

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form DEFA14A

Territorial Bancorp Inc.  
Form DEFA14A  
May 14, 2012

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the registrant   
Filed by a party other than the registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary proxy statement  
Confidential, for use of the Commission only (as permitted by Rule  
14a-6(e)(2))  
 Definitive proxy statement  
 Definitive additional materials  
 Soliciting material pursuant to § 240.14a-12

TERRITORIAL BANCORP INC.  
(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

(Name of person(s) filing proxy statement, if other than the registrant)

Payment of filing fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.  
 Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:  
N/A

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transactions applies:  
N/A

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to  
Exchange Act Rule 0-11:  
N/A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:  
N/A

(5) Total fee paid:  
N/A

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:  
N/A

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule  
0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid  
previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or  
the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:  
N/A

Edgar Filing: Territorial Bancorp Inc. - Form DEFA14A

- (2) Form, schedule or registration statement no.:  
N/A
  - (3) Filing party:  
N/A
  - (4) Date filed:  
N/A
-

[Logo]

Management Say on Pay  
2012 Annual Stockholders Meeting

At the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Territorial Bancorp Inc. (NASDAQ: TBNK) scheduled for May 23, 2012, you will be asked to cast a non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of Territorial's named executive officers (the "Say-On-Pay Vote"). This vote is Proposal 3 in the proxy statement and proxy card relating to the 2012 Annual Meeting. This letter is a supplement to the disclosures concerning the Say-On-Pay Vote contained in Proposal 3.

Territorial's Board of Directors (the "Board") recommends that you vote FOR Proposal 3.

The Board's recommendation that you vote FOR the Say-On-Pay Vote is in agreement with the analysis performed by Glass, Lewis & Co., LLC., an independent proxy advisor, which recommended a vote FOR the Territorial Say-On-Pay Vote and the analysis of Egan-Jones Proxy Services, an independent proxy advisor, which also recommended a vote FOR the Territorial Say-On-Pay Vote. However, ISS Proxy Advisory Services ("ISS") has recommended a vote against the compensation of Territorial's named executive officers. The Board does not agree with the ISS analysis and believes it fails to address (1) Territorial's performance since becoming a public company in 2009; (2) Territorial's performance relative to the banking industry as a whole; and, (3) Territorial's own peer group and the dissimilar peer group selected by ISS.

Specifically, the Board believes that the ISS analysis does not warrant a vote against the Say-On-Pay proposal for the following reasons:

- **Total Shareholder Return:** A Territorial shareholder who invested \$10 received a 103.5% total return from July 10, 2009 through December 31, 2011. This shareholder return is in the 98th percentile of ISS' own peer group; however, it is not taken into account in the ISS analysis.
- **Profitability:** Territorial's return on assets (ROA) over a 1-year and 3-year average basis was 0.85% and 0.76%, respectively. This is in the 93rd and 92nd percentile of ISS' peer group. However, it is not taken into account in the ISS analysis.
- **Credit Quality:** A bank's number one challenge during the recent economic crisis has been to manage credit risk and asset quality. Mismanagement of these risks has led to banks requiring material recapitalizations or assistance through government sponsored programs such as TARP, merging to offset credit costs, or failing and being taken over by the FDIC. One common measurement of credit management is the ratio of non-performing assets to total assets ("NPA Ratio"). Territorial had a NPA Ratio of 0.37% and 0.28% on a 1- and 3-year average basis, respectively. By either measurement, Territorial had the best NPA Ratio of any financial institution in the ISS peer group. However, ISS does not factor this into their analysis.
- **Capitalization:** Another important ratio for banks is their level of capital. How much capital do they have to protect against unforeseen circumstances? One measure of this is tangible equity as a ratio to tangible assets. During the past three years, Territorial averaged 15.15% of tangible equity to tangible assets. This is in the 80th percentile of ISS' peer group. However, it is not taken into account in ISS' analysis.



[Logo]

Management Say on Pay  
2012 Annual Stockholders Meeting

The Board believes that given the environment we are facing today, evaluating the performance of any banking institution should not only be based upon profitability but should also consider the management of credit quality and the institution's capital strength. These factors all culminate in positive long-term shareholder returns. Measuring the results of our management team against these factors and metrics, we believe you should vote FOR the Say-On-Pay vote in Proposal 3.

The Board also believes that in assessing how you will vote, you should also consider:

**How Territorial Uses and Constructs its Peer Group:** The Compensation Committee of the Board with its independent compensation advisor selects what it believes is an appropriate peer group of 21 institutions that range from \$1 to \$5 billion in assets. This peer group is based on Territorial's business model through loan concentration, location and then asset size. This contrasts with ISS' process of choosing a random group of 24 institutions based solely upon asset size among a group of approximately 220 publicly traded banks from \$1 to \$5 billion in assets that file compensation information.

In addition, for purposes of reviewing and setting pay (i.e., benchmarking), Territorial uses this \$1 to \$5 billion peer group and contrary to statements made in the ISS report, does not utilize a peer group with assets of \$12 to \$31 billion to determine compensation. That secondary peer group is solely used to review plan designs and bank performance. Accordingly, our CEO's compensation is not based upon a peer group with assets of \$12 to \$31 billion.

**The Appropriate CEO Multiple of Median Measurement:** In looking to Territorial's compensation peer group, our CEO has a multiple of median of 2.46. This contrasts to ISS' CEO multiple of median of 4.48 measured against the ISS peer group. As our peer group is more consistent with Territorial's own business model, location and performance, we believe the 2.46 multiple is more appropriate. This would only score a "medium concern" under ISS' own analysis.

---