

CNA FINANCIAL CORP
Form 10-K
February 24, 2009

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 1-5823

CNA FINANCIAL CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
**(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)**

36-6169860
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

333 S. Wabash
Chicago, Illinois
(Address of principal executive offices)

60604
(Zip Code)

(312) 822-5000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| <u>Title of each class</u> | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---|--|
| Common Stock with a par value of \$2.50 per share | New York Stock Exchange Chicago Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15 (d) of the
Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was
required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No
As of February 20, 2009, 269,024,408 shares of common stock were outstanding. The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2008 was approximately \$692 million based on the closing price of \$25.15 per share of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2008.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the CNA Financial Corporation Proxy Statement prepared for the 2009 annual meeting of shareholders, pursuant to Regulation 14A, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

| Item Number | | Page Number |
|------------------------|---|----------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | | |
| <u>1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| <u>1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 9 |
| <u>1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 17 |
| <u>2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | 17 |
| <u>3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 17 |
| <u>4.</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 17 |
| <u>PART II</u> | | |
| <u>5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 18 |
| <u>6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 20 |
| <u>7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| <u>7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 63 |
| <u>8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 66 |
| <u>9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 142 |
| <u>9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 142 |
| <u>9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 142 |
| <u>PART III</u> | | |
| <u>10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 143 |
| <u>11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 143 |
| <u>12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 144 |
| <u>13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 144 |
| <u>14.</u> | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 144 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | | |
| <u>15.</u> | <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 145 |

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

CNA Financial Corporation (CNAF) was incorporated in 1967 and is an insurance holding company. Collectively, CNAF and its subsidiaries are referred to as CNA or the Company. References to CNA, the Company, we, our, like terms refer to the business of CNA and its subsidiaries. Our property and casualty insurance operations are conducted by Continental Casualty Company (CCC), incorporated in 1897, and The Continental Insurance Company (CIC), organized in 1853, and affiliates. CIC became a subsidiary of ours in 1995 as a result of the acquisition of The Continental Corporation (Continental). Loews Corporation (Loews) owned approximately 90% of our outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2008.

Our ongoing core businesses serve a wide variety of customers, including small, medium and large businesses, associations, professionals, and groups with a broad range of insurance and risk management products and services.

Our insurance products primarily include commercial property and casualty coverages. Our services include risk management, information services, warranty and claims administration. Our products and services are marketed through independent agents, brokers and managing general agents.

Our core business, commercial property and casualty insurance operations, is reported in two business segments: Standard Lines and Specialty Lines. Our non-core operations are managed in two business segments: Life & Group Non-Core and Corporate & Other Non-Core. These segments are managed separately because of differences in their product lines and markets. Discussions of each segment including the products offered, the customers served, the distribution channels used and competition are set forth in the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) included under Item 7 and in Note N of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Competition

The property and casualty insurance industry is highly competitive both as to rate and service. Our consolidated property and casualty subsidiaries compete not only with other stock insurance companies, but also with mutual insurance companies, reinsurance companies and other entities for both producers and customers. We must continuously allocate resources to refine and improve our insurance products and services.

Rates among insurers vary according to the types of insurers and methods of operation. We compete for business not only on the basis of rate, but also on the basis of availability of coverage desired by customers, ratings and quality of service, including claim adjustment services.

There are approximately 2,300 individual companies that sell property and casualty insurance in the United States. Based on 2007 statutory net written premiums, we are the seventh largest commercial insurance writer and the thirteenth largest property and casualty insurance organization in the United States of America.

Regulation

The insurance industry is subject to comprehensive and detailed regulation and supervision throughout the United States. Each state has established supervisory agencies with broad administrative powers relative to licensing insurers and agents, approving policy forms, establishing reserve requirements, fixing minimum interest rates for accumulation of surrender values and maximum interest rates of policy loans, prescribing the form and content of statutory financial reports and regulating solvency and the type, quality and amount of investments permitted. Such regulatory powers also extend to premium rate regulations, which require that rates not be excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory. In addition to regulation of dividends by insurance subsidiaries, intercompany transfers of assets may be subject to prior notice or approval by the state insurance regulators, depending on the size of such transfers and payments in relation to the financial position of the insurance affiliates making the transfer or payment.

Insurers are also required by the states to provide coverage to insureds who would not otherwise be considered eligible by the insurers. Each state dictates the types of insurance and the level of coverage that

must be provided to such involuntary risks. Our share of these involuntary risks is mandatory and generally a function of our respective share of the voluntary market by line of insurance in each state.

Further, insurance companies are subject to state guaranty fund and other insurance-related assessments. Guaranty fund assessments are levied by the state departments of insurance to cover claims of insolvent insurers. Other insurance-related assessments are generally levied by state agencies to fund various organizations including disaster relief funds, rating bureaus, insurance departments, and workers' compensation second injury funds, or by industry organizations that assist in the statistical analysis and ratemaking process.

Reform of the U.S. tort liability system is another issue facing the insurance industry. Over the last decade, many states have passed some type of reform. In recent years, for example, significant state general tort reforms have been enacted in Georgia, Ohio, Mississippi and South Carolina. Specific state legislation addressing state asbestos reform has been passed in Ohio, Georgia, Florida and Texas in past years as well. Although these states' legislatures have begun to address their litigious environments, some reforms are being challenged in the courts and it will take some time before they are finalized. Even though there has been some tort reform success, new causes of action and theories of damages continue to be proposed in state court actions or by legislatures. For example, some state legislatures are considering legislation addressing direct actions against insurers related to bad faith claims. As a result of this unpredictability in the law, insurance underwriting and rating are expected to continue to be difficult in commercial lines, professional liability and some specialty coverages and therefore could materially adversely affect our results of operations and equity.

Although the federal government and its regulatory agencies do not directly regulate the business of insurance, federal legislative and regulatory initiatives can impact the insurance industry in a variety of ways. These initiatives and legislation include tort reform proposals; proposals addressing natural catastrophe exposures; terrorism risk mechanisms; federal regulation of insurance; various tax proposals affecting insurance companies; and possible regulatory limitations, impositions and restrictions, as well as potential impacts on the fair value determinations of our invested assets, arising from the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.

In addition, our domestic insurance subsidiaries are subject to risk-based capital requirements. Risk-based capital is a method developed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners to determine the minimum amount of statutory capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in consideration of its size and risk profile. The formula for determining the amount of risk-based capital specifies various factors, weighted based on the perceived degree of risk, which are applied to certain financial balances and financial activity. The adequacy of a company's actual capital is evaluated by a comparison to the risk-based capital results, as determined by the formula. Companies below minimum risk-based capital requirements are classified within certain levels, each of which requires specified corrective action. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, all of our domestic insurance subsidiaries exceeded the minimum risk-based capital requirements.

Subsidiaries with insurance operations outside the United States are also subject to regulation in the countries in which they operate. We have operations in the United Kingdom, Canada and other countries.

Employee Relations

As of December 31, 2008, we had approximately 9,000 employees and have experienced satisfactory labor relations. We have never had work stoppages due to labor disputes.

We have comprehensive benefit plans for substantially all of our employees, including retirement plans, savings plans, disability programs, group life programs and group healthcare programs. See Note J of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 for further discussion of our benefit plans.

Supplementary Insurance Data

The following table sets forth supplementary insurance data.

Supplementary Insurance Data

| Years ended December 31 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Trade Ratios GAAP basis (a) | | | |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio | 78.7% | 77.7% | 75.7% |
| Expense ratio | 30.1 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Dividend ratio | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| | | | |
| Combined ratio | 109.0% | 107.9% | 106.0% |
| | | | |
| Trade Ratios Statutory basis (preliminary) (a) | | | |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio | 83.8% | 79.8% | 78.7% |
| Expense ratio | 30.1 | 30.0 | 30.2 |
| Dividend ratio | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| | | | |
| Combined ratio | 114.2% | 110.1% | 109.1% |

(a) Trade ratios reflect the results of our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries. Trade ratios are industry measures of property and casualty underwriting results. The loss and loss adjustment expense ratio is the percentage of net incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses to net earned premiums. The primary difference in

this ratio between accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and statutory accounting practices (SAP) is related to the treatment of active life reserves (ALR) related to long term care insurance products written in property and casualty insurance subsidiaries. For GAAP, ALR is classified as future policy benefits reserves whereas for SAP, ALR is classified as unearned premium reserves. The expense ratio, using amounts determined in accordance with GAAP, is the percentage of underwriting and acquisition expenses (including the amortization of deferred acquisition expenses) to net earned premiums. The expense ratio,

using amounts determined in accordance with SAP, is the percentage of acquisition and underwriting expenses (with no deferral of acquisition expenses) to net written premiums. The dividend ratio, using amounts determined in accordance with GAAP, is the ratio of policyholders dividends incurred to net earned premiums. The dividend ratio, using amounts determined in accordance with SAP, is the ratio of policyholders dividends paid to net earned premiums. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss adjustment expense, expense and dividend ratios.

The following table displays the distribution of direct written premiums for our operations by geographic concentration.

Direct Written Premiums

| Years ended December 31 | Percent of Total | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| California | 9.2% | 9.5% | 9.7% |
| New York | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| Florida | 6.5 | 7.5 | 8.0 |
| Texas | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
| Illinois | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.2 |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| New Jersey | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Missouri | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| All other states, countries or political subdivisions (a) | 57.2 | 56.1 | 54.3 |
| | | | |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

(a) No other individual state, country or political subdivision accounts for more than 3.0% of direct written premiums.

Approximately 7.4%, 6.9% and 5.9% of our direct written premiums were derived from outside of the United States for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. Premiums from any individual foreign country were not significant.

Property and Casualty Claim and Claim Adjustment Expenses

The following loss reserve development table illustrates the change over time of reserves established for property and casualty claim and claim adjustment expenses at the end of the preceding ten calendar years for our property and casualty insurance companies. The table excludes our life subsidiary(ies), and as such, the carried reserves will not agree to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8. The first section shows the reserves as originally reported at the end of the stated year. The second section, reading down, shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years with respect to the originally reported reserve liability. The third section, reading down, shows re-estimates of the originally recorded reserves as of the end of each successive year, which is the result of our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries' expanded awareness of additional facts and circumstances that pertain to the unsettled claims. The last section compares the latest re-estimated reserves to the reserves originally established, and indicates whether the original reserves were adequate or inadequate to cover the estimated costs of unsettled claims.

The loss reserve development table for property and casualty companies is cumulative and, therefore, ending balances should not be added since the amount at the end of each calendar year includes activity for both the current and prior years. Additionally, the development amounts in the table below include the impact of commutations, but exclude the impact of the provision for uncollectible reinsurance.

Schedule of Loss Reserve Development

Calendar Year Ended

| (in millions) | 1998 | 1999 (a) | 2000 | 2001 (b) | 2002 (c) | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Originally reported loss reserves for unpaid claim and claim adjustment expenses | \$ 28,506 | \$ 26,850 | \$ 26,510 | \$ 29,649 | \$ 25,719 | \$ 31,284 | \$ 31,204 | \$ 30,694 | \$ 29,459 | \$ 28,415 | \$ 27,477 |
| Originally reported deductible recoverable | 5,182 | 6,091 | 7,333 | 11,703 | 10,490 | 13,847 | 13,682 | 10,438 | 8,078 | 6,945 | 6,210 |
| Originally reported net loss reserves for unpaid claim and claim adjustment expenses | \$ 23,324 | \$ 20,759 | \$ 19,177 | \$ 17,946 | \$ 15,229 | \$ 17,437 | \$ 17,522 | \$ 20,256 | \$ 21,381 | \$ 21,470 | \$ 21,267 |
| Cumulative net paid as of: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| one year later | \$ 7,321 | \$ 6,547 | \$ 7,686 | \$ 5,981 | \$ 5,373 | \$ 4,382 | \$ 2,651 | \$ 3,442 | \$ 4,436 | \$ 4,308 | \$ 4,308 |
| two years later | 12,241 | 11,937 | 11,992 | 10,355 | 8,768 | 6,104 | 4,963 | 7,022 | 7,676 | | |
| three years later | 16,020 | 15,256 | 15,291 | 12,954 | 9,747 | 7,780 | 7,825 | 9,620 | | | |
| four years later | 18,271 | 18,151 | 17,333 | 13,244 | 10,870 | 10,085 | 9,914 | | | | |
| five years later | 20,779 | 19,686 | 17,775 | 13,922 | 12,814 | 11,834 | | | | | |
| six years later | 21,970 | 20,206 | 18,970 | 15,493 | 14,320 | | | | | | |
| seven years later | 22,564 | 21,231 | 20,297 | 16,769 | | | | | | | |
| eight years later | 23,453 | 22,373 | 21,382 | | | | | | | | |
| nine years later | 24,426 | 23,276 | | | | | | | | | |
| ten years later | 25,178 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net reserves re-estimated as of: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End of initial year | \$ 23,324 | \$ 20,759 | \$ 19,177 | \$ 17,946 | \$ 15,229 | \$ 17,437 | \$ 17,522 | \$ 20,256 | \$ 21,381 | \$ 21,470 | \$ 21,267 |
| one year later | 24,306 | 21,163 | 21,502 | 17,980 | 17,650 | 17,671 | 18,513 | 20,588 | 21,601 | 21,463 | |
| two years later | 24,134 | 23,217 | 21,555 | 20,533 | 18,248 | 19,120 | 19,044 | 20,975 | 21,706 | | |
| three years later | 26,038 | 23,081 | 24,058 | 21,109 | 19,814 | 19,760 | 19,631 | 21,408 | | | |
| four years later | 25,711 | 25,590 | 24,587 | 22,547 | 20,384 | 20,425 | 20,212 | | | | |
| five years later | 27,754 | 26,000 | 25,594 | 22,983 | 21,076 | 21,060 | | | | | |
| six years later | 28,078 | 26,625 | 26,023 | 23,603 | 21,769 | | | | | | |
| seven years later | 28,437 | 27,009 | 26,585 | 24,267 | | | | | | | |
| eight years later | 28,705 | 27,541 | 27,207 | | | | | | | | |
| nine years later | 29,211 | 28,035 | | | | | | | | | |
| ten years later | 29,674 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total net (deficiency) or redundancy | \$ (6,350) | \$ (7,276) | \$ (8,030) | \$ (6,321) | \$ (6,540) | \$ (3,623) | \$ (2,690) | \$ (1,152) | \$ (325) | \$ 7 | \$ 7 |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----|
| Reconciliation to gross | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Estimated reserves: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net reserves | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Estimated | \$ 29,674 | \$ 28,035 | \$ 27,207 | \$ 24,267 | \$ 21,769 | \$ 21,060 | \$ 20,212 | \$ 21,408 | \$ 21,706 | \$ 21,463 | \$ |
| Estimated ceded | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recoverable | 8,178 | 10,673 | 11,458 | 16,965 | 16,313 | 14,709 | 13,576 | 10,935 | 8,622 | 7,277 | |
| Total gross | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Estimated reserves | \$ 37,852 | \$ 38,708 | \$ 38,665 | \$ 41,232 | \$ 38,082 | \$ 35,769 | \$ 33,788 | \$ 32,343 | \$ 30,328 | \$ 28,740 | \$ |
| Net (deficiency) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Redundancy related to: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asbestos claims | \$ (2,152) | \$ (1,576) | \$ (1,511) | \$ (739) | \$ (748) | \$ (98) | \$ (43) | \$ (34) | \$ (32) | \$ (27) | \$ |
| Environmental claims | (616) | (616) | (559) | (212) | (207) | (134) | (134) | (83) | (84) | (83) | |
| Total asbestos and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental | (2,768) | (2,192) | (2,070) | (951) | (955) | (232) | (177) | (117) | (116) | (110) | |
| Other claims | (3,582) | (5,084) | (5,960) | (5,370) | (5,585) | (3,391) | (2,513) | (1,035) | (209) | 117 | |
| Total net (deficiency) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Redundancy | \$ (6,350) | \$ (7,276) | \$ (8,030) | \$ (6,321) | \$ (6,540) | \$ (3,623) | \$ (2,690) | \$ (1,152) | \$ (325) | \$ 7 | \$ |

- (a) Ceded recoverable includes reserves transferred under retroactive reinsurance agreements of \$784 million as of December 31, 1999.

- (b) Effective January 1, 2001, we established a new life insurance company, CNA Group Life Assurance Company (CNAGLA). Further, on January 1, 2001 \$1,055 million of reserves were transferred from CCC to CNAGLA.

- (c) Effective October 31, 2002, we sold CNA Reinsurance Company Limited. As a result of the sale, net reserves were reduced by \$1,316 million.

Additional information regarding our property and casualty claim and claim adjustment expense reserves and reserve development is set forth in the MD&A included under Item 7 and in Notes A and F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Investments

Information on our investments is set forth in the MD&A included under Item 7 and in Notes A, B, C and D of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Available Information

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other documents with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including CNA, that file electronically with the SEC. The public can obtain any documents that we file with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

We also make available free of charge on or through our internet website (<http://www.cna.com>) our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Copies of these reports may also be obtained, free of charge, upon written request to: CNA Financial Corporation, 333 S. Wabash Avenue, Chicago, IL 60604, Attn. Jonathan D. Kantor, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business faces many risks. We have described below some of the more significant risks which we face. There may be additional risks that we do not yet know of or that we do not currently perceive to be significant that may also impact our business. Each of the risks and uncertainties described below could lead to events or circumstances that have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition or equity. You should carefully consider and evaluate all of the information included in this Report and any subsequent reports we may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission or make available to the public before investing in any securities we issue.

We may continue to incur significant realized and unrealized investment losses and volatility in net investment income arising from the severe disruption in the capital and credit markets.

We maintain a large portfolio of fixed income and equity securities, including large amounts of corporate and government issued debt securities, collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), asset-backed and other structured securities, equity and equity-based securities and investments in limited partnerships which pursue a variety of long and short investment strategies across a broad array of asset classes. Our investment portfolio supports our obligation to pay future insurance claims and provides investment returns which are an important part of our overall profitability. For more than a year, capital and credit markets have experienced severe levels of volatility, illiquidity, uncertainty and overall disruption. Despite government intervention, market conditions have led to the merger or failure of a number of prominent financial institutions and government sponsored entities, sharply increased unemployment and reduced economic activity. In addition, significant declines in the value of assets and securities that began with the residential sub-prime mortgage crisis have spread to nearly all classes of investments, including most of those held in our investment portfolio. As a result, during 2008 we incurred significant realized and unrealized losses in our investment portfolio and experienced substantial declines in our net investment income which have materially adversely impacted our results of operations and equity.

In addition, certain categories of our investments are particularly subject to significant exposures in the current market environment. Although we normally expect limited partnership investments to provide higher returns over time, since 2008, they have presented greater risk, greater volatility and higher illiquidity than our fixed income investments. Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) also present greater risks due to the credit deterioration in the commercial real estate market. Notably, even senior tranches of CMBS have experienced significant price erosion due to market concerns involving the valuation and credit performance of commercial real estate. If these economic and market conditions persist, we may continue to experience reduced investment income and to incur substantial additional realized and unrealized losses on our investments. As a result, our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings could be materially adversely impacted. Additional information on our investment portfolio is included in the MD&A under Item 7 and Note B to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

We may continue to incur underwriting losses as a result of the global economic crisis.

Overall global economic conditions may continue to be recessionary and highly unfavorable. Although many lines of our business have both direct and indirect exposure to this economic crisis, the exposure is especially high for the lines of business that provide management and professional liability insurance, as well as surety bonds, to businesses engaged in real estate, financial services and professional services. As a result, we have experienced and may continue to experience unanticipated underwriting losses with respect to these lines of business. Additionally, we could experience declines in our premium volume and related insurance losses. Consequently, our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings could be adversely impacted.

Our valuation of investments and impairment of securities requires significant judgment.

Our investment portfolio is exposed to various risks such as interest rate, market and credit risks, many of which are unpredictable. We exercise significant judgment in analyzing these risks and in validating fair values provided by third parties for securities in our investment portfolio that are not regularly traded. We also exercise significant judgment in determining whether the impairment of particular investments is temporary or other-than-temporary. Securities with exposure to sub-prime residential mortgage collateral or Alternative A (Alt-A) collateral are particularly sensitive to fairly small changes in actual collateral performance and assumptions as to future collateral

performance.

During 2008, we incurred significant unrealized losses in our investment portfolio. In addition, we recorded significant other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds, asset-backed bonds and non-redeemable preferred equity securities sectors.

Due to the inherent uncertainties involved with these types of judgments, we may incur further unrealized losses and conclude that further other-than-temporary write downs of our investments are required. As a result, our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings could be materially adversely impacted. Additional information on our investment portfolio is included in the MD&A under Item 7 and Note B to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

We are unable to predict the impact on us of governmental efforts taken and proposed to be taken in response to the economic and credit crisis.

The Federal government has implemented various measures, including the establishment of the Troubled Assets Relief Program pursuant to the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, in an effort to deal with the ongoing economic and credit crisis. In addition, there are numerous proposals for further legislative and regulatory actions at both the Federal and state levels, particularly with respect to the financial services industry. Since these new laws and regulations could involve critical matters affecting our operations, they may have an impact on our business and our overall financial condition. Due to this significant uncertainty, we are unable to determine whether our actions in response to these governmental efforts will be effective or to predict with any certainty the overall impact these governmental efforts will have on us. As a result, our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings could be materially adversely impacted.

We may continue to incur significant losses from our investments in financial institutions.

Our investment portfolio includes preferred stock and hybrid debt securities issued by banks and other financial institutions. To date, government sponsored efforts to recapitalize the financial system both in the United States, as well as overseas, have been inconsistent and unpredictable. The uncertainty surrounding these efforts and their potential impact on existing financial institution securities has caused these securities to experience adverse price movement and rating agency downgrades. If this uncertainty continues or if regulatory decisions negatively affect our investments in financial institutions, we may continue to incur significant losses in our investment portfolio. As a result, our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings could be materially adversely impacted. Additional information on our investment portfolio is included in the MD&A under Item 7 and Note B to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Rating agencies may downgrade their ratings of us and thereby adversely affect our ability to write insurance at competitive rates or at all.

Ratings are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. Our insurance company subsidiaries, as well as our public debt, are rated by rating agencies, namely, A.M. Best Company (A.M. Best), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) and Standard & Poor's. Ratings reflect the rating agency's opinions of an insurance company's financial strength, capital adequacy, operating performance, strategic position and ability to meet its obligations to policyholders and debtholders.

Due to the intense competitive environment in which we operate, the severe disruption in the capital and credit markets, the uncertainty in determining reserves and the potential for us to take material unfavorable development in the future, and possible changes in the methodology or criteria applied by the rating agencies, the rating agencies may take action to lower our ratings in the future. If our property and casualty insurance financial strength ratings are downgraded below current levels, our business and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. The severity of the impact on our business is dependent on the level of downgrade and, for certain products, which rating agency takes the rating action. Among the adverse effects in the event of such downgrades would be the inability to obtain a material volume of business from certain major insurance brokers, the inability to sell a material volume of our insurance products to certain markets, and the required collateralization of certain future payment obligations or reserves. Recently, Moody's and A.M. Best have revised their outlook on us from stable to negative.

In addition, it is possible that a lowering of the debt ratings of Loews by certain of the rating agencies could result in an adverse impact on our ratings, independent of any change in our circumstances. We have entered into several settlement agreements and assumed reinsurance contracts that require collateralization of future

payment obligations and assumed reserves if our ratings or other specific criteria fall below certain thresholds. The ratings triggers are generally more than one level below our current ratings. Additional information on our ratings and ratings triggers is included in the MD&A under Item 7.

We are subject to extensive federal, state and local governmental regulations that restrict our ability to do business and generate revenues.

The insurance industry is subject to comprehensive and detailed regulation and supervision throughout the United States. Most insurance regulations are designed to protect the interests of our policyholders rather than our investors. Each state in which we do business has established supervisory agencies that regulate the manner in which we do business. Their regulations relate to, among other things, the following:

standards of solvency including risk-based capital measurements;

restrictions on the nature, quality and concentration of investments;

restrictions on our ability to withdraw from unprofitable lines of insurance or unprofitable market areas;

the required use of certain methods of accounting and reporting;

the establishment of reserves for unearned premiums, losses and other purposes;

potential assessments for funds necessary to settle covered claims against impaired, insolvent or failed private or quasi-governmental insurers;

licensing of insurers and agents;

approval of policy forms;

limitations on the ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends to us; and

limitations on the ability to non-renew, cancel or change terms and conditions in policies.

Regulatory powers also extend to premium rate regulations which require that rates not be excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory. The states in which we do business also require us to provide coverage to persons whom we would not otherwise consider eligible. Each state dictates the types of insurance and the level of coverage that must be provided to such involuntary risks. Our share of these involuntary risks is mandatory and generally a function of our respective share of the voluntary market by line of insurance in each state.

Any of these regulations could materially adversely affect our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings.

We are subject to capital adequacy requirements and, if we are unable to maintain or raise sufficient capital to meet these requirements, regulatory agencies may restrict or prohibit us from operating our business.

Insurance companies such as us are subject to risk-based capital standards set by state regulators to help identify companies that merit further regulatory attention. These standards apply specified risk factors to various asset, premium and reserve components of our statutory capital and surplus reported in our statutory basis of accounting financial statements. Current rules require companies to maintain statutory capital and surplus at a specified minimum level determined using the risk-based capital formula. If we do not meet these minimum requirements, state regulators may restrict or prohibit us from operating our business. If we are required to record a material charge against earnings in connection with a change in estimates or circumstances, we may violate these minimum capital adequacy requirements unless we are able to raise sufficient additional capital. Examples of events leading us to record a material charge against earnings include impairment of our investments or unexpectedly poor claims experience.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we took several actions to replenish our capital position and bolster the statutory surplus of our operating insurance subsidiaries. One of these actions was the November 7, 2008 purchase by Loews of

12,500 shares of our non-voting cumulative preferred stock (2008 Senior Preferred) for \$1.25 billion. Loews, which owned approximately 90% of our outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2008, has also provided us with substantial amounts of capital in prior years. Given the ongoing turmoil in the capital and credit markets, we may be limited in our ability to raise significant amounts of capital on favorable terms or at all. In addition, Loews may be restricted in its ability or willingness to provide additional capital support to us. As a result, if we are in need of additional capital, we may be required to attempt to secure this funding from sources other than Loews on terms that are not favorable.

Our insurance subsidiaries, upon whom we depend for dividends in order to fund our working capital needs, are limited by state regulators in their ability to pay dividends.

We are a holding company and are dependent upon dividends, loans and other sources of cash from our subsidiaries in order to meet our obligations. Dividend payments, however, must be approved by the subsidiaries' domiciliary state departments of insurance and are generally limited to amounts determined by formula which varies by state. The formula for the majority of the states is the greater of 10% of the prior year statutory surplus or the prior year statutory net income, less the aggregate of all dividends paid during the twelve months prior to the date of payment. Some states, however, have an additional stipulation that dividends cannot exceed the prior year's earned surplus. If we are restricted, by regulatory rule or otherwise, from paying or receiving inter-company dividends, we may not be able to fund our working capital needs and debt service requirements from available cash. As a result, we would need to look to other sources of capital which may be more expensive or may not be available at all.

If we determine that loss reserves are insufficient to cover our estimated ultimate unpaid liability for claims, we may need to increase our loss reserves.

We maintain loss reserves to cover our estimated ultimate unpaid liability for claims and claim adjustment expenses for reported and unreported claims and for future policy benefits. Reserves represent our best estimate at a given point in time. Insurance reserves are not an exact calculation of liability but instead are complex estimates derived by us, generally utilizing a variety of reserve estimation techniques from numerous assumptions and expectations about future events, many of which are highly uncertain, such as estimates of claims severity, frequency of claims, mortality, morbidity, expected interest rates, inflation, claims handling, case reserving policies and procedures, underwriting and pricing policies, changes in the legal and regulatory environment and the lag time between the occurrence of an insured event and the time of its ultimate settlement. Many of these uncertainties are not precisely quantifiable and require significant judgment on our part. As trends in underlying claims develop, particularly in so-called "long tail" or long duration coverages, we are sometimes required to add to our reserves. This is called unfavorable development and results in a charge to our earnings in the amount of the added reserves, recorded in the period the change in estimate is made. These charges can be substantial and can have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and equity. Additional information on our reserves is included in the MD&A under Item 7 and Note F to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

We are subject to the uncertain effects of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise as industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change. These issues have had, and may continue to have, a negative effect on our business by either extending coverage beyond the original underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims, resulting in further increases in our reserves which can have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and equity. The effects of these and other unforeseen emerging claim and coverage issues are extremely hard to predict. Examples of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues include:

- increases in the number and size of claims relating to injuries from medical products;

- the effects of accounting and financial reporting scandals and other major corporate governance failures, which have resulted in an increase in the number and size of claims, including director and officer and errors and omissions insurance claims;

- class action litigation relating to claims handling and other practices;

- construction defect claims, including claims for a broad range of additional insured endorsements on policies;

- clergy abuse claims, including passage of legislation to reopen or extend various statutes of limitations; and

- mass tort claims, including bodily injury claims related to silica, welding rods, benzene, lead and various other chemical exposure claims.

In light of the many uncertainties associated with establishing the estimates and making the assumptions necessary to establish reserve levels, we review and change our reserve estimates in a regular and ongoing

process as experience develops and further claims are reported and settled. In addition, we periodically undergo state regulatory financial examinations, including review and analysis of our reserves. If estimated reserves are insufficient for any reason, the required increase in reserves would be recorded as a charge against our earnings for the period in which reserves are determined to be insufficient. These charges could be substantial and could materially adversely affect our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings.

Loss reserves for asbestos and environmental pollution are especially difficult to estimate and may result in more frequent and larger additions to these reserves.

Our experience has been that establishing reserves for casualty coverages relating to asbestos and environmental pollution (which we refer to as A&E) claim and claim adjustment expenses are subject to uncertainties that are greater than those presented by other claims. Estimating the ultimate cost of both reported and unreported claims are subject to a higher degree of variability due to a number of additional factors including, among others, the following:

coverage issues including whether certain costs are covered under the policies and whether policy limits apply;

inconsistent court decisions and developing legal theories;

continuing aggressive tactics of plaintiffs' lawyers;

the risks and lack of predictability inherent in major litigation;

changes in the volume of asbestos and environmental pollution claims;

the impact of the exhaustion of primary limits and the resulting increase in claims on any umbrella or excess policies we have issued;

the number and outcome of direct actions against us;

our ability to recover reinsurance for these claims; and

changes in the legal and legislative environment in which we operate.

As a result of this higher degree of variability, we have necessarily supplemented traditional actuarial methods and techniques with additional estimating techniques and methodologies, many of which involve significant judgment on our part. Consequently, we may periodically need to record changes in our claim and claim adjustment expense reserves in the future in these areas in amounts that could materially adversely affect our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings. Additional information on A&E claims is included in the MD&A under Item 7 and Note F to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Asbestos claims. The estimation of reserves for asbestos claims is particularly difficult in light of the factors noted above. In addition, our ability to estimate the ultimate cost of asbestos claims is further complicated by the following:

inconsistency of court decisions and jury attitudes, as well as future court decisions;

interpretation of specific policy provisions;

allocation of liability among insurers and insureds;

missing policies and proof of coverage;

the proliferation of bankruptcy proceedings and attendant uncertainties;

novel theories asserted by policyholders and their legal counsel;

the targeting of a broader range of businesses and entities as defendants;

uncertainties in predicting the number of future claims and which other insureds may be targeted in the future;

volatility in claim numbers and settlement demands;

increases in the number of non-impaired claimants and the extent to which they can be precluded from making claims;

the efforts by insureds to obtain coverage that is not subject to aggregate limits;

the long latency period between asbestos exposure and disease manifestation, as well as the resulting potential for involvement of multiple policy periods for individual claims;

medical inflation trends;

the mix of asbestos-related diseases presented; and

the ability to recover reinsurance.

In addition, a number of our insureds have asserted that their claims for insurance are not subject to aggregate limits on coverage. If these insureds are successful in this regard, our potential liability for their claims would be unlimited. Some of these insureds contend that their asbestos claims fall within the so-called non-products liability coverage within their policies, rather than the products liability coverage, and that this non-products liability coverage is not subject to any aggregate limit. It is difficult to predict the extent to which these claims will succeed and, as a result, the ultimate size of these claims.

Environmental pollution claims. The estimation of reserves for environmental pollution claims is complicated by liability and coverage issues arising from these claims. We and others in the insurance industry are disputing coverage for many such claims. In addition to the coverage issues noted in the asbestos claims section above, key coverage issues in environmental pollution claims include the following:

whether cleanup costs are considered damages under the policies (and accordingly whether we would be liable for these costs);

the trigger of coverage and the allocation of liability among triggered policies;

the applicability of pollution exclusions and owned property exclusions;

the potential for joint and several liability; and

the definition of an occurrence.

To date, courts have been inconsistent in their rulings on these issues, thus adding to the uncertainty of the outcome of many of these claims.

Further, the scope of federal and state statutes and regulations determining liability and insurance coverage for environmental pollution liabilities have been the subject of extensive litigation. In many cases, courts have expanded the scope of coverage and liability for cleanup costs beyond the original intent of our insurance policies. Additionally, the standards for cleanup in environmental pollution matters are unclear, the number of sites potentially subject to cleanup under applicable laws is unknown, and the impact of various proposals to reform existing statutes and regulations is difficult to predict.

We may suffer losses from non-routine litigation and arbitration matters which may exceed the reserves we have established.

We face substantial risks of litigation and arbitration beyond ordinary course claims and A&E matters, which may contain assertions in excess of amounts covered by reserves that we have established. These matters may be difficult to assess or quantify and may seek recovery of very large or indeterminate amounts that include punitive or treble damages. Accordingly, unfavorable results in these proceedings could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings.

Additional information on litigation is included in Notes F and G to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Catastrophe losses are unpredictable.

Catastrophe losses are an inevitable part of our business. Various events can cause catastrophe losses, including hurricanes, windstorms, earthquakes, hail, explosions, severe winter weather, and fires, and their frequency and severity are inherently unpredictable. In addition, longer-term natural catastrophe trends may be changing and new types of catastrophe losses may be developing due to climate change, a phenomenon that has been

associated with extreme weather events linked to rising temperatures, and includes effects on global weather patterns, greenhouse gases, sea, land and air temperatures, sea levels, rain, and snow. The extent of our losses from catastrophes is a function of both the total amount of our insured exposures in the affected areas and the severity of the events themselves. In addition, as in the case of catastrophe losses generally, it can take a long time for the ultimate cost to us to be finally determined. As our claim experience develops on a particular catastrophe, we may be required to adjust our reserves, or take unfavorable development, to reflect our revised estimates of the total cost of claims. We believe we could incur significant catastrophe losses in the future. Therefore, our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings could be materially adversely impacted. Additional information on catastrophe losses is included in the MD&A under Item 7 and Note F to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Our key assumptions used to determine reserves and deferred acquisition costs for our long term care product offerings could vary significantly from actual experience.

Our reserves and deferred acquisition costs for our long term care product offerings are based on certain key assumptions including morbidity, which is the frequency and severity of illness, sickness and diseases contracted, policy persistency, which is the percentage of policies remaining in force, interest rates and future health care cost trends. If actual experience differs from these assumptions, the deferred acquisition asset may not be fully realized and the reserves may not be adequate, requiring us to add to reserves, or take unfavorable development. Therefore, our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings could be materially adversely impacted.

We continue to face exposure to losses arising from terrorist acts, despite the passage of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007.

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 extended, until December 31, 2014, the program established within the U.S. Department of Treasury by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002. This program requires insurers to offer terrorism coverage and the federal government to share in insured losses arising from acts of terrorism. Given the unpredictability of the nature, targets, severity and frequency of potential terrorist acts, this program does not provide complete protection for future losses derived from acts of terrorism. Further, the laws of certain states restrict our ability to mitigate this residual exposure. For example, some states mandate property insurance coverage of damage from fire following a loss, thereby prohibiting us from excluding terrorism exposure. In addition, some states generally prohibit us from excluding terrorism exposure from our primary workers' compensation policies. Consequently, there is substantial uncertainty as to our ability to contain our terrorism exposure effectively since we continue to issue forms of coverage, in particular, workers' compensation, that are exposed to risk of loss from a terrorism act. As a result, our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings could be materially adversely impacted by terrorist act losses.

Our premium writings and profitability are affected by the availability and cost of reinsurance.

We purchase reinsurance to help manage our exposure to risk. Under our reinsurance arrangements, another insurer assumes a specified portion of our claim and claim adjustment expenses in exchange for a specified portion of policy premiums. Market conditions determine the availability and cost of the reinsurance protection we purchase, which affects the level of our business and profitability, as well as the level and types of risk we retain. If we are unable to obtain sufficient reinsurance at a cost we deem acceptable, we may be unwilling to bear the increased risk and would reduce the level of our underwriting commitments. Therefore, our financial results of operations could be materially adversely impacted. Additional information on reinsurance is included in Note H to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

We may not be able to collect amounts owed to us by reinsurers.

We have significant amounts recoverable from reinsurers which are reported as receivables in our balance sheets and are estimated in a manner consistent with claim and claim adjustment expense reserves or future policy benefits reserves. The ceding of insurance does not, however, discharge our primary liability for claims. As a result, we are subject to credit risk relating to our ability to recover amounts due from reinsurers. Certain of our reinsurance carriers have experienced deteriorating financial conditions or have been downgraded by rating agencies. A continuation or worsening of the current highly unfavorable global economic conditions, along with the severe disruptions in the

capital and credit markets, could similarly impact all of our reinsurers. In addition, reinsurers could dispute amounts which we believe are due to us. If we are not able to collect the amounts due to us from reinsurers, our claims expenses will be higher which could materially adversely affect

our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings. Additional information on reinsurance is included in Note H to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

We face intense competition in our industry and may be adversely affected by the cyclical nature of the property and casualty business.

All aspects of the insurance industry are highly competitive and we must continuously allocate resources to refine and improve our insurance products and services. We compete with a large number of stock and mutual insurance companies and other entities for both distributors and customers. Insurers compete on the basis of factors including products, price, services, ratings and financial strength. We may lose business to competitors offering competitive insurance products at lower prices. The property and casualty market is cyclical and has experienced periods characterized by relatively high levels of price competition, less restrictive underwriting standards and relatively low premium rates, followed by periods of relatively lower levels of competition, more selective underwriting standards and relatively high premium rates. As a result, our premium levels, expense ratio, results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings could be materially adversely impacted.

We are dependent on a small number of key executives and other key personnel to operate our business successfully.

Our success substantially depends upon our ability to attract and retain high quality key executives and other employees. We believe there are only a limited number of available qualified executives in the business lines in which we compete. We rely substantially upon the services of our executive officers to implement our business strategy. The loss of the services of any members of our management team or the inability to attract and retain other talented personnel could impede the implementation of our business strategies. We do not maintain key man life insurance policies with respect to any of our employees.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The 333 S. Wabash Avenue building, located in Chicago, Illinois and owned by CCC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of CNAF, serves as our home office. Our subsidiaries own or lease office space in various cities throughout the United States and in other countries. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our principal office locations.

| Location | Amount (Square Feet) of Building Owned and Occupied or Leased and Occupied by CNA | Principal Usage |
|--|--|---|
| 333 S. Wabash Avenue, Chicago, Illinois | 845,567 | Principal executive offices of CNAF |
| 401 Penn Street, Reading, Pennsylvania | 170,143 | Property and casualty insurance offices |
| 2405 Lucien Way, Maitland, Florida | 124,946 | Property and casualty insurance offices |
| 40 Wall Street, New York, New York | 107,607 | Property and casualty insurance offices |
| 1100 Ward Avenue, Honolulu, Hawaii | 104,478 | Property and casualty insurance offices |
| 101 S. Phillips Avenue, Sioux Falls, South Dakota | 81,101 | Property and casualty insurance offices |
| 600 N. Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas | 72,240 | Property and casualty insurance offices |
| 4267 Meridian Parkway, Aurora, Illinois | 70,004 | Data Center |
| 675 Placentia Avenue, Brea, California | 64,939 | Property and casualty insurance offices |
| 1249 South River Road, Cranbury, New Jersey | 57,671 | Property and casualty insurance offices |

We lease the office space described above except for the Chicago, Illinois building, the Reading, Pennsylvania building and the Aurora, Illinois building, which are owned. We consider that our properties are generally in good condition, are well maintained and are suitable and adequate to carry on our business.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Information on our legal proceedings is set forth in Notes F and G of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the Chicago Stock Exchange and is traded on the Nasdaq, under the symbol CNA.

As of February 20, 2009, we had 269,024,408 shares of common stock outstanding. Approximately 90% of our outstanding common stock is owned by Loews. We had 1,902 stockholders of record as of February 20, 2009 according to the records maintained by our transfer agent.

In November 2008, we issued and Loews purchased \$1.25 billion of CNAF non-voting cumulative senior preferred stock, designated the 2008 Senior Preferred Stock (2008 Senior Preferred). The terms of the 2008 Senior Preferred were approved by a special review committee of independent members of CNAF's Board of Directors. No dividends may be declared on our common stock or any future preferred stock while the 2008 Senior Preferred is outstanding. As such, we have suspended our quarterly common stock dividend payment. We paid \$19 million on December 31, 2008, representing the first quarterly dividend payment on the 2008 Senior Preferred. See Note L of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 for further details on the 2008 Senior Preferred.

Our Board of Directors has approved an authorization to purchase, in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions, our outstanding common stock, as our management deems appropriate. In the first quarter of 2008, we repurchased a total of 2,649,621 shares at an average price of \$26.53 (including commission) per share. Under the terms of the 2008 Senior Preferred discussed above, common stock repurchases are prohibited while the 2008 Senior Preferred is outstanding. No shares of common stock were purchased during 2007.

The table below shows the high and low sales prices for our common stock based on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transactions.

Common Stock Information

| | 2008 | | | 2007 | | |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| | High | Low | Dividends Declared | High | Low | Dividends Declared |
| Quarter: | | | | | | |
| First | \$35.04 | \$23.01 | \$0.15 | \$44.29 | \$39.09 | \$ |
| Second | 32.15 | 24.34 | 0.15 | 51.96 | 42.96 | 0.10 |
| Third | 30.61 | 21.88 | 0.15 | 49.18 | 37.12 | 0.10 |
| Fourth | 26.70 | 8.50 | | 41.84 | 32.26 | 0.15 |

The following graph compares the total return of our common stock, the Standard & Poor's (S&P) 500 Index and the S&P 500 Property & Casualty Insurance Index for the five year period from December 31, 2003 through December 31, 2008. The graph assumes that the value of the investment in our common stock and for each index was \$100 on December 31, 2003 and that dividends were reinvested.

Stock Price Performance Graph

| Company / Index | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| CNA Financial Corporation | 100.00 | 111.00 | 135.81 | 167.30 | 141.12 | 69.90 |
| S&P 500 Index | 100.00 | 110.88 | 116.33 | 134.70 | 142.10 | 89.53 |
| S&P 500 Property & Casualty Insurance Index | 100.00 | 110.42 | 127.11 | 143.47 | 123.44 | 87.13 |

19

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected financial data. The table should be read in conjunction with Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K.

Selected Financial Data**As of and for the Years Ended****December 31**

(In millions, except per share data)

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Results of Operations: | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 7,799 | \$ 9,885 | \$ 10,376 | \$ 9,862 | \$ 9,924 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (308) | \$ 857 | \$ 1,137 | \$ 243 | \$ 446 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 9 | (6) | (29) | 21 | (21) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (299) | \$ 851 | \$ 1,108 | \$ 264 | \$ 425 |
| Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (1.21) | \$ 3.15 | \$ 4.17 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 1.49 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.03 | (0.02) | (0.11) | 0.08 | (0.09) |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders | \$ (1.18) | \$ 3.13 | \$ 4.06 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 1.40 |
| Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (1.21) | \$ 3.15 | \$ 4.16 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 1.49 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.03 | (0.02) | (0.11) | 0.08 | (0.09) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders | \$ (1.18) | \$ 3.13 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 1.40 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.35 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Financial Condition: | | | | | |
| Total investments | \$ 35,003 | \$ 41,789 | \$ 44,096 | \$ 39,695 | \$ 39,231 |
| Total assets | 51,688 | 56,759 | 60,283 | 59,016 | 62,496 |
| Insurance reserves | 38,771 | 40,222 | 41,080 | 42,436 | 43,653 |
| Long and short term debt | 2,058 | 2,157 | 2,156 | 1,690 | 2,257 |
| Stockholders' equity | 6,877 | 10,150 | 9,768 | 8,950 | 8,974 |

| | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Book value per common share | \$ 20.92 | \$ 37.36 | \$ 36.03 | \$ 31.26 | \$ 31.63 |
| Statutory Surplus (preliminary): | | | | | |
| Property and casualty companies (a) | \$ 8,002 | \$ 8,511 | \$ 8,137 | \$ 6,940 | \$ 6,998 |
| Life company | 487 | 471 | 687 | 627 | 1,177 |

(a) Surplus includes the property and casualty companies equity ownership of the life company s capital and surplus.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Overview**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Item 1A Risk Factors, Item 6 Selected Financial Data and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K.

Index to this MD&A

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is comprised of the following sections:

| | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| Consolidated Operations | 22 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 25 |
| Reserves, Estimates and Uncertainties | 27 |
| Segment Results | 33 |
| Standard Lines | 34 |
| Specialty Lines | 37 |
| Life & Group Non-Core | 40 |
| Corporate & Other Non-Core | 41 |
| Asbestos and Environmental Pollution (A&E) Reserves | 42 |
| Investments | 48 |
| Net Investment Income | 48 |
| Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses) | 49 |
| Gross Unrealized Losses | 51 |
| Duration | 54 |
| Asset-Backed and Sub-prime Mortgage Exposure | 55 |
| Short Term Investments | 56 |
| Separate Accounts | 56 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 57 |
| Cash Flows | 57 |
| Common Stock Dividends | 58 |
| Share Repurchases | 58 |
| Liquidity | 58 |
| Commitments, Contingencies, and Guarantees | 59 |
| Ratings | 59 |
| Accounting Pronouncements | 60 |
| Forward-Looking Statements | 61 |

CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS**Results of Operations**

The following table includes the consolidated results of our operations. For more detailed components of our business operations and the net operating income financial measure, see the segment discussions within this MD&A.

Years ended December 31

(In millions, except per share data)

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Revenues | | | |
| Net earned premiums | \$ 7,151 | \$ 7,484 | \$ 7,603 |
| Net investment income | 1,619 | 2,433 | 2,412 |
| Other revenues | 326 | 279 | 275 |
| | | | |
| Total operating revenues | 9,096 | 10,196 | 10,290 |
| | | | |
| Claims, Benefits and Expenses | | | |
| Net incurred claims and benefits | 5,703 | 5,995 | 6,025 |
| Policyholders dividends | 20 | 14 | 22 |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | 1,467 | 1,520 | 1,534 |
| Other insurance related expenses | 694 | 733 | 757 |
| Restructuring and other related charges | | | (13) |
| Other expenses | 477 | 401 | 401 |
| | | | |
| Total claims, benefits and expenses | 8,361 | 8,663 | 8,726 |
| | | | |
| Operating income from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest | 735 | 1,533 | 1,564 |
| Income tax expense on operating income | (145) | (425) | (450) |
| Minority interest | (57) | (48) | (44) |
| | | | |
| Net operating income from continuing operations | 533 | 1,060 | 1,070 |
| | | | |
| Realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interests | (1,297) | (311) | 86 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit on realized investment gains (losses) | 456 | 108 | (19) |
| | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (308) | 857 | 1,137 |
| | | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$9, \$0 and \$7 | 9 | (6) | (29) |
| | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (299) | \$ 851 | \$ 1,108 |

Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share

| | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (1.21) | \$ 3.15 | \$ 4.17 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.03 | (0.02) | (0.11) |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders | \$ (1.18) | \$ 3.13 | \$ 4.06 |

Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share

| | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (1.21) | \$ 3.15 | \$ 4.16 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.03 | (0.02) | (0.11) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders | \$ (1.18) | \$ 3.13 | \$ 4.05 |

Weighted Average Outstanding Common Stock and Common Stock Equivalents

| | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Basic | 269.4 | 271.5 | 262.1 |
| Diluted | 269.4 | 271.8 | 262.3 |

2008 Compared with 2007

Net results decreased \$1,150 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. This decrease was due to higher net realized investment losses and decreased net operating income.

Net realized investment losses increased \$638 million in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase was primarily driven by higher impairment losses. See the Investment section of this MD&A for further discussion of net realized investment results.

Net operating income from continuing operations in 2008 decreased \$527 million as compared with 2007. The decrease was primarily due to lower net investment income, driven by limited partnership results, and higher catastrophe impacts. Net investment income included a decline in trading portfolio results of \$159 million, which was substantially offset by a corresponding decrease in the policyholders' funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income. The catastrophe impacts were \$239 million after-tax in 2008, as compared to catastrophe losses of \$51 million after-tax in 2007. Net operating income from continuing operations in 2007 included an after-tax loss of \$108 million in connection with the settlement of an arbitration proceeding (IGI Contingency), as discussed below.

Favorable net prior year development of \$80 million was recorded in 2008 related to our Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-core segments. This amount consisted of \$75 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$5 million of favorable premium development. Favorable net prior year development of \$73 million was recorded in 2007 related to our Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-core segments. This amount consisted of \$38 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$35 million of favorable premium development. Further information on Net Prior Year Development for 2008 and 2007 is included in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Net earned premiums decreased \$333 million in 2008 as compared with 2007, including a \$314 million decrease related to Standard Lines and a \$7 million decrease related to Specialty Lines. See the Segment Results section of this MD&A for further discussion.

Results from discontinued operations improved \$15 million in 2008 as compared to 2007. The 2008 results are primarily driven by the recognition in 2008 of a change in estimate of the tax benefit related to the 2007 sale of our United Kingdom discontinued operations subsidiary. The loss in 2007 was primarily driven by unfavorable net prior year development.

2007 Compared with 2006

Net income decreased \$257 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. This decrease was primarily due to decreased net realized investment results.

Net realized investment results decreased by \$270 million in 2007 compared with 2006. This decrease was primarily driven by higher impairment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net operating income from continuing operations in 2007 decreased \$10 million as compared with 2006. The decrease in net operating income primarily related to the after-tax loss of \$108 million related to the settlement of the IGI contingency as discussed in the Life & Group Non-core segment discussion of this MD&A and decreased current accident year underwriting results in our Standard and Specialty Lines segments. The decreased net operating income was partially offset by favorable net prior year development in 2007 as compared to unfavorable net prior year development in 2006 and increased net investment income. The increased net investment income included a decline of net investment income in the trading portfolio of \$93 million, a significant portion of which was offset by a corresponding decrease in the policyholders' funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio.

Favorable net prior year development of \$73 million was recorded in 2007 related to our Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-core segments. This amount consisted of \$38 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$35 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$172 million was recorded in 2006 related to our Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-core segments. This amount consisted of \$233 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$61 million of favorable premium development. Further information on Net Prior Year Development for 2007 and 2006 is included in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Net earned premiums decreased \$119 million in 2007 as compared with 2006, including a \$178 million decrease related to Standard Lines and a \$73 million increase related to Specialty Lines. See the Segment Results section of this MD&A for further discussion.

Results from discontinued operations improved \$23 million in 2007 as compared to 2006. The loss in 2007 was primarily driven by unfavorable net prior year development. Results in 2006 reflected a \$29 million impairment loss related to the 2007 sale of a portion of the run-off business. Further information on this impairment loss is included in Note P of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses reported during the period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Our Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with GAAP applied on a consistent basis. We continually evaluate the accounting policies and estimates used to prepare the Consolidated Financial Statements. In general, our estimates are based on historical experience, evaluation of current trends, information from third party professionals and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the known facts and circumstances.

The accounting estimates discussed below are considered by us to be critical to an understanding of our Consolidated Financial Statements as their application places the most significant demands on our judgment. Note A of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 should be read in conjunction with this section to assist with obtaining an understanding of the underlying accounting policies related to these estimates. Due to the inherent uncertainties involved with these types of judgments, actual results could differ significantly from estimates and may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations or equity.

Insurance Reserves

Insurance reserves are established for both short and long-duration insurance contracts. Short-duration contracts are primarily related to property and casualty insurance policies where the reserving process is based on actuarial estimates of the amount of loss, including amounts for known and unknown claims. Long-duration contracts typically include traditional life insurance, payout annuities and long term care products and are estimated using actuarial estimates about mortality, morbidity and persistency as well as assumptions about expected investment returns. The reserve for unearned premiums on property and casualty and accident and health contracts represents the portion of premiums written related to the unexpired terms of coverage. The inherent risks associated with the reserving process are discussed in the Reserves Estimates and Uncertainties section below.

Reinsurance

Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with claim and claim adjustment expense reserves or future policy benefits reserves and are reported as receivables in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The ceding of insurance does not discharge us of our primary liability under insurance contracts written by us. An exposure exists with respect to property and casualty and life reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer is unable to meet its obligations or disputes the liabilities assumed under reinsurance agreements. An estimated allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded on the basis of periodic evaluations of balances due from reinsurers, reinsurer solvency, our past experience and current economic conditions. Further information on our reinsurance program is included in Note H of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Valuation of Investments and Impairment of Securities

Invested assets are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, market and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the fair value of these assets, it is possible that changes in risks in the near term could have an adverse material impact on our results of operations or equity.

Our investment portfolio is subject to market declines below amortized cost that may be other-than-temporary. A significant judgment in the valuation of investments is the determination of when an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. We have an Impairment Committee, which reviews the investment portfolio on at least a quarterly basis, with ongoing analysis as new information becomes available. Any decline that is determined to be other-than-temporary is recorded as an other-than-temporary impairment loss in the results of operations in the period in which the determination occurred. Further information on our process for evaluating impairments is included in Note B of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Long Term Care Products

Reserves and deferred acquisition costs for our long term care products are based on certain assumptions including morbidity, policy persistency and interest rates. The recoverability of deferred acquisition costs and the adequacy of the reserves are contingent on actual experience related to these key assumptions and other factors such as future health care cost trends. If actual experience differs from these assumptions, the deferred acquisition costs may not be fully realized and the reserves may not be adequate, requiring us to add to reserves, or take unfavorable development. Therefore, our results of operations or equity could be adversely impacted.

Pension and Postretirement Benefit Obligations

We make a significant number of assumptions in estimating the liabilities and costs related to our pension and postretirement benefit obligations to employees under our benefit plans. The assumptions that most impact these costs are the discount rate, the expected return on plan assets and the rate of compensation increases. These assumptions are evaluated relative to current market factors such as inflation, interest rates and fiscal and monetary policies. Changes in these assumptions can have a material impact on pension obligations and pension expense.

To determine the discount rate assumption as of the year-end measurement date for our CNA Retirement Plan and CNA Retiree Health and Group Benefits Plan, we considered the estimated timing of plan benefit payments and available yields on high quality fixed income debt securities. For this purpose, high quality is considered a rating of Aa or better by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) or a rating of AA or better from Standard & Poor's (S&P). We reviewed several yield curves constructed using the cash flow characteristics of the plans as well as bond indices as of the measurement date. The year-over-year change of those data points was also considered. Based on this review, management determined that 6.30% and 6.30% were the appropriate discount rates as of December 31, 2008 to calculate our accrued pension and postretirement liabilities. Accordingly, the 6.30% and 6.30% rates will also be used to determine our 2009 pension and postretirement expense. At December 31, 2007, the discount rates used to calculate our accrued pension and postretirement liabilities were 6.00% and 5.875%.

We recorded a benefit of \$14 million in 2008 related to the CNA Retirement Plan and CNA Retiree Health and Group Benefits Plan. Based on our current assumptions and investment performance in 2008, our expense for the CNA Retirement Plan and the CNA Retiree Health and Group Benefits Plan will be approximately \$49 million for 2009. Further information on our pension and postretirement benefit obligations is included in Note J of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Legal Proceedings

We are involved in various legal proceedings that have arisen during the ordinary course of business. We evaluate the facts and circumstances of each situation, and when we determine it is necessary, a liability is estimated and recorded. Further information on our legal proceedings and related contingent liabilities is provided in Notes F and G of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Income Taxes

We account for taxes under the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial statement and tax return bases of assets and liabilities. Any resulting future tax benefits are recognized to the extent that realization of such benefits is more likely than not, and a valuation allowance is established for any portion of a deferred tax asset that management believes will not be realized. The assessment of the need for a valuation allowance requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future earnings, reversal of existing temporary differences and available tax planning strategies. If actual experience differs from these estimates and assumptions, the recorded deferred tax asset may not be fully realized resulting in an increase to income tax expense in our results of operations. In addition, the ability to record deferred tax assets in the future could be limited resulting in a higher effective tax rate in that future period.

Reserves - Estimates and Uncertainties

We maintain reserves to cover our estimated ultimate unpaid liability for claim and claim adjustment expenses, including the estimated cost of the claims adjudication process, for claims that have been reported but not yet settled (case reserves) and claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR). Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves are reflected as liabilities and are included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets under the heading Insurance Reserves. Adjustments to prior year reserve estimates, if necessary, are reflected in the results of operations in the period that the need for such adjustments is determined. The carried case and IBNR reserves are provided in the Segment Results section of this MD&A and in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

The level of reserves we maintain represents our best estimate, as of a particular point in time, of what the ultimate settlement and administration of claims will cost based on our assessment of facts and circumstances known at that time. Reserves are not an exact calculation of liability but instead are complex estimates that we derive, generally utilizing a variety of actuarial reserve estimation techniques, from numerous assumptions and expectations about future events, both internal and external, many of which are highly uncertain.

We are subject to the uncertain effects of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise as industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change. These issues have had, and may continue to have, a negative effect on our business by either extending coverage beyond the original underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. Examples of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues include:

- increases in the number and size of claims relating to injuries from medical products;

- the effects of accounting and financial reporting scandals and other major corporate governance failures, which have resulted in an increase in the number and size of claims, including directors and officers (D&O) and errors and omissions (E&O) insurance claims;

- class action litigation relating to claims handling and other practices;

- construction defect claims, including claims for a broad range of additional insured endorsements on policies;

- clergy abuse claims, including passage of legislation to reopen or extend various statutes of limitations; and

- mass tort claims, including bodily injury claims related to silica, welding rods, benzene, lead and various other chemical exposure claims.

Our experience has been that establishing reserves for casualty coverages relating to asbestos and environmental pollution (A&E) claim and claim adjustment expenses are subject to uncertainties that are greater than those presented by other claims. Estimating the ultimate cost of both reported and unreported A&E claims are subject to a higher degree of variability due to a number of additional factors, including among others:

- coverage issues, including whether certain costs are covered under the policies and whether policy limits apply;

- inconsistent court decisions and developing legal theories;

- continuing aggressive tactics of plaintiffs' lawyers;

- the risks and lack of predictability inherent in major litigation;

- changes in the volume of A&E claims;

- the impact of the exhaustion of primary limits and the resulting increase in claims on any umbrella or excess policies we have issued;

the number and outcome of direct actions against us; and
our ability to recover reinsurance for A&E claims.

27

It is also not possible to predict changes in the legal and legislative environment and the impact on the future development of A&E claims. This development will be affected by future court decisions and interpretations, as well as changes in applicable legislation. It is difficult to predict the ultimate outcome of large coverage disputes until settlement negotiations near completion and significant legal questions are resolved or, failing settlement, until the dispute is adjudicated. This is particularly the case with policyholders in bankruptcy where negotiations often involve a large number of claimants and other parties and require court approval to be effective. A further uncertainty exists as to whether a national privately financed trust to replace litigation of asbestos claims with payments to claimants from the trust will be established and approved through federal legislation, and, if established and approved, whether it will contain funding requirements in excess of our carried loss reserves.

Traditional actuarial methods and techniques employed to estimate the ultimate cost of claims for more traditional property and casualty exposures are less precise in estimating claim and claim adjustment reserves for A&E, particularly in an environment of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise from industry practices and legal, judicial and social conditions. Therefore, these traditional actuarial methods and techniques are necessarily supplemented with additional estimation techniques and methodologies, many of which involve significant judgments that are required of management. For A&E, we regularly monitor our exposures, including reviews of loss activity, regulatory developments and court rulings. In addition, we perform a comprehensive ground up analysis on our exposures annually. Our actuaries, in conjunction with our specialized claim unit, use various modeling techniques to estimate our overall exposure to known accounts. We use this information and additional modeling techniques to develop loss distributions and claim reporting patterns to determine reserves for accounts that will report A&E exposure in the future. Estimating the average claim size requires analysis of the impact of large losses and claim cost trend based on changes in the cost of repairing or replacing property, changes in the cost of legal fees, judicial decisions, legislative changes, and other factors. Due to the inherent uncertainties in estimating reserves for A&E claim and claim adjustment expenses and the degree of variability due to, among other things, the factors described above, we may be required to record material changes in our claim and claim adjustment expense reserves in the future, should new information become available or other developments emerge. See the A&E Reserves section of this MD&A and Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 for additional information relating to A&E claims and reserves.

The impact of these and other unforeseen emerging or potential claims and coverage issues is difficult to predict and could materially adversely affect the adequacy of our claim and claim adjustment expense reserves and could lead to future reserve additions. See the Segment Results sections of this MD&A and Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 for a discussion of changes in reserve estimates and the impact on our results of operations.

Establishing Reserve Estimates

In developing claim and claim adjustment expense (loss or losses) reserve estimates, our actuaries perform detailed reserve analyses that are staggered throughout the year. The data is organized at a product level. A product can be a line of business covering a subset of insureds such as commercial automobile liability for small and middle market customers, it can encompass several lines of business provided to a specific set of customers such as dentists, or it can be a particular type of claim such as construction defect. Every product is analyzed at least once during the year, and many products are analyzed multiple times. The analyses generally review losses gross of ceded reinsurance and apply the ceded reinsurance terms to the gross estimates to establish estimates net of reinsurance. In addition to the detailed analyses, we review actual loss emergence for all products each quarter.

The detailed analyses use a variety of generally accepted actuarial methods and techniques to produce a number of estimates of ultimate loss. Our actuaries determine a point estimate of ultimate loss by reviewing the various estimates and assigning weight to each estimate given the characteristics of the product being reviewed. The reserve estimate is the difference between the estimated ultimate loss and the losses paid to date. The difference between the estimated ultimate loss and the case incurred loss (paid loss plus case reserve) is IBNR. IBNR calculated as such includes a provision for development on known cases (supplemental development) as well as a provision for claims that have occurred but have not yet been reported (pure IBNR).

Most of our business can be characterized as long-tail. For long-tail business, it will generally be several years between the time the business is written and the time when all claims are settled. Our long-tail exposures include commercial automobile liability, workers' compensation, general liability, medical malpractice, other professional liability coverages, assumed reinsurance run-off and products liability. Short-tail exposures include property, commercial automobile physical damage, marine and warranty. Each of our property/casualty segments, Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-Core, contain both long-tail and short-tail exposures.

The methods used to project ultimate loss for both long-tail and short-tail exposures include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Paid Development,
- Incurred Development,
- Loss Ratio,
- Bornhuetter-Ferguson Using Premiums and Paid Loss,
- Bornhuetter-Ferguson Using Premiums and Incurred Loss, and
- Average Loss.

The paid development method estimates ultimate losses by reviewing paid loss patterns and applying them to accident years with further expected changes in paid loss. Selection of the paid loss pattern requires analysis of several factors including the impact of inflation on claims costs, the rate at which claims professionals make claim payments and close claims, the impact of judicial decisions, the impact of underwriting changes, the impact of large claim payments and other factors. Claim cost inflation itself requires evaluation of changes in the cost of repairing or replacing property, changes in the cost of medical care, changes in the cost of wage replacement, judicial decisions, legislative changes and other factors. Because this method assumes that losses are paid at a consistent rate, changes in any of these factors can impact the results. Since the method does not rely on case reserves, it is not directly influenced by changes in the adequacy of case reserves.

For many products, paid loss data for recent periods may be too immature or erratic for accurate predictions. This situation often exists for long-tail exposures. In addition, changes in the factors described above may result in inconsistent payment patterns. Finally, estimating the paid loss pattern subsequent to the most mature point available in the data analyzed often involves considerable uncertainty for long-tail products such as workers' compensation.

The incurred development method is similar to the paid development method, but it uses case incurred losses instead of paid losses. Since the method uses more data (case reserves in addition to paid losses) than the paid development method, the incurred development patterns may be less variable than paid patterns. However, selection of the incurred loss pattern requires analysis of all of the factors above. In addition, the inclusion of case reserves can lead to distortions if changes in case reserving practices have taken place, and the use of case incurred losses may not eliminate the issues associated with estimating the incurred loss pattern subsequent to the most mature point available.

The loss ratio method multiplies premiums by an expected loss ratio to produce ultimate loss estimates for each accident year. This method may be useful if loss development patterns are inconsistent, losses emerge very slowly, or there is relatively little loss history from which to estimate future losses. The selection of the expected loss ratio requires analysis of loss ratios from earlier accident years or pricing studies and analysis of inflationary trends, frequency trends, rate changes, underwriting changes, and other applicable factors.

The Bornhuetter-Ferguson using premiums and paid loss method is a combination of the paid development approach and the loss ratio approach. The method normally determines expected loss ratios similar to the approach used to estimate the expected loss ratio for the loss ratio method and requires analysis of the same factors described above. The method assumes that only future losses will develop at the expected loss ratio level. The percent of paid loss to ultimate loss implied from the paid development method is used to determine what percentage of ultimate loss is yet to be paid. The use of the pattern from the paid

development method requires consideration of all factors listed in the description of the paid development method. The estimate of losses yet to be paid is added to current paid losses to estimate the ultimate loss for each year. This method will react very slowly if actual ultimate loss ratios are different from expectations due to changes not accounted for by the expected loss ratio calculation.

The Bornhuetter-Ferguson using premiums and incurred loss method is similar to the Bornhuetter-Ferguson using premiums and paid loss method except that it uses case incurred losses. The use of case incurred losses instead of paid losses can result in development patterns that are less variable than paid patterns. However, the inclusion of case reserves can lead to distortions if changes in case reserving have taken place, and the method requires analysis of all the factors that need to be reviewed for the loss ratio and incurred development methods.

The average loss method multiplies a projected number of ultimate claims by an estimated ultimate average loss for each accident year to produce ultimate loss estimates. Since projections of the ultimate number of claims are often less variable than projections of ultimate loss, this method can provide more reliable results for products where loss development patterns are inconsistent or too variable to be relied on exclusively. In addition, this method can more directly account for changes in coverage that impact the number and size of claims. However, this method can be difficult to apply to situations where very large claims or a substantial number of unusual claims result in volatile average claim sizes. Projecting the ultimate number of claims requires analysis of several factors including the rate at which policyholders report claims to us, the impact of judicial decisions, the impact of underwriting changes and other factors. Estimating the ultimate average loss requires analysis of the impact of large losses and claim cost trend based on changes in the cost of repairing or replacing property, changes in the cost of medical care, changes in the cost of wage replacement, judicial decisions, legislative changes and other factors.

For other more complex products where the above methods may not produce reliable indications, we use additional methods tailored to the characteristics of the specific situation. Such products include construction defect losses and A&E.

For construction defect losses, our actuaries organize losses by report year. Report year groups claims by the year in which they were reported. To estimate losses from claims that have not been reported, various extrapolation techniques are applied to the pattern of claims that have been reported to estimate the number of claims yet to be reported. This process requires analysis of several factors including the rate at which policyholders report claims to us, the impact of judicial decisions, the impact of underwriting changes and other factors. An average claim size is determined from past experience and applied to the number of unreported claims to estimate reserves for these claims. For many exposures, especially those that can be considered long-tail, a particular accident year may not have a sufficient volume of paid losses to produce a statistically reliable estimate of ultimate losses. In such a case, our actuaries typically assign more weight to the incurred development method than to the paid development method. As claims continue to settle and the volume of paid loss increases, the actuaries may assign additional weight to the paid development method. For most of our products, even the incurred losses for accident years that are early in the claim settlement process will not be of sufficient volume to produce a reliable estimate of ultimate losses. In these cases, we will not assign any weight to the paid and incurred development methods. We will use loss ratio, Bornhuetter-Ferguson and average loss methods. For short-tail exposures, the paid and incurred development methods can often be relied on sooner primarily because our history includes a sufficient number of years to cover the entire period over which paid and incurred losses are expected to change. However, we may also use loss ratio, Bornhuetter-Ferguson and average loss methods for short-tail exposures.

Periodic Reserve Reviews

The reserve analyses performed by our actuaries result in point estimates. Each quarter, the results of the detailed reserve reviews are summarized and discussed with our senior management to determine the best estimate of reserves. This group considers many factors in making this decision. The factors include, but are not limited to, the historical pattern and volatility of the actuarial indications, the sensitivity of the actuarial indications to changes in paid and incurred loss patterns, the consistency of claims handling processes, the consistency of case reserving practices, changes in our pricing and underwriting, and overall pricing and underwriting trends in the insurance market.

Our recorded reserves reflect our best estimate as of a particular point in time based upon known facts, current law and our judgment. The carried reserve may differ from the actuarial point estimate as the result of our consideration of the factors noted above as well as the potential volatility of the projections associated with the specific product being analyzed and other factors impacting claims costs that may not be quantifiable through traditional actuarial analysis. This process results in management's best estimate which is then recorded as the loss reserve.

Currently, our reserves are slightly higher than the actuarial point estimate. We do not establish a specific provision for uncertainty. For Standard Lines, the difference between our reserves and the actuarial point estimate is primarily due to the three most recent accident years because the claim data from these accident years is very immature. For Specialty Lines, the difference between our reserves and the actuarial point estimate is spread more broadly across accident years reflecting the volatility of claim outcomes. We believe it is prudent to wait until actual experience confirms that the loss reserves should be adjusted. For Corporate & Other Non-Core, the carried reserve is slightly higher than the actuarial point estimate. For A&E exposures, we feel it is prudent, based on the history of developments in this area and the volatility associated with the reserves, to be above the point estimate until the ultimate outcome of the issues associated with these exposures is clearer.

The key assumptions fundamental to the reserving process are often different for various products and accident years. Some of these assumptions are explicit assumptions that are required of a particular method, but most of the assumptions are implicit and cannot be precisely quantified. An example of an explicit assumption is the pattern employed in the paid development method. However, the assumed pattern is itself based on several implicit assumptions such as the impact of inflation on medical costs and the rate at which claim professionals close claims. As a result, the effect on reserve estimates of a particular change in assumptions usually cannot be specifically quantified, and changes in these assumptions cannot be tracked over time.

Our recorded reserves are management's best estimate. In order to provide an indication of the variability associated with our net reserves, the following discussion provides a sensitivity analysis that shows the approximate estimated impact of variations in the most significant factor affecting our reserve estimates for particular types of business. These significant factors are the ones that could most likely materially impact the reserves. This discussion covers the major types of business for which we believe a material deviation to our reserves is reasonably possible. There can be no assurance that actual experience will be consistent with the current assumptions or with the variation indicated by the discussion. In addition, there can be no assurance that other factors and assumptions will not have a material impact on our reserves.

Within Standard Lines, the two types of business for which we believe a material deviation to our net reserves is reasonably possible are workers' compensation and general liability.

For Standard Lines workers' compensation, since many years will pass from the time the business is written until all claim payments have been made, claim cost inflation on claim payments is the most significant factor affecting workers' compensation reserve estimates. Workers' compensation claim cost inflation is driven by the cost of medical care, the cost of wage replacement, expected claimant lifetimes, judicial decisions, legislative changes and other factors. If estimated workers' compensation claim cost inflation increases by 100 basis points for the entire period over which claim payments will be made, we estimate that our net reserves would increase by approximately \$500 million. If estimated workers' compensation claim cost inflation decreases by 100 basis points for the entire period over which claim payments will be made, we estimate that our net reserves would decrease by approximately \$450 million. Our net reserves for Standard Lines workers' compensation were approximately \$4.8 billion at December 31, 2008.

For Standard Lines general liability, the predominant method used for estimating reserves is the incurred development method. Changes in the cost to repair or replace property, the cost of medical care, the cost of wage replacement, judicial decisions, legislation and other factors all impact the pattern selected in this method. The pattern selected results in the incurred development factor that estimates future changes in case incurred loss. If the estimated incurred development factor for general liability increases by 12%, we estimate that our net reserves would increase by approximately \$250 million. If the estimated incurred development factor for general liability decreases by 10%, we estimate that our net reserves would decrease

by approximately \$200 million. Our net reserves for Standard Lines general liability were approximately \$3.3 billion at December 31, 2008.

Within Specialty Lines, we believe a material deviation to our net reserves is reasonably possible for professional liability and related business in the U.S. Specialty Lines group. This business includes professional liability coverages provided to various professional firms, including architects, realtors, small and mid-sized accounting firms, law firms and technology firms. This business also includes D&O, employment practices, fiduciary and fidelity coverages as well as insurance products serving the healthcare delivery system. The most significant factor affecting reserve estimates for this business is claim severity. Claim severity is driven by the cost of medical care, the cost of wage replacement, legal fees, judicial decisions, legislation and other factors. Underwriting and claim handling decisions such as the classes of business written and individual claim settlement decisions can also impact claim severity. If the estimated claim severity increases by 9%, we estimate that the net reserves would increase by approximately \$400 million. If the estimated claim severity decreases by 3%, we estimate that net reserves would decrease by approximately \$150 million. Our net reserves for this business were approximately \$4.8 billion at December 31, 2008. Within Corporate & Other Non-Core, the two types of business for which we believe a material deviation to our net reserves is reasonably possible are CNA Re and A&E.

For CNA Re, the predominant method used for estimating reserves is the incurred development method. Changes in the cost to repair or replace property, the cost of medical care, the cost of wage replacement, the rate at which ceding companies report claims, judicial decisions, legislation and other factors all impact the incurred development pattern for CNA Re. The pattern selected results in the incurred development factor that estimates future changes in case incurred loss. If the estimated incurred development factor for CNA Re increases by 24%, we estimate that our net reserves for CNA Re would increase by approximately \$125 million. If the estimated incurred development factor for CNA Re decreases by 18%, we estimate that our net reserves would decrease by approximately \$100 million. Our net reserves for CNA Re were approximately \$0.7 billion at December 31, 2008.

For A&E, the most significant factor affecting reserve estimates is overall account size trend. Overall account size trend for A&E reflects the combined impact of economic trends (inflation), changes in the types of defendants involved, the expected mix of asbestos disease types, judicial decisions, legislation and other factors. If the estimated overall account size trend for A&E increases by 400 basis points, we estimate that our A&E net reserves would increase by approximately \$250 million. If the estimated overall account size trend for A&E decreases by 400 basis points, we estimate that our A&E net reserves would decrease by approximately \$150 million. Our net reserves for A&E were approximately \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2008.

Given the factors described above, it is not possible to quantify precisely the ultimate exposure represented by claims and related litigation. As a result, we regularly review the adequacy of our reserves and reassess our reserve estimates as historical loss experience develops, additional claims are reported and settled and additional information becomes available in subsequent periods.

In light of the many uncertainties associated with establishing the estimates and making the assumptions necessary to establish reserve levels, we review our reserve estimates on a regular basis and make adjustments in the period that the need for such adjustments is determined. These reviews have resulted in our identification of information and trends that have caused us to increase our reserves in prior periods and could lead to the identification of a need for additional material increases in claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations, equity, business and insurer financial strength and debt ratings. See the Ratings section of this MD&A for further information regarding our financial strength and debt ratings.

Segment Results

The following discusses the results of continuing operations for our operating segments.

CNA's core property and casualty commercial insurance operations are reported in two business segments: Standard Lines and Specialty Lines. Standard Lines includes standard property and casualty coverages sold to small businesses and middle market entities and organizations in the U.S. primarily through an independent agency distribution system. Standard Lines also includes commercial insurance and risk management products sold to large corporations in the U.S. primarily through insurance brokers. Specialty Lines provides a broad array of professional, financial and specialty property and casualty products and services, including excess and surplus lines, primarily through insurance brokers and managing general underwriters. Specialty Lines also includes insurance coverages sold globally through our foreign operations (CNA Global).

Our property and casualty field structure consists of 32 branch locations across the country organized into 2 territories. The Centralized Processing Operation for small and middle-market customers, located in Maitland, Florida, handles policy processing, billing and collection activities, and also acts as a call center to optimize customer service. The claims structure consists of a centralized claim center designed to efficiently handle property damage and medical only claims and 14 claim office locations around the country handling the more complex claims.

We utilize the net operating income financial measure to monitor our operations. Net operating income is calculated by excluding from net income the after-tax effects of 1) net realized investment gains or losses, 2) income or loss from discontinued operations and 3) any cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles. See further discussion regarding how we manage our business in Note N of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8. In evaluating the results of our Standard Lines and Specialty Lines segments, we utilize the loss ratio, the expense ratio, the dividend ratio, and the combined ratio. These ratios are calculated using GAAP financial results. The loss ratio is the percentage of net incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses to net earned premiums. The expense ratio is the percentage of insurance underwriting and acquisition expenses, including the amortization of deferred acquisition costs, to net earned premiums. The dividend ratio is the ratio of policyholders' dividends incurred to net earned premiums. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss, expense and dividend ratios.

Changes in estimates of claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserves and premium accruals, net of reinsurance, for prior years are defined as net prior year development within this MD&A. These changes can be favorable or unfavorable. Net prior year development does not include the impact of related acquisition expenses. Further information on our reserves is provided in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

STANDARD LINES**Business Overview**

Standard Lines works with an independent agency distribution system and network of brokers to market a broad range of property and casualty insurance products and services domestically, primarily to small, middle-market and large businesses and organizations. The Standard Lines operating model focuses on underwriting performance, relationships with selected distribution sources and understanding customer needs. Property products provide standard and excess property coverages, as well as marine coverage, and boiler and machinery. Casualty products provide standard casualty insurance products such as workers' compensation, general and product liability and commercial auto coverage through traditional products. Most insurance programs are provided on a guaranteed cost basis; however, we also offer specialized loss-sensitive insurance programs to those customers viewed as higher risk and less predictable in exposure.

These property and casualty products are offered as part of our **Business** and **Commercial** insurance groups. Our Business insurance group serves our smaller commercial accounts and the Commercial insurance group serves our middle markets and our larger risks. In addition, Standard Lines provides total risk management services relating to claim and information services to the large commercial insurance marketplace, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, CNA ClaimPlus, Inc., a third party administrator.

The following table details results of operations for Standard Lines.

Results of Operations

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net written premiums | \$ 3,054 | \$ 3,267 | \$ 3,598 |
| Net earned premiums | 3,065 | 3,379 | 3,557 |
| Net investment income | 506 | 878 | 840 |
| Net operating income | 221 | 602 | 446 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses), after-tax | (317) | (97) | 48 |
| Net income (loss) | (96) | 505 | 494 |
| Ratios | | | |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense Expense | 75.4% | 67.4% | 72.5% |
| Dividend | 31.6 | 32.5 | 31.6 |
| | | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Combined | 107.0% | 100.1% | 104.6% |

2008 Compared with 2007

Net written premiums for Standard Lines decreased \$213 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. Premiums written in 2008 were unfavorably impacted by competitive market conditions resulting in decreased production, as compared with 2007, across both our Business and Commercial Insurance groups. The competitive market conditions may put ongoing pressure on premium and income levels, and the expense ratio. This unfavorable impact was partially offset by decreased ceded premiums. Net earned premiums decreased \$314 million in 2008 as compared with 2007, consistent with the decreased net written premiums.

Standard Lines averaged rate decreases of 5% for 2008, as compared to decreases of 4% for 2007 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 82% and 78% were achieved for those contracts that were available for renewal in each period.

Net results decreased \$601 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. This decrease was attributable to decreased net operating income and higher net realized investment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of the net realized investment results and net investment income.

Net operating income decreased \$381 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. This decrease was primarily driven by significantly lower net investment income and higher catastrophe impacts. The catastrophe impacts were \$227 million after-tax in 2008, which included a \$7 million after-tax catastrophe-related insurance assessment, as compared to catastrophe losses of \$48 million after-tax in 2007.

In 2008, the amount due from policyholders related to losses under deductible policies within Standard Lines was reduced by \$90 million for insolvent insureds. The reduction of this amount, which is reflected as unfavorable net prior year reserve development, had no effect on 2008 results of operations as the Company had previously recognized provisions in prior years. These impacts were reported in Insurance claims and policyholders' benefits in the 2008 Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The combined ratio increased 6.9 points in 2008 as compared with 2007. The loss ratio increased 8.0 points primarily due to increased catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses related to 2008 events had an adverse impact of 11.1 points on the loss ratio in 2008 compared with an adverse impact of 2.2 points in 2007.

The expense ratio decreased 0.9 points in 2008 as compared with 2007 primarily related to changes in the assessment rates imposed by certain states for insurance-related assessments. The dividend ratio decreased 0.2 points in 2008 as compared with 2007 due to increased favorable dividend development in the workers' compensation line of business. Favorable net prior year development of \$18 million was recorded in 2008, including \$34 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$16 million of unfavorable premium development. Excluding the impact of the \$90 million of unfavorable net prior year reserve development discussed above, which had no net impact on the 2008 results of operations, favorable net prior year development was \$108 million. Favorable net prior year development of \$123 million, including \$104 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$19 million of favorable premium development, was recorded in 2007. Further information on Standard Lines net prior year development for 2008 and 2007 is included in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

The following table summarizes the gross and net carried reserves as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 for Standard Lines.

Gross and Net Carried

Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

| December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Gross Case Reserves | \$ 6,158 | \$ 5,988 |
| Gross IBNR Reserves | 5,890 | 6,060 |
| Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 12,048 | \$ 12,048 |
| Net Case Reserves | \$ 4,995 | \$ 4,750 |
| Net IBNR Reserves | 4,875 | 5,170 |
| Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 9,870 | \$ 9,920 |

2007 Compared with 2006

Net written premiums for Standard Lines decreased \$331 million in 2007 as compared with 2006, primarily due to decreased production. The decreased production reflected our disciplined participation in the competitive market. Net earned premiums decreased \$178 million in 2007 as compared with 2006, consistent with the decreased premiums written.

Standard Lines averaged rate decreases of 4% for 2007, as compared to flat rates for 2006 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 78% and 81% were achieved for those contracts that were available for renewal in each period.

Net income increased \$11 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. This increase was primarily attributable to improved net operating income, offset by decreased net realized investment results. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net operating income increased \$156 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. This increase was primarily driven by favorable net prior year development in 2007 as compared to unfavorable net prior year development in 2006 and increased net investment income. These favorable impacts were partially offset by decreased

current accident year underwriting results including increased catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses were \$48 million after-tax in 2007, as compared to \$35 million after-tax in 2006.

The combined ratio improved 4.5 points in 2007 as compared with 2006. The loss ratio improved 5.1 points primarily due to favorable net prior year development in 2007 as compared to unfavorable net prior year development in 2006. This favorable impact was partially offset by higher current accident year loss ratios primarily related to the decline in rates.

The dividend ratio improved 0.3 points in 2007 as compared with 2006 due to favorable dividend development in the workers compensation line of business.

The expense ratio increased 0.9 points in 2007 as compared with 2006, primarily reflecting the impact of declining earned premiums.

Favorable net prior year development of \$123 million was recorded in 2007, including \$104 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$19 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$150 million, including \$208 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$58 million of favorable premium development, was recorded in 2006. Further information on Standard Lines Net Prior Year Development for 2007 and 2006 is included in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

SPECIALTY LINES

Business Overview

Specialty Lines provides professional, financial and specialty property and casualty products and services, both domestically and abroad, through a network of brokers, managing general underwriters and independent agencies. Specialty Lines provides solutions for managing the risks of its clients, including architects, lawyers, accountants, healthcare professionals, financial intermediaries and public and private companies. Product offerings also include surety and fidelity bonds, and vehicle warranty services.

Specialty Lines includes the following business groups:

U.S. Specialty Lines provides management and professional liability insurance and risk management services and other specialized property and casualty coverages, primarily in the United States. This group provides professional liability coverages to various professional firms, including architects, realtors, small and mid-sized accounting firms, law firms and technology firms. U.S. Specialty Lines also provides D&O, employment practices, fiduciary and fidelity coverages. Specific areas of focus include small and mid-size firms as well as privately held firms and not-for-profit organizations where tailored products for this client segment are offered. Products within U.S. Specialty Lines are distributed through brokers, agents and managing general underwriters.

U.S. Specialty Lines, through CNA HealthPro, also offers insurance products to serve the healthcare delivery system. Products, which include professional liability as well as associated standard property and casualty coverages, are distributed on a national basis through a variety of channels including brokers, agents and managing general underwriters. Key customer segments include long term care facilities, allied healthcare providers, life sciences, dental professionals and mid-size and large healthcare facilities and delivery systems.

Also included in U.S. Specialty Lines is Excess and Surplus (E&S). E&S provides specialized insurance and other financial products for selected commercial risks on both an individual customer and program basis. Customers insured by E&S are generally viewed as higher risk and less predictable in exposure than those covered by standard insurance markets. E&S products are distributed throughout the United States through specialist producers, program agents and brokers.

Surety consists primarily of CNA Surety and its insurance subsidiaries and offers small, medium and large contract and commercial surety bonds. CNA Surety provides surety and fidelity bonds in all 50 states through a combined network of independent agencies. CNA owns approximately 62% of CNA Surety.

Warranty provides vehicle warranty service contracts and related products that protect individuals from the financial burden associated with mechanical breakdown. Products are distributed through independent agents.

CNA Global consists of subsidiaries operating in Europe, Latin America, Canada and Hawaii. These affiliates offer property and casualty insurance, through brokers, managing general underwriters and independent agencies, to small and medium size businesses and capitalize on strategic indigenous opportunities.

The following table details results of operations for Specialty Lines.

Results of Operations

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net written premiums | \$ 3,435 | \$ 3,506 | \$ 3,431 |
| Net earned premiums | 3,477 | 3,484 | 3,411 |
| Net investment income | 451 | 621 | 554 |
| Net operating income | 482 | 619 | 635 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses), after-tax | (185) | (53) | 25 |
| Net income | 297 | 566 | 660 |
| Ratios | | | |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense Expense | 61.9% | 62.8% | 60.4% |
| Dividend | 27.8 | 26.7 | 27.4 |
| | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Combined | 90.1% | 89.7% | 87.9% |

2008 Compared with 2007

Net written premiums for Specialty Lines decreased \$71 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. Premiums written in 2008 were unfavorably impacted by competitive market conditions resulting in decreased production, as compared with 2007, primarily in U.S. Specialty Lines. These competitive market conditions may put ongoing pressure on premium and income levels, and the expense ratio. The unfavorable impact in premiums written was partially offset by decreased ceded premiums primarily due to decreased use of reinsurance. Net earned premiums decreased \$7 million as compared with the same period in 2007, consistent with the decrease in net written premiums.

Specialty Lines averaged rate decreases of 3% for 2008 and 2007 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 84% and 83% were achieved for those contracts that were up for renewal in each period.

Net income decreased \$269 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. This decrease was primarily attributable to lower net operating income and higher net realized investment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net operating income decreased \$137 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. This decrease was primarily driven by significantly lower net investment income, decreased current accident year underwriting results and increased foreign currency transaction losses. These unfavorable results were partially offset by increased favorable net prior year development.

The combined ratio increased 0.4 points in 2008 as compared with 2007. The loss ratio improved 0.9 points, primarily due to increased favorable net prior year development in 2008 as compared to 2007. This was partially offset by higher current accident year loss ratios recorded primarily in our E&O and D&O coverages for financial institutions due to the current financial markets credit crisis.

The expense ratio increased 1.1 points in 2008 as compared with 2007. The increase primarily related to increased underwriting expenses and reduced ceding commissions.

Favorable net prior year development of \$184 million was recorded in 2008, including \$164 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$20 million of favorable premium development. Favorable net prior year development of \$36 million was recorded in 2007, including \$25 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$11 million of favorable premium development. Further information on Specialty Lines Net Prior Year Development for 2008 and 2007 is included in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

The following table summarizes the gross and net carried reserves as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 for Specialty Lines.

Gross and Net Carried

Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

| December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Gross Case Reserves | \$ 2,719 | \$ 2,585 |
| Gross IBNR Reserves | 5,563 | 5,818 |
| Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 8,282 | \$ 8,403 |
| Net Case Reserves | \$ 2,149 | \$ 2,090 |
| Net IBNR Reserves | 4,694 | 4,527 |
| Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 6,843 | \$ 6,617 |

2007 Compared with 2006

Net written premiums for Specialty Lines increased \$75 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. Premiums written were unfavorably impacted by decreased production as compared with the same period in 2006. The decreased production reflected our disciplined participation in a competitive market. This unfavorable impact was more than offset by decreased ceded premiums. The U.S. Specialty Lines reinsurance structure was primarily quota share reinsurance through April 2007. We elected not to renew this coverage upon its expiration. With our diversification in the previously reinsured lines of business and our management of the gross limits on the business written, we did not believe the cost of renewing the program was commensurate with its projected benefit. Net earned premiums increased \$73 million as compared with the same period in 2006, consistent with the increased net premiums written. Specialty Lines averaged rate decreases of 3% for 2007, as compared to decreases of 1% for 2006 for the contracts that renewed during those periods. Retention rates of 83% and 85% were achieved for those contracts that were up for renewal in each period.

Net income decreased \$94 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. This decrease was primarily attributable to decreases in net realized investment results. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net operating income decreased \$16 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. This decrease was primarily driven by decreased current accident year underwriting results and less favorable net prior year development. These decreases were partially offset by increased net investment income and favorable experience in the warranty line of business.

The combined ratio increased 1.8 points in 2007 as compared with 2006. The loss ratio increased 2.4 points, primarily due to higher current accident year losses related to the decline in rates and less favorable net prior year development as discussed below.

The expense ratio improved 0.7 points in 2007 as compared with 2006. This improvement was primarily due to a favorable change in estimate related to dealer profit commissions in the warranty line of business.

Favorable net prior year development of \$36 million was recorded in 2007, including \$25 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$11 million of favorable premium development. Favorable net prior year development of \$66 million, including \$61 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$5 million of favorable premium development, was recorded in 2006. Further information on Specialty Lines Net Prior Year Development for 2007 and 2006 is included in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

LIFE & GROUP NON-CORE**Business Overview**

The Life & Group Non-Core segment primarily includes the results of the life and group lines of business that have either been sold or placed in run-off. We continue to service our existing individual long term care commitments, our payout annuity business and our pension deposit business. We also manage a block of group reinsurance and life settlement contracts. These businesses are being managed as a run-off operation. Our group long term care business, while considered non-core, continues to be actively marketed. During 2008, we exited the indexed group annuity portion of our pension deposit business.

The following table summarizes the results of operations for Life & Group Non-Core.

Results of Operations

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net earned premiums | \$ 612 | \$ 618 | \$641 |
| Net investment income | 484 | 622 | 698 |
| Net operating loss | (108) | (159) | (14) |
| Net realized investment losses, after-tax | (236) | (36) | (33) |
| Net loss | (344) | (195) | (47) |

2008 Compared with 2007

Net earned premiums for Life & Group Non-Core decreased \$6 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. Net earned premiums relate primarily to the group and individual long term care businesses.

Net loss increased \$149 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. The increase in net loss was primarily due to increased net realized investment losses and adverse investment performance on a portion of our pension deposit business. Certain of the separate account investment contracts related to the Company's pension deposit business guarantee principal and a minimum rate of interest, for which the Company recorded a pretax liability of \$68 million in Policyholders' funds during 2008 due to the performance of the related assets supporting the business. The net loss in 2007 included an after-tax loss of \$108 million related to the settlement of the IGI Contingency, as discussed below. The decreased net investment income included a decline of trading portfolio results of \$157 million, which was substantially offset by a corresponding decrease in the policyholders' fund reserves supported by the trading portfolio. The trading portfolio supported the indexed group annuity portion of our pension deposit business. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

The indexed group annuity portion of our pension deposit business had a net loss of \$22 million and \$14 million for 2008 and 2007. The related assets were \$720 million and related liabilities were \$688 million at December 31, 2007. During 2008, we settled these liabilities with policyholders with no material impact to results of operations.

2007 Compared with 2006

Net earned premiums for Life & Group Non-Core decreased \$23 million in 2007 as compared with 2006.

Net loss increased \$148 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. The increase in net loss was primarily due to the after-tax loss of \$108 million related to the settlement of the IGI contingency. The IGI contingency related to reinsurance arrangements with respect to personal accident insurance coverages provided between 1997 and 1999 which were the subject of arbitration proceedings. We reached agreement in 2007 to settle the arbitration matter for a one-time payment of \$250 million, which resulted in an incurred loss, net of reinsurance, of \$167 million pretax. The decreased net investment income included a decline of net investment income in the trading portfolio of \$92 million, a significant portion of which was offset by a corresponding decrease in the policyholders' funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio. The trading portfolio supports our pension deposit business, which experienced a decline in net results of \$33 million in 2007 compared to 2006. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

CORPORATE & OTHER NON-CORE**Overview**

Corporate & Other Non-Core primarily includes certain corporate expenses, including interest on corporate debt, and the results of certain property and casualty business primarily in run-off, including CNA Re. This segment also includes the results related to the centralized adjusting and settlement of A&E claims.

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the Corporate & Other Non-Core segment, including A&E and intrasegment eliminations.

Results of Operations

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net investment income | \$ 178 | \$312 | \$320 |
| Revenues | 30 | 298 | 355 |
| Net operating income (loss) | (62) | (2) | 3 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses), after-tax | (103) | (17) | 27 |
| Net income (loss) | (165) | (19) | 30 |

2008 Compared with 2007

Revenues decreased \$268 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. Revenues were unfavorably impacted by lower net investment income and higher net realized investment losses. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net results decreased \$146 million in 2008 as compared with 2007. The decrease was primarily due to decreased revenues as discussed above and expenses associated with a legal contingency. These unfavorable impacts were partially offset by a \$27 million release from the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance receivables arising from a change in estimate. In addition, the 2007 results included current accident year losses related to certain mass torts.

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$122 million was recorded during 2008, including \$123 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$1 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$86 million was recorded in 2007, including \$91 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$5 million of favorable premium development. Further information on Corporate & Other Non-Core's net prior year development for 2008 and 2007 is included in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

The following table summarizes the gross and net carried reserves as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 for Corporate & Other Non-Core.

Gross and Net Carried**Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves**

| December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Gross Case Reserves | \$ 1,823 | \$ 2,159 |
| Gross IBNR Reserves | 2,578 | 2,951 |
| Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 4,401 | \$ 5,110 |
| Net Case Reserves | \$ 1,126 | \$ 1,328 |
| Net IBNR Reserves | 1,561 | 1,787 |
| Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 2,687 | \$ 3,115 |

2007 Compared with 2006

Revenues decreased \$57 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. Revenues were unfavorably impacted by decreased net realized investment results. See the Investments section of this MD&A for further discussion of net investment income and net realized investment results.

Net results decreased \$49 million in 2007 as compared with 2006. The decrease in net results was primarily due to decreased revenues as discussed above, increased current accident year losses related to certain mass torts and an increase in interest costs on corporate debt. In addition, the 2006 results included a release of a restructuring accrual. These unfavorable impacts were partially offset by a change in estimate related to federal taxes and lower expenses.

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$86 million was recorded during 2007, including \$91 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$5 million of favorable premium development. Unfavorable net prior year development of \$88 million was recorded in 2006, including \$86 million of unfavorable net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development and \$2 million of unfavorable premium development.

A&E Reserves

Our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries have actual and potential exposures related to asbestos and environmental pollution (A&E) claims.

Establishing reserves for A&E claim and claim adjustment expenses is subject to uncertainties that are greater than those presented by other claims. Traditional actuarial methods and techniques employed to estimate the ultimate cost of claims for more traditional property and casualty exposures are less precise in estimating claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for A&E, particularly in an environment of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise from industry practices and legal, judicial, and social conditions. Therefore, these traditional actuarial methods and techniques are necessarily supplemented with additional estimating techniques and methodologies, many of which involve significant judgments that are required on our part. Accordingly, a high degree of uncertainty remains for our ultimate liability for A&E claim and claim adjustment expenses.

In addition to the difficulties described above, estimating the ultimate cost of both reported and unreported A&E claims is subject to a higher degree of variability due to a number of additional factors, including among others: the number and outcome of direct actions against us; coverage issues, including whether certain costs are covered under the policies and whether policy limits apply; allocation of liability among numerous parties, some of whom may be in bankruptcy proceedings, and in particular the application of joint and several liability to specific insurers on a risk; inconsistent court decisions and developing legal theories; continuing aggressive tactics of plaintiffs' lawyers; the risks and lack of predictability inherent in major litigation; enactment of state and federal legislation to address asbestos claims; the potential for increases and decreases in A&E claims which cannot now be anticipated; the potential for increases and decreases in costs to defend A&E claims; the possibility of expanding theories of liability against our policyholders in A&E matters; possible exhaustion of underlying umbrella and excess coverage; and future developments pertaining to our ability to recover reinsurance for A&E claims.

Due to the inherent uncertainties in estimating claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for A&E and due to the significant uncertainties described related to A&E claims, our ultimate liability for these cases, both individually and in aggregate, may exceed the recorded reserves. Any such potential additional liability, or any range of potential additional amounts, cannot be reasonably estimated currently, but could be material to our business, results of operations, equity, and insurer financial strength and debt ratings. Due to, among other things, the factors described above, it may be necessary for us to record material changes in our A&E claim and claim adjustment expense reserves in the future, should new information become available or other developments emerge.

We have annually performed ground up reviews of all open A&E claims to evaluate the adequacy of our A&E reserves. In performing our comprehensive ground up analysis, we consider input from our professionals with direct responsibility for the claims, inside and outside counsel with responsibility for our representation and our actuarial staff. These professionals consider, among many factors, the

policyholder's present and predicted future exposures, including such factors as claims volume, trial conditions, prior settlement history, settlement demands and defense costs; the impact of asbestos defendant bankruptcies on the policyholder; facts or allegations regarding the policies we issued or are alleged to have issued, including such factors as aggregate or per occurrence limits, whether the policy is primary, umbrella or excess, and the existence of policyholder retentions and/or deductibles; the policyholders' allegations; the existence of other insurance; and reinsurance arrangements.

Further information on A&E claim and claim adjustment expense reserves and net prior year development is included in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

The following table provides data related to our A&E claim and claim adjustment expense reserves.

A&E Reserves

| | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | Asbestos | Environmental Pollution | Asbestos | Environmental Pollution |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Gross reserves | \$ 2,112 | \$ 392 | \$ 2,352 | \$ 367 |
| Ceded reserves | (910) | (130) | (1,030) | (125) |
| Net reserves | \$ 1,202 | \$ 262 | \$ 1,322 | \$ 242 |

Asbestos

In the past several years, we experienced, at certain points in time, significant increases in claim counts for asbestos-related claims. The factors that led to these increases included, among other things, intensive advertising campaigns by lawyers for asbestos claimants, mass medical screening programs sponsored by plaintiff lawyers and the addition of new defendants such as the distributors and installers of products containing asbestos. In recent years, the rate of new filings has decreased. Various challenges to mass screening claimants have been successful. Historically, the majority of asbestos bodily injury claims have been filed by persons exhibiting few, if any, disease symptoms. Studies have concluded that the percentage of unimpaired claimants to total claimants ranges between 66% and up to 90%. Some courts and some state statutes mandate that so-called unimpaired claimants may not recover unless at some point the claimant's condition worsens to the point of impairment. Some plaintiffs classified as unimpaired continue to challenge those orders and statutes. Therefore, the ultimate impact of the orders and statutes on future asbestos claims remains uncertain.

Despite the decrease in new claim filings in recent years, there are several factors, in our view, negatively impacting asbestos claim trends. Plaintiff attorneys who previously sued entities that are now bankrupt continue to seek other viable targets. As plaintiff attorneys named additional defendants to new and existing asbestos bodily injury lawsuits, we experienced an increase in the total number of policyholders with current asbestos claims. Companies with few or no previous asbestos claims are becoming targets in asbestos litigation and, although they may have little or no liability, nevertheless must be defended. Additionally, plaintiff attorneys and trustees for future claimants are demanding that policy limits be paid lump-sum into the bankruptcy asbestos trusts prior to presentation of valid claims and medical proof of these claims. Various challenges to these practices have succeeded in litigation, and are continuing to be litigated. Plaintiff attorneys and trustees for future claimants are also attempting to devise claims payment procedures for bankruptcy trusts that would allow asbestos claims to be paid under lax standards for injury, exposure and causation. This also presents the potential for exhausting policy limits in an accelerated fashion. Challenges to these practices are being mounted, though the ultimate impact or success of these tactics remains uncertain.

We have resolved a number of our large asbestos accounts by negotiating settlement agreements. Structured settlement agreements provide for payments over multiple years as set forth in each individual agreement.

In 1985, 47 asbestos producers and their insurers, including The Continental Insurance Company (CIC), executed the Wellington Agreement. The agreement was intended to resolve all issues and litigation related to coverage for asbestos exposures. Under this agreement, signatory insurers committed scheduled policy limits and made the limits available to pay asbestos claims based upon coverage blocks designated

by the policyholders in 1985, subject to extension by policyholders. CIC was a signatory insurer to the Wellington Agreement.

We have also used coverage in place agreements to resolve large asbestos exposures. Coverage in place agreements are typically agreements with our policyholders identifying the policies and the terms for payment of asbestos related liabilities. Claim payments are contingent on presentation of documentation supporting the demand for claim payment. Coverage in place agreements may have annual payment caps. Coverage in place agreements are evaluated based on claims filings trends and severities.

We categorize active asbestos accounts as large or small accounts. We define a large account as an active account with more than \$100 thousand of cumulative paid losses. We have made resolving large accounts a significant management priority. Small accounts are defined as active accounts with \$100 thousand or less of cumulative paid losses. Approximately 81% of our total active asbestos accounts are classified as small accounts at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

We also evaluate our asbestos liabilities arising from our assumed reinsurance business and our participation in various pools, including Excess & Casualty Reinsurance Association (ECRA).

We carry unassigned IBNR reserves for asbestos. These reserves relate to potential development on accounts that have not settled and potential future claims from unidentified policyholders.

The tables below depict our overall pending asbestos accounts and associated reserves at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Pending Asbestos Accounts and Associated Reserves

| | Number of Policyholders | Net Paid Losses in 2008 (In millions) | Net Asbestos Reserves (In millions) | Percent of Asbestos Net Reserves |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| December 31, 2008 | | | | |
| Policyholders with settlement agreements | | | | |
| Structured settlements | 18 | \$ 17 | \$ 133 | 11% |
| Wellington | 3 | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| Coverage in place | 36 | 16 | 94 | 8 |
| | | | | |
| Total with settlement agreements | 57 | 34 | 238 | 20 |
| | | | | |
| Other policyholders with active accounts | | | | |
| Large asbestos accounts | 236 | 62 | 234 | 19 |
| Small asbestos accounts | 1,009 | 32 | 91 | 8 |
| | | | | |
| Total other policyholders | 1,245 | 94 | 325 | 27 |
| | | | | |
| Assumed reinsurance and pools | | 19 | 114 | 9 |
| Unassigned IBNR | | | 525 | 44 |
| | | | | |
| Total | 1,302 | \$ 147 | \$ 1,202 | 100% |

Pending Asbestos Accounts and Associated Reserves

| December 31, 2007 | Number of Policyholders | Net Paid Losses in 2007 (In millions) | Net Asbestos Reserves (In millions) | Percent of Asbestos Net Reserves |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Policyholders with settlement agreements | | | | |
| Structured settlements | 14 | \$ 29 | \$ 151 | 11% |
| Wellington | 3 | 1 | 12 | 1 |
| Coverage in place | 34 | 38 | 100 | 8 |
| Total with settlement agreements | 51 | 68 | 263 | 20 |
| Other policyholders with active accounts | | | | |
| Large asbestos accounts | 233 | 45 | 237 | 18 |
| Small asbestos accounts | 1,005 | 15 | 93 | 7 |
| Total other policyholders | 1,238 | 60 | 330 | 25 |
| Assumed reinsurance and pools | | | | |
| Unassigned IBNR | | 8 | 133 | 10 |
| | | | 596 | 45 |
| Total | 1,289 | \$ 136 | \$ 1,322 | 100% |

Some asbestos-related defendants have asserted that their insurance policies are not subject to aggregate limits on coverage. We have such claims from a number of insureds. Some of these claims involve insureds facing exhaustion of products liability aggregate limits in their policies, who have asserted that their asbestos-related claims fall within so-called non-products liability coverage contained within their policies rather than products liability coverage, and that the claimed non-products coverage is not subject to any aggregate limit. It is difficult to predict the ultimate size of any of the claims for coverage purportedly not subject to aggregate limits or predict to what extent, if any, the attempts to assert non-products claims outside the products liability aggregate will succeed. Our policies also contain other limits applicable to these claims and we have additional coverage defenses to certain claims. We have attempted to manage our asbestos exposure by aggressively seeking to settle claims on acceptable terms. There can be no assurance that any of these settlement efforts will be successful, or that any such claims can be settled on terms acceptable to us. Where we cannot settle a claim on acceptable terms, we aggressively litigate the claim. However, adverse developments with respect to such matters could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and/or equity.

As a result of the uncertainties and complexities involved, reserves for asbestos claims cannot be estimated with traditional actuarial techniques that rely on historical accident year loss development factors. In establishing asbestos reserves, we evaluate the exposure presented by each insured. As part of this evaluation, we consider the available insurance coverage; limits and deductibles; the potential role of other insurance, particularly underlying coverage below any of our excess liability policies; and applicable coverage defenses, including asbestos exclusions. Estimation of asbestos-related claim and claim adjustment expense reserves involves a high degree of judgment on our part and

consideration of many complex factors, including: inconsistency of court decisions, jury attitudes and future court decisions; specific policy provisions; allocation of liability among insurers and insureds; missing policies and proof of coverage; the proliferation of bankruptcy proceedings and attendant uncertainties; novel theories asserted by policyholders and their counsel; the targeting of a broader range of businesses and entities as defendants; the uncertainty as to which other insureds may be targeted in the future and the uncertainties inherent in predicting the number of future claims; volatility in claim numbers and settlement demands; increases in the number of non-impaired claimants and the extent to which they can be precluded from making claims; the efforts by insureds to obtain coverage not subject to aggregate limits; long latency period between asbestos exposure and disease manifestation and the resulting potential for involvement of multiple policy periods for individual claims; medical inflation trends; the mix of asbestos-related diseases presented and the ability to recover reinsurance.

We are involved in significant asbestos-related claim litigation, which is described in Note F of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Environmental Pollution

Environmental pollution cleanup is the subject of both federal and state regulation. By some estimates, there are thousands of potential waste sites subject to cleanup. The insurance industry has been involved in extensive litigation regarding coverage issues. Judicial interpretations in many cases have expanded the scope of coverage and liability beyond the original intent of the policies. The Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (Superfund) and comparable state statutes (mini-Superfunds) govern the cleanup and restoration of toxic waste sites and formalize the concept of legal liability for cleanup and restoration by Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs). Superfund and the mini-Superfunds establish mechanisms to pay for cleanup of waste sites if PRPs fail to do so and assign liability to PRPs. The extent of liability to be allocated to a PRP is dependent upon a variety of factors. Further, the number of waste sites subject to cleanup is unknown. To date, approximately 1,500 cleanup sites have been identified by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and included on its National Priorities List (NPL). State authorities have designated many cleanup sites as well.

Many policyholders have made claims against us for defense costs and indemnification in connection with environmental pollution matters. The vast majority of these claims relate to accident years 1989 and prior, which coincides with our adoption of the Simplified Commercial General Liability coverage form, which includes what is referred to in the industry as absolute pollution exclusion. We and the insurance industry are disputing coverage for many such claims. Key coverage issues include whether cleanup costs are considered damages under the policies, trigger of coverage, allocation of liability among triggered policies, applicability of pollution exclusions and owned property exclusions, the potential for joint and several liability and the definition of an occurrence. To date, courts have been inconsistent in their rulings on these issues.

We have made resolution of large environmental pollution exposures a management priority. We have resolved a number of our large environmental accounts by negotiating settlement agreements. In our settlements, we sought to resolve those exposures and obtain the broadest release language to avoid future claims from the same policyholders seeking coverage for sites or claims that had not emerged at the time we settled with our policyholder. While the terms of each settlement agreement vary, we sought to obtain broad environmental releases that include known and unknown sites, claims and policies. The broad scope of the release provisions contained in those settlement agreements should, in many cases, prevent future exposure from settled policyholders. It remains uncertain, however, whether a court interpreting the language of the settlement agreements will adhere to the intent of the parties and uphold the broad scope of language of the agreements.

We classify our environmental pollution accounts into several categories, which include structured settlements, coverage in place agreements and active accounts. Structured settlement agreements provide for payments over multiple years as set forth in each individual agreement.

We have also used coverage in place agreements to resolve pollution exposures. Coverage in place agreements are typically agreements with our policyholders identifying the policies and the terms for payment of pollution related liabilities. Claim payments are contingent on presentation of adequate documentation of damages during the policy periods and other documentation supporting the demand for claim payment. Coverage in place agreements may have annual payment caps.

We categorize active accounts as large or small accounts in the pollution area. We define a large account as an active account with more than \$100 thousand cumulative paid losses. We have made closing large accounts a significant management priority. Small accounts are defined as active accounts with \$100 thousand or less of cumulative paid losses. Approximately 73% of our total active pollution accounts are classified as small accounts as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

We also evaluate our environmental pollution exposures arising from our assumed reinsurance and our participation in various pools, including ECRA.

We carry unassigned IBNR reserves for environmental pollution. These reserves relate to potential development on accounts that have not settled and potential future claims from unidentified policyholders.

The tables below depict our overall pending environmental pollution accounts and associated reserves at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Pending Environmental Pollution Accounts and Associated Reserves

| | | | | Net Environmental | Percent of |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Number of | Net Paid Losses | | Pollution | Environmental |
| December 31, 2008 | Policyholders | in 2008 (In millions) | | Reserves (In millions) | Pollution Net Reserve |
| Policyholders with settlement agreements | | | | | |
| Structured settlements | 16 | \$ 5 | | \$ 9 | 4% |
| Coverage in place | 16 | 3 | | 13 | 5 |
| Total with settlement agreements | 32 | 8 | | 22 | 9 |
| Other policyholders with active accounts | | | | | |
| Large pollution accounts | 116 | 40 | | 48 | 18 |
| Small pollution accounts | 320 | 11 | | 41 | 16 |
| Total other policyholders | 436 | 51 | | 89 | 34 |
| Assumed reinsurance and pools | | 4 | | 27 | 10 |
| Unassigned IBNR | | | | 124 | 47 |
| Total | 468 | \$ 63 | | \$ 262 | 100% |

Pending Environmental Pollution Accounts and Associated Reserves

| | | | | Net Environmental | Percent of |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Number of | Net Paid Losses | | Pollution | Environmental |
| December 31, 2007 | Policyholders | in 2007 (In millions) | | Reserves (In millions) | Pollution Net Reserve |
| Policyholders with settlement agreements | | | | | |
| Structured settlements | 10 | \$ 9 | | \$ 6 | 2% |
| Coverage in place | 18 | 8 | | 14 | 6 |
| Total with settlement agreements | 28 | 17 | | 20 | 8 |
| Other policyholders with active accounts | | | | | |
| Large pollution accounts | 112 | 17 | | 53 | 22 |
| Small pollution accounts | 298 | 9 | | 42 | 17 |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|----|----|-----|--|------|
| Total other policyholders | 410 | | 26 | | 95 | | 39 |
| Assumed reinsurance and pools | | | 1 | | 31 | | 13 |
| Unassigned IBNR | | | | | 96 | | 40 |
| Total | 438 | \$ | 44 | \$ | 242 | | 100% |
| | 47 | | | | | | |

INVESTMENTS

We maintain a large portfolio of fixed income and equity securities, including large amounts of corporate and government issued debt securities, collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), asset-backed and other structured securities, equity and equity-based securities and investments in limited partnerships which pursue a variety of long and short investment strategies across a broad array of asset classes. Our investment portfolio supports our obligation to pay future insurance claims and provides investment returns which are an important part of our overall profitability. For more than a year, capital and credit markets have experienced severe levels of volatility, illiquidity, uncertainty and overall disruption. Despite government intervention, market conditions have led to the merger or failure of a number of prominent financial institutions and government sponsored entities, sharply increased unemployment and reduced economic activity. In addition, significant declines in the value of assets and securities that began with the residential sub-prime mortgage crisis have spread to nearly all classes of investments, including most of those held in our investment portfolio. As a result, during 2008 we incurred significant realized and unrealized losses in our investment portfolio and experienced substantial declines in our net investment income which have materially adversely impacted our results of operations and equity.

Net Investment Income

The significant components of net investment income are presented in the following table.

Net Investment Income

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fixed maturity securities | \$ 1,984 | \$ 2,047 | \$ 1,842 |
| Short term investments | 115 | 186 | 248 |
| Limited partnerships | (379) | 183 | 288 |
| Equity securities | 80 | 25 | 23 |
| Income (loss) from trading portfolio (a) | (149) | 10 | 103 |
| Interest on funds withheld and other deposits | (2) | (1) | (68) |
| Other | 21 | 36 | 18 |
| | | | |
| Gross investment income | 1,670 | 2,486 | 2,454 |
| Investment expense | (51) | (53) | (42) |
| | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 1,619 | \$ 2,433 | \$ 2,412 |

(a) The change in net unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities included in Net investment income was \$3 million and \$(15) million for the years ended December 31,

2008 and 2007.
There was no
change in net
unrealized gains
(losses) on
trading
securities
included in Net
investment
income for the
year ended
December 31,
2006.

Net investment income decreased by \$814 million in 2008 compared with 2007. The decrease was primarily driven by significant losses from limited partnerships and the trading portfolio in 2008 and a decline in short term interest rates. Limited partnerships may present greater risk, greater volatility and higher illiquidity than fixed income investments. The decreased results from the trading portfolio were substantially offset by a corresponding decrease in the policyholders' funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio, which is included in Insurance claims and policyholders' benefits on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Net investment income increased by \$21 million in 2007 compared with 2006. The improvement was primarily driven by an increase in the overall invested asset base and a reduction of interest expense on funds withheld and other deposits as discussed further below. These increases were substantially offset by decreases in limited partnership income and results from the trading portfolio.

During 2006, we commuted several significant reinsurance contracts which contained interest crediting provisions that were reflected as a component of Net investment income in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. As of December 31, 2006, no further interest expense was due on the commuted contracts.

The bond segment of the fixed maturity investment portfolio provided an income yield of 5.7%, 5.8% and 5.6% for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses)

The components of net realized investment results for available-for-sale securities are presented in the following table.

Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses)

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Fixed maturity securities: | | | |
| U.S. Government bonds | \$ 235 | \$ 86 | \$ 62 |
| Corporate and other taxable bonds | (643) | (183) | (98) |
| Tax-exempt bonds | 53 | 3 | 53 |
| Asset-backed bonds | (476) | (343) | (9) |
| Redeemable preferred stock | | (41) | (3) |
| | | | |
| Total fixed maturity securities | (831) | (478) | 5 |
| Equity securities | (490) | 117 | 16 |
| Derivative securities | (19) | 32 | 18 |
| Short term investments | 34 | 7 | (5) |
| Other | 9 | 11 | 52 |
| | | | |
| Realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interests | (1,297) | (311) | 86 |
| | | | |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | 456 | 108 | (19) |
| | | | |
| Net realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interests | \$ (841) | \$ (203) | \$ 67 |

Net realized investment results decreased by \$638 million for 2008 compared with 2007. Net realized investment results decreased by \$270 million for 2007 compared with 2006. The decrease in Net realized investment results in both periods was primarily driven by an increase in other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses. Further information on our OTTI losses and impairment decision process is set forth in Note B of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

The following table provides details of the largest realized investment losses from sales of securities aggregated by issuer including the fair value of the securities at date of sale, the amount of the loss recorded and the period of time that the securities had been in an unrealized loss position prior to sale. The period of time that the securities had been in an unrealized loss position prior to sale can vary due to the timing of individual security purchases. Also included is a narrative providing the industry sector along with the facts and circumstances giving rise to the loss.

Largest Realized Investment Losses from Securities Sold at a Loss
Year ended December 31, 2008

| Issuer Description and Discussion (In millions) | Fair Value at | | Months in Unrealized |
|--|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Date of | Loss On | Loss Prior |
| | Sale | Sale | To Sale |
| | | | (a) |
| Various notes and bonds issued by the United States Treasury. Securities sold due to outlook on interest rates. | \$ 10,663 | \$ 106 | 0-6 |
| Non-redeemable preferred stock of Federal National Mortgage Association. The company is now in conservatorship. | 6 | 51 | 0-12+ |
| Fixed income securities of an investment banking firm that filed bankruptcy causing the fair value of the securities to decline rapidly. | 37 | 41 | 0-12 |
| Non-redeemable preferred stock of Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. The company is now in conservatorship. | 3 | 27 | 0-12 |
| Mortgage backed pass-through securities were sold based on deteriorating performance of the underlying loans and the resulting rapid market price decline. | 36 | 18 | 0-6 |
| Fixed income securities of a provider of wireless and wire line communication services. Securities were sold to reduce exposure because the company announced a significant shortfall in operating results, causing significant credit deterioration which resulted in a rating downgrade. | 41 | 17 | 0-12 |
| | \$ 10,786 | \$ 260 | |

(a) Represents the range of consecutive months the various positions were in an unrealized loss prior to

sale. 0-12+
means certain
positions were
less than
12 months,
while others
were greater
than 12 months.

Gross Unrealized Losses

The following tables summarize the fair value and gross unrealized loss of fixed income investment and non-investment grade securities categorized first by the length of time, as measured by the first date, those securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, and then further categorized by the severity of the unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Unrealized Loss Aging for Fixed Income Securities**Fair Value as a Percentage of Amortized Cost**

| December 31, 2008 (In millions) | Estimated Fair Value | Fair Value as a Percentage of Amortized Cost | | | | | | | Gross Unrealized Loss |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | 90-99% | 80-89% | 70-79% | 60-69% | 50-59% | 40-49% | <40% | |
| Investment grade: | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-6 months | \$ 6,749 | \$ 169 | \$ 264 | \$ 167 | \$ 58 | \$ 7 | \$ 11 | \$ 5 | \$ 681 |
| 7-11 months | 6,159 | 126 | 376 | 315 | 364 | 262 | 118 | 30 | 1,591 |
| 12-24 months | 3,549 | 55 | 143 | 128 | 355 | 449 | 230 | 443 | 1,803 |
| Greater than 24 months | 1,778 | 27 | 67 | 151 | 68 | 52 | 8 | 136 | 509 |
| Total investment grade | \$ 18,235 | \$ 377 | \$ 850 | \$ 761 | \$ 845 | \$ 770 | \$ 367 | \$ 614 | \$ 4,584 |
| Non-investment grade: | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-6 months | \$ 853 | \$ 10 | \$ 47 | \$ 93 | \$ 50 | \$ 44 | \$ 16 | \$ 30 | \$ 290 |
| 7-11 months | 374 | 1 | 20 | 43 | 40 | 33 | 19 | 17 | 173 |
| 12-24 months | 1,078 | 3 | 30 | 83 | 193 | 94 | 203 | 41 | 647 |
| Greater than 24 months | 12 | | | | 5 | | 2 | | 7 |
| Total non-investment grade | \$ 2,317 | \$ 14 | \$ 97 | \$ 219 | \$ 288 | \$ 171 | \$ 240 | \$ 88 | \$ 1,117 |
| Total | \$ 20,552 | \$ 391 | \$ 947 | \$ 980 | \$ 1,133 | \$ 941 | \$ 607 | \$ 702 | \$ 5,701 |

Unrealized Loss Aging for Fixed Income Securities

| December 31, 2007 (In millions) | Fair Value as a Percentage of Amortized Cost | | | | | | | | Gross Unrealized Loss |
|---|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| | Estimated Fair Value | 90-99% | 80-89% | 70-79% | 60-69% | 50-59% | 40-49% | <40% | |
| Investment grade: | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-6 months | \$ 4,771 | \$ 100 | \$ 42 | \$ 29 | \$ 26 | \$ 25 | \$ 6 | \$ | \$ 228 |
| 7-11 months | 1,584 | 35 | 81 | 17 | 25 | 13 | 7 | 15 | 193 |
| 12-24 months | 690 | 21 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 9 | | 57 |
| Greater than 24 months | 3,869 | 88 | 42 | 8 | | | | | 138 |
| Total investment grade | \$ 10,914 | \$ 244 | \$ 167 | \$ 64 | \$ 58 | \$ 46 | \$ 22 | \$ 15 | \$ 616 |
| Non-investment grade: | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-6 months | \$ 1,527 | \$ 56 | \$ 14 | \$ 3 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 73 |
| 7-11 months | 125 | 6 | 2 | | | | | | 8 |
| 12-24 months | 26 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 4 |
| Greater than 24 months | 9 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 2 |
| Total non-investment grade | \$ 1,687 | \$ 64 | \$ 18 | \$ 4 | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 87 |
| Total | \$ 12,601 | \$ 308 | \$ 185 | \$ 68 | \$ 59 | \$ 46 | \$ 22 | \$ 15 | \$ 703 |

The classification between investment grade and non-investment grade is based on a ratings methodology that takes into account ratings from the three major providers, S&P, Moody's and Fitch in that order of preference. If a security is not rated by any of the three, the Company formulates an internal rating.

As part of the ongoing OTTI monitoring process, we evaluated the facts and circumstances based on available information for each of these securities and determined that the securities presented in the above tables were temporarily impaired when evaluated at December 31, 2008 or 2007. This determination was based on a number of factors that we regularly consider including, but not limited to: the issuers' ability to meet current and future interest and principal payments, an evaluation of the issuers' financial condition and near term prospects, our assessment of the sector outlook and estimates of the fair value of any underlying collateral. In all cases where a decline in value is judged to be temporary, we have the intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost of our investment through an anticipated recovery in the fair value of such securities or by holding the securities to maturity. In many cases, the securities held are matched to liabilities as part of ongoing asset/liability duration management. As such, we continually assess our ability to hold securities for a time sufficient to recover any temporary loss in value or until maturity. We believe we have sufficient levels of liquidity so as to not impact the asset/liability management process. Further information on our unrealized losses by asset class and our considerations in determining that the securities were temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008 is included in Note B to the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Non-investment grade bonds, as presented in the tables above, are primarily high-yield securities rated below BBB- by bond rating agencies, as well as other unrated securities that, according to our analysis, are below investment grade. Non-investment grade securities generally involve a greater degree of risk than investment grade securities.

The following table provides the composition of fixed maturity securities available-for-sale in a gross unrealized loss position at December 31, 2008 by maturity profile. Securities not due at a single date are allocated based on weighted average life.

Maturity Profile

| | Percent of Fair Value | Percent of Unrealized Loss |
|--|--|---|
| Due in one year or less | 11% | 8% |
| Due after one year through five years | 31 | 21 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 14 | 21 |
| Due after ten years | 44 | 50 |
| Total | 100% | 100% |

Our fixed income portfolio consists primarily of high quality bonds, 91% and 89% of which were rated as investment grade (rated BBB- or higher) at December 31, 2008 and 2007. The following table summarizes the ratings of our fixed income bond portfolio at carrying value.

Fixed Income Bond Ratings

| December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | % | 2007 | % |
|--|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| U.S. Government and affiliated agency securities | \$ 2,993 | 11% | \$ 816 | 3% |
| Other AAA rated | 10,112 | 35 | 16,728 | 50 |
| AA and A rated | 8,166 | 28 | 6,326 | 19 |
| BBB rated | 5,000 | 17 | 5,713 | 17 |
| Non-investment grade | 2,569 | 9 | 3,616 | 11 |

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| Total | \$ 28,840 | 100% | \$ 33,199 | 100% |
|--------------|-----------|------|-----------|------|

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, approximately 97% and 95% of the portfolio was issued by U.S. Government and affiliated agencies or was rated by S&P or Moody's. The remaining bonds were rated by other rating agencies or internally.

The carrying value of securities that are either subject to trading restrictions or trade in illiquid private placement markets at December 31, 2008 was \$368 million, which represents 1.1% of our total investment portfolio. These securities were in a net unrealized gain position of \$170 million at December 31, 2008.

Duration

A primary objective in the management of the fixed maturity and equity portfolios is to optimize return relative to underlying liabilities and respective liquidity needs. Our views on the current interest rate environment, tax regulations, asset class valuations, specific security issuer and broader industry segment conditions, and the domestic and global economic conditions, are some of the factors that enter into an investment decision. We also continually monitor exposure to issuers of securities held and broader industry sector exposures and may from time to time adjust such exposures based on our views of a specific issuer or industry sector.

A further consideration in the management of the investment portfolio is the characteristics of the underlying liabilities and the ability to align the duration of the portfolio to those liabilities to meet future liquidity needs, minimize interest rate risk and maintain a level of income sufficient to support the underlying insurance liabilities. For portfolios where future liability cash flows are determinable and typically long term in nature, we segregate investments for asset/liability management purposes.

The segregated investments support liabilities primarily in the Life & Group Non-Core segment including annuities, structured benefit settlements and long term care products. The remaining investments are managed to support the Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-Core segments.

The effective durations of fixed income securities, short term investments, preferred stocks and interest rate derivatives are presented in the table below. Short term investments are net of securities lending collateral and account payable and receivable amounts for securities purchased and sold, but not yet settled.

Effective Durations

| | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Effective Duration | Fair Value | Effective Duration |
| (In millions) | | (In years) | | (In years) |
| Segregated investments | \$ 8,168 | 9.9 | \$ 9,211 | 10.7 |
| Other interest sensitive investments | 25,194 | 4.5 | 29,406 | 3.3 |
| Total | \$ 33,362 | 5.8 | \$ 38,617 | 5.1 |

The investment portfolio is periodically analyzed for changes in duration and related price change risk. Additionally, we periodically review the sensitivity of the portfolio to the level of foreign exchange rates and other factors that contribute to market price changes. A summary of these risks and specific analysis on changes is included in Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included herein.

Asset-Backed Mortgage Exposure
Asset-Backed Distribution

| December 31, 2008 (In millions) | Security Type | | | | Total | Percent of Total Security Type | Percent of Total Investments |
|---|---------------|----------|----------|--------|----------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | MBS(a) | CMO(b) | ABS(c) | CDO(d) | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ 408 | \$ 1,273 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,681 | 22% | 4% |
| AAA | | 3,249 | 1,672 | 3 | 4,924 | 63 | 14 |
| AA | | 187 | 190 | 6 | 383 | 5 | 1 |
| A | | 80 | 96 | 28 | 204 | 3 | 1 |
| BBB | | 92 | 230 | 2 | 324 | 4 | 1 |
| Non-investment grade and equity tranches | | 213 | 27 | 8 | 248 | 3 | 1 |
| Total Fair Value | \$ 408 | \$ 5,094 | \$ 2,215 | \$ 47 | \$ 7,764 | 100% | 22% |
| Total Amortized Cost | \$ 405 | \$ 6,181 | \$ 2,887 | \$ 197 | \$ 9,670 | | |
| Percent of total fair value by security type | 5% | 65% | 29% | 1% | 100% | | |
| Sub-prime (included above) | | | | | | | |
| Fair Value | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,163 | \$ 1 | \$ 1,164 | 15% | 3% |
| Amortized Cost | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,477 | \$ 31 | \$ 1,508 | 16% | 4% |
| Alt-A (included above) | | | | | | | |
| Fair Value | \$ | \$ 898 | \$ | \$ 3 | \$ 901 | 12% | 3% |
| Amortized Cost | \$ | \$ 1,229 | \$ | \$ 8 | \$ 1,237 | 13% | 3% |
| (a) Mortgage-backed securities (MBS) | | | | | | | |
| (b) Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO) | | | | | | | |
| (c) Asset-backed securities (ABS) | | | | | | | |
| (d) Collateralized debt obligations | | | | | | | |

(CDO)

Included in our fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2008 were \$7,764 million of asset-backed securities, at fair value, which represents 22% of total invested assets. Of the total asset-backed securities, 85% were U.S. Government Agency issued or AAA rated. Of the total invested assets, \$1,164 million or 3% have exposure to sub-prime residential mortgage (sub-prime) collateral, as measured by the original deal structure, while 3% have exposure to Alternative A residential mortgages that have lower than normal standards of loan documentation (Alt-A) collateral. Of the securities with sub-prime exposure, approximately 98% were rated investment grade, while 97% of the Alt-A securities were rated investment grade. We believe that each of these securities would be rated investment grade even without the benefit of any applicable third-party guarantees. In addition to sub-prime exposure in fixed maturity securities, there is exposure of approximately \$36 million through limited partnerships and sold credit default swaps which provide the buyer protection against declines in sub-prime indices.

Included in the table above are commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), which had an aggregate fair value of \$661 million and an aggregate amortized cost of \$1,068 million at December 31, 2008. Most of our CMBS holdings are in the form of senior tranches of securitization, which benefit from significant credit support from subordinated tranches.

All asset-backed securities in an unrealized loss position are reviewed as part of the ongoing OTTI process, which resulted in OTTI losses of \$302 million after-tax for the year ended December 31, 2008. Included in this OTTI loss was \$128 million after-tax related to securities with sub-prime and Alt-A exposure. Our review of these securities includes an analysis of cash flow modeling under various default scenarios, the seniority of the specific tranche within the deal structure, the composition of the collateral and the actual default experience. Given current market conditions and the specific facts and circumstances related to our individual sub-prime, Alt-A and CMBS exposures, we believe that all remaining unrealized losses are temporary in nature. Continued deterioration in these markets beyond our current expectations may cause us to reconsider and record additional OTTI losses. See Note B of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8 for additional information related to unrealized losses on asset-backed securities.

Short Term Investments

The carrying value of the components of the short term investment portfolio is presented in the following table.

Short Term Investments

| December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Short term investments available-for-sale: | | |
| Commercial paper | \$ 563 | \$ 3,040 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 2,258 | 577 |
| Money market funds | 329 | 72 |
| Other, including collateral held related to securities lending | 384 | 808 |
| Total short term investments available-for-sale | 3,534 | 4,497 |
| Short term investments trading: | | |
| Commercial paper | | 35 |
| Money market funds | | 139 |
| Other | | 6 |
| Total short term investments trading | | 180 |
| Total short term investments | \$ 3,534 | \$ 4,677 |

Separate Accounts

The following table summarizes the bond ratings of the investments supporting separate account products which guarantee principal and a minimum rate of interest, for which additional amounts may be recorded in Policyholders funds should the aggregate contract value exceed the fair value of the related assets supporting the business at any point in time.

Separate Account Bond Ratings

| December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | % | 2007 | % |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| AAA rated | \$ 120 | 35% | \$ 122 | 29% |
| AA and A rated | 148 | 43 | 224 | 54 |
| BBB rated | 74 | 22 | 73 | 17 |
| Non-investment grade | 1 | | | |
| Total | \$ 343 | 100% | \$ 419 | 100% |

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, approximately 97% of the separate account portfolio was rated by S&P or Moody's. The remaining bonds were rated by other rating agencies or internally.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As a result of the significant realized and unrealized losses in our investment portfolio and declines in our net investment income during 2008 as discussed in the Investments section of this MD&A, we took several actions during the fourth quarter to strengthen our capital position and to ensure our operating insurance subsidiaries had sufficient statutory surplus, including the following:

In October 2008, we suspended our quarterly dividend payment to common stockholders.

In November 2008, we issued, and Loews Corporation (Loews) purchased, 12,500 shares of our non-voting cumulative preferred stock (2008 Senior Preferred) for \$1.25 billion.

We used the majority of the proceeds from the 2008 Senior Preferred to increase the statutory surplus of our principal insurance subsidiary, Continental Casualty Company (CCC), through the purchase of a \$1.0 billion surplus note of CCC.

In November 2008, we borrowed \$250 million on an existing credit facility and used \$200 million of the proceeds to retire senior notes that matured in December 2008.

In December 2008, we contributed \$500 million of cash and short term investments from our holding company to CCC.

We requested and received approval for a statutory permitted practice related to the recognition of deferred tax assets which increased statutory surplus of CCC by approximately \$700 million as of December 31, 2008. The permitted practice will remain in effect for the first, second and third quarter 2009 reporting periods.

Further information on the 2008 Senior Preferred, CCC surplus note and the statutory permitted practice is included in Note L of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Cash Flows

Our principal operating cash flow sources are premiums and investment income from our insurance subsidiaries. Our primary operating cash flow uses are payments for claims, policy benefits and operating expenses.

For 2008, net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,558 million as compared to \$1,239 million in 2007. Cash provided by operating activities was favorably impacted by increased net sales of trading securities to fund policyholders' withdrawals of investment contract products issued by us, decreased tax payments and decreased loss payments. Policyholders' fund withdrawals are reflected as financing cash flows. Cash provided by operating activities was unfavorably impacted by decreased premium collections and decreased investment income receipts.

For 2007, net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,239 million as compared to \$2,250 million in 2006. Cash provided by operating activities was unfavorably impacted by decreased net sales of trading securities to fund policyholder withdrawals of investment contract products issued by us. Cash provided by operating activities was also unfavorably impacted by decreased premium collections, increased tax payments and increased loss payments.

Cash flows from investing activities include the purchase and sale of available-for-sale financial instruments, as well as the purchase and sale of businesses, land, buildings, equipment and other assets not generally held for resale.

Net cash used for investing activities was \$1,908 million, \$1,082 million and \$1,646 million for 2008, 2007 and 2006. Cash flows used by investing activities related principally to purchases of fixed maturity securities and short term investments. The cash flow from investing activities is impacted by various factors such as the anticipated payment of claims, financing activity, asset/liability management and individual security buy and sell decisions made in the normal course of portfolio management. In 2007, net cash flows provided by investing activities-discontinued operations included \$65 million of cash proceeds related to the sale of the United Kingdom discontinued operations business.

Cash flows from financing activities include proceeds from the issuance of debt and equity securities, outflows for dividends or repayment of debt, outlays to reacquire equity instruments, and deposits and withdrawals related to investment contract products issued by us.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$347 million in 2008. In 2007 and 2006, net cash used for financing activities was \$185 million and \$605 million. Net cash flow provided by financing activities in 2008 was primarily related to the issuance of the 2008 Senior Preferred stock to Loews, as discussed above, partially offset by policyholders' fund withdrawals and dividend payments. Additionally, in January 2008, we repaid our \$150 million 6.45% senior note at maturity. In November 2008, we drew down \$250 million on a credit facility established in 2007 and used \$200 million of the proceeds to retire our 6.60% Senior Notes that were due December 15, 2008.

Common Stock Dividends

Dividends of \$0.45 and \$0.35 per share of our common stock were declared and paid in 2008 and 2007. No dividends were paid in 2006. In October 2008, we suspended our quarterly dividend payment.

Share Repurchases

Our Board of Directors has approved an authorization to purchase, in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions, our outstanding common stock, as our management deems appropriate. In the first quarter of 2008, we repurchased a total of 2,649,621 shares at an average price of \$26.53 (including commission) per share. In accordance with the terms of the 2008 Senior Preferred, common stock repurchases are prohibited. No shares of common stock were purchased during the years ended December 31, 2007 or 2006.

Liquidity

We believe that our present cash flows from operations, investing activities and financing activities are sufficient to fund our working capital and debt obligation needs and we do not expect this to change in the near term due to the following factors:

We do not anticipate changes in our core property and casualty commercial insurance operations which would significantly impact liquidity and we continue to maintain reinsurance contracts which limit the impact of potential catastrophic events.

We have entered into several settlement agreements and assumed reinsurance contracts that require collateralization of future payment obligations and assumed reserves if our ratings or other specific criteria fall below certain thresholds. The ratings triggers are generally more than one level below our current ratings. A downgrade below our current ratings levels would also result in additional collateral requirements for derivative contracts for which we are in a liability position at any given point in time. As of December 31, 2008, the total potential collateralization requirements amounted to approximately \$85 million.

As of December 31, 2008, our holding company held short term investments of \$539 million. Our holding company's ability to meet its debt service and other obligations is significantly dependent on receipt of dividends from our subsidiaries. As discussed further in Note L of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8, the payment of dividends to us by our insurance subsidiaries without prior approval of the insurance department of each subsidiary's domiciliary jurisdiction is limited by formula. Notwithstanding this limitation, we believe that our holding company has sufficient liquidity to fund our preferred stock dividend and debt service payments in 2009.

We have an effective shelf registration statement under which we may issue \$2.0 billion of debt or equity securities.

Commitments, Contingencies, and Guarantees

We have various commitments, contingencies and guarantees which we become involved with during the ordinary course of business. The impact of these commitments, contingencies and guarantees should be considered when evaluating our liquidity and capital resources.

A summary of our commitments as of December 31, 2008 is presented in the following table.

Contractual Commitments

| December 31, 2008 (In millions) | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Debt (a) | \$ 2,980 | \$ 123 | \$ 644 | \$ 503 | \$ 1,710 |
| Lease obligations | 204 | 41 | 70 | 54 | 39 |
| Claim and claim expense reserves (b) | 29,104 | 6,425 | 8,087 | 4,210 | 10,382 |
| Future policy benefits reserves (c) | 11,956 | 176 | 342 | 327 | 11,111 |
| Policyholder funds reserves (c) | 207 | 24 | 10 | 4 | 169 |
| Guaranteed payment contracts (d) | 17 | 16 | 1 | | |
| Preferred stock dividends (e) | 625 | 125 | 250 | 250 | |
| Total (f) | \$ 45,093 | \$ 6,930 | \$ 9,404 | \$ 5,348 | \$ 23,411 |

(a) Includes estimated future interest payments, but does not include original issue discount.

(b) Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves are not discounted and represent our estimate of the amount and timing of the ultimate settlement and administration of gross claims based on our assessment of facts and circumstances known as of December 31, 2008. See the Reserves - Estimates and Uncertainties section of this MD&A for further

information. Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves of \$12 million related to business which has been 100% ceded to unaffiliated parties in connection with the individual life sale are not included.

- (c) Future policy benefits and policyholder funds reserves are not discounted and represent our estimate of the ultimate amount and timing of the settlement of benefits based on our assessment of facts and circumstances known as of December 31, 2008. Future policy benefit reserves of \$810 million and policyholder fund reserves of \$38 million related to business which has been 100% ceded to unaffiliated parties in connection with the sale of our individual life business in 2004 are not included. Additional information on future policy benefits and policyholder funds reserves is included in Note A of the Consolidated

Financial Statements
under Item 8.

- (d) Primarily relating to telecommunications and software services.
- (e) Our preferred stock has a dividend rate of 10% due quarterly. We have reflected the dividend payment in the table above for a period of 5 years, which may be more or less than the actual period the preferred stock remains outstanding. As long as the preferred stock is outstanding, the minimum dividend payment, if declared, is \$125 million a year.
- (f) Does not include expected estimated contribution of \$70 million to the Company's pension and postretirement plans in 2009.

Further information on our commitments, contingencies and guarantees is provided in Notes B, C, F, G, I, K and L of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

Ratings

Ratings are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. Our insurance company subsidiaries are rated by major rating agencies, and these ratings reflect the rating agency's opinion of the insurance company's financial strength, operating performance, strategic position and ability to meet our obligations to policyholders. Agency ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any security, and may be revised or withdrawn at any time by the issuing organization. Each agency's rating should be evaluated independently of any other agency's rating. One or more of these agencies could take action in the future to change the ratings of our insurance subsidiaries.

The table below reflects the various group ratings issued by A.M. Best Company (A.M. Best), Moody's and S&P for the property and casualty and life companies. The table also includes the ratings for CNAF senior debt and The Continental Corporation (Continental) senior debt.

| | Insurance Financial Strength Ratings | | Debt Ratings | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | Property & Casualty CCC Group | Life CAC | CNAF Senior Debt | Continental Senior Debt |
| A.M. Best | A | A- | bbb | Not rated |
| Moody's | A3 | Not rated | Baa3 | Baa3 |
| S&P | A- | Not rated | BBB- | BBB- |

The following rating agency actions were taken by these rating agencies with respect to CNA from January 1, 2008 through February 23, 2009:

On January 27, 2009, S&P withdrew CAC's insurance financial strength rating of BBB+ at our request.

On February 9, 2009, Moody's affirmed CNA's ratings and revised the outlook from stable to negative.

On February 13, 2009, A.M. Best affirmed CNA's ratings and revised the outlook from stable to negative.

In January 2009, we exercised our early termination right under our contract with Fitch Ratings. As a result, we no longer retain Fitch Ratings to issue insurance financial strength ratings for the CCC Group or debt ratings for CNAF and Continental.

If our property and casualty insurance financial strength ratings were downgraded below current levels, our business and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. The severity of the impact on our business is dependent on the level of downgrade and, for certain products, which rating agency takes the rating action. Among the adverse effects in the event of such downgrades would be the inability to obtain a material volume of business from certain major insurance brokers, the inability to sell a material volume of our insurance products to certain markets and the required collateralization of certain future payment obligations or reserves.

As discussed in the Liquidity section above, additional collateralization may be required for certain settlement agreements and assumed reinsurance contracts, as well as derivative contracts, if our ratings or other specific criteria fall below certain thresholds.

In addition, it is possible that a lowering of the debt ratings of Loews by certain of these agencies could result in an adverse impact on our ratings, independent of any change in our circumstances. None of the major rating agencies which rates Loews currently maintains a negative outlook or has Loews on negative Credit Watch.

Accounting Pronouncements

For a discussion of accounting pronouncements that have been adopted or will be adopted in the future, see Note A of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains a number of forward-looking statements which relate to anticipated future events rather than actual present conditions or historical events. These statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and generally include words such as believes, expects, intends, anticipates, estimates, and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this report include any and all statements regarding expected developments in our insurance business, including losses and loss reserves for asbestos and environmental pollution and other mass tort claims which are more uncertain, and therefore more difficult to estimate than loss reserves respecting traditional property and casualty exposures; the impact of routine ongoing insurance reserve reviews we are conducting; our expectations concerning our revenues, earnings, expenses and investment activities; expected cost savings and other results from our expense reduction activities; and our proposed actions in response to trends in our business. Forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to a variety of inherent risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results projected in the forward-looking statement. We cannot control many of these risks and uncertainties. Some examples of these risks and uncertainties are:

conditions in the capital and credit markets including severe levels of volatility, illiquidity, uncertainty and overall disruption, as well as sharply reduced economic activity, that may impact the returns, types, liquidity and valuation of our investments;

general economic and business conditions, including recessionary conditions that may decrease the size and number of our insurance customers and create higher exposures to our lines of business, especially those that provide management and professional liability insurance, as well as surety bonds, to businesses engaged in real estate, financial services and professional services, and inflationary pressures on medical care costs, construction costs and other economic sectors that increase the severity of claims;

the effects of the mergers and failures of a number of prominent financial institutions and government sponsored entities, as well as the effects of accounting and financial reporting scandals and other major failures in internal controls and governance, on capital and credit markets, as well as on the markets for directors and officers and errors and omissions coverages;

changes in foreign or domestic political, social and economic conditions;

regulatory initiatives and compliance with governmental regulations, judicial decisions, including interpretation of policy provisions, decisions regarding coverage and theories of liability, trends in litigation and the outcome of any litigation involving us, and rulings and changes in tax laws and regulations;

regulatory limitations, impositions and restrictions upon us, including the effects of assessments and other surcharges for guaranty funds and second-injury funds, other mandatory pooling arrangements and future assessments levied on insurance companies and other financial industry participants under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 recoupment provisions;

the impact of competitive products, policies and pricing and the competitive environment in which we operate, including changes in our book of business;

product and policy availability and demand and market responses, including the level of ability to obtain rate increases and decline or non-renew under priced accounts, to achieve premium targets and profitability and to realize growth and retention estimates;

development of claims and the impact on loss reserves, including changes in claim settlement policies;

the effectiveness of current initiatives by claims management to reduce loss and expense ratios through more efficacious claims handling techniques;

the performance of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts with us;

conditions in the capital and credit markets that may limit our ability to raise significant amounts of capital on favorable terms, as well as restrictions on the ability or willingness of Loews Corporation to provide additional capital support to us;

weather and other natural physical events, including the severity and frequency of storms, hail, snowfall and other winter conditions, natural disasters such as hurricanes and earthquakes, as well as climate change, including effects on weather patterns, greenhouse gases, sea, land and air temperatures, sea levels, rain and snow;

regulatory requirements imposed by coastal state regulators in the wake of hurricanes or other natural disasters, including limitations on the ability to exit markets or to non-renew, cancel or change terms and conditions in policies, as well as mandatory assessments to fund any shortfalls arising from the inability of quasi-governmental insurers to pay claims;

man-made disasters, including the possible occurrence of terrorist attacks and the effect of the absence or insufficiency of applicable terrorism legislation on coverages;

the unpredictability of the nature, targets, severity or frequency of potential terrorist events, as well as the uncertainty as to our ability to contain our terrorism exposure effectively, notwithstanding the extension through December 31, 2014 of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002;

the occurrence of epidemics;

exposure to liabilities due to claims made by insureds and others relating to asbestos remediation and health-based asbestos impairments, as well as exposure to liabilities for environmental pollution, construction defect claims and exposure to liabilities due to claims made by insureds and others relating to lead-based paint and other mass torts;

the sufficiency of our loss reserves and the possibility of future increases in reserves;

regulatory limitations and restrictions, including limitations upon our ability to receive dividends from our insurance subsidiaries imposed by state regulatory agencies and minimum risk-based capital standards established by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners;

the risks and uncertainties associated with our loss reserves as outlined in the Critical Accounting Estimates and the Reserves Estimates and Uncertainties sections of this MD&A;

the possibility of changes in our ratings by ratings agencies, including the inability to access certain markets or distribution channels and the required collateralization of future payment obligations as a result of such changes, and changes in rating agency policies and practices; and

the actual closing of contemplated transactions and agreements.

Our forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made and we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date of the statement, even if our expectations or any related events or circumstances change.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our financial instruments are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, credit and currency risk. Due to the level of risk associated with certain invested assets and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of these assets, it is possible that changes in these risks in the near term, including increases in interest rates and further credit spread widening, could have an adverse material impact on our results of operations and/or equity.

Discussions herein regarding market risk focus on only one element of market risk, which is price risk. Price risk relates to changes in the level of prices due to changes in interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates or other factors that relate to market volatility of the rate, index or price underlying the financial instrument. Our primary market risk exposures are due to changes in interest rates, although we have certain exposures to changes in equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates. The fair value of the financial instruments is adversely affected when interest rates rise, equity markets decline and the dollar strengthens against foreign currency.

Active management of market risk is integral to our operations. We may use the following tools to manage our exposure to market risk within defined tolerance ranges: (1) change the character of future investments purchased or sold, (2) use derivatives to offset the market behavior of existing assets and liabilities or assets expected to be purchased and liabilities to be incurred, or (3) rebalance our existing asset and liability portfolios.

Sensitivity Analysis

We monitor our sensitivity to interest rate risk by evaluating the change in the value of financial assets and liabilities due to fluctuations in interest rates. The evaluation is performed by applying an instantaneous change in interest rates of varying magnitudes on a static balance sheet to determine the effect such a change in rates would have on our fair value at risk and the resulting effect on stockholders' equity. The analysis presents the sensitivity of the fair value of our financial instruments to selected changes in market rates and prices. The range of change chosen reflects our view of changes that are reasonably possible over a one-year period. The selection of the range of values chosen to represent changes in interest rates should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but rather an illustration of the impact of such events.

The sensitivity analysis estimates the decline in the fair value of our interest sensitive assets and liabilities that were held on December 31, 2008 and 2007 due to instantaneous parallel increases in the period end yield curve of 100 and 150 basis points.

The sensitivity analysis also assumes an instantaneous 10% and 20% decline in the foreign currency exchange rates versus the United States dollar from their levels at December 31, 2008 and 2007, with all other variables held constant.

Equity price risk was measured assuming an instantaneous 10% and 25% decline in the Standard & Poor's 500 Index (S&P 500) from its level at December 31, 2008 and 2007, with all other variables held constant. Our equity holdings were assumed to be highly and positively correlated with the S&P 500.

Our sensitivity analysis has also been applied to the assets supporting our separate account business because certain of our separate account products guarantee principal and a minimum rate of interest. All or a portion of these decreases related to the separate account assets may be offset by decreases in related separate account liabilities to customers, but that is dependent on the position of the separate account in relation to the specific guarantees at the time of the interest rate or price decline. Similarly, increases in the fair value of the separate account investments would also be offset by increases in the same related separate account liabilities by the same approximate amounts.

The following tables present the estimated effects on the fair value of our financial instruments at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, due to an increase in interest rates of 100 basis points, a 10% decline in foreign currency exchange rates and a 10% decline in the S&P 500.

Market Risk Scenario 1

| | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Market | Interest | Currency | Equity |
| | Value | Rate | Risk | Risk |
| December 31, 2008 | | Risk | | |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| General account: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale | \$ 28,886 | \$ (1,919) | \$ (99) | \$ (2) |
| Fixed maturity securities trading | 1 | | | |
| Equity securities available-for-sale | 871 | | (1) | (87) |
| Short term investments available-for-sale | 3,534 | (17) | (13) | |
| Limited partnerships | 1,683 | 1 | | (38) |
| Other invested assets | 28 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total general account | 35,003 | (1,935) | (113) | (127) |
| | | | | |
| Separate accounts: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | 343 | (17) | | |
| Equity securities | 27 | | | (2) |
| Short term investments | 7 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total separate accounts | 377 | (17) | | (2) |
| | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments, included in Other liabilities | (111) | 90 | | |
| | | | | |
| Total securities | \$ 35,269 | \$ (1,862) | \$ (113) | \$ (129) |
| | | | | |
| Debt (carrying value) | \$ 2,058 | \$ (102) | \$ | \$ |

Market Risk Scenario 1

| | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|-----------|---------------------|----------|---------|
| | Market | Interest | Currency | Equity |
| | Value | Rate | Risk | Risk |
| December 31, 2007 | | Risk | | |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| General account: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale | \$ 34,080 | \$ (1,900) | \$ (111) | \$ (42) |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fixed maturity securities trading | 177 | (2) | (1) | (1) |
| Equity securities available-for-sale | 568 | | (1) | (57) |
| Short term investments available-for-sale | 4,497 | (4) | (42) | |
| Short term investments trading | 180 | | | |
| Limited partnerships | 2,214 | 1 | | (43) |
| Other invested assets | 73 | (2) | 8 | (69) |
| | | | | |
| Total general account | 41,789 | (1,907) | (147) | (212) |
| | | | | |
| Separate accounts: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | 419 | (20) | | |
| Equity securities | 45 | | | (5) |
| Short term investments | 6 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total separate accounts | 470 | (20) | | (5) |
| | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments, included in Other liabilities | (62) | 33 | | |
| | | | | |
| Total securities | \$ 42,197 | \$ (1,894) | \$ (147) | \$ (217) |
| | | | | |
| Debt (carrying value) | \$ 2,157 | \$ (107) | \$ | \$ |

The following tables present the estimated effects on the fair value of our financial instruments at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, due to an increase in interest rates of 150 basis points, a 20% decline in foreign currency exchange rates and a 25% decline in the S&P 500.

Market Risk Scenario 2

| | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Market | Interest | Currency | Equity |
| | Value | Rate | Risk | Risk |
| December 31, 2008 | | Risk | | |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| General account: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale | \$ 28,886 | \$ (2,834) | \$ (197) | \$ (5) |
| Fixed maturity securities trading | 1 | | | |
| Equity securities available-for-sale | 871 | | (2) | (218) |
| Short term investments available-for-sale | 3,534 | (29) | (26) | |
| Limited partnerships | 1,683 | 1 | | (94) |
| Other invested assets | 28 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total general account | 35,003 | (2,862) | (225) | (317) |
| | | | | |
| Separate accounts: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | 343 | (25) | | |
| Equity securities | 27 | | | (7) |
| Short term investments | 7 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total separate accounts | 377 | (25) | | (7) |
| | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments, included in Other liabilities | (111) | 131 | | |
| | | | | |
| Total securities | \$ 35,269 | \$ (2,756) | \$ (225) | \$ (324) |
| | | | | |
| Debt (carrying value) | \$ 2,058 | \$ (149) | \$ | \$ |

Market Risk Scenario 2

| | | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|--|-----------|---------------------|----------|----------|
| | Market | Interest | Currency | Equity |
| | Value | Rate | Risk | Risk |
| December 31, 2007 | | Risk | | |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| General account: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale | \$ 34,080 | \$ (2,789) | \$ (221) | \$ (106) |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|----------|----------|
| Fixed maturity securities trading | 177 | (3) | (1) | (3) |
| Equity securities available-for-sale | 568 | | (2) | (142) |
| Short term investments available-for-sale | 4,497 | (6) | (85) | |
| Short term investments trading | 180 | | | |
| Limited partnerships | 2,214 | 1 | | (109) |
| Other invested assets | 73 | 2 | (8) | (171) |
| | | | | |
| Total general account | 41,789 | (2,795) | (317) | (531) |
| | | | | |
| Separate accounts: | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | 419 | (30) | | |
| Equity securities | 45 | | | (11) |
| Short term investments | 6 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total separate accounts | 470 | (30) | | (11) |
| | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments, included in Other liabilities | (62) | 48 | | |
| | | | | |
| Total securities | \$ 42,197 | \$ (2,777) | \$ (317) | \$ (542) |
| | | | | |
| Debt (carrying value) | \$ 2,157 | \$ (156) | \$ | \$ |

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**CNA Financial Corporation****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

| Years ended December 31 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| (In millions, except per share data) | | | |
| Revenues | | | |
| Net earned premiums | \$ 7,151 | \$ 7,484 | \$ 7,603 |
| Net investment income | 1,619 | 2,433 | 2,412 |
| Realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interests | (1,297) | (311) | 86 |
| Other revenues | 326 | 279 | 275 |
| | | | |
| Total revenues | 7,799 | 9,885 | 10,376 |
| | | | |
| Claims, Benefits and Expenses | | | |
| Insurance claims and policyholders' benefits | 5,723 | 6,009 | 6,047 |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | 1,467 | 1,520 | 1,534 |
| Other operating expenses | 1,037 | 994 | 1,027 |
| Restructuring and other related charges | | | (13) |
| Interest | 134 | 140 | 131 |
| | | | |
| Total claims, benefits and expenses | 8,361 | 8,663 | 8,726 |
| | | | |
| Income (loss) before income tax and minority interest | (562) | 1,222 | 1,650 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | 311 | (317) | (469) |
| Minority interest | (57) | (48) | (44) |
| | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (308) | 857 | 1,137 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$9, \$0 and \$7 | 9 | (6) | (29) |
| | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (299) | \$ 851 | \$ 1,108 |
| | | | |
| Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (1.21) | \$ 3.15 | \$ 4.17 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.03 | (0.02) | (0.11) |
| | | | |
| Basic earnings (losses) per share available to common stockholders | \$ (1.18) | \$ 3.13 | \$ 4.06 |

Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share

| | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (1.21) | \$ 3.15 | \$ 4.16 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.03 | (0.02) | (0.11) |
| Diluted earnings (losses) per share available to common stockholders | \$ (1.18) | \$ 3.13 | \$ 4.05 |

Weighted Average Outstanding Common Stock and Common Stock Equivalents

| | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Basic | 269.4 | 271.5 | 262.1 |
| Diluted | 269.4 | 271.8 | 262.3 |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

CNA Financial Corporation
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| December 31 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| (In millions, except share data) | | |
| Assets | | |
| Investments: | | |
| Fixed maturity securities at fair value (amortized cost of \$34,155 and \$34,388) | \$ 28,887 | \$ 34,257 |
| Equity securities at fair value (cost of \$1,016 and \$366) | 871 | 568 |
| Limited partnership investments | 1,683 | 2,214 |
| Other invested assets | 28 | 73 |
| Short term investments | 3,534 | 4,677 |
| | | |
| Total investments | 35,003 | 41,789 |
| Cash | 85 | 94 |
| Reinsurance receivables (less allowance for uncollectible receivables of \$366 and \$461) | 7,395 | 8,228 |
| Insurance receivables (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$221 and \$312) | 1,818 | 1,972 |
| Accrued investment income | 356 | 330 |
| Receivables for securities sold and collateral | 402 | 142 |
| Deferred acquisition costs | 1,125 | 1,161 |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums | 237 | 270 |
| Federal income tax recoverable (includes \$299 and \$0 due from Loews Corporation) | 294 | |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,493 | 1,198 |
| Property and equipment at cost (less accumulated depreciation of \$641 and \$596) | 393 | 378 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 141 | 142 |
| Other assets | 562 | 579 |
| Separate account business | 384 | 476 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 51,688 | \$ 56,759 |
| | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Insurance reserves: | | |
| Claim and claim adjustment expenses | \$ 27,593 | \$ 28,588 |
| Unearned premiums | 3,406 | 3,598 |
| Future policy benefits | 7,529 | 7,106 |
| Policyholders funds | 243 | 930 |
| Collateral on loaned securities and derivatives | 6 | 63 |
| Payables for securities purchased | 12 | 353 |
| Participating policyholders funds | 20 | 45 |
| Short term debt | | 350 |
| Long term debt | 2,058 | 1,807 |
| Federal income taxes payable (includes \$0 and \$5 due to Loews Corporation) | | 2 |
| Reinsurance balances payable | 316 | 401 |
| Other liabilities | 2,824 | 2,505 |
| Separate account business | 384 | 476 |

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Total liabilities | 44,391 | 46,224 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Notes B, C, F, G, I, K and L) | | |
| Minority interest | 420 | 385 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock (12,500,000 shares authorized) | | |
| 2008 Senior Preferred (no par value; \$100,000 stated value; 12,500 shares and no shares issued; held by Loews Corporation) | 1,250 | |
| Common stock (\$2.50 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 273,040,243 shares issued; and 269,024,408 and 271,662,278 shares outstanding) | 683 | 683 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,174 | 2,169 |
| Retained earnings | 6,845 | 7,285 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (3,924) | 103 |
| Treasury stock (4,015,835 and 1,377,965 shares), at cost | (109) | (39) |
| | 6,919 | 10,201 |
| Notes receivable for the issuance of common stock | (42) | (51) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 6,877 | 10,150 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 51,688 | \$ 56,759 |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

CNA Financial Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| Years ended December 31 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| (In millions) | | | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (299) | \$ 851 | \$ 1,108 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows provided by operating activities: | | | |
| (Income) loss from discontinued operations | (9) | 6 | 29 |
| Loss on disposal of property and equipment | 1 | 1 | |
| Minority interest | 57 | 48 | 44 |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) provision | (174) | (99) | 173 |
| Trading portfolio activity | 644 | (12) | 374 |
| Realized investment (gains) losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests | 1,297 | 311 | (86) |
| Undistributed losses (earnings) of equity method investees | 446 | (99) | (170) |
| Net amortization of bond discount | (278) | (252) | (274) |
| Depreciation | 78 | 64 | 48 |
| Changes in: | | | |
| Receivables, net | 987 | 1,386 | 2,427 |
| Accrued investment income | (26) | (17) | (1) |
| Deferred acquisition costs | 36 | 29 | 7 |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums | 33 | 72 | (2) |
| Federal income taxes recoverable/payable | (287) | (38) | 102 |
| Insurance reserves | (590) | (830) | (771) |
| Reinsurance balances payable | (85) | (138) | (1,097) |
| Other assets | 13 | 42 | 142 |
| Other liabilities | (287) | (80) | 306 |
| Other, net | 9 | 7 | (98) |
| Total adjustments | 1,865 | 401 | 1,153 |
| Net cash flows provided by operating activities-continuing operations | \$ 1,566 | \$ 1,252 | \$ 2,261 |
| Net cash flows used by operating activities-discontinued operations | \$ (8) | \$ (13) | \$ (11) |
| Net cash flows provided by operating activities-total | \$ 1,558 | \$ 1,239 | \$ 2,250 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | |
| Purchases of fixed maturity securities | \$ (48,404) | \$ (73,157) | \$ (48,757) |
| Proceeds from fixed maturity securities: | | | |
| Sales | 41,749 | 69,012 | 42,433 |
| Maturities, calls and redemptions | 4,092 | 4,744 | 4,310 |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Purchases of equity securities | (205) | (236) | (340) |
| Proceeds from sales of equity securities | 220 | 340 | 221 |
| Change in short term investments | 1,032 | 1,347 | (1,331) |
| Change in collateral on loaned securities and derivatives | (57) | (2,788) | 2,084 |
| Change in other investments | (295) | (168) | (195) |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (104) | (160) | (131) |
| Dispositions | | 14 | 8 |
| Other, net | 46 | (69) | 16 |
| Net cash flows used by investing activities-continuing operations | \$ (1,926) | \$ (1,121) | \$ (1,682) |
| Net cash flows provided by investing activities-discontinued operations | \$ 18 | \$ 39 | \$ 36 |
| Net cash flows used by investing activities-total | \$ (1,908) | \$ (1,082) | \$ (1,646) |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

| Years ended December 31 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| (In millions) | | | |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | |
| Dividends paid to common stockholders | \$ (122) | \$ (95) | \$ |
| Dividends paid to Loews for 2008 Senior Preferred | (19) | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long term debt | 250 | | 759 |
| Principal payments on debt | (350) | | (294) |
| Return of investment contract account balances | (607) | (122) | (589) |
| Receipts on investment contract account balances | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Payment to repurchase Series H Issue preferred stock | | | (993) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock | | | 499 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of 2008 Senior Preferred | 1,250 | | |
| Stock options exercised | 1 | 18 | 10 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (70) | | |
| Other, net | 11 | 11 | (1) |
| | | | |
| Net cash flows provided (used) by financing activities-continuing operations | \$ 347 | \$ (185) | \$ (605) |
| | | | |
| Net cash flows provided by financing activities-discontinued operations | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | | | |
| Net cash flows provided (used) by financing activities-total | \$ 347 | \$ (185) | \$ (605) |
| | | | |
| Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash-continuing operations | (13) | 5 | |
| | | | |
| Net change in cash | (16) | (23) | (1) |
| Net cash transactions from continuing operations to discontinued operations | 17 | 59 | 14 |
| Net cash transactions from discontinued operations to continuing operations | (17) | (59) | (14) |
| | | | |
| Cash, beginning of year | 101 | 124 | 125 |
| | | | |
| Cash, end of year | \$ 85 | \$ 101 | \$ 124 |
| | | | |
| Cash-continuing operations | \$ 85 | \$ 94 | \$ 84 |
| Cash-discontinued operations | | 7 | 40 |
| | | | |
| Cash-total | \$ 85 | \$ 101 | \$ 124 |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

CNA Financial Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Preferred Stock | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | \$ | \$ 750 |
| Repurchase of Series H Issue | | | (750) |
| Issuance of 2008 Senior Preferred | 1,250 | | |
| Balance, end of period | 1,250 | | |
| Common Stock | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | 683 | 683 | 645 |
| Issuance of common stock | | | 38 |
| Balance, end of period | 683 | 683 | 683 |
| Additional Paid-in Capital | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | 2,169 | 2,166 | 1,701 |
| Issuance of common stock and other | 5 | 3 | 465 |
| Balance, end of period | 2,174 | 2,169 | 2,166 |
| Retained Earnings | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | 7,285 | 6,486 | 5,621 |
| Adjustment to initially apply FSP 85-4-1, net of tax | | 38 | |
| Adjustment to initially apply FIN 48 | | 5 | |
| Adjusted balance, beginning of period | 7,285 | 6,529 | 5,621 |
| Dividends paid to common stockholders | (122) | (95) | |
| Dividends paid to Loews for 2008 Senior Preferred | (19) | | |
| Liquidation preference in excess of par value on Series H Issue | | | (243) |
| Net income (loss) | (299) | 851 | 1,108 |
| Balance, end of period | 6,845 | 7,285 | 6,486 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | 103 | 549 | 359 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (4,027) | (446) | 236 |
| Adjustment to initially apply SFAS 158, net of tax | | | (46) |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Balance, end of period | (3,924) | 103 | 549 |
| Treasury Stock | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | (39) | (58) | (67) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (70) | | |
| Stock options exercised | | 19 | 9 |
| Balance, end of period | (109) | (39) | (58) |
| Notes Receivable for the Issuance of Common Stock | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | (51) | (58) | (59) |
| Decrease in notes receivable for the issuance of common stock | 9 | 7 | 1 |
| Balance, end of period | (42) | (51) | (58) |
| Total Stockholders Equity | \$ 6,877 | \$ 10,150 | \$ 9,768 |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note A. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of CNA Financial Corporation (CNAF) and its controlled subsidiaries. Collectively, CNAF and its subsidiaries are referred to as CNA or the Company. CNA's property and casualty and the remaining life & group insurance operations are primarily conducted by Continental Casualty Company (CCC), The Continental Insurance Company (CIC), Continental Assurance Company (CAC) and CNA Surety Corporation (CNA Surety). The Company owned approximately 62% of the outstanding common stock of CNA Surety as of December 31, 2008. Loews Corporation (Loews) owned approximately 90% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF as of December 31, 2008.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). All significant intercompany amounts have been eliminated. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Business

CNA's core property and casualty insurance operations are reported in two business segments: Standard Lines and Specialty Lines. CNA's non-core operations are managed in two segments: Life & Group Non-Core and Corporate & Other Non-Core.

CNA serves a wide variety of customers, including small, medium and large businesses; associations; professionals; and groups and individuals with a broad range of insurance and risk management products and services.

Core insurance products include commercial property and casualty coverages. Non-core insurance products, which primarily have been sold or placed in run-off, include life and accident and health insurance; retirement products and annuities; and property and casualty reinsurance. CNA services include risk management, information services and claims administration. CNA's products and services are marketed through independent agents, brokers, and managing general agents.

Insurance Operations

Premiums: Insurance premiums on property and casualty insurance contracts are recognized in proportion to the underlying risk insured which principally are earned ratably over the duration of the policies. Premiums on accident and health insurance contracts are earned ratably over the policy year in which they are due. The reserve for unearned premiums on these contracts represents the portion of premiums written relating to the unexpired terms of coverage.

An estimated allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded on the basis of periodic evaluations of balances due currently or in the future from insureds, including amounts due from insureds related to losses under high deductible policies, management's experience and current economic conditions.

Property and casualty contracts that are retrospectively rated contain provisions that result in an adjustment to the initial policy premium depending on the contract provisions and loss experience of the insured during the experience period. For such contracts, the Company estimates the amount of ultimate premiums that the Company may earn upon completion of the experience period and recognizes either an asset or a liability for the difference between the initial policy premium and the estimated ultimate premium. The Company adjusts such estimated ultimate premium amounts during the course of the experience period based on actual results to date. The resulting adjustment is recorded as either a reduction of or an increase to the earned premiums for the period.

Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves: Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, except reserves for structured settlements not associated with asbestos and environmental pollution (A&E), workers

compensation lifetime claims, accident and health claims and certain claims associated with discontinued operations, are not discounted and are based on 1) case basis estimates for losses reported on direct business, adjusted in the aggregate for ultimate loss expectations; 2) estimates of incurred but not reported losses; 3) estimates of losses on assumed reinsurance; 4) estimates of future expenses to be incurred in the settlement of claims; 5) estimates of salvage and subrogation recoveries and 6) estimates of amounts due from insureds related to losses under high deductible policies. Management considers current conditions and trends as well as past Company and industry experience in establishing these estimates. The effects of inflation, which can be significant, are implicitly considered in the reserving process and are part of the recorded reserve balance. Ceded claim and claim adjustment expense reserves are reported as a component of Reinsurance receivables on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. See Note P for further information on claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for discontinued operations.

Claim and claim adjustment expense reserves are presented net of anticipated amounts due from insureds related to losses under deductible policies of \$2.0 billion and \$2.2 billion as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. A significant portion of these amounts is supported by collateral. The Company also has an allowance for uncollectible deductible amounts, which is presented as a component of the allowance for doubtful accounts included in Insurance receivables on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. In 2008, the amount due from policyholders related to losses under deductible policies within Standard Lines was reduced by \$90 million for insolvent insureds. The reduction of this amount, which is reflected as unfavorable net prior year reserve development, had no effect on 2008 results of operations as the Company had previously recognized provisions in prior years. These impacts were reported in Insurance claims and policyholders' benefits in the 2008 Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Structured settlements have been negotiated for certain property and casualty insurance claims. Structured settlements are agreements to provide fixed periodic payments to claimants. Certain structured settlements are funded by annuities purchased from CAC for which the related annuity obligations are reported in future policy benefits reserves. Obligations for structured settlements not funded by annuities are included in claim and claim adjustment expense reserves and carried at present values determined using interest rates ranging from 4.6% to 7.5% at December 31, 2008 and 2007. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the discounted reserves for unfunded structured settlements were \$756 million and \$786 million, net of discount of \$1.1 billion and \$1.2 billion.

Workers' compensation lifetime claim reserves are calculated using mortality assumptions determined through statutory regulation and economic factors. Accident and health claim reserves are calculated using mortality and morbidity assumptions based on Company and industry experience. Workers' compensation lifetime claim reserves and accident and health claim reserves are discounted at interest rates that range from 3.0% to 6.5% for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, such discounted reserves totaled \$1.6 billion and \$1.4 billion, net of discount of \$482 million and \$438 million.

Future policy benefits reserves: Reserves for long term care products are computed using the net level premium method, which incorporates actuarial assumptions as to interest rates, mortality, morbidity, persistency, withdrawals and expenses. Actuarial assumptions generally vary by plan, age at issue and policy duration, and include a margin for adverse deviation. Interest rates range from 6.0% to 8.6% at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and mortality, morbidity and withdrawal assumptions are based on Company and industry experience prevailing at the time of issue. Expense assumptions include the estimated effects of inflation and expenses to be incurred beyond the premium paying period.

Policyholders' funds reserves: Policyholders' funds reserves primarily include reserves for investment contracts without life contingencies, including reserves related to the indexed group annuity portion of the Company's pension deposit business. For these contracts, policyholder liabilities are equal to the accumulated policy account values, which consist of an accumulation of deposit payments plus credited interest, less withdrawals and amounts assessed through the end of the period. During 2008, the Company exited the indexed group annuity portion of its pension deposit business and settled the related liabilities with policyholders with no material impact to results of operations. Cash flows related to the settlement of the liabilities with policyholders are presented on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in Cash flows from financing activities, as Return of investment contract account balances. Cash flows related to proceeds from the liquidation of the related assets supporting the policyholder liabilities are presented on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in Cash flows from operating activities, as Trading portfolio activity.

Guaranty fund and other insurance-related assessments: Liabilities for guaranty fund and other insurance-related assessments are accrued when an assessment is probable, when it can be reasonably estimated, and when the event obligating the entity to pay an imposed or probable assessment has occurred. Liabilities for guaranty funds and other insurance-related assessments are not discounted and are included as part of Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the liability balances were \$170 million and \$178 million. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, included in Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets were \$6 million and \$6 million of related assets for premium tax offsets. This asset is limited to the amount that is able to be offset against premium tax on future premium collections from business written or committed to be written.

Reinsurance: Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with claim and claim adjustment expense reserves or future policy benefits reserves and are reported as receivables on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The cost of reinsurance is primarily accounted for over the life of the underlying reinsured policies using assumptions consistent with those used to account for the underlying policies or over the reinsurance contract period. The ceding of insurance does not discharge the primary liability of the Company. An estimated allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded on the basis of periodic evaluations of balances due from reinsurers, reinsurer solvency, management's experience and current economic conditions. The expenses incurred related to uncollectible reinsurance receivables are presented as a component of Insurance claims and policyholders' benefits on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Reinsurance contracts that do not effectively transfer the underlying economic risk of loss on policies written by the Company are recorded using the deposit method of accounting, which requires that premium paid or received by the ceding company or assuming company be accounted for as a deposit asset or liability. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company had \$25 million and \$40 million recorded as deposit assets and \$110 million and \$117 million recorded as deposit liabilities.

Income on reinsurance contracts accounted for under the deposit method is recognized using an effective yield based on the anticipated timing of payments and the remaining life of the contract. When the estimate of timing of payments changes, the effective yield is recalculated to reflect actual payments to date and the estimated timing of future payments. The deposit asset or liability is adjusted to the amount that would have existed had the new effective yield been applied since the inception of the contract. This adjustment is reflected in Other revenues or Other operating expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations as appropriate.

Participating insurance: Policyholder dividends are accrued using an estimate of the amount to be paid based on underlying contractual obligations under policies and applicable state laws. When limitations exist on the amount of net income from participating life insurance contracts that may be distributed to shareholders, the share of net income on those policies that cannot be distributed to shareholders is excluded from stockholders' equity by a charge to operations and the establishment of a corresponding liability.

Deferred acquisition costs: Acquisition costs include commissions, premium taxes and certain underwriting and policy issuance costs which vary with and are related primarily to the acquisition of business. Such costs related to property and casualty business are deferred and amortized ratably over the period the related premiums are earned.

Deferred acquisition costs related to accident and health insurance are amortized over the premium-paying period of the related policies using assumptions consistent with those used for computing future policy benefit reserves for such contracts. Assumptions as to anticipated premiums are made at the date of policy issuance or acquisition and are consistently applied during the lives of the contracts. Deviations from estimated experience are included in results of operations when they occur. For these contracts, the amortization period is typically the estimated life of the policy.

The Company evaluates deferred acquisition costs for recoverability. Adjustments, if necessary, are recorded in current results of operations. Anticipated investment income is considered in the determination of the recoverability of deferred acquisition costs. Deferred acquisition costs are recorded net of ceding commissions and other ceded acquisition costs. Unamortized deferred acquisition costs relating to contracts that have been substantially changed by a modification in benefits, features, rights or coverages are no longer deferred and are included as a charge to operations in the period during which the contract modification occurred.

Investments in life settlement contracts and related revenue recognition:

Prior to 2002, the Company purchased investments in life settlement contracts. Under a life settlement contract, the Company obtained the

ownership and beneficiary rights of an underlying life insurance policy. In March 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position Technical Bulletin No. 85-4-1, Accounting for Life Settlement Contracts by Third-Party Investors (FSP 85-4-1). A life settlement contract for purposes of FSP 85-4-1 is a contract between the owner of a life insurance policy (the policy owner) and a third-party investor (investor). The previous accounting guidance, FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance (FTB 85-4), required the purchaser of life insurance contracts to account for the life insurance contract at its cash surrender value. Because life insurance contracts are purchased in the secondary market at amounts in excess of the policies' cash surrender values, the application of guidance in FTB 85-4 created a loss upon acquisition of policies. FSP 85-4-1 provides initial and subsequent measurement guidance and financial statement presentation and disclosure guidance for investments by third-party investors in life settlement contracts. FSP 85-4-1 allows an investor to elect to account for its investments in life settlement contracts using either the investment method or the fair value method. The election must be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. The Company adopted FSP 85-4-1 on January 1, 2007.

The Company elected to account for its investments in life settlement contracts using the fair value method and the initial impact upon adoption of FSP 85-4-1 under the fair value method was an increase to retained earnings of \$38 million, net of tax.

Under the fair value method, each life settlement contract is carried at its fair value at the end of each reporting period. The change in fair value, life insurance proceeds received and periodic maintenance costs, such as premiums, necessary to keep the underlying policy in force, are recorded in Other revenues on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. Amounts presented related to 2006 were accounted for under the previous accounting guidance, FTB 85-4, where the carrying value of life settlement contracts was the cash surrender value, and revenue was recognized and included in Other revenues on the Consolidated Statement of Operations when the life insurance policy underlying the life settlement contract matured. Under the previous accounting guidance, maintenance expenses were expensed as incurred and included in Other operating expenses on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The Company's investments in life settlement contracts were \$129 million and \$115 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and are included in Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The cash receipts and payments related to life settlement contracts are included in Cash flows from operating activities on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for all periods presented.

The fair value of each life insurance policy is determined as the present value of the anticipated death benefits less anticipated premium payments for that policy. These anticipated values are determined using mortality rates and policy terms that are distinct for each insured. The discount rate used reflects current risk-free rates at applicable durations and the risks associated with assessing the current medical condition of the insured, the potential volatility of mortality experience for the portfolio and longevity risk. The Company used its own experience to determine the fair value of its portfolio of life settlement contracts. The mortality experience of this portfolio of life insurance policies may vary by quarter due to its relatively small size.

The following table details the values for life settlement contracts.

| | | | Fair Value of Life Settlement | | Face Amount of Life Insurance |
|----------------------------|--|----|--|----|--|
| | Number of Life Settlement Contracts | | Contracts (In millions) | | Policies (In millions) |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Estimated maturity during: | | | | | |
| 2009 | 100 | \$ | 19 | \$ | 55 |
| 2010 | 100 | | 17 | | 55 |
| 2011 | 100 | | 15 | | 53 |
| 2012 | 100 | | 13 | | 53 |
| 2013 | 100 | | 11 | | 51 |
| Thereafter | 814 | | 54 | | 436 |

| | | | | | |
|-------|-------|----|-----|----|-----|
| Total | 1,314 | \$ | 129 | \$ | 703 |
|-------|-------|----|-----|----|-----|

The Company uses an actuarial model to estimate the aggregate face amount of life insurance that is expected to mature in each future year and the corresponding fair value. This model projects the likelihood of the insured's death for each in force policy based upon the Company's estimated mortality rates. The number of life settlement contracts presented in the table above is based upon the average face amount of in force policies estimated to mature in each future year.

The increase in fair value recognized for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 on contracts still being held was \$17 million and \$12 million. The gain recognized during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 on contracts that matured was \$30 million and \$38 million.

Separate Account Business:

Separate account assets and liabilities represent contract holder funds related to investment and annuity products for which the policyholder assumes substantially all the risk and reward. The assets are segregated into accounts with specific underlying investment objectives and are legally segregated from the Company. All assets of the separate account business are carried at fair value with an equal amount recorded for separate account liabilities. Certain of the separate account investment contracts related to the Company's pension deposit business guarantee principal and a minimum rate of interest, for which additional amounts may be recorded in Policyholders' funds should the aggregate contract value exceed fair value of the related assets supporting the business at any point in time. Most of these contracts are subject to a fair value adjustment if terminated by the policyholder. During 2008, the Company recorded \$68 million of additional amounts in Policyholders' funds due to declines in the value of the related assets. To the extent the related assets supporting the business recover in value in the future, the amount of any such recovery will accrue to the Company's benefit and will reduce the related liability in Policyholders' funds. Fee income accruing to the Company related to separate accounts is primarily included within Other revenue on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Investments

Valuation of investments: CNA classifies its fixed maturity securities and its equity securities as either available-for-sale or trading, and as such, they are carried at fair value. Changes in fair value of trading securities are reported within Net investment income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The amortized cost of fixed maturity securities classified as available-for-sale is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity, which are included in Net investment income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Changes in fair value related to available-for-sale securities are reported as a component of Other comprehensive income. Investments are written down to fair value and losses are recognized in Realized investment gains (losses) on the Consolidated Statements of Operations when a decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary.

For asset-backed securities included in fixed maturity securities, the Company recognizes income using an effective yield based on anticipated prepayments and the estimated economic life of the securities. When estimates of prepayments change, the effective yield is recalculated to reflect actual payments to date and anticipated future payments. The net investment in the securities is adjusted to the amount that would have existed had the new effective yield been applied since the acquisition of the securities. Such adjustments are reflected in Net investment income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Interest income on lower rated beneficial interests in securitized financial assets is determined using the prospective yield method.

The Company's carrying value of investments in limited partnerships is its share of the net asset value of each partnership, as determined by the General Partner. Certain partnerships for which results are not available on a timely basis are reported on a lag, primarily one month. Changes in net asset values are accounted for under the equity method and recorded within Net investment income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Other invested assets include certain derivative securities and real estate investments. The Company's accounting for derivative securities is discussed in further detail below. Real estate investments are carried at the lower of cost or fair value.

Short term investments are generally carried at amortized cost, which approximates fair value.

Realized investment gains (losses): All securities sold resulting in investment gains (losses) are recorded on the trade date, except for bank loan participations which are recorded on the date that the legal agreements are finalized. Realized investment gains (losses) are determined on the basis of the cost or amortized cost of the specific securities sold.

Securities lending activities: CNA lends securities to unrelated parties, primarily major brokerage firms, through two programs: an internally managed program and an external program managed by the Company's lead custodial bank as agent. The securities lending program is for the purpose of enhancing income. The Company does not lend securities

for operating or financing purposes. Borrowers of these securities must initially deposit collateral with the Company of at least 102% and maintain collateral of no less than 100% of

75

the fair value of the securities loaned, regardless of whether the collateral is cash or securities. Only cash collateral is accepted for the Company's internally managed program and is typically invested in the highest quality commercial paper with maturities of less than 7 days. U.S. Government, agencies or Government National Mortgage Association securities are accepted as non-cash collateral for the external program. The Company maintains effective control over all loaned securities and, therefore, continues to report such securities as Fixed maturity securities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The lending programs are matched-book programs where the collateral is invested to substantially match the term of the loan which limits risk. In accordance with the Company's lending agreements, securities on loan are returned immediately to the Company upon notice. Cash collateral received on these transactions is invested in short term investments with an offsetting liability recognized for the obligation to return the collateral. Non-cash collateral, such as securities received by the Company, is not reflected as an asset of the Company as there exists no right to sell or repledge the collateral. The fair value of collateral held related to securities lending, included in Short term investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, was \$53 million at December 31, 2007. There was no cash collateral held at December 31, 2008. The fair value of non-cash collateral was \$348 million and \$273 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Derivative Financial Instruments

All investments in derivatives are recorded at fair value. A derivative is typically defined as an instrument whose value is derived from an underlying instrument, index or rate, has a notional amount, requires little or no initial investment and can be net settled. Derivatives include, but are not limited to, the following types of financial instruments: interest rate swaps, interest rate caps and floors, put and call options, warrants, futures, forwards, commitments to purchase securities, credit default swaps and combinations of the foregoing. Derivatives embedded within non-derivative instruments (such as call options embedded in convertible bonds) must be separated from the host instrument when the embedded derivative is not clearly and closely related to the host instrument.

The Company's derivatives are reported as Other invested assets or Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Embedded derivative instruments subject to bifurcation are reported together with the host contract, at fair value. If certain criteria are met, a derivative may be specifically designated as a hedge of exposures to changes in fair value, cash flows or foreign currency exchange rates. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on the intended use of the derivative, the nature of any hedge designation thereon and whether the derivative was transacted in a designated trading portfolio.

The Company's accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives not held in a trading portfolio is as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Nature of Hedge Designation | Derivative's Change in Fair Value Reflected In: |
| No hedge designation | Realized investment gains (losses) |
| Fair value designation | Realized investment gains (losses), along with the change in fair value of the hedged asset or liability that is attributable to the hedged risk |
| Cash flow designation | Other comprehensive income, with subsequent reclassification to earnings when the hedged transaction, asset or liability impacts earnings |
| Foreign currency designation | Consistent with fair value or cash flow above, depending on the nature of the hedging relationship |

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedging transactions. The Company also formally assesses (both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis) whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions have been highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of hedged items and whether those derivatives may be expected to remain highly effective in future periods. When it is

determined that a derivative for which hedge accounting has been designated is not (or ceases to be) highly effective, the Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively.

Derivatives held in designated trading portfolios are carried at fair value with changes therein reflected in Net investment income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. These derivatives are generally not designated as hedges.

Income Taxes

The Company and its eligible subsidiaries (CNA Tax Group) are included in the consolidated federal income tax return of Loews and its eligible subsidiaries. The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial statement and tax return bases of assets and liabilities. Future tax benefits are recognized to the extent that realization of such benefits is more likely than not, and a valuation allowance is established for any portion of a deferred tax asset that management believes will not be realized.

Pension and Postretirement Benefits

The Company recognizes the overfunded or underfunded status of its defined benefit plans in Other assets or Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and recognizes changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through Other comprehensive income. The Company measures its funded status at December 31.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company records compensation expense using the fair value method for all awards it grants, modifies, repurchases or cancels primarily on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, generally four years.

Foreign Currency

Foreign currency translation gains and losses are reflected in Stockholders' equity as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income. The Company's foreign subsidiaries' balance sheet accounts are translated at the exchange rates in effect at each year end and income statement accounts are translated at the average exchange rates. Foreign currency transaction losses of \$35 million, \$10 million and \$7 million were included in determining net income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is based on the estimated useful lives of the various classes of property and equipment and is determined principally on the straight-line method. Furniture and fixtures are depreciated over seven years. Office equipment is depreciated over five years. The estimated lives for data processing equipment and software range from three to five years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the corresponding lease terms. The Company's owned buildings are depreciated over a period not to exceed fifty years. Capitalized improvements are depreciated over the remaining useful lives of the buildings.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets of \$141 million and \$142 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 primarily represent the excess of purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of acquired entities and businesses. The balance at December 31, 2008 and 2007 related to the Specialty Lines segment, \$139 million of which related to CNA Surety. During 2008, the Company determined that other intangible assets of \$1 million related to the Specialty Lines segment were impaired. Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment annually or when certain triggering events require such tests.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share Data

Earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders is based on weighted average outstanding shares. Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. Approximately 1.6 million, 300 thousand and 600 thousand shares, for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, attributable to exercises under stock-based employee compensation plans, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive.

In November 2008, the Company sold \$1.25 billion of a new series of preferred stock, designated the 2008 Senior Preferred Stock (2008 Senior Preferred), to Loews. The 2008 Senior Preferred accrues cumulative dividends at an initial rate of 10% per year. If declared, dividends are payable quarterly and any dividends not declared or paid when due will be compounded quarterly. See Note L for further details.

The Series H Cumulative Preferred Stock Issue (Series H Issue) was held by Loews and accrued cumulative dividends at an initial rate of 8% per year, compounded annually. In August 2006, the Company repurchased the Series H Issue from Loews for approximately \$993 million, a price equal to the liquidation preference.

The computation of earnings (loss) per share is as follows.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

| Years ended December 31 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| (In millions, except per share amounts) | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (308) | \$ 857 | \$ 1,137 |
| Less: undeclared Series H Issue dividend through repurchase date | | | (46) |
| Less: declared 2008 Senior Preferred dividend | (19) | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations available to common stockholders | \$ (327) | \$ 857 | \$ 1,091 |
| Weighted average outstanding common stock and common stock equivalents | 269.4 | 271.5 | 262.1 |
| Effect of dilutive securities, employee stock options and appreciation rights | | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Adjusted weighted average outstanding common stock and common stock equivalents assuming conversions | 269.4 | 271.8 | 262.3 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations available to common stockholders | \$ (1.21) | \$ 3.15 | \$ 4.17 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations available to common stockholders | \$ (1.21) | \$ 3.15 | \$ 4.16 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.35 | \$ |

Supplementary Cash Flow Information

Cash payments made for interest were \$139 million, \$142 million and \$109 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. Cash payments made for federal income taxes were \$120 million, \$420 million and \$173 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Accounting Pronouncements

Adopted as of December 31, 2008

Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurement (SFAS 157)

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, specifies acceptable valuation techniques, prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation techniques into a fair value hierarchy and expands the disclosure requirements for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and a non-recurring basis. The SFAS 157 hierarchy is based on observable inputs reflecting market data obtained from independent sources or unobservable inputs reflecting the Company's market assumptions. This hierarchy requires the Company to use observable market data, when available.

In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position SFAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP SFAS 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for all non-recurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until the fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2008. As a result, the Company partially applied the provisions of SFAS 157 upon adoption at January 1, 2008. The Company will apply the provisions of SFAS 157 to reporting units measured at fair value for the purposes of goodwill impairment testing or to indefinite-lived intangible assets measured at fair value for impairment assessment as of January 1, 2009. Adoption of these provisions is not anticipated to impact the Company's financial condition or results of operations. The Company's adoption of SFAS 157 on January 1, 2008 had no impact on financial condition or results of operations as of or for the year ended December 31, 2008. The Company has complied with the disclosure requirements of SFAS 157 in Note D.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset in a Market That Is Not Active (FSP FAS 157-3)

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-3, which clarifies the application of SFAS 157 in an inactive market. The FSP addresses application issues such as how management's internal assumptions should be considered when measuring fair value when relevant observable data does not exist, how observable market information in a market that is not active should be considered when measuring fair value and how the use of market quotes should be considered when assessing the relevance of observable and unobservable data available to measure fair value. FSP FAS 157-3 was effective upon issuance. The Company's adoption of FSP FAS 157-3 had no impact on financial condition or results of operations as of or for the year ended December 31, 2008.

SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS 159)

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, which provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in earnings. SFAS 159 helps to mitigate accounting-induced earnings volatility by enabling companies to report related assets and liabilities at fair value, which may reduce the need for companies to comply with detailed rules for hedge accounting. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 requires companies to provide additional information that will help investors and other users of financial statements to more easily understand the effect of the company's choice to use fair value on its earnings. It also requires entities to display the fair value of those assets and liabilities for which the company has chosen to use fair value on the face of the balance sheet. The Company did not select the fair

value option for any assets and liabilities currently held, therefore the Company's adoption of SFAS 159 on January 1, 2008 had no impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations as of or for the year ended December 31, 2008.

FSP FIN 39-1, Amendment of FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 39 (FSP FIN 39-1)

In April 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN 39-1, which amends FIN 39, Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts (FIN 39), by permitting a reporting entity to offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting arrangement that have been offset in the statement of financial position in accordance with FIN 39. Additionally, FSP FIN 39-1 requires that a reporting entity shall not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments without offsetting fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral.

The Company adopted FSP FIN 39-1 in 2008, by electing to not offset cash collateral amounts recognized for derivative instruments under the same master netting arrangements and as a result will no longer offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments. The Company presented the effect of adopting FSP FIN 39-1 as a change in accounting principle through retrospective application. The effect on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 was an increase of \$18 million and \$27 million in Other invested assets and Other liabilities. The Company's adoption of FSP FIN 39-1 had no impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations as of or for the year ended December 31, 2008.

FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, Disclosures About Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees: An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No. 45; and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161 (FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4)

In September 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, which amends FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, to require disclosures by sellers of credit derivatives regarding the nature, circumstances requiring performance and current status of performance risk under the derivative. This FSP also requires disclosure of the maximum amount of future payments under the derivatives, the fair value of the derivatives and the nature of any recourse and collateral under the derivatives. This FSP also amends FASB Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, to require an additional disclosure about the current status of the payment/performance risk of a guarantee. The Company has complied with the disclosure requirements related to credit derivatives in Note C and guarantees in Note K.

FSP Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 99-20-1, Amendments to the Impairment and Interest Income Measurement Guidance of EITF Issue 99-20 (FSP 99-20-1)

In January 2009, the FASB issued FSP 99-20-1, which amends EITF Issue No. 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased Beneficial Interests and Beneficial Interests That Continue to Be Held by a Transferor in Securitized Financial Assets, to achieve more consistent determination of whether other-than-temporary impairments of available-for-sale or held-to-maturity debt securities have occurred. Specifically, FSP 99-20-1 amends EITF 99-20 to align the impairment guidance in EITF 99-20 with the impairment guidance in SFAS 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. CNA adopted this FSP as of December 31, 2008. The adoption of FSP 99-20-1 did not have an impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

To be adopted after December 31, 2008

SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 161)

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 161, which amends SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and requires enhanced disclosures regarding the use of derivative instruments, how they are accounted for and how they affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS 161 will have no impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 (SFAS 160)

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 160, which provides accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that an ownership interest in a subsidiary should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements, requires consolidated net income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest and provides for expanded disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of this standard will have no impact on our financial condition or results of operations, but will impact the presentation of minority interest on the consolidated financial statements.

FSP No. 132(R)-1, Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets (FSP 132(R)-1)

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP 132(R)-1, which requires additional disclosures regarding plan assets and how investment allocation decisions are made, including the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and procedures, the major categories of plan assets, the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets, the effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 of the SFAS 157 hierarchy) on changes in plan assets for the period, and significant concentrations of risk within plan assets. The additional disclosures required by FSP 132(R)-1 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The adoption of this standard will have no impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Note B. Investments

The significant components of net investment income are presented in the following table.

Net Investment Income

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fixed maturity securities | \$ 1,984 | \$ 2,047 | \$ 1,842 |
| Short term investments | 115 | 186 | 248 |
| Limited partnerships | (379) | 183 | 288 |
| Equity securities | 80 | 25 | 23 |
| Income (loss) from trading portfolio (a) | (149) | 10 | 103 |
| Interest on funds withheld and other deposits | (2) | (1) | (68) |
| Other | 21 | 36 | 18 |
| | | | |
| Gross investment income | 1,670 | 2,486 | 2,454 |
| Investment expenses | (51) | (53) | (42) |
| | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 1,619 | \$ 2,433 | \$ 2,412 |

- (a) The change in net unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities included in Net investment income was \$3 million and \$(15) million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. There was no change in net unrealized gains (losses) on trading securities included in Net investment income for the year ended December 31, 2006.

In 2008, the Company re-evaluated its classification of preferred stocks between redeemable and non-redeemable and determined that certain securities that were previously classified as redeemable preferred stock have characteristics similar to equities. These securities are presented as preferred stock securities included in Equity securities on the December 31, 2008 Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Net realized investment gains (losses) are presented in the following table.

Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses)

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Net realized investment gains (losses): | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities: | | | |
| Gross realized gains: | \$ 532 | \$ 486 | \$ 382 |
| Gross realized losses: | | | |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | (1,081) | (716) | (168) |
| Trading | (282) | (248) | (209) |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) on fixed maturity securities | (831) | (478) | 5 |
| Equity securities: | | | |
| Gross realized gains: | 22 | 146 | 24 |
| Gross realized losses: | | | |
| Other-than-temporary impairments | (403) | (25) | (5) |
| Trading | (109) | (4) | (3) |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) on equity securities | (490) | 117 | 16 |
| Other net realized investment gains | 24 | 50 | 65 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interest | \$ (1,297) | \$ (311) | \$ 86 |

Net change in unrealized gains (losses) in investments is presented in the following table.

Net Change in Unrealized Gains (Losses)

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments: | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | \$ (5,137) | \$ (847) | \$ 98 |
| Equity securities | (347) | (47) | 78 |
| Other | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Total net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments | (5,479) | (892) | 178 |
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on discontinued operations and other | (12) | 1 | (10) |
| Allocated to participating policyholders and minority interests | 48 | 3 | 4 |
| Deferred income tax (expense) benefit | 1,932 | 315 | (58) |

| | | | |
|--|------------|----------|--------|
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments | \$ (3,511) | \$ (573) | \$ 114 |
|--|------------|----------|--------|

Net realized investment losses included \$1,484 million, \$741 million and \$173 million of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The 2008 OTTI losses were recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds, asset-backed bonds and non-redeemable preferred equity securities sectors. The 2007 OTTI losses were recorded primarily in the asset-backed bonds and corporate and other taxable bonds sectors. The 2006 OTTI losses were recorded primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds sector. The 2008 OTTI losses were driven primarily by deteriorating world-wide economic conditions and the resulting disruption of the financial and credit markets. Additional factors that contributed to recognizing impairments in 2008 were the conservatorship of the government sponsored entities Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) and the failure of several financial institutions. The 2007 OTTI losses were driven mainly by credit market conditions and disruption caused by issues surrounding the sub-prime residential mortgage (sub-prime) crisis. The OTTI losses for 2006 were primarily driven by an increase in interest rate related OTTI losses on securities for which the Company did not assert an intent to hold until an anticipated recovery in value.

An investment is impaired if the fair value of the investment is less than its cost adjusted for accretion, amortization and OTTI, otherwise defined as an unrealized loss. When an investment is impaired, the impairment is evaluated to determine whether it is temporary or other-than-temporary.

Significant judgment is required in the determination of whether an OTTI has occurred for an investment. The Company follows a consistent and systematic process for determining and recording an OTTI loss. The Company has established a committee responsible for the OTTI process. This committee, referred to as the Impairment Committee, is made up of three officers appointed by the Company's Chief Financial Officer. The Impairment Committee is responsible for analyzing all securities in an unrealized loss position on at least a quarterly basis.

The Impairment Committee's assessment of whether an OTTI loss should be recognized incorporates both quantitative and qualitative information. The Impairment Committee considers a number of factors including, but not limited to: (a) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, (b) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, (c) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value, (d) whether the debtor is current on interest and principal payments and (e) general market conditions and industry or sector specific outlook.

As part of the Impairment Committee's review of impaired asset-backed securities it also considers results and analysis of cash flow modeling. The focus of this analysis is on assessing the sufficiency and quality of the underlying collateral and timing of cash flows based on various scenario tests. This additional data provides the Impairment Committee with additional context to evaluate current market conditions to determine if the impairment is temporary in nature.

For securities considered to be OTTI, the security is adjusted to fair value and the resulting losses are recognized in Realized investment gains (losses) on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The significant credit spread widening in 2008 negatively impacted the fair value of several asset classes resulting in material unrealized losses and impacted the unrealized loss aging as presented in the tables below. The Company's assertion to hold until a recovery in value takes into account a view on the estimated recovery horizon which in some cases may include maturity. Given the prolonged nature of the current market downturn, the duration and severity of the unrealized losses has progressed well beyond historical norms. The Company will continue to monitor these losses and will assess all facts and circumstances as they become known which may result in changes to the conclusions reached based on current facts and circumstances and additional OTTI losses.

The following tables provide a summary of fixed maturity and equity securities investments.

Summary of Fixed Maturity and Equity Securities

| | Cost or | Gross | Gross Unrealized | | Estimated |
|---|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Amortized | Unrealized | Less | 12 | Fair |
| | Cost | Gains | than | Months | Value |
| December 31, 2008 | | | 12 | or | |
| (In millions) | | | Months | Greater | |
| Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale: | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of government agencies | \$ 2,862 | \$ 69 | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ 2,930 |
| Asset-backed securities | 9,670 | 24 | 961 | 969 | 7,764 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions tax-exempt securities | 8,557 | 90 | 609 | 623 | 7,415 |
| Corporate and other taxable bonds | 12,993 | 275 | 1,164 | 1,374 | 10,730 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 72 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 47 |
| | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturity securities available-for-sale | 34,154 | 459 | 2,758 | 2,969 | 28,886 |
| | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturity securities trading | 1 | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| Equity securities available-for-sale: | | | | | |
| Common stock | 134 | 190 | 1 | 3 | 320 |
| Preferred stock | 882 | 5 | 15 | 321 | 551 |
| | | | | | |
| Total equity securities available-for-sale | 1,016 | 195 | 16 | 324 | 871 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 35,171 | \$ 654 | \$ 2,774 | \$ 3,293 | \$ 29,758 |

Summary of Fixed Maturity and Equity Securities

| | Cost or | Gross | Gross Unrealized | | Estimated |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | Amortized | Unrealized | Less | 12 | Fair |
| | Cost | Gains | than | Months | Value |
| December 31, 2007 | | | 12 | or | |
| (In millions) | | | Months | Greater | |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale: | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of government agencies | \$ 594 | \$ 93 | \$ | \$ | \$ 687 |
| Asset-backed securities | 11,776 | 39 | 223 | 183 | 11,409 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions tax-exempt securities | 7,615 | 144 | 82 | 2 | 7,675 |
| Corporate and other taxable bonds | 13,010 | 454 | 197 | 16 | 13,251 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 1,216 | 2 | 160 | | 1,058 |
| | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturity securities available-for-sale | 34,211 | 732 | 662 | 201 | 34,080 |
| | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturity securities trading | 177 | | | | 177 |
| | | | | | |
| Equity securities available-for-sale: | | | | | |
| Common stock | 246 | 207 | 1 | | 452 |
| Preferred stock | 120 | 7 | 11 | | 116 |
| | | | | | |
| Total equity securities available-for-sale | 366 | 214 | 12 | | 568 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 34,754 | \$ 946 | \$ 674 | \$ 201 | \$ 34,825 |

The following table summarizes, for available-for-sale fixed income securities, preferred stocks and common stocks in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2008 and 2007, the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time those securities have been continuously in an unrealized loss position.

Unrealized Loss Aging

| | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | Estimated | Gross | Estimated | Gross |
| | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized |
| | Value | Loss | Value | Loss |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | |
| Investment grade: | | | | |
| 0-6 months | \$ 6,749 | \$ 681 | \$ 4,771 | \$ 228 |
| 7-11 months | 6,159 | 1,591 | 1,584 | 193 |
| 12-24 months | 3,549 | 1,803 | 690 | 57 |
| Greater than 24 months | 1,778 | 509 | 3,869 | 138 |
| | | | | |
| Total investment grade | 18,235 | 4,584 | 10,914 | 616 |
| | | | | |
| Non-investment grade: | | | | |
| 0-6 months | 853 | 290 | 1,527 | 73 |
| 7-11 months | 374 | 173 | 125 | 8 |
| 12-24 months | 1,078 | 647 | 26 | 4 |
| Greater than 24 months | 12 | 7 | 9 | 2 |
| | | | | |
| Total non-investment grade | 2,317 | 1,117 | 1,687 | 87 |
| | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | 20,552 | 5,701 | 12,601 | 703 |
| | | | | |
| Redeemable and non-redeemable preferred stocks: | | | | |
| 0-6 months | 39 | 26 | 893 | 143 |
| 7-11 months | 43 | 12 | 104 | 28 |
| 12-24 months | 497 | 324 | | |
| Greater than 24 months | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total preferred stocks | 579 | 362 | 997 | 171 |
| | | | | |
| Common stocks: | | | | |
| 0-6 months | 5 | 1 | 34 | 1 |
| 7-11 months | | | 1 | |
| 12-24 months | 9 | 3 | | |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| Greater than 24 months | 3 | | 3 | |
| Total common stocks | 17 | 4 | 38 | 1 |
| Total | \$ 21,148 | \$ 6,067 | \$ 13,636 | \$ 875 |

At December 31, 2008, the fair value of fixed maturities was \$28,887 million, representing 83% of the total investment portfolio. The gross unrealized loss for any single issuer was less than 0.6% of the carrying value of the total fixed maturity portfolio. The total fixed maturity portfolio gross unrealized losses included 2,335 securities which were, in aggregate, approximately 22% below amortized cost.

The gross unrealized losses on equity securities were \$340 million, including 171 securities which were, in aggregate, approximately 38% below cost.

The classification between investment grade and non-investment grade is based on a ratings methodology that takes into account ratings from the three major providers, Standard and Poors, Moody's Investor Services and Fitch Ratings in that order of preference. If a security is not rated by any of the three, the Company formulates an internal rating.

Given the current facts and circumstances, the Impairment Committee has determined that the securities presented in the above unrealized gain/loss tables were temporarily impaired when evaluated at December 31,

2008 and December 31, 2007, and therefore no related realized losses were recorded. A discussion of some of the factors reviewed in making that determination as of December 31, 2008 is presented below.

Decreases in the fair value of fixed income securities during 2008 were primarily driven by a sharp increase in risk premium related to credit, structure, liquidity, and other risks as opposed to changes in interest rates. The decline in fair values was aggravated by a general deterioration in liquidity with widening bid/ask spreads and significant portfolio liquidations of assets as the financial system sought to reduce leverage. These declines in fair value were most severe for longer duration assets as credit spread curves steepened dramatically. Declines were particularly severe for structured securities, financial sector obligations and the obligations of non-investment grade credits.

Asset-Backed Securities

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in asset-backed securities were caused by a combination of factors related to the market disruption caused by credit concerns that began with the sub-prime issue, but then also extended into other asset-backed securities in the Company's portfolio related to reasons as discussed above.

The majority of the holdings in this category are collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), typically collateralized with prime residential mortgages, and corporate asset-backed structured securities (ABS). The holdings in these sectors include 515 securities in a gross unrealized loss position aggregating \$1,928 million. The aggregate severity of the unrealized loss was approximately 23% of amortized cost. The contractual cash flows on the asset-backed structured securities are passed through, but may be structured into classes of preference. The securities in this category are modeled in order to evaluate the risks of default on the performance of the underlying collateral. Within this analysis multiple factors are analyzed including probable risk of default, loss severity upon a default, payment delinquency, over collateralization and interest coverage triggers, credit support from lower-rated tranches and rating agency actions amongst others. Securities are modeled against base-case and reasonable stress scenarios of probable default activity, given current market conditions, and then analyzed for potential impact to our particular holdings. The structured securities held are generally secured by over collateralization or default protection provided by subordinated tranches. For the year ended December 31, 2008, there were OTTI losses of \$465 million recorded on asset-backed securities.

The remainder of the holdings in this category includes mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. Government. There were 272 agency mortgage-backed pass-through securities and 2 agency CMOs in an unrealized loss position aggregating \$2 million as of December 31, 2008. The cumulative unrealized losses on these securities were approximately 2% of amortized cost. These securities do not tend to be influenced by the credit of the issuer but rather the characteristics and projected cash flows of the underlying collateral. For the year ended December 31, 2008, there were no OTTI losses recorded for mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. Government.

The following table summarizes asset-backed securities in an unrealized loss position by ratings distribution at December 31, 2008.

Gross Unrealized Losses by Ratings Distribution

December 31, 2008

(In millions)

| Rating | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Loss |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| AAA | \$ 6,810 | \$ 5,545 | \$ 1,265 |
| AA | 568 | 318 | 250 |
| A | 437 | 186 | 251 |
| BBB | 327 | 264 | 63 |
| Non-investment grade | 289 | 188 | 101 |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Total | \$ | 8,431 | \$ | 6,501 | \$ | 1,930 |
|--------------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|

The Company believes the decline in fair value was primarily attributable to broader deteriorating market conditions, liquidity concerns and widening bid/ask spreads brought about as a result of portfolio liquidations

and is not indicative of the quality of the underlying collateral. Because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the Company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008.

States, Municipalities and Political Subdivisions Tax-Exempt Securities

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in tax-exempt municipal securities were caused by overall market conditions, changes in credit spreads, and to a lesser extent, changes in interest rates. Market conditions in the tax-exempt sector were driven by significant selling pressure in the market particularly in the second half of 2008. This selling pressure was caused by a combination of factors that resulted in forced liquidations of municipal positions that increased supply while demand was decreasing. These conditions increased the yields of the sector far above historical norms sending prices down and increasing the Company's unrealized losses. The Company invests in tax-exempt municipal securities as an asset class for economic benefits of the returns on the class compared to like after-tax returns on alternative classes. The holdings in this category include 742 securities in a gross unrealized loss position aggregating \$1,232 million with all of these unrealized losses related to investment grade securities (rated BBB- or higher) as reflected in the following table that summarizes the ratings distribution of tax-exempt securities in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2008.

Gross Unrealized Losses by Ratings Distribution

December 31, 2008

(In millions)

| Rating | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Loss |
|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| AAA | \$ 2,044 | \$ 1,780 | \$ 264 |
| AA | 2,566 | 2,213 | 353 |
| A | 1,080 | 831 | 249 |
| BBB | 862 | 496 | 366 |
| Total | \$ 6,552 | \$ 5,320 | \$ 1,232 |

The portfolio consists primarily of special revenue and assessment bonds, representing 82% of the overall portfolio, followed by general obligation political subdivision bonds at 12%, and state general obligation bonds at 6%.

The largest exposures at December 31, 2008 as measured by unrealized losses were special revenue bonds issued by several states backed by tobacco settlement funds with unrealized losses of \$360 million and several separate issues of Puerto Rico Sales Tax revenue bonds with unrealized losses of \$118 million. All of these securities are investment grade. Based on the Company's current evaluation of these securities and its ability and intent to hold them until an anticipated recovery in value, the Company does not consider these to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008.

The aggregate severity of the total unrealized losses was approximately 19% of amortized cost. Because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the Company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2008, there were OTTI losses of \$1 million recorded on tax-exempt municipal securities.

Corporate and other Taxable Bonds

The holdings in this category include 794 securities in a gross unrealized loss position aggregating \$2,538 million. The aggregate severity of the unrealized losses was approximately 25% of amortized cost.

The following tables summarize corporate and other taxable bonds in an unrealized loss position across industry sectors and by ratings distribution at December 31, 2008.

Gross Unrealized Losses by Industry Sector

| December 31, 2008 (In millions) | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Loss |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Communications | \$ 1,408 | \$ 1,088 | \$ 320 |
| Consumer, Cyclical | 1,372 | 947 | 425 |
| Consumer, Non-cyclical | 928 | 761 | 167 |
| Energy | 1,090 | 867 | 223 |
| Financial | 2,229 | 1,509 | 720 |
| Industrial | 843 | 616 | 227 |
| Utilities | 1,285 | 1,028 | 257 |
| Other | 819 | 620 | 199 |
| Total | \$ 9,974 | \$ 7,436 | \$ 2,538 |

Gross Unrealized Losses by Ratings Distribution**December 31, 2008**

(In millions)

| Rating | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Loss |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| AAA | \$ 116 | \$ 99 | \$ 17 |
| AA | 156 | 138 | 18 |
| A | 2,223 | 1,769 | 454 |
| BBB | 4,335 | 3,303 | 1,032 |
| Non-investment grade | 3,144 | 2,127 | 1,017 |
| Total | \$ 9,974 | \$ 7,436 | \$ 2,538 |

The Company has invested in securities with characteristics of both debt and equity investments, often referred to as hybrid debt securities. Such securities are typically debt instruments issued with long or extendable maturity dates, may provide for the ability to defer interest payments without defaulting and are usually lower in the capital structure of the issuer than traditional bonds. The financial industry sector presented above includes hybrid debt securities with an aggregate fair value of \$595 million and an aggregate amortized cost of \$1,004 million.

The decline in fair value was primarily attributable to deterioration and volatility in the broader credit markets that resulted in widening of credit spreads over risk free rates well beyond historical norms and macro conditions in certain sectors that the market viewed as out of favor. The Company monitors the financial performance of the corporate bond issuers for potential factors that may cause a change in outlook and addresses securities that are deemed to be OTTI. Because these declines were not related to any issuer specific credit events, and because the Company has the

ability and intent to hold these investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, the Company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2008, there were OTTI losses of \$585 million recorded on corporate and other taxable bonds.

Preferred Stock

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in preferred stock were caused by similar factors as those that affected the Company's corporate bond portfolio. Approximately 85% of the gross unrealized losses in this category come from securities issued by financial institutions, 8% from utilities and 7% from communications. The holdings in this category include 40 securities in a gross unrealized loss position aggregating \$362 million, with 93% of these unrealized losses attributable to non-redeemable preferred stocks. The following table summarizes preferred stocks by ratings distribution at December 31, 2008.

Gross Unrealized Losses by Ratings Distribution**December 31, 2008**

(In millions)

| Rating | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Loss |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| A | \$ 338 | \$ 217 | \$ 121 |
| BBB | 537 | 324 | 213 |
| Non-investment grade | 66 | 38 | 28 |
| Total | \$ 941 | \$ 579 | \$ 362 |

The Company believes the holdings in this category have been adversely impacted by significant credit spread widening brought on by a combination of factors in the capital markets. The majority of the securities in this category are related to the banking and mortgage industries and are experiencing what the Company believes to be temporarily depressed valuations. The Company has recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities of those issuers that have been placed in conservatorship, have been acquired or have shown signs of other-than-temporary credit deterioration. The Company has been monitoring the capital raising efforts of the issuers in this sector, their ability to continue paying dividends and all other relevant news and believes, given current facts and circumstances, the remaining issuers in this sector with unrealized losses will recover in value. Because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until an anticipated recovery of fair value, the Company considers these investments to be temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008. This evaluation was made on the basis that these securities possess characteristics similar to debt securities. For the year ended December 31, 2008, there were OTTI losses of \$264 million recorded on preferred stock, primarily on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

Contractual Maturity

The following table summarizes available-for-sale fixed maturity securities by contractual maturity at December 31, 2008 and 2007. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain securities may be called or prepaid with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities not due at a single date are allocated based on weighted average life.

Contractual Maturity

| | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Cost or Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Cost or Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
| (In millions) | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 3,105 | \$ 2,707 | \$ 2,685 | \$ 2,678 |
| Due after one year through five years | 10,295 | 9,210 | 12,219 | 12,002 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 5,929 | 4,822 | 6,150 | 6,052 |
| Due after ten years | 14,825 | 12,147 | 13,157 | 13,348 |

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total | \$ 34,154 | \$ 28,886 | \$ 34,211 | \$ 34,080 |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company did not hold any non-income producing fixed maturity securities. As of December 31, 2008, no investments, other than investments in U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agency securities, exceeded 10% of stockholders equity. As of December 31, 2007, no investments exceeded 10% of stockholders equity.

Limited Partnerships

The carrying value of limited partnerships as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 was approximately \$1.7 billion and \$2.2 billion. At December 31, 2008, limited partnerships comprising 41% of the total carrying value are reported on a current basis through December 31, 2008 with no reporting lag, 44% are reported on a one month lag and the remainder are reported on more than a one month lag. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company had 82 and 85 active limited partnership investments. The number of limited partnerships held and the strategies employed provide diversification to the limited partnership portfolio and the overall invested asset portfolio. Of the limited partnerships held, 89% or approximately \$1.5 billion in carrying value at December 31, 2008 and 91% or approximately \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2007 employ strategies that generate returns through investing in securities that are marketable while engaging in various risk management techniques primarily in fixed and public equity markets. Some of these limited partnership investment strategies may include low levels of leverage and hedging that potentially introduce more volatility and risk to the partnership returns. Limited partnerships representing 7% or \$126 million at December 31, 2008 and 6% or \$133 million at December 31, 2007 were invested in private equity. The remaining 4% or \$61 million at December 31, 2008 and 3% or \$71 million at December 31, 2007 were invested in various other partnerships including real estate. The ten largest limited partnership positions held totaled \$915 million and \$1.2 billion as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. Based on the most recent information available regarding the Company's percentage ownership of the individual limited partnerships, the carrying value and related income reflected in the Company's 2008 and 2007 Consolidated Financial Statements represents approximately 3% and 4% of the aggregate partnership equity and 3% and 2% of the changes in partnership equity for all limited partnership investments.

Investment Commitments

The Company's investments in limited partnerships contain withdrawal provisions that typically require advanced written notice of up to 90 days for withdrawals.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had committed approximately \$302 million to future capital calls from various third-party limited partnership investments in exchange for an ownership interest in the related partnerships.

The Company invests in multiple bank loan participations as part of its overall investment strategy and has committed to additional future purchases and sales. The purchase and sale of these investments are recorded on the date that the legal agreements are finalized and cash settlement is made. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had commitments to purchase \$2 million and sell \$3 million of various bank loan participations. When loan participation purchases are settled and recorded they may contain both funded and unfunded amounts. An unfunded loan represents an obligation by the Company to provide additional amounts under the terms of the loan participation. The funded portions are reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, while any unfunded amounts are not recorded until a draw is made under the loan facility. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had obligations on unfunded bank loan participations in the amount of \$19 million.

Investments on Deposit

The Company may from time to time invest in securities that may be restricted in whole or in part. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company did not hold any significant positions in investments whose sale was restricted.

Cash and securities with carrying values of approximately \$2.1 billion and \$2.5 billion were deposited by the Company's insurance subsidiaries under requirements of regulatory authorities as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Cash and securities with carrying values of approximately \$10 million and \$8 million were deposited with financial institutions as collateral for letters of credit as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. In addition, cash and securities were deposited in trusts with financial institutions to secure reinsurance and other obligations with various third parties. The carrying values of these deposits were approximately \$284 million and \$323 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Note C. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivatives in the normal course of business, primarily in an attempt to reduce its exposure to market risk (principally interest rate risk, equity stock price risk and foreign currency risk) stemming from various assets and liabilities and credit risk (the ability of an obligor to make timely payment of principal and/or interest). The Company's principal objective under such risk strategies is to achieve the desired reduction in economic risk, even if the position will not receive hedge accounting treatment.

The Company's use of derivatives is limited by statutes and regulations promulgated by the various regulatory bodies to which it is subject, and by its own derivative policy. The derivative policy limits the authorization to initiate derivative transactions to certain personnel. Derivatives entered into for hedging, regardless of the choice to designate hedge accounting, shall have a maturity that effectively correlates to the underlying hedged asset or liability. The policy prohibits the use of derivatives containing greater than one-to-one leverage with respect to changes in the underlying price, rate or index. The policy also prohibits the use of borrowed funds, including funds obtained through securities lending, to engage in derivative transactions.

The Company has exposure to economic losses due to interest rate risk arising from changes in the level of, or volatility of, interest rates. The Company attempts to mitigate its exposure to interest rate risk through portfolio management, which includes rebalancing its existing portfolios of assets and liabilities, as well as changing the characteristics of investments to be purchased or sold in the future. In addition, various derivative financial instruments are used to modify the interest rate risk exposures of certain assets and liabilities. These strategies include the use of interest rate swaps, interest rate caps and floors, options, futures, forwards and commitments to purchase securities. These instruments are generally used to lock interest rates or market values, to shorten or lengthen durations of fixed maturity securities or investment contracts, or to hedge (on an economic basis) interest rate risks associated with investments and variable rate debt. The Company has used these types of instruments as designated hedges against specific assets or liabilities on an infrequent basis.

The Company is exposed to equity price risk as a result of its investment in equity securities and equity derivatives. Equity price risk results from changes in the level or volatility of equity prices, which affect the value of equity securities, or instruments that derive their value from such securities. The Company attempts to mitigate its exposure to such risks by limiting its investment in any one security or index. The Company may also manage this risk by utilizing instruments such as options, swaps, futures and collars to protect appreciation in securities held.

The Company has exposure to credit risk arising from the uncertainty associated with a financial instrument obligor's ability to make timely principal and/or interest payments. The Company attempts to mitigate this risk by limiting credit concentrations, practicing diversification, and frequently monitoring the credit quality of issuers and counterparties. In addition, the Company may utilize credit derivatives such as credit default swaps to modify the credit risk inherent in certain investments. Credit default swaps involve a transfer of credit risk from one party to another in exchange for periodic payments. The Company infrequently designates these types of instruments as hedges against specific assets.

Foreign exchange rate risk arises from the possibility that changes in foreign currency exchange rates will impact the fair value of financial instruments denominated in a foreign currency. The Company's foreign transactions are primarily denominated in British pounds, Euros and Canadian dollars. The Company typically manages this risk via asset/liability currency matching and through the use of foreign currency forwards. The Company has infrequently designated these types of instruments as hedges against specific assets or liabilities.

In addition to the derivatives used for risk management purposes described above, the Company will also use credit default swaps (CDS) to sell credit protection against a specified credit event. In selling credit protection, CDS are used to replicate fixed income securities when credit exposure to certain issuers is not available or when it is economically beneficial to transact in the derivative market compared to the cash market alternative. Credit risk includes both the default event risk and market value exposure due to fluctuations in credit spreads. In selling CDS protection, the Company receives a periodic premium in exchange for providing credit protection on a single name reference obligation or a credit derivative index. If there is an event of default as defined by the CDS agreement, the Company is required to pay the counterparty the referenced notional amount of the CDS contract and in exchange the Company is entitled to receive the referenced defaulted security or the cash equivalent.

At year-end 2008, the Company had \$148 million notional value of outstanding CDS contracts where the Company sold credit protection. The maximum payment related to these CDS contracts is \$148 million assuming there is no residual value in the defaulted securities that the Company would receive as part of the contract terminations. The current fair value of these contracts is a liability of \$43 million which represents the amount that the Company would have to pay to exit these derivative positions.

The table below summarizes credit default swap contracts where the Company sold credit protection. The largest single reference obligation in the table below represents 20% of the total notional value and is rated AAA.

Credit Ratings of Underlying Reference

Obligations

| December 31, 2008 (In millions) | Fair Value of Credit Default Swaps | Maximum Amount of Future Payments under Credit Default Swaps | Weighted Average Years to Maturity |
|---|---|---|---|
| AAA/AA/A | \$ (8) | \$ 40 | 12.3 |
| BBB | (4) | 55 | 3.1 |
| BB | | | |
| B | (2) | 8 | 4.1 |
| CCC and lower | (29) | 45 | 4.5 |
| Total | \$ (43) | \$ 148 | 6.1 |

Credit exposure associated with non-performance by the counterparties to derivative instruments is generally limited to the uncollateralized fair value of the asset related to the instruments recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company attempts to mitigate the risk of non-performance by monitoring the creditworthiness of counterparties and diversifying derivatives to multiple counterparties. The Company generally requires that all over-the-counter derivative contracts be governed by an International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) Master Agreement, and exchanges collateral under the terms of these agreements with its derivative investment counterparties depending on the amount of the exposure and the credit rating of the counterparty. The Company does not offset its net derivative positions against the fair value of the collateral provided. The fair value of cash collateral provided by the Company was \$74 million and \$64 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007. The fair value of cash collateral received from counterparties was \$6 million and \$10 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007. See Note D for information regarding the fair value of derivative instruments and Note A for information regarding the Company's accounting policy.

A summary of the recognized gains (losses) related to derivative financial instruments follows.

Recognized Gains (Losses)

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Without hedge designation | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ (59) | \$ 11 | \$ 14 |
| Credit default swaps purchased protection | 86 | 95 | (4) |
| Credit default swaps sold protection | (35) | (40) | 4 |
| Futures purchased | | 7 | |
| Futures sold, not yet purchased | (11) | (38) | 4 |
| Currency forwards | 2 | (4) | |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Options embedded in convertible debt securities | 1 | 1 | |
| Equity warrants | (2) | | |
| Trading activities | | | |
| Futures purchased | (131) | | 65 |
| Futures sold, not yet purchased | 1 | | |
| Total | \$ (148) | \$ 32 | \$ 83 |

A summary of the aggregate contractual or notional amounts and gross estimated fair values related to derivative financial instruments follows. The contractual or notional amounts for derivatives are used to calculate the exchange of contractual payments under the agreements and may not be representative of the potential for gain or loss on these instruments.

Derivative Financial Instruments

| December 31, 2008 (In millions) | Contractual/ Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Asset | (Liability) |
| Without hedge designation | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 900 | \$ | \$ (66) |
| Credit default swaps purchased protection | 405 | 24 | (2) |
| Credit default swaps sold protection | 148 | | (43) |
| Equity warrants | 4 | | |
| Total | \$ 1,457 | \$ 24 | \$ (111) |

Derivative Financial Instruments

| December 31, 2007 (In millions) | Contractual/ Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Asset | (Liability) |
| Without hedge designation | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 451 | \$ | \$ (27) |
| Credit default swaps purchased protection | 928 | 61 | (4) |
| Credit default swaps sold protection | 226 | 1 | (31) |
| Equity warrants | 4 | 2 | |
| Options embedded in convertible debt securities | 3 | | |
| Trading activities | | | |
| Futures purchased | 791 | | (4) |
| Futures sold, not yet purchased | 135 | | |
| Currency forwards | 44 | 2 | (1) |
| Total | \$ 2,582 | \$ 66 | \$ (67) |

The Company's derivative activities in the trading portfolio are associated with its pension deposit business, through which the Company is exposed to equity price risk associated with its indexed group annuity contracts. The derivatives held for trading purposes are carried at fair value with the related gains and losses included within Net investment income. A corresponding increase or decrease is reflected in the Policyholders' funds reserves supported by the trading portfolio, which is included in Insurance claims and policyholders' benefits on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During 2008, the Company exited the indexed group annuity portion of its pension deposit business.

Note D. Fair Value

Fair value is the price that would be received upon sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following fair value hierarchy is used in selecting inputs, with the highest priority given to Level 1, as these are the most transparent or reliable.

Level 1 Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable in active markets.

Level 3 Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs are not observable.

The Company attempts to establish fair value as an exit price in an orderly transaction consistent with normal settlement market conventions. The Company is responsible for the valuation process and seeks to obtain quoted market prices for all securities. When quoted market prices in active markets are not available, the Company uses a number of methodologies to establish fair value estimates including: discounted cash flow models, prices from recently executed transactions of similar securities, or broker/dealer quotes, utilizing market observable information to the extent possible. In conjunction with modeling activities, the Company may use external data as inputs. The modeled inputs are consistent with observable market information, when available, or with the Company's assumptions as to what market participants would use to value the securities. The Company also uses pricing services as a significant source of data. The Company monitors all the pricing inputs to determine if the markets from which the data is gathered are active. As further validation of the Company's valuation process, the Company samples past fair value estimates and compares the valuations to actual transactions executed in the market on similar dates.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below.

| December 31, 2008 (In millions) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Assets/(Liabilities) at fair value |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---|
| Assets | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | \$ 2,028 | \$ 24,367 | \$ 2,492 | \$ 28,887 |
| Equity securities | 567 | 94 | 210 | 871 |
| Derivative financial instruments, included in Other invested assets | | | 24 | 24 |
| Short term investments | 2,926 | 608 | | 3,534 |
| Life settlement contracts, included in Other assets | | | 129 | 129 |
| Discontinued operations investments, included in Other liabilities | 83 | 59 | 15 | 157 |
| Separate account business | 40 | 306 | 38 | 384 |
| Total assets | \$ 5,644 | \$ 25,434 | \$ 2,908 | \$ 33,986 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments, included in Other liabilities | \$ | \$ | \$ (111) | \$ (111) |
| Total liabilities | \$ | \$ | \$ (111) | \$ (111) |

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), and presents changes in unrealized gains or losses recorded in net income for the year ended December 31, 2008 for Level 3 assets and liabilities.

| | Balance at January 1, 2008 | Net realized investment gains (losses) and net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) included in net income* | Net realized investment gains (losses) and net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) included in other comprehensive income | Purchases, sales, and settlements | Net transfers in (out) of level 3 | Balance at December 31, 2008 | Unrealized gains (losses) recorded in net income on level 3 assets and liabilities held at December 31, 2008* |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Level 3 | | | | | | | |
| (In millions) | | | | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | \$ 2,684 | \$ (379) | \$ (505) | \$ (178) | \$ 870 | \$ 2,492 | \$ (378) |
| Equity securities | 196 | (16) | 6 | 25 | (1) | 210 | (4) |
| Derivative financial instruments, net | 2 | (10) | | (79) | | (87) | (89) |
| Short term investments | 85 | | | | (85) | | |
| Life settlement contracts | 115 | 48 | | (34) | | 129 | 17 |
| Discontinued operations investments | 42 | (1) | (5) | (4) | (17) | 15 | |
| Separate account business | 30 | | | (18) | 26 | 38 | |
| Total | \$ 3,154 | \$ (358) | \$ (504) | \$ (288) | \$ 793 | \$ 2,797 | \$ (454) |

* Net realized and unrealized gains and losses shown above are reported in

Net income as follows.

| Major Category of Assets and Liabilities | Consolidated Statement of Operations Line Items |
|--|--|
| Fixed maturity securities | Net investment income and Realized investment gains (losses) |
| Equity securities | Realized investment gains (losses) |
| Derivative financial instruments (Assets) | Net investment income and Realized investment gains (losses) |
| Life settlement contracts | Other revenues |
| Derivative financial instruments (Liabilities) | Net investment income and Realized investment gains (losses) |

Securities transferred into Level 3 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2008 relate primarily to tax-exempt auction rate certificates, included within Fixed maturity securities. These were previously valued using observable prices for similar securities, but due to decreased market activity, fair value is determined by cash flow models using market observable and unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs include the maturity assumption.

The following section describes the valuation methodologies used to measure different financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the instrument is generally classified.

Fixed Maturity Securities

Level 1 securities include highly liquid government bonds for which quoted market prices are available. The remaining fixed maturity securities are valued using pricing for similar securities, recently executed transactions, cash flow models with yield curves, broker/dealer quotes and other pricing models utilizing observable inputs. The valuation for most fixed income securities, excluding government bonds, is classified as Level 2. Securities within Level 2 include certain corporate bonds, municipal bonds, asset-backed securities, mortgage-backed pass-through securities and redeemable preferred stock. Securities are generally assigned to Level 3 in cases where broker/dealer quotes are significant inputs to the valuation and there is a lack of transparency as to whether these quotes are based on information that is observable in the marketplace. Level 3 securities include certain corporate bonds, asset-backed securities, municipal bonds and redeemable preferred stock.

Equity Securities

Level 1 securities include publicly traded securities valued using quoted market prices. Level 2 securities are primarily non-redeemable preferred securities and common stocks valued using pricing for similar securities, recently executed transactions, broker/dealer quotes and other pricing models utilizing observable inputs.

Level 3 securities include one equity security, which represents 87% of the total, in an entity which is not publicly traded and is valued based on a discounted cash flow analysis model, adjusted for the Company's assumption regarding an inherent lack of liquidity in the security. The remaining non-redeemable preferred stocks and equity securities are primarily valued using inputs including broker/dealer quotes for which there is a lack of transparency as to whether these quotes are based on information that is observable in the marketplace.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Exchange traded derivatives are valued using quoted market prices and are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Level 2 derivatives primarily include currency forwards valued using observable market forward rates. Over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives, principally interest rate swaps, credit default swaps, equity warrants and options, are valued using inputs including broker/dealer quotes and are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy due to a lack of transparency as to whether these quotes are based on information that is observable in the marketplace.

Short Term Investments

The valuation of securities that are actively traded or have quoted prices are classified as Level 1. These securities include money market funds and treasury bills. Level 2 includes commercial paper, for which all inputs are observable.

Life Settlement Contracts

The fair values of life settlement contracts are estimated using discounted cash flows based on the Company's own assumptions for mortality, premium expense, and the rate of return that a buyer would require on the contracts, as no comparable market pricing data is available.

Discontinued Operations Investments

Assets relating to CNA's discontinued operations include fixed maturity securities and short term investments. The valuation methodologies for these asset types have been described above.

Separate Account Business

Separate account business includes fixed maturity securities, equities and short term investments. The valuation methodologies for these asset types have been described above.

Assets and Liabilities Not Measured at Fair Value

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of the Company's financial instrument assets and liabilities which are not measured at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets are listed in the table below.

Financial Assets and Liabilities

| December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | | 2007 | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Financial assets | | | | |
| Notes receivable for the issuance of common stock | \$ 42 | \$ 42 | \$ 51 | \$ 51 |
| Financial liabilities | | | | |
| Premium deposits and annuity contracts | \$ 111 | \$ 113 | \$ 826 | \$ 826 |
| Short term debt | | | 350 | 350 |
| Long term debt | 2,058 | 1,585 | 1,807 | 1,851 |

The following methods and assumptions were used by CNA in estimating the fair value of these financial assets and liabilities.

The fair values of notes receivable for the issuance of common stock were estimated using discounted cash flows utilizing interest rates currently offered for obligations securitized with similar collateral.

Premium deposits and annuity contracts were valued based on cash surrender values, estimated fair values or policyholder liabilities, net of amounts ceded related to sold business.

CNAF's senior notes and debentures were valued based on quoted market prices. The fair value for other long term debt was estimated using discounted cash flows based on current incremental borrowing rates for similar borrowing arrangements.

The carrying amounts reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets for Cash, Short term investments, Accrued investment income, Receivables for securities sold, Federal income taxes recoverable/payable, Collateral on loaned securities and derivatives, Payables for securities purchased, and certain other assets and other liabilities approximate fair value because of the short term nature of these items. These assets and liabilities are not listed in the table above.

Non-financial instruments such as real estate, deferred acquisition costs, property and equipment, deferred income taxes and intangibles, and certain financial instruments such as insurance reserves and leases are excluded from the fair value disclosures. Therefore, the fair value amounts disclosed in this note cannot be aggregated to determine the underlying economic value of the Company.

Note E. Income Taxes

The CNA Tax Group is included in the consolidated federal income tax return of Loews and its eligible subsidiaries. Loews and CNA have agreed that for each taxable year, CNA will 1) be paid by Loews the amount, if any, by which the Loews consolidated federal income tax liability is reduced by virtue of the inclusion of the CNA Tax Group in the Loews consolidated federal income tax return, or 2) pay to Loews an amount, if any, equal to the federal income tax that would have been payable by the CNA Tax Group filing a separate consolidated tax return. In the event that Loews should have a net operating loss in the future computed on the basis of filing a separate consolidated tax return without the CNA Tax Group, CNA may be required to repay tax recoveries previously received from Loews. This agreement may be cancelled by either party upon 30 days written notice.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, CNA paid Loews \$65 million, \$354 million and \$120 million related to federal income taxes. CNA's consolidated federal income taxes payable at December 31, 2008 reflects a \$299 million recoverable from Loews and a \$5 million payable related to affiliates less than 80% owned and/or foreign subsidiaries, which settle their income taxes directly with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and/or foreign jurisdictions. At December 31, 2007, CNA's consolidated federal income taxes payable included a \$5 million payable to Loews and a \$3 million recoverable related to affiliates less than 80% owned.

For 2009, 2008 and 2007, the IRS has invited Loews and the Company to participate in the Compliance Assurance Process (CAP), which is a voluntary program for a limited number of large corporations. Under CAP, the IRS conducts a real-time audit and works contemporaneously with the Company to resolve any issues prior to the filing of the tax return. Loews and the Company have agreed to participate. The Company believes that this approach should reduce tax-related uncertainties, if any. In 2008, the IRS completed its review of the Loews consolidated federal income tax return for 2007 and made no changes to the computed tax.

The Loews consolidated federal income tax return for 2006 is subject to examination by the IRS. The Loews consolidated federal income tax return for 2005 was settled with the IRS in 2007. The outcome of the 2005 examination did not have a material effect on the results of operations of the Company. The Loews consolidated federal income tax returns for 2002-2004 were settled with the IRS, including related carryback claims for refund, which were approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation in 2006. As a result, the Company recorded a federal income tax benefit of \$10 million, including a \$7 million tax benefit related to Discontinued Operations, resulting primarily from the release of federal income tax reserves, and net refund interest of \$2 million, net of tax. In 2006, the Company received from Loews \$63 million related to the net tax settlement for the 2002-2004 tax returns and \$4 million related to net refund interest.

The Company adopted FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recognized an increase to beginning retained earnings on January 1, 2007 of \$5 million. The total amount of

unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption was \$3 million. Included in the balance at January 1, 2007, was \$2 million of tax positions that if recognized would have affected the effective tax rate. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows.

Reconciliation of Unrecognized Tax Benefits

| Year ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Balance at January 1 | \$ | \$ 3 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | | (2) |
| Settlements | | (1) |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ | \$ |

At December 31, 2008, there is no unrecognized tax benefit: 1) that if recognized would affect the effective tax rate and 2) that is reasonably possible of significantly increasing or decreasing within the next 12 months.

The Company recognizes interest accrued related to: 1) unrecognized tax benefits in Interest expense and 2) tax refund claims in Other revenues on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company recognizes penalties (if any) in Income tax expense (benefit) on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During 2008, the Company recognized no interest and no penalties. During 2007, the Company recognized \$1 million of interest income and no penalties. There are no amounts accrued for interest or penalties at December 31, 2008 and 2007. The Company had \$2 million accrued for the payment of interest and no amount accrued for the payment of penalties at January 1, 2007.

A reconciliation between CNA's federal income tax (expense) benefit at statutory rates and the recorded income tax (expense) benefit, after giving effect to minority interest, but before giving effect to discontinued operations, is as follows.

Tax Reconciliation

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Income tax (expense) benefit at statutory rates | \$ 198 | \$ (428) | \$ (577) |
| Tax benefit from tax exempt income | 118 | 100 | 75 |
| Other tax (expense) benefit, including IRS settlements | (5) | 11 | 33 |
| Effective income tax (expense) benefit | \$ 311 | \$ (317) | \$ (469) |

Provision has been made for the expected U.S. federal income tax liabilities applicable to undistributed earnings of subsidiaries, except for certain subsidiaries for which the Company intends to invest the undistributed earnings indefinitely, or recover such undistributed earnings tax-free. At December 31, 2008, the Company has not provided deferred taxes of \$147 million, if sold through a taxable sale, on \$419 million of undistributed earnings related to a domestic affiliate. Additionally, at December 31, 2008, the Company has not provided deferred taxes of \$5 million on \$13 million of undistributed earnings related to a foreign subsidiary.

The current and deferred components of CNA's income tax (expense) benefit, excluding taxes on discontinued operations, are as follows.

Current and Deferred Taxes

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Current tax (expense) benefit | \$ 137 | \$ (418) | \$ (296) |

| | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Tax benefit recognized for FIN 48 uncertainties in the income statement | | 2 | |
| Deferred tax (expense) benefit | 174 | 99 | (173) |
| Total income tax (expense) benefit | \$ 311 | \$ (317) | \$ (469) |

The deferred tax effects of the significant components of CNA's deferred tax assets and liabilities are set forth in the table below. The amounts presented for 2007 for life reserves (included in other liabilities below), investment valuation differences and deferred acquisition costs in the table below have been corrected from \$89 million, \$286 million and \$(635) million to \$(17) million, \$8 million and \$(251) million. These corrections, which relate to the presentation of certain components of deferred taxes following the sale of an entity in a prior year, had no impact on the net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2007.

Components of Net Deferred Tax Asset

| December 31 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| (In millions) | | |
| Deferred Tax Assets: | | |
| Insurance reserves: | | |
| Property and casualty claim and claim adjustment expense reserves | \$ 731 | \$ 771 |
| Unearned premium reserves | 234 | 243 |
| Other insurance reserves | 24 | 24 |
| Receivables | 169 | 231 |
| Employee benefits | 282 | 116 |
| Life settlement contracts | 70 | 73 |
| Investment valuation differences | 302 | 8 |
| Net unrealized losses | 1,905 | |
| Net operating loss carried forward | 24 | 19 |
| Other assets | 228 | 199 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 3,969 | 1,684 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities: | | |
| Deferred acquisition costs | 241 | 251 |
| Net unrealized gains | | 25 |
| Other liabilities | 235 | 210 |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities | 476 | 486 |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 3,493 | \$ 1,198 |

Although realization of deferred tax assets is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that the recognized net deferred tax asset will be realized through recoupment of ordinary and capital taxes paid in prior carryback years and through future earnings, reversal of existing temporary differences and available tax planning strategies. As a result, no valuation allowance was recorded at December 31, 2008 or 2007.

Note F. Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

CNA's property and casualty insurance claim and claim adjustment expense reserves represent the estimated amounts necessary to resolve all outstanding claims, including claims that are incurred but not reported (IBNR) as of the reporting date. The Company's reserve projections are based primarily on detailed analysis of the facts in each case, CNA's experience with similar cases and various historical development patterns. Consideration is given to such historical patterns as field reserving trends and claims settlement practices, loss payments, pending levels of unpaid claims and product mix, as well as court decisions, economic conditions and public attitudes. All of these factors can affect the estimation of claim and claim adjustment expense reserves.

Establishing claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, including claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for catastrophic events that have occurred, is an estimation process. Many factors can ultimately affect the final settlement of a claim and, therefore, the necessary reserve. Changes in the law, results of litigation, medical costs, the cost of repair materials and labor rates can all affect ultimate claim costs. In addition, time can be a critical part of reserving determinations since the longer the span between the incidence of a loss and the payment or settlement of the claim, the more variable the ultimate settlement amount can be. Accordingly, short-tail claims, such as property damage claims, tend to be more reasonably estimable than long-tail claims, such as general liability and professional liability claims. Adjustments to prior year reserve estimates, if necessary, are reflected in the results of operations in the period that the need for such adjustments is determined.

Catastrophes are an inherent risk of the property and casualty insurance business and have contributed to material period-to-period fluctuations in the Company's results of operations and/or equity. The Company reported catastrophe losses, net of reinsurance, of \$358 million, \$78 million and \$59 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 for events occurring in those years. The catastrophe losses in 2008 related primarily to Hurricanes Gustav and Ike. There can be no assurance that CNA's ultimate cost for catastrophes will not exceed current estimates.

The table below provides a reconciliation between beginning and ending claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, including claim and claim adjustment expense reserves of the life company.

Reconciliation of Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

| As of and for the years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Reserves, beginning of year: | | | |
| Gross | \$ 28,588 | \$ 29,636 | \$ 30,938 |
| Ceded | 7,056 | 8,191 | 10,605 |
| Net reserves, beginning of year | 21,532 | 21,445 | 20,333 |
| Net incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses: | | | |
| Provision for insured events of current year | 5,193 | 4,939 | 4,840 |
| (Decrease) increase in provision for insured events of prior years | (5) | 231 | 361 |
| Amortization of discount | 123 | 120 | 121 |
| Total net incurred (a) | 5,311 | 5,290 | 5,322 |
| Net payments attributable to: | | | |
| Current year events | 1,034 | 867 | 835 |
| Prior year events | 4,328 | 4,447 | 3,439 |
| Reinsurance recoverable against net reserve transferred under retroactive reinsurance agreements | (10) | (17) | (13) |
| Total net payments (b) | 5,352 | 5,297 | 4,261 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (186) | 94 | 51 |
| Net reserves, end of year | 21,305 | 21,532 | 21,445 |
| Ceded reserves, end of year | 6,288 | 7,056 | 8,191 |
| Gross reserves, end of year | \$ 27,593 | \$ 28,588 | \$ 29,636 |

(a) Total net incurred above does not agree to Insurance claims and policyholders

benefits as reflected on the Consolidated Statements of Operations due to expenses incurred related to uncollectible reinsurance and loss deductible receivables, and benefit expenses related to future policy benefits and policyholders funds, which are not reflected in the table above.

- (b) In 2006, net payments were decreased by \$935 million due to the impact of significant commutations.

The changes in provision for insured events of prior years (net prior year claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development) were as follows.

Reserve Development

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Asbestos and environmental pollution | \$ 110 | \$ 7 | \$ |
| Other | (117) | 213 | 332 |
| Property and casualty reserve development | (7) | 220 | 332 |
| Life reserve development in life company | 2 | 11 | 29 |
| Total | \$ (5) | \$ 231 | \$ 361 |

The following tables summarize the gross and net carried reserves as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

December 31, 2008

Gross and Net Carried

Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

| (In millions) | Standard Lines | Specialty Lines | Life & Group Non-Core | Corporate & Other Non-Core | Total |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------|
| Gross Case Reserves | \$ 6,158 | \$ 2,719 | \$ 2,473 | \$ 1,823 | \$ 13,173 |
| Gross IBNR Reserves | 5,890 | 5,563 | 389 | 2,578 | 14,420 |
| Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 12,048 | \$ 8,282 | \$ 2,862 | \$ 4,401 | \$ 27,593 |
| Net Case Reserves | \$ 4,995 | \$ 2,149 | \$ 1,656 | \$ 1,126 | \$ 9,926 |
| Net IBNR Reserves | 4,875 | 4,694 | 249 | 1,561 | 11,379 |
| Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 9,870 | \$ 6,843 | \$ 1,905 | \$ 2,687 | \$ 21,305 |

December 31, 2007

Gross and Net Carried

Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves

| (In millions) | Standard Lines | Specialty Lines | Life & Group Non-Core | Corporate & Other Non-Core | Total |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------|
| Gross Case Reserves | \$ 5,988 | \$ 2,585 | \$ 2,554 | \$ 2,159 | \$ 13,286 |
| Gross IBNR Reserves | 6,060 | 5,818 | 473 | 2,951 | 15,302 |
| Total Gross Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 12,048 | \$ 8,403 | \$ 3,027 | \$ 5,110 | \$ 28,588 |
| Net Case Reserves | \$ 4,750 | \$ 2,090 | \$ 1,583 | \$ 1,328 | \$ 9,751 |
| Net IBNR Reserves | 5,170 | 4,527 | 297 | 1,787 | 11,781 |
| Total Net Carried Claim and Claim Adjustment Expense Reserves | \$ 9,920 | \$ 6,617 | \$ 1,880 | \$ 3,115 | \$ 21,532 |

The following provides discussion of the Company's Asbestos and Environmental Pollution (A&E) reserves.

A&E Reserves

CNA's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries have actual and potential exposures related to A&E claims. Establishing reserves for A&E claim and claim adjustment expenses is subject to uncertainties that are greater than those presented by other claims. Traditional actuarial methods and techniques employed to estimate the ultimate cost of claims for more traditional property and casualty exposures are less precise in estimating claim and claim adjustment expense reserves for A&E, particularly in an environment of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise from industry practices and legal, judicial and social conditions. Therefore, these traditional actuarial methods and techniques are necessarily supplemented with additional estimating techniques and methodologies, many of which involve significant judgments that are required of management. Accordingly, a high degree of uncertainty remains for the Company's ultimate liability for A&E claim and claim adjustment expenses.

In addition to the difficulties described above, estimating the ultimate cost of both reported and unreported A&E claims is subject to a higher degree of variability due to a number of additional factors, including among others: the number and outcome of direct actions against the Company; coverage issues, including whether certain costs are covered under the policies and whether policy limits apply; allocation of liability among numerous parties, some of whom may be in bankruptcy proceedings, and in particular the application of joint and several liability to specific insurers on a risk; inconsistent court decisions and developing legal theories;

continuing aggressive tactics of plaintiffs' lawyers; the risks and lack of predictability inherent in major litigation; enactment of state and federal legislation to address asbestos claims; increases and decreases in asbestos and environmental pollution claims which cannot now be anticipated; increases and decreases in costs to defend asbestos and pollution claims; changing liability theories against the Company's policyholders in environmental matters; possible exhaustion of underlying umbrella and excess coverage; and future developments pertaining to the Company's ability to recover reinsurance for asbestos and pollution claims.

CNA has annually performed ground up reviews of all open A&E claims to evaluate the adequacy of the Company's A&E reserves. In performing its comprehensive ground up analysis, the Company considers input from its professionals with direct responsibility for the claims, inside and outside counsel with responsibility for representation of the Company and its actuarial staff. These professionals review, among many factors, the policyholder's present and predicted future exposures, including such factors as claims volume, trial conditions, prior settlement history, settlement demands and defense costs; the impact of asbestos defendant bankruptcies on the policyholder; the policies issued by CNA, including such factors as aggregate or per occurrence limits, whether the policy is primary, umbrella or excess, and the existence of policyholder retentions and/or deductibles; the existence of other insurance; and reinsurance arrangements.

The following table provides data related to CNA's A&E claim and claim adjustment expense reserves.

A&E Reserves

| (In millions) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Asbestos | Environmental Pollution | Asbestos | Environmental Pollution |
| Gross reserves | \$ 2,112 | \$ 392 | \$ 2,352 | \$ 367 |
| Ceded reserves | (910) | (130) | (1,030) | (125) |
| Net reserves | \$ 1,202 | \$ 262 | \$ 1,322 | \$ 242 |

Asbestos

CNA's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries have exposure to asbestos-related claims. Estimation of asbestos-related claim and claim adjustment expense reserves involves limitations such as inconsistency of court decisions, specific policy provisions, allocation of liability among insurers and insureds, and additional factors such as missing policies and proof of coverage. Furthermore, estimation of asbestos-related claims is difficult due to, among other reasons, the proliferation of bankruptcy proceedings and attendant uncertainties, the targeting of a broader range of businesses and entities as defendants, the uncertainty as to which other insureds may be targeted in the future and the uncertainties inherent in predicting the number of future claims.

The Company recorded \$27 million and \$6 million of unfavorable asbestos-related net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. The Company recorded no asbestos-related net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development recorded for the year ended December 31, 2006. The Company paid asbestos-related claims, net of reinsurance recoveries, of \$147 million, \$136 million and \$102 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

The ultimate cost of reported claims, and in particular A&E claims, is subject to a great many uncertainties, including future developments of various kinds that CNA does not control and that are difficult or impossible to foresee accurately. With respect to the litigation identified below in particular, numerous factual and legal issues remain unresolved. Rulings on those issues by the courts are critical to the evaluation of the ultimate cost to the Company. The outcome of the litigation cannot be predicted with any reliability. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

Some asbestos-related defendants have asserted that their insurance policies are not subject to aggregate limits on coverage. CNA has such claims from a number of insureds. Some of these claims involve insureds facing exhaustion of products liability aggregate limits in their policies, who have asserted that their asbestos-related claims fall within

so-called non-products liability coverage contained within their policies rather than products liability coverage, and that the claimed non-products coverage is not subject to any aggregate limit. It is difficult to predict the ultimate size of any of the claims for coverage purportedly not subject to aggregate limits or predict to what extent, if any, the attempts to assert non-products claims outside the products liability aggregate will succeed. CNA's policies also contain other limits applicable to these claims and the Company

has additional coverage defenses to certain claims. CNA has attempted to manage its asbestos exposure by aggressively seeking to settle claims on acceptable terms. There can be no assurance that any of these settlement efforts will be successful, or that any such claims can be settled on terms acceptable to CNA. Where the Company cannot settle a claim on acceptable terms, CNA aggressively litigates the claim. However, adverse developments with respect to such matters could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and/or equity.

Certain asbestos claim litigation in which CNA is currently engaged is described below:

On February 13, 2003, CNA announced it had resolved asbestos-related coverage litigation and claims involving A.P. Green Industries, A.P. Green Services and Bigelow-Liptak Corporation. Under the agreement, CNA is required to pay \$70 million, net of reinsurance recoveries, over a ten year period commencing after the final approval of a bankruptcy plan of reorganization. The settlement received initial bankruptcy court approval on August 18, 2003. The debtor's plan of reorganization includes an injunction to protect CNA from any future claims. The bankruptcy court issued an opinion on September 24, 2007 recommending confirmation of that plan. On July 25, 2008, the District Court affirmed the Bankruptcy Court's ruling. Several insurers have appealed that ruling to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals; that appeal is pending at this time.

CNA is engaged in insurance coverage litigation in New York State Court, filed in 2003, with a defendant class of underlying plaintiffs who have asbestos bodily injury claims against the former Robert A. Keasbey Company (Keasbey) (Continental Casualty Co. v. Employers Ins. of Wausau et al., No. 601037/03 (N.Y. County)). Keasbey, a currently dissolved corporation, was a seller and installer of asbestos-containing insulation products in New York and New Jersey. Thousands of plaintiffs have filed bodily injury claims against Keasbey. However, under New York court rules, asbestos claims are not cognizable unless they meet certain minimum medical impairment standards. Since 2002, when these court rules were adopted, only a small portion of such claims have met medical impairment criteria under New York court rules and as to the remaining claims, Keasbey's involvement at a number of work sites is a highly contested issue.

CNA issued Keasbey primary policies for 1970-1987 and excess policies for 1971-1978. CNA has paid an amount substantially equal to the policies' aggregate limits for products and completed operations claims in the confirmed CNA policies. Claimants against Keasbey allege, among other things, that CNA owes coverage under sections of the policies not subject to the aggregate limits, an allegation CNA vigorously contests in the lawsuit. In the litigation, CNA and the claimants seek declaratory relief as to the interpretation of various policy provisions.

On December 30, 2008, a New York appellate court entered a unanimous decision in favor of CNA on multiple alternative grounds including findings that claims arising out of Keasbey's asbestos insulating activities are included within the products hazard/completed operations coverage, which has been exhausted; and that the defendant claimant class is subject to the affirmative defenses that CNA may have had against Keasbey, barring all coverage claims. The parties have the right to seek further appellate review of the decision.

CNA has insurance coverage disputes related to asbestos bodily injury claims against a bankrupt insured, Burns & Roe Enterprises, Inc. (Burns & Roe). These disputes are currently part of coverage litigation (stayed in view of the bankruptcy) and an adversary proceeding in In re: Burns & Roe Enterprises, Inc., pending in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey, No. 00-41610. Burns & Roe provided engineering and related services in connection with construction projects. At the time of its bankruptcy filing, on December 4, 2000, Burns & Roe asserted that it faced approximately 11,000 claims alleging bodily injury resulting from exposure to asbestos as a result of construction projects in which Burns & Roe was involved. CNA allegedly provided primary liability coverage to Burns & Roe from 1956-1969 and 1971-1974, along with certain project-specific policies from 1964-1970. In September of 2007, CNA entered into an agreement with Burns & Roe, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed by the Bankruptcy Court and the Future Claims Representative (the Addendum), which provides that claims allegedly covered by CNA policies will be adjudicated in the tort system, with any coverage disputes related to those claims to be decided in coverage litigation. With the approval of the Bankruptcy Court, Burns & Roe included the Addendum as part of its Fourth Amended Plan (the Plan), which was filed on June 9, 2008. Burns & Roe requested a confirmation hearing before the Bankruptcy Court and District Court jointly, and that hearing was held in December of 2008. There has been no ruling. With respect to both confirmation of the Plan and coverage issues, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the

outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include, among others: (a) whether the Company has any further responsibility to

compensate claimants against Burns & Roe under its policies and, if so, under which; (b) whether the Company's responsibilities under its policies extend to a particular claimant's entire claim or only to a limited percentage of the claim; (c) whether the Company's responsibilities under its policies are limited by the occurrence limits or other provisions of the policies; (d) whether certain exclusions, including professional liability exclusions, in some of the Company's policies apply to exclude certain claims; (e) the extent to which claimants can establish exposure to asbestos materials as to which Burns & Roe has any responsibility; (f) the legal theories which must be pursued by such claimants to establish the liability of Burns & Roe and whether such theories can, in fact, be established; (g) the diseases and damages alleged by such claimants; (h) the extent that any liability of Burns & Roe would be shared with other potentially responsible parties; (i) whether the Plan, which includes the Addendum, will be approved by the Bankruptcy Court in its current form; and (j) the impact of bankruptcy proceedings on claims and coverage issue resolution. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

Suits have also been initiated directly against the CNA companies and numerous other insurers in two jurisdictions: Texas and Montana. Approximately 80 lawsuits were filed in Texas beginning in 2002, against two CNA companies and numerous other insurers and non-insurer corporate defendants asserting liability for failing to warn of the dangers of asbestos (e.g. Boson v. Union Carbide Corp., (Nueces County, Texas)). During 2003, several of the Texas suits were dismissed and while certain of the Texas courts' rulings were appealed, plaintiffs later dismissed their appeals. A different Texas court, however, denied similar motions seeking dismissal. After that court denied a related challenge to jurisdiction, the insurers transferred the case, among others, to a state multi-district litigation court in Harris County charged with handling asbestos cases. In February 2006, the insurers petitioned the appellate court in Houston for an order of mandamus, requiring the multi-district litigation court to dismiss the case on jurisdictional and substantive grounds. On February 29, 2008, the appellate court denied the insurers' mandamus petition on procedural grounds, but did not reach a decision on the merits of the petition. Instead, the appellate court allowed to stand the multi-district litigation court's determination that the case remained on its inactive docket and that no further action can be taken unless qualifying reports are filed or the filing of such reports is waived. With respect to the cases that are still pending in Texas, in June 2008, plaintiffs in the only active case dropped the remaining CNA company from that suit, leaving only inactive cases against CNA companies. In those inactive cases, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include: (a) the speculative nature and unclear scope of any alleged duties owed to individuals exposed to asbestos and the resulting uncertainty as to the potential pool of potential claimants; (b) the fact that imposing such duties on all insurer and non-insurer corporate defendants would be unprecedented and, therefore, the legal boundaries of recovery are difficult to estimate; (c) the fact that many of the claims brought to date are barred by the Statute of Limitations and it is unclear whether future claims would also be barred; (d) the unclear nature of the required nexus between the acts of the defendants and the right of any particular claimant to recovery; and (e) the existence of hundreds of co-defendants in some of the suits and the applicability of the legal theories pled by the claimants to thousands of potential defendants. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued is not readily determinable at this time.

On March 22, 2002, a direct action was filed in Montana (Pennock, et al. v. Maryland Casualty, et al. First Judicial District Court of Lewis & Clark County, Montana) by eight individual plaintiffs (all employees of W.R. Grace & Co. (W.R. Grace)) and their spouses against CNA, Maryland Casualty and the State of Montana. This action alleges that the carriers failed to warn of or otherwise protect W.R. Grace employees from the dangers of asbestos at a W.R. Grace vermiculite mining facility in Libby, Montana. The Montana direct action is currently stayed because of W.R. Grace's pending bankruptcy. On April 7, 2008, W.R. Grace announced a settlement in principle with the asbestos personal injury claimants committee subject to confirmation of a plan of reorganization by the bankruptcy court. The confirmation hearing is currently scheduled to begin in April 2009. The settlement in principle with the asbestos claimants has no present impact on the stay currently imposed on the Montana direct action and with respect to such claims, numerous factual and legal issues remain to be resolved that are critical to the final result, the outcome of which cannot be predicted with any reliability. These factors include: (a) the unclear nature and scope of any alleged duties owed to people exposed to asbestos and the resulting uncertainty as to the potential pool of potential claimants;

(b) the potential application of Statutes of Limitation to many of the claims which may be made depending on the nature and scope of the alleged duties; (c) the unclear nature of the required nexus between the acts of the defendants and the right of any particular claimant to recovery; (d) the diseases and damages claimed by such claimants; (e) the extent that such liability would be shared with other potentially responsible parties; and (f) the impact of bankruptcy

proceedings on claims resolution. Accordingly, the extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time.

CNA is vigorously defending these and other cases and believes that it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted. However, there are numerous factual and legal issues to be resolved in connection with these claims, and it is extremely difficult to predict the outcome or ultimate financial exposure represented by these matters. Adverse developments with respect to any of these matters could have a material adverse effect on CNA's business, insurer financial strength and debt ratings, results of operations and/or equity.

Environmental Pollution

The Company recorded \$83 million and \$1 million of unfavorable environmental pollution net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. There was no environmental pollution net claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development recorded for the year ended December 31, 2006. The Company paid environmental pollution-related claims, net of reinsurance recoveries, of \$63 million, \$44 million and \$51 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Net Prior Year Development

Changes in estimates of claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserves and premium accruals, net of reinsurance, for prior years are defined as net prior year development. These changes can be favorable or unfavorable. The following tables and discussion include the net prior year development recorded for Standard Lines, Specialty Lines and Corporate & Other Non-Core segments for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The net prior year development presented below includes premium development due to its direct relationship to claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development. The net prior year development presented below includes the impact of commutations, but excludes the impact of increases or decreases in the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. See Note H for further discussion of the provision for uncollectible reinsurance.

Unfavorable net prior year development of \$15 million, \$147 million and \$13 million was recorded in the Life & Group Non-Core segment for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The 2007 net prior year development primarily related to the settlement of the IGI contingency. The IGI contingency related to reinsurance arrangements with respect to personal accident insurance coverages provided between 1997 and 1999 which were the subject of arbitration proceedings. The Company reached agreement in 2007 to settle the arbitration matter for a one-time payment of \$250 million, which resulted in an incurred loss, net of reinsurance, of \$167 million pretax.

2008 Net Prior Year Development

| (In millions) | Standard Lines | Specialty Lines | Corporate & Other Non- Core | Total |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------|
| Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development: | | | | |
| Core (Non-A&E) | \$ (34) | \$ (164) | \$ 13 | \$ (185) |
| A&E | | | 110 | 110 |
| Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium development | (34) | (164) | 123 | (75) |
| Pretax unfavorable (favorable) premium development | 16 | (20) | (1) | (5) |

**Total pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior
year development**

\$ (18) \$ (184) \$ 122 \$ (80)

107

2007 Net Prior Year Development

| (In millions) | Standard Lines | Specialty Lines | Corporate & Other Non- Core | Total |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------|
| Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development: | | | | |
| Core (Non-A&E) A&E | \$ (104) | \$ (25) | \$ 84 7 | \$ (45) 7 |
| Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium development | (104) | (25) | 91 | (38) |
| Pretax favorable premium development | (19) | (11) | (5) | (35) |
| Total pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development | \$ (123) | \$ (36) | \$ 86 | \$ (73) |

2006 Net Prior Year Development

| (In millions) | Standard Lines | Specialty Lines | Corporate & Other Non- Core | Total |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------|
| Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development: | | | | |
| Core (Non-A&E) A&E | \$ 208 | \$ (61) | \$ 86 | \$ 233 |
| Pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior year development before impact of premium development | 208 | (61) | 86 | 233 |
| Pretax unfavorable (favorable) premium development | (58) | (5) | 2 | (61) |
| | \$ 150 | \$ (66) | \$ 88 | \$ 172 |

**Total pretax unfavorable (favorable) net prior
year development**

108

2008 Net Prior Year Development**Standard Lines**

The favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily due to favorable experience in general liability and property coverages including marine exposures, partially offset by unfavorable experience in workers' compensation (including excess workers' compensation coverages) and large account business. For general liability excluding construction defect, \$259 million in favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased frequency and severity of claims across multiple accident years. The improvement was due to underwriting initiatives and favorable outcomes on individual claims. Favorable development of \$207 million associated with construction defect exposures was due to lower severity resulting from various claim handling initiatives and lower than expected frequency of claims, primarily in accident years 1999 and prior. Claims handling initiatives have resulted in an increase in the number of claims closed without payment and increased recoveries from other parties involved in the claims. The lower construction defect frequency is due to underwriting initiatives designed to limit the exposure to future construction defect claims. For property coverages including marine exposures, approximately \$150 million of favorable development was primarily the result of decreased frequency and severity in recent years. The \$150 million of favorable property and marine development includes approximately \$46 million due to favorable outcomes on claims relating to catastrophes, primarily in accident year 2005. The remaining favorable development was the result of favorable experience across several miscellaneous coverages in Standard Lines.

Unfavorable development of \$248 million for workers' compensation was primarily the result of the impact of claim cost inflation on lifetime medical and home health care claims in accident years 1999 and prior. The changes were driven by increased life expectancy due to advances in medical care and increasing medical inflation. Unfavorable development of \$161 million for large account business was also driven primarily by workers' compensation claim cost inflation primarily in accident years 2001 and prior. Unfavorable development of \$114 million on excess workers' compensation was due to claims in accident years 2002 and prior. Increasing medical inflation, increased life expectancy resulting from advances in medical care, and reviews of individual claims have resulted in higher cost estimates of existing claims and a higher estimate of the number of claims expected to reach excess layers.

In 2008, the amount due from policyholders related to losses under deductible policies within Standard Lines was reduced by \$90 million for insolvent insureds. The reduction of this amount, which is reflected as unfavorable net prior year reserve development, had no effect on 2008 results of operations as the Company had previously recognized provisions in prior years. These impacts were reported in Insurance claims and policyholders' benefits in the 2008 Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Specialty Lines

The favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily due to favorable experience in medical professional liability, surety business, and CNA Global affiliates' property and financial lines, partially offset by unfavorable experience in professional liability coverages.

Favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development of approximately \$52 million for medical professional liability was primarily due to better than expected frequency of large losses in accident years 2005 and 2006 for healthcare facilities and medical technology firms. Approximately \$16 million of unfavorable development was recorded for professional liability primarily reflecting an increase in the frequency of large claims related to large law firms in accident years 1998 through 2005 and fidelity claims in accident year 2007, partially offset by favorable development related to favorable outcomes on individual claims related to small accounting firms in accident years 2004 through 2006. Favorable development of approximately \$36 million for surety coverages was due to better than expected frequency in accident years 2002 through 2006.

Approximately \$30 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily due to decreased frequency and severity of claims in the Company's excess and surplus program covering facilities that provide services to developmentally disabled individuals in accident years 2000 through 2004.

Approximately \$60 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily due to favorable incurred loss emergence in the CNA Global affiliates' property and financial lines in accident years 2006 and prior. This favorability was driven primarily by decreased severity in the overall book of business.

Favorable premium development is primarily the result of a change in ultimate premiums within a CNA Global affiliate's property and financial lines.

Corporate & Other Non-Core

In its most recent ground up review, the Company noted adverse development in various pollution accounts due to changes in liability and/or coverage circumstances. These changes in turn increased the Company's estimates for incurred but not reported claims. As a result the Company increased pollution reserves by \$83 million in 2008.

The remainder of the unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily related to commutations of ceded reinsurance arrangements. The unfavorable development was substantially offset by a release of a previously established allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

2007 Net Prior Year Development

Standard Lines

Approximately \$184 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased frequency and severity on claims within the general liability exposures in accident years 2005 and prior, as well as lower frequency in accident years 1997 and prior related to construction defect. There was approximately \$17 million of favorable premium development resulting from audits on recent policies.

Approximately \$140 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to decreased frequency and severity on claims related to property exposures, primarily in accident years 2005 and 2006. Included in this favorable development is approximately \$39 million related to the 2005 hurricanes.

Approximately \$16 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded in marine exposures, due primarily to decreased frequency in accident year 2006, and decreased severity in accident years 2005 and prior.

Approximately \$16 million of unfavorable premium development was recorded related to the Company's participation in involuntary pools. This unfavorable premium development was partially offset by \$9 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development.

Approximately \$257 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due to increased severity in workers' compensation exposures, primarily on large claims in accident years 2003 and prior, as a result of continued claim cost inflation in older accident years, driven by increasing medical inflation and advances in medical care. This was partially offset by \$12 million of favorable premium development.

Specialty Lines

Approximately \$39 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded for large law firm exposures. The change was due to increased severity estimates on large claims in accident years 2005 and prior. The increase in severity was due to a comprehensive case by case claim review for large law firm exposures, causing an overall increase in estimated ultimate loss.

Approximately \$59 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded in the Company's foreign operations. This favorable development was recorded primarily due to decreased severity and frequency in accident years 2003 through 2006.

Approximately \$37 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded on claims for healthcare facilities across several accident years. This was primarily due to

decreased severity on claims within the general liability exposures and decreased incurred losses as a result of changes in individual claims reserve estimates.

Approximately \$67 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded on claims for architects and engineers. This unfavorable development was primarily due to large loss emergence in accident years 1999 through 2004.

Approximately \$16 million of favorable claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due primarily to better than expected loss experience in the vehicle warranty coverages in accident year 2006. The reserves for this business were initially estimated based on the loss ratio expected for the business. Subsequent estimates rely more heavily on the actual case incurred losses, which have been significantly lower than expected.

Approximately \$24 million of favorable claim and claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to surety business resulting from better than expected salvage and subrogation recoveries from older accident years and a lack of emergence of large claims in more recent accident years.

Corporate & Other Non-Core

Approximately \$9 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to commutation activity, a portion of which was offset by a release of a previously established allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

Approximately \$70 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due to higher than anticipated litigation costs related to miscellaneous chemical exposures, primarily in accident years 1997 and prior.

2006 Net Prior Year Development

Standard Lines

Approximately \$119 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to commutation activity that took place in the fourth quarter of 2006. Approximately \$102 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to casualty lines of business, primarily workers' compensation, due to continued claim cost inflation in older accident years, primarily 2002 and prior. The primary drivers of the continuing claim cost inflation were medical inflation and advances in medical care.

Favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development of approximately \$88 million was recorded in relation to the short-tail coverages such as property and marine, primarily in accident years 2004 and 2005. The favorable results were primarily due to the underwriting actions taken by the Company that significantly improved the results on this business and favorable outcomes on individual claims.

The majority of the favorable premium development was due to additional premium primarily resulting from audits and changes to premium on several ceded reinsurance agreements. Business impacted included various middle market liability coverages, workers' compensation, property, and large accounts. This favorable premium development was partially offset by approximately \$44 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development recorded as a result of this favorable premium development.

Specialty Lines

Approximately \$55 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded due to increased claim adjustment expenses and increased severities in the architects and engineers book of business in accident years 2003 and prior. Previous reviews assumed that incurred severities had increased, at least in part, due to increases in the adequacy of case reserve estimates with relatively minor changes in underlying severity. Subsequent changes in paid and case incurred losses have shown that more of the change was due to underlying increases in verdict and settlement size for these accident years rather than increases in case reserve adequacy, resulting in higher ultimate losses. One of the primary drivers of these

larger verdicts and settlements was the then continuing general increase in commercial and private real estate values. Approximately \$60 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was due to improved claim severity and claim frequency in the healthcare professional liability business, primarily in dental, nursing home liability, physicians and other healthcare facilities. The improved severity and frequency were due to underwriting changes. The Company no longer writes large national nursing home chains and focuses on smaller insureds in selected areas of the country. These changes resulted in business that experiences fewer large claims.

Approximately \$15 million of unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily related to increased severity on individual large claims from large law firm errors and omissions (E&O) and directors and officers (D&O) coverages. These increases resulted in higher ultimate loss projections from the average loss methods used by the Company's actuaries.

Approximately \$17 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was recorded in the warranty line of business for accident years 2004 and 2005. The reserves for this business were initially estimated based on the loss ratio expected for the business. Subsequent estimates relied more heavily on the actual case incurred losses due to the short-tail nature of this business. The short-tail nature of the business is due to the short period of time that passes between the time the business is written and the time when all claims are known and settled. Case incurred loss for the then most recent accident year was lower than indicated by the initial loss ratio.

Approximately \$43 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to favorable loss trends on accident years 2002 through 2005 in the Company's foreign operations, primarily Europe and Canada, in the marine, casualty, and property coverages.

Approximately \$30 million of favorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was related to lower severities on the excess and surplus lines business in accident years 2000 and subsequent. These severity changes were driven primarily by favorable judicial decisions and settlement activities on individual cases.

Corporate & Other Non-Core

The majority of the unfavorable claim and allocated claim adjustment expense reserve development was primarily related to the Company's exposure arising from claims typically involving allegations by multiple plaintiffs alleging injury resulting from exposure to or use of similar substances or products over multiple policy periods. Examples include, but are not limited to, lead paint claims, hardboard siding, polybutylene pipe, mold, silica, latex gloves, benzene products, welding rods, diet drugs, breast implants, medical devices, and various other toxic chemical exposures. During the Company's 2006 ground up review, the Company noted adverse development in various accounts. The adverse development resulted primarily from increases related to defense costs in a small number of accounts arising out of various substances and products.

Note G. Legal Proceedings and Contingent Liabilities***Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation***

On August 1, 2005, CNAF and several of its insurance subsidiaries were joined as defendants, along with other insurers and brokers, in multidistrict litigation pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, Civil No. 04-5184 (FSH). The plaintiffs allege bid rigging and improprieties in the payment of contingent commissions in connection with the sale of insurance that violated federal and state antitrust laws, the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) Act and state common law. After discovery, the District Court dismissed the federal antitrust claims and the RICO claims, and declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims. The plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal of their complaint to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The parties have filed their briefs on the appeal. Oral argument, if granted, will be held on April 20, 2009. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to this action and intends to defend the case vigorously.

The extent of losses beyond any amounts that may be accrued are not readily determinable at this time. However, based on facts and circumstances presently known, in the opinion of management, an unfavorable outcome will not materially affect the equity of the Company, although results of operations may be adversely affected.

Global Crossing Limited Litigation

CCC has been named as a defendant in an action brought by the bankruptcy estate of Global Crossing Limited (Global Crossing) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, Global Crossing Estate Representative, for itself and as the Liquidating Trustee of the Global Crossing Liquidating Trust v. Gary Winnick, et al., Case No. 04 Civ. 2558 (GEL). In the complaint, plaintiff seeks damages from CCC and the other defendants for alleged fraudulent transfers and alleged breaches of fiduciary duties arising from actions taken by Global Crossing while CCC was a shareholder of Global Crossing. In 2009, the parties negotiated a settlement in principle, which involves a dismissal with prejudice of all claims against CCC. The settlement is subject to certain contingencies, including among others, the negotiation and execution of definitive agreements and entry by the Court of an order barring all claims against CCC under certain conditions and subject to certain limitations. The negotiated amount approximates the amount accrued at December 31, 2008.

California Long Term Care Litigation

Shaffer v. Continental Casualty Company, et al., U.S. District Court, Central District of California, CV06-2235 RGK, is a class action on behalf of certain California individual long term health care policyholders, alleging that CCC and CNAF knowingly or negligently used unrealistic actuarial assumptions in pricing these policies. On January 8, 2008, CCC, CNAF and the plaintiffs entered into a binding agreement settling the case on a nationwide basis for the policy forms potentially affected by the allegations of the complaint. Following a fairness hearing, the Court entered an order approving the settlement. This order was appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. At present the appeal is being briefed. No oral argument has yet been scheduled. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to this appeal and intends to defend the appeal vigorously. The agreement did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, however it still remains subject to the favorable resolution of the appeal.

Asbestos and Environmental Pollution (A&E) Reserves

The Company is also a party to litigation and claims related to A&E cases arising in the ordinary course of business. See Note F for further discussion.

Other Litigation

The Company is also a party to other litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Based on the facts and circumstances currently known, such other litigation will not, in the opinion of management, materially affect the equity or results of operations of the Company.

Note H. Reinsurance

CNA cedes insurance to reinsurers to limit its maximum loss, provide greater diversification of risk, minimize exposures on larger risks and to exit certain lines of business. The ceding of insurance does not discharge the primary liability of the Company. Therefore, a credit exposure exists with respect to property and casualty and life reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer is unable to meet its obligations or to the extent that the reinsurer disputes the liabilities assumed under reinsurance agreements. Property and casualty reinsurance coverages are tailored to the specific risk characteristics of each product line and CNA's retained amount varies by type of coverage. Reinsurance contracts are purchased to protect specific lines of business such as property and workers' compensation. Corporate catastrophe reinsurance is also purchased for property and workers' compensation exposure. Most reinsurance contracts are purchased on an excess of loss basis. CNA also utilizes facultative reinsurance in certain lines. In addition, CNA assumes reinsurance as a member of various reinsurance pools and associations.

Reinsurance accounting allows for contractual cash flows to be reflected as premiums and losses, as compared to deposit accounting, which requires cash flows to be reflected as assets and liabilities. To qualify for reinsurance accounting, reinsurance agreements must include risk transfer. To meet risk transfer requirements, a reinsurance contract must include both insurance risk, consisting of underwriting and timing risk, and a reasonable possibility of a significant loss for the assuming entity.

The following table summarizes the amounts receivable from reinsurers at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Components of Reinsurance Receivables**December 31**

(In millions)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Reinsurance receivables related to insurance reserves: | | |
| Ceded claim and claim adjustment expense | \$ 6,288 | \$ 7,056 |
| Ceded future policy benefits | 903 | 987 |
| Ceded policyholders' funds | 39 | 43 |
| Reinsurance receivables related to paid losses | 531 | 603 |
| Reinsurance receivables | 7,761 | 8,689 |
| Allowance for uncollectible reinsurance | (366) | (461) |
| Reinsurance receivables, net of allowance for uncollectible reinsurance | \$ 7,395 | \$ 8,228 |

The Company has established an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance receivables. The expense (release) for uncollectible reinsurance was \$(47) million, \$1 million and \$23 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. Changes in the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance receivables are presented as a component of Insurance claims and policyholders' benefits on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company attempts to mitigate its credit risk related to reinsurance by entering into reinsurance arrangements with reinsurers that have credit ratings above certain levels and by obtaining collateral. The primary methods of obtaining collateral are through reinsurance trusts, letters of credit and funds withheld balances. Such collateral was approximately \$2.1 billion and \$2.4 billion at December 31, 2008 and 2007. On a more limited basis, CNA may enter into reinsurance agreements with reinsurers that are not rated.

CNA's largest recoverables from a single reinsurer at December 31, 2008, including prepaid reinsurance premiums, were approximately \$1,450 million from subsidiaries of Swiss Re Group, \$900 million from subsidiaries of Munich Re Group and \$700 million from subsidiaries of Hartford Insurance Group.

The effects of reinsurance on earned premiums and written premiums for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are shown in the following tables.

Components of Earned Premiums

| (In millions) | Direct | Assumed | Ceded | Net | Assumed/ Net % |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 2008 Earned Premiums | | | | | |
| Property and casualty | \$ 8,496 | \$ 164 | \$ 2,121 | \$ 6,539 | 2.5% |
| Accident and health | 593 | 46 | 28 | 611 | 7.5 |
| Life | 99 | | 98 | 1 | |
| Total earned premiums | \$ 9,188 | \$ 210 | \$ 2,247 | \$ 7,151 | 2.9% |
| 2007 Earned Premiums | | | | | |
| Property and casualty | \$ 9,097 | \$ 118 | \$ 2,349 | \$ 6,866 | 1.7% |
| Accident and health | 660 | 76 | 119 | 617 | 12.3 |
| Life | 76 | | 75 | 1 | |
| Total earned premiums | \$ 9,833 | \$ 194 | \$ 2,543 | \$ 7,484 | 2.6% |
| 2006 Earned Premiums | | | | | |
| Property and casualty | \$ 9,125 | \$ 120 | \$ 2,283 | \$ 6,962 | 1.7% |
| Accident and health | 718 | 59 | 138 | 639 | 9.2 |
| Life | 100 | | 98 | 2 | |
| Total earned premiums | \$ 9,943 | \$ 179 | \$ 2,519 | \$ 7,603 | 2.4% |

Included in the direct and ceded earned premiums for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are \$1.5 billion, \$1.6 billion and \$1.5 billion related to business that is 100% reinsured as a result of business dispositions and a significant captive program.

Components of Written Premiums

| (In millions) | Direct | Assumed | Ceded | Net | Assumed/ Net % |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 2008 Written Premiums | | | | | |
| Property and casualty | \$ 8,413 | \$ 182 | \$ 2,109 | \$ 6,486 | 2.8% |
| Accident and health | 572 | 51 | 18 | 605 | 8.4 |
| Life | 70 | | 69 | 1 | |
| Total written premiums | \$ 9,055 | \$ 233 | \$ 2,196 | \$ 7,092 | 3.3% |

2007 Written Premiums

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Property and casualty | \$ 8,925 | \$ 123 | \$ 2,272 | \$ 6,776 | 1.8% |
| Accident and health | 646 | 75 | 113 | 608 | 12.3 |
| Life | 81 | | 80 | 1 | |
| Total written premiums | \$ 9,652 | \$ 198 | \$ 2,465 | \$ 7,385 | 2.7% |
| 2006 Written Premiums | | | | | |
| Property and casualty | \$ 9,193 | \$ 111 | \$ 2,282 | \$ 7,022 | 1.6% |
| Accident and health | 719 | 59 | 139 | 639 | 9.2 |
| Life | 86 | | 84 | 2 | |
| Total written premiums | \$ 9,998 | \$ 170 | \$ 2,505 | \$ 7,663 | 2.2% |

Life and accident and health premiums are primarily from long duration contracts; property and casualty premiums are primarily from short duration contracts.

Insurance claims and policyholders' benefits reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations are net of reinsurance recoveries of \$1.8 billion, \$1.4 billion and \$1.3 billion for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

The impact of reinsurance on life insurance inforce at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is shown in the following table.

Components of Life Insurance Inforce

| (In millions) | Direct | Assumed | Ceded | Net |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|------------|
| 2008 | \$10,805 | \$ | \$10,790 | \$15 |
| 2007 | 14,089 | 1 | 14,071 | 19 |
| 2006 | 15,652 | 1 | 15,633 | 20 |

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, CNA has ceded \$1.5 billion and \$1.8 billion of claim and claim adjustment expense reserves, future policy benefits and policyholders' funds as a result of business operations sold in prior years. Subject to certain exceptions, the purchasers assumed the credit risk of the sold business that was primarily reinsured to other carriers. The assumed credit risk was \$47 million and \$49 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Note I. Debt

Debt is composed of the following obligations.

Debt**December 31**

| (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variable rate debt: | | |
| Credit Facility variable rate and term, due August 1, 2012 | \$ 250 | \$ |
| Debenture CNA Surety, face amount of \$31, due April 29, 2034 | 31 | 31 |
| Senior notes: | | |
| 6.450%, face amount of \$150, due January 15, 2008 | | 150 |
| 6.600%, face amount of \$200, due December 15, 2008 | | 200 |
| 6.000%, face amount of \$400, due August 15, 2011 | 399 | 399 |
| 8.375%, face amount of \$70, due August 15, 2012 | 69 | 69 |
| 5.850%, face amount of \$549, due December 15, 2014 | 547 | 546 |
| 6.500%, face amount of \$350, due August 15, 2016 | 348 | 348 |
| 6.950%, face amount of \$150, due January 15, 2018 | 149 | 149 |
| Debenture, 7.250%, face amount of \$243, due November 15, 2023 | 241 | 241 |
| Other debt, 1.000%-7.600%, due through 2019 | 24 | 24 |
| Total debt | \$ 2,058 | \$ 2,157 |

On August 1, 2007, CNAF entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of banks and other lenders. The credit agreement established a \$250 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility which is intended to be used for general corporate purposes. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus CNAF's credit risk spread of 0.54%, which was equal to 2.74% at December 31, 2008. CNAF used \$200 million of the proceeds to retire the 6.60% Senior Notes due December 15, 2008.

Under the credit agreement, CNAF is required to pay certain fees, including a facility fee and a utilization fee, both of which would adjust automatically in the event of a change in CNAF's financial ratings. The credit agreement includes covenants regarding maintenance of a minimum consolidated net worth and a specified ratio of consolidated indebtedness to consolidated total capitalization. As of December 31, 2008, CNAF was in compliance with all covenants.

The Company's remaining debt obligations contain customary covenants for investment grade insurers. The Company is in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2008.

The combined aggregate maturities for debt at December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table.

Maturity of Debt

| | |
|------------------------------|-------|
| (In millions) | |
| 2009 | \$ |
| 2010 | |
| 2011 | 400 |
| 2012 | 320 |
| 2013 | |
| Thereafter | 1,347 |
| Less original issue discount | (9) |

Total

\$ 2,058

117

Note J. Benefit Plans

Pension and Postretirement Healthcare and Life Insurance Benefit Plans

CNA sponsors noncontributory pension plans, primarily through the CNA Retirement Plan, typically covering full-time employees age 21 or over who have completed at least one year of service. In 2000, the CNA Retirement Plan was closed to new participants; instead, retirement benefits are provided to these employees under the Company's savings plans. While the terms of the pension plans vary, benefits are generally based on years of credited service and the employee's highest 60 consecutive months of compensation. CNA uses December 31 as the measurement date for all of its plans.

In 2000, approximately 60% of CCC's eligible employees elected to forego earning additional benefits in the CNA Retirement Plan, a defined benefit pension plan. These employees maintain an accrued pension account within the defined benefit pension plan that is credited with interest annually at the 30-year treasury rate. The employees who elected to discontinue accruing benefits in the defined benefit pension plan receive certain enhanced employer contributions in the CNA Savings and Capital Accumulation Plan discussed below.

CNA's funding policy for defined benefit pension plans is to make contributions in accordance with applicable governmental regulatory requirements with consideration of the funded status of the plans.

CNA provides certain healthcare and life insurance benefits to eligible retired employees, their covered dependents and their beneficiaries primarily through the CNA Retiree Health and Group Benefits Plan. The funding for these plans is generally to pay covered expenses as they are incurred.

The following table provides a reconciliation of benefit obligations.

Benefit Obligations and Accrued Benefit Costs

| (In millions) | Pension Benefits | | Postretirement Benefits | |
|--|------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Benefit obligation at January 1 | \$ 2,503 | \$ 2,602 | \$ 162 | \$ 177 |
| Changes in benefit obligation: | | | | |
| Service cost | 20 | 23 | 1 | 2 |
| Interest cost | 147 | 145 | 9 | 9 |
| Participants contributions | | | 7 | 7 |
| Plan amendments | 2 | (7) | | |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 27 | (111) | (10) | (15) |
| Benefits paid | (149) | (147) | (19) | (18) |
| Foreign currency translation and other | (21) | (2) | | |
| Benefit obligations at December 31 | 2,529 | 2,503 | 150 | 162 |
| Fair value of plan assets at January 1 | 2,331 | 2,258 | | |
| Change in plan assets: | | | | |
| Actual return on plan assets | (347) | 187 | | |
| Company contributions | 71 | 30 | 12 | 11 |
| Participants contributions | | | 7 | 7 |
| Benefits paid | (149) | (147) | (19) | (18) |
| Foreign currency translation and other | (22) | 3 | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at December 31 | 1,884 | 2,331 | | |
| Funded status | \$ (645) | \$ (172) | \$ (150) | \$ (162) |
| Amounts recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31: | | | | |
| Other assets | \$ 2 | \$ 3 | \$ | \$ |
| Other liabilities | (647) | (175) | (150) | (162) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (645) | \$ (172) | \$ (150) | \$ (162) |
| Amounts recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income, not yet recognized in net periodic (benefit) cost: | | | | |
| Prior service credit | \$ (1) | \$ (3) | \$ (113) | \$ (128) |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Net actuarial loss | 792 | 242 | 27 | 37 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ 791 | \$ 239 | \$ (86) | \$ (91) |

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$2,409 million and \$2,389 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

The accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the pension and postretirement plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 are presented in the following table.

Pension and Postretirement Plans with Accumulated Benefit Obligation in Excess of Plan Assets

| (In millions) | Pension Benefits | | Postretirement Benefits | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | \$2,324 | \$91 | \$150 | \$162 |
| Fair value of plan assets | 1,793 | | | |

The components of net periodic benefit costs are presented in the following table.

Years ended December 31

| (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Pension benefits | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 20 | \$ 23 | \$ 26 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 147 | 145 | 142 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (180) | (174) | (162) |
| Prior service cost amortization | | 2 | 2 |
| Actuarial loss amortization | 4 | 11 | 25 |
| Net periodic pension (benefit) cost | \$ (9) | \$ 7 | \$ 33 |
| Postretirement benefits | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| Prior service cost amortization | (16) | (18) | (28) |
| Actuarial loss amortization | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Net periodic postretirement benefit | \$ (5) | \$ (4) | \$ (12) |

The amounts recognized in Other comprehensive income are presented in the following table.

Years ended December 31

| (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Pension and postretirement benefits | | | |
| Increase in FAS 87 minimum liability | \$ | \$ | \$ 124 |
| Amounts arising during the period | (546) | 151 | |
| Reclassification adjustment relating to prior service cost | (16) | (16) | |
| Reclassification adjustment relating to actuarial loss | 5 | 14 | |
| Total increase (decrease) in Other comprehensive income | \$ (557) | \$ 149 | \$ 124 |

The table below presents the estimated amounts to be recognized from Accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic (benefit) cost during 2009.

| (In millions) | Pension Benefits | Postretirement Benefits |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Amortization of prior service cost | \$ | \$ (15) |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 25 | 1 |
| | | |
| Total estimated amounts to be recognized | \$ 25 | \$ (14) |

Actuarial assumptions used for the CNA Retirement Plan and CNA Retiree Health and Group Benefits Plan to determine benefit obligations are set forth in the following table.

Actuarial Assumptions for Benefit Obligations

| December 31 | 2008 | 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Pension benefits | | |
| Discount rate | 6.300% | 6.000% |
| Expected long term rate of return | 8.000 | 8.000 |
| Rate of compensation increases | 5.830 | 5.830 |

Postretirement benefits

| | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Discount rate | 6.300% | 5.875% |
|---------------|--------|--------|

Actuarial assumptions used for the CNA Retirement Plan and CNA Retiree Health and Group Benefits Plan to determine net cost or benefit are set forth in the following table.

Actuarial Assumptions for Net Cost or Benefit

| Years ended December 31 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Pension benefits | | | |
| Discount rate | 6.000% | 5.750% | 5.625% |
| Expected long term rate of return | 8.000 | 8.000 | 8.000 |
| Rate of compensation increases | 5.830 | 5.830 | 5.830 |

Postretirement benefits

| | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Discount rate | 5.875% | 5.625% | 5.500% |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|

The expected long term rate of return is estimated annually based on factors including, but not limited to, current and future financial market conditions, expected asset allocation, diversification, risk premiums for each asset class, rebalancing the portfolio, funding strategies and the expected forecast for inflation.

The Company has limited its share of the health care trend rate to a cost-of-living adjustment estimated to be 4% per year. The assumed healthcare cost trend rate used in measuring the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation was 4% per year in 2008, 2007 and 2006. The healthcare cost trend rate assumption has a significant effect on the amount of the benefit obligation and periodic cost reported. An increase in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate of 1% in each year would have no impact on the aggregate net periodic postretirement benefit for 2008 and would increase the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation by \$3 million. A decrease in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate of 1% in each year would decrease the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2008 by \$7 million and decrease the aggregate net periodic postretirement cost for 2008 by \$1 million.

The Company's pension plans' asset allocation at December 31, 2008 and 2007, by asset category, is set forth in the following table.

Pension Plan Assets

| December 31 | Percentage of Plan Assets | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Asset Category | | |
| Fixed maturity securities | 35% | 25% |
| Equity securities | 17 | 20 |
| Limited partnerships | 33 | 28 |
| Short term investments | 11 | 26 |
| Other | 4 | 1 |

Total

100%

100%

CNA employs a total return approach whereby a mix of equity and fixed maturity securities are used to maximize the long term return of plan assets for a prudent level of risk. The intent of this strategy is to minimize plan expenses by outperforming plan liabilities over the long run. Risk tolerance is established through careful consideration of the plan liabilities, plan funded status and corporate financial conditions. The

121

investment portfolio contains a diversified blend of fixed maturity, equity and short term securities. Alternative investments, including limited partnerships, are used selectively to enhance risk adjusted long term returns while improving portfolio diversification. Derivatives may be used to gain market exposure in an efficient and timely manner. Investment risk is measured and monitored on an ongoing basis through annual liability measurements, periodic asset/liability studies and quarterly investment portfolio reviews.

The table below presents the estimated future minimum benefit payments to participants at December 31, 2008.

Estimated Future Minimum Benefit Payments to Participants

| (In millions) | Pension Benefits | Postretirement Benefits |
|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2009 | \$ 160 | \$ 11 |
| 2010 | 164 | 12 |
| 2011 | 168 | 12 |
| 2012 | 171 | 12 |
| 2013 | 177 | 13 |
| 2014-2018 | 956 | 64 |

In 2009, CNA expects to contribute \$59 million to its pension plans and \$11 million to its postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefit plans.

Savings Plans

CNA sponsors savings plans, which are generally contributory plans that allow most employees to contribute a maximum of 20% of their eligible compensation, subject to certain limitations prescribed by the Internal Revenue Service. The Company contributes matching amounts to participants, amounting to 70% of the first 6% (35% of the first 6% in the first year of employment) of eligible compensation contributed by the employee. Employees vest in these contributions ratably over five years.

The CNA Savings and Capital Accumulation Plan allows employees to make contributions to an investment fund that is supported in part by an investment contract purchased from CAC. CAC will not accept any further deposits under this contract. The contract value of the liability to the CNA Savings and Capital Accumulation Plan is included in Separate account liabilities and Policyholders' funds on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The contract value was \$327 million and \$308 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

As noted above, during 2000, CCC employees were required to make a choice regarding their continued participation in CNA's defined benefit pension plan. Employees who elected to forego earning additional benefits in the defined benefit pension plan and all employees hired by CCC on or after January 1, 2000 receive a Company contribution of 3% or 5% of their eligible compensation, depending on their age. In addition, these employees are eligible to receive additional discretionary contributions of up to 2% of eligible compensation and an additional Company match of up to 80% of the first 6% of eligible compensation contributed by the employee. These additional contributions are made at the discretion of management and are contributed to participant accounts in the first quarter of the year following management's determination of the discretionary amounts. Employees vest in these contributions ratably over five years.

Benefit expense for the Company's savings plans was \$54 million, \$51 million and \$55 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Stock-Based Compensation

The CNA Long Term Incentive Plan (the LTI Plan) authorizes the grant of restricted shares, options and stock appreciation rights (SARs) to certain management personnel for up to 4 million shares of the Company's common stock. All CNAF stock-based compensation vests ratably over the four-year period following the grant. All options and SARs granted have ten-year terms. The number of shares available for the granting of stock-based compensation under the LTI Plan as of December 31, 2008 was approximately 1.3 million.

The following table presents activity for options and SARs under the LTI Plan in 2008. The numbers presented for awards granted and awards cancelled include 120 thousand related to awards which were modified in 2008.

Options and SARs Plan Activity

| | 2008 | Weighted- Average Option Price per Award |
|--|-----------------------------|---|
| | Number of Awards | |
| Balance at January 1 | 1,408,550 | \$ 31.21 |
| Awards granted | 501,000 | 32.06 |
| Awards exercised | (4,400) | 25.97 |
| Awards forfeited, cancelled or expired | (141,600) | 31.64 |
| Balance at December 31 | 1,763,550 | \$ 31.43 |
| Awards vested and expected to vest at December 31 | 1,635,312 | \$ 31.18 |
| Awards exercisable at December 31 | 963,350 | \$ 29.06 |
| Weighted average fair value per share of awards granted | | \$ 5.45 |

During 2008, CNAF awarded SARs totaling 501 thousand shares. The SARs balance for grants made in 2008 as of December 31, 2008 was 495 thousand shares with 6 thousand shares forfeited.

The weighted average grant-date fair value of awards granted during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$5.45, \$13.83 and \$10.73 per award. The weighted average remaining contractual term of awards outstanding, vested and expected to vest, and exercisable as of December 31, 2008, were 6.21 years, 6.03 years and 4.73 years. At December 31, 2008, there was no aggregate intrinsic value for awards outstanding, vested and expected to vest, and exercisable. The total intrinsic value of awards exercised was approximately \$10 thousand, \$7 million and \$2 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

The fair value of granted options and SARs was estimated at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes model incorporates a risk free rate of return and various assumptions regarding the underlying common stock and the expected life of the securities granted. Different interest rates and assumptions were used for each grant, as appropriate at that date. For grants awarded in 2008, 2007 and 2006, the weighted average market values of the common stock on the date of grant were \$32.06, \$41.86 and \$30.98; the risk free interest rates used were 2.8%, 4.7% and 4.6%; the estimates of the underlying common stock's volatility were 24.82%, 20.75% and 23.24%; the expected dividend yield was 1.4%, 0% and 0%; and the weighted average expected life of the securities granted was 4.54 years, 6.25 years and 6.25 years.

Under the LTI Plan, 8,332 restricted stock grant awards vested during 2008 with a weighted average grant-date fair value of \$29.19 per award. There were no awards granted, forfeited or expired during 2008. The balance of restricted stock grant awards at December 31, 2008 and 2007 was 6,665 and 14,997, with a weighted average grant-date fair value of \$33.76 and \$31.22 per award.

CNA Surety has reserved shares of its common stock for issuance to directors, officers and employees of CNA Surety through incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and SARs under separate plans (CNA Surety Plans). The

CNA Surety Plans have in the aggregate 2 million shares available for which options may be granted. At December 31, 2008, approximately 1 million options were outstanding under these plans. CNA Surety recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$2 million, \$2 million and \$1 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The data provided in the preceding paragraphs and table does not include CNA Surety's stock-based compensation plans.

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$7 million, \$5 million and \$3 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The related income tax benefit recognized was \$2 million, \$2 million and \$1 million. These amounts include compensation in the form of options, SARs and restricted stock grants awarded by CNAF and CNA Surety for these periods. The compensation cost related to nonvested awards not yet recognized was \$4 million, \$6 million and \$4 million, and the weighted average period over

which it is expected to be recognized is 1.96 years, 0.99 year and 1.27 years at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. Equity based compensation that is not fully vested prior to termination is generally forfeited upon termination, except as otherwise provided by contractual obligations. In addition, any such compensation that vested prior to termination is generally cancelled immediately, except in cases of retirement, death or disability, and as otherwise provided by contractual obligations.

Note K. Operating Leases, Other Commitments and Contingencies, and Guarantees

Operating Leases

CNA occupies office facilities under lease agreements that expire at various dates. In addition, data processing, office and transportation equipment is leased under agreements that expire at various dates. Most leases contain renewal options that provide for rent increases based on prevailing market conditions. Lease expense for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$52 million, \$52 million and \$53 million. Lease and sublease revenues for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$4 million, \$4 million and \$7 million. CCC and CAC remain contingently liable under two ground leases covering a portion of an office building property sold in 2003. Although the two leases expire in 2058, CCC and CAC have certain collateral, as well as certain contractual rights and remedies, in place to minimize any exposure that may arise from the new owner's failure to comply with its obligations under the ground leases.

The table below presents the future minimum lease payments to be made under non-cancelable operating leases along with future minimum sublease receipts to be received on owned and leased properties at December 31, 2008.

Future Minimum Lease Payments and Sublease Receipts

| (In millions) | Future Minimum Lease Payments | Future Minimum Sublease Receipts |
|---------------|--|---|
| 2009 | \$ 41 | \$ 3 |
| 2010 | 37 | 3 |
| 2011 | 33 | 3 |
| 2012 | 29 | 3 |
| 2013 | 25 | 2 |
| Thereafter | 39 | |
| Total | \$ 204 | \$ 14 |

The Company holds an investment in a real estate joint venture. In the normal course of business, CNA, on a joint and several basis with other unrelated insurance company shareholders, has committed to continue funding the operating deficits of this joint venture. Additionally, CNA and the other unrelated shareholders, on a joint and several basis, have guaranteed an operating lease for an office building, which expires in 2016. The guarantee of the operating lease is a parallel guarantee to the commitment to fund operating deficits; consequently, the separate guarantee to the lessor is not expected to be triggered as long as the joint venture continues to be funded by its shareholders and continues to make its annual lease payments.

In the event that the other parties to the joint venture are unable to meet their commitments in funding the operations of this joint venture, the Company would be required to assume the obligation for the entire office building operating lease. The maximum potential future lease payments at December 31, 2008 that the Company could be required to pay under this guarantee are approximately \$135 million. If CNA were required to assume the entire lease obligation, the Company would have the right to pursue reimbursement from the other shareholders and the right to all sublease revenues.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, CNA has provided letters of credit in favor of various unaffiliated insurance companies, regulatory authorities and other entities. At December 31, 2008 there were approximately \$5 million of outstanding letters of credit.

The Company has entered into a limited number of guaranteed payment contracts, primarily relating to software and telecommunication services, amounting to approximately \$17 million at December 31, 2008. Estimated future minimum payments under these contracts are \$16 million and \$1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010.

Guarantees

In the course of selling business entities and assets to third parties, the Company has agreed to indemnify purchasers for losses arising out of breaches of representation and warranties with respect to the business entities or assets being sold, including, in certain cases, losses arising from undisclosed liabilities or certain named litigation. Such indemnification provisions generally survive for periods ranging from nine months following the applicable closing date to the expiration of the relevant statutes of limitation. As of December 31, 2008, the aggregate amount of quantifiable indemnification agreements in effect for sales of business entities, assets and third party loans was \$873 million.

In addition, the Company has agreed to provide indemnification to third party purchasers for certain losses associated with sold business entities or assets that are not limited by a contractual monetary amount. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had outstanding unlimited indemnifications in connection with the sales of certain of its business entities or assets that included tax liabilities arising prior to a purchaser's ownership of an entity or asset, defects in title at the time of sale, employee claims arising prior to closing and in some cases losses arising from certain litigation and undisclosed liabilities. These indemnification agreements survive until the applicable statutes of limitation expire, or until the agreed upon contract terms expire.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company has recorded liabilities of approximately \$22 million and \$27 million related to indemnification agreements and does not believe that it is likely that any future indemnity claims will be significantly greater than the amounts recorded.

CNAF has also guaranteed certain collateral obligations of a large national contractor's letters of credit. As of December 31, 2008 these guarantees aggregated \$4 million. Payment under these guarantees is reasonably possible based on various factors, including the underlying credit worthiness of the contractor.

In connection with the issuance of preferred securities by CNA Surety Capital Trust I (Issuer Trust), CNA Surety has also guaranteed the dividend payments and redemption of the preferred securities issued by the Issuer Trust. The maximum amount of undiscounted future payments the Company could make under the guarantee is approximately \$74 million, consisting of annual dividend payments of \$1.7 million over 26 years and the redemption value of \$30 million. Because payment under the guarantee would only be required if the Company does not fulfill its obligations under the debentures held by the Issuer Trust, the Company has not recorded any additional liabilities related to this guarantee. There has been no change in the underlying assets of the trust and the Company does not believe that a payment is likely under this guarantee.

Note L. Stockholders Equity and Statutory Accounting Practices

2008 Senior Preferred

Under an agreement executed effective October 27, 2008, CNA issued, and Loews purchased, 12,500 shares of CNAF non-voting cumulative senior preferred stock (2008 Senior Preferred) for \$1.25 billion. The transaction closed on November 7, 2008. The terms of the 2008 Senior Preferred were approved by a special review committee of independent members of CNAF's Board of Directors. The principal terms of the 2008 Senior Preferred are as follows:

The 2008 Senior Preferred is perpetual and is senior to CNAF's common stock and any future preferred stock as to the payment of dividends and amounts payable upon any liquidation, dissolution or winding up.

No dividends may be declared on CNAF's common stock or any future preferred stock until the 2008 Senior Preferred has been paid in full. Accordingly, the Company has suspended common stock dividend payments.

The 2008 Senior Preferred is not convertible into any other securities and may only be redeemed upon the mutual agreement of the Company and Loews.

The 2008 Senior Preferred accrues cumulative dividends at an initial rate of 10% per year. On the fifth anniversary of the issuance and every five years thereafter, the dividend rate will increase to the higher of 10% or the then current 10-year U.S. Treasury yield plus 700 basis points.

Dividends are payable quarterly and any dividends not paid when due will be compounded quarterly. The Company paid \$19 million on December 31, 2008, representing the first quarterly dividend payment on the 2008 Senior Preferred.

CNAF used the majority of the proceeds from the 2008 Senior Preferred to increase the statutory surplus of its principal insurance subsidiary, CCC, through the purchase of a \$1.0 billion surplus note of CCC. Surplus notes are financial instruments with a stated maturity date and scheduled interest payments, issued by insurance enterprises with the approval of the insurer's domiciliary state. Surplus notes are treated as capital under statutory accounting. All payments of interest and principal on this note are subject to the prior approval of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation - Division of Insurance (the Department). The surplus note of CCC has a term of 30 years and accrues interest at a rate of 10% per year. Interest on the note is payable quarterly.

Common Stock Dividends

Dividends of \$0.45 and \$0.35 per share on CNA's common stock were declared and paid in 2008 and 2007. No dividends were declared or paid in 2006.

Share Repurchases

CNA's Board of Directors has approved a Share Repurchase Program to purchase, in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions, its outstanding common stock, as Company management deems appropriate. In the first quarter of 2008, the Company repurchased a total of 2,649,621 shares at an average price of \$26.53 (including commission) per share. Under the terms of the 2008 Senior Preferred, common stock repurchases are prohibited as long as the 2008 Senior Preferred is outstanding. No shares of common stock were purchased during 2007 or 2006.

Series H Cumulative Preferred Stock Issue

The Series H Cumulative Preferred Stock Issue (Series H Issue) was held by Loews and accrued cumulative dividends at an initial rate of 8% per year, compounded annually. In August 2006, the Company repurchased the Series H Issue for approximately \$993 million, a price equal to the liquidation preference.

The Company financed the repurchase of the Series H Issue with the proceeds from the sales of: 7.0 million shares of its common stock in a public offering for approximately \$235.5 million; \$400 million of new 6.0% five-year senior notes and \$350 million of new 6.5% ten-year senior notes in a public offering;

and 7.86 million shares of its common stock to Loews in a private placement for approximately \$264.5 million.

Statutory Accounting Practices (Unaudited)

CNA's domestic insurance subsidiaries maintain their accounts in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities, which vary in certain respects from GAAP. In converting from statutory accounting principles to GAAP, the more significant adjustments include deferral of policy acquisition costs and the inclusion of net unrealized holding gains or losses in stockholders' equity relating to certain fixed maturity securities.

CNA's insurance subsidiaries are domiciled in various jurisdictions. These subsidiaries prepare statutory financial statements in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the respective jurisdictions' insurance regulators. Prescribed statutory accounting practices are set forth in a variety of publications of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) as well as state laws, regulations and general administrative rules.

CCC has been granted a permitted practice for one year related to the accounting for its deferred income taxes. This permitted practice allows CCC to admit a greater portion of its deferred tax assets than what is allowed under the prescribed statutory accounting guidance. This permitted practice resulted in an approximate \$700 million increase in CCC's statutory surplus at December 31, 2008, the first reporting period for which the permitted practice was effective. The permitted practice will remain in effect for the first, second and third quarter 2009 reporting periods.

CNAF's ability to pay dividends and other credit obligations is significantly dependent on receipt of dividends from its subsidiaries. The payment of dividends to CNAF by its insurance subsidiaries without prior approval of the insurance department of each subsidiary's domiciliary jurisdiction is limited by formula. Dividends in excess of these amounts are subject to prior approval by the respective state insurance departments.

Dividends from CCC are subject to the insurance holding company laws of the State of Illinois, the domiciliary state of CCC. Under these laws, ordinary dividends, or dividends that do not require prior approval of the Department, may be paid only from earned surplus, which is calculated by removing unrealized gains from unassigned surplus. As of December 31, 2008, CCC is in a positive earned surplus position, enabling CCC to pay approximately \$100 million of dividend payments during 2009 that would not be subject to the Department's prior approval. The actual level of dividends paid in any year is determined after an assessment of available dividend capacity, holding company liquidity and cash needs as well as the impact the dividends will have on the statutory surplus of the applicable insurance company.

CNAF's domestic insurance subsidiaries are subject to risk-based capital requirements. Risk-based capital is a method developed by the NAIC to determine the minimum amount of statutory capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in consideration of its size and risk profile. The formula for determining the amount of risk-based capital specifies various factors, weighted based on the perceived degree of risk, which are applied to certain financial balances and financial activity. The adequacy of a company's actual capital is evaluated by a comparison to the risk-based capital results, as determined by the formula. Companies below minimum risk-based capital requirements are classified within certain levels, each of which requires specified corrective action. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, all of CNAF's domestic insurance subsidiaries exceeded the minimum risk-based capital requirements.

Preliminary combined statutory capital and surplus and net income, determined in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities for the property and casualty and the life insurance subsidiaries, were as follows.

Preliminary Statutory Information

| | Statutory Capital and Surplus | | Statutory Net Income (Loss) | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | December 31 | | Years Ended December 31 | | |
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| (In millions) | | | | | |
| Property and casualty companies | | | | | |
| (a) | \$ 8,002 | \$ 8,511 | \$ (89) | \$ 575 | \$ 721 |
| Life company | 487 | 471 | (51) | 27 | 67 |

(a) Surplus includes the property and casualty companies equity ownership of the life company's capital and surplus.

Note M. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is composed of all changes to stockholders' equity, except those changes resulting from transactions with stockholders in their capacity as stockholders. The components of comprehensive income (loss) are shown below.

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 | |
|--|----------|------------|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Tax | After-Tax | Tax | After-Tax | Tax | After-Tax |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments: | | | | | | |
| Holding gains (losses) arising during the period | \$ 1,942 | \$ (3,583) | \$ 226 | \$ (420) | \$ (68) | \$ 127 |
| Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income (loss) | (16) | 30 | 87 | (159) | 6 | (11) |
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments | 1,926 | (3,553) | 313 | (579) | (62) | 116 |
| Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on discontinued operations and other | 6 | (6) | 2 | 3 | 4 | (6) |
| Net change in foreign currency translation adjustment | | (153) | | 30 | | 42 |
| Net change related to pension and postretirement benefits | 194 | (363) | (52) | 97 | (44) | 80 |
| Allocation to participating policyholders and minority interests | | 48 | | 3 | | 4 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 2,126 | (4,027) | \$ 263 | (446) | \$ (102) | 236 |
| Net income (loss) | | (299) | | 851 | | 1,108 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | | \$ (4,326) | | \$ 405 | | \$ 1,344 |

The following table displays the components of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | | 2007 | |
|--|------|-----------|------|-----------|
| | Tax | After-Tax | Tax | After-Tax |
| Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment | \$ | \$ (30) | \$ | \$ 123 |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Net pension and postretirement benefit liabilities | 246 | (459) | 52 | (96) |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments and other | 1,918 | (3,435) | (14) | 76 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 2,164 | \$ (3,924) | \$ 38 | \$ 103 |

129

Note N. Business Segments

CNA's core property and casualty commercial insurance operations are reported in two business segments: Standard Lines and Specialty Lines. Standard Lines includes standard property and casualty coverages sold to small businesses and middle market entities and organizations in the U.S. primarily through an independent agency distribution system. Standard Lines also includes commercial insurance and risk management products sold to large corporations in the U.S. primarily through insurance brokers. Specialty Lines provides a broad array of professional, financial and specialty property and casualty products and services, including excess and surplus lines, primarily through insurance brokers and managing general underwriters. Specialty Lines also includes insurance coverages sold globally through the Company's foreign operations (CNA Global).

CNA's non-core operations are managed in two segments: Life & Group Non-Core and Corporate & Other Non-Core. Life & Group Non-Core primarily includes the results of the life and group lines of business that have either been sold or placed in run-off. Corporate & Other Non-Core primarily includes certain corporate expenses, including interest on corporate debt, and the results of certain property and casualty business primarily in run-off, including CNA Re. This segment also includes the results related to the centralized adjusting and settlement of A&E.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note A. The Company manages most of its assets on a legal entity basis, while segment operations are conducted across legal entities. As such, only insurance and reinsurance receivables, insurance reserves and deferred acquisition costs are readily identifiable by individual segment. Distinct investment portfolios are not maintained for each segment; accordingly, allocation of assets to each segment is not performed. Therefore, net investment income and realized investment gains or losses are allocated primarily based on each segment's net carried insurance reserves, as adjusted. All significant intrasegment income and expense has been eliminated. Income taxes have been allocated on the basis of the taxable income of the segments.

Approximately 7.4%, 6.9% and 5.9% of CNA's direct written premiums were derived from outside the United States for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. Direct written premiums from any individual foreign country were not significant.

In the following tables, certain financial measures are presented to provide information used by management to monitor the Company's operating performance. Management utilizes these financial measures to monitor the Company's insurance operations and investment portfolio. Net operating income, which is derived from certain income statement amounts, is used by management to monitor performance of the Company's insurance operations. The Company's investment portfolio is monitored through analysis of various quantitative and qualitative factors and certain decisions related to the sale or impairment of investments that produce realized gains and losses. Net realized investment gains and losses are comprised of after-tax realized investment gains and losses net of participating policyholders' and minority interests.

Net operating income is calculated by excluding from net income the after-tax effects of 1) net realized investment gains or losses, 2) income or loss from discontinued operations and 3) any cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles. In the calculation of net operating income, management excludes after-tax net realized investment gains or losses because net realized investment gains or losses related to the Company's investment portfolio are largely discretionary, except for losses related to other-than-temporary impairments, are generally driven by economic factors that are not necessarily consistent with key drivers of underwriting performance, and are therefore not an indication of trends in insurance operations.

The Company's investment portfolio is monitored by management through analyses of various factors including unrealized gains and losses on securities, portfolio duration and exposure to interest rate, market and credit risk. Based on such analyses, the Company may impair an investment security in accordance with its policy, or sell a security. Such activities will produce realized gains and losses.

The significant components of the Company's continuing operations and selected balance sheet items are presented in the following tables.

| Year ended December 31, 2008 (In millions) | Standard Lines | Specialty Lines | Life & Group Non-Core | Corporate & Other Non-Core | Eliminations | Total |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Net earned premiums | \$ 3,065 | \$ 3,477 | \$ 612 | \$ 1 | \$ (4) | \$ 7,151 |
| Net investment income | 506 | 451 | 484 | 178 | | 1,619 |
| Other revenues | 57 | 227 | 28 | 14 | | 326 |
| Total operating revenues | 3,628 | 4,155 | 1,124 | 193 | (4) | 9,096 |
| Claims, benefits and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Net incurred claims and benefits | 2,313 | 2,153 | 1,104 | 133 | | 5,703 |
| Policyholders dividends | (1) | 15 | 6 | | | 20 |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | 700 | 754 | 13 | | | 1,467 |
| Other insurance related expenses | 267 | 213 | 201 | 17 | (4) | 694 |
| Other expenses | 66 | 237 | 24 | 150 | | 477 |
| Total claims, benefits and expenses | 3,345 | 3,372 | 1,348 | 300 | (4) | 8,361 |
| Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest | 283 | 783 | (224) | (107) | | 735 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit on operating income (loss) | (62) | (244) | 116 | 45 | | (145) |
| Minority interest | | (57) | | | | (57) |
| Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations | 221 | 482 | (108) | (62) | | 533 |
| Realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests | (487) | (288) | (363) | (159) | | (1,297) |
| Income tax benefit on realized investment losses | 170 | 103 | 127 | 56 | | 456 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (96) | \$ 297 | \$ (344) | \$ (165) | \$ | \$ (308) |
| December 31, 2008 (In millions) | | | | | | |
| Reinsurance receivables | \$ 2,266 | \$ 1,496 | \$ 1,907 | \$ 2,092 | \$ | \$ 7,761 |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Insurance receivables | \$ 1,264 | \$ 765 | \$ 6 | \$ 4 | \$ 2,039 |
| Insurance reserves: | | | | | |
| Claim and claim adjustment expenses | \$ 12,048 | \$ 8,282 | \$ 2,862 | \$ 4,401 | \$ 27,593 |
| Unearned premiums | 1,401 | 1,848 | 152 | 5 | 3,406 |
| Future policy benefits | | | 7,529 | | 7,529 |
| Policyholders funds | 14 | 10 | 219 | | 243 |
| Deferred acquisition costs | \$ 293 | \$ 360 | \$ 472 | \$ | \$ 1,125 |
| | | 131 | | | |

| Year ended December 31, 2007 (In millions) | Standard Lines | Specialty Lines | Life & Group Non-Core | Corporate & Other Non-Core | Eliminations | Total |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Net earned premiums | \$ 3,379 | \$ 3,484 | \$ 618 | \$ 7 | \$ (4) | \$ 7,484 |
| Net investment income | 878 | 621 | 622 | 312 | | 2,433 |
| Other revenues | 47 | 188 | 36 | 8 | | 279 |
| Total operating revenues | 4,304 | 4,293 | 1,276 | 327 | (4) | 10,196 |
| Claims, benefits and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Net incurred claims and benefits | 2,279 | 2,187 | 1,312 | 217 | | 5,995 |
| Policyholders dividends | 6 | 7 | 1 | | | 14 |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | 761 | 744 | 15 | | | 1,520 |
| Other insurance related expenses | 338 | 187 | 199 | 13 | (4) | 733 |
| Other expenses | 43 | 185 | 43 | 130 | | 401 |
| Total claims, benefits and expenses | 3,427 | 3,310 | 1,570 | 360 | (4) | 8,663 |
| Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest | 877 | 983 | (294) | (33) | | 1,533 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit on operating income (loss) | (275) | (317) | 135 | 32 | | (425) |
| Minority interest | | (47) | | (1) | | (48) |
| Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations | 602 | 619 | (159) | (2) | | 1,060 |
| Realized investment losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests | (149) | (81) | (56) | (25) | | (311) |
| Income tax benefit on realized investment losses | 52 | 28 | 20 | 8 | | 108 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 505 | \$ 566 | \$ (195) | \$ (19) | \$ | \$ 857 |
| December 31, 2007 (In millions) | | | | | | |
| Reinsurance receivables | \$ 2,269 | \$ 1,819 | \$ 2,201 | \$ 2,400 | \$ | \$ 8,689 |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----|-----------|
| Insurance receivables | \$ 1,664 | \$ 605 | \$ 26 | \$ (11) | \$ | \$ 2,284 |
| Insurance reserves: | | | | | | |
| Claim and claim adjustment expenses | \$ 12,048 | \$ 8,403 | \$ 3,027 | \$ 5,110 | \$ | \$ 28,588 |
| Unearned premiums | 1,483 | 1,948 | 162 | 5 | | 3,598 |
| Future policy benefits | | | 7,106 | | | 7,106 |
| Policyholders funds | 26 | 1 | 903 | | | 930 |
| Deferred acquisition costs | \$ 311 | \$ 365 | \$ 485 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,161 |
| | | 132 | | | | |

| Year ended December 31, 2006 (In millions) | Standard Lines | Specialty Lines | Life & Group Non-Core | Corporate & Other Non-Core | Eliminations | Total |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------|-----------------|
| Revenues: | | | | | | |
| Net earned premiums | \$ 3,557 | \$ 3,411 | \$ 641 | \$ (1) | \$ (5) | \$ 7,603 |
| Net investment income | 840 | 554 | 698 | 320 | | 2,412 |
| Other revenues | 44 | 156 | 66 | 9 | | 275 |
| Total operating revenues | 4,441 | 4,121 | 1,405 | 328 | (5) | 10,290 |
| Claims, benefits and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Net incurred claims and benefits | 2,579 | 2,060 | 1,195 | 190 | 1 | 6,025 |
| Policyholders dividends | 18 | 4 | | | | 22 |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | 805 | 714 | 14 | 1 | | 1,534 |
| Other insurance related expenses | 319 | 219 | 201 | 24 | (6) | 757 |
| Restructuring and other related charges | | | | (13) | | (13) |
| Other expenses | 66 | 151 | 58 | 126 | | 401 |
| Total claims, benefits and expenses | 3,787 | 3,148 | 1,468 | 328 | (5) | 8,726 |
| Operating income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax and minority interest | 654 | 973 | (63) | | | 1,564 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit on operating income (loss) | (208) | (295) | 49 | 4 | | (450) |
| Minority interest | | (43) | | (1) | | (44) |
| Net operating income (loss) from continuing operations | 446 | 635 | (14) | 3 | | 1,070 |
| Realized investment gains (losses), net of participating policyholders and minority interests | 72 | 32 | (50) | 32 | | 86 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit on realized investment gains (losses) | (24) | (7) | 17 | (5) | | (19) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 494 | \$ 660 | \$ (47) | \$ 30 | \$ | \$ 1,137 |

The following table provides revenue by line of business for each reportable segment. Revenues are comprised of operating revenues and realized investment gains and losses, net of participating policyholders and minority interests.

Revenues by Line of Business

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Standard Lines | | | |
| Business Insurance | \$ 573 | \$ 628 | \$ 603 |
| Commercial Insurance | 2,568 | 3,527 | 3,910 |
| Standard Lines revenues | 3,141 | 4,155 | 4,513 |
| Specialty Lines | | | |
| U.S. Specialty Lines | 2,271 | 2,669 | 2,679 |
| Surety | 479 | 468 | 436 |
| Warranty | 289 | 293 | 287 |
| CNA Global | 828 | 782 | 751 |
| Specialty Lines revenues | 3,867 | 4,212 | 4,153 |
| Life & Group Non-Core | | | |
| Life & Annuity | 40 | 257 | 384 |
| Health | 688 | 911 | 889 |
| Other | 33 | 52 | 82 |
| Life & Group Non-Core revenues | 761 | 1,220 | 1,355 |
| Corporate & Other Non-Core | | | |
| CNA Re | 14 | 119 | 129 |
| Other | 20 | 183 | 231 |
| Corporate & Other Non-Core revenues | 34 | 302 | 360 |
| Eliminations | (4) | (4) | (5) |
| Total revenues | \$ 7,799 | \$ 9,885 | \$ 10,376 |

Note O. Restructuring and Other Related Charges

In 2001, the Company finalized and approved a restructuring plan related to the property and casualty segments and Life & Group Non-Core segment, discontinuation of its variable life and annuity business and consolidation of real estate locations. During 2006, management reevaluated the sufficiency of the remaining accrual, which related to lease termination costs, and determined that the liability was no longer required as the Company had completed its lease obligations. As a result, the excess remaining accrual was released in 2006, resulting in pretax income of \$13 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Note P. Discontinued Operations

CNA has discontinued operations, which consist of run-off insurance and reinsurance operations acquired in its merger with The Continental Corporation in 1995. As of December 31, 2008, the remaining run-off business is administered by Continental Reinsurance Corporation International, Ltd., a wholly-owned Bermuda subsidiary. The business consists of facultative property and casualty, treaty excess casualty and treaty pro-rata reinsurance with underlying exposure to a diverse, multi-line domestic and international book of business encompassing property, casualty and marine liabilities.

Results of the discontinued operations were as follows:

Discontinued Operations**Years ended December 31**

(In millions)

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 8 | \$ 13 | \$ 17 |
| Realized investment gains (losses) and other | 2 | 6 | (2) |
| Total revenues | 10 | 19 | 15 |
| Insurance related expenses | (10) | (25) | (51) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | | (6) | (36) |
| Income tax benefit | 9 | | 7 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ 9 | \$ (6) | \$ (29) |

On May 4, 2007, the Company sold Continental Management Services Limited (CMS), its United Kingdom discontinued operations subsidiary. In anticipation of the 2007 sale, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$29 million in 2006. After closing the transaction in 2007, the loss was reduced by approximately \$5 million. Net loss for the business through the date of the sale in 2007 was \$1 million. Excluding the impairment loss, net loss for the business was \$1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

During 2008, the Company recognized a change in estimate of the tax benefit related to the CMS sale.

Net assets (liabilities) of discontinued operations, included in Other assets or Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, were as follows.

Discontinued Operations

| December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Investments | \$ 157 | \$ 185 |
| Reinsurance receivables | 6 | 1 |
| Cash | | 7 |
| Other assets | 1 | 4 |
| Total assets | 164 | 197 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Insurance reserves | 162 | 172 |
| Other liabilities | 8 | 2 |
| Total liabilities | 170 | 174 |
| Net assets (liabilities) of discontinued operations | \$ (6) | \$ 23 |

CNA's accounting and reporting for discontinued operations is in accordance with APB Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the insurance reserves are net of discount of \$75 million and \$73 million. The net income (loss) from discontinued operations reported above primarily represents the net investment income, realized investment gains and losses, foreign currency gains and losses, effects of the accretion of the loss reserve discount and re-estimation of the ultimate claim and claim adjustment expense of the discontinued operations.

Note Q. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following tables set forth unaudited quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Quarterly Financial Data

| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Full Year |
|--|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| (In millions, except per share data) | | | | | |
| 2008 | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 2,282 | \$ 2,321 | \$ 1,659 | \$ 1,537 | \$ 7,799 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax | \$ 252 | \$ 241 | \$ (558) | \$ (554) | \$ (619) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (64) | (62) | 218 | 219 | 311 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 188 | 179 | (340) | (335) | (308) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (1) | 2 | 9 | (1) | 9 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 187 | \$ 181 | \$ (331) | \$ (336) | \$ (299) |

Basic and Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.66 | \$ (1.26) | \$ (1.31) | \$ (1.21) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (0.01) | 0.01 | 0.03 | | 0.03 |

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.67 | \$ (1.23) | \$ (1.31) | \$ (1.18) |
|--|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

Quarterly Financial Data

| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Full Year |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| (In millions, except per share data) | | | | | |
| 2007 | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 2,517 | \$ 2,469 | \$ 2,484 | \$ 2,415 | \$ 9,885 |
| Income from continuing operations before income tax | \$ 426 | \$ 318 | \$ 230 | \$ 200 | \$ 1,174 |
| Income tax expense | (132) | (91) | (56) | (38) | (317) |
| Income from continuing operations | 294 | 227 | 174 | 162 | 857 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 2 | (10) | | 2 | (6) |
| Net income | \$ 296 | \$ 217 | \$ 174 | \$ 164 | \$ 851 |

Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.08 | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.59 | \$ 3.15 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 0.01 | (0.04) | | 0.01 | (0.02) |

Basic and diluted earnings per share available to common stockholders

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | \$ 1.09 | \$ 0.80 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 3.13 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|

During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company recorded pretax OTTI losses of \$644 million primarily in the corporate and other taxable bonds and asset-backed bonds sectors and pretax losses of \$309 million related to limited partnerships.

During the fourth quarter of 2007, the Company recorded pretax OTTI losses of \$290 million primarily in the asset-backed bonds and corporate and other taxable bonds sectors.

Note R. Related Party Transactions

CNA reimburses Loews, or pays directly, for management fees, travel and related expenses and expenses of investment facilities and services provided to CNA. The amounts reimbursed or paid by CNA were \$35 million, \$27 million and \$27 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The CNA Tax Group is included on the consolidated federal income tax return of Loews and its eligible subsidiaries. See Note E for a detailed description of the income tax agreement with Loews. In addition, CNA writes, at standard rates, a limited amount of insurance for Loews and its subsidiaries. The earned premiums for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$3 million. The earned premiums for the year ended December 31, 2006 were less than \$1 million.

In November 2008, the Company issued and Loews purchased \$1.25 billion of CNAF non-voting cumulative preferred stock, which was approved by a special review committee of independent members of CNAF's Board of Directors. See Note L for further details of this transaction.

In August 2006, the Company repurchased the Series H Issue from Loews. In addition, the Company sold 7.86 million shares of its common stock to Loews. See Note L for further discussion. In conjunction with the sale, the Company and Loews also entered into a Registration Rights Agreement pursuant to which Loews has the right to demand that the Company register up to an aggregate of 7.86 million shares for resale in a public offering and may request that the Company include those shares in certain registration statements that it may file in the future.

CNA previously sponsored a stock ownership plan whereby the Company financed the purchase of Company common stock by certain former officers, including executive officers. Interest charged on the principal amount of these outstanding stock purchase loans is generally equivalent to the long term applicable federal rate, compounded semi-annually, in effect on the disbursement date of the loan. Loans made pursuant to the plan are generally full recourse with a ten-year term maturing though April of 2011, and are secured by the stock purchased. A number of the loans that came due in 2008 were reaffirmed and extended in exchange for partial repayments and grants of security interests in additional assets. The carrying value of the loans as of December 31, 2008 exceeds the fair value of the related common stock collateral by \$25 million.

Reinsurance with CNA Surety

CCC provided an excess of loss reinsurance contract to the insurance subsidiaries of CNA Surety over a period that expired on December 31, 2000 (the stop loss contract). The stop loss contract limits the net loss ratios for CNA Surety with respect to certain accounts and lines of insurance business. In the event that CNA Surety's accident year net loss ratio exceeds 24% for 1997 through 2000 (the contractual loss ratio), the stop loss contract requires CCC to pay amounts equal to the amount, if any, by which CNA Surety's actual accident year net loss ratio exceeds the contractual loss ratio multiplied by the applicable net earned premiums. The minority shareholders of CNA Surety do not share in any losses that apply to this contract.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
CNA Financial Corporation
Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CNA Financial Corporation (an affiliate of Loews Corporation) and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with

accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

As discussed in Note A to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for investments in life settlement contracts in 2007.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Chicago, Illinois

February 23, 2009

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of CNA Financial Corporation (CNAF or the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. CNAF's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management, its Audit Committee and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any internal control or system of control, however well designed, including the possibility of human error and the possible circumvention or overriding of such controls or systems. Moreover, because of changing conditions the reliability of internal controls may vary over time. As a result even effective internal controls can provide no more than reasonable assurance with respect to the accuracy and completeness of financial statements and their process of preparation.

CNAF management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. In making this assessment, it has used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Based on those criteria and our assessment we believe that, as of December 31, 2008, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

CNAF's independent public accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP, has issued an audit report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page 139.

CNA Financial Corporation

Chicago, Illinois

February 23, 2009

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of December 31, 2008, the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)). Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and the implementing rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Company included a report of management's assessment of the design and effectiveness of its internal controls as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. Management's report and the independent registered public accounting firm's attestation report are included in Item 8 under the captions entitled Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and are incorporated herein by reference.

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended December 31, 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE****EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

| NAME | POSITION AND OFFICES HELD WITH REGISTRANT | AGE | FIRST BECAME EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF CNA | PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION DURING PAST FIVE YEARS |
|--------------------|--|-----|---------------------------------------|--|
| Thomas F. Motamed | Chief Executive Officer, CNA Financial Corporation | 60 | 2009 | Chief Executive Officer of CNA Financial Corporation since January 1, 2009. From December, 2002 to June, 2008, Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of The Chubb Corporation and President and Chief Operating Officer of Chubb & Son. |
| Jonathan D. Kantor | Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary | 53 | 1997 | Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of CNA Financial Corporation. |
| D. Craig Mense | Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer | 57 | 2004 | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since November, 2004. Prior to that time, President and Chief Executive Officer of Global Run-Off Operations at St. Paul Travelers from June, 2004 to November, 2004, and Chief Operating Officer of the Gulf Insurance Group at Travelers Property Casualty Corp. from May, 2003 to May, 2004. |
| Thomas Pontarelli | Executive Vice President & Chief Administration Officer of the CNA insurance companies | 59 | 2009 | Executive Vice President & Chief Administration Officer of the CNA insurance companies since March, 2004. From March, 2002 to March, 2004, Executive Vice President, Human Resources & Corporate Services. |
| Peter W. Wilson | Executive Vice President, Global Specialty Lines of the CNA insurance companies | 49 | 2009 | Executive Vice President, Global Specialty Lines of the CNA insurance companies since March, 2002. |

Officers are elected and hold office until their successors are elected and qualified, and are subject to removal by the Board of Directors.

Additional information required in Item 10, Part III has been omitted as the Registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of its fiscal year.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required in Item 11, Part III has been omitted as the Registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of its fiscal year.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**Equity Compensation Plan**

The table below provides the securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

Executive Compensation Information

December 31, 2008

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|--|--|--|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 1,770,215 | \$ 31.44 | 1,319,368 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 1,770,215 | \$ 31.44 | 1,319,368 |

Additional information required in Item 12, Part III has been omitted as the Registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of its fiscal year.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required in Item 13, Part III has been omitted as the Registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of its fiscal year.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information required in Item 14, Part III has been omitted as the Registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of its fiscal year.

PART IV**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

| | | Page Number |
|-----|---|---|
| (a) | 1 | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: |
| | | Statements of Operations Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006 66 |
| | | Balance Sheets December 31, 2008 and 2007 67 |
| | | Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006 68 |
| | | Statements of Stockholders Equity Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006 70 |
| | | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 71 |
| | | Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 139 |
| (a) | 2 | FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES: |
| | | Schedule I Summary of Investments 151 |
| | | Schedule II Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (Parent Company) 152 |
| | | Schedule III Supplementary Insurance Information 158 |
| | | Schedule IV Reinsurance 159 |
| | | Schedule V Valuation and Qualifying Accounts 159 |
| | | Schedule VI Supplemental Information Concerning Property and Casualty Insurance Operations 160 |
| (a) | 3 | EXHIBITS: |
| | | Exhibit Number |
| (3) | | Description of Exhibit |
| | | Articles of incorporation and by-laws: |
| | | Certificate of Incorporation of CNA Financial Corporation, as amended May 6, 1987 (Exhibit 3.1 to 1987 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) 3.1 |
| | | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, dated May 14, 1998 (Exhibit 3.1a to 2006 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) 3.1.1 |
| | | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, dated May 10, 1999 (Exhibit 3.1 to 1999 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) 3.1.2 |
| | | By-Laws of CNA Financial Corporation, as amended October 24, 2007 (Exhibit 3ii.1 to Form 8-K filed October 29, 2007 incorporated herein by reference) 3.2 |

| | Description of Exhibit | Exhibit Number |
|------|---|----------------|
| (4) | Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures: Certificate of Designation, as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on November 7, 2008, relating to the 2008 Senior Preferred Stock, no par value, of CNA Financial Corporation (Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed November 12, 2008 incorporated herein by reference) | 4.1 |
| | Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 8, 2006, between CNA Financial Corporation and Loews Corporation (Exhibit 10.1 to August 8, 2006 Form 8-K incorporated herein by reference) | 4.2 |
| | CNA Financial Corporation hereby agrees to furnish to the Commission upon request copies of instruments with respect to long term debt, pursuant to Item 601(b)(4) (iii) of Regulation S-K | 4.3 |
| (10) | Material contracts: Credit Agreement among CNA Financial Corporation, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Citibank N.A., Bank of America, N.A., JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., Wachovia Bank, N.A. and other lenders named therein, dated August 1, 2007 (Exhibit 99.1 to August 1, 2007 Form 8-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.1 |
| | Federal Income Tax Allocation Agreement, dated February 29, 1980 between CNA Financial Corporation and Loews Corporation (Exhibit 10.2 to 1987 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.2 |
| | Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation and the Participating Subsidiaries (Exhibit 10.3 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3 |
| | Amendment to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2007, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc. and CNA Financial Corporation (Exhibit 10.3.1 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.1 |
| | Acknowledgement to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and American Casualty Company of Reading, Pennsylvania (Exhibit 10.3.2 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.2 |
| | Acknowledgement to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and Columbia Casualty Company (Exhibit 10.3.3 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.3 |
| | Acknowledgement to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and Continental Assurance Company (Exhibit 10.3.4 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.4 |
| | | 10.3.5 |

Acknowledgement to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006,
by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and Continental
Casualty Company (Exhibit 10.3.5 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference)

146

| Description of Exhibit | Exhibit Number |
|---|-----------------------|
| Acknowledgement to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and National Fire Insurance Company of Hartford (Exhibit 10.3.6 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.6 |
| Acknowledgement to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and The Continental Insurance Company (Exhibit 10.3.7 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.7 |
| Acknowledgement to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and The Continental Insurance Company of New Jersey (Exhibit 10.3.8 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.8 |
| Acknowledgement to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and Transportation Insurance Company (Exhibit 10.3.9 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.9 |
| Acknowledgement to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and Valley Forge Insurance Company (Exhibit 10.3.10 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.10 |
| Acknowledgment to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2008, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and Continental Reinsurance Corporation International Limited (Exhibit 10.5 to March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.11 |
| Acknowledgment to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2008, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and North Rock Insurance Company Limited (Exhibit 10.6 to March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.12 |
| Acknowledgment to Investment Facilities and Services Agreement, dated January 1, 2008, by and among Loews/CNA Holdings, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and CNA National Warranty Corporation (Exhibit 10.7 to March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.3.13 |
| Amended and Restated Surplus Note, dated as of December 11, 2008, from Continental Casualty Company to CNA Financial Corporation | 10.4 |
| CNA Financial Corporation 2000 Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated, effective as of February 9, 2005 (Exhibit A to Form DEF 14A, filed March 31, 2005, incorporated herein by reference (as indicated in Form 8-K, filed May 2, 2005, CNAF shareholders voted to approve this plan on April 27, 2005)) | 10.5 |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

CNA Financial Corporation 2000 Long Term Incentive Plan, dated August 4, 1999
(Exhibit 4.1 to 1999 Form S-8 filed August 4, 1999, incorporated herein by reference)

CNA Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, restated as of January 1, 2009

10.7

147

| Description of Exhibit | Exhibit Number |
|---|----------------|
| CNA Supplemental Executive Savings and Capital Accumulation Plan, restated as of January 1, 2009 | 10.8 |
| 2009 Incentive Compensation Awards to Executive Officers | 10.9 |
| Award Letter and Award Terms to Thomas F. Motamed for Stock Appreciation Rights and Restricted Stock Units | 10.10 |
| 2008 Incentive Compensation Awards to Executive Officers (Exhibit 10.9 to 2007 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.11 |
| Form of Award Letter to Executive Officers for the Long-Term Incentive Cash Plan for the 2005-2007 Long-Term Incentive Cash Plan Cycle (Exhibit 10.1 to March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.11.1 |
| Form of Award Letter to Executive Officers, along with Form of Award Terms, for the Long-Term Incentive Cash Plan for the 2008-2010 Long-Term Incentive Cash Plan Cycle (Exhibit 10.2 to March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.11.2 |
| 2007 Incentive Compensation Awards to Executive Officers (Exhibit 10.23 to March 31, 2007 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.12 |
| Form of Award Letter for Long-Term Incentive Cash Award to Executive Officers for the Performance Period Beginning January 1, 2006 and Ending December 31, 2008, Delivered on April 14, 2006 (Exhibit 99.1 to April 19, 2006 Form 8-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.12.1 |
| Form of Award Terms for Long-Term Incentive Cash Award to Executive Officers for the Performance Period Beginning January 1, 2006 and Ending December 31, 2008, Delivered on April 14, 2006 (Exhibit 99.2 to April 19, 2006 Form 8-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.12.2 |
| Employment Agreement, dated May 22, 2008, by and between CNA Financial Corporation and Thomas F. Motamed (Exhibit 10.1 to June 30, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.13 |
| First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated October 24, 2008, by and between CNA Financial Corporation and Thomas F. Motamed (Exhibit 10.6 to September 30, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.13.1 |
| Employment Agreement between CNA Financial Corporation and Stephen W. Lilienthal, dated October 26, 2005 (Exhibit 10.22 to September 30, 2005 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.14 |
| Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated August 20, 2008, by and between CNA Financial Corporation and Stephen W. Lilienthal (Exhibit 10.1 to September 30, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.14.1 |

Edgar Filing: CNA FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Second Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated November 20, 2008, by and between CNA Financial Corporation and Stephen W. Lilienthal 10.14.2

Employment Agreement between Continental Casualty Company and D. Craig Mense, dated August 1, 2007 (Exhibit 10.1 to September 30, 2007 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) 10.15

| Description of Exhibit | Exhibit Number |
|--|----------------|
| Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2008, by and between Continental Casualty Company and D. Craig Mense (Exhibit 10.4 to September 30, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.15.1 |
| Employment Agreement between Continental Casualty Company and Michael Fusco, dated April 1, 2004 (Exhibit 10.16 to 2004 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.16 |
| Amendment to Employment Agreement between Continental Casualty Company and Michael Fusco, dated February 7, 2007 (Exhibit 10.22 to 2004 Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference) | 10.16.1 |
| Second Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated April 7, 2008, by and between Continental Casualty Company and Michael Fusco (Exhibit 10.2 to June 30, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.16.2 |
| Employment Agreement, dated April 11, 2008, by and between Continental Casualty Company and Larry A. Haefner, dated March 31, 2008 (Exhibit 10.3 to March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.17 |
| Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2008, by and between Continental Casualty Company and Larry A. Haefner, dated November 21, 2008 | 10.17.1 |
| Employment Agreement, dated April 1, 2008, by and between Continental Casualty Company and Jonathan D. Kantor (Exhibit 10.2 to September 30, 2008 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.18 |
| Employment Agreement between Continental Casualty Company and James R. Lewis, dated October 26, 2005 (Exhibit 10.21 to September 30, 2005 Form 10-Q incorporated herein by reference) | 10.19 |
| Amendment to Employment Agreement between Continental Casualty Company and James R. Lewis, dated November 21, 2008 | 10.19.1 |
| Significant Subsidiaries of CNAF | 21.1 |
| Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 23.1 |
| Certification of Chief Executive Officer | 31.1 |
| Certification of Chief Financial Officer | 31.2 |
| Written Statement of the Chief Executive Officer of CNA Financial Corporation Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (As adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) | 32.1 |
| Written Statement of the Chief Financial Officer of CNA Financial Corporation Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (As adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) | 32.2 |

(b) Exhibits:
None.

(c) Condensed Financial Information of Unconsolidated Subsidiaries:
None.

Except for Exhibits 10.4, 10.7, 10.8, 10.9, 10.10, 10.14.2, 10.19.1, 21.1, 23.1, 31.1-31.2, and 32.1-32.2, the above exhibits are not included in this Form 10-K, but are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

150

SCHEDULE I. SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES

| | December 31, 2008 | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Cost or Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Value |
| (In millions) | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale: | | | |
| Bonds: | | | |
| U.S. Government and government agencies and authorities taxable | \$ 3,042 | \$ 3,111 | \$ 3,111 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions tax exempt | 8,557 | 7,415 | 7,415 |
| Foreign governments and political subdivisions | 3,017 | 2,661 | 2,661 |
| Public utilities | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| All other corporate bonds | 19,216 | 15,402 | 15,402 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 72 | 47 | 47 |
| | | | |
| Total fixed maturity securities available-for-sale | 34,154 | 28,886 | 28,886 |
| | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities trading: | | | |
| Bonds: | | | |
| Convertibles | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | |
| Total fixed maturity securities trading | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | |
| Equity securities available-for-sale: | | | |
| Common stock: | | | |
| Banks, trusts and insurance companies | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Industrial and other | 133 | 319 | 319 |
| Non-redeemable preferred stock | 882 | 551 | 551 |
| | | | |
| Total equity securities available-for-sale | 1,016 | \$ 871 | 871 |
| | | | |
| Short term investments available-for-sale | 3,527 | | 3,534 |
| Limited partnership investments | 1,683 | | 1,683 |
| Other invested assets | 4 | | 28 |
| | | | |
| Total investments | \$ 40,385 | | \$ 35,003 |

SCHEDULE II. CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT (PARENT COMPANY)
CNA Financial Corporation
Statements of Operations

| Years ended December 31 (In millions) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 16 | \$ 20 | \$ 21 |
| Realized investment losses | (19) | (6) | (7) |
| Other income | 15 | | 1 |
| Total revenues | 12 | 14 | 15 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Administrative and general | 9 | 3 | 2 |
| Interest | 123 | 131 | 118 |
| Total expenses | 132 | 134 | 120 |
| Loss from operations before income taxes and equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries | (120) | (120) | (105) |
| Income tax benefit | 42 | 42 | 37 |
| Loss before equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries | (78) | (78) | (68) |
| Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries | (221) | 929 | 1,176 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (299) | \$ 851 | \$ 1,108 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Information.

CNA Financial Corporation
Balance Sheets

| December 31 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|-----------------|------------------|
| (In millions, except share data) | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Investment in subsidiaries | \$ 7,282 | \$ 11,781 |
| Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost of \$5 and \$6) | 5 | 6 |
| Equity securities available-for-sale, at fair value (cost of \$1 and \$1) | 1 | 1 |
| Other invested assets, including derivative financial instruments of \$3 and \$3 | 3 | 3 |
| Short term investments | 539 | 359 |
| Receivables for securities sold and collateral | 16 | 13 |
| Federal income taxes recoverable | 6 | 12 |
| Deferred income taxes | 9 | 2 |
| Amounts due from affiliates | 6 | 46 |
| Surplus note due from affiliate | 1,000 | |
| Other assets | 5 | 6 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 8,872 | \$ 12,229 |
| | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity: | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Short term debt | | 350 |
| Long term debt | 1,937 | 1,686 |
| Other liabilities, including derivative financial instruments of \$31 and \$12 | 58 | 43 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 1,995 | 2,079 |
| | | |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| Preferred stock (12,500,000 shares authorized) | | |
| 2008 Senior Preferred (no par value; \$100,000 stated value; 12,500 shares and no shares issued; held by Loews Corporation) | 1,250 | |
| Common stock (\$2.50 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 273,040,243 shares issued; and 269,024,408 and 271,662,278 shares outstanding) | 683 | 683 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,174 | 2,169 |
| Retained earnings | 6,845 | 7,285 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (3,924) | 103 |
| Treasury stock (4,015,835 and 1,377,965 shares), at cost | (109) | (39) |
| | 6,919 | 10,201 |
| | | |
| Notes receivable for the issuance of common stock | (42) | (51) |

| | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Total stockholders equity | 6,877 | 10,150 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 8,872 | \$ 12,229 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Information.

153

CNA Financial Corporation
Statements of Cash Flows

| Years ended December 31 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| (In millions) | | | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (299) | \$ 851 | \$ 1,108 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows provided by operating activities: | | | |
| (Income) loss of subsidiaries | 221 | (929) | (1,176) |
| Dividends received from subsidiaries | 697 | 270 | 91 |
| Deferred income tax provision | (7) | | |
| Realized investment losses | 19 | 6 | 7 |
| Other, net | 88 | (54) | 7 |
| Total adjustments | 1,018 | (707) | (1,071) |
| Net cash flows provided by operating activities | 719 | 144 | 37 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from fixed maturity securities | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Change in short term investments | (666) | (63) | (60) |
| Capital contributions to subsidiaries | (2) | (1) | (3) |
| Return of capital from subsidiaries | | 1 | 19 |
| Purchase of surplus note from affiliate | (1,000) | | |
| Other, net | (3) | (18) | (7) |
| Net cash flows used by investing activities | (1,670) | (78) | (50) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | |
| Dividends paid to common stockholders | (122) | (95) | |
| Dividends paid to Loews for 2008 Senior Preferred | (19) | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long term debt | 250 | | 746 |
| Principal payments on debt | (350) | | (250) |
| Payment to repurchase Series H Issue preferred stock | | | (993) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock | | | 499 |
| Proceeds from issuance of 2008 Senior Preferred | 1,250 | | |
| Stock options exercised | 1 | 18 | 10 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (70) | | |
| Other, net | 11 | 11 | 1 |
| Net cash flows provided (used) by financing activities | 951 | (66) | 13 |

Net change in cash
Cash, beginning of year

Cash, end of year \$ \$ \$

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Information.

154

Notes to Condensed Financial Information**A. Basis of Presentation**

The condensed financial information of CNA Financial Corporation (CNAF or the Parent Company) should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. CNAF's subsidiaries are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Equity in net income (loss) of these affiliates is presented on the Condensed Statements of Operations as Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries. Loews Corporation owned approximately 90% of the outstanding common stock of CNAF as of December 31, 2008.

B. Debt

Debt is composed of the following obligations.

Debt

| December 31 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| (In millions) | | |
| Variable rate debt: | | |
| Credit Facility variable rate and term, due August 1, 2012 | \$ 250 | \$ |
| Senior notes: | | |
| 6.450%, face amount of \$150, due January 15, 2008 | | 150 |
| 6.600%, face amount of \$200, due December 15, 2008 | | 200 |
| 6.000%, face amount of \$400, due August 15, 2011 | 399 | 399 |
| 5.850%, face amount of \$549, due December 15, 2014 | 547 | 546 |
| 6.500%, face amount of \$350, due August 15, 2016 | 348 | 348 |
| 6.950%, face amount of \$150, due January 15, 2018 | 149 | 149 |
| Debenture, 7.250%, face amount of \$243, due November 15, 2023 | 241 | 241 |
| Urban Development Action Grant, 1.00%, due May 7, 2019 | 3 | 3 |
| Total | \$ 1,937 | \$ 2,036 |

On August 1, 2007, CNAF entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of banks and other lenders. The credit agreement established a \$250 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility which is intended to be used for general corporate purposes. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus the Parent Company's credit risk spread of 0.54%, which was equal to 2.74% at December 31, 2008. CNAF used \$200 million of the proceeds to retire the 6.60% Senior Notes due December 15, 2008.

Under the credit agreement, CNAF is required to pay certain fees, including a facility fee and a utilization fee, both of which would adjust automatically in the event of a change in CNAF's financial ratings. The credit agreement includes covenants regarding maintenance of a minimum consolidated net worth and a specified ratio of consolidated indebtedness to consolidated total capitalization. As of December 31, 2008, CNAF was in compliance with all covenants.

CNAF's remaining debt obligations contain customary covenants for investment grade insurers. The Parent Company is in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2008.

C. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, CNAF guarantees the indebtedness of certain of its subsidiaries to the debt maturity or payoff, whichever comes first. These guarantees arise in the normal course of business and are given to induce a lender to enter into an agreement with CNAF's subsidiaries. CNAF would be required to remit prompt and complete payment when due, should the primary obligor default. The maximum potential amount of future payments that CNAF could be required to pay under these guarantees are approximately \$21 million at December 31, 2008. The Parent Company does not believe that a payable is likely under these guarantees.

In the course of selling business entities and assets to third parties, CNAF has agreed to indemnify purchasers for losses arising out of breaches of representation and warranties with respect to the business entities or assets

155

being sold, including, in certain cases, losses arising from undisclosed liabilities or certain named litigation. Such indemnification provisions generally survive for periods ranging from nine months following the applicable closing date to the expiration of the relevant statutes of limitation. As of December 31, 2008, the aggregate amount of quantifiable indemnification agreements in effect for sales of business entities and assets was \$259 million.

In addition, CNAF has agreed to provide indemnification to third party purchasers for certain losses associated with sold business entities or assets that are not limited by a contractual monetary amount. As of December 31, 2008, CNAF had outstanding unlimited indemnifications in connection with the sales of certain of its business entities or assets for tax liabilities arising prior to a purchaser's ownership of an entity or asset, defects in title at the time of sale, employee claims arising prior to closing and in some cases losses arising from certain litigation and undisclosed liabilities. These indemnification agreements survive until the applicable statutes of limitation expire, or until the agreed upon contract terms expire.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, CNAF has recorded liabilities of approximately \$9 million and \$10 million related to indemnification agreements and does not believe that it is likely that any future indemnity claims will be significantly greater than the amounts recorded.

CNAF has also guaranteed certain collateral obligations of a large national contractor's letters of credit. As of December 31, 2008 these guarantees aggregated \$4 million. Payment under these guarantees is reasonably possible based on various factors, including the underlying credit worthiness of the contractor.

In the normal course of business, CNAF has provided guarantees to holders of structured settlement annuities (SSA) provided by certain of its subsidiaries, which expire through 2120. CNAF would be required to remit SSA payments due to claimants if the primary obligor failed to perform on these contracts. The maximum potential amount of future payments that CNAF could be required to pay under these guarantees are approximately \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2008. The Company does not believe that a payment is likely under these guarantees.

D. Stockholders Equity

Under an agreement executed effective October 27, 2008, CNAF issued, and Loews purchased, 12,500 shares of CNAF non-voting cumulative senior preferred stock (2008 Senior Preferred) for \$1.25 billion. The transaction closed on November 7, 2008. The terms of the 2008 Senior Preferred were approved by a special review committee of independent members of CNAF's Board of Directors. The principal terms of the 2008 Senior Preferred are as follows:

The 2008 Senior Preferred is perpetual and is senior to CNAF's common stock and any future preferred stock as to the payment of dividends and amounts payable upon any liquidation, dissolution or winding up.

No dividends may be declared on CNAF's common stock or any future preferred stock until the 2008 Senior Preferred has been paid in full. Accordingly, the Company has suspended common stock dividend payments.

The 2008 Senior Preferred is not convertible into any other securities and may only be redeemed upon the mutual agreement of the Company and Loews.

The 2008 Senior Preferred accrues cumulative dividends at an initial rate of 10% per year. On the fifth anniversary of the issuance and every five years thereafter, the dividend rate will increase to the higher of 10% or the then current 10-year U.S. Treasury yield plus 700 basis points.

Dividends are payable quarterly and any dividends not paid when due will be compounded quarterly. The Parent Company paid \$19 million on December 31, 2008, representing the first quarterly dividend payment on the 2008 Senior Preferred.

CNAF used the majority of the proceeds from the 2008 Senior Preferred to increase the statutory surplus of its principal insurance subsidiary, Continental Casualty Company (CCC), through the purchase of a \$1.0 billion surplus note of CCC. Surplus notes are financial instruments with a stated maturity date and scheduled interest payments, issued by insurance enterprises with the approval of the insurer's domiciliary state. Surplus notes are treated as capital under statutory accounting. All payments of interest and principal on this note are subject to

the prior approval of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation Division of Insurance (the Department). The surplus note of CCC has a term of 30 years and accrues interest at a rate of 10% per year. Interest on the note is payable quarterly.

Additionally, in December 2008, the Parent Company contributed \$500 million to CCC, consisting of cash of \$2 million and short term investments of \$498 million.

E. Accounting Pronouncements

Adopted as of December 31, 2008

FSP FIN 39-1, Amendment of FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 39 (FSP FIN 39-1)

In April 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN 39-1, which amends FIN 39, Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts (FIN 39), by permitting a reporting entity to offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under the same master netting arrangement that have been offset in the statement of financial position in accordance with FIN 39. Additionally, FSP FIN 39-1 requires that a reporting entity shall not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments without offsetting fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral.

CNAF adopted FSP FIN 39-1 in 2008, by electing to not offset cash collateral amounts recognized for derivative instruments under the same master netting arrangements and as a result will no longer offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments. CNAF presented the effect of adopting FSP FIN 39-1 as a change in accounting principle through retrospective application. The effect on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 was an increase of \$3 million and \$3 million in Other invested assets and Other liabilities. CNAF's adoption of FSP FIN 39-1 had no impact on the financial condition or results of operations as of or for the year ended December 31, 2008.

SCHEDULE III. SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION

| | Gross Insurance Reserves | | | | | | Insurance Claims and Amortization of | | | | | Net Written Premiums (b) |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------|
| | Deferred Acquisition Costs | Claim And Adjustment Expense | Future Policy Benefits | Policy-Unearned Premium Fund | Policyholders Earned Premiums | Net Investment Income (a) | Policyholders Acquisition Cost | Deferred Operating Expenses | Other | | | |
| (In millions) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard Lines | \$ 293 | \$ 12,048 | \$ 1,401 | \$ 14 | \$ 3,065 | \$ 506 | \$ 2,312 | \$ 700 | \$ 333 | \$ 3,054 | | |
| Specialty Lines | 360 | 8,282 | 1,848 | 10 | 3,477 | 451 | 2,168 | 754 | 450 | 3,435 | | |
| Life & Group Non-Core | 472 | 2,862 | 7,529 | 152 | 219 | 612 | 484 | 1,110 | 13 | 225 | 604 | |
| Corporate & Other Non-Core | | 4,401 | 5 | | 1 | 178 | 133 | | 167 | 1 | | |
| Eliminations | | | | | (4) | | | | (4) | (4) | | |
| Consolidated Operations | \$ 1,125 | \$ 27,593 | \$ 7,529 | \$ 3,406 | \$ 243 | \$ 7,151 | \$ 1,619 | \$ 5,723 | \$ 1,467 | \$ 1,171 | \$ 7,090 | |
| December 31, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard Lines | \$ 311 | \$ 12,048 | \$ 1,483 | \$ 26 | \$ 3,379 | \$ 878 | \$ 2,285 | \$ 761 | \$ 381 | \$ 3,267 | | |
| Specialty Lines | 365 | 8,403 | 1,948 | 1 | 3,484 | 621 | 2,194 | 744 | 372 | 3,506 | | |
| Life & Group Non-Core | 485 | 3,027 | 7,106 | 162 | 903 | 618 | 622 | 1,313 | 15 | 242 | 607 | |
| Corporate & Other Non-Core | | 5,110 | 5 | | 7 | 312 | 217 | | 143 | 6 | | |
| Eliminations | | | | | (4) | | | | (4) | (4) | | |
| Consolidated Operations | \$ 1,161 | \$ 28,588 | \$ 7,106 | \$ 3,598 | \$ 930 | \$ 7,484 | \$ 2,433 | \$ 6,009 | \$ 1,520 | \$ 1,134 | \$ 7,382 | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| December 31, 2006 | | | | | | |
| Standard Lines | \$ 3,557 | \$ 840 | \$ 2,597 | \$ 805 | \$ 385 | \$ 3,598 |
| Specialty Lines | 3,411 | 554 | 2,064 | 714 | 370 | 3,431 |
| Life & Group Non-Core | 641 | 698 | 1,195 | 14 | 259 | 633 |
| Corporate & Other Non-Core Eliminations | (1) (5) | 320 | 190 1 | 1 | 137 (6) | (2) (5) |
| Consolidated Operations | \$ 7,603 | \$ 2,412 | \$ 6,047 | \$ 1,534 | \$ 1,145 | \$ 7,655 |

(a) Investment income is allocated based on each segment's net carried insurance reserves as adjusted.

(b) Net written premiums relate to business in property and casualty companies only.

SCHEDULE IV. REINSURANCE

Incorporated herein by reference from Note H of the Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8.

SCHEDULE V. VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

| | Balance at Beginning of Period | Charged to Costs and Expenses | Charged to Other Accounts (a) | Deductions | Balance at End of Period |
|--|---|--|--|-------------------|---|
| (In millions) | | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Deducted from assets: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | | | | |
| Insurance and reinsurance receivables | \$ 773 | \$ (37) | \$ (4) | \$ 145 | \$ 587 |
| Valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Deferred income taxes | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Year ended December 31, 2007 | | | | | |
| Deducted from assets: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | | | | |
| Insurance and reinsurance receivables | \$ 837 | \$ 12 | \$ 2 | \$ 78 | \$ 773 |
| Valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Deferred income taxes | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Year ended December 31, 2006 | | | | | |
| Deducted from assets: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | | | | |
| Insurance and reinsurance receivables | \$ 964 | \$ 48 | \$ 3 | \$ 178 | \$ 837 |
| Valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Deferred income taxes | \$ 30 | \$ | \$ | \$ 30 | \$ |

(a) Amount includes effects of foreign currency translation.

SCHEDULE VI. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CONCERNING PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE OPERATIONS

| As of and for the years ended December 31 (In millions) | Consolidated Property and Casualty Operations | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Deferred acquisition costs | \$ 1,125 | \$ 1,161 | |
| Reserves for unpaid claim and claim adjustment expenses | 27,475 | 28,415 | |
| Discount deducted from claim and claim adjustment expense reserves above (based on interest rates ranging from 3.0% to 7.5%) | 1,620 | 1,636 | |
| Unearned premiums | 3,406 | 3,598 | |
| Net written premiums | 7,090 | 7,382 | 7,655 |
| Net earned premiums | 7,149 | 7,481 | 7,595 |
| Net investment income | 1,547 | 2,180 | 2,035 |
| Incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses related to current year | 5,189 | 4,937 | 4,837 |
| Incurred claim and claim adjustment expenses related to prior years | (7) | 220 | 332 |
| Amortization of deferred acquisition costs | 1,467 | 1,520 | 1,534 |
| Paid claim and claim adjustment expenses | 5,327 | 5,282 | 4,165 |

160

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CNA Financial Corporation

Dated: February 23, 2009

By /s/ Thomas F. Motamed

**Thomas F. Motamed
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)**

By /s/ D. Craig Mense

**D. Craig Mense
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial & Accounting
Officer)**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Dated: February 23, 2009

By /s/ Thomas F. Motamed

**(Thomas F. Motamed, Chief
Executive
Officer and Chairman of the Board
of Directors)**

Dated: February 23, 2009

By /s/ Paul J. Liska

(Paul J. Liska, Director)

Dated: February 23, 2009

By /s/ Jose O. Montemayor

(Jose O. Montemayor, Director)

Dated: February 23, 2009

By /s/ Don M. Randel

(Don M. Randel, Director)

Dated: February 23, 2009

By /s/ Joseph Rosenberg

(Joseph Rosenberg, Director)

Dated: February 23, 2009

By /s/ Andrew H. Tisch

(Andrew H. Tisch, Director)

Dated: February 23, 2009

By /s/ James S. Tisch

(James S. Tisch, Director)

Dated: February 23, 2009

By /s/ Marvin Zonis

(Marvin Zonis, Director)

161