

DYNEX CAPITAL INC
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 1-9819

DYNEX CAPITAL, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

52-1549373
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

4991 Lake Brook Drive, Suite 100, Glen Allen,
Virginia
(Address of principal executive offices)

23060-9245
(Zip Code)

(804) 217-5800
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting

Edgar Filing: DYNEX CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q

company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated
filer Accelerated filer
 (Do not check if a smaller reporting
Non-accelerated filercompany) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

On May 6, 2011, the registrant had 40,323,159 shares outstanding of common stock, \$0.01 par value, which is the registrant’s only class of common stock.

DYNEX CAPITAL, INC.
FORM 10-Q

INDEX

| | Page |
|--|------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010 | 1 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and March 31, 2010 (unaudited) | 2 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and March 31, 2010 (unaudited) | 3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (unaudited) | 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and March 31, 2010 (unaudited) | 5 |
| Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements | 6 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 26 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 42 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 48 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 49 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 50 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 50 |

| | | |
|---------|---------------------------------|----|
| Item 3. | Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 50 |
| Item 4. | (Removed and Reserved) | 50 |
| Item 5. | Other Information | 50 |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | 51 |

SIGNATURES

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

DYNEX CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(amounts in thousands except share data)

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| | (unaudited) | |
| Agency MBS (including pledged of \$1,723,957 and \$1,090,174, respectively) | \$1,869,765 | \$1,192,579 |
| Non-Agency MBS (including pledged of \$262,459 and \$259,350, respectively) | 265,229 | 267,356 |
| Securitized mortgage loans, net | 143,445 | 152,962 |
| Other investments, net | 1,171 | 1,229 |
| | 2,279,610 | 1,614,126 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 49,840 | 18,836 |
| Derivative assets | 3,021 | 692 |
| Principal receivable on investments | 10,903 | 3,739 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 9,563 | 6,105 |
| Other assets, net | 6,879 | 6,086 |
| Total assets | \$2,359,816 | \$1,649,584 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Repurchase agreements | \$1,848,883 | \$1,234,183 |
| Non-recourse collateralized financing | 106,223 | 107,105 |
| Derivative liabilities | 3,236 | 3,532 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,311 | 1,079 |
| Accrued dividends payable | 10,886 | 8,192 |
| Other liabilities | 5,784 | 3,136 |
| | 1,976,323 | 1,357,227 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 13) | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, par value \$.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized; 40,318,159 and 30,342,897 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 403 | 303 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 633,620 | 538,304 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 6,383 | 10,057 |
| Accumulated deficit | (256,913) | (256,307) |
| | 383,493 | 292,357 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$2,359,816 | \$1,649,584 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

DYNEX CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(UNAUDITED)
(amounts in thousands except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest income: | | |
| Agency MBS | \$11,518 | \$4,868 |
| Non-Agency MBS | 3,691 | 2,501 |
| Securitized mortgage loans | 2,219 | 3,623 |
| Other investments | 33 | 32 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 4 | 3 |
| | 17,465 | 11,027 |
| Interest expense: | | |
| Repurchase agreements | 3,428 | 1,263 |
| Non-recourse collateralized financing | 1,306 | 2,567 |
| | 4,734 | 3,830 |
| Net interest income | 12,731 | 7,197 |
| Provision for loan losses | (250) | (409) |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 12,481 | 6,788 |
| Gain on sale of investments, net | - | 77 |
| Fair value adjustments, net | (126) | 82 |
| Other income, net | 43 | 669 |
| General and administrative expenses: | | |
| Compensation and benefits | (1,132) | (972) |
| Other general and administrative expenses | (986) | (1,107) |
| Net income | 10,280 | 5,537 |
| Preferred stock dividends | - | (1,003) |
| Net income to common shareholders | \$10,280 | \$4,534 |
| Weighted average common shares: | | |
| Basic | 33,153 | 14,210 |
| Diluted | 33,157 | 18,437 |
| Net income per common share: | | |
| Basic | \$0.31 | \$0.32 |
| Diluted | \$0.31 | \$0.30 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$0.27 | \$0.23 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

DYNEX CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(UNAUDITED)
(amounts in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$10,280 | \$5,537 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | |
| Change in market value | (6,631) | 5,313 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gain on sale of investments | – | (77) |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedging instruments | 2,957 | (1,185) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | (3,674) | 4,051 |
| Comprehensive income | 6,606 | 9,588 |
| Dividends declared on preferred stock | – | (1,003) |
| Comprehensive income to common shareholders | \$6,606 | \$8,585 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

DYNEX CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(UNAUDITED)
(amounts in thousands)

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Other Compre- hensive Income | Accumulated Deficit | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | \$303 | \$538,304 | \$ 10,057 | \$ (256,307) | \$292,357 |
| Common stock issuance | 100 | 98,483 | - | - | 98,583 |
| Restricted stock granting and vesting | - | (3,026) | - | - | (3,026) |
| Capitalized expenses | - | (141) | - | - | (141) |
| Net income | - | - | - | 10,280 | 10,280 |
| Dividends on common stock | - | - | - | (10,886) | (10,886) |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - | (3,674) | - | (3,674) |
| Balance as of March 31, 2011 | \$403 | \$633,620 | \$ 6,383 | \$ (256,913) | \$383,493 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

DYNEX CAPITAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)
(amounts in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$10,280 | \$5,537 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| (Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable | (3,458) | 313 |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable | 232 | (46) |
| Provision for loan losses | 250 | 409 |
| Gain on sale of investments, net | – | (77) |
| Fair value adjustments, net | 127 | (82) |
| Amortization and depreciation | 4,385 | 1,570 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 95 | 58 |
| Net change in other assets and other liabilities | 2,041 | (2,078) |
| Net cash and cash equivalents provided by operating activities | 13,952 | 5,604 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of investments | (769,785) | (100,431) |
| Principal payments received on investments | 84,366 | 59,296 |
| Increase in principal receivable on investments | (7,164) | (16,568) |
| Proceeds from sales of investments | – | 31,405 |
| Principal payments received on securitized mortgage loans | 9,065 | 7,770 |
| Other investing activities | (6) | (175) |
| Net cash and cash equivalents used in investing activities | (683,524) | (18,703) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Borrowings under (repayment of) repurchase agreements, net | 614,700 | (35,878) |
| Borrowings under non-recourse collateralized financing | – | 50,678 |
| Principal payments on non-recourse collateralized financing | (1,138) | (6,406) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 95,206 | 9,453 |
| Dividends paid | (8,192) | (4,207) |
| Net cash and cash equivalents provided by financing activities | 700,576 | 13,640 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 31,004 | 541 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 18,836 | 30,173 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$49,840 | \$30,714 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Activities: | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$4,305 | \$4,145 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DYNEX CAPITAL, INC.

(amounts in thousands except share and per share data)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of Dynex Capital, Inc. and its qualified real estate investment trust (“REIT”) subsidiaries and its taxable REIT subsidiary (together, “Dynex” or the “Company”) have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Article 10, Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all significant adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements, have been included. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim periods or for the entire year ending December 31, 2011. The unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC.

Certain items in the prior year’s consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year’s presentation. The Company’s consolidated balance sheets now present separately its principal receivable on investments, which was previously included within the fair value amounts shown on the consolidated balance sheets for Agency and non-Agency MBS. The Company’s consolidated statements of cash flows now present separately “change in principal receivable on investments”, which was previously included within “other investing activities”. The respective amounts on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 and statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2010 presented herein have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation and have no effect on reported total assets or total liabilities or results of operations.

Consolidation of Subsidiaries

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its qualified REIT subsidiaries and its taxable REIT subsidiary. The consolidated financial statements represent the Company’s accounts after the elimination of intercompany balances and transactions. The Company consolidates entities in which it owns more than 50% of the voting equity and control does not rest with others and variable interest entities in which it is determined to be the primary beneficiary in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 810. The Company follows the equity method of accounting for investments with greater than a 20% and less than 50% interest in partnerships and corporate joint ventures or when it is able to influence the financial and operating policies of the investee but owns less than 50% of the voting equity.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements as well as the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant estimates used by management include but are not limited to fair value measurements of its investments, allowance for loan losses, other-than-temporary impairments, commitments and contingencies, and amortization of premiums and discounts.

These items are discussed further below within this note to the consolidated financial statements.

Federal Income Taxes

The Company believes it has complied with the requirements for qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). As such, the Company believes that it qualifies as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, and it generally will not be subject to federal income tax on the amount of its income or gain that is distributed as dividends to shareholders. The Company uses the calendar year for both tax and financial reporting purposes. There may be differences between taxable income and income computed in accordance with GAAP.

Investments

The Company's investments include Agency mortgage-backed securities ("MBS"), non-Agency MBS, securitized mortgage loans, and other investments.

Agency MBS. Agency MBS are comprised of residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") issued or guaranteed by a federally chartered corporation, such as Federal National Mortgage Corporation, or Fannie Mae, or Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, or Freddie Mac, or an agency of the U.S. government, such as Government National Mortgage Association, or Ginnie Mae. The Company's Agency RMBS are comprised primarily of hybrid Agency ARMs and Agency ARMs. Hybrid Agency ARMs are MBS collateralized by hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage loans which are loans that have a fixed rate of interest for a specified period (typically three to ten years) and which then adjust their interest rate at least annually to an increment over a specified interest rate index as further discussed below. Agency ARMs are MBS collateralized by adjustable-rate mortgage loans which have interest rates that generally will adjust at least annually to an increment over a specified interest rate index. Agency ARMs also include hybrid Agency ARMs that are past their fixed-rate periods.

Interest rates on the adjustable-rate mortgage loans collateralizing the hybrid Agency ARMs or Agency ARMs are based on specific index rates, such as the one-year constant maturity treasury rate, or CMT, the London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, the Federal Reserve U.S. 12-month cumulative average one-year CMT, or MTA, or the 11th District Cost of Funds Index, or COFI. These loans will typically have interim and lifetime caps on interest rate adjustments, or interest rate caps, limiting the amount that the rates on these loans may reset in any given period.

The Company's Agency CMBS are typically comprised of fixed-rate securities issued primarily by Fannie Mae. These securities are collateralized mostly by first mortgage loans on multifamily properties that are usually either locked out of prepayment options or have yield maintenance provisions which provide the Company protection against prepayment of the investment.

The Company accounts for its Agency MBS in accordance with ASC Topic 320, which requires that investments in debt and equity securities be designated as either "held-to-maturity," "available-for-sale" or "trading" at the time of acquisition. As of March 31, 2011, the Company has Agency MBS that are designated as either available-for-sale or trading. Although the Company generally intends to hold its available-for-sale securities until maturity, it may, from time to time, sell any of these securities as part of the overall management of its business. The available-for-sale designation provides the Company with this flexibility.

All of the Company's Agency MBS are recorded at their fair value on the consolidated balance sheet. The Company determines the fair value of its Agency MBS based upon prices obtained from a third-party pricing service and broker quotes. Changes in the fair value of Agency MBS designated as trading are recognized in net income within "fair value adjustments, net". Gains (losses) realized upon the sale, impairment, or other disposal of a trading security is also recognized within "fair value adjustments, net". Alternatively, changes in the fair value of Agency MBS designated as available-for-sale are reported in other comprehensive income until the security is collected, disposed of, or

determined to be other than temporarily impaired. Upon the sale of an available-for-sale security, any unrealized gain or loss is reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”) into net income as a realized “gain (loss) on sale of investments, net” using the specific identification method.

7

Non-Agency MBS. The Company's non-Agency MBS are comprised of RMBS and CMBS, the majority of which are rated as investment grade. Interest rates for non-Agency MBS collateralized with ARMs are based on indices similar to those of Agency MBS. Like Agency MBS, the Company accounts for its non-Agency MBS in accordance with ASC Topic 320. As of March 31, 2011, all of the Company's non-Agency MBS are designated as available-for-sale and are recorded at their fair value on the consolidated balance sheet. Changes in fair value are reported in other comprehensive income until the security is collected, disposed of, or determined to be other than temporarily impaired. Upon the sale of an available-for-sale security, any unrealized gain or loss is reclassified out of AOCI into net income as a realized "gain (loss) on sale of investments, net" using the specific identification method.

The Company determines the fair value for certain of its non-Agency MBS based upon prices obtained from a third-party pricing service and broker quotes. The remainder of the non-Agency MBS are valued by discounting the estimated future cash flows derived from pricing models that utilize information such as the security's coupon rate, estimated prepayment speeds, expected weighted average life, collateral composition, estimated future interest rates, expected losses, credit enhancement, as well as certain other relevant information.

Securitized Mortgage Loans. Securitized mortgage loans consist of loans pledged to support the repayment of securitization financing bonds issued by the Company. Securitized mortgage loans are reported at amortized cost. An allowance has been established for currently existing estimated losses on such loans. Securitized mortgage loans can only be sold subject to the lien of the respective securitization financing indenture.

Other Investments. Other investments include unsecuritized single-family and commercial mortgage loans which are carried at amortized cost.

Allowance for Loan Losses

An allowance for loan losses has been estimated and established for currently existing and probable losses for mortgage loans that are considered impaired. Provisions made to increase the allowance are charged as a current period expense. Commercial mortgage loans are secured by income-producing real estate and are evaluated individually for impairment when the debt service coverage ratio on the mortgage loan is less than 1:1 or when the mortgage loan is delinquent. An allowance may be established for a particular impaired commercial mortgage loan. Commercial mortgage loans not evaluated for individual impairment or not deemed impaired are evaluated for a general allowance. Certain of the commercial mortgage loans are covered by mortgage loan guarantees that limit the Company's exposure on these mortgage loans. Single-family mortgage loans are considered homogeneous and are evaluated on a pool basis for a general allowance.

The Company considers various factors in determining its specific and general allowance requirements, including whether a loan is delinquent, the Company's historical experience with similar types of loans, historical cure rates of delinquent loans, and historical and anticipated loss severity of the mortgage loans as they are liquidated. The factors may differ by mortgage loan type (e.g., single-family versus commercial) and collateral type (e.g., multifamily versus office property). The allowance for loan losses is evaluated and adjusted periodically by management based on the actual and estimated timing and amount of probable credit losses, using the above factors, as well as industry loss experience.

Repurchase Agreements

Repurchase agreements are treated as financings in accordance with the provision of ASC Topic 860 under which the Company pledges its securities as collateral to secure a loan, which is equal in value to a specified percentage of the estimated fair value of the pledged collateral. The Company retains beneficial ownership of the pledged collateral. At

the maturity of a repurchase agreement, the Company is required to repay the loan and concurrently receives back its pledged collateral from the lender or, with the consent of the lender, the Company may renew the agreement at the then prevailing financing rate. A repurchase agreement lender may require the Company to pledge additional collateral in the event of a decline in the fair value of the collateral pledged. Repurchase agreement financing is recourse to the Company and the assets pledged. The Company's repurchase

agreements are based on the September 1996 version of the Bond Market Association Master Repurchase Agreement, which generally provides that the lender, as buyer, is responsible for obtaining collateral valuations from a generally recognized source agreed to by both the Company and the lender, or, in an instance when such source is not available, the value determination is made by the lender.

Securitization Transactions

The Company has securitized mortgage loans and non-Agency CMBS through securitization transactions by transferring financial assets to a wholly owned trust, where the trust issues non-recourse securitization financing bonds pursuant to an indenture. The Company retains some form of control over the transferred assets, and therefore the trust is included in the consolidated financial statements of the Company. For accounting and tax purposes, the loans and securities financed through the issuance of bonds in a securitization financing transaction are treated as assets of the Company (presented as securitized mortgage loans on the balance sheet), and the associated bonds issued are treated as debt of the Company (presented as a portion of non-recourse collateralized financing on the balance sheet). The Company has retained certain of the bonds issued by the trust and has transferred collateral in excess of the bonds issued. This excess is typically referred to as over-collateralization. Each securitization trust generally provides the Company the right to redeem, at its option, the remaining outstanding bonds prior to their maturity date.

Derivative Instruments

The Company may enter into interest rate swap agreements, interest rate cap agreements, interest rate floor agreements, financial forwards, financial futures and options on financial futures (“interest rate agreements”) to manage its sensitivity to changes in interest rates. The Company accounts for its interest rate agreements under ASC Topic 815, designating each as either cash flow hedging positions or trading positions using criteria established therein. In order to qualify as a cash flow hedge, ASC Topic 815 requires formal documentation to be prepared at the inception of the interest rate agreement that meets certain conditions. If these conditions are not met, an interest rate agreement will be classified as a trading position.

For interest rate agreements designated as trading positions, the Company records these instruments at fair value on the Company’s balance sheet in accordance with ASC Topic 815. Changes in their market value are measured at each reporting date and recognized in the current period’s statement of income.

For interest rate agreements designated as cash flow hedges, the Company evaluates the effectiveness of these hedges against the financial instrument being hedged. The effective portion of the hedge relationship on an interest rate agreement designated as a cash flow hedge is reported in AOCI and is later reclassified into the statement of income in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of such hedge is immediately reported in the current period’s statement of income. These derivative instruments are carried at fair value on the Company’s balance sheet in accordance with ASC Topic 815. Cash posted to meet margin calls, if any, is included on the consolidated balance sheets in other assets.

The Company may be required periodically to terminate hedging instruments. Any basis adjustments or changes in the fair value of hedges recorded in AOCI are recognized into income or expense in conjunction with the original hedge or hedged exposure.

If the underlying asset, liability or commitment is sold or matures, the hedge is deemed partially or wholly ineffective, or if the criterion that was established at the time the hedging instrument was entered into no longer exists, the interest rate agreement no longer qualifies as a designated hedge. Under these circumstances, such changes in the market value of the interest rate agreement are recognized in the current period’s statement of income.

Interest Income

Interest income on Agency and non-Agency MBS that are rated “AAA” and loans is recognized over the expected life of the investment using the effective interest method. Interest income on non-Agency MBS that are rated “AA” or lower is recognized over the expected life as adjusted for estimated prepayments and credit losses of the securities in accordance with ASC Topic 325. For loans, the accrual of interest is discontinued when, in the opinion of management, the interest is not collectible in the normal course of business, when the loan is significantly past due or when the primary servicer of the loan fails to advance the interest and/or principal due on the loan. Loans are considered past due when the borrower fails to make a timely payment in accordance with the underlying loan agreement. For securities and other investments, the accrual of interest is discontinued when, in the opinion of management, it is probable that all amounts contractually due will not be collected. All interest accrued but not collected for investments that are placed on a non-accrual status or are charged-off is reversed against interest income. Interest on these investments is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual status. Investments are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Amortization of Premiums, Discounts, and Deferred Issuance Costs

Premiums and discounts on investments and obligations, as well as debt issuance costs and hedging basis adjustments, are amortized into interest income or expense, respectively, over the contractual life of the related investment or obligation using the effective interest method in accordance with ASC Topic 310 and ASC Topic 470. For securities representing beneficial interests in securitizations that are not highly rated, unamortized premiums and discounts are recognized over the expected life, as adjusted for estimated prepayments and credit losses of the securities, in accordance with ASC Topic 325. Actual prepayment and credit loss experience are reviewed, and effective yields are recalculated, when originally anticipated prepayments and credit losses differ from amounts actually received plus anticipated future prepayments.

Other-than-Temporary Impairments

The Company evaluates all debt securities in its investment portfolio for other-than-temporary impairments by applying the guidance prescribed in ASC Topic 320, which states that a debt security is considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired if the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the security’s amortized cost basis (the difference being defined as the credit loss) or if the fair value of the security is less than the security’s amortized cost basis and the Company intends, or is required, to sell the security before recovery of the security’s amortized cost basis. Although the principal and interest related to Agency MBS are guaranteed by the issuers, who have the implicit guarantee of the U.S. government, the Company assesses its ability to hold an Agency MBS with an unrealized loss until the recovery in its value. Please see Note 10 for additional information related to the Company’s evaluation for other-than-temporary impairments.

Declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other-than-temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses to the extent the impairment is related to credit losses. Any remaining difference between fair value and amortized cost is recognized in other comprehensive income.

In certain instances, as a result of the other-than-temporary impairment analysis, the recognition or accrual of interest will be discontinued and the security will be placed on non-accrual status. Securities normally are not placed on non-accrual status if the servicer continues to advance on the delinquent mortgage loans in the security.

Contingencies

In the normal course of business, there are various lawsuits, claims, and contingencies pending against the Company. In accordance with ASC Topic 450, we evaluate whether to establish provisions for estimated losses from pending claims, investigations and proceedings. Although the ultimate outcome of the various matters cannot be ascertained at this point, it is the opinion of management, after consultation with counsel, that the resolution of

the foregoing matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company taken as a whole. Such resolution may, however, have a material effect on the results of operations or cash flows in any future period, depending on the level of income for such period.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU” or “Update”) No. 2011-02, which amends ASC Topic 310 to clarify the guidance on evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. Specifically, a creditor must separately conclude that the restructuring constitutes a concession and that the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. If a debtor does not otherwise have access to funds at a market rate for debt with similar risk characteristics as the restructured debt, the restructuring would be considered to be at a below-market rate, which may indicate that the creditor has granted a concession. A temporary or permanent increase in the contractual interest rate does not preclude the restructuring from being considered a concession because the contractual interest rate on the restructured debt may still be below the market interest rate for new debt with similar characteristics. If the creditor determines that it has granted a concession to the debtor, it must then evaluate whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amendments clarify that a debtor does not have to be currently in payment default in order to be considered as experiencing financial difficulties. Additionally, a creditor should evaluate whether it is probable that the debtor will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. The amendments in this Update are effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and early adoption is permitted. The amendments should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. As a result of its retrospective application, an entity may identify receivables that are newly considered impaired. For purposes of measuring impairment of those receivables, an entity should apply the amendments prospectively for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. An entity should disclose the total amount of receivables and the allowance for credit losses as of the end of the period of adoption related to those receivables that are newly considered impaired under ASC Topic 310 for which impairment was previously measured under ASC Topic 450. In addition, ASU No. 2011-02 requires an entity to disclose the information required by ASU No. 2010-20 which was subsequently deferred temporarily by ASU No. 2011-01. Management has evaluated these amendments and has determined that they do not currently have a material impact on the Company’s financial condition or results of operations.

NOTE 2 – NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

Net income per common share is presented on both a basic and diluted basis. Diluted net income per common share assumes the exercise of stock options using the treasury stock method, and for the first quarter of 2010 the conversion of the Company’s formerly outstanding convertible preferred stock into common stock using the two-class method, but only if these items are dilutive. Each share of Series D preferred stock is convertible into one share of common stock. The following tables reconcile the numerator and denominator for both basic and diluted net income per common share:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|
| | 2011 | | 2010(1) | |
| | Income | Weighted-Average Common Shares | Income | Weighted- Average Common Shares |
| Net income | \$ 10,280 | | \$ 5,537 | |
| Preferred stock dividends | – | | (1,003) | |
| Net income to common shareholders | 10,280 | 33,153 | 4,534 | 14,210 |
| Effect of dilutive items | – | 4 | 1,003 | 4,227 |
| Diluted | \$ 10,280 | 33,157 | \$ 5,537 | 18,437 |
| Net income per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | | \$ 0.31 | | \$ 0.32 |
| Diluted | | \$ 0.31 | | \$ 0.30 |
| Components of dilutive items: | | | | |
| Convertible preferred stock | \$ – | – | \$ 1,003 | 4,221 |
| Stock options | – | 4 | – | 6 |
| | \$ – | 4 | \$ 1,003 | 4,227 |

(1) For the three months ended March 31, 2010, the calculation of diluted net income per common share excludes 40,000 unexercised stock option awards because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

NOTE 3 – AGENCY MBS

The following table presents the components of the Company's investment in Agency MBS as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | RMBS | CMBS | Total | RMBS | CMBS | Total |
| Principal/par value | \$1,542,818 | \$226,613 | \$1,769,431 | \$937,376 | \$190,511 | \$1,127,887 |
| Unamortized premium | 77,873 | 26,516 | 104,389 | 43,776 | 18,757 | 62,533 |
| Unamortized discount | (34) | – | (34) | (36) | – | (36) |
| Amortized cost | 1,620,657 | 253,129 | 1,873,786 | 981,116 | 209,268 | 1,190,384 |
| Unrealized gains: | | | | | | |
| Available for sale | 8,038 | 393 | 8,431 | 8,266 | 567 | 8,833 |
| Trading | – | 121 | 121 | – | – | – |
| Unrealized losses: | | | | | | |
| Available for sale | (8,003) | (4,568) | (12,571) | (3,371) | (3,267) | (6,638) |
| Trading | – | (2) | (2) | – | – | – |
| Fair value | \$1,620,692 | \$249,073 | \$1,869,765 | \$986,011 | \$206,568 | \$1,192,579 |
| Weighted average coupon | 4.41 | % 5.27 | % 4.53 | % 4.46 | % 5.41 | % 4.62 |

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company purchased \$718,730 and \$45,227 of Agency RMBS and CMBS, respectively. Of the Agency CMBS purchases, \$24,195 were designated as trading, and as of March 31,

2011, their amortized cost and fair value included in the table above is \$24,147 and \$24,266, respectively. The Company has not designated any of its Agency RMBS purchases as trading, nor did it hold any Agency CMBS

or RMBS designated as trading securities as of December 31, 2010. The Company has not sold any of its trading securities purchased during the three months ended March 31, 2011, and has recorded a net unrealized gain of \$119, which is included within "fair value adjustments, net" in its consolidated statement of income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 related to their changes in fair value.

NOTE 4 – NON-AGENCY MBS

The following table presents the components of the Company's non-Agency MBS as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|----------------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | RMBS | CMBS | Total | RMBS | CMBS | Total |
| Principal/par value | \$ 15,676 | \$ 244,688 | \$ 260,364 | \$ 16,101 | \$ 247,494 | \$ 263,595 |
| Unamortized premium | 126 | 7,138 | 7,264 | 138 | 5,352 | 5,490 |
| Unamortized discount | (1,086) | (11,698) | (12,784) | (1,115) | (11,296) | (12,411) |
| Amortized cost | 14,716 | 240,128 | 254,844 | 15,124 | 241,550 | 256,674 |
| Unrealized gains | 517 | 10,609 | 11,126 | 632 | 10,978 | 11,610 |
| Unrealized losses | (392) | (349) | (741) | (348) | (580) | (928) |
| Fair value | \$ 14,841 | \$ 250,388 | \$ 265,229 | \$ 15,408 | \$ 251,948 | \$ 267,356 |

| Weighted average coupon | 6.33 | % | 4.81 | % | 6.25 | % | 4.54 | % | 6.49 | % | 6.37 | % |
|-------------------------|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

All of the Company's non-Agency MBS are designated as available-for-sale and are comprised primarily of investment-grade rated securities with a fair value of \$256,816 and \$262,234 as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company purchased \$5,827 of non-Agency CMBS during the three months ended March 31, 2011, and did not purchase any non-Agency RMBS.

NOTE 5 – SECURITIZED MORTGAGE LOANS, NET

The following table summarizes the components of securitized mortgage loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|------------|
| | Commercial | Single-family | Total | Commercial | Single-family | Total |
| Principal/par value | \$ 92,331 | \$ 51,760 | \$ 144,091 | \$ 99,432 | \$ 54,181 | \$ 153,613 |
| FHBT(1) | 3,439 | – | 3,439 | 3,455 | – | 3,455 |
| Unamortized premium, net | – | 839 | 839 | – | 884 | 884 |
| Unamortized discount, net | (438) | – | (438) | (520) | – | (520) |
| Amortized cost | 95,332 | 52,599 | 147,931 | 102,367 | 55,065 | 157,432 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (4,237) | (249) | (4,486) | (4,200) | (270) | (4,470) |
| | \$ 91,095 | \$ 52,350 | \$ 143,445 | \$ 98,167 | \$ 54,795 | \$ 152,962 |

(1)

Funds held by trustees includes \$3,232 and \$3,306 as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents held by the trust for defeased commercial mortgage loans. These funds were paid by the borrower to the securitization trust pursuant to the contractual terms of the mortgage loan and represent replacement collateral for defeased loans. In accordance with the underlying agreements, cash payments are made by the securitization trust using these defeased amounts until the funds held for that particular defeased mortgage loan equal the scheduled principal balance of the original loan. At that point a final distribution is made to the trust as payment in full of the principal amount due on the loan.

Commercial mortgage loans were originated principally in 1996 and 1997 and are collateralized by first deeds of trust on income producing properties. Approximately 79.9% of commercial mortgage loans are secured by multifamily properties. The Company identified securitized commercial mortgage loans with combined unpaid principal balances of \$11,660 as being impaired as of March 31, 2011 compared to impairments of \$18,219 as of December 31, 2010. The Company recognized \$86 of interest income on impaired securitized commercial mortgage loans for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$260 of interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Single-family mortgage loans are secured by first deeds of trust on residential real estate and were originated principally from 1992 to 1997. The Company identified securitized single-family mortgage loans with combined unpaid principal balances of \$2,965 as being impaired as of March 31, 2011 compared to impairments of \$3,587 as of December 31, 2010. Of these amounts, \$1,070 and \$1,480 represent the unpaid principal balances of the loans in foreclosure as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company recognized \$42 of interest income on impaired securitized single-family mortgage loans for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$51 on impaired single-family mortgage loans for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

All of the securitized mortgage loans are pledged as collateral for the associated securitization financing bonds, which are discussed further in Note 9.

NOTE 6 – ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The following table presents the components of the allowance for loan losses as of the periods indicated:

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Securitized commercial mortgage loans | \$ 4,237 | \$ 4,200 |
| Securitized single-family mortgage loans | 249 | 270 |
| | \$ 4,486 | \$ 4,470 |

The following table presents certain information on impaired single-family and commercial securitized mortgage loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Commercial | Single-family | Commercial | Single-family |
| Amortized cost basis of impaired loans | \$11,632 | \$ 3,013 | \$18,154 | \$ 3,646 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (4,237) | (249) | (4,200) | (270) |
| Investment in excess of allowance | \$7,395 | \$ 2,764 | \$13,954 | \$ 3,376 |

The following table summarizes the aggregate activity for the portion of the allowance for loan losses that relates to the securitized mortgage loan portfolio for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Commercial | Single-family | Commercial | Single-family |
| Allowance at beginning of period | \$4,200 | \$ 270 | \$3,935 | \$ 277 |
| Provision for loan losses(1) | 250 | – | 150 | – |
| Credit losses, net of recoveries | (213) | (21) | – | – |
| Allowance at end of period | \$4,237 | \$ 249 | \$4,085 | \$ 277 |

(1)

Activity shown for the three months ended March 31, 2010 excludes provision of \$259 related to the Company's unsecuritized mortgage loan portfolio.

NOTE 7 – DERIVATIVES

Please see Note 1 for additional information related to the Company's accounting policies for derivative instruments.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company's derivative financial instruments are comprised entirely of interest rate swaps, and are designated as either hedging instruments or trading instruments. With respect to hedging instruments, the Company's objective for using interest rate swaps is to minimize its exposure to the risk of increased interest expense resulting from its existing and forecasted short-term, fixed-rate borrowings. The Company continuously borrows funds via sequential fixed-rate, short-term repurchase agreement borrowings. As each fixed-rate repurchase agreement matures, it is replaced with new fixed-rate agreements based on the market interest rate in effect at the time of such replacement. This sequential rollover borrowing program creates a variable interest expense pattern. The changes in the cash flows of the interest rate swaps are expected to be highly effective at offsetting changes in the interest portion of the cash flows expected to be paid at maturity of each borrowing.

With respect to trading instruments, the Company's objective for using interest rate swaps is to offset the changes in market value of the investments also designated as trading. See Note 3 for a discussion of investments designated as trading.

The tables below summarize information about the Company's derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet as of the periods indicated:

As of March 31, 2011

| Accounting Designation | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Cumulative Notional Amount | Weighted-average Fixed Rate Swapped | |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Hedging instruments | Derivative assets | \$3,021 | \$525,000 | 1.58 | % |
| Trading instruments | Derivative assets | – | – | – | |
| | | \$3,021 | | | |
| Hedging instruments | Derivative liabilities | \$(2,903) | \$320,000 | 1.60 | % |
| Trading instruments | Derivative liabilities | (333) | 27,000 | 2.88 | % |
| | | \$(3,236) | | | |

As of December 31, 2010

| Accounting Designation | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Cumulative Notional Amount | Weighted-average Fixed Rate Swapped | |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Hedging instruments | Derivative assets | \$692 | \$100,000 | 1.89 | % |
| Trading instruments | Derivative assets | – | – | – | |
| | | \$692 | | | |
| Hedging instruments | Derivative liabilities | \$(3,532) | \$245,000 | 1.58 | % |
| Trading instruments | Derivative liabilities | – | – | – | |
| | | \$(3,532) | | | |

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had margin requirements with its swap counterparties for these interest rate swaps totaling \$4,635 for which Agency MBS with a fair value of \$3,306 and cash of \$1,495 have been posted as collateral. The following table summarizes the contractual maturities remaining for the Company's outstanding

interest rate swap agreements as of March 31, 2011:

15

Edgar Filing: DYNEX CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q

| Remaining Maturity | Notional Amount: Trading | Notional Amount: Hedging | Notional Amount: Total | Number of Swaps | Weighted-Average Fixed Rate Swapped |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 0-12 months | \$– | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | 2 | 1.02 % |
| 13-36 months | – | 375,000 | 375,000 | 7 | 1.29 % |
| 37-60 months | 27,000 | 370,000 | 397,000 | 12 | 2.10 % |
| | \$27,000 | \$845,000 | \$872,000 | 21 | 1.63 % |

The table below presents the effect of the derivatives designated as trading instruments on the Company's consolidated statement of income for the periods indicated.

| Type of Derivative Designated as Trading | Location of Amount Recognized in Net Income | Amount of Loss Recognized in Net Income For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 | Amount of Loss Recognized in Net Income For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 |
|--|---|---|---|
| Interest rate swaps | Fair value adjustments, net | \$ 333 | \$ – |

The table below presents the effect of the derivatives designated as hedging instruments on the Company's consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

| Type of Derivative Designated as Cash Flow Hedge | Amount of (Gain) Loss Recognized in OCI (Effective Portion) | Location of Amount Reclassified from OCI into Net Income (Effective Portion) | Amount Reclassified from OCI into Net Income (Effective Portion) | Location of (Gain) Loss Recognized in Net Income (Ineffective Portion) | Amount of (Gain) Loss Recognized in Net Income (Ineffective Portion) |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Interest rate swaps | \$ (1,088) | Interest expense | \$ 1,869 | Other income, net | \$ (1) |

The table below presents the effect of the derivatives designated as hedging instruments on the Company's consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

| Type of Derivative Designated as Cash Flow Hedge | Amount of (Gain) Loss Recognized in OCI (Effective Portion) | Location of Amount Reclassified from OCI into Net Income (Effective Portion) | Amount Reclassified from OCI into Net Income (Effective Portion) | Location of (Gain) Loss Recognized in Net Income (Ineffective Portion) | Amount of (Gain) Loss Recognized in Net Income (Ineffective Portion) |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 1,638 | Interest expense | \$ 453 | Other income, net | \$ 10 |

The table below presents a rollforward of the activity in the Company's AOCI related to its derivatives designated as hedging instruments for the periods presented:

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|------------|----------|
| Balance as of January 1, | \$(2,820) | \$1,008 |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swaps | 1,088 | (1,638) |
| Reclassification adjustment for amounts included in statement of income | 1,869 | 453 |
| Balance as of March 31, | \$137 | \$(177) |

The Company estimates that an additional \$9,982 related to its derivatives designated as hedging instruments will be recognized as an increase to interest expense during the next 12 months.

The interest rate agreements the Company has with its derivative counterparties contain various covenants related to the Company's credit risk. Specifically, if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including those circumstances whereby repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default of its derivative obligations. Additionally, the agreements outstanding with one of the derivative counterparties allow that counterparty to require settlement of its outstanding derivative transactions if the Company fails to earn GAAP net income greater than one dollar as measured on a rolling two quarter basis. These interest rate agreements also contain provisions whereby, if the Company fails to maintain a minimum net amount of shareholders' equity, then the Company may be declared in default on its derivative obligations. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had derivatives in a net liability position with one of its derivative counterparties totaling \$1,961, inclusive of accrued interest but excluding any adjustment for nonperformance risk, for which it had pledged Agency MBS with a fair value of \$3,306 as collateral. If the Company had breached any of these agreements as of March 31, 2011, it could have been required to settle those derivatives at their estimated termination value of \$1,961.

NOTE 8 – REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS

The Company uses repurchase agreements, which are recourse to the Company, to finance certain of its investments. The following tables present the components of the Company's repurchase agreements as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by the type of securities collateralizing the repurchase agreement:

| Collateral Type | Balance | March 31, 2011 | | Fair Value of Collateral Pledged |
|---|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | | Weighted Average Rate | % | |
| Agency RMBS | \$ 1,457,047 | 0.29 | % | \$ 1,514,578 |
| Agency CMBS | 175,110 | 0.29 | % | 187,836 |
| Non-Agency RMBS | 11,451 | 1.22 | % | 13,177 |
| Non-Agency CMBS | 143,064 | 1.16 | % | 162,455 |
| Securitization financing bonds (see Note 9) | 62,211 | 1.23 | % | 72,092 |
| | \$ 1,848,883 | 0.39 | % | \$ 1,950,138 |

| Collateral Type | Balance | December 31, 2010 | | Fair Value of Collateral Pledged |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | | Weighted Average Rate | % | |
| Agency RMBS | \$869,537 | 0.33 | % | \$908,375 |
| Agency CMBS | 150,178 | 0.31 | % | 161,143 |
| Non-Agency CMBS | 135,143 | 1.29 | % | 164,871 |
| Non-Agency RMBS | 12,126 | 1.31 | % | 13,628 |
| Securitization financing bonds (see Note 9) | 67,199 | 1.36 | % | 79,080 |
| | \$1,234,183 | 0.50 | % | \$1,327,097 |

The combined weighted average term to original maturity for the Company's repurchase agreements was 50 days as of both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The following table provides a summary of the original maturity as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Original Maturity | | |
| 30 days or less | \$355,816 | \$478,848 |
| 31 to 60 days | 967,357 | 372,702 |
| 61 to 90 days | 418,640 | 202,569 |
| Greater than 90 days | 107,070 | 180,064 |
| | \$1,848,883 | \$1,234,183 |

As of March 31, 2011, the maximum amount of equity at risk under repurchase agreements with any individual counterparty is \$39,958.

Our repurchase agreement counterparties require us to comply with various customary operating and financial covenants, including, but not limited to, minimum net worth, minimum liquidity, and leverage requirements as well as maintaining our REIT status. In addition, some of the covenants contain cross default features, whereby default under one agreement simultaneously causes default under another agreement. To the extent that we fail to comply with the covenants contained in our financing agreements or are otherwise found to be in default under the terms of such agreements, we could be restricted from paying dividends or from engaging in other transactions that are necessary for us to maintain our REIT status. We were in compliance with all covenants as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

NOTE 9 – NON-RECOURSE COLLATERALIZED FINANCING

The following table summarized information about the Company's non-recourse collateralized financing for the periods indicated:

| | | | March 31, 2011 | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Interest Rate | Weighted Average Life Remaining (in years) | Balance Outstanding | Value of Collateral |
| Securitization financing: | | | | |
| Secured by commercial mortgage loans | 7.2% fixed | 3.4 | \$ 23,669 | \$ 92,062 (1) |
| Secured by non-Agency CMBS | 6.2% fixed | 3.0 | 15,000 | 16,576 |
| Secured by single-family mortgage loans | 1-month LIBOR plus 0.30% | 3.4 | 20,107 | 20,972 |
| TALF financing:(2) | | | | |
| Secured by non-Agency CMBS | 2.7% fixed | 3.0 | 50,651 | 70,251 |
| Unamortized net bond premium and deferred costs | | | (3,204) | n/a |
| | | | \$ 106,223 | \$ 199,861 |

| | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|---------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Interest Rate | Weighted Average Life Remaining (in years) | Balance Outstanding | Value of Collateral |
| Securitization financing: | | | | |
| Secured by commercial mortgage loans | 7.2% fixed | 3.7 | \$ 23,669 | \$97,959 (1) |
| Secured by non-Agency CMBS | 6.2% fixed | 3.4 | 15,000 | 16,754 |
| | 1-month LIBOR | | | |
| Secured by single-family mortgage loans | plus 0.30% | 3.4 | 21,183 | 21,889 |
| TALF financing: (2) | | | | |
| Secured by non-Agency CMBS | 2.7% fixed | 2.2 | 50,713 | 64,097 |
| Unamortized net bond premium and deferred costs | | | (3,460) | n/a |
| | | | \$ 107,105 | \$ 200,699 |

(1) The value of the commercial mortgage loans collateralizing the bond class with \$23,669 remaining outstanding represents the unpaid principal balance, which includes proceeds from defeased loans. The value of the commercial mortgage loans also includes the amounts collateralizing the bond classes of the trust that the Company has redeemed. These redeemed classes are senior to the outstanding class and have a balance as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 of \$48,894 and \$54,519, respectively, which must be paid in full before any proceeds from the collateral may be used to pay the balance of the outstanding class.

(2) Financing provided by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York under its Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility ("TALF").

The Company has redeemed securitization bonds in the past, and in certain instances, the Company has kept the bond outstanding and used it as collateral for additional repurchase agreement borrowings.

These additional borrowings may have been used to either finance the bond redemption or to purchase additional investments. Although these bonds are legally outstanding, the balances are eliminated in consolidation because the issuing trust is included in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The following table summarizes information regarding all of the Company's redeemed bonds that have an outstanding balance as of March 31, 2011:

| Collateral Type | Par Value Outstanding | Fair Value | Repurchase Agreement Balance |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| Single-family mortgage loans | \$ 24,398 | \$22,277 | \$20,383 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 48,894 | 49,815 | 41,828 |
| | \$ 73,292 | \$72,092 | \$62,211 |

NOTE 10 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company utilizes fair value measurements at various levels within the hierarchy established by ASC Topic 820 for certain of its assets and liabilities. The three levels of valuation hierarchy established by ASC Topic 820 are as

follows:

- Level 1 – Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.
- Level 2 – Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability through correlation with market data at the measurement date and for the duration of the instrument’s anticipated life. The Company’s fair valued assets and liabilities that are generally included in this category are Agency MBS, certain non-Agency CMBS, and derivatives.

19

- Level 3 – Inputs reflect management’s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. Consideration is given to the risk inherent in the valuation technique and the risk inherent in the inputs to the model. Generally, the Company’s assets and liabilities carried at fair value and included in this category are non-Agency MBS and delinquent property tax receivables.

The following table presents the fair value of the Company’s assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011, segregated by the hierarchy level of the fair value estimate:

| | Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements | | |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Agency MBS | \$ 1,869,765 | \$ – | \$ 1,869,765 | \$ – |
| Non-Agency MBS: | | | | |
| CMBS | 250,388 | – | 111,412 | 138,976 |
| RMBS | 14,841 | – | 6,054 | 8,787 |
| Other investments | 25 | – | – | 25 |
| Derivative assets | 3,021 | – | 3,021 | – |
| Total assets carried at fair value | \$ 2,138,040 | \$ – | \$ 1,990,252 | \$ 147,788 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities | \$ 3,236 | \$ – | \$ 3,236 | \$ – |
| Total liabilities carried at fair value | \$ 3,236 | \$ – | \$ 3,236 | \$ – |

The Company’s Agency MBS, as well a portion of its non-Agency CMBS, are substantially similar to securities that either are currently actively traded or have been recently traded in their respective market. Their fair values are derived from an average of multiple dealer quotes and thus are considered Level 2 fair value measurements.

The Company’s remaining non-Agency CMBS and non-Agency RMBS are comprised of securities for which there are not substantially similar securities that trade frequently. As such, the Company determines the fair value of those securities by discounting the estimated future cash flows derived from pricing models using assumptions that are confirmed to the extent possible by third party dealers or other pricing indicators. Significant inputs into those pricing models are Level 3 in nature due to the lack of readily available market quotes. Information utilized in those pricing models include the security’s credit rating, coupon rate, estimated prepayment speeds, expected weighted average life, collateral composition, estimated future interest rates, expected credit losses, credit enhancement, as well as certain other relevant information. The following tables present the beginning and ending balances of the Level 3 fair value estimates for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

| | Level 3 Fair Values | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------|
| | Non-Agency CMBS | Non-Agency RMBS | Other | Total assets |
| Balance as of January 1, 2011 | \$ 146,671 | \$ 9,307 | \$ 25 | \$ 156,003 |
| Total unrealized losses: | | | | |
| Included in other comprehensive income | (774) | (91) | – | (865) |
| Principal payments | (6,564) | (427) | – | (6,991) |
| Amortization | (357) | (2) | – | (359) |
| Balance as of March 31, 2011 | \$ 138,976 | \$ 8,787 | \$ 25 | \$ 147,788 |

The following table presents the recorded basis and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Recorded Basis | Fair Value | Recorded Basis | Fair Value |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Agency MBS | \$1,869,765 | \$1,869,765 | \$1,192,579 | \$1,192,579 |
| Non-Agency CMBS | 250,388 | 250,388 | 251,948 | 251,948 |
| Non-Agency RMBS | 14,841 | 14,841 | 15,408 | 15,408 |
| Securitized mortgage loans, net | 143,445 | 132,312 | 152,962 | 142,177 |
| Other investments | 1,171 | 1,065 | 1,229 | 1,112 |
| Derivative assets | 3,021 | 3,021 | 692 | 692 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Repurchase agreements | \$1,848,883 | \$1,848,883 | \$1,234,183 | \$1,234,183 |
| Non-recourse collateralized financing | 106,223 | 107,626 | 107,105 | 109,395 |
| Derivative liabilities | 3,236 | 3,236 | 3,532 | 3,532 |

There were no assets or liabilities which were measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

The following table presents certain information for Agency MBS and non-Agency MBS that were in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |
| Unrealized loss position for: | | | | |
| Less than one year: | | | | |
| Agency MBS | \$1,343,683 | \$12,104 | \$695,854 | \$6,638 |
| Non-Agency MBS | 49,506 | 399 | 45,602 | 592 |
| One year or more: | | | | |
| Agency MBS | 26,524 | 469 | | |
| Non-Agency MBS | 3,431 | 341 | 3,494 | 337 |
| | \$1,423,144 | \$13,313 | \$744,950 | \$7,567 |

Because the principal and interest related to Agency MBS are guaranteed by issuers who have the implicit guarantee of the U.S. government, the Company does not consider any of the unrealized losses on its Agency MBS to be credit related. The Company assesses its ability to hold an Agency MBS with an unrealized loss until the recovery in its value. This assessment is based on the amount of the unrealized loss and significance of the related investment as well as the Company's current leverage and anticipated liquidity. Based on this analysis, the Company has determined that the unrealized losses on its Agency MBS as of March 31, 2011 are temporary.

The Company reviews the estimated future cash flows for its non-Agency MBS to determine whether there have been adverse changes in the cash flows that necessitate recognition of other-than-temporary impairment amounts. Approximately \$44,557 of the \$52,937 non-Agency MBS in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2011 are investment grade MBS collateralized by mortgage loans that were originated during or prior to 1999. Based on the credit rating of these MBS and the seasoning of the mortgage loans collateralizing these securities, the

Company has determined that the impairment of these MBS is not other-than-temporary as of March 31, 2011.

The estimated cash flows of the remaining \$8,380 of non-Agency MBS were reviewed based on the performance of the underlying mortgage loans collateralizing the MBS as well as projected loss and prepayment rates. Based on that review, management did not determine any adverse changes in the timing or amount of estimated cash flows that necessitate recognition of other-than-temporary impairment amounts as of March 31, 2011.

NOTE 11 – COMMON STOCK

The Company has a continuous equity placement program (“EPP”) whereby the Company may offer and sell through its sales agent shares of its common stock in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be “at the market offerings,” as defined in Rule 415 under the 1933 Act, including sales made directly on the New York Stock Exchange or sales made to or through a market maker other than on an exchange. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company has received proceeds of \$4,332, net of broker sales commission, for 409,237 shares of common stock sold under this program at an average price of \$10.75. The Company originally registered 5,000,000 shares under the EPP, and as of March 31, 2011, has 538,147 remaining shares to be issued under the EPP.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company closed a public offering of 9,200,000 shares of its common stock, including 1,200,000 shares pursuant to an overallotment option that was fully exercised by the underwriters, at a public offering price of \$10.35 per share for total net proceeds of \$90,459 after deduction of underwriting discounts, commissions, and expenses. The Company has used a substantial amount of these proceeds to acquire additional investments consistent with its investment policy.

The following table presents a summary of the changes in the number of common shares outstanding for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | 30,342,897 | 13,931,512 |
| Common stock issued under EPP | 409,237 | 1,070,100 |
| Common stock issued via public offering | 9,200,000 | – |
| Common stock redeemed under 2004 Stock and Incentive Plan | 10,000 | – |
| Common stock issued under 2009 Stock and Incentive Plan | 356,025 | 36,190 |
| Balance at end of period | 40,318,159 | 15,037,802 |

On March 15, 2011, the Company declared common dividends of \$0.27 to be paid on April 29, 2011 to shareholders of record on March 31, 2011.

NOTE 12 – EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Stock Incentive Plan

Pursuant to the Company’s 2009 Stock and Incentive Plan, the Company may grant stock-based compensation to eligible employees, directors or consultants or advisors to the Company, including stock awards, stock options, stock appreciation rights (“SARs”), dividend equivalent rights, performance shares, and restricted stock units. Of the 2,500,000 shares of common stock authorized for issuance under this plan, 2,143,975 shares remain available as of March 31, 2011. Although the Company is no longer issuing stock-based compensation under its 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, there are stock options, SARs, and restricted stock still outstanding (and exercisable if vested) thereunder as of March 31, 2011.

Stock options and restricted stock that the Company has issued may be settled only in shares of its common stock, and therefore are treated as equity awards with their fair value measured at the grant date as required by ASC Topic 718. The compensation cost related to all stock options has been expensed in prior periods. As of March 31, 2011, the fair value of the Company's outstanding restricted stock remaining to be amortized into net income is \$3,128.

The following table presents a rollforward of the stock option activity for the periods presented:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | 2010 | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| | 2011 | | | Weighted-Average |
| | Number of | Weighted-Average | Number of | Exercise |
| | Shares | Exercise Price | Shares | Price |
| Options outstanding at beginning of period | 45,000 | \$ 8.75 | 95,000 | \$8.59 |
| Options granted | – | – | – | – |
| Options forfeited | – | – | – | – |
| Options exercised | (10,000) | 7.43 | – | – |
| Options outstanding at end of period (all vested and exercisable) | 35,000 | \$ 9.13 | 95,000 | \$8.59 |

The following table presents a rollforward of the restricted stock activity for the periods presented:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| | March 31, | 2010 |
| | 2011 | |
| Restricted stock at beginning of period | 25,000 | 32,500 |
| Restricted stock granted | 303,000 | – |
| Restricted stock forfeited | – | – |
| Restricted stock vested | (7,500) | (7,500) |
| Restricted stock outstanding at end of period | 320,500 | 25,000 |

SARs issued by the Company may be settled only in cash, and therefore have been treated as liability awards with their fair value measured at the grant date and remeasured at the end of each reporting period as required by ASC Topic 718. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of the Company's outstanding SARs of \$377 and \$492, respectively, are recorded as liabilities on its consolidated balance sheet for the respective periods. The fair value of SARs is estimated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model based upon the assumptions in the table below.

| | March 31, | December |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 31, 2010 |
| Expected volatility | 12.5%-16.6% | 16.2%-18.6% |
| Weighted-average volatility | 14.8 % | 17.3 % |
| Expected dividends | 11.1%-11.3 % | 9.9%-10.3 % |
| Expected term (in months) | 10 | 12 |
| Weighted-average risk-free rate | 0.84 % | 0.81 % |
| Range of risk-free rates | 0.4%-1.4 % | 0.4%-1.3 % |

As of March 31, 2011, the Company has 136,875 SARs outstanding, all of which are vested and exercisable at a weighted average price of \$7.31 at any time prior to their expiration dates. The weighted average remaining

contractual term on these outstanding SARs as of March 31, 2011 is 21 months, and 60,000 of the outstanding SARs are set to expire if they are not exercised on or before December 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2010, there were 278,146 SARs outstanding at a weighted average price of \$7.27, and 258,146 of those SARs were vested and exercisable at that time at a weighted average price of \$7.29. No SARs were granted, forfeited, or exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2011 or March 31, 2010.

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized by the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 is \$95 and \$59, respectively.

Employee Savings Plan

The Company provides an Employee Savings Plan under Section 401(k) of the Code. The Employee Savings Plan allows eligible employees to defer up to 25% of their income on a pretax basis. The Company matches the employees' contribution up to 6% of the employees' eligible compensation. The Company may also make discretionary contributions based on the profitability of the Company. The total expense related to the Company's matching and discretionary contributions for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 is \$84 and \$59, respectively. The Company does not provide post-employment or post-retirement benefits to its employees.

NOTE 13 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company and its subsidiaries are parties to various legal proceedings, including those described below. Although the ultimate outcome of these legal proceedings cannot be ascertained at this time, and the results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company believes, based on current knowledge, that the resolution of any of these proceedings, including those described below, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition or liquidity. However, the resolution of any of the proceedings described below could have a material impact on consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a given reporting period as the proceedings are resolved.

One of the Company's subsidiaries, GLS Capital, Inc. ("GLS"), and the County of Allegheny, Pennsylvania are defendants in a class action lawsuit filed in 1997 in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (the "Court"). Between 1995 and 1997, GLS purchased from Allegheny County delinquent county property tax receivables for properties located in the County. The plaintiffs in this matter have alleged that GLS improperly recovered or sought recovery for certain attorneys' fees expenses associated with the forced collection of delinquent property tax receivables. The Court granted class action status in August 2007. Throughout the course of this litigation, the Court has dismissed all claims against GLS except for the reasonableness of attorneys' fees charged by GLS in connection with the collection of the delinquent property tax receivables which were permitted to be assessed under the Municipal Claims and Tax Lien Act and set by ordinance approved by the County Council for the County of Allegheny. Specifically, the Court has allowed the pursuit by the named plaintiffs on behalf of the certified class of the claim that the ordinance(s) adopted by the County of Allegheny, set forth in the ordinance and used by GLS for certain legal work are unreasonable. Plaintiffs have not enumerated their damages in this matter.

On April 1, 2011 in the matter styled Basic Capital Management et al (the "BCM Plaintiffs") versus Dynex Commercial, Inc. ("DCI") and Dynex Capital, Inc. (DCI and the Company, together, the "Respondents"), the Supreme Court of Texas partially reversed the Fifth Court of Appeals at Dallas (the "Court of Appeals") and remanded the case back to the Court of Appeals for consideration of arguments not previously reached by the Court of Appeals. The appeal to the Supreme Court of Texas was filed by the BCM Plaintiffs. On remand, the BCM Plaintiffs are seeking reversal of the trial court's judgment and rendition of judgment against the Company for alleged breach of loan agreements for tenant improvements in the amount of \$300. They also seek reversal of the trial court's judgment and rendition of judgment against DCI in favor of BCM under two mutually exclusive damage models, for \$2,200 and \$25,600, respectively, related to the alleged breach by DCI of a \$160,000 "master" loan commitment. The BCM Plaintiffs also seek reversal and rendition of judgment in their favor for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$2,100. Alternatively, the BCM Plaintiffs seek a new trial. DCI is a former affiliate of the Company, and management does not believe that the Company would be obligated for any amounts awarded to the BCM Plaintiffs as a result of the actions of DCI. Dynex Capital and DCI

intend to file a motion to reconsider with the Supreme Court of Texas on or before May 16, 2011.

Dynex Capital, Inc., MERIT Securities Corporation, a subsidiary ("MERIT"), and the former President/Chief Executive Officer and current Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer of Dynex Capital, Inc., (together, the "Defendants") are defendants in a putative class action brought by the Teamsters Local 445 Freight Division Pension Fund (the "Teamsters") in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "District Court"). The original complaint, which was filed on February 7, 2005, alleged violations of the federal securities laws and was purportedly filed on behalf of purchasers between February 2000 and May 2004 of MERIT Series 12 and MERIT Series 13 securitization financing bonds (the "Bonds"), which are collateralized by manufactured housing loans. After a series of rulings by the District Court and an appeal by the Company and MERIT, on February 22, 2008 the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit dismissed the litigation against the Company and MERIT. The Teamsters filed an amended complaint on August 6, 2008, which essentially restated the same allegations as the original complaint and added the Company's former President/Chief Executive Officer and current Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer as defendants. The District Court denied Defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint. The Teamsters seek unspecified damages and allege, among other things, fraud and misrepresentation in connection with the issuance of and subsequent reporting related to the Bonds. On March 7, 2011, the District Court granted the Teamsters' motion to certify the class for this action. On March 18, 2011, the Defendants filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, seeking to reverse the class certification by the District Court. Prior to the District Court's certification of the class, the Defendants also filed with the District Court a motion to dismiss on the basis of fraud on the court related to statements attributed to alleged confidential witnesses in the amended complaint which the Company believes to be fabricated by plaintiff's counsel. The District Court referred the motion to dismiss to a Magistrate Judge, who, on April 29, 2011, recommended that the motion be denied. The Company intends to file in the District Court an objection to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. The Company has evaluated the allegations made in the amended complaint, and continues to believe them to be without merit and is vigorously defending itself in this matter.

NOTE 14 – ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Accumulated other comprehensive income as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is comprised of the following items:

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Available for sale investments: | | |
| Unrealized gains | \$19,557 | \$20,443 |
| Unrealized losses | (13,311) | (7,566) |
| | 6,246 | 12,877 |
| Hedging instruments: | | |
| Unrealized gains | 3,016 | 692 |
| Unrealized losses | (2,879) | (3,512) |
| | 137 | (2,820) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$6,383 | \$10,057 |

Due to the Company's REIT status, the items comprising other comprehensive income do not have related tax effects.

NOTE 15 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management has evaluated events and circumstances occurring as of and through the date this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was filed with the SEC and made available to the public and has determined that there have been no significant events or circumstances that qualify as recognized or nonrecognized "subsequent events" as defined by ASC

Topic 855.

25

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis is provided to increase understanding of, and should be read in conjunction with, our unaudited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our audited Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. References herein to "Dynex," the "company," "we," "us," and "our" include Dynex Capital, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless context otherwise requires. In addition to current and historical information, the following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to our future business, financial condition or results of operations. For a description of certain factors that may have a significant impact on our future business, financial condition or results of operations, see "Forward-Looking Statements" at the end of this discussion and analysis.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Company Overview

We are an internally-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, which invests in mortgage assets on a leveraged basis. Our objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted returns to our shareholders over the long term that are reflective of a leveraged, high quality fixed income portfolio with a focus on capital preservation. We seek to provide returns to our shareholders through regular quarterly dividends and through capital appreciation.

We were formed in 1987 and commenced operations in 1988. Beginning with our inception through 2000, our operations largely consisted of originating and securitizing various types of loans, principally single-family and commercial mortgage loans and manufactured housing loans. Since 2000, we have been an investor in mortgage-backed securities ("MBS"), and we are no longer originating or securitizing mortgage loans.

Our primary source of income is net interest income, which is the excess of the interest income earned on our investments over the cost of financing these investments. Our investment strategy as approved by our Board of Directors is a hybrid-investment strategy that targets higher credit quality, shorter duration investments in Agency MBS and non-Agency MBS. Investments considered to be of higher credit quality have less or limited exposure to loss of principal while investments which have shorter durations have less exposure to changes in interest rates.

Agency MBS consist of residential MBS ("RMBS") and commercial MBS ("CMBS"), which come with a guaranty of payment by the U.S. government or a U.S. government-sponsored entity such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Non-Agency MBS (also consisting of RMBS and CMBS) have no such guaranty of payment. We currently target an overall investment portfolio composition of 50%-70% in Agency MBS with the balance in non-Agency MBS and securitized mortgage loans. Securitized mortgage loans are loans which were originated and securitized by us during the 1990s.

In executing our investment strategy, we seek to balance the various risks of owning mortgage assets, such as interest rate, credit, prepayment, and liquidity risk with the earnings opportunity on the investment. We believe our strategy of investing in Agency and non-Agency mortgage assets provides superior diversification of these risks across our investment portfolio and therefore provides plentiful opportunities to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns while preserving our shareholders' capital.

Factors that Affect Our Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Our financial condition and results of operations are affected by a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. The success of our investment strategy and our results of operations and financial condition are impacted by a variety of industry and economic factors including interest rates, trends of interest rates, the steepness of interest rate curves, prepayment rates on our investments, competition for investments, economic conditions and their impact on the credit performance of our investments, and actions taken by the U.S. government, including the U.S. Federal Reserve and/or the U.S. Department of the Treasury (“Treasury”).

Our investment strategy may also be impacted by other factors such as the state of the overall credit markets, which could impact the availability and costs of financing. Reductions in the availability of financing for our investments could significantly impact our business and force us to sell assets that we otherwise would not sell.

Investing in mortgage-related securities on a leveraged basis subjects us to interest rate risk from the change in the absolute level of rates (e.g., the level of LIBOR or Treasury securities rates), the changes in relationships between rate indices (e.g., LIBOR versus Treasury securities rates), and changes in the relationships between short-term and long-term rates (e.g., the 2-year Treasury securities rate versus the 10-year Treasury securities rate). Interest rate risk also arises from changes in market spreads reflecting the perceived riskiness of assets (e.g., swap rates and mortgage rates relative to the Treasury securities rates). We attempt to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates by investing in shorter duration instruments and managing our investment portfolio within risk tolerances set by our Board of Directors. Our current goal is to maintain a portfolio duration target (a measure of interest rate risk) within a range of 0.5 to 1.5 years. Our portfolio duration could drift outside of our target range due to changes in market conditions, interest rates, and activity in our investment portfolio. We will use interest rate swaps to help manage our interest rate risk and, where practical, we will attempt to fund our assets with financings that have similar terms as the related investments. In general, mortgage portfolios with positive duration that use repurchase agreement financing will underperform in a period of rising interest rates and outperform in a period of declining interest rates.

The interest rates on our assets will generally reset less frequently than the interest rates on our liabilities, particularly our repurchase agreement financing. As such, during periods of rising interest rates, we will generally experience a reduction in our net interest income, notwithstanding our efforts to manage interest rate risk. This reduction in net interest income will be larger when short-term interest rates are rising rapidly. With the maturities of our assets generally of longer term than those of our liabilities, interest rate increases will also tend to decrease the market value of our assets (and therefore our book value).

Many of our investments are purchased at premiums to their par balance. Because we amortize premiums based on contractual payments as well as actual and expected future principal prepayments on the investments, changes in actual and expected prepayment rates will impact our yield on these investments. Principal prepayments on our investments are influenced by changes in market interest rates and a variety of economic, geographic, and other factors beyond our control. In addition, actions taken by the U.S. government could increase prepayments as discussed further below under “Trends and Recent Market Impacts”. Increasing prepayments on premium assets will reduce their overall yield negatively impacting our results. We attempt to manage the risks of purchasing assets at a premium by purchasing assets with protection from prepayments (e.g., Agency CMBS) and by purchasing assets which we believe will have less susceptibility to prepayments (e.g., hybrid Agency ARMs collateralized by interest-only loans).

Trends and Recent Market Impacts

The following marketplace conditions and prospective trends have impacted and may continue to impact our future results of operations:

Credit Markets and Liquidity Risk

Our business model requires that we have access to leverage, principally the repurchase agreement market. Repurchase agreement financing is uncommitted financing and as such, there can be no guarantee that we will always have access to such financing. During periods of sustained volatility in the credit markets, such as was experienced in 2008, access to repurchase agreement financing may be limited as liquidity providers reduce their exposure to the short term funding credit markets, which includes repurchase agreement markets. In an attempt to manage this risk,

we seek to diversify our exposure to repurchase agreement counterparties and seek to extend the maturity dates of our repurchase agreements where practicable. We believe the diversification of counterparties reduces, but does not eliminate, our liquidity risk resulting from the exit or failure of one or more of our repurchase

agreement counterparties. For additional information regarding liquidity risk, please refer to “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk” within Part I, Item 3 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well Item 1A “Risk Factors” contained within the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Interest Rates

In response to the volatility and lack of liquidity in the credit markets in 2008, the Federal Reserve lowered the Federal Funds Target Rate (the rate at which U.S. banks may borrow from each other) from 4.25% at the beginning of 2008 to its current targeted rate of 0.25%. While the credit markets are functioning more normally and liquidity has generally returned, economic activity in the U.S. has remained muted, as measured by gross domestic product, low rates of capacity utilization and high rates of unemployment. As a result, the U.S. Federal Reserve has pledged to keep the Federal Funds Target Rate at the historically low target rate of 0.25% for an extended period. As economic activity improves, the Federal Reserve may decide to increase the Federal Funds Target Rate. Such an increase would likely increase our funding costs because, as discussed above, our repurchase agreement financing is based on LIBOR, which typically closely tracks the Federal Funds Target Rate.

Yield Curve

As of March 31, 2011, the spread between the two-year Treasury security and the ten-year Treasury security was 2.65% versus a similar spread as of December 31, 2010 of 2.70%. During the first quarter, the yields on the two-year Treasury and ten-year Treasury securities increased 0.23% and 0.18%, respectively. While our borrowing costs are based on short-term market rates such as LIBOR and the Federal Funds Target Rate, our asset yields more closely correlate with longer-term Treasury rates and longer-term swap rates. In addition, the market prices of our Agency and non-Agency MBS also correlate more closely with longer term Treasury and swap rates, particularly our CMBS which typically will have longer durations than our RMBS. With the yield curve remaining steep, our MBS continue to produce high yields relative to our financing costs and enjoy strong liquidity and favorable pricing. A change in the shape of the yield curve could impact the market value of our investments and our net interest income. As discussed previously, we may hedge our exposure to changes in interest rates by entering into pay-fixed interest rate swaps.

Prepayments and Agency MBS

We have continued to experience favorable prepayment activity on our Agency RMBS due in large part to the inability of borrowers to refinance their mortgages, although we experienced an increase in prepayments in the first quarter of 2011. Our average constant prepayment rate, or CPR, for our Agency RMBS during the first quarter of 2011 was 21.9% versus 24.0% for the fourth quarter of 2010, and 25.8% for all of 2010. As of March 31, 2011, the weighted average coupon on the mortgage loans underlying our Agency RMBS was 4.92%, while the annual average 30-year fixed mortgage rate and the 5-year hybrid ARM mortgage rate were 4.86% and 3.70%, respectively, as published by Freddie Mac. Generally, this type of interest rate environment encourages the average borrower to refinance their mortgage loans at lower rates. However, in many cases, obstacles exist to refinancing, including but not limited to, the lack of borrower’s equity in the underlying real estate and the lack of an acceptable level of income. These obstacles are currently contributing to the limited refinancing of loans in our Agency RMBS portfolio and are keeping prepayment speeds relatively low. If mortgage rates remain low and the obstacles to refinancing are removed either through changes in government or Agency policies, through more housing price appreciation or other reasons, we may experience increased prepayments. As discussed above, increased prepayments may impact our net interest income by increasing the amortization expense on any investments which we own at premiums to their par balance.

GSE Reform

On February 11, 2011, the Treasury released proposals to limit or potentially wind down the role that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac play in the mortgage market. Any such proposals, if enacted, may have broad adverse implications for the MBS market and our business, operations and financial condition. We expect such proposals to be the subject of significant discussion, and it is not yet possible to determine whether such proposals will be enacted. We do not believe the ultimate reform of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will occur in 2011.

Financial Regulatory Reform Bill and Other Government Activity

In July 2010 the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) was enacted into law. This legislation aims to restore responsibility and accountability to the financial system. It is unclear how this legislation may impact the borrowing environment, the investing environment for Agency and non-Agency MBS, or interest rate swaps and other derivatives because much of the Dodd-Frank Act’s implementation has not yet been defined by regulators.

In addition to the lowering of the Federal Funds Target Rate discussed above, the Federal Reserve has also responded to the instability that began in 2008 by purchasing Agency MBS and Treasury securities. From January 2009 through March 31, 2010, the Federal Reserve purchased approximately \$1.25 trillion of Agency MBS. More recently in November 2010, the Federal Reserve announced a program to purchase up to \$600 billion in Treasury securities of varying maturities through the end of the second quarter of 2011. The impact of the Federal Reserve terminating its purchases is unknown.

The U.S. government is providing homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures. The programs may involve, among other things, the modification of mortgage loans to reduce the principal amount of the loans, the rate of interest payable on the loans, or to extend the payment terms of the loans. While the effect of these programs has not been as extensive as originally expected, the government may change them or add new programs in the future. The impact of these programs may have the effect of increasing prepayment rates and reducing the principal or interest payments on residential mortgage loans held by certain types of borrowers. The effect of such programs for holders of Agency RMBS could be that such holders would experience changes in the anticipated yields of their Agency RMBS due to increased prepayment rates and lower interest and principal payments.

Highlights of the First Quarter and Second Quarter Outlook

Our results for the first quarter of 2011 were positively impacted by the continued growth in our investment portfolio. During the quarter we raised \$94.8 million in new equity capital and deployed a majority of these proceeds, increasing our investment portfolio from \$1,614.1 million as of December 31, 2010 to \$2,279.6 million as of March 31, 2011. Substantially all of the growth in our investment portfolio was in Agency RMBS which is where we identified the most significant investment opportunity. Given the amount of competition for Agency RMBS during the quarter, most of these purchases that we made were at higher dollar prices than our existing Agency RMBS and consequently at lower yields. However, we expect that these investments will positively impact our net interest income. As of March 31, 2011, we have an estimated \$15.0 million in capital remaining to deploy from the \$94.8 million raised during the quarter. Although our leverage ratio is up slightly since year end to 5.2 times equity capital, it is below our target of 6.0.

Our net interest spread on our total investment portfolio declined for the first quarter of 2011 to 2.68% from 3.07% for the fourth quarter of 2010 primarily due to a decline in our yields on assets. See further discussion below in “Results of

Operations”.

Our macroeconomic view remains the same as in recent quarters, namely that the yield curve will remain steep and short-term interest rates will remain low. Our challenge for the balance of 2011 will be finding attractive investment alternatives given the lower interest rate, higher priced investment environment. The mortgage market

29

continues to present challenges to leveraged investors given the uncertainty regarding government policy and potential actions by the Federal Reserve. Significant friction in the refinancing market continues to persist, and, absent a government-induced refinance wave, we expect voluntary prepayments on Agency RMBS to remain somewhat muted for the foreseeable future despite the near historic low rate environment. As such, our current focus is on acquiring shorter duration, higher coupon Agency RMBS. We plan to acquire these investments at leverage levels of 8-9 times equity capital using repurchase agreement financing which should continue to increase our overall leverage toward six times our equity capital.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based in large part upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base these estimates and judgments on historical experience and assumptions believed to be reasonable under current facts and circumstances. Actual results, however, may differ from the estimated amounts we have recorded.

Our accounting policies that require the most significant management estimates, judgments or assumptions and are considered most critical to our results of operations or financial position relate to consolidation of subsidiaries, securitization, impairments, allowance for loan losses, derivatives, fair value measurements, and amortization of premiums/discounts on Agency MBS. Our critical accounting policies are discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 under “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies” and in Note 1 of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. There have been no changes in our critical accounting policies as discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, except as discussed in Note 1 of the Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

The following discussion addresses our balance sheet items that had significant activity during the past quarter and should be read in conjunction with the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements contained within Item 1 of Part I to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Agency MBS

Our Agency MBS are classified as available-for-sale or trading and are carried at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet. Activity for the periods indicated is as follows:

| (amounts in thousands) | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|----------|------------|
| | RMBS | CMBS | Total | RMBS | CMBS | Total |
| Beginning balance | \$986,011 | \$206,568 | \$1,192,579 | \$590,561 | \$– | \$594,120 |
| Purchases | 718,730 | 45,227 | 763,957 | 649,590 | 211,893 | 908,407 |
| Principal payments | (76,474) | (663) | (77,137) | (225,688) | (1,805) | (274,076) |
| Sales | – | – | – | (18,762) | – | (18,762) |
| Net unrealized loss | (4,860) | (1,356) | (6,216) | (6,063) | (2,700) | (8,763) |
| Net amortization and other | (2,715) | (703) | (3,418) | (3,627) | (820) | (4,615) |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Ending balance | \$1,620,692 | \$249,073 | \$1,869,765 | \$986,011 | \$206,568 | \$1,192,579 |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|

The following table presents the fair value of our Agency MBS portfolio as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by government issuer and type of interest rate on the underlying mortgage loans:

| (amounts in thousands) | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Fannie Mae | Freddie Mac | Total | Fannie Mae | Freddie Mac | Total |
| Hybrid ARMs | \$959,866 | \$380,562 | \$1,340,428 | \$496,601 | \$262,878 | \$759,479 |
| ARMs | 203,448 | 76,746 | 280,194 | 198,638 | 27,821 | 226,459 |
| Fixed rate | 243,105 | 6,038 | 249,143 | 206,641 | | 206,641 |
| | \$1,406,419 | \$463,346 | \$1,869,765 | \$901,880 | \$290,699 | \$1,192,579 |

The following table presents the weighted average coupon (“WAC”) based on the par value of our Agency MBS portfolio as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by weighted average months-to-reset (“MTR”):

| MTR (amounts in thousands) | March 31, 2011(1) | | | December 31, 2010(2) | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------|----------------------|-------------|--------|---|
| | Par Value | Fair Value | WAC | Par Value | Fair Value | WAC | |
| 0-12 months | \$266,614 | \$280,194 | 3.69 % | \$216,420 | \$226,459 | 3.35 % | % |
| 13-24 months | 335,894 | 356,532 | 5.36 % | 189,841 | 201,144 | 5.50 % | % |
| 25-36 months | 266,480 | 282,999 | 5.17 % | 304,713 | 322,758 | 5.10 % | % |
| Over 36 months | 673,761 | 700,897 | 3.90 % | 226,329 | 235,577 | 3.81 % | % |
| Fixed rate | 226,682 | 249,143 | 5.38 % | 190,584 | 206,641 | 5.41 % | % |
| | \$1,769,431 | \$1,869,765 | 4.53 % | \$1,127,887 | \$1,192,579 | 4.62 % | % |

(1) As of March 31, 2011, approximately 3% of our Agency ARMs and hybrid ARMs reset based upon the level of six month LIBOR, 95% reset based on the level of one-year LIBOR and 2% reset based on the level of one-year CMT.

(2) As of December 31, 2010, approximately 5% of our Agency ARMs and hybrid ARMs reset based upon the level of six month LIBOR, 92% reset based on the level of one-year LIBOR and 3% reset based on the level of one-year CMT.

Please also refer to “Net Interest Income – Agency MBS” contained within “Results of Operations” of this Item 2 as well as Note 3 of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” contained within Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for additional information relating to our Agency MBS.

Non-Agency MBS

Activity related to our non-Agency MBS, which are classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value, is as follows:

| (amounts in thousands) | March 31, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| | RMBS | CMBS(1) | Total | RMBS | CMBS | Total |
| Beginning balance | \$ 15,408 | \$ 251,948 | \$ 267,356 | \$ 5,907 | \$ 118,127 | \$ 124,034 |
| Purchases/redemptions | | 5,827 | 5,827 | 11,671 | 183,045 | 194,716 |
| Principal payments | (427) | (6,734) | (7,161) | (3,054) | (27,676) | (30,730) |
| Sales | | | | | (31,328) | (31,328) |
| Net unrealized (loss) gain | (159) | (137) | (296) | 840 | 11,178 | 12,018 |
| Net accretion (amortization) | 19 | (516) | (497) | 44 | (1,398) | (1,354) |
| Ending balance | \$ 14,841 | \$ 250,388 | \$ 265,229 | \$ 15,408 | \$ 251,948 | \$ 267,356 |

The following table presents our non-Agency MBS portfolio grouped by investment rating as of March 31, 2011:

| (amounts in thousands) | RMBS | CMBS | Total |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| AAA | \$ 10,462 | \$ 196,038 | \$ 206,500 |
| AA | 216 | | 216 |
| A | 76 | 50,024 | 50,100 |
| Below A/Not Rated | 4,087 | 4,326 | 8,413 |
| | \$ 14,841 | \$ 250,388 | \$ 265,229 |

Please also refer to “Net Interest Income – Non-Agency MBS” contained within “Results of Operations” of this Item 2 as well as Note 4 of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” contained within Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for additional information relating to our non-Agency MBS.

Securitized Mortgage Loans, Net

Securitized mortgage loans are comprised of loans secured by first deeds of trust on single-family residential and commercial properties. Our net basis in these loans at amortized cost, which includes discounts, premiums, deferred costs, and allowance for loan losses, is presented in the following table by the type of property collateralizing the loan.

| (amounts in thousands) | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Commercial | \$91,095 | \$98,167 |
| Single-family | 52,350 | 54,795 |
| | \$143,445 | \$152,962 |

Our securitized commercial mortgage loans have decreased \$7.1 million since December 31, 2010 primarily due to principal payments, including amounts received on defeased loans, of \$6.7 million. The unpaid principal balance of our securitized commercial mortgage loans as of March 31, 2011 is \$92.3 million, and \$21.7 million are considered seriously delinquent (60 or more days past due) and are on nonaccrual status. The \$7.6 million increase in the balance of seriously delinquent loans, which was \$14.1 million as of December 31, 2010, is related to an \$8.1 million dollar commercial mortgage loan on a property in Denver, Colorado that became seriously delinquent during the first quarter of 2011. The estimated value of the property collateralizing the loan appears to be adequate to fully repay the loan should it default, and we have accordingly not provided any reserves specifically for this loan. We have approximately \$4.2 million in the allowance for loan losses for our commercial mortgage loans. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we charged off \$0.2 million related to the unpaid principal balance on a commercial mortgage loan.

Our securitized single-family mortgage loans have decreased \$2.4 million since December 31, 2010 due to principal payments on the loans of \$2.4 million, of which 64.9% were unscheduled. The unpaid principal balance of our securitized single-family mortgage loans as of March 31, 2011 is \$51.8 million, and \$3.0 million are considered seriously delinquent. We have provided approximately \$0.2 million in allowance for loan losses on these single-family mortgage loans.

Please refer to Notes 1, 5, and 6 of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” contained within Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for additional information relating to our securitized mortgage loans and allowance for loan losses.

Repurchase Agreements

Repurchase agreements increased a net \$614.7 million from December 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011 primarily due to additional borrowings to finance our purchases of Agency MBS. Please refer to Note 8 of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” contained within Item 1 of Part I of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as well as “Liquidity and Capital Resources” contained within this Item 2 for additional information relating to our repurchase agreements.

Non-Recourse Collateralized Financing

Non-recourse collateralized financing consists of securitization financing and TALF. The balances in the table below include unpaid principal, premiums, discounts, and deferred costs.

| (amounts in thousands) | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| TALF: | | |
| Fixed, secured by CMBS | \$50,585 | \$50,639 |
| Securitization financing bonds: | | |
| Fixed, secured by commercial mortgage loans | 35,895 | 35,673 |
| Variable, secured by single-family mortgage loans | 19,743 | 20,793 |
| | \$106,223 | \$107,105 |

The outstanding balance of non-recourse collateralized financing declined during the three months ended March 31, 2011 due primarily to principal payments received of \$1.1 million offset partially by net amortization of bond discounts and other issuance costs of \$0.3 million.

Shareholders' Equity

Shareholders' equity increased during the three months ended March 31, 2011 primarily because of our issuance of 9.6 million shares of our common stock at a weighted average price of \$10.37, which resulted in proceeds of \$94.8 million, net of issuance costs. Additional increases in shareholders' equity resulted from net income of \$10.3 million, but were partially offset by dividends declared on our common stock of \$10.9 million.

Supplemental Investment Information

The tables below summarize the financial condition and net interest income of our investment portfolio by major category for the periods presented:

| (amounts in thousands) | As of March 31, 2011 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | Asset Carrying Basis | Associated Financing(1)/ Liability Carrying Basis | Allocated Shareholders' Equity | % of Shareholders' Equity | |
| Agency RMBS | \$1,620,692 | \$(1,457,047) | \$ 163,645 | 42.7 | % |
| Agency CMBS | 249,073 | (175,110) | 73,963 | 19.3 | % |
| Non-Agency RMBS | 14,841 | (11,451) | 3,390 | 0.9 | % |
| Non-Agency CMBS | 250,388 | (208,250) | 42,138 | 11.0 | % |
| Securitized mortgage loans | 143,445 | (103,248) | 40,197 | 10.5 | % |
| Other investments | 1,171 | – | 1,171 | 0.3 | % |
| Derivative assets (liabilities) | 3,021 | (3,236) | (215) | (0.1) | % |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 49,840 | – | 49,840 | 13.0 | % |
| Other assets/other liabilities | 27,345 | (17,981) | 9,364 | 2.4 | % |
| | \$2,359,816 | \$(1,976,323) | \$ 383,493 | 100.0 | % |

| (amounts in thousands) | As of December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | Asset Carrying Basis | Associated Financing(1)/ Liability Carrying Basis | Allocated Shareholders' Equity | % of Shareholders' Equity | |
| Agency RMBS | \$986,011 | \$(869,537) | \$ 116,474 | 39.8 | % |
| Agency CMBS | 206,568 | (150,178) | 56,390 | 19.3 | % |
| Non-Agency RMBS | 15,408 | (12,126) | 3,282 | 1.1 | % |
| Non-Agency CMBS | 251,948 | (200,328) | 51,620 | 17.7 | % |
| Securitized mortgage loans | 152,962 | (109,119) | 43,843 | 15.0 | % |
| Other investments | 1,229 | – | 1,229 | 0.4 | % |
| Derivative assets (liabilities) | 692 | (3,532) | (2,840) | (0.9) | % |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 18,836 | – | 18,836 | 6.4 | % |
| Other assets/other liabilities | 15,930 | (12,407) | 3,523 | 1.2 | % |
| | \$1,649,584 | \$(1,357,227) | \$ 292,357 | 100.0 | % |

(1) Associated financing related to investments includes repurchase agreements, securitization financing issued to third parties, and TALF financing (the latter two of which are presented on the Company's balance sheet as "non-recourse collateralized financing"). Associated financing for derivative instruments represents the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements in a liability position.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2010

Net Interest Income - Agency MBS

The following table provides a summary of the results of our Agency MBS investments and related financings by type of collateral for the periods indicated:

| (amounts in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
| | Income (Expense) (1) | Average Balance(2) | Effective Yield (Rate)(3) | Income (Expense) (1) | Average Balance(2) | Effective Yield (Rate)(3) |
| Agency RMBS | \$ 9,351 | \$ 1,145,261 | 3.24 % | \$ 4,854 | \$ 548,089 | 3.72 % |
| Financing | (1,949) | (1,052,506) | (0.74 %) | (785) | (511,458) | (0.62 %) |
| Net interest income/spread | \$ 7,402 | | 2.50 % | \$ 4,069 | | 3.10 % |
| Agency CMBS | \$ 2,167 | \$ 231,899 | 3.73 % | \$ 14 | 1,702 | 3.28 % |
| Financing | (676) | (165,790) | (1.64 %) | – | – | – |
| Net interest income/spread | \$ 1,491 | | 2.09 % | \$ 14 | | 3.28 % |

(1) Expense amounts and financing rates include interest rate swap expense.

(2) Average balances are calculated as a simple average of the daily balances and exclude unrealized gains and losses.

(3) Certain income and expense items of a one-time nature are not annualized for the calculation of effective yields or rates. Examples of such one-time items include retrospective adjustments of discount and premium amortization arising from adjustments of effective interest rates.

Our interest income from Agency RMBS increased for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 due to our purchases of \$1,368.3 million of these investments subsequent to March 31, 2010. Although we purchased \$718.7 million in Agency RMBS during the three months ended March 31, 2011, approximately 52% of those purchases did not settle until late March 2011.

The average coupon on our Agency RMBS for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 17 basis points lower than our average coupon for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The lower average coupon reflects our acquisition of newer Agency securities with lower interest rates on the underlying collateral as well as the resetting to lower interest rates on the loans underlying our existing Agency RMBS.

Our effective interest yield earned on our Agency RMBS declined 48 basis points for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decline principally relates to our acquisition of Agency RMBS at higher prices since the first quarter of 2010. Our net premium amortization, which decreases interest income and the effective yield earned on the securities owned, for Agency RMBS increased \$1.4 million to \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. In addition, the rate at which we are amortizing our premiums on Agency RMBS increased due to our expectations of increased prepayment speeds.

Our interest income on Agency CMBS increased for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 due to our \$256.0 million in Agency CMBS purchases during the last twelve months.

Excluding interest rate swap expense, our financing cost for Agency MBS increased \$0.6 million to \$0.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 from \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This is mostly due to the \$706.8 million increase in our average balance of financing due to our use of increased

repurchase agreement borrowings to finance our Agency MBS purchases. In addition, the average rate on the repurchase agreements increased 4 basis points to 0.30% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 from 0.26% for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Our repurchase agreement borrowings are based on one-month LIBOR rates which increased to an average of 26 basis points for the three months ended March 31, 2011 from 23 basis points for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Our financing costs for Agency MBS shown in the table above includes \$1.9 million of interest expense related to our interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges on our repurchase agreement financings compared to \$0.5 million of interest rate swap expense for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Since March 31, 2010, we have entered into an additional 14 swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges with a combined notional amount of \$665.0 million. As of March 31, 2011, the weighted average fixed rate on our cash flow hedges was 1.59% compared to 1.41% as of March 31, 2010.

Net Interest Income – Non-Agency MBS

The following table provides a summary of the results of our non-Agency MBS investments and related financings by type of collateral for the periods indicated:

| (amounts in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
| | Income (Expense) (1) | Average Balance(2) | Effective Yield/Rate(3) | Income (Expense) (1) | Average Balance(2) | Effective Yield/Rate(3) |
| Non-Agency RMBS Financing | \$ 204 (37) | \$ 14,854 (11,628) | 5.54 % (1.27 %) | \$ 132 (6) | \$ 6,196 (1,329) | 8.51 % (1.76 %) |
| Net interest income/spread | \$ 167 | | 4.27 % | \$ 126 | | 6.75 % |
| Non-Agency CMBS Financing | \$ 3,487 (1,204) | \$ 239,778 (205,527) | 5.96 % (2.35 %) | \$ 2,369 (688) | \$ 136,875 (109,885) | 6.92 % (2.52 %) |
| Net interest income/spread | \$ 2,283 | | 3.61 % | \$ 1,681 | | 4.40 % |

(1) Expense amounts and financing rates include interest rate swap expense.

(2) Average balances are calculated as a simple average of the daily balances and exclude unrealized gains and losses.

(3) Certain income and expense items of a one-time nature are not annualized for the calculation of effective yields or rates. Examples of such one-time items include retrospective adjustments of discount and premium amortization arising from adjustments of effective interest rates.

Our interest income from non-Agency MBS increased for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 due to our purchases of \$200.5 million of these investments subsequent to March 31, 2010, of which \$5.8 million were purchased during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Our non-Agency RMBS experienced a decrease in effective yield because the loans underlying these securities reset at lower interest rates during 2010. The weighted average coupon on our non-Agency RMBS for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was 4.88% compared to 6.88% for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Our non-Agency CMBS experienced a decrease in effective yield due to purchases of these securities with lower weighted average coupons than those in the portfolio as of March 31, 2010 as well as increased premium amortizations due to an increase in prepayment activity.

Non-Agency MBS is financed using recourse repurchase agreements and non-recourse securitization and TALF financings. Interest expense from recourse financing collateralized by non-Agency MBS increased to \$0.5 million (excluding interest rate swap expense) for the three months March 31, 2011 compared to \$0.4 million for the

three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase is driven by the increased volume of repurchase agreement borrowings used to finance our non-Agency purchases. The average rate on these repurchase agreements (excluding interest rate swap expense) decreased 45 basis points to 1.22% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 from 1.67% for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Interest expense from TALF financings collateralized by non-Agency CMBS was \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 because these borrowings agreements were not entered into until the latter half of the first quarter of 2010.

Net Interest Income – Securitized Mortgage Loans

The following table provides a summary of the results of our securitized mortgage loans and related financing for the periods indicated:

| (amounts in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| | | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
| | Income (Expense) (1) | Average Balance(2) | Effective Yield/Rate(3) | | Income (Expense) (1) | Average Balance(2) | Effective Yield/Rate(3) |
| Securitized mortgage loans | \$2,219 | \$151,602 | 5.75 % | | \$3,623 | \$212,465 | 6.74 % |
| Financing | (868) | (106,169) | (3.15 %) | | (2,357) | (165,072) | (5.88 %) |
| Net interest income/spread | \$1,351 | | 2.60 % | | \$1,266 | | 0.86 % |

(1) Average balance excludes funds held by trustees except proceeds from defeased loans held by trustees.

(2) Certain income and expense items of a one-time nature are not annualized for the calculation of effective yields or rates. Examples of such one-time items include retrospective adjustments of discount and premium amortization arising from adjustments of effective interest rates.

The majority of the decrease in interest income on securitized mortgage loans is related to the lower average balance of the securitized mortgage loans outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2011, which decreased approximately \$60.9 million compared to the average balance for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in the average balance is primarily related to principal payments received of \$56.3 million from March 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011, which includes both scheduled and unscheduled payments, net of amounts received on defeased loans. These balances have decreased year over year because we are no longer adding mortgage loans to our investment portfolio.

Interest income also declined as a result of an approximately 34 basis point decrease in the average yield on the single-family mortgage loan portion of our portfolio to 3.91% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 from 4.25% for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The average yield on the single-family mortgage loans declined as the interest rates on the underlying ARM loans reset at lower interest rates to a weighted average of 4.83% as of March 31, 2011 from a weighted average of 5.14% as of March 31, 2010.

The decrease in interest expense associated with securitized mortgage loans is related to a decline in the average balance of outstanding financing which declined due to the principal payments on the mortgage loan collateral noted above (as these payments received are used to pay down the principal on the related bonds) as well as the redemption during the third quarter of 2010 of a portion of our outstanding securitization financing collateralized by securitized commercial mortgage loans.

Provision for Loan Losses

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we added approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, of reserves for estimated losses on our securitized commercial mortgage loan portfolio. The reserves we provided during the three months ended March 31, 2011 primarily related to a decrease in the expected

liquidation values of certain properties collateralizing three of our commercial mortgage loans previously identified as impaired. We experienced a significant increase in seriously delinquent securitized commercial mortgage loans from December 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011 due to a commercial mortgage loan with an unpaid principal balance of \$8.1 million collateralized by a property in Denver, Colorado. The fair value of the property is estimated to be adequate enough to fully repay the loan should it default, and we have accordingly not provided any reserves specifically for this loan.

We did not provide any additional reserves for our portfolio of securitized single-family mortgage loans during the three months ended March 31, 2011, because we believe that our current reserves are sufficient to cover projected losses on our securitized single-family mortgage loans.

Please refer to Note 1 of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” contained within Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for information regarding the considerations included in our estimate for loan losses.

Fair Value Adjustments, net

Fair value adjustments, net for the three months ended March 31, 2011 consists of \$0.3 million loss on interest rate swaps designated as trading, partially offset by a \$0.1 million gain on Agency CMBS designated as trading and a \$0.1 million gain due to mark-to-market adjustments on other miscellaneous assets.

Other Income, net

Other income, net for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was immaterial compared to \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, which was primarily due to the repayment of two delinquent commercial mortgage loans by a guarantor.

General and Administrative Expenses

Although our overall general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 increased minimally compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, our salary and benefits expense increased approximately 16.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase is primarily due to employee salary increases as well as the addition of two new employees since the first quarter of 2010.

Other general and administrative expenses decreased approximately 11.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary sources of liquidity include borrowings under repurchase arrangements, non-recourse collateralized financings, and monthly principal and interest payments we receive on our investments. Additional sources may also include proceeds from the sale of investments, equity offerings, and payments received from counterparties from interest rate swap agreements. We use our liquidity to fund our investment purchases and other operating costs, to pay down borrowings, to make payments to counterparties as required under interest rate swap agreements, and to pay dividends on our common stock.

Repurchase Agreements

Our repurchase agreement borrowings generally have a term of between one and three months and carry a rate of interest based on a spread to an index such as LIBOR. Repurchase agreements are renewable at the discretion of our lenders and do not contain guaranteed roll-over terms. Given the short-term and uncommitted nature of repurchase agreement borrowings, we seek to maintain lending arrangements with multiple counterparties.

We currently have 21 repurchase agreement lenders, and as of March 31, 2011, we had \$1.8 billion in repurchase agreement borrowings outstanding with 18 of these counterparties at a weighted average borrowing rate of 0.39%. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$1.2 billion outstanding with a weighted average borrowing rate of 0.50%.

The following table presents certain quantitative information regarding our short-term borrowings under repurchase agreements for the periods indicated:

| (amounts in thousands) | Three Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Average balance outstanding | \$1,434,700 | \$628,268 |
| Weighted average borrowing rate | 0.44 % | 0.52 % |
| Maximum balance outstanding | \$1,848,883 | \$679,341 |

For our repurchase agreement borrowings, we are required to post margin to the lender (i.e., collateral deposits in excess of the repurchase agreement financing) in order to support the amount of the financing. When there is a decline in value of the investment collateral pledged to the lender on the repurchase agreement, the lender may make a “margin call”, requiring us to post additional collateral to compensate for any subsequent declines in the value of the investment collateral pledged.

Declines in value of investments occur for any number of reasons including but not limited to changes in interest rates, changes in ratings on an investment, changes in actual or perceived liquidity of the investment, or changes in overall market risk perceptions. Additionally, values in Agency MBS will also decline from the payment delay feature of those securities. Agency MBS have a payment delay feature whereby Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac announce principal payments on Agency MBS but do not remit the actual principal payments and interest for 20 days in the case of Fannie Mae and 40 days in the case of Freddie Mac. Because Agency MBS are financed with repurchase agreements, the repurchase agreement lender generally makes a margin call for an amount equal to the product of their advance rate on the repurchase agreement and the announced principal payments on the Agency MBS. This causes a temporary use of our resources to meet the margin call until we receive the principal payments and interest 20 to 40 days later.

Because of these margin requirements, we seek to maintain enough liquidity to meet margin calls. As of March 31, 2011, we had \$195.6 million in unencumbered cash and unpledged Agency MBS. In addition, because non-Agency MBS are less liquid and their fair values are more volatile than Agency MBS, we are more conservative in leveraging non-Agency MBS as evidenced by our active management of our debt-to-equity ratio, which is discussed further below.

When the Company borrows under repurchase agreements or other recourse borrowings subject to margin calls, it generally borrows less than is permitted by its counterparties under their respective master repurchase agreements. This reduces the number and amount of potential margin calls in the event of a decline in the value of the securities pledged as collateral to the borrowings.

We believe monitoring and maintaining our debt-to-equity ratios is important to managing our liquidity. Our current operating policies provide that recourse borrowings including repurchase agreements used to finance investments will be in the range of four to nine times our invested equity capital. Our current operating policies also limit our overall debt-to-equity ratio to no more than six times our invested equity capital and up to ten times our equity capital invested in Agency and non-Agency MBS. Our current target is seven to eight times our invested equity capital in Agency MBS and four to five times our invested equity capital in non-Agency MBS.

Our repurchase agreement counterparties require us to comply with various customary operating and financial covenants, including, but not limited to, minimum net worth, minimum liquidity, and leverage requirements as well as maintaining our REIT status. In addition, some of the covenants contain cross default features, whereby default under one agreement simultaneously causes default under another agreement. To the extent that we fail to comply with the covenants contained in our financing agreements or are otherwise found to be in default under the terms of such agreements, we could be restricted from paying dividends or from engaging in other transactions that are necessary for us to maintain our REIT status. We were in compliance with all covenants as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Over the past year, overall conditions in the general credit markets have improved. However, global credit markets remain fragile, and changes in economic conditions could reduce our repurchase agreement availability. Competition from other REITs, banks, hedge funds, and the federal government for capacity with our repurchase agreement lenders could also reduce our repurchase agreement availability. While we currently do not anticipate such events in the near term, a reduction in our borrowing capacity could force us to sell assets in order to repay our lenders or could otherwise restrict our ability to operate our business.

Non-recourse Collateralized Financing

Securitization financing is recourse only to the assets pledged as collateral to support the payment of the underlying bonds and is otherwise not recourse to us. The maturity of each class of securitization financing is directly affected by the rate of principal prepayments on the related collateral and is not subject to margin call risk.

In certain instances, our securitization bonds may become subject to redemption by us in accordance with the specific terms of the related indenture. The Company has redeemed securitization bonds in the past, and in certain instances, the Company has kept the bond outstanding and used it as collateral for additional repurchase agreement borrowings. These additional borrowings may have been used to either finance the bond redemption or to purchase additional investments. Although these bonds are legally outstanding, the balances are eliminated in consolidation because the issuing trust is included in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Dividends

As a REIT, we are required to distribute to our shareholders amounts equal to at least 90% of our REIT taxable income for each taxable year after consideration of our tax NOL carryforwards. We generally fund our dividend distributions through our cash flows from operations. If we make dividend distributions in excess of our operating cash flows during the period, whether for purposes of meeting our REIT distribution requirements or other strategic reasons, those distributions are generally funded either through our existing cash balances or through the return of principal from our investments (either through repayment or sale). Additionally, we have the option of utilizing our NOL carryforwards to offset taxable income, thereby reducing our REIT distribution requirements. This would allow us to retain capital and increase our liquidity by reducing or eliminating our dividend payout to common shareholders.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations by payment due date as of March 31, 2011:

| (amounts in thousands) | Contractual Obligations: (1) | Total | Payments due by period | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | < 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | > 5 years |
| Repurchase agreements (2) | | \$1,848,883 | \$1,848,883 | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Securitization financing (2) (3) | | 58,776 | 4,242 | 15,862 | 33,016 | 5,656 |
| TALF financing (2) (3) | | 50,651 | - | 50,651 | - | - |
| Operating lease obligations | | 437 | 155 | 282 | - | - |
| Total | | \$1,958,747 | \$1,853,280 | \$66,795 | \$33,016 | \$5,656 |

(1) As the master servicer for certain of the series of non-recourse securitization financing securities which we have issued, and certain loans which have been securitized but for which we are not the master servicer, we have an obligation to advance scheduled principal and interest on delinquent loans in accordance with the underlying servicing agreements should the primary servicer of the loan fail to make such advance. Such advance amounts are generally repaid in the same month as they are made or shortly thereafter, and so the contractual obligation with

respect to these advances is excluded from the above table. As of March 31, 2011, outstanding servicing advances were \$0.2 million compared to \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2010.

- (2) Amounts presented include estimated principal and interest on the related obligations.
- (3) Represents financing that is non-recourse to us as the debt is payable solely from loans and securities pledged as collateral. Payments due by period were estimated based on the principal repayments forecast for the underlying loans and securities, substantially all of which is used to repay the associated financing outstanding.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2011, there have been no material changes to the off-balance sheet arrangements disclosed in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for information on recent accounting updates to the ASC which have been issued but are not yet effective.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain written statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not historical facts constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the 1933 Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Statements in this report addressing expectations, assumptions, beliefs, projections, future plans and strategies, future events, developments that we expect or anticipate will occur in the future, and future operating results are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are based upon management’s beliefs, assumptions, and expectations as of the date of this report regarding future events and operating performance, taking into account all information currently available to us, and are applicable only as of the date of this report. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by use of words such as “believe”, “expect”, “anticipate”, “estimate”, “plan” “may”, “will”, “should”, “could” or similar expressions. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements, which are not historical facts and may be based on projections, assumptions, expectations, and anticipated events that do not materialize. Except as required by law, we are not obligated to, and do not intend to, update or revise any forward-looking statement whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

We make forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q regarding:

- Our business and investment strategy including our ability to generate acceptable risk-adjusted returns;
 - Our financing and hedging strategy;
 - Our investment portfolio composition and target investments;
- Our investment portfolio performance, including the value and yields of our investment portfolio;
- Our liquidity and ability to access financing, and the anticipated availability and cost of financing;
 - Our use of our tax NOL carryforward;
 - Market, industry and economic trends; and
 - Interest rates.

Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from historical results or from any results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Not all of these risks and other factors are known to us. New risks and uncertainties arise over time, and it is not possible to predict those events or how they may affect us. The projections, assumptions, expectations or beliefs upon which the forward-looking statements are based can also change as a result of these risks or other factors. If such a risk or other factor materializes in future periods, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations may vary materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements.

While it is not possible to identify all factors, some of the factors that may cause actual results to differ from historical results or from any results expressed or implied by forward-looking statements, or that may cause our projections, assumptions, expectations or beliefs to change, include the following:

- the risks and uncertainties referenced in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, particularly those set forth under Item 1A. "Risk Factors";
 - our ability to find suitable reinvestment opportunities;
 - changes in economic conditions;
 - changes in interest rates and interest rate spreads;
- our investment portfolio performance particularly as it relates to cash flow, prepayment rates and credit performance;
 - the cost and availability of financing;
 - the cost and availability of new equity capital;
 - changes in our use of leverage;
- the quality of performance of third-party servicer providers of our loans and loans underlying our securities;
 - the level of defaults by borrowers on loans we have securitized;
 - changes in our industry;
 - increased competition;
 - changes in government regulations affecting our business;
- government initiatives to support the U.S financial system and U.S. housing and real estate markets;
 - GSE reform or other government policies and actions; and
- an ownership shift under Section 382 of the Code that impacts the use of our tax NOL carryforward.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We seek to manage various risks inherent in our business strategy, which include interest rate, prepayment, reinvestment, market value, credit, and liquidity risks. We do not seek to avoid risk completely, but rather, we attempt to manage these risks while earning an acceptable risk-adjusted return for our shareholders.

Interest Rate Risk

Our primary market risk is interest rate risk, which we seek to actively manage through our investment purchases, financing alternatives, and hedging techniques. Investing in interest-rate sensitive investments on a leveraged basis subjects us to interest rate risk because of the difference in the timing of resets of interest rates on our investments versus the associated borrowings, as well as differences in the indices on which the investments reset versus the borrowings.

Interest Rate Reset Risk. The rates on our borrowings will generally reset on a more frequent basis than the interest rates on our investments. During a period of rising short-term interest rates, as our borrowings reset more quickly than our investments, our net interest income will decrease. Conversely, net interest income may increase following a fall in short-term interest rates. Any increase or decrease in our net interest income for at least a portion of our investment portfolio may be temporary as the yields on Agency ARMs and securitized adjustable-rate mortgage loans adjust to new interest rates after a lag period.

Net interest income may be impacted by the proceeds or costs of interest rate swap or cap agreements. We enter into these agreements with the intention of hedging our exposure to rising costs of our borrowings from future interest rate

increases. Interest rate swap agreements generally result in interest savings in a rising interest rate environment when the current market interest rate we receive on the swap (which generally is equal to one-month

LIBOR) rises higher than the stated fixed rate we pay on the notional amount for each interest rate swap agreement. Alternatively, a declining or stable interest rate environment generally results in interest expense equal to the difference between the stated fixed rate we pay less the current market interest rate we receive.

The table below sets forth the time frames for the prospective reset of interest rates on our investments and the associated borrowings on these investments as of March 31, 2011. This table includes the impact of our interest rate swaps designated as hedging instruments, but excludes future changes to our portfolio and the potential impact of prepayments on our investments.

| (amounts in thousands) | Investments | | Borrowings | | |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------|---------|---|
| | Amounts | Percent | Amounts | Percent | |
| Fixed Rate | \$611,703 | 26.8 | % \$86,480 | 4.4 | % |
| Adjustable Rate: | | | | | |
| 0-12 months | 331,965 | 14.5 | % 1,123,626 | 57.5 | % |
| 13-24 months | 356,532 | 15.6 | % 75,000 | 3.8 | % |
| 25-36 months | 282,999 | 12.4 | % 300,000 | 15.4 | % |
| Over 36 months | 700,897 | 30.7 | % 370,000 | 18.9 | % |
| Total | \$2,284,096 | 100.0 | % \$1,955,106 | 100.0 | % |

(1)The investment amount represents the fair value of the related securities and amortized cost basis of the related loans, excluding any related allowance for loan losses.

Interest Rate Cap Risk. Our adjustable-rate investments have interest rates which are predominantly based upon six-month and one-year LIBOR, and generally contain periodic or lifetime interest rate caps which often limit the amount by which the interest rate may reset. Periodic caps on our investments typically range from 1-5% annually, and lifetime caps are typically 5%. Generally, the interest rates on our borrowings (which are generally repurchase agreements) used to finance these assets are based on one-month LIBOR, reset every 30 to 90 days, and will not have periodic or lifetime interest rate caps. In addition, certain of our securitized mortgage loans have a fixed rate of interest and are financed with borrowings with interest rates that adjust monthly.

The following table presents information about the lifetime and interim interest rate caps on our adjustable-rate Agency MBS portfolio as of March 31, 2011:

| Lifetime Interest Rate Caps on ARM MBS | | | Interim Interest Rate Caps on ARM MBS | | |
|--|------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------|---|
| | % of Total | | | % of Total | |
| 9.0% to 10.0% | 55.0 | % | 1.0% | 1.1 | % |
| >10.0% to 11.0% | 36.0 | % | 2.0% | 18.1 | % |
| >11.0% to 12.0% | 9.0 | % | 5.0%-6.0% | 80.8 | % |
| | 100.00 | % | | 100.00 | % |

(1)The investment amount represents the fair value of the related securities and amortized cost basis of the related loans, excluding any related allowance for loan losses.

Effect of Changes in Interest Rates on Net Interest Income and Market Value. The tables below provide a sensitivity analysis for the projected net interest income and the projected market value of our investments (for those carried at fair value on our balance sheet, and including all derivative instruments) as they existed as of March 31, 2011 based on changes in market interest rates as set forth in the table. The analysis presented in the first table below shows the

estimated impact of instantaneous parallel shifts in interest rates as of March 31, 2011, assuming a static investment portfolio. The impact is measured as a percentage change in projected net interest income and a percentage change in projected market value. The sensitivity analysis presented in the second table below assumes the same instantaneous parallel shift in interest rates as in the first table while also assuming a concurrent instantaneous change in mortgage spreads of 25 and 50 basis points. The impact of the change in interest rates and

mortgage interest rate spreads is measured based on the percentage change in market value only for our investments and also assumes a static investment portfolio. The percentage change in market value is based on a LIBOR option-adjusted spread model.

The projections below for percentage change in projected net income are based on the projected net income on the investment portfolio over the next twenty-four months. The projections are based upon a variety of assumptions including investment prepayment speeds, credit performance, and the availability of financing over the projection period. Further, the table below assumes a static portfolio throughout the projected period and does not consider any reinvestment or rebalancing of the investment portfolio or additional hedging activity by the Company. Changes in our types of investments, changes in future interest rates, changes in the shape of the yield curve, the availability of financing and/or the mix of our investments and financings may cause actual results to differ significantly from the modeled results. There can be no assurance that assumed events used for the model below will occur, or that other events will not occur, that would affect the outcomes; therefore, the tables below and all related disclosures constitute forward-looking statements.

| Basis Point Change in Interest Rates | As of March 31, 2011 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | Percentage change in projected net interest income(1) | Percentage change in projected market value(2) |
| +100 | (5.8)% | (1.1)% |
| +50 | (1.9)% | (0.5)% |
| 0 | – | – |
| -50 | (0.7)% | 0.4% |
| -100 | (6.9)% | 0.6% |

(1) Includes changes in interest expense from the financings for our investments as well as our interest rate swaps.

(2) Includes changes in market value of our derivative instruments, but excludes changes in market value of our financings because they are not carried at fair value on our balance sheet.

The following table presents the expected percentage change in the projected market value of our investments (including derivative instruments, but excluding our financings because they are not carried at fair value on our balance sheet), as they existed as of March 31, 2011, assuming a static portfolio as well as an instantaneous parallel shift in interest rates and a concurrent instantaneous change in mortgage spreads of 25 and 50 basis points as of that date:

| Basis Point Change in Interest Rates | Basis Point Change in Mortgage Spreads | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | -50 | -25 | 0 | +25 | +50 |
| +100 | 0.9% | (0.2)% | (1.1)% | (2.1)% | (3.1)% |
| +50 | 1.5% | 0.5% | (0.5)% | (1.5)% | (2.4)% |
| 0 | 2.0% | 1.0% | – | (1.0)% | (1.9)% |
| -50 | 2.4% | 1.4% | 0.4% | (0.6)% | (1.6)% |
| -100 | 2.6% | 1.6% | 0.6% | (0.4)% | (1.3)% |

The two tables above are intended to demonstrate our sensitivity to interest rates based on our investments and associated financings and derivative instruments as of March 31, 2011. The impact of changing interest rates on net interest income and market value of our investments can change significantly when interest rates change beyond the above levels or if market expectations of future interest rates begin to materially change. In addition, other factors will impact the net interest income from and market value of our interest rate-sensitive investments and derivative

instruments, such as prepayment rates, the shape of the yield curve, market expectations as to future interest rate changes and other market conditions. Accordingly, interest income would likely differ from that shown above, and such difference might be material.

Prepayment and Reinvestment Risk

Prepayment risk is the risk of an early, unscheduled return of principal on an investment. We are subject to prepayment risk from premiums paid on our investments. We are also subject to prepayment risk for discounts accepted on the issuance of securitization financings. In general, purchase premiums on our investments and discounts on our financings are amortized as a reduction in interest income or an increase in interest expense using the effective yield method under GAAP, adjusted for the actual and anticipated prepayment activity of the investment and/or financing. An increase in the actual or expected rate of prepayment will typically accelerate the amortization of purchase premiums or issuance discounts, thereby reducing the yield/interest income earned on such assets or increasing the cost of such financing.

The majority of the premiums on our securities are on Agency RMBS and CMBS. We amortize the premiums on our Agency RMBS and CMBS using a retrospective level-yield method based on the actual principal payments we have received on the securities and forecasted prepayment rates. As of March 31, 2011, we are currently forecasting one-year prepayment rates on our Agency RMBS of 17.8%, and we are not anticipating any voluntary prepayments on our Agency CMBS. The loans underlying our Agency CMBS generally have prepayment provisions, such as prepayment lock-outs or yield maintenance payment requirements. These provisions create an economic disincentive for the loans to prepay, thereby reducing the prepayments on the related securities, and compensate us in the event that there are prepayments.

The following table discloses the calculation of our amortized cost as a percentage of par value for the MBS portion of our investment portfolio as of March 31, 2011:

| (amounts in thousands) | Agency | | Non-Agency | |
|---|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | RMBS | CMBS | RMBS | CMBS |
| Principal/par value | \$1,542,818 | \$226,613 | \$15,676 | \$244,688 |
| Unamortized premium (discount), net | 77,839 | 26,516 | (960) | (4,560) |
| Amortized cost basis | \$1,620,657 | \$253,129 | \$14,716 | \$240,128 |
| Amortized cost as a percentage of par value | 105.0 | % 111.7 | % 93.9 | % 98.1 |

We seek to manage our prepayment risk by diversifying our investments, seeking investments which we believe will have superior prepayment performance, and investing in securities which have some sort of prepayment prohibition or yield maintenance (as is the case with Agency CMBS).

We are also subject to reinvestment risk as a result of the prepayment, repayment or sale of our investments. Yields on assets in which we invest now are generally lower than yields on existing assets that we may sell or which may be repaid, due to lower overall interest rates and more competition for these as investment assets. As a result, our interest income may decline in the future, thereby reducing earnings per share. In order to maintain our investment portfolio size and our earnings, we need to reinvest our capital into new interest-earning assets. If we are unable to find suitable reinvestment opportunities, interest income on our investment portfolio and investment cash flows could be negatively impacted.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that we will not receive all contractual amounts due on investments that we have purchased or funded due to default by the borrower or due to a deficiency in proceeds from the liquidation of the collateral securing the obligation. To mitigate credit risk, certain of our investments, such as Agency MBS and portions of our securitized mortgage loan portfolio, contain a guaranty of payment from third parties. For example, our Agency MBS have credit risk to the extent that Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac fails to remit payments on these MBS for which they

have issued a guaranty of payment. In addition, certain of our securitized mortgage loans have “pool” guarantees by which certain parties provide guarantees of repayment on pools of loans up to a limited amount. The following tables present information as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 with respect to our investments and the amounts guaranteed, if applicable.

45

Edgar Filing: DYNEX CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q

| Investment (amounts in thousands) | Accounting Basis | Amount of Guaranty | March 31, 2011 | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | Guarantor | Credit Rating of Guarantor (1) |
| With Guaranty of Payment | | | | |
| Agency MBS | \$1,869,765 | \$1,769,431 | Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac | AAA |
| Securitized mortgage loans: | | | | |
| Commercial | 42,607 | 6,619 | American International Group | BBB |
| Single-family | 17,377 | 17,100 | PMI/GEMICO | Caa2/Baa3 |
| Defeased loans | 3,277 | 3,291 | Fully secured with cash | |
| Without Guaranty of Payment | | | | |
| Securitized mortgage loans: | | | | |
| Commercial | 49,448 | – | | |
| Single-family | 35,222 | – | | |
| Non-Agency MBS | 265,229 | – | | |
| Other investments | 1,171 | – | | |
| | 2,279,610 | 1,796,441 | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (4,486) | – | | |
| Total investments | \$2,279,610 | \$1,796,441 | | |

(1) Reflects lowest rating by three nationally-recognized ratings agencies for the senior unsecured debt of the guarantor.

| Investment (amounts in thousands) | Accounting Basis | Amount of Guaranty | December 31, 2010 | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | Guarantor | Credit Rating of Guarantor (1) |
| With Guaranty of Payment | | | | |
| Agency MBS | \$ 1,192,579 | \$ 1,127,887 | Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac | AAA |
| Securitized mortgage loans: | | | | |
| Commercial | 46,741 | 6,619 | American International Group | BBB |
| Single-family | 18,465 | 18,169 | PMI/GEMICO | Caa2/Baa3 |
| Defeased loans | 3,289 | 3,306 | Fully secured with cash | |
| Without Guaranty of Payment | | | | |
| Non-Agency MBS | 267,356 | – | | |
| Securitized mortgage loans: | | | | |
| Commercial | 52,338 | – | | |
| Single-family | 36,599 | – | | |
| Other investments | 1,229 | – | | |
| | 1,618,596 | 1,155,981 | | |

| | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Allowance for loan losses | (4,470) | – |
| Total investments | \$ 1,614,126 | \$ 1,155,981 |

(1) Reflects lowest rating by three nationally-recognized ratings agencies for the senior unsecured debt of the guarantor.

The following tables present information for securitized mortgage loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

| Investment (amounts in thousands) | Amortized Cost Basis of Loans | Average Seasoning (in years) | As of March 31, 2011 | | Amortized Cost Basis of Delinquent Loans(1) | Delinquency % |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|------------------|
| | | | Current | Loan-to-Value based on Original Appraised Value | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | \$ 95,332 | 14 | 43.9 | % | \$ 21,583 | 22.6 % |
| Single-family mortgage loans | 52,599 | 17 | 47.8 | % | 4,157 (2) | 7.9 % |

| Investment (amounts in thousands) | Amortized Cost Basis of Loans | Average Seasoning (in years) | As of December 31, 2010 | | Amortized Cost Basis of Delinquent Loans(1) | Delinquency % |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| | | | Current | Loan-to-Value based on Original Appraised Value | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | \$ 98,167 | 14 | 45 | % | \$ 12,147 | 16.0 % |
| Single-family mortgage loans | 54,795 | 17 | 48 | % | 5,277 (2) | 10.1 % |

(1) Loans contractually delinquent by 30 or more days, which included loans on non-accrual status.

(2) As of March 31, 2011, approximately \$1.3 million of the delinquent single-family loans are pool insured compared to \$1.7 million as of December 31, 2010.

Additionally, the mortgage loans collateralizing our securitized portfolio are typically well-seasoned, thereby lowering our average loan-to-value (“LTV”) ratio and decreasing our risk of loss. Other efforts to mitigate credit risk include maintaining a risk management function that monitors and oversees the performance of the servicers of the mortgage loans, as well as providing an allowance for loan loss as required by GAAP.

Market Value Risk

Market value risk generally represents the risk of loss from the change in the value of a financial instrument due to fluctuations in interest rates and changes in the perceived risk in owning such financial instrument. Regardless of whether an investment is carried at fair value or at historical cost in our financial statements, we will monitor the change in its market value. In particular, we will monitor changes in the value of investments which collateralize a repurchase agreement for liquidity management and other purposes. We attempt to manage this risk by managing our exposure to factors that can impact the market value of our investments such as changes in interest rates. For example, the types of derivative instruments we are currently using to hedge the interest rates on our debt tend to increase in value when our investment portfolio decreases in value. See the analysis in the “Interest Rate Risk” section above, which presents the estimated change in our portfolio given changes in market interest rates and mortgage spreads.

Liquidity Risk

We have liquidity risk principally from the use of recourse repurchase agreements to finance our ownership of securities. Our repurchase agreements provide a source of uncommitted short-term financing that finances a longer-term asset, thereby creating a mismatch between the maturity of the asset and of the associated financing. Our repurchase agreements are renewable at the discretion of our lenders and do not contain guaranteed roll-over terms. If we fail to repay the lender at maturity, the lender has the right to immediately sell the collateral and pursue us for any shortfall if the sales proceeds are inadequate to cover the repurchase agreement financing.

At the inception of the repurchase agreement, we post margin collateral to the lender in order to support the amount of the financing and to give the lender a cushion against fluctuations in the value of the collateral pledged. The repurchase agreement lender may also request that we post additional collateral (“margin calls”) in the event of a decline in market value of the collateral pledged, which may happen for market reasons or as a result of the payment delay feature on Agency MBS as discussed in “Liquidity and Capital Resources” in Item 2 of Part I to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Such margin calls could adversely change our liquidity position. If we fail to meet this margin call, the lender has the right to terminate the repurchase agreement and immediately sell the collateral. If the proceeds from the sale of the collateral are insufficient to repay the entire amount of the repurchase agreement outstanding, we would be required to repay any shortfall. All of our repurchase agreements provide that the lender is responsible for obtaining collateral valuations, which must be from a generally recognized source agreed to by both us and the lender, or the most recent closing quotation of such source. Given the uncommitted nature of repurchase agreement financing and the varying collateral requirements, we cannot assume that we will always be able to roll over our repurchase agreements as they mature.

We attempt to mitigate liquidity risk in several ways. We typically pledge only Agency MBS and higher credit quality non-Agency MBS to secure our outstanding repurchase agreements. In general the market value of these investments, particularly Agency MBS, is not as volatile as lower rated investments, thereby reducing the likelihood of subsequent margin calls. However, in 2008 as a result of the crisis in the financial and credit markets, all securities experienced significant market value volatility, and in some cases, repurchase agreement lenders would not accept non-Agency MBS as collateral.

Other ways in which we attempt to manage liquidity risk include pledging collateral with a fair value in excess of the margin required by our counterparties and maintaining a cushion of cash and unencumbered Agency MBS to meet potential margin calls on our repurchase agreements. We also attempt to maintain unused capacity under our existing repurchase agreement credit lines with multiple counterparties which protects us in the event of a counterparty’s failure to renew existing repurchase agreements either with favorable terms or at all. As discussed within the “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section, we also manage our debt-to-equity ratio in order to remain within a range which management determines to be risk appropriate given current economic and market conditions.

For additional information regarding our use of forward-looking statements and risks that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from results expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements, see “Forward-Looking Statements” set forth in Item 2, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” within Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and the Risk Factors set forth in Item 1A of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 4.

Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures.

Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2011 to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

Our management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended March 31, 2011 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

48

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are parties to various legal proceedings, including those described below. Although the ultimate outcome of these legal proceedings cannot be ascertained at this time, and the results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company believes, based on current knowledge, that the resolution of any of these proceedings, including those described below, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition or liquidity. However, the resolution of any of the proceedings described below could have a material impact on consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a given reporting period as the proceedings are resolved.

One of the Company's subsidiaries, GLS Capital, Inc. ("GLS"), and the County of Allegheny, Pennsylvania are defendants in a class action lawsuit filed in 1997 in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (the "Court"). Between 1995 and 1997, GLS purchased from Allegheny County delinquent county property tax receivables for properties located in the County. The plaintiffs in this matter have alleged that GLS improperly recovered or sought recovery for certain attorneys' fees expenses associated with the forced collection of delinquent property tax receivables. The Court granted class action status in August 2007. Throughout the course of this litigation, the Court has dismissed all claims against GLS except for the reasonableness of attorneys' fees charged by GLS in connection with the collection of the delinquent property tax receivables which were permitted to be assessed under the Municipal Claims and Tax Lien Act and set by ordinance approved by the County Council for the County of Allegheny. Specifically, the Court has allowed the pursuit by the named plaintiffs on behalf of the certified class of the claim that the ordinance(s) adopted by the County of Allegheny, set forth in the ordinance and used by GLS for certain legal work are unreasonable. Plaintiffs have not enumerated their damages in this matter.

On April 1, 2011 in the matter styled Basic Capital Management et al (the "BCM Plaintiffs") versus Dynex Commercial, Inc. ("DCI") and Dynex Capital, Inc. (DCI and the Company, together, the "Respondents"), the Supreme Court of Texas partially reversed the Fifth Court of Appeals at Dallas (the "Court of Appeals") and remanded the case back to the Court of Appeals for consideration of arguments not previously reached by the Court of Appeals. The appeal to the Supreme Court of Texas was filed by the BCM Plaintiffs. On remand, the BCM Plaintiffs are seeking reversal of the trial court's judgment and rendition of judgment against the Company for alleged breach of loan agreements for tenant improvements in the amount of \$0.3 million. They also seek reversal of the trial court's judgment and rendition of judgment against DCI in favor of BCM under two mutually exclusive damage models, for \$2.2 million and \$25.6 million, respectively, related to the alleged breach by DCI of a \$160.0 million "master" loan commitment. The BCM Plaintiffs also seek reversal and rendition of judgment in their favor for attorneys' fees in the amount of \$2.1 million. Alternatively, the BCM Plaintiffs seek a new trial. DCI is a former affiliate of the Company, and management does not believe that the Company would be obligated for any amounts awarded to the BCM Plaintiffs as a result of the actions of DCI. Dynex Capital and DCI intend to file a motion to reconsider with the Supreme Court of Texas on or before May 16, 2011.

Dynex Capital, Inc., MERIT Securities Corporation, a subsidiary ("MERIT"), and the former President/Chief Executive Officer and current Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer of Dynex Capital, Inc., (together, the "Defendants") are defendants in a putative class action brought by the Teamsters Local 445 Freight Division Pension Fund (the "Teamsters") in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "District Court"). The original complaint, which was filed on February 7, 2005, alleged violations of the federal securities laws and was purportedly filed on behalf of purchasers between February 2000 and May 2004 of MERIT Series 12 and MERIT Series 13 securitization financing bonds (the "Bonds"), which are collateralized by manufactured housing loans. After a series of rulings by the District Court and an appeal by the Company and MERIT, on February 22, 2008

the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit dismissed the litigation against the Company and MERIT. The Teamsters filed an amended complaint on August 6, 2008, which essentially restated the same allegations as the original complaint and added the Company's former President/Chief Executive Officer and current Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer as defendants. The District Court denied Defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint. The Teamsters seek unspecified damages and allege, among

other things, fraud and misrepresentation in connection with the issuance of and subsequent reporting related to the Bonds. On March 7, 2011, the District Court granted the Teamsters' motion to certify the class for this action. On March 18, 2011, the Defendants filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, seeking to reverse the class certification by the District Court. Prior to the District Court's certification of the class, the Defendants also filed with the District Court a motion to dismiss on the basis of fraud on the court related to statements attributed to alleged confidential witnesses in the amended complaint which the Company believes to be fabricated by plaintiff's counsel. The District Court referred the motion to dismiss to a Magistrate Judge, who, on April 29, 2011, recommended that the motion be denied. The Company intends to file in the District Court an objection to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. The Company has evaluated the allegations made in the amended complaint, and continues to believe them to be without merit and is vigorously defending itself in this matter.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A. "Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Risks and uncertainties identified in our Forward-Looking Statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q together with those previously disclosed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 or those that are presently unforeseen could result in significant adverse effects on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. See "Forward-Looking Statements" contained in Item 2 of Part I, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

(Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6.

Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation, effective July 9, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Dynex's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 11, 2008). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws, effective March 26, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Dynex's Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 1, 2008). |
| 10.19 | Underwriting Agreement, dated March 4, 2011, by and among Dynex Capital, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to Dynex's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 9, 2011). |
| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith). |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith). |
| 32.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith). |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DYNEX CAPITAL, INC.

Date: May 10, 2011

/s/ Thomas B. Akin
Thomas B. Akin
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 10, 2011

/s/ Stephen J. Benedetti
Stephen J. Benedetti
Executive Vice President, Chief Operating
Officer and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

