

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP

Form 10-K

March 01, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2006
 Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number: 000-25597
UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

OREGON (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) **93-1261319** (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

ONE SW COLUMBIA STREET, SUITE 1200, PORTLAND, OREGON 97258
(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)
(503) 727-4100
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class **Name of each exchange on which registered**

NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Act. Check one:
Large Accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [] No [x]

The aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2006, based on the closing price on that date of \$25.65 per share, and 57,651,533 shares outstanding was \$1,159,983,878. Shares of common stock held by each executive officer and director and by each person who owns 5% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded because those persons may be deemed affiliates.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding for each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date:

The number of shares of the Registrant's common stock (no par value) outstanding as of January 31, 2007 was 58,157,232.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Umpqua Holdings Corporation are incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K in response to Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

FORM 10-K CROSS REFERENCE INDEX

| | | |
|----------------------|---|----|
| Part I | | 2 |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | 2 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 12 |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders</u> | 14 |
| Part II | | 15 |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 18 |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 40 |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 86 |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 86 |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 86 |
| Part III | | 87 |
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 87 |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 87 |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 87 |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u> | 87 |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 87 |
| Part IV | | 88 |
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 88 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | 89 |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | | 91 |
| <u>EXHIBIT 21.1</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 23.1</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 23.2</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 31.3</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 32</u> | | |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation
PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements, which statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may include statements that expressly or implicitly predict future results, performance or events. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. You can find many of these statements by looking for words such as anticipates, expects, believes, estimates and intends and words or phrases of similar meaning. Forward-looking statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond the control of Umpqua. Risks and uncertainties include the following:

The ability to attract new deposits and loans and leases

Competitive market pricing factors

Deterioration in economic conditions that could result in increased loan and lease losses

Market interest rate volatility

Changes in legal or regulatory requirements

The ability to recruit and retain key management and staff

Risks associated with merger integration

There are many factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements. For a more detailed discussion of some of the risk factors, see the section entitled Risk Factors below. We do not intend to update these forward-looking statements. You should consider any forward looking statements in light of this explanation, and we caution you about relying on forward-looking statements.

Introduction

Umpqua Holdings Corporation (referred to in this report as we, our, Umpqua, and the Company), an Oregon corporation, was formed as a bank holding company in March 1999. At that time, we acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of South Umpqua Bank, an Oregon state-chartered bank formed in 1953. We became a financial holding company in March 2000 under the provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. Umpqua has two principal operating subsidiaries, Umpqua Bank (the Bank) and Strand, Atkinson, Williams and York, Inc. (Strand). We file annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). You may obtain these reports, and any amendments, from the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. You may obtain copies of these reports, and any amendments, through our website at www.umpquaholdingscorp.com. These reports are available through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed electronically with the SEC. All of our SEC filings since November 14, 2002 are made available on our website within two days of filing with the SEC.

Recent Developments

On January 18, 2007, we announced the signing of a definitive agreement to acquire North Bay Bancorp and its principal operating subsidiary, The Vintage Bank, along with its Solano Bank division. The acquisition will add North Bay Bancorp's network of 10 Northern California branches, including locations in the Napa, St. Helena, American Canyon, Vacaville, Benicia, Vallejo and Fairfield areas, to our network of 134 Northern California, Oregon and Washington locations and result in a combined institution with assets of approximately \$8 billion. The transaction is expected to close in the second quarter of 2007.

General Background

Prior to 2002, the Company's footprint included southern Oregon, the Oregon coast and the I-5 corridor in the Willamette Valley. During 2002, we completed the acquisition of Centennial Bancorp, which at the time of acquisition had total assets of approximately \$840 million and 22 branches located principally in the Portland metropolitan and Willamette Valley areas of Oregon along the I-5 corridor. During the third quarter of 2004, we completed the acquisition of Humboldt Bancorp, which at the time of acquisition had total assets of approximately \$1.5 billion and 27 branches located throughout Northern California. On June 2, 2006, we completed the acquisition of Western Sierra Bancorp and its principal operating subsidiaries, Western

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

Sierra Bank, Central California Bank, Lake Community Bank and Auburn Community Bank. At the time of the acquisition, Western Sierra Bancorp had total assets of approximately \$1.5 billion and 31 branches located throughout Northern California.

Our headquarters is located in Portland, Oregon, and we engage primarily in the business of commercial and retail banking and the delivery of retail brokerage services. The Bank provides a wide range of banking, mortgage banking and other financial services to corporate, institutional and individual customers. Along with our subsidiaries, we are subject to the regulations of state and federal agencies and undergo periodic examinations by these regulatory agencies. See *Supervision and Regulation* below for additional information.

We are considered one of the most innovative community banks in the United States, combining a retail product delivery approach with an emphasis on quality-assured personal service. The Bank has evolved from a traditional community bank into a community-oriented financial services retailer by implementing a variety of retail marketing strategies to increase revenue and differentiate ourselves from our competition. Strand is a registered broker-dealer and investment advisor with offices in Portland, Eugene, and Medford, Oregon, and in 11 Umpqua Bank stores. Strand offers a full range of investment products and services including: stocks, fixed income securities (municipal, corporate, and government bonds, CDs, and money market instruments), mutual funds, annuities, options, retirement planning, money management services, life insurance, disability insurance and medical supplement policies.

Business Strategy

Our principal objective is to become the leading community-oriented financial services retailer throughout the Pacific Northwest and Northern California. We plan to continue the expansion of our market from Seattle to Sacramento, primarily along the I-5 corridor. We intend to continue to grow our assets and increase profitability and shareholder value by differentiating ourselves from competitors through the following strategies:

Capitalize On Innovative Product Delivery System. Our philosophy has been to develop an environment for the customer that makes the banking experience enjoyable. With this approach in mind, we have developed a unique store concept that offers one-stop shopping and that includes distinct physical areas or boutiques, such as a serious about service center, an investment opportunity center and a computer café, which make the Bank's products and services more tangible and accessible. In 2006, we introduced our Neighborhood Stores and have announced the development of another new store concept, our Store of the Future which will be introduced in 2007. We expect to continue remodeling existing and acquired stores in metropolitan locations to further our retail vision.

Deliver Superior Quality Service. We insist on quality service as an integral part of our culture, from the Board of Directors to our new sales associates, and believe we are among the first banks to introduce a measurable quality service program. Under our return on quality program, each sales associate's and store's performance is evaluated monthly based on specific measurable factors such as the sales effectiveness ratio that totals the average number of banking products purchased by each new customer. The evaluations also encompass factors such as the number of new loan and deposit accounts generated in each store, reports by incognito mystery shoppers and customer surveys. Based on scores achieved, the return on quality program rewards both individual sales associates and store teams with financial incentives.

Through such programs, we believe we can measure the quality of service provided to our customers and maintain employee focus on quality customer service.

Establish Strong Brand Awareness. As a financial services retailer, we devote considerable resources to developing the Umpqua Bank brand. In 1997, we redesigned our corporate logo to emphasize our geographical origin. We promote the brand in advertising and merchandise bearing the Bank's logo, such as mugs, tee-shirts, hats, umbrellas and bags of custom roasted coffee beans. The unique look and feel of our stores and our innovative product displays help position us as an innovative, customer friendly retailer

of financial products and services. We build consumer preference for our products and services through strong brand awareness. During 2005, we secured naming rights to the office tower in Portland, Oregon in which our administrative offices and main branch are now located. This downtown building now displays prominent illuminated signage with the Bank's name and logo.

Use Technology to Expand Customer Base. Although our strategy will continue to emphasize superior personal service, we continue to expand user-friendly, technology-based systems to attract customers that may prefer to interact with their financial institution electronically. We offer technology-based services including voice response banking, debit cards, automatic payroll deposit programs, ibank@Umpqua online banking, bill pay and cash management, advanced function ATMs and an internet web site. We believe the availability of both traditional bank services and electronic banking services enhances our ability to attract a broader range of customers.

Table of Contents

Increase Market Share in Existing Markets and Expand Into New Markets. As a result of our innovative retail product orientation, measurable quality service program and strong brand awareness, we believe that there is significant potential to increase business with current customers, to attract new customers in our existing markets and to enter new markets.

Marketing and Sales

Our goal of increasing our share of financial services in our market areas is driven by a marketing and sales plan with the following key components:

Media Advertising. Over the past five years, we have introduced several comprehensive media advertising campaigns. These campaigns augment our goal of strengthening the Umpqua Bank brand image and heightening public awareness of our innovative product delivery system. Campaign slogans such as *Why Not?*, *The Banking Revolution*, *Expect the Unexpected*, and *Different for a Reason* were designed to showcase our innovative style of banking and our commitment to providing quality customer service. Our current *Be a Localist* campaign highlights our commitment to the communities we serve. Our marketing campaigns utilize various forms of media, including television, radio, print, billboards and direct mail flyers and letters.

Retail Store Concept. As a financial services provider, we believe that the store environment is critical to successfully market and sell products and services. Retailers traditionally have displayed merchandise within their stores in a manner designed to encourage customers to purchase their products. Purchases are made on the spur of the moment due to the products availability and attractiveness. Umpqua Bank believes this same concept can be applied to financial institutions and accordingly displays financial services and products through tactile merchandising within our stores. Unlike many financial institutions whose strategy is to discourage customers from visiting their facilities in favor of ATMs or other forms of electronic banking, we encourage customers to visit our stores, where they are greeted by well-trained sales associates and encouraged to browse and to make impulse purchases. The latest store design, referred to as the *Pearl*, includes features like wireless laptop computers customers can use, opening rooms with fresh fruit and refrigerated beverages and innovative products like the *Community Interest Account* that pays interest to non-profit organizations. The stores host a variety of after-hours events, from poetry readings to seminars on how to build an art collection.

In 2006, to bring financial services to our customers in a cost-effective way, we introduced *Neighborhood Stores*. We build these stores in established neighborhoods and design them to be neighborhood hubs. These stand-alone stores are smaller and emphasize advanced technology. To strengthen brand recognition, all *Neighborhood Stores* will be nearly identical in appearance.

Sales Culture. Although a successful marketing program will attract customers to visit our stores, a sales environment and a well-trained sales team are critical to selling our products and services. We believe that our sales culture has become well established throughout the organization due to the unique facility design and our ongoing training of sales associates on all aspects of sales and service. We train our sales associates in our in-house training facility known as *The World's Greatest Bank University* and pay commissions for the sale of the Bank's products and services. This sales culture has helped transform us from a traditional community bank to a nationally recognized marketing company focused on selling financial products and services.

Products and Services

We offer a full array of financial products to meet the banking needs of our market area and targeted customers. To ensure the ongoing viability of our product offerings, we regularly examine the desirability and profitability of existing and potential new products. To make it easy for new prospective customers to bank with us and access our products, we offer a *Switch Kit*, which allows a customer to open a primary checking account with Umpqua Bank in less than ten minutes. Other avenues through which customers can access our products include our web site, internet banking through the *ibank@Umpqua* program, and our 24-hour telephone voice response system.

Deposit Products. We offer a traditional array of deposit products, including non-interest-bearing checking accounts, interest-bearing checking and savings accounts, money market accounts and certificates of deposit. These accounts earn interest at rates established by management based on competitive market factors and management's desire to increase certain types or maturities of deposit liabilities. We also offer a line of Life Cycle Packages to increase the number of relationships with customers and increase service fee income. These packages comprise several products bundled together to provide added value to the customer and increase the customer's ties to us. We also offer a seniors program to customers over fifty years old, which includes an array of banking services and other amenities, such as purchase discounts, vacation trips and seminars.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

Retail Brokerage Services. Strand provides a full range of brokerage services including equity and fixed income products, mutual funds, annuities, options, retirement planning and money management services. Additionally, Strand offers life insurance, disability insurance and medical supplement policies. At December 31, 2006, Strand had 39 Series 7-licensed representatives serving clients at 3 stand-alone retail brokerage offices and Investment Opportunity Centers located in 11 Bank stores.

Private Client Services. Our Private Client Services division provides integrated banking and investment products and services by coordinating the offerings of the Bank and Strand, focusing principally on serving high value customers. The Prosperity suite of products includes 24-hour access to a private client executive, courier service, preferred rates on deposit and loan products, brokerage accounts and portfolio management.

Commercial and Commercial Real Estate Loans. We offer specialized loans for business and commercial customers, including accounts receivable and inventory financing, equipment loans, real estate construction loans and permanent financing and SBA program financing. Additionally, we offer specially designed loan products for small businesses through our Small Business Lending Center. Commercial real estate lending is the primary focus of our lending activities and a significant portion of our loan and lease portfolio consists of commercial real estate loans. We provide funding for income-producing real estate, though a substantial share of our commercial real estate loans are for owner-occupied projects of commercial loan customers and for borrowers we have financed for many years.

Residential Real Estate Loans. Real estate loans are available for construction, purchase and refinancing of residential owner-occupied and rental properties. Borrowers can choose from a variety of fixed and adjustable rate options and terms. We sell most residential real estate loans that we originate into the secondary market.

Consumer Loans. We also provide loans to individual borrowers for a variety of purposes, including secured and unsecured personal loans, home equity and personal lines of credit and motor vehicle loans.

Market Area and Competition

The geographic markets we serve are highly competitive for deposits, loans and leases and retail brokerage services. We compete with traditional banking and thrift institutions, as well as non-bank financial service providers, such as credit unions, brokerage firms and mortgage companies. In our primary market areas of Oregon and Northern California, major banks and large regional banks generally hold dominant market share positions. By virtue of their larger capital bases, major banks and super-regional banks have significantly larger lending limits than we do and generally have more expansive branch networks. Competition also includes other commercial banks that are community-focused, some of which were recently formed as de novo institutions seeking to capitalize on any perceived marketplace void resulting from merger and acquisition consolidation. In some cases, the directors and key officers of de novo banks were previously associated with the Bank or banks previously acquired by Umpqua. Our primary competitors also include non-bank financial services providers, such as credit unions, brokerage firms, insurance companies and mortgage companies. As the industry becomes increasingly dependent on and oriented toward technology-driven delivery systems, permitting transactions to be conducted by telephone, computer and the internet, such non-bank institutions are able to attract funds and provide lending and other financial services even without offices located in our primary service area. Some insurance companies and brokerage firms compete for deposits by offering rates that are higher than may be appropriate for the Bank in relation to its asset/liability objectives. However, we offer a wide array of deposit products and believe we can compete effectively through rate-driven product promotions. We also compete with full service investment firms for non-bank financial products and services offered by Strand. Credit unions present a significant competitive challenge for our banking services and products. As credit unions currently enjoy an exemption from income tax, they are able to offer higher deposit rates and lower loan rates than we can on a comparable basis. Credit unions are also not currently subject to certain regulatory constraints, such as the Community Reinvestment Act, which, among other things, requires us to

implement procedures to make and monitor loans throughout the communities we serve. Adhering to such regulatory requirements raises the costs associated with our lending activities, and reduces potential operating profits. Accordingly, we seek to compete by focusing on building customer relations, providing superior service and offering a wide variety of commercial banking products that do not compete directly with products and services typically offered by the credit unions, such as commercial real estate loans, inventory and accounts receivable financing, and SBA program loans for qualified businesses. Many of our stores are located in markets that have experienced growth below statewide averages and the economy of Oregon is particularly sensitive to changes in the demand for forest and high technology products. Over the past few years,

Table of Contents

Oregon suffered from one of the highest unemployment rates in the nation as a lingering result of the recent slowdown in those business segments. With the completion of the Humboldt and Western Sierra acquisitions, the Bank's market area expanded to include most of Northern California exclusive of the Bay Area. Like Oregon, some California stores are located in communities with growth rates that lag behind the state average. During the past several years, the States of Oregon and California have experienced some financial difficulties. To the extent the fiscal condition of state and local governments does not improve, there could be an adverse effect on business conditions in the affected state that would negatively impact the prospects for the Bank's operations located there.

The following table presents the Bank's market share percentage for total deposits in each county where we have operations. The table also indicates the ranking by deposit size in each market. All information in the table was obtained from SNL Financial of Charlottesville, Virginia, which compiles deposit data published by the FDIC as of June 30, 2006 and updates the information for any bank mergers completed subsequent to the reporting date.

Oregon

| County | Market Share | Market Rank | Number of Stores |
|------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| Benton | 7.9% | 6 | 1 |
| Clackamas | 2.3% | 10 | 5 |
| Coos | 33.1% | 1 | 5 |
| Curry | 16.8% | 3 | 1 |
| Deschutes | 3.7% | 9 | 3 |
| Douglas | 48.2% | 1 | 9 |
| Jackson | 13.3% | 2 | 9 |
| Josephine | 15.6% | 1 | 5 |
| Lane | 18.7% | 1 | 9 |
| Lincoln | 11.1% | 4 | 2 |
| Linn | 13.0% | 4 | 3 |
| Marion | 5.3% | 7 | 3 |
| Multnomah | 2.0% | 7 | 9 |
| Washington | 3.5% | 8 | 3 |

California

| County | Market Share | Market Rank | Number of Stores |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| Amador | 3.3% | 7 | 1 |
| Butte | 2.9% | 9 | 2 |
| Calaveras | 22.0% | 2 | 4 |
| Colusa | 25.4% | 2 | 2 |
| Contra Costa | 0.2% | 25 | 1 |
| El Dorado | 9.3% | 5 | 5 |
| Glenn | 22.1% | 3 | 2 |
| Humboldt | 25.4% | 1 | 7 |
| Lake | 12.1% | 4 | 2 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|----|----|
| Mendocino | 2.7% | 7 | 1 |
| Napa | 0.8% | 16 | 1 |
| Placer | 6.7% | 6 | 10 |
| Sacramento | 0.8% | 20 | 6 |
| San Joaquin | 0.4% | 20 | 1 |
| Shasta | 2.3% | 9 | 1 |
| Stanislaus | 1.0% | 17 | 2 |
| Sutter | 14.8% | 4 | 3 |
| Tehama | 17.7% | 3 | 2 |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

California

| County | Market Share | Market Rank | Number of Stores |
|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| Trinity | 25.8% | 2 | 1 |
| Tuolumne | 14.7% | 3 | 6 |
| Yolo | 1.6% | 11 | 1 |
| Yuba | 24.3% | 3 | 2 |

Washington

| County | Market Share | Market Rank | Number of Stores |
|--------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| Clark | 2.8% | 9 | 2 |
| King | 0.1% | 47 | 1 |

Lending and Credit Functions

The Bank makes both secured and unsecured loans to individuals and businesses. At December 31, 2006, real estate construction/development, real estate mortgage, commercial real estate, commercial/industrial and consumer/other loans represented approximately 22%, 11%, 48%, 17% and 2%, respectively, of the total loan and lease portfolio.

Inter-agency guidelines adopted by federal bank regulators mandate that financial institutions establish real estate lending policies with maximum allowable real estate loan-to-value limits, subject to an allowable amount of non-conforming loans as a percentage of capital. We have adopted as loan policy loan-to-value limits that range from 5% to 10% less than the federal guidelines for each category; however, policy exceptions are permitted for real estate loan customers with strong financial credentials.

Allowance for Loan And Lease Losses (ALLL) Methodology

The Bank performs regular credit reviews of the loan and lease portfolio to determine the credit quality of the portfolio and the adherence to underwriting standards. When loans and leases are originated, they are assigned a risk rating from 1 to 10 that is assessed periodically during the term of the loan through the credit review process. The 10 risk rating categories are a primary factor in determining an appropriate amount for the allowance for loan and lease losses. During 2004, the Bank formed a management ALLL Committee, which is responsible for, among other things, regular review of the ALLL methodology, including loss factors, and ensuring that it is designed and applied in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The ALLL Committee reviews loans and leases that have been placed on non-accrual status and approves placing loans and leases on impaired status. The ALLL Committee also approves removing loans and leases that are no longer impaired from impairment and non-accrual status. The Bank's Audit and Compliance Committee provides board oversight of the ALLL process and reviews and approves the ALLL methodology on a quarterly basis.

Each risk rating is assessed an inherent credit loss factor that determines the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses provided for that group of loans and leases with similar risk rating. Credit loss factors may vary by region based on management's belief that there may ultimately be different credit loss rates experienced in each region.

The regular credit reviews of the portfolio also identify loans that are considered potentially impaired. Potentially impaired loans are referred to the ALLL Committee which reviews and approves designated loans as impaired. A loan is considered impaired when based on current information and events, we determine that we will probably not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. When we identify a loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using discounted cash flows, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we use the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, instead of discounted cash flows. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment reserve as a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

The combination of the risk rating based allowance component and the impairment reserve allowance component lead to an allocated allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank also maintains an unallocated allowance amount to provide for other credit losses inherent in a loan and lease portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the credit loss factors. This unallocated amount generally comprises less than 5% of the allowance. The unallocated amount is reviewed periodically based on trends in credit losses, the results of credit reviews and overall economic trends.

Table of Contents

Management believes that the ALLL was adequate as of December 31, 2006. There is, however, no assurance that future loan losses will not exceed the levels provided for in the ALLL and could possibly result in additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review.

Employees

As of December 31, 2006, we had a total of 1,530 full-time equivalent employees. None of the employees are subject to a collective bargaining agreement and management believes its relations with employees to be good. Umpqua Bank was named #9 on *Oregon Business* magazine's 2006 large companies list of The 100 Best Companies to Work for in Oregon and was named #34 on *Fortune* magazine's 2007 list of 100 Best Companies to Work For. Information regarding employment agreements with our executive officers is contained in Item 11 below, which item is incorporated by reference to our proxy statement for the 2007 annual meeting of shareholders.

Government Policies

The operations of our subsidiaries are affected by state and federal legislative changes and by policies of various regulatory authorities. These policies include, for example, statutory maximum legal lending rates, domestic monetary policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, United States fiscal policy, and capital adequacy and liquidity constraints imposed by federal and state regulatory agencies.

Supervision and Regulation

General. We are extensively regulated under federal and state law. These laws and regulations are generally intended to protect depositors and customers, not shareholders. To the extent that the following information describes statutory or regulatory provisions, it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the particular statute or regulation. Any change in applicable laws or regulations may have a material effect on our business and prospects. Our operations may be affected by legislative changes and by the policies of various regulatory authorities. We cannot accurately predict the nature or the extent of the effects on our business and earnings that fiscal or monetary policies, or new federal or state legislation may have in the future.

Holding Company Regulation. We are a registered financial holding company under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the GLB Act), and are subject to the supervision of, and regulation by, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve). As a financial holding company, we are examined by and file reports with the Federal Reserve.

Financial holding companies are bank holding companies that satisfy certain criteria and are permitted to engage in activities that traditional bank holding companies are not. The qualifications and permitted activities of financial holdings companies are described below under Regulatory Structure of the Financial Services Industry.

Federal and State Bank Regulation. Umpqua Bank, as a state chartered bank with deposits insured by the FDIC, is subject to the supervision and regulation of the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services Division of Finance and Corporate Securities, the Washington Department of Financial Institutions, the California Department of Financial Institutions and the FDIC. These agencies may prohibit the Bank from engaging in what they believe constitute unsafe or unsound banking practices. Our primary state regulator (the State of Oregon) makes regular examinations of the Bank or participates in joint examinations with the FDIC.

The Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) requires that, in connection with examinations of financial institutions within its jurisdiction, the FDIC evaluate the record of the financial institutions in meeting the credit needs of their local communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of those institutions. These factors are also considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions and applications to open a branch or new facility. A less than Satisfactory rating would result in the suspension of any growth of the Bank through acquisitions or opening de novo branches until the rating is improved. As of the most recent CRA examination in November 2004, the Bank's CRA

rating was Satisfactory.

Banks are also subject to certain restrictions imposed by the Federal Reserve Act on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, principal shareholders or any related interest of such persons. Extensions of credit must be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral as, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons not affiliated with the bank, and must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features. Banks are also subject to certain lending limits and restrictions on overdrafts to such persons. A violation of these restrictions may result in the assessment of substantial civil monetary penalties on the affected bank or any officer, director, employee, agent or other person participating in the conduct of the affairs of that bank, the imposition of a cease and desist order, and other regulatory sanctions.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

The Federal Reserve Act and related Regulation W limit the amount of certain loan and investment transactions between the Bank and its affiliates, require certain levels of collateral for such loans, and limit the amount of advances to third parties that may be collateralized by the securities of Umpqua or its subsidiaries. Regulation W requires that certain transactions between the Bank and its affiliates be on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the Bank, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with or involving nonaffiliated companies or, in the absence of comparable transactions, on terms and under circumstances, including credit standards, that in good faith would be offered to or would apply to nonaffiliated companies. Umpqua and its subsidiaries have adopted an Affiliate Transactions Policy and have entered into an Affiliate Tax Sharing Agreement.

The Federal Reserve and the FDIC have adopted non-capital safety and soundness standards for institutions under their authority. These standards cover internal controls, information and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, compensation, fees and benefits, and standards for asset quality, earnings and stock valuation. An institution that fails to meet these standards must develop a plan acceptable to the agency, specifying the steps that it will take to meet the standards. Failure to submit or implement such a plan may subject the institution to regulatory sanctions. We believe that the Bank is in compliance with these standards.

Federal Deposit Insurance. The Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 (Reform Act), enacted in February 2006, increased the deposit insurance limit for certain retirement plan deposit accounts from \$100,000 to \$250,000. The basic insurance limit for other deposits, including individuals, joint account holders, businesses, government entities, and trusts, remains at \$100,000. The Reform Act also provided for the merger of the two deposit insurance funds administered by the FDIC, the Bank Insurance Fund (BIF) and the Savings Association Insurance Fund (SAIF), into the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF). The FDIC effectuated the merger of the BIF and the SAIF into the DIF as of March 31, 2006. As a result of the merger of the funds, the BIF and the SAIF were abolished.

The amount of FDIC assessments paid by each member institution is based on its relative risk of default as measured by regulatory capital levels, regulatory examination ratings and other factors. For 2006, the assessment rates ranged from \$0.00 to \$0.27 per \$100 of deposits annually. For the year 2006, the Bank s assessment rate was \$0.00. The Reform Act created a new system and assessment rate schedule to calculate an institution s assessment. The new base assessment rates per the Reform Act range from \$0.02 to \$0.40 per \$100 of deposits annually. The FDIC has indicated that the Bank s annual assessment rate for 2007 will be \$0.05 per \$100 of deposits. The FDIC may increase or decrease the assessment rate schedule on a semi-annual basis in order to manage the DIF to prescribed statutory target levels. An increase in the assessment rate could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, depending upon the amount of the increase.

The FDIC may terminate the deposit insurance of any insured depository institution if it determines that the institution has engaged in or is engaging in unsafe and unsound banking practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition or has violated any applicable law, regulation or order or any condition imposed in writing by, or pursuant to, any written agreement with the FDIC. The termination of deposit insurance for the Bank could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations due to the fact that the Bank s liquidity position would likely be affected by deposit withdrawal activity.

Dividends. Under the Oregon Bank Act and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), the Bank is subject to restrictions on the payment of cash dividends to its parent company. Dividends paid by the Bank provide substantially all of Umpqua s (as a stand-alone parent company) cash flow. A bank may not pay cash dividends if that payment would reduce the amount of its capital below that necessary to meet minimum applicable regulatory capital requirements. In addition, under the Oregon Bank Act, the amount of the dividend may not be greater than net unreserved retained earnings, after first deducting to the extent not already charged against earnings or reflected in a reserve, all bad debts, which are debts on which interest is unpaid and past due at least six months; all other assets charged off as

required by the Oregon Director or state or federal examiner; and all accrued expenses, interest and taxes. In addition, state and federal regulatory authorities are authorized to prohibit banks and holding companies from paying dividends that would constitute an unsafe or unsound banking practice. We are not currently subject to any regulatory restrictions on dividends other than those noted above.

Capital Adequacy. The federal and state bank regulatory agencies use capital adequacy guidelines in their examination and regulation of holding companies and banks. If capital falls below the minimum levels established by these guidelines, a holding company or a bank may be denied approval to acquire or establish additional banks or non-bank businesses or to open new facilities.

The FDIC and Federal Reserve have adopted risk-based capital guidelines for holding companies and banks. The risk-based capital guidelines are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profile among

Table of Contents

holding companies and banks, to account for off-balance sheet exposure and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Assets and off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and off-balance sheet items. The capital adequacy guidelines limit the degree to which a holding company or bank may leverage its equity capital.

Federal regulations establish minimum requirements for the capital adequacy of depository institutions, such as the Bank. Banks with capital ratios below the required minimums are subject to certain administrative actions, including prompt corrective action, the termination of deposit insurance upon notice and hearing, or a temporary suspension of insurance without a hearing.

FDICIA requires federal banking regulators to take prompt corrective action with respect to a capital-deficient institution, including requiring a capital restoration plan and restricting certain growth activities of the institution. Umpqua could be required to guarantee any such capital restoration plan required of the Bank if the Bank became undercapitalized. Pursuant to FDICIA, regulations were adopted defining five capital levels: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, severely undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. Under the regulations, the Bank is considered well capitalized as of December 31, 2006.

Effects of Government Monetary Policy. Our earnings and growth are affected not only by general economic conditions, but also by the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government, particularly the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve implements national monetary policy for such purposes as curbing inflation and combating recession, through its open market operations in U.S. Government securities, control of the discount rate applicable to borrowings from the Federal Reserve, and establishment of reserve requirements against certain deposits. These activities influence growth of bank loans, investments and deposits, and also affect interest rates charged on loans or paid on deposits. The nature and impact of future changes in monetary policies and their impact on us cannot be predicted with certainty.

Broker-Dealer and Related Regulatory Supervision. Strand is a member of the National Association of Securities Dealers and is subject to its regulatory supervision. Areas subject to this regulatory review include compliance with trading rules, financial reporting, investment suitability for clients, and compliance with stock exchange rules and regulations.

Regulatory Structure of the Financial Services Industry. Federal laws and regulations governing banking and financial services underwent significant changes in recent years and are subject to significant changes in the future. From time to time, legislation is introduced in the United States Congress that contains proposals for altering the structure, regulation, and competitive relationships of the nation's financial institutions. If enacted into law, these proposals could increase or decrease the cost of doing business, limit or expand permissible activities, or affect the competitive balance among banks, savings associations, and other financial institutions. Whether or in what form any such legislation may be adopted or the extent to which our business might be affected thereby cannot be predicted.

The GLB Act, enacted in November 1999, repealed sections of the Banking Act of 1933, commonly referred to as the Glass-Steagall Act, that prohibited banks from engaging in securities activities, and prohibited securities firms from engaging in banking. The GLB Act created a new form of holding company, known as a financial holding company, that is permitted to acquire subsidiaries that are variously engaged in banking, securities underwriting and dealing, and insurance underwriting.

A bank holding company, if it meets specified requirements, may elect to become a financial holding company by filing a declaration with the Federal Reserve, and may thereafter provide its customers with a broader spectrum of products and services than a traditional bank holding company is permitted to do. A financial holding company may, through a subsidiary, engage in any activity that is deemed to be financial in nature and activities that are incidental or complementary to activities that are financial in nature. These activities include traditional banking services and activities previously permitted to bank holding companies under Federal Reserve regulations, but also include underwriting and dealing in securities, providing investment advisory services, underwriting and selling insurance, merchant banking (holding a portfolio of

commercial businesses, regardless of the nature of the business, for investment), and arranging or facilitating financial transactions for third parties.

To qualify as a financial holding company, the bank holding company must be deemed to be well-capitalized and well-managed, as those terms are used by the Federal Reserve. In addition, each subsidiary bank of a bank holding company must also be well-capitalized and well-managed and be rated at least satisfactory under the Community Reinvestment Act. A bank holding company that does not qualify, or has not chosen, to become a financial holding company must limit its activities to traditional banking activities and those non-banking activities the Federal Reserve has deemed to be permissible because they are closely related to the business of banking.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

The GLB Act also includes provisions to protect consumer privacy by prohibiting financial services providers, whether or not affiliated with a bank, from disclosing non-public personal, financial information to unaffiliated parties without the consent of the customer, and by requiring annual disclosure of the provider's privacy policy.

Legislation enacted by Congress in 1995 permits interstate banking and branching, which allows banks to expand nationwide through acquisition, consolidation or merger. Under this law, an adequately capitalized bank holding company may acquire banks in any state or merge banks across state lines if permitted by state law. Further, banks may establish and operate branches in any state subject to the restrictions of applicable state law. Under Oregon law, an out-of-state bank or bank holding company may merge with or acquire an Oregon state chartered bank or bank holding company if the Oregon bank, or in the case of a bank holding company, the subsidiary bank, has been in existence for a minimum of three years, and the law of the state in which the acquiring bank is located permits such merger. Branches may not be acquired or opened separately, but once an out-of-state bank has acquired branches in Oregon, either through a merger with or acquisition of substantially all the assets of an Oregon bank, the acquirer may open additional branches. The Bank now has the ability to open additional de novo branches in the states of Oregon, California and Washington.

Anti-Terrorism Legislation. The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act (USA Patriot Act), enacted in 2001:

prohibits banks from providing correspondent accounts directly to foreign shell banks;

imposes due diligence requirements on banks opening or holding accounts for foreign financial institutions or wealthy foreign individuals;

requires financial institutions to establish an anti-money-laundering (AML) compliance program; and

generally eliminates civil liability for persons who file suspicious activity reports.

The USA Patriot Act also increases governmental powers to investigate terrorism, including expanded government access to account records. The Department of the Treasury is empowered to administer and make rules to implement the Act. While the Act, to some degree, affects our record-keeping and reporting expenses, we do not believe that the Act has a material adverse effect on our business and operations. Should the Bank's AML compliance program be deemed insufficient by federal regulators, we would not be able to grow through acquiring other institutions or opening de novo branches.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 addresses public company corporate governance, auditing, accounting, executive compensation and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act represents significant federal involvement in matters traditionally left to state regulatory systems, such as the regulation of the accounting profession, and regulation of the relationship between a Board of Directors and management and between a Board of Directors and its committees.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act provides for, among other things:

prohibition on personal loans by Umpqua to its directors and executive officers except loans made by the Bank in accordance with federal banking regulations;

independence requirements for Board audit committee members and our auditors;

certification of Exchange Act reports by the chief executive officer, chief financial officer and principal accounting officer;

disclosure of off-balance sheet transactions;

expedited reporting of stock transactions by insiders; and

increased criminal penalties for violations of securities laws.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act also requires:

management to establish, maintain and evaluate disclosure controls and procedures;

report on its annual assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting;

our external auditor to attest to management's assessment of internal controls.

Table of Contents

The SEC has adopted regulations to implement various provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, including disclosures in periodic filings pursuant to the Exchange Act. Also, in response to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, NASDAQ adopted new standards for listed companies. In 2004, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act substantially increased our reporting and compliance expenses, but we do not believe that the Act will have a material adverse effect on our business and operations.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

The following summarizes certain risks that management believes are specific to our business. This should not be viewed as including all risks.

Merger with North Bay Bancorp may fail to realize all of the anticipated benefits.

On January 18, 2007, Umpqua announced the signing of a definitive agreement to acquire North Bay Bancorp and its principal operating subsidiary, The Vintage Bank. The merger is expected to close in the second quarter of 2007, but is subject to the approval of North Bay Bancorp's shareholders and other customary closing conditions. We expect to generate cost savings and expense reductions through the consolidation of facilities, increased purchasing efficiencies, and elimination of duplicative technology, operations, outside services and redundant staff. The combined company may fail to realize some or all of the anticipated cost savings and other benefits of the transaction, and it may take longer than anticipated to realize such benefits. Any failure to realize the potential benefits could have a material adverse effect on the value of Umpqua common stock.

We are pursuing an aggressive growth strategy that is expected to include mergers and acquisitions, which could create integration risks.

Umpqua is among the fastest-growing community financial services organizations in the United States. Since 2000, we have completed the acquisition and integration of six other financial institutions. There is no assurance that future acquisitions will be successfully integrated. We have announced our intent to open new stores in Oregon, Washington and California, and to continue our growth strategy. If we pursue our growth strategy too aggressively, or if factors beyond management's control divert attention away from our integration plans, we might not be able to realize some or all of the anticipated benefits. Moreover, we are dependent on the efforts of key personnel to achieve the synergies associated with our acquisitions. The loss of one or more of our key persons could have a material adverse effect upon our ability to achieve the anticipated benefits.

Store construction can disrupt banking activities and may not be completed on time or within budget, which could result in reduced earnings.

The Bank has, over the past several years, been transformed from a traditional community bank into a community-oriented financial services retailer. We have announced plans to build new stores in Oregon, Washington and California as part of our de novo branching strategy. This includes our strategy of building Neighborhood Stores. We also continue to remodel acquired bank branches to resemble retail stores that include distinct physical areas or boutiques such as a serious about service center, an investment opportunity center and a computer cafe. Store construction involves significant expense and risks associated with locating store sites and delays in obtaining permits and completing construction. Remodeling involves significant expense, disrupts banking activities during the remodeling period, and presents a new look and feel to the banking services and products being offered. Customers may not react favorably to the construction-related activities or the remodeled look and feel. There are risks that construction or remodeling costs will exceed forecasted budgets and that there may be delays in completing the projects, which could cause disruption in those markets.

Involvement in non-bank business creates risks associated with securities industry.

Strand's retail brokerage operations present special risks not borne by community banks that focus exclusively on community banking. For example, the brokerage industry is subject to fluctuations in the stock market that may have a significant adverse impact on transaction fees, customer activity and investment portfolio gains and losses. Likewise, additional or modified regulations may adversely affect Strand's operations. Strand is also dependent on a small number of established brokers, whose departure

could result in the loss of a significant number of customer accounts. A significant decline in fees and commissions or trading losses suffered in the investment portfolio could adversely affect Strand's income and potentially require the contribution of additional capital to support its operations. Strand is subject to claim arbitration risk arising from customers who claim their investments were not suitable or that their portfolios were too actively traded. These risks increase when the market, as a whole, declines. The risks associated with retail brokerage may not be supported by the income generated by those operations. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Non-interest Income in Item 7 of this report.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

The majority of our assets are loans, which if not repaid would result in losses to the Bank in excess of loss allowances.

The Bank, like other lenders, is subject to credit risk, which is the risk of losing principal or interest due to borrowers' failure to repay loans in accordance with their terms. Underwriting and documentation controls do not always work properly. A downturn in the economy or the real estate market in our market areas or a rapid increase in interest rates could have a negative effect on collateral values and borrowers' ability to repay. To the extent loans are not paid timely by borrowers, the loans are placed on non-accrual status, thereby reducing interest income. Further, under these circumstances, an additional provision for loan and lease losses or unfunded commitments may be required. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments, Provision for Loan and Lease Losses and Asset Quality and Non-Performing Assets in Item 7 of this report.

A rapid change in interest rates could make it difficult to maintain our current interest income spread and could result in reduced earnings.

Our earnings are largely derived from net interest income, which is interest income and fees earned on loans and investments, less interest paid on deposits and other borrowings. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the control of our management, including general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory authorities. As interest rates change, net interest income is affected. With fixed rate assets (such as fixed rate loans and most investment securities) and liabilities (such as certificates of deposit), the effect on net interest income depends on the cash flows associated with the maturity of the asset or liability. Asset/liability management policies may not be successfully implemented and from time to time our risk position is not balanced. An unanticipated rapid decrease or increase in interest rates could have an adverse effect on the spreads between the interest rates earned on assets and the rates of interest paid on liabilities, and therefore on the level of net interest income. For instance, any rapid increase in interest rates in the future could result in interest expense increasing faster than interest income because of fixed rate loans and longer-term investments. Further, substantially higher interest rates generally reduce loan demand and may result in slower loan growth than previously experienced. See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk in Item 7A of this report.

The volatility of our mortgage banking business can adversely affect earnings if our mitigating strategies are not successful.

Changes in interest rates greatly affect the mortgage banking business. One of the principal risks in this area is prepayment of mortgages and the consequent detrimental effect on the value of mortgage servicing rights (MSR). We employ hedging strategies to mitigate risk; but if the hedging decisions and strategies are not successful, our net income could be adversely affected. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Mortgage Servicing Rights in Item 7 of this report. *Our banking and brokerage operations are subject to extensive government regulation that is expected to become more burdensome, increase our costs and/or make us less competitive compared to financial services firms that are not subject to the same regulation.*

We and our subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation under federal and state laws. These laws and regulations are primarily intended to protect customers, depositors and the deposit insurance fund, rather than shareholders. The Bank is an Oregon state-chartered commercial bank whose primary regulator is the Oregon Division of Finance and Corporate Securities. The Bank is also subject to the supervision by and the regulations of the Washington Department of Financial Institutions, the California Department of Financial Institutions and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), which insures bank deposits. Strand is subject to extensive regulation by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Umpqua is subject to regulation and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the SEC and NASDAQ. Federal and state regulations may

place banks at a competitive disadvantage compared to less regulated competitors such as finance companies, credit unions, mortgage banking companies and leasing companies. Further, future changes in federal and state banking and brokerage regulations could adversely affect our operating results and ability to continue to compete effectively.

The financial services industry is highly competitive.

We face significant competition in attracting and retaining deposits and making loans as well as in providing other financial services throughout our market area. We face pricing competition for loans and deposits. We also face competition with respect to customer convenience, product lines, accessibility of service and service capabilities. Our most direct competition comes from other banks, brokerages, mortgage companies and savings institutions. We also face competition from credit unions, government-sponsored enterprises, mutual fund companies, insurance companies and other non-bank businesses.

Table of Contents

Our business is highly reliant on technology and our ability to manage the operational risks associated with technology.

We depend on internal and outsourced technology to support all aspects of our business operations. Interruption or failure of these systems creates a risk of business loss such as civil fines or damage claims from privacy breaches, and adverse customer experience. Risk management programs are expensive to maintain and will not protect the company from all risks associated with maintaining the security of customer information, proprietary data, external and internal intrusions, disaster recovery and failures in the controls used by vendors.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The executive offices of Umpqua are located at One SW Columbia Street in Portland, Oregon in office space that is leased. The main office of Strand is located at 200 SW Market Street in Portland, Oregon in office space that is leased. The Bank owns its main office located in Roseburg, Oregon. At December 31, 2006, the Bank conducted business at 130 locations and 4 limited service facilities in Northern California, Oregon and Washington along the I-5 corridor; in Bend, Oregon; along the Northern California and Oregon Coasts; and in Bellevue, Washington, of which 57 are owned and 73 are leased under various agreements. As of December 31, 2006, the Bank also operated 19 facilities for the purpose of administrative functions, such as data processing, of which 5 are owned and 14 are leased. All facilities are in a good state of repair and appropriately designed for use as banking or administrative office facilities. As of December 31, 2006, Strand leased three stand-alone offices from unrelated third parties and also leased space in 11 Bank stores under lease agreements that are based on market rates.

Additional information with respect to owned premises and lease commitments is included in Notes 7 and 14, respectively, of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Because of the nature of our business, we are involved in legal proceedings in the regular course of business. At this time, we do not believe that there is pending litigation the unfavorable outcome of which would result in a material adverse change to our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITIES HOLDERS.

No matters were submitted to the shareholders of the Company, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise, during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.**

(a) Our Common Stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol UMPQ. As of December 31, 2006, there were 100,000,000 common shares authorized for issuance. The following table presents the high and low sales prices of our common stock for each period, based on inter-dealer prices that do not include retail mark-ups, mark-downs or commissions:

| Quarter Ended | High | Low | Cash Dividend Per Share |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|
| December 31, 2006 | \$30.66 | \$27.21 | \$ 0.18 |
| September 30, 2006 | \$29.27 | \$23.98 | \$ 0.18 |
| June 30, 2006 | \$28.67 | \$24.50 | \$ 0.12 |
| March 31, 2006 | \$29.67 | \$26.25 | \$ 0.12 |
| December 31, 2005 | \$29.25 | \$22.58 | \$ 0.12 |
| September 30, 2005 | \$25.30 | \$23.10 | \$ 0.08 |
| June 30, 2005 | \$24.23 | \$19.63 | \$ 0.06 |
| March 31, 2005 | \$25.41 | \$22.99 | \$ 0.06 |

As of January 31, 2007, our common stock was held of record by approximately 4,504 shareholders, a number that does not include beneficial owners who hold shares in street name, or shareholders from previously acquired companies that have not exchanged their stock. At December 31, 2006, a total of 1,806,818 stock options and 122,290 unvested restricted shares were outstanding. Additional information about stock options and unvested restricted shares is included in Note 20 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below and in Item 12 below.

The payment of future cash dividends is at the discretion of our Board and subject to a number of factors, including results of operations, general business conditions, growth, financial condition and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors. Further, our ability to pay future cash dividends is subject to certain regulatory requirements and restrictions discussed in the *Supervision and Regulation* section in Item 1 above. During the fourth quarter of 2006, Umpqua's board of directors approved a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.18, unchanged from the third quarter of 2006 and an increase from \$0.12 per share in the first and second quarters of 2006. This increase was made pursuant to our existing dividend policy and in consideration of, among other things, earnings, regulatory capital levels and expected asset growth. We expect that the dividend rate will be reassessed on a quarterly basis by the board of directors in accordance with the dividend policy.

We have a dividend reinvestment plan that permits shareholder participants to purchase shares at the then-current market price in lieu of the receipt of cash dividends. Shares issued in connection with the dividend reinvestment plan are purchased in open market transactions.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table sets forth information about equity compensation plans that provide for the award of securities or the grant of options to purchase securities to employees and directors of Umpqua, its subsidiaries and its predecessors by merger that were in effect at December 31, 2006.

| Plan category | Equity Compensation Plan Information | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| | (a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights(1) | (b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans excluding securities reflected in column(a)(2)(3) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 1,806,818 | \$14.79 | 1,237,450 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1,806,818 | \$14.79 | 1,237,450 |

(1) Includes 198,326 shares issued under Centennial Bancorp's stock option plans, having a weighted average exercise price of \$6.659 per share at December 31, 2006. Includes 371,206 shares issued under Humboldt Bancorp's stock option plans, having a weighted average exercise price of \$7.2784 per share at December 31, 2006. Includes 209,305 shares issued under Western Sierra Bancorp's stock option plans, having a weighted average exercise price of \$6.7251. In connection with mergers, Umpqua assumed Centennial's, Humboldt's and Western Sierra's obligations under their respective stock option plans.

(2) Includes 1,000 unvested restricted stock award shares under Humboldt Bancorp plans that were assumed in connection with the acquisition of Humboldt Bancorp in July 2004.

(3) At Umpqua's 2003 Annual Meeting, shareholders approved the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan. The plan authorized the issuance of 2,000,000 shares of stock through awards of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options or restricted stock grants; provided awards of stock options and restricted stock grants under the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, when added to options outstanding under all other plans, are limited to a maximum 10% of the outstanding shares on a fully diluted basis.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Our share repurchase plan, which was approved by the Board and announced in August 2003, originally authorized the repurchase of up to 1.0 million shares. The authorization was amended to increase the repurchase limit initially to 1.5 million shares. On June 8, 2005, the Company announced an expansion of the repurchase plan by increasing the

repurchase limit to 2.5 million shares and extending the plan's expiration date to June 30, 2007. No shares were repurchased under the plan during the year ended December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2006, 2.1 million shares were available for repurchase under the plan.

We also have certain stock option and restricted stock plans which provide for the payment of the option exercise price or withholding taxes by tendering previously owned or recently vested shares. During the year ended December 31, 2006, 4,277 shares were tendered in connection with option exercises. Restricted shares cancelled to pay withholding taxes totaled 1,865 shares during the year ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following chart, which is furnished not filed, compares the yearly percentage changes in the cumulative shareholder return on our common stock during the five fiscal years ended December 31, 2006, with (i) the Total Return Index for The Nasdaq Stock Market (U.S. Companies) (ii) the Standard and Poor's 500 and (iii) the Total Return Index for Nasdaq Bank Stocks, as reported by the Center for Research in Securities Prices. This comparison assumes \$100.00 was invested on December 31, 2001, in our common stock and the comparison indices, and assumes the reinvestment of all cash dividends prior to any tax effect and retention of all stock dividends. Price information from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2006, was obtained by using the Nasdaq closing prices as of the last trading day of each year.

| Index | Period Ending | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 12/31/2001 | 12/31/2002 | 12/31/2003 | 12/31/2004 | 12/31/2005 | 12/31/2006 |
| Umpqua Holdings Corporation | \$100.00 | \$136.51 | \$156.81 | \$192.05 | \$220.09 | \$231.90 |
| Nasdaq Bank Stocks | \$100.00 | \$ 59.14 | \$ 89.11 | \$103.85 | \$130.57 | \$166.05 |
| Nasdaq U.S. | \$100.00 | \$ 71.97 | \$107.18 | \$117.07 | \$120.50 | \$137.02 |
| S&P 500 | \$100.00 | \$ 77.90 | \$100.24 | \$111.15 | \$116.61 | \$135.03 |

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.****Umpqua Holdings Corporation
Annual Financial Trends**(in thousands, except per
share data)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Interest income | \$ 405,941 | \$ 282,276 | \$ 198,058 | \$ 142,132 | \$ 100,325 |
| Interest expense | 143,817 | 72,994 | 40,371 | 28,860 | 23,797 |
| Net interest income | 262,124 | 209,282 | 157,687 | 113,272 | 76,528 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,552 | 2,468 | 7,321 | 4,550 | 3,888 |
| Non-interest income | 53,597 | 47,782 | 41,373 | 38,001 | 27,657 |
| Non-interest expense | 177,176 | 146,794 | 119,582 | 93,187 | 63,962 |
| Merger-related expense | 4,773 | 262 | 5,597 | 2,082 | 2,752 |
| Income before income taxes and discontinued operations | 131,220 | 107,540 | 66,560 | 51,454 | 33,583 |
| Provision for income taxes | 46,773 | 37,805 | 23,270 | 17,970 | 12,032 |
| Income from continuing operations | 84,447 | 69,735 | 43,290 | 33,484 | 21,551 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | 3,876 | 635 | 417 |
| Net income | \$ 84,447 | \$ 69,735 | \$ 47,166 | \$ 34,119 | \$ 21,968 |

YEAR END

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Assets | \$7,344,236 | \$5,360,639 | \$4,873,035 | \$2,963,815 | \$2,555,964 |
| Earning assets | 6,271,947 | 4,622,071 | 4,201,709 | 2,589,607 | 2,210,834 |
| Loans and leases | 5,361,862 | 3,921,631 | 3,467,904 | 2,003,587 | 1,778,315 |
| Deposits | 5,840,294 | 4,286,266 | 3,799,107 | 2,378,192 | 2,103,790 |
| Term debt | 9,513 | 3,184 | 88,451 | 55,000 | 24,219 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 203,688 | 165,725 | 166,256 | 97,941 | 75,000 |
| Shareholders equity | 1,156,211 | 738,261 | 687,613 | 318,969 | 288,159 |
| Shares outstanding | 58,080 | 44,556 | 44,211 | 28,412 | 27,981 |

AVERAGE

| | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Assets | \$6,451,660 | \$5,053,417 | \$3,919,985 | \$2,710,388 | \$1,614,775 |
| Earning assets | 5,569,619 | 4,353,696 | 3,392,475 | 2,359,142 | 1,449,250 |
| Loans and leases | 4,803,509 | 3,613,257 | 2,679,576 | 1,868,165 | 1,134,831 |
| Deposits | 5,003,949 | 4,002,153 | 3,090,497 | 2,212,082 | 1,364,424 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Term debt | 58,684 | 31,161 | 101,321 | 41,699 | 26,743 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 187,994 | 165,981 | 130,644 | 76,444 | 16,068 |
| Shareholders equity | 970,394 | 711,765 | 490,724 | 303,569 | 161,774 |
| Basic shares outstanding | 52,311 | 44,438 | 35,804 | 28,294 | 21,054 |
| Diluted shares outstanding | 53,050 | 45,011 | 36,345 | 28,666 | 21,306 |

PER SHARE DATA

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Basic earnings | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.32 | \$ 1.21 | \$ 1.04 |
| Diluted earnings | 1.59 | 1.55 | 1.30 | 1.19 | 1.03 |
| Basic earnings continuing operations | 1.61 | 1.57 | 1.21 | 1.18 | 1.02 |
| Diluted earnings continuing operations | 1.59 | 1.55 | 1.19 | 1.17 | 1.01 |
| Book value | 19.91 | 16.57 | 15.55 | 11.23 | 10.30 |
| Tangible book value(1) | 8.21 | 7.40 | 6.31 | 5.61 | 4.55 |
| Cash dividends declared | 0.60 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.16 |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PERFORMANCE RATIOS | | | | | |
| Return on average assets | 1.31% | 1.38% | 1.20% | 1.26% | 1.36% |
| Return on average shareholders equity | 8.70% | 9.80% | 9.61% | 11.24% | 13.58% |
| Return on average tangible shareholders equity(2) | 20.84% | 22.91% | 22.27% | 23.87% | 18.33% |
| Efficiency ratio(3) | 57.33% | 56.93% | 60.58% | 62.05% | 62.73% |
| Efficiency ratio Bank(3),(4) | 51.97% | 52.47% | 53.51% | 55.49% | 55.58% |
| Average equity to average assets | 15.04% | 14.08% | 12.52% | 11.20% | 10.02% |
| Leverage ratio(5) | 10.28% | 10.09% | 9.55% | 8.73% | 8.38% |
| Net interest margin (fully tax equivalent)(6) | 4.74% | 4.84% | 4.68% | 4.85% | 5.38% |
| Non-interest revenue to total revenue | 16.98% | 18.59% | 20.78% | 25.12% | 26.55% |
| Dividend payout ratio | 37.27% | 20.38% | 16.67% | 13.22% | 15.38% |
| ASSET QUALITY | | | | | |
| Non-performing assets | \$ 9,058 | \$ 7,563 | \$ 23,552 | \$ 13,954 | \$ 20,604 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 60,090 | 43,885 | 44,229 | 25,352 | 24,731 |
| Net charge-offs | 574 | 2,812 | 4,485 | 3,929 | 2,234 |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 0.12% | 0.14% | 0.48% | 0.47% | 0.81% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases | 1.12% | 1.12% | 1.28% | 1.27% | 1.39% |
| Net charge-offs to average loans and leases | 0.01% | 0.08% | 0.17% | 0.21% | 0.19% |

(1) Average shareholders equity less average intangible assets divided by shares outstanding at the end of the year.

(2) Net income divided by average shareholders equity less average intangible assets.

(3) Non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income (fully tax equivalent) and non-interest income.

(4) Excludes merger-related expenses.

(5) Tier 1 Capital divided by leverage assets. Leverage assets are defined as quarterly average total assets, net of goodwill, intangibles and certain other items as required by the Federal Reserve.

(6) Net interest margin (fully tax equivalent) is calculated by dividing net interest income (fully tax equivalent) by average interest-earning assets.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward Looking Statements and Risk Factors

See the discussion of forward-looking statements and risk factors in Part I Item 1 and Item 1A of this report.

Executive Overview

In 2006, Umpqua continued to demonstrate solid financial performance coupled with many significant accomplishments, some of which were not necessarily reflected in our operating results. During the past year, we:

Completed the acquisition and integration of Western Sierra Bancorp and its principal operating subsidiaries, Western Sierra National Bank, Central California Bank, Lake Community Bank and Auburn Community Bank. The transaction, valued at \$353.7 million, was an all stock exchange with 12.7 million shares of common stock issued in connection with the acquisition.

Achieved strong organic asset growth (which excludes growth from acquisition as of the merger date) of 9%. Total consolidated assets as of December 31, 2006 were \$7.3 billion, compared to \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2005, an increase of \$2.0 billion or 37%. The Western Sierra acquisition accounted for \$1.5 billion of the growth.

Maintained double-digit organic growth in loans. Total gross loans and leases were \$5.4 billion as of December 31, 2006, compared to \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2005, an increase of \$1.4 billion or 37%.

Table of Contents

The Western Sierra acquisition accounted for \$1.0 billion of the growth. Organic loan growth was 11% in 2006.

Continued double-digit organic growth in deposits. Total deposits were \$5.8 billion as of December 31, 2006, compared to \$4.3 billion at December 31, 2005, an increase of \$1.6 billion or 36%. The Western Sierra acquisition accounted for \$1.0 billion of the growth. Organic deposit growth was 13% in 2006.

Maintained exceptional credit quality standards, with net charge-offs of only 0.01% of average loans, a decrease from 0.08% in 2005. The ratio of non-performing assets to total assets decreased to 0.12% at December 31, 2006 from 0.14% at December 31, 2005. Our provision for loan and lease losses was \$2.6 million during 2006, comparable to \$2.5 million for the prior year.

Increased our net income per diluted share by 3% to \$1.59 in 2006 from \$1.55 per diluted share earned in 2005.

Increased our cash dividends by 50% from \$0.12 declared in the first and second quarter to \$0.18 declared in the third and fourth quarters of 2006.

Opened new stores in Medford, Bend and Lake Oswego in Oregon and Antelope and Lincoln in California as well as our first two Neighborhood Stores in Portland, Oregon.

However, the past year was not without some challenges including:

Our net interest margin compressed due to increases in short-term market interest rates which led to an increase in deposit and borrowing costs. The fully tax-equivalent net interest margin for 2006 was 4.74%, down 10 basis points from 2005.

In January 2007, we announced the signing of a definitive agreement for the Company to acquire North Bay Bancorp and its principal operating subsidiary, The Vintage Bank, along with its Solano Bank division. The agreement provides for North Bay Bancorp shareholders to receive 1.217 shares of the Company's common stock for each share of North Bay Bancorp common stock, subject to adjustment in limited circumstances, giving the acquisition a total value of approximately \$156.2 million. This transaction is expected to close during the second quarter of 2007. Upon completion of the acquisition expected in the second quarter of 2007, all the Vintage Bank and Solano Bank branches will operate under the Umpqua Bank name. The acquisition will add North Bay Bancorp's network of 10 Northern California branches, including locations in the Napa area and in the communities of St. Helena, American Canyon, Vacaville, Benicia, Vallejo and Fairfield, to our network of 134 Northern California, Oregon and Washington locations and result in a combined institution with assets of approximately \$8.0 billion.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

The SEC defines critical accounting policies as those that require application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in future periods. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 of this report. Not all of these critical accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates. Management believes that the following policies would be considered critical under the SEC's definition.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The Bank performs regular credit reviews of the loan and lease portfolio to determine the credit quality of the portfolio and the adherence to underwriting standards. When loans and leases are originated, they are assigned a risk rating from 1 to 10 that is assessed periodically during the term of the loan through the credit review process. The 10 risk rating categories are a primary factor in determining an appropriate amount for the allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank has a management ALLL Committee, which is responsible for, among other things, regular review of the ALLL methodology, including loss factors, and ensuring that it is designed and applied in accordance with

generally accepted accounting principles. The ALLL Committee reviews loans that have been placed on non-accrual status and approves placing loans on impaired status. The ALLL Committee also approves removing loans that are no longer impaired from impairment and non-accrual status. The Bank's Audit and Compliance Committee provides board oversight of the ALLL process and reviews and approves the ALLL methodology on a quarterly basis.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

Each risk rating is assessed an inherent credit loss factor that determines the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses provided for that group of loans and leases with similar risk rating. Credit loss factors may vary by region based on management's belief that there may ultimately be different credit loss rates experienced in each region.

Regular credit reviews of the portfolio also identify loans that are considered potentially impaired. Potentially impaired loans are referred to the ALLL Committee which reviews and approves designated loans as impaired. A loan is considered impaired when based on current information and events, we determine that we will probably not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. When we identify a loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using discounted cash flows, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we use the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, instead of discounted cash flows. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment reserve as a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

The combination of the risk rating based allowance component and the impairment reserve allowance component lead to an allocated allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank also maintains an unallocated allowance amount to provide for other credit losses inherent in a loan portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the credit loss factors. This unallocated amount generally comprises less than 5% of the allowance. The unallocated amount is reviewed periodically based on trends in credit losses, the results of credit reviews and overall economic trends.

The reserve for unfunded commitments (RUC) is established to absorb inherent losses associated with our commitment to lend funds, such as with a letter or line of credit. The adequacy of the ALL and RUC are monitored on a regular basis and are based on management's evaluation of numerous factors. These factors include the quality of the current loan portfolio; the trend in the loan portfolio's risk ratings; current economic conditions; loan concentrations; loan growth rates; past-due and non-performing trends; evaluation of specific loss estimates for all significant problem loans; historical charge-off and recovery experience; and other pertinent information.

Management believes that the ALLL was adequate as of December 31, 2006. There is, however, no assurance that future loan losses will not exceed the levels provided for in the ALLL and could possibly result in additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review. Approximately 81% of our loan portfolio is secured by real estate, and a significant decline in real estate market values may require an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

Retained mortgage servicing rights are measured by allocating the carrying value of the loans between the assets sold and the interest retained, based on their relative fair values at the date of the sale. Subsequent measurements are determined using a discounted cash flow model. Mortgage servicing rights are amortized over the expected life of the loan and are evaluated periodically for impairment. The expected life of the loan can vary from management's estimates due to prepayments by borrowers, especially when rates fall. Prepayments in excess of management's estimates would negatively impact the recorded value of the mortgage servicing rights. The value of the mortgage servicing rights is also dependent upon the discount rate used in the model. Management reviews this rate on an ongoing basis based on current market rates. A significant increase in the discount rate would reduce the value of mortgage servicing rights.

Valuation of Goodwill and Intangible Assets

At December 31, 2006, we had \$679.5 million in goodwill and other intangible assets as a result of business combinations. Goodwill and other intangibles with indefinite lives are periodically evaluated for impairment. Management's impairment analysis determined that there was no impairment as of

December 31, 2006. The valuation is based on discounted cash flows or observable market prices on a segment basis. A 10% or 20% decrease in market price is not expected to result in an impairment. If impairment was deemed to exist, a write down of the asset would occur with a charge to earnings.

Stock-based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, *Share Based Payment*, a revision to the previously issued guidance on accounting for stock options and other forms of equity-based compensation. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize in the income statement the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based forms of compensation issued to employees over the employees requisite service period (generally the vesting period). The fair value of each option grant is estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes

Table of Contents

option-pricing model. This involves assumptions calculated using management's best estimates at the time of the grant, which impacts the fair value of the option calculated under the Black-Scholes methodology and, ultimately, the expense that will be recognized over the life of the option. Additional information is included in Note 1 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be recognized in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 159.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 157.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. This Interpretation defines the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. For the Company, this standard became effective on January 1, 2007. The Company does not expect the impact of initial adoption of FIN 48 will be material on its financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities* (SFAS No. 156). SFAS No. 156 requires all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities to be initially measured at fair value. In addition, entities are permitted to choose to either subsequently measure servicing rights at fair value and report changes in fair value in earnings, or amortize servicing rights in proportion to and over the estimated net servicing income or loss and assess the rights for impairment. Beginning with the fiscal year in which an entity adopts SFAS No. 156, it may elect to subsequently measure a class of servicing assets and liabilities at fair value. Post adoption, an entity may make this election as of the beginning of any fiscal year. An entity that elects to subsequently measure a class of servicing assets and liabilities at fair value should apply that election to all new and existing recognized servicing assets and liabilities within that class. The effect of remeasuring an existing class of servicing assets and liabilities at fair value is to be reported as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. For the Company, this standard became effective on January 1, 2007 and the Company elected to measure mortgage servicing assets and liabilities at fair value subsequent to adoption. The Company does not expect the impact of initial adoption of SFAS No. 156 will be material on its financial statements.

Results of Operations Overview

For the year ended December 31, 2006, net income was \$84.4 million, or \$1.59 per diluted share, an increase of 3% on a per diluted share basis over 2005. The improvement in diluted earnings per share for 2006 is principally attributable to improved net interest income, partially offset by increased operating expenses. We completed the acquisition of Western Sierra Bancorp on June 2, 2006 and the results of the acquired operations are only included in our financial results starting on June 3, 2006.

Net income for 2005 was \$69.7 million, or \$1.55 per diluted share, an increase of 19% on a per diluted share basis over 2004. Income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2005, was \$69.7 million, or \$1.55 per diluted share, an increase of 30% on a per diluted share basis over income from continuing operations for 2004. The improvement in diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for 2005 was principally attributable to improved net interest income, offset in part by a decrease in

mortgage banking revenue and increased operating expenses. We completed the acquisition of Humboldt on July 9, 2004, and the results of the acquired operations are only included in our financial results starting on July 10, 2004.

We incur significant expenses related to the completion and integration of mergers. Accordingly, we believe that our operating results are best measured on a comparative basis excluding the impact of merger-related expenses, net of tax. We define *operating income* as income before merger related expenses, net of tax, and we calculate *operating income per diluted share* by dividing operating income by the same diluted share total used in determining diluted earnings per share

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

over the prior year (see Note 15 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below). Operating income and operating income per diluted share are considered non-GAAP financial measures. Although we believe the presentation of non-GAAP financial measures provides a better indication of our operating performance, readers of this report are urged to review the GAAP results as presented in the *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* in Item 8 below.

The following table presents a reconciliation of operating income and operating income per diluted share to net income and net income per diluted share for years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Income

Years Ended December 31,
(in thousands, except per share data)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Net income | \$84,447 | \$69,735 | \$47,166 |
| Merger-related expenses, net of tax | 2,864 | 157 | 3,583 |
| Operating income | \$87,311 | \$69,892 | \$50,749 |
| PER DILUTED SHARE: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.30 |
| Merger-related expenses, net of tax | 0.06 | | 0.10 |
| Operating income | \$ 1.65 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.40 |

The following table presents the returns on average assets, average shareholders' equity and average tangible shareholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. For each of the years presented, the table includes the calculated ratios based on reported net income and income from continuing operations, and operating income as shown in the table above. Our return on average shareholders' equity is negatively impacted as the result of capital required to support goodwill under bank regulatory guidelines. To the extent this performance metric is used to compare our performance with other financial institutions that do not have merger-related intangible assets, we believe it beneficial to also consider the return on average tangible shareholders' equity. The return on average tangible shareholders' equity is calculated by dividing net income by average shareholders' equity less average intangible assets. The return on average tangible shareholders' equity is considered a non-GAAP financial measure and should be viewed in conjunction with the return on average shareholders' equity.

Returns on Average Assets, Shareholders' Equity and Tangible Shareholders' Equity

For the Years Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| RETURNS ON AVERAGE ASSETS: | | | |
| Net income | 1.31% | 1.38% | 1.20% |
| Income from continuing operations | 1.31% | 1.38% | 1.10% |
| Operating income | 1.35% | 1.38% | 1.29% |

RETURNS ON AVERAGE SHAREHOLDERS

EQUITY:

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Net income | 8.70% | 9.80% | 9.61% |
| Income from continuing operations | 8.70% | 9.80% | 8.82% |
| Operating income | 9.00% | 9.82% | 10.34% |

RETURNS ON AVERAGE TANGIBLE

SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Net income | 20.84% | 22.91% | 22.27% |
| Income from continuing operations | 20.84% | 22.91% | 20.44% |
| Operating income | 21.55% | 22.96% | 23.97% |

CALCULATION OF AVERAGE TANGIBLE

SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Average shareholders equity | \$ 970,394 | \$ 711,765 | \$ 490,724 |
| Less: average intangible assets | (565,167) | (407,313) | (278,975) |
| Average tangible shareholders equity | \$ 405,227 | \$ 304,452 | \$ 211,749 |

Table of Contents**Discontinued Operations**

During the fourth quarter of 2004, we completed a strategic review of our merchant bankcard portfolio. The review concluded that shareholder value would be maximized, on a risk-adjusted basis, through a sale of the portfolio to a third party. In December 2004, the Bank sold its merchant bankcard portfolio to a third party for \$5.9 million in cash, resulting in a gain on sale (after selling costs and related expenses) of \$5.6 million, or \$3.4 million after-tax. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the operating results related to the merchant bankcard portfolio (including the gain on sale) have been reclassified as *income from discontinued operations*, net of tax, for all periods presented. We retained no ongoing liability related to the portfolio subsequent to the sale and entered into an agreement whereby we will refer all merchant applications exclusively to the buyer for a period of seven years. In consideration for the referrals, we will receive remuneration for each accepted application and an on-going royalty based on a percentage of net revenue generated by the account as defined in the agreement. We do not expect the referral revenue will have a material impact on our non-interest income.

In 2006 and 2005, we recognized no revenue related to the merchant bankcard portfolio. The revenue related to the merchant bankcard portfolio was \$827,000 in 2004. As a result of the sale, we will no longer have the benefit of this revenue stream. Since, for the year ended December 31, 2004, merchant bankcard revenue comprised only about 2% of total non-interest income, the loss of revenue did not have a material impact on our results of operations in 2006 and 2005.

Additional information on discontinued operations is provided in Note 2 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the largest source of our operating income. Net interest income for 2006 was \$262.1 million, an increase of \$52.8 million, or 25% over 2005. This increase over 2005 is attributable to growth in outstanding average interest-earning assets, primarily loans and leases, partially offset by both growth in interest-bearing liabilities, primarily money-market and time deposits, and a decrease in net interest margin. The Western Sierra merger, which was completed on June 2, 2006, contributed to the increase in interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The fair value of interest-earning assets acquired on that date totaled \$1.1 billion, and interest-bearing liabilities totaled \$1.1 billion.

The net interest margin (net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets) on a fully tax-equivalent basis was 4.74% for 2006, a decrease of 10 basis points as compared to 2005. This decrease is primarily due to increases in short-term market rates which led to an increase in deposit and borrowing costs. The increased yield on interest-earning assets of 81 basis points in 2006, was more than offset by a corresponding increase in our cost of interest-earning assets which increased by 91 basis points in 2006.

Our net interest income is affected by changes in the amount and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, changes in volume, as well as changes in the yields earned on interest-earning assets and rates paid on deposits and borrowed funds, or rates. The following table presents condensed average balance sheet information, together with interest income and yields on average interest-earning assets, and interest expense and rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

Average Rates and Balances

(dollars in thousands)

| | 2006 | | | 2005 | | | 2004 | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest Income or Expense | Average Yields or Rates | Average Balance | Interest Income or Expense | Average Yields or Rates | Average Balance | Interest Income or Expense | Average Yields or Rates |
| Interest-Earning Assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases(1) | \$4,818,884 | \$372,201 | 7.72% | \$3,628,548 | \$251,715 | 6.94% | \$2,706,346 | \$170,791 | 6.31% |
| Taxable securities | 607,267 | 27,655 | 4.55% | 613,748 | 26,432 | 4.31% | 601,151 | 24,330 | 4.05% |
| Non-taxable securities(2) | 97,723 | 5,559 | 5.69% | 62,931 | 3,872 | 6.15% | 51,218 | 3,526 | 6.88% |
| Temporary investments(3) | 45,745 | 2,203 | 4.82% | 48,469 | 1,484 | 3.06% | 33,760 | 544 | 1.61% |
| Total interest earning assets | 5,569,619 | 407,618 | 7.32% | 4,353,696 | 283,503 | 6.51% | 3,392,475 | 199,191 | 5.87% |
| Allowance for credit losses | (52,801) | | | (44,866) | | | (35,326) | | |
| Other assets | 934,842 | | | 744,587 | | | 562,836 | | |
| Total assets | \$6,451,660 | | | \$5,053,417 | | | \$3,919,985 | | |
| Interest-Bearing Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing checking and savings accounts | \$2,483,155 | \$ 62,254 | 2.51% | \$2,041,090 | \$ 30,343 | 1.49% | \$1,570,610 | \$ 14,069 | 0.90% |
| Time deposits | 1,399,623 | 57,627 | 4.12% | 993,215 | 29,235 | 2.94% | 771,507 | 16,930 | 2.19% |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased | 166,831 | 6,829 | 4.09% | 86,201 | 2,207 | 2.56% | 70,443 | 794 | 1.13% |
| Term debt | 58,684 | 2,892 | 4.93% | 31,161 | 659 | 2.11% | 101,321 | 2,023 | 2.00% |
| Junior subordinated debenture | 187,994 | 14,215 | 7.56% | 165,981 | 10,550 | 6.36% | 130,644 | 6,555 | 5.02% |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 4,296,287 | 143,817 | 3.35% | 3,317,648 | 72,994 | 2.20% | 2,644,525 | 40,371 | 1.53% |
| Non-interest-bearing deposits | 1,121,171 | | | 967,848 | | | 748,380 | | |
| Other liabilities | 63,808 | | | 56,156 | | | 36,356 | | |
| Total liabilities | 5,481,266 | | | 4,341,652 | | | 3,429,261 | | |
| Shareholders equity | 970,394 | | | 711,765 | | | 490,724 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$6,451,660 | | | \$5,053,417 | | | \$3,919,985 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|--|--|-----------|--|--|-----------|--|--|
| NET INTEREST INCOME(2) | \$263,801 | | | \$210,509 | | | \$158,820 | | |
|------------------------|-----------|--|--|-----------|--|--|-----------|--|--|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|
| NET INTEREST SPREAD | | | 3.97% | | | 4.31% | | | 4.34% |
|---------------------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|
| AVERAGE YIELD ON EARNING ASSETS(1),(2) | | | 7.32% | | | 6.51% | | | 5.87% |
|--|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|
| INTEREST EXPENSE TO EARNING ASSETS | | | 2.58% | | | 1.67% | | | 1.19% |
|------------------------------------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|
| NET INTEREST INCOME TO EARNING ASSETS OR NET INTEREST MARGIN (1),(2) | | | 4.74% | | | 4.84% | | | 4.68% |
|--|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|

(1) Non-accrual loans and mortgage loans held for sale are included in average balance.

(2) Tax-exempt income has been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis at a 35% tax rate. The amount of such adjustment was an addition to recorded income of approximately \$1.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2006, and \$1.2 million in each of the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

(3) Temporary investments include federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits at other banks.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in net interest income due to changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average rates (rate) for 2006 compared to 2005 and 2005 compared to 2004. Changes in interest income and expense, which are not attributable specifically to either volume or rate, are allocated proportionately between both variances.

Rate/ Volume Analysis

(in thousands)

| | 2006 Compared to 2005 | | | 2005 Compared to 2004 | | |
|--|--|------------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|
| | Increase (Decrease) in Interest Income and Expense Due to Changes in | | | Increase (Decrease) in Interest Income and Expense Due to Changes In | | |
| | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total |
| Interest-Earning Assets: | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases | \$89,533 | \$ 30,953 | \$120,486 | \$ 62,671 | \$ 18,253 | \$ 80,924 |
| Taxable securities | (281) | 1,504 | 1,223 | 518 | 1,584 | 2,102 |
| Non-taxable securities(1) | 1,998 | (311) | 1,687 | 748 | (402) | 346 |
| Temporary investments | (87) | 806 | 719 | 306 | 634 | 940 |
| Total(1) | 91,163 | 32,952 | 124,115 | 64,243 | 20,069 | 84,312 |
| Interest-Bearing Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing checking and savings accounts | 7,654 | 24,257 | 31,911 | 5,082 | 11,192 | 16,274 |
| Time deposits | 14,378 | 14,014 | 28,392 | 5,624 | 6,681 | 12,305 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased | 2,817 | 1,805 | 4,622 | 211 | 1,202 | 1,413 |
| Term debt | 891 | 1,342 | 2,233 | (1,477) | 113 | (1,364) |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 1,508 | 2,157 | 3,665 | 2,011 | 1,984 | 3,995 |
| Total | 27,248 | 43,575 | 70,823 | 11,451 | 21,172 | 32,623 |
| Net increase in net interest income(1) | \$63,915 | \$(10,623) | \$ 53,292 | \$ 52,792 | \$(1,103) | \$ 51,689 |

(1) Tax exempt income has been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis at a 35% tax rate.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$2.6 million for 2006, compared with \$2.5 million for 2005 and \$7.3 million for 2004. As a percentage of average outstanding loans and leases, the provision for loan and lease losses recorded for 2006 was 0.05%, a decrease of 2 basis points from 2005 and down 22 basis points from 2004. The decrease in this ratio in both 2006 and 2005 is principally attributable to improved asset quality trends.

The provision for loan and lease losses is based on management's evaluation of inherent risks in the loan portfolio and a corresponding analysis of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Additional discussion on loan quality and the allowance for loan and lease losses is provided under the heading *Asset Quality and Non-Performing Assets* below.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income in 2006 was \$53.6 million, an increase of \$5.8 million, or 12%, over 2005. Non-interest income for 2005 was \$47.8 million, an increase of \$6.4 million, or 15%, over 2004. The following table presents the key components of non-interest income for years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Non-Interest Income

Years Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 Compared to 2005 | | | | 2005 Compared to 2004 | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | Change Amount | Change Percent | 2005 | 2004 | Change Amount | Change Percent |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$26,975 | \$21,697 | \$ 5,278 | 24% | \$21,697 | \$17,404 | \$ 4,293 | 25% |
| Brokerage commissions and fees | 9,649 | 11,317 | (1,668) | 15% | 11,317 | 11,829 | (512) | 4% |
| Mortgage banking revenue, net | 7,560 | 6,426 | 1,134 | 18% | 6,426 | 7,655 | (1,229) | 16% |
| Net (loss) gain on sale of investment securities | (21) | 1,439 | (1,460) | NM | 1,439 | 19 | 1,420 | NM |
| Other income | 9,434 | 6,903 | 2,531 | 37% | 6,903 | 4,466 | 2,437 | 55% |
| Total | \$53,597 | \$47,782 | \$ 5,815 | 12% | \$47,782 | \$41,373 | \$ 6,409 | 15% |

NM Not meaningful

The increase in deposit service charges in 2006 over 2005 is principally attributable to the increased volume of deposit accounts as a result of the Western Sierra acquisition. The decrease in brokerage commissions and fees in 2006 over 2005 resulted from the departure of certain Strand investment advisors. The remaining increase in other non-interest income results primarily from increased revenue related to the Western Sierra acquisition.

The increase in deposit service charges in 2005 over 2004 is principally attributable to the increased volume of deposit accounts as a result of the Humboldt acquisition. There were \$1.4 million in gains on the sale of securities in 2005. The remaining increase in other non-interest income results primarily from increased revenue related to the Humboldt acquisition.

The following table presents the major elements of mortgage banking revenue for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Mortgage Banking Revenue

Years Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Gains on sale of mortgage loans | \$ 7,354 | \$ 7,266 | \$6,688 |
| Servicing fee revenue (expense), net | 1,433 | 742 | (148) |
| Valuation (impairment)/ recovery | (1,227) | (1,582) | 1,115 |

\$ 7,560 \$ 6,426 \$7,655

The increase in mortgage banking revenue for 2006 as compared to 2005 is principally attributable to an increase in servicing fee revenue. Servicing fee revenue increased due to a decrease in prepayment activity resulting in lower related mortgage servicing rights impairment adjustments as compared to 2005. The decrease in mortgage banking revenue for 2005 as compared to 2004 is principally attributable to a \$1.6 million net impairment charge to the value of mortgage servicing rights portfolio. This impairment resulted from a decline in mortgage interest rates during the year.

27

Table of Contents**Non-Interest Expense**

Non-interest expense for 2006 was \$181.9 million, an increase of \$34.9 million or 24% compared to 2005. Non-interest expense for 2005 was \$147.1 million, an increase of \$21.9 million or 17% over 2004. The following table presents the key elements of non-interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Non-Interest Expense

Years Ended
December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 Compared to 2005 | | | | 2005 Compared to 2004 | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | Change Amount | Change Percent | 2005 | 2004 | Change Amount | Change Percent |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 98,840 | \$ 82,467 | \$16,373 | 20% | \$ 82,467 | \$ 67,351 | \$ 15,116 | 22% |
| Net occupancy and equipment | 31,752 | 24,693 | 7,059 | 29% | 24,693 | 19,765 | 4,928 | 25% |
| Communications | 6,352 | 5,841 | 511 | 9% | 5,841 | 5,752 | 89 | 2% |
| Marketing | 5,760 | 4,564 | 1,196 | 26% | 4,564 | 4,228 | 336 | 8% |
| Services | 15,951 | 13,245 | 2,706 | 20% | 13,245 | 9,414 | 3,831 | 41% |
| Supplies | 2,994 | 2,706 | 288 | 11% | 2,706 | 1,995 | 711 | 36% |
| Intangible amortization | 3,728 | 2,430 | 1,298 | 53% | 2,430 | 1,512 | 918 | 61% |
| Merger-related expenses | 4,773 | 262 | 4,511 | NM | 262 | 5,597 | (5,335) | -95% |
| Other | 11,799 | 10,848 | 951 | 9% | 10,848 | 9,565 | 1,283 | 13% |
| Total | \$181,949 | \$147,056 | \$34,893 | 24% | \$147,056 | \$125,179 | \$21,877 | 17% |

NM Not meaningful

The increase in non-interest expense in 2006 over 2005 and 2005 over 2004 is primarily attributable to the inclusion of expenses from California operations as a result of the Western Sierra and Humboldt acquisitions. Salaries and employee benefits have continued to increase due to increased incentives, benefit costs, additional staff at new stores, and primarily the addition of approximately 350 associates in July 2004 as a result of the Humboldt acquisition and approximately 250 associates in June 2006 due to the Western Sierra acquisition. Net occupancy and equipment also continues to increase reflecting the addition of 27 new banking locations as a result of the Humboldt acquisition in July 2004, 31 new banking locations as a result of Western Sierra acquisition in June 2006 and the addition of 7 de novo branches. We incur significant expenses in connection with the completion and integration of bank acquisitions that are not capitalizable. Classification of expenses as merger-related is done in accordance with the provisions of a Board-approved policy.

The following table presents the merger-related expenses by major category for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. Substantially all of the merger-related expense for 2006 was related

to the Western Sierra acquisition and substantially all of the merger-related expense recognized during 2004 and 2005 was related to the Humboldt acquisition. We do not expect to incur any additional merger-related expenses in connection with the Western Sierra, Humboldt or any other previous merger.

Merger-Related Expense

Years Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Professional fees | \$1,082 | \$ 211 | \$ 835 |
| Compensation and relocation | 778 | | 607 |
| Communications | 854 | | 98 |
| Premises and equipment | 375 | (65) | 2,636 |
| Charitable contributions | | | 131 |
| Other | 1,684 | 116 | 1,290 |
| Total | \$4,773 | \$ 262 | \$5,597 |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

Income Taxes

Our consolidated effective tax rate as a percentage of pre-tax income from continuing operations for 2006 was 35.6%, compared to 35.2% for 2005 and 35.0% for 2004. The effective tax rates were below the federal statutory rate of 35% and the apportioned state rate of 5% (net of the federal tax benefit) principally because of non-taxable income arising from bank owned life insurance, income on tax-exempt investment securities, tax credits arising from low income housing investments, Business Energy tax credits and exemptions related to loans and hiring in certain designated enterprise zones.

Additional information on income taxes is provided in Note 13 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

Investment Securities

The composition of our investment securities portfolio reflects management's investment strategy of maintaining an appropriate level of liquidity while providing a relatively stable source of interest income. The investment securities portfolio also mitigates interest rate and credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio, while providing a vehicle for the investment of available funds, a source of liquidity (by pledging as collateral or through repurchase agreements) and collateral for certain public funds deposits.

Total investment securities as of December 31, 2006 were \$723.9 million, as compared to \$680.5 million at December 31, 2005. This increase is principally attributable to the acquisition of Western Sierra investment portfolio of \$76.2 million and purchase of \$60.7 million in investment securities in 2006, partially offset by maturities and sale of \$93.6 million in investment securities.

Investment securities for each of the last three years is as follows:

Summary of Investment Securities

As of December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Available-For-Sale: | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$193,134 | \$196,538 | \$206,629 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 362,882 | 359,583 | 365,468 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 110,219 | 67,836 | 54,936 |
| Other debt securities | 973 | | |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 47,979 | 47,911 | 48,951 |
| | \$715,187 | \$671,868 | \$675,984 |
| Held-To-Maturity: | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 8,015 | \$ 8,302 | \$ 11,432 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 372 | | |
| Other investment securities | 375 | 375 | 375 |
| | \$ 8,762 | \$ 8,677 | \$ 11,807 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents information regarding the amortized cost, fair value, average yield and maturity structure of the investment portfolio at December 31, 2006.

Investment Securities Composition*

December 31, 2006

(in thousands)

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Average Yield |
|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | | | |
| One year or less | \$ 15,810 | \$ 15,736 | 3.66% |
| One to five years | 181,700 | 177,398 | 4.00% |
| | 197,510 | 193,134 | 3.97% |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions: | | | |
| One year or less | 9,066 | 9,076 | 2.38% |
| One to five years | 40,232 | 40,275 | 3.82% |
| Five to ten years | 65,181 | 65,042 | 3.77% |
| Over ten years | 3,775 | 3,897 | 4.37% |
| | 118,254 | 118,290 | 3.70% |
| Other debt securities | | | |
| One to five years | 540 | 493 | 7.34% |
| Over ten years | 500 | 480 | 9.00% |
| | 1,040 | 973 | 8.16% |
| Serial Maturities | 372,264 | 363,253 | 4.42% |
| Other investment securities | 50,612 | 48,354 | 4.59% |
| Total securities | \$739,680 | \$724,004 | 4.52% |

*Weighted average yields are stated on a federal tax-equivalent basis of 35%. Weighted average yields for available-for-sale investments have been calculated on an amortized cost basis.

The mortgage-related securities in Serial Maturities in the table above include both pooled mortgage-backed issues and high-quality collateralized mortgage obligation structures, with an average duration of 3.5 years. These mortgage-related securities provide yield spread to U.S. Treasury or agency securities; however, the cash flows arising from them can be volatile due to refinancing of the underlying mortgage loans. The structure of most of the mortgage-related securities provides for minimal extension risk in the event of increased market rates.

Equity securities in Other Investment Securities in the table above at December 31, 2006 consisted principally of investments in two mutual funds comprised largely of mortgage-related securities, although the funds may also invest in U.S. government or agency securities, bank certificates of deposit insured by the FDIC or repurchase agreements.

Because the Bank has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a market price recovery or to maturity, none of the investment securities are considered other than temporarily impaired. Additional information about the investment securities portfolio is provided in Note 5 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

Loans

Total loans outstanding at December 31, 2006 were \$5.4 billion, an increase of \$1.4 billion, or 37%, from year-end 2005. The growth in loans was principally due to the acquisition of Western Sierra's \$1.0 billion loan portfolio. However, organic loan growth was 11% in 2006.

The Bank provides a wide variety of credit services to its customers, including construction loans, commercial lines of credit, secured and unsecured commercial loans, commercial real estate loans, residential mortgage loans, home equity credit lines, consumer loans and commercial leases. Loans are principally made on a secured basis to customers who reside, own property or operate businesses within the Bank's principal market area.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

The following table presents the composition of the loan portfolio as of December 31 for each of the last five years:

Loan Portfolio Composition

| Type of Loan | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | | 2003 | | 2002 | |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Real estate secured loans: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction | \$1,189,090 | 22.2% | \$ 638,555 | 16.3% | \$ 481,836 | 13.9% | \$ 232,792 | 11.6% | \$ 270,115 | 15.2% |
| Mortgage | 600,998 | 11.2% | 481,916 | 12.3% | 485,638 | 14.0% | 280,541 | 14.0% | 237,850 | 13.4% |
| Commercial | 1,560,725 | 47.8% | 1,954,516 | 49.8% | 1,649,797 | 47.6% | 826,457 | 41.3% | 629,028 | 35.3% |
| Total real estate loans | 4,350,813 | 81.2% | 3,074,987 | 78.4% | 2,617,271 | 75.5% | 1,339,790 | 66.9% | 1,136,993 | 63.9% |
| Commercial leases | 924,917 | 17.2% | 753,131 | 19.3% | 733,876 | 21.2% | 566,092 | 28.3% | 554,748 | 31.2% |
| Installment and other | 22,870 | 0.4% | 17,385 | 0.4% | 18,351 | 0.5% | 10,918 | 0.5% | 6,698 | 0.4% |
| Other | 63,262 | 1.2% | 76,128 | 1.9% | 98,406 | 2.8% | 86,787 | 4.3% | 79,876 | 4.5% |
| Total loans | \$5,361,862 | 100.0% | \$3,921,631 | 100.0% | \$3,467,904 | 100.0% | \$2,003,587 | 100.0% | \$1,778,315 | 100.0% |

The following table presents the concentration distribution of our loan portfolio by major type:

Loan Concentrations

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005
(in thousands)

| Type of Loan | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Construction and development | \$1,189,090 | 22.2% | \$ 638,555 | 16.3% |
| Farmland | 77,283 | 1.4% | 54,039 | 1.4% |
| Home equity credit lines | 152,962 | 2.9% | 125,508 | 3.2% |
| Single family first lien mortgage | 178,159 | 3.3% | 121,955 | 3.1% |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| Single family second lien mortgage | 30,554 | 0.6% | 18,570 | 0.5% |
| Multifamily | 162,040 | 3.0% | 161,844 | 4.1% |
| Commercial real estate | 2,560,725 | 47.8% | 1,954,516 | 49.8% |
| Total real estate secured | 4,350,813 | 81.2% | 3,074,987 | 78.4% |
| Commercial and industrial | 874,264 | 16.3% | 711,913 | 18.2% |
| Agricultural production | 50,653 | 0.9% | 41,218 | 1.1% |
| Consumer | 42,417 | 0.8% | 51,702 | 1.3% |
| Leases | 22,870 | 0.4% | 17,385 | 0.4% |
| Other | 20,845 | 0.4% | 24,426 | 0.6% |
| Total loans | \$5,361,862 | 100.0% | \$3,921,631 | 100.0% |

Deferred loan fees, net have been deducted from the outstanding balance of commercial real estate since the majority of deferred fees pertain to this category of loan.

Table of Contents

Commercial, agriculture and construction loans are the most sensitive to interest rate changes. The following table presents the maturity distribution of our commercial and construction loan portfolios and the sensitivity of these loans to changes in interest rates as of December 31, 2006:

Maturities and Sensitivities of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates

(in thousands)

| | By Maturity | | | Loans Over One Year by Rate Sensitivity | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--|---------------|-------------------|
| | One Year or Less | One Through Five Years | Over Five Years | Total | Fixed Rate | Floating Years |
| Commercial and Agriculture | \$ 435,607 | \$354,054 | \$135,256 | \$ 924,917 | \$233,261 | \$256,049 |
| Real estate construction | 931,453 | 181,055 | 76,582 | 1,189,090 | 114,435 | 143,202 |
| | \$1,367,060 | \$535,109 | \$211,838 | \$2,114,007 | \$347,696 | \$399,251 |

Asset Quality and Non-Performing Assets

We manage asset quality and control credit risk through diversification of the loan portfolio and the application of policies designed to promote sound underwriting and loan monitoring practices. The Bank's Credit Quality Group is charged with monitoring asset quality, establishing credit policies and procedures and enforcing the consistent application of these policies and procedures across the Bank. The provision for loan and lease losses charged to earnings is based upon management's judgment of the amount necessary to maintain the allowance at a level adequate to absorb probable incurred losses. The amount of provision charge is dependent upon many factors, including loan growth, net charge-offs, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, delinquencies, management's assessment of loan portfolio quality, general economic conditions that can impact the value of collateral, and other trends. The evaluation of these factors is performed through an analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Reviews of non-performing, past due loans and larger credits, designed to identify potential charges to the allowance for loan and lease losses, and to determine the adequacy of the allowance, are conducted on a quarterly basis. These reviews consider such factors as the financial strength of borrowers, the value of the applicable collateral, loan loss experience, estimated loan losses, growth in the loan portfolio, prevailing economic conditions and other factors.

The process for determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses was modified during 2004 in connection with the Humboldt acquisition. These modifications did not result in a material adjustment to the allowance for loans losses. Additional information regarding the methodology used in determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses is contained in Part I Item 1 of this report in the section titled *Lending and Credit Functions*.

Non-performing loans, which include non-accrual loans and accruing loans past due over 90 days totaled \$9.1 million, or 0.17% of total loans, at December 31, 2006, as compared to \$6.4 million, or 0.16% of total loans, at December 31, 2005. Non-performing assets, which include non-performing loans and foreclosed real estate (other real estate owned), totaled \$9.1 million, or 0.12% of total assets as of December 31, 2006, compared with \$7.6 million, or 0.14% of total assets as of December 31, 2005.

Loans are classified as non-accrual when collection of principal or interest is doubtful generally if they are past due as to maturity or payment of principal or interest by 90 days or more unless such loans are well-secured and in the process of collection. Additionally, all loans that are impaired in accordance with

SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for the Impairment of a Loan*, are considered for non-accrual status. These loans will typically remain on non-accrual status until all principal and interest payments are brought current and the prospects for future payments in accordance with the loan agreement appear relatively certain. Foreclosed properties held as other real estate owned are recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan or market value of the property less expected selling costs. There was no other real estate owned at December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

The following table summarizes our non-performing assets as of December 31 for each of the last five years.

Non-Performing Assets

As of December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Loans on nonaccrual status | \$ 8,629 | \$ 5,953 | \$21,836 | \$10,498 | \$15,152 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing | 429 | 487 | 737 | 927 | 3,243 |
| Total nonperforming loans | 9,058 | 6,440 | 22,573 | 11,425 | 18,395 |
| Other real estate owned | | 1,123 | 979 | 2,529 | 2,209 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 9,058 | \$ 7,563 | \$23,552 | \$13,954 | \$20,604 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | \$60,090 | \$43,885 | \$44,229 | \$25,352 | \$24,731 |
| Reserve for unfunded commitments | 1,313 | 1,601 | 1,338 | | |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$61,403 | \$45,486 | \$45,567 | \$25,352 | \$24,731 |
| Asset quality ratios: | | | | | |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 0.12% | 0.14% | 0.48% | 0.47% | 0.81% |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 0.17% | 0.16% | 0.65% | 0.57% | 1.03% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans | 1.12% | 1.12% | 1.28% | 1.27% | 1.39% |
| Allowance for credit losses to total loans | 1.15% | 1.16% | 1.31% | 1.27% | 1.39% |
| Allowance for credit losses to total non-performing loans | 678% | 706% | 202% | 222% | 134% |

At December 31, 2006, \$8.0 million of loans were classified as restructured as compared to \$9.0 million at December 31, 2005. The restructurings were granted in response to borrower financial difficulty, and generally provide for a temporary modification of loan repayment terms. Substantially all of the restructured loans as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 were classified as impaired. No restructured loans were included as non-accrual loans in the table above at December 31, 2006 as compared to \$935,000 included as non-accrual at December 31, 2005.

We have not identified any other potential problem loans that were not classified as non-performing but for which known information about the borrower's financial condition caused management to have concern about the ability of the borrowers to comply with the repayment terms of the loans. A decline in the economic conditions in our general market areas or other factors could adversely impact individual borrowers or the loan portfolio in general. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that loans will not become 90 days or more past due, become impaired or placed on non-accrual status, restructured or transferred to other real estate owned in the future.

Additional information about the loan portfolio is provided in Note 6 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) totaled \$60.1 million, \$43.9 million and \$44.2 million at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase in the ALLL from year-end 2005 is principally attributable to the Western Sierra acquisition (\$14.2 million) and provision for loan losses in excess of net charge-offs (\$2.0 million).

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses:

Allowance for loan and lease losses Composition

As of December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Commercial | \$14,161 | \$11,230 | \$12,334 | \$11,091 | \$11,010 |
| Real estate | 44,179 | 30,137 | 29,464 | 12,689 | 11,302 |
| Loans to individuals and overdrafts | 603 | 669 | 1,126 | 1,225 | 1,653 |
| Unallocated | 1,147 | 1,849 | 1,305 | 347 | 766 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | \$60,090 | \$43,885 | \$44,229 | \$25,352 | \$24,731 |

The unallocated portion of ALLL provides for coverage of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio but not provided for in other components of ALLL analysis, and acknowledges the inherent imprecision of all loss prediction models. Additionally the ALLL composition should not be interpreted as an indication of specific amounts or loan categories in which future charge-offs may occur.

The following table provides a summary of activity in the ALLL by major loan type for each of the five years ended December 31:

Activity in the Allowance for loan and lease losses

Years Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 43,885 | \$ 44,229 | \$ 25,352 | \$ 24,731 | \$ 13,221 |
| Loans charged off: | | | | | |
| Real estate | (734) | (132) | (42) | (15) | (679) |
| Commercial | (2,135) | (6,538) | (5,244) | (5,429) | (1,685) |
| Consumer and other | (1,336) | (1,082) | (1,143) | (633) | (428) |
| Total loans charged off | (4,205) | (7,752) | (6,429) | (6,077) | (2,792) |
| Recoveries: | | | | | |
| Real Estate | 897 | 32 | 292 | 123 | 31 |
| Commercial | 1,916 | 4,344 | 1,292 | 1,761 | 440 |
| Consumer and other | 818 | 564 | 360 | 264 | 87 |
| Total recoveries | 3,631 | 4,940 | 1,944 | 2,148 | 558 |
| Net charge-offs | (574) | (2,812) | (4,485) | (3,929) | (2,234) |
| Addition incident to mergers | 14,227 | | 17,257 | | 9,856 |
| Reclassification (1) | | | (1,216) | | |
| Provision charged to operations | 2,552 | 2,468 | 7,321 | 4,550 | 3,888 |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 60,090 | \$ 43,885 | \$ 44,229 | \$ 25,352 | \$ 24,731 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans | 0.01% | 0.08% | 0.17% | 0.21% | 0.19% |
| Ratio of provision to average loans | 0.05% | 0.07% | 0.27% | 0.24% | 0.34% |
| Recoveries as a percentage of charge-offs | 86% | 64% | 30% | 35% | 20% |

(1) Reflects amount of allowance related to unfunded commitments, which was reclassified during the third quarter of 2004.

During the third quarter of 2004, a portion of the ALLL related to unfunded credit commitments, such as letters of credit and the available portion of credit lines, was reclassified from the ALLL to other liabilities on the balance sheet in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Prior to July 1, 2004, our ALLL adequacy model did not allocate any specific component of the ALLL to loss exposure for unfunded commitments.

The level of actual losses in 2006, as indicated by the ratio of net-charge-offs to average loans, declined for the third straight year and recoveries as a percentage of charge-offs reached their highest levels in the past five years.

Non-performing loans to

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

total loans were relatively consistent at year-end 2006 as compared to 2005, and the ratio of non-performing assets to total assets decreased to 0.12% at December 31, 2006 from 0.14% at December 31, 2005. The decreased ratio of provision to average loans in 2006 as compared to 2005 is consistent with these improved trends in asset quality.

The following table presents a summary of activity in the reserve for unfunded commitments (RUC) since being established at September 30, 2004:

Summary of Reserve for Unfunded Commitments Activity

Years Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$1,601 | \$1,338 | \$ |
| Reclassification | | | 1,216 |
| Acquisition | 382 | | |
| Net (decrease) increase charged to other expenses | (670) | 263 | 122 |
| Balance, end of year | \$1,313 | \$1,601 | \$1,338 |

We believe that the ALLL and RUC at December 31, 2006 are sufficient to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio and credit commitments outstanding as of that date, respectively, based on the best information available. This assessment, based in part on historical levels of net charge-offs, loan growth, and a detailed review of the quality of the loan portfolio, involves uncertainty and judgment; therefore, the adequacy of the ALLL and RUC cannot be determined with precision and may be subject to change in future periods. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The following table presents the key elements of our mortgage servicing rights asset as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Summary of Mortgage Servicing Rights

Years Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 10,890 | \$ 11,154 | \$ 10,608 |
| Additions for new mortgage servicing rights capitalized | 1,487 | 3,318 | 2,643 |
| Amortization of servicing rights | (1,198) | (2,000) | (3,212) |
| Impairment (charge)/recovery | (1,227) | (1,582) | 1,115 |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 9,952 | \$ 10,890 | \$ 11,154 |
| Balance of loans serviced for others | \$955,444 | \$1,016,092 | \$1,064,000 |
| MSR as a percentage of serviced loans | 1.04% | 1.07% | 1.05% |

As of December 31, 2006, we serviced residential mortgage loans for others with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$955.4 million for which servicing assets have been recorded. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the servicing asset recorded at the time of sale is amortized over the term of, and in proportion to, net servicing revenues.

Table of Contents

Our servicing portfolio is segmented for purposes of determining impairment. To the extent the fair value for any segment is less than the carrying value, an impairment reserve is recorded. The following table presents information about the segmentation of our mortgage servicing rights portfolio as of December 31, 2006:

Mortgage Servicing Rights Valuation Analysis

(in thousands)

| Segment | Aggregate Principal Balance Outstanding | Net Book Value | Servicing Asset | | | | Estimated Change in Fair Value for Rate Change of | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------|---------|---------|--|
| | | | Fair Value | Valuation Reserve | Net Carrying Value | Net Carrying Prin. Balance | Down 50bp | Down 25bp | Up 25bp | Up 50bp | |
| ARM/Hybrid | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ARM | \$109,381 | \$ 1,267 | \$ 585 | \$ (682) | \$ 585 | 0.53% | \$ (45) | \$ (25) | \$ 8 | \$ 21 | |
| Fixed less than 5.50% | 244,213 | 3,664 | 2,622 | (1,042) | 2,622 | 1.07% | (212) | (124) | 39 | 108 | |
| Fixed 5.50% 6.24% | 385,616 | 5,689 | 4,516 | (1,173) | 4,516 | 1.17% | (720) | (269) | 70 | 197 | |
| Fixed 6.25% 6.99% | 172,355 | 2,430 | 1,836 | (594) | 1,836 | 1.07% | (549) | (336) | 96 | 217 | |
| Fixed 7% or greater | 43,879 | 503 | 393 | (110) | 393 | 0.90% | (108) | (65) | 16 | 39 | |
| Total portfolio | \$955,444 | \$13,553 | \$9,952 | \$(3,601) | \$9,952 | 1.04% | \$(1,634) | \$(819) | \$229 | \$582 | |

The value of mortgage servicing rights is impacted by market rates for mortgage loans. Historically low market rates can cause prepayments to increase as a result of refinancing activity. To the extent loans are prepaid sooner than estimated at the time servicing assets are originally recorded, it is possible that certain mortgage servicing rights assets may become impaired to the extent that the fair value is less than carrying value (net of any previously recorded amortization or valuation reserves). Generally, the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights will increase as market rates for mortgage loans rise and decrease if market rates fall.

At December 31, 2006, we had a valuation reserve of \$3.6 million based on the estimated fair value of the servicing portfolio. The valuation reserve is adjusted on a quarterly basis through adjustments to mortgage banking revenue.

Goodwill and Core Deposit Intangible Assets

At December 31, 2006, we had goodwill and core deposit intangibles of \$645.9 million and \$33.6 million, respectively, as compared to \$398.8 million and \$9.7 million, respectively, at year-end 2005. This increase in goodwill and core deposit intangibles is principally attributed to the Western Sierra acquisition. The goodwill recorded in connection with the Western Sierra acquisition represented the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired. A portion of the purchase price was allocated to the value of Western Sierra's core deposits, which included all deposits except certificates of deposit. The value of the core deposits was determined by a third party based on an analysis of the cost differential between the core deposits and alternative funding sources. We amortize core deposit intangible assets on

an accelerated or straight-line basis over an estimated ten-year life.

Substantially all of the goodwill is associated with our community banking operations. We evaluate goodwill for possible impairment on a quarterly basis and there were no impairments recorded for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004. Additional information regarding our accounting for goodwill and core deposit intangible assets is included in Notes 1 and 9 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

Deposits

Total deposits were \$5.8 billion at December 31, 2006, an increase of \$1.6 billion, or 36%, from the prior year-end. This growth is due to the acquisition of \$1.0 billion of deposits through the Western Sierra acquisition and organic growth resulting from our unique delivery process, service quality focus, marketing and product design. Information on average deposit balances and average rates paid is included under the *Net Interest Income* section of this report. Additional information regarding deposits is included in Note 11 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

The following table presents the deposit balances by major category as of December 31:

Deposits

As of December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Non-interest bearing | \$1,222,107 | 21% | \$ 987,714 | 23% |
| Interest bearing demand | 725,127 | 12% | 576,037 | 13% |
| Savings and money market | 2,133,497 | 37% | 1,597,311 | 38% |
| Time, \$100,000 or greater | 898,617 | 15% | 592,171 | 14% |
| Time, less than \$100,000 | 860,946 | 15% | 533,033 | 12% |
| Total | \$5,840,294 | 100% | \$4,286,266 | 100% |

The following table presents the scheduled maturities of time deposits of \$100,000 and greater as of December 31, 2006:

Maturities of Time Deposits of \$100,000 and Greater

(in thousands)

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Three months or less | \$391,095 |
| Three months to six months | 228,560 |
| Six months to one year | 170,867 |
| Over one year | 108,095 |
| Total | \$898,617 |

Borrowings

At December 31, 2006, the Bank had outstanding \$48.0 million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and no federal funds purchased. Additional information regarding securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased is provided in Note 12 of *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

At December 31, 2006, the Bank had outstanding term debt of \$9.5 million. Advances from the Federal Home Loan Banks of San Francisco and Seattle (FHLB) amounted to \$8.8 million of the total and are secured by investment securities and residential mortgage loans. The FHLB advances outstanding at December 31, 2006 had fixed interest rates ranging from 3.73% to 7.44%. Approximately \$4.5 million, or 51%, of the FHLB advances mature prior to December 31, 2007 and another \$3.0 million, or 34%, mature prior to December 31, 2008. Management expects continued use of FHLB advances as a source of short and long-term funding. Additional information regarding term debt is provided in Note 17 of *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

Junior Subordinated Debentures

We had junior subordinated debentures with carrying values of \$203.7 million and \$165.7 million, respectively, at December 31, 2006 and 2005. The increase is due to the assumption of debentures

originally issued by Western Sierra.

At December 31, 2006, approximately \$159.4 million, or 78% of the total issued amount, had interest rates that are adjustable on a quarterly basis based on a spread over LIBOR. Increases in short-term market interest rates during 2006 have resulted in increased interest expense for junior subordinated debentures. Although any additional increases in short-term market interest rates will increase the interest expense for junior subordinated debentures, we believe that other attributes of our balance sheet will serve to mitigate the impact to net interest income on a consolidated basis.

All of the debentures issued to the Trusts, less the common stock of the Trusts, qualified as Tier 1 capital as of December 31, 2006, under guidance issued by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Additional information regarding the terms of the junior subordinated debentures, including maturity/call dates and interest rates, is included in Note 18 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Cash Flow**

The principal objective of our liquidity management program is to maintain the Bank's ability to meet the day-to-day cash flow requirements of our customers who either wish to withdraw funds or to draw upon credit facilities to meet their cash needs.

We monitor the sources and uses of funds on a daily basis to maintain an acceptable liquidity position. In addition to liquidity from core deposits and the repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities, the Bank can utilize established uncommitted federal funds lines of credit, sell securities under agreements to repurchase, borrow on a secured basis from the FHLB or issue brokered certificates of deposit.

At December 31, 2006, there was no outstanding balance of federal funds purchased. This compared to an outstanding balance of federal funds purchased at December 31, 2005 of \$55.0 million at a rate of 4.325%. The Bank had available lines of credit with the FHLB totaling \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2006. The Bank had uncommitted federal funds line of credit agreements with additional financial institutions totaling \$290.0 million and \$98.0 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Availability of the lines is subject to federal funds balances available for loan and continued borrower eligibility. These lines are intended to support short-term liquidity needs, and the agreements restrict the consecutive day usage. The Company is a separate entity from the Bank and must provide for its own liquidity. Substantially all of the Company's revenues are obtained from dividends declared and paid by the Bank. In 2006, the Bank paid the Company \$28.0 million in dividends. There are statutory and regulatory provisions that could limit the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to the Company. We believe that such restrictions will not have an adverse impact on the ability of the Company to meet its ongoing cash obligations, which consist principally of debt service on the \$194.0 million (issued amount) of outstanding junior subordinated debentures. As of December 31, 2006, the Company did not have any borrowing arrangements of its own.

As disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows* in Item 8 of this report, net cash provided by operating activities was \$118.0 million during 2006. The principal source of cash provided by operating activities was net income. Net cash of \$347.0 million used in investing activities consisted principally of \$414.1 million of net loan growth and purchases of \$60.7 million of investment securities available for sale, partially offset by sales and maturities of investment securities available for sale of \$93.6 million and net cash acquired in the Western Sierra merger of \$37.0 million. The \$402.9 million of cash provided by financing activities primarily consisted of \$539.2 million of net deposit growth, partially offset by financing outflows related to \$55.0 million decrease in Fed funds purchased, \$52.6 million of net repayment of term loans and \$28.1 million payment of dividends.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements

Information regarding Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements is included in Note 14 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

The following table presents a summary of significant contractual obligations extending beyond one year as of December 31, 2006 and maturing as indicated:

Future Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2006

| (in thousands) | Less than 1 Year | 1 to 3 Years | 3 to 5 Years | More than 5 Years | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Term debt | \$ 4,500 | \$ 3,000 | \$ | \$ 1,879 | \$ 9,379 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | | | | 193,978 | 193,978 |
| Operating leases | 9,969 | 17,351 | 14,325 | 34,451 | 76,096 |
| Other long-term liabilities(1) | 5,002 | 6,095 | 4,672 | 22,060 | 37,829 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$19,471 | \$26,446 | \$18,997 | \$252,368 | \$317,282 |

(1) Include payments related to employee benefit plans. Additional information about employee benefit plans is provided in Note 16 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

The table above does not include deposit liabilities, interest payments or purchase accounting adjustments related to term debt or junior subordinated debentures.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

Although we expect the Bank's and the Company's liquidity positions to remain satisfactory during 2007, increases in market interest rates have resulted in increased competition for bank deposits. It is possible that our deposit growth for 2007 may not be maintained at previous levels due to increased pricing pressure or, in order to generate deposit growth, our pricing may need to be adjusted in a manner that results in increased interest expense on deposits.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Information regarding Concentrations of Credit Risk is included in Notes 4, 6 and 14 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Capital Resources

Shareholders' equity at December 31, 2006 was \$1.2 billion, an increase of \$418.0 million, or 57%, from December 31, 2005. The increase in shareholders' equity during 2006 was principally due to stock issued in connection with the Western Sierra merger of \$353.7 million, the retention of \$51.2 million, or approximately 61%, of net income for the year and issuance of common stock under stock plans and related tax benefit of \$10.8 million. Book value per share as of December 31, 2006 was \$19.91 and tangible book value (total shareholders' equity less intangible assets, divided by total shares outstanding) per share was \$8.21.

The Federal Reserve Board has in place guidelines for risk-based capital requirements applicable to U.S. banks and bank/financial holding companies. These risk-based capital guidelines take into consideration risk factors, as defined by regulation, associated with various categories of assets, both on and off-balance sheet. Under the guidelines, capital strength is measured in two tiers, which are used in conjunction with risk-adjusted assets to determine the risk-based capital ratios. The guidelines require an 8% total risk-based capital ratio, of which 4% must be Tier I capital. Our consolidated Tier I capital, which consists of shareholders' equity and qualifying trust-preferred securities, less other comprehensive income, goodwill and deposit-based intangibles, totaled \$671.8 million at December 31, 2006. Tier II capital components include all, or a portion of, the allowance for loan and lease losses and the portion of trust preferred securities in excess of Tier I statutory limits. The total of Tier I capital plus Tier II capital components is referred to as Total Risk-Based Capital, and was \$733.2 million at December 31, 2006. The percentage ratios, as calculated under the guidelines, were 10.66% and 11.63% for Tier I and Total Risk-Based Capital, respectively, at December 31, 2006.

A minimum leverage ratio is required in addition to the risk-based capital standards and is defined as period-end shareholders' equity and qualifying trust preferred securities, less other comprehensive income, goodwill and deposit-based intangibles, divided by average assets as adjusted for goodwill and other intangible assets. Although a minimum leverage ratio of 4% is required for the highest-rated financial holding companies that are not undertaking significant expansion programs, the Federal Reserve Board may require a financial holding company to maintain a leverage ratio greater than 4% if it is experiencing or anticipating significant growth or is operating with less than well-diversified risks in the opinion of the Federal Reserve Board. The Federal Reserve Board uses the leverage and risk-based capital ratios to assess capital adequacy of banks and financial holding companies. Our consolidated leverage ratios at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were 10.28, and 10.09%, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, the most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the Bank as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's regulatory capital category.

At December 31, 2006, all three of the capital ratios of the Bank exceeded the minimum ratios required by federal regulation. Management monitors these ratios on a regular basis to ensure that the Bank remains within regulatory guidelines. Further information regarding the actual and required capital ratios is provided in Note 19 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* in Item 8 below.

During the third and fourth quarter of 2006, Umpqua's Board of Directors approved increasing the quarterly cash dividend rate to \$0.18 from \$0.12 per share in the first and second quarters of 2006. This increase

was made pursuant to our existing dividend policy and in consideration of, among other things, earnings, regulatory capital levels and expected asset growth. The payment of cash dividends is subject to regulatory limitations as described under the *Supervision and Regulation* section of Part I of this report. There is no assurance that future cash dividends will be declared or increased. The following table presents cash dividends declared and dividend payout ratios (dividends declared per share divided by basic earnings per share) for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Cash Dividends and Payout Ratios

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Dividend declared per share | \$0.60 | \$0.32 | \$0.22 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 37% | 20% | 17% |

Table of Contents

Our Board of Directors has approved a stock repurchase plan for up to 2.5 million shares of common stock. As of December 31, 2006, a total of 2.1 million shares remain available for repurchase under this authorization, which expires on June 30, 2007. In addition, our stock option plans provide for option holders to pay for the exercise price in part or whole by tendering previously held shares. Although no shares were repurchased in open market transactions during the fourth quarter of 2006, we expect to continue to repurchase additional shares in the future. The timing and amount of such repurchases will depend upon the market price for our common stock, securities laws restricting repurchases, asset growth, earnings and our capital plan.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The absolute level and volatility of interest rates can have a significant impact on our profitability. The objective of interest rate risk management is to identify and manage the sensitivity of net interest income to changing interest rates to achieve our overall financial objectives. Based on economic conditions, asset quality and various other considerations, management establishes tolerance ranges for interest rate sensitivity and manages within these ranges. Net interest income and the fair value of financial instruments are greatly influenced by changes in the level of interest rates. We manage exposure to fluctuations in interest rates through policies that are established by the Asset/ Liability Management Committee (ALCO). The ALCO meets monthly and has responsibility for developing asset/liability management policy, formulating and implementing strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and earnings and reviewing interest rate sensitivity. The Board of Directors Loan and Investment Committee provides oversight of the asset/ liability management process, reviews the results of the interest rate risk analyses prepared for the ALCO and approves the asset/ liability policy on an annual basis.

Management utilizes an interest rate simulation model to estimate the sensitivity of net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Such estimates are based upon a number of assumptions for each scenario, including the level of balance sheet growth, deposit repricing characteristics and the rate of prepayments. Interest rate sensitivity is a function of the repricing characteristics of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. These repricing characteristics are the time frames within which the interest-bearing assets and liabilities are subject to change in interest rates either at replacement, repricing or maturity during the life of the instruments. Interest rate sensitivity management focuses on the maturity structure of assets and liabilities and their repricing characteristics during periods of changes in market interest rates. Effective interest rate sensitivity management seeks to ensure that both assets and liabilities respond to changes in interest rates within an acceptable timeframe, thereby minimizing the impact of interest rate changes on net interest income. Interest rate sensitivity is measured as the difference between the volumes of assets and liabilities at a point in time that are subject to repricing at various time horizons: immediate to three months, four to twelve months, one to five years, over five years, and on a cumulative basis. The differences are known as interest sensitivity gaps. The table below sets forth interest sensitivity gaps for these different intervals as of December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

Interest Sensitivity Gap

(in thousands)

| | By Repricing Interval | | | | Non-Rate-Sensitive | Total |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 0-3 Months | 4-12 Months | 1-5 Years | Over 5 Years | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Temporary Investments | \$ 164,869 | \$ 1,010 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 165,879 |
| Trading account assets | 4,204 | | | | | 4,204 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 78,414 | 69,644 | 387,602 | 193,258 | (13,731) | 715,187 |
| Securities held-to-maturity | 1,725 | 2,666 | 2,389 | 2,200 | (218) | 8,762 |
| Loans and loans held for sale | 2,269,456 | 653,137 | 2,182,470 | 292,433 | (19,581) | 5,377,915 |
| Non-interest-earning assets | | | | | 1,072,289 | 1,072,289 |
| Total assets | 2,518,668 | 726,457 | 2,572,461 | 487,891 | 1,038,759 | \$7,344,236 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 725,127 | | | | | \$ 725,127 |
| Savings and money-market deposits | 2,133,497 | | | | | 2,133,497 |
| Time deposits | 660,898 | 837,112 | 257,473 | 3,748 | 332 | 1,759,563 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 47,985 | | | | | 47,985 |
| Term debt | 2,040 | 2,624 | 3,772 | 943 | 134 | 9,513 |
| | 155,677 | | 27,836 | 10,465 | 9,710 | 203,688 |

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Junior subordinated debentures | | | | | | |
| Non-interest bearing liabilities and shareholders equity | | | | | 2,464,863 | 2,464,863 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | 3,725,224 | 839,736 | 289,081 | 15,156 | 2,475,039 | \$7,344,236 |
| Interest rate sensitivity gap | (1,206,556) | (113,279) | 2,283,380 | 472,735 | (1,436,280) | |
| Cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap | \$(1,206,556) | \$(1,319,835) | \$ 963,545 | \$1,436,280 | \$ | |
| Cumulative gap as a % of earning assets | -19.2% | -21.0% | 15.4% | 22.9% | | |

Changes in the mix of earning assets or supporting liabilities can either increase or decrease the net interest margin without affecting interest rate sensitivity. In addition, the interest rate spread between an asset and its supporting liability can vary significantly, while the timing of repricing for both the asset and the liability remains the same, thus impacting net interest income. This characteristic is referred to as basis risk and generally relates to the possibility that the repricing characteristics of short-term assets tied to the prime rate are different from those of short-term funding sources such as certificates of deposit. Varying interest rate environments can create unexpected changes in prepayment levels of assets and liabilities that are not reflected in the interest rate sensitivity analysis. These prepayments may have a significant impact on our net interest margin. Because of these factors, an interest sensitivity gap analysis may not provide an accurate assessment of our exposure to changes in interest rates.

We utilize an interest rate simulation model to monitor and evaluate the impact of changing interest rates on net interest income. The estimated impact on our net interest income over a time horizon of one year as of December 31, 2006 is indicated in the table below. For the scenarios shown, the interest rate simulation assumes a parallel and sustained shift in market interest rates ratably over a twelve-month period and no change in the composition or size of the balance sheet. For example, the up 200 basis points scenario is based on a theoretical increase in market rates of 16.7 basis points per month for twelve months applied to the balance sheet of December 31 for each respective year.

Table of Contents**Interest Rate Simulation Impact on Net Interest Income**As of December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|--|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| | Increase (Decrease) in Net Interest Income from Base Scenario | Percentage Change | Increase (Decrease) in Net Interest Income from Base Scenario | Percentage Change | Increase (Decrease) in Net Interest Income from Base Scenario | Percentage Change |
| Up 200 basis points | \$(2,596) | -0.9% | \$ 2,664 | 1.1% | \$ 7,265 | 3.3% |
| Up 100 basis points | \$(1,082) | -0.4% | \$ 1,482 | 0.6% | \$ 6,138 | 2.8% |
| Down 100 basis points | \$ 989 | 0.4% | \$(2,147) | -0.9% | \$ (6,503) | -3.0% |
| Down 200 basis points | \$(2,557) | -0.9% | \$(5,709) | -2.4% | \$(13,986) | -6.4% |

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, we believe our balance sheet was in an asset-sensitive position, as the repricing characteristics were such that an increase in market interest rates would have a positive effect on net interest income and a decrease in market interest rates would have negative effect on net interest income. The flattening yield curve and changed mix and pricing characteristics of our balance sheet in 2006 resulted in decreased asset sensitivity from the previous years. At December 31, 2006, we are

liability-sensitive in three of four scenarios. However, our overall sensitivity in all four scenarios has decreased as compared to prior years indicating a more neutral interest risk position. Some of the assumptions made in the simulation model may not materialize and unanticipated events and circumstances will occur. In addition, the simulation model does not take into account any future actions which we could undertake to mitigate an adverse impact due to changes in interest rates from those expected, in the actual level of market interest rates or competitive influences on our deposit base. A second interest rate sensitivity measure we utilize is the quantification of market value changes for all financial assets and liabilities, given an increase or decrease in market interest rates. This approach provides a longer-term view of interest rate risk, capturing all future expected cash flows. Assets and liabilities with option characteristics are measured based on different interest rate path valuations using statistical rate simulation techniques.

The table below illustrates the effects of various market interest rate changes on the fair values of financial assets and liabilities (excluding mortgage servicing rights) as compared to the corresponding carrying values and fair values:

Interest Rate Simulation Impact on Fair Value of Financial Assets and LiabilitiesAs of December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|--|---|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| | Increase (Decrease) in Estimated Fair | Percentage Change | Increase (Decrease) in Estimated Fair | Percentage Change |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | Value of Equity | | Value of Equity | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| Up 200 basis points | \$(72,797) | -4.3% | \$(71,891) | -8.0% |
| Up 100 basis points | \$(34,117) | -2.0% | \$(39,490) | -4.4% |
| Down 100 basis points | \$ 9,962 | 0.6% | \$ 9,406 | 1.0% |
| Down 200 basis points | \$ (4,155) | -0.2% | \$ 28,848 | 3.2% |

Consistent with the results in the interest rate simulation impact on net interest income, our overall sensitivity to market interest rate changes has declined as compared to 2005.

42

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

A financial institution's asset and liability structure is substantially different from that of an industrial firm in that primarily all assets and liabilities of a bank are monetary in nature, with relatively little investment in fixed assets or inventories. Inflation has an important impact on the growth of total assets and the resulting need to increase equity capital at higher than normal rates in order to maintain appropriate capital ratios. We believe that the impact of inflation on financial results depends on management's ability to react to changes in interest rates and, by such reaction, reduce the inflationary impact on performance. We have an asset/liability management program which attempts to manage interest rate sensitivity. In addition, periodic reviews of banking services and products are conducted to adjust pricing in view of current and expected costs.

Our financial statements included in Item 8 below have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which requires us to measure financial position and operating results principally in terms of historic dollars. Changes in the relative value of money due to inflation or recession are generally not considered. The primary effect of inflation on our results of operations is through increased operating costs, such as compensation, occupancy and business development expenses. In management's opinion, changes in interest rates affect the financial condition of a financial institution to a far greater degree than changes in the rate of inflation. Although interest rates are greatly influenced by changes in the inflation rate, they do not necessarily change at the same rate or in the same magnitude as the inflation rate. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including U.S. fiscal and monetary policy and general national and global economic conditions.

Table of Contents

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. We also have audited management's assessment included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements, an opinion on management's assessment, and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. The financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004 were audited by other auditors whose report dated March 31, 2005 expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and Directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, management's assessment that Umpqua Holdings Corporation

maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Furthermore, in our opinion, Umpqua Holdings Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).
Portland, Oregon
February 28, 2007

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Umpqua Holdings Corporation
Portland, Oregon

We have audited the consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders equity, and cash flows of Umpqua Holdings Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2004. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and cash flows of Umpqua Holdings Corporation and subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2004, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Portland, Oregon
March 31, 2005

Table of Contents**UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

December 31, 2006 and 2005

(In thousands, except shares)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 169,769 | \$ 151,521 |
| Temporary investments | 165,879 | 10,233 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 335,648 | 161,754 |
| Trading account assets | 4,204 | 601 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value | 715,187 | 671,868 |
| Investment securities held to maturity, at amortized cost | 8,762 | 8,677 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 16,053 | 9,061 |
| Loans and leases | 5,361,862 | 3,921,631 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (60,090) | (43,885) |
| Net loans and leases | 5,301,772 | 3,877,746 |
| Restricted equity securities | 15,255 | 14,263 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 101,830 | 88,865 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net | 679,493 | 408,503 |
| Mortgage servicing rights, net | 9,952 | 10,890 |
| Other assets | 156,080 | 108,411 |
| Total assets | \$7,344,236 | \$5,360,639 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Noninterest bearing | \$1,222,107 | \$ 987,714 |
| Interest bearing | 4,618,187 | 3,298,552 |
| Total deposits | 5,840,294 | 4,286,266 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased | 47,985 | 113,865 |
| Term debt | 9,513 | 3,184 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 203,688 | 165,725 |
| Other liabilities | 86,545 | 53,338 |
| Total liabilities | 6,188,025 | 4,622,378 |

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 14)**SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

Preferred stock, no par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized;
none issued and outstanding

| | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Common stock, no par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding: 58,080,171 in 2006 and 44,556,269 in 2005 | 930,867 | 564,579 |
| Retained earnings | 234,783 | 183,591 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (9,439) | (9,909) |
| Total shareholders equity | 1,156,211 | 738,261 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$7,344,236 | \$5,360,639 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| INTEREST INCOME | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$372,201 | \$251,715 | \$170,791 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities | | | |
| Taxable | 27,233 | 26,268 | 24,076 |
| Exempt from federal income tax | 3,809 | 2,544 | 2,325 |
| Dividends | 285 | 164 | 254 |
| Other interest income | 2,413 | 1,585 | 612 |
| Total interest income | 405,941 | 282,276 | 198,058 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | | |
| Interest on deposits | 119,881 | 59,578 | 30,999 |
| Interest on securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased | 6,829 | 2,207 | 794 |
| Interest on term debt | 2,892 | 659 | 2,023 |
| Interest on junior subordinated debentures | 14,215 | 10,550 | 6,555 |
| Total interest expense | 143,817 | 72,994 | 40,371 |
| Net interest income | 262,124 | 209,282 | 157,687 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,552 | 2,468 | 7,321 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 259,572 | 206,814 | 150,366 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME | | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 26,975 | 21,697 | 17,404 |
| Brokerage commissions and fees | 9,649 | 11,317 | 11,829 |
| Mortgage banking revenue, net | 7,560 | 6,426 | 7,655 |
| Net (loss) gain on sale of investment securities | (21) | 1,439 | 19 |
| Other income | 9,434 | 6,903 | 4,466 |
| Total non-interest income | 53,597 | 47,782 | 41,373 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 98,840 | 82,467 | 67,351 |
| Net occupancy and equipment | 31,752 | 24,693 | 19,765 |
| Communications | 6,352 | 5,841 | 5,752 |
| Marketing | 5,760 | 4,564 | 4,228 |
| Services | 15,951 | 13,245 | 9,414 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Supplies | 2,994 | 2,706 | 1,995 |
| Intangible amortization | 3,728 | 2,430 | 1,512 |
| Merger related expenses | 4,773 | 262 | 5,597 |
| Other expenses | 11,799 | 10,848 | 9,565 |
| Total non-interest expense | 181,949 | 147,056 | 125,179 |
| Income before income taxes and discontinued operations | 131,220 | 107,540 | 66,560 |
| Provision for income taxes | 46,773 | 37,805 | 23,270 |
| Income from continuing operations | 84,447 | 69,735 | 43,290 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax | | | 3,375 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | 501 |
| Net income | \$ 84,447 | \$ 69,735 | \$ 47,166 |

BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE

| | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.21 |
| Discontinued operations | | | 0.11 |
| Net income | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.32 |

DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE

| | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.19 |
| Discontinued operations | | | 0.11 |
| Net income | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.30 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands, except shares) | Common Stock | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | | Total |
|---|--------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Retained Earnings | Income (Loss) | |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2004 | 28,411,816 | \$230,773 | \$ 89,058 | \$ (862) | \$ 318,969 |
| Net income | | | 47,166 | | 47,166 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: | | | | | |
| Unrealized losses on securities arising during the year(1) | | | | (248) | (248) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | \$ 46,918 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 226 | | | 226 |
| Stock repurchased and retired | (321,729) | (6,062) | | | (6,062) |
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans and related tax benefit | 629,661 | 9,018 | | | 9,018 |
| Stock issued in connection with acquisitions | 15,491,327 | 326,656 | | | 326,656 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.22 per share) | | | (8,112) | | (8,112) |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 44,211,075 | \$560,611 | \$ 128,112 | \$ (1,110) | \$ 687,613 |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2005 | 44,211,075 | \$560,611 | \$ 128,112 | \$ (1,110) | \$ 687,613 |
| Net income | | | 69,735 | | 69,735 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: | | | | | |
| Unrealized losses on securities arising during the year(2) | | | | (8,799) | (8,799) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | \$ 60,936 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 693 | | | 693 |
| Stock repurchased and retired | (84,185) | (1,904) | | | (1,904) |
| | 429,379 | 5,179 | | | 5,179 |

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans and related tax benefit | | | | | |
| Cash dividends (\$0.32 per share) | | | (14,256) | | (14,256) |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 44,556,269 | \$564,579 | \$ 183,591 | \$ (9,909) | \$ 738,261 |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2006 | 44,556,269 | \$564,579 | \$ 183,591 | \$ (9,909) | \$ 738,261 |
| Net income | | | 84,447 | | 84,447 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: | | | | | |
| Unrealized gains on securities arising during the year(3) | | | | 470 | 470 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | \$ 84,917 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 1,932 | | | 1,932 |
| Stock repurchased and retired | (6,142) | (179) | | | (179) |
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans and related tax benefit | 784,715 | 10,814 | | | 10,814 |
| Stock issued in connection with acquisitions | 12,745,329 | 353,721 | | | 353,721 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.60 per share) | | | (33,255) | | (33,255) |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | 58,080,171 | \$930,867 | \$ 234,783 | \$ (9,439) | \$1,156,211 |

(1) Net unrealized holding loss on securities of \$237,000 (net of \$101,000 tax benefit), plus reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income of \$11,000 (net of \$8,000 tax expense).

(2) Net unrealized holding loss on securities of \$7.9 million (net of \$5.3 million tax benefit), plus reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income of \$863,000 (net of \$576,000 tax expense).

(3) Net unrealized holding gain on securities of \$457,000 (net of \$305,000 tax expense), plus reclassification adjustment for net losses included in net income of \$13,000 (net of \$8,000 tax benefit).

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIESCONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF
COMPREHENSIVE INCOMEFor the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and
2004

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|
| Net income | \$84,447 | \$ 69,735 | \$47,166 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period on investment securities available for sale | 762 | (13,226) | (338) |
| Reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) realized in net income, net of tax (benefit of \$8 in 2006 and expense of \$576 and \$8 in 2005 and 2004, respectively) | 13 | (863) | (11) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit related to unrealized gains/losses on investment securities, available for sale | (305) | 5,290 | 101 |
| Net unrealized losses on investment securities available for sale | 470 | (8,799) | (248) |
| Comprehensive income | \$84,917 | \$ 60,936 | \$46,918 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

49

Table of Contents**UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH
FLOWSFor the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005
and 2004
(in thousands)Revised
see Note 1

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 84,447 | \$ 69,735 | \$ 47,166 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations: | | | |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax | | | (3,375) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | (501) |
| Restricted equity securities stock dividends | (285) | (164) | (254) |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) expense | (6,143) | 7,575 | 6,910 |
| Amortization of investment premiums, net | 1,101 | 1,150 | 945 |
| Loss (gain) on sale of investment securities available-for-sale | 21 | (1,439) | (19) |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,552 | 2,468 | 7,321 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 13,413 | 10,992 | 7,769 |
| Net (increase) decrease in trading account assets | (1,132) | 976 | (312) |
| Origination of loans held for sale | (259,767) | (289,277) | (438,565) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 254,873 | 299,868 | 456,548 |
| Increase (decrease) in mortgage servicing rights | (260) | (1,736) | 569 |
| Tax benefits from the exercise of stock options | | 2,425 | 3,079 |
| Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options | (1,173) | | |
| Net decrease (increase) in other assets | 27,476 | (10,096) | 10,990 |
| Net increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 4,249 | 7,298 | (14,053) |
| Other, net | (1,377) | 416 | 897 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations | 117,995 | 100,191 | 85,115 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities of discontinued operations | | | 3,876 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 117,995 | 100,191 | 88,991 |
| | (60,651) | (175,546) | (133,763) |

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale | | | |
| Purchases of restricted equity securities | | | (3,027) |
| Sales and maturities of investment securities available-for-sale | 90,841 | 166,012 | 177,886 |
| Maturities of investment securities held-to-maturity | 2,764 | 3,169 | 2,846 |
| Redemption of restricted equity securities | 9,322 | 119 | 663 |
| Net loan and lease originations | (521,085) | (455,227) | (418,059) |
| Purchase of loans | (17,116) | (40,410) | (20,352) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | 124,096 | 39,888 | 27,631 |
| Disposals of furniture and equipment | 247 | 89 | 17,312 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (13,597) | (12,051) | (20,141) |
| Sales of real estate owned | 1,192 | | |
| Cash acquired in merger, net of cash consideration paid | 36,950 | | 50,894 |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (347,037) | (473,957) | (318,110) |

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

| | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Net increase in deposit liabilities | 539,172 | 487,610 | 229,889 |
| Net (decrease) increase in Fed funds purchased | (55,000) | 27,000 | (12,000) |
| Net (decrease) increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase | (10,880) | (1,402) | 16,736 |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (28,131) | (11,557) | (8,112) |
| Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options | 1,173 | | |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 9,415 | 2,754 | 5,939 |
| Retirement of common stock | (179) | (1,904) | (6,062) |
| Term debt borrowings | 600,000 | | 270 |
| Repayment of term debt | (652,634) | (85,188) | (13,340) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 402,936 | 417,313 | 213,320 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 173,894 | 43,547 | (15,799) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 161,754 | 118,207 | 134,006 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 335,648 | \$ 161,754 | \$ 118,207 |

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash paid during the year for: | | | |
| Interest | \$ 137,034 | \$ 68,821 | \$ 37,862 |
| Income taxes | \$ 46,084 | \$ 19,418 | \$ 16,257 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004****NOTE 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Nature of Operations Umpqua Holdings Corporation (the Company) is a financial holding company headquartered in Portland, Oregon, that is engaged primarily in the business of commercial and retail banking and the delivery of retail brokerage services. The Company provides a wide range of banking, asset management, mortgage banking and other financial services to corporate, institutional and individual customers through its wholly-owned banking subsidiary Umpqua Bank (the Bank). The Company engages in the retail brokerage business through its wholly-owned subsidiary Strand, Atkinson, Williams & York, Inc. (Strand). The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to regulation by certain federal and state agencies and undergo periodic examination by these regulatory agencies.

Basis of Financial Statement Presentation The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and with prevailing practices within the banking and securities industries. In preparing such financial statements, management is required to make certain estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheet and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the reporting period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses, the valuation of mortgage servicing rights and the valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets.

Consolidation The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Bank and Strand. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks, and temporary investments which are federal funds sold and interest-bearing balances due from other banks. Cash and cash equivalents generally have a maturity of 90 days or less at the time of purchase.

Trading Account Securities Debt and equity securities held for resale are classified as trading account securities and reported at fair value. Realized and unrealized gains or losses are recorded in non-interest income.

Investment Securities Debt securities are classified as *held-to-maturity* if the Company has both the intent and ability to hold those securities to maturity regardless of changes in market conditions, liquidity needs or changes in general economic conditions. These securities are carried at cost adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount, computed by the effective interest method over their contractual lives. Securities are classified as *available-for-sale* if the Company intends and has the ability to hold those securities for an indefinite period of time, but not necessarily to maturity. Any decision to sell a security classified as available-for-sale would be based on various factors, including significant movements in interest rates, changes in the maturity mix of assets and liabilities, liquidity needs, regulatory capital considerations and other similar factors. Securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value. Unrealized holding gains or losses are included in other comprehensive income as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of tax. Realized gains or losses, determined on the basis of the cost of specific securities sold, are included in earnings. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related investment security as an adjustment to yield using the effective interest method. Dividend and interest income are recognized when earned.

Unrealized losses due to fluctuations in the fair value of securities held to maturity or available for sale are recognized through earnings when it is determined that an other-than-temporary decline in value has occurred. The Company assesses other-than-temporary impairment based on the nature of the decline and whether the Company has the ability and intent to hold the investments until a market price recovery. No other-than-temporary impairment losses were recognized in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 or

2004. Additional information on investment securities is included in Note 5.

Loans Held For Sale Loans held for sale includes mortgage loans and are reported at the lower of cost or market value. Cost generally approximates market value, given the short duration of these assets. Gains or losses on the sale of loans that are held for sale are recognized at the time of the sale and determined by the difference between net sale proceeds and the net book value of the loans less the estimated fair value of any retained mortgage servicing rights.

Loans Loans are stated at the amount of unpaid principal, net of unearned income and any deferred fees or costs. All discounts and premiums are recognized over the estimated life of the loan as yield adjustments. This estimated life is adjusted for prepayments.

Table of Contents

Loans are classified as *impaired* when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due, in accordance with the terms of the original loan agreement. The carrying value of impaired loans is based on the present value of expected future cash flows (discounted at the loan's effective interest rate) or, for collateral dependent loans, at fair value of the collateral. If the measurement of the impaired loans' value is less than the recorded investment in the loan, an impairment allowance is created by either charging the provision for loan and lease losses or allocating an existing component of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Additional information on loans is included in Note 6.

Income Recognition on Non-Accrual and Impaired Loans Loans, including impaired loans, are classified as non-accrual if the collection of principal and interest is doubtful. Generally, this occurs when a loan is past due as to maturity or payment of principal or interest by 90 days or more, unless such loans are well-secured and in the process of collection. If a loan or portion thereof is partially charged-off, the loan is considered impaired and classified as non-accrual. Loans that are less than 90 days past due may also be classified as non-accrual if repayment in full of principal and/or interest is in doubt.

When a loan is classified as non-accrual, all uncollected accrued interest is reversed to interest income and the accrual of interest income is terminated. Generally, any cash payments are applied as a reduction of principal outstanding. In cases where the future collectibility of the principal balance in full is expected, interest income may be recognized on a cash basis. A loan may be restored to accrual status when the borrower's financial condition improves so that full collection of principal is considered likely. For those loans placed on non-accrual status due to payment delinquency, this will generally not occur until the borrower demonstrates repayment ability over a period of not less than six months.

The decision to classify a loan as impaired is made by the Bank's Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) Committee. The ALLL Committee meets regularly to review the status of all problem and potential problem loans. If the ALLL Committee concludes a loan is impaired but recovery of the full principal and interest is expected, an impaired loan may remain on accrual status.

Allowance for loan and lease losses The Bank performs regular credit reviews of the loan portfolio to determine the credit quality of the portfolio and the adherence to underwriting standards. When loans are originated, they are assigned a risk rating that is assessed periodically during the term of the loan through the credit review process. The risk ratings are a primary factor in determining an appropriate amount for the allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank's management ALLL Committee is responsible for, among other things, regular review of the ALLL methodology, including loss factors, and ensuring that it is designed and applied in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The ALLL Committee reviews loans that have been placed on non-accrual status and approves placing loans on impaired status. The ALLL Committee also approves removing loans that are impaired from impairment and non-accrual status. The Bank's Audit and Compliance Committee provides board oversight of the ALLL process and reviews and approves the ALLL methodology on a quarterly basis.

Each risk rating is assessed an inherent credit loss factor that determines the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses provided for that group of loans with similar risk rating. Credit loss factors may vary by region based on management's belief that there may ultimately be different credit loss rates experienced in each region.

The regular credit reviews of the portfolio also identify loans that are considered potentially impaired. Potentially impaired loans are referred to the ALLL Committee which reviews and approves designating loans as impaired. A loan is considered impaired when based on current information and events, we determine that we will probably not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. When we identify a loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using discounted cash flows, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we use the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, instead of discounted cash flows. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment reserve as a specific component to be

provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

The combination of the risk rating based allowance component and the impairment reserve allowance component lead to an allocated allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank also maintains an unallocated allowance amount to provide for other credit losses inherent in a loan portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the credit loss factors. This unallocated amount generally comprises less than 5% of the allowance. The unallocated amount is reviewed periodically based on trends in credit losses, the results of credit reviews and overall economic trends.

The reserve for unfunded commitments (RUC) is established to absorb inherent losses associated with our commitment to lend funds, such as with a letter or line of credit. The adequacy of the ALLL and RUC are monitored on a regular basis and are

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

based on management's evaluation of numerous factors. These factors include the quality of the current loan portfolio; the trend in the loan portfolio's risk ratings; current economic conditions; loan concentrations; loan growth rates; past-due and non-performing trends; evaluation of specific loss estimates for all significant problem loans; historical charge-off and recovery experience; and other pertinent information. Management believes that the ALLL was adequate as of December 31, 2006. There is, however, no assurance that future loan losses will not exceed the levels provided for in the ALLL and could possibly result in additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review. Approximately 81% of our loan portfolio is secured by real estate, and a significant decline in real estate market values may require an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

As adjustments become necessary, they are reported in earnings in the periods in which they become known. Loans or portions thereof deemed uncollectible are charged to the allowance. Provisions for losses, and recoveries on loans previously charged off, are added to the allowance. Additional information on the allowance for loan and lease losses is included in Note 6.

Reserve for Unfunded Commitments A reserve for unfunded commitments is maintained at a level that, in the opinion of management, is adequate to absorb probable losses associated with the Bank's commitment to lend funds under existing agreements such as letters or lines of credit. Management determines the adequacy of the reserve for unfunded commitments based upon reviews of individual credit facilities, current economic conditions, the risk characteristics of the various categories of commitments and other relevant factors. The reserve is based on estimates, and ultimate losses may vary from the current estimates. These estimates are evaluated on a regular basis and, as adjustments become necessary, they are reported in earnings in the periods in which they become known. Draws on unfunded commitments that are considered uncollectible at the time funds are advanced are charged to the allowance. Provisions for unfunded commitment losses, and recoveries on loans previously charged off, are added to the reserve for unfunded commitments, which is included in the *Other Liabilities* section of the consolidated balance sheets.

Prior to September 30, 2004, the reserve for unfunded commitments was recognized in the allowance for loan and lease losses. During the third quarter of 2004, approximately \$1.2 million of the allowance was reclassified to establish the reserve for unfunded commitments. Prior to January 1, 2004, there was not any specific component of the allowance for loan and lease losses ascribed to unfunded commitments, therefore this reclassification was not applied to periods prior to 2004.

Loan Fees and Direct Loan Origination Costs Loan origination and commitment fees and direct loan origination costs are deferred and recognized as an adjustment to the yield over the life of the related loans.

Restricted Equity Securities At December 31, 2006 and 2005, restricted equity securities were \$15.3 million and \$14.3 million. Federal Home Loan Bank stock amounted to \$14.2 million and \$14.3 million of the total restricted securities as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Federal Home Loan Bank stock represents the Bank's investment in the Federal Home Loan Banks of Seattle and San Francisco (FHLB) stock and is carried at par value, which reasonably approximates its fair value. As a member of the FHLB system, the Bank is required to maintain a minimum level of investment in FHLB stock based on specific percentages of its outstanding mortgages, total assets or FHLB advances. At December 31, 2006, the Bank's minimum required investment was \$6.7 million. The Bank may request redemption at par value of any stock in excess of the minimum required investment. Stock redemptions are at the discretion of the FHLB.

Premises and Equipment Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful life of equipment, generally three to ten years, on a straight-line or accelerated basis. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful life of

premises, up to 39 years, on a straight-line or accelerated basis. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the life of the related lease, or the life of the related asset, whichever is shorter. Expenditures for major renovations and betterments of the Company's premises and equipment are capitalized.

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, management reviews long-lived and intangible assets any time that a change in circumstance indicates that the carrying amount of these assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of these assets is determined by comparing the carrying value of the asset to the forecasted undiscounted cash flows of the operation associated with the asset. If the evaluation of the forecasted cash flows indicates that the carrying value of the asset is not recoverable, the asset is written down to fair value.

Additional information regarding premises and equipment is provided in Note 7.

Table of Contents

Goodwill and Other Intangibles Intangible assets are comprised of goodwill and core deposit intangibles acquired in business combinations. Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are not amortized. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized to their estimated residual values over their respective estimated useful lives, and also reviewed for impairment.

Amortization of core deposit intangibles is included in other non-interest expense in the consolidated statements of income. Goodwill is tested for impairment on a quarterly basis and more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value. Additional information on goodwill and intangible assets is included in Note 9.

Mortgage Servicing Rights Mortgage servicing rights (MSR) retained are measured by allocating the carrying value of the loans between the assets sold and the interest retained, based on the relative fair value at the date of measurement. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, MSR are capitalized at their allocated carrying value and amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated future net servicing income. SFAS No. 140 has been amended by SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, as described below.

The Company assesses impairment of the MSR based on the fair value of those rights. For purposes of measuring impairment, the MSR are stratified based on interest rate characteristics (fixed-rate and adjustable-rate), as well as by coupon rate. In order to determine the fair value of the MSR, the present value of expected future cash flows are estimated. Assumptions used include market discount rates, anticipated prepayment speeds, delinquency and foreclosure rates, and ancillary fee income.

The carrying value of MSR is evaluated for possible impairment on a quarterly basis in accordance with SFAS No. 140. If an impairment condition exists for a particular valuation tranche, a valuation allowance is established for the excess of amortized cost over the estimated fair value through a charge to mortgage servicing fee revenue. If, in subsequent periods, the estimated fair value is determined to be in excess of the amortized cost net of the related valuation allowance, the valuation allowance is reduced through a credit to mortgage servicing revenue. Additional information on MSR is provided in Note 8.

SBA/USDA Loans Sales and Servicing The Bank, on a regular basis, sells or transfers loans, including the guaranteed portion of Small Business Administration (SBA) and Department of Agriculture (USDA) loans (with servicing retained) for cash proceeds equal to the principal amount of loans, as adjusted to yield interest to the investor based upon the current market rates. The Bank records an asset representing the right to service loans for others when it sells a loan and retains the servicing rights. The carrying value of loans is allocated between the loan and the servicing rights, based on their relative fair values. The fair value of servicing rights is estimated by discounting estimated future cash flows from servicing using discount rates that approximate current market rates and using estimated prepayment rates. The servicing rights are carried at the lower of cost or market and are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated net servicing income, assuming prepayments.

For purposes of evaluating and measuring impairment, servicing rights are based on a discounted cash flow methodology, current prepayment speeds and market discount rates. Any impairment is measured as the amount by which the carrying value of servicing rights for a stratum exceeds its fair value. The carrying value of SBA/ USDA servicing rights at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$1.2 million and \$657,000, respectively. No impairment charges were recorded for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004 related to SBA/ USDA servicing assets.

A premium over the adjusted carrying value is received upon the sale of the guaranteed portion of an SBA or USDA loan. The Bank's investment in an SBA or USDA loan is allocated among the sold and retained portions of the loan based on the relative fair value of each portion at the time of loan origination, adjusted for payments and other activities. Because the portion retained does not carry an SBA or USDA guarantee, part of the gain recognized on the sold portion of the loan may be deferred and amortized as a yield

enhancement on the retained portion in order to obtain a market equivalent yield.

Other Real Estate Owned Other real estate owned represents real estate which the Bank has taken control of in partial or full satisfaction of loans. At the time of foreclosure, other real estate owned is recorded at the lower of the carrying amount of the loan or fair value less costs to sell, which becomes the property's new basis. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses. After foreclosure, management periodically performs valuations such that the real estate is carried at the lower of its new cost basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Revenue and expenses from operations and subsequent adjustments to the carrying amount of the property are included in other non-interest expense in the consolidated statements of income.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

In some instances, the Bank may make loans to facilitate the sales of other real estate owned. Management reviews all sales for which it is the lending institution for compliance with sales treatment under provisions established by SFAS No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*.

Income Taxes Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are established to reduce the net carrying amount of deferred tax assets if it is determined to be more likely than not, that all or some portion of the potential deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Derivative Loan Commitments The Bank enters into forward delivery contracts to sell residential mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities to broker/ dealers at specific prices and dates in order to hedge the interest rate risk in its portfolio of mortgage loans held for sale and its residential mortgage loan commitments. The commitments to originate mortgage loans held for sale and the related forward delivery contracts are considered derivatives. The Company accounts for its derivatives under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended. The Statement requires recognition of all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and requires measurement of those instruments at fair value through adjustments to accumulated other comprehensive income and/or current earnings, as appropriate. The Company reports changes in fair values in current period net income. The fair value of the derivative loan commitments is estimated using the present value of expected future cash flows. Assumptions used include pull-through rate assumption based on historical information, current mortgage interest rates, the stage of completion of the underlying application and underwriting process, and the time remaining until the expiration of the derivative loan commitment.

Operating Segments SFAS No. 131, *Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, requires public enterprises to report certain information about their operating segments in a complete set of financial statements to shareholders. It also requires reporting of certain enterprise-wide information about the Company's products and services, its activities in different geographic areas, and its reliance on major customers. The basis for determining the Company's operating segments is the manner in which management operates the business. Management has identified three primary business segments, Community Banking, Retail Brokerage and Mortgage Banking. Additional information on Operating Segments is provided in Note 22.

Share-Based Payment The Company has one active stock-based compensation plan that provides for the granting of stock options and restricted stock awards to eligible employees and directors. Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, *Share Based Payments*, a revision to the previously issued guidance on accounting for stock options and other forms of equity-based compensation. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize in the income statement the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based forms of compensation issued to employees over the employees' requisite service period (generally the vesting period). Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for share-based compensation to employees under the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. Under the intrinsic value method, compensation expense is recognized only to the extent an option's exercise price is less than the market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. We also followed the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended by SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure*. We adopted SFAS No. 123R under the *modified prospective* method which means that the unvested portion of previously granted awards and any awards that are granted or modified after the date of adoption will be measured and accounted for under the provisions of SFAS No. 123R. Accordingly, financial statement amounts for prior periods presented have not been restated to reflect the fair value

method of recognizing compensation cost relating to stock options. The Company will continue to use straight-line recognition of expenses for awards with graded vesting.

Table of Contents

As a result of adopting SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006, the Company's results for the year ended December 31, 2006 reflected the following changes:

Stock-Based Compensation Disclosure

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Increase/(Decrease) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$1,332 |
| Income before income taxes | \$(1,332) |
| Provision for income taxes | \$(533) |
| Net income | \$(799) |
| Basic earnings per share | \$(0.02) |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$(0.02) |

The compensation cost related to stock options, including costs related to unvested options assumed in connection with acquisitions, that has been charged against income (included in salaries and employee benefits) was \$1.4 million, \$59,000 and \$53,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement related to stock options was \$551,000, \$24,000 and \$21,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Under APB No. 25, for all options originally granted by the Company, no compensation cost was recognized related to stock options in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. Compensation cost, net of tax, of \$35,000 and \$32,000, was recognized as salaries and benefits expense for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, for certain unvested options that were assumed in connection with the acquisitions of Centennial Bancorp and Humboldt Bancorp that continued to vest after acquisition. The following table presents the effect on net income and earnings per share if the fair value based method prescribed by SFAS No. 123, using straight-line expense recognition, had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004:

Stock-Based Compensation

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| NET INCOME, AS REPORTED | \$69,735 | \$47,166 |
| Deduct: Additional stock-based employee compensation determined under the fair value based method for all awards, net of tax effects | (813) | (617) |
| Pro forma net income | \$68,922 | \$46,549 |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS, AS REPORTED | \$69,735 | \$43,290 |
| Deduct: Additional stock-based employee compensation determined under the fair value based method for all awards, net of tax effects | (813) | (617) |
| | \$68,922 | \$42,673 |
| NET INCOME PER SHARE: | | |
| Basic as reported | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.32 |
| Basic pro forma | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.30 |

| | | |
|--|---------|---------|
| Diluted as reported | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.30 |
| Diluted pro forma | \$ 1.53 | \$ 1.28 |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS PER SHARE: | | |
| Basic as reported | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.21 |
| Basic pro forma | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.19 |
| Diluted as reported | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.19 |
| Diluted pro forma | \$ 1.53 | \$ 1.17 |

The fair value of each option grant is estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the price of the Company's stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and stock option forfeiture rates within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted is derived from the vesting period and contractual term using an allowed short-cut method and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine the fair value of option grants as of the grant date to determine compensation cost under SFAS No. 123R and SFAS No. 123 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Dividend yield | 2.68% | 1.67% | 2.00% |
| Expected life (years) | 6.4 | 7.5 | 8.2 |
| Expected volatility | 35% | 38% | 39% |
| Risk-free rate | 4.30% | 4.21% | 4.45% |
| Weighted average grant date fair value of options granted | \$ 9.18 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 9.27 |

The Company's stock compensation plan provides for granting of restricted stock awards. The restricted stock awards generally vest ratably over 5 years and are recognized as expense over that same period of time.

Additional information on stock-based compensation is provided in Note 20.

Earnings per Share *Basic earnings per share* is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. *Diluted earnings per share* is computed in a similar manner, except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potentially dilutive common shares were issued using the treasury stock method. For all periods presented, stock options and unvested restricted stock are the only potentially dilutive instruments issued by the Company.

During 2004, the Company entered into a transaction that resulted in certain financial results being reported as a discontinued operation. Accordingly, the presentations for all periods include basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations and discontinued operations. These are computed in the same manner as described above, except the numerator is income from continuing operations or income from discontinued operations (net of tax), respectively (See Note 2).

Advertising expenses Advertising costs are generally expensed as incurred.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be recognized in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 159.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 157.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. This Interpretation defines the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. For the Company, this standard became effective on January 1, 2007. The Company does not expect the impact of initial adoption of FIN 48 will be material to its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities* (SFAS No. 156). SFAS No. 156 requires all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities to be initially measured at fair value. In addition, entities are permitted to choose to either subsequently measure servicing rights at fair value and report changes in fair value in earnings, or amortize servicing rights in proportion to and over the estimated net servicing income or loss and assess the rights for impairment. Beginning with the fiscal year in which an entity adopts SFAS No. 156, it may elect to subsequently measure a class of servicing assets and liabilities at fair value. Post adoption, an entity may make this election as of the beginning of any fiscal year. An entity that elects to subsequently measure a class of servicing assets and liabilities at fair value should apply that election to all new and existing recognized servicing assets and liabilities within that class. The effect of remeasuring an existing class of servicing assets and liabilities at fair value is to be reported as a cumulative-effect adjustment

Table of Contents

to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. For the Company, this standard became effective on January 1, 2007 and the Company elected to measure mortgage servicing assets and liabilities at fair value subsequent to adoption. The Company does not expect the impact of initial adoption of SFAS No. 156 will be material to its consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications Certain amounts reported in prior years' financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. The results of the reclassifications are not considered material and have no effect on previously reported net income and earnings per share.

Revisions In 2005, the Company revised the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows to separately disclose the operating, investing and financing portions of the cash flows attributable to its discontinued operations, which in prior periods were reported on a combined basis as a single amount.

NOTE 2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

During the fourth quarter of 2004, the Bank sold its merchant bankcard portfolio to an unrelated third party for \$5.9 million in cash. The gain on sale, after selling costs and other expenses, was \$5.6 million. This gain, net of \$2.2 million in related tax expense, is reflected as *gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax*, in the statement of income for 2004. Except for standard representations and warranties, the Bank assumed no liability subsequent to completion of the sale and at December 31, 2006 and 2005, there was no remaining liability recorded related to the sale of the merchant bankcard portfolio.

The following table presents the contribution components from the Bank's merchant bankcard operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

Contribution from Merchant Bankcard

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|------|------|--------|
| Other non-interest income | \$ | \$ | \$ 827 |
| Provision for income taxes | | | (326) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ | \$ | \$ 501 |

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Impairment of Long-Lived Assets*, the financial results related to the merchant bankcard operation (exclusive of the gain on sale) have been reclassified as *income from discontinued operations, net of tax*, in the statements of income for all periods presented. Although the *gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax* and *income from discontinued operations, net of tax* are shown separately on the statements of income, they have been combined for all other presentations in this Report. Collectively, they are referred to as *income from discontinued operations, net of tax* in the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

NOTE 3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

On June 2, 2006, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Western Sierra Bancorp (Western Sierra) of Cameron Park, California, and its principal operating subsidiaries, Western Sierra Bank, Central California Bank, Lake Community Bank and Auburn Community Bank, in an acquisition accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The results of Western Sierra's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since that date. This acquisition added Western Sierra's complete network of 31 Northern California branches, including locations in the Sacramento, Auburn, Lakeport and Sonora areas, to our network of 96 California, Oregon and Washington locations. This merger was consistent with the Company's community banking expansion strategy and provides further opportunity to enter growth markets in Northern California.

The aggregate purchase price was \$353.7 million and included 12.7 million common shares valued at \$343.0 million, and 723,000 stock options valued at \$10.7 million. Western Sierra shareholders received 1.61 shares of the Company's common stock for each share of Western Sierra common stock (exchange ratio of 1.61:1). The value of the common

shares issued was determined as \$26.91 per share based on the average closing market price of the Company's common stock for the two trading days before and after the last trading day before public announcement of the merger. Outstanding Western Sierra stock options were converted (using the exchange ratio of 1.61:1) at a weighted average fair value of \$14.80 per option.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

Western Sierra

(in thousands)

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| ASSETS ACQUIRED: | |
| Cash and equivalents | \$ 36,978 |
| Investment securities | 76,229 |
| Loans, net | 1,009,860 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 10,109 |
| Core deposit intangible asset | 27,624 |
| Goodwill | 247,799 |
| Other assets | 83,519 |
| Total assets acquired | \$1,492,118 |
| LIABILITIES ASSUMED: | |
| Deposits | \$1,016,053 |
| Term debt | 59,030 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 38,746 |
| Other liabilities | 24,540 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 1,138,369 |
| Net Assets Acquired | \$ 353,749 |

Additional adjustments to the purchase price allocation may be required, specifically related to other assets, taxes and compensation adjustments. At December 31, 2006, goodwill recorded in connection with the Western Sierra acquisition was \$246.9 million. The \$944,000 change from June 2, 2006 is related primarily to the tax benefit of fully vested acquired options of \$2.0 million, partially offset by asset write-offs and the recognition of unrecorded liabilities.

The core deposit intangible asset shown in the table above represents the value ascribed to the long-term deposit relationships acquired. This intangible asset is being amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average estimated useful life of ten years. The core deposit intangible asset is not estimated to have a significant residual value. Goodwill represents the excess of the total purchase price paid for Western Sierra over the fair values of the assets acquired, net of the fair values of liabilities assumed. Goodwill has been assigned to the Community Banking segment. Goodwill is not amortized, but is evaluated for possible impairment at least annually and more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. No impairment losses were recognized in connection with core deposit intangible or goodwill assets during the period from acquisition to December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

The following tables present unaudited pro forma results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 as if the acquisition of Western Sierra had occurred on January 1, 2005. Any cost savings realized as a result of the Western Sierra merger are not reflected in the pro forma consolidated condensed statements of income. No assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate level of such cost savings. The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the results that would have been obtained had the acquisitions actually occurred on January 1, 2005:

Pro Forma Financial Information Unaudited

Year Ended December 31, 2006

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Umpqua | Western Sierra(a) | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Combined |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Net interest income | \$262,124 | \$25,834 | \$ (819)(b) | \$287,139 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,552 | 350 | | 2,902 |
| Non-interest income | 53,597 | 5,040 | | 58,637 |
| Non-interest expense | 181,949 | 18,168 | (3,730)(c) | 196,387 |
| Income before income taxes | 131,220 | 12,356 | 2,911 | 146,487 |
| Provision for income taxes | 46,773 | 4,898 | 1,164(d) | 52,835 |
| Net income | \$ 84,447 | \$ 7,458 | \$ 1,747 | \$ 93,652 |

EARNINGS PER SHARE:

| | | | | |
|---------|---------|--|--|---------|
| Basic | \$ 1.61 | | | \$ 1.63 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.59 | | | \$ 1.60 |

AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:

| | | | | |
|---------|--------|-------|----------|--------|
| Basic | 52,311 | 3,292 | 2,008(e) | 57,611 |
| Diluted | 53,050 | 3,378 | 2,061(e) | 58,489 |

(a) Western Sierra amounts represent results from January 1, 2006 to acquisition date of June 2, 2006.

(b) Consists of additional net accretion of fair value adjustments related to the Western Sierra acquisition.

(c) Consists of merger related expenses of \$4.8 million, partially offset by additional core deposit intangible amortization of \$1.0 million.

(d) Income tax effect of pro forma adjustments at 40%.

(e) Additional shares issued at an exchange ratio of 1.61:1.

Year Ended December 31, 2005

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Umpqua | Western Sierra | Pro Forma Adjustments | Pro Forma Combined |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Net interest income | \$209,282 | \$59,428 | \$5,613(a) | \$274,323 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,468 | 2,050 | | 4,518 |
| Non-interest income | 47,782 | 13,198 | | 60,980 |
| Non-interest expense | 147,056 | 42,758 | 2,854(b) | 192,668 |
| Income before income taxes | 107,540 | 27,818 | 2,759 | 138,117 |
| Provision for income taxes | 37,805 | 10,072 | 1,104(c) | 48,981 |

| | | | | |
|------------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Net income | \$ 69,735 | \$17,746 | \$1,655 | \$ 89,136 |
|------------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|

EARNINGS PER SHARE:

| | | | | |
|---------|---------|--|--|---------|
| Basic | \$ 1.57 | | | \$ 1.57 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.55 | | | \$ 1.54 |

AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:

| | | | | |
|---------|--------|-------|----------|--------|
| Basic | 44,438 | 7,707 | 4,701(d) | 56,846 |
| Diluted | 45,011 | 7,951 | 4,850(d) | 57,812 |

- (a) Consists of net accretion of fair value adjustments related to the Western Sierra acquisition.
(b) Consists of amortization of core deposit intangible asset related to the Western Sierra acquisition.
(c) Income tax effect of pro forma adjustments at 40%.
(d) Additional shares issued at an exchange ratio of 1.61:1.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

The following table summarizes activity in the Company's accrued restructuring charges related to the Western Sierra acquisition which are recorded in other liabilities:

Accrued Restructuring Charges

(in thousands)

| | 2006 |
|---|----------|
| Beginning balance | \$ |
| ADDITIONS: | |
| Severance, retention and other compensation | 6,018 |
| UTILIZATION: | |
| Cash payments | (1,547) |
| Non-cash write-downs and other adjustments | (102) |
| Ending balance | \$ 4,369 |

On July 9, 2004, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Humboldt Bancorp (Humboldt) of Roseville, California, the parent company of Humboldt Bank, in an acquisition accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The results of Humboldt's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since that date. The aggregate purchase price was \$328.1 million and included common stock valued at \$309.7 million, stock options valued at \$17.2 million and direct merger costs of \$1.0 million. The value of the 15.5 million common shares issued was determined based on the \$19.98 average closing market price of the Company's common stock for the two trading days before and after announcement of the merger agreement on March 15, 2004. Outstanding Humboldt stock options were converted (using the same 1:1 exchange ratio applied to the share conversion) into approximately 1.1 million Umpqua Holdings Corporation stock options, at a weighted average fair value of \$15.58 per option. Substantially all of the Humboldt options were vested as of the date the merger was completed and the compensation expense associated with the converted options has not been significant.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

Humboldt

(in thousands)

| | 2006 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| ASSETS ACQUIRED: | |
| Investment securities | \$ 219,430 |
| Loans, net | 1,042,038 |
| Premises & equipment, net | 28,252 |
| Goodwill | 238,205 |
| Core deposit intangible asset | 11,646 |
| Other assets | 122,268 |
| Total assets acquired | \$ 1,661,839 |

LIABILITIES ASSUMED:

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Deposits | \$1,192,059 |
| Term debt | 47,142 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 68,561 |
| Other liabilities | 27,211 |
| | |
| Total liabilities assumed | 1,334,973 |
| | |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 326,866 |

Subsequent to the acquisition, certain of these assets were adjusted as part of the allocation of the purchase price. In 2006, the change in goodwill is attributed to tax adjustments related to the Humboldt acquisition. Additional tax related adjustments may be made to the purchase price allocation. At December 31, 2006, the goodwill asset recorded in connection with the Humboldt acquisition was \$239.7 million. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, there was no remaining accrued restructuring liability recorded related to the Humboldt acquisition.

Table of Contents

The Company incurs significant expenses related to mergers that cannot be capitalized. Generally, these expenses begin to be recognized while due diligence is being conducted and continue until such time as all systems have been converted and operational functions become fully integrated. Merger-related expenses are included as a line item on the consolidated statements of income.

The following table presents the key components of merger-related expense for years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. Substantially all of the merger-related expenses incurred during 2006 were in connection with the Western Sierra acquisition and substantially all of the merger-related expenses incurred during 2005 and 2004 were in connection with the prior acquisition of Humboldt.

Merger-Related Expense

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Professional fees | \$1,082 | \$ 211 | \$ 835 |
| Compensation and relocation | 778 | | 607 |
| Communications | 854 | | 98 |
| Premises and equipment | 375 | (65) | 2,636 |
| Charitable contributions | | | 131 |
| Other | 1,684 | 116 | 1,290 |
| Total | \$4,773 | \$ 262 | \$5,597 |

No additional merger-related expenses are expected in connection with Western Sierra, Humboldt or any other previous acquisitions.

NOTE 4. CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS

The Bank is required to maintain an average reserve balance with the Federal Reserve Bank or maintain such reserve balance in the form of cash. The amount of required reserve balance at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was approximately \$37.8 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, and was met by holding cash and maintaining an average balance with the Federal Reserve Bank. As of December 31, 2006, the Bank had a concentration of \$160.0 million of federal funds sold to one large well-capitalized international financial institution.

NOTE 5. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The following table presents the amortized costs, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and approximate fair values of investment securities at December 31, 2006 and 2005:

December 31, 2006

| (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$197,510 | \$ 32 | \$ (4,408) | \$193,134 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 371,892 | 408 | (9,418) | 362,882 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 110,239 | 649 | (669) | 110,219 |
| Other debt securities | 1,040 | | (67) | 973 |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 50,237 | | (2,258) | 47,979 |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| | \$730,918 | \$1,089 | \$(16,820) | \$715,187 |
| HELD-TO-MATURITY: | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 8,015 | \$ 56 | \$ | \$ 8,071 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 372 | 1 | (2) | 371 |
| Other investment securities | 375 | | | 375 |
| | \$ 8,762 | \$ 57 | \$ (2) | \$ 8,817 |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

December 31, 2005

(in thousands)

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------|
| AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$201,550 | \$ 18 | \$ (5,030) | \$196,538 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 367,388 | 295 | (8,100) | 359,583 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 69,234 | 2 | (1,400) | 67,836 |
| Other investment securities | 50,067 | | (2,156) | 47,911 |
| | \$688,239 | \$315 | \$(16,686) | \$671,868 |
| HELD-TO-MATURITY: | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 8,302 | \$ 99 | \$ | \$ 8,401 |
| Other investment securities | 375 | | | 375 |
| | \$ 8,677 | \$ 99 | \$ | \$ 8,776 |

Investment securities available-for-sale that were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 are presented in the following tables, based on the length of time individual securities have been in an unrealized loss position. In the opinion of management, these securities are considered only temporarily impaired due to interest rate differentials:

December 31, 2006

(in thousands)

| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 2,358 | \$ 12 | \$178,689 | \$ 4,396 | \$181,047 | \$ 4,408 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 45,527 | 405 | 287,341 | 9,013 | 332,868 | 9,418 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 31,654 | 172 | 28,592 | 497 | 60,246 | 669 |
| Other debt securities | 973 | 67 | | | 973 | 67 |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | | | 50,037 | 2,258 | 50,037 | 2,258 |

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$80,512 | \$656 | \$544,659 | \$16,164 | \$625,171 | \$16,820 |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|

December 31, 2005
(in thousands)

| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 47,811 | \$1,039 | \$147,710 | \$3,991 | \$195,521 | \$ 5,030 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 267,314 | 4,543 | 82,385 | 3,557 | 349,699 | 8,100 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 65,659 | 1,381 | 541 | 19 | 66,200 | 1,400 |
| Other investment securities | | | 47,882 | 2,156 | 47,882 | 2,156 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$380,784 | \$6,963 | \$278,518 | \$9,723 | \$659,302 | \$16,686 |

The unrealized losses on investments in U.S. Treasury and agencies securities were caused by interest rate increases subsequent to the purchase of the securities. The contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than par. Because the Bank has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a market price recovery or to maturity, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

The unrealized losses on mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations were caused by interest rate increases subsequent to the purchase of the securities. It is expected that the securities will not be settled at a price less than

Table of Contents

the amortized cost of the investment. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Bank has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a market price recovery or to maturity, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

The unrealized losses on obligations of political subdivisions were caused by interest rate increases subsequent to the purchase of the securities. Management monitors published credit ratings of these securities and no adverse ratings changes have occurred since the date of purchase on obligations of political subdivisions in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2006. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Bank has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a market price recovery or to maturity, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

Other investment securities consists primarily of investments in two mutual funds comprised largely of mortgage-related securities, although the funds may also invest in U.S. government or agency securities, bank certificates of deposit insured by the FDIC or repurchase agreements. The unrealized loss on other investment securities at December 31, 2006 is attributed to changes in interest rates and not credit quality. Since the Bank has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a market price recovery, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

The following table presents the maturities of investment securities at December 31, 2006:

(in thousands)

| | Available-for-Sale | | Held-To-Maturity | |
|---|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| AMOUNTS MATURING IN: | | | | |
| Three months or less | \$ 3,760 | \$ 3,739 | \$ 705 | \$ 706 |
| Over three months through twelve months | 36,878 | 36,668 | 2,557 | 2,563 |
| After one year through five years | 468,263 | 457,726 | 4,237 | 4,286 |
| After five years through ten years | 161,211 | 158,482 | 888 | 887 |
| After ten years | 10,569 | 10,593 | | |
| Other investment securities | 50,237 | 47,979 | 375 | 375 |
| | \$730,918 | \$715,187 | \$8,762 | \$8,817 |

The amortized cost and fair value of collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities are presented by expected average life, rather than contractual maturity, in the preceding table. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to prepay underlying loans without prepayment penalties.

The following table presents the gross realized gains and gross realized losses on the sale of securities available-for-sale for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|----------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| | Gains | Losses | Gains | Losses | Gains | Losses |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ | \$ | \$ 5 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|----|-------|-----|----|---|
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 16 | 37 | 1,654 | 220 | 22 | 3 |
|--|----|----|-------|-----|----|---|

Other debt securities

Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------|
| | \$ 16 | \$ 37 | \$1,659 | \$220 | \$ 22 | \$ 3 |
|--|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------|

64

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

The following table presents, as of December 31, 2006, investment securities which were pledged to secure borrowings and public deposits as permitted or required by law:

(in thousands)

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| SECURITIES PLEDGED: | | |
| To Federal Home Loan Bank to secure borrowings | \$103,002 | \$ 99,275 |
| To state and local governments to secure public deposits | 308,782 | 303,577 |
| To U.S. Treasury and Federal Reserve to secure customer tax payments | 6,082 | 5,933 |
| Other securities pledged | 204,269 | 199,792 |
| Total pledged securities | \$622,135 | \$608,577 |

The carrying value of investment securities pledged as of December 31, 2005 was \$468.6 million.

NOTE 6. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

The following table presents the major types of loans recorded in the balance sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005:

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Real estate construction and land development | \$1,189,090 | \$ 638,555 |
| Real estate commercial and agricultural | 2,649,468 | 2,019,623 |
| Real estate single and multi-family residential | 523,715 | 427,877 |
| Commercial, industrial and agricultural | 924,917 | 753,131 |
| Leases | 22,870 | 17,385 |
| Installment and other | 63,262 | 76,128 |
| | 5,373,322 | 3,932,699 |
| Deferred loan fees, net | (11,460) | (11,068) |
| Total loans | \$5,361,862 | \$3,921,631 |

The following table summarizes activity related to the allowance for loan and lease losses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

(In thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 43,885 | \$ 44,229 | \$ 25,352 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,552 | 2,468 | 7,321 |
| Charge-offs | (4,205) | (7,752) | (6,429) |
| Recoveries | 3,631 | 4,940 | 1,944 |
| Reclassification(1) | | | (1,216) |

| | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Acquisitions | 14,227 | | 17,257 |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 60,090 | \$ 43,885 | \$ 44,229 |

(1) Reflects amount of allowance related to unfunded commitments, which was reclassified during the third quarter of 2004.

At December 31, 2006, the recorded investment in loans classified as impaired in accordance with SFAS No. 114, *Accounting for Impaired Loans*, totaled \$16.7 million, with a corresponding valuation allowance (included in the allowance for loan and lease losses) of \$223,000. At December 31, 2005, the total recorded investment in impaired loans was \$14.7 million, with a corresponding valuation allowance (included in the allowance for loan and lease losses) of \$1.5 million. The average recorded investment in impaired loans was approximately \$16.4 million, \$20.4 million and \$14.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, interest income of \$1.2 million, \$765,000, and \$784,000, respectively, was recognized in connection with impaired loans.

Table of Contents

Non-accrual loans totaled \$8.6 million at December 31, 2006 and \$6.0 million at December 31, 2005. Foregone interest income resulting from loans being placed on non-accrual status totaled approximately \$448,000, \$448,000, and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006, loans totaling \$2.3 billion were pledged to secure borrowings.

NOTE 7. PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

The following table presents the major components of premises and equipment at December 31, 2006 and 2005:

(In thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-------------------|------------------|
| Land | \$ 12,371 | \$ 11,880 |
| Buildings and improvements | 80,031 | 69,276 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 67,339 | 56,484 |
| Construction in progress | 3,650 | 3,079 |
| Total premises and equipment | 163,391 | 140,719 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (61,561) | (51,854) |
| Premises and equipment, net | \$ 101,830 | \$ 88,865 |

Depreciation expense totaled \$9.5 million, \$8.5 million and \$6.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Umpqua's subsidiaries have entered into a number of non-cancelable lease agreements with respect to premises and equipment. See Note 14 for more information regarding rental expense, net of rent income, and minimum annual rental commitments under non-cancelable lease agreements.

NOTE 8. MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

The portfolio of residential mortgage loans serviced for others at December 31, 2006 and 2005 totaled \$955 million and \$1.0 billion, respectively.

The following table summarizes the changes in the MSR asset for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 10,890 | \$ 11,154 | \$ 10,608 |
| Additions for new mortgage servicing rights capitalized | 1,487 | 3,318 | 2,643 |
| Amortization of servicing rights | (1,198) | (2,000) | (3,212) |
| Impairment (charge)/recovery | (1,227) | (1,582) | 1,115 |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 9,952 | \$ 10,890 | \$ 11,154 |
| Balance of loans serviced for others | \$955,444 | \$1,016,092 | \$1,064,000 |
| MSR as a percentage of serviced loans | 1.04% | 1.07% | 1.05% |

The following table summarizes the changes in the valuation allowance for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$2,374 | \$ 792 | \$ 1,907 |
| Impairment charge/(recovery) | 1,227 | 1,582 | (1,115) |
| Balance, end of year | \$3,601 | \$2,374 | \$ 792 |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTE 9. GOODWILL AND CORE DEPOSIT INTANGIBLES

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's goodwill and core deposit intangible asset for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. Goodwill is reflected by operating segment; all core deposit intangibles are related to the Community Banking segment.

(in thousands)

| | Goodwill | | | Core Deposit Intangible | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | Community Banking | Retail Brokerage | Total | Core Deposit Intangible | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | \$392,674 | \$3,697 | \$396,371 | \$14,411 | \$(2,322) | \$ 12,089 |
| Additions | 2,473 | | 2,473 | | | |
| Amortization | | | | | (2,430) | (2,430) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 395,147 | 3,697 | 398,844 | 14,411 | (4,752) | 9,659 |
| Additions | 247,094 | | 247,094 | 27,624 | | 27,624 |
| Amortization | | | | | (3,728) | (3,728) |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | \$642,241 | \$3,697 | \$645,938 | \$42,035 | \$(8,480) | \$ 33,555 |

The goodwill additions were related primarily to the Western Sierra acquisition. Core deposit intangible assets were also recorded in connection with certain acquisitions. During 2006, a core deposit intangible asset in the amount of \$27.6 million was recorded in connection with the Western Sierra acquisition. Additional information on the purchase price allocation is provided in Note 3.

The table below presents the forecasted amortization expense for 2007 through 2011 for core deposit intangible assets acquired in all mergers:

(in thousands)

| Year | Expected Amortization |
|------|--------------------------|
| 2007 | \$4,439 |
| 2008 | \$4,164 |
| 2009 | \$3,946 |
| 2010 | \$3,773 |
| 2011 | \$3,635 |

NOTE 10. OTHER ASSETS

Other assets consisted of the following at December 31, 2006 and 2005:

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|------|------|
|--|------|------|

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Deferred tax assets, net | \$ 23,564 | \$ 13,377 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 32,435 | 20,974 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance policies | 67,738 | 41,722 |
| Investment in unconsolidated Trusts | 7,806 | 6,764 |
| Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary Homestead | 5,157 | 5,765 |
| Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary WNC Fund | 4,168 | |
| Other | 15,212 | 19,809 |
| Total | \$156,080 | \$108,411 |

The Company invests in Homestead Capital and WNC Fund, limited partnerships that operate qualified affordable housing projects to receive tax benefits in the form of tax deductions from operating losses and tax credits. The Company accounts for the investments under the equity method. The Company's remaining capital commitments to these partnerships at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$5.0 million and \$6.0 million, respectively. Such amounts are included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. See Note 18 for information on the Company's investment in Trusts.

Table of Contents**NOTE 11. INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS**

The following table presents the major types of interest-bearing deposits at December 31, 2006 and 2005:

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) | \$ 725,127 | \$ 576,037 |
| Savings and money market | 2,133,497 | 1,597,311 |
| Time, \$100,000 and over | 898,617 | 592,171 |
| Other time less than \$100,000 | 860,946 | 533,033 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | \$4,618,187 | \$3,298,552 |

The following table presents interest expense for each deposit type for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| NOW | \$ 11,085 | \$ 5,881 | \$ 956 |
| Savings and money market | 51,169 | 24,462 | 13,113 |
| Time, \$100,000 and over | 30,972 | 16,139 | 9,559 |
| Other time less than \$100,000 | 26,655 | 13,096 | 7,371 |
| Total interest on deposits | \$119,881 | \$59,578 | \$30,999 |

The following table presents time deposits by their maturity or next repricing date as of December 31, 2006:

(in thousands)

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Three months or less | \$ 661,287 |
| Over three months through twelve months | 837,112 |
| Over one year through three years | 214,233 |
| Over three years | 46,931 |
| Total time deposits | \$1,759,563 |

NOTE 12. SECURITIES SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE AND FEDERAL FUNDS PURCHASED

The following table presents information regarding securities sold under agreements to repurchase at December 31, 2006 and 2005:

(in thousands)

| Weighted | Carrying | Market |
|----------|----------|--------|
|----------|----------|--------|

| | Repurchase Amount | Average Interest Rate | Value of Underlying Assets | Value of Underlying Assets |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| December 31, 2006 | \$47,985 | 3.13% | \$49,027 | \$49,027 |
| December 31, 2005 | \$58,865 | 2.20% | \$60,100 | \$60,100 |

The securities underlying agreements to repurchase entered into by the Bank are for the same securities originally sold, with a one-day maturity. In all cases, the Bank maintains control over the securities. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase averaged approximately \$63.5 million, \$59.6 million and \$45.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The maximum amount outstanding at any month end for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$65.5 million. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the maximum amount outstanding at any month end was \$65.8 million and \$60.3 million, respectively. Investment securities are pledged as collateral in an amount equal to or greater than the repurchase agreements.

At December 31, 2006, there was no outstanding balance of federal funds purchased. This compared to an outstanding balance of federal funds purchased at December 31, 2005 of \$55.0 million at a rate of 4.325%. The Bank had available lines of credit with the FHLB totaling \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2006. The Bank had uncommitted federal funds line of credit agreements with additional financial institutions totaling \$290.0 million and \$98.0 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005,

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
 respectively. Availability of the lines is subject to federal funds balances available for loan and continued borrower eligibility. These lines are intended to support short-term liquidity needs, and the agreements restrict consecutive day usage.

NOTE 13. INCOME TAXES

The following table presents the components of income tax expense attributable to continuing operations included in the consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31:

(in thousands)

| | Current | Deferred | Total |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006: | | | |
| Federal | \$45,949 | \$(4,958) | \$40,991 |
| State | 6,967 | (1,185) | 5,782 |
| | \$52,916 | \$(6,143) | \$46,773 |
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005: | | | |
| Federal | \$26,066 | \$ 6,220 | \$32,286 |
| State | 4,164 | 1,355 | 5,519 |
| | \$30,230 | \$ 7,575 | \$37,805 |
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004: | | | |
| Federal | \$13,429 | \$ 5,944 | \$19,373 |
| State | 2,931 | 966 | 3,897 |
| | \$16,360 | \$ 6,910 | \$23,270 |

The following table presents a reconciliation of income taxes computed at the Federal statutory rate to the actual effective rate attributable to continuing operations for the years ended December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Statutory Federal income tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| Tax-exempt income | -1.4% | -1.1% | -1.5% |
| State tax, net of Federal income tax benefit | 2.9% | 2.6% | 3.8% |
| Tax credits | -1.2% | -1.2% | -1.5% |
| Other | 0.3% | -0.1% | -0.8% |
| Effective income tax rate | 35.6% | 35.2% | 35.0% |

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the effects of temporary differences that give rise to the components of the net deferred tax asset (recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets) as of December 31:

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| DEFERRED TAX ASSETS: | | |
| Loans receivable, due to allowance for loan losses | \$26,402 | \$17,484 |
| Net operating loss carryforward | | 13 |
| Deferred compensation | 9,500 | 5,915 |
| Loss on residual interests | | 4,553 |
| Accrued liabilities | 6,805 | 1,395 |
| Leased assets | 3,416 | 2,398 |
| Unrealized loss on investment securities | 6,293 | 6,775 |
| Discount on trust preferred securities | 4,174 | 3,535 |
| Purchased tax credits | 5,107 | |
| Other | 6,377 | 2,093 |
| Total gross deferred tax assets | 68,074 | 44,161 |
| DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES: | | |
| Investment securities, due to accretion of discount | 378 | 351 |
| Premises and equipment, primarily due to depreciation | 12,736 | 11,704 |
| Investment securities, due to FHLB stock dividends | 2,553 | 2,248 |
| Deferred loan fees | 4,795 | 7,220 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 2,758 | 2,859 |
| Intangibles | 16,606 | 4,060 |
| Other | 4,684 | 2,342 |
| Total gross deferred tax liabilities | 44,510 | 30,784 |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$23,564 | \$13,377 |

The Company has determined that it is not required to establish a valuation allowance for the deferred tax assets as management believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets of \$68.1 million and \$44.2 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, will be realized principally through carry-back to taxable income in prior years and future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences.

Management further believes that future taxable income will be sufficient to realize the benefits of temporary deductible differences that cannot be realized through carry-back to prior years or through the reversal of future temporary taxable differences.

The purchased tax credits totaling \$5.1 million, comprised primarily of State of Oregon Business Energy Tax Credits (BETC), will be utilized to offset future state income taxes. The Company made its first BETC purchase in 2004, and has made subsequent BETC purchases in each year thereafter. Most of the tax credits benefit a five-year period, with an eight-year carry-forward allowed. Management believes, based upon the Company's historical performance, that the deferred tax assets will be realized in the normal course of operations, and, accordingly, management has not reduced deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance.

NOTE 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Lease Commitments The Company leases 110 sites under non-cancelable operating leases. The leases contain various provisions for increases in rental rates, based either on changes in the published Consumer Price Index or a predetermined escalation schedule. Substantially all of the leases provide the Company with the option to extend the lease term one or more times upon expiration.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2006, the future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases:

(in thousands)

| Year | Amount |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2007 | \$ 9,969 |
| 2008 | 9,321 |
| 2009 | 8,030 |
| 2010 | 7,392 |
| 2011 | 6,933 |
| Thereafter | 34,451 |
| Total | \$76,096 |

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$9.4 million, \$5.9 million and \$4.2 million, respectively. Rent expense was offset by rent income of \$392,000, \$270,000 and \$394,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk The Company's financial statements do not reflect various commitments and contingent liabilities that arise in the normal course of the Bank's business and involve elements of credit, liquidity and interest rate risk. The following table presents a summary of the Bank's commitments and contingent liabilities as of December 31, 2006:

(in thousands)

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$1,487,415 |
| Commitments to extend overdrafts | \$ 164,469 |
| Commitments to originate loans held-for-sale | \$ 33,583 |
| Forward sales commitments | \$ 22,750 |
| Standby letters of credit | \$ 55,021 |

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet credit risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. Those instruments involve elements of credit and interest-rate risk similar to the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of the Bank's involvement in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, and financial guarantees written, is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash

requirements. While most standby letters of credit are not utilized, a significant portion of such utilization is on an immediate payment basis. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral varies but may include cash, accounts receivable, inventory, premises and equipment and income-producing commercial properties. The Bank enters into forward delivery contracts to sell residential mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities to broker/ dealers at specific prices and dates in order to hedge the interest rate risk in its portfolio of mortgage loans held for sale and its residential mortgage loan commitments. Credit risk associated with forward contracts is limited to the replacement cost of those forward contracts in a gain position. There were no counterparty default losses on forward contracts in 2006, 2005 or 2004. Market risk with respect to forward contracts arises principally from changes in the value of contractual positions due to changes in interest rates. The Bank limits its exposure to market risk by monitoring differences between commitments to customers and forward contracts with broker/ dealers. In the event the Company has forward delivery contract commitments in

Table of Contents

excess of available mortgage loans, the Company completes the transaction by either paying or receiving a fee to or from the broker/ dealer equal to the increase or decrease in the market value of the forward contract. At December 31, 2006, the Bank had commitments to originate mortgage loans held for sale totaling \$33.6 million with a net fair value liability of approximately \$49,000. As of that date, it also had forward sales commitments of \$22.8 million with a net fair value asset of \$70,000. The Bank recorded a loss of \$58,000 and a gain of \$166,000 related to its commitments to originate mortgage loans and related forward sales commitments in 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank holds cash, marketable securities, or real estate as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. The Bank has not been required to perform on any financial guarantees and did not incur any losses in connection with standby letters of credit during 2006, 2005 or 2004. At December 31, 2006, approximately \$21.6 million of standby letters of credit expire within one year, and \$33.4 million expire thereafter. Upon issuance, the Company recognizes a liability equivalent to the amount of fees received from the customer for these standby letter of credit commitments. Fees are recognized ratably over the term of the standby letter of credit. The fair value of guarantees associated with standby letters of credit was \$304,000 as of December 31, 2006.

The Bank established a loss reserve for unfunded commitments, including loan commitments and letters of credit, during 2004 by reclassifying \$1.2 million of the allowance for loan and lease losses. At December 31, 2006, the reserve for unfunded commitments, which is included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet, was approximately \$1.3 million. The adequacy of the reserve for unfunded commitments is reviewed on a quarterly basis, based upon changes in the amounts of commitments, loss experience and economic conditions.

Mortgage loans sold to investors may be sold with servicing rights retained, with only the standard legal representations and warranties regarding recourse to the Bank. Management believes that any liabilities that may result from such recourse provisions are not significant.

Legal Proceedings In the ordinary course of business, various claims and lawsuits are brought by and against the Company, the Bank and Strand. In the opinion of management, there is no pending or threatened proceeding in which an adverse decision could result in a material adverse change in the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Concentrations of Credit Risk The Company grants real estate mortgage, real estate construction, commercial, agricultural and installment loans and leases to customers throughout Oregon, Washington and California. In management's judgment, a concentration exists in real estate-related loans, which represented approximately 81% and 78% of the Company's loan and lease portfolio at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Commercial real estate concentrations are managed to assure wide geographic and business diversity. Although management believes such concentrations to have no more than the normal risk of collectibility, a substantial decline in the economy in general, or a decline in real estate values in the Company's primary market areas in particular, could have an adverse impact on the repayment of these loans. Personal and business income represent the primary source of repayment for a majority of these loans.

The Bank recognizes the credit risks inherent in dealing with other depository institutions. Accordingly, to prevent excessive exposure to any single correspondent, the Bank has established general standards for selecting correspondents as well as internal limits for allowable exposure to any single correspondent. In addition, the Bank has an investment policy that sets forth limitations that apply to all investments with respect to credit rating and concentrations per issuer.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTE 15. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following is a computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

(in thousands, except per share)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE: | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 52,311 | 44,438 | 35,804 |
| Net income | \$84,447 | \$69,735 | \$47,166 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$84,447 | \$69,735 | \$43,290 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.32 |
| Basic earnings per share continuing operations | \$ 1.61 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.21 |
| DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE: | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 52,311 | 44,438 | 35,804 |
| Net effect of the assumed exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted shares, based on the treasury stock method | 739 | 573 | 541 |
| Total weighted average shares and common stock equivalents outstanding | 53,050 | 45,011 | 36,345 |
| Net income | \$84,447 | \$69,735 | \$47,166 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$84,447 | \$69,735 | \$43,290 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.30 |
| Diluted earnings per share continuing operations | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.19 |

NOTE 16. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Employee Savings Plan Substantially all of the Bank's and Strand's employees are eligible to participate in the Umpqua Bank 401(k) and Profit Sharing Plan (the Umpqua 401(k) Plan), a defined contribution and profit sharing plan sponsored by the Company. Employees may elect to have a portion of their salary contributed to the plan in conformity with Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. At the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors, the Company may elect to make matching and/or profit sharing contributions to the Umpqua 401(k) Plan based on profits of the Bank. The Company's contributions under the plan charged to expense amounted to \$2.6 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and are recorded in other liabilities.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan The Company has established the Umpqua Holdings Corporation Supplemental Retirement Plan (the SERP), a nonqualified deferred compensation plan to help supplement the retirement income of certain highly compensated executives selected by resolution of the Company's Board of Directors. The Company may make discretionary contributions to the SERP. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company's matching contribution charged to expense for these supplemental plans totaled \$95,000, \$66,000 and \$39,000, respectively. The plan balances at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$276,000 and \$179,000, respectively, and are recorded in other liabilities.

Salary Continuation Plans The Bank sponsors various salary continuation plans for the CEO and certain retired employees. These plans are unfunded, and provide for the payment of a specified amount on a monthly basis for a specified period (generally 10 to 20 years) after retirement. In the event of a participant employee's death prior to or

during retirement, the Bank is obligated to pay to the designated beneficiary the benefits set forth under the plan. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, liabilities recorded for the estimated present value of future salary continuation plan benefits totaled \$10.8 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, and are recorded in other liabilities. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, expense recorded for the salary continuation plan benefits totaled \$1.3 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Deferred Compensation Plans and Rabbi Trusts The Bank from time to time adopts deferred compensation plans that provide certain key executives with the option to defer a portion of their compensation. In connection with prior acquisitions, the Bank assumed liability for certain deferred compensation plans for key employees, retired employees and directors. Subsequent to the effective date of the acquisitions, no additional contributions were made to these plans. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, liabilities recorded in connection with deferred compensation plan benefits totaled \$6.6 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, and are recorded in other liabilities.

The Bank has established and sponsors, for some deferred compensation plans assumed in connection with the Humboldt and Centennial mergers, irrevocable trusts commonly referred to as Rabbi Trusts. The trust assets (generally cash and trading assets) are consolidated in the Company's balance sheets and the associated liability (which equals the related asset

Table of Contents

balances) is included in other liabilities. The asset and liability balances related to these trusts as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$2.9 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

The Bank has purchased, or acquired through mergers, life insurance policies in connection with the implementation of certain executive supplemental income, salary continuation and deferred compensation retirement plans. These policies provide protection against the adverse financial effects that could result from the death of a key employee and provide tax-exempt income to offset expenses associated with the plans. It is the Bank's intent to hold these policies as a long-term investment. However, there will be an income tax impact if the Bank chooses to surrender certain policies. Although the lives of individual current or former management-level employees are insured, the Bank is the owner and beneficiary. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the cash surrender value of these policies was \$67.7 million and \$41.7 million, respectively. The Bank is exposed to credit risk to the extent an insurance company is unable to fulfill its financial obligations under a policy. In order to mitigate this risk, the Bank uses a variety of insurance companies and regularly monitors their financial condition.

In connection with the Humboldt acquisition, the Bank became the sponsor of the Humboldt Bancorp Retirement Savings Plan (Humboldt Plan) and California Independent Bancorp Employee Stock Ownership Plan (CIB Plan). Effective January 1, 2005, the Humboldt Plan was merged into the Bank's 401(k) plan. The Bank recognized \$225,000 of expense related to employer matching contributions for the Humboldt Plan during 2004. Prior to completion of the Humboldt acquisition, Humboldt initiated the process of terminating the CIB Plan and the plan was terminated by December 31, 2005.

In connection with the Western Sierra acquisition, the Bank became the sponsor of the Western Sierra Bancorp and Subsidiaries 401KSOP (401KSOP) and the Western Sierra Bancorp Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP Plan). On December 28, 2006, the 401KSOP was merged into the Bank's 401(k) plan. The Bank recognized \$159,000 of expense related to employer matching contributions for the 401KSOP plan during 2006. On October 5, 2006, Umpqua received a favorable determination letter from the IRS approving the termination of the ESOP Plan.

NOTE 17. TERM DEBT

The Bank had outstanding secured advances from the FHLB and other creditors at December 31, 2006 and 2005 of \$9.5 million and \$3.2 million, respectively.

Future maturities of borrowed funds (excluding purchase accounting adjustments) at December 31, 2006 are as follows:

(in thousands)

| Year | Amount |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 2007 | \$4,500 |
| 2008 | 3,000 |
| 2009 | |
| 2010 | |
| 2011 | |
| Thereafter | 1,879 |
| Total borrowed funds | \$9,379 |

The maximum amount outstanding from the FHLB under term advances at month end during 2006 and 2005 was \$227.4 million and \$82.6 million, respectively. The average balance outstanding on FHLB term advances during 2006 and 2005 was \$58.7 million and \$30.4 million, respectively. The average interest rate on the borrowings was 5.04% in 2006 and 2.43% in 2005. The FHLB requires the Bank to maintain a

required level of investment in FHLB and sufficient collateral to qualify for notes. The Bank has pledged as collateral for these notes all FHLB stock, all funds on deposit with the FHLB, and its investments and commercial real estate portfolios, accounts, general intangibles, equipment and other property in which a security interest can be granted by the Bank to the FHLB.

NOTE 18. JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had 14 wholly-owned trusts (Trusts) that were formed to issue trust preferred securities and related common securities of the Trusts and are not consolidated. Five Trusts, representing aggregate total obligations of approximately \$58.9 million (fair value of approximately \$68.6 million as of the merger date), were assumed in connection with the Humboldt merger. Four Trusts, representing aggregate total obligations of approximately \$37.1 million

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries
(fair value of approximately \$38.7 million as of the merger date), were assumed in connection with the Western Sierra merger. Following is information about the Trusts as of December 31, 2006:

Junior Subordinated Debentures

(in thousands)

| Trust Name | Issue Date | Issued Amount | Carrying Value(1) | Rate(2) | Effective Rate(3) | Maturity Date | Redemption Date |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Umpqua Holdings Statutory Trust I | September 2002 | \$ 25,774 | \$ 25,774 | Floating(4) | 8.87% | September 2032 | September 2007 |
| Umpqua Statutory Trust II | October 2002 | 20,619 | 20,619 | Floating(5) | 8.73% | October 2032 | October 2007 |
| Umpqua Statutory Trust III | October 2002 | 30,928 | 30,928 | Floating(6) | 8.82% | November 2032 | November 2007 |
| Umpqua Statutory Trust IV | December 2003 | 10,310 | 10,310 | Floating(7) | 8.22% | January 2034 | January 2009 |
| Umpqua Statutory Trust V | December 2003 | 10,310 | 10,310 | Floating(7) | 8.21% | March 2034 | March 2009 |
| HB Capital Trust I | March 2000 | 5,310 | 6,608 | 10.875% | 7.89% | March 2030 | March 2010 |
| Humboldt Bancorp Statutory Trust I | February 2001 | 5,155 | 6,091 | 10.200% | 7.99% | February 2031 | February 2011 |
| Humboldt Bancorp Statutory Trust II | December 2001 | 10,310 | 11,646 | Floating(8) | 7.47% | December 2031 | December 2006 |
| Humboldt Bancorp Statutory Trust III | September 2003 | 27,836 | 31,551 | 6.75%(9) | 5.01% | September 2033 | September 2008 |
| CIB Capital Trust | November 2002 | 10,310 | 11,438 | Floating(6) | 7.57% | November 2032 | November 2007 |
| Western Sierra Statutory Trust I | July 2001 | 6,186 | 6,450 | Floating(10) | 6.78% | July 2031 | July 2006 |
| Western Sierra Statutory Trust II | December 2001 | 10,310 | 10,749 | Floating(8) | 6.78% | December 2031 | December 2006 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------|----------------|----------------|
| Western Sierra Statutory Trust III | September 2003 | 10,310 | 10,607 | Floating(11) | 6.80% | September 2033 | September 2008 |
| Western Sierra Statutory Trust IV | September 2003 | 10,310 | 10,607 | Floating(11) | 6.80% | September 2033 | September 2008 |
| Total | | \$193,978 | \$203,688 | | | | |

- (1) Includes purchase accounting adjustments, net of accumulated amortization, for junior subordinated debentures assumed in connection with the Humboldt and Western Sierra mergers.
- (2) Contractual interest rate of junior subordinated debentures.
- (3) Effective interest rate as of December 2006, including impact of purchase accounting amortization.
- (4) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.50%, adjusted quarterly.
- (5) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.35%, adjusted quarterly.
- (6) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.45%, adjusted quarterly.
- (7) Rate based on LIBOR plus 2.85%, adjusted quarterly.
- (8) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.60%, adjusted quarterly.
- (9) Rate fixed for 5 years from issuance, then adjusted quarterly thereafter based on LIBOR plus 2.95%.
- (10) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.58%, adjusted quarterly.
- (11) Rate based on LIBOR plus 2.90%, adjusted quarterly.

The \$203.7 million of junior subordinated debentures issued to the Trusts as of December 31, 2006 (\$165.7 million as of December 31, 2005) are reflected as junior subordinated debentures in the consolidated balance sheets. The common stock issued by the Trusts is recorded in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets, and totaled \$5.8 million at December 31, 2006 as compared to \$4.7 million at December 31, 2005.

All of the debentures issued to the Trusts, less the common stock of the Trusts, qualified as Tier 1 capital as of December 31, 2006, under guidance issued by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve Board). Effective April 11, 2005, the Federal Reserve Board adopted a rule that permits the inclusion of trust preferred securities in Tier 1

Table of Contents

capital, but with stricter quantitative limits. Under the Federal Reserve Board rule, after a five-year transition period ending March 31, 2009, the aggregate amount of trust preferred securities and certain other restricted core capital elements is limited to 25% of Tier 1 capital elements, net of goodwill. The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit could be included in Tier 2 capital, subject to restrictions. The Company includes all currently issued trust preferred securities in Tier 1 capital. There can be no assurance that the Federal Reserve Board will not further limit the amount of trust preferred securities permitted to be included in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes.

NOTE 19. REGULATORY MATTERS

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's assets, liabilities, and certain off balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about risk components, asset risk weighting, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets (as defined in the regulations), and of Tier I capital to average assets (as defined in the regulations). Management believes, as of December 31, 2006, that the Company meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

The Company's capital amounts and ratios as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 are presented in the following table:

(in thousands)

| | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy purposes | | To Be Well Capitalized | |
|---|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|--------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006: | | | | | | |
| Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$733,239 | 11.63% | \$504,378 | 8.00% | \$630,472 | 10.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$715,593 | 11.37% | \$503,496 | 8.00% | \$629,369 | 10.00% |
| Tier I Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$671,836 | 10.66% | \$252,096 | 4.00% | \$378,144 | 6.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$654,190 | 10.39% | \$251,854 | 4.00% | \$377,781 | 6.00% |
| Tier I Capital (to Average Assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$671,836 | 10.28% | \$261,415 | 4.00% | \$326,769 | 5.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$654,190 | 10.02% | \$261,154 | 4.00% | \$326,442 | 5.00% |

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2005:

Total Capital
(to Risk Weighted Assets)

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|
| Consolidated | \$533,890 | 11.58% | \$368,836 | 8.00% | \$461,045 | 10.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$515,040 | 11.23% | \$366,903 | 8.00% | \$458,629 | 10.00% |

Tier I Capital
(to Risk Weighted Assets)

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Consolidated | \$488,404 | 10.59% | \$184,477 | 4.00% | \$276,716 | 6.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$469,554 | 10.24% | \$183,420 | 4.00% | \$275,129 | 6.00% |

Tier I Capital
(to Average Assets)

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Consolidated | \$488,404 | 10.09% | \$193,619 | 4.00% | \$242,024 | 5.00% |
| Umpqua Bank | \$469,554 | 9.78% | \$192,047 | 4.00% | \$240,058 | 5.00% |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

The Bank is a state chartered bank with deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and is subject to the supervision and regulation of the Director of the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services, administered through the Division of Finance and Corporate Securities, and to the supervision and regulation of the California Department of Financial Institutions, the Washington Department of Financial Institutions and the FDIC. As of December 31, 2006, the most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the Bank as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. The Company is not subject to the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's regulatory capital category.

NOTE 20. COMMON STOCK**Stock Plans**

The Company's 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (2003 Plan) provides for grants of up to 2 million shares. The plan further provides that no grants may be issued if existing options and subsequent grants under the 2003 Plan exceed 10% of the Company's outstanding shares on a diluted basis. Generally, options vest ratably over a period of five years. Under the terms of the 2003 Plan, the exercise price of each option equals the market price of the Company's stock on the date of the grant, and the maximum term is ten years.

The Company has options outstanding under two prior plans adopted in 1995 and 2000, respectively. With the adoption of the 2003 Plan, no additional grants can be issued under the previous plans. The Company also assumed various plans in connection with mergers and acquisitions but does not make grants under those plans. During 2004, in connection with the Humboldt merger, a total of 1.1 million options were exchanged for a like amount of Humboldt stock options granted under seven plans. During 2006, in connection with the Western Sierra merger, a total of 723,000 options were exchanged for Western Sierra stock options granted at an exchange ratio of 1.61 Umpqua stock options for each Western Sierra stock option outstanding. Substantially all of the Humboldt options and all of the Western Sierra options were vested as of the date the mergers were completed.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

(shares in thousands)

| | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Options Outstanding | Weighted-Avg Exercise Price | Options Outstanding | Weighted-Avg Exercise Price | Options Outstanding | Weighted-Avg Exercise Price |
| Balance, beginning of year | 1,846 | \$13.75 | 1,877 | \$ 9.98 | 1,442 | \$11.31 |
| Granted | 25 | 28.43 | 508 | 23.60 | 30 | 22.15 |
| Acquisitions | 723 | 14.32 | | | 1,125 | 8.53 |
| Exercised | (769) | 12.18 | (409) | 6.73 | (678) | 10.75 |
| Forfeited/expired | (18) | 19.90 | (130) | 19.88 | (42) | 13.35 |
| Balance, end of year | 1,807 | \$14.78 | 1,846 | \$13.75 | 1,877 | \$ 9.98 |
| Options exercisable at end of year | 1,304 | \$11.88 | 1,164 | \$ 9.42 | 1,511 | \$ 8.34 |

The following table summarizes information about outstanding stock options issued under all plans as of December 31, 2006:

(shares in thousands)

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| | Options Outstanding | Weighted Avg. Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted Avg. Exercise Price | Options Exercisable | Weighted Avg. Exercise Price |
| \$3.66 to \$7.76 | 369 | 5.3 | \$ 5.63 | 368 | \$ 5.63 |
| \$7.83 to \$12.00 | 425 | 3.0 | 10.03 | 425 | 10.03 |
| \$12.36 to \$18.63 | 365 | 5.7 | 15.48 | 267 | 14.69 |
| \$19.01 to \$23.49 | 517 | 7.8 | 22.03 | 214 | 21.01 |
| \$24.25 to \$28.73 | 131 | 8.3 | 25.59 | 30 | 24.88 |
| | 1,807 | 5.8 | \$14.78 | 1,304 | \$11.88 |

Table of Contents

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable as of December 31, 2006, was \$26.5 million and \$22.9 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$11.5 million, \$7.2 million and \$8.1 million, in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, the amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$9.4 million, \$2.8 million and \$7.3 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, there was \$3.0 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.2 years.

The Company grants restricted stock periodically as a part of the 2003 Plan for the benefit of employees. Restricted shares issued currently vest on an annual basis over five years for all grants issued. Recipients of restricted stock do not pay any cash consideration to the Company for the shares and receive all dividends with respect to such shares, whether or not the shares have vested. Restrictions are based on continuous service.

The following table summarizes information about non-vested restricted shares outstanding at December 31:

(shares in thousands)

| | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Restricted Shares Outstanding | Average Grant Date Fair Value | Restricted Shares Outstanding | Average Grant Date Fair Value | Restricted Shares Outstanding | Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Balance, beginning of year | 47 | \$ 21.28 | 66 | \$ 20.63 | 54 | \$ 19.01 |
| Granted | 93 | 27.99 | 8 | 25.20 | 12 | 25.16 |
| Vested | (14) | 20.76 | (15) | 20.45 | (11) | 19.01 |
| Acquired | | | | | 13 | 22.13 |
| Forfeited/expired | (4) | 23.35 | (12) | 21.36 | (2) | 21.76 |
| Balance, end of year | 122 | \$ 26.36 | 47 | \$ 21.28 | 66 | \$ 20.63 |

The compensation cost related to restricted stock that has been charged against income (included in salaries and employee benefits) was \$555,000, \$241,000 and \$256,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement related to restricted stock was \$222,000, \$96,000 and \$102,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested was \$383,000, \$363,000 and \$243,000, for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, there was \$2.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.7 years.

For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, the Company received income tax benefits of \$4.0 million, \$2.3 million, and \$3.0 million, respectively, related to the exercise of non-qualified employee stock options, disqualifying dispositions in the exercise of incentive stock options and the vesting of restricted shares. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company presented all tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the Statement of Cash Flows. SFAS No. 123R requires the cash flows from the tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows. The amount

of excess tax benefit classified as a financing cash flow in the current period was \$1.2 million.

Share Repurchase Plan

The Company's share repurchase plan, which was approved by the Board and announced in August 2003, originally authorized the repurchase of up to 1.0 million shares. The authorization was amended to increase the repurchase limit initially to 1.5 million shares. On June 8, 2005, the Company announced an expansion of the repurchase plan by increasing the repurchase limit to 2.5 million shares and extending the plan to expire on June 30, 2007. The Company did not repurchase any shares in 2006 as compared to a share repurchase of 82,179 shares in 2005. As of December 31, 2006, 2.1 million shares were available for repurchase under the plan.

We also have certain stock option and restricted stock plans which provide for the payment of the option exercise price or withholding taxes by tendering previously owned or recently vested shares. During the year ended December 31, 2006, 4,277 shares were tendered in connection with option exercises. No shares were tendered in connection with option exercises in 2005. Restricted shares cancelled to pay withholding taxes totaled 1,865 and 2,006 shares during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

NOTE 21. FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet. The following table presents estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31, 2006 and 2005:

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|---|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| FINANCIAL ASSETS: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 335,648 | \$ 335,648 | \$ 161,754 | \$ 161,754 |
| Trading account assets | 4,204 | 4,204 | 601 | 601 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 715,187 | 715,187 | 671,868 | 671,868 |
| Securities held-to-maturity | 8,762 | 8,817 | 8,677 | 8,776 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 16,053 | 16,053 | 9,061 | 9,061 |
| Loans and leases, net | 5,301,772 | 5,327,245 | 3,877,746 | 3,772,716 |
| FHLB stock | 15,255 | 15,255 | 14,263 | 14,263 |
| FINANCIAL LIABILITIES: | | | | |
| Deposits | \$5,840,294 | \$5,838,056 | \$4,286,266 | \$4,277,968 |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase and federal funds purchased | 47,985 | 47,985 | 113,865 | 113,865 |
| Term debt | 9,513 | 9,186 | 3,184 | 3,115 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 203,688 | 239,671 | 165,725 | 195,014 |
| DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS: | | | | |
| Rate lock commitments | \$ (49) | \$ (49) | \$ 28 | \$ 28 |
| Forward sales agreements | \$ 70 | \$ 70 | \$ (39) | \$ (39) |

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

Cash and Cash Equivalents For short-term instruments, including cash and due from banks, and interest-bearing deposits with banks, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Securities Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices, when available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of instruments with comparable characteristics.

Loans Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type, including commercial, real estate and consumer loans. Each loan category is further segregated by fixed and variable rate, performing and nonperforming categories. For variable rate loans, carrying value approximates fair value. Fair value of fixed rate loans is calculated by discounting contractual cash flows at rates which similar loans are currently being made.

Deposits The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest-bearing deposits, savings and interest checking accounts, and money market accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand as of December 31, 2006 and 2005. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Securities Sold under Agreements to Repurchase and Federal Funds Purchased For short-term instruments, including securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Term Debt The fair value of medium term notes is calculated based on the discounted value of the contractual cash flows using current rates at which such borrowings can currently be obtained.

Junior Subordinated Debentures The fair value of fixed rate issuances is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation. For variable rate issuances, the carrying amount approximates fair value.

Derivative Instruments The fair value of the derivative loan commitments is estimated using the present value of expected future cash flows. Assumptions used include pull-through rate assumption based on historical information, current mortgage

Table of Contents

interest rates, the stage of completion of the underlying application and underwriting process, and the time remaining until the expiration of the derivative loan commitment.

NOTE 22. OPERATING SEGMENTS

The Company operates three primary segments: Community Banking, Mortgage Banking and Retail Brokerage. The Community Banking segment's principal business focus is the offering of loan and deposit products to its business and retail customers in its primary market areas. The Community Banking segment operates 134 stores located principally throughout Oregon, Northern California and Washington.

The Mortgage Banking segment, which operates as a division of the Bank, originates, sells and services residential mortgage loans. During the third quarter of 2004, the Company completed a strategic review of the Mortgage Banking segment and decided to terminate wholesale channel origination. Although this decision resulted in a reduction in mortgage loan origination volumes, revenue and expense, the segment net income was not adversely impacted in a material manner.

The Retail Brokerage segment consists of the operations of Strand, which offers a full range of retail brokerage services and products to its clients who consist primarily of individual investors. The Company accounts for intercompany fees and services between Strand and the Bank at an estimated fair value according to regulatory requirements for services provided. Intercompany items relate primarily to management services and interest on intercompany borrowings.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments and the reconciliation to the consolidated financial results is shown in the following tables:

Year Ended December 31, 2006
(in thousands)

| | Community Banking | Retail Brokerage | Mortgage Banking | Consolidated |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$ 392,195 | \$ 73 | \$ 13,673 | \$ 405,941 |
| Interest expense | 134,840 | | 8,977 | 143,817 |
| Net interest income | 257,355 | 73 | 4,696 | 262,124 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,552 | | | 2,552 |
| Non-interest income | 35,675 | 10,133 | 7,789 | 53,597 |
| Non-interest expense | 157,870 | 9,844 | 9,462 | 177,176 |
| Merger-related expense | 4,773 | | | 4,773 |
| Income before income taxes and discontinued operations | 127,835 | 362 | 3,023 | 131,220 |
| Provision for income taxes | 45,408 | 156 | 1,209 | 46,773 |
| Income from continuing operations | 82,427 | 206 | 1,814 | 84,447 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 82,427 | \$ 206 | \$ 1,814 | \$ 84,447 |
| Total assets | \$7,087,227 | \$ 7,656 | \$249,353 | \$7,344,236 |
| Total loans | \$5,139,818 | \$ | \$222,044 | \$5,361,862 |
| Total deposits | \$5,834,835 | \$ | \$ 5,459 | \$5,840,294 |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

Year Ended December 31, 2005

(in thousands)

| | Community Banking | Retail Brokerage | Mortgage Banking | Consolidated |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$ 276,224 | \$ 101 | \$ 5,951 | \$ 282,276 |
| Interest expense | 69,239 | | 3,755 | 72,994 |
| Net interest income | 206,985 | 101 | 2,196 | 209,282 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,468 | | | 2,468 |
| Non-interest income | 29,427 | 11,816 | 6,539 | 47,782 |
| Non-interest expense | 127,329 | 11,284 | 8,181 | 146,794 |
| Merger-related expense | 262 | | | 262 |
| Income before income taxes and discontinued operations | 106,353 | 633 | 554 | 107,540 |
| Provision for income taxes | 37,365 | 219 | 221 | 37,805 |
| Income from continuing operations | 68,988 | 414 | 333 | 69,735 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 68,988 | \$ 414 | \$ 333 | \$ 69,735 |
| Total assets | \$5,257,333 | \$ 7,925 | \$95,381 | \$5,360,639 |
| Total loans | \$3,846,507 | \$ | \$75,124 | \$3,921,631 |
| Total deposits | \$4,286,227 | \$ | \$ 39 | \$4,286,266 |

Year Ended December 31, 2004

(in thousands)

| | Community Banking | Retail Brokerage | Mortgage Banking | Consolidated |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$ 192,822 | \$ 68 | \$ 5,168 | \$ 198,058 |
| Interest expense | 37,682 | | 2,689 | 40,371 |
| Net interest income | 155,140 | 68 | 2,479 | 157,687 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 7,203 | | 118 | 7,321 |
| Non-interest income | 21,555 | 12,135 | 7,683 | 41,373 |
| Non-interest expense | 100,656 | 11,343 | 7,583 | 119,582 |
| Merger-related expense | 5,597 | | | 5,597 |
| Income before income taxes and discontinued operations | 63,239 | 860 | 2,461 | 66,560 |
| Provision for income taxes | 22,077 | 303 | 890 | 23,270 |
| Income from continuing operations | 41,162 | 557 | 1,571 | 43,290 |
| | 3,876 | | | 3,876 |

Income from discontinued operations,
net of tax

| | | | | |
|----------------|-------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Net income | \$ 45,038 | \$ 557 | \$ 1,571 | \$ 47,166 |
| Total assets | \$4,789,093 | \$ 7,288 | \$76,654 | \$4,873,035 |
| Total loans | \$3,426,362 | \$ | \$41,542 | \$3,467,904 |
| Total deposits | \$3,799,107 | \$ | \$ | \$3,799,107 |

Table of Contents**NOTE 23. PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Condensed Balance Sheets**December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Non-interest-bearing deposits with subsidiary banks | \$ 33,049 | \$ 8,103 |
| Investments in: | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 1,323,025 | 867,875 |
| Nonbank subsidiary | 11,325 | 9,943 |
| Receivable from bank subsidiary | | 19 |
| Receivable from nonbank subsidiary | 1,662 | 2,722 |
| Other assets | 7,315 | 22,208 |
| Total assets | \$1,376,376 | \$910,870 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Payable to bank subsidiary | \$ 102 | \$ 7 |
| Other liabilities | 16,375 | 6,877 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 203,688 | 165,725 |
| Total liabilities | 220,165 | 172,609 |
| Shareholders equity | 1,156,211 | 738,261 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$1,376,376 | \$910,870 |

Condensed Statements of IncomeYear Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| INCOME | | | |
| Dividends from subsidiaries | \$ 28,445 | \$ 20,323 | \$ 11,197 |
| Other income | 886 | 251 | 158 |
| Total income | 29,331 | 20,574 | 11,355 |
| EXPENSES | | | |
| Management fees paid to subsidiaries | 135 | 119 | 116 |
| Other expenses | 15,366 | 11,626 | 7,627 |
| Total expenses | 15,501 | 11,745 | 7,743 |
| | 13,830 | 8,829 | 3,612 |

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Income before income tax and equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | | | |
| Income tax benefit | (5,534) | (4,355) | (2,895) |
| Net income before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 19,364 | 13,184 | 6,507 |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 65,083 | 56,551 | 40,659 |
| Net income | \$ 84,447 | \$ 69,735 | \$ 47,166 |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

Condensed Statements of Cash FlowsYear Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 84,447 | \$ 69,735 | \$ 47,166 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | (65,083) | (56,551) | (40,659) |
| Net amortization and depreciation | | 3 | 8 |
| Increase in other liabilities | 3,137 | 99 | 92 |
| Decrease (increase) in other assets | 17,303 | (5,224) | 1,738 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 39,804 | 8,062 | 8,345 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Acquisitions | 2,638 | | 1,233 |
| Sales and maturities of investment securities available for sale | 225 | 50 | |
| Net decrease (increase) in receivables from subsidiaries | 1,079 | 5,074 | (4,842) |
| Net cash provided (used) by investing activities | 3,942 | 5,124 | (3,609) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in payables to subsidiaries | 95 | (31) | 412 |
| Dividends paid | (28,131) | (11,557) | (8,112) |
| Stock repurchased | (179) | (1,904) | (6,062) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 9,415 | 2,754 | 5,939 |
| Net cash used by financing activities | (18,800) | (10,738) | (7,823) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | 24,946 | 2,448 | (3,087) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 8,103 | 5,655 | 8,742 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 33,049 | \$ 8,103 | \$ 5,655 |

NOTE 24. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the ordinary course of business, the Bank has made loans to its directors and executive officers (and their associated and affiliated companies). All such loans have been made on the same terms as those prevailing at the time of origination to other borrowers.

The following table presents a summary of aggregate activity involving related party borrowers for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

(in thousands)

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Loans outstanding at beginning of year | \$11,730 | \$ 2,685 |
| New loans and advances | 3,776 | 10,559 |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Less loan repayments | (2,866) | (1,489) |
| Reclassification (1) | (449) | (25) |
| Loans outstanding at end of year | \$12,191 | \$11,730 |

(1) Several former directors and executive officers who were considered related parties at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were no longer so classified at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, deposits of related parties amounted to \$10.2 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE 25. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Unaudited)**

The following tables present the summary results for the eight quarters ending December 31, 2006:

2006

(in thousands, except per share information)

| | December 31 | September 30 | June 30 | March 31 | Four Quarters |
|--|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| Interest income | \$ 116,514 | \$ 114,738 | \$ 93,943 | \$ 80,746 | \$ 405,941 |
| Interest expense | 43,225 | 40,939 | 33,186 | 26,467 | 143,817 |
| Net interest income | 73,289 | 73,799 | 60,757 | 54,279 | 262,124 |
| Provision for loan losses | 125 | 2,352 | 54 | 21 | 2,552 |
| Non-interest income | 14,113 | 13,476 | 13,806 | 12,202 | 53,597 |
| Non-interest expense (including merger expenses) | 49,040 | 50,686 | 43,243 | 38,980 | 181,949 |
| Income before income taxes and discontinued operations | 38,237 | 34,237 | 31,266 | 27,480 | 131,220 |
| Provision for income taxes | 13,704 | 11,381 | 11,635 | 10,053 | 46,773 |
| Income from continuing operations | 24,533 | 22,856 | 19,631 | 17,427 | 84,447 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 24,533 | \$ 22,856 | \$ 19,631 | \$ 17,427 | \$ 84,447 |
| BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.39 | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.39 | |
| DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.39 | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.39 | |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.12 | |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

2005

(in thousands, except per share information)

| | December 31 | September 30 | June 30 | March 31 | Four Quarters |
|--|-------------|--------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| Interest income | \$76,918 | \$73,221 | \$67,663 | \$64,474 | \$282,276 |
| Interest expense | 22,369 | 19,420 | 16,581 | 14,624 | 72,994 |
| Net interest income | 54,549 | 53,801 | 51,082 | 49,850 | 209,282 |
| Provision for loan losses | 68 | | 1,400 | 1,000 | 2,468 |
| Non-interest income | 11,474 | 13,782 | 11,924 | 10,602 | 47,782 |
| Non-interest expense (including merger expenses) | 38,117 | 37,083 | 36,421 | 35,435 | 147,056 |
| Income before income taxes and discontinued operations | 27,838 | 30,500 | 25,185 | 24,017 | 107,540 |
| Provision for income taxes | 9,051 | 10,577 | 9,179 | 8,998 | 37,805 |
| Income from continuing operations | 18,787 | 19,923 | 16,006 | 15,019 | 69,735 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | |
| Net income | \$18,787 | \$19,923 | \$16,006 | \$15,019 | \$ 69,735 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.34 | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.34 | |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.33 | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.33 | |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.06 | |

NOTE 26. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In January 2007, the Company announced the signing of a definitive agreement to acquire North Bay Bancorp and its principal operating subsidiary, The Vintage Bank, along with its Solano Bank division. The agreement provides for North Bay Bancorp shareholders to receive 1.217 shares of the Company's common stock for each share of North Bay

Bancorp common stock, subject to adjustment in limited circumstances, giving the acquisition a total value of approximately \$156.2 million.

Upon completion of the acquisition expected in the second quarter of 2007, all the Vintage Bank and Solano Bank branches will operate under the Umpqua Bank name. The acquisition will add North Bay Bancorp's network of 10 Northern California branches, including locations in the Napa area and in the communities of St. Helena, American Canyon, Vacaville, Benicia, Vallejo and Fairfield, to our network of 134 Northern California, Oregon and Washington locations and result in a combined institution with assets of approximately \$8.0 billion.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

On a quarterly basis, we carry out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15b of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our Disclosure Control Committee operates under a charter that was approved by our Audit and Compliance Committee. As of December 31, 2006, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Principal Accounting Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to us, that is required to be included in our periodic SEC filings.

Although we change and improve our internal controls over financial reporting on an ongoing basis, we do not believe that any such changes occurred in the fourth quarter 2006 that materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Umpqua Holdings Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The company's internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the company's assets;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with the authorizations of management and directors of the company; and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment and those criteria, we believe that, as of December 31, 2006, the company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting.

The company's independent registered public accounting firm has audited management's assessment of the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 and issued their Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, appearing under Item 9A, which expresses unqualified opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006.

February 28, 2007

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The response to this item is incorporated by reference to Umpqua's Proxy Statement for the April 2007 annual meeting of shareholders under the captions "Annual Meeting Business", "Information About Directors and Executive Officers", "Corporate Governance Overview" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance."

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The response to this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, under the captions "Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and "Executive Compensation Decisions."

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The response to this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, under the caption "Security Ownership of Management and Others."

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The response to this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, under the caption "Related Party Transactions."

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.

The response to this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, under the caption "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a) (1) Financial Statements:

The consolidated financial statements are included as Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

All schedules have been omitted because the information is not required, not applicable, not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) The exhibits filed as part of this report and exhibits incorporated herein by reference to other documents are listed on the Index of Exhibits to this annual report on Form 10-K on sequential page 91.

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Umpqua Holdings Corporation has duly caused this Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on February 28, 2007.

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION (Registrant)

By: /s/ Raymond P. Davis

Date: February 28, 2007

Raymond P. Davis, President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--|----------------------|
| /s/ Raymond P. Davis Raymond P. Davis | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Daniel A. Sullivan Daniel A. Sullivan | Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Ronald L. Farnsworth Ronald L. Farnsworth | Senior Vice President (Principal Accounting Officer) | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Ronald F. Angell Ronald F. Angell | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Matthew A. Bruno Matthew A. Bruno | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| Scott D. Chambers | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ Allyn C. Ford Allyn C. Ford | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| /s/ David B. Frohnmayer David B. Frohnmayer | Director | February 28, 2007 |

Table of Contents

| Signature | Title | Date |
|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| Stephen Gамbee | | |
| /s/ Dan Giustina | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| Dan Giustina | | |
| | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| Diana E. Goldschmidt | | |
| /s/ Lynn K. Herbert | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| Lynn K. Herbert | | |
| /s/ William A. Lansing | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| William A. Lansing | | |
| | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| Theodore S. Mason | | |
| /s/ Diane D. Miller | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| Diane D. Miller | | |
| | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| Bryan L. Timm | | |
| | Director | February 28, 2007 |
| Thomas W. Weborg | | |

Table of Contents

Umpqua Holdings Corporation

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

| | |
|------|--|
| 2.1 | (a) Agreement and Plan of Reorganization by and among Umpqua Holdings Corporation, Umpqua Bank, Western Sierra Bancorp, Western Sierra Bank, Auburn Community Bank, Lake Community Bank and Central California Bank dated as of February 7, 2006 |
| 3.1 | (b) Restated Articles of Incorporation |
| 3.2 | (c) Bylaws |
| 4.0 | (d) Specimen Stock Certificate |
| 10.1 | (e) Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan effective January 1, 2006 between the Company and Raymond P. Davis |
| 10.2 | (f) Employment Agreement with William Fike dated May 12, 2005 |
| 10.3 | (g) 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement with William Fike dated effective June 11, 2005 |
| 10.4 | (h) Umpqua Holdings Corporation 2005 Performance-Based Executive Incentive Plan |
| 10.5 | (i) 2003 Stock Incentive Plan |
| 10.6 | (j) Amendment to Employment Agreement with William Fike |
| 10.7 | (k) Employment Agreement with Brad Copeland dated March 10, 2006 |
| 10.8 | (l) Employment Agreement with David Edson dated March 10, 2006 |
| 10.9 | (m) Employment Agreement with Daniel Sullivan dated September 15, 2003 |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Moss Adams LLP |
| 23.2 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.3 | Certification of Principal Accounting Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| (a) | Incorporated by reference to Appendix A and Appendix B to the Joint Proxy Statement/ Prospectus included in the Registration Statement on Form S-4A filed April 13, 2006 (File No. 333-132453) |
| (b) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q filed August 7, 2006 |
| (c) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2004 |
| (d) | Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-77259) filed with the SEC on April 28, 1999 |
| (e) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-K filed March 14, 2006 |
| (f) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2005 |
| (g) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2005 |
| (h) | Incorporated by reference to Appendix B to Form DEF 14A filed March 31, 2005 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

- (i) Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Form DEF 14A filed March 27, 2003
- (j) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed March 21, 2006.
- (k) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed March 21, 2006
- (l) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K filed March 21, 2006
- (m) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-Q filed November 14, 2003