MERGE HEALTHCARE INC
Form 10-K
March 11, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-33006

MERGE HEALTHCARE INCORPORATED
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	39-1600938
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I. R. S. Employer Identification No.)

200 East Randolph Street, 24th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6436
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) (312) 565-6868

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, $0.01 par value per share	The NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: NONE

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant

was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value for the Registrant's voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of June 30, 2012, based upon the closing sale price of the Common Stock on June 30, 2012, as reported on The NASDAQ Global Select Market, was approximately $263,686,660. Shares of Common Stock held by each officer and director and by each person who owns ten percent or more of the outstanding Common Stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock, par value $0.01 per share, as of March 5, 2013: 93,459,177

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain of the information required by Part III is incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Proxy Statement for its 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

INDEX

PART I		
Item 1.	Business	2
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	5
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	18
Item 2.	Properties	18
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	18
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	19
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	20
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	21
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	37
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	75
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	75
Item 9B.	Other Information	76
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	76
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	77
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	77
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	77
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	77
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	78

Index

PART I

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other written or oral statements made by us or on our behalf may include forward-looking statements that reflect our current views with respect to future events and future financial performance. Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are "forward-looking statements." You can identify these forward-looking statements by our use of the words "believes," "anticipates," "forecasts," "projects," "could," "plans," "expects," "may," "will," "would," "intends," "estimates" and similar expressions, whether in the negative or affirmative. We wish to caution you that any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf are subject to uncertainties and other factors that could cause such statements to be wrong. We cannot guarantee that we actually will achieve these plans, intentions or expectations. Actual results or events could differ materially from the plans, intentions and expectations disclosed in the forward-looking statements that we make and we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, and/or performance. We do not assume any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements that we make, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Factors that may impact forward-looking statements include, among others, the risks and other matters set forth in the section entitled "Item 1A Risk Factors" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Although we have attempted to list comprehensively these important factors, we also wish to caution investors that other factors may prove to be important in the future in affecting our business and operating results. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all of these factors, nor can we assess the impact each factor or combination of factors may have on our business.

Item 1. BUSINESS

Overview

Merge Healthcare develops software solutions that facilitate the sharing of images to create a more effective and efficient electronic healthcare experience for patients and physicians. Our solutions are designed to help solve some of the most difficult challenges in health information exchange today, such as the incorporation of medical images and diagnostic information into broader healthcare IT applications, the interoperability of proprietary software solutions, and the ability to improve the efficiency and cost effectiveness of our customers' businesses. Our ability to innovate has driven consistent expansion of solutions and services and entry into new markets.

We are a Delaware corporation that was founded in 1987. Our principal executive offices are located at 200 East Randolph Street, 24th Floor, Chicago, Illinois, 60601-6436, and our telephone number there is (312) 565-6868. Our website address, which we use to communicate important business information, can be accessed at: www.merge.com. We make our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports available free of charge on or through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Materials we file with or furnish to the SEC may also be read and copied at the SEC's Public reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Also, the SEC Internet site (www.sec.gov) contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information that we file electronically with the SEC.

Our solutions optimize processes for healthcare providers ranging in size from single provider practices to large health systems, to the sponsors of clinical trials and medical device manufacturers. These solutions are licensed by more than 1,500 hospitals, 6,000 clinics and labs, 250 medical device manufacturers and by top pharmaceutical companies world-wide. We believe that we have an opportunity to grow revenue by expanding our solution footprint with existing customers, as only a small percent currently have more than one of our enterprise solutions.

In the second quarter of 2012, we announced the creation of two operating groups to provide better visibility to our investors, end users, healthcare providers and consumers. The operating group named Merge Healthcare represents approximately 87% of our total revenue and markets, sells and implements interoperability, imaging and clinical solutions to healthcare providers. Merge DNA (Data and Analytics) represents the remaining revenue and focuses on the emergence of consumerism in healthcare, including health stations, data capture software and other consumer-focused solutions. As a result of this change, effective in the second quarter of 2012, we have two reportable operating groups.

Merge Healthcare primarily generates revenue from the sale of software (including upgrades); hardware, professional services, maintenance and electronic data interchange (EDI) services. Today, the majority of total revenue is generated through perpetual license agreements with our customers. Merge DNA derives the vast majority of its revenue from software, professional services and hosting through subscription arrangements. Under perpetual license agreements, the software, hardware and professional services are considered to be sources of non-recurring revenue and related backlog. The backlog of non-recurring revenue was approximately $31.2 million and $45.1 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We also generate revenue through subscription-based pricing arrangements in which the contract elements are payable by our customers over a number of years. Generally, these contracts will include a minimum image volume and/or dollar commitment. As such, revenue from these transactions is recognized ratably over an extended period of time. Subscription arrangements include, but are not limited to, contracts structured with monthly payments (including leases), long-term clinical trials or renewable annual software contracts (with very high renewal rates). As of December 31, 2012 subscription revenue backlog was $45.6 million. Due to the variability in timing and length of maintenance renewals, we do not track backlog for maintenance and EDI.

Index

Healthcare IT Industry

We believe there are several factors that should be favorable for the global healthcare IT industry over the next few years. In the U.S., the recognition that healthcare IT is essential to help control healthcare costs and improve quality contributed to the inclusion of healthcare IT incentives in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA). The ARRA and accompanying Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) provisions included more than $35 billion in incentives which reward providers who use certified electronic health records (EHRs) in a meaningful way. According to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), more than 100,000 professionals and hospitals have benefitted from the Medicare and Medicaid EHR Incentive Program with aggregate payments of about $9.3 billion as of December 2012. These incentives are contributing to increased demand for a broader segment of healthcare IT solutions and services in the United States. In addition, we believe long-term revenue growth opportunities outside the United States remain significant because other countries are also focused on controlling healthcare spending while improving the efficiency and quality of care that is delivered. Many countries recognize healthcare IT as an important piece of the solution to these issues.

As providers adopt EHRs, we believe the need for solutions such as our iConnect platform, which offers connectivity, access to medical images and interoperability between providers and other healthcare constituents will be significant. Imaging is an essential component of healthcare delivery across the continuum of care. Increasing physician awareness and utilization of imaging to aid in patient diagnosis (including its use as a preventive screening method), as well as an increased availability of diagnostic imaging equipment in medical centers and hospitals, has fueled the growth of the diagnostic imaging industry. In addition, U.S. demographic trends and the opportunity for greater international adoption of medical imaging should provide the basis for long-term, sustainable growth in imaging volumes. We believe Merge is well positioned to benefit from these expected increases in demand due to our large footprint in United States hospitals and physician practices and our expansion into additional imaging specialties.

We believe that we are positioned to provide value added solutions and services to our customers amidst potential changes in industry standards and regulations. We believe the fundamental value proposition of healthcare IT remains strong and that the industry will likely benefit as healthcare providers and governments continue to recognize that these solutions and services contribute to safer, more efficient healthcare delivery.

Merge Growth Strategy

Our strategy is to be a leading provider of integrated, global healthcare IT solutions and services that improve the exchange of healthcare information. We believe the growth drivers for Merge are the importance of imaging and the need for interoperability. Imaging continues to be a critical component of healthcare delivery across the continuum of care. We believe that an electronic medical record can only be considered meaningful if imaging data is included.

One of our core strengths is our proven ability to innovate, which has driven consistent expansion of our solutions and services and our entry into new markets. Our portfolio of technologies is used across a wide variety of clinical specialties in addition to being an increasingly important component of clinical trials. For example, our iConnect platform offers hospitals, imaging centers and Health Information Exchanges the ability to create information exchanges within their environment and with other entities. As providers adopt electronic health records, we believe that the need for solutions offering connectivity and interoperability between providers and other healthcare constituents will be a new multi-billion dollar opportunity and one for which Merge is well-positioned to compete.

We have an opportunity to grow revenues by cross-selling products to existing customers as only a small percent currently have more than one of our enterprise solutions. This is evidenced by the fact that no customer accounted for

more than 5% of our net sales in any of the last three years. With the benefit of a broad customer base and several product lines undergoing ongoing innovation, we intend to continue to leverage technologies into new segments where customers see value.

We believe we are positioned well to gain market share in the United States during a period of expected strong demand driven by the HITECH provisions of ARRA and the nation's focus on improving the efficiency and quality of healthcare. We also have a strong brand, as evidenced by our popular eFilm Workstation that has over 100,000 downloads.

Index

Our Product Portfolio

We provide a broad range of products and services to our customers, including:

- Image Interoperability Platform

o iConnect. This interoperability and connectivity platform enables hospitals, imaging centers, Integrated Delivery Networks and Health Information Exchanges to create information exchanges within their environments and with other entities. This platform provides access to imaging and diagnostic data across disparate sites, geographies, specialties and providers. This solution enables providers to expedite care, reduce duplicate exams, consolidate infrastructure and limit the expenses associated with moving, managing and storing diagnostic content and results.

- Clinical and Financial Information Systems

o Digital Imaging Solutions: Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS), specialty workstations and related applications manage the image workflow of a medical enterprise. PACS can be used by any medical imaging provider at a hospital or outpatient imaging site. We offer PACS solutions for general image review and management, specialty solutions for cardiology, orthopaedics, ophthalmology, mammography and oncology, and add-on modules like referring physician portals and critical test results reporting. We also offer our eFilm Workstation for general radiology reading and CADstream workstations for specialty reading of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) breast, liver and prostate studies.

o Clinical information systems. These systems provide a complete electronic record of a medical procedure across a variety of specialties – including Merge OrthoEMR for orthopaedics, and Merge RIS for radiology.

o Revenue Cycle Management. We offer software and services for the revenue cycle management of physician practices. These solutions can be used across many physician specialties, but our solutions are most commonly used by radiology practices, imaging centers and billing services.

- Software Development Toolkits, Technologies and Platforms.

o Merge toolkits, technologies and platforms provide software developers with the necessary resources to assist in the timely development of new products and enhance existing products. They can be used by any original equipment manufacturer (OEM), medical device manufacturer, RIS/PACS or general healthcare IT vendors. We offer development toolkits in the basic standards of medical imaging and information interoperability, as well as advanced toolkits and unfinished applications for specialized medical image review and distribution.

- Hosted Software Solutions for Clinical Trial Data Management.

o We provide hosted software solutions for the collection, aggregation, analysis, reporting and overall management of clinical trials information. These solutions can be sold to sponsors of clinical trials, including pharmaceutical companies, contract research organizations (CRO) or imaging core labs. Our solutions include electronic data capture (EDC), interactive voice/web response (IVR/IWR) and electronic patient reported outcomes (ePRO) software and devices.

Competition

The healthcare IT and imaging markets in which we participate are highly competitive, rapidly evolving and subject to rapid technological change. However, we believe that there is no single company that competes against our entire

product portfolio.

Our principal competitors in the healthcare solutions and services market include: General Electric Company (Healthcare), McKesson Corporation, Fuji, Philips, Carestream, and Agfa, each of which offers software solutions that compete with a portion of our product portfolio. Almost all of these competitors are substantially larger or have more experience and market share than Merge in their respective markets. We also partner with certain of these companies to resell our products.

Other competitors focus on specific portions of the market that we address or compete against specific products we sell. For example, there are 30 other companies in the North American PACS market, according to Frost & Sullivan. These companies include original equipment manufacturers, former film companies and healthcare IT companies. Our CAD solutions compete with iCAD, InVivo (Philips) and Hologic. Our eClinical solutions and services are in a highly competitive market led by Oracle and Medidata. Our OEM technologies most often compete with internal development departments, but also compete with software development companies for our DICOM and HL7 toolkits.

Index

In addition, major software information systems companies, large information technology consulting service providers and system integrators, start-up companies, managed care companies and others, specializing in the healthcare industry, offer competitive software solutions or services. The pace of change in the healthcare IT market is rapid and there are frequent new software solutions or service introductions, enhancements and evolving industry standards and requirements. We believe that the principal competitive factors in this market include the breadth and quality of solution and service offerings, the stability of the solution provider, the quality, features and performance of the products, the ongoing support for the systems and the potential for enhancements and future compatible software solutions.

Employees

At December 31, 2012, we had approximately 860 employees worldwide. Competition for personnel in the industry in which we compete is intense. We believe that our future success depends in part on our continued ability to hire, assimilate, train and retain qualified personnel.

Software Development

We commit significant resources to developing new health information system solutions. At December 31, 2012, approximately 185 of our employees were engaged in research and development activities. Total expenditures for the development and enhancement of our software solutions were approximately $32.4 million, $27.5 million and $20.1 million during 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Our products, ranging from standards-based development toolkits to fully integrated clinical applications, have been used by healthcare providers worldwide for over 20 years. Our software solutions follow industry standards such as DICOM, which ensures that images from any DICOM-compliant imaging modality can be displayed, moved and stored within a standard set of guidelines. In addition, Merge follows the guidelines of the Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE) standards body, an organization dedicated to developing standard profiles for health information exchange. Our long-time involvement with the standards committees and continuous development of products like our DICOM and HL7 toolkits have enabled Merge to stay closely tied to industry innovation. As discussed above, continued investment in research and development remains a core element of our strategy. This will include ongoing enhancement of our core solutions and development of new solutions and services such as honeycomb, our new cloud-based platform.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution

Sales to large health systems typically require a minimum of nine months development time, while the sales cycle is often shorter when selling to smaller hospitals and imaging centers. At December 31, 2012, approximately 175 of our employees were engaged in sales and marketing activities. Our executive sales and marketing management is located at our innovation center in Chicago, Illinois, while our sales team is deployed across the United States and globally.

We employ quota based sales teams that specialize in particular solutions and services. In addition, we have sales teams dedicated to establishing and maintaining distributor relationships on a global basis. We have concentrated inside and telesales staff in one location in order to bring economies of scale in management and process. Our sales teams are complemented by a staff of lead generation and marketing employees. These teams use online tools and resources that streamline and track the sales process.

Our marketing efforts are mainly electronic, utilizing our website and our extensive email database of customers for our communication campaigns, as well as our website for online communities and certain social media. Beyond electronic media, we employ consistent media relations efforts for market communications. In addition, we

participate in the major industry trade shows for our respective product lines. We also have an active user group for our U.S. customers and an industry advisory board.

Financial Information about Segments

For financial information regarding our two operating groups as well as our geographic areas of operation, refer to Item 8, “Note 1 – Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies” and “Note 15 – Segment Information and Concentrations of Risk” of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Discussion of our business and operating results included in this annual report on Form 10-K should be read together with the risk factors set forth below. They describe various risks and uncertainties to which we are or may become subject. These risks and uncertainties, together with other factors described elsewhere in this report, have the potential to affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, strategies, prospects, or the market price of our common stock in a material and adverse manner. New risks may emerge at any time, and we cannot predict those risks or estimate the extent to which they may affect financial performance. We undertake no obligation to update or revise the statements.

Index

Our efforts to explore strategic alternatives did not result in a definitive transaction and may have resulted in costs and uncertainty that may have had an adverse effect on our business prospects and operating results.

In September 2012, our Board of Directors retained Allen & Company LLC, a New York-based investment bank, to assist in exploring and evaluating various strategic alternatives, including, a sale of Merge. As previously announced, that review recently concluded, and our Board of Directors has determined that the alternatives evaluated did not offer more value to our stockholders than the Company's current operating plan. The strategic review process was expensive and we incurred those costs despite the fact a transaction was not ultimately consummated. In addition, the strategic review process may have created uncertainties among our business partners and current or prospective employees and lost or delayed certain business opportunities. As a result, the strategic review process may have had an adverse effect on our liquidity and our near-term business and operating results.

We are Subject to Government Regulation, Changes to which could Negatively Impact our Business.

We are subject to regulation in the U.S. by the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), including periodic FDA inspections, in Canada under Health Canada's Medical Devices Regulations, and in other countries by corresponding regulatory authorities. We may be required to undertake additional actions in the U.S. to comply with the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (the "FDCA Act"), regulations promulgated under the FDCA Act, and any other applicable regulatory requirements. For example, the FDA has increased its focus on regulating computer software intended for use in a healthcare setting. If our software solutions are deemed to be actively regulated medical devices by the FDA, we could be subject to more extensive requirements governing pre- and post-marketing activities. Complying with these regulations could be time consuming and expensive, and may include:

- Requiring us to receive FDA clearance of a pre-market notification submission demonstrating substantial equivalence to a device already legally marketed, or to obtain FDA approval of a pre-market approval application establishing the safety and effectiveness of the software;

- Requiring us to comply with rigorous regulations governing the pre-clinical and clinical testing, manufacture, distribution, labeling and promotion of medical devices; and

- Requiring us to comply with the FDCA Act regarding general controls, including establishment registration, device listing, compliance with good manufacturing practices, reporting of specified malfunctions and adverse device events.

Similar obligations may exist in other countries in which we do business, including Canada. Any failure by us to comply with other applicable regulatory requirements, both domestic and foreign, could subject us to a number of enforcement actions, including warning letters, fines, product seizures, recalls, injunctions, total or partial suspensions of production, operating restrictions or limitations on marketing, refusals of the government to grant new clearances or approvals, withdrawals of marketing clearances or approvals and civil and criminal penalties.

Following an inspection by the FDA in 2012, Merge received an FDA warning letter seeking responses to certain process issues that the FDA had identified. The warning letter related to a manufacturing facility that Merge subsequently sold to a supplier. Merge and our supplier responded to the FDA on October 4, 2012, and have undertaken a number of corrective actions in response to the FDA warning letter.

There can be no assurance, however, that our actions or the actions of our supplier taken in response to the FDA warning letter will be deemed adequate by the FDA or that additional actions will not be required by us. In addition, we remain subject to periodic FDA inspections and there can be no assurances that we will not be required to undertake additional actions to comply with the FDCA Act and any other applicable regulatory requirements. Any

failure by us to comply with the FDCA Act and any other applicable regulatory requirements could have a material adverse effect on our ability to continue to manufacture and distribute our software solutions. The FDA has many enforcement tools including recalls, seizures, injunctions, civil fines and/or criminal prosecutions. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Changes in Federal and State Regulations Relating to Data could Depress the Demand for our Software and Impose Significant Software Redesign Costs.

Federal regulations under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) impose national health data standards on healthcare providers that conduct electronic health transactions, healthcare clearinghouses that convert health data between HIPAA compliant and non-compliant formats and health plans. Collectively, these groups are known as covered entities. HIPAA regulations prescribe transaction formats and code sets for electronic health transactions, protect individual privacy by limiting the uses and disclosures of individually identifiable health information and require covered entities to implement administrative, physical and technological safeguards to ensure the confidentiality, integrity, availability and security of individually identifiable health information in electronic form. Although we are not a covered entity, most of our customers are, and they require that our software and services adhere to HIPAA regulations. Any failure or perceived failure of our software or services to meet HIPAA regulations, or breach of our network security, could adversely affect demand for our software and services and potentially require us to expend significant capital, research and development and other resources to modify our software or services to address the privacy and security requirements of our clients.

Index

States and foreign jurisdictions have adopted, or may adopt, privacy standards that are similar to or more stringent than the federal HIPAA privacy regulations. This may lead to different restrictions for handling individually identifiable health information. As a result, our customers may demand IT solutions and services that are adaptable to reflect different and changing regulatory requirements, which could increase our development costs. In the future, federal, state or foreign governmental authorities may impose new data security regulations or additional restrictions on the collection, use, transmission and other disclosures of health information. We cannot predict the potential impact that these future rules may have on our business; however, the demand for our software and services may decrease if we are not able to develop and offer software and services that can address the regulatory challenges and compliance obligations facing our clients.

Healthcare Reform Legislation may have a Negative Impact on our Business. Among other things, Reductions in Medicare and Medicaid Reimbursement Rates for Imaging Procedures and Professional Services could Negatively Affect Revenues of our Hospital and Imaging Clinic Customers, which could Reduce our Customers' Ability to Purchase our Software and Services.

The U.S. Congress has enacted far-reaching health system reform legislation that could have a negative impact on our business. While the impact of the legislation is difficult to predict, the legislation will increase pressure to control spending in government programs (e.g., Medicare and Medicaid) and by third party payors. The ability of customers to obtain appropriate reimbursement for their services from these programs and payors is critical to the success of our company. For example, changes in the equipment utilization rate, once fully implemented, have the potential to decrease technical reimbursements for radiology procedures, and could have a particularly negative impact on hospitals and imaging clinics in rural regions of the country where utilization rates are naturally lower. A second significant potential reimbursement change relates to the Sustainable Growth Rate (SGR) component of the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule. The SGR is part of the update factor process used to set the annual rate of growth in allowed reimbursable medical expenditures, and is determined by a formula specified by Congress. Because the annual calculation of the SGR would have led to reimbursement reductions that Congress found unacceptable, Congress has interceded to delay the implementation of this statutory SGR update factor. While these changes have provided temporary reimbursement relief to healthcare providers and us, because of the significant budgetary impacts, Congress has retained the SGR formula, thereby allowing annual unimplemented payment reductions to accumulate in the Medicare statute. The Congress and the Obama administration are currently considering legislation to attempt to fix or delay this problem, but the prospects for enactment remain uncertain. The changes being considered have the potential to negatively impact the professional component of reimbursement.

Changes related to the equipment utilization assumption and the SGR calculation could result in a reduction in software and service procurement of our customers, and have a material adverse effect on our revenues and operating results.

Our Business could be Harmed by Adverse General Economic and Market Conditions.

Our markets have been and will continue to be affected by general economic and market conditions. If general economic conditions deteriorate or economic uncertainty continues in the markets in which we do business, our clients might experience deterioration of their businesses, cash flow shortages and difficulty obtaining financing which may impact the decisions of customers to purchase products that improve their processes and delay or reduce their purchases, and in our having higher customer receivables with increased default rates. General concerns about the fundamental soundness of domestic and foreign economies may also cause customers to reduce their purchases, even if they have cash or if credit is available to them. This could result in reductions in sales of our products, longer sales cycles, slower adoption of new technologies and increased price competition. In addition, weakness in the end-user market could negatively affect our OEM and VAR customers who could, in turn, delay paying their obligations, which would increase our credit risk exposure and cause a decrease in operating cash flows. Also, if OEM and VAR

customers experience excessive financial difficulties and/or insolvency, and we are unable to successfully transition end-users to purchase products from other vendors or directly from us, sales could decline. Any of these events would likely harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Index

We have a Substantial Amount of Indebtedness, which could Impact our Ability to Obtain Future Financing or Pursue our Growth Strategy.

We have substantial indebtedness. As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately $252.2 million of indebtedness, including $252.0 million aggregate principal amount of 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (Notes).

Our high level of indebtedness could have important consequences and significant adverse effects on our business, including the following:

- We must use a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to pay interest on our indebtedness, which will reduce the funds available to us for operations and other purposes;
- Our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or general corporate purposes may be impaired;
- Our high level of indebtedness could place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that may have proportionately less indebtedness;
- Our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate may be limited; and
- Our high level of indebtedness may make us more vulnerable to economic downturns and adverse developments in our business.

The indenture governing our Notes contains, and the instruments governing any indebtedness we may incur in the future may contain, restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions, including restrictions on our ability to take actions that we believe may be in our best interest. The indenture, among other things, limits our ability to:

- Incur additional indebtedness and issue preferred stock;
- Pay dividends on or make distributions in respect of capital stock;
- Make certain investments or certain other restricted payments;
- Issue dividends and enter into other payment restrictions affecting certain subsidiaries;
- Enter into transactions with stockholders or affiliates;
- Create or incur liens;
- Enter into certain sale-leaseback transactions;
- Guarantee indebtedness;
- Merge or consolidate without meeting certain conditions; and
- Issue or sell stock of certain subsidiaries.

Our failure to comply with these restrictive covenants could result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of all or a portion of our outstanding indebtedness, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Payments on our Indebtedness will Require a Significant Amount of Cash. Our Ability to Meet our Cash Requirements and Service our Indebtedness is Impacted by Many Factors that are Outside of our Control.

We expect to obtain the funds to pay our expenses and to pay the amounts due under the Notes primarily from our operations. Our ability to meet our expenses and make these payments thus depends on our future performance, which will be affected by financial, business, economic and other factors, many of which we cannot control. Our business may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations in the future and our currently anticipated growth in revenue and cash flow may not be realized, either or both of which could result in our being unable to repay indebtedness, including the Notes, or to fund other liquidity needs. If we do not have sufficient cash resources in the future, we may be required to refinance all or part of our then existing indebtedness, sell assets or borrow more money. We cannot be assured that we will be able to accomplish any of these alternatives on terms acceptable to us or at all. In addition, the terms of existing or future debt agreements may restrict us from adopting any of these alternatives. Our failure to generate sufficient cash flow or to achieve any of these alternatives could materially adversely affect the value of the Notes and our ability to pay the amounts due under the Notes. See the section captioned “Liquidity and Capital Resources” in the Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations incorporated herein by reference.

Index

We may Incur Substantial Additional Indebtedness that could Further Exacerbate the Risks Associated with our Indebtedness.

We may incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although the indenture governing the Notes contains restrictions on our incurrence of additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions, and we could incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future, including additional secured indebtedness. If we incur additional indebtedness, the risks described above under "— We have a substantial amount of indebtedness, which could impact our ability to obtain future financing or pursue our growth strategy" and "— Payments on our indebtedness will require a significant amount of cash. Our ability to meet our cash requirements and service our indebtedness is impacted by many factors that are outside of our control" would intensify.

Our Future Capital Needs are Uncertain and our Ability to Access Additional Financing may be Negatively Impacted by the Volatility and Disruption of the Capital and Credit Markets and Adverse Changes in the Global Economy.

Our capital requirements in the future will depend on many factors, including:

- Acceptance of and demand for our products;
- The extent to which we invest in new technology and product development;
- The costs of developing new products, services or technologies;
- Our interest and principal payment obligations;
- The number and method of financing of acquisitions and other strategic transactions; and
- The costs associated with the growth of our business.

We must continue to enhance and expand our product and service offerings to maintain our competitive position, satisfy our working capital obligations and increase our market share. We have in the past required substantial capital infusions. Our ability to incur additional indebtedness in the future may be limited or available only on disadvantageous terms. We currently do not have a credit facility and such a facility may be difficult to obtain in the future given the amount of indebtedness that we have incurred and future market conditions. Unless we can achieve cash flow levels sufficient to support our operations, we may require additional borrowings or the sale of debt or equity securities, sale of non-strategic assets, or some combination thereof, to provide funding for our operations. Our ability to borrow in the future is dependent upon our ability to manage business operations and generate sufficient cash flows to service such indebtedness. If we are unable to generate sufficient working capital or obtain alternative financing, we may not be able to borrow or otherwise obtain additional funds to finance our operations when needed, our financial condition and operating results would be materially adversely affected.

If we experience a decrease in cash flows from operations, we may need additional financing to fund operations. Due to the existing uncertainty in the capital markets (including debt, private equity, venture capital and traditional bank lending), access to additional debt or equity may not be available on acceptable terms or at all. If we cannot raise funds on acceptable terms when necessary, we may not be able to develop or enhance products and services, execute our business plan, take advantage of future opportunities or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated customer requirements.

Healthcare Industry Consolidation could Impose Pressure on our Software Prices, Reduce our Potential Client Base and Reduce Demand for our Software.

Many hospitals and imaging centers have consolidated to create larger healthcare enterprises with greater market power. If this consolidation trend continues, it could reduce the size of our potential customer base and give the resulting enterprises greater bargaining power, which may lead to erosion of the prices for our software. In addition, when hospitals and imaging centers combine, they often consolidate infrastructure, and consolidation of our customers could erode our revenue base.

We may Fail to Achieve our Financial Forecasts due to Inaccurate Sales Forecasts, Delays in Sales and Installation of our Products and Other Reasons.

We may not be able to accurately forecast our growth rate. We base expense levels and investment plans on sales estimates and review all such estimates on a quarterly basis. However, our revenues are difficult to forecast, and as a result our operating results can fluctuate substantially. Because a significant portion of our cost structure, including expenses and investments, are fixed in the short-term, if revenues are lower than expected we may not be able to adjust spending quickly enough and as such we may experience a disproportionately negative impact on our profitability.

Delays in the expected sales or installation of our software may have a significant impact on our anticipated quarterly revenues and, because a significant percentage of our expenses are relatively fixed, our earnings. Additionally, we sometimes depend, in part, upon large contracts with a small number of customers to meet sales goals in any particular quarter. Delays in the expected sales or installation of solutions under these large contracts may have a significant impact on our quarterly net sales and consequently our earnings.

We may Experience Significant Fluctuations in Revenue Growth Rates and Operating Results.

Our revenue growth may not be sustainable and our percentage growth rates may decrease or fluctuate significantly. Our revenue and operating profit growth depends on the continued growth of demand for our products and services offered through us or our OEM and VAR customers, and our business is affected by general economic and business conditions worldwide. A softening of demand, whether caused by changes in customer preferences or a weakening of the U.S. or global economies, may result in decreased revenue or growth. Our net sales and operating results will also fluctuate for many other reasons, including due to risks described elsewhere in this section.

Index

The Length of our Sales and Implementation Cycles may Adversely Affect our Operating Results.

We have experienced long sales and implementation cycles. How and when to implement, replace, expand or substantially modify medical imaging management software, or to modify or add business processes, are major decisions for our end-user target market. The sales cycle for our software ranges from 6 to 18 months or more from initial contact to contract execution. Our end-user implementation cycle has generally ranged from three to nine months from contract execution to completion of implementation. During the sales and implementation cycles, we will expend substantial time, effort and resources preparing contract proposals, negotiating the contract and implementing the software, and may not realize any revenues to offset these expenditures. Additionally, any decision by our customers to delay or cancel purchases or the implementation of our software may adversely affect net sales.

We Operate in Competitive Markets, which may Adversely Affect our Market Share and Financial Results.

The markets for Healthcare IT solutions are highly competitive and subject to rapid technological change. We may be unable to maintain our competitive position against current and potential competitors. Some of our competitors are focused on sub-markets within targeted industries, while others have significant financial and information-gathering resources with recognized brands, technological expertise and market experience. We believe that competitors are continuously enhancing their products and services, developing new products and services and investing in technology to better serve the needs of their existing customers and to attract new customers. In addition, new competitors may emerge and our system and software solution offerings may be threatened by new technologies or market trends that reduce the value of our solutions.

We face competition in specific industries and with respect to specific offerings. We may also face competition from organizations and businesses that have not traditionally competed with us, but that could adapt their products and services to meet the demands of our customers. In addition, we often compete with our OEM customers' own internal software engineering groups. The size and competency of these groups may create additional competition. Increased competition may require us to reduce the prices of our offerings or make additional capital investments that would adversely affect margins. If we are unable or unwilling to do so, we may lose market share in target markets and our financial results may be adversely affected.

If We Are Unable to Successfully Identify or Effectively Integrate Acquisitions, our Financial Results may be Adversely Affected.

We have in the past and may in the future acquire and make investments in companies, products or technologies that we believe complement or expand our existing business and assist in quickly bringing new products to market. There can be no assurance that we will be able to identify suitable candidates for successful acquisitions at acceptable valuations. In addition, our ability to achieve the expected returns and synergies from past and future acquisitions depends in part upon our ability to integrate the offerings, technology, administrative functions, and personnel of these businesses into our business in an efficient and effective manner. We cannot predict whether we will be successful in integrating acquired businesses or that our acquired businesses will perform at anticipated levels. In addition, our past and future acquisitions may subject us to unanticipated risks or liabilities, or disrupt operations and divert management's attention from day-to-day operations. In addition, we may use our capital stock to acquire acquisition targets, which could be dilutive to the existing stockholders and cause a decline in the price of our common stock.

Index

In making or attempting to make acquisitions or investments, we face a number of risks, including risks related to:

- Identifying suitable candidates, performing appropriate due diligence, identifying potential liabilities and negotiating acceptable terms;
- The potential distraction of our management, diversion of our resources and disruption to our business;
- Retaining and motivating key employees of the acquired companies;
- Managing operations that are distant from our current headquarters and operational locations;
- Entering into industries or geographic markets in which we have little or no prior experience;
- Competing for acquisition opportunities with competitors that are larger or have greater financial and other resources than us;
- Accurately forecasting the financial impact of a transaction;
- Assuming liabilities of acquired companies, including existing or potential litigation related to the operation of the business prior to the acquisition;
- Reducing our working capital and hindering our ability to expand or maintain our business, if acquisitions are made using cash;
- Maintaining good relations with the customers and suppliers of the acquired company; and
- Effectively integrating acquired companies and achieving expected synergies.

In addition, any acquired business, products or technologies may not generate sufficient revenue and net income to offset the associated costs of such acquisitions, and such acquisitions could result in other adverse effects. In the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we incurred $3.4 million, $1.6 million, and $9.7 million of acquisition related costs, respectively. All such direct acquisition costs are expensed as incurred by us. In addition, we often are required to incur charges to operations in the quarters following an acquisition to reflect costs associated with integrating acquired companies. We anticipate that our acquisition activities will require cash outflows directly related to completing acquisitions as well as costs related to integration efforts. If the benefits of an acquisition do not exceed the costs of integrating the businesses, our financial results may be adversely affected.

Moreover, from time to time, we may enter into negotiations for the acquisition of businesses, products or technologies but be unable or unwilling to consummate the acquisitions under consideration. This can be expensive and could cause significant diversion of managerial attention and resources.

A Portion of our Business Relies Upon a Network of Independent Contractors and Distributors Whose Actions could have an Adverse Effect on our Business.

We obtain some critical information from independent contractors. In addition, we rely on a network of VARs and distributors to sell our offerings in locations where we do not maintain a sales office or direct sales team. These independent contractors, VARs and distributors are not our employees. As a result, we have limited ability to monitor and direct their activities. The loss of a significant number of these independent contractors, VARs or distributors could disrupt our sales, marketing and distribution efforts. Furthermore, if any actions or business practices of these

individuals or entities violate our policies, procedures, or regulators to which we are subject, or otherwise are deemed inappropriate or illegal, we could be subject to litigation, regulatory sanctions or reputation damage, any of which could adversely affect our business and require us to terminate relationships with them.

Our Investments in Technology may not be Sufficient and may not Result in an Increase in our Revenues or Decrease in our Operating Costs.

As the technological landscape continues to evolve, it may become increasingly difficult for us to make timely, cost-effective changes to our offerings in a manner that adequately differentiates them from those of our competitors. We cannot provide any assurance that our investments will result in successful applications that will be sufficient to maintain or improve our competitive position.

If our New and Existing Products, Including Product Upgrades and Services do not Achieve and Maintain Sufficient Market Acceptance, our Business, Financial Condition, Cash Flows, Revenues, and Operating Results could Suffer.

The success of our business depends and will continue to depend in large part on the market acceptance of:

- Our existing products and services;
- Our new products and services, and
- Enhancements to existing products support and services.

Index

There can be no assurance that customers will accept any of these products, product upgrades, support or services. In addition, even if customers accept these products and services initially, we cannot be assured that they will continue to purchase our products and services at levels that are consistent with, or higher than, past quarters. Customers may significantly reduce their relationships with us or choose not to expand their relationship with us. In addition, any pricing strategy that we implement for any of our products, product upgrades, or services may not be economically viable or acceptable to our target markets. Failure to achieve or to sustain significant penetration in our target markets with respect to any of these products, product upgrades, or services could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Achieving and sustaining market acceptance for these products, product upgrades and services is likely to require substantial marketing and service efforts and the expenditure of significant funds to create awareness and demand by participants in the healthcare industry. In addition, deployment of new or newly integrated products or product upgrades may require the use of additional resources for training our existing sales force and customer service personnel and for hiring and training additional sales and customer service personnel. There can be no assurance that the revenue opportunities for new products, product upgrades and services will justify the amounts that we spend for their development, marketing and rollout.

If we are unable to sell new and next-generation software products to healthcare providers that are in the market for healthcare information and/or image management systems, such inability will likely have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows, revenues and operating results, If anticipated software sales and services do not materialize, or if we lose customers or experience significant declines in orders from customers, our revenues would decrease over time due to the combined effects of attrition of existing customers and a shortfall in new client additions.

Our Performance and Future Success Depends on our Ability to Attract, Integrate and Retain Qualified Technical, Managerial and Sales Personnel.

We are dependent, in part, upon the services of our senior executives and other key business and technical personnel. We do not currently maintain key-man life insurance on our senior executives. The loss of the services of any of our senior executives or other key employees could have a material adverse effect on our business. Our commercial success will depend upon, among other things, the successful recruiting, training and retention of highly skilled technical, managerial and sales personnel with experience in similar business activities. Competition for the type of highly skilled individuals that we seek is intense. We may not be able to retain existing key employees or be able to find, attract and retain skilled personnel on acceptable terms.

We may not be Able to Adequately Protect our Intellectual Property Rights or may be Accused of Infringing Intellectual Property Rights of Third Parties.

We regard our patents, trademarks, service marks, copyrights, trade secrets, proprietary technology and similar intellectual property as important to our success. We rely on trademark, copyright and patent law, trade secret protection and confidentiality and/or license agreements with employees, customers and others to protect our proprietary rights. Our U.S. patents may not provide us with a competitive advantage or may be challenged by third parties. Further, effective intellectual property protection may not be available in every country in which our products and services are available. We also may not be able to acquire or maintain appropriate intellectual property rights in all countries where we do business.

We may not be able to discover or determine the extent of any unauthorized use of our intellectual property and proprietary rights. Third parties that license our proprietary rights also may take actions that diminish the value of these rights. Any claims of alleged infringement of the intellectual property rights of third parties, whether or not

meritorious, may result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources. If we are found liable for infringement, we may be required to pay damages or cease making or selling certain products. We may need to obtain licenses from third parties who allege that we have infringed on their rights, but such licenses may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. In addition, we may not be able to obtain or utilize on favorable terms, or at all, licenses or other rights with respect to intellectual property we do not own in providing services under commercial agreements. These risks have been amplified by the increase in third parties whose sole or primary business is to assert such claims.

We also rely on proprietary know how and confidential information and employ various methods, such as entering into confidentiality and non-compete agreements with our current employees and with certain third parties to whom we have divulged proprietary information to protect the processes, concepts, ideas and documentation associated with our solutions. Such methods may not afford sufficient protection, and we may not be able to protect trade secrets adequately or ensure that other companies would not acquire information that we consider proprietary, particularly in foreign countries where the laws may not protect our proprietary rights as fully as in the U.S. Our inability to protect our proprietary technology could result in competitive harm that could adversely affect our business.

Index

We have Foreign Exchange Rate Risk.

Our international operating results are exposed to foreign exchange rate fluctuations. While the functional currency of most of our international operations is the U.S. Dollar, we conduct transactions in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar, and certain account balances in foreign countries are maintained in the local currency. As such, changes in the value of certain foreign currencies relative to the U.S. Dollar can affect our revenues, operating results and the value of our foreign currency account balances. Generally, our revenues, operating results and foreign currency account balances are adversely affected when the dollar strengthens relative to other currencies and are positively affected with the dollar weakens. As we expand international operations, our exposure to exchange rate fluctuations may increase.

We may not be Successful in our Efforts to Expand into International Markets.

Our international activities are material to our revenues and profits, and we plan to further expand internationally. In 2012, our international revenues were $16 million, or about 6% of total revenues. We have limited experience operating in international markets and may not benefit from any first-to-market advantages or otherwise succeed. It is costly to establish, develop and maintain international operations and websites and promote our brand internationally. Our international operations may not be profitable on a sustained basis.

In addition to risks described elsewhere in this section, our international sales and operations are subject to a number of risks, including:

- Local economic and political conditions;
- Foreign government regulation of healthcare and government reimbursement of health services;
- Local restrictions on sales or distribution of certain products or services and uncertainty regarding liability for products and services;
- Local import, export or other business licensing requirements;
- Local limitations on the repatriation and investment of funds and foreign currency exchange restrictions;
- Shorter payable and longer receivable cycles and the resultant negative impact on cash flow;
- Local laws and regulations regarding data protection, privacy, network security and restrictions on pricing;
- Difficulty in staffing, developing and managing foreign operations as a result of distance, language and cultural differences;
- Different employee/employer relationships and the existence of workers' councils and labor unions;
- Laws and policies of the U.S. and other jurisdictions affecting trade, foreign investment, loans and taxes; and
- Geopolitical events, including war and terrorism.

Litigation or Regulatory Actions could Adversely Affect our Financial Condition.

As a result of lawsuits and regulatory matters, including the matters discussed in Item 3, Legal Proceedings in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we have incurred and may continue to incur substantial expenses. In addition, we are,

from time to time, parties to legal and regulatory proceedings, lawsuits and other claims incident to our business activities. Such matters may include, among other things, assertions of contract breach or intellectual property infringement, claims for indemnity arising in the course of our business and claims by persons whose employment has been terminated. Such matters are subject to many uncertainties and outcomes are not predictable. The defense of these actions may be both time consuming and expensive. We are unable to estimate the ultimate aggregate amount of monetary liability, amounts which may be covered by insurance or recoverable from third parties, or the financial impact with respect to these matters as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. If any of these legal proceedings were to result in an unfavorable outcome, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations.

We may be Subject to Product Liability Claims if People or Property are Harmed by the Products and Services that we Sell.

Some of the products we sell or manufacture may expose us to product liability claims relating to personal injury, death or environmental or property damage and may require product recalls or other actions. Moreover, because our products are intended to be used in connection with providing medical care to patients, users of our products may have a greater sensitivity to errors than in the general market for software products. If our products lead to faulty medical decisions or injury to patients, we could be exposed to claims or litigation that could have an adverse effect on our business. Certain third parties, primarily our customers, also sell products or services using our products. This may increase our exposure to product liability claims. Although we maintain liability insurance, we cannot be certain that coverage will be adequate for liabilities actually incurred or that insurance will continue to be available on economically reasonable terms or at all. In addition, some of our agreements with vendors and sellers do not indemnify us from product liability. Even unsuccessful claims could result in substantial costs and diversion of management resources. A claim brought against us that is uninsured or under-insured could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Index

We Provide Customers with Certain Warranties that could Result in Higher Costs than Anticipated.

Software products such as ours that are used in a wide range of clinical and health information systems settings may contain a number of errors or "bugs," especially early in their product life cycle. Our products include clinical information systems used in patient care settings where a low tolerance for errors or bugs exists. Testing of products is difficult due to the wide range of environments in which systems are installed. The discovery of defects or errors in our software products or in our implementation of integrated solutions may cause delays in product delivery, poor client references, payment disputes, contract cancellations, harm to our reputation, product liability claims or additional expenses and payments to rectify problems. Furthermore, our customers might use our software together with products from other companies or those that they have developed internally. As a result, when problems occur, it might be difficult to identify the source of the problem. Even when our software does not cause these problems, the existence of these errors might cause us to incur significant costs, divert the attention of our technical personnel from our research and development efforts; impact our reputation and cause significant customer relations problems. Any of those factors may result in delayed acceptance of, or the return of, our software products.

We Depend on Licenses from Third Parties for Rights to Some Technology we use, and if we are Unable to Continue these Relationships and Maintain our Rights to this Technology, our Business could Suffer.

Some of the technology used in our software depends upon licenses from third party vendors. These licenses typically expire within one to five years, can be renewed only by mutual consent and may be terminated if we breach the license and fail to cure the breach within a specified period of time. We may not be able to continue using the technology made available to us under these licenses on commercially reasonable terms or at all. As a result, we may have to discontinue, delay or reduce software shipments until we obtain equivalent technology, if available, which could hurt our business. Most of our third party licenses are nonexclusive. Our competitors may obtain the same right to use any of the technology covered by these licenses and use the technology to compete directly with us. In addition, if our vendors choose to discontinue support of the licensed technology in the future or are unsuccessful in their continued research and development efforts, particularly with regard to the Microsoft Windows/Intel platform on which most of our products operate, we may not be able to modify or adapt our own software. This could have an adverse effect on our business.

There are a Limited Number of Stockholders who have Significant Control over our Common Stock, Allowing them to have Significant Influence over the Outcome of all Matters Submitted to Stockholders for Approval, which may Conflict with our Interests and the Interests of other Stockholders.

Our directors, officers and principal stockholders (stockholders owning 10% or more of our common stock) beneficially owned approximately 33.6 million, or 36.4%, of the outstanding shares of common stock and stock options that could have been converted to common stock at December 31, 2012, and such stockholders will have significant influence over the outcome of all matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election of directors and other corporate actions. As of December 31, 2012, Merrick and its affiliates owned approximately 31.1% of our common stock. The influence of our large stockholders could impact our business strategy and also have the effect of discouraging others from attempting us to take over, thereby increasing the likelihood that the market price of our common stock will not reflect a premium for control.

Our Large Stockholders may have Interests that Differ from other Stockholders.

Merrick and its affiliates, including Merrick Ventures, beneficially own, as of December 31, 2012, 31.1% of our outstanding common stock. Michael W. Ferro, Jr., our Chairman of the Board, and trusts for the benefit of Mr. Ferro's family members beneficially own a majority of the equity interest in Merrick. Mr. Ferro also serves as the chairman and chief executive officer of Merrick and the chairman and chief executive officer of Merrick

Ventures. Accordingly, Mr. Ferro indirectly owns or controls all of the shares of our common stock owned by Merrick, and Merrick Ventures. Due to its stock ownership, Merrick has significant influence over our business, including the election of our directors.

Index

Effective as of January 1, 2009, we entered into a consulting agreement with Merrick. Services provided by Merrick under the consulting agreement include financial analysis and strategic planning. Effective January 1, 2010, we entered into an amendment to extend the term of the consulting agreement through December 31, 2011, and modified the payment terms from a flat fee arrangement per quarter to a per transaction or success based arrangement. On February 24, 2012, we entered into a second amendment, effective January 3, 2012, to extend the term of the consulting agreement with Merrick through December 31, 2013, and modified the fee structure to include a quarterly retainer in the amount of $0.2 million. This is in addition to the per transaction or success based arrangement that exists. Further, the second amendment includes a modification of the success payment in the event of a sale, by including a payment of 2% of the total consideration received if the total consideration is greater than $1 billion (the agreement still allows for a 1% success fee if under $1 billion). The cost of this consulting agreement in 2012, 2011 and 2010 was $1.0 million, $1.2 million and $2.3 million, respectively.

In April 2010, Merrick purchased 10,000 shares of our Series A Non-Voting Preferred Stock, par value $0.01 per share (Series A Preferred Stock) and 1,800,000 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of $10.0 million. These shares were purchased by Merrick at the same price per share as paid by the other investors in the transaction. Merrick also purchased, at the same price per Note as the other investors, $5.0 million of the $200.0 million of Notes that we issued in April 2010 to complete our acquisition of AMICAS.

On July 30, 2010, we acquired substantially all of the Olivia Greets assets from Merrick Healthcare Solutions, LLC (Merrick Healthcare), an affiliate of Merrick Ventures, for 500,000 shares of our common stock. Merrick Healthcare transferred these shares of common stock to Merrick Ventures after the expiration of the one-year trading restriction. As a result of the Olivia Greets acquisition, the value-added reseller agreements that we entered into with Merrick Healthcare in March 2009 and March 2010 were terminated.

On June 20, 2011, Merrick purchased $5.0 million of the $52.0 million of additional Notes that we issued on June 20, 2011. Merrick purchased the Notes at the same purchase price per Note as the other investors in the transaction. We used the proceeds from this private placement of additional Notes to redeem and retire all outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock for approximately $1,176 per share, including $11.8 million to redeem and retire the 10,000 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock held by Merrick.

In December 2011, we entered into a master services agreement with higi llc (“higi”) pursuant to which we agreed to provide higi with certain professional services, including software engineering design, application and web portal development for a fixed payment of $0.7 million. We recognized $0.2 million and $0.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011in revenue under this agreement. In addition, the master services agreement granted higi certain branding rights related to our health station business and requires higi to pay a fixed annual fee of one hundred dollars per station to us for each station that is branded with higi’s trademarks and that includes higi’s software, images and/or other intellectual property. The agreement has an initial term of one year, with continuing renewal rights, and is subject to termination on 120 days notice. Merrick Ventures owns over 75% of higi’s outstanding equity interests and Mr. Ferro is higi’s Chairperson and Founder.

On February 24, 2012, we entered into an Assignment Agreement with Merrick Ventures under which Merge subleased from Merrick approximately 4,700 square feet located at 200 E. Randolph Street, 22nd floor, Chicago, IL at an annual rental rate of $0.1 million, terminating on December 13, 2013. The rent is paid to Merrick monthly and is exactly the same rate as Merrick currently pays under its lease. Under the Assignment, Merge will also pay approximately $70,000 (which represents the book value) for all fixtures, leasehold improvements and furniture located in the space.

On March 28, 2012, we entered into an agreement to sell 500 health stations and related equipment to higi for $2.8 million. We recognized revenue of $2.8 million in 2012.

As a result of these relationships, the interests of Merrick and its affiliates may differ from those of our other stockholders. Merrick Ventures and its affiliates are in the business of making investments in companies and maximizing the return on those investments. They currently have, and may from time to time in the future acquire, interests in businesses that directly or indirectly compete with certain aspects of our business or that supply us with goods and services. Merrick and its affiliates may also pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. Merrick's significant ownership of our voting stock will enable it to influence or effectively control us.

Shares of our Common Stock Eligible for Public Sale may have a Negative Impact on the Market Price of our Common Stock.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that these sales may occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. In addition, the sale of these shares could impair our ability to raise capital, should we wish to do so, through the sale of additional common or preferred stock. As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately 93.1 million shares of common stock outstanding. In addition, as of December 31, 2012, we had outstanding options to purchase approximately 12.2 million shares of our common stock, of which approximately 5.5 million options were exercisable. Future sales of shares of our common stock by existing holders of our common stock or by holders of outstanding options, upon the exercise thereof, could have a negative impact on the market price of our common stock. As additional shares of common stock become available for sale in the public market, due to the exercise of options or the issuance of shares as a result of acquisitions, the market supply of shares of common stock will increase, which could also decrease the market price.

Index

We are unable to estimate the number of shares that may be sold because this will depend on the market price for our common stock, the personal circumstances of the sellers and other factors. Any sale of substantial amounts of our common stock or other securities in the open market may adversely affect the market price of such securities and may adversely affect our ability to obtain future financing in the capital markets as well as create a potential market overhang.

Because we do not Intend to Pay Cash Dividends, Stockholders will Benefit from an Investment in our Stock Only if it Appreciates in Value.

We currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to fund future growth, and do not expect to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. As a result, the success of an investment in our common stock will depend upon any future appreciation in its value. There is no guarantee that our common stock will appreciate in value or even maintain the price at which stockholders have purchased and will purchase shares.

The Trading Price of our Common Stock has been Volatile and may Fluctuate Substantially in the Future.

The price of our common stock has been, and may continue to be, volatile. The trading price of our common stock may continue to fluctuate widely as a result of a number of factors, some of which are not in our control, including:

- Our ability to meet or exceed the expectations of analysts or investors;
- Changes in our forecasts or earnings estimates by analysts;
- Quarter-to-quarter variations in our operating results;
- Announcements regarding clinical activities or new products by us or our competitors;
- General conditions in the healthcare IT industry;
- Governmental regulatory action and healthcare reform measures, including changes in reimbursement rates for imaging procedures;
- Rumors about our performance or software solutions;
- Announcements regarding acquisitions;
- Uncertainty regarding our ability to service existing debt;
- Price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market, which have particularly affected the market prices of many software, healthcare and technology companies; and
- General economic conditions.

In addition, the market for our common stock may experience price and volume fluctuations unrelated or disproportionate to our operating performance. These fluctuations could have a significant impact on our business due to diminished incentives for management and diminished currency for acquisitions.

Certain Provisions of our Certificate of Incorporation, Bylaws and Delaware law could make a Takeover Difficult and May Prevent or Frustrate Attempts by our Stockholders to Replace or Remove our Management Team.

Various provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could delay or discourage some transactions involving an actual or potential change in control and may limit the ability of our stockholders to remove current management or approve transactions that our stockholders may deem to be in their best interests. For instance, we have an authorized class of 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock all of which shares are undesignated except for 50,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (none of which were issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011). Shares of our authorized but unissued preferred stock may be issued by our board of directors without stockholder approval, on such terms and with such rights, preferences and designation as the board of directors may determine. Issuance of such preferred stock, depending upon the rights, preferences and designations thereof, may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control of us.

Index

In addition, provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws:

- Require that any action required or permitted to be taken by our stockholders be effected at a duly called annual or special meeting of stockholders and may not be effected by any consent in writing;

- Provide an advance written notice procedure with respect to stockholder proposals and the nomination of candidates for election as directors, other than nominations made by or at the direction of our board of directors or a committee of our board of directors;

- State that special meetings of our stockholders may be called only by the chairman of our board of directors, our chief executive officer or by a majority of our board of directors then in office; and

- Allow our directors to fill vacancies on our board of directors, including vacancies resulting from removal or enlargement of the board of directors.

We are also subject to provisions of Delaware corporate law which, subject to certain exceptions, will prohibit us from engaging in any “business combination” with a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns 15% or more of our common stock for a period of three years following the date that the person came to own 15% or more of our common stock, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner.

These provisions of our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and of Delaware law, may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control, may discourage bids for our common stock at a premium over market price and may adversely affect the market price, and the voting and other rights of the holders, of our common stock. In addition, these provisions make it more difficult to replace or remove our current management team in the event our stockholders believe this would be in our best interest and the best interests of our stockholders.

Some of our Activities may Subject us to Risks under Laws and Regulations relating to Healthcare Fraud.

We are subject to extensive and frequently changing local, state and federal laws and regulations relating to healthcare fraud, waste and abuse, and the government, both state and federal, continues to strengthen its position and scrutiny over practices involving fraud, waste and abuse affecting Medicare, Medicaid and other government healthcare programs. Our relationships with hospitals and imaging centers, as well as our provision of products and services to government entities, subject our business to laws and regulations on fraud and abuse, which among other things: (1) prohibit persons from soliciting, offering, receiving or paying any remuneration in order to induce the referral of a patient for treatment or for inducing the ordering or purchasing of items or services that are in any way paid for by Medicare, Medicaid or other government-sponsored healthcare programs; (2) impose a number of restrictions upon referring physicians and providers of designated health services under Medicare and Medicaid programs; and (3) prohibit the knowing submission of a false or fraudulent claim for payment to, and knowing retention of an overpayment by, a federal health care program such as Medicare and Medicaid. Many of the regulations applicable to us, including those relating to marketing incentives, are vague or indefinite and have not been interpreted by the courts. They may be interpreted or applied by a prosecutorial, regulatory, or judicial authority in a manner that could require us to make changes in our operations. If we fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations, we could become liable for damages, suffer civil and criminal penalties, including the loss of licenses or our ability to participate in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal and state healthcare programs.

Our Failure to Comply with Evolving Interoperability Standards could Depress the Demand for our Software and Impose Significant Software Redesign Costs.

There is increasing demand among customers, industry groups and government authorities that healthcare software and systems provided by various vendors be compatible with each other. This need for interoperability is leading to the development of standards by various groups, and certain federal and state agencies are also developing standards that could become mandatory for systems purchased by these agencies. For example, the HITECH Act requires meaningful use of “certified” healthcare information technology products by healthcare providers in order to receive stimulus funds from the federal government. Effective September 27, 2010, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”) issued a rule that utilizes a staged approach for defining meaningful use criteria. Under the staged approach, CMS has issued rules that identify the initial criteria for meaningful use and is updating these initial criteria with additional rules. In addition, these standards are subject to interpretation by the entities designed to certify such technology. A combination of our solutions has been certified as meeting the initial criteria. However, we may incur increased development costs and delays in upgrading our customer software and systems to be in compliance with these varying and evolving standards. In addition, these new standards may lengthen our sales and implementation cycle and we may incur costs in periods prior to the corresponding recognition of revenue. To the extent these standards are narrowly construed or delayed in publication, or that we are delayed in achieving certification under these evolving standards for applicable products, our customers may postpone or cancel their decisions to purchase or implement our software and systems.

Index

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

Our five largest facilities are set forth in the following table:

Location	Square Footage	Annual Lease Payments (thousands of $)
Chicago, Illinois	33,000	$ 612
Daytona Beach, Florida	36,000	332
Hartland, Wisconsin	81,000	694
Mississauga, Ontario	24,000	615
Morrisville, North Carolina	17,000	258

We actively monitor our real estate needs in light of our current utilization and projected growth. We believe that we can acquire any necessary additional facility capacity on reasonably acceptable terms within a relatively short timeframe. We devote capital resources to facility improvements and expansions as we deem necessary to promote growth and most effectively serve our customers.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On June 1, 2009, Merge Healthcare was sued in the Milwaukee County Circuit Court, State of Wisconsin, by William C. Mortimore and David M. Noshay with respect to the separation of Mortimore's and Noshay's employment and our subsequent refusal to indemnify them with respect to litigation related to their services as officers of Merge. The plaintiffs allege that we breached their employment agreements, unreasonably refused their requests for indemnification and breached other covenants of good faith and fair dealing. The plaintiffs seek indemnification and unspecified monetary damages. Discovery in this case is on-going. On April 6, 2011, the Milwaukee County Circuit Court rendered a decision in which it concluded that Merge and Mortimore had entered into an oral employment contract on or about June 15, 2006, but the Court did not make any decision as to damages, which damages would be addressed in a later phase of the litigation. On May 9, 2011, Merge appealed the Circuit Court's decision. On September 18, 2012, the Appellate Court issued its decision reversing the trial court and determined that Mortimore must arbitrate his disputes with Merge. We have retained litigation counsel, intend to continue to defend this action vigorously and have filed a counterclaim for fraud, among other claims, against both Mortimore and Noshay. We believe it is reasonably possible that we may incur a loss with respect to this matter; however, at this stage of the proceedings, it is not possible for management to reasonably estimate the amount of any potential loss.

In January and February 2010, purported stockholder class action complaints were filed in the Superior Court of Suffolk County, Massachusetts in connection with AMICAS Inc.'s (AMICAS) proposed acquisition by a third party. In March 2010, because AMICAS had terminated the merger agreement with that third party and agreed to be acquired by Merge, the Court dismissed the plaintiffs' claims as moot. Subsequently, plaintiffs' counsel filed an application for approximately $5 million of attorneys' fees. AMICAS opposed the fee petition, tendered the defense to its insurers that provided coverage against such claims and retained litigation counsel to defend the matter. On December 4, 2010, the Massachusetts court awarded plaintiffs approximately $3.2 million in attorneys' fees and costs. AMICAS appealed this judgment to the Massachusetts Court of Appeals. After receipt of the Massachusetts court's attorneys' fee award decision, AMICAS's insurer denied policy coverage for approximately $2.5 million of the fee award and filed a declaratory judgment action to that effect against AMICAS and Merge in Federal court for the

Northern District of Illinois. We contested the insurer's denial of coverage, asserted our rights under the applicable insurance policies and filed a counterclaim against the insurer seeking full payment of the Massachusetts court's fee award, plus additional damages. On April 30, 2012, the Illinois Federal court ruled in favor of our motion for summary judgment, which decision was appealed by the insurer to the United States Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals. That appeal, which has been briefed and argued by the parties, is pending. In late February, 2013, the insurer settled the Massachusetts court case by agreeing to pay $2.99 million to plaintiffs' counsel and further agreeing not to pursue AMICAS or Merge for any portion of the amount paid. We believe that the Massachusetts settlement has rendered moot the Seventh Circuit appeal, except for the insurer's claim to reimbursement for a portion of the fees it advanced in the Massachusetts appeal, which we believe is less than $0.2 million and with respect to Merge's claims for additional damages from the insurer. As a result of the Massachusetts settlement, we anticipate recognizing a contingent gain, if not all, of our recorded liability of $2.5 million to other, net in our statement of operations with respect to these matters in the first quarter of 2013 based on the February 27, 2013 appellate court dismissal date.

Index

On February 1, 2010, Merge filed a complaint against its former CEO, Richard Linden, and its former CFO, Scott Veech, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, seeking a declaration that we do not have to indemnify either Mr. Linden or Mr. Veech for liabilities they incurred in connection with an SEC investigation and enforcement actions and various securities fraud and shareholder derivative litigation. Merge also sought to recover from both defendants all costs incurred by Merge associated with defending Mr. Linden and Mr. Veech in those prior actions. On October 15, 2010, the Court concluded that it did not have subject matter jurisdiction over Merge's claims and dismissed the claims in their entirety. The Court rendered no opinion on the merits of Merge's claims. On February 8, 2011, Merge filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin captioned Merge Healthcare Incorporated v. Richard Linden, Case no. 11-CV-001541. On May 4, 2011, Merge and Mr. Linden entered into a confidential settlement agreement resolving all claims against Mr. Linden and through which Linden agreed to issue a statement of regret and apology to Merge's Board of Directors and reimburse Merge for a portion of the legal fees to defend Mr. Linden in prior legal actions. Merge believes that it has numerous meritorious claims against Mr. Veech, which have not been affected by the settlement with Mr. Linden. We believe that the likelihood of a loss with respect to this matter is remote. Based on the terms of the status of this proceeding, Merge has determined that this litigation is not currently a material legal proceeding. Accordingly, we do not intend to make disclosures about this proceeding in its future periodic filings.

In August, 2010, Merge Healthcare was sued in the Northern District of Texas by the Court-appointed receiver for Stanford International Bank, Ltd. The receiver alleges that Merge was a recipient of a fraudulent conveyance as a result of a Ponzi scheme orchestrated by Robert Stanford and Stanford International Bank, Ltd. (SIBL). Merge is not alleged to have participated in the Ponzi scheme. The receiver's claims arise from the failed acquisition of Emageon, Inc. (Emageon) by Health Systems Solutions, Inc. (HSS), an affiliate of SIBL, in February 2009, which resulted in the payment of a $9.0 million break-up fee by HSS, which payment is alleged to have been financed by SIBL. Merge subsequently acquired Emageon as part of our AMICAS acquisition. The complaint seeks to recover the $9.0 million payment to Emageon, plus interest, costs, and attorneys' fees. We have retained litigation counsel and intend to vigorously defend this action. We have filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. That motion has been fully briefed, and we are awaiting a decision from the Court. We believe it is reasonably possible that we may incur a loss with respect to this matter. The potential loss may lie in a range from zero to the full amount claimed, plus interest.

In September, 2012, Merge Healthcare was sued in the Middle District of North Carolina by Heart Imaging Technologies, LLC (HIT). HIT alleges that certain features of products within our Image Interoperability Platform that collectively are expected to represent less than 5% of our net sales during 2013 infringe three of HIT's patents related to internet-based image viewing. The complaint seeks equitable relief and damages for patent infringement. We have retained litigation counsel and intend to vigorously defend this action. HIT has filed a Motion for a Temporary Injunction that, if granted would prohibit Merge from selling the applicable products. The parties are in the process of briefing on the Motion, which is not expected to be decided for several months. We believe it is reasonably possible that we may incur a loss with respect to this matter; however, at this stage of the proceedings, it is not possible for management to reasonably estimate the amount of any potential loss.

In addition to the matters discussed above, we are involved in various legal matters that are in the process of litigation or settled in the ordinary course of business. Although the final results of all such matters and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that the ultimate resolution of all such matters and claims will not have a material adverse effect on Merge's financial condition. Professional legal fees are expensed when incurred. We accrue for contingent losses when such losses are probable and reasonably estimable. In the event that estimates or assumptions prove to differ from actual results, adjustments are made in subsequent periods to reflect more current information. Should we fail to prevail in any legal matter or should several legal matters be resolved against us in the same reporting period, such matters could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and cash flows for that particular period.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Index

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock trades on The NASDAQ Global Select Market (NASDAQ). The following table sets forth for the periods indicated, the high and low sale prices of our common stock as reported by the NASDAQ:

Common Stock Market Prices

2012	4th Quarter	3rd Quarter	2nd Quarter	1st Quarter
High	$ 3.92	$ 4.05	$ 5.96	$ 6.90
Low	$ 2.41	$ 2.76	$ 2.20	$ 4.42

2011	4th Quarter	3rd Quarter	2nd Quarter	1st Quarter
High	$ 7.16	$ 7.23	$ 6.19	$ 5.36
Low	$ 4.32	$ 4.86	$ 4.55	$ 3.39

According to the records of American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, our registrar and transfer agent, we had 453 shareholders of record of common stock as of March 5, 2013.

For information regarding securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans, see Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Stock Price Performance Graph

The graph below compares the cumulative total return on our common stock with the Russell 2000 Index and the NASDAQ Computer Index (U.S. companies) for the period from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2012. The comparison assumes that $100 was invested on December 31, 2007 in our common stock and in each of the comparison indices, and assumes reinvestment of dividends, where applicable. We have selected the Russell 2000 index for comparison purposes as we do not believe we can reasonably identify an appropriate peer group index. The comparisons shown in the graph below are based upon historical data. The stock price performance shown in the graph below is not indicative of, nor intended to forecast, the potential future performance of our common stock.

Index

COMPARISON OF THE 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURNS
FOR THE FIVE YEAR PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

Date	Merge Healthcare Incorporated (Nasdaq: MRGE)	Nasdaq Computer Index (^IXCO)	Russell 2000 Index (^RUT)
12/31/2007	$100	$100	$100
12/31/2008	$108	$53	$65
12/31/2009	$282	$91	$82
12/31/2010	$313	$107	$102
12/31/2011	$408	$107	$97
12/31/2012	$208	$121	$111

Dividend Policy

We are prohibited from making certain dividend payments based on the terms of our Notes and have not declared any cash dividends on our common stock in the past two fiscal years. We currently do not intend to declare or pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected historical financial data is qualified in its entirety by reference to, and should be read in conjunction with, our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto appearing elsewhere herein and Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010 (1)	2009 (2)	2008
	(in thousands, except for share and per share data)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Net sales	$248,904	$232,428	$140,332	$66,841	$56,735
Operating income (loss)	6,620	29,155	(8,524)	8,963	(21,697)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(24,729)	(1,866)	(25,162)	150	(23,743)
Income tax expense (benefit)	4,091	3,665	(13,646)	(135)	(60)
Net income (loss)	(28,820)	(5,531)	(11,516)	285	(23,683)
Net income (loss) attributable to Merge	(28,802)	(5,521)	(11,516)	285	(23,683)
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	(28,802)	(8,674)	(30,592)	285	(23,683)
Earnings (loss) per share:					
Basic	$(0.31)	$(0.10)	$(0.38)	$(0.00)	$(0.51)
Diluted	(0.31)	(0.10)	(0.38)	$(0.00)	$(0.51)
Weighted average shares outstanding:					
Basic	92,128,717	86,647,097	80,231,427	60,910,268	46,717,546
Diluted	92,128,717	86,647,097	80,231,427	62,737,821	46,717,546

	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010 (1)	2009 (2)	2008
	(in thousands)				

Balance Sheet Data:					
Working capital	$43,201	$46,020	$28,357	$18,231	$8,254
Total assets	436,853	450,387	396,645	100,249	54,737
Long-term debt obligations	250,046	249,438	195,077	-	14,230
Shareholders' equity	77,461	92,471	104,806	68,137	8,841

(1)Includes the results of AMICAS from April 28, 2010, the date of the business combination.

(2) Includes the results of etrials and Confirma from July 20, 2009 and September 1, 2009, the respective dates of the business combinations

Item 7.MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The discussion below contains "forward-looking statements. We have used words such as "believes," "intends," "anticipates," "expects" and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are based on information currently available to us and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, performance, business prospects and opportunities and the timing of certain events to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these statements. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, without limitation, those matters discussed in Item 1A of Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Except as expressly required by the federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update such factors or to publicly announce the results of any of the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect future events, developments, or changed circumstances, or for any other reason. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and Item 1A, "Risk Factors".

Index

Management's Discussion and Analysis is presented in the following order:

- Overview
- Business Segments
- Revenue and Expenses
- Results of Operations
- Liquidity and Capital Resources
- Material Off Balance Sheet Arrangements
- Critical Accounting Policies

Overview

Merge Healthcare develops software solutions that facilitate the sharing of images to create a more effective and efficient electronic healthcare experience for patients and physicians. Our solutions are designed to help solve some of the most difficult challenges in health information exchange today, such as the incorporation of medical images and diagnostic information into broader healthcare IT applications, the interoperability of proprietary software solutions, and the ability to improve the efficiency and cost effectiveness of our customers' businesses. Our ability to innovate has driven consistent expansion of solutions and services and entry into new markets.

Our solutions optimize processes for healthcare providers ranging in size from single provider practices to large health systems, to the sponsors of clinical trials and medical device manufacturers. These solutions are licensed by more than 1,500 hospitals, 6,000 clinics and labs, 250 medical device manufacturers and by top pharmaceutical companies world-wide. We believe that we have an opportunity to grow revenue by expanding our solution footprint with existing customers, as only a small percent currently have more than one of our enterprise solutions.

Business Segments

Effective in the second quarter of 2012, we have reportable and operating groups which we have designated Merge Healthcare and Merge DNA. We evaluate the performance of each operating group based on their respective revenues and operating income, which excludes certain corporate costs, interest expense, amortization of issuance and note discount costs and income taxes.

Merge primarily generates revenue from the sale of software (including upgrades), hardware, professional services, maintenance and electronic data interchange (EDI) services. Today, the majority of total revenue is Merge primarily generates revenue from the sale of software (including upgrades), hardware, professional generated through perpetual license agreements with our customers. Merge DNA derives the vast majority of its revenue from software, professional services, and hosting through subscription arrangements. Under perpetual license agreements, the software, hardware and professional services are considered to be sources of non-recurring revenue and related backlog. The backlog of non-recurring revenue was approximately $31.2 million and $45.1 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We also generate revenue through subscription-based pricing arrangements in which the contract elements are payable by our customers over a number of years. Generally, these contracts will include a minimum image volume and/or dollar commitment. As such, revenue from these transactions is recognized ratably over an extended period of time. Subscription arrangements include, but are not limited to, contracts structured with

monthly payments (including leases) and long-term clinical trials. Due to the length of sales cycles with our customers, Merge would expect these types of arrangements to become more prevalent in 2013 and beyond. In the second half of 2012, subscription revenue was approximately 15% of total net sales and subscription revenue backlog as of December 31, 2012 was $45.6 million.

Index

The following tables provide operating group information for the periods indicated, based on GAAP reported information.

Merge Healthcare Segment	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net sales:			
Software and other	$ 78,941	$ 76,947	$ 42,379
Professional Services	27,552	23,437	13,183
Maintenance and EDI	110,894	109,562	74,737
Total net sales	217,387	209,946	130,299
Expenses	192,408	166,898	118,179
Segment income	$ 24,979	$ 43,048	$ 12,120

Merge DNA Segment	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net sales:			
Software and other	$ 15,525	$ 4,001	$ 41
Professional Services	13,426	18,468	9,992
Maintenance and EDI	2,566	13	-
Total net sales	31,517	22,482	10,033
Expenses	33,315	20,406	10,808
Segment (loss) income	$ (1,798)	$ 2,076	$ (775)

The following tables provide GAAP sales generated by non-recurring, subscription and maintenance and EDI revenue sources by segment for 2012 and non-recurring and subscription backlog as of December 31, 2012. All amounts are in thousands, except percentages.

	Net Sales Year ended December 31, 2012					Backlog as of December 31, 2012				
	Healthcare		DNA			Healthcare		DNA		
Revenue Source	$	%	$	%	Total	$	%	$	%	Total
Maintenance & EDI (1)	$110,894	51.0 %	$2,566	8.1 %	45.6 %					
Subscription	11,581	5.3 %	26,247	83.3 %	15.2 %	$10,717	25.5 %	$34,917	100.0%	59.4 %
Non-recurring	94,912	43.7 %	2,704	8.6 %	39.2 %	31,243	74.5 %	-	0.0 %	40.6 %
Total	$217,387	100.0%	31,517	100.0%	100.0%	41,960	100.0%	$34,917	100.0%	100.0%
	87.3 %		12.7 %			54.6 %		45.4 %		

(1) Due to the variability in timing and length of maintenance renewals, we do not believe backlog for this revenue component is a meaningful disclosure.

Revenues and Expenses

The following is a brief discussion of our revenues and expenses:

Net Sales

Net sales consist of:

- Software and other sales, net of estimated returns and allowances, including software and purchased component revenue recognized in sales to OEM customers, healthcare facilities and other providers;

- Professional services, including hosting, installation, custom engineering services, training, consulting and project management; and

- Maintenance and EDI, including software maintenance and support.

Index

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales consists of:

- Software and other cost of sales, including purchased components and third-party royalties included in software and hardware sales to our customers;

- Professional services cost of sales, including headcount and related costs incurred in our performance of SaaS offerings, installation, custom engineering services, training, consulting and project management;

- Maintenance and EDI cost of sales, including headcount and related costs and direct third-party costs incurred to fulfill our maintenance and support obligations and to deliver EDI services; and

- Depreciation and amortization, including any impairment, for amounts assessed on capital equipment used to fulfill contract obligations as well as our purchased and developed software and backlog assets. Depreciation and amortization are recorded over the respective assets' useful lives. Each quarter we test our purchased and developed software for impairment by comparing its net realizable value (estimated using undiscounted future cash flows) to the carrying value of the software. If the carrying value of the software exceeds its net realizable value, we record an impairment charge in the period in which the impairment is incurred equal to the amount of the difference between the carrying value and estimated undiscounted future cash flows.

Sales and Marketing Expense

Sales and marketing expense includes the costs of our sales and marketing departments, commissions and costs associated with trade shows.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense consists of expenses incurred for the development of our proprietary software and technologies. The amortization of capitalized software development costs and any related impairments are included in cost of sales.

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense includes costs for information systems, accounting, administrative support, management personnel, bad debt expense, legal fees and general corporate costs.

Acquisition-Related Expenses

Acquisition-related expenses are costs incurred to effect business combinations, including banking, legal, accounting, valuation and other professional or consulting fees.

Restructuring and Other Expenses

Restructuring and other expenses consist of severance to involuntarily terminated employees and relocation expenses resulting from our restructuring initiatives, loss on disposal of subsidiaries and impairment of non-cancelable building leases associated with restructuring activities.

Depreciation, Amortization and Impairment

Depreciation and amortization, including any impairment, are assessed on capital equipment, leasehold improvements and our customer relationships, trade names and non-compete agreement intangible assets. Depreciation and amortization are recorded over the respective assets' useful lives. We also record impairment of these long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable based primarily upon whether expected future undiscounted cash flows are sufficient to support recovery of the assets.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) is comprised of interest income earned on cash and cash equivalent balances, interest expense, amortization of costs and discounts incurred from borrowings and issuance costs on borrowings which did not qualify for capitalization. It also includes foreign exchange gains or losses on foreign currency payables and receivables. In addition, we also record any other-than-temporary impairment charges recognized on our equity investments in non-public companies in other income (expense).

Index

Results of Operations

The following have significantly impacted the results of operations for the periods discussed herein:

- During the fourth quarter of 2012, we recorded charges of $3.9 million related to third party licenses and technology considered unusable, $1.3 million for the write-off of acquired intangibles and $9.2 million related primarily to uncollectible billings from customer contracts obtained through acquisitions in the past few years.

- In 2010, we expanded our product offerings through the acquisition of AMICAS, Inc. (AMICAS) which we acquired on April 28, 2010. As a result of the timing of the completion of the acquisition, the comparability of the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 differ significantly from the year ended December 31, 2010.

- We completed restructuring initiatives in September 2012 to reduce our workforce, in August 2011 concurrent with the acquisition of OIS, and in April 2010 concurrent with the acquisition of AMICAS These initiatives assisted in providing operational rigor to a combined, larger organization and enabled us to decrease costs as a percentage of revenue (most notably general and administrative costs).

- We issued $200.0 million of Notes in April 2010 as part of the financing for the acquisition of AMICAS. The Notes were issued at 97.266% of the principal amount, are due in 2015 and bear interest at 11.75% of principal (payable on May 1st and November 1st of each year). In connection with the Notes, we incurred issuance costs of $9.0 million. The years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 include twelve months and eight months, respectively, of interest expense and amortization of the original issuance discount and costs of the Notes.

- We issued additional Notes in June 2011 to redeem and retire our Series A Preferred Stock (which had been issued as part of the financing for the acquisition of AMICAS). We issued these additional $52.0 million of Notes at 103.0% of the principal amount with terms identical to the Notes issued in April 2010. We used these proceeds to retire all 41,750 outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock at the face value of $41.8 million and paid cumulative dividends of $7.3 million (which were accruing at a 15% annual compounded rate). The year ended December 31, 2011 includes seven months of interest expense and amortization of the premium and certain issuance costs, whereas the year ended December 31, 2010 includes no such expenses. Also, in the year ended December 31, 2011 we incurred $3.2 million in costs related to the issuance of the additional Notes, including $1.7 million which was expensed in “other expense, net” of our statement of operations and $1.5 million which was capitalized and is being amortized into interest expense over the remaining term of the Notes.

Index

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2011

The following table sets forth selected, summarized, consolidated financial data for the periods indicated, as well as comparative data showing increases and decreases between the periods. All amounts, except percentages, are in thousands.

	Years Ended December 31,						Change		
	2012	%	(1)	2011	%	(1)	$	%	
Net sales:									
Software and other	$ 94,466	38.0	%	$ 80,948	34.8	%	$ 13,518	16.7	%
Professional services	40,978	16.4	%	41,905	18.0	%	(927)	-2.2	%
Maintenance and EDI	113,460	45.6	%	109,575	47.2	%	3,885	3.5	%
Total net sales	248,904	100.0	%	232,428	100.0	%	16,476	7.1	%
Cost of sales:									
Software and other	43,281	45.8	%	29,090	35.9	%	14,191	48.8	%
Professional services	24,693	60.3	%	21,134	50.4	%	3,559	16.8	%
Maintenance and EDI	31,090	27.4	%	29,090	26.5	%	2,000	6.9	%
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	8,987	3.6	%	9,340	4.0	%	(353)	-3.8	%
Total cost of sales	108,051	43.4	%	88,654	38.1	%	19,397	21.9	%
Total gross margin	140,853	56.6	%	143,774	61.9	%	(2,921)	-2.0	%
Gross margin by net sales category (2)									
Software and other	51,185	54.2	%	51,858	64.1	%	(673)	-1.3	%
Professional services	16,285	39.7	%	20,771	49.6	%	(4,486)	-21.6	%
Maintenance and EDI	82,370	72.6	%	80,485	73.5	%	1,885	2.3	%
Operating expenses:									
Sales and marketing	43,908	17.6	%	38,800	16.7	%	5,108	13.2	%
Product research and development	32,419	13.0	%	27,542	11.8	%	4,877	17.7	%
General and administrative	42,366	17.0	%	32,579	14.0	%	9,787	30.0	%
Acquisition-related expenses	3,402	1.4	%	1,614	0.7	%	1,788	110.8	%
Restructuring and other expenses	830	0.3	%	1,216	0.5	%	(386)	-31.7	%
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	11,308	4.5	%	12,868	5.5	%	(1,560)	-12.1	%
Total operating costs and expenses	134,233	53.9	%	114,619	49.3	%	19,614	17.1	%
Operating income (loss)	6,620	2.7	%	29,155	12.5	%	(22,535)	-77.3	%
Other expense, net	(31,349)	-12.6	%	(31,021)	-13.3	%	(328)	1.1	%
Loss before income taxes	(24,729)	-9.9	%	(1,866)	-0.8	%	(22,863)	NM	(3)

Income tax expense		4,091	1.6	%		3,665	1.6	%		426	11.6	%
Net loss	$	(28,820)	-11.6	%	$	(5,531)	-2.4	%	$	(23,289)	NM	(3)

(1)Percentages are of total net sales, except for cost of sales and gross margin, which are based upon related net sales.

(2) Depreciation, amortization and impairment expenses are excluded from these gross margin calculations.

(3) NM denotes percentage is not meaningful.

Net Sales

Software and Other Sales. Total software and other sales in 2012 were $94.5 million, an increase of $13.5 million, or 16.7%, from $80.9 million in 2011. Software and other sales increased $11.5 million in the DNA segment, primarily due to $9.1 million in sales and rentals of health stations as well as an increase in clinical trials software sales of $2.4 million. Software and other sales also increased $2.0 million in the Healthcare segment, primarily due to an increase in our interoperability solution, which was introduced in January 2011. Software and hardware orders sold through perpetual software agreements in the Healthcare segment are typically fulfilled, and revenue recognized, in either the quarter signed or the following two quarters. Revenue recognized from software and other sales may vary significantly on a quarterly basis.

Professional Services Sales. Total professional services sales in 2012 were $41.0 million, a decrease of $0.9 million, or 2.2%, from $41.9 million in 2011. Professional services sales in our Healthcare segment increased $4.1 million, primarily due to a greater number of projects in 2012 compared to 2011. This increase was offset by a decrease of $5.0 million in our DNA segment, primarily due to an enhancement to the clinical trial platform that resulted in less professional services being required. Revenue recognized from professional services sales generally lag software and other sales by one or two quarters due to the timing of when such services are performed compared to when the products are delivered.

Maintenance and EDI Sales. Total maintenance and EDI sales in 2012 were $113.5 million, an increase of $3.9 million, or 3.5%, from $109.6 million in 2011 primarily due to maintenance revenue related to new contracts which exceeded the rate of attrition from our existing maintenance customer base.

Index

Gross Margin

Gross Margin – Software and Other Sales. Gross margin on software and other sales was $51.2 million in 2012, a decrease of $0.7 million, or 1.3%, from $51.9 million in 2011. Gross margin as a percentage of software and other sales decreased to 54.2% in 2012 from 64.1% in 2011, due to $2.0 million related to third party licenses and $1.9 million in technology considered unusable and an increase in hardware sales, which are at lower margins than those involving software only. Hardware sales were 40.0% of software and other sales in 2012 compared to 32.0% in 2011. We expect gross margins on software and other sales to fluctuate depending on the software and hardware mix.

Gross Margin – Professional Services Sales. Gross margin on professional service sales was $16.3 million in 2012, a decrease of $4.5 million, or 21.6%, from $20.8 million in 2011. Gross margin as a percentage of professional service sales decreased to 39.7% in 2012 from 49.6% in 2011, primarily due to the billable utilization of our professional services resources. As the majority of professional services costs are fixed, we expect gross margins to fluctuate depending on billable utilization of these resources.

Gross Margin – Maintenance and EDI Sales. Gross margin on maintenance and EDI sales was $82.4 million in 2012, an increase of $1.9 million, or 2.3%, from $80.5 million in 2011. Gross margin as a percentage of maintenance and EDI sales decreased to 72.6% in 2012 compared to 73.5% in 2011, primarily due to an increase in third party maintenance costs.

Depreciation, Amortization and Impairment

Depreciation, amortization and impairment decreased $0.3 million, or 3.8%, to $8.9 million in 2012 from $9.3 million in 2011, primarily due to a decrease in amortization related to certain intangible assets which became fully amortized in 2011 offset by $0.8 million for the write-off of acquired intangibles in 2012.

Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expense increased $5.1 million, or 13.2%, to $43.9 million in 2012 from $38.8 million in 2011. As a percentage of net sales, sales and marketing increased by 0.9% to 17.6% due to increased branding efforts, customer facing events and personnel investments in these functions.

Product Research and Development

Product research and development expense increased $4.9 million, or 17.7%, to $32.4 million in 2012 from $27.5 million in 2011, primarily due to an increase of $2.7 million in headcount and related expenses and $2.2 million for professional fees. As a percentage of net sales, product research and development increased to 13.0% in 2012 compared to 11.8% in 2011.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense increased $9.8 million, or 30.0%, to $42.4 million in 2012 from $32.6 million in 2011, due to an increase of $9.1 million related to bad debt expense primarily due to our reserve for revenues in excess of billings and uncollectible billings from customer contracts obtained through acquisitions in the past few years, an increase of $2.4 million related to increased headcount offset by a decrease in charitable contributions of $1.9 million.

Acquisition-Related Expenses

Acquisition-related expenses are costs incurred to effect business combinations, including banking, legal, accounting, valuation and other professional or consulting fees. Acquisition-related expenses increased $1.8 million in 2012 to $3.4 million, from $1.6 million in 2011 primarily due to $1.6 million in contingent consideration paid for an insignificant acquisition.

Restructuring and other expenses

Restructuring and other expenses consist primarily of severance to involuntarily terminated employees and relocation of certain employees resulting from our restructuring initiatives and abandonment of non-cancelable building leases associated with restructuring activities. In 2012, we incurred $0.8 million of such expenses primarily related to the initiative that commenced in September of 2012. In 2011, we incurred $1.2 million of such expenses, primarily related to the reorganization of our business concurrent with the acquisition of OIS.

Depreciation, Amortization and Impairment

Depreciation, amortization and impairment expense decreased $1.6 million, or 12.1%, to $11.3 million in 2012 from $12.9 million in 2011. Upon completion of a product rationalization and a product being rebranded in the fourth quarter of 2012, we recorded a $0.5 million charge to trade names. Upon completion of a product rebranding initiative in the second quarter of 2011, we recorded a $2.8 million charge due to the impairment of our trade names associated with certain products. This decrease was offset by a $0.7 million increase due to prior year acquisitions.

Index

Other expense, net

Net other expense increased $0.3 million to $31.3 million in 2012 compared to $31.0 million in 2011. Interest expense increased $3.5 million primarily due to the $52.0 million of debt issued in June 2011 being outstanding for all of 2012 compared to a portion of 2011. The increase in interest expense is offset by increased interest income of $0.3 million in 2012 over 2011, $1.6 million of debt refinancing costs in 2011 with no corresponding charge in 2012, a $0.5 million gain on equity security investment in 2012 and a favorable legal settlement of $0.3 million in 2012.

Income Tax Expense

In 2012, we recorded income tax expense of $4.1 million on a pre-tax book loss of $24.7 million, resulting in a negative annual effective tax rate of 16.5% compared to a $3.7 million tax expense in 2011. The tax expense in 2012 resulted from profitable Canadian operations, state income taxes, and the deferred effect of tax deductible goodwill amortization. Only the state income taxes resulted in cash tax payments. Our expected effective income tax rate is volatile and may move up or down with changes in, among other items, operating income and the results of changes in tax law and regulations of the U. S. and the foreign jurisdictions in which we operate.

Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2010

The following table sets forth selected, summarized, consolidated financial data for the periods indicated, as well as comparative data showing increases and decreases between the periods. All amounts, except percentages, are in thousands.

	Years Ended December 31,						Change	
	2011	%	(1)	2010	%	(1)	$	%
Net sales:								
Software and other	$ 80,948	34.8	%	$ 42,420	30.2	%	$ 38,528	90.8 %
Professional services	41,905	18.0	%	23,175	16.5	%	18,730	80.8 %
Maintenance and EDI	109,575	47.2	%	74,737	53.3	%	34,838	46.6 %
Total net sales	232,428	100.0	%	140,332	100.0	%	92,096	65.6 %
Cost of sales:								
Software and other	29,090	35.9	%	13,762	32.4	%	15,328	111.4 %
Professional services	21,134	50.4	%	15,411	66.5	%	5,723	37.1 %
Maintenance and EDI	29,090	26.5	%	24,418	32.7	%	4,672	19.1 %
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	9,340	4.0	%	10,972	7.8	%	(1,632)	-14.9 %
Total cost of sales	88,654	38.1	%	64,563	46.0	%	24,091	37.3 %
Total gross margin	143,774	61.9	%	75,769	54.0	%	68,005	89.8 %
Gross margin by net sales category (2)								
Software and other	51,858	64.1	%	28,658	67.6	%	23,200	81.0 %
Professional services	20,771	49.6	%	7,764	33.5	%	13,007	167.5 %
Maintenance and EDI	80,485	73.5	%	50,319	67.3	%	30,166	59.9 %
Operating expenses:								
Sales and marketing	38,800	16.7	%	20,697	14.7	%	18,103	87.5 %

Product research and development	27,542	11.8	%	20,064	14.3	%	7,478	37.3	%			
General and administrative	32,579	14.0	%	22,012	15.7	%	10,567	48.0	%			
Acquisition-related expenses	1,614	0.7	%	9,674	6.9	%	(8,060)	-83.3	%			
Restructuring and other expenses	1,216	0.5	%	5,006	3.6	%	(3,790)	-75.7	%			
Depreciation and amortization	12,868	5.5	%	6,840	4.9	%	6,028	88.1	%			
Total operating costs and expenses	114,619	49.3	%	84,293	60.1	%	30,326	36.0	%			
Operating income (loss)	29,155	12.5	%	(8,524)	-6.1	%	37,679	NM	(3)			
Other expense, net	(31,021)	-13.3	%	(16,638)	-11.9	%	(14,383)	86.4	%			
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1,866)	-0.8	%	(25,162)	-17.9	%	23,296	-92.6	%			
Income tax benefit	3,665	1.6	%	(13,646)	-9.7	%	17,311	-126.9	%			
Net income (loss)	$ (5,531)	-2.4	%	$ (11,516)	-8.2	%	$ 5,985	-52.0	%			

(1) Percentages are of total net sales, except for cost of sales and gross margin, which are based upon related net sales.

(2) Depreciation, amortization and impairment expenses are excluded from these gross margin calculations.

(3) NM denotes percentage is not meaningful.

Index

Net Sales

Software and Other Sales. Total software and other sales in 2011 were $80.9 million, an increase of $38.5 million, or 90.8%, from $42.4 million in 2010, primarily due to $20.9 million of sales arising from the Acquisition of AMICAS, $10.1 million due to an increase in our customer base and cross-selling initiatives and $7.5 million of sales from new product offerings in 2011, such as iConnect and meaningful use (MU).

Professional Services Sales. Total professional services sales in 2011 were $41.9 million, an increase of $18.7 million, or 80.8%, from $23.2 million in 2010, primarily due to $14.3 million of sales arising from the acquisition of AMICAS and $4.4 million due to new product offerings, an increase in our customer base and cross-selling initiatives.

Maintenance and EDI Sales. Total maintenance and EDI sales in 2011 were $109.5 million, an increase of $34.8 million, or 46.6%, from $74.7 million in 2010, primarily due to $28.2 million of sales arising from Acquisition of AMICAS and $6.6 million due to new product offerings, an increase in our customer base and cross-selling initiatives, while maintaining a high rate of annual maintenance renewals.

Gross Margin

Gross Margin – Software and Other Sales. Gross margin on software and other sales was $51.9 million in 2011, an increase of $23.2 million, or 81.0%, from $28.7 million in 2010. Gross margin as a percentage of software and other sales decreased to 64.1% in 2011 from 67.6% in 2010, due to an increase in hardware sales, which are at lower margins than those involving software only. Hardware sales were 32% of software and other sales in 2011 compared to 23% in 2010. We expect gross margins on software and other sales to fluctuate depending on the software and hardware mix.

Gross Margin – Professional Services Sales. Gross margin on professional service sales was $20.8 million in 2011, an increase of $13.0 million, or 167.5%, from $7.8 million in 2010. Gross margin as a percentage of professional service sales increased to 49.6% in 2011 from 33.5% in 2010, primarily due to an increase in the billable utilization of our professional services resources as well as the success of our 2010 restructuring initiative.

Gross Margin – Maintenance and EDI Sales. Gross margin on maintenance and EDI sales was $80.5 million in 2011, an increase of $30.2 million, or 59.9%, from $50.3 million in 2010. Gross margin as a percentage of maintenance and EDI sales increased to 73.5% in 2011 from 67.3% in 2010, primarily due to a reduction in third party maintenance costs.

Depreciation, Amortization and Impairment. Depreciation, amortization and impairment expense decreased $1.6 million, or 14.9%, to $9.4 million in 2011 from $11.0 million in 2010, primarily due to a $2.3 million impairment charge in 2010 related to a write off of our purchased software assets involving overlapping products, offset by an increase in amortization from purchased software assets acquired in 2010 (which had a full year of amortization in 2011) as well as those acquired in 2011.

Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expense increased $18.1 million, or 87.5%, to $38.8 million in 2011 from $20.7 million in 2010, primarily due to the acquisition of AMICAS. As a percentage of net sales, sales and marketing increased by 2.0% to 16.7% due to increased branding efforts, customer facing events and personnel investments in these functions.

Product Research and Development

Product research and development expense increased $7.4 million, or 37.3%, to $27.5 million in 2011 from $20.1 million in 2010 primarily due to the acquisition of AMICAS. As a percentage of net sales, product research and development decreased by 2.5% to 11.8% as we were able to leverage our innovation efforts while continuing to innovate and produce new solutions.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense increased $10.6 million, or 48.0%, to $32.6 million in 2011 from $22.0 million in 2010, primarily due to the acquisition of AMICAS. As a percentage of net sales, general and administrative expenses decreased by 1.7% to 14.0% as a result of our 2010 cost saving initiatives, offset by ongoing legal expenses incurred in the normal course of business.

Acquisition-Related Expenses

Acquisition-related expenses are costs incurred to effect business combinations, including banking, legal, accounting, valuation and other professional or consulting fees. In 2011, we incurred $1.6 million of such expenses, primarily related to our acquisition of OIS. In 2010, we incurred $9.7 million of such expenses primarily related to our acquisition of AMICAS.

Index

Restructuring and Other Expenses

Restructuring and other expenses consist primarily of severance to involuntarily terminated employees and relocation of certain employees resulting from our restructuring initiatives and abandonment of non-cancelable building leases associated with restructuring activities. In 2011, we incurred $1.2 million of such expenses primarily related to the initiative completed concurrent with the acquisition of OIS. In 2010, we incurred $5.0 million of such expenses, primarily related to the reorganization of our business concurrent with the acquisition of AMICAS.

Depreciation, Amortization and Impairment

Depreciation and amortization expense increased $6.0 million, or 88.1%, to $12.8 million in 2011 from $6.8 million in 2010, primarily due to the acquisition of AMICAS as well as a $2.8 million charge for the impairment of trade names associated with certain products upon completion of a product rebranding initiative in the second quarter of 2011.

Other Expense, Net

Net other expense increased $14.4 million to $31.0 million in 2011 compared to $16.6 million of net expense in 2010. The expense in 2011 includes $29.1 million of interest expense and amortization of issuance costs and note discount associated with our Notes and $1.7 million in expense related to the additional $52 million in Notes issued in June 2011. In 2010, we incurred $17.3 million of interest expense and amortization of issuance costs and note discount associated with the Notes.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

In 2011, we recorded income tax expense of $3.7 million compared to a $13.6 million income tax benefit recorded in 2010. The tax expense in 2011 resulted from profitable Canadian operations, state income taxes, and the deferred effect of tax deductible goodwill amortization. Only the state income taxes resulted in cash tax payments. The tax benefit in 2010 resulted from the release of $14.1 million of valuation allowance that was previously established for the Canadian operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash and cash equivalents were $35.9 million at December 31, 2012, a decrease of approximately $3.3 million, or 8.4%, from our balance of $39.2 million at December 31, 2011. In addition, our working capital was $43.2 million at December 31, 2012, a decrease of $2.8 million, or 6.1%, from our working capital of $46.0 million at December 31, 2011.

The net change in cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash) is attributed to the following factors:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
		(in millions)	
Cash received from (paid for):			
Issuance of debt and equity	$ -	$ 53.6	$ 236.3
Principal payments on notes	-	(4.6)	-
Interest paid, net	(29.7)	(25.7)	(11.9)
Debt and equity issuance costs	-	(3.2)	(9.9)
Redemption of preferred stock	-	(41.8)	-
Payment of preferred stock dividends	-	(7.3)	-

Proceeds from stock option exercises	0.7	-	-
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(0.9)	(2.9)	(216.2)
Restructuring initiatives	(1.5)	(1.9)	(3.5)
Acquisition related expenses	(1.0)	(1.8)	(9.6)
Property and equipment purchases	(2.2)	(1.9)	(1.5)
Sale of property	-	-	6.1
Settlements with former officers	-	(0.9)	-
Other non-operating cash flows	-	0.4	0.6
Business operations	31.3	36.2	31.0
Increase (decrease) in cash, including restricted cash	$ (3.3)	$ (1.8)	$ 21.4

Index

Operating Cash Flows

As set forth in the statement of cash flows included in our audited financial statements, cash used in operating activities was $0.7 million in 2012, compared to cash provided by operating activities of $1.7 million in 2011. The net loss in 2012 of $28.8 million includes non-cash expenses of $43.3 million as well as $32.3 million in interest expense on our $252.0 million of Notes, of which $29.7 million was paid in 2012. We also paid $1.0 million in acquisition related expenses in 2012 compared to $1.8 million in 2011. Average quarterly DSO in 2012 was 103 days compared to a quarterly average of 96 days in 2011.

Investing Cash Flows

Cash used in investing activities was $3.4 million in 2012, compared to cash used in investing activities of $1.9 million in 2011. In 2012, we paid $0.9 million for insignificant acquisitions; net of cash received, purchased $2.2 million in fixed assets, and incurred a $0.1 million increase in restricted cash. In 2011, we paid $1.3 million, net of cash acquired, for insignificant acquisitions, and purchased $2.0 million in fixed assets, offset by a $0.9 million decrease in restricted cash.

Financing Cash Flows

Cash provided by financing activities was $0.6 million in 2012, compared to cash used in financing activities of $0.5 million in 2011. In June 2011, we issued $52.0 million in additional Notes at 103.0% of the principal amount with terms identical to the existing Notes. Prior to issuance, we received consents from the majority of holders of existing Notes to amend the Indenture to allow us to incur the additional indebtedness. As consideration for the consents, we paid $1.5 million in consent fees from the proceeds of the Notes. The proceeds of these additional Notes were used to redeem and retire our Series A Preferred Stock at the face value of $41.8 million and to pay associated dividends of $7.3 million. In the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we also received $1.0 million and $1.1 million, respectively in proceeds from the exercise of stock options and shares purchased under the employee stock purchase plan. In August 2011, we repaid $4.6 million of outstanding debt obligations assumed from our acquisition of OIS.

Contractual Obligations

Total outstanding commitments as of December 31, 2012 (in thousands), were as follows:

		Payment due by period			
Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than 1 Year	1 – 3 Years	3 – 5 Years	More than 5 Years
Operating leases	$16,516	$3,186	$3,480	$2,935	$6,915
Capital leases (including interest)	1,404	562	842	-	-
Acquisition obligation	5,055	2,815	2,240	-	-
Notes payable (including interest)	326,062	29,617	296,445	-	-
Total	$349,037	$36,180	$303,007	$2,935	$6,915

The above obligations include lease payments involving facilities that we have either ceased to use or previously abandoned.

Except for restricted cash of $0.8 million (primarily letters-of-credit related to our leased facilities) and a $0.2 million guarantee to a lender on behalf of a customer of ours at December 31, 2012, we do not have any other significant long-term obligations, contractual obligations, lines of credit, standby letters of credit, and guarantees, standby repurchase obligations or other commercial commitments.

As of December 31, 2012, approximately $3.0 million of our cash balance was held by our foreign subsidiaries. We may need to accrue and pay taxes if we choose to repatriate these funds.

General

We believe our current cash and cash equivalent balances will be sufficient to meet our operating, financing and capital requirements through at least the next 12 months, including interest payments due under the Notes. However, any projections of future cash inflows and outflows are subject to uncertainty. In the event that it is necessary to raise additional capital to meet our short term or long term liquidity needs, such capital may be raised through additional debt, equity offerings or sale of certain assets. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity, equity-related or debt securities, such securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of our common stock. Furthermore, the number of shares of any new equity or equity-related securities that may be issued may result in significant dilution to existing shareholders. In addition, the issuance of debt securities could increase the liquidity risk or perceived liquidity risk that we face. We cannot, however, be certain that additional financing, or funds from asset sales, will be available on acceptable terms. If adequate funds are not available or are not available on acceptable terms, we will likely not be able to take advantage of opportunities, develop or enhance services or products or respond to competitive pressures. Any projections of future cash inflows and outflows are subject to uncertainty. In particular, our uses of cash in 2013 and beyond will depend on a variety of factors such as the costs to implement our business strategy, the amount of cash that we are required to devote to defend and address any regulatory proceedings, and potential merger and acquisition activities.

Index

From time to time we evaluate our alternatives for the redemption or refinancing of the Notes at or prior to their maturity in 2015. No assurance can be given as to the terms or timing of any such transaction.

Material Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no material off balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are impacted by the accounting policies used and the estimates, judgments, and assumptions made by management during their preparation. We base our estimates and judgments on our experience, our current knowledge (including terms of existing contracts), our beliefs of what could occur in the future, our observation of trends in the industry, information provided by our customers and information available from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We have identified the following accounting policies and estimates as those that we believe are most critical to our financial condition and results of operations and that require management's most subjective and complex judgments in estimating the effect of inherent uncertainties: revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts and sales returns, intangible assets and goodwill, share-based compensation expense, income taxes, guarantees and loss contingencies.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues are derived primarily from the licensing of software, sales of hardware and related ancillary products, SaaS offerings, installation and engineering services, training, consulting, and software maintenance and EDI. Inherent to software revenue recognition are significant management estimates and judgments in the interpretation and practical application of the complex rules to individual contracts. These interpretations generally would not influence the amount of revenue recognized, but could influence the timing of such revenues. In addition, revenue results are difficult to predict, and any shortfall in revenue or delay in recognizing revenue could cause our operating results to vary significantly from period to period. Significant areas of judgment include:

- The determination of deliverables specified in a multiple-element arrangement and treatment as separate units of accounting;

- Whether separate arrangements with the same customer executed within a short time frame of each other are a single arrangement;

- The assessment of the probability of collection and the current credit worthiness of each customer since we generally do not request collateral from customers;

- The determination of whether the fees are fixed and determinable;

- Whether or not installation, engineering or consulting services are significant to the software licensed; and

- The amount of total estimated labor hours, based on management's best estimate, to complete a project we account for under the input method of percentage of completion accounting. We review our contract estimates periodically to assess revisions in contract values and estimated labor hours, and reflect changes in estimates in the period that such estimates are revised under the cumulative catch-up method.

Typically, our contracts contain multiple elements, and while the majority of our contracts contain standard terms and conditions, there are instances where our contracts contain non-standard terms and conditions. As a result, contract interpretation is sometimes required to determine the appropriate accounting. We analyze our multiple element arrangements to determine the estimated selling price of each element, the amount of revenue to be recognized upon shipment, if any, and the period and conditions under which deferred revenue should be recognized. As a result, if facts and circumstances change that affect our current judgments, our revenue could be materially different in the future.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Sales Returns

Based upon past experience and judgment, we establish allowances for doubtful accounts related to our accounts receivable and customer credits with respect to our sales returns. We determine collection risk and record allowances for bad debts based on the aging of accounts and past transaction history with customers. In addition, our policy is to allow sales returns when we have preauthorized the return. We have determined an allowance for estimated returns and credits based on our historical experience of returns and customer credits. We monitor our collections, write-offs, returns and credit experience to assess whether adjustments to our allowance estimates are necessary. Changes in trends in any of the factors that we believe impact the realizability of our receivables or modifications to our credit standards, collection, return and credit, authorization practices or other related policies may impact our estimates.

Index

Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets include purchased software, capitalized software, customer relationships, backlog, trade names, and non-compete agreements. Finite-lived intangible assets are amortized to reflect the pattern in which the economic benefits are consumed, which is primarily the straight-line method.

Purchased software and capitalized software are tested for impairment quarterly by comparing the net realizable value (estimated using undiscounted future cash flows) to the carrying value of the software. If the carrying value of the software exceeds its net realizable value, we record an impairment charge in the period in which the impairment is incurred equal to the amount of the difference between the carrying value and estimated undiscounted future cash flows.

Customer relationships, backlog, trade names and non-compete agreements are evaluated for potential impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, based primarily upon whether expected future undiscounted cash flows are sufficient to support the asset's recovery. If the actual useful life of the asset is shorter than the useful life estimated by us, the asset may be deemed to be impaired, and, accordingly, a write-down of the value of the asset determined by a discounted cash flow analysis, or a shorter amortization period, may be required. We have reviewed these long-lived assets with estimable useful lives and determined that their carrying values as of December 31, 2012 are recoverable in future periods.

We review goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. No triggering events or impairment indicators were noted during 2012. Our policy provides that goodwill will be reviewed for impairment as of October 1st of each year. In calculating potential impairment losses, we evaluate the fair value of goodwill using either quoted market prices or, if not available, by estimating the expected present value of their future cash flows. Identification of, and assignment of assets and liabilities to, a reporting unit require our judgment and estimates. In addition, future cash flows are based upon our assumptions about future sales activity and market acceptance of our products. If these assumptions change, we may be required to write down the gross value of our remaining goodwill to a revised amount. We performed our goodwill testing and determined that there is no impairment as of December 31, 2012, since the fair value of our reporting units substantially exceeded the carrying value.

Share-based Compensation Expense

We calculate share-based compensation expense for option awards based on the estimated grant-date fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, and recognize the expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, net of estimated forfeitures. The fair value of stock-based awards is based on certain assumptions, including:

- Expected volatility, which we base on the historical volatility of our stock and other factors; and
- Estimated option life, which represents the period of time the options granted are expected to be outstanding and is based, in part, on historical data.

We also estimate employee terminations (option forfeiture rate), which is based, in part, on historical data, employee class and the type of award. We evaluate the assumptions used to value stock options and restricted stock awards on a quarterly basis. The estimation of share-based awards that will ultimately vest requires judgment, and to the extent actual results or updated estimates differ from our current estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period estimates are revised. Although we believe our assumptions used to calculate share-based compensation expense are reasonable, these assumptions can involve complex judgments about future events, which are open to interpretation and inherent uncertainty. In addition, significant changes to our assumptions could

significantly impact the amount of expense recorded in a given period.

Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Our provision for income taxes is determined using the asset and liability approach to account for income taxes. A current liability is recorded for the estimated taxes payable for the current year. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the timing differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of changes in tax rates or tax laws are recognized in the provision for income taxes in the period that includes the enactment date.

Index

Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount more-likely-than-not to be realized. Changes in valuation allowances will flow through the statement of operations unless related to deferred tax assets that expire unutilized or are modified through translation, in which case both the deferred tax asset and related valuation allowance are similarly adjusted. Where a valuation allowance was established through purchase accounting for acquired deferred tax assets, any future change will be credited or charged to income tax expense.

The determination of our provision for income taxes requires significant judgment, the use of estimates, and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes and recording the related tax assets and liabilities. In the ordinary course of our business, there are transactions and calculations for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. In spite of our belief that we have appropriate support for all the positions taken on our tax returns, we acknowledge that certain positions may be successfully challenged by the taxing authorities. We determine the tax benefits more likely than not to be recognized with respect to uncertain tax positions. Unrecognized tax benefits are evaluated quarterly and adjusted based upon new information, resolution with taxing authorities and expiration of the statute of limitations. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of changes in the liability for our uncertain tax positions. Although we believe our recorded tax assets and liabilities are reasonable, tax laws and regulations are subject to interpretation and inherent uncertainty; therefore, our assessments can involve both a series of complex judgments about future events and rely on estimates and assumptions. Although we believe these estimates and assumptions are reasonable, the final determination could be materially different than that which is reflected in our provision for income taxes and recorded tax assets and liabilities.

Guarantees

We recognize the importance of identifying the fair value of guarantee and indemnification arrangements issued or modified by us, as applicable. In addition, we must continue to monitor the conditions that are subject to the guarantees and indemnifications in order to identify if a loss has occurred. If we determine it is probable that a loss has occurred, then any such estimable loss would be recognized under those guarantees and indemnifications.

Under our standard software license agreements, we agree to indemnify, defend and hold harmless our licensees from and against certain losses, damages and costs arising from claims alleging the licensees' use of our software infringes the intellectual property rights of a third party. Historically, we have not been required to pay material amounts in connection with claims asserted under these provisions, and, accordingly, we have not recorded a liability relating to such provisions. We also represent and warrant to licensees that our software products will operate substantially in accordance with published specifications, and that the services we perform will be undertaken by qualified personnel in a professional manner conforming to generally accepted industry standards and practices. Historically, only minimal costs have been incurred relating to the satisfaction of product warranty claims.

Other guarantees include promises to indemnify, defend and hold harmless each of our executive officers, non-employee directors and certain key employees from and against losses, damages and costs incurred by each such individual in administrative, legal or investigative proceedings arising from alleged wrongdoing by the individual while acting in good faith within the scope of his or her job duties on our behalf.

Loss Contingencies

We have accrued for costs as of December 31, 2012 and may, in the future, accrue for costs associated with certain contingencies when such costs are probable and reasonably estimable. Liabilities established to provide for contingencies are adjusted as further information develops, circumstances change, or contingencies are resolved.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We describe below recent pronouncements that have had or may have a significant effect on our financial statements or have an effect on our disclosures. We do not discuss recent pronouncements that are not anticipated to have an impact on or are unrelated to our financial condition, statement of operations, or related disclosures.

In October 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2012-04, Technical Corrections and Improvements. The amendments in this update cover a wide range of Topics in the Accounting Standards Codification. These amendments include technical corrections and improvements to the Accounting Standards Codification and conforming amendments related to fair value measurements. The amendments in this update will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of ASU No. 2012-014 did not have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In August 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-03, Technical Amendments and Corrections to SEC Sections: Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 114, Technical Amendments Pursuant to SEC Release No. 33-9250, and Corrections Related to FASB Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-22 (SEC Update). This update amends various SEC paragraphs pursuant to the issuance of SAB No. 114. The adoption of ASU No. 2012-03 did not have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Index

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02, Intangibles — Goodwill and Other — Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment, to establish an optional two-step analysis for impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangibles other than goodwill. The two-step analysis establishes an optional qualitative assessment to precede the quantitative assessment, if necessary. In the qualitative assessment, the entity must evaluate the totality of qualitative factors, including any recent fair value measurements, that impact whether an indefinite-lived intangible asset other than goodwill has a carrying amount that more likely than not exceeds its fair value. The entity must proceed to conducting a quantitative analysis, according to which the entity would record an impairment charge for the amount of the asset's fair value exceeding the carrying amount, if (1) the entity determines that such an impairment is more likely than not to exist, or (2) the entity foregoes the qualitative assessment entirely. The standards update will be effective for financial statements of periods beginning after September 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU No. 2012-02 is not expected to have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment. This ASU permits a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that a reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying value before applying the two-step goodwill impairment test. If an entity can support the conclusion that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, it would not need to perform the two-step impairment test for that reporting unit. This ASU is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-08 did not have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU No. 2011-05 amends the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) to allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In either case, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments to the Codification in the ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. We adopted ASU No. 2011-05 as of January 1, 2012, and it did not impact the presentation of comprehensive income in our consolidated condensed financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements. This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (the Boards) on fair value measurement. The collective efforts of the Boards and their staffs, reflected in ASU No. 2011-04, have resulted in common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term "fair value." The Boards have concluded the common requirements will result in greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments to this ASU are to be applied prospectively. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 did not have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Index

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk

Our cash and cash equivalents are exposed to financial market risk due to fluctuations in interest rates, which may affect our interest income. As of December 31, 2012, our cash and cash equivalents included money market funds and short-term deposits, including certain cash that is restricted, totaling approximately $35.9 million, and earned interest at a weighted average rate of 0.1% in 2012. The value of the principal amounts is equal to the fair value for these instruments. Due to the short-term nature of our investment portfolio, our interest income is subject to changes in short-term interest rates. At current investment levels, our pre-tax results of operations would vary by approximately $0.4 million for every 100 basis point change in our weighted average short-term interest rate. We do not use our portfolio for trading or other speculative purposes.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We have sales and expenses in Canada, China, and Europe that are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar and, as a result, have exposure to foreign currency exchange risk. We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. In the event our exposure to foreign currency risk increases to levels that we do not deem acceptable, we may choose to hedge those exposures.

Index

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders
Merge Healthcare Incorporated
Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Merge Healthcare Incorporated ("the Company") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Merge Healthcare Incorporated at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Merge Healthcare Incorporated's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 11, 2013, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/BDO USA, LLP

Chicago, Illinois
March 11, 2013

Index

MERGE HEALTHCARE INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except for share data)

	December 31, 2012	2011
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash of $813 and $707 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	$35,875	$39,272
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts and sales returns of $14,074 and $4,080 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	72,065	71,014
Inventory	5,979	4,718
Prepaid expenses	4,972	5,678
Deferred income taxes	3,135	3,393
Other current assets	21,621	20,199
Total current assets	143,647	144,274
Property and equipment:		
Computer equipment	7,754	10,183
Office equipment	2,699	2,262
Leasehold improvements	1,287	1,220
	11,740	13,665
Less accumulated depreciation	6,776	9,274
Net property and equipment	4,964	4,391
Purchased and developed software, net of accumulated amortization of $13,884 and $9,283 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	19,007	23,924
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of $25,007 and $14,907 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	35,628	45,152
Goodwill	214,312	209,829
Deferred income taxes	7,041	9,209
Other assets	12,254	13,608
Total assets	$436,853	$450,387
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	$24,438	$22,114
Interest payable	4,944	4,935
Accrued wages	5,881	6,972
Restructuring accrual	222	1,407
Other current liabilities	12,606	11,580
Deferred revenue	52,355	51,246
Total current liabilities	100,446	98,254
Notes payable	250,046	249,438
Deferred income taxes	3,046	1,891
Deferred revenue	894	1,679
Income taxes payable	1,040	727
Other liabilities	3,920	5,927
Total liabilities	359,392	357,916
Shareholders' equity:		
	-	-

Series A Non-voting Preferred Stock, $0.01 par value: 50,000 shares authorized; zero and zero shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Aggregate liquidation preference: zero and zero at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.		
Series B Preferred Stock, $0.01 par value: 1,000,000 shares authorized; zero shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011.	-	-
Common stock, $0.01 par value: 150,000,000 shares authorized: 93,137,737 shares and 90,939,053 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.	931	909
Common stock subscribed, 158,395 shares and 195,116 shares at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	934	1,311
Additional paid-in capital	577,774	563,563
Accumulated deficit	(504,195)	(475,393)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,567	1,613
Total Merge Healthcare Incorporated shareholders' equity	77,011	92,003
Noncontrolling interest	450	468
Total shareholders' equity	77,461	92,471
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	$436,853	$450,387

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index

MERGE HEALTHCARE INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except for share and per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net sales:			
Software and other	$94,466	$80,948	$42,420
Professional services	40,978	41,905	23,175
Maintenance and EDI	113,460	109,575	74,737
Total net sales	248,904	232,428	140,332
Cost of sales:			
Software and other	43,281	29,090	13,762
Professional services	24,693	21,134	15,411
Maintenance and EDI	31,090	29,090	24,418
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	8,987	9,340	10,972
Total cost of sales	108,051	88,654	64,563
Gross margin	140,853	143,774	75,769
Operating costs and expenses:			
Sales and marketing	43,908	38,800	20,697
Product research and development	32,419	27,542	20,064
General and administrative	42,366	32,579	22,012
Acquisition-related expenses	3,402	1,614	9,674
Restructuring and other expenses	830	1,216	5,006
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	11,308	12,868	6,840
Total operating costs and expenses	134,233	114,619	84,293
Operating income (loss)	6,620	29,155	(8,524)
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense	(32,926)	(29,421)	(17,218)
Interest income	766	506	58
Other, net	811	(2,106)	522
Total other expense	(31,349)	(31,021)	(16,638)
Loss before income taxes	(24,729)	(1,866)	(25,162)
Income tax expense (benefit)	4,091	3,665	(13,646)
Net loss	(28,820)	(5,531)	(11,516)
Less: noncontrolling interest's share	(18)	(10)	-
Net loss attributable to Merge	(28,802)	(5,521)	(11,516)
Less: preferred stock dividends	-	3,153	19,076
Net loss attributable to common shareholders of Merge	$(28,802)	$(8,674)	$(30,592)
Net loss per share attributable to common shareholders of Merge - basic	$(0.31)	$(0.10)	$(0.38)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic	92,128,717	86,647,097	80,231,427
Net loss per share attributable to common shareholders of Merge - diluted	$(0.31)	$(0.10)	$(0.38)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - diluted	92,128,717	86,647,097	80,231,427

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index

MERGE HEALTHCARE INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net loss	$(28,820)	$(5,531)	$(11,516)
Translation adjustment	4	25	-
Unrealized (loss) gain on marketable securities, net of income taxes	(50)	44	(55)
Comprehensive loss	$(28,866)	$(5,462)	$(11,571)
Less: noncontrolling interest's share	(18)	(10)	-
Comprehensive loss attributable to Merge	$(28,848)	$(5,452)	$(11,571)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index

MERGE HEALTHCARE INCORPORATED
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
(in thousands, except for share data)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock							
	Shares Issued	Issued Amount	Shares Subscribed	Subscribed Amount	Shares Issued	Issued Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Merge Shareholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2009	-	$-	9,978	$32	74,791,753	$748	$524,114	$(458,356)	$1,599	$68,137
Issuance of stock	41,750	26,850	-	-	7,515,000	75	14,825	-	-	41,750
Deemed dividend	-	14,900	-	-	-	-	(14,900)	-	-	-
Stock issuance costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	(882)	-	-	(882)
Stock issued for acquisitions	-	-	974,701	3,265	500,000	5	1,345	-	-	4,615
Stock issued under ESPP	-	-	6,374	26	51,388	1	133	-	-	160
Vesting of restricted stock	-	-	-	-	408,531	4	(4)	-	-	-
Share-based compensation expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,623	-	-	2,623
Treasury stock repurchase and retirement	-	-	-	-	(8,549)	-	(26)	-	-	(26)
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(11,516)	-	(11,516)
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(55)	(55)
Balance at December 31, 2010	41,750	$41,750	991,053	$3,323	83,258,123	$833	$527,228	$(469,872)	$1,544	$104,806
Redemption and cancellation of Series A Preferred Stock	(41,750)	(41,750)	-	-	-	-		-	-	(41,750)
Stock issued for charitable contribution	-	-	-	-	485,232	5	1,846	-	-	1,851
Stock issued for acquisitions	-	-	(798,835)	(2,043)	6,843,733	68	36,777	-	-	34,802
Stock issued under ESPP	-	-	2,898	31	56,465	-	263	-	-	294

Exercise of stock options	-	-	-	-	295,500	3	869	-	-	872
Share-based compensation expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,908	-	-	3,908
Preferred stock dividends paid	-	-	-	-	-	-	(7,328)	-	-	(7,328)
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5,521)	-	(5,521)
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	69	69
Noncontrolling interest acquired	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2011	-	$-	195,116	$1,311	90,939,053	$909	$563,563	$(475,393)	$1,613	$92,003
Stock issued for acquisitions	-		(53,574)	(373)	1,410,491	14	5,561	-	-	5,202
Stock issued under ESPP	-	-	16,853	(4)	92,886	1	356	-	-	353
Exercise of stock options	-	-	-	-	190,269	2	686	-	-	688
Issuance of restricted shares	-		-	-	505,038	5	1,822	-	-	1,827
Share-based compensation expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,786	-	-	5,786
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(28,802)	-	(28,802)
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(46)	(46)
Balance at December 31, 2012	-	$-	158,395	$934	93,137,737	$931	$577,774	$(504,195)	$1,567	$77,011

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index

MERGE HEALTHCARE INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	$(28,820)	$(5,531)	$(11,516)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	20,295	22,208	17,812
Share-based compensation	5,786	3,908	2,623
Amortization of note payable issuance costs & discount	2,724	2,393	1,445
Unrealized gain on equity security	(486)	-	-
Realized gain on equity security	-	(405)	(506)
Change in contingent consideration for acquisitions	1,380	345	113
Provision for doubtful accounts receivable and allowances, net of recoveries	12,051	2,766	880
Deferred income taxes	3,581	8,108	(14,056)
Stock issued for charitable contribution	-	1,851	-
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions:			
Accounts receivable	(11,187)	(19,031)	(13,962)
Inventory	(1,261)	888	(2,184)
Prepaid expenses	(148)	(768)	3,269
Accounts payable	2,258	1,329	4,934
Accrued wages	(979)	1,489	(2,223)
Restructuring accrual	(1,297)	(782)	1,310
Other accrued liabilities	(556)	(4,866)	2,399
Deferred revenue	(422)	(4,524)	15,810
Other	(3,559)	(7,689)	(143)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(640)	1,689	6,005
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(876)	(1,277)	(216,212)
Investment in securities	(240)	-	-
Purchases of property, equipment, and leasehold improvements	(2,174)	(1,976)	(1,492)
Cash received on sale of fixed assets	-	-	6,124
Change in restricted cash	(106)	940	(1,088)
Proceeds from sale of equity investment	-	405	606
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,396)	(1,908)	(212,062)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of term notes	-	53,560	194,532
Proceeds from issuance of stock	-	-	41,750
Note and stock issuance costs paid	-	(1,528)	(9,897)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and employee stock purchase plan	1,039	1,166	160
Principal payments on notes	(37)	(4,591)	-
Redemption and retirement of preferred stock	-	(41,750)	-

Principal payments on capital leases	(396)	(4)	(142)
Stock repurchases	-	-	(26)
Preferred stock dividends	-	(7,328)	-
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	606	(475)	226,377
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(73)	(123)	-
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(3,503)	(817)	20,320
Cash and cash equivalents (net of restricted cash), beginning of period (1)	38,565	39,382	19,062
Cash and cash equivalents (net of restricted cash), end of period (2)	$35,062	$38,565	$39,382
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid for interest	$29,654	$25,723	$11,956
Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds	$261	$934	$(123)
Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities			
Equity securities received in settlement of receivable	$1,530	$-	$-
Value of Common Stock issued for acquisitions	$7,029	$34,802	$4,615
Assets purchased under capital lease obligations	$1,412	$190	$-
Assets purchased under lease line facility	$897	$-	$-

(1)Net of restricted cash of $1,647, $559, and $621 at December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

(2)Net of restricted cash of $813, $707, and $1,647 at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index

Merge Healthcare Incorporated and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except for share and per share data)

(1) Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

Merge Healthcare Incorporated and its subsidiaries or affiliates (collectively Merge, we, us, or our) is an enterprise image provider dedicated to healthcare information technology (IT) solutions. We develop software solutions that facilitate the sharing of images to create a more effective and efficient electronic healthcare experience for patients and physicians. Our solutions are designed to help solve some of the most difficult challenges in health information exchange today, such as the incorporation of medical images and diagnostic information into broader healthcare IT applications, the interoperability of proprietary software solutions, the profitability of outpatient imaging practices in the face of declining reimbursement and the ability to improve the efficiency and cost effectiveness of our customers' businesses.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of our wholly owned subsidiaries, and include the results of all acquisitions from the dates of acquisition. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We have certain minority equity interests in various companies accounted for as cost method investments. The operating results of these companies are not included in our results of operations. We also own a 63% equity interest in a company which is included in our consolidated financial statements. These statements are adjusted based on the noncontrolling interest's share.

Use of Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with United States of America (U.S.) generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). These accounting principles require us to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are used when accounting for items and matters such as revenue recognition and allowances for uncollectible accounts receivable and sales returns, inventory obsolescence, depreciation and amortization, long-lived and intangible asset valuations, impairment assessments, restructuring reserves, taxes and related valuation allowance, income tax provisions, stock-based compensation, and contingencies. We believe that the estimates, judgments and assumptions are reasonable, based on information available at the time they are made. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Functional Currency

Certain of our foreign subsidiaries use the United States of America dollar (U.S. Dollar) as their functional currency. Foreign currency denominated revenues and expenses are translated at weighted average exchange rates throughout the year and foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities are translated at rates prevailing at the balance sheet dates. For those foreign subsidiaries which use the U.S. Dollar as their functional currency,

adjustments arising from the use of differing exchange rates from period to period are reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as a component of other income (expense), net. For those foreign subsidiaries which use their local currency as the functional currency, translation adjustments arising from the use of differing exchange rates from period to period are included as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). Foreign exchange gains and losses on transactions during the year are reflected in the consolidated statements of operations, as a component of other income (expense), net.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, marketable and non-marketable equity securities, accounts payable, notes payable, and certain accrued liabilities. The carrying amounts of these assets and liabilities approximate fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments, except for the notes payable and non-marketable equity securities. The carrying amount of the notes payable approximates fair value due to the interest rate and terms approximating those available to us for similar obligations. The estimated fair values of the non-marketable equity securities have been determined from information obtained from independent valuations and management estimates.

Index

We use a three-tier value hierarchy to prioritize the inputs used in measuring fair value of our financial assets and liabilities. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore, requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

We also consider additional information in estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased, or circumstances indicate a transaction is not suitable for fair value measurement. See Note 5 for further discussion of the fair value of our financial instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of balances with banks (including restricted cash), money market accounts and liquid short-term investments with original maturities of ninety days or less and are carried on the balance sheet at cost plus accrued interest. As of December 31, 2012, cash and cash equivalents were $35,875, including restricted cash of $813. Restricted cash consisted of letters-of-credit relating to our leased facilities.

Inventory

Inventory, consisting principally of finished goods (primarily purchased third-party hardware) is stated at the lower of cost or market determined on a first-in, first-out (FIFO) basis. We also maintain inventory reserves for excess and obsolete inventory determined based on the age of our inventory.

Other Current Assets

Other current assets consist primarily of revenue recognized that has not yet been billed to a customer, unbilled A/R from acquisitions, an equity investment and other non-trade receivables, all of which are due within the next twelve months. The balances are comprised of the following as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Revenue recognized in excess of billings, net of reserves of $1,763 and $235, respectively	$ 18,812	$ 18,064
Acquired unbilled A/R	350	1,769
Equity investment	2,016	-
Other non-trade receivables	443	366
	$ 21,621	$ 20,199

The following table shows the changes in our reserves for revenue recognized in excess of billings:

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Net Additions Charged to Expenses	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
For year ended December 31,:				
2012	$ 235	$ 1,528	$ -	$ 1,763
2011	-	$ 235	$ -	$ 235

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we recorded a charge of $1,308 related primarily to uncollectible billings from customer contracts obtained through acquisitions in the past few years. The $1,308 related to a change in estimate to

our reserve for revenues in excess of billings. The effect of the change in estimate related to our reserve for revenues in excess of billings, which was recorded to general and administrative in our statement of operations, was to increase our net loss by $1,308 ($0.01 per share, net of income tax), for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation on property and equipment is calculated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Property and equipment are evaluated for potential impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, based primarily upon whether expected future undiscounted cash flows are sufficient to support the asset's recovery. Useful lives of our major classes of property and equipment are three years for computer equipment and three to five years for office equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated life of the asset or the term of the lease. We recorded depreciation expense of $3,752, $3,955 and $4,091 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Index

Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets include purchased and capitalized technology, customer relationships, backlog, trade names, and non-compete agreements. Finite-lived intangible assets are amortized to reflect the pattern of economic benefits consumed, which is primarily the straight-line method.

Purchased software and capitalized software are tested for impairment quarterly by comparing the net realizable value (estimated using undiscounted future cash flows) to the carrying value of the software. If the carrying value of the software exceeds its net realizable value, we record an impairment charge in the period in which the impairment is incurred equal to the amount of the difference between the carrying value and estimated undiscounted future cash flows.

Customer relationships, backlog, trade names and non-compete agreements are evaluated for potential impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, based primarily upon whether expected future undiscounted cash flows are sufficient to support the asset's recovery. If the actual useful life of the asset is shorter than the useful life estimated by us, the asset may be deemed to be impaired, and, accordingly, a write-down of the value of the asset determined by a discounted cash flow analysis, or a shorter amortization period, may be required. We have reviewed these assets with estimable useful lives and determined that their carrying values as of December 31, 2012 are recoverable in future periods.

All research and development costs incurred prior to the point at which management believes a project has reached technological feasibility or incurred in the preliminary stages of development are expensed as incurred.

We review goodwill for impairment annually on October 1st, or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. During 2012, our reporting units changed from Merge Healthcare and Merge eClinical to Merge Healthcare and Merge Data and Analytics (DNA) due to the level of discrete financial information as well as the aggregation of Merge's components, which exhibit similar long term performance and have similar economic characteristics. In calculating potential impairment losses, we evaluate the fair value of goodwill using either quoted market prices or, if not available, by estimating the expected present value of their future cash flows. Identification of, and assignment of assets and liabilities to, a reporting unit require our judgment and estimates. In addition, future cash flows are based upon our assumptions about future sales activity and market acceptance of our products. We performed our annual goodwill testing and determined that there is no impairment, since the fair value of our reporting units substantially exceeded the carrying value.

Index

Other Current Liabilities

Other current liabilities consist primarily of customer deposits, the current portion of an acquisition obligation, accrued taxes, lease line facility, leases payable, and other non-trade payables, all of which are due within the next twelve months. The balances are comprised of the following as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Customer deposits	$ 3,409	$ 2,469
Acquisition obligation	2,815	4,651
Accrued taxes	998	782
Lease line facility	897	-
Leases payable	83	473
Other liabilities	4,404	3,205
	$ 12,606	$ 11,580

The acquisition obligation relates to the current portion of the balance due for an insignificant acquisition completed in 2011. The non-current portion of $2,041 and $4,558 is recorded in other non-current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Total amounts to be paid under this obligation of $2,815, $1,967 and $273 in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively, were recorded at their discounted amounts based on the payment due dates.

Guarantees

We recognize the fair value of guarantee and indemnification arrangements issued or modified by us, as applicable. In addition, we must continue to monitor the conditions that are subject to the guarantees and indemnifications in order to identify if a loss has occurred. If we determine it is probable that a loss has occurred, then any such estimable loss would be recorded under those guarantees and indemnifications.

Under our standard software license agreements, we agree to indemnify, defend and hold harmless our licensees from and against certain losses, damages and costs arising from claims alleging the licensees' use of our software infringes the intellectual property rights of a third party. Historically, we have not been required to pay material amounts in connection with claims asserted under these provisions, and, accordingly, we have not recorded a liability relating to such provisions. We also represent and warrant to licensees that our software products will operate substantially in accordance with published specifications, and that the services we perform will be undertaken by qualified personnel in a professional manner conforming to generally accepted industry standards and practices. Historically, only minimal costs have been incurred relating to the satisfaction of product warranty claims.

Other guarantees include promises to indemnify, defend and hold harmless each of our executive officers, non-employee directors and certain key employees from and against losses, damages and costs incurred by each such individual in administrative, legal or investigative proceedings arising from alleged wrongdoing by the individual while acting in good faith within the scope of his or her job duties on our behalf.

Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Our provision for income taxes is determined using the asset and liability approach to account for income taxes. A current liability is recorded for the estimated taxes payable for the current year. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to

differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the timing differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of changes in tax rates or tax laws are recognized in the provision for income taxes in the period that includes the enactment date.

Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount more-likely-than-not to be realized. Changes in valuation allowances will flow through the statement of operations unless related to deferred tax assets that expire unutilized or are modified through translation, in which case both the deferred tax asset and related valuation allowance are similarly adjusted. Where a valuation allowance was established through purchase accounting for acquired deferred tax assets, any future change will be credited or charged to income tax expense.

Index

The determination of our provision for income taxes requires significant judgment, the use of estimates, and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes and recording the related tax assets and liabilities. In the ordinary course of our business, there are transactions and calculations for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. In spite of our belief that we have appropriate support for all the positions taken on our tax returns, we acknowledge that certain positions may be successfully challenged by the taxing authorities. We determine the tax benefits more likely than not to be recognized with respect to uncertain tax positions. Unrecognized tax benefits are evaluated quarterly and adjusted based upon new information, resolution with taxing authorities and expiration of the statute of limitations. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of changes in the liability for our uncertain tax positions. Although we believe our recorded tax assets and liabilities are reasonable, tax laws and regulations are subject to interpretation and inherent uncertainty; therefore, our assessments can involve both a series of complex judgments about future events and rely on estimates and assumptions. Although we believe these estimates and assumptions are reasonable, the final determination could be materially different than that which is reflected in our provision for income taxes and recorded tax assets and liabilities.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gains or losses on our available-for-sale securities, net of applicable taxes, are included in accumulated other comprehensive income, and are further detailed in Note 5 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues are derived primarily from the licensing of software, sales of hardware and related ancillary products, hosted clinical trial software-as-a-service (SaaS) offerings, installation and engineering services, training, consulting, and software maintenance and support. Inherent to software revenue recognition are significant management estimates and judgments in the interpretation and practical application of the complex rules to individual contracts. These interpretations generally would not influence the amount of revenue recognized, but could influence the timing of such revenues. Typically, our contracts contain multiple elements, and while the majority of our contracts contain standard terms and conditions, there are instances where our contracts contain non-standard terms and conditions. As a result, contract interpretation is sometimes required to determine the appropriate accounting, including whether the deliverables specified in a multiple-element arrangement should be treated as separate units of accounting for revenue recognition purposes, and if so, the relative selling price that should be allocated to each of the elements and when to recognize revenue for each element.

We recognize revenue on software arrangements involving multiple elements, including separate arrangements with the same customer executed within a short time frame of each other, based on the vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair values of those elements. For the majority of our business, we determine the fair value of the maintenance and support portion of the arrangement based on the substantive renewal price of the maintenance offered to customers, which generally is stated in the contract. The fair value of installation, engineering services, training, and consulting is based upon the price charged when these services are sold separately. For sales transactions where the software is incidental or the only contract deliverable is engineering or other services, as well as hardware transactions where no software is involved, we recognize revenue based on VSOE of fair value, other third-party evidence of fair value or our best estimated selling price of those elements.

Revenue from multiple-element arrangements including software is recognized using the residual method. Under the residual method, revenue is recognized in a multiple element arrangement when fair value exists for all of the undelivered elements in the arrangement, even if fair value does not exist for one or more of the delivered elements in

the arrangement, assuming all other conditions for revenue recognition have been satisfied. If evidence of fair value cannot be established for the maintenance and support element of a sale, and it represents the only undelivered element, all contract elements are deferred and recognized ratably over the related maintenance and support period.

Revenue from multiple-element arrangements not including software is typically recognized using the relative method. Under the relative method, revenue is recognized in a multiple element arrangement based on selling prices for all of the elements in the arrangement, assuming all other conditions for revenue recognition have been satisfied.

Provided that evidence of an arrangement exists, fees are fixed or determinable, collection of the related receivable is probable, fair value for the undelivered elements exist and there are no other contract considerations resulting in the deferral of revenue, we typically recognize revenue in the following manner:

Index

- Software licenses and hardware are recognized upon delivery, while installation, engineering services, training, and consulting services are recognized as performed and maintenance and support is recognized ratably over the period in which the services are performed. This is the primary method used for sales of software products which are typically fully functional upon delivery and do not require significant modification or alteration. Any subsequent software royalties associated with such contracts are generally recognized as reported by the customer. Revenue is also recognized in this manner for the majority of sales of additional modules to existing customers.

- Merge sells software with or without various standard hardware components (i.e. servers, monitors, storage disk arrays, etc.). The hardware items are sold primarily as a convenience for its customers who may choose not to purchase it because either they already have the applicable hardware or they purchased the hardware directly from a third party vendor. We have a sufficient number of stand-alone sales of hardware to allow it to obtain vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value for the hardware. These arrangements include software that is more-than-incidental to the hardware. Therefore, the software is not essential to the functionality of the hardware (and vise versa). Software licenses sold through annual contracts that include software maintenance and support are deferred and recognized ratably over the one-year period.

- Revenues derived from SaaS offerings are generally recognized ratably as we provide software application-hosting and are recognized using the proportional performance method for services provided to customers under fixed-price contracts. Such contracts are entered into by certain customers with clinical trial products comprising the vast majority. These contracts consist of master agreements containing general terms and conditions and separately negotiated addendums (called task orders) which include services, software subscription and usage fees, and hosting fees. Customers generally have the ability to terminate contracts upon 30 days notice. However, these contracts typically require payment of fees earned from all services provided through the termination date.

- If services are considered essential to the functionality of the software, revenue is recognized based on service hours expended through project completion and maintenance and support is recognized ratably over the applicable period.

- EDI revenues are typically recognized monthly based on transactional volumes or a fixed fee.

If services are considered essential, we recognize revenue using either the proportional performance guidelines or percentage of completion accounting, as appropriate. Revenue is determined by the input method based upon the amount of labor hours expended compared to the total labor hours expended plus the estimated amount of labor hours to complete the project. Total estimated labor hours are based on management’s best estimate of the total amount of time it will take to complete a project. These estimates require the use of judgment. A significant change in one or more of these estimates could affect the profitability of one or more of our contracts. We review our contract estimates periodically to assess the possible need for revisions in contract values and estimated labor hours, and reflect changes in estimates in the period that such estimates are revised under the cumulative catch-up method. When estimates indicate a loss, such loss is recognized in the current period in its entirety. Because of the inherent uncertainties in estimating total labor hours, it is possible that the estimates will change and could result in a material change of revenue recognized in the applicable period. We record a loss for a contract at the point it is determined that the total estimated contract costs will exceed management’s estimates of contract revenues. As of December 31, 2012, we have not experienced any material losses on uncompleted contracts.

We assess collectability based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit worthiness of the customer. We must exercise our judgment when we assess the probability of collection and the current credit worthiness of each customer. We have provided for an allowance for estimated returns and credits based on our historical experience of returns and customer credits.

Deferred revenue is comprised of deferrals for license fees, support and maintenance and other services. Long-term deferred revenue as of December 31, 2012 represents license fees, support and maintenance and other services to be earned or provided beginning January 1, 2014. Revenue recognized that has not yet been billed to a customer results in an asset as of the end of the period. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, there was $18,812 and $18,064 net of reserves recorded within other current assets related to revenue recognized that has not yet been billed.

We record reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses in both services and maintenance net sales and as a direct cost of services and maintenance. The reimbursement by customers of shipping and handling costs are recorded in software and other net sales and the associated cost as a cost of sale. Sales tax, if any, is passed on to our customers.

Index

Share-Based Compensation

We calculate share-based compensation expense for option awards based on the estimated grant-date fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, and recognize the expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, net of estimated forfeitures. We evaluate the assumptions used to value stock options and restricted stock awards on a quarterly basis. The estimation of share-based awards that will ultimately vest requires judgment, and to the extent actual results or updated estimates differ from our current estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period estimates are revised. We consider different factors when estimating expected forfeitures, including types of awards, employee class, and historical experience.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We describe below recent pronouncements that have had or may have a significant effect on our financial statements or have an effect on our disclosures. We do not discuss recent pronouncements that are not anticipated to have an impact on or are unrelated to our financial condition, statement of operations, or related disclosures.

In October 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2012-04, Technical Corrections and Improvements. The amendments in this update cover a wide range of Topics in the Accounting Standards Codification. These amendments include technical corrections and improvements to the Accounting Standards Codification and conforming amendments related to fair value measurements. The amendments in this update will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of ASU No. 2012-014 did not have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In August 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-03, Technical Amendments and Corrections to SEC Sections: Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 114, Technical Amendments Pursuant to SEC Release No. 33-9250, and Corrections Related to FASB Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-22 (SEC Update). This update amends various SEC paragraphs pursuant to the issuance of SAB No. 114. The adoption of ASU No. 2012-03 did not have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02, Intangibles — Goodwill and Other — Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment, to establish an optional two-step analysis for impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangibles other than goodwill. The two-step analysis establishes an optional qualitative assessment to precede the quantitative assessment, if necessary. In the qualitative assessment, the entity must evaluate the totality of qualitative factors, including any recent fair value measurements, that impact whether an indefinite-lived intangible asset other than goodwill has a carrying amount that more likely than not exceeds its fair value. The entity must proceed to conducting a quantitative analysis, according to which the entity would record an impairment charge for the amount of the asset's fair value exceeding the carrying amount, if (1) the entity determines that such an impairment is more likely than not to exist, or (2) the entity foregoes the qualitative assessment entirely. The standards update will be effective for financial statements of periods beginning after September 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU No. 2012-02 is not expected to have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment. This ASU permits a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that a reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying value before applying the two-step goodwill impairment test. If an entity can support the conclusion that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, it would not need to perform the two-step impairment test for that reporting unit. This ASU is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-08 did not have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial

position, or cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU No. 2011-05 amends the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) to allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In either case, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments to the Codification in the ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. We adopted ASU No. 2011-05 as of January 1, 2012, and it did not impact the presentation of comprehensive income in our consolidated condensed financial statements.

Index

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements. This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (the Boards) on fair value measurement. The collective efforts of the Boards and their staffs, reflected in ASU No. 2011-04, have resulted in common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term “fair value.” The Boards have concluded the common requirements will result in greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments to this ASU are to be applied prospectively. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 did not have a material impact on our statement of operations, financial position or cash flows.

(2) Acquisitions

AMICAS, Inc.

On April 28, 2010, we completed our acquisition of AMICAS through a successful tender offer for 37,009,990 outstanding shares of common stock of AMICAS at $6.05 per share in cash. Following the tender offer, we purchased the remaining shares pursuant to a merger of a subsidiary of Merge with and into AMICAS. Total transaction consideration was approximately $223,910. In addition, shortly before the completion of the acquisition, AMICAS paid cash to holders of vested, in-the-money stock options for the difference between $6.05 per share and the exercise price of such options. The holders of shares of restricted stock were paid $6.05 per share in cash. The total consideration paid to option and restricted stock holders was approximately $22,906. We financed the transaction with $200 million aggregate principal amount of 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (Notes), cash already available at the two companies and proceeds of $41,750 from the issuance of preferred and common stock. See Notes 7, 8 and 11 for further information regarding the Notes and preferred and common stock issuance.

Reasons for the Transaction

We believe that this acquisition allows our customers to benefit from the combined company’s enhanced suite of products ranging from point solutions to end-to-end solutions for imaging workflow. The acquisition also creates an opportunity to cross-sell our solutions to different provider bases and to expand such solutions globally using our international footprint. In addition, the acquisition created significant cost synergies.

Accounting

The acquisition of AMICAS was accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic No. 805, Business Combinations. Merge was considered the accounting acquirer. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the total purchase price of approximately $223,910 was allocated to the net tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, based on various estimates of their respective fair values. The allocation of the purchase consideration was based upon estimates made by us with the assistance of independent valuation specialists. The purchase price allocation, based on AMICAS’ assets and liabilities as of April 28, 2010, was as follows:

	Estimated Fair Value
Cash	$ 15,125
Other tangible assets	46,081
Liabilities assumed	(32,080)
Purchased and developed software	19,200
Customer relationships	30,400

Backlog		8,100
Trade names		3,600
Non-competes		3,100
Goodwill		130,384
Total consideration	$	223,910

Index

The amounts allocated to purchased and developed software, customer relationships, trade names, employee non-compete agreements and backlog were estimated by us based on the work performed by independent valuation specialists, primarily through the use of discounted cash flow techniques. Appraisal assumptions utilized under these methods include a forecast of estimated future net cash flows, as well as discounting the future net cash flows to their present value. Acquired intangible assets are being amortized over the estimated useful lives as set forth in the following table:

	Years	Amortization Method
Purchased and developed software	8.0	Straight-line
Customer relationships	9.7	Other
Backlog	4.7	Other
Trade names	12.0	Straight-line
Non-competes	7.0	Straight-line
Goodwill	Indefinite	N/A

The estimated asset lives are determined based on projected future economic benefits and expected life cycles of the acquired intangible assets. The amount assigned to goodwill is not being amortized, but will be tested for impairment annually or under circumstances that may indicate a potential impairment. Of the $130,384 assigned to goodwill, $12,700 will be deductible for federal income tax purposes.

Pro Forma Results

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 are based on the historical financial statements of Merge and AMICAS giving effect to the business combination as if it had occurred at the beginning of the period presented. This pro forma data has been adjusted to exclude pre-acquisition revenue and cost of sales related to sales by Merge to AMICAS as well as the amortization of intangible assets acquired by AMICAS, while including amortization of purchased intangible assets, interest on the Notes and preferred stock dividends during the entire applicable periods. This data is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have been generated if the transactions had occurred at the beginning of the respective periods. Moreover, this data is not intended to be indicative of future results of operations.

	Years Ended December 31, 2010
Revenue	$ 177,019
Net loss available to common shareholders	(48,893)
Loss per share:	
Basic	$ (0.59)
Diluted	$ (0.59)

(3) Accounts Receivable

Substantially all receivables are derived from sales and related services, support and maintenance of our products to healthcare IT providers, device manufacturers and pharmaceutical companies located throughout the U.S. and in certain foreign countries as indicated in Note 15.

Our accounts receivable balance is reported net of an allowance for doubtful accounts and for sales returns. We provide for an allowance for estimated uncollectible accounts and sales returns based upon historical experience and management's judgment. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the allowances for estimated uncollectible accounts and sales returns were $14,074 and $4,080, respectively.

The following table shows the changes in our allowance for doubtful accounts and sales returns.

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Net Additions Charged to Revenue and Expenses	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
For year ended December 31,:				
2012	$ 4,080	$ 10,523	$ (529)	$ 14,074
2011	1,322	2,766	(8)	4,080
2010	1,287	593	(558)	1,322

Index

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded a charge to bad debt expense for $12,051 within general and administrative in our statement of operations primarily due to uncollectible billings from customer contracts obtained through acquisitions in the past few years.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we recorded a charge of $7,855 related primarily to uncollectible billings from customer contracts obtained through acquisitions in the past few years. The $7,855 related to a change in estimate to our allowance for bad debts and sales returns. The effect of the change in estimate related to bad debts and sales returns, which was recorded to general and administrative in our statement of operations, was to increase our net loss by $7,855 ($0.09 per share, net of income tax), for the year ended December 31, 2012.

(4) Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill is our primary intangible asset not subject to amortization. The changes in carrying amount in the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was as follows:

	Total	Merge Healthcare	Merge DNA
Balance at January 1, 2010	$ 169,533	-	-
Increase due to acquisitions	40,333	-	-
Increase due to foreign currency	(37)	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 209,829	-	-
Increase due to acquisitions	4,431	-	-
Allocation in operating segments	-	$ 194,115	$ 20,145
Increase due to foreign currency	52	-	52
Balance at December 31, 2012	$ 214,312	$ 194,115	$ 20,197

Other Intangible Assets

Our intangible assets subject to amortization are summarized as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 as follows:

	Weighted-Average Remaining Amortization Period (Years)	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Purchased software	4.6	$31,066	$ (12,350)	$31,382	$ (7,955)
Capitalized software	1.9	1,825	(1,534)	1,825	(1,328)
Customer relationships	6.2	46,302	(15,012)	45,068	(7,576)
Backlog	1.4	9,680	(8,338)	9,680	(6,127)
Trade names	7.7	1,463	(446)	2,081	(413)
Non-competes	4.3	3,190	(1,211)	3,230	(791)
Total		$93,526	$ (38,891)	$93,266	$ (24,190)

As a result of an insignificant acquisition in the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, we increased the gross carrying amounts of purchased software, customer relationships, and trade names by $780, $1,220, and $80, respectively. Upon completion of a product rationalization and a product being rebranded in the fourth quarter of

2012, we recorded a $796 impairment charge to purchased software and a $474 impairment charge to trade names. We also wrote off the fully amortized gross carrying amounts and the accumulated amortization related to the purchased software and trade name of $1,110 and $620, respectively, in 2012.

In 2011, we increased the gross carrying amount of purchased software, customer relationships, backlog, trade names and non-competes by $2,438, $6,940, $1,580, $710 and $130, respectively, as a result of insignificant acquisitions. Upon completion of a product rebranding initiative in the second quarter of 2011, we recorded a $2,805 charge due to the impairment of our trade names associated with certain products. In the second quarter of 2011, we wrote off $5,635 and $3,476, respectively, of the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of certain purchased software assets and customer relationship assets which were fully amortized. We also wrote off fully amortized gross carrying amounts and accumulated amortization of $3,157 in trade name assets.

Index

Estimated aggregate amortization expense for our intangible assets, which become fully amortized in 2022, for the remaining periods is as follows:

For the year ended December 31:		
	2013	$14,021
	2014	12,367
	2015	9,750
	2016	7,706
	2017	5,524
	Thereafter	5,267
	Total	$54,635

Amortization expense, including impairments for our intangible assets, is set forth in the following table:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Amortization and impairment included in cost of sales			
Purchased software	$ 5,501	$ 4,915	$ 7,100
Capitalized software	205	189	583
Backlog	2,211	3,745	2,245
Total	$ 7,917	$ 8,849	$ 9,928
Amortization and impairment included in operating expenses			
Customer relationships	$ 7,434	$ 5,667	$ 3,183
Trade names	732	3,241	315
Non-competes	461	496	295
Total	8,627	9,404	3,793
Total amortization and impairment	$ 16,544	$ 18,253	$ 13,721

(5) Fair Value of Investments

Our financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, marketable and non-marketable securities, accounts payable, notes payable, and certain accrued liabilities. The carrying amounts of these assets and liabilities approximate fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments. The carrying amounts of our marketable equity securities are based on the quoted price of the security in an active market. The estimated fair values of the non-marketable equity securities have been determined from information obtained from independent valuations and management estimates. The fair value of our notes payable was approximately 107.875% of par value as of December 31, 2012 and 106.125% of par value as of December 31, 2011, based on Level 1 inputs which include quoted prices of the securities in an active market. See Note 7 for further discussion of the fair value of our debt.

Current Investment

In the second quarter of 2012, we received an equity security investment from a customer as settlement for purchase commitments and outstanding receivables associated with a contract. This equity investment is classified as a Level 2 trading security within other current assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. We estimate the fair value of this investment on a recurring basis based on the quoted market price of the security less a discount due to a trading restriction. The valuation technique for this level 2 investment utilized qualitative and quantitative methodologies

including other publicly traded companies and option pricing models. Upon receipt, we estimated the fair value of this investment at $1,530. At December 31, 2012, we estimated the fair value of this investment at $2,016, and recorded a gain of $486 within the other, net line in our statements of operations in the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, respectively.

Index

Non-Current Investments

At December 31, 2012, we held certain securities in a publicly traded entity and private companies, which are classified within other assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. The investment in the publicly traded equity security, over which we do not exert significant influence, is classified as available-for-sale and reported at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 1 inputs. Unrealized gains and losses are reported within the accumulated other comprehensive income component of shareholders' equity. The investments in equity securities of private companies, over which we do not exert significant influence, are classified as Level 3 investments and are reported at cost or fair value, if an other-than-temporary loss has been determined. Any loss due to impairment in value is recorded when such loss occurs. We performed the evaluation of our Level 3 investments as of December 31, 2012, and concluded that there were no significant changes in their fair value.

The following tables set forth the change in the fair value of our Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 investments for the periods indicated:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 55	$ -	$ 313	$ 368
Unrealized gain	51	-	-	51
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 106	$ -	$ 313	$ 419
Acquired investments	-	1,530	240	1,770
Unrealized gain (loss)	(50)	486	-	436
Balance at December 31, 2012	$ 56	$ 2,016	$ 553	$ 2,625

In the second quarter of 2011, we received proceeds of $405 from the sale of an investment in equity securities of a private company, which had a carrying value of zero, and recorded a $405 gain in other income (expense) in our statement of operations.

Unrealized gains or losses on our available-for-sale (publicly traded) security, as well as foreign currency translation adjustments, are components of accumulated other comprehensive income as set forth in the following table:

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cumulative translation adjustment	$ 1,965	$ 1,961	$ 1,936
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale security, net of tax	(398)	(348)	(392)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	$ 1,567	$ 1,613	$ 1,544

(6) Restructuring

We incurred $830, $1,216 and $5,006 of restructuring costs in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, in restructuring and other expenses in our statements of operations.

In 2012, we completed a restructuring initiative to reduce our workforce. This action was taken based upon our assessment of ongoing personnel needs. As a result, we incurred $830 of employee termination costs that were recorded in restructuring and other expenses in our statement of operations.

In 2011, we committed to a restructuring initiative to reduce our workforce by approximately 30 individuals. This action was taken based upon our assessment of ongoing personnel needs. We incurred $1,167 in severance and related costs through 2012 related to this initiative. An additional $49 was incurred in 2011 related to prior year initiatives.

In 2010, we committed to a restructuring initiative to materially reduce our workforce by approximately 125 individuals and exit certain facilities. In the second quarter of 2010, we exited each of our Bellevue, Washington; Milwaukee, Wisconsin and Hudson, Ohio facilities. This action was taken concurrent with the acquisition of AMICAS based upon our assessment of ongoing personnel needs. In the third quarter of 2010, we exited our New Brighton, Massachusetts facility as part of the plan for this initiative. We incurred $2,116 in severance and related costs, $2,225 in contract exit costs and $505 in relocation costs in 2010 associated with this initiative. An additional $160 was incurred in 2010 related to prior year initiatives.

Index

The following table shows a summary of the restructuring activity through December 31, 2012:

	Employee Termination Costs	Lease & Contract Exit Costs	Relocation	Total
Balance at December 31, 2009	$ 662	$ 217	$ -	$ 879
Charges to expense	2,116	2,225	505	4,846
Payments	(2,339)	(744)	(463)	(3,546)
Foreign Exchange	10	-	-	10
Balance at December 31, 2010	$ 449	$ 1,698	$ 42	$ 2,189
Charges to expense	1,137	104	(25)	1,216
Payments	(612)	(1,365)	(17)	(1,994)
Foreign Exchange	(4)	-	-	(4)
Balance at December 31, 2011	$ 970	$ 437	$ -	$ 1,407
Charges to expense	830	-	-	830
Payments	(1,094)	(434)	-	(1,528)
Non-Cash Adjustment	(487)	-	-	(487)
Balance at December 31, 2012	$ 219	$ 3	$ -	$ 222

As of December 31, 2012, the remaining balance of $222 is included in the restructuring accrual in current liabilities. We expect that the majority of the balance will be paid out by the end of 2013.

(7) Debt and Operating Leases

In April 2010, we issued $200,000 of Notes in order to finance the acquisition of AMICAS. The Notes were issued at 97.266% of the principal amount, bear interest at 11.75% of principal (payable on May 1st and November 1st of each year) and will mature on May 1, 2015. The Notes were offered in a private placement pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Subsequent to the issuance of the Notes, we completed an exchange offer to satisfy our obligations under the registration rights agreement entered into in connection with the issuance of the Notes, pursuant to which we exchanged the Notes for new Notes that were registered under the Securities Act of 1933 but otherwise identical in all material respects. In connection with the Notes, we incurred issuance costs of $9,015 (which are recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet). These issuance costs are recorded as a long-term asset and amortized over the life of the Notes using the effective interest method.

In June 2011, we issued an additional $52,000 in Notes at 103.0% of the principal amount with terms identical to the existing Notes. The proceeds of these additional Notes were used to redeem and retire our Series A Preferred Stock and to pay associated dividends (as further discussed in Note 8). These additional Notes were offered in a private placement pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Prior to issuance, we received consents from the majority of holders of the existing Notes to amend the Indenture to allow us to incur the additional indebtedness. As consideration for the consents, we paid $1,528 in consent fees from the proceeds of the Notes. These fees are recorded as an issuance cost in long-term assets and will be amortized, along with the premium, over the remaining life of the Notes using the effective interest method. Subsequent to the issuance of the additional Notes, we completed an exchange offer to satisfy our obligations under the registration rights agreement entered into in connection with the issuance of the additional Notes, pursuant to which we exchanged the Notes for new Notes that were registered under the Securities Act of 1933 but otherwise identical in all material respects. We

also incurred $1,686 in costs related to the issuance of the additional Notes that did not qualify for capitalization. These costs are recorded in other expense, net of our consolidated statement of operations in 2011.

In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we recorded $32,334, $29,135 and $17,308, respectively, of interest expense related to the Notes, including $2,049, $1,659 and $899, respectively, in amortization of debt issuance costs and $675, $733 and $546, respectively, in amortization of net debt discount. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we made interest payments of $29,610, $25,723 and $11,946, respectively, related to the Notes. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the notes payable balances on our consolidated balance sheet included $1,954 and $2,629, respectively, of unamortized net discount related to the Notes. In 2011 we also repaid $4,591 in debt obligations which we assumed from an insignificant acquisition.

Index

At any time on or prior to May 1, 2013, we may redeem any of the Notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus an applicable "make-whole" premium plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date. At any time and from time to time during the twelve month period commencing May 1, 2013, we may redeem the Notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 105.875% of the principal amount thereof and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date. At any time and from time to time after May 1, 2014, we may redeem the Notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date. In addition, prior to May 1, 2013, we may redeem up to 35% of the Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, using proceeds from permitted sales of certain kinds of our capital stock. Upon the occurrence of a change of control or the sale of substantially all of our assets, we may be required to repurchase some or all of the Notes. The obligations under the Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed (except for certain release provisions which are considered customary, which apply only to our subsidiaries), jointly and severally, on a senior, secured basis by all of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries. The Notes and guarantees are secured by a first-priority lien on certain collateral which comprises substantially all of our and the guarantors' tangible and intangible assets, subject to certain exceptions.

In addition, the Notes contain certain covenants with varying restriction levels, which may limit our ability to:

- Incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock;
- Pay dividends or make distributions with respect to capital stock;
- Make certain investments or certain other restricted payments;
- Issue dividends or enter into other payment restrictions affecting certain subsidiaries;
- Enter into certain sale-leaseback transactions;
- Enter into transactions with stockholders or affiliates;
- Guarantee debt;
- Sell assets;
- Create liens;
- Issue or sell stock of certain subsidiaries; and
- Merge or consolidate without meeting certain conditions.

We have a $15.0 million lease line facility with interest at 7.4% through March 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2012, $0.9 million is outstanding and included in other current liabilities and $14.1 million is unused.

We have non-cancelable operating leases at various locations. Our five largest operating leases are all facility leases as set forth in the following table:

Location	Square Footage	Annual Lease Payments	End of Term
Chicago, Illinois	33,000	$ 612	December 2013
Daytona Beach, Florida	36,000	332	December 2013
Hartland, Wisconsin	81,000	694	November 2025
Mississauga, Ontario	24,000	615	February 2020
Morrisville, North Carolina	17,000	258	September 2016

We entered into a sale-leaseback transaction for the Hartland facility on November 10, 2010, as allowed under the terms of the Notes. We received $6,124 in proceeds from the sale and recorded a gain on the sale of $227, which is being deferred and amortized into rent expense over the 15 year term of the lease.

Total rent expense in 2012, 2011 and 2010 was $3,351, $3,443, and $2,031, respectively. Future minimum lease payments under all non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2012, are:

2013	$3,186
2014	1,724
2015	1,756
2016	1,585
2017	1,350
Thereafter	6,915
Total minimum lease payments	$16,516

Index

Income received under non-cancelable sub-leases in 2012 is $227 and in 2013 will be $76. The above obligations include lease payments related to facilities that we have either ceased to use or abandoned as of December 31, 2012. The related obligations for such facilities have been recorded as restructuring related accruals in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012.

(8) Shareholders' Equity

In 2012, we issued 1,356,917 shares of our common stock valued at $5,202 as consideration for insignificant acquisitions. The value of the shares issued was based on the closing price of our common stock on the earlier of the date shares were issued or subscribed, discounted based upon a holdback provision and trading restrictions over one year. The agreement also contained a provision for a settlement at a future date calculated by the change in the volume weighted average price of our stock. This was accounted for as a liability based on the use of the Monte Carlo Simulation Method. Through settlement, we incurred a $1,383 charge to Acquisition-related expenses for the change in fair value of this Level 2 instrument. These shares were issued pursuant to an exception from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. We also issued 505,038 shares of restricted stock which immediately vested to current employees as settlement of contingent consideration arising for an insignificant acquisition. The restricted shares were valued at $1,827 based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of issuance. We also issued 53,574 shares of our common stock, valued at $373, as partial consideration for an insignificant acquisition which was completed in the fourth quarter of 2011. These shares had been recorded as common stock subscribed as of December 31, 2011.

In 2011, we issued 6,044,898 shares of our common stock (including 175,866 shares subscribed at December 31, 2011) valued at $34,802, as partial consideration for three insignificant acquisitions completed in 2011. The value of the shares issued for acquisitions was based on the closing price of our common stock on the respective acquisition dates, with certain of the shares discounted based upon holdback provisions and trading restrictions over one year, as applicable. We also issued 974,701 shares of our common stock, valued at $3,147, as partial consideration for an insignificant acquisition which was completed in the fourth quarter of 2010. These shares had been recorded as common stock subscribed as of December 31, 2010.

In 2011, one of our insignificant acquisitions included a 63% ownership interest in a subsidiary. We recorded a non-controlling interest of $478 based upon 37% of the fair value of net assets of the less-than-wholly-owned subsidiary as of the acquisition date.

On December 31, 2011, we issued 485,232 shares of our common stock with a value of $1,851 as a charitable contribution. The value of the shares issued was based on the closing price of our common stock as of the transaction date, discounted based upon a one-year trading restriction. The expense is included in the general and administrative category within our consolidated statements of operations.

On April 1, 2010, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with a limited number of institutional and accredited investors, including Merrick RIS, LLC (Merrick) and Merrick Venture Management LLC, under which we agreed to issue an aggregate of 41,750 shares of Series A Preferred Stock and 7,515,000 shares of our common stock for total proceeds of $41,750. We used the net proceeds from the offering to partially finance the acquisition of AMICAS. In June 2011, we redeemed and retired all outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock at the face value of $41,750 and paid cumulative dividends of $7,328. Prior to the redemption, holders of our Series A Preferred Stock waived the two-year liquidation preference.

In the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we recorded cumulative dividends of zero, $3,153 and $4,176, respectively, related to our Series A Preferred Stock. In the year ended December 31, 2010, we also recorded a deemed dividend of $14,900 upon issuance of the preferred stock for the difference between the relative fair value and

the redemption value of $41,750. These dividends are reflected as an increase to net loss available to common shareholders in our consolidated statement of operations.

Index

(9) Share-Based Compensation

The following table summarizes share-based compensation expense related to share-based awards recognized in 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Share-based compensation expense included in the statement of operations:			
Professional services	$90	$45	$69
Maintenance	40	186	96
Sales and marketing	1,805	1,460	442
Product research and development	451	36	231
General and administrative	3,400	2,181	1,785
Share-based compensation expense, net of tax	$5,786	$3,908	$2,623

Share-Based Compensation Plans

We maintain four share-based employee compensation plans, including our employee stock purchase plan (ESPP), and one director option plan under which we grant restricted stock awards and options to acquire shares of our common stock to certain employees, non-employees, non-employee directors and to existing stock option holders in connection with the consolidation of option plans following an acquisition.

Our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (EIP) provides for awards of common stock, non-statutory stock options, incentive stock options, stock unit and performance unit grants and stock appreciation rights to eligible participants. On June 2, 2011, our shareholders approved an amendment to our 2005 EIP to increase the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance there under by 3,000,000 to 16,500,000 shares of our common stock. Under the terms of the 2005 EIP, incentive stock option grants are limited to 5.0 million shares. Also, under the EIP, new stock option grants have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock at the date of grant with limited exceptions. The majority of the options issued under the EIP vest over a three or four-year period. As of December 31, 2012, non-statutory stock options to purchase 12,142,041 shares of our common stock were outstanding under this plan.

Upon approval of the EIP, we stated that we did not plan to issue any more options under our other stock option plans. Our 1996 Employee Stock Option Plan provided for the grant of options to purchase a maximum of 3,265,826 shares of our common stock. Our 1998 Director Stock Option Plan, for our non-employee directors, provided for the granting of options to purchase a maximum of 300,000 shares of our common stock. In addition, our Board of Directors adopted an equity compensation plan in connection with our acquisition of a company in 2003. As of December 31, 2012, non-statutory stock options to purchase 30,411 shares of our common stock were outstanding under these plans.

Stock Options

We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock option awards on the date of grant utilizing the assumptions noted in the following table. We expense the cost of stock option awards on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of our stock and other factors. We use historical data to estimate option exercises and employee terminations within the valuation model. The expected term of options represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods during the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury rates

in effect at the grant date.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Dividend yield	0 %	0 %	0 %
Expected volatility	65 %	100 %	100 %
Risk–free interest rate	0.3% - 0.8 %	0.6% - 1.8 %	0.8% - 2.1 %
Expected term (in years)	3.0	4.0	4.0
Weighted–average grant date fair value	$3.51	$3.37	$1.97

The assumptions above are based on multiple factors, including the historical exercise patterns of employees in relatively homogeneous groups with respect to exercise and post-vesting employment termination behaviors, expected future exercise patterns for these same homogeneous groups, and the volatility of our stock price. ASC Topic No. 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

Index

At December 31, 2012, there was $15,553 of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option share-based payments. We expect this compensation cost to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.81 years.

Stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2012 was as follows:

	Number of Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding, December 31, 2010	7,959,110	$ 2.94	5.5	$10,720
Options granted	2,134,786	4.96		
Options exercised	(295,500)	2.95		
Options forfeited and expired	(607,362)	6.91		
Options outstanding, December 31, 2011	9,191,034	$ 3.14	5.2	$17,860
Options granted	4,960,038	5.03		
Options exercised	(695,307)	3.62		
Options forfeited and expired	(1,283,313)	5.60		
Options outstanding, December 31, 2012	12,172,452	$ 3.63	4.1	$3,767
Options exercisable, December 31, 2012	5,545,382	$ 2.50	3.4	$3,765
Options exercisable, December 31, 2011	4,215,920	$ 2.78	4.6	$10,381
Options exercisable, December 31, 2010	2,795,937	$ 3.75	4.8	$4,226

During 2012, we granted 505,038 shares of restricted stock at a weighted-average grant date fair value of $3.62 per share of which all were vested in 2012. We also received cash proceeds of $688 from the exercise of stock options.

Other information pertaining to option activity was as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Total fair value of stock options vested	$8,380	$6,719	$4,585
Total fair value of restricted stock awards vested	1,591	-	613

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2012:

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
Range of exercise prices	Number of shares	Weighted–average remaining contractual life in years	Weighted–average exercise price	Number of shares	Weighted–average exercise price
$0.00 - $2.48	2,670,000	3.2	$ 1.06	2,657,500	$ 0.64
$2.48 - $4.97	5,990,240	4.2	3.24	2,359,927	2.11
$4.97 - $7.46	3,449,164	4.8	6.06	464,907	3.16
$7.46 - $9.95	10,000	0.4	9.78	10,000	4.03
$9.95 - $12.44	1,694	4.3	10.81	1,694	3.29
$14.92 - $17.41	21,354	1.3	16.22	21,354	6.17
$17.41 - $19.90	30,000	2.4	17.50	30,000	1.80

	12,172,452	4.1	$ 3.63	5,545,382	$ 1.51

Index

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

We maintain an ESPP that allows eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock through payroll deductions of up to 10% of eligible compensation on an after-tax basis. The eligible employees receive a 5% discount from the market price at the end of each calendar quarter. There is no stock-based compensation expense associated with our ESPP.

Employees contributed $353, $294, and $160, during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, to purchase shares of our common stock under the employee stock purchase plan.

(10) Commitments and Contingencies

On June 1, 2009, Merge Healthcare was sued in the Milwaukee County Circuit Court, State of Wisconsin, by William C. Mortimore and David M. Noshay with respect to the separation of Mortimore's and Noshay's employment and our subsequent refusal to indemnify them with respect to litigation related to their services as officers of Merge. The plaintiffs allege that we breached their employment agreements, unreasonably refused their requests for indemnification and breached other covenants of good faith and fair dealing. The plaintiffs seek indemnification and unspecified monetary damages. Discovery in this case is on-going. On April 6, 2011, the Milwaukee County Circuit Court rendered a decision in which it concluded that Merge and Mortimore had entered into an oral employment contract on or about June 15, 2006, but the Court did not make any decision as to damages, which damages would be addressed in a later phase of the litigation. On May 9, 2011, Merge appealed the Circuit Court's decision. On September 18, 2012, the Appellate Court issued its decision reversing the trial court and determined that Mortimore must arbitrate his disputes with Merge. We have retained litigation counsel, intend to continue to defend this action vigorously and have filed a counterclaim for fraud, among other claims, against both Mortimore and Noshay. We believe it is reasonably possible that we may incur a loss with respect to this matter; however, at this stage of the proceedings, it is not possible for management to reasonably estimate the amount of any potential loss.

In January and February 2010, purported stockholder class action complaints were filed in the Superior Court of Suffolk County, Massachusetts in connection with AMICAS Inc.'s (AMICAS) proposed acquisition by a third party. In March 2010, because AMICAS had terminated the merger agreement with that third party and agreed to be acquired by Merge, the Court dismissed the plaintiffs' claims as moot. Subsequently, plaintiffs' counsel filed an application for approximately $5,000 of attorneys' fees. AMICAS opposed the fee petition, tendered the defense to its insurers that provided coverage against such claims and retained litigation counsel to defend the matter. On December 4, 2010, the Massachusetts court awarded plaintiffs approximately $3,200 in attorneys' fees and costs. AMICAS appealed this judgment to the Massachusetts Court of Appeals. After receipt of the Massachusetts court's attorneys' fee award decision, AMICAS's insurer denied policy coverage for approximately $2,500 of the fee award and filed a declaratory judgment action to that effect against AMICAS and Merge in Federal court for the Northern District of Illinois. We contested the insurer's denial of coverage, asserted our rights under the applicable insurance policies and filed a counterclaim against the insurer seeking full payment of the Massachusetts court's fee award, plus additional damages. On April 30, 2012, the Illinois Federal court ruled in favor of our motion for summary judgment, which decision was appealed by the insurer to the United States Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals. That appeal, which has been briefed and argued by the parties, is pending. In late February, 2013, the insurer settled the Massachusetts court case by agreeing to pay $2,990 to plaintiffs' counsel and further agreeing not to pursue AMICAS or Merge for any portion of the amount paid. We believe that the Massachusetts settlement has rendered moot the Seventh Circuit appeal, except for the insurer's claim to reimbursement for a portion of the fees it advanced in the Massachusetts appeal, which we believe is less than $150 and with respect to Merge's claims for additional damages from the insurer. As a result of the Massachusetts settlement, we anticipate recognizing a contingent gain, if not all, of our recorded liability of $2,500 to other, net in our statement of operations with respect to these matters in the first quarter of 2013 based on the February 27, 2013 appellate court dismissal date.

On February 1, 2010, Merge filed a complaint against its former CEO, Richard Linden, and its former CFO, Scott Veech, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, seeking a declaration that we do not have to indemnify either Mr. Linden or Mr. Veech for liabilities they incurred in connection with an SEC investigation and enforcement actions and various securities fraud and shareholder derivative litigation. Merge also sought to recover from both defendants all costs incurred by Merge associated with defending Mr. Linden and Mr. Veech in those prior actions. On October 15, 2010, the Court concluded that it did not have subject matter jurisdiction over Merge's claims and dismissed the claims in their entirety. The Court rendered no opinion on the merits of Merge's claims. On February 8, 2011, Merge filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin captioned Merge Healthcare Incorporated v. Richard Linden, Case no. 11-CV-001541. On May 4, 2011, Merge and Mr. Linden entered into a confidential settlement agreement resolving all claims against Mr. Linden and through which Linden agreed to issue a statement of regret and apology to Merge's Board of Directors and reimburse Merge for a portion of the legal fees to defend Mr. Linden in prior legal actions. Merge believes that it has numerous meritorious claims against Mr. Veech, which have not been affected by the settlement with Mr. Linden. We believe that the likelihood of a loss with respect to this matter is remote. Based on the terms of the status of this proceeding, we have determined that this litigation is not currently a material legal proceeding. Accordingly, we do not intend to make disclosures about this proceeding in its future periodic filings.

Index

In August, 2010, Merge Healthcare was sued in the Northern District of Texas by the Court-appointed receiver for Stanford International Bank, Ltd. The receiver alleges that Merge was a recipient of a fraudulent conveyance as a result of a Ponzi scheme orchestrated by Robert Stanford and Stanford International Bank, Ltd. (SIBL). Merge is not alleged to have participated in the Ponzi scheme. The receiver's claims arise from the failed acquisition of Emageon, Inc. (Emageon) by Health Systems Solutions, Inc. (HSS), an affiliate of SIBL, in February 2009, which resulted in the payment of a $9,000 break-up fee by HSS, which payment is alleged to have been financed by SIBL. Merge subsequently acquired Emageon as part of our AMICAS acquisition. The complaint seeks to recover the $9,000 payment to Emageon, plus interest, costs, and attorneys' fees. We have retained litigation counsel and intend to vigorously defend this action. We have filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. That motion has been fully briefed, and we are awaiting a decision from the Court. We believe it is reasonably possible that we may incur a loss with respect to this matter. The potential loss may lie in a range from zero to the full amount claimed, plus interest.

In September, 2012, Merge Healthcare was sued in the Middle District of North Carolina by Heart Imaging Technologies, LLC (HIT). HIT alleges that certain features of products within our Image Interoperability Platform that collectively are expected to represent less than 5% of our net sales during 2013 infringe three of HIT's patents related to internet-based image viewing. The complaint seeks equitable relief and damages for patent infringement. We have retained litigation counsel and intend to vigorously defend this action. HIT has filed a Motion for a Temporary Injunction that, if granted would prohibit Merge from selling the applicable products. The parties are in the process of briefing on the Motion, which is not expected to be decided for several months. We believe it is reasonably possible that we may incur a loss with respect to this matter; however, at this stage of the proceedings, it is not possible for management to reasonably estimate the amount of any potential loss.

In addition to the matters discussed above, we are involved in various legal matters that are in the process of litigation or settled in the ordinary course of business. Although the final results of all such matters and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that the ultimate resolution of all such matters and claims will not have a material adverse effect on Merge's financial condition. Professional legal fees are expensed when incurred. We accrue for contingent losses when such losses are probable and reasonably estimable. In the event that estimates or assumptions prove to differ from actual results, adjustments are made in subsequent periods to reflect more current information. Should we fail to prevail in any legal matter or should several legal matters be resolved against us in the same reporting period, such matters could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and cash flows for that particular period.

Guarantees

We assumed a guarantee to a lender on behalf of a customer. At December 31, 2012, the balance outstanding on the loan was approximately $216.

(11) Transactions with Related Party

Merrick RIS, LLC (Merrick) and its affiliates, including Merrick Ventures, LLC (Merrick Ventures), beneficially own, as of December 31, 2012, approximately 31% of our outstanding common stock. Michael W. Ferro, Jr., our Chairman of the Board, and trusts for the benefit of Mr. Ferro's family members beneficially own a majority of the equity interest in Merrick. Mr. Ferro also serves as the chairman and chief executive officer of Merrick and the chairman and chief executive officer of Merrick Ventures. Accordingly, Mr. Ferro indirectly owns or controls all of the shares of our common stock owned by Merrick and Merrick Ventures. Due to its stock ownership, Merrick has significant influence over our business, including the election of our directors.

Effective January 1, 2009, we entered into a consulting agreement with Merrick. Services provided by Merrick under the consulting agreement include financial analysis and strategic planning. Effective January 1, 2010, we entered into

an amendment to extend the term of the consulting agreement with Merrick through December 31, 2011, and modified the payment terms from a flat fee arrangement per quarter to a per transaction or success based arrangement. On February 24, 2012, we entered into a second amendment, effective January 3, 2012, to extend the term of the consulting agreement with Merrick through December 31, 2013, and modified the fee structure to include a quarterly retainer in the amount of $150. This is in addition to the per transaction or success based arrangement that exists. Further, the second amendment includes a modification of the success payment in the event of a sale, by including a payment of 2% of the total consideration received if the total consideration is greater than $1 billion (the agreement still allows for a 1% success fee if under $1 billion). We paid $1,069, $1,348 and $2,039 to Merrick for such services and recognized $976, $1,176 and $2,338 in acquisition related and general and administrative expenses in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had $38 and $132, respectively, recorded in accounts payable covering obligations under this agreement.

Index

On July 30, 2010, we acquired substantially all of the Olivia Greets assets from Merrick Healthcare Solutions, LLC (Merrick Healthcare), an affiliate of Merrick Ventures, for 500,000 shares of our common stock. Merrick Healthcare transferred these shares of common stock to Merrick Ventures after the expiration of the one-year trading restriction. As a result of the Olivia Greets acquisition, the value-added reseller agreements that we entered into with Merrick Healthcare in March 2009 and March 2010 were terminated.

On June 20, 2011, Merrick purchased $5,000 of the $52,000 of additional Notes that we issued on June 20, 2011. Merrick purchased the Notes at the same purchase price per Note as the other investors in the transaction. We used the proceeds from this private placement of additional Notes to redeem and retire all outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, including $11,755 to redeem and retire the 10,000 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock held by Merrick.

Merrick Ventures owns over 75% of the outstanding equity interest of an entity called higi llc (higi). Mr. Ferro is higi's Chairperson and Founder. In December 2011, we entered into a master services agreement with higi, pursuant to which we agreed to provide higi with certain professional services, including software engineering design, application and web portal development for a fixed payment of $675. We recognized $155 and $506 in revenue in 2012 and 2011 and were paid $490 in 2011 under this Agreement. In addition, the master services agreement granted higi certain branding rights related to our health station business and requires higi to pay a fixed annual fee of one hundred dollars per station to us for each station that is branded with higi's trademarks and that includes higi's software, images and/or other intellectual property. The agreement has an initial term of one year, with continuing renewal rights, and is subject to termination on 120 days notice from us. On March 28, 2012, we entered into an agreement to sell 500 health stations and related equipment to higi for $2,750. We recognized revenue of $2,750 in 2012.

On February 24, 2012, we entered into an Assignment Agreement with Merrick Ventures under which Merge will sublease from Merrick approximately 4,700 square feet located at 200 E. Randolph Street, 22nd floor, Chicago, IL at an annual rental rate of $78, terminating on December 13, 2013. The rent will be paid to Merrick monthly and is exactly the same rate as Merrick currently pays under its lease. Under the Assignment, Merge will also pay approximately $70 (which represents the book value) for all fixtures, leasehold improvements and furniture located in the space.

(12) Income Taxes

Components of income (loss) before income taxes in 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
United States	$ (32,231)	$ (7,976)	$ (31,282)
Foreign	7,502	6,110	6,120
	$ (24,729)	$ (1,866)	$ (25,162)

The provision for income taxes consists of the following in 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Current:			
Federal	$ -	$ (4,191)	$ (111)
State	482	(287)	320
Foreign	28	35	201
Total current	510	(4,443)	410

Deferred:			
Federal	925	5,636	(2)
State	279	425	-
Foreign	2,377	2,047	(14,054)
Total deferred	3,581	8,108	(14,056)
Total provision	$ 4,091	$ 3,665	$ (13,646)

Actual income taxes varied from the expected income taxes (computed by applying the statutory income tax rate of 35% for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 to income before income taxes) as a result of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Expected tax benefit	$ (8,655)	$ (653)	$ (8,807)
Total increase (decrease) in income taxes resulting from:			
Change in valuation allowance allocated to income tax expense	13,987	10,641	(9,673)
Research and experimentation credit	-	-	(78)
Share-based compensation	38	24	83
Acquisition costs	309	(684)	3,386
State and local income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	(672)	(212)	435
Foreign income tax rate differential	(723)	(463)	(212)
Change in unrecognized tax benefits	167	(4,669)	241
Other	(360)	(319)	979
Actual income tax expense (benefit)	$ 4,091	$ 3,665	$ (13,646)

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented as follows:

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued compensation	$ 162	$ 611
Bad Debt	3,844	854
Depreciation	2,703	2,775
Research and experimentation credit carryforwards	6,951	7,324
Other credit carryforwards	1,566	1,476
Domestic loss carryforwards	115,421	113,459
Foreign loss carryforwards	8,007	9,652
Nonqualified stock options	5,374	3,450
Other	5,576	4,967
Total gross deferred tax assets	149,604	144,568
Less: asset valuation allowance	(121,117)	(105,743)
Net deferred tax asset	28,487	38,825
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Software development costs and intangible assets	(7,572)	(8,439)
Intangibles—customer contracts & tradenames	(8,680)	(14,223)
Other	(5,105)	(5,452)
Total gross deferred liabilities	(21,357)	(28,114)
Net deferred tax asset	$ 7,130	$ 10,711
Included on balance sheet:		
Current assets: deferred income taxes	$ 3,135	$ 3,393
Non–current asset: deferred income taxes	7,041	9,209

Non–current liabilities: deferred income taxes	(3,046)	(1,891)
Net deferred income taxes	$ 7,130	$ 10,711

At December 31, 2012, we had U.S. federal net operating loss, capital loss, research credit, alternative minimum tax credit, and foreign tax credit carryforwards of $292,370, $3,605, $4,911, $977, and $297, respectively, state net operating loss, capital loss and research credit carryforwards of $172,632, $3,605 and $408, respectively, foreign federal and provincial net operating loss carryforwards of $27,587 and $20,694, respectively, foreign and provincial capital loss carryforwards of $5,657 and $5,657, respectively, and foreign federal and provincial research credit carryforwards of $2,008 and $291, respectively. The U.S. federal net operating loss, research credit and foreign tax credit carryforwards expire in varying amounts beginning in 2015 and continuing through 2032, 2030 and 2018, respectively. The state net operating loss carryforwards expire in varying amounts beginning in 2013, and continuing through 2032 and the credit carryforwards expire in varying amounts beginning 2020 and continuing through 2023. The U.S. federal and state capital loss carryforwards will expire in 2013. The foreign tax credits expire in varying amounts beginning in 2018, and continuing through 2024. The foreign federal and provincial net operating loss carryforwards expire in varying amounts beginning in 2013, and continuing through 2030. Foreign and provincial capital losses may be carried forward indefinitely.

Index

Management has an obligation to review, at least annually, the components of our deferred tax assets. This review is to ascertain that, based upon the information available at the time of the preparation of financial statements, it is more likely than not, that we expect to utilize these future deductions and credits. In the event that management determines that it is more likely than not these future deductions, or credits, will not be utilized, a valuation allowance is recorded, reducing the deferred tax asset to the amount expected to be realized.

Management's analysis for 2012 resulted in a valuation allowance of $121,117 at December 31, 2012. Based on both quantitative and qualitative factors, we record a valuation allowance for all jurisdictions except Canada. In 2010, we reversed $14,054 in valuation allowance related to almost all of the deferred tax assets of our Canadian operations. We considered the effect of U.S. Internal Revenue Code (Code) Section 382 on our ability to utilize existing U.S. net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Section 382 imposes limits on the amount of tax attributes that can be utilized where there has been an ownership change as defined under the Code. Almost all of our U.S. and state net operating loss, capital loss and credit carryforwards are subject to future limitation. The future limitation is in addition to any past limitations applicable to the net operating loss and credit carryforwards of previously acquired businesses. While application of Section 382 is complex, we currently estimate deferred tax assets of $24,946 related to U.S. net operating loss, capital loss and research tax credit carryforwards may be unrealizable due to Section 382 limitations. We have recorded a full valuation reserve for these deferred tax assets.

The net increase in the valuation allowance in 2012, 2011, and 2010 was $15,374, $4,358, and $31,830, respectively. The 2012 increase was primarily attributable to valuation allowances established in connection with current year net operating losses and other deferred tax assets.

There exist potential tax benefits for us associated with stock-based compensation. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had $1,638 and $1,514, respectively, of excess tax benefits related to vesting of restricted stock awards, nonqualified stock option exercises and disqualifying dispositions of employee incentive stock options. The income tax benefit related to excess tax benefits of stock-based compensation will be credited to paid-in-capital, when recognized, by reducing taxes payable.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was $2,109, $1,862, and $6,703, respectively. We recognize interest and penalties in the provision for income taxes. Total accrued interest and penalties as of December 31, 2012 were $162 and $102, respectively. Total accrued interest and penalties as of December 31, 2011 were $142 and $54, respectively. Total interest included in tax expense in 2012, 2011 and 2010 were $19, $(111), and $40, respectively. Total penalties included in tax expense in 2012, 2011 and 2010 were $ 48, $(3), and $1, respectively.

The following is a tabular reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits in 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Balance at January 1	$ 1,862	$ 6,703	$ 6,506
Gross increases - tax positions in current year	55	-	19
Gross increases - tax positions in prior year	192	-	178
Gross decreases - tax positions in prior year	-	(12)	-
Decreases due to statute expirations	-	(4,829)	-
Balance at December 31	$ 2,109	$ 1,862	$ 6,703

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate is $1,948 and $1,785, respectively. We do not expect a significant change in unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

We file income tax returns in the U.S., various states and foreign jurisdictions. During the year we completed a taxing authority examination of our 2008 and 2009 Canadian tax return without significant adjustment. We are not currently under examination in the U.S. federal taxing jurisdictions for which years ending after 2008 remain subject to examination. Years prior to 2009 remain subject to examination to the extent net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards have been utilized after 2008, or remain subject to carryforward.

We indefinitely reinvest any undistributed profits of our non-U.S. subsidiaries. Through year-end our non-U.S. subsidiaries have cumulative deficits.

Index

(13) Earnings Per Share

Basic and diluted net earnings or loss per share is computed by dividing earnings or loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Earnings or loss available to common shareholders is computed as net income or loss less the 15% cumulative annual compounding dividend earned by preferred shareholders. The computation of earnings or loss available to common shareholders is presented in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. Diluted earnings per share includes the dilution that could occur based on outstanding restricted stock awards and the potential exercise of stock options, except for stock options with an exercise price of more than the average market price of our common stock, as such exercise would be anti-dilutive.

In 2012, 2011, and 2010, options to purchase 4,579,300, 941,556, and 3,509,110 shares of our Common Stock, respectively, had exercise prices greater than the average market price of our common stock, and, therefore, are not included in the calculations of diluted net income (loss) per share.

As a result of the losses in 2012, 2011 and 2010, incremental shares from the assumed conversion of employee stock options totaling 7,593,152, 8,249,478, and 4,450,000 shares, respectively, have been excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per share as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

Potentially dilutive Common Stock equivalent securities, including securities that may be considered in the calculation of diluted earnings per share outstanding as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were 12,172,452, 9,191,034, and 7,959,110, respectively.

(14) Employee Benefit Plan

We maintain defined contribution retirement plans (a 401(k) profit sharing plan for the U.S. employees and Registered Retirement Saving Plan (RRSP) for the Canadian employees), covering employees who meet the minimum service requirements and have elected to participate. We made matching contributions (under the 401(k) profit sharing plan for the U.S. employees and Deferred Profit Sharing Plan (DPSP) for the Canadian employees) equal to a maximum of 3.0% in 2012, 2011 and 2010. Our matching contributions totaled $1,225, $1,905, and $993, in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

(15) Segment Information and Concentrations of Risk

In the second quarter of 2012, we realigned our business into two operating groups to provide better focus on our two primary end users, providers and consumers. Our Merge Healthcare operating group, which represents about 87% of our total revenue in 2012, markets, sells and implements interoperability, imaging and clinical solutions to healthcare providers. Our Merge DNA (Data and Analytics) operating group represents the remaining revenue and focuses on the emergence of consumerism in healthcare, including health stations, clinical trials software and other consumer-focused solutions. As a result of this change, effective in the second quarter of 2012, we have two reportable operating segments. The information for 2011 and 2010 has been restated to conform to our two reportable operating segments.

We evaluate the performance of these operating groups based on their respective revenues and operating income, which exclude public company costs, certain corporate costs (amortization expense that is not specific to a segment), net interest expense and income taxes.

Index

The following tables present operating group financial information for the periods indicated.

	Year ended December 31, 2012		
	Healthcare	DNA	Total
Net sales:			
Software and other	$ 78,941	$ 15,525	$ 94,466
Professional Services	27,552	13,426	40,978
Maintenance and EDI	110,894	2,566	113,460
Total net sales	$ 217,387	$ 31,517	$ 248,904
Expenses	192,408	33,315	225,723
Segment income (loss)	$ 24,979	$ (1,798)	23,181
Net corporate/other expenses (1)			47,910
Loss before income taxes			$ (24,729)

	Year ended December 31, 2011		
	Healthcare	DNA	Total
Net sales:			
Software and other	$ 76,947	$ 4,001	$ 80,948
Professional Services	23,437	18,468	41,905
Maintenance and EDI	109,562	13	109,575
Total net sales	$ 209,946	$ 22,482	$ 232,428
Expenses	166,898	20,406	187,304
Segment income (loss)	$ 43,048	$ 2,076	45,124
Net corporate/other expenses (1)			46,990
Loss before income taxes			$ (1,866)

	Year ended December 31, 2010		
	Healthcare	DNA	Total
Net sales:			
Software and other	$ 42,379	$ 41	$ 42,420
Professional Services	13,183	9,992	23,175
Maintenance and EDI	74,737	-	74,737
Total net sales	$ 130,299	$ 10,033	$ 140,332
Expenses	118,179	10,808	128,987
Segment income (loss)	$ 12,120	$ (775)	11,345
Net corporate/other expenses (1)			36,507
Loss before income taxes			$ (25,162)

(1) Net corporate/other expenses include public company costs, corporate administration expenses, amortization expense which is not attributable to business segments, acquisition-related expenses and net interest expense.

Index

	Healthcare	DNA	Corporate/ Other	Consolidated
Depreciation and amortization				
Year ended December 31, 2012	$16,049	$4,187	$ 59	$ 20,295
Restructuring and Other One Time Charges				
Year ended December 31, 2012	$333	$497	$ -	$ 830
Assets as of December 31, 2012	$412,841	$33,207	$ (9,195)	$ 436,853

	Healthcare	DNA	Corporate/ Other	Consolidated
Depreciation and amortization				
Year ended December 31, 2011	$19,311	$2,165	$ 732	$ 22,208
Restructuring and Other One Time Charges				
Year ended December 31, 2011	$1,216	$-	$ -	$ 1,216
Assets as of December 31, 2011	$354,442	$47,722	$ 48,223	$ 450,387

	Healthcare	DNA	Corporate/ Other	Consolidated
Depreciation and amortization				
Year ended December 31, 2010	$15,702	$1,820	$ 290	$ 17,812
Restructuring and Other One Time Charges				
Year ended December 31, 2010	$4,578	$10	$ 418	$ 5,006
Assets as of December 31, 2010	$326,037	$29,764	$ 40,844	$ 396,645

Foreign sales account for approximately 6%, 9%, and 10% of our net sales in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, and sales in foreign currency represented approximately 3%, 2%, and 1%, respectively, of our net sales in 2012, 2011 and 2010.

The following tables present certain geographic information, based on location of customer:

	Net Sales for the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
United States of America	$ 232,848	$ 211,907	$ 125,974
Europe	8,687	8,767	7,730
Japan	2,190	5,312	2,853
Korea	1,018	1,449	718
Canada	1,707	1,598	491
Other	2,454	3,395	2,566
Total Net Sales	$ 248,904	$ 232,428	$ 140,332

	Long Lived Assets		
	2012	2011	2010
United States of America	4,316	3,866	5,105

Canada	569	520	606
Europe	79	3	56
Other	-	2	5
Total	$ 4,964	$ 4,391	$ 5,772

Index

(16) Guarantor Subsidiaries

The obligations under the Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed (except for certain release provisions including any sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of that guarantor, any sale or other disposition of the capital stock of that guarantor, if we designate any restricted subsidiary that is a guarantor to be an unrestricted subsidiary and upon legal defeasance of satisfaction and discharge of the indenture, which are considered customary), jointly and severally, by all of our current and future 100% owned domestic restricted subsidiaries (Guarantors). No other subsidiaries guarantee the Notes. The Notes and guarantees are secured by a first-priority lien on certain collateral which comprises substantially all of the Parent and Guarantors' tangible and intangible assets, subject to certain exceptions. The following tables present the balance sheets, statements of operations and statements of cash flows of the Parent, Guarantor and Non-Guarantor entities along with the eliminations necessary to arrive at the information on a consolidated basis.

General corporate expenses, including public company costs, certain amortization, corporate administration costs, acquisition-related expenses and net interest expense are included in the results of the Parent.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

	December 31, 2012				
	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash)	$862	$32,012	$ 3,001	$ 0	$ 35,875
Accounts receivable, net	-	61,871	10,194	-	72,065
Intercompany receivables	1,142	48,418	799	(50,359)	-
Other current assets	2,668	29,337	3,702	-	35,707
Total current assets	4,672	171,638	17,696	(50,359)	143,647
Net property and equipment	130	4,187	648	-	4,964
Purchased and developed software, net	-	18,508	499	-	19,007
Other intangible assets, net	-	35,025	603	-	35,628
Goodwill	-	212,908	1,404	-	214,312
Investment in and advances to subsidiaries	345,364	(868)	-	(344,496)	-
Other assets	5,957	5,745	7,593	-	19,295
Total assets	$356,123	$447,143	$ 28,443	$ (394,855)	$ 436,853
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable	$1,509	$21,839	$ 1,090	$ -	$ 24,438
Deferred revenue	-	50,716	1,639	-	52,355
Intercompany payables	20,008	13,369	17,037	(50,414)	-
Other accrued liabilities	4,352	18,168	1,133	-	23,653
Total current liabilities	25,869	104,092	20,899	(50,414)	100,446
Notes payable	250,046	-	-	-	250,046
Other long-term liabilities	2,747	5,518	635	-	8,900

Total liabilities	278,662	109,610	21,534	(50,414)	359,392
Total shareholders' equity	77,461	337,532	6,909	(344,441)	77,461
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	$356,123	$447,143	$ 28,443	$ (394,855)	$ 436,853

Index

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

	December 31, 2011				
	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash)	$5,451	$28,003	$ 5,818	$ -	$ 39,272
Accounts receivable, net	-	63,487	7,527	-	71,014
Intercompany receivables	-	29,108	635	(29,743)	-
Other current assets	595	29,579	3,814	-	33,988
Total current assets	6,046	150,177	17,794	(29,743)	144,274
Net property and equipment	113	3,753	525	-	4,391
Purchased and developed software, net	-	23,309	615	-	23,924
Other intangible assets, net	-	44,483	669	-	45,152
Goodwill	-	207,799	2,030	-	209,829
Investment in and advances to subsidiaries	340,637	(338)	-	(340,299)	-
Other assets	8,013	4,597	9,835	372	22,817
Total assets	$354,809	$433,780	$ 31,468	$ (369,670)	$ 450,387
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable	$3,124	$17,647	$ 1,343	$ -	$ 22,114
Deferred revenue	-	49,678	1,568	-	51,246
Intercompany payables	2,176	8,580	24,086	(34,842)	-
Other accrued liabilities	4,921	18,729	1,244	-	24,894
Total current liabilities	10,221	94,634	28,241	(34,842)	98,254
Notes payable	249,371	67	-	-	249,438
Other long-term liabilities	2,746	6,381	725	372	10,224
Total liabilities	262,338	101,082	28,966	(34,470)	357,916
Total shareholders' equity	92,471	332,698	2,502	(335,200)	92,471
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	$354,809	$433,780	$ 31,468	$ (369,670)	$ 450,387

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31, 2012				
	Parent	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	$ -	$ 225,662	$ 23,242	$ -	$ 248,904
Cost of sales	-	104,117	3,934	-	108,051
Gross margin	-	121,545	19,308	-	140,853
Selling, research and development, general and administrative expenses	12,532	92,670	13,491	-	118,693
Acquisition-related expenses	3,402	-	-	-	3,402
Restructuring and other expenses	-	425	405	-	830
Depreciation and amortization	59	10,990	259	-	11,308
Total operating costs and expenses	15,993	104,085	14,155	-	134,233
Operating income (loss)	(15,993)	17,460	5,153	-	6,620

Equity in net income of subsidiaries	9,689	(2,507)	-	(7,182)	-
Other, net	(22,515)	(10,395)	1,561	-	(31,349)
Other income (expense)	(12,826)	(12,902)	1,561	(7,182)	(31,349)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(28,819)	4,558	6,714	(7,182)	(24,729)
Income tax expense	1	1,741	2,349	-	4,091
Net income (loss)	$ (28,820)	$ 2,817	$ 4,365	$ (7,182)	$ (28,820)

Index

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31, 2011				
	Parent	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	$ -	$ 206,144	$ 26,284	$ -	$ 232,428
Cost of sales	-	83,782	4,872	-	88,654
Gross margin	-	122,362	21,412	-	143,774
Selling, research and development, general and administrative expenses	3,455	80,130	15,336	-	98,921
Acquisition-related expenses	1,614	-	-	-	1,614
Restructuring and other expenses	-	1,061	155	-	1,216
Depreciation and amortization	279	12,251	338	-	12,868
Total operating costs and expenses	5,348	93,442	15,829	-	114,619
Operating income (loss)	(5,348)	28,920	5,583	-	29,155
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	30,476	(1,546)	-	(28,930)	-
Other, net	(30,889)	(398)	266	-	(31,021)
Other income (expense)	(413)	(1,944)	266	(28,930)	(31,021)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(5,761)	26,976	5,849	(28,930)	(1,866)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(230)	1,830	2,065	-	3,665
Net income (loss)	$ (5,531)	$ 25,146	$ 3,784	$ (28,930)	$ (5,531)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31, 2010				
	Parent	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	$ -	$ 122,001	$ 18,331	$ -	$ 140,332
Cost of sales	-	59,511	5,052	-	64,563
Gross margin	-	62,490	13,279	-	75,769
Selling, research and development, general and administrative expenses	5,819	50,634	6,320	-	62,773
Acquisition-related expenses	9,638	36	-	-	9,674
Restructuring and other expenses	418	4,575	13	-	5,006
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	858	5,665	317	-	6,840
Total operating costs and expenses	16,733	60,910	6,650	-	84,293
Operating income (loss)	(16,733)	1,580	6,629	-	(8,524)
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	22,502	(138)	-	(22,364)	-
Other, net	(17,097)	106	353	-	(16,638)
Other income (expense)	5,405	(32)	353	(22,364)	(16,638)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(11,328)	1,548	6,982	(22,364)	(25,162)
Income tax expense (benefit)	188	200	(14,034)	-	(13,646)
Net income (loss)	$ (11,516)	$ 1,348	$ 21,016	$ (22,364)	$ (11,516)

Index

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31, 2012				
	Parent	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income (loss)	$ (28,820)	$ 2,817	$ 4,365	$ (7,182)	$ (28,820)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Deferred income taxes	-	1,179	2,402	-	3,581
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	59	19,847	389	-	20,295
Share-based compensation	2,944	2,695	147	-	5,786
Change in contingent consideration for acquisitions	1,547	(167)	-	-	1,380
Amortization of notes payable issuance costs and discount	2,724	-	-	-	2,724
Unrealized gain on investment	(486)	-	-	-	(486)
Provision for doubtful accounts receivable and allowances, net of recoveries	-	12,561	(510)	-	12,051
Net change in assets and liabilities (net of effects of acquisitions)	(3,180)	(13,927)	(7,227)	7,182	(17,151)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(25,212)	25,006	(434)	-	(640)
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	-	(876)	-	-	(876)
Investment in securities	-	(240)	-	-	(240)
Purchases of property, equipment, and leasehold improvements	(75)	(1,846)	(253)	-	(2,174)
Intercompany advances	-	(17,638)	(2,520)	20,158	-
Change in restricted cash	(106)	-	-	-	(106)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(181)	(20,600)	(2,773)	20,158	(3,396)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Intercompany advances	19,658	-	500	(20,158)	-
Proceeds from exercise of options and employee stock purchase plan	1,039	-	-	-	1,039
Principal payments on notes	-	-	(37)	-	(37)
	-	(396)	-	-	(396)

Principal payments on capital leases						
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	20,697	(396)	463	(20,158)	606	
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	-	-	(73)	-	(73)	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(4,695)	4,009	(2,817)	-	(3,503)	
Cash and cash equivalents (net of restricted cash), beginning of period	4,907	27,840	5,818	-	38,565	(1)
Cash and cash equivalents (net of restricted cash), end of period	$ 212	$ 31,849	$ 3,001	$ -	$ 35,062	(2)

(1) Net of restricted cash of $707 at December 31, 2011.

(2) Net of restricted cash of $813 at December 31, 2012.

Index

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31, 2011				
	Parent	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income (loss)	$ (5,531)	$ 25,146	$ 3,784	$ (28,930)	$ (5,531)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Deferred income taxes	3,368	2,693	2,047	-	8,108
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	1,038	20,793	377	-	22,208
Share-based compensation	1,837	1,987	84	-	3,908
Change in contingent consideration for acquisitions	-	345	-	-	345
Amortization of notes payable issuance costs and discount	2,393	-	-	-	2,393
Realized (gain) loss on investment	-	-	(405)	-	(405)
Provision for doubtful accounts receivable and sales returns,net of recoveries	-	2,102	664	-	2,766
Stock issued for charitable contribution	1,851	-	-	-	1,851
Net change in assets and liabilities (net of effects of acquisitions)	(43,694)	(13,393)	(5,797)	28,930	(33,954)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(38,738)	39,673	754	-	1,689
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	-	(1,277)	-	-	(1,277)
Purchases of property, equipment, and leasehold improvements	-	(1,952)	(24)	-	(1,976)
Intercompany advances	-	(39,723)	-	39,723	-
Change in restricted cash	140	800	-	-	940
Distribution from equity investment	-	-	405	-	405
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	140	(42,152)	381	39,723	(1,908)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Intercompany advances	39,199	-	524	(39,723)	-
Proceeds from issuance of term notes	53,560	-	-	-	53,560

Redemption and retirement of preferred stock	(41,750)	-	-	-	(41,750)	
Preferred stock dividends paid	(7,328)	-	-	-	(7,328)	
Notes payable issuance costs paid	(1,528)	-	-	-	(1,528)	
Proceeds from exercise of options and employee stock purchase plan	1,166	-	-	-	1,166	
Principal payments on notes	-	(4,591)	-	-	(4,591)	
Principal payments on capital leases	-	(4)	-	-	(4)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	43,319	(4,595)	524	(39,723)	(475)	
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	-	-	(123)	-	(123)	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4,721	(7,074)	1,536	-	(817)	
Cash and cash equivalents (net of restricted cash), beginning of period	186	34,914	4,282	-	39,382	(1)
Cash and cash equivalents (net of restricted cash), end of period	$ 4,907	$ 27,840	$ 5,818	$ -	$ 38,565	(2)

(1)Net of restricted cash of $1,647 at December 31, 2010.

(2) Net of restricted cash of $707 at December 31, 2011.

Index

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31, 2010				
	Parent	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income (loss)	$ (11,516)	$ 1,348	$ 21,016	$ (22,364)	$ (11,516)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Deferred income taxes	(2)	-	(14,054)	-	(14,056)
Depreciation, amortization and impairment	2,221	14,762	829	-	17,812
Share-based compensation	1,350	1,121	152	-	2,623
Change in contingent consideration for acquisitions	-	113	-	-	113
Amortization of notes payable issuance costs and discount	1,445	-	-	-	1,445
Realized (gain) loss on investment	-	-	(506)	-	(506)
Provision for doubtful accounts receivable, sales returns and non-trade receivables, net of recoveries	-	400	480	-	880
Net change in assets and liabilities (net of effects of acquisitions)	(21,541)	11,487	(3,100)	22,364	9,210
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(28,043)	29,231	4,817	-	6,005
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(211,367)	(4,845)	-	-	(216,212)
Purchases of property, equipment, and leasehold improvements	(139)	(1,220)	(133)	-	(1,492)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	-	6,124	-	-	6,124
Intercompany advances	8,518	-	-	(8,518)	-
Change in restricted cash	(415)	(673)	-	-	(1,088)
Distribution from equity investment	-	-	606	-	606
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(203,403)	(614)	473	(8,518)	(212,062)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Intercompany advances	-	(2,353)	(6,165)	8,518	-

Proceeds from issuance of notes payable, net of discount of $5,468	194,532	-	-	-	194,532	
Proceeds from issuance of stock	41,750	-	-	-	41,750	
Note and stock issuance costs paid	(9,897)	-	-	-	(9,897)	
Proceeds from exercise of options and employee stock purchase plan	160	-	-	-	160	
Repurchases of stock	(26)	-	-	-	(26)	
Principal payments on capital leases	-	(142)	-	-	(142)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	226,519	(2,495)	(6,165)	8,518	226,377	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(4,927)	26,122	(875)	-	20,320	
Cash and cash equivalents (net of restricted cash), beginning of period	5,113	8,792	5,157	-	19,062	(1)
Cash and cash equivalents (net of restricted cash), end of period	$ 186	$ 34,914	$ 4,282	$ -	$ 39,382	(2)

(1) Net of restricted cash of $559 at December 31, 2009.

(2) Net of restricted cash of $1647 at December 31, 2010.

Index

(17) Quarterly Results (unaudited)

	2012 Quarterly Results			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Net sales	$60,978	$62,886	$ 60,394	$ 64,646
Gross margin	35,995	35,590	35,540	33,728
Loss before income taxes	(2,258)	(3,758)	(2,142)	(16,571)
Net loss	(1,863)	(5,879)	(3,826)	(17,252)
Net loss attributable to Merge	(1,842)	(5,882)	(3,814)	(17,264)
Net loss available to common shareholders	(1,842)	(5,882)	(3,814)	(17,264)
Basic and diluted loss per share	$(0.02)	$(0.06)	$ (0.04)	$ (0.19)

	2011 Quarterly Results			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Net sales	$52,672	$55,592	$ 60,077	$ 64,087
Gross margin	30,569	36,861	36,128	40,216
Income (loss) before income taxes	(744)	341	(1,255)	(208)
Net loss	(1,589)	(1,685)	(1,013)	(1,244)
Net loss attributable to Merge	(1,589)	(1,685)	(995)	(1,252)
Net loss available to common shareholders	(3,155)	(3,272)	(995)	(1,252)
Basic and diluted loss per share	$(0.04)	$(0.04)	$ (0.01)	$ (0.01)

	2010 Quarterly Results			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Net sales	$19,970	$29,003	$ 45,189	$ 46,170
Gross margin	13,554	12,989	24,451	24,775
Loss before income taxes	(3,104)	(14,903)	(3,459)	(3,696)
Net income (loss)	(3,152)	(14,961)	(3,446)	10,043
Net income (loss) attributable to Merge	(3,104)	(15,009)	(3,446)	10,043
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	(3,152)	(30,905)	(5,012)	8,477
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share	$(0.04)	$(0.39)	$ (0.06)	$ 0.10

During the fourth quarter of 2012, we recorded charges of $3,872 related to third party licenses and technology considered unusable, $1,269 for the write-off of acquired intangibles and $9,163 related primarily to our reserve for revenues in excess of billings and uncollectible billings from customer contracts obtained through acquisitions in the past few years. The aggregate of these adjustments was to increase our net loss by $14,304 ($0.15 per share, net of income tax) for the quarter ended December 31, 2012.

Index

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures of a registrant designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the registrant in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is properly recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include processes to accumulate and evaluate relevant information and communicate such information to a registrant's management, including its principal executive and financial officers, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Our control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.

Our management has evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012. Based on their evaluation as of December 31, 2012, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a−15(e) and 15d−15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) were effective at the reasonable assurance level to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in this Annual Report on Form 10−K was (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and regulations and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with GAAP.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Based on its assessment, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 has been audited by BDO USA, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report which is included below.

Index

(c) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders
Merge Healthcare Incorporated
Chicago, Illinois

We have audited Merge Healthcare Incorporated's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Merge Healthcare Incorporated's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying "Item 9A Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting". Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Merge Healthcare Incorporated maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Merge Healthcare Incorporated as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity and cash flows and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 and our report dated March 11, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Chicago, Illinois

March 11, 2013

(d) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes with respect to our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2012.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

As permitted by SEC rules, we have omitted certain information required by Part III from this Report on Form 10-K, because we intend to file (pursuant to Section 240.14a-101) our definitive proxy statement for our 2013 annual shareholder meeting (Proxy Statement) not later than April 30, 2013, and are, therefore, incorporating by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K such information from the Proxy Statement.

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item 10 will be included under the captions “Election of Directors” and “Information Concerning Directors, Nominees and Executive Officers” in our Proxy Statement for our 2013 annual meeting of shareholders. Information concerning the compliance of our officers, directors and 10% shareholders with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in the Proxy Statement under the caption “Corporate Governance — Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.” The information regarding Audit Committee members and “Audit Committee Financial Experts” is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in the Proxy Statement under the caption “Corporate Governance — Committee Membership.” The information regarding our Code of Business Ethics is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading “Corporate Governance — Merge Healthcare’s Code of Ethics.”

Index

Merge Healthcare's Code of Ethics

All of our employees, including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, our Controller, and persons performing similar functions, and all Directors, are required to abide by Merge Healthcare's Code of Ethics to ensure that our business is conducted in a consistently legal and ethical manner. This Code of Ethics along with our Whistleblower Policy form the foundation of a comprehensive process that includes compliance with all corporate policies and procedures, an open relationship among colleagues that contributes to good business conduct, and the high integrity level of our employees and Directors. Our policies and procedures cover all areas of professional conduct, including employment policies, conflicts of interest, intellectual property and the protection of confidential information, as well as strict adherence to all laws and regulations applicable to the conduct of our business. Employees are required to report any conduct that they believe in good faith to be an actual or apparent violation of Merge Healthcare's Code of Ethics. The Sarbanes–Oxley Act of 2002 requires audit committees to have procedures to receive, retain and address complaints received regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and to allow for the confidential and anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. We have such procedures in place as set forth in the Merge Healthcare Incorporated Whistleblower Policy and the Code of Ethics. The Code of Ethics is included on the website, www.merge.com/Company/Investors/Corporate-Governance.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth under the caption "Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors" in the Proxy Statement.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement. For information regarding our share-based compensation plans, please see Note 9 of the notes to consolidated financial statements.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth under the caption "Corporate Governance — Transactions with Related Persons" in the Proxy Statement.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth under the caption "Audit and Non-Audit Fees" in the Proxy Statement.

Index

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this annual report:

Financial Statements filed as part of this report pursuant to Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

- Consolidated Balance Sheets of Merge Healthcare Incorporated and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2012 and 2011;
- Consolidated Statements of Operations of Merge Healthcare Incorporated and Subsidiaries for each of the three years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010;
- Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss of Merge Healthcare Incorporated and Subsidiaries for each of the three years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010;
- Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity of Merge Healthcare Incorporated and Subsidiaries for each of the three years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010;
- Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows of Merge Healthcare Incorporated and Subsidiaries for each of the three years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010;
- Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Merge Healthcare Incorporated and Subsidiaries;

(b) SeeExhibit Index that follows.

Index

Exhibit Index

2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 30, 2009, by and among the Registrant, Merge Acquisition Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of Registrant, and etrials Worldwide, Inc. (A)
2.2	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 7, 2009, by and among the Registrant, Merge Acquisition Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant, Confirma, Inc. and John L. Brooks (B)
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 28, 2010, by and among the Registrant, Project Ready Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant, and AMICAS, Inc. (C)
2.4	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 2, 2010, by and among Stryker Corporation, Stryker Imaging Corporation and the Registrant (D)
2.5	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2010, by and between the Registrant and Merrick Healthcare Solutions, LLC d/b/a Olivia Greets (E)
2.6	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 5, 2011, by and among the Registrant, ES Acquisition Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant, and Ophthalmic Imaging Systems (F)
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant as filed on October 14, 2008 (G)
3.2	Certificate of Merger as filed on December 3, 2008 and effective on December 5, 2008 (G)
3.3	Series A Preferred Stock Certificate of Designations as filed on April 26, 2010 (H)
3.4	Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant as filed on September 27, 2010 (I)
3.5	Bylaws of Registrant (G)
10.1	Registration Rights Agreement, dated June 4, 2008, by and between the Registrant and Merrick RIS, LLC (J)
10.2	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated May 21, 2008, by and among the Registrant, the subsidiaries listed on the Schedule of Subsidiaries attached thereto, and Merrick RIS, LLC (K)
10.3	Employment Letter Agreement between the Registrant and Justin C. Dearborn entered into as of June 4, 2008 (L)*
10.4	Employment Letter Agreement between the Registrant and Steven M. Oreskovich entered into as of June 4, 2008 (L)*
10.5	Employment Letter Agreement between the Registrant and Nancy J. Koenig entered into as of June 4, 2008 (L)*
10.6	Employment Letter Agreement between the Registrant and Antonia A. Wells entered into as of June 4, 2008 (L)*
10.7	First Amendment, dated July 1, 2008, to that certain Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 21, 2008, by and among the Registrant, certain of its subsidiaries and Merrick RIS, LLC (M)
10.8	Consulting Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2009, by and between the Registrant and Merrick RIS, LLC (G)
10.9	1996 Stock Option Plan for Employees of the Registrant dated May 13, 1996 (N), as amended and restated in its entirety as of September 1, 2003 (O)*
10.10	1998 Stock Option Plan for Directors (P)*
10.11	2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of the Registrant effective July 1, 2000 (Q)*
10.12	2005 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended through the third amendment thereto) (R)*
10.13	First Amendment, effective as of January 1, 2010, to that certain Consulting Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2009, by and between the Registrant and Merrick RIS, LLC (S)
10.14	Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Jeffery A. Surges entered into as of November 5, 2010 (D)*
14.1	Code of Ethics (G)
14.2	Whistleblower Policy (G)
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant**
23.1	

	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – BDO USA, LLP – Chicago, for the Merge Healthcare Solutions Inc.'s financial statements**
31.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
31.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
32	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) Pursuant to Section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002***
101	The following materials from the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 formatted in Extensible Business Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) the Consolidated Statements on Comprehensive Income (Loss)***

Index

(A)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 30, 2009.
(B)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 7, 2009.
(C)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 4, 2010.
(D)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.
(E)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 30, 2010.
(F)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 6, 2011.
(G)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.
(H)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 30, 2010.
(I)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 30, 2010.
(J)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 6, 2008.
(K)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 22, 2008.
(L)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 15, 2008.
(M)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 7, 2008.
(N)	Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (No. 333-39111) effective January 29, 1998.
(O)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003.
(P)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997.
(Q)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Shareholders dated May 8, 2000.
(R)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Shareholders dated April 22, 2011.
(S)	Incorporated by reference from the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 2, 2010.
*	Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
**	Filed herewith
***	Furnished herewith

Index

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Merge Healthcare Incorporated

Date: March 11, 2013 By: /s/ Jeffery A. Surges
Jeffery A. Surges
Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOWN ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Jeffery A. Surges and Steven M. Oreskovich, and each of them, his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this report, and to file the same, with all and any other regulatory authority, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents or any of them, or their substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: March 11, 2013 By: /s/ Michael W. Ferro, Jr.
Michael W. Ferro, Jr.
Chairman of the Board

Date: March 11, 2013 By: /s/ Dennis Brown
Dennis Brown
Director

Date: March 11, 2013 By: /s/ Matthew M. Maloney
Matthew M. Maloney
Director

Date: March 11, 2013 By: /s/ Richard A. Reck
Richard A. Reck
Director

Date: March 11, 2013 By: /s/ Neele E. Stearns, Jr.
Neele E. Stearns, Jr.
Director

Date: March 11, 2013 By: /s/ Jeffery A. surges
Jeffery A. Surges
Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

Date: March 11, 2013	By: /s/ Justin C. Dearborn Justin C. Dearborn President
Date: March 11, 2013	/s/ Steven M. Oreskovich By: Steven M. Oreskovich Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)