EATON VANCE MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 25, 2014

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR

#### **CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED**

#### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21142

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

#### Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

**Date of Fiscal Year End** 

September 30, 2014

**Date of Reporting Period** 

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

# **Municipal Bond Funds**

# Annual Report

September 30, 2014

Municipal (EIM) California (EVM) New York (ENX)

**Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration.** Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term commodity pool operator under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2014

## Eaton Vance

## Municipal Bond Funds

#### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund	3
California Municipal Bond Fund	4
New York Municipal Bond Fund	5

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	6
Financial Statements	7
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	36
Federal Tax Information	37
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	38
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	39
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	41
Management and Organization	44
Important Notices	46

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2014

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### Economic and Market Conditions

As the fiscal year began on October 1, 2013, the municipal market was at the tail end of a significant selloff that started in May 2013, after then-U.S. Federal Reserve Board (the Fed) Chairman Ben Bernanke surprised the markets by indicating that the Fed s \$85 billion in monthly asset purchases could be tapered sooner than most investors had expected. Investors rushed to sell fixed-income assets in anticipation of rising rates, causing nearly every fixed-income asset class to decline in value.

Even after the Fed tried to temper its comments and calm the markets, heavy selling in municipals continued through the summer of 2013. Although selling of municipals abated somewhat in September, the municipal market continued to experience outflows from the beginning of the period on October 1 through December 2013.

But as 2014 began, municipals turned a corner. From January 1 through September 30, 2014, municipals rallied back from 2013 lows. Contrary to what many investors had expected, Treasury rates declined and municipal rates followed. A principal driver was a global flight to quality, as investors sought the relative safety of Treasurys in the face of increased geopolitical and economic risks overseas. In addition, strong demand for municipals, coupled with tight supply, created a favorable supply-demand imbalance that helped drive prices up and yields down. As investors searched for yield in a low-interest-rate environment, longer dated and lower credit quality bonds were the best performers. For the one-year period as a whole, long-term municipal rates declined while short-term rates were essentially flat.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, Municipal Bond Fund, California Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) all outperformed the 12.88% return of the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index<sup>2</sup> (the Index).

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A7 or higher) with maturities of 10 years or more, in order to capture their typically higher yields and a greater income stream than shorter-maturity issues. In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing<sup>6</sup> to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of falling rates and strong performance by municipal bonds, the use of leverage was the largest single contributor to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all three Funds.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve, by using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps. As a risk management tactic within the Funds overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, the Funds Treasury futures hedge mitigated some of the upside and thus detracted modestly from the Funds performance relative to the unhedged Index.

#### Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 21.00%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. The main contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, as mentioned earlier, and an overweight in zero coupon bonds, which were the best-performing coupon structure during the period. In addition, relative performance was aided by a positive credit development regarding the Fund s position in

Ambac-insured bonds issued by the Las Vegas Monorail Company, an issuer that filed for bankruptcy protection in 2010. The chief detractors from performance relative to the Index were the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight and security selection in BBB-rated bonds, and an underweight and security selection in bonds with maturities of 30 years or more.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 18.96%, surpassing the 12.88% return of the Index. In addition to leverage, contributors to results versus the Index included security selection in Puerto Rico bonds and an overweight in zero coupon bonds. Detractors from performance relative to the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, as well as security selection and an underweight in the health care and transportation sectors.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 16.72%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Significant contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage and an overweight and security selection in the education sector. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, security selection in bonds rated BBB and below, and security selection in zero coupon bonds.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	<b>Five Years</b>	Ten	Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	21.00%	8.15%		6.38%
Fund at Market Price		15.44	5.87		5.84
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		12.88%	5.97%		5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
% Fremult/Discount to IVA V					10.19%
					10.19%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.766
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.49%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					9.70%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					6.12%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					10.81%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					

Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing	38.82%
Fund Profile	

Credit Quality (% of total investments)7,8

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

## California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	<b>Five Years</b>	Ter	<b>Years</b>
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	18.96%	6.58%		5.44%
Fund at Market Price		16.62	3.84		4.49
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		12.88%	5.97%		5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NA <sup>V4</sup>					
					12.02%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.657
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.30%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					10.80%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					6.03%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					12.29%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					
RIB Financing					39.93%

RIB Financing Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)7,8

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund

performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

## New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

<ul> <li>% Average Annual Total Returns</li> <li>Fund at NAV</li> <li>Fund at Market Price</li> <li>Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index</li> </ul>	Inception Date 08/30/2002	<b>One Year</b> 16.72% 13.16 12.88%	Five Years 6.62% 3.17 5.97%	<b>Ten Years</b> 5.60% 4.63 5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>				12.18%
<b>Distributions<sup>5</sup></b> Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				\$ 0.690 5.11% 9.90% 5.82% 11.28%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup> RIB Financing Fund Profile				39.32%

Credit Quality (% of total investments)7,8

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2014

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- <sup>1</sup> The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- <sup>4</sup> The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- <sup>5</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at www.eatonvance.com. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- <sup>6</sup> Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- <sup>7</sup> Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the ratings agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment-grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

<sup>8</sup> The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 161.8%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Education 15.5% California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (St. John s School), 5.25%, 9/1/33 Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup> North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 5.00%, 4/1/32	\$	9,750 14,700 3,985 15,000 5,810 8,325 2,000 8,790 15,300 13,500 4,450 10,000 14,175 2,090	\$	11,132,647 16,690,968 4,392,586 17,098,200 7,472,125 10,687,552 2,236,380 10,286,322 17,371,008 15,425,100 5,159,820 11,399,100 15,952,970 2,372,714
Electric Utilities 4.3% Energy Northwest, WA, (Columbia Generating Station), 5.00%, 7/1/40 JEA St. Johns River Power Park System, FL, 4.00%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36 Utility Debt Securitization Authority, NY, 5.00%, 12/15/35	\$	2,320 10,000 10,000 7,110 3,425 4,500	\$	2,655,867 10,211,500 10,840,400 8,114,785 3,732,702 5,233,005 <b>40,788,259</b>
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.6% Tennessee School Bond Authority, Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.50%, 5/1/38	\$	5,000	\$ \$	5,832,700 5,832,700
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value

General Obligations 13.7%		
Bloomfield Hills Schools, MI, 4.00%, 5/1/37	\$ 655	\$ 688,772
California, 5.00%, 12/1/30	7,390	8,602,256
California, 5.00%, 10/1/33 <sup>(2)</sup>	18,815	21,825,588
Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	8,320	9,055,322
Clark County, NV, 5.00%, 7/1/33	4,500	5,060,610
Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32	3,000	3,660,390
Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,000	2,261,820
Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	11,670,300
Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,075	13,775,039
New York, NY, 5.00%, 10/1/32	10,000	11,394,500
Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35	180	191,567
Northside Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 6/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,250	13,037,185
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup>	6,750	7,611,907
Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36	2,000	2,248,780
Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, 5.00%, 10/1/35	7,500	8,638,350
Washington, 4.00%, 7/1/28 <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	10,780,100

\$ 130,502,486

Hospital 9.4%		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27 \$	1,000 \$	\$ 1,127,980
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	1,770	1,984,471
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39	11,570	12,609,102
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35	2,520	2,543,487
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27	860	870,604
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34	4,535	4,546,020
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38	2,790	3,173,569
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36	7,190	7,721,125
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38	5,040	1,445,522
Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41	10,000	2,441,300
Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46	5,355	5,498,621

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40 West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, (West Virginia United Health System Obligated Group), 5.375%, 6/1/38 Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Health Alliance Senior Credit Group), 5.00%, 11/15/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	4,385 10,950 6,105 7,605 11,500	\$ 4,990,919 12,363,645 6,593,583 8,554,028 12,727,970
Industrial Development Revenue 0.5%			\$ 89,191,946
Maricopa County Pollution Control Corp., AZ, (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42	\$	4,245	\$ 4,350,446 \$ 4,350,446
Insured Education 3.0% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31	\$	15,900 7,865	\$ 19,982,166 8,521,570 <b>\$ 28,503,736</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 3.9% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39 Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 6/1/38 Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41 Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$	5,000 4,905 13,895 2,735 7,840	\$ 5,483,300 5,535,979 14,175,957 3,087,350 8,982,445 <b>\$ 37,265,031</b>
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.1% Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.125%, 11/15/39 Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.25%, 11/15/44	\$	3,950 1,050 <b>Principal</b> <b>Amount</b>	\$ 3,979,625 1,058,033
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value

Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued)		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), Prerefunded to 12/15/18,		
5.50%, 12/15/34	\$ 1,875	\$ 2,224,781
Schaumburg, IL, (BHAC), (FGIC), Prerefunded to 12/1/14, 5.00%, 12/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	12,750	12,855,437
		\$ 20,117,876
Insured General Obligations 10.1%		
Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$ 35	\$ 38,492
Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	13,600	14,957,008
Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30	3,750	4,727,963
Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36	11,845	9,412,155
Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39	9,530	8,774,938
Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22	29,750	24,596,705

King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37(1) 7,000 Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32 Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC),  $4.75\%,\,2/15/38^{(1)}$ 10,950 Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31

#### \$ 96,322,946

7,342,300

10,191,417

11,966,270

4,315,698

8,955

4,275

Insured Hospital 15.3%		
Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32	\$ 8,250	\$ 8,987,550
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	11,000	11,758,450
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41(1)	11,500	12,195,405
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36 <sup>(1)</sup>	15,500	16,685,595
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35	3,795	3,873,329
Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47)	15,000	16,061,400
Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	2,500	2,666,575
Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37	2,625	3,013,579

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Hospital (continued) Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 <sup>(1)</sup> New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup> Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 19,150 3,100 13,115 5,795 8,700 12,605	\$ \$1	1,835,398 19,413,121 3,298,524 14,571,945 6,661,410 9,816,117 14,234,186 <b>45,072,584</b>
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$		10,264,320 10,264,320
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.5% New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 24,000 13,000	\$ \$	1,177,312 26,763,360 14,277,640 <b>42,218,312</b>
Insured Other Revenue 1.5% Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, TX, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$ 6,750	\$ \$	6,031,756 8,242,290 <b>14,274,046</b>
Insured Solid Waste 0.5% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	\$ 1,575	\$ \$	3,191,333 1,808,116 <b>4,999,449</b>
Security	Principal Amount		Value

(000 s	s omitted)	)
\$	15,975 18,035 15,000 28,945 10,800	\$ 15,260,917 12,378,683 14,442,300 4,050,853 11,925,245
		\$ 58,057,998
\$	6,335	\$ 7,087,281
		\$ 7,087,281
\$	21,640 2,500 1,000 1,800 1,570 1,015 1,150 8,080 10,070 3,100 15,000 10,200 25,000 7,800 7,800	\$ 22,339,187 2,836,600 1,126,890 2,037,618 1,768,197 1,148,696 1,297,947 9,027,865 3,684,613 841,960 9,753,000 8,555,658 7,296,250 8,573,448 7,209,761
	\$	\$ 21,640 2,500 1,000 1,800 \$ 21,640 2,500 1,000 1,800 1,570 1,015 1,150 8,080 10,070 3,100 15,000 10,200 25,000

9

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Transportation (continued) Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup> Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 <sup>(1)</sup> Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/26 <sup>(1)</sup> Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/25 Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26 San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, CA, (Toll Road Bonds), (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25 Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20	\$	$\begin{array}{c} 20,995\\ 14,000\\ 1,785\\ 11,700\\ 1,015\\ 1,160\\ 20,000\\ 10,000\\ 1,605\\ 1,950\\ 1,000\\ 26,215\\ 15,845 \end{array}$	\$ 23,393,662 15,599,500 2,041,558 13,466,817 1,154,004 1,316,925 22,616,600 11,098,500 968,313 1,112,046 538,560 16,301,273 14,048,018
			\$ 211,153,466
Insured Water and Sewer 16.1% Austin, TX, Water and Wastewater, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 <sup>(1)</sup> Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/26 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/27 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.50%, 10/1/38 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/1/23 DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/34 District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup> Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.25%, 8/1/32 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/32 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40 <sup>(5)</sup>	\$	2,000 3,185 1,985 3,170 2,060 13,670 10,000 2,505 8,500 27,570 5,540 1,070 9,095 <b>Principal</b> <b>Amount</b> <b>s omitted</b> )	\$ 2,154,240 3,725,717 2,321,993 3,739,237 2,284,870 10,648,246 11,969,100 2,504,825 9,441,630 30,571,796 7,061,062 1,367,931 9,204,777 <b>Value</b>
Insured Water and Sewer (continued) Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/32 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/33 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	2,615 2,240 2,730 2,240 9,500	\$ 2,860,784 2,441,018 2,958,829 2,412,726 10,906,190

San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40 Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	3,535 27,670	3,709,664 30,730,568
		\$ 153,015,203
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.5% Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,980 335 10,000	<ul> <li>\$ 2,287,831 380,871 11,369,300</li> <li>\$ 14,038,002</li> </ul>
Other Revenue 2.6% New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Building Aid Revenue, 5.00%, 7/15/36 <sup>(1)</sup> Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/30	\$ 10,750 9,200 1,700	<ul> <li>\$ 12,107,403</li> <li>10,833,552</li> <li>1,842,596</li> <li>\$ 24,783,551</li> </ul>
Senior Living / Life Care 0.1% Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,309,773 \$ 1,309,773
Special Tax Revenue7.9%Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation, (Transportation Infrastructure), 5.00%, 1/1/31(1)New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/37(1)New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31	\$ 20,000 20,000 10,000	\$ 23,085,800 22,566,600 11,614,900

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34 New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/35 <sup>(5)</sup>	\$	12,040	\$ \$	3,747,528 13,744,864 <b>74,759,692</b>
<ul> <li>Transportation 10.6%</li> <li>Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/30</li> <li>Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31</li> <li>Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35</li> <li>Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28</li> <li>Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/32</li> <li>Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38</li> <li>Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38</li> <li>Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/40</li> <li>Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41</li> <li>New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.00%, 12/15/24</li> <li>Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/35</li> <li>Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/40</li> <li>Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/34</li> <li>Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/39</li> <li>Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33</li> </ul>	\$	4,950 8,275 3,285 4,380 4,640 4,735 10,825 10,000 2,915 2,590 5,000 14,360 5,000 5,000	\$ \$1	3,740,011 5,750,811 9,110,196 3,861,419 5,144,397 5,239,998 5,258,312 11,718,604 11,582,700 3,216,761 2,838,044 5,319,700 16,628,162 5,736,250 5,645,000
Water and Sewer 7.9%         California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), 5.25%, 12/1/35 <sup>(1)</sup> Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/35         Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42         Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32         Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39         Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41         Honolulu, HI, City and County Wastewater System, 5.25%, 7/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> Security         Water and Sewer (continued)	A	10,000 2,735 5,000 1,070 1,965 2,910 9,750 rincipal Amount omitted)	\$	11,884,900 3,063,665 5,423,250 1,141,027 2,100,860 3,083,465 11,071,905 <b>Value</b>
King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 <sup>(1)</sup> Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34	\$	10,000 \$ 1,445		11,276,100 1,634,107

Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 6/15/31 Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36	6,325 10,000 5,385	7,108,667 11,429,700 6,005,460
	\$	75,223,106
Total Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 161.8%		

(identified cost \$1,405,966,743)

\$ 1,537,600,066

#### Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0%)

Security	А	incipal mount omitted)		Value
Transportation         0.0%           Las Vegas Monorail Co., Jr. Subordinated Notes, 3.00% to 12/31/15, 5.50%, 7/15/55 <sup>(4)(7)(8)</sup> Las Vegas Monorail Co., Sr. Secured Notes, 5.50%, 7/15/19 <sup>(7)(8)</sup>	\$	125 457	\$	16,704 82,247
Total Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0%) (identified cost \$40,650)			\$	98,951
Total Investments 161.8% (identified cost \$1,406,007,393)			\$ 1,53	37,699,017
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (61.8)%			\$ (58	87,180,251)
Net Assets 100.0%			\$ 95	50,518,766

11

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

At September 30, 2014, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York	12.1%
Texas	11.7%
Others, representing less than 10% individually	76.2%
The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their	obligations may be affected by
economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments	ents, at September 30, 2014,
53.9% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies	s. The aggregate percentage
insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.0% to 21.5% of total investmen	ts.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) When-issued security.

<sup>(3)</sup> Defaulted security. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest or has filed for bankruptcy.

(4) Security is in default and making only p