NEOGEN CORP Form DEF 14A August 30, 2013 **Table of Contents**

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

	PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a)		
	OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934		
Filed by the Registrant [X]			
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant []		
Check the appropriate box:			
"Preliminary Proxy Statement			
"Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e) (2))			
x Definitive Proxy Statement			
"Definitive Additional Materials			
"Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section240	0.14a-11(c) or Section240.14a-12		
	Neogen Corporation		
	(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)		
	(
(Name	of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)		
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropria	ate box)		
x No fee required.			
"Fee computed on table below per Exchang	ge Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.		
"Fee computed on table below per Exchang	ge Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.		

Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

	2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): Purchase price of subsidiaries used to calculate fee
	4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
·· Fe	5) e paid pro	Total fee paid: eviously with preliminary materials.
		if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was sly. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
1)	Amoun	t Previously Paid:
2)	Form, S	Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
3)	Filing I	Party:
4)	Date Fi	led:

August 30, 2013

To Our Shareholders:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Neogen Corporation on Thursday, October 3, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Time. The Annual Meeting will be held at the University Club of Michigan State University, located at 3435 Forest Road, Lansing, Michigan 48909.

The Annual Meeting will feature a report on Neogen s business activities, and voting on the election of directors and other important proposals. We also will have product displays and product demonstrations by company personnel. On the following pages you will find the notice of the Annual Meeting of Shareholders and the proxy statement.

It is important that your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting, regardless of how many shares you own. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, **please sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card as soon as possible**. Sending a proxy card will not affect your right to vote in person if you attend the meeting.

Sincerely,

James L. Herbert

Chairman & Chief Executive Officer

Your vote is important. Even if you plan to attend the meeting,

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY PROMPTLY.

620 Lesher Place

Lansing, MI 48912

NOTICE OF 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF NEOGEN CORPORATION

Date: October 3, 2013

Time: 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time

Place: The University Club of Michigan State University, 3435 Forest Road, Lansing, Michigan 48909

Items of Business:

The election of three Class II directors, each to serve for a three year term; and

To approve by non-binding vote, the compensation of executives; and

To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2014; and

To act upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement accompanying this notice.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the meeting. At the meeting, you will hear a report on the Company s business and have a chance to meet the directors and executive officers. A copy of the 2013 Annual Report is enclosed.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on <u>August 5, 2013</u> are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting.

Your vote is important. Please vote your shares promptly. Complete, sign, date and return your proxy card to vote your shares. Any shareholder attending the meeting may vote in person even if he or she returned a proxy.

Steven J. Quinlan

Secretary August 30, 2013

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
General Information	1
Proposal 1 Election of Directors	4
Proposal 2 To approve, by non-binding vote, the compensation of executives	7
Proposal 3 Ratification of appointment of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm	9
Stock Ownership	10
Information about the Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Matters	12
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	14
Compensation Committee Report	20
Executive Compensation	21
Compensation of Directors	24
Audit Committee Report	26
Additional Information	27

Neogen Corporation

620 Lesher Place

Lansing, MI 48912

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

October 3, 2013

GENERAL INFORMATION

These proxy materials are provided in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of proxies to be used at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Neogen Corporation (the Annual Meeting) to be held on Thursday, October 3, 2013 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, at the University Club of Michigan State University, located at 3435 Forest Road, Lansing, Michigan 48909, and at any adjournment of the meeting. The solicitation will begin on or about August 30, 2013.

There are three proposals scheduled to be voted on at the Annual Meeting:

Election of three Directors; and

Proposal to approve, by non-binding vote, the compensation of executives; and

Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2014. **Revocation of Proxies**

Any proxy given pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by the person giving it at any time before its exercise by the filing of a written notice of revocation with our Secretary, by delivering to our Secretary at our offices at 620 Lesher Place, Lansing, Michigan, 48912, a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

Voting and Solicitation

All shares represented by a properly executed proxy will be voted unless the proxy is revoked. If a choice is specified, it will be voted in accordance with the specification. If no choice is specified, the proxy holders will vote the shares in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors, which are set forth with the discussion of each matter later in this Proxy Statement. With respect to any matter not set forth on the proxy card that properly comes before the Annual Meeting, the proxy holders named in the proxy card will vote as the Board of Directors recommends or, if the Board makes no recommendation, at their discretion.

In summary, the Board recommends that you vote:

FOR the election of the nominees for Director; and

FOR the proposal to approve, by non-binding vote, the compensation of executives; and

FOR ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2014.

All shareholders at the close of business on August 5, 2013, the record date for the meeting, are entitled to vote at the meeting. On August 5, 2013 there were 24,077,000 shares of the Company s common stock outstanding. For each proposal, each shareholder is entitled to one vote for each share of Neogen Corporation common stock owned at that time.

1

Table of Contents

If you are a shareholder of record, you may vote by mail by completing, dating and signing your proxy card and mailing it in the envelope provided. You should sign your name exactly as it appears on the proxy card. If you are signing in a representative capacity (for example, as officer of a corporation, guardian, executor, trustee or custodian) you should indicate your name and title or capacity.

You may also vote in person at the Annual Meeting or may be represented by another person at the meeting after designating that person by executing a proper proxy.

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other holder of record, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name. As the beneficial owner, you will receive instructions from the street name holder that you must follow in order to have your shares voted.

If your shares are held in street name and you wish to vote in person at the meeting, you must obtain a proxy issued in your name from the street name holder.

If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name, you may submit new voting instructions by contacting your brokerage firm, bank or other holder of record.

A broker non-vote occurs when a shareholder holds his or her stock through a broker and the broker does not vote those shares. This usually occurs because the broker has not received timely voting instructions from the shareholder and the broker does not have discretionary voting power for the particular item upon which the vote is taken. Under applicable law and New York Stock Exchange rules and regulations, brokers have the discretion to vote on routine matters, such as the ratification of the appointment of the Company s independent auditors. Neither the election of directors nor the advisory vote on the Company s compensation arrangements is considered to be a routine matter under applicable NYSE rules.

It is important that you instruct your broker how to vote shares held by you in street name using the vote instruction form provided by your broker. Your broker should vote your shares as you direct if you provide timely instructions on how to vote by following the information provided to you by your broker.

A plurality of the shares voting is required to elect directors. This means that the nominees who receive the most votes will be elected to the open director positions. In counting votes on the election of directors, abstentions, broker non-votes and other shares not voted will be counted as not voted.

The proposal to approve by non-binding vote, the compensation of executives and the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young, LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for 2014 will be approved if a quorum is present for the conduct of business and a majority of the shares voted at the meeting are voted in favor of the proposal.

As to the election of directors, the three nominees who receive the greatest number of votes will be elected to a three-year term. In accordance with the Company's Governance Guidelines, in an uncontested election (i.e., an election where the only nominees are those recommended by the Board of Directors), any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes withheld from his or her election than votes for such election (a Majority Withheld Vote) shall promptly tender his or her resignation to the Board of Directors for consideration in accordance with the procedures described below, following certification of the shareholder vote. The Governance Committee shall promptly consider the resignation offer and recommend to the Board of Directors action with respect to the tendered resignation, which may include accepting the resignation, maintaining the director but addressing the underlying cause of the withheld votes, determining not to re-nominate the director in the future, rejecting the resignation, or any other action such Committee deems to be appropriate and in the best interests of the Company.

Table of Contents

In considering what action to recommend with respect to the tendered resignation, the Governance Committee will take into account all factors deemed relevant by the members of the Governance Committee including, without limitation, any stated reasons why shareholders withheld votes for election from such director, the length of service and qualifications of the director whose resignation has been tendered, the overall composition of the Board of Directors, the director s contributions to the Company, the mix of skills and backgrounds on the Board of Directors, whether accepting the tendered resignation would cause the Company to fail to meet any applicable requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission or Nasdaq, and the Company s Governance Guidelines. The Board of Directors will act on the Governance Committee s recommendation no later than 90 days following certification of the shareholder vote. In considering the Governance Committee s recommendation, the Board of Directors will consider the factors and possible actions considered by the Governance Committee and such additional information, factors and possible actions as the Board of Directors believes to be relevant or appropriate. To the extent that one or more directors resignations are accepted by the Board of Directors, the Governance Committee will recommend to the Board of Directors whether to fill such vacancy or vacancies or to reduce the size of the Board of Directors.

PROPOSALS FOR SHAREHOLDER ACTION

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company s Bylaws provide that the Company shall have at least five and no more than nine directors, with the exact number to be determined by the Board. The Board of Directors currently is comprised of nine directors. The directors are classified into three classes to serve for the terms set forth next to their names or until their successors have been duly qualified and elected.

Unless otherwise instructed, proxy holders will vote the proxies received by them for the election of the nominees named below. All of the nominees for director are currently directors of the Company. If any nominee becomes unavailable for any reason, it is intended that the proxies will be voted for a substitute nominee designated by the Board. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that the nominees named will be unable to serve if elected. Any vacancy occurring on the Board of Directors for any reason may be filled by vote of a majority of the directors then in office for the full term of the class in which the vacancy occurs.

Evniration of

2015

Nominees	Expiration of Proposed Term
Class II:	Troposed Term
William T. Boehm, Ph.D.	2016
Jack C. Parnell	2016
Clayton K. Yeutter, Ph.D.	2016
Directors continuing in office	Expiration of Term
Class III:	
James L. Herbert	2014
G. Bruce Papesh	2014
Thomas H. Reed	2014
Class I:	
Lon M. Bohannon	2015
Richard T. Crowder, Ph.D.	2015

			Director
Name of Director	Age	Position	Since
James L. Herbert	73	Chairman and CEO of the Company, Director	1982
Lon M. Bohannon	60	President and COO of the Company, Director	1996
William T. Boehm, Ph.D. (2) (3)	66	Director	2011
Richard T. Crowder, Ph.D. (2) (3)	74	Director	2009
A. Charles Fischer (1) (4)	71	Director	2006
G. Bruce Papesh (4)	66	Director	1993
Jack C. Parnell (1) (2) (4) (5)	78	Director	1993
Thomas H. Reed (1) (4)	68	Director	1995
Clayton K. Yeutter, Ph.D. (3)	83	Director	2007

(1) Member, Compensation Committee

A. Charles Fischer

- (2) Member, Stock Option Committee
- (3) Member, Audit Committee
- (4) Member, Governance Committee
- (5) Lead Independent Director

Table of Contents

The following is a brief summary of the business experience for at least the past five years of each of the nominees and for the current members of the Board of Directors.

Nominees for the Board of Directors:

Dr. William T. Boehm is a retired vice president of Kroger Co. and former senior economist for the President s Council of Economic Advisors under President Carter. Dr. Boehm joined Kroger as Director of Economic Research, and held positions of increasing responsibility with the company until his retirement in 2008. During the 1990s, he held senior executive positions in both procurement and logistics with Kroger and was promoted to Senior Vice President of the Manufacturing Division in 2004. Dr. Boehm served on the Board of the International Dairy Foods Association and the Milk Industry Foundation, and was a member of the Council of Logistics Management and the Private Label Manufacturing Association. He currently serves on the Boards of Great Wide Logistics, a trucking and logistics services company, FLM, a strategic planning, issues management and advertising firm specializing in agricultural firms, and GLK Foods, a producer, processor and marketing firm specializing in sauerkraut. He remains active in professional associations and academia. Dr. Boehm s wealth of experience in agriculture, and virtually all aspects of the food service industry, make him well qualified to serve on the Board of Directors.

Jack C. Parnell was elected to the Board of Directors in October 1993 and as Chairman of the Board in October 2001. In 2006, Mr. Parnell resigned as Chairman, but remained a Director. Since 1991, he has held the position of Governmental Relations Advisor with the law firm of Kahn, Soares and Conway in Sacramento, California. In 1989, Mr. Parnell was appointed by President George H. W. Bush to serve as Deputy Secretary of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. From 1983 to 1989, he served in three different senior governmental positions for the state of California, including Secretary of the California Department of Food and Agriculture from 1987 to 1989. Mr. Parnell s service in senior governmental positions in the state of California and U.S. Department of Agriculture allows him to uniquely advise the Board and management on matters of government relations and regulation. It is because of this experience as well as his general business knowledge that he is most valuable as a member of the Board of Directors.

Dr. Clayton K. Yeutter was first elected to the Board of Directors in October 2007. Dr. Yeutter was actively involved in his family s ranching and cattle feeding operation in Nebraska until 2011. He has also served in sub-cabinet or cabinet-level positions under four presidents of the United States, with his last position as Secretary of Agriculture under President George H.W. Bush. Dr. Yeutter is a former CEO of the Chicago Merchantile Exchange and he has also served on the Boards of Directors of Caterpillar, Texas Instruments, Weyerhaeuser Company, ConAgra Foods and Zurich Financial Services, among several others. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of Burlington Capital Group. As Neogen s international trade has grown to a much higher level, his international insight is of great value to management and the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the above nominees.

Other current members of the Board of Directors:

Lon M. Bohannon is President and Chief Operating Officer of Neogen Corporation. He was elected to the Board of Directors in October 1996. Mr. Bohannon joined Neogen in October 1985 as Vice President of Finance, was promoted to Vice President Administration and Chief Financial Officer in November 1994 and was named Chief Operating Officer in 1999 and President and COO in 2006. He is responsible for all areas of the Company s operations except research, corporate development, Geneseek and Neogen Europe. A certified public accountant, Mr. Bohannon served as Administrative Controller for Federal Forge, Inc., a metal forging and stamping firm, from March 1980 until October 1985, and was associated with the public accounting firm of Ernst & Young, LLP, from June 1975 to March 1980. Mr. Bohannon has previously announced his intention to retire from the Company on August 31, 2013, and will also resign from the Board at that time.

Dr. Richard T. Crowder was first elected to the Board of Directors in 2009. He currently serves as Thornhill Professor of Agricultural Trade at Virginia Tech University. From September 2008 until June 30, 2013, he served as adjunct professor of Agricultural Economics at Virginia Tech University. From January 2006 until May 2007,

5

he served as United States Chief Agriculture Negotiator with the rank of Ambassador. From May 2007 until April 2008, he served as a Senior Adviser to the United States Trade Representative. Prior to this appointment, he served as Chief Executive Officer of the American Seed Trade Association from 2002 to 2006. For five years, he served as Senior Vice President of International for DeKalb Genetics Corporation (later acquired by Monsanto) and for two years as Executive Vice President of Armour-Swift-Eckrich. He was appointed by President George H.W. Bush to serve from 1989 until 1992 as Under Secretary of the United States Department of Agriculture responsible for international affairs and commodity programs. Dr. Crowder held various senior management positions with Pillsbury Company (now part of General Mills) for 14 years, including internal board level responsibilities with Burger King Corporation and Steak and Ale Corporation. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of Smithfield Foods and the Board of Trustees of the Farm Foundation. He previously served on the Board of Directors of Soo Line Corporation, Penford Corporation, Commodity Credit Corporation, and Rural Telephone Bank. Dr. Crowder holds B.S. and M.S. degrees from Virginia Tech University and a Ph.D. from Oklahoma State University. Dr. Crowder s more than 40 years of experience in the food, agriculture, and trade industries provides great value and insight to the Board of Directors.

A. Charles Fischer served as President and CEO of Dow AgroSciences and as a member of Dow Chemical Company s Executive Management Team until his retirement in 2004. He was elected to the Board of Directors of the Company in October 2006. Mr. Fischer s career with Dow Chemical spanned 37 years and included assignments in South America, Europe, the Middle East and Africa. He served as president of CropLife International and CropLife America, as chairman of the National FFA Foundation and was associated in various capacities with the Central Indiana Life Sciences Initiative and the Biotechnology Industry Organization. Mr. Fischer s management experience, and in particular his international experience, is most highly valued by the Board of Directors.

James L. Herbert is Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Neogen Corporation. Previously he was President, Chief Executive Officer, and a Director of the Company since he joined Neogen in June 1982. He resigned as President, but remained CEO and was named Chairman in 2006. Prior to joining Neogen he held the position of Corporate Vice President of DeKalb Ag Research, a major agricultural genetics and energy company. He has management experience in animal biologics, specialized chemical research, medical instruments, aquaculture, animal nutrition, and poultry and livestock breeding and production.

G. Bruce Papesh was elected to the Board of Directors in October 1993 and was the Company s Secretary from October 1994 to October 1999. Since 1987, Mr. Papesh has served as President of Dart, Papesh & Company Inc., member SIPC and FINRA, an investment consulting and financial services firm. Mr. Papesh also served until October 1, 2001 on the Board of Directors of Immucor, Inc., an immunodiagnostics company that manufactures and markets products for the human clinical blood bank industry. Mr. Papesh has experience in the investment securities industry and in financial analysis which contribute greatly to the Board of Directors.

Thomas H. Reed was elected to the Board of Directors in October 1995 and served as the Company s Secretary from October 1999 to October 2007. From 2009 to 2010 he was a consultant to the President of JBS Packerland North America. From 2003 to 2009, Mr. Reed was Senior Vice President of JBS Packerland, a beef processing company and its successor companies, Smithfield Foods, Beef Division, and JBS Packerland North America. Prior to assuming that position, he served as Vice President of Michigan Livestock Exchange Marketing, a division of Southern States Cooperative, Inc. and prior to that as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Michigan Livestock Exchange. Mr. Reed is a former member of the Board of Directors of the National Livestock Producers Association and is a former chairman of the Michigan State University Board of Trustees. Mr. Reed s experience in animal processing and general agriculture provide insight and value to the Board of Directors.

6

PROPOSAL 2: TO APPROVE, BY NON-BINDING VOTE, THE COMPENSATION OF

EXECUTIVES

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement describes, among other things, the Company's executive compensation policies and practices. A federal law passed in 2010 requires that shareholders be given the opportunity to express their approval of the compensation of Company executives, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement. Under the federal legislation that requires this vote, the shareholder vote is not binding on the Board of Directors or the Company and may not be construed as overruling any decision made by the Board or the Company and the legislation does not create or imply any change in the fiduciary duties owed by the Board. However, the Board of Directors values the views of shareholders and intends to take the outcome of this annual shareholder advisory vote into consideration when making future executive compensation decisions.

Therefore, at the Annual Meeting, shareholders will be given the opportunity to vote, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, to approve the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement under Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the Summary Compensation Table . This vote proposal is commonly known as a say-on-pay proposal and gives shareholders the opportunity to endorse or not endorse the executive pay program. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of executive compensation, but rather the overall compensation of the named executive officers and the policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement. Shareholders are encouraged to read the full details of the Company s executive compensation program, including the primary objectives in setting executive pay, under Compensation Objectives as described in this Proxy Statement.

The Company evaluates the compensation of its executives at least once each year to assess whether compensation policies and programs are achieving their primary objectives. Based on its most recent evaluation, the Board of Directors believe the Company s executive compensation programs achieve these objectives, including aligning the interests of management with those of shareholders, and are therefore worthy of shareholder support. In determining how to vote on this proposal, shareholders should consider the following:

Independent Compensation Committee. Seven of our nine directors are deemed independent pursuant to applicable Nasdaq standards. Three of these independent directors serve on the Compensation Committee. Meetings of this Committee include executive sessions in which management is not present.

Performance-Based Incentives. Total compensation for executives is structured so that a majority of the total earning potential is derived from performance-based incentives.

Stock Options. A significant percentage of executives compensation is paid in the form of stock options that vest over a five-year period. These stock awards help align the executives interests with longer term shareholder returns and also serve to help retain the services of executives.

No Severance Payments. If employment is terminated without cause, executives are not entitled to golden parachute or other executive severance payments upon termination, except for a contractual employment arrangement for Mr. Stephen K. Snyder, President-Elect & Chief Operating Officer-Elect, who joined the Company on June 24, 2013. If during his first twelve months of employment he is terminated without cause, he is entitled to a payment of \$20,000 per month for a period not to exceed twelve months. Once his first twelve months passes, there is no further severance obligation.

Table of Contents

For these reasons, the Board of Directors recommends that you vote <u>FOR</u> the adoption of the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders of Neogen Corporation approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the Summary Compensation Table set forth in the Company s Proxy Statement for its 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Vote Required

The proposal to approve the compensation of the Company s named executive officers requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal.

8

PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP to serve as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for 2014. While not required, we are submitting the appointment to the shareholders for their ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting on the proposal is required for ratification. **The Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote FOR ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2014.** If the appointment is not ratified, it will be considered as a recommendation that the Audit Committee consider the appointment of a different firm to serve as independent registered public accounting firm for the year 2015. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of Neogen Corporation and its shareholders.

Relationship with Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst & Young LLP has acted as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for ten years. Ernst & Young LLP has advised that neither the firm nor any of its members or associates has any direct financial interest or any material indirect financial interest in the Company or any of its affiliates other than as auditors. Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting with the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The fees billed by Ernst & Young LLP with respect to the years ended May 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	2013	2012
Audit Fees	\$ 350,350	0 \$ 296,300
Audit-Related Fees		
Tax Fees		
All Other Fees		
	\$ 350,350	0 \$ 296,300

Audit Fees include amounts billed for the annual audit of the Company's fiscal year Consolidated Financial Statements, the audit of internal controls over financial reporting, the review of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Forms 10-Q, and consultations concerning accounting matters associated with the annual audit. Audit-Related Fees include amounts billed for general accounting consultations and services that are reasonably related to the annual audit. In connection with its review and evaluation of non-audit services, the Audit Committee is required to and does consider and conclude that the provision of non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the independence of Ernst & Young LLP.

Under its charter, the Audit Committee must pre-approve all audit and non-audit services to be performed by Ernst & Young LLP. In the event management wishes to engage Ernst & Young LLP to perform non-audit services, a summary of the proposed engagement is prepared detailing the nature of the engagement, the reasons why Ernst & Young LLP is the preferred provider of the services and the estimated duration and cost of the engagement. The Audit Committee reviews and evaluates recurring non-audit services and proposed fees as the need arises at their regularly scheduled committee meetings. At subsequent meetings, the Audit Committee receives updates regarding the services actually provided and management may present additional services for approval. The Audit Committee has delegated to the Chairman or, in his absence, any other member of the Committee, the authority to evaluate and approve projects and related fees of up to \$10,000, if circumstances require approval between meetings of the Committee. Any such approval is reported to the full Committee at its next meeting.

STOCK OWNERSHIP

Principal Shareholders

The following table sets forth certain information, as of August 5, 2013, with respect to beneficial ownership of Common Stock by the only persons known by the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of Neogen Corporation Common Stock.

Name and Address of

Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class (%)
Brown Capital Management, Inc. 1201 North Calvert Street Baltimore, MD 21202	3,010,614	12.5%
Black Rock Global Investors 400 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105	1,758,162	7.3%
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern, PA 19355	1,345,317	5.6%
Riverbridge Partners 801 Nicollet Mall Suite 600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	1,333,113	5.6%

10

Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth certain information about the ownership of Neogen Corporation Common Stock as of August 5, 2013 held by the current directors, each nominee for director, the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table under Executive Compensation and all executive officers and directors as a group.

Name	Number of Shares Owned (1)	Right to Acquire (2)	Total	Percentage of Outstanding Shares
James L. Herbert	839,529(3)	112,314	951,843	3.9%
Lon M. Bohannon	127,758	28,199	155,957	*
William T. Boehm Ph.D.	1,500	4,333	5,833	*
Richard T. Crowder Ph.D.	1,000	10,833	11,833	*
A. Charles Fischer	3,000	12,333	15,333	*
G. Bruce Papesh	13,131	31,458	44,589	*
Jack C. Parnell	14,787	1,000	15,787	*
Thomas H. Reed	3,668	9,333	13,001	*
Clayton K. Yeutter Ph.D.	6,960(4)	16,833	23,793	*
Stephen K. Snyder				*
Steven J. Quinlan	3,917(5)	2,500	6,417	*
Edward L. Bradley	121,687(6)	79,431	201,118	*
Terri A. Morrical	28,573(7)	41,651	70,224	*
Executive officers, directors and nominees as a group (17 persons)	1,186,427	385,219	1,571,646	6.4%