KING PHARMACEUTICALS INC Form 10-Q May 11, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 001-15875

King Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Tennessee 54-1684963

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

501 Fifth Street, 37620 Bristol, TN (Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

(423) 989-8000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T

(§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

Number of shares outstanding of Registrant's common stock as of May 7, 2009: 247,965,545

		Page
PART I Final	ncial Information	2
Item 1.	Financial Statements	2
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity and Other	
	Comprehensive Income	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	
	<u>Operations</u>	36
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	64
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	65
PART II Oth	<u>er Informatio</u> n	66
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	66
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	66
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	66
Signatures EX-10.1		II-1
EX-10.1 EX-10.2		
EX-10.3		
EX-10.4		
EX-10.5 EX-31.1		
EX-31.1 EX-31.2		
EX-32.1		
EX-32.2		

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands)

			cember 31, 2008 d)	
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	436,793	\$	940,212
Investments in debt securities		13,682		6,441
Marketable securities		624		511
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$3,723 and \$4,713		227,710		245,070
Inventories		256,106		258,303
Deferred income tax assets		144,455		89,513
Income tax receivable		4,074		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		128,479		129,214
Total current assets		1,211,923		1,669,264
Property, plant and equipment, net		410,489		417,259
Intangible assets, net		896,699		934,219
Goodwill		415,095		450,548
Deferred income tax assets		252,735		267,749
Investments in debt securities		334,049		353,848
Other assets (includes restricted cash of \$16,645 and \$16,580)		116,296		122,826
Assets held for sale		7,900		11,500
Total assets	\$	3,645,186	\$	4,227,213
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS	FOUT	V		
Current liabilities:	LQUII	1		
Accounts payable	\$	97,286	\$	140,908
Accrued expenses	φ	316,603	φ	411,488
•		310,003		10,448
Income taxes payable Short-term debt		5,149		5,230
		,		
Current portion of long-term debt		51,349		439,047
Total current liabilities		470,387		1,007,121

Edgar Filing: KING PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

Long-term debt Other liabilities	837,989 117,638	877,638 110,022
Total liabilities	1,426,014	1,994,781
Commitments and contingencies (Note 10) Shareholders equity	2,219,172	2,232,432
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 3,645,186	\$ 4,227,213

See accompanying notes.

2

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 2008 (Unaudited)				
Revenues: Net sales Royalty revenue	\$ 414,299 14,758	\$ 412,910 19,123			
Total revenues	429,057	432,033			
Operating costs and expenses: Cost of revenues, exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below	150,939	91,461			
Selling, general and administrative, exclusive of co-promotion fees Acquisition related costs Co-promotion fees	137,136 3,789 1,398	111,901 17,957			
Total selling, general and administrative expense	142,323	129,858			
Research and development Depreciation and amortization Restructuring charges (Note 14)	27,256 53,349 48,050	28,508 59,866 1,059			
Total operating costs and expenses	421,917	310,752			
Operating income	7,140	121,281			
Other income (expense): Interest income Interest expense Loss on investments Other, net	2,788 (23,103) (823) (2,779)	13,629 (4,980) (704)			
Total other income	(23,917)	7,945			
(Loss) income before income taxes Income tax (benefit) expense	(16,777) (6,055)	129,226 43,670			
Net (loss) income	\$ (10,722)	\$ 85,556			

Income per common share:

Basic net (loss) income per common share \$ (0.04) \$ 0.35

Diluted net (loss) income per common share \$ (0.04) \$ 0.35

See accompanying notes.

3

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In thousands, except share data)

				Accumulated Other		
	Commo	n Stock	Retained	Comprehensive Income		
	Shares	Amount	Earnings (Unaudited)	(Loss)	Total	
Balance at December 31, 2007 Comprehensive income: Net income	245,937,709	\$ 1,359,817	\$ 1,213,057 85,556		\$ 2,574,831 85,556	
Net unrealized gain on marketable securities, net of tax of \$172 Net unrealized loss on investments in debt securities, net of taxes of			32,000	282	282	
\$10,799 Foreign currency translation				(17,619) (480)	(17,619) (480)	
Total comprehensive income Stock-based award activity	606,726	6,639			67,739 6,639	
Balance at March 31, 2008	246,544,435	\$ 1,366,456	\$ 1,298,613	\$ (15,860)	\$ 2,649,209	
Balance at December 31, 2008 Comprehensive income:	246,487,232	\$ 1,389,698	\$ 871,021	\$ (28,287)	\$ 2,232,432	
Net (loss)			(10,722))	(10,722)	
Net unrealized gain on marketable securities, net of tax of \$43				70	70	
Net unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of taxes of \$202 Net unrealized loss on investments in debt securities, net of taxes of				(329)	(329)	
\$1,634 Foreign currency translation				(2,666) (5,026)	(2,666) (5,026)	
Total comprehensive income Stock-based award activity	1,326,589	5,413			(18,673) 5,413	
Balance at March 31, 2009	247,813,821	\$ 1,395,111	\$ 860,299	\$ (36,238)	\$ 2,219,172	

See accompanying notes.

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,						
	2009	2008					
	(Unaudited)						
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 16,740	\$ 100,232					
Cash flows from investing activities:							
Transfers (to) from restricted cash	(65)	144					
Purchases of investments in debt securities		(279,175)					
Proceeds from maturities and sales of investments in debt securities	8,000	1,006,630					
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(6,892)	(19,914)					
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		13					
Acquisition of Alpharma	(69,706)						
Acquisition of Avinza®	(1)	(34)					
Purchases of intellectual property and product rights	(548)	(715)					
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(69,212)	706,949					
Cash flows from financing activities:							
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	36	54					
Net (payments) proceeds related to stock based activity	(2,000)	(662)					
Payments on long-term debt	(433,249)						
Debt issuance costs	(1,220)						
Net cash used in financing activities	(436,433)	(608)					
Net cash flows from exchange rate changes	(14,514)						
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(503,419)	806,573					
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	940,212	20,009					
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 436,793	\$ 826,582					

See accompanying notes.

5

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)

1. General

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements of King Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (King or the Company) were prepared by the Company in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X and, accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of items of a normal recurring nature) considered necessary for a fair presentation are included. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009. These unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements, and has been adjusted to reflect the adoption of FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1 Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments that May be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (FSP APB 14-1) but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles. FSP APB 14-1 was effective January 1, 2009 and required retrospective application. Please see Note 9 for additional information on the adoption of FSP APB 14-1.

These unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of King and all of its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

2. Earnings Per Share

The basic and diluted income per common share was determined using the following share data:

	Three Months E	nded March 31, 2008
Basic income per common share:		
Weighted average common shares	243,888,839	243,290,375
Diluted income per common share:		
Weighted average common shares	243,888,839	243,290,375
Effect of stock options		34,750
Effect of dilutive share awards		1,363,413
Weighted average common shares	243,888,839	244,688,538

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the dilutive effect of options to purchase 5,176 shares of common stock and 2,743,397 share awards were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share because their inclusion would have reduced the loss per share.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the weighted average shares that were anti-dilutive, and therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted income per share, included options to purchase 6,157,030 shares of common stock, 321,528 restricted stock awards (RSAs) and 153,606 long-term performance units (LPUs). For the three months ended March 31, 2008, the weighted average shares that were anti-dilutive, and therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted income per share, included options to purchase 5,146,792 shares of common stock, 490,360 RSAs and 267,295 LPUs. The 11/4% Convertible Senior Notes due April 1, 2026 could be converted into the Company s common stock in the future, subject to certain

6

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

contingencies. Shares of the Company s common stock associated with this right of conversion were excluded from the calculation of diluted income per share because these notes are anti-dilutive since the conversion price of the notes was greater than the average market price of the Company s common stock during the quarter.

3. Skelaxin®

As previously disclosed, the Company has been involved in multiple legal proceedings over patents relating to its product Skelaxin® (metaxalone). On January 20, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York issued an Order ruling invalid two of these patents, United States Patent Nos. 6,407,128 and 6,683,102. The Order was issued in response to defendant Eon Labs motion for summary judgment without the benefit of a hearing. The Company plans to appeal, upon the entry of an appropriate judgment, and intends to vigorously defend its interests. The entry of the Order may lead to generic versions of Skelaxin® entering the market sooner than previously anticipated, which would likely cause the Company s sales of Skelaxin® to decline significantly as a result. Net sales of Skelaxin® were \$446,243 in 2008 and \$100,599 in the first quarter of 2009. For additional information regarding Skelaxin® litigation, please see Note 10. For additional information regarding Skelaxin® intangible assets, please see Note 8. For additional information regarding Skelaxin® restructuring action, please see Note 14.

4. Fair Value Measurements

Cash and Cash Equivalents. The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company s cash and cash equivalents consisted of institutional money market funds and bank time deposits. There were no cumulative unrealized holding gains or losses associated with these money market funds and time deposits as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Derivatives. The Company had forward foreign exchange contracts outstanding with a notional amount of approximately \$146,708 as of March 31, 2009. These contracts primarily called for the exchange of European currencies and in some cases the U.S. Dollar to meet commitments in or sell cash flows generated in non-functional currencies. The forward exchange contracts are not designated as hedges under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, (SFAS No. 133). The Company records these contracts at fair value and changes in fair value are recognized in current earnings. All outstanding contracts will expire in the second quarter of 2009 and the unrealized gains and losses are not material. Counterparties to these derivative agreements are major financial institutions. Management believes the risk of incurring losses related to credit risk is remote.

In connection with the Company s acquisition of Alpharma on December 29, 2008, the Company borrowed \$425,000 in principal under the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility as amended on December 5, 2008. The terms of the Revolving Credit Facility require the Company to maintain hedging agreements that will fix the interest rates on 50% of the Company s outstanding long-term debt beginning 90 days after the amendment to the facility for a period of two years. The Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Facility have variable interest rates. The Convertible Senior Notes of the Company are at a fixed interest rate. Accordingly, in March 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement on interest under the Revolving Credit Facility with an aggregate notional amount of \$112,500, which expires in March 2011. The interest rate swap has been designated as a cash flow hedge and is being used to offset the overall variability of cash flows. For a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the

derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. For additional information on the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility, please see Note 9.

7

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes the fair value and presentation in the condensed consolidated balance sheets for derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133, as of March 31, 2009:

	Fair Value of Derivative	Instruments as of	f March 31, 2009 Fair Value of		
	D	Fair value of Asset	Liability		
	Balance sheet location	Derivatives	Derivatives		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133					
Interest rate swap	Other liabilities	\$	\$ 531		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133					
	Prepaid expenses and				
Foreign currency contracts	other current assets	\$ 963	\$		

The following table summarizes the effect of derivative instruments on the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009:

	Gain or (Loss) in Other	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified	
Derivatives in	Comprehensive	from Accumulated Other	Gain/(Loss)
SFAS No. 133	Income on Derivative	Comprehensive Income into	Recorded
Cash Flow	(Effective	Income	(Ineffective
Hedging	Portion)	(Effective Portion)	Portion)
Relationships	Amount	Amount	Amount
Interest rate swap	\$ (531)	\$	\$

Derivatives not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under SFAS No. 133 Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative

Amount

Location

Foreign currency contracts

Other income (expense)

\$ 3,545

Marketable Securities. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company s investment in marketable securities consisted solely of Palatin Technologies, Inc. common stock with a cost basis of \$511. The cumulative unrealized holding gain in those investments as of March 31, 2009 was \$113. There were no cumulative unrealized holding gains or losses in these investments as of December 31, 2008.

Investments in Debt Securities. Tax-exempt auction rate securities are long-term variable rate bonds tied to short-term interest rates that are intended to reset through an auction process generally every seven, 28 or 35 days. The Company classifies auction rate securities as available-for-sale at the time of purchase in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. Temporary gains or losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Other-than-temporary gains or losses are included in income (expense) on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the par value of the Company s investments in debt securities was \$409,075 and \$417,075, respectively, and consisted solely of tax-exempt auction rate securities associated with municipal bonds and student loans. The Company has not invested in any mortgage-backed securities or any securities backed by corporate debt obligations. The Company s investment policy requires it to maintain an investment portfolio with a high credit quality. Accordingly, the Company s investments in debt securities were limited to issues which were rated AA or higher at the time of purchase.

On February 11, 2008, the Company began to experience auction failures with respect to its investments in auction rate securities. In the event of an auction failure, the interest rate on the security is reset according to the contractual terms in the underlying indenture. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be

8

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

accessible until a successful auction occurs, the issuer calls or restructures the underlying security, the underlying security matures or is purchased by a buyer outside the auction process.

As of March 31, 2009, there were cumulative unrealized holding losses of \$55,233 and cumulative unrealized holding gains of \$721 associated with these investments.

The Company has recorded \$50,332 of the unrealized holding losses in accumulated other comprehensive income on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of March 31, 2009 the Company believed the decline was temporary and it was probable that the par amount of these auction rate securities would be collectible under their contractual terms. Please see Note 17 for subsequent events related to auction rate securities.

During the fourth quarter of 2008 the Company accepted an offer from UBS Financial Services, Inc. (UBS) providing the Company the right to sell certain auction rate securities outstanding at March 31, 2009 with a par value of \$40,350 to UBS during the period from June 30, 2010 to July 2, 2012 at par value. The Company has elected to account for this right at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. The value of the right to sell certain auction rate securities to UBS was estimated considering the present value of future cash flows, the fair value of the auction rate security and counterparty risk. The right to sell the auction rate securities to UBS at par was valued at \$3,459 as of March 31, 2009. With respect to this right, during the fourth quarter of 2008 the Company recognized an unrealized gain in other income (expense) of \$4,024. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company recognized an unrealized loss in other income (expense) of \$565 in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company reclassified the auction rate securities that are included in this right from available-for-sale securities to trading securities and therefore recognized the unrealized losses related to these securities of \$4,643. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company recognized additional unrealized losses related to these securities of \$258 included in other income (expense) on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

As of March 31, 2009, the Company has classified \$13,682 of auction rate securities as current assets and \$334,049 as long-term assets.

The following tables summarize the Company s assets which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

		F	air Value M	leasur	rements at 3/3	1/2009 Using		
		Quot	ted Prices	S	Significant			
			in		Other	Significant		
		Active						
		Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		C	bservable	Unobservable		
						.		
Description	3/31/2009			I		Inputs (Level 3)		
Assets:								
Money Market Funds	\$ 436,707	\$	436,707	\$		\$		
Marketable Securities	624		624					

Investments in Debt Securities Forward foreign exchange contracts Right to Sell Debt Securities	347,731 963 3,459			700 963	347,031 3,459
Total Assets	\$ 789,484	\$	437,331	\$ 1,663	\$ 350,490
Liabilities: Interest Rate Swap	\$ 531	\$		\$ 531	\$
		9			

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

			Fair Value Measurements at 12/31/2008 Using						
			Quoted Prices		\mathbf{S}^{i}	ignificant			
				in		Other	Si	gnificant	
				Active					
				arkets for	O	bservable	Un	observable	
				dentical Assets		Inputs		Inputs	
Description	1	2/31/2008		(Level 1)		(Level 2)	(Level 3)		
Assets:									
Money Market Funds	\$	833,653	\$	833,653	\$		\$		
Marketable Securities		511		511					
Investments in Debt Securities		360,289				2,400		357,889	
Right to sell Debt Securities		4,024						4,024	
Total assets	\$	1,198,477	\$	834,164	\$	2,400	\$	361,913	
Liabilities:									
Forward foreign exchange contracts	\$	2,582	\$		\$	2,582	\$		

The fair value of marketable securities within the Level 1 classification is based on the quoted price for identical securities in an active market as of the valuation date.

The fair value of investments in debt securities within the Level 2 classification is at par based on public call notices from the issuer of the security.

The fair value of investments in debt securities within the Level 3 classification is based on a trinomial discount model. This model considers the probability at the valuation date of three potential occurrences for each auction event through the maturity date of the security. The three potential outcomes for each auction are (i) successful auction/early redemption, (ii) failed auction and (iii) issuer default. Inputs in determining the probabilities of the potential outcomes include, but are not limited to, the security s collateral, credit rating, insurance, issuer s financial standing, contractual restrictions on disposition and the liquidity in the market. The fair value of each security is determined by summing the present value of the probability-weighted future principal and interest payments determined by the model. As of March 31, 2009, the Company assumed a weighted average discount rate of 5.5% and an expected term of approximately 3-5 years. The discount rate was determined as the loss-adjusted required rate of return using public information such as spreads on near-risk free to risk free assets. The expected term is based on the Company s estimate of future liquidity as of March 31, 2009. Transfers out of Level 3 classification occur only when public call notices have been announced by the issuer prior to the date of the valuation.

The following table provides a reconciliation of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

		2009	2008
Beginning balance, January 1	\$	361,913	3
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized)			
Included in earnings		(823)	
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)		(4,300)	(28,418)
Settlements		(8,000)	(154,950)
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3		1,700	724,725
Ending balance, March 31	\$	350,490 \$	541,357
	10		

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

	N	Iarch 31, 2009	Dec	cember 31, 2008
Raw materials Work-in-process Finished goods (including \$7,062 and \$7,385 of sample inventory, respectively)	\$	82,786 54,098 186,324	\$	82,273 62,836 176,582
Inventory valuation allowance		323,208 (67,102)		321,691 (63,388)
Total inventories	\$	256,106	\$	258,303

6. Property, Plant and Equipment

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company classified a pharmaceutical manufacturing facility which was acquired as a result of the acquisition of Alpharma Inc. as held for sale. The manufacturing facility is recorded at estimated fair value less cost to sell. The Company finalized its determination of fair value of this asset in the first quarter of 2009, reduced the value by \$3,600 and adjusted goodwill accordingly.

During 2006, the Company decided to proceed with the implementation of its plan to streamline manufacturing activities in order to improve operating efficiency and reduce costs, including the decision to transfer the production of Levoxyl® from its St. Petersburg, Florida facility to its Bristol, Tennessee facility, which the Company expects to complete in the first half of 2009. The Company believes that the assets associated with the St. Petersburg facility are not currently impaired based on estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with these assets. However, during 2006, the Company shortened the estimated useful lives of assets at the St. Petersburg facility and therefore accelerated the depreciation of these assets. For additional discussion, please see Note 14.

The net book value of some of the Company s manufacturing facilities currently exceeds fair market value. Management currently believes that the long-term assets associated with these facilities are not impaired based on estimated undiscounted future cash flows. However, if the Company were to approve a plan to sell or close any of the facilities for which the carrying value exceeds fair market value, the Company would have to write off a portion of the assets or reduce the estimated useful life of the assets which would accelerate depreciation.

7. Acquisitions, Dispositions, Co-Promotions and Alliances

On December 29, 2008, the Company completed its acquisition of Alpharma Inc. (Alpharma). Alpharma is a branded specialty pharmaceutical company with a growing specialty pharmaceutical franchise in the U.S. pain market with its Flector® Patch (diclofenac epolamine topical patch) 1.3% and a pipeline of new pain medicines led by Embedatm, a

formulation of long-acting morphine that is designed to provide controlled pain relief and deter certain common methods of misuse and abuse. Alpharma is also a provider of medicated feed additives and water-soluble therapeutics used primarily for poultry, cattle and swine. The Company paid a cash price of \$37.00 per share for the outstanding shares of Class A Common Stock, together with the associated preferred stock purchase rights of Alpharma, totaling approximately \$1,527,380, \$61,120 associated with Alpharma employee stock-based awards (which were paid in the first quarter of 2009), and incurred \$30,611 of expenses related to the transaction resulting in a total purchase price of \$1,619,111. Contemporaneously with the acquisition of Alpharma and in accordance with a consent order with the

11

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

U.S. Federal Trade Commission, the Company divested Alpharma s Kadia assets to Actavis Elizabeth, L.L.C.

Management believes the Company s acquisition of Alpharma is particularly significant because it strengthens King s portfolio and development pipeline of pain management products, and increases its capabilities and expertise in this market. The development pipeline provides the Company with both near-term and long-term revenue opportunities and the animal health business further diversifies its revenue base. As a result, management believes the acquisition of Alpharma creates a stronger foundation for sustainable, long-term growth for the Company.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the period ended March 31, 2008 do not include any activity for Alpharma because the Company acquired Alpharma in the fourth quarter of 2008.

The allocation of the initial purchase price and acquisition costs is as follows:

	Valuation	
Current Assets	\$ 915,578	
Current deferred income taxes	30,463	
Property, plant and equipment	156,981	
Intangible Assets	300,000	
Goodwill	285,945	
In-Process Research and Development	590,000	
Other Long Term Assets	26,679	
Current Liabilities	(229,740)	
Convertible Debentures	(385,227)	
Long-term deferred income taxes	(21,708)	
Other Long-Term Liabilities	(49,860)	
	\$ 1,619,111	

The valuation of the intangible assets acquired is as follows:

	Valuation	Weighted Average Amortization Period
Flector® Patch Animal Health intangibles	\$ 130,000 170,000	11 years 19 years
Total	\$ 300,000	

None of the goodwill is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The goodwill has been allocated to the segments as follows:

Branded prescription pharmaceuticals \$ 197,712 Animal Health \$ 88,233

Total \$ 285,945

The above allocation of the purchase price is not yet finalized as the acquisition was completed close to the end of 2008 and management is continuing to complete its initial estimate of the valuation of certain assets and liabilities.

12

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The acquisition was financed with available cash on hand, borrowings under the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility of \$425,000 and borrowings under the Term Loan of \$200,000. For additional information on the borrowings, please see Note 9.

As indicated above, \$590,000 of the purchase price for Alpharma was allocated to acquired in-process research and development for the Embedatm, Oxycodone NT and Hydrocodone NT projects in the amounts of \$410,000, \$90,000 and \$90,000, respectively. The value of the acquired in-process research and development projects was expensed on the date of acquisition, as they had not received regulatory approval and had no alternative future use. The projects were valued through the application of probability-weighted, discounted cash flow approach. The estimated cash flows were projected over periods of 10 to 14 years utilizing a discount rate of 25% to 30%.

The Embedatm New Drug Application (NDA) was submitted to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in June 2008. The success of the project is dependent upon NDA approval by the FDA. The Company currently believes it will obtain approval of the Embedatm NDA during 2009.

Oxycodone NT and Hydrocodone NT are long-acting opioids for the treatment of moderate to severe chronic pain that are in the early stages of clinical development. These products are designed to resist certain common methods of misuse and abuse associated with long-acting oxycodone and hydrocodone opioids that are currently available. If the clinical development program is successful, the Company would not expect to commercialize Oxycodone NT and Hydrocodone NT any sooner than 2011. The estimated cost to complete the development of Oxycodone NT and Hydrocodone NT is approximately \$35,000 each. The Company believes there is a reasonable probability of completing these projects successfully, but, the success of the projects depends on the outcome of the clinical development programs and approval by the FDA.

The following unaudited pro forma summary presents the financial information as if the acquisition of Alpharma had occurred January 1, 2008 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. These pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes and do not purport to be indicative of what would have occurred had the acquisition been made on January 1, 2008, nor are they indicative of future results. The pro forma results for the three months ended March 31, 2008 include the \$590,000 in-process research & development expense noted above.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008
Total revenues	\$ 547,787
Income from continuing operations	2,275
Net income	212,490
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.87
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.87

In connection with the acquisition of Alpharma, the Company and Alpharma executed a consent order (the Consent Order) with the U.S. Federal Trade Commission. The Consent Order required the Company to divest the assets related to Alpharma s branded oral long-acting opioid analgesic drug Kadia to Actavis Elizabeth, L.L.C. In accordance with the Consent Order, effective upon the acquisition of Alpharma, on December 29, 2008, the Company divested the

Kadian® product to Actavis. Actavis is entitled to sell Kadian® as a branded or generic product. Prior to the divestiture, Actavis supplied Kadian® to Alpharma.

13

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Actavis will pay a purchase price of up to an aggregate of \$127,500 in cash based on the achievement of certain Kadian® quarterly gross profit-related milestones for the period beginning January 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010. The maximum purchase price payment associated with each calendar quarter is as follows:

	Maximum Purchase Price Payment
First Quarter 2009	\$ 30,000
Second Quarter 2009	\$ 25,000
Third Quarter 2009	\$ 25,000
Fourth Quarter 2009	\$ 20,000
First Quarter 2010	\$ 20,000
Second Quarter 2010	\$ 7,500

None of the quarterly payments above, when combined with all prior payments made by Actavis, shall exceed the aggregate amount of gross profits from the sale of Kadian[®] in the United States by Actavis and its affiliates for the period beginning on January 1, 2009 and ending on the last day of such calendar quarter. Any quarterly purchase price payment that is not paid by Actavis due to the application of such provision will be carried forward to the next calendar quarter, increasing the maximum quarterly payment in the subsequent quarter. However, the cumulative purchase price payable by Actavis will not exceed the lesser of (a) \$127,500 and (b) the gross profits from the sale of Kadian[®] in the United States by Actavis and its affiliates for the period from January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010. The Company has recorded a receivable of \$115,000 at March 31, 2009, reflecting the present value of the estimated future purchase price payments from Actavis. There was no gain or loss recorded as a result of the divestiture. In accordance with the agreement, quarterly payments will be received one quarter in arrears. Therefore, there was no payment received in the first quarter of 2009.

8. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets consist primarily of patents, licenses, trademarks and product rights. A summary of the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization is as follows:

	March 31, 2009			December 31, 2008			2008
	Gross Carrying Amount		umulated ortization	(Gross Carrying Amount		cumulated ortization
Branded prescription pharmaceuticals Animal Health	\$ 1,252,300 170,000	\$	660,782 2,408	\$	1,252,300 170,000	\$	627,233
Meridian Auto-Injector	180,537		43,316		170,000		41,281
Royalties	3,731		3,363		3,731		3,177
Other							

Total intangible assets

\$ 1,606,568

709,869

\$

\$ 1,605,910

671,691

\$

Amortization expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$38,178 and \$50,927, respectively.

On January 20, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York issued an Order ruling invalid two Skelaxin® patents, United States Patent Nos. 6,407,128 and 6,683,102. The Order was issued in response to defendant Eon Labs motion for summary judgment without the benefit of a hearing. The Company plans to appeal, upon the entry of an appropriate judgment, and intends to vigorously defend its interests. The entry of the Order may lead to generic versions of Skelaxin® entering the market sooner than previously anticipated, which would likely cause the Company s sales of Skelaxin® to decline significantly as

14

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

a result. The Company believes that the intangible assets associated with Skelaxin[®] are not currently impaired based on estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with these assets. However, as a result of the Order described above, the Company reduced the estimated remaining useful life of the intangible assets of Skelaxin[®] during the first quarter of 2009. If the Company s current estimates regarding future cash flows adversely change, the Company may have to further reduce the estimated remaining useful life and/or write off a portion or all of these intangible assets. As of March 31, 2009, the net intangible assets associated with Skelaxin[®] totaled approximately \$96,938.

In April 2009, a competitor entered the market with a generic substitute for Cytomel[®]. As a result, the Company lowered its future sales forecast for this product. As of March 31, 2009, the net intangible assets associated with Cytomel[®] totaled approximately \$11,023. The Company believes that the intangible assets associated with Cytomel[®] are not currently impaired based on estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with these assets. However, if the Company s current estimates regarding future cash flows adversely change, the Company may have to reduce the estimated remaining useful life and/or write off a portion or all of these intangible assets.

End-user demand for Synercid® has declined in recent years. As of March 31, 2009, the net intangible assets associated with Synercid® totaled approximately \$27,524. The Company believes that these intangible assets are not currently impaired based on estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with these assets. However, if the Company s estimates regarding future cash flows adversely change, the Company may have to reduce the estimated remaining useful life and/or write off a portion or all of these intangible assets.

Goodwill at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is as follows:

	Branded Segment	H	nimal ealth gment	Meridian Segment	Total
Goodwill at December 31, 2008	\$ 258,092	\$	84,046	\$ 108,410	\$ 450,548
Adjustment to Alpharma acquisition	(39,640)		4,187		(35,453)
Goodwill at March 31, 2009	\$ 218,452	\$	88,233	\$ 108,410	\$ 415,095

The adjustment to Alpharma goodwill is due to management s continuation of the initial estimate of the valuation of certain assets and liabilities related to this acquisition.

9. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following:

March 31, December 31, 2009 2008

Convertible senior notes Senior secured revolving credit facility Senior secured term facility Alpharma convertible senior notes	\$ 318,770 392,908 177,660	\$ 314,416 425,000 192,042 385,227
Total long-term debt Less current portion	889,338 51,349	1,316,685 439,047
Long-term portion	\$ 837,989	\$ 877,638

15

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Convertible Senior Notes

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments that May be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion* (FSP APB 14-1). FSP APB 14-1 requires that the liability and equity components of convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) be separately accounted for in a manner that reflects an issuer s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. FSP APB 14-1 requires retrospective application to all periods presented.

Upon adoption of FSP APB 14-1, the separate components of debt and equity of the Company s \$400,000 11/4% Convertible Senior Notes due April 1, 2026 were determined using an interest rate of 7.13%, which reflects the nonconvertible debt borrowing rate of the Company at the date of issuance. As a result, the initial components of debt and equity were \$271,267 and \$128,733, respectively. The debt component will be amortized retrospectively beginning April 1, 2006 through March 31, 2013.

The following tables reflect the Company s previously reported amounts, along with the adjusted amounts as required by FSP APB 14-1:

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations Three months ended March 31, 2008

	Computed under PB 14-1	Reported before APB 14-1	ffect of Change
Deprecation and amortization Total operating costs and expenses Operating income	\$ 59,866 310,752 121,281	\$ 59,698 310,584 121,449	\$ 168 168 (168)
Interest expense Total other income Income before income taxes Income tax expense	(4,980) 7,945 129,226 43,670	(1,804) 11,121 132,570 44,937	(3,176) (3,176) (3,344) (1,267)
Net Income	\$ 85,556	\$ 87,633	\$ (2,077)
Income per common share: Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.36	\$ (0.01)
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.36	\$ (0.01)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 67,739	\$ 69,816	\$ (2,077)

Table of Contents 32

16

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet As of December 31, 2008

	As Computed under APB 14-1	As Reported before APB 14-1	Effect of Change
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 417,259	\$ 409,821	\$ 7,438
Deferred income tax assets	267,749	303,722	(35,973)
Other assets	122,826	124,774	(1,948)
Total assets	4,227,213	4,257,696	(30,483)
Long-term debt	877,638	963,222	(85,584)
Total liabilities	1,994,781	2,080,365	(85,584)
Retained earnings	871,021	892,297	(21,276)
Shareholders equity	2,232,432	2,177,331	55,101
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	4,227,213	4,257,696	(30,483)

The Company s previously reported amounts as of December 31, 2007 reflect a change of \$76,377 in Shareholders equity and a change of \$(12,303) in Retained earnings.

A summary of the gross carrying amount, unamortized debt cost and the net carrying value of the liability component is as follows:

	Mar	December 31, 2008			
Gross carrying amount Unamortized debt costs	\$	400,000 81,230	\$	400,000 85,584	
Net carrying amount	\$	318,770	\$	314,416	

During the first quarter of 2009, Alpharma and its U.S. subsidiaries became guarantors of the Convertible Senior Notes.

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company made payments of \$32,093 on the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility (Revolving Credit Facility), \$26,492 in excess of that required by the repayment schedule.

Under its terms, the availability for borrowing under the Revolving Credit Facility was reduced to \$442,908 as of March 31, 2009. The remaining undrawn commitment amount under the Revolving Credit Facility totals approximately \$37,895 after giving effect to outstanding letters of credit totaling \$12,105.

In connection with the borrowings, the Company incurred approximately \$22,149 of deferred financing costs that are being amortized ratably through the maturity date.

Senior Secured Term Facility

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company made payments of \$15,930 on the Senior Secured Term Facility, \$6,120 in excess of that required by the repayment schedule.

In connection with the borrowings, the Company incurred approximately \$8,705 of deferred financing costs that are being amortized ratably through the maturity date based on the repayment schedule.

17

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Alpharma Convertible Senior Notes

At the time of the acquisition of Alpharma by the Company, Alpharma had \$300,000 of Convertible Senior Notes outstanding (Alpharma Notes). The Alpharma Notes were convertible into shares of Alpharma s Class A common stock at an initial conversion rate of 30.6725 Alpharma common shares per \$1,000 principal amount. The conversion rate of the Alpharma Notes was subject to adjustment upon the direct or indirect sale of all or substantially all of Alpharma s assets or more than 50% of the outstanding shares of the Alpharma common stock to a third party (a Fundamental Change). In the event of a Fundamental Change, the Alpharma Notes included a make-whole provision that adjusted the conversion rate by a predetermined number of additional shares of Alpharma s common stock based on (1) the effective date of the fundamental change; and (2) Alpharma s common stock market price as of the effective date. The acquisition of Alpharma by the Company was a Fundamental Change. As a result, any Alpharma Notes converted in connection with the acquisition of Alpharma were entitled to be converted at an increased rate equal to the value of 34.7053 Alpharma common shares, at the acquisition price of \$37 per share, per \$1,000 principal amount of Alpharma Notes, at a date no later than 35 trading days after the occurrence of the Fundamental Change. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company paid \$385,227 to redeem the Alpharma Convertible Senior Notes.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

Intellectual Property Matters

 $Altace^{\mathbb{R}}$

Lupin Ltd. (Lupin) filed an Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) with the FDA seeking permission to market a generic version of Altace[®]. In addition to its ANDA, Lupin filed a Paragraph IV certification challenging the validity and infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,061,722 (the 722 patent), a composition of matter patent covering Altace[®], and seeking to market its generic version of Altace[®] before expiration of the 722 patent. The companies litigated the matter and the court ultimately invalidated the Company s 722 patent. On June 9, 2008, Lupin received approval from the FDA to market its generic ramipril product.

The Company was previously involved in patent infringement litigation with Cobalt Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Cobalt), a generic drug manufacturer located in Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, regarding an ANDA it filed with the FDA seeking permission to market a generic version of Altace®. The parties submitted a joint stipulation of dismissal on April 4, 2006, and the Court granted dismissal. Following the court s decision in the Company s litigation with Lupin, Cobalt launched a generic substitute for Altace® in December 2007. A number of other competitors launched generic substitutes for Altace® in June 2008.

The Company received civil investigative demands (CIDs) for information from the FTC on August 2, 2006 and August 2, 2007. The CIDs required the Company to provide information related to the Company s collaboration with Arrow International Limited (Arrow) to develop novel formulations of Altacethe dismissal without prejudice of the Company s patent infringement litigation against Cobalt under the Hatch-Waxman Act of 1984 and other information. Arrow and Cobalt are affiliates of one another. The Company is cooperating with the FTC in this investigation.

Skelaxin®

Eon Labs, Inc. (Eon Labs), CorePharma, LLC (Core) and Mutual Pharmaceutical Co., Inc. (Mutual) each filed an ANDA with the FDA seeking permission to market a generic version of Skelaxin® 400 mg tablets. Additionally, Eon Labs ANDA seeks permission to market a generic version of Skelaxin® 800 mg tablets. United States Patent Nos. 6,407,128 (the 128 patent) and 6,683,102 (the 102 patent), two method-of-use patents relating to \$\mathbb{S} \mathbb{k} \mathbb{m} \mathbb{a} \mathbb{m} \ma

18

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 3, 2021. Eon Labs and Core each filed Paragraph IV certifications against the 128 and 102 patents alleging noninfringement, invalidity and unenforceability of those patents. Mutual has filed a Paragraph IV certification against the 102 patent alleging noninfringement and invalidity of that patent. A patent infringement suit was filed against Eon Labs on January 2, 2003 in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York; against Core on March 7, 2003 in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey (subsequently transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York); and against Mutual on March 12, 2004 in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, concerning their proposed 400 mg products. Additionally, the Company filed a separate suit against Eon Labs on December 17, 2004 in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, concerning its proposed generic version of the 800 mg Skelaxin® product. On May 17, 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania placed the Mutual case on the Civil Suspense Calendar pending the outcome of the FDA activity described below. On June 16, 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York consolidated the Eon Labs cases with the Core case. In January 2008, the Company entered into an agreement with Core providing, among other things, Core with the right to launch an authorized generic version of Skelaxin® pursuant to a license in December 2012 or earlier under certain conditions. On January 8, 2008, the Company and Core submitted a joint stipulation of dismissal without prejudice. On January 15, 2008, the Court entered an order dismissing the case without prejudice.

Pursuant to the Hatch-Waxman Act, the filing of the suits against Eon Labs provided the Company with an automatic stay of FDA approval of Eon Labs ANDA for its proposed 400 mg and 800 mg products for 30 months (unless the patents are held invalid, unenforceable or not infringed) from no earlier than November 18, 2002 and November 3, 2004, respectively. The 30-month stay of FDA approval for Eon Labs ANDA for its proposed 400 mg product expired in May 2005 and Eon Labs subsequently withdrew its 400 mg ANDA in September 2006. The 30-month stay of FDA approval for Eon Labs 800 mg product was tolled by the Court on January 10, 2005 and has not expired. The Court lifted the tolling of the 30-month stay as of April 30, 2007. Although the Court has reserved judgment on the length of the tolling period, the stay should not expire prior to early August 2009 unless the Court rules otherwise. Eon Labs asked for a determination of the length of the tolling period in a March 14, 2008 letter to the Court. The Court declined to make any determination. On April 30, 2007, Eon Labs 400 mg case was dismissed without prejudice, although Eon Labs claim for fees and expenses was severed and consolidated with Eon Labs 800 mg case. On August 27, 2007, Eon Labs served a motion for summary judgment on the issue of infringement. The Court granted the Company discovery for purposes of responding to Eon s motion until March 14, 2008 and set a briefing schedule. On March 7, 2008, the Company filed a letter with the Court regarding Eon Labs inability to adhere to the discovery schedule and the Court took Eon Labs motion for summary judgment on the issue of infringement off the calendar. Subsequently, Eon Labs filed an amended motion for summary judgment on the issue of infringement on April 4, 2008. Eon Labs also filed a motion for summary judgment on the issue of validity on April 16, 2008. On June 6, 2008, the Company responded to Eon Labs motion for summary judgment on the issue of validity. On May 8, 2008, Eon Labs filed amended pleadings. On May 22, 2008, the Company moved to dismiss certain defenses and counterclaims. On January 20, 2009, the Court issued an Order ruling invalid the 128 and 102 patents. The Order was issued without the benefit of a hearing in response to Eon Labs motion for summary judgment. The Company plans to appeal, upon the entry of an appropriate judgment, and intends to vigorously defend its interests.

On December 5, 2008, the Company, along with co-plaintiff Pharmaceutical IP Holding, Inc. (PIH) initiated suit in the U.S. District Court of New Jersey against Sandoz Inc. (Sandoz) for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,122,566 (the 566 patent). The 566 patent is a method-of-use patent relating to Skel\(\text{Rxinted} \) in the FDA s Orange Book; it expires on February 6, 2026. The 566 patent is owned by PIH and licensed to the Company. The Company and PIH sued

Sandoz, alleging that Eon Labs submission of its ANDA seeking approval to sell a generic version of a 800 mg Skelaxin® tablet prior to the expiration of the 566 patent constitutes infringement of the patent. Sandoz, who acquired Eon Labs, is the named owner of

19

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Eon Labs ANDA and filed a Paragraph IV certification challenging the validity and alleging non-infringement of the 566 patent. On January 13, 2009, Sandoz answered the complaint and filed counterclaims of invalidity and non-infringement. The Company filed a reply on February 5, 2009.

On March 9, 2004, the Company received a copy of a letter from the FDA to all ANDA applicants for Skelaxin[®] stating that the use listed in the FDA s Orange Book for the 128 patent may be deleted from the ANDA applicants product labeling. The Company believes that this decision is arbitrary, capricious and inconsistent with the FDA s previous position on this issue. The Company filed a Citizen Petition on March 18, 2004 (supplemented on April 15, 2004 and on July 21, 2004), requesting the FDA to rescind that letter, require generic applicants to submit Paragraph IV certifications for the 128 patent and prohibit the removal of information corresponding to the use listed in the Orange Book. The Company concurrently filed a petition for stay of action requesting the FDA to stay approval of any generic Skelaxin[®] products until the FDA has fully evaluated the Company s Citizen Petition.

On March 12, 2004, the FDA sent a letter to the Company explaining that the Company s proposed labeling revision for Skelaxin®, which includes references to additional clinical studies relating to food, age and gender effects, was approvable and only required certain formatting changes. On April 5, 2004, the Company submitted amended labeling text that incorporated those changes. On April 5, 2004, Mutual filed a petition for stay of action requesting the FDA to stay approval of the Company s proposed labeling revision until the FDA has fully evaluated and ruled upon the Company s Citizen Petition, as well as all comments submitted in response to that petition. The Company, CorePharma and Mutual have filed responses and supplements to their pending Citizen Petitions and responses. On December 8, 2005, Mutual filed another supplement with the FDA in which it withdrew its prior petition for stay, supplement and opposition to the Company s Citizen Petition. On November 24, 2006, the FDA approved the revision to the Skelaxifi labeling. On February 13, 2007, the Company filed another supplement to the Company s Citizen Petition to reflect FDA approval of the revision to the Skelaxin® labeling. On May 2, 2007, Mutual filed comments in connection with the Company s supplemental submission. These issues are pending. On July 27, 2007 and January 24, 2008, Mutual filed two other Citizen Petitions in which it seeks a determination that Skelaxin® labeling should be revised to reflect the data provided in its earlier submissions. These petitions were denied on July 18, 2008.

Net sales of Skelaxin[®] were \$446,243 in 2008 and \$100,599 in the first quarter of 2009. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had net intangible assets related to Skelaxin[®] of \$96,938. If a generic version of Skelaxin[®] enters the market, the Company may have to write off a portion or all of these intangible assets, and the Company s business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected. See Note 8 for information regarding the Skelaxin[®] intangible assets.

Avinza®

Actavis, Inc. (Actavis) filed an ANDA with the FDA seeking permission to market a generic version of Avinza U.S. Patent No. 6,066,339 (the 339 patent) is a formulation patent relating to Avinzahat is listed in the Orange Book and expires on November 25, 2017. Actavis filed a Paragraph IV certification challenging the validity and alleging non-infringement of the 339 patent, and the Company and Elan Pharma International LTD (EPI), the owner of the 339 patent, filed suit on October 18, 2007 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey to defend the rights under the patent. Pursuant to the Hatch-Waxman Act, the filing of the lawsuit against Actavis provided the Company with an automatic stay of FDA approval of Actavis ANDA for up to 30 months (unless the patent is held invalid, unenforceable or not infringed) from no earlier than September 4, 2007. On November 18,

2007, Actavis answered the complaint and filed counterclaims of non-infringement and invalidity. The Company and EPI filed a reply on December 7, 2007. The initial scheduling conference was held on March 11, 2008. The parties are nearing the end of fact discovery and await a hearing date for claim construction.

20

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company intends to vigorously defend its rights under the 339 patent to the full extent of the law. Net sales of Avinza® were \$135,452 in 2008 and \$38,980 in the first quarter of 2009. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had net intangible assets related to Avinza® of \$230,129. If a generic form of Avinza® enters the market, the Company may have to write off a portion or all of these intangible assets, and the Company s business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be otherwise materially adversely affected.

Adenoscan®

On February 15, 2008, the Company, along with co-plaintiffs Astellas US LLC and Astellas Pharma US, Inc. (collectively Astellas), and Item Development AB (Item) initiated suit in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California against Anazao Health Corp. (Anazao), NuView Radiopharmaceuticals, Inc. (NuView), Paul J. Crowe (Crowe) and Keith Rustvold (Rustvold) for the unauthorized sale and attempted sale of generic adenosine to hospitals and outpatient imaging clinics for use in Myocardial Perfusion Imaging procedures for an indication that has not been approved by the FDA. On July 2, 2008, plaintiffs filed a notice of dismissal as to Anazao. The Company and co-plaintiffs have alleged infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,731,296 (the 296 patent) and 5,070,877 (the 877 patent), which cover a method of using adenosine in Myocardial Perfusion Imaging and which Astellas sells under the tradename, Adenoscan®; unfair competition in violation of the California Business and Professions Code, and violations of various other sections of the California Business and Professions Code, concerning the labeling, advertising and dispensing of drugs; and intentional interference with Company and co-plaintiffs prospective economic advantage. On June 30, 2008, NuView, Crowe and Rustvold filed an answer raising defenses and counterclaims of non-infringement, invalidity, unenforceability due to inequitable conduct and patent misuse, and unfair competition under California State Law. On August 28, 2008, the Company filed a reply. On November 20, 2008, the Company and other plaintiffs amended their complaint to add MTS Health Supplies, Inc., Nabil Saba and Ghassan Salaymeg (collectively, MTS) as defendants. On November 21, 2008, defendant NuView amended its answer and counterclaims to allege patent misuse antitrust violations by plaintiffs. On April 10, 2009, a Final Judgment and Injunction on Consent was entered by the Court against NuView, Crowe and Rustvold. On April 13, 2009, the Court entered a Final Judgment and Injunction on Consent against all remaining defendants and terminated the action.

Average Wholesale Price Litigation

In August 2004, the Company and Monarch Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Monarch), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, were named as defendants along with 44 other pharmaceutical manufacturers in an action brought by the City of New York (NYC) in Federal Court in the State of New York. NYC claims that the defendants fraudulently inflated their average wholesale prices (AWP) and fraudulently failed to accurately report their best prices and their average manufacturer is prices and failed to pay proper rebates pursuant to federal law. Additional claims allege violations of federal and New York statutes, fraud and unjust enrichment. For the period from 1992 to the present, NYC is requesting money damages, civil penalties, declaratory and injunctive relief, restitution, disgorgement of profits and treble and punitive damages. The United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts has been established as the multidistrict litigation court for the case, *In re: Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Pricing Litigation* (the MDL Court).

Since the filing of the NYC case, 48 New York counties have filed lawsuits against the pharmaceutical industry, including the Company and Monarch. The allegations in all of these cases are virtually the same as the allegations in

the NYC case. All of these lawsuits are currently pending in the MDL Court in the District of Massachusetts except for the Erie, Oswego and Schenectady County cases, which were removed in October 2006 and remanded to New York State Court in September 2007. Motions to dismiss were granted in part and denied in part for all defendants in all NYC and county cases pending in the MDL Court. The Erie motion to dismiss was granted in part and denied in part by the State Court before removal. Motions to dismiss were

21

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

filed in October 2007 in the Oswego and Schenectady cases, and these cases were subsequently transferred to Erie County for coordination with the Erie County case. It is not anticipated that any trials involving the Company will be set in any of these cases within the next year.

In January 2005, the State of Alabama filed a lawsuit in State Court against 79 defendants including the Company and Monarch. The four causes of action center on the allegation that all defendants fraudulently inflated the AWPs of their products. A motion to dismiss was filed and denied by the Court, but the Court did require an amended complaint to be filed. The Company filed an answer and counterclaim for return of rebates overpaid to the state. Alabama filed a motion to dismiss the counterclaim, which was granted. The Company appealed the dismissal. The Alabama Supreme Court affirmed the dismissal. In a separate appeal of a motion to sever denied by the trial court, the Alabama Supreme Court severed all defendants into single-defendant cases. Trials against AstraZeneca International, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, SmithKline Beecham Corporation and Sandoz resulted in verdicts for the State. The first three of these defendants have already appealed their verdicts. Several other defendants have had their cases set for trial this year and in 2009. In April 2009, the Court established various trial dates for the defendants. The Company was scheduled for trial in January 2011.

In October 2005, the State of Mississippi filed a lawsuit in State Court against the Company, Monarch and 84 other defendants, alleging fourteen causes of action. Many of those causes of action allege that all defendants fraudulently inflated the AWPs and wholesale acquisition costs of their products. A motion to dismiss the criminal statute counts and a motion for more definite statement were granted. Mississippi filed an amended complaint dismissing the Company and Monarch from the lawsuit without prejudice. These claims could be refiled.

Over half of the states have filed similar lawsuits but the Company has not been named in any other case except Iowa s. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss the Iowa complaint. On February 20, 2008, the Iowa case was transferred to the MDL Court. The relief sought in all of these cases is similar to the relief sought in the NYC lawsuit. The MDL Court granted in part and denied in part the Company s motion to dismiss, and the Company has filed its answer. Discovery is proceeding in these cases. The Company intends to defend all of the AWP lawsuits vigorously, but is currently unable to predict the outcome or reasonably estimate the range of potential loss.

See also AWP Litigation under the section Alpharma Matters below.

Governmental Pricing Investigation and Related Matters

As previously reported, during the first quarter of 2006, the Company paid approximately \$129,268 related to underpayment of rebates owed to Medicaid and other governmental pricing programs during the period from 1994 to 2002. On October 31, 2005, the Company also entered into a five-year corporate integrity agreement with the office of the Inspector General of the United States Department of Health and Human Services.

Beginning in March 2003, a number of purported class action complaints were filed by holders of the Company s securities against the Company, its directors, former directors, executive officers, former executive officers, a Company subsidiary and a former director of the subsidiary. These cases were settled in January 2007.

Beginning in March 2003, four purported shareholder derivative complaints were also filed in Tennessee State Court alleging a breach of fiduciary duty, among other things, by some of the Company s current and former officers and

directors. These cases were consolidated. The parties reached agreement on a stipulation of settlement on August 21, 2008. The settlement requires the Company to maintain and/or adopt certain corporate governance measures and provides for payment of attorneys fees and expenses to plaintiffs counsel in the amount of \$13,500. This amount has been paid by the Company s insurance carriers. The stipulation of settlement was filed with the Court on August 22, 2008. The Court entered an order approving the settlement

22

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

on December 17, 2008. A shareholder has appealed the Court s approval of the settlement, and this appeal is pending. The Company is currently unable to predict the outcome of the appeal of the derivative suit settlement. If the appeal were to succeed, the Company s business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

During the third quarter of 2006, the second quarter of 2007, the second quarter of 2008 and the third quarter of 2008, the Company recorded anticipated insurance recoveries of legal fees in the amounts of \$6,750, \$3,398, \$3,001 and \$8,000, respectively, for the class action and derivative suits described above. In November 2006, July 2007, August 2008 and October 2008, respectively, the Company received payments from its insurance carriers for the recovery of these legal fees.

Fen-Phen Litigation

Many distributors, marketers and manufacturers of anorexigenic drugs have been subject to claims relating to the use of these drugs. Generally, the lawsuits allege that the defendants (1) misled users of the products with respect to the dangers associated with them, (2) failed to adequately test the products and (3) knew or should have known about the negative effects of the drugs, and should have informed the public about the risks of such negative effects. Claims include product liability, breach of warranty, misrepresentation and negligence. The actions have been filed in various state and federal jurisdictions throughout the United States. A multidistrict litigation court has been established in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, *In re Fen-Phen Litigation*. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages and/or court-supervised medical monitoring of persons who have ingested these products.

The Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, King Research and Development, is a defendant in approximately 60 multi-plaintiff (approximately 1,000 plaintiffs) lawsuits involving the manufacture and sale of dexfenfluramine, fenfluramine and phentermine. These lawsuits have been filed in various jurisdictions throughout the United States and in each of these lawsuits King Research and Development, as the successor to Jones Pharma Incorporated (Jones), is one of many defendants, including manufacturers and other distributors of these drugs. Although Jones did not at any time manufacture dexfenfluramine, fenfluramine or phentermine, Jones was a distributor of a generic phentermine product and, after its acquisition of Abana Pharmaceuticals, was a distributor of Obenix®, Abana s branded phentermine product. The manufacturer of the phentermine purchased by Jones filed for bankruptcy protection and is no longer in business. The plaintiffs in these cases, in addition to the claims described above, claim injury as a result of ingesting a combination of these weight-loss drugs and are seeking compensatory and punitive damages as well as medical care and court-supervised medical monitoring. The plaintiffs claim liability based on a variety of theories, including, but not limited to, product liability, strict liability, negligence, breach of warranty, fraud and misrepresentation.

King Research and Development denies any liability incident to Jones distribution and sale of Obeni® or Jones generic phentermine product. King Research and Development s insurance carriers are currently defending King Research and Development in these lawsuits. The manufacturers of fenfluramine and dexfenfluramine have settled many of these cases. As a result of these settlements, King Research and Development has routinely received voluntary dismissals without the payment of settlement proceeds. In the event that King Research and Development s insurance coverage is inadequate to satisfy any resulting liability, King Research and Development will have to assume defense of these lawsuits and be responsible for the damages, if any, that are awarded against it.

While the Company cannot predict the outcome of these lawsuits, management believes that the claims against King Research and Development are without merit and intends to vigorously pursue all defenses available. The Company is unable to disclose an aggregate dollar amount of damages claimed because many of these complaints are multi-party suits and do not state specific damage amounts. Rather, these claims typically state damages as may be determined by the court or similar language and state no specific amount of

23

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

damages against King Research and Development. Consequently, the Company cannot reasonably estimate possible losses related to the lawsuits.

Hormone Replacement Therapy

Currently, the Company is named as a defendant by 22 plaintiffs in lawsuits involving the manufacture and sale of hormone replacement therapy drugs. The first of these lawsuits was filed in July 2004. Numerous other pharmaceutical companies have also been sued. The Company was sued by approximately 1,000 plaintiffs, but most of those claims were voluntarily dismissed or dismissed by the Court for lack of product identification. The remaining 22 lawsuits were filed in Alabama, Arkansas, Missouri, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Florida, Maryland, Mississippi and Minnesota. A federal multidistrict litigation court has been established in Little Rock, Arkansas, In re: Prempro Products Liability Litigation, and all of the plaintiffs claims have been transferred and are pending in that Court except for one lawsuit pending in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania State Court. Many of these plaintiffs allege that the Company and other defendants failed to conduct adequate research and testing before the sale of the products and post-sale monitoring to establish the safety and efficacy of the long-term hormone therapy regimen and, as a result, misled consumers when marketing their products. Plaintiffs also allege negligence, strict liability, design defect, breach of implied warranty, breach of express warranty, fraud and misrepresentation. Discovery of the plaintiffs claims against the Company has begun but is limited to document discovery. No trial has occurred in the hormone replacement therapy litigation against the Company or any other defendants except Wyeth and Pfizer. The trials against Wyeth have resulted in verdicts for and against Wyeth, with several verdicts against Wyeth reversed on post-trial motions. Pfizer has lost two jury verdicts. One of these verdicts was later reversed, and the other is being appealed. The Company does not expect to have any trials set in the next year. The Company intends to defend these lawsuits vigorously but is currently unable to predict the outcome or to reasonably estimate the range of potential loss, if any. The Company may have limited insurance for these claims. The Company would have to assume defense of the lawsuits and be responsible for damages, fees and expenses, if any, that are awarded against it or for amounts in excess of the Company s product liability coverage.

Alpharma Matters

The following matters relate to our Alpharma subsidiary and/or certain of its subsidiaries.

Department of Justice Investigation

On February 28, 2007, Alpharma received a subpoena from the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) requesting certain documents in connection with its investigation into various marketing practices with respect to Kadian® capsules. The DOJ has requested interviews with former Alpharma employees, and has subpoenaed records from physicians who performed research on Kadian® and/or wrote articles about Kadian® and from third-party vendors who were retained to provide services relating to clinical studies of Kadian®. The DOJ has also asked Alpharma to provide documents relating to post-approval studies of Kadian® that were submitted to the FDA. Alpharma and its subsidiary, Alpharma Pharmaceuticals, have responded and are continuing to respond to this subpoena and additional information requests and are fully cooperating with the DOJ. On February 2, 2009, the Company was informed by the DOJ that its investigation would be expanded to include Alpharma s marketing practices with respect to Flector Patch.

At this time, the Company cannot predict or determine the outcome of this matter or reasonably estimate the amount or range of amounts of fines or penalties, if any, that might result from an adverse outcome.

Chicken Litter Litigation

Alpharma and one of its subsidiaries are two of multiple defendants that have been named in several lawsuits that allege that one of its animal health products causes chickens to produce manure that contains an

24

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

arsenical compound which, when used as agricultural fertilizer by chicken farmers, degrades into inorganic arsenic and may have caused a variety of diseases in the plaintiffs (who allegedly live in close proximity to such farm fields). Alpharma provided notice to its insurance carriers and its primary insurance carriers have responded by accepting their obligations to defend or pay Alpharma s defense costs, subject to reservation of rights to later reject coverage for these lawsuits. One of the carriers has filed a Declaratory Judgment action in state court in which it has sought a ruling concerning the allocation of its coverage obligations to Alpharma among the several insurance carriers and, to the extent Alpharma does not have full insurance coverage, to Alpharma. Further, this Declaratory Judgment action requests that the Court rule that certain of the carrier s policies provide no coverage because certain policy exclusions allegedly operate to limit its coverage obligations under said policies. The insurance carriers may take the position that some, or all, of the applicable insurance policies contain certain provisions that could limit coverage for future product liability claims arising in connection with product sold on and after December 16, 2003.

In addition to the potential for personal injury damages to the approximately 155 plaintiffs, the plaintiffs are asking for punitive damages and requesting that Alpharma be enjoined from the future sale of the product at issue. In September 2006, in the first trial, which was brought by three plaintiffs, the Circuit Court of Washington County, Arkansas, Second Division entered a jury verdict in favor of Alpharma. The plaintiffs appealed the verdict, challenging certain pretrial expert rulings; however, in May 2008, the Supreme Court of Arkansas denied plaintiffs challenges. In its ruling, the Supreme Court of Arkansas also overturned the trial court s grant of summary judgment that had the effect of dismissing certain poultry company co-defendants from the case. The re-trial of the first case against the poultry company co-defendants is under way, and subsequent cases are expected to be tried against both the poultry companies and Alpharma together.

While the Company can give no assurance of the outcome of any future trial in this litigation, it believes that it will be able to continue to present credible scientific evidence that its product is not the cause of any injuries the plaintiffs may have suffered. There is also the possibility of an adverse customer reaction to the allegations in these lawsuits, as well as additional lawsuits in other jurisdictions where the product has been sold. Worldwide sales of this product were approximately \$19,600 in 2008, and approximately \$4,413 in the first quarter of 2009.

AWP Litigation

Alpharma, and in certain instances one of its subsidiaries, are defendants in connection with various elements of the litigation described above under the heading Average Wholesale Price Litigation , primarily related to sale of Kadan capsules. At present, Alpharma is involved in proceedings in the following states: Alaska, Florida, Illinois, Iowa, New York, and South Carolina. The Mississippi case against Alpharma was dismissed without prejudice.

These lawsuits vary with respect to the particular causes of action and relief sought. The relief sought in these lawsuits includes statutory causes of action including civil penalties and treble damages, common law causes of action, and declaratory and injunctive relief, including, in certain lawsuits, disgorgement of profits. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to vigorously defend its positions in these lawsuits. Numerous other pharmaceutical companies are defendants in similar lawsuits.

Other Contingencies

The Company has a supply agreement with a third party to produce metaxalone, the active ingredient in Skelaxin[®]. This supply agreement requires the Company to purchase certain minimum levels of metaxalone and expires in 2010. If sales of Skelaxin[®] are not consistent with current forecasts, the Company could incur losses in connection with purchase commitments for metaxalone, which could have a material adverse effect upon the Company s results of operations and cash flows.

25

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. Accounting Developments

Please see Note 9 for a discussion of the adoption of and the additional disclosures required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments that May be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS No. 161) which requires additional disclosures for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Please see Note 4 for these additional disclosures.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141(R)). This statement establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase. This statement also requires an acquirer to recognize and measure in-process research and development projects as intangible assets at fair value on the acquisition date. SFAS No. 141(R) also sets forth the disclosures required to be made in the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Accordingly, SFAS No. 141(R) will be applied by the Company to business combinations occurring on or after January 1, 2009.

In December 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position SFAS 132(R), *Employers Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets* (FSP FAS 132(R)). FSP FAS 132(R) amends SFAS 132(R) to require enhanced disclosures about an employer s plan assets in a defined benefit pension plan or other postretirement plan. The disclosures required, similar to those required under SFAS 157, include a discussion on the inputs and valuation techniques used to develop fair value measurements of plan assets. In addition, the fair value of each major category of plan assets is required to be disclosed separately for pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans. FSP FAS 132(R) is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The Company does not anticipate FSP FAS 132(R) will have a material effect on its financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position SFAS 107-1 and Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP 107-1). This statement amends FASB Statement No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Values of Financial Instruments*, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim financial statements as well as in annual financial statements. It also amends APB Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require those disclosures in all interim financial statements. FSP 107-1 is effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009, but early adoption is permitted for interim periods ending after March 15, 2009. The Company plans to adopt FSP 107-1 and provide the additional disclosure requirements for the second quarter 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position SFAS No. 157-4, *Determining fair value when the volume and level of Activity for the Asset or Liability have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions that are not Orderly* (FSP 157-4). FSP 157-4 provides additional guidance for determining fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* when the volume of activity for an asset or liability has significantly decreased or price

quotations or observable inputs are not associated with orderly transactions. FSP 157-4 is effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009, but early adoption is permitted for interim periods ending after March 15, 2009. The Company plans to adopt FSP 157-4 in the second quarter of 2009 and does not anticipate it will have a material effect on its financial statements.

In March 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position SFAS 115-2 and SFAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (FSP 115-2). FSP 115-2 provides guidance in determining whether impairments in debt securities are other than temporary, and modifies the presentation

26

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

and disclosures surrounding such instruments. This Staff Position is effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009, but early adoption is permitted for interim periods ending after March 15, 2009. The Company is in the process of evaluating the effect of FSP 115-2 on its financial statements and plans to adopt this standard in the second quarter of 2009.

12. Income Taxes

During 2009, the Company s effective income tax for continuing operations was a benefit of 36.1%. This benefit rate is greater than the statutory rate of 35% due primarily to benefits from research credits, tax-exempt interest income and domestic manufacturing deductions, which benefits were partially offset by state taxes.

During 2008, the Company s effective income tax rate for continuing operations was 33.8%. This rate varied from the statutory rate of 35% due primarily to tax benefits related to tax-exempt interest income and domestic manufacturing deductions, which benefits were partially offset by state taxes.

13. Segment Information

The Company s business is classified into six reportable segments: branded prescription pharmaceuticals, animal health, Meridian Auto-Injector, royalties, contract manufacturing and all other. The branded prescription pharmaceuticals segment includes a variety of branded prescription products that are separately categorized into neuroscience, hospital and legacy products. These branded prescription products are aggregated because of the similarity in regulatory environment, manufacturing processes, methods of distribution and types of customer. The animal health business is a global leader in the development, registration, manufacture and marketing of medicated feed additives and water soluble therapeutics primarily for poultry, cattle and swine. Meridian Auto-Injector products are sold to both commercial and government markets. The principal source of revenues in the commercial market is the EpiPen® product, an epinephrine filled auto-injector, which is primarily prescribed for the treatment of severe allergic reactions and which is primarily marketed, distributed and sold by Dey, L.P. Government revenues are principally derived from the sale of nerve agent antidotes and other emergency medicine auto-injector products marketed to the U.S. Department of Defense and other federal, state and local agencies, particularly those involved in homeland security, as well as to approved foreign governments. The contract manufacturing segment consists primarily of pharmaceutical manufacturing services provided to the Company s branded prescription pharmaceutical segment. Royalties include revenues the Company derives from pharmaceutical products after the Company has transferred the manufacturing or marketing rights to third parties in exchange for licensing fees or royalty payments.

The Company primarily evaluates its segments based on segment profit. Reportable segments were separately identified based on revenues, segment profit (excluding depreciation, amortization and impairments) and total assets. Revenues among the segments are presented in the individual segments and removed through eliminations in the information below. Substantially all of the eliminations relate to sales from the contract manufacturing segment to the branded prescription pharmaceuticals segment.

27

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following represents selected information for the Company s reportable segments for the periods indicated. Note that for the three months ended March 31, 2008, the tables for revenues and segment profit below do not include revenues and segment profit for the animal health segment or the Flector® Patch product within the branded prescription pharmaceuticals segment since these are part of Alpharma, a company that was acquired by King at the end of December 2008.

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2009		2008		
Total revenues:					
Branded prescription pharmaceuticals	\$ 277,704	\$	369,372		
Animal Health	 79,835	7			
Meridian Auto-Injector	56,607		42,912		
Royalties	14,758		19,123		
Contract manufacturing	147,328		133,826		
Other	(56)		313		
Eliminations	(147,119)		(133,513)		
Consolidated total net revenues	\$ 429,057	\$	432,033		
Segment profit:					
Branded prescription pharmaceuticals	\$ 211,777	\$	297,003		
Animal Health	19,217				
Meridian Auto-Injector	34,097		26,305		
Royalties	12,942		16,805		
Contract manufacturing	169		151		
Other	(84)		308		
Other operating costs and expense	(270,978)		(219,291)		
Other income	(23,917)		7,945		
Income before tax	\$ (16,777)	\$	129,226		

The following represents branded pharmaceutical revenues by the Company s target markets:

		Ionths Ended arch 31,
	2009	2008
Total revenues:		
Neuroscience	\$ 157,10	\$ 164,617

Hospital	51,959	70,917
Acute care	19,440	19,789
Legacy:		
Cardiovascular/metabolic	44,968	109,547
Other	4,236	4,502
Consolidated branded prescription pharmaceutical revenues	\$ 277,704	\$ 369,372

14. Restructuring Activities

First Quarter of 2009 Action

On January 20, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York issued an Order ruling invalid United States Patent Nos. 6,407,128 and 6,683,102, two patents relating to the Company s product Skelaxin. The Order was issued in response to defendant Eon Labs motion for summary judgment without the benefit of a hearing in ongoing Paragraph IV litigation. The Company plans to appeal, upon the entry of

28

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

an appropriate judgment, and intends to vigorously defend its interests. The entry of the Order may lead to generic versions of Skelaxin[®] entering the market sooner than previously anticipated, which would likely cause the Company s sales of Skelaxin[®] to decline significantly as a result.

Following the decision of the District Court, the Company s senior management team conducted an extensive examination of the Company and developed a restructuring initiative designed to partially offset the potential decline in Skelaxin® sales in the event that a generic competitor enters the market. This initiative included, based on an analysis of the Company s strategic needs: a reduction in sales, marketing and other personnel; leveraging of staff; expense reductions and additional controls over spending; and reorganization of sales teams.

The Company incurred restructuring charges of approximately \$48,000 during the first quarter of 2009 related to severance pay and other employee termination expenses. Almost all of the restructuring charges will be cash expenditures and will primarily be paid in the second quarter of 2009.

The restructuring charges include employee termination costs associated with a workforce reduction of approximately 520 employees, including approximately 380 members of our sales force.

Fourth Quarter of 2008 Action

As part of the acquisition of Alpharma, management developed a restructuring plan to eliminate redundancies in operations created by the acquisition. This plan includes a reduction in personnel, staff leverage, reductions in duplicate expenses and a realignment of research and development priorities.

The Company has estimated total costs of \$68,046 associated with this restructuring plan, \$61,568 of which has been included in the liabilities assumed in the purchase price of Alpharma. The restructuring plan includes employee termination costs associated with a workforce reduction of 234 employees. The restructuring plan also includes contract termination costs of \$17,195 and other exit costs of \$182 as a result of the acquisition. All employee termination costs are expected to be paid by the end of 2011. All contract termination costs are expected to be paid by the end of 2018.

Third Quarter of 2008 Action

During the third quarter of 2008, the Company completed a restructuring initiative at its Rochester, Michigan facility. This initiative is in response to a decline in unit volume of the Company s Bicillin CR product, an anti-infective. As a result of this initiative, the Company incurred employee termination costs of \$272 associated with a workforce reduction of approximately 14 employees in the third quarter of 2008. The employee termination costs are expected to be paid by the end of 2009.

Third Quarter of 2007 Action

During 2007, following the Circuit Court s decision in September 2007 regarding the Company s 722 Patent that covered the Company s Altace product, the Company developed a restructuring initiative. This initiative included a reduction in personnel, staff leverage, expense reductions and additional controls over spending, reorganization of sales teams and a realignment of research and development priorities.

The Company incurred total costs of approximately \$67,000 associated with this initiative, including approximately \$65,000 in restructuring charges, \$1,000 in accelerated depreciation associated with general support assets and approximately \$1,000 for implementation costs of reorganizing the sales teams. Expenses related to this initiative were primarily incurred in the third and fourth quarters of 2007.

The restructuring charges include employee termination costs associated with a workforce reduction of approximately 520 employees, including approximately 440 employees in the Company s sales force.

29

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Restructuring charges also include contract termination costs, including the termination of the promotion agreement for Glumetzatm and other exit costs associated with this initiative.

Specifically, the restructuring charges associated with this initiative included employee termination costs, contract termination costs, and other exit costs of \$31,946, \$31,242, and \$1,200, respectively. Substantially all of the restructuring charges were paid by the end of the first quarter of 2008.

Third Quarter of 2006 Action

During 2006, the Company decided to streamline its manufacturing activities in order to improve operating efficiency and reduce costs, including the decision to transfer the production of Levoxyl® from its St. Petersburg, Florida facility to its Bristol, Tennessee facility, which the Company expects to complete in the first half of 2009. As a result of these steps, the Company expects to incur restructuring charges totaling approximately \$17,000 through the end of 2009, of which approximately \$12,000 is associated with accelerated depreciation and approximately \$5,000 is associated with employee termination costs. The employee termination costs are expected to be fully paid in the first half of 2009.

A summary of the types of costs accrued and incurred are summarized below:

	Accrued					Accrued Balance
	Balance at December 31, 2008	Income Statement Impact	Alpharma Acquisition	Cash Payments	Non-Cash Costs	at March 31, 2009
First quarter of 2009 action						
Employee separation payments	\$	\$ 46,309	\$	\$ 7,495	\$ 3,007	\$ 35,807
Contract termination		352		352		
Other		538		422		116
Accelerated depreciation(1)		485			485	
Fourth quarter of 2008 action						
Employee separation payments	49,437	925		7,120	207	43,035
Contract termination	16,801	2	394	1,263	(280)	16,214
Other	182					182
Accelerated depreciation(1)		196			196	
Third quarter of 2008 action						
Employee separation payments	9			5		4
Third quarter of 2007 action						
Employee separation payments	103	(103)				
Contract termination		4		4		
Third quarter of 2006 action						
Employee separation payments	2,462	23		25	23	2,437
Accelerated depreciation(1)		291			291	
Fourth quarter of 2005 action						

Employee separation payments 8 6 2
\$ 69,002 \$ 49,022 \$ 394 \$ 16,692 \$ 3,929 \$ 97,797

(1) Included in depreciation and amortization on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The restructuring charges in 2009 primarily relate to the branded prescription pharmaceutical segment. The accrued employee separation payments as of March 31, 2009 are expected to be paid by the end of 2011.

30

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

15. Stock-Based Compensation

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company granted to certain employees 843,990 RSAs, 561,450 LPUs with a one-year performance cycle, 240,580 LPUs with a three-year performance cycle, 1,580 restricted stock units, and 1,985,690 nonqualified stock options under its Incentive Plan.

The RSAs are grants of shares of common stock restricted from sale or transfer for three years from grant date.

The LPUs are rights to receive common stock of the Company in which the number of shares ultimately received depends on the Company s performance over time. LPUs with a one-year performance cycle, followed by a two-year restriction period, will be earned based on 2009 operating targets. LPUs with a three-year performance cycle will be earned based on market-related performance targets over the years 2009 through 2011. At the end of the applicable performance period, the number of shares of common stock awarded is either 0% or between 50% and 200% of a target number. The final performance percentage on which the number of shares of common stock issued is based, considering performance metrics established for the performance period, will be determined by the Company s Board of Directors or a committee of the Board at its sole discretion.

The nonqualified stock options were granted at option prices equal to the fair market value of the common stock at the date of grant and vest approximately in one-third increments on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date.

16. Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits

The Company maintains two qualified noncontributory, defined benefit pension plans covering its U.S. (domestic) employees at its Alpharma subsidiary: the previously frozen Alpharma Inc. Pension Plan and the previously frozen Faulding Inc. Pension Plan. The benefits payable from these plans are based on years of service and the employee s highest consecutive five years compensation during the last ten years of service. The Company s funding policy is to contribute annually an amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes. Ideally, the Plan assets will approximate the accumulated benefit obligation (ABO). The plan assets are held by two custodians and managed by two investment managers. Plan assets are invested in equities, government securities and bonds.

The Company also has an unfunded supplemental executive pension plan providing additional benefits to certain employees upon termination of employment or death. The Company has an unfunded postretirement medical and nominal life insurance plan (postretirement benefits) covering certain domestic employees who were eligible as of January 1, 1993. The plan has not been extended to any additional employees. Retired eligible employees are required to make premium contributions for coverage as if they were active employees.

The Company uses a measurement date of December 31, 2008 for its pension plans and other postretirement plans. The net periodic benefit costs for the Company s pension plans and other postretirement plans are, as follows:

Three Months Ended
March 31, 2009
Pension Postretirement
Benefits Benefits

Service Cost		\$		\$ 21
Interest Cost			758	101
Expected return on plan assets		(707)	
Recognized net actuarial loss			24	53
Net periodic benefit cost		\$	75	\$ 175
	31			

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

17. Subsequent Events

During April 2009, the Company received offers from two separate issuers of bonds underlying certain auction rate securities associated with student loans that were outstanding at March 31, 2009. The Company accepted one of these offers and sold certain auction rate securities with a par value of \$9,900 for \$9,207 to the issuer during April 2009. The estimated fair market value of these auction rate securities at March 31, 2009 was \$8,920. The unrealized loss of \$980 was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2009 as the Company continued to have the intent and ability as of that date to hold the securities until full collection under their contractual terms. During the second quarter of 2009 a realized loss of \$693 will be recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The second offer required the Company to submit a bid indicating a discount at which the Company would be willing so sell the auction rate securities to the issuer. In April 2009, the Company submitted a bid to the issuer at an amount that was not below the recorded amount of the security as of March 31, 2009 and will receive notification in May 2009 indicating whether this bid is accepted. If the bid is accepted, it may only be accepted for a certain number of the issuer s securities held by the Company. While the Company holds \$55,900 of auction rate securities that are subject to the offer, the issuer only plans to purchase approximately 18% of its total outstanding securities. The estimated fair market value of these auction rate securities at March 31, 2009 was \$45,427. The unrealized loss of \$10,473 was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2009 as the Company continued to have the intent and ability as of that date to hold the securities until full collection under their contractual terms. If the Company sells the auction rate securities, it will record a realized loss in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations in the second quarter of 2009.

18. Guarantor Financial Statements

Each of the Company s U.S. subsidiaries guaranteed on a full, unconditional and joint and several basis the Company s performance under the \$400,000 aggregate principal amount of the 11/4% Convertible Senior Notes due April 1, 2026 (the Notes).

There are no restrictions under the Company s current financing arrangements on the ability of the Guarantor Subsidiaries to distribute funds to the Company in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances. The following combined financial data provides information regarding the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Guarantor Subsidiaries for the \$400,000 aggregate principal amount of the Notes (condensed consolidating financial data). Separate financial statements and other disclosures concerning the Guarantor Subsidiaries are not presented because management has determined that such information would not be material to the holders of the debt.

32

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands) (unaudited)

		J	March 31, 200 Non	9			December 31, 2008 Non						
	King	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminating Entries	2		Guarantor Subsidiaries	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Elimin Enti				
					ASSETS								
6	198,887	\$ 11,689	\$ 226,217	\$	\$ 436,793	\$ 401,657	\$ 52	\$ 538,503	\$				
	13,682 624				13,682 624	6,441 511							
	1,091 63,770	190,404 160,874	36,215 34,176	(2,714)	227,710 256,106	61 59,279	140,502 26,406	104,507 172,618					
	43,051 4,805	102,148 (1,315)	(744) 584		144,455 4,074	36,041	26,146	27,326					
	14,503	112,569	1,407		128,479	14,090	8,283	106,841					
	340,413	576,369	297,855	(2,714)	1,211,923	518,080	201,389	949,795					
	144,920	252,085 858,308 415,095	13,484 38,391		410,489 896,699 415,095	140,314	115,996 633,300 129,150	160,949 300,919 321,398					
	334,049				334,049	353,848							
	(23,235) 68,134	278,627 47,871 7,900	(2,657) 291		252,735 116,296 7,900	(18,117) 72,442	340,764 23,704 11,500	(54,898) 26,680					
	2,899,473	879,291	(28)	(3,778,736)		2,896,242			(2,89				
3	3,763,754	\$ 3,315,546	\$ 347,336	\$ (3,781,450)	\$ 3,645,186	\$ 3,962,809	\$ 1,455,803	\$ 1,704,843	\$ (2,89				

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

									,
\$ 43,725 30,659	\$	51,893 278,691	\$ 1,668 7,253	\$	\$ 97,286 316,603	\$ 61,255 32,456	\$ 20,107 165,460	\$ 59,546 213,572	\$
30,033		270,091	1,233		310,003	1,288	165,460	8,991	
			5,149		5,149			5,230	
51,349					51,349	53,820		385,227	
125,733		330,584	14,070		470,387	148,819	185,736	672,566	
837,989					837,989	877,638			
54,573		48,322	14,743		117,638	54,355	4,595	51,072	
526,287		(577,041)	50,754			649,565	(655,145)	5,580	
1,544,582		(198,135)	79,567		1,426,014	1,730,377	(464,814)	729,218	
2,219,172	3	3,513,681	267,769	(3,781,450)	2,219,172	2,232,432	1,920,617	975,625	(2,89
\$ 3,763,754	\$ 3	3,315,546	\$ 347,336	\$ (3,781,450)	\$ 3,645,186	\$ 3,962,809	\$ 1,455,803	\$ 1,704,843	\$ (2,89

Table of Contents

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (In thousands) (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 Non											Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 Non								
	King					E	liminations	Co	King nsolidated		King					minations (
\$	95,149	\$	397,356 14,758	\$	30,477	\$	(108,683)	\$	414,299 14,758	\$	119,137	\$	412,242 19,123	\$ 338	\$	(118,807)			
	95,149		412,114		30,477		(108,683)		429,057		119,137		431,365	338		(118,807)			
	26,930		209,395		23,101		(108,487)		150,939		34,999		175,460	30		(119,028)			
	59,419		76,219		6,685				142,323		72,905		56,912	41					
	1,609		24,327		1,320				27,256		582		27,926						
	4,857 13,504		47,946 34,546		546				53,349 48,050		4,220 (344)		55,586 1,403	60					
	106,319		392,433		31,652		(108,487)		421,917		112,362		317,287	131		(119,028)			
	(11,170)		19,681		(1,175)		(196)		7,140		6,775		114,078	207		221			
			267 (961)		1,072 (76)				2,788 (23,103)		13,595 (4,966)		29 (14)	5					
	, ,		(99)		(2,239)				(823) (2,779)		(376)		(873)	545					
	12,618		7,810		(20)		(20,408)				77,641					(77,641)			
	(1,391)		3,748		(2,357)						(3,566)		3,573	(7)					
	\$	\$ 95,149 95,149 26,930 59,419 1,609 4,857 13,504 106,319 (11,170) 1,449 (22,066) (823) (441) 12,618	King Sub Sub \$ 95,149 \$ 95,149 26,930 59,419 1,609 4,857 13,504 106,319 (11,170) 1,449 (22,066) (823) (441)	King Guarantor Subsidiaries \$ 95,149 \$ 397,356 14,758 95,149 412,114 26,930 209,395 59,419 76,219 1,609 24,327 4,857 47,946 13,504 106,319 392,433 (11,170) 19,681 1,449 267 (22,066) (961) (823) (441) (99) (441) (99) 12,618 7,810	King Guarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiar	King Guarantor Subsidiaries Non Guarantor Subsidiaries \$ 95,149 \$ 397,356 14,758 \$ 30,477 14,758 95,149 412,114 30,477 26,930 209,395 23,101 59,419 76,219 6,685 1,609 24,327 1,320 4,857 47,946 546 13,504 34,546 546 106,319 392,433 31,652 (11,170) 19,681 (1,175) 1,449 267 1,072 (22,066) (961) (76) (823) (441) (99) (2,239) 12,618 7,810 (20)	King Guarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eubsidiaries Subsidiaries Eubsidiaries Eu	Non Guarantor Guarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations \$ 95,149 \$ 397,356 14,758 \$ 30,477 \$ (108,683) (108,683) 95,149 412,114 30,477 (108,683) 26,930 209,395 23,101 (108,487) 59,419 76,219 6,685 1,609 24,327 1,320 4,857 47,946 546 546 13,504 34,546 546 106,319 392,433 31,652 (108,487) (11,170) 19,681 (1,175) (196) 1,449 267 1,072 (22,066) (961) (76) (823) (441) (99) (2,239) (20,408)	Non Guarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations Co \$ 95,149 \$ 397,356 \$ 30,477 \$ (108,683) \$ 14,758 95,149 412,114 30,477 (108,683) 26,930 209,395 23,101 (108,487) 59,419 76,219 6,685 (1,609 24,327 1,320 (1,320 1,3504 34,546 (1,3504 34,546 (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,175) (1,1	King Subsidiaries Non Guarantor Subsidiaries King Subsidiaries King Subsidiaries King Consolidated \$ 95,149 \$ 397,356 14,758 \$ 30,477 (108,683) \$ 414,299 14,758 95,149 412,114 30,477 (108,683) 429,057 26,930 209,395 23,101 (108,487) 150,939 59,419 76,219 6,685 142,323 1,609 24,327 1,320 27,256 4,857 47,946 546 546 48,050 53,349 48,050 106,319 392,433 31,652 (108,487) 421,917 (11,170) 19,681 (1,175) (196) 7,140 1,449 267 1,072 2,788 (22,066) (961) (76) (23,103) (823) (23,103) (823) (441) (99) (2,239) (2,779) 12,618 7,810 (20) (20,408)	King Guarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations Consolidated \$ 95,149 \$ 397,356 14,758 \$ 30,477 \$ (108,683) \$ 414,299 14,758 95,149 412,114 30,477 (108,683) 429,057 26,930 209,395 23,101 (108,487) 150,939 59,419 76,219 6,685 142,323 1,609 24,327 1,320 27,256 4,857 47,946 546 53,349 13,504 34,546 48,050 106,319 392,433 31,652 (108,487) 421,917 (11,170) 19,681 (1,175) (196) 7,140 1,449 267 1,072 2,788 (22,066) (961) (76) (23,103) (823) (441) (99) (2,239) (2,779) 12,618 7,810 (20) (20,408)	King Guarantor Guarantor Subsidiaries Eliminations Consolidated King Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations Consolidated King King King Subsidiaries Eliminations Consolidated King King \$ 95,149 \$ 397,356 \$ 30,477 \$ (108,683) \$ 414,299 \$ 119,137 \$ 14,758 \$ 14,758 \$ 14,758 \$ 14,758 \$ 14,758 \$ 14,758 \$ 14,758 \$ 14,758 \$ 14,758 \$ 142,323 \$ 12,905 \$ 1,609 \$ 209,395 \$ 23,101 \$ (108,487) \$ 150,939 \$ 34,999 \$ 59,419 \$ 76,219 \$ 6,685 \$ 142,323 \$ 72,905 \$ 1,609 \$ 24,327 \$ 1,320 \$ 27,256 \$ 582 \$ 4,857 \$ 47,946 \$ 546 \$ 53,349 \$ 4,220 \$ 13,504 \$ 34,546 \$ 48,050 \$ (344) \$ 106,319 \$ 392,433 \$ 31,652 \$ (108,487) \$ 421,917 \$ 112,362 \$ (11,170) \$ 19,681 \$ (1,175) \$ (196) \$ 7,140 \$ 6,775 \$ 1,449 \$ 267 1,072 \$ 2,788 13,595 \$ (22,066) \$ (961) \$ (76) \$ (23,103) \$ (4,966) \$ (823) \$ (441) \$ (99) \$ (2,239) \$ (2,779) \$ (376) \$ 12,618 \$ 7,810 \$ (20) \$ (20,408) \$ 77,641 \$ 12,618 \$ 7,810 \$ (20) \$ (20,408) \$ 77,641 \$ (20,408) \$ 77,641 \$ (20,408) \$ 77,641 \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408) \$ (20,408)	King Guarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations Consolidated Subsidiaries Eliminations Consolidated Subsidiaries Eliminations Consolidated King Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations Consolidated Subsidiaries Eliminations Consol	King Guarantor Guarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations Consolidated King Subsidiaries Sub	King Guarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations King Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations King Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations King Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations King Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations King Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations King Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Non Guarantor Guarantor Guarantor Subsidiaries Material Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Material Subsidiaries	King Guarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations King Cuarantor Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Eliminations King Cuarantor Subsidiaries Eliminations King Subsidiaries Eliminations King Subsidiaries Eliminations Non Guarantor Subsidiaries Eliminations \$ 95,149 \$ 397,356 \$ 30,477 \$ (108,683) \$ 414,299 \$ 119,137 \$ 412,242 \$ 338 \$ 19,123 \$ 338 \$ 19,123 \$ 95,149 412,114 30,477 (108,683) \$ 429,057 119,137 \$ 431,365 \$ 338 \$ 19,123 \$ 338 \$ 19,123 \$ 26,930 209,395 23,101 (108,487) \$ 150,939 34,999 \$ 175,460 \$ 30 \$ 11,609 \$ 24,327 \$ 1,320 \$ 27,256 \$ 582 \$ 27,926 \$ 11,609 \$ 24,327 \$ 1,320 \$ 27,256 \$ 582 \$ 27,926 \$ 13,504 \$ 34,546 \$ 13,504 \$ 34,546 \$ 14,050 \$ (344) \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,403 \$ 1,			

66

Edgar Filing: KING PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

icome	(10,654)	10,765	(3,620)	(20,408)	(23,917)	82,328	2,715	543	(77,641)
) before	(21,824)	30,446	(4,795)	(20,604)	(16,777)	89,103	116,793	750	(77,420)
penefit)	(11,102)	5,984	(937)		(6,055)	3,547	39,935	188	
ome	\$ (10,722)	\$ 24,462	\$ (3,858)	\$ (20,604)	\$ (10,722)	\$ 85,556	\$ 76,858	\$ 562	\$ (77,420)

34

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Thre	onths End	9	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 Non										
	King	Guarantor King Subsidiaries			Guarantor King Subsidiaries Consolidated						Guarantor King Subsidiaries Consolidated			
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ (22,649)	\$	49,858	\$	(10,469)	\$	16,740	\$ 32,908	\$	66,860	\$	464	\$	100,232
Cash flows from investing activities: Transfers (to) from														
restricted cash Purchases of	(38)		(27)				(65)	144						144
investments in debt securities Proceeds from maturities and sales of								(279,175)						(279,175)
investments in debt securities Purchases of property, plant	8,000						8,000	1,006,630						1,006,630
and equipment Proceeds from sale of	(4,681)		(2,099)		(112)		(6,892)	(16,434)		(3,480)				(19,914)
property and equipment Acquisition of								13						13
Alpharma Acquisition of	(13,104)		(56,602)				(69,706)							
Avinza®	(1)		(548)				(1) (548)	(34)		(715)				(34) (715)

Lugar Filling. Kilva FFIAHIWAOLO HOALS 1140 - FOITH 10-Q												
Purchases of intellectual property and product rights												
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(9,824)	(59,276)	(112)	(69,212)	711,144	(4,195)		706,949				
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from												
exercise of stock options Net (payments) proceeds related to	36			36	54			54				
stock-based award activity Payments on long-term	(2,000)			(2,000)	(662)			(662)				
debt Debt issuance	(48,022)	(385,227)		(433,249)								
costs Intercompany	(1,220) (119,091)	116,338	2,753	(1,220)	62,566	(62,669)	103					
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(170,297)	(268,889)	2,753	(436,433)	61,958	(62,669)	103	(608)				
Net cash flows from exchange rate changes			(14,514)	(14,514)								
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents,	(202,770)	(278,307)	(22,342)	(503,419)	806,010	(4)	567	806,573				
beginning of period	401,657	289,996	248,559	940,212	9,718	4,645	5,646	20,009				
;	\$ 198,887	\$ 11,689	\$ 226,217	\$ 436,793	\$ 815,728	\$ 4,641	\$ 6,213	\$ 826,582				
Table of	Contents							69				

35

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion contains certain forward-looking statements that reflect management s current views of future events and operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the following: (a) Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which are supplemented by the discussion which follows; (b) our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes which are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008; and (c) our unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes which are included in this report on Form 10-Q. Please see the sections entitled Risk Factors and A Warning About Forward-Looking Statements for a discussion of the uncertainties, risks and assumptions associated with these statements.

I. OVERVIEW

Our Business

We are a vertically integrated pharmaceutical company that performs basic research and develops, manufactures, markets and sells branded prescription pharmaceutical products and animal health products. By vertically integrated, we mean that we have the following capabilities:

research and development manufacturing packaging quality control and assurance distribution sales and marketing business development regulatory management

Our branded prescription pharmaceuticals include neuroscience products (primarily pain medicines), hospital products, and legacy brands. The animal health business is focused on medicated feed additives (MFAs) and water-soluble therapeutics primarily for poultry, cattle and swine.

Our corporate strategy is focused on specialty markets, particularly specialty-driven branded prescription pharmaceutical markets. We believe our target markets have significant potential and our organization is aligned accordingly. Our growth in specialty markets is achieved through organic growth and acquisitions.

Under our corporate strategy we work to achieve organic growth by maximizing the potential of our currently marketed products through sales and marketing and prudent product life-cycle management. By product life-cycle management, we mean the extension of the economic life of a product, including seeking and gaining necessary related governmental approvals, by such means as:

securing from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, which we refer to as the FDA, additional approved uses (indications) for our products;

developing and producing different strengths;

producing different package sizes;

developing new dosage forms; and

developing new product formulations.

Our strategy also focuses on growth through the acquisition of novel branded prescription pharmaceutical products in various stages of development and the acquisition of prescription pharmaceutical technologies, particularly those products and technologies that we believe have significant market potential and complement the commercial footprint we have established in the neuroscience and hospital markets. Using our internal resources and a disciplined business development process, we strive to be a leader in developing and commercializing innovative, clinically-differentiated therapies and technologies in these target, specialty-driven markets. We may also seek company acquisitions that add products or products in development, technologies or sales and marketing capabilities to our existing platforms or that otherwise complement our operations. We also work to achieve organic growth by continuing to develop investigational drugs, as we have a commitment to research and development and advancing the products and technologies in our development pipeline.

36

Table of Contents

We market our branded prescription pharmaceutical products primarily through a dedicated sales force to general/family practitioners, internal medicine physicians, neurologists, pain specialists, surgeons and hospitals across the United States and in Puerto Rico. Branded prescription pharmaceutical products are innovative products sold under a brand name that have, or previously had, some degree of market exclusivity. When we refer to branded prescription pharmaceutical products, we mean branded prescription pharmaceutical products that are intended for humans.

Our animal health products are marketed through a staff of trained sales and technical service and marketing employees, many of whom are veterinarians and nutritionists. We have sales offices in the U.S., Europe, Canada, Mexico, South America and Asia. Elsewhere, our animal health products are sold primarily through the use of distributors and other third-party sales companies.

Recent Developments

Skelaxin®

On January 20, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York issued an Order ruling invalid United States Patent Nos. 6,407,128 and 6,683,102, two patents relating to Skelaxin[®], our branded muscle relaxant. The Order was issued without the benefit of a hearing in response to defendant Eon Labs motion for summary judgment in ongoing Paragraph IV litigation. Upon the entry of an appropriate judgment, we plan to appeal and vigorously defend our interests. Invalidation of these two patents would likely lead to generic versions of Skelaxin[®] entering the market sooner than previously anticipated and would likely cause our net sales of Skelaxin[®] to decline significantly.

Following the decision of the District Court, our senior management team conducted an extensive examination of our company and developed a restructuring initiative designed to partially offset the potential decline in Skelaxin® net sales in the event that a generic competitor enters the market. Pursuant to this initiative, we reduced our workforce by approximately 520 positions, including approximately 380 field sales positions. This reduction was substantially complete as of March 31, 2009.

Remoxy®

In late March 2009, we exercised rights under our Collaboration Agreement with Pain Therapeutics, Inc. and assumed sole control and responsibility for the development of Remoxy[®]. This includes all communications with the FDA regarding Remoxy[®] and ownership of the Remoxy[®] New Drug Application (NDA).

The Remoxy® NDA was submitted to the FDA in June 2008. In December 2008, Pain Therapeutics received a Complete Response Letter from the FDA with respect to the NDA for Remoxy®, requiring additional non-clinical information to support approval. As a result of assuming sole control and responsibility for the development of Remoxy®, we have set a new date to meet with the FDA in the first half of July of this year to discuss our response to the Complete Response Letter. Following this meeting, we expect to have a better understanding of the additional steps and the time required to obtain approval.

Remoxy® is a unique long-acting formulation of oral oxycodone with a proposed indication for the management of moderate to severe pain when a continuous, around-the-clock, opioid analgesic is needed for an extended period of time. This formulation uses the Oradurtm platform technology which provides a unique physical barrier that is designed to provide controlled pain relief and resist certain common methods used to extract the opioid more rapidly than intended as can occur with products currently on the market. Common methods used to cause a rapid extraction of an opioid include crushing, chewing and dissolution in alcohol. These methods are typically used to cause failure of

the controlled release dosage form, resulting in dose dumping of oxycodone, or the immediate release of the active drug.

Acurox® Tablets

An NDA for Acurox[®] (oxycodone HCl/niacin) Tablets was submitted to the FDA in December 2008. The Acurox[®] NDA was accepted and granted priority review by the FDA, with a Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA) date of June 30, 2009.

37

Table of Contents

Acurox® Tablets, a patented, orally administered, immediate release tablet containing oxycodone HCl as its sole active analgesic ingredient, has a proposed indication for the relief of moderate to severe pain. Acurox® uses the patented Aversion® Technology of Acura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Acura), which is designed to deter misuse and abuse by intentional swallowing of excess quantities of tablets, intravenous injection of dissolved tablets and nasal snorting of crushed tablets. Attempts to extract oxycodone from an Acurox® Tablet by dissolving it in liquid results in the formation of a viscous gel which is intended to sequester the opioid and deter I.V. injection. Crushing an Acurox® Tablet for the purposes of nasal snorting releases an ingredient that is intended to cause nasal irritation and thereby discourage this method of misuse and abuse. Swallowing excessive numbers of Acurox® Tablets releases niacin in quantities that are intended to cause unpleasant and undesirable side effects that may potentially deter this method of misuse and abuse.

Class-Wide Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy

On February 6, 2009, the FDA sent a letter to manufacturers of previously approved, currently marketed long-acting opioid drug products, including us as the sponsor of Avinza®, indicating that this class of drugs will be required to have a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy (REMS). The FDA has determined that a REMS is required to ensure that the benefits outweigh the risks of: 1) use of certain opioid products in non-opioid tolerant individuals; 2) abuse; and 3) overdose, both accidental and intentional. The agency announced its intention to consult all relevant stakeholders, including manufacturers, pharmacies, healthcare practitioners, patient groups and others in developing this class-wide REMS for long-acting opioids.

On March 3, 2009, the FDA convened a meeting of the companies that market the affected opioid drugs and requested those companies to work together to develop a class-wide REMS. Accordingly, these companies have begun that process and are diligently working towards a common goal. The FDA has also announced a public meeting scheduled for May 27 and 28, 2009, to allow affected sponsors and other interested persons to provide input on the development of a class-wide REMS.

Embedatm

An NDA for Embedatm was submitted to the FDA in June 2008. Utilizing proprietary technology, Embedatm, which contains long-acting morphine pellets, each with a sequestered core of naltrexone, an opioid antagonist, has a proposed indication for the management of moderate to severe pain when a continuous, around-the-clock opioid analgesic is needed for an extended period of time. The formulation is designed to work such that if taken as directed, the morphine would relieve pain while the sequestered naltrexone would pass through the body with no intended clinical effect. If Embedatm capsules were crushed or chewed, however, the naltrexone would be released, mitigating the euphoric effect that might otherwise be caused by the morphine under these circumstances. We acquired Embedatm on December 29, 2008 as part of our acquisition of Alpharma. In December 2008, the FDA informed us that it is continuing its review of the NDA. We have received feedback from the FDA regarding a REMS for Embedatm and are in discussions with the FDA in what we view as the final step towards approval. However, the specific timing of approval and commercial introduction is uncertain.

CorVuetm (binodenoson) for Injection

In December 2008, we submitted an NDA for CorVuetm to the FDA. CorVuetm is a cardiac pharmacologic stress SPECT (single-photon-emission computed tomographic) imaging agent with a proposed indication for use in patients with, or who are at risk for, coronary artery disease who are unable to perform a cardiac exercise stress test. In the NDA, we are requesting FDA approval of CorVuetm as an adjunct to non-invasive myocardial perfusion imaging tests to detect perfusion abnormalities in patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease. An FDA advisory committee meeting is scheduled for CorVuetm on July 28, 2009 and the PDUFA date is October 18, 2009.

Table of Contents

T-62

In December 2008, we initiated a Phase II clinical trial program evaluating the efficacy and safety of T-62, our investigational oral drug formulation for the treatment of neuropathic pain. During the second quarter, we stopped dosing patients prior to completion of the trial due to the transient elevation of liver enzymes in some trial participants. We are continuing to monitor trial participants and assess the data obtained from the clinical trial.

Generic Competition

In April 2009, a third party entered the market with a generic substitute for Cytomel[®], a tablet indicated in the medical treatment of hypothyroidism. As a result of the entry of generic competition, we expect net sales of Cytomel[®] to decline in the future. Net sales of Cytomel[®] were \$13.9 million in the first quarter of 2009 and were \$51.1 million in the full year of 2008.

II. RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

The following table summarizes total revenues and cost of revenues by operating segment:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,			
		2009 (In tho	usan	2008 ads)
Total Revenues				
Branded prescription pharmaceuticals	\$	277,704	\$	369,372
Animal Health		79,835		
Meridian Auto-Injector		56,607		42,912
Royalties		14,758		19,123
Contract manufacturing		209		313
Other		(56)		313
Total revenues	\$	429,057	\$	432,033
Cost of Revenues, exclusive of depreciation, amortization and impairments				
Branded prescription pharmaceuticals	\$	65,927	\$	72,369
Animal Health		60,618		
Meridian Auto-Injector		22,510		16,607
Royalties		1,816		2,318
Contract manufacturing		40		162
Other		28		5
Total cost of revenues	\$	150,939	\$	91,461

Table of Contents 77

39

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our deductions from gross sales:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2009	2008	
	(In thou	ısands)	
Gross Sales	\$ 507,044	\$ 549,419	
Commercial Rebates	15,459	41,676	
Medicare Part D Rebates	2,539	16,197	
Medicaid Rebates	11,623	12,264	
Chargebacks	28,176	20,212	
Returns	2,883	4,450	
Trade Discounts/Other	17,307	22,587	
Net Sales	\$ 429,057	\$ 432,033	

Gross sales decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to market competition with several key products in the branded prescription pharmaceuticals segment discussed below, partially offset by additional sales due to the acquisition of Alpharma at the end of December 2008, and an increase in sales of the Meridian Auto-Injector segment.

Based on inventory data provided to us by our customers, we believe that wholesale inventory levels of our key products, Skelaxin®, Thrombin-JMI®, Avinza® and Flector® Patch are at or below normalized levels as of March 31, 2009. We estimate that wholesale and retail inventories of our products as of March 31, 2009 represent gross sales of approximately \$130.0 million to \$140.0 million.

The following tables provide the activity and ending balances for our significant deductions from gross sales.

Accrual for Rebates, including Administrative Fees (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Balance at January 1, net of prepaid amounts	\$ 58,129	\$ 65,301
Current provision related to sales made in current period	28,512	67,155
Current provision related to sales made in prior periods	1,109	2,982
Alpharma acquisition	1,772	
Rebates paid	(34,482)	(83,660)
Balance at March 31, net of prepaid amounts	\$ 55,040	\$ 51,778

Rebates include commercial rebates and Medicaid and Medicare rebates.

A competitor entered the market with a generic substitute for Altace[®] during December 2007 and additional competitors entered the market in June 2008. As a result of this competition, sales of Altace[®] and utilization of Altace[®] by rebate-eligible customers decreased in each quarter of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. We expect sales

of Altace® to continue to decline significantly in the future. The significant decrease in utilization of Altace® by rebate-eligible customers has significantly decreased the current provision related to sales made in the current period and rebates paid in the table above. For a discussion regarding Altacet sales, please see Altace within the Sales of Key Products section below.

40

Table of Contents

Accrual for Returns (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Balance at January 1 Current provision Actual returns	\$ 33,471 2,883 (4,646)	\$ 32,860 4,450 (4,135)
Ending balance at March 31	\$ 31,708	\$ 33,175

Accrual for Chargebacks (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Balance at January 1 Current provision Actual chargebacks	\$ 9,965 28,176 (27,244	20,212
Ending balance at March 31	\$ 10,897	\$ 10,252

Branded Prescription Pharmaceuticals Segment

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Change 2009 vs. 2008				
		2009		2008		\$	%
	(In thous				and		
Branded prescription pharmaceuticals revenue:							
Skelaxin [®]	\$	100,599	\$	115,884	\$	(15,285)	(13.2)%
Flector® Patch		16,776				16,776	
Thrombin-JMI [®]		47,339		67,151		(19,812)	(29.5)
Avinza®		38,980		32,023		6,957	21.7
$Levoxyl^{ ext{ iny B}}$		19,572		15,658		3,914	25.0
$Altace^{ ext{ iny R}}$		9,811		79,811		(70,000)	(87.7)
Other		44,627		58,845		(14,218)	(24.2)
Total revenue	\$	277,704	\$	369,372	\$	(91,668)	(24.8)%
Cost of revenues, exclusive of depreciation, amortization and impairments	\$	65,927	\$	72,369	\$	(6,442)	(8.9)%

Sales of Key Products

Skelaxin[®]

On January 20, 2009 the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, in the case of King Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eon Labs, Inc., Case No. 04-cv-5540 (DGT), issued an Order ruling invalid United States Patent Nos. 6,407,128 and 6,683,102, two patents related to Skelaxin[®]. The Order was issued without the benefit of a hearing in response to defendant Eon Labs motion for summary judgment. We plan to appeal, upon the entry of an appropriate judgment, and intend to vigorously defend our interests. The entry of the Order may lead to generic versions of Skelaxin[®] entering the market sooner than previously anticipated, which would likely cause net sales of Skelaxin[®] to decline significantly. Also, in January 2008, we entered into an agreement with CorePharma, LLC (CorePharma) granting CorePharma a license to launch an authorized generic version of Skela[®]tim December 2012, or earlier under certain conditions.

For a discussion regarding the risk of potential generic competition for Skelaxin®, please see Note 10, Commitments and Contingencies, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

41

Table of Contents

Net sales of Skelaxin® decreased in 2009 from 2008 primarily due to a decrease in prescriptions, an increase in wholesale inventory levels in 2008, partially offset by a price increase taken in the fourth quarter of 2008. Due to a decrease in promotional efforts, total prescriptions for Skelaxin® decreased approximately 15.4% in 2009 from 2008 according to IMS America, Ltd. (IMS) monthly prescription data. As a result of the decrease in promotional efforts we expect net sales of Skelaxin® will continue to decrease during 2009. If generic competition enters the market we would anticipate additional decreases in net sales.

Thrombin-JMI®

Net sales of Thrombin-JMI® decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to additional price concessions and the market entry of two competing products which caused a decrease in gross sales. The first competing product entered the market in the fourth quarter of 2007 and another entered the market in the first quarter of 2008. Net sales of Thrombin-JMI® may continue to decrease as a result of competition.

Flector® Patch

Flector® Patch was part of the acquisition of Alpharma at the end of December 2008. Total prescriptions for Flector® Patch increased approximately 158.0% in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2008 according to IMS monthly prescription data. At the time of acquisition, the wholesale inventory level of Flector® Patch exceeded our normal levels. During the first quarter of 2009, we reduced these inventories to a level consistent with our other promoted products. As a result, net sales of Flector® Patch were lower than prescription demand in the first quarter of 2009. We anticipate that Flector® Patch net sales should more closely reflect prescription demand beginning in the second quarter of 2009. Alpharma began selling the Flector® Patch in January 2008.

Avinza®

Net sales of Avinza[®] increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to an increase in wholesale inventory levels in the first quarter of 2009 and a price increase taken in the fourth quarter of 2008. Total prescriptions for Avinza[®] decreased approximately 0.7% in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2008 according to IMS monthly prescription data.

On March 24, 2008, we received a warning letter from the United States Food and Drug Administration, Division of Drug Marketing, Advertising, and Communications (DDMAC) regarding promotional material for Avin® that was created and submitted to the DDMAC by Ligand Pharmaceuticals (the company from whom we acquired Avinza® in late February 2007). The letter expressed concern with the balance of the described risks and benefits associated with the use of the product and the justification for certain statements made in the promotional material. We discontinued the use of promotional materials created by Ligand prior to receiving the letter and have communicated this to DDMAC. In addition, DDMAC requested support for certain statements included in Avinza® promotional materials which were then in use. We promptly responded to this request and asked for a meeting with DDMAC to discuss this matter.

Our request resulted in a teleconference with DDMAC representatives on January 6, 2009. After this call, we immediately ceased the dissemination of promotional materials for Avinza® that included any statements with which DDMAC took issue in its March 24, 2008 letter. Further, we directed our sales representatives to discontinue the use of such materials and ceased all advertising containing the statements discussed in that letter. We continue to cooperate fully with DDMAC in this matter.

For a discussion regarding the risk of potential generic competition for Avinza®, please see Note 10, Commitments and Contingencies, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

$Levoxyl^{\mathbb{R}}$

Net sales of Levoxyl[®] increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to increases in wholesale inventory levels in 2009 and price increases taken in the fourth quarter of 2008, partially offset by a decrease in prescriptions. Total prescriptions for Levoxyl[®] decreased approximately 22.3% in the first quarter of 2009

42

Table of Contents

compared to the first quarter of 2008 according to IMS monthly prescription data. We anticipate net sales for this product will decline in 2009 due to decreasing prescriptions.

 $Altace^{\mathbb{R}}$

Net sales of Altace® decreased significantly in 2009 from 2008 due to a competitor entering the market in December 2007 and additional competitors entering the market in June 2008 with generic substitutes for Altace®. As a result of the entry of generic competition, we expect net sales of Altace® to continue to decline significantly in the future. Total prescriptions for Altace® decreased approximately 89.0% in 2009 from 2008 according to IMS monthly prescription data.

For a discussion regarding the generic competition for Altace[®], please see Note 10, Commitments and Contingencies in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Other

The branded prescription pharmaceutical products included in other branded prescription pharmaceutical products are not promoted through our sales force and prescriptions for many of our products included in this category are declining. Net sales of other branded pharmaceutical products were lower in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to lower net sales of Sonata[®] and a decrease in prescriptions. Net sales of Sonata[®] decreased from \$16.7 million in the first quarter of 2008 to \$0.7 million in the first quarter of 2009 primarily due to competition entering the market with generic substitutes for Sonata[®]. The composition of matter patent covering Sonata[®] expired in June 2008, at which time several competitors entered the market with generic substitutes.

In April 2009, a third party entered the market with a generic substitute for Cytomel[®]. As a result of the entry of generic competition, we expect net sales of Cytomel[®] to decline in the future. Net sales of Cytomel[®] were \$13.9 million in the first quarter of 2009 and were \$51.1 million in the full year of 2008.

As a result of generic competition for Sonata[®] and Cytomel[®] and declining demand for many other products included in this category, we anticipate net sales of other branded prescription pharmaceutical products will continue to decline in 2009.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues from branded pharmaceutical products decreased in 2009 versus 2008 primarily due to a decrease in unit sales of several key products, as discussed above, primarily Altace® and Skelaxin®.

Special items are those particular material income or expense items that our management believes are not related to our ongoing, underlying business, are not recurring, or are not generally predictable. These items include, but are not limited to, merger and restructuring expenses; non-capitalized expenses associated with acquisitions, such as in-process research and development charges and inventory valuation adjustment charges; charges resulting from the early extinguishments of debt; asset impairment charges; expenses of drug recalls; and gains and losses resulting from the divestiture of assets. We believe the identification of special items enhances an analysis of our ongoing, underlying business and an analysis of our financial results when comparing those results to that of a previous or subsequent like period. However, it should be noted that the determination of whether to classify an item as a special item involves judgments by us.

At the time of the acquisition of Alpharma, we valued the inventory that was acquired based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, *Business Combinations*. As a result, we increased the carrying value of the Flector®

Patch inventory by approximately \$7.8 million. During the first quarter of 2009, the cost of revenues for the branded prescription pharmaceutical products segment reflects a charge of \$1.0 million related to the sale of this marked up inventory.

43

Table of Contents

We anticipate an increase in the royalty rate on Skelaxin® in the second quarter of 2009 due to the achievement of certain regulatory milestones under our agreement with Mutual. For additional information on the Mutual agreement, please see Other within the Liquidity and Capital Resources section below.

Animal Health

	For the Thr Ended Ma	Change 2009 vs. 2008				
	2009	2008 \$		%		
	(In thousands)					
Animal Health revenue Cost of revenues, exclusive of depreciation, amortization and	\$ 79,835	\$	\$ 79,835			
impairments	60,618		60,618			

The Animal Health segment was part of the acquisition of Alpharma at the end of December 2008.

At the time of the acquisition of Alpharma, we valued the inventory that was acquired based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, *Business Combinations*. As a result, we increased the carrying value of the Animal Health inventory by approximately \$34.3 million. During the first quarter of 2009, the cost of revenues for the Animal Health segment reflects a charge of \$20.5 million related to the sale of this marked up inventory.

Meridian Auto-Injector

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Chang 2009 vs. 2		
	2009	2008	\$	%	
	(In thousands)				
Meridian Auto-Injector revenue Cost of revenues, exclusive of depreciation, amortization and	\$ 56,607	\$ 42,912	\$ 13,695	31.9%	
impairments	22,510	16,607	5,903	35.5%	

Revenues and cost of revenues from our Meridian Auto-Injector segment increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to higher unit sales of products sold to the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD).

Revenues from the Meridian Auto-Injector segment fluctuate based on the buying patterns of Dey, L.P. and government customers. With respect to auto-injector products sold to government entities, demand for these products is affected by the cyclical nature of procurements as well as response to domestic and international events. Demand for EpiPen® is seasonal as a result of its use in the emergency treatment of allergic reactions for both insect stings or bites, more of which occur in the warmer months, and food allergies, for which demand increases in the months preceding the start of a new school year. Most of our EpiPen® sales are based on our supply agreement with Dey, L.P., which markets, distributes and sells the product worldwide, except for Canada where it is marketed, distributed and sold by us. Total prescriptions for EpiPen® in the United States increased approximately 16.2% in 2009 compared to 2008 according to IMS monthly prescription data.

Our Meridian Auto-Injector segment has pharmaceutical products that are presently sold primarily to the DOD under an Industrial Base Maintenance Contract (IBMC). We have extended the current IBMC through December 31, 2009, and we are in negotiations regarding renewal.

Royalties

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Chang 2009 vs. 2	_
	2009	2008 (In thou	\$ sands)	%
Royalty revenue Cost of revenues, exclusive of depreciation, amortization and	\$ 14,758	\$ 19,123	\$ (4,365)	(22.8)%
impairments	1,816	2,318	(502)	(21.7)%
44				

Table of Contents

Revenues from royalties are derived primarily from payments we receive based on sales of Adenoscan[®]. We are not responsible for the marketing of this product.

On April 10, 2008, CV Therapeutics, Inc. and Astellas Pharma US, Inc. announced that the FDA approved regadenoson injection, an A2A adenosine receptor agonist product that competes with Adenoscan[®]. Regadenoson has been commercialized by Astellas. Astellas is also responsible for the marketing and sale of Adenoscan[®] pursuant to agreements we have with Astellas. With the commercial launch of regadenoson, sales of Adenoscan and our royalty have declined and may continue to decline. However, our agreements with Astellas provide for minimum royalty payments to us of \$40.0 million per year for three years (beginning June 1, 2008 and ending May 31, 2011). We will continue to receive royalties on the sale of Adenoscan[®] through expiration of the patents covering the product, but the minimum guaranteed portion of the royalty payments terminates upon certain events, including a finding of invalidity or unenforceability of the patents related to Adenoscan[®].

In October 2007, we entered into an agreement with Astellas and a subsidiary of Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. providing Teva with the right to launch a generic version of Adenoscan[®] pursuant to a license in September 2012 or earlier under certain conditions.

Operating Costs and Expenses

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Change 2009 vs. 2009				
		2009		2008		\$	%
	(In thousands)						
Cost of revenues, exclusive of depreciation, amortization							
and impairments	\$	150,939	\$	91,461	\$	59,478	65.0%
Selling, general and administrative		142,323		129,858		12,465	9.6
Research and development		27,256		28,508		(1,252)	(4.4)
Depreciation and amortization		53,349		59,866		(6,517)	(10.9)
Restructuring charges		48,050		1,059		46,991	>100
Total operating costs and expenses	\$	421,917	\$	310,752	\$	111,165	35.8%

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Change 2009 vs. 2008	
	2009 (In the	2008 ousands)	\$	%
Selling, general and administrative, exclusive of co-promotion fees Acquisition related costs	\$ 137,136 3,789	\$ 111,901	\$ 25,235 3,789	22.6%
Co-promotion fees	1,398	17,957	(16,559)	(92.2)
Total selling, general and administrative	\$ 142,323	\$ 129,858	\$ 12,465	9.6%

As a percentage of total revenues, total selling, general, and administrative expenses were 33.2% and 30.1% in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Total selling, general and administrative expenses increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the acquisition of Alpharma in late December of 2008, partially offset by a decrease in co-promotion expenses for fees that we pay to Wyeth under our Amended and Restated Co-Promotion Agreement (the Amended Co-Promotion Agreement). The decrease in co-promotion expense is due to a decrease in Altace net sales and the lower percentage of net sales of Altace that we pay Wyeth in 2009 compared to 2008 under the Amended Co-Promotion Agreement. For additional discussion regarding the Amended Co-Promotion Agreement, please see Other within the Liquidity and Capital Resources section below. For a discussion regarding net sales of Altace, please see Altace within the Sales of Key Products section above.

45

Table of Contents

We incurred a special charge of \$3.8 million for costs related to the acquisition and integration of Alpharma. For additional information related to the acquisition of Alpharma, please see Note 7, Acquisitions, Dispositions, Co-Promotions and Alliances, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Selling, general and administrative expense includes special items of \$2.9 million during 2008, primarily due to professional fees related to the previously completed investigations of our company by the HHS/OIG and the SEC, and the private plaintiff securities litigation. For additional information, please see Note 10, Commitments and Contingencies, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Research and Development Expense

		ree Months Iarch 31,	Chang 2009 vs. 2	-
	2009	2008	\$	%
Research and development	\$ 27,256	\$ 28,508	(1,252)	(4.4)%

Research and development represents expenses associated with the ongoing development of investigational drugs and product life-cycle management projects in our research and development pipeline. These expenses decreased due to the timing of costs incurred on our current research and development projects. For a discussion regarding recent research and development activities, please see Recent Developments above.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased in 2009 from 2008 primarily due to a decrease in amortization associated with Altace[®], partially offset by an increase in depreciation and amortization expense associated with the acquisition of Alpharma in late December of 2008, and an increase in amortization expense associated with Skelaxin[®].

Following the Circuit Court s decision in September 2007 invalidating our 722 patent that covered Altacewe undertook an analysis of its potential effect on future net sales of the product. Based upon this analysis, we reduced the estimated remaining useful life of Altace®. Accordingly, amortization of the remaining intangibles associated with Altace® was completed during the first quarter of 2008. The amortization expense associated with Altace® during the first quarter of 2008 was \$29.7 million.

Following the U.S. District Court s Order ruling invalid two Skelaxin patents on January 20, 2009, we estimated the potential effect on future net sales of the product. We believe that these intangible assets associated with Skelaxin are not currently impaired based on estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with these assets. However, as a result of the Order described above, we reduced the estimated remaining useful life of the intangible assets of Skelaxin during the first quarter of 2009. The amortization expense associated with Skelaxin increased to \$20.0 million in the first quarter of 2009 from \$5.8 million in the first quarter of 2008. If our current estimates regarding future cash flows adversely change, we may have to further reduce the estimated remaining useful life and/or write off a portion or all of these intangible assets. As of March 31, 2009, the net intangible assets associated with Skelaxin total approximately \$96.9 million.

Depreciation and amortization expense in 2009 and 2008 include special items of \$1.0 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, due to accelerated depreciation on certain assets.

In April 2009, a competitor entered the market with a generic substitute for Cytomel[®]. As a result, we lowered our future sales forecast for this product. We believe that the intangible assets associated with Cytomel[®] are not currently impaired based on estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with these assets. However, if our estimates regarding future cash flows adversely change, we may have to reduce the estimated remaining useful life and/or write off a portion or all of these intangible assets. As of March 31, 2009, the net intangible assets associated with Cytomel[®] totals approximately \$11.0 million.

End user demand for Synercid® has declined in recent years. As of March 31, 2009, the net intangible assets associated with Synercid® totaled approximately \$27.5 million. We believe that these intangible assets are not currently impaired based on estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with these assets. However,

46

Table of Contents

if our estimates regarding future cash flows prove to be incorrect or adversely change, we may have to reduce the estimated remaining useful life and/or write off a portion or all of these intangible assets.

In addition, a certain generic company has challenged the patent covering Avinza[®]. For additional information, please see Note 10, Commitments and Contingencies, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements. If a generic version of A[®]vinza enters the market, we may have to write off a portion or all of the intangible assets associated with this product.

Other Operating Expenses

We incurred restructuring charges of \$48.1 million in 2009 primarily due to our restructuring initiative designed to partially offset the potential decline in Skelaxin® net sales in the event a generic competitor enters the market. For additional information on the first quarter 2009 restructuring event, please see Note 14, Restructuring Activities. in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Non-Operating Items

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2009	2008	
	(In thousands)		
Interest income	\$ 2,788	\$ 13,629	
Interest expense	(23,103)	(4,980)	
Loss on investment	(823)		
Other, net	(2,779)	(704)	
Total other income	(23,917)	7,945	
Income tax expense	(6,055)	43,670	

Interest Income

Interest income decreased during 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to a lower average balance of cash, cash equivalents and investments in debt securities in the first quarter of 2009 due to the acquisition of Alpharma in late December 2008, and a decrease in interest rates.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to an increase in borrowings as a result of the acquisition of Alpharma late in December of 2008. The acquisition of Alpharma was funded with available cash on hand, borrowings of \$425.0 million under the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility, as amended on December 5, 2008, and borrowings of \$200.0 million under a new Senior Secured Term Facility.

Special items affecting other income (expense) included the following:

On January 1, 2009, we adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position No. APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments that May be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion* (FSP APB 14-1). In accordance with FSP APB 14-1 we separately accounted for the liability and equity components of our \$400.0 million 11/4% Convertible Senior Notes due April 1, 2026 that can be settled for cash based on the

estimated nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The standard requires retrospective application to all periods presented. Thus interest expense in the first quarter of 2009 and 2008 reflects an increase in interest expense of \$4.4 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, due to the adoption of this standard.

A loss of \$0.8 million in the first quarter of 2009 related to our investment in debt securities.

47

Table of Contents

Income Tax Expense

During 2009, our effective income tax for continuing operations was a benefit of 36.1%. This benefit rate is greater than the statutory rate of 35% due primarily to benefits from research credits, tax-exempt interest income and domestic manufacturing deductions, which benefits were partially offset by state taxes.

During 2008, our effective income tax rate for continuing operations was 33.8%. This rate varied from the statutory rate of 35% due primarily to tax benefits related to tax-exempt interest income and domestic manufacturing deductions, which benefits were partially offset by state taxes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

We believe that existing balances of cash, cash equivalents, cash generated from operations and our existing revolving credit facility are sufficient to finance our current operations and working capital requirements on both a short-term and long-term basis. However, we cannot predict the amount or timing of our need for additional funds. We cannot provide assurance that funds will be available to us when needed on favorable terms, or at all.

Investments in Debt Securities

As of March 31, 2009, our investments in debt securities consisted solely of tax-exempt auction rate securities and did not include any mortgage-backed securities or any securities backed by corporate debt obligations. The tax-exempt auction rate securities that we hold are long-term variable rate bonds tied to short-term interest rates that are intended to reset through an auction process generally every seven, 28 or 35 days. Our investment policy requires us to maintain an investment portfolio with a high credit quality. Accordingly, our investments in debt securities are limited to issues which were rated AA or higher at the time of purchase.

In the event that we attempt to liquidate a portion of our holdings through an auction and are unable to do so, we term it an auction failure. On February 11, 2008, we began to experience auction failures. As of March 31, 2009, all our investments in auction rate securities, with a total par value of \$409.1 million, have experienced multiple failed auctions. In the event of an auction failure, the interest rate on the security is reset according to the contractual terms in the underlying indenture. As of May 7, 2009, we have received all scheduled interest payments associated with these securities.

The current instability in the credit markets may continue to affect our ability to liquidate these securities. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be accessible until a successful auction occurs, the issuer calls or restructures the underlying security, the underlying security matures or a buyer outside the auction process emerges. Based on the frequency of auction failures and the lack of market activity, current market prices are not available for determining the fair value of these investments. As a result, we have measured \$408.4 million in par value of our investments in debt securities, or 48.0% of the assets that we have measured at fair value, using unobservable inputs which are classified as Level 3 measurements under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157). For additional information regarding SFAS No. 157, please see Note 4, Fair Value Measurements, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Although we have realized no loss of principal with respect to these investments, as of March 31, 2009, we recorded unrealized losses on our investments in auction rate securities of \$55.2 million. We have recorded \$50.3 million of the unrealized holding losses in accumulated other comprehensive income on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of March 31, 2009 we believed the decline was temporary and we had the intent and ability to hold our investments in debt securities until they recovered in value or until maturity.

During April 2009, we received offers from two separate issuers of bonds underlying certain auction rate securities associated with student loans that were outstanding at March 31, 2009. We accepted one of these offers and sold certain auction rate securities with a par value of \$9.9 million for \$9.2 million to the issuer during April 2009. The estimated fair market value of these auction rate securities at March 31, 2009 was

48

Table of Contents

\$8.9 million. The unrealized loss of \$1.0 million was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2009 as we continued to have the intent and ability to hold the securities until full collection under their contractual terms. During the second quarter of 2009 a realized loss of \$0.7 million will be recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The second offer required us to submit a bid indicating a discount at which we would be willing so sell the auction rate securities to the issuer. In April 2009, we submitted a bid to the issuer at an amount that was not below the recorded amount of the security at March 31, 2009 and will receive notification in May 2009 indicating whether this bid is accepted. If the bid is accepted, it may only be accepted for a certain number of the issuer s securities we hold. While we hold \$55.9 million of auction rate securities that are subject to the offer, the issuer only plans to purchase approximately 18% of its total outstanding securities. The estimated fair market value of these auction rate securities at March 31, 2009 was \$45.4 million. The unrealized loss of \$10.5 million was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2009 as we continued to have the intent and ability to hold the securities until full collection under their contractual terms. If we sell the auction rate securities, we will record a realized loss in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations in the second quarter of 2009.

During the fourth quarter of 2008 we accepted an offer from UBS Financial Services, Inc. (UBS) providing us the right to sell certain auction rate securities outstanding at March 31, 2009 with a par value of \$40.4 million to UBS during the period from June 30, 2010 to July 2, 2012 at par value. We have elected to account for this right at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*. The right to sell the auction rate securities to UBS at par was valued at \$3.5 million at March 31, 2009. During the fourth quarter of 2008 we recognized an unrealized gain in other income (expense) of \$4.0 million. During the first quarter of 2009, we recognized an unrealized loss in other income (expense) of \$0.6 million. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2008, we transferred the classification of the auction rate securities that are included in this right from available-for-sale securities to trading securities and therefore recognized the unrealized losses related to these securities of \$4.6 million. During the first quarter of 2009, we recognized additional unrealized losses related to these securities of \$0.3 million in other income (expense).

As of March 31, 2009, we had approximately \$409.1 million, in par value, invested in tax-exempt auction rate securities which consisted of \$288.5 million associated with student loans backed by the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP), \$89.4 million associated with municipal bonds in which performance is supported by bond insurers and \$31.2 million associated with student loans collateralized by loan pools which equal at least 200% of the bond issue.

As of March 31, 2009, we classified \$13.7 million of auction rate securities as current assets and \$334.0 million as long-term assets.

Skelaxin[®]

As previously disclosed, we are involved in multiple legal proceedings over patents relating to our product Skelaxin[®]. On January 20, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, in the case of King Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eon Labs Inc., Case No. 04-cv-5540 (DGT), issued an Order ruling invalid two of these patents, United States Patent Nos. 6,407,128 and 6,683,102. The Order was issued without the benefit of a hearing in response to defendant Eon Labs motion for summary judgment. We plan to appeal, upon the entry of an appropriate judgment, and intend to vigorously defend our interests. The entry of the Order may lead to generic versions of Skelaxin[®] entering the market sooner than previously anticipated, which would likely cause net sales of Skelaxin[®] to decline significantly.

Following the decision of the District Court, we conducted an extensive examination of the company and developed a restructuring initiative designed to partially offset the potential material decline in Skelaxin sales in the event that a generic competitor enters the market. This initiative included, based on an analysis of our strategic needs: a reduction in sales, marketing and other personnel; leveraging of staff; expense reductions

49

Table of Contents

and additional controls over spending; and reorganization of sales teams. Our animal health activities were not affected by the restructuring.

We incurred total restructuring costs of approximately \$48.0 million almost all of which will be paid during the second quarter of 2009. These costs relate to severance pay and other employee termination expenses. For additional information, please see Note 14, Restructuring Activities in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Alpharma

On December 29, 2008, we completed our acquisition of all the outstanding shares of Class A Common Stock, together with the associated preferred stock purchase rights, of Alpharma at a price of \$37.00 per share in cash, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.6 billion. Alpharma is a branded specialty pharmaceutical company with a growing specialty pharmaceutical franchise in the U.S. pain market with its Flector® Patch (diclofenac epolamine topical patch) and a pipeline of new pain medicines led by Embedatm, a formulation of long-acting morphine and naltrexone that is designed to provide controlled pain relief and deter certain common methods of misuse and abuse. Alpharma is also a global leader in the development, registration, manufacture and marketing of MFAs and water soluble therapeutics for food-producing animals, including poultry, cattle and swine.

The acquisition was financed with available cash on hand, borrowings under the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility of \$425.0 million and borrowings under the Term Loan of \$200.0 million. For additional information on the borrowings, please see below.

In connection with the acquisition of Alpharma, we together with Alpharma executed a consent order (the Consent Order) with the U.S. Federal Trade Commission. The Consent Order required us to divest the assets related to Alpharma s branded oral long-acting opioid analgesic drug Kadian to Actavis Elizabeth, L.L.C., (Actavis). In accordance with the Consent Order, effective upon the acquisition of Alpharma, on December 29, 2008, we divested the Kadian® product to Actavis. Actavis is entitled to sell Kadian® as a branded or generic product. Prior to this divestiture, Actavis supplied Kadian® to Alpharma.

Actavis will pay a purchase price of up to an aggregate of \$127.5 million in cash based on the achievement of certain Kadian® quarterly gross profit-related milestones for the period beginning January 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010. The maximum purchase price payment associated with each calendar quarter is as follows:

	Maximum Purchase Price Payment
First Quarter 2009	\$ 30.0 million
Second Quarter 2009	\$ 25.0 million
Third Quarter 2009	\$ 25.0 million
Fourth Quarter 2009	\$ 20.0 million
First Quarter 2010	\$ 20.0 million
Second Quarter 2010	\$ 7.5 million

None of the quarterly payments above, when combined with all prior payments made by Actavis, shall exceed the aggregate amount of gross profits from the sale of Kadian® in the United States by Actavis and its affiliates for the period beginning on January 1, 2009 and ending on the last day of such calendar quarter. Any quarterly purchase price payment that is not paid by Actavis due to the application of such provision will be carried forward to the next calendar quarter, increasing the maximum quarterly payment in the subsequent quarter. However, the cumulative

purchase price payable by Actavis will not exceed the lesser of (a) \$127.5 million and (b) the gross profits from the sale of Kadian® as determined by the agreement in the United States by Actavis and its affiliates for the period from January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010. In connection with the divestiture, we recorded a receivable of \$115.0 million reflecting the present value of the estimated future purchase price payments from Actavis. There was no gain or loss recorded as a result of the divestiture. In

50

Table of Contents

accordance with the agreement, quarterly payments will be received one quarter in arrears. Therefore, there was no payment received in the first quarter of 2009.

As part of the integration of Alpharma, management developed a restructuring initiative to eliminate redundancies in operations created by the acquisition. This initiative included, based on an analysis of our strategic needs: a reduction in sales, marketing and other personnel; leveraging of staff; expense reductions and additional controls over spending; and reorganization of sales teams.

We estimated total costs of approximately \$68.0 million associated with this restructuring plan, almost all of which are cash-related costs. All employee termination costs are expected to be paid by the end of 2011. All contract termination costs are expected to be paid by the end of 2018. For additional information, please see Note 14, Restructuring Activities, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

During the first quarter of 2009, we paid \$385.2 million to redeem the Convertible Senior Notes of Alpharma outstanding at the time of the acquisition and at December 31, 2008. For additional information, please see Alpharma Convertible Senior Notes in Certain Indebtedness and Other Matters.

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

On April 23, 2002, we established a \$400.0 million five-year Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility which was scheduled to mature in April 2007. On April 19, 2007, this facility was terminated and replaced with a new \$475.0 million five-year Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility, as amended on December 5, 2008 (the Revolving Credit Facility). The Revolving Credit Facility matures in April 2012 or on September 30, 2011 if the Convertible Senior Notes have not been refinanced. In connection with the acquisition of Alpharma on December 29, 2008, we borrowed \$425.0 million in principal amount under the Revolving Credit Facility.

During the first quarter of 2009, we made payments of \$32.1 million on the Revolving Credit Facility, \$26.5 million in excess of that required by our repayment schedule. The average interest rate on borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility was 5.67% in the first quarter of 2009. Under its terms, the availability under the Revolving Credit Facility was reduced to \$442.9 million as of March 31, 2009. As of March 31, 2009, the remaining undrawn commitment amount under the Revolving Credit Facility totals approximately \$37.9 million after giving effect to outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately \$12.1 million.

Under the Revolving Credit Facility, we are required to make prepayments equal to 50% of our annual excess cash flows, which can be reduced to 25% upon the occurrence of certain events. In addition, we are required to make prepayments upon the occurrence of certain events, such as an asset sale, the issuance of debt or equity or the liquidation of auction rate securities. These mandatory prepayments will be allocated among the Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Facility described below in accordance with these agreements and will permanently reduce the commitments under the Revolving Credit Facility. However, commitments under the Revolving Credit Facility would not be reduced in any event below \$150.0 million.

Under the terms of the Revolving Credit Facility the credit commitment will be automatically and permanently reduced on a quarterly basis, to the amounts set forth below:

December 31, 2009	\$ 403.8 million
December 31, 2010	\$ 308.8 million
December 31, 2011	\$ 213.8 million
March 31, 2012	\$ 190.0 million

We have the right to prepay, without penalty (other than customary breakage costs), any borrowing under the Revolving Credit Facility.

51

Table of Contents

For additional discussion regarding the Revolving Credit Facility, please see Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility within the Certain Indebtedness and Other Matters section below.

Senior Secured Term Facility

Also on December 29, 2008, King entered into a \$200.0 million term loan credit agreement, comprised of a four-year senior secured loan facility (the Term Facility) with a maturity date of December 28, 2012. During the first quarter of 2009, we made payments of \$15.9 million on the Term Facility, \$6.1 million in excess of that required by our repayment schedule. The average interest rate on borrowings under the Term Facility was 8.0% in the first quarter of 2009.

Under the original terms of the Term Facility, we are required to repay the borrowings in equal quarterly payments that total the following annual amounts:

2009	\$ 30.0 million
2010	\$ 40.0 million
2011	\$ 40.0 million
2012	\$ 90.0 million

We have the right to prepay, without penalty (other than customary breakage costs), any borrowing under the Term Facility.

Under the Term Facility, we are required to make prepayments equal to 50% of our annual excess cash flows, which can be reduced to 25% upon the occurrence of certain events. In addition, we are required to make prepayments upon the occurrence of certain events, such as an asset sale, the issuance of debt or equity or the liquidation of auction rate securities. These mandatory prepayments will be allocated among the Term Facility and the Revolving Credit Facility in accordance with these agreements and will reduce on a pro-rata basis any remaining scheduled payments.

For additional discussion regarding the Senior Secured Term Facility, please see Senior Secured Term Facility within the Certain Indebtedness and Other Matters section below.

CorePharma

In June 2008, we entered into a Product Development Agreement with CorePharma to collaborate in the development of new formulations of metaxalone that we currently market under the brand name Skelaxin[®]. Under the Agreement, we and CorePharma granted each other non-exclusive cross-licenses to certain pre-existing intellectual property. Any intellectual property created as a result of the agreement will belong to us and we will grant CorePharma a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to use this newly created intellectual property with any product not containing metaxalone. In the second quarter of 2008 we made a non-refundable cash payment of \$2.5 million to CorePharma. Under the terms of the agreement, we will reimburse CorePharma for the cost to complete the development activities incurred under the agreement, subject to a cap. In addition, we could be required to make milestone payments based on the achievement and success of specified development activities and the achievement of specified net sales thresholds of such formulations, as well as royalty payments based on net sales.

Acura

In October 2007, we entered into a License, Development and Commercialization Agreement with Acura to develop and commercialize certain opioid analysis products utilizing Acura s Aversion Technology in the United States,

Canada and Mexico. The agreement provides us with an exclusive license for Acurox® Tablets and another opioid product utilizing Acura s Aversion Technology. In addition, the agreement provides us with an option to license all future opioid analgesic products developed utilizing Acura s Aversion Technology. In May 2008 and December 2008, we exercised our options for third and fourth immediate-release opioid products under the agreement. In connection with the exercise of the options, we paid non-refundable option exercise fees to Acura of \$3.0 million for each option.

52

Table of Contents

Under the terms of the agreement, we made a non-refundable cash payment of \$30.0 million to Acura in December 2007. In addition, we will reimburse Acura for all research and development expenses incurred beginning from September 19, 2007 for Acurox® Tablets and all research and development expenses related to future products after the exercise of our option to an exclusive license for each future product. During January 2008, we made an additional payment of \$2.0 million to Acura, which was accrued as of December 31, 2007, for certain research and development expenses incurred by Acura prior to the closing date of the agreement. We may make additional non-refundable cash milestone payments to Acura based on the successful achievement of certain clinical and regulatory milestones for Acurox® Tablets and for each other product developed under the agreement. In June 2008, we made a milestone payment of \$5.0 million associated with positive top-line results from the Phase III clinical trial evaluating Acurox® Tablets. We will also make an additional \$50.0 million non-refundable cash milestone payment to Acura in the first year that the aggregate net sales of all products developed under the agreement exceeds \$750.0 million. In addition, we will make royalty payments to Acura ranging from 5% to 25% based on the level of combined annual net sales of all products developed under the agreement.

Altace®

In December 2007, a third party launched a generic substitute for Altace[®]. In June 2008, additional competitors entered the market with generic substitutes for Altace[®]. As a result of the entry of generic competition, Altace[®] net sales decreased in 2008 and we expect net sales of Altace[®] will continue to decline significantly during 2009. For a discussion regarding the generic competition for Altace[®], please see Note 10, Commitments and Contingencies, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Following the Circuit Court s decision in September 2007 invalidating our 722 Patent that covered Altaceour senior management team conducted an extensive examination of our company and developed a restructuring initiative. This initiative included a reduction in personnel, staff leverage, expense reductions and additional controls over spending, reorganization of sales teams and a realignment of research and development priorities. We incurred total costs of approximately \$67.0 million in connection with this initiative. This total included a contract termination payment paid to Depomed, Inc. in October of 2007 of approximately \$29.7 million. We made additional cash payments of \$22.2 million during the first quarter of 2008 primarily related to employee termination costs. For additional information, please see Note 14, Restructuring Activities, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Avinza®

In September 2006, we entered into a definitive asset purchase agreement and related agreements with Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated (Ligand) to acquire rights to Aving morphine sulfate long-acting). Avinza® is a long-acting formulation of morphine and is indicated as a once-daily treatment for moderate to severe pain in patients who require continuous opioid therapy for an extended period of time.

As part of the transaction, we have agreed to pay Ligand an ongoing royalty and assume payment of Ligand s royalty obligations to third parties. We paid Ligand a royalty of 15% of net sales of Avinza® until October 2008. Subsequent royalty payments to Ligand will be based upon calendar year net sales of Avinza® as follows:

If calendar year net sales are less than \$200.0 million, the royalty payment will be 5% of all net sales.

If calendar year net sales are greater than \$200.0 million, then the royalty payment will be 10% of all net sales up to \$250.0 million, plus 15% of net sales greater than \$250.0 million.

Other

In June 2000, we entered into a Co-Promotion Agreement with Wyeth to promote Altace[®] in the United States and Puerto Rico through October 29, 2008, with possible extensions as outlined in the Co-Promotion Agreement. Under the agreement, Wyeth paid an upfront fee to us of \$75.0 million. In connection with the Co-Promotion Agreement, we agreed to pay Wyeth a promotional fee based on annual net

53

Table of Contents

sales of Altace[®]. In July 2006, we entered into an Amended and Restated Co-Promotion Agreement with Wyeth regarding Altace[®]. Effective January 1, 2007, we assumed full responsibility for selling and marketing Altace[®]. For all of 2006, the Wyeth sales force promoted the product with us and Wyeth shared marketing expenses. We have paid or will pay Wyeth a reduced annual fee as follows:

For 2006, 15% of Altace® net sales up to \$165.0 million, 42.5% of Altace® net sales in excess of \$165.0 million and less than or equal to \$465.0 million, and 52.5% of Altace® net sales that are in excess of \$465.0 million and less than or equal to \$585.0 million.

For 2007, 30% of Altace® net sales, with the fee not to exceed \$178.5 million.

For 2008, 22.5% of Altace® net sales, with the fee not to exceed \$134.0 million.

For 2009, 14.2% of Altace® net sales, with the fee not to exceed \$84.5 million.

For 2010, 25% of Altace® net sales, with the fee not to exceed \$5.0 million.

The annual fee is accrued quarterly based on a percentage of Altace[®] net sales at a rate equal to the expected relationship of the expected fee for the year to applicable expected Altace[®] net sales for the year.

In March 2006, we acquired the exclusive right to market, distribute and sell EpiPen® throughout Canada and certain other assets from Allerex Laboratory LTD (Allerex). Under the terms of the agreements, the initial purchase price was approximately \$23.9 million, plus acquisition costs of approximately \$0.7 million. As an additional component of the purchase price, we pay Allerex an earn-out equal to a percentage of future sales of EpiPen® in Canada over a fixed period of time. As these additional payments accrue, we will increase intangible assets by the amount of the accrual. As of March 31, 2009, we have incurred a total of \$9.4 million for these earn-out payments. The aggregate amount of these payments will not exceed \$13.2 million.

In December 2005, we entered into a cross-license agreement with Mutual. Under the terms of the agreement, each of the parties has granted the other a worldwide license to certain intellectual property, including patent rights and know-how, relating to metaxalone. As of January 1, 2006, we began paying royalties on net sales of products containing metaxalone to Mutual. This royalty increased in the fourth quarter of 2006 due to the achievement of a certain milestone and may continue to increase depending on the achievement of certain regulatory and commercial milestones in the future. We anticipate an increase in the royalty rate in the second quarter of 2009 due to the achievement of certain regulatory milestones. The royalty we pay to Mutual is in addition to the royalty we pay to Elan Corporation, plc (Elan) on our current formulation of metaxalone, which we refer to as Skel®xin

During the fourth quarter of 2005, we entered into a strategic alliance with Pain Therapeutics, Inc. to develop and commercialize Remoxy[®] and other opioid painkillers. Remoxy[®], an investigational novel formulation of long-acting oxycodone with a proposed indication for the treatment of moderate to severe pain, provides a unique physical barrier that is designed to provide controlled pain relief and resist certain common methods used to extract the opioid more rapidly than intended, as can occur with currently available products. Common methods used to cause a rapid extraction of the opioid include crushing, chewing, or dissolution in alcohol. These methods are typically used to cause failure of the controlled release dosage form, resulting in dose dumping of oxycodone, or the immediate release of the active drug. Under the strategic alliance, we made an upfront cash payment of \$150.0 million in December 2005 and made a milestone payment of \$5.0 million in July 2006 to Pain Therapeutics. In August 2008, we made milestone payments totaling \$20.0 million. In addition, we may pay additional milestone payments of up to \$125.0 million in cash based on the successful clinical and regulatory development of Remoxy[®] and other opioid products. This amount includes \$15.0 million upon FDA approval of Remoxy[®]. In March 2009, we exercised rights

under our Collaboration Agreement with Pain Therapeutics and assumed sole control and responsibility for the development of Remoxy[®]. This includes all communications with the FDA regarding Remoxy[®] and ownership of the Remoxy[®] NDA. We are responsible for research and development expenses related to this alliance subject to certain limitations set forth in the agreement. After regulatory approval and commercialization of Remoxy[®] or other products developed through this alliance, we will pay a royalty of 15% of the cumulative net sales up to \$1.0 billion and 20% of the cumulative net sales over \$1.0 billion.

54

Table of Contents

Governmental Pricing Investigation and Related Matters

For information on these matters, please see Note 10, Commitments and Contingencies, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Patent Challenges

Certain generic companies have challenged patents on Skelaxin® and Avinza®. For additional information, please see Note 10, Commitments and Contingencies, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements. If a generic version of Skelaxin Avinza® enters the market, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Cash Flows

Operating Activities

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 2008 (In thousands)

Net cash provided by operating activities

\$ 16,740 \$ 100,232

Our net cash from operations was lower in 2009 than in 2008 primarily due to a decrease in net sales of several key branded prescription pharmaceutical products. While total net sales were consistent from 2008 to 2009, gross margins decreased due to a change in the composition of net sales. The branded prescription pharmaceutical segment net sales decreased, while net sales of Meridian Auto-Injector and Animal Health segments increased. Our branded prescription pharmaceutical segment has higher gross margins than our other segments. The decrease in net sales was partially offset by a decrease in co-promotion fees. Please see the section entitled Results of Operations for a discussion of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses and co-promotion fees.

In addition, we made cash payments related to the Skelaxin® and Alpharma restructuring actions during the first quarter of 2009 which reduced operating cash flows. For information regarding the restructuring actions, please see Note 14 Restructuring Activities in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the changes in operating assets and liabilities and deferred taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

For the Three Months
Ended March 31,
2009 2008
(In thousands)

Accounts receivable, net of allowance	\$ 16,726	\$ (3,539)
Inventories	(20,345)	(253)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,437	(1,066)
Accounts payable	(45,471)	(14,418)

Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(18,853)	(84,201)
Income taxes payable	(9,552)	38,907
Deferred revenue	(1,170)	(1,170)
Other assets	988	3,649
Deferred taxes	(5,135)	5,438
Total changes from operating assets and liabilities and deferred taxes	\$ (81,375)	\$ (56,653)

55

Table of Contents

Investing Activities

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 2008 (In thousands)

Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities

\$ (69,212)

\$ 706,949

Our cash flows from investing activities for 2009 were primarily due to payments associated with Alpharma employee stock-based awards in connection with our acquisition of Alpharma. Our cash flows from investing activities for 2008 were primarily due to net sales of our investments in debt securities of \$727.5 million, partially offset by capital expenditures of \$19.9 million.

We anticipate capital expenditures, including capital lease obligations, for the year ending December 31, 2009 of approximately \$60.0 to \$65.0 million, which will be funded with cash from operations. The principal capital expenditures are anticipated to include costs associated with the preparation of our facilities to manufacture new products as they emerge from our research and development pipeline.

Financing Activities

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 2008 (In thousands)

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities

\$ (436,433)

\$ (608)

Our cash flows from financing activities for 2009 is primarily related to payments on long-term debt, which included \$385.2 million related to Alpharma s convertible debt.

Our cash flows from financing activities for 2008 primarily related to activities associated with our stock compensation plans, including the exercise of employee stock options.

Certain Indebtedness and Other Matters

During 2006, we issued \$400.0 million of 11/4% Convertible Senior Notes due April 1, 2026 (Notes). The Notes are unsecured obligations and are guaranteed by each of our domestic subsidiaries on a joint and several basis. The Notes accrue interest at an initial rate of 11/4%. Beginning with the six-month interest period that commences on April 1, 2013, we will pay additional interest during any six-month interest period if the average trading price of the Notes during the five consecutive trading days ending on the second trading day immediately preceding the first day of such six-month period equals 120% or more of the principal amount of the Notes. Interest is payable on April 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning October 1, 2006.

On or after April 5, 2013, we may redeem for cash some or all of the Notes at any time at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be redeemed, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, and liquidated damages, if any, to

but excluding the date fixed for redemption. Holders may require us to purchase for cash some or all of their Notes on April 1, 2013, April 1, 2016 and April 1, 2021, or upon the occurrence of a fundamental change, at 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be purchased, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, and liquidated damages, if any, to but excluding the purchase date.

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

On April 23, 2002, we established a \$400.0 million five-year Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility which was scheduled to mature in April 2007. On April 19, 2007, this facility was terminated and replaced with a new \$475.0 five-year Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility, as amended on December 5, 2008 (the Revolving Credit Facility). The Revolving Credit Facility matures in April 2012 or in October 2011 if the Convertible Senior Notes have not been refinanced. In connection with our acquisition of Alpharma on December 29, 2008, we borrowed \$425.0 million in principal. The Revolving Credit Facility requires us to pledge as collateral 100% of the equity of our domestic subsidiaries and 65% of the equity of any material

56

Table of Contents

foreign subsidiaries. Our obligations under this facility are unconditionally guaranteed on a senior basis by all of our U.S. subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2009, \$392.9 million was outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility and letters of credit totaled \$12.1 million.

Under the terms of the Revolving Credit Facility, the credit commitments will be automatically and permanently reduced, on a quarterly basis. Additionally, we have the right, without penalty (other than customary breakage costs), to prepay any borrowing under the Revolving Credit Facility and, subject to certain conditions, we could be required to make mandatory prepayments. For additional information, please see the discussion in the section titled Liquidity and Capital Resources above.

Our borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at annual rates that, at our option, will be either:

a base rate generally defined as the sum of (i) the greater of (a) the prime rate of Credit Suisse and (b) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5% and (ii) an applicable percentage of 4.0%; or

an adjusted rate generally defined as the sum of (i) the product of (a) LIBOR (by reference to the British Banking Association Interest Settlement Rates) and (b) a fraction, the numerator of which is one and the denominator of which is the number one minus certain maximum statutory reserves for Eurocurrency liabilities and (ii) an applicable percentage of 5.0%.

Interest on our borrowings is payable quarterly in arrears for base rate loans and at the end of each interest rate period (but not less often than quarterly) for LIBO rate loans. We are required to pay an unused commitment fee on the difference between committed amounts and amounts actually borrowed under the Revolving Credit Facility equal to 0.5% per annum. We are required to pay a letter of credit participation fee based upon the aggregate face amount of outstanding letters of credit equal to 5.0% per annum.

The Revolving Credit Facility requires us to meet certain financial tests, including, without limitation:

maintenance of maximum funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratios that range from 1.50 to 1 to 3.25 to 1 (depending on dates and the occurrence of certain events relating to certain patents); and

maintenance of minimum consolidated EBITDA to interest expense ratios that range from 3.75 to 1 to 4.00 to 1 (depending on dates and the occurrence of certain events relating to certain patents).

As of March 31, 2009, we were in compliance with these covenants.

In addition, the Revolving Credit Facility contains certain covenants that, among other things, restrict additional indebtedness, liens and encumbrances, sale and leaseback transactions, loans and investments, acquisitions, dividends and other restricted payments, transactions with affiliates, asset dispositions, mergers and consolidations, prepayments, redemptions and repurchases of other indebtedness, capital expenditures and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. The Revolving Credit Facility contains customary events of default, including, without limitation, payment defaults, breaches of representations and warranties, covenant defaults, cross-defaults to certain other material indebtedness in excess of specified amounts, certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency, certain ERISA events, judgments in excess of specified amounts, certain impairments to the guarantees, and change in control.

The Revolving Credit Facility requires us to maintain hedging agreements that will fix the interest rates on 50% of our outstanding long-term debt beginning 90 days after the amendment to the facility for a period of not less than two years. Accordingly, in March 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap with an aggregate notional amount of \$112.5

million which has been designated as a cash flow hedge used to offset the overall variability of cash flows.

In connection with the borrowings, we incurred approximately \$22.1 million of deferred financing costs that are being amortized ratably from the date of the borrowing through the maturity date based on the automatic commitment reductions described above.

57

Table of Contents

Senior Secured Term Facility

On December 29, 2008, we entered into a \$200.0 million term loan credit agreement, comprised of a four-year senior secured loan facility (the Term Facility) with a maturity date of December 28, 2012. We borrowed \$200.0 million under the Term Facility and received proceeds of \$192.0 million, net of the discount at issuance. The Term Facility requires us to pledge as collateral 100% of the equity of our U.S. subsidiaries and 65% of the equity of any material foreign subsidiaries. Our obligations under this facility are unconditionally guaranteed on a senior basis by all of our U.S. subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2009, the carrying value of the borrowings under the Term Facility was \$177.7 million.

Under the terms of the Term Facility, we will repay the borrowings in quarterly payments. Additionally, we have the right, without penalty (other than customary breakage costs), to prepay any borrowing under the Term Facility and, subject to certain conditions, we could be required to make mandatory prepayments. For additional information please see the discussion in the section titled Liquidity and Capital Resources above.

Our borrowings under the Term Facility bear interest at annual rates that, at our option, will be either:

5.00% plus the Adjusted LIBO Rate or

4.00% plus the Alternate Base Rate.

The Alternate Base Rate is the highest of (x) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, (y) the prime or base commercial lending rate, and (z) the Adjusted LIBO Rate for a one-month interest period plus 1.00%. The Adjusted LIBO Rate is the higher of (x) 3.00% and (y) the rate per annum, determined by the administrative agent under the Term Facility, in accordance with its customary procedures, at which dollar deposits for applicable periods are offered to major banks in the London interbank market, adjusted by the reserve percentage prescribed by governmental authorities as determined by such administrative agent.

The Term Facility requires us to meet certain financial tests, including, without limitation:

maintenance of maximum funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratios that range from 1.50 to 1 to 3.25 to 1 (depending on dates and the occurrence of certain events relating to certain patents); and

maintenance of minimum consolidated EBITDA to interest expense ratios that range from 3.75 to 1 to 4.00 to 1 (depending on dates and the occurrence of certain events relating to certain patents).

As of March 31, 2009, we were in compliance with these covenants.

In addition, the Term Facility contains certain covenants that, among other things, restrict additional indebtedness, liens and encumbrances, sale and leaseback transactions, loans and investments, acquisitions, dividends and other restricted payments, transactions with affiliates, asset dispositions, mergers and consolidations, prepayments, redemptions and repurchases of other indebtedness, capital expenditures and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. The Term Facility contains customary events of default, including, without limitation, payment defaults, breaches of representations and warranties, covenant defaults, cross-defaults to certain other material indebtedness in excess of specified amounts, certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency, certain ERISA events, judgments in excess of specified amounts, certain impairments to the guarantees, and change in control.

The Term Facility requires us to maintain hedging agreements that will fix the interest rates on 50% of our outstanding long-term debt beginning 90 days after the borrowing under the facility for a period of two years.

Accordingly, in March 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap with an aggregate notional amount of \$112.5 million which has been designated as a cash flow hedge used to offset the overall variability of cash flows.

In connection with the borrowings, we incurred approximately \$8.7 million of deferred financing costs that are being amortized ratably from the date of the borrowing through the maturity date based on the repayment schedule described above.

58

Table of Contents

Alpharma Convertible Senior Notes

At the time of the acquisition of Alpharma by us, Alpharma had \$300.0 million of Convertible Senior Notes outstanding (Alpharma Notes). The Alpharma Notes were convertible into shares of Alpharma's Class A common stock at an initial conversion rate of 30.6725 Alpharma common shares per \$1,000 principal amount. The conversion rate of the Alpharma Notes was subject to adjustment upon the direct or indirect sale of all or substantially all of Alpharma's assets or more than 50% of the outstanding shares of the Alpharma common stock to a third party (a Fundamental Change). In the event of a Fundamental Change, the Alpharma Notes included a make-whole provision that adjusted the conversion rate by a predetermined number of additional shares of the Alpharma's common stock based on (1) the effective date of the Fundamental Change; and (2) Alpharma's common stock market price as of the effective date. The acquisition of Alpharma by us was a Fundamental Change. As a result, any Alpharma Notes converted in connection with the acquisition of Alpharma were entitled to be converted at an increased rate equal to the value of 34.7053 Alpharma common shares, at the acquisition price of \$37 per share, per \$1,000 principal amount of Alpharma Notes at a date no later than 35 trading days after the occurrence of the Fundamental Change.

During the first quarter of 2009, we paid \$385.2 million to redeem the Alpharma Notes.

Impact of Inflation

We have experienced only moderate raw material and labor price increases in recent years. In general, the price increases we have passed along to our customers have offset inflationary pressures.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

For information regarding recently issued accounting standards, please see Note 11, Accounting Developments, in Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We have chosen accounting policies that we believe are appropriate to accurately and fairly report our operating results and financial position, and apply those accounting policies in a consistent manner.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period.

Significant estimates for which it is reasonably possible that a material change in estimate could occur in the near term include forecasted future cash flows used in testing for impairments of intangible and tangible assets and loss accruals for excess inventory and fixed purchase commitments under our supply contracts. Forecasted future cash flows in particular require considerable judgment and are subject to inherent imprecision. In the case of impairment testing, changes in estimates of future cash flows could result in a material impairment charge and, whether they result in an immediate impairment charge, could result prospectively in a reduction in the estimated remaining useful life of tangible or intangible assets, which could be material to the financial statements.

Other significant estimates include accruals for Medicaid, Medicare, and other rebates, returns and chargebacks, allowances for doubtful accounts and estimates used in applying the revenue recognition policy.

We are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ from the related estimates, and our estimates may change from time to time in response to actual developments and new information.

The significant accounting estimates that we believe are important to aid in fully understanding our reported financial results include the following:

Intangible assets, goodwill and other long-lived assets. When we acquire product rights in conjunction with either business or asset acquisitions, we allocate an appropriate portion of the purchase price to

59

Table of Contents

intangible assets, goodwill and other long-lived assets. The purchase price is allocated to products, acquired research and development, if any, and other intangibles using the assistance of valuation consultants. We estimate the useful lives of the assets by factoring in the characteristics of the products such as: patent protection, competition by products prescribed for similar indications, estimated future introductions of competing products and other issues. The factors that drive the estimate of the life of the asset are inherently uncertain. We use the straight-line method of amortization for both intangibles.

We review our property, plant and equipment and intangible assets for possible impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. We review our goodwill for possible impairment annually, during the first quarter, or whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. In any event, we evaluate the remaining useful lives of our intangible assets each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. This evaluation is performed through our quarterly evaluation of intangibles for impairment. Further, on an annual basis, we review the life of each intangible asset and make adjustments as deemed appropriate. In evaluating goodwill for impairment, we estimate the fair value of our individual business reporting units on a discounted cash flow basis. Assumptions and estimates used in the evaluation of impairment may affect the carrying value of long-lived assets, which could result in impairment charges in future periods. Such assumptions include projections of future cash flows and, in some cases, the current fair value of the asset. In addition, our depreciation and amortization policies reflect judgments on the estimated useful lives of assets.

We may incur impairment charges in the future if prescriptions for, or sales of, our products are less than current expectations and result in a reduction of our estimated undiscounted future cash flows. This may be caused by many factors, including competition from generic substitutes, significant delays in the manufacture or supply of materials, the publication of negative results of studies or clinical trials, new legislation or regulatory proposals.

60

Table of Contents

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization as of March 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Gross Carrying Amount	An	cumulated nortization housands)	Net Book Value
Branded Prescription Pharmaceuticals Avinza®	\$ 285,700		55,571	\$ 230,129
Skelaxin [®] Sonata [®]	278,853 61,961		181,915 61,961	96,938
Flector® Patch	130,000	1	2,955	127,045
Neuroscience	756,514		302,402	454,112
Synercid®	70,959		43,435	27,524
Other hospital	8,442		6,503	1,939
Hospital	79,401		49,938	29,463
Bicillin [®]	92,350)	32,195	60,155
Other legacy products	324,035		276,247	47,788
Legacy products	416,385		308,442	107,943
Total Branded	1,252,300	١	660,782	591,518
Animal Health	170,000		2,408	167,592
Meridian Auto-Injector	180,537		43,316	137,221
Royalties Contract manufacturing All other	3,731		3,363	368
Total intangible assets	\$ 1,606,568	\$	709,869	\$ 896,699

Table of Contents 119

61

Table of Contents

The amounts for impairments and amortization expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Impairn	009 ortization xpense	Tl Impair	08 rtization pense
Branded Prescription Pharmaceuticals Avinza® Skelaxin® Sonata® Flector® Patch	\$	\$ 6,638 20,041 2,955	\$	\$ 6,638 5,811 315
Neuroscience		29,634		12,764
Synercid [®] Other hospital		1,484 76		2,375 76
Hospital		1,560		2,451
Altace [®] Bicillin [®] Other legacy products		925 1,430		\$ 29,687 925 2,998
Legacy products		2,355		33,610
Total Branded		33,549		48,825
Animal Health Meridian Auto-Injector Royalties Contract manufacturing All other		2,408 2,035 186		1,920 182
Total	\$	\$ 38,178	\$	\$ 50,927

The remaining patent amortization period compared to the remaining amortization period for trademarks and product rights associated with significant products is as follows:

Remaining Life at March 31, 2009

Skelaxin®	1 year 3 months
Avinza [®]	8 years 8 months
Synercid [®]	4 years 9 months

Bicillin® Flector® Patch 16 years 3 months 10 years 9 months

Inventories. Our inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market value. We evaluate our entire inventory for short-dated or slow-moving product and inventory commitments under supply agreements based on projections of future demand and market conditions. For those units in inventory that are so identified, we estimate their market value or net sales value based on current realization trends. If the projected net realizable value is less than cost, on a product basis, we make a provision to reflect the lower value of that inventory. This methodology recognizes projected inventory losses at the time such losses are evident rather than at the time goods are actually sold. We maintain supply agreements with some of our vendors which contain minimum purchase requirements. We estimate future inventory requirements based on current facts and trends. Should our minimum purchase requirements under supply agreements, or if our estimated future inventory requirements exceed actual inventory quantities that we will be able to sell to our customers, we record a charge in costs of revenues.

62

Table of Contents

Accruals for rebates, returns and chargebacks. We establish accruals for returns, chargebacks and Medicaid, Medicare and commercial rebates in the same period we recognize the related sales. The accruals reduce revenues and are included in accrued expenses. At the time a rebate or chargeback payment is made or a product return is received, which occurs with a delay after the related sale, we record a reduction to accrued expenses and, at the end of each quarter, adjust accrued expenses for differences between estimated and actual payments. Due to estimates and assumptions inherent in determining the amount of returns, chargebacks and rebates, the actual amount of product returns and claims for chargebacks and rebates may be different from our estimates.

Our product returns accrual is primarily based on estimates of future product returns over the period during which customers have a right of return which is in turn based in part on estimates of the remaining shelf life of our products when sold to customers. Future product returns are estimated primarily on historical sales and return rates. We also consider the level of inventory of our products in the distribution channel. We base our estimate of our Medicaid rebate, Medicare rebate, and commercial rebate accruals on estimates of usage by rebate-eligible customers, estimates of the level of inventory of our products in the distribution channel that remain potentially subject to those rebates, and the terms of our commercial and regulatory rebate obligations. We base our estimate of our chargeback accrual on our estimates of the level of inventory of our products in the distribution channel that remain subject to chargebacks, and specific contractual and historical chargeback rates. The estimate of the level of our products in the distribution channel is based on data provided by our three key wholesalers under inventory management agreements.

Our accruals for returns, chargebacks and rebates are adjusted as appropriate for specific known developments that may result in a change in our product returns or our rebate and chargeback obligations. In the case of product returns, we monitor demand levels for our products and the effects of the introduction of competing products and other factors on this demand. When we identify decreases in demand for products or experience higher than historical rates of returns caused by unexpected discrete events, we further analyze these products for potential additional supplemental reserves.

Revenue recognition. Revenue is recognized when title and risk of loss are transferred to customers, collection of sales is reasonably assured and we have no further performance obligations. This is generally at the time products are received by the customer. Accruals for estimated returns, rebates and chargebacks, determined based on historical experience, reduce revenues at the time of sale and are included in accrued expenses. Medicaid and certain other governmental pricing programs involve particularly difficult interpretations of relevant statutes and regulatory guidance, which are complex and, in certain respects, ambiguous. Moreover, prevailing interpretations of these statutes and guidance can change over time. Royalty revenue is recognized based on a percentage of sales (namely, contractually agreed-upon royalty rates) reported by third parties.

A WARNING ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to analyses and other information which are based on forecasts of future results and estimates of amounts that are not yet determinable. These statements also relate to our future prospects, developments and business strategies.

These forward-looking statements are identified by their use of terms and phrases, such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, may, plan, predict, project, will and other similar terms and phrases, including assumptions. These statements are contained in the Risk Factors and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations sections, as well as other sections of this report.

Table of Contents

Forward-looking statements in this report include, but are not limited to, those regarding:

the potential of, including anticipated net sales and prescription trends for, our branded prescription pharmaceutical products, particularly Altace[®], Skelaxin[®], Avinza[®], Thrombin-JMI[®], the Flector[®] Patch, Levoxyl[®], Cytomel[®] and Synercid[®];

expectations regarding the enforceability and effectiveness of product-related patents, including, in particular, patents related to Skelaxin[®], Avinza[®] and Adenoscan[®];

expected trends and projections with respect to particular products, reportable segment and income and expense line items;

the adequacy of our liquidity and capital resources;

anticipated capital expenditures;

the development, approval and successful commercialization of Remoxy®, Embedatm, Acurox® Tablets, CorVuetm and other products;

the cost of and the successful execution of our growth and restructuring strategies;

anticipated developments and expansions of our business;

our plans for the manufacture of some of our products, including products manufactured by third parties;

the potential costs, outcomes and timing of research, clinical trials and other development activities involving pharmaceutical products, including, but not limited to, the magnitude and timing of potential payments to third parties in connection with development activities;

the development of product line extensions;

the expected timing of the initial marketing of certain products;

products developed, acquired or in-licensed that may be commercialized;

our intent, beliefs or current expectations, primarily with respect to our future operating performance;

expectations regarding sales growth, gross margins, manufacturing productivity, capital expenditures and effective tax rates;

expectations regarding the outcome of various pending legal proceedings including the Skelaxin[®] and Avinza[®] patent challenges, litigation, and other legal proceedings described in this report;

expectations regarding our financial condition and liquidity as well as future cash flows and earnings; and

expectations regarding our ability to liquidate our holdings of auction rate securities and the temporary nature of unrealized losses recorded in connection with some of those securities.

These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to be materially different from those contemplated by our forward-looking statements. These known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors are described in detail in the Risk Factors section and in other sections of this report.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk for changes in the market values of some of our investments, the effect of interest rate changes and the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We have derivative financial instruments associated with utility contracts which qualify as normal purchase and sales, derivatives associated with the convertible senior notes and derivatives associated with our variable rate debt.

64

Table of Contents

We are subject to interest rate risk on our variable rate debt as changes in interest rates could adversely affect earnings and cash flows. We entered into an interest rate swap agreement with an aggregate notional amount of \$112.5 million to offset the variability of cash flows associated with our variable rate debt.

We have marketable securities which are carried at fair value based on the quoted price for identical securities in an active market. Gains and losses on securities are based on the specific identification method.

The fair market value of long-term fixed interest rate debt is subject to interest rate risk. Generally, the fair market value of fixed interest rate debt will decrease as interest rates rise and increase as interest rates fall. In addition, the fair value of our convertible debentures is affected by our stock price.

Foreign currency exchange rate movements create fluctuations in U.S. Dollar reported amounts of foreign subsidiaries whose local currencies are their respective functional currencies. We primarily use forward foreign exchange contracts to hedge certain cash flows denominated in currencies other than the foreign subsidiary s functional currency. Such cash flows are normally represented by actual receivables and payables and anticipated receivables and payables for which there is a firm commitment.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to reasonably ensure that information required to be disclosed and filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified, and that management will be timely alerted to material information required to be included in our periodic reports filed with the SEC.

On December 29, 2008, we completed our acquisition of Alpharma. As permitted by the rules and regulations of the SEC, we excluded Alpharma from our evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. Total assets of Alpharma represented approximately 39.7% of, and were included in, our consolidated total assets as of December 31, 2008. Since we acquired Alpharma at the end of December 2008, the financial results of Alpharma were not included in our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The accompanying financial statements for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 include the results of operations, financial position, and cash flows of Alpharma. During the quarter, the operations of Alpharma s pharmaceutical business were integrated into our branded prescription pharmaceuticals segment and therefore were subject to internal controls over financial reporting established by our management prior to the acquisition.

However, the assets, liabilities, results of operations and cash flows of the Alpharma Animal Health segment included in the accompanying financial statements were subject to internal controls over financial reporting established by Alpharma management prior to the acquisition. We are in the process of evaluating the effectiveness of these acquired controls and our legacy internal controls over financial reporting and will report the results of our assessment as of December 31, 2009.

Except as described above, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to Note 10, Commitments and Contingencies, in Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We have disclosed a number of material risks under Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2009.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1*	2009 Executive Management Incentive Award
10.2*	Form of Option Certificate and Nonstatutory Stock Option Agreement
10.3*	Form of Restricted Stock Certificate and Restricted Stock Grant Agreement
10.4*	Form of Long-Term Performance Unit Award Agreement One-Year Performance Cycle
10.5*	Form of Long-Term Performance Unit Award Agreement Three-Year Performance Cycle
31.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

^{*} Denotes management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, confidential portions of this exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) pursuant to a Confidential Treatment Request filed with the SEC.

66

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

By: /s/ Brian A. Markison

Brian A. Markison

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 11, 2009

By: /s/ Joseph Squicciarino

Joseph Squicciarino Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 11, 2009

II-1