

SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC  
Form 10-K  
March 03, 2015

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

**Annual Report Pursuant To Section 13 Or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For The Fiscal Year December 31, 2014.

Or

**Transition Report Pursuant To Section 13 Or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the Transition Period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number 000-27719

**Southern First Bancshares, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**South Carolina**  
(State of Incorporation)

**58-2459561**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**100 Verdae Boulevard, Greenville, SC**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**29607**  
(Zip Code)

**864-679-9000**  
(Telephone Number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of class	Name of each exchange on which registered
<b>Common Stock</b>	<b>The NASDAQ Global Market</b>

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

## Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.  X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller Reporting Company  X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No  X

The aggregate market value of the common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2014 (based on the average bid and ask price of the Common Stock as quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market on June 30, 2014), was \$55,672,243.

6,225,381 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding as of February 23, 2015.

### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement relating to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 19, 2015 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K where indicated.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Southern First Bancshares, Inc.  
Index to Form 10-K**

		<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I</b>		
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	20
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	32
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	32
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	32
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	32
<b>PART II</b>		
Item 5.	<u>Market for Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	33
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	34
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	35
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	54
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	55
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	92
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	92
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	93
<b>PART III</b>		
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	93
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	93
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u>	93
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	93
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	93
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	94
<b>SIGNATURES</b>		<b>95</b>

**Table of Contents**

**CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING  
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Report, including information included or incorporated by reference in this document, contains statements which constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements may relate to our financial condition, results of operation, plans, objectives, or future performance. These statements are based on many assumptions and estimates and are not guarantees of future performance. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, as they will depend on many factors about which we are unsure, including many factors which are beyond our control. The words may, would, could, should, will, expect, anticipate, predict, project, potential, believe, continue, assume, intend, plan, and es expressions, are meant to identify such forward-looking statements. Potential risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those described below under Item 1A-Risk Factors and the following:

Restrictions or conditions imposed by our regulators on our operations;

Increases in competitive pressure in the banking and financial services industries;

Changes in access to funding or increased regulatory requirements with regard to funding;

Changes in deposit flows;

Credit losses as a result of declining real estate values, increasing interest rates, increasing unemployment, changes in payment behavior or other factors;

Credit losses due to loan concentration;

Changes in the amount of our loan portfolio collateralized by real estate and weaknesses in the real estate market;

Our ability to attract and retain key personnel;

Changes in the interest rate environment which could reduce anticipated or actual margins;

Changes in political conditions or the legislative or regulatory environment, including governmental initiatives affecting the financial services industry;

Changes in economic conditions resulting in, among other things, a deterioration in credit quality;

Changes occurring in business conditions and inflation;

Cybersecurity breaches, including potential business disruptions or financial losses;

Changes in technology;

The adequacy of the level of our allowance for loan losses and the amount of loan loss provisions required in future periods;

Examinations by our regulatory authorities, including the possibility that the regulatory authorities may, among other things, require us to increase our allowance for loan losses or write-down assets;

Changes in monetary and tax policies;

The rate of delinquencies and amounts of loans charged-off;

The rate of loan growth in recent years and the lack of seasoning of a portion of our loan portfolio;

Our ability to maintain appropriate levels of capital and to comply with our capital ratio requirements;

Adverse changes in asset quality and resulting credit risk-related losses and expenses;

Changes in accounting policies and practices; and

Other risks and uncertainties detailed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, from time to time, in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ).

If any of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if any of the assumptions underlying such forward-looking statements proves to be incorrect, our results could differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. For information with respect to factors that could cause actual results to differ from the expectations stated in the forward-looking statements, see Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We urge investors to consider all of these factors carefully in evaluating the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We make these forward-looking as of the date of this document and we do not intend, and assume no obligation, to update the forward-looking statements or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those expressed in, or implied or projected by, the forward-looking statements.

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**Item 1. Business**

**General**

***Southern First Bancshares, Inc.*** (the Company), headquartered in Greenville, South Carolina, is a bank holding company incorporated in March 1999 under the laws of South Carolina that owns all of the capital stock of Southern First Bank (the Bank), a South Carolina state bank, and all of the stock of Greenville First Statutory Trust I and II (collectively, the Trusts). The Bank is a commercial bank with nine retail offices located in Greenville, Columbia and Charleston, South Carolina. The Bank is primarily engaged in the business of accepting demand deposits and savings deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC) and providing commercial, consumer and mortgage loans to the general public. The Trusts are special purpose subsidiaries organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities.

**Our Competitive Strengths**

We believe that the following business strengths have been instrumental to the success of our core operations. These attributes will enable us to continue profitable growth, while remaining fundamentally sound and driving value to our shareholders.

***Simple and Efficient ClientFIRST Model.*** We operate our Bank using a simple and efficient style of banking that is focused on providing core banking products and services to our clients through a team of talented and experienced bankers. We refer to this model as ClientFIRST and it is structured to deliver superior client service via relationship teams, which provide each client with a specific banker contact and a consistent support team responsible for all of the client's banking needs. We believe this model results in a consistent and superior level of professional service that provides us with a distinct competitive advantage by enabling us to build and maintain long-term relationships with desirable clients, enhancing the quality and stability of our funding and lending operations and positioning us to take advantage of future growth opportunities in our existing markets. We also believe that this client focused culture has led to our successful expansion into new markets in the past, and will enable us to be successful if we seek to expand into new markets in the future.

Our ClientFIRST model focuses on achieving cost efficiencies by diligently managing the growth of our number of employees and banking offices. We have historically insisted that the identification of talented bankers drives our growth strategy, as opposed to a more general desire to enter a specific geography or market. This strategy translates into a smaller number of brick and mortar offices relative to our size and compared to peer banks, but larger overall deposit balances in our offices as compared to peers. As a result, our offices average approximately \$88 million in total deposits. We believe this style of banking allows us to deliver exceptional client service, while achieving lower efficiency ratios relative to our local competitors.

We have also made significant investments in our IT systems and technology offerings to our clients that we believe will continue to drive low-cost deposit growth. For example, we launched our new mobile banking platform in early 2014. Since then, we have successfully registered over 4,000 mobile devices and expect that our active users will increase significantly in the coming years. We believe that our current mobile banking, on-line banking and cash management offerings are industry-leading solutions amongst community banks, and we will continue to invest in the latest technology solutions to ensure we meet the evolving needs of our clients and maintain this competitive advantage over other community banks.

**Table of Contents**

**Attractive South Carolina Markets.** We have nine banking offices located in Greenville, Columbia, and Charleston, South Carolina, which are the three largest markets in South Carolina. The following table illustrates our market share, by insured deposits as of the dates indicated, in these primary markets:

Market <sup>(1)</sup>	Total Offices	Our Market Deposits		Rank <sup>(2)</sup>	Market Share <sup>(2)</sup>
		June 30, 2014	Total Market Deposits <sup>(2)</sup>		
Greenville	4	537,386	9,441,739	5 of 31	5.69%
Columbia	3	146,673	15,190,022	9 of 23	0.97%
Charleston	2	65,449	8,299,988	16 of 29	0.79%

(1) Represents Greenville County, Richland and Lexington counties in Columbia, and Charleston County.

(2) The total market deposits, rank and market share data displayed are as of June 30, 2014 as reported by the FDIC.

**Greenville.** The city of Greenville is located in Greenville County, South Carolina approximately midway between Atlanta and Charlotte on the heavily traveled I-85 business corridor. Greenville County is South Carolina's most populous county with an estimated 474,000 residents as of July 1, 2013 and is also one of the state's wealthiest counties with an estimated median household income of \$48,000 for 2012. A large and diverse metropolitan area, Greenville County is one of the southeast region's premier areas for business, serving as headquarters for Michelin and Hubbell Lighting as well as hosting significant operations for BMW and Lockheed Martin.

**Columbia.** The city of Columbia is located in Richland County, South Carolina and its surrounding suburban areas expand into adjoining Lexington County. Columbia is the state capital, the largest city in the state and the home of the University of South Carolina and Fort Jackson, the Army's largest Initial Entry Training Center. Richland County is the second largest county in the state with an estimated population of 399,000 residents as of July 1, 2013. Lexington County is the sixth largest county in South Carolina with an estimated population of 274,000 as of July 1, 2013. The median household income for Richland County and Lexington County was \$48,000 and \$54,000, respectively, for 2012.

**Charleston.** The city of Charleston is the second largest city in the state and is located in Charleston County, South Carolina, which is the third largest county in the state with an estimated population of 373,000 as of July 1, 2013. Charleston is home to the deepest port in the Southeast and boasts top companies in the aerospace, biomedical and technology fields such as Boeing, the Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) and Blackbaud. The median household income for Charleston County was approximately \$50,000 for 2012. We opened our first retail office in the Charleston market in the downtown area of Charleston in December 2012 and our second retail office in the Charleston market in the city of Mount Pleasant in August 2014. Mount Pleasant is located just north of Charleston in Charleston County and ranks as the fourth largest city in South Carolina.

**Experienced Management Team, Dedicated Board of Directors and Talented Employees.** Our senior management team is led by Art Seaver, Justin Strickland, and Mike Dowling who lead a team of 23 additional senior team members which we believe compares favorably to any community bank management team assembled in South Carolina.

The management team is complemented by a dedicated board of directors with extensive local knowledge and a wide range of experience including accounting, business, banking, manufacturing, insurance, management and finance. We believe that our management's and board's incentives are closely aligned with our shareholders through the ownership of a substantial amount of our stock. As of December 31, 2014, our executive officers and board of directors owned an aggregate of 1,096,945 shares of our common stock, including options to purchase shares of our common stock, or approximately 21.09% of the fully-diluted amount of our common stock outstanding. We believe that our officers' and directors' experience and local market knowledge are valuable assets and will enable them to guide us successfully in the future.

In addition, we believe that we have assembled a group of highly talented employees by being an employer of choice in the markets we serve. We employed a total of 155 full-time equivalent employees as of December 31, 2014. Our employees are skilled in the areas of banking, information technology, management, sales, advertising and marketing, among others. We pride ourselves on maintaining excellent relations with all of our employees, which is evidenced by our less than 10% employee turnover ratio

during 2013 and 2014. We strive to provide an umbrella for great talent, characterized by a culture of transparency and collaboration which permeates all levels of the organization. To drive our culture of transparency and collaboration, our employees engage in a series of weekly meetings to understand the goals and plan for each week. These meetings are intended to remind our employees of our vision, strategy and ClientFIRST service, and provide our employees with information regarding monthly and quarterly goals and client or prospect needs. In addition, each week is started with a meeting of all Senior and Executive Vice Presidents to ensure that all team members are informed on the latest developments of our Company. Our employees and their ClientFIRST approach to service have been instrumental to our success.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Our Business Strategy**

We are focused on growing business relationships and building core deposits, profitable loans and non-interest income. We believe that we have built a dynamic franchise that meets the financial needs of our clients by providing an array of personalized products and services delivered by seasoned banking professionals with knowledge of our local markets. Our overall strategic goal is to provide the highest level of service to our clients while achieving high-performance metrics within the community banking space that drive franchise and shareholder value. Our specific business strategies include:

**Focus on Profitable and Efficient Growth.** Our executive management team and board of directors are dedicated to producing profits and returns for our shareholders. We actively manage the mix of assets and liabilities on our balance sheet to optimize our net interest margin while also maintaining expense controls and developing non-interest income streams. By constantly striving to build a well-structured balance sheet, we seek to increase profitability and improve our return on average assets, return on average equity and efficiency ratio. We believe that, as the economy continues to improve, our focus on maximizing our net interest margin and minimizing our efficiency ratio while maintaining credit quality controls will translate into continued and improving profitability and shareholder returns. We are committed to enhancing these levels of profitability by focusing on our core competencies of commercial lending and core deposit gathering. We believe that we have the infrastructure currently in place, such as technology, support staff and administration, to support expansion with limited associated noninterest expense increases.

**Provide a Distinctive Client Experience.** Our markets have been subject to consolidation of local community banks primarily by larger, out-of-state financial institutions. We believe there is a large client base in our markets that prefers doing business with a local institution and may be dissatisfied with the service offered by national and larger regional banks. We believe that the exceptional level of professional service provided to our clients as a result of our ClientFIRST model provides us with a distinct competitive advantage over our local competitors. We also believe that technology innovation will continue to play a critical role in retaining clients and winning new business. We believe that our current mobile banking, on-line banking and cash management offerings are industry-leading solutions amongst community banks. During the year ended December 31, 2014, 77% of deposits were acquired through our office network, 22% came through the commercial remote deposit capture channel and the remaining 1% came through consumer mobile deposits. In comparison, during 2013, 83% of deposits were acquired through our office network and the remaining 17% came through the commercial remote deposit capture channel. We believe that the volume in remote deposit capture and mobile deposit channels will continue to increase over time as more clients become acquainted with the convenience these services provide. By delivering superior professional service through our ClientFIRST model, coupled with our deep understanding of our markets and our commitment to providing the latest technology solutions to meet our clients' banking needs, we believe that we can attract new clients and expand our total loans and deposits.

**Maintain a Rigorous Risk Management Infrastructure.** As we grow, one of our top priorities is to continue to build a robust enterprise risk management infrastructure. We believe effective risk management requires a culture of risk management and governance throughout the Company. The legislative and regulatory landscape continues to quickly evolve, so we are continually performing risk assessments throughout the organization and re-allocating resources where appropriate. We will continue to add new resources and technology investments to help enhance all of our risk management processes throughout the Bank. Our risk management success is exemplified by our historic credit risk management and disciplined underwriting practices, which have enabled us to successfully grow our balance sheet while maintaining strong credit quality metrics. We do not reduce our credit standards or pricing discipline to generate new loans. In addition, we are heavily focused on compliance risk and cybersecurity risk, as both of these risks have increased since our inception. Our management team continually analyzes emerging fraud and security risks and utilizes tools, strategies and policies to manage risk while delivering an optimal and appropriate client experience. We believe our risk management structure allows our board and senior management to maintain effective oversight of our risks to ensure that our personnel are following prudent and appropriate risk management practices resulting in strong loan quality and minimal loan losses.

**Attract Talented Banking Professionals With A ClientFIRST Focus.** We believe that our ability to attract and retain banking professionals with strong community relationships and significant knowledge of our markets will continue to drive our success and grow our business in an efficient manner. By focusing on experienced, established bankers who deliver exceptional client service through our ClientFIRST model, we believe we can enhance our market position and add profitable growth opportunities. We believe that the strength of our exceptional client service and relationship banking approach will continue to help us attract these new bankers. In recent years, we have invested in our internal infrastructure, including support and back office personnel, and we believe that we can continue to add experienced frontline bankers to our existing markets, which will drive our efficient growth.

## **Table of Contents**

We will continue to expand our franchise, but only in a controlled manner. We may choose to open new locations, but only after rigorous due diligence and substantial quantitative analysis regarding the financial and capital impacts of such investments. We may also choose to enter new, metropolitan markets contiguous to, or nearby, our current South Carolina footprint, but only after careful study and the identification and vetting of a local, senior level banking team with significant experience and reputational strength in that market. We have not yet supplemented our historic strategy of organic deposit and loan growth with traditional mergers or acquisitions. We evaluate potential acquisition opportunities that we believe would be complementary to our business as part of our growth strategy. However, we have not yet identified any specific acquisition opportunity that meets our strict requirements and do not have any immediate plans, arrangements or understandings relating to any acquisition. Furthermore, we do not believe an acquisition is necessary to successfully drive our growth and execute our ClientFIRST model.

## **Lending Activities**

*General.* We emphasize a range of lending services, including real estate, commercial, and equity-line consumer loans to individuals and small- to medium-sized businesses and professional firms that are located in or conduct a substantial portion of their business in our market area. Our underwriting standards vary for each type of loan, as described below. Because loans typically provide higher interest yields than other types of interest-earning assets, we invest a substantial percentage of our earning assets in our loan portfolio. At December 31, 2014, we had net loans of \$859.7 million, representing 83.5% of our total assets.

We have focused our lending activities primarily on the professional markets in Greenville, Columbia, and Charleston including doctors, dentists, and small business owners. By focusing on this client base and by serving each client with a consistent relationship team of bankers, we have generated a loan portfolio with larger average loan amounts than we believe is typical for a community bank. As of December 31, 2014, our average loan size was approximately \$229,000. Excluding home equity lines of credit, the average loan size was approximately \$301,000. At the same time, we have strived to maintain a diversified loan portfolio and limit the amount of our loans to any single client. As of December 31, 2014, our 10 largest client loan relationships represented approximately \$78.1 million, or 9.0% of our loan portfolio.

*Loan Approval.* Certain credit risks are inherent in making loans. These include prepayment risks, risks resulting from uncertainties in the future value of collateral, risks resulting from changes in economic and industry conditions, and risks inherent in dealing with individual borrowers. We attempt to mitigate repayment risks by adhering to internal credit policies and procedures. These policies and procedures include officer and client lending limits, a multi-layered approval process for larger loans, documentation examination, and follow-up procedures for any exceptions to credit policies. Our loan approval policies provide for various levels of officer lending authority. When the amount of aggregate loans to a single borrower exceeds an individual officer's lending authority, the loan request will be considered for approval by a team of officers led by a senior lender, or by the voting members of the officers' loan committee, based on the loan amount. The officers' loan committee, which is comprised of a group of our senior commercial lenders, bank president, and chief executive officer, has pre-determined lending limits, and any loans in excess of this lending limit will be submitted for approval by the finance committee of our board or by the full board. We do not make any loans to any director or executive officer of the Bank unless the loan is approved by the board of directors of the Bank and all loans to directors, officers and employees are on terms not more favorable to such person than would be available to a person not affiliated with the Bank, consistent with federal banking regulations.

Management monitors exposure to credit risk from potential concentrations of loans to particular borrowers or groups of borrowers, industries and geographic regions, as well as concentrations of lending products and practices such as loans that subject borrowers to substantial payment increases (e.g. principal deferral periods, loans with initial interest-only periods, etc.), and loans with high loan-to-value ratios. As of December 31, 2014, approximately \$93.4 million, or 10.7% of our loans had loan-to-value ratios which exceeded regulatory supervisory limits, of which 100 loans totaling approximately \$40.5 million had loan-to-value ratios of 100% or more. These types of loans are subject to strict underwriting standards and are more closely monitored than a loan with a low loan-to-value ratio. Furthermore, there are industry practices that could subject the Company to increased credit risk should economic conditions change over the course of a loan's life. For example the Company makes variable rate loans and fixed rate principal-amortizing loans with maturities prior to the loan being fully paid (i.e. balloon payment loans). The various types of loans are individually underwritten and monitored to manage the associated risks.

## **Table of Contents**

*Credit Administration and Loan Review.* We maintain a continuous loan review system. We also apply a credit grading system to each loan, and we use an independent process to review the loan files on a test basis to assess the grading of each loan. The Bank has a chief risk officer that reviews performance benchmarks established by management in the areas of nonperforming assets, charge-offs, past dues, and loan documentation. Each loan officer is responsible for each loan he or she makes, regardless of whether other individuals or committees joined in the approval. This responsibility continues until the loan is repaid or until the loan is officially assigned to another officer.

*Lending Limits.* Our lending activities are subject to a variety of lending limits imposed by federal and state laws and regulations. In general, the Bank is subject to a legal limit on loans to a single borrower equal to 15% of the Bank's capital and unimpaired surplus. Based upon the capitalization of the Bank at December 31, 2014, the maximum amount we could lend to one borrower is \$15.4 million. However, to mitigate concentration risk, our internal lending limit at December 31, 2014 is \$10.8 million and may vary based on our assessment of the lending relationship. The board of directors will adjust the internal lending limit as deemed necessary to continue to mitigate risk and serve the Bank's clients. The Bank's legal lending limit will increase or decrease in response to increases or decreases in the Bank's level of capital. We are able to sell participations in our larger loans to other financial institutions, which allow us to manage the risk involved in these loans and to meet the lending needs of our clients requiring extensions of credit in excess of these limits.

*Loan Portfolio Segments.* Our loan portfolio is comprised of commercial and consumer loans made to small businesses and individuals for various business and personal purposes. While our loan portfolio is not concentrated in loans to any single borrower or a relatively small number of borrowers, the principal component of our loan portfolio is loans secured by real estate mortgages on either commercial or residential property. These loans will generally fall into one of the following six categories: commercial owner occupied real estate, commercial non-owner occupied real estate, commercial construction, consumer real estate, consumer construction, and home equity loans. We obtain a security interest in real estate whenever possible, in addition to any other available collateral, in order to increase the likelihood of the ultimate repayment of the loan. At December 31, 2014, loans secured by first or second mortgages on commercial and consumer real estate made up approximately 81.2% of our loan portfolio. In addition to loans secured by real estate, our loan portfolio includes commercial business loans and other consumer loans which comprised 17.2% and 1.6%, respectively, of our total loan portfolio at December 31, 2014.

Interest rates for all real estate loan categories may be fixed or adjustable, and will more likely be fixed for shorter-term loans. We generally charge an origination fee for each loan which is taken into income over the life of the loan as an adjustment to the loan yield. Other loan fees consist primarily of late charge fees. Real estate loans are subject to the same general risks as other loans and are particularly sensitive to fluctuations in the value of real estate. Fluctuations in the value of real estate, as well as other factors arising after a loan has been made, could negatively affect a borrower's cash flow, creditworthiness, and ability to repay the loan. Although, the loans are collateralized by real estate, the primary source of repayment may not be the sale of real estate.

The following describes the types of loans in our loan portfolio.

*Commercial Real Estate Loans (Commercial Owner Occupied and Commercial Non-owner Occupied Real Estate Loans).* At December 31, 2014, commercial owner occupied and non-owner occupied real estate loans (other than construction loans) amounted to \$374.5 million, or approximately 43.0% of our loan portfolio. Of our commercial real estate loan portfolio, \$183.4 million in loans were non-owner occupied properties, representing 49.0% of our commercial real estate portfolio and 21.1% of our total loan portfolio. The remainder of our commercial real estate loan portfolio, \$191.1 million in loans or 51.0% of the commercial loan portfolio, were owner occupied. Owner occupied loans represented 21.9% of our total loan portfolio. At December 31, 2014, our individual commercial real estate loans ranged in size from approximately \$2,000 to \$7.1 million, with an average loan size of approximately \$513,000. These loans generally have terms of five years or less, although payments may be structured on a longer amortization basis. We evaluate each borrower on an individual basis and attempt to determine the business risks and credit profile of each borrower. We attempt to reduce credit risk in the commercial real estate portfolio by emphasizing loans on owner-occupied office and retail buildings where the loan-to-value ratio, established by independent appraisals, does not exceed 85%. We also generally require that a borrower's cash flow exceeds 115% of monthly debt service obligations. In order to ensure secondary sources of payment and liquidity to support a loan request, we typically review all of the personal financial statements of the principal owners and require their personal guarantees.



## **Table of Contents**

*Construction Real Estate Loans.* We offer adjustable and fixed rate construction real estate loans for commercial and consumer projects, typically to builders and developers and to consumers who wish to build their own homes. At December 31, 2014, total commercial and consumer construction loans amounted to \$90.2 million, or 10.3% of our loan portfolio. Commercial construction loans represented \$51.0 million, or 5.8%, of our total loan portfolio, while consumer construction loans represented \$39.2 million, or 4.5% of our total loan portfolio. At December 31, 2014, our commercial construction real estate loans ranged in size from approximately \$9,000 to \$7.9 million, with an average loan size of approximately \$738,000. At December 31, 2014, our consumer or residential construction loans ranged in size from approximately \$1,000 to \$1.5 million, with an average loan size of approximately \$382,000. The duration of our construction loans generally is limited to 18 months, although payments may be structured on a longer amortization basis. Commercial construction loans generally carry a higher degree of risk than long-term financing of existing properties because repayment depends on the ultimate completion of the project and sometimes on the sale of the property. Specific risks include:

- cost overruns;
- mismanaged construction;
- inferior or improper construction techniques;
- economic changes or downturns during construction;
- a downturn in the real estate market;
- rising interest rates which may prevent sale of the property; and
- failure to sell completed projects in a timely manner.

We attempt to reduce the risk associated with construction loans by obtaining personal guarantees where possible and by keeping the loan-to-value ratio of the completed project at or below 80%.

*Commercial Business Loans.* We make loans for commercial purposes in various lines of businesses, including the manufacturing, service industry, and professional service areas. At December 31, 2014, commercial business loans amounted to \$150.0 million, or 17.2% of our loan portfolio, and ranged in size from approximately \$1,000 to \$4.1 million, with an average loan size of approximately \$178,000. Commercial loans are generally considered to have greater risk than first or second mortgages on real estate because commercial loans may be unsecured, or if they are secured, the value of the collateral may be difficult to assess and more likely to decrease than real estate.

We are eligible to offer small business loans utilizing government enhancements such as the Small Business Administration's (SBA) 7(a) program and SBA 504 programs. These loans typically are partially guaranteed by the government, which helps to reduce their risk. Government guarantees of SBA loans do not exceed, and are generally less than, 80% of the loan. As of December 31, 2014, we had originated one loan utilizing government enhancements.

*Consumer Real Estate Loans and Home Equity Loans.* At December 31, 2014, consumer real estate loans (other than construction loans) amounted to \$242.5 million, or 27.9% of our loan portfolio. Included in the consumer real estate loans was \$146.9 million, or 16.9% of our loan portfolio, in first and second mortgages on individuals' homes, while home equity loans represented \$95.6 million, or 11.0% of our total loan portfolio. At December 31, 2014, our individual residential real estate loans ranged in size from \$100 to \$2.3 million, with an average loan size of approximately \$302,000. Generally, we limit the loan-to-value ratio on our consumer real estate loans to 85%. We offer fixed and adjustable rate consumer real estate loans with terms of up to 30 years. We typically offer these long-term fixed rate loans through a third party rather than originating and retaining these loans ourselves. Consumer real estate and home equity loans that we retain on our balance sheet typically have terms of 10 years or less. We also offer home equity lines of credit. At December 31, 2014, our individual home equity lines of credit ranged in size from \$100 to \$1.9 million, with an average of approximately \$110,000. Our underwriting criteria and the risks associated with home equity loans and lines of credit are generally the same as those for first mortgage loans. Home equity lines of credit typically have terms of ten years or less. We generally limit the extension of credit to 90% of the market value of each property, although we may extend up to 100% of the market value.

*Other Consumer Loans.* We make a variety of loans to individuals for personal and household purposes, including secured and unsecured installment loans and revolving lines of credit. These consumer loans are underwritten based on the borrower's income, current debt level, past credit history, and the availability and value of collateral. Consumer rates are both fixed and variable, with negotiable terms. At December 31, 2014, consumer loans other than real estate amounted to \$14.3 million, or

## Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

1.6% of our loan portfolio, and ranged in size from \$100 to \$875,000, with an average loan size of approximately \$19,000. Our installment loans typically amortize over periods up to 60 months. We will offer consumer loans with a single maturity date when a specific source of repayment is available. We typically require monthly payments of interest and a portion of the principal on our revolving loan products. Consumer loans are generally considered to have greater risk than first or second mortgages on real estate because they may be unsecured, or, if they are secured, the value of the collateral may be difficult to assess and more likely to decrease in value than real estate.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Deposit Services**

Our principal source of funds is core deposits. We offer a full range of deposit services, including checking accounts, commercial checking accounts, savings accounts, and other time deposits of various types, ranging from daily money market accounts to long-term certificates of deposit. Our out-of-market, or wholesale, certificates of deposits represented 7.6% of total deposits at December 31, 2014. In an effort to obtain lower costing deposits, we have focused on expanding our retail deposit program. We currently have nine retail offices which assist us in obtaining low cost transaction accounts that are less affected by rising rates. Deposit rates are reviewed regularly by senior management of the Bank. We believe that the rates we offer are competitive with those offered by other financial institutions in our area. We focus on client service and our ClientFIRST culture to attract and retain deposits.

### **Other Banking Services**

In addition to deposit and loan services, we offer other bank services such as internet banking, cash management services, safe deposit boxes, direct deposit, and automatic drafts for various accounts. We earn fees for most of these services, including debit and credit card transactions, sales of checks, and wire transfers. We also receive ATM transaction fees from transactions performed by our clients. We are associated with the NYCE, Pulse, STAR, and Cirrus networks, which are available to our clients throughout the country. Since we outsource our ATM services, we are charged related transaction fees from our ATM service provider. We have contracted with Fidelity National Information Systems, an outside computer service company, to provide our core data processing services and our ATM processing. By outsourcing these services, we believe we are able to reduce our overhead by matching the expense in each period to the transaction volume that occurs during the period, as a significant portion of the fee charged is directly related to the number of loan and deposit accounts and the related number of transactions we have during the period. We believe that by being associated with a shared network of ATMs, we are better able to serve our clients and are able to attract clients who are accustomed to the convenience of using ATMs, although we do not believe that maintaining this association is critical to our success. We also offer Internet banking services, bill payment services, and cash management and mobile banking services.

### **Competition**

The banking business is highly competitive, and we experience competition in our market from many other financial institutions. Competition among financial institutions is based upon interest rates offered on deposit accounts, interest rates charged on loans, other credit and service charges relating to loans, the quality and scope of the services rendered, the convenience of banking facilities, and, in the case of loans to commercial borrowers, relative lending limits. We compete with commercial banks, credit unions, savings and loan associations, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market funds, and other mutual funds, as well as other super-regional, national, and international financial institutions that operate offices in Greenville, Richland, Lexington, and Charleston Counties, South Carolina and elsewhere.

As of June 30, 2014, the most recent date for which market data is available, there were 31 financial institutions in our primary market of Greenville County, 23 financial institutions in the Columbia market, and 29 financial institutions in the Charleston market. We compete with other financial institutions in our market areas both in attracting deposits and in making loans. In addition, we have to attract our client base from other existing financial institutions and from new residents. Many of our competitors are well-established, larger financial institutions with substantially greater resources and lending limits, such as BB&T, Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and SunTrust. These institutions offer some services, such as extensive and established branch networks and trust services that we do not provide. In addition, many of our non-bank competitors are not subject to the same extensive federal regulations that govern bank holding companies and federally insured banks. Because larger competitors have advantages in attracting business from larger corporations, we do not generally compete for that business. Instead, we concentrate our efforts on attracting the business of individuals and small and medium-size businesses. With regard to such accounts, we generally compete on the basis of client service and responsiveness to client needs, the convenience of our offices and hours, and the availability and pricing of our products and services.

## **Table of Contents**

We believe our commitment to quality and personalized banking services through our ClientFIRST culture is a factor that contributes to our competitiveness and success.

### **Employees**

At December 31, 2014, we employed a total of 155 full-time equivalent employees, respectively. We provide our full-term employees and certain part-time employees with a comprehensive program of benefits, including medical benefits, life insurance, long-term disability coverage and a 401(k) plan. Our employees are not represented by a collective bargaining agreement. Management considers its employee relations to be excellent.

## **SUPERVISION AND REGULATION**

Both the Company and the Bank are subject to extensive state and federal banking laws and regulations that impose specific requirements or restrictions on and provide for general regulatory oversight of virtually all aspects of our operations. These laws and regulations are generally intended to protect depositors, not shareholders. Changes in applicable laws or regulations may have a material effect on our business and prospects.

The following discussion is not intended to be a complete list of all the activities regulated by the banking laws or of the impact of such laws and regulations on our operations. It is intended only to briefly summarize some material provisions. The following summary is qualified by reference to the statutory and regulatory provisions discussed.

### **Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments**

Markets in the U.S. and elsewhere experienced extreme volatility and disruption beginning in the latter half of 2007 that has continued since then. These circumstances have exerted significant downward pressure on prices of equity securities and virtually all other asset classes, and have resulted in substantially increased market volatility, severely constrained credit and capital markets, particularly for financial institutions, and has caused an overall loss of investor confidence. Loan portfolio performances have deteriorated at many institutions resulting from, among other factors, a weak economy and a decline in the value of the collateral supporting their loans. Dramatic slowdowns in the housing industry, due in part to falling home prices and increasing foreclosures and unemployment, have created strains on financial institutions. Many borrowers are now unable to repay their loans, and the collateral securing these loans has, in some cases, declined below the loan balance. In response to the challenges facing the financial services sector, the following regulatory and governmental actions have recently been enacted.

#### *The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act*

The Dodd-Frank Act impacts financial institutions in numerous ways, including:

- The creation of a Financial Stability Oversight Council responsible for monitoring and managing systemic risk,
- Granting additional authority to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve (the Federal Reserve) to regulate certain types of nonbank financial companies,
- Granting new authority to the FDIC as liquidator and receiver,
- Changing the manner in which deposit insurance assessments are made,
- Requiring regulators to modify capital standards,
- Establishing the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the CFPB),
- Capping interchange fees that banks charge merchants for debit card transactions,
- Imposing more stringent requirements on mortgage lenders, and
- Limiting banks' proprietary trading activities.

There are many provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act mandating regulators to adopt new regulations and conduct studies upon which future regulation may be based. While some have been issued, many remain to be issued. Governmental intervention and new regulations could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.



*Basel Capital Standards*

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the BCBS ), an international forum for cooperation on banking supervisory matters, promulgates capital standards for banking organizations. On July 9, 2013, the federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that will revise their risk-based capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision ( Basel III ) and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule applies to all depository institutions, top-tier bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of \$500 million or more (such as the Company) and top-tier savings and loan holding companies.

## **Table of Contents**

The rule imposes higher risk-based capital and leverage requirements than those currently in place. Specifically, the rule imposes the following minimum capital requirements:

- A new common equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4.5%,
- A Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% (increased from the current 4% requirement),
- A total risk-based capital ratio of 8% (unchanged from current requirements),
- A leverage ratio of 4% and
- A new supplementary leverage ratio of 3% applicable to advanced approaches banking organizations resulting in a leverage ratio requirement of 7% for such institutions.

The rule also includes changes in what constitutes regulatory capital, some of which are subject to a transition period. These changes include the phasing-out of certain instruments as qualifying capital. In addition, Tier 2 capital is no longer limited to the amount of Tier 1 capital included in total capital. Mortgage servicing rights, certain deferred tax assets and investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries over designated percentages of common stock will be required to be deducted from capital, subject to a transition period. Finally, common equity Tier 1 capital will include accumulated other comprehensive income (which includes all unrealized gains and losses on available for sale debt and equity securities), subject to a transition period and a one-time opt-out election.

The new capital requirements also include changes in the risk-weights of assets to better reflect credit risk and other risk exposures. These include a 150% risk weight (up from 100%) for certain high volatility commercial real estate acquisition, development and construction loans and non-residential mortgage loans that are 90 days past due or otherwise on nonaccrual status; a 20% (up from 0%) credit conversion factor for the unused portion of a commitment with an original maturity of one year or less that is not unconditionally cancellable; a 250% risk weight (up from 100%) for mortgage servicing rights and deferred tax assets that are not deducted from capital; and increased risk-weights (from 0% to up to 600%) for equity exposures.

Finally, the rule limits capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a capital conservation buffer consisting of 2.5% of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements.

The final rule became effective on January 1, 2015. The capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning January 1, 2016, at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets, increasing each year until fully implemented at 2.5% on January 1, 2019. It is management's belief that, as of December 31, 2014, the Company and the Bank would have met all capital adequacy requirements under Basel III on a fully phased-in basis if such requirements were currently effective.

The federal banking agencies have not proposed rules implementing the final liquidity framework of Basel III, and have not determined to what extent they will apply to U.S. banks that are not large, internationally active banks.

The ultimate impact of the rule on the Company and the Bank is currently being reviewed and is dependent upon when certain requirements of the rule will be fully phased in. We believe that the final rule will not have a material impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. At this point we cannot determine the ultimate impact that the fully phased-in rule will have upon our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

### *Volcker Rule*

Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act, known as the Volcker Rule, prohibits any bank, bank holding company or affiliate (referred to collectively as banking entities) from engaging in two types of activities: proprietary trading and ownership or sponsorship of private equity or hedge funds that are referred to as covered funds. On December 10, 2013, our primary federal regulators, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC, together with other federal banking agencies, the SEC and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, finalized a regulation to implement the Volcker Rule. The deadline for compliance with the Volcker Rule is July 21, 2015.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Proposed Legislation and Regulatory Action**

From time to time, various legislative and regulatory initiatives are introduced in Congress and state legislatures, as well as by regulatory agencies. Such initiatives may include proposals to expand or contract the powers of bank holding companies and depository institutions or proposals to substantially change the financial institution regulatory system. Such legislation could change banking statutes and the operating environment of the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways. If enacted, such legislation could increase or decrease the cost of doing business, limit or expand permissible activities or affect the competitive balance among banks, savings associations, credit unions, and other financial institutions. We cannot predict whether any such legislation will be enacted, and, if enacted, the effect that it, or any implementing regulations, would have on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company. A change in statutes, regulations or regulatory policies applicable to the Company or the Bank could have a material effect on the business of the Company.

### **Southern First Bancshares, Inc.**

We own 100% of the outstanding capital stock of the Bank, and therefore we are considered to be a bank holding company under the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the Bank Holding Company Act ). As a result, we are primarily subject to the supervision, examination and reporting requirements of the Federal Reserve under the Bank Holding Company Act and its regulations promulgated thereunder. Moreover, as a bank holding company of a bank located in South Carolina, we also are subject to the South Carolina Banking and Branching Efficiency Act.

**Permitted Activities.** Under the Bank Holding Company Act, a bank holding company is generally permitted to engage in, or acquire direct or indirect control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company engaged in, the following activities:

- banking or managing or controlling banks;
- furnishing services to or performing services for our subsidiaries; and
- any activity that the Federal Reserve determines to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident to the business of banking.

Activities that the Federal Reserve has found to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident to the business of banking include:

- factoring accounts receivable;
- making, acquiring, brokering or servicing loans and usual related activities;
- leasing personal or real property;
- operating a non-bank depository institution, such as a savings association;
- trust company functions;
- financial and investment advisory activities;
- conducting discount securities brokerage activities;
- underwriting and dealing in government obligations and money market instruments;
- providing specified management consulting and counseling activities;
- performing selected data processing services and support services;
- acting as agent or broker in selling credit life insurance and other types of insurance in connection with credit transactions; and
- performing selected insurance underwriting activities.

As a bank holding company we also can elect to be treated as a financial holding company, which would allow us to engage in a broader array of activities. In summary, a financial holding company can engage in activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complimentary to financial activities, including insurance underwriting, sales and brokerage activities, providing financial and investment advisory services, underwriting services and limited merchant banking activities. We have not sought financial holding company status, but may elect such status in the future as our business matures. If we were to elect financial holding company

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

status, each insured depository institution we control would have to be well capitalized, well managed and have at least a satisfactory rating under the Community Reinvestment Act as discussed below.

The Federal Reserve has the authority to order a bank holding company or its subsidiaries to terminate any of these activities or to terminate its ownership or control of any subsidiary when it has reasonable cause to believe that the Bank holding company's continued ownership, activity or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of it or any of its bank subsidiaries.

## **Table of Contents**

**Change in Control.** In addition, and subject to certain exceptions, the Bank Holding Company Act and the Change in Bank Control Act, together with regulations promulgated thereunder, require Federal Reserve approval prior to any person or company acquiring control of a bank holding company. Control is conclusively presumed to exist if an individual or company acquires 25% or more of any class of voting securities of a bank holding company. Control will be rebuttably presumed to exist if a person acquires more than 33% of the total equity of a bank or bank holding company, of which it may own, control or have the power to vote not more than 15% of any class of voting securities.

**Source of Strength.** There are a number of obligations and restrictions imposed by law and regulatory policy on bank holding companies with regard to their depository institution subsidiaries that are designed to minimize potential loss to depositors and to the FDIC insurance funds in the event that the depository institution becomes in danger of defaulting under its obligations to repay deposits. Under a policy of the Federal Reserve, a bank holding company is required to serve as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary depository institutions and to commit resources to support such institutions in circumstances where it might not do so absent such policy. Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ( FDICIA ), to avoid receivership of its insured depository institution subsidiary, a bank holding company is required to guarantee the compliance of any insured depository institution subsidiary that may become undercapitalized within the terms of any capital restoration plan filed by such subsidiary with its appropriate federal banking agency up to the lesser of (i) an amount equal to 5% of the institution's total assets at the time the institution became undercapitalized, or (ii) the amount which is necessary (or would have been necessary) to bring the institution into compliance with all applicable capital standards as of the time the institution fails to comply with such capital restoration plan.

The Federal Reserve also has the authority under the Bank Holding Company Act to require a bank holding company to terminate any activity or relinquish control of a nonbank subsidiary (other than a nonbank subsidiary of a bank) upon the Federal Reserve's determination that such activity or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial soundness or stability of any subsidiary depository institution of the bank holding company. Further, federal law grants federal bank regulatory authorities additional discretion to require a bank holding company to divest itself of any bank or nonbank subsidiary if the agency determines that divestiture may aid the depository institution's financial condition.

In addition, the cross guarantee provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act require insured depository institutions under common control to reimburse the FDIC for any loss suffered or reasonably anticipated by the FDIC as a result of the default of a commonly controlled insured depository institution or for any assistance provided by the FDIC to a commonly controlled insured depository institution in danger of default. The FDIC's claim for damages is superior to claims of shareholders of the insured depository institution or its holding company, but is subordinate to claims of depositors, secured creditors and holders of subordinated debt (other than affiliates) of the commonly controlled insured depository institutions.

The FDIA also provides that amounts received from the liquidation or other resolution of any insured depository institution by any receiver must be distributed (after payment of secured claims) to pay the deposit liabilities of the institution prior to payment of any other general or unsecured senior liability, subordinated liability, general creditor or shareholder. This provision would give depositors a preference over general and subordinated creditors and shareholders in the event a receiver is appointed to distribute the assets of our Bank.

Any capital loans by a bank holding company to any of its subsidiary banks are subordinate in right of payment to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of such subsidiary bank. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, any commitment by the bank holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank will be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and entitled to a priority of payment.

**Capital Requirements.** The Federal Reserve imposes certain capital requirements on the bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act, including a minimum leverage ratio and a minimum ratio of qualifying capital to risk-weighted assets. These requirements are described below under Southern First Bank Capital Regulations. Subject to our capital requirements and certain other restrictions, we are able to borrow money to make capital contributions to the Bank, and these loans may be repaid from dividends paid from the Bank to the Company.

We are also able to raise capital for contribution to the Bank by issuing securities without having to receive regulatory approval, subject to compliance with federal and state securities laws.



## **Table of Contents**

**Dividends.** Since the Company is a bank holding company, its ability to declare and pay dividends is dependent on certain federal and state regulatory considerations, including the guidelines of the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement regarding the payment of dividends by bank holding companies. In general, the Federal Reserve's policies provide that dividends should be paid only out of current earnings and only if the prospective rate of earnings retention by the bank holding company appears consistent with the organization's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. The Federal Reserve's policies also require that a bank holding company serve as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary banks by standing ready to use available resources to provide adequate capital funds to those banks during periods of financial stress or adversity and by maintaining the financial flexibility and capital-raising capacity to obtain additional resources for assisting its subsidiary banks where necessary. Further, under the prompt corrective action regulations, the ability of a bank holding company to pay dividends may be restricted if a subsidiary bank becomes undercapitalized. These regulatory policies could affect the ability of the Company to pay dividends or otherwise engage in capital distributions.

In addition, since the Company is legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank and does not conduct stand-alone operations, its ability to pay dividends depends on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to it, which is also subject to regulatory restrictions as described below in Southern First Bank Dividends.

**South Carolina State Regulation.** As a South Carolina bank holding company under the South Carolina Banking and Branching Efficiency Act, we are subject to limitations on sale or merger and to regulation by the South Carolina Board of Financial Institutions (the S.C. Board). We are not required to obtain the approval of the S.C. Board prior to acquiring the capital stock of a national bank, but we must notify them at least 15 days prior to doing so. We must receive the Board's approval prior to engaging in the acquisition of a South Carolina state chartered bank or another South Carolina bank holding company.

## **Southern First Bank**

As a South Carolina bank, deposits in the Bank are insured by the FDIC up to a maximum amount, which is currently \$250,000 per depositor. The S.C. Board and the FDIC regulate or monitor virtually all areas of the Bank's operations including;

- security devices and procedures;
- adequacy of capitalization and loss reserves;
- loans;
- investments;
- borrowings;
- deposits;
- mergers;
- issuances of securities;
- payment of dividends;
- interest rates payable on deposits;
- interest rates or fees chargeable on loans;
- establishment of branches;
- corporate reorganizations;
- maintenance of books and records; and
- adequacy of staff training to carry on safe lending and deposit gathering practices.

The FDIC requires that the Bank maintain specified capital ratios of capital to assets and imposes limitations on the Bank's aggregate investment in real estate, bank premises, and furniture and fixtures. Two categories of regulatory capital are used in calculating these ratios—Tier 1 capital and total capital. Tier 1 capital generally includes common equity, retained earnings, a limited amount of qualifying preferred stock, and qualifying minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, reduced by goodwill and certain other intangible assets, such as core deposit intangibles, and certain other assets. Total capital generally consists of Tier 1 capital



## Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

plus Tier 2 capital, which includes the allowance for loan losses, preferred stock that did not qualify as Tier 1 capital, certain types of subordinated debt and a limited amount of other items.

The Bank is required to calculate three ratios: the ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, the ratio of Total capital to risk-weighted assets, and the leverage ratio, which is the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets on a non-risk-adjusted basis. For the two ratios of capital to risk-weighted assets, certain assets, such as cash and U.S. Treasury securities, have a zero risk weighting. Others, such as commercial and consumer loans, have a 100% risk weighting. Some assets, notably purchase-money loans secured by first-liens on residential real property, are risk-weighted at 50%. Assets also include amounts that represent the potential funding of off-balance sheet obligations such as loan commitments and letters of credit. These potential assets are assigned to risk categories in the same manner as funded assets. The total assets in each category are multiplied by the appropriate risk weighting to determine risk-adjusted assets for the capital calculations.

## **Table of Contents**

The minimum capital ratios for both the Company and the Bank are generally 8% for total capital, 4% for Tier 1 capital and 4% for leverage. To be eligible to be classified as well capitalized, the Bank must generally maintain a total capital ratio of 10% or more, a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6% or more, and a leverage ratio of 5% or more. Certain implications of the regulatory capital classification system are discussed in greater detail below.

All insured institutions must undergo regular on-site examinations by their appropriate banking agency. The cost of examinations of insured depository institutions and any affiliates may be assessed by the appropriate federal banking agency against each institution or affiliate as it deems necessary or appropriate. Insured institutions are required to submit annual reports to the FDIC, their federal regulatory agency, and state supervisor when applicable. The FDIC has developed a method for insured depository institutions to provide supplemental disclosure of the estimated fair market value of assets and liabilities, to the extent feasible and practicable, in any balance sheet, financial statement, report of condition or any other report of any insured depository institution. The federal banking regulatory agencies to prescribe, by regulation, standards for all insured depository institutions and depository institution holding companies relating, among other things, to the following:

- internal controls;
- information systems and audit systems;
- loan documentation;
- credit underwriting;
- interest rate risk exposure; and
- asset quality.

**Prompt Corrective Action.** As an insured depository institution, the Bank is required to comply with the capital requirements promulgated under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and the prompt corrective action regulations thereunder, which set forth five capital categories, each with specific regulatory consequences. Under these regulations, the categories are:

**Well Capitalized** The institution exceeds the required minimum level for each relevant capital measure. A well capitalized institution is one (i) having a total capital ratio of 10% or greater, (ii) having a tier 1 capital ratio of 6% or greater, (iii) having a leverage capital ratio of 5% or greater and (iv) that is not subject to any order or written directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure.

**Adequately Capitalized** The institution meets the required minimum level for each relevant capital measure. No capital distribution may be made that would result in the institution becoming undercapitalized. An adequately capitalized institution is one (i) having a total capital ratio of 8% or greater, (ii) having a tier 1 capital ratio of 4% or greater and (iii) having a leverage capital ratio of 4% or greater or a leverage capital ratio of 3% or greater if the institution is rated composite 1 under the CAMELS (Capital, Assets, Management, Earnings, Liquidity and Sensitivity to market risk) rating system.

**Undercapitalized** The institution fails to meet the required minimum level for any relevant capital measure. An undercapitalized institution is one (i) having a total capital ratio of less than 8% or (ii) having a tier 1 capital ratio of less than 4% or (iii) having a leverage capital ratio of less than 4%, or if the institution is rated a composite 1 under the CAMELS rating system, a leverage capital ratio of less than 3%.

**Significantly Undercapitalized** The institution is significantly below the required minimum level for any relevant capital measure. A significantly undercapitalized institution is one (i) having a total capital ratio of less than 6% or (ii) having a tier 1 capital ratio of less than 3% or (iii) having a leverage capital ratio of less than 3%.

**Critically Undercapitalized** The institution fails to meet a critical capital level set by the appropriate federal banking agency. A critically undercapitalized institution is one having a ratio of tangible equity to total assets that is equal to or less than 2%.

If the FDIC determines, after notice and an opportunity for hearing, that the Bank is in an unsafe or unsound condition, the regulator is authorized to reclassify the Bank to the next lower capital category (other than critically undercapitalized) and require the

submission of a plan to correct the unsafe or unsound condition.

## Table of Contents

If a bank is not well capitalized, it cannot accept brokered deposits without prior regulatory approval. In addition, a bank that is not well capitalized cannot offer an effective yield in excess of 75 basis points over interest paid on deposits of comparable size and maturity in such institution's normal market area for deposits accepted from within its normal market area, or national rate paid on deposits of comparable size and maturity for deposits accepted outside the bank's normal market area. Moreover, the FDIC generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distributions (including payment of a dividend) or paying any management fee to its parent holding company if the depository institution would thereafter be categorized as undercapitalized. Undercapitalized institutions are subject to growth limitations (an undercapitalized institution may not acquire another institution, establish additional branch offices or engage in any new line of business unless determined by the appropriate federal banking agency to be consistent with an accepted capital restoration plan, or unless the FDIC determines that the proposed action will further the purpose of prompt corrective action) and are required to submit a capital restoration plan. The agencies may not accept a capital restoration plan without determining, among other things, that the plan is based on realistic assumptions and is likely to succeed in restoring the depository institution's capital. In addition, for a capital restoration plan to be acceptable, the depository institution's parent holding company must guarantee that the institution will comply with the capital restoration plan. The aggregate liability of the parent holding company is limited to the lesser of an amount equal to 5.0% of the depository institution's total assets at the time it became categorized as undercapitalized or the amount that is necessary (or would have been necessary) to bring the institution into compliance with all capital standards applicable with respect to such institution as of the time it fails to comply with the plan. If a depository institution fails to submit an acceptable plan, it is categorized as significantly undercapitalized.

Significantly undercapitalized categorized depository institutions may be subject to a number of requirements and restrictions, including orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become categorized as adequately capitalized, requirements to reduce total assets, and cessation of receipt of deposits from correspondent banks. The appropriate federal banking agency may take any action authorized for a significantly undercapitalized institution if an undercapitalized institution fails to submit an acceptable capital restoration plan or fails in any material respect to implement a plan accepted by the agency. A critically undercapitalized institution is subject to having a receiver or conservator appointed to manage its affairs and for loss of its charter to conduct banking activities.

An insured depository institution may not pay a management fee to a bank holding company controlling that institution or any other person having control of the institution if, after making the payment, the institution would be undercapitalized. In addition, an institution cannot make a capital distribution, such as a dividend or other distribution, that is in substance a distribution of capital to the owners of the institution if following such a distribution the institution would be undercapitalized. Thus, if payment of such a management fee or the making of such would cause a bank to become undercapitalized, it could not pay a management fee or dividend to the bank holding company.

As of December 31, 2014, the Bank was deemed to be well capitalized.

As further described under *Recent Legislative and Regulatory Initiatives to Address the Financial and Economic Crises* *Basel Capital Standards*, on July 9, 2013, the federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that will revise their risk-based capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by Basel III and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule became effective on January 1, 2015 and applies to all depository institutions, top-tier bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of \$500 million or more (such as the Company) and top-tier savings and loan holding companies. It is management's belief that, as of December 31, 2014, the Company and the Bank would have met all capital adequacy requirements under Basel III on a fully phased-in basis if such requirements were currently effective.

**Standards for Safety and Soundness.** The Federal Deposit Insurance Act also requires the federal banking regulatory agencies to prescribe, by regulation or guideline, operational and managerial standards for all insured depository institutions relating to: (i) internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems; (ii) loan documentation; (iii) credit underwriting; (iv) interest rate risk exposure; and (v) asset growth. The agencies also must prescribe standards for asset quality, earnings, and stock valuation, as well as standards for compensation, fees and benefits. The federal banking agencies have adopted regulations and Interagency Guidelines Prescribing Standards for Safety and Soundness to implement these required standards. These guidelines set forth the safety and soundness standards that the federal banking agencies use to identify and address problems at insured depository institutions before capital becomes impaired. Under the regulations, if the FDIC determines that the Bank fails to meet any standards prescribed by the guidelines, the agency may require the Bank to submit to the agency an acceptable plan to achieve compliance with the standard, as required by the FDIC. The final regulations establish deadlines for the submission and review of such safety and soundness compliance plans.



## **Table of Contents**

***Insurance of Accounts and Regulation by the FDIC.*** The Bank's deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC. As insurer, the FDIC imposes deposit insurance premiums and is authorized to conduct examinations of and to require reporting by FDIC insured institutions. It also may prohibit any FDIC insured institution from engaging in any activity the FDIC determines by regulation or order to pose a serious risk to the insurance fund. The FDIC also has the authority to initiate enforcement actions against savings institutions, after giving the bank's regulatory authority an opportunity to take such action, and may terminate the deposit insurance if it determines that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or is in an unsafe or unsound condition.

FDIC insured institutions are required to pay a Financing Corporation assessment to fund the interest on bonds issued to resolve thrift failures in the 1980s. The Financing Corporation quarterly assessment for the fourth quarter of 2014 equaled 1.79 basis points for each \$100 of average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity. These assessments, which may be revised based upon the level of deposits, will continue until the bonds mature in the years 2017 through 2019.

The FDIC may terminate the deposit insurance of any insured depository institution, including the Bank, if it determines after a hearing that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC. It also may suspend deposit insurance temporarily during the hearing process for the permanent termination of insurance if the institution has no tangible capital. If insurance of accounts is terminated, the accounts at the institution at the time of the termination, less subsequent withdrawals, shall continue to be insured for a period of six months to two years, as determined by the FDIC. Management is not aware of any practice, condition or violation that might lead to termination of the Bank's deposit insurance.

***Transactions with Affiliates and Insiders.*** The Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank and its other subsidiaries. Various legal limitations restrict the Bank from lending or otherwise supplying funds to the Company or its non-bank subsidiaries. The Company and the Bank are subject to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and Federal Reserve Regulation W.

Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act places limits on the amount of loans or extensions of credit to, or investments in, or certain other transactions with, affiliates and on the amount of advances to third parties collateralized by the securities or obligations of affiliates. The aggregate of all covered transactions is limited in amount, as to any one affiliate, to 10% of the Bank's capital and surplus and, as to all affiliates combined, to 20% of the Bank's capital and surplus. Furthermore, within the foregoing limitations as to amount, each covered transaction must meet specified collateral requirements. The Bank is forbidden to purchase low quality assets from an affiliate.

The Dodd-Frank Act expanded the definition of affiliate for purposes of quantitative and qualitative limitations of Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act to include mutual funds advised by a depository institution or its affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act will apply Section 23A and Section 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act (governing transactions with insiders) to derivative transactions, repurchase agreements and securities lending and borrowing transaction that create credit exposure to an affiliate or an insider. Any such transactions with affiliates must be fully secured. The current exemption from Section 23A for transactions with financial subsidiaries will be eliminated. The Dodd Frank Act will additionally prohibit an insured depository institution from purchasing an asset from or selling an asset to an insider unless the transaction is on market terms and, if representing more than 10% of capital, is approved in advance by the disinterested directors.

Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, among other things, prohibits an institution from engaging in certain transactions with certain affiliates unless the transactions are on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to such institution or its subsidiaries, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with nonaffiliated companies.

Regulation W generally excludes all non-bank and non-savings association subsidiaries of banks from treatment as affiliates, except to the extent that the Federal Reserve decides to treat these subsidiaries as affiliates. The regulation also limits the amount of loans that can be purchased by a bank from an affiliate to not more than 100% of the bank's capital and surplus.

The Bank is also subject to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, certain principal stockholders, and their related interests. Such extensions of credit (i) must be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral requirements, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated third parties and (ii) must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features.



## **Table of Contents**

**Dividends.** The Company's principal source of cash flow, including cash flow to pay dividends to its shareholders, is dividends it receives from the Bank. Statutory and regulatory limitations apply to the Bank's payment of dividends to the Company. As a South Carolina chartered bank, the Bank is subject to limitations on the amount of dividends that it is permitted to pay. Unless otherwise instructed by the S.C. Board, the Bank is generally permitted under South Carolina state banking regulations to pay cash dividends of up to 100% of net income in any calendar year without obtaining the prior approval of the S.C. Board. The FDIC also has the authority under federal law to enjoin a bank from engaging in what in its opinion constitutes an unsafe or unsound practice in conducting its business, including the payment of a dividend under certain circumstances.

**Branching.** Under current South Carolina law, the Bank may open branch offices throughout South Carolina with the prior approval of the S.C. Board. In addition, with prior regulatory approval, the Bank is able to acquire existing banking operations in South Carolina. Furthermore, federal legislation permits interstate branching, including out-of-state acquisitions by bank holding companies, interstate branching by banks, and interstate merging by banks. The Dodd-Frank Act removes previous state law restrictions on de novo interstate branching in states such as South Carolina. This change permits out-of-state banks to open de novo branches in states where the laws of the state where the de novo branch to be opened would permit a bank chartered by that state to open a de novo branch.

**Community Reinvestment Act.** The Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) requires that the FDIC evaluate the record of the Bank in meeting the credit needs of its local community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. These factors are also considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions, and applications to open a branch or facility. Failure to adequately meet these criteria could impose additional requirements and limitations on our Bank.

The Gramm Leach Bliley Act (the GLBA) made various changes to the CRA. Among other changes, CRA agreements with private parties must be disclosed and annual CRA reports must be made available to a bank's primary federal regulator. A bank holding company will not be permitted to become a financial holding company and no new activities authorized under the GLBA may be commenced by a holding company or by a bank financial subsidiary if any of its bank subsidiaries received less than a satisfactory CRA rating in its latest CRA examination.

On February 15, 2011, the date of the most recent examination, the Bank received a satisfactory CRA rating.

**Consumer Protection Regulations.** Activities of the Bank are subject to a variety of statutes and regulations designed to protect consumers. Interest and other charges collected or contracted for by the Bank are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates. The Bank's loan operations are also subject to federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as:

- the federal Truth-in-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;
- the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;
- the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibited factors in extending credit;
- the Fair Credit Reporting Act of 1978, as amended by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies, certain identity theft protections, and certain credit and other disclosures;
- the Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies; and
- the rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

The deposit operations of the Bank also are subject to:

- the Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records; and
- the Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E issued by the Federal Reserve Board to implement that Act, which governs automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services.



## **Table of Contents**

**Anti-Money Laundering.** Financial institutions must maintain anti-money laundering programs that include established internal policies, procedures, and controls; a designated compliance officer; an ongoing employee training program; and testing of the program by an independent audit function. The Company and the Bank are also prohibited from entering into specified financial transactions and account relationships and must meet enhanced standards for due diligence and knowing your customer in their dealings with foreign financial institutions and foreign customers. Financial institutions must take reasonable steps to conduct enhanced scrutiny of account relationships to guard against money laundering and to report any suspicious transactions, and recent laws provide law enforcement authorities with increased access to financial information maintained by banks. Anti-money laundering obligations have been substantially strengthened as a result of the USA Patriot Act, enacted in 2001 and renewed in 2006. Bank regulators routinely examine institutions for compliance with these obligations and are required to consider compliance in connection with the regulatory review of applications. The regulatory authorities have been active in imposing cease and desist orders and money penalty sanctions against institutions found to be violating these obligations.

**USA PATRIOT Act.** The USA PATRIOT Act became effective on October 26, 2001, amended, in part, the Bank Secrecy Act and provides, in part, for the facilitation of information sharing among governmental entities and financial institutions for the purpose of combating terrorism and money laundering by enhancing anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws, as well as enhanced information collection tools and enforcement mechanics for the U.S. government, including: (i) requiring standards for verifying customer identification at account opening; (ii) rules to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators, and law enforcement entities in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering; (iii) reports by nonfinancial trades and businesses filed with the Treasury Department's Financial Crimes Enforcement Network for transactions exceeding \$10,000; and (iv) filing suspicious activities reports by brokers and dealers if they believe a customer may be violating U.S. laws and regulations and requires enhanced due diligence requirements for financial institutions that administer, maintain, or manage private bank accounts or correspondent accounts for non-U.S. persons. Bank regulators routinely examine institutions for compliance with these obligations and are required to consider compliance in connection with the regulatory review of applications.

Under the USA PATRIOT Act, the Federal Bureau of Investigation ( FBI ) can send our banking regulatory agencies lists of the names of persons suspected of involvement in terrorist activities. The Bank can be requested, to search its records for any relationships or transactions with persons on those lists. If the Bank finds any relationships or transactions, it must file a suspicious activity report and contact the FBI.

The Office of Foreign Assets Control ( OFAC ), which is a division of the U.S. Treasury, is responsible for helping to insure that U.S. entities do not engage in transactions with enemies of the U.S., as defined by various Executive Orders and Acts of Congress. OFAC has sent, and will send, our banking regulatory agencies lists of names of persons and organizations suspected of aiding, harboring or engaging in terrorist acts. If the Bank finds a name on any transaction, account or wire transfer that is on an OFAC list, it must freeze such account, file a suspicious activity report and notify the FBI. The Bank has appointed an OFAC compliance officer to oversee the inspection of its accounts and the filing of any notifications. The Bank actively checks high-risk OFAC areas such as new accounts, wire transfers and customer files. The Bank performs these checks utilizing software, which is updated each time a modification is made to the lists provided by OFAC and other agencies of Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons.

**Privacy and Credit Reporting.** Financial institutions are required to disclose their policies for collecting and protecting confidential information. Customers generally may prevent financial institutions from sharing nonpublic personal financial information with nonaffiliated third parties except under narrow circumstances, such as the processing of transactions requested by the consumer. Additionally, financial institutions generally may not disclose consumer account numbers to any nonaffiliated third party for use in telemarketing, direct mail marketing or other marketing to consumers. It is the Bank's policy not to disclose any personal information unless required by law.

In addition, pursuant to the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 (the FACT Act ) and the implementing regulations of the federal banking agencies and Federal Trade Commission, the Bank is required to have in place an identity theft red flags program to detect, prevent and mitigate identity theft. The Bank has implemented an identity theft red flags program designed to meet the requirements of the FACT Act and the joint final rules. Additionally, the FACT Act amends the Fair Credit Reporting Act to generally prohibit a person from using information received from an affiliate to make a solicitation for marketing purposes to a consumer, unless the consumer is given notice and a reasonable opportunity and a reasonable and simple method to opt out of the making of such solicitations.

**Federal Home Loan Bank System.** The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Atlanta, which is one of 12 regional FHLBs that administer home financing credit for depository institutions. Each FHLB serves as a reserve or central bank for its members within its assigned region. It is funded primarily from proceeds derived from the sale of consolidated obligations of the FHLB System. It makes loans or advances to members in accordance with policies and procedures established by the Board of Directors of the FHLB, which are subject to the oversight of the Federal Housing Financing Board. All advances from the FHLB, which are subject to the oversight of the Federal Housing Finance Board. All advances from the FHLB are required to be fully secured by sufficient collateral as determined by the FHLB.

## **Table of Contents**

***Effect of Governmental Monetary Policies.*** Our earnings are affected by domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. government and its agencies. The Federal Reserve's monetary policies have had, and are likely to continue to have, an important impact on the operating results of commercial banks through its power to implement national monetary policy in order, among other things, to curb inflation or combat a recession. The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve have major effects upon the levels of bank loans, investments and deposits through its open market operations in United States government securities and through its regulation of the discount rate on borrowings of member banks and the reserve requirements against member bank deposits. It is not possible to predict the nature or impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

***Incentive Compensation.*** In June 2010, the Federal Reserve, the FDIC and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ( OCC ) issued a comprehensive final guidance on incentive compensation policies intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of banking organizations do not undermine the safety and soundness of such organizations by encouraging excessive risk-taking. The guidance, which covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of an organization, either individually or as part of a group, is based upon the key principles that a banking organization's incentive compensation arrangements should (i) provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage risks, (ii) be compatible with effective internal controls and risk management, and (iii) be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the organization's board of directors.

## **Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

The following risk factors and other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may adversely impact our business operations. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition, operating results, and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

### **Risks Related to our Business**

#### ***Liquidity needs could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.***

Dividends from the Bank provide the primary source of funds for the Company. The primary sources of funds of the Bank are client deposits and loan repayments. While scheduled loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, they are subject to the ability of borrowers to repay the loans. The ability of borrowers to repay loans can be adversely affected by a number of factors, including changes in economic conditions, adverse trends or events affecting business industry groups, reductions in real estate values or markets, business closings or lay-offs, inclement weather, natural disasters and international instability.

Additionally, deposit levels may be affected by a number of factors, including rates paid by competitors, general interest rate levels, regulatory capital requirements, returns available to clients on alternative investments and general economic conditions. Accordingly, we may be required from time to time to rely on secondary sources of liquidity to meet withdrawal demands or otherwise fund operations. Such sources include proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances, sales of investment securities and loans, and federal funds lines of credit from correspondent banks, as well as out-of-market time deposits. While we believe that these sources are currently adequate, there can be no assurance they will be sufficient to meet future liquidity demands, particularly if we continue to grow and experience increasing loan demand. We may be required to slow or discontinue loan growth, capital expenditures or other investments or liquidate assets should such sources not be adequate.

The Company is a stand alone entity with its own liquidity needs to service its debt or other obligations. Other than dividends from the Bank, the Company does not have additional means of generating liquidity without obtaining additional debt or equity funding. If we are unable to receive dividends from the Bank or obtain additional funding, we may be unable to pay our debt or other obligations.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Changes in economic conditions, in particular an economic slowdown in South Carolina, could materially and negatively affect our business.***

Our business is directly affected by factors such as economic, political and market conditions, broad trends in industry and finance, legislative and regulatory changes, changes in government monetary and fiscal policies and inflation, all of which are beyond our control. Any further deterioration in economic conditions, whether caused by national or local concerns, in particular any further economic slowdown in South Carolina, could result in the following consequences, any of which could hurt our business materially: loan delinquencies may increase; problem assets and foreclosures may increase; demand for our products and services may decrease; low cost or noninterest bearing deposits may decrease; and collateral for loans made by us, especially real estate, may decline in value, in turn reducing clients' borrowing power, and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with our existing loans. The State of South Carolina and certain local governments in our market area continue to face fiscal challenges the long-term impact of which cannot be predicted.

### ***The current economic environment poses significant challenges for us and could reduce our client base, our level of deposits, and demand for financial products such as loans.***

Our success significantly depends upon the growth in population, income levels, deposits, and housing starts in our markets. The current economic environment has negatively affected the markets in which we operate and, in turn, the quality of our loan portfolio. If the communities in which we operate do not grow or if prevailing economic conditions locally or nationally remain challenging and uncertain, our business may not succeed. While conditions appear to have begun to improve generally, a period of uncertainty would likely result in the deterioration of the quality of our loan portfolio and reduce our level of deposits, which in turn would hurt our business. Interest received on loans represented approximately 95.4% of our interest income for the year ended December 31, 2014. If the economy as a whole remains challenged and uncertain, borrowers will be less likely to repay their loans as scheduled. Moreover, in many cases the value of real estate or other collateral that secures our loans has been adversely affected by the economic conditions and could continue to be negatively affected. Unlike many larger institutions, we are not able to spread the risks of unfavorable local economic conditions across a large number of diversified economies. A continued period of economic uncertainty could, therefore, result in losses that materially and adversely affect our business.

### ***Negative developments in the financial industry, the domestic and international credit markets, and the economy in general, may adversely affect our operations and results.***

Negative developments in the global credit and securitization markets have resulted in uncertainty in the financial markets in general. As a result of this credit crunch, commercial as well as consumer loan portfolio performance deteriorated at institutions and the competition for deposits and quality loans has increased significantly. In addition, the values of real estate collateral supporting many commercial loans and home mortgages have declined and may continue to decline. As a result, we may face the following risks:

- economic conditions that negatively affect housing prices and the job market may cause the credit quality of our loan portfolios to deteriorate;
- market developments that affect consumer confidence may cause adverse changes in payment patterns by our clients, causing increases in delinquencies and default rates on loans and other credit facilities;
- the processes that we use to estimate our allowance for loan and lease losses and reserves may no longer be reliable because they rely on judgments, such as forecasts of economic conditions, that may no longer be capable of accurate estimation;
- the value of our securities portfolio may decline; and

we face increased regulation of our industry, and the costs of compliance with such regulation, including the diversion of management efforts, may increase, limiting our ability to pursue business opportunities.

These conditions or similar ones may continue to persist or worsen, causing us to experience continuing or increased adverse effects on our business, financial condition, results of operations and the price of our common stock.

### ***Our small- to medium-sized business target markets may have fewer financial resources to weather a downturn in the economy.***

We target the banking and financial services needs of small- and medium-sized businesses. These businesses generally have fewer financial resources in terms of capital borrowing capacity than larger entities. If general economic conditions continue to negatively affect these businesses in the markets in which we operate, our business, financial condition, and results of operations

may be adversely affected.

## **Table of Contents**

***Competition with other financial institutions may have an adverse effect on our ability to retain and grow our client base, which could have a negative effect on our financial condition or results of operations.***

The banking and financial services industry is very competitive and includes services offered from other banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, mortgage companies, other lenders, and institutions offering uninsured investment alternatives. Legal and regulatory developments have made it easier for new and sometimes unregulated competitors to compete with us. The financial services industry has and is experiencing an ongoing trend towards consolidation in which fewer large national and regional banks and other financial institutions are replacing many smaller and more local banks. These larger banks and other financial institutions hold a large accumulation of assets and have significantly greater resources and a wider geographic presence or greater accessibility. In some instances, these larger entities operate without the traditional brick and mortar facilities that restrict geographic presence. Some competitors have more aggressive marketing campaigns and better brand recognition, and are able to offer more services, more favorable pricing or greater customer convenience than the Bank. In addition, competition has increased from new banks and other financial services providers that target our existing or potential clients. As consolidation continues among large banks, we expect other smaller institutions to try to compete in the markets we serve. This competition could reduce our net income by decreasing the number and size of the loans that we originate and the interest rates we charge on these loans. Additionally, these competitors may offer higher interest rates, which could decrease the deposits we attract or require us to increase rates to retain existing deposits or attract new deposits. Increased deposit competition could adversely affect our ability to generate the funds necessary for lending operations which could increase our cost of funds.

The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Banks, securities firms and insurance companies can merge as part of a financial holding company, which can offer virtually any type of financial service, including banking, securities underwriting, insurance (both agency and underwriting) and merchant banking. Technological developments have allowed competitors, including some non-depository institutions, to compete more effectively in local markets and have expanded the range of financial products, services and capital available to our target clients. If we are unable to implement, maintain and use such technologies effectively, we may not be able to offer products or achieve cost-efficiencies necessary to compete in the industry. In addition, some of these competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and lower cost structures.

***We are dependent on key individuals and the loss of one or more of these key individuals could curtail our growth and adversely affect our prospects.***

R. Arthur Seaver, Jr., our chief executive officer, F. Justin Strickland, our president, Michael D. Dowling, our chief financial officer, and Lenwood B. Howell, our Charleston regional executive, each has extensive and long-standing ties within our primary market area and substantial experience with our operations, and each has contributed significantly to our growth. If we lose the services of any of these individuals, they would be difficult to replace and our business and development could be materially and adversely affected.

Our success also depends, in part, on our continued ability to attract and retain experienced loan originators, as well as other management personnel, including Fred Gilmer, III, Michael M. Strickland, Jason E. Starnes, and Carolyn A. Herbert. Competition for personnel is intense, and we may not be successful in attracting or retaining qualified personnel. Our failure to compete for these personnel, or the loss of the services of several of such key personnel, could adversely affect our growth strategy and seriously harm our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

***The success of our growth strategy depends on our ability to identify and retain individuals with experience and relationships in the markets in which we intend to expand.***

To expand our franchise successfully, we must identify and retain experienced key management members with local expertise and relationships in these markets. We expect that competition for qualified management in the markets in which we may expand will be intense and that there will be a limited number of qualified persons with knowledge of and experience in the community banking industry in these markets. Even if we identify individuals that we believe could assist us in establishing a presence in a new market, we may be unable to recruit these individuals away from more established financial institutions. In addition, the process of identifying and recruiting individuals with the combination of skills and attributes required to carry out our strategy requires both management and financial resources and is often lengthy. Our inability to identify, recruit, and retain talented personnel to manage new offices effectively would limit our growth and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.



## **Table of Contents**

### ***We will face risks with respect to future expansion.***

We may expand into new markets, as we did in Columbia, South Carolina in 2007 and Charleston, South Carolina in 2012. We may also expand our lines of business or offer new products or services as well as seek to acquire other financial institutions or parts of those institutions. These activities would involve a number of risks, including:

- the time and costs of evaluating new markets, hiring or retaining experienced local management, and opening new offices and the time lags between these activities and the generation of sufficient assets and deposits to support the costs of the expansion;
- the incurrence and possible impairment of goodwill associated with an acquisition and possible adverse effects on our results of operations;
- the potential inaccuracy of the estimates and judgments used to evaluate credit, operations, management, and market risks with respect to a target institution;
- the risk that we may be unsuccessful in attracting and retaining deposits and originating high quality loans in these new markets;
- and
- the risk of loss of key employees and clients.

### ***A significant portion of our loan portfolio is secured by real estate, and events that negatively affect the real estate market could hurt our business.***

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 81.2% of our loans had real estate as a primary or secondary component of collateral. The real estate collateral in each case provides an alternate source of repayment in the event of default by the borrower and may deteriorate in value during the time the credit is extended. A continued weakening of the real estate market in our primary market areas could result in an increase in the number of borrowers who default on their loans and a reduction in the value of the collateral securing their loans, which in turn could have an adverse effect on our profitability and asset quality. If we are required to liquidate the collateral securing a loan to satisfy the debt during a period of reduced real estate values, our earnings and capital could be adversely affected. Acts of nature, including hurricanes, tornados, earthquakes, fires and floods, which may cause uninsured damage and other loss of value to real estate that secures these loans, may also negatively affect our financial condition.

### ***Our loan portfolio contains a number of real estate loans with relatively large balances.***

Because our loan portfolio contains a number of real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant increase in nonperforming loans, which could result in a net loss of earnings, an increase in the provision for loan losses and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

### ***Commercial real estate loans increase our exposure to credit risk.***

At December 31, 2014, 48.8% of our loan portfolio was secured by commercial real estate. Loans secured by commercial real estate are generally viewed as having more risk of default than loans secured by residential real estate or consumer loans because repayment of the loans often depends on the successful operation of the property, the income stream of the borrowers, the accuracy of the estimate of the property's value at completion of construction, and the estimated cost of construction. Such loans are generally more risky than loans secured by residential real estate or consumer loans because those loans are typically not secured by real estate collateral. An adverse development with respect to one lending relationship can expose us to a significantly greater risk of loss compared with a single-family residential mortgage loan because we typically have more than one loan with such borrowers. Additionally, these loans typically involve larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers compared with single-family residential mortgage loans. Therefore, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant decline in the related asset quality. Because of the general economic slowdown we are currently experiencing, these loans represent higher risk and could result in a sharp increase in loans charged-off and could require us to significantly increase our allowance for loan losses, which could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

### ***Repayment of our commercial business loans is often dependent on the cash flows of the borrower, which may be unpredictable, and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value.***

At December 31, 2014, commercial business loans comprised 17.2% of our total loan portfolio. Our commercial business loans are originated primarily based on the identified cash flow and general liquidity of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying



collateral provided by the borrower and/or repayment capacity of any guarantor. The borrower's cash flow may be unpredictable, and collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Although commercial business loans are often collateralized by equipment, inventory, accounts receivable, or other business assets, the liquidation of collateral in the event of default is often an insufficient source of repayment because accounts receivable may be uncollectible and inventories may be obsolete or of limited use. In addition, business assets may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise, and may fluctuate in value based on the success of the business. Accordingly, the repayment of commercial business loans depends primarily on the cash flow and credit worthiness of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral value provided by the borrower and liquidity of the guarantor. If these borrowers do not have sufficient cash flows or resources to pay these loans as they come due or the value of the underlying collateral is insufficient to fully secure these loans, we may suffer losses on these loans that exceed our allowance for loan losses.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***We may have higher loan losses than we have allowed for in our allowance for loan losses.***

Our actual loans losses could exceed our allowance for loan losses and therefore our historic allowance for loan losses may not be adequate. As of December 31, 2014, approximately 48.8% of our loan portfolio was secured by commercial real estate. Repayment of such loans is generally considered more subject to market risk than residential mortgage loans. Industry experience shows that a portion of loans will become delinquent and a portion of loans will require partial or entire charge-off. Regardless of the underwriting criteria utilized, losses may be experienced as a result of various factors beyond our control, including among other things, changes in market conditions affecting the value of loan collateral, the cash flows of our borrowers and problems affecting borrower credit. If we suffer loan losses that exceed our allowance for loans losses, our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

### ***Our decisions regarding allowance for loan losses and credit risk may materially and adversely affect our business.***

Making loans and other extensions of credit is an essential element of our business. Although we seek to mitigate risks inherent in lending by adhering to specific underwriting practices, our loans and other extensions of credit may not be repaid. The risk of nonpayment is affected by a number of factors, including:

- the duration of the credit;
- credit risks of a particular client;
- changes in economic and industry conditions; and
- in the case of a collateralized loan, risks resulting from uncertainties about the future value of the collateral.

We attempt to maintain an appropriate allowance for loan losses to provide for probable losses in our loan portfolio. We periodically determine the amount of the allowance based on consideration of several factors, including but not limited to:

- an ongoing review of the quality, mix, and size of our overall loan portfolio;
- our historical loan loss experience;
- evaluation of economic conditions;
- regular reviews of loan delinquencies and loan portfolio quality;
- ongoing review of financial information provided by borrowers; and
- the amount and quality of collateral, including guarantees, securing the loans.

The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires us to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Continuing deterioration in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of our control, may require an increase in the allowance for loan losses. In addition, regulatory agencies periodically review our allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for loan losses, we will need additional provisions to increase the allowance for loan losses. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

### ***Lack of seasoning of our loan portfolio may increase the risk of credit defaults in the future.***

Due to the growth of the Bank and expansion into new markets over the past several years, a portion of the loans in our loan portfolio and our lending relationships are of relatively recent origin. In general, loans do not begin to show signs of credit deterioration or default until they have been outstanding for some period of time, a process we refer to as seasoning. As a result, a portfolio of older loans will usually behave more predictably than a newer portfolio. Because our loan portfolio is relatively new, the current level of delinquencies and defaults may not be representative of the level that will prevail when the portfolio becomes more seasoned, which may be higher than current levels. If delinquencies and defaults increase, we may be required to increase our provision for loan losses, which would adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Changes in prevailing interest rates may reduce our profitability.***

Our results of operations depend in large part upon the level of our net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and other borrowings. Depending on the terms and maturities of our assets and liabilities, a significant change in interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our profitability. Many factors cause changes in interest rates, including governmental monetary policies and domestic and international economic and political conditions. As of December 31, 2014, approximately 74% of our loan portfolio was in fixed rate loans, while only 26% was in variable rate loans. In a rising rate environment, the higher percentage of fixed rate loans could have an adverse effect on our profitability. While we intend to manage the effects of changes in interest rates by adjusting the terms, maturities, and pricing of our assets and liabilities, our efforts may not be effective and our financial condition and results of operations could suffer.

### ***We may not be able to adequately anticipate and respond to changes in market interest rates.***

We may be unable to anticipate changes in market interest rates, which are affected by many factors beyond our control including but not limited to inflation, recession, unemployment, money supply, monetary policy, and other changes that affect financial markets both domestic and foreign. Our net interest income is affected not only by the level and direction of interest rates, but also by the shape of the yield curve and relationships between interest sensitive instruments and key driver rates, as well as balance sheet growth, client loan and deposit preferences, and the timing of changes in these variables. In the event rates increase, our interest costs on liabilities may increase more rapidly than our income on interest earning assets, resulting in a deterioration of net interest margins. As such, fluctuations in interest rates could have significant adverse effects on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, our mortgage operations provide a portion of our noninterest income. We generate mortgage revenues primarily from gains on the sale of residential mortgage loans pursuant to programs currently offered by Fannie Mae, Ginnie Mae or Freddie Mac. In a rising or higher interest rate environment, our originations of mortgage loans may decrease, resulting in fewer loans that are available to be sold to investors, which would decrease mortgage revenues in noninterest income. In addition, our results of operations are affected by the amount of noninterest expenses associated with mortgage activities, such as salaries and employee benefits, other loan expense, and other costs. During periods of reduced loan demand, our results of operations may be adversely affected to the extent that we are unable to reduce expenses commensurate with the decline in loan originations.

### ***We rely on other companies to provide key components of our business infrastructure.***

Third parties provide key components of our business operations such as data processing, recording and monitoring transactions, online banking interfaces and services, internet connections and network access. While we have selected these third party vendors carefully, we do not control their actions. Any problem caused by these third parties, including poor performance of services, data breaches, failure to provide services, disruptions in communication services provided by a vendor and failure to handle current or higher volumes, could adversely affect our ability to deliver products and services to our clients and otherwise conduct our business, and may harm our reputation. Financial or operational difficulties of a third party vendor could also hurt our operations if those difficulties interfere with the vendor's ability to serve us. Replacing these third party vendors could also create significant delay and expense. Accordingly, use of such third parties creates an unavoidable inherent risk to our business operations.

### ***We may be adversely affected by the soundness of other financial institutions.***

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including commercial banks, brokers and dealers, investment banks, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of a default by a counterparty or client. In addition, our credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by the Bank cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the credit or derivative exposure due to the Bank. Any such losses could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

## **Table of Contents**

***We depend on the accuracy and completeness of information about clients and counterparties and our financial condition could be adversely affected if we rely on misleading information.***

In deciding whether to extend credit or to enter into other transactions with clients and counterparties, we may rely on information furnished to us by or on behalf of clients and counterparties, including financial statements and other financial information, which we do not independently verify. We also may rely on representations of clients and counterparties as to the accuracy and completeness of that information and, with respect to financial statements, on reports of independent auditors. For example, in deciding whether to extend credit to clients, we may assume that a client's audited financial statements conform with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ( GAAP ) and present fairly, in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the client. Our financial condition and results of operations could be negatively affected to the extent we rely on financial statements that do not comply with GAAP or are materially misleading.

***A percentage of the loans in our portfolio currently include exceptions to our loan policies and supervisory guidelines.***

All of the loans that we make are subject to written loan policies adopted by our board of directors and to supervisory guidelines imposed by our regulators. Our loan policies are designed to reduce the risks associated with the loans that we make by requiring our loan officers to take certain steps that vary depending on the type and amount of the loan, prior to closing a loan. These steps include, among other things, making sure the proper liens are documented and perfected on property securing a loan, and requiring proof of adequate insurance coverage on property securing loans. Loans that do not fully comply with our loan policies are known as exceptions. We categorize exceptions as policy exceptions, financial statement exceptions and document exceptions. As a result of these exceptions, such loans may have a higher risk of loan loss than the other loans in our portfolio that fully comply with our loan policies. In addition, we may be subject to regulatory action by federal or state banking authorities if they believe the number of exceptions in our loan portfolio represents an unsafe banking practice.

***Our operational or security systems may experience an interruption or breach in security, including as a result of cyber-attacks.***

We rely heavily on communications and information systems to conduct our business. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems, including as a result of cyber-attacks, could result in failures or disruptions in our client relationship management, deposit, loan, and other systems and also the disclosure or misuse of confidential or proprietary information. While we have systems, policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption or security breach of our information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of our information systems could damage our reputation, result in a loss of client business, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Furthermore, information security risks for financial institutions have generally increased in recent years in part because of the proliferation of new technologies, the use of the Internet and telecommunications technologies to conduct financial transactions, and the increased sophistication and activities of organized crime, hackers, terrorists, activists, and other external parties. Our technologies, systems, networks, and our customers' devices may become the target of cyber-attacks or information security breaches that could result in the unauthorized release, gathering, monitoring, misuse, loss or destruction of our or our customers' confidential, proprietary and other information, or otherwise disrupt our or our customers' or other third parties' business operations. As cyber threats continue to evolve, we may also be required to expend significant additional resources to continue to modify or enhance our protective measures or to investigate and remediate any information security vulnerabilities.

While we have not experienced any material losses relating to cyber-attacks or other information security breaches to date, we may suffer such losses in the future and any information security breach could result in significant costs to us, which may include fines and penalties, potential liabilities from governmental or third party investigations, proceedings or litigation, legal, forensic and consulting fees and expenses, costs and diversion of management attention required for investigation and remediation actions, and the negative impact on our reputation and loss of confidence of our customers and others, any of which could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and operating results.



## **Table of Contents**

### ***Our controls and procedures may fail or be circumvented.***

We regularly review and update our internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of our controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### ***Negative public opinion surrounding the Company and the financial institutions industry generally could damage our reputation and adversely impact our earnings.***

Reputation risk, or the risk to our business, earnings and capital from negative public opinion surrounding the Company and the financial institutions industry generally, is inherent in our business. Negative public opinion can result from our actual or alleged conduct in any number of activities, including lending practices, corporate governance and acquisitions, and from actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to those activities. Negative public opinion can adversely affect our ability to keep and attract clients and employees and can expose us to litigation and regulatory action. Although we take steps to minimize reputation risk in dealing with our clients and communities, this risk will always be present given the nature of our business.

## **Regulatory and Legal Risks**

### ***We are subject to extensive regulation that could restrict our activities, have an adverse impact on our operations, and impose financial requirements or limitations on the conduct of our business.***

We operate in a highly regulated industry and are subject to examination, supervision, and comprehensive regulation by various regulatory agencies. We are subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve. The Bank is subject to extensive regulation, supervision, and examination by our primary federal regulator, the FDIC, the regulating authority that insures client deposits, and by our primary state regulator, the S.C. Board. Also, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Bank must comply with applicable regulations of the Federal Housing Finance Board and the Federal Home Loan Bank. Regulation by these agencies is intended primarily for the protection of our depositors and the deposit insurance fund and not for the benefit of our shareholders. The Bank's activities are also regulated under consumer protection laws applicable to our lending, deposit, and other activities. A sufficient claim against us under these laws could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Further, changes in laws, regulations and regulatory practices affecting the financial services industry could subject us to additional costs, limit the types of financial services and products we may offer and/or increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, among other things. Failure to comply with laws, regulations or policies could also result in heightened regulatory scrutiny and in sanctions by regulatory agencies (such as a memorandum of understanding, a written supervisory agreement or a cease and desist order), civil money penalties and/or reputation damage. Any of these consequences could restrict our ability to expand our business or could require us to raise additional capital or sell assets on terms that are not advantageous to us or our shareholders and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. While we have policies and procedures designed to prevent any such violations, such violations may occur despite our best efforts.

### ***Failure to comply with government regulation and supervision could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil money penalties, and damage to our reputation.***

Our operations are subject to extensive regulation by federal, state, and local governmental authorities. Given the recent disruption in the financial markets, we expect that the government will continue to pass new regulations and laws that will impact us. Compliance with such regulations may increase our costs and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities. Failure to comply with laws, regulations, and policies could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil money penalties, and damage to our reputation. While we have policies and procedures in place that are designed to prevent violations of these laws, regulations, and policies, there can be no assurance that such violations will not occur.

### ***New capital rules that were recently issued generally require insured depository institutions and their holding companies to hold more capital. The impact of the new rules on our financial condition and operations is uncertain but could be***

***materially adverse.***

On July 9, 2013, the federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that will revise their risk-based capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by Basel III and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. This rule substantially amended the regulatory risk-based capital rules applicable to us. The requirements in the rule began to phase in on January 1, 2015 for the Company and the Bank. The requirements in the rule will be fully phased in by January 1, 2019.

## **Table of Contents**

The final rules increase capital requirements and generally include two new capital measurements that will affect us, a risk-based common equity Tier 1 ratio and a capital conservation buffer. Common Equity Tier 1 ( CET1 ) capital is a subset of Tier 1 capital and is limited to common equity (plus related surplus), retained earnings, accumulated other comprehensive income and certain other items. Other instruments that have historically qualified for Tier 1 treatment, including non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, are consigned to a category known as Additional Tier 1 capital and must be phased out over a period of nine years beginning in 2014. The rules permit bank holding companies with less than \$15 billion in assets (such as us) to continue to include trust preferred securities and non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock issued before May 19, 2010 in Tier 1 capital, but not CET1. Tier 2 capital consists of instruments that have historically been placed in Tier 2, as well as cumulative perpetual preferred stock.

The final rules adjust all three categories of capital by requiring new deductions from and adjustments to capital that will result in more stringent capital requirements and may require changes in the ways we do business. Among other things, the current rule on the deduction of mortgage servicing assets from Tier 1 capital has been revised in ways that are likely to require a greater deduction than we currently make and that will require the deduction to be made from CET1. This deduction phases in over a three-year period from 2015 through 2017. We closely monitor our mortgage servicing assets, and we expect to maintain our mortgage servicing asset at levels below the deduction thresholds by a combination of sales of portions of these assets from time to time either on a flowing basis as we originate mortgages or through bulk sale transactions. Additionally, any gains on sale from mortgage loans sold into securitizations must be deducted in full from CET1. This requirement phases in over three years from 2015 through 2017. Under the earlier rule and through 2014, no deduction is required.

Beginning in 2015, the minimum capital requirements for the Company and the Bank will be (i) a CET1 ratio of 4.5%, (ii) a Tier 1 capital (CET1 plus Additional Tier 1 capital) of 6% (up from 4%) and (iii) a total capital ratio of 8% (the current requirement). Our leverage ratio requirement will remain at the 4% level now required. Beginning in 2016, a capital conservation buffer will phase in over three years, ultimately resulting in a requirement of 2.5% on top of the CET1, Tier 1 and total capital requirements, resulting in a required CET1 ratio of 7%, a Tier 1 ratio of 8.5%, and a total capital ratio of 10.5%. Failure to satisfy any of these three capital requirements will result in limits on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases and paying discretionary bonuses. These limitations will establish a maximum percentage of eligible retained income that could be utilized for such actions. While the final rules will result in higher regulatory capital standards, it is difficult at this time to predict when or how any new standards will ultimately be applied to us.

In addition to the higher required capital ratios and the new deductions and adjustments, the final rules increase the risk weights for certain assets, meaning that we will have to hold more capital against these assets. For example, commercial real estate loans that do not meet certain new underwriting requirements must be risk-weighted at 150%, rather than the current 100%. There are also new risk weights for unsettled transactions and derivatives. We also will be required to hold capital against short-term commitments that are not unconditionally cancelable; currently, there are no capital requirements for these off-balance sheet assets. All changes to the risk weights take effect in full in 2015.

In addition, in the current economic and regulatory environment, bank regulators may impose capital requirements that are more stringent than those required by applicable existing regulations. The application of more stringent capital requirements for us could, among other things, result in lower returns on equity, require the raising of additional capital, and result in regulatory actions if we were to be unable to comply with such requirements. Implementation of changes to asset risk weightings for risk-based capital calculations, items included or deducted in calculating regulatory capital or additional capital conservation buffers, could result in management modifying our business strategy and could limit our ability to make distributions, including paying dividends or buying back our shares.

### ***Higher FDIC deposit insurance premiums and assessments could adversely affect our financial condition.***

Our deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC and are subject to deposit insurance assessments to maintain deposit insurance. As an FDIC-insured institution, we are required to pay quarterly deposit insurance premium assessments to the FDIC. Although we cannot predict what the insurance assessment rates will be in the future, either deterioration in our risk-based capital ratios or adjustments to the base assessment rates could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.



## **Table of Contents**

### ***We face a risk of noncompliance and enforcement action with the Bank Secrecy Act and other anti-money laundering statutes and regulations.***

The federal Bank Secrecy Act, the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (which we refer to as the Patriot Act ) and other laws and regulations require financial institutions, among other duties, to institute and maintain effective anti-money laundering programs and file suspicious activity and currency transaction reports as appropriate. The federal Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, established by the U.S. Treasury to administer the Bank Secrecy Act, is authorized to impose significant civil money penalties for violations of those requirements and has recently engaged in coordinated enforcement efforts with the individual federal banking regulators, as well as the U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration and Internal Revenue Service. There is also increased scrutiny of compliance with the rules enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control. Federal and state bank regulators also have begun to focus on compliance with Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering regulations. If our policies, procedures and systems are deemed deficient or the policies, procedures and systems of the financial institutions that we have already acquired or may acquire in the future are deficient, we would be subject to liability, including fines and regulatory actions such as restrictions on our ability to pay dividends and the necessity to obtain regulatory approvals to proceed with certain aspects of our business plan, including our acquisition plans, which would negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Failure to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing could also have serious reputational consequences for us.

### ***Federal, state and local consumer lending laws may restrict our ability to originate certain mortgage loans or increase our risk of liability with respect to such loans and could increase our cost of doing business.***

Federal, state and local laws have been adopted that are intended to eliminate certain lending practices considered predatory. These laws prohibit practices such as steering borrowers away from more affordable products, selling unnecessary insurance to borrowers, repeatedly refinancing loans and making loans without a reasonable expectation that the borrowers will be able to repay the loans irrespective of the value of the underlying property. Over the course of 2013, the CFPB has issued several rules on mortgage lending, notably a rule requiring all home mortgage lenders to determine a borrower's ability to repay the loan. Loans with certain terms and conditions and that otherwise meet the definition of a qualified mortgage may be protected from liability to a borrower for failing to make the necessary determinations. In either case, we may find it necessary to tighten our mortgage loan underwriting standards in response to the CFPB rules, which may constrain our ability to make loans consistent with our business strategies. It is our policy not to make predatory loans and to determine borrowers' ability to repay, but the law and related rules create the potential for increased liability with respect to our lending and loan investment activities. They increase our cost of doing business and, ultimately, may prevent us from making certain loans and cause us to reduce the average percentage rate or the points and fees on loans that we do make.

### ***We are subject to federal and state fair lending laws, and failure to comply with these laws could lead to material penalties.***

Federal and state fair lending laws and regulations, such as the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act, impose nondiscriminatory lending requirements on financial institutions. The Department of Justice, CFPB and other federal and state agencies are responsible for enforcing these laws and regulations. Private parties may also have the ability to challenge an institution's performance under fair lending laws in private class action litigation. A successful challenge to our performance under the fair lending laws and regulations could adversely impact our rating under the Community Reinvestment Act and result in a wide variety of sanctions, including the required payment of damages and civil money penalties, injunctive relief, imposition of restrictions on merger and acquisition activity and restrictions on expansion activity, which could negatively affect our reputation, business, financial condition and results of operations.

### ***The Federal Reserve may require us to commit capital resources to support the Bank.***

The Federal Reserve requires a bank holding company to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to a subsidiary bank and to commit resources to support such subsidiary bank. Under the source of strength doctrine, the Federal Reserve may require a bank holding company to make capital injections into a troubled subsidiary bank and may charge the bank holding company with engaging in unsafe and unsound practices for failure to commit resources to such a subsidiary bank. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act directs the federal bank regulators to require that all companies that directly or indirectly control an insured depository institution serve as a source of strength for the institution. Under these requirements, in the future, we could be required to provide financial

assistance to the Bank if the Bank experiences financial distress.

A capital injection may be required at times when we do not have the resources to provide it, and therefore we may be required to borrow the funds. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, the bankruptcy trustee will assume any commitment by the holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank. Moreover, bankruptcy law provides that claims based on any such commitment will be entitled to a priority of payment over the claims of the holding company's general unsecured creditors, including the holders of its note obligations. Thus, any borrowing that must be done by the holding company in order to make the required capital injection becomes more difficult and expensive and will adversely impact the holding company's cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***The downgrade of the U.S. credit rating could negatively affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.***

Recent U.S. debt ceiling and budget deficit concerns together with signs of deteriorating sovereign debt conditions in Europe, have increased the possibility of additional credit-rating downgrades and economic slowdowns in the U.S. Although U.S. lawmakers passed legislation to raise the federal debt ceiling in 2011, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services lowered its long-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. from AAA to AA+ in August 2011. The impact of any further downgrades to the U.S. government's sovereign credit rating or its perceived creditworthiness could adversely affect the U.S. and global financial markets and economic conditions. In January 2013, the U.S. government adopted legislation to suspend the debt limit until May 19, 2013. In October 2013, the debt ceiling was suspended until February 7, 2014, and in February 2014 the debt ceiling was suspended further until March 16, 2015. Moody's and Fitch have each warned that they may downgrade the U.S. government's rating if the federal debt is not stabilized. A downgrade of the U.S. government's credit rating or a default by the U.S. government to satisfy its debt obligations likely would create broader financial turmoil and uncertainty, which would weigh heavily on the global banking system. It is possible that any such impact could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### ***We are party to various lawsuits incidental to our business. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties such that the expenses and ultimate exposure with respect to many of these matters cannot be ascertained.***

From time to time, clients and others make claims and take legal action pertaining to our performance of fiduciary responsibilities. Whether client claims and legal action are legitimate or unfounded, if such claims and legal actions are not resolved in our favor they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of us and our products and services as well as impact client demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputation damage could have a material adverse effect on our business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

## **Risks Related to Our Common Stock**

### ***Our ability to pay cash dividends is limited, and we may be unable to pay future dividends even if we desire to do so.***

The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement regarding the payment of dividends by bank holding companies. In general, the Federal Reserve's policies provide that dividends should be paid only out of current earnings and only if the prospective rate of earnings retention by the bank holding company appears consistent with the organization's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. The Federal Reserve's policies also require that a bank holding company serve as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary banks by standing ready to use available resources to provide adequate capital funds to those banks during periods of financial stress or adversity and by maintaining the financial flexibility and capital-raising capacity to obtain additional resources for assisting its subsidiary banks where necessary. Further, under the prompt corrective action regulations, the ability of a bank holding company to pay dividends may be restricted if a subsidiary bank becomes undercapitalized. These regulatory policies could affect the ability of the Company to pay dividends or otherwise engage in capital distributions.

Statutory and regulatory limitations apply to the Bank's payment of dividends to the Company. As a South Carolina chartered bank, the Bank is subject to limitations on the amount of dividends that it is permitted to pay. Unless otherwise instructed by the S.C. Board, the Bank is generally permitted under South Carolina state banking regulations to pay cash dividends of up to 100% of net income in any calendar year without obtaining the prior approval of the S.C. Board. The FDIC also has the authority under federal law to enjoin a bank from engaging in what in its opinion constitutes an unsafe or unsound practice in conducting its business, including the payment of a dividend under certain circumstances. If the Bank is not permitted to pay cash dividends to the Company, it is unlikely that we would be able to pay cash dividends on our common stock. Moreover, holders of our common stock are entitled to receive dividends only when, and if declared by our board of directors.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Our stock price may be volatile, which could result in losses to our investors and litigation against us.***

Our stock price has been volatile in the past and several factors could cause the price to fluctuate substantially in the future. These factors include but are not limited to: actual or anticipated variations in earnings, changes in analysts' recommendations or projections, our announcement of developments related to our businesses, operations and stock performance of other companies deemed to be peers, new technology used or services offered by traditional and non-traditional competitors, news reports of trends, irrational exuberance on the part of investors, new federal banking regulations, and other issues related to the financial services industry. Our stock price may fluctuate significantly in the future, and these fluctuations may be unrelated to our performance. General market declines or market volatility in the future, especially in the financial institutions sector, could adversely affect the price of our common stock, and the current market price may not be indicative of future market prices. Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for you to resell your common stock when you want and at prices you find attractive. Moreover, in the past, securities class action lawsuits have been instituted against some companies following periods of volatility in the market price of its securities. We could in the future be the target of similar litigation. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources from our normal business.

### ***Future sales of our stock by our shareholders or the perception that those sales could occur may cause our stock price to decline.***

Although our common stock is listed for trading on The NASDAQ Global Market, the trading volume in our common stock is lower than that of other larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of our common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which we have no control. Given the relatively low trading volume of our common stock, significant sales of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that those sales may occur, could cause the trading price of our common stock to decline or to be lower than it otherwise might be in the absence of those sales or perceptions.

### ***Economic and other circumstances may require us to raise capital at times or in amounts that are unfavorable to us. If we have to issue shares of common stock, they will dilute the percentage ownership interest of existing shareholders and may dilute the book value per share of our common stock and adversely affect the terms on which we may obtain additional capital.***

We may need to incur additional debt or equity financing in the future to make strategic acquisitions or investments or to strengthen our capital position. Our ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on, among other things, conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside of our control and our financial performance. We cannot provide assurance that such financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all, or if we do raise additional capital that it will not be dilutive to existing shareholders.

If we determine, for any reason, that we need to raise capital, subject to applicable NASDAQ rules, our board generally has the authority, without action by or vote of the shareholders, to issue all or part of any authorized but unissued shares of stock for any corporate purpose, including issuance of equity-based incentives under or outside of our equity compensation plans. Additionally, we are not restricted from issuing additional common stock or preferred stock, including any securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, common stock or preferred stock or any substantially similar securities. The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales by us of a large number of shares of common stock or preferred stock or similar securities in the market or from the perception that such sales could occur. If we issue preferred stock that has a preference over the common stock with respect to the payment of dividends or upon liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, or if we issue preferred stock with voting rights that dilute the voting power of the common stock, the rights of holders of the common stock or the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected. Any issuance of additional shares of stock will dilute the percentage ownership interest of our shareholders and may dilute the book value per share of our common stock. Shares we issue in connection with any such offering will increase the total number of shares and may dilute the economic and voting ownership interest of our existing shareholders.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws, South Carolina law, and state and federal banking regulations, could delay or prevent a takeover by a third party.***

Our articles of incorporation and bylaws could delay, defer, or prevent a third party takeover, despite possible benefit to the shareholders, or otherwise adversely affect the price of our common stock. Our governing documents:

authorize a class of preferred stock that may be issued in series with terms, including voting rights, established by the board of directors without shareholder approval;

authorize 10,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock that may be issued by the board of directors without shareholder approval;

classify our board with staggered three year terms, preventing a change in a majority of the board at any annual meeting;

require advance notice of proposed nominations for election to the board of directors and business to be conducted at a shareholder meeting;

grant the board of directors the discretion, when considering whether a proposed merger or similar transaction is in the best interests of the Company and our shareholders, to take into account the effect of the transaction on the employees, clients and suppliers of the Company and upon the communities in which offices of the Company are located, to the extent permitted by South Carolina law;

provide that the number of directors shall be fixed from time to time by resolution adopted by a majority of the directors then in office, but may not consist of fewer than five nor more than 25 members; and

provide that no individual who is or becomes a business competitor or who is or becomes affiliated with, employed by, or a representative of any individual, corporation, or other entity which the board of directors, after having such matter formally brought to its attention, determines to be in competition with us or any of our subsidiaries (any such individual, corporation, or other entity being a business competitor) shall be eligible to serve as a director if the board of directors determines that it would not be in our best interests for such individual to serve as a director (any financial institution having branches or affiliates within Greenville County, South Carolina is presumed to be a business competitor unless the board of directors determines otherwise).

In addition, the South Carolina business combinations statute provides that a 10% or greater shareholder of a resident domestic corporation cannot engage in a business combination (as defined in the statute) with such corporation for a period of two years following the date on which the 10% shareholder became such, unless the business combination or the acquisition of shares is approved by a majority of the disinterested members of such corporation's board of directors before the 10% shareholder's share acquisition date. This statute further provides that at no time (even after the two-year period subsequent to such share acquisition date) may the 10% shareholder engage in a business combination with the relevant corporation unless certain approvals of the board of directors or disinterested shareholders are obtained or unless the consideration given in the combination meets certain minimum standards set forth in the statute. The law is very broad in its scope and is designed to inhibit unfriendly acquisitions but it does not apply to corporations whose articles of incorporation contain a provision electing not to be covered by the law. Our articles of incorporation do not contain such a provision. An amendment of our articles of incorporation to that effect would, however, permit a business combination with an interested shareholder even though that status was obtained prior to the amendment.

Finally, the Change in Bank Control Act of 1978 and the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 generally require filings and approvals prior to certain transactions that would result in a party acquiring control of the Company or the Bank.

### **Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

None.

### **Item 2. Properties.**

We believe our current premises will be adequate for present and anticipated needs and that we have adequate insurance to cover our owned and leased premises. For each property that we lease, we believe that upon expiration of the lease we will be able to extend the lease on satisfactory terms or relocate to another acceptable location.

### **Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

In the ordinary course of operations, we may be a party to various legal proceedings from time to time. We do not believe that there is any pending or threatened proceeding against us, which, if determined adversely, would have a material effect on our business, results of operations, or financial condition.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

None.

**Table of Contents****PART II****Item 5. Market for Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common stock is currently traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol SFST. We had approximately 1,200 shareholders of record on February 23, 2015.

The following table shows the reported high and low common stock prices reported by the NASDAQ Global Market for 2014 and 2013 (adjusted for the 10% stock dividend in 2013).

<b>2014</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
First Quarter	\$ 13.94	\$ 13.05
Second Quarter	13.88	13.09
Third Quarter	14.25	13.60
Fourth Quarter	17.30	13.90
<b>2013</b>		
First Quarter	\$ 11.26	\$ 8.41
Second Quarter	11.35	10.28
Third Quarter	13.63	10.80
Fourth Quarter	13.98	12.81

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock since our inception. For the foreseeable future, we do not intend to declare cash dividends. We intend to retain earnings to grow our business and strengthen our capital base. Our ability to pay cash dividends depends primarily on the ability of our subsidiary, Southern First Bank to pay dividends to us. As a South Carolina chartered bank, the Bank is subject to limitations on the amount of dividends that it is permitted to pay. Unless otherwise instructed by the S.C. Board, the Bank is generally permitted under South Carolina state banking regulations to pay cash dividends of up to 100% of net income in any calendar year without obtaining the prior approval of the S.C. Board. The FDIC also has the authority under federal law to enjoin a bank from engaging in what in its opinion constitutes an unsafe or unsound practice in conducting its business, including the payment of a dividend under certain circumstances.

The following table sets forth equity compensation plan information at December 31, 2014. The number of shares and the exercise prices for options and warrants has been adjusted for the 3 for 2 stock split in 2003 and the subsequent 10% stock dividends in 2006, 2011, 2012, and 2013.

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)</b>	<b>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)</b>	<b>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (c) (excluding securities reflected in column(a))</b>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders			
2000 Stock options (1)	356,190	\$6.64	-
2010 Stock Incentive Plan – options	303,789	9.07	262,237
2006 Restricted Stock Plan	-	-	-
2010 Stock Incentive Plan – restricted stock	-	-	14,049
Total	659,979	\$7.75	276,286

Under the terms of Plan no further incentive stock option awards may be granted, effective March 2010; however, the Plan will remain in effect until all awards have been exercised or forfeited and we determine to terminate the Plan. As of March 2010, any options that expire or are (1) forfeited are eligible to be reissued as non-qualified stock option awards.





**Table of Contents****Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)					
<b>BALANCE SHEET DATA</b>					
Total assets	\$ 1,029,865	890,831	797,998	767,745	736,490
Investment securities	61,546	73,556	86,016	108,584	72,853
Loans (1)	871,446	733,656	645,949	598,634	572,392
Allowance for loan losses	11,752	10,213	9,091	8,925	8,386
Intangible assets	-	-	-	-	-
Deposits	788,907	680,319	576,299	562,912	536,296
FHLB advances and other borrowings	135,200	124,100	124,100	122,700	122,700
Junior subordinated debentures	13,403	13,403	13,403	13,403	13,403
Common equity	82,992	50,366	47,826	45,943	42,899
Preferred stock	-	15,299	16,299	16,596	16,317
Shareholders' equity	82,992	65,665	64,125	62,539	59,216
<b>SELECTED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS DATA</b>					
Interest income	\$ 39,948	36,118	34,698	35,142	35,529
Interest expense	6,908	7,097	8,702	11,854	15,317
Net interest income	33,040	29,021	25,996	23,288	20,212
Provision for loan losses	4,175	3,475	4,550	5,270	5,610
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	28,865	25,546	21,446	18,018	14,602
Noninterest income	5,780	3,802	3,762	2,770	3,045
Noninterest expenses	24,907	21,812	19,513	17,867	16,564
Income before income tax expense	9,738	7,536	5,695	2,921	1,083
Income tax expense	3,113	2,416	1,833	833	193
Net income	6,625	5,120	3,862	2,088	890
Preferred stock dividends	915	771	840	865	865
Discount accretion	-	-	360	279	260
Redemption of preferred stock	-	(20)	(96)	-	-
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 5,710	4,369	2,758	944	(235)
<b>PER COMMON SHARE DATA (2)</b>					
Basic	\$ 1.15	1.02	0.65	0.22	(0.06)
Diluted	1.10	0.98	0.64	0.22	(0.06)
Book value	13.34	11.66	11.26	10.93	10.25
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:					
Basic, in thousands	4,981	4,280	4,230	4,201	4,179
Diluted, in thousands	5,201	4,459	4,340	4,287	4,179
<b>SELECTED FINANCIAL RATIOS</b>					
<b>Performance Ratios:</b>					
Return on average assets	0.69%	0.61%	0.50%	0.28%	0.12%
Return on average equity	8.92%	7.88%	6.03%	3.40%	1.47%
Return on average common equity	12.03%	8.81%	5.79%	2.10%	(0.53)%
Net interest margin, tax equivalent(3)	3.68%	3.71%	3.61%	3.30%	2.91%
Efficiency ratio (4)	64.16%	66.45%	65.57%	68.57%	71.22%
<b>Asset Quality Ratios:</b>					
Nonperforming assets to total loans (1)	1.14%	1.29%	1.53%	2.33%	2.61%
Nonperforming assets to total assets	0.97%	1.07%	1.24%	1.82%	2.03%
Net charge-offs to average total loans	0.33%	0.34%	0.71%	0.81%	0.86%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	176.72%	122.50%	111.32%	86.96%	89.92%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	1.35%	1.39%	1.41%	1.49%	1.47%
<b>Holding Company Capital Ratios:</b>					
Total risk-based capital ratio	12.51%	12.22%	13.05%	13.32%	13.49%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	11.25%	10.96%	11.80%	12.07%	12.24%
Leverage ratio	9.52%	9.13%	9.65%	9.62%	9.81%
Common equity tier 1 ratio(5)	7.76%	7.09%	7.25%	7.27%	7.32%
Common equity(6)	8.06%	5.65%	5.99%	5.98%	5.82%
<b>Growth Ratios:</b>					
Change in assets	15.61%	11.63%	3.94%	4.24%	2.39%
Change in loans	18.78%	13.94%	7.86%	4.29%	(0.33)%
Change in deposits	15.96%	18.05%	2.38%	4.96%	8.54%
Change in net income to common shareholders	30.69%	58.41%	192.16%	nm	nm

## Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Change in earnings per common share - diluted	12.24%	53.13%	190.91%	nm	nm
---	--------	--------	---------	----	----

(1) Excludes loans held for sale.

(2) Adjusted for all years presented giving retroactive effect to 10% stock dividends in 2011, 2012, and 2013.

(3) The tax-equivalent adjustment to net interest income adjusts the yield for assets earning tax-exempt income to a comparable yield on a taxable basis.

(4) Noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.

(5) The common equity tier 1 ratio is calculated as the sum of common equity divided by risk-weighted assets.

(6) The common equity ratio is calculated as total equity less preferred stock divided by total assets.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion and analysis also identifies significant factors that have affected our financial position and operating results during the periods included in the accompanying financial statements. We encourage you to read this discussion and analysis in conjunction with the financial statements and the related notes and the other statistical information also included in this report.

#### **OVERVIEW**

Our business model continues to be client-focused, utilizing relationship teams to provide our clients with a specific banker contact and support team responsible for all of their banking needs. The purpose of this structure is to provide a consistent and superior level of professional service, and we believe it provides us with a distinct competitive advantage. We consider exceptional client service to be a critical part of our culture, which we refer to as ClientFIRST.

At December 31, 2014, we had total assets of \$1.0 billion, a 15.6% increase from total assets of \$890.8 million at December 31, 2013. The largest components of our total assets are loans and securities which were \$871.4 million and \$61.5 million, respectively, at December 31, 2014. Comparatively, our loans and securities totaled \$733.7 million and \$73.6 million, respectively, at December 31, 2013. Our liabilities and shareholders' equity at December 31, 2014 totaled \$946.9 million and \$83.0 million, respectively, compared to liabilities of \$825.2 million and shareholders' equity of \$65.7 million at December 31, 2013. The principal component of our liabilities is deposits which were \$788.9 million and \$680.3 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Like most community banks, we derive the majority of our income from interest received on our loans and investments. Our primary source of funds for making these loans and investments is our deposits, on which we pay interest. Consequently, one of the key measures of our success is our amount of net interest income, or the difference between the income on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and investments, and the expense on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Another key measure is the difference between the yield we earn on these interest-earning assets and the rate we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, which is called our net interest spread. In addition to earning interest on our loans and investments, we earn income through fees and other charges to our clients.

Our net income for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$6.6 million, a 29.4% increase from \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. After our dividend payment to our preferred stockholders, net income to common shareholders was \$5.7 million, or diluted earnings per share (EPS) of \$1.10, for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to a net income to common shareholders of \$4.4 million, or diluted EPS of \$0.98 for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in net income resulted primarily from increases in net interest income and noninterest income, partially offset by increases in noninterest expense and income tax expense. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$3.9 million, while net income to common shareholders was \$2.8 million, or diluted EPS of \$0.64.

Economic conditions, competition, and the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government significantly affect most financial institutions, including the Bank. Lending and deposit activities and fee income generation are influenced by levels of business spending and investment, consumer income, consumer spending and savings, capital market activities, and competition among financial institutions, as well as client preferences, interest rate conditions and prevailing market rates on competing products in our market areas.

#### **Effect of Economic Trends**

Markets in the United States and elsewhere experienced extreme volatility and disruption since the latter half of 2007. While the economy as a whole has steadily improved since 2009, the weak economic conditions are expected to continue into 2015. Financial institutions likely will continue to experience credit losses above historical levels and elevated levels of non-performing assets, charge-offs and foreclosures. In light of these conditions, financial institutions also face heightened levels of scrutiny from federal and state regulators. These factors negatively influenced, and likely will continue to negatively influence, earning asset yields at a time when the market for deposits is intensely competitive. As a result, financial institutions experienced, and are expected to continue to experience, pressure on credit costs, loan yields, deposit and other borrowing costs, liquidity, and capital.

## **Table of Contents**

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

We have adopted various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with general practices within the banking industry in the preparation of our financial statements. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements as of December 31, 2014.

Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by us that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. We consider these accounting policies to be critical accounting policies. The judgment and assumptions we use are based on historical experience and other factors, which we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgment and assumptions we make, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates that could have a material impact on the carrying values of our assets and liabilities and our results of operations. Management has reviewed and approved these critical accounting policies and has discussed these policies with the Company's Audit Committee.

#### ***Allowance for Loan Losses***

The allowance for loan loss is management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

We have an established process to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses that assesses the losses inherent in our portfolio. While we attribute portions of the allowance to specific portfolio segments, the entire allowance is available to absorb credit losses inherent in the total loan portfolio. Our process involves procedures to appropriately consider the unique risk characteristics of our commercial and consumer loan portfolio segments. For each portfolio segment, impairment is measured individually for each impaired loan. Our allowance levels are influenced by loan volume, loan grade or delinquency status, historic loss experience and other economic conditions.

The allowance consists of general and specific components.

Commercial loans are assessed for estimated losses by grading each loan using various risk factors identified through periodic reviews. We apply historic grade-specific loss factors to each loan class. In the development of our statistically derived loan grade loss factors, we observe historical losses over 12 quarters for each loan grade. These loss estimates are adjusted as appropriate based on additional analysis of external loss data or other risks identified from current economic conditions and credit quality trends. For consumer loans, we determine the allowance on a collective basis utilizing historical losses over 12 quarters to represent our best estimate of inherent loss. We pool loans, generally by loan class with similar risk characteristics.

Included in the general component of the allowance for loan losses for both portfolio segments is a margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating general losses in the portfolio. Uncertainties and subjective issues such as changes in the lending policies and procedures, changes in the local/national economy, changes in volume or type of credits, changes in volume/severity or problem loans, quality of loan review and board of director oversight, concentrations of credit, and peer group comparisons are factors considered.

The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the value of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and consumer loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair

value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The specific component also includes an amount for the estimated impairment on commercial and consumer loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring ( TDR ), whether on accrual or nonaccrual status.

## **Table of Contents**

While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in local economic conditions. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require us to recognize additions to the allowances based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

### ***Fair Valuation of Financial Instruments***

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial instruments and to determine fair value disclosures. Additionally, we may be required to record other assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets. Further, we include in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements information about the extent to which fair value is used to measure assets and liabilities, the valuation methodologies used, and the related impact to income. Additionally, for financial instruments not recorded at fair value, we disclose the estimate of their fair value.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell the asset or paid to transfer the liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Accounting standards establish a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value. The classification of assets and liabilities within the hierarchy is based on whether the inputs to the valuation methodology used for measurement are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market-derived or market-based information obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our estimates about market data. The three levels of inputs that are used to classify fair value measurements are as follows:

**Level 1** Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets. Level 1 instruments generally include securities traded on active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange, as well as securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets. Instruments we classify as Level 1 are instruments that have been priced directly from dealer trading desks and represent actual prices at which such securities have traded within active markets.

**Level 2** Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques, such as matrix pricing, for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market. Instruments we classify as Level 2 include securities that are valued based on pricing models that use relevant observable information generated by transactions that have occurred in the market place that involve similar securities.

**Level 3** Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Company's estimates of assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques. We attempt to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements. When available, we use quoted market prices to measure fair value. Specifically, we use independent pricing services to obtain fair values based on quoted prices. Quoted prices are subject to our internal price verification procedures. If market prices are not available, fair value measurement is based upon models that use primarily market-based or independently-sourced market parameters. Most of our financial instruments use either of the foregoing methodologies, collectively Level 1 and Level 2 measurements, to determine fair value adjustments recorded to our financial statements. However, in certain cases, when market observable inputs for model-based valuation techniques may not be readily available, we are required to make judgments about assumptions market participants would use in estimating the fair value of the financial instrument.

The degree of management judgment involved in determining the fair value of an instrument is dependent upon the availability of quoted market prices or observable market parameters. For instruments that trade actively and have quoted market prices or observable market parameters, there is minimal subjectivity involved in measuring fair value. When observable market prices and parameters are not fully available, management's judgment is necessary to estimate fair value. In addition, changes in market conditions may reduce the availability of quoted prices or observable data. For example, reduced liquidity in the capital markets or changes in secondary market activities could result in observable market inputs becoming unavailable. When significant adjustments are required to available observable inputs, it may be appropriate to utilize an estimate based primarily on unobservable inputs. When an active market for a security does not exist, the use of management estimates that incorporate current market participant expectations of future cash flows, and include appropriate risk premiums, is acceptable.



## **Table of Contents**

Significant judgment may be required to determine whether certain assets measured at fair value are included in Level 2 or Level 3. If fair value measurement is based upon recent observable market activity of such assets or comparable assets (other than forced or distressed transactions) that occur in sufficient volume and do not require significant adjustment using unobservable inputs, those assets are classified as Level 2. If not, they are classified as Level 3. Making this assessment requires significant judgment.

### ***Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis***

Our debt securities are classified as securities available for sale and reported at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses, after applicable taxes, are reported in shareholders' equity. We conduct other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI) analysis on a quarterly basis or more often if a potential loss-triggering event occurs. The initial indicator of OTTI for debt securities is a decline in market value below the amount recorded for an investment and the severity and duration of the decline. For a debt security for which there has been a decline in the fair value below amortized cost basis, we recognize OTTI if we (1) have the intent to sell the security, (2) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (3) we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security.

### ***Other Real Estate Owned***

Real estate acquired through foreclosure is initially recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. Subsequent to the date of acquisition, it is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, adjusted for net selling costs. Fair values of real estate owned are reviewed regularly and writedowns are recorded when it is determined that the carrying value of real estate exceeds the fair value less estimated costs to sell. Costs relating to the development and improvement of such property are capitalized, whereas those costs relating to holding the property are expensed.

### ***Income Taxes***

The financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis. When income and expenses are recognized in different periods for financial reporting purposes versus for the purposes of computing income taxes currently payable, deferred taxes are provided on such temporary differences. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be realized or settled. The Company believes that its income tax filing positions taken or expected to be taken on its tax returns will more likely than not be sustained upon audit by the taxing authorities and does not anticipate any adjustments that will result in a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flow. Therefore, no reserves for uncertain income tax positions have been recorded.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### ***Net Interest Income and Margin***

Our level of net interest income is determined by the level of earning assets and the management of our net interest margin. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, our net interest income was \$33.0 million, \$29.0 million, and \$26.0 million, respectively. The \$4.0 million, or 13.8%, increase in net interest income during 2014 was driven by a \$114.4 million increase in average earning assets, partially offset by a \$76.7 million increase in our average interest-bearing liabilities. The increase in average earning assets is primarily related to an increase in average loans, while the increase in average interest-bearing liabilities is driven by an increase in interest-bearing deposits. During 2013, our net interest income increased \$3.0 million, or 11.6%, while average interest-earning assets increased \$63.8 million and average interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$58.2 million.

Interest income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 was \$39.9 million, \$36.1 million, and \$34.7 million, respectively. A significant portion of our interest income relates to our strategy to maintain a significant portion of our assets in higher earning loans compared to lower yielding investments and federal funds sold. As such, 95.4% of our interest income related to interest on loans during 2014, 94.8% during 2013 and 94.1% during 2012. Also, included in interest income on loans was \$894,000, \$692,000 and \$472,000, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to the net amortization of loan fees and capitalized loan origination costs.





**Table of Contents**

Interest expense was \$6.9 million, \$7.1 million, and \$8.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Interest expense on deposits for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 represented 40.8%, 40.3%, and 48.0%, respectively, of total interest expense, while interest expense on borrowings represented 59.2%, 59.7%, and 52.0%, respectively, of total interest expense.

We have included a number of tables to assist in our description of various measures of our financial performance. For example, the Average Balances, Income and Expenses, Yields and Rates table shows the average balance of each category of our assets and liabilities as well as the yield we earned or the rate we paid with respect to each category during 2014, 2013, and 2012. Similarly, the Rate/Volume Analysis table demonstrates the effect of changing interest rates and changing volume of assets and liabilities on our financial condition during the periods shown. We also track the sensitivity of our various categories of assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates, and we have included tables to illustrate our interest rate sensitivity with respect to interest-earning and interest-bearing accounts.

The following table sets forth information related to our average balance sheet, average yields on assets, and average costs of liabilities at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. We derived these yields or costs by dividing income or expense by the average balance of the corresponding assets or liabilities. We derived average balances from the daily balances throughout the periods indicated. During the same periods, we had no securities purchased with agreements to resell. All investments were owned at an original maturity of over one year. Nonaccrual loans are included in earning assets in the following tables. Loan yields have been reduced to reflect the negative impact on our earnings of loans on nonaccrual status. The net of capitalized loan costs and fees are amortized into interest income on loans.

**Average Balances, Income and Expenses, Yields and Rates**

	2014			2013			2012		
	Average Balance	Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
(dollars in thousands)									
<b>Interest-earning assets</b>									
Federal funds sold	\$ 28,208	\$ 72	0.26%	\$ 24,977	\$ 63	0.25%	\$ 26,085	\$ 67	0.26%
Investment securities, taxable	47,672	1,258	2.64%	55,269	1,190	2.15%	63,169	1,419	2.25%
Investment securities, nontaxable (1)	20,293	848	4.18%	24,219	1,005	4.15%	19,066	877	4.60%
Loans (2)	810,822	38,092	4.70%	688,169	34,242	4.98%	620,514	32,668	5.26%
Total earning assets	906,995	40,270	4.44%	792,634	36,500	4.60%	728,834	35,031	4.81%
Nonearning assets	50,729			46,986			42,900		
Total assets	\$957,724			\$839,620			\$771,734		
<b>Interest-bearing liabilities</b>									
NOW accounts	\$146,213	228	0.16%	\$152,238	383	0.25%	\$152,433	881	0.58%
Savings & money market	196,526	633	0.32%	146,185	457	0.31%	112,323	444	0.40%
Time deposits	269,209	1,959	0.73%	230,054	2,022	0.88%	214,743	2,852	1.33%
Total interest-bearing deposits	611,948	2,820	0.46%	528,477	2,862	0.54%	479,499	4,177	0.87%
FHLB advances and other borrowings	126,181	3,766	2.98%	132,955	3,899	2.93%	123,756	4,154	3.36%
Junior subordinated debt	13,403	322	2.40%	13,403	336	2.51%	13,403	371	2.77%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	751,532	6,908	0.92%	674,835	7,097	1.05%	616,658	8,702	1.41%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	131,893			99,774			91,022		
Shareholders equity	74,299			65,011			64,054		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$957,724			\$839,620			\$771,734		
Net interest spread			3.52%			3.55%			3.40%
Net interest income (tax equivalent)/margin		\$33,362	3.68%		\$29,403	3.71%		\$26,329	3.61%
Less: tax-equivalent adjustment (1)		(322)			(382)			(333)	
Net interest income		\$33,040			\$29,021			\$25,996	

(1) The tax-equivalent adjustment to net interest income adjusts the yield for assets earning tax-exempt income to a comparable yield on a taxable basis.

(2) Includes loans held for sale.

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Our net interest margin, on a tax-equivalent basis, was 3.68% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2014 compared to 3.71% for 2013, and 3.61% for 2012. The three basis point decrease in net interest margin during 2014 as compared to the prior year, was driven primarily by a 16 basis point reduction in the yield on our interest earning assets, offset in part by a 13 basis point reduction in the cost of our interest bearing liabilities. During 2013, our net interest margin increased 10 basis points compared to the year ended 2012 due primarily to 36 basis point reduction in the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities.

**Table of Contents**

Our average interest-earning assets increased by \$114.4 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, while the yield on our interest-earning assets decreased by 16 basis points. Our average loan balances increased by \$122.7 million during 2014 compared to 2013, while our loan yield decreased by 28 basis points during the same period. The decline in the yield on our interest-earning assets was driven primarily by reduced yields on our loan portfolio due to loans being originated or renewed at market rates which are lower than those in the past.

In addition, our average interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$76.7 million during 2014 as compared to 2013, while the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities declined by 13 basis points. During the past 12 months, we have continued to reduce rates on all of our deposit products as the Federal funds target rate has remained at a historical low. However, because these rates are currently at historically low rates, we do not anticipate further significant reductions in the rates on our deposits or FHLB advances and other borrowings in the future.

Our net interest spread was 3.52% for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 3.55% for the same period in 2013 and 3.40% for 2012. The net interest spread is the difference between the yield we earn on our interest-earning assets and the rate we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities. The 16 basis point reduction in yield on our interest-earning assets, partially offset by a 13 basis point decline in the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities, resulted in a three basis point decrease in our net interest spread for the 2014 period.

**Rate/Volume Analysis**

Net interest income can be analyzed in terms of the impact of changing interest rates and changing volume. The following tables set forth the effect which the varying levels of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the applicable rates have had on changes in net interest income for the periods presented.

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2014 vs. 2013				Years Ended December 31, 2013 vs. 2012			
	Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in				Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in			
	Volume	Rate	Volume	Total	Volume	Rate	Volume	Total
Interest income								
Loans	\$6,103	(1,912)	(341)	3,850	\$3,430	(1,674)	(182)	1,574
Investment securities	(263)	273	(39)	(29)	(65)	(88)	3	(150)
Federal funds sold	8	1	-	9	(2)	(2)	-	(4)
Total interest income	5,848	(1,638)	(380)	3,830	3,363	(1,764)	(179)	1,420
Interest expense								
Deposits	529	(482)	(89)	(42)	414	(1,572)	(157)	(1,315)
FHLB advances and other borrowings	194	(312)	(15)	(133)	300	(516)	(39)	(255)
Junior subordinated debt	-	(14)	-	(14)	-	(35)	-	(35)
Total interest expense	723	(808)	(104)	(189)	714	(2,123)	(196)	(1,605)
Net interest income	\$5,125	(830)	(276)	4,019	\$2,649	359	17	3,025

Net interest income, the largest component of our income, was \$33.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, a \$4.0 million increase from net interest income of \$29.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in net interest income is due to a \$3.8 million increase in interest income combined with an \$189,000 decrease in interest expense. During 2014, our average interest-earning assets increased \$114.4 million as compared to 2013, resulting in \$5.8 million of additional interest income; however, lower rates on our interest-earning assets reduced interest income by \$1.6 million from the prior year. In addition, interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$76.7 million during 2014, resulting in \$723,000 of additional interest expense; however, lower rates on our interest-bearing liabilities reduced interest expense by \$808,000 from the prior year.

During 2013, our average interest-earning assets increased \$63.8 million as compared to 2012, resulting in \$3.4 million of additional interest income; however, lower rates on our interest-earning assets reduced interest income by \$1.8 million from the prior year. In addition, interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$58.2 million during 2013, resulting in \$714,000 of additional interest expense; however, lower rates on our interest-bearing liabilities reduced interest expense by \$2.1 million from the prior year.



**Table of Contents***Provision for Loan Losses*

We have established an allowance for loan losses through a provision for loan losses charged as an expense on our statements of income. We review our loan portfolio periodically to evaluate our outstanding loans and to measure both the performance of the portfolio and the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Please see the discussion below under Results of Operations Allowance for Loan Losses for a description of the factors we consider in determining the amount of the provision we expense each period to maintain this allowance.

Following is a summary of the activity in the allowance for loan losses.

	December 31,		
(dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2012
<b>Balance, beginning of period</b>	\$ 10,213	9,091	8,925
Provision	4,175	3,475	4,550
Loan charge-offs	(2,887)	(2,478)	(4,505)
Loan recoveries	251	125	121
Net loan charge-offs	(2,636)	(2,353)	(4,384)
<b>Balance, end of period</b>	\$ 11,752	10,213	9,091

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we incurred a noncash expense related to the provision for loan losses of \$4.2 million, bringing the allowance for loan losses to \$11.8 million, or 1.35% of gross loans, as of December 31, 2014. In comparison, we added \$3.5 million and \$4.6 million to the provision for loan losses during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, resulting in an allowance of \$10.2 million, or 1.39% of gross loans, as of December 31, 2013, and \$9.1 million, or 1.41% of gross loans, at December 31, 2012. The increased provision expense of \$4.2 million during the 2014 period relates primarily to the \$137.8 million of loan growth in 2014.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2014, our net charge-offs were \$2.6 million, representing 0.33% of average loans, and consisted of \$2.9 million in loans charged-off, partially offset by \$251,000 of recoveries on loans previously charged-off. In addition, our loan balances increased by \$137.8 million while the amount of our nonperforming assets increased by \$422,000 and our classified assets declined. Factors such as these are also considered in determining the amount of loan loss provision necessary to maintain our allowance for loan losses at an adequate level.

We reported net charge-offs of \$2.4 million and \$4.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, including recoveries of \$125,000 and \$121,000 for the same periods in 2013 and 2012, respectively. The net charge-offs of \$2.4 million and \$4.4 million during 2013 and 2012, respectively, represented 0.34% and 0.71% of the average outstanding loan portfolios for the respective years.

*Noninterest Income*

The following tables set forth information related to our noninterest income.

	Year ended December 31,		
(dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2012
Loan and mortgage fee income	\$ 2,854	1,235	1,044
Service fees on deposit accounts	923	879	767
Income from bank owned life insurance	667	658	632
Gain on sale of investment securities	230	-	363
Other income	1,106	1,030	956
Total noninterest income	\$ 5,780	3,802	3,762

## Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Noninterest income was \$5.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, a \$2.0 million increase over noninterest income of \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in total noninterest income during 2014 resulted primarily from the following:

Loan and mortgage fee income increased \$1.6 million, or 131.1%, driven by a \$1.6 million increase in mortgage origination fee income which totaled \$2.7 million for the year. During 2014, we continued the expansion our mortgage operations, adding five additional team members to assist with originating, underwriting, closing and funding residential mortgage loans.

41

---

**Table of Contents**

Service fees on deposit accounts increased 5.0%, or \$44,000, primarily related to increased service charge fee income on our transaction accounts. During 2014, our transaction accounts, which include checking, money market, and savings accounts, grew by \$108.7 million, or 26.3%.

During the second quarter of 2014, we sold a portion of our investment securities and recognized a gain on sale \$230,000.

Other income increased by \$76,000, or 7.4%, due primarily to increased fee income on our ATM and debit cards which is driven by an increase in transaction volume.

Noninterest income was \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a \$40,000 increase over noninterest income of for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in total noninterest income during 2013 resulted primarily from the following:

Loan and mortgage fee income increased \$191,000 or 18.3%, driven by a \$177,000 increase in mortgage origination fee income which totaled \$1.1 million for the year. In 2013, we expanded our mortgage operations to include full service mortgage capabilities such as on-site underwriting, closing and funding.

Service fees on deposit accounts increased 14.6%, or \$112,000, primarily related to increased service charge fee income on our transaction accounts. During 2013, our transaction accounts, which include checking, money market, and savings accounts, grew by \$67.2 million, or 19.4%.

Income from bank owned life insurance increased \$26,000 due primarily to income earned on an additional \$2.0 million of life insurance policies purchased during the second quarter of 2013.

Other income increased by \$74,000, or 7.7%, due primarily to increased fee income on our ATM and debit cards.

Partially offsetting the increases in noninterest income during 2013, was the fact that we had no gains or losses on sale of investment securities during the current year, while during 2012 we recorded a gain on sale of investment securities of \$363,000.

In accordance with the requirement set forth under the Dodd-Frank Act, in June 2011, the Federal Reserve approved a final rule which caps an issuer's base interchange fee at 21 cents per transaction and allows an additional 5 basis point charge per transaction to help cover fraud losses. Although the rule does not apply to institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets, such as our Bank, there is concern that the price controls may harm community banks, which could be pressured by the marketplace to lower their own interchange rates. Our ATM/Debit card fee income is included in other noninterest income and was \$667,000, \$560,000, and \$451,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively, the majority of which related to interchange fee income.

*Noninterest Expenses*

The following tables set forth information related to our noninterest expenses.

(dollars in thousands)	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Compensation and benefits	\$ 14,043	12,302	10,073
Occupancy	2,978	3,056	2,468
Real estate owned activity	647	179	939
Data processing and related costs	2,514	2,406	2,070
Insurance	832	818	1,367
Professional fees	952	858	841
Marketing	774	731	637
Other	2,167	1,462	1,118
Total noninterest expenses	\$ 24,907	21,812	19,513

Noninterest expense was \$24.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, a \$3.1 million, or 14.2%, increase from noninterest expense of \$21.8 million for 2013.

The increase in total noninterest expenses resulted primarily from the following:

Compensation and benefits expense increased \$1.7 million, or 14.2%, during 2014 relating primarily to increases in base and incentive compensation and benefits expenses. Base compensation expense increased by \$1.6 million driven by the cost of 18



Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

additional employees, five of whom were hired to assist with our expanded mortgage capabilities with the remainder being hired to support our growth in loans and deposits, combined with annual salary increases. Incentive compensation, which is based on certain targeted financial performance goals met by management, increased by \$165,000, while benefits expenses increased \$15,000 during the 2014 period and represented 19.0% of total compensation and benefits during 2014.

42

---

## **Table of Contents**

Real estate owned expenses increased \$468,000 due to losses of \$389,000 on the sale of properties, combined with expenses of \$257,000 related to the management of real estate owned.

Data processing and related costs increased 4.5%, or \$108,000, primarily related to services we provide to our clients, as well as outside service fees associated with mortgage originations.

Professional fees increased \$94,000, or 11.0%, due primarily to increased legal, accounting and audit fees.

Marketing expenses increased \$43,000, or 5.9%, driven by increased community sponsorships and business development costs.

Other noninterest expenses increased by 48.2%, or \$705,000, primarily related to a legal settlement expense of \$250,000, as well as increased travel and collection expenses.

Partially, offsetting the increases in noninterest expenses was a \$78,000, or 2.6%, decrease in occupancy expense primarily due to less repairs and maintenance expenses during the 2014 period.

Noninterest expense was \$21.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a \$2.3 million, or 11.8%, increase from noninterest expense of \$19.5 million for 2012.

The increase in total noninterest expenses resulted primarily from the following:

Compensation and benefits expense increased \$2.2 million, or 22.1%, during 2013 relating primarily to increases in base and incentive compensation and benefits expenses. Base compensation expense increased by \$1.4 million driven by the cost of 13 additional employees, five of whom were hired to assist with our expanded mortgage capabilities with the remainder being hired to support our growth in loans and deposits, combined with annual salary increases. Incentive compensation, which is based on certain targeted financial performance goals met by management, increased by \$260,000, while benefits expenses increased \$614,000 during the 2013 period and represented 21.6% of total compensation and benefits during 2013.

Occupancy expenses increased 23.8%, or \$588,000, driven primarily by increased depreciation, utilities, rent, and property tax expenses related primarily to our two new offices in Charleston and Columbia, South Carolina.

Data processing and related costs increased 16.2%, or \$336,000, primarily related to the increased number of clients and accounts we service.

Marketing expenses increased \$94,000, or 14.8%, driven by increased advertising, community sponsorships and business development costs, including additional costs associated with our entry into the Charleston, South Carolina market.

Other noninterest expenses increased by 30.8%, or \$344,000, primarily related to additional costs associated with our mortgage and office expansions, as well as increased travel and collection expenses.

Partially, offsetting the increases in noninterest expenses was a \$760,000, or 80.9%, decrease in real estate owned activity which includes expenses related to the management of properties we hold for sale. In addition, insurance expense decreased by \$549,000, or 40.2%, due to a reduction in FDIC insurance premiums and a reduction in regulator fees resulting from the Bank's change from a national charter to a South Carolina charter.

Our efficiency ratio was 64.2% for 2014 compared to 66.5% for 2013. The efficiency ratio represents the percentage of one dollar of expense required to be incurred to earn a full dollar of revenue and is computed by dividing noninterest expense by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income. The improved efficiency ratio during 2014 relates primarily to the increase in net interest and noninterest income due to significant loan growth and our expanded mortgage capabilities.

Income tax expense was \$3.1 million, \$2.4 million and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in income tax expense in 2014 was primarily a result of the increase in our net income. Our effective tax rate was 32.0% for the year ended December 31, 2014, and 32.1% and 32.2% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

### *Investment Securities*

At December 31, 2014, the \$61.5 million in our investment securities portfolio represented approximately 6.0% of our total assets. Our available for sale investment portfolio included U.S. agency securities, SBA securities, state and political subdivisions, and mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$55.0 million and an amortized cost of \$54.6 million for an unrealized gain of \$458,000.

**Table of Contents**

The amortized costs and the fair value of our investments are as follows.

(dollars in thousands)	2014		2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
<b>Available for Sale</b>						
US government agencies	\$ 8,763	8,557	8,756	7,755	7,781	7,785
SBA securities	5,336	5,154	5,758	5,271	6,060	6,072
State and political subdivisions	16,253	16,800	23,622	23,370	24,167	25,249
Mortgage-backed securities	24,214	24,513	31,347	31,044	38,428	39,116
Total	\$54,566	55,024	69,483	67,440	76,436	78,222

Contractual maturities and yields on our investments are shown in the following table. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2014									
	Less Than One Year		One to Five Years		Five to Ten Years		Over Ten Years		Total	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
<b>Available for Sale</b>										
US government agencies	\$ -	-	\$ 988	2.12%	\$ -	-	\$ 7,569	2.43%	\$ 8,557	2.39%
SBA securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,154	1.88%	5,154	1.88%
State and political subdivisions	2,082	0.68%	399	3.14%	8,465	3.23%	5,854	3.00%	16,800	2.82%
Mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	-	2,118	1.66%	22,395	2.62%	24,513	2.54%
Total	\$2,082	0.68%	\$1,387	2.41%	\$10,583	2.91%	\$40,972	2.54%	\$55,024	2.54%

Other investments are comprised of the following and are recorded at cost which approximates fair value.

(dollars in thousands)	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	\$6,020	5,614
Certificates of deposit	99	99
Investment in Trust Preferred subsidiaries	403	403
Total	\$6,522	6,116

**Loans**

Since loans typically provide higher interest yields than other types of interest-earning assets, a substantial percentage of our earning assets are invested in our loan portfolio. Average loans for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$810.8 million and \$688.2 million, respectively. Before allowance for loan losses, total loans outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$871.4 million and \$733.7 million, respectively.

The principal component of our loan portfolio is loans secured by real estate mortgages. As of December 31, 2014, our loan portfolio included \$707.2 million, or 81.2%, of real estate loans. As of December 31, 2013, loans secured by real estate made up 80.6% of our loan portfolio and totaled \$591.0 million. Most of our real estate loans are secured by residential or commercial

property. We obtain a security interest in real estate, in addition to any other available collateral. This collateral is taken to increase the likelihood of the ultimate repayment of the loan. Generally, we limit the loan-to-value ratio on loans to coincide with the appropriate regulatory guidelines. We attempt to maintain a relatively diversified loan portfolio to help reduce the risk inherent in concentration in certain types of collateral and business types. We do not generally originate traditional long term residential mortgages to hold in our loan portfolio, but we do issue traditional second mortgage residential real estate loans and home equity lines of credit. Home equity lines of credit totaled \$95.6 million as of December 31, 2014, of which approximately 35% were in a first lien position, while the remaining balance was second liens, compared to \$78.5 million as of December 31, 2013, with approximately 37% in first lien positions and the remaining balance was in second liens. The average loan had a balance of approximately \$87,000 and a loan to value of approximately 72% as of December 31, 2014, compared to an average loan balance of \$105,000 and a loan to value of approximately 67% as of December 31, 2013. Further, 0.3% and 0.1% of our total home equity lines of credit were over 30 days past due as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

Following is a summary of our loan composition for each of the five years ended December 31, 2014. Of the \$137.8 million in loan growth in 2014, \$47.0 million is related to the Greenville market, \$41.9 million is related to the Columbia market, and \$48.9 million to the Charleston market. In addition, \$74.0 million of growth was in consumer related loans, while \$63.7 million of growth was in commercial related loans. In addition, the \$36.3 million increase in consumer real estate loans is related to our focus to continue to originate high quality 1-4 family consumer real estate loans. Our average consumer real estate loan currently has a principal balance of \$302,000, a term of eight years, and an average rate of 4.49%.

(dollars in thousands)	2014		2013		2012		2011		Decem
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount
<b>Commercial</b>									
Owner occupied RE	\$191,061	21.9%	\$185,129	25.1%	\$158,790	24.6%	\$149,254	24.9%	\$137,696
Non-owner occupied RE	183,440	21.1%	166,016	22.5%	165,163	25.6%	164,623	27.5%	163,795
Construction	50,995	5.8%	30,906	4.2%	20,347	3.1%	17,841	3.0%	11,319
Business	149,986	17.2%	129,687	17.6%	114,169	17.7%	111,831	18.7%	109,351
Total commercial loans	575,482	66.0%	511,738	69.4%	458,469	71.0%	443,549	74.1%	422,161
<b>Consumer</b>									
Real estate	146,859	16.9%	110,590	15.5%	86,559	13.4%	57,798	9.7%	54,076
Home equity	95,629	11.0%	78,479	10.6%	77,895	12.1%	82,664	13.8%	79,528
Construction	39,226	4.5%	19,888	2.7%	13,749	2.1%	5,546	0.9%	8,550
Other	14,250	1.6%	12,961	1.8%	9,277	1.4%	9,077	1.5%	8,077
Total consumer loans	295,964	34.0%	221,918	30.6%	187,480	29.0%	155,085	25.9%	150,231
Total gross loans, net of deferred fees	871,446	100.0%	733,656	100.0%	645,949	100.0%	598,634	100.0%	572,392
Less allowance for loan losses	(11,752)		(10,213)		(9,091)		(8,925)		(8,386)
Total loans, net	\$859,694		\$723,443		\$636,858		\$589,709		\$564,006

*Maturities and Sensitivity of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates*

The information in the following table is based on the contractual maturities of individual loans, including loans which may be subject to renewal at their contractual maturity. Renewal of such loans is subject to review and credit approval, as well as modification of terms upon maturity. Actual repayments of loans may differ from the maturities reflected below because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

The following table summarizes the loan maturity distribution by type and related interest rate characteristics.

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2014			
	One year or less	After one but within five years	After five years	Total
<b>Commercial</b>				
Owner occupied RE	\$ 20,737	98,110	72,214	191,061
Non-owner occupied RE	46,718	104,402	32,320	183,440
Construction	11,923	25,145	13,927	50,995
Business	75,718	65,899	8,369	149,986
Total commercial loans	155,096	293,556	126,830	575,482
<b>Consumer</b>				
Real estate	21,571	41,549	83,739	146,859
Home equity	5,645	28,394	61,590	95,629
Construction	13,531	2,073	23,622	39,226
Other	7,278	5,637	1,335	14,250
Total consumer loans	48,025	77,653	170,286	295,964
Total gross loan, net of deferred fees	\$203,121	371,209	297,116	871,446

Loans maturing after one year with

Fixed interest rates	494,058
Floating interest rates	174,267

**Table of Contents***Nonperforming Assets*

Nonperforming assets include real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed taken in lieu of foreclosure and loans on nonaccrual status. The following table shows the nonperforming assets and the related percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets and gross loans for the five years ended December 31, 2014. Generally, a loan is placed on nonaccrual status when it becomes 90 days past due as to principal or interest, or when we believe, after considering economic and business conditions and collection efforts, that the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of the loan is doubtful. A payment of interest on a loan that is classified as nonaccrual is recognized as a reduction in principal when received. Our policy with respect to nonperforming loans requires the borrower to make a minimum of six consecutive payments in accordance with the loan terms before that loan can be placed back on accrual status. Further, the borrower must show capacity to continue performing into the future prior to restoration of accrual status. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had no loans 90 days past due and still accruing.

	December 31,				
(dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<b>Commercial</b>					
Owner occupied RE	\$ 322	1,199	155	1,061	1,183
Non-owner occupied RE	2,344	373	1,255	1,745	3,311
Construction	783	914	1,006	1,314	1,377
Business	1,408	712	202	503	1,781
<b>Consumer</b>					
Real estate	457	76	119	476	928
Home equity	188	77	577	386	251
Construction	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1	3	44	-	7
Nonaccruing troubled debt restructurings	1,147	4,983	4,809	4,779	488
Total nonaccrual loans, including nonaccruing TDRs	6,650	8,337	8,167	10,264	9,326
Other real estate owned	3,307	1,198	1,719	3,686	5,629
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 9,957	9,535	\$ 9,886	13,950	14,955
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of:					
Total assets	0.97%	1.07%	1.24%	1.82%	2.03%
Gross loans	1.14%	1.30%	1.53%	2.33%	2.61%
Total loans over 90 days past due (1)	\$ 5,735	6,493	5,027	8,854	6,439
Loans over 90 days past due and still accruing	-	-	-	-	-
Accruing troubled debt restructurings	8,562	8,045	9,421	7,429	-

(1) Loans over 90 days are included in nonaccrual loans

At December 31, 2014, nonperforming assets were \$10.0 million, or 0.97% of total assets and 1.14% of gross loans. Comparatively, nonperforming assets were \$9.5 million, or 1.07% of total assets and 1.30% of gross loans, at December 31, 2013. Nonaccrual loans declined \$1.7 million to \$6.7 million at December 31, 2014 from \$8.3 million at December 31, 2013. During 2014, we added \$4.7 million or 12 new loans to nonaccrual while charging off \$388,000 or three nonaccrual loans from 2013 and transferring five properties totaling \$3.8 million to real estate acquired in settlement of loans. In addition, five loans, or \$2.1 million, were either paid off or returned to accrual status during 2014. The amount of foregone interest income on the nonaccrual loans for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was approximately \$414,000 and \$543,000, respectively.

Nonperforming assets include other real estate owned which increased by \$2.1 million to \$3.3 million at December 31, 2014 from \$1.2 million at December 31, 2013. During 2014, we sold two properties for approximately \$730,000 and recognized a \$70,000 loss on the sales. In addition, we added five properties to other real estate owned during 2014 for \$3.2 million, and recorded write-downs on two properties of \$320,000. The balance at December 31, 2014 includes six commercial properties for \$2.7 million and three residential real estate properties totaling \$589,000. We believe that these properties are appropriately valued at the lower of cost or market as of December 31, 2014.

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the allowance for loan losses represented 176.7%, 122.5%, and 111.3% of the amount of nonaccrual loans, respectively. A significant portion, or 91.5%, of nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2014 are secured by real estate. Our nonaccrual loans have been charged down to approximately 59% of their original nonperforming balance. We have evaluated the underlying collateral on these loans and believe that the collateral on these loans combined with our charge-offs on these loans is sufficient to minimize future losses. As a result of this level of coverage on nonaccrual loans, we believe the allowance for loan losses of \$11.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 to be adequate.



## **Table of Contents**

As a general practice, most of our loans are originated with relatively short maturities of less than 10 years. As a result, when a loan reaches its maturity we frequently renew the loan and thus extend its maturity using similar credit standards as those used when the loan was first originated. Due to these loan practices, we may, at times, renew loans which are classified as nonaccrual after evaluating the loan's collateral value and financial strength of its guarantors. Nonaccrual loans are renewed at terms generally consistent with the ultimate source of repayment and rarely at reduced rates. In these cases the Bank will seek additional credit enhancements, such as additional collateral or additional guarantees to further protect the loan. When a loan is no longer performing in accordance with its stated terms, the Bank will typically seek performance under the guarantee.

In addition, approximately 81% of our loans are collateralized by real estate and over 85% of our impaired loans are secured by real estate. The Bank utilizes third party appraisers to determine the fair value of collateral dependent loans. Our current loan and appraisal policies require the Bank to obtain updated appraisals on an annual basis, either through a new external appraisal or an internal appraisal evaluation. Impaired loans are individually reviewed on a quarterly basis to determine the level of impairment. As of December 31, 2014, we do not have any impaired loans carried at a value in excess of the appraised value. We typically charge-off a portion or create a specific reserve for impaired loans when we do not expect repayment to occur as agreed upon under the original terms of the loan agreement.

At December 31, 2014, impaired loans totaled approximately \$15.2 million for which \$10.6 million of these loans have a reserve of approximately \$5.0 million allocated in the allowance. During 2014, the average recorded investment in impaired loans was approximately \$16.4 million. At December 31, 2013, impaired loans totaled approximately \$16.4 million for which \$14.1 million of these loans had a reserve of approximately \$4.7 million allocated in the allowance. During 2013, the average recorded investment in impaired loans was approximately \$16.1 million.

The Company considers a loan to be a TDR when the debtor experiences financial difficulties and the Company provides concessions such that we will not collect all principal and interest in accordance with the original terms of the loan agreement. Concessions can relate to the contractual interest rate, maturity date, or payment structure of the note. As part of our workout plan for individual loan relationships, we may restructure loan terms to assist borrowers facing challenges in the current economic environment. As of December 31, 2014, we determined that we had loans totaling \$9.7 million, which we considered TDRs. As of December 31, 2013, we had loans totaling \$13.0 million, which we considered TDRs. See Notes 1 and 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on TDRs.

### *Allowance for Loan Losses*

At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the allowance for loan losses was \$11.8 million and \$10.2 million, respectively, or 1.35% and 1.39% of outstanding loans, respectively. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of our outstanding loan portfolio decreased primarily as a result of the overall improvement in the credit quality of our loan portfolio during 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2014, our net charged-off loans increased by \$283,000 and our total nonaccrual loans decreased by \$1.7 million, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on our allowance for loan losses.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the activity related to our allowance for loan losses for the five years ended December 31, 2014.

	Year ended December 31,				
(dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<b>Balance, beginning of period</b>	\$10,213	9,091	8,925	8,386	7,760
Provision for loan losses	4,175	3,475	4,550	5,270	5,610
<b>Loan charge-offs:</b>					
Commercial					
Owner occupied RE	-	(390)	(1,857)	(72)	(143)
Non-owner occupied RE	(2,069)	(249)	(513)	(1,052)	(1,343)
Construction	-	-	-	(67)	-
Business	(645)	(1,664)	(1,230)	(3,243)	(2,982)
Total commercial	(2,714)	(2,303)	(3,600)	(4,434)	(4,468)
Consumer					
Real estate	(51)	(22)	(214)	(129)	(235)
Home equity	(87)	(106)	(691)	(175)	(286)
Construction	-	-	-	-	-
Other	(35)	(47)	-	(200)	(171)
Total consumer	(173)	(175)	(905)	(504)	(692)
Total loan charge-offs	(2,887)	(2,478)	(4,505)	(4,938)	(5,160)
<b>Loan recoveries:</b>					
Commercial					
Owner occupied RE	-	1	4	14	1
Non-owner occupied RE	2	1	42	42	-
Construction	127	-	-	-	-
Business	117	115	27	149	167
Total commercial	246	117	73	205	168
Consumer					
Real estate	-	-	2	-	4
Home equity	5	8	32	2	3
Construction	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	14	-	1
Total consumer	5	8	48	2	8
Total recoveries	251	125	121	207	176
Net loan charge-offs	(2,636)	(2,353)	(4,384)	(4,731)	(4,984)
Balance, end of period	\$11,752	10,213	9,091	8,925	8,386
Allowance for loan losses to gross loans	1.35%	1.39%	1.41%	1.49%	1.47%
Net charge-offs to average loans	0.33%	0.34%	0.71%	0.81%	0.86%

*Deposits and Other Interest-Bearing Liabilities*

Our primary source of funds for loans and investments is our deposits, advances from the FHLB, and structured repurchase agreements. In the past, we have chosen to obtain a portion of our certificates of deposits from areas outside of our market in order to obtain longer term deposits than are readily available in our local market. We have adopted guidelines regarding our use of brokered CDs that limit our brokered CDs to 25% of total deposits and dictate that our current interest rate risk profile determines the terms. In addition, we do not obtain time deposits of \$100,000 or more through the Internet. These guidelines allow us to take advantage of the attractive terms that wholesale funding can offer while mitigating the related inherent risk.

Our retail deposits represented \$729.0 million, or 92.4% of total deposits at December 31, 2014, while our out-of-market, or brokered, deposits represented \$60.0 million, or 7.6% of our total deposits at December 31, 2014. At December 31, 2013, retail deposits represented \$617.0 million, or 90.7% of our total deposits, and brokered CDs were \$63.3 million, representing 9.3% of our total deposits, at December 31, 2013. Of the \$111.9 million increase in retail deposits during the twelve months ended December

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

31, 2014, \$64.7 million is related to the Greenville market, \$10.9 million is related to the Columbia market, and \$36.4 million is related to the Charleston market. Our loan-to-deposit ratio was 110%, 108%, and 112% at December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

The following table shows the average balance amounts and the average rates paid on deposits held by us.

(dollars in thousands)	2014		2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
Noninterest bearing demand deposits	\$ 124,648	-%	\$ 93,378	-%	\$ 86,080	-%
Interest bearing demand deposits	146,213	0.16%	152,238	0.25%	152,433	0.58%
Money market accounts	188,615	0.33%	139,877	0.32%	107,052	0.41%
Savings accounts	7,911	0.09%	6,308	0.09%	5,271	0.13%
Time deposits less than \$100,000	65,957	0.71%	70,144	0.81%	75,148	1.10%
Time deposits greater than \$100,000	203,252	0.73%	159,910	0.73%	139,595	1.45%
Total deposits	\$ 736,596	0.38%	\$ 621,855	0.41%	\$ 565,579	0.74%

During the 12 months ended December 31, 2014, our average transaction account balances increased by \$75.6 million, or 19.3%, while our average time deposit balances increased by \$39.2 million, or 17.0%, due primarily to a \$27.8 million increase in average brokered deposits from the previous 12 month period. In addition, during 2014, as our interest-bearing deposits repriced, we were able to reduce the rates we paid on these deposits; however, we do not anticipate a significant reduction in our deposit costs in the future.

During the past 12 months, we continued our focus on increasing core deposits, which exclude out-of-market deposits and time deposits of \$100,000 or more, in order to provide a relatively stable funding source for our loan portfolio and other earning assets. Our core deposits were \$585.1 million, \$481.8 million, and \$427.9 million at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in time deposits of \$100,000 or more at December 31, 2014 is \$41.3 million of wholesale CDs scheduled to mature within the next 12 months at a weighted average rate of 0.49%.

All of our time deposits are certificates of deposits. The maturity distribution of our time deposits of \$100,000 or more is as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Three months or less	\$ 30,496	25,892
Over three through six months	49,867	28,157
Over six through twelve months	63,848	63,659
Over twelve months	59,614	80,644
Total	\$ 203,825	198,352

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Liquidity represents the ability of a company to convert assets into cash or cash equivalents without significant loss, and the ability to raise additional funds by increasing liabilities. Liquidity management involves monitoring our sources and uses of funds in order to meet our day-to-day cash flow requirements while maximizing profits. Liquidity management is made more complicated because different balance sheet components are subject to varying degrees of management control. For example, the timing of maturities of our investment portfolio is fairly predictable and subject to a high degree of control at the time investment decisions are made. However, net deposit inflows and outflows are far less predictable and are not subject to the same degree of control.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, our liquid assets amounted to \$41.3 million and \$39.2 million, or 4.0% and 4.4% of total assets, respectively. Our investment securities at December 31, 2014 and 2013 amounted to \$61.5 million and \$73.6 million, or 6.0% and 8.3% of total assets, respectively. Investment securities traditionally provide a secondary source of liquidity since they can be converted into cash in a timely manner. However, approximately 40% of these securities are pledged against outstanding debt. Therefore, the related debt would need to be repaid prior to the securities being sold in order for these securities to be converted to cash. In addition, approximately 23% of our investment securities are pledged to secure client deposits.

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Our ability to maintain and expand our deposit base and borrowing capabilities serves as our primary source of liquidity. We plan to meet our future cash needs through the liquidation of temporary investments, the generation of deposits, and from additional borrowings. In addition, we will receive cash upon the maturity and sale of loans and the maturity of investment securities. We maintain three federal funds purchased lines of credit with correspondent banks totaling \$45.0 million for which there were no borrowings against the lines at December 31, 2014.

**Table of Contents**

We are also a member of the FHLB of Atlanta, from which applications for borrowings can be made. The FHLB requires that securities, qualifying mortgage loans, and stock of the FHLB owned by the Bank be pledged to secure any advances from the FHLB. The unused borrowing capacity currently available from the FHLB at December 31, 2014 was \$89.8 million, based on the Bank's \$6.0 million investment in FHLB stock, as well as qualifying mortgages available to secure any future borrowings. However, we are able to pledge additional securities to the FHLB in order to increase our available borrowing capacity. In addition, at December 31, 2014 we had \$35.2 million of letters of credit outstanding with the FHLB to secure client deposits.

We also have a line of credit with another financial institution for \$10 million, which was unused at December 31, 2014. The line of credit bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.90% with a floor of 3.25% and a ceiling of 5.15%, and matures on June 6, 2017.

We believe that our existing stable base of core deposits, federal funds purchased lines of credit with correspondent banks, and borrowings from the FHLB will enable us to successfully meet our long-term liquidity needs. However, as short-term liquidity needs arise, we have the ability to sell a portion of our investment securities portfolio to meet those needs.

Total shareholders' equity was \$83.0 million at December 31, 2014 and \$65.7 million at December 31, 2013. The \$17.3 million increase during 2014 is due primarily to a public common stock offering for net proceeds of \$18.4 million, a private placement stock offering for net proceeds of \$5.9 million and net income to common shareholders of \$5.7 million, partially offset with the redemption of \$15.3 million of preferred stock. As of December 12, 2014, we completed the repurchase of the Series T preferred stock originally issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury under the TARP Capital Purchase Program.

The following table shows the return on average assets (net income divided by average total assets), return on average equity (net income divided by average equity), and equity to assets ratio (average equity divided by average total assets) for the three years ended December 31, 2014. Since our inception, we have not paid cash dividends.

	December 31,		
(dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2012
Return on average assets	0.69%	0.61%	0.50%
Return on average equity	8.92%	7.88%	6.03%
Return on average common equity	12.03%	8.81%	5.79%
Average equity to average assets ratio	7.76%	7.74%	8.30%
Common equity to assets ratio	8.06%	5.65%	5.99%

Under the capital adequacy guidelines, regulatory capital is classified into two tiers. These guidelines require an institution to maintain a certain level of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital to risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders' equity, excluding the unrealized gain or loss on securities available for sale, minus certain intangible assets. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off-balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100% based on the risks believed to be inherent in the type of asset. Tier 2 capital consists of Tier 1 capital plus the general reserve for loan losses, subject to certain limitations. We are also required to maintain capital at a minimum level based on total average assets, which is known as the Tier 1 leverage ratio.

At both the holding company and Bank level, we are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. To be considered well-capitalized, we must maintain total risk-based capital of at least 10%, Tier 1 capital of at least 6%, and a leverage ratio of at least 5%. To be considered adequately capitalized under these capital guidelines, we must maintain a minimum total risk-based capital of 8%, with at least 4% being Tier 1 capital. In addition, we must maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 4%. As of December 31, 2014, our capital ratios exceed these ratios and we remain well capitalized.

**Table of Contents**

In July 2013, the FDIC approved a final rule to implement the Basel III regulatory capital reforms among other changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The framework requires banking organizations to hold more and higher quality capital, which acts as a financial cushion to absorb losses, taking into account the impact of risk. The approved rule includes a new minimum ratio of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.5% as well as a common equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets. The rule also raises the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4% to 6% and includes a minimum leverage ratio of 4% for all banking institutions. For the largest, most internationally active banking organizations, the rule includes a new minimum supplementary leverage ratio that takes into account off-balance sheet exposures. In terms of quality of capital, the final rule emphasizes common equity Tier 1 capital and implements strict eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments. It also changes the methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets to enhance risk sensitivity. The changes began to take effect for the Bank in January 2015. The ultimate impact of the new capital standards on the Company and the Bank is currently being reviewed.

The following table summarizes the capital amounts and ratios of the Bank and the regulatory minimum requirements. See Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for ratios of the Holding Company.

(dollars in thousands)	Amount	Actual Ratio	For capital adequacy purposes minimum		To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions minimum	
			Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<b>As of December 31, 2014</b>						
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 101,716	11.96%	\$ 68,024	8.00%	\$ 85,030	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	91,073	10.71%	34,012	4.00%	51,018	6.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)	91,073	9.08%	40,131	4.00%	50,164	5.00%
<b>As of December 31, 2013</b>						
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	88,674	12.15%	58,381	8.00%	72,976	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	79,538	10.90%	29,191	4.00%	43,786	6.0%
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)	79,538	9.09%	34,989	4.00%	43,737	5.0%
<b>As of December 31, 2012</b>						
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	83,763	13.01%	51,498	8.00%	64,372	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	75,704	11.76%	25,749	4.00%	38,623	6.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)	75,704	9.62%	31,492	4.00%	39,366	5.00%

The ability of the Company to pay cash dividends is dependent upon receiving cash in the form of dividends from the Bank. The dividends that may be paid by the Bank to the Company are subject to legal limitations and regulatory capital requirements.

**Effect of Inflation and Changing Prices**

The effect of relative purchasing power over time due to inflation has not been taken into account in our consolidated financial statements. Rather, our financial statements have been prepared on an historical cost basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Unlike most industrial companies, our assets and liabilities are primarily monetary in nature. Therefore, the effect of changes in interest rates will have a more significant impact on our performance than will the effect of changing prices and inflation in general. In addition, interest rates may generally increase as the rate of inflation increases, although not necessarily in the same magnitude. As discussed previously, we seek to manage the relationships between interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to protect against wide rate fluctuations, including those resulting from inflation.

**Off-Balance Sheet Risk**

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a client as long as the client has not violated any material condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require the payment of a fee. At December 31, 2014, unfunded commitments to extend credit were approximately \$167.3 million, of which \$52.2 million is at fixed rates and \$115.0 million is at variable rates. At December 31, 2013, unfunded commitments to extend credit were \$138.7 million, of which approximately \$32.6 million was at fixed rates and \$106.1 million was at variable rates. A significant portion of the unfunded commitments related to consumer equity lines of credit. Based on historical experience, we anticipate that a significant portion of these lines of credit will not be funded. We evaluate each client's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by us upon extension of credit, is based on our credit evaluation of the borrower. The type of collateral varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and commercial and residential real estate.



**Table of Contents**

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, there was a \$2.6 million and \$3.0 million commitment under letters of credit, respectively. The credit risk and collateral involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to clients. Since most of the letters of credit are expected to expire without being drawn upon, they do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

A portion of our business is to originate mortgage loans that will be sold in the secondary market to investors. Loan types that we originate include conventional loans, jumbo loans and other governmental agency loan products. We adhere to the legal lending limits and guidelines as set forth by the various governmental agencies and investors to whom we sell loans. Under a best efforts selling procedure, we make our best effort to process, fund, and deliver the loan to a particular investor. If the loan fails to fund, there is no immediate cost to us, as the market risk has been transferred to the investor. In the event of a customer loan default, we may be required to reimburse the investor.

Except as disclosed in this document, we are not involved in off-balance sheet contractual relationships, unconsolidated related entities that have off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions that could result in liquidity needs or other commitments that significantly impact earnings.

**Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity**

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates, which principally arises from interest rate risk inherent in our lending, investing, deposit gathering, and borrowing activities. Other types of market risks, such as foreign currency exchange rate risk and commodity price risk, do not generally arise in the normal course of our business.

We actively monitor and manage our interest rate risk exposure in order to control the mix and maturities of our assets and liabilities utilizing a process we call asset/liability management. The essential purposes of asset/liability management are to ensure adequate liquidity and to maintain an appropriate balance between interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to minimize potentially adverse impacts on earnings from changes in market interest rates. Our asset/liability management committee (ALCO) monitors and considers methods of managing exposure to interest rate risk. We have both an internal ALCO consisting of senior management that meets at various times during each month and a board ALCO that meets monthly. The ALCOs are responsible for maintaining the level of interest rate sensitivity of our interest sensitive assets and liabilities within board-approved limits.

As of December 31, 2014, the following table summarizes the forecasted impact on net interest income using a base case scenario given upward and downward movements in interest rates of 100, 200, and 300 basis points based on forecasted assumptions of prepayment speeds, nominal interest rates and loan and deposit repricing rates. Estimates are based on current economic conditions, historical interest rate cycles and other factors deemed to be relevant. However, underlying assumptions may be impacted in future periods which were not known to management at the time of the issuance of the Consolidated Financial Statements. Therefore, management's assumptions may or may not prove valid. No assurance can be given that changing economic conditions and other relevant factors impacting our net interest income will not cause actual occurrences to differ from underlying assumptions. In addition, this analysis does not consider any strategic changes to our balance sheet which management may consider as a result of changes in market conditions.

Interest rate scenario	Change in net interest income from base
Up 300 basis points	13.89%
Up 200 basis points	8.50%
Up 100 basis points	3.85%
Base	-
Down 100 basis points	(5.44)%
Down 200 basis points	(10.93)%
Down 300 basis points	(14.19)%

**Contractual Obligations**

We utilize a variety of short-term and long-term borrowings to supplement our supply of lendable funds, to assist in meeting deposit withdrawal requirements, and to fund growth of interest-earning assets in excess of traditional deposit growth. Certificates of deposit, structured repurchase agreements, FHLB advances, and junior subordinate debentures serve as our primary sources of such funds.

**Table of Contents**

Obligations under noncancelable operating lease agreements are payable over several years with the longest obligation expiring in 2027. We do not feel that any existing noncancelable operating lease agreements are likely to materially impact the Company's financial condition or results of operations in an adverse way. Contractual obligations relative to these agreements are noted in the table below. Option periods that we have not yet exercised are not included in this analysis as they do not represent contractual obligations until exercised.

The following table provides payments due by period for obligations under long-term borrowings and operating lease obligations as of December 31, 2014.

	December 31, 2014 Payments Due by Period					Total
	Within One Year	Over One to Two Years	Over Two to Three Years	Over Three to Five Years	After Five Years	
(dollars in thousands)						
Certificates of deposit	\$191,415	49,138	9,900	16,018	-	266,471
FHLB advances and other borrowings	20,000	-	78,000	37,200	-	135,200
Junior subordinated debentures	-	-	-	-	13,403	13,403
Operating lease obligations	896	1,026	984	996	3,869	7,771
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$212,311</b>	<b>50,164</b>	<b>88,884</b>	<b>54,214</b>	<b>17,272</b>	<b>422,845</b>

**Accounting, Reporting, and Regulatory Matters**

The following is a summary of recent authoritative pronouncements that could impact the accounting, reporting, and/or disclosure of financial information by the Company.

In January 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) amended Receivables topic of the Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ). The amendments are intended to resolve diversity in practice with respect to when a creditor should reclassify a collateralized consumer mortgage loan to other real estate owned ( OREO ). In addition, the amendments require a creditor reclassify a collateralized consumer mortgage loan to OREO upon obtaining legal title to the real estate collateral, or the borrower voluntarily conveying all interest in the real estate property to the lender to satisfy the loan through a deed in lieu of foreclosure or similar legal agreement. The amendments will be effective for the Company for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, with early implementation of the guidance permitted. In implementing this guidance, assets that are reclassified from real estate to loans are measured at the carrying value of the real estate at the date of adoption. Assets reclassified from loans to real estate are measured at the lower of the net amount of the loan receivable or the fair value of the real estate less costs to sell at the date of adoption. The Company will apply the amendments prospectively. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance to change the recognition of revenue from contracts with customers. The core principle of the new guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to reflect the transfer of goods and services to customers in an amount equal to the consideration the entity receives or expects to receive. The guidance will be effective for the Company for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued guidance which makes limited amendments to the guidance on accounting for certain repurchase agreements. The new guidance (1) requires entities to account for repurchase-to-maturity transactions as secured borrowings (rather than as sales with forward repurchase agreements), (2) eliminates accounting guidance on linked repurchase financing transactions, and (3) expands disclosure requirements related to certain transfers of financial assets that are accounted for as sales and certain transfers (specifically, repos, securities lending transactions, and repurchase-to-maturity transactions) accounted for as secured borrowings. The amendments will be effective for the Company for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company will apply the guidance by making a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance that is intended to define management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an organization's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. In connection with preparing financial statements, management will need to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the organization's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. The amendments will be effective for the Company for annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

In January 2015, the FASB issued guidance that eliminated the concept of extraordinary items from U.S. GAAP. Existing U.S. GAAP required that an entity separately classify, present, and disclose extraordinary events and transactions. The amendments will eliminate the requirements for reporting entities to consider whether an underlying event or transaction is extraordinary, however, the presentation and disclosure guidance for items that are unusual in nature or occur infrequently will be retained and will be expanded to include items that are both unusual in nature and infrequently occurring. The amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The amendments may be applied either prospectively or retrospectively to all prior periods presented in the financial statements. Early adoption is permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity and - Liquidity and Capital Resources.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors  
Southern First Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiary  
Greenville, South Carolina

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Southern First Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Southern First Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Elliott Davis Decosimo, LLC

Greenville, South Carolina  
March 3, 2015

**Table of Contents****SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(dollars in thousands, except share data)	2014	December 31, 2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 9,862	12,361
Federal funds sold	25,849	8,541
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	5,553	18,301
Total cash and cash equivalents	41,264	39,203
Investment securities:		
Investment securities available for sale	55,024	67,440
Other investments	6,522	6,116
Total investment securities	61,546	73,556
Loans held for sale	11,765	3,611
Loans	871,446	733,656
Less allowance for loan losses	(11,752)	(10,213)
Loans, net	859,694	723,443
Bank owned life insurance	22,050	21,383
Property and equipment, net	20,845	19,827
Deferred income taxes, net	5,509	4,938
Other assets	7,192	4,870
Total assets	\$ 1,029,865	890,831
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Deposits	\$ 788,907	680,319
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings	135,200	124,100
Junior subordinated debentures	13,403	13,403
Other liabilities	9,363	7,344
Total liabilities	946,873	825,166
<b>SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 15,299 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013	-	15,299
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 6,219,002 and 4,319,750 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively	62	43
Nonvested restricted stock	(494)	(636)
Additional paid-in capital	68,785	43,585
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	302	(1,348)
Retained earnings	14,337	8,722
Total shareholders equity	82,992	65,665
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 1,029,865	890,831

See notes to consolidated financial statements that are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

**Table of Contents****SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

	For the years ended December 31,		
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)	2014	2013	2012
<b>Interest income</b>			
Loans	\$ 38,092	34,242	32,668
Investment securities	1,784	1,813	1,963
Federal funds sold	72	63	67
Total interest income	39,948	36,118	34,698
<b>Interest expense</b>			
Deposits	2,820	2,862	4,177
Borrowings	4,088	4,235	4,525
Total interest expense	6,908	7,097	8,702
Net interest income	33,040	29,021	25,996
Provision for loan losses	4,175	3,475	4,550
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	28,865	25,546	21,446
<b>Noninterest income</b>			
Loan and mortgage fee income	2,854	1,235	1,044
Service fees on deposit accounts	923	879	767
Income from bank owned life insurance	667	658	632
Gain on sale of investment securities	230	-	363
Other income	1,106	1,030	956
Total noninterest income	5,780	3,802	3,762
<b>Noninterest expenses</b>			
Compensation and benefits	14,043	12,302	10,073
Occupancy	2,978	3,056	2,468
Real estate owned activity	647	179	939
Data processing and related costs	2,514	2,406	2,070
Insurance	832	818	1,367
Professional fees	952	858	841
Marketing	774	731	637
Other	2,167	1,462	1,118
Total noninterest expenses	24,907	21,812	19,513
Income before income tax expense	9,738	7,536	5,695
<b>Income tax expense</b>	3,113	2,416	1,833
<b>Net income</b>	6,625	5,120	3,862
Preferred stock dividend	915	771	840
Discount accretion	-	-	360
Redemption of preferred stock	-	(20)	(96)
<b>Net income available to common shareholders</b>	\$ 5,710	4,369	2,758
<b>Earnings per common share</b>			
Basic	\$ 1.15	1.02	0.65
Diluted	\$ 1.10	0.98	0.64
<b>Weighted average common shares outstanding</b>			
Basic	4,980,595	4,279,992	4,229,928
Diluted	5,199,376	4,458,918	4,339,958

See notes to consolidated financial statements that are an integral part of these consolidated statements. Earnings per share and common shares outstanding have been adjusted to reflect the 10 percent stock dividend in 2013.



**Table of Contents****SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

(dollars in thousands)	For the years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net income	\$6,625	5,120	3,862
Other comprehensive income:			
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale:			
Unrealized holding gain (loss) arising during the period, pretax	2,731	(3,829)	573
Tax (expense) benefit	(929)	1,303	(195)
Reclassification of realized gain	(230)	-	(363)
Tax expense	78	-	122
Other comprehensive income (loss)	1,650	(2,526)	137
Comprehensive income	\$8,275	2,594	3,999

See notes to consolidated financial statements that are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

**Table of Contents**

**SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

	Common stock		Preferred stock		Nonvested restricted stock	Additional paid-in capital	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	F
(dollars in thousands, except share data)	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
<b>December 31, 2011</b>	3,820,830	\$38	17,299	\$ 16,596	\$ (16)	\$39,546	\$ 1,041	
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Preferred stock transactions:								
Redemption of preferred stock	-	-	(1,000)	(1,000)	-	-	-	
Redemption of CPP Warrant	-	-	-	-	-	(1,100)	-	
Cash dividends on Series T preferred stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Discount accretion	-	-	-	703	-	(343)	-	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	15,336	1	-	-	-	95	-	
Stock dividend on common stock (10%)	388,738	4	-	-	-	3,712	-	
Cash in lieu of fractional shares	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Issuance of restricted stock	22,500	-	-	-	(175)	175	-	
Amortization of deferred compensation on restricted stock	-	-	-	-	31	-	-	
Compensation expense related to stock options, net of tax	-	-	-	-	-	311	-	
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	-	137	
<b>December 31, 2012</b>	<b>4,247,404</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>16,299</b>	<b>16,299</b>	<b>(160)</b>	<b>42,396</b>	<b>1,178</b>	
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Preferred stock transactions:								
Redemption of preferred stock	-	-	(1,000)	(1,000)	-	-	-	
Cash dividends on Series T preferred stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	28,596	-	-	-	-	198	-	
Cash in lieu of fractional shares	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Issuance of restricted stock	43,750	-	-	-	(564)	564	-	
Amortization of deferred compensation on restricted stock	-	-	-	-	88	-	-	
Compensation expense related to stock options, net of tax	-	-	-	-	-	427	-	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,526)	
<b>December 31, 2013</b>	<b>4,319,750</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>15,299</b>	<b>15,299</b>	<b>(636)</b>	<b>43,585</b>	<b>(1,348)</b>	
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Preferred stock transactions:								
Redemption of preferred stock	-	-	(15,299)	(15,299)	-	-	-	
Cash dividends on Series T preferred stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Issuance of common stock	1,855,000	18	-	-	-	24,358	-	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	39,752	1	-	-	-	355	-	
Issuance of restricted stock	4,500	-	-	-	(57)	57	-	
Amortization of deferred compensation on restricted stock	-	-	-	-	199	-	-	
Compensation expense related to stock options, net of tax	-	-	-	-	-	430	-	
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,650	
<b>December 31, 2014</b>	<b>6,219,002</b>	<b>\$62</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$(494)</b>	<b>\$68,785</b>	<b>\$ 302</b>	

See notes to consolidated financial statements that are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

**Table of Contents****SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(dollars in thousands)	For the years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>Operating activities</b>			
Net income	\$ 6,625	5,120	3,862
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:			
Provision for loan losses	4,175	3,475	4,550
Depreciation and other amortization	1,207	1,205	979
Accretion and amortization of securities discounts and premiums, net	375	659	850
Gain on sale of investment securities available for sale	(230)	-	(363)
Loss on sale of real estate owned	70	5	458
Write-down of real estate owned	320	130	419
Compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock grants	629	515	342
Gain on sale of loans held for sale	(2,699)	(1,088)	-
Loans originated and held for sale	(109,871)	(63,915)	-
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	104,416	63,451	-
Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	(667)	(658)	(632)
Increase in deferred tax asset	(1,422)	(460)	(297)
Decrease (increase) in other assets, net	(213)	(314)	1,017
Increase in other liabilities, net	2,019	463	690
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,734	8,588	11,875
<b>Investing activities</b>			
Increase (decrease) in cash realized from:			
Increase in loans, net	(143,585)	(93,473)	(53,279)
Purchase of property and equipment	(2,225)	(2,299)	(2,370)
Purchase of investment securities:			
Available for sale	(2,073)	(3,260)	(35,014)
Other investments	(1,800)	(2,025)	-
Payment and maturity of investment securities:			
Available for sale	4,928	9,555	12,031
Other investments	1,394	2,218	130
Proceeds from sale of investment securities:			
Available for sale	11,917	-	45,143
Other investments	-	1,485	-
Purchase of life insurance policies	-	(2,000)	-
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	660	1,740	2,670
Net cash used for investing activities	(130,784)	(88,059)	(30,689)
<b>Financing activities</b>			
Increase (decrease) in cash realized from:			
Increase in deposits, net	108,588	104,020	13,387
Increase (decrease) in note payable	(1,400)	-	1,400
Increase (decrease) in Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings	12,500	(13,190)	13,190
Cash dividend on preferred stock	(1,010)	(778)	(845)
Redemption of preferred stock	(15,299)	(980)	(1,100)
Issuance of common stock	24,376	-	-
Redemption of CPP warrant	-	-	(904)
Cash in lieu of fractional shares	-	(9)	(2)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants	356	198	96
Net cash provided by financing activities	128,111	89,261	25,222
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	2,061	9,790	6,408
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year</b>	<b>39,203</b>	<b>29,413</b>	<b>23,005</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of year</b>	<b>\$ 41,264</b>	<b>39,203</b>	<b>29,413</b>
<b>Supplemental information</b>			
<b>Cash paid for</b>			
Interest	\$ 6,907	7,571	8,669
Income taxes	4,535	2,876	2,129
<b>Schedule of non-cash transactions</b>			
Foreclosure of real estate	3,159	1,354	1,580

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Unrealized (gain) loss on securities, net of income taxes	(1,802)	2,526	(378)
---	---------	-------	-------

See notes to consolidated financial statements that are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

## **Table of Contents**

### **NOTE 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Activities**

**Southern First Bancshares, Inc.** (the "Company") is a South Carolina corporation that owns all of the capital stock of Southern First Bank (the "Bank") and all of the stock of Greenville First Statutory Trust I and II (collectively, the "Trusts"). The Trusts are special purpose non-consolidated entities organized for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. The Bank's primary federal regulator is the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). The Bank is also regulated and examined by the South Carolina Board of Financial Institutions. The Bank is primarily engaged in the business of accepting demand deposits and savings deposits insured by the FDIC, and providing commercial, consumer and mortgage loans to the general public.

#### *Basis of Presentation*

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Southern First Bank. We have no additional reportable operating segments under Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 280 Segment Reporting. In consolidation, all significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated. The accounting and reporting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In accordance with guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the operations of the Trusts have not been consolidated in these financial statements.

#### *Use of Estimates*

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amount of income and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, real estate acquired in settlement of loans, fair value of financial instruments, evaluating other-than-temporary-impairment of investment securities and valuation of deferred tax assets.

#### *Risks and Uncertainties*

In the normal course of its business, the Company encounters two significant types of risks: economic and regulatory. There are three main components of economic risk: interest rate risk, credit risk and market risk. The Company is subject to interest rate risk to the degree that its interest-bearing liabilities mature or reprice at different speeds, or on different bases, than its interest-earning assets. Credit risk is the risk of default within the Company's loan portfolio that results from borrowers' inability or unwillingness to make contractually required payments. Market risk reflects changes in the value of collateral underlying loans receivable and the valuation of real estate held by the Company.

The Company is subject to the regulations of various governmental agencies. These regulations can and do change significantly from period to period. The Company also undergoes periodic examinations by the regulatory agencies, which may subject it to changes with respect to valuation of assets, amount of required loan loss allowance and operating restrictions resulting from the regulators' judgments based on information available to them at the time of their examinations.

The Bank makes loans to individuals and businesses in the Upstate, Midlands, and Lowcountry regions of South Carolina for various personal and commercial purposes. The Bank's loan portfolio has a concentration of real estate loans. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, real estate loans represented 81.1% and 80.6%, respectively, of total loans. However, borrowers' ability to repay their loans is not dependent upon any specific economic sector.

#### *Subsequent Events*

Subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. Recognized subsequent events are events or transactions that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements. Non-recognized subsequent events are events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after that date.

*Reclassifications*

Certain amounts, previously reported, have been reclassified to state all periods on a comparable basis and had no effect on shareholders' equity or net income.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits and federal funds sold. Cash and cash equivalents have original maturities of three months or less, and federal funds sold are generally purchased and sold for one-day periods. Accordingly, the carrying value of these instruments is deemed to be a reasonable estimate of fair value. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, included in cash and cash equivalents was \$5.0 million and \$16.9 million, respectively, on deposit to meet Federal Reserve Bank requirements.

### *Investment Securities*

We classify our investment securities as held to maturity securities, trading securities and available for sale securities as applicable.

Debt securities are designated as held to maturity if we have the intent and the ability to hold the securities to maturity. Held to maturity securities are carried at amortized cost, adjusted for the amortization of any related premiums or the accretion of any related discounts into interest income using a methodology which approximates a level yield of interest over the estimated remaining period until maturity. Unrealized losses on held to maturity securities, reflecting a decline in value judged by us to be other than temporary, are charged to income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Debt and equity securities that are purchased and held principally for the purpose of selling in the near term are reported as trading securities. Trading securities are carried at fair value with unrealized holding gains and losses included in earnings.

We classify debt and equity securities as available for sale when at the time of purchase we determine that such securities may be sold at a future date or if we do not have the intent or ability to hold such securities to maturity. Securities designated as available for sale are recorded at fair value. Changes in the fair value of debt and equity securities available for sale are included in shareholders' equity as unrealized gains or losses, net of the related tax effect. Unrealized losses on available for sale securities, reflecting a decline in value judged to be other than temporary, are charged to income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Realized gains or losses on available for sale securities are computed on the specific identification basis.

### *Other Investments*

The Bank, as a member institution, is required to own a stock investment in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ( FHLB ). Cash dividends on our FHLB stock are recorded in investment income. Prior to the Bank's conversion to a state charter on April 1, 2013, the Bank was also required to own stock in the Federal Reserve Bank. These stocks are generally pledged against any borrowings from these institutions. No ready market exists for these stocks and they have no quoted market value. However, redemption of these stocks has historically been at par value. Other investments also include a \$403,000 investment in the Trusts.

### *Loans Held for Sale*

Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recorded as a valuation allowance and charged to earnings. Mortgage loans held for sale are generally sold with servicing rights released. Gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans are based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related loan sold.

### *Loans*

Loans are stated at the principal balance outstanding. Unamortized net loan fees and the allowance for possible loan losses are deducted from total loans on the balance sheets. Interest income is recognized over the term of the loan based on the principal amount outstanding. The net of loan origination fees received and direct costs incurred in the origination of loans is deferred and amortized to interest income over the contractual life of the loans adjusted for actual principal prepayments using a method approximating the interest method.

### *Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans*

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when principal or interest becomes 90 days past due, or when payment in full is not anticipated. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, interest accrued but not received is generally reversed against interest income. Cash receipts on nonaccrual loans are not recorded as interest income, but are used to reduce the loan's principal balance. A nonaccrual loan is generally returned to accrual status and accrual of interest is resumed when payments have been made according to the terms and conditions of the loan for a continuous six month period. Our loans are considered past due when contractually required principal or interest payments have not been made on the due dates.



## **Table of Contents**

### *Nonperforming Assets*

Nonperforming assets include real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed taken in lieu of foreclosure, loans on nonaccrual status and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest. Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when, in the opinion of management, the collection of additional interest is uncertain. Thereafter no interest is taken into income until such time as the borrower demonstrates the ability to pay both principal and interest.

### *Impaired Loans*

Our impaired loans include loans on nonaccrual status and loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) whether on accrual or nonaccrual status. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the fair value (discounted cash flows, collateral value, or observable market price) of the impaired loan less costs to sell, are lower than the carrying value of that loan. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due, among other factors. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including, without limitation, the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Prior to this change, large groups of smaller balance homogeneous consumer loans were collectively evaluated for impairment, and we did not separately identify individual consumer loans for impairment disclosures.

### *Loan Charge-off Policy*

For commercial loans, we generally fully charge off or charge collateralized loans down to net realizable value when management determines the loan to be uncollectible; repayment is deemed to be projected beyond reasonable time frames; the loan has been classified as a loss by either our internal loan review process or our banking regulatory agencies; the client has filed bankruptcy and the loss becomes evident owing to a lack of assets; or the loan is 120 days past due unless both well-secured and in the process of collection. For consumer loans, we generally charge down to net realizable value when the loan is 180 days past due.

### *Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDRs)*

The Company considers a loan to be a TDR when the debtor experiences financial difficulties and the Company provides concessions such that we will not collect all principal and interest in accordance with the original terms of the loan agreement. Concessions can relate to the contractual interest rate, maturity date, or payment structure of the note. As part of our workout plan for individual loan relationships, we may restructure loan terms to assist borrowers facing challenges in the current economic environment.

Our policy with respect to accrual of interest on loans restructured in a TDR follows relevant supervisory guidance. That is, if a borrower has demonstrated performance under the previous loan terms and shows capacity to perform under the restructured loan terms; continued accrual of interest at the restructured interest rate is likely. If a borrower was materially delinquent on payments prior to the restructuring, but shows capacity to meet the restructured loan terms, the loan will likely continue as nonaccrual going forward. Lastly, if the borrower does not perform under the restructured terms, the loan is placed on nonaccrual status. We will continue to closely monitor these loans and will cease accruing interest on them if management believes that the borrowers may not continue performing based on the restructured note terms. If, after previously being classified as a TDR, a loan is restructured a second time, then that loan is automatically placed on nonaccrual status. Our policy with respect to nonperforming loans requires the borrower to make a minimum of six consecutive payments of principal and interest in accordance with the loan terms before that loan can be placed back on accrual status. Further, the borrower must show capacity to continue performing into the future prior to restoration of accrual status.

## **Table of Contents**

As a result of adopting the amendments in Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2011-02, we reassessed all restructurings that occurred on or after the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption (January 1, 2011) to determine whether they are considered TDRs under the amended guidance. The amendments in ASU 2011-02 require prospective application of the impairment measurement guidance in ASC 310-10-35 for those loans newly identified as impaired. In the determination of the allowance for loan losses, management considers TDRs on commercial and consumer loans and subsequent defaults in these restructurings by measuring impairment, on a loan by loan basis, based on either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral, less costs to sell, if the loan is collateral dependent.

### *Allowance for Loan Losses*

The allowance for loan losses is management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

We have an established process to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses that assesses the losses inherent in our portfolio. While we attribute portions of the allowance to specific portfolio segments, the entire allowance is available to absorb credit losses inherent in the total loan portfolio. Our process involves procedures to appropriately consider the unique risk characteristics of our commercial and consumer loan portfolio segments. For each portfolio segment, impairment is measured individually for each impaired loan. Our allowance levels are influenced by loan volume, loan grade or delinquency status, historic loss experience and other economic conditions. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the allowance for loan losses.

### *Other Real Estate Owned*

Real estate acquired through foreclosure is initially recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. Subsequent to the date of acquisition, it is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, adjusted for net selling costs. Fair values of real estate owned are reviewed regularly and writedowns are recorded when it is determined that the carrying value of real estate exceeds the fair value less estimated costs to sell. Costs relating to the development and improvement of such property are capitalized, whereas those costs relating to holding the property are expensed.

### *Property and Equipment*

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Major repairs are charged to operations, while major improvements are capitalized. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Upon retirement, sale, or other disposition of property and equipment, the cost and accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the accounts, and gain or loss is included in income from operations.

Construction in progress is stated at cost, which includes the cost of construction and other direct costs attributable to the construction. No provision for depreciation is made on construction in progress until such time as the relevant assets are completed and put into use.

### *Bank Owned Life Insurance Policies*

Bank owned life insurance policies represent the cash value of policies on certain officers of the Company.

### *Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase*

The Bank enters into sales of securities under agreements to repurchase (reverse repurchase agreements). Repurchase agreements are treated as financing, with the obligation to repurchase securities sold being reflected as a liability and the securities underlying the agreements remaining as assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Comprehensive Income*

Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income and net unrealized gains (losses) on securities and is presented in the statements of shareholders' equity and comprehensive income. The statement requires only additional disclosures in the consolidated financial statements; it does not affect our results of operations.

### *Income Taxes*

The financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis. When income and expenses are recognized in different periods for financial reporting purposes versus for the purposes of computing income taxes currently payable, deferred taxes are provided on such temporary differences. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be realized or settled. The Company believes that its income tax filing positions taken or expected to be taken on its tax returns will more likely than not be sustained upon audit by the taxing authorities and does not anticipate any adjustments that will result in a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flow. Therefore, no reserves for uncertain income tax positions have been recorded. The Company's federal and state income tax returns are open and subject to examination from the 2011 tax return year and forward.

### *Stock-Based Compensation*

The Company has a stock-based employee compensation plan. Compensation cost is recognized for all stock options granted and for any outstanding unvested awards as if the fair value method had been applied to those awards as of the date of grant.

### *Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements*

The following is a summary of recent authoritative pronouncements that could impact the accounting, reporting, and/or disclosure of financial information by the Company.

In January 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) amended Receivables topic of the Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ). The amendments are intended to resolve diversity in practice with respect to when a creditor should reclassify a collateralized consumer mortgage loan to other real estate owned ( OREO ). In addition, the amendments require a creditor reclassify a collateralized consumer mortgage loan to OREO upon obtaining legal title to the real estate collateral, or the borrower voluntarily conveying all interest in the real estate property to the lender to satisfy the loan through a deed in lieu of foreclosure or similar legal agreement. The amendments will be effective for the Company for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, with early implementation of the guidance permitted. In implementing this guidance, assets that are reclassified from real estate to loans are measured at the carrying value of the real estate at the date of adoption. Assets reclassified from loans to real estate are measured at the lower of the net amount of the loan receivable or the fair value of the real estate less costs to sell at the date of adoption. The Company will apply the amendments prospectively. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance to change the recognition of revenue from contracts with customers. The core principle of the new guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to reflect the transfer of goods and services to customers in an amount equal to the consideration the entity receives or expects to receive. The guidance will be effective for the Company for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued guidance which makes limited amendments to the guidance on accounting for certain repurchase agreements. The new guidance (1) requires entities to account for repurchase-to-maturity transactions as secured borrowings (rather than as sales with forward repurchase agreements), (2) eliminates accounting guidance on linked repurchase financing transactions, and (3) expands disclosure requirements related to certain transfers of financial assets that are accounted for as sales and certain transfers (specifically, repos, securities lending transactions, and repurchase-to-maturity transactions) accounted for as secured borrowings. The amendments will be effective for the Company for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company will apply the guidance by making a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the

beginning of the period of adoption. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance that is intended to define management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an organization's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. In connection with preparing financial statements, management will need to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the organization's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. The amendments will be effective for the Company for annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued guidance that eliminated the concept of extraordinary items from U.S. GAAP. Existing U.S. GAAP required that an entity separately classify, present, and disclose extraordinary events and transactions. The amendments will eliminate the requirements for reporting entities to consider whether an underlying event or transaction is extraordinary, however, the presentation and disclosure guidance for items that are unusual in nature or occur infrequently will be retained and will be expanded to include items that are both unusual in nature and infrequently occurring. The amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The amendments may be applied either prospectively or retrospectively to all prior periods presented in the financial statements. Early adoption is permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company does not expect these amendments to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

**NOTE 2 Investment Securities**

The amortized costs and fair value of investment securities are as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	December 31, 2014 Fair Value
(dollars in thousands)				
<b>Available for sale</b>				
US government agencies	\$ 8,763	9	215	8,557
SBA securities	5,336	-	182	5,154
State and political subdivisions	16,253	598	51	16,800
Mortgage-backed securities				
FHLMC	7,284	60	12	7,332
FNMA	16,846	273	30	17,089
GNMA	84	8	-	92
Total mortgage-backed securities	24,214	341	42	24,513
Total	\$ 54,566	948	490	55,024

	Amortized Cost	Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	December 31, 2013 Fair Value
<b>Available for sale</b>				
US government agencies	\$ 8,756	-	1,001	7,755
SBA securities	5,758	-	487	5,271
State and political subdivisions	23,622	331	583	23,370
Mortgage-backed securities				
FHLMC	7,596	62	186	7,472
FNMA	23,603	172	363	23,412
GNMA	148	12	-	160
Total mortgage-backed securities	31,347	246	549	31,044
Total	\$ 69,483	577	2,620	67,440

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

During the second quarter of 2014, we developed a need for additional liquidity as we experienced increased loan demand and, as a result, sold \$10.4 million of our mortgage-backed securities and state and municipal obligations and recorded a net gain on sale of investment securities of \$230,000. There were no sales of investment securities during 2013.

**Table of Contents**

The amortized costs and fair values of investment securities available for sale at December 31, 2014 and 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers have the right to prepay the obligations.

	2014		December 31, 2013	
	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair
(dollars in thousands)	Cost	Value	Cost	Value
<b>Available for sale</b>				
Due within one year	\$ 2,081	2,082	1,507	1,507
Due after one through five years	1,356	1,387	2,121	2,114
Due after five through ten years	10,143	10,583	10,268	10,426
Due after ten years	40,986	40,972	55,587	53,393
	\$54,566	55,024	69,483	67,440

The tables below summarize gross unrealized losses on investment securities and the fair market value of the related securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

(dollars in thousands)	#	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer			Total			
		#	value	losses	#	value	losses	#	value	losses
<b>As of December 31, 2014</b>										
<b>Available for sale</b>										
US government agencies	-	\$ -	\$ -	2	\$ 7,569	\$ 215	2	\$ 7,569	\$ 215	
SBA securities	-	-	-	2	5,154	182	2	5,154	182	
State and political subdivisions	-	-	-	7	3,488	51	7	3,488	51	
Mortgage-backed										
FHLMC	1	731	1	1	2,472	11	2	3,203	12	
FNMA	2	3,676	10	1	2,284	20	3	5,960	30	
	3	\$ 4,407	\$ 11	13	\$ 20,967	\$ 479	16	\$ 25,374	\$ 490	
<b>As of December 31, 2013</b>										
<b>Available for sale</b>										
US government agencies	3	\$ 7,755	\$ 1,001	-	\$ -	\$ -	3	\$ 7,755	\$ 1,001	
SBA securities	-	-	-	2	5,271	487	2	5,271	487	
State and political subdivisions	22	8,482	364	9	3,705	219	31	12,187	583	
Mortgage-backed										
FHLMC	3	5,006	186	-	-	-	3	5,006	186	
FNMA	7	11,140	363	-	-	-	7	11,140	363	
	35	\$ 32,383	\$ 1,914	11	\$ 8,976	\$ 706	46	\$ 41,359	\$ 2,620	

At December 31, 2014, the Company had three individual investments with a fair market value of \$4.4 million that were in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and 13 individual investments with a fair market value of \$21.0 million that were in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer. The unrealized losses were primarily attributable to changes in interest rates, rather than deterioration in credit quality. The individual securities are each investment grade securities. The Company considers the length of time and extent to which the fair value of available-for-sale debt securities have been less than cost to conclude that such securities were not other-than-temporarily impaired. We also consider other factors such as the financial condition of the issuer including credit ratings and specific events affecting the operations of the issuer, volatility of the security, underlying assets that collateralize the debt security, and other industry and macroeconomic conditions. As the Company has no intent to sell securities with unrealized losses and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell these securities before recovery of amortized cost, we have concluded that the securities are not impaired on an other-than-temporary basis.





**Table of Contents**

Other investments are comprised of the following and are recorded at cost which approximates fair value:

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2014	2013
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	\$6,020	5,614
Certificates of deposit	99	99
Investment in Trust Preferred subsidiaries	403	403
	\$6,522	6,116

The Company has evaluated the FHLB stock for impairment and determined that the investment in FHLB stock is not other than temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2014 and ultimate recoverability of the par value of this investment is probable. All of the FHLB stock is used to collateralize advances with the FHLB.

At December 31, 2014, \$21.8 million of securities were pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements from brokers, and approximately \$12.9 million was pledged to secure client deposits. At December 31, 2013, \$22.0 million of securities were pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements from brokers. In addition, approximately \$25.0 million was pledged to secure client deposits.

**NOTE 3 Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses**

The Company makes loans to individuals and small businesses for various personal and commercial purposes primarily in the Upstate, Midlands, and Low Country regions of South Carolina. The Company's loan portfolio is not concentrated in loans to any single borrower or a relatively small number of borrowers. The Company focuses its lending activities primarily on the professional markets in Greenville, Columbia, and Charleston including doctors, dentists, and small business owners. The principal component of the loan portfolio is loans secured by real estate mortgages which account for 81.1% of total loans at December 31, 2014. Commercial loans comprise 60.2% of total real estate loans and consumer loans account for 39.8%. Commercial real estate loans are further categorized into owner occupied which represents 21.9% of total loans and non-owner occupied loans represent 21.1%. Commercial construction loans represent only 5.8% of the total loan portfolio.

In addition to monitoring potential concentrations of loans to particular borrowers or groups of borrowers, industries and geographic regions, management monitors exposure to credit risk from concentrations of lending products and practices such as loans that subject borrowers to substantial payment increases (e.g. principal deferral periods, loans with initial interest-only periods, etc.), and loans with high loan-to-value ratios. As of December 31, 2014, approximately \$93.4 million, or 10.7% of our loans had loan-to-value ratios which exceeded regulatory supervisory limits, of which 100 loans totaling approximately \$40.5 million had loan-to-value ratios of 100% or more. Additionally, there are industry practices that could subject the Company to increased credit risk should economic conditions change over the course of a loan's life. For example, the Company makes variable rate loans and fixed rate principal-amortizing loans with maturities prior to the loan being fully paid (i.e. balloon payment loans). The various types of loans are individually underwritten and monitored to manage the associated risks.

The allowance for loan losses is management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. We have an established process to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses that assesses the losses inherent in our portfolio. While we attribute portions of the allowance to specific portfolio segments, the entire allowance is available to absorb credit losses inherent in the total loan portfolio. Our process involves procedures to appropriately consider the unique risk characteristics of our commercial and consumer loan portfolio segments. For each portfolio segment, impairment is measured individually for each impaired loan. Our allowance levels are influenced by loan volume, loan grade or delinquency status, historic loss experience and other economic conditions.

**Portfolio Segment Methodology***Commercial*

Commercial loans are assessed for estimated losses by grading each loan using various risk factors identified through periodic reviews. We apply historic grade-specific loss factors to each loan class. In the development of our statistically derived loan grade

loss factors, we observe historical losses over 12 quarters for each loan grade. These loss estimates are adjusted as appropriate based on additional analysis of external loss data or other risks identified from current economic conditions and credit quality trends. The allowance also includes an amount for the estimated impairment on nonaccrual commercial loans and commercial loans modified in a TDR, whether on accrual or nonaccrual status.

**Table of Contents***Consumer*

For consumer loans, we determine the allowance on a collective basis utilizing historical losses over 12 quarters to represent our best estimate of inherent loss. We pool loans, generally by loan class with similar risk characteristics. The allowance also includes an amount for the estimated impairment on nonaccrual consumer loans and consumer loans modified in a TDR, whether on accrual or nonaccrual status.

The following table summarizes the composition of our loan portfolio. Total gross loans are recorded net of deferred loan fees and costs, which totaled \$1.8 million and \$1.3 million as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

	December 31,		2014		2013	
(dollars in thousands)						
<b>Commercial</b>						
Owner occupied	\$ 191,061		21.9%	\$ 185,129		25.2%
Non-owner occupied	183,440		21.1%	166,016		22.6%
Construction	50,995		5.8%	30,906		4.2%
Business	149,986		17.2%	129,687		17.7%
Total commercial loans	575,482		66.0%	511,738		69.7%
<b>Consumer</b>						
Residential	146,859		16.9%	110,590		15.1%
Home equity	95,629		11.0%	78,479		10.7%
Construction	39,226		4.5%	19,888		2.7%
Other	14,250		1.6%	12,961		1.8%
Total consumer loans	295,964		34.0%	221,918		30.3%
Total gross loans, net of deferred fees	871,446		100.0%	733,656		100.0%
Less allowance for loan losses	(11,752)			(10,213)		
Total loans, net	\$ 859,694			\$ 723,443		

The composition of gross loans by rate type is as follows:

	December 31,	
(dollars in thousands)		
	2014	2013
Variable rate loans	\$ 227,232	223,764
Fixed rate loans	644,214	509,892
	\$ 871,446	733,656

At December 31, 2014, approximately \$241.1 million of the Company's mortgage loans were pledged as collateral for advances from the FHLB, as set forth in Note 8.

**Credit Quality Indicators***Commercial*

We manage a consistent process for assessing commercial loan credit quality by monitoring our loan grading trends and past due statistics. All loans are subject to individual risk assessment. Our risk categories include Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful, each of which is defined by banking regulatory agencies. Delinquency statistics are also an important indicator of credit quality in the establishment of our allowance for credit losses.

We categorize our loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of the borrower to service their debt such as current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. A description of the general characteristics of the risk grades is as follows:

Pass These loans range from minimal credit risk to average however still acceptable credit risk.

**Table of Contents**

**Special mention** A special mention loan has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or the institution's credit position at some future date.

**Substandard** A substandard loan is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified must have a well-defined weakness, or weaknesses, that may jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. A substandard loan is characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

**Doubtful** A doubtful loan has all of the weaknesses inherent in one classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of the currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

The following tables provide past due information for outstanding commercial loans and include loans on nonaccrual status.

<b>December 31, 2014</b>					
(dollars in thousands)	<b>Owner occupied RE</b>	<b>Non-owner occupied RE</b>	<b>Construction</b>	<b>Business</b>	<b>Total</b>
Current	\$ 190,801	180,577	50,212	148,317	569,907
30-59 days past due	-	49	-	35	84
60-89 days past due	-	246	-	155	401
Greater than 90 days	260	2,568	783	1,479	5,090
	<b>\$ 191,061</b>	<b>183,440</b>	<b>50,995</b>	<b>149,986</b>	<b>575,482</b>

<b>December 31, 2013</b>					
(dollars in thousands)	<b>Owner occupied RE</b>	<b>Non-owner occupied RE</b>	<b>Construction</b>	<b>Business</b>	<b>Total</b>
Current	\$ 183,609	161,758	29,992	128,883	504,242
30-59 days past due	791	859	-	44	1,694
60-89 days past due	-	-	-	-	-
Greater than 90 days	729	3,399	914	760	5,802
	<b>\$ 185,129</b>	<b>166,016</b>	<b>30,906</b>	<b>129,687</b>	<b>511,738</b>

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, loans 30 days or more past due represented 0.73% and 1.30% of our total loan portfolio, respectively. Commercial loans 30 days or more past due were 0.64% and 1.02% as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The tables below provide a breakdown of outstanding commercial loans by risk category.

<b>December 31, 2014</b>					
(dollars in thousands)	<b>Owner occupied RE</b>	<b>Non-owner occupied RE</b>	<b>Construction</b>	<b>Business</b>	<b>Total</b>
Pass	\$ 184,158	173,711	48,140	140,432	546,441
Special Mention	5,035	3,376	129	4,715	13,255
Substandard	1,868	6,353	2,726	4,839	15,786
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>\$ 191,061</b>	<b>183,440</b>	<b>50,995</b>	<b>149,986</b>	<b>575,482</b>

<b>December 31, 2013</b>					
(dollars in thousands)	<b>Owner occupied RE</b>	<b>Non-owner occupied RE</b>	<b>Construction</b>	<b>Business</b>	<b>Total</b>
Pass	\$ 176,320	147,378	27,797	120,254	471,749
Special Mention	5,563	7,987	-	3,629	17,179

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Substandard	3,246	10,651	3,109	5,804	22,810
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-
	\$ 185,129	166,016	30,906	129,687	511,738

**Table of Contents***Consumer*

We manage a consistent process for assessing consumer loan credit quality by monitoring our loan grading trends and past due statistics. All loans are subject to individual risk assessment. Our risk categories include Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful, which are defined above. Delinquency statistics are also an important indicator of credit quality in the establishment of our allowance for loan losses.

The following tables provide past due information for outstanding consumer loans and include loans on nonaccrual status.

	December 31, 2014				
(dollars in thousands)	Real estate	Home equity	Construction	Other	Total
Current	\$ 146,362	95,311	39,226	14,247	294,146
30-59 days past due	40	-	-	-	40
60-89 days past due	-	130	-	3	133
Greater than 90 days	457	188	-	-	645
	\$ 146,859	95,629	39,226	14,250	295,964

  

	December 31, 2013				
(dollars in thousands)	Real estate	Home equity	Construction	Other	Total
Current	\$ 108,703	78,402	19,888	12,877	219,870
30-59 days past due	806	-	-	84	890
60-89 days past due	467	-	-	-	467
Greater than 90 days	614	77	-	-	691
	\$ 110,590	78,479	19,888	12,961	221,918

Consumer loans 30 days or more past due were 0.09% and 0.28% as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The tables below provide a breakdown of outstanding consumer loans by risk category.

	December 31, 2014				
(dollars in thousands)	Real estate	Home equity	Construction	Other	Total
Pass	\$ 144,070	91,084	39,226	14,013	288,393
Special Mention	953	3,268	-	139	4,360
Substandard	1,836	1,277	-	98	3,211
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-
Loss	-	-	-	-	-
	\$ 146,859	95,629	39,226	14,250	295,964

  

	December 31, 2013				
(dollars in thousands)	Real estate	Home equity	Construction	Other	Total
Pass	\$ 106,693	75,304	19,888	12,641	214,526
Special Mention	1,455	2,176	-	212	3,843
Substandard	2,442	999	-	108	3,549
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-
Loss	-	-	-	-	-
	\$ 110,590	78,479	19,888	12,961	221,918

**Nonperforming assets**

The following table shows the nonperforming assets and the related percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets and gross loans. Generally, a loan is placed on nonaccrual status when it becomes 90 days past due as to principal or interest, or when we believe, after considering economic and business conditions and collection efforts, that the borrower's financial condition is such



that collection of the contractual principal or interest on the loan is doubtful. A payment of interest on a loan that is classified as nonaccrual is recognized as a reduction in principal when received.

**Table of Contents**

(dollars in thousands)	2014	December 31, 2013
<b>Commercial</b>		
Owner occupied RE	\$ 322	1,199
Non-owner occupied RE	2,344	373
Construction	783	914
Business	1,408	712
<b>Consumer</b>		
Real estate	457	76
Home equity	188	77
Construction	-	-
Other	1	3
Nonaccruing troubled debt restructurings	1,147	4,983
Total nonaccrual loans, including nonaccruing TDRs	6,650	8,337
Other real estate owned	3,307	1,198
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 9,957	9,535
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of:		
Total assets	0.97%	1.07%
Gross loans	1.14%	1.30%
Total loans over 90 days past due	\$ 5,735	6,493
Loans over 90 days past due and still accruing	-	-
Accruing TDRs	8,562	8,045

Foregone interest income on the nonaccrual loans for the year ended December 31, 2014 was approximately \$414,000 and approximately \$543,000 for the same period in 2013.

**Impaired Loans**

The table below summarizes key information for impaired loans. Our impaired loans include loans on nonaccrual status and loans modified in a TDR, whether on accrual or nonaccrual status. These impaired loans may have estimated impairment which is included in the allowance for loan losses. Our commercial and consumer impaired loans are evaluated individually to determine the related allowance for loan losses.

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2014			
	Unpaid Principal Balance	Impaired loans	Recorded investment Impaired loans with related allowance for loan losses	Related allowance for loan losses
<b>Commercial</b>				
Owner occupied RE	\$ 1,122	1,122	1,060	371
Non-owner occupied RE	5,813	4,522	2,777	801
Construction	5,268	2,726	1,315	324
Business	5,385	4,565	3,528	2,464
Total commercial	17,588	12,935	8,680	3,960
<b>Consumer</b>				
Real estate	1,620	1,620	1,299	585
Home equity	347	347	347	191
Construction	-	-	-	-
Other	310	310	310	310
Total consumer	2,277	2,277	1,956	1,086
Total	\$ 19,865	15,212	10,636	5,046



**Table of Contents**

	December 31, 2013			
	Unpaid Principal Balance	Impaired loans	Recorded investment Impaired loans with related allowance for loan losses	Related allowance for loan losses
<b>Commercial</b>				
Owner occupied RE	\$ 1,935	1,935	1,666	333
Non-owner occupied RE	5,957	5,622	6,125	1,441
Construction	4,612	1,870	1,855	246
Business	5,494	4,684	2,807	1,813
Total commercial	17,998	14,111	12,453	3,833
<b>Consumer</b>				
Real estate	1,829	1,807	1,447	704
Home equity	239	239	239	188
Construction	-	-	-	-
Other	225	225	4	4
Total consumer	2,293	2,271	1,690	896
Total	\$20,291	16,382	14,143	4,729

The following table provides the average recorded investment in impaired loans and the amount of interest income recognized on impaired loans after impairment by portfolio segment and class.

(dollars in thousands)	Year ended December 31,					
	Average recorded investment	2014 Recognized interest income	Average recorded investment	2013 Recognized interest income	Average recorded investment	2012 Recognized interest income
<b>Commercial</b>						
Owner occupied RE	\$ 1,568	47	1,519	47	3,881	17
Non-owner occupied RE	5,693	104	5,932	261	5,811	392
Construction	1,977	75	2,054	57	2,127	66
Business	4,522	154	4,521	189	3,880	84
Total commercial	13,760	380	14,026	554	15,699	559
<b>Consumer</b>						
Real estate	2,094	53	1,186	100	1,397	44
Home equity	251	10	610	8	518	12
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	282	13	234	9	240	14
Total consumer	2,627	76	2,030	117	2,155	70
Total	\$16,387	456	16,056	671	17,854	629

**Table of Contents****Allowance for Loan Losses**

The following table summarizes the activity related to our allowance for loan losses:

(dollars in thousands)	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>Balance, beginning of period</b>	\$ 10,213	9,091	8,925
Provision for loan losses	4,175	3,475	4,550
<b>Loan charge-offs:</b>			
Commercial			
Owner occupied RE	-	(390)	(1,857)
Non-owner occupied RE	(2,069)	(249)	(513)
Construction	-	-	-
Business	(645)	(1,664)	(1,230)
Total commercial	(2,714)	(2,303)	(3,600)
Consumer			
Real estate	(51)	(22)	(214)
Home equity	(87)	(106)	(691)
Construction	-	-	-
Other	(35)	(47)	-
Total consumer	(173)	(175)	(905)
Total loan charge-offs	(2,887)	(2,478)	(4,505)
<b>Loan recoveries:</b>			
Commercial			
Owner occupied RE	-	1	4
Non-owner occupied RE	2	1	42
Construction	127	-	-
Business	117	115	27
Total commercial	246	117	73
Consumer			
Real estate	-	-	2
Home equity	5	8	32
Construction	-	-	-
Other	-	-	14
Total consumer	5	8	48
Total recoveries	251	125	121
Net loan charge-offs	(2,636)	(2,353)	(4,384)
Balance, end of period	\$ 11,752	10,213	9,091

The following tables summarize the activity in the allowance for loan losses by our commercial and consumer portfolio segments.

(dollars in thousands)	Year ended December 31, 2014			
	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 8,239	1,974	-	10,213
Provision	2,445	1,730	-	4,175
Loan charge-offs	(2,714)	(173)	-	(2,887)
Loan recoveries	246	5	-	251
Net loan charge-offs	(2,468)	(168)	-	(2,636)
Balance, end of period	\$ 8,216	3,536	-	11,752

(dollars in thousands)	Year ended December 31, 2013			
	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 7,981	1,110	-	9,091

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Provision	2,444	1,031	-	3,475
Loan charge-offs	(2,303)	(175)	-	(2,478)
Loan recoveries	117	8	-	125
Net loan charge-offs	(2,186)	(167)	-	(2,353)
Balance, end of period	\$ 8,239	1,974	-	10,213

**Table of Contents**

The following table disaggregates our allowance for loan losses and recorded investment in loans by impairment methodology.

(dollars in thousands)	Allowance for loan losses			Recorded investment in loans		
	Commercial	Consumer	Total	Commercial	Consumer	Total
Individually evaluated	\$ 3,960	1,086	5,046	12,935	2,277	15,212
Collectively evaluated	4,256	2,450	6,706	562,547	293,687	856,234
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 8,216</b>	<b>3,536</b>	<b>11,752</b>	<b>575,482</b>	<b>295,964</b>	<b>871,446</b>

(dollars in thousands)	Allowance for loan losses			Recorded investment in loans		
	Commercial	Consumer	Total	Commercial	Consumer	Total
Individually evaluated	\$ 3,833	896	4,729	14,111	2,271	16,382
Collectively evaluated	4,406	1,078	5,484	497,627	219,647	717,274
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 8,239</b>	<b>1,974</b>	<b>10,213</b>	<b>511,738</b>	<b>221,918</b>	<b>733,656</b>

**NOTE 4 Troubled Debt Restructurings**

At December 31, 2014, we had 37 loans totaling \$9.7 million and at December 31, 2013 we had 34 loans totaling \$13.0 million, which we considered as TDRs. The Company considers a loan to be a TDR when the debtor experiences financial difficulties and the Company grants a concession to the debtor that it would not normally consider. Concessions can relate to the contractual interest rate, maturity date, or payment structure of the note. As part of our workout plan for individual loan relationships, we may restructure loan terms to assist borrowers facing challenges in the current economic environment. To date, we have restored three commercial loans previously classified as TDRs to accrual status.

The following table summarizes the concession at the time of modification and the recorded investment in our TDRs before and after their modification.

(dollars in thousands)	For the year ended December 31, 2014					Pre-	Post-
	Renewals deemed a concession	Reduced or deferred payments	Converted to interest only	Maturity date extensions	Total number of loans	modification recorded investment	modification recorded investment
<b>Commercial</b>							
Non-owner occupied RE	-	-	-	2	2	\$ 275	\$ 285
Construction	1	-	-	-	1	1,292	1,412
Business	1	-	-	2	3	263	263
<b>Consumer</b>							
Real estate	-	-	1	-	1	116	116
Other	2	-	-	-	2	126	126
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>\$ 2,072</b>	<b>\$ 2,202</b>

(dollars in thousands)	For the year ended December 31, 2013					Pre-	Post-
	Renewals deemed a concession	Reduced or deferred payments	Converted to interest only	Maturity date extensions	Total number of loans	modification recorded investment	modification recorded investment
<b>Commercial</b>							
Non-owner occupied RE	1	-	-	-	1	\$ 276	\$ 321
Business	9	-	-	-	9	1,805	1,885
<b>Consumer</b>							
Real estate	1	-	-	-	1	831	835

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Other		1				1	4	4
Total loans		12	-	-	-	12	\$2,916	\$3,045



**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes loans modified as TDRs at December 31, 2014 and 2013 for which there was a payment default (60 days past due) within 12 months of the restructuring date.

(dollars in thousands)	For the year ended December 31, 2014	
	Number of loans	Recorded investment
<b>Commercial</b>		
Non-owner occupied RE	1	\$ 246
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$ 246</b>

(dollars in thousands)	For the year ended December 31, 2013	
	Number of loans	Recorded investment
<b>Consumer</b>		
Real estate	1	\$ 579
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$ 579</b>

**NOTE 5 Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Components of property and equipment included in the consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	2014	December 31, 2013
Land	\$ 4,862	4,862
Buildings	14,714	12,976
Leasehold Improvements	1,650	1,628
Furniture and equipment	6,139	5,451
Software	385	337
Construction in process	30	301
	<b>27,780</b>	<b>25,555</b>
Accumulated depreciation	(6,935)	(5,728)
<b>Total property and equipment</b>	<b>\$20,845</b>	<b>19,827</b>

Construction in process at December 31, 2014 includes various consulting and architect fees related to the Charleston, South Carolina office, while the December 31, 2013 balance includes various costs related to the construction of the new Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina office. Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$1.2 million, \$1.2 million, and \$979,000, respectively. Depreciation is charged to operations utilizing a straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives for the principal items follow:

Type of Asset	Life in Years
Software	3
Furniture and equipment	5 to 7
Leasehold improvements	5 to 15
Buildings	40

**Table of Contents****NOTE 6 Other Real Estate Owned**

Other real estate owned is comprised of real estate acquired in settlement of loans and is included in other assets on the balance sheet. At December 31, 2014, other real estate owned included nine properties totaling \$3.3 million, compared to \$1.2 million at December 31, 2013. The following summarizes the activity in the real estate acquired in settlement of loans portion of other real estate owned:

(dollars in thousands)	For the year ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,198	1,719
Additions	3,159	1,354
Sales	(730)	(1,745)
Write-downs	(320)	(130)
Balance, end of year	\$ 3,307	1,198

**NOTE 7 Deposits**

The following is a detail of the deposit accounts:

(dollars in thousands)	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Non-interest bearing	\$ 139,902	101,971
Interest bearing:		
NOW accounts	149,137	153,376
Money market accounts	224,733	151,759
Savings	8,664	6,671
Time, less than \$100,000	62,646	68,190
Time, \$100,000 and over	203,825	198,352
Total deposits	\$ 788,907	680,319

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, time deposits greater than \$250,000 were \$121.8 million and \$113.7 million, respectively.

Also, at December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had approximately \$60.0 million and \$63.3 million, respectively, of time deposits that were obtained outside of the Company's primary market. Interest expense on time deposits greater than \$100,000 was \$1.5 million, \$1.5 million, and \$2.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

At December 31, 2014 the scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit are as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	
2015	\$ 191,415
2016	49,138
2017	9,900
2018	12,152
2019 and after	3,866
	\$ 266,471

**NOTE 8 Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and Other Borrowings**

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had \$135.2 million and \$124.1 million, respectively, in FHLB advances and other borrowings. Of the \$135.2 million outstanding at December 31, 2014, FHLB advances represented \$116.0 million and securities sold under structured agreements to repurchase represented \$19.2 million. At December 31, 2013, FHLB advances represented \$103.5 million, repurchase agreements represented \$19.2 million, and a line of credit represented \$1.4 million.

**Table of Contents**

The FHLB advances are secured with approximately \$241.1 million of mortgage loans and \$6.0 million of stock in the FHLB. During the first quarter of 2014, the Company restructured five FHLB advances totaling \$59.5 million. In accordance with accounting guidance, we determined that the present value of the cash flows of the modified advance will not change by more than 10% from the present value of the cash flows of the original advances. Therefore, the modified FHLB advance is considered to be a restructuring and no gain or loss was recorded in the transaction. The original FHLB advances had a weighted rate of 2.31% and an average remaining life of 40 months. Under the modified arrangement, the \$59.5 million in FHLB advances have a weighted average rate of 2.22% and an average remaining life of 43 months. Under a similar scenario in 2013, the Company restructured one FHLB advance totaling \$5.0 million with a weighted average rate of 4.07% and average remaining life of 45 months under their original terms. Following the restructure, the weighted average rate of the advance was 3.05% and the remaining average life was 60 months.

Listed below is a summary of the terms and maturities of the advances at December 31, 2014 and 2013. As of December 31, 2014, \$51.5 million of the Company's advances were at fixed rates, while \$64.5 million were at floating rates. In addition, a number of the advances are callable and subject to repricing during 2015 at the option of the FHLB.

(dollars in thousands)	December 31,			
Maturity	Amount	2014 Rate	Amount	2013 Rate
September 2, 2014	\$ -	-	\$ 7,500	2.29%
January 30, 2015	20,000	0.17%	-	-
October 18, 2016	-	-	7,000	2.30%
October 18, 2016	-	-	7,500	2.47%
October 19, 2016	-	-	10,000	2.03%
October 19, 2016	-	-	20,000	1.60%
February 13, 2017	7,500	4.38%	7,500	4.38%
April 18, 2017	7,000	2.08%	-	-
April 18, 2017	7,500	2.26%	-	-
April 19, 2017	20,000	1.51%	-	-
April 19, 2017	10,000	1.89%	-	-
July 11, 2017	9,000	4.49%	9,000	4.49%
July 24, 2017	5,000	4.25%	5,000	4.25%
January 30, 2018	5,000	2.92%	5,000	2.92%
February 15, 2019	10,000	4.47%	10,000	4.47%
April 10, 2019	15,000	3.44%	15,000	3.38%
	\$ 116,000	2.49%	\$ 103,500	2.98%

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had four structured debt agreements secured by approximately \$21.8 million of various investment securities. While these agreements are at fixed rates, they each have callable features and are subject to repricing at the option of the seller. Listed below is a summary of the terms and maturities of these structured agreements to repurchase:

(dollars in thousands)	Amount	Rate
Maturity		
September 18, 2017	\$ 10,000	3.63%
December 17, 2017	2,000	3.65%
March 14, 2018	3,600	2.75%
September 15, 2018	3,600	2.55%
	\$ 19,200	3.26%

The Company also has an unsecured, interest only line of credit for \$10 million with another financial institution which was unused at December 31, 2014. The line of credit bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.90% with a floor of 3.25% and a ceiling of 5.15%. The line

of credit matures on June 6, 2017. The loan agreement contains various financial covenants related to capital, earnings and asset quality.

**NOTE 9 Junior Subordinated Debentures**

On June 26, 2003, Greenville First Statutory Trust I, (a non-consolidated subsidiary) issued \$6.0 million floating rate trust preferred securities with a maturity of June 26, 2033. At December 31, 2014, the interest rate was 3.35% and is indexed to the 3-month LIBOR rate and adjusted quarterly. The Company received from the Trust the \$6.0 million proceeds from the issuance of the securities and the \$186,000 initial proceeds from the capital investment in the Trust, and accordingly has shown the funds due to the Trust as \$6.2 million junior subordinated debentures. Amortization of debt issuance costs totaled \$0 for the year ended December 31, 2014, \$9,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, and \$18,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively, and are included in borrowings interest expense.

## **Table of Contents**

On December 22, 2005, Greenville First Statutory Trust II, (a non-consolidated subsidiary) issued \$7.0 million floating rate trust preferred securities with a maturity of December 22, 2035. At December 31, 2014, the interest rate was 1.70% and is indexed to the 3-month LIBOR rate and adjusted quarterly. The Company received from the Trust the \$7.0 million proceeds from the issuance of the securities and the \$217,000 initial proceeds from the capital investment in the Trust, and accordingly has shown the funds due to the Trust as \$7.2 million junior subordinated debentures.

The current regulatory rules allow certain amounts of junior subordinated debentures to be included in the calculation of regulatory capital. However, provisions within the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act will prohibit institutions that had more than \$15 billion in assets on December 31, 2009 from including trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital beginning in 2013. One-third will be phased out over the next two years ending in 2015. Financial institutions with less than \$15 billion in total assets, such as the Bank, may continue to include their trust preferred securities issued prior to May 19, 2010 in Tier 1 capital, but cannot include in Tier 1 capital trust preferred securities issued after such date.

### **NOTE 10 Unused Lines of Credit**

At December 31, 2014, the Company had three lines of credit to purchase federal funds that totaled \$45.0 million which were unused at December 31, 2014. The lines of credit are available on a one to ten day basis for general corporate purposes of the Company. The lender has reserved the right to withdraw the line at their option. The Company has an additional line of credit with the FHLB to borrow funds, subject to a pledge of qualified collateral. The Company has collateral that would support approximately \$89.8 million in additional borrowings at December 31, 2014.

### **NOTE 11 Fair Value Accounting**

FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures Topic, defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. FASB ASC 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

#### **Level 1 Quoted market price in active markets**

Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include certain debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market.

#### **Level 2 Significant other observable inputs**

Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include fixed income securities and mortgage-backed securities that are held in the Company's available-for-sale portfolio and valued by a third-party pricing service, as well as certain impaired loans.

#### **Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs**

Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. These methodologies may result in a significant portion of the fair value being derived from unobservable data.

Following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets recorded at fair value.

#### *Investment Securities*

Securities available for sale are valued on a recurring basis at quoted market prices where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable securities. Level 1 securities include those traded on an active exchange, such as the New York Stock Exchange or U.S. Treasury securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets and money market funds. Level 2 securities include mortgage-backed securities and debentures issued by government sponsored entities, municipal bonds and corporate debt securities. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to valuations, securities are classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. Securities held to

maturity are valued at quoted market prices or dealer quotes similar to securities available for sale. The carrying value of Other Investments, such as Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB stock, approximates fair value based on their redemption provisions.

**Table of Contents***Loans Held for Sale*

Loans held for sale include mortgage loans and are carried at the lower of cost or market value. The fair values of mortgage loans held for sale are based on current market rates from investors within the secondary market for loans with similar characteristics. Carrying value approximates fair value.

*Loans*

The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan may be considered impaired and an allowance for loan losses may be established. Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, management measures the impairment in accordance with FASB ASC 310, Receivables. The fair value of impaired loans is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, market value of similar debt, enterprise value, liquidation value and discounted cash flows. Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represent loans for which the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investments in such loans. At December 31, 2014, substantially all of the impaired loans were evaluated based on the fair value of the collateral. In accordance with FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures, impaired loans where an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral require classification in the fair value hierarchy. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company considers the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 2. The Company's current loan and appraisal policies require the Company to obtain updated appraisals on an as-is basis at renewal, or in the case of an impaired loan, on an annual basis, either through a new external appraisal or an appraisal evaluation. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company considers the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3. The fair value of impaired loans may also be estimated using the present value of expected future cash flows to be realized on the loan, which is also considered a Level 3 valuation. These fair value estimates are subject to fluctuations in assumptions about the amount and timing of expected cash flows as well as the choice of discount rate used in the present value calculation.

*Other Real Estate Owned ( OREO )*

OREO, consisting of properties obtained through foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans, is reported at the lower of cost or fair value, determined on the basis of current appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates of value obtained principally from independent sources, adjusted for estimated selling costs (Level 2). At the time of foreclosure, any excess of the loan balance over the fair value of the real estate held as collateral is treated as a charge against the allowance for loan losses. Gains or losses on sale and generally any subsequent adjustments to the value are recorded as a component of real estate owned activity. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company considers the OREO as nonrecurring Level 3.

*Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis*

The tables below present the recorded amount of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

(dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	December 31, 2014 Level 3	Total
<b>Assets</b>				
Securities available for sale:				
US government agencies	\$ -	8,557	-	8,557
SBA securities	-	5,154	-	5,154
State and political subdivisions	-	16,800	-	16,800
Mortgage-backed securities	-	24,513	-	24,513
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ -	55,024	-	55,024



**Table of Contents**

	December 31, 2013			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets</b>				
Securities available for sale:				
US government agencies	\$ -	7,755	-	7,755
SBA securities	-	5,271	-	5,271
State and political subdivisions	-	23,370	-	23,370
Mortgage-backed securities	-	31,044	-	31,044
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ -	67,440	-	67,440

The Company has no liabilities carried at fair value or measured at fair value on a recurring or nonrecurring basis.

*Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis*

The Company is predominantly an asset based lender with real estate serving as collateral on more than 80% of loans as of December 31, 2014. Loans which are deemed to be impaired are valued net of the allowance for loan losses, and other real estate owned is valued at the lower of cost or net realizable value of the underlying real estate collateral. Such market values are generally obtained using independent appraisals, which the Company considers to be level 2 inputs. The tables below present the recorded amount of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

	December 31, 2014			
(dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets</b>				
Impaired loans	\$ -	9,461	705	10,166
Other real estate owned	-	3,040	267	3,307
Total assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis	\$ -	12,501	972	13,473

	December 31, 2013			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets</b>				
Impaired loans	\$ -	10,495	1,158	11,653
Other real estate owned	-	1,085	113	1,198
Total assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis	\$ -	11,580	1,271	12,851

The Company had no liabilities carried at fair value or measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

For Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring or nonrecurring basis as of December 31, 2014, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements were as follows:

	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range of Inputs
Impaired loans	Appraised Value/ Discounted Cash Flows	Discounts to appraisals or cash flows for estimated holding and/or selling costs or age of appraisal	0-25%
Other real estate owned	Appraised Value/ Comparable Sales	Discounts to appraisals for estimated holding or selling costs	0-25%

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

Financial instruments require disclosure of fair value information, whether or not recognized in the consolidated balance sheets, when it is practical to estimate the fair value. A financial instrument is defined as cash, evidence of an ownership interest in an

entity or a contractual obligation which requires the exchange of cash. Certain items are specifically excluded from the disclosure requirements, including the Company's common stock, premises and equipment and other assets and liabilities.

**Table of Contents**

The following is a description of valuation methodologies used to estimate fair value for certain other financial instruments.

Fair value approximates carrying value for the following financial instruments due to the short-term nature of the instrument: cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, other investments, federal funds purchased, and securities sold under agreement to repurchase.

*Deposits* Fair value for demand deposit accounts and interest-bearing accounts with no fixed maturity date is equal to the carrying value. The fair value of certificate of deposit accounts are estimated by discounting cash flows from expected maturities using current interest rates on similar instruments.

*FHLB Advances and Other Borrowings* Fair value for FHLB advances and other borrowings are estimated by discounting cash flows from expected maturities using current interest rates on similar instruments.

*Junior subordinated debentures* Fair value for junior subordinated debentures are estimated by discounting cash flows from expected maturities using current interest rates on similar instruments.

The Company has used management's best estimate of fair value based on the above assumptions. Thus, the fair values presented may not be the amounts that could be realized in an immediate sale or settlement of the instrument. In addition, any income taxes or other expenses, which would be incurred in an actual sale or settlement, are not taken into consideration in the fair value presented.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Carrying	Fair	Level 1	December 31, 2014	
				Level 2	Level 3
<b>Financial Assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 41,264	41,264	41,264	-	-
Other investments, at cost	6,522	6,522	-	-	6,522
Loans held for sale	11,765	11,765	-	11,765	-
Loans, net	859,694	860,215	-	9,461	850,754
<b>Financial Liabilities:</b>					
Deposits	788,907	748,497	-	748,497	-
FHLB and other borrowings	135,200	144,156	-	144,156	-
Junior subordinated debentures	13,403	6,823	-	6,823	-

(dollars in thousands)	Carrying	Fair	Level 1	December 31, 2013	
				Level 2	Level 3
<b>Financial Assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 39,203	39,203	39,203	-	-
Other investments, at cost	6,116	6,116	-	-	6,116
Loans held for sale	3,611	3,611	-	3,611	-
Loans, net	723,443	732,328	-	10,676	721,652
<b>Financial Liabilities:</b>					
Deposits	680,319	643,399	-	550,988	-
FHLB and other borrowings	124,100	135,411	-	135,411	-
Junior subordinated debentures	13,403	5,145	-	5,145	-

**NOTE 12 Earnings Per Common Share**

The following schedule reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. Dilutive common shares arise from the potentially dilutive effect of the Company's stock options and warrants that are outstanding. The assumed conversion of stock options and warrants can create a

difference between basic and dilutive net income per common share.

At December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, 109,303, 34,624, and 74,802 options, respectively, were anti-dilutive in the calculation of earnings per share as their exercise price exceeded the fair market value. All earnings per share amounts have been restated to reflect the 10% stock dividends issued in January 2012 and 2013.

**Table of Contents**

(dollars in thousands, except share data)	2014	2013	December 31, 2012
<b>Numerator:</b>			
Net income	\$ 6,625	5,120	3,862
Less: Preferred stock dividends	915	771	840
Discount accretion	-	-	360
Add: Redemption of preferred stock	-	20	96
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 5,710	4,369	2,758
<b>Denominator:</b>			
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic	4,980,595	4,279,992	4,229,928
Common stock equivalents	218,781	178,926	110,030
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - diluted	5,199,376	4,458,918	4,339,958
<b>Earnings per common share:</b>			
Basic	\$ 1.15	1.02	0.65
Diluted	\$ 1.10	0.98	0.64

**NOTE 13 Commitments and Contingencies**

The Company has entered into a three year employment agreement with its chief executive officer and a two year employment agreement with its president and with seven executive and senior vice presidents. These agreements also include a) an incentive program, b) a stock option plan, c) a one-year non-compete agreement upon termination and a severance payment equal to one year of compensation. The total estimated aggregate salary commitment is approximately \$1.9 million.

The Company has an agreement with a data processor which expires in 2023 to provide certain item processing, electronic banking, and general ledger processing services. Components of this contract vary based on transaction and account volume, including a base monthly charge of approximately \$102,000 and certain termination fees.

At December 31, 2014, the Company occupied land and banking office space under leases expiring on various dates through 2028. The estimated future minimum lease payments under these noncancelable operating leases are summarized as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	For the years ended December 31,
2015	\$ 896
2016	1,026
2017	984
2018	996
2019	1,004
Thereafter	2,865
	\$7,771

Lease expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, totaled \$811,000, \$800,000, and \$728,000, respectively.

The Company may be subject to litigation and claims in the normal course of business. As of December 31, 2014, management believes there is no material litigation pending.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 14 Income Taxes**

The components of income tax expense were as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	For the years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Current income taxes:			
Federal	\$ 4,238	2,747	2,031
State	297	129	98
Total current tax expense	4,535	2,876	2,129
Deferred income tax benefit	(1,422)	(460)	(296)
Income tax expense	\$ 3,113	2,416	1,833

The following is a summary of the items that caused recorded income taxes to differ from taxes computed using the statutory tax rate:

(dollars in thousands)	For the years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Tax expense at statutory rate	\$3,311	2,562	1,936
Effect of state income taxes	196	85	65
Exempt income	(170)	(199)	(168)
Other	(224)	(32)	-
Income tax expense	\$3,113	2,416	1,833

The components of the deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$3,996	3,472
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale	-	695
Net deferred loan fees	599	450
Interest on nonaccrual loans	957	627
Deferred compensation	953	833
Sale of real estate owned	198	199
Other	279	297
	6,982	6,573
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property and equipment	1,191	1,225
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale	156	-
Other	126	410
	1,473	1,635
Net deferred tax asset	\$5,509	4,938

The Company has analyzed the tax positions taken or expected to be taken in its tax returns and concluded it has no liability related to uncertain tax positions.

**NOTE 15 Related Party Transactions**

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Certain directors, executive officers, and companies with which they are affiliated, are clients of and have banking transactions with the Company in the ordinary course of business. These loans were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons not related to the lender.

**Table of Contents**

A summary of loan transactions with directors, including their affiliates and executive officers is as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	For the years ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 23,522	17,469
New loans	9,703	25,824
Less loan payments	(13,032)	(19,771)
Balance, end of year	\$ 20,193	23,522

Deposits by officers and directors and their related interests at December 31, 2014 and 2013, were \$4.9 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

The Company has a land lease with a director on the property for a branch office, with monthly payments of \$5,388. In addition, the Company periodically enters into various consulting agreements with the director for development, administration and advisory services related to the purchase of property and construction of current and future branch office sites. Also, the Company contracts with the director on an annual basis to provide property management services for its four offices in the Greenville market. The Company paid the director approximately \$32,000, \$30,000, and \$44,000 for these services during 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

The Company also purchases various signage for its retail offices from a local vendor for which one of the Company's directors acted as chairman of the board. The Company paid approximately \$41,000, \$166,000 and \$31,000 to the vendor for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

The Company is of the opinion that the lease payments, consulting fees, and signage costs represent market costs that could have been obtained in similar arms length transactions.

**NOTE 16 Financial Instruments With Off-Balance Sheet Risk**

In the ordinary course of business, and to meet the financing needs of its clients, the Company is a party to various financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These financial instruments, which include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the balance sheets. The contract amount of those instruments reflects the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amounts of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a client as long as there is no violation of any material condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require the payment of a fee. At December 31, 2014, unfunded commitments to extend credit were approximately \$167.3 million, of which \$52.2 million is at fixed rates and \$115.1 million is at variable rates. At December 31, 2013, unfunded commitments to extend credit were approximately \$138.7 million, of which \$32.6 million is at fixed rates and \$106.1 million is at variable rates. The Company evaluates each client's credit-worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and commercial and residential real estate.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, there was a \$2.6 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, commitment under letters of credit. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to clients. Collateral varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, marketable securities and property. Since most of the letters of credit are expected to expire without being drawn upon, they do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The fair value



of off balance sheet lending commitments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing. The total fair value of such instruments is not material.

**Table of Contents****NOTE 17 Employee Benefit Plan**

On January 1, 2000, the Company adopted the Southern First Bancshares, Inc. Profit Sharing and 401(k) Plan for the benefit of all eligible employees. The Plan was amended in 2006 to provide a Roth 401(k) feature to the Plan. The Company contributes to the Plan annually upon approval by the Board of Directors. Contributions made to the Plan for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 amounted to \$263,000, \$235,000, and \$195,000, respectively.

The Company also provides a nonqualified deferred compensation plan for 16 executive officers in the form of a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ( SERP ). The plan provides retirement income for these officers. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had an accrued benefit obligation of \$2.8 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. The Company incurred expenses related to this plan of \$352,000, \$753,000, and \$497,000 in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

**NOTE 18 Stock-Based Compensation**

Compensation cost is recognized for stock options and restricted stock awards issued to employees and non-employee directors. Compensation cost is measured as the fair value of these awards on their date of grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of the Company's common stock at the date of grant is used as the fair value of restricted stock awards. Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period for stock option and restricted stock awards.

The Company's 2000 and 2010 stock incentive programs are long-term retention programs intended to attract, retain, and provide incentives for key employees and non-employee directors in the form of incentive and non-qualified stock options and restricted stock.

Stock-based compensation expense was recorded as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	For the years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Stock option expense	\$ 430	427	311
Restricted stock grant expense	199	88	31
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 629	515	342

**Stock Options**

On March 21, 2000, the Company adopted a stock option plan under which the Board could grant up to 436,424 options at an option price per share not less than the fair market value on the date of grant. The options expire 10 years from the grant date, but expired or forfeited options may be reissued. Under the terms of the Plan any awards remaining and granted after March 2010 are accounted for as non-qualified stock options. As of January 2011, all available options under the Plan had been granted.

On May 18, 2010, the Company adopted the 2010 Incentive Plan, making available for issuance 366,025 stock options (adjusted for the 10% stock dividends in 2013, 2012, and 2011). The options may be exercised at an option price per share based on the fair market value and determined on the date of grant and expire 10 years from the grant date. On May 20, 2014, the Company amended the 2010 Incentive Plan to add an additional 200,000 shares of common stock to be issuable as stock options, for a total of 566,025 shares.

**Table of Contents**

A summary of the status of the stock option plan and changes for the period (adjusted for the stock dividends in 2012, and 2013) are presented below:

	2014				2013				For the years ended Decem
	Shares	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Shares	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Shares	Weighted average exercise price	W A Re Con
Outstanding at beginning of year	617,181	\$ 7.05		556,474	\$6.72		455,698	\$6.76	
Granted	82,550	13.44		90,300	9.05		120,615	6.31	
Exercised	(39,752)	8.94		(28,596)	6.49		(17,867)	5.36	
Forfeited or expired	-	-		(997)	6.49		(1,972)	5.17	
Outstanding at end of year	659,979	\$ 7.75	6.2 years	617,181	\$7.05	6.5 years	556,474	\$6.72	6
Options exercisable at year-end	432,055	\$ 6.74	5.2 years	346,509	\$7.05	5.5 years	257,290	\$7.57	5
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year		\$ 7.15			\$5.48			\$3.82	
Shares available for grant	262,237			140,525			229,616		

The aggregate intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the year and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) of 659,979 and 617,181 stock options outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$6.1 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of 432,055 and 346,509 stock options exercisable at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$4.4 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

The fair value of the option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following assumptions were used for grants:

	2014	2013	2012
Dividend yield	-	-	-
Expected life	7 years	10 years	10 years
Expected volatility	49.90%	43.74%	44.04%
Risk-free interest rate	2.29%	1.78%	1.86%

At December 31, 2014, there was \$798,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock option grants. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years. The total fair value of stock option grants that vested during 2014, 2013, and 2012 was \$3.30, \$2.81 and \$2.83, respectively.

**Restricted Stock Grants**

In 2006, the Company adopted a restricted stock plan for the benefit of the directors, officers and employees. Under the restricted stock plan, 13,310 shares of restricted stock (adjusted for the stock dividends in 2011 and 2012) were authorized for issuance. As of December 31, 2012 all shares of restricted stock, authorized under the plan had been granted. In May 2010, the Company adopted the 2010 Incentive Plan which included a provision for the issuance of 79,860 shares of restricted stock (adjusted for all subsequent stock dividends). As of December 31, 2014, there were 14,049 shares of restricted stock available for grant.

Shares of restricted stock granted to employees under the stock plans are subject to restrictions as to continuous employment for a specified time period following the date of grant. During this period, the holder is entitled to full voting rights and dividends.

**Table of Contents**

A summary of the status of the Company's nonvested restricted stock and changes for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 (adjusted for the stock dividends in 2012 and 2013) is as follows:

	2014		2013		December 31, 2012	
	Restricted	Weighted Average	Restricted	Weighted Average	Restricted	Weighted Average
	Shares	Grant-Date Fair Value	Shares	Grant-Date Fair Value	Shares	Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested at beginning of year	64,650	\$ 10.92	28,119	\$ 6.76	3,025	\$ 6.53
Granted	4,500	14.15	43,750	12.91	25,850	6.78
Vested	(18,156)	10.46	(7,219)	6.76	(756)	6.53
Forfeited	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonvested at end of year	50,994	\$ 11.37	64,650	\$ 10.92	28,119	\$ 6.76

At December 31, 2014, there was \$501,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock grants. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

**NOTE 19 Preferred and Common Stock**

On February 27, 2009, as part of the Capital Purchase Program ( CPP ), the Company sold 17,299 shares of its Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series T (the Series T Preferred Stock ) and a warrant to purchase 399,970.34 shares of the Company's common stock (the Warrant ) to the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the Treasury ) in an aggregate purchase price of \$17.3 million in cash. The Series T Preferred Stock qualified as Tier 1 capital and was entitled to cumulative dividends at a rate of 5% per annum for the first five years and 9% per annum thereafter, beginning on February 27, 2014. The Warrant had a 10-year term and was immediately exercisable upon its issuance, with an exercise price, subject to anti-dilution adjustments equal to \$6.487 per share of the common stock.

On June 28, 2012, the Treasury sold its Series T Preferred Stock through a public offering structured as a modified Dutch auction. The Company was successful in repurchasing 1,000 shares of the 17,299 shares of Series T Preferred Stock outstanding through the auction process, and the remaining 16,299 shares of Series T Preferred Stock held by the Treasury were sold to unrelated third-parties through the auction process. Included in the September 30, 2012 operating results are approximately \$130,000 of costs incurred by the Company related to the offering. These costs are not tax-deductible. The net balance sheet impact was a reduction to shareholders' equity of \$904,000 which is comprised of a decrease in Series T Preferred Stock of \$1.0 million and a \$96,000 increase to retained earnings related to the discount on the shares repurchased.

On July 25, 2012, the Company completed its repurchase of the Warrant from the Treasury for a mutually agreed upon price of \$1.1 million. The difference between the fair value of the Warrant, as originally recorded, and the \$1.1 million repurchase price was \$343,000 which resulted in a decrease to additional paid in capital. The Company also recorded the remaining accretion of \$180,000 on the Series T Preferred Stock which brought the Preferred Stock to its par value. Following the settlement of the Warrant on July 25, 2012, the Treasury completely eliminated its equity stake in the Company through the Capital Purchase Program.

On January 3, 2013 and April 1, 2013, the Company redeemed a total of \$1.0 million of its outstanding Series T preferred stock from three of its preferred shareholders.

On January 27, 2014, the Company issued a total of 475,000 shares of its common stock at \$13.00 per share in a private placement offering. Immediately following the consummation of the Private Placement, the Company redeemed 4,057 shares of the Series T Preferred Stock from two of its preferred shareholders at a redemption price of \$1,000 per share, or par, using the proceeds from the private placement.

## Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

On November 12, 2014, the Company issued 1,380,000 shares of its common stock at \$14.40 per share at a public offering, including 180,000 shares which were sold to the underwriter pursuant to an option to purchase additional shares to cover any over-allotments. The net proceeds from the offering totaled approximately \$18.4 million, after deducting the underwriting discount as well as estimated offering expenses payable by the Company.

Using proceeds from the public offering, on December 12, 2014, the Company completed the repurchase of the remaining 11,242 shares of Series T preferred stock outstanding at \$1,000 par value from third party investors who bought the shares in the Dutch auction conducted by the Treasury. As of December 31, 2014, the Company has no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

## **Table of Contents**

### **NOTE 20 Dividends**

The ability of the Company to pay cash dividends is dependent upon receiving cash in the form of dividends from the Bank. The dividends that may be paid by the Bank to the Company are subject to legal limitations and regulatory capital requirements.

Also, the payment of cash dividends on the Company's common stock by the Company in the future will be subject to certain other legal and regulatory limitations (including the requirement that the Company's capital be maintained at certain minimum levels) and will be subject to ongoing review by banking regulators. The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement regarding the payment of dividends by bank holding companies. In general, the Federal Reserve's policies provide that dividends should be paid only out of current earnings and only if the prospective rate of earnings retention by the bank holding company appears consistent with the organization's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition.

On January 15, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors approved a ten percent stock dividend to the Company's shareholders. The record date was February 1, 2013 and the distribution date was February 15, 2013. Earnings per share and average shares outstanding have been adjusted to reflect the stock dividend in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

### **NOTE 21 Regulatory Matters**

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company and Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of Total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. Total capital includes Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital. Tier 2 capital consists of the allowance for loan losses subject to certain limitations. Management believes, as of December 31, 2014, that the Company and Bank exceed all well-capitalized requirements to which they are subject.

In July 2013, the FDIC approved a final rule to implement the Basel III regulatory capital reforms among other changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The framework requires banking organizations to hold more and higher quality capital, which acts as a financial cushion to absorb losses, taking into account the impact of risk. The approved rule includes a new minimum ratio of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.5% as well as a common equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets. The rule also raises the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4% to 6% and includes a minimum leverage ratio of 4% for all banking institutions. For the largest, most internationally active banking organizations, the rule includes a new minimum supplementary leverage ratio that takes into account off-balance sheet exposures. In terms of quality of capital, the final rule emphasizes common equity Tier 1 capital and implements strict eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments. It also changes the methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets to enhance risk sensitivity. The changes began to take effect for the Bank in January 2015. The ultimate impact of the new capital standards on the Company and the Bank is currently being reviewed.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the capital amounts and ratios of the Bank and the Company and the regulatory minimum requirements at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

(dollars in thousands)	Amount	Actual Ratio	For capital adequacy purposes		To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action	
			Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<b>As of December 31, 2014</b>						
<i>The Bank</i>						
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 101,716	11.96%	68,024	8.00%	85,030	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	91,073	10.71%	34,012	4.00%	51,018	6.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)	91,073	9.08%	40,131	4.00%	50,164	5.00%
<i>The Company</i>						
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	106,333	12.51%	68,024	8.0%	n/a	n/a
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	95,690	11.25%	34,012	4.0%	n/a	n/a
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)	95,690	9.52%	40,223	4.0%	n/a	n/a
<b>As of December 31, 2013</b>						
<i>The Bank</i>						
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 88,674	12.15%	58,381	8.00%	72,976	10.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	79,538	10.90%	29,191	4.00%	43,786	6.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)	79,538	9.09%	34,989	4.00%	43,737	5.00%
<i>The Company</i>						
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	89,149	12.22%	58,381	8.00%	n/a	n/a
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	80,013	10.96%	29,191	4.00%	n/a	n/a
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets)	80,013	9.13%	35,063	4.00%	n/a	n/a

**NOTE 22 Parent Company Financial Information**

Following is condensed financial information of Southern First Bancshares, Inc. (parent company only):

**Condensed Balance Sheets**

(dollars in thousands)	December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,459	15
Investment in subsidiaries	91,778	78,593
Other assets	2,163	1,876
Total assets	\$96,400	80,484
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 5	16
Other borrowings	-	1,400
Junior subordinated debentures	13,403	13,403
Shareholders equity	82,992	65,665
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$96,400	80,484

**Table of Contents****Condensed Statements of Income**

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>Revenues</b>			
Interest income	\$ 6	-	-
Total revenue	-	-	-
<b>Expenses</b>			
Interest expense	420	416	406
Other expenses	630	514	342
Total expenses	1,050	930	748
Income tax benefit	234	316	254
Loss before equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	(810)	(614)	(494)
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	7,435	5,734	4,356
Net income	\$6,625	5,120	3,862

**Condensed Statements of Cash Flows**

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>Operating activities</b>			
Net income	\$ 6,625	5,120	3,862
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used for operating activities			
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	(7,435)	(5,734)	(4,356)
Compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock grants	629	515	341
Increase in other assets	(287)	(298)	(241)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(11)	(1)	11
Net cash used for operating activities	(479)	(398)	(383)
<b>Investing activities</b>			
Investment in subsidiaries, net	(4,100)	1,900	1,804
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(4,100)	1,900	1,804
<b>Financing activities</b>			
Increase (decrease) in note payable	(1,400)	-	1,400
Cash dividend on preferred stock	(1,010)	(778)	(845)
Redemption of preferred stock	(15,299)	(980)	(1,100)
Issuance of common stock	24,376	-	-
Redemption of CPP Warrant	-	-	(904)
Cash in lieu	-	(9)	(2)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants	356	198	96
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	7,023	(1,569)	(1,355)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,444	(67)	66
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year</b>	15	82	16
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of year</b>	\$ 2,459	15	82



**Table of Contents****NOTE 23 Selected Condensed Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

	2014			
	For the quarters ended			
(dollars in thousands, except share data)	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Interest income	\$ 9,344	9,790	10,253	10,560
Interest expense	1,700	1,720	1,762	1,726
Net interest income	7,644	8,070	8,491	8,834
Provision for loan losses	1,000	950	1,325	900
Noninterest income	969	1,518	1,560	1,733
Noninterest expenses	5,769	6,315	6,066	6,756
Income before income tax expense	1,844	2,323	2,660	2,911
Income tax expense	594	757	834	928
Net income	1,250	1,566	1,826	1,983
Preferred stock dividends	193	253	253	217
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1,057	1,313	1,573	1,766
Earnings per common share				
Basic	\$ 0.23	0.28	0.33	0.32
Diluted	\$ 0.22	0.26	0.31	0.30
Weighted average common shares outstanding				
Basic	4,672,270	4,825,588	4,829,514	5,586,620
Diluted	4,877,448	5,029,724	5,046,487	5,835,002

  

	2013			
	For the quarters ended			
(dollars in thousands, except share data)	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Interest income	\$ 8,743	8,912	9,100	9,363
Interest expense	1,865	1,782	1,737	1,714
Net interest income	6,878	7,130	7,363	7,649
Provision for loan losses	1,125	750	775	825
Noninterest income	882	878	1,055	987
Noninterest expenses	5,230	5,301	5,510	5,771
Income before income tax expense	1,405	1,957	2,133	2,040
Income tax expense	444	657	714	601
Net income	961	1,300	1,419	1,439
Preferred stock dividends	197	191	191	191
Redemption of preferred stock	20	-	-	-
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 784	1,109	1,228	1,248
Earnings per common share				
Basic	\$ 0.18	0.26	0.29	0.29
Diluted	\$ 0.18	0.25	0.27	0.27
Weighted average common shares outstanding				
Basic	4,262,330	4,269,097	4,271,652	4,316,890
Diluted	4,371,324	4,423,141	4,490,026	4,551,182

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

None.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures****Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

## Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Based on our management's evaluation (with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer), as of the end of the period covered by this report, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the Exchange Act)) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Management's Annual Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting**

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in the *Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f)*. A system of internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer, the Company's management has evaluated the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, the Company's management has evaluated and concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2014.

### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our fourth quarter of fiscal 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### **Item 9B. Other Information**

None

## **PART III**

### **Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

In response to this Item, this information is contained in our Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 19, 2015 and is incorporated herein by reference.

### **Item 11. Executive Compensation.**

In response to this Item, this information is contained in our Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 19, 2015 and is incorporated herein by reference.

### **Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters.**

In response to this Item, the information required by Item 201(d) is contained in Item 5 of this report. The other information required by this item is contained in our Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 19, 2015 and is incorporated herein by reference.

### **Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.**

The information is contained in our Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 19, 2015 is incorporated herein by reference.

### **Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.**

In response to this Item, this information is contained in our Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 19, 2015 and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules**

(a) (1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements are located in Item 8 of this report.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

These schedules have been omitted because they are not required, are not applicable or have been included in our consolidated financial statements.

(3) Exhibits

See the Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page of this report.

**Table of Contents****SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES, INC.**Date: March 3, 2015By: /s/R. Arthur Seaver, Jr.  
Chief Executive Officer

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints R. Arthur Seaver, Jr., his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto the attorney-in-fact and agent full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite or necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that the attorney-in-fact and agent, or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>Signature</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
/s/R. Arthur Seaver, Jr. R. Arthur Seaver, Jr.	Director, Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 3, 2015
/s/ Michael D. Dowling Michael D. Dowling	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 3, 2015
/s/Andrew B. Cajka, Jr. Andrew B. Cajka, Jr.	Director	March 3, 2015
/s/Mark A. Cothran Mark A. Cothran	Director	March 3, 2015
/s/Leighton M. Cabbage Leighton M. Cabbage	Director	March 3, 2015
/s/David G. Ellison David G. Ellison	Director	March 3, 2015
/s/Anne S. Ellefson Anne S. Ellefson	Director	March 3, 2015
/s/Fred Gilmer, Jr. Fred Gilmer, Jr.	Director	March 3, 2015
/s/Tecumseh Hooper, Jr. Tecumseh Hooper, Jr.	Director	March 3, 2015

Edgar Filing: SOUTHERN FIRST BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

/s/Rudolph G. Johnston, III, M.D. Rudolph G. Johnstone, III, M.D.	Director	March 3, 2015
--	----------	---------------

/s/James B. Orders, III James B. Orders, III	Director, Chairman	March 3, 2015
---	--------------------	---------------

/s/William B. Sturgis William B. Sturgis	Director	March 3, 2015
---	----------	---------------

**Table of Contents**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, File No. 333-83851).
- 3.2 Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation establishing the terms of the Series T Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 3, 2009).
- 3.3 Amended and Restated Bylaws dated March 18, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Company's Form 10-K filed March 24, 2008).
- 4.1 See Exhibits 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 for provisions in Southern First Bancshares's Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws defining the rights of holders of the common stock and the Series T Preferred Stock.
- 4.2 Form of certificate of common stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, File No. 333-83851).
- 4.3 Form of Series T Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed March 3, 2009).\*
- 10.1 2000 Greenville First Bancshares, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan and Form of Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 10-QSB for the period ended March 31, 2000).\*
- 10.2 First Amendment to the Southern First Bancshares 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, adopted October 21, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 10-Q filed for the period ended September 30, 2008).\*
- 10.3 Southern First Bancshares, Inc. 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed April 6, 2010).\*
- 10.4 Amendment to Southern First Bancshares, Inc. 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on April 15, 2014).\*
- 10.5 Form of Award Agreement for Stock Options (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 of the Company's Form S-8 filed on August 12, 2010).\*
- 10.6 Form of Award Agreement for Restricted Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 of the Company's Form S-8 filed on August 12, 2010).\*
- 10.7 Sublease Agreement between Greenville First Bank, N.A. and Augusta Road Holdings, LLC dated February 26, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Form 10-QSB for the period ended June 30, 2004).
- 10.8 Bonaventure I Office Lease Agreement with Greenville First Bank, N.A., dated September 20, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005).
- 10.9 First Amendment to Office Lease Agreement with Greenville First Bank, N.A., dated September 20, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005).
- 10.10 R. Arthur Seaver, Jr. Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Form 8-K filed October 3, 2013).\*
- 10.11 F. Justin Strickland Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Form 8-K filed October 3, 2013).\*
- 10.12 Frederick Gilmer, III Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Form 8-K filed October 3, 2013).\*
- 10.13 Michael D. Dowling Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Company's Form 8-K filed October 3, 2013).\*

**Table of Contents**

10.14 Form of Split Dollar Agreement between certain executives and Southern First Bancshares, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed February 18, 2009).\*

10.15 Form of Southern First Bank, N.A. Salary Continuation Agreement dated December 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed December 23, 2008).\*

10.16 Form of First Amendment to Southern First Bank, N.A. Salary Continuation Agreement dated December 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed December 23, 2008).\*

10.17 Michael D. Dowling Salary Continuation Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed October 3, 2013).\*

10.18 F. Justin Strickland First Amendment to Salary Continuation Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed October 3, 2013).\*

10.19 Frederick Gilmer, III Second Amendment to Salary Continuation Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Form 8-K filed October 3, 2013).\*

10.20 R. Arthur Seaver, Jr. Second Amendment to Salary Continuation Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Form 8-K filed October 3, 2013).\*

10.21 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of January 27, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014).

10.22 Form of Registration Rights Agreement dated as of January 27, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014).\*

10.23 Loan Agreement dated as of June 6, 2014 between Southern First Bancshares, Inc. and The Brand Banking Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed June 9, 2014).

10.24 Revolving Promissory Note dated as of June 6, 2014 between Southern First Bancshares, Inc. and The Brand Banking Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed June 9, 2014).

10.25 Stock Pledge Agreement dated as of June 6, 2014 between Southern First Bancshares, Inc. and The Brand Banking Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Form 8-K filed June 9, 2014).

21 Subsidiaries.

23 Consent of Independent Public Accountants.

24 Power of Attorney (contained herein as part of the signature pages).

31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Principal Executive Officer.

31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Principal Financial Officer.

32 Section 1350 Certifications of the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer.

101 The following materials from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

---

\*Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement