

UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp
Form 10-K
February 24, 2015

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

**For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 0-26301**

United Therapeutics Corporation

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

52-1984749
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1040 Spring Street, Silver Spring, MD
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

20910
(Zip Code)

(301) 608-9292

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share
and associated preferred stock purchase rights

Name of each exchange on which registered
NASDAQ Global Select Market

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing price on June 30, 2014, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market was approximately \$3,053,391,425.

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, as of February 17, 2015, was 46,665,517.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the registrant's 2015 annual meeting of shareholders scheduled to be held on June 26, 2015, are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>PART II</u>		
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>86</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>F-1</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>89</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>89</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>90</u>
<u>PART III</u>		
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>92</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>94</u>
<u>PART IV</u>		
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		<u>96</u>

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

United Therapeutics Corporation is a biotechnology company focused on the development and commercialization of innovative products to address the unmet medical needs of patients with chronic and life-threatening conditions.

Our key therapeutic products and product candidates include:

Prostacyclin Analogues. Prostacyclin analogues are stable synthetic forms of prostacyclin, an important molecule produced by the body that has powerful effects on blood vessel health and function. Our lead product is Remodulin® (treprostinil) Injection (Remodulin), which is administered subcutaneously (under the skin) or intravenously (in the vein) for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) to diminish symptoms associated with exercise. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved Remodulin for subcutaneous and intravenous administration in 2002 and 2004, respectively. Outside the United States, Remodulin is approved in 39 countries, most of which have approved both routes of administration. We are developing new technologies to make Remodulin delivery more convenient, such as implantable pump systems for intravenous Remodulin and pre-filled, semi-disposable pumps for subcutaneous Remodulin. In 2009, the FDA approved Tyvaso® (treprostinil) Inhalation Solution (Tyvaso), an inhaled prostacyclin therapy for the treatment of PAH to improve exercise ability. In December 2013, the FDA approved Orenitram® (treprostinil) Extended-Release Tablets (Orenitram), which commenced sales during the second quarter of 2014. Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Lung Biotechnology Inc., is developing another oral prostacyclin analogue for the treatment of PAH called esuberaprost.

Phosphodiesterase Type 5 (PDE-5) Inhibitor. PDE-5 inhibitors act to inhibit the degradation of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cyclic GMP) in cells. Cyclic GMP is activated by nitric oxide (NO), a naturally occurring substance in the body that mediates the relaxation of vascular smooth muscle. Our PDE-5 inhibitor is Adcirca® (tadalafil) tablets (Adcirca), a once-daily oral therapy for the treatment of PAH. We acquired exclusive U.S. commercialization rights to Adcirca from Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) in 2008. In 2009, the FDA approved Adcirca for the treatment of PAH to improve exercise ability.

Monoclonal Antibody (MAb). MAbs act by targeting tumor-associated antigens located on the surfaces of cancer cells to activate a patient's immune system against the cancer cells. We are developing the antibody Ch14.18 MAb for the treatment of neuroblastoma, under an agreement with the National Cancer Institute (NCI) of the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH). In December 2013, our marketing authorization application (MAA) for this antibody was accepted for review by the European Medicines Agency (EMA), and in June 2014, the FDA accepted our biologics license application (BLA) for review.

Glycobiology Antiviral Agents. Glycobiology antiviral agents are a novel class of small, sugar-like molecules that have shown preclinical indications of efficacy against a broad range of viruses. In 2011, we were awarded a contract from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) of the NIH for studies directed at the development of a broad spectrum antiviral drug based on our glycobiology antiviral platform. During the third quarter of 2014, we commenced a phase I clinical trial of our lead antiviral candidate, an alpha-glucosidase inhibitor called UV-4B.

Cell-Based Therapy. In 2011, we entered into a license agreement with Pluristem Ltd. (Pluristem) to develop and commercialize its cell-based product known as PLacental eXpanded (PLX) cells for the treatment of PAH. We commenced a phase I clinical study in Australia in 2013.

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Lung Transplantation. The only reported cure for PAH is a lung transplant. Using the xenotransplantation technology we acquired through our acquisition of Revivacor Inc. (Revivacor) and several regenerative medicine technologies that we have licensed, we are in the early preclinical stage of developing engineered lungs and lung tissue for transplant into patients suffering from PAH and other lung diseases. We are also developing technologies to increase the supply of donated lungs through ex-vivo perfusion of donor lungs prior to transplant.

We devote most of our research and development resources to developing these key products and product candidates.

We generate revenues from the sale of Remodulin, Tyvaso, Adcirca and Orenitram (which we refer to as our commercial products). We commenced sales of Orenitram during the second quarter of 2014. We expect that sales of our existing commercial products will continue to be our primary sources of revenues for the next several years. Our sales and marketing staff supports the availability of our commercial products in the countries in which they are approved. These efforts are supplemented by contracted specialty pharmaceutical distributors in the United States and other distributors internationally.

United Therapeutics was incorporated in Delaware in June 1996. Our principal executive offices are located at 1040 Spring Street, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 and at 55 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709.

Unless the context requires otherwise or unless otherwise noted, all references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to "United Therapeutics" and to the "company", "we", "us" or "our" are to United Therapeutics Corporation and its subsidiaries.

Our Products

Our product portfolio includes the following:

Product	Mode of Delivery	Indication	Current Status	Our Territory
Remodulin	Continuous subcutaneous	PAH	Commercial in the U.S., most of Europe*, Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Israel, Japan, Mexico, Peru, Puerto Rico, Saudi Arabia, South Korea, Taiwan and Venezuela	Worldwide
Remodulin	Continuous intravenous	PAH	Commercial in the U.S., most of Europe*, Argentina, Canada, China, Israel, Japan, Mexico, Peru, Puerto Rico, Saudi Arabia, South Korea and Switzerland	Worldwide
Tyvaso	Inhaled	PAH	Commercial in the U.S. and Puerto Rico; also approved in Israel	Worldwide
Adcirca	Oral	PAH	Commercial in the U.S. and Puerto Rico	United States and Puerto Rico
Orenitram	Oral	PAH	Commercial in the U.S.	Worldwide
Ch14.18 MAb	Intravenous	High-risk neuroblastoma	MAA filed with the EMA in December 2013; BLA filed with the FDA in June 2014	Worldwide
Remodulin Implantable System	Continuous intravenous via implantable pump	PAH	PMA submitted by Medtronic Inc. to the FDA in December 2014. We submitted an NDA to the FDA in January 2015	United States, United Kingdom, Canada, France, Germany, Italy and Japan
Orenitram Combination Therapy	Oral	PAH	Phase III	Worldwide

Table of Contents

Product	Mode of Delivery	Indication	Current Status	Our Territory
Esuberaprost	Oral	PAH	Phase III	North America, Europe, Mexico, South America, Egypt, India, South Africa and Australia
Ex-Vivo Lung Perfusion	Pre-transplant service providing extended preservation and assessment of donor lungs.	End-stage lung disease	Phase III	U.S.
PLX Cells	Intravenous	PAH	Phase I	Worldwide
UV-4B	Oral	Dengue and influenza	Phase I	Worldwide
Remodulin	Subcutaneous via pre-filled, semi-disposable pump	PAH	Preclinical	Worldwide
Glycobiology Antiviral Agents	Oral	Broad-spectrum agents against viral infectious diseases	Preclinical	Worldwide
Lung Transplantation	Various	End-stage lung disease	Preclinical	Worldwide

*

We have obtained approval for subcutaneous and intravenous Remodulin in 24 member countries of the European Economic Area (EEA), as well as other non-EEA countries in Europe, and have received pricing approval in most of these countries.

Products to Treat Cardiopulmonary Diseases**Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension**

PAH is a life-threatening disease that affects the blood vessels in the lungs and is characterized by increased pressure in the pulmonary arteries, which are the blood vessels leading from the heart to the lungs. The elevated pressure in the pulmonary arteries strains the right side of the heart as it pumps blood to the lungs. This eventually leads to right heart failure and, ultimately, death. PAH is characterized by structural changes in blood vessel walls, aggregation of platelets and alteration of smooth muscle cell function. We believe that PAH affects about 500,000 individuals worldwide. We have seen increases in the number of people diagnosed with the disease, but due to the rarity of the disease and the complexity of diagnosing it, only a small fraction of patients with PAH are being treated.

Currently, FDA-approved therapies for PAH focus on three distinct molecular pathways that have been implicated in the disease process: the prostacyclin pathway, the NO pathway, and the endothelin (ET) pathway. The three classes of drugs that target these three pathways are:

Prostacyclin Analogues. Patients with PAH have been shown to have reduced levels of prostacyclin, a naturally occurring substance that has the effect of relaxing the pulmonary blood vessels, preventing platelet aggregation, and inhibiting the proliferation of smooth muscle cells in the pulmonary vessels. Therefore, drugs that mimic the action of prostacyclin, known as prostacyclin analogues, are established PAH treatments.

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

PDE-5 Inhibitors. Patients with PAH have also been shown to have reduced levels of the enzyme responsible for producing NO, a naturally occurring substance in the body that causes relaxation of the pulmonary blood vessels. NO produces this effect by increasing intracellular levels of cyclic GMP. Therefore, another established therapeutic approach has been to inhibit the degradation of cyclic GMP, using drugs that are known as PDE-5 inhibitors.

Table of Contents

Endothelin Receptor Antagonists. PAH patients have also been shown to have elevated levels of endothelin-1, a naturally occurring substance in the body that causes constriction of, and structural changes to, the pulmonary blood vessels. Therefore, another established therapeutic approach has been to block the action of endothelin with drugs that are known as endothelin receptor antagonists (ETRAs).

Because any or all of the three pathways may be therapeutic targets in a patient, these three classes of drugs are used alone or in combination to treat patients with PAH. We currently market drugs in two of these three classes. Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram are prostacyclin analogues, and Adcirca is a PDE-5 inhibitor.

Remodulin

One of our lead products for treating PAH is Remodulin, the active pharmaceutical ingredient of which is a prostacyclin analogue known as treprostinil. We sell Remodulin to specialty pharmaceutical distributors in the United States and to pharmaceutical distributors internationally. We recognized approximately \$553.7 million, \$491.2 million and \$458.0 million in Remodulin revenues, representing 43 percent, 44 percent and 50 percent of our total net revenues for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The FDA approved Remodulin as a continuous subcutaneous infusion therapy in 2002, and as a continuous intravenous infusion therapy in 2004. Remodulin is indicated to treat patients with PAH (World Health Organization (WHO) Group 1), which includes multiple etiologies such as idiopathic and heritable PAH, as well as PAH associated with connective tissue diseases, to diminish symptoms associated with exercise. Studies establishing effectiveness included patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Functional Class II-IV (moderate to severe) symptoms. In 2006, the FDA expanded its approval to include transition of patients to Remodulin from Flolan[®], the first FDA-approved prostacyclin therapy for PAH. In 2007, the results of a prospective, open-label study demonstrated that stable patients with PAH can be safely transitioned from Flolan to intravenous Remodulin using a rapid switch protocol.

Outside of the United States, Remodulin is approved for the treatment of PAH in 39 countries by continuous subcutaneous administration and in 33 countries by continuous intravenous administration. Applications for approval of both subcutaneous and intravenous Remodulin are under review in other countries. We continue to work toward commercializing Remodulin in new territories.

We believe Remodulin has many qualities that make it an appealing alternative to competitive therapies. Remodulin is stable at room temperature, so it does not need to be cooled during infusion and patients do not need to use cooling packs or refrigeration to keep it stable. Treprostinil is highly soluble, which enables us to produce Remodulin in highly concentrated solutions. This allows therapeutic concentrations of Remodulin to be delivered at very low flow rates via miniaturized infusion pumps for both subcutaneous and intravenous infusion. Remodulin can be continuously infused for up to 48 hours intravenously or 72 hours subcutaneously before refilling the infusion pump, and is packaged as an aqueous solution so patients do not have to reconstitute the drug before refilling their pumps.

In 2008, the FDA approved Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.'s (Teva) version of generic epoprostenol (the active ingredient in Flolan) for the treatment of PAH via intravenous delivery. Also in 2008, the FDA approved another intravenous version of epoprostenol, which is currently marketed by Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd (Actelion) under the name Veletri[®]. Actelion also markets Tracleer[®] and Opsumit[®], both ETRAs, and Ventavis[®], an inhaled prostacyclin. Flolan and generic epoprostenol are not stable at room temperature, but Veletri may be stable at room temperature depending on its concentration. Flolan, generic epoprostenol, and Veletri have shorter half-lives than Remodulin, require mixing and daily pump refills, and are not administered with miniaturized infusion pumps. None of these products may be administered via subcutaneous infusion.

Table of Contents

There are serious adverse events associated with Remodulin. When infused subcutaneously, Remodulin causes varying degrees of infusion site pain and reaction (redness and swelling) in most patients. Patients who cannot tolerate the infusion site pain related to use of subcutaneous Remodulin may instead use intravenous Remodulin. Intravenous Remodulin is delivered continuously through a surgically implanted central venous catheter, similar to Flolan, Veletri and generic epoprostenol. Patients who receive therapy through implanted venous catheters have a risk of developing blood stream infections and a serious systemic infection known as sepsis. Other common side effects associated with both subcutaneous and intravenous Remodulin include headache, diarrhea, nausea, jaw pain, vasodilation and edema.

International Regulatory Review of Subcutaneous and Intravenous Remodulin

Remodulin is approved in 39 countries outside the United States. In 33 of these countries, it is approved for both subcutaneous and intravenous use. In the other six countries, Remodulin is approved for subcutaneous use only.

We used the mutual recognition process, described more fully below in *Governmental Regulation Marketing Pharmaceutical Products Outside the United States*, to obtain approval of subcutaneous Remodulin in most countries in the European Union (EU) in 2005. Our reference member state for the mutual recognition process was the French regulatory agency, *L'Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament et des Produits de Santé* (ANSM). In 2011, we received regulatory approval for intravenous Remodulin by ANSM, which allows us to market intravenous Remodulin in the EEA countries where subcutaneous Remodulin has already been approved and where we have obtained pricing approval and approval of our risk management plan (RMP).

In Europe, an RMP is routinely required as part of the regulatory approval process for new medicines and also for significant variations involving a change to the route of administration, formulation or indication. For intravenous Remodulin, we have implemented an RMP focused on minimizing the known risks of central venous catheter-related blood stream infections associated with intravenous administration. To date, our RMP for intravenous Remodulin has been approved in 20 EEA countries, with pricing approval in 16 of these.

In March 2013, the China Food and Drug Administration approved intravenous and subcutaneous Remodulin for PAH in the People's Republic of China. In March 2014, Japan's Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare approved Remodulin for the treatment of PAH by subcutaneous and intravenous administration. Remodulin is sold in Japan under the brand name Treprost . In the second and third quarters of 2014, we commenced sales of Remodulin to our distributors in China and Japan, respectively.

Intravenous Remodulin Administered via Implantable Pump

A majority of the patients who die of PAH in the United States each year have not initiated treatment with an infused prostacyclin analogue, which is a complex and burdensome form of medical therapy. In 2009, we entered into an agreement with exclusive rights in the United States, UK, Canada, France, Germany, Italy and Japan, with Medtronic, Inc. (Medtronic) to develop its proprietary intravascular infusion catheter to be used with Medtronic's SynchroMed® II implantable infusion pump and related infusion system components (together referred to as the Remodulin Implantable System) in order to deliver Remodulin for the treatment of PAH. If the Remodulin Implantable System is successful, it could reduce many of the patient burdens associated with infused prostacyclin analogues. In September 2013, Medtronic released the results of the *DelIVery* clinical trial, which we funded, in order to study the safety of the Remodulin Implantable System while administering Remodulin. The primary endpoint of the study was to demonstrate a rate of catheter-related complications below 2.5 per 1,000 patient-days while using the Remodulin Implantable System to deliver Remodulin.

Table of Contents

Medtronic informed us that this primary objective was met ($p < 0.0001$). In December 2014, Medtronic completed other stability, compatibility and technical assessments of the Remodulin Implantable System, including modifications to its hardware and software, and filed a premarket approval application (PMA) seeking FDA approval for the catheter and labeling changes. Medtronic is responsible for addressing any FDA requests for additional information concerning the Remodulin Implantable System. In January 2015, we submitted new labeling requesting FDA approval to allow the use of Remodulin with the Remodulin Implantable System. The FDA has indicated that our submission will be treated as a new NDA.

Subcutaneous Remodulin Administered via Pre-Filled, Semi-Disposable Pump

In December 2014, we entered into an exclusive agreement with DEKA Research & Development Corp. (DEKA) to develop a pre-filled, semi-disposable pump system for subcutaneous delivery of Remodulin. Under the terms of the agreement, we will fund all of the development costs related to the semi-disposable pump system and will pay product fees and a single-digit royalty to DEKA based on commercial sales of the system and the Remodulin sold for use with the system. Our goal is to be in a position to receive FDA approval for this delivery system by the end of 2018.

Tyvaso

We commenced commercial sales of Tyvaso in the United States in 2009. We sell Tyvaso to the same specialty pharmaceutical distributors in the United States that distribute Remodulin. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we recognized approximately \$463.1 million, \$438.8 million and \$325.6 million in Tyvaso revenues, representing 36 percent, 39 percent and 36 percent, respectively, of our total net revenues.

Tyvaso, which contains the active ingredient treprostinil, is administered four times a day by inhaling up to nine breaths during each two- to three-minute treatment session. Tyvaso is required to be administered using our proprietary Tyvaso Inhalation System, which consists of an ultra-sonic nebulizer that provides a dose of Tyvaso on a breath-by-breath basis. A single ampule containing Tyvaso is emptied into the Tyvaso Inhalation System once per day, so the Tyvaso Inhalation System only needs to be cleaned once each day.

Tyvaso was generally well tolerated in our trials, during which adverse events appeared to be similar to those previously reported for treprostinil or due to administration by inhalation. The most common adverse events were transient cough, headache, nausea, dizziness and flushing. We completed an open-label study in the United States to investigate the clinical effects of switching patients from Ventavis to Tyvaso. Patients in this study saved an average of approximately 1.4 hours per day when administering Tyvaso compared to Ventavis.

Ventavis is the only other FDA-approved inhaled prostacyclin analogue and is marketed by Actelion in the United States and by Bayer Schering Pharma AG (Bayer) in Europe. The active ingredient in Ventavis is iloprost. Patients need to inhale Ventavis six to nine times per day via a nebulizer. According to its package insert, each Ventavis inhalation consists of four to ten minutes of continuous inhalation via the nebulizer. Ventavis can cause a decrease in systemic (body-wide) blood pressure if the drug is administered at too high a dose.

Regulatory Approval of Tyvaso

In 2009, the FDA approved Tyvaso for the treatment of PAH in WHO Group 1 patients to improve exercise capacity using the Tyvaso Inhalation System. Studies establishing effectiveness included predominately patients with NYHA Functional Class III symptoms.

Table of Contents

In connection with the Tyvaso approval, we agreed to a post-marketing requirement (PMR) and certain post-marketing commitments (PMCs). PMRs and PMCs are studies that sponsors conduct after FDA approval to gather additional information about a product's safety, efficacy, or optimal use. PMRs are required studies, whereas a sponsor voluntarily commits to conduct PMCs.

Under the PMCs, we modified certain aspects of the Tyvaso Inhalation System. We also performed a usability analysis incorporating the evaluation and prioritization of user-related risk followed by a human factors study. In 2012, the FDA acknowledged we had satisfied our PMCs and approved our modifications to the Tyvaso Inhalation System. The Tyvaso Inhalation System now includes a nebulizer called TD-100, which incorporates these modifications. In addition, we are developing further enhancements to make the Tyvaso Inhalation System easier for patients to use.

In accordance with our PMR, we are required to complete a long-term observational study in the United States that includes 1,000 patient years of follow-up in patients treated with Tyvaso, and 1,000 patient years of follow-up in control patients receiving other PAH treatments, to evaluate the potential association between Tyvaso and oropharyngeal and pulmonary toxicity. We have completed this study and are preparing to submit the results of the study by the FDA's deadline of June 30, 2015. While we believe we are on schedule to complete the PMR by this deadline, any failure or delay could result in penalties, including fines or withdrawal of Tyvaso from the market, unless we are able to demonstrate good cause for the failure or delay.

In June 2010, the FDA granted orphan drug designation for Tyvaso. Such a designation, coupled with an approval of the product for the orphan indication, confers an exclusivity period through July 2016, during which the FDA may not approve any application to market the same drug for the same indication, except in limited circumstances.

We are not seeking EMA approval of Tyvaso as a standalone treatment of PAH, but we are planning to seek EMA approval to market Tyvaso in combination with esuberaprost, if the BEAT study described below under *Esuberaprost* is successful. Tyvaso is approved in Israel, and we are in the process of updating its registration to include the TD-100 device so that we can commence commercial sales through our Israeli distributor, Rafa Laboratories Ltd.

Orenitram

Orenitram is an extended-release, oral tablet form of treprostinil, which we launched commercially in the United States during the second quarter of 2014. Orenitram is the only FDA-approved, orally administered prostacyclin analogue. We sell Orenitram to the same specialty pharmaceutical distributors in the United States that distribute Remodulin and Tyvaso. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recognized approximately \$41.3 million in Orenitram revenues, representing 3 percent of our total net revenues.

Regulatory Approval of Orenitram

In December 2013, the FDA approved Orenitram for the treatment of PAH in WHO Group 1 patients to improve exercise capacity. The primary study that established efficacy (FREEDOM-M) included predominately patients with WHO functional class II-III symptoms and etiologies of idiopathic or heritable PAH (75%) or PAH associated with connective tissue disease (19%). Orenitram's label also notes that Orenitram is probably most useful to replace subcutaneous, intravenous, or inhaled treprostinil, but these uses have not yet been studied. The most common side effects observed were headache, nausea and diarrhea.

FREEDOM-M was a 12-week monotherapy study of Orenitram (meaning patients were not on any background PAH therapy), which met its primary endpoint of improvement in six-minute walk distance at week 12. Analysis of the FREEDOM-M results demonstrated that patients receiving Orenitram

Table of Contents

improved their six-minute walk distance by a median of approximately 23 meters ($p=0.0125$, Hodges-Lehmann estimate and non-parametric analysis of covariance in accordance with the trial's pre-specified statistical analysis plan) as compared to patients receiving the placebo. The median change from baseline at week 12 was 25 meters for patients receiving Orenitram and 5 meters for patients receiving the placebo.

Orenitram Combination Therapy

In addition to the successful monotherapy study noted above, we also conducted two unsuccessful phase III studies of Orenitram in combination with other approved therapies. We believe that in order for Orenitram to reach its full commercial potential, we need to complete further studies to support an amendment to Orenitram's label to include data demonstrating that Orenitram delays morbidity and mortality in patients who are on an approved oral background therapy. As such, we are enrolling up to 610 patients in a phase IV clinical trial called FREEDOM-EV, which began in 2012. FREEDOM-EV is a placebo-controlled study of patients who enter the study on an approved oral background therapy, and one of the two primary endpoints of the study is the time to clinical worsening. The other primary endpoint is change in six-minute walk distance from baseline to week 24.

We currently plan to seek approval of Orenitram in Europe upon completion of the FREEDOM-EV study. In 2005, the EMA announced that Orenitram had been designated an orphan medicinal product for the treatment of PAH. A request for orphan drug designation for Orenitram is pending before the FDA.

Adcirca

We began selling Adcirca in 2009. Adcirca is a PDE-5 inhibitor, the active pharmaceutical ingredient of which is tadalafil. Tadalafil is also the active pharmaceutical ingredient in Cialis[®], which is marketed by Lilly for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. We acquired the commercial rights to Adcirca for the treatment of PAH in the United States and Puerto Rico from Lilly in 2008. We sell Adcirca at prices established by Lilly, which are at parity with Cialis pricing and are typically set at a discount from an average wholesale price to pharmaceutical wholesalers. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we recognized approximately \$221.5 million, \$177.0 million and \$122.5 million in Adcirca revenues, representing 17 percent, 16 percent and 13 percent, respectively, of our net revenues.

Patients with PAH have been shown to have reduced levels of the enzyme responsible for producing NO, a naturally occurring substance in the body that has the effect of relaxing vascular smooth muscle cells. NO works to relax pulmonary blood vessels by increasing intracellular levels of cyclic GMP. Because cyclic GMP is degraded by PDE-5, an established therapeutic approach in the treatment of PAH is to use PDE-5 inhibitors to increase levels of cyclic GMP in blood vessels and improve cardiopulmonary function in PAH patients.

In September 2014, Gilead announced the results of a study of ambrisentan (an ETRA) and tadalafil in PAH patients as a first-line treatment, compared to treating PAH patients with only ambrisentan or tadalafil. In the study, first-line treatment with both therapies reduced the risk of clinical failure compared to a monotherapy treatment by 50 percent ($p=0.0002$).

Prior to the approval of Adcirca, Revatio[®], which is marketed by Pfizer Inc. (Pfizer), was the only PDE-5 inhibitor approved for the treatment of PAH. Sildenafil citrate, the active ingredient in Revatio, is also the active ingredient in Viagra[®], which is marketed by Pfizer for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. In 2012, several companies launched generic formulations of sildenafil citrate. Revatio and generic sildenafil citrate are dosed three times daily. Adcirca is dosed once daily.

Table of Contents

FDA Approval of Adcirca

In 2009, the FDA approved Adcirca with a recommended dose of 40 mg, making it the first once-daily PDE-5 inhibitor for the treatment of PAH. Adcirca is indicated to improve exercise ability in patients with PAH (WHO Group 1), which encompasses patients with various etiologies, such as idiopathic and heritable PAH as well as PAH associated with connective tissue diseases. Studies establishing effectiveness included predominately patients with NYHA Functional Class II-III symptoms. Headaches were the most commonly reported side effect.

Commercial Rights to Adcirca

In 2008, we entered into several agreements with Lilly, including a license agreement and a manufacturing and supply agreement. Pursuant to the license agreement, Lilly granted us an exclusive license for the right to develop, market, promote and commercialize Adcirca for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension. Pursuant to the manufacturing and supply agreement, Lilly agreed to manufacture Adcirca and distribute it on our behalf via its wholesaler network, in the same manner that it distributes its own pharmaceutical products. See *Patents and Other Proprietary Rights, Strategic Licenses and Market Exclusivity* below for more details on these agreements.

Esuberaprost

We have the exclusive right to develop and market a modified-release formulation of beraprost in North America, Europe, and certain other territories for the treatment of cardiovascular indications, pursuant to our license agreement with Toray Industries, Inc. (Toray), which is described below under *Patents and Other Proprietary Rights, Strategic Licenses and Market Exclusivity Toray Amended License Agreement*. Beraprost is a chemically stable, orally bioavailable prostacyclin analogue. Like natural prostacyclin and treprostinil, beraprost is believed to dilate blood vessels and prevent both platelet aggregation and proliferation of smooth muscle cells surrounding blood vessels, via a unique profile of pulmonary vascular receptor selectivity.

In 2012, we completed a phase I safety trial of esuberaprost (formerly known as 314d), a reformulated, single-isomer version of beraprost, and the data suggested that dosing esuberaprost four times a day would be well-tolerated. We believe that esuberaprost and treprostinil have differing prostacyclin receptor-binding profiles, and thus could provide benefits to certain groups of patients with differing sets of safety and efficacy profiles. We also believe Tyvaso and esuberaprost have complimentary pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles, which indicates they could provide greater efficacy in combination. As a result, in 2013, we began enrolling a phase III study called BEAT (**BE**raprost 314d Add-on to **Ty**vaso) to evaluate the clinical benefit and safety of esuberaprost in combination with Tyvaso for patients with PAH who show signs of deterioration on inhaled treprostinil or have a less than optimal response to inhaled treprostinil treatment. We intend to enroll 240 patients in the study, which will have a primary endpoint of time to clinical worsening.

Cell-Based Therapy

In 2011, we entered into a license agreement with Pluristem to develop and commercialize a cell-based therapy for the treatment of PAH using Pluristem's proprietary cell technology known as PLacental eXpanded (PLX) cells. We commenced a phase I clinical study in Australia in 2013.

Lung Transplantation

PAH has not been reported to reoccur in end-stage patients who have received a lung transplant. We believe fewer than 100 PAH patients in the United States receive a lung transplant each year (out of almost 2,000 performed) due to a shortage of available lungs for transplant, as a result of the demand for transplantable lungs by patients with end-stage pulmonary diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, and delays in listing PAH patients for transplant.

Table of Contents

In 2011, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of Revivacor, a company focused on developing genetic biotechnology platforms to provide alternative tissue sources for the treatment of human degenerative disease through tissue and organ xenotransplantation. We have focused this platform on the goal of providing transplantable lungs for human patients.

In May 2014, we completed a \$50.0 million preferred stock investment in Synthetic Genomics Inc. (SGI). We also entered into a separate multi-year research and development collaboration agreement with SGI whereby SGI will develop engineered primary pig cells, cells taken directly from living tissue and established for growth in vitro, with modified genomes for use in our xenotransplantation program, which is principally focused on lungs. Under this agreement, each party will assume its own research and development costs and SGI may receive royalties and milestone payments from development and commercialization of organs.

We are also engaged in preclinical development of several regenerative technologies for creating transplantable lung tissue and whole lungs for patients with end-stage lung disease, as well as other technologies intended to improve outcomes for lung transplant recipients. We are preparing to commence a clinical trial in the United States to study the use of ex-vivo lung perfusion technology originally developed in Canada (where it is already used commercially) to provide extended preservation and assessment of donated lungs that are initially rejected for transplantation. In 2014, we completed the construction of the only laboratory facility in the United States devoted to performing ex-vivo lung perfusion on a fee-for-service basis. This facility is located in Silver Spring, Maryland.

Products to Treat Cancer

Ch14.18 Antibody

In 2010, we entered into a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) with the NCI to collaborate on the late-stage development and regulatory agency submissions of Chimeric Monoclonal Antibody 14.18 (ch14.18) for children with high-risk neuroblastoma and patients with other forms of cancers. Ch14.18 is an antibody that has shown potential in the treatment of certain types of cancer by targeting GD2, a glycolipid on the surface of tumor cells. Neuroblastoma is a rare cancer of the sympathetic nervous system mainly affecting children. It is the most common extracranial, outside the skull, solid cancer in children and the most common cancer in infants. There are fewer than 1,000 new cases of neuroblastoma diagnosed each year in the United States. Ch14.18 is a chimeric, composed of a combination of mouse and human DNA, monoclonal antibody that induces antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity, a mechanism of cell-mediated immunity whereby the immune system actively targets a cell that has been bound by specific antibodies.

Results of the NCI's phase III study were published in September 2010. In that study, immunotherapy with ch14.18 significantly improved patient outcome compared with standard therapy in patients with high risk neuroblastoma. Specifically, the two-year estimate for event-free survival was 66%±5% in the ch14.18 immunotherapy group and 46%±5% in the standard therapy group (p=0.01 without adjustment for interim analyses). The ch14.18 immunotherapy group was also significantly better than the standard therapy group in the estimated rate of overall survival (86%±4% vs. 75%±5% at two years, p=0.02 without adjustment for interim analyses). The most common serious adverse reactions were infections, pain, hypotension, infusion reactions, hypokalemia, fever, and capillary leak syndrome. This study was coordinated by the Children's Oncology Group, a national consortium of researchers supported by the NCI.

Under the terms of the CRADA, the NCI completed a second phase III clinical trial with 105 patients to define more clearly the safety and toxicity profile of ch14.18 immunotherapy in children, and we have developed the commercial production capability for the antibody. Collectively, related NCI-supported studies and our production data were used as the foundation for our MAA, which the EMA accepted for review in December 2013, and a BLA, which the FDA accepted for review in June

Table of Contents

2014. We previously received orphan drug designation for ch14.18 from both the FDA and the EMA. In lieu of a royalty payment to the NCI, we have an ongoing obligation to provide the NCI with ch14.18 for its studies free of charge.

Products to Treat Infectious Diseases

Glycobiology Antiviral Agents

Pursuant to our research agreement with the University of Oxford (Oxford), we have the exclusive right to commercialize a platform of glycobiology antiviral drug candidates for the treatment of a wide variety of viruses. Through our research agreement with Oxford, we are also supporting research into new glycobiology antiviral drug candidates and technologies. We are currently testing many of these compounds in preclinical studies and Oxford continues to synthesize new agents that we may elect to test.

In 2011, we were awarded a cost plus fixed fee contract with an aggregate value of up to \$45.0 million under a Broad Agency Announcement from NIAID for studies directed toward the development of a broad spectrum antiviral drug with a primary indication for dengue and a secondary indication for influenza, based on our glycobiology antiviral platform. There are eight milestone-based options to expand the project and funding under the contract. To date, we have received contract modifications exercising five of these options, increasing total committed contract funding to \$28.1 million. We recognize revenue under this contract to the extent of allowable costs incurred, plus a proportionate amount of fees earned. Related revenues are included under the caption *Other Revenues* on our consolidated statements of operations.

Pursuant to our contract with NIAID, we began enrolling a phase I clinical trial of our lead antiviral candidate, an alpha-glucosidase inhibitor called UV-4B, in the third quarter of 2014. In November 2014, the FDA granted orphan drug designation for UV-4B for the treatment of acute dengue illness. We are also performing preclinical studies of UV-4B for the treatment of patients with ebola.

Sales and Marketing

Our marketing strategy for our commercial products is to use our sales and marketing teams to reach out to the prescriber community to: (1) increase PAH awareness; (2) increase understanding of the progressive nature of PAH; and (3) increase awareness of our commercial products and how they fit into the various stages of disease progression and treatment. Our sales and marketing teams consisted of approximately 155 employees as of December 31, 2014. We have divided our domestic sales force into two teams. One team sells Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram, while the other team sells Adcirca.

Distribution of Commercial Products

United States Distribution of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram

We distribute Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram throughout the United States and Puerto Rico through two contracted specialty pharmaceutical distributors: Accredo Health Group, Inc. (Accredo) and CVS Caremark (Caremark). These distributors are required to maintain certain minimum inventory levels in order to ensure an uninterrupted supply to patients who are prescribed our therapies. We compensate Accredo and Caremark on a fee-for-service basis for certain ancillary services in connection with the distribution of these products. If any of our distribution agreements expire or terminate, we may, under certain circumstances, be required to repurchase any unsold Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram inventory held by our distributors.

Table of Contents

These specialty pharmaceutical distributors are responsible for assisting patients with obtaining reimbursement for the cost of our treprostinil-based products and providing other support services. Under our distribution agreements, we sell each of our treprostinil-based products to these distributors at a transfer price that we establish. We have generally increased the price of Tyvaso by 4.9 percent annually, with the last such price increase becoming effective on January 1, 2015. We have not increased the price of Remodulin since 2010. We have also established patient assistance programs in the United States, which provides our treprostinil-based products to eligible uninsured or under-insured patients at no charge. Accredo and Caremark assist us with the administration of these programs.

United States Distribution of Adcirca

We sell Adcirca to pharmaceutical wholesalers at a discount from an average wholesale price. Under our manufacturing and supply agreement with Lilly (see *Patents and Other Proprietary Rights, Strategic Licenses and Market Exclusivity* below for more details), Lilly manufactures Adcirca and distributes it via its wholesaler network, which includes Accredo and Caremark, in the same manner that it distributes its own pharmaceutical products. Under the terms of this agreement, we take title to Adcirca upon completion of its manufacture by Lilly. Adcirca is shipped to customers in accordance with purchase orders received by Lilly. When customers take delivery of Adcirca, Lilly sends an invoice and collects the amount due from the customer subject to customary discounts and rebates, if any. Although Lilly provides these services on our behalf, we maintain the risk of loss as it pertains to inventory, product returns and non-payment of invoices. The manufacturing and supply agreement will continue in effect until expiration or termination of the license agreement. Lilly retains authority under the license agreement for all regulatory activities with respect to Adcirca, as well as its retail pricing, which has been and is expected to be at price parity with Cialis. Since receiving FDA approval of Adcirca, Lilly has generally increased the net wholesale price of Adcirca two or three times each year. During 2013, Lilly increased the net wholesale price of Adcirca by 9.5 percent in January and July and by 9.0 percent in December. During 2014, Lilly increased the net wholesale price of Adcirca by 9.1 percent in July and by 9.9 percent in December. We have also established a patient assistance program in the United States, which provides Adcirca to eligible uninsured or under-insured patients at no charge for a certain period of time.

International Distribution of Remodulin

We currently sell subcutaneous and intravenous Remodulin outside the United States to various distributors, each of which has exclusive distribution rights in one or more countries within Europe, Israel and the Middle East, Asia and South and Central America. We also distribute Remodulin in Canada through a specialty pharmaceutical wholesaler. In some of the European markets where we are not licensed to market Remodulin, such as Spain and the United Kingdom, we sell (but do not market) Remodulin on a named-patient basis in which therapies are approved for individual patients by a national medical review board, hospital or health plan on a case-by-case basis. We continue to work on expanding our sales of Remodulin into new territories through our existing network of distributors.

Patents and Other Proprietary Rights, Strategic Licenses and Market Exclusivity

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain and maintain patent protection for our products, preserve trade secrets, prevent third parties from infringing upon our proprietary rights and operate without infringing upon the proprietary rights of others in the United States and worldwide. Many of these proprietary rights stem from licenses and other strategic relationships with third parties. In addition to intellectual property rights, U.S. and international regulatory authorities often provide periods of market exclusivity for manufacturers of biopharmaceutical products.

Patents provide the owner with a right to exclude others from practicing an invention. Patents may cover the active ingredients, uses, formulations, doses, administrations, delivery mechanisms,

Table of Contents

manufacturing processes and other aspects of a product. The period of patent protection for any given product generally depends on the expiration date of various patents and may differ from country to country according to the type of patents, the scope of coverage and the remedies for infringement available in a country. Most of our commercial products and investigational products are protected by patents that expire on varying dates.

Significant legal questions exist concerning the extent and scope of patent protection for biopharmaceutical products and processes in the United States and elsewhere. Accordingly, there is no certainty that patent applications owned or licensed by us will be issued as patents, or that our issued patents will afford meaningful protection against competitors. Once issued, patents are subject to challenge through both administrative and judicial proceedings in the United States and other countries. Such proceedings include re-examinations, *inter partes* reviews, post-grant reviews and interference proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, as well as opposition proceedings before the European Patent Office. Litigation may be required to enforce, defend or obtain our patent and other intellectual property rights. Any administrative proceeding or litigation could require a significant commitment of our resources and, depending on outcome, could adversely affect the scope, validity or enforceability of certain of our patent or other proprietary rights.

Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram Proprietary Rights

We have a number of issued patents and pending patent applications covering the stable prostacyclin analogue known as treprostinil, which is the active pharmaceutical ingredient in Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram.

In January 1997, we acquired patents covering the use of treprostinil for PAH from GlaxoSmithKline PLC (formerly Glaxo Wellcome, Inc.) (Glaxo) in exchange for certain payments including a royalty on sales of any product containing treprostinil. All of these patents expired in October 2014, as did our royalty payment obligation to Glaxo.

In October 1997, we filed patent applications for a new synthesis method for treprostinil in the United States, Europe and various other countries. This application resulted in the grant of three patents in the United States, all of which expire in October 2017, as well as granted patents in a number of other countries, expiring in October 2018.

We continue to conduct research into new methods to synthesize treprostinil and have filed a number of additional patent applications relating to production of treprostinil, several of which have already been granted in the United States. One such patent was granted last year and is now listed in the Orange Book for Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram, expiring in 2028.

In addition to the treprostinil patents noted above, we have additional patents specific to our individual treprostinil-based products, including the following:

Remodulin. We have been granted three U.S. patents covering an improved diluent for Remodulin, which expire in 2028 and 2029. All three of these patents are listed in the FDA Orange Book.

Tyvaso. We have been granted two U.S. patents, as well as patents in other countries, for Tyvaso that cover methods of treating PAH by inhaled delivery. These patents will expire in the United States in 2018 and in various countries throughout the world in 2020.

Orenitram. Our patents for Orenitram cover methods of use for treating PAH, orally administered formulations, controlled moisture storage and production methods, as well as those covering controlled release formulations licensed to us by Supernus Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Supernus). These patents will expire in the United States between 2024 and 2031 and in various countries throughout the world between 2024 and 2027.

Table of Contents

We have additional pending U.S. and international patent applications relating to Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram.

Orange Book

In seeking approval of a drug through an NDA or BLA or upon issuance of new patents following approval of an NDA or BLA, applicants are required to submit to the FDA each patent that has claims covering the applicant's product or a method of using the product. Each of the patents submitted is then published in the FDA's Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, commonly known as the Orange Book. See *Governmental Regulation-Hatch Waxman Act* below for further details. Remodulin currently has five unexpired Orange Book-listed patents with expiration dates ranging from 2017 to 2029. Tyvaso currently has four unexpired Orange Book listed patents with expiration dates ranging from 2017 to 2028. Orenitram currently has eight unexpired Orange Book listed patents with expiration dates ranging from 2017 to 2031. Additional patent applications are pending, and if granted, may be eligible for listing in the Orange Book.

Regulatory Exclusivity

In June 2010, the FDA granted orphan drug designation for Tyvaso. This designation confers an exclusivity period through July 2016, during which the FDA may not approve any application to market the same drug for the same indication, except under limited circumstances. As a result of FDA approval of our NDA for Orenitram as a new dosage form, Orenitram has three years of market exclusivity for PAH expiring in December 2016. A request for orphan drug designation for Orenitram is pending with the FDA.

Remodulin is protected in the European Union by data protection regulations, which prevent the grant of an abbreviated marketing approval for a product containing tadalafil for the treatment of PAH for a period of either six or ten years from the date of the grant of the first marketing authorization in the European Union. In those countries where protection runs for six years, that period has expired, while in those countries where protection runs for ten years, this period expires in February 2015.

Generic Challenges

We have received notice of ANDAs filed by Sandoz Inc. (Sandoz) and Teva requesting FDA approval to market a generic version of Remodulin. After we received notice, we filed lawsuits against Sandoz and Teva in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging patent infringement. In August 2014, the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey ruled that our Orange Book patent expiring in October 2017 was both valid and enforceable against Sandoz, and enjoined Sandoz from marketing its generic product until the expiration of that patent. Sandoz has appealed this ruling. For further details, see the sections below entitled *Governmental Regulation Hatch-Waxman Act* and *Item 3. Legal Proceedings*. There can be no assurance that we will prevail in our defense of our patent rights against Teva and Sandoz, or that additional challenges from other ANDA filers will not surface with respect to Remodulin or our other tadalafil-based products. Our existing patents could be invalidated, found unenforceable or found not to cover a generic form of Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram. If any ANDA filer were to receive approval to sell a generic version of Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram and/or prevail in any patent litigation, the affected product would become subject to increased competition and our revenue would decrease.

Supernus License

In 2006, we entered into an exclusive license agreement with Supernus to use certain of its technologies in producing Orenitram. Under the agreement, we paid Supernus certain amounts upon

Table of Contents

the achievement of specified milestones based on the development of Orenitram and a \$2.0 million milestone payment upon its commercial launch in 2014. In addition, the agreement provides that we will pay a single-digit royalty to Supernus based on net worldwide sales. Any such royalty will be paid for approximately twelve years commencing with the first product sale and is subject to adjustments as specified in the agreement.

NEBU-TEC Agreement of Sale and Transfer

In 2008, we entered into an agreement with NEBU-TEC International Med Products Eike Kern GmbH (NEBU-TEC) to purchase its line of business relating to the manufacture of the Tyvaso Inhalation System which provided for future contingent milestone payments of up to €10.0 million (of which we have already paid €3.0 million as of December 31, 2014). The transaction closed in 2009 after we received FDA approval for Tyvaso. Through 2013, we managed all aspects of the manufacturing process for the Tyvaso Inhalation System and NEBU-TEC supplied the labor to assemble the devices in a facility we leased from NEBU-TEC. In December 2013, we ceased manufacturing at the NEBU-TEC leased facility and are using a U.S.-based manufacturer to produce the Tyvaso Inhalation System.

Lilly Agreements Related to Adcirca

In 2008, we entered into several agreements with Lilly regarding Adcirca, including a license agreement and a manufacturing and supply agreement.

License Agreement

Under the terms of the license agreement, Lilly granted us an exclusive license for the right to develop, market, promote and commercialize Adcirca for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension in the United States and Puerto Rico. We agreed to pay Lilly royalties equal to five percent of our net sales of Adcirca, as a pass through of Lilly's third-party royalty obligations, for so long as Lilly is required to make such payments.

Lilly retained the exclusive rights to develop, manufacture and commercialize pharmaceutical products containing tadalafil, the active pharmaceutical ingredient in Adcirca, for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension outside of the United States and Puerto Rico and for the treatment of other diseases worldwide. Lilly retained authority for all regulatory activities with respect to Adcirca, including retail pricing, which has been and is expected to continue to be at price parity with Cialis.

The license agreement will continue in effect until the later of: (1) expiration, lapse, cancellation, abandonment or invalidation of the last claim to expire within a Lilly patent covering the commercialization of Adcirca for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension in the United States and Puerto Rico; or (2) expiration of any government-conferred exclusivity rights to use Adcirca for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension in the United States and Puerto Rico.

We have the right to terminate the license agreement upon six months written notice to Lilly. Lilly has the right to terminate in the event of a change of control of our company. Either party may terminate upon a material breach by the other party of the license agreement or the manufacturing and supply agreement, described above.

The U.S. patent for Adcirca for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension will expire in November 2017.

Manufacturing and Supply Agreement

Under the terms of the manufacturing and supply agreement, Lilly agreed to manufacture Adcirca and distribute it on our behalf via its pharmaceutical wholesaler network, in the same manner that it distributes its own pharmaceutical products. Under the terms of this agreement, we take title to

Table of Contents

Adcirca upon its manufacture by Lilly. Adcirca is shipped to customers, generally pharmaceutical wholesalers, in accordance with customers' purchase orders received by Lilly. Lilly invoices and collects amounts due from the customer subject to customary discounts and rebates, if any, and remits the collections to us. Although Lilly is providing these services on our behalf, we maintain the risk of loss as it pertains to inventory, product returns and nonpayment of sales invoices. The manufacturing and supply agreement will continue in effect until expiration or termination of the license agreement.

We also agreed to purchase Adcirca at a fixed manufacturing cost. The agreement provides a mechanism, generally related to the increase in the national cost of pharmaceutical manufacturing, pursuant to which Lilly may raise the manufacturing cost of Adcirca.

National Cancer Institute

In 2010, we entered into a CRADA with the NCI to collaborate on the late-stage development and regulatory agency submissions of ch14.18 for children with high-risk neuroblastoma and patients with other cancers. For further details, refer to the section above entitled *Products to Treat Cancer Ch14.18 Antibody*.

Medtronic

In 2009, we entered into an exclusive agreement with Medtronic, which was amended in 2011, to collaborate on the development and commercialization of Medtronic's proprietary intravascular infusion catheter to be used with Medtronic's Synchronomed II implantable infusion pump and related infusion system components (together referred to as the Remodulin Implantable System) in order to deliver Remodulin for the treatment of PAH in the U.S., UK, Canada, France, Germany, Italy and Japan. Under the amended agreement, we have been working together at our expense to develop the Remodulin Implantable System, conduct a clinical trial and obtain regulatory approval for the use of Remodulin with the Remodulin Implantable System. If this development program is successful, our agreement provides that, upon commercialization, we will purchase infusion pumps and supplies from Medtronic and will also pay a royalty to Medtronic based on net sales of Remodulin for use in the Remodulin Implantable System within the exclusive territories, subject to certain adjustments specified in the agreement. The Remodulin Implantable System will be exclusive to Remodulin so long as we purchase a minimum percentage of our annual requirement for implantable pump systems from Medtronic. We will be solely responsible for all marketing and promotion of the Remodulin Implantable System in the exclusive territories.

Toray Amended License Agreement

In 2000, we licensed from Toray the exclusive right to develop and market beraprost for cardiovascular indications. Beraprost is a chemically stable oral prostacyclin analogue in a sustained release formulation, which is approved to treat PAH in Japan and certain other countries. This license gives us exclusive rights to develop beraprost and its variants throughout North America, Europe, and certain other territories. We are currently developing esuberaprost under this license agreement.

In 2007, we issued 400,000 shares of our common stock to Toray in exchange for the cancellation of Toray's existing right under the 2000 agreement to receive an option grant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our common stock. Toray has the right to request that we repurchase the 400,000 shares of our common stock upon 30 days prior written notice at the price of \$27.21 per share. The 2007 amendment also provided for certain milestone payments during the development period and upon receipt of regulatory approval for beraprost in the United States or the European Union.

Table of Contents

In 2011, we amended our license agreement with Toray. The amendment did not materially change the terms of our license agreement, except for a reduction in royalty rates. In exchange for the reduction in royalty rates, we agreed to pay Toray \$50.0 million in equal, non-refundable payments over the five-year period ending in 2015. As of December 31, 2014, we have \$10.0 million remaining under this obligation, which is recorded as a current liability on our consolidated balance sheet. Toray has the right to terminate the license agreement in the event of a change of control of our company under certain circumstances.

Pluristem License Agreement

In 2011, we entered into a license agreement with Pluristem for exclusive worldwide rights to develop and commercialize a cell-based product for the treatment of PAH using Pluristem's proprietary PLX cell technology. The agreement provides for milestone payments to Pluristem at various stages of the product's development, as well as royalties on commercial sales.

Oxford

We maintain a research agreement with Oxford to develop antiviral compounds. Research under this agreement is performed by Oxford Glycobiology Institute, which is headed by a member of our Board of Directors and our scientific advisory board. Under the terms of the agreement, we are required to fund related research activities and make milestone payments for the successful completion of clinical trials. We are also obligated to pay royalties to Oxford equal to a percentage of our net sales from any discoveries and products developed by Oxford. Milestone payments and royalties are subject to reduction depending upon third-party contributions to discoveries and/or third-party licenses necessary to develop products. In August 2010, the term of the research agreement was extended through September 2016. In connection with the extension of the term, we agreed to pay Oxford a total of \$2.9 million (using the then-prevailing exchange rate) in 60 equal monthly installments. As of December 31, 2014, approximately \$1.1 million remains outstanding under this 2010 agreement. In addition, in December 2012, we amended our agreement with Oxford, under which we agreed to pay Oxford an additional \$871,000 in the aggregate (using the exchange rate as of the amendment date) in 36 equal monthly installments beginning in January 2013 for additional work supporting the development of our virology platform. For additional details regarding our virology program, please see the section above entitled *Products to Treat Infectious Diseases Glycobiology Antiviral Agents*.

DEKA

In December 2014, we entered into an exclusive agreement with DEKA to develop a pre-filled, semi-disposable pump system for subcutaneous delivery of Remodulin. Under the terms of the agreement, we will fund the development costs related to the semi-disposable pump system and will pay product fees and a single-digit royalty to DEKA based on commercial sales of the system and the Remodulin sold for use with the system. Our goal is to be in a position to receive FDA approval for this delivery system by the end of 2018.

Other

We are party to various other license agreements relating to therapies under development. These license agreements require us to make payments based on a percentage of sales, if we are successful in commercially developing these therapies, and may require other payments upon the achievement of certain milestones.

Table of Contents

Research & Development Expenditures

We are engaged in research and development and have incurred substantial expenses for these activities. These expenses generally include the cost of acquiring or inventing new technologies and products, as well as new product development (both preclinical and clinical studies and manufacturing cost for unapproved products). Research and development expenses during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 totaled approximately \$242.5 million, \$299.3 million and \$173.4 million, respectively. See *Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Major Research and Development Projects* for additional information regarding expenditures related to major research and development projects. Research and development expense is significantly impacted by fluctuations in our stock price, due to the cash payment obligations created by our share-based compensation programs. For further details, see *Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Operating Expenses Share-Based Compensation*.

Production and Supply

We produce our primary supply of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram at our own facilities. In particular, we synthesize treprostinil, the active ingredient in Remodulin and Tyvaso, and treprostinil diolamine, the active ingredient in Orenitram, at our facility in Silver Spring, Maryland. We also produce finished Tyvaso and Remodulin at our Silver Spring facility. We produce Orenitram and we warehouse and distribute Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram, at our facility in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

We maintain a two-year inventory of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram based on expected demand, and we also contract with third-party contract manufacturers to supplement our capacity, in order to mitigate the risk that we might not be able to produce sufficient quantities to meet patient demand. For example, Baxter Pharmaceutical Solutions, LLC (Baxter) is approved by the FDA, the EMA and various other international regulatory agencies to produce Remodulin for us. In the case of Tyvaso, we rely on Catalent Pharma Solutions, Inc. (Catalent) to serve as an additional producer of Tyvaso, and we rely entirely on Minnetronix Inc. to manufacture the nebulizer used in our Tyvaso Inhalation System. We are working to obtain FDA approval of a third party to serve as an additional producer of Orenitram.

Although we believe that additional third parties could provide similar products, services and materials, there are few companies that could replace our existing third-party producers and suppliers. A change in supplier or producer could cause a delay in the production, distribution and research efforts associated with our respective products or result in increased costs. See also *Item 1A Risk Factors* included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Competition

Many drug companies engage in research and development to commercialize products to treat cardiovascular and infectious diseases and cancer. For the treatment of PAH, we compete with many approved products in the United States and the rest of the world, including the following:

Flolan, Veletri and generic epoprostenol. Flolan (epoprostenol) is a prostacyclin that is delivered by intravenous infusion. Glaxo began marketing Flolan in the United States in 1996, and the generic exclusivity period for Flolan expired in 2007. In 2008, the FDA approved Teva's version of generic epoprostenol for the treatment of PAH. In 2010, Actelion commenced sales of Veletri, which is another version of epoprostenol;

Ventavis and Ilomedin®. Approved in 2004 in the United States and in 2003 in Europe, Ventavis (iloprost) is an inhaled prostacyclin analogue. Ventavis is currently marketed by Actelion in the

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

United States and by Bayer in Europe as Iloprost. Iloprost is also marketed by Bayer in certain countries outside the United States in an intravenous form known as Ilomedin;

Tracleer. Tracleer (bosentan), an oral ETRA therapy for treatment of PAH, was approved in 2001 in the United States and in 2002 in Europe. Tracleer is marketed worldwide by Actelion;

Letairis[®]. Approved in 2007 in the United States, Letairis (ambrisentan) is an oral ETRA therapy marketed by Gilead for the treatment of PAH. In 2008, Glaxo received marketing authorization from the EMA for Letairis in Europe, where it is known as Volibris[®];

Revatio and generic sildenafil citrate. Approved in 2005 in the United States, Revatio (sildenafil citrate) is an oral PDE-5 inhibitor therapy marketed by Pfizer. Revatio contains sildenafil citrate, the same active ingredient as Viagra. In the fourth quarter of 2012, several companies began marketing generic formulations of sildenafil citrate;

Opsumit. Approved in October 2013 in the United States and December 2013 in the European Union, Opsumit (macitentan) is an oral ETRA developed by Actelion for the treatment of PAH; and

Adempas[®]. Approved in August 2013 in the United States and March 2014 in the European Union, Adempas (riociguat) is a soluble guanylate cyclase stimulator, which targets a similar vasodilatory pathway as PDE-5 inhibitors and is approved for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension and PAH. Adempas is an oral therapy marketed by Bayer.

There are also a variety of investigational PAH therapies in the later stages of development, including the following:

Uptravi[®] (*selexipag*), an oral prostacyclin receptor agonist being developed jointly by Actelion and Nippon Shinyaku Co., Ltd. in Japan, and by Actelion outside Japan. In June 2014, Actelion announced that Uptravi met the primary endpoints of its phase III clinical trial. In December 2014, Actelion submitted applications with the EMA and the FDA seeking approval of Uptravi for the treatment of patients with PAH;

Gleevec[®] (*imatinib*), a small molecule kinase inhibitor in an oral tablet form approved for treating various cancers, is being studied for the treatment of PAH. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation (Novartis) completed a phase III trial of Gleevec for the treatment of PAH in September 2011. During the third quarter of 2012, Novartis withdrew its NDA in order to submit additional data to the FDA and during the first quarter of 2013 withdrew the MAA it had filed with the EMA;

Ralinepag, an oral prostacyclin receptor agonist being developed by Arena Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Arena). Arena commenced a phase II clinical trial of ralinepag in 2014; and

Trevent[®], a formulation of treprostinil being developed by SteadyMed Ltd. (SteadyMed) for delivery via its pre-filled, disposable PatchPump[®]. SteadyMed has announced that it plans to submit an NDA for Trevent in the first quarter of 2016, and an MAA in the first half of 2016.

Oral non-prostacyclin therapies (such as PDE-5 inhibitors and ETAs) are commonly prescribed as first-line treatments for the least severely ill PAH patients (NYHA Class II patients). As patients progress in their disease severity (NYHA Class III and IV), less convenient approved therapies, such as inhaled prostacyclin analogues (such as Tyvaso) or infused prostacyclin analogues (such as Remodulin) are commonly added. Orenitram is the first approved oral prostacyclin therapy for PAH in the United States. We anticipate that it will face competition with existing oral PAH therapies, and will be regarded as a less invasive and more convenient alternative therapy to Tyvaso and Remodulin. The use of available oral therapies could delay many patients' need for inhaled or infused prostacyclin therapy. As a result, the availability of oral therapies affects demand for our inhaled and infused products.

Table of Contents

We could also face competition from generic pharmaceutical companies in the future. For example, two generic companies have filed ANDAs requesting FDA approval to market a generic version of Remodulin. For details, see the sections below entitled *Governmental Regulation Hatch-Waxman Act* and *Item 3. Legal Proceedings*. In addition, certain Revatio patents expired in 2012, leading several manufacturers to launch generic formulations of sildenafil citrate, which physicians could prescribe for the treatment of PAH. Generic sildenafil citrate's lower price, relative to Adcirca, could lead to an erosion of Adcirca's market share and limit its growth potential. Although we believe Adcirca's once-daily dosing regimen is an appealing alternative to generic sildenafil citrate's dosing regimen of three times per day, we expect government payers and private insurance companies to favor over time the use of the less expensive generic sildenafil citrate instead of Adcirca.

We compete with the developers, manufacturers and distributors of all of the PAH products noted above for customers, funding, access to licenses, personnel, third-party collaborators, product development and commercialization. Almost all of these companies have substantially greater financial, marketing, sales, distribution and technical resources, and more experience in research and development, product development, manufacturing and marketing, clinical trials and regulatory matters, than we have.

Governmental Regulation

Pharmaceutical Product Approval Process

The research, development, testing, manufacture, promotion, marketing, distribution, sampling, storage, approval, labeling, record keeping, post-approval monitoring and reporting, and import and export of pharmaceutical products (drugs or biological products, hereinafter collectively drugs) are extensively regulated by governmental agencies in the United States and in other countries. In the United States, failure to comply with requirements under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDC Act), the Public Health Service Act (PHSA), and other federal statutes and regulations, may subject a company to a variety of administrative or judicial sanctions, such as FDA refusal to approve pending NDAs or BLAs, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, civil penalties, and criminal prosecution.

Satisfaction of FDA pre-market approval requirements typically takes many years, and the actual time required may vary substantially based upon the type, complexity and novelty of the product or disease. Drugs are subject to rigorous regulation by the FDA in the United States, the EMA in the EU and similar regulatory authorities in other countries. The steps ordinarily required before a new drug may be marketed in the United States, which are similar to steps required in most other countries, include:

Preclinical laboratory tests, preclinical studies in animals, formulation studies and the submission to the FDA of an investigational new drug application (IND) for a new drug, which must become effective before clinical testing may commence;

Clinical studies in healthy volunteers;

Clinical studies in patients to explore safety, efficacy and dose-response characteristics;

Adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the drug for each indication;

The submission of an NDA or BLA to the FDA; and

FDA review and approval of the NDA or BLA prior to any commercial sale or shipment of the drug.

Table of Contents

Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluation of product chemistry and formulation, as well as animal studies to explore toxicity and for proof-of-concept. The conduct of the preclinical tests must comply with federal regulations and requirements including good laboratory practices. In the United States, the results of preclinical testing are submitted to the FDA as part of an IND, along with other information including information about product chemistry, manufacturing and controls and a proposed clinical trial protocol. Long-term preclinical tests, such as animal tests of reproductive toxicity and carcinogenicity, may continue after the IND is submitted. Absent FDA objection within 30 days after submission of an IND, the IND becomes effective and the clinical trial proposed in the IND may begin. At any time during this 30-day period or at any time thereafter, the FDA may halt proposed or ongoing clinical trials. The IND process may be extremely costly and may substantially delay development of our products. Moreover, positive results of preclinical tests will not necessarily indicate positive results in clinical trials.

Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational new drug or biologic to healthy volunteers or patients under the supervision of a qualified investigator. Clinical trials must be conducted: (a) in compliance with federal regulations; (b) in compliance with good clinical practices (GCP), an international standard meant to protect the rights and health of patients and to define the roles of clinical trial sponsors, administrators, and monitors; and (c) under protocols detailing the objectives of the trial, the parameters to be used in monitoring safety and the criteria to be evaluated. Each protocol involving testing on U.S. patients and subsequent protocol amendments must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND.

The FDA may order the temporary or permanent discontinuation of a clinical trial at any time or impose other sanctions if it believes that the clinical trial is not being conducted in accordance with FDA requirements or presents an unacceptable risk to the clinical trial patients. The study protocol and informed consent information for patients in clinical trials must also be approved by an institutional review board (IRB). An IRB may also require the clinical trial at a site to be halted temporarily or permanently for failure to comply with the IRB's requirements, or may impose other conditions.

Clinical trials in support of an NDA or a BLA are typically conducted in three sequential phases, but the phases may overlap. During phase I, the initial introduction of the drug into healthy human subjects or patients, the drug is tested to assess metabolism, pharmacokinetics, pharmacological actions, side effects associated with increasing doses, and, if possible, early evidence on effectiveness. Phase II usually involves studies in a limited patient population to assess the efficacy of the drug in specific, targeted indications, assess tolerance and optimal dosage and identify possible adverse effects and safety risks. If a compound is found to be potentially effective and to have an acceptable safety profile in phase II evaluations, then a meeting may be requested at the end of phase II to determine the safety of proceeding to phase III. Phase III trials, also called pivotal studies, major studies or advanced clinical trials, are undertaken to demonstrate clinical efficacy and safety in a larger number of patients, typically at geographically diverse clinical study sites, and to permit the FDA to evaluate the overall benefit-risk relationship of the drug and to provide adequate information for the labeling of the drug.

After successful completion of the required clinical testing, an NDA or a BLA is typically submitted to the FDA in the United States, and an MAA is typically submitted to the EMA in the EU. FDA approval of the NDA or BLA is required before marketing of the product may begin in the United States. The NDA or BLA must include the results of all preclinical, clinical and other testing and a compilation of data relating to the product's pharmacology, chemistry, manufacture, and controls. The cost of preparing and submitting an NDA or BLA is substantial. Under federal law, the submission of most NDAs and BLAs is additionally subject to a substantial application fee, currently exceeding \$2.3 million, and the manufacturer and/or sponsor of an approved NDA or BLA is also subject to annual product and establishment fees, currently exceeding \$110,000 per product and \$569,000 per establishment. These fees are typically increased annually. However, the application fees may be waived for orphan drugs if certain requirements are met.

Table of Contents

The FDA has 60 days from its receipt of an NDA or a BLA to determine whether the application will be accepted for filing based on the agency's threshold determination that it is sufficiently complete to permit substantive review. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth review. The FDA may instead ask for additional information, in which case, the application must be amended and resubmitted with the requested information. The FDA has agreed to certain performance goals in the review of NDAs. Most such applications for non-priority drugs are reviewed within ten to twelve months, while most applications for priority review drugs are reviewed in six to eight months. Priority review can be applied to drugs that the FDA determines offer major advances in treatment, or provide a treatment where no adequate therapy exists. For biologics, priority review is further limited to drugs intended to treat a serious or life-threatening disease. The review process may be extended by the FDA for three additional months to consider certain information submitted during FDA review, including information intended to clarify information already provided or to address any deficiencies identified in the submission. The FDA may also refer applications for novel pharmaceutical products or pharmaceutical products that present difficult questions of safety or efficacy to an advisory committee, typically a panel that includes clinicians and other experts, for review, evaluation and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation of an advisory committee, but it generally follows such recommendations. During the review process, the FDA also reviews the drug's product labeling to ensure that appropriate information is communicated to health care professionals and consumers. In addition, before approving an NDA or a BLA, the FDA will typically inspect one or more clinical sites to assure compliance with GCP. Additionally, the FDA will inspect the facility or the facilities at which the drug is manufactured. The FDA will not approve the product unless compliance with the FDA's current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) and GCP is satisfactory and the NDA or BLA contains data that provide substantial evidence that the pharmaceutical product is safe and effective for purposes of the indication studied.

In the United States, after the FDA evaluates the NDA or BLA and the manufacturing facilities, the FDA may issue either an approval letter or a complete response letter. A complete response letter generally outlines the deficiencies in the submission and may require substantial additional testing or information in order for the FDA to reconsider the application. If and when those conditions have been addressed to the FDA's satisfaction in a resubmission of the NDA or BLA, the FDA will issue an approval letter. The FDA has committed to reviewing such resubmissions in two or six months depending on the type of information included. A Class 1 resubmission may contain only limited information such as labeling, safety updates, stability updates, or minor analysis updates or clarifying information and is subject to a two-month review period. All other resubmissions are categorized as Class 2 and are subject to a six-month review period. Even after such a resubmission, the FDA may decide that the application does not satisfy the regulatory criteria for approval.

An approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the drug with specific prescribing information for specific indications. As a condition of NDA or BLA approval, the FDA may require a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy (REMS) to help ensure that the benefits of the drug outweigh the potential risks. A REMS can include medication guides, communication plans for healthcare professionals, and elements to assure safe use (ETASU). ETASU can include, but are not limited to, special training or certification for prescribing or dispensing, dispensing only under certain circumstances, special monitoring, and the use of patient registries. The requirement for a REMS can materially affect the potential market and profitability of the drug. To continue marketing our products after approval, applicable regulations require us to maintain a positive risk-benefit profile, maintain regulatory applications through periodic reports to regulatory authorities, fulfill pharmacovigilance requirements, maintain manufacturing facilities according to cGMP requirements, and successfully complete regulatory agency inspections, among other requirements. Our manufacturing facilities are subject to continual review and periodic inspections. Once granted, product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or problems are identified following initial marketing.

Table of Contents

Disclosure of Clinical Trial Information

Sponsors of clinical trials of FDA-regulated drugs and other products are required to register and disclose certain clinical trial information related to the product, patient population, phase of investigation, study sites and investigators, and other aspects of the clinical trial. This clinical trial information is then made public as part of the sponsor's registration. Sponsors are also obligated to disclose the results of their clinical trials after completion. Competitors may use this publicly-available information to gain knowledge regarding the progress of development programs.

Orphan Drugs

Under the Orphan Drug Act, an applicant can request the FDA to designate a product as an "orphan drug" in the United States if the drug is intended to treat a rare disease or condition affecting fewer than 200,000 people in the United States. Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting an NDA or BLA. After the FDA grants orphan drug designation, the generic identity of the drug and its potential orphan use are disclosed publicly by the FDA. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process. The first NDA or BLA applicant to receive orphan drug designation and FDA approval for a particular active ingredient to treat a particular disease is entitled to a seven-year exclusive marketing period in the United States for that product, for that indication. During the seven-year period, the FDA may not approve any other application to market the same drug for the same disease, except in limited circumstances, such as a showing of clinical superiority to the product with orphan drug exclusivity or the inability of the NDA or BLA holder for the product with orphan drug exclusivity to assure availability of sufficient quantities of the drug to meet the needs of patients with the rare disease or condition. Orphan drug exclusivity does not prevent the FDA from approving a different drug for the same disease or condition, or the same drug for a different disease or condition. Among the other benefits of orphan drug designation are tax credits for certain research and a waiver of the NDA or BLA application user fee.

The FDA granted orphan drug designation for the active ingredient treprostinil for the treatment of PAH as a continuous infusion. However, this designation does not preclude us from seeking orphan drug designation for other formulations or routes of administration, such as oral or inhaled, of treprostinil to treat PAH, or for treprostinil used to treat other orphan diseases. In order for the FDA to grant orphan drug designation for other formulations or routes of administration of treprostinil to treat PAH, we must demonstrate that such new formulation or route of administration is clinically superior to the formulation or route of administration previously granted orphan drug designation. The FDA has granted orphan drug designation for Tyvaso. A request for orphan drug designation for Orenitram is pending.

Pediatric Information

Under the Pediatric Research Equity Act of 2007 (PREA), NDAs, BLAs and supplements to NDAs and BLAs must contain data to assess the safety and effectiveness of the drug for the claimed indication(s) in all relevant pediatric subpopulations and to support dosing and administration for each such pediatric subpopulation for which the drug is safe and effective. The FDA may grant deferrals for submission of data or full or partial waivers. Unless otherwise required by regulation, the PREA does not apply to any drug for an indication for which orphan drug designation has been granted.

The Best Pharmaceuticals For Children Act (BPCA) provides NDA holders a six-month extension of any exclusivity, patent or non-patent, for a drug if certain conditions are met. Conditions for exclusivity include the FDA's determination that information relating to the use of a new drug in the pediatric population may produce health benefits in that population, the FDA making a written request for pediatric studies, and the applicant agreeing to perform, and reporting on, the requested studies

Table of Contents

within the requested time frame. Applications under the BPCA are treated as priority applications, with all of the benefits that designation confers.

Hatch-Waxman Act

The Hatch-Waxman Act (also known as the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act) was passed in 1984 to encourage research and development of new drugs and competition between brand and generic pharmaceutical companies. It created a faster approval process for generic drugs, called the abbreviated new drug application (ANDA), while providing protection to brand pharmaceuticals by extending their patent protection, in some cases, to compensate for patent life lost during the product development and approval process and providing periods of market exclusivity to encourage continuing research on, for example, new uses, strengths or dosage forms for existing drugs.

In seeking approval of a drug through an NDA, applicants are required to submit to the FDA each patent whose claims cover the applicant's product or FDA-approved method of using this product. Upon approval of a drug, each of the patents listed in the application is then published in the FDA's Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, commonly known as the Orange Book. Drugs listed in the Orange Book can, in turn, be cited by potential competitors in support of approval of an ANDA. Generally, an ANDA provides for marketing of a drug product that has the same active ingredients in the same strength(s), route of administration, and dosage form as the listed drug and has been shown through bioequivalence testing to be therapeutically equivalent to the listed drug. ANDA applicants are not required to conduct or submit results of preclinical or clinical tests to prove the safety or effectiveness of their drug product, other than the requirement for bioequivalence testing. Drugs approved in this way are commonly referred to as "generic equivalents" to the listed drug, and can often be substituted by pharmacists under prescriptions written for the original listed drug.

The ANDA applicant is required to certify to the FDA concerning any patents listed for the approved product in the FDA's Orange Book. Specifically, the applicant must certify that: (a) the required patent information has not been filed; (b) the listed patent has expired; (c) the listed patent has not expired, but will expire on a particular date and approval is sought after patent expiration; or (d) the listed patent is invalid or will not be infringed by the new product. A certification that the new product will not infringe the already approved product's listed patents or that such patents are invalid is called a Paragraph IV certification. If the applicant does not challenge the listed patents, the ANDA application will not be approved until all the listed patents claiming the referenced product have expired. Alternatively, for a patent covering an approved method of use, an ANDA applicant may submit a statement to the FDA that the company is not seeking approval for the covered use.

If the ANDA applicant has submitted a Paragraph IV certification to the FDA, the applicant must also send notice of the Paragraph IV certification to the NDA and patent holders once the ANDA has been accepted for filing by the FDA. The NDA and patent holders may then initiate a patent infringement lawsuit in response to the notice of the Paragraph IV certification. The filing of a patent infringement lawsuit within 45 days of the receipt of a Paragraph IV certification automatically prevents the FDA from approving the ANDA until the earlier of 30 months, expiration of the patent, settlement of the lawsuit or a decision in the infringement case that is favorable to the ANDA applicant.

The ANDA application also will not obtain final approval until any non-patent exclusivity, such as exclusivity for obtaining approval of an NDA for a new chemical entity, has expired. Federal law provides a period of five years following approval of a drug containing no previously approved active moiety, during which ANDAs for generic versions of those drugs cannot be submitted unless the submission contains a Paragraph IV certification, in which case the submission may be made four years following the original product approval. Following approval of an application to market a drug that contains previously approved active ingredients in a new dosage form, route of administration or

Table of Contents

combination, or for a new condition of use that was required to be supported by new clinical trials conducted by or for the sponsor, the FDC Act provides for an exclusivity period of three years, during which the FDA cannot grant effective approval of an ANDA for such new condition of use, dosage form or strength that meets certain statutory requirements. Both of the five-year and three-year exclusivity periods, as well as any unexpired patents listed in the Orange Book for the listed drug, can be extended by six months if the FDA grants the NDA sponsor a period of pediatric exclusivity based on studies submitted by the sponsor in response to a written request.

The Hatch-Waxman Act provides that patent terms may be extended to compensate for some of the patent life that is lost during the FDA regulatory review period for a product. This extension period would generally be one-half the time between the effective date of an IND and the submission date of an NDA, plus all of the time between the submission date of an NDA and its approval, subject to a maximum extension of five years. Similar patent term extensions are available under European laws. Following FDA approval, we filed a patent term extension application with the United States Patent and Trademark Office for our patent covering the method of treating PAH using Remodulin. The application was approved in February 2005 with the maximum patent term extension of five years for a patent that expired on October 6, 2014.

We have received Paragraph IV certification letters from Sandoz and Teva advising that each has submitted an ANDA to the FDA requesting approval to market a generic version of Remodulin. For further details, see *Item 3. Legal Proceedings*.

Section 505(b)(2) New Drug Applications

Most drug products (other than biological products) obtain FDA marketing approval pursuant to an NDA submitted under Section 505(b)(1) of the FDCA, or an ANDA. A third alternative is a special type of NDA submitted under Section 505(b)(2) of the FDCA, commonly referred to as a Section 505(b)(2) NDA, which enables the applicant to rely, in part, on the FDA's finding of safety and efficacy data for an existing product, or published literature, in support of its application.

Section 505(b)(2) NDAs may provide an alternate path to FDA approval for new or improved formulations or new uses of previously approved products. Section 505(b)(2) permits the filing of an NDA in which the applicant relies, at least in part, on information from studies made to show whether a drug is safe or effective that were not conducted by or for the applicant and for which the applicant has not obtained a right of reference or use. A Section 505(b)(2) applicant may eliminate the need to conduct certain preclinical or clinical studies, if it can establish that reliance on studies conducted for a previously-approved product is scientifically appropriate. The FDA may also require companies to perform additional studies or measurements to support the change from the approved product. The FDA may then approve the new product candidate for all or some of the labeled indications for which the referenced product has been approved, as well as for any new indication for which the Section 505(b)(2) NDA applicant has submitted data.

To the extent that the Section 505(b)(2) applicant is relying on prior FDA findings of safety and efficacy, the applicant is required to certify to the FDA concerning any patents listed for the previously approved product in the Orange Book to the same extent that an ANDA applicant would. Thus, approval of a Section 505(b)(2) NDA can be delayed until all the listed patents claiming the referenced product have expired, until any non-patent exclusivity, such as exclusivity for obtaining approval of a new chemical entity, listed in the Orange Book for the referenced product has expired, and, in the case of a Paragraph IV certification and subsequent patent infringement suit, until the earlier of 30 months, settlement of the lawsuit or a decision in the infringement case that is favorable to the Section 505(b)(2) applicant.

Table of Contents

Other Regulatory Requirements

Once an NDA or a BLA is approved, the product will be subject to continuing regulations. For instance, the FDA closely regulates the post-approval marketing, labeling and advertising of prescription drugs, including the standards and regulations for direct-to-consumer advertising, off-label promotion, industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities and promotional activities involving the internet. Pharmaceutical products may be marketed only for their approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of their approved labeling. The FDA and other agencies actively enforce the laws and regulations prohibiting promotion of off-label uses, and a company that is found to have engaged in off-label promotion may be subject to significant liability.

Certain changes to the conditions established in an approved application, including changes in indications, labeling, equipment, or manufacturing processes or facilities, will require submission and FDA approval of an NDA or BLA or supplement thereto before the change can be implemented. An NDA or BLA supplement for a new indication typically requires clinical data similar to that in the original application, and the FDA uses the same procedures and actions in reviewing supplements as it does in reviewing NDAs or BLAs.

Adverse event reporting and submission of periodic reports continue to be required following FDA approval of an NDA or a BLA. The FDA also may require post-marketing testing, including phase IV clinical studies, risk minimization action plans, and surveillance to monitor the effects of an approved product or may place conditions on an approval that could restrict the distribution or use of the product. In addition, quality control as well as drug manufacture, packaging, and labeling procedures must continue to conform to cGMP requirements. Manufacturers and certain of their contractors are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and these state agencies, to assess compliance with cGMP requirements. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in the areas of production and quality control to maintain compliance with cGMP requirements. Regulatory authorities may withdraw product approvals or request product recalls if a company fails to comply with regulatory standards or if previously unrecognized problems are subsequently discovered. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, including adverse events or problems with manufacturing processes of unanticipated severity or frequency, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may also result in (1) revisions to the approved labeling to add new safety information; (2) imposition of post-market studies or clinical trials to assess new safety risks; or (3) imposition of distribution or other restrictions under a REMS program. Other potential consequences include, among other things, (1) restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the product; (2) fines, warning letters or holds on post-approval clinical trials; (3) refusal of the FDA to approve pending NDAs or supplements to approved NDAs, or suspension or revocation of product license approvals; (4) product seizure or detention, or refusal to permit the import or export of products; or (5) injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Marketing Pharmaceutical Products Outside the United States

Outside of the United States, our ability to market our products is also contingent upon receiving marketing authorizations from regulatory authorities. The foreign regulatory approval process may include some or all of the risks associated with the FDA review and approval process set forth above, and the requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials and marketing authorization vary widely from country to country.

Table of Contents

In the EU, marketing authorizations may be submitted through a centralized body or through a decentralized/mutual recognition or a national level process. The centralized procedure is mandatory for the approval of certain products, such as officially designated orphan medicines and medicines derived from biotechnology and high technology processes, and may be available at the applicant's option for other products that are a significant therapeutic, scientific or technical innovation or for which approval would be in the interest of public health. The centralized procedure provides for the grant of a single marketing authorization that is valid in the EEA, which consists of the EU member countries and Norway, Iceland, and Lichtenstein. The decentralized/mutual recognition procedures are available for all medicinal products that are not subject to the centralized procedure. Each EU member country has its own procedure for approval. A company may use the decentralized procedure to submit applications for marketing authorization in more than one EU country simultaneously for a product that has not previously been authorized in an EU country. In addition, the mutual recognition procedure provides for mutual recognition of national approval decisions, changes existing procedures for national approvals and establishes procedures for coordinated EU actions on products, suspensions and withdrawals. Under this procedure, the holder of a national marketing authorization for which mutual recognition is sought may submit an application to one or more EU member countries, certify that the dossier is identical to that on which the first approval was based, or explain any differences and certify that identical dossiers are being submitted to all EU member countries for which recognition is sought. Within 90 days of receiving the application and assessment report, each EU member country is required to decide whether to recognize approval. The procedure encourages member states to work with applicants and other regulatory authorities to resolve disputes concerning mutual recognition. Arbitration may be initiated when member countries fail to reach agreement. Following receipt of marketing authorization in an EU member country, the applicant is then usually (depending on the country) required to engage in pricing discussions and negotiations with a separate prescription pricing authority in that country. Commercial sales typically only commence in a country once pricing approval has been obtained.

To secure European regulatory approvals for subcutaneous Remodulin for PAH, we used the mutual recognition process. Under the rules then applicable, centralized filing was not required and we perceived the decentralized/mutual recognition procedure to be the most effective means for approval. We filed our first MAA in France in February 2001. Review of our application was completed in 2005. As a result, Remodulin was approved in 23 member countries of the EEA under the mutual recognition process described above. We withdrew applications in Spain, the United Kingdom and Ireland and are currently evaluating resubmitting applications in Spain and Ireland. In December 2011, we received approval for intravenous Remodulin in all of the 23 EEA member nations where subcutaneous Remodulin is approved.

To secure European regulatory approval for Tyvaso, we submitted an MAA to the EMA via the centralized process in 2008. Regulations in Europe have changed since we made our initial filing for Remodulin and all therapies for orphan diseases must now use the centralized process. In February 2010, we withdrew our MAA from consideration by the EMA, and do not currently intend to resubmit it as a standalone treatment for PAH, due to the EMA's major objection related to findings of non-compliance with good clinical practice at two clinical sites. The EMA stated that these findings would preclude a recommendation for approval of Tyvaso in the EU. The EMA had no major objections at the time of withdrawal related to the safety or efficacy of Tyvaso.

Biologics

Biological products used for the prevention, treatment, or cure of a disease, or condition, of a human being are subject to regulation under the FDC Act and the Public Health Service Act (PHSA). Biological products are approved for marketing via a BLA that follows an application process and approval requirements that are very similar to those for NDAs. To help reduce the increased risk of the

Table of Contents

introduction of adventitious agents, the PHSA emphasizes the importance of manufacturing control for products whose attributes cannot be precisely defined. The PHSA also provides authority to the FDA to immediately suspend licenses in situations where there exists a danger to public health, to prepare or procure products in the event of shortages and critical public health needs, and to authorize the creation and enforcement of regulations to prevent the introduction, or spread, of communicable diseases in the United States.

After a BLA is approved, the product may also be subject to official lot release. As part of the manufacturing process, the manufacturer is required to perform certain tests on each lot of the product before it is released for distribution. If the product is subject to official lot release by the FDA, the manufacturer submits samples of each lot of product to the FDA together with a release protocol showing a summary of the history of manufacture of the lot and the results of all of the manufacturer's tests performed on the lot. The FDA may also perform certain confirmatory tests on lots of some products, such as viral vaccines, before releasing the lots for distribution by the manufacturer. In addition, the FDA conducts laboratory research related to the regulatory standards on the safety, purity, potency, and effectiveness of biological products. As with drugs, after approval of biologics, manufacturers must address any safety issues that arise, are subject to recalls or a halt in manufacturing, and are subject to periodic inspection after approval.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (PPACA), included a subtitle called the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCI Act, which created an abbreviated approval pathway for biological products shown to be similar to, or interchangeable with, an FDA-licensed reference biological product. This is conceptually similar to the Hatch-Waxman Act in that it attempts to minimize duplicative testing. Biosimilarity, which requires that there be no clinically meaningful differences between the biological product and the reference product in terms of safety, purity, and potency must be shown through analytical studies, animal studies, and at least one clinical study absent a waiver. Interchangeability requires that a product must demonstrate that it can be expected to produce the same clinical results as the reference product and, for products administered multiple times, the biologic and the reference biologic may be switched after one has been previously administered without increasing safety risks or risks of diminished efficacy relative to exclusive use of the reference biologic. However, intricacies associated with the larger, and often more complex, structures of biological products, as well as the processes by which such products are manufactured, pose significant hurdles to implementation that are still being addressed by the FDA. In August 2014, the FDA issued draft guidance to address how biological products approved under the PHSA are granted periods of exclusivity.

A reference biologic is granted twelve years of exclusivity from the time of first licensure of the reference product. The first biologic product submitted under the abbreviated approval pathway that is determined to be interchangeable with the reference product has exclusivity against other biologics submitted under the abbreviated approval pathway for the lesser of (a) one year after first commercial marketing; (b) eighteen months after approval of the initial application if there is no legal challenge; (c) eighteen months after the resolution in the applicant's favor of a lawsuit challenging the biologics' patents if an application has been submitted; or (d) 42 months after the application has been approved if a lawsuit is ongoing within the 42 month period.

Because biologically sourced raw materials are subject to unique contamination risks, their use may be restricted in some countries.

Cell and Tissue Based Biologics

Manufacturers of cell and tissue based products must comply with the FDA's current good tissue practices (cGTP), which are FDA regulations that govern the methods used in, and the facilities and

Table of Contents

controls used for, the manufacture of such products. The primary intent of the cGMP requirements is to ensure that cell and tissue based products are manufactured in a manner designed to prevent the introduction, transmission and spread of communicable diseases. Cell and tissue based products may also be subject to the same approval standards, including demonstration of safety and efficacy, as other biologic and drug products, if they meet certain criteria such as if the cells or tissues are more than minimally manipulated or if they are intended for a non-homologous use (a use different from the cell's origin).

U.S. Regulation of Medical Devices

Medical devices are also subject to FDA approval and extensive regulation under the FDC Act. Under the FDC Act, medical devices are classified into one of three classes: Class I, Class II, or Class III. The classification of a device into one of these three classes generally depends on the degree of risk associated with the medical device and the extent of control needed to ensure safety and effectiveness.

Class I devices are those for which safety and effectiveness can be assured by adherence to a set of general controls. These general controls include compliance with the applicable portions of the FDA's Quality System Regulation (QSR), which sets forth good manufacturing practice requirements; facility registration and product listing; reporting of adverse medical events; truthful and non-misleading labeling; and promotion of the device only for its cleared or approved intended uses. Class II devices are also subject to these general controls and to any other special controls as deemed necessary by the FDA to ensure the safety and effectiveness of the device. Review and clearance by the FDA for these devices is typically accomplished through the so-called 510(k) pre-market notification procedure. A Class III device requires approval of a premarket approval application (PMA), an expensive, lengthy and uncertain process that can require many years to complete. Most Class II and Class III medical devices may only be marketed in the United States if the FDA has approved a PMA application for the device or cleared the device in response to a 510(k) submission. There is also an alternative pathway to approval for low or moderate risk devices that are not classified and for which no predicate device exists, known as de novo classification.

When 510(k) clearance is sought, a sponsor must submit a pre-market notification demonstrating that the proposed device is substantially equivalent to a previously marketed device, also referred to as a "predicate" device. If the FDA agrees that the proposed device is substantially equivalent to the predicate device, then 510(k) clearance to market will be granted. After a device receives 510(k) clearance, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, requires a new 510(k) clearance or could require pre-market approval.

Clinical trials are almost always required to support a PMA and are sometimes required for a 510(k) pre-market notification. These trials generally require FDA approval by submitting an application for an investigational device exemption, or IDE application. An IDE application must be supported by preclinical data, such as animal and laboratory testing results, which show that the device is safe to test in humans and that the study protocols are scientifically sound. Studies of devices that pose a significant risk require approval from both the FDA and an Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to initiation of the study. A "nonsignificant" risk device study does not require submission of an IDE application to the FDA but does require IRB approval prior to initiation of the study. Nonsignificant risk device studies must comply with abbreviated IDE requirements.

Both before and after a medical device is commercially distributed, manufacturers and marketers of the device have ongoing responsibilities under FDA regulations. The FDA reviews design and manufacturing practices, labeling and record keeping, and manufacturers' required reports of adverse experiences and other information to identify potential problems with marketed medical devices.

Table of Contents

Device manufacturers are subject to periodic and unannounced inspection by the FDA for compliance with the QSR, current good manufacturing practice requirements that govern the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for, the design, manufacture, packaging, servicing, labeling, storage, installation, and distribution of all finished medical devices intended for human use.

If the FDA finds that a manufacturer has failed to comply or that a medical device is ineffective or poses an unreasonable health risk, it can institute or seek a wide variety of enforcement actions and remedies, ranging from a public warning letter to more severe actions such as:

fining, injunctions, and civil penalties;

recall or seizure of products;

operating restrictions, partial suspension or total shutdown of production;

refusing requests for 510(k) clearance or PMA approval of new products;

withdrawing 510(k) clearances or PMA approvals already granted; and

criminal prosecution.

The FDA also has the authority to require repair, replacement or refund of the cost of a medical device under certain circumstances.

The FDA also administers certain controls over the import and export of medical devices to and from the United States. Additionally, each foreign country subjects such medical devices to its own regulatory requirements. In the EU, a single regulatory approval process has been created, and approval is represented by the CE Mark.

The nebulizer used with our Tyvaso Inhalation System was included in our NDA for Tyvaso as a combination product, and was cleared by the FDA subject to compliance with the QSR as it applies to combination products. In 2012, we received FDA approval for a modified Tyvaso Inhalation System using an updated nebulizer (TD-100) based on the results of the completion of the QSR compliance commitments.

Government Reimbursement of Pharmaceutical Products

In the United States, many independent third-party payers, as well as the Medicare and State Medicaid programs, reimburse buyers of our commercial products. Medicare is the federal program that provides health care benefits to senior citizens and certain disabled and chronically ill persons. Medicaid is the federal program jointly funded and administered by the states to provide health care benefits to certain indigent persons. The Medicare contractors who administer the program provide reimbursement for Remodulin at a rate equal to 95% of the published average wholesale price as of October 1, 2003 (the Medicare Part B payment formula, under the Durable Medical Equipment Regional Carrier Guidelines, for drugs infused through durable medical equipment) and for Tyvaso at a rate of 106% of the average sales price (the Medicare Part B payment formula for drugs inhaled through durable medical equipment and also under the Durable Medical Equipment Regional Carrier Guidelines). Adcirca and Orenitram, oral drugs, are reimbursed under the Medicare Part D program. The State Medicaid programs also generally provide reimbursement for our commercial products, at reimbursement rates that are below the published average wholesale price and that vary from state to state. In return for including our pharmaceutical commercial products in the Medicare Part B and Medicaid programs, we have agreed to pay a rebate to State Medicaid agencies that provide reimbursement for those products. We have also agreed to sell our commercial products under contracts with the Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense, Public Health Service and numerous other federal agencies as well as certain hospitals that are designated as 340B covered entities (entities designated by federal programs to receive drugs at discounted prices) at prices that are

Table of Contents

significantly below the price we charge to our specialty pharmaceutical distributors. These programs and contracts are highly regulated and impose restrictions on our business. Failure to comply with these regulations and restrictions could result in a loss of our ability to continue receiving reimbursement for our drugs, exclusion of our products from reimbursement under the federal healthcare programs, or debarment, and expose us to liability under federal and state false claims laws. We estimate that between 35-50% of Remodulin, Tyvaso, Orenitram and Adcirca sales are reimbursed under the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

Anti-Kickback, False Claims Laws and The Prescription Drug Marketing Act

In addition to FDA restrictions on marketing pharmaceutical, biological and medical device products, several other types of state and federal laws have been applied to restrict certain marketing practices in the pharmaceutical and medical device industries in recent years. These laws include anti-kickback statutes and false claims statutes. The federal healthcare program anti-kickback statute prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving remuneration to induce or in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for the purchase, lease or order of, or referring an individual for the furnishing of, any healthcare item or service reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federally financed healthcare programs. This statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on the one hand and prescribers, purchasers and formulary managers on the other. Violations of the anti-kickback statute are punishable by imprisonment, criminal fines, civil monetary penalties and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs. Although there are a number of statutory exemptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting certain common activities from prosecution or other regulatory sanctions, the exemptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly, and practices that involve remuneration intended to induce prescribing, purchases or recommendations may be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exemption or safe harbor.

The federal False Claims Act prohibits any person from, among other things, knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government, or knowingly making, or causing to be made, a false statement material to a false claim. Many pharmaceutical and other healthcare companies have been prosecuted under the False Claims Act for allegedly inflating drug prices they report to pricing services, which in turn were used by the government to set Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement rates, and for allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the product. In addition, companies have been prosecuted under the False Claims Act on the basis of allegations relating to marketing practices, including off-label promotion. The majority of states also have statutes or regulations similar to the federal anti-kickback statute and False Claims Act, which apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payer. Sanctions under these federal and state laws may include civil penalties, exclusion of a manufacturer's products from reimbursement under government programs, criminal fines, and imprisonment.

In December 2013, we received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services reflecting a civil investigation by the United States Department of Justice, principally represented by the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland. The subpoena requests documents regarding Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca, including our marketing practices relating to these products. For further details, see *Item 3. Legal Proceedings*.

As part of the sales and marketing process, pharmaceutical companies frequently provide samples of approved drugs to physicians. The Prescription Drug Marketing Act (PDMA) imposes requirements and limitations upon the distribution of drugs and drug samples, and prohibits states from licensing distributors of prescription drugs unless the state licensing program meets certain federal guidelines that include minimum standards for storage and handling, as well as record keeping requirements for information regarding sample requests and distribution. The PDMA sets forth civil and criminal

Table of Contents

penalties for violations. In addition, PPACA requires manufacturers and distributors to submit similar drug sample information to FDA.

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010

PPACA is intended to expand healthcare coverage within the United States. Several provisions of the law, which have varying effective dates, have impacted us and have increased certain of our costs. PPACA imposes an annual fee on pharmaceutical manufacturers, based on the manufacturer's sale of branded pharmaceuticals and biologics (excluding orphan drugs) to certain U.S. government programs during the preceding year; expands the 340B drug discount program (excluding orphan drugs) including the creation of new penalties for non-compliance; and includes a 50% discount on brand name drugs for Medicare Part D participants in the coverage gap, or "donut hole." Effective beginning in 2010, the law also revised the definition of "average manufacturer price" for reporting purposes, which could increase the amount of the Medicaid drug rebates paid to states.

As noted above under *Governmental Regulation Biologics*, the PPACA also created a regulatory pathway for the abbreviated approval of biological products that are demonstrated to be "biosimilar" or "interchangeable" with an FDA-approved biological product. In addition, PPACA imposes new annual reporting requirements for pharmaceutical, biological and device manufacturers with regard to payments or other transfers of value made to physicians and teaching hospitals. In addition, pharmaceutical, biological and device manufacturers are required to report annually investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members during the preceding calendar year. Such information was required to be made publicly available by the Secretary of Health and Human Services in a searchable format beginning on September 30, 2014. CMS has stated that it plans to publish the 2014 payment data and make any applicable updates to the 2013 data in June 2015. Failure to submit required information may result in civil monetary penalties of up to \$150,000 per year (and up to \$1 million per year for "knowing failures") for all payments, transfers of value or ownership or investment interests not reported in an annual submission. Further, the PPACA amends the intent requirement of the federal anti-kickback and criminal health care fraud statute. A person or entity no longer needs to have actual knowledge of these statutes or specific intent to violate them. In addition, the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal anti-kickback statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the False Claims Act.

State Pharmaceutical and Medical Device Marketing Laws

If not preempted by the PPACA, several jurisdictions, including the District of Columbia, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Vermont and West Virginia, require pharmaceutical companies to report expenses relating to the marketing and promotion of pharmaceutical products and to report gifts and payments to healthcare practitioners in those jurisdictions. Some of these jurisdictions also prohibit various marketing related activities. Still other states require the posting of information relating to clinical studies and their outcomes. In addition, certain states, such as California, Connecticut, Nevada, and Massachusetts, require pharmaceutical companies to implement compliance programs or marketing codes and several other states are considering similar proposals. Compliance with these laws is difficult and time consuming, and companies that do not comply with these state laws face civil penalties or other civil enforcement action.

Employees

We had 740 employees as of February 7, 2015. The success of our business is highly dependent on attracting and retaining highly talented and qualified personnel.

Table of Contents**Industry Segments and Geographic Areas**

Since March 2011, our core business has been pharmaceuticals, in which we closely monitor the revenues and gross margins generated by our commercial products. We sell our products in the United States and throughout the rest of the world. The information required by Item 101(b) and 101(d) of Regulation S-K relating to financial information about industry segments and geographical areas, respectively, is contained in Note 17 *Segment Information* to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Corporate Website

Our Internet website address is <http://www.unither.com>. Our filings on Form 10-K, Form 10-Q, Form 3, Form 4, Form 5, Form 8-K and any and all amendments thereto are available free of charge through this internet website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). They are also available through the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov/edgar/searchedgar/companysearch.html>.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following is a list, as of February 17, 2015, setting forth certain information regarding our executive officers. Each executive officer holds office until the first meeting of the Board of Directors after the annual meeting of shareholders, and until his or her successor is elected and qualified or until his or her earlier resignation or removal. Each executive officer's employment will end pursuant to the terms of his or her employment contract. Each of the employment contracts generally provides for an initial term of service of five years, which five-year term may be renewed after each year for additional one-year periods.

Name	Age	Position
Martine A. Rothblatt, Ph.D., J.D., M.B.A.	60	Chairman, Co-Chief Executive Officer and Director
Roger Jeffs, Ph.D.	53	President, Co-Chief Executive Officer and Director
David Zaccardelli, Pharm.D.	50	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
John M. Ferrari	60	Chief Financial Officer
Paul A. Mahon, J.D.	51	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Martine A. Rothblatt, Ph.D., J.D., M.B.A., founded United Therapeutics in 1996 and has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since its inception. In January 2015, she became United Therapeutics' Co-Chief Executive Officer upon the promotion of Roger Jeffs to Co-Chief Executive Officer. Prior to United Therapeutics, she founded and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of SiriusXM Satellite Radio. She is a co-inventor on three of our patents pertaining to treprostinil.

Roger Jeffs, Ph.D., received his undergraduate degree in chemistry from Duke University and his Ph.D. in pharmacology from the University of North Carolina. Dr. Jeffs joined United Therapeutics in September 1998 as Director of Research, Development and Medical. He was promoted to Vice President of Research, Development and Medical in 2000 and to President and Chief Operating Officer in 2001. In January 2015, Dr. Jeffs was promoted to Co-Chief Executive Officer. From 1993 to 1995, Dr. Jeffs worked at Burroughs Wellcome & Company where he was a member of the clinical research team that developed Flolan, the first FDA-approved therapy for patients with PAH. From 1995 to 1998, Dr. Jeffs worked at Amgen, Inc. where he served as the worldwide clinical leader

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

of the Infectious Disease Program. Dr. Jeffs currently leads our global clinical, commercial, manufacturing, regulatory, pharmacovigilance and business development efforts.

David Zaccardelli, Pharm.D., received his doctor of pharmacy from the University of Michigan. Dr. Zaccardelli joined United Therapeutics in 2004 as Vice President, Pharmaceutical Development. He was promoted to Senior Vice President, Pharmaceutical Development in 2006, to Executive Vice President, Pharmaceutical Development in 2007, Executive Vice President, Pharmaceutical Development & Operations in April 2008 and to Chief Manufacturing Officer and Executive Vice President, Pharmaceutical Development in November 2008. In January 2015, Dr. Zaccardelli was promoted to Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. From 1988 to 1996, Dr. Zaccardelli worked at Burroughs Wellcome & Company and Glaxo Wellcome, Inc. in a variety of clinical research positions. He also served as Director of Clinical and Scientific Affairs for Bausch & Lomb Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from 1996 to 1997. Dr. Zaccardelli founded and led a startup company focused on contract pharmaceutical development services from 1997 through 2003.

John M. Ferrari joined United Therapeutics in May 2001 as Controller. Mr. Ferrari was promoted to Vice President of Finance in December 2003 and to Vice President of Finance and Treasurer in June 2004. In August 2006, Mr. Ferrari was promoted to Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining United Therapeutics, Mr. Ferrari served as Controller for Blackboard, Inc., from 1998 to 2001. Prior to his employment with Blackboard, Inc., Mr. Ferrari served in various senior financial management positions since beginning his accounting career in 1984.

Paul A. Mahon, J.D., has served as General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of United Therapeutics since its inception in 1996. In June 2001, Mr. Mahon joined United Therapeutics full-time as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. In November 2003, Mr. Mahon was promoted to Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. Prior to June 2001, he served United Therapeutics, beginning with its formation in 1996, in his capacity as principal and managing partner of a law firm specializing in technology and media law.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements, which are based on our beliefs and expectations as to future outcomes, include, among others, statements relating to the following:

Expectations of revenues, expenses, profitability, and cash flows, including our expectation that Orenitram[®] (treprostinil) Extended Release Tablets (Orenitram) cost of product sales as a percentage of its net revenue will become comparable to our other treprostinil-based products;

The sufficiency of current and future working capital to support operations;

Our ability to obtain financing;

Our expectations that we will pay the full principal balance due on the converting Convertible Notes upon settlement of early conversions or upon its maturity and that we have sufficient financial resources available to pay all amounts due;

The value of our common stock and our ability and plans to complete our current common stock repurchase program;

The maintenance of domestic and international regulatory approvals;

The expected volume and timing of sales of our existing commercial products Remodulin[®] (treprostinil) Injection (Remodulin), Tyvaso[®] (treprostinil) Inhalation Solution (Tyvaso),

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Orenitram and Adcirca® (tadalafil) Tablets (Adcirca) and potential future commercial products such as ch14.18, our antiviral drugs and esuberaprost;

The timing and outcome of clinical studies, regulatory filings, product launches and sales, including: (1) our plans to complete our FREEDOM-EV study of Orenitram; (2) our aim to obtain United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for Orenitram as a combination therapy; (3) our plan to file for approval of Orenitram in Europe upon the successful completion of the FREEDOM-EV study; (4) our program with Medtronic, Inc. (Medtronic) to develop an implantable pump to administer intravenous Remodulin; (5) the outcome of our FDA biologics license application and European Medicines Agency (EMA) marketing authorization application for ch14.18; (6) our phase III clinical trial of esuberaprost in combination with Tyvaso; and (7) our collaboration with DEKA Research & Development Corp. to develop a pre-filled, semi-disposable pump system for subcutaneous Remodulin.

The outcome of potential future regulatory actions, including audits and inspections, by the FDA and international regulatory agencies;

The impact of competing therapies, including generic products (such as generic sildenafil) and newly-developed therapies (such as selexipag, also known as Upravi®), on sales of our commercial products;

The expectation that we will be able to produce sufficient quantities and maintain adequate inventories of our commercial products, through both our in-house production capabilities and third-party production sites, and our ability to obtain and maintain related approvals by the FDA and other regulatory agencies;

The adequacy of our intellectual property protections and the validity and expiration dates of the patents we own or license;

Our expectations regarding our ability to defend our intellectual property relating to Remodulin against generic and other challenges, including but not limited to our ongoing litigation with Sandoz Inc. (Sandoz) and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (Teva);

Our expectations regarding the subpoena by the Office of Inspector General (OIG) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services relating to Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca, including our marketing practices relating to these products, and the related investigation by the United States Department of Justice;

Any statements that include the words "believe," "seek," "expect," "anticipate," "forecast," "project," "intend," "estimate," "should," "could," "may," "will," "plan," or similar expressions; and

Other statements contained or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not historical facts.

The statements identified as forward-looking statements may appear in *Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* or elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. These statements are subject to risks and uncertainties and our actual results may differ materially from anticipated results. Factors that may cause such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below. We undertake no obligation to publicly update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Business

We rely heavily on sales of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca to generate revenues and support our operations.

Sales of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca comprise substantially all of our revenues. A wide variety of events, many of which are described in other risk factors below, could cause sales of these products to decline. For instance, we would be unable to sell any of these products if their regulatory approvals were withdrawn. Any substantial change in the prescribing practices or dosing patterns of patients using Remodulin, Tyvaso or Adcirca due to combination or competing therapies, side effects, adverse events, deaths or any other reasons could decrease related revenues. We also face potential generic competition. For example, during the fourth quarter of 2012, generic sildenafil became commercially available, which could negatively affect future demand for Adcirca. We are also defending our intellectual property related to Remodulin against generic challenges by Sandoz and Teva. In addition, we rely on third parties to produce, market, distribute and sell Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca. The inability of any one of these third parties to perform these functions satisfactorily could result in a reduction in sales. In addition, any failure to effectively manage our internal production processes could result in an inability to meet patient demand. Because we are highly dependent on sales of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca, a reduction in sales of any one of these products could have a negative and material adverse impact on our operations.

If our products fail in clinical trials, we will be unable to obtain or maintain FDA and international regulatory approvals and will be unable to sell those products.

To obtain regulatory approvals from the FDA and international regulatory agencies such as the EMA, we must conduct clinical trials demonstrating that our products are safe and effective. In the past, several of our product candidates failed or were discontinued at various stages in the development process. Moreover, we may need to amend ongoing trials or the FDA and/or international regulatory agencies may require us to perform additional trials beyond those we planned. Such occurrences could result in significant delays and additional costs, and related clinical trials may be unsuccessful. Approval of a new drug application or biologics license application could be subject to delays if the FDA determines that it cannot review or approve the application as submitted. In such a case, the FDA would issue a refuse-to-file letter or a complete response letter outlining deficiencies in the submission, and the FDA may require substantial additional studies, testing or information in order to complete its review of the application. We may fail to address any of these deficiencies adequately and consequently would be unable to obtain FDA approval to market the product candidate.

In addition, we are enrolling a phase IV clinical trial called FREEDOM-EV, which is a study of Orenitram in combination with other approved pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) therapies. One primary endpoint of the study is time to clinical worsening. The primary endpoint of our phase III study of esuberaprost in combination with Tyvaso is also time to clinical worsening. We have not previously conducted a study with a time to clinical worsening primary endpoint. Our inexperience with this type of trial design may impact our ability to conduct these trials appropriately and achieve positive results, or complete the trials within our anticipated timetable. In particular, failure to prove the efficacy of Orenitram in combination with other PAH therapies could materially limit the commercial potential of Orenitram and impede our growth.

The length of time that it takes for us to complete clinical trials and obtain regulatory approval for marketing varies by product, product use and country. Furthermore, we cannot predict with certainty the length of time it will take to complete necessary clinical trials or obtain regulatory approval of our future products.

Table of Contents

Our clinical trials may be discontinued, delayed or disqualified for various reasons. These reasons include:

The drug is ineffective, or physicians and/or patients believe that the drug is ineffective;

We fail to reach agreement with the FDA or non-U.S. regulatory agencies regarding the scope or design of our clinical trials;

Patients do not enroll in our studies at the rate we expect;

We are unable to obtain approval from institutional review boards to conduct clinical trials at their respective sites;

Ongoing or new clinical trials conducted by drug companies in addition to our own clinical trials reduce the availability of patients for our trials;

Other investigational or approved therapies are viewed as more effective or convenient by physicians or patients;

Our clinical trial sites, contracted clinical trial administrators or clinical studies conducted entirely by third parties do not adhere to trial protocols and required quality controls under FDA good clinical practice (GCP) regulations and similar regulations outside the United States;

Patients experience severe side effects during treatment or die during our trials because of adverse events related to the trial drug, advanced disease, or other medical complications; and

The results of our clinical trials conducted in countries outside of the United States are not acceptable to the United States or other countries, and the results of our clinical trials conducted in the United States are not acceptable to regulators in other countries.

In addition, the FDA and its international counterparts have substantial discretion over the approval process for pharmaceutical products. As such, these regulatory agencies may not agree that we have demonstrated the requisite level of product safety and efficacy to grant approval.

We may not compete successfully with established and newly developed drugs or products, or the companies that develop and market them.

We compete with well-established drug companies for, among other things, funding, licenses, expertise, personnel, clinical trial patients and investigators, consultants and third-party collaborators. We also compete with these companies for market share. Most of these competitors have substantially greater financial, marketing, manufacturing, sales, distribution and technical resources, and a larger number of approved products, than we do. These competitors also possess greater experience in areas critical to success such as research and development, clinical trials, sales and marketing and regulatory matters. There are several treatments that compete with our commercial therapies, as well as several other therapies under development, such as Actelion's Uptravi (selexipag) drug candidate, which is an oral prostacyclin IP receptor agonist. For the treatment of PAH, we compete with a number of approved products in the United States and worldwide, including the following: Flolan[®], Ventavis[®], Ilomedin[®], Tracleer[®], Revatio[®], Letairis[®], Veletri[®], Adempas[®], Opsumit[®], generic epoprostenol and generic sildenafil citrate. Patients and doctors may perceive these competing products, or products developed in the future, as safer, more effective, more convenient and/or less expensive than our therapies. Alternatively, doctors may reduce the prescribed doses of our products if they prescribe them in combination with our competitors' products. In addition, many competing PAH therapies are less invasive than Remodulin and the use of these products may delay or prevent initiation of Remodulin therapy. Any of these circumstances could negatively impact our operating results.

Table of Contents

Development of new products or technologies by others may make our products obsolete or seemingly inferior.

Other companies may introduce new products that may render all or some of our technologies and products obsolete or noncompetitive. For example, both Adempas and Opsumit were recently approved by the FDA for the treatment of PAH. Our commercial therapies may also have to compete with investigational products currently in development, including Uptravi, which was submitted by Actelion in December 2014 to the FDA and EMA for approval to treat PAH. In addition, alternative approaches to treating chronic diseases, such as gene therapy or cell therapy, may make our products obsolete or noncompetitive. If introduced into the market, investigational therapies for PAH could be used in combination with, or as a substitute for, our therapies. If this occurs, doctors may reduce or discontinue the use of our products for their patients.

Sales of our products are subject to reimbursement from government agencies and other third parties. Pharmaceutical pricing and reimbursement pressures may negatively impact our sales.

The commercial success of our products depends, in part, on the availability of reimbursements by governmental payers such as Medicare and Medicaid, and private insurance companies. An estimated 35-50% of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca sales in the United States are reimbursed under the Medicare and Medicaid programs. In the United States, the European Union and other potentially significant markets for our products such as China and Japan, government payers and/or third-party payers are increasingly attempting to limit or regulate the price of medicinal products and frequently challenge the pricing of new and expensive drugs. Our prostacyclin analogue products (Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram) are expensive therapies. Consequently, it may be difficult for our distributors to obtain adequate reimbursement for our products from third-party payers to motivate such distributors to support our products. Alternatively, third-party payers may reduce the amount of reimbursement for our products based on changes in pricing of other therapies for PAH. If third-party payers do not approve our products for reimbursement, or limit reimbursements, patients and physicians could choose competing products that are approved for reimbursement or provide lower out-of-pocket costs.

In the United States, the federal government and others are increasingly focused on analyzing the impact of various regulatory programs on the federal deficit, which could result in increased pressure on federal programs to reduce costs. In addition, financial pressures may cause the federal government or other third-party payers to seek cost containment more aggressively through mandatory discounts or rebates on our products, policies requiring the automatic substitution of generic products, more rigorous requirements for initial reimbursement approvals for new products or other similar measures. For example, there have been proposals to reduce reimbursement rates and/or adopt mandatory rebates under Medicare Part B, which covers Remodulin and Tyvaso. A reduction in the availability or extent of reimbursement from government health care programs could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of our operations.

In Europe, the success of our commercial products and future products depends largely on obtaining and maintaining government reimbursement at acceptable levels. In many European countries, patients are unlikely to use prescription drugs that are not reimbursed by their governments. Countries in Europe are under increasing pressure to reduce the cost of health care. Changes to current reimbursement policies may adversely affect our ability to sell our products or sell our products on a profitable basis. In many markets outside the United States, governments control the prices of prescription pharmaceuticals through the implementation of reference pricing, price cuts, rebates, revenue-related taxes and profit control. Furthermore, international governments expect prices of prescription pharmaceuticals to decline over the life of the product or as prescription volumes increase. In addition, in December 2011, we received marketing approval for the intravenous use of Remodulin in most of the countries that are members of the European Economic Area (EEA); however, we are in the process of obtaining approval of our risk management plan on a country-by-country basis, and must

Table of Contents

obtain pricing approval in each of these member countries before we can market Remodulin. Delays in obtaining these approvals, or failure to obtain satisfactory pricing approvals, could impact our future sales growth. Additionally, in granting pricing approval for the intravenous use of Remodulin, a member country may approve a lower reimbursement price for intravenous Remodulin than for subcutaneous Remodulin, or reduce the reimbursement price for both methods of administering Remodulin. Any regulatory action reducing the reimbursement rates for intravenous and subcutaneous Remodulin could have a material adverse effect on our revenues, results of operations and our business.

Our production strategy exposes us to significant risks.

We must be able to produce sufficient quantities of our commercial products to satisfy the growing demand for our products. We produce Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram, including the active ingredient in each of these products, at our own facilities and rely on third parties for additional production capacity and to produce advanced pharmaceutical ingredients. We rely on Minnetronix, Inc. as the sole manufacturer of the Tyvaso Inhalation System, and on Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) as the sole manufacturer of Adcirca.

We substantially rely on third parties to adhere to and maintain production processes in accordance with all applicable regulatory requirements. If any of these critical third-party production and supply arrangements are interrupted for compliance issues or other reasons, we may not have sufficient inventory to meet future demand. In addition, any change in suppliers and/or service providers could interrupt the production of our commercial products and impede the progress of our commercial launch plans and clinical trials.

In addition, our internal production process also subjects us to risks as we engage in increasingly complex production processes. For example, Remodulin, Tyvaso and ch14.18 must be formulated in a sterile environment, which is challenging to maintain on a commercial scale. In addition, ch14.18 is a monoclonal antibody. As with all biologic products, monoclonal antibodies are inherently more difficult to produce than our treprostinil-based products and involve increased risk of viral and other contaminants. Finally, we have limited experience producing Orenitram on a commercial scale, and currently all Orenitram production is performed at our own facilities. It could take substantial time to establish an FDA-approved contract manufacturer as an additional supplier of Orenitram, or this process may not be successful at all.

Additional risks we face with our production strategy include the following:

We and our third-party producers are subject to the FDA's current Good Manufacturing Practices and similar international regulatory standards. We are limited in our ability to exercise control over regulatory compliance by our third-party producers;

As we expand our production operations to include new elements of the production process or new products, we may experience difficulty designing and implementing processes and procedures to ensure compliance with applicable regulations;

Even if we and our third-party producers are in compliance with applicable domestic and international drug production regulations, the sterility and quality of the products being produced could be substandard and, therefore, such products would be unavailable for sale or use or subject to recalls;

If we had to replace our own production operations or a third-party producer, the FDA and its international counterparts would require new testing and compliance inspections. Furthermore, a new producer would have to be familiarized with the processes necessary to produce and commercially validate our products, as producing our treprostinil-based and biologic products is complex;

Table of Contents

We may be unable to contract with needed producers on satisfactory terms or at all; and

The supply of materials and components necessary to produce and package our products may become scarce or unavailable. Disruptions to the supply of these materials could delay the production and subsequent sale of such products. Any products produced with substituted materials or components would be subject to approval from the FDA and international regulatory agencies before they could be sold. The timing of any such regulatory approval is difficult to predict.

Any of these factors could disrupt sales of our commercial products, delay clinical trials or commercialization of new products, result in product liability claims and product recalls, and entail higher costs. Interruptions in our production process could be significant given the length of time and complexity involved in obtaining necessary regulatory approvals for alternative arrangements, through either third parties or internal manufacturing processes.

We rely in part on third parties to perform activities that are critical to our business. Our ability to generate commercial sales or conduct clinical trials could suffer if our third-party suppliers and service providers fail to perform.

Third parties assist us in: (1) producing our commercial products; (2) conducting clinical trials, preclinical studies and other research and development activities; (3) obtaining regulatory approvals; (4) conducting pharmacovigilance-related and product complaint activities, including drug safety, reporting adverse events and product complaints; and (5) marketing and distributing our products. The involvement of third parties is necessary because we do not possess the internal capacity, and in certain cases the expertise, to perform all of these functions. Accordingly, the success of these third parties in performing their contractual obligations is critical to our operations.

For risks relating to the involvement of third parties in our production process, see the risk factor above, entitled *Our production strategy exposes us to significant risks*.

We rely on Accredo Health Group, Inc. (Accredo) and CVS Health Corporation (CVS) to distribute and sell Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram in the United States. These distributors are also partially responsible for negotiating reimbursements from third-party payers for the cost of our therapies. From time-to-time, we increase the price of products sold to our U.S.-based and international distributors. Our price increases may not be fully reimbursed by third-party payers. If our distributors do not achieve acceptable profit margins on our products, they may reduce or discontinue the sale of our products. Furthermore, if our distributors devote fewer resources to sell our products or are unsuccessful in their sales efforts, our revenues may decline materially. Outside the U.S. we are substantially reliant on our international distributors to maintain regulatory approvals for our products and to market and sell our products in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

We rely on Lilly to manufacture and supply Adcirca for us, and we use Lilly's pharmaceutical wholesaler network to distribute Adcirca in the United States and Puerto Rico. If Lilly is unable to manufacture or supply Adcirca or its distribution network is disrupted, it could delay, disrupt or prevent us from selling Adcirca, which would slow the growth of our business. In addition, Lilly has the right to determine the wholesale price of Adcirca, which generally moves in parity with the wholesale price Lilly sets for Cialis® (both of these products contain the same active ingredient). Changes in Lilly's wholesale prices could adversely impact demand or reimbursement for Adcirca, particularly in light of the commercial availability of generic sildenafil, the active ingredient in Revatio, which could be prescribed in lieu of Adcirca.

In addition, any change in service providers could interrupt the distribution of our commercial products and our other products and services, and impede the progress of our clinical trials, commercial launch plans and related revenues.

Table of Contents

We rely heavily on third-party contract research organizations, contract laboratories, clinical investigative sites and other third-parties to conduct our clinical trials, preclinical studies and other research and development activities. In addition, the success of certain products we are developing will depend on clinical trials sponsored by third parties. Failure by any third party to conduct or assist us in conducting clinical trials in accordance with study protocols, quality controls and GCP, or other applicable U.S. or international requirements or to submit associated regulatory filings, could limit or prevent our ability to rely on results of those trials in seeking regulatory approvals.

We rely heavily on Medtronic for the success of our program to develop an implantable pump to deliver intravenous Remodulin (the Remodulin Implantable System). Medtronic has completed a clinical study in this regard, and submitted a premarket approval application (PMA) seeking FDA approval for the Remodulin Implantable System. We rely on Medtronic to respond to FDA requests for additional information with respect to its PMA, and following approval we will rely on Medtronic to manufacture the Remodulin Implantable System and to maintain appropriate quality controls relating to the system. As such, we can provide no assurances as to the timing or likelihood of the Remodulin implantable pump program's success.

We are reliant on third parties to supply pumps and other supplies necessary to deliver Remodulin. There are a limited number of pumps available in the market, and the discontinuation of any particular pump could have a material, adverse impact on our Remodulin revenues.

Our operations must comply with extensive laws and regulations in the United States and other countries, including FDA regulations. Failure to obtain approvals on a timely basis or to achieve continued compliance could delay, disrupt or prevent the commercialization of our products.

The products we develop must be approved for marketing and sale by regulatory agencies and, once approved, are subject to extensive regulation. Our research and development efforts must comply with extensive regulations, including those promulgated by the FDA and the United States Department of Agriculture. The process of obtaining and maintaining regulatory approvals for new drugs is lengthy, expensive and uncertain. The regulatory approval process is particularly uncertain for our lung transplantation programs, which include the development of xenotransplantation, regenerative medicine and cell-based products. The manufacture, distribution, advertising and marketing of our products are also subject to extensive regulation, including strict pharmacovigilance and adverse event and medical device reporting requirements. Any future product approvals we receive could be accompanied by significant restrictions on the use or marketing of a given product. Furthermore, our product candidates may fail to receive marketing approval on a timely basis, or at all. If granted, product approvals can be withdrawn for failure to comply with regulatory requirements, such as post-marketing requirements and post-marketing commitments, or upon the occurrence of adverse events subsequent to commercial introduction.

Discovery of previously unknown problems with our marketed products or problems with our manufacturing, regulatory, compliance, research and development, pharmacovigilance and adverse event reporting, marketing or sales activities could result in regulatory restrictions on our products up to and including withdrawal of our products from the market. If we fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, we could be subject to penalties that may consist of fines, suspension of regulatory approvals, product recalls, seizure of our products and/or criminal prosecution. In addition, our reputation could be harmed as a result of any such regulatory restrictions or actions, and patients and physicians may avoid the use of our products even after we have resolved the issues that led to such regulatory action.

For example, in December 2013 we received a subpoena from the OIG in connection with a civil investigation by the United States Department of Justice, principally represented by the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland. The subpoena requests documents regarding Remodulin,

Table of Contents

Tyvaso and Adcirca, including our marketing practices relating to these products. We are cooperating with the investigation, which has and will continue to increase our legal expenses, and will require significant management time and attention. We are not aware that a claim, litigation or assessment has been asserted in connection with the subpoena. However, such subpoenas are often associated with previously filed qui tam actions brought under the federal and state false claims acts. Qui tam actions are lawsuits brought by private plaintiffs on behalf of the federal government, and often state governments, for alleged federal or state false claims act violations, with potential liability including mandatory treble damages and significant per-claim penalties, currently set at \$5,500 to \$11,000 per false claim. We may currently be subject to investigation in connection with qui tam actions filed under seal. We also cannot predict what actions, if any, may be taken against us or our employees by the OIG, the Department of Justice, other governmental entities, or any third parties in connection with such investigation, nor can we predict or determine the outcome of the government's investigation or reasonably estimate the amount or range of amounts of fines, damages, restitutions or penalties that might result from a settlement or an adverse outcome. As a result of the investigation we may also be subject to exclusion of our products from reimbursement under the federal healthcare programs, debarment, or a corporate integrity agreement, and certain of our employees may also be subject to exclusion or debarment. Any of these risks and uncertainties, including the conduct of the investigation itself, could adversely affect our revenues, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

We are subject to ongoing regulatory review of our currently marketed products.

After our products receive regulatory approval, they remain subject to ongoing regulatory requirements, which can impact, among other things, product labeling, manufacturing practices, pharmacovigilance and adverse event and medical device reporting, complaint processing, storage, distribution, advertising and promotion, and record keeping. If we do not comply with applicable regulations, the range of possible sanctions may include: (1) adverse publicity, (2) product recalls or seizures, (3) fines, (4) total or partial suspensions of production and/or distribution, (5) suspension of marketing applications, and (6) enforcement actions, including injunctions and civil suits or criminal prosecution. Further, the FDA often requires post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the effects of approved products. The FDA and comparable international regulatory agencies may condition approval of our product candidates on the completion of such post-marketing clinical studies. These post-marketing studies may suggest that a product causes undesirable side effects or may present a risk to the patient. If data we collect from post-marketing studies suggest that one of our approved products may present an unacceptable safety risk, regulatory authorities could withdraw the product's approval, suspend production or place other marketing restrictions on that product. If regulatory sanctions are applied or if regulatory approval is delayed or withdrawn, our operating results and the value of our company may be adversely affected.

Regulatory approval for our currently marketed products is limited by the FDA and other regulators to those specific indications and conditions for which clinical safety and efficacy have been demonstrated.

Any regulatory approval of our products is limited to specific diseases and indications for which our products have been deemed safe and effective by the FDA. In addition to the FDA approval required for new formulations, any new indication for an approved product also requires FDA approval. If we are not able to obtain FDA approval for any desired future indications for our products, our ability to effectively market and sell our products may be reduced.

While physicians may choose to prescribe drugs for uses that are not described in the product's labeling and for uses that differ from those approved by regulatory authorities (called "off-label" uses), our ability to promote the products is limited to those indications that are specifically approved by the FDA. Although U.S. regulatory authorities generally do not regulate the behavior of physicians, they do restrict communications by companies on the subject of off-label use. If our promotional activities fail

Table of Contents

to comply with these regulations or guidelines, we may be subject to warnings from, or enforcement action by, these authorities. In addition, failure to follow FDA rules and guidelines relating to promotion and advertising can result in the FDA's refusal to approve a product, suspension or withdrawal of an approved product from the market, product recalls, fines, disgorgement of money, operating restrictions, civil lawsuits, injunctions or criminal prosecution.

We must comply with various laws in jurisdictions around the world that restrict certain marketing practices in the pharmaceutical and medical device industries. Failure to comply with such laws could result in penalties and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

There are various laws in jurisdictions around the world that restrict particular marketing practices in the pharmaceutical and medical device industries. These laws include, but are not limited to, anti-kickback and false claims statutes, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the UK Bribery Act. Our business activities may be subject to challenge under these laws, and any penalties imposed upon us could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition. Furthermore, we have significantly expanded our sales and marketing staff. Any expansion of sales and marketing efforts can increase the risks of noncompliance with these laws. Finally, the growth in our operations outside the United States, both directly and through third-party distributors, also has increased these risks.

In the United States, the federal health care program anti-kickback statute prohibits, among other activities, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting, or receiving compensation to induce, or in return for, the purchase, lease, order or arranging the purchase, lease or order of any health care product or service reimbursable under any federally financed health-care program. This statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on the one hand and prescribers, purchasers, and formulary managers on the other. The exemptions and safe harbors for this statute are narrow, and practices that involve compensation intended to induce prescriptions, purchases, or recommendations may be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exemption or safe harbor. Our practices may not always meet all of the criteria for safe harbor protection.

The federal False Claims Act prohibits any person from knowingly presenting or causing to be presented a false claim or knowingly making or causing a false statement material to a false claim. Several pharmaceutical and health care companies have been prosecuted under these laws for allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the free product. Other companies have been prosecuted for causing false claims to be submitted because of these companies' marketing of a product for unapproved and non-reimbursable uses. Potential liability under the federal False Claims Act includes mandatory treble damages and significant per-claim penalties, currently set at \$5,500 to \$11,000 per false claim. The majority of states also have statutes or regulations similar to the federal anti-kickback statute and False Claims Act, which apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs; furthermore, in several states, these statutes and regulations apply regardless of the payer. Sanctions under these federal and state laws may include civil monetary penalties, exclusion of a manufacturer's product from reimbursement under government programs, debarment, criminal fines, and imprisonment.

In December 2013 we received a subpoena from the OIG reflecting a civil investigation by the United States Department of Justice, principally represented by the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland. The subpoena requests documents regarding Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca, including our marketing practices relating to these products. For further details, see *Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings*.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (PPACA), also imposed new reporting requirements for pharmaceutical, biologic and device manufacturers with regard to payments or other transfers of value made to

Table of Contents

physicians and teaching hospitals. In addition, pharmaceutical, biologic and device manufacturers, with certain exceptions, are required to report and disclose investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members during the preceding calendar year. Failure to submit required information may result in civil monetary penalties of up to \$150,000 per year (and up to \$1.0 million per year for "knowing failures") for all payments, transfers of value or ownership or investment interests not reported in an annual submission.

Further, the PPACA amends the intent requirement of the federal anti-kickback and criminal health care fraud statutes. This amendment provides that a person or entity no longer needs to have knowledge of these statutes or specific intent to violate them. In addition, the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal anti-kickback statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the False Claims Act.

If not preempted by this federal law, several states currently require pharmaceutical companies to report expenses relating to the marketing and promotion of pharmaceutical products and to report gifts and payments to individual physicians in those states. Depending on the state, legislation may prohibit various other marketing related activities, or require the posting of information relating to clinical studies and their outcomes. In addition, certain states, such as California, Nevada, Connecticut and Massachusetts, require pharmaceutical companies to implement compliance programs or marketing codes and several other states are considering similar proposals. Compliance with these laws is difficult and time consuming, and companies that do not comply with these state laws will face civil penalties.

Government health care reform could increase our costs, which would adversely affect our revenue and results of operations.

Our industry is highly regulated and changes in law may adversely impact our business, operations or financial results. The PPACA is a broad measure intended to expand health care coverage within the United States, primarily through the imposition of health insurance mandates on employers and individuals and expansion of the Medicaid program. The reforms imposed by the law will significantly impact the pharmaceutical industry; however, the full effects of the PPACA will be unknown until all of these provisions are implemented and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and other federal and state agencies issue applicable regulations or guidance. Moreover, in the coming years, additional changes could be made to governmental health care programs that could significantly impact the success of our products or product candidates.

Reports of actual or perceived side effects and adverse events associated with our products, such as sepsis, could cause physicians and patients to avoid or discontinue use of our products in favor of alternative treatments.

Reports of side effects and adverse events associated with our products could have a significant adverse impact on the sale of our products. An example of a known risk associated with intravenous Remodulin is sepsis, which is a serious and potentially life-threatening infection of the bloodstream caused by a wide variety of bacteria. Intravenous prostacyclin analogues, such as intravenous Remodulin, are infused continuously through a catheter placed in a large vein in the patient's chest, and sepsis is a known risk associated with this type of delivery. As a result, sepsis is included as a risk in the Remodulin package insert, and the occurrence of sepsis is familiar to physicians who prescribe intravenously administered therapies. Concerns about bloodstream infections may affect a physician's decision to prescribe or a patient's willingness to use intravenous Remodulin.

Negative attention from special interest groups may impair our business.

As is common with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, our early-stage research and development involves animal testing, which we conduct both directly and through contracts with third

Table of Contents

parties. Notwithstanding the vital role of animal research in the drug discovery and development process, certain special interest groups categorically object to the use of animals for research purposes. Historically, our research and development activities have not been the subject of significant animal rights media attention. However, research activities with animals have been the subject of adverse attention, generally including demonstrations near facilities operated by other companies in our industry. Any negative attention, threats or acts of vandalism directed against our animal research activities in the future could impede the operation of our business.

If any of the license or other agreements under which intellectual property rights are licensed to, or were acquired by us, are breached or terminated, our right to continue to develop, produce and sell the products covered by such agreements could be impaired or lost.

Our business depends upon our continuing ability to exploit our intellectual property rights in the drugs and other products that have been discovered and initially developed by others and those which we have commercialized and are developing further. These intellectual property rights have either been licensed to us or have been acquired by us. Under each of our product license agreements, we are granted a license to intellectual property owned by others that covers a drug or other product. Under each of our purchase agreements, we have rights to certain intellectual property. We may be required to license other intellectual property owned by third parties to continue to develop and commercialize our products.

This dependence on intellectual property developed by others involves the following risks:

We may be unable to obtain rights to intellectual property that we determine we need for our business at a reasonable cost or at all;

If any of our product licenses or purchase agreements are terminated, we may lose our rights to develop, make and sell the products to which such licenses or agreements relate;

Our license and purchase agreements generally provide the licensor or seller with the right to terminate the agreement in the event of a breach; for example, if we fail to pay royalties and other fees timely and do not cure the failure within a stated time period; and

If a licensor of intellectual property that we have rights to breaches its obligation or otherwise fails to maintain the intellectual property licensed, we may lose any ability to prevent others from developing or marketing similar products that are covered by such intellectual property. In addition, we may be forced to incur substantial costs to maintain the intellectual property ourselves or take legal action seeking to force the licensor to do so.

Certain agreements under which we acquired or licensed intellectual property rights may restrict our ability to develop related products in certain countries or for particular diseases and may impose other restrictions that affect our ability to develop and market related products in the most effective manner.

When we acquire or license intellectual property rights to drugs and other products that have been discovered and initially developed by others, these rights are frequently limited. For instance, our rights to market Adcirca are geographically limited to the United States and Puerto Rico. Furthermore, we cannot undertake any additional investigational work with respect to Adcirca in other indications of pulmonary hypertension without Lilly's prior approval. Provisions in our license and purchase agreements may impose other restrictions that affect our ability to develop and market products to which the intellectual property relates. For example, Lilly also has authority over all regulatory activities and has the right to determine the net wholesale price for Adcirca.

Table of Contents

Our intellectual property rights may not effectively deter competitors from developing competing products that, if successful, could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and profits.

The period under which our commercial and developmental therapies are protected by our patent rights is limited. Three of our U.S. patents covering our current methods of synthesizing and producing treprostinil expire in October 2017, and a fourth will expire in 2028. We also have been granted one patent in the European Union and one patent in Japan, each of which covers our treprostinil synthesis and production methods and will expire in October 2018. Our three U.S. patents covering an improved diluent for Remodulin will expire in 2028 and 2029. Our patents for Tyvaso covering methods of treating PAH by inhaled delivery will expire in the United States and in various countries throughout the world in 2018 and 2020, respectively. Our patents for Orenitram covering methods of use for treating PAH, orally administered formulations, controlled moisture storage and production methods and controlled release formulations will expire in the United States between 2024 and 2031 and in various countries throughout the world in 2024. The U.S. patent for Adcirca for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension will expire in November 2017.

We continue to conduct research into new methods to synthesize treprostinil and have pending U.S. and international patent applications and patents relating to such methods. However, we cannot be sure that these additional patents will effectively deter or delay competitors' efforts to bring new products to market, or that additional patent applications will result in new patents. Upon the expiration of any of our patents, competitors may develop generic versions of our products and may market those generic versions at a lower price to compete with our products. Competitors may also seek to design around our patents prior to their expiration in an effort to develop competing products that do not infringe our patents. Prior to the expiration of our patents, third parties may challenge the validity of our patents, through patent litigation, proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or other applicable patent filing office, or other means.

The scope of any patent we hold may not deter competitors from developing a product that competes with the product we sell that is covered by the patent. Patent laws of foreign jurisdictions may not protect our patent rights to the same extent as the patent laws of the United States. In addition, we may be forced to incur substantial costs to defend the intellectual property rights conferred by our patents. Furthermore, our suppliers who have granted us exclusive rights may have inadequate intellectual property protections. Competitors also may attempt to invalidate our existing patents before they expire.

In addition to patent protection, we also rely on trade secrets to protect our proprietary know-how and other technological advances that we do not disclose to the public. We enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees and others to whom we disclose trade secrets and other confidential information. These agreements may not necessarily prevent our trade secrets from being used or disclosed without our authorization and confidentiality agreements may be difficult, time-consuming and expensive to enforce or may not provide an adequate remedy in the event of unauthorized disclosure. In addition, if any of our trade secrets were to be lawfully obtained or independently developed by a competitor, we would have no right to prevent such third party, or those to whom they communicate such technology or information, from using that technology or information to compete with us. If any of our trade secrets were to be disclosed to or independently developed by a competitor, our business and competitive position could be harmed.

Table of Contents

The validity, enforceability and scope of certain of our patents covering Remodulin are currently being challenged as a result of abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) filings by two generic drug companies. The outcome of current or future challenges with respect to the validity, enforceability or scope of our patents could significantly reduce revenues from Remodulin.

Both Sandoz and Teva have filed ANDAs seeking FDA approval to market generic versions of Remodulin. We have filed lawsuits against Sandoz and Teva in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging patent infringement. For details on the status of these proceedings, please see *Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings*, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

There can be no assurance that we will prevail in our defense of our patent rights, or that additional challenges from other ANDA filers will not surface with respect to Remodulin or our other treprostinil-based products. Our existing patents could be invalidated, found unenforceable or found not to cover one or more generic forms of Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram. If any ANDA filer were to receive approval to sell a generic version of Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram and/or prevail in any patent litigation, the affected product would become subject to increased competition and our revenue would decrease.

Third parties may allege that our patents are invalid, or that our products or services infringe their patents and other intellectual property rights, which could result in the payment of royalties. Payment of royalties would negatively affect our profits; furthermore, if we chose to contest these allegations, we could be subject to costly and time-consuming litigation or could lose the ability to continue to sell the related products.

Third parties may seek to invalidate or otherwise challenge our patents, through patent litigation and/or initiating proceedings, including re-examinations, *inter partes* reviews, post-grant reviews and interference proceedings, before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. We may initiate litigation to enforce or defend our patents or intellectual property rights; however, litigation can be time consuming, distracting to our operations, costly and may conclude unfavorably for us. In addition, the outcome of patent infringement litigation often is difficult to predict. If we are unsuccessful with respect to any future legal action in the defense of our patents and our patents are invalidated or determined to be unenforceable, our business could be negatively impacted. Even if our patents are determined to be valid or enforceable, it is possible that a competitor could circumvent our patents by effectively designing around the claims of our patents. Accordingly, our patents may not provide us with any competitive advantage.

To the extent third-party patents to which we currently do not hold licenses are necessary for us to manufacture, use or sell our products, we would need to obtain necessary licenses to prevent infringement. In the case of products or services that utilize intellectual property of strategic collaborators or other suppliers, such suppliers may have an obligation to secure the needed license to these patents at their cost. Otherwise, we would be responsible for the cost of these licenses. Royalty payments and other fees under these licenses would erode our profits from the sale of related products and services. Moreover, we may be unable to obtain these licenses on acceptable terms or at all. If we fail to obtain a required license or are unable to alter the design of the product to avoid infringing a third-party patent, we would be unable to continue to manufacture or sell related products.

If a third party commences legal action against us for infringement, or institutes proceedings challenging the validity of our patents, we could be compelled to incur significant costs to defend the action and our management's attention could be diverted, whether or not the action were to have any merit. We cannot be certain that we could prevail in the action, and an adverse judgment or settlement resulting from the action could require us to pay substantial amounts in damages for infringement or substantial amounts to obtain a license to continue to use the intellectual property that is the subject of the infringement claim.

Table of Contents

We may not maintain adequate insurance coverage to protect us against significant product liability claims.

The testing, manufacturing, marketing, and sale of drugs and diagnostics involve product liability risks. We may not be able to maintain our current product liability insurance at an acceptable cost, if at all. In addition, our insurance coverage may not be adequate for all potential claims. If claims or losses significantly exceed our liability insurance coverage, we may experience financial hardship or potentially be forced out of business.

If we fail to attract and retain key management and qualified scientific and technical personnel, we may not be able to achieve our business objectives.

Members of our management team, including our founder, Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Martine Rothblatt, and our President and Co-Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Roger Jeffs, play a critical role in defining our business strategy and maintaining our corporate culture. The loss of the services and leadership of Dr. Rothblatt, Dr. Jeffs or any other members of our senior management team could have an adverse effect on our business. We do not maintain key person life insurance on our senior management team members. In addition, effective succession planning is important to our long-term success. Failure to identify and retain adequate replacements for members of our senior management team and to transfer knowledge effectively could impede the achievement of our business objectives. Our future success also depends on our ability to attract and retain qualified scientific and technical personnel. Competition for skilled scientific and technical personnel in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries is intense. Furthermore, our compensation arrangements may not be sufficient to attract new qualified scientific and technical employees or retain such core employees. If we fail to attract and retain such employees, we may not be successful in developing and commercializing new therapies for PAH and other diseases.

Improper handling of hazardous materials used in our activities could expose us to significant remediation liabilities.

Our research and development and manufacturing activities involve the controlled use of chemicals and hazardous substances and we are expanding these activities in both scale and location. In addition, patients may dispose of our products using means we do not control. Such activities subject us to numerous federal, state, and local environmental and safety laws and regulations that govern the management, storage and disposal of hazardous materials. Compliance with current and future environmental laws and regulations can require significant costs; furthermore, we can be subject to substantial fines and penalties in the event of noncompliance. The risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials cannot be completely eliminated. Furthermore, once chemical and hazardous materials leave our facilities, we cannot control the manner in which such hazardous waste is disposed of by our contractors. In the event of an accident, we could be liable for substantial civil damages or costs associated with the cleanup of the release of hazardous materials. Any related liability could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may encounter substantial difficulties managing our growth relative to product demand.

We have spent considerable resources building and expanding our offices, laboratories and production facilities, and we are currently seeking regulatory approvals for certain facilities. However, our facilities could be insufficient to meet future demand for our products. Conversely, we may have excess capacity at our facilities if future demand falls short of our projections, or if we do not receive regulatory approvals for the products we intend to produce at our facilities. Constructing our facilities is expensive and our ability to satisfactorily recover our investment will depend on sales of the products manufactured at these facilities in sufficient volume. If we do experience substantial sales growth, we may have difficulty managing inventory levels as marketing new therapies is complicated and gauging future demand can be difficult and uncertain until we possess sufficient post-launch sales experience.

Table of Contents

If we need additional financing and cannot obtain it, our product development and sales efforts may be limited.

We may be required to seek additional sources of financing to meet unplanned or planned expenditures. Unplanned expenditures could be significant and may result from necessary modifications to product development plans or product offerings in response to difficulties encountered with clinical trials. We may also face unexpected costs in preparing products for commercial sale, or in maintaining sales levels of our currently marketed therapeutic products. If we are unable to obtain additional funding on commercially reasonable terms or at all, we may be compelled to delay clinical studies, curtail operations or obtain funds through collaborative arrangements that may require us to relinquish rights to certain products or potential markets.

We may require additional financing to meet significant future obligations. For instance, upon maturity or conversion of our 1.0 percent Convertible Senior Notes due September 15, 2016 (Convertible Notes), subject to certain provisions, we must repay our investors in cash up to the remaining principal balance of \$138.8 million. Further, in certain circumstances constituting a fundamental change under the Convertible Notes, we may be required to repurchase the Convertible Notes for cash.

Awards granted under our Share Tracking Award Plans (which we collectively refer to as the STAP) entitle participants to receive in cash an amount equal to the appreciation in the price of our common stock, which is calculated as the positive difference between the closing price of our common stock on the date of exercise and the date of grant. Consequently, our STAP may require significant future cash payments to participants to the extent the price of our common stock appreciates and the number of vested STAP awards increases over time. If we do not have sufficient funds to meet such obligations or the ability to secure alternative sources of financing, we could be in default, face litigation and/or lose key employees, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Information technology security breaches and other disruptions could compromise our information and expose us to legal responsibility which would cause our business and reputation to suffer.

In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data, including intellectual property, our proprietary business information and that of our suppliers, customers and business partners, and personally identifiable information. The secure maintenance of this information is critical to our operations and business strategy. Despite our security measures, our information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Such breaches could compromise sensitive and confidential information stored on our networks and expose such information to public disclosure, loss or theft. Any access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, disruption of our operations, and damage to our reputation which could adversely affect our business.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock can be highly volatile and may decline.

The price of common stock can be highly volatile within the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sector. Consequently, there can be significant price and volume fluctuations in the market that may not relate to operating performance. The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices of our common stock for the periods indicated:

		High	Low
January 1, 2014	December 31, 2014	\$ 136.16	\$ 86.14
January 1, 2013	December 31, 2013	\$ 114.51	\$ 51.64
January 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	\$ 58.91	\$ 40.42

The price of our common stock could decline sharply due to the following factors, among others:

Failure to meet estimates or expectations of securities analysts;

Quarterly and annual financial results;

Timing of enrollment and results of our clinical trials;

Announcements by us or others regarding generic or other challenges to the intellectual property relating to our products, including developments with respect to the ANDAs filed by Sandoz and Teva relating to certain of our Remodulin patents and to our pending lawsuits defending our patent rights;

The outcome of the ongoing OIG investigation related to Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca;

Physician, patient, investor or public concerns regarding the efficacy and/or safety of products marketed or being developed by us or by others;

Changes in, or new legislation and regulations affecting reimbursement of, our therapeutic products by Medicare, Medicaid or other government payers, and changes in reimbursement policies of private health insurance companies;

Announcements by us or others of technological innovations or new products or announcements regarding our existing products, including in particular, the development of new, competing PAH therapies;

Substantial sales of our common stock by us or our existing shareholders;

Future issuances of common stock by us or any other activity which could be viewed as being dilutive to our shareholders;

Rumors among, or incorrect statements by, investors and/or analysts concerning our company, our products, or our operations;

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Failure to obtain or maintain regulatory approvals from the FDA or international regulatory agencies;

Discovery of previously unknown problems with our marketed products, or problems with our production, regulatory, compliance, promotional, marketing or sales activities that result in regulatory penalties or restrictions on our products, up to the withdrawal of our products from the market;

Accumulation of significant short positions in our common stock by hedge funds or other investors or the significant accumulation of our common stock by hedge funds or other institutional investors with investment strategies that may lead to short-term holdings; and

Table of Contents

General market conditions.

We may fail to meet third-party projections for our revenues or profits.

Many securities analysts publish quarterly and annual projections of our revenues and profits. Such projections are inherently subject to uncertainty. As a result, actual revenues and profits may fail to meet these projections. Even minor variations in reported revenues and profits compared to securities analysts' expectations could have a significant adverse impact on the price of our common stock.

Sales or issuances of our common stock may depress our stock price.

The price of our common stock could decline if: (1) we issue common stock to raise capital or to acquire a license or business; (2) our shareholders transfer ownership of our common stock, or sell substantial amounts in the public market; (3) our investors become concerned that substantial sales of our common stock may occur; or (4) we issue shares upon the settlement of warrants relating to the hedging transaction relating to our Convertible Notes. A decrease in the price of our common stock could make it difficult for us to raise capital or fund acquisitions through the issuance of our stock.

Any sales of common stock issued to holders of our Convertible Notes could adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock or result in short selling by market participants in expectation of a decline in the price of our common stock.

Our share repurchases may affect the value of our common stock.

In recent years, our Board of Directors has authorized several programs to repurchase our common stock, including a \$500.0 million share repurchase program effective during the one-year period that began on August 1, 2014. The price of our common stock may, in part, reflect expectations that our repurchase program will be fully consummated. Our share repurchase program does not obligate us to acquire any specific number of shares. If we fail to meet analyst or investor expectations regarding our repurchase program, our stock price may decline.

We are subject to counterparty risk with respect to the convertible note hedge transaction.

The counterparty to the convertible note hedge transaction we entered into in connection with the issuance of our Convertible Notes (call options) will subject us to counterparty risk in that the counterparty may default on fulfilling its obligations under the call options. Our exposure to the credit risk of the counterparty will not be secured by any collateral. Recent global economic conditions have resulted in the actual or perceived failure or financial difficulties of many financial institutions. If such counterparty becomes subject to insolvency proceedings, we will become an unsecured creditor in those proceedings with a claim based on our exposure at that time under the call options. Our exposure will depend on many factors but, generally, the increase in our exposure will be correlated to the increase in the market price and in the volatility of our common stock. In addition, upon a default by the counterparty, we may suffer adverse tax consequences and dilution with respect to our stock due to our obligation to deliver shares subsequent to the conversion of the notes. We cannot provide any assurances as to the future financial stability or viability of the counterparty to our convertible note hedge transaction.

Table of Contents

Provisions of Delaware law and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, second amended and restated by-laws, shareholder rights plan, Convertible Notes, convertible note hedge transaction and employment and license agreements, among other things, could prevent or delay a change of control or change in management that may be beneficial to our public shareholders.

Certain provisions of Delaware law and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, second amended and restated by-laws and shareholder rights plan may prevent, delay or discourage:

A merger, tender offer or proxy contest;

The assumption of control by a holder of a large block of our securities; and/or

The replacement or removal of current management by our shareholders.

For example, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation divides our Board of Directors into three classes. Members of each class are elected for staggered three-year terms. This provision may make it more difficult for shareholders to replace the majority of directors. It may also deter the accumulation of large blocks of our common stock by limiting the voting power of such blocks.

Non-competition and all other restrictive covenants in most of our employment agreements will terminate upon a change of control that is not approved by our Board.

We may be required to repurchase the outstanding Convertible Notes from their holders in the event of a fundamental change and increase the conversion rate in connection with a make whole adjustment event in certain circumstances, including a change of control of our company. This may delay or prevent a change in control of our company that would otherwise be beneficial to our shareholders.

Terminating or unwinding the convertible note hedge transaction could require us to make substantial payments to the counterparty or may increase the price of our common stock. The costs or any increase in stock price that may arise from terminating or unwinding the transaction could make an acquisition of our company significantly more expensive to the purchaser.

Similarly, a change of control, under certain circumstances, could also result in an acceleration of the vesting of outstanding STAP awards. This, together with any increase in our stock price resulting from the announcement of a change of control, could make an acquisition of our company significantly more expensive to the purchaser. We also have a broad-based change of control severance program, under which employees may be entitled to severance benefits in the event they are terminated without cause (or they terminate their employment for good reason) following a change of control. This program could also increase the cost of acquiring our company.

We enter into certain license agreements that generally prohibit our counterparties or their affiliates from taking necessary steps to acquire or merge with us, directly or indirectly throughout the term of these agreements, plus a specified period thereafter. We are also party to certain license agreements that restrict our ability to assign or transfer the rights licensed to us to third parties, including parties with whom we wish to merge, or those attempting to acquire us. These agreements often require that we obtain prior consent of the counterparties to these agreements if we are contemplating a change of control. If these counterparties withhold consent, related agreements could be terminated and we would lose related license rights. For example, both Lilly and Toray have the right to terminate our license agreements relating to Adcirca and beraprost, respectively, in the event of certain change of control transactions. These restrictive change of control provisions could impede or prevent mergers that could benefit our shareholders.

Table of Contents

Because we do not intend to pay cash dividends, our shareholders must rely on stock appreciation for any return on their investment in us.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. Furthermore, we do not intend to pay cash dividends in the future. As a result, the return on an investment in our common stock will depend entirely upon the future appreciation in the price of our common stock. There can be no assurances that our common stock will provide a return to investors.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Maryland We own a 232,000 square foot combination laboratory and office building complex in Silver Spring, Maryland that serves as our co-headquarters and is used for the synthesis of treprostinil, the active ingredient in Remodulin and Tyvaso, and treprostinil diolamine, the active ingredient in Orenitram, as well as the production of Remodulin and Tyvaso and our ch14.18 monoclonal antibody. We also own several other buildings in Silver Spring used principally for office and laboratory space and we lease warehouse space near Silver Spring.

North Carolina We own a 380,000 square foot combination manufacturing facility and office building in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (RTP facility), which serves as our co-headquarters and is occupied by our clinical research and development, commercialization and our logistics and manufacturing personnel. We warehouse and distribute Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram and produce Orenitram at this location. In 2012, we acquired a 132-acre property containing approximately 312,000 square feet of building space adjacent to our RTP facility, which we use for our research, development and production facilities relating to our lung regeneration program, office space and for future expansion.

Europe We own an office building near London, England which serves as our European headquarters. In Germany, we lease a warehouse where we maintain inventory of components for our Tyvaso Inhalation System.

District of Columbia We own two adjacent buildings in Washington, D.C., which serve as office space.

Florida We own office buildings in Satellite Beach and Melbourne, Florida.

We believe that these facilities, along with various other owned and leased facilities, are adequate for our current operations and that additional land and facilities for future expansion are reasonably available.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Department of Health and Human Services Subpoena

In December 2013, we received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) of the Department of Health and Human Services in connection with a civil investigation by the United States Department of Justice, principally represented by the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland. The subpoena requests documents regarding Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca, including our marketing practices relating to these products. We are cooperating with the investigation. We are not aware that a claim, litigation or assessment has been asserted in connection with the subpoena. However, we cannot predict what actions, if any, may be taken by the OIG, the Department of Justice, other governmental entities, or any third parties in connection with this investigation.

Table of Contents

Sandoz Inc.

In February 2012, we received a Paragraph IV certification letter (the Original Notice Letter) from Sandoz Inc. (Sandoz) advising that Sandoz had submitted an abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) to the FDA requesting approval to market a generic version of the 10 mg/mL strength of Remodulin. In December 2012, we received notice (the Second Notice Letter) that Sandoz had amended its previously filed ANDA to request additional approval to market generic versions of the 1 mg/mL, 2.5 mg/mL, and 5 mg/mL strengths of Remodulin. In the Original Notice Letter and the Second Notice Letter, Sandoz stated that it intends to market a generic version of Remodulin before the expiration of the following patents relating to Remodulin: U.S. Patent No. 5,153,222, which expires in October 2014; U.S. Patent No. 6,765,117, which expires in October 2017; and U.S. Patent No. 7,999,007, which expires in March 2029. Each of these patents is listed in the Orange Book.

We responded to the Original Notice Letter by filing a lawsuit in March 2012 against Sandoz in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging patent infringement. We responded to the Second Notice Letter by filing an additional lawsuit in January 2013 for patent infringement in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. Sandoz filed counterclaims in each action alleging that the patents at issue in the litigation are invalid or will not be infringed by the commercial manufacture, use or sale of the proposed product described in Sandoz's ANDA submission. Shortly before trial, Sandoz withdrew its request to market a generic version of Remodulin before the expiration of U.S. Patent No. 5,153,222, but maintained its request to market a generic version of Remodulin before the expiration of the other two patents. The trial for both lawsuits, limited to U.S. Patent Nos. 6,765,117 and 7,999,007, occurred in May and June 2014 and we received the Court's decision in August 2014. In that decision, with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,765,117 the Court both ruled that the patent is valid and enforceable against Sandoz, and enjoined Sandoz from marketing its generic product until the expiration of that patent in October 2017. With respect to U.S. Patent No. 7,999,007, the Court ruled that the patent is valid, but that it would not be infringed by Sandoz' generic product.

Sandoz has appealed the ruling that U.S. Patent No. 6,765,117 is valid and would be infringed, and that U.S. Patent No. 7,999,007 is valid. We have filed a cross-appeal challenging the Court's ruling that U.S. Patent No. 7,999,007 would not be infringed by Sandoz's generic version of Remodulin.

In July 2014, we received an additional Paragraph IV certification letter (Third Notice Letter) from Sandoz, seeking permission to market and sell its generic version of Remodulin before the expiration of U.S. Patent No. 8,497,393, which expires in December 2028 and is also listed in the Orange Book. We responded to Sandoz's Third Notice Letter by filing a lawsuit in September 2014 in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey for patent infringement with respect to U.S. Patent No. 8,497,393.

We intend to vigorously enforce our intellectual property rights relating to Remodulin.

Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.

On July 21, 2014, we received a Paragraph IV certification letter (Teva's Notice Letter) from Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (Teva) advising that Teva had submitted an ANDA to the FDA requesting approval to market a generic version of Remodulin.

In Teva's Notice Letter, Teva states that it intends to market a generic version of Remodulin before the expiration of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,765,117 and 8,497,393, both of which are also the subject of Paragraph IV certifications by Sandoz, as discussed above. Teva's Notice Letter states that the ANDA contains a Paragraph IV certification alleging that these patents are not valid, not enforceable and/or will not be infringed by the commercial manufacture, use or sale of the proposed product described in Teva's ANDA submission.

Table of Contents

We responded to Teva's Notice Letter by filing a lawsuit in September 2014 against Teva in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,765,117, 7,999,007 and 8,497,393, as well as infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,653,137 and 8,658,694, both of which expire in September 2028. Teva has filed its answer to our complaint, and has also filed a counterclaim alleging that the patents at issue in the litigation are invalid or will not be infringed by the commercial manufacture, use or sale of the proposed product described in Teva's ANDA submission. We have filed an answer to the counterclaim.

Under the Hatch-Waxman Act, the FDA is automatically precluded from approving Teva's ANDA for up to 30 months from receipt of Teva's Notice Letter or until the issuance of a U.S. District Court decision that is adverse to us, whichever occurs first. We intend to vigorously enforce our intellectual property rights relating to Remodulin.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Market Information**

Our common stock (and associated preferred stock purchase rights) trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "UTHR". The table below sets forth the high and low closing prices for our common stock for the periods indicated:

		2014		2013	
		High	Low	High	Low
January 1	March 31	\$ 113.39	\$ 90.67	\$ 62.57	\$ 51.64
April 1	June 30	\$ 107.81	\$ 86.14	\$ 69.31	\$ 59.64
July 1	September 30	\$ 136.16	\$ 86.44	\$ 79.58	\$ 66.10
October 1	December 31	\$ 134.80	\$ 122.11	\$ 114.51	\$ 80.03

Number of Holders

As of February 17, 2015, there were 39 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

We have never paid and have no present intention to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We intend to retain any earnings for use in our business operations.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share (or Unit)(1)	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(2)
Beginning repurchase authority				\$ 474,403,291
October 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014		\$		474,403,291
November 1, 2014 - November 30, 2014	193,819	128.93	193,819	449,414,691
December 1, 2014 - December 31, 2014	419,059	131.08	419,059	394,484,011
Total	612,878	\$ 130.40	612,878	\$ 394,484,011

(1) Average price paid per share calculated at settlement, including commission.

(2) On June 27, 2014, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program for up to \$500.0 million in aggregate repurchases, which became effective August 1, 2014 and will remain open for up to one year.

(3)

From January 1, 2015 through February 19, 2015 we have acquired 586,709 shares of our common stock at an aggregate cost of \$82.5 million.

Table of Contents

Comparison of Five-Year Total Cumulative Shareholder Return

The following chart shows the performance from December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2014 of United Therapeutics' common stock, compared with an investment in the stocks represented in each of the NASDAQ U.S. Benchmark TR Index and the NASDAQ ICB: 4577 Pharmaceutical Stock Index, assuming the investment of \$100 at the beginning of the period and the reinvestment of dividends, if any.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes accompanying the consolidated financial statements and *Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The historical results are not necessarily indicative of results to

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

be expected for future periods. The following information is presented in thousands, except per share data.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Revenues	\$ 1,288,519	\$ 1,116,984	\$ 916,076	\$ 743,183	\$ 592,899
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	242,549	299,348	173,387	180,015	165,306
Selling, general and administrative	381,287	394,010	201,746	156,482	188,606
Cost of product sales	125,883	131,127	119,297	88,904	67,674
Total operating expenses	749,719	824,485	494,430	425,401	421,586
Operating income	538,800	292,499	421,646	317,782	171,313
Total other (expense) income, net	(13,620)	(13,596)	19,025	(18,665)	(16,162)
Income from continuing operations before income tax	525,180	278,903	440,671	299,117	155,151
Income tax expense	(185,106)	(104,343)	(136,229)	(81,874)	(43,945)
Income from continuing operations	340,074	174,560	304,442	217,243	111,206
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax(1)				625	(5,290)
Net income	\$ 340,074	\$ 174,560	\$ 304,442	\$ 217,868	\$ 105,916
Net income per common share:					
Basic(2)	\$ 7.06	\$ 3.49	\$ 5.84	\$ 3.81	\$ 1.89
Diluted(2)	\$ 6.28	\$ 3.28	\$ 5.71	\$ 3.67	\$ 1.78
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:					
Basic(2)	48,176	50,076	52,093	57,163	56,142
Diluted(2)	54,155	53,231	53,280	59,395	59,516

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable investments(3)	\$ 812,944	\$ 1,136,668	\$ 784,931	\$ 747,378	\$ 759,932
Total assets	1,884,410	2,087,567	1,626,595	1,518,079	1,431,635
Debt	130,224	286,182	276,323	266,835	305,968

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Retained earnings	1,068,114	728,040	553,480	249,038	31,170
Total stockholders' equity	1,242,356	1,259,274	1,083,981	948,488	883,886

- (1) In March 2011, we sold Medicomp, Inc., our former telemedicine subsidiary and subsequently discontinued all of our continuing telemedicine-related activities. Accordingly, the results of Medicomp, Inc. have been included within discontinued operations for each of the years presented prior to the sale of the subsidiary.
- (2) Refer to Note 11 *Stockholders' Equity Earnings per Share* to our consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the computation of basic and diluted net income per share.
- (3) Excludes restricted marketable investments and cash.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on our expectations about future outcomes and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from anticipated results. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include those described under *Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors* included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and factors described in other cautionary statements, cautionary language and risk factors set forth in other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We undertake no obligation to publicly update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Overview

Our key therapeutic products and product candidates include:

Prostacyclin analogues (Remodulin[®], Tyvaso[®], Orenitram[®] and esuberaprost, formally known as 314d): stable synthetic forms of prostacyclin, an important molecule produced by the body that has powerful effects on blood vessel health and function;

Phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE-5) inhibitor (Adcirca[®]): a molecule that acts to inhibit the degradation of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cyclic GMP) in cells. Cyclic GMP is activated by nitric oxide (NO), a naturally occurring substance in the body that mediates the relaxation of vascular smooth muscle;

Monoclonal antibody for oncologic applications (ch14.18 MAb): an antibody that treats cancer by activating the immune system;

Glycobiology antiviral agents: a novel class of small, sugar-like molecules that have shown antiviral activity in a range of preclinical settings;

Cell-based therapy: a cell-based product known as PLacental eXpanded (PLX) cells we are developing for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension; and

Lung transplantation: engineered lungs and lung tissue, which we are developing using xenotransplantation and regenerative medicine technologies, for transplantation in patients suffering from pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) and other lung diseases. We are also developing technologies aimed at improving outcomes for lung transplant recipients and increasing the supply of donor lungs through ex-vivo lung perfusion.

We concentrate substantially all of our research and development efforts on the preceding key therapeutic programs. We currently market and sell the following commercial products:

Remodulin (treprostinil) Injection (Remodulin). Remodulin, a continuously-infused formulation of the prostacyclin analogue treprostinil, is approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for subcutaneous (under the skin) and intravenous (in the vein) administration. Remodulin is indicated to diminish symptoms associated with exercise in World Health Organization (WHO) Group 1 PAH patients. Remodulin is also approved for the treatment of patients requiring transition from Flolan[®] (epoprostenol sodium) for Injection. Remodulin has also been approved in various countries outside of the United States. In the second and third quarters of 2014, we commenced sales of Remodulin to distributors in China and Japan, respectively. Remodulin is sold in Japan under the brand name Treprost .

Table of Contents

Tyvaso (treprostinil) Inhalation Solution (Tyvaso). Tyvaso, an inhaled formulation of treprostinil, is approved by the FDA to improve exercise ability in WHO Group 1 PAH patients.

Orenitram (treprostinil) Extended-Release Tablets (Orenitram). In December 2013, the FDA approved Orenitram, a tablet dosage form of treprostinil, for the treatment of PAH in WHO Group 1 PAH patients to improve exercise capacity. Orenitram's label provides for dosing either twice per day (BID) or three times per day (TID), and we anticipate that TID dosing may lead to a more favorable pharmacokinetic profile than BID, although TID dosing was not studied in our pivotal trial. We commenced sales of Orenitram during the second quarter of 2014.

Adcirca (tadalafil) Tablets (Adcirca). We acquired exclusive commercialization rights to Adcirca, an oral PAH therapy, in the United States and Puerto Rico from Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly). Adcirca is approved by the FDA to improve exercise ability in WHO Group 1 PAH patients.

Revenues

Sales of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca comprise substantially all of our revenues. Despite commencing Orenitram sales during the second quarter of 2014, we remain substantially reliant on sales of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Adcirca for the next several years as our principal sources of revenue.

We have entered into separate, non-exclusive distribution agreements with Accredo Health Group, Inc. (Accredo) and CVS Caremark (Caremark) in the United States, to distribute Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram. In April 2012, Express Scripts, Inc., the parent company of CuraScript Inc. (CuraScript), then one of our specialty pharmaceutical distributors, completed its acquisition of Medco Health Solutions, Inc., the parent company of Accredo. As a result, CuraScript's operations have been integrated into Accredo's, and in December 2013 we consolidated our distribution agreements with the two organizations into one contract for each product. We also sell Remodulin to distributors internationally. We sell Adcirca through Lilly's pharmaceutical wholesaler network at a wholesale price determined by Lilly, which Lilly generally increases two or three times per year. Most recently, Lilly increased the wholesale price of Adcirca by 9.9 percent effective December 4, 2014.

Under our distribution agreements, we sell each of our treprostinil-based products to these distributors at a transfer price that we establish. We have generally increased the price of Tyvaso by 4.9 percent annually, and the last price increase became effective on January 1, 2015. We have not increased the price of Remodulin since 2010.

We require our specialty pharmaceutical distributors to maintain reasonable levels of inventory reserves as the interruption of Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram therapy can be life threatening. Our specialty pharmaceutical distributors typically place monthly orders based on estimates of future demand and contractual minimum inventory requirements. As a result, sales of Remodulin and Tyvaso, our most significant sources of revenue, can vary depending on the timing and magnitude of these orders and may not precisely reflect patient demand.

We recognize revenues net of: (1) estimated rebates; (2) prompt pay discounts; (3) allowances for sales returns; and (4) distributor fees. We estimate our liability for rebates based on an analysis of historical levels of rebates to both Medicaid and commercial third-party payers and considering the impact of sales trends, changes in government and commercial rebate programs and any anticipated changes in our products' pricing. In addition, we determine our obligation for prescription drug discounts required for Medicare Part D patients within the coverage gap based on estimates of the number of Medicare Part D patients and the period such patients will remain within the coverage gap. We provide prompt pay discounts to customers that pay amounts due within a specific time period and base related estimates on observed historical customer payment behavior. Prior to 2013, we derived estimates relating to our allowance for returns of Adcirca from published industry data specific to

Table of Contents

specialty pharmaceuticals and, beginning in 2013, from actual return data accumulated since the drug's launch in 2009. This change in the methodology for estimating returns of Adcirca resulted in a \$3.1 million reduction of our allowance for returns associated with Adcirca for the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2013. We also compare patient prescription data for Adcirca to sales on a quarterly basis to ensure a reasonable relationship between prescription and sales trends. To date, we have not identified any unusual patterns in the volume of prescriptions relative to sales that would warrant reconsideration of our methodology for estimating Adcirca returns. Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram are distributed under separate contracts with substantially similar terms, which include exchange rights in the event that product is damaged during shipment or expires. The allowance for exchanges for Remodulin and Tyvaso is based on the historical rate of product exchanges, which has been negligible and immaterial. Furthermore, we anticipate minimal exchange activity in the future for Tyvaso, Remodulin and Orenitram since we typically sell these products with a remaining shelf life in excess of one year and our distributors generally carry a thirty- to sixty-day supply of our products at any given time. As a result, we do not record reserves for exchanges for Tyvaso, Remodulin and Orenitram at the time of sale. Lastly, we pay our distributors for contractual services rendered and accrue for related fees based on contractual rates applied to the estimated units of service provided by distributors for a given financial reporting period.

Generic Competition

We disclose in *Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings* of this Annual Report on Form 10-K that we are engaged in litigation with Sandoz Inc. (Sandoz) and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (Teva), contesting their abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs) seeking FDA approval to market generic versions of Remodulin before the expiration of certain of our U.S. patents in October 2017, December 2028, March 2029 and (in the case of Sandoz's ANDA) September 2028.

We intend to vigorously enforce our intellectual property rights relating to Remodulin. However, there can be no assurance that we will prevail in defending our patent rights, or that additional challenges from other ANDA filers or other challengers will not surface with respect to Remodulin or our other treprostinil-based products. Our existing patents could be invalidated, found unenforceable or found not to cover one or more generic forms of Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram. If any ANDA filer were to receive approval to sell a generic version of Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram and/or prevail in any patent litigation, the affected product(s) would become subject to increased competition which could reduce our sales.

Certain patents for Revatio[®], a PDE-5 inhibitor marketed by Pfizer, Inc. for the treatment of PAH, expired in 2012, leading several manufacturers to launch generic formulations of sildenafil citrate, the active ingredient in Revatio. Generic sildenafil's lower price relative to Adcirca could lead to an erosion of Adcirca's market share and limit its potential sales. Although we believe Adcirca's once-daily dosing regimen provides an appealing alternative to generic sildenafil's multiple dosing regimen, we believe that government payers and private insurance companies may favor the use of less expensive generic sildenafil over Adcirca. Thus far, we have not observed any measurable impact of generic sildenafil on sales of Adcirca; however, circumstances could change over time and our revenues could be adversely impacted. The U.S. patent for Adcirca for the treatment of pulmonary hypertension will expire in November 2017.

Patent expiration and generic competition for any of our commercial products could have a significant, adverse impact on our revenues, the magnitude of which is inherently difficult to predict. For additional discussion, please refer to the risk factor entitled, *Our intellectual property rights may not effectively deter competitors from developing competing products that, if successful, could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and profits*, contained in *Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors* included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Cost of Product Sales

Cost of product sales comprise: (1) costs to produce and acquire products sold to customers; (2) royalty payments under license agreements granting us rights to sell related products; and (3) direct and indirect distribution costs incurred in the sale of products. We acquired the rights to sell our commercial products through license and assignment agreements with the original developers of these products. These agreements obligate us to pay royalties based on specified percentages of our net revenues from related products. We paid GlaxoSmithKline PLC (Glaxo) a royalty of ten percent of net sales of our treprostinil-based products (Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram) until October 2014, when the patents we acquired from Glaxo expired. We no longer have any royalty obligations for Remodulin or Tyvaso, and our only remaining royalty obligation on Orenitram sales will be a single-digit royalty relating to technology used in its formulation. We pay a five percent royalty to Lilly on net sales of Adcirca.

We synthesize treprostinil, the active ingredient in Remodulin and Tyvaso, and treprostinil diolamine, the active ingredient in Orenitram, and produce Remodulin and Tyvaso, at our facility in Silver Spring, Maryland. We produce Orenitram in our Research Triangle Park, North Carolina facility (RTP facility). We intend to use our own facilities to produce our primary supply of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram. We utilize third-party contract manufacturers to supplement our Remodulin and Tyvaso production capacity and mitigate the risk of shortages and we are working to obtain FDA approval of a third party to serve as an additional producer of Orenitram. We engage a third-party contract manufacturer to produce the Tyvaso Inhalation System.

We began selling Orenitram during the second quarter of 2014. Typical of the initial commercial activities of a newly-launched product, Orenitram's cost of product sales as a percentage of its net revenue is significantly higher than that of our other commercial products. We expect that as Orenitram's revenues increase, its cost of product sales as a percentage of net revenue will decrease to levels more comparable to our other treprostinil-based commercial products.

Lilly manufactures Adcirca. We take title to Adcirca upon its manufacture and bear any losses related to the storage, distribution and sale of Adcirca.

Operating Expenses

Since our inception, we have devoted substantial resources to our various clinical trials and other research and development efforts, which are conducted both internally and through third parties. From time to time, we also license or acquire additional technologies and compounds to be incorporated into our development pipeline.

Share-Based Compensation

Our operating expenses and net income are often materially impacted by the recognition of share-based compensation expense (benefit) associated with awards granted under our share tracking award plans (STAP) and potential stock option grants containing a market or performance condition, as the fair value of these awards varies with the changes in our stock price. The fair values of STAP awards and potential stock option grants are measured using inputs and assumptions under the Black-Scholes-Merton model that can materially impact the amount of compensation expense (benefit) for a given period.

We account for STAP awards as liabilities because they are settled in cash. As such, we must re-measure the fair value of outstanding STAP awards at the end of each financial reporting period until the awards are no longer outstanding. Changes in our STAP-related liability resulting from such re-measurements are recorded as adjustments to share-based compensation expense (benefit) and can create substantial volatility within our operating expenses from financial reporting period to period. The

Table of Contents

following factors, among others, have a significant impact on the amount of share-based compensation expense (benefit) recognized in connection with the STAP from period to period: (1) volatility in the price of our common stock (specifically, increases in the price of our common stock will generally result in an increase in our STAP liability and related compensation expense, while decreases in our stock price will generally result in a reduction in our STAP liability and related compensation expense); (2) changes in the number of outstanding awards; (3) changes in the number of vested and partially vested awards; and (4) the probability of meeting the relevant performance criteria.

Through December 31, 2014, we were contractually obligated to award stock options each year to our Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Rothblatt, based on a formula tied to the growth (if any) in our market capitalization. These awards were granted at year-end, and vested immediately upon grant. We accrued compensation expense for Dr. Rothblatt's estimated stock option grant when we determined that it was probable that the performance criteria would be met. Beginning in 2015, Dr. Rothblatt's long term incentive compensation will be similar to other employees in that she will be eligible for an annual grant of performance-based STAP awards based on the achievement of our annual corporate milestones, which will vest over a four year period from the grant date.

Major Research and Development Projects

Our major research and development projects focus on: (1) the use of prostacyclin analogues and other therapies, as well as lung transplantation technologies, to treat cardiopulmonary diseases; (2) monoclonal antibodies to treat a variety of cancers; and (3) glycobiology antiviral agents to treat infectious diseases.

Cardiopulmonary Disease Projects

Remodulin

Intravenous Remodulin Administered via Implantable Pump

In 2009, we entered into an agreement with exclusive rights in the United States, United Kingdom, France, Germany, Italy and Japan, with Medtronic, Inc. (Medtronic) to develop its proprietary intravascular infusion catheter to be used with Medtronic's SynchroMed® II implantable infusion pump and related infusion system components (together referred to as the Remodulin Implantable System) in order to deliver Remodulin for the treatment of PAH. If the Remodulin Implantable System is successful, it could reduce many of the patient burdens and other complications associated with infused prostacyclin analogues. With our funding, Medtronic completed the *DelIVery* clinical trial, in order to study the safety of the Remodulin Implantable System while administering Remodulin. The primary objective was to demonstrate a rate of catheter-related complications below 2.5 per 1,000 patient-days while using the Remodulin Implantable System to deliver Remodulin. In September 2013, Medtronic informed us that this primary objective was met ($p < 0.0001$). In December 2014, Medtronic completed other stability, compatibility and technical assessments of the Remodulin Implantable System, including modifications to its hardware and software, and filed a premarket approval application (PMA) seeking FDA approval for the catheter and labeling changes. Medtronic is responsible for responding to any FDA requests for additional information concerning the use of the Remodulin Implantable System with Remodulin. In January 2015, we submitted new labeling requesting FDA approval to allow the use of Remodulin with the Remodulin Implantable System. The FDA has indicated that our submission will be treated as a new NDA.

Subcutaneous Remodulin Administered via Pre-Filled, Semi-Disposable Pump

In December 2014, we entered into an exclusive agreement with DEKA Research & Development Corp. (DEKA) to develop a pre-filled, semi-disposable pump system for subcutaneous delivery of Remodulin. Under the terms of the agreement, we will fund the development costs related to the

Table of Contents

semi-disposable pump system and will pay product fees and a single-digit royalty to DEKA based on commercial sales of the system and the Remodulin sold for use with the system. Our goal is to be in a position to receive FDA approval for this delivery system by the end of 2018.

Tyvaso

In connection with Tyvaso's approval by the FDA, we agreed to a post-marketing requirement (PMR) obligating us to conduct an additional study to continue to assess the safety of Tyvaso. In accordance with our PMR, we are required to complete a long-term observational study in the United States that includes 1,000 patient years of follow-up in patients treated with Tyvaso and 1,000 patient years of follow-up in control patients receiving other PAH treatments, to evaluate the potential association between Tyvaso and oropharyngeal and pulmonary toxicity. We have completed this study and are preparing to submit the results of the study by the FDA's deadline of June 30, 2015.

Orenitram

In December 2013, the FDA approved Orenitram for the treatment of PAH in WHO Group 1 patients to improve exercise capacity. The primary study that supported efficacy of Orenitram was a 12-week monotherapy study (FREEDOM-M) in which PAH patients were not on any approved background therapy. Analysis of the FREEDOM-M results demonstrated that patients receiving Orenitram improved their six-minute walk distance by a median of approximately 23 meters ($p=0.0125$) compared to patients receiving placebo. The median change from baseline at week 12 was 25 meters for patients receiving Orenitram and 5 meters for patients receiving placebo.

Orenitram's label notes that Orenitram has not been shown to improve exercise capacity in patients on background vasodilator therapy, and that Orenitram is probably most useful to replace subcutaneous, intravenous, or inhaled treprostinil, but use of these forms has not been studied.

We believe that in order for Orenitram to reach its full commercial potential, we need to complete further studies to support an amendment to Orenitram's label to indicate that Orenitram delays morbidity and mortality in patients who are on an approved oral background therapy. As such, we are enrolling up to 610 patients in a phase IV clinical trial called FREEDOM-EV, which began in 2012. FREEDOM-EV is a placebo-controlled study of patients who enter the study on an approved background therapy, and one of the two primary endpoints of the study is the time to clinical worsening.

We expect to seek approval of Orenitram in Europe upon completion of the FREEDOM-EV study. In 2005, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) announced that Orenitram had been designated an orphan medicinal product for the treatment of PAH. A request for orphan drug designation for Orenitram is pending before the FDA.

Esuberaprost (formally known as 314d)

We have been studying various formulations of beraprost since 2000. We completed a phase I safety trial of esuberaprost, a reformulated, single-isomer version of beraprost in July 2012, and the data suggested that dosing esuberaprost four times a day was safe. We believe that esuberaprost and treprostinil have differing prostacyclin receptor-binding profiles and thus could provide benefits to certain groups of patients with differing sets of safety and efficacy profiles. We also believe that inhaled treprostinil and esuberaprost have complimentary pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles, which indicate that they should provide greater efficacy in combination. As a result, in 2013 we began enrolling a phase III study called BEAT (**BE**raprost 314d Add-on to **Ty**vaso) to evaluate the clinical benefit and safety of esuberaprost in combination with Tyvaso for patients with PAH who show signs of deterioration on inhaled treprostinil or have a less than optimal response to inhaled treprostinil

Table of Contents

treatment. We intend to enroll 240 patients in the study, which will have a primary endpoint of time to clinical worsening.

Cell-Based Therapy

In 2011, we entered into a license agreement with Pluristem Ltd. (Pluristem) to develop and commercialize a cell-based product for the treatment of PAH using Pluristem's proprietary cell technology known as PLacental eXpanded (PLX) cells. We commenced a phase I clinical study in Australia in 2013.

Lung Transplantation

The only reported cure for PAH is a lung transplant. We believe that fewer than 100 PAH patients receive a lung transplant each year due to the shortage of available lungs for transplant and the demand for transplantable lungs in patients with other end-stage pulmonary diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.

In 2011, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of Revivicor, Inc., a company focused on developing genetic biotechnology platforms to provide alternative tissue sources for the treatment of human degenerative disease through tissue and organ xenotransplantation. We are focused on this platform with the goal of providing transplantable lungs for human patients.

In May 2014, we completed a \$50.0 million preferred stock investment in Synthetic Genomics Inc. (SGI). We also entered into a separate multi-year research and development collaboration agreement whereby SGI will develop engineered primary pig cells with modified genomes for use in our xenotransplantation program, which is principally focused on lungs. Under this agreement, each party will assume its own research and development costs and SGI may receive royalties and milestone payments from development and commercialization of organs.

We are also engaged in preclinical development of several regenerative technologies for creating transplantable lung tissue and whole lungs for patients with end-stage lung disease, as well as other technologies intended to improve outcomes for lung transplant recipients. We are preparing to commence a clinical trial in the United States to study the use of ex-vivo lung perfusion technology originally developed in Canada (where it is already used commercially) to provide extended preservation and assessment of donated lungs that are initially rejected for transplantation. In 2014, we completed the construction of the only laboratory facility in the United States devoted to performing ex-vivo lung perfusion on a fee-for-service basis.

From inception to December 31, 2014, we have spent \$1.1 billion on all of our current and former cardiopulmonary disease programs.

Cancer-Related Projects

Ch14.18 Antibody

In 2010, we entered into a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) with the National Cancer Institute (NCI) of the United States National Institutes for Health (NIH) to collaborate on the late-stage development and regulatory approval process for Chimeric Monoclonal Antibody 14.18 (ch14.18) for children with high-risk neuroblastoma and patients with other forms of cancer. Ch14.18 is an antibody that has shown potential in the treatment of neuroblastoma by targeting GD2, a glycolipid on the surface of tumor cells. Under the terms of the CRADA, the NCI has completed necessary studies and we have developed the ability to produce ch14.18 on a commercial scale. Collectively, related NCI-supported studies and our production data were used as the foundation for our marketing authorization application, which the EMA accepted for review in December 2013, and a biologics license application, which the FDA accepted for review in June 2014. We previously

Table of Contents

received orphan drug designation for ch14.18 from both the FDA and the EMA. In lieu of a royalty payment to the NCI, we have an ongoing obligation to provide the NCI with ch14.18 for its studies free of charge.

From inception to December 31, 2014, we have spent \$124.2 million on all of our current and former cancer programs.

Infectious Disease Projects

Pursuant to our research agreement with the University of Oxford (Oxford), we have the exclusive right to commercialize a platform of glycobiology antiviral drug candidates in various preclinical stages of testing for the treatment of a wide variety of viruses. Through our research agreement with Oxford, we are also supporting the research of new glycobiology antiviral drug candidates and technologies. We are currently testing many of these compounds in preclinical studies and Oxford continues to synthesize new agents that we may elect to test.

In 2011, we were awarded a cost plus fixed fee contract with an aggregate value of up to \$45.0 million under a Broad Agency Announcement from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) of the NIH for studies directed toward the development of a broad spectrum antiviral drug with a primary indication for dengue and a secondary indication for influenza, based on our glycobiology antiviral platform. There are eight milestone-based options to expand the project and funding under the contract. To date, we have received contract modifications exercising five of these options, increasing total committed contract funding to \$28.1 million. We recognize revenue under this contract to the extent of allowable costs incurred, plus a proportionate amount of fees earned. Related revenues are included under the caption *Other Revenues* on our consolidated statements of operations.

We began enrolling a phase I clinical trial of our lead antiviral candidate, an alpha-glucosidase inhibitor called UV-4B, for the treatment of dengue in the third quarter of 2014. In November 2014, the FDA granted orphan drug designation for UV-4B for the treatment of acute dengue illness. We are also performing preclinical studies of UV-4B for the treatment of patients with ebola.

From inception to December 31, 2014, we have spent \$86.6 million on all of our current and former infectious disease programs.

Future Prospects

The extent of our future success is dependent on, among other things, how well we achieve the following objectives: (1) in the near term, continued sales growth of our current commercial products by increasing our market share and launching enhancements designed to improve patient care, such as implantable pumps for Remodulin, and growing sales of our recently-launched product, Orenitram; (2) in the medium term, augmenting our near-term product growth through: (a) the successful launch of Orenitram for use in combination with other oral therapies following positive FREEDOM-EV results, and (b) the launch of esuberaprost following positive results of the BEAT study; and (3) in the long term, supplementing our oral, inhaled and infused PAH therapy revenues by introducing transplantable cells, tissues and organs that may prove effective in treating PAH and other end-stage lung diseases.

Our ability to achieve these objectives and sustain our growth and profitability will depend on many factors, including among others: (1) the timing and outcome of clinical trials and regulatory approvals for products we develop; (2) the timing of, and the degree of success related to, the commercial launch of new products; (3) the demand for our products; (4) pricing and reimbursement of our products by public and private health insurance organizations; (5) the competition we face within our industry; (6) our ability to effectively manage our business in an increasingly complex legal

Table of Contents

and regulatory environment; (7) our ability to defend against generic competition and challenges to our patents, including the ongoing challenge to our Remodulin patents by two generic drug companies; and (8) the risks identified in *Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors*, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We may need to construct additional facilities to support the development and commercialization of our products. For example, the development of broad-spectrum anti-viral drugs, cell therapies and transplantable lungs and lung tissues will require the design and construction of sophisticated facilities that will need to comply with stringent regulatory requirements related to these programs, some of which have not yet been developed or adopted by the relevant government agencies. The extent to which we fully develop any of these facilities will depend on the progress of our preclinical and clinical development in various earlier stage programs.

We operate in a highly competitive market in which a small number of pharmaceutical companies control a majority of the available PAH therapies. These pharmaceutical companies are well established in the market and possess greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. In addition, there are a number of investigational products in late-stage development that, if approved, may erode the market share of our existing commercial therapies and make market acceptance more difficult to achieve for any therapies we attempt to market in the future.

Financial Position

Cash and cash equivalents and current and non-current marketable investments (excluding restricted amounts of \$5.4 million) at December 31, 2014 were \$812.9 million, compared to approximately \$1,136.7 million as of December 31, 2013. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$323.7 million resulted largely from the use of (1) \$483.1 million to repurchase shares of our common stock; (2) \$111.3 million relating to principal payments for early conversions of our 1.0 percent Convertible Senior Notes due September 15, 2016 (Convertible Notes); (3) \$66.5 million for the payoff of the remaining principal balance of our 2010 Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and Bank of America, N.A. (Wells mortgage loan) in December 2014; (4) \$144.1 million related to the exercise of cash-settled STAP awards; and (5) \$195.6 million for estimated tax payments during the year ended December 31, 2014. These payments were offset by an estimated \$693.4 million of cash generated from operations for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Accounts receivable at December 31, 2014, was \$162.3 million, compared to \$126.3 million at December 31, 2013. The \$36.0 million increase reflects an approximately 20 percent increase in sales during the quarter ended December 31, 2014, compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2013, and the timing of invoicing and cash collections.

Other assets increased by \$44.6 million at December 31, 2014 to \$97.8 million compared to \$53.3 million at December 31, 2013, primarily as a result of our \$50.0 million investment in SGI, offset in part by our sale at par of \$5.0 million of stock we held in another private company.

Current convertible notes decreased by \$89.4 million, from \$126.4 million at December 31, 2014 compared to \$215.8 million at December 31, 2013, as a result of the early conversions of \$111.3 million of principal of our Convertible Notes during the fourth quarter 2014, net of amortization of \$11.0 million and \$10.8 million for the write off of the unamortized discount for the early conversions of our Convertible Notes. Refer to Note 8 *Debt Convertible Notes Due 2016* to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for details.

Line of credit and mortgages payable current decreased by \$66.5 million to \$67,000 at December 31, 2014, compared to \$66.6 million at December 31, 2013, as a result of the December 2014 maturity of our Wells mortgage loan. Refer to Note 8 *Mortgage Financing Wells Fargo Bank* to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for details.

Table of Contents

Temporary equity at December 31, 2014 was \$23.2 million, compared to \$45.0 million at December 31, 2013. The \$21.8 million decrease in temporary equity corresponded to (1) \$11.1 million for the reclassification of the equity component related to the amortization of the Convertible Notes' discount during the year from additional paid-in capital, since our Convertible Notes were convertible at the election of their holders throughout 2014 and (2) \$10.8 million for the write off of the unamortized discount related to the early conversions of our Convertible Notes. For further details refer to Note 10 *Temporary Equity* and Note 8 *Debt Convertible Notes Due 2016* to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details.

Additional paid-in capital increased by \$318.9 million from \$1,057.2 million at December 31, 2013, to \$1,376.1 million at December 31, 2014. The increase was comprised of the following elements: (1) \$81.0 million in proceeds and related tax benefits from stock option exercises; (2) \$30.6 million of share-based compensation, primarily related to our Co-Chief Executive Officer's year-end stock option award based on the terms of her employment agreement; (3) \$193.0 million related to the fair value of the common stock issued in connection with the early conversion of our Convertible Notes based on the closing price of our common stock on the date the shares were issued; and (4) \$11.1 million from the amortization of the discount related to our Convertible Notes. Refer to Note 11 *Stockholders' Equity Equity Incentive Plan* and Note 8 *Debt Convertible Notes Due 2016* to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details.

Treasury stock was \$1,185.8 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$513.4 million at December 31, 2013. The increase of \$672.4 million corresponded to our repurchase of approximately 4.8 million shares of our common stock for \$483.1 million and \$189.3 million for the receipt of 1.5 million shares from our note hedge in connection with early conversion of \$111.3 million of our Convertible Notes based on the closing price of our common stock on the date the shares were received. Refer to Note 11 *Stockholders' Equity Share Repurchases* and Note 8 *Debt Convertible Notes Due 2016* to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details.

Results of Operations*Years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013**Revenues*

The following table presents the components of net revenues (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Percentage Change
	2014	2013	
Cardiopulmonary products:			
Remodulin	\$ 553,728	\$ 491,179	12.7%
Tyvaso	463,067	438,793	5.5%
Adcirca	221,471	176,972	25.1%
Orenitram	41,267		100.0%
Other	8,986	10,040	(10.5)%
Total net revenues	\$ 1,288,519	\$ 1,116,984	15.4%

The growth in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, corresponded primarily to the continued increase in the number of patients being treated with our products and the commencement of Orenitram sales.

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, approximately 74 percent and 76 percent, respectively, of total net revenues were derived from sales to our U.S.-based specialty pharmaceutical distributors. Remaining revenues were derived primarily from sales of Adcirca and sales of Remodulin to our international distributors.

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The tables below include a reconciliation of the accounts associated with estimated rebates, prompt-pay discounts, sales allowances and distributor fees (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2014					Total
	Rebates	Prompt Pay Discounts	Allowance for Sales Returns	Distributor Fees		
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$ 22,475	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,862	\$ 1,092	\$	28,929
Provisions attributed to sales in:						
Current period	116,813	27,096	1,671	7,854		153,434
Prior periods	6,622		429	278		7,329
Payments or credits attributed to sales in:						
Current period	(85,833)	(23,998)		(7,139)		(116,970)
Prior periods	(28,461)	(2,313)	(934)	(1,528)		(33,236)
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$ 31,616	\$ 3,285	\$ 4,028	\$ 557	\$	39,486

	Year Ended December 31, 2013					Total
	Rebates	Prompt Pay Discounts	Allowance for Sales Returns	Distributor Fees		
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$ 15,207	\$ 2,115	\$ 3,350	1,281	\$	21,953
Provisions attributed to sales in:						
Current period	81,938	24,154	1,254	7,008		114,354
Prior periods	997		(1,530)	3		(530)
Payments or credits attributed to sales in:						
Current period	(59,225)	(21,654)		(5,916)		(86,795)
Prior periods	(16,442)	(2,115)	(212)	(1,284)		(20,053)
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$ 22,475	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,862	\$ 1,092	\$	28,929

Research and Development Expense

The table below summarizes research and development expense by major project and non-project component (dollars in thousands):

Project and non-project:	Year Ended December 31,		Percentage Change
	2014	2013	
Cardiopulmonary	\$ 131,843	\$ 116,137	13.5%
Share-based compensation expense	72,714	134,706	(46.0)%
Other	37,991	48,505	(21.7)%
Total research and development expense	\$ 242,549	\$ 299,348	(19.0)%

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Cardiopulmonary. The increase in cardiopulmonary program expenses of \$15.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, resulted from a \$20.1 million increase in expenses related to our esuberaprost program offset by a \$7.9 million decrease of our sustained-release, self-injectable product development which we terminated during 2014.

Share-based compensation. The decrease in share-based compensation of \$62.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, resulted from the approximately 15 percent appreciation in the price of our common stock during the year ended

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

December 31, 2014, compared to the approximately 112 percent increase in the price of our common stock price during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Other. The decrease in other research and development expenses of \$10.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, was primarily attributable to a \$1.6 million decrease in expenditures for our development of ch14.18 and a \$7.5 million decrease in research and development expenditures not allocated to specific projects.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

The table below summarizes selling, general and administrative expense by major category (dollars in thousands):

Category:	Year Ended December 31,		Percentage Change
	2014	2013	
General and administrative	\$ 186,312	\$ 140,235	32.9%
Sales and marketing	82,000	73,871	11.0%
Share-based compensation expense	112,975	179,904	(37.2)%
Total selling, general and administrative expense	\$ 381,287	\$ 394,010	(3.2)%

General and administrative. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$46.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, resulted primarily from the following: (1) an \$8.7 million increase in grants to non-affiliated, non-profit organizations that provide financial assistance to patients with PAH; (2) \$5.4 million and \$7.5 million increases in operating expenses and salaries and other compensation-related expenses, respectively, associated with the general expansion of our business and the reclassification of certain staff from research and development to a general and administrative classification; and (3) an \$18.2 million increase in consulting and professional fees primarily driven by our ongoing patent litigation and our response to a subpoena issued by the Office of Inspector General (OIG) of the Department of Health and Human Services relating to our marketing practices.

Sales and marketing. The increase in sales and marketing expenses of \$8.1 million reflects the following: (1) a \$2.8 million increase in marketing activities; and (2) a \$5.3 million increase in salaries and other compensation-related expenses as we expanded our sales personnel during 2014.

Share-based compensation. The decrease in share-based compensation of \$66.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, corresponded to the approximately 15 percent appreciation in the price of our common stock during the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the approximately 112 percent appreciation in our stock price during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Cost of Product Sales

Cost of product sales as a percentage of product revenues decreased to 9.8 percent for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 11.8 percent for the year ended December 31, 2013. In October 2014, our royalty payment obligation to Glaxo on sales of our treprostinil-based products expired.

Table of Contents*Income Tax Expense*

The provision for income taxes was \$185.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$104.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in the provision for income taxes corresponded to the increase in pre-tax earnings. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the effective tax rates were approximately 35 percent and 37 percent, respectively. For complete details refer to Note 13 *Income Taxes* to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on 10-K.

*Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**Revenues*

The following table presents the components of net revenues (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Percentage
	2013	2012	Change
Cardiopulmonary products:			
Remodulin	\$ 491,179	\$ 457,969	7.3%
Tyvaso	438,793	325,614	34.8%
Adcirca	176,972	122,540	44.4%
Other	10,040	9,953	0.9%
Total revenues	\$ 1,116,984	\$ 916,076	21.9%

The growth in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, corresponded to the continued increase in the number of patients being treated with our products.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, approximately 76 percent and 78 percent, respectively, of net revenues were derived from sales of Remodulin and Tyvaso to U.S.-based specialty pharmacy distributors. Remaining revenues were derived primarily from sales of Adcirca and sales of Remodulin to our international distributors.

The table below includes a reconciliation of the accounts associated with estimated rebates, prompt-pay discounts, allowances for sales returns and distributor fees (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013					
	Rebates	Prompt Pay Discounts	Allowance for Sales Returns	Distributor Fees	Total	
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$ 15,207	\$ 2,115	\$ 3,350	\$ 1,281	\$ 21,953	
Provisions attributed to sales in:						
Current period	81,938	24,154	1,254	7,008	114,354	
Prior periods	997		(1,530)	3	(530)	
Payments or credits attributed to sales in:						
Current period	(59,225)	(21,654)		(5,916)	(86,795)	
Prior periods	(16,442)	(2,115)	(212)	(1,284)	(20,053)	
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$ 22,475	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,862	\$ 1,092	\$ 28,929	

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31, 2012					Total
	Rebates	Prompt Pay Discounts	Allowance for Sales Returns	Distributor Fees		
Balance, January 1, 2012	\$ 13,993	\$ 1,679	\$ 1,402	\$ 732	\$	17,806
Provisions attributed to sales in:						
Current period	53,674	18,682	1,717	6,089		80,162
Prior periods	(949)	6	381	31		(531)
Payments or credits attributed to sales in:						
Current period	(39,559)	(16,567)		(4,808)		(60,934)
Prior periods	(11,952)	(1,685)	(150)	(763)		(14,550)
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 15,207	\$ 2,115	\$ 3,350	\$ 1,281	\$	21,953

Cost of Product Sales

The cost of product sales as a percentage of product revenues decreased to 11.8 percent for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 13.0 percent for the year ended December 31, 2012. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we increased our reserves for inventory obsolescence by \$8.9 million, representing the cost of the inhalation devices incorporated into our Tyvaso Inhalation System that were expected to be rendered obsolete based on the then pending commercial release of our improved inhalation device, the TD-100.

Research and Development Expense

The table below summarizes research and development expense by major project and non-project components (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Percentage Change
	2013	2012	
Project and non-project:			
Cardiopulmonary	\$ 116,137	\$ 122,350	(5.1)%
Share-based compensation (benefit) expense	134,706	11,237	1,098.8%
Other	48,505	39,800	21.9%
Total research and development expense	\$ 299,348	\$ 173,387	72.6%

Cardiopulmonary. The decrease in cardiopulmonary program expenses of \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, resulted from a \$6.1 million decrease in expenses relating to the development of once-daily injectable prostacyclin analogues.

Share-based compensation. The increase in share-based compensation of \$123.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, resulted from the approximately 112 percent appreciation in the price of our common stock during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the approximately 13 percent appreciation in the price of our common stock price during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Other. The increase in other research and development expenses of \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was attributable to a \$5.1 million increase in expenditures for our development of ch14.18 and \$2.5 million in support expenses not allocated to specific projects.

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

The table below summarizes selling, general and administrative expense by major category (dollars in thousands):

Category:	Year Ended December 31,		Percentage Change
	2013	2012	
General and administrative	\$ 140,235	\$ 116,899	20.0%
Sales and marketing	73,871	67,220	9.9%
Share-based compensation (benefit) expense	179,904	17,627	920.6%
Total selling, general and administrative expense	\$ 394,010	\$ 201,746	95.3%

General and administrative. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$23.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was driven by the following: (1) a \$9.2 million increase in grants to non-affiliated, non-profit organizations that provide financial assistance to patients with PAH; (2) \$6.9 million and \$5.8 million increases in operating expenses and salaries and other compensation-related expenses, respectively, associated with the general expansion of our business, including headcount; and (3) a \$6.3 million increase in consulting and professional fees related to ongoing legal matters. These increases were offset in part by a one-time \$6.8 million impairment charge on an acquired contract-based intangible asset we recognized during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Sales and marketing. The increase in sales and marketing expenses of \$6.7 million reflects the following increases: (1) a \$4.2 million increase in marketing activities; and (2) \$2.4 million in salaries and other compensation-related expenses as we expanded our sales personnel during 2013.

Share-based compensation. The increase in share-based compensation of \$162.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, corresponded to the approximately 112 percent appreciation in the price of our common stock during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the approximately 13 percent appreciation in our stock price during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Other (expense) Income Other, net

Other, net income was \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to other, net income of \$35.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The \$31.2 million decrease was the result of the recognition of an approximately \$31.0 million gain from insurance proceeds received during the year ended December 31, 2012, for which there was no corresponding transaction during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Income Tax Expense

The provision for income taxes was \$104.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$136.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the effective tax rates were approximately 37 percent and 31 percent, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2013, resulted from certain non-deductible executive compensation expenses, driven primarily by the increase in our STAP liability as a result of the appreciation in our stock price. For complete details refer to Note 13 *Income Taxes* to the consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report on 10-K.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have funded our operations principally through sales of our commercial products and, from time-to-time, third-party financing arrangements. We believe that our current liquidity is sufficient to fund ongoing operations and future business plans as we expect continued growth in demand for our commercial products. Furthermore, our customer base remains stable and we believe presents minimal credit risk. However, any projections of future cash flows are inherently subject to uncertainty and we may seek other forms of financing.

Cash Flows and Working Capital

2014 Compared to 2013

Operating

Net cash provided by operating activities declined by \$70.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 to \$355.3 million, compared to net cash provided by operating activities of

Edgar Filing: UNITED THERAPEUTICS Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

\$425.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The significant components of the decline in net cash provided by operating activities were (amounts in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,		Dollar change	Explanation
	2014	2013		
Significant Components:				
Net income	\$ 340.1	\$ 174.6	\$ 165.5	Due to a 15.4 percent increase in revenues and a decrease in share-based compensation expense during 2014 as compared to 2013(1)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Current and deferred tax expense	185.1	104.3	\$ 80.8	Primarily due to the increase in taxable income
Share-based compensation expense	190.1	320.8	\$ (130.7)	Due to a smaller increase in the price of our common stock during 2014 as compared to 2013(1)
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	(30.8)	(9.3)	\$ (21.5)	As a result of a 69 percent increase in the number of stock options exercised during 2014 as compared to 2013, coupled with a higher average stock price during 2014 than during 2013
Accounts receivable	(35.7)	(10.0)	\$ (25.7)	Due to a 22 percent increase in sales during the fourth quarter of 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(6.8)	7.5	\$ (14.3)	Primarily the result of lower accrued royalty expense at December 31, 2014 as compared to December 31, 2013 as a result of the cessation of our royalty obligation to Glaxo in October 2014
Other liabilities	(315.5)	(196.6)	(118.9)	Primarily due to an \$88.2 million increase in STAP exercises and a \$35.5 million increase in cash tax payments made during 2014 as compared to 2013, as a result of a higher average stock price during 2014 as compared to 2013 and an increase in taxable income, respectively
Total	\$ 326.5	\$ 391.3	\$ (64.8)	

(1) The price of our common stock increased 15 percent during 2014 compared to a 120 percent increase in 2013.

Table of Contents

Investing

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$338.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$295.0 million used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013. The \$633.4 million increase in net cash provided by investing activities reflects \$430.9 million of cash provided from the net maturities of held-to-maturity investments during the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$232.3 million in net purchases of held-to-maturity investments during the same period in 2013. Due to the funding requirements in 2014 for our ongoing share repurchase programs and the conversions of our Convertible Notes, we have not been reinvesting the proceeds from our maturing investments. This increase in cash from maturing investments was partially offset by a \$15.5 million increase in capital expenditures relating primarily to the completion of facilities used in our lung transplantation programs.

Financing

Net cash used in financing activities was \$576.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The \$571.4 million increase reflects an increase of \$440.6 million in repurchases of our common stock and an increase of \$176.5 million in principal payments of debt, offset by a \$45.1 million increase in proceeds and tax benefits from the exercise of stock options during the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

2013 Compared to 2012

Operating

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$425.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$323.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in net operating cash flows of \$101.6 million was driven by a \$290.7 million increase in share-based compensation primarily as a result of the 112 percent increase of our stock price during the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase in non-cash expense was partially offset by decreases of \$129.9 million in net income and a \$50.9 million decrease in other liabilities, consisting primarily of \$40.6 million and \$24.1 million increases in cash paid relating to income taxes and STAP award exercises, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 2012.

Investing

Net cash used in investing activities was \$295.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$163.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase of \$131.6 million in cash used in investing activities reflects an increase in cash used to purchase \$180.8 million of held-to-maturity investments, net of maturities and \$30.8 million used to purchase investments in privately-held investments. These increases in cash used for investing were offset by a \$72.3 million decrease in construction related expenditures in 2013 as compared to 2012, as we had completed our major construction projects in Silver Spring, Maryland and Research Triangle Park, North Carolina in early 2012. Our ability to invest an additional \$180.8 million in to held-to-maturity investments was also due in part to the \$145.6 million reduction in repurchases of our common stock during 2013 as compared to 2012.

Financing

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$5.1 million, compared to \$169.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The \$164.0 million decrease in cash used in financing activities comprised in large part the following: (1) a \$145.6 million decrease in repurchases of our common stock; (2) a \$16.1 million increase in stock-option exercises and related tax

Table of Contents

benefits; and (3) \$2.7 million in proceeds related to our employee stock purchase plan during 2013, compared to none in 2012. The increase in stock option exercises and related tax benefits and the decrease in repurchases of our common stock were all attributable to the 112 percent appreciation in the price of our common stock during 2013.

Working Capital

At December 31, 2014, we had working capital of \$469.9 million, compared to \$226.7 million at December 31, 2013. The increase in working capital at December 31, 2014 of \$243.2 million resulted from (1) the repayment of the \$66.5 million upon maturity of an outstanding mortgage loan; (2) \$111.3 million principal payments for early conversions of our 1.0 percent Convertible Senior Notes due September 15, 2016; and (3) a \$36.0 million increase in accounts receivable corresponding to a 20 percent increase in revenues when comparing sales for the quarter ended December 31, 2014 to the same quarter in 2013.

In addition, at December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$122.7 million of long-term marketable securities that could be liquidated or used to collateralize borrowings against our line of credit facility, if necessary, to fund our operations.

Line of Credit

In September 2013, we entered into a one-year Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) providing for a \$75.0 million revolving loan facility, which may be increased by up to an additional \$75.0 million provided certain conditions are met (the 2013 Credit Agreement). In July 2014, we amended the Credit Agreement solely to extend its maturity to September 30, 2015. We use this facility for general corporate purposes. At our option, amounts borrowed under the 2013 Credit Agreement bear interest at either the one-month LIBOR rate plus a 0.50 percent margin, or a fluctuating base rate excluding any margin. In addition, we are subject to a monthly commitment fee at a rate of 0.06 percent per annum based on the average daily unused balance of the facility. Amounts borrowed under the 2013 Credit Agreement are secured by certain of our marketable investments. As of December 31, 2014, we had no outstanding balance on the line of credit.

Convertible Senior Notes

In October 2011, we issued the Convertible Notes with an aggregate principal value of \$250.0 million. The Convertible Notes are unsecured, unsubordinated debt obligations that rank equally with all of our other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. We pay interest at 1.0 percent per annum semi-annually on March 15 and September 15 of each year. The initial conversion price is \$47.69 per share. As of December 31, 2014, the outstanding principal balance of our Convertible Notes was \$138.8 million.

Conversion can occur: (1) any time after June 15, 2016; (2) during any calendar quarter that follows a calendar quarter in which the price of our common stock exceeds 130 percent of the conversion price for at least 20 days during the 30 consecutive trading-day period ending on the last trading day of the quarter; (3) during the ten consecutive trading-day period following any five consecutive trading-day period in which the trading price of the Convertible Notes is less than 95 percent of the closing price of our common stock multiplied by the then-current number of shares underlying the Convertible Notes; (4) upon specified distributions to our shareholders; (5) in connection with certain corporate transactions; or (6) in the event that our common stock ceases to be listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, the NASDAQ Global Market or the New York Stock Exchange, or any of their respective successors.

The closing price of our common stock exceeded 130 percent of the conversion price of the Convertible Notes for more than 20 trading days during the 30 consecutive trading day period ended

Table of Contents

December 31, 2014. Consequently, the Convertible Notes are convertible at the election of their holders. As this conversion right is not within our control, the Convertible Notes have been classified as a current liability on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2014. We are required to calculate this contingent conversion criteria at the end of each quarterly reporting period. Therefore, the convertibility and classification of our Convertible Notes may change depending on the price of our common stock.

Upon conversion, holders of our Convertible Notes are entitled to receive: (1) cash equal to the lesser of the principal amount of the notes or the conversion value (the number of shares underlying the Convertible Notes multiplied by the then-current conversion price per share); and (2) to the extent the conversion value exceeds the principal amount of the notes, shares of our common stock. In the event of a change in control, as defined in the indenture under which the Convertible Notes have been issued, holders can require us to purchase all or a portion of their Convertible Notes for 100 percent of the principal amount plus any accrued and unpaid interest. We currently have sufficient cash and cash equivalents and borrowing capacity to fund any conversions.

During the period from January 1, 2015 through February 11, 2015, we settled conversion requests representing \$14.0 million in principal value of the Convertible Notes. We paid out \$14.0 million for the principal value of the notes and issued 193,000 shares of our common stock during the settlement of these conversions. We also received 193,000 shares from our convertible note hedge with Deutsche Bank AG London at the settlement dates. As of February 11, 2015, there are 2.6 million underlying shares representing the aggregate consideration upon future conversions of our Convertible Notes.

Mortgage Financing

In December 2010, we entered into a Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo and Bank of America, N.A., pursuant to which we obtained a \$70.0 million mortgage loan (the 2010 Credit Agreement). The 2010 Credit Agreement matured in December 2014 and we repaid in full the outstanding \$66.5 million principal balance.

Share Tracking Award Plans

Awards granted under our STAP entitle participants to receive in cash the appreciation in our common stock, which is calculated as the increase in the closing price of our common stock between the date of grant and the date of exercise. Depending on the future price movements of our common stock, cash requirements associated with the exercise of awards could be significant. At December 31, 2014, the fair value of STAP awards that could potentially be exercised during 2015 was \$205.1 million. We review the potential future cash requirements of the STAP program annually. Based on our review, we can modify our operating budgets, the metrics used in determining the number of awards to be granted, or both. We currently have sufficient cash and cash equivalents and borrowing capacity to fund any STAP awards which could be exercised during 2015 and beyond. In addition, in January 2014 our Board of Directors approved a 3.0 million increase in the number of available STAP awards to accommodate anticipated future grants of STAP awards under our long-term incentive bonus and compensation programs through 2015.

Share Repurchases

From time to time, our Board of Directors may authorize plans to repurchase shares of our common stock. In June 2014, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$500.0 million of our common stock. This program became effective on August 1, 2014, and will remain open for up to one year. From the effective date of the program through December 31, 2014, we acquired approximately 887,100 shares of our common stock at an aggregate cost of \$105.5 million under this program.

Table of Contents

We currently have sufficient cash and cash equivalents, borrowing capacity and, if needed, marketable investments, to fund repurchases of our common stock under this program.

Toray License Obligations

Pursuant to a March 2007 amendment to our license agreement for the development of beraprost, we issued 400,000 shares of our common stock to Toray. Toray has the right to request that we repurchase these shares at their issuance price of \$27.21 per share upon 30 days prior written notice. To date, Toray has not notified us that it intends to require us to repurchase these shares. As part of the July 2011 amendment to our license, we agreed to pay Toray \$50.0 million in equal, non-refundable payments over a five-year period ending in 2015 in exchange for a reduction in royalty rates. As of December 31, 2014, the undiscounted outstanding balance of this obligation was \$10.0 million.

Obligations Under License and Assignment Agreements

We pay Lilly a five percent royalty on net sales of Adcirca and we pay Supernus Pharmaceuticals Inc. a single-digit percentage royalty based on net sales of Orenitram. We have entered into other license rights arrangements under which we are required to make milestone payments upon the achievement of certain developmental and commercialization objectives and royalty payments upon the commercialization of related licensed technology.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements within the meaning of Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K.

Contractual Obligations

At December 31, 2014, we had the following contractual obligations (in thousands):

	Total	Payments Due by Period			More than 5 Years
		Less than 1 year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	
Convertible Notes(1)	\$ 138,750	\$ 13,975	\$ 124,775	\$	\$
Mortgage and other loans	3,811	102	3,627	82	
Operating lease obligations	13,985	3,839	6,680	3,338	128
Obligations under the STAP(2)	485,371	282,864	103,955	98,552	
Obligations under the SERP(3)	61,910	20,875		4,430	36,605
Purchase commitments	14,500	14,500			
Milestone payments under license and acquisition agreements(4)	29,165	3,171	3,158	15,059	7,777
Total(5)	\$ 747,492	\$ 339,326	\$ 242,195	\$ 121,461	\$ 44,510

(1) Assumes no early conversions other than those settled or pending as of February 11, 2015 and that the price of our common stock will exceed the conversion value so that the full principal balance of our Convertible Notes is paid at their contractual maturity date.

(2) Estimated based on the intrinsic value of outstanding STAP awards expected to vest, assuming that awards will be exercised immediately upon vesting. Refer to Note 7 *Share Tracking Award Plans* to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details.

Table of Contents

- (3) Consists of actuarially derived, estimated future payouts of benefits. Refer to Note 14 *Employee Benefit Plans Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan* to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details.
- (4) Based on our estimates of the timing and probability of achieving milestones specified under our various license and acquisition agreements.
- (5) As of December 31, 2014, we had \$1.4 million in unrecognized tax benefits. The contractual obligations disclosed above exclude these amounts due to the uncertainty surrounding the amounts and timing of future payments.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP). GAAP requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and timing reported in our consolidated financial statements. As we become aware of updated information or new developments, these estimates and assumptions may change and materially impact reported amounts. We consider the following accounting policies to be critical to our consolidated financial statements because they require the use of our judgment and estimates (including those that are forward-looking) in their application.

Revenue Recognition

Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram

We market Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram to specialty pharmaceutical distributors under materially similar contractual arrangements. Sales of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram are recognized when title and risk of ownership pass to our distributors upon satisfactory delivery to our distributors' facilities i.e., when all of our performance obligations under these distributor arrangements have been satisfied. We record sales of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram net of: (1) estimated rebates; (2) prompt payment discounts; and (3) service fees we pay to distributors. Determining sales allowances involves the use of significant estimates and judgment and may involve the use of information from external sources.

We derive our provisions for rebates from an analysis of historical levels of rebates to both state Medicaid agencies and commercial third-party payers by product, relative to sales of each product. In addition, we determine our obligation for prescription drug discounts required for Medicare Part D Orenitram patients within the coverage gap based on estimations of the number of Medicare Part D Orenitram patients and the period that such patients will remain within the coverage gap. In formulating our estimates, we also consider the impact of anticipated changes in product prices, sales trends and changes to government rebate programs, particularly as they relate to eligibility requirements and/or rebate pricing. We analyze rebate data separately for Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram, as these therapies have different routes of administration to treat PAH patients at different stages in the disease continuum and therefore, rebate eligibility and pricing requirements can differ for each therapy.

We estimate prompt pay discounts based on observed payment behavior. Our distributors have routinely taken advantage of these discounts and we expect them to continue to do so.

We pay our distributors for contractual services rendered and accrue for related fees based on contractual rates applied to the estimated units of service provided by distributors for a given financial reporting period.

Our distributors do not have return rights; however, we provide exchange rights in the event that product is damaged during shipment or expires. Exchanges for damaged product are rare. In the event

Table of Contents

that Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram has been damaged during shipment and we have been promptly notified as required under our distributor arrangements, we do not recognize revenue on that shipment until damaged product has been satisfactorily replaced. Replacement generally occurs within several days after we are notified of the damage. The number of product exchanges due to expiration has been negligible because we sell Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram with expiration dates in excess of one year and our distributors typically carry a thirty- to sixty-day supply of related inventories. In addition, we do not require, nor do we provide incentives for our distributors to assume, inventory levels of Remodulin, Tyvaso or Orenitram beyond that which would be considered reasonable and customary in the ordinary course of business. In addition, we monitor inventory levels closely in the distribution channels.

The financial effects of exchange rights for Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram have been immaterial and we expect the future volume of exchanges to be consistent with historical levels. Specifically, exchanges for Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram have comprised significantly less than one percent of the volume of units sold. Since exchanges of Remodulin, Tyvaso and Orenitram have been, and are expected to be, insignificant, we do not recognize a reserve for estimated exchange rights in the period of sale. Lastly, we regularly monitor exchange data for both of these therapies to ensure that our assumptions continue to be reasonable, appropriate and current.

Adcirca

Adcirca is manufactured for us by Lilly and distributed through Lilly's pharmaceutical wholesaler network. Specifically, Lilly handles all of the administrative functions associated with the sale of Adcirca on our behalf, including the receipt and processing of customer purchase orders, shipment of Adcirca to customers and the invoicing and collection of customer payments. In addition, sales terms for Adcirca include return rights that extend throughout the distribution channel. We recognize sales of Adcirca on a gross basis (net of allowances) upon delivery to customers due to the following factors: (1) we are responsible for the acceptability of the product sold; (2) we bear all inventory risk, as title and risk of loss pass to us at the shipping point from Lilly's manufacturing facility; (3) we assume credit risk if Lilly is unable to collect amounts due from customers; (4) we bear the return of product risk; and (5) we assume the risk and cost of a product recall, if required.

We recognize sales of Adcirca net of: (1) estimated rebates; (2) prompt pay discounts; (3) allowances for product returns; and (4) wholesaler fees. We estimate our liability for rebates based on an analysis of historical levels of rebates to both Medicaid and commercial third-party payers and we consider the impact of sales trends, changes in government and commercial rebate programs and anticipated changes in Adcirca's pricing. In addition, we determine our obligation for prescription drug discounts required for Medicare Part D patients within the coverage gap based on estimations of the number of Medicare Part D patients and the period that such patients will remain within the coverage gap. We base our estimates for prompt pay discounts on observed customer payment behavior and expectations regarding the future utilization of such discounts. Prior to 2013, we derived estimates relating to our allowance for returns of Adcirca from published industry data specific to specialty pharmaceuticals and, beginning in 2013, from actual return data accumulated since launch. This change in the methodology for estimating returns of Adcirca resulted in a \$3.1 million reduction of our allowance for returns for the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2013. In addition, we quarterly compare patient prescription data for Adcirca to sales of Adcirca to ensure a reasonable relationship between prescription and sales trends. To date, we have not identified any unusual patterns in the volume of prescriptions relative to sales that would warrant reconsideration of, or adjustment to, the methodology we currently employ to estimate our allowance for returns. Lastly, wholesaler fees are based on contractual percentages of wholesalers' sales.

Table of Contents

Share-Based Compensation

Our share-based awards are classified as either equity (stock options and our employee stock purchase plan) or as liabilities (STAP awards). We recognize related share-based compensation expense based on the fair value of the options granted to purchase stock and on outstanding STAP awards. We estimate the fair value of all share-based awards using the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model. Valuation models, like the Black-Scholes-Merton model, require the use of subjective assumptions that could materially impact the estimation of fair value and related compensation expense to be recognized. These assumptions include, among others, the expected volatility of our stock price, the expected term of awards and the expected forfeiture rate. Developing these assumptions requires the use of judgment.

Marketable Investments

Substantially all of our marketable securities are classified as held-to-maturity. For marketable investments in which the fair value is lower than the carrying value, we periodically review these securities to determine whether the related impairments are other than temporary. This review requires us to make judgments, particularly as they relate to: (1) the extent and duration of a decline in the fair value of a security; (2) the probability, extent and timing of a recovery of a security's value; (3) our assessment as to whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a security prior to recovery of its amortized cost; and (4) our estimation of the present value of the cash flows we would expect to collect that are attributable to an impaired debt security to determine whether a credit loss exists. The scope of this evaluation requires forward-looking assessments pertaining to a security and the relevant financial markets, an issuer's financial condition and business outlook, and our estimation of the value of cash flows we would expect to collect from an issuer upon maturity of an impaired security. Accordingly, we must make assessments regarding current conditions and future events, which involve a considerable degree of uncertainty and judgment. When we determine that the decline in value of a security is other than temporary, we are required to recognize the credit loss portion as an impairment charge to our consolidated statement of operations.

In addition, we classify substantially all of our marketable investments as held-to-maturity because we believe we have the positive intent and ability to hold related securities until they mature. This assertion requires us to make forward-looking judgments regarding our future cash flow requirements relative to the maturity dates of such securities.

Fair Value Measurements

We are required to disclose assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements within a specified fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to fair value measurements based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to fair value measurements derived through the use of unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Assets and liabilities are classified within the fair value hierarchy, in their entirety, based on the lowest level input that is significant to the related fair value measurement. Determining where a particular asset or liability should be disclosed within the hierarchy involves judgment regarding the significance of inputs relative to a fair value measurement and where such inputs lie within the hierarchy. Furthermore, assets and liabilities that are not actively traded may have little or no price transparency. As such, estimating the fair value of Level 3 assets and liabilities involves the use of significant subjective assumptions that we believe market participants would consider in pricing. We often employ a discounted cash flow model to help us estimate the fair value of our Level 3 assets and liabilities. Inputs to the model that involve a significant degree of judgment include estimating the amounts and timing of expected cash flows and determining a suitable discount rate.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for in accordance with the asset and liability method. Accordingly, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates that are expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in our opinion, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Evaluating whether deferred assets will be realized requires us to review forecasts of earnings and taxable income, among other considerations. Accordingly, the evaluation of deferred tax assets requires us to make significant judgments and forward-looking assessments regarding the amounts and availability of future taxable income.

Financial statement recognition of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return is determined based on a more likely than not threshold of that position being sustained. If the tax position meets this threshold, the benefit to be recognized is measured as the largest amount that is more than 50 percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. Accounting for uncertain tax positions involves considerable judgment in assessing the future tax consequences of amounts that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. The ultimate resolution of uncertain tax positions could result in amounts different from those recognized in our consolidated financial statements.

Intangible Assets and Goodwill

In connection with transactions that we account for as business combinations, we typically recognize intangible assets, based on their acquisition-date fair value, and goodwill, representing the excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred, over the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Measuring the acquisition-date fair value of intangible assets involves the use of significant judgment and estimates with respect to determining, among other inputs: (1) the timing and amounts of cash flows and operating profits for potential product candidates; (2) the timing and probability of regulatory approvals for product candidates under development; (3) the useful lives of potential product candidates; and (4) appropriate discount rates.

We are required to test goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently if impairment indicators exist. Evaluating goodwill for impairment requires judgment, particularly as it relates to determining the fair value of a reporting unit to which goodwill has been assigned. When required, we often use a discounted cash flow model to test goodwill for impairment, which involves the use of significant and subjective inputs. Inputs requiring our judgment include, among others, the estimation of the amounts and timing of future cash flows, future growth rates and profitability of a reporting unit. Changes in our business strategy or adverse changes in market conditions could impact impairment analyses and require the recognition of an impairment charge equal to the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over its implied fair value.

We test our finite-lived intangible assets for impairment when conditions suggest that their carrying values may not be recoverable. Evaluating intangible assets for impairment requires judgment, particularly when determining amounts of undiscounted cash flows used in assessing recoverability and measuring the fair value of such assets, if necessary. These projections require forward-looking assumptions that may include, among others, estimates of future growth, discount rates and future business or industry conditions. Changes in our business strategy or adverse changes in market conditions could indicate one or more finite-lived intangible assets have been impaired. Therefore, we would be initially required to test such assets for recoverability. If determined unrecoverable, we would

Table of Contents

recognize an impairment charge equal to the extent the carrying value of such assets exceed their fair value.

Pension Benefit Obligation

Accounting for our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) requires that we recognize in our consolidated balance sheet a liability equal to the unfunded status of the SERP (the total estimated projected benefit obligation, as we do not fund the SERP) and measure our projected benefit obligation as of the end of our fiscal year. Estimating the SERP obligation involves the use of judgment and estimates. The SERP obligation and related pension expense are derived from actuarial valuations that are developed using a number of assumptions. A key assumption underlying the valuation is the discount rate. The discount rate should be representative of the rate associated with high-quality, fixed-income debt securities. We must consider prevailing economic conditions and outlook, the state of the credit markets and other economic factors when determining an appropriate discount rate to employ. Changes in the discount rate can significantly increase or decrease our SERP obligation. For instance, a reduction in the discount rate would increase our projected benefit obligation and result in an actuarial loss. Consequently, we could be required to recognize additional pension expense in our consolidated statements of operations related to the actuarial loss in future periods if certain thresholds are met. Other actuarial assumptions include participant demographics such as the expected date of retirement, rate of salary increases and withdrawal rates, among other factors. Not only can actual experience differ from actuarial assumptions, but changes in any of these assumptions can also materially affect the measurement of the SERP obligation.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09 (ASU 2014-09), *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. ASU 2014-09 will eliminate transaction-specific and industry-specific revenue recognition guidance under current GAAP and replace it with a principle-based approach for determining revenue recognition. ASU 2014-09 will require that companies recognize revenue based on the value of transferred goods or services as they occur in the contract. ASU 2014-09 also will require additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is not permitted. Entities can transition to the standard either retrospectively or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. Presently, we are assessing what effect the adoption of ASU 2014-09 will have on our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As of December 31, 2014, we have invested \$420.5 million in corporate-debt securities and federally-sponsored agencies. The market value of these investments varies inversely with changes in prevailing market interest rates. In general, as interest rates increase, the market value of a debt investment would be expected to decrease. Conversely, as interest rates decrease, the market value of a debt investment would be expected to increase. To date, we have not experienced significant volatility in the value of these investments. However, to address market risk, we invest in debt securities with terms no longer than three years and hold these investments to maturity so that they can be redeemed at their stated or face value. At December 31, 2014, our investments in debt securities issued by corporations and federally-sponsored agencies had a weighted average stated interest rate of approximately 0.54 percent and a weighted average maturity of 1.0 years. Many of our investments may be called by their respective issuers prior to maturity.

Table of Contents

During sustained periods of instability and uncertainty in the financial markets, we may be subjected to additional investment-related risks that could materially affect the value and liquidity of our investments. In light of these risks, we actively monitor market conditions and developments specific to the securities and security classes in which we invest. In addition, we believe that we maintain a conservative investment approach in that we invest exclusively in unstructured, highly-rated securities with relatively short maturities that we believe reduce our exposure to undue risks. While we believe we take prudent measures to mitigate investment related risks, such risks cannot be fully eliminated, as circumstances can occur that are beyond our control.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

**UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-8</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-9</u>

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
United Therapeutics Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of United Therapeutics Corporation as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of United Therapeutics Corporation at December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), United Therapeutics Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 24, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia
February 24, 2015

Table of Contents

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on
Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
United Therapeutics Corporation

We have audited United Therapeutics Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). United Therapeutics Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying *Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion United Therapeutics Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of United Therapeutics Corporation as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 and our report dated February 24, 2015, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia
February 24, 2015

Table of Contents

UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 397,697	\$ 284,258
Marketable investments	297,842	409,645
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of none for 2014 and 2013	162,287	126,297
Inventories, net	66,927	47,758
Other current assets	49,444	46,424
Total current assets	974,197	914,382
Marketable investments	122,787	448,134
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	29,465	14,115
Property, plant, and equipment, net	478,421	464,950
Deferred tax assets, net	181,721	192,718
Other assets	97,819	53,268
Total assets	\$ 1,884,410	\$ 2,087,567
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 85,382	\$ 92,244
Convertible notes	126,414	215,845
Share tracking awards plan	282,101	287,956
Line of credit and mortgages payable - current	67	66,614
Other current liabilities	10,346	25,015
Total current liabilities	504,310	687,674
Other liabilities	114,526	95,582
Total liabilities	618,836	783,256
Commitments and contingencies:		
Temporary equity	23,218	45,037
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$.01, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued		
Series A junior participating preferred stock, par value \$.01, 100,000 shares authorized, no shares issued		
Common stock, par value \$.01, 245,000,000 shares authorized, 65,988,561 and 63,013,192 shares issued, and 47,107,709 and 50,388,140 shares outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively	660	630
Additional paid-in capital	1,376,141	1,057,224
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(16,734)	(13,183)
Treasury stock, 18,880,852 and 12,625,052 shares at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively	(1,185,825)	(513,437)
Retained earnings	1,068,114	728,040
Total stockholders' equity	1,242,356	1,259,274
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,884,410	\$ 2,087,567

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(In thousands, except per share data)**

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Revenues:			
Net product sales	\$ 1,279,533	\$ 1,106,944	\$ 906,123
Other	8,986	10,040	9,953
Total revenues	1,288,519	1,116,984	916,076
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	242,549	299,348	173,387
Selling, general and administrative	381,287	394,010	201,746
Cost of product sales	125,883	131,127	119,297
Total operating expenses	749,719	824,485	494,430
Operating income	538,800	292,499	421,646
Other (expense) income:			
Interest expense	(17,592)	(18,058)	(16,639)
Other, net	3,972	4,462	35,664
Total other (expense) income, net	(13,620)	(13,596)	19,025
Income before income taxes	525,180	278,903	440,671
Income tax expense	(185,106)	(104,343)	(136,229)
Net income	\$ 340,074	\$ 174,560	\$ 304,442
Net income per common share:			
Basic	\$ 7.06	\$ 3.49	\$ 5.84
Diluted	\$ 6.28	\$ 3.28	\$ 5.71
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:			
Basic	48,176	50,076	52,093
Diluted	54,155	53,231	53,280

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents

UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net income	\$ 340,074	\$ 174,560	\$ 304,442
Other comprehensive (loss) income:			
Foreign currency translation (loss) gain	(4,789)	(1,193)	691
Defined benefit pension plan:			
Prior service cost arising during period, net of tax	(2,415)		
Actuarial gain (loss) arising during period, net of tax	2,999	2,075	(5,352)
Less: amortization of actuarial gain and prior service cost included in net periodic pension cost, net of tax	904	1,020	522
Defined benefit pension plan, net	1,488	3,095	(4,830)
Unrealized (loss) gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	(250)	(128)	67
Other comprehensive (loss) gain, net of tax	(3,551)	1,774	(4,072)
Comprehensive income	\$ 336,523	\$ 176,334	\$ 300,370

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

(In thousands, except share data)

	Common Stock	Paid-in	Additional	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)
--	--------------	---------	------------	--------------------------------------------------------