#### CONOCOPHILLIPS

Form 4 May 12, 2008

## FORM 4

### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF

**SECURITIES** 

OMB Number:

3235-0287

Expires:

January 31, 2005

0.5

Estimated average burden hours per

**OMB APPROVAL** 

response...

subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5

if no longer

Check this box

obligations may continue. See Instruction

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1(b).

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person \* SHACKOULS BOBBY S

600 NORTH DAIRY ASHFORD

(Street)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Last)

(First) (Middle) 3. Date of Earliest Transaction

CONOCOPHILLIPS [COP]

(Month/Day/Year)

X\_ Director 10% Owner Other (specify Officer (give title

(Check all applicable)

05/08/2008

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check

Applicable Line)

below)

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

\_X\_ Form filed by One Reporting Person Form filed by More than One Reporting

Person

HOUSTON, TX 77079

(City) (State) (Zip) Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned 1.Title of 2. Transaction Date 2A. Deemed 4. Securities Acquired (A) 5. Amount of 7. Nature of Security (Month/Day/Year) Execution Date, if Transaction Disposed of (D) Securities Ownership Indirect (Instr. 3) Code (Instr. 3, 4 and 5) Beneficially Form: Beneficial (Month/Day/Year) (Instr. 8) Owned Direct (D) Ownership or Indirect Following (Instr. 4) Reported (A) Transaction(s) (Instr. 4) (Instr. 3 and 4) Code Amount (D) Price Common 05/08/2008 S 18,334 D 67,594 D 88.1872 Stock

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of SEC 1474 information contained in this form are not (9-02)required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

#### Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 4

1. Title of Derivative	2. Conversion	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)		4.	5.	6. Date Exerc Expiration D		7. Title and Amount of		
Security (Instr. 3)	or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	(Month Day/Teat)	any (Month/Day/Year)	Code (Instr. 8)	of	(Month/Day/ re		Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 an	Security (Instr. 5)	Secur Bene Owne Follo Repo Trans (Instr
				Code V	(A) (D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title Amo or Nun of	nber	

# **Reporting Owners**

Reporting Owner Name / Address

Director 10% Owner Officer Other

SHACKOULS BOBBY S

600 NORTH DAIRY ASHFORD X

HOUSTON, TX 77079

# **Signatures**

Chris Wood (By Power of Attorney filed herewith)

05/12/2008

\*\*Signature of Reporting Person

Date

### **Explanation of Responses:**

- \* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- \*\* Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *see* Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number.

:#000000;font-size:8pt;font-family:Arial;font-weight:normal;font-style:normal;text-transform:none;font-variant: normal;">54,006

(11.1

)

Reporting Owners 2

\$

60,717

Gross margin

8.5

%

11.3

%

12.9

%

Segment margin

12.9

15.2

%

15.8

Edgar	Filing:	CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 4	1
⊏uuar	Fillina:	CONOCOPHILLIPS - FOIII 4	+

Segment net sales as a percentage

of consolidated amount

53.6

%

55.0

59.5

### Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 4

Segment Profit as a percentage		
of consolidated amount		
44.1		
%		
48.2		
%		
56.0		
%		
The changes in not sales for the Deliverter Segment are as follows:		
The changes in net sales for the Polyester Segment are as follows:		
Net sales for fiscal 2016	\$383,167	

#### Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 4

Decrease in commerce calling union and about a in color union	(22 651 )
Decrease in average selling price and change in sales mix	(33,651)
Increase in sales volumes	6,224
Net sales for fiscal 2017	\$355,740
Net sales for fiscal 2017	\$355,740
Increase in sales volumes	15,828
Decrease in average selling price and change in sales mix	(7,399)
Net sales for fiscal 2018	\$364,169

The increase in net sales for the Polyester Segment from fiscal 2017 to fiscal 2018 was primarily attributable to higher sales of Flake, POY and recycled polyester Chip. However, these changes also drove a decline in the Polyester Segment average selling price, while lower sales volumes of higher-priced textured, dyed and beamed yarns also negatively impacted the sales mix.

The decrease in net sales for the Polyester Segment from fiscal 2016 to fiscal 2017 was attributable to lower sales prices primarily as a result of an unfavorable change in sales mix due to lower sales volumes of higher-priced textured, dyed and beamed yarns and higher sales volumes of lower-priced Flake, recycled polyester Chip and POY.

29

The changes in Segment Profit for the Polyester Segment are as follows:

Segment Profit for fiscal 2016	\$60,717
Net decrease in underlying margins	(7,697)
Increase in sales volumes	986
Segment Profit for fiscal 2017	\$54,006
Segment Profit for fiscal 2017	\$54,006
Net decrease in underlying margins	(9,425)
Increase in sales volumes	2,403
Segment Profit for fiscal 2018	\$46,984

The decrease in Segment Profit for the Polyester Segment from fiscal 2017 to fiscal 2018 was primarily attributable to raw material cost pressures that were not effectively offset by timely corresponding selling price increases, along with the unfavorable sales mix shift towards lower-margin products discussed above in the net sales analysis. In addition, we incurred higher-than-expected costs in our bottle processing operation during fiscal 2018. UNIFI does attempt to pass on to its customers rises in raw material costs but at times it cannot and, when it can, there typically is a time lag that adversely affects UNIFI and its margins during one or more periods. Certain customers are subject to an index-based pricing model in which UNIFI's prices are adjusted based on the change in the cost of raw materials in the prior quarter. Pricing adjustments for other customers must be negotiated independently. In ordinary market conditions in which raw material price increases have stabilized and sales volumes are consistent with traditional levels, UNIFI has historically been successful in implementing price adjustments within one to two fiscal quarters of the raw material price increase for its index priced customers and within two fiscal quarters of the raw material price increase for its non-index priced customers.

The decrease in Segment Profit for the Polyester Segment from fiscal 2016 to fiscal 2017 was attributable to (i) the impact of an unfavorable change in sales mix, as described in the net sales analysis above and (ii) the impact of periodic increases in virgin polyester raw material costs with an inherent delay in selling price adjustments, despite an increase in sales volumes.

#### Nylon Segment

The components of Segment Profit, each component as a percentage of net sales and the percentage increase or decrease over the prior period amounts for the Nylon Segment are as follows:

	Fiscal	%	Fiscal	%	Fiscal
	2018	Change	2017	Change	2016
Net sales	\$102,639	(8.9	\$112,704	(14.4)	\$131,715
Cost of sales	92,155	(8.4	100,633	(11.7)	113,906