STUDENT LOAN CORP Form 10-Q August 06, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C., 20549

	Wasi	hington, D.C. 20549
		FORM 10-Q
(Mark On		
X	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT ACT OF 1934	TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
	For the quarterly period end	ded June 30, 2010
	or	
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT ACT OF 1934	TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
	For the transition period f	from to
	Commissi	on File Number: 1-11616
		NT LOAN CORPORATION gistrant as specified in its charter)
	Delaware	16-1427135
	(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
	750 Washington Blvd.	06901
(Add	Stamford, Connecticut ress of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
		(203) 975-6320 none number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during

the precedi	ng 12 mo	onths (or f	for such short	er period that	t the registrant	was required	to submit and	post such files).
me precedi		min (or i	tor back bilor	or period did	t tile regionalit	" as required	to buolille alla	post sacii ilios,.

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer x
Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting Smaller reporting company o company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No x

On August 2, 2010 there were 20,000,000 shares of The Student Loan Corporation's common stock outstanding.

Form 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I	Consolidated Financial Information							
	Item 1 -	Consolidated Financial Statements	Page					
		Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	2					
		Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2009	3					
		Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4					
		Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	5					
		Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	6 – 24					
	Item 2 -	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25 – 46					
	Item 3 -	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	47					
	Item 4 -	Controls and Procedures	47					
Part II	Other Information							
	Item 1A-	Risk Factors	48 – 53					
	Item 6 -	Exhibits	53					
Signature			54					
Exhibit Index			55					

PART I CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.

Consolidated Financial Statements

THE STUDENT LOAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts) (Unaudited)

		Three months ended				Six months ended			
		June 30,			June				
		2010		2009		2010		2009	
NET INTEREST INCOME									
Interest income	\$	283,323	\$		\$	540,144	\$	391,420	
Interest expense		(188,864)		(116,340)		(360,712)		(262,458)	
Net interest income		94,459		70,884		179,432		128,962	
Provision for loan losses		(41,397)		(44,826)		(85,287)		(65,968)	
Net interest income after provision									
for loan losses		53,062		26,058		94,145		62,994	
OTHER INCOME									
Gains on loans sold		_		17,864		_		17,864	
Fee and other income		8,811		30,851		9,396		37,809	
Total other income		8,811		48,715		9,396		55,673	
OPERATING EXPENSES									
Salaries and employee benefits		7,620		8,428		15,565		17,406	
Write-off of funding commitment fee									
paid to principal stockholder		_		_		7,500		_	
Other expenses		26,728		26,783		52,617		52,664	
Total operating expenses		34,348		35,211		75,682		70,070	
Income before income taxes		27,525		39,562		27,859		48,597	
Provision for income taxes		6,704		14,300		6,579		15,813	
NET INCOME	\$	20,821	\$	25,262	\$	21,280	\$	32,784	
DIVIDENDS DECLARED AND									
PAID	\$	7,000	\$	7,000	\$	14,000	\$	35,600	
BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS									
PER COMMON SHARE	\$	1.04	\$	1.26	\$	1.06	\$	1.64	
(based on 20,000,000 average shares									
outstanding)									
DIVIDENDS DECLARED AND	ф	0.25	Φ.	0.25	ф	0.70	Φ.	1.70	
PAID PER COMMON SHARE	\$	0.35	\$	0.35	\$	0.70	\$	1.78	

See accompanying Notes to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE STUDENT LOAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	June 30,	D	ecember 31,
	2010		2009
	(Unaudited)		
ASSETS			
Federally insured student loans	\$ 28,574,666	\$	17,948,706
Private education loans	9,877,200		7,432,471
Deferred origination and premium costs	714,830		548,083
Allowance for loan losses	(173,565)	(149,098)
Student loans, net	38,993,131		25,780,162
Loans held for sale	4,713,030		2,409,267
Cash	876		17,998
Residual interests in securitized loans	_		820,291
Other assets	2,492,167		1,990,523
Total Assets	\$ 46,199,204	\$	31,018,241
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Liabilities			
Short-term borrowings, payable to principal stockholder	\$ 4,566,600	\$	5,131,000
Short-term secured borrowings, payable to the Department of			
Education	4,594,795		2,066,686
Long-term borrowings, payable to principal stockholder	3,541,000		4,391,000
Long-term secured borrowings	31,675,596		16,999,976
Deferred income taxes	165,569		410,546
Other liabilities	340,784		348,612
Total liabilities	44,884,344		29,347,820
Stockholders' Equity			
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares;			
20,000,000 shares issued and outstanding	200		200
Additional paid-in capital	143,136		141,869
Retained earnings	1,171,524		1,528,352
Total stockholders' equity	1,314,860		1,670,421
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 46,199,204	\$	31,018,241

The table below presents the carrying amounts of certain assets and liabilities of The Student Loan Corporation's (the Company) consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) which are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet above. The assets in the table below include only those assets that can be used to settle obligations of the consolidated VIEs. The liabilities in the table below include third party liabilities of consolidated VIEs only, and exclude intercompany balances that eliminate in consolidation. The liabilities also exclude amounts for which creditors have recourse to the general credit of the Company.

CONSOLIDATED VIEs	June 30,
	2010
	(Unaudited)
ASSETS	
Federally insured student loans	\$ 26,792,612
Private education loans	5,821,788

Edgar Filing: STUDENT LOAN CORP - Form 10-Q

Deferred origination and premium costs	569,237
Allowance for loan losses	(103,600)
Other assets(1)	2,001,122
LIABILITIES	
Long-term secured borrowings	\$ 31,675,596
Other liabilities	183,834

(1) Amount primarily represents restricted cash and cash equivalents of \$1.1 billion and accrued interest receivable of \$0.8 billion

See accompanying Notes to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE STUDENT LOAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

		led		
		2010		2009
COMMON STOCK AND ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL				
Balance, beginning of period	\$	142,069	\$	141,923
Capital contributions and other changes		1,267		85
Balance, end of period	\$	143,336	\$	142,008
RETAINED EARNINGS				
Balance, beginning of period	\$	1,528,352	\$	1,452,280
Net income		21,280		32,784
Cumulative effect of adoption of accounting standards, net of taxes				
of \$218.4 million		(364,108)		_
Common dividends declared, \$0.70 and \$1.78 per common share				
for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively		(14,000)		(35,600)
Balance, end of period	\$	1,171,524	\$	1,449,464
_				
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$	1,314,860	\$	1,591,472

See accompanying Notes to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE STUDENT LOAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

		Circ N	[anth-	, En	lad
		Six M			ied
		2010	June 3	00,	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		2010			2009
Net income	\$	21,280		\$	32,784
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating	φ	21,200		φ	32,704
activities:					
Depreciation and amortization of equipment and computer					
software		5,353			5,156
Amortization of deferred loan origination and purchase costs		63,832			42,525
Provision for loan losses		85,287			65,968
Deferred tax provision		(25,864)		(36,499)
Other changes in loans held for sale including loan origination and					
purchase costs		(2,307,466	5)		(1,700,898)
Change in accrued interest receivable		(109,658)		(33,088)
Proceeds from loans sold		_			1,212,613
Gains on loans sold		_			(17,864)
Accreted interest on residual interests		_			(34,851)
Loss on residual interest valuation		_			84,543
Loss on servicing asset valuation		_			22,135
Cash received on residual interests in trading securitized assets		_			102,570
Change in other assets		(861)		161,775
Change in other liabilities		(6,713)		(49,583)
Other non-cash charges		9,880			2,942
Net cash used in operating activities	\$	(2,264,930)	\$	(139,772)
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Change in loans	\$	760,843		\$	(527,368)
Change in loan origination and purchase costs	Ψ	17,364		Ψ	(10,849)
Change in restricted cash and cash equivalents		(100 00 1)		(118,570)
Capital expenditures on equipment and computer software		(3,349)		(3,826)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$	671,874		\$	(660,613)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Net change in borrowings payable to principal stockholder with					
original maturities of three months or less	\$	(914,400)	\$	(4,409,800)
Proceeds from other short-term borrowings		2,719,440			1,718,666
Repayments of other short-term borrowings)		(4,097,887)
Proceeds from long-term borrowings		2,001,677			9,024,161
Repayments of long-term borrowings		(1,968,452	.)		(1,386,728)
Dividends paid to stockholders		(14,000)		(35,600)
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$	1,575,934		\$	812,812

Edgar Filing: STUDENT LOAN CORP - Form 10-Q

Net (decrease) increase in cash	\$ (17,122)	\$ 12,427
Cash - beginning of period	17,998		595
Cash - end of period	\$ 876		\$ 13,022
Supplemental disclosure:			
Cash paid for:			
Interest	\$ 321,362		\$ 341,325
Income taxes, net	\$ 43,817		\$ 33,620

See accompanying Notes to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE STUDENT LOAN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) June 30, 2010

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Interim Financial Information

The accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of The Student Loan Corporation (the Company), a Delaware corporation, include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, SLC Student Loan Receivables I, Inc, SLC Conduit I LLC and its consolidated securitization trusts that are Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) and for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. SLC Student Loan Receivables I, Inc. is a special-purpose entity formed in 2001 for the purpose of acquiring student loans originated or acquired by the Company and transferring such loans to, and depositing such loans in, securitization trusts. SLC Conduit I LLC is a limited liability company formed in 2009 for the purpose of acquiring Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) Program loans originated or acquired by the Company and issuing funding notes to the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) sponsored student loan-backed commercial paper conduit, Straight-A Funding, LLC (the Conduit). All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The Company, which has a trust agreement to originate loans through Citibank, N.A. (CBNA), is an originator, manager and servicer of private education loans. In addition, the Company owns and services a portfolio of loans made in accordance with federally sponsored guaranteed student loan programs. CBNA owns 80% of the Company's outstanding common stock and is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Citigroup Inc. (Citigroup).

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal, recurring accruals, necessary to state fairly the Company's financial position and results of operations in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) have been reflected. The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 may not be indicative of the results for the full year ending December 31, 2010. Certain financial information that is normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP, but is not required for interim reporting purposes, has been condensed or omitted. The accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes included in the Company's 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K and the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

Basis of Presentation

The Company's accounting policies are in conformity with GAAP. The Company's operations are a single segment for financial reporting purposes, as the Company's operations only consist of originating, managing and servicing student loans.

Certain amounts in the prior period's financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation. Such reclassification had no effect on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and Consolidated Statements of Income as previously reported.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP requires the Company to make estimates based on assumptions about current and future economic and market conditions (including, but not limited

to, credit risk, market liquidity and interest rates) which affect reported amounts and related disclosures in the Company's financial statements. Although current estimates reflect existing conditions and expected trends, as appropriate, it is reasonably possible that actual conditions in the future could differ from those estimates. Such differences could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial position. Among other effects, such changes could result in credit losses in excess of established reserves in the Allowance for loan losses.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues, which include net interest income, fees and gains on loans sold, if any, are recognized as they are earned. Interest income includes special allowance payments (SAP) from and excess interest payments to the federal government as prescribed under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (the Higher Education Act), and is net of amortization of premiums and origination costs. The Company accounts for premiums and origination costs in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 310-20. Deferred premiums and origination costs on the Company's loan portfolio are amortized using the interest method and recognized as yield adjustments to net interest income.

Loans

The Company has a portfolio of student loans originated under the FFEL Program authorized by the Department under the Higher Education Act which are insured by guaranty agencies (guarantors). The Company recognizes student loan interest income as it is earned. SAP from and excess interest payments to the federal government, if any, are recognized as yield adjustments to interest income.

The Company also has a portfolio of private education loans primarily consisting of CitiAssist® loans. Some of those loans are insured against loss by private insurers or covered under other risk-sharing agreements with creditworthy schools. Other loans, including many higher risk loans, are neither insured nor covered under risk-sharing agreements. The Company is exposed to 100% of loss on such loans. Effective January 1, 2008, the Company elected to stop insuring new CitiAssist loan originations.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company's allowance for loan losses provides a reserve for estimated probable credit losses incurred in its FFEL Program and private education loan portfolios. Losses that are probable and estimable are expensed currently which increases the provision for loan losses. Actual losses are charged against the reserve as they occur, and subsequent recoveries are credited back to the reserve.

For FFEL Program loans, the Company divides the portfolio into pools with similar risk characteristics based on loan program type and loan status (in-school, grace, forbearance, repayment, and delinquency). For private education loans, the pools are divided based on loan program type, school type, loan status, underwriting criteria and insurance coverage. The allowance for each of these portfolios is based upon a migration analysis which utilizes historical delinquency and credit loss experience, generally developed using six months of historical data, applied to the current aging of the portfolio. Credit loss experience is based on net credit losses, after incorporating the impact of credit risk insurance coverage obtained from third parties on certain loans and any risk-sharing agreements with certain schools. The Company evaluates the output of the migration analysis and may make adjustments based on its consideration of current trends and conditions, including, among other things, lending and collection policy changes, regulatory changes and general economic conditions affecting borrowers, private insurers, risk sharers, and higher education institution clients. Counterparty exposures are assessed on a quarterly basis by evaluating payment performance trends, available financial performance, internal and external credit ratings, and news events. If the Company believes that it is probable that any one of the counterparties will be unable to meet its contractual obligations, additional reserves are recorded to reflect such event.

The Company ceases to accrue interest income on a student loan when one of the following events occurs: (1) a FFEL Program loan loses its guarantee, (2) an insured private education loan reaches 150 days of delinquency or (3) an uninsured private education loan reaches 90 days of delinquency. Accrual of interest is resumed if the loan guarantee is reinstated or when principal and interest become current again. Interest received on non-accruing loans is recorded directly into interest income. The Company immediately writes off the loan balance corresponding to the

unguaranteed portion of FFEL Program loans at the end of the month in which the loan is at least 270 days delinquent and the uninsured portion of private education loans at the end of the month in which the loan is at least 120 days delinquent. The Company also writes off the loan balances for loans in which the guarantee claim is not received for FFEL Program and private education loans after 450 days and 240 days of delinquency, respectively. When loans or portions of loans are written off, the Company reduces interest income by the amounts of accrued, uncollected interest and unamortized deferred premiums and origination costs.

The Company's FFEL Program and private education loans contain terms that allow the borrower to postpone payments while in school or to postpone or reduce payments if they meet certain income or financial hardship criteria. The Company continues to accrue interest income on such loans. This accrued interest increases the borrowers' unpaid balance.

Transfer of Student Loans

Whole Loan Sales

The Company accounts for its whole loan sales in accordance with the provisions of ASC 860-10-40. In order for a transfer of financial assets to be considered a sale, the assets transferred by the Company must have been isolated from the seller, even in bankruptcy or other receivership, and the purchaser must have the right to pledge or exchange the assets transferred. In addition, the sale accounting rules of ASC 860-10-40-5 require the Company to relinquish effective control over the loans sold as of the sale date.

Loans Securitized

Prior to January 1, 2010, the Company used two distinct methods of accounting for its securitizations. Certain of the Company's securitizations met the qualifications of ASC 860-10-40-5 to be accounted for as a sale. The qualifications were that the assets transferred were legally isolated from the Company, even in the event of a bankruptcy; that the holders of the beneficial interests were not constrained from pledging or exchanging their interests; and that the transferred did not maintain effective control over the transferred assets. The Company used a two-step structure with a qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE) to obtain legal isolation. For the Company's securitizations which were accounted for as a sale, referred to as off-balance sheet securitizations, the transferred assets were removed from the consolidated balance sheet and a gain or loss was recognized. The Company's securitizations that failed to meet the accounting requirements for a sale in accordance with ASC 860-10-40-5, referred to as on-balance sheet securitizations, were accounted for as secured borrowings and the transferred assets were consolidated in the Company's financial statements.

As a result of changes in accounting standards effective January 1, 2010, the Company consolidated all of its former QSPE's. In addition, all of the Company's future securitization transactions are likely to be accounted for as secured borrowings and consolidated in the Company's financial statements. For additional information, see Accounting Changes below.

The Company's on-balance sheet securitization transactions are collateralized by certain of its student loans, which are recorded in Federally insured student loans and Private education loans, and by accrued interest on the student loans and restricted cash and cash equivalents, which are recorded in Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Historically, the Company retained interests in off-balance sheet securitization trusts in the form of residual interests, servicing assets, and retained notes. All of the Company's retained interests were recorded at fair value in accordance with GAAP. Unrealized gains and losses on retained interests were reported in Fee and other income. Accreted interest on residual interests was reported in Interest income. The Company estimated the fair value of the residual interests and servicing assets using an income approach which determined the present value of expected future cash flows using modeling techniques that incorporated management's best estimates of key assumptions, including prepayment speeds, credit losses, borrower benefits and discount rates.

The Company receives cash from the trusts for servicing fee revenues, residual interest distributions and payments of principal and interest on retained notes.

For additional information on the Company's securitization activities see Note 8.

Loans Financed through Department of Education Programs

The Company has funded certain of its FFEL Program loans through the Conduit. The funding received from the Conduit is accounted for as secured borrowings. These borrowings are recorded in long-term secured borrowings and the student loans pledged as collateral are recorded in Federally insured student loans on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. See Note 5 for additional information regarding the Conduit.

The Company also obtains debt financing through the Department's Loan Participation Purchase Program (the Participation Program). The borrowings through the Participation Program are collateralized by FFEL Program Stafford and PLUS loans which are recorded in Loans held for sale on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Loans funded under the Participation Program are sold to the Department pursuant to the Department's Loan Purchase Commitment Program (the Purchase Program). Additional information pertaining to the Company's borrowings through the Participation Program can be found in Note 5.

Loans Held for Sale

Management continually assesses its future loan sale plans and may transfer loans or record loans directly into the held for sale portfolio to meet the Company's anticipated near term sale requirements. These loans are recorded at the lower of cost, consisting of principal and deferred costs, or fair value. During 2010 and 2009, loans held for sale were composed of loans to be sold to the Department under the Purchase Program, which provides for a purchase price that is higher than the carrying value of the loans. For both the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the fair value of loans held for sale exceeded cost. Accordingly, no valuation allowance was necessary.

Changes in the Company's loans held for sale are presented in the table below:

	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,				
(Dollars in thousands)		2010		2009		2010		2009		
Balance at beginning of period	\$	4,293,521	\$	2,156,498	\$	2,409,267	\$	1,072,316		
Originations and purchases		485,475		689,727		2,509,485		1,854,899		
Transfers back into the operating										
loan portfolio		(2,372)		_		(3,703)		(3,812)		
Loan sales		_		(1,194,743)		_		(1,194,749)		
Other (1)		(63,594)		(76,829)		(202,019)		(154,001)		
Balance at end of period	\$	4,713,030	\$	1,574,653	\$	4,713,030	\$	1,574,653		

⁽¹⁾ Amounts include, among other things, borrower principal payments and loan cancellations.

Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents

Restricted cash and cash equivalents represents amounts related to the Company's secured borrowings. This cash must be used to make payments related to the secured borrowings and is classified as a component of Other assets. Amounts on deposit in these accounts represent reserve accounts or are the result of timing differences between when principal and interest is collected on the trust assets and when principal and interest is paid on trust liabilities.

Derivatives

The Company currently has one cross-currency swap and one interest rate swap. The cross-currency swap is intended to manage the Company's exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations related to its Euro denominated secured borrowing. The Company's interest rate swap is intended to economically hedge the Company's exposure resulting from fluctuations between prime and London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) related to certain of its secured borrowings collateralized by private education loans.

The Company historically had derivative financial instruments including interest rate swaps and floor options which were intended to economically hedge the interest rate risk inherent in its residual interests and servicing assets in off-balance sheet securitizations. The Company closed out of these positions during the fourth quarter of 2009 in

anticipation of changes in accounting standards effective January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously unconsolidated securitizations and the elimination of the related retained interests. The Company's derivative instruments do not qualify for hedge accounting under ASC 815 and are carried at fair value in Other assets and Other liabilities with changes in fair value recorded currently in Fee and other income.

Internally Developed Software

Certain direct costs associated with the development of internal use software are capitalized. The Company capitalizes development costs for internal use software in accordance with the provisions of ASC 350-40. These costs are included in Other assets and are amortized by the straight-line method over the service period, not to exceed ten years. Capitalization of development costs starts after the preliminary project stage is completed and ends when the project is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. Capitalized internally developed software costs are periodically reviewed for impairment. Capitalized costs of projects deemed to be obsolete or abandoned are written off as operating expenses.

Accounting Changes

Subsequent Events: Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements

In February 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-09, Subsequent Events: Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements. This ASU clarifies that an entity that is an SEC filer is required to evaluate subsequent events through the date that the financial statements are issued. However, an entity that is an SEC filer is not required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. This ASU was effective beginning with the first quarter of 2010.

Additional Disclosures Regarding Fair Value Measurements

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This ASU requires disclosing the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and describing the reasons for the transfers. The disclosures are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. The Company adopted ASU 2010-06 as of January 1, 2010. Additionally, disclosures of the gross purchases, sales, issuances and settlements activity in Level 3 of the fair value measurement hierarchy will be required for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010.

Elimination of QSPEs and Changes in the Consolidation Model for Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 (SFAS 166, now incorporated into ASC Topic 860) and SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (SFAS 167, now incorporated into ASC Topic 810). The Company adopted both standards on January 1, 2010 and has elected to apply both standards prospectively. Accordingly, prior periods have not been restated.

SFAS 166 eliminated QSPEs. SFAS 167 details three key changes to the consolidation model. First, former QSPEs are now included in the scope of SFAS 167. In addition, the FASB has changed the method of analyzing which party to a VIE should consolidate the VIE (known as the primary beneficiary) to a qualitative determination of which party to the VIE has "power" combined with potentially significant benefits or losses, instead of the previous quantitative risks and rewards model. The party that has "power" has the ability to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance. Finally, the new standard requires that the primary beneficiary analysis be re-evaluated whenever circumstances change. The previous rules required reconsideration of the primary beneficiary only when specified reconsideration events occurred.

Based on the qualitative analysis performed, the Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of all of its previously off-balance sheet securitizations because the Company was determined to be the variable interest holder with the power to most significantly influence the economic performance of the trusts. In addition, through its

residual interest, the Company was determined to have the obligation to absorb losses and the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. This resulted in the consolidation of all of the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitizations on January 1, 2010. The Company consolidated all of the former QSPEs by initially measuring the assets and liabilities of the former QSPEs at their carrying values (the amounts at which the assets and liabilities would have been carried in the Consolidated Financial Statements if the Company had always consolidated these former QSPEs).

The consolidation of the Company's previously unconsolidated securitization trusts on January 1, 2010 had the following impact on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet:

	January 1,	
(Dollars in thousands)	2010	
	(Unaudited)	
Assets:		
Federally insured student loans	\$ 11,825,513	
Private education loans	2,064,716	
Deferred origination and premium costs	247,902	
Allowance for loan losses	(6,987)
Student loans, net	14,131,144	
Residual interest in securitized loans	(820,291)
Other assets	274,666	
Total Assets	\$ 13,585,519	
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:		
Long-term secured borrowings	\$ 14,165,862	
Deferred income taxes	(219,113)
Other liabilities	2,878	
Total Liabilities	13,949,627	
Retained earnings	(364,108)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 13,585,519	

2. FUTURE APPLICATIONS OF ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Credit Quality and Allowance for Credit Losses Disclosures

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, Disclosures about Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and Allowance for Credit Losses. The ASU requires a greater level of disaggregated information about the allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of financing receivables. The period-end balance disclosure requirements for loans and the allowance for loans losses will be effective for reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010, while disclosures for activity during a reporting period that occurs in the loan and allowance for loan losses accounts will be effective for reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010.

Potential Amendments to Current Accounting Standards

The FASB is currently working on amendments to existing accounting standards governing financial instruments. Upon completion of the standards, the Company will need to reevaluate its accounting and disclosures. The FASB is proposing sweeping changes to the classification and measurement of financial instruments, hedging and impairment guidance. This project will have a significant impact to the Company. However, due to ongoing deliberations of the standard-setters, the Company is currently unable to determine the effect of future amendments or proposals at this time.

3. STUDENT LOANS

The Company's portfolio of student loans consists primarily of loans originated under government guaranteed loan programs, principally the FFEL Program, and private education loans, primarily CitiAssist loans.

The Company's loans are summarized by program type as follows:

	June 30,	I	December 31,
(Dollars in thousands)	2010		2009
Federal Stafford Loans	\$ 11,206,066	\$	10,414,533
Federal Consolidation Loans	15,645,435		5,864,695
Federal SLS/PLUS/HEAL Loans	1,723,165		1,669,478
Private education loans	9,877,200		7,432,471
Total student loans held, excluding deferred costs	38,451,866		25,381,177
Deferred origination and premium costs	714,830		548,083
Student loans held	39,166,696		25,929,260
Less: allowance for loan losses	(173,565)	(149,098)
Student loans held, net	38,993,131		25,780,162
Loans held for sale, excluding deferred costs	4,659,978		2,381,026
Deferred origination and premium costs	53,052		28,241
Loans held for sale	4,713,030		2,409,267
Other loans and lines of credit (1)	168		5,616
Total loan assets	\$ 43,706,329	\$	28,195,045

⁽¹⁾ Recorded in Other Assets and included in Other in Note 4.

4.OTHER ASSETS

Other assets are summarized as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
(Dollars in thousands)	2010	2009
Accrued interest receivable:		
from student loan borrowers	\$ 1,137,351	\$ 889,461
from federal government	7,708	1,607
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	1,082,178	690,536
Income taxes receivable	127,450	114,077
Deferred financing fees	91,164	39,667
Equipment and computer software (1)	22,504	24,745
Servicing asset from securitization activity	_	176,587
Retained notes from securitization activities	_	19,750
Other	23,812	34,093
Total other assets	\$ 2,492,167	\$ 1,990,523

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are reflected net of accumulated depreciation and software amortization of \$68.8 million and \$67.0 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

5. SHORT- AND LONG-TERM BORROWINGS

The following table summarizes the Company's total borrowings:

		December 31, 2009				
(Dollars in thousands)	Interest Rates			ding Balance	Eı	nding Balance
Unsecured borrowings:						
Short-term borrowings, payable to						
principal stockholder	0.31% to 2.90	%	\$	4,566,600	\$	5,131,000
Long-term borrowings, payable to						
principal stockholder	2.67% to 5.39	%		3,541,000		4,391,000
Total unsecured borrowings			\$	8,107,600	\$	9,522,000
Secured borrowings:						
Short-term secured borrowings	0.71	%	\$	4,594,795	\$	2,066,686
Senior notes on long-term secured						
borrowings from on-balance sheet						
securitizations(1)	0.37% to 4.75	%		20,703,574		6,812,894
Subordinated notes on long-term						
secured borrowings from on-balance						
sheet securitizations(1)	0.60% to 2.29	%		789,990		_
Long-term secured borrowings from						
the Conduit(2)	0.72	%		10,182,032		10,187,082
Total secured borrowings			\$	36,270,391	\$	19,066,662
Total Borrowings			\$	44,377,991	\$	28,588,662

- (1) Interest due on secured borrowings from the Company's on-balance sheet securitizations is variable based on either 3-Month LIBOR, 1-Month LIBOR or prime, plus a fixed premium.
- (2) Interest due on secured borrowings from the Conduit is variable based on the rates at which the Conduit is able to issue commercial paper, plus fixed costs associated with the Conduit.

The Company's Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, entered into on January 29, 2010 (Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement) as executed provided up to \$6.6 billion in aggregate credit for new borrowings, including separate tranches (with their own sublimits and pricing) for overnight funding, FFEL Program loan funding, private education loan funding and illiquid asset funding. After completing two private education loan securitizations in the first quarter of 2010, the Company reduced the aggregate commitment amount by \$1.0 billion to \$5.6 billion. After completing another securitization in July 2010, the Company further reduced the aggregate commitment amount under the credit agreement by \$1.0 billion to \$4.6 billion. The initial term of the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement expires on December 30, 2010 and all new borrowings will be due and payable on or before this date.

The \$8.1 billion of borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2010 under the terms of the Omnibus Credit Agreement dated November 30, 2000, between the Company and CBNA (Original Omnibus Credit Agreement) will continue to mature based on their originally contracted maturities, unless a change of control or an event of default, as defined by the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, occurs. A change of control is defined as any event that results in an entity other than CBNA or its affiliates owning more than 50% of the voting equity interest in the Company. If a

change of control or an event of default (certain of which require explicit action by CBNA to effect an acceleration) under the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement were to occur, all outstanding borrowings under the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement and all new borrowings under the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement would become due and payable immediately. The borrowings outstanding under the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement are also subject to the representations, warranties, conditions, covenants (including a borrowing base and various other financial covenants) and events of default contained in the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement.

At June 30, 2010, \$2.5 billion of the Company's outstanding unsecured borrowings under the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement and \$2.1 billion of the Company's secured borrowings from certain of its private education loan securitizations included interest rate floor derivatives embedded in the respective debt instruments. These embedded options have been determined to be clearly and closely related to the debt instruments as these terms are defined in ASC 815 and, therefore, do not require bifurcation.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$0.2 billion of the Company's senior notes from securitizations is denominated in Euros and \$0.1 billion of subordinated notes remains available for issuance. At June 30, 2010, the total authorized long-term secured borrowings of \$31.8 billion were collateralized by \$26.8 billion of FFEL Program loans and \$5.8 billion of private education loans. Principal payments on the secured borrowings are made as principal amounts are collected on the collateralized loans. The secured borrowings from the Company's on-balance sheet securitizations mature from September 2014 through February 2045. Secured borrowings related to the Conduit will mature before the expiration of the Conduit Program in January 2014.

See the Consolidated Balance Sheet for additional information regarding collateralized assets related to the Company's long-term secured borrowings.

The Conduit provides additional liquidity support to eligible student lenders by providing funding for FFEL Program Stafford and PLUS loans first disbursed on or after October 1, 2003 and before July 1, 2009, and fully disbursed by September 30, 2009. In addition to providing financing at a cost based on market rates, a significant benefit to lenders is that eligible loans are permitted to have borrower benefits, which are currently not permitted under the Participation and Purchase Programs. Funding from the Conduit is provided indirectly by the capital markets through the sale to private investors of government back-stopped asset-backed commercial paper. The Company receives funding equal to 97% of the principal and interest to be capitalized of the pledged student loans. The commercial paper issued by the Conduit has short-term maturities generally ranging up to 90 days. In the event the commercial paper issued by the Conduit cannot be reissued at maturity and the Conduit does not have sufficient cash to repay investors, the Federal Financing Bank (FFB) has committed to provide short-term liquidity to the Conduit. If the Conduit is not able to issue sufficient commercial paper to repay its investors or liquidity advances from the FFB, the Company can either secure alternative financing and repay its Conduit borrowings or sell the pledged student loans to the Department at a predetermined price equal to either 97% or 100% of the accrued interest and outstanding principal of pledged loans, based on first disbursement date and certain other loan criteria. If the Company were to sell the pledged loans to the Department, this would likely result in a significant loss to the Company.

6. FEE AND OTHER INCOME

Fee and other income is summarized as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,					
(Dollars in thousands)		2010		2	2009		2010			2009
Late fees	\$	2,899	\$	7	792	\$	7,801		\$	2,476
Net mark-to-market gain (loss) on										
interest rate derivative		4,251		_	_		(89)		_
Gain (loss) on foreign currency										
translation net of mark-to-market (loss)										
gain on foreign currency swap		1,006		((1,153)	185			1,098
		264		2	2,017		788			4,165

Other origination and servicing fees from

CBNA

Net gains from securitization retained					
interests and related derivatives	_	28,720	_		29,247
Other income	391	475	711		823
Total fee and other income	\$ 8,811	\$ 30,851	\$ 9,396	\$	37,809

7. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

CBNA, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Citigroup, owns 80% of the outstanding common stock of the Company. Pursuant to various intercompany agreements, a number of significant transactions are carried out between the Company and Citigroup, CBNA and/or their affiliates. Related party agreements with CBNA include the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, a tax-sharing agreement and student loan originations and servicing agreements. In addition, the Company maintains a trust agreement with CBNA through which it originates FFEL Program loans. Also, the Company has an agreement for education loan servicing with Citibank (South Dakota), National Association. Management believes that the terms under which these transactions and services are provided are no less favorable to the Company than those that could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

The Company is part of a group of businesses within Citigroup, referred to as Citi Holdings. Citigroup intends to exit these businesses as quickly as practicable in an economically rational manner through business divestitures, portfolio run-off and asset sales. The Company's management is currently working with Citi Holdings and third parties with respect to possible disposition and combination alternatives regarding Citigroup's ownership in the Company and / or the Company's ownership of some or all of its assets.

Detailed below is a summary of the Company's transactions with either CBNA or other Citigroup affiliates which are included in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,				
(Dollars in thousands)		2010		2009	2010			2009
Revenues:								
Interest income	\$	112	\$	117	\$ 152		\$	267
Interest expense		87,933		89,967	174,651			206,623
Fee and other income (loss):								
Derivative valuation gain (loss)		_		42,886	(267)		96,464
Other origination and servicing fees		264		2,017	788			4,165
Operating Expenses:								
Salaries and employee benefits								
Employee benefits and administration	\$	1,530	\$	1,670	\$ 3,081		\$	3,366
Stock-based compensation		300		321	615			304
Other expenses								
Servicing, professional and other fees								
paid		14,616		15,593	29,579			31,415
Data processing and communications		2,397		1,986	4,846			3,945
Premises		534		533	1,059			1,088
Write-off of funding commitment fee		_		_	7,500			_
Other		657		842	1,505			1,491

Funding Agreements

On January 29, 2010, the Company entered into the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement with CBNA. The Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement supersedes and replaces in its entirety the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement and is effective as of January 1, 2010. The Company's unsecured borrowings at December 31, 2009 represent borrowings outstanding under the terms of Original Omnibus Credit Agreement. The Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, as executed, provided up to \$6.6 billion in aggregate credit for new borrowings, including separate tranches (with their own sublimits and pricing) for overnight funding, FFEL Program loan funding,

private education loan funding and illiquid asset funding. After completing two loan securitizations in the first quarter of 2010, the Company reduced the aggregate commitment amount under the credit agreement by a total of \$1.0 billion to \$5.6 billion. After completing another securitization in July 2010, the Company further reduced the aggregate commitment amount under the credit agreement by \$1.0 billion to \$4.6 billion. The initial term of the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement expires on December 30, 2010 and all new borrowings will be due and payable on or before this date.

All of the Company's outstanding short- and long-term unsecured borrowings were incurred under the terms of the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement. At June 30, 2010 the Company had outstanding short- and long-term unsecured borrowings with CBNA of \$4.6 billion and \$3.5 billion, respectively, and \$5.1 billion and \$4.4 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2009.

When the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement was executed, the Company paid an upfront funding commitment fee of \$57.0 million to secure the funding commitment. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized amortization of the upfront funding commitment fee of \$11.8 million and \$26.0 millions, respectively, which is included in Interest expense. The Company also recorded a \$7.5 million write down of the upfront funding commitment fee in conjunction with the loan commitment reduction for the six months ended June 30, 2010, which is included as a component of Operating expense. Under this agreement, CBNA also charges a monthly fee on the undrawn balance, for which the Company recognized \$17.4 million and \$32.3 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 in Interest expense.

For further information on the Company's borrowings with CBNA, see Note 5.

Interest Rate Swap and Option Agreements

Historically, the Company maintained interest rate derivative and option agreements with CBNA, an investment-grade counterparty. The interest rate derivative agreements were used in an effort to manage the interest rate risk inherent in the retained interests in the Company's off-balance sheet securitizations. The option agreements were designated as economic hedges to the floor income component of the residual interests. The Company closed out of these derivative positions during the fourth quarter of 2009 in anticipation of changes in accounting standards effective January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously unconsolidated securitizations and elimination of the related retained interests being hedged.

Student Loan Origination Agreement and Servicing Fees Earned

CitiAssist loans are originated and serviced under an intercompany agreement with CBNA. After final disbursement by CBNA, the Company purchases all qualified private education loans at CBNA's carrying value at the time of purchase, plus a contractual premium. Total principal balances of CitiAssist loans purchased by the Company were \$0.1 billion and \$0.7 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, as compared to \$0.1 billion and \$0.2 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Total premiums paid by the Company related to CitiAssist loan purchases were \$0.9 million and \$4.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, as compared to \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. At June 30, 2010, the Company was committed to purchase CitiAssist loans of \$0.1 billion.

Servicing, Professional and Other Fees Paid

The majority of the loan originations and servicing work on the Company's FFEL Program and CitiAssist loan portfolios was performed under the provisions of intercompany agreements with affiliates of the Company, including Citibank (South Dakota), National Association.

Stock-based Compensation

The Company participates in various Citigroup stock-based compensation programs under which Citigroup stock or stock options are granted to certain of the Company's employees. The Company has no stock-based compensation programs in which its own stock is granted. The Company pays Citigroup directly for participation in certain of its stock-based compensation programs, but receives a capital contribution for those awards related to participation in the employee incentive stock option program.

CBNA Tax-sharing Agreement

The Company is included in the consolidated federal income tax return of Citigroup, as well as certain combined or unitary state/local income or franchise tax returns of Citigroup or its subsidiaries. As such, the Company pays its income taxes through CBNA. The taxes paid by the Company are based on an effective tax rate that approximates the tax expense that would be recognized if the Company were to file such income tax returns on a stand-alone basis.

Other Intercompany Arrangements

Citigroup and its subsidiaries engage in other transactions and servicing activities with the Company, including cash management, data processing, telecommunications, payroll processing and administration, facilities procurement, underwriting, and others.

8. STUDENT LOAN SECURITIZATIONS AND VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company maintains programs to securitize certain portfolios of student loan assets. Prior to January 1, 2010, the Company's securitization transactions qualifying as sales under ASC 860-10-40-5 were off-balance sheet transactions in which the loans were removed from the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and sold to an independent trust. In order to pay for the loan assets, the trust sold debt securities, collateralized primarily by the student loan assets, to outside investors. For off-balance sheet securitizations, the Company generally retained interests in the form of subordinated residual interests (i.e., interest-only strips) and servicing rights.

The Company also entered into similar securitization transactions that did not qualify for sale treatment and, accordingly, were accounted for as secured borrowings. These transactions do not give rise to a gain or loss on sale. Student loan assets sold to these securitization trusts, along with other assets and liabilities of the trusts, remain on-balance sheet. The Company's FFEL Program loans that are funded through the Conduit are funded indirectly through the sale of asset-backed commercial paper to private investors and are also accounted for as secured borrowings with the transferred assets remaining on-balance sheet. See Notes 1 and 5 for additional details about the Company's secured borrowings.

As a result of changes in accounting standards effective January 1, 2010, the Company has consolidated all of its previously off-balance sheet securitization transactions. In addition, all of the Company's future securitization transactions will likely be accounted for as secured borrowings and consolidated in the Company's financial statements. For additional information about the changes in accounting standards and the impact of the consolidation on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet, see Accounting Changes in Note 1.

For an understanding of the carrying amount of assets pledged as collateral for secured borrowings through securitizations and the related liabilities at June 30, 2010, refer to the table included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The assets of the Company's consolidated VIEs are restricted from being sold or pledged as collateral for other borrowings and the cash flows from these restricted assets may be used only to pay obligations of the trust.

Under terms of all the trust arrangements, the Company has the option, but not the obligation, to provide financial support, but has never provided such support. A substantial portion of the credit risk associated with the securitized loans has been transferred to third party guarantors or insurers either under the FFEL Program or private credit insurance.

The following table summarizes the Company's securitization activity:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
(Dollars in thousands)		2010		2009	2010		2009
Student loans securitized (1)	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 1,899,710	\$	587,285
Student loans funded through the							
Conduit (1)		_		8,543,157	547,541		8,543,157
Total student loans funded through							
securitization financings (1)	\$	_	\$	8,543,157	\$ 2,447,251	\$	9,130,442
Net proceeds from student loans							
securitized (2)	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 1,459,441	\$	546,126
Net proceeds from student loans							
funded through the Conduit during the							
current period (2)		_		8,492,288	533,632		8,492,288
Total net proceeds from securitization							
financings(2)	\$	_	\$	8,492,288	\$ 1,993,073	\$	9,038,414

- (1) Amounts represent the carrying value of the student loans securitized as of the securitization date.
- (2) Amounts represent net proceeds from loans securitized or funded through the Conduit as of the securitization / funding date.

The difference between student loans funded through securitization financings and net proceeds received is largely a result of required overcollateralization, but also reflects issuance costs.

The following table summarizes the principal amounts and fair values of retained interests in the Company's off-balance sheet loan securitizations at December 31, 2009:

	Decembe	December 31, 2009				
	FFEL	Private				
	Program	Education				
(Dollars in thousands)	Loans	Loans				
Principal amounts	\$ 11,830,320	\$ 2,066,492				
Retained interests	868,529	148,099				

The following table reflects amounts received from previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts:

	Thr	ee Months Ended	S	ix Months Ended
		June 30,		June 30,
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2009
Cash received for servicing	\$	19,622	\$	39,688
Cash received on residual interests		48,711		102,570
Cash received on retained notes		610		1,391

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company earned \$19.5 million and \$39.5 million, respectively, of contractually specified servicing fees. The company also earned \$0.6 million and \$1.3 million of

interest on retained notes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively.

Changes in the Company's servicing assets are presented in the table below:

	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended				
			June	30,			Jur	ne 30,	
(Dollars in thousands)		2010			2009		2010		2009
Balance at beginning of period	\$	_		\$	200,798	\$	176,587	\$	208,133
Changes in fair value due to changes in									
inputs and assumptions		_			(6,798)	_		(5,586)
Other changes (1)		_			(8,002)	(176,587)		(16,549)
Balance at end of period	\$	_		\$	185,998	\$	_	\$	185,998

(1) Amount for the six months ended June 30, 2010 represents the elimination of servicing assets as the Company consolidated previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts as a result of changes in accounting standards. Amount for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 represents the effects of excess servicing income received and the passage of time.

The following table reflects net gains from securitization retained interests and related derivatives from off-balance sheet securitization trusts, which are recorded in Fee and other income:

	-	Three Months			
		Ended Six Months			led
		June 30,		June 30,	
(Dollars in thousands)		2009		2009	
Losses related to residual interests	\$	(18,884) \$	(84,543)
Servicing revenue net of valuation gains and losses on					
servicing assets		4,718		17,326	
Mark-to-market gains on derivatives		42,886		96,464	
Net gains from securitization retained interests and related					
derivatives	\$	28,720	\$	29,247	

The Company utilized discounted cash flow models to measure the fair value of its residual interests and servicing assets. These models required management to make certain assumptions which, while based on relevant internal and external data, inherently involved significant judgment and uncertainty. Key assumptions utilized to measure the fair value of these retained interests include discount rates, basis spreads, anticipated net credit loss rates, anticipated prepayment rates and projected borrower benefit utilization rates. The Company's discount rate was the sum of a risk-free rate and a risk premium, which reflected the prevailing economic and market conditions at the balance sheet date.

The key assumptions used to value the residual interests of securitized trusts were as follows:

	December 31,
	2009
Discount rates:	
FFEL Program Consolidation Loans	11.10 %
FFEL Program Stafford and PLUS loan	11.10 %
Private education loans	16.85 %
Constant prepayment rates:	
FFEL Program Consolidation Loans	0.16% to 0.58%
FFEL Program Stafford and PLUS loan	4.44 %

Private education loans	3.45	%
Anticipated credit losses, net of insurance and guarantees:		
FFEL Program Consolidation Loans	0.27	%
FFEL Program Stafford and PLUS loan	0.57	%
Private education loans	0.90	%
Basis spread between LIBOR and Commercial Paper rates	16 basis poi	nts
Utilization rates of borrower benefits:		
Automated clearing house	2.6% to 38.1	1%
On time payments	0% to 34.5	5%

The key assumptions used to value the servicing assets of trusts related to securitization sales were as follows:

	December 31, 2009
Discount rates:	
FFEL Program Consolidation Loans	5.39 %
Private education loans	5.89 %
Constant prepayment rates:	
FFEL Program Consolidation Loans	0.16% to 0.58%
Private education loans	3.45 %
Weighted average servicing margin	20 basis points

Principal amounts of off-balance sheet securitized loans and the related loan delinquencies (loans which are 90 days or more past due) are presented in the following table:

(Dollars in thousands)	De	ecember 31, 2009
Principal amounts	\$	13,896,812
Delinquencies		553,463

Credit losses, net of recoveries, for the Company's off-balance sheet securitized loans are presented in the table below:

	Three Months	Six Months
	Ended	Ended
	June 30,	June 30,
(Dollars in thousands)	2009	2009
Credit losses, net of recoveries:	\$ 3,047	\$ 5,825

9. DERIVATIVE AGREEMENTS

The Company currently has one cross-currency swap and one interest rate swap. The cross-currency swap is intended to economically hedge the Company's exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on its Euro denominated secured borrowing and matures at the earlier of the date at which the respective notes mature, the trust loan assets have been liquidated or 2032. The Company's interest rate swap relates to one of the Company's securitization trusts that was consolidated as a result of changes in accounting standards and was included within the Company's financial statements beginning in the first quarter of 2010. The interest rate swap is intended to economically hedge the trusts' risk resulting from fluctuations between prime and LIBOR and matures in 2017.

Historically, the Company maintained interest rate derivative and option agreements with CBNA, an investment-grade counterparty. The interest rate derivative agreements were used in an effort to manage the interest rate risk inherent in the retained interests in the Company's off-balance sheet securitizations. The option agreements were designated as economic hedges to the floor income component of the residual interests. The Company closed out of these derivative positions during the fourth quarter of 2009 in anticipation of changes in accounting standards effective January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously unconsolidated securitizations and elimination of the related retained interests being hedged.

The Company's derivative instruments do not qualify for hedge accounting under ASC 815.

The fair values of the Company's derivatives are included in Other Assets and Other Liabilities, respectively, and are provided in the table below:

Edgar Filing: STUDENT LOAN CORP - Form 10-Q

		June 30, 2010	Dec	ember 31, 2	2009	
		Fair '	Value		Fai	r Value
(Dollars in						
thousands)	Notional	Asset	Liability	Notional	Asset	Liability
Prime-LIBOR swap	\$ 1,860,786	\$ 17,222	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Foreign currency						
swap	232,050	_	48,442	232,050	_	17,381

Gains and losses on the Company's derivatives are recorded in Fee and Other Income and are provided in the table below:

	Three Mor June		Six Moi Jui	nded	
(Dollars in thousands)	2010	2009	2010		2009
(Losses) gains on foreign currency swap \$	(18,074)	\$ 10,037	\$ (31,060)	\$	2,688
Gains (losses) on prime-LIBOR swap	4,251	_	(89)		_
Gains on LIBOR-based swaps	_	3,862	_		10,431
Gains on interest rate floor options	_	39,024	_		86,033
Net (losses) gains on derivatives \$	(13,823)	\$ 52,923	\$ (31,149)	\$	99,152

10. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ASC 825-10)

The estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments is presented in the following table:

	June 30,	, 20	10	December	2009	
	Carrying	Es	stimated Fair	Carrying	Es	stimated Fair
(Dollars in thousands)	Value		Value	Value		Value
Financial Assets:						
Federally insured student loans	\$ 33,752,495	\$	34,217,228	\$ 20,719,055	\$	20,368,741
Private education loans	9,953,834		7,937,497	7,475,990		5,014,558
Cash and restricted cash and cash						
equivalents	1,083,054		1,083,054	708,534		708,534
Accrued interest receivable	1,145,059		1,089,414	891,069		776,524
Derivative assets	17,222		17,222	_		_
Residual interests in loans						
securitized	_		_	820,291		820,291
Servicing assets	_		_	176,587		176,587
Retained notes from securitization						
sales	_		_	19,750		19,750
Financial Liabilities:						
Short-term borrowings	\$ 9,161,395	\$	9,167,166	\$ 7,197,686	\$	7,219,301
Long-term borrowings	35,216,596		34,340,556	21,390,976		21,412,849
Derivative liabilities	48,442		48,442	17,381		17,381
Interest payable	76,416		76,416	63,898		63,898

In accordance with ASC 825-10, the estimated fair values have been determined by the Company using available market information and other valuation methodologies that are described below. The fair value approximates the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment. Accordingly, the estimates may not be indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange. Changes in assumptions could materially affect the estimates.

The difference between fair value and carrying value may vary from period to period based on changes in a wide range of factors, including returns required by investors in financial instruments, LIBOR and Commercial Paper (CP) interest rates, portfolio mix of variable and fixed rate loans, growth of the portfolio, timing of contractual repricing, portfolio age, default rates and maturity or contractual settlement dates.

Total Loan Assets

The fair value of the Company's FFEL Program loans classified as held for sale which the Company has the ability and intent to sell to the Department under the Purchase Program, was determined using contractual sales price. The fair value of all other loans was calculated by discounting cash flows through expected maturity using the estimated current relevant yield curve for the interest rates. The carrying value is presented net of the allowance for loan losses.

Cash, Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents and Accrued Interest Payable

Due to the short-term nature of these instruments, carrying value approximates fair value.

Accrued Interest Receivable

Most of the Company's accrued interest receivable relates to loans that are not currently in repayment. This accrued interest will be added to the borrowers' principal balances when the loans enter repayment. Accordingly, the fair value of accrued interest receivable that is expected to be capitalized was calculated using the same methodology used for the related loan assets. Accrued interest receivable on loans in repayment is considered short-term and, accordingly, carrying value approximates fair value.

Derivatives

The fair value of derivative instruments was based upon quotes received from counterparties. These quotes are based on the counterparties' proprietary models utilizing specific terms and conditions of the derivatives and other market observable inputs. Derivatives are recorded at fair value in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. For additional information about the Company's derivatives, see Note 9.

Residual Interests in Loans Securitized, Servicing Assets and Retained Notes

The fair value of the residual interests in the loans securitized, servicing assets, and retained notes (collectively, retained interests) at December 31, 2009 were determined using a discounted cash flow model. At December 31, 2009, retained interests from securitization were recorded at fair value in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. For more information on student loan securitizations, see Note 8.

Short-Term and Long-Term Borrowings

The Company pays interest on its short- and long-term borrowings based on CP, LIBOR or prime rates. These borrowings generally have variable interest rates with reset periods ranging from one day to every three months, depending on the specific terms of each borrowing. Many of the Company's borrowings are based on a base index plus a credit premium. These credit premiums are fixed over the life of the related borrowing. The fair value of the Company's borrowings from the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement, the Conduit and from its on-balance sheet securitization trusts was calculated by discounting cash flows using, among other things, estimated assumptions including maturity and market discount rates.

11. FAIR VALUE (ASC 860-50-35 and 50, ASC 820-10 AND ASC 825-10)

The Company determines fair value using valuation techniques that are based upon observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's market assumptions. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose primary value drivers are

observable.

Level 3 4nstruments whose primary value drivers are unobservable.

Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for each of these hierarchy levels:

	June 30, 2010				December 31, 2009			
			Le	evel 3				
(Dollars in thousands)	Le	vel 2	(1))	Le	vel 2	Le	vel 3
Assets:								
Other assets (2)	\$	17,222	\$	_	\$	_	\$	196,337
Residual interests in securitized loans		_		_		_		820,291
Total Assets	\$	17,222	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1,016,628
Liabilities:								
Other liabilities	\$	48,442	\$	_	\$	17,381	\$	_

- (1) As a result of changes in accounting standards effective January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously unconsolidated securitizations trusts, the Company no longer has any retained interests in off-balance sheet securitizations, which were previously recorded in Level 3.
- (2) Amount reported in Level 2 at June 30, 2010 represents an interest rate swap from one of the Company's securitization trusts that was consolidated as a result of changes in accounting standards, effective January 1, 2010.

Derivatives

The fair value of the derivatives instruments was based upon quotes received from counterparties. These quotes are based on the counterparties' proprietary models utilizing specific terms and conditions of the derivatives and other market observable inputs. Derivatives are recorded at fair value and are included in Other assets and Other liabilities in the table above and in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. For more information on derivatives, see Note 9.

Retained Interests in Securitized Loans

During 2009, the Company classified its residual interests, servicing assets and retained notes in its off balance-sheet securitizations as Level 3 instruments and utilized discounted cash flow models to measure the fair value. These models required management to make certain assumptions which, while based on relevant internal and external data, inherently involve significant judgment and uncertainty. At June 30, 2009, all of the Company's retained interests in off-balance sheet securitizations were recorded at fair value in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. For more information on loan securitizations, see Note 8.

The following table presents the changes in the Level 3 fair value category:

	Three	Months June 30			Six Mon Jun	ded	
(Dollars in thousands)	2010		2009		2010		2009
Residual interests in securitized loans:							
Balance at beginning of period	\$ _	\$	841,081	\$	820,291	\$	942,807
Total gains and losses							
(realized/unrealized) included in earnings:							
Interest income	_		17,059		_		34,851
Fee and other income	_		(18,884)	_		(84,543)
Purchases, issuances and settlements (1)	_		(48,711)	(820,291)		(102,570)
Balance at end of period	\$ _	\$	790,545	\$	_	\$	790,545
Unrealized losses relating to assets still							
held at the reporting date (2)	\$ _	\$	(18,884) \$	_	\$	(84,543)
Servicing assets and retained notes							
included in Other assets:							
Balance at beginning of period	\$ _	\$	267,285	\$	196,337	\$	274,620
Total losses (realized/unrealized)							
included in earnings:							
Fee and other income	_		(4,415)	_		(1,057)
Purchases, issuances and settlements (1)	_		(10,385)	(196,337)		(21,078)
Balance at end of period	\$ _	\$	252,485	\$	_	\$	252,485
Unrealized losses relating to assets still							
held at the reporting date (2)	\$ _	\$	(6,798) \$	_	\$	(5,586)

⁽¹⁾ Amount reported for the six months ended June 30, 2010 represents the elimination of the Company's residual interests and servicing assets as in its previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts as a result of changes in accounting standards which resulted in the consolidation of these trusts.

Items Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis

12.

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and therefore are not included in the table above. These include assets such as loans held for sale that are measured at the lower of cost or fair value, in which fair value is determined using contractual sales price and therefore classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

For both the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the fair value of loans held for sale exceeded cost and no write down was necessary.

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

⁽²⁾ The difference between total gains and losses (realized /unrealized) included in earnings and unrealized gains and losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date represents accreted yield.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is involved in various litigation proceedings incidental to and typical of the business in which it is engaged. In the opinion of the Company's management, the ultimate resolution of these matters would not be likely to have a material adverse effect on the results of the Company's operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by the words or phrases "believe", "expect", "anticipate", "intend", "estimate", "may increase", "may result in", "may fluctuate similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as "will", "should", "would" and "could". These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, which could cause The Student Loan Corporation's (the Company) actual results to differ materially from those the Company expects, including, but not limited to:

- the amount, availability, and cost of future short- and long-term financing to the Company from Citibank, N.A. (CBNA), securitizations, whole loan sales, and other sources;
- •the Company's ability to acquire or originate a sufficient volume of private education loans in the amounts anticipated and with interest rates that generate sufficient yields and margins;
- •the effects of legislative and regulatory changes that affect the demand for, collectability of and interest rates on student loans, especially the establishment of certain fixed rates of interest on Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) Program loans, as well as the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 which eliminated the origination of new FFEL Program loans as of July 1, 2010;
- •the success of the Company's strategic repositioning efforts, including a more refined origination strategy and pricing changes on its private education loan originations;
- •the success of the Company's marketing and sales efforts to grow and improve the profitability of its private education loan business;
- •actual credit losses, loan collection strategies and their impact on delinquency rates, including but not limited to those associated with changes to the Company's loss mitigation programs, and the adequacy of loan loss reserves;
- •any change in ownership of the Company or its assets that could result from the potential disposition by CBNA or other potential asset dispositions by the Company;
- ·fluctuations in interest rates and between various interest rate indices, particularly the manner in which short-term rates affect the Company's funding costs, consolidation rates, the rates at which interest accrues on its loan portfolio and the effects of interest rate fluctuations on the demand for student loans;
- •the amount of loan subsidies and any effect on the Company's net interest margin;
- ·general economic conditions, including, without limitation, the performance of financial markets and unemployment rates;

.

the availability of alternative financing options to students and their parents, including competitive products offered by other lenders;

- •the amount of financial aid available to students and their parents and the cost of education;
- •prepayment rates on student loans and the quality and profitability of those loans that move into repayment status, as well as actual experience with the repayment cycle of the loan portfolio;
- •the performance of the Company's loan portfolio servicers, insurers, risk-sharers and higher education institution clients;
- •the Company's and other servicers' ability to continue to service the loan portfolio in accordance with their contractual obligations;

- ·loan origination costs; and
- ·the adequacy of the Company's capital expenditures and of funds allocated for future capital expenditures.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes, the Company's 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

Management's Discussion and Analysis provides the Company's perspective on its operations and business environment, including the following:

Business Overview – a general description of the Company's business as well as the impacts of market conditions on the business and business trends.

Business Highlights – a review of key events affecting the Company's historical and future operating results.

Critical Accounting Estimates – an overview of accounting policies that require critical judgments and estimates.

Financial Condition – a discussion and analysis of the Company's loan portfolio, disbursement and procurement activity and allowance for loan losses.

Results of Operations – a review of the Company's results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and discussion of the key factors impacting those results.

Liquidity and Capital Resources – an analysis of the Company's sources and uses of cash and capital obligations.

Legislation and Regulations – a discussion of legislative activities that affect the student loan industry.

Business Overview

The Company is one of the nation's leading originators and holders of student loans providing a full range of education financing products and services to meet the needs of students, parents, schools and lenders. The Company was incorporated in 1992 under the laws of the State of Delaware. CBNA owns 80% of the Company's outstanding common stock and is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Citigroup Inc. (Citigroup). The Company, which has a trust agreement to originate loans through CBNA, is an originator, manager and servicer of private education loans. In addition, the Company owns and services a portfolio of loans made in accordance with historical federally sponsored guaranteed student loan programs. The Company is committed to providing exceptional service to borrowers and schools, offering competitive and innovative products with solutions that allow students and their families to finance the education of their choice.

The majority of the Company's loans have been originated and guaranteed under the FFEL Program, authorized by the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (the Higher Education Act). Following the passage of H.R. 4872, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, effective July 1, 2010, all new federally sponsored guaranteed student loans are being originated through the Federal Direct Loan Program. In compliance with this regulatory change, the Company is continuing to make disbursements on existing FFEL Program loans, however is no longer originating new loans under the FFEL Program.

While the elimination of the FFEL Program affects a portion of the Company's strategy, since 2007, management has pro-actively repositioned the Company towards an increased focus on its private education loan business. Strategic

repositioning activities include, among other things, changes in the private education loan underwriting process and modifications to the Company's risk-based pricing. The Company has also taken initiatives to reduce its expense base and has continued to use the capital markets for long-term liquidity. These actions, in combination with the Company's extensive experience in originating and managing private education loans, which it introduced in 1997, provide a strong foundation as the Company continues its mission to assist students with financing the education of their choice. With the benefit of its experience and reputation in student lending, the Company will continue to offer competitively priced private education loans for undergraduate, graduate, and professional students.

The earnings of the Company are primarily generated by the spread between the interest earned on its loan assets and the interest paid on its borrowings. Net interest income is impacted by, among other things: spread changes between the 90-day Commercial Paper rate as published by the Department (CP), the prime rate or the 91-day Treasury Bill rate and London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR); credit premiums on the Company's debt; utilization rates of borrower benefits; private education loan pricing changes; and portfolio growth or contraction.

The Company utilizes funding from various sources for liquidity and to fund new loan originations including, securitizations, government sponsored programs and borrowings from CBNA. The Department sponsored student loan-backed commercial paper conduit, Straight-A Funding, LLC (the Conduit) provides funding to the Company for certain of its FFEL Program Stafford and PLUS loans which were fully disbursed by September 30, 2009. In addition, since December 2008 the Company has been utilizing the Department's Loan Participation Purchase Program (the Participation Program) and Loan Purchase Commitment Program (the Purchase Program) available through the Ensuring Continued Access to Student Loans Act (ECASLA) to fund new FFEL Program Stafford and PLUS loan originations.

Gains on sales of loans through the Purchase Program, whole loan sales and off-balance sheet securitizations have contributed significantly to the Company's historical earnings. Future gains on sales of loans will depend on market conditions and the Company's operational strategies. In addition, as a result of changes in accounting standards effective January 1, 2010, the Company does not expect to recognize gains or losses on future securitization transactions when they are executed. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to these changes in accounting standards.

Business Highlights

During the second quarter, the Company experienced sequential improvement in its net interest margin primarily reflecting the benefits of higher margins and lower deferred origination and premium costs on the Company's newer private education loan vintages.

In response to regulatory guidance, the Company is in the process of implementing changes to its private education loan loss mitigation programs. The Company expects that these changes, when implemented, will decrease the balance of loans in forbearance status and increase delinquencies and credit losses. The Company has increased its allowance for loan losses for its estimate of inherent losses associated with these policy changes.

In addition, the Company has continued to see an increase in net credit losses primarily due to performance deterioration caused by the economic environment and seasoning of the Uninsured CitiAssist Standard and Custom portfolios. Credit loss experience in 2010 has generally been consistent with expectations and, as a result, no increases in the Company's allowance for loan losses have been required.

During July 2010, the Company paid down \$1.5 billion of grandfathered borrowings under the Omnibus Credit Agreement dated November 30, 2000, between the Company and CBNA (Original Omnibus Credit Agreement) by initially utilizing borrowings from the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, raised \$0.9 billion in a FFEL Program loan securitization transaction and reduced the aggregate commitment under the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement by \$1.0 billion.

Impacts of Changes in Accounting Standards on the Company's Financial Statements

Changes in accounting standards that were effective January 1, 2010 have significantly impacted the Company's balance sheet and results of operations as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. These changes resulted in the consolidation of assets previously sold to unconsolidated securitization trusts and the debt issued by those trusts. This also resulted in the elimination of retained interests related to those securitizations along with the

related mark-to-market gains and losses. The cumulative effect of adopting these new accounting standards on January 1, 2010 resulted in an aggregate after tax charge to retained earnings of \$364.1 million. For additional information about the adoption on January 1, 2010, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition, the Company now recognizes in its financial statements the net interest income of these trusts along with loan loss provisions, mark-to-market gains and losses on derivatives held by the trusts and trust operating expenses. The tables below highlight the consolidating balance sheet and income statement as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010:

Balance Sheet

		Jυ	ine 30, 2010		
		Unaudited)			
	SLC and				
	Previously	S	ubsidiaries		
C	onsolidated	C	onsolidated		Total
Subsidiaries(1)		on	1/1/2010(2)	Co	nsolidated(3)
\$	17,230,290	\$	11,344,376	\$	28,574,666
	7,931,285		1,945,915		9,877,200
	489,800		225,030		714,830
	(167,221)		(6,344)		(173,565)
	4,713,030		_		4,713,030
	876		_		876
	2,018,632		473,535		2,492,167
\$	32,216,692	\$	13,982,512	\$	46,199,204
\$	8,107,600	\$	_	\$	8,107,600
	4,594,795		_		4,594,795
	18,127,105		13,548,491		31,675,596
	165,569		_		165,569
	311,090		29,694		340,784
	(404,327)		404,327		_
	143,336		_		143,336
	1,171,524		_		1,171,524
\$	32,216,692	\$	13,982,512	\$	46,199,204
	\$ \$	Previously Consolidated Subsidiaries(1) \$ 17,230,290	SLC and Previously Consolidated Subsidiaries(1) \$ 17,230,290 7,931,285 489,800 (167,221 4,713,030 876 2,018,632 \$ 32,216,692 \$ 8,107,600 \$ 4,594,795 18,127,105 165,569 311,090 (404,327) 143,336 1,171,524	Previously Consolidated Subsidiaries (1) Subsidiaries (2) Consolidated on 1/1/2010(2) \$ 17,230,290 \$ 11,344,376	(Unaudited) SLC and Previously Consolidated Subsidiaries(1) \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc

- (1) Represents The Student Loan Corporation and its subsidiaries that were consolidated under accounting standards in effect prior to the adoption of accounting standards on January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitizations.
- (2) Represents the Company's subsidiaries that were consolidated upon adoption of accounting standards on January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts.
 - (3) Represents the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2010.

Statement of Income

ment of meonic									
			2010						
				(Unaudited)				
	Im	pact from SI	LC	I	mpact from				
	aı	nd Previousl	y	5	Subsidiaries				
	(Consolidated	ĺ	Co	nsolidated o	n		Total	
(Dollars in thousands)	Sul	osidiaries(1)	(4)	1/	1/2010(2)(4)	Co	onsolidated(3)	
Interest income	\$	201,635		\$	81,688		\$	283,323	
Interest expense		(168,654)		(20,210)		(188,864))
Net interest income		32,981			61,478			94,459	
Provision for loan losses		(39,330)		(2,067)		(41,397))
Fee and other income (loss)		3,371			5,440			8,811	
Salaries and employee benefits		(7,620)		_			(7,620))
Other expenses		(26,713)		(15)		(26,728))
Provision for income taxes		(6,704)		_			(6,704))
Total Net (Loss) Income	\$	(44,015)	\$	64,836		\$	20,821	

- (1) Represents The Student Loan Corporation and its subsidiaries that were consolidated under accounting standards in effect prior to the adoption of accounting standards on January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitizations. In addition, this includes the costs the Company incurs to service the loans that were consolidated on January 1, 2010.
- (2) Represents the Company's subsidiaries that were consolidated upon adoption of accounting standards on January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts. The costs the Company incurs to service the loans in these trusts is not captured in this column.
- (3) Represents the Company's Consolidated Income Statement for the three months ended June 30, 2010.
- (4) All intercompany income and expense including, among other things, servicing fee revenue and expense have been excluded.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010									
					(Unaudited)					
	Imp	oact from SL	C		Impact from					
	and Previously				Subsidiaries					
	Consolidated				onsolidated o		Total			
(Dollars in thousands)	Sub	sidiaries(1)(4)	1	/1/2010(2)(4))	Co	nsolidated(3))	
Interest income	\$	380,794		\$	159,350		\$	540,144		
Interest expense		(321,939)		(38,773)		(360,712)	
Net interest income		58,855			120,577			179,432		
Provision for loan losses		(80,805)		(4,482)		(85,287)	
Fee and other income (loss)		6,759			2,637			9,396		
Salaries and employee benefits		(15,565)		_			(15,565)	
Write-off of funding commitment										
fee paid to principal stockholder		(7,500)		_			(7,500)	
Other expenses		(52,565)		(52)		(52,617)	
Provision for income taxes		(6,579)		_			(6,579)	
Total Net (Loss) Income	\$	(97,400)	\$	118,680		\$	21,280		

Represents The Student Loan Corporation and its subsidiaries that were consolidated under accounting standards in effect prior to the adoption of accounting standards on January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitizations. In addition, this includes the costs the Company incurs to service the loans that were consolidated on January 1, 2010.

- (2) Represents the Company's subsidiaries that were consolidated upon adoption of accounting standards on January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts. The costs the Company incurs to service the loans in these trusts is not captured in this column.
- (3) Represents the Company's Consolidated Income Statement for the six months ended June 30, 2010.
- (4) All intercompany income and expense including, among other things, servicing fee revenue and expense have been excluded.

In 2009, the Company's statement of income included certain income items which were not recorded in 2010 due to the change in accounting standards. The table below represents these amounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009:

	Thi	ree months ended	Six months ended
		June 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
(Amounts reported in thousands)		(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)
Interest income:			
Accreted interest on residual interests	\$	17,059	\$ 34,851
Fee and other income:			
Servicing fee revenue		19,519	39,462
Net mark-to-market gains (losses) on retained			
interests and derivatives		9,201	(10,215)

Critical Accounting Estimates

Certain accounting estimates made by management are considered to be important to the portrayal of the Company's consolidated financial condition. Since management is required to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments and estimates, actual results could differ, possibly materially, from those estimates. The most significant of these critical estimates and judgments are those used to account for allowance for loan losses and loans held for sale, which are more fully described in the Company's 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K. See the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the Company's accounting estimates.

Financial Condition

Loans

At June 30, 2010, the Company's student loan assets were composed of FFEL Program loans, private education loans, a portfolio of loans held for sale and related deferred costs.

See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a presentation of the loan portfolio by program type.

At June 30, 2010, the Company had \$43.9 billion of owned loan assets as compared to \$28.3 billion at December 31, 2009. This increase primarily reflects \$13.5 billion of student loan assets from the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts that were consolidated as a result of changes in accounting standards effective January 1, 2010. At December 31, 2009, these student loan assets were included in the Company's managed loans of \$42.9 billion. See Impacts of Changes in Accounting Standards on the Company's Financial Statements on page 27 for more information regarding the impact of the change in accounting standards on the Company's Balance Sheet at June 30, 2010.

The Company makes loans through the retail and wholesale channels. The retail channel represents loan activity initiated through the Company's relationships with colleges and universities. The majority of the Company's school-certified private education loan originations are initiated through the efforts of the Company's retail sales force. The Company originates the remaining portion of such originations by marketing directly to students and their families, for example, through email and online advertising campaigns. The wholesale channel, which accounts for a small fraction of the Company's new loan originations, represents loan activity initiated outside of the retail channel, such as purchases of loans originated by other lenders under existing loan purchase commitments.

Details of the Company's origination activity are presented in the table below:

	Three Months Ended						Six Months Ended				
		June 30,					June 30,				
(Dollars in millions)		2010			2009		2010			2009	
Retail:											
FFEL Program Stafford and PLUS Loan											
originations	\$	513		\$	721	\$	2,521		\$	2,913	
CitiAssist® loans disbursed under											
commitments to purchase (1)		73			123		402			766	
Total Retail		586			844		2,923			3,679	
Wholesale:											
Loan Consolidation and other secondary											
market volume		13			12		20			42	
Total Originations	\$	599		\$	856	\$	2,943		\$	3,721	

(1) Represents CitiAssist loans disbursed by CBNA. These loans have been or will be purchased by the Company after final disbursement.

The Company's FFEL Program loan originations decreased by 13% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease is largely due to schools moving from the FFEL Program to the Department's Direct Lending Program in anticipation of H.R. 4872, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, which eliminated new originations under the FFEL Program beginning on July 1, 2010. In compliance with this regulatory change, the Company is continuing to make disbursements on existing FFEL Program loans, however is no longer originating new loans under the FFEL Program.

The Company's CitiAssist loan commitments are significantly lower than the same period in 2009, primarily reflecting the Company's refined origination strategy which included, among other things, changes to its underwriting standards and pricing structure. These changes resulted in the discontinuation of Uninsured CitiAssist Custom Loan programs and significantly reduced the volume of originations at certain schools. In addition, management believes that private education loan originations have been impacted by the economic environment, which has encouraged more students to select less expensive colleges and universities, and changes to the government-sponsored student lending programs, including simplification of the application process and increases in loan limits. The Company continuously enhances its private education loan product to optimize portfolio performance and ensure that it is competitive in the most attractive market segments. In addition, as the Company shifts its business model to position SLC as the private education lender of choice, direct marketing to students and their families will take on greater focus.

In order to comply with certain legal and regulatory requirements, private education loans are originated by CBNA through an intercompany agreement. After final disbursement, the Company purchases all private education loans from CBNA. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the private education loans disbursed and still held by CBNA were \$0.1 billion and \$0.4 billion, respectively.

FFEL Program loans originated since the fourth quarter of 2008 have been originated with the intent of selling to the Department under the Purchase Program and, accordingly, have been recorded directly into the held for sale portfolio. At June 30, 2010, \$4.7 billion of FFEL Program loans were classified as held for sale.

Allowance for loan losses

The Company develops its allowance for loan losses using four segments: FFEL Program, Insured CitiAssist, Uninsured CitiAssist Standard, and Uninsured CitiAssist Custom. Uninsured CitiAssist Standard is primarily composed of CitiAssist loans that have been approved based on standard underwriting criteria similar to Insured CitiAssist and were originated on or after January 1, 2008. Uninsured CitiAssist Custom is primarily composed of loans made to non-traditional students or loans with less stringent underwriting standards. The Company stopped originating new Uninsured CitiAssist Custom loans in November 2008.

Although the Company evaluates the adequacy of its allowance for loan losses using four segments, the entire allowance for loan losses is available to absorb credit losses from any segment of the Company's owned loans. The allowance for loan losses includes all losses at each reporting period that are both probable and estimable. However, no assurance can be provided that the allowance for loan losses will be adequate to cover all losses that may in fact be realized in the future, or that a higher reserve for loan losses will not be required. For a full understanding of the methodology used to estimate the allowance for loan losses, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company's allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2010 was \$173.6 million, an increase of \$24.5 million compared to \$149.1 million at December 31, 2009. This increase primarily reflects \$18.7 million associated with private education loan loss mitigation program changes and \$6.3 million related to newly consolidated securitization loan assets. These loss mitigation program changes will result in the Company limiting its borrower assistance programs and are expected to materially increase credit losses beginning in the second half of 2010. Charge-offs, net of recoveries, increased by \$20.2 million, or 43%, during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009 primarily due to seasoning of the Company's Uninsured CitiAssist Standard and Custom portfolios.

An analysis of the allowance for loan losses and its components is presented in the table below:

	Three Mont		nded		Six Months Ended June 30,				
(Dollars in thousands)	2010	,	2009		2010	,	2009		
Balance at beginning of period(1)									
FFEL Program	\$ 24,407	\$	14,843	\$	26,080	\$	14,445		
Insured CitiAssist	16,047		9,950		17,554		8,512		
Uninsured CitiAssist Standard	53,311		14,337		35,840		11,891		
Uninsured CitiAssist Custom	79,480		72,247		76,611		75,481		
	\$ 173,245	\$	111,377	\$	156,085	\$	110,329		
Provision for loan losses									
FFEL Program	\$ 1,087	\$	8,992	\$	4,415	\$	12,363		
Insured CitiAssist	13,814		7,641		15,684		11,499		
Uninsured CitiAssist Standard	5,387		3,055		27,141		6,137		
Uninsured CitiAssist Custom	21,109		25,138		38,047		35,969		
	\$ 41,397	\$	44,826	\$	85,287	\$	65,968		
Charge offs									
FFEL Program	\$ (3,381)	\$	(2,213) \$	(8,382)	\$	(5,186)		
Insured CitiAssist	(4,563)		(4,820)	(7,940)		(7,240)		
Uninsured CitiAssist Standard	(8,788)		(1,879)	(13,309)		(2,515)		
Uninsured CitiAssist Custom	(28,691)		(22,253)	(46,404)		(39,149)		
	\$ (45,423)	\$	(31,165) \$	(76,035)	\$	(54,090)		
Recoveries									
FFEL Program	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_		
Insured CitiAssist	_		_		_		_		
Uninsured CitiAssist Standard	421		_		659		_		
Uninsured CitiAssist Custom	3,925		3,699		7,569		6,530		
	\$ 4,346	\$	3,699	\$	8,228	\$	6,530		
Balance at end of period									
FFEL Program	\$ 22,113	\$	21,622	\$	22,113	\$	21,622		
Insured CitiAssist	25,298		12,771		25,298		12,771		
Uninsured CitiAssist Standard	50,331		15,513		50,331		15,513		

Edgar Filing: STUDENT LOAN CORP - Form 10-Q

Uninsured CitiAssist Custom	75,823	78,831	75,823	78,831	
	\$ 173,565	\$ 128,737	\$ 173,565	\$ 128,737	

(1) Beginning balance for the six months ended June 30, 2010 includes \$7.0 million for the consolidation of loans from the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitizations on January 1, 2010.

Private Education Loan Insurance and Risk Sharing Programs

The Company's private education loan portfolio is not guaranteed by the federal government. Although private education loans do not carry a federal government guarantee, 52% of the outstanding balances of these loans carry private insurance through United Guaranty Commercial Insurance Company of North Carolina and New Hampshire Insurance Company (UGCIC/NHIC), and 10% of the outstanding balances are insured through Arrowood Indemnity Company (Arrowood). UGCIC/NHIC are subsidiaries of American International Group (AIG). Arrowood is a wholly owned subsidiary of Arrowpoint Capital Corporation (Arrowpoint).

These insurance providers insure the Company against a portion of losses arising from borrower loan default, bankruptcy or death. Under the Arrowood program, private education loans submitted for default claim are generally subject to a risk-sharing deductible of 5% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest balances. Under the UGCIC/NHIC program, default claims are generally subject to risk-sharing deductibles between 10% and 20% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest balances. The Company ceased insuring new CitiAssist Standard loans in January 2008.

From 2003 through 2007, UGCIC/NHIC insured the Company for maximum portfolio losses ranging from 12.5% to 13.5% over the life of the loans. The Company is exposed to 100% of losses that exceed these thresholds. While these losses are not currently forecast to exceed these thresholds, if deterioration in market conditions continues, losses could be higher than expected. For loans insured during 2005 and 2006, the insurance premium is calculated under an experience-rated plan, which may require additional premium payments of up to \$58.2 million in order to maintain insurance coverage for these loans if the loss limits exceed the established parameters. If parameters are exceeded in 2010, payments would be required beginning in 2011. Currently, all insurers are generally making claim payments as agreed. However, the Company anticipates that UGCIC/NHIC will need to rely on experience-rated premium payments by the Company as well as support agreements, including reinsurance, from affiliates to meet their long-term claim obligations.

At June 30, 2010, NHIC was rated A+/ Negative by Standard & Poor's and Aa3/Negative by Moody's. UGCIC is no longer rated. On February 24, 2009, Moody's withdrew its rating of both UGCIC and its parent citing business reasons, which Moody's defines as reasons unrelated to bankruptcy, reorganization status or adequacy of information. Previously, UGCIC was rated a Baa2.

The Company stopped originating new Uninsured Custom loans in November 2008. At June 30, 2010, 74% of the total Uninsured Custom loans were in repayment compared to only 67% at June 30, 2009. The Uninsured Custom loans at June 30, 2010 include \$192.5 million of higher risk loans made to students attending proprietary schools. Most of these Uninsured Custom loans did not follow the Company's standard underwriting process. Approximately 50% of the Uninsured Custom loans are covered by risk-sharing agreements with higher education institutions. Under these programs, the institution assumes a portion of the Company's credit exposure for the covered loans. The risk-sharing agreements generally take one of two forms: i) the school reimburses the Company for a specified percentage of losses of 50% to 100% when the losses exceed an agreed upon threshold ranging from 0% to 100%, or ii) the school pays 8% to 50% of the total disbursed amount to compensate for future expected losses. Although this reduces the Company's overall risk, these programs generally transfer less risk away from the Company than private insurance coverage. Uninsured CitiAssist Custom recoveries for the six months ended June 30, 2010 include \$4.3 million from the risk-sharing agreements compared to \$4.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Private Education Loan Loss Mitigation Programs

The primary private education loan loss mitigation tool used by the Company has been forbearance. Forbearance allows borrowers experiencing temporary financial difficulties and willing to resume making payments the ability to temporarily suspend payments. Historically, eligible borrowers were generally permitted up to 12 months of forbearance over the life of their loan granted in six month increments.

Loss mitigation programs at banks and other financial institutions, including the Company, are subject to various regulatory requirements. In response to regulatory guidance, the Company is in the process of implementing the following changes to its private education loan loss mitigation programs:

- ·Borrowers must meet more rigorous eligibility criteria for forbearance. The eligibility assessment includes the borrower's willingness and ability to make payments after the forbearance period and, depending on the situation, may require the borrower to submit supporting documentation.
- ·Forbearance periods are generally limited to two months. However, one up-to-six month forbearance term is available immediately after the termination of the grace period and before any payments have been made. Previously, forbearance periods were generally granted in six-month increments.
- ·All loan types have a cumulative lifetime cap on forbearance of 12 months. Previously, one loan type had a 24-month cap.
- ·Minimum periods of payment performance, during which borrowers are required to make full payments, are required between grants of forbearance. Previously, there were no payment performance requirements.
- •The existing graduated repayment program, which allowed borrowers to make interest-only payments for a 24- or 48-month period is being phased out. In addition, new requests for this program are no longer allowed.

The Company expects that these changes, when fully implemented, will decrease private education loan forbearance and graduated repayment program usage and will increase delinquencies and credit losses. The Company's estimate of inherent losses associated with these policy changes as of June 30, 2010 is \$21.7 million, which is included in the allowance for loan losses.

With the policy changes implemented in mid-May, the amount of loans in forbearance at June 30, 2010 was \$263.3 million lower than at March 31, 2010. The graduated repayment program usage of 20% at June 30, 2010 was virtually unchanged compared to prior quarter, but is expected to gradually decline in the second half of the year. During the second quarter, the migration of loans through the delinquency buckets began to accelerate and credit losses are expected to increase in the second half of the year.

Information on private education loans, including delinquency and insurance coverage, is shown in the tables below:

			June	30, 2	2010(1)			December 31, 2009								
(Dollars in thousands)	Insured		Uninsured Standard		Uninsured Custom		Total		Insured		Uninsured Standard		Uninsured Custom		Total	
Total private education loans	\$6,157,176	5	\$2,677,716	6	\$1,042,30	8	9,877,200	0	\$4,408,47	9	\$2,000,260)	\$1,023,732	2	\$7,432,471	1
Private education loans in																
repayment Private education	4,670,273	3	775,763		775,787		6,221,823	3	2,752,00	5	501,365		694,630		3,948,000)
loans in forbearance Private	210,756		83,041		31,877		325,674		273,839		84,470		56,978		415,287	
education loans in forbearance as a percentage of private education loans in repayment and																
forbearance	4.3	%	9.7	%	4.0	%	5.0	%	9.1	%	14.4	%	7.6	%	9.5	%
Percent of private education loans that are delinquent																
30 - 89 days Percent of private education loans that are delinquent 90 days or	2.0	%	2.0	%	4.0	%	2.2		2.3	%	1.9	%	4.1		2.5	%
more Allowance	1.8	%	0.7	%	1.5	%	1.6	%	1.9	%	0.4	%	1.2	%	1.6	%
for loan losses	\$25,298		\$50,331		\$75,823		\$151,452		\$17,182		\$31,217		\$78,509		\$126,908	
Private education loans	_		_		447,614		447,614		_		_		482,423		482,423	

																- /
covered by																
risk-sharing																1
agreements																•
with schools																
Year-to-date																
average of																
private education																
loans in																
repayment	4,458,315	5	597,634		763,330		5,819,279	a	2,372,212)	273,478		600,392		3,246,082	2
Year-to-date	7,700,010	,	371,031		105,550		3,017,217		4,314,414		413, T 10		000,372		3,270,00	_
average of																1
private																1
education																1
loans in																•
repayment																1
and																1
forbearance	4,796,033	3	707,783		819,262		6,323,078	3	2,655,263	3	319,543		656,031		3,630,83	7
Annualized																
year-to-date																
net credit																
losses as a																
percentage																
of average																
loans in	0.4	%	4.2	%	10.2	%	2.0	%	0.7	%	3.4	%	10.7	%	2.8	%
repayment Annualized	0.4	%	4.2	%	10.2	%	2.0	%	0.7	%	3.4	%	10.7	%	2.8	%
year-to-date																1
net credit																1
losses as a																1
percentage																1
of average																
loans in																
repayment																1
and																•
forbearance	0.3	%	3.6	%	9.5	%	1.9	%	0.6	%	2.9	%	9.8	%	2.5	%
Allowance																
as a																
percentage																
of total loan																
balance	0.4	%	1.9	%	7.3	%	1.5	%	0.4	%	1.6	%	7.7	%	1.7	%
Allowance																
as a																
percentage of total																
loans in																
repayment	0.5	%	6.5	%	9.8	%	2.4	%	0.6	%	6.2	%	11.3	%	3.2	%
Allowance	1.6	70	2.0	(2)	1.0	70	1.3	70	1.1	-70	3.3	(2)	1.2	70	1.4	-70
coverage of	1.0		2.0	(2)	1.0		1.5		1.1		5.5	(2)	1.2		1.7	
annualized																
year-to-date																
J																_

net credit losses (in years)

- (1) Amounts presented above for June 30, 2010 include the impact of changes in accounting standards, effective January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously unconsolidated private education loan securitization trust.
- (2) The Company began originating loans in this portfolio in January 2008, so few loans have entered repayment. Accordingly, the amount of net credit losses is disproportionately low relative to the allowance for loan losses, which includes an allowance for loans not yet in repayment.

		т	ma 20 (2010(1)						T.,	20	2000			
		Ji	ine 30, 2	2010(1)						June	e 30	, 2009			
(Dollars in thousands)	Insured	Uninsu Stand		Uninsured Custom		Total		Insured		Uninsured Standard		Uninsure Custom		Total	
Total private education													_		
loans Private education	\$6,157,176	5 \$2,677	,716	\$1,042,308	8	9,877,200	0	\$4,522,83	9	\$536,230	0	\$882,97	6	\$5,942,04	.5
loans in repayment Private	4,670,273	3 775,7	/63	775,787		6,221,823	3	2,467,83	3	267,018	8	595,59	4	3,330,44	4
education loans in	210.756	92.04	ı 1	21 077		225 (74		272 220		42.002		55 712		272 924	
forbearance Private	210,756	83,04	·I	31,877		325,674		273,239		43,882		55,713		372,834	
Private education loans in forbearance as a percentage of private education loans in repayment and forbearance Percent of private education loans that	4.3	% 9.7	%	4.0	%	5.0	%	10.0	%	14.1	%	8.6	%	10.1	%
are delinquent															
30 - 89 days Percent of private education loans that are delinquent 90 days or	2.0	% 2.0	%	4.0	%	2.2	%	1.9	%	1.4	%	4.4	%	2.3	%
more	1.8	% 0.7	%	1.5	%	1.6	%	2.2	%	0.5	%	1.4	%	1.9	%
Allowance for loan losses Private education loans covered by	\$25,298 -	\$50,33 -	1	\$75,823 447,614		\$151,452 447,614		\$12,771 -		\$15,513 -		\$78,831 453,78		\$107,115 453,784	

risk-sharing agreements with schools Year-to-date average of private education loans in																
repayment Year-to-date average of private education loans in repayment and	4,458,31	5	597,634		763,330		5,819,27	9	2,266,37	4	211,94	.0	586,97	0	3,065,2	284
forbearance Annualized year-to-date net credit losses as a percentage of average loans in	4,796,03	3	707,783		819,262		6,323,07	8	2,538,52	1	245,90	8	639,95	4	3,424,3	383
repayment Annualized year-to-date net credit losses as a percentage of average loans in repayment and	0.4	%	4.2	%	10.2	%	2.0	%	0.6	%	2.4	%	11.1	%	2.8	%
forbearance Allowance as a percentage of total loan	0.3	%	3.6	%	9.5	%	1.9	%	0.6	%	2.0	%	10.2	%	2.5	%
Allowance as a percentage of total loans in	0.4	%	1.9	%	7.3	%	1.5	%	0.3	%	2.9	%	8.9	%	1.8	%
repayment Allowance coverage of annualized year-to-date net credit	0.5	%	6.5 2.0	% (2)	9.8	%	2.4	%	0.5 0.9	%	5.8 3.1	% (2)	13.2 1.2	%	3.2 1.3	%

losses (in years)

- (1) Amounts presented above for June 30, 2010 include the impact of changes in accounting standards, effective January 1, 2010, which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously unconsolidated private education loan securitization trust.
- (2) The Company began originating loans in this portfolio in January 2008, so few loans have entered repayment. Accordingly, the amount of net credit losses is disproportionately low relative to the allowance for loan losses, which includes an allowance for loans not yet in repayment.

Results of Operations

Factors Affecting Net Interest Income

Net Interest Margin Spread Analysis

The following table analyzes the components of net interest margin for the Company's student loan portfolio:

	Six	Months	Ended	
		June 3	0,	
	2010		2009	
Student loan yield	2.76	%	2.76	%
Floor income	0.40	%	0.33	%
Consolidation loan rebate fees	(0.39))%	(0.24)%
Accreted interest on residual interests	_		0.25	%
Amortization of deferred loan origination and purchase costs	(0.29))%	(0.30)%
Net yield	2.48	%	2.80	%
Cost of funds (1)	(1.66)%	(1.88)%
Net interest margin	0.82	%	0.92	%

(1) Cost of funds was calculated by dividing interest expense by average interest bearing assets.

The Company's net interest margin is affected by a variety of factors, including the interest rate environment, regulatory actions and competition. The Company's student loan yield is either based on CP or Treasury rates (FFEL Program loans) or prime or LIBOR rates (private education loans) plus an incremental credit premium. The Company has the ability to set credit premiums on its private education loans to reflect current market conditions at origination. However, credit premiums earned on FFEL Program loans are prescribed under the Higher Education Act. The College Cost Reduction and Access Act (CCRA Act) reduced the yield on new loans originated on or after October 1, 2007. In addition, net interest margin is impacted by the relative profitability of the Company's FFEL Program and private education loan products, and by the weighting of these products within the Company's loan portfolio. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company earned \$475.1 million and \$212.5 million in student loan interest from its FFEL Program and private education loan portfolios, respectively.

In contrast, the Company's cost of funds is primarily based on three month LIBOR plus an incremental credit premium. Increasing or decreasing LIBOR rates combined with increasing or decreasing credit premiums affect the Company's overall interest expense. LIBOR rates on the Company's debt reset periodically while credit premiums are fixed based on market rates at the time of borrowing.

The Company's student loan yield is also impacted by floor income earned on its FFEL Program loans that were originated prior to April 1, 2006. The Company determines floor income to be the amount of additional interest income generated when net interest margin exceeds the minimum expected spreads. Floor income is defined as the difference between the income earned at the borrower payment rate less the Department-stipulated asset spread and the funding cost of the asset. Floor income, as determined by the Company, is a financial measure that is not defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The seven basis point increase in net interest margin from floor income primarily reflects the consolidation of loans from the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitizations, a significant amount of which are loans that were originated prior to April 1, 2006 and generate floor income.

The decrease in net interest margin of ten basis points is impacted by several factors. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized in interest expense \$58.3 million for amortization of the upfront commitment fee on the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, entered into on January 29, 2010 (Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement) and fees on the undrawn balance. This reduced net interest margin by 27 basis points. In addition, changes in accounting standards resulted in the consolidation of previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts which eliminated the related residual interests and associated accretion, which had contributed 25 basis points to net interest margin in the six months ended June 30, 2009. If these factors were excluded, the adjusted net interest margin for the six months ended June 30, 2010 would be 42 basis points higher than the same period of 2009. The consolidation of the previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts contributed to this overall increase in net interest margin largely because the cost of funds for these trusts is lower than that of other borrowings of the Company due to favorable market conditions existing at the time of securitization. At June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company's outstanding borrowings had contractual weighted average interest rates of 1.4% and 2.1%, respectively.

Net interest margin also benefited from favorable changes in the spreads between the indices used to calculate a significant amount of the Company's interest revenue (CP and Prime) and LIBOR, the index used to determine most of the Company's interest expense. However, this was offset by higher credit premiums as the Company has refinanced a significant amount of its borrowings that matured with new long-term borrowings. Because pricing on the asset portfolio is fixed at origination, these term funding transactions, as well as any future funding transactions executed under current market conditions, will continue to have an adverse impact on net interest margin for the life of the borrowings.

In an effort to mitigate net interest margin compression, the Company continuously refines its product pricing to reflect current market conditions. In addition, the Company has accessed several sources of funding for FFEL Program loans including the Participation Program and the Conduit. These sources provide funding at more favorable credit premiums than alternative sources. See Legislation and Regulations on page 45 and Liquidity and Capital Resources on page 43, for further details.

Rate/Volume Analysis

The following table shows the factors contributing to changes in net interest income (interest income less interest expense) year-over-year, due to changes in both the weighted average balances and interest rates of loan assets and funding liabilities:

	For the six months ended June 30, 2010 vs. the six months ended June 30, 2009							
		Increase (decrease) due to change in:						
(Dollars in millions)		Volume		Rate		_	Net	
Interest earning assets	\$	219.4	\$	(70.7)	\$	148.7	
Interest bearing liabilities		166.0		(67.8)		98.2	
Net interest income	\$	53.4	\$	(2.9)	\$	50.5	

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

The Company's comparisons of financial highlights are as follows:

T is j	Three Months Ended			F	Favorable		Favorable			
	June 30,				(Unfavorable)		e)	(Unfavorable)		
(Dollars in thousands)	2010			2009			Change		% Chang	ge .
Net interest income	\$ 94,459		\$	70,884		\$	23,575		33	%
Provision for loan losses	(41,397)		(44,826)		3,429		8	%
Gains on loans sold	_			17,864			(17,864)	(100)%
Fee and other income	8,811			30,851			(22,040)	(71)%
Operating expenses	(34,348)		(35,211)		863		2	%
Provision for income taxes	(6,704)		(14,300)		7,596		53	%
Net income	\$ 20,821		\$	25,262		\$	(4,441)	(18)%
Total operating expenses as a										
percentage of average managed										
student loans	0.31	%		0.32	%)	0.01	%		
Return on average equity	6.4	%		6.5	%)	(0.1)%)	
Effective tax rate	24.4	%		36.1	%)	11.7	%		

Net interest income

Net interest income of \$94.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was \$23.6 million higher than the same period in 2009. This increase reflects a net increase of \$44.4 million related to the adoption of the new accounting standards which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously unconsolidated securitization trusts, \$26.6 million for the impact of favorable changes in the spreads between CP and LIBOR and prime and LIBOR, \$11.0 million for pricing changes on the Company's private education loans, and \$8.3 million for higher loan balances. These increases were partially offset by higher funding costs which decreased net interest income by \$37.5 million, amortization of the funding commitment fee on the Company's Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement of \$11.8 million and fees on the undrawn balance of \$17.4 million. Net interest margin of 0.86% was 14 basis points lower than the same period of 2009. Net interest margin excluding the amortization of the funding commitment fee and excluding fees on the undrawn balance was 1.13% for the three months ended June 30, 2010, 13 basis points higher than the same period in 2009.

Net interest income includes floor income of \$41.0 million and \$24.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. This increase primarily reflects the consolidation of loans from the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitizations, including a significant amount of loans that were originated prior to April 1, 2006 and generate floor income.

Provision for loan losses

The provision for loan losses decreased by \$3.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease is primarily driven by stabilizing performance in the FFEL Program and Uninsured CitiAssist Custom portfolios, partially offset by increases to reflect higher loss estimates as a result of changes in the Company's loss mitigation programs. For a full discussion of trends in the Company's loan losses, see Allowance for Loan Losses on page 31.

Gains on loans sold

The Company did not have any loans sales in the second quarter of 2010, reflecting its decision to defer expected loan sales through the Purchase Program until later in the year.

Fee and other income

The Company's other income of \$8.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily composed of derivative market-to-market and foreign currency translation adjustments. The decrease of \$22.0 million compared to the same period in 2009 primarily reflects changes in the Company's fee and other income related to the Company's securitization activities largely as a result of changes in accounting standards which resulted in the consolidation of previously unconsolidated securitization trusts. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the change in accounting for securitizations.

The Company's derivative instruments are designed to minimize cash flow volatility for the securitization trusts in which they are embedded, but they are not designated as hedges under ASC 815 and, accordingly, the derivatives are marked to fair value each period. The fair value of the Company's derivative instruments is driven by market participants' expectations of future changes in the relevant interest and foreign exchange rates over the life of the instruments. In contrast, the assets and liabilities of the trusts are recorded at amortized cost. This dissimilar accounting treatment has and may continue to give rise to earnings volatility.

Changes in the fair value of the foreign currency swap within the Company's 2008-1 securitization trust have historically been largely offset by foreign currency translation adjustments on the Euro denominated debt. In the future, however, any significant fluctuations between the then current exchange rate and the market's expectation of future exchange rates could give rise to material gains or losses. In addition, changes in the fair value of the prime-LIBOR swap within the Company's 2006-A securitization trust, which was consolidated as of January 1, 2010, could be material and are not offset by any changes in the carrying value of the securitization trust's other assets or liabilities. For more information on the Company's derivative agreements, see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Operating expenses

Total operating expenses of \$34.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 were \$0.9 million lower than the same period in 2009, reflecting decreases in the Company's salaries and employee benefits expenses as a result of the Company's cost reduction initiatives. The Company's operating expense ratio (total operating expenses as a percentage of average managed student loans) for the second quarter of 2010 was 0.31%, one basis point lower than the same quarter of 2009.

Provision for income taxes

The Company's provision for income taxes for three months ended June 30, 2010 reflects the favorable impact of the release of accruals for uncertain tax positions for audit periods that have been effectively settled as well as interest income on the Company's income tax receivable.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

The Company's comparisons of financial highlights are as follows:

	Six Months Ended				Favorable			Favorable		
	June 30,			(Uı	Unfavorable)		(Unfavorable)			
(Dollars in thousands)	2010			2009			Change		% Chang	e
Net interest income	\$ 179,432		\$	128,962		\$	50,470		39	%
Provision for loan losses	(85,287)		(65,968)		(19,319)	(29)%
Gains on loans sold	_			17,864			(17,864)	(100)%
Fee and other income	9,396			37,809			(28,413)	(75)%
Operating expenses	(75,682)		(70,070)		(5,612)	(8)%
Provision for income taxes	(6,579)		(15,813)		9,234		58	%
Net income	\$ 21,280		\$	32,784		\$	(11,504)	(35)%
Total operating expenses as a										
percentage of average managed										
student loans	0.34	%		0.32	%		(0.02))%		
Return on average equity	3.3	%		4.2	%		(0.9))%		
Effective tax rate	23.6	%		32.5	%		8.9	%		

Net interest income

Net interest income of \$179.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$50.5 million or 39% higher than the same period in 2009. This increase reflects a net increase of \$85.7 million related to the adoption of the new accounting standards which resulted in the consolidation of the Company's previously unconsolidated securitization trusts, \$52.0 million for the impact of favorable changes in the spreads between CP and LIBOR and prime and LIBOR, \$20.9 million for pricing changes on the Company's private education loans, and \$20.0 million for higher loan balances. These increases were partially offset by higher funding costs which decreased net interest income by \$67.6 million, amortization of the funding commitment fee on the Company's Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement of \$26.0 million and fees on the undrawn balance of \$32.3 million. Net interest margin of 0.82% was ten basis points lower than the same period of 2009. Net interest margin excluding the amortization of the funding commitment fee and excluding fees on the undrawn balance was 1.09% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, 17 basis points higher than the same period in 2009.

Net interest income includes floor income of \$87.0 million and \$46.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. This increase primarily reflects the consolidation of loans from the Company's previously off-balance sheet securitizations, including a significant amount of loans that were originated prior to April 1, 2006 and generate floor income.

Provision for loan losses

The provision for loan losses increased by \$19.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009 reflecting higher loss estimates as a result of changes in the Company's loss mitigation programs and seasoning of the Uninsured CitiAssist Standard portfolio. The impact of these factors has been partially offset by stabilizing performance in the FFEL Program and Uninsured CitiAssist Custom portfolios. For a full discussion of trends in the Company's loan losses, see Allowance for Loan Losses on page 31.

Gains on loans sold

The Company did not have any loans sales in the six months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting its decision to defer expected loan sales through the Purchase Program until later in the year.

Fee and other income

The Company's other income of \$9.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$28.4 million lower than the same period in 2009. This decrease primarily reflects changes in the Company's fee and other income related to the Company's securitization activities largely as a result of changes in accounting standards which resulted in the consolidation of previously unconsolidated securitization trusts. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the change in accounting for securitizations.

Operating expenses

Total operating expenses of \$75.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$5.6 million or 8% higher than the same period in 2009. Operating expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2010 included a \$7.5 million write-off of funding commitment fees on the Company's Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement. After completing two private education loan securitizations in the first quarter of 2010, the Company reduced the aggregate commitment amount under the agreement. While this resulted in a write-off in the first six months of the year it lowers the fees paid on the undrawn balance, which are included in Interest Expense. The Company's salaries and employee

benefits expenses were \$1.8 million lower than the same period in 2009, reflecting the benefits of the Company's cost reduction initiatives. The Company's operating expense ratio (total operating expenses less the write-off of Omnibus Credit Agreement funding commitment fees as a percentage of average managed student loans) for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was 0.31%, one basis point lower than the same period of 2009.

Provision for income taxes

The Company's provision for income taxes for six months ended June 30, 2010 reflects the favorable impact of the release of accruals for uncertain tax positions for audit periods that have been effectively settled as well as interest income on the Company's income tax receivable.

Securitization Activity and Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

Securitization Activity

The Company securitizes student loans through the establishment of trusts, which purchase loans from the Company and sell notes backed by those loans. The Company utilizes securitizations to assist in funding new loan origination activities. The tables below provide information about the Company's securitization activities. See Notes 1, 5 and 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional details about the Company's secured borrowings and securitization activities.

The Company completed three securitization financings during the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to one securitization financing for the same period in 2009. The Company's FFEL Program loans funded through the Conduit were also accounted for as secured borrowings.

	Three Months Ended June 30,				onths Ended ine 30,			
(Dollars in thousands)		2010		2009	2010		2009	
Student loans securitized (1)	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 1,899,710	\$	587,285	
Student loans funded through the								
Conduit (1)		_		8,543,157	547,541		8,543,157	
Total student loans funded through								
securitization financings (1)	\$	_	\$	8,543,157	\$ 2,447,251	\$	9,130,442	
Net proceeds from student loans								
securitized (2)	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 1,459,441	\$	546,126	
Net proceeds from student loans								
funded through the Conduit during the								
current period (2)		_		8,492,288	533,632		8,492,288	
Total net proceeds from securitization								
financings(2)	\$	_		8,492,288	\$ 1,993,073	\$	9,038,414	

- (1) Amounts represent the carrying value of the student loans securitized as of the securitization date.
- (2) Amounts represent net proceeds of loans securitized or funded through the Conduit as of the securitization / funding date.

The difference between student loans funded through securitization financings and the net proceeds received is largely a result of required overcollateralization, but also reflects issuance costs.

The following table reflects balances related to all of the Company's securitization transactions and other long-term secured borrowings:

(Dollars in thousands)	Ju	ne 30, 2010	Dec 2009	ember 31,
Total on-balance sheet student				
loans securitized (1)	\$	22,998,102	\$	7,649,159
Total on-balance sheet student				
loans funded through the				
Conduit		10,185,535		10,060,877
Total off-balance sheet student				
loans securitized (2)		_		13,896,812
Total long-term secured				
borrowings (3)		31,675,596		16,999,976
Residual interests from				
off-balance sheet student loans				
securitized		_		820,291
Servicing assets from				
off-balance sheet student loans				
securitized		_		176,587

- (1) Amounts include securitized loan balances from seventeen on-balance sheet securitizations as of June 30, 2010 and five as of December 31, 2009.
 - (2) Amounts include securitized loan balances from ten off-balance sheet securitizations as December 31, 2009.
 - (3) Amounts include secured borrowings from the Company's on-balance sheet securitizations and the Conduit.

Other Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company also has credit commitments with schools and institutions which are detailed in Sources and Uses of Cash below, as well one foreign currency swap and one interest rate swap which are described in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

In determining the appropriate mix of funding, the Company strives to balance the competing objectives of maximizing net interest income and minimizing risk. In an effort to manage risk, the Company seeks to match the terms of its funding with the terms of its revenue producing assets, particularly the interest rate characteristics (including the index on which the rate is based and the timing of rate resets) and weighted average lives. The Company largely relies on two primary sources of funding, funding from CBNA and securitizations. However, during 2009 and 2010 the Company further diversified its sources of funding through various programs and facilities supported by the Department.

Funding Arrangements with CBNA

On January 29, 2010, the Company entered into the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement with CBNA. The Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, as executed, provided up to \$6.6 billion in aggregate credit for new borrowings, including separate tranches (with their own sublimits and pricing) for overnight funding, FFEL Program loan funding, private education loan funding and illiquid asset funding. After completing three securitizations during the first seven months of 2010, the Company reduced the aggregate commitment amount under the credit agreement by \$2.0 billion to \$4.6 billion. The initial term of the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement expires on December 30, 2010 and all new borrowings will be due and payable on or before this date. At June 30, 2010, the Company had \$8.1 billion of borrowings outstanding under the Omnibus Credit Agreement dated November 30, 2000, between the Company and CBNA (Original Omnibus Credit Agreement). In July 2010 the Company accessed funds under the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement to repay certain of its borrowings under the original Omnibus Credit Agreement. In addition to proceeds from the Company's operations, to the extent needed, overnight borrowings available through the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement may be utilized to fund the Company's business operations for the remainder of the year.

Securitizations

The Company continues to access funding directly with investors through the capital markets. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company completed two private education loan securitizations, including one executed under The Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility (TALF). The Company also executed one FFEL Program securitization in July 2010.

Other Funding Programs

During 2009 and 2010 the Company utilized the following programs and facilities supported by the Department:

The Conduit: This program provides additional liquidity support to eligible student lenders by providing funding for FFEL Program Stafford and PLUS loans first disbursed on or after October 1, 2003 and before July 1, 2009, and fully disbursed by September 30, 2009. The Company receives funding equal to 97% of the principal and interest to be capitalized of the pledged student loans through the issuance of a funding note which is purchased by the Conduit. The funding notes mature no later than January 2014. As of June 30, 2010, the Conduit is not available for new funding.

The TALF: This facility provides additional liquidity support to the asset-backed securities market. The Company completed two private education loan securitizations under this facility during the period it was available. This facility expired on March 31, 2010. Prior to the expiration of this facility the Company also executed a private education loan securitization with investors directly through the capital markets. In addition, one of its TALF securitizations included investors that did not utilize funding from the facility.

The Participation Program and Purchase Programs: The Participation Program provides lenders with short-term liquidity for new FFEL Program loan originations. Under the Purchase Program, the Department purchases eligible FFEL Program loans at a price that exceeds the outstanding principal, accrued interest and origination fees, resulting in gains on the sale of loans. These programs are only available for eligible FFEL Program loans disbursed for the 2009 – 2010 academic year until the programs' expiration later this year.

The Company has and will continue to shift its use of its available sources or augment funding with additional funding sources in response to changing market conditions.

The following table summarizes the Company's primary sources and uses of cash:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		ded	
(Dollars in billions)	20	10		2009
Sources of Cash:				
Proceeds related to the Participation and Purchase Programs, net of principal				
repayments	\$	2.5	\$	1.6
Borrower repayments on student loans and other loan reductions		1.7		0.9
Proceeds from student loans securitized		1.5		0.5(1)
Proceeds from secured borrowings related to the Conduit, net of principal				
repayments		_		8.5

Uses of Cash:

Loan disbursements and other procurement activities	\$ (3.3)	\$ (3.1)
Repayments of borrowings with CBNA, net of proceeds	(1.4)	(8.4)
Repayments of secured borrowings related to securitizations	(0.9)	(0.1)
Payment of funding commitment fee and fee on undrawn balance to CBNA		
under the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement	(0.1)	_
(Decrease) increase in cash during the period:	\$ _	\$ _

(1)Includes proceeds from whole loan sales

The Company had cash expenditures for equipment and computer software which were primarily composed of software developed for internal use. Cash expenditures for equipment and computer software totaled \$3.3 million and \$3.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Future Funding Expectations

The Company's future cash needs will depend primarily on the volume of new loan disbursements as well as the cash provided by, or used in, operating activities. Following the passage of H.R. 4872, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, effective as of July 1, 2010, all new federally sponsored guaranteed student loans are being originated through the Federal Direct Loan Program. As a result, FFEL Program loan disbursement volumes will be significantly lower than historical levels. The Company expects new private education loan disbursements to be funded primarily via the capital markets and from the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement. However, under the terms of the Amended and Restated Agreement, any future securitizations will require approval from Citigroup. In addition, the Company will continue to evaluate alternative funding sources. However, there can be no assurance that any such alternatives will provide terms that are comparable to or more favorable than those currently available to the Company. Management believes liquidity and capital will be sufficient to meet the Company's anticipated requirements until the expiration of the term of the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement on December 30, 2010 utilizing funding available from the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement and via the securitization market and government programs. This is dependent upon, among other things, the capital markets providing uninterrupted and cost effective funding. In addition, any disposition of its ownership in the Company by Citigroup which results in a change of control whereby an entity other than CBNA or its affiliates owns more than 50% of the voting equity interest in the Company, or other event that results in the early termination of the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, could materially and adversely impact the Company's liquidity and capital requirements.

Legislation and Regulations

Current Legislative and Regulatory Impacts

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law H.R. 4173, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which, among other things, establishes a new Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the Bureau) housed within the Federal Reserve. The Bureau will have regulatory authority over a broad range of entities engaged in the provision of consumer financial products and services, including banks and their affiliates as well as student lenders. This act consolidates into the Bureau all consumer protection responsibilities currently held by various regulatory agencies. Although the Bureau will coordinate with principal regulators, it will have exclusive federal authority to enforce the federal consumer financial laws. The Act also creates a new framework for determining issues in connection with federal preemption of state consumer protection laws and enforcement powers of states in the field of consumer protection. This type of regulatory framework is materially different from that which currently exists for financial institutions such as the Company, whose principal regulator is the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), with state enforcement and visitation deemed preempted by the authority of the OCC. This type of regulatory framework will have a material effect on the Company by substantially increasing the regulatory burden on the Company.

In addition to the creation of the Bureau, this act also requires securitizers to retain at least five percent of the credit risk associated with any securitized asset, unless the underlying loans meet standards that reduce risk. In addition, issuers of asset-backed securities will be required to provide additional disclosures about the underling assets.

Other provisions included in the act include the creation of a Private Education Loan Ombudsman to provide timely assistance to borrowers of private education loans to resolve complaints, in collaboration with the Department of Education and with institutions of higher education, lenders, guaranty agencies, loan servicers, and other participants in private education loan programs.

In addition, not later than two years after the enactment, the Department of Education, Federal Trade Commission, and the Attorney General of the United States shall issue a report on the private education loan market including trends and behavioral characteristics of private educational lenders and borrowers.

This act also provides for an orderly liquidation authority which would allow federal authorities to place systemically important financial companies into liquidation. Such companies may include financial companies that are non-banks, such as corporate groups that include insurance companies. Insurance companies may be liquidated by state authorities as provided under state insurance insolvency law and if state regulators fail to act within certain timeframes, the FDIC may force such a proceeding. Federal authorities may also liquidate non-insurance affiliates of insurance companies. It is possible that these provisions, and the rules which may be promulgated pursuant to such provisions, may operate to place insurance companies, such as the ones with which a portion of the Company's private education loans are insured, into liquidation should the financial position of those entities be deemed to pose a systemic risk to the financial system, which could result in a lack of availability of funds to pay claims on the Company's insured loans.

FFEL Program

On March 30, 2010, President Obama signed into law H.R. 4872, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, which included a provision to eliminate new FFEL Program loan originations effective July 1, 2010, and will have all schools use the Federal Direct Loan Program. In compliance with this regulatory change the Company is continuing to make disbursements on existing FFEL Program loans, however is no longer originating new loans under the FFEL Program.

Other Developments

In July 2009, S. 1541, the Private Student Loan Debt Swap Act of 2009 was introduced into the Senate. As proposed, this act would allow certain private education loan borrowers, who, at the time of taking out the private education loan, were eligible for loans through federally guaranteed student loan programs, to refinance their private education loans under the Federal Direct Loan Program. A House version of the bill was introduced in April 2010. The Company cannot predict whether this legislation will be enacted in this form, if at all, or the potential impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

H.R. 5043, the Private Student Loan Fairness Act of 2010 and S. 3219, the Fairness for Struggling Students have been introduced into Congress, each of which would eliminate the exemption of qualifying private student loans from being discharged in bankruptcy. Current law provides that qualifying private student loans, such as most of the Company's CitiAssist loans, cannot be discharged unless the debtor can prove the payment of the debt will impose an undue hardship. If such legislation were to be enacted into law, this could have a material effect on the Company by substantially increasing credit losses.

Pending Litigation

The Company is subject to various claims, lawsuits and other actions that arise in the normal course of business. Most of these matters are claims by borrowers disputing the manner in which their loans have been processed, the accuracy of the Company's reports to credit bureaus, or actions taken with respect to collecting on delinquent or defaulted loans. Management believes that ultimate resolutions of these claims, lawsuits and other actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company's principal measure of market risk due to interest rate changes is Interest Rate Exposure (IRE). IRE measures the change in expected net interest margin that results solely from unanticipated, instantaneous changes in market rates of interest. Other factors such as changes in volumes, premiums, margins and the impact of prior period pricing decisions can also change current period interest income, but are not captured by IRE. While IRE assumes that the Company makes no additional changes in pricing or balances in response to the unanticipated rate changes, in practice, the Company may alter its portfolio mix, customer pricing or hedge positions, which could significantly impact reported net interest margin. IRE does not measure the impact that market rate changes would have on the Company's earnings related to instruments classified as trading.

IRE is calculated by multiplying the gap between interest sensitive items, including loan assets as well as borrowings, certain of which contain interest rate floors, by a 100 basis point instantaneous change in the yield curve. The exposures in the table below represent the approximate change in net interest margin for the next 12 months based on current balances and pricing that would result from specific unanticipated changes in interest rates:

	June 30,						
(Dollars in millions)	20	10	2009				
100 basis points	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease			
Change in interest income	\$ (28.1)	\$ 68.6	\$ (7.3)	\$ 12.1			

In addition, the Company has exposure to uneven shifts in interest rate curves, primarily shifts in the spread between CP and LIBOR. The Company, through its Asset/Liability Management Committee, actively manages these risks by setting IRE limits and takes action in response to interest rate movements against the existing structure.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has not been any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Certain of the statements below are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. See Forward-Looking Statements on page 25.

The following discussion sets forth certain risks that the Company believes could cause its actual future results to differ materially from expected or historical results. However, the discussion below is not exhaustive, and other factors could have a material adverse impact on the Company's results. These factors include, natural disasters, acts of terrorism and epidemics and the factors listed under Forward-Looking Statements on page 25, among others.

The Company's business operations are dependent upon Citigroup and any change that impacts Citigroup's involvement in the Company could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and operations.

Citigroup indirectly owns 80% of the Company's common stock. Through various subsidiaries, Citigroup serves as the Company's:

- ·Lender –At June 30, 2010, the Company had outstanding borrowings of \$8.1 billion under the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement. The Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement as executed provided up to \$6.6 billion in aggregate credit for new borrowings, including separate tranches (with their own sublimits and pricing) for overnight funding, FFEL Program loan funding, private education loan funding and illiquid asset funding. As a result of completing three loan securitizations during the first seven months of the year, the Company was able to reduce the aggregate commitment amount under the credit agreement by a total of \$2.0 billion to \$4.6 billion. The initial term of the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement expires on December 30, 2010 and all new borrowings will be due and payable on or before this date.
- •Trustee An affiliate of Citigroup acts as eligible lender trustee for the Company pursuant to a trust agreement since the Company does not meet the definition of an eligible lender in the Higher Education Act.
- ·Originating Lender The Company originates its private education loans through an agreement with an affiliate of Citigroup, under its authority as a federally chartered bank, providing certain benefits to which the Company would not otherwise be entitled. The Company subsequently acquires such loans pursuant to the terms of a separate agreement with the affiliate.
- ·Service Provider The majority of the work to originate and service the Company's FFEL Program and private education loans is performed by an affiliate of Citigroup. Citigroup also provides many other services to the Company, including, but not limited to, cash management, tax return preparation, data processing, telecommunications, payroll processing and benefits administration. These arrangements provide economies of scale that significantly reduce the Company's operating expenses.

The Company has outsourced a significant portion of its overall operations to certain affiliates and third parties. The Company's business operations could be adversely impacted if any of the existing agreements with these service providers was terminated or could not be renewed with substantially similar terms. The agreements governing Citigroup's role as originating lender and servicer for the Company contain provisions that would terminate the agreements if an event that results in a change in control were to take place. In addition, the Company is subject to the risk that these providers may not continue to provide the level of service that is needed to effectively operate the Company's business, including timely response to changes in the Company's demand for services. If any of these risks were to be realized, and assuming similar agreements with service providers could not be established, the Company could experience interruptions in operations that could negatively impact the Company's ability to meet customer demand for loan originations and disbursements, damage its relationships with customers, and reduce its market share, all of which could materially adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

In January 2009, Citigroup announced that it was realigning its structure into two distinct businesses for management reporting purposes: Citicorp, which is composed of Citigroup's core businesses, and Citi Holdings, in which the Company is now included, which is composed of non-core businesses. Citigroup intends to exit the Citi Holdings' businesses as quickly as practicable, in an economically rational manner through business divestitures, portfolio run-offs, and asset sales. Citigroup has made substantial progress divesting and exiting businesses from Citi Holdings, having completed more than 20 divestiture transactions since the beginning of 2009 through June 30, 2010. The Company's management is currently working with Citi Holdings and third parties with respect to possible disposition and combination alternatives regarding Citigroup's ownership in the Company and / or the Company's ownership of some or all of its assets. These efforts could result in a change in ownership of the Company, including, among other possibilities, an eventual sale of all or part of Citigroup's ownership in the Company, or of all or part of the Company's assets, to an unaffiliated third party.

The Company does not know how a potential disposition or combination transaction would affect the Company's business or its operations, although given the various relationships between Citigroup and the Company the effect could be material and adverse. Among other things, any disposition of its ownership in the Company by Citigroup that results in Citigroup or any of its subsidiaries owning less than 50% of the voting equity interest in the Company could result in the termination of certain agreements, including the Company's servicing and origination agreements. If a disposition or combination event results in an entity other than CBNA or its affiliates owning more than 50% of the voting equity interest in the Company, all outstanding borrowings under the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement and all new borrowings under the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement would become due and payable immediately. The Company's business operations could be materially and adversely impacted if any of these agreements cannot be replaced with agreements with substantially similar or more favorable terms.

Our business is subject to extensive regulation and recent market disruptions have led to proposals for new laws and regulations, or changes to existing laws and regulations.

As a participant in the financial services industry, the Company is subject to extensive regulation. In addition, as a result of the current economic and market downturn, there has been increased discussion of, and calls for, additional legislative changes and increased scrutiny from a variety of regulators. New laws or regulations, or changes in enforcement of existing laws or regulations, applicable to the Company's business may adversely affect the Company. Legislative or regulatory changes could lead to business disruptions, impact the value of assets that the Company holds or the scope or profitability of its business activities, require the Company to change certain of its business practices and expose the Company to additional costs (including compliance costs) and liabilities. For example, private student loan forbearance policies at banks and other financial institutions, including The Student Loan Corporation, are subject to various regulatory requirements. In response to regulatory guidance, the Company is in the process of implementing changes to the Company's private education loan loss mitigation programs including,

among other things, that participation by borrowers in private education loan forbearance and loss mitigation programs are subject to more rigorous requirements, that shorter forbearance periods be granted and that minimum periods of payment performance be required between grants of forbearance. The Company expects that these changes, when fully implemented, will materially increase net credit losses attributable to private education loans.

On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law H.R. 4173, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which, among other things, establishes a new Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the Bureau) housed within the Federal Reserve. The Bureau will have regulatory authority over a broad range of entities engaged in the provision of consumer financial products and services, including banks and their affiliates as well as student lenders. This act consolidates into the Bureau all consumer protection responsibilities currently held by various regulatory agencies. Although the Bureau will coordinate with principal regulators, it will have exclusive federal authority to enforce the federal consumer financial laws. The Act also creates a new framework for determining issues in connection with federal preemption of state consumer protection laws and enforcement powers of states in the field of consumer protection. This type of regulatory framework is materially different from that which currently exists for financial institutions such as the Company, whose principal regulator is the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), with state enforcement and visitation deemed preempted by the authority of the OCC. This type of regulatory framework will have a material effect on the Company by substantially increasing the regulatory burden on the Company.

In July 2009, the Private Student Loan Debt Swap Act of 2009 (S. 1541) was introduced into the Senate. As proposed, this act would allow certain private education loan borrowers, who, at the time of taking out the private education loan, were eligible for loans through federally guaranteed student loan programs, to refinance their private education loans under the Federal Direct Loan Program. A House version of the bill was introduced in April 2010. The Company cannot predict whether this legislation will be enacted in this form, if at all, or the potential impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, legislation that would allow private student loan borrowers to once again discharge their private student loans in bankruptcy has been introduced. For additional information on this proposed legislation, see the Other Developments section of Legislation and Regulations on page 45. Since 2005, private student loans have not been dischargeable in bankruptcy. If enacted into law, this would have a material effect on the Company's results of operations by significantly increasing its provision for loan losses.

The Company's business and earnings are affected by the fiscal policies adopted by regulatory authorities of the United States. For example, policies of the Federal Reserve Board directly influence the rate of interest paid by commercial banks, including CBNA, the Company's primary funding source, on its interest-bearing deposits. This may affect the Company's cost of borrowing from CBNA, and also may affect the value of financial instruments, including assets held for sale by the Company. In addition, such changes in fiscal policy may adversely affect the ability of the Company's borrowers to repay their loans on a timely basis and the ability of prospective borrowers to qualify for loans.

If any of these proposed regulatory changes were to be adopted, they could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. For further information on the impact of other recent legislative and regulatory activities, see Legislation and Regulations on page 45.

Recent legislative changes, which resulted in the elimination of the FFEL Program, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business.

On March 30, 2010, President Obama signed into law H.R. 4872, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, which eliminated new FFEL Program loan originations. Effective July 1, 2010, all new federally sponsored guaranteed student loans are being originated through the Federal Direct Loan Program. In compliance with this regulatory change, the Company is continuing to make disbursements on existing FFEL Program loans, however is no longer originating new loans under the FFEL Program. This legislative change has eliminated the primary sources of the Company's historical originations and interest income.

Since 2007, the Company has transitioned towards an increased focus on its private education loan business. This transition includes the Company's strategic repositioning activities, including, among other things, changes in the private education loan underwriting process and modifications to the Company's risk-based pricing. The Company has also taken initiatives to reduce its expense base and has continued to use the capital markets for long-term liquidity. These efforts are intended to create a business model that supports future earnings growth. However, there can be no assurance that the measures the Company has taken to transition its strategy to one more focused on the Company's private education loan business will be successful.

For further information on the impact of these recent legislative activities and other developments, see Legislation and Regulations on page 45.

Liquidity is essential to the Company's business, and the Company relies on external sources, including the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, capital markets, and government programs to fund its balance sheet. Failure to secure cost-effective funding would adversely impact the Company's ability to fund student loan originations and could materially increase the Company's cost of funds.

Uninterrupted access to liquidity is essential to the Company's business. The Company's liquidity and funding has been, and could continue to be, materially adversely affected by factors the Company cannot control, such as disruption of the financial markets or negative views about the financial services industry in general.

The Company's Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement provides funding through December 30, 2010. As executed, this agreement provided up to \$6.6 billion in aggregate credit for new borrowings. As a result of completing three loan securitizations during the first seven months of the year, the Company was able to reduce the aggregate commitment amount under the credit agreement by a total of \$2.0 billion to \$4.6 billion. However, the costs of funding, including the interest rates on any borrowings, the funding commitment fee and the fee on the undrawn balance, under this agreement are significantly higher than the borrowings that are expected to mature over the remainder of the year. This will further compress the Company's net interest margin. Unless the Company is able to identify and implement other funding alternatives, maturing borrowings will need to be replaced with new borrowings under this agreement at higher rates. However, under the terms of this agreement, any future securitizations require CBNA's approval. In addition, before the end of 2010, the Company will need to negotiate an extension to this agreement with CBNA or enter into one or more alternative funding arrangements in order to maintain adequate liquidity in 2011.

The Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement also requires a comprehensive package of representations, warranties, conditions, covenants (including a borrowing base and various other financial covenants) and events of default.

The Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement supersedes and replaces in its entirety the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement. At June 30, 2010, the Company had \$8.1 billion of outstanding borrowings made under the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement which will continue to mature based on their originally contracted maturities, unless a change of control or an event of default, as defined by the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement, occurs. A change of control is defined as any event that results in an entity other than CBNA or its affiliates owning more than 50% of the voting equity interest in the Company. The Company is part of a group of businesses within Citigroup, referred to as Citi Holdings. Citigroup intends to exit these businesses as quickly as practicable in an economically rational manner through business divestitures, portfolio run-off and asset sales. The Company's management is currently working with Citi Holdings and third parties with respect to possible disposition and combination alternatives regarding Citigroup's ownership in the Company and / or the Company's ownership of some or all of its assets. There can be no assurance as to when or if any disposition or combination transaction will occur. However, if a change of control or an event of default (certain of which require explicit action by CBNA to effect an acceleration) under the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement were to occur, all outstanding borrowings under the Original Omnibus Credit Agreement and all new borrowings under the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement would become due and payable immediately. In either event, the Company would no longer have a guaranteed funding source, which would have a material adverse effect on, among other things, the Company's ability to originate new loans, repay its debt obligations, fund business operations and maintain the current level of profitability.

In addition to funding available to the Company under the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement and through loan securitizations, the Company has further diversified its sources of funding, and continues to seek additional alternative sources of funding. Since December 2008, the Company has been utilizing the Department's Loan Participation Purchase Program (the Participation Program) and Loan Purchase Commitment Program (the Purchase Program) available through the Ensuring Continued Access to Student Loans Act (ECASLA) to fund FFEL Program loans.

Since the second quarter of 2009, the Company also accessed additional funding through the Conduit. Funding for the Conduit is provided by the capital markets. In the event the commercial paper issued by the Conduit cannot be reissued or "rolled" at maturity and the Conduit does not have sufficient cash to repay investors, the Department has committed to provide liquidity to the Conduit by entering into forward purchase agreements to purchase the eligible student loans backing the Conduit at a predetermined price. The predetermined price represents a discount to the principal balance of the loans. Accordingly, a sale of loans to the Department would result in a loss to the Company and negatively impact its results of operations.

The Company's financial condition is dependent upon and could be adversely affected by the extent to which management can successfully manage credit risks.

The Company's credit risk exposure has been partially mitigated through government guarantees, third-party insurers, and certain school risk-sharing agreements. The Company actively monitors the creditworthiness of its insurers, but in the event that a guarantor, third-party insurer or risk-share school is unable to meet its contractual obligations under the related arrangements, the Company's financial condition could be adversely affected.

At June 30, 2010, NHIC was rated A+/ Negative by Standard & Poor's and Aa3/Negative by Moody's. UGCIC is no longer rated. On February 24, 2009, Moody's withdrew its rating of both UGCIC and its parent citing business reasons, which Moody's defines as reasons unrelated to bankruptcy, reorganization status or adequacy of information. Previously UGCIC was rated Baa2. AIG, the parent company of UGCIC and NHIC, continues to receive financial support from the US Treasury and the Federal Reserve Bank in support of its financial difficulties. AIG is in the process of restructuring the company. Any failure of AIG, or sale or restructuring of UGCIC/NHIC, could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations as it relates to the Company's UGCIC/NHIC insured loan portfolio.

Currently, UGCIC and NHIC generally continue to make claim payments as agreed. However, the Company anticipates that UGCIC and NHIC will need to rely on experience rated premium payments by the Company as support agreements, including reinsurance, from affiliates to meet their long-term claim obligations. In addition, there are a variety of factors which could have adverse effect on UGCIC's and NHIC's long-term ability to continue making claim payments, including but not limited to, unfavorable market conditions, increases in the impact of the loss mitigation program policy changes that are significantly higher than forecasted amounts, regulatory or legislative changes and items affecting the financial condition of the insurers' affiliates.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act provides for an orderly liquidation authority which would allow federal authorities to place systemically important financial companies into liquidation. Such companies may include financial companies that are non-banks, such as corporate groups that include insurance companies. Insurance companies may be liquidated by state authorities as provided under state insurance insolvency law and if state regulators fail to act within certain timeframes, the FDIC may force such a proceeding. Federal authorities may also liquidate non-insurance affiliates of insurance companies. It is possible that these provisions, and the rules which may be promulgated pursuant to such provisions, may operate to place insurance companies, such as the ones with which a portion of the Company's private education loans are insured, into liquidation should the financial position of those entities be deemed to pose a systemic risk to the financial system, which could result in a lack of availability of funds to pay claims on the Company's insured loans. This could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations by increasing its net credit losses.

Due to recent regulatory changes and the current economic environment, several student lenders as well as the Company have tightened their underwriting. This decrease in the availability of student loans may adversely impact the financial condition of certain schools with which the Company does business. The current economic environment is also affecting the financial condition of certain schools with which the Company does business, particularly private non-profit schools. The Company's results could be adversely impacted to the extent that the schools for which it originates loans do not continue as going concerns. In addition, school closings could result in an increase in defaults for the borrowers attending these schools at the time they close and a significant increase in school closings could materially increase the Company's allowance for loan losses.

From April 1997 to 2002, the Company purchased private insurance from RSAUSA. RSAUSA decided to exit the U.S. market and sold RSAUSA in 2007 to Arrowpoint, a company owned and operated by their management and independent directors. Arrowpoint is being operated in a voluntary run-off mode with the objective of successfully meeting policyholder obligations and achieving a solvent run-off. As part of the purchase, Arrowpoint agreed to certain operating restrictions and the appointment of a claims monitor to protect policy holders. Arrowood, the Arrowpoint subsidiary which directly insures the Company's loans, is making claim payments on a regular basis. Neither Arrowpoint nor Arrowood is rated. Any failure of either could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations as it relates to the Company's Arrowood insured loan portfolio.

The Company's credit risk exposure is also impacted by the size and performance of the Uninsured CitiAssist Standard and Uninsured CitiAssist Custom loan portfolios that are not originated under a risk-sharing relationship, which has grown over recent years. At June 30, 2010, the uninsured private education loan portfolio included \$192.5 million of higher risk loans made to students attending proprietary schools. Most of these higher risk loans did not follow the Company's traditional underwriting process. The Company no longer originates Uninsured CitiAssist Custom loans.

The Company's allowance for loan losses is dependent on estimates. These estimates are based on historical experience, adjusted for qualitative factors including changes in recent performance, general economic conditions or applicable laws and regulations. If actual experience varies significantly from historical experience or the Company's projections of the impact of changes in qualitative factors are inaccurate, the Company's estimated allowance for loan losses may be insufficient to cover losses inherent in the Company's portfolio.

ItemExhibits 6.

See Exhibit Index.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 6, 2010

The Student Loan Corporation

By: /s/ Joseph P. Guage

Joseph P. Guage

Chief Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's 1992 Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 1-11616).
3.2	By-Laws of the Company, as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's 1994 Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 1-11616).
10.10.1*	Amendment No. 1, dated as of February 11, 2010, to the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement between the Company and CBNA.
10.10.2*	Notice No. 2 dated as of July 7, 2010, amending the Amended and Restated Omnibus Credit Agreement between the Company and CBNA.
31.1 *	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 *	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 *	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith