note, consistent with Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) interpretations governing reporting of subsidiary financial information.

The following summarized condensed consolidating financial information of the Company sets forth on a consolidating basis, our Balance Sheets, Statements of Operations and Cash Flows for Cott Corporation, DSS, the DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries and our other non-guarantor subsidiaries (the "DSS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries"). The supplemental financial information reflects our investments and those of DSS in their respective subsidiaries using the equity method of accounting.

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**

For the year ended January 2, 2016

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

	Cott Corporation	DS Services of America, Inc.	DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries	DSS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Revenue, net	\$ 147.7	\$ 1,021.1	\$ 1,702.6	\$ 131.6	\$ (59.0)	\$ 2,944.0
Cost of sales	124.6	402.8	1,474.7	105.4	(59.0)	2,048.5
Gross profit	23.1	618.3	227.9	26.2		895.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses	23.3	557.3	175.7	12.3		768.6
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	0.1	5.3	1.5			6.9
Acquisition and integration expenses		16.7	3.9			20.6
Operating (loss) income	(0.3)	39.0	46.8	13.9		99.4
Other (income) expense, net	(8.6)	(1.2)	0.2	0.1		(9.5)
Intercompany interest (income) expense, net	(4.9)	43.5	(38.6)			
Interest expense, net	0.2	30.1	80.7			111.0
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit) and equity income	13.0	(33.4)	4.5	13.8		(2.1)
Income tax expense (benefit)	1.6	(8.1)	(16.3)	0.1		(22.7)
Equity income	3.1		5.8		(8.9)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 14.5	\$ (25.3)	\$ 26.6	\$ 13.7	\$ (8.9)	\$ 20.6
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests				6.1		6.1
Less: Accumulated dividends on convertible shares	4.5					4.5
Less: Accumulated dividends on non-convertible shares	1.4					1.4
Less: Foreign exchange impact on redemption of preferred shares	12.0					12.0
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (3.4)	\$ (25.3)	\$ 26.6	\$ 7.6	\$ (8.9)	\$ (3.4)
	\$ (29.3)	\$ (25.6)	\$ 45.6	\$ 11.4	\$ (31.4)	\$ (29.3)

**Comprehensive (loss) income
attributed to Cott
Corporation**

F-53

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations****For the year ended January 3, 2015****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	DS Services of America, Inc.	DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries	DSS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Revenue, net	\$ 166.3	\$ 28.7	\$ 1,819.0	\$ 137.9	\$ (49.1)	\$ 2,102.8
Cost of sales	144.8	15.9	1,600.1	114.6	(49.1)	1,826.3
Gross profit	21.5	12.8	218.9	23.3		276.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses	23.1	14.5	164.1	12.0		213.7
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	0.2	0.1	1.3	0.1		1.7
Restructuring	2.1		0.3			2.4
Asset impairments	0.9		0.8			1.7
Acquisition and integration expenses			41.3			41.3
Operating (loss) income	(4.8)	(1.8)	11.1	11.2		15.7
Other (income) expense, net	(10.9)	(0.1)	31.9	0.1		21.0
Intercompany interest (income) expense, net	(0.7)	2.6	(1.9)			
Interest expense, net	0.2	1.0	38.4	0.1		39.7
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit) and equity income	6.6	(5.3)	(57.3)	11.0		(45.0)
Income tax expense (benefit)	0.3	(2.5)	(59.8)	0.6		(61.4)
Equity income	4.5		6.1		(10.6)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 10.8	\$ (2.8)	\$ 8.6	\$ 10.4	\$ (10.6)	\$ 16.4
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests				5.6		5.6
Less: Accumulated dividends on convertible shares	0.6					0.6
Less: Accumulated dividends on non-convertible shares	0.2					0.2
Net income (loss) attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ 10.0	\$ (2.8)	\$ 8.6	\$ 4.8	\$ (10.6)	\$ 10.0
Comprehensive (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (23.4)	\$ (26.7)	\$ 10.6	\$ 8.5	\$ 7.6	\$ (23.4)

F-54

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

For the year ended December 28, 2013

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

	Cott Corporation	DS Services of America, Inc.	DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries	DSS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Revenue, net	\$ 170.9	\$	\$ 1,802.7	\$ 147.0	\$ (26.6)	\$ 2,094.0
Cost of sales	149.0		1,567.1	129.1	(26.6)	1,818.6
Gross profit	21.9		235.6	17.9		275.4
Selling, general and administrative expenses	28.9		142.3	9.1		180.3
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	0.1		1.6	0.1		1.8
Restructuring	0.5		1.2	0.3		2.0
Acquisition and integration expenses			3.1			3.1
Operating (loss) income	(7.6)		87.4	8.4		88.2
Other expense, net	0.4		12.4			12.8
Interest expense, net			51.5	0.1		51.6
(Loss) income before income tax (benefit) expense and equity income	(8.0)		23.5	8.3		23.8
Income tax (benefit) expense	(0.8)		2.2	0.4		1.8
Equity income	24.2		3.0		(27.2)	
Net income	\$ 17.0	\$	\$ 24.3	\$ 7.9	\$ (27.2)	\$ 22.0
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests				5.0		5.0
Net income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ 17.0	\$	\$ 24.3	\$ 2.9	\$ (27.2)	\$ 17.0
Comprehensive income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ 12.6	\$	\$ 19.0	\$ 5.2	\$ (24.2)	\$ 12.6

Table of Contents**Consolidating Balance Sheet****As of January 2, 2016****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	DS Services of America, Inc.	DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries	DSS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
ASSETS						
<i>Current assets</i>						
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ 20.8	\$ 12.8	\$ 38.4	\$ 5.1	\$	\$ 77.1
Accounts receivable, net of allowance	18.3	122.6	184.6	13.0	(45.2)	293.3
Income taxes recoverable		0.5	0.9	0.2		1.6
Inventories	13.0	31.4	199.4	5.6		249.4
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2.2	4.8	10.0	0.2		17.2
Total current assets	54.3	172.1	433.3	24.1	(45.2)	638.6
Property, plant & equipment, net	29.7	372.6	360.8	6.7		769.8
Goodwill	19.8	579.1	160.7			759.6
Intangibles and other assets, net	0.8	402.5	305.6	2.8		711.7
Deferred tax assets	7.4		38.2	0.2	(38.2)	7.6
Due from affiliates	400.1		544.3		(944.4)	
Investments in subsidiaries	176.3		400.0		(576.3)	
Total assets	\$ 688.4	\$ 1,526.3	\$ 2,242.9	\$ 33.8	\$ (1,604.1)	\$ 2,887.3
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY						
<i>Current liabilities</i>						
Short-term borrowings	\$	\$	\$ 122.0	\$	\$	\$ 122.0
Current maturities of long-term debt			3.0	0.4		3.4
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	47.6	131.8	295.1	8.3	(45.2)	437.6
Total current liabilities	47.6	131.8	420.1	8.7	(45.2)	563.0
Long-term debt		390.1	1,135.3			1,525.4
Deferred tax liabilities		97.7	17.0		(38.2)	76.5
Other long-term liabilities	0.5	36.2	38.7	1.1		76.5
Due to affiliates	1.0	543.3	371.9	28.2	(944.4)	

Edgar Filing: COTT CORP /CN/ - Form 10-K

Total liabilities	49.1	1,199.1	1,983.0	38.0	(1,027.8)	2,241.4
Equity						
Common shares, no par	534.7	355.5	683.1	38.6	(1,077.2)	534.7
Additional paid-in-capital	51.2					51.2
Retained earnings (deficit)	129.6	(28.1)	(437.5)	(58.4)	524.0	129.6
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(76.2)	(0.2)	14.3	9.0	(23.1)	(76.2)
Total Cott Corporation equity	639.3	327.2	259.9	(10.8)	(576.3)	639.3
Non-controlling interests				6.6		6.6
Total equity	639.3	327.2	259.9	(4.2)	(576.3)	645.9
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 688.4	\$ 1,526.3	\$ 2,242.9	\$ 33.8	\$ (1,604.1)	\$ 2,887.3

F-56

Table of Contents**Consolidating Balance Sheet****As of January 3, 2015****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	DS Services of America, Inc.	DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries	DSS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
ASSETS						
<i>Current assets</i>						
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ 6.2	\$ 34.4	\$ 38.2	\$ 7.4	\$	\$ 86.2
Accounts receivable, net of allowance	16.2	105.4	358.8	12.2	(186.9)	305.7
Income taxes recoverable		0.6	0.6	0.4		1.6
Inventories	12.4	34.2	210.3	5.5		262.4
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2.3	5.1	39.8	0.4		47.6
Total current assets	37.1	179.7	647.7	25.9	(186.9)	703.5
Property, plant & equipment, net	38.2	415.5	403.0	7.8		864.5
Goodwill	23.4	556.9	163.3			743.6
Intangibles and other assets, net	0.7	415.6	335.0	6.7		758.0
Deferred tax assets	3.4		36.1		(36.1)	3.4
Other tax receivable	0.1		0.1			0.2
Due from affiliates	183.8		403.0	0.1	(586.9)	
Investments in subsidiaries	436.3		973.1		(1,409.4)	
Total assets	\$ 723.0	\$ 1,567.7	\$ 2,961.3	\$ 40.5	\$ (2,219.3)	\$ 3,073.2
LIABILITIES, PREFERRED SHARES AND EQUITY						
<i>Current liabilities</i>						
Short-term borrowings	\$	\$	\$ 229.0	\$	\$	\$ 229.0
Current maturities of long-term debt	0.1		3.0	0.9		4.0
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	30.4	106.8	461.6	8.1	(186.9)	420.0
Total current liabilities	30.5	106.8	693.6	9.0	(186.9)	653.0
Long-term debt		405.6	1,135.1	0.6		1,541.3
Deferred tax liabilities		124.1	21.4		(36.1)	109.4
Other long-term liabilities	0.4	29.6	40.5	1.3		71.8

Edgar Filing: COTT CORP /CN/ - Form 10-K

Due to affiliates	1.3	548.8	3.9	32.9	(586.9)	
Total liabilities	32.2	1,214.9	1,894.5	43.8	(809.9)	2,375.5
Convertible preferred shares	116.1					116.1
Non-convertible preferred shares	32.7					32.7
Equity						
Common shares, no par	388.3	355.5	1,766.0	39.7	(2,161.2)	388.3
Additional paid-in-capital	46.6					46.6
Retained earnings (deficit)	158.1	(2.8)	(694.5)	(55.1)	752.4	158.1
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(51.0)	0.1	(4.7)	5.2	(0.6)	(51.0)
Total Cott Corporation equity	542.0	352.8	1,066.8	(10.2)	(1,409.4)	542.0
Non-controlling interests				6.9		6.9
Total equity	542.0	352.8	1,066.8	(3.3)	(1,409.4)	548.9
Total liabilities, preferred shares and equity	\$ 723.0	\$ 1,567.7	\$ 2,961.3	\$ 40.5	\$ (2,219.3)	\$ 3,073.2

F-57

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows****For the year ended January 2, 2016****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	DS Services of America, Inc.	DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries	DSS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided by operating activities	56.2	58.4	152.9	17.3	(30.2)	254.6
Investing Activities						
Acquisition, net of cash received		(24.0)				(24.0)
Additions to property, plant & equipment	(2.0)	(67.2)	(40.3)	(1.3)		(110.8)
Additions to intangibles and other assets		(3.1)	(1.5)			(4.6)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant & equipment and sale-leaseback		14.3	26.6			40.9
Other investing activities			(1.2)			(1.2)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2.0)	(80.0)	(16.4)	(1.3)		(99.7)
Financing Activities						
Payments of long-term debt	(0.1)		(2.9)	(0.7)		(3.7)
Borrowings under ABL			994.5			994.5
Payments under ABL			(1,101.8)			(1,101.8)
Distributions to non-controlling interests				(8.5)		(8.5)
Issuance of common shares	143.1					143.1
Financing fees			(0.6)			(0.6)
Preferred shares repurchased and cancelled	(148.8)					(148.8)
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(0.8)					(0.8)
Dividends to common and preferred shareholders	(31.0)					(31.0)
Payment of deferred consideration for acquisitions			(2.5)			(2.5)
Intercompany dividends			(21.4)	(8.8)	30.2	
	(37.6)		(134.7)	(18.0)	30.2	(160.1)

Net cash used in financing activities									
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(2.0)		(1.6)		(0.3)				(3.9)
Net increase (decrease) in cash & cash equivalents	14.6	(21.6)	0.2	(2.3)					(9.1)
Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period	6.2	34.4	38.2	7.4					86.2
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 20.8	\$ 12.8	\$ 38.4	\$ 5.1	\$	\$	\$	\$	77.1

F-58

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows****For the year ended January 3, 2015****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	DS Services of America, Inc.	DSS Guarantor Subsidiaries	DSS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided by operating activities	42.0	9.2	56.6	12.7	(63.8)	56.7
Investing Activities						
Acquisition, net of cash received			(798.5)			(798.5)
Additions to property, plant & equipment	(1.9)	(3.6)	(40.4)	(0.8)		(46.7)
Additions to intangibles and other assets			(6.9)			(6.9)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant & equipment			1.8			1.8
Net cash used in investing activities	(1.9)	(3.6)	(844.0)	(0.8)		(850.3)
Financing Activities						
Payments of long-term debt	(0.1)		(392.4)	(1.1)		(393.6)
Issue of long-term debt			1,150.0			1,150.0
Borrowings under ABL			959.0			959.0
Payments under ABL			(779.6)			(779.6)
Distributions to non-controlling interests				(8.5)		(8.5)
Financing fees			(24.0)			(24.0)
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(12.1)					(12.1)
Dividends to common shareholders	(22.8)					(22.8)
Payment of deferred consideration for acquisitions			(32.4)			(32.4)
Intercompany financing transactions		28.8	(28.8)			
Other financing activities			(0.3)			(0.3)
Intercompany dividends			(63.8)		63.8	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(35.0)	28.8	787.7	(9.6)	63.8	835.7

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(0.4)		(2.3)	(0.4)	(3.1)
Net increase (decrease) in cash & cash equivalents	4.7	34.4	(2.0)	1.9	39.0
Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period	1.5		40.2	5.5	47.2
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 6.2	\$ 34.4	\$ 38.2	\$ 7.4	\$ 86.2

F-59

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows****For the year ended December 28, 2013****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	DS Services of America, Inc.	DSS Services of Guarantor Subsidiaries	DSS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided by operating activities	5.5		168.2	15.2	(34.0)	154.9
Investing Activities						
Acquisition, net of cash received			(11.2)			(11.2)
Additions to property, plant & equipment	(6.8)		(47.2)	(1.3)		(55.3)
Additions to intangibles and other assets			(5.9)			(5.9)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant & equipment				0.2		0.2
Proceeds from insurance recoveries			0.6			0.6
Net cash used in investing activities	(6.8)		(63.7)	(1.1)		(71.6)
Financing Activities						
Payments of long-term debt	(0.1)		(219.9)	(0.8)		(220.8)
Borrowings under ABL			131.9			131.9
Payments under ABL			(82.1)			(82.1)
Distributions to non-controlling interests				(6.6)		(6.6)
Financing fees	(0.1)		(0.7)			(0.8)
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(13.0)					(13.0)
Dividends to common shareholders	(21.9)					(21.9)
Intercompany dividends			(27.1)	(6.9)	34.0	
Net cash used in financing activities	(35.1)		(197.9)	(14.3)	34.0	(213.3)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(1.9)		(0.3)			(2.2)

Net decrease in cash & cash equivalents	(38.3)	(93.7)	(0.2)	(132.2)
Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period	39.8	133.9	5.7	179.4
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1.5	\$ 40.2	\$ 5.5	\$ 47.2

F-60

Table of Contents

Guarantor Subsidiaries of 2020 Notes and 2022 Notes

The 2022 Notes and 2020 Notes, each issued by our 100% owned subsidiary, CBI, are guaranteed on a senior basis pursuant to guarantees by Cott Corporation and certain other 100% owned direct and indirect subsidiaries (the Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries). CBI and each Cott Guarantor Subsidiary is 100% owned by Cott Corporation. The guarantees of the 2022 Notes and the 2020 Notes by Cott Corporation and the Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries are full and unconditional, and all such guarantees are joint and several. The guarantees of the Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries are subject to release in limited circumstances only upon the occurrence of certain customary conditions.

We have not presented separate financial statements and separate disclosures have not been provided concerning the Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries due to the presentation of condensed consolidating financial information set forth in this Note, consistent with SEC interpretations governing reporting of subsidiary financial information.

The following summarized condensed consolidating financial information of the Company sets forth on a consolidating basis, our Balance Sheets, Statements of Operations and Cash Flows for Cott Corporation, CBI, the Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries and our other non-guarantor subsidiaries (the Cott Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries). The supplemental financial information reflects our investments and those of CBI in their respective subsidiaries using the equity method of accounting.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

For the year ended January 2, 2016

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

	Cott Corporation	Cott Beverages Inc.	Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries	Cott Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Revenue, net	\$ 147.7	\$ 715.0	\$ 2,008.7	\$ 131.6	\$ (59.0)	\$ 2,944.0
Cost of sales	124.6	611.5	1,266.0	105.4	(59.0)	2,048.5
Gross profit	23.1	103.5	742.7	26.2		895.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses	23.3	91.6	641.4	12.3		768.6
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	0.1	0.5	6.3			6.9
Acquisition and integration expenses		3.2	17.4			20.6
Operating (loss) income	(0.3)	8.2	77.6	13.9		99.4
Other (income) expense, net	(8.6)		(1.0)	0.1		(9.5)
Intercompany interest (income) expense, net	(4.9)	(51.2)	56.1			
Interest expense, net	0.2	80.1	30.7			111.0
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit) and equity income (loss)	13.0	(20.7)	(8.2)	13.8		(2.1)
Income tax expense (benefit)	1.6	(14.8)	(9.6)	0.1		(22.7)
Equity income (loss)	3.1	6.1	(0.3)		(8.9)	
Net income	\$ 14.5	\$ 0.2	\$ 1.1	\$ 13.7	\$ (8.9)	\$ 20.6
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests				6.1		6.1
Less: Accumulated dividends on convertible shares	4.5					4.5
Less: Accumulated dividends on non-convertible shares	1.4					1.4
Less: Foreign exchange impact on redemption of preferred shares	12.0					12.0
Net (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (3.4)	\$ 0.2	\$ 1.1	\$ 7.6	\$ (8.9)	\$ (3.4)

Comprehensive (loss) income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ (29.3)	\$ (7.9)	\$ 27.9	\$ 11.4	\$ (31.4)	\$ (29.3)
---	------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------	------------------	------------------

F-62

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**

For the year ended January 3, 2015

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

	Cott Corporation	Cott Beverages Inc.	Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries	Cott Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Revenue, net	\$ 166.3	\$ 745.1	\$ 1,102.6	\$ 137.9	\$ (49.1)	\$ 2,102.8
Cost of sales	144.8	643.2	972.8	114.6	(49.1)	1,826.3
Gross profit	21.5	101.9	129.8	23.3		276.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses	23.1	85.9	92.7	12.0		213.7
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	0.2	0.1	1.3	0.1		1.7
Restructuring	2.1	0.3				2.4
Asset impairments	0.9	0.8				1.7
Acquisition and integration expenses		38.8	2.5			41.3
Operating (loss) income	(4.8)	(24.0)	33.3	11.2		15.7
Other (income) expense, net	(10.9)	21.8	10.0	0.1		21.0
Intercompany interest (income) expense, net	(0.7)	(18.4)	19.1			
Interest expense, net	0.2	37.2	2.2	0.1		39.7
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit) and equity income	6.6	(64.6)	2.0	11.0		(45.0)
Income tax expense (benefit)	0.3	(59.6)	(2.7)	0.6		(61.4)
Equity income	4.5	6.1			(10.6)	
Net income	\$ 10.8	\$ 1.1	\$ 4.7	\$ 10.4	\$ (10.6)	\$ 16.4
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests				5.6		5.6
Less: Accumulated dividends on convertible shares	0.6					0.6
Less: Accumulated dividends on non-convertible shares	0.2					0.2
Net income attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ 10.0	\$ 1.1	\$ 4.7	\$ 4.8	\$ (10.6)	\$ 10.0
	\$ (23.4)	\$ (31.5)	\$ 15.4	\$ 8.5	\$ 7.6	\$ (23.4)

**Comprehensive (loss) income
attributed to Cott Corporation**

F-63

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations****For the year ended December 28, 2013****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	Cott Beverages Inc.	Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries	Cott Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Revenue, net	\$ 170.9	\$ 780.4	\$ 1,022.3	\$ 147.0	\$ (26.6)	\$ 2,094.0
Cost of sales	149.0	668.5	898.6	129.1	(26.6)	1,818.6
Gross profit	21.9	111.9	123.7	17.9		275.4
Selling, general and administrative expenses	28.9	74.1	68.2	9.1		180.3
Loss on disposal of property, plant & equipment	0.1	1.1	0.5	0.1		1.8
Restructuring	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.3		2.0
Acquisition and integration expenses		1.2	1.9			3.1
Operating (loss) income	(7.6)	35.0	52.4	8.4		88.2
Other expense (income), net	0.4	12.5	(0.1)			12.8
Intercompany interest (income) expense, net		(12.0)	12.0			
Interest expense, net		50.8	0.7	0.1		51.6
(Loss) income before income tax (benefit) expense and equity income (loss)	(8.0)	(16.3)	39.8	8.3		23.8
Income tax (benefit) expense	(0.8)	4.6	(2.4)	0.4		1.8
Equity income (loss)	24.2	5.2	(7.3)		(22.1)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 17.0	\$ (15.7)	\$ 34.9	\$ 7.9	\$ (22.1)	\$ 22.0
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests				5.0		5.0
Net income (loss) attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ 17.0	\$ (15.7)	\$ 34.9	\$ 2.9	\$ (22.1)	\$ 17.0
Comprehensive income (loss) attributed to Cott Corporation	\$ 12.6	\$ (4.9)	\$ 29.6	\$ 5.2	\$ (29.9)	\$ 12.6

Table of Contents**Consolidating Balance Sheet**

As of January 2, 2016

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

	Cott Corporation	Cott Beverages Inc.	Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries	Cott Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
ASSETS						
<i>Current assets</i>						
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ 20.8	\$ 1.0	\$ 50.2	\$ 5.1	\$	\$ 77.1
Accounts receivable, net of allowance	18.3	63.3	361.8	13.0	(163.1)	293.3
Income taxes recoverable		0.6	0.8	0.2		1.6
Inventories	13.0	76.7	154.1	5.6		249.4
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2.2	4.6	10.2	0.2		17.2
Total current assets	54.3	146.2	577.1	24.1	(163.1)	638.6
Property, plant & equipment, net	29.7	163.3	570.1	6.7		769.8
Goodwill	19.8	4.5	735.3			759.6
Intangibles and other assets, net	0.8	79.2	628.9	2.8		711.7
Deferred tax assets	7.4	38.2		0.2	(38.2)	7.6
Due from affiliates	400.1	587.5	2.6		(990.2)	
Investments in subsidiaries	176.3	847.3	702.5		(1,726.1)	
Total assets	\$ 688.4	\$ 1,866.2	\$ 3,216.5	\$ 33.8	\$ (2,917.6)	\$ 2,887.3
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY						
<i>Current liabilities</i>						
Short-term borrowings	\$	\$ 122.0	\$	\$	\$	\$ 122.0
Current maturities of long-term debt		2.6	0.4	0.4		3.4
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	47.6	234.6	310.2	8.3	(163.1)	437.6
Total current liabilities	47.6	359.2	310.6	8.7	(163.1)	563.0
Long-term debt		1,134.1	391.3			1,525.4
Deferred tax liabilities			114.7		(38.2)	76.5
Other long-term liabilities	0.5	20.0	54.9	1.1		76.5
Due to affiliates	1.0	1.6	959.4	28.2	(990.2)	

Edgar Filing: COTT CORP /CN/ - Form 10-K

Total liabilities	49.1	1,514.9	1,830.9	38.0	(1,191.5)	2,241.4
Equity						
Common shares, no par	534.7	701.5	1,486.9	38.6	(2,227.0)	534.7
Additional paid-in-capital	51.2					51.2
Retained earnings (deficit)	129.6	(333.5)	(132.1)	(58.4)	524.0	129.6
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(76.2)	(16.7)	30.8	9.0	(23.1)	(76.2)
Total Cott Corporation equity	639.3	351.3	1,385.6	(10.8)	(1,726.1)	639.3
Non-controlling interests				6.6		6.6
Total equity	639.3	351.3	1,385.6	(4.2)	(1,726.1)	645.9
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 688.4	\$ 1,866.2	\$ 3,216.5	\$ 33.8	\$ (2,917.6)	\$ 2,887.3

F-65

Table of Contents**Consolidating Balance Sheet****As of January 3, 2015****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	Cott Beverages Inc.	Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries	Cott Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
ASSETS						
<i>Current assets</i>						
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ 6.2	\$ 8.6	\$ 64.0	\$ 7.4	\$	\$ 86.2
Accounts receivable, net of allowance	16.2	130.4	333.8	12.2	(186.9)	305.7
Income taxes recoverable		0.6	0.6	0.4		1.6
Inventories	12.4	72.5	172.0	5.5		262.4
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2.3	33.9	11.0	0.4		47.6
Total current assets	37.1	246.0	581.4	25.9	(186.9)	703.5
Property, plant & equipment, net	38.2	178.4	640.1	7.8		864.5
Goodwill	23.4	4.5	715.7			743.6
Intangibles and other assets, net	0.7	81.6	669.0	6.7		758.0
Deferred tax assets	3.4	36.1			(36.1)	3.4
Other tax receivable	0.1	0.1				0.2
Due from affiliates	183.8	564.5	3.0	0.1	(751.4)	
Investments in subsidiaries	436.3	623.5	349.6		(1,409.4)	
Total assets	\$ 723.0	\$ 1,734.7	\$ 2,958.8	\$ 40.5	\$ (2,383.8)	\$ 3,073.2
LIABILITIES, PREFERRED SHARES AND EQUITY						
<i>Current liabilities</i>						
Short-term borrowings	\$	\$ 229.0	\$	\$	\$	\$ 229.0
Current maturities of long-term debt	0.1	2.5	0.5	0.9		4.0
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	30.4	212.4	356.0	8.1	(186.9)	420.0
Total current liabilities	30.5	443.9	356.5	9.0	(186.9)	653.0
Long-term debt		1,133.4	407.3	0.6		1,541.3
Deferred tax liabilities			145.5		(36.1)	109.4
Other long-term liabilities	0.4	5.8	64.3	1.3		71.8

Edgar Filing: COTT CORP /CN/ - Form 10-K

Due to affiliates	1.3	1.7	715.5	32.9	(751.4)	
Total liabilities	32.2	1,584.8	1,689.1	43.8	(974.4)	2,375.5
Convertible preferred shares	116.1					116.1
Non-convertible preferred shares	32.7					32.7
Equity						
Common shares, no par	388.3	525.7	1,595.8	39.7	(2,161.2)	388.3
Additional paid-in-capital	46.6					46.6
Retained earnings (deficit)	158.1	(367.2)	(330.1)	(55.1)	752.4	158.1
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(51.0)	(8.6)	4.0	5.2	(0.6)	(51.0)
Total Cott Corporation equity	542.0	149.9	1,269.7	(10.2)	(1,409.4)	542.0
Non-controlling interests				6.9		6.9
Total equity	542.0	149.9	1,269.7	(3.3)	(1,409.4)	548.9
Total liabilities, preferred shares and equity	\$ 723.0	\$ 1,734.7	\$ 2,958.8	\$ 40.5	\$ (2,383.8)	\$ 3,073.2

F-66

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows**

For the year ended January 2, 2016

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

	Cott Corporation	Cott Beverages Inc.	Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries	Cott Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided by operating activities	56.2	127.4	106.5	17.3	(52.8)	254.6
Investing Activities						
Acquisition, net of cash received			(24.0)			(24.0)
Additions to property, plant & equipment	(2.0)	(22.3)	(85.2)	(1.3)		(110.8)
Additions to intangibles and other assets		(1.5)	(3.1)			(4.6)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant & equipment and sale-leaseback		16.0	24.9			40.9
Other investing activities			(1.2)			(1.2)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2.0)	(7.8)	(88.6)	(1.3)		(99.7)
Financing Activities						
Payments of long-term debt	(0.1)	(2.6)	(0.3)	(0.7)		(3.7)
Borrowings under ABL		950.2	44.3			994.5
Payments under ABL		(1,057.3)	(44.5)			(1,101.8)
Distributions to non-controlling interests				(8.5)		(8.5)
Issuance of common shares	143.1					143.1
Financing fees		(0.6)				(0.6)
Preferred shares repurchased and cancelled	(148.8)					(148.8)
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(0.8)					(0.8)
Dividends to common and preferred shareholders	(31.0)					(31.0)
Payment of deferred consideration for acquisitions			(2.5)			(2.5)
Intercompany dividends		(16.9)	(27.1)	(8.8)	52.8	
	(37.6)	(127.2)	(30.1)	(18.0)	52.8	(160.1)

Net cash used in financing activities									
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(2.0)		(1.6)		(0.3)				(3.9)
Net increase (decrease) in cash & cash equivalents	14.6		(7.6)		(13.8)				(9.1)
Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period	6.2		8.6		64.0				86.2
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 20.8	\$	1.0	\$	50.2	\$	5.1	\$	\$ 77.1

F-67

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows****For the year ended January 3, 2015****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	Cott Beverages Inc.	Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries	Cott Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	42.0	(29.2)	112.9	21.5	(90.5)	56.7
Investing Activities						
Acquisition, net of cash received		(798.5)				(798.5)
Additions to property, plant & equipment	(1.9)	(27.1)	(16.9)	(0.8)		(46.7)
Additions to intangibles and other assets		(6.9)				(6.9)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant & equipment		1.7		0.1		1.8
Net cash used in investing activities	(1.9)	(830.8)	(16.9)	(0.7)		(850.3)
Financing Activities						
Payments of long-term debt	(0.1)	(392.0)	(0.4)	(1.1)		(393.6)
Issue of long-term debt		1,150.0				1,150.0
Borrowings under ABL		959.0				959.0
Payments under ABL		(746.2)	(33.4)			(779.6)
Distributions to non-controlling interests				(8.5)		(8.5)
Financing fees		(24.0)				(24.0)
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(12.1)					(12.1)
Dividends to common and preferred shareholders	(22.8)					(22.8)
Payment of deferred consideration for acquisitions		(32.4)				(32.4)
Intercompany financing transactions		(28.8)	28.8			
Other financing activities		(0.3)				(0.3)
Intercompany dividends		(17.8)	(63.8)	(8.9)	90.5	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(35.0)	867.5	(68.8)	(18.5)	90.5	835.7

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(0.4)		(2.3)		(0.4)		(3.1)
Net increase in cash & cash equivalents	4.7	7.5	24.9	1.9	39.0		
Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period	1.5	1.1	39.1	5.5	47.2		
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 6.2	\$ 8.6	\$ 64.0	\$ 7.4	\$ 86.2		

F-68

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows****For the year ended December 28, 2013****(in millions of U.S. dollars)**

	Cott Corporation	Cott Beverages Inc.	Cott Guarantor Subsidiaries	Cott Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	5.5	194.0	(25.8)	15.2	(34.0)	154.9
Investing Activities						
Acquisition, net of cash received		(4.7)	(6.5)			(11.2)
Additions to property, plant & equipment	(6.8)	(34.8)	(12.4)	(1.3)		(55.3)
Additions to intangibles and other assets		(5.9)				(5.9)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant & equipment				0.2		0.2
Proceeds from insurance recoveries		0.6				0.6
Net cash used in investing activities	(6.8)	(44.8)	(18.9)	(1.1)		(71.6)
Financing Activities						
Payments of long-term debt	(0.1)	(201.1)	(18.8)	(0.8)		(220.8)
Borrowings under ABL		89.0	42.9			131.9
Payments under ABL		(72.9)	(9.2)			(82.1)
Distributions to non-controlling interests				(6.6)		(6.6)
Financing fees	(0.1)	(0.6)	(0.1)			(0.8)
Common shares repurchased and cancelled	(13.0)					(13.0)
Dividends to common shareholders	(21.9)					(21.9)
Intercompany dividends			(27.1)	(6.9)	34.0	
Net cash used in financing activities	(35.1)	(185.6)	(12.3)	(14.3)	34.0	(213.3)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(1.9)		(0.3)			(2.2)
	(38.3)	(36.4)	(57.3)	(0.2)		(132.2)

Net decrease in cash & cash equivalents

Cash & cash equivalents, beginning of period	39.8	37.5	96.4	5.7	179.4
Cash & cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.1	\$ 39.1	\$ 5.5	\$ 47.2

F-69

Table of Contents

Note 24 Subsequent Event

On January 4, 2016, we acquired AquaTerra Corporation (AquaTerra), a Canadian direct-to-consumer home and office water delivery business, for approximately C\$62 million (approximately \$45 million on the closing date). The acquisition was funded using cash on hand as well as borrowings under our ABL facility. This acquisition supports our strategy to become a more diversified beverage provider across multiple channels and geographies, as well as our continuing consolidation of the higher margin HOD bottled water and OCS categories. Due to the limited time since the AquaTerra acquisition closing date, the Company is unable to provide actual amounts recognized related to the AquaTerra assets acquired and liabilities assumed as the accounting for the purchase price allocation has not yet been completed. As a result, certain required disclosures relative to the AquaTerra acquisition, including those related to any goodwill or bargain purchase amounts to be recognized, have not been made. AquaTerra will become a part of our DSS reporting segment.

On February 17, 2016, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.06 per common share, payable in cash on March 24, 2016 to shareowners of record at the close of business on March 9, 2016.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

Description	Balance at		Year ended January 2, 2016			Balance at
	Beginning of Year	Reduction in Sales	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts	Deductions ¹	
Reserves deducted in the balance sheet from the asset to which they apply						
<u>Allowances for losses on:</u>						
Accounts receivables	\$ (6.5)	\$ 0.1	\$ (66.2)	\$ 12.4	\$ 51.0	\$ (9.2)
Inventories	(18.2)		2.0	0.2	1.1	(14.9)
Deferred income tax assets	(15.8)		0.4			(15.4)
	\$ (40.5)	\$ 0.1	\$ (63.8)	\$ 12.6	\$ 52.1	\$ (39.5)

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

Description	Balance at		Year ended January 3, 2015			Balance at
	Beginning of Year	Reduction in Sales	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts	Deductions ¹	
Reserves deducted in the balance sheet from the asset to which they apply						
<u>Allowances for losses on:</u>						
Accounts receivables	\$ (5.8)	\$ (0.5)	\$ (0.8)	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.4	\$ (6.5)
Inventories	(12.0)		(6.3)	0.2	(0.1)	(18.2)
Deferred income tax assets	(45.2)		29.4			(15.8)
	\$ (63.0)	\$ (0.5)	\$ 22.3	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.3	\$ (40.5)

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

Description	Balance at		Year ended December 28, 2013			Balance at
	Beginning of Year	Reduction in Sales	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts	Deductions ¹	
Reserves deducted in the balance sheet from the asset to which they apply						
<u>Allowances for losses on:</u>						
Accounts receivables	\$ (6.7)	\$	\$ 0.9	\$	\$	\$ (5.8)

Edgar Filing: COTT CORP /CN/ - Form 10-K

Inventories	(10.5)	(2.0)	0.5	(12.0)
Deferred income tax assets	(27.5)	(17.8)	0.1	(45.2)
	\$ (44.7)	\$ (18.9)	\$ 0.6	\$ (63.0)

1. Deductions primarily represent uncollectible accounts written off.

F-71

Table of Contents**Cott Corporation****Exhibit Index**

Number	Description
2.1	Share Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 30, 2014, by and among Robert Unsworth and the shareholders of Aimia Foods Holdings Limited identified therein, Cott Ventures Limited, Cott Corporation, and Cott Beverages Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Form 8-K filed June 2, 2014).
2.2	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 6, 2014, by and among DSS Group, Inc., Cott Corporation, Delivery Acquisition, Inc., and Crestview DSW Investors, L.P., as the Sellers representative (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Form 8-K filed November 7, 2014).
3.1	Articles of Amalgamation of Cott Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Form 10-K filed February 28, 2007) (file no. 001-31410).
3.2	Articles of Amendment to Articles of Amalgamation of Cott Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014).
3.3	Second Amended and Restated By-laws of Cott Corporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Form 10-Q filed May 8, 2014).
4.1	Indenture, dated as of June 24, 2014, governing the 5.375% Senior Notes due 2022, by and among the Cott Beverages Inc., Cott Corporation, the guarantors identified therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, paying agent, registrar, transfer agent and authenticating agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Form 8-K filed June 25, 2014).
4.2	Form of 5.375% Senior Notes due 2022 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our Form 8-K filed June 25, 2014).
4.3	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 24, 2014, by and among Cott Beverages Inc., Cott Corporation, the guarantors identified therein and the certain Initial Purchasers of the 5.375% Senior Notes due 2022 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to our Form 8-K filed June 25, 2014).
4.4	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 24, 2014, governing the 5.375% Senior Notes due 2022, by and among Cott Beverages Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries, including Aimia Foods EBT Company Limited, Aimia Foods Group Limited, Aimia Foods Holdings Limited, Aimia Foods Limited and Stockpack Limited, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to our Form 10-K filed March 4, 2015).
4.5	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 12, 2014, governing the 5.375% Senior Notes due 2022, by and among Cott Beverages Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries, including DSS Group, Inc., DS Services of America, Inc., DS Services Holdings, Inc. and Crystal Springs of Alabama, LLC, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to our Form 10-K filed March 4, 2015).
4.6	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 25, 2015, by and among Cott Beverages, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, in connection with the 5.375% Senior Notes due 2022 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Form 8-K filed June 25, 2015).

- 4.7 Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 13, 2016, by and among Cott Beverages, Inc., the guarantors party thereto, including Cott Beverages Luxembourg, S.a.r.l., and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, in connection with the 5.375% Senior Notes due 2022 (filed herewith).

Table of Contents

Number	Description
4.8	Indenture, dated as of December 12, 2014, governing the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2020, by and among Cott Beverages Inc., Cott Corporation, the guarantors identified therein and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, paying agent, registrar, transfer agent and authenticating agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to our Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014).
4.9	Form of 6.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.3 to our Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014).
4.10	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 12, 2014, by and among Cott Beverages Inc., Cott Corporation, the guarantors identified therein and the Initial Purchasers of the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to our Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014).
4.11	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 25, 2015, by and among Cott Beverages, Inc., the guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, in connection with the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Form 8-K filed June 26, 2015).
4.12	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 13, 2016, by and among Cott Beverages, Inc., the guarantors party thereto, including Cott Beverages Luxembourg, S.a.r.l., and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, in connection with the 6.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (filed herewith).
4.13	Form of Amended and Restated Indenture, dated as of December 12, 2014, governing the 10.000% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2021, by and among Cott Corporation, DS Services of America, Inc., DS Services Holdings, Inc., the other guarantors party thereto from time to time, and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as Trustee and Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to our Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014).
4.14	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 12, 2014, governing the 10.000% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2021, by and among DS Services of America, Inc., Cott Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries, including Cott Beverages Inc., DSS Group, Inc. and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as Trustee and as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to our Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014).
4.15	Form of 10.000% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.6 to our Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014).
4.16	Registration Rights Agreement for Series A Convertible First Preferred Shares and Common Shares, dated as of December 12, 2014, by and among Cott Corporation and the designated holders named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014).
4.17	Registration Rights Agreement for Series B Non-Convertible First Preferred Shares and Common Shares, dated as of December 12, 2014, by and among Cott Corporation and the designated holders named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our Form 8-K filed December 15, 2014).
10.1 ²	Credit Agreement dated as of August 17, 2010 among Cott Corporation, Cott Beverages Inc., Cott Beverages Limited, Cliffstar LLC and the other Loan Parties party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., London Branch as UK Security Trustee, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and Administrative Collateral Agent, General Electric Capital Corporation, as Co-Collateral Agent and Bank of America, N.A., as Documentation Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Form 10-K filed March 4, 2015).

Table of Contents

Number	Description
10.2	Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement, dated as of April 19, 2012, by and among Cott Corporation, Cott Beverages Inc., Cliffstar LLC, and Cott Beverages Limited, as Borrowers, the other Loan Parties party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Form 10-Q filed May 7, 2012).
10.3	Amendment No. 2 to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 19, 2012, by and among Cott Corporation, Cott Beverages Inc., Cliffstar LLC, and Cott Beverages Limited, as Borrowers, the other Loan Parties party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-Q filed November 1, 2012).
10.4	Amendment No. 3 to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2013, by and among Cott Corporation, Cott Beverages Inc., Cliffstar LLC, and Cott Beverages Limited, as Borrowers, the other Loan Parties party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to our Form 10-K filed February 24, 2014).
10.5	Amendment No. 4 to Credit Agreement, dated as of May 28, 2014, by and among Cott Corporation, Cott Beverages Inc., Cliffstar LLC, and Cott Beverages Limited, as Borrowers, the other Loan Parties party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-Q filed August 7, 2014).
10.6	Amendment No. 5 to Credit Agreement, dated as of December 12, 2014, by and among Cott Corporation, Cott Beverages Inc., Cliffstar LLC, Cott Beverages Limited and DS Services of America, Inc., as Borrowers, the other Loan Parties party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to our Form 10-K filed March 4, 2015).
10.7	Amendment No. 6 to Credit Agreement, dated as of May 26, 2015, by and among Cott Corporation, Cott Beverages Inc., Cliffstar LLC, Cott Beverages Limited and DS Services of America, Inc., as Borrowers, the other Loan Parties party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-Q filed August 5, 2015).
10.8 ³	Employment Offer Letter to Jason Ausher dated May 6, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Form 10-Q filed August 5, 2015).
10.9 ³	Amended and Restated Employment Offer Letter to Steven Kitching dated May 18, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Form 10-Q filed August 5, 2015).
10.10 ³	Employment Offer Letter to Michael Creamer dated April 16, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to our Form 10-K filed March 11, 2009) (file no. 001- 31410).
10.11 ³	Employment Agreement between Cott Corporation and Jerry Fowden dated February 18, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) (file no. 001-31410).
10.12 ³	Employment Offer Letter to Marni Morgan Poe dated January 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-Q filed May 12, 2010)(file no. 001- 31410).
10.13 ³	Employment Offer Letter to Jay Wells dated January 14, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-Q filed May 7, 2012).

Table of Contents

Number	Description
10.14 ³	Employment Offer Letter to Carlos Baila dated September 17, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to our Form 10-K filed February 27, 2013).
10.15 ³	First Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2014, between DS Services of America, Inc. and Thomas J. Harrington (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to our Form 10-K filed March 4, 2015).
10.16 ³	Cott Corporation Severance and Non-Competition Plan, dated February 18, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) (file no. 001-31410).
10.17 ³	Amended and Restated Retention, Severance and Non-Competition Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to our Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2007) (file no. 001- 31410).
10.18 ³	2010 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B of our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed April 1, 2010)(file no. 001-31410).
10.19 ³	Amendment to 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to our Form S-8 filed May 4, 2010)(file no. 333-166507).
10.20 ³	Amended and Restated Cott Corporation Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B of our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed March 28, 2013).
10.21 ³	Amendment to Amended and Restated Cott Corporation Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B of our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed March 26, 2015).
10.22 ³	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement with Time-Based Vesting under the Amended and Restated Cott Corporation Equity Incentive Plan (filed herewith).
10.23 ³	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement with Performance-Based Vesting under the Amended and Restated Cott Corporation Equity Incentive Plan (filed herewith).
10.24 ³	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under the Amended and Restated Cott Corporation Equity Incentive Plan (filed herewith).
10.25 ²	Supply Agreement executed December 21, 2010, effective January 1, 2011 between Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc. and Cott Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 of our Form 10-K/A filed January 1, 2012).
10.26 ¹	Amendments to Supply Agreement dated November 20, 2015 between Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc. and Cott Corporation (filed herewith).
21.1	List of Subsidiaries of Cott Corporation (filed herewith).
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm (filed herewith).
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002 for the year ended January 2, 2016 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002 for the year ended January 2, 2016 (filed herewith).
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002 for the year ended January 2, 2016 (furnished herewith).
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002 for the year ended January 2, 2016 (furnished herewith).

Table of Contents

Number	Description
101	The following financial statements from Cott Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 2, 2016, filed February 29, 2016, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Statements of Operations, (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Equity (v) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income (vi) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (filed herewith).

1. Document is subject to request for confidential treatment.
2. Confidential treatment has been granted for portions of this exhibit.
3. Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan.