

FORMFACTOR INC
Form 10-Q
August 04, 2010
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark one)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 26, 2010

Or

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 000-50307

FormFactor, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-3711155
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

7005 Southfront Road, Livermore, California 94551

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(925) 290-4000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of the Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 28, 2010, 50,258,462 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, were outstanding.

Table of Contents

FORMFACTOR, INC.

FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 26, 2010

INDEX

<u>Part I.</u>	<u>Financial Information</u>	3
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements:</u>	3
	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009</u>	3
	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 26, 2010 and December 26, 2009</u>	4
	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	28
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	28
<u>Part II.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	29
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	29
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	29
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	32
	<u>Signatures</u>	33
	<u>Exhibit Index</u>	34

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****FORMFACTOR, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Revenues	\$ 57,640	\$ 31,198	\$ 97,306	\$ 58,567
Cost of revenues	54,709	32,524	96,703	63,572
Gross profit (loss)	2,931	(1,326)	603	(5,005)
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	15,997	13,938	31,088	28,047
Selling, general and administrative	18,725	18,263	36,592	44,574
Restructuring charges, net	2,513	264	6,063	7,943
Total operating expenses	37,235	32,465	73,743	80,564
Operating loss	(34,304)	(33,791)	(73,140)	(85,569)
Interest income, net	722	762	1,497	1,877
Other income (expense), net	(82)	(89)	35	(505)
Loss before income taxes	(33,664)	(33,118)	(71,608)	(84,197)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	200	32,728	440	19,592
Net loss	\$ (33,864)	\$ (65,846)	\$ (72,048)	\$ (103,789)
Net loss per share:				
Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.68)	\$ (1.33)	\$ (1.44)	\$ (2.11)
Weighted-average number of shares used in per share calculations:				
Basic and Diluted	50,084	49,394	49,989	49,297

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**FORMFACTOR, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

	June 26, 2010	December 26, 2009
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 89,076	\$ 122,043
Marketable securities	308,685	327,192
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,932 at June 26, 2010 and \$9,260 at December 26, 2009, respectively	44,105	29,412
Inventories	35,792	25,548
Deferred tax assets	3,321	3,296
Refundable income taxes	425	26,774
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	14,130	12,346
Total current assets	495,534	546,611
Restricted cash	680	680
Property and equipment, net	96,904	97,758
Deferred tax assets	2,299	2,202
Other assets	8,027	8,717
Total assets	\$ 603,444	\$ 655,968
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 32,095	\$ 29,250
Accrued liabilities	32,002	23,417
Income taxes payable	272	481
Deferred revenue	8,648	10,856
Total current liabilities	73,017	64,004
Long-term income taxes payable	6,423	6,423
Deferred rent and other liabilities	4,984	5,626
Deferred tax liability	2,134	2,134
Total liabilities	86,558	78,187
Commitments and contingencies (Note 16)		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value:		
10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at June 26, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value:		
250,000,000 shares authorized; 50,258,462 and 49,762,008 shares issued and outstanding at June 26, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively	51	50
Additional paid-in capital	641,164	630,333
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,574	1,253
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(125,903)	(53,855)
Total stockholders' equity	516,886	577,781
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 603,444	\$ 655,968

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FORMFACTOR, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (72,048)	\$ (103,789)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	16,884	15,995
Amortization (accretion) of investments	222	(6)
Stock-based compensation expense	9,152	11,909
Deferred income tax provision (benefit)	(89)	34,642
Excess tax benefits from equity based compensation plans		(437)
Provision for doubtful accounts receivable	(328)	4,931
Provision for excess and obsolete inventories	512	5,818
Loss on disposal and impairment of property and equipment	1,502	144
Non-cash restructuring	1,034	366
Foreign currency transaction (gains) / losses	426	
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(13,976)	(4,976)
Inventories	(10,633)	(5,545)
Prepays and other current assets	(1,745)	5,858
Refundable income taxes	26,349	14,683
Other assets	(248)	6,389
Accounts payable	1,192	(8,252)
Accrued liabilities	9,043	(8,752)
Income tax payable	(212)	(3,285)
Deferred rent	(652)	(257)
Deferred revenues	(2,205)	4,821
Net cash used in operating activities	(35,820)	(29,743)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of property and equipment	(16,484)	(8,753)
Purchases of marketable securities	(145,590)	(287,616)
Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities	155,225	149,430
Proceeds from sales of marketable securities	9,000	18,905
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	2,151	(128,034)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuances of common stock and awards, net	1,536	2,565
Excess tax benefits from equity based compensation plans		437
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,536	3,002
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(834)	(45)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(32,967)	(154,820)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	122,043	337,926
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 89,076	\$ 183,106
Supplemental cash flow disclosures:		

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Purchases of property and equipment through accounts payable and accruals	\$	1,026	\$	(5,065)
Income taxes refunded, net	\$	(25,625)	\$	(26,576)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FORMFACTOR, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

Basis of presentation. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements of FormFactor, Inc. and our subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and pursuant to the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Our interim financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary to fairly present our financial position, results of operations and cash flows have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 25, 2010, or for any other period. The balance sheet at December 26, 2009 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. The condensed consolidated financial statements include our accounts as well as those of our wholly-owned subsidiaries after elimination of all significant inter-company balances and transactions.

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates, and material effects on our consolidated operating results and financial position may result.

These financial statements and notes should be read with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 26, 2009 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 24, 2010.

Fiscal Year. We operate on a 52/53 week fiscal year, whereby the year ends on the last Saturday of December. Fiscal 2010 will end on December 25, 2010, and will consist of 52 weeks.

Reclassifications. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year s Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows to conform to the current year presentation. The reclassifications had no effect on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations or Balance Sheets.

Note 2 Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Other Reporting Considerations

In April 2010, the FASB issued an update to amend the guidance on the milestone method in revenue recognition. The amendment provides guidance on the criteria that should be met for determining whether the milestone method of revenue recognition is appropriate in research or

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

development transactions. The amendment is effective on a prospective basis for milestones achieved in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this amendment on our consolidated balance sheets and statement of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance to amend the disclosure requirements related to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The guidance requires new disclosures on the transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 (quoted prices in active market for identical assets or liabilities) and Level 2 (significant other observable inputs) of the fair value measurement hierarchy, including the reasons and the timing of the transfers. Additionally, the guidance requires a roll forward of activities on purchases, sales, issuance, and settlements of the assets and liabilities measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 fair value measurements). The guidance is effective for interim or annual financial reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Therefore, we have not yet adopted the guidance with respect to the roll forward activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Other than requiring additional disclosures, adoption of this new guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

Note 3 Concentration of Credit and Other Risks

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash equivalents, investments and trade receivables. Our cash equivalents and marketable securities are held in safekeeping by large, creditworthy financial institutions. We invest our excess cash primarily in U.S. banks, government and agency bonds, money market funds and corporate obligations. We have established guidelines relative to credit ratings, diversification and maturities that seek to maintain safety and liquidity.

Table of Contents

We sell our products to large multinational semiconductor manufacturers primarily located in Asia and North America. Four customers represented 28%, 12%, 12% and 11% of total revenues during the three months ended June 26, 2010, and one customer represented 44% of total revenues for the three months ended June 27, 2009. Three customers represented 22%, 14% and 12% of total revenues during the six months ended June 26, 2010, and one customer represented 56% of total revenues for the six months ended June 27, 2009. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of total revenues in any of these fiscal periods.

We have significant accounts receivables concentrated with a few customers in the semiconductor industry. While our allowance for doubtful accounts balance is based on historical loss experience along with anticipated economic trends, unanticipated financial instability in the semiconductor industry could lead to higher than anticipated losses. As of June 26, 2010, two customers accounted for approximately 30% and 13% of gross accounts receivable. At December 26, 2009, three customers accounted for approximately 21%, 18% and 16% of gross accounts receivable. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of gross accounts receivable in any of these fiscal periods.

Note 4 Restructuring Charges

Restructuring charges include costs related to employee termination benefits, cost of long-lived assets abandoned or impaired, as well as contract termination costs. The determination of when we accrue for employee termination benefits and which standard applies depends on whether the termination benefits are provided under a one-time benefit arrangement or under an on-going benefit arrangement. For restructuring charges recorded as an on-going benefit arrangement, a liability for post-employment benefits is recorded when payment is probable, the amount is reasonably estimable, and the obligation relates to rights that have vested or accumulated. For restructuring charges recorded as a one-time benefit arrangement, we recognize a liability for employee termination benefits when a plan of termination, approved by management and establishing the terms of the benefit arrangement, has been communicated to employees. The timing of the recognition of one-time employee termination benefits is dependent upon the period of time the employees are required to render service after communication. If employees are not required to render service in order to receive the termination benefits or if employees will not be retained to render service beyond the minimum legal notification period, a liability for the termination benefits is recognized at the communication date. In instances where employees will be retained to render service beyond the minimum legal notification period, the liability for employee termination benefits is measured initially at the communication date based on the fair value of the liability as of the termination date and is recognized ratably over the future service period. We record charges related to long-lived assets to be abandoned when the assets cease to be used. We record a liability for contract termination costs that will continue to be incurred under a contract for its remaining term without economic benefit to us at the cease-use date.

We recorded net restructuring charges of \$2.5 million and \$6.1 million for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010, respectively, and \$0.3 million and \$7.9 million in the comparable periods of fiscal 2009. The restructuring plans implemented in the first and second quarters of 2010 are discussed below.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we implemented a restructuring plan (the Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan) intended to align resources in continuation of our global regionalization strategy to place more decision-making in regions close to our semiconductor customers. As part of this regionalization strategy, we moved certain assembly and test operations from our back-end manufacturing processes in Livermore, California to Asia, and planned to bring-up and qualify our back-end manufacturing operations in Singapore. As a result of this restructuring plan, our worldwide headcount was expected to be reduced by 106 full-time employees. The activities comprising the reduction in force were expected to be completed by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011. We recorded \$3.6 million and \$27,000 in charges for the Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan in the first and second quarter of fiscal 2010, which were all related to severance and related benefits.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we announced a series of corporate initiatives, including a reduction in workforce, that represented a renewed focus on streamlining and simplifying our operations as well as reducing our quarterly operating costs (the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan). These actions included reducing the scope of the previously contemplated manufacturing operations in Korea, resulting in a reduction of workforce of 16 employees related to the assembly and test function. Additionally, we undertook a further workforce reduction of 67 employees spread across all functions of the organization to further streamline and simplify our operations and reduce operating costs. The activities comprising the reduction in force are expected to be substantially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010.

We recorded \$4.9 million in charges for the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 primarily for severance and related benefits. Additionally, in conjunction with the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan we identified certain equipment and software assets related to our assembly and test operations in Korea that would no longer be utilized. As a result, we recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$0.9 million, representing the net book value of these assets. Additionally, in connection with the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan and related shift of certain operations to Singapore, we have re-evaluated the estimated useful life of certain assets, primarily leasehold improvements, in our Singapore facilities, as discussed in Note 10.

Table of Contents

Due to the decisions we made regarding our manufacturing operations in Korea and the expected timing of the qualification of our Singapore back-end manufacturing operations, these Korea manufacturing operations have been moved back to our Livermore location in the second quarter of fiscal 2010, resulting in an increased demand for manufacturing staff in our Livermore location. As a result, management has undertaken a plan to rescind the previously issued severance arrangements for certain employees impacted by the Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan. Additionally, these employees have been informed that if and when the manufacturing line transition occurs we will review our severance and retention packages at that time. As a result of this rescission plan, as of June 26, 2010, we have reversed the existing accrual for the severance costs booked in conjunction with the Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan, including the accrued retention bonus to date, resulting in a benefit to our statement of operations of \$3.3 million. We expect to have the rescission plan completed by the end of our third fiscal quarter.

The liabilities we have accrued represent our best estimate of the obligations we expect to incur and could be subject to adjustment as market conditions change. The cash payments associated with the reduction in force are expected to be paid by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011.

The activities in the restructuring accrual for the six months ended June 26, 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

	Employee Severance and Benefits	Property and Equipment Impairment	Contract Termination and Other	Total
Accrual at December 26, 2009	\$ 973	\$	\$ 76	\$ 1,049
Charges for Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan	3,550			3,550
Cash payments	(991)			(991)
Accrual at March 27, 2010	\$ 3,532	\$	\$ 76	\$ 3,608
Reversal of Charges for Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan booked in Q1 10	(3,282)			(3,282)
Charges for Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan	27			27
Charges for Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan	4,910	858		5,768
Non-cash settlement	(28)	(858)		(886)
Cash payments	(216)			(216)
Accrual at June 26, 2010	\$ 4,943	\$	\$ 76	\$ 5,019

Restructuring charges are reflected separately as Restructuring in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The remaining accrual as of June 26, 2010 that relates to severance benefits will be paid out by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011. As such, the restructuring accrual is recorded as a current liability within Accrued liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Note 5 Fair Value

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial and non-financial assets and to determine fair value disclosures. Our marketable securities are financial assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. We also have a building held for sale in Livermore, California and certain manufacturing equipment held for sale, which are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and included within Prepaid expenses and other current assets in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The accounting standard for fair value defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required to be recorded at fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact and consider assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions, and risk of nonperformance. The accounting standard for fair value establishes a fair value hierarchy that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The standard describes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, the first two of which are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 - Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, which are observable either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

We measure and report certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis, including money market funds, U.S. government securities, municipal bonds, agency securities and foreign currency derivatives. The following table represents our fair value hierarchy for our financial assets (cash equivalents and marketable securities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 26, 2010 (in thousands):

	Level 1		Level 2		Total
Assets:					
Cash equivalents					
Money market funds	\$ 40,696			\$ 40,696	
Commercial paper			18,998		18,998
Marketable securities					
U. S. Treasury			119,108		119,108
Municipal bonds			206		206
Agency securities			181,372		181,372
Commercial paper			7,999		7,999
Total	\$ 40,696		\$ 327,683		\$ 368,379

The Level 1 assets consist of our money market fund deposits. The Level 2 assets consist of our available-for-sale investment portfolio, which are valued utilizing a market approach. Our investments are priced by pricing vendors who provided observable inputs for their pricing without applying significant judgments. Broker's pricing is used mainly when a quoted price is not available, the investment is not priced by our pricing vendors or when a broker price is more reflective of fair values in the market in which the investment trades. Our broker-priced investments are labeled as Level 2 investments because fair values of these investments are based on similar assets without applying significant judgments. In addition, all of our investments have a sufficient level of trading volume to demonstrate that the fair values used are appropriate for these investments.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The Level 3 assets include a building and certain manufacturing equipment held for sale in Livermore, California. The building held for sale is classified as Level 3 as we used unobservable inputs in its valuation reflecting our assumptions that market participants would use in pricing this asset due to the absence of recent comparable market transactions and inherent lack of liquidity. The building held for sale was valued at \$0.9 million as of June 26, 2010 and December 26, 2009. We also classified certain manufacturing equipment located in Livermore, CA as held for sale as of June 26, 2010. The equipment was classified as Level 3 as we used unobservable inputs in our valuation reflecting our assumptions that market participants would use in pricing this asset due to the absence of observable market data on pricing and inherent lack of liquidity. The manufacturing equipment held for sale was valued at \$0.5 million at June 26, 2010 and \$0.6 million at December 26, 2009.

Our fair value processes include controls that are designed to ensure appropriate fair values are recorded. Such controls include model validation, review of key model inputs, and analysis of period-over-period fluctuations and independent recalculation of prices.

Note 6 Marketable Securities

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

We classify our marketable debt securities as available-for-sale . All marketable securities represent the investment of funds available for current operations, notwithstanding their contractual maturities. Such marketable securities are recorded at fair value and unrealized gains and losses are recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income until realized.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Marketable securities at June 26, 2010 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Market Value
U. S. Treasury	\$ 118,587	\$ 521	\$	\$ 119,108
Agency Securities	181,146	226		181,372
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	205	1		206
Commercial Paper	7,999			7,999
	\$ 307,937	\$ 748	\$	\$ 308,685

Marketable securities at December 26, 2009 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Market Value
U. S. Treasury	\$ 135,061	\$ 300	\$ (67)	\$ 135,294
Agency Securities	172,670	339	(192)	172,817
Commercial Paper	16,992			16,992
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	2,071	18		2,089
	\$ 326,794	\$ 657	\$ (259)	\$ 327,192

The marketable securities with gross unrealized losses have been in a loss position for less than 12 months as of December 26, 2009.

We typically invest in highly-rated securities with low probabilities of default. Our investment policy requires investments to be rated single-A or better, limits the types of acceptable investments, concentration as to security holder and duration of the investment. The net unrealized gains on our investments during the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 was caused primarily by changes in interest rates. When evaluating the investments for other-than-temporary impairment, we review factors such as the length of time and extent to which fair value has been below the amortized cost basis, review of current market liquidity, interest rate risk, the financial condition of the issuer, as well as credit rating downgrades.

Contractual maturities of marketable securities as of June 26, 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Market Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 149,627	\$ 149,845
Due after one year to three years	158,310	158,840
	\$ 307,937	\$ 308,685

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Realized gains and losses on sales and maturities of marketable securities were immaterial for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009, respectively.

Note 7 Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

A majority of our trade receivables are derived from sales to large multinational semiconductor manufacturers throughout the world. In order to monitor potential credit losses, we perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition. An allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained for probable credit losses based upon our assessment of the expected collectability of all accounts receivable. The allowance for doubtful accounts is reviewed on a quarterly basis to assess the adequacy of the allowance. We take into consideration (1) any circumstances of which we are aware of a customer's inability to meet its financial obligations; and (2) our judgments as to prevailing economic conditions in the industry and their impact on our customers. If circumstances change, and the financial condition of our customers are adversely affected and they are unable to meet their financial obligations to us, we may need to take additional allowances, which would result in an increase in our net loss.

Table of Contents

We recorded a reduction in provision for doubtful debts of \$0.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 primarily due to the payment of accounts receivable that was previously reserved. In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we provided additional allowance for doubtful debts of \$0.3 million for accounts determined to be uncollectible and a reduction in provision for doubtful debts of \$0.5 million due to the receipt of payments for accounts receivable that were previously reserved. The allowance for doubtful accounts consisted of the following activity for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 (in thousands):

		Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Receivable
Balance at December 26, 2009	\$	9,260
Additions		
Deductions		(147)
Balance at March 27, 2010	\$	9,113
Additions		315
Deductions		(496)
Balance at June 26, 2010	\$	8,932

Note 8 Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (principally standard cost which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis) or market value. Provision for estimated excess and obsolete inventories is made based on our management's analysis of inventory levels and future sales forecasts. Once the value is adjusted, the original cost of our inventory less the related inventory write-down represents the new cost basis of such products. Reversal of these write-downs is recognized only when the related inventory has been scrapped or sold.

We design, manufacture and sell a custom product into a market that has been subject to cyclicity and significant demand fluctuations. Probe cards are complex products, custom to a specific chip design and must be delivered on short lead-times. Probe cards are manufactured in low volumes; therefore, material purchases are often subject to minimum purchase order quantities in excess of the actual demand. It is not uncommon for us to acquire production materials and start certain production activities based on estimated production yields and forecasted demand prior to or in excess of actual demand for our wafer probe cards. These factors make inventory valuation adjustments part of our normal recurring cost of revenue. During the three months ended June 26, 2010, we recognized a benefit of \$0.7 million which was comprised of a charge of \$4.0 million to the inventory provision for the increased level of materials held in excess of actual demand, offset by a reduction of \$4.7 million for the revaluation of previously reserved materials. We recorded charges for aggregated inventory write downs of \$0.5 million for the six months ended June 26, 2010, and \$0.8 million and \$5.8 million for the three and six months ended June 27, 2009, respectively. We retain a portion of the excess inventory until the customer's design is discontinued. The inventory may be used to satisfy customer warranty obligations.

When our products have been delivered, but the revenue associated with that product is deferred because the related revenue recognition criteria have not been met, we defer the related cost of revenue. The deferred inventory costs do not exceed the deferred revenue amounts.

Inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

June 26,	December 26,
-----------------	---------------------

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

	2010		2009
Raw materials	\$ 4,812	\$	2,405
Work-in-progress	22,565		11,457
Finished goods:			
Deferred cost of revenue	3,483		6,097
Manufactured finished goods	4,932		5,589
	\$ 35,792	\$	25,548

Note 9 Warranty

We offer warranties on our products, other than certain evaluation and early adopter products that are not offered with warranty. We also record a liability for the estimated future costs associated with customer warranty claims, which is based upon historical experience and our estimate of the level of future costs. Warranty costs are reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as a cost of revenues.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of the changes in our warranty liability (included in *Accrued liabilities* in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets) is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Warranty accrual beginning balance	\$ 307	\$ 914	\$ 732	\$ 1,098
Accrual for warranties issued during the period	254	(200)	(142)	(28)
Settlements made during the period	(154)	(80)	(183)	(436)
Warranty accrual ending balance	\$ 407	\$ 634	\$ 407	\$ 634

Note 10 Long Lived Assets

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Useful Life (in years)	June 26, 2010	December 26, 2009
Machinery and equipment	5 to 7	\$ 114,008	\$ 115,938
Computer equipment and software	3 to 5	35,944	34,810
Furniture and fixtures	5	7,192	7,172
Leasehold improvements	1 to 15	75,340	71,816
		232,484	229,736
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(154,270)	(146,365)
		78,214	83,371
Construction-in-progress		18,690	14,387
		\$ 96,904	\$ 97,758

At June 26, 2010, the net book value of our total intangible assets was \$6.5 million, with \$7.8 million as the gross amount and \$1.3 million as the accumulated amortization. All of our intangible assets were purchased intellectual properties. We recorded \$0.4 and \$0.9 million amortization expense for our intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010, respectively. The purchased intellectual property assets had a weighted average amortization period of 3.8 years at June 26, 2010. The intangible assets are included in *Other Assets* in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

During the three months ended June 26, 2010 we wrote off fully depreciated assets with an acquired cost of \$4.8 million. In addition to the impairment of certain assets related to our assembly and test operations in Korea (see Note 4), we recorded an impairment of \$1.0 million related to the termination of an on-going project that had been recorded in construction-in-progress.

As discussed in Note 4, in the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we announced the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan targeted at returning the Company to profitability. As part of this restructuring plan, we are consolidating certain of our manufacturing operations by, among other things, moving the assembly and testing operation from Korea to Singapore. As a result of this planned and on-going expansion of operations in our Singapore location, we re-evaluated our expectation as to whether or not our renewal option for the related lease was reasonably assured. As a result of this

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

analysis, we have re-evaluated and adjusted the estimated remaining useful life of leasehold improvements in our Singapore facility by approximately five years. In the current quarter, the benefit from the extended remaining useful life of the Singapore facility leasehold improvements was immaterial. We expect the benefit of extending the estimated useful lives of these assets to be \$0.7 million for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2010.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we determined that an impairment analysis of our long-lived assets was required due to the combined effect of a sustained decline in the Company's stock price, a significant change in our business strategy in connection with the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan and recurring operating losses. Accordingly, management tested the recoverability of its long lived assets in the second quarter of fiscal 2010.

We determined our long-lived asset group to be our consolidated long-lived assets as we have determined that we operate as one reporting unit and segment. The recoverability of assets to be held and used was measured by comparing the carrying amount of these assets to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its estimated undiscounted future net cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. As a result of this test, we concluded that the Company's business is able to fully recover the carrying value of its assets and did not recognize any impairment charges in the second quarter of fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents**Note 11 Comprehensive Loss**

Comprehensive loss includes foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, the impact of which has been excluded from net income and reflected as components of stockholders' equity.

Components of comprehensive loss were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Net loss	\$ (33,864)	\$ (65,846)	\$ (72,048)	\$ (103,789)
Unrealized gain/(loss) on investments, net	336	(151)	350	(564)
Cumulative translation adjustments	89	359	(29)	(300)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (33,439)	\$ (65,638)	\$ (71,727)	\$ (104,653)

Components of accumulated other comprehensive income were as follows (in thousands):

	June 26, 2010	December 26, 2009
Unrealized gain on marketable securities, net of tax of \$299 at June 26, 2010 and December 26, 2009, respectively	\$ 449	\$ 99
Cumulative translation adjustments	1,125	1,154
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 1,574	\$ 1,253

Note 12 Stockholders' Equity*Stock Option Plans*

We have three equity incentive plans: Incentive Option Plan and Management Incentive Option Plan (together, the "Prior Plans"), and 2002 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2002 Plan"), which became effective in June 2002. Upon the effectiveness of the 2002 Plan, we ceased granting any equity awards under the Prior Plans, although forfeited, repurchased, cancelled or terminated Prior Plan shares were transferred to the 2002 Plan.

Stock option activity under the Prior Plans and the 2002 Plan during the six months ended June 26, 2010 is set forth below:

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balances, December 26, 2009	5,859,820	\$ 26.17		
Options granted				
Options exercised	(11,763)	7.98		
Options cancelled:				
Forfeited	(58,170)	35.67		
Expired	(25,094)	37.95		
Balances, March 27, 2010	5,764,793	\$ 26.06	3.96	\$ 6,180,392
Options granted	420,570	14.90		
Options exercised	(5,325)	6.87		
Options cancelled:				
Forfeited	(266,392)	19.66		
Expired	(276,688)	29.55		
Balances, June 26, 2010	5,636,958	\$ 25.38	3.85	\$ 2,220,585
Vested and expected to vest at June 26, 2010	5,419,362	\$ 25.61	3.77	\$ 2,220,585
Exercisable at June 26, 2010	4,569,506	\$ 26.25	3.42	\$ 2,220,585

Table of Contents

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the quarter ended June 26, 2010 was \$6.37 per share. The intrinsic value of option exercises during the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 was \$36,000 and \$0.2 million. Cash received from stock option exercises during the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 was \$37,000 and \$0.1 million. We did not realize any gross tax benefits in connection with these exercises.

Restricted Stock Units

Activity of the restricted stock units under the 2002 Plan during the six months ended June 26, 2010 is set forth below:

	Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Restricted stock units at December 26, 2009	1,491,678	\$ 18.51
Awards granted	48,640	16.58
Awards released	(101,872)	20.18
Awards cancelled	(85,127)	18.18
Restricted stock units at March 27, 2010	1,353,319	\$ 18.33
Awards granted	631,678	15.39
Awards released	(260,344)	17.89
Awards cancelled	(60,032)	20.36
Restricted stock units at June 26, 2010	1,664,621	\$ 17.21

Note 13 Stock-Based Compensation

We account for all stock-based compensation to employees and directors, including grants of stock options, as stock-based compensation costs in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements based on the fair value measured as of the date of grant. These costs are recognized as an expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations over the requisite service period and increase additional paid-in capital.

The table below shows the stock-based compensation charges included in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Stock-based compensation expense included in:				
Cost of revenues	\$ 994	\$ 935	\$ 1,965	\$ 1,693
Research and development	1,963	1,449	3,356	2,438
Selling, general and administrative	937	4,738	3,831	7,562
Restructuring	176		176	216
Total stock-based compensation	4,070	7,122	9,328	11,909
Tax effect on stock-based compensation		(2,400)		(4,018)

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Total stock-based compensation, net of tax \$ 4,070 \$ 4,722 \$ 9,328 \$ 7,891

Stock Options

There were 420,570 options granted during the three months ended June 26, 2010. There were no options granted in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The following weighted-average assumptions were used in the estimated grant-date fair value calculations for stock options:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Stock Options:				
Dividend yield				
Expected volatility	49.74%	53.3%	49.74%	53.4%
Risk-free interest rate	1.91%	1.68%	1.91%	1.68%
Expected term (in years)	4.47	4.67	4.47	4.67

Table of Contents

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

There were no shares issued under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) during the three months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009, respectively. During the six months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009, 157,961 shares and 154,145 shares, respectively, were issued under the ESPP.

Unrecognized Compensation Costs

At June 26, 2010, the unrecognized stock-based compensation, adjusted for estimated forfeitures, was as follows (in thousands):

	Unrecognized Expense	Average Expected Recognition Period in years
Stock options	\$ 7,713	1.82
Restricted stock units	18,066	2.67
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	113	0.09
Total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense	\$ 25,892	

Note 14 Net Loss per Share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net loss per share is computed giving effect to all potential dilutive common stock, including stock options, restricted stock units and common stock subject to repurchase. Diluted loss per share for three and six months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009, respectively, was based only on the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during that period as the inclusion of any common stock equivalents would have been anti-dilutive.

A reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in the calculation of basic and diluted net loss per share follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Basic net loss per share				
Numerator:				
Net loss	\$ (33,864)	\$ (65,846)	\$ (72,048)	\$ (103,789)
Denominator:				
Weighted average common stock outstanding	50,084	49,394	49,989	49,297
Diluted net loss per share				
Numerator:				
Net loss	\$ (33,864)	\$ (65,846)	\$ (72,048)	\$ (103,789)

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Denominator:				
Weighted-average shares used in computing basic net loss per share	50,084	49,394	49,989	49,297
Add stock options, restricted stock units, ESPP, warrants and common stock subject to repurchase				
Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net loss per share	50,084	49,394	49,989	49,297

The following table sets forth the weighted-average of all potentially dilutive securities excluded from the computation in the table above because their effect would have been anti-dilutive (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Options to purchase common stock	5,076	5,373	5,134	5,475
Restricted stock units	596	31	88	
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	174	98	174	98
Total potentially dilutive securities	5,846	5,502	5,396	5,573

Table of Contents

Note 15 Income Taxes

The income tax provision for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 primarily reflects taxes on our non-U.S. operations. We maintain a valuation allowance for our Federal, state, and certain non-U.S. jurisdictions' deferred tax assets. The income tax provision for the three and six months ended June 27, 2009 is primarily due to the recognition of a valuation allowance against the Company's Federal and state deferred tax assets in accordance with the prevailing guidance for accounting for income taxes.

Accounting standards related to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements prescribe a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return as well as guidance on de-recognition of tax benefits. We classify interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as part of the income tax provision. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits, we accrued interest of approximately \$46,000 and zero penalties and approximately \$0.1 million and zero penalties for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010, respectively. We recognized interest expense of \$10,000 and zero penalties and \$0.1 million and zero penalties for the three and six months ended June 27, 2009, respectively. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits, we have an accrued total interest of \$0.9 million and zero penalties as of June 26, 2010.

The amount of income taxes we pay is subject to ongoing audits by Federal, state and non-U.S. tax authorities which might result in proposed assessments. Our estimate for the potential outcome for any uncertain tax issue is judgmental in nature. However, we believe that we have adequately provided for any reasonably foreseeable outcome related to those matters. Our future results may include favorable or unfavorable adjustments to our estimated tax liabilities in the period the assessments are made or resolved or when statutes of limitation on potential assessments expire. As of June 26, 2010, changes to our uncertain tax positions in the next 12 months, that are reasonably possible, are not expected to have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Note 16 Commitments and Contingencies

Environmental Matters

We are subject to U.S. Federal, state and local, and foreign governmental laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, including those governing the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the clean-up of contaminated sites and the maintenance of a safe workplace. We believe that we comply in all material respects with the environmental laws and regulations that apply to us, including those of the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, the City of Livermore Water Resources Division and the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health. No provision has been made for loss from environmental remediation liabilities associated with our facilities because we believe that it is not probable that a liability has been incurred as of June 26, 2010.

While we believe that we are in compliance in all material respects with the environmental laws and regulations that apply to us, in the future, we may receive environmental violation notices and, if received, final resolution of the violations identified by these notices could harm our operations, which may adversely impact our operating results and cash flows. New laws and regulations, stricter enforcement of existing laws and regulations, the discovery of previously unknown contamination at our or others' sites or the imposition of new cleanup requirements could also harm our operations, thereby adversely impacting our operating results and cash flows.

Legal Matters

From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. For the fiscal quarter ended June 26, 2010, we were not involved in any material legal proceedings, other than the proceedings summarized below. In the future we may become a party to additional legal proceedings, including proceedings designed to protect our intellectual property rights that require us to spend significant resources. Litigation, in general, and intellectual property litigation in particular, can be expensive and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of legal proceedings are difficult to predict, and the costs incurred in litigation can be substantial, regardless of outcome.

Patent Litigation

We initiated patent infringement litigation in the United States against Phicom Corporation, a Korea corporation, with a current operating name of TSC Memsys Corp., here referred to as Phicom, and against Micronics Japan Co., Ltd., a Japan corporation, and its U.S. subsidiary, both collectively Micronics Japan. In 2005, we filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

District Court for the District of Oregon against Phicom charging that it is willfully infringing four U.S. patents that cover key aspects of our wafer probe cards U.S. Patent Nos. 5,974,662, 6,246,247, 6,624,648, and 5,994,152. In 2006, we also filed an amended complaint in the same Oregon district court adding two additional patents to the litigation U.S. Patent Nos. 7,073,254 and 6,615,485. Also in 2006, we filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against Micronics Japan charging that it is willfully infringing four U.S. patents that cover key aspects of our wafer probe cards U.S. Patent Nos. 6,246,247, 6,509,751, 6,624,648, and 7,073,254. The relief sought in the complaints includes past damages and injunctive relief.

These two district court actions were stayed pending resolution of the complaint that we filed with the United States International Trade Commission, or ITC, on or about November 13, 2007, seeking institution of a formal investigation into the activities of Micronics Japan and Phicom. The requested investigation as filed encompassed U.S. Patent Nos. 5,994,152, 6,509,751, 6,615,485, 6,624,648 and 7,225,538 and alleged that infringement by each of Micronics Japan and Phicom of certain of the identified patents constitute unfair acts in violation of 19 U.S.C. Section 1337 and alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States of certain probe card assemblies, components thereof, and certain tested DRAM and NAND flash memory devices and products containing such devices that infringe patents owned by us.

In November 2009 in response to a request for review of prior decisions by an ITC Administrative Law Judge, the Commission issued a decision, which is termed a final determination, finding certain of our asserted patent claims valid, but not infringed, and other asserted patent claims invalid. The Commission did not find a violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 and terminated the investigation without issuing an exclusionary order against any products. We did not appeal the final determination to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. The stay in the district court action against Micronics Japan was lifted, and in July 2010 we reached an amicable resolution of the action against Micronics Japan resulting in the dismissal of the patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The terms and conditions of the settlement agreement are confidential. The stay in the district court action against Phicom was also lifted and the parties were directed to engage in a non-binding mediation in an attempt to amicably resolve the litigation. If the matter is not amicably resolved, we anticipate the action will proceed forward.

In addition to the United States litigations, we also initiated actions in Seoul, South Korea against Phicom. In 2004 we filed two actions in Seoul Southern District Court, located in Seoul, South Korea, against Phicom alleging infringement of our Korean Patent Nos. 252,457, 324,064, 278,342 and 399,210. In the action alleging infringement of our Korean Patent Nos. 278,342 and 399,210, the Seoul Southern District Court closed the case after rejecting our petition. We filed an appeal to the Seoul High Court regarding the decisions on our Korean Patent Nos. 278,342 and 399,210, but elected to voluntarily withdraw the appeal. The Seoul Southern District Court also rendered decisions unfavorable to us related to our Korean Patent Nos. 252,457 and 324,064 and the Seoul High Court dismissed our appeals of those decisions. The Seoul High Court decisions are subject to a final appeal to the Korea Supreme Court but we elected not to file such appeals. We also in 2006 filed in the Seoul Central District Court two actions, including a preliminary injunction action, against Phicom alleging infringement of certain claims of our Korea Patent No. 252,457. The Seoul Central District Court did not accept the preliminary injunction action and both actions have been closed.

In response to our initiation of the infringement actions in Korea, Phicom filed in the Korean Intellectual Property Office, or KIPO, invalidity actions challenging the validity of some or all of the claims of each of our four patents at issue in the Seoul Southern District Court infringement actions. KIPO dismissed Phicom's challenges against all four of the patents-at-issue. Phicom appealed the dismissals of the challenges to the Korea Patent Court. In 2005, the Korea Patent Court issued rulings holding invalid certain claims of our Korean Patent Nos. 278,342 and 399,210; and in 2006, issued a ruling holding invalid certain claims of our Korean Patent No. 324,064. We appealed those rulings to the Korea Supreme Court, which affirmed the Korea Patent Court rulings and dismissed our appeals. In 2006, the Korea Patent Court issued a ruling upholding the validity of our Korean Patent No. 252,457. Phicom appealed the Patent Court ruling on Korean Patent No. 252,457 to the Korea Supreme Court. In June 2008, the Korea Supreme Court reversed the Patent Court ruling, finding invalid certain claims of our Korean Patent No. 252,457 and remanding the case for further trial. We also filed a correction trial with KIPO on certain claims of Korean Patent No. 252,457. KIPO has issued decisions unfavorable to us in both of the actions relating to our Korean Patent No. 252,457, and those actions are now pending before the Korea Patent Court.

Additionally, one or more third parties have initiated challenges in the U.S. and in foreign patent offices against certain of the above and other of our patents. These actions include re-examination proceedings filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, USPTO, against three of our U.S. patents that were at issue in the ITC investigation. In the USPTO re-examination proceedings, all of the challenged claims have been finally rejected as non-patentable, and we have requested review of those rejections. The foreign actions include proceedings in Korea against two of our Korean patents, and proceedings in Taiwan against four of our Taiwan patents.

In July 2010, we filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against Micro-Probe Incorporated charging that it is willfully infringing six U.S. patents that cover aspects of our proprietary technology and wafer probe cards. The complaint seeks both injunctive relief and money damages for Micro-Probe's alleged

Table of Contents

infringement of our US Patent No. 6,441,315 for Contact Structures With Blades Having A Wiping Motion, US Patent No. 6,825,422 for Interconnection Element With Contact Blade, US Patent No. 6,965,244 for High Performance Probe System, US Patent No. 7,227,371 for High Performance Probe System, US Patent No. 6,246,247 for Probe Card Assembly and Kit, and Methods of Using Same, and US Patent No. 6,624,648 for Probe Card Assembly. The complaint also seeks injunctive relief and damages against Micro-Probe for unfair competition and further includes claims directed against a former employee for breach of confidence relative to our confidential and propriety information and against the former employee and Micro-Probe for conspiring to breach that confidence. Neither Micro-Probe nor the former employee, nor counsel on their behalf, has made an appearance in court in the action.

No provision has been made for patent-related litigation because we believe that it is not probable that a liability had been incurred as of June 26, 2010. We will incur material attorneys' fees in prosecuting and defending the various identified actions.

Commercial Litigation

On February 20, 2009, we filed a complaint for breach of contract, common counts, account stated and injunctive relief against Spansion, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Spansion"), in the state superior court located in Santa Clara County, California. The complaint alleges that Spansion, in breach of Spansion's obligations under a purchase agreement entered into by us and Spansion, has failed to pay us for probe cards that we designed, developed and manufactured pursuant to several purchase orders placed by Spansion with us pursuant to the agreement. The complaint states that as of February 13, 2009, Spansion owed us \$8,094,533 for probe cards delivered by us and not paid for by Spansion. In the complaint, we are seeking (i) payment of at least \$8,094,533, (ii) a temporary protective order and an injunction enjoining Spansion from assigning or in any way divesting itself of any monies that we believe Spansion received from a certain third party entity, (iii) a prejudgment writ of attachment in favor of us over Spansion's corporate assets and property, (iv) costs and (v) attorney's fees. Prior to making any appearance or filing any answer in the action, Spansion filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Laws of the United States, which served to stay our complaint against Spansion. In November 2009, we sold all rights, title and interest in the bankruptcy claim to a third party in exchange for net proceeds of less than full value of the asserted claim.

Indemnification Arrangements

We from time to time in the ordinary course of our business enter into contractual arrangements with third parties that include indemnification obligations. Under these contractual arrangements, we have agreed to defend, indemnify and/or hold the third party harmless from and against certain liabilities. These arrangements include indemnities in favor of customers in the event that our wafer probe cards infringe a third party's intellectual property and our lessors in connection with facility leasehold liabilities that we may cause. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and certain of our officers, and our bylaws contain indemnification obligations in favor of our directors, officers and agents. These indemnity arrangements may limit the type of the claim, the total amount that we can be required to pay in connection with the indemnification obligation and the time within which an indemnification claim can be made. The duration of the indemnification obligation may vary, and for most arrangements, survives the agreement term and is indefinite. It is not possible to determine or reasonably estimate the maximum potential amount of future payments under these indemnification obligations due to the varying terms of such obligations, the history of prior indemnification claims, the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular contractual arrangement and in each potential future claim for indemnification, and the contingency of any potential liabilities upon the occurrence of events that are not reasonably determinable. We have not had any requests for indemnification under these arrangements. We have not recorded any liabilities for these indemnification arrangements on our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 26, 2010.

Substantially all of our indemnities and commitments provide for limitations on the maximum potential future payments we could be obligated to make. However, we are unable to estimate the maximum amount of liability related to our indemnities and commitments because such liabilities are contingent upon the occurrence of events which are not reasonably determinable. Our management believes that any liability for these indemnities and commitments would not be material to our accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Note 17 Derivative Financial Instruments

We operate and sell our products in various global markets. As a result, we are exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We utilize foreign currency forward contracts to hedge against future movements in foreign exchange rates that affect certain existing foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities. Under this program, our strategy is to have increases or decreases in our foreign currency exposures offset by gains or losses on the foreign currency forward contracts to mitigate the risks and volatility associated with foreign currency transaction gains or losses. We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. Our derivative instruments, which are generally settled in the same quarter, are not designated as hedging instruments. We record the fair value of these contracts as of the end of our reporting period to our consolidated balance sheet with changes in fair value recorded in our consolidated statement of operations in Other income (expense), net for both realized and unrealized gains and losses.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

As of June 26, 2010, there were three outstanding foreign exchange forward contracts to sell Japanese Yen and Korean Won and buy Taiwan Dollars. The following table provides information about our foreign currency forward contracts outstanding as of June 26, 2010 (in thousands):

	Contract Amount (Local Currency)	\$	Contract Amount (U.S. Dollars)
Japanese Yen	1,260,138		14,099
Taiwan Dollar	(3,676)		(115)
Korean Won	8,245,552		6,834
Total USD notional amount of outstanding foreign exchange contracts		\$	20,818

The contracts were entered into on June 25, 2010 and matured on June 29, 2010. There was no change in the value of these contracts as of June 26, 2010. Additionally, no gains or losses relating to the outstanding derivative contracts were recorded in the three months ended June 26, 2010.

The location and amount of gains and losses related to non-designated derivative instruments that matured in the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009 in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations are as follows (in thousands):

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized on Derivatives	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized on Derivatives			
		Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
		June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Foreign exchange forward contracts	Other Income (expense), net	\$ (258)	\$ (792)	\$ (439)	\$ 1,413

Note 18 Departure of Executive Officers

We entered into a Separation Agreement on June 6, 2010 (CEO Separation Agreement) with Mario Ruscev in connection with his resignation as Chief Executive Officer on May 19, 2010. Under the CEO Separation Agreement, Dr. Ruscev will receive a lump sum severance payment of \$690,411, consisting of one year of his current base salary and a prorata portion of his annual bonus, less required payroll deductions and withholdings. In accordance with the CEO Separation Agreement, this amount will be paid in November 2010. In addition, the vesting of 11,750 restricted stock units and 138,500 stock option awards has been accelerated and Dr. Ruscev will have 12 months to exercise any vested and unexpired outstanding stock options.

We entered into a Separation Agreement on June 1, 2010 (CFO Separation Agreement) with Jean Bernard Vernet in connection with his resignation as Chief Financial Officer on May 19, 2010. Under the CFO Separation Agreement, Mr. Vernet is entitled to receive a lump sum severance payment of \$162,500, less required payroll deductions and withholdings. This amount was paid in May 2010. In addition, the vesting of 3,000 restricted stock units and 7,500 stock option awards has been accelerated and Mr. Vernet will have nine months to exercise any vested and unexpired outstanding stock options.

We recorded a net charge of \$0.6 million within Selling, General & Administrative expenses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, comprised of \$0.9 million of severance expenses net of \$0.3 million benefits from the stock-based compensation.

We will pay for monthly COBRA premiums for up to six and twelve months, respectively, for Mr. Vernet and Dr. Ruscev, as well as provide reimbursement for reasonable relocation expenses for both Mr. Vernet and Dr. Ruscev. They have signed a general release and waiver of claims in favor of the Company, and continue to be bound by the Company's employment, confidential information and invention assignment agreement.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933, which are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. The forward-looking statements include statements concerning, among other things, our business strategy, including anticipated trends and developments in and management plans for our business and the markets in which we operate, financial results, operating results, revenues, gross margin, operating expenses, products, projected costs and capital expenditures, research and development programs, sales and marketing initiatives, and competition. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as may, might, could, should, expect, plan, anticipate, estimate, predict, intend and continue, the negative or plural of these words and other comparable terminology.

The forward-looking statements are only predictions based on our current expectations and our projections about future events. All forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report are based upon information available to us as of the filing date of this Quarterly Report. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update any of these statements for any reason. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these statements. These factors include the matters discussed in the section titled "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009, in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 27, 2010, and in the section titled "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. You should carefully consider the numerous risks and uncertainties described under these sections.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes contained in this Quarterly Report. Unless expressly stated or the context otherwise requires, the terms "we," "our," "us" and "FormFactor" refer to FormFactor, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Overview

We design, develop, manufacture, sell and support precision, high performance advanced semiconductor wafer probe card products and solutions. Semiconductor manufacturers use our wafer probe cards to perform wafer sort and test on the semiconductor die, or chips, on the whole semiconductor wafer, which is prior to singulation of the wafer into individual separate chips. We work closely with our customers on product design, as each wafer probe card is a custom product that is specific to the chip and wafer designs of the customer. During wafer sort and test, a wafer probe card is mounted in a prober and connected to a semiconductor tester. The wafer probe card is used as an interface to connect electrically with and test individual chips on a wafer. Our wafer probe cards are used by our customers in the front end of the semiconductor manufacturing process, as are our parametric, or in-line, probe cards. We operate in a single industry segment and have derived substantially all of our revenues from the sale of wafer probe cards incorporating our proprietary technology, including our MicroSpring® interconnect technology.

We incurred a net loss of \$33.9 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 as compared to net loss of \$65.8 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2009. The net loss for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 includes \$2.5 million of pre-tax restructuring charges and \$0.6 million of

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

severance costs related to the recent change in executive management, as well as the impairment of certain fixed assets of \$1.0 million. The net loss for the second quarter of fiscal 2009 included a \$44.7 million valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax assets. We incurred a net loss of \$72.0 million in the first half of fiscal 2010 as compared to net loss of \$103.8 million for the first half of fiscal 2009. The net loss for the first half of fiscal 2010 is primarily due to low revenue and margins, \$6.1 million of pre-tax restructuring charges, and the impairment of certain fixed assets of \$1.0 million. The net loss for the first half of fiscal 2009 is primarily due to the low revenues and margins, the \$44.7 million valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets, \$7.9 million restructuring charges and the \$4.9 million provision for bad debts due to the heightened risk of non-payment of certain accounts receivable.

Our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities totaled approximately \$397.8 million as of June 26, 2010, as compared to \$449.2 million at December 26, 2009. We believe that we will be able to satisfy our working capital requirements for the next twelve months with the liquidity provided by our existing cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. If we are unsuccessful in improving our operating efficiency, reducing our cash outlays or increasing our available cash through financing, our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities could further decline in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 and in future fiscal quarters.

Revenues. We derive substantially all of our revenues from product sales of wafer probe cards. Revenues from our customers are subject to fluctuations due to factors including, but not limited to, design cycles, technology adoption rates, competitive pressure to reduce prices, cyclicity of the different end markets into which our customers' products are sold and market conditions in the semiconductor industry. Historically, increases in revenues have resulted from increased demand for our existing products, the introduction of new, more complex products and the penetration of new markets. We expect that revenues from the sale of wafer probe cards will continue to account for substantially all of our revenues for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues consists primarily of manufacturing materials, payroll, shipping and handling costs and, manufacturing-related overhead. Our manufacturing operations rely upon a limited number of suppliers to provide key components and materials for our products, some of which are a sole source. We order materials and supplies based on backlog and forecasted customer orders. Tooling and setup costs related to changing manufacturing lots at our suppliers are also included in the cost of revenues. We expense all warranty costs and inventory provisions as cost of revenues.

We design, manufacture and sell a custom product into the semiconductor test market, which is subject to significant variability and demand fluctuations. Our wafer probe cards are complex products that are custom to a specific chip design of a customer and must be delivered on relatively short lead-times as compared to our overall manufacturing process. As our advanced wafer probe cards are manufactured in low volumes and must be delivered on relatively short lead-times, it is not uncommon for us to acquire production materials and start certain production activities based on estimated production yields and forecasted demand prior to or in excess of actual demand for our wafer probe cards. We record an adjustment to our inventory valuation for estimated obsolete and non-saleable inventories based on assumptions about future demand, changes to manufacturing processes, and overall market conditions.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses include expenses related to product development, engineering and material costs. Almost all research and development costs are expensed as incurred. We plan to continue to invest in research and development activities to improve and enhance existing technologies and to develop new technologies for current and new markets and for new applications.

Selling, General and Administrative. Selling, general and administrative expenses include expenses related to sales, marketing, and administrative personnel, provision for doubtful accounts, internal and outside sales representatives' commissions, market research and consulting, and other sales, marketing, and administrative activities. These expenses also include costs for protecting and enforcing our patent rights and regulatory compliance costs.

Restructuring Charges. Restructuring charges include costs related to employee termination benefits, cost of long-lived assets abandoned or impaired, as well as contract termination costs.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates may change as new information is obtained. Significant items that are subject to such estimates include the fair value of revenue elements, fair value of marketable securities, allowance for doubtful accounts, reserves for product warranty, valuation of obsolete and slow moving inventory, valuation of intangible assets, the assessment of recoverability of long-lived assets, valuation and recognition of stock-based compensation, provision for income taxes and valuation allowance for deferred tax assets and tax liabilities and accruals for other liabilities.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our operating results as a percentage of revenues for the periods indicated:

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenues	94.9	104.3	99.4	108.5
Gross profit	5.1	(4.3)	0.6	(8.5)
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	27.8	44.7	31.9	47.9
Selling, general and administrative	32.5	58.5	37.6	76.1
Restructuring charges	4.4	0.8	6.2	13.6
Total operating expenses	64.7	104.0	75.7	137.6
Operating loss	(59.6)	(108.3)	(75.1)	(146.1)
Interest income, net	1.3	2.4	1.5	3.2
Other income (expense)	(0.1)	(0.3)	0.0	(0.9)
Loss before income taxes	(58.4)	(106.2)	(73.6)	(143.8)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	0.3	104.9	0.5	33.5
Net loss	(58.7)%	(211.1)%	(74.1)%	(177.3)%

Table of Contents*Three and Six Months Ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009:**Revenues*

	June 26, 2010	Three Months Ended June 27, 2009	% Change	June 26, 2010	Six Months Ended June 27, 2009	% Change
(In thousands, except percentages)						
Revenues by Market:						
DRAM	\$ 42,390	\$ 25,267	67.8%	\$ 74,158	\$ 48,813	51.9%
Flash	8,434	1,852	355.4	11,894	2,471	381.3
SoC	6,816	4,079	67.1	11,254	7,283	54.5
Total revenues	\$ 57,640	\$ 31,198	84.8%	\$ 97,306	\$ 58,567	66.1%

Revenues for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 increased 84.8%, or \$26.4 million, and 66.1%, or \$38.7 million, compared to the revenues of the comparable periods of the prior year. The increases are primarily due to increased demand for our advanced wafer probe cards caused by an overall improvement in the semiconductor market, and in particular the memory segment.

Our revenues for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 were primarily generated by sales of wafer probe cards to manufacturers of DRAM devices. Revenues for our products that address the DRAM segment in the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 increased significantly compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to the ramp of DDR3 and the introduction of our SmartMatrix products.

Revenues from sales to Flash memory device manufacturers increased significantly in the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 compared to the same periods in the prior year. The increases were driven by sales in NOR and a significant increase in NAND Flash wafer probe cards, resulting from the recent qualification of TouchMatrix at one of our largest customers.

Revenues from sales to SoC device manufacturers increased in the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to the overall upturn in the semiconductor industry which positively impacted revenues from sales of our wafer probe cards.

Revenues by Geographic Region

The following table sets forth our revenues by geographic region for the periods indicated:

Three Months Ended

Six Months Ended

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

	June 26, 2010	% of Revenue	June 27, 2009	% of Revenue	June 26, 2010	% of Revenue	June 27, 2009	% of Revenue
(In thousands, except percentages)								
Taiwan	\$ 22,464	39.0%	\$ 5,869	18.8%	\$ 37,026	38.1%	\$ 7,035	12.0%
Japan	12,333	21.3	14,018	44.9	16,841	17.3	33,884	57.8
North America	11,447	19.9	6,232	20.0	20,011	20.5	9,180	15.7
Asia Pacific (1)	8,828	15.3	3,925	12.6	18,773	19.3	6,000	10.3
Europe	2,568	4.5	1,154	3.7	4,655	4.8	2,468	4.2
Total revenues	\$ 57,640	100.0%	\$ 31,198	100.0%	\$ 97,306	100.0%	\$ 58,567	100.0%

(1) Includes all countries in the Asia Pacific region except Taiwan and Japan, which are disclosed separately.

Geographic revenue information is based on the location to which we ship the customer product. For example, if certain South Korean customer purchases through their North American subsidiary and requests the products to be shipped to an address in Europe, this sale will be reflected in the revenue for Europe.

The significant increases in Taiwan, Asia Pacific, and North America revenues for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 compared to the same periods in the prior year were primarily driven by increased demand for our DRAM wafer probe cards in these regions. The decreases in Japan revenue for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 compared to the same periods in the prior year were primarily due to the decrease in our DRAM product sales in the region. Revenue in Europe increased for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 due to the increased demand for all of our products in this region.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following customers accounted for more than 10% of our revenues:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
Elpida Memory(3)	28.1%	43.7%	21.6%	56.4%
Samsung(2)	12.0	*	12.4	*
Inotera	11.8	*	*	*
Hynix Semiconductor(1)	10.5	*	13.8	*

-
- (1) Includes Hynix Semiconductor and its consolidated subsidiary Hynix-Numonyx.
- (2) Includes Samsung Semiconductor and its consolidated subsidiary Samsung Austin Semiconductor.
- (3) Includes Elpida Memory and its consolidated subsidiaries, Rexchip and Tera Probe.

* Less than 10% of revenues.

The percentages above reflect customer constellations as of June 26, 2010. Prior period concentrations have been updated to reflect the current customer compositions.

Gross Profit (Loss)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Gross profit (loss)	\$ 2,931	\$ (1,326)	\$ 603	\$ (5,005)
Gross margin	5.1%	(4.3)%	0.6%	(8.5)%

Gross margin fluctuates with revenue levels, product mix, selling prices, factory loading, and material costs. For the three and six months ended June 26, 2010, gross margin improved compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to the increases in revenue driving higher factory utilization, thereby reducing unit manufacturing costs, combined with favorable changes in product mix from lower margin to higher margin products. In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we recognized a benefit of \$0.7 million, which was comprised of a charge of \$4.0 million to the inventory provision for the increased level of materials held in excess of actual demand, offset by a reduction of \$4.7 million for the revaluation of previously reserved materials. In the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded inventory provision charges of \$0.8 million. The higher inventory write-downs in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 were associated with deterioration in the DRAM memory segment in that period. Gross margins of the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 included stock-based compensation expense of \$1.0 million and \$2.0 million. In addition, we recorded \$1.0 million loss for the impairment of certain equipment related to the termination of an on-going project in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Gross margins of the three and six months ended June 27, 2009 included stock-based compensation expense of \$0.9 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Our gross margins may continue to be adversely affected if we are required to record additional inventory write-downs if estimated average selling prices of products held in finished goods and work in process inventories at a quarter-end date are below the manufacturing cost of those products.

Research and Development

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Research and development	\$ 15,997	\$ 13,938	\$ 31,088	\$ 28,047
% of revenues	27.8%	44.7%	31.9%	47.9%

Research and development expenses increased in absolute dollars for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 compared to the same periods in the prior year primarily due to the increase in personnel costs as well as certain new technology product development related costs. For the three and six months ended June 26, 2010, personnel costs increased \$3.1 million and \$4.3 million from the comparable periods of prior year, primarily due to headcount increases as well as costs of employee incentive programs totaling \$1.2 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2010. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in costs associated with certain research and development projects that were determined to be non-strategic activities and terminated in the current quarter. Stock-based compensation included within research and development expenses was \$2.0 million and \$3.4 million for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 compared to \$1.4 million and \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended June 27, 2009, with the increase in absolute dollars being primarily due to the increase in employee stock awards.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

As a percent of revenues, research and development expenses decreased during the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 compared to the three and six months ended June 27, 2009 primarily due to the increased revenue base.

We are continuing our strategic investments in research and development, including investments in the development of our next generation parallelism architecture and products, fine pitch, advanced MicroSpring interconnect technology and new process technologies. We remain committed to product development in new and emerging technologies.

Selling, General and Administrative

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 18,725	\$ 18,263	\$ 36,592	\$ 44,574
% of revenues	32.5%	58.5%	37.6%	76.1%

Selling, general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars for the three months ended June 26, 2010 increased \$0.5 million from the comparable period of the prior year. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$8.0 million in absolute dollars for the six months ended June 26, 2010 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to a decrease in outside legal and other professional fees, a decrease in allowance for provision for doubtful accounts, decrease in personnel related costs, and facilities-related costs and depreciation.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we recorded expense of \$1.4 million for incentive bonus amounts and \$1.6 million for outside professional service fees. In connection with the departure of certain executive management of the Company, we recorded \$1.0 million of severance costs in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. In the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we did not record any charges for incentive bonus programs or severance packages. Furthermore, professional fees were \$0.6 million during the three months ended June 27, 2009. Additionally, there was a \$0.5 million increase in costs allocated to selling, general and administrative from other functional expense categories, primarily resulting from increased facilities costs. Stock-based compensation expenses included within selling, general and administrative expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations were \$0.9 million and \$3.8 million for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 compared to \$4.7 and \$7.6 million for the comparable periods of the prior year. The decrease in stock-based compensation was primarily due to the fully vesting of the shares that were granted in the first half of fiscal 2006.

As a percent of revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased in three and six months ended June 26, 2010 as compared to the comparable periods of the prior year, primarily due to the increased revenue base along with the reduction in expenses discussed above.

Restructuring Charges

Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

(In thousands, except percentages)					
Restructuring charges	\$	2,513	\$	264	\$ 6,063 \$ 7,943
% of revenues		4.4%		0.8%	6.2% 13.6%

We recorded net restructuring charges of \$2.5 million and \$6.1 million for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010, respectively, and \$0.3 million and \$7.9 million in the comparable periods of fiscal 2009. The restructuring plans implemented in the first and second quarters of 2010 are discussed below.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we implemented a restructuring plan (the Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan) intended to align resources in continuation of our global regionalization strategy to place more decision-making in regions close to our semiconductor customers. As part of this regionalization strategy, we moved certain assembly and test operations from our back-end manufacturing processes in Livermore, California to Asia, and planned to bring-up and qualify our back-end manufacturing operations in Singapore. As a result of this restructuring plan, our worldwide headcount was expected to be reduced by 106 full-time employees. The activities comprising the reduction in force were expected to be completed by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011. We recorded \$3.6 million and \$27,000 in charges for Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan in the first and second quarter of fiscal 2010, which were all related to severance and related benefits.

Table of Contents

In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we announced a series of corporate initiatives, including a reduction in workforce, which represented a renewed focus on streamlining and simplifying our operations as well as reducing our quarterly operating costs (the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan). These actions included reducing the scope of the previously contemplated manufacturing operations in Korea, resulting in a reduction of workforce of 16 employees related to the assembly and test function. Additionally, we undertook a further workforce reduction of 67 employees spread across all functions of the organization to further streamline and simplify our operations and reduce operating costs. The activities comprising the reduction in force are expected to be substantially completed by the end of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010. We expect to realize quarterly savings, excluding stock-based compensation expenses, of approximately \$2.0 million commencing in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 as a result of the restructuring actions taken in the second quarter of fiscal 2010.

We recorded \$4.9 million in charges for the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 primarily for severance and related benefits. Additionally, in conjunction with the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan we identified certain equipment and software assets related to our assembly and test operations in Korea that would no longer be utilized. As a result, we recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$0.9 million, representing the net book value of these assets. Additionally, in connection with the Q2 2010 Restructuring Plan and related shift of certain of our manufacturing operations to Singapore, we have re-evaluated our expectation as to whether or not our renewal option for the related lease was reasonably assured. As a result of this analysis, we have re-evaluated and adjusted the estimated remaining useful life of certain assets, primarily leasehold improvements, in our Singapore facility by approximately five years. In the current quarter, the benefit from the extended remaining useful life of the Singapore facility leasehold improvements was immaterial. We expect to realize quarterly savings of approximately \$0.3 million commencing in the third quarter of fiscal 2010.

Due to the decisions we made regarding our manufacturing operations in Korea and the expected timing of the qualification of our Singapore back-end manufacturing operations, these Korea manufacturing operations have been moved back to our Livermore location in the second quarter of fiscal 2010, resulting in an increased demand for manufacturing staff in our Livermore location. As a result, management has undertaken a plan to rescind the previously issued severance arrangements for certain employees impacted by the Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan. Additionally, these employees have been informed that if and when the manufacturing line transition occurs we will review our severance and retention packages at that time. As a result of this rescission plan, as of June 26, 2010 we have reversed the existing accrual for the severance costs booked in conjunction with the Q1 2010 Restructuring Plan, including the accrued retention bonus to date, resulting in a benefit to our statement of operations of \$3.3 million. We expect to have the rescission plan completed by the end of our third fiscal quarter.

The liabilities we have accrued represent our best estimate of the obligations we expect to incur and could be subject to adjustment as market conditions change. We may incur additional charges for property, plant and equipment write-offs in future quarters. The cash payments associated with the reduction in force are expected to be paid out by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011.

Interest Income and Other Income (Expense), Net

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Interest income	\$ 722	\$ 762	\$ 1,497	\$ 1,877
% of revenue	1.3%	2.4%	1.5%	3.2%
Other income (expense)	\$ (82)	\$ (89)	\$ 35	\$ (505)
% of revenues	(0.1)%	(0.3)%	0.0%	(0.9)%

Table of Contents

The decrease in interest income on cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 as compared with the same periods of the prior year were primarily related to lower average balances. Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and marketable securities were \$398.4 million at June 26, 2010 compared to \$487.5 million at June 27, 2009. Weighted average yields for the three months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009 were 0.74% and 0.73%, and the weighted average yield for both the six months ended June 26, 2010 and June 27, 2009 was 0.75%.

Other income for the three months and six months ended June 26, 2010 was mainly comprised of income from the sale of component supplies and net realized gains related to the sale of investments offset by foreign currency losses primarily related to Korean Won and Japanese Yen.

Provision for Income Taxes

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009	June 26, 2010	June 27, 2009
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$ 200	\$ 32,728	\$ 440	\$ 19,592
Effective tax rate	0.6%	98.8%	0.6%	23.3%

The income tax provision for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010 primarily reflects taxes on our non-U.S. operations. We maintain a valuation allowance for our Federal, state, and certain non-U.S. jurisdictions' deferred tax assets. The income tax provision for the three and six months ended June 27, 2009 is primarily due to the recognition of a valuation allowance against the Company's Federal and state deferred tax assets in accordance with the prevailing guidance for accounting for income taxes.

Significant management judgment is required in determining any valuation allowance recorded against deferred tax assets. In evaluating the ability to recover deferred tax assets, we considered available positive and negative evidence giving greater weight to recent cumulative losses, ability to carry back losses against prior taxable income and lesser weight to projected financial results commensurate with its objective verifiability, the forecast of future taxable income including the reversal of temporary differences and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. The valuation allowance is reviewed quarterly and will be maintained until sufficient positive evidence exists to support the reversal of the valuation allowance. Under current tax laws, this valuation allowance will not limit our ability to utilize Federal and state deferred tax assets provided we can generate sufficient future taxable income.

Accounting standards related to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements prescribe a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return as well as guidance on de-recognition of tax benefits. We classify interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as part of the income tax provision. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits, we accrued interest of approximately \$46,000 and zero penalties and approximately \$0.1 million and zero penalties for the three and six months ended June 26, 2010, respectively. We recognized interest expense of \$10,000 and zero penalties and \$0.1 million and zero penalties for the three and six months ended June 27, 2009, respectively. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits, we have accrued total interest of \$0.9 million and zero penalties as of June 26, 2010.

The amount of income taxes we pay is subject to audit by Federal, state and non-U.S. tax authorities which might result in proposed assessments. Our estimate for the potential outcome for any uncertain tax issue is judgmental in nature. However, we believe that we have

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

adequately provided for any reasonably foreseeable outcome related to those matters. Our future results may include favorable or unfavorable adjustments to our estimated tax liabilities in the period the assessments are made or resolved or when statutes of limitation on potential assessments expire. As of June 26, 2010, changes to our uncertain tax positions in the next 12 months, that are reasonably possible, are not expected to have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Our effective tax rate may vary from period to period based on changes in estimated taxable income or loss by jurisdiction, changes to the valuation allowance, changes to Federal, state or foreign tax laws, future expansion into areas with varying country, state, and local income tax rates, deductibility of certain costs and expenses by jurisdiction.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

	June 26, 2010	December 26, 2009	Change
(In thousands, except percentages)			
Working capital	\$ 422,517	\$ 482,607	(12.5)%
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	397,761	449,235	(11.5)

Working capital: The decrease in working capital in the six months ended June 26, 2010 was primarily due to the use of cash for operating activities and a decrease in our refundable income taxes due to the receipt of a federal income tax refund of \$26.2 million in March 2010, offset partially by increases in accounts receivable, inventories and accrued liabilities.

Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities: Cash and cash equivalents consist of deposits held at major banks, money market funds and U.S. government securities that at the time of purchase had maturities of 90 days or less. Marketable securities consist of U.S. government and agency securities and municipal bonds. Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities include \$12.4 million held by our foreign subsidiaries as of June 26, 2010.

Days Sales Outstanding: Days sales outstanding from receivables (DSO) was 82 days at June 26, 2010, compared with 103 days at December 26, 2009. DSO decreased due to our improved collection efforts and a shift in our sales to customers with shorter payment terms.

	June 26, 2010	Six Months Ended June 27, 2009	Change
(In thousands, except percentages)			
Cash used in operating activities	\$ (35,820)	\$ (29,743)	20.4%
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	2,151	(128,034)	101.7
Cash provided by financing activities	1,536	3,002	(48.8)

Cash flows from operating activities: Net cash used in operating activities for the six months ended June 26, 2010 are primarily driven by the net loss of \$72.0 million incurred during the first half of fiscal 2010 offset in part by non-cash charges consisting of \$16.9 million of depreciation and amortization, \$9.2 million of stock-based compensation and \$1.5 million of loss on disposal and impairment of property and equipment. The net change in operating assets and liabilities for the six months ended June 26, 2010 of \$6.9 million consisted primarily of the decrease in refundable income taxes, due to the receipt of a federal income tax refund of \$26.2 million in March 2010, offset by an increase in accounts receivable due to higher revenues as well as an increase in inventories due to higher sales forecast.

Net cash used in operating activities for the six months ended June 27, 2009 was primarily driven by the operating loss offset in part by non-cash charges. The net change in operating assets and liabilities for the six months ended June 27, 2009 consisted primarily of the increase in gross accounts receivable and deferred revenue due a shift to longer payment terms for several customers, decrease in refundable income taxes due to the receipt of a federal income tax refund of \$29.0 million in March 2009, a decrease in prepaid expenses due to the receipt of a consumption tax refund of \$3.4 million and a decrease in other assets due to the receipt of \$6.7 million related to the termination of a prepaid land lease agreement in Singapore, offset by the decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Cash flows from investing activities: The cash flows provided by investing activities for the six months ended June 26, 2010 are primarily related to \$164.2 million proceeds from maturities and sales of marketable securities offset by purchases of marketable securities totaling \$145.6 million and \$16.5 million cash used in the acquisition of property and equipment for new product technology. We carefully monitor our investments to minimize risks and have not experienced other than temporary investment losses. Except for experiencing declining yields, our investment portfolio has not been negatively impacted by the ongoing economic turmoil in the credit markets.

The cash flows used in investing activities for the six months ended June 27, 2009 were primarily relate to the purchase of marketable securities and cash used for capital expenditures in support of information technology system upgrades and new product technology.

Cash flows provided by financing activities: The cash flows provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 26, 2010 are proceeds received from purchases under our 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP and net proceeds from the exercise of stock options offset by stock withheld in lieu of payment of employee taxes related to the release of restricted stock units.

Table of Contents

The cash flows provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 27, 2009 were primarily due to \$1.9 million received from the January 2009 purchase under our 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP and net proceeds from the exercise of stock options offset by stock withheld in lieu of payment of employee taxes related to the release of restricted stock units of \$0.7 million.

Our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities declined in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Given the uncertainty in the global economy and the timing and relative strength and duration of a recovery in the semiconductor industry, we are focusing on improving our operating efficiency to achieve break even operating cash flow. Our actions have included operational expense reduction initiatives, re-timing or eliminating certain capital spending and research and development projects and re-negotiating longer payment terms with our vendors. We believe that we will be able to satisfy our cash requirements for the next twelve months with the liquidity provided by our existing cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. To the extent necessary, we may also consider establishing manufacturing and technology partnerships, or to seek short and long-term debt obligations, or to obtain new financing facilities which may not be available on terms favorable to us or at all. Our future capital requirements may vary materially from those now planned. However, if we are unsuccessful in improving our operating efficiency, reducing our cash outlays or increasing our available cash through financing, our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities will further decline in the remaining quarters of fiscal 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Historically, we have not participated in transactions that have generated relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of June 26, 2010, we were not involved in any such off-balance sheet arrangements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For a discussion on the impact of recently issued accounting pronouncements, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies are disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009. Our critical accounting policies have not materially changed during the quarter ended June 26, 2010.

Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

For financial market risks related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, reference is made to Item 7A: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk contained in Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 26, 2009. Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially since December 26, 2009.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based on our management's evaluation (with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer), as of the end of the period covered by this report, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the Exchange Act)) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during our second quarter of fiscal 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Control systems, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control systems objectives are being met. Further, the design of any control systems must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of all controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Control systems can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based, in part, on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

CEO and CFO Certifications

We have attached as exhibits to this Form 10-Q the certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, which are required in accordance with the Exchange Act. We recommend that this Item 4 be read in conjunction with the certifications for a more complete understanding of the subject matter presented.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information relating to Legal Matters set forth under Note 16 - Commitments and Contingencies of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q is incorporated herein by reference.

On or about July 14, 2010, we filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against Micro-Probe Incorporated charging that it is willfully infringing six of our U.S. patents that cover aspects of FormFactor's proprietary technology and wafer probe cards. The complaint seeks both injunctive relief and money damages for Micro-Probe's alleged infringement of FormFactor's US Patent No. 6,441,315 for Contact Structures With Blades Having A Wiping Motion, US Patent No. 6,825,422 for Interconnection Element With Contact Blade, US Patent No. 6,965,244 for High Performance Probe System, US Patent No. 7,227,371 for High Performance Probe System, US Patent No. 6,246,247 for Probe Card Assembly and Kit, and Methods of Using Same, and US Patent No. 6,624,648 for Probe Card Assembly. The complaint also seeks injunctive relief and damages against Micro-Probe for unfair competition and further includes claims directed against a former employee for breach of confidence relative to FormFactor's confidential and propriety information and against the former employee and Micro-Probe for conspiring to breach that confidence. Neither Micro-Probe nor the former employee, nor counsel on their behalf, have made an appearance in court in the action.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

In addition to the other information in this Form 10-Q, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 26, 2009, our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 27, 2010, and the updated risk factors set forth below in evaluating FormFactor and our business. If any of the identified risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could suffer. The trading price of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment in our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks that we currently do not know about or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also impair our business operations.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from a small number of customers, and we could continue to experience significant declines in our revenues if any major customer does not place, cancels, reduces or delays a purchase of our products, or does not pay us, or delays or extends payment for our products past their original due dates.

A relatively small number of customers have accounted for a significant portion of our revenues in any particular period. Four customers represented 28%, 12%, 12% and 11% of total revenues for the three months ended June 26, 2010. Three customers represented 22%, 14% and 12% of total revenues for the six months ended June 26, 2010. In the second quarter of 2010, our ten largest customers accounted for 86% of our revenues. We anticipate that sales of our products to a relatively small number of customers will continue to account for a significant portion of our revenues. Consolidation in the semiconductor industry may increase this concentration. As a result of the global economic and semiconductor industry downturns, we have in the more recent past experienced significant declines in our revenues. In the future, the cancellation, reduction or deferral of even a small number of purchases of our products could significantly reduce our revenues in any particular quarter. Cancellations, reductions or deferrals could result from a delay in the recovery of the semiconductor industry, or a weaker than anticipated recovery, or another downturn in the semiconductor industry, from manufacturing delays, quality or reliability issues with our products, or from interruptions to our customers' operations.

Table of Contents

due to fire, natural disasters or other events. Furthermore, because our probe cards are custom products designed for our customers' unique wafer designs, any cancellations, reductions or delays can result in significant, non-recoverable costs. In some situations, our customers might be able to cancel or reduce orders without a significant penalty. Our customers could also fail to pay all or part of an invoice for our products. If a customer fails to pay us or delays payment for our products, we may be unable to recognize revenue, our financial condition and liquidity could be impacted and we may incur additional charges for bad debt reserve to the extent certain of our customers continue to face financial difficulties during this downturn. It is also possible that if we make the decision to file one or more additional actions against customers to seek payment of outstanding receivables that it will negatively impact a customer relationship and result in lost revenues in the future. Customers with financial difficulties may be forced to materially reduce or discontinue operations, file for bankruptcy or other relief, or may be acquired by one of our other customers, any of which would further reduce our customer base.

If we fail to protect our proprietary rights, our competitors might gain access to our technology, which could adversely affect our ability to compete successfully in our markets and harm our operating results.

If we chose not to protect our proprietary rights or fail in our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, our competitors might gain access to our technology. Unauthorized parties might attempt to copy aspects of our products or to obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. Others might independently develop similar or competing technologies or methods or design around our patents. In addition, the laws of many foreign countries in which we or our customers do business do not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. To date, we have not been successful in our efforts to enforce our proprietary rights in South Korea and in the United States. As a result, our proprietary rights could be compromised, our competitors might offer products similar to ours and we might not be able to compete successfully. We also cannot assure that:

- our means of protecting our proprietary rights will be adequate;

- patents will be issued from our pending or future applications;

- our existing or future patents will be sufficient in scope or strength to provide any meaningful protection or commercial advantage to us;

- our patents or other intellectual property will not be invalidated, circumvented or successfully challenged in the United States or foreign countries; or

- others will not misappropriate our proprietary technologies or independently develop similar technologies, duplicate our products or design around any of our patents or other intellectual property, or attempt to manufacture and sell infringing products in countries that do not strongly enforce intellectual property rights.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

We have spent in the past and may be required to spend in the future significant resources to monitor and protect our intellectual property rights. We presently believe that it is likely that two or more of our competitors are using methodologies or have implemented structures into certain of their products that are covered by one or more of our intellectual property rights. We have in the past brought claims to protect our rights, and we are currently involved in patent infringement litigation, including an ongoing United States federal district court action against a competitor, Phicom Corporation, with a current operating name of TCS Memsys Corp. We have also filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against Micro-Probe Incorporated charging that it is willfully infringing six of our U.S. patents that cover aspects of our proprietary technology and wafer probe cards. The complaint also seeks injunctive relief and damages against Micro-Probe for unfair competition and further includes claims directed against a former employee for breach of confidence relative to FormFactor's confidential and propriety information and against the former employee and Micro-Probe for conspiring to breach that confidence. We may not obtain a favorable ruling in this U.S. federal district court action. In certain cases, our competitors have initiated re-examination proceedings in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and invalidity proceedings in foreign patent offices against certain of our patents. Any litigation, whether or not resolved in our favor, and whether initiated by us or by a third party, could result in significant and possibly material expense to us and divert the efforts of our management and technical personnel. In addition, while patents are territorial and a ruling on a certain given patent does not necessarily impact the validity or enforceability of a corresponding or related patent in a different country, an adverse ruling in one country might negatively impact our ability to enforce the corresponding or related patent in other countries. Finally, certain of our customer contracts contain provisions that require us to defend and/or indemnify our customers for third party intellectual property infringement claims, which would increase the cost to us of an adverse ruling in such a claim. An adverse determination could also negatively impact our ability to license certain of our technologies and methods to others, and result in our competitors being allowed to sell products with, or add to their products, features and benefits contained in our products, thereby reducing our competitive advantages over these competing products.

Table of Contents

We have recorded significant restructuring, inventory write-offs and asset impairment charges in the past and may do so again in the future, which could have a material negative impact on our business.

We recorded material restructuring charges related to our global workforce reductions and impairment charges related to our long-lived assets in fiscal 2008, fiscal 2009, and fiscal 2010. As we continue to align our operations with our global regionalization plan and with business requirements, we may implement additional cost reduction actions, which would require us to take additional, potentially material, restructuring charges related to employee terminations or asset disposal or exit costs. We may also be required to write off additional inventory if our product build plans or usage of inventory experience further declines, and such additional write-offs could constitute material charges. In addition, a further decline in our stock price or significant adverse change in market conditions could require us to take additional material impairment charges related to our long-lived assets. Our long-lived assets, including intangible assets, are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives using the straight-line method and are reviewed for impairment annually, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. The valuation of our long-lived assets requires assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows, market multiples, and discount rates. Other adverse changes in market conditions, particularly if such changes have the effect of changing one of the critical assumptions or estimates we used to calculate the amount of impairment charge, if any, could result in a change to the estimation of fair value that could result in future impairment charges. Any such additional material charges, whether related to restructuring or asset impairment, may have a material negative impact on our operating results and related financial statements.

Our restructuring plan may not properly align our cost structure with our business needs and overall semiconductor industry requirements and may adversely affect our business, financial condition, or operating results.

During the second quarter of 2010, we conducted a reduction in force as part of a company-wide cost reduction plan in order to help focus our resources more strategically towards business needs and industry requirements as part of our global reorganization activities. We expect to realize quarterly savings, excluding stock-based compensation expenses, of approximately \$2.0 million commencing in the third quarter of 2010 as a result of these restructuring actions. If we experience expenses in excess of what we anticipate in connection with these restructuring activities, such as unanticipated costs associated with bringing up assembly and test operations in Singapore rather than Korea, or with our slowing our transition from Livermore to Singapore of certain back-end manufacturing operations, our business, financial condition, or operating results could be adversely and materially affected. Our business, financial condition and operating results could also be adversely and materially affected if we experience unanticipated inefficiencies as a result of our restructuring activities, such as impaired customer relationships caused by reduced headcount or delay in ramping the manufacture of our SmartMatrix and TouchMatrix products, or our decision to implement an end of life plan for our Harmony products. We also cannot assure you that we will not undertake additional workforce reductions, that any of our restructuring efforts will be successful, or that we will be able to realize the cost savings and other anticipated benefits from our previous or future restructuring plans. In addition, part of our restructuring plan involves increased work in building up our Singapore back-end manufacturing operations, which involves numerous risks, including operational business issues such as productivity, efficiency, and quality; geographic, cultural, and communication issues; and information security, intellectual property protection, and other legal issues. Any of these issues could render our restructuring plan ineffective, which could have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or operating results.

Our efforts to introduce and implement price increases for certain of our products could result in certain customers deciding to not purchase our products, which could negatively impact our business and financial results.

During our second fiscal quarter we issued new pricing guidelines to customers for certain of our products based on our belief that our company pricing strategy and guidelines had fallen below normal industry cost-down trend rates. We believe that our new pricing guidelines are consistent with normal industry cost learning curves, but certain customers may react negatively to our new pricing and elect to not purchase our

products, or to phase out the purchase of our products, in which case our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially and adversely impacted.

Our delay in qualifying our SmartMatrix and TouchMatrix products at certain of our customers could result in the loss of market share at those customers, which could negatively impact our business and financial results.

We are transitioning from our Harmony platform products to our SmartMatrix and TouchMatrix product lines and have notified our customers of our end of life, or EOL, plans for our Harmony products. Although we believe our new SmartMatrix and TouchMatrix products enable our customers to lower their cost of ownership and we are in, or have completed, the qualification phase of this transition at all our customers, we are late to market with these new products and we do expect to lose market share as we make this product transition. This share loss is the result of the time required for SmartMatrix and TouchMatrix product qualifications and of our customers' manufacturing lead times as they move from qualification volumes to full commercial production volumes, which could result in lost opportunities for us and negatively impact our business, financial and operating results. Because of this market timing, our products are not being used by certain of our customers in their current high volume production runs for certain devices, which could result in our losing follow-on orders for those devices, and could also result in customers electing to continue purchasing wafer probe cards from suppliers other than us to test their future semiconductor devices, which could result in our loss of market share and have a negative impact on our business and financial results.

Edgar Filing: FORMFACTOR INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference Date	Reference Number	Filed Herewith
10.1+	Employment Letter Agreement, dated May 19, 2010, between G. Carl Everett, Jr. and FormFactor, Inc.	8-K	5/25/10	000-50307	
10.2+	Employment Letter Agreement, dated May 19, 2010, between Richard DeLateur and FormFactor, Inc.	8-K	5/25/10	000-50307	
10.1+	Separation Agreement and General Release, dated June 1, 2010, between Jean Vernet and FormFactor, Inc.	8-K	6/7/10	000-50307	
10.2+	Separation Agreement and General Release, dated June 6, 2010, between Mario Ruscev and FormFactor, Inc.	8-K	6/7/10	000-50307	
31.01	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				X
31.02	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				X
32.01	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				*

* This exhibit shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.

+ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FORMFACTOR, INC.

Date: August 4, 2010

By:

/s/ Richard DeLateur

Richard DeLateur
Chief Financial Officer
*(Duly Authorized Officer, Principal Financial Officer,
and Principal Accounting Officer)*

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference Date	Number	Filed Herewith
10.1+	Employment Letter Agreement, dated May 19, 2010, between G. Carl Everett, Jr. and FormFactor, Inc.	8-K	5/25/10	000-50307	
10.2+	Employment Letter Agreement, dated May 19, 2010, between Richard DeLateur and FormFactor, Inc.	8-K	5/25/10	000-50307	
10.1+	Separation Agreement and General Release, dated June 1, 2010, between Jean Vernet and FormFactor, Inc.	8-K	6/7/10	000-50307	
10.2+	Separation Agreement and General Release, dated June 6, 2010, between Mario Ruscev and FormFactor, Inc.	8-K	6/7/10	000-50307	
31.01	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				X
31.02	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				X
32.01	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002				*

* This exhibit shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.

+ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.