

BRASKEM SA
Form 6-K
August 30, 2016

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

**REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-16
OR 15D-16 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the month of August, 2016
(Commission File No. 1-14862)

BRASKEM S.A.

(Exact Name as Specified in its Charter)

N/A

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

Rua Eteno, 1561, Polo Petroquimico de Camacari
Camacari, Bahia - CEP 42810-000 Brazil

(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K
in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1).

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K
in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7).

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to
the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

If "Yes" is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82- _____.

São Paulo, August 30, 2016

To:

Corporate Relations Department – SEP

Brazilian Securities and Exchange Commission – CVM

Rua Sete de Setembro, 111, 26º andar

Rio de Janeiro - RJ

Att: **Nilza Maria Silva de Oliveira – Corporate Oversight Manager**

Via e-mail: gea-1-enviodeoficios@cvm.gov.br

Re: Official Letter 417/2016/CVM/SEP/GEA-1

Dear Sirs,

We refer to Official Letter 417/2016/CVM/SEP/GEA-1 (“Official Letter”) of August 29, 2016, in which you requested clarification from Braskem S.A. (“Braskem” or “Company”), as follows:

“Subject: Request for Clarification of News Item

Dear Officer,

1. *We refer to the news item published in Lauro Jardim’s column in the newspaper O Globo on August 28, 2016, entitled “Propina Compartilhada” (Shared Bribes), which contains the following affirmations:*

The chapters of Odebrecht’s plea bargaining agreement dealing with Braskem are considered strategic for Operation Lava-Jato. According to what group executives have told the investigators, Braskem was much used for oil sector overbilling purposes due to its status as a publicly-traded company

2. *In view of the above, we request that you clarify whether the information in question is true, and if so, why it was not disclosed through a Material Fact, as well as comment on other information deemed relevant to the issue.*

In regard to the news item in question, the Company would like to make it clear that, as disclosed previously, the allegations related to Operation Lava-Jato are being investigated in cooperation with the competent authorities. The Company has still not completed this process and is unable to affirm when it will be concluded.

Given that the matter in question has already been the subject of several Material Facts, Notices to the Market and the Periodic Financial Information (especially, the last Quarterly Information Form), the Company does not believe that the above-mentioned news item is covered by any of the hypotheses set forth in article 2 off CVM Instruction 358.

The Company will keep the market informed off the conclusions of the above investigation and cooperation process.

Sincerely,

Pedro van Langendonck Teixeira de Freitas

Investor Relations Officer

BRASKEM S.A.

