HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP Form 10-Q August 07, 2013

Use these links to rapidly review the document TABLE OF CONTENTS

**Table of Contents** 

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

(MARK ONE)

ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission file number 000-23877

# **Heritage Commerce Corp**

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

California

77-0469558

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

150 Almaden Boulevard, San Jose, California

95113

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(408) 947-6900

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

N/A

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES ý NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES ý NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer ý Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO ý

The Registrant had 26,338,521 shares of Common Stock outstanding on July 30, 2013.

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Cautionar</u>	y Note on Forward-Looking Statements	<b>Page No.</b> <u>3</u>
Part I. FI	NANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	<u>5</u>
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>5</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Income	<u>6</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)	<u>7</u>
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	<u>8</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	2
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>10</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>40</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>75</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>75</u>
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>77</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>77</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>77</u>
Item 3	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	

			<u>77</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures		77.
Item 5.	Other Information		<u>77.</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>		<u>78</u>
<u>SIGNATUI</u>	RES		<u>79</u>
<u>EXHIBIT I</u>	INDEX	2	<u>80</u>

#### Table of Contents

#### **Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

This Report on Form 10-Q contains various statements that may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are intended to be covered by the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any statements about our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance are not historical facts and may be forward-looking. These forward-looking statements often can be, but are not always, identified by the use of words such as "assume," "expect," "intend," "plan," "project," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "anticipate," "may," "might," "should," "could," "goal," "potential" and similar expressions. We base these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events, our assumptions regarding these events and our knowledge of facts at the time the statements are made. These statements include statements relating to our projected growth, anticipated future financial performance, and management's long-term performance goals, as well as statements relating to the anticipated effects on results of operations and financial condition.

These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties that may be outside our control and our actual results could differ materially from our projected results. In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results. The forward-looking statements could be affected by many factors, including but not limited to:

Competition for loans and deposits and failure to attract or retain deposits and loans;

Local, regional, and national economic conditions and events and the impact they may have on us and our customers, and our assessment of that impact on our estimates including, the allowance for loan losses;

Risks associated with concentrations in real estate related loans;

Changes in the level of nonperforming assets and charge-offs and other credit quality measures, and their impact on the adequacy of the Company's allowance for loan losses and the Company's provision for loan losses;

The effects of and changes in trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve Board;

Stability of funding sources and continued availability of borrowings;

Our ability to raise capital or incur debt on reasonable terms;

Regulatory limits on Heritage Bank of Commerce's ability to pay dividends to the Company;

Continued volatility in credit and equity markets and its effect on the global economy;

The impact of reputational risk on such matters as business generation and retention, funding and liquidity;

Oversupply of inventory and continued deterioration in values of California commercial real estate;

A prolonged slowdown in construction activity;

The effect of changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities, and executive compensation) which we must comply, including but not limited to, the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010;

The effects of security breaches and computer viruses that may affect our computer systems;

Changes in consumer spending, borrowings and saving habits;

#### Table of Contents

Changes in the competitive environment among financial or bank holding companies and other financial service providers;

The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters;

The costs and effects of legal and regulatory developments, including resolution of legal proceedings or regulatory or other governmental inquiries, and the results of regulatory examinations or reviews;

The ability to increase market share and control expenses; and

Our success in managing the risks involved in the foregoing items.

We are not able to predict all the factors that may affect future results. You should not place undue reliance on any forward looking statement, which speaks only as of the date of this Report on Form 10-Q. Except as required by applicable laws or regulations, we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

# Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# ITEM 1 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)**

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 33,890	\$ 16,520
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	51,872	357,045
Total cash and cash equivalents	85,762	373,565
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value	293,778	367,912
Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value of \$72,772 at June 30, 2013 and \$50,964 at		
December 31, 2012)	81,731	51,472
Loans held-for-sale SBA, at lower of cost or fair value, including deferred costs	6,321	3,409
Loans, net of deferred fees	841,950	812,313
Allowance for loan losses	(19,342)	(19,027)
Loans, net	822,608	793,286
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost	10,871	10,728
Company owned life insurance	49,184	48,358
Premises and equipment, net	7,541	7,469
Intangible assets	1,763	2,000
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	39,947	35,113
Total assets	\$ 1,399,506	\$ 1,693,312
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities:		
Deposits:		
Demand, noninterest-bearing	\$ 407,516	\$ 727,684
Demand, interest-bearing	171,027	155,951
Savings and money market	295,336	272,047
Time deposits under \$100	23,062	25,157
Time deposits \$100 and over	197,718	190,502
Time deposits brokered	76,800	97,807
CDARS money market and time deposits	17,580	10,220
•		
Total deposits	1,189,039	1,479,368
Subordinated debt	9,279	9,279
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	33,568	34,924
1. 1001.400 initiotos payable and other machines	22,200	0 1,5 2 1
Total liabilities	1,231,886	1,523,571
Shareholders' equity:	1,231,000	1,525,571
Preferred stock, no par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized		
Series C convertible perpetual preferred stock, 21,004 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2013		
and December 31, 2012 (liquidation preference of \$21,004 at June 30, 2013 and December 31,		
2012)	19,519	19,519
Common stock, no par value; 60,000,000 shares authorized; 26,338,521 shares issued and outstanding	19,519	19,519
at June 30, 2013 and 26,322,147 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012	132,097	131,820
Retained earnings	20,694	15,721
rounce carnings	20,094	13,721

Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(4,690)	2,681
Total shareholders' equity	167,620	169,741
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,399,506	\$ 1,693,312
See notes to consolidated financial statements		
5		

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

	Three Mont June		Six Montl June				
	2013	2012	2013	2012			
	(Dollars	in thousands, e	except per share data)				
Interest income:	(=		P - P	,			
Loans, including fees	\$ 10,051	\$ 10,292	\$ 20,140	\$ 20,608			
Securities, taxable	2,399	2,975	4,860	6,072			
Securities, non-taxable	358	2,773	606	0,072			
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	30	29	99	65			
morest couring deposits in outer immedia institutions				00			
Total interest income	12,838	13,296	25,705	26,745			
Interest expense:							
Deposits	595	738	1,220	1,454			
Subordinated debt	90	472	178	946			
Short-term borrowings		2	1	2			
Total interest expense	685	1,212	1,399	2,402			
Not interest in some hefere mayician for lean lesses	12,153	12.094	24.206	24.242			
Net interest income before provision for loan losses Provision (credit) for loan losses	(270)	12,084 815	24,306 (270)	24,343 915			
Provision (credit) for foan losses	(270)	813	(270)	913			
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	12,423	11,269	24,576	23,428			
Noninterest income:							
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	618	601	1,195	1,191			
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	410	429	826	858			
Servicing income	385	447	750	907			
Gain on sales of SBA loans	134	376	270	412			
Gain on sales of securities	7	32	38	59			
Other	361	205	499	386			
Total noninterest income	1,915	2,090	3,578	3,813			
Noninterest expense:							
Salaries and employee benefits	5,864	5,377	11,875	11,044			
Occupancy and equipment	1,028	967	2,096	1,963			
Professional fees	400	470	1,382	1,681			
Data processing	327	247	579	492			
Low income housing investment losses	300	262	611	531			
Software subscriptions	294	313	585	603			
Insurance expense	253	224	508	447			
FDIC deposit insurance premiums	207	202	466	427			
Correspondent bank charges	179	155	343	299			
Subordinated debt redemption charges	167		167				
Foreclosed assets, net	(96)	105	(251)	220			
Other	1,466	1,132	2,809	2,603			
Total noninterest expense	10,389	9,454	21,170	20,310			
Income before income taxes	3,949	3,905	6,984	6,931			
Income tax expense	1,156	1,226	2,011	2,177			

Net income	2,793	2,679	4,973	4,754
Dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock				(1,206)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 2,793	\$ 2,679	\$ 4,973	\$ 3,548
Earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.11
Diluted	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.11

See notes to consolidated financial statements

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,					For the Six Ended Ju		
	2013 2012			2012		2013		2012
			(1	isands)				
Net income	\$	2,793	\$	2,679	\$	4,973	\$	4,754
Other comprehensive income (loss):								
Change in net unrealized holding gains (loss) on available-for-sale securities and I/O strips		(10,544)		3,398		(12,708)		3,769
Deferred income taxes		4,428		(1,427)		5,337		(1,583)
Change in net unamortized unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale that were		7,720		(1,427)		3,337		(1,303)
reclassified to securities held-to-maturity		(14)				(28)		
Deferred income taxes		6				12		
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) realized in income		(7)		(32)		(38)		(59)
Deferred income taxes		3		13		16		25
Change in unrealized gains (loss) on securities and I/O strips, net of deferred income								
taxes		(6,128)		1,952		(7,409)		2,152
Change in net pension and other benefit plan liability adjustment		36		41		65		97
Deferred income taxes		(15)		(17)		(27)		(41)
Change in pension and other benefit plan liability, net of deferred income taxes		21		24		38		56
Other comprehensive income (loss)		(6,107)		1,976		(7,371)		2,208
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$	(3,314)	\$	4,655	\$	(2,398)	\$	6,962

See notes to consolidated financial statements

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Unaudited)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

											Aco	cumulated	
												Other	m . 1
	Pre	eferred	Stoc	ck		Common Stock			ъ	etained		prehensiv ncome /	Total reholders'
	Shares	Amou	ınt	Dis	count				arnings		(Loss)	renolaers Equity	
		(Dollars in thousands, except share data)											
Balance, January 1, 2012	61,004	\$ 59.	365	\$	(833)	26,295,001	_ ′				\$	955	\$ 197,831
Net income	•							,		4,754			4,754
Other comprehensive income												2,208	2,208
Repurchase of Series A preferred stock	(40,000)	(40,	000)									·	(40,000)
Series A preferred stock capitalized													
offering costs			154							(154)			
Issuance (forfeitures) of restricted stock													
awards, net						(4,000)	)						
Reversal of restricted stock awards due													
to forfeitures								39					39
Cash dividends accrued on Series A													
preferred stock										(373)			(373)
Accretion of discount on Series A													
preferred stock					833					(833)			
Stock option expense, net of fortfeitures													
and taxes								223					223
Stock options exercised						2,276		9					9
Balance, June 30, 2012	21,004	\$ 19,	519	\$		26,293,277	\$	131,443	\$	10,566	\$	3,163	\$ 164,691
Balance, January 1, 2013	21,004	\$ 19.	519	\$		26,322,147	\$	131,820	\$	15,721	\$	2,681	\$ 169,741
Net income	•							,		4,973			4,973
Other comprehensive loss												(7,371)	(7,371)
Issuance of restricted stock awards						10,000							
Repurchase of warrant								(140)					(140)
Amortization of restricted stock awards,													
net of forfeitures and taxes								116					116
Stock option expense, net of forfeitures													
and taxes								275					275
Stock options exercised						6,374		26					26
Balance, June 30, 2013	21,004	\$ 19,	519	\$		26,338,521	\$	132,097	\$	20,694	\$	(4,690)	\$ 167,620

See notes to consolidated financial statements

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Six Mont June		
	2013		2012
	(Dollars in	thoi	isands)
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	(2011115111		.surus)
Net income	\$ 4,973	\$	4,754
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	,		
Amortization of discounts and premiums on securities	1,384		1,071
Gain on sales of securities available-for-sale	(38)		(59)
Gain on sales of SBA loans	(270)		(412)
Proceeds from sale of SBA loans originated for sale	3,576		5,785
Net change in SBA loans originated for sale	(6,238)		(7,334)
Provision (credit) for loan losses	(270)		915
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(826)		(858)
Depreciation and amortization	356		385
Amortization of intangible assets	237		245
Gains on sale of foreclosed assets, net	(231)		(84)
Stock option expense, net	275		223
Amortization of restricted stock awards, net	116		39
Effect of changes in:	(105)		2.201
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	(135)		2,381
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	668		283
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,577		7,334
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchase of securities available-for-sale	(8,334)		(49,688)
Purchase of securities held-to-maturity	(34,681)		(42,000)
Maturities/paydowns/calls of securities available-for-sale	41,588		38,472
Maturities/paydowns/calls of securities held-to-maturity	2,373		30,472
Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale	26,944		2,280
Net change in loans	(29,065)		(37,064)
Change in Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock	(143)		(972)
Purchase of premises and equipment	(428)		(145)
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	809		341
Proceeds from sale of other loans transferred to held-for-sale			220
Purchases of company owned life insurance			(250)
Net cash used in investing activities	(937)		(46,806)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Net change in deposits	(290,329)		53,325
Repurchase of warrant	(140)		
Repayment of preferred stock			(40,000)
Payment of cash dividends preferred stock			(373)
Exercise of stock options	26		9
Net cash used in provided by financing activities	(290,443)		12,961
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(287,803)		(26,511)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	373,565		72,872
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 85,762	\$	46,361
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Interest paid	\$ 1,442	\$	2,418
Income taxes paid	2,235		1,230

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activity:

Due to broker for securities purchased	\$ 1,538	\$ 3,330
Due from broker for securities sold		(378)
Loans transferred to foreclosed assets	33	1,973
Transfer of loans held-for-sale to loan portfolio	20	

See notes to consolidated financial statements

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 1) Basis of Presentation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of Heritage Commerce Corp (the "Company" or "HCC") and its wholly owned subsidiary, Heritage Bank of Commerce (the "Bank" or "HBC"), have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations for reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for annual financial statements are not included herein. The interim statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes that were included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The Company has also established the following unconsolidated subsidiary grantor trusts: Heritage Capital Trust I; Heritage Statutory Trust II; and Heritage Commerce Corp Statutory Trust III, which are Delaware Statutory business trusts formed for the exclusive purpose of issuing and selling trust preferred securities. During the third quarter of 2012 the Company dissolved the Heritage Statutory Trust I and the Heritage Capital Trust I.

HBC is a commercial bank serving customers located in Santa Clara, Alameda, and Contra Costa counties of California. No customer accounts for more than 10 percent of revenue for HBC or the Company. Management evaluates the Company's performance as a whole and does not allocate resources based on the performance of different lending or transaction activities. Accordingly, the Company and its subsidiary operate as one business segment.

In management's opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements have been included and are of a normal and recurring nature. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates.

The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for any subsequent period or for the entire year ending December 31, 2013.

#### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of prior year balances have been made to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or net change in cash and cash equivalents.

#### Adoption of New Accounting Standards

In February 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standards update with the primary objective of improving the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"). For significant reclassifications that are required to be presented in their entirety in net income in the same reporting period by U.S. GAAP, the update requires an entity to report the effect of these reclassifications out of AOCI on the respective line items of net income either on the face of the

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 1) Basis of Presentation (Continued)

statement that reports net income or in the financial statement notes. For AOCI items that are not reclassified to net income in their entirety, presentation in the financial statement notes is required. This update is effective for public companies for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2012, or the first quarter of 2013 for calendar year-end companies, and is required to be applied prospectively. The effect of adopting this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's operating results or financial condition, but the additional disclosures are included in Note 3.

#### 2) Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income, less dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock, by the weighted average common shares outstanding. On June 21, 2010, the Company issued to various institutional investors 21,004 shares of Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock"). The Series C Preferred Stock is convertible into 5,601,000 shares of common stock when transferred in accordance with its terms. The Series C Preferred Stock participate in the earnings of the Company and, therefore, the shares issued on the conversion of the Series C Preferred Stock are considered outstanding under the two-class method of computing basic earnings per common share during periods of earnings. Diluted earnings per share reflect potential dilution from outstanding stock options and common stock warrant, using the treasury stock method. The common stock warrant was antidilutive for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012. The Company repurchased the warrant for \$140,000 in the second quarter of 2013. A reconciliation of these factors used in computing basic and diluted earnings per common share is as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,					For the Si Ended		
	2013			2012	2013			2012
				(Dollars in	thou	isands)		
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	2,793	\$	2,679	\$	4,973	\$	3,548
Less: net income allocated to Series C Preferred Stock		489		470		871		623
Net income allocated to common shareholders	\$	2,304	\$	2,209	\$	4,102	\$	2,925
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic earnings per common share Dilutive effect of stock options oustanding, using the treasury stock method		26,336,244 35,648		26,290,480 27,011		26,332,793 46,123		26,289,907 28,058
Shares used in computing diluted earnings per common share		26,371,892		26,317,491		26,378,916		26,317,965
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.09	\$	0.08	\$	0.16	\$	0.11
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 11	0.09	\$	0.08	\$	0.16	\$	0.11

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# June 30, 2013

# (Unaudited)

# 3) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI")

The following table reflects the changes in AOCI by component for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended Ju Unamortized Unrealized Gain on Gains (Losses) on Available- for-Sale for-Sale Securities Securities Available- for-Sale Securities Securities Available- for-Sale				E H P	Defined Benefit Pension Plan tems(1)	2 otal(1)
Beginning balance April 1, 2013, net of taxes	\$	6,614	\$	489	\$	(5,686)	\$ 1,417
Other comprehensive (loss) before reclassification, net of taxes Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss), net of		(6,116)				(23)	(6,139)
taxes		(4)		(8)		44	32
Net current period other comprensive income (loss), net of taxes		(6,120)		(8)		21	(6,107)
Ending balance June 30, 2013, net of taxes	\$	494	\$	481	\$	(5,665)	\$ (4,690)
		ć 440				( <b>7 222</b> )	4.40=
Beginning balance April 1, 2012, net of taxes	\$	6,410	\$		\$	(5,223)	\$ 1,187
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification, net of taxes		1,971				(17)	1,954
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes		(19)				41	22
Net current period other comprensive income, net of taxes		1,952				24	1,976
Ending balance June 30, 2012, net of taxes	\$	8,362	\$		\$	(5,199)	\$ 3,163

(1) Amounts in parenthesis indicate debits.

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

# (Unaudited)

# 3) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI") (Continued)

	Gair on A f Se a	For the Six Marealized as (Losses) Available-or-Sale ecurities and I/O trips(1)	Unar Unr Ga Ava for Sec Recl to H	Ended Jur mortized realized ain on ailable- r-Sale curities assified leld-to- urity(1) ars in thous	I I P	Defined Benefit Pension Plan tems(1)	2 otal(1)
Beginning balance January 1, 2013, net of taxes	\$	7,887	\$	497	\$	(5,703)	\$ 2,681
Other comprehensive (loss) before reclassification, net of taxes Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes		(7,371) (22)		(16)		(47) 85	(7,418) 47
Net current period other comprensive income (loss), net of taxes		(7,393)		(16)		38	(7,371)
Ending balance June 30, 2013, net of taxes	\$	494	\$	481	\$	(5,665)	\$ (4,690)
Beginning balance January 1, 2012, net of taxes	\$	6,210	\$		\$	(5,255)	\$ 955
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification, net of taxes		2,186				(25)	2,161
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes		(34)				81	47
Net current period other comprensive income, net of taxes		2,152				56	2,208
Ending balance June 30, 2012, net of taxes	\$	8,362	\$		\$	(5,199)	\$ 3,163

(1) Amounts in parenthesis indicate debits.

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

# 3) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI") (Continued)

Details About AOCI Components	fr H M	Amore Reclassifier All Portion All Portion House June 1013	sifie OCI Thr End 30,	d (1) ee	Affected Line Item Where Net Income is Presented
•		(Dolla	rs iı	n	
		thousa		s)	
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities and I/O strips	\$	7	\$	32	Realized gains on sale of securities
		(3)		(13)	Income tax expense
		4		19	Net of tax
Amortization of unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale that were reclassified to securities held-to-maturity		14 (6)			Interest income on taxable securities Income tax expense
		8			Net of tax
Amortization of defined benefit pension plan items(2)					
Prior service cost				(7)	
Actuarial losses		(75)		(63)	
		(75)		(70)	Income before income tax
		31		29	Income tax expense
		(44)		(41)	Net of tax
Total reclassification for the period	\$	(32)	\$	(22)	

(1) Amounts in parenthesis indicate debits.

(2) This AOCI component is included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost (see Note 7 Benefit Plans).

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

# (Unaudited)

# 3) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI") (Continued)

Details About AOCI Components	]	Amor Reclass from A0 For th Months June 013 (Dolla thousa	osifie OCI ne Si End 230, 2	ed ((1) x ded 2012	Affected Line Item Where Net Income is Presented
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities and I/O strips	\$	38	\$	59	Realized gains on sale of securities
	-	(16)		(25)	Income tax expense
		22		34	Net of tax
Amortization of unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale that were reclassified to securities held-to-maturity		28 (12) 16			Interest income on taxable securities Income tax expense  Net of tax
Amortization of defined benefit pension plan items(2)					
Prior service cost		(1.46)		(14)	
Actuarial losses		(146) (146) 61 (85)		59	Income before income tax Income tax expense  Net of tax
Total reclassification for the period	\$	(47)	\$	(47)	

(1) Amounts in parenthesis indicate debits.

(2) This AOCI component is included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost (see Note 7 Benefit Plans).

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

# 4) Securities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

June 30, 2013	A	mortized Cost	Un	Gross realized Gains	Uı	Gross nrealized Losses	E	stimated Fair Value
			(	Dollars in	thou	sands)		
Securities available-for-sale:								
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	224,760	\$	3,085	\$	(2,448)	\$	225,397
Corporate bonds		49,089		418		(1,861)		47,646
Trust preferred securities		20,809		114		(188)		20,735
Total	\$	294,658	\$	3,617	\$	(4,497)	\$	293,778
Securities held-to-maturity:								
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	14,211	\$		\$	(438)	\$	13,773
Municipals tax exempt		67,520	•		,	(8,521)		58,999
Total	\$	81,731	\$		\$	(8,959)	\$	72,772

December 31, 2012	A	mortized Cost	Ur	Gross realized Gains	Un	Gross realized Losses	E	stimated Fair Value
			(	(Dollars in	thous	sands)		
Securities available-for-sale:								
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	281,598	\$	9,668	\$	(22)	\$	291,244
Corporate bonds		53,739		1,849				55,588
Trust preferred securities		20,769		375		(64)		21,080
Total	\$	356,106	\$	11,892	\$	(86)	\$	367,912
Securities held-to-maturity:								
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	16,659	\$	2	\$	(177)	\$	16,484
Municipals tax exempt		34,813		80		(413)		34,480
•						. ,		
Total	\$	51,472	\$	82	\$	(590)	\$	50,964

There were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its sponsored entities, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders' equity. At June 30, 2013, the Company held 353 securities (160 available-for-sale and 193 held-to-maturity), of which 235 had fair values below amortized cost. No securities had been carried with an unrealized loss for over 12 months. Unrealized losses were due to higher interest rates. The issuers are of high credit quality and all principal amounts are expected to be paid when securities mature. The Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

At December 31, 2012, the Company held 269 securities (168 available-for-sale and 101 held-to-maturity), of which 70 had fair values below amortized cost. No securities had been carried with

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# June 30, 2013

# (Unaudited)

#### 4) Securities (Continued)

an unrealized loss for over 12 months. The Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012.

The proceeds from sales of securities and the resulting gains and losses are listed below:

	1	Three Mont June		ded		Six Month				
		2013	2	012		2013	:	2012		
			(D	ollars	in thou	sands)				
Proceeds	\$	23,414	\$	375	\$	26,944	\$	2,280		
Gross gains		279		32		310		59		
Gross losses		(272)				(272)				

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of securities as of June 30, 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below. The expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or pre-pay obligations with or without call or pre-payment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date are shown separately.

		Availa	ble-for-s	ale
	Amo	rtized Cost	Estima	ited Fair Value
		(Dollars	in thousa	ands)
Due after one through five years	\$	2,032	\$	2,115
Due after five through ten years		47,057		45,531
Due after ten years		20,809		20,735
Agency mortgage-backed securities		224,760		225,397
Total	\$	294,658	\$	293,778

		Held-	to-matur	ity
	Amo	rtized Cost	Estima	ated Fair Value
		(Dollars	in thous	ands)
Due after five through ten years	\$	1,093	\$	1,011
Due after ten years		66,427		57,988
Agency mortgage-backed securities		14,211		13,773
Total	\$	81,731	\$	72,772
			17	

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

# 5) Loans

Loans were as follows:

	J	une 30, 2013		mber 31, 2012
		(Dollars in	thousa	ands)
Loans held-for-investment:				
Commercial	\$	383,068	\$	375,469
Real estate:				
Commercial and residential		370,620		354,934
Land and construction		26,705		22,352
Home equity		48,667		43,865
Consumer		13,097		15,714
Loans		842,157		812,334
Deferred loan origination fees, net		(207)		(21)
Loans, net of deferred fees		841,950		812,313
Allowance for loan losses		(19,342)		(19,027)
Loans, net	\$	822,608	\$	793,286

Changes in the allowance for loan losses were as follows for the periods indicated:

		Thr	ee Mon	ths Ende	d June 30	), 2013	
	Com	mercial	Real 1	Estate	Consu	mer	Total
			(Doll	ars in the	ousands)		
Balance, beginning of period	\$	12,455	\$	6,770	\$	117	\$ 19,342
Charge-offs		(119)		(56)			(175)
Recoveries		188		257			445
Net recoveries		69		201			270
Provision (credit) for loan losses		287		(583)		26	(270)
Balance, end of period	\$	12,811	\$	6,388	\$	143	\$ 19,342

		Thr	ee M	onths Ended	l Jui	ne 30, 2012	
	Com	mercial	Re	al Estate	Co	onsumer	Total
			(I	Oollars in the	usai	nds)	
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,734	\$	6,409	\$	163	\$ 20,306
Charge-offs		(1,280)		(101)			(1,381)
Recoveries		60		223			283

Net (charge-offs)/recoveries	(1,220)	122		(1,098)
Provision (credit) for loan losses	864	8	(57)	815
Balance, end of period	\$ 13,378 \$	6,539 \$	106 \$	20,023
		18		

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

206

148

585

(270)

16

106 \$ 20,023

#### 5) Loans (Continued)

Net recoveries

Balance, end of period

Provision (credit) for loan losses

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013													
	Cor	mmercial	Re	al Estate	Cor	nsumer		Total						
			(E	Oollars in the	usan	ds)								
Balance, beginning of period	\$	12,866	\$	6,034	\$	127	\$	19,027						
Charge-offs		(959)		(56)				(1,015)						
Recoveries		1,338		262				1,600						

379

(434)

6,388 19,342 Balance, end of period \$ 12,811 \$ \$ 143 \$

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Commercial Real Estate Consumer **Total** (Dollars in thousands) Balance, beginning of period \$ 13,215 7,338 \$ 147 \$ 20,700 Charge-offs (2,190)(146)(2,336)Recoveries 521 223 744 Net (charge-offs)/recoveries (1,669)77 (1,592)Provision (credit) for loan losses 1,832 (876)(41)915

13,378

\$

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment, based on the impairment method at the following period-ends:

6,539 \$

	June 30, 2013												
	Cor	nmercial	Re	al Estate	Co	nsumer		Total					
Allowance for loan losses:													
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:													
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	2,588	\$	786	\$	28	\$	3,402					
Collectively evaluated for impairment		10,223		5,602		115		15,940					
Total allowance balance	\$	12,811	\$	6,388	\$	143	\$	19,342					
Loans:													
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	5,342	\$	9,569	\$	135	\$	15,046					

Collectively evaluated for impairment	377,726		436,423	12,962	827,111
Total loan balance	\$ 383,068	\$	445,992	\$ 13,097	\$ 842,157
		19			

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

# 5) Loans (Continued)

	December 31, 2012												
	Co	mmercial	R	eal Estate	Co	onsumer		Total					
			(	Dollars in th									
Allowance for loan losses:													
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:													
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	1,963	\$	760	\$	17	\$	2,740					
Collectively evaluated for impairment		10,903		5,274		110		16,287					
Total allowance balance	\$	12,866	\$	6,034	\$	127	\$	19,027					
Loans:													
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	10,161	\$	9,336	\$	147	\$	19,644					
Collectively evaluated for impairment		365,308		411,815		15,567		792,690					
		Ź		,		•		•					
Total loan balance	\$	375,469	\$	421,151	\$	15,714	\$	812,334					

The following table presents loans held-for-investment individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The recorded investment included in the following table represents loan principal net of any partial charge-offs recognized on the loans. The unpaid principal balance represents the recorded balance prior to any partial charge-offs.

	June 30, 2013 Allowan						De	ber 31, 20	12 Allowance	
	Pr	Unpaid Principal Balance		corded estment	for Loan Losses Allocated	Pı	Inpaid rincipal alance	Recorded Investment		for Loan Losses Allocated
					(Dollars in	thou	ısands)			
With no related allowance recorded:										
Commercial	\$	1,031	\$	948	\$	\$	7,829	\$	6,978	\$
Real estate:										
Commercial and residential		3,509		3,509			2,755		2,741	
Land and construction		2,070		2,070			2,310		2,223	
Home Equity		2,077		2,077			2,141		2,141	
Total with no related allowance										
recorded		8,687		8,604			15,035		14,083	
With an allowance recorded:										
Commercial		4,487		4,394	2,588		3,678		3,182	1,963
Real estate:										
Commercial and residential		1,560		1,560	480		3,183		1,937	465
Land and construction		59		59	12					
Home Equity		294		294	294		295		295	295
Consumer		135		135	28		147		147	17

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

# 5) Loans (Continued)

The following tables present interest recognized and cash-basis interest earned on impaired loans for the periods indicated:

# Three Months Ended June 30, 2013

	Real Estate											
	Con	nmercial				and and astruction ars in thou	F		Consumer			Total
Average of impaired loans during												
the period	\$	6,736	\$	5,286	\$	2,153	\$	2,401	\$	138	\$	16,714
Interest income during												
impairment	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Cash-basis interest earned	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	

# Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

	Real Estate													
			Co	ommercial										
	Cor	mmercial	R	and esidential		and and nstruction		ome quity	Consu	mer		Total		
		(Dollars in thousands)												
Average of impaired loans during														
the period	\$	11,034	\$	2,252	\$	2,210	\$	199	\$	86	\$	15,781		
Interest income during impairment	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$			
Cash-basis interest earned	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$			

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

	Real Estate												
	Com	mercial			E	Home Equity ads)	Con	sumer		Total			
Average of impaired loans during													
the period	\$	7,877	\$	5,083	\$	2,177	\$	2,413	\$	141	\$	17,691	
Interest income during													
impairment	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		
Cash-basis interest earned	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		
				21									

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 5) Loans (Continued)

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

	Real Estate												
			Co	mmercial									
	Con	mmercial	Re	and esidential		and and nstruction		ome quity	Consu	mer		Total	
				(L	olla	rs in thous	and	s)					
Average of impaired loans during													
the period	\$	11,341	\$	2,214	\$	2,733	\$	143	\$	61	\$	16,492	
Interest income during impairment	\$		\$	1	\$	14	\$		\$		\$	15	
Cash-basis interest earned	\$		\$	1	\$	14	\$		\$		\$	15	

Nonperforming loans include both smaller dollar balance homogenous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified loans. Nonperforming loans were as follows at period-end:

		Dec	ember 31,								
		2013	2012		2012						
	(Dollars in thousands)										
Nonaccrual loans held-for-sale	\$		\$	177	\$						
Nonaccrual loans held-for-investment		13,868		12,890		17,335					
Restructured and loans over 90 days past due and still accruing		510		1,665		859					
Total nonperforming loans	\$	14,378	\$	14,732	\$	18,194					
Other restructured loans	\$	668	\$	416	\$	1,450					
Impaired loans, excluding loans held-for-sale	\$	15,046	\$	14,971	\$	19,644					

The following table presents the nonperforming loans by class as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

			Restr Loar 90 Pas	30, 2013 cuctured and ns Over Days st Due and Still					Restruction and Loans 90 D Past and Sti	ctured d Over Days Due d			
	Non	accrual	Ac	cruing		Fotal	Nor	naccrual	Accr	uing	,	Total	
					(D	ollars in	thou	sands)					
Commercial	\$	4,164	\$	510	\$	4,674	\$	7,852	\$	859	\$	8,711	
Real estate:													
Commercial and													
residential		5,069				5,069		4,676				4,676	
Land and construction		2,129				2,129		2,223				2,223	
Home equity		2,371				2,371		2,437				2,437	
Consumer		135				135		147				147	

Total \$ 13,868 \$ 510 \$ 14,378 \$ 17,335 \$ 859 \$ 18,194

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 5) Loans (Continued)

The following table presents the aging of past due loans as of June 30, 2013 by class of loans:

	June 30, 2013										
	30 - 59 Days Past Due		60 - 89 Days Past Due		90 Days or Greater Past Due		Total Past Due		Loans Not Past Due		Total
					(	(Dollars i	in thousands)				
Commercial	\$	2,540	\$	567	\$	1,871	\$	4,978	\$	378,090	\$ 383,068
Real estate:											
Commercial and											
residential		139				1,639		1,778		368,842	370,620
Land and construction						59		59		26,646	26,705
Home equity						294		294		48,373	48,667
Consumer				99				99		12,998	13,097
Total	\$	2,679	\$	666	\$	3,863	\$	7,208	\$	834,949	\$ 842,157

The following table presents the aging of past due loans as of December 31, 2012 by class of loans:

		<b>December 31, 2012</b>										
	30 - 59 Days Past Due		60 - 89 Days Past Due		90 Days or Greater Past Due		Total Past Due		Loans Not Past Due			Total
					(Dollars in thousands)							
Commercial	\$	1,699	\$	355	\$	5,120	\$	7,174	\$	368,295	\$	375,469
Real estate:												
Commercial and												
residential		1,603				3,290		4,893		350,041		354,934
Land and construction						78		78		22,274		22,352
Home equity		742				2,045		2,787		41,078		43,865
Consumer										15,714		15,714
Total	\$	4,044	\$	355	\$	10,533	\$	14,932	\$	797,402	\$	812,334

Past due loans 30 days or greater totaled \$7,208,000 and \$14,932,000 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of which \$4,446,000 and \$12,020,000 were on nonaccrual. At June 30, 2013, there were also \$9,422,000 loans less than 30 days past due included in nonaccrual loans held-for-investment. At December 31, 2012, there were also \$5,315,000 loans less than 30 days past due included in nonaccrual loans held-for-investment. Management's classification of a loan as "nonaccrual" is an indication that there is reasonable doubt as to the full recovery of principal or interest on the loan. At that point, the Company stops accruing interest income, and reverses any uncollected interest that had been accrued as income. The Company begins recognizing interest income only as cash interest payments are received and it has been determined the collection of all outstanding principal is not in doubt. The loans may or may not be collateralized, and collection efforts are pursued.

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 5) Loans (Continued)

#### Credit Quality Indicators

Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of customers are engaged in similar business activities, or activities in the same geographic region, or have similar features that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly affected by changes in economic conditions. The Company's loan portfolio is concentrated in commercial (primarily manufacturing, wholesale, and service) and real estate lending, with the balance in consumer loans. While no specific industry concentration is considered significant, the Company's lending operations are located in the Company's market areas that are dependent on the technology and real estate industries and their supporting companies. Thus, the Company's borrowers could be adversely impacted by a continued downturn in these sectors of the economy which could reduce the demand for loans and adversely impact the borrowers' ability to repay their loans.

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. Nonclassified loans generally include those loans that are expected to be repaid in accordance with contractual loans terms. Classified loans are those loans that are assigned a substandard, substandard-nonaccrual, or doubtful risk rating using the following definitions:

Substandard. Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Substandard-Nonaccrual. Loans classified as substandard-nonaccrual are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. In addition, the Company no longer accrues interest on the loan because of the underlying weaknesses.

*Doubtful.* Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loss. Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectable or of so little value that their continuance as assets is not warranted. This classification does not necessarily mean that a loan has no recovery or salvage value; but rather, there is much doubt about whether, how much, or when the recovery would occur. Loans classified as loss are immediately charged off against the allowance for loan losses. Therefore, there is no balance to report at June 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 5) Loans (Continued)

The following table provides a summary of the loan portfolio by loan type and credit quality classification at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	June 30, 2013						December 31, 2012						
	Nonclassified		Classified		Total		Nonclassified		Classified			Total	
	(Dollars in					thousands)							
Commercial	\$	372,077	\$	10,991	\$	383,068	\$	355,440	\$	20,029	\$	375,469	
Real estate:													
Commercial and													
residential		363,222		7,398		370,620		345,045		9,889		354,934	
Land and construction		24,576		2,129		26,705		18,858		3,494		22,352	
Home equity		45,974		2,693		48,667		41,187		2,678		43,865	
Consumer		12,729		368		13,097		15,321		393		15,714	
Total	\$	818,578	\$	23,579	\$	842,157	\$	775,851	\$	36,483	\$	812,334	

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company's underwriting policy.

The recorded investment of troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2013 was \$2,759,000, which included \$1,581,000 of nonaccrual loans and \$1,178,000 of accruing loans. The book balance of troubled debt restructurings at December 31, 2012 was \$4,107,000, which included \$1,798,000 of nonaccrual loans and \$2,309,000 of accruing loans. Approximately \$804,000 and \$1,152,000 in specific reserves were established with respect to these loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had no additional amounts committed on any loan classified as a troubled debt restructuring.

There were no new loans modified as troubled debt restructurings during the three month period ended June 30, 2013. The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings during the three month period ended June 30, 2012:

	During the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012									
Troubled Debt Restructurings:	Number of Contracts	Outst	dification anding orded stment	Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment						
		(Dollars in thousands)								
Consumer	1	\$	117	\$	117					
Total	1	\$	117	\$	117					

The troubled debt restructurings described above increased the allowance for loan losses by \$13,000 through the allocation of specific reserves, and resulted in no net charge-offs during the three month period ended June 30, 2012.

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 5) Loans (Continued)

There were no new loans modified as troubled debt restructurings during the six month period ended June 30, 2013. The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings during the six month period ended June 30, 2012:

Troubled Debt Restructurings:	Number of Contracts	During the Six M June 30, Pre-modificati Outstanding Recorded Investment	2012 ion g	Post-m Outs Rec	odification tanding corded estment
		(Dollars in th	ousar	ıds)	
Commercial	1	\$	112	\$	112
Consumer	1		117		117
Total	2	\$	229	\$	229

The troubled debt restructurings described above increased the allowance for loan losses by \$44,000 through the allocation of specific reserves, and resulted in no net charge-offs during the six month period ended June 30, 2012.

A loan is considered to be in payment default when it is 30 days contractually past due under the modified terms. There were no defaults on troubled debt restructurings, within twelve months following the modification, during the three month period ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

A loan that is a troubled debt restructuring on nonaccrual status may return to accruing status after a period of at least six months of consecutive payments in accordance with the modified terms.

## 6) Income Taxes

Some items of income and expense are recognized in different years for tax purposes than when applying generally accepted accounting principles, leading to timing differences between the Company's actual tax liability and the amount accrued for this liability based on book income. These temporary differences comprise the "deferred" portion of the Company's tax expense or benefit, which is accumulated on the Company's books as a deferred tax asset or deferred tax liability until such time as they reverse.

Realization of the Company's deferred tax assets is primarily dependent upon the Company generating sufficient taxable income to obtain benefit from the reversal of net deductible temporary differences and utilization of tax credit carryforwards and the net operating loss carryforwards for Federal and California state income tax purposes. The amount of deferred tax assets considered realizable is subject to adjustment in future periods based on estimates of future taxable income. Under generally accepted accounting principles, a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is "more likely than not" that a deferred tax asset will not be realized. The determination of the realizability of the deferred tax assets is highly subjective and dependent upon judgment concerning management's evaluation of both positive and negative evidence, including forecasts of future income, cumulative losses, applicable tax planning strategies, and assessments of current and future economic and business conditions.

## HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 6) Income Taxes (Continued)

The Company had net deferred tax assets of \$24,600,000, and \$19,264,000, at June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. After consideration of the matters in the preceding paragraph, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 will be fully realized in future years.

## 7) Benefit Plans

#### Supplemental Retirement Plan

The Company has a supplemental retirement plan (the "Plan") covering current and former key executives and directors. The Plan is a nonqualified defined benefit plan. Benefits are unsecured as there are no Plan assets. The following table presents the amount of periodic cost recognized for the periods indicated:

	,	Three I End June	ded		\$	Six Mont June		
	2	013	2	012		2013		2012
			(D	ollars i	in th	ousands)		
Components of net periodic benefit cost:								
Service cost	\$	302	\$	294	\$	606	\$	588
Interest cost		196		193		392		386
Amortization of prior service cost				7				14
Amortization of net actuarial loss		75		63		146		126
Net periodic benefit cost	¢	573	\$	557	\$	1,144	\$	1.114
Net periodic beliefft cost	Φ	513	Ф	551	Ф	1,144	Φ	1,114

## Split-Dollar Life Insurance Benefit Plan

The Company maintains life insurance policies for current and former directors and officers that are subject to split-dollar life insurance agreements. The following table sets forth the funded status of the split-dollar life insurance benefits for the periods indicated:

	June 3	30, 2013 (Dollars	mber 31, 2012 sands)
Change in projected benefit obligation		`	,
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$	4,717	\$ 4,525
Interest cost		89	185
Actuarial gain		2	7
Projected benefit obligation at end of period	\$	4,808	\$ 4,717
		27	

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 8) Preferred Stock

#### Series A Preferred Stock

On November 21, 2008, the Company issued 40,000 shares of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") to the U.S. Treasury under the terms of the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program for \$40,000,000 with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. On March 7, 2012, in accordance with approvals received from the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board, the Company repurchased all of the Series A Preferred Stock and paid all of the related accrued and unpaid dividends. HCC used available cash and proceeds from a \$30,000,000 distribution approved by the California Department of Financial Institutions from HBC to HCC. The repurchase of the Series A Preferred Stock accelerated the accretion of the remaining issuance discount on the Series A Preferred Stock. Total dividends and discount accretion on Preferred Stock, including accelerated accretion of approximately \$765,000, reduced net income available to common shareholders by \$1,206,000 in the first quarter of 2012. On June 12, 2013, the Company completed the repurchase of the common stock warrant issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury on November 21, 2008, which was exercisable into 462,963 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$12.96. The Company repurchased the warrant for \$140,000.

#### Series C Preferred Stock

On June 21, 2010, the Company issued to various institutional investors 21,004 shares of Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock"). The Series C Preferred Stock is mandatorily convertible into common stock at a conversion price of \$3.75 per share upon a subsequent transfer of the Series C Preferred Stock to third parties not affiliated with the holder in a widely dispersed offering. The Series C Preferred Stock is non-voting except in the case of certain transactions that would affect the rights of the holders of the Series C Preferred Stock or applicable law. Holders of Series C Preferred Stock will receive dividends if and only to the extent dividends are paid to holders of common stock. The Series C Preferred Stock is not redeemable by the Company or by the holders and has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. The Series C Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company's common stock.

## 9) Fair Value

Accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data (for example, interest rates and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, prepayment speeds, credit risks, and default rates).

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

#### (Unaudited)

#### 9) Fair Value (Continued)

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

#### Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis

The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

The fair value of interest-only ("I/O") strip receivable assets is based on a valuation model used by a third party. The Company is able to compare the valuation model inputs and results to widely available published industry data for reasonableness (Level 2 inputs).

			Fair Value Measurements Using Significant							
	Active Mai Identical		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other		Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)				
			(Dollars in th	ousa	nds)					
Assets at June 30, 2013:										
Available-for-sale securities:										
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	225,397		\$	225,397					
Corporate bonds		47,646			47,646					
Trust preferred securities		20,735			20,735					
I/O strip receivables		1,726			1,726					
Assets at December 31, 2012:										
Available-for-sale securities:										
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	291,244		\$	291,244					
Corporate bonds		55,588			55,588					
Trust preferred securities		21,080			21,080					
I/O strip receivables		1,786			1,786					

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the period for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

### Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis

The fair value of loans held-for-sale is generally based on obtaining bids and broker indications on the estimated value of these loans held-for-sale, resulting in a Level 2 classification.

The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. The appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are

# Table of Contents

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

## 9) Fair Value (Continued)

routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Foreclosed assets are valued at the time the loan is foreclosed upon and the asset is transferred to foreclosed assets. The fair value is based primarily on third party appraisals, less costs to sell. The appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including the comparable sales and income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# June 30, 2013

# (Unaudited)

# 9) Fair Value (Continued)

adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

			Fair Value Measurements Using Significant							
	Balance		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Uno I	enificant bservable inputs Level 3)				
			(Dollars in t	housands)						
Assets at June 30, 2013:										
Impaired loans held-for-investment:										
Commercial	\$	2,109			\$	2,109				
Real estate:										
Commercial and residential		3,041				3,041				
Land and construction		1,632				1,632				
Consumer		108				108				
	_				_					
	\$	6,890			\$	6,890				
Foreclosed assets:										
Commercial	\$	29			\$	29				
Land and construction		630				630				
	\$	659			\$	659				
Assets at December 31, 2012:										
Impaired loans held-for-investment:										
Commercial	\$	3,645			\$	3,645				
Real estate:										
Commercial and residential		3,674				3,674				
Land and construction		1,723				1,723				
Consumer		130				130				
	\$	9,172			\$	9,172				
		,			•	,				
Foreclosed assets:										
Commercial	\$	83			\$	83				
Land and construction		1,187				1,187				
	\$	1,270			\$	1,270				
			21							
			31							

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 9) Fair Value (Continued)

The following table shows the detail of the impaired loans held-for-investment and the impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value for the periods indicated:

	June 3	30, 2013	December :	31, 2012		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Impaired loans held-for-investment:						
Book value of impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value	\$	10,292	\$	11,912		
Book value of impaired loans held-for-investment carried at cost		4,754		7,732		
Total impaired loans held-for-investment	\$	15,046	\$	19,644		
Impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value:						
Book value of impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value	\$	10,292	\$	11,912		
Specific valuation allowance		(3,402)		(2,740)		
Impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value, net	\$	6,890	\$	9,172		

Impaired loans held-for-investment which are measured primarily for impairment using the fair value of the collateral were \$15,046,000 at June 30, 2013, after partial charge-offs of \$176,000 in the first six months of 2013. In addition, these loans had a specific valuation allowance of \$3,402,000 at June 30, 2013. Impaired loans held-for-investment totaling \$10,292,000 at June 30, 2013 were carried at fair value as a result of the aforementioned partial charge-offs and specific valuation allowances at period-end. The remaining \$4,754,000 of impaired loans were carried at cost at June 30, 2013, as the fair value of the collateral exceeded the cost basis of each respective loan. Partial charge-offs and changes in specific valuation allowances during the first six months of 2013 on impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value at June 30, 2013 resulted in an additional provision for loan losses of \$1,440,000.

Foreclosed assets measured at fair value less costs to sell, had a carrying amount of \$659,000, with no valuation allowance at June 30, 2013.

Impaired loans held-for-investment of \$19,644,000 at December 31, 2012, after partial charge-offs of \$2,694,000 in 2012, were analyzed for additional impairment primarily using the fair value of collateral. In addition, these loans had a specific valuation allowance of \$2,740,000 at December 31, 2012. Impaired loans held-for-investment totaling \$11,912,000 at December 31, 2012 were carried at fair value as a result of the aforementioned partial charge-offs and specific valuation allowances at year-end. The remaining \$7,732,000 of impaired loans were carried at cost at December 31, 2012, as the fair value of the collateral exceeded the cost basis of each respective loan. Partial charge-offs and changes in specific valuation allowances during 2012 on impaired loans held-for-investment carried at fair value at December 31, 2012 resulted in an additional provision for loan losses of \$3,856,000.

At December 31, 2012, foreclosed assets had a carrying amount of \$1,270,000, with no valuation allowance at December 31, 2012.

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# June 30, 2013

# (Unaudited)

# 9) Fair Value (Continued)

The following table presents quantitative information about level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at the periods indicated:

	June 30, 2013							
	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques (Do	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)				
Impaired loans held-for-investment:								
Commercial	\$ 2,109	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	0% to 3% (2%)				
Real estate:								
Commercial and residential	3,041	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	1% to 15% (1%)				
Land and construction	1,632	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	1% to 4% (2%)				
Foreclosed assets:								
Land and construction	630	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	1% to 16% (7%)				

		_			
		Fair Value	Valuation Techniques (Do	Unobservable Inputs llars in thousands)	Range (Weighted Average)
Impaired loans held-for-investment:					
Commercial	\$	3,645	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	0% to 4% (1%)
Real estate:				-	

Commercial and residential	3,674	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	0% to 13% (1%)
Land and construction	1,723	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	1% to 4% (2%)
Foreclosed assets:				
Land and construction	1,187	Market Approach	Discount adjustment for differences between comparable sales	0% to 23% (6%)

The Company obtains third party appraisals on its impaired loans held-for-investment and foreclosed assets to determine fair value. Generally, the third party appraisals apply the "market

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

## 9) Fair Value (Continued)

approach," which is a valuation technique that uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable (that is, similar) assets, liabilities, or a group of assets and liabilities, such as a business. Adjustments are then made based on the type of property, age of appraisal, current status of property and other related factors to estimate the current value of collateral.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at June 30, 2013 are as follows:

		Estimated Fair Value							
	Carrying Amounts	Activ	ed Prices in ve Markets for tical Assets Level 1)	0	gnificant Other bservable Inputs Level 2)	Une	gnificant observable Inputs Level 3)		Total
			(Doll:	ars	in thousand	ds)			
Assets:									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 85,762	\$	85,762	\$		\$		\$	85,762
Securities available-for-sale	293,778				293,778				293,778
Securities held-to-maturity	81,731				72,772				72,772
Loans (including loans held-for-sale),									
net	828,929				6,321		821,053		827,374
FHLB and FRB stock	10,871								N/A
Accrued interest receivable	4,138				1,552		2,586		4,138
Loan servicing rights and I/O strips									
receivables	2,357				4,474				4,474
Liabilities:									
Time deposits	\$ 306,214	\$		\$	306,834	\$		\$	306,834
Other deposits	882,825				882,825				882,825
Subordinated debt	9,279						5,670		5,670
Accrued interest payable	234				234				234
- •		34							

# HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### June 30, 2013

## (Unaudited)

## 9) Fair Value (Continued)

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2012:

	Estimated Fair Value									
		Carrying Amounts	Ac	uoted Prices in tive Markets for entical Assets (Level 1)	(	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Un	ignificant observable Inputs Level 3)		Total
				(Dol	lars	in thousand	s)			
Assets:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	373,565	\$	373,565	\$		\$		\$	373,565
Securities available-for-sale		367,912				367,912				367,912
Securities held-to-maturity		51,472				50,964				50,964
Loans (including loans										
held-for-sale), net		796,695				3,409		793,911		797,320
FHLB and FRB stock		10,728								N/A
Accrued interest receivable		3,773				1,514		2,259		3,773
Loan servicing rights and I/O strips										
receivables		2,495				4,715				4,715
Liabilities:		ĺ				Í				
Time deposits	\$	318,664	\$		\$	319,476	\$		\$	319,476
Other deposits		1,160,704				1,160,704				1,160,704
Subordinated debt		9,279						5,400		5,400
Accrued interest payable		277				277				277

The methods and assumptions, not previously discussed, used to estimate the fair value are described as follows:

# Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amounts of cash on hand, noninterest and interest bearing due from bank accounts, and Fed funds sold approximate fair values and are classified as Level 1.

### Loans

The carrying amounts of loans held-for-sale approximate fair value resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Fair values of loans, excluding loans held for sale, are estimated as follows: For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values resulting in a Level 3 classification. Fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level 3 classification. Impaired loans are valued at the lower of

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

#### (Unaudited)

#### 9) Fair Value (Continued)

cost or fair value as described previously. The methods utilized to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

#### FHLB and FRB Stock

It was not practical to determine the fair value of FHLB and FRB stock due to restrictions placed on their transferability.

#### Accrued Interest Receivable/Payable

The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair value resulting in a Level 2 or Level 3 classification.

#### Deposits

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and noninterest checking, passbook savings, and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount) resulting in a Level 2 classification. The carrying amounts of variable rate, fixed-term money market accounts approximate their fair values at the reporting date resulting in a Level 2 classification. The carrying amounts of variable rate, certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date resulting in a Level 2 classification. Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flows calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits resulting in a Level 2 classification.

## Subordinated Debt

The fair values of the subordinated debentures are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements resulting in a Level 3 classification.

## Off-balance Sheet Instruments

Fair values for off-balance sheet, credit-related financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The fair value of commitments is not material.

#### Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information about the financial instruments. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

## 10) Equity Plan

The Company has maintained an Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Plan (the "2004 Plan") for directors, officers, and key employees. The Equity Plan provides for the grant of incentive and non-qualified stock options and restricted stock. The Equity Plan provides that the option price for both incentive and non-qualified stock options will be determined by the Board of Directors at no less than the fair value at the date of grant. Options granted vest on a schedule determined by the Board of Directors at the time of grant. Generally, options vest over four years. All options expire no later than ten years from the date of grant. The 2004 Plan was terminated on May 23, 2013. On May 23, 2013, the Company's shareholders approved the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2013 Plan") for equity awards including stock options and restricted stock for directors, officers, and key employees. As of June 30, 2013, there were no equity awards issued and 1,750,000 shares available for issuance under the 2013 Plan.

Stock option activity under the 2004 Plan is as follows:

Total Stock Options	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	1	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2013	1,314,347	\$	12.90			
Granted	272,050	\$	6.57			
Exercised	(6,374)	\$	4.09			
Forfeited or expired	(29,885)	\$	11.49			
Outstanding at June 30, 2013	1,550,138	\$	11.85	6.1	\$	1,030,000
Vested or expected to vest	1,472,631			6.1	\$	978,000
Exercisable at June 30, 2013	1,033,651			4.6	\$	592,000

As of June 30, 2013, there was \$1,914,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options granted under the 2004 Plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 3.22 years.

Restricted stock activity under the 2004 Plan is as follows:

		Weighted Average			
Total Restricted Stock Award	Number of Shares	Grant Fair V			
Nonvested shares at January 1, 2013	88,000	\$	5.74		
Granted	10,000	\$	6.51		
Vested	(40,000)	\$	5.16		
Forfeited		\$			
Nonvested shares at June 30, 2013	58,000	\$	6.28		

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 10) Equity Plan (Continued)

As of June 30, 2013, there was \$198,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards granted under the 2004 Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.1 years.

#### 11) Subordinated Debt

The Company has supported its growth through the issuance of trust preferred securities from special purpose trusts and accompanying sales of subordinated debt to these trusts. The subordinated debt issued to the trusts is senior to the outstanding shares of common stock and Series C Preferred Stock. As a result, payments must be made on the subordinated debt before any dividends can be paid on the common stock and Series C Preferred Stock. Under the terms of the subordinated debt, the Company may defer interest payments for up to five years. Interest payments on the subordinated notes payable to the Company's subsidiary grantor Trusts are deductible for tax purposes. The subordinated debt is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. For regulatory reporting purposes, the subordinated debt qualified for Tier 1 capital treatment at June 30, 2013, June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2012.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company redeemed its 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debentures in the amount of \$7,000,000 issued to Heritage Capital Trust I (and the related premium cost of \$304,500) and the Company's 10.600% fixed-rate subordinated debentures in the amount of \$7,000,000 issued to Heritage Statutory Trust I (and the related premium cost of \$296,800). The related trust securities issued by Capital Trust I and Statutory Trust I were also redeemed in connection with the subordinated debt redemption and the trusts were dissolved. A \$15,000,000 distribution from the Bank to the HCC provided the cash for the redemption. The Company incurred a charge of \$601,300 in 2012 for the early payoff premium on the redemption of the subordinated debt.

The table below summarizes the Company's subordinated debt as of the periods indicated:

	_	me 30, 2013		nber 31, 012
		(Dollars	in thous	ands)
Subordinated debentures due to Heritage Statutory Trust II with interest payable quarterly based on 3-month Libor plus 3.58% (3.85% at June 30, 2013), redeemable with a premium beginning July 31, 2006 and with no premium beginning July 31, 2011, due July 31, 2031	\$	5,155	\$	5,155
Subordinated debentures due to Heritage Statutory Trust III with interest payable quarterly based on 3-month Libor plus 3.40% (3.67% at June 30, 2013), redeemable with a premium beginning September 26, 2007 and due September 26, 2032	·	4,124	·	4,124
Total	\$	9,279	\$	9,279
38				

#### HERITAGE COMMERCE CORP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

June 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

#### 12) Loss Contingencies

The Company's policy is to accrue for legal costs associated with both asserted and unasserted claims when it is probable that such costs will be incurred and such costs can be reasonably estimated. The Company had previously accrued for such costs associated with an unasserted claim arising from an apparent transfer of funds for personal use by an authorized signatory of a customer. The litigation is in the very early stages and the Company intends to vigorously defend the litigation. At this time it is not possible to determine the amount of the loss, if any, arising from the claim in excess of the legal expenses expected to be incurred in defense of the litigation.

## 13) Subsequent Event

On June 5, 2013, the Company provided notice to the trustee that it intends to redeem the Company's Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due July 31, 2031 in the amount of \$5,000,000 issued to Heritage Statutory Trust II and the Company's Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due September 26, 2032, in the amount of \$4,000,000 issued to Heritage Statutory Trust III (collectively referred to as the "Floating-Rate Sub Debt"). The \$5,000,000 Floating-Rate Sub Debt was redeemed on July 31, 2013. The \$4,000,000 Floating-Rate Sub Debt will be redeemed on September 26, 2013. Additionally, the Company will pay its regularly scheduled interest payments on the Floating-Rate Sub Debt totaling approximately \$90,000 on the respective redemption dates. The Company used available cash and proceeds from a \$9,000,000 distribution from the Bank for the redemption. The Company incurred a total charge of \$167,000 in the second quarter of 2013, representing the agency origination fees associated with the Floating-Rate Sub Debt. On an annual basis, the redemption of the Floating-Rate Sub Debt will eliminate approximately \$360,000 in interest expense.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information about the results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, and capital resources of Heritage Commerce Corp (the "Company" or "HCC") and its wholly owned subsidiary, Heritage Bank of Commerce (sometimes referred to as the "Bank" or "HBC"). This information is intended to facilitate the understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to our financial condition and the results of operations. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes presented elsewhere in this report. Unless we state otherwise or the context indicates otherwise, references to the "Company," "Heritage," "we," "us," and "our," in this Report on Form 10-Q refer to Heritage Commerce Corp and Heritage Bank of Commerce.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies are discussed in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There are no changes to these policies as of June 30, 2013.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This summary is intended to identify the most important matters on which management focuses when it evaluates the financial condition and performance of the Company. When evaluating financial condition and performance, management looks at certain key metrics and measures. The Company's evaluation includes comparisons with peer group financial institutions and its own performance objectives established in the internal planning process.

The primary activity of the Company is commercial banking. The Company's operations are located entirely in the southern and eastern regions of the general San Francisco Bay Area of California in the counties of Santa Clara, Alameda and Contra Costa. The largest city in this area is San Jose and the Company's market includes the headquarters of a number of technology based companies in the region known commonly as Silicon Valley. The Company's customers are primarily closely held businesses and professionals.

### Performance Overview

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, net income was \$2.8 million, or \$0.09 per average diluted common share, compared to \$2.7 million, or \$0.08 per average diluted common share, for the three months ended June 30, 2012. There were no dividends or discount accretion on preferred stock in the second quarter of 2013 and 2012. The Company's annualized return on average assets was 0.82% and annualized return on average equity was 6.53% for the second quarter of 2013, compared to 0.81% and 6.61%, respectively, a year ago.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, net income available to common shareholders was \$5.0 million, or \$0.16 per average diluted common share, an increase from \$3.6 million, or \$0.11 per average diluted common share, for the six months ended June 30, 2012. In the first quarter of 2012, the Company redeemed its \$40 million of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") issued to the U.S. Treasury Department under the TARP Capital Purchase Program, and recorded the final payment for dividends and discount accretion on its Series A Preferred Stock, which totaled \$1.2 million. The Company's annualized return on average assets was 0.71% and annualized return on average equity was 5.88% for the first six months of 2013, compared to 0.72% and 5.44%, respectively, a year ago.

Late in the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company received short-term demand deposits in the amount of \$467.5 million from one customer for specific transactions. Of this amount, \$195.6 million

#### **Table of Contents**

was subsequently withdrawn, for a net outstanding balance of \$271.9 million at December 31, 2012. The outstanding balance of the short-term demand deposits was \$17.0 million at June 30, 2013. Because of the short-term nature of these funds, the excess liquidity was placed in low-interest earning deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank.

The following are major factors that impacted the Company's results of operations:

The net interest margin decreased six basis points to 3.89% in the second quarter of 2013, compared to 3.95% for the second quarter of 2012, primarily due to lower yields on loans and securities, partially offset by a lower cost of funds. The net interest margin decreased 21 basis points to 3.80% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 4.01% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, primarily as a result of a lower yield on loans and securities, and a higher average balance of excess deposits at the Federal Reserve, partially offset by a lower cost of funds.

Net interest income increased slightly to \$12.2 million for the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$12.1 million for the second quarter of 2012, primarily due to a higher average volume of loans and securities. Net interest income remained flat at \$24.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

A credit to the provision for loan losses of \$270,000 for the second quarter of 2013 was the result of improving overall asset quality and net recoveries of \$270,000. This compares to a provision for loan losses of \$815,000 for the second quarter of 2012. A credit to the provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$270,000, compared to a provision for loan losses \$915,000 for the first six months of 2012.

Noninterest income was \$1.9 million for the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$2.1 million for the second quarter of 2012. Noninterest income was \$3.6 million for the first six months of 2013, compared to \$3.8 million for the first six months of 2012. Noninterest income was lower in the second quarter and first six months of 2013, compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily due to a lower gain on sales of SBA loans and servicing income.

Noninterest expense was \$10.4 million for the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$9.5 million for the second quarter of 2012. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, noninterest expense was \$21.2 million, compared to \$20.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in noninterest expense from the same periods a year ago was primarily due to increased salaries and employee benefits expense due to annual salary increases and the hiring of additional lending relationship officers, and a \$167,000 charge in the second quarter of 2013 related to the redemption of the floating-rate subordinated debt, partially offset by gains on the sale of foreclosed assets.

The efficiency ratio was 73.85% for the second quarter of 2013, compared to 66.70% for the second quarter of 2012. The efficiency ratio for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was 75.92%, compared to 72.13% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in the efficiency ratio compared to the same periods in 2012, was primarily due to higher salary and benefits expense, lower gains on sales of SBA loans and servicing income.

Income tax expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 was \$1.2 million, the same as the second quarter of 2012. The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2013 was 29%, compared to 31% for the second quarter a year ago. For the first six months of 2013, income tax expense was \$2.0 million, compared to \$2.2 million for the first six months a year ago. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was 29%, compared to 31% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the second quarter and first six months of 2013, compared to the same periods in 2012, was primarily the result of tax-exempt interest income earned on municipal bonds.

## Table of Contents

The following are important factors in understanding our current financial condition and liquidity position:

Cash, Federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions and securities available-for-sale decreased 13% to \$379.5 million at June 30, 2013, from \$436.2 million at June 30, 2012, and decreased 49% from \$741.5 million at December 31, 2012. Excluding the short-term deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank offsetting the short-term demand deposits from one customer of \$17.0 million at June 30, 2013 and \$271.9 million at December 31, 2012, total cash, Federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions and securities available-for-sale decreased 17% to \$362.5 million at June 30, 2013, from \$436.2 million at June 30, 2012, and decreased 23% from \$469.6 million at December 31, 2012.

Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost, were \$81.7 million at June 30, 2013, compared to no securities held-to-maturity at June 30, 2012. Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost, were \$51.5 million at December 31, 2012.

Total loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, increased \$43.8 million, or 5%, to \$842.0 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$798.1 million at June 30, 2012, and increased \$29.6 million, or 4%, from \$812.3 million at December 31, 2012.

Nonperforming assets were \$15.0 million, or 1.07% of total assets at June 30, 2013, compared to \$17.8 million or 1.35% of total assets at June 30, 2012, and \$19.5 million, or 1.15% of total assets at December 31, 2012. Nonperforming assets were 1.09% of total assets at June 30, 2013, compared to 1.37% of total assets at December 31, 2012, excluding the short-term deposits of \$17.0 million and \$271.9 million, respectively, at the Federal Reserve Bank offsetting the short-term demand deposits from one customer.

Classified assets, net of Small Business Administration ("SBA") guarantees, decreased 57% to \$23.8 million at June 30, 2013 from \$54.9 million at June 30, 2012, and decreased 35% from \$36.8 million at December 31, 2012.

Net recoveries totaled \$270,000 for the second quarter of 2013, compared to net charge-offs of \$1.1 million for the second quarter of 2012, and net charge-offs of \$766,000 for the fourth quarter of 2012.

The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2013 was \$19.3 million, or 2.30% of total loans, representing 134.52% of nonperforming loans (there were no nonaccrual loans in loans held-for-sale at June 30, 2013). The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2012 was \$20.0 million, or 2.51% of total loans, representing 137.57% of nonperforming loans, excluding the \$177,000 nonaccrual loans in loans held-for-sale. The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2012 was \$19.0 million, or 2.34% of total loans, representing 104.58% of nonperforming loans (there were no nonaccrual loans in loans held-for-sale at December 31, 2012).

Total deposits, excluding brokered deposits and short-term demand deposits from one customer of \$17.0 million at June 30, 2013 and \$271.9 million at December 31, 2012, were \$1.10 billion at June 30, 2013, compared to \$1.01 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$1.11 billion at December 31, 2012.

The ratio of noncore funding (which consists of time deposits \$100,000 and over, CDARS deposits, brokered deposits, securities under agreement to repurchase and short-term borrowings) to total assets was 20.87% at June 30, 2013, compared to 20.17% at June 30, 2012, and 17.63% at December 31, 2012. The ratio of noncore funding to total assets was 21.13% at June 30, 2013 and 21.00% at December 31, 2012, excluding the short-term deposits of \$17.0 million and \$271.9 million, respectively, at the Federal Reserve Bank offsetting the short-term demand deposits from one customer.

#### **Table of Contents**

The loan to deposit ratio was 70.81% at June 30, 2013, compared to 72.37% at June 30, 2012, and 54.91% at December 31, 2012. The loan to deposit ratio was 71.84% at June 30, 2013 and 67.27% at December 31, 2012, excluding the \$17.0 million and \$271.9 million, respectively, of short-term demand deposits from one customer.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss was (\$4.7) million at June 30, 2013, compared to accumulated other comprehensive income of \$3.2 million at June 30, 2012, and accumulated other comprehensive income of \$2.7 million at December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to an increase in interest rates which resulted in an unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale of (\$507,000), net of taxes, at June 30, 2013, compared to an unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale of \$7.1 million, net of taxes, at June 30, 2012, and an unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale of \$6.9 million, net of taxes, at December 31, 2012.

Capital ratios exceed regulatory requirements for a well-capitalized financial institution, both on a consolidated basis and at the bank level at June 30, 2013:

			Well-Capitalized
	Heritage	Heritage	Financial Institution
Capital Ratios	Commerce Corp	<b>Bank of Commerce</b>	Regulatory Guidelines
Total Risk-Based	16.4%	15.6%	10.0%
Tier 1 Risk-Based	15.1%	14.3%	6.0%
Leverage	12.4%	11.7%	5.0%

#### Recent Events

On June 5, 2013, the Company provided notice to the trustee that it intends to redeem the Company's Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due July 31, 2031 in the amount of \$5 million issued to Heritage Statutory Trust II and the Company's Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due September 26, 2032, in the amount of \$4 million issued to Heritage Statutory Trust III (collectively referred to as the "Floating-Rate Sub Debt"). The \$5 million Floating-Rate Sub Debt was redeemed on July 31, 2013. The \$4 million Floating-Rate Sub Debt will be redeemed on September 26, 2013. Additionally, the Company will pay its regularly scheduled interest payments on the Floating-Rate Sub Debt totaling approximately \$90,000 on the respective redemption dates. The Company used available cash and proceeds from a \$9 million distribution from the Bank for the redemption. The Company incurred a total charge of \$167,000 in the second quarter of 2013, representing the agency origination fees associated with the Floating-Rate Sub Debt. On an annual basis, the redemption of the Floating-Rate Sub Debt will eliminate approximately \$360,000 in interest expense.

On June 12, 2013, the Company completed the repurchase of the common stock warrant issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury on November 21, 2008, which was exercisable into 462,963 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$12.96. The Company repurchased the warrant for \$140,000.

#### Deposits

The composition and cost of the Company's deposit base are important in analyzing the Company's net interest margin and balance sheet liquidity characteristics. Except for brokered and State of California time deposits, the Company's depositors are generally located in its primary market area. Depending on loan demand and other funding requirements, the Company also obtains deposits from wholesale sources including deposit brokers. The Company had \$76.8 million in brokered deposits at June 30, 2013, compared to \$97.7 million at June 30, 2012, and \$97.8 million at December 31, 2012. Deposits from title insurance companies, escrow accounts and real estate exchange facilitators decreased to \$18.2 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$35.7 million at June 30, 2012, and \$21.4 million at December 31, 2012. Certificates of deposit from the State of California totaled \$98.0 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$50.0 million at June 30, 2012, and \$85.0 million at

#### Table of Contents

December 31, 2012. Total deposits at June 30, 2013 were \$1.19 billion, compared to \$1.10 billion at June 30, 2012 and \$1.48 billion at December 31, 2012. Core deposits (excluding all time deposits, CDARS deposits, and the short-term demand deposits from one customer of \$17.0 million at June 30, 2013 and \$271.9 million at December 31, 2012) increased to \$856.9 million at June 30, 2013, an increase of \$49.3 million, or 6% from \$807.6 million at June 30, 2012, and decreased \$26.9 million, or 3%, from \$883.8 million at December 31, 2012. The Company has a policy to monitor all deposits that may be sensitive to interest rate changes to help assure that liquidity risk does not become excessive due to concentrations.

HBC is a member of the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service ("CDARS") program. The CDARS program allows customers with deposits in excess of FDIC insured limits to obtain coverage on time deposits through a network of banks within the CDARS program. Deposits gathered through this program are considered brokered deposits under regulatory guidelines. Deposits in the CDARS program totaled \$17.6 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$5.4 million at June 30, 2012, and \$10.2 million at December 31, 2012.

#### Liquidity

Our liquidity position refers to our ability to maintain cash flows sufficient to fund operations and to meet obligations and other commitments in a timely fashion. At June 30, 2013, we had \$85.8 million in cash and cash equivalents and approximately \$330.1 million in available borrowing capacity from various sources including the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"), the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco ("FRB"), and Federal funds facilities with several financial institutions. The Company also had \$247.2 million in unpledged securities available at June 30, 2013. Our loan to deposit ratio decreased to 70.81% at June 30, 2013, compared to 72.37% at June 30, 2012, and increased from 54.91% at December 31, 2012. The loan to deposit ratio was 71.84% at June 30, 2013 and 67.27% at December 31, 2012, excluding the \$17.0 million and \$271.9 million, respectively, of short-term demand deposits from one customer.

#### Lending

Our lending business originates principally through our branch offices located in our primary markets. The Company also has an additional SBA loan production office in Santa Rosa, California. Total loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, increased 5% to \$842.0 million at June 30, 2013, from \$798.1 million at June 30, 2012, and increased 4% from \$812.3 million at December 31, 2012. The loan portfolio remains well diversified with commercial and industrial ("C&I") loans accounting for 46% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2013. Commercial and residential real estate loans accounted for 44% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2013, of which 50% were owner-occupied by businesses. Consumer and home equity loans accounted for 7% of the total loan portfolio, and land and construction loans accounted for the remaining 3% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2013. The yield on the loan portfolio was 4.93% for the second quarter of 2013, compared to 5.23% for the second quarter of 2012, and 5.13% for the first quarter of 2013. The yield on the loan portfolio was 5.03% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to 5.32% for six months ended June 30, 2012.

### Net Interest Income

The management of interest income and expense is fundamental to the performance of the Company. Net interest income, the difference between interest income and interest expense, is the largest component of the Company's total revenue. Management closely monitors both total net interest income and the net interest margin (net interest income divided by average earning assets).

The Company through its asset and liability policies and practices seeks to maximize net interest income without exposing the Company to an excessive level of interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is

#### Table of Contents

managed by monitoring the pricing, maturity and repricing options of all classes of interest bearing assets and liabilities. This is discussed in more detail under "Liquidity and Asset/Liability Management." In addition, we believe there are measures and initiatives we can take to improve the net interest margin, including increasing loan rates, adding floors on floating rate loans, reducing nonperforming assets, managing deposit interest rates, and reducing higher cost deposits.

The net interest margin is also adversely impacted by the reversal of interest on nonaccrual loans and the reinvestment of loan payoffs into lower yielding investment securities and other short-term investments.

## Management of Credit Risk

We continue to proactively identify, quantify, and manage our problem loans. Early identification of problem loans and potential future losses helps enable us to resolve credit issues with potentially less risk and ultimate losses. We maintain an allowance for loan losses in an amount that we believe is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses in the portfolio. While we strive to carefully manage and monitor credit quality and to identify loans that may be deteriorating, circumstances can change at any time for loans included in the portfolio that may result in future losses, that as of the date of the financial statements have not yet been identified as potential problem loans. Through established credit practices, we adjust the allowance for loan losses accordingly. However, because future events are uncertain, there may be loans that deteriorate some of which could occur in an accelerated time frame. As a result, future additions to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary. Because the loan portfolio contains a number of commercial loans, commercial real estate, construction and land development loans with relatively large balances, deterioration in the credit quality of one or more of these loans may require a significant increase to the allowance for loan losses. Future additions to the allowance may also be required based on changes in the financial condition of borrowers. Additionally, Federal and state banking regulators, as an integral part of their supervisory function, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. These regulatory agencies may require us to recognize further loan loss provisions or charge-offs based upon their judgments, which may be different from ours. Any increase in the allowance for loan losses would have an adverse effect, which may be material, on our financial condition and results of operation.

Further discussion of the management of credit risk appears under "Provision for Loan Losses" and "Allowance for Loan Losses."

#### Noninterest Income

While net interest income remains the largest single component of total revenues, noninterest income is an important component. A portion of the Company's noninterest income is associated with its SBA lending activity, consisting of gains on the sale of loans sold in the secondary market and servicing income from loans sold with servicing retained. Other sources of noninterest income include loan servicing fees, service charges and fees, cash surrender value from company owned life insurance policies, and gains on the sale of securities.

#### Noninterest Expense

Management considers the control of operating expenses to be a critical element of the Company's performance. Noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2013 increased to \$10.4 million, compared to \$9.5 million for the same period in 2012. Noninterest expense for the first six months of 2013 increased to \$21.2 million, compared to \$20.3 million for the six months of 2012. The increase in noninterest expense from the same periods a year ago was primarily due to increased salaries and employee benefits expense due to annual salary increases and the hiring of additional lending relationship officers, and a \$167,000 charge in the second quarter of 2013 related to the redemption of the floating-rate subordinated debt.

#### Table of Contents

#### Capital Management

As part of its asset and liability management process, the Company continually assesses its capital position to take into consideration growth, expected earnings, risk profile and potential corporate activities that it may choose to pursue.

On November 21, 2008, the Company issued to the U.S. Treasury under its Capital Purchase Program 40,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock for \$40.0 million and issued a warrant to purchase 462,963 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$12.96.

On June 21, 2010, the Company issued Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock") to a limited number of institutional investors. The Series C Preferred Stock remains outstanding until its conversion to common stock upon the transfer of the Series C Preferred Stock in accordance with its terms. Holders of Series C Preferred Stock will receive dividends if and only to the extent dividends are paid to holders of common stock.

On March 7, 2012, in accordance with approvals received from the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve, the Company repurchased all shares of the Series A Preferred Stock and paid the related accrued and unpaid dividends. The repurchase of the Series A Preferred Stock will save \$2.0 million in annual dividends. On June 12, 2013, the Company completed the repurchase of the common stock warrant for \$140,000.

We have supported our growth through the issuance of trust preferred securities from special purpose trusts and accompanying sales of subordinated debt to these trusts. The subordinated debt that we issued to the trusts is senior to our shares of common stock and Series C Preferred Stock. As a result, we must make payments on the subordinated debt before any dividends can be paid on our common stock and Series C Preferred Stock. Under the terms of the subordinated debt, we may defer interest payments for up to five years. During the third quarter of 2012, the Company completed the redemption of \$14 million fixed-rate subordinated debt, and had \$9.3 million of floating-rate subordinated debt outstanding at June 30, 2013. In June 2013, the Company announced that it will redeem the remaining \$9.3 million of floating-rate subordinated debt during the third quarter of 2013. The \$5 million Floating-Rate Sub Debt was redeemed on July 31, 2013. The \$4 million Floating-Rate Sub Debt will be redeemed on September 26, 2013. The Company is current with respect to interest accrued on trust preferred subordinated debt securities as of June 30, 2013 and was current as of December 31, 2012.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company earns income from two primary sources. The first is net interest income, which is interest income generated by earning assets less interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. The second is noninterest income, which primarily consists of gains on the sale of loans, loan servicing fees, customer service charges and fees, the increase in cash surrender value of life insurance, and gains on the sale of securities. The majority of the Company's noninterest expenses are operating costs that relate to providing a full range of banking services to our customers.

#### Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

The level of net interest income depends on several factors in combination, including yields on earning assets, the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, the relative volumes of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the mix of products which comprise the Company's earning assets, deposits, and other interest-bearing liabilities. To maintain its net interest margin the Company must manage the relationship between interest earned and paid.

The following Distribution, Rate and Yield table presents the average amounts outstanding for the major categories of the Company's balance sheet, the average interest rates earned or paid thereon,

# Table of Contents

and the resulting net interest margin on average interest earning assets for the periods indicated. Average balances are based on daily averages.

# Distribution, Rate and Yield

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Interest Average Average Income/ Yield/			nree Months ine 30, 2012 Interest Income/	Ended Average Yield/		
NET INTEREST INCOME AND NET INTEREST MARGIN	Balance		Expense	Rate	Balance	Expense	Rate
Assets:				(Dollars in tl	housands)		
Loans, gross(1)	\$ 817,5	65	\$ 10,051	4.93%	\$ 791,660	\$ 10,292	5.23%
Securities taxable	358,5		2,399	2.68%	398,143	2,975	3.01%
Securities tax exempt(2)	58,4		550	3.77%	2, 3, 2, 12	_,,,,,,	210275
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	39,1		30	0.31%	41,508	29	0.28%
Total interest earning assets(2)	1,273,7	69	13,030	4.10%	1,231,311	13,296	4.34%
Cash and due from banks	22,6	58			21,191		
Premises and equipment, net	7,6	11			7,841		
Intangible assets	1,8	30			2,316		
Other assets	67,3	34			69,115		
Total assets	\$ 1,373,2	02			\$ 1,331,774		
Liabilities and shareholders' equity:							
Deposits:							
Demand, noninterest-bearing	\$ 392,12	22			\$ 370,086		
	167.7	26	57	0.140	1.47.767	50	0.150
Demand, interest-bearing	167,7		57	0.14%	147,767	56	0.15%
Savings and money market Time deposits under \$100	281,50 23,29		124 21	0.18% 0.36%	298,544 28,011	179 35	0.24% 0.50%
Time deposits \$100 and over	194,7		194	0.30%	166,486	246	0.59%
Time deposits brokered	81,1		194	0.40%	93,259	219	0.39%
CDARS money market and time deposits	17,9		2	0.04%	5,900	3	0.20%
Total interest-bearing deposits	766,3	57	595	0.31%	739,967	738	0.40%
Total deposits	1,158,4	70	595	0.21%	1,110,053	738	0.27%
Total deposits	1,130,4	19	393	0.21 /0	1,110,033	736	0.2770
Subordinated debt	9,2	79	90	3.89%	23,702	472	8.01%
Short-term borrowings	2	88		0.00%	3,196	2	0.25%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	775,93	24	685	0.35%	766,865	1,212	0.64%
Total interest having lightliften and demand anning to the first of							
Total interest-bearing liabilities and demand, noninterest-bearing / cost of funds	1,168,0	16	685	0.24%	1,136,951	1,212	0.43%
Other liabilities	33,6		083	0.24 /0	31,905	1,212	0.43 /6
Total liabilities	1,201,7	27			1,168,856		
Shareholders' equity	1,201,7				162,918		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,373,20	02			\$ 1,331,774		
Total nationes and shareholders equity	Ψ 1,373,21	,_			ψ 1,551,77 <del>4</del>		
Net interest income(2) / margin			12,345	3.89%		12,084	3.95%
Less tax equivalent adjustment(2)			(192)				
Net interest income			\$ 12,153			\$ 12,084	

- (1) Includes loans held-for-sale. Yield amounts earned on loans include loan fees and costs. Nonaccrual loans are included in average balance.
- (2) Reflects tax equivalent adjustment for tax exempt income based on a 35% tax rate.

47

# Table of Contents

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013				Six Months I ne 30, 2012		
NET INTEREST INCOME AND NET INTEREST MARGIN	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Yield/ Rate	
			(Dollars in th	ousands)			
Assets:	¢ 007.001	¢ 20.140	5.020/ (	770.640	e 20.000	5 220	
Loans, gross(1) Securities taxable	\$ 807,901 372,044	\$ 20,140 4,860	5.03% S 2.63%	\$ 778,640 394,031	\$ 20,608 6,072	5.32% 3.10%	
Securities tax exempt(2)	49,563	932	3.79%	394,031	0,072	3.1070	
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	77,858	99	0.26%	48,750	65	0.27%	
reactal failed sold and interest bearing acposits in outer initiation institutions	77,030	,,	0.2076	10,750	0.5	0.2776	
Total interest earning assets(2)	1,307,366	26,031	4.02%	1,221,421	26,745	4.40%	
Cash and due from banks	23,104			21,089			
Premises and equipment, net	7,566			7,909			
Intangible assets	1,892			2,378			
Other assets	67,944			69,082			
Total assets	\$ 1,407,872		9	\$ 1,321,879			
Liabilities and shareholders' equity:							
Deposits:	h 4=						
Demand, noninterest-bearing	\$ 426,424			\$ 358,689			
Demand, interest-bearing	166,073	116	0.14%	145,208	109	0.15%	
Savings and money market	282,392	244	0.17%	293,374	345	0.24%	
Time deposits under \$100	23,940	43	0.36%	28,117	73	0.52%	
Time deposits \$100 and over	192,518	398	0.42%	168,090	501	0.60%	
Time deposits brokered	86,561	416	0.97%	88,992	420	0.95%	
CDARS money market and time deposits	14,714	3	0.04%	6,083	6	0.20%	
Total interest-bearing deposits	766,198	1,220	0.32%	729,864	1,454	0.40%	
Total deposits	1,192,622	1,220	0.21%	1,088,553	1,454	0.27%	
	0.250	450	2.050	22.502	0.46	0.02~	
Subordinated debt	9,279	178	3.87%	23,702	946	8.03%	
Short-term borrowings	207	1	0.97%	1,618	2	0.25%	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	775,684	1,399	0.36%	755,184	2,402	0.64%	
Total interest-bearing liabilities and demand, noninterest-bearing / cost of							
funds	1,202,108	1,399	0.23%	1,113,873	2,402	0.43%	
Other liabilities	35,080	1,000	0.25 /	32,287	2,.02	0.1070	
	•			•			
Total liabilities	1,237,188			1,146,160			
Shareholders' equity	170,684			175,719			
Shareholders equity	170,004			173,717			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,407,872		9	\$ 1,321,879			
Net interest income(2) / margin		24,632	3.80%		24,343	4.01%	
Less tax equivalent adjustment(2)		(326)					
Net interest income		\$ 24,306			\$ 24,343		

Includes loans held-for-sale. Yield amounts earned on loans include loan fees and costs. Nonaccrual loans are included in average balance.

(2)  $Reflects \ tax \ equivalent \ adjustment \ for \ tax \ exempt \ income \ based \ on \ a \ 35\% \ tax \ rate.$ 

48

# Table of Contents

# **Volume and Rate Variances**

The Volume and Rate Variances table below sets forth the dollar difference in interest earned and paid for each major category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the noted periods, and the amount of such change attributable to changes in average balances (volume) or changes in average interest rates. Volume variances are equal to the increase or decrease in the average balance times the prior period rate, and rate variances are equal to the increase or decrease in the average rate times the prior period average balance. Variances attributable to both rate and volume changes are equal to the change in rate times the change in average balance and are included below in the average volume column.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 vs. 2012 Increase (Decrease) Due to Change In: Average Average Net Volume Rate Chan					
	(Doll	ars in th	ousai	nds)		
Income from interest earning assets:						
Loans, gross	\$ 321	\$ (5	62)	\$	(241)	
Securities taxable	(261)	(3	15)		(576)	
Securities tax exempt	550				550	
Federal funds sold and interest- bearing deposits in other financial institutions	(2)		3		1	
Total interest income from interest earnings assets	608	3)	374)		(266)	
Expense on interest-bearing liabilities:						
Demand, interest-bearing	5		(4)		1	
Savings and money market	(10)	(	(45)		(55)	
Time deposits under \$100	(4)	(	(10)		(14)	
Time deposits \$100 and over	28	(	(80)		(52)	
Time deposits brokered	(29)		7		(22)	
CDARS money market and time deposits	1		(2)		(1)	
Subordinated debt	(140)	(2	(42)		(382)	
Short-term borrowings			(2)		(2)	
Total interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities	(149)	(3	378)		(527)	
Net interest income	\$ 757	\$ (4	96)	\$	261	
49						

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 vs. 2012 Increase (Decrease) Due to Change In: Average Average Net Volume Rate Change						
		(Do	llars	in thousa	nds)		
Income from interest earning assets:							
Loans, gross	\$	718	\$	(1,186)	\$	(468)	
Securities taxable		(279)		(933)		(1,212)	
Securities tax exempt		932				932	
Federal funds sold and interest- bearing deposits in other financial institutions		36		(2)		34	
Total interest income from interest earnings assets		1,407		(2,121)		(714)	
Expense on interest-bearing liabilities:				(0)		_	
Demand, interest-bearing		15		(8)		7	
Savings and money market		(3)		(98)		(101)	
Time deposits under \$100		(7)		(23)		(30)	
Time deposits \$100 and over		48		(151)		(103)	
Time deposits brokered		(12)		8		(4)	
CDARS money market and time deposits		2		(5)		(3)	
Subordinated debt		(277)		(491)		(768)	
Short-term borrowings		(7)		6		(1)	
Total interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities		(241)		(762)		(1,003)	
Net interest income	\$	1,648	\$	(1,359)	\$	289	

The Company's net interest margin, expressed as a percentage of average earning assets was 3.89% for the second quarter of 2013, compared to 3.95% for the second quarter a year ago, as a decrease in average yields on loans and investment securities was primarily offset by a lower cost of funds in the second quarter of 2013. For the first six months of 2013, the net interest margin decreased to 3.80%, compared to 4.01% for the first six months of 2012. The decrease in net interest margin was primarily as a result of lower yields on loans and securities, and an average balance of excess deposits at the Federal Reserve, partially offset by a lower cost of funds.

Net interest income increased slightly to \$12.2 million for the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$12.1 million for the second quarter of 2012, primarily due to a higher average volume of loans and securities. Net interest income remained flat at \$24.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

A substantial portion of the Company's earning assets are variable-rate loans that re-price when the Company's prime lending rate is changed, compared to a large base of core deposits that are generally slower to re-price. This causes the Company's balance sheet to be asset-sensitive, which means that all else being equal, the Company's net interest margin will be lower during periods when short-term interest rates are falling and higher when rates are rising.

## Provision for Loan Losses

Credit risk is inherent in the business of making loans. The Company establishes an allowance for loan losses through charges to earnings, which are presented in the statements of income as the provision for loan losses. Specifically identifiable and quantifiable known losses are promptly charged off against the allowance. The provision for loan losses is determined by conducting a quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the Company's allowance for loan losses and charging the shortfall, if

#### Table of Contents

any, to the current quarter's expense. This has the effect of creating variability in the amount and frequency of charges to the Company's earnings. The provision for loan losses and level of allowance for each period are dependent upon many factors, including loan growth, net charge-offs, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, delinquencies, management's assessment of the quality of the loan portfolio, the valuation of problem loans and the general economic conditions in the Company's market area.

A credit to the provision for loan losses of \$270,000 for the second quarter of 2013 was the result of improving overall asset quality and net recoveries of \$270,000. This compares to a provision for loan losses of \$815,000 for the second quarter of 2012. A credit to the provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$270,000, compared to a provision for loan losses \$915,000 for the first six months of 2012.

The allowance for loan losses totaled \$19.3 million, or 2.30% of total loans at June 30, 2013, compared to \$20.0 million, or 2.51% of total loans at June 30, 2012, and \$19.0 million, or 2.34% of total loans at December 31, 2012. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2013, compared to June 30, 2012, was primarily due to improved risk grading and credit metrics on non-impaired real estate loans, as well as a decline in historical charge-off levels. Net recoveries totaled \$270,000 for the second quarter of 2013, compared to net charge-offs of \$1.1 million for the second quarter of 2012, and net charge-offs of \$766,000 for the fourth quarter of 2012. Provisions for loan losses are charged to operations to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by the Company based on the factors discussed under "Allowance for Loan Losses".

#### Noninterest Income

The following table sets forth the various components of the Company's noninterest income for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,				ncrease (d 2013 vers	decrease) sus 2012	
	2013			2012	Amount		Percent
			(D	ollars in	thou	sands)	
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$	618	\$	601	\$	17	3%
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance		410		429		(19)	-4%
Servicing income		385		447		(62)	-14%
Gain on sales of SBA loans		134		376		(242)	-64%
Gain on sales of securities		7		32		(25)	-78%
Other		361		205		156	76%
Total noninterest income	\$	1.915	\$	2.090	\$	(175)	-8%

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,				ncrease (d 2013 vers		
	2013		2012		Amount		Percent
			(D	ollars in	thous	sands)	
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	\$	1,195	\$	1,191	\$	4	0%
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance		826		858		(32)	-4%
Servicing income		750		907		(157)	-17%
Gain on sales of SBA loans		270		412		(142)	-34%
Gain on sales of securities		38		59		(21)	-36%
Other		499		386		113	29%
Total noninterest income	\$	3,578	\$	3,813	\$	(235)	-6%

#### **Table of Contents**

The decrease in noninterest income in the second quarter and first six months of 2013, compared to the same periods in 2012 was primarily attributable to a lower gain on sales of SBA loans.

Historically, a significant percentage of the Company's noninterest income has been associated with its SBA lending activity, as gains on the sale of loans sold in the secondary market and servicing income from loans sold with servicing rights retained. For the three months ended June 30, 2013, SBA loan sales resulted in a \$134,000 gain, compared to a \$376,000 gain on sale of SBA loans for the three months ended June 30, 2012. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, SBA loan sales resulted in a \$270,000 gain, compared to a \$412,000 gain on sale of SBA loans for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The gain on sales of SBA loans were lower in the second quarter and first six months of 2013, compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily due to a lower balance of SBA loans sold. The servicing assets that result from the sales of SBA loans with servicing retained are amortized over the expected term of the loans using a method approximating the interest method. Servicing income generally declines as the respective loans are repaid.

# Noninterest Expense

The following table sets forth the various components of the Company's noninterest expense for the periods indicated:

	For the Months June	End			lecrease) us 2012	
	2013 2012			Ar	nount	Percent
		(Do	llars in t	hous	ands)	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 5,864	\$	5,377	\$	487	9%
Occupancy and equipment	1,028		967		61	6%
Professional fees	400		470		(70)	-15%
Data processing	327		247		80	32%
Low income housing investment losses	300		262		38	15%
Software subscriptions	294		313		(19)	-6%
Insurance expense	253		224		29	13%
FDIC deposit insurance premiums	207		202		5	2%
Correspondent bank charges	179		155		24	15%
Subordinated debt redemption charges	167				167	N/A
Foreclosed assets, net	(96)		105		(201)	-191%
Other	1,466		1,132		334	30%
Total noninterest expense	\$ 10,389	\$	9,454	\$	935	10%

52

	For th Months June	Enc	led		ncrease (d 2013 vers	decrease) sus 2012	
	2013	2012			mount	Percent	
		(D	ollars in th	ousa	ands)		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 11,875	\$	11,044	\$	831	8%	
Occupancy and equipment	2,096		1,963		133	7%	
Professional fees	1,382		1,681		(299)	-18%	
Data processing	579		492		87	18%	
Low income housing investment losses	611		531		80	15%	
Software subscriptions	585		603		(18)	-3%	
Insurance expense	508		447		61	14%	
FDIC deposit insurance premiums	466		427		39	9%	
Correspondent bank charges	343		299		44	15%	
Subordinated debt redemption charges	167				167	N/A	
Foreclosed assets, net	(251)		220		(471)	-214%	
Other	2,809		2,603		206	8%	
Total noninterest expense	\$ 21,170	\$	20,310	\$	860	4%	

The following table indicates the percentage of noninterest expense in each category for the periods indicated:

# Noninterest Expense by Category

	For The Three Months Ended June 30,								
		2012	Percent			Percent			
		2013	of Total		2012	of Total			
			(Dollars in the	ious	ands)				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$	5,864	56%	\$	5,377	57%			
Occupancy and equipment		1,028	10%		967	10%			
Professional fees		400	4%		470	5%			
Data processing		327	3%		247	3%			
Low income housing investment losses		300	3%		262	3%			
Software subscriptions		294	3%		313	3%			
Insurance expense		253	2%		224	2%			
FDIC deposit insurance premiums		207	2%		202	2%			
Correspondent bank charges		179	2%		155	2%			
Subordinated debt redemption charges		167	2%			0%			
Foreclosed assets, net		(96)	-1%		105	1%			
Other		1,466	14%		1,132	12%			
Total noninterest expense	\$	10,389	100%	\$	9,454	100%			

		For The Six Months Ended June 30,						
	Percent					Percent		
	2013		of Total		2012	of Total		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$	11,875	56%	\$	11,044	54%		
Occupancy and equipment		2,096	10%		1,963	10%		
Professional fees		1,382	6%		1,681	8%		
Data processing		579	3%		492	3%		
Low income housing investment losses		611	3%		531	3%		
Software subscriptions		585	3%		603	3%		
Insurance expense		508	2%		447	2%		
FDIC deposit insurance premiums		466	2%		427	2%		
Correspondent bank charges		343	2%		299	2%		
Subordinated debt redemption charges		167	1%			0%		
Foreclosed assets, net		(251)	-1%		220	1%		
Other		2,809	13%		2,603	13%		
Total noninterest expense	\$	21,170	100%	\$	20,310	100%		

Noninterest expense in the second quarter of 2013 was \$10.4 million, an increase from \$9.5 million for the first quarter of 2012. Noninterest expense for the first six months of 2013 was \$21.2 million, compared to \$20.3 million for the first six months of 2012. The increase in noninterest expense from the same periods a year ago was primarily due to increased salaries and employee benefits expense due to annual salary increases and the hiring of additional lending relationship officers, and a \$167,000 charge in the second quarter of 2013 related to the redemption of the floating-rate subordinated debt. Full-time equivalent employees were 191 at June 30, 2013 and 187 at June 30, 2012.

## Income Tax Expense

The Company computes its provision for income taxes on a monthly basis. The effective tax rate is determined by applying the Company's statutory income tax rates to pre-tax book income as adjusted for permanent differences between pre-tax book income and actual taxable income. These permanent differences include, but are not limited to, increases in the cash surrender value of life insurance policies, California Enterprise Zone deductions, certain expenses that are not allowed as tax deductions, and tax credits.

The Company's Federal and state income tax expense for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$1.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. The income tax expense was \$1.2 million and \$2.2 million for the same periods in 2012. The following table shows the Company's effective income tax rates for the periods indicated:

	Months l	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Ended 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Effective income tax rate	29.3%	31.4%	28.8%	31.4%

The difference in the effective tax rate compared to the combined Federal and state statutory tax rate of 42% is primarily the result of the Company's investment in life insurance policies whose earnings are not subject to taxes, tax credits related to investments in low income housing limited partnerships, and tax exempt municipal securities. The Company has net investments of \$1.9 million in low-income housing limited partnerships as of June 30, 2013.

#### Table of Contents

Some items of income and expense are recognized in different years for tax purposes than when applying generally accepted accounting principles leading to timing differences between the Company's actual tax liability, and the amount accrued for this liability based on book income. These temporary differences comprise the "deferred" portion of the Company's tax expense or benefit, which is accumulated on the Company's books as a deferred tax asset or deferred tax liability until such time as they reverse.

Realization of the Company's deferred tax assets is primarily dependent upon the Company generating sufficient future taxable income to obtain benefit from the reversal of net deductible temporary differences and utilization of tax credit carryforwards and the net operating loss carryforwards for Federal and California state income tax purposes. The amount of deferred tax assets considered realizable is subject to adjustment in future periods based on estimates of future taxable income. Under generally accepted accounting principles a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is "more likely than not" that a deferred tax asset will not be realized. The determination of the realizability of the deferred tax assets is highly subjective and dependent upon judgment concerning management's evaluation of both positive and negative evidence, including forecasts of future income, cumulative losses, applicable tax planning strategies, and assessments of current and future economic and business conditions.

The Company had net deferred tax assets of \$24.6 million and \$19.3 million at June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. After consideration of the matters in the preceding paragraph, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 will be fully realized in future years.

# FINANCIAL CONDITION

As of June 30, 2013, total assets increased to \$1.40 billion, compared to \$1.32 billion at June 30, 2012, and decreased from \$1.69 billion at December 31, 2012. Excluding the short-term deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank offsetting the short-term demand deposits from one customer of \$17.0 million at June 30, 2013 and \$271.9 million at December 31, 2012, total assets were \$1.38 billion and \$1.42 billion, respectively. Securities available-for-sale (at fair value) were \$293.8 million at June 30, 2013, a decrease of 25% from \$389.8 million at June 30, 2012, and a decrease of 20% from \$367.9 million at December 31, 2012. Securities held-to-maturity (at amortized cost) were \$81.7 million at June 30, 2013, compared to no securities held-to-maturity at June 30, 2012, and \$51.5 million at December 31, 2012. The total loan portfolio, excluding loans held-for-sale, was \$842.0 million at June 30, 2013, an increase of 5% from \$798.1 million at June 30, 2012, and an increase of 4% from \$812.3 million at December 31, 2012.

Total deposits, excluding the short-term demand deposits from one customer of \$17.0 million at June 30, 2013 and \$271.9 million at December 31, 2012, increased 6% to \$1.17 billion at June 30, 2013, from \$1.10 billion at June 30, 2012 and decreased 3% from \$1.21 billion at December 31, 2012. Subordinated debt decreased to \$9.3 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, compared to \$23.7 million at June 30, 2012, as a result of the redemption of \$14 million fixed-rate subordinated debt during the third quarter of 2012.

# Table of Contents

# Securities Portfolio

The following table reflects the balances for each category of securities at the dates indicated:

		June 30,			December 31,		
		2013 2012			2012		
	(Dollars in thousands)						
Securities available-for-sale (at fair value):							
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	225,397	\$	325,926	\$	291,244	
Corporate bonds		47,646		23,221		55,588	
Trust preferred securities		20,735		40,673		21,080	
Total	\$	293,778	\$	389,820	\$	367,912	
Securities held-to-maturity (at amortized cost):							
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$	14,211	\$		\$	16,659	
Municipals Tax Exempt		67,520				34,813	
	\$	81,731	\$		\$	51,472	

The following table summarizes the weighted average life and weighted average yields of securities at June 30, 2013:

				June 30,	2013					
	Weighted Average Life									
	After One and Within Five Years		After Five and Within Ten Years		After Ten Years		Total	ı		
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield		
			(D	ollars in tl	ousands)					
Securities available-for-sale (at										
fair value):										
Agency mortgage-backed										
securities	\$ 170,742	2.63%	\$ 54,655	2.32%	\$	0.00%	\$ 225,397	2.56%		
Corporate bonds	2,115	3.41%	45,531	3.18%			47,646	3.19%		
Trust preferred securities					20,735	4.87%	20,735	4.87%		
_										
	\$ 172,857	2.64%	\$ 100,186	2.71%	\$ 20,735	4.87%	\$ 293,778	2.82%		

			June 3	0, 2013					
Weighted Average Life									
After One and Within Five Years		After Five and Within Ten Years			_	Tota	1		
Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield		
		(	Dollars in	thousands)					
\$ 6,824	2.69%	\$	0.00%	\$ 7,387	3.65%	\$ 14,211	3.19%		
215	3.53%	4,295	3.82%	63,010	3.84%	67,520	3.83%		
\$ 7,039	2.72%	\$ 4,295	3.82%	\$ 70,397	3.82%	\$ 81,731	3.72%		
	and W Five Y Amount \$ 6,824 215	and Within Five Years Amount Yield  \$ 6,824 2.69% 215 3.53%	After One and Within Five Years Ten Y Amount Yield Amount  \$ 6,824 2.69% \$ 215 3.53% 4,295	After One and Within Five Years  Amount Vield Amount Vield (Dollars in \$\$ 6,824 2.69% \$\$ 0.00% 215 3.53% 4,295 3.82%	After One and Within After Five and Within Five Years Ten Years Ten Years  Amount Yield Amount Yield Amount (Dollars in thousands)  \$ 6,824 2.69% \$ 0.00% \$ 7,387 215 3.53% 4,295 3.82% 63,010	Weighted Average Life	Weighted Average Life		

The securities portfolio is the second largest component of the Company's interest-earning assets, and the structure and composition of this portfolio is important to an analysis of the financial condition of the Company. The portfolio serves the following purposes: (i) it provides a source of pledged assets for securing certain deposits and borrowed funds, as may be required by law or by specific agreement with a depositor or lender; (ii) it provides liquidity to even out cash flows from the loan and deposit activities of customers; (iii) it can be used as an interest rate risk management tool, since it provides a

#### Table of Contents

large base of assets, the maturity and interest rate characteristics of which can be changed more readily than the loan portfolio to better match changes in the deposit base and other funding sources of the Company; and (iv) it is an alternative interest-earning use of funds when loan demand is weak or when deposits grow more rapidly than loans.

The Company's portfolio may include: (i) U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government sponsored entities' debt securities for liquidity and pledging; (ii) mortgage-backed securities, which in many instances can also be used for pledging, and which generally enhance the yield of the portfolio; (iii) municipal obligations, which provide tax free income and limited pledging potential; (iv) collateralized mortgage obligations, which generally enhance the yield of the portfolio; and (v) single entity issue trust preferred securities, which generally enhance the yield on the portfolio.

The Company classifies its securities as either available-for-sale or held-to-maturity at the time of purchase. Prior to the third quarter of 2012, the Company's securities were all classified under existing accounting rules as "available-for-sale" to allow flexibility for the management of the portfolio. Accounting guidance requires available-for-sale securities to be marked to fair value with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a component of shareholders' equity. Monthly adjustments are made to reflect changes in the fair value of the Company's available-for-sale securities. The investment securities available-for-sale portfolio totaled \$293.8 million at June 30, 2013, a decrease of 25% from \$389.8 million at June 30, 2012, and a decrease of 20% from \$367.9 million at December 31, 2012. At June 30, 2013, the investment securities available-for-sale portfolio was comprised of \$225.4 million of agency mortgage-backed securities (all issued by U.S. Government sponsored entities), \$47.7 million of corporate bonds, and \$20.7 million of single entity issue trust preferred securities. Due to higher interest rates, there was a pre-tax unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale at June 30, 2013 of (\$880,000), compared to a pre-tax unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2012 of \$11.5 million.

The investment securities held-to-maturity portfolio, at amortized cost, totaled \$81.7 million at June 30, 2013, compared to no investment securities held-to-maturity at June 30, 2012, and \$51.5 million at December 31, 2012. At June 30, 2013, the investment securities held-to-maturity portfolio was comprised of \$67.5 million of tax-exempt municipal bonds, and \$14.2 million of agency mortgage-backed securities. During the third quarter of 2012, the Company evaluated its available-for-sale portfolio and reclassified at fair value approximately \$16.4 million of the mortgage-backed securities with higher price volatility and longer maturities to the held-to-maturity category. The related unrealized after-tax gains of \$481,000 at June 30, 2013 remained in accumulated other comprehensive income and will be amortized over the remaining life of the securities as an adjustment of yield, offsetting the related amortization of the premium or accretion of the discount on the transferred securities. No gains or losses were recognized at the time of reclassification. Management considers the held-to-maturity classification of these investment securities to be appropriate based on the Company's positive intent and ability to hold these securities to maturity.

The Company has not used interest rate swaps or other derivative instruments to hedge fixed rate loans or securities to otherwise mitigate interest rate risk.

#### Loans

The Company's loans represent the largest portion of invested assets, substantially greater than the securities portfolio or any other asset category, and the quality and diversification of the loan portfolio is an important consideration when reviewing the Company's financial condition.

Gross loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, represented 60% of total assets at June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, and 48% of total assets at December 31, 2012. Gross loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, represented 61% and 57% of total assets, excluding the short-term deposits at the Federal

#### **Table of Contents**

Reserve Bank offsetting the short-term demand deposits from one customer at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The ratio of loans to deposits decreased to 70.81% at June 30, 2013 from 72.37% at June 30, 2012 and increased from 54.91% at December 31, 2012. The loan to deposit ratio was 71.84% and 67.27%, excluding the short-term demand deposits from one customer at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

### Loan Distribution

The Loan Distribution table that follows sets forth the Company's gross loans, excluding loans held-for-sale, outstanding and the percentage distribution in each category at the dates indicated:

	June 30, 2013 % of		June 30, 2	2012 % of	December 3	1, 2012 % of	
	Balance	Total	Balance	Total	Balance	Total	
			(Dollars in the	ousands)			
Commercial	\$ 383,068	46%	\$ 384,260	48%	\$ 375,469	46%	
Real estate:							
Commercial and residential	370,620	44%	333,048	42%	354,934	44%	
Land and construction	26,705	3%	19,822	2%	22,352	3%	
Home equity	48,667	6%	47,813	6%	43,865	5%	
Consumer	13,097	1%	13,024	2%	15,714	2%	
Total loans	842,157	100%	797,967	100%	812,334	100%	
Deferred loan (fees) costs, net	(207)		139		(21)		
Loans, including deferred fees							
and costs	841,950	100%	798,106	100%	812,313	100%	
Allowance for loan losses	(19,342)		(20,023)		(19,027)		
Loans, net	\$ 822,608		\$ 778,083		\$ 793,286		

The Company's loan portfolio is concentrated in commercial loans, primarily manufacturing, wholesale, and services, and commercial real estate, with the balance in land development and construction and home equity and consumer loans. The Company does not have any concentrations by industry or group of industries in its loan portfolio, however, 53% of its gross loans were secured by real property at June 30, 2013, compared to 50% at June 30, 2012, and 52% at December 31, 2012. While no specific industry concentration is considered significant, the Company's lending operations are located in areas that are dependent on the technology and real estate industries and their supporting companies.

The Company has established concentration limits in its loan portfolio for commercial real estate loans, commercial loans, construction loans and unsecured lending, among others. All loan types are within established limits. The Company underwrites to the historical cash flow of the borrowers to determine debt service and stress tests the debt service under higher interest rate scenarios. Financial and performance covenants are used in commercial lending to allow the Company to react to a borrower's deteriorating financial condition should that occur.

The Company's commercial loans are made for working capital, financing the purchase of equipment or for other business purposes. Commercial loans include loans with maturities ranging from thirty days to one year and "term loans" with maturities normally ranging from one to five years. Short-term business loans are generally intended to finance current transactions and typically provide for periodic principal payments, with interest payable monthly. Term loans normally provide for floating interest rates, with monthly payments of both principal and interest.

The Company is an active participant in the SBA and U.S. Department of Agriculture guaranteed lending programs, and has been approved by the SBA as a lender under the Preferred Lender

#### **Table of Contents**

Program. The Company regularly makes such guaranteed loans (collectively referred to as "SBA loans"). The guaranteed portion of these loans is typically sold in the secondary market depending on market conditions. When the guaranteed portion of an SBA loan is sold the Company retains the servicing rights for the sold portion. During the first three months and the first six months of 2013, loans were sold resulting in a gain on sale of SBA loans of \$134,000 and \$270,000 respectively.

As of June 30, 2013, commercial and residential real estate mortgage loans of \$370.6 million consist primarily of adjustable and fixed rate loans secured by deeds of trust on commercial and residential property. The real estate mortgage loans at June 30, 2013 consist of \$187.1 million, or 50%, of commercial owner occupied properties, \$182.7 million, or 49%, of commercial investment properties, and \$768,000, or 1% in residential properties. Properties securing the commercial real estate mortgage loans are generally located in the Company's primary market, which is the Greater San Francisco Bay Area.

The Company's commercial real estate loans consist primarily of loans based on the borrower's cash flow and are secured by deeds of trust on commercial and residential property to provide a secondary source of repayment. The Company generally restricts real estate term loans to no more than 75% of the property's appraised value or the purchase price of the property during the initial underwriting of the credit, depending on the type of property and its utilization. The Company offers both fixed and floating rate loans. Maturities on real estate mortgage loans are generally between five and ten years (with amortization ranging from fifteen to twenty-five years and a balloon payment due at maturity); however, SBA and certain other real estate loans that can be sold in the secondary market may be granted for longer maturities.

The Company's land and construction loans are primarily to finance the development/construction of commercial and single family residential properties. The Company utilizes underwriting guidelines to assess the likelihood of repayment from sources such as sale of the property or availability of permanent mortgage financing prior to making the construction loan. Land and construction loans increased \$6.9 million to \$26.7 million, at June 30, 2013, from \$19.8 million, at June 30, 2012, and increased \$4.3 million from \$22.4 million, at December 31, 2012.

The Company makes home equity lines of credit available to its existing customers. Home equity lines of credit are underwritten initially with a maximum 70% loan to value ratio. Home equity lines are reviewed at least semiannually, with specific emphasis on loans with a loan to value ratio greater than 70% and loans that were underwritten from mid-2005 through 2008, when real estate values were at the peak in the cycle. The Company takes measures to work with customers to reduce line commitments and minimize potential losses. There have been no adverse classifications to date as a result of the review.

Additionally, the Company makes consumer loans for the purpose of financing automobiles, various types of consumer goods, and other personal purposes. Consumer loans generally provide for the monthly payment of principal and interest. Most of the Company's consumer loans are secured by the personal property being purchased or, in the instances of home equity loans or lines, real property.

With certain exceptions, state chartered banks are permitted to make extensions of credit to any one borrowing entity up to 15% of the bank's capital and reserves for unsecured loans and up to 25% of the bank's capital and reserves for secured loans. For HBC, these lending limits were \$27.5 million and \$45.8 million at June 30, 2013, respectively.

#### Loan Maturities

The following table presents the maturity distribution of the Company's loans (excluding loans held-for-sale) as of June 30, 2013. The table shows the distribution of such loans between those loans with predetermined (fixed) interest rates and those with variable (floating) interest rates. Floating rates

### Table of Contents

generally fluctuate with changes in the prime rate as reflected in the Western Edition of The Wall Street Journal. As of June 30, 2013, approximately 62% of the Company's loan portfolio consisted of floating interest rate loans.

	Due in One Year or Less		Over One Year But Less than Five Years		Fi	Over ive Years	Total
				(Dollars in	thou	isands)	
Commercial	\$	274,946	\$	40,802	\$	67,320	\$ 383,068
Real estate:							
Commercial and residential		90,513		171,767		108,340	370,620
Land and construction		26,205		500			26,705
Home equity		45,855		1,534		1,278	48,667
Consumer		12,310		702		85	13,097
Loans	\$	449,829	\$	215,305	\$	177,023	\$ 842,157
Loans with variable interest rates	\$	398,315	\$	56,432	\$	71,297	\$ 526,044
Loans with fixed interest rates		51,514		158,873		105,726	316,113
Loans	\$	449,829	\$	215,305	\$	177,023	\$ 842,157

### Loan Servicing

As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$143.1 million and \$160.6 million, respectively, in SBA loans were serviced by the Company for others. Activity for loan servicing rights was as follows:

		For the Months June	Enc	ded		For th Months June	Enc	led
	2	013	2	012	2	2013	2	2012
			(Do	llars in	tho	usands)		
Beginning of period balance	\$	670	\$	730	\$	709	\$	792
Additions		29		106		58		115
Amortization		(68)		(56)		(136)		(127)
End of period balance	\$	631	\$	780	\$	631	\$	780

Loan servicing rights are included in accrued interest receivable and other assets on the unaudited consolidated balance sheets and reported net of amortization. There was no valuation allowance as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, as the fair value of the assets was greater than the carrying value.

Activity for the I/O strip receivable was as follows:

	For the Months June	Enc	ded		For the Months	Enc	led
	2013		2012		2013		2012
		(D	ollars in	thou	isands)		
Beginning of period balance	\$ 1,777	\$	2,113	\$	1,786	\$	2,094
Unrealized holding gain (loss)	(51)		27		(60)		46
End of period balance	\$ 1,726	\$	2,140	\$	1,726	\$	2,140

#### **Table of Contents**

### Credit Quality

Financial institutions generally have a certain level of exposure to credit quality risk, and could potentially receive less than a full return of principal and interest if a debtor becomes unable or unwilling to repay. Since loans are the most significant assets of the Company and generate the largest portion of its revenues, the Company's management of credit quality risk is focused primarily on loan quality. Banks have generally suffered their most severe earnings declines as a result of customers' inability to generate sufficient cash flow to service their debts and/or downturns in national and regional economies and declines in overall asset values including real estate. In addition, certain debt securities that the Company may purchase have the potential of declining in value if the obligor's financial capacity to repay deteriorates.

The Company's policies and procedures identify market segments, set goals for portfolio growth or contraction, and establish limits on industry and geographic credit concentrations. In addition, these policies establish the Company's underwriting standards and the methods of monitoring ongoing credit quality. The Company's internal credit risk controls are centered in underwriting practices, credit granting procedures, training, risk management techniques, and familiarity with loan customers as well as the relative diversity and geographic concentration of our loan portfolio.

The Company's credit risk may also be affected by external factors such as the level of interest rates, employment, general economic conditions, real estate values, and trends in particular industries or geographic markets. As an independent community bank serving a specific geographic area, the Company must contend with the unpredictable changes in the general California market and, particularly, primary local markets. The Company's asset quality has suffered in the past from the impact of national and regional economic recessions, consumer bankruptcies, and depressed real estate values.

Nonperforming assets are comprised of the following: loans and loans held-for-sale for which the Company is no longer accruing interest; restructured loans which have been current under six months; loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest (although they are generally placed on nonaccrual when they become 90 days past due, unless they are both well-secured and in the process of collection); and foreclosed assets. Management's classification of a loan as "nonaccrual" is an indication that there is reasonable doubt as to the full recovery of principal or interest on the loan. At that point, the Company stops accruing interest income, and reverses any uncollected interest that had been accrued as income. The Company begins recognizing interest income only as cash interest payments are received and it has been determined the collection of all outstanding principal is not in doubt. The loans may or may not be collateralized, and collection efforts are pursued. Loans may be restructured by management when a borrower has experienced some change in financial status causing an inability to meet the original repayment terms and where the Company believes the borrower will eventually overcome those circumstances and make full restitution. Foreclosed assets consist of properties acquired by foreclosure or similar means that management is offering or will offer for sale.

### Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's nonperforming assets at the dates indicated:

	June	e <b>30</b> ,		De	ecember 31,
	2013		2012		2012
	(1	Dolla	rs in thous	ands)	
Nonaccrual loans held-for-sale	\$	\$	177	\$	
Nonaccrual loans held-for-investment	13,868		12,890		17,335
Restructured and loans over 90 days past due and still accruing	510		1,665		859
Total nonperforming loans	14,378		14,732		18,194
Foreclosed assets	659		3,098		1,270
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 15,037	\$	17,830	\$	19,464
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of loans plus other real estate owned plus nonaccrual					
loans held-for-sale plus foreclosed assets	1.78%		2.22%		2.39%
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets	1.07%		1.35%		1.15%

Nonperforming assets were \$15.0 million, or 1.07% of total assets, at June 30, 2013, compared to \$17.8 million, or 1.35% of total assets at June 30, 2012, and \$19.5 million, or 1.15% of total assets at December 31, 2012. Nonperforming assets to total assets were 1.09% at June 30, 2013 and 1.37% at December 31, 2012, excluding the short-term deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank offsetting the short-term demand deposits from one customer. Included in total nonperforming assets were foreclosed assets of \$659,000 at June 30, 2013, compared to \$3.1 million at June 30, 2012, and \$1.3 million at December 31, 2012.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. Loans are charged-off against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance for loan losses. Management's methodology for estimating the allowance balance consists of several key elements, which include specific allowances on individual impaired loans and the formula driven allowances on pools of loans with similar risk characteristics. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged-off.

Specific allowances are established for impaired loans. Management considers a loan to be impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the original contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled interest payments. Loans for which the terms have been modified with a concession granted, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired. When a loan is considered to be impaired, the amount of impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell if the loan is collateral dependent, or on the present value of expected future cash flows or values that are observable in the secondary market. If the measure of the impaired loans is less than the investment in the loan, the deficiency will be charged off against the allowance for loan losses if the amount is a confirmed loss, or, alternatively, a specific allocation within the allowance will be established. Loans that are considered impaired are specifically excluded from the formula portion of the allowance for loan losses analysis.

#### Table of Contents

The estimated loss factors for pools of loans that are not impaired are based on determining the probability of default and loss given default for loans within each segment of the portfolio, adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect collectability as of the evaluation date. The Company's historical delinquency experience and loss experience are utilized to determine the probability of default and loss given default for segments of the portfolio where the Company has no significant prior loss experience, the Company uses quantifiable observable industry data to determine the probability of default and loss given default.

Loans that demonstrate a weakness for which there is a possibility of loss if the weakness is not corrected, are categorized as "classified." Classified assets include all loans considered as substandard, substandard-nonaccrual, and doubtful and may result from problems specific to a borrower's business or from economic downturns that affect the borrower's ability to repay or that cause a decline in the value of the underlying collateral (particularly real estate), and foreclosed assets. The principal balance of classified assets, net of SBA guarantees, was \$23.8 million at June 30, 2013, \$54.9 million at June 30, 2012, and \$36.8 million at December 31, 2012. Included in classified assets at June 30, 2012 were \$177,000 of loans held-for-sale. There were no loans held-for-sale included in classified assets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Loans held-for-sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, and are not allocated an allowance for loan losses. Reducing classified assets will continue to be a focus for executive management, the lending staff and the Company's Special Assets Department.

It is the policy of management to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level adequate for risks inherent in the loan portfolio. On an ongoing basis, we have engaged an outside firm to perform independent credit reviews of our loan portfolio. The Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and the California Department of Financial Institutions also review the allowance for loan losses as an integral part of the examination process. Based on information currently available, management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate. However, the loan portfolio can be adversely affected if California economic conditions and the real estate market in the Company's market area were to further weaken. Also, any weakness of a prolonged nature in the technology industry would have a negative impact on the local market. The effect of such events, although uncertain at this time, could result in an increase in the level of nonperforming loans and increased loan losses, which could adversely affect the Company's future growth and profitability. No assurance of the ultimate level of credit losses can be given with any certainty.

The following tables summarize the Company's loan loss experience, as well as provisions and charges to the allowance for loan losses and certain pertinent ratios for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013								
	Con	nmercial	Rea	l Estate	Cons	umer		Total	
			(D	ollars in the	usands	)			
Balance, beginning of period	\$	12,455	\$	6,770	\$	117	\$	19,342	
Charge-offs		(119)		(56)				(175)	
Recoveries		188		257				445	
Net recoveries		69		201				270	
Provision (credit) for loan losses		287		(583)		26		(270)	
Balance, end of period	\$	12,811	\$	6,388	\$	143	\$	19,342	
•									
RATIOS:									
Net recoveries to average loans(1)		0.03%		0.10%		0.00%		0.13%	
Allowance for loan losses to total loans(1)		1.52%		0.76%		0.02%		2.30%	
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans		89.10%		44.43%		0.99%		134.52%	
		(	53						

## Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012							
	Commercial		Re	eal Estate		Consumer		Total
			(]	Dollars in the	ousan	ds)		
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,734	\$	6,409	\$	163	\$	20,306
Charge-offs		(1,280)		(101)				(1,381)
Recoveries		60		223				283
Net (charge-offs) recoveries		(1,220)		122				(1,098)
Provision (credit) for loan losses		864		8		(57)		815
Balance, end of period	\$	13.378	\$	6,539	\$	106	\$	20,023
1		- /		- ,				-,-
RATIOS:								
Net charge-offs (recoveries) to average loans(1)		0.62%		-0.06%		0.00%		0.56%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans(1)		1.68%		0.82%		0.01%		2.51%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans		90.81%		44.39%		0.72%		135.92%

(1) Average loans and total loans exclude loans held-for-sale.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013								
	Commercial			al Estate	Con	sumer		Total	
	(Dollars in thousands)								
Balance, beginning of period	\$	12,866	\$	6,034	\$	127	\$	19,027	
Charge-offs		(959)		(56)				(1,015)	
Recoveries		1,338		262				1,600	
Net recoveries		379		206				585	
Provision (credit) for loan losses		(434)		148		16		(270)	
Balance, end of period	\$	12,811	\$	6,388	\$	143	\$	19,342	
RATIOS:									
Net recoveries to average loans(1)		0.10%		0.05%		0.00%		0.15%	
Allowance for loan losses to total loans(1)		1.52%		0.76%		0.02%		2.30%	
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans		89.10%		44.43%		0.99%		134.52%	

Cor	nmercial	ъ.					
	miner ciui	Rea	l Estate	Con	sumer	umer	
		<b>(D</b>	ollars in the	ousand	s)		
\$	13,215	\$	7,338	\$	147	\$	20,700
	(2,190)		(146)				(2,336)
	521		223				744
	(1,669)		77				(1,592)
	1,832		(876)		(41)		915
\$	13.378	\$	6.539	\$	106	\$	20,023
4	,0,0	-	-,	-	-00	7	_=,,,
	\$	(2,190) 521 (1,669) 1,832	\$ 13,215 \$ (2,190) 521 (1,669) 1,832	\$ 13,215 \$ 7,338 (2,190) (146) 521 223 (1,669) 77 1,832 (876)	\$ 13,215 \$ 7,338 \$ (2,190) (146) 521 223 (1,669) 77 1,832 (876)	(2,190) (146) 521 223 (1,669) 77 1,832 (876) (41)	\$ 13,215 \$ 7,338 \$ 147 \$ (2,190) (146) 521 223 (1,669) 77 1,832 (876) (41)

Net charge-offs (recoveries) to average loans(1)	0.44%	-0.02%	0.00%	0.41%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans(1)	1.68%	0.82%	0.01%	2.51%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	90.81%	44.39%	0.72%	135.92%

(1) Average loans and total loans exclude loans held-for-sale.

The following table provides a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan losses for specific class at the dates indicated. The allocation presented should not be interpreted as an indication

#### Table of Contents

that charges to the allowance for loan losses will be incurred in these amounts or proportions, or that the portion of the allowance allocated to each category represents the total amount available for charge-offs that may occur within these classes.

#### Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

			June	30,				
	Al	201	Percent of Loans in each category to total		201 lowance	Percent of Loans in each category to total loans	December llowance	31, 2012 Percent of Loans in each category to total loans
				(D	ollars in t	housands)		
Commercial	\$	12,811	46%	\$	13,378	48%	\$ 12,866	46%
Real estate:								
Commercial and								
residential		4,949	44%		5,492	42%	4,609	44%
Land and construction		280	3%		478	2%	399	3%
Home equity		1,159	6%		569	6%	1,026	5%
Consumer		143	1%		106	2%	127	2%
Total	\$	19,342	100%	\$	20,023	100%	\$ 19,027	100%

The allowance for loan losses totaled \$19.3 million, or 2.30% of total loans at June 30, 2013, compared to \$20.0 million, or 2.51% of total loans at June 30, 2012, and \$19.0 million, or 2.34% of total loans at December 31, 2012. Loan charge-offs reflect the realization of losses in the portfolio that were partially recognized previously through the provision for loan losses. The Company had net recoveries of \$270,000, or 0.13% of average loans, for the second quarter of 2013, compared to net charge-offs of \$1.1 million, or 0.56% of average loans, for the second quarter of 2012, and net charge-offs of \$766,000, or 0.38% of average loans, for the fourth quarter of 2012. The allowance for loan losses related to the commercial portfolio decreased \$55,000 at June 30, 2013 from December 31, 2012, as a result of a credit to the provision for loan losses of \$434,000 and net recoveries of \$379,000. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses was primarily due to improved risk grading and credit metrics on commercial loans, as well as a decline in historical charge-off levels. The allowance for loan losses related to the real estate portfolio increased \$354,000 at June 30, 2013 from December 31, 2012, as a result of a provision for loan losses of \$148,000 and net recoveries of \$206,000. The increase in the allowance for loan losses was primarily due to an increase in the allowance for loan losses on impaired real estate loans, partially offset by improved risk grading and credit metrics on non-impaired real estate loans, as well as a decline in historical charge-off levels.

## Deposits

The composition and cost of the Company's deposit base are important components in analyzing the Company's net interest margin and balance sheet liquidity characteristics, both of which are discussed in greater detail in other sections herein. The Company's liquidity is impacted by the volatility of deposits or other funding instruments or, in other words, by the propensity of that money to leave the institution for rate-related or other reasons. Deposits can be adversely affected if economic conditions in California, and the Company's market area in particular, continue to weaken. Potentially, the most volatile deposits in a financial institution are jumbo certificates of deposit, meaning time deposits with balances that equal or exceed \$100,000, as customers with balances of that magnitude are typically more rate-sensitive than customers with smaller balances.

### Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the distribution of deposits and the percentage of distribution in each category of deposits for the periods indicated:

	June	30, 2013	June 30,	2012	December 3	1, 2012				
		% to		% to		% to				
	Balance	Total	Balance	Total	Balance	Total				
		(Dollars in thousands)								
Demand, noninterest-bearing	\$ 407,5	16 34%	\$ 367,937	33%	\$ 727,684	49%				
Demand, interest-bearing	171,0	27 14%	148,777	13%	155,951	10%				
Savings and money market	295,3	36 25%	290,867	26%	272,047	18%				
Time deposits under \$100	23,0	62 2%	28,009	3%	25,157	2%				
Time deposits \$100 and over	197,7	18 17%	164,056	15%	190,502	13%				
Time deposits brokered	76,8	00 6%	97,680	9%	97,807	7%				
CDARS money market and time										
deposits	17,5	80 2%	5,427	1%	10,220	1%				
_										
Total deposits	\$ 1,189,0	39 100%	\$ 1,102,753	100%	\$ 1,479,368	100%				

The Company obtains deposits from a cross-section of the communities it serves. The Company's business is not generally seasonal in nature. The Company is not dependent upon funds from sources outside the United States. Less than 9% of deposits at June 30, 2013, 5% at June 30, 2012, and 6% at December 31, 2012 were from public sources.

Deposits totaled \$1.19 billion at June 30, 2013, compared to \$1.10 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$1.48 billion at December 31, 2012. Late in the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company received short-term demand deposits in the amount of \$467.5 million from one customer for specific transactions. Of this amount, \$195.6 million was subsequently withdrawn, for a net outstanding balance of \$271.9 million at December 31, 2012. The outstanding balance of the short-term demand deposits was \$17.0 million at June 30, 2013. Total deposits, excluding brokered deposits and short-term demand deposits from one customer of \$17.0 million at June 30, 2013 and \$271.9 million at December 31, 2012, were \$1.10 billion at June 30, 2013, compared to \$1.01 billion at June 30, 2012, and \$1.11 billion at December 31, 2012.

Noninterest-bearing demand deposits (excluding the short-term demand deposits from one customer) increased \$22.6 million, or 6%, to \$390.5 million at June 30, 2013, from \$367.9 million at June 30, 2012, and decreased \$65.3 million, or 14%, from \$455.8 million at December 31, 2012. At June 30, 2013, the Company had \$108.4 million (at fair value) of securities pledged for \$98.0 million in certificates of deposits from the State of California. At June 30, 2012, the Company had \$56.8 million of securities pledged for \$50.0 million in certificates of deposits from the State of California. At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$95.3 million (at fair value) of securities pledged for \$85.0 million in certificates of deposits from the State of California. At June 30, 2013, brokered deposits decreased \$20.9 million, or 21%, to \$76.8 million, compared to \$97.7 million at June 30, 2012, and decreased \$21.0 million, or 21%, from \$97.8 million at December 31, 2012.

CDARS deposits were comprised of \$9.0 million of money market accounts and \$8.6 million of time deposits at June 30, 2013. All of the \$5.4 million of CDARS deposits at June 30, 2012 were time deposits. CDARS deposits were comprised of \$5.0 million of money market accounts and \$5.2 million of time deposits at December 31, 2012.

### Table of Contents

The following table indicates the contractual maturity schedule of the Company's time deposits of \$100,000 and over, and all CDARS time deposits and brokered deposits as of June 30, 2013:

	I	Balance	% of Total		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Three months or less	\$	121,316	43%		
Over three months through six months		61,412	22%		
Over six months through twelve months		54,197	19%		
Over twelve months		46,227	16%		
Total	\$	283,152	100%		

The Company focuses primarily on providing and servicing business deposit accounts that are frequently over \$100,000 in average balance per account. As a result, certain types of business clients that the Company serves typically carry average deposits in excess of \$100,000. The account activity for some account types and client types necessitates appropriate liquidity management practices by the Company to help ensure its ability to fund deposit withdrawals.

### Return on Equity and Assets

The following table indicates the ratios for return on average assets and average equity, and average equity to average assets for the periods indicated:

	Three Month June 3		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Annualized return on average assets	0.82%	0.81%	0.71%	0.72%	
Annualized return on average tangible assets	0.82%	0.81%	0.71%	0.72%	
Annualized return on average equity	6.53%	6.61%	5.88%	5.44%	
Annualized return on average tangible equity	6.60%	6.71%	5.94%	5.52%	
Average equity to average assets ratio	12.49%	12.23%	12.12%	13.29%	

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, the Company makes commitments to extend credit to its customers as long as there are no violations of any conditions established in the contractual arrangements. These commitments are obligations that represent a potential credit risk to the Company, but are not reflected on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Total unused commitments to extend credit were \$349.4 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$298.9 million at June 30, 2012 and \$308.9 million at December 31, 2012. Unused commitments represented 41%, 37%, and 38% of outstanding gross loans at June 30, 2013 and 2012, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The effect on the Company's revenues, expenses, cash flows and liquidity from the unused portion of the commitments to provide credit cannot be reasonably predicted because there is no certainty that

#### **Table of Contents**

lines of credit and letters of credit will ever be fully utilized. The following table presents the Company's commitments to extend credit for the periods indicated:

			Jun	e 30	),					
	2013 Fixed Variable Rate Rate		2012 Variable Fixed Rate Rate			December		1, 2012 Variable Rate		
					(Dollars i	n th	ousands)			
Unused lines of credit and										
commitments to make loans	\$ 5,683	\$	330,870	\$	15,375	\$	272,191	\$	8,410	\$ 291,191
Standby letters of credit	2,775		10,030		2,200		9,103		2,200	7,051
	\$ 8,458	\$	340,900	\$	17,575	\$	281,294	\$	10,610	\$ 298,242

### Liquidity and Asset/Liability Management

Liquidity refers to the Company's ability to maintain cash flows sufficient to fund operations and to meet obligations and other commitments in a timely and cost effective fashion. At various times the Company requires funds to meet short-term cash requirements brought about by loan growth or deposit outflows, the purchase of assets, or liability repayments. An integral part of the Company's ability to manage its liquidity position appropriately is the Company's large base of core deposits, which are generated by offering traditional banking services in its service area and which have historically been a stable source of funds. To manage liquidity needs properly, cash inflows must be timed to coincide with anticipated outflows or sufficient liquidity resources must be available to meet varying demands. The Company manages liquidity to be able to meet unexpected sudden changes in levels of its assets or deposit liabilities without maintaining excessive amounts of balance sheet liquidity. Excess balance sheet liquidity can negatively impact the Company's interest margin. In order to meet short-term liquidity needs the Company utilizes overnight Federal funds purchase arrangements and other borrowing arrangements with correspondent banks, solicits brokered deposits if cost effective deposits are not available from local sources and maintains collateralized lines of credit with the FHLB and FRB. In addition, the Company can raise cash for temporary needs by selling securities under agreements to repurchase and selling securities available-for-sale.

One of the measures we analyze for liquidity is our loan to deposit ratio. Our loan to deposit ratio was 70.81% at June 30, 2013, compared to 72.37% at June 30, 2012, and 54.91% at December 31, 2012. The loan to deposit ratio was 71.84% at June 30, 2013 and 67.27% at December 31, 2012, excluding the \$17.0 million and \$271.9 million, respectively, of short-term demand deposits from one customer.

#### FHLB and FRB Borrowings and Available Lines of Credit

The Company has off-balance sheet liquidity in the form of Federal funds purchase arrangements with correspondent banks, including the FHLB and FRB. The Company can borrow from the FHLB on a short-term (typically overnight) or long-term (over one year) basis. The Company had no overnight borrowings from the FHLB at June 30, 2013, June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2012. The Company had \$228.8 million of loans pledged to the FHLB as collateral on an available line of credit of \$112.5 million at June 30, 2013.

The Company can also borrow from FRB's discount window. The Company had \$223.9 million of loans pledged to the FRB as collateral on an available line of credit of \$162.6 million at June 30, 2013, none of which was outstanding.

#### **Table of Contents**

At June 30, 2013, the Company had Federal funds purchase arrangements available of \$55.0 million. There were no Federal funds purchased outstanding at June 30, 2013, June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2012.

The Company may also utilize securities sold under repurchase agreements to manage our liquidity position. There were no securities sold under agreements to repurchase at June 30, 2013, June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2012.

The following table summarizes the Company's borrowings under its Federal funds purchased, security repurchase arrangements and lines of credit for the periods indicated:

	June 30,			December 31,	
	2013		2012		2012
	(Dollars in thou				
Average balance year-to-date	\$	\$	1,582	\$	
Average interest rate year-to-date	N/.	A	0.22%		N/A
Maximum month-end balance during the quarter	\$	\$	27,000	\$	
Average rate at period-end	N/.	A	N/A		N/A

### Capital Resources

The Company uses a variety of measures to evaluate capital adequacy. Management reviews various capital measurements on a regular basis and takes appropriate action to ensure that such measurements are within established internal and external guidelines. The external guidelines, which are issued by the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC, establish a risk-adjusted ratio relating capital to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet exposures. There are two categories of capital under the Federal Reserve Board and FDIC guidelines: Tier 1 and Tier 2 Capital. Our Tier 1 Capital currently consists of total shareholders' equity (excluding accumulated other comprehensive income or loss) and the proceeds from the issuance of trust preferred securities (trust preferred securities are counted only up to a maximum of 25% of Tier 1 capital), less goodwill and other intangible assets and disallowed deferred tax assets. Our Tier 2 Capital includes the allowances for loan losses and off-balance sheet credit losses.

In July 2013, the Federal banking regulators approved a final rule to implement the revised capital adequacy standards of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, commonly called Basel III, and to address relevant provisions of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"). The final rule strengthens the definition of regulatory capital, increases risk-based capital requirements, makes selected changes to the calculation of risk-weighted assets, and adjusts the prompt corrective action thresholds. Community banking organizations, such as the Company and the Bank, become subject to the new rule on January 1, 2015 and certain provisions of the new rule will be phased in over the period of 2015 through 2019. The final rule:

Permits banking organizations that had less than \$15 billion in total consolidated assets as of December 31, 2009, or were mutual holding companies as of May 19, 2010, to include in Tier 1 capital trust preferred securities and cumulative perpetual preferred stock that were issued and included in Tier 1 capital prior to May 19, 2010, subject to a limit of 25% of Tier 1 capital elements, excluding any non-qualifying capital instruments and after all regulatory capital deductions and adjustments have been applied to Tier 1 capital.

Establishes new qualifying criteria for regulatory capital, including new limitations on the inclusion of deferred tax assets and mortgage servicing rights.

Requires a minimum ratio of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.5%.

Increases the minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio requirement from 4% to 6%.

#### **Table of Contents**

Retains the minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio requirement of 8%.

Establishes a minimum leverage ratio requirement of 4%.

Retains the existing regulatory capital framework for 1-4 family residential mortgage exposures.

Permits banking organizations that are not subject to the advanced approaches rule, such as the Company and the Bank, to retain, through a one-time election, the existing treatment for most accumulated other comprehensive income, such that unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale will not affect regulatory capital amounts and ratios.

Implements a new capital conservation buffer requirement for a banking organization to maintain a common equity capital ratio more than 2.5% above the minimum common equity Tier 1 capital, Tier 1 capital and total risk-based capital ratios in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions, including dividend payments, and certain discretionary bonus payments. The capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning on January 1, 2016 at 0.625% and will be fully phased in at 2.50% by January 1, 2019. A banking organization with a buffer of less than the required amount would be subject to increasingly stringent limitations on such distributions and payments as the buffer approaches zero. The new rule also generally prohibits a banking organization from making such distributions or payments during any quarter if its eligible retained income is negative and its capital conservation buffer ratio was 2.5% or less at the end of the previous quarter. The eligible retained income of a banking organization is defined as its net income for the four calendar quarters preceding the current calendar quarter, based on the organization's quarterly regulatory reports, net of any distributions and associated tax effects not already reflected in net income.

Increases capital requirements for past-due loans, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, and certain short-term commitments and securitization exposures.

Expands the recognition of collateral and guarantors in determining risk-weighted assets.

Removes references to credit ratings consistent with the Dodd-Frank Act and establishes due diligence requirements for securitization exposures.

Management is currently evaluating the provisions of the final rule and their expected impact on the Company and the Bank.

The following table summarizes risk-based capital, risk-weighted assets, and risk-based capital ratios of the consolidated Company:

	June	e 30	,	De	ecember 31,	
	2013		2012		2012	
	(De	olla	rs in thousan	ds)		
Capital components:						
Tier 1 Capital	\$ 166,820	\$	165,974	\$	157,947	
Tier 2 Capital	13,885		13,033		13,254	
Total risk-based capital	\$ 180,705	\$	179,007	\$	171,201	
-						
Risk-weighted assets	\$ 1,105,051	\$	1,035,545	\$	1,054,394	
Average assets for capital						
purposes	\$ 1,350,489	\$	1,302,189	\$	1,378,011	
			70			

#### **Table of Contents**

				Vell-Capitalized Regulatory Requirements	Minimum Regulatory Requirements
Capital ratios:					
Total risk-based capital	16.4%	17.3%	16.2%	10.00%	8.00%
Tier 1 risk-based					
capital	15.1%	16.0%	15.0%	6.00%	4.00%
Leverage(1)	12.4%	12.7%	11.5%	N/A	4.00%

(1)
Tier 1 capital divided by quarterly average assets (excluding goodwill, other intangible assets and disallowed deferred tax assets).

The table above presents the capital ratios of the consolidated Company computed in accordance with applicable regulatory guidelines and compared to the standards for minimum capital adequacy requirements for bank holding companies.

The following table summarizes risk-based capital, risk-weighted assets, and risk-based capital ratios of HBC:

	June	June 30,			ecember 31,
	2013		2012		2012
	(De	olla	rs in thousan	ds)	
Capital components:					
Tier 1 Capital	\$ 158,552	\$	154,480	\$	147,742
Tier 2 Capital	13,911		13,024		13,262
Total risk-based capital	\$ 172,463	\$	167,504	\$	161,004
Risk-weighted assets	\$ 1,107,207	\$	1,034,804	\$	1,055,061
Average assets for capital					
purposes	\$ 1,352,479	\$	1,301,471	\$	1,378,238

				'ell-Capitalized Regulatory Requirements	Minimum Regulatory Requirements
Capital ratios:					
Total risk-based capital	15.6%	16.2%	15.3%	10.00%	8.00%
Tier 1 risk-based					
capital	14.3%	14.9%	14.0%	6.00%	4.00%
Leverage(1)	11.7%	11.9%	10.7%	5.00%	4.00%

(1) Tier 1 capital divided by quarterly average assets (excluding goodwill, other intangible assets and disallowed deferred tax assets).

The table above presents the capital ratios of HBC computed in accordance with applicable regulatory guidelines and compared to the standards for minimum capital adequacy requirements under the FDIC's prompt corrective action authority.

Due primarily to the redemption of the \$14 million fixed-rate subordinated debt in the third quarter of 2012, the Company's total risk-based capital ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and leverage ratio at June 30, 2013 decreased to 16.4%, 15.1%, and 12.4%, compared to 17.3%, 16.0%, and 12.7% at June 30, 2012, respectively. The Company's total risk-based capital ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and leverage ratio were 16.2%, 15.0%, and 11.5% at December 31, 2012, respectively. Due primarily to a distribution from HBC to HCC to provide cash of \$15 million for the redemption of the fixed-rate subordinated debt in the third quarter of 2012, HBC's total risk-based capital ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and leverage ratio at June 30, 2013 decreased to 15.6%, 14.3%, and 11.7%, compared to 16.2%, 14.9%, and 11.9% at

June 30, 2012, respectively. HBC's total risk-based

#### **Table of Contents**

capital ratio, Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and leverage ratio were 15.3%, 14.0%, and 10.7% at December 31, 2012, respectively. At June 30, 2013, the Company's and HBC's capital ratios exceed the highest regulatory capital requirement of "well-capitalized" under prompt corrective action provisions.

At June 30, 2013, the Company had total shareholders' equity of \$167.6 million, including \$19.5 million in preferred stock, \$132.1 million in common stock, \$20.7 million in retained earnings, and (\$4.7) million of accumulated other comprehensive loss. The components of other comprehensive loss, net of taxes, at June 30, 2013 include the following: an unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities of (\$507,000); the remaining unamortized unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale transferred to held-to-maturity of \$481,000; a liability adjustment on the supplemental executive retirement plan of (\$3.3) million; a liability adjustment on the split dollar insurance contracts of (\$2.4) million; and an unrealized gain on interest-only strip from SBA loans of \$1.0 million.

### Mandatory Redeemable Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities

To enhance regulatory capital and to provide liquidity, the Company, through unconsolidated subsidiary grantor trusts, issued the following mandatory redeemable cumulative trust preferred securities of subsidiary grantor trusts: In the first quarter of 2000, the Company issued \$7.0 million principal amount of 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debt due on March 8, 2030, and common securities of \$217,000 to a subsidiary trust, which in turn issued a similar amount of trust preferred securities. In the third quarter of 2000, the Company issued \$7.0 million principal amount of 10.60% fixed-rate subordinated debt due on September 7, 2030, and common securities of \$206,000 to a subsidiary trust, which in turn issued a similar amount of trust preferred securities. In the third quarter of 2001, the Company issued \$5.2 million aggregate principal amount of Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due on July 31, 2031 to a subsidiary trust, which in turn issued a similar amount of trust preferred securities. In the third quarter of 2002, the Company issued \$4.1 million of aggregate principal amount of Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due on September 26, 2032 to a subsidiary trust, which in turn issued a similar amount of trust preferred securities. The subordinated debt is recorded as a component of long-term debt and includes the value of the common stock issued by the trusts to the Company. The common stock is recorded as other assets for the amount issued. Under applicable regulatory guidelines, the trust preferred securities currently qualify as Tier I capital. The subsidiary trusts are not consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, certain trust preferred securities will no longer be eligible to be included as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. The trust preferred securities continued to be eligible for Tier 1 capital under Dodd-Frank for bank holding companies with l

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company redeemed its 10.875% fixed-rate subordinated debentures in the amount of \$7 million issued to Heritage Capital Trust I and the Company's 10.600% fixed-rate subordinated debentures in the amount of \$7 million issued to Heritage Statutory Trust I. The related trust securities issued by Capital Trust I and Statutory Trust I were also redeemed in connection with the subordinated debt redemption and the trusts were dissolved.

On June 5, 2013, the Company provided notice to the trustee that it intends to redeem the Company's Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due July 31, 2031 in the amount of \$5 million issued to Heritage Statutory Trust II and the Company's Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due September 26, 2032, in the amount of \$4 million issued to Heritage Statutory Trust III (collectively referred to as the "Floating-Rate Sub Debt"). The \$5 million Floating-Rate Sub Debt was redeemed on July 31, 2013. The \$4 million Floating-Rate Sub Debt will be redeemed on September 26, 2013. Additionally, the Company will pay its regularly scheduled interest payments on the Floating-Rate Sub Debt totaling approximately \$90,000 on the respective redemption dates. The Company used available cash and proceeds from a \$9 million distribution from the Bank for the redemption. The Company incurred a total charge of \$167,000 in the second quarter of 2013, representing the agency

#### **Table of Contents**

origination fees associated with the Floating-Rate Sub Debt. On an annual basis, the redemption of the Floating-Rate Sub Debt will eliminate approximately \$360,000 in interest expense.

The Company's and HBC's June, 2013 regulatory capital ratios and pro forma capital ratios including the redemption of the \$9 million Floating-Rate Sub Debt and the \$9 million cash contribution from HBC are detailed in the tables below. The Company's and HBC's pro forma June 30, 2013 regulatory capital ratios all significantly exceed the well-capitalized requirements.

	Actual June 30, 2013	Pro Forma June 30, 2013 Excluding Sub Debt(1)	Well-Capitalized Regulatory Requirements
Heritage Commerce Corp:			
Total risk-based capital ratio	16.4%	15.5%	10.0%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	15.1%	14.3%	6.0%
Leverage ratio	12.4%	11.7%	N/A

(1) Assumes redemption of \$9 million Floating-Rate Sub Debt at June 30, 2013.

		Pro Forma	
	Actual	June 30, 2013 Excluding	Well-Capitalized
	June 30, 2013	Sub Debt(2)	Regulatory Requirements
Heritage Bank of Commerce:			
Total risk-based capital ratio	15.6%	14.8%	10.0%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	14.3%	13.5%	6.0%
Leverage ratio	11.7%	11.1%	5.0%

(2) Assumes HBC \$9 million distribution at June 30, 2013.

#### U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program

The Company received \$40 million in November 2008 through the issuance of its Series A Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase 462,963 shares of its common stock to the Treasury through the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program. The Series A Preferred Stock qualifies as a component of Tier 1 capital.

On March 7, 2012, in accordance with approvals received from the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve, the Company repurchased all of the Series A Preferred Stock and paid the related accrued and unpaid dividends. The repurchase of the Series A Preferred Stock will save \$2.0 million in annual dividends. On June 12, 2013, the Company completed the repurchase of the common stock warrant for \$140,000.

### Series C Preferred Stock

On June 21, 2010, the Company issued to various institutional investors 21,004 shares of newly issued Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock"). The Series C Preferred Stock is mandatorily convertible into common stock at a conversion price of \$3.75 per share upon a subsequent transfer of the Series C Preferred stock to third parties not affiliates with the holder in a widely dispersed offering. The Series C Preferred Stock is non-voting except in the case of certain transactions that would affect the rights of the holders of the Series C Preferred Stock or applicable law. Holders of Series C Preferred Stock will receive dividends if and only to the extent dividends are paid to holders of common stock. The Series C Preferred Stock is not redeemable by the Company or by the holders and has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. The Series C Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company's common stock.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Market Risk**

Market risk is the risk of loss of future earnings, fair values, or future cash flows that may result from changes in the price of a financial instrument. The value of a financial instrument may change as a result of changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market risk sensitive instruments. Market risk is attributed to all market risk sensitive financial instruments, including securities, loans, deposits and borrowings, as well as the Company's role as a financial intermediary in customer-related transactions. The objective of market risk management is to avoid excessive exposure of the Company's earnings and equity to loss and to reduce the volatility inherent in certain financial instruments.

### **Interest Rate Management**

Market risk arises from changes in interest rates, exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The Company's market risk exposure is primarily that of interest rate risk, and it has established policies and procedures to monitor and limit earnings and balance sheet exposure to changes in interest rates. The Company does not engage in the trading of financial instruments, nor does the Company have exposure to currency exchange rates.

The principal objective of interest rate risk management (often referred to as "asset/liability management") is to manage the financial components of the Company in a manner that will optimize the risk/reward equation for earnings and capital in relation to changing interest rates. The Company's exposure to market risk is reviewed on a regular basis by the Asset/Liability Committee. Interest rate risk is the potential of economic losses due to future interest rate changes. These economic losses can be reflected as a loss of future net interest income and/or a loss of current fair market values. The objective is to measure the effect on net interest income and to adjust the balance sheet to minimize the inherent risk while at the same time maximizing income. Management realizes certain risks are inherent, and that the goal is to identify and manage the risks. Management uses two methodologies to manage interest rate risk: (i) a standard GAP analysis; and (ii) an interest rate shock simulation model.

The planning of asset and liability maturities is an integral part of the management of an institution's net interest margin. To the extent maturities of assets and liabilities do not match in a changing interest rate environment, the net interest margin may change over time. Even with perfectly matched repricing of assets and liabilities, risks remain in the form of prepayment of loans or securities or in the form of delays in the adjustment of rates of interest applying to either earning assets with floating rates or to interest bearing liabilities. The Company has generally been able to control its exposure to changing interest rates by maintaining primarily floating interest rate loans and a majority of its time certificates with relatively short maturities.

Interest rate changes do not affect all categories of assets and liabilities equally or at the same time. Varying interest rate environments can create unexpected changes in prepayment levels of assets and liabilities, which may have a significant effect on the net interest margin and are not reflected in the interest sensitivity analysis table. Because of these factors, an interest sensitivity GAP report may not provide a complete assessment of the exposure to changes in interest rates.

The Company uses modeling software for asset/liability management in order to simulate the effects of potential interest rate changes on the Company's net interest margin, and to calculate the estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments under different interest rate scenarios. The program imports current balances, interest rates, maturity dates and repricing information for individual financial instruments, and incorporates assumptions on the characteristics of embedded options along with pricing and duration for new volumes to project the effects of a given interest rate change on the Company's interest income and interest expense. Rate scenarios consisting of key rate and yield curve projections are run against the Company's investment, loan, deposit and borrowed funds portfolios. These rate projections can be shocked (an immediate and parallel change in all base rates, up or down)

#### **Table of Contents**

and ramped (an incremental increase or decrease in rates over a specified time period), based on current trends and econometric models or stable economic conditions (unchanged from current actual levels).

The following table sets forth the estimated changes in the Company's annual net interest income that would result from the designated instantaneous parallel shift in interest rates noted, as of June 30, 2013. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions including relative levels of market interest rates, loan prepayments and deposit decay, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results.

	1	Increase/(Decrease) in Estimated Net Interest Income			
	A	mount	Percent		
	(I	(Dollars in thousands)			
Change in Interest Rates (basis points)					
+400	\$	10,687	21.7%		
+300	\$	7,948	16.2%		
+200	\$	5,140	10.4%		
+100	\$	2,381	4.8%		
0	\$		0.0%		
-100	\$	(4,221)	-8.6%		
-200	\$	(8,695)	-17.7%		

This data does not reflect any actions that we may undertake in response to changes in interest rates such as changes in rates paid on certain deposit accounts based on local competitive factors, which could reduce the actual impact on net interest income.

As with any method of gauging interest rate risk, there are certain shortcomings inherent to the methodology noted above. The model assumes interest rate changes are instantaneous parallel shifts in the yield curve. In reality, rate changes are rarely instantaneous. The use of the simplifying assumption that short-term and long-term rates change by the same degree may also misstate historic rate patterns, which rarely show parallel yield curve shifts. Further, the model assumes that certain assets and liabilities of similar maturity or period to repricing will react in the same way to changes in rates. In reality, certain types of financial instruments may react in advance of changes in market rates, while the reaction of other types of financial instruments may lag behind the change in general market rates. Additionally, the methodology noted above does not reflect the full impact of annual and lifetime restrictions on changes in rates for certain assets, such as adjustable rate loans. When interest rates change, actual loan prepayments and actual early withdrawals from certificates may deviate significantly from the assumptions used in the model. Finally, this methodology does not measure or reflect the impact that higher rates may have on adjustable-rate loan clients' ability to service their debt. All of these factors are considered in monitoring the Company's exposure to interest rate risk.

### ITEM 3 QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information concerning quantitative and qualitative disclosure or market risk called for by Item 305 of Regulation S-K is included as part of Item 2 above.

#### ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### **Disclosure Control and Procedures**

The Company has carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the

### Table of Contents

effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2013. As defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), disclosure controls and procedures are controls and procedures designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. Disclosure controls are also designed to reasonably assure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded the Company's disclosure controls were effective as of June 30, 2013, the period covered by this report on Form 10-Q.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Part II OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is involved in certain legal actions arising from normal business activities. Management, based upon the advice of legal counsel, believes the ultimate resolution of all pending legal actions will not have a material effect on the financial statements of the Company.

### ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, which could materially affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results. There were no material changes from risk factors previously disclosed in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The risk factors identified are in addition to those contained in any other cautionary statements, written or oral, which may be made or otherwise addressed in connection with a forward-looking statement or contained in any of our subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 2	UNREGISTERED	SALES OF E	DUITY SECURITIES	AND USE OF PROCEEDS
--------	--------------	------------	------------------	---------------------

None

ITEM 3 DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4 MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None

ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION

None

# Table of Contents

# ITEM 6 EXHIBITS

Exhibit 3.1	Description  Heritage Commerce Corp Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 4, 2010)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation of Heritage Commerce Corp as filed with the California Secretary of State on June 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed July 23, 2010).
3.3	Heritage Commerce Corp Bylaws, as amended (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 28, 2013)
4.1	Certificate of Determination for Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on June 22, 2010)
12.1	Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends
31.1	Certification of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2	Certification of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document, furnished herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document, furnished herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document, furnished herewith 78

### Table of Contents

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Heritage Commerce Corp (Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2013 /s/ WALTER T. KACZMAREK

Walter T. Kaczmarek
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2013 /s/ LAWRENCE D. MCGOVERN

Lawrence D. McGovern *Chief Financial Officer* 

# Table of Contents

# EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
3.1	Heritage Commerce Corp Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 4, 2010)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation of Heritage Commerce Corp as filed with the California Secretary of State on June 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed July 23, 2010).
3.3	Heritage Commerce Corp Bylaws, as amended (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 28, 2013)
4.1	Certificate of Determination for Series C Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on June 22, 2010)
12.1	Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends
31.1	Certification of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Registrant's Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2	Certification of Registrant's Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document, furnished herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document, furnished herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document, furnished herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document, furnished herewith 80