SPORTS ARENAS INC Form 10-K405 October 15, 2001

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 [FEE REQUIRED]

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 [NO FEE REQUIRED]

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission File Number 0-2380

SPORTS ARENAS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 13-1944249

(State of Incorporation) (I.R.S. Employer I.D. No.)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (858) 408-0364

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value
----(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports); and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K. [X]

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates $(5,441,733 \, \text{shares})$ of the Registrant as of September 28, 2001 was \$190,000 (based on average of bid and asked prices). The number of shares of common stock

outstanding as of September 28, 2001 was 27,250,000.

Documents Incorporated by Reference - None.

1

PART I

ITEM I. Business

General Development and Narrative Description of Business

Sports Arenas, Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated as a Delaware corporation in 1957. The Company, primarily through its subsidiaries, owns and operates one bowling center, an apartment project (50% owned), and a graphite golf club shaft manufacturer. The Company also performs a minor amount of services in property management and real estate brokerage related to commercial leasing. The Company has its principal executive office at 7415 Carroll Road, Suite C, San Diego, California. Overall, the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries have approximately 70 employees. The following is a summary of the revenues of each segment, excluding construction, stated as a percentage of total revenues for each of the last three years:

| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|
| | | | |
| Bowling | 49 | 54 | 67 |
| Real estate operations | 10 | 14 | 14 |
| Real estate development | - | _ | _ |
| Golf | 34 | 24 | 10 |
| Other | 7 | 8 | 9 |

(1) Bowling Centers - The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Cabrillo Lanes, Inc. (the "Bowls"), operated two bowling centers during the year ended June 30, 2001 containing 110 lanes in San Diego, California. These two centers were purchased in August 1993. One of the centers, which contained 50 lanes, was closed on December 21, 2001 in conjunction with the sale by the Company of the land and building.

The remaining bowling center's operations include food and beverage facilities and coin operated video and other games. The revenues from these activities have averaged 32 percent of total bowling related revenues for the last three fiscal years. The bowling center operates the food and beverage operations, which includes sale of beer, wine and mixed drinks. The Company receives a negotiated percentage of the gross revenues from the coin operated video games. The bowling center includes a pro shop, which is leased to an independent operator for a nominal amount. The center also has a day care facility, which is provided free of charge to the bowlers. The bowling center has automatic score-keeping and a computerized cash control system.

On average, 36 percent of the games bowled are by bowling leagues that enter into league reservation agreements to use a specified number of lanes at a specified time and day for a specified period of weeks. On average, the league reservation agreements are for 35 weeks for the winter season (September through April) and 15 weeks for the summer season (May through August). League revenues for September through April average 78 percent of league revenues annually.

Approximately 71 percent of all bowling related revenues are generated in the months of September through April.

The bowling industry faces substantial competition for the sports and recreation dollar. The Bowl competes with other bowling centers in its market area, as well as other sports and recreational activities. Further competition is likely at any time a new center is constructed in the same market area. The Company continuously markets its league and open play through a combination of advertising, phone solicitation, direct mail, and a personal sales program.

At June 30, 2001, the bowling center was licensed to sell alcoholic beverages. Licenses are generally renewable annually provided there are no violations of government regulations. The bowling center was cited with two violations in the last 12 months and has a 15 day suspension of its liquor license to serve pending an appeal. If the bowling center receives another citation in the next 12 months, the bowling center may lose its license permanently. The bowling center employs approximately 30 people.

(2) REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT - The Company, through its subsidiaries (see Item 2. Properties (b) Real Estate Development for ownership), had ownership interests in a 33 acre parcel and a 13 acre parcel of partially developed land in Temecula, California (Riverside County). The 33 acre parcel was sold on June 1, 2001.

In September 1994, Vail Ranch Limited Partnership (VRLP) was formed as a partnership between Old Vail Partners, L.P., a California limited partnership, (OVP), a subsidiary of the Company, and Landgrant Corporation (Landgrant) to develop a 32 acre parcel of land of which 27 acres was developable. Landgrant is not affiliated with the Company. VRLP completed construction of a community shopping center on 10 acres of land in May 1997 and sold approximately 3.6 partially improved acres in the year ended June 30, 1997 and .59 partially improved acres during the year ended June 30, 1998 to unaffiliated purchasers for cash of \$2,365,000 and \$400,000, respectively. The cash proceeds from these sales were applied to reduce the construction loan balance. On January 2, 1998, VRLP sold the shopping center to New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. (Excel) for

2

\$9,500,000 cash. On August 7, 1998, VRLP entered into a an operating agreement (Agreement) with ERT Development Corporation (ERT), an affiliate of Excel, to form Temecula Creek, LLC, a California limited liability company (TC). TC was formed for the purpose of developing, constructing and operating the remaining 13 acres of land as part of the community shopping center in Temecula, California. VRLP contributed the 13 acres of land to TC and TC assumed the balance of the assessment district obligation payable. For purposes of maintaining capital account balances in calculating distributions, VRLP's contribution, net of the liability assumed by TC, was valued at \$2,000,000. ERT contributed \$1,000,000 cash which was immediately distributed by TC to VRLP. VRLP, which is the managing member, and ERT are each 50 percent members. ERT also advanced approximately \$220,000 to TC to reimburse VRLP for certain predevelopment costs incurred by VRLP for the 13 acres. The Agreement provides that ERT will advance funds to fund predevelopment costs, other than property taxes and assessment district costs. Each member is required to advance 50 percent of the property taxes and assessment district costs as they become due (approximately \$163,000 annually). The development plan is for 109,910 square foot shopping center on approximately 13 acres of land. In July 2000, TC completed development of 54,107 square feet of the shopping. As of August 31, 2001 a total of 75,692 square feet had been constructed of which 100% is currently leased. The balance of the build out is expected to be completed by February 2002.

Old Vail Partners, a California general partnership, (OVPGP), a subsidiary of the Company, owned a 33 acre parcel which was originally designated as commercially-zoned, however, the City of Temecula adopted a general development plan as a means of down-zoning the property to a lower use, which if the Company was not successful in having a development planned approved, might have significantly impaired the value of the property. The Company contested this action, and as described below, was successful in having a development plan approved in October 2000 that enabled the Company to sell the property in June 2001.

(3) Commercial Real Estate Rental - Real estate rental operations consist of one office building in the Sorrento Mesa area of San Diego, California, a sublessor interest in land leased to condominium owners in Palm Springs, California, and a 50 percent ownership interest in a 542 unit apartment project in San Diego, California.

Downtown Properties Development Corporation (DPDC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, owned a 36,000 square foot office building in the Sorrento Mesa area of San Diego, California. The building was originally acquired in 1984 by 5230, Ltd., which was 75 percent owned as a limited and general partner by Sports Arenas Properties, Inc. (SAPI), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company occupied approximately 14 percent of the office building. On December 28, 2000 the Company sold its office building for \$3,725,000 and recorded a gain of \$2,764,483. The Company has been released from liability under the existing loan except for those acts, events or omissions that occurred prior to the loan assumption. The Company had occupied approximately 5,000 square feet of space in the building since 1984. The existing lease expires in September 2011. In conjunction with a lease modification with the new owner to the office building, the Company vacated the premises on April 6, 2001 and moved into the factory space occupied by its subsidiary, Penley Sports, LLC. However, because the lease commitment was a condition to the original loan agreement, the lender will only allow the Company to be conditionally released from its remaining lease obligation. In the event there is an uncured event of default by the new owner of the office building under the existing loan agreement, the Company's obligations under its lease will be reinstated to the extent there is not an enforceable lease on the Company's former space.

The following is a schedule of selected operating information over the last five years:

| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 |
|------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| Occupancy | 93% | 99% | 99% | 97% | 98% |
| Average monthly rent | | | | | |
| per square foot | \$1.18 | \$1.11 | \$.98 | \$.86 | \$.87 |
| Real property tax | \$9,000 | \$19,000 | \$19,000 | \$18,000 | \$17,000 |
| Real Property tax rate | 1.12% | 1.12% | 1.12% | 1.12% | 1.12% |

DPDC is also the lessee of 15 acres of land in the Palm Springs, California area under a ground lease expiring in September 2043. The land is subleased to owners of condominium units which were constructed on the property in 1982. The development was originally planned by DPDC and then sold to another developer, but DPDC retained the rights to the subleases. The subleases also expire in September 2043. The master lease provides for the payment of rent equal to the greater of a minimum rent, which is adjusted for increases in the consumer price index every five years, or 85 percent of the rents collected on the subleases, which are also adjusted for increases in the consumer price index every five years.

UCVGP, Inc. and Sports Arenas Properties, Inc. (SAPI), wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, are a one percent managing general partner and a 49 percent limited partner, respectively, in UCV, L.P. (UCV), which owns an apartment project (University City Village) located in San Diego, California. University City Village contains 542 rental units and was acquired in August 1974. UCV employs approximately 30 persons. The following is a schedule of selected operating information over the last five years:

| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | | |
| Occupancy | 98% | 99% | 99% | 99% | 98% |
| Average monthly rent/unit | \$772 | \$728 | \$694 | \$675 | \$662 |
| Real property tax | \$114,000 | \$112,000 | \$110,000 | \$108,000 | \$107,000 |
| Real Property tax rate | 1.12% | 1.12% | 1.12% | 1.12% | 1.12% |

(4) Golf club shaft Manufacturer - On January 22, 1997, the Company purchased the assets of the Power Sports Group doing business as Penley Power Shaft (PPS) and formed Penley Sports, LLC (Penley) with the Company as a 90 percent managing member and Carter Penley as a 10 percent member. PPS was a manufacturer of graphite golf club shafts that primarily sold its shafts to custom golf shops. PPS's sales had averaged approximately \$375,000 in calendar 1995 and 1996. PPS marketed its shafts in limited quantities through phone contact and trade magazine advertisements directed at golf shops. Although PPS's manufacturing process was not automated, it had developed a good reputation in the golf industry as a manufacturer of high performance golf club shafts, in addition to maintaining relationships with the custom golf shops. Penley's plans are to market its products to golf club manufacturers and golf club component distributors. To compliment the program of marketing to higher volume purchasers, Penley purchased over \$1,100,000 of equipment since January 22, 1997 to automate some of the production processes. Additionally, in June 2000 Penley moved from its 8,559 square foot facility into a 38,025 square foot facility, of which approximately 10,000 square feet are subleased to another tenant through October 2002.

Until January 2000, Penley's sales were principally to custom golf shops where the orders are for 2 to 10 shafts per order at prices averaging \$18 per shaft. In January 2000, Penley commenced sales to two of the largest golf component distributors. As a result of the sales to these two distributors and other small golf club manufacturers, golf club shaft sales increased by \$735,654 in the year ended June 30, 2000 and \$407,660 in the year ended June 30, 2001. Penley currently has products in testing by several large golf club manufacturers. However, there can be no assurances that the Penley will be able to enter into any significant sales contracts or that, if it does, the contracts will be profitable to Penley.

Penley has implemented an extensive program to market directly to golf club manufacturers through the distribution of direct mail materials and videos and participation in several large golf shows during the year. Penley is principally using its internal sales staff in the marketing and sale of its shafts to manufacturers, distributors and golf shops. Penley is also promoting its shafts to professional golfers as a means of achieving acceptance with the club manufacturers as the golfers endorse the shafts.

Management believes Penley has been successful in building a reputation as a leader in new shaft design and concepts. Penley has applied for several patents on shaft designs, of which one was issued and the others are pending. Although Penley has developed several new products, no assurance can be given that they will meet with market acceptance or that Penley will be able to continue to design and manufacture additional new products.

The primary raw material used in all of Penley's graphite shafts is carbon fiber, which is combined with epoxy resin to produce sheets of graphite prepreg.

Due to low production levels, Penley currently purchases most of its graphite prepreg from two suppliers. There are numerous alternative suppliers of graphite prepreg. Although Management believes that it will be able to establish relationships with other graphite prepreg suppliers to ensure sufficient supplies of the material at competitive pricing as production increases, there can be no assurances the unforeseen difficulties will not occur that could lead to interruptions and delays to Penley's production process.

Penley uses hazardous substances and generates hazardous waste in the ordinary course of its manufacturing of graphite golf club shafts and other related products. Penley is subject to various federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations, including those governing the use, discharge and disposal of hazardous materials. Management believes it is in substantial compliance with the applicable laws and regulations and to date has not incurred any liabilities under environmental laws and regulations nor has it received any notices of violations. However, there can be no assurance that environmental liabilities will not arise in the future which may affect Penley's business.

Penley is trying to enter a highly competitive environment among established golf club shaft manufacturers. Although Penley has made significant progress in establishing its reputation for technology, the unproven production capability of Penley is making it difficult to attract the golf club manufacturers as customers.

4

Penley currently has one patent and two other patents pending and several copyrighted trademarks and logos. Although Management believes these items are of value to the business and Penley will protect them to the fullest extent possible, Management does not believe these items are critical to Penley's ability to develop business with the golf club manufacturers.

Penley currently has approximately 35 full and part-time employees.

Due to Penley's low sales volume, there is currently no impact from the seasonality of sales (expected to be from April through September), no significant backlog of sales orders, or customer concentration (based on consolidated revenues).

(b) INDUSTRY SEGMENT INFORMATION:

See Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for required industry segment financial information.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

(a) Bowling Centers:

The Company's remaining bowling center occupies the following facility:

Name
Location
Size
Expiration Date of Lease
---Grove Bowl
San Diego, California
60 lanes
June 2003- options to 2018

The Grove Bowl occupies its facility pursuant to a long-term operating lease described in Note 8(a) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(b) Real Estate Development:

As of June 30, 1999, Downtown Properties Inc. (DPI), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, owned 507 acres of undeveloped land in Lake of the Ozarks, Missouri. The land was collateral for a \$75,927 bank loan (first deed of trust). On September 7, 1999 the property was sold to a third party for \$215,000 cash,

less selling expenses of \$24,638, and the loan was paid from the proceeds.

RCSA Holdings, Inc. (RCSA) and OVGP, Inc., wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, own a combined 50 percent general and limited partnership interest in Old Vail Partners, L.P., a California limited partnership (OVP), which owns a 60 percent limited partnership interest in Vail Ranch Limited Partnership (VRLP). As described in Note 6(c) of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, there are four other partners in OVP in the form of liquidating limited partnership interests. Three of the four partners had received the limit of their distributions through June 30, 2001 and their partnership interests were liquidated. The remaining partner in OVP is entitled to 50 percent of the cash distributions from OVP, not to exceed \$2,450,000, of which \$1,410,000 has been paid as of June 30, 2001.

RCSA and DPI were the general partners of Old Vail Partners (OVPGP), a California general partnership, which owned 33 acres of unimproved land in Temecula, California. OVPGP contributed the land to OVP when the litigation regarding the zoning was settled (see below). In June 2001, OVP sold the unimproved land.

The 33 acres of land owned by OVPGP as of June 30, 2000 was located within a special assessment district of the County of Riverside, California (the County) which was created to fund and develop roadways, sewers, and other required infrastructure improvements in the area necessary for the owners to develop their properties. Property within the assessment district is collateral for an allocated portion of the bonded debt that was issued by the assessment district to fund the improvements. The principal balance of the allocated portion of the bonds was \$1,384,153 as of June 30, 2000. The annual payments (due in semiannual installments) related to the bonded debt are approximately \$144,000 for the 33 acres. The payments continue through the year 2014 and include interest at approximately 7-3/4 percent. OVP was delinquent in the payment of property taxes and assessments for over the last eight years and the property was subject to default judgments to the County of Riverside, California totaling approximately \$2,132,421 as of June 30, 2000 regarding delinquents assessment district payments (\$1,776,243) and property taxes (\$356,178).

In November 1993, the City of Temecula adopted a general development plan that designated 33 acres of property owned by Old Vail Partners, a general partnership (the predecessor to Old Vail Partners, L.P., a California limited partnership) (OVPGP) as suitable for "professional office" use, which was contrary to its zoning as "commercial" use. As part of the adoption of its general development plan, the City of Temecula adopted a provision that, until the zoning is changed on properties affected by the general plan, the general plan shall prevail when a use designated by the general plan conflicts with the existing zoning on the property. The result was that the City of Temecula has effectively down-zoned the 33 acres from a "commercial" to "professional office" use. As described above, the parcel was subject to assessment district obligations, which were allocated in 1989 based on a higher "commercial" use. Since the assessment district obligations were not subject to reapportionment as a result of re-zoning, a "professional office" use was not economically feasible due to the disproportionately high allocation of assessment district costs.

5

The County had scheduled the 33 acres for public sale for the defaulted property taxes on September 27, 1999. OVPGP had unsuccessfully attempted to negotiate a payment plan with the County subject to the successful resolution of the zoning problems with the property. On September 23, 1999, OVPGP filed a petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws in the United States Bankruptcy Court. The primary claim affected by this action was the County's secured claim for delinquent taxes and assessment district payments. OVPGP's

plan was to use the relief from stay to continue its efforts to negotiate a settlement of the zoning issues and restore the economic value of the property. The bankruptcy proceeding was dismissed on February 15, 2000 with the concurrence of OVPGP. This dismissal allowed the County of Riverside to proceed with a public sale of the property within 45 days after giving notice. On June 23, 2000, the County of Riverside agreed to remove the property from the planned public sale originally schedule for June 26, 2000 in exchange for an immediate payment of \$330,000 with the balance of property taxes due on December 29, 2000. Separately, the County of Riverside stated that a foreclosure sale related to the default judgement for assessment district payments would not be scheduled until some time after January 1, 2001. On January 19, 2001, the County of Riverside agreed to extend the due date to March 30, 2001 with three options to that would extend the due date to August 1, 2001. Each extension option requires a payment of \$25,000. Payments were made to date to extend the agreement to May 31, 2001.

On May 6, 1998, OVPGP filed suit against the City of Temecula, California in the California Superior Court for the County of Riverside. OVPGP claimed that, if the effective re-zoning is valid, the action would be a taking and damaging of OVPGP's property without payment of just compensation. OVPGP was seeking to have the effective re-zoning invalidated and an unspecified amount of damages. OVPGP has previously suffered adverse outcomes in other suits filed in relation to this matter. A stipulation was entered that dismissed this suit without prejudice and agreed to toll all applicable statute of limitations while OVPGP and the City of Temecula attempted to informally resolve this litigation.

On October 23, 2000, the City of Temecula's city council granted preliminary approval of OVPGP's request for re-zoning and general plan amendment related to a development plan which includes a combination of multi-family and commercial uses. On November 28, 2000 the re-zoning and general plan amendment requested by OVPGP were adopted by the City of Temecula and OVPGP abandoned its legal claims against the City of Temecula.

On June 1, 2001, the Company sold the 33 acres to an unrelated developer for \$6,375,000 cash plus assumption of the non-delinquent balance of the assessment district obligation (\$1,001,274) and recorded a gain of \$5,544,743. The cash proceeds were used to pay \$2,459,477 of delinquent taxes and assessments related to the property and \$299,458 of selling expenses. In addition, payments of \$2,172,410 were made to the limited partners in OVP from the proceeds.

(c) Real Estate Operations:

CVCD Inc. and SADI wholly or

UCVGP, Inc. and SAPI, wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, own a one percent managing general partnership interest and a 49 percent limited partnership interest, respectively, in UCV, L.P. (UCV). UCV owns a 542-unit apartment project (University City Village) in the University City area of San Diego, California. The property is collateral for a \$33,000,000 note payable by the partnership as of June 30, 2001.

DPDC owned an approximate 36,000 square foot office building located in San Diego, California, which was constructed in 1983. DPDC sold the office building on December 28, 2000.

DPDC is the lessee of 15 acres of land in the Palm Springs, California area under a lease expiring in September 2043. The land is subleased to the owners of the condominium units constructed on the property. The subleases also expire in September 2043.

(d) Golf Operations:

Penley Sports, LLC leases 38,025 square feet of industrial space in San Diego, California pursuant to a lease that expires in March 31 2010 with options to

March 31, 2020. Penley has subleased approximately 10,000 square feet to a third party pursuant to a two year lease that expires in October 2002.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

At June 30, 2001 the Company or its subsidiaries were not parties to any material legal proceedings other than routine litigation incidental to the business.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSIONS OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS NONE

6

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

(a) There is no recognized market for the Company's common stock except for limited or sporadic quotations, which may occur from time to time. The following table sets forth the high and low bid prices per share of the Company's common stock in the over-the-counter market, as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board, which is a market quotation service for market makers. The over-the-counter quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not necessarily reflect actual transactions in shares of the Company's common stock.

| | 20 | 01 | 2000 | | |
|----------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| | High | Low | High | Low | |
| | | | | | |
| First Quarter | \$.04 | \$.04 | \$.02 | \$.02 | |
| Second Quarter | \$.04 | \$.04 | \$.02 | \$.02 | |
| Third Quarter | \$.04 | \$.04 | \$.05 | \$.02 | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$.06 | \$.04 | \$.06 | \$.02 | |

- (b) The number of holders of record of the common stock of the Company as of September 20, 2001 is approximately 4,300. The Company believes there are a significant number of beneficial owners of its common stock whose shares are held in "street name".
- (c) The Company has neither declared nor paid dividends on its common stock during the past ten years, nor does it have any intention of paying dividends in the foreseeable future.

| 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 19 |
|------|------|--------------|-------------|----|
| | Yea | r Ended June | 30 , | |

| Revenues | \$ 4,492,736 | \$ 4,724,435 | \$ 3,957,011 | \$ 3,642,335 | \$ 3,72 |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|
| Loss from operations | (3,443,196) | (3,424,495) | (3,654,212) | (2,603,065) | (4,32 |
| Income (loss) from | | | | | |
| continuing operations | 3,603,234 | (3,625,063) | (3,501,933) | (895 , 524) | (3,34 |
| Basic and diluted income | | | | | |
| (loss) per common share | | | | | |
| from continuing operations | .13 | (.13) | (.13) | (.03) | (. |
| Total assets | 3,448,474 | 6,601,236 | 6,998,820 | 9,448,653 | 9,93 |
| Long-term debt, excluding | | | | | |
| current portion | 13,942 | 1,967,169 | 3,911,694 | 3,287,783 | 4,06 |

See Notes 4(b), 6(c), and 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements regarding disposition of business operations and material uncertainties.

Certain 2000, 1999, 1998 and 1997 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the presentation used in 2001.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS

OF OPERATIONS.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company has a working capital deficit of \$1,086,126 at June 30, 2001, which is a \$5,741,877 decrease from the working capital deficit of \$6,828,003 at June 30, 2000. The working capital deficit decreased primarily due to the sale of the office building, bowling center real estate and undeveloped land. These sources of funds were partially offset by \$3,422,256 of cash used by operations.

7

The Company has been unable to generate sufficient cash flow from operating activities to meet scheduled principal payments on long-term debt and capital replacement needs during the last several years. It has used its share of distributions from investees and proceeds from real estate and bowling center sales to fund these deficits.

The cash provided (used) before changes in assets and liabilities segregated by business segments was as follows:

| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
|--|---|---|---|
| Bowling | (289,000) 105,000 (2,594,000) (177,000) (467,000) | (356,000) 219,000 (2,652,000) (246,000) (217,000) | (157,000) 207,000 (2,587,000) (215,000) (257,000) |
| Cash provided (used) by continuing operations | (3,422,000) | (3,252,000) | (3,009,000) |
| financing Principal payments on long-term debt | (538,000) (214,000) | (446,000) (360,000) | (148,000) (262,000) |
| Cash used | (4,174,000) ======= | (4,058,000) | (3,419,000) |
| Distributions received from investees | 1,559,000 | 2,193,000 | 1,420,000 |

| | ======== | ======== | ======== |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Payments on minority interests | (2,172,000) | | |
| | ======== | ======== | ======== |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | 5,680,000 | 190,000 | |
| | ======== | ======= | ======== |
| Contributions to investees | (200,000) | (43,000) | |

Other than distributions of \$920,000 received in March 2001 and \$1,757,000 received in October 1999 from the proceeds of UCV's long term debt, the cash distributions the Company received from UCV during the last three years were the Company's proportionate share of distributions from UCV's results of operations. The investment in UCV is classified as a liability because the cumulative distributions received from UCV exceed the sum of the Company's initial investment and the cumulative equity in income of UCV by \$15,792,373 at June 30, 2001. Although this amount is presented in the liability section of the balance sheet, the Company has no liability to repay the distributions in excess of basis in UCV. The Company estimates that the current market value of the assets of UCV (primarily apartments) exceeded its liabilities by \$20,000,000-\$27,000,000 as of June 30, 2001. On October 3, 2000, UCV obtained approval for plans to redevelop the 542 unit apartment project into 1,109 units plus an 80 unit assisted living facility. UCV is currently evaluating alternatives for financing the redevelopment.

At June 30, 2001, the Company owned a 60 percent limited partnership interest in Vail Ranch Limited Partnership (VRLP), which is a 50 percent partner in Temecula Creek, LLC (TC), a limited liability company, the other member of which is ERT Development Corporation (an affiliate of Excel). In July 2000, TC completed development of the first phase of a shopping center consisting of 60,229 square feet of space (of which 56,307 square feet is currently leased) on approximately 7 acres of land. TC is currently in the process of obtaining construction financing to construct an additional 50,032 square feet of shopping center space on the remaining 6 acres of land. The Company estimates that the value of the Company's 60 percent interest in VRLP at June 30, 2001 is approximately \$1,000,000 to \$1,500,000. In July 2000, TC completed development of 54,107 square feet of the shopping center. As of August 31, 2001 a total of 75,692 square feet had been constructed of which 100% is currently leased. The balance of the build out is expected to be completed by February 2002.

The Company is expecting a \$1,500,000 cash flow deficit in the year ending June 30, 2002 from operating activities after estimated distributions from UCV, and estimated capital expenditures (\$20,000) and scheduled principal payments on short-term and long-term debt. Management expects continuing cash flow deficits until Penley Sports develops sufficient sales volume to become profitable. However, there can be no assurances that Penley Sports will ever achieve profitable operations. Management is currently evaluating other sources of working capital from obtaining additional investors in Penley Sports to provide sufficient funds for the expected future cash flow deficits. If the Company is not successful in obtaining other sources of working capital this could have a material adverse effect on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statements of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 141, "Business Combinations", SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ", and SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 to be accounted for

using the purchase method. SFAS No. 142 requires the use of a non-amortization approach to account for purchased goodwill. Goodwill acquired on or prior to June 30, 2001 will no longer be amortized effective January 1, 2002. Goodwill acquired on or after July 1, 2001 will follow the non-amortization approach under SFAS No. 142. Under the non-amortization approach, goodwill would be tested for impairment, rather than being amortized to earnings, as originally proposed. In addition, SFAS No. 142 requires that acquired intangible assets be separately identified and amortized over their individual useful lives. The Company will be required to adopt these statements beginning January 1, 2002. SFAS No. 143 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002 and requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. The Company does not expect that SFAS No. 141 and 142 will have material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of SFAS No. 143.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to market risk primarily due to fluctuations in interest rates. The Company utilizes both fixed rate and variable rate debt. The following table presents scheduled principal payments and related weighted average interest rates of the Company's long-term fixed rate and variable rate debt for the fiscal years ended June 30:

| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | Total | Fair Value (1) |
|---|-------------|---------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| Fixed rate debt Weighted average | \$26,000 | \$8,000 | \$6,000 | \$40,000 | \$40,000 |
| interest rate | 11.8% | 13.9% | 13.9% | 12.5% | 12.5% |
| Variable rate debt. \$ Weighted average | \$1,256,000 | | | \$1,256,000 | \$1,250,000 |
| interest rate | 7.8% | | | 7.8% | 7.8% |

(1) The fair value of fixed-rate debt and variable-rate debt were estimated based on the current rates offered for fixed-rate debt and variable-rate debt with similar risks and maturities.

The variable rate debt includes a \$1,250,000 short term note payable that is due on demand, which for purposes of this calculation has been treated as though paid during the year ending June 30, 2002.

The Company's unconsolidated subsidiary, UCV, has variable rate debt of \$33,000,000 as of March 31, 2001 for which the interest rate was 8.5 percent. However, the combination of a floor established by the lender and a cap purchased by UCV has resulted in the rate being fixed at 8.5 percent for the initial term of the loan. The scheduled principal payments for each of UCV's fiscal years ending March 31 is: 2002- none; 2003- \$33,000,000, and in total-\$33,000,000. The estimated fair value of this debt is \$33,800,000 based on the current rates offered for this type of loan with similar risks and maturities.

The Company does not enter into derivative or interest rate transactions for speculative or trading purposes.

"SAFE HARBOR" STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION

REFORM ACT OF 1995

With the exception of historical information (information relating to the Company's financial condition and results of operations at historical dates or for historical periods), the matters discussed in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are forward-looking statements that necessarily are based on certain assumptions and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are based on management's expectations as of the date hereof, and the Company does not undertake any responsibility to update any of these statements in the future. Actual future performance and results could differ from that contained in or suggested by these forward-looking statements as a result of the factors set forth in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, the Business Risks described in Item 1 of this Report on Form 10-K and elsewhere in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The discussion of Results of Operations is primarily by the Company's business segments. The analysis is partially based on a comparison of and should be read in conjunction with the business segment operating information in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

9

The following is a summary of the changes to the components of the segments in the years ended June 30, 2001 and 2000:

| | Bowling | Real Estate Operation | Real Estate Development | Golf | Unallocated And Other |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2001 | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$(368,870) | | | \$407,660 | \$(70,238) |
| Costs | (214,662) | (39 , 907) | (68,991) | 434 , 793 | |
| SG&A-direct | (103,946) | | | (59 , 649) | (68,260) |
| SG&A-allocated Depreciation and | (53 , 906) | (13,000) | | (7,000) | 73 , 906 |
| amortization | (67,103) | (64,306) | | 52 , 106 | (6,458) |
| Impairment losses | | | | | (37,926) |
| <pre>Interest expense Equity in income (loss) of</pre> | (50,660) | (67,778) | (31,814) | (9,425) | 157,220 |
| investees | | (118,443) | (99,200) | | |
| Gain on sale | 482,487 | 2,764,483 | 5,544,743 | | |
| Segment profit (loss) Investment income Income from continuing operations | 603,894 | 2,599,469 | 5,546,348 | (3,165) | (188,720) |
| YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 | | | | | |
| Revenues | (85,410) | 76,477 | | 735,654 | 43,388 |
| Costs | 113,520 | 30 , 332 | 44,661 | 719,119 | |
| SG&A-direct | (11 , 967) | | | 18,289 | (326,384) |
| SG&A-allocated Depreciation and | 18,508 | 5,000 | (7,000) | 73,000 | (89,508) |
| amortization | (4,497) | 835 | | 11,349 | (2,162) |

| Impairment losses | | | (90 , 629) | | 37 , 926 |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Interest expense | (6,329) | 29,437 | 15,049 | (8,540) | 12,090 |
| Equity in income (loss) of | | | | | |
| investees | | (79 , 293) | (114,880) | | |
| Segment profit (loss) | (194,645) | (68,420) | (76 , 961) | (77 , 563) | 411,426 |
| Investment income | | | | | |
| Loss from continuing | | | | | |
| operations | | | | | |

BOWLING OPERATIONS:

The segment includes the operations of two bowling centers, Valley Bowl and Grove Bowl. On December 21, 2000, the Company closed the operations of Valley Bowl in conjunction with the sale of the real estate on December 29, 2000. The following is a summary by bowling center of the changes in the results of operations:

| | 20 | 01 vs. 2000 | 2000 vs. 1999 | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------|
| | Grove | Valley | Combined | Grove | Valley C |
| Revenues | 256 , 008 | (624,878) | (368,870) | 5 , 393 | (90,803) |
| Costs | 166,375 | (381,037) | (214,662) | 136,579 | (23,059) |
| SG&A-direct | 6 , 808 | (110,754) | (103 , 946) | (942) | (11,025) |
| SG&A-allocated | 2,594 | (56 , 500) | (53 , 906) | 22 , 808 | (4,300) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (84) | (67,019) | (67,103) | (5,602) | 1,105 |
| Interest expense | | (50,660) | (50,660) | | (6,329) |
| Gain on sale | | 482,487 | 482,487 | | |
| Segment profit (loss) | 80,315 | 523 , 579 | 603,894 | (147,450) | (47,195) |

2001 vs. 2000

On December 29, 2000 the Company sold the land and building occupied by the Valley Bowling Center for \$2,215,000 cash and recorded a gain of \$482,487. The proceeds of the sale were used to pay the existing loan of \$1,650,977 and selling expenses of \$167,671.

The following is a comparison of the operations of the Grove bowling center to the prior year. Grove's revenues increased 17 percent in 2001 primarily due to an 8 percent increase in number of games bowled. The average price of games bowled also increased by 9 percent. This bowling center is located in a shopping center that just completed a major renovation and "reopened" in April 2000 with

10

two major retail stores. As a result, the bowling center has experienced a significant increase in open and league play since the "reopening". Although management forecasts continued increases in open and league play at the bowling center, the amount of the increase and how long it will continue is uncertain. League revenues also increased because of the ability to move league bowlers from Valley when the bowl was closed in December 2000.

Bowl costs increased \$166,000 or 12% primarily due to a \$99,000 (106%) increase in utility costs and a \$55,000 (12%) increase in payroll costs. The increase in utility costs related to the increase in electric rates in San Diego that have been subsiding since April and May of 2001 but are still substantially higher

than the rates in 2000. Payroll costs increased primarily due to an increase in staffing related to the increase in customer bowling. There was no significant change in selling, general and administrative expenses.

2000 vs. 1999

Bowling revenues decreased by 4 percent. The number of league games bowled continued to decrease at each of the bowling centers by approximately 15% (54,000 games in total). This decrease is being partially offset by an increase in the open-play games bowled at Grove (18,000) related to the April 2000 "reopening" of the shopping.

Bowl costs increased by 6% primarily due to due to a \$76,000 increase in supplies and maintenance expenses. Approximately \$46,000 of the increase in maintenance expenses related to lane resurfacing or painting the exterior of a building, which are not indicative of a trend of increases in expenses to be annualized. There was no significant change in selling, general and administrative expenses.

RENTAL OPERATIONS

This segment includes the operations of the office building sold December 28, 2000, the equity in income of the operation of a 542 unit apartment project (UCV), a subleasehold interest in land underlying a condominium project, the sublease of a portion of the Penley factory and other miscellaneous rents received on undeveloped land. The following is a summary of the changes in operations:

| | | 2001 vs. 2 | 000 | 2000 vs. 1999 | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Office | Others | Combined | Office | Others | Combine |
| Revenues | \$ (233,259) | \$ 1,697 | \$ (231,562) | \$52 , 461 | \$ 24,016 | \$76 , 47 |
| Costs | (57 , 938) | 18,031 | (39,907) | (25,584) | 55 , 916 | 30 , 33 |
| SG&A-allocated | (13,000) | | (13,000) | 5,000 | | 5,00 |
| Depreciation and | | | | | | |
| amortization | (64,306) | | (64,306) | 835 | | 83 |
| Interest expense | (85,535) | 17,757 | (67 , 778) | 29,437 | | 29,43 |
| Equity in income of UCV . | | (118, 443) | (118,443) | | (79 , 293) | (79 , 29 |
| Gain on sale | 2,764,483 | | 2,764,483 | | | |
| Segment profit (loss) | 2,752,003 | (152,534) | 2,599,469 | 42,773 | (111,193) | (68,42 |

A temporary easement granted by the Company for the use of a portion of its undeveloped land in Temecula, California expired in September 2000. The Company had been amortizing approximately \$17,000 of deferred rent to income each quarter. Rental revenues decreased by \$48,000 in 2001 and increased 24,000 in 2000 related to this easement.

Rental revenues and rental costs increased by \$46,000 and \$47,000 respectively related to the sublease for the Penley factory. Approximately 10,000 square feet of the Penley factory space (38,000 square feet) was subleased commencing in November 2000 under a lease that expires in October 2002.

Other rental costs also increased in 2000 due to an increase in the rent expense for the subleasehold interest.

On December 28, 2000 the Company sold its office building for \$3,725,000 and recorded a gain of \$2,764,483. The consideration consisted of the assumption of the existing loan with a principal balance of \$1,950,478 and cash of \$1,662,337. The cash proceeds were net of selling expenses of \$163,197, credits for lender

impounds of \$83,676, deductions for security deposits of \$26,463 and prepaid rents of \$6,201. The Company has been released from liability under the existing loan except for those acts, events or omissions that occurred prior to the loan assumption. The Company had occupied approximately 5,000 square feet of space in the building since 1984. The existing lease expires in September 2011. In conjunction with a lease modification with the new owner of the office building, the Company vacated the premises on April 6, 2001 and moved into the factory space occupied by its subsidiary, Penley Sports, LLC. However, because the lease commitment for the office space was a condition to the original loan agreement, the lender will only allow the Company to be conditionally released from its remaining lease obligation. In the event there is an uncured event of default by the new owner of the office building under the existing loan agreement, the Company's obligations under its lease will be reinstated to the extent there is not an enforceable lease on the Company's space.

11

Office building rental revenues of the office building increased in 2000 primarily due to the continued increases in the rental rates at the office building. The vacancy rate for the office building was 3% and 1% 1999 and 2000, respectively. The average monthly rent per square foot was \$.98 and \$1.11 for 1999 and 2000, respectively. Interest expense for the office building increased in 2000 related to an increase in the long-term debt secured by the building in May of 1999.

The equity in income of UCV decreased by \$118,000 in 2001 and \$79,000 in 2000 primarily due to increases in interest expense and other costs of UCV that were only partially offset by increases in revenues. The following is a summary of the changes in the operations of UCV, LP in 2001 and 2000 compared to the previous years:

| | 2001 | 2000 |
|---|------------|------------|
| | | |
| Revenues | \$ 261,000 | \$ 202,000 |
| Costs | (47,000) | 148,000 |
| Depreciation | (7,000) | (3,000) |
| Interest and amortization of loan costs | 532,000 | 216,000 |
| Other expenses | 20,000 | |
| <pre>Income before extraordinary loss</pre> | (237,000) | (159,000) |
| Extraordinary loss from debt | | |
| extinguishment | 401,000 | (197,000) |
| Net income | (638,000) | 38,000 |

Vacancy rates at UCV have averaged 1.3% 1.7% and 2.3% in 1999, 2000 and 2001, respectively. Total revenues of UCV increased by 5 and 4 percent in 2001 and 2000, respectively, primarily due to increases in the average rental rate.

UCV costs decreased in 2001 primarily due to a decrease in repairs and maintenance costs. UCV costs increased in 2000 primarily due to an increase in water expense (\$60,000) related to increased irrigation of the property. Otherwise, costs increased 6 percent in 2000. UCV's interest expense increased in 2001 and 2000 primarily due to an increase in long-term debt in October 1999 and March 2001. UCV increased its long-term debt in May of 1998 by \$5,166,500, in October 1999 by \$4,039,490, and in March 2001 by \$3,960,510. The refinancings in May 1998 and March 2001 resulted in an extraordinary loss of \$197,000 in 1998 and \$401,000 in 2001 related to prepayment penalties and write-offs of the unamortized loan fees of the previous long-term debt.

REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT:

The real estate development segment consists primarily of OVP's operations related to 33 acres of undeveloped land in Temecula, California, and an

investment in VRLP.

Development costs consist primarily of legal expenses (\$65,000 in 2001, \$104,000 in 2000, and \$62,000 in 1999) related to the litigation regarding the effective down-zoning of the 33 acres of land and property taxes (\$88,000 in 2001, \$106,000 in 2000, and \$95,000 in 1999). Development interest primarily represents the interest portion of the assessment district payments due each year and the interest accrued on the delinquent payments.

On June 1, 2001, the OVP sold the 33 acres to an unrelated developer for \$6,375,000 cash plus assumption of the non-delinquent balance of the assessment district obligation (\$1,001,274) and recorded a gain of \$5,544,743. The cash proceeds were used to pay \$2,459,477 of delinquent taxes and assessments related to the property and \$299,458 of selling expenses. A partner in OVP holds a liquidating limited partnership interest which entitles him to 50 percent of future distributions up to \$2,450,000, of which \$1,360,000 has been paid through June 30, 2001 (\$860,000 in 2001, \$50,000 in 1999 and \$450,000 in 1998). This limited partner's capital account balance is presented as "Minority interest" in the consolidated balance sheets. Three other parties were granted liquidating partnership interests related to either their efforts with achieving the zoning approval for the 33 acres or making a loan to the Company that was used to fund payments to the County of Riverside for delinquent taxes. These partners received distributions totaling \$1,312,410 from the sale of the undeveloped land in the year ended June 30, 2001 and their limited partnership interests were liquidated. The \$1,312,410 paid to these partners is presented as "Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary" in the Statement of Operations for the year ended June 30, 2001.

The following is a summary of the changes in the $\,$ operations of VRLP in 2001 and 2000 compared to the previous years:

| | 2001 | 2000 |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | | |
| Revenues | \$ (10,000) | \$(122,000) |
| Operating expenses | (56 , 000) | 78,000 |
| Equity in loss of investee | 225,000 | (43,000) |
| Net income (loss) | (179,000) | (157,000) |

12

The operations for 1999 and 2000 reflected the results of negotiating property tax refunds that were non-recurring. The equity in loss of investee represents VRLP's share of the results of operations of Temecula Creek LLC which was developing a shopping center during all of 1999 and 2000 and a portion of 2001. The equity in the loss of investee represents VRLP's share of the property taxes expensed by Temecula Creek during 1999 and 2000. The equity in loss of investee in 2001 primarily represents the share of the operating loss of the shopping center as various phases were completed.

The Company recorded a \$90,629 provision for impairment loss in the year ended June 30, 1999 to reduce the carrying value of undeveloped land in Missouri to the value realized when it was sold in September 1999.

GOLF CLUB SHAFT MANUFACTURING:

Prior to January 2000, golf club shaft sales were principally to custom golf shops. In January 2000, Penley commenced sales to two of the largest golf equipment distributors. In addition to increases in sales related to these two customers, direct sales to the after-market also increased, likely due to the credibility and increased exposure from the Penley products being included in the catalogs of these two distributors. In the year 2000, approximately 34 percent of the revenues were from sales to six golf equipment distributors, 8

percent from small golf club manufacturers, and the remainder related to sales directly to golf shops. In the year 2001, approximately 31 percent of the revenues were from sales to seven golf equipment distributors, 12 percent from small golf club manufacturers, and the remainder related to sales directly to golf shops.

Operating expenses of the golf segment consisted of the following in 1999, 1998 and 1997.

| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
|---|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | | | |
| Costs of sales and manufacturing overhead | \$1,922,000 | \$1,502,000 | \$ 797 , 000 |
| Research and development | 263,000 | 248,000 | 234,000 |
| | | | |
| Total golf costs | \$2,185,000 | \$1,750,000 | \$1,031,000 |
| | ======== | ======== | |
| Marketing and promotion | \$1,407,000 | \$1,514,000 | \$1,511,000 |
| Administrative costs- direct | 237,000 | 190,000 | 174,000 |
| | | | |
| Total SG&A-direct | \$1,644,000 | \$1,704,000 | \$1,685,000 |
| | | | |

Total golf costs increased in 2001 and 2000 primarily due to an increase in the amount of cost of goods sold related to increased sales, a \$54,000 increase in rent for the factory that was moved into in June 2000, an increase in the cost of prototype shafts developed during the periods, and an increase in the payroll for research and development. Marketing and promotion expenses decreased in 2001 primarily due to decreases in player sponsorship costs and promotional goods. Administrative costs increased in 2001 primarily due to an increase in professional fees related to filings for trademark and patent matters.

UNALLOCATED AND OTHER:

Revenues decreased by \$70,000 in 2001 due to a decrease in brokerage commissions. Revenues increased by \$43,000 in 2000 due to a \$37,000 increase in brokerage commissions.

Unallocated and Other SG&A decreased by \$68,000 in 2001 and \$326,000 in 2000. The decrease in 2000 primarily related to \$390,000 of expense recorded in 1999 as a provision for the uncertainty of the collectability of the note receivable from affiliate. The decrease in 2001 primarily related to a \$44,000 reduction in brokerage commission fees.

Interest expense increased in 2001 and 2000 due to interest related to short term borrowings.

Investment income decreased by \$116,967 in 2000 due to the cessation of the accrual of interest income on the note receivable from shareholder (See Note 3b of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

(a) The Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of Sports Arenas, Inc. and Subsidiaries are listed and included under Item 14 of this report.

ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting

and Financial Disclosure NONE

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

(a) - (c) The following were directors and executive officers of the Company during the year ended June 30, 2001. All present directors will hold office until the election of their respective successors. All executive officers are to be elected annually by the Board of Directors.

| Directors and Officers | Age | Position and Tenure with Company |
|------------------------|-----|---|
| Harold S. Elkan | 58 | Director since November 7, 1983; President since November 11, 1983 |
| Steven R. Whitman | 48 | Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since May 1987; Director and Assistant Secretary since August 1, 1989; Secretary since January 1995 |
| Patrick D. Reiley | 60 | Director since August 21, 1986 |
| James E. Crowley | 54 | Director since January 10, 1989 |
| Robert A. MacNamara | 53 | Director since January 9, 1989 |

There are no understandings between any director or executive officer and any other person pursuant to which any director or executive officer was selected as a director or executive officer.

- (d) Family Relationships None
- (e) Business Experience
- 1. Harold S. Elkan has been employed as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 1983. For the preceding ten years he was a principal of Elkan Realty and Investment Co., a commercial real estate brokerage firm, and was also President of Brandy Properties, Inc., an owner and operator of commercial real estate.
- 2. Steven R. Whitman has been employed as the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since May 1987. For the preceding five years he was employed by Laventhol & Horwath, CPAs, the last four of which were as a manager in the audit department.
- 3. Patrick D. Reiley was the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Reico Insurance Brokers, Inc. (Reico) from 1980 until June 1995, when Reico ceased doing business. Reico was an insurance brokerage firm in San Diego, California. Mr. Reiley has been a principal of A.R.I.S., Inc., an international insurance brokerage company, since 1997.
- 4. James E. Crowley has been an owner and operator of various automobile dealerships for the last twenty years. Mr. Crowley was President and controlling shareholder of Coast Nissan from 1992 to August 1996; and has been President of the Automotive Group since March 1994. The Automotive Group operates North County Ford, North County Jeep GMC, TAG Collision Repair, and Lake Elsinore Ford.
 - 5. Robert A. MacNamara had been employed by Daley Corporation, a California

corporation, from 1978 through 1997, the last eleven years of which he served as Vice President of the Property Division. Daley Corporation is a residential and commercial real estate developer and a general contractor. Mr. MacNamara is currently an independent consultant to the real estate development industry.

(f) Involvement in legal proceedings - None

Section 16(a) Compliance —Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of Common Stock and other equity securities of the Company. Officers, directors and greater than ten-percent shareholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

14

To the Company's knowledge, based solely on written representations that no other reports were required, during the three fiscal years ended June 30, 1999 through 2001, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to officers, directors and greater than ten-percent beneficial owners were complied with.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

(b) The following Summary Compensation Table shows the compensation paid for each of the last three fiscal years to the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and to the most highly compensated executive officers of the Company whose total annual compensation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001 exceeded \$100,000.

| | | | | | Long-term | All Other |
|--------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Name and | | | | | Compen- | Compen- |
| | | | | | | |
| Principal Position | Year | Salary | Bonus | Other | sation | sation |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Harold S. Elkan, | 2001 | \$350,000 | \$100,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| President | 2000 | 350,000 | \$100,000 | | | |
| | 1999 | 350,000 | \$100,000 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Steven R. Whitman, | 2001 | 100,000 | | | | |
| Chief Financial | 2000 | 100,000 | 10,000 | | | |
| Officer | 1999 | 100,000 | 10,000 | | | |

The Company has no Long-Term Compensation Plans. Although the Company provides some miscellaneous perquisites and other personal benefits to its executives, the amount of this compensation did not exceed the lesser of \$50,000 or 10 percent of an executive's annual compensation.

- (c)-(f) and (i) The Company hasn't issued any stock options or stock appreciation rights, nor does the Company maintain any long-term incentive plans or pension plans.
- (g) Compensation of Directors The Company pays a \$500 fee to each outside director for each director's meeting attended. The Company does not pay any other fees or compensation to its directors as compensation for their services as directors.
 - (h) Employment Contracts, Termination of Employment and Change-in-Control

Arrangements: The employment agreement for Harold S. Elkan (Elkan), the Company's President, expired in January 1998, however, the Company is continuing to honor the terms of the agreement until such time as the Compensation Committee conducts a review and propose a new contract. Pursuant to the expired employment agreement, Elkan is to receive a sum equal to twice his annual salary (\$350,000 as of June 30, 2001) plus \$50,000 if he is discharged by the Company without good cause, or the employment agreement is terminated as a result of a change in the Company's management or voting control. The agreement also provides for miscellaneous perquisites, which do not exceed either \$50,000 or 10 percent of his annual salary. The Board of Directors has authorized that up to \$625,000 of loans can be made to Harold S. Elkan at interest rates not to exceed 10 percent.

- (j) Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation: Harold S. Elkan, the Company's President, was appointed by the Company's Board of Directors as a compensation committee of one to review and set compensation for all Company employees other than Harold S. Elkan. The Company's outside Directors set compensation for Harold S. Elkan. None of the executive officers of the Company had an "interlock" relationship to report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001.
 - (k) Board Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation

The Company's Board of Directors appointed Harold S. Elkan as a compensation committee of one to review and set compensation for all Company employees other than Harold S. Elkan. The Board of Directors, excluding Harold S. Elkan and Steven R. Whitman, set and approve compensation for Harold S. Elkan.

The objectives of the Company's executive compensation program are to: attract, retain and motivate highly qualified personnel; and recognize and reward superior individual performance. These objectives are satisfied through the use of the combination of base salary and discretionary bonuses. The following items are considered in determining base salaries: experience, personal performance, responsibilities, and, when relevant, comparable salary

15

information from outside the Company. Currently, the performance of the Company is not a factor in setting compensation levels. Annual cash bonus payments are discretionary and would typically relate to subjective performance criteria. Bonuses of \$100,000 were awarded to Harold Elkan in each of the years ended June 30, 1999 through 2001. Bonuses of \$10,000 were awarded to Steven R. Whitman in each of the years ended June 30, 1999 and 2000.

In the fiscal year ended June 30, 1993 the outside members of the Board of Directors approved a new employment agreement for Harold S. Elkan (Elkan) effective from January 1, 1993 until December 31, 1997. This agreement provided for annual base salary of \$250,000 plus discretionary bonuses as the Board of Directors may determine and approve. In setting the compensation levels in this agreement, the Board of Directors, in addition to utilizing their personal knowledge of executive compensation levels in San Diego, California, referred to a special compensation study performed in 1987 for the Board of Directors by an independent outside consultant. The Board of Directors are currently reviewing information for purposes of entering into a new employment agreement with Elkan. In the meantime, the Board of Directors approved an increase in Elkan's base pay to \$350,000 annually effective July 1, 1998.

Outside members of Board of Directors approving the Compensation for Harold S. Elkan:

Patrick D. Reiley

James E. Crowley

Robert A. MacNamara
Directors' Compensation Committee for Other Employees:
Harold S. Elkan

(1) Performance Graph: The following schedule and graph compares the performance of \$100 if invested in the Company's common stock (SAI) with the performance of \$100 if invested in each of the Standard & Poors 500 Index (S&P 500), and the Standard & Poors Leisure Time Index (S&P LT).

The performance graph and schedule provide information required by regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. However, the Company believes that this performance graph and schedule could be misleading if it is not understood that there is limited trading of the Company's stock. The Company's common stock has traded in the range of \$.01 to \$.02 for most of the past five years. As a result, a small increase in the per share price results in large percentage changes in the value of an investment.

The performance is calculated by assuming \$100 is invested at the beginning of the period (July 1993) in the Company's common stock at a price equal to its market value (the bid price). At the end of each fiscal year, the total value of the investment is computed by taking the number of shares owned multiplied by the market price of the shares at the end of each fiscal year.

SCHEDULE OF COMPARISON OF FIVE YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

_____ Sports S&P Leisure Year Ended Arenas, Inc. S&P 500 Time -----6/1996 100 100 100 6/1997 100 200 160 300 255 6/1998 128 309 327 275 200 6/1999 116 200 6/2000 95 6/2001 200 118

16

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management (a) - (c):

| Name and Address | Shares Beneficially Owned | Nature of Beneficial Ownership | Percent of Class |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Harold S. Elkan 5230 Carroll Canyon Road San Diego, California | 21,808,267 (a) | Sole investment and voting power | 80.0% |
| All directors and officer as a group | 21,808,267 | Sole investment and voting power | 80.0% |

(a) These shares of stock are owned by Andrew Bradley, Inc., which is owned by Harold S. Elkan- 88%, Andrew S. Elkan- 6%, and Bradley J. Elkan- 6%. Andrew Bradley, Inc. has pledged 10,900,000 of its shares of Sports Arenas, Inc. stock as collateral for its loan from Sports Arenas, Inc. See Note 3(c) of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

(a) - (c):

1. The Company has \$394,339 of unsecured loans outstanding to Harold S. Elkan, (President, Chief Executive Officer, Director and, through his 88% owned corporation, Andrew Bradley, Inc., the majority shareholder of the Company) as of June 30, 2001 (\$463,866 as of June 30, 2000). The balance at June 30, 2001 bears interest at 8 percent per annum and is due in monthly installments of interest only plus annual principal payments of \$50,000 due on December 31 of each year. The balance is due January 1, 2002. The largest amount outstanding during the year was \$463,866 in July 2000.

Elkan's primary source of repayment of unsecured loans from the Company is withholding from compensation received from the Company. Due to the Company's financial condition, there is uncertainty about the Company's ability to continue funding the additional compensation necessary to repay the unsecured loans. Therefore, during the year ended June 30, 1999, the Company recorded a \$390,000 charge to reflect the uncertainty of the collectability of the unsecured loans. This charge was included in selling, general and administrative expense. The Company also discontinued recording the interest income on the loans except to the extent that balance of the loans remained below \$390,000. As of June 30, 2001, \$4,339 of interest accrued on the loans was unrecorded.

2. In December 1990, the Company loaned \$1,061,009 to the Company's majority shareholder, Andrew Bradley, Inc. (ABI), which is 88% owned by Harold S. Elkan, the Company's President. The loan provided funds to ABI to pay its obligation related to its purchase of the Company's stock in November 1983. The loan to ABI provides for interest to accrue at an annual rate of prime plus 1-1/2 percentage points (8.25 percent at June 30, 2001) and to be added to the principal balance annually. As of June 30, 2001 and 2000, \$1,230,483 of interest had been accrued and added to the loan balance in the financial statements. The loan is due in November 2003. The loan is collateralized by 10,900,000 shares of the Company's stock.

Effective January 1, 1999, the Company discontinued recognizing the accrual of interest income on the note receivable from shareholder. This policy was adopted in recognition that the shareholder's most likely source of funds for repayment of the loan is from sale of the Company's stock or dividends from the Company and that the Company has unresolved liquidity problems. The cumulative amount of interest that accrued but was not recorded was \$620,007 as of June 30, 2001 (\$359,797 as of June 30, 2000).

17

PART IV

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- A. The following documents are filed as a part of this report:
 - 1. Financial Statements of Registrant

| Independent Auditors' Report | 19 |
|---|-------|
| Sports Arenas, Inc. and subsidiaries consolidated | |
| financial statements: | |
| Balance sheets as of June 30, 2001 and 2000 | 20-21 |
| Statements of operations for each of the years in the | |
| three-year period ended June 30, 2001 | 22 |
| Statements of shareholders' deficit for each of the years | |
| in the three-year period ended June 30, 2001 | 23 |
| Statements of cash flows for each of the years in the | |
| three-year period ended June 30, 2001 | 24-25 |

| | Notes to financial statements | 26-39 |
|----|---|-------|
| 2. | Financial Statements of Unconsolidated Subsidiaries | |
| | UCV, L.P. (a California limited partnership) - 50 percent | |
| | owned investee: Independent Auditors' Report | 40 |
| | Balance sheets as of March 31, 2001 and 2000 | 41 |
| | Statements of income and partners' deficit for | |
| | each of the years in the three-year period | |
| | ended March 31, 2001 | 42 |
| | Statements of cash flows for each of years in the | |
| | three-year period ended March 31, 2001 | 43 |

3. Financial Statement Schedules

There are no financial statement schedules because they are either not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statement or notes thereto.

4. Exhibits

22.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant

Notes to financial statements

48

44 - 46

B. REPORTS ON FORM 8-K:

No reports on Form 8-K have been filed during the last quarter of the period covered by this report.

18

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To Board of Directors and Shareholders Sports Arenas, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sports Arenas, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' deficit and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2001. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sports Arenas, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2001 and 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses, has a working capital deficiency and shareholders' deficit, and is forecasting negative cash flows from operating activities for the next twelve months. These items raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 14. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

KPMG LLP

San Diego, California September 7, 2001

19

SPORTS ARENAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS-JUNE 30, 2001 AND 2000

ASSETS

| | 2001 | 2000 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 515,204 | \$ 13,961 |
| Current portion of notes receivable-affiliate (Note 3a) | | 50,000 |
| Other receivables | 324,912 | 193,510 |
| Inventories (Note 2) | 585 , 111 | 304,906 |
| Prepaid expenses | 61,365 | 238,719 |
| Total current assets | 1,486,592 | 801 , 096 |
| | | |

| Receivables due after one year: Note receivable- Affiliate, net (Note 3a) Less current portion | | 73,866 (50,000) |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | 23,866 |
| Property and equipment, at cost (Notes 7 and 10): Land | 2,345,406 | 678,000 2,461,327 2,347,767 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | 2,345,406 (1,060,626) | 5,487,094 (2,160,132) |
| Net property and equipment | | 3,326,962 |
| Other assets: Undeveloped land, at cost (Note 4) Intangible assets, net (Note 5) Investments (Note 6) Other assets | 150,657 405,446 120,999 | 246,123 564,446 |
| | \$ 3,448,474 ======= | \$ 6,601,236 ====== |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

20

SPORTS ARENAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS - JUNE 30, 2001 AND 2000 (CONTINUED)

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT

| | 2001 | 2000 |
|---|--|--|
| Current liabilities: | | |
| | 1,250,000 32,000 708,307 195,367 203,578 183,466 | \$ 2,831,180 1,350,000 1,874,000 796,483 164,170 356,178 41,079 216,009 |
| Total current liabilities | 2,572,718 | 7,629,099 |
| Long-term debt, excluding current portion (Note 7a) | 13,942 | 1,967,169 |
| Distributions received in excess of basis in investment (Notes 6a and 6b) | 15,792,373 | |
| Other liabilities | 144,000 | 123,831 |
| Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary (Note 6c) | 852 , 677 | 1,712,677 |
| Shareholders' deficit: Common stock, \$.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, 27,250,000 shares issued and outstanding Additional paid-in capital | 1,730,049 (15,638,293) | |
| Less note receivable from shareholder (Note 3b) | (13,635,744) (2,291,492) | |
| Total shareholders' deficit | (15,927,236) | (19,329,748) |
| Commitments and contingencies (Notes 4b, 5a, 6c, 8 and 10) | | \$ 6,601,236 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

21

SPORTS ARENAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001, 2000, AND 1999

| | 2001 | 2000 | 1 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| Bowling Rental | \$ 2,209,585 444,635 | \$ 2,578,455 644,886 | \$ 2 , 66 |
| Golf | 1,527,117 | 1,119,457 | 38 |
| Other | 132,442 | 209 , 671 | 17 |
| Other-related party (Note 6b) | 178,957 | 171,966 | 16 |
| | 4,492,736 | 4,724,435 | 3 , 95 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | |
| Bowling | 1,851,210 | 2,065,872 | 1,95 |
| Rental | 264,435 | 304,342 | 27 |
| Golf | 2,185,213 | 1,750,420 | 1,03 |
| Development | 156,688 | 225 , 679 | 18 |
| Selling, general, and administrative (Note 3a) | 3,177,126 | 3,377,670 | 3,70 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 301,260 | 387,021 | 38 |
| Provision for impairment losses (Notes 4a, and 6d) | | 37 , 926 | 9 |
| | 7,935,932 | 8,148,930 | 7,61 |
| Loss from operations | (3,443,196) | (3,424,495) | (3,65 |
| Other income (expenses): | | | |
| Investment income: Related party (Notes 3a and 3b) | 28,926 | 38,450 | 14 |
| Other | 3,697 | 11,292 | 2 |
| Interest expense related to development activities | (235, 208) | (266,001) | (24 |
| Interest expense and amortization of finance costs | (390,265) | (361,929) | (34 |
| Equity in income of investees (Note 6a) | 159 , 977 | 377 , 620 | 57 |
| Gain on sale of office building (Note 10) | 2,764,483 | | |
| Gain on sale of bowling center building (Note 12) | 482,487 | | |
| Gain on sale of undeveloped land (Note 4b) | 5,544,743 | | |
| | 8,358,840 | (200,568) | 15 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before | | | |
| minority interest | 4,915,644 | (3,625,063) | (3,50 |

| Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary (Note 6c) | (1,312,410) | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | 3,603,234 | (3,625,063) | (3,50 |
| Extraordinary losses from: Early extinguishment of debt (Note 7a) | | | / 7 |
| Early extinguishment of investee debt (Note 6a) | (200,722) | | () (9 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 3,402,512 ======= | (\$3,625,063) ====== | (\$3 , 67 |
| Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share from: | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.13 | (\$ 0.13) | (\$ 0. |
| Extraordinary items | (0.01) | | (0. |
| | \$ 0.12 | (\$ 0.13) | (\$ 0. |
| | ===== | ===== | ==== |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

22

SPORTS ARENAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT
YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001, 2000, AND 1999

| | Common | | 2.11111 | 1 | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------|
| | Number of | | | Accumulated Deficit | |
| Balance at June 30, 1998 | 27,250,000 | \$272,500 | \$1,730,049 | (\$11,736,312) | (\$2,18 |
| Interest accrued Net loss | | | | (3,679,430) | (10 |
| Balance at June 30, 1999 | 27,250,000 | 272 , 500 | 1,730,049 | (15, 415, 742) | (2,29 |
| Net loss | | | | (3,625,063) | |
| Balance at June 30, 2000 | 27,250,000 | 272,500 | 1,730,049 | (19,040,805) | (2,29 |
| Net income | | | | 3,402,512 | |
| Balance at June 30, 2001 | 27,250,000 | | | | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

23

SPORTS ARENAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001, 2000, AND 1999

| | 2001 | 2000 | 199 |
|---|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2001 | 2000 | 199 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 3,402,512 | (\$3,625,063) | (\$3 , 679 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 18,846 | 9,120 | 13 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 301,260 | 387 , 021 | 381 |
| Equity in income of investees | (159 , 977) | (377,620) | (473 |
| Deferred income | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48 |
| shareholder | | | (104 |
| Interest accrued on assessment district obligations | 235,208 | 266,001 | 245 |
| Provision for note receivable- affiliate | | | 390 |
| Provision for impairment losses | | 37 , 926 | 90 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of assets | (8,781,237) | 1,793 | |
| Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary | 1,312,410 | | |
| Extraordinary loss on debt extinguishment Changes in assets and liabilities: | 200 , 722 | | 78 |
| (Increase) decrease in other receivables | (125,201) | (77,106) | 50 |
| (Increase) decrease in inventories | (280, 205) | 5,254 | (7 |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses | 93,678 | (39,051) | 4 6 |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other | (88,176) | 343,280 | (124 |
| liabilities | 199,337 | (230,906) | 239 |
| Other | 38,317 | (8,705) | 26 |
| Net cash used by operating activities | (3,584,506) | (3,260,056) | (2,777 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Decrease in notes receivable | 73,866 | 30,963 | 40 |
| Additions to property and equipment | (507 , 336) | (335,920) | (140 |
| Proceeds from sale of office building | 1,662,337 | | |
| Proceeds from sale of bowling center building | 2,047,328 | | |
| Proceeds from sale of undeveloped land | 3,616,066 | 190,362 | |
| Proceeds from sale of other assets | 5,000 | | |
| Increase in development costs on undeveloped land | (30,755) | (109,850) | (7 |
| Distributions received from investees | 1,559,000 | 2,193,400 | 1,419 |

| Distribution to holders of minority interest | (2,172,410) | | (50 |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 6,053,096 | 1,925,636 | 1 , 262 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Scheduled principal payments Proceeds from short-term borrowings Payments of short-term borrowings | (213,772) 1,200,000 (1,300,000) | (283,598) 1,900,000 (550,000) | (261 |
| Proceeds from refinancing of long-term debt Loan costs Extinguishment of long-term debt | (22,598) (1,650,977) | (356,000) (75,927) | 1,975 (62 (1,147 |
| Costs to extinguish long-term debt Other | 20,000 | | (45 |
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities | (1,967,347) | 990,475 | 457 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 501,243 13,961 | (343,945) 357,906 | (1,058 1,416 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 515,204 | \$ 13,961 | \$ 357 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

24

SPORTS ARENAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)
YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001, 2000, AND 1999

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

| Interest paid | \$ 196,000 | \$ 326,000 | \$ 341,000 |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | |
| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:

During the year ended June 30, 2001 the Company sold equipment for \$5,000 which had a cost of \$24,250 and accumulated depreciation of \$9,240.

During the year ended June 30, 2001, the Company abandoned leasehold improvements with a cost of \$18,536 and accumulated depreciation of \$18,070.

During the year ended June 30, 2000, the Company discarded fully depreciated equipment with a cost and accumulated depreciation of \$112,829.

During the year ended June 30, 2000, the Company abandoned leasehold improvements with a cost of \$13,317 and accumulated depreciation of \$12,162.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

25

SPORTS ARENAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001, 2000 AND 1999

- 1. Summary of significant accounting policies and practices:
 - Description of business- The Company, primarily through its subsidiaries, owns and operates two bowling centers (one of which was closed in December 2000), an apartment project (50% owned), one office building (sold in December 2000), a graphite golf club shaft manufacturer, and undeveloped land (sold in June 2001). The Company also performs a minor amount of services in property management and real estate brokerage related to commercial leasing.
 - Principles of consolidation The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Sports Arenas, Inc. and all subsidiaries and partnerships more than 50 percent owned or in which there is a controlling financial interest (the Company). All material inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated. The minority interests' share of the net loss of partially owned consolidated subsidiaries have been recorded to the extent of the minority interests' contributed capital. The Company uses the equity method of accounting for investments in entities in which its ownership interest gives the Company the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies of the investee. The Company uses the cost method of accounting for investments in which it has virtually no influence over operating and financial policies.
 - Cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents only include highly liquid investments with original maturities of less than 3 months. There were no cash equivalents at June 30, 2001 and 2000.

- Property and equipment Depreciation and amortization are provided on the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which are 20 years for the buildings and range from 3 to 15 years for the other assets.
- Investments The Company's purchase price in March 1975 of the one-half interest in UCV, L.P. exceeded the equity in the book value of net assets of the project at that time by approximately \$1,300,000. The excess was allocated to land and buildings based on their relative fair values. The amount allocated to buildings is being amortized over the remaining useful lives of the buildings and the amortization is included in the Company's depreciation and amortization expense.
- Income taxes The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that includes the enactment date.
- Amortization of intangible assets Deferred loan costs are being amortized over the terms of the loans on the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. Unamortized loan costs related to loans refinanced or paid prior to their contractual maturity are written off.
- Valuation impairment SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" requires that long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows (undiscounted and without interest) expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amounts of the assets exceed the fair values of the assets.
- Concentrations of credit risk Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are the notes receivable described in Note 3.

26

- Fair value of financial instruments The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments where it is practical to estimate that value:
 - Cash, cash equivalents, other receivables, accounts payable, and notes payable-short term the carrying amount reported in the balance sheet approximates the fair value due to their short-term maturities.
 - Note receivable-affiliate It is impractical to estimate the fair value of the note receivable-affiliate due to the related party nature of the instrument.

Long-term debt - the fair value was determined by discounting future cash flows using the Company's current incremental borrowing rate for similar types of borrowing arrangements. The carrying value of long-term debt reported in the balance sheet approximates the fair value.

Use of estimates - Management of the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Loss per share- Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing earnings (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing the amount of income (loss) for the period by each share that would have been outstanding assuming the issuance of common shares for all potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the reporting period. The Company currently has no potentially dilutive securities outstanding. The weighted average shares used for basic and diluted earnings per share computation was 27,250,000 for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2001.

Reclassification- Certain 2000 and 1999 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the presentation used in 2001.

2. Inventories:

Inventories consist of the following:

| | 2001 | 2000 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | |
| Raw materials Work in process | \$ 145,013 200,192 | \$ 105,552 107,317 |
| Finished goods | 297,906 | 118,437 |
| Less valuation allowance | 643,111 (58,000) | 331,306 (26,400) |
| | \$ 585,111 ======= | \$ 304,906 |
| | | |

3. Notes receivable:

(a) Affiliate - The Company made unsecured loans to Harold S. Elkan, the Company's President and, indirectly, the Company's majority shareholder, and recorded interest income of \$28,926, \$38,450, and \$40,990 in 2001, 2000, and 1999, respectively. The loans bear interest at 8 percent per annum and are due in annual installments of interest plus principal payments of \$50,000 due on December 31 of each year until maturity. The balance is due on January 1, 2002.

Elkan's primary source of repayment of unsecured loans from the Company is withholding from compensation received from the Company. Due to the Company's financial condition, there is uncertainty about the Company's ability to continue funding the additional compensation necessary to repay the unsecured loans. Therefore, during the year ended June 30, 1999, the Company recorded a \$390,000 charge to reflect the uncertainty of the collectability of the unsecured loans. This charge was included

in selling, general and administrative expense. The Company also discontinued recording the interest income on the loans except to the extent that balance of the loans remained below \$390,000. As of June 30, 2001, \$4,339 of interest accrued on the loans was unrecorded.

27

(b) Shareholder - In December 1990, the Company loaned \$1,061,009 to the Company's majority shareholder, Andrew Bradley, Inc. (ABI), which is 88% owned by Harold S. Elkan, the Company's President. The loan provided funds to ABI to pay its obligation related to its purchase of the Company's stock in November 1983. The loan to ABI provides for interest to accrue at an annual rate of prime plus 1-1/2 percentage points (8.25 percent at June 30, 2001) and to be added to the principal balance annually. The loan is due in November 2003. The loan is collateralized by 10,900,000 shares of the Company's stock. The original loan amount plus accrued interest of \$1,230,483 is presented as a reduction of shareholders' equity because ABI's only asset is the stock of the Company. The Company recorded interest income from this note of \$104,286 in 1999.

Effective January 1, 1999, the Company discontinued recognizing the accrual of interest income on the note receivable from shareholder. This policy was adopted in recognition that the shareholder's most likely source of funds for repayment of the loan is from sale of the Company's stock or dividends from the Company and that the Company has unresolved liquidity problems. The cumulative amount of interest that accrued but was not recorded was \$620,007 as of June 30, 2001 (\$359,797 as of June 30, 2000).

4. Undeveloped land:

- (a) In August 1984, the Company acquired approximately 500 acres of undeveloped land in Lake of Ozarks, Missouri from an entity controlled by Harold S. Elkan (Elkan). The purchase price approximated the affiliate's original purchase price. On September 7, 1999, the Company sold the land for cash of \$215,000, less selling expenses of \$24,638. As a result of the sale, the Company recorded a provision for impairment loss as of June 30, 1999 of \$90,629 to reduce the carrying value to the net sales proceeds realized.
- (b) RCSA Holdings, Inc. (RCSA), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, owns a 50 percent managing general partnership interest in Old Vail Partners, a general partnership (OVPGP), which owned 33 acres of undeveloped land in Temecula, California. On September 23, 1999, the other partner assigned his partnership interest to Downtown Properties, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (see Note 6c). This land was sold on June 1, 2001.

The carrying value of the property consisted of the following as of June 30, 2000:

| Acres | 33 \$ 2,142,789 1,434,315 333,214 |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Provision for impairment loss | 3,910,318 (2,409,000) |

The 33 acres of land owned by OVPGP was located within a special assessment district of the County of Riverside, California (the County) which was created to fund and develop roadways, sewers, and other required infrastructure improvements in the area necessary for the owners to develop their properties. Property within the assessment district is collateral for an allocated portion of the bonded debt that was issued by the assessment district to fund the improvements. The annual payments (required in semiannual installments) due related to the bonded debt are approximately \$144,000. The payments continue through the year 2014 and include interest at approximately 7-3/4 percent. OVPGP had been delinquent in the payment of property taxes and assessments for over the last eight years. As of June 30, 2000 the property had been subject to default judgments to the County of Riverside, California totaling approximately \$2,132,421 regarding delinquent assessment district payments (\$1,776,243) and property taxes (\$356,178).

The County had scheduled the 33 acres for public sale for the defaulted property taxes on September 27, 1999. OVPGP had unsuccessfully attempted to negotiate a payment plan with the County subject to the successful

28

resolution of the zoning problems with the property described below. On September 23, 1999 OVPGP filed a petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws in the United States Bankruptcy Court. The primary claim affected by this action was the County's secured claim for delinquent taxes and assessment district payments. OVPGP's plan was to use the relief from stay to continue its efforts to negotiate a settlement of the zoning issues described below, and restore the economic value of the property. The bankruptcy proceeding was dismissed on February 15, 2000 with the concurrence of OVPGP. This dismissal allowed the County of Riverside to proceed with a public sale of the property within 45 days after giving notice. On June 23, 2000, the County of Riverside agreed to remove the property from the planned public sale originally scheduled for June 26, 2000 in exchange for an immediate payment of \$330,000 with the balance of property taxes due on December 29, 2000. Separately, the County of Riverside stated that a foreclosure sale related to the default judgement for assessment district payments would not be scheduled until some time after January 1, 2001. On January 19, 2001, the County of Riverside agreed to extend the due date to March 30, 2001 with three options to extend the due date to August 1, 2001. Each extension option requires a payment of \$25,000. Payments were made to extend the agreement to May 31, 2001.

As a result of the County's judgements for defaulted taxes the Company recorded a \$2,409,000 provision for impairment loss during the year ended June 30, 1997 to reduce the carrying value on the 33-acre parcel to its estimated fair market value related to the City of Temecula's effective down-zoning of the property. The estimated fair market value was determined based on cash flow projections and comparable sales.

The following is summarized balance sheet information of OVPGP included in the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2001 and 2000:

| | 2001 | 2000 |
|------------------|------|-------------|
| | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Undeveloped land | | \$1,501,318 |

Liabilities:

Assessment district obligation-in default -- 2,831,180
Accrued property taxes -- 356,178

The delinquent principal, interest and penalties (\$1,776,243) and the remaining principal balance of the allocated portion of the assessment district bonds (\$1,054,937) were classified as "Assessment district obligation— in default" in the consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2000. In addition, accrued property taxes in the balance sheet at June 30, 2000 includes \$356,178 of delinquent property taxes and late fees related to the 33-acre parcel.

In November 1993, the City of Temecula adopted a general development plan that designated the property owned by OVPGP as suitable for "professional office" use, which is contrary to its zoning as "commerci