

SANMINA-SCI CORP
Form 10-Q
April 30, 2010

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark one)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 3, 2010

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 0-21272

Sanmina-SCI Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

77-0228183
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

2700 N. First St., San Jose, CA
(Address of principal executive
offices)

95134
(Zip Code)

(408) 964-3500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting

company o

(Do not check if a
smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No x

As of April 28, 2010, there were 79,500,969 shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock, \$0.01 par value per share.

SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION

INDEX

	Page	
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION		
Item 1.	Interim Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	36
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	37
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	38
Item 1A.	Risk Factors Affecting Operating Results	39
Item 5.	Other Information	52
Item 6.	Exhibits	53
Signatures		54

SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	As of	
	April 3, 2010	October 3, 2009
	(Unaudited)	
	(In thousands)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 672,962	\$ 899,151
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$15,239 and \$13,422, respectively	819,359	668,474
Inventories	815,652	761,391
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	80,941	78,128
Assets held for sale	70,610	68,902
Total current assets	2,459,524	2,476,046
Property, plant and equipment, net	539,322	543,497
Other	84,882	104,354
Total assets	\$ 3,083,728	\$ 3,123,897
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 884,618	\$ 780,876
Accrued liabilities	111,554	140,926
Accrued payroll and related benefits	107,805	98,408
Current portion of long-term debt	—	175,700
Total current liabilities	1,103,977	1,195,910
Long-term liabilities:		
Long-term debt	1,261,340	1,262,014
Other (1)	113,953	146,903
Total long-term liabilities	1,375,293	1,408,917
Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)		
Stockholders' equity (1)	604,458	519,070
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 3,083,728	\$ 3,123,897

See accompanying notes.

(1) Amounts as of October 3, 2009 have been revised (see Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Net sales	\$ 1,527,451	\$ 1,195,107	\$ 3,005,753	\$ 2,614,371
Cost of sales	1,409,974	1,126,517	2,778,589	2,461,983
Gross profit	117,477	68,590	227,164	152,388
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	63,557	57,055	125,972	120,042
Research and development	3,252	4,720	6,350	8,912
Amortization of intangible assets	1,059	1,023	2,237	2,673
Restructuring and integration costs	3,871	15,574	7,209	24,809
Asset impairment	500	3,384	500	7,182
Total operating expenses	72,239	81,756	142,268	163,618
Operating income (loss)	45,238	(13,166)	84,896	(11,230)
Interest income	597	1,829	978	5,279
Interest expense	(26,580)	(28,112)	(53,357)	(57,295)
Other income, net	120	4,923	39,775	5,476
Interest and other income, net	(25,863)	(21,360)	(12,604)	(46,540)
Income (loss) before income taxes	19,375	(34,526)	72,292	(57,770)
Provision for income taxes (1)	9,284	3,412	2,819	5,841
Net income (loss)	\$ 10,091	\$ (37,938)	\$ 69,473	\$ (63,611)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.13	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.88	\$ (0.74)
Diluted	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.74)
Weighted average shares used in computing per share amounts:				
Basic	79,001	83,453	78,808	85,410
Diluted	82,782	83,453	81,773	85,410

See accompanying notes.

(1) Amounts for the three and six months ended March 28, 2009 have been revised (see Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(Unaudited)	
	(In thousands)	
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss) (1)	\$ 69,473	\$ (63,611)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	42,671	44,781
Stock-based compensation expense	10,004	8,488
Non-cash restructuring costs	1,725	1,770
Provision (benefit) for doubtful accounts, product returns and other net sales adjustments	737	(1,141)
Deferred income taxes	(173)	2,899
Asset impairment	500	8,182
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	828	(13,490)
Other, net	(4,478)	(585)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	(154,117)	266,942
Inventories	(54,966)	96,996
Prepaid expenses and other assets	7,819	26,785
Accounts payable	102,391	(209,319)
Accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities (1)	(41,584)	(82,500)
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(19,170)	86,197
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Net purchases of long-term investments	—	(200)
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(35,664)	(44,691)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	779	588
Net cash paid in connection with business combinations	(2,293)	—
Cash used in investing activities	(37,178)	(44,303)
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Change in restricted cash	2,784	(25,380)
Repayments of long-term debt	(175,700)	(19,597)
Proceeds from issuances of common stock, net	2,105	—
Repurchases of common stock	—	(19,196)
Cash used in financing activities	(170,811)	(64,173)
Effect of exchange rate changes	970	3,975
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(226,189)	(18,304)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	899,151	869,801
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 672,962	\$ 851,497
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 48,693	\$ 53,724
Income taxes (excludes refunds of \$1.7 million and \$1.8 million for the six months	\$ 22,754	\$ 16,575

ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, respectively)

See accompanying notes.

(1) Amounts for the six months ended March 28, 2009 have been revised (see Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

5

SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of Sanmina-SCI Corporation (“the Company”) have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) have been omitted pursuant to those rules or regulations. The interim condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited, but reflect all normal recurring and non-recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended October 3, 2009, included in the Company’s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Results of operations for the six months ended April 3, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full fiscal year.

The Company operates on a 52 or 53-week year ending on the Saturday nearest September 30. Fiscal 2010 will be a 52-week year, whereas fiscal 2009 was a 53-week year, with the extra week in the fourth fiscal quarter. All references to years relate to fiscal years unless otherwise noted.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a reverse split of the Company’s common stock at a ratio of one-for-six, effective August 14, 2009. All previously reported share and per share amounts have been restated in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes to reflect the reverse stock split.

Correction of an Immaterial Error

During the three months ended April 3, 2010, the Company corrected an error in the amount of \$5.5 million to increase the estimated loss for certain product development design arrangements. The adjustment to estimated losses for these arrangements should have been recognized during the three months ended January 2, 2010. The impact of correcting the error resulted in an increase to cost of sales of \$5.5 million, a reduction to gross margin of \$5.5 million, and a reduction to net income of \$4.5 million during the three months ended April 3, 2010. There was no impact for the six months ended April 3, 2010.

Based upon an evaluation of all relevant quantitative and qualitative factors, and after considering the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, “Interim Financial Reporting” (ASC 270, Accounting Changes in Interim Periods) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 154, “Accounting Changes and Error Corrections—a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3” (ASC 250, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections), that incorporates SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 99, Materiality, and SAB No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial

Statements, the Company does not believe the impact of this error is material to its financial statements for the three months ended January 2, 2010 or April 3, 2010. Additionally, there is no impact to the year to date 2010 consolidated financial results. As a result of this assessment, the error was corrected in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements during the second quarter of 2010.

Revision of Prior Period Financial Statements

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company identified errors in the amount of \$17.7 million, including penalties, related to an unrecorded tax position at one of its foreign subsidiaries. These errors primarily affected the Company's 2005 financial statements. Additionally, unrecorded interest expense resulting from the errors for the period from 2006 through 2009 was \$6.4 million. The Company concluded that these errors were not material to any of its prior period financial statements under the guidance of SAB No. 99, "Materiality". Although the errors were and continue to be immaterial to prior periods, because of the significance of the out-of-period correction in the first quarter of 2010, the Company applied the guidance of SAB No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements", and revised its prior period financial statements.

As a result of the revisions, long-term liabilities were increased and stockholders' equity was decreased by \$24.1 million as of October 3, 2009. Additionally, the provision for income taxes for the three and six months ended March 28, 2009 was increased by \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 166 (SFAS No. 166), "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets an amendment to FASB Statement No. 140" (ASC Topic 860, Transfer and Pricing). SFAS No. 166 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE"), creates more stringent conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of financial assets as a sale, clarifies other sale-accounting criteria, and changes the initial measurement of a transferor's interest in transferred financial assets. SFAS No. 166 will be effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2011. The Company currently uses a QSPE in conjunction with sales of accounts receivable from customers in the United States. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 166, the Company will be required to consolidate the QSPE if it is still in existence. The Company plans to implement an accounts receivable sales program that does not require use of a QSPE prior to adoption of this standard.

Note 2. Inventories

Components of inventories were as follows:

	As of	
	April 3, 2010	October 3, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Raw materials	\$ 541,144	\$ 500,666
Work-in-process	131,442	118,531
Finished goods	143,066	142,194
Total	\$ 815,652	\$ 761,391

Note 3. Fair Value

Fair Value Option for Long-term Debt

The Company has elected not to record its long-term debt instruments at fair value, but has measured them at fair value for disclosure purposes. The estimated fair values of the Company's long-term debt instruments, based on quoted market prices as of April 3, 2010, were as follows:

	Fair Value	Carrying Amount
	(In thousands)	
6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 ("6.75% Notes")	\$ 398,000	\$ 400,000
\$300 Million Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2014 ("2014 Notes")	\$ 241,965	\$ 257,410
8.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016	\$ 601,500	\$ 600,000

Assets/Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The Company's primary financial assets and financial liabilities are as follows:

- Money market funds
- Time deposits
- Foreign currency forward contracts
- Interest rate swaps

SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures), defines fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required to be recorded at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and also considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability.

Inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value are prioritized into three broad levels, as follows:

- Level 1: Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Inputs that reflect quoted prices, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, that are observable for the assets or liabilities, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in less active markets; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of assets or liabilities.

The following table presents information as of April 3, 2010 with respect to assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Fair Value Measurements Using Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3	Cash and cash equivalents	Presentation in the Consolidated Balance Sheet				(1) Other long-term liabilities
			Prepaid expenses and other current assets	Other assets	(1) Accrued liabilities		
(In thousands)							
Assets:							
Money Market Funds	Level 1	\$ 151,354	\$	—\$	—\$	—\$	—
Time Deposits	Level 1	71,573		—	—	—	—
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Foreign Currency Forward Contracts	Level 2		—	2,546	—	—	—
Total assets measured at fair value		\$ 222,927	\$	2,546	\$	—\$	—\$
Liabilities:							
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Interest Rate Swaps	Level 2	\$	—\$	—\$	—\$	—\$	(31,094)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Foreign Currency Forward Contracts	Level 2		—	—	—	(752)	—
Total liabilities measured at fair value		\$	—\$	—\$	—\$	(752)	\$ (31,094)

(1) Liabilities, or credit balances, are presented as negative amounts.

The following table presents information as of October 3, 2009 with respect to assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Fair Value Measurements Using Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3	Cash and cash equivalents	Presentation in the Consolidated Balance Sheet				(1) Other long-term liabilities
			Prepaid expenses and other current assets	Other assets	(1) Accrued liabilities		
(In thousands)							
Assets:							
Money Market Funds	Level 1	\$ 432,900	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—
Mutual Funds	Level 2	—	—	1,245	—	—	—
Time Deposits	Level 1	110,121	—	—	—	—	—
Corporate Bonds — Foreign Real Estate	Level 2	—	—	2,875	—	—	—
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Foreign Currency Forward Contracts	Level 2	—	2,970	—	—	—	—
Total assets measured at fair value		\$ 543,021	\$ 2,970	\$ 4,120	\$ —	\$ —	—
Liabilities:							
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Interest Rate Swaps	Level 2	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	(33,567)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Foreign Currency Forward Contracts and interest rate swaps	Level 2	—	—	—	—	(5,829)	(6,071)
Total liabilities measured at fair value		\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	(5,829)	\$ (39,638)

(1) Liabilities, or credit balances, are presented as negative amounts.

The Company sponsors deferred compensation plans for eligible employees and non-employee members of its Board of Directors that allow participants to defer payment of part or all of their compensation. The Company's results of operations are not significantly affected by these plans since changes in the fair value of the assets substantially offset changes in the fair value of the liabilities. As such, assets and liabilities associated with these plans have not been included in the above table. Assets and liabilities associated with these plans of approximately \$11.0 million as of April 3, 2010 and \$9.7 million as of October 3, 2009 are recorded as other non-current assets and other long-term liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The Company values derivatives using the income approach, observable Level 2 market expectations at the measurement date, and standard valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present value amount assuming that participants are motivated, but not compelled to transact. The Company seeks high quality counterparties for all its financing arrangements. For interest rate swaps, Level 2 inputs include futures contracts on LIBOR for the first three years, swap rates beyond three years at commonly quoted intervals, and credit default swap rates for the Company and relevant counterparties. For currency contracts, Level 2 inputs include foreign currency spot and forward rates, interest rates and credit default swap rates at commonly quoted intervals. Mid-market pricing is used as a practical expedient for fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 (ASC Topic 820) requires the fair value measurement of an asset or liability to reflect the nonperformance risk of the entity and the counterparty. Therefore, the counterparty's creditworthiness when in an asset position and the Company's creditworthiness when in a liability position has been considered in the fair value measurement of derivative instruments. The effect of nonperformance risk on the fair value of derivative instruments was not material as of April 3, 2010 or October 3, 2009.

Non-Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The Company measures assets held-for-sale at fair value on a nonrecurring basis since these assets are subject to fair value adjustments only when the carrying amount of such assets exceeds the fair value of such assets or such assets have been previously impaired and the fair value exceeds the carrying amount by less than the amount of the impairment that has been recognized. Level 2 inputs consist of independent third party valuations based on market comparables. As of April 3, 2010, a long-lived asset held for sale with a carrying amount of \$4.2 million was written down to its fair value of \$3.7 million, resulting in an impairment loss of \$0.5 million in the current period.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is exposed to certain risks related to its ongoing business operations. The primary risks managed by using derivative instruments are interest rate risk and foreign exchange rate risk.

Interest rate swaps are entered into on occasion to manage interest rate risk associated with the Company's borrowings. The Company has \$257.4 million of floating rate notes outstanding as of April 3, 2010 and has entered into interest rate swap agreements with two independent swap counterparties to hedge its interest rate exposure. The swap agreements, with an aggregate notional amount of \$257 million and expiration dates in 2014, effectively convert the variable interest rate obligation to a fixed interest rate obligation and are accounted for as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging Instruments" (ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging). Under terms of the swap agreements, the Company pays the independent swap counterparties a fixed rate of 5.594% and, in exchange, the swap counterparties pay the Company an interest rate equal to the three-month LIBOR. These swap agreements effectively fix the interest rate at 8.344% through maturity in 2014.

Forward contracts on various foreign currencies are entered into monthly to manage foreign currency risk associated with forecasted foreign currency transactions and certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies.

The Company's primary foreign currency cash flows are in certain Asian and European countries, Brazil and Mexico. The Company utilizes foreign currency forward contracts to hedge certain operational ("cash flow") exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Such exposures result from forecasted sales denominated in currencies different from those for cost of sales and other expenses. These contracts are typically one month in duration and are accounted for as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133 (ASC Topic 815).

The Company also enters into short-term foreign currency forward contracts to hedge currency exposures associated with certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts have maturities of one month and are not designated as accounting hedges under SFAS No. 133 (ASC Topic 815). Accordingly, these contracts are marked-to-market at the end of each period with unrealized gains and losses recorded in other income, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, the Company recorded gains of \$11.3 million and \$13.3 million, respectively, associated with these forward contracts, which substantially offset losses on the underlying hedged items. For the three and six months ended March 28, 2009, the Company recorded a loss of \$5.5 million and a gain of \$13.2 million, respectively, associated with these forward contracts.

The Company had the following outstanding foreign currency forward contracts that were entered into to hedge foreign currency exposures:

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts	Number of Contracts	As of April 3, 2010		Number of Contracts	As of October 3, 2009	
		Notional Amount (USD in thousands)			Notional Amount (USD in thousands)	
		Designated	Non-designated		Designated	Non-designated
Buy MYR (Malaysian Ringgit)	3	\$3,495	\$ 2,299	3	\$2,647	\$ 1,964
Buy HUF (Hungarian Forint)	3	2,288	1,523	4	2,361	4,045
Buy THB (Thailand Baht)	1	—	2,584	2	1,675	831
Buy SGD (Singapore Dollar)	3	5,078	70,480	3	4,685	69,848
Buy MXN (Mexican Peso)	5	10,761	18,433	3	7,514	10,447
Buy ILS (Israel New Shekel)	6	6,529	9,635	5	5,465	7,241
Buy INR (Indian Rupee)	2	1,860	12,230	1	—	3,805
Buy CAD (Canadian Dollar)	2	—	2,061	2	—	2,702
Buy HKD (Hong Kong Dollar)	1	—	799	1	—	2,633
Buy JPY (Japanese Yen)	2	—	7,849	2	—	8,648
Buy SEK (Sweden Krona)	2	—	37,999	1	—	33,257
Sell EUR (Euro)	5	3,378	184,041	5	3,943	184,843
Sell HUF (Hungarian Forint)	1	—	4,198	1	—	5,031
Sell BRL (Brazilian Real)	1	—	8,183	1	—	8,524
Sell CNY (Chinese Renminbi)	1	—	16,408	2	3,780	8,643
Sell GBP (Great British Pound)	1	—	3,783	1	—	1,757
Sell CAD (Canadian Dollar)	1	—	22,381	—	—	—
Total notional amount		\$33,389	\$ 404,886		\$32,070	\$ 354,219

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), an equity account, and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current earnings and were not material for the three or six months ended April 3, 2010. As of April 3, 2010, AOCI related to foreign currency forward contracts was not material and AOCI related to interest rate swaps was a loss of \$30.6 million, of which \$12.7 million is expected to be amortized to interest expense over the next 12 months.

The following table presents the effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended April 3, 2010:

Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) (In thousands)	Location of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) (In thousands)
Interest rate swaps	\$ (3,998)	Interest expense	\$ (3,458)
Foreign currency forward contracts	378	Cost of sales	388
Total	\$ (3,620)		\$ (3,070)

The following table presents the effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 28, 2009:

Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) (In thousands)	Location of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) (In thousands)
Interest rate swaps	\$ 12,848	Interest expense	\$ (2,612)
Foreign currency forward contracts	(1,052)	Cost of sales	(981)
Total	\$ 11,796		\$ (3,593)

The following table presents the effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended April 3, 2010:

Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) (In thousands)	Location of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) (In thousands)
Interest rate swaps	\$ (3,461)	Interest expense	\$ (6,585)
Foreign currency forward contracts	670	Cost of sales	783
Total	\$ (2,791)		\$ (5,802)

The following table presents the effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended March 28, 2009:

Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) (In thousands)	Location of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) (In thousands)
Interest rate swaps	\$ (18,340)	Interest expense	\$ (4,605)
Foreign currency forward contracts	(5,739)	Cost of sales	(5,676)
Total	\$ (24,079)		\$ (10,281)

Note 4. Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

	As of	
	April 3, 2010	October 3, 2009
	(In thousands)	
\$300 Million Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2010 ("2010 Notes")	\$ —	\$ 175,700
6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 ("6.75% Notes")	400,000	400,000
\$300 Million Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2014 ("2014 Notes")	257,410	257,410
8.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016	600,000	600,000
Unamortized Interest Rate Swaps	3,930	4,604
Total	1,261,340	1,437,714
Less: current portion (2010 Notes)		— (175,700)
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,261,340	\$ 1,262,014

On November 16, 2009, the Company redeemed all outstanding 2010 Notes in the amount of \$175.7 million at par. The notes were redeemed prior to maturity resulting in a loss upon redemption of \$0.8 million due to a write-off of related unamortized debt issuance costs.

The Company is currently subject to covenants that, among other things, place certain limitations on the Company's ability to incur additional debt, make investments, pay dividends, and sell assets. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of April 3, 2010.

Asset-backed Lending Facility. On November 19, 2008, the Company entered into a Loan, Guaranty and Security Agreement (the "Loan Agreement"), among the Company, the financial institutions party thereto from time to time as lenders, and Bank of America, N.A., as agent for such lenders, to replace a senior credit facility which was terminated in the first quarter of 2009.

The Loan Agreement provides for a \$135 million secured asset-backed revolving credit facility, subject to a reduction of between \$25 million and \$50 million depending on the Company's borrowing availability, with an initial \$50 million letter of credit sublimit. The facility may be increased by an additional \$200 million upon obtaining additional commitments from the lenders then party to the Loan Agreement or new lenders. The Loan Agreement expires on the earlier of (i) the date that is 90 days prior to the maturity date of the 6.75% Notes if such notes are not repaid, redeemed, defeased, refinanced or reserved for under the borrowing base under the Loan Agreement prior to such date or (ii) November 19, 2013 (the "Maturity Date"). As of April 3, 2010, there were no loans and \$26.5 million in letters of credit outstanding under the Loan Agreement. On April 6, 2010, commitments were received from two lending banks, increasing the facility to \$235 million.

On April 15, 2010, one of the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries in China entered into a \$50 million unsecured working capital loan facility with a Chinese bank. The facility bears interest at a rate equal to the three month Euro LIBOR plus a spread and expires in one year. The loan agreement contains certain negative covenants that, upon default, permit the bank to deny any further advances or extension of credit or to terminate the loan agreement. As of April 29, 2010, \$20 million had been borrowed under this facility and was outstanding.

Note 5. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation and other contingencies. From time to time, the Company is a party to litigation, claims and other contingencies, including environmental matters and examinations and investigations by governmental agencies, which arise in the ordinary course of business. The Company records a contingent liability when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of loss is reasonably estimable in accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies" (ASC Topic 450, Contingencies), or other applicable accounting standards. As of April 3, 2010, the Company had reserves of \$23.8 million for these matters, which the Company believes is adequate. Such reserves are included in accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company received \$35.6 million of cash in connection with a litigation settlement. This amount has been recognized in earnings and is included in other income, net on the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

Warranty Reserve. The following table presents information with respect to the warranty reserve, which is included in accrued liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets:

	As of	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Beginning balance – end of prior year	\$ 15,716	\$ 18,974
Additions to accrual	9,071	6,237
Utilization of accrual	(7,025)	(8,752)
Ending balance – current quarter	\$ 17,762	\$ 16,459

Operating Leases. The Company leases certain of its facilities and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through 2036. The Company is responsible for utilities, maintenance, insurance and property taxes under these leases. Future minimum lease payments, net of sublease income, under operating leases are as follows:

	(In thousands)
Remainder of 2010	\$ 13,340
2011	22,264
2012	13,095
2013	8,250
2014	4,585
Thereafter	15,277
Total	\$ 76,811

Note 6. Income Tax

Various factors affect the provision for income tax expense, including the geographic composition of pre-tax income (loss), expected annual pre-tax income (loss), implementation of tax planning strategies and possible outcomes of audits and other uncertain tax positions. Management carefully monitors these factors and timely adjusts the interim income tax rate accordingly.

As of April 3, 2010, the Company had a long-term liability of \$45.6 million, including interest, for net unrecognized tax benefits. This amount, if recognized, would result in a reduction of the Company's effective tax rate. During the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, the Company's liability increased \$2.3 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, for current year positions and interest and decreased \$0.9 million and \$13.3 million, respectively, for prior year positions primarily due to favorable conclusions with foreign tax authorities. The Company's policy is to classify interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense. It is reasonably possible that net unrecognized tax benefits as of April 3, 2010 could significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months based on final determinations by taxing authorities and resolution of any disputes by the Company; however, such changes cannot be reasonably estimated.

In general, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or state income tax examinations for years before 2004, except to the extent that tax attributes in these years were carried forward to years remaining open for audit, and to examinations for years prior to 2002 in its major foreign jurisdictions.

Note 7. Restructuring Costs

Costs associated with restructuring activities, other than those activities related to business combinations, are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" (ASC Topic 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations), or SFAS No. 112, "Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits" (ASC Topic 712, Compensation - Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits), as applicable. Pursuant to SFAS No. 112 (ASC Topic 712), restructuring costs related to employee severance are recorded when probable and estimable based on the Company's policy with respect to severance payments. For all other restructuring costs, a liability is recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 146 (ASC Topic 420) only when incurred.

2009 Restructuring Plan

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company initiated a restructuring plan as a result of a slowdown in the global electronics industry and worldwide economy. The plan was designed to improve capacity utilization levels and reduce costs by consolidating manufacturing and other activities in locations with higher efficiencies and lower costs. Costs associated with this plan include employee severance, costs related to facilities and equipment that are no longer in use, and other costs associated with the exit of certain contractual arrangements due to facility closures. All actions under this plan were initiated and substantially completed in 2009 and costs for this plan are expected to be in the range of \$50 million to \$55 million, of which \$44 million had been incurred as of April 3, 2010. Below is a summary of restructuring costs associated with facility closures and other consolidation efforts implemented under this plan:

	Employee Termination Severance and Related Benefits Cash	Leases and Facilities Shutdown and Consolidation Costs Cash	Impairment of Assets or Redundant Assets Non-Cash	Total
(In thousands)				
Balance at October 3, 2009	\$ 5,580	\$ 2,141	\$ —	\$ 7,721
Charges to operations	140	1,739	206	2,085
Charges utilized	(2,568)	(2,280)	(206)	(5,054)
Reversal of accrual	(404)	—	—	(404)
Balance at January 2, 2010	2,748	1,600	—	4,348
Charges to operations	454	2,274	145	2,873
Charges utilized	(1,648)	(2,842)	(145)	(4,635)
Reversal of accrual	(1,214)	—	—	(1,214)
Balance at April 3, 2010	\$ 340	\$ 1,032	\$ —	\$ 1,372

During the second quarter of 2010, \$1.2 million of severance accrual was reversed due to fewer employees being terminated than initially expected.

Restructuring Plans — Prior to 2009

Below is a summary of restructuring costs associated with facility closures and other consolidation efforts that were initiated prior to 2009:

	Employee Termination Severance and Related Benefits Cash	Leases and Facilities Shutdown and Consolidation Costs Cash	Impairment of Assets or Redundant Assets Non-Cash	Total
(In thousands)				
Balance at October 3, 2009	\$ 5,175	\$ 1,504	\$ —	\$ 6,679
Charges to operations	479	384	1,094	1,957
Charges utilized	(1,057)	(784)	(1,094)	(2,935)
Reversal of accrual	(280)	(20)	—	(300)
Balance at January 2, 2010	4,317	1,084	—	5,401
Charges to operations	1,078	1,066	280	2,424
Charges utilized	(1,765)	(1,528)	(280)	(3,573)
Reversal of accrual	(175)	(37)	—	(212)
Balance at April 3, 2010	\$ 3,455	\$ 585	\$ —	\$ 4,040

For the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, the Company recorded restructuring charges for employee termination costs for approximately 10 and 70 terminated employees, respectively.

All Restructuring Plans

In connection with all of the Company's restructuring plans, restructuring costs of \$5.4 million were accrued as of April 3, 2010. The Company expects to pay the majority of these costs during the remainder of 2010.

Note 8. Earnings Per Share

Basic and diluted amounts per share are calculated by dividing net income or loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period, as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Numerator:				
Net income (loss)	\$ 10,091	\$ (37,938)	\$ 69,473	\$ (63,611)
Denominator:				
Weighted average number of shares				
—basic	79,001	83,453	78,808	85,410
—diluted	82,782	83,453	81,773	85,410
Net income (loss) per share:				
—basic	\$ 0.13	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.88	\$ (0.74)
—diluted	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.74)

The following table presents weighted-average dilutive securities that were excluded from the above calculation because their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Dilutive securities:				
Employee stock options	5,369	8,312	6,105	7,832
Restricted stock awards and units	4	453	9	544
Total anti-dilutive shares	5,373	8,765	6,114	8,376

Securities are anti-dilutive either because the exercise price was higher than the Company's stock price, the application of the treasury stock method resulted in an anti-dilutive effect or the Company incurred a net loss.

Note 9. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax as applicable, was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Net income (loss)	\$ 10,091	\$ (37,938)	\$ 69,473	\$ (63,611)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	2,537	(775)	1,069	(7,514)
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments	(550)	15,389	3,011	(13,798)
Minimum pension liability	(199)	(462)	(273)	(1,554)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 11,879	\$ (23,786)	\$ 73,280	\$ (86,477)

The net unrealized gain (loss) on derivative financial instruments is primarily attributable to changes in the fair market value of the Company's liability under its interest rate swaps. The fair market value of the interest rate swaps changes primarily as a result of movements in LIBOR.

Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax as applicable, consisted of the following:

	As of	
	April 3, 2010	October 3, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ 94,917	\$ 93,848
Unrealized holding losses on derivative financial instruments	(30,578)	(33,589)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss and unrecognized transition cost related to pension plans	(8,182)	(7,909)
Total	\$ 56,157	\$ 52,350

Note 10. Business Segment, Geographic and Customer Information

SFAS No. 131, "Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" (ASC Topic 280, Segment Reporting), establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments, products and services, geographic areas of operations and major customers. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker or decision making group in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company operates in one operating segment.

Geographic information is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Net sales				
Domestic	\$ 343,908	\$ 285,781	\$ 647,097	\$ 625,264
Mexico	316,031	235,855	622,642	564,034
China	443,693	254,412	862,255	495,710
Singapore	*	*	*	271,683
Other international	423,819	419,059	873,759	657,680
Total	\$ 1,527,451	\$ 1,195,107	\$ 3,005,753	\$ 2,614,371
Operating income (loss)				
Domestic	\$ (38,568)	\$ (32,764)	\$ (50,754)	\$ (51,903)
International	83,806	19,598	135,650	40,673
Total	\$ 45,238	\$ (13,166)	\$ 84,896	\$ (11,230)

* Included in "Other international" since amount is less than 10% of the Company's total net sales in these periods.

Net sales and operating loss for three and six months ended March 28, 2009 have been adjusted to conform to the current presentation.

Sales are attributable to the country in which the product is manufactured. Except for those countries noted above, no other foreign country's sales exceeded 10% of the Company's total net sales for the three or six months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, respectively. Additionally, one customer represented 13.0% and 12.5% of the Company's net sales during the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, respectively. No customer represented more than 10% of the Company's net sales during the three or six months ended March 28, 2009.

Note 11. Stock-Based Compensation

Stock compensation expense by function and type of instrument was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Cost of sales	\$ 2,040	\$ 2,000	\$ 4,106	\$ 3,865
Selling, general and administrative	3,208	2,237	5,695	4,449
Research and development	104	89	203	174
Total	\$ 5,352	\$ 4,326	\$ 10,004	\$ 8,488

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Stock options	\$ 3,565	\$ 2,482	\$ 6,692	\$ 4,949
Restricted stock awards	216	43	230	227
Restricted stock units	1,571	1,801	3,082	3,312
Total	\$ 5,352	\$ 4,326	\$ 10,004	\$ 8,488

As of April 3, 2010, an aggregate of 16.5 million shares were authorized for future issuance and 3.6 million shares of common stock were available for grant under the Company's stock plans, which include stock options and restricted stock awards and units.

Stock Options

Assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock options granted were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
Volatility	81.6%	73.0%	81.3%	78.5%
Risk-free interest rate	2.4%	1.7%	2.4%	2.2%
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%
Expected life of options	5.0 years	5.0 years	5.0 years	5.0 years

Stock option activity in 2010 was as follows:

	Number of Shares (In thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price (\$)	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value of In-The-Money Options (\$) (In thousands)
Outstanding, October 3, 2009	11,106	16.00	8.11	26,008
Granted	1,141	8.86		
Exercised/Cancelled/Forfeited/Expired	(189)	30.94		
Outstanding, January 2, 2010	12,058	15.09	8.07	30,216
Granted	58	14.43		
Exercised/Cancelled/Forfeited/Expired	(676)	29.62		
Outstanding, April 3, 2010	11,440	14.22	7.92	79,531
Vested and expected to vest, April 3, 2010	9,942	15.22	7.77	65,158
Exercisable, April 3, 2010	4,442	24.64	6.38	12,367

The weighted-average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the three and six months ended April 3, 2010 was \$9.51 and \$5.99, respectively. The weighted-average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the three and six months ended March 28, 2009 was \$1.08 and \$1.56, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value of in-the-money options that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options at the Company's closing stock price on the date indicated.

As of April 3, 2010, unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options was \$27.8 million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.9 years.

Restricted Stock Units

The Company grants restricted stock units to executive officers, directors and certain management employees. These units vest over periods ranging from one to four years and are automatically exchanged for shares of common stock at the vesting date. Compensation expense associated with these units is recognized ratably over the vesting period.

As of April 3, 2010, unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock units was \$8.3 million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.2 years.

Activity with respect to the Company's non-vested restricted stock units was as follows:

	Number of Shares (In thousands)	Weighted- Grant Date Fair Value (\$)	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$) (In thousands)
Non-vested restricted stock units at October 3, 2009	737	16.17	0.41	6,494
Granted	857	8.82		
Vested /Cancelled	(20)	13.89		
Non-vested restricted stock units at January 2, 2010	1,574	12.20	1.63	14,493
Granted	77	15.92		
Vested /Cancelled	(576)	13.13		
Non-vested restricted stock units at April 3, 2010	1,075	11.96	2.16	17,809
Non-vested restricted stock units expected to vest at April 3, 2010	828	11.96	2.16	13,713

Note 12. Sales of Accounts Receivable

On June 26, 2008, the Company entered into a two-year global revolving trade receivables purchase agreement ("Global Receivables Program") with a financial institution that allows the Company to sell accounts receivable. The maximum face amount of accounts receivable that may be outstanding at any time under this agreement is \$250 million. The purchase price for receivables sold under this program ranges from 95% to 100% of the face amount. The Company pays LIBOR plus a spread for the period from the date a receivable is sold to the date the receivable is collected. Sold receivables are subject to certain limited recourse provisions. The Company continues to service, administer and collect sold receivables on behalf of the purchaser in exchange for a servicing fee.

The Global Receivables Program has a foreign component and a U.S. component. The foreign component is governed by a Revolving Trade Receivables Purchase Agreement ("Foreign Facility") dated June 26, 2008. The U.S. component is governed by a Credit and Security Agreement dated November 24, 2008 that requires the Company to make an absolute transfer of accounts receivable to a special purpose entity (Borrower) to ensure that such transferred receivables are unavailable to the Company's creditors and to ensure the interests of such transferred receivables are fully transferred to the Borrower and its agent. The Borrower is a qualifying special purpose entity as defined in SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" (ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Services), and accordingly, the Company does not consolidate this entity pursuant to FASB Interpretation No. 46R, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" (ASC Topic 810, Consolidation). For the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, the Company sold \$37.4 million and \$60.8 million, respectively, under these programs, for which the Company received proceeds of \$35.5 million and \$57.7 million, respectively. For the three and six months ended March 28, 2009, the Company sold \$42.4 million under these programs, for which the Company received proceeds of \$40.2 million. As of April 3, 2010, \$37.4 million of sold receivables were outstanding. The Global Receivables Program will expire on June 26, 2010.

In accordance with SFAS No. 140 (ASC Topic 860), accounts receivable sold are removed from the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets and the proceeds from such sales are included as cash provided by operating activities in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

Note 13. Subsequent Events

On April 26, 2010, the Company executed a definitive agreement to acquire BreconRidge Corporation, an innovative design, engineering and manufacturing services provider for RF/microwave and micro/opto-electronic products that service the networking/communications, medical, industrial, aerospace and defense markets. The agreement is subject to certain customary closing conditions and is expected to close in approximately 30 days.

The purchase price is expected to be approximately \$34 million in cash, of which half will be paid upon closing and the remainder will be paid within 18 months of closing. Additionally, the Company will assume approximately \$20 million of BreconRidge Corporation's debt upon closing.

A significant portion of our manufacturing is performed in international locations. Sales derived from products manufactured in international operations during the three months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009 were 77.5% and 76.1%, respectively, of our total net sales. During the six months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, 78.5% and 76.1%, respectively, of our total net sales were derived from non-U.S. operations. The concentration of international operations has resulted from a desire on the part of many of our customers to source production in lower cost locations such as Asia and Latin America. We expect this trend to continue.

Historically, we have had substantial recurring sales to existing customers. We generally do not obtain firm, long-term commitments from our customers. Orders are placed by our customers using purchase orders, some of which are governed by supply agreements. These agreements generally have terms ranging from three to five years and cover the manufacture of a range of products. Under these agreements, a customer typically agrees to purchase its requirements for particular products in particular geographic areas from us. These agreements generally do not obligate the customer to purchase minimum quantities of products.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We review the accounting policies used in reporting our financial results on a regular basis. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, net sales and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate the process used to develop estimates for certain reserves and contingent liabilities, including those related to product returns, accounts receivable, inventories, investments, intangible assets, income taxes, warranty obligations, environmental matters, restructuring, contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Our actual results may differ materially from these estimates.

For a complete description of our critical accounting policies and estimates, refer to our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 1, 2009.

Results of Operations

Key operating results

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Net sales	\$ 1,527,451	\$ 1,195,107	\$ 3,005,753	\$ 2,614,371
Gross profit	\$ 117,477	\$ 68,590	\$ 227,164	\$ 152,388
Operating income (loss)	\$ 45,238	\$ (13,166)	\$ 84,896	\$ (11,230)
Net income (loss)	\$ 10,091	\$ (37,938)	\$ 69,473	\$ (63,611)

Net income (loss) includes restructuring and integration costs of \$3.9 million and \$15.6 million for the three months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, respectively, and \$7.2 million and \$24.8 million for the six months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, respectively. Net income for the six months ended April 3, 2010 includes other income of \$35.6 million in connection with a legal settlement. Additionally, net loss for the six months ended March 28, 2009 includes a \$10 million reduction in gross profit associated with Nortel Networks' petition for reorganization

under bankruptcy law and includes a gain on repurchase of debt of \$13.5 million.

Net Sales

Net sales for the three months ended April 3, 2010 increased 27.8% to \$1.5 billion in the second quarter of 2010 from \$1.2 billion in the second quarter of 2009. The increase was primarily the result of improved demand from customers on existing programs and new program wins. Sales increased \$104.5 million in our multimedia end market, \$98.9 million in our high-end computing end market, \$93.8 million in our industrial, defense and medical end market and \$35.1 million in our communications end market.

Net sales for the six months ended April 3, 2010 increased 15.0% to \$3.0 billion from \$2.6 billion for the six months ended March 28, 2009. The increase was primarily the result of improved demand from customers on existing programs and new program wins. Sales increased \$159.8 million in our high-end computing end market, \$134.8 million in our industrial, defense and medical end market and \$127.0 million in our multimedia end market, partially offset by a decrease of \$30.3 million in our communications end market.

Gross Margin

Gross margin increased to 7.7% for the three months ended April 3, 2010 from 5.7% for the three months ended March 28, 2009, and to 7.6% for the six months ended April 3, 2010 from 5.8% for the six months ended March 28, 2009. The increase for the three months ended April 3, 2010 was primarily a result of profit contribution from increased business volume and cost reduction initiatives implemented in prior periods. The increase for the six months ended April 3, 2010 was primarily a result of the profit contribution from increased business volume and cost reduction initiatives implemented in prior periods, and an adjustment in the first quarter of 2009 related to a petition for reorganization under bankruptcy law by one of our customers, Nortel Networks, which reduced gross profit by \$10 million in that quarter.

We expect gross margins to continue to fluctuate based on overall production and shipment volumes and changes in the mix of products demanded by our major customers. Fluctuations in our gross margins may also be caused by a number of other factors, some of which are outside of our control, including (a) greater competition in the EMS industry and pricing pressures from OEMs due to greater focus on cost reduction; (b) provisions for excess and obsolete inventory that we are not able to charge back to a customer or sales of inventories previously written down; (c) changes in operational efficiencies; (d) pricing pressure on electronic components resulting from economic conditions in the electronics industry, with EMS companies competing more aggressively on cost to obtain new or maintain existing business; and (e) our ability to transition manufacturing and assembly operations to lower cost regions in an efficient manner.

Operating Expenses

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$6.5 million to \$63.6 million, or 4.2% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2010 from \$57.1 million, or 4.8% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2009. For the six months ended April 3, 2010, selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$5.9 million to \$126.0 million, or 4.2% of net sales, from \$120.0 million, or 4.6% of net sales, for the six months ended March 28, 2009. The increase for the three month period is primarily due to higher incentive compensation resulting from improvements in our financial performance and increased labor expense. The increase for the six month period is due to an increase in incentive compensation, partially offset by staff reductions implemented in 2009.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses decreased \$1.5 million to \$3.3 million, or 0.2% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2010 from \$4.7 million, or 0.4% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2009. Research and development expenses decreased \$2.6 million to \$6.4 million, or 0.2% of net sales, for the six months ended April 3, 2010 from \$8.9 million, or 0.3% of net sales, for the six months ended March 28, 2009. The decrease for both the three and six month periods was primarily the result of reduced spending due to the completion of certain R&D projects in 2009 and the effect of cost reduction initiatives implemented in 2009.

Restructuring costs

Costs associated with restructuring activities, other than those activities related to business combinations, are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" (ASC Topic 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations), or SFAS No. 112, "Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits" (ASC Topic 712, Compensation - Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits), as applicable. Pursuant to SFAS No. 112 (ASC Topic 712), restructuring costs related to employee severance are recorded when probable and estimable based on our severance policy with respect to severance payments. For restructuring costs other than employee severance accounted for under SFAS No. 112 (ASC Topic 712), a liability is recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 146 (ASC Topic 420) only when incurred. Costs associated with restructuring activities related to business combinations are accounted for in accordance with EITF 95-3, "Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination".

2009 Restructuring Plan

During the first quarter of 2009, we initiated a restructuring plan as a result of the slowdown in the global electronics industry and worldwide economy. The plan was designed to improve capacity utilization levels and reduce costs by consolidating manufacturing and other activities in locations with higher efficiencies and lower costs. Costs associated with this plan include employee severance, costs related to owned and leased facilities and equipment that are no longer in use, and other costs associated with the exit of certain contractual arrangements due to facility closures. All actions under this plan were initiated and substantially completed during 2009 and costs for this plan are expected to be in the range of \$50 million to \$55 million, of which \$44 million had been incurred as of April 3, 2010. Below is a summary of restructuring costs associated with facility closures and other consolidation efforts implemented under this plan:

	Employee Termination Severance and Related Benefits Cash	Leases and Facilities Shutdown and Consolidation Costs Cash	Impairment of Assets or Redundant Assets Non-Cash	Total
(In thousands)				
Balance at October 3, 2009	\$ 5,580	\$ 2,141	\$ —	\$ 7,721
Charges to operations	140	1,739	206	2,085
Charges utilized	(2,568)	(2,280)	(206)	(5,054)
Reversal of accrual	(404)	—	—	(404)
Balance at January 2, 2010	2,748	1,600	—	4,348
Charges to operations	454	2,274	145	2,873
Charges utilized	(1,648)	(2,842)	(145)	(4,635)
Reversal of accrual	(1,214)	—	—	(1,214)
Balance at April 3, 2010	\$ 340	\$ 1,032	\$ —	\$ 1,372

During the second quarter of 2010, \$1.2 million of severance accrual was reversed due to fewer employees being terminated than initially expected due to improved economic conditions.

Restructuring Plans — Prior to 2009

Below is a summary of restructuring costs associated with facility closures and other consolidation efforts that were initiated prior to 2009:

	Employee Termination Severance and Related Benefits Cash	Leases and Facilities Shutdown and Consolidation Costs Cash	Impairment of Assets or Redundant Assets Non-Cash	Total
(In thousands)				
Balance at October 3, 2009	\$ 5,175	\$ 1,504	\$ —	\$ 6,679
Charges to operations	479	384	1,094	1,957
Charges utilized	(1,057)	(784)	(1,094)	(2,935)
Reversal of accrual	(280)	(20)	—	(300)
Balance at January 2, 2010	4,317	1,084	—	5,401
Charges to operations	1,078	1,066	280	2,424
Charges utilized	(1,765)	(1,528)	(280)	(3,573)
Reversal of accrual	(175)	(37)	—	(212)
Balance at April 3, 2010	\$ 3,455	\$ 585	\$ —	\$ 4,040

For the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, we recorded restructuring charges for employee termination benefits for approximately 10 and 70 employees, respectively. We have substantially completed our actions under these prior year restructuring plans.

All Restructuring Plans

In connection with all of our restructuring plans, restructuring costs of \$5.4 million were accrued as of April 3, 2010. We expect to pay the majority of these costs during the remainder of 2010.

The recognition of restructuring charges requires us to make judgments and estimates regarding the nature, timing, and amount of costs associated with planned exit activities, including estimating sublease income and the fair values, less selling costs, of property, plant and equipment to be disposed of. Our estimates of future liabilities may change, requiring us to record additional restructuring charges or reduce the amount of liabilities already recorded.

Asset Impairment

For the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, we recorded an impairment charge of \$0.5 million. For the three and six months ended March 28, 2009, we recorded impairment charges of \$3.4 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. The impairment charges were primarily related to a decline in the fair value of certain properties held-for-sale.

Interest Income and Expense

Interest income decreased to \$0.6 million for the three months ended April 3, 2010, from \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 28, 2009, and to \$1.0 million for the six months ended April 3, 2010, from \$5.3 million for the six months ended March 28, 2009. The decreases were primarily attributable to lower interest rates during 2010.

Interest expense decreased to \$26.6 million for the three months ended April 3, 2010, from \$28.1 million for the three months ended March 28, 2009, and to \$53.4 million for the six months ended April 3, 2010, from \$57.3 million for the six months ended March 28, 2009. The decrease for the three month period was primarily attributable to the redemption of \$13.2 million of debt during September 2009 and \$175.7 million of debt during November 2009. The decrease for the six month period was primarily attributable to repurchases of \$33.7 million of debt in 2009 and redemption of \$175.7 million of debt in November 2009.

Other Income, net

Other income, net was \$0.1 million and \$4.9 million for the three months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, respectively, and \$39.8 million and \$5.5 million for the six months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, respectively. The following table presents the major components of other income, net:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(In thousands)			
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	\$ (467)	\$ (7,693)	\$ 183	\$ (8,906)
Gain/(loss) on extinguishment of debt	—	13,490	(828)	13,490
Litigation settlement	—	—	35,556	—
Other, net	587	(874)	4,864	892
Total other income, net	\$ 120	\$ 4,923	\$ 39,775	\$ 5,476

We reduce our exposure to currency fluctuations through the use of foreign currency forward contracts. To the extent actual amounts differ from forecasted amounts, or we are otherwise not fully hedged, we will have exposure to currency fluctuations, resulting in foreign exchange gains or losses. During the three months ended March 28, 2009, certain exchange rates changed significantly due to volatile conditions in the financial markets.

Provision for Income Taxes

We estimate our annual effective tax rate at the end of each quarterly period. Our estimate takes into account the geographic mix of our pre-tax income (loss), our expected annual pre-tax income (loss), implementation of tax planning strategies and possible outcomes of audits and other uncertain tax positions. To the extent there are fluctuations in any of these variables during a period, our provision for income taxes may vary. Our provision for income taxes was an expense of \$9.3 million for the three months ended April 3, 2010, compared to an expense of \$3.4 million for the three months ended March 28, 2009, and an expense of \$2.8 million for the six months ended April 3, 2010, compared to an expense of \$5.8 million for the six months ended March 28, 2009. The year-to-date tax provision for 2010 is less than the amount for the comparable period in 2009 as a result of a tax benefit of \$12.3 million in the first quarter of 2010 in connection with favorable resolution of an uncertain tax position in a foreign jurisdiction.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

	Six Months Ended	
	April 3, 2010	March 28, 2009
	(Unaudited)	
	(In thousands)	
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ (19,170)	\$ 86,197
Investing activities	(37,178)	(44,303)
Financing activities	(170,811)	(64,173)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	970	3,975
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (226,189)	\$ (18,304)

Key liquidity performance measures

	Three Months Ended		
	April 3, 2010	January 2, 2010	October 3, 2009
Days sales outstanding(1)	46	43	49
Inventory turns(2)	7.1	7.1	6.4
Accounts payable days(3)	55	54	57
Cash cycle days(4)	42	41	48

(1) Days sales outstanding, or DSO, is calculated as the ratio of average accounts receivable, net, to average daily net sales for the quarter.

(2) Inventory turns (annualized) are calculated as the ratio of four times our cost of sales for the quarter to average inventory.

(3) Accounts payable days is calculated as the ratio of 365 days divided by accounts payable turns, in which accounts payable turns is calculated as the ratio of four times our cost of sales for the quarter to average accounts payable.

(4) Cash cycle days is calculated as the ratio of 365 days to inventory turns, plus days sales outstanding minus accounts payable days.

Cash and cash equivalents were \$673.0 million at April 3, 2010 and \$899.2 million at October 3, 2009. Our cash levels vary during any given quarter depending on the timing of collections from customers and payments to suppliers, the extent and timing of sales of accounts receivable, borrowings under credit facilities and other factors.

Our working capital was \$1.4 billion as of April 3, 2010 and \$1.3 billion as of October 3, 2009.

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities was \$(19.2) million and \$86.2 million for the six months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, respectively. Cash flows from operating activities consist of: 1) net income (loss) adjusted to exclude non-cash items such as depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation expense, etc., which generated \$121.3 million of cash during the six months ended April 3, 2010; and 2) changes in net operating assets, which are comprised of accounts receivable, inventories, prepaid expenses and other assets, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities, which utilized \$140.5 million of cash during the six months ended April 3, 2010.

For the six months ended April 3, 2010, we generated \$121.3 million of cash from net income, excluding non-cash items. Of this amount, \$35.6 million was received in connection with a litigation settlement.

Additionally, during the six months ended April 3, 2010, we utilized \$140.5 million of cash due to an increase in net operating assets. Our net operating assets increased primarily as a result of increasing business volume, as sales in the second quarter of 2010 increased approximately 13% from sales in the fourth quarter of 2009. Although we utilized cash by increasing our net operating assets, we were able to improve our working capital metrics for accounts receivable and inventory. Our days sales outstanding (“DSO”) (a measure of how quickly we collect our accounts receivable) decreased to 46 days at April 3, 2010 from 49 days at October 3, 2009, primarily as a result of improved revenue linearity and our continuing focus on timely collections from customers. In absolute dollars, inventory increased \$54.3 million, but due to higher sales levels our inventory turns increased to 7.1 turns during the three months ended April 3, 2010 from 6.4 turns during the three months ended October 3, 2009. Partially mitigating the change in working capital metrics for accounts receivable and inventory was our accounts payable days (a measure of how quickly we pay our suppliers), which decreased to 55 days for the three months ended April 3, 2010, from 57 days for the three months ended October 3, 2009 due primarily to a change in the linearity of our material purchases in the second quarter of 2010 versus the fourth quarter of 2009. Our working capital metrics tend to fluctuate from quarter-to-quarter based on factors such as the linearity of our revenue and inventory purchases throughout a given quarter. These fluctuations can significantly affect our cash flows from operating activities.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$37.2 million and \$44.3 million for the six months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, respectively. During the six months ended April 3, 2010, we used \$34.9 million of cash for capital expenditures, net of proceeds from asset sales, and \$2.3 million in connection with business combinations. During the six months ended March 28, 2009, we used \$44.1 million for capital expenditures, net of proceeds from assets sales.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$170.8 million and \$64.2 million for the six months ended April 3, 2010 and March 28, 2009, respectively. During the six months ended April 3, 2010, we redeemed \$175.7 million of long-term debt. During the six months ended March 28, 2009, we redeemed \$33.7 million of our long-term debt for \$19.6 million and repurchased 44.0 million shares of our common stock for a total of \$19.2 million, including commissions. Additionally, we posted collateral of \$25.4 million in the form of cash against certain of our collateralized obligations.

Sales of Accounts Receivable. Certain of our subsidiaries have entered into agreements that permit them to sell specified accounts receivable. Proceeds from accounts receivable sales under these agreements were \$35.5 million and \$57.7 million for the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, respectively, and \$40.2 million for the three and six months ended March 28, 2009, respectively. Proceeds from sales of accounts receivable are included in cash flows from operating activities in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows. Our accounts receivable sales facility is scheduled to expire in June 2010. We intend to renew or replace this facility prior to such time.

Other Liquidity Matters.

On January 14, 2009, one of our customers, Nortel Networks, filed a petition for reorganization under bankruptcy law. As a result, we performed an analysis as of December 27, 2008 to quantify our potential exposure, considering factors such as which legal entities of the customer are included in the bankruptcy reorganization, future demand from Nortel Networks, and administrative and reclamation claim priority. As a result of the analysis, we determined that certain accounts receivable may not be collectible and therefore deferred recognition of revenue in the amount of \$5.0 million for shipments made in the first quarter of 2009. Additionally, we determined that certain inventory balances may not be recoverable and provided a reserve for such inventories in the amount of \$5.0 million in the first quarter of 2009. Our estimates are based on information currently available to us and are subject to change as additional information becomes available.

In the ordinary course of business, we are or may become party to legal proceedings, claims and other contingencies, including environmental matters and examinations and investigations by government agencies. As of April 3, 2010, we had reserves of \$23.8 million related to such matters. We may not be able to accurately predict the outcome of these matters or the amount or timing of cash flows that may be required to defend ourselves or to settle such matters. We received a payment of \$35.6 million in connection with a litigation settlement in December 2009.

As of April 3, 2010, we have a liability of \$45.6 million for uncertain tax positions. Our estimate of our liability for uncertain tax positions is based on a number of subjective assessments, including the likelihood of a tax obligation being assessed, the amount of taxes (including interest and penalties), that would ultimately be payable, and our ability to settle any such obligations on favorable terms. Therefore, the amount of future cash flows associated with uncertain tax positions may be significantly higher or lower than our recorded liability.

We have entered into, and continue to enter into, various transactions that periodically require collateral. These obligations have historically arisen from customs, import/export, VAT, utility services, debt financing, foreign exchange contracts and interest rate swaps. We have collateralized, and may from time to time collateralize, such obligations as a result of counterparty requirements or for economic reasons. As of April 3, 2010, we had collateral of \$14.2 million in the form of cash against certain of our collateralized obligations. Cash used for collateral reduces our cash available for other purposes.

Our debt agreements currently contain a number of restrictive covenants, including prohibitions on incurring additional debt, making investments and other restricted payments, paying dividends and redeeming or repurchasing capital stock and debt, subject to certain exceptions. We were in compliance with these covenants as of April 3, 2010. However, we may be required to seek waivers or amendments to certain covenants for our debt instruments if we are unable to comply with the requirements of the covenants in the future. We may not be able to obtain such waivers or amendments on terms acceptable to us or at all, and, in such case, these covenants could materially adversely impact our ability to conduct our business or carry out our restructuring plans.

Our next debt maturity is in 2013. We may, however, consider early redemptions of our debt in future periods, possibly using proceeds from additional debt or equity financings. In addition to our existing covenant requirements, future debt financing may require us to comply with financial ratios and covenants. Equity financing, if required, may result in dilution to existing stockholders.

Our liquidity needs are largely dependent on changes in our working capital, including the extension of trade credit by our suppliers, investments in manufacturing inventory, facilities and equipment, repayments of obligations under outstanding indebtedness and repurchases of our outstanding debt. Our primary sources of liquidity include 1) cash of \$673.0 million; 2) our \$135 million credit facility, of which we were eligible to borrow \$61.7 million as of April 3, 2010 based on the levels of eligible accounts receivable and inventories at that date; 3) our \$250 million accounts receivable sales program; and 4) cash generated from operations. We also expect to generate between \$30 million and \$50 million of cash from asset sales during the remainder of 2010. Additionally, on April 6, 2010, our \$135 million credit facility was increased to \$235 million. This increase will increase our eligible borrowing base. On April 15, 2010, one of our subsidiaries in China entered into a \$50 million unsecured working capital loan facility with a Chinese bank and subsequently borrowed \$20 million, which is currently outstanding.

On April 26, 2010, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire a company for approximately \$34 million in cash, of which half will be paid upon closing and the remainder will be paid within the first 18 months of closing. Additionally, we will assume approximately \$20 million of debt, which we intend to repay upon closing. The agreement is expected to close in approximately 30 days.

We believe our existing cash resources and other sources of liquidity, together with cash generated from operations, will be sufficient to meet our working capital requirements through at least the next 12 months. Should demand for our services decrease significantly over the next 12 months or we experience increases in delinquent or uncollectible accounts receivable, our cash provided by operations would be adversely impacted.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

Our primary exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates to certain of our outstanding debt obligations. Currently, we do not use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. As of April 3, 2010, we had no short-term investments.

As of April 3, 2010, we had \$1.26 billion of debt, of which \$1.0 billion bears interest at a fixed rate and \$257.4 million of variable rate debt has been converted to fixed rate through the use of interest rate swaps. Accordingly, we are not exposed to changes in interest rates on our long-term debt. The effect of an immediate 10% change in interest rates would not have an impact on our results of operations.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We transact business in foreign countries. Our foreign exchange policy requires that we take certain steps to limit our foreign exchange exposures related to certain assets and liabilities and forecasted cash flows. However, our policy does not require us to hedge all foreign exchange exposures. Further, foreign currency hedges are based on forecasted transactions, the amount of which may differ from that actually incurred. As a result, we experience foreign exchange gains and losses in our results of operations.

Our primary foreign currency cash flows are in certain Asian and European countries, Brazil and Mexico. We enter into short-term foreign currency forward contracts to hedge currency exposures associated with certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts typically have maturities of one month and are not designated as part of a hedging relationship in accordance with SFAS No. 133. All outstanding foreign currency forward contracts are marked-to-market at the end of the period with unrealized gains and losses included in other income, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of April 3, 2010, we had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts to exchange various foreign currencies for U.S. dollars in the aggregate notional amount of \$404.9 million.

We also utilize foreign currency forward contracts to hedge certain operational (“cash flow”) exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Such exposures result from forecasted sales denominated in currencies different from those for cost of sales and other expenses. These contracts are typically one month in duration and are accounted for as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of the contracts is recorded in stockholders’ equity as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income and is recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of operations when the hedged item affects earnings. We had forward and option contracts related to cash flow hedges in various foreign currencies in the aggregate notional amount of \$33.4 million as of April 3, 2010. The net impact of an immediate 10% change in exchange rates would not be material to our condensed consolidated financial statements, provided we accurately forecast our foreign currency exposure. If such forecasts are materially inaccurate, we could incur significant gains or losses.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended April 3, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. Disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that their objectives are met. Further, the design of disclosure controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of disclosure controls and procedures must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures can provide absolute assurance that all disclosure control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. Nonetheless, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of April 3, 2010, (1) our disclosure controls and procedures were designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and (2) our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file and submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding its required disclosure.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As previously disclosed, we were subject to federal and state lawsuits, as well as investigations by the SEC and the Department of Justice (“DoJ”), in connection with certain of our historical stock option administration practices. None of these matters remain open, the DoJ having notified us that it is no longer pursuing its investigation.

Non-U.S. Proceedings

A non-U.S. governmental entity has made a claim for penalties against us asserting that we did not comply with bookkeeping rules in accordance with applicable tax regulations. We have provided documents that we believe demonstrate our compliance with these tax regulations. We have appealed the penalties in administrative court, and have not paid the penalties pending review by the court. The administrative court has not indicated when it will issue a decision. We believe we have a meritorious position in this matter and are contesting this claim vigorously.

Other Proceedings

We are also subject to other routine legal proceedings, as well as demands, claims and threatened litigation, that arise in the normal course of our business. The ultimate outcome of any litigation is uncertain and unfavorable outcomes could have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition. Regardless of outcome, litigation can have an adverse impact on us as a result of incurrence of defense costs, diversion of management resources and other factors. We record liabilities for legal proceedings when a loss becomes probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

Item 1A. Risk Factors Affecting Operating Results

We may experience component shortages or price increases, which could cause us to delay shipments to customers and reduce our sales and net income.

We are dependent on certain suppliers, including limited and sole source suppliers, to provide key components we incorporate into our products. As a result of the improving economic environment, we are experiencing, and may continue to experience in the future, delays in component deliveries, which in turn could cause delays in product shipments to customers, result in reduced revenue from and have an adverse effect on our relationship with the affected customer, and our reputation generally as a reliable service provider. In addition, component shortages, whether anticipated or not, can increase our cost of goods sold and therefore decrease our gross margin since we may be required to pay higher prices for components in short supply and redesign or reconfigure products to accommodate substitute components. Finally, we may purchase components in advance of our requirements for those components as a result of a threatened or anticipated shortage. In this event, we may incur additional inventory carrying costs, for which we may not be compensated, and have a heightened risk of exposure to inventory obsolescence, the cost of which may not be recoverable from our customers. Such costs would reduce our margins and net income.

Continued adverse market conditions in the electronics industry could reduce our future sales and earnings per share.

The business environment in the electronics industry has been challenging during recent years due to adverse worldwide economic conditions. While conditions have improved somewhat during the last six months, there has been an erosion of global consumer confidence amidst concerns over declining asset values, inflation, volatility in energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, rising unemployment, and the stability and solvency of financial institutions, financial markets, businesses, and sovereign nations. These concerns have slowed global economic growth and have resulted in recessions in many countries, including in the U.S., Europe and certain countries in Asia. The conditions have resulted, and may result in the future, in our customers delaying purchases of the products we manufacture for them and our customers placing purchase orders for lower volumes of products than previously experienced or anticipated. We cannot accurately predict future levels of demand for our customers' electronics products. Consequently, our past operating results, earnings and cash flows may not be indicative of our future operating results, earnings and cash flows.

If these economic conditions continue to persist or worsen, in addition to our customers or potential customers reducing or delaying orders, a number of other negative effects on our business could result, including the insolvency of key suppliers, which could result in production delays, shorter payment terms from suppliers due to reduced availability of credit default insurance in the market, the inability of customers to obtain credit, and the insolvency of one or more customers. Any of these effects could impact our ability to effectively manage inventory levels and collect receivables, increase our need for cash, and decrease our net revenue and profitability.

Many of the industries to which we provide products have recently experienced significant financial difficulty, with some of the participants filing for bankruptcy. Such significant financial difficulty, if experienced by one or more of our customers, may negatively affect our business due to the decreased demand of these financially distressed customers, the potential inability of these companies to make full payment on amounts owed to us, or both. For example, one of our customers, Nortel Networks, has filed a petition for reorganization under bankruptcy law. As a result of this filing, in the first quarter of 2009, we reversed revenue and recorded an inventory provision in an aggregate amount of \$10 million with respect to this customer.

We may be unable to obtain sufficient financing to reduce our debt levels or maintain or expand our operations, which may cause our stock price to fall and reduce the business our customers and vendors do with us.

In order to allow us to better manage our working capital requirements, we entered into a two-year global accounts receivable sales facility in June 2008 and a five-year \$135 million credit facility in November 2008. On April 6, 2010, the total size of the credit facility was increased to \$235 million upon receiving commitments from two lending banks. Should we need additional sources of liquidity above and beyond such facilities, we cannot be certain that financing will be available on acceptable terms or at all. In addition, although we seek high quality counterparties for our financing arrangements, there can be no assurance that any such counterparty will be able to provide credit when and as required by our current or future financing arrangements. New financing arrangements, if available, could result in us issuing additional equity securities, which could cause dilution to existing stockholders. If additional or continued financing, including a renewal of the expiring accounts receivable sales facility, is not available when required, our ability to reduce or refinance our debt levels, maintain or increase our rates of production, and expand our manufacturing capacity will be harmed, which could cause our stock price to fall and reduce our customers' and vendors' willingness to do business with us.

We could experience credit problems with our customers, which would reduce our future revenues and net income.

While we seek to mitigate the impact of collection problems with our customers on our financial results by evaluating their creditworthiness on an ongoing basis and by maintaining an allowance for doubtful accounts that is assessed for adequacy quarterly, recent economic conditions have caused an increasing number of our customers to extend or default on their payments, declare bankruptcy or both. For example, as noted above, one of our customers, Nortel Networks, has filed a petition for reorganization under bankruptcy law. As a result of this filing, in the first quarter of 2009, we reversed revenue and recorded an inventory provision in an aggregate amount of \$10 million with respect to this customer. Should customer defaults increase substantially or exceed the level of our allowance, our revenue, net income and cash position would be reduced, perhaps significantly.

We are subject to intense competition in the EMS industry which could cause us to lose sales and therefore hurt our financial performance.

The EMS industry is highly competitive and the industry has been experiencing an increase in excess manufacturing capacity, particularly in light of the recent slowdown in the U.S. and international economies. Our competitors include major global EMS providers such as Celestica, Inc., Flextronics International Ltd., Hon Hai (Foxconn) and Jabil Circuit, Inc., as well as other EMS companies that have a regional, product, service or industry specific focus. Some of those companies have greater manufacturing and financial resources than we do. We also face competition from current and potential OEM customers who may elect to manufacture their own products internally rather than outsourcing to EMS providers.

We may not be able to offer prices as low as some of our competitors because those competitors may have lower operating costs as a result of their geographic location or the services they provide or because these competitors are willing to provide EMS services at prices that result in lower gross margins in order to utilize more of their capacity. If we are unable or unwilling to offer prices that are competitive with other EMS companies, our net sales may decline. We have experienced instances in which customers have transferred all or certain portions of their business to competitors in response to more attractive pricing quotations than we have been willing to offer to retain such customers, and there can be no assurance that we will not lose business in the future in response to such competitive pricing or other inducements which may be offered by our competitors.

Our operating results are subject to significant uncertainties, which make predictability of our future sales and net income difficult.

Our operating results are subject to significant uncertainties, including:

- conditions in the economy as a whole and in the electronics industry;
- timing of orders from customers and the accuracy of their forecasts;
- timing of expenditures in anticipation of increased sales, customer product delivery requirements and shortages of components or labor;
- mix of products ordered by and shipped to major customers, as high volume and low complexity manufacturing services typically have lower gross margins than more complex and lower volume services;
- degree to which we are able to utilize our available manufacturing capacity;
- our ability to effectively plan production and manage our inventory and fixed assets;
- customer insolvencies resulting in bad debt or inventory exposures that are in excess of our reserves;
- our ability to efficiently move manufacturing activities to lower cost regions without adversely affecting customer relationships and while controlling costs related to the closure of facilities and employee severance;
- pricing and other competitive pressures;
- fluctuations in component prices;
- political and economic developments in countries in which we have operations;
- component shortages, which could cause us to be unable to meet customer delivery schedules;
- timing of new product development by our customers which creates demand for our services; and
- levels of demand in the end markets served by our customers.

A portion of our operating expenses is relatively fixed in nature and planned expenditures are based in part on anticipated orders, which are difficult to predict. If we do not receive anticipated orders as expected, our profitability will decline. Moreover, our ability to reduce our costs as a result of current or future restructuring efforts may be limited because consolidation of operations can be a costly and lengthy process to complete.

Consolidation in the electronics industry may adversely affect our business by increasing competition or customer buying power and increasing prices we pay for components.

Consolidation in the electronics industry among our customers, our suppliers and/or our competitors may increase as companies combine to achieve further economies of scale and other synergies, especially in light of the worldwide economic downturn. Consolidation in the electronics industry could result in an increasing number of very large electronics companies offering products in multiple sectors of the electronics industry. The significant purchasing and market power of these large companies could increase competitive pressures on us. In addition, if one of our customers is acquired by another company that does not rely on us to provide EMS services either because it has its own production facilities or relies on another provider of similar services, we may lose that customer's business. There can be no assurance the new owner of our customer will continue to purchase products from us after the acquisition has been completed. In addition, consolidation in the electronics industry may also result in excess manufacturing capacity among EMS companies, which could drive our profitability down. Similarly, consolidation among our suppliers could result in a sole or limited source for certain components used in our customers' products. Any such consolidation could cause us to be required to pay increased prices for such components, which would reduce our gross margin and profitability.

Our early redemptions of debt and repurchases of stock have reduced our working capital and liquidity.

During 2009, we repurchased \$46.9 million of our debt in the open market and we also repurchased 10.1 million shares of our common stock for an aggregate of \$29.2 million. Further, on November 16, 2009, we redeemed our remaining outstanding debt due in 2010 for \$175.7 million plus accrued interest. These repurchases have reduced our working capital. Although the redemptions of debt improve our operating results by reducing our interest expense, the redemptions and stock repurchases have reduced our liquidity. If we should repurchase or redeem additional debt or

equity, our working capital and liquidity would be further reduced.

41

Adverse changes in the key end markets we target could harm our business by reducing our sales.

We provide EMS services to companies that sell products in the communications, computing and storage, multimedia, industrial and semiconductor systems, defense and aerospace, medical and automotive sectors of the electronics industry. Adverse changes in these markets could reduce demand for our customers' products and make these customers more sensitive to the cost of our EMS services, either of which could reduce our sales, gross margins and net income. Factors affecting any of our customers' industries in general, or our customers in particular, could seriously harm our business. These factors include:

- short product life cycles leading to continuing new requirements and specifications for our customers products, the failure of which to meet could cause us to lose business;
- failure of our customers' products to gain widespread commercial acceptance which could decrease the volume of orders customers place with us; and
- recessionary periods in our customers' markets which decrease orders from affected customers.

We rely on a relatively small number of customers for a substantial portion of our sales, and declines in sales to these customers would reduce our net sales and net income.

One customer represented 12.5% of our net sales and sales to our ten largest customers represented 50.5% during the first six months of 2010. We expect to continue to depend upon a relatively small number of customers for a significant percentage of our sales. Consolidation among our customers may further concentrate our business in a limited number of customers and expose us to increased risks related to dependence on a small number of customers. In addition, a significant reduction in sales to any of our large customers or significant pricing and margin pressures exerted by a customer would adversely affect our operating results. In the past, some of our large customers have significantly reduced or delayed the volume of manufacturing services ordered from us as a result of changes in their business, consolidations or divestitures or for other reasons. In particular, certain of our customers have from time to time entered into manufacturing divestiture transactions with other EMS companies, and such transactions could adversely affect our revenues with these customers. We cannot assure you that present or future large customers will not terminate their manufacturing arrangements with us or significantly change, reduce or delay the amount of manufacturing services ordered from us, any of which would reduce our net sales and net income.

As a result of our components ordering policies, and customer-requested ship dates, we may incur carrying costs or not be compensated for components, work-in-process or finished goods, which would decrease our margins and net income.

In order to satisfy customer orders, we are frequently required to order components and other parts in advance of customer payment, particularly for long lead-time items. Furthermore, we may be required to keep additional components, work-in-process and finished goods in inventory in order to meet customer delivery dates. While our supply agreements with our customers generally allocate most of the liability for payment for such items to the customers, we may nonetheless incur additional carrying costs or not ultimately be compensated for these items should the customer default upon its obligations. To the extent we incur any such costs, our gross margins and net income would be reduced.

We generally do not obtain long-term volume purchase commitments from customers and, therefore, cancellations, reductions in production quantities and delays in production by our customers could reduce our sales and net income.

We generally do not obtain firm, long-term purchase commitments from our customers and our bookings may generally be cancelled prior to the scheduled shipment date. Customers may cancel their orders, reduce production quantities or delay production for a number of reasons, including significant decreases in demand for their products and services. Although the customer is generally liable for finished goods and work-in-process at the time of cancellation, we may be unable or, for other business reasons, choose not to enforce our contractual rights. Cancellations, reductions or delays of orders by customers would:

- reduce our sales and net income by decreasing the volumes of products that we manufacture for our customers;
- delay or eliminate recovery of our expenditures for inventory purchased in preparation for customer orders; and
 - lower our asset utilization, which would result in lower gross margins and lower net income.

In addition, customers are increasingly requiring that we transfer the manufacturing of their products from one facility to another to achieve cost reductions and other objectives. These transfers have resulted in increased costs to us due to facility downtime or less than optimal utilization of our manufacturing capacity. These transfers also have required us to close or reduce operations at certain facilities, particularly those in high cost locations such as the United States, Canada and Western Europe, and as a result we have incurred significant costs for the closure of facilities, employee severance and related matters. We also have encountered occasional delays and complications related to the transition of manufacturing programs to new locations. We may be required to relocate our manufacturing operations in the future and, accordingly, we may incur additional costs that decrease our net income.

If demand for our higher-end, higher margin manufacturing services does not increase, or if margins in our components business do not improve, our future gross margins and operating results may be lower than expected.

We typically earn lower gross margins when we provide less complex EMS services. In addition, we experience continued pressure from OEMs to reduce prices, and competition remains intense. Pricing pressure is typically more intense for less complex, lower margin EMS services. This price competition has affected, and could continue to adversely affect, our gross margins. If demand for our higher-end, higher margin manufacturing services does not increase in the future, our gross margins and operating results in future periods may be lower than expected. In addition, our consolidated gross margins have in the past been negatively impacted by lower gross margins in our components business. Should these margins not improve in the future, our overall gross margins may be reduced, which would also decrease our net income.

We are subject to risks arising from our international operations.

We conduct our international operations primarily in Asia, Latin America, Canada and Europe, and we continue to consider additional opportunities to make foreign acquisitions and construct new foreign facilities. We generated 78.5% of our net sales from non-U.S. operations during the first six months of 2010 and a significant portion of our manufacturing material was provided by international suppliers during this period. As a result of our international operations, we are affected by economic and political conditions in foreign countries, including:

- the imposition of government controls;
- difficulties in obtaining or complying with export license requirements;
- trade restrictions;
- changes in tariffs;
- labor unrest and difficulties in staffing;
- inflexible employee contracts in the event of business downturns;
- coordinating communications among and managing international operations;
- fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
- currency controls;
- increases in duty and/or income tax rates;
- adverse rulings in regards to tax audits;
- compliance with U.S. and foreign laws concerning trade;
- excess costs associated with reducing employment or shutting down facilities;
- misappropriation of intellectual property; and
- constraints on our ability to maintain or increase prices.

Our operations in certain foreign locations receive favorable income tax treatment in the form of tax holidays or other incentives. In the event that such tax holidays or other incentives are not extended, are repealed, or we no longer qualify for such programs, our taxes may increase, which would reduce our net income.

Additionally, certain foreign jurisdictions restrict the amount of cash that can be transferred to the U.S or impose taxes and penalties on such transfers of cash. To the extent we have excess cash in foreign locations that could be used in, or is needed by, our U.S. operations, we may incur significant penalties and/or taxes to repatriate these funds.

We operate in countries that have experienced political unrest or instability or government controls over the conduct of business, including China, India, Thailand and other countries in Southeast Asia. To the extent these risks prevent us from adequately staffing our plants or manufacturing and shipping products in those jurisdictions, our revenues would be reduced and our reputation as a reliable supplier could be negatively impacted.

To respond to competitive pressures and customer requirements, we may further expand internationally in lower cost locations, particularly in Asia, Eastern Europe and Latin America. As we pursue continued expansion in these locations, we may incur additional capital expenditures. In addition, the cost structure in certain countries that are now considered to be favorable may increase as economies develop or as such countries join multinational economic communities or organizations, causing local wages to rise. As a result, we may need to continue to seek new locations with lower costs and the employee and infrastructure base to support electronics manufacturing. We cannot assure you that we will realize the anticipated strategic benefits of our international operations or that our international operations will contribute positively to our operating results.

We can experience losses due to foreign exchange rate fluctuations, which would reduce our net income.

Because we manufacture and sell a substantial portion of our products abroad, our operating costs are subject to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Specifically, if the U.S. dollar weakens against the foreign currencies in which we denominate certain of our trade accounts payable, fixed purchase obligations and other expenses, the U.S. dollar equivalent of such expenses would increase. We use financial instruments, primarily short-term foreign currency forward contracts, to hedge certain forecasted foreign currency commitments arising from trade accounts receivable, trade accounts payable and fixed purchase obligations. Our foreign currency hedging activities depend largely upon the accuracy of our forecasts of future sales, expenses and monetary assets and liabilities. As such, our foreign currency forward contracts may exceed or not cover our full exposure to exchange rate fluctuations. If these hedging activities are not successful, we may experience significant unexpected expenses from fluctuations in exchange rates. Although we believe our foreign exchange hedging policies are reasonable and prudent under the circumstances, we can provide no assurances that we will not experience losses arising from unhedged currency fluctuations in the future, which could be significant.

Unanticipated changes in our tax rates or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could increase our taxes and decrease our net income.

We are subject to income and other taxes in both the United States and various foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for taxes and, in the ordinary course of business, there are many transactions and calculations for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Our effective tax rates could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and other factors. Our tax determinations are regularly subject to audit by tax authorities and developments in those audits could adversely affect our tax provisions, including through assessment of back taxes, interest and penalties. Although we believe that our tax estimates are reasonable, the final determination of tax audits or tax disputes may be different from what is reflected in our historical tax provisions which could lead to an increase in our taxes payable and a decrease in our net income.

Restructuring of our operations could require us to take an accounting charge which would reduce our net income.

We have incurred significant expenses related to restructuring of our operations in the past. For example, we have moved, and we intend to continue moving, our operations from higher-cost to lower-cost locations to meet customer requirements. In addition, we have incurred unanticipated costs related to the transfer of operations to lower-cost locations, including costs related to integrating new facilities, managing operations in dispersed locations and realigning our business processes. We also have incurred costs related to workforce reductions, work stoppages and labor unrest resulting from the closure of our facilities in higher cost locations. We expect to be required to record additional charges related to restructuring activities in the future, but cannot predict the timing or amount of such charges. Any such charges would reduce our net income. While we have substantially completed all current restructuring plans, we cannot provide assurance that future economic conditions, customer requirements or acquisitions will not cause us to adopt additional restructuring plans, which would reduce our net income.

If we are unable to comply with the covenants in our credit arrangements, our outstanding debt could become immediately payable.

Our debt agreements do not contain financial covenants currently applicable to us, but do include a number of restrictive covenants, including prohibitions on incurring additional debt, making investments and other restricted payments, paying dividends and redeeming or repurchasing capital stock and debt, subject to certain exceptions. In addition, such agreements include affirmative covenants requiring, among other things, that we file quarterly and annual financial statements with the SEC. If we are not able to comply with all of these covenants, for any reason, some or all of our outstanding debt could become immediately due and payable and the incurrence of additional debt under the new credit facility would not be allowed. If our cash is utilized to repay outstanding debt, we could experience an immediate and significant reduction in working capital available to operate our business.

Our failure to comply with applicable environmental laws could adversely affect our business by causing us to pay significant amounts for clean up of hazardous materials or for damages or fines.

We are subject to various federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations, including those governing the use, storage, discharge and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes in the ordinary course of our manufacturing operations. We also are subject to laws and regulations governing the recyclability of products, the materials that may be included in products, and the obligations of a manufacturer to dispose of these products after end users have finished using them. If we violate environmental laws, we may be held liable for damages and the costs of remedial actions and we may be subject to revocation of permits necessary to conduct our businesses. We cannot assure you that we will not violate environmental laws and regulations in the future as a result of human error, equipment failure or other causes. Although we estimate our potential liability with respect to violations or alleged violations and accrue for such liability, we cannot assure you that our accruals will be sufficient to cover the actual costs we incur as a result of these violations or alleged violations. Our failure to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations could limit our ability to expand facilities or could require us to acquire costly equipment or to incur other significant expenses to comply with these laws and regulations.

Asbestos containing materials, or ACM are present at several of our manufacturing facilities. Although the ACM is being managed and controls have been put in place pursuant to ACM operations and maintenance plans, the presence of ACM could give rise to remediation obligations and other liabilities. No governmental or third-party claims relating to ACM have been brought at this time.

Our plants generally operate under environmental permits issued by governmental authorities. For the most part, these permits must be renewed periodically and are subject to revocation in the event of violations of environmental laws. Although we have not experienced any material revocations to date, any such revocation could require us to cease or limit production at one or more of our facilities, thereby having an adverse impact on our results of operations.

Primarily as a result of certain of our acquisitions, we have incurred liabilities associated with environmental contamination. These liabilities include ongoing investigation and remediation activities at a number of sites, including our facilities located in Irvine, California (a former facility acquired as part of our acquisition of Elexsys); Owego, New York (a current facility that we acquired with our acquisition of Hadco Corporation); Derry, New Hampshire (a non-operating facility of Hadco), Fort Lauderdale, Florida (a former facility of Hadco) and Phoenix, Arizona (a site we acquired with our acquisition of Hadco). We have been named in a lawsuit alleging operations at our former facility in Santa Ana, California arising from our Elexsys acquisition contributed to groundwater contamination. There can be no assurance that any other similar third-party claims will not arise and will not result in material liability to us. In addition, there are some sites, including our facility in Gunzenhausen, Germany (acquired from Alcatel), that are known to have groundwater contamination caused by a third-party, and that third-party has provided indemnity to us for the liability.

We have also been named as a potentially responsible party at one contaminated disposal site, operated by another party at the Casmalia Resources site, as a result of the past disposal of hazardous waste by companies we have acquired or by our corporate predecessors. Although liabilities for such historical disposal activities have not materially affected our financial condition to date, we cannot assure you that past disposal activities will not result in liability that will materially affect us in the future, nor can we provide assurance that we do not have environmental exposures of which we are unaware and which could adversely affect our operating results.

Over the years, environmental laws have become, and in the future may continue to become, more stringent, imposing greater compliance costs and increasing risks and penalties associated with violations. We operate in several environmentally sensitive locations and are subject to potentially conflicting and changing regulatory agendas of political, business and environmental groups. Changes in or restrictions on discharge limits, emissions levels, permitting requirements and material storage or handling could require a higher than anticipated level of operating expenses and capital investment or, depending on the severity of the impact of the foregoing factors, costly plant relocation.

In addition, the electronics industry became subject to the RoHS and WEEE directives which took effect beginning in 2005. Parallel initiatives have been adopted in other jurisdictions, including several states in the United States and the People's Republic of China. RoHS prohibits the use of lead, mercury and certain other specified substances in electronics products and WEEE requires industry OEMs to assume responsibility for the collection, recycling and management of waste electronic products and components. Although we believe we have implemented procedures to make our manufacturing process RoHS compliant, non-compliance could result in significant costs and/or penalties. In the case of WEEE, the compliance responsibility rests primarily with OEMs rather than with EMS companies. However, OEMs may turn to EMS companies for assistance in meeting their WEEE obligations, which could increase our costs.

If we manufacture or design defective products, or if our manufacturing processes do not comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, we could be subject to damages and fines and lose customers.

We manufacture products to our customers' specifications, and in some cases our manufacturing processes and facilities may need to comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. For example, many of the medical devices that we manufacture, as well as the facilities and manufacturing processes that we use to produce them, are regulated by the United States Food and Drug Administration. In addition, our customers' products and the manufacturing processes that we use to produce them often are highly complex. As a result, products that we design or manufacture may at times contain design or manufacturing defects, and our manufacturing processes may be subject to errors or may not be in compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. Defects in the products we design or manufacture may result in repair costs, delayed shipments to customers or reduced or cancelled customer orders. If these defects or deficiencies are significant, our business reputation may also be damaged. The failure of the products that we design or manufacture or of our manufacturing processes and facilities to comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements may subject us to legal fines or penalties and, in some cases, require us to shut down or incur considerable expense to correct a manufacturing program or facility. In addition, these defects may result in product liability claims against us. The magnitude of such claims may increase as we expand our medical, automotive, and aerospace and defense manufacturing services because defects in medical devices, automotive components and aerospace and defense systems could seriously harm users of these products. Even if our customers are responsible for defects in the design of a product, we could nonetheless be named in a product liability suit over such defects and could be required to expend significant resources defending ourselves.

If our products are subject to warranty or liability claims, we may incur significant costs, which would reduce our net income.

Our customers may experience defects in our designs or deficiencies with respect to our manufacturing services. We may be exposed to warranty or manufacturers' liability claims as a result of these defects or deficiencies, and some claims may relate to customer product recalls. We also design products on a contract basis or jointly with our customers. The design services that we provide can expose us to different or greater potential liabilities than those we face when providing our regular manufacturing services. For example, we have increased exposure to potential product liability claims resulting from injuries caused by defects in products we design, as well as potential claims that products we design infringe third-party intellectual property rights. Such claims could subject us to significant liability for damages and, regardless of their merits, could be time-consuming and expensive to resolve. A claim for damages arising from such defects or deficiencies could damage our reputation and result in us being liable for the costs of return and repair of such defective products or for damages for any injuries or other losses incurred by our customers or their end users. Any such costs could be significant and would reduce our net income.

We may not be successful in implementing and integrating strategic transactions or in divesting non-strategic assets, which could cause our financial results to fail to meet our forecasts.

From time to time, we may undertake strategic transactions that give us the opportunity to access new customers and new end-customer markets, to obtain new manufacturing and service capabilities and technologies, to enter new geographic manufacturing locations, to lower our manufacturing costs and improve the margins on our product mix, and to further develop existing customer relationships. Strategic transactions involve many difficulties and uncertainties, including the following:

- integrating acquired operations and businesses;
- regulatory approvals or other conditions to closing that delay the completing of strategic transactions beyond the time anticipated;
- allocating management resources;
- scaling up production and coordinating management of operations at new sites;
- separating operations or support infrastructure for entities divested;
- managing and integrating operations in geographically dispersed locations;
- maintaining customer, supplier or other favorable business relationships of acquired operations and terminating unfavorable relationships;
- integrating the acquired company's systems into our management information systems;
- satisfying unforeseen liabilities of acquired businesses, including environmental liabilities, which could require the expenditure of material amounts of cash;
- operating in the geographic market or industry sector of the business acquired in which we may have little or no experience;
 - improving and expanding our management information systems to accommodate expanded operations; and
 - losing key employees of acquired operations.

Any of these factors could prevent us from realizing the anticipated benefits of a strategic transaction, and our failure to realize these benefits could reduce our sales below and increase our costs above our forecasts. We may not be successful in identifying future strategic opportunities or in consummating any strategic transactions that we pursue on favorable terms, if at all. Although our goal is to improve our business and maximize stockholder value, any transactions that we complete may ultimately fail to increase our sales and net income and stock price.

Our key personnel are critical to the continued growth of our business and we cannot assure you that they will remain with us.

Our success depends upon the continued service of our executive officers and other key personnel. Generally, these employees are not bound by employment or non-competition agreements. We cannot assure you that we will retain our officers and key employees, particularly our highly skilled operations managers and engineers involved in the manufacture of existing products and development of new products and processes. The competition for these employees is intense. In addition, if one or more of our executive officers or key employees were to join a competitor or otherwise compete directly or indirectly with us or otherwise be unavailable to us, we could lose customers and our sales and gross margins could decrease.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property or infringe, or are alleged to infringe, upon intellectual property of others, we could lose sales or be required to pay significant amounts in costs or damages.

We rely on a combination of copyright, patent, trademark and trade secret laws and restrictions on disclosure to protect our intellectual property rights. We cannot be certain that the steps we have taken will prevent unauthorized use of our technology. Any failure to protect our intellectual property rights would diminish or eliminate the competitive advantages that we derive from our proprietary technology.

We may become involved in litigation in the future to protect our intellectual property or because others may allege that we infringe on their intellectual property. These claims and any resulting lawsuits could subject us to significant liability for damages and invalidate our proprietary rights. In addition, these lawsuits, regardless of their merits, likely would be time consuming and expensive to resolve and would divert management's time and attention. Any potential intellectual property litigation alleging our infringement of a third-party's intellectual property also could force us or our customers to:

- stop producing products that use the challenged intellectual property;
- obtain from the owner of the infringed intellectual property a license to sell the relevant technology at an additional cost, which license may not be available on reasonable terms, or at all; or
- redesign those products or services that use the infringed technology.

Any costs we incur from having to take any of these actions could be substantial.

We may not have sufficient insurance coverage for certain of the risks and liabilities we assume in connection with the products and services we provide to our customers, which could leave us responsible for certain costs and damages incurred by our customers.

We carry various forms of business and liability insurance that we believe are reasonable and customary for similarly situated companies in our industry. However, we do not have insurance coverage for all of the risks and liabilities we assume in connection with the products and services we provide to our customers, such as potential warranty, product liability and product recall claims. As a result, such liability claims may only be partially covered under our insurance policies. We continue to monitor the insurance marketplace to evaluate the availability of and need to obtain additional insurance coverage. However, should we sustain a significant uncovered loss, our net income would be reduced.

The impact of price fluctuations could reduce our net income.

The cost of commodities, parts and components used in the manufacturing of our products has fluctuated significantly in the past. Should we not be successful in adjusting our pricing to account for such fluctuations, our gross margins, and therefore net income, would decline.

Changes in financial accounting standards or policies have affected, and in the future may affect, our reported financial condition or results of operations. Additionally, changes in securities laws and regulations have increased, and are likely to continue to increase, our operating costs.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. Our preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the recorded amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of those assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the recorded amounts of expenses during the reporting period. A change in the facts and circumstances surrounding those estimates could result in a change to our estimates and could impact our future operating results.

In addition, these principles are subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the SEC and various bodies formed to interpret and create appropriate accounting policies. A change in those policies can have a significant effect on our reported results and may affect our reporting of transactions which are completed before a change is announced. Accounting policies affecting many other aspects of our business, including rules relating to revenue recognition, off-balance sheet transactions, stock-based compensation, restructurings, asset disposals and asset retirement obligations, intangible assets, derivative and other financial instruments and in-process research and development charges, have recently been revised or are under review. Changes to those rules or the questioning of how we interpret or implement those rules may have a material adverse effect on our reported financial results or on the way we conduct business. For example, a preliminary timetable by which U.S. companies would adopt International Financial Reporting Standards has been promulgated by the SEC. Although at a very early stage of consideration by regulatory agencies, adoption of such standards could substantially change our reporting practices in a number of areas, including revenue recognition and recording of assets and liabilities.

Finally, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 required changes in our corporate governance, public disclosure and compliance practices. The number of rules and regulations applicable to us has increased and may continue to increase our legal and financial compliance costs and increased the amount of time management must devote to compliance activities, such as the requirement for management to annually report in our annual report its conclusions concerning the effectiveness of our system of internal control over financial reporting. These developments could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified members of our board of directors, particularly to serve on our audit committee, and qualified executive officers in light of an increase in actual or perceived liability for serving in such positions.

We are subject to risks associated with natural disasters and global events.

We conduct a significant portion of our activities including manufacturing, administration and data processing at facilities located in the State of California and other seismically active areas that have experienced major earthquakes in the past, as well as other natural disasters. Our insurance coverage with respect to natural disasters is limited and is subject to deductibles and coverage limits. Such coverage may not be adequate or continue to be available at commercially reasonable rates and terms. In the event of a major earthquake or other disaster affecting one or more of our facilities, our operations and management information systems could be significantly disrupted. Such events could also delay or prevent product manufacturing and shipment for the time required to transfer production, repair, rebuild or replace the affected manufacturing facilities. This time frame could be lengthy and result in significant expenses for repair and related costs. In addition, concerns about terrorism or an outbreak of epidemic diseases could have a negative effect on travel and our business operations and result in reduced sales and net income.

Item 5. Other Information

On February 8, 2010, we held our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The matters voted upon at the meeting by stockholders of record as of December 11, 2009 and the vote with respect to each such matter are set forth below:

1. To elect ten directors to serve for the ensuing year and until their successors are appointed or elected:

	For	Against	Abstain	Broker Non-Votes
Jure Sola	48,065,109	1,858,125	74,873	19,619,339
Neil R. Bonke	48,572,222	1,365,130	60,754	19,619,340
Alain Couder	49,518,566	419,054	60,487	19,619,339
John P. Goldsberry	49,532,981	404,256	60,869	19,619,340
Joseph G. Licata	49,512,987	424,104	61,015	19,619,340
Jean Manas	49,517,186	407,692	73,228	19,619,340
Mario M. Rosati	46,800,600	3,124,949	72,558	19,619,339
A. Eugene Sapp, Jr.	48,944,070	994,381	59,656	19,619,339
Wayne Shortridge	49,541,841	396,063	60,202	19,619,340
Jackie M. Ward	49,485,940	451,915	60,251	19,619,340

2. To approve appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending October 2, 2010.

For	Against	Abstain	Broker Non-Votes
68,141,577	1,378,110	97,759	—

3. To approve the reservation of 2,700,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the 2009 Incentive Plan of the Company.

For	Against	Abstain	Broker Non-Votes
30,902,314	17,923,318	1,171,476	19,620,338

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
10.37(1)(2)	2009 Incentive Plan, as amended.
10.49	Amendment No. 1 dated as of April 6, 2010 to Loan, Guaranty and Security Agreement dated as of November 19, 2008 among the Registrant and certain of its subsidiaries, as borrowers, Sanmina-SCI Systems (Canada), Inc. and SCI Brockville Corp., as Designated Canadian Guarantors, the financial institutions party thereto and Bank of America, N.A. as agent for such lenders.
10.50	Incremental Loan Agreement Joinder dated as of April 6, 2010 among the parties to the Loan and Security Agreement dated November 19, 2008 and Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC and Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as assuming lenders under the Loan and Security Agreement.
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.1(3)	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
32.2(3)	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).

(1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8, filed with the SEC on March 12, 2010.

(2) Compensatory plan in which an executive officer or director participates.

(3) This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the Requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION
(Registrant)

By: */s/ JURE SOLA*
Jure Sola
Chief Executive Officer (Principal
Executive Officer)

Date: April 30, 2010

By: */s/ ROBERT K. EULAU*
Robert K. Eulau
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer (Principal
Financial Officer)

Date: April 30, 2010

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
10. 37(1)(2)	2009 Incentive Plan, as amended.
10.49	Amendment No. 1 dated as of April 6, 2010 to Loan, Guaranty and Security Agreement dated as of November 19, 2008 among the Registrant and certain of its subsidiaries, as borrowers, Sanmina-SCI Systems (Canada), Inc. and SCI Brockville Corp., as Designated Canadian Guarantors, the financial institutions party thereto and Bank of America, N.A. as agent for such lenders.
10.50	Incremental Loan Agreement Joinder dated as of April 6, 2010 among the parties to the Loan and Security Agreement dated November 19, 2008 and Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC and Morgan Stanley Ssenior Funding, Inc., as assuming lenders under the Loan and Security Agreement.
31.1	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.1(3)	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
32.2(3)	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).

(1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8, filed with the SEC on March 12, 2010.

(2) Compensatory plan in which an executive officer or director participates.

(3) This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.