FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP Form 10-Q November 07, 2013 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-15185

First Horizon National Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

TN (State or other jurisdiction

incorporation of organization)

165 MADISON AVENUE

MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE (Address of principal executive office) (Zip Code) (Registrant s telephone number, including area code) (901) 523-4444

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes " No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

... Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). "Yes x No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class Common Stock, \$.625 par value Outstanding on September 30, 2013 236,328,090

38103

62-0803242

(IRS Employer

Identification No.)

Table of Contents

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION

INDEX

Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements	2
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	77
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	125
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	125
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	126
Item 1A. Risk Factors	126
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	126
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	126
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	126
Item 5. Other Information	126
Item 6. Exhibits	127
Signatures	128
Exhibit Index	129
Exhibit 10.1	
Exhibit 31(a)	
Exhibit 31(b)	

Exhibit 32(a)

Exhibit 32(b)

PART I.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	
The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition (unaudited)	3
The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (unaudited)	4
The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)	5
The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Equity (unaudited)	6
The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)	7
The Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (unaudited)	8
Note 1 Financial Information	8
Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures	9
Note 3 Investment Securities	11
Note 4 Loans	13
Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights	25
Note 6 Intangible Assets	26
Note 7 Other Income and Other Expense	27
Note 8 Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Balances	28
Note 9 Earnings Per Share	29
Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures	30
Note 11 Pensions, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits	41
Note 12 Business Segment Information	43
Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations	45
Note 14 Variable Interest Entities	47
Note 15 Derivatives	52
Note 16 Master Netting and Similar Agreements Repurchase, Reverse Repurchase, and Securities Borrowing and Lending Transactions	58
Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities	59
Note 18 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency	75
This financial information reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial	
condition and results of operations for the interim periods presented.	

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION

	First Horizon National Corporation			
	Septer	nber 30	December 31	
(Dollars in thousands, except restricted and share amounts)(Unaudited) Assets:	2013	2012	2012	
Cash and due from banks (Restricted \$1.5 million on September 30, 2013; \$.6 million on				
September 30, 2012; and \$ on December 31, 2012)	\$ 395,631	\$ 355,978	\$ 469,879	
Federal funds sold	52,830	12,425	34,492	
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (Note 16)	576,355	517,263	601,891	
Total cash and cash equivalents (Restricted \$1.5 million on September 30, 2013; \$.6 million				
on September 30, 2012; and \$ on December 31, 2012)	1,024,816	885,666	1,106,262	
Interest-bearing cash	184,179	440,916	353,373	
Trading securities	1,343,134	1,204,366	1,262,720	
Loans held-for-sale	371,640	410,550	401,937	
Securities available-for-sale (Note 3)	3,186,943	3,123,629	3,061,808	
Loans, net of unearned income (Restricted \$.1 billion on September 30, 2013; September 30,	0,100,510	3,123,027	5,001,000	
2012; and December 31, 2012) (Note 4)	15,408,556	16,523,783	16,708,582	
Less: Allowance for loan losses (Restricted \$3.2 million on September 30, 2013; \$4.4 million	,,			
on September 30, 2012; and \$4.3 million on December 31, 2012) (Note 4)	255,710	281,744	276,963	
Total net loans (Restricted \$.1 billion on September 30, 2013; September 30, 2012; and	1 - 1 - 2 0 4 4	16 2 42 020	16 121 610	
December 31, 2012)	15,152,846	16,242,039	16,431,619	
Mortgage servicing rights (Note 5)	116,686	120,537	114,311	
Goodwill (Note 6)	140,479	134,242	134,242	
Other intangible assets, net (Note 6)	22,216	23,679	22,700	
Capital markets receivables	417,743	791,190	303,893	
Premises and equipment, net	308,779	305,346	303,273	
Real estate acquired by foreclosure	71,626	70,779	60,690	
Derivative assets (Note 15)	215,116	334,025	292,472	
Other assets (Restricted \$1.4 million on September 30, 2013; \$1.9 million on September 30,				
2012 and December 31, 2012)	1,637,138	1,652,866	1,670,840	
Total assets (Restricted \$.1 billion on September 30, 2013; September 30, 2012; and				
December 31, 2012)	\$ 24,193,341	\$ 25,739,830	\$ 25,520,140	
Liabilities and equity:				
Deposits:				
Savings	\$ 6,781,522	\$ 6,608,534	\$ 6,705,496	
Time deposits	\$ 0,781,522 997,726	1,063,380	1,019,938	
Other interest-bearing deposits	3,494,236	3,468,367	3,798,313	
Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more	575,679	518,717	503,490	
Continues of deposit \$100,000 and more	515,017	510,/1/	505,490	
Interest-bearing	11,849,163	11,658,998	12,027,237	
Noninterest-bearing	4,434,746	4,569,113	4,602,472	
Total deposits	16,283,909	16,228,111	16,629,709	
	, ,	, -, -	, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,	
Federal funds purchased	1,062,901	1,350,806	1,351,023	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (Note 16)	427,232	443,370	555,438	
Trading liabilities	585,969	516,970	564,429	

Other short-term borrowings	303,686	856,958	441,201
Term borrowings (Restricted \$.1 billion on September 30, 2013; September 30, 2012; and	,	,	
December 31, 2012)	1,771,288	2,263,238	2,226,482
Capital markets payables	388,373	574,201	296,450
Derivative liabilities (Note 15)	165,918	225,084	202,269
Other liabilities	770,772	749,204	743,933
Total liabilities (Restricted \$.1 billion on September 30, 2013; September 30, 2012; and	21 7 (0 0 40	22 207 0 42	22 010 024
December 31, 2012)	21,760,048	23,207,942	23,010,934
F			
Equity: First Horizon National Corporation Shareholders Equity:			
Preferred stock Series A, non-cumulative perpetual, no par value, liquidation preference of			
\$100,000 per share (shares authorized 1,000; shares issued 1,000 on September 30, 2013; or			
September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2012)	95,624		
Common stock \$.625 par value (shares authorized 400,000,000; shares issued 236,328,090 o			
September 30, 2013; 247,133,973 on September 30, 2012; and 243,597,780 on			
December 31, 2012)	147,705	154,459	152,249
Capital surplus	1,413,248	1,517,488	1,488,463
Undivided profits	657,676	681,460	719,672
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net (Note 8)	(176,391)	(116,684)	(146,343)
•			
Total First Horizon National Corporation Shareholders Equity	2,137,862	2,236,723	2,214,041
	, - ,	,,	, ,-
Noncontrolling interest	295,431	295,165	295,165
Noncontrolling interest	275,451	275,105	275,105
Total equity	2,433,293	2,531,888	2,509,206
10th quity	4,700,470	2,551,000	2,507,200
Total liabilities and agaits	\$ 24 102 241	¢ 05 720 820	¢ 25 520 140
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 24,193,341	\$ 25,739,830	\$ 25,520,140

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	First Horizon National Corporation Three Months Ended Nine Months En September 30 September 3			onths Ended	
(Dollars and shares in thousands except per share data, unless otherwise noted)(Unaudited)	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Interest income:	* 1 10 COO	¢ 1 (2 012		. 407 505	
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 149,698	\$ 163,813	\$ 454,297	\$ 486,507	
Interest on investment securities	20,916	24,136	62,442	76,413	
Interest on loans held-for-sale	3,058	3,808	9,729	11,174	
Interest on trading securities	8,747	8,392	25,798	27,450	
Interest on other earning assets	191	367	734	1,210	
Total interest income	182,610	200,516	553,000	602,754	
Interest expense:					
Interest on deposits:					
Savings	3,471	4,764	11,557	15,127	
Time deposits	4,013	5,169	12,294	16,626	
Other interest-bearing deposits	817	1,455	2,975	4,628	
Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more	1,658	1,975	4,769	6,586	
Interest on trading liabilities	3,632	2,556	10,182	7,914	
Interest on short-term borrowings	1,103	1,443	3,565	3,958	
Interest on term borrowings	9,078	9,689	27,419	29,846	
Total interest expense	23,772	27,051	72,761	84,685	
Net interest income	158,838	173,465	480,239	518,069	
Provision for loan losses	10,000	40,000	40,000	63,000	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	148,838	133,465	440,239	455,069	
Noninterest income:					
Capital markets	64,283	80,773	212,711	262,429	
Deposit transactions and cash management	29,279	30,352	85,189	89,216	
Mortgage banking	14,460	10,373	29,422	43,603	
Brokerage, management fees and commissions	10,868	8,699	30,756	25,954	
Trust services and investment management	6,649	6,055	19,927	18,340	
Insurance commissions	733	946	2,063	2,344	
Gain on divestiture	115		115	200	
Equity securities gains/(losses), net	(0)()		28	5,065	
Debt securities gains/(losses), net All other income and commissions (Note 7)	(96)	26.240	(451) 69,774	328	
All other income and commissions (Note 7)	24,184	26,340	09,774	77,407	
Total noninterest income	150,475	163,538	449,534	524,886	
Adjusted gross income after provision for loan losses	299,313	297,003	889,773	979,955	
Noninterest expense:					
Repurchase and foreclosure provision	200,000		200,000	299,256	
Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits (three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, include \$17.2 million and \$22.6 million, respectively, of expense associated with pension and post-retirement plans reclassified from accumulated other					
comprehensive income)	132,213	153,970	401,897	479,044	

-				
Occupancy	13,147	13,059	37,754	36,664
Legal and professional fees	12,704	12,295	37,940	26,779
Computer software	10,446	10,260	30,130	29,685
Contract employment and outsourcing	9,241	10,187	26,861	32,146
Operations services	9,199	8,702	26,111	27,306
Equipment rentals, depreciation, and maintenance	7,890	7,931	23,307	23,336
FDIC premium expense	4,631	7,532	15,679	20,669
Communications and courier	4,517	4,722	13,485	13,705
Miscellaneous loan costs	1,349	577	3,508	3,202
Amortization of intangible assets	928	979	2,784	2,931
Foreclosed real estate	523	2,968	3,249	9,046
All other expense (Note 7)	26,768	29,987	78,799	108,571
Total noninterest expense	433,556	263,169	901,504	1,112,340
·				
Income/(loss) before income taxes	(134,243)	33,834	(11,731)	(132,385)
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes (three and nine months ended September 30, 2013,	(10 1,2 10)	55,651	(11,701)	(152,505)
include \$6.6 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, of income tax benefit reclassified				
from accumulated other comprehensive income)	(31,094)	5,260	1,644	(72,348)
	(01,0)1)	3,200	1,011	(12,310)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	(103,149)	28,574	(13,375)	(60,037)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax (a)	123	108	554	160
		100		100
Net income/(loss)	\$ (103,026)	\$ 28,682	\$ (12,821)	\$ (59,877)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	2,875	2,875	8,531	8,563
	¢ (10 5 001)	¢ 05.907	¢ (01.050)	¢ ((0.440)
Net income/(loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$ (105,901)	\$ 25,807	\$ (21,352)	\$ (68,440)
Preferred stock dividends	1,550		4,288	
Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (107,451)	\$ 25,807	\$ (25,640)	\$ (68,440)
Basic earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations (Note 9)	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.27)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations (Note 9)	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.27)
Basic earnings/(loss) per share (Note 9)	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.27)
and the first state of the stat	, ()		, ()	(
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share (Note 9)	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.27)
Diated carmings (1055) per share (1000)	φ (0.43)	φ 0.10	φ (0.11)	φ (0.27)
Weighted average common shares (Note 9)	236,895	246,628	238,990	249,742
Trogenera average common shares (1000)	200,075	2-10,020	200,770	277,772
Diluted average common shares (Note 9)	236,895	248,306	238,990	249,742
Drated average common shares (1000)	200,070	2-10,500	200,770	277,772

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

(a) Due to the nature of the preferred stock issued by FHN and its subsidiaries, all components of Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax have been attributed solely to FHN as the controlling interest holder.

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	First Horizon National Corporation				
	Three Mont		Nine Months Ended		
	Septemb		Septem		
(Dollars in thousands) (unaudited)	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Net income/(loss)	\$ (103,026)	\$ 28,682	\$ (12,821)	\$ (59,877)	
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax:					
Unrealized fair value adjustments:					
Securities available-for-sale	1,714	243	(44,097)	(3,147)	
Recognized pension and other employee benefit plans net periodic benefit costs	10,560	5,655	14,049	16,619	
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	12,274	5,898	(30,048)	13,472	
ould comprehensive medine/(1055)	12,274	5,070	(50,040)	13,172	
	(00 552)	24 500	(42.0(0))	(46,405)	
Comprehensive income/(loss)	(90,752)	34,580	(42,869)	(46,405)	
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	2,875	2,875	8,531	8,563	
-					
Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$ (93,627)	\$ 31.705	\$ (51,400)	\$ (54,968)	
comprenentive meetines (1985) autroautore to contronning interest	φ ()0,01)	φ 51,705	φ (01,400)	φ (51,900)	

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

	First Horizon National Corporation					
	2013 2012					
	Controlling	Noncontrolling	T (1	U	Noncontrolling	T (1
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)(Unaudited)	Interest \$ 2,214,041	Interest \$ 295,165	Total \$ 2,509,206	Interest \$ 2,389,472	Interest \$ 295.165	Total \$ 2,684,637
Balance, January 1	• , ,	. ,	. , ,		1 ,	. , ,
Net income/(loss)	(21,352)	8,531	(12,821)	(68,440)	8,563	(59,877)
Other comprehensive income/(loss) (a)	(30,048)		(30,048)	13,472		13,472
Comprehensive income/(loss)	(51,400)	8,531	(42,869)	(54,968)	8,563	(46,405)
Preferred stock issuance (1,000 shares issued at						
\$100,000 per share net of offering costs)	95,624		95,624			
Cash dividends declared:	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
Preferred stock (\$4,288.33 per share)	(4,288)		(4,288)			
Common stock (\$.15 per share and \$.03 per share for						
the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012,						
respectively)	(36,345)		(36,345)	(7,464)		(7,464)
Common stock repurchased (b)	(91,395)		(91,395)	(98,902)		(98,902)
Common stock issued for:						
Stock options and restricted stock equity awards	608		608	133		133
Stock-based compensation expense	12,452		12,452	12,398		12,398
Dividends declared noncontrolling interest of subsidiary						
preferred stock		(8,531)	(8,531)		(8,563)	(8,563)
Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans	(1,509)		(1,509)	(3,946)		(3,946)
Real estate investment trust (REIT) preferred stock						
issuance		92	92			
Acquired noncontrolling interest-REIT		174	174			
Other changes in equity	74		74			
Balance, September 30	\$ 2,137,862	\$ 295,431	\$ 2,433,293	\$ 2,236,723	\$ 295,165	\$ 2,531,888

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

(a) Due to the nature of the preferred stock issued by FHN and its subsidiaries, all components of Other comprehensive income/(loss) have been attributed solely to FHN as the controlling interest holder.

(b) 2013 and 2012 include \$87.6 million and \$96.4 million, respectively, repurchased under the share repurchase program launched in fourth quarter 2011.

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine Months End 2013	ed September 30 2012
Operating Activities	2015	2012
Net income/(loss)	\$ (12,821)	\$ (59,877)
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided/(used) by operating activities:	φ (12,021)	\$ (59,077)
Provision for loan losses	40,000	63,000
Provision/(benefit) for deferred income taxes	(44,806)	(83,807)
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	26,507	26,320
Amortization of intangible assets	2,784	20,320
Net other amortization and accretion	2,784	59,161
Net (increase)/decrease in derivatives	(7,858)	(11,635)
Market value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights	(20,267)	4,541
Repurchase and foreclosure provision	200,000	299,256
Fair value adjustment to foreclosed real estate	3,279	7,873
Litigation and regulatory matters	6,299	29,013
(Gains)/losses on divestitures	(638)	,
		(485)
Stock-based compensation expense	12,452	12,398
Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans	1,509	3,946
Equity securities (gains)/losses, net	(28)	(5,065)
Debt securities (gains)/losses, net	451	(328)
Net (gain)/losses on sale/disposal of fixed assets	1,050	(2,432)
Net (increase)/decrease in:	(0.4.500)	(222.044)
Trading securities	(84,502)	(223,064)
Loans held-for-sale	30,297	3,347
Capital markets receivables	(113,850)	(626,203)
Interest receivable	890	(6,256)
Other assets	105,048	211,339
Net increase/(decrease) in:		
Capital markets payables	91,923	409,493
Interest payable	5,810	12,684
Other liabilities	(177,245)	(183,396)
Trading liabilities	21,540	169,685
Total adjustments	127,032	172,316
Net cash provided/(used) by operating activities	114,211	112,439
Investing Activities		
Available-for-sale securities:		
Sales	63,787	47,493
Maturities	783,033	758,573
Purchases	(977,723)	(870,973)
Premises and equipment:	· · · ·	
Sales		6,845
Purchases	(18,949)	(14,826)
Net (increase)/decrease in:		. , -,
Loans	1,461,678	(291,042)
Interests retained from securitizations classified as trading securities	4,088	6,915
Interest-bearing cash	196,178	11,940
Cash receipts related to divestitures	1,638	5,278
Cash received for acquisition	50,934	
Net cash provided/(used) by investing activities	1,564,664	(339,797)
net cash provided/(used) by investing activities	1,504,004	(339,191)

Common stock: 608 133 Stock options exercised 608 133 Cash dividends paid (26,467) (7,604) Repurchase of shares (a) (91,395) (98,902) Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans (1,509) (3,946) Preferred stock issuace 95,624 (2,738) Cash dividends paid Series A preferred stock (2,738) (8,531) Term borrowings: (411,027) (208,637) Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings 4,411 5,622 Net increases/(decrease) in: Deposits (707,898) 15,102 Short-term borrowings (611,399) 591,532 591,532 Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities (1,760,321) 284,769 Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents (81,446) 57,411 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 1,106,262 828,255 Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$ 65,750 \$ 71,535 Total interest paid \$,044 34,560 Total interest paid \$,044 34,	Financing Activities			
Cash dividends paid(26,467)(7,604)Repurchase of shares (a)(91,395)(98,902)Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans(1,509)(3,946)Preferred stock issuance95,624Cash dividends paid preferred stock noncontrolling interest(8,531)(8,531)Cash dividends paid Series A preferred stock(2,738)Term borrowings:(411,027)(208,637)Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings4,4115,622Net increase/(decrease) in:Deposits(707,898)15,102Deposits(707,898)15,102591,532Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities(1,760,321)284,769Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 65,750\$ 1,535Supplemental Disclosures\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$ 0,04434,560Total interest paid\$ 0,04434,560Total taxes paid\$ 0,04436,051Total taxes paid\$ 0,04436,050Total taxes refunded\$ 0,045168,391	Common stock:			
Repurchase of shares (a) (91,395) (98,902) Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans (1,509) (3,946) Preferred stock issuance 95,624 (8,531) Cash dividends paid preferred stock noncontrolling interest (8,531) (8,531) Cash dividends paid Series A preferred stock (2,738) (208,637) Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings 4,411 5,622 Net increase/(decrease) in: (707,898) 15,102 Short-term borrowings (1,760,321) 284,769 Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities (1,760,321) 284,769 Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents (81,446) 57,411 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 1,106,262 828,255 Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$ 1,024,816 \$ 885,666 Supplemental Disclosures Total interest paid \$ 0,044 34,450 Total interest paid \$,044 34,550 1,0535 1,0535 Total taxes paid 5,044 34,550 1,68,391	Stock options exercised	608		133
Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans (1,509) (3,946) Preferred stock issuance 95,624 Cash dividends paid preferred stock noncontrolling interest (8,531) (8,531) Cash dividends paid Series A preferred stock (2,738) (208,637) Term borrowings: (411,027) (208,637) Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings 4,411 5,622 Net increase/(decrease) in: 0 591,532 Deposits (707,898) 15,102 Short-term borrowings (611,399) 591,532 Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities (1,760,321) 284,769 Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents (81,446) 57,411 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 1,106,262 828,255 Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$ 1,024,816 \$ 885,666 Supplemental Disclosures 504 34,500 Total interest paid 5,044 34,560 Total taxes paid 5,044 34,560 Total taxes refunded 26,035 168,391	Cash dividends paid	(26,467)		(7,604)
Preferred stock issuance 95,624 Cash dividends paid preferred stock noncontrolling interest (8,531) (8,531) Cash dividends paid Series A preferred stock (2,738) (2,738) Payments/maturities (411,027) (208,637) Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings 4,411 5,622 Net increase/(decrease) in: (611,399) 591,532 Short-term borrowings (611,399) 591,532 Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities (1,760,321) 284,769 Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents (81,446) 57,411 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 1,106,262 828,255 Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$ 85,666 \$ 885,666 Supplemental Disclosures Total interest paid \$ 9,044 34,560 Total interest paid \$ 5,044 34,560 \$ 1,63,391	Repurchase of shares (a)	(91,395)	(9	98,902)
Cash dividends paid preferred stock noncontrolling interest(8,531)(8,531)Cash dividends paid Series A preferred stock(2,738)Term borrowings:(411,027)(208,637)Payments/maturities(411,027)(208,637)Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings4,4115,622Net increase/(decrease) in:(611,399)591,532Deposits(707,898)15,102Short-term borrowings(611,399)591,532Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities(1,760,321)284,769Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$ 057,50\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$ 0,04434,560Total taxes paid\$ 0,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391	Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans	(1,509)		(3,946)
Cash dividends paid Series A preferred stock(2,738)Term borrowings:(411,027)(208,637)Payments/maturities(411,027)(208,637)Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings4,4115,622Net increase/(decrease) in:(707,898)15,102Deposits(707,898)15,102Short-term borrowings(611,399)591,532Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities(1,760,321)284,769Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$,04434,560Total taxes paid\$,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391	Preferred stock issuance	95,624		
Term borrowings: Payments/maturities(411,027) (208,637)Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings4,4115,622Net increase/(decrease) in: Deposits(707,898)15,102Short-term borrowings(611,399)591,532Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities(1,760,321)284,769Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 0,04434,560Total interest paid5,04434,560168,391	Cash dividends paid preferred stock noncontrolling interest	(8,531)		(8,531)
Payments/maturities (411,027) (208,637) Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings 4,411 5,622 Net increase/(decrease) in:	Cash dividends paid Series A preferred stock	(2,738)		
Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings4,4115,622Net increase/(decrease) in: Deposits(707,898)15,102Short-term borrowings(611,399)591,532Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities(1,760,321)284,769Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$ 0,04434,560Total taxes paid\$ 0,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391	Term borrowings:			
Net increase/(decrease) in:(707,898)15,102Deposits(611,399)591,532Short-term borrowings(611,399)591,532Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities(1,760,321)284,769Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$,04434,560Total taxes paid\$,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391	Payments/maturities	(411,027)	(20	08,637)
Deposits(707,898)15,102Short-term borrowings(611,399)591,532Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities(1,760,321)284,769Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$ 0,04434,560Total taxes paid\$ 0,035168,391	Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings	4,411		5,622
Short-term borrowings(611,399)591,532Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities(1,760,321)284,769Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$ 0,04434,560Total taxes paid5,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391	Net increase/(decrease) in:			
Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities(1,760,321)284,769Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid\$ 5,04434,560Total taxes paid\$ 26,035168,391	Deposits	(707,898)		15,102
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid5,04434,560Total taxes paid5,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391	Short-term borrowings	(611,399)	59	91,532
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents(81,446)57,411Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total interest paid5,04434,560Total taxes paid5,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391				
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total taxes paid5,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391	Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities	(1,760,321)	28	84,769
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total taxes paid5,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391				
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period1,106,262828,255Cash and cash equivalents at end of period\$ 1,024,816\$ 885,666Supplemental DisclosuresTotal interest paid\$ 65,750\$ 71,535Total taxes paid5,04434,560Total taxes refunded26,035168,391	Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(81,446)	4	57.411
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$ 1,024,816 \$ 885,666 Supplemental Disclosures		(01,110)		,,,
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$ 1,024,816 \$ 885,666 Supplemental Disclosures	Cash and each equivalents at beginning of period	1 106 262	Q/	10 755
Supplemental Disclosures \$ 65,750 \$ 71,535 Total interest paid \$ 65,750 \$ 71,535 Total taxes paid 5,044 34,560 Total taxes refunded 26,035 168,391	Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,100,202	0.	20,233
Supplemental Disclosures \$ 65,750 \$ 71,535 Total interest paid \$ 65,750 \$ 71,535 Total taxes paid 5,044 34,560 Total taxes refunded 26,035 168,391			• • •	
Total interest paid \$ 65,750 \$ 71,535 Total taxes paid 5,044 34,560 Total taxes refunded 26,035 168,391	Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,024,816	\$ 88	35,666
Total interest paid \$ 65,750 \$ 71,535 Total taxes paid 5,044 34,560 Total taxes refunded 26,035 168,391				
Total taxes paid 5,044 34,560 Total taxes refunded 26,035 168,391				
Total taxes refunded 26,035 168,391	•	\$,	Ŧ	,
		,		,
Transfer from loans to other real estate owned9,76025,986		,		,
	Transfer from loans to other real estate owned	9,760		25,986

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

(a) 2013 and 2012 include \$87.6 million and \$96.4 million, respectively, repurchased under the share repurchase program launched in fourth quarter 2011.

Notes to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

Note 1 Financial Information

Basis of Accounting. The unaudited interim consolidated condensed financial statements of First Horizon National Corporation (FHN), including its subsidiaries, have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industries in which it operates. This preparation requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates and assumptions are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements and could differ from actual results. In the opinion of management, all necessary adjustments have been made for a fair presentation of financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless otherwise disclosed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The operating results for the interim 2013 periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected going forward. For further information, refer to the audited consolidated financial statements in the 2012 Annual Report to shareholders.

Summary of Accounting Changes. Effective January 1, 2013, FHN adopted the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-11, Balance Sheet: Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU 2011-11 creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of an entity s rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. ASU 2011-11 requires entities to disclose both gross and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the balance sheet as well as instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The scope of ASU 2011-11 includes derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements/reverse sale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending arrangements. The provisions of ASU 2011-11 are effective for periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with retrospective application to all periods presented in the financial statements required. Additionally in January 2013, FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities , that narrowed the scope of ASU 2011-11. Based on this amendment, ASU 2011-11 applies to derivatives, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Upon adoption of ASU 2011-11, FHN revised its disclosures accordingly. The adoption of the provisions of ASU 2011-11 had no effect on FHN s statement of condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Effective January 1, 2013, FHN adopted the provisions of FASB ASU 2013-02, Comprehensive Income: Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. ASU 2013-02 requires an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety additional detail about those amounts. ASU 2013-02 does not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements but modified interim disclosure requirements such that changes in accumulated other comprehensive income must be disclosed in interim filings. The provisions of ASU 2013-02 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2012, with prospective application to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Upon adoption of the provisions of ASU 2013-02 on January 1, 2013, FHN revised its financial statements and disclosures accordingly.

Accounting Changes Issued but Not Currently Effective. In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, Income Taxes: Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. ASU 2013-11 provides guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. Generally, ASU 2013-11 requires that an unrecognized tax benefit should reduce a deferred tax asset (DTA) that has been established for a net operating loss (NOL), a tax credit carryforward, or other similar tax losses. However, if a filer does not have such carryforwards or similar tax losses at the reporting date, the uncertain tax position should be recorded as a liability. If a filer does have a DTA, but is not required by tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to use the DTA to settle additional taxes from the disallowance of a tax position and that is the filers intent, the uncertain tax position should be recognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. The provisions of ASU 2013-11 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted. The provisions of ASU 2013-11 should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. FHN does not expect the adoption of the provisions of ASU 2013-11 to have a material effect on FHN s statement of condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-10, Derivatives and Hedging: Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes. ASU 2013-10 provides guidance on the risks that are permitted to be hedged in a fair value or cash flow hedge. The provisions of ASU 2013-10 permit the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap

Rate) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes under ASC 815, in addition to U.S. Treasury rates and London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). The amendments also remove the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. The provisions of ASU 2013-10 are effective prospectively for qualifying new or re-designated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. FHN may apply the provisions of ASU 2013-10 to future hedging relationships.

Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures

On June 7, 2013, First Tennessee Bank National Association (FTBNA) acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Mountain National Bank (MNB) a community bank headquartered in Sevierville, Tennessee from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), as receiver, pursuant to a purchase and assumption agreement. Prior to the acquisition, MNB operated 12 branches in Sevier and Blount counties in eastern Tennessee.

Excluding purchase accounting adjustments, FHN acquired approximately \$452 million in assets, including approximately \$249 million in loans, and assumed approximately \$362 million of MNB deposits. There was no premium associated with the acquired deposits and assets were acquired at a discount of \$33 million from book value. FHN did not enter into a loss-sharing agreement with the FDIC associated with the MNB purchase.

FHN has accounted for the acquisition as a business combination in accordance with ASC 805, Business Combinations, which requires acquired assets and liabilities (other than tax balances) to be recorded at fair value. Generally, the fair value for the acquired loans was estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis with significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) including adjustments for expected credit losses, prepayment speeds, current market rates for similar loans, and an adjustment for investor-required yield given product-type and various risk characteristics (refer to Note 4 Loans for additional information).

FHN continues to analyze the estimates of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, and as such the amounts recorded are provisional. FHN believes that information provides a reasonable basis for estimating fair values. FHN expects to substantially complete the purchase price allocation by the end of 2013; however, the fair value estimates are subject to refinement for up to one year after the closing date of the acquisition as additional information relative to closing date fair values becomes available. In addition, the tax treatment is complex and subject to interpretations that may result in future adjustments of deferred taxes as of the acquisition date.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, all measurement period adjustments related to acquisitions are presented in the acquired balance at closing, with revision of previously reported amounts.

The following schedule details significant assets acquired and liabilities assumed from the FDIC for MNB and provisional estimated purchase accounting/fair value adjustments at June 7:

	Mountain National Bank			
	Acquired	Purchase Accounting/ Accuired Fair Value rec		
(Dollars in thousands)	from FDIC	Adjustments	by FHN	
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 54,872	\$	\$ 54,872	
Interest-bearing cash	26,984		26,984	
Securities available-for-sale	73,948	(240)	73,708	
Loans, net of unearned income	249,001	(33,094)	215,907	
Core deposit intangible		2,300	2,300	
Premises and equipment	10,359	3,755	14,114	
Real estate acquired by foreclosure	33,294	(10,930)	22,364	
Deferred tax asset	(286)	2,677	2,391	
Other assets	3,405		3,405	
Total assets acquired	\$451,577	\$ (35,532)	\$ 416,045	
Liabilities:				
Deposits	\$ 362,098	\$	\$ 362,098	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	1,930		1,930	
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	50,040	5,586	55,626	
Other liabilities	2,454		2,454	

Total liabilities assumed	416,522	5,	586 422,10	8
Acquired noncontrolling interest	117		57 17	'4
Total liabilities assumed and acquired noncontrolling interest	\$ 416,639	\$5,	.643 \$ 422,28	2
Excess of assets acquired over liabilities assumed	\$ 34,938			
Aggregate purchase accounting/fair value adjustments		\$ (41,	175)	
Goodwill			\$ 6,23	7

Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures (Continued)

In relation to the acquisition FHN recorded \$6.2 million in goodwill, representing the excess of the estimated fair values of liabilities assumed over the estimated fair value of the assets acquired (refer to Note 6 Intangible Assets for additional information). Of this amount, \$3.5 million is expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

FHN s operating results for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, include the operating results of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities of MNB subsequent to the acquisition on June 7, 2013.

FHN acquires or divests assets from time to time in transactions that are considered business combinations or divestitures but are not material to FHN individually or in the aggregate.

Note 3 Investment Securities

The following tables summarize FHN s available-for-sale (AFS) securities on September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	September 30, 2013			
	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Fair
(Dollars in thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. treasuries	\$ 39,993	\$ 3	\$	\$ 39,996
Government agency issued mortgage-backed securities (MBS)	838,077	40,944	(3,570)	875,451
Government agency issued collateralized mortgage obligations (CMC) 2,043,803	17,420	(36,102)	2,025,121
Other U.S. government agencies	2,381	147		2,528
States and municipalities	15,155			15,155
Equity and other (a)	228,709		(17)	228,692
Total securities available for sale (b)	\$ 3,168,118	\$ 58,514	\$ (39,689)	\$ 3,186,943

(a) Includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$128.0 million and FRB stock of \$66.0 million. The remainder is money market, venture capital, and cost method investments.

(b) Includes \$2.9 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and for other purposes.

	September 30, 2012 Gross Gross				
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair	
(Dollars in thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	
Securities available-for-sale:					
U.S. treasuries	\$ 54,995	\$ 1	\$	\$ 54,996	
Government agency issued MBS	1,191,811	79,639		1,271,450	
Government agency issued CMO	1,530,075	25,562	(896)	1,554,741	
Other U.S. government agencies	3,911	291		4,202	
States and municipalities	17,970			17,970	
Equity and other (a)	220,247	23		220,270	
Total securities available for sale (b)	\$ 3,019,009	\$ 105,516	\$ (896)	\$ 3,123,629	

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

(a) Includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$125.5 million and FRB stock of \$66.0 million. The remainder is money market, venture capital, and cost method investments.

(b) Includes \$2.8 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and for other purposes. National banks chartered by the federal government are, by law, members of the Federal Reserve System. Each member bank is required to own stock in its regional Federal Reserve Bank (FRB). Given this requirement, FRB stock may not be sold, traded, or pledged as collateral for loans. Membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) network requires ownership of capital stock. Member banks are entitled to borrow funds from the FHLB and are required to pledge mortgage loans as collateral. Investments in the FHLB are non-transferable and, generally, membership is maintained primarily to provide a source of liquidity as needed.

The amortized cost and fair value by contractual maturity for the available-for-sale securities portfolio on September 30, 2013, are provided below:

Table of Contents

	Available-for-Sale Amortized			ale		
(Dollars in thousands)	Cost		Cost		Fa	iir Value
Within 1 year	\$	39,993	\$	39,996		
After 1 year; within 5 years		3,881		4,028		
After 5 years; within 10 years						
After 10 years		13,655		13,655		
Subtotal		57,529		57,679		
Government agency issued MBS and CMO	2	,881,880	2	,900,572		
Equity and other		228,709		228,692		
Total	\$3	,168,118	\$3	,186,943		

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Note 3 Investment Securities (Continued)

The table below provides information on gross gains and gross losses from investment securities for the three and nine months ended September 30:

	Three Mon	ths Ended	d Nine Months Ended	
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Gross gains on sales of securities	\$ 728	\$	\$ 770	\$ 5,433
Gross losses on sales of securities	(824)		(1,193)	
Net gain/(loss) on sales of securities (a)	(96)		(423)	5,433
Net other than temporary impairment (OTTI) recorded				(40)
Total securities gain/(loss), net	\$ (96)	\$	\$ (423)	\$ 5,393

(a) Proceeds for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, were \$44.9 million and \$63.8 million, respectively. There were no proceeds from sales for the three months ended September 30, 2012; proceeds from sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2012; were \$47.5 million.

The following tables provide information on investments within the available-for-sale portfolio that had unrealized losses on September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Less than 12 monthsOn September 30, 201312 months or longer				Total		
(Dollars in thousands)	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	
Government agency issued CMO	\$ 1,241,836	\$ (36,025)	\$ 12,018	\$ (77)	\$ 1,253,854	\$ (36,102)	
Government agency issued MBS	154,299	(3,570)			154,299	(3,570)	
Total debt securities	1,396,135	(39,595)	12,018	(77)	1,408,153	(39,672)	
Equity	43	(17)			43	(17)	
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 1,396,178	\$ (39,612)	\$ 12,018	\$ (77)	\$ 1,408,196	\$ (39,689)	

	On September 30, 2012Less than 12 months12 months or longerTotal				tal	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
(Dollars in thousands)	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
Government agency issued CMO	\$ 205,205	\$ (896)	\$	\$	\$ 205,205	\$ (896)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 205,205	\$ (896)	\$	\$	\$ 205,205	\$ (896)

FHN has reviewed investment securities that were in unrealized loss positions in accordance with its accounting policy for OTTI and does not consider them other-than-temporarily impaired. For debt securities with unrealized losses, FHN does not intend to sell them and it is more-likely-than-not that FHN will not be required to sell them prior to recovery. The decline in value is primarily attributable to interest rates and not credit losses. For equity securities, FHN has both the ability and intent to hold these securities for the time necessary to recover the amortized cost.

Note 4 Loans

The following table provides the balance of loans by portfolio segment as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and December 31, 2012:

	Septen	September 30		
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2012	
Commercial:				
Commercial, financial, and industrial	\$ 7,746,942	\$ 8,466,450	\$ 8,796,956	
Commercial real estate	1,173,711	1,229,855	1,168,235	
Retail:				
Consumer real estate (a)	5,458,047	5,735,904	5,688,703	
Permanent mortgage (b)	697,694	805,511	765,583	
Credit card & other	332,162	286,063	289,105	
Loans, net of unearned income	\$ 15,408,556	\$ 16,523,783	\$ 16,708,582	
Allowance for loan losses	255,710	281,744	276,963	
Total net loans	\$ 15,152,846	\$ 16,242,039	\$ 16,431,619	

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Balances as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and December 31, 2012 include \$349.3 million, \$417.0 million and \$402.4 million of restricted and secured real estate loans, respectively. See Note 14 Variable Interest Entities for additional information.
- (b) Balances as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and December 31, 2012 include \$11.7 million, \$14.2 million and \$13.2 million of restricted and secured real estate loans, respectively. See Note 14 Variable Interest Entities for additional information.

Components of the Loan Portfolio

The loan portfolio was disaggregated into segments and then further disaggregated into classes for certain disclosures. A portfolio segment is defined as the level at which FHN develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses. A class is generally determined based on the initial measurement attribute (i.e., amortized cost or purchased credit impaired), risk characteristics of the loan, and FHN s method for monitoring and assessing credit risk. Commercial loan portfolio segments include commercial, financial, and industrial (C&I) and commercial real estate (CRE). Commercial classes within C&I include general C&I, loans to mortgage companies, the trust preferred loans (TRUPs)(i.e., loans to bank and insurance-related businesses) portfolio and purchase credit impaired (PCI) loans. Loans to mortgage loans prior to the borrower s sale of those mortgage loans to third party investors. Commercial classes within commercial real estate include income CRE, residential CRE and PCI loans. Retail loan portfolio segments include consumer real estate, permanent mortgage, and the credit card and other portfolio. Retail classes include HELOC, real estate (R/E) installment and PCI loans within the consumer real estate segment, permanent mortgage (which is both a segment and a class), and credit card and other.

Acquisition

On June 7, 2013, FHN acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of MNB from the FDIC. The acquisition included approximately \$249 million of loans. These loans are recorded at fair value which incorporates expected credit losses in accordance with ASC 805 resulting in no carryover of allowance for loan loss from the acquiree. See Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures for additional information regarding the acquisition. At acquisition, FHN designated certain loans as purchase credit impaired (see discussion below) with the remaining loans accounted for under ASC 310-20, Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs . For loans accounted for under ASC 310-20, the difference between the loans book value to MNB and the estimated fair value at the time of the acquisition will be accreted back into interest income over the remaining contractual life and the subsequent accounting and reporting will be similar to FHN s originated loan portfolio.

Purchase Credit Impaired Loans

ASC 310-30 Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer , provides guidance for acquired loans that have experienced deterioration of credit quality between origination and the time of acquisition and for which the timely collection of the interest and principal is no longer reasonably assured (PCI loans). PCI loans are initially recorded at fair value which was estimated by discounting expected cash flows at acquisition date. The expected cash flows includes all contractually expected amounts (including interest) and incorporates an estimate for future expected credit losses, pre-payment assumptions, and yield requirement for a market participant, among other things. To the extent possible, certain PCI loans were aggregated with composite interest rate and expectation of cash flows expected to be collected for the pool. Aggregation into loan pools is based on common risk characteristics that include similar credit risk or risk ratings, and one or more predominant risk characteristics. PCI pools are accounted for as a single unit.

Accretable yield is the excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the initial investment in the loan and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the loan, or pool of loans. Nonaccretable difference is the difference between the contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition. In quarters subsequent to the acquisition

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

date, FHN re-estimates expected cash flows for PCI loans. Increases in expected cash flows from the last measurement will result in reversal of any nonaccretable difference (or allowance for loan losses to the extent any has been recorded) with a prospective positive impact on interest income. Decreases to the expected cash flows will result in an increase in the allowance for loan losses through increased provision expense. Generally, PCI loans will not be reported as nonperforming loans, troubled debt restructurings (if pooled), or impaired loans unless there has been an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of a loan below amortized cost or if it is probable that a loan has become impaired in periods subsequent to the acquisition.

The following table reflects FHN s contractually required payments receivable, cash flows expected to be collected, and the fair value of purchase credit impaired (PCI) loans at the acquisition date of June 7, 2013. The table has been revised from second quarter 2013 as the PCI population was finalized in third quarter 2013.

(Dollars in thousands)	Jur	ne 7, 2013
Contractually required payments including interest	\$	79,676
Less: nonaccretable difference		(23,750)
Cash flows expected to be collected		55,926
Less: accretable yield		(6,650)
Fair value of loans acquired	\$	49,276

The following table presents a rollforward of the accretable yield for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Sept	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Ionths Ended ember 30, 2013
Balance, beginning of period	\$	6,432	\$	
Impact of acquisition/purchase on June 7, 2013				6,650
Accretion		(821)		(1,039)
Adjustment for payoffs		(15)		(15)
Balance, end of period	\$	5,596	\$	5,596

At September 30, 2013, there were no additions to the allowance for loan losses or adjustments to the nonaccretable difference. The following table reflects the outstanding principal balance and carrying amounts of the acquired PCI loans as of September 30, 2013:

	September 30, 2013			
(Dollars in thousands)	Ending balance	Unp	aid balance	
Commercial, financial and industrial	\$ 2,410	\$	2,758	
Commercial real estate	45,443		63,061	
Consumer real estate	894		1,309	
Credit card and other	17		24	
Total	\$ 48,764	\$	67,152	

Concentrations

FHN has a concentration of loans secured by residential real estate (40 percent of total loans), the majority of which is in the consumer real estate portfolio (35 percent of total loans). Loans to finance and insurance companies total \$1.6 billion (21 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 10 percent of the total loans). FHN had loans to mortgage companies totaling \$0.7 billion (9 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 5 percent of total loans) as of September 30, 2013. As a result, 30 percent of the C&I category was sensitive to impacts on the financial services industry.

Regulatory Focus on Consumer Loan Accounting and Reporting

In first quarter 2012, the Office of the Comptroller of Currency (OCC) issued interagency guidance related to ALLL estimation and nonaccrual practices, and risk management policies for junior lien loans. As a result, FHN modified its nonaccrual policies in first quarter 2012, to place current second liens on nonaccrual if the first lien is owned or serviced by FHN and is 90 or more days past due. For non FHN-serviced first liens, in second quarter 2013, FHN received information from a third party vendor regarding the performance status of those first liens and placed stand-alone second liens on nonaccrual if the first lien was 90 days or more past due or had been modified. Because probable incurred losses had been contemplated in the allowance for loan loss estimate in prior quarters, this new information did not result in a significant increase in the ALLL.

In third quarter 2012, the OCC clarified that residential real estate loans in which personal liability has been discharged through Chapter 7 bankruptcy and not reaffirmed by the borrower are collateral dependent and should be reported as nonaccruing troubled debt restructuring (TDR). As a result, FHN charged-down such loans to the net realizable value of the collateral and the remaining balances were reported as nonaccruing TDRs regardless of the loan's delinquency status. With the implementation of this guidance, provision expense increased by approximately \$30 million and net charge-offs increased by \$40.0 million in third quarter 2012.

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

Because of the composition of FHN s residential real estate portfolios, these changes most significantly impacted the consumer real estate portfolio segment. The level of nonperforming loans and TDRs in the consumer real estate and permanent mortgage portfolios was affected by the regulatory actions discussed above.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The ALLL includes the following components: reserves for commercial loans evaluated based on pools of credit graded loans and reserves for pools of smaller-balance homogeneous retail loans, both determined in accordance with ASC 450-20-50. The reserve factors applied to these pools are an estimate of probable incurred losses based on management s evaluation of historical net losses from loans with similar characteristics and are subject to qualitative adjustments by management to reflect current events, trends, and conditions (including economic considerations and trends). The slow economic recovery, performance of the housing market, unemployment levels, the regulatory environment, regulatory guidance, and both positive and negative portfolio segment-specific trends, are examples of additional factors considered by management in determining the ALLL. The ALLL also includes reserves determined in accordance with ASC 310-10-35 for loans determined by management to be individually impaired.

Commercial

For commercial loans, reserves are established using historical net loss factors by grade level, loan product, and business segment. An assessment of the quality of individual commercial loans is made utilizing credit grades assigned internally based on a dual grading system which estimates both the probability of default (PD) and loss severity in the event of default. PD grades range from 1-16 while estimated loss severities, or loss given default (LGD) grades, range from 1-12. This credit grading system is intended to identify and measure the credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the migration of loans between grading categories. It is also integral to the estimation methodology utilized in determining the allowance for loan losses since an allowance is established for pools of commercial loans based on the credit grade assigned. The appropriate relationship team performs the process of categorizing commercial loans into the appropriate credit grades, initially as a component of the approval of the loan, and subsequently throughout the life of the loan as part of the servicing regimen. The proper loan grade for larger exposures is confirmed by a senior credit officer in the approval process. To determine the most appropriate credit grade for each loan, the credit risk grading system employs scorecards for particular categories of loans that consist of a number of objective and subjective measures that are weighted in a manner that produces a rank ordering of risk within pass-graded credits. Loan grading discipline is regularly reviewed by Credit Risk Assurance to determine if the process continues to result in accurate loan grading across the portfolio. FHN may utilize availability of guarantors/sponsors to support lending decisions during the credit underwriting process and when determining the assignment of internal loan grades.

Retail

The ALLL for smaller-balance homogenous retail loans is determined based on pools of similar loan types that have similar credit risk characteristics. FHN manages retail loan credit risk on a class basis. Reserves by portfolio are determined using segmented roll-rate models that incorporate various factors including historical delinquency trends, experienced loss frequencies, and experienced loss severities. Generally, reserves for retail loans reflect inherent losses in the portfolio that are expected to be recognized over the following twelve months.

Individually Impaired

Generally, classified nonaccrual commercial loans over \$1 million and all commercial and consumer loans classified as TDRs are deemed to be impaired and are individually assessed for impairment measurement in accordance with ASC 310-10-35. PCI loans are not considered impaired loans unless there are declines in fair value in reporting periods subsequent to the acquisition date. For all commercial portfolio segments, commercial TDRs and other individually impaired commercial loans are measured based on the present value of expected future payments discounted at the loan s effective interest rate (the DCF method), observable market prices, or for loans that are solely dependent on the collateral for repayment, the net realizable value. For loans measured using the DCF method or by observable market prices, if the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds this amount, a specific allowance is established as a component of the ALLL until such time as a loss is expected and recognized; for impaired collateral-dependent loans, FHN will charge off the full difference between the book value and the best estimate of net realizable value.

Generally, the allowance for TDRs in all consumer portfolio segments is determined by estimating the expected future cash flows using the modified interest rate (if an interest rate concession), incorporating payoff and net charge-off rates specific to the TDRs within the portfolio segment being assessed, and discounted using the pre-modification interest rate. The discount rates of variable rate TDRs are adjusted to reflect changes in the interest rate index in which the rates are tied. The discounted cash flows are then compared to the outstanding principal balance in order to determine required reserves. Residential real estate loans discharged through bankruptcy are collateral-dependent and are charged down to net realizable value.

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following table provides a rollforward of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	C&I	Commercial Real Estate	Consumer Real Estate	Permanent Mortgage	Credit Card and Other	Total
Balance as of July 1, 2012	\$ 110,645	\$ 41,546	\$ 133,421	\$ 29,112	\$ 6,327	\$ 321,051
Charge-offs	(7,077)	(4,446)	(69,351)	(2,889)	(3,259)	(87,022)
Recoveries	1,892	1,240	2,941	734	908	7,715
Provision	1,081	(10,991)	48,938	(1,400)	2,372	40,000
Balance as of September 30, 2012	106,541	27,349	115,949	25,557	6,348	281,744
Balance as of January 1, 2012	130,413	55,586	165,077	26,194	7,081	384,351
Charge-offs	(23,310)	(18,070)	(132,618)	(10,597)	(9,238)	(193,833)
Recoveries	8,568	2,779	12,255	1,905	2,719	28,226
Provision	(9,130)	(12,946)	71,235	8,055	5,786	63,000
FIOVISION	(9,130)	(12,940)	71,233	8,055	5,780	03,000
Balance as of September 30, 2012	106,541	27,349	115,949	25,557	6,348	281,744
Allowance individually evaluated for impairment	28,672	183	31,629	20,988	237	81,709
Allowance collectively evaluated for impairment	77,869	27,166	84,320	4,569	6,111	200,035
Loans, net of unearned as of September 30, 2012:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	153,480	66,357	145,481	129,101	913	495,332
Collectively evaluated for impairment	8,312,970	1,163,498	5,590,423	676,410	285,150	16,028,451
Total loans, net of unearned	8,466,450	1,229,855	5,735,904	805,511	286,063	16,523,783
Balance as of July 1, 2013	93,502	13,931	120,848	27,103	6,550	261,934
Charge-offs	(4,869)	(515)	(16,412)	(1,366)	(2,884)	(26,046)
Recoveries	3,242	587	4,398	841	754	9,822
Provision	(495)	(3,010)	11,992	(1,022)	2,535	10,000
Balance as of September 30, 2013	91,380	10,993	120,826	25,556	6,955	255,710
Balance as of January 1, 2013	96,191	19,997	128,949	24,928	6,898	276,963
Charge-offs	(16,201)	(2,612)	(58,792)	(6,577)	(8,236)	(92,418)
Recoveries	9,839	2,703	14,932	1,609	2,082	31,165
Provision	1,551	(9,095)	35,737	5,596	6,211	40,000
Balance as of September 30, 2013	91,380	10,993	120,826	25,556	6,955	255,710
Allowance individually evaluated for						
impairment	15,702	1,581	38,426	18,646	212	74,567
Allowance collectively evaluated for impairment Loans, net of unearned as of September 30, 2013:	75,678	9,412	82,400	6,910	6,743	181,143
Individually evaluated for impairment	102,729	30,266	170,401	144,036	648	448,080
Collectively evaluated for impairment	7,641,803	1,098,002	5,286,752	553,658	331,497	14,911,712
Purchased credit impaired loans	2,410	45,443	894		17	48,764

Total loans, net of unearned	\$ 7,746,942	\$ 1,173,711	\$ 5,458,047	\$ 697,694	\$ 332,162	\$ 15,408,556

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

Impaired Loans

The following tables provide information at September 30, 2013 and 2012, by class related to individually impaired loans and consumer TDR s. Recorded investment is defined as the amount of the investment in a loan, before valuation allowance but which does reflect any direct write-down of the investment. For purposes of this disclosure, PCI loans and LOCOM have been excluded.

	At S	eptember 30, 2 Unpaid	2013		nths Ended r 30, 2013 Interest	Nine Mor Septembe Average	r 30,	
	Recorded	Principal	Related	Recorded	Income	Recorded		ncome
(Dollars in thousands)	Investment	Balance	Allowance	Investment	Recognized	Investment	Rec	ognized
Impaired loans with no related allowance								
recorded:								
Commercial: General C&I	\$ 34,193	¢ 12677	\$	\$ 40.812	\$	\$ 51,845	\$	100
TRUPs	\$ 34,193 6,500	\$ 43,677 6,500	ф.	\$ 40,812 6.500	ф	\$ 31,843 10,583	Ф	108
Income CRE	12,939	24,219		17,959		24,828		168
Residential CRE	12,939	182		5,483		10,860		103
Residential CNL		102		5,405		10,000		122
Total	\$ 53,632	\$ 74,578	\$	\$ 70,754	\$	\$ 98,116	\$	398
D-4-11								
Retail: HELOC (a)	\$ 18,323	\$ 40,867	\$	\$ 19,016	\$	\$ 20,032	\$	
R/E installment loans (a)	\$ 18,323 11,632	\$ 40,807 15,102	φ	\$ 19,010 11,913	φ	\$ 20,032 12,166	φ	
Permanent mortgage (a)	14,531	14,531		14,663		12,100		
r ermanent mortgage (a)	14,551	14,551		14,005		14,100		
Total	\$ 44,486	\$ 70,500	\$	\$ 45,592	\$	\$ 46,366	\$	
Impaired loans with related allowance recorded:								
Commercial:								
General C&I	\$ 31,672	\$ 38,075	\$ 2,447	\$ 27,944	\$ 71	\$ 16,319	\$	108
TRUPs	33,610	33,610	13,255	38,655		39,185		
Income CRE	10,274	11,330	765	7,552	70	3,859		96
Residential CRE	7,053	12,383	816	4,567	68	1,869		84
Total	\$ 82,609	\$ 95,398	\$ 17,283	\$ 78,718	\$ 209	\$ 61,232	\$	288
Retail:		.						
HELOC	\$ 68,903	\$ 71,708	\$ 15,702	\$ 68,287	\$ 483	\$ 65,005	\$	1,373
R/E installment loans	71,543	72,686	22,724	75,084	336	72,571		1,025
Permanent mortgage	129,505	129,702	18,646	127,187	776	124,421		2,164
Credit card & other	648	648	212	682	7	732		23
Total	\$ 270,599	\$ 274,744	\$ 57,284	\$ 271,240	\$ 1,602	\$ 262,729	\$	4,585
T / I ' I	¢ 126 241	¢ 170 077	¢ 17.000	¢ 140.472	¢ 3 00	¢ 150 240	¢	(9)
Total commercial	\$ 136,241	\$ 169,976	\$ 17,283	\$ 149,472	\$ 209	\$ 159,348	\$	686
Total retail	\$ 315,085	\$ 345,244	\$ 57,284	\$ 316,832	\$ 1,602	\$ 309,095	\$	4,585

Total impaired loans	\$451,326	\$515,220	\$ 74,567	\$ 466,304	\$	1,811	\$ 468,443	\$	5,271
----------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------	----	-------	------------	----	-------

(a) All discharged bankruptcy loans are charged down to an estimate of net realizable value and do not carry any allowance.

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

	Se	ptember 30, 20 Unpaid	12		nths Ended r 30, 2012 Interest	Nine Mor Septembe Average	er 30,	
	Recorded	Principal	Related	Recorded	Income	Recorded		ncome
(Dollars in thousands)	Investment	Balance	Allowance	Investment	Recognized	Investment	Rec	cognized
Impaired loans with no related allowance								
recorded: Commercial:								
General C&I	\$ 59,215	\$ 75,587	\$	\$ 60,669	\$ 142	\$ 67,098	\$	549
TRUPs	\$ 39,213 45,892	\$ 73,387 45,892	ф	\$ 00,009 46,446	\$ 14Z	\$ 07,098 46,446	Ф	549
Income CRE	43,892	43,892 69,684		40,440	96	40,440 56,305		249
Residential CRE	19,993	36,108		21,167	90 70	22,142		249
Residential CRE	19,995	50,108		21,107	70	22,142		204
Total	\$ 170,056	\$ 227,271	\$	\$ 176,448	\$ 308	\$ 191,991	\$	1,002
Retail:	¢ 12.00C	¢ 40.222	¢	¢ 140	¢	¢ 40	¢	
HELOC (a)	\$ 13,086	\$ 40,222	\$	\$ 142	\$	\$ 48	\$	
R/E installment loans (a)	8,696	24,263		95 144		32 48		
Permanent mortgage (a)	13,282	17,040		144		48		
Total	\$ 35,064	\$ 81,525	\$	\$ 381	\$	\$ 128	\$	
Impaired loans with related allowance recorded:								
Commercial:								
General C&I	\$ 20,580	\$ 22,374	\$ 7,351	\$ 19,764	\$ 33	\$ 17,512	\$	100
TRUPs	33,700	33,700	21,321	33,700		33,700		
Income CRE	1,408	1,408	183	1,469	14	1,815		43
Residential CRE				8,700		10,577		
Total	\$ 55,688	\$ 57,482	\$ 28,855	\$ 63,633	\$ 47	\$ 63,604	\$	143
Retail:								
HELOC	\$ 57,020	\$ 57,422	\$ 12,980	\$ 57,097	\$ 389	\$ 53,469	\$	1,173
R/E installment loans	66,679	\$ 57,422 67,053	\$ 12,980 18,649	67,272	³ 389 294	68,444	φ	835
Permanent mortgage	115,819	115,880	20,988	117,679	714	94,442		2,104
Credit card & other	913	913	20,900	923	2	1,015		2,101
	,10	,15	237	,23	2	1,010		20
Total	\$ 240,431	\$ 241,268	\$ 52,854	\$ 242,971	\$ 1,399	\$217,370	\$	4,135
Total commercial	\$ 225,744	\$ 284,753	\$ 28,855	\$ 240,081	\$ 355	\$ 255,595	\$	1,145
Total retail	\$ 275,495	\$ 322,793	\$ 52,854	\$ 243,352	\$ 1,399	\$ 217,498	\$	4,135
Total impaired loans	\$ 501,239	\$ 607,546	\$ 81,709	\$ 483,433	\$ 1,754	\$ 473,093	\$	5,280

(a) All discharged bankruptcy loans are charged down to an estimate of net realizable value and do not carry any allowance. Asset Quality Indicators

As previously discussed, FHN employs a dual grade commercial risk grading methodology to assign an estimate for PD and the LGD for each commercial loan using factors specific to various industry, portfolio, or product segments that result in a rank ordering of risk and the assignment of grades PD 1 to PD 16. Each PD grade corresponds to an estimated one-year default probability percentage; a PD 1 has the lowest expected default probability, and probabilities increase as grades progress down the scale. PD 1 through PD 12 are pass grades. PD grades 13-16 correspond to the regulatory-defined categories of special mention (13), substandard (14), doubtful (15), and loss (16). Pass loan grades are required to be reassessed annually or earlier whenever there has been a material change in the financial condition of the borrower or risk characteristics of the relationship. All commercial loans over \$1 million and certain commercial loans over \$500,000 that are graded 13 or worse are reassessed on a quarterly basis. LGD grades are assigned based on a scale of 1-12 and represent FHN s expected recovery based on collateral type in the event a loan defaults.

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following tables provide the balances of commercial loan portfolio classes with associated allowance, disaggregated by PD grade as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	September 30, 2013									
(Dollars in thousands)	General C&I	Loans to Mortgage Companies	TRUPS (a)	Income CRE	Residential CRE	Total	Percentage of Total	Allowance for Loan Losses		
PD Grade:										
1	\$ 228,555	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 228,555	3 %	\$ 81		
2	179,955					179,955	2	79		
3	194,880			2,687		197,567	2	224		
4	311,097					311,097	4	517		
5	790,748			11,823	216	802,787	9	1,363		
6	938,609	40,200		44,311	286	1,023,406	12	1,973		
7	1,125,031	202,128		228,814	9,978	1,565,951	17	3,377		
8	881,668	308,282		202,417	5,058	1,397,425	16	4,895		
9	615,180	152,275		203,308	1,499	972,262	11	7,981		
10	451,318	29,008		139,026	1,066	620,418	7	8,640		
11	399,082	473		69,945	277	469,777	5	10,338		
12	124,916			57,534	1,224	183,674	2	2,425		
13	159,675		332,707	33,439	1,324	527,145	6	8,596		
14,15,16	172,346	335	3,335	73,737	10,033	259,786	3	34,601		
Collectively evaluated for										
impairment	6,573,060	732,701	336,042	1,067,041	30,961	8,739,805	99	85,090		
Individually evaluated for impairment	65,865	,	36,864	23,213	7,053	132,995	1	17,283		
Total commercial loans	\$ 6,638,925	\$ 732,701	\$ 372,906	\$ 1,090,254	\$ 38,014	\$ 8,872,800(b)	100 %	\$ 102,373		

				September 30), 2012			
		Loans to Mortgage			Residential		Percent of	Allowance for Loan
(Dollars in thousands)	General C&I	Companies	TRUPS (a)	Income CRE	CRE	Total	Total	Losses
PD Grade:								
1	\$ 213,872	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 213,872	2%	\$ 54
2	183,044			2,557		185,601	2	93
3	129,183			8,210		137,393	1	79
4	255,875			5,660	26	261,561	3	226
5	500,389			28,115	117	528,621	6	1,027
6	899,007	129,816		166,183	5,024	1,200,030	12	3,002
7	997,337	327,812		156,309	4,056	1,485,514	15	7,868
8	936,437	959,623		172,200	515	2,068,775	21	12,028
9	651,137	186,130		172,544	1,375	1,011,186	10	10,084
10	508,520	33,302		96,892	1,336	640,050	7	8,103
11	462,654			84,635	2,024	549,313	6	9,112
12	175,688			11,848	1,278	188,814	2	2,709
13	154,787		338,177	75,408	3,705	572,077	6	9,211
14,15,16	270,180			133,941	29,540	433,661	5	41,439

Collectively evaluated for								
impairment	6,338,110	1,636,683	338,177	1,114,502	48,996	9,476,468	98	105,035
Individually evaluated for								
impairment	79,795		73,685	46,364	19,993	219,837	2	28,855
Total commercial loans	\$ 6,417,905	\$ 1,636,683	\$ 411,862	\$ 1,160,866	\$ 68,989	\$ 9,696,305	100%	\$ 133,890

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

(a) Balances as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, presented net of \$29.4 million and \$34.2 million, respectively, in lower of cost or market
 (LOCOM) valuation allowance. Based on the underlying structure of the notes, the highest possible internal grade is 13.

(b) Balance as of September 30, 2013, excludes PCI loans amounting to \$47.9 million.

The retail portfolio is comprised primarily of smaller-balance loans which are very similar in nature in that most are standard products and are backed by residential real estate. Because of the similarities of retail loan-types, FHN is able to utilize the Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) score, among other attributes, to assess the quality of consumer borrowers. FICO scores are refreshed on a quarterly basis in an attempt to reflect the recent risk profile of the borrowers. Accruing delinquency amounts are indicators of asset quality within the credit card and other retail portfolio.

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following tables reflect period-end balances and average FICO scores by origination vintage for the HELOC, real estate installment, and permanent mortgage classes of loans as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

HELOC	Sep	tember 30, 2013	3	September 30, 2012			
(Dollars in thousands)	Period End	Average Origination	Average Refreshed	Period End	Average Origination	Average Refreshed	
Origination Vintage	Balance	FICO	FICO	Balance	FICO	FICO	
pre-2003	\$ 88,416	711	702	\$ 135,605	718	710	
2003	163,576	728	714	235,546	733	724	
2004	421,542	727	717	514,791	728	718	
2005	548,756	733	720	642,027	734	719	
2006	400,023	741	725	474,706	741	726	
2007	421,964	744	728	498,011	745	729	
2008	233,642	754	747	267,346	755	748	
2009	121,555	750	744	152,687	753	748	
2010	120,022	753	750	150,243	754	752	
2011	119,553	758	754	146,768	759	758	
2012	145,507	759	759	117,905	761	759	
2013	111,976	762	761				
Total	\$ 2,896,532	741	730	\$ 3,335,635	740	731	
	. , ,			. , -,			

Sep	tember 30, 2013	September 30, 2012			
	Average	Average		Average	Average
Period End	Origination	Refreshed	Period End	Origination	Refreshed
Balance	FICO	FICO	Balance	FICO	FICO
\$ 26,603	682	684	\$ 41,783	686	686
81,915	716	725	122,330	721	730
58,244	701	699	78,439	707	706
170,742	717	711	224,780	718	713
183,847	716	701	244,101	719	703
264,851	725	709	338,306	728	711
91,883	723	720	122,014	728	720
39,549	742	736	67,590	748	744
131,004	747	754	163,618	745	751
347,315	761	761	428,167	760	759
707,972	764	764	569,141	765	761
457,590	758	754			
\$ 2,561,515	746	742	\$ 2,400,269	741	733
	Period End Balance \$ 26,603 81,915 58,244 170,742 183,847 264,851 91,883 39,549 131,004 347,315 707,972 457,590	Average Origination Balance Average Origination FICO \$ 26,603 682 \$81,915 716 58,244 701 170,742 717 183,847 716 264,851 725 91,883 723 39,549 742 131,004 747 347,315 761 707,972 764 457,590 758	Period End Balance Origination FICO Refreshed FICO \$ 26,603 682 684 81,915 716 725 58,244 701 699 170,742 717 711 183,847 716 701 264,851 725 709 91,883 723 720 39,549 742 736 131,004 747 754 347,315 761 761 707,972 764 764 457,590 758 754	Average Balance Average Origination FICO Average Refreshed FICO Period End Balance \$ 26,603 682 684 \$ 41,783 81,915 716 725 122,330 58,244 701 699 78,439 170,742 717 711 224,780 183,847 716 701 244,101 264,851 725 709 338,306 91,883 723 720 122,014 39,549 742 736 67,590 131,004 747 754 163,618 347,315 761 761 428,167 707,972 764 764 569,141 457,590 758 754 569,141	Average Origination Balance Average Origination FICO Average Refreshed FICO Period End Balance Average Origination FICO \$ 26,603 682 684 \$ 41,783 686 \$1,915 716 725 122,330 721 58,244 701 699 78,439 707 170,742 717 711 224,780 718 183,847 716 701 244,101 719 264,851 725 709 338,306 728 91,883 723 720 122,014 728 39,549 742 736 67,590 748 131,004 747 754 163,618 745 347,315 761 761 428,167 760 707,972 764 764 569,141 765 457,590 758 754 569,141 765

Permanent Mortgage	Sej	September 30, 2012				
(Dollars in thousands)	Period End	Average Origination	Average Refreshed	Period End	Average Origination	Average Refreshed
Origination Vintage	Balance	FICO	FICO	Balance	FICO	FICO
pre-2004	\$ 205,111	725	725	\$ 220,499	726	729

2004 2005 2006	24,595 41,643 81,932	712 738 731	693 712 711	31,422 52,058 94,898	715 739 734	692 716 706
2000 2007 2008	236,819 107,594	733 741	710 714	275,594 131,040	734 734 742	700 711 712
Total	\$ 697,694	731	713	\$ 805,511	732	712

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following table reflects accruing delinquency amounts for the credit card and other portfolio classes as of September 30:

	Credit Card		0	ther
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Accruing delinquent balances:				
30-89 days past due	\$ 1,480	\$ 1,666	\$ 803	\$ 594
90+ days past due	1,258	1,352	138	477
Total	\$ 2,738	\$ 3,018	\$ 941	\$ 1,071

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans

For all portfolio segments and classes, loans are placed on nonaccrual status if it becomes evident that full collection of principal and interest is at risk, impairment has been recognized as a partial charge-off of principal balance, or on a case-by-case basis if FHN continues to receive payments, but there are atypical loan structures or other borrower-specific issues. PCI loans are classified in the table below as accruing. FHN has a meaningful portion of loans that are classified as nonaccrual even though loan payments are being received; these include residential real estate loans where the borrower has been discharged of personal obligation through bankruptcy, and also current second lien loans behind first lien loans with performance issues. The determination of whether a TDR is placed on nonaccrual status generally follows the same internal policies and procedures as other portfolio loans. However, FHN will typically place a consumer real estate loan on nonaccrual status if it is 30 or more days delinquent at the time of modification and is determined to be a TDR, except for residential real estate secured loans discharged in bankruptcy (discharged bankruptcis) that are placed on nonaccrual regardless of delinquency status. Stand-alone second liens are placed on nonaccrual status if they are behind first liens that are 90 days or more past due or the first lien has been modified.

The following table reflects accruing and non-accruing loans by class on September 30, 2013:

		Accr 30-89	ruing				Non-Accru 90+	ing Total	
	G	Days	90+ Days	Total	a	30-89 Days	Days	Non-	Total
(Dollars in thousands)	Current	Past Due	Past Due	Accruing	Current	Past Due	Past Due	Accruing	Loans
Commercial (C&I):									
General C&I	\$ 6,565,838	\$ 7,314	\$ 247	\$ 6,573,399	\$ 33,582	\$ 3,610	\$ 28,334	\$ 65,526	\$ 6,638,925
Loans to mortgage companies	731,684	682		732,366			335	335	732,701
TRUPs (a)	336,042			336,042			36,864	36,864	372,906
Purchased credit impaired	,			,			,	,	, í
loans	1,942	468		2,410					2,410
Total commercial (C&I)	7,635,506	8,464	247	7,644,217	33,582	3,610	65,533	102,725	7,746,942
Commercial real estate:									
Income CRE	1,063,940	5,438	283	1,069,661	6,791		13,802	20,593	1,090,254
Residential CRE	33,442	177		33,619	285		4,110	4,395	38,014
Purchased credit impaired loans	44,241	637	565	45,443			,	,	45,443
Total commercial real estate	1,141,623	6,252	848	1,148,723	7,076		17,912	24,988	1,173,711

Consumer real estate:									
HELOC	2,778,336	24,072	12,641	2,815,049	61,733	5,521	14,229	81,483	2,896,532
R/E installment loans	2,499,516	14,156	6,475	2,520,147	29,549	3,048	7,877	40,474	2,560,621
Purchased credit impaired									
loans	894			894					894
Total consumer real estate	5,278,746	38,228	19,116	5,336,090	91,282	8,569	22,106	121,957	5,458,047
	, ,	,	,	, ,	,	,	,	,	, ,
Permanent mortgage	643,385	5,097	12,239	660,721	13,518	1,321	22,134	36,973	697,694
Credit card & other									
Credit card	186,749	1,480	1,258	189,487					189,487
Other	140,318	803	138	141,259	1,399			1,399	142,658
Purchased credit impaired									
loans	17			17					17
Total credit card & other	327.084	2,283	1,396	330,763	1,399			1,399	332,162
	,	,	,		-,				, - •-
Total loans, net of unearned	\$ 15,026,344	\$ 60,324	\$ 33,846	\$15,120,514	\$ 146,857	\$ 13,500	\$ 127,685	\$ 288,042	\$ 15,408,556

(a) Total TRUPs includes LOCOM valuation allowance of \$29.4 million.

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following table reflects accruing and non-accruing loans by class on September 30, 2012:

		Accru 30-89 Days	uing 90+ Days	Total		30-89 Days	Non-Accru 90+ Days	ing Total Non-	Total
(Dollars in thousands)	Current	Past Due	Past Due	Accruing	Current	Past Due	Past Due	Accruing	Loans
Commercial (C&I):				U				Ū	
General C&I	\$ 6,315,340	\$ 25,221	\$ 428	\$ 6,340,989	\$ 36,480	\$ 9,734	\$ 30,702	\$ 76,916	\$ 6,417,905
Loans to mortgage									
companies	1,636,683			1,636,683					1,636,683
TRUPs (a)	338,177			338,177			73,685	73,685	411,862
Total commercial (C&I)	8,290,200	25,221	428	8,315,849	36,480	9,734	104,387	150,601	8,466,450
	, ,	,		, ,	,	,	,	,	, ,
Commercial real estate:									
Income CRE	1,111,616	2,393		1,114,009	10,552	3,689	32,616	46,857	1,160,866
Residential CRE	51,288	823		52,111	1,654	126	15,098	16,878	68,989
	- ,			- 1	,		- ,	-)	
Total commercial real estate	1,162,904	3,216		1,166,120	12,206	3,815	47,714	63,735	1,229,855
Total commercial real estate	1,102,901	3,210		1,100,120	12,200	5,015	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	00,100	1,227,000
Consumer real estate:									
HELOC	3,246,585	36,223	18,774	3,301,582	20,990	1,195	11,868	34,053	3,335,635
R/E installment loans	2,350,465	18,332	10,185	2,378,982	12,954	2,470	5,863	21,287	2,400,269
	2,350,405	10,552	10,105	2,570,702	12,754	2,470	5,005	21,207	2,400,209
Total consumer real estate	5,597,050	54,555	28,959	5,680,564	33,944	3,665	17,731	55,340	5,735,904
Total consumer real estate	5,597,050	54,555	28,939	5,080,504	55,944	5,005	17,751	55,540	5,755,904
Permanent mortgage	748,470	15,241	7,774	771,485	18,165	2,095	13,766	34,026	805,511
i ei manent moi tgage	740,470	13,241	7,774	771,405	10,105	2,095	15,700	54,020	005,511
Credit card & other									
Credit card	183,893	1,666	1,352	186,911					186,911
Other	96,245	594	477	97,316	1,835	1		1,836	99,152
Outer	20,245	594	7//	,510	1,055	1		1,030	17,132
Total credit card & other	280 129	2 260	1 0 20	284 227	1 0 2 5	1		1.026	286 062
i otal credit card & other	280,138	2,260	1,829	284,227	1,835	1		1,836	286,063
Total loans, net of	¢ 16 070 760	¢ 100 402	¢ 20.000	¢ 1< 010 045	¢ 100 (20	¢ 10 210	¢ 102 500	¢ 205 520	¢ 17 500 500
unearned	\$ 16,078,762	\$ 100,493	\$ 38,990	\$ 16,218,245	\$ 102,630	\$ 19,310	\$ 183,598	\$ 305,538	\$ 16,523,783

(a) Total TRUPs includes LOCOM valuation allowance of \$34.2 million. **Troubled Debt Restructurings**

As part of FHN s ongoing risk management practices, FHN attempts to work with borrowers when necessary to extend or modify loan terms to better align with their current ability to repay. Extensions and modifications to loans are made in accordance with internal policies and guidelines which conform to regulatory guidance. Each occurrence is unique to the borrower and is evaluated separately. FHN considers regulatory guidelines when restructuring loans to ensure that prudent lending practices are followed. As such, qualification criteria and payment terms consider the borrower s current and prospective ability to comply with the modified terms of the loan.

A modification is classified as a TDR if the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and it is determined that FHN has granted a concession to the borrower. FHN may determine that a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty if the borrower is currently in default on any of its debt, or if it is probable that a borrower may default in the foreseeable future. Many aspects of a borrower s financial situation are assessed when determining whether they are experiencing financial difficulty, particularly as it relates to commercial borrowers due to the complex nature of loan structures, business/industry risk, and borrower/guarantor structures. Concessions could include reductions of interest rates, extension of the maturity date at a rate lower than current market rate for a new loan with similar risk, reduction of accrued interest, or principal forgiveness. When evaluating whether a concession has been granted, FHN also considers whether the borrower has provided additional collateral or guarantors and whether such additions adequately compensate FHN for the restructured terms. The assessments of whether a borrower is experiencing (or is likely to experience) financial difficulty and whether a concession has been granted is subjective in nature and management s judgment is required when determining whether a modification is classified as a TDR.

For all classes within the commercial portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified through forbearance agreements (generally 6 to 12 months). Forbearance agreements could include reduced interest rates, reduced payments, release of guarantor in exchange for payment, or entering into short sale agreements. FHN s proprietary modification programs for consumer loans are generally structured using parameters of U.S. government-sponsored programs such as Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP). Within the HELOC and R/E installment loans classes of the consumer portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified by reducing the interest rate (in increments of 25 basis points to a minimum of 1 percent for up to 5 years) and a possible maturity date extension to reach an affordable housing debt ratio. Permanent mortgage TDRs are typically modified by reducing the interest rate (in increments of 25 basis points to a minimum of 2 percent for up to 5 years) and a possible maturity date extension to reach an affordable housing debt ratio. After 5 years the interest rate steps up 1 percent every year thereafter until it reaches the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac, Freddie, or FHLMC) Weekly Survey Rate cap. Contractual maturities may be extended to 40 years on permanent mortgages and to 30 years for consumer real estate loans. Within the credit card class of the consumer portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified through either a short-term credit card hardship program or a longer-term credit card workout program. In the credit card hardship program, borrowers may be granted rate and payment reductions for 6 months to 1 year. In the credit card workout program, customers are granted a rate reduction to 0 percent and term extensions for up to 5 years to pay off the remaining balance.

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

On September 30, 2013 and 2012, FHN had \$385.3 million and \$371.4 million portfolio loans classified as TDRs, respectively. For TDRs in the loan portfolio, FHN had loan loss reserves of \$61.3 million and \$60.0 million, or 16 percent as of September 30, 2013 and 2012. Additionally, FHN had restructured \$193.0 million and \$171.9 million of loans held-for-sale as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Loans held-for-sale are presented at UPB before fair value adjustments and do not carry reserves.

The following tables reflect portfolio loans (excluding acquired loans) that were classified as TDRs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

		Pre-M Ou	Ended Septer Aodification tstanding	Post-M Outs	odification tanding		Pre-N Ou	Ended Septen Modification Itstanding	Post- Oi	Modification Itstanding
(Dollars in thousands)	Number	Record	ed Investmen	Recorded	Investment	Number	Record	ed Investmen	Record	ed Investment
Commercial (C&I):										
General C&I	2	\$	1,161	\$	1,134	10	\$	17,350	\$	17,313
Total commercial (C&I)	2		1,161		1,134	10		17,350		17,313
Commercial real estate:										
Income CRE						1		288		288
Residential CRE										
Total commercial real estate						1		288		288
Consumer real estate:										
HELOC	72		5,212		5,194	279		21,729		21,479
R/E installment loans	70		4,589		4,541	346		24,264		24,100
Total consumer real estate	142		9,801		9,735	625		45,993		45,579
Permanent mortgage	15		3,864		4,074	41		16,907		17,311
Credit card & other	13		44		39	41		198		187
Total troubled debt restructurings	172	\$	14,870	\$	14,982	718	\$	80,736	\$	80,678
	Three	Pre-M	Ended Septen Modification	Post-M	012 odification tanding	Nine	Pre-M	Ended Septem Modification	Post-	2012 Modification utstanding
(Dollars in thousands)	Number	Record	ed Investment	tRecorded	I Investment	Number	Record	led Investment	Record	led Investment
Commercial (C&I):										
General C&I	8	\$	4,285	\$	4,244	19	\$	22,406	\$	22,264
Total commercial (C&I)	8		4,285		4,244	19		22,406		22,264
Commercial real estate:										
Income CRE	3		4,538		4,144	9		13,045		12,502
Residential CRE			,			2		88		87
Total commercial real estate	3		4,538		4,144	11		13,133		12,589

Consumer real estate:						
HELOC	737	17,087	16,916	831	27,730	27,511
R/E installment loans	567	13,604	13,445	677	26,782	25,710
Total consumer real estate	1,304	30,691	30,361	1,508	54,512	53,221
Permanent mortgage	61	16,641	16,648	123	66,308	66,710
Credit card & other	26	101	97	188	1,063	1,025
Total troubled debt restructurings	1,402	\$ 56,256	\$ 55,494	1,849	\$ 157,422	\$ 155,809

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following table presents TDRs which were re-defaulted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and as to which the modification occurred 12 months or less prior to the re-default. Financing receivables that became classified as TDRs within the previous 12 months and for which there was a payment default during the period are calculated by first identifying TDRs that were in default during the period and then determining whether they were modified within the 12 months prior to the default. For purposes of this disclosure, FHN generally defines payment default as a loan being 30 plus days past due.

		Ionths Ended ber 30, 2013 Recorded	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 Recorded			
(Dollars in thousands)	Number	Investment	Number	Investment		
Commercial (C&I):						
General C&I	6	\$ 1,870	8	\$ 5,977		
Total commercial (C&I)	6	1,870	8	5,977		
Commercial real estate:						
Income CRE	3	750	4	1,548		
Residential CRE			1	33		
Total commercial real estate	3	750	5	1,581		
Consumer real estate:						
HELOC	1	35	10	512		
R/E installment loans	3	229	6	350		
Total consumer real estate	4	264	16	862		
Permanent mortgage	4	2,071	14	6,507		
Credit card & other	8	34	15	61		
Total troubled debt restructurings	25	\$ 4,989	58	\$ 14,988		

		Ionths Ended ber 30, 2012 Recorded	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Recorde		
(Dollars in thousands)	Number	Investment	Number	Investment	
Commercial (C&I):					
General C&I	9	\$ 8,559	27	\$ 21,618	
Total commercial (C&I)	9	8,559	27	21,618	
Commercial real estate:					
Income CRE	9	10,396	19	18,840	
Residential CRE	1	73	3	259	
Total commercial real estate	10	10,469	22	19,099	

Consumer real estate:				
HELOC	15	1,749	31	3,379
R/E installment loans	4	210	33	3,392
Total consumer real estate	19	1,959	64	6,771
Permanent mortgage	6	2,743	9	3,515
Credit card & other	4	17	19	69
Total troubled debt restructurings	48	\$ 23,747	141	\$ 51,072

The determination of whether a TDR is placed on nonaccrual status generally follows the same internal policies and procedures as other portfolio loans. However, FHN will typically place a consumer real estate loan on nonaccrual status if it is 30 or more days delinquent upon modification into a TDR. For commercial loans, nonaccrual TDRs that are reasonably assured of repayment according to their modified terms may be returned to accrual status by FHN upon a detailed credit evaluation of the borrower s financial condition and prospects for repayment under the revised terms. For consumer loans, FHN s evaluation supporting the decision to return a modified loan to accrual status includes consideration of the borrower s sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable period prior to the date on which the loan is returned to accrual status, which is generally a minimum of six months. FHN may also consider a borrower s sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable time prior to the restructuring in assessing whether the borrower can meet the restructured terms, as it may indicate that the borrower is capable of servicing the level of debt under the modified terms. Otherwise, FHN will continue to classify restructured loans as nonaccrual. Consistent with regulatory guidance, upon sustained performance and classification as a TDR over FHN s year-end, the loan will be removed from TDR status as long as the modified terms were market-based at the time of modification.

Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights

FHN recognizes all classes of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) at fair value. Classes of MSR are established based on market inputs used to determine the fair value of the servicing asset and FHN s risk management practices. See Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities, the Determination of Fair Value section for a discussion of FHN s MSR valuation methodology and Note 15 Derivatives for a discussion of how FHN hedges the fair value of MSR. The balance of MSR included on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition represents the rights to service approximately \$15 billion and \$20 billion of mortgage loans on September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for which a servicing right has been capitalized.

In third quarter 2013, FHN agreed to sell its legacy mortgage servicing. Completion of the sale is contingent upon customary closing conditions and will result in de-recognition of substantially all first lien MSR.

Following is a summary of changes in capitalized MSR as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	First Liens	Seco	nd Liens	HELOC	Total
Fair value on January 1, 2012	\$ 140,724	\$	231	\$ 3,114	\$ 144,069
Reductions due to loan payments	(18,214)		(26)	(257)	(18,497)
Reductions due to exercise of cleanup calls	(494)				(494)
Changes in fair value due to:					
Changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions	(4,503)				(4,503)
Other changes in fair value	(73)			35	(38)
Fair value on September 30, 2012	\$ 117,440	\$	205	\$ 2,892	\$ 120,537
Fair value on January 1, 2013	\$ 111,314	\$	196	\$ 2,801	\$ 114,311
Reductions due to loan payments	(16,980)		(75)	(342)	(17,397)
Reductions due to exercise of cleanup calls	(495)				(495)
Changes in fair value due to:					
Changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions	20,267				20,267
Other changes in fair value	(89)		45	44	
Fair value on September 30, 2013	\$ 114,017	\$	166	\$ 2,503	\$ 116,686

Servicing, late, and other ancillary fees recognized within mortgage banking income were \$10.9 million and \$13.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$35.3 million and \$46.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. FHN services a portfolio of mortgage loans related to transfers by other parties utilizing securitization trusts. The servicing assets represent FHN s sole interest in these transactions. The total MSR recognized by FHN related to these transactions was \$1.4 million and \$1.7 million at September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The aggregate principal balance serviced by FHN for these transactions was \$2.2 billion at September 30, 2013 and \$4.4 billion at September 30, 2012. FHN has no obligation to provide financial support and has not provided any form of support to the related trusts. The MSR recognized by FHN has been included in the first lien mortgage loans column within the rollforward of MSR.

In prior periods, FHN transferred MSR to third parties in transactions that did not qualify for sales treatment due to certain recourse provisions that were included within the sale agreements. On September 30, 2013 and 2012, FHN had \$11.7 million and \$11.6 million, respectively, of MSR related to these transactions. These MSR are included within the first liens mortgage loans column within the rollforward of MSR. The proceeds from these transfers have been recognized within Other short-term borrowings in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Note 6 Intangible Assets

The following is a summary of intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition:

		Other
		Intangible
(Dollars in thousands)	Goodwill	Assets (a)
December 31, 2011	\$ 133,659	\$ 26,243
Amortization expense		(2,931)
Additions	583	367
September 30, 2012	\$134,242	\$ 23,679
December 31, 2012	\$134,242	\$ 22,700
Amortization expense		(2,784)
Additions	6,237	2,300
September 30, 2013	\$ 140,479	\$ 22,216

(a) Represents customer lists, acquired contracts, premium on purchased deposits, and covenants not to compete.
 In accordance with applicable accounting guidance all measurement period adjustments related to acquisitions are presented in the quarter of purchase with revision of previously reported amounts.

The gross carrying amount of other intangible assets subject to amortization is \$60.1 million on September 30, 2013, net of \$37.9 million of accumulated amortization. Estimated aggregate amortization expense is expected to be \$1.0 million for the remainder of 2013, and \$3.8 million, \$3.6 million, \$3.4 million, \$3.2 million, and \$3.1 million for the twelve-month periods of 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018, respectively.

The following is a summary of gross goodwill and accumulated impairment losses and write-offs detailed by reportable segments included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition through September 30, 2013. Gross goodwill, accumulated impairments, and accumulated divestiture related write-offs were determined beginning on January 1, 2002, when a change in accounting requirements resulted in goodwill being assessed for impairment rather than being amortized.

		Regional	Capital	
(Dollars in thousands)	Non-Strategic	Banking	Markets	Total
Gross goodwill	\$ 199,995	\$ 36,238	\$ 97,421	\$ 333,654
Accumulated impairments	(114,123)			(114,123)
Accumulated divestiture related write-offs	(85,872)			(85,872)
December 31, 2011	\$	\$ 36,238	\$ 97,421	\$ 133,659
Additions			583	583
Impairments				
Divestitures				
Net change in goodwill during 2012			583	583
Gross goodwill	\$ 199,995	\$ 36,238	\$ 98,004	\$ 334,237
Accumulated impairments	(114,123)			(114,123)

Accumulated divestiture related write-offs	(85,872)			(85,872)
September 30, 2012	\$	\$ 36,238	\$ 98,004	\$ 134,242
Gross goodwill	\$ 199,995	\$ 36,238	\$ 98,004	\$ 334,237
Accumulated impairments	(114,123)			(114,123)
Accumulated divestiture related write-offs	(85,872)			(85,872)
December 31, 2012	\$	\$ 36,238	\$ 98,004	\$ 134,242
Additions		6,237		6,237
Impairments				
Divestitures				
Net change in goodwill during 2013		6,237		6,237
Gross goodwill	\$ 199,995	\$ 42,475	\$ 98,004	\$ 340,474
Accumulated impairments	(114,123)			(114,123)
Accumulated divestiture related write-offs	(85,872)			(85,872)
September 30, 2013	\$	\$ 42,475	\$ 98,004	\$ 140,479

Note 7 Other Income and Other Expense

Following is detail of All other income and commissions and All other expense as presented in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income:

(Dollars in thousands)		Ionths Ended ember 30 2012		nths Ended mber 30 2012
All other income and commissions:	2013	2012	2013	2012
Bankcard income	\$ 5,303	\$ 5,298	\$ 15,484	\$ 16,618
Other service charges	¢ 3,303 3,707	3,263	10,296	9,768
Bank-owned life insurance	3,560	,	10,270	13,724
ATM interchange fees	2,680	,	7,691	7,804
Deferred compensation (a)	2,160		3,475	4,065
Electronic banking fees	1,607	1,589	4,754	4,927
Letter of credit fees	1,007	1,072	3,866	3,966
Other	3,996	,	11,230	16,535
oulor	3,270	0,200	11,200	10,555
Total	\$ 24,184	\$ 26,340	\$ 69,774	\$ 77,407
All other expense:				
Advertising and public relations	\$ 5,486	\$ 4,121	\$ 13,554	\$ 11,524
Other insurance and taxes	3,215	1,327	9,337	7,656
Tax credit investments	3,079	5,635	9,040	14,457
Travel and entertainment	2,400	2,009	6,620	6,308
Employee training and dues	1,244	1,032	3,727	3,354
Customer relations	1,204	1,027	3,737	3,230
Supplies	950	881	2,710	2,731
Bank examinations costs	819	816	2,476	2,415
Loan insurance expense	490	578	1,533	1,803
Federal service fees	276	323	840	972
Litigation and regulatory matters	229	6,760	6,299	29,013
Other	7,376	5,478	18,926	25,108
Total	\$ 26,768	\$ 29,987	\$ 78,799	\$ 108,571

(a) Deferred compensation market value adjustments are mirrored by adjustments to employee compensation, incentives, and benefits expense.

Note 8 Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Balances

The following table provides the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component, net of tax, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 :

(Dollars in thousands, unless otherwise noted)	Gaiı S	nrealized n/(Loss) On ecurities ilable-For- Sale	Pension and Post Retirement Plans	Total
Balance as of July 1, 2013	\$	9,439	\$ (198,104)	\$ (188,665)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications, Net of tax expense of	Ψ	,,	¢ (190,101)	\$ (100,000)
\$1.1 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale		1,714		1,714
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, Net of		-,		-,
tax expense of \$6.6 million for pension and post retirement plans			10,560	10,560
Net current period other comprehensive income, Net of tax expense of \$1.1 million and \$6.6 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale and pension and post retirement plans, respectively		1,714	10,560	12,274
Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$	11,153	\$ (187,544)	\$ (176,391)
Balance as of January 1, 2013 Other comprehensive income before reclassifications, Net of tax benefit of \$27.6 million and tax expense \$.1 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on	\$	55,250	\$ (201,593)	\$ (146,343)
securities available-for-sale and pension and post retirement plans, respectively		(44,097)	169	(43,928)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, Net of tax expense of \$8.7 million for pension and post retirement plans			13,880	13,880
Net current period other comprehensive income, Net of tax benefit of \$27.6 million and tax expense of \$8.8 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale and pension and post retirement plans, respectively		(44,097)	14,049	(30,048)
Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$	11,153	\$ (187,544)	\$ (176,391)

Note 9 Earnings Per Share

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the numerators used in calculating earnings/(loss) per share attributable to common shareholders:

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Mon Septem	
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ (103,149)	\$ 28,574	\$ (13,375)	\$ (60,037)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	123	108	554	160
Net income/(loss)	(103,026)	28,682	(12,821)	(59,877)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	2,875	2,875	8,531	8,563
Net income/(loss) attributable to controlling interest	(105,901)	25,807	(21,352)	(68,440)
Preferred stock dividends	1,550		4,288	
Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (107,451)	\$ 25,807	\$ (25,640)	\$ (68,440)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ (103,149)	\$ 28,574	\$ (13,375)	\$ (60,037)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	2,875	2,875	8,531	8,563
Preferred stock dividends	1,550		4,288	
Net income/(loss) from continuing operations available to common shareholders	\$ (107,574)	\$ 25.699	\$ (26,194)	\$ (68,600)
	$\psi(107,\mathbf{37+})$	$\psi 25,099$	$\psi(20,1)$	$\psi(00,000)$

The component of Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to FHN as the controlling interest holder was \$(106.0) million and \$25.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$(21.9) million and \$(68.6) million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table provides a reconciliation of weighted average common shares to diluted average common shares:

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Mont Septem	
(Shares in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	236,895	246,628	238,990	249,742
Effect of dilutive securities	,	1,678	,	
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	236,895	248,306	238,990	249,742

The following tables provide a reconciliation of earnings/(loss) per common and diluted share:

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Mon Septem	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Earnings/(loss) per common share:				
Income/(loss) per share from continuing operations available to common				
shareholders	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.27)
Income/(loss) per share from discontinued operations, net of tax				

Net income/(loss) per share available to common shareholders	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.27)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per common share:				
Diluted income/(loss) per share from continuing operations available to common shareholders	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.27)
Diluted income/(loss) per share from discontinued operations, net of tax	¢ (00.00)	ф онто	ф (отт)	¢ (0127)
Diluted income/(loss) per share available to common shareholders	\$ (0.45)	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.27)

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, and nine months ended September 30, 2012, all potential common shares were antidilutive due to the net loss attributable to common shareholders for these periods. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the dilutive effect for all potential common shares was 1.7 million. 9.4 million and 10.0 million stock options, with weighted average exercise prices of \$19.55 and \$21.27 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares because including such shares would be antidilutive. 9.8 million and 10.4 million stock options, with weighted average exercise prices of \$20.00 and \$21.94 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were also excluded from diluted shares. Other equity awards of 2.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, were excluded from diluted shares while other equity awards of 3.0 million and 3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares because including such shares would have been antidilutive.

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures

Contingencies

<u>General</u>

Contingent liabilities arise in the ordinary course of business. Often they are related to lawsuits, arbitration, mediation, and other forms of litigation. Various litigation matters are threatened or pending against FHN and its subsidiaries. Also, FHN at times receives requests for information, subpoenas, or other inquiries from federal, state, and local regulators and from other government authorities concerning various matters relating to FHN s current or former lines of business. Certain matters of that sort are pending at this time, and FHN is cooperating with the authorities involved. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of these matters, particularly where the claimants seek very large or indeterminate damages, or where the cases present novel legal theories or involve a large number of parties, or where claims or other actions are possible but have not been brought, FHN cannot reasonably determine what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters may be, or what the eventual loss or impact related to each matter may be. FHN establishes loss contingency liabilities for litigation matters when loss is both probable and reasonably estimable as prescribed by applicable financial accounting guidance. A liability generally is not established when a loss contingency either is not probable or its amount is not reasonably estimable. If loss for a matter is probable and a range of possible loss outcomes is the best estimate available, accounting guidance requires a liability to be established at the low end of the range.

In addition, disclosure of a range of reasonably possible loss associated with contingent liabilities, as prescribed by applicable financial accounting guidance, is provided as to those matters where there is more than a remote chance of an estimable, material loss outcome for FHN in excess of currently established loss liabilities. Based on current knowledge, and after consultation with counsel, management is of the opinion that loss contingencies related to such threatened or pending litigation matters should not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of FHN, but may be material to FHN s operating results for any particular reporting period depending, in part, on the results from that period.

Litigation Gain Contingency

The Chapter 11 Liquidation Trustee (the Trustee) of Sentinel Management Group, Inc. (Sentinel) filed complaints against two subsidiaries, First Tennessee Bank National Association (FTBNA) and FTN Financial Securities Corp. (FTN), and two former FTN employees. The Trustee s claims related to Sentinel s purchases of Preferred Term Securities Limited (PreTSL) products and other securities from FTN and/or the FTN Financial Capital Markets division of FTBNA from March 2005 to August 2007. In July 2011, the parties reached an agreement to settle the dispute. Under the terms of the settlement the Trustee received a total of \$38.5 million. After considering the terms of the settlement, FHN recognized a pre-tax expense of \$36.7 million during second quarter 2011 related to the settlement. FHN believes that certain insurance policies provide coverage for these losses and related litigation costs, subject to policy limits and applicable deductibles. The insurers have denied coverage. FHN has brought suit against the insurers to enforce the policies under Tennessee law. The case is in U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee styled as *First Horizon National Corporation, et al. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd s Syndicate Nos. 2987, et al.*, No. 2:11-cv-02608. In connection with this matter the previously recognized expense may be recouped in whole or in part. As to this matter, under applicable financial accounting guidance, FHN has determined that although material gain is not probable there is more than a slight chance of a material gain outcome for FHN. FHN cannot estimate the amount of possible gain that might result from this matter because of general uncertainties associated with unresolved legal proceedings and also due to significant uncertainties regarding: legal interpretation of the relevant contracts; potential remedies that might be available or awarded; and the incomplete status of the discovery process.

Litigation Loss Contingencies

Set forth below are discussions of certain pending or threatened litigation matters. These material loss contingency matters generally fall into the following categories: (i) FHN has determined material loss to be probable and has established a material loss liability in accordance with applicable financial accounting guidance, other than matters reported as having been substantially settled or otherwise substantially resolved; (ii) FHN has determined material loss to be probable but is unable to determine an amount of material loss liability; or (iii) FHN has determined that material loss is not probable but is reasonably possible (as defined in applicable accounting guidance, there is more than a remote chance of a material loss outcome for FHN). In all litigation matters discussed, except as indicated, FHN has estimated a range of reasonably possible loss outcomes in excess of any currently established loss liabilities. In all litigation matters discussed, unless settled or resolved, FHN believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to pursue those defenses vigorously.

FHN reassesses the liability for litigation matters each quarter as the matters progress. At September 30, 2013, the aggregate amount of liabilities established for the litigation loss contingency matters discussed below was \$21.1 million. Only one pending matter discussed under the heading

First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters below, the FHFA case, is among those matters for which a liability has been established. The liabilities discussed in this paragraph are separate from those discussed under the heading Established Repurchase Liability below.

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

In each potential loss contingency litigation matter discussed below, except as otherwise noted, there is a more than slight chance that each of the following outcomes will occur: the plaintiff will substantially prevail; the defense will substantially prevail; the plaintiff will prevail in part; or the matter will be settled by the parties. At September 30, 2013, FHN estimates that, for those litigation loss contingency matters discussed below as to which reasonably possible loss is estimable, reasonably possible losses in future periods in excess of currently established liabilities could aggregate in a range from zero to approximately \$242 million. Of those matters discussed under the heading First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters, only the FHFA, Charles Schwab, Western & Southern, and FDIC (NY) suits are included in that range.

Matters Included in Reasonably Possible Loss Range

Debit Transaction Sequencing Litigation Matter. FTBNA is a defendant in a putative class action lawsuit concerning overdraft fees charged in connection with debit card transactions. A key claim is that the method used to order or sequence the transactions posted each day was improper. The case is styled as *Hawkins v. First Tennessee Bank National Association*, before the Circuit Court for Shelby County, Tennessee, Case No. CT-004085-11. The plaintiff seeks actual damages of at least \$5 million, unspecified restitution of fees charged, and unspecified punitive damages, among other things. FHN s estimate of reasonably possible loss for this matter is subject to significant uncertainties regarding: whether a class will be certified and, if so, the definition of the class; claims as to which no dollar amount is specified; the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the outcome of potentially dispositive early-stage motions such as motions to dismiss; and the lack of discovery.

RPL-Included First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters. Several pending litigation matters are discussed under the heading First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters below. For certain of those FHN has been able to estimate reasonably possible loss. Those estimable matters are the FHFA, Charles Schwab, Western & Southern, and FDIC (NY) cases. The estimates for those matters are included in the range of reasonably possible loss discussed above. The estimates are subject to significant uncertainties regarding: the dollar amount claimed; the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the outcome of any settlement discussions; the outcome of potentially dispositive early stage motions such as motions to dismiss; the availability of significantly dispositive defenses such as statutes of limitations or repose; the identity and value of assets that FHN may be required to repurchase to the extent asset repurchase is sought; the incomplete status of the discovery process; and the lack of precedent claims.

Matters Not Included in Reasonably Possible Loss Range

RPL-Excluded First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters. Several pending litigation matters are discussed under the heading First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters below. For certain of those FHN has been able to estimate reasonably possible loss as mentioned in the preceding paragraph, and for others FHN has not. Those matters which currently are not estimable are the FDIC (AL) case and the FHLB of San Francisco, Metropolitan Life, Royal Park, and certain indemnity cases. FHN is unable to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to significant uncertainties regarding: claims as to which the claimant specifies no dollar amount; the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the availability of significantly dispositive defenses such as statutes of limitations or repose; the outcome of potentially dispositive early-stage motions such as motions to dismiss; the identity and value of assets that FHN may be required to repurchase for those claims seeking asset repurchase; the non-started or incomplete status of the discovery process; the lack of a precise statement of damages; and lack of precedent claims.

Inquiry Regarding FHA-Insured Loans. Since second quarter 2012 FHN has been cooperating with the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Office of the Inspector General for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in a civil investigation regarding compliance with requirements relating to certain FHA-insured loans. During second quarter 2013 DOJ and HUD provided FHN with preliminary findings of the investigation, which has focused on a small sample of loans and remains incomplete. No demand or claim has been made of FHN, and FHN is conducting its own analysis of the sample. The investigation could lead to a demand under the federal False Claims Act and the federal Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, which allow treble and other special damages substantially in excess of actual losses. Currently FHN is not able to predict the eventual outcome of this matter. FHN has established no liability for this matter and is not able to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to significant uncertainties regarding: the absence of any specific demand or claim; the potential remedies, including any amount of enhanced damages, that might be available or awarded; the availability of significantly dispositive defenses; FHN s lack of information that would enable FHN to assess performance concerning its FHA-insured originations, many of which FHN does not service; and the small number of precedent claims and resolutions combined with a lack of underlying data connected with those resolutions.

The investigation has focused on loans originated by FHN on or after January 1, 2006. FHA-insured originations from January 1, 2006 through the 2008 divestiture of FHN s national mortgage platform totaled 47,817 loans with an aggregate original principal balance of \$8.2 billion. FHA-insured originations during the four years following the divestiture (through August 31, 2012) totaled 2,681 loans with an aggregate original principal balance of \$356.5 million.

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

Branch Sale Litigation Matter. Manufacturers & Traders Trust Company (M&T) has pursued an arbitration claim against FTBNA arising out of FTBNA s sale of certain branch assets to M&T in 2007. M&T claimed that FTBNA violated its obligations to repurchase home equity lines of credit (HELOCs) that it sold to M&T as part of the asset sale agreement. M&T alleged that the loans either are not in conformity with FTBNA s representations about them or are insured and sold due to mutual mistake or both. As a result of rulings to date the unresolved aspects of the claim have narrowed for certain non-core issues. FHN estimates that future reasonably possible loss related to this matter should fall within the currently established liability for this matter, which is included in the total mentioned above.

First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters

Prior to September 2008 FHN originated and sold home loan products through various channels and conducted its servicing business under the First Horizon Home Loans and First Tennessee Mortgage Servicing brands. Those sales channels included the securitization of loans into pools held by trustees and the sale of the resulting securities, sometimes called certificates, to investors. These activities are discussed in more detail below under the heading Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing.

At the time this report is filed, FHN, along with multiple defendants, is defending several lawsuits brought by investors which claim that the offering documents under which certificates relating to First Horizon branded securitizations (FH proprietary securitizations) were sold to them were materially deficient. The plaintiffs and venues of these suits are: (1) the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), as conservator for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, in U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (Case No. 11-cv-6193 (PGG)); (2) Charles Schwab Corp. in the Superior Court of San Francisco, California (Case No. 10-501610); (3) Western & Southern Life Insurance Co, among others in the Court of Common Pleas, Hamilton County, Ohio (Case No. A1105352); (4) Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as receiver for Colonial Bank, in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of New York (Case No. 12 Civ. 6166 (LLS)(MHD)). The plaintiffs in the pending suits claim to have purchased certificates in a number of separate FH proprietary securitizations and demand that FHN repurchase their investments, or answer in damages or rescission, among other remedies sought.

In some of the pending suits underwriters are co-defendants and have demanded, under provisions in the applicable underwriting agreements, that FHN indemnify them for their expenses and any losses they may incur. In addition, FHN has received indemnity demands from underwriters in certain other suits as to which investors claim to have purchased senior certificates in FH proprietary securitizations. FHN has not been named a defendant in these suits, which FHN is defending indirectly as indemnitor. The plaintiffs and venues of these other suits are: (6) FHLB of San Francisco, in the Superior Court of San Francisco County, California (Case No. CGC-10-497840); (7) Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., in the Supreme Court of New York County, New York (No. 651360-2012); and (8) Royal Park Invs. SA/NV, in the Supreme Court of New York (No. 652607-2012).

Details concerning the original purchase amounts and ending balances of the investments at issue in these pending suits, as to which FHN is a named defendant or as to which FHN has an agreement to indemnify an underwriter defendant, are set forth below. Information about the performance of the FH proprietary securitizations related to these suits is available in monthly reports published by the trustee for the securitization trusts. FHN believes that certain plaintiffs did not purchase the entire certificate in the securitizations in which they invested. Reporting by the trustee is at a certificate level and, as a result, ending certificate balances in the following table were adjusted to reflect FHN s estimate of the ending balance of each partial certificate purchased by these plaintiffs. Plaintiffs in the pending lawsuits claim to have purchased a total of \$1.1 billion of certificates and the purchase price of the certificates subject to the indemnification requests total \$331.4 million. Senior and Junior refer to the ranking of the investments in broad terms; in most cases the securitization provided for sub-classifications within the Senior or Junior groups.

	Alt-A		Jun	nbo
(Dollars in thousands)	Senior	Junior	Senior	Junior
Vintage				
Original Purchase Price:				
2005(a)	\$ 843,868	\$	\$ 30,000	\$
2006(a)	307,926			9,793
2007	204,061		50,000	7,084

Total	\$ 1,355,855	\$ \$ 80,000	\$ 16,877
Ending Balance per the September 25, 2013, trust statements:			
2005	\$ 309,678	\$ \$ 10,922	\$
2006	108,102		2,841
2007	100,659	16,697	
Total	\$ 518,439	\$ \$ 27,619	\$ 2,841

(a) The amounts shown in the table which are the subject of the FHFA litigation includes \$230,020 of the Senior Alt-A loans from 2006 and \$643,751 of the Senior Alt-A loans from 2005.

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

If FHN were to repurchase certificates, it would recognize as a loss the difference between the amount paid (adjusted for any related litigation liability previously established) and the fair value of the certificates at that time.

The ending certificate balance of the investments which are the subject of the FHFA lawsuit was \$316.4 million as reported on the September 25, 2013, trust statements, with approximately 90 percent of the remaining balances performing. Cumulative losses on the FHFA investments which are the subject of the lawsuit, as reported on the trust statements, represent approximately 7 percent of the original principal amount underlying the certificates purchased. The total ending certificate balance of the investments which are the subject of the remaining lawsuits was \$232.5 million as reported on the September 25, 2013, trust statements, with approximately 80 percent of the remaining balances performing. Cumulative losses on the investments which are the subject of the remaining balances performing. Cumulative losses on the investments which are the subject of the remaining balances performing. Percent of the original principal amount underlying the certificates purchased. Ending certificate balance on the certificates, after the monthly principal and interest distributions and after reduction for applicable cumulative and current realized losses. Recognized cumulative losses may not take into account all outstanding principal and interest amounts advanced by the servicer due to nonpayment by the borrowers; reimbursement of those advances to the servicer may increase cumulative losses. Losses often are reported by the trustee based on each certificate within a pool or group, which limits FHN s ability to ascertain losses at the individual investor level.

As discussed under Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing, similar claims may be pursued by other investors, and loan repurchase, make-whole, or indemnity claims may be pursued by securitization trustees or other parties to transactions seeking indemnity. At September 30, 2013, except for the FHFA case, FHN had not recognized a liability for exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from the foregoing or other potential claims by investors that the offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient, nor for exposure for repurchase of loans arising from potential claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made in FH proprietary securitizations at closing.

Contract Claim Settlement Process Mortgage Repurchase Pipeline

For several years FHN has received claims from government sponsored enterprises (GSEs), other government agencies, mortgage insurers, and others that FHN breached certain representations and warranties made in connection with whole-loan sales prior to September 2008. Generally such claims request or otherwise demand that FHN repurchase the loans or otherwise make the purchaser whole. FHN analyzes these claims using a pipeline approach. FHN reviews each claim in the pipeline and either offers to satisfy the claim or rejects the claim by asking the claimant to rescind it. FHN has established a material loss liability for probable incurred losses related to repurchase obligations for breaches of selling representations and warranties. Estimation for losses associated with repurchase obligations includes both trends observed in the pipeline, and additional information that encompasses a broader population of loans. See the discussion under Estimated Repurchase Liability. As of September 30, 2013, none of these claims had become active litigation. These matters and the associated reserving methodologies are discussed under Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing.

Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing

Overview

Prior to September 2008, as a means to provide liquidity for its legacy mortgage banking business, FHN originated loans through its legacy mortgage business, primarily first lien home loans, with the intention of selling them. Some government-insured and government-guaranteed loans were originated with credit recourse retained by FHN and some other mortgages were originated to be held, but predominantly mortgage loans were intended to be sold without recourse for credit default. Sales typically were effected either as non-recourse whole-loan sales or through non-recourse proprietary securitizations. Conventional conforming single-family residential mortgage loans were sold predominately to two GSEs the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae, Fannie, or FNMA) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac, Freddie, or FHLMC). Federally insured or guaranteed whole-loans were pooled, and payments to investors were guaranteed through the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae, Ginnie, or GNMA). Collectively, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae are referred to as the Agencies. Many mortgage loan originations, especially those nonconforming mortgage loans that did not meet criteria for whole-loan sales to the GSEs, or insurance through Ginnie Mae, were sold to investors, or certificate-holders, predominantly through proprietary securitizations but also, to a lesser extent, through whole-loan sales to private non-Agency purchasers. In addition, FHN originated with the intent to sell and sold HELOCs and second lien mortgages through whole-loan sales to private purchasers and, to a lesser extent, through proprietary securitizations.

Regarding these past first lien loan sale activities, FHN has exposure to potential loss primarily through two avenues. First, purchasers of these mortgage loans may request that FHN repurchase loans or make the purchaser whole for economic losses incurred if it is determined that FHN violated certain contractual representations and warranties made at the time of these sales. Contractual representations and warranties differ based on deal structure and counterparty. For whole-loan sales, a claimant generally would be the

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

purchaser. For securitizations, a repurchase claimant generally would be a trustee. Second, investors in securitizations may attempt to achieve rescission of their investments or damages through litigation by claiming that the applicable offering documents were materially deficient. In addition, augmenting these avenues: the trustee for the securitized loans may seek repurchase of loans under contractual remedies; some of the loans that were sold or securitized were insured and the insurance carrier may seek repurchase or make-whole remedies by claiming that FHN violated certain contractual representations and warranties made in connection with the insurance contract; some of the loans sold to non-Agency whole-loan purchasers were included in securitizations of the purchasers, and the purchasers may seek repurchase or indemnification for losses and expenses caused by such a violation by FHN; and, some loans were originated under government insurance or guarantee programs and the government agency, or a person acting on its behalf, may seek contractual or statutory remedies based on claimed violations of the requirements of the respective program. In some cases FHN retains the servicing of the loans sold or securitized and so has substantial visibility into the status of the loans; in many cases FHN does not retain servicing and has had very limited or no such direct visibility.

From 2005 through 2008, FHN originated and sold \$69.5 billion of mortgage loans without recourse which includes \$57.6 billion of loans sold to GSEs and \$11.9 billion of loans guaranteed by Ginnie Mae. Although additional GSE sales occurred in earlier years, a substantial majority of GSE repurchase requests have come from that period. While substantially all of the losses for repurchase obligations related to sales to GSEs have been from vintages originated during 2005 through 2008, the definitive resolution agreement (DRA) discussed below also includes originations from 2000 through 2004. In addition, for many years ending in 2007, FHN securitized mortgage loans without recourse in First Horizon branded proprietary transactions. From 2005 through 2007, FHN securitized \$26.7 billion of mortgage loans under the First Horizon (FH) brand.

On August 31, 2008 FHN sold its national mortgage and servicing platforms along with a portion of its servicing assets and obligations. This is sometimes referred to as the 2008 sale, the 2008 divestiture, the platform sale, or other similar terms. FHN contracted with the purchaser to have its remaining servicing obligations sub-serviced by the purchaser through August 2011.

Loans Sold With Full or Limited Recourse

Although not a substantial part of FHN s former business, FHN sold certain Agency mortgage loans with full recourse under agreements to repurchase the loans upon default. Loans sold with full recourse generally include mortgage loans sold to investors in the secondary market which are uninsurable under government mortgage loan programs due to issues associated with underwriting activities, documentation, or other concerns. For mortgage insured single-family residential loans, in the event of borrower nonperformance, FHN would assume losses to the extent they exceed the value of the collateral and private mortgage insurance (MI), the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) insurance, or the Veteran s Administration (VA) guaranty. On September 30, 2013 and 2012, the current UPB of single-family residential loans that were sold on a full recourse basis with servicing retained was \$30.0 million and \$37.8 million, respectively.

Loans sold with limited recourse include loans sold under government insured or guaranteed mortgage loan programs including the FHA and VA. FHN may absorb losses due to uncollected interest and foreclosure costs but has limited risk of credit losses in the event of foreclosure of the mortgage loan sold. Generally, the amount of recourse liability in the event of foreclosure is determined based upon the respective government program and/or the sale or disposal of the foreclosed property collateralizing the mortgage loan. Another instance of limited recourse is the VA/No bid. In this case, the VA guarantee is limited and FHN may be required to fund any deficiency in excess of the VA guarantee if the loan goes to foreclosure.

FHN also has potential loss exposure from claims that FHN violated FHA or VA requirements related to the origination of the loans and insurance or guarantee claims filed related to the loans. Additional information concerning a pending investigation related to FHA-insured lending is provided in Inquiry Regarding FHA-Insured Loans above.

Unless otherwise noted, the remaining discussion under this section, Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing, excludes information concerning full or limited recourse loan sales.

Agency Whole-loan Sales

Substantially all of the conventional, conforming mortgage loans originated by FHN were sold to the GSEs. Each agency has specific guidelines and criteria for originators and servicers of loans backing their respective securities, and the risk of credit loss with regard to the principal

Table of Contents

amount of the loans sold was generally transferred to the GSEs upon sale, or resides with the insuring government agency if the loans were guaranteed through Ginnie.

Generally these loans were sold without recourse for credit loss. However, if it is determined that the loans sold were in breach of representations or warranties required by the Agency and made by FHN at the time of sale, FHN has obligations to either repurchase the loan for the UPB or make the purchaser whole for the economic loss incurred by the purchaser of such loan. Such representations and warranties required by the Agencies typically include those made regarding the existence and sufficiency of file documentation and the absence of fraud by borrowers or other third parties such as appraisers in connection with obtaining the loan. A substantial amount of FHN s existing repurchase obligations from outstanding requests relate to conforming conventional mortgage loans that were sold to the GSEs. Since the mortgage platform sale in 2008 through September 30, 2013, Agencies have accounted for the vast majority of repurchase/make-whole claims received.

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

GSE Settlement Efforts

In the fourth quarter of 2013 FHN entered into a DRA with Fannie Mae resolving certain legacy selling representation and warranty repurchase obligations associated with loans originated from 2000 to 2008 excluding certain loans FHN no longer services. Under the DRA, FHN remains responsible for repurchase obligations related to certain excluded defects (such as title defects and violations of Fannie Mae s Charter Act) and FHN continues to have obligations related to mortgage insurance rescissions, cancellations and denials. With respect to covered loans where there has been a prior bulk sale of servicing, FHN is not responsible for mortgage insurance cancellations and denials to the extent attributable to the acts of the current servicer.

FHN currently has no agreement in principle or definitive resolution with Freddie Mac. In addition, FHN continues to have limited visibility into the performance of many loans sold to Freddie Mac. Freddie Mac has not yet provided the type of information which Fannie Mae provided leading up to the DRA.

The repurchase liability FHN has recorded as of September 30, 2013 contemplates, among other things, the DRA, estimates of FHN s repurchase exposure related to loans excluded from the DRA, and estimates of FHN s repurchase exposure related to loans sold to Freddie Mac based on the information obtained from Fannie Mae. See Established Repurchase Liability below for additional information.

First Horizon Branded Proprietary Mortgage Securitizations

From 2005 through 2007 FHN originated and sold certain non-agency, nonconforming mortgage loans, consisting of Jumbo and Alternative-A (Alt A) first lien mortgage loans, to private investors through 80 proprietary securitization trusts under the FH brand. Securitized loans generally were sold indirectly to investors as interests, commonly known as certificates, in trusts. The certificates were sold to a variety of investors, including GSEs in some cases, through securities offerings under a prospectus or other offering documents. In most cases, the certificates were exhausted. Through third quarter 2013, FHN continues to service substantially all of the remaining loans sold through FH proprietary securitizations. As of September 30, 2013, the remaining UPB in active FH proprietary securitizations and warranties were made to the securitization trustee for the benefit of investors. As such, FHN has exposure to the trustee for repurchase of loans arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made at closing, and exposure to investors for investment rescission or damages arising from claims by investors that the offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient. As of September 30, 2013, the repurchase requests related to FH proprietary first lien securitizations based on breaches of representations and warranties.

Unlike loans sold to GSEs, contractual representations and warranties for FH proprietary first lien securitizations do not include specific representations regarding the absence of other-party fraud or negligence in the underwriting or origination of the mortgage loans. Securitization documents typically provide the investors with a right to request that the trustee investigate and initiate repurchase of a mortgage loan if FHN breached certain representations and warranties made at the time the securitization closed and such breach materially and adversely affects the interests of the investors in such mortgage loan. The securitization documents do not require the trustee to make an investigation into the facts or matters stated in any investor request or notice unless requested in writing to do so by the holders of certificates evidencing not less than 25 percent of the voting rights allocated to each class of certificates. The certificate holders also may be required to indemnify the trustee for its costs related to investigations made in connection with repurchase actions. FHN has no knowledge of any investor requests to the trustee of an FH proprietary securitization to investigate mortgage loans for possible breach of representations and warranties. GSEs were among the purchasers of certificates in FH proprietary securitizations. As such, they are entitled to the benefits of the same representations and warranties as other investors. However, the GSEs, acting through their conservator under federal law, are permitted to undertake, independently of other investors, reviews of FHN s mortgage loan origination and servicing files. Such reviews are commenced using a subpoena process. If, because of such reviews, the GSEs determine there has been a breach of a representation or warranty that has had a material and adverse effect on the interests of the investors in any mortgage loan, the GSEs may attempt to persuade or compel enforcement of a repurchase obligation against FHN by the securitization trustee. Certain other government entities have asserted a similar right of review not generally available to other investors. As discussed in more detail below, FHN has received several such subpoenas.

In addition, the FH proprietary securitization trustee generally may initiate a loan review, without prior official action by investors, for the purpose of determining compliance with applicable representations and warranties with respect to any or all of the active FH proprietary securitizations. If non-compliance is discovered, the trustee may seek repurchase or other relief. At September 30, 2013, FHN s trustee had made

Table of Contents

no claims against FHN and no litigation by the trustee was pending against FHN. Accordingly, FHN is not able to estimate any liability for this risk. FHN similarly is not able to estimate a range of reasonably possible losses associated with this risk, and no such amounts are included in the aggregate range discussed above. Those inabilities are due to significant

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

uncertainties regarding: the absence of claims made; the nature and outcome of any claims process or related settlement discussions if pursued; the outcome of litigation if litigation is pursued; the identity and value of assets that FHN may be required to repurchase to the extent asset repurchase is sought; and the lack of precedent claims.

Also unlike loans sold to the GSEs through non-recourse whole-loan sales, interests in securitized loans were sold as securities under prospectuses or other offering documents subject to the disclosure requirements of applicable federal and state securities laws. As an alternative to pursuing a claim for breach of representations and warranties through the trustee as mentioned above, investors could pursue (and in certain cases mentioned below, are pursuing) a claim alleging that the prospectus or other disclosure documents were deficient by containing materially false or misleading information or by omitting material information. Claims for such disclosure deficiencies typically could be brought under applicable federal or state securities statutes, and the statutory remedies typically could include rescission of the investment or monetary damages measured in relation to the original investment made. Any such statutory claim would be subject to applicable limitation periods and other statutory defenses. If a plaintiff properly made and proved its allegations, the plaintiff might attempt to claim that damages could include loss of market value on the investment even if there were little or no credit loss in the underlying loans. Claims based on alleged disclosure deficiencies also could be brought as traditional fraud or negligence claims with a wider scope of damages possible. Each investor could bring such a claim individually, without acting through the trustee to pursue a claim for breach of representations and warranties, and investors could attempt joint claims or attempt to pursue claims on a class-action basis. Claims of this sort are likely to be resolved in a litigation context in most cases, unlike the GSE repurchase experience to date. The analysis of loss content and establishment of appropriate liabilities in those cases would follow principles and practices associated with litigation matters, including an analysis of available procedural and substantive defenses in each particular case, a determination of whether material loss is probable, and (if so) an estimation of the amount of ultimate loss, if any can be estimated. FHN expects most litigation claims to take much longer to resolve than repurchase requests typically have taken.

Monoline insurance was a form of credit enhancement provided to a securitization by an insurer not affiliated with FHN. Subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, the insurer guaranteed payments of accrued interest and principal due to the investors. None of the FH proprietary first lien securitizations involved the use of monoline insurance for the benefit of all classes of security holders. In certain limited situations, insurance was provided for a specific senior retail class of holders within an individual securitization. The only insured certificate more recent than 2004 is from 2005 and covered \$25.0 million of original certificate balance. The trustee statement dated September 25, 2013, reported to FHN that the remaining outstanding certificate balance for the class was \$23.5 million. FHN understands that some monoline insurers have commenced lawsuits against others in the industry seeking to rescind policies of this sort due to alleged misrepresentations as to the quality of the loan portfolio insured. FHN has not received notice of a lawsuit from the monoline insurers of the senior retail level class.

Other First Horizon Branded Proprietary Securitizations

FHN originated and sold home equity lines and second lien loans through certain FH proprietary securitization trusts, most of which related to HELOC loans. As of September 30, 2013, only three of those securitizations, all HELOC, remain active; the rest have been retired as a result of clean-up calls exercised by FHN. Each remaining trust issued notes backed by these loans and publicly offered the asset-backed notes to investors pursuant to a prospectus. The Trustee statements dated September 25, 2013, reported that the cumulative original and current outstanding note balances of the FH proprietary HELOC securitizations were \$961.8 million and \$311.8 million, respectively.

The loans in the FH HELOC securitization trusts are included on FHN s balance sheet in accordance with GAAP either as consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) or because the securitization did not qualify for sale treatment under GAAP. These loans and the associated credit risk are reflected in FHN s consolidated financial statements.

The asset-backed notes issued in the FH proprietary HELOC securitizations were wrapped by monoline insurers. FHN understands that some monoline insurers have commenced lawsuits against other originators of asset-backed securities seeking to cancel policies of this sort due to alleged misrepresentations as to the quality of the loan portfolio insured. FHN has not received notice from a monoline insurer of any such lawsuit. The monoline insurers also have certain contractual rights to pursue repurchase and indemnification. In response to unreimbursed insurance draws resulting from insufficient remittances to investors, three monoline insurers of certain FH proprietary HELOC securitizations have commenced reviews of these HELOC securitizations and certain underlying loan files, underwriting guidelines, and payment histories. Repurchase requests have been received; in some cases requests have been rescinded and in others repurchases have been made. Because the underlying loans and their associated loss content are recorded on FHN s balance sheet, FHN reviews the portfolio each quarter for inherent loss and has established reserves for loss content. For that reason, FHN does not include these requests in the repurchase pipeline reported for first lien mortgages, and FHN believes that any ultimate cash payouts related to these loans are unlikely to have any material impact upon FHN s financial results as such payouts would be reflected as reductions to the existing balance of restricted or secured term borrowings. Additionally,

advances made by monoline insurers for the benefit of security holders have been recognized within restricted or secured term borrowings in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. This recognition practice is used because the insurers have a higher priority to certain cash flows from the securitization trusts than FHN.

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

Other Whole-loan Sales

FHN has sold first lien mortgages without recourse through whole-loan sales to non-Agency purchasers. FHN made contractual representations and warranties to the purchasers generally similar to those made to Agency purchasers. As of September 30, 2013, 11 percent of repurchase/make-whole claims relate to private whole loan sales. These claims are included in FHN s liability methodology and the assessment of the adequacy of the repurchase and foreclosure liability.

Many of these loans were included by the purchasers in non-FH securitizations. FHN s contractual representations and warranties to these loan purchasers generally included indemnity covenants for losses and expenses applicable to the securitization caused by FHN s breach. Currently the following categories of actions are pending which involve FHN and non-Agency whole-loan sales: (i) FHN has received indemnification requests from purchasers of loans or their assignees in cases where FHN is not a defendant; (ii) FHN has received subpoenas seeking loan reviews in cases where FHN is not a defendant; (iii) FHN has received repurchase demands from purchasers or their assignees; and (iv) FHN is a defendant in two legal actions involving FHN-originated loans. In some cases the loans to be reviewed, or which otherwise are at issue, have not been identified specifically. Assignees can include securitizers or securitization trustees, among others. A loan is included in the repurchase pipeline only when an identifiable demand for repurchase has been made outside of active litigation.

Government Entity Loan Reviews

Certain government entities acting on behalf of several purchasers of FH proprietary and other securitizations have subpoenaed information from FHN and others. In 2009 FHN was subpoenaed by the federal regulator of credit unions, the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), related to FH proprietary securitization investments by certain federal credit unions. There has been little communications with FHN associated with this matter since 2010. FHN has been subpoenaed by the FHFA acting as conservator for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac related to securitization investments by those institutions. In addition, the FHLB of San Francisco and FHLB of Atlanta have subpoenaed FHN for purposes of a loan origination review related to certain of their securitization investments. Collectively, the NCUA, FHFA, and FHLB subpoenas seek information concerning a number of FH proprietary first lien securitizations and a FH proprietary HELOC securitization during 2005 and 2006. In addition, the FDIC, acting on behalf of certain failed banks, has also subpoenaed FHN related to FH proprietary securitization investments by those institutions.

The FDIC, FHFA and FHLB of San Francisco subpoenas also concern loans sold by FHN to non-Agency purchasers on a whole-loan basis which were included by those purchasers in non-FH securitizations. That lending activity is discussed above under Other Whole-loan Sales. In addition, the FHLB of Seattle has subpoenaed FHN in connection with FHN-originated loans that were included in non-FH securitizations. The FDIC subpoena fails to identify the specific investments made by the failed banks. Other than the dollar amounts of those investments which are the subject of the FDIC s active litigation as receiver for Colonial Bank, FHN has limited information regarding at least some of the loans under review or the dollar amounts invested in relation to the FDIC, FHFA, and FHLB subpoenas. The FDIC subpoenas overlap partially, and the FHFA subpoenas overlap substantially, with the ongoing litigation matters mentioned above under Litigation Loss Contingencies.

The subpoenas discussed above relate to ongoing reviews which ultimately could result in claims against FHN. The original and current (as of September 25, 2013 trust statements) combined first lien certificate balances of the related FH proprietary securitizations in which the credit unions invested were \$321.6 million and \$113.7 million, respectively. The original and current (as of September 25, 2013 trust statements) HELOC certificate balances of the related FH proprietary HELOC securitization in which the credit unions invested was \$299.8 million and \$44.4 million. The original and current certificate balances of the FH proprietary securitizations in which the FHLB of San Francisco invested are \$501.1 million and \$153.2 million, respectively. The original and current certificate balances of the FH proprietary securitizations in which the FHLB of Atlanta invested are \$56.1 million and \$11.2 million, respectively. There are limitations as to FHN s knowledge of the amount of FH proprietary securitizations investments that are subject to the FDIC, FHFA and FHLB of San Francisco subpoenas. Since the reviews at this time are neither repurchase claims nor litigation, the associated loans are not considered part of the repurchase pipeline.

Private Mortgage Insurance

MI was required by GSE rules for certain of the loans sold to GSEs and was also provided for certain of the loans that were securitized. MI generally was provided for the first lien loans sold or securitized having a loan-to-value ratio at origination of greater than 80 percent. Although unresolved MI cancellation notices related to GSE-owned loans are not formal repurchase requests, FHN includes these in the active repurchase request pipeline. FHN tracks and monitors MI cancellation notices received and considers the amount of loans sold to GSEs where MI coverage

has ultimately been lost when assessing the overall adequacy of FHN s repurchase liability. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, \$481.7 million and \$415.2 million, respectively, of loans sold or securitized have lost MI coverage.

Established Repurchase Liability

In fourth quarter, FHN entered into a DRA, discussed above in GSE Settlement Efforts, to resolve certain selling representation and warranty repurchase obligations with Fannie Mae. During these discussions, FHN received additional information

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

which was used to estimate repurchase liability levels at quarter-end. That information encompassed a broader population of loans including older vintages and expanded selection criteria from the remaining loan populations. The new information added the origination vintages of 2000 through 2004, expanded the scope of selections, and included estimates for losses from loans in early stage delinquency, modifications, and loans determined to have a higher probability of default. FHN used the new information to estimate losses related to potential repurchase obligations not included in the DRA with Fannie Mae including future MI rescissions, prior bulk servicing sales where FHN is no longer the directly responsible party but still has repurchase obligations, repurchase obligations for loan sales to Freddie Mac, and obligations related to other loan sales. Additionally, FHN continues to monitor claims included in the active pipeline, historical repurchase rates, and loss severities.

Based on currently available information and experience to date, FHN has evaluated its exposure under these obligations and accordingly had reserved for losses of \$295.2 million and \$293.5 million as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, including a smaller amount related to equity-lending junior lien loan sales. Accrued liabilities for FHN s estimate of these obligations are reflected in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Charges to increase the liability are included within Repurchase and foreclosure provision on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. The estimate is based upon currently available information and fact patterns that exist as of the balance sheet date and could be subject to future changes. Changes to any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate of FHN s liability.

Servicing and Foreclosure Practices

FHN services a predominately first lien mortgage loan portfolio with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$15 billion as of September 30, 2013. A substantial portion of the first lien portfolio is serviced through a subservicer. FHN s national mortgage and servicing platforms were sold in August 2008 and the related servicing activities, including foreclosure and loss mitigation practices, of the still-owned portion of FHN s mortgage servicing portfolio was outsourced through a three year subservicing arrangement (the 2008 subservicing agreement) with the platform buyer (the 2008 subservicer). The 2008 subservicing agreement expired in 2011 when FHN entered into a replacement agreement with a new subservicer (the 2011 subservicer). In third quarter 2013 FHN agreed to sell a substantial majority of its remaining servicing obligations and servicing assets (including advances) to the 2011 subservicer. The servicing is expected to be transferred to the buyer during the next few months. The servicing retained by FHN continues to be subserviced by the 2011 subservicer under a subservicing agreement amended and extended through 2014.

The first lien portfolio is held primarily by private security holders and GSEs, with less significant portions held by other private investors. In connection with its servicing activities, FHN collects and remits the principal and interest payments on the underlying loans for the account of the appropriate investor. In the event of delinquency or non-payment on a loan in a private or agency securitization: (1) the terms of the private securities agreements generally require FHN, as servicer, to continue to make monthly advances of principal and interest (P&I) to the trustee for the benefit of the investors; (2) the terms of the majority of the agency agreements may require the servicer to make advances of P&I, or in certain circumstances to repurchase the loan out of the trust pool; and (3) the servicer may be required to advance escrow and other payments. In the event advances are ultimately made by FHN to satisfy these servicing obligations, these servicing advances are recoverable from: (a) the liquidation proceeds of the property securing the loan, in the case of private securitizations; (b) the proceeds of the foreclosure sale by the government agency, in the case of government agency-owned loans; and (c) in certain circumstances, mortgage payment pool funds. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, FHN has recognized servicing advances of \$289.1 million and \$300.6 million, respectively. Servicing advances are included in Other assets on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

FHN is subject to losses in its loan servicing portfolio due to loan foreclosures. Foreclosure exposure arises from certain government agency agreements, as well as agreements with MI insurers, which limit the agency s repayment guarantees on foreclosed loans and allow compensatory fees and penalties and curtailments of claims for violations of agreements or insurance policies, resulting in losses to the servicer. Foreclosure exposure also includes real estate costs, marketing costs, and costs to maintain properties, especially during protracted resale periods in geographic areas of the country negatively impacted by declining home values.

For several years governmental officials and agencies have scrutinized industry foreclosure practices, particularly in judicial foreclosure states. The initial focus on judicial foreclosure practices of financial institutions nationwide expanded to include non-judicial foreclosure and loss mitigation practices including the effective coordination by servicers of foreclosure and loss mitigation activities. All of the changes to servicing practices including the additional oversight required arising out of this activity including those described below could impact FHN through increased operational and legal costs. FHN continues to review, monitor, and revise, as appropriate, its foreclosure processes and coordinated loss mitigation practices with the goal of conforming them to evolving servicing requirements.

In 2011 regulators entered into consent decrees with several institutions requiring comprehensive revision of loan modification and foreclosure processes, including the remediation of borrowers that have experienced financial harm. The 2008 subservicer was subject to a consent decree and its parent company agreed to pay related monetary sanctions, among other things. In 2012 the 2008 subservicer, along with certain others, entered into a settlement agreement with the OCC which replaced the consent decree. The new

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

settlement requires remediation for all borrowers with in-process foreclosures dating from 2009 or 2010 and certain other foreclosure-avoidance assistance from parties to the settlement. The OCC through a consultant operated the remediation process in 2013 by mailing checks, which was substantially completed in August 2013, from the settlement pool to eligible borrowers in amounts determined by the OCC. The remediation process should be completed during 2013.

Under FHN s 2008 subservicing agreement, the 2008 subservicer had the contractual right to follow FHN s prior servicing practices as they existed 180 days prior to August 2008 until the 2008 subservicer became aware that such practices did not comply with applicable servicing requirements, subject to the subservicer s obligation to follow accepted servicing practices, applicable law, and new requirements, including evolving interpretations of such practices, law and requirements. FHN cannot predict the amount of additional operating costs related to foreclosure delays, including required process changes, increased default services, extended periods of servicing advances and the recoverability of such advances, legal expenses, or other costs that may be incurred as a result of the internal reviews or external actions. In the event of a dispute such as that described below between FHN and the 2008 subservicer over any liabilities for subservicer s servicing and management of foreclosure or loss mitigation processes, FHN cannot predict the costs that may be incurred.

FHN s 2008 subservicer has presented invoices and made demands under the 2008 subservicing agreement that FHN pay certain costs related to tax service contracts, miscellaneous transfer costs, servicing timeline penalties, compensatory damages, and curtailments charged prior to the servicing transfer by GSEs and a government agency in connection with FHN s transfer of subservicing to its 2011 subservicer in the amount of \$8.6 million. The 2008 subservicer also is seeking reimbursement from FHN for expenditures the 2008 subservicer has incurred or anticipates it will incur under the consent decree and supervisory guidance relating to foreclosure review (collectively, foreclosure review expenditures). The foreclosure review expenditures for which the 2008 subservicer presently seeks reimbursement total \$34.9 million. The 2008 subservicer has indicated that additional reimbursement requests will be made as the foreclosure review process continues. FHN disputes that it has any responsibility or liability for either demand. In the event that the 2008 subservicer pursues its position through litigation, FHN believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to defend itself vigorously. FHN disagrees with the 2008 subservicer s position and has made no reimbursements. FHN also believes that certain amounts billed to FHN by agencies for penalties and curtailments on claims by MI insurers for actions by the 2008 subservicer prior to the 2011 subservicing transfer but billed after that date are owed by the 2008 subservicer. This disagreement has the potential to result in litigation and, in any such future litigation, the claim against FHN may be substantial.

Other Disclosures Visa Matters

FHN is a member of the Visa USA network. In October 2007, the Visa organization of affiliated entities completed a series of global restructuring transactions to combine its affiliated operating companies, including Visa USA, under a single holding company, Visa Inc. (Visa). Upon completion of the reorganization, the members of the Visa USA network remained contingently liable for certain Visa litigation matters (the Covered Litigation). Based on its proportionate membership share of Visa USA, FHN recognized a contingent liability in fourth quarter 2007 related to this contingent obligation. In March 2008, Visa completed its initial public offering (IPO) and funded an escrow account from its IPO proceeds to be used to make payments related to the Visa litigation matters. FHN received approximately 2.4 million Class B shares in conjunction with Visa's IPO.

Conversion of these shares into Class A shares of Visa and, with limited exceptions, transfer of these shares is restricted until the final resolution of the covered litigation. In conjunction with the prior sales of Visa Class B shares in December 2010 and September 2011, FHN and the purchasers entered into derivative transactions whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. The conversion ratio is adjusted when Visa deposits funds into the escrow account to cover certain litigation.

In July 2012, Visa and MasterCard announced a joint settlement related to the Payment Card Interchange matter (the Settlement). The Settlement is subject to judicial approval. Based on the amount of the Settlement attributable to Visa and an assessment of FHN s contingent liability accrued for Visa litigation matters, the Settlement did not have a material impact on FHN. As a result of the Settlement, Visa funded an additional \$150 million into the escrow account in July 2012, and as a result FHN made a payment to the counterparty of \$.8 million. As of September 30, 2013, the conversion ratio is 42 percent, and the contingent liability is \$.8 million. Future funding of the escrow would dilute this exchange rate by an amount that is not determinable.

As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, the derivative liabilities were \$2.7 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

FHN now holds approximately 1.1 million Visa Class B shares. FHN s Visa shares are not considered to be marketable and therefore are included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition at their historical cost of \$0. A fairness hearing regarding the Settlement was held on September 12, 2013, but no decision has been rendered. Accordingly, the outcome and timing of decisions from this hearing remain uncertain. Additionally, other Covered Litigation matters are also pending judicial resolution, including new matters filed by class members who opted-out of the Settlement. In the event that the Settlement is not approved and/or if resolution is pending for any Covered Litigation matter, FHN s ability to transfer its Visa holdings would continue to be restricted.

Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

Other Disclosures Indemnification Agreements and Guarantees

In the ordinary course of business, FHN enters into indemnification agreements for legal proceedings against its directors and officers and standard representations and warranties for underwriting agreements, merger and acquisition agreements, loan sales, contractual commitments, and various other business transactions or arrangements. The extent of FHN s obligations under these agreements depends upon the occurrence of future events; therefore, it is not possible to estimate a maximum potential amount of payouts that could be required with such agreements.

Note 11 Pension, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits

Pension plan. FHN sponsors a noncontributory, qualified defined benefit pension plan to employees hired or re-hired on or before September 1, 2007. Pension benefits are based on years of service, average compensation near retirement or other termination, and estimated social security benefits at age 65. The contributions are based upon actuarially determined amounts necessary to fund the total benefit obligation. FHN did not make any contributions to the qualified pension plan in 2012. Future decisions to contribute to the plan will be based upon pension funding requirements under the Pension Protection Act, the maximum deductible under the Internal Revenue Code, and the actual performance of plan assets. Management has assessed the need for future contributions, and does not currently anticipate that FHN will make a contribution to the qualified pension plan in 2013.

FHN also maintains non-qualified plans including a supplemental retirement plan that covers certain employees whose benefits under the qualified pension plan have been limited. These other non-qualified plans are unfunded, and contributions to these plans cover all benefits paid under the non-qualified plans. Payments made under the non-qualified plans were \$7.3 million for 2012. FHN anticipates making benefit payments under the non-qualified plans of \$6.2 million in 2013.

The accrual of benefits under the qualified pension plan and the supplemental pension plan ceased as of December 31, 2012.

FHN utilizes the minimum amortization method in determining the amount of actuarial gains or losses to include in plan expense. Under this approach, the net deferred actuarial gain or loss that exceeds a threshold is amortized over the average remaining service period of active plan participants. In conjunction with the freeze of the pension plans on December 31, 2012, all participants are now considered inactive under applicable accounting guidance for determining the appropriate period for prospective amortization of actuarial gains and losses. Thus, effective January 1, 2013, FHN changed the amortization term for actuarial gains and losses from the estimated average remaining service period of active employees to the estimated average remaining life expectancy of the remaining participants. This extension of the amortization period significantly lowers annual pension expense.

Savings plan. FHN provides all qualifying full-time employees with the opportunity to participate in the FHN tax qualified 401(k) savings plan. The qualified plan allows employees to defer receipt of earned salary, up to tax law limits, on a tax-advantaged basis. Accounts, which are held in trust, may be invested in a wide range of mutual funds and in FHN common stock. Up to tax law limits, in 2012 FHN provided a 50 percent match for the first 6 percent of salary deferred. The match rate increased to 100 percent for the first 6 percent of salary deferred beginning in 2013 when benefits under the pension plans became frozen. Through a non-qualified savings restoration plan, starting in 2013 FHN provides a restorative benefit to certain highly-compensated employees who participate in the savings plan and whose contribution elections are capped by tax limitations.

Employer Non-Elective Contribution (ENEC) Program. The ENEC program is a feature of the FHN savings plan. Prior to 2013 it was provided only to employees not eligible for the pension plan. After 2012 it is available only to employees not participating in a regular bonus plan. With the ENEC program, FHN generally makes contributions to eligible employees savings plan accounts based upon company performance. Contribution amounts are a percentage of each employee s base salary (as defined in the savings plan) earned the prior year. FHN contributed \$1.5 million for the plan in 2012 related to the 2011 plan year, and FHN contributed \$1.7 million for the plan in 2013 related to the 2012 plan year. All contributions made to eligible employees savings plan accounts in relation to the ENEC program are invested in company stock. With the increased match in the savings plan, FHN does not anticipate making contributions under the ENEC related to the 2013 plan year.

Other employee benefits. FHN provides postretirement life insurance benefits to certain employees and also provides postretirement medical insurance to retirement-eligible employees. The postretirement medical plan is contributory with retiree contributions adjusted annually and is based on criteria that are a combination of the employee s age and years of service. For any employee retiring on or after January 1, 1995, FHN contributes a fixed amount based on years of service and age at the time of retirement. FHN s postretirement benefits include prescription drug benefits. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Act) introduced a prescription drug benefit under Medicare Part D as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care that provide a benefit that is actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. FHN currently anticipates receiving a prescription drug subsidy under the Act through 2013. In third quarter 2013, FHN notified participants of revisions to the employee medical plan effective January 1, 2014, the effects of which are being prospectively amortized beginning in third quarter 2013.

Note 11 Pension, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits (Continued)

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended September 30 are as follows:

	Pension Benefits		Other B	enefits
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Components of net periodic benefit cost				
Service cost	\$ (77)	\$ 2,453	\$ 114	\$ 102
Interest cost	8,097	8,191	505	612
Expected return on plan assets	(8,756)	(9,967)	(199)	(225)
Amortization of unrecognized:				
Transition (asset)/obligation				184
Prior service cost/(credit)	89	100	(25)	(3)
Actuarial (gain)/loss	2,585	9,351	(106)	(60)
Net periodic benefit cost	1,938	10,128	289	610
ASC 715 settlement expense		1,231		
-				
Total periodic benefit costs	\$ 1,938	\$ 11,359	\$ 289	\$ 610

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the nine months ended September 30 are as follows:

	Pension	Other Benefits		
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Components of net periodic benefit cost				
Service cost	\$ 47	\$ 11,156	\$ 382	\$ 350
Interest cost	24,271	24,780	1,601	1,724
Expected return on plan assets	(26,210)	(29,860)	(593)	(686)
Amortization of unrecognized:				
Transition (asset)/obligation				552
Prior service cost/(credit)	265	299	(9)	(7)
Actuarial (gain)/loss	7,374	26,999	(72)	(366)
Net periodic benefit cost	5,747	33,374	1,309	1,567
ASC 715 settlement expense	370	1,231		
	0.0	-,=01		
Total periodic benefit costs	\$ 6,117	\$ 34,605	\$ 1,309	\$ 1,567

Note 12 Business Segment Information

FHN has four business segments: regional banking, capital markets, corporate, and non-strategic. The regional banking segment offers financial products and services, including traditional lending and deposit taking, to retail and commercial customers largely in Tennessee and surrounding markets. Regional banking provides investments, financial planning, trust services and asset management, credit card, and cash management. Additionally, the regional banking segment includes correspondent banking which provides credit, depository, and other banking related services to other financial institutions nationally. The capital markets segment consists of fixed income sales, trading, and strategies for institutional clients in the U.S. and abroad, as well as loan sales, portfolio advisory, and derivative sales. The corporate segment consists of unallocated corporate expenses, expense on subordinated debt issuances, bank-owned life insurance, unallocated interest income associated with excess equity, net impact of raising incremental capital, revenue and expense associated with deferred compensation plans, funds management, tax credit investment activities, acquisition-related costs, and various charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives. The non-strategic segment consists of the wind-down national consumer lending activities, legacy mortgage banking elements including servicing fees, and the associated ancillary revenues and expenses related to these businesses. Non-strategic also includes the wind-down trust preferred loan portfolio and exited businesses along with the associated restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency charges.

Periodically, FHN adapts its segments to reflect managerial or strategic changes. FHN may also modify its methodology of allocating expenses among segments which could change historical segment results. Total revenue, expense, and asset levels reflect those which are specifically identifiable or which are allocated based on internal allocation method. Because the allocations are based on internally developed assignments and allocations they are to an extent subjective. Generally, all assignments and allocations have been consistently applied for all periods presented. The following table reflects the amounts of consolidated revenue, expense, tax, and assets for each segment for the three and nine months ended September 30:

	Th	Three Months Ended September 30		Niı	Nine Months End		ptember 30	
(Dollars in thousands)		2013		2012		2013		2012
Consolidated								
Net interest income	\$	158,838	\$	173,465	\$	480,239	\$	518,069
Provision for loan losses		10,000		40,000		40,000		63,000
Noninterest income		150,475		163,538		449,534		524,886
Noninterest expense		433,556		263,169		901,504		1,112,340
·								
Income/(loss) before income taxes		(134,243)		33,834		(11,731)		(132,385)
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		(31,094)		5,260		1,644		(72,348)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations		(103,149)		28,574		(13,375)		(60,037)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		123		108		554		160
Net income/(loss)	\$	(103,026)	\$	28.682	\$	(12,821)	\$	(59,877)
	Ŧ	()•=•)	Ŧ	-) = = =	+	、,/	Ŧ	()
Average assets	\$ 2	24,192,606	\$2	5,089,754	\$ 2	4,619,958	\$2	5,101,544
č –								. ,

Note 12 Business Segment Information (Continued)

	Tł	aree Months En	ded Se	ntember 30	N	ine Months End	led Se	ntember 30
(Dollars in thousands)		2013	aca se	2012	1	2013	icu be	2012
Regional Banking								
Net interest income	\$	148,511	\$	151,136	\$	441,903	\$	447,248
Provision/(provision credit) for loan losses		5,159		2,927		15,875		329
Noninterest income		63,884		64,235		184,928		189,324
Noninterest expense		131,438		141,576		390,723		425,480
Income/(loss) before income taxes		75,798		70,868		220,233		210,763
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		27,359		25,727		79,510		76,509
Net income/(loss)	\$	48,439	\$	45,141	\$	140,723	\$	134,254
Average assets	\$ 1	12,922,737	\$ 1	2,935,133	\$ 1	12,939,436	\$ 1	12,509,334
Capital Markets								
Net interest income	\$	3,756	\$	4,753	\$	11,687	\$	16,041
Noninterest income		64,115		80,817		208,926		262,560
Noninterest expense		58,036		64,602		179,631		205,844
Income/(loss) before income taxes		9,835		20,968		40,982		72,757
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		3,703		7,899		15,432		27,540
Net income/(loss)	\$	6,132	\$	13,069	\$	25,550	\$	45,217
Average assets	\$	2,116,415	\$	2,232,047	\$	2,342,728	\$	2,322,656
Corporate								
Net interest income/(expense)	\$	(10,333)	\$	(6,096)	\$	(28,917)	\$	(17,820
Noninterest income		6,558		7,904		18,224		20,991
Noninterest expense		21,584		21,538		56,239		63,058
Income/(loss) before income taxes		(25,359)		(19,730)		(66,932)		(59,887
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		(16,024)		(13,632)		(42,743)		(39,332
Net income/(loss)	\$	(9,335)	\$	(6,098)	\$	(24,189)	\$	(20,555
Average assets	\$	5,166,039	\$	5,149,235	\$	5,162,727	\$	5,289,352
Non-Strategic								
Net interest income	\$	16,904	\$	23,672	\$	55,566	\$	72,600
Provision for loan losses		4,841		37,073		24,125		62,671
Noninterest income		15,918		10,582		37,456		52,011
Noninterest expense		222,498		35,453		274,911		417,958
Income/(loss) before income taxes		(194,517)		(38,272)		(206,014)		(356,018
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		(46,132)		(14,734)		(50,555)		(137,065
Income/(loss) from continuing operations		(148,385)		(23,538)		(155,459)		(218,953
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		123		108		554		160

Net income/(loss)	\$ (148,262)	\$ (23,430)	\$ (154,905)	\$ (218,793)
Average assets	\$ 3,987,415	\$ 4,773,339	\$ 4,175,067	\$ 4,980,202

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations

Prior to 2009, FHN utilized loan sales and securitizations as a significant source of liquidity for its mortgage banking operations. FHN no longer retains financial interests in loans it transfers to third parties. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, loan sale activity was not material.

Retained Interests

Interests retained from prior loan sales, including GSE securitizations, typically included MSR, excess interest (structured as interest-only (IO) strips), and principal-only (PO) strips. Excess interest represents rights to receive interest from serviced assets that exceed contractually specified rates. PO strips are principal cash flow tranches. MSR were initially valued at fair value and the remaining retained interests were initially valued by allocating the remaining cost basis of the loan between the security or loan sold and the remaining retained interests based on their relative fair values at the time of sale or securitization.

In certain cases, FHN continues to service and receive servicing fees related to the transferred loans. During third quarter 2013 and 2012, FHN received annual servicing fees approximating .29 percent of the outstanding balance of underlying single-family residential mortgage loans and .34 percent inclusive of income related to excess interest. In third quarters 2013 and 2012, FHN received annual servicing fees approximating .50 percent of the outstanding balance of underlying loans for HELOC and home equity loans transferred. MSR related to loans transferred and serviced by FHN, as well as MSR related to loans serviced by FHN and transferred by others, are discussed further in Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights.

The sensitivity of the fair value of all retained or purchased MSR to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in assumptions on September 30, 2013 and 2012, are as follows:

	September 30, 2013 First			September 30, 2012								
(Dollars in thousands except for annual cost to service)		Liens	Seco	nd Liens	H	IELOC	Fi	rst Liens	Seco	nd Liens	HI	ELOC
Fair value of retained interests	\$	114,017	\$	166	\$	5 2,503	\$	117,440	\$	205	\$ 1	2,892
Weighted average life (in years)		4.4		3.5		3.4		4.0		2.9		2.9
Annual prepayment rate		18.7%		31.4%		31.7 %		21.2%		26.0%		26.7%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$	(5,572)	\$	(10)	\$	6 (130)	\$	(6,261)	\$	(13)	\$	(355)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change		(10,637)		(20)		(250)		(11,953)		(25)		(683)
Annual discount rate on servicing cash flows		8.4%		14.0%		18.0 %		11.8%		14.0%		18.0%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$	(2,675)	\$	(4)	\$	6 (76)	\$	(3,253)	\$	(6)	\$	(191)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change		(5,221)		(9)		(148)		(6,314)		(11)		(370)
Annual cost to service (per loan) (a)	\$	119	\$	50	\$	50	\$	116	\$	50	\$	50
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change		(2,684)		(4)		(39)		(2,822)		(5)		(96)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change		(5,352)		(8)		(78)		(5,625)		(9)		(193)
Annual earnings on escrow		1.4%						1.4%				
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$	(1,152)					\$	(631)				
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change		(2,304)						(1,263)				

(a) Amounts represent market participant based assumptions.

The sensitivity of the fair value of other retained interests to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in assumptions on September 30, 2013 and 2012, are as follows:

	Septemb	er 30, 2013	September 30, 2012		
	Excess	Excess			
	Interest	Certificated	Interest	Certificated	
(Dollars in thousands)	Ю	PO	IO	PO	
Fair value of retained interests	\$ 10,618	\$ 5,096	\$ 13,739	\$ 5,462	

Weighted average life (in years)	4.4	1.9	3.9	1.9
Annual prepayment rate	16.8%	41.9%	19.1%	49.5%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (476)	\$ (225)	\$ (618)	\$ (304)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	(915)	(474)	(1,189)	(640)
Annual discount rate on residual cash flows	9.5%	NM	13.3%	NM
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (343)	NM	\$ (504)	NM
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	(660)	NM	(968)	NM
NM - Not meaningful				

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should not be considered predictive of future performance. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10 percent variation in assumptions cannot necessarily be extrapolated because the relationship between the change in assumption and the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect on the fair value of the retained interest caused by a particular assumption variation is calculated independently from all other assumption changes. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might magnify or mitigate the sensitivities. Furthermore, the estimated fair values, as disclosed, should not be considered indicative of future earnings on these assets.

Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations (Continued)

Prepayment rates and credit spreads (part of the discount rate) are significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of FHN s MSR, principal only strips and excess interest IO. Cost to service and earnings on escrow are additional unobservable inputs included in the valuation of MSR. Increases in prepayment rates, credit spreads and costs to service in isolation would result in significantly lower fair value measurements for the associated assets. Conversely, decreases in prepayment rates, credit spreads and costs to service in isolation would result in significantly higher fair value measurements for the associated assets. An increase/(decrease) in earnings on escrow in isolation would be accompanied by an increase/(decrease) in the value of the related MSR. Generally, when market interest rates decline and other factors favorable to prepayments occur, there is a corresponding increase in prepayment rates as customers are expected to refinance existing mortgages under more favorable interest rate terms. Generally, changes in discount rates directionally mirror the changes in market interest rates. In third quarter 2013, FHN agreed to sell substantially all its remaining legacy mortgage servicing. FHN used the price in the definitive agreement, as adjusted for the portion of pricing that was not specific to the MSR and excess interest, as a third-party pricing source in the valuation of these assets as of September 30, 2013.

The MSR Hedging Working Group reviews the overall assessment of the estimated fair value of MSR and excess interests weekly and is responsible for approving the critical assumptions used by management to determine the estimated fair value of FHN s retained interests. In addition, this working group reviews the source of significant changes to the carrying values each quarter and was responsible for hedges and approving hedging strategies during periods when the MSR was hedged. Hedges were terminated upon execution of the definitive agreement to sell servicing.

FHN also engages in a process referred to as price discovery on a quarterly basis to assess the reasonableness of the estimated fair value of retained interests. Price discovery is conducted through a process of obtaining the following information: (1) quarterly informal (and an annual formal) valuation of the servicing portfolio by prominent independent mortgage-servicing brokers and (2) a collection of surveys and benchmarking data made available by independent third parties that include peer participants in the mortgage banking business. Although there is no single source of market information that can be relied upon to assess the fair value of MSR or excess interests, FHN reviews all information obtained during price discovery to determine whether the estimated fair value of MSR is reasonable when compared to market information. FHN determined that the MSR and excess interests valuations and assumptions in third quarters 2013 and 2012 were reasonable based on the price discovery process.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, cash flows received and paid related to loan sales and securitizations were as follows:

		onths Ended mber 30	Nine Mon Septen	ths Ended iber 30
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Proceeds from initial sales	\$	\$ 77,297	\$ 10,843	\$ 178,045
Servicing fees retained (a)	11,261	14,262	36,542	47,488
Purchases of GNMA guaranteed mortgages	17,797	22,434	88,652	85,149
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets (b) (c)	41,916	191,318	266,266	333,236
Other cash flows received on retained interests	1,260	1,696	4,088	6,915

(a) Includes servicing fees on MSR associated with loan sales and purchased MSR.

(b) Includes repurchases of delinquent and performing loans, foreclosed assets, and make-whole payments for economic losses incurred by purchaser. Also includes buyouts from GSEs in order to facilitate foreclosures.

(c) Nine months ended September 30, 2013, and three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, includes \$74.7 million and \$99.3 million, respectively, of cash paid related to clean-up calls exercised by FHN.

The principal amount of loans transferred through loan sales and securitizations and other loans managed with them, the principal amount of delinquent loans, and the net credit losses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, are as follows:

Principal Amount of Residential Real							
	Estate Loans (a) (b) (c) Net Credit Losses (c)						
	Septem	ber 30 T	hree Months En	ded September	None Months En	ded September 30	
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Total loans managed or transferred	\$ 13,016,536	\$ 15,889,548	\$ 47,832	\$ 123,586	\$ 185,443	\$ 345,064	

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Amounts represent real estate residential loans in FHN s portfolio, held-for-sale, and loans that have been transferred in proprietary securitizations and whole loan sales in which FHN has a retained interest other than servicing rights. Also includes \$4.4 billion and \$6.3 billion of loans transferred to GSEs with any type of retained interest on September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.
- (b) On September 30, 2013 and 2012, includes \$.7 billion where the principal amount is 90 days or more past due or nonaccrual. Included in these amounts are \$41.9 million and \$35.4 million of GNMA guaranteed mortgages on September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.
- (c) No delinquency or net credit loss data is provided for the loans transferred to FNMA or FHLMC because these agencies retain credit risk. See Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for discussion related to repurchase obligations for loans transferred to GSEs or private investors.

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities

ASC 810 defines a VIE as an entity where the equity investors, as a group, lack either (1) the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities of an entity that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance, (2) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, (3) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, or (4) when the equity investors, as a group, do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities by itself. A variable interest is a contractual ownership, or other interest, that fluctuates with changes in the fair value of the VIE s net assets exclusive of variable interests. Under ASC 810, as amended, a primary beneficiary is required to consolidate a VIE when it has a variable interest in a VIE that provides it with a controlling financial interest. For such purposes, the determination of whether a controlling financial interest exists is based on whether a single party has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant.

Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its mortgage banking and consumer lending operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIEs as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. In situations where the retention of MSR and other retained interests, including residual interests and subordinated bonds, results in FHN potentially absorbing losses or receiving benefits that are significant to the trusts, FHN is considered the primary beneficiary, as it is also assumed to have the power as servicer to most significantly impact the activities of such VIEs. Consolidation of the trusts results in the recognition of the trusts proceeds as restricted borrowings since the cash flows on the securitized loans can only be used to settle the obligations due to the holders of the trusts securitization trust will be de-consolidated and prospectively considered a non-consolidated VIE.

Included in the September 30, 2013 balance of consolidated proprietary residential mortgage securitizations is a HELOC securitization trust that has entered a rapid amortization period and for which FHN is obligated to provide subordinated funding. During this period, cash payments from borrowers are accumulated to repay outstanding debt securities while FHN continues to make advances to borrowers when they draw on their lines of credit. FHN then transfers the newly generated receivables into the securitization trust and is reimbursed only after other parties in the securitization have received all of the cash flows to which they are entitled. If loan losses requiring draws on the related monoline insurers policies, which protect bondholders in the securitization, exceed a certain level, FHN may not receive reimbursement for all of the funds advanced to borrowers, as the senior bondholders and the monoline insurers typically have priority for repayment. This securitization trust is currently consolidated by FHN due to FHN s status as the Master Servicer for the securitization and the retention of a significant residual interest. Consistent with the consolidated nature of this trust, amounts funded from monoline insurance policies are considered as additional restricted term borrowings in FHN s Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

In first quarter 2012, FHN agreed with the monoline insurers to relinquish its status as Master Servicer for two of FHN s proprietary consumer loan securitizations. Accordingly, these trusts were de-consolidated prospectively from the time of the agreement. In 2012, FHN completed cleanup calls on four previously consolidated on-balance sheet consumer loan securitizations and the associated trusts were extinguished.

FHN has established certain rabbi trusts related to deferred compensation plans offered to its employees. FHN contributes employee cash compensation deferrals to the trusts and directs the underlying investments made by the trusts. The assets of these trusts are available to FHN s creditors only in the event that FHN becomes insolvent. These trusts are considered VIEs as there is no equity at risk in the trusts since FHN provided the equity interest to its employees in exchange for services rendered. FHN is considered the primary beneficiary of the rabbi trusts as it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the rabbi trusts through its ability to direct the underlying investments made by the trusts. Additionally, FHN could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts due to its right to receive any asset values in excess of liability payoffs and its obligation to fund any liabilities to employees that are in excess of a rabbi trust s assets.

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)

The following table summarizes VIEs consolidated by FHN as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Septen On-Balance Sheet	1ber 30, 20	013	September 30, 2012 On-Balance Sheet				
	Consumer	Ral	obi Trusts	Consumer	Ra	bbi Trusts		
	Loan	Used	for Deferred	Loan	Used	for Deferred		
	Securitizations	Compe	nsation Plans	Securitizations	Compo	ensation Plans		
	Carrying			Carrying				
(Dollars in thousands)	Value	Carı	ying Value	Value	Carr	ying Value		
Assets:								
Cash and due from banks	\$ 1,494		N/A	\$ 624		N/A		
Loans, net of unearned income	104,052		N/A	121,959		N/A		
Less: Allowance for loan losses	3,217		N/A	4,384		N/A		
Total net loans	100,835		N/A	117,575		N/A		
Other assets	1,435	\$	63,238	1,907	\$	61,409		
Total assets	\$ 103,764	\$	63,238	\$ 120,106	\$	61,409		
Liabilities:								
Term borrowings	\$ 93,700		N/A	\$ 113,342		N/A		
Other liabilities	19	\$	49,469	16	\$	49,579		
Total liabilities	\$ 93,719	\$	49,469	\$ 113,358	\$	49,579		

Nonconsolidated Variable Interest Entities

Low Income Housing Partnerships. First Tennessee Housing Corporation (FTHC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FTBNA, makes equity investments as a limited partner in various partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital and to support FHN s community reinvestment initiatives. The activities of the limited partnerships include the identification, development, and operation of multi-family housing that is leased to qualifying residential tenants generally within FHN s primary geographic region. LIHTC partnerships are considered VIEs as FTHC, the holder of the equity investment at risk, does not have the ability to direct the activities that most significantly affect the performance of the entity through voting rights or similar rights. FTHC could absorb losses that are significant to the LIHTC partnerships as it has a risk of loss for its initial capital contributions and funding commitments to each partnership. The general partners are considered the primary beneficiaries as managerial functions give them the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the partnerships economic performance and the general partners are exposed to all losses beyond FTHC s initial capital contributions and funding commitments.

New Market Tax Credit LLCs. First Tennessee New Markets Corporation (FTNMC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FTBNA, makes equity investments through wholly-owned subsidiaries as a limited member in various limited liability companies (LLCs) that sponsor community development projects utilizing the New Market Tax Credit (NMTC) pursuant to Section 45 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital and to support FHN s community reinvestment initiatives. The activities of the LLCs include providing investment capital for low-income communities within FHN s primary geographic region. A portion of the funding of FTNMC s investment in a NMTC LLC is obtained via a loan from an unrelated third-party that is typically a community development enterprise. The NMTC LLCs are considered VIEs as FTNMC, the holder of the equity investment at risk, does not have the ability to direct the activities that most significantly affect the performance of the entity through voting rights or similar rights. While FTNMC could absorb losses that are significant to the NMTC LLCs as it has a risk of loss for its initial capital contributions, the managing members are considered the primary beneficiaries as managerial functions give them the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the NMTC LLCs economic

performance and the managing members are exposed to all losses beyond FTNMC s initial capital contributions.

Small Issuer Trust Preferred Holdings. FTBNA holds variable interests in trusts which have issued mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities (trust preferreds) for smaller banking and insurance enterprises. FTBNA has no voting rights for the trusts activities. The trusts only assets are junior subordinated debentures of the issuing enterprises. The creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FTBNA. These trusts meet the definition of a VIE as the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. Based on the nature of the trusts activities and the size of FTBNA s holdings, FTBNA could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts regardless of whether a majority of a trust s securities are held by FTBNA. However, since FTBNA is solely a holder of the trusts securities, it has no rights which would give it the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance and thus it is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

On-Balance Sheet Trust Preferred Securitization. In 2007, FTBNA executed a securitization of certain small issuer trust preferreds for which the underlying trust meets the definition of a VIE as the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance.

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)

FTBNA could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trust based on the size and priority of the interests it retained in the securities issued by the trust. However, since FTBNA did not retain servicing or other decision making rights, FTBNA is not the primary beneficiary as it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trust seconomic performance. Accordingly, FTBNA has accounted for the funds received through the securitization as a term borrowing in its Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trust.

Proprietary Trust Preferred Issuances. FHN has previously issued junior subordinated debt to First Tennessee Capital II (Capital II). Capital II is considered a VIE as FHN s capital contributions to this trust are not considered at risk in evaluating whether the holders of the equity investments at risk in the trust have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance. FHN is not the trust s primary beneficiary as FHN s capital contributions to the trust are not considered variable interests as they are not at risk . Consequently, Capital II is not consolidated by FHN.

Proprietary & Agency Residential Mortgage Securitizations. FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its mortgage banking operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Additionally, FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIEs as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. While FHN is assumed to have the power as servicer to most significantly impact the activities of such VIEs in situations where FHN does not have the ability to participate in significant portions of a securitization trust s cash flows, it is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trust. Therefore, these trusts are not consolidated by FHN.

Prior to third quarter 2008, FHN transferred first lien mortgages that were included in Agency-sponsored securitizations and retained MSR and in certain situations various other interests. Except for recourse due to breaches of standard representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Additionally, FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. The Agencies or designated third parties status as Master Servicer and the rights they hold consistent with their guarantees on the securities issued provide them with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. Thus, such trusts are not consolidated by FHN as it is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where it could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts.

In relation to certain agency securitizations, FHN purchased the servicing rights on securitized loans from the loan originator and holds other retained interests. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. As the agencies serve as Master Servicer for the securitized loans and hold rights consistent with their guarantees on the securities issued, they have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. Thus, FHN is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where it could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. Upon closing of the servicing sales agreed to in third quarter 2013, FHN s interests in these securitizations will decline substantially.

On-Balance Sheet Consumer Loan Securitizations. FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its consumer lending operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIEs as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. The nonconsolidated proprietary residential mortgage securitizations as of September 30, 2013 consist of two HELOC securitization trusts that have entered a rapid amortization period and for which FHN is obligated to provide subordinated funding. These securitization trusts are not consolidated by FHN as it is not the Master Servicer for the securitizations. FHN s holding of a unilateral call right to reclaim specific assets in the trusts precludes sale accounting for the related securitization transactions. Thus, even though FHN is not the Master Servicer, the related transactions are accounted for as secured borrowings, with the associated loans and secured debt remaining within FHN s Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Holdings & Short Positions in Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities. FHN holds securities issued by various agency securitization trusts. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities economic performance. FHN could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts based on the nature of the trusts activities and the size of FHN s

holdings. However, FHN is solely a holder of the trusts securities and does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance, and is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)

Commercial Loan Troubled Debt Restructurings. For certain troubled commercial loans, FTBNA restructures the terms of the borrower s debt in an effort to increase the probability of receipt of amounts contractually due. Following a troubled debt restructuring, the borrower entity typically meets the definition of a VIE as the initial determination of whether an entity is a VIE must be reconsidered and economic events have proven that the entity s equity is not sufficient to permit it to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or a restructuring of the terms of its financing. As FTBNA does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact such troubled commercial borrowers operations, it is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where, based on the size of the financing provided, FTBNA is exposed to potentially significant benefits and losses of the borrowing entity. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the borrowing entities beyond certain funding commitments established upon restructuring of the terms of the debt that allows for preparation of the underlying collateral for sale.

Managed Discretionary Trusts. FHN serves as manager over certain discretionary trusts, for which it makes investment decisions on behalf of the trusts beneficiaries in return for a reasonable management fee. The trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power, through voting rights or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities economic performance. The management fees FHN receives are not considered variable interests in the trusts as all of the requirements related to permitted levels of decision maker fees are met. Therefore, the VIEs are not consolidated by FHN as it is not the trusts primary beneficiary. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

The following table summarizes FHN s nonconsolidated VIEs as of September 30, 2013:

	Maximum	Liability	
(Dollars in thousands)	Loss Exposure	Recognized	Classification
Туре			
Low income housing partnerships (a) (b)	\$ 53,123	\$	Other assets
New market tax credit LLCs (b) (c)	23,014		Other assets
Small issuer trust preferred holdings (d)	402,307		Loans, net of unearned income
On-balance sheet trust preferred securitization	54,314	59,860	(e)
Proprietary trust preferred issuances (f)	N/A	206,186	Term borrowings
Proprietary and agency residential mortgage			
securitizations	409,429		(g)
On-balance sheet consumer loan			
securitizations	21,084	235,874	(h)
Holdings of agency mortgage-backed			
securities (d)	3,398,870		(i)
Short positions in agency mortgage-backed			
securities (f)	N/A	1,545	Trading liabilities
Commercial loan troubled debt restructurings			-
(j) (k)	73,127		Loans, net of unearned income
Managed discretionary trusts (f)	N/A	N/A	N/A

(a) Maximum loss exposure represents \$46.1 million of current investments and \$7.0 million of contractual funding commitments. Only the current investment amount is included in Other assets.

(b) A liability is not recognized as investments are written down over the life of the related tax credit.

- (c) Maximum loss exposure represents current investment balance. Of the initial investment, \$18.0 million was funded through loans from community development enterprises.
- (d) Maximum loss exposure represents the value of current investments. A liability is not recognized as FHN is solely a holder of the trusts securities.
- (e) Includes \$112.5 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income, and \$1.7 million classified as Trading securities which are offset by \$59.9 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (f) No exposure to loss due to the nature of FHN s involvement.

(g)

Table of Contents

Includes \$72.9 million and \$31.8 million classified as MSR and \$7.3 million and \$8.4 million classified as Trading securities related to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitizations, respectively. Aggregate servicing advances of \$289.1 million are classified as Other assets.

- (h) Includes \$257.0 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income which are offset by \$235.9 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (i) Includes \$498.3 million classified as Trading securities and \$2.9 billion classified as Securities available-for-sale.
- (j) Maximum loss exposure represents \$70.2 million of current receivables and \$2.9 million of contractual funding commitments on loans related to commercial borrowers involved in a troubled debt restructuring.
- (k) A liability is not recognized as the loans are the only variable interests held in the troubled commercial borrowers operations.

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)

The following table summarizes FHN s nonconsolidated VIEs as of September 30, 2012:

	Maximum	Liability	
(Dollars in thousands)	Loss Exposure	Recognized	Classification
Туре			
Low income housing partnerships (a) (b)	\$ 57,030	\$	Other assets
New market tax credit LLCs (b) (c)	20,312		Other assets
Small issuer trust preferred holdings (d)	446,045		Loans, net of unearned income
On-balance sheet trust preferred securitization	60,662	53,511	(e)
Proprietary trust preferred issuances (f)	N/A	206,186	Term borrowings
Proprietary and agency residential mortgage			
securitizations	425,741		(g)
On-balance sheet consumer loan			
securitizations	6,654	302,633	(h)
Holdings of agency mortgage-backed			
securities (d)	3,462,956		(i)
Short positions in agency mortgage-backed			
securities (f)	N/A	13,221	Trading liabilities
Commercial loan troubled debt restructurings			-
(j) (k)	95,681		Loans, net of unearned income
Managed discretionary trusts (f)	N/A	N/A	N/A

- (a) Maximum loss exposure represents \$56.5 million of current investments and \$.5 million of contractual funding commitments. Only the current investment amount is included in Other assets.
- (b) A liability is not recognized as investments are written down over the life of the related tax credit.
- (c) Maximum loss exposure represents current investment balance. Of the initial investment \$15.3 million was funded through loans from community development enterprises.
- (d) Maximum loss exposure represents the value of current investments. A liability is not recognized as FHN is solely a holder of the trusts securities.
- (e) Includes \$112.5 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income, and \$1.7 million classified as Trading securities which are offset by \$53.7 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (f) No exposure to loss due to the nature of FHN s involvement.
- (g) Includes \$71.5 million and \$34.4 million classified as MSR and \$8.4 million and \$10.8 million classified as Trading securities related to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitizations, respectively. Aggregate servicing advances of \$300.6 million are classified as Other assets.
- (h) Includes \$309.3 million as Loans, net of unearned income which are offset by \$302.6 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (i) Includes \$636.8 million classified as Trading securities and \$2.8 billion classified as Securities available-for-sale.
- (j) Maximum loss exposure represents \$94.3 million of current receivables and \$1.4 million of contractual funding commitments on loans related to commercial borrowers involved in a troubled debt restructuring.
- (k) A liability is not recognized as the loans are the only variable interests held in the troubled commercial borrowers operations.

See Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for information regarding FHN s repurchase exposure for claims that FHN breached its standard representations and warranties made in connection with the sale of loans to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitization trusts.

Note 15 Derivatives

In the normal course of business, FHN utilizes various financial instruments (including derivative contracts and credit-related agreements) through its legacy mortgage servicing operations, capital markets, and risk management operations, as part of its risk management strategy and as a means to meet customers needs. These instruments are subject to credit and market risks in excess of the amount recorded on the balance sheet as required by GAAP. The contractual or notional amounts of these financial instruments do not necessarily represent credit or market risk. However, they can be used to measure the extent of involvement in various types of financial instruments. Controls and monitoring procedures for these instruments have been established and are routinely reevaluated. The Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) monitors the usage and effectiveness of these financial instruments.

Credit risk represents the potential loss that may occur if a party to a transaction fails to perform according to the terms of the contract. The measure of credit exposure is the replacement cost of contracts with a positive fair value. FHN manages credit risk by entering into financial instrument transactions through national exchanges, primary dealers or approved counterparties, and using mutual margining and master netting agreements whenever possible to limit potential exposure. FHN also maintains collateral posting requirements with certain counterparties to limit credit risk. On September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, FHN had \$111.7 million and \$197.4 million of cash receivables and \$90.1 million and \$153.3 million of cash payables related to collateral posting under master netting arrangements, inclusive of collateral posted related to contracts with adjustable collateral posting thresholds and over collateralized positions, with derivative counterparties. With exchange-traded contracts, the credit risk is limited to the clearinghouse used. For non-exchange traded instruments, credit risk may occur when there is a gain in the fair value of the financial instrument and the counterparty fails to perform according to the terms of the contract and/or when the collateral proves to be of insufficient value. See additional discussion regarding master netting agreements and collateral posting requirements later in this note under the heading Master Netting and Similar Agreements. Market risk represents the potential loss due to the decrease in the value of a financial instrument caused primarily by changes in interest rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds, or the prices of debt instruments. FHN manages market risk by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken. FHN continually measures this risk through the use of models that measure value-at-risk and earnings-at-risk.

Derivative Instruments. FHN enters into various derivative contracts both in a dealer capacity, to facilitate customer transactions, and as a risk management tool. Where contracts have been created for customers, FHN enters into transactions with dealers to offset its risk exposure. Contracts with dealers that require central clearing are novated to a clearing agent who becomes FHN s counterparty. Derivatives are also used as a risk management tool to hedge FHN s exposure to changes in interest rates or other defined market risks.

Forward contracts are over-the-counter contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specified price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Futures contracts are exchange-traded contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specified price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Interest rate option contracts give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a specified quantity of a financial instrument, at a specified price, during a specified period of time. Caps and floors are options that are linked to a notional principal amount and an underlying indexed interest rate. Interest rate swaps involve the exchange of interest payments at specified intervals between two parties without the exchange of any underlying principal. Swaptions are options on interest rate swaps that give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to enter into an interest rate swap agreement during a specified period of time.

Legacy Mortgage Servicing Operations

Retained Interests

FHN revalues MSR to current fair value each month with changes in fair value included in servicing income in Mortgage banking noninterest income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. In third quarter 2013, in conjunction with the agreement to sell legacy mortgage servicing, FHN removed all hedges associated with MSR and interest-only securities. FHN hedged the MSR to minimize the effects of loss in value of MSR associated with increased prepayment activity that generally results from declining interest rates. In a rising interest rate environment, the value of the MSR generally will increase while the value of the hedge instruments will decline. FHN entered into interest rate contracts (potentially including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) to hedge against the effects of changes in fair value of its MSR. Substantially all capitalized MSR were hedged for economic purposes.

FHN utilized derivatives as an economic hedge (potentially including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) to protect the value of its interest-only securities that change in value inversely to the movement of interest rates. Interest-only securities are included in Trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives and the hedged interest-only securities are recognized currently in earnings in Mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

The following table summarizes FHN s derivatives associated with legacy mortgage servicing activities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities	Gain Three Months Ende September 30, 2013	 ,
Retained Interests Hedging					
Hedging Instruments:					
Forwards and Futures	\$	\$	\$	\$ 159	\$ (3,047)
Interest Rate Swaps and Swaptions	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,305	\$ (4,275)
Hedged Items:					
Mortgage Servicing Rights	N/A	\$114,318	N/A	\$ 9,844	\$ 20,174
Other Retained Interests	N/A	\$ 15,714	N/A	\$ 1,628	\$ 3,422

			Т	Gain hree Months Ende September 30,	 ths Ended iber 30,
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities	2012	12
Retained Interests Hedging					
Hedging Instruments:					
Forwards and Futures	\$ 20,000	\$ 542	\$	\$ 207	\$ 9,839
Interest Rate Swaps and Swaptions	\$ 1,837,600	\$ 6,693	\$ 4,282	\$ 4,580	\$ 5,498
Hedged Items:					
Mortgage Servicing Rights	N/A	\$117,522	N/A	\$ (1,279)	\$ (379)
Other Retained Interests	N/A	\$ 19,201	N/A	\$ 978	\$ 425
Capital Markets					

Capital markets trades U.S. Treasury, U.S. Agency, mortgage-backed, corporate and municipal fixed income securities, and other securities principally for distribution to customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts. Capital markets also enters into interest rate contracts, including caps, swaps, and floors, for its customers. In addition, capital markets enters into futures and option contracts to economically hedge interest rate risk associated with a portion of its securities inventory. These transactions are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized currently in Capital markets noninterest income. Related assets and liabilities are recorded on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as Derivative assets and Derivative liabilities. The FTN Financial Risk Committee and the Credit Risk Management Committee collaborate to mitigate credit risk related to these transactions. Credit risk is controlled through credit approvals, risk control limits, and ongoing monitoring procedures. Total trading revenues were \$54.4 million and \$74.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$180.9 million and \$242.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and non-derivative financial instruments, and are included in Capital markets noninterest income.

The following table summarizes FHN s derivatives associated with capital markets trading activities as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	September 30, 2013					
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities			
Customer Interest Rate Contracts	\$ 1,742,060	\$ 88,154	\$ 6,880			
Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts	1,742,060	6,880	88,154			
Forwards and Futures Purchased	1,664,813	208	9,296			
Forwards and Futures Sold	2,038,677	16,669	474			

	September 30, 2012						
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities				
Customer Interest Rate Contracts	\$ 1,439,306	\$ 137,958	\$ 923				
Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts	1,439,306	923	137,958				
Option Contracts Purchased	5,000	1					
Forwards and Futures Purchased	4,361,583	1,173	10,662				
Forwards and Futures Sold	4,706,866	13,147	1,762				

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

Interest Rate Risk Management

FHN s ALCO focuses on managing market risk by controlling and limiting earnings volatility attributable to changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk exists to the extent that interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have different maturity or repricing characteristics. FHN uses derivatives, including swaps, caps, options, and collars, that are designed to moderate the impact on earnings as interest rates change. Interest paid or received for swaps utilized by FHN to hedge the fair value of long term debt was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liabilities whose risk is being managed. FHN s interest rate risk management policy is to use derivatives to hedge interest rate risk or market value of assets or liabilities, not to speculate. In addition, FHN has entered into certain interest rate swaps and caps as a part of a product offering to commercial customers that includes customer derivatives paired with offsetting market instruments that, when completed, are designed to mitigate interest rate risk. These contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting and are measured at fair value with gains or losses included in current earnings in Noninterest expense on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

FHN has entered into pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain term borrowings totaling \$554.0 million and \$904.0 million on September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These swaps have been accounted for as fair value hedges under the shortcut method. The balance sheet amount of these swaps was \$46.1 million and \$81.3 million in Derivative assets on September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

FHN has designated a derivative transaction in a hedging strategy to manage interest rate risk on its \$500 million noncallable senior debt maturing in December 2015. This derivative qualifies for hedge accounting under ASC 815-20 using the long-haul method. FHN entered into a pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swap to hedge the interest rate risk on this debt. The balance sheet amount of this swap was \$20.0 million and \$29.5 million in Derivative assets as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There was no ineffectiveness related to this hedge.

FHN designates derivative transactions in hedging strategies to manage interest rate risk on subordinated debt related to its trust preferred securities. These qualify for hedge accounting under ASC 815-20 using the long-haul method. FHN hedges the interest rate risk of the subordinated debt totaling \$200 million using pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps. The balance sheet amount of these swaps was \$19.6 million in Derivative liabilities on September 30, 2013 and \$.4 million in Derivative assets on September 30, 2012. There was no ineffectiveness related to these hedges. In April and October 2012, the counterparty called the swaps associated with the \$200 million of subordinated debt. FHN discontinued hedge accounting and the cumulative basis adjustments to the associated subordinated debt are being amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over its remaining term. FHN entered into a new interest rate swap to hedge the interest rate risk associated with this debt.

The following tables summarize FHN s derivatives associated with interest rate risk management activities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

			Gain	ins/(Losses)			
S	eptember 30, 201	3					
NT /1 N		.		Sep	tember 30,		
Notional	Assets	Liabilities	30, 2013		2013		
\$ 801,260	\$ 34,703	\$ 2,452	\$ (1,464)	\$	(22,673)		
\$ 817,901	\$ 2,453	\$ 35,203	\$ 1,465	\$	23,374		
\$ 1,254,000	\$ 66,049	\$ 19,622	\$ (6,430)	\$	(48,777)		
N/A	N/A	\$ 1,254,000(0	c) \$ 6,430(d)	\$	48,777(d)		
	Notional \$ 801,260 \$ 817,901 \$ 1,254,000	Notional Assets \$ 801,260 \$ 34,703 \$ 817,901 \$ 2,453 \$ 1,254,000 \$ 66,049	\$ 801,260 \$ 34,703 \$ 2,452 \$ 817,901 \$ 2,453 \$ 35,203 \$ 1,254,000 \$ 66,049 \$ 19,622	September 30, 2013 Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 Notional Assets Liabilities 30, 2013 \$ 801,260 \$ 34,703 \$ 2,452 \$ (1,464) \$ 817,901 \$ 2,453 \$ 35,203 \$ 1,465 \$ 1,254,000 \$ 66,049 \$ 19,622 \$ (6,430)	Notional Assets Liabilities September 30, 2013 Sep \$ 801,260 \$ 34,703 \$ 2,452 \$ (1,464) \$ \$ 817,901 \$ 2,453 \$ 35,203 \$ 1,465 \$ \$ \$ 1,254,000 \$ 66,049 \$ 19,622 \$ (6,430) \$		

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

S Notional	eptember 30, 201 Assets	2 Liabilities	Three Months Ende	dNine N	Ionths Ended
\$ 975,213	\$ 61,984	\$ 362	\$ (3,971)	\$	(7,554)
\$ 975,213	\$ 362	\$ 63,384	\$ 4,071	\$	8,254
\$ 1,604,000	\$111,240	\$	\$ (2,481)	\$	(15,642)
N/A	N/A	\$ 1,604,000(c) \$ 2,481(d)	\$	15,642(d)
	Notional \$ 975,213 \$ 975,213 \$ 1,604,000	Notional Assets \$ 975,213 \$ 61,984 \$ 975,213 \$ 362 \$ 1,604,000 \$ 111,240	Notional Assets Liabilities \$ 975,213 \$ 61,984 \$ 362 \$ 975,213 \$ 362 \$ 63,384 \$ 1,604,000 \$ 111,240 \$	September 30, 2012 Three Months Ender Notional Assets Liabilities September 30, 2012 \$ 975,213 \$ 61,984 \$ 362 \$ (3,971) \$ 975,213 \$ 362 \$ 63,384 \$ 4,071 \$ 1,604,000 \$ 111,240 \$ \$ (2,481)	Notional Assets Liabilities September 30, 2012 September 30, 2012

(a) Gains/losses included in the Other expense section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

(b) Gains/losses included in the All other income and commissions section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

(c) Represents par value of term borrowings being hedged.

(d) Represents gains and losses attributable to changes in fair value due to interest rate risk as designated in ASC 815-20 hedging relationships.

FHN hedges held-to-maturity trust preferred loans with a principal balance of \$6.5 million and \$128.8 million as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which have an initial fixed rate term of five years before conversion to a floating rate. FHN has entered into pay fixed, receive floating interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk associated with this initial five-year term. These hedge relationships qualify as fair value hedges under ASC 815-20. The impact of these swaps was \$1.1 million and \$3.4 million in Derivative liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest income of the assets whose risk is being hedged. Basis adjustments remaining at the end of the hedge term are being amortized as an adjustment to interest income over the remaining life of the loans. Gains or losses are included in Other income and commissions on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

The following tables summarize FHN s derivative activities associated with held-to-maturity trust preferred loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

		September 30, 2013		Three Months Ended		onths Ended
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities	September 30, 2013		ember 30, 2013
Loan Portfolio Hedging	Notional	ASSUS	Liabilities	2015		2013
Hedging Instruments:						
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 6,500	N/A	\$ 1,091	\$ 27	\$	951
Hedged Items:						
Trust Preferred Loans (a)	N/A	\$ 6,500(b)	N/A	\$ (25)(c)	\$	(946)(c)
		September 30, 2012		Gains Three Months Ended September	E	e Months Ended ember 30,
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities	30, 2012		2012
Loan Portfolio Hedging						
Hedging Instruments:						
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 128,750	N/A	\$ 3,411	\$ 1,625	\$	5,398
Hedged Items:						
Trust Preferred Loans (a)	N/A	\$128,750(b)	N/A	\$ (1,601)(c)	\$	(5,356)(c)

- (a) Assets included in the Loans, net of unearned income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.
- (b) Represents principal balance being hedged.
- (c) Represents gains and losses attributable to changes in fair value due to interest rate risk as designated in ASC 815-20 hedging relationships.

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

Other Derivatives

In conjunction with the sales of a portion of its Visa Class B shares, FHN and the purchaser entered into derivative transactions whereby FHN will make or receive cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. As of September 30, 2013, the derivative liabilities associated with the sales of Visa Class B shares were \$2.7 million compared to \$2.3 million as of September 30, 2012. See the Visa Matters section of Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for more information regarding FHN s Visa shares.

FHN utilizes cross currency swaps and cross currency interest rate swaps to economically hedge its exposure to foreign currency risk and interest rate risk associated with non-U.S. dollar denominated loans. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, these loans were valued at \$.6 million and \$.7 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, the balance sheet amount and the gains/losses associated with these derivatives were not material.

Master Netting and Similar Arrangements

As previously discussed, FHN uses master netting agreements, mutual margining agreements and collateral posting requirements to minimize credit risk on derivative contracts. Master netting and similar agreements are used when counterparties have multiple derivatives contracts that allow for a right of setoff, meaning that a counterparty may net offsetting positions and collateral with the same counterparty under the contract to determine a net receivable or payable. The following discussion provides an overview of these arrangements which may vary due to the derivative type and market in which a derivative transaction is executed.

Interest rate derivatives are subject to agreements consistent with those of the International Swap and Derivatives Association (ISDA). Currently, all interest rate derivative contracts are entered into as over-the-counter transactions and collateral posting requirements are based on the net asset or liability position with each respective counterparty. For contracts that require central clearing, novation to a clearing agent occurs and collateral is posted. Cash collateral received (posted) for interest rate derivatives is recognized as a liability (asset) on FHN s balance sheet.

Interest rate derivatives with smaller financial institutions typically require posting of collateral by the counterparty to FHN. This collateral is subject to a threshold with daily adjustments based upon changes in the level or fair value of the derivative position. Positions and related collateral can be netted in the event of default. Collateral pledged by a counterparty is typically cash or securities. The securities pledged as collateral are not recognized within FHN s Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Interest rate derivatives associated with lending arrangements share the collateral with the related loan(s). The derivative and loan positions may be netted in the event of default. For disclosure purposes, the entire collateral amount is allocated to the loan.

Interest rate derivatives with larger financial institutions entered into prior to required central clearing typically contain provisions whereby the collateral posting thresholds under the agreements adjust based on the credit ratings of both counterparties. If the credit rating of FHN and/or FTBNA is lowered, FHN could be required to post additional collateral with the counterparties. Conversely, if the credit rating of FHN and/or FTBNA is increased, FHN could have collateral released and be required to post less collateral in the future. Also, if a counterparty s credit ratings were to decrease, FHN and/or FTBNA could request the posting of additional collateral; whereas if a counterparty s credit ratings were to increase, the counterparty could request the release of excess collateral. Collateral for these arrangements is adjusted daily based on changes in the net fair value position with each counterparty.

The net fair value, determined by individual counterparty, of all derivative instruments with adjustable collateral posting thresholds was \$144.5 million of assets and \$110.3 million of liabilities on September 30, 2013, and \$256.3 million of assets and \$187.5 million of liabilities on September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, FHN had received collateral of \$213.2 million and \$288.8 million and posted collateral of \$102.5 million and \$188.2 million, respectively, in the normal course of business related to these agreements.

Certain agreements entered into prior to required central clearing also contain accelerated termination provisions, inclusive of the right of offset, if a counterparty s credit rating falls below a specified level. If a counterparty s debt rating (including FHN s and FTBNA s) were to fall below these minimums, these provisions would be triggered, and the counterparties could terminate the agreements and request immediate settlement of all derivative contracts under the agreements. The net fair value, determined by individual counterparty, of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent accelerated termination provisions was \$144.5 million of assets and \$27.1 million of liabilities on September 30, 2013, and \$255.8 million of assets and \$41.9 million of liabilities on September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, FHN had

received collateral of \$213.2 million and \$288.8 million and posted collateral of \$24.4 million and \$46.4 million, respectively, in the normal course of business related to these contracts.

Capital Markets buys and sells various types of securities for its customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts, and are generally not subject to master netting agreements. Forwards purchased and sold through banking activities typically consisted of mortgage to be announced (TBA) trades for which FHN utilized a clearinghouse for settlement. In the event of default, all open positions can be offset. For futures and options, FHN transacts through a third party, and the transactions are subject to margin and collateral maintenance requirements. In the event of default, open positions can be offset along with the associated collateral.

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

For this disclosure, FHN considers the impact of master netting and other similar agreements which allow FHN to settle all contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative asset or liability position with the related securities and cash collateral. The application of the collateral cannot reduce the net derivative asset or liability position below zero, and therefore any excess collateral is not reflected in the tables below.

The following table provides a detail of derivative assets and collateral received as presented on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of September 30:

						Gross amounts not offset in the Statement of Condition			
			Gross amounts offset in	Net	amounts of assets	Derivative			
	Gross amounts		the	e presented		liabilities			
		of	Statement	in the Statement		available			
	r	ecognized	of	of	Condition	for	Collateral		
(Dollars in thousands)		assets	Condition		(a)	offset	Received	Net amount	
Derivative assets:									
2013 (b)	\$	198,238	\$	\$	198,238	\$ (36,770)	\$ (161,468)	\$	
2012 (b)		319,702			319,702	(20,218)	(273,730)	25,754	

(a) Included in Derivative Assets on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, \$16.9 million and \$14.3 million, respectively, of derivative assets (primarily capital markets forward contracts) have been excluded from these tables because they are generally not subject to master netting or similar agreements.

(b) 2013 is comprised entirely of interest rate derivative contracts. 2012 includes \$319.2 million of interest rate derivative contracts and \$.5 million of forwards and futures contracts.

The following table provides a detail of derivative liabilities and collateral pledged as presented on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of September 30:

Gross amounts not offset in

the Statement of Condition

(Dollars in thousands) Derivative liabilities:	Gross amounts of recognized liabilities	Gross amounts offset in the Statement of Condition	Net amounts of liabilities presented in the Statement of Condition (a)	Derivative assets available for offset	Collateral pledged	Net amount
2013 (b)	\$ 153,403	\$	\$ 153,403	\$ (36,770)	\$ (109,079)	\$ 7,554
2012 (b)	210,320		210,320	(20,218)	(185,230)	4,872

Included in Derivative Liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, \$12.5 million and \$14.8 million, respectively, of derivative liabilities (primarily capital markets forward contracts) have been excluded from these tables because they are generally not subject to master netting or similar agreements.

(b) 2013 and 2012 includes \$153.4 million and \$210.3 million, respectively, of interest rate derivative contracts.

Note 16 Master Netting and Similar Agreements Repurchase, Reverse Repurchase, and Securities Borrowing and Lending Transactions

For repurchase, reverse repurchase and securities borrowing and lending transactions, FHN and each counterparty have the ability to offset all open positions and related collateral in the event of default. Due to the nature of these transactions, the value of the collateral for each transaction approximates the value of the corresponding receivable or payable. For repurchase agreements within FHN s capital markets business, transactions are collateralized by securities which are delivered on the settlement date and are maintained throughout the term of the transaction. For FHN s repurchase agreements through banking activities, securities are typically pledged at the time of the transaction and not released until settlement. For asset positions, the collateral is not included on FHN s Consolidated Statements of Condition. For liability positions, securities collateral pledged by FHN is generally represented within FHN s trading or available-for-sale securities portfolios.

For this disclosure, FHN considers the impact of master netting and other similar agreements that allow FHN to settle all contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net asset or liability position with the related securities collateral. The application of the collateral cannot reduce the net asset or liability position below zero, and therefore any excess collateral is not reflected in the tables below.

The following table provides a detail of Securities purchased under agreements to resell as presented on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition and collateral pledged by FHN as of September 30:

				Gross amounts not offset in the Statement of Condition					
	Gross amounts of recognized	Gross amounts offset in the Statement of	Net amounts of assets presented in the Statement	Offsetting securities sold under agreements to	Securities collateral (not recognized on FHN s Statement				
(Dollars in thousands)	assets	Condition	of Condition	repurchase	of Condition)	Net amount			
Securities purchased under agreements to resell:									
2013	\$ 576,355	\$	\$ 576,355	\$ (30,584)	\$ (537,810)	\$ 7,961			
2012	517,263		517,263	(2,068)	(509,707)	5,488			

The following table provides a detail of Securities sold under agreements to repurchase as presented on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition and collateral pledged by counterparties as of September 30:

					oss amounts not offset in the Statement of Condition				
	Gross amounts		Gross amounts offset in the Statement	1	amounts of iabilities presented in the	Offsetting securities			
	of	recognized	of	Statement		purchased under	Securities		
(Dollars in thousands)]	liabilities	Condition	of	of Condition agreements to a		Collateral	Net a	mount
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase:						-			
2013	\$	427,232	\$	\$	427,232	\$ (30,584)	\$ (396,639)	\$	9
2012		443,370	·		443,370	(2,068)	(441,273)		29

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities

FHN groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. This hierarchy requires FHN to maximize the use of observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. Each fair value measurement is placed into the proper level based on the lowest level of significant input. These levels are:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect management s estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques. Transfers between fair value levels are recognized at the end of the fiscal quarter in which the associated change in inputs occurs.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents the balance of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013:

	September 30, 2013			
(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Trading securities capital markets:				
U.S. treasuries	\$	\$ 86,986	\$	\$ 86,986
Government agency issued MBS		407,876		407,876
Government agency issued CMO		90,420		90,420
Other U.S. government agencies		149,867		149,867
States and municipalities		17,828		17,828
Trading Loans		145,505		145,505
Corporate and other debt		427,559	5	427,564
Equity, mutual funds, and other		1,374	U	1,374
Equity, mutual rands, and other		1,571		1,571
Total trading securities capital markets		1,327,415	5	1,327,420
Trading securities mortgage banking:				
Principal only			5,096	5,096
Interest only			10,618	10,618
			- ,	- /
Total trading securities mortgage banking			15,714	15,714
Loans held-for-sale			229,760	229,760
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. treasuries		39,996		39,996
Government agency issued MBS		875,452		875,452
Government agency issued CMO		2,025,121		2,025,121
Other U.S. government agencies			2,528	2,528
States and municipalities		13,655	1,500	15,155
Venture capital		,	4,300	4,300
Equity, mutual funds, and other	20,267		,	20,267
Total securities available-for-sale	,	2.054.224	0 220	
Total securities available-tot-sale	20,267	2,954,224	8,328	2,982,819
Mortgage servicing rights			116,686	116,686
Other assets:				
Deferred compensation assets	23,193			23,193
Derivatives, forwards and futures	16,877			16,877
Derivatives, interest rate contracts		198,239		198,239
Total other assets	40,070	198,239		238,309
Total assets	\$ 60,337	\$ 4,479,878	\$ 370,493	\$ 4,910,708
Trading liabilities capital markets:	.			
U.S. treasuries	\$	\$ 312,315	\$	\$ 312,315
Government agency issued MBS		521		521

Government agency issued CMO		1,024		1,024
Other U.S. government agencies		30,253		30,253
States and municipalities		335		335
Corporate and other debt		237,953		237,953
Equity, mutual funds, and other		3,568		3,568
Total trading liabilities capital markets		585,969		585,969
Other short-term borrowings			11,715	11,715
Other liabilities:				
Derivatives, forwards and futures	9,770			9,770
Derivatives, interest rate contracts		153,402		153,402
Derivatives, other		1	2,745	2,746
Total other liabilities	9,770	153,403	2,745	165,918
	,,,,,	100,100	2,713	100,910
Total liabilities	\$ 9,770	\$ 739,372	\$ 14,460	\$ 763,602
	+ >,	÷,	+ - 1,100	+

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

The following table presents the balance of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Septemb Level 2	Total	
Trading securities capital markets:	Level I	Level 2	Level 3	Total
U.S. treasuries	\$	\$ 124,722	\$	\$ 124,722
Government agency issued MBS	Ψ	564,425	Ψ	564,425
Government agency issued MDS Government agency issued CMO		72,340		72,340
Other U.S. government agencies		82,736		82,736
States and municipalities		31,817		31,817
Corporate and other debt		308,309	5	308,314
Equity, mutual funds, and other		811	5	508,514 811
Equity, initial funds, and other		011		011
Total trading securities capital markets		1,185,160	5	1,185,165
Trading securities mortgage banking:				
Principal only		5,462		5,462
Interest only			13,739	13,739
Total trading securities mortgage banking		5,462	13,739	19,201
6		- , -	-)	
Loans held-for-sale		10,996	213,639	224,635
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. treasuries		54,996		54,996
Government agency issued MBS		1,271,450		1,271,450
Government agency issued CMO		1,554,741		1,554,741
Other U.S. government agencies			4,202	4,202
States and municipalities		16,470	1,500	17,970
Corporate and other debt	517			517
Venture capital			9,000	9,000
Equity, mutual funds, and other	13,277			13,277
Total securities available-for-sale	13,794	2,897,657	14,702	2,926,153
Mortgage servicing rights			120,537	120,537
Other assets:				
Deferred compensation assets	23,301			23,301
Derivatives, forwards and futures	14,862			14,862
Derivatives, interest rate contracts		319,163		319,163
Total other assets	38,163	319,163		357,326
Total assets	\$ 51,957	\$ 4,418,438	\$ 362,622	\$ 4,833,017
Trading liabilities capital markets:				
U.S. treasuries	\$	\$ 350,434	\$	\$ 350,434
Government agency issued MBS		5,125		5,125
Government agency issued CMO		8,096		8,096
Other U.S. government agencies		4,439		4,439

	1 100		1 100
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1,109
	146,750		146,750
	1,017		1,017
	516,970		516,970
		11,585	11,585
12,424			12,424
	210,320		210,320
		2,340	2,340
12,424	210,320	2,340	225,084
,	,		
\$ 12,424	\$ 727,290	\$ 13,925	\$ 753,639
	12,424	516,970 12,424 210,320 12,424 210,320	146,750 1,017 516,970 11,585 12,424 210,320 2,340 12,424 210,320 2,340

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Changes in Recurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

			Three Mont Securities avai	hs Ended Septe lable-for-sale	mber 30, 2013 Mortgage		Other
	Trading	Loans held-	Investment	Venture	servicing	Net derivative	short-term
(Dollars in thousands)	securities	for-sale	portfolio	Capital	rights, net	liabilities	borrowings
Balance on July 1, 2013	\$ 15,877	\$ 235,080	\$ 4,354	\$ 4,300	\$ 113,853	\$ (2,195)	\$ (12,349)
Total net gains/(losses) included in:							
Net income	1,944	(1,805)			8,932	(871)	634
Other comprehensive income /(loss)			(17)				
Purchases		12,338					
Issuances							
Sales							
Settlements	(2,102)	(11,390)	(309)		(6,099)	321	
Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3		(4,463)(c)					
Balance on September 30, 2013	\$ 15,719	\$ 229,760	\$ 4,028	\$ 4,300	\$ 116,686	\$ (2,745)	\$ (11,715)
Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income	\$ 1,540(a)	\$ (1,805)(a)	\$	\$ (b)) \$ 9,107(a)	\$ (871)(d)	\$ 634(a)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012						
			Securities avai	ilable-for-sale	Mortgage		Other
	Trading	Loans held-	Investment	Venture	servicing	Net derivative	short-term
(Dollars in thousands)	securities	for-sale	portfolio	Capital	rights, net	liabilities	borrowings
Balance on July 1, 2012	\$ 14,905	\$ 214,560	\$ 6,162	\$ 9,000	\$ 129,291	\$ (3,505)	\$ (12,439)
Total net gains/(losses) included in:							
Net income	1,068	(2,799)			(2,098)	10	854
Other comprehensive income /(loss)			(25)				
Purchases		23,561					
Issuances							
Sales							
Settlements	(2,229)	(11,107)	(435)		(6,656)	1,155	
Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3		(10,576)(c)					
Balance on September 30, 2012	\$ 13,744	\$ 213,639	\$ 5.702	\$ 9,000	\$ 120.537	\$ (2,340)	\$ (11,585)
	+ , ,	+,	+ -,	+ >,	+ •,• • •	+ (_,)	+ (;===)
Net unrealized gains/(losses) included							
in net income	\$ 707(a)	\$ (2,799)(a)	\$	\$ (b) $(2,181)(a)$	\$ 10(d)	\$ 854(a)
	$\phi = 707(a)$	φ (2,799)(a)	ψ	φ (0	y = (2,101)(a)	φ 10(u)	$\psi = 0.04(a)$

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Primarily included in mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
- (b) Represents recognized gains and losses attributable to venture capital investments classified within securities available-for-sale that are included in securities gains/(losses) in noninterest income.
- (c) Transfers out of recurring level 3 balances reflect movements out of loans held-for-sale and into real estate acquired by foreclosure (level 3 nonrecurring).
- (d) Included in Other expense.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Changes in Recurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 Securities available-for-sale Mortgage					Other	
	Trading	Loans held-	Investment	Venture	servicing	Net derivative	short-term
(Dollars in thousands)	securities	for-sale	portfolio	Capital	rights, net	liabilities	borrowings
Balance on January 1, 2013	\$ 17,992	\$ 221,094	\$ 5,253	\$ 4,300	\$114,311	\$ (2,175)	\$ (11,156)
Total net gains/(losses) included in:							
Net income	4,474	(3,940)			20,267	(1,522)	(559)
Other comprehensive income /(loss)			(93)				
Purchases		49,847					
Issuances							
Sales							
Settlements	(6,747)	(25,990)	(1,132)		(17,892)	952	
Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3		(11,251)(c)					
Balance on September 30, 2013	\$ 15,719	\$ 229,760	\$ 4,028	\$ 4,300	\$ 116,686	\$ (2,745)	\$ (11,715)
Net unrealized gains/(losses) included							
in net income	\$ 3,127(a)	\$ (3,940)(a)	\$	\$ (b) \$ 20,424(a)	\$ (1,523)(d)	\$ (559)(a)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Securities						
			available		Mortgage		Other
	Trading	Loans held-	Investment	Venture	servicing	Net derivative	short-term
(Dollars in thousands)	securities	for-sale	portfolio	Capital	rights, net	liabilities	borrowings
Balance on January 1, 2012	\$ 18,059	\$ 210,487	\$ 7,262	\$ 12,179	\$ 144,069	\$ (11,820)	\$ (14,833)
Total net gains/(losses) included in:							
Net income	2,847	(849)		5,071	(4,541)	(1,601)	3,248
Other comprehensive income /(loss)			(195)				
Purchases		41,313					
Issuances							
Sales				(8,250)			
Settlements	(7,162)	(21,733)	(1,365)		(18,991)	11,081	
Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3		(15,579)(c)					
Balance on September 30, 2012	\$ 13,744	\$ 213,639	\$ 5,702	\$ 9,000	\$ 120,537	\$ (2,340)	\$ (11,585)
Net unrealized gains/(losses)							
included in net income	\$ 1,595(a)	\$ (849)(a)	\$	\$ (1	b) $(3,800)(a)$	\$ (1,601)(d)	\$ 3,248(a)

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Primarily included in mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
- (b) Represents recognized gains and losses attributable to venture capital investments classified within securities available-for-sale that are included in securities gains/(losses) in noninterest income.
- (c) Transfers out of recurring loans held-for-sale level 3 balances reflect movements out of loans held-for-sale and into real estate acquired by foreclosure (level 3 nonrecurring).
- (d) Included in Other expense.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

In fourth quarter 2012, FHN determined that the level of market information on prepayment speeds and discount rates associated with its principal only trading securities had become more limited. In response, FHN increased its use of unobservable inputs and transferred these balances to Level 3.

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

From time to time, FHN may be required to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. These adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of LOCOM accounting or write-downs of individual assets. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis which were still held on the balance sheet at September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, the following tables provide the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment, the related carrying value, and the fair value adjustments recorded during the respective periods.

					Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
	Com	nin a value	at Cantamba	. 20. 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2013
	Can	ying value	e at September	50, 2015	Net	Net
(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	gains/(losses)	gains/(losses)
Loans held-for-sale first mortgages	\$	\$	\$ 9,632	\$ 9,632	\$ 364	\$ 304
Loans, net of unearned income (a)			85,665	85,665	(1,177)	(3,154)
Real estate acquired by foreclosure (b)			50,030	50,030	(327)	(3,279)
Other assets (c)			69,115	69,115	(1,153)	(4,199)

\$ (2,293) \$ (10,328)

					Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
	С	Carrying value	e at September	30, 2012	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2012
					Net	Net
(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	gains/(losses)	gains/(losses)
Loans held-for-sale SBAs	\$	\$ 37,429	\$	\$ 37,429	\$	\$ 15
Loans held-for-sale first mortgages			13,467	13,467	(671)	(355)
Loans, net of unearned income (a)			128,626	128,626	(44,608)	(61,958)
Real estate acquired by foreclosure (b)			50,589	50,589	(2,732)	(7,873)
Other assets (c)			76,822	76,822	(3,201)	(6,405)

\$ (51,212) \$ (76,576)

(a) Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are required to be based on the appraised value of the collateral. Write-downs on these loans are recognized as part of provision.

(b) Represents the fair value and related losses of foreclosed properties that were measured subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets. Balance excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.

(c) Represents tax credit investments.

In first quarter 2013 and third quarter 2012, FHN exercised clean-up calls on first lien mortgage proprietary securitization trusts. In accordance with accounting requirements, FHN initially recognized the associated loans at fair value. Fair value was primarily determined through reference to observable inputs, including current market prices for similar loans. Since these loans were from the 2003 vintage, adjustments were made for the higher yields associated with the loans in comparison to more currently originated loans being sold. This resulted in recognition of an immaterial premium for these transactions.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Level 3 Measurements

The following tables provide information regarding the unobservable inputs utilized in determining the fair value of level 3 recurring and non-recurring measurements as of September 30, 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in Thousands)

(Donars in Thousands)					
		ir Value at eptember			
		30,			
Level 3 Class	¢	2013	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Values Utilized
Trading securities mortgage	\$	15,714	Discounted cash flow	(a)	(a)
Loans held-for-sale mortgages		239,392	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speeds	6% - 10%
				Credit spreads	2% - 4%
				Delinquency adjustment	15% - 25% added to
				factor	credit spread
		4.000		Loss severity trends	50% - 60% of UPB
Venture capital investments		4,300	Industry comparables	Adjustment for minority interest and small business	40% - 50% discount
				status	
			Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	25% - 30%
				Earnings capitalization rate	20% - 25%
Mortgage servicing rights		116,686	Discounted cash flow	(a)	(a)
Other short-term borrowings		11,715	Discounted cash flow	(b)	(b)
Derivative liabilities, other		2,745	Discounted cash flow	Visa covered litigation	\$4.4 billion - \$5.0
				resolution amount	billion
				Probability of resolution scenarios	10% - 50%
				Time until resolution	6 - 30 months
Loans, net of unearned income (c)		85,665	Appraisals from comparable properties	Marketability adjustments for specific properties	0% - 10% of appraisal
			Other collateral	Borrowing base certificates	20% - 50% of gross
			valuations	adjustment	value
				Financial Statements/Auction Values	0% - 25% of reported
				adjustment	value
Real estate acquired by foreclosure (d)		50,030	Appraisals from	Adjustment for value	0% - 10% of appraisal
			comparable properties	changes since appraisal	
Other assets (e)		69,115	Discounted cash flow	C 11	0% -15% adjustment to
· ·				Adjustments to current sales	5
				yields for specific properties	vield
			Appraisals from	Marketability adjustments	0% - 25% of appraisal
			comparable properties	for specific properties	070 2570 or appraisar
			comparable properties	for specific properties	

(a) The unobservable inputs for Principal-only and Interest-only trading securities and MSR are discussed in Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations.

- (b) The inputs and associated ranges for Other short-term borrowings mirror those of the related MSR.
- (c) Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are required to be based on the appraised value of the collateral. Write-downs on these loans are recognized as part of provision.
- (d) Represents the fair value of foreclosed properties that were measured subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets. Balance excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.
- (e) Represents tax credit investments.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

(Dollars in Thousands)				
	 r Value at ptember			
Level 3 Class	30, 2012	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Values Utilized
Interest only trading securities	\$ 13,739	Discounted cash flow	(a)	(a)
Loans held-for-sale mortgages	227,106	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speeds	6% - 10%
			Credit spreads	2% - 4%
			Delinquency adjustment	15% - 25% added to
			factor	credit spread
			Loss severity trends	50% - 60% of UPB
Venture capital investments	9,000	Recent purchase offers	Adjustment for preferences in equity tranches	0% - 10% discount
		Recent capitalization	Adjustment for preferences	0% - 10% discount
		transactions	in equity tranches	
Mortgage servicing rights	120,537	Discounted cash flow	(a)	(a)
Other short-term borrowings	11,585	Discounted cash flow	(b)	(b)
Derivative liabilities, other	2,340	Discounted cash flow	Visa covered litigation resolution amount	\$4.4 billion - \$5.0 billion
			Probability of resolution scenarios	10% - 50%
			Time until resolution	9 - 18 months
Loans, net of unearned income (c)	128,626	Appraisals from comparable properties	Adjustment for value changes since appraisal	5% - 15% of appraisal
		Other collateral valuations	Borrowing base certificates	20% - 50% of gross value
			Financial Statements/Auction Values	0% - 25% of reported value
Real estate acquired by foreclosure (d)	50,589	Appraisals from		0% - 10% of appraisal
	·	comparable properties	Adjustment for value changes since appraisal	
Other assets (e)	76,822	Discounted cash flow	Adjustments to current sales yields for specific properties	0% - 15% adjustment to yield
		Appraisals from	- · · · ·	0% - 25% of appraisal
		comparable properties	Marketability adjustments for specific properties	

- (a) The unobservable inputs for Interest-only trading securities and MSR are discussed in Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations.
- (b) The inputs and associated ranges for Other short-term borrowings mirror those of the related MSR.
- (c) Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are required to be based on the appraised value of the collateral. Write-downs on these loans are recognized as part of provision.
- (d) Represents the fair value of foreclosed properties that were measured subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets. Balance excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.
- (e) Represents tax credit investments.

Loans held-for-sale. Prepayment rates, credit spreads and delinquency adjustment factors are significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of FHN s mortgage Loans held-for-sale. Loss severity trends are also assessed to evaluate the reasonableness of fair value estimates resulting from discounted cash flows methodologies as well as to estimate fair value for newly repurchased loans and loans that are near foreclosure. Significant increases (decreases) in any of these inputs in isolation would result in significantly lower (higher) fair value measurements. All observable and unobservable inputs are re-assessed monthly. Fair value measurements are reviewed at least monthly by FHN s Corporate Accounting Department.

Venture capital investments. The unobservable inputs used in the estimation of fair value for Venture capital investments are adjustments for minority interest and small business status when compared to industry comparables and the discount rate and earnings capitalization rate for a discounted cash flow analysis. For both valuation techniques, the inputs are intended to reflect the nature of the small business and the status of equity tranches held by FHN in relation to the overall valuation. The valuation of venture capital investments is reviewed at least quarterly by FHN s Equity Investment Review Committee. Changes in valuation are discussed with respect to the appropriateness of the adjustments in relation to the associated triggering events.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Derivative liabilities. The determination of fair value for FHN s derivative liabilities associated with its prior sales of Visa Class B shares include estimation of both the resolution amount for Visa s Covered Litigation matters as well as the length of time until the resolution occurs. Significant increases (decreases) in either of these inputs in isolation would result in significantly higher (lower) fair value measurements for the derivative liabilities. Additionally, FHN performs a probability weighted multiple resolution scenario to calculate the estimated fair value of these derivative liabilities. Assignment of higher (lower) probabilities to the larger potential resolution scenarios would result in an increase (decrease) in the estimated fair value of the derivative liabilities. The valuation inputs and process are discussed with senior and executive management when significant events affecting the estimate of fair value occur. Inputs are compared to information obtained from the public issuances and filings of Visa, Inc. as well as public information released by other participants in the applicable litigation matters.

Loans, net of unearned income and Real estate acquired by foreclosure. Collateral-dependent loans and Real estate acquired by foreclosure are primarily valued using appraisals based on sales of comparable properties in the same or similar markets. Multiple appraisal firms are utilized to ensure that estimated values are consistent between firms. This process occurs within FHN s Credit Risk Management and Loan Servicing functions (primarily consumer) and the Credit Risk Management Committee reviews valuation methodologies and loss information for reasonableness. Back testing is performed during the year through comparison to ultimate disposition values and is reviewed quarterly within the Credit Risk Management function. Other collateral (receivables, inventory, equipment, etc.) is valued through borrowing base certificates, financial statements and/or auction valuations. These valuations are discounted based on the quality of reporting, knowledge of the marketability/collectability of the collateral and historical disposition rates.

Other assets tax credit investments. The estimated fair value of tax credit investments is generally determined in relation to the expected yield (i.e., future tax credits to be received) an acquirer of these investments would expect in relation to the yields experienced on current new issue and/or secondary market transactions. Thus, as tax credits are recognized, the future yield to a market participant is reduced, resulting in consistent impairment of the individual investments. Individual investments are reviewed for impairment quarterly, which may include the consideration of additional marketability discounts related to specific investments. Unusual valuation adjustments, and the associated triggering events, are discussed with senior and executive management, when appropriate. A portfolio review is conducted annually, with the assistance of a third party, to assess the reasonableness of current valuations.

Fair Value Option

FHN elected the fair value option on a prospective basis for almost all types of mortgage loans originated for sale purposes under the Financial Instruments Topic (ASC 825). FHN determined that the election reduced certain timing differences and better matched changes in the value of such loans with changes in the value of derivatives used as economic hedges for these assets at the time of election. After the 2008 divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and the significant decline of mortgage loans originated for sale, FHN discontinued hedging the mortgage warehouse.

In third quarter 2013, capital markets acquired a pool of \$145.5 million conforming mortgage loans with the intent to transfer the loans to a counterparty during the quarter following the quarter of acquisition. As part of this transaction, capital markets entered into forward delivery contracts to economically hedge the value of the loans. FHN elected to recognize the loans at fair value and classified them as trading loans within trading securities in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of September 30, 2013.

Repurchased loans are recognized within loans held-for-sale at fair value at the time of repurchase, which includes consideration of the credit status of the loans and the estimated liquidation value. FHN has elected to continue recognition of these loans at fair value in periods subsequent to reacquisition. Due to the credit-distressed nature of the vast majority of repurchased loans and the related loss severities experienced upon repurchase, FHN believes that the fair value election provides a more timely recognition of changes in value for these loans that occur subsequent to repurchase. Absent the fair value election, these loans would be subject to valuation at the LOCOM value, which would prevent subsequent values from exceeding the initial fair value, determined at the time of repurchase but would require recognition of subsequent declines in value. Thus, the fair value election provides for a more timely recognition of any potential future recoveries in asset values while not affecting the requirement to recognize subsequent declines in value.

Prior to 2010, FHN transferred certain servicing assets in transactions that did not qualify for sale treatment due to certain recourse provisions. The associated proceeds are recognized within other short-term borrowings in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition for all periods presented. Since the servicing assets are recognized at fair value and changes in the fair value of the related financing liabilities will exactly mirror the change in fair value of the associated servicing assets, management elected to account for the financing liabilities at fair value. Since the servicing assets have already been delivered to the buyer, the fair value of the financing liabilities associated with the transaction does

not reflect any instrument-specific credit risk.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

The following tables reflect the differences between the fair value carrying amount of mortgages and trading loans held-for-sale measured at fair value in accordance with management s election and the aggregate unpaid principal amount FHN is contractually entitled to receive at maturity.

	September 30, 2013				
	Fair value	Aggregate	•		
	carrying	unpaid		t less aggregate	
(Dollars in thousands)	amount	principal	unp	aid principal	
Mortgage loans held-for-sale reported at fair value:					
Total loans	\$ 229,760	\$ 379,123	\$	(149,363)	
Nonaccrual loans	69,116	147,116		(78,000)	
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	9,046	19,402		(10,356)	
Trading loans reported at fair value:					
Total loans	145,505	142,311		3,194	
		September 30,	2012		
			Fair	value carrying	
	Fair value	Aggregate	aı	mount less	
	carrying	unpaid	8	aggregate	
(Dollars in thousands)	amount	principal	unp	aid principal	
Mortgage loans held-for-sale reported at fair value:					
Total loans	\$ 224,635	\$ 330,900	\$	(106,265)	
Nonaccrual loans	43,398	92,831		(49,433)	
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	10,481	21,329		(10,848)	

Assets and liabilities accounted for under the fair value election are initially measured at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Such changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities for which FHN elected the fair value option are included in current period earnings with classification in the income statement line item reflected in the following table:

	Three Mon Septem		Nine Months Ended September 30	
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Changes in fair value included in net income:				
Mortgage banking noninterest income				
Loans held-for-sale	\$ (1,805)	\$ (2,799)	\$ (3,940)	\$ (849)
Other short-term borrowings	634	854	(559)	3,248
Changes in fair value included in net income:				
Capital market noninterest income				
Trading loans	1.867		1.867	

For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the amounts for mortgage loans held-for-sale include losses of \$1.4 million and \$.9 million, respectively, included in pretax earnings that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the amounts for mortgage loans held-for-sale include immaterial gain and loss of \$.4 million, respectively, included in pretax earnings that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk. For the nine months ended credit risk was determined based on both a quality adjustment for delinquencies and the full credit spread on the non-conforming loans. Interest income on mortgage loans held-for-sale measured at fair value is calculated based on the note rate of the loan and is recorded in the interest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income as interest on loans held-for-sale.

Determination of Fair Value

In accordance with ASC 820-10-35, fair values are based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following describes the assumptions and methodologies used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments and MSR recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition and for

Table of Contents

estimating the fair value of financial instruments for which fair value is disclosed under ASC 825-10-50.

Short-term financial assets. Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and interest bearing deposits with other financial institutions and the Federal Reserve are carried at historical cost. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Trading securities and trading liabilities. Trading securities and trading liabilities are recognized at fair value through current earnings. Trading inventory held for broker-dealer operations is included in trading securities and trading liabilities. Broker-dealer long positions are valued at bid price in the bid-ask spread. Short positions are valued at the ask price. Inventory positions are valued using observable inputs including current market transactions, LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves, credit spreads, and consensus prepayment speeds. Trading loans are valued using observable inputs including current market transactions, swap rates, mortgage rates, and consensus prepayment speeds.

Trading securities also include retained interests in prior securitizations that qualify as financial assets, which primarily include excess interest (structured as interest-only strips) and principal-only strips.

The fair value of excess interest is determined using prices from closely comparable assets such as MSR that are tested against prices determined using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows. Inputs utilized in valuing excess interest are consistent with those used to value the related MSR. The fair value of excess interest typically changes based on changes in the discount rate and differences between modeled prepayment speeds and credit losses and actual experience. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by brokers and other service providers. FHN also periodically compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions with brokers, service providers, recent market activity, and against its own experience. FHN uses inputs including yield curves, credit spreads, and prepayment speeds to determine the fair value of principal-only strips.

In third quarter 2013, FHN agreed to sell substantially all of its remaining legacy mortgage servicing, including excess interest. FHN used the price in the definitive agreement, as adjusted for the portion of pricing that was not specific to the excess interest, as a third-party pricing source in the valuation of the excess interest.

Securities available-for-sale. Securities available-for-sale includes the investment portfolio accounted for as available-for-sale under ASC 320-10-25, federal bank stock holdings, short-term investments in mutual funds, and venture capital investments. Valuations of available-for-sale securities are performed using observable inputs obtained from market transactions in similar securities. Typical inputs include LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves, consensus prepayment estimates, and credit spreads. When available, broker quotes are used to support these valuations. Certain government agency debt obligations with limited trading activity are valued using a discounted cash flow model that incorporates a combination of observable and unobservable inputs. Primary observable inputs include contractual cash flows and the treasury curve. Significant unobservable inputs include estimated trading spreads and estimated prepayment speeds.

Investments in the stock of the Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Banks are recognized at historical cost in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition which is considered to approximate fair value. Short-term investments in mutual funds are measured at the funds reported closing net asset values. Venture capital investments are typically measured using significant internally generated inputs including adjustments to industry comparables and discounted cash flows analysis.

Loans held-for-sale. FHN determines the fair value of mortgage loans held-for-sale using a discounted cash flow model which incorporates both observable and unobservable inputs. Typical inputs include contractual cash flow requirements, current mortgage rates for similar products, estimated prepayment rates, credit spreads and delinquency penalty adjustments. Adjustments for delinquency and other differences in loan characteristics are typically reflected in the model s discount rates. Loss severity trends and the value of underlying collateral are also considered in assessing the appropriate fair value for severely delinquent loans and loans in foreclosure.

Loans held-for-sale also includes loans made by the Small Business Administration (SBA), which are accounted for as LOCOM. The fair value of SBA loans is determined using an expected cash flow model that utilizes observable inputs such as the spread between LIBOR and prime rates, consensus prepayment speeds, and the treasury curve. The fair value of other non-mortgage loans held-for-sale is approximated by their carrying values based on current transaction values.

Loans, net of unearned income. Loans, net of unearned income are recognized at the amount of funds advanced, less charge-offs and an estimation of credit risk represented by the allowance for loan losses. The fair value estimates for disclosure purposes differentiate loans based on their financial characteristics, such as product classification, vintage, loan category, pricing features, and remaining maturity.

The fair value of floating rate loans is estimated through comparison to recent market activity in loans of similar product types, with adjustments made for differences in loan characteristics. In situations where market pricing inputs are not available, fair value is considered to approximate book value due to the monthly repricing for commercial and consumer loans, with the exception of floating rate 1-4 family residential mortgage

loans which reprice annually and will lag movements in market rates. The fair value for floating rate 1-4 family mortgage loans is calculated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same time period.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Prepayment assumptions based on historical prepayment speeds and industry speeds for similar loans have been applied to the floating rate 1-4 family residential mortgage portfolio.

The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated through comparison to recent market activity in loans of similar product types, with adjustments made for differences in loan characteristics. In situations where market pricing inputs are not available, fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same time period. Prepayment assumptions based on historical prepayment speeds and industry speeds for similar loans have been applied to the fixed rate mortgage and installment loan portfolios.

For all loan portfolio classes, adjustments are made to reflect liquidity or illiquidity of the market. Such adjustments reflect discounts that FHN believes are consistent with what a market participant would consider in determining fair value given current market conditions.

Individually impaired loans are measured using either a discounted cash flow methodology or the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell, if the loan is considered collateral-dependent. In accordance with accounting standards, the discounted cash flow analysis utilizes the loan s effective interest rate for discounting expected cash flow amounts. Thus, this analysis is not considered a fair value measurement in accordance with ASC 820. However, the results of this methodology are considered to approximate fair value for the applicable loans. Expected cash flows are derived from internally-developed inputs primarily reflecting expected default rates on contractual cash flows. For loans measured using the estimated fair value of collateral less costs to sell, fair value is estimated using appraisals of the collateral. Collateral values are monitored and additional write-downs are recognized if it is determined that the estimated collateral values have declined further. Estimated costs to sell are based on current amounts of disposal costs for similar assets. Carrying value is considered to reflect fair value for these loans.

Mortgage servicing rights. FHN recognizes all classes of MSR at fair value. Since sales of MSR tend to occur in private transactions and the precise terms and conditions of the sales are typically not readily available, there is a limited market to refer to in determining the fair value of MSR. As such, FHN primarily relies on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its MSR. This model calculates estimated fair value of the MSR using predominant risk characteristics of MSR such as interest rates, type of product (fixed vs. variable), age (new, seasoned, or moderate), agency type and other factors. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by brokers and other service providers. FHN also periodically compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions with brokers, service providers, recent market activity, and against its own experience.

In third quarter 2013, FHN agreed to sell substantially all of its remaining legacy mortgage servicing. FHN used the price in the definitive agreement, as adjusted for the portion of pricing that was not specific to the MSR, as a third-party pricing source in the valuation of the MSR held at September 30, 2013.

Derivative assets and liabilities. The fair value for forwards and futures contracts is based on current transactions involving identical securities. Futures contracts are exchange-traded and thus have no credit risk factor assigned as the risk of non-performance is limited to the clearinghouse used.

Valuations of other derivatives (primarily interest rate related swaps, swaptions, caps, and collars) are based on inputs observed in active markets for similar instruments. Typical inputs include the LIBOR curve, OIS curve, option volatility, and option skew. In measuring the fair value of these derivative assets and liabilities, FHN has elected to consider credit risk based on the net exposure to individual counterparties. Credit risk is mitigated for these instruments through the use of mutual margining and master netting agreements as well as collateral posting requirements. Any remaining credit risk related to interest rate derivatives is considered in determining fair value through evaluation of additional factors such as customer loan grades and debt ratings. Foreign currency related derivatives also utilize observable exchange rates in the determination of fair value.

In conjunction with the sales of portions of its Visa Class B shares, FHN and the purchasers entered into derivative transactions whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. The fair value of these derivatives has been determined using a discounted cash flow methodology for estimated future cash flows determined through use of probability weighted scenarios for multiple estimates of Visa s aggregate exposure to covered litigation matters, which include consideration of amounts funded by Visa into its escrow account for the covered litigation matters. Since this estimation process required application of judgment in developing significant unobservable inputs used to determine the possible outcomes and the probability weighting

assigned to each scenario, these derivatives have been classified within Level 3 in fair value measurements disclosures.

Real estate acquired by foreclosure. Real estate acquired by foreclosure primarily consists of properties that have been acquired in satisfaction of debt. These properties are carried at the lower of the outstanding loan amount or estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell the real estate. Estimated fair value is determined using appraised values with subsequent adjustments for deterioration in values that are not reflected in the most recent appraisal. Real estate acquired by foreclosure also includes properties acquired in compliance with HUD servicing guidelines which are carried at the estimated amount of the underlying government insurance or guarantee.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Nonearning assets. For disclosure purposes, nonearning assets include cash and due from banks, accrued interest receivable, and capital markets receivables. Due to the short-term nature of cash and due from banks, accrued interest receivable, and capital markets receivables, the fair value is approximated by the book value.

Other assets. For disclosure purposes, other assets consist of tax credit investments and deferred compensation assets that are considered financial assets. Tax credit investments are written down to estimated fair value quarterly based on the estimated value of the associated tax credits. Deferred compensation assets are recognized at fair value, which is based on quoted prices in active markets.

Defined maturity deposits. The fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted by using the current market rates of similar instruments applicable to the remaining maturity. For disclosure purposes, defined maturity deposits include all certificates of deposit and other time deposits.

Undefined maturity deposits. In accordance with ASC 825, the fair value is approximated by the book value. For the purpose of this disclosure, undefined maturity deposits include demand deposits, checking interest accounts, savings accounts, and money market accounts.

Short-term financial liabilities. The fair value of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings are approximated by the book value. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization. Other short-term borrowings include a liability associated with transfers of MSR that did not qualify for sale accounting. This liability is accounted for at elected fair value, which is measured consistent with the related MSR, as previously described.

Term borrowings. The fair value is based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes for the identical liability when traded as an asset. When pricing information for the identical liability is not available, relevant prices for similar debt instruments are used with adjustments being made to the prices obtained for differences in characteristics of the debt instruments. If no relevant pricing information is available, the fair value is approximated by the present value of the contractual cash flows discounted by the investor s yield which considers FHN s and FTBNA s debt ratings.

Other noninterest-bearing liabilities. For disclosure purposes, other noninterest-bearing liabilities include accrued interest payable and capital markets payables. Due to the short-term nature of these liabilities, the book value is considered to approximate fair value.

Loan commitments. Fair values are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing.

Other commitments. Fair values are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements.

The following fair value estimates are determined as of a specific point in time utilizing various assumptions and estimates. The use of assumptions and various valuation techniques, as well as the absence of secondary markets for certain financial instruments, will likely reduce the comparability of fair value disclosures between financial institutions. Due to market illiquidity, the fair values for loans, net of unearned income, loans held-for-sale, and term borrowings as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, involve the use of significant internally-developed pricing assumptions for certain components of these line items. These assumptions are considered to reflect inputs that market participants would use in transactions involving these instruments as of the measurement date. Assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments (including MSR) have not been included in the following table such as the value of long-term relationships with deposit and trust customers, premises and equipment, goodwill and other intangibles, deferred taxes, and certain other assets and other liabilities. Accordingly, the total of the fair value amounts does not represent, and should not be construed to represent, the underlying value of the Company.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

The following tables summarize the book value and estimated fair value of financial instruments recorded in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as well as unfunded commitments as of September 30, 2013 and 2012.

	Book	September 30, 2013 Fair Value			
(Dollars in thousands)	Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:					
Loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan losses					
Commercial:					
Commercial, financial and industrial	\$ 7,655,562	\$	\$	\$ 7,502,354	\$ 7,502,354
Commercial real estate	1,162,718			1,115,304	1,115,304
Retail:	í í			<i>. . .</i>	í í
Consumer real estate	5,337,221			4,859,306	4,859,306
Permanent mortgage	672,138			589,999	589,999
Credit card & other	325,207			327,075	327,075
Total loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan					
losses	15,152,846			14,394,038	14,394,038
Short-term financial assets	10,102,010			1 1,00 1,000	1,000
Total interest-bearing cash	184,179	184,179			184,179
Federal funds sold	52,830	101,177	52,830		52,830
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	576,355		576,355		576,355
securities parenased under agreements to resen	570,555		570,555		570,555
Total short-term financial assets	813,364	184,179	629,185		813,364
Trading securities (a)	1,343,134		1,327,415	15,719	1,343,134
Loans held-for-sale (a)	371,640			371,640	371,640
Securities available-for-sale (a) (b)	3,186,943	20,267	2,954,224	212,452	3,186,943
Derivative assets (a)	215,116	16,877	198,239		215,116
Other assets					
Tax credit investments	69,115			69,115	69,115
Deferred compensation assets	23,193	23,193			23,193
Total other assets	92,308	23,193		69,115	92,308
Nonearning assets					
Cash & due from banks	395,631	395,631			395,631
Capital markets receivables	417,743		417,743		417,743
Accrued interest receivable	71,889		71,889		71,889
Total nonearning assets	885,263	395,631	489,632		885,263
Total assets	\$ 22,060,614	\$ 640,147	\$ 5,598,695	\$ 15,062,964	\$ 21,301,806
Liabilities:					
Deposits:					
Defined maturity	\$ 1,573,405	\$	\$ 1,591,314	\$	\$ 1,591,314
Undefined maturity	14,710,504	Ψ	14,710,504	Ψ	14,710,504
Charmed maturity	14,710,504		17,710,504		14,710,504
Total deposits	16,283,909		16,301,818		16,301,818
Trading liabilities (a)	585,969		585,969		585,969
Short term financial liabilities	565,909		565,909		363,909

Short-term financial liabilities

Federal funds purchased	1,062,901		1,062,901		1,062,901
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	427,232		427,232		427,232
Total other borrowings	303,686		291,971	11,715	303,686
Total short-term financial liabilities	1,793,819		1,782,104	11,715	1,793,819
Term borrowings					
Real estate investment trust-preferred	45,811			47,000	47,000
Term borrowings tax credit investments	18,000			18,025	18,025
Borrowings secured by residential real estate	329,574			194,905	194,905
Other long term borrowings	1,377,903		1,365,535		1,365,535
Total term borrowings	1,771,288		1,365,535	259,930	1,625,465
Derivative liabilities (a)	165,918	9,770	153,403	2,745	165,918
Other noninterest-bearing liabilities					
Capital markets payables	388,373		388,373		388,373
Accrued interest payable	33,924		33,924		33,924
Total other noninterest-bearing liabilities	422,297		422,297		422,297
Total liabilities	\$ 21,023,200	\$ 9,770	\$ 20,611,126	\$ 274,390	\$ 20,895,286

(a) Classes are detailed in the recurring and nonrecurring measurement tables.

(b) Level 3 includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$128.0 million and FRB stock of \$66.0 million.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

	Book	September 30, 2012 Fair Value				
(Dollars in thousands)	Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Assets:						
Loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan losses Commercial:						
Commercial, financial and industrial	\$ 8,359,909	\$	\$	\$ 8,138,108	\$ 8,138,108	
Commercial real estate	1,202,506	φ	φ	1,146,620	1,146,620	
Retail:	1,202,500			1,140,020	1,140,020	
Consumer real estate	5,619,955			5,287,039	5,287,039	
Permanent mortgage	779,954			722,087	722,087	
Credit card & other	279,715			280,344	280,344	
	279,715			200,544	200,544	
Total loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan						
losses	16,242,039			15,574,198	15,574,198	
Short-term financial assets						
Total interest-bearing cash	440,916	440,916			440,916	
Federal funds sold	12,425		12,425		12,425	
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	517,263		517,263		517,263	
Total short-term financial assets	970,604	440,916	529,688		970,604	
Trading securities (a)	1,204,366		1,190,622	13,744	1,204,366	
Loans held-for-sale (a)	410,550		48,425	362,125	410,550	
Securities available-for-sale (a) (b)	3,123,629	13,794	2,897,657	212,178	3,123,629	
Derivative assets (a)	334,025	14,862	319,163		334,025	
Other assets						
Tax credit investments	76,822			76,822	76,822	
Deferred compensation assets	23,301	23,301			23,301	
Total other assets	100,123	23,301		76,822	100,123	
Nonearning assets						
Cash & due from banks	355,978	355,978			355,978	
Capital markets receivables	791,190		791,190		791,190	
Accrued interest receivable	85,042		85,042		85,042	
Total nonearning assets	1,232,210	355,978	876,232		1,232,210	
Total assets	\$ 23,617,546	\$ 848,851	\$ 5,861,787	\$ 16,239,067	\$ 22,949,705	
T · 1 · 12						
Liabilities:						
Deposits:	¢ 1.593.007	¢	¢ 1 (10 010	¢	¢ 1 (10 010	
Defined maturity	\$ 1,582,097	\$	\$ 1,618,019	\$	\$ 1,618,019	
Undefined maturity	14,646,014		14,646,014		14,646,014	
Total deposits	16,228,111		16,264,033		16,264,033	
Trading liabilities (a)	516,970		516,970		516,970	
Short-term financial liabilities	510,770		510,770		510,770	
Federal funds purchased	1,350,806		1,350,806		1,350,806	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	443,370		443,370		443,370	
Total other borrowings	856,958		845,373	11,585	856,958	
rom only borrowings	050,750		073,373	11,505	050,750	
Total short-term financial liabilities	2,651,134		2,639,549	11,585	2,651,134	

Term borrowings					
Real estate investment trust-preferred	45,743			42,300	42,300
Term borrowings new market tax credit investment	15,301			16,280	16,280
Borrowings secured by residential real estate	415,975			353,578	353,578
Other long term borrowings	1,786,219		1,716,741		1,716,741
Total term borrowings	2,263,238		1,716,741	412,158	2,128,899
Derivative liabilities (a)	225,084	12,424	210,320	2,340	225,084
Other noninterest-bearing liabilities					
Capital markets payables	574,201		574,201		574,201
Accrued interest payable	43,184		43,184		43,184
Total other noninterest-bearing liabilities	617,385		617,385		617,385
Total liabilities	\$ 22,501,922	\$ 12,424	\$ 21,964,998	\$ 426,083	\$ 22,403,505

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentations.

(a) Classes are detailed in the recurring and nonrecurring measurement tables.

(b) Level 3 includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$125.5 million and FRB stock of \$66.0 million.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

	Contractual	Contractual Amount		lue
	September 30, 20\$3pt	tember 30, Sept	tember 30,52018n	nber 30, 2012
Unfunded Commitments:				
Loan commitments	\$ 9,048,424 \$	7,890,786	\$ 1,722 \$	1,571
Standby and other commitments	302,160	371,899	4,819	5,333

Note 18 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency

Beginning in 2007, FHN conducted a company-wide review of business practices with the goal of improving its overall profitability and productivity. Such reviews continue throughout the organization. Since 2007, in order to redeploy capital to higher-return businesses, FHN exited or sold non-strategic businesses, eliminated layers of management, and consolidated functional areas.

Generally, restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency charges related to exited businesses are included in the non-strategic segment while charges related to corporate-driven actions are included in the corporate segment. Net charges recognized by FHN during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities were \$4.5 million. Of this amount, \$3.0 million represent exit costs that were accounted for in accordance with the Exit of Disposal Cost Obligations Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC 420). Significant expenses recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 resulted from the following action:

Severance and other employee costs of \$2.6 million primarily related to efficiency initiatives within corporate and bank services functions which are classified as Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits within noninterest expense.

Expense of \$2.2 million related to estimated costs for obligations associated with a definitive agreement to sell substantially all remaining legacy mortgage servicing which is reflected in Mortgage banking income.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, FHN recognized a net cost of \$6.2 million related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities. Of this amount, \$4.7 million represent exit costs that were accounted for in accordance with ASC 420. Significant expenses recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 resulted from the following actions:

Severance and other employee costs of \$4.8 million primarily related to efficiency initiatives within corporate and bank services functions which are classified as Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits within noninterest expense.

Expense of \$2.3 million related to prior servicing sales which is reflected in Mortgage banking income. Settlement of the obligations arising from current initiatives will be funded from operating cash flows. The effect of suspending depreciation on assets held-for-sale was immaterial to FHN s results of operations for all periods. Due to the broad nature of the actions being taken, substantially all components of expense have benefited from past efficiency initiatives and are expected to benefit from the current efficiency initiatives.

Activity in the restructuring and repositioning liability for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, is presented in the following table, along with other restructuring and repositioning expenses recognized.

			nths Ended nber 30			Nine Mon Septerr		
	20)13	20	012	20)13	20	012
(Dollars in thousands)	Expense	Liability	Expense	Liability	Expense	Liability	Expense	Liability
Beginning balance	\$	\$ 4,277	\$	\$ 8,947	\$	\$ 19,775	\$	\$ 12,026
Severance and other employee related costs	1,160	1,160	2,730	2,730	2,620	2,620	4,769	4,769
Facility consolidation costs	38	38	41	41	416	416	(134)	(134)
Other exit costs, professional fees, and other							111	111
Total accrued	1,198	5,475	2,771	11,718	3,036	22,811	4,746	16,772
Payments related to:								
Severance and other employee related costs		826		5,718		17,490		8,680
Facility consolidation costs		248		529		824		1,406
Other exit costs, professional fees, and other								15
Accrual reversals		7		17		103		1,217

Table of Contents

	\$ 4,394	\$ 5,454	\$ 4,394	\$ 5,454
2,192		2,192	2,287	
(365)	(180)	(1,004)	(865)	
369		369	5	
			12	
		(96)		
2,196	(180)	1,461	1,439	
\$ 3,394	\$ 2,591	\$ 4,497	\$ 6,185	
	(365) 369 2,196	2,192 (365) (180) 369 2,196 (180)	2,192 2,192 (365) (180) (1,004) 369 369 (96) 2,196 (180) 1,461	2,192 2,192 2,287 (365) (180) (1,004) (865) 369 369 5 12 (96) 1,461 1,439

Note 18 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency (Continued)

FHN began initiatives related to restructuring in second quarter 2007. Consequently, the following table presents cumulative amounts incurred to date through September 30, 2013, for costs associated with FHN s restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives:

(Dollars in thousands)	Tot	al Expense
Severance and other employee related costs	\$	103,313
Facility consolidation costs		41,112
Other exit costs, professional fees, and other		19,165
Other restructuring and repositioning expense:		
Loan portfolio divestiture		7,672
Mortgage banking expense on servicing sales		26,002
(Gains)/losses on divestitures		(722)
Impairment of premises and equipment		22,766
Impairment of intangible assets		48,231
Impairment of other assets		40,504
Other		7,478
Total restructuring and repositioning charges incurred to date as of		

Total restructuring and repositioning charges incurred to date as ofSeptember 30, 2013\$ 315,521

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General Information	78
Forward-Looking Statements	79
Financial Summary	79
Statement of Condition Review	89
Capital	91
Asset Quality Trend Analysis of Third Quarter 2013 to Third Quarter 2012	93
Risk Management	104
Repurchase Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual Obligations	110
Market Uncertainties and Prospective Trends	118
Critical Accounting Policies	120
Non-GAAP Information	123

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

GENERAL INFORMATION

First Horizon National Corporation (FHN) began as a community bank chartered in 1864 and as of September 30, 2013, was one of the 40 largest publicly traded banking organizations in the United States in terms of asset size.

The corporation s two major brands First Tennessee and FTN Financial provide customers with a broad range of products and services. First Tennessee provides retail and commercial banking services throughout Tennessee and is the largest bank headquartered in the state. FTN Financial (FTNF) is an industry leader in fixed income sales, trading, and strategies for institutional clients in the U.S. and abroad.

FHN is composed of the following operating segments:

Regional banking offers financial products and services including traditional lending and deposit-taking to retail and commercial customers largely in Tennessee and surrounding markets. Regional banking provides investments, financial planning, trust services and asset management, credit card and cash management. Additionally, the regional banking segment includes correspondent banking which provides credit, depository, and other banking related services to other financial institutions nationally.

Capital markets provides financial services for depository and non-depository institutions through the sale and distribution of fixed income securities, loan sales, portfolio advisory services, and derivative sales.

Corporate consists of unallocated corporate expenses, expense on subordinated debt issuances, bank-owned life insurance (BOLI), unallocated interest income associated with excess equity, net impact of raising incremental capital, revenue and expense associated with deferred compensation plans, funds management, tax credit investment activities, acquisition-related costs, and various charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives.

Non-strategic includes exited businesses and wind-down national consumer lending activities, other discontinued products, loan portfolios, and service lines, and certain charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives.

On June 7, 2013, First Tennessee Bank National Association (FTBNA), a subsidiary of FHN, acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the liabilities of Mountain National Bank (MNB) from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), as receiver. Excluding purchase accounting adjustments FHN acquired approximately \$452 million in assets, including approximately \$249 million in loans excluding loan discounts, and assumed approximately \$362 million of MNB deposits. Refer to Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures for additional information.

For the purpose of this management s discussion and analysis (MD&A), earning assets have been expressed as averages, unless otherwise noted, and loans have been disclosed net of unearned income. The following financial discussion should be read with the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and Notes in this report. Additional information including the 2012 financial statements, notes, and MD&A is provided in FHN s 2012 Annual Report.

Non-GAAP Measures

Certain ratios are included in the narrative and tables in MD&A that are non-GAAP, meaning they are not presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the U.S. FHN s management believes such measures are relevant to understanding the capital position and results of the company. The non-GAAP ratios presented in this filing are the net interest margin using net interest income adjusted for fully taxable equivalent (FTE) and the tier 1 common capital ratio. These measures are reported to FHN s management and board of

directors through various internal reports. Additionally, disclosure of the non-GAAP capital ratio provides a meaningful base for comparability to other financial institutions as this ratio has become an important measure of the capital strength of banks as demonstrated by its use by banking regulators in reviewing capital adequacy of financial institutions. Non-GAAP measures are not formally defined by GAAP or codified in currently effective federal banking regulations, and other entities may use calculation methods that differ from those used by FHN. Tier 1 Capital is a regulatory term and is generally defined as the sum of core capital (including common equity and instruments that cannot be redeemed at the option of the holder) adjusted for certain items under risk-based capital regulations. Risk-weighted assets is a regulatory term which includes total assets adjusted for credit risk and is used to determine regulatory capital ratios. Refer to Table 24 for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP measures and presentation of the most comparable GAAP items.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements with respect to FHN s beliefs, plans, goals, expectations, and estimates. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not a representation of historical information but rather are related to future operations, strategies, financial results, or other developments. The words believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, should, is likely, will, going forward, and expressions that indicate future events and trends identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business, operational, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond FHN s control, and many of which, with respect to future business decisions and actions (including acquisitions and divestitures), are subject to change. Examples of uncertainties and contingencies include, among other important factors, global, general and local economic and business conditions, including economic recession or depression; the level and length of deterioration in the residential housing and commercial real estate markets; potential requirements for FHN to repurchase previously sold or securitized mortgages or securities based on such mortgages; potential claims relating to the foreclosure process; expectations of and actual timing and amount of interest rate movements, including the slope of the yield curve, which can have a significant impact on a financial services institution; market and monetary fluctuations, including fluctuations in mortgage markets; inflation or deflation; customer, investor, regulatory, and legislative responses to any or all of these conditions; the financial condition of borrowers and other counterparties; competition within and outside the financial services industry; geopolitical developments including possible terrorist activity; natural disasters; effectiveness and cost-efficiency of FHN s hedging practices; technological changes; fraud, theft, or other incursions through conventional, electronic, or other means; demand for FHN s product offerings; new products and services in the industries in which FHN operates; and critical accounting estimates. Other factors are those inherent in originating, selling, servicing, and holding loans and loan-based assets, including prepayment risks, pricing concessions, fluctuation in U.S. housing and other real estate prices, fluctuation of collateral values, and changes in customer profiles. Additionally, the actions of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Bureau), the Financial Stability Oversight Council (Council), and other regulators and agencies; regulatory, administrative, and judicial proceedings and changes in laws and regulations applicable to FHN; and FHN s success in executing its business plans and strategies and managing the risks involved in the foregoing, could cause actual results to differ, perhaps materially, from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. FHN assumes no obligation to update or revise, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, any forward-looking statements that are made in this Quarterly Report or otherwise from time to time. Actual results could differ and expectations could change, possibly materially, because of one or more factors, including those presented in this Forward-Looking Statements section, in other sections of this MD&A, in other parts of and exhibits to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2013, and in documents incorporated into this Quarterly Report.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

For third quarter 2013, FHN reported a net loss available to common shareholders of \$107.5 million or \$.45 loss per diluted share compared to net income of \$25.8 million or \$.10 earnings per diluted share in third quarter 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, FHN reported a net loss available to common shareholders of \$25.6 million or \$.11 loss per diluted share compared to a net loss of \$68.4 million or \$.27 loss per diluted share for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The impact on net income available to common shareholders from preferred stock dividends was \$1.6 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, and \$0 in the comparative periods of 2012. The third quarter decline in income compared to third quarter 2012 was driven by a significant increase in expenses coupled with a decrease in revenues, which more than offset a reduction in the loan loss provision. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the improvement in results compared to the prior year was driven by a significant decrease in expenses and the loan loss provision, which more than offset a decline in revenues.

In fourth quarter 2013, FHN entered into a definitive resolution agreement (DRA) with the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA, Fannie Mae, or Fannie) resolving certain selling representation and warranty repurchase obligations associated with loans originated from 2000 to 2008 excluding certain loans FHN no longer services. In association with new information that encompasses a broader population of loans obtained leading up to the DRA, FHN recorded a \$200.0 million repurchase and foreclosure provision in third quarter 2013. See the discussion of FHN s repurchase and obligations within the Repurchase, Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual Obligations section of MD&A and Note 10 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for additional details. Additionally, in third quarter 2013, FHN signed a definitive agreement to sell substantially all remaining legacy mortgage servicing. The servicing is expected to be transferred to the buyer during the next few months.

Total revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$309.3 million and \$929.8 million, respectively, compared to \$337.0 million and \$1.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decline in revenue in both periods was primarily driven by a reduction in capital markets income due to less favorable market conditions in 2013 relative to 2012 and a

decrease in net interest income (NII). In third quarter 2013, the decline in revenue was partially offset by higher mortgage banking income primarily due to an increase in net hedging results reflecting the terms of the servicing sale agreement mentioned above. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease in mortgage banking income, as well as a decline in securities gains also contributed to the decrease in revenue.

Expenses in third quarter 2013 increased 65 percent to \$433.6 million from the prior year primarily due to an increase in the repurchase and foreclosure provision. Repurchase and foreclosure provision expense was \$200.0 million in third quarter 2013 compared to \$0 in third quarter 2012, due to additional new information received in connection with the DRA mentioned above. Offsetting a portion of this increase, expenses were favorably affected by lower personnel expenses and a decline in losses from litigation and regulatory matters. Expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, decreased 19 percent, or \$210.8 million, to \$901.5 million compared to the same period of 2012. The decrease was largely due to reductions in the repurchase and foreclosure provision, personnel expense, and a decline in losses from litigation and regulatory matters. Repurchase and foreclosure provision expense was \$200.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$299.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Personnel expense declined 16 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, driven by a reduction in pension-related expenses and lower capital markets variable compensation, as well as a 6 percent reduction in average headcount. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, these decreases were partially offset by an increase in legal and professional fees. With few exceptions, all other expense categories remained flat or declined for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, due to FHN s continued focus on cost reductions and efficiency throughout the organization.

The provision for loan losses was \$10.0 million and \$40.0 million in third quarter 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$40.0 million and \$63.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Provision expense in both periods of 2012 includes approximately \$30.0 million associated with the implementation of regulatory guidance related to discharged bankruptcies. Both periods reflect aggregate improvement in the loan portfolio. Improvement from third quarter 2012 resulted in a 9 percent decline in the allowance for loan losses (ALLL) and an 80 percent decline in net charge-offs from a year ago. Non-performing loans increased from a year ago which was largely driven by further implementation of regulatory guidance.

Return on average common equity and return on average assets for third quarter 2013 were negative 20.39 percent and negative 1.69 percent, respectively, compared to positive 4.59 percent and positive .45 percent, respectively, in third quarter 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the return on average common equity was negative 1.58 percent and negative 3.92 percent, respectively, and the return on average assets was negative .07 percent and negative .32 percent, respectively. The Tier 1 capital ratio was 13.26 percent as of September 30, 2013, compared to 13.15 percent on September 30, 2012. Total period-end assets decreased to \$24.2 billion on September 30, 2013, from \$25.7 billion and \$15.9 billion, respectively, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Average loans declined 5 percent and 2 percent to \$15.7 billion and \$15.9 billion, respectively, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Shareholders equity declined to \$2.4 billion on September 30, 2013 relative to the same periods in 2012. Average core deposits increased 5 percent and 2 percent to \$16.0 billion and \$15.8 billion, respectively, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Shareholders equity declined to \$2.4 billion on September 30, 2013 from \$2.5 billion on September 30, 2012.

BUSINESS LINE REVIEW

Regional Banking

Pre-tax income within the regional banking segment was \$75.8 million during third quarter 2013, compared to \$70.9 million in third quarter 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the regional banking pre-tax income was \$220.2 million compared to \$210.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in pre-tax income in both periods was primarily driven by a reduction in expenses which more than offset an increase in the loan loss provision and lower revenues.

Total revenue declined 1 percent for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 to \$212.4 million from a year ago which was largely driven by a decline in NII. NII was \$148.5 million in third quarter 2013 down from \$151.1 million in third quarter 2012. The decrease in NII was largely attributable to a decline in loans to mortgage companies, partially offset by an increase in consumer real estate installment loans and commercial balances from a year ago. Noninterest income was \$63.9 million in third quarter 2013 compared to \$64.2 million in third quarter 2012. The slight decline in noninterest income was largely driven by lower non-sufficient funds (NSF)/overdraft fees which were \$11.7 million in 2013 compared to \$13.0 million in 2012. NSF fees have been affected by lower volume from a decrease in the number of small balance deposit accounts, a refinement in sort order processes, and overall changes in consumer behavior. Mortgage origination income declined \$1.5 million to \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 2012 due to a shift from originations to referrals. Additionally, in third quarter 2012 FHN recognized a \$1.2 million gain on sales of bank properties.

The declines in noninterest income were mitigated by increases in wealth management-related fees as well as trust services income. In 2013, brokerage management fees and commissions were up 25 percent to \$10.9 million from a year ago. Additionally, fees from trust services increased 10 percent, or \$.6 million from a year ago. Both increases are largely due to FHN s strategic focus on growing these businesses through

customer growth and expanding services for existing customers.

Provision expense was \$5.2 million in third quarter 2013 compared to \$2.9 million in third quarter 2012. The increase in provision reflects a continued slower pace of favorable grade migration, reduction in the pace of improvement in loss rates relative to 2012, and stabilizing asset quality metrics.

Noninterest expense declined \$10.1 million, or 7 percent, to \$131.4 million in third quarter 2013, relative to third quarter 2012. The decrease in expenses in 2013 as compared to 2012 was largely attributable to a reduction of pension-related expenses resulting from the freeze of the pension plans on December 31, 2012, coupled with headcount reductions from a year ago. Losses from foreclosed assets decreased by \$1.4 million to \$.3 million in third quarter 2013 as collateral values have stabilized since 2012. The decline in expenses was somewhat offset by increases in advertising expenses and professional fees. The \$1.1 million increase in advertising costs was due in part to the strategic focus and branding associated with the FTB Advisor brand and professional fees were up \$1.6 million as a result of consulting projects in 2013. Nearly all other expenses declined or were flat because of FHN s continued focus on cost reductions and efficiency throughout the organization.

Total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, declined 2 percent from \$636.6 million in 2012 to \$626.8 million in 2013 driven by declines in both NII and fee income. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, NII was \$441.9 million and \$447.2 million, respectively. The decline in NII was driven by a decline in loans to mortgage companies and the continuing low interest rate environment. Fee income was \$184.9 million in 2013 compared to \$189.3 million in 2012. For the year-to-date periods, NSF fees and mortgage origination income declined \$4.6 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, consistent with the reasons driving the quarterly declines described above. In addition, 2012 includes \$3.0 million of gains associated with sales of bank properties. Consistent with quarterly trends, these year-to-date declines were mitigated by an increase in wealth management-related income which increased \$4.8 million, or 19 percent, while fees from trust services were up \$1.6 million or 9 percent from a year ago.

Provision expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, was \$15.9 million and \$.3 million, respectively, and reflects a slowing rate of improvement during 2013.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, noninterest expense declined \$34.8 million, or 8 percent, to \$390.7 million as compared to the same period of 2012. The decrease in expenses associated with the pension freeze, headcount reductions from a year ago, and foreclosed assets were partially offset by an increase in professional fees as well as an increase in advertising expenses. In addition, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, contract employment increased \$1.8 million to \$2.6 million and computer software increased \$1.6 million to \$10.9 million in 2013. The increases in contract employment and computer software expense for the year-to-date period of 2013 compared to 2012 is largely driven by technology-related projects within the regional bank. Except as otherwise discussed, nearly all other expense categories were relatively flat or lower for the nine months ended September 2013 versus 2012 because of FHN s focus on cost reductions and efficiency.

Capital Markets

Pre-tax income in the capital markets segment was \$9.8 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$21.0 million in 2012 and \$41.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$72.8 million for the same period in 2012. The decrease in pre-tax income in 2013 compared to 2012 was driven by a decline in fixed income revenue. For the quarterly periods, average daily revenue (ADR) decreased from \$1.2 million in third quarter 2012 to \$850 thousand in third quarter 2013. For the nine month periods, ADR was \$1.0 million in 2013 versus \$1.3 million in 2012. The declines in fixed income revenue during 2013 reflect less favorable market conditions in 2013, including market volatility and an increase in interest rates beginning in the latter part of the second quarter of 2013. Additionally, uncertainty surrounding potential actions of the Federal Reserve contributed to lower fixed income revenue in 2013. Other product revenue increased \$3.4 million to \$9.7 million in third quarter 2013 and increased \$7.5 million to \$28.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 due primarily to an increase in revenues from loan trading and related activities.

Noninterest expense was \$58.0 million in third quarter 2013 compared to \$64.6 million in third quarter 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, noninterest expense was \$179.6 million compared to \$205.8 million in 2012. The decline in noninterest expense for these periods is due to lower variable compensation expenses as a result of lower fixed income revenues in 2013, partially offset by increases in legal and professional fees.

Corporate

The pre-tax loss for the corporate segment was \$25.4 million compared to \$19.7 million during the quarters ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decline in third quarter 2013 pre-tax results compared to 2012 was the result of lower revenue in 2013 as expenses remained flat year over year. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the pre-tax loss was \$66.9 million compared to \$59.9 million for the same period in 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the decrease in results was also driven by lower revenues which more than outpaced a decline in expense.

Net interest expense was \$10.3 million in third quarter 2013 compared to \$6.1 million in third quarter 2012 primarily due to a lower-yielding securities portfolio. Noninterest income (including securities gains) was \$6.6 million in third quarter 2013 compared to \$7.9 million in 2012. The decrease in noninterest income was due to \$.8 million in interest related to a tax refund recognized in third quarter 2012, and a reduction in BOLI driven by lower policy benefits in 2013 relative to the prior year. Noninterest expense was relatively flat from a year ago at \$21.6 million for third quarter 2013. A decline in expense in third quarter 2013 was due to a \$1.5 million impairment of a tax credit investment that was recognized in 2012. This decline was offset by the impact of \$1.8 million of gains recognized in 2012 related to clean up calls exercised by FHN on first lien securitization trusts.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, total revenue was a loss of \$10.7 million compared to income of \$3.2 million for the same period in 2012. The decline in revenue was largely driven by net interest expense of \$28.9 million in 2013 compared to \$17.8 million in 2012 which was driven by a lower-yielding securities portfolio. Fee income also contributed to the declines in year-to-date revenue as noninterest income was \$18.2 million in 2013 compared to \$21.0 million in 2012. A decline in BOLI income, interest related to tax refunds recognized in 2012, and deferred compensation all contributed to the decrease in noninterest income from a year ago. Changes in deferred compensation income are mirrored by changes in deferred compensation expense which is included in personnel expense.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, noninterest expense declined \$6.8 million, or 11 percent, to \$56.2 million. The decrease in expense is due in part to a reduction in personnel costs and a \$5.5 million decline in expenses from tax credit investments which includes the impairment recognized in 2012 discussed above. The decline in personnel-related expenses is largely due to a reduction in salary expense associated with headcount reductions, lower restructuring charges in 2013 relative to 2012, and a decrease in deferred compensation expense. These declines were partially offset by a \$1.4 million increase in professional fees related to various consulting projects throughout the organization and the impact of gains recognized in third quarter 2012 associated with the exercise of cleanup calls.

Non-Strategic

The non-strategic segment had a pre-tax loss of \$194.5 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, compared to a pre-tax loss of \$38.3 million in third quarter 2012. The pre-tax loss in 2013 was driven by a significant increase in expenses coupled with a decline in revenues which more than offset a reduction in the loan loss provision. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the pre-tax loss was \$206.0 million compared to \$356.0 million during the same period of 2012. The improvement during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was the result of lower expenses and a reduction in the loan loss provision, which more than offset a decline in revenues relative to the prior year.

Total revenue was \$32.8 million and \$34.3 million in third quarter 2013 and 2012, respectively, with NII declining 29 percent to \$16.9 million in 2013 from \$23.7 million in the prior year. The decline in NII is primarily due to an 18 percent reduction in average loans from 2012 as the legacy portfolios continue to runoff. Noninterest income (including securities gains/losses) increased \$5.3 million in third quarter 2013 from \$10.6 million in third quarter 2012 due to an increase in mortgage banking income. The increase in mortgage banking income during third quarter 2013 is primarily the result of an increase in net hedging results related to the valuation adjustment reflecting the terms of the agreement to sell substantially all of FHN s first lien loan mortgage servicing portfolio. Servicing fees declined to \$10.9 million in third quarter 2013 from \$13.8 million in 2012 consistent with a reduction in the size of the mortgage servicing portfolio due to runoff. Additionally, mortgage banking income included a \$2.2 million negative adjustment made in third quarter 2013 as a result of estimated costs for obligations associated with the agreement to sell mortgage servicing.

Provision expense was \$4.8 million in third quarter 2013, down from \$37.1 million in third quarter 2012. A majority of the decrease from a year ago is due to the implementation of regulatory guidance related to discharged bankruptcies in 2012 which required certain residential real estate loans to be charged-down to estimates of collateral value.

Noninterest expense was \$222.5 million in third quarter 2013 compared to \$35.5 million in third quarter 2012. The increase in expense was the result of a \$200.0 million increase in the repurchase and foreclosure reserve related to significant new additional information received in connection with the DRA mentioned above. Loss accruals related to legal matters declined by \$6.4 million and contract employment expenses associated with lower mortgage sub-servicing costs declined by \$2.0 million from \$8.3 million in third quarter 2012. The decline in mortgage sub-servicing costs is primarily due to runoff of the servicing portfolio from a year ago.

Total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, was \$93.0 million and \$124.6 million, respectively. NII declined 23 percent to \$55.6 million in 2013 from \$72.6 million in 2012 as a result of runoff of the loan portfolios. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, noninterest income (including securities gains/losses) was \$37.5 million and \$52.0 million, respectively. The decline in noninterest income was the result of a reduction in mortgage banking income and a decline in investment securities gains. The decrease in mortgage banking income was primarily due to a \$10.7 million decline in servicing fees from runoff of the servicing portfolio, a higher negative valuation adjustment to the mortgage warehouse recognized in 2013, and the accrual of estimated sales costs recognized in third quarter 2013 associated with the agreement to sell mortgage servicing. Net hedging results were \$16.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013,

compared to \$15.4 million in the same period of 2012. Net hedging results in 2013 reflect the terms of the mortgage servicing sales agreement mentioned above which more than offset more narrow

spreads between mortgage and swap rates in 2013 relative to 2012. Noninterest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, includes \$3.5 million of gains from the reversals of previously established lower of cost or market (LOCOM) adjustments associated with trust preferred (TRUP) loan payoffs. Noninterest income in 2012 includes a \$5.1 million gain on the sale of a venture capital investment.

The provision for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was \$24.1 million, down from \$62.7 million in 2012. The decrease in provision during these periods was driven by the impact of runoff of the legacy loan portfolios combined with aggregate improvement of the remaining balances from 2012. Additionally, third quarter 2012 included the negative impact of implementing regulatory guidance associated with discharged bankruptcies.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, noninterest expense was \$274.9 million and \$418.0 million, respectively. The decrease in expense was primarily due to a decline in the repurchase and foreclosure provision from \$299.3 million in 2012 to \$200.0 in 2013, as well as a \$22.3 million decline in loss accruals related to legal matters. During the first nine months of 2013, contract employment expenses were \$5.3 million lower than the same period of 2012 and expenses associated with foreclosed property declined \$3.3 million due to improvement in collateral values as well as an overall decline in the balance of foreclosed assets. Noninterest expense in 2012 includes elevated ancillary expenses associated with legacy mortgage wind-down activities, as well as \$2.8 million related to the write-off of unrecoverable servicing advances. Generally, most other expense categories declined given the continued wind-down of the legacy businesses.

INCOME STATEMENT REVIEW

Total consolidated revenue was \$309.3 million in third quarter 2013, down 8 percent from third quarter 2012, largely driven by lower capital markets fixed income sales revenue and a decline in net interest income, partially offset by an increase in income from mortgage banking activities. Total expenses increased during third quarter 2013 relative to third quarter 2012, due to an increase in the repurchase and foreclosure provision, which more than offset a decline in personnel related costs and decrease in losses from litigation and regulatory matters. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, total consolidated revenue was \$929.8 million compared to \$1.0 billion during the same period in 2012. The decline in revenues was primarily due to decreases in capital markets fixed income revenue, net interest income, and mortgage banking income. Total expenses decreased 19 percent, or \$210.8 million, during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to \$901.5 million, largely driven by declines in the repurchase and foreclosure provision, personnel expense, and losses from litigation and regulatory matters.

NET INTEREST INCOME

Net interest income was \$158.8 million in third quarter 2013, an 8 percent decline from \$173.5 million in third quarter 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, NII was \$480.2 million, a 7 percent decline from \$518.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the decrease in NII was primarily attributable to run-off of the non-strategic loan portfolio, a lower yielding securities portfolio, and a decline in loans to mortgage companies, somewhat mitigated by improved deposit pricing. Average earning assets were \$21.5 billion in third quarter 2013 and \$22.2 billion in third quarter 2012 primarily due to a decline in balances of loans to mortgage companies and run-off in the non-strategic loan portfolios. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, average earning assets were \$21.9 billion compared to \$22.2 billion, as loan growth within the regional bank in the first half of 2013 offset some of the decline due to run-off in the non-strategic loan portfolios.

For purposes of computing yields and the net interest margin, FHN adjusts net interest income to reflect tax exempt income on an equivalent pre-tax basis which provides comparability of net interest income arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources. The consolidated net interest margin decreased to 2.97 percent in third quarter 2013 from 3.15 percent in third quarter 2012. The net interest spread was 2.83 percent in 2013, down 17 basis points from 3.00 percent in third quarter 2012 and the impact of free funding declined to 14 basis points from 15 basis points. The decline in net interest margin for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was primarily a result of run-off of the non-strategic loan portfolios coupled with lower reinvestment rates on investments and commercial loans, partially offset by improved deposit pricing. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the net interest margin was 2.96 percent, down from 3.14 for the comparable period in 2012. The decline in net interest margin for the nine month period of 2013 was primarily driven by lower reinvestment rates on investments, run-off of the non-strategic loan portfolios, and lower yielding commercial loans, somewhat offset by improved pricing on deposits.

Table 1 Net Interest Margin

	Three Month Septembe 2013	
Assets:	2010	_01_
Earning assets:		
Loans, net of unearned income:		
Commercial loans	3.69 %	3.81 %
Retail loans	4.06	4.30
Total loans, net of unearned income	3.85	4.01
Loans held-for-sale	3.23	3.68
Investment securities:		
U.S. treasuries	0.09	0.11
U.S. government agencies	2.56	3.01
States and municipalities	0.55	1.44
Other	4.20	4.22
Total investment securities	2.63	3.04
Capital markets securities inventory	2.91	2.69
Mortgage banking trading securities	10.36	11.31
Other earning assets:		
Federal funds sold	1.01	1.00
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (a)	(0.11)	0.07
Interest bearing cash	0.21	0.20
Total other earning assets	0.07	0.15
Interest income / total earning assets	3.41 %	3.64 %
Liabilities:		
Interest-bearing liabilities:		
Interest-bearing deposits:		
Savings	0.20 %	0.31 %
Other interest-bearing deposits	0.09	0.17
Time deposits	1.55	1.89
Total interest-bearing core deposits	0.29	0.43
Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more	1.11	1.38
Federal funds purchased	0.25	0.26
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	0.13	0.17
Capital markets trading liabilities	2.41	1.87
Other short-term borrowings	0.39	0.14
Term borrowings	2.03	1.70
Interest expense / total interest-bearing liabilities	0.58	0.64
merest expense / total merest-bearing natimites	0.00	0.04
Net interest served	0.00 M	2000
Net interest spread	2.83 %	3.00 %
Effect of interest-free sources used to fund earning assets	0.14	0.15

Net interest margin (b)

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

(a) 2013 driven by negative market rates on reverse repurchase agreements.

(b) Calculated using total net interest income adjusted for FTE. Refer to the Non-GAAP to GAAP reconciliation Table 24.

FHN s net interest margin is expected to remain under pressure during the fourth quarter of 2013 and into 2014, as FHN expects interest rates to remain at historically low levels which will result in continued pressure on yields in the loan portfolios.

PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES

The provision for loan losses is the charge to earnings that management determines to be necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses (ALLL) at a sufficient level reflecting managements estimate of probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses was \$10.0 million in third quarter 2013 compared to \$40.0 million in third quarter 2012. The provision for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$40.0 million compared to \$63.0 million in the comparative period of 2012. Provision expense in both periods of 2012 includes approximately \$30.0 million associated with the implementation of regulatory guidance related to discharged bankruptcies. Both periods reflect aggregate improvement in the loan portfolio.

NONINTEREST INCOME

Noninterest income was \$150.5 million in third quarter 2013, down \$13.1 million from the prior year, largely driven by lower capital markets fixed income revenue, but somewhat mitigated by higher mortgage banking income and an increase in revenues from brokerage, management fees, and commissions. During third quarter 2013 and 2012, noninterest income was 49 percent of total revenue. During the first nine months of 2013, noninterest income decreased to \$449.5 million from \$524.9 million in 2012, primarily due to declines in capital markets fixed income revenue, and mortgage banking income. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, noninterest income was 48 percent of total revenue, compared to 50 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Capital Markets Noninterest Income

Capital markets noninterest income declined 20 percent and 19 percent during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 to \$64.3 million and \$212.7 million, respectively. Revenue from fixed income sales was down in both periods reflecting less favorable market conditions in 2013, including market volatility and an increase in interest rates beginning in the latter part of the second quarter of 2013. Additionally, uncertainty surrounding potential actions of the Federal Reserve contributed to lower fixed income revenue in 2013. Revenue from other products increased \$3.6 million to \$9.9 million in third quarter 2013, and increased \$11.4 million to \$31.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, due primarily to an increase in revenues from loan trading and related activities. Other product revenues during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, also includes \$3.5 million of gains recognized within the non-strategic segment from the reversal of previously established LOCOM valuation adjustments associated with TRUP loan payoffs.

Table 2 Capital Markets Noninterest Income

	Three Months Ended September 30 Percent		Nine Mor Septen	Percent		
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	Change	2013	2012	Change
Noninterest income:						
Fixed income	\$ 54,428	\$ 74,488	(27)%	\$ 180,916	\$ 241,999	(25)%
Other product revenue	9,855	6,285	57%	31,795	20,430	56%
Total capital markets noninterest income	\$ 64,283	\$ 80,773	(20)%	\$ 212,711	\$ 262,429	(19)%

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Deposit Fee Income

Deposit transactions and cash management income declined 4 percent to \$29.3 million in third quarter 2013 and declined 5 percent to \$85.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The decline in both comparative periods was due to lower NSF fee income which was driven by lower volume from a decrease in small balance deposit accounts, a refinement of sort order processes, and overall changes in consumer behavior.

Mortgage Banking Noninterest Income

Mortgage banking income is primarily comprised of servicing income related to legacy mortgage banking operations and fair value adjustments to the mortgage warehouse. Mortgage banking income increased to \$14.5 million in third quarter 2013 from \$10.4 million in third quarter 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, mortgage banking income decreased \$14.2 million, or 33 percent, to \$29.4 million.

Servicing income, which includes fees for servicing mortgage loans, changes in the value of servicing assets, results of hedging servicing assets, and the negative impact of runoff on the value of MSR, is the largest component of mortgage banking income. Total servicing income increased to \$17.8 million in third quarter 2013 from \$12.2 million in third quarter 2012, largely driven by an increase in net hedging results, but somewhat offset by a decline in servicing fees. During third quarter 2013, FHN signed a definitive agreement to sell substantially all remaining legacy mortgage servicing, and as a result of the valuation adjustment reflecting the terms of the agreement, positive net hedging results increased to \$12.9 million from \$4.5 million in third quarter 2012. Servicing fees declined 21 percent during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 to \$10.9 million consistent with the mortgage servicing portfolio decline.

Total servicing income for the first nine months of 2013 was \$34.6 million compared to \$43.1 million in 2012, driven by a decline in servicing fees, somewhat mitigated by increased net hedging results and a reduction in the negative impact of runoff on the value of MSR. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, servicing fees declined 23 percent to \$35.3 million, which is directionally consistent with the decline in balances of the servicing portfolio. Net hedging results increased during this period, as compared to the prior year, as the valuation adjustment reflecting the terms of the definitive agreement to sell servicing more than offset more narrow spreads between swap and mortgage rates in 2013 relative to 2012. The negative impact to the value of MSR that is attributable to runoff was \$17.0 million and \$18.2 million in the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Mortgage banking income also includes fair value adjustments to the legacy mortgage warehouse, origination income, and other income. Negative fair value adjustments to the mortgage warehouse for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 were \$1.4 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. The fair value adjustment in third quarter 2013 was largely associated with credit risk while the year-to-date adjustments were primarily driven by interest rate increases that occurred in second quarter 2013. The negative valuation adjustment in third quarter 2012 was \$3.5 million and was \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as both periods were more significantly affected by changes in credit risk. Origination income in 2013 declined 82 percent for both the quarterly and year-to-date periods as FHN shifted from originations to a referral fee-based model. For both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, other mortgage banking income included a \$2.2 million charge associated with estimated costs for obligations related to the agreement to sell mortgage servicing. The nine months ended September 30, 2012 include charges associated with contingencies related to prior servicing sales.

Table 3 Mortgage Banking Noninterest Income

	Three Mon Septem 2013		Percent Change	Nine Mon Septen 2013	ths Ended iber 30 2012	Percent Change
Noninterest income (thousands):			8-			51111-81
Origination income	\$ 293	\$ 1,622	(82)%	\$ 690	\$ 3,750	(82)%
Mortgage warehouse valuation	(1,441)	(3,470)	58%	(3,636)	(1,204)	NM
Servicing income/(expense):						
Servicing fees	10,854	13,778	(21)%	35,265	45,964	(23)%
Change in MSR value runoff	(5,989)	(6,049)	1%	(16,980)	(18,212)	7%
Net hedging results (a)	12,935	4,486	NM	16,275	15,383	6%
Total servicing income	17,800	12,215	46%	34,560	43,135	(20)%
Other (b)	(2,192)	6	NM	(2,192)	(2,078)	(5)%
Total mortgage banking noninterest income	\$ 14,460	\$ 10,373	39%	\$ 29,422	\$ 43,603	(33)%

	As of September 30, 2013 2012		Percent Change
Mortgage banking statistics (millions):			U
Servicing portfolio owned (first lien mortgage loans) (c)	\$ 13,784	\$ 17,536	(21)%

NM not meaningful

- (a) Three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, includes an increase in net hedging results driven by the mark to the terms of the mortgage servicing sale agreement.
- (b) Three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, includes a negative adjustment as a result of estimated costs for obligations associated with the agreement to sell servicing. Nine months ended September 30, 2012, includes a \$2.4 million negative adjustment related to contingencies for prior servicing sales.

(c) Excludes foreclosed assets.

Noninterest income from brokerage, management fees and commissions was \$10.9 million and \$30.8 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of 25 percent and 19 percent, respectively, from the comparative periods in 2012. Trust services and investment management income also increased in 2013 relative to the prior year, resulting in a 10 percent improvement in third quarter 2013 and a 9 percent improvement for the first nine months of 2013. Both categories benefited from FHN s strategic focus on growing these businesses through new customers and also referrals from wealth management for trust services.

During the nine month period ended September 30, 2012, FHN recognized a \$5.1 million gain on sale of a venture capital investment.

Other Noninterest Income

Table of Contents

Other income and commissions decreased \$2.2 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 to \$24.2 million largely driven by \$.8 million of interest associated with a tax refund and \$1.2 million gain on the sale of bank branches recognized in third quarter 2012.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, other income and commissions decreased \$7.6 million to \$69.8 million compared to the same period in 2012. Bankcard income declined 7 percent, or \$1.1 million, to \$15.5 million in 2013. The decrease in bankcard income relates to incentives received from Visa in 2012 and are not anticipated for 2013 due to transaction volume. All other income decreased \$5.3 million to \$11.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 2012. Significant drivers of the decrease relate to \$3.0 million gains on the sales of bank properties, a \$2.3 million gain related to the resolution of a legal matter, and \$1.0 million of interest associated with a tax refund which were all recognized in 2012. The following table provides detail regarding FHN s other income.

Table 4 Other Income

		Three Months Ended September 30		ths Ended ther 30
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Other income:				
Bankcard income	\$ 5,303	\$ 5,298	\$ 15,484	\$ 16,618
Other service charges	3,707	3,263	10,296	9,768
Bank owned life insurance	3,560	4,293	12,978	13,724
ATM interchange fees	2,680	2,579	7,691	7,804
Deferred compensation	2,160	1,966	3,475	4,065
Electronic banking fees	1,607	1,589	4,754	4,927
Letter of credit fees	1,171	1,072	3,866	3,966
Other	3,996	6,280	11,230	16,535

Total