

BECTON DICKINSON & CO  
Form 8-K  
June 06, 2012

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 8-K**

**CURRENT REPORT**

**PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE**  
**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported) June 5, 2012**

**BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY**

**(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)**

**New Jersey**

**(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)**

Edgar Filing: BECTON DICKINSON & CO - Form 8-K

**001-4802**  
(Commission File Number)

**22-0760120**  
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**1 Becton Drive, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**07417-1880**  
(Zip Code)

**(201) 847-6800**

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

N/A

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K Filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

- .. Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- .. Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- .. Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- .. Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

**Item 8.01 Other Events.**

In the antitrust class actions consolidated in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey under the caption *In re Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation*, on June 5, 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit reversed a decision of the District Court and ruled that the distributor plaintiffs, not the hospital plaintiffs, are direct purchasers entitled to pursue damages under the federal antitrust laws for certain sales of BD products. The previously reported settlement agreement entered into on April 27, 2009, by Becton, Dickinson and Company ( BD ) and certain purchaser plaintiffs (including BD s distributors) was contingent on a ruling that the distributor plaintiffs are the direct purchasers entitled to pursue damages. The agreement provided for, among other things, the payment by BD of \$45 million in exchange for a release by all potential class members of the direct purchaser claims under federal antitrust laws related to the products and acts enumerated in the complaint, and a dismissal of the case with prejudice, insofar as it relates to direct purchaser claims. The release would not cover potential class members that affirmatively opt out of the settlement. The settlement agreement remains in effect, subject to certain termination provisions, and must be approved by the district court.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Gary DeFazio  
Gary DeFazio  
Vice President and Corporate Secretary

Date: June 6, 2012