CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT Corp Form S-1/A December 28, 2011 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 28, 2011

Registration No. 333-177985

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 1

to

FORM S-1 REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of

7993 (Primary Standard Industrial 62-1411755 (I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or organization)

Classification Code Number) One Caesars Palace Drive Identification No.)

Las Vegas, NV 89109

(702) 407-6000

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of Registrant s Principal Executive Offices)

Michael D. Cohen, Esq.

Senior Vice President, Deputy General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Caesars Entertainment Corporation

One Caesars Palace Drive

Las Vegas, NV 89109

(702) 407-6000

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

With a copy to:

Monica K. Thurmond, Esq.

William M. Hartnett, Esq.

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP

William J. Miller, Esq.

1285 Avenue of the Americas

John A. Tripodoro, Esq.

New York, New York 10019-6064

Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP

(212) 373-3000

80 Pine Street

New York, New York 10005

(212) 701-3000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box. | þ

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| Large accelerated filer | " | Accelerated filer |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Non-accelerated filer | b (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company |

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of each Class of Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Securities to be Registered Price Source Stock, \$0.01 par value Stock, \$0.00 par value Amount of Aggregate Offering Registration Fee Source Stock, \$0.00 par value Stock,

- (1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(o) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act).
- (2) Previously paid.

The registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion

Preliminary Prospectus dated December 28, 2011

PRELIMINARY PROSPECTUS

Shares

Caesars Entertainment Corporation

Common Stock

\$ per share

This is the initial public offering of our common stock. We are selling an aggregate of

shares in this offering.

We have granted to the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to underwriting discounts and commissions.

additional shares from us at the initial public offering price less

Investing in our common stock involves risks. You should read the section entitled <u>Risk Factors</u> beginning on page 19 for a discussion of certain risks that you should consider before investing in our common stock.

| | | Underwriting Discounts and | |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| | Price to Public | Commissions | Proceeds to Us |
| Per Share | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Delivery of the shares of common stock will be made on or about

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Prospectus dated

, 2011.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Prospectus Summary | 1 |
|---|-----|
| Risk Factors | 19 |
| Cautionary Statements Concerning Forward Looking Statements | 34 |
| Market and Industry Data and Forecasts | 36 |
| <u>Use of Proceeds</u> | 37 |
| <u>Capitalization</u> | 38 |
| <u>Dilution</u> | 40 |
| Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data | 42 |
| Dividend Policy | 45 |
| Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 46 |
| <u>Industry</u> | 73 |
| Business | 79 |
| Gaming Regulatory Overview | 92 |
| <u>Management</u> | 102 |
| Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management | 141 |
| Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions | 143 |
| Description of Indebtedness | 146 |
| Description of Capital Stock | 150 |
| Shares Eligible for Future Sale | 154 |
| Certain U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations | 155 |
| <u>Underwriting</u> | 159 |
| Legal Matters | 165 |
| <u>Experts</u> | 165 |
| Where You Can Find Additional Information | 165 |
| Index to Financial Statements | F-1 |
| | |

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus or to which we have referred you. We have not authorized any other person to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not making an offer to sell these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date on the front cover of this prospectus. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since that date.

We have proprietary rights to a number of trademarks used in this prospectus that are important to our business, including, without limitation, Caesars Entertainment, Caesars Palace, Harrah s, Total Rewards, World Series of Poker, Horseshoe, Paris Las Vegas, Bally s Las Vegas and Flamingo Las Vegas. We have omitted the [®] and trademark designations for such trademarks named in this prospectus.

Dealer Prospectus Delivery Obligation

Until , 2011, all dealers that effect transactions in these securities, whether or not participating in this offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to the dealer s obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as an underwriter and with respect to unsold allotments or subscriptions.

i

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary contains information about Caesars Entertainment Corporation and its common stock. It does not contain all of the information that may be important to you in making a decision to participate in the offering. For a more complete understanding of Caesars Entertainment Corporation, we urge you to read this prospectus carefully, including the sections entitled Risk Factors, Cautionary Statements Concerning Forward Looking Statements and Where You Can Find Additional Information. In connection with the reclassification of our common stock in 2010, we changed our name from Harrah s Entertainment, Inc. to Caesars Entertainment Corporation, and the name of our operating company, Harrah s Operating Company, Inc., to Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. Unless otherwise noted or indicated by the context, the term Caesars refers to Caesars Entertainment Corporation, we, us and our refer to Caesars and its consolidated subsidiaries, and CEOC refers to Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc.

Our Company

We are the world s most diversified casino-entertainment provider and the most geographically diverse U.S. casino-entertainment company. As of September 30, 2011, we owned, operated or managed, through various subsidiaries, 52 casinos in 12 U.S. states and seven countries. The vast majority of these casinos operate in the United States and England, primarily under the Caesars, Harrah s and Horseshoe brand names in the United States. As of September 30, 2011, our facilities had an aggregate of approximately three million square feet of gaming space and approximately 42,000 hotel rooms. Our industry-leading customer loyalty program, Total Rewards, has over 40 million members. We use the Total Rewards System to market promotions and to generate customer play across our network of properties. In addition, we own an online gaming business, providing for real money casino, bingo and poker in the United Kingdom, alliances with online gaming providers in Italy and France, play for fun offerings in other jurisdictions, social games on Facebook and other social media websites, and mobile application platforms. We also own and operate the World Series of Poker tournament and brand.

We derive the majority of our revenues and Property EBITDA (as defined under Summary Historical Consolidated Financial Data of Caesars Entertainment Corporation) from gaming sources. However, we also generate significant revenues and Property EBITDA from other sources, such as sales of lodging, food, beverages, and entertainment.

We have grown rapidly over the years through growth in our core operating business and through a series of strategic acquisitions that have strengthened our scale, geographic diversity and market leading position. In 1998, we completed our acquisition of Showboat, Inc., and in 1999 we purchased Rio Hotel & Casino, Inc. In 2000, we completed the purchase of Players International. During the next five years, we acquired Harveys Casino Resorts (2001), Horseshoe Gaming Holding Corp. (2004), the rights to the World Series of Poker (2004) and the Imperial Palace Hotel & Casino in Las Vegas (2005). We also acquired Caesars Entertainment, Inc. in 2005 for \$9.3 billion, which was, at the time, the largest acquisition in the history of the gaming industry. In 2010, we acquired Planet Hollywood Resort and Casino, or Planet Hollywood, in Las Vegas. Additionally, we have expanded internationally, completing the acquisitions of London Clubs International plc, or London Clubs, in 2006 and Macau Orient Golf, located on a 175 acre site on the Cotai strip in Macau, in 2007.

We revolutionized the approach our industry takes with respect to marketing by introducing our Total Rewards loyalty program in 1997. Continual improvements have been made throughout the years enabling our system to remain the most effective in the industry and enabling us to grow and sustain revenues more efficiently than our largest competitors and generate cross-market play, which we define as play by a guest in one of our

1

Table of Contents

properties outside the home market of their primary gaming property. In support of our Total Rewards loyalty program, we created the Winner s Information Network, or WINet, the industry s first sophisticated nationwide customer database. In combination, these systems supported the first technology-based customer relationship management strategy implemented in the gaming industry and have enabled our management teams to enhance overall operating results and outperform our competition.

We have established a rich history of industry leading growth and expansion since we commenced casino operations in 1937 and became a publicly listed company in 1971. We were the first gaming company to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE. In 1980, we were acquired by Holiday Inns, Inc. and were delisted from the NYSE. In 1995, we again became a stand-alone company and resumed trading on the NYSE.

On December 19, 2006, we entered into a definitive merger agreement with Hamlet Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Hamlet Holdings), and Hamlet Merger Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of Hamlet Holdings (Merger Sub). Hamlet Holdings and Merger Sub were formed and are controlled by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, LLC (Apollo) and affiliates of TPG Capital, L.P. (TPG) which we refer to as the Sponsors. Pursuant to the merger agreement, on January 28, 2008, Merger Sub merged with and into us, which we refer to as the Acquisition. Upon completion of the Acquisition, Hamlet Holdings, funds affiliated with and controlled by the Sponsors, certain co-investors and certain members of management became the owners of all of the outstanding Caesars equity interests. Following this offering, funds affiliated with and controlled by the Sponsors and their co-investors will hold % of Caesars outstanding common stock, all of which will be subject to an irrevocable proxy that gives Hamlet Holdings, the members of which are comprised of an equal number of individuals affiliated with each of the Sponsors, sole voting and sole dispositive power with respect such shares.

Our Industry

Based on 2010 reported gaming revenues, we estimate the size of the global casino gaming industry in major gaming markets worldwide to be approximately \$120 billion. Revenues in the United States are split among commercial casinos (including racetrack casinos) and tribal casinos at approximately \$31 billion and approximately \$27 billion, respectively. Domestic casino gaming revenues had steadily grown on an annualized basis to approximately \$34 billion in 2007 until the last three years when, during the global economic recession, they contracted to \$30.7 billion in 2009 and increased slightly to \$30.9 billion in 2010.

The following key trends are currently affecting the U.S. gaming industry:

Liberalization of existing and new jurisdictions. Domestically, several states are in the process of either liberalizing existing gaming offerings or legalizing gaming activities where they are currently illegal. These locations are generally regional in nature and should increase overall gaming spending and open up new opportunities for ownership and management of casinos. For example, in 2010, Pennsylvania began allowing table games in casinos and in Ohio a voter referendum in November 2009 amended the state constitution to allow casinos in four cities.

Limited supply expansion in established gaming markets. We estimate there will be limited supply introduced into established markets in the foreseeable future, in part due to limited availability of construction financing and the limited number of available licenses in certain jurisdictions. The lack of additional supply being introduced should provide stability for established enterprises and lead to increased revenues and profit. For example, in the Las Vegas market there are no planned large-scale casino projects expected to open in the near term.

Favorable travel industry trends. Our industry is heavily dependent upon both the leisure and business traveler. The trends in both of these areas have turned positive since 2010, as evidenced by increasing hotel occupancy, visitor counts and convention space booking.

Table of Contents

Potential legalization of online gaming. Globally, online gaming is currently only legal in a limited number of jurisdictions, but additional jurisdictions, including the United States, are considering legalizing and regulating online gaming, most notably poker. Prior to the Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act being passed in 2006, published reports estimated that the United States online poker industry generated \$1.5 billion in revenues.

Our Competitive Strengths

We attribute our operating success and historical industry outperformance to the following key strengths that differentiate us from our competition:

One of the industry s largest operators with leading market positions in numerous jurisdictions. We are one of the world s largest gaming companies (as measured by net revenues and individual casinos) and the most geographically diverse U.S. casino operator. As of September 30, 2011, we owned, managed or operated 52 casinos in 12 U.S. states and seven countries. In addition, our casino properties operate as market leaders, having the #1 or #2 market share, based on revenue, in almost every major U.S. gaming market, including Las Vegas, the largest gaming market in the U.S. We use our scale and market leading position, in combination with our proprietary marketing technology and customer loyalty programs, to foster revenue growth and encourage repeat business.

Superior business model based on nationwide customer database and loyalty program. Our strategy is to generate same store gaming revenue growth and cross-market play through superior marketing and technological capabilities in combination with our nationwide casino network. The systems that we use to generate our same store gaming revenue growth and cross-market play consist of proprietary tools including Total Rewards and the WINet database. We believe these marketing tools, coupled with the industry s broadest geographic reach, provide us with a significant competitive advantage that enables us to efficiently market our products to a large and recurring customer base, and generate profitable revenue growth.

Portfolio of the most highly recognized brand names in the gaming industry. We own, operate or manage casinos that bear many of the most highly recognized brand names in the gaming industry, including Caesars, Harrah s, Horseshoe, Rio, Paris, Bally s, Flamingo and Planet Hollywood. We also own the Total Rewards loyalty program and the World Series of Poker brand. Many of these brands have a strong identity and enjoy widespread customer recognition. This diverse collection of brands allows us to appeal to a wide range of customer preferences and capture multiple visits through our ability to offer differentiated gaming experiences. In casino brand awareness studies, our key brands consistently achieve higher rates of recognition overall, as compared to our competitors.

Leading innovator in the gaming industry. We have a proven record of innovation, including revolutionizing our industry s approach to marketing with the introduction of our Total Rewards loyalty program in 1997 and applying this program nationwide and across multiple brands. We believe that our industry will continue to evolve into additional areas of gaming and entertainment, including online gaming, and we have expended resources designed to put us on the forefront of these areas. We are not aware of another U.S. land-based casino company that owns an online gaming business. In addition, we are exploring additional online entertainment offerings that capitalize on our recognized brand names, particularly our World Series of Poker and Caesars brands. We believe that we are better positioned than our competitors to take advantage of new opportunities in the gaming industry due to our history of innovation, strong brand names and current online business, and we plan to continue to invest in developing areas of the gaming industry.

Long-dated capital structure with no near-term maturities and significant liquidity. Recent capital market transactions have improved our liquidity and maturity profile and have better positioned us to grow and create value. These transactions have included two debt-for-debt exchange offers, tender offers, open market repurchases, the issuance of new first and second lien notes, an amendment to our commercial mortgage-backed

securities, or CMBS, financing (the CMBS Financing), including a two-year maturity extension, subject to certain conditions, and an amendment to our senior secured credit facilities pursuant to which a portion of the loan was extended by three years. Through these transactions, we have reduced the amount of our debt maturing through the remainder 2011 from \$1,503.0 million to zero and the amount of our term debt maturing from 2011 through 2014 from \$8,504.0 million to \$125.8 million. These debt maturities assume that we will exercise extension options on the CMBS Financing and also exercise extension options on \$517.7 million of Planet Hollywood debt, moving its maturity from December 2011 to April 2015. We have also reduced our annual interest expense through these transactions by approximately \$94.0 million. Further, these transactions have enhanced our liquidity. As of September 30, 2011, we had approximately \$1.2 billion of cash and cash equivalents, excluding \$544.0 million in restricted cash, and \$1.1 billion available under our revolving credit facility. Although we have \$22,513.6 million face value of total debt outstanding at September 30, 2011, only \$24.9 million of this debt is due within the next 12 months, with minimal near-term maturities thereafter. Therefore, we believe that our significant liquidity combined with our debt maturity profile positions us well to capitalize on growth opportunities and an extended rebound in the broader economy. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Indebtedness for a discussion of the risks concerning our indebtedness.

Experienced and highly motivated management team with proven track record. Our management team, led by CEO Gary Loveman, has built Caesars into an industry leader by geographically diversifying our operations and introducing technology-based tools to loyalty programs. A former associate professor at the Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration, Mr. Loveman joined us as Chief Operating Officer in 1998 and drew on his extensive background in retail marketing and service-management to enhance Total Rewards. Mr. Loveman has been named Best CEO in the gaming and lodging industry by Institutional Investor magazine four times. In addition, our senior management operations team has an average of 27 years of industry experience. Other senior management team members possess significant experience in government and a variety of consumer industries. In addition, a significant portion of our management team s compensation is in the form of equity and stock options, the value of which depends on our overall results and motivates our senior management to focus on maximizing our long-term earnings and equity value.

Our Business Strategy

Leverage our unique scale and proprietary loyalty programs to generate superior revenue growth and fair share. We plan to continue to aggressively leverage our nationwide distribution platform and superior marketing and technological capabilities to generate same store gaming revenue growth and cross-market play. Our Total Rewards and WINet systems include over 40 million program members with 184% growth in tracked players since 2000. Through these systems, we promote cross-market play and target our efforts and marketing expenditures on areas and customer segments that generate the highest return. This system, coupled with our vast footprint in the U.S., enables us to profitably stimulate substantial cross-market play. We offer a unique value proposition to loyal players whereby they get the best service and product in their local market, and as a reward for their loyalty, they get especially attentive and customized services in our destination markets. This two-part value proposition is unique to us and an important source of our competitive advantage. For example, a number of financial measures have improved significantly at our Planet Hollywood property since we acquired it in 2010, in large part due to our ability to stimulate cross-market play. Cross market play represents 70% and 60% of the gross gaming revenues we generate in Las Vegas and Atlantic City, respectively. The data that we collect indicates that individual customers play more with Caesars when they visit multiple properties, either during the same trip or on different occasions. Our wins per position at both destination and regional markets, as well as in our local markets, were significantly higher than the industry average in those markets for the first nine months of 2011. Our extensive historical knowledge and refined decision modeling procedures enable us to distribute best practices to ensure our marketing expenditures are being used to their utmost efficiency. Given our historical investments in information technology and our broad geographic footprint, we believe we have a competitive advantage with regards to stimulating revenues.

4

Continue to evolve our integrated marketing programs to maximize returns and maintain our competitive advantage. We have established a marketing organization that is designed to adhere to the scientific method of test and control, which we believe is the optimal approach to continued advancement and innovation. The structure and procedures embedded in our organization enable individual creativity to flourish while simultaneously ensuring impartial evaluations and the rapid transfer of best practices. The evolution of our structure has enabled us to respond more quickly to changes in customer elasticity and to have confidence in our approach with respect to our offers and incentives.

Maximize our core business profitability upon a rebound in net revenues. We operate businesses that have inherently low variable costs such that positive change in revenues should drive relatively large improvements in Income from Operations and EBITDA (as defined under Summary Historical Consolidated Financial Data of Caesars Entertainment Corporation). A key determinant of hotel revenues is the average daily hotel rate, or ADR, that is charged. Increases in ADR would drive nearly a dollar for dollar improvement in Income from Operations and EBITDA and on our room base of 42,000 rooms, we anticipate that a \$5 increase in ADR on an annual basis would equate to an improvement to annual Income from Operations and EBITDA of approximately \$65 million. Our average system-wide ADR was \$111 in 2007, compared to \$91 during the last twelve months ended September 30, 2011. Likewise, we anticipate that a \$5 improvement in spend per rated customer gaming trip would equate to an improvement to annual Income from Operations and EBITDA of approximately \$95 million, and a \$5 improvement per unrated customer gaming trip would equate to an improvement to annual Income from Operations and EBITDA of approximately \$79 million. Average spending per rated customer gaming trip declined from \$178 in 2007 to \$162 during the last twelve months ended September 30, 2011. While we use 2007 as a measurement for our financial performance and the gaming industry in general, we may not attain those financial levels in the near term, or at all.

In addition to the inherently high variable margin nature of our businesses, we have and will continue to dedicate significant efforts towards positioning our business and cost structure to ensure we generate the maximum incremental profitability when core industry revenue growth returns. Over the last several years, our management team has instituted operational concepts, such as LEAN service operations and Kaizen activities (operational practices that consider work from the perspective of the customer and endeavor to provide service and product in the most efficient way possible) as well as dynamic volume based scheduling, with the intention to achieve consistently high efficiency rates. For example, our Kaizen efforts help our operations teams to identify more efficient ways to operate their respective businesses and provide direct management with the tools to monitor progress and to assist in the early identification of variances to the planned processes.

Additionally, we consolidated activities, refined our target marketing efforts, and drove procurement efficiencies. Moreover, we have achieved these cost savings while achieving record customer satisfaction levels since the cost savings initiatives were implemented. To further ensure that our operating structure is designed in the most effective and efficient way, in the fourth quarter of 2010, we embarked on a reorganization we refer to as Project Renewal. Under Project Renewal, our management team was challenged to review all of our key decision making procedures and lines of business and to identify the optimum way of structuring them given our breadth and scale of product offerings. As a result of the process, in the third quarter of 2011, we designed a unique shared services organization that will enable more efficient decision making and sharing of best practices. This organization includes business analytics, meetings and conventions, retail, database marketing, VIP marketing, our flight program, and other key areas of our operations. We anticipate that our company will have a permanently lower cost structure and will benefit from greater concentration of specified talent and quicker decision making. We will continue to make progress on Project Renewal and anticipate reaching our \$400m target and full implementation run rate at the end of the second quarter of 2012. To ensure that the impact from Project Renewal is reflected in our financial performance and that each planned initiative is executed, we track our progress centrally and in a detailed fashion. The savings value for each initiative is calculated by predicting the change in the expense level compared to the current expense level under constant business volumes and conditions.

5

As of September 30, 2011, we have realized approximately \$135 million in savings associated with Project Renewal. We classify initiatives that are identified and are in the process of being implemented as yet to be realized identified estimated cost savings. For the purposes of our senior secured leverage ratio under our credit agreement, this amount can be added back into the EBITDA calculation to calculate Adjusted EBITDA. As of September 30, 2011, the yet to be realized identified estimated cost savings was \$202.5 million. This figure increases as new initiatives that are part of Project Renewal are identified and become in the process of implementation, and decrease as the actual results become reflected in our cost structure. See Risk Factors Risks Related to our Business We may not realize any or all of our projected cost savings, which would have the effect of reducing our LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma, which would have a negative effect on our results of operations and negatively impact our covenant calculation and could have a negative effect on our stock price on page 26 of this prospectus.

Pursue opportunistic domestic acquisitions and development opportunities. We believe our brand portfolio and recognition, coupled with the power of the Total Rewards loyalty program, uniquely positions us to capitalize on expansion into underdeveloped regional markets or to pursue opportunistic acquisitions of distressed assets. We intend to pursue these acquisitions from time to time. We believe our operating expertise and network synergies enable us to create value above and beyond what other operators can provide. Our geographically broad-based experience gives us a superior understanding of a property s revenue potential and

enables us to be the optimal partner or purchaser for select assets. For example, we executed a definitive agreement in December 2010 with Rock Gaming LLC to jointly develop, and for us to manage, two of four authorized casinos in Ohio, Horseshoe Cleveland and Horseshoe Cincinnati. The venture obtained financing for the casinos in August 2011 and we expect Horseshoe Cleveland to open in March 2012 and Horseshoe Cincinnati to open in the second quarter of 2013. Commencement of operations of Horseshoe Cleveland and Horseshoe Cincinnati is subject to the receipt of gaming licenses. Along with Rock Gaming LLC and local investors in Maryland, in September 2011, a Caesars led group submitted a bid for a license to develop a video lottery terminal facility in Baltimore. Completion of the Baltimore license bid is subject to a number of conditions, including, without limitation, the negotiation of definitive documentation, receipt of required regulatory approvals, receipt of acceptable financing, and other terms and conditions. We believe there will be expansion opportunities in newly created U.S. regional markets due to continued legalization of gaming in new jurisdictions. Further, we believe that due to the continued global economic downturn, there will be opportunities to acquire assets at attractive valuations, such as our 2010 acquisition of Planet Hollywood, due to the fragmented nature of our industry and the benefits inherent in our scale. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business The acquisition, development and construction of new hotels, casinos and gaming and non-gaming venues and the expansion of existing ones could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations due to various factors including delays, cost overruns and other uncertainties and Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business We may not realize all of the anticipated benefits of current or potential future acquisitions for a discussion of the risks relating to pursuing development and expansion opportun

Pursue opportunities to further expand into international markets. We currently own, operate or manage 15 casino properties in international gaming markets across Europe, North America, South America and Africa. In addition, in Asia, we own 175 acres of prime real estate on the Cotai strip in Macau. We believe that we remain well-positioned for international gaming growth and legalization in Asia and Europe. We are investigating various opportunities to own, operate or manage international resorts and casinos. These opportunities are at varying stages of development, such as due diligence investigations, executed confidentiality agreements, and other discussions regarding potential projects, which may or may not come to fruition. We will continue to evaluate and pursue opportunities to own, operate or manage international casinos and resorts. Our Caesars brand remains the most recognized casino brand in the world, and we plan to leverage the power of this brand, and our other brands, as we expand into international markets. In addition to international gaming opportunities, we are also actively pursuing non-gaming management, branding, and development opportunities in Asia and other parts of the world where our brands and reputation are already well-recognized assets. In 2011, we formed a group to focus on this opportunity called Caesars Global Life. In September 2011, we announced

6

Table of Contents

our first project, a management and branding agreement for a development, whose equity will be provided by a third party, that will be called Caesars Palace Longmu Bay. Located in Hainan, China, and at a projected cost to the owner of \$470 million, it is expected to open in 2014 and will contain a 1,000-room, five-star hotel with a marina, spa, retail, gourmet dining and other amenities, including 36 holes of golf. This project will be the foundation for our expansion in China and throughout the entire Asia-Pacific region, where we expect to participate in the development of a total of 25 hotels and resorts over the next five years. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business The acquisition, development and construction of new hotels, casinos and gaming and non-gaming venues and the expansion of existing ones could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations due to various factors, including delays, cost overruns and other uncertainties and Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business The risks associated with international operations could reduce our profits for a discussion of the risks relating to this strategy.

Continue to grow our online business. Our globally recognized World Series of Poker and Caesars brands and our dedicated online gaming management team position us to take advantage of opportunities in the global online gaming market and to continue to develop the infrastructure to support larger scale real money online gaming as it becomes legalized and licensed in new jurisdictions. In late 2009, we launched our real money World Series of Poker and Caesars-branded poker, bingo and casino online sites in the United Kingdom. We also have alliances with online gaming providers in Italy and France. As part of our online strategy, we will continue to expand our online real money gaming offerings in legally compliant jurisdictions and offer for fun online gaming options in those and other jurisdictions. In May 2011, we purchased a majority stake in Playtika Ltd., or Playtika, a social games company located in Israel, and in December 2011 executed an agreement to purchase the remaining outstanding shares of Playtika. Playtika develops social games for Facebook and other social networking websites and mobile games. In addition, we will continue to expand our World Series of Poker tournaments to international jurisdictions where we believe there is a likelihood of legalization of online gaming, in order to grow the brand s awareness. We believe that the expansion of online gaming offerings, for real money, for fun and social and mobile games, will benefit our land-based portfolio due to further brand enhancement, customer acquisition in new channels, and marketing arrangements including incorporating our Total Rewards and cash-back for points programs into our online gaming offerings.

We believe that additional jurisdictions will legalize online gaming due to consumer demand, a broader understanding of the need to regulate the industry and to generate income through taxes on gaming revenue. As such, we support efforts to regulate the online gaming industry to ensure that consumers are protected. We believe that the potential for online gaming is substantial and believe that we will command, at a minimum, our fair share in any legal jurisdiction. An H2 Gaming Capital study conducted in 2010 projects that the global online gaming market will grow to \$36 billion in revenues by 2012. We believe that the largest opportunity in online gaming in the near term is the legalization of online poker in the United States.

The Sponsors

Apollo

Founded in 1990, Apollo is a leading global alternative asset manager with offices in New York, Los Angeles, London, Frankfurt, Luxembourg, Singapore, Hong Kong and Mumbai. As of September 30, 2011, Apollo had assets under management of approximately \$65 billion in its private equity, capital markets and real estate businesses.

TPG

TPG is a leading global private investment firm founded in 1992 with \$48 billion of assets under management and offices in San Francisco, Beijing, Fort Worth, Hong Kong, London, Luxembourg, Melbourne, Moscow, Mumbai, New York, Paris, Shanghai, Singapore and Tokyo. TPG has extensive experience with global public and private investments executed through leveraged buyouts, recapitalizations, spinouts, growth investments, joint ventures and restructurings.

7

Table of Contents

Organizational Structure

The chart below depicts our organizational structure following the consummation of this offering.

- (1) All shares held by funds affiliated with and controlled by the Sponsors and their co-investors, representing % of Caesars outstanding common stock, are subject to an irrevocable proxy that gives Hamlet Holdings, the members of which are comprised of an equal number of individuals affiliated with each of the Sponsors, sole voting and sole dispositive power with respect to such shares.
- (2) Consists primarily of captive insurance subsidiaries, Harrah s BC, Inc., or HBC, and Caesars Interactive Entertainment, Inc., which owns the World Series of Poker brand and our online businesses.
- (3) Consists of Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries, which owned, operated and/or managed 46 of the 52 casinos for Caesars as of September 30, 2011.
- (4) Consists of certain affiliates of Paulson & Co. Inc., or the Paulson Investors.
- (5) Consists of Harrah s Las Vegas, Rio, Flamingo Las Vegas, Harrah s Atlantic City, Paris Las Vegas and Harrah s Laughlin. The CMBS Entities and their respective subsidiaries do not guarantee or pledge their assets as security for any indebtedness of CEOC and are not directly liable for any obligations thereunder. CEOC and its subsidiaries do not guarantee or pledge their assets as security for any indebtedness of the CMBS Entities and are not directly liable for any obligations thereunder.

Additional Information

Our principal executive offices are located at One Caesars Palace Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89109, and our telephone number is (702) 407-6000. The address of our internet site is *www.caesars.com*. This internet address is provided for informational purposes only and is not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly no information in this internet address is included or incorporated by reference herein.

8

The Offering

Common stock offered

Common stock to be outstanding immediately after

this offering

shares

shares

Option to purchase additional shares We have granted to the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to

additional shares from us at the initial public offering price, less underwriting discounts

and commissions.

Common stock voting rights Each share of our common stock will entitle its holder to one vote.

Dividend policy We intend to retain all future earnings, if any, for use in the operation of our business and

to fund future growth. We do not anticipate paying any dividends for the foreseeable future. The decision whether to pay dividends will be made by our board of directors in light of conditions then existing, including factors such as our results of operations, financial condition and requirements, business conditions and covenants under any

applicable contractual arrangements, including our indebtedness.

Use of proceeds We estimate that the net proceeds from this offering without exercise of the option to

purchase additional shares will be approximately \$\\$\ \text{million after deducting the} \text{ estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and expenses, assuming the shares are offered at \$\\$\text{ per share, which represents the midpoint of the estimated offering}

price range set forth on the front cover of this prospectus.

We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes,

including development projects and maintenance capital expenditures.

Proposed national securities exchange trading symbol CZR

Risk factors Please see the section entitled Risk Factors included in this prospectus for a discussion of

some of the factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in our

common stock.

Except as otherwise indicated, all information in this prospectus:

assumes this offering have been consummated and that the underwriters have not exercised their option to purchase up to additional shares of common stock from us;

does not give effect to shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options as of September 30, 2011, at a weighted-average exercise price of \$ per share, or shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of options we anticipate issuing prior to the consummation of this offering;

does not give effect to shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants as of September 30, 2011, at a weighted-average exercise price of \$ per share; and

9

Table of Contents

does not give effect to shares of our common stock reserved for future issuance under the Caesars Entertainment Corporation Management Equity Incentive Plan.

Summary Historical Consolidated Financial Data of Caesars Entertainment Corporation

The following table presents our summary historical consolidated financial information as of and for the periods presented. The summary historical consolidated financial information as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, for the periods from January 1, 2008 through January 27, 2008 (Predecessor) and from January 28, 2008 through December 31, 2008, and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 (Successor) should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2010 included elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary historical consolidated financial information as of December 31, 2008 has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus. The summary historical consolidated financial information as of September 30, 2010 and 2011 are derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2010 has been derived from our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements not included in this prospectus. Except as otherwise described herein, our interim unaudited financial statements have been prepared on a basis consistent with our annual audited financial statements and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation of such data.

You should read this data in conjunction with the Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus. The audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, for the periods from January 1, 2008 through January 27, 2008 and from January 28, 2008 through December 31, 2008, and the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm.

10

Caesars Entertainment Corporation

Summary Historical Consolidated Financial Data

| | Predecessor January 1, 2008 | | Successor | | Nine Months | Nine Months |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | through January 27 | through December 31. | Year Ended December 31, | Year Ended December 31. | Ended September 30, | Ended September 30, |
| (In millions, except per share data) | 2008 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations | | | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | | | |
| Casino | \$ 614.6 | \$ 7,476.9 | \$ 7,124.3 | \$ 6,917.9 | \$ 5,251.3 | \$ 5,029.5 |
| Food and beverage | 118.4 | 1,530.2 | 1,479.3 | 1,510.6 | 1,157.8 | 1,165.0 |
| Rooms | 96.4 | 1,174.5 | 1,068.9 | 1,132.3 | 858.5 | 917.2 |
| Management fees | 5.0 | 59.1 | 56.6 | 39.1 | 31.2 | 27.7 |
| Other | 42.7 | 624.8 | 592.4 | 576.3 | 439.9 | 473.4 |
| Less: casino promotional allowances | (117.0) | | (1,414.1) | | (1,041.1) | (950.7) |
| Net revenues | 760.1 | 9,366.9 | 8,907.4 | 8,818.6 | 6,697.6 | 6,662.1 |
| Operating Expenses | | | | | | |
| Direct | | | | | | |
| Casino | 340.6 | 4,102.8 | 3,925.5 | 3,948.9 | 2,982.9 | 2,827.9 |
| Food and beverage | 50.5 | 639.5 | 596.0 | 621.3 | 469.7 | 500.3 |
| Rooms | 19.6 | 236.7 | 213.5 | 259.4 | 195.5 | 217.1 |
| Property general and administrative and other | 178.2 | 2,143.0 | 2,018.8 | 2,061.7 | 1,580.0 | 1,593.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 63.5 | 626.9 | 683.9 | 735.5 | 548.1 | 532.2 |
| Project opening costs | 0.7 | 28.9 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| Write-downs, reserves and recoveries | 4.7 | 16.2 | 107.9 | 147.6 | 136.3 | 82.9 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | | 5,489.6 | 1,638.0 | 193.0 | 144.0 | |
| (Income)/loss in non-consolidated affiliates | (0.5) | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 4.2 |
| Corporate expense | 8.5 | 131.8 | 150.7 | 140.9 | 103.8 | 115.1 |
| Acquisition and integration costs | 125.6 | 24.0 | 0.3 | 13.6 | 8.3 | 3.6 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 5.5 | 162.9 | 174.8 | 160.8 | 121.7 | 117.7 |
| Total operating expenses | 796.9 | 13,604.4 | 9,515.2 | 8,286.3 | 6,296.4 | 5,998.2 |
| Income/(loss) from operations | (36.8) | (4,237.5) | (607.8) | 532.3 | 401.2 | 663.9 |
| Interest expense, net of interest capitalized | (89.7) | | (1,892.5) | (1,981.6) | (1,471.9) | (1,448.3) |
| Gains on early extinguishments of debt | (33.11) | 742.1 | 4,965.5 | 115.6 | 48.7 | 47.9 |
| Other income, including interest income | 1.1 | 35.2 | 33.0 | 41.7 | 28.2 | 16.7 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before | | | | | | |
| income taxes | (125.4) | | 2,498.2 | (1,292.0) | (993.8) | (719.8) |
| Benefit/(provision) for income taxes | 26.0 | 360.4 | (1,651.8) | 468.7 | 364.5 | 248.5 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | (99.4) | (5,174.7) | 846.4 | (823.3) | (629.3) | (471.3) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.1 | 90.4 | | (02010) | (02310) | (1, 112) |
| Net income/(loss) | (99.3) | (5,084.3) | 846.4 | (823.3) | (629.3) | (471.3) |
| Less: net (income)/loss attributable to non-controlling | (99.3) | (3,064.3) | 040.4 | (623.3) | (029.3) | (4/1.3) |
| interests | (1.6) | (12.0) | (18.8) | (7.8) | (5.1) | 4.3 |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to Caesars | (100.9) | (5,096.3) | 827.6 | (831.1) | (634.4) | (467.0) |
| Preferred stock dividends | (100.9) | (297.8) | (354.8) | (631.1) | (034.4) | (407.0) |
| Net income/loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (100.9) | \$ (5,394.1) | \$ 472.8 | \$ (831.1) | \$ (634.4) | \$ (467.0) |

Edgar Filing: CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT Corp - Form S-1/A

| \$ (0.54) | \$ (134.59) | \$ | 11.62 | \$ | (14.58) | \$ | (11.70) | \$ | (6.50) |
|--------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | 2.22 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| \$ (0.54) | \$ (132.37) | \$ | 11.62 | \$ | (14.58) | \$ | (11.70) | \$ | (6.50) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| \$ (0.54) | \$ (134.59) | \$ | 6.88 | \$ | (14.58) | \$ | (11.70) | \$ | (6.50) |
| | 2.22 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| \$ (0.54) | \$ (132.37) | \$ | 6.88 | \$ | (14.58) | \$ | (11.70) | \$ | (6.50) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 188.1 | 40.8 | | 40.7 | | 57.0 | | 54.2 | | 71.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 188.1 | 40.8 | | 120.2 | | 57.0 | | 54.2 | | 71.8 |
| \$ | \$ (0.54) \$ (0.54) \$ (0.54) 188.1 | \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ (0.54) \$ (134.59) 2.22 \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) 188.1 40.8 | \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ \$ \$ (0.54) \$ (134.59) \$ 2.22 \$ \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ \$ 188.1 40.8 | 2.22 \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 11.62 \$ (0.54) \$ (134.59) \$ 6.88 2.22 \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 6.88 188.1 40.8 40.7 | 2.22 \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 11.62 \$ \$ (0.54) \$ (134.59) \$ 6.88 \$ 2.22 \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 6.88 \$ 188.1 40.8 40.7 | \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 11.62 \$ (14.58) \$ (0.54) \$ (134.59) \$ 6.88 \$ (14.58) \$ 2.22 \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 6.88 \$ (14.58) \$ 188.1 \$ 40.8 \$ 40.7 \$ 57.0 | \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 11.62 \$ (14.58) \$ \$ (0.54) \$ (134.59) \$ 6.88 \$ (14.58) \$ 2.22 \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 6.88 \$ (14.58) \$ 188.1 \$ 40.8 \$ 40.7 \$ 57.0 | \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 11.62 \$ (14.58) \$ (11.70) \$ (0.54) \$ (134.59) \$ 6.88 \$ (14.58) \$ (11.70) \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 6.88 \$ (14.58) \$ (11.70) 188.1 40.8 40.7 57.0 54.2 | \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 11.62 \$ (14.58) \$ (11.70) \$ \$ (0.54) \$ (134.59) \$ 6.88 \$ (14.58) \$ (11.70) \$ 2.22 \$ (0.54) \$ (132.37) \$ 6.88 \$ (14.58) \$ (11.70) \$ 188.1 40.8 40.7 57.0 54.2 |

LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Form(4)

reconciliation of Income/(loss) from operations to EBITDA for the periods indicated.

| | Pre | decessor | | | | | Successor | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|-----|------------|-----|-------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------|
| | th Jan | nuary 1, 2008 rough uary 27, | January 28, 2008 through December 31 | Ye | | | ear Ended cember 31, | - , | ne Months Ended tember 30, | - , | ne Months Ended tember 30, |
| (In millions, except ratio data) | | 2008 | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2010 | | 2011 |
| Balance Sheet Data (at period end) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | \$ 650.5 | \$ | 918.1 | \$ | 987.0 | \$ | 1,323.7 | \$ | 1,150.7 |
| Working capital | | | (536.4) | | (6.6) | | 207.7 | | 121.7 | | 235.8 |
| Total assets | | | 31,048.6 | | 28,979.2 | | 28,587.7 | | 29,287.9 | | 28,866.1 |
| Total debt | | | 23,208.9 | | 18,943.1 | | 18,841.1 | | 19,717.1 | | 19,620.6 |
| Total stockholders equity/(deficit) | | | (1,360.8) | | (867.0) | | 1,672.6 | | 1,062.6 | | 1,205.9 |
| Other Financial Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of changes in construction | | | | | | | | | | | |
| payables | \$ | 125.6 | \$ 1,181.4 | \$ | 464.5 | \$ | 160.7 | \$ | 124.6 | \$ | 164.9 |
| EBITDA ⁽¹⁾ | Ф | 35.5 | (2,610.3) | ф | 5,210.6 | Ф | 1.555.6 | Ф | 1.127.5 | ф | 1,375.8 |
| Property EBITDA ⁽²⁾ | | 171.2 | 2.244.9 | | 2,153.6 | | 1,927.3 | | 1,469.5 | | 1,523.8 |
| Total debt, net of cash and cash equivalents | | 1,112 | 22,558.4 | | 18,025.0 | | 17,854.1 | | 18,393.4 | | 18,469.9 |
| Ratio of total debt, net of cash and cash equivalents to | | | , | | ĺ | | ĺ | | ĺ | | , |
| EBITDA ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ | | | (8.6):1 | | 3.5:1 | | 11.5:1 | | 8.5:1 | | 10.2:1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Suc | cesso | r | | |
| | | | | Twe | lve Months | Twe | lve Months | Twe | lve Months | Twe | lve Months |
| | | | | | Ended | | Ended | | Ended | | Ended |

(1) The defined calculation of EBITDA is net income/(loss) attributable to us plus (i) interest expense, net, (ii) provision for income taxes, and (iii) depreciation and amortization. We believe that the most comparable GAAP measure to EBITDA is Income/(loss) from operations. As such, set forth below is a

December

31,

2009

\$ 2,296.5

December

31,

2010

2,094.4

September

30,

2010

1,950.4

September

30,

2011

2,120.0

| | Prec | lecessor | | | 5 | Successor | | | | |
|--|--------------|--|---|-------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|----|---|----|--|
| (In millions) | 2008 Janu | uary 1, through uary 27, 2008 | January 28, 2008 through December 31, 2008 | ar Ended ember 31, 2009 | Dece | er Ended ember 31, 2010 |] | Nine Months Ended tember 30, 2010 |] | e Months Ended ember 30, 2011 |
| Income/(loss) from operations | \$ | (36.8) | \$ (4,237.5) | \$ (607.8) | \$ | 532.3 | \$ | 401.2 | \$ | 663.9 |
| Depreciation and | | | | | | | | | | |
| amortization | | 72.7 | 805.2 | 872.0 | | 907.8 | | 678.4 | | 658.9 |
| Gains on early extinguishments of debt | | | 742.1 | 4,965.5 | | 115.6 | | 48.7 | | 47.9 |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to | | | | | | | | | | |
| non-controlling interest | | (1.6) | (12.0) | (18.8) | | (7.8) | | (5.1) | | 4.3 |
| Other income | | 1.1 | 1.5 | (0.3) | | 7.7 | | 4.3 | | 0.8 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net | | | | | | | | | | |
| of tax | | 0.1 | 90.4 | | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | \$ | 35.5 | \$ (2,610.3) | \$ 5,210.6 | \$ | 1,555.6 | \$ | 1,127.5 | \$ | 1,375.8 |

EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure commonly used in our industry and should not be construed as an alternative to net income/(loss) as an indicator of operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow provided by operating activities as a measure of liquidity as determined in accordance with GAAP. We have included EBITDA because we believe it provides management and investors with additional information to measure our performance and liquidity.

EBITDA has important limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. For example, EBITDA:

does not reflect the significant interest expenses, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt;

does not reflect any cash requirements for the assets being depreciated and amortized that may have to be replaced in the future; and

excludes tax payments that represent a reduction in cash available to us.

12

(2) We present Property EBITDA as a supplemental measure of our performance. We define Property EBITDA as revenues less property operating expenses. Set forth below is a reconciliation of Income/(loss) from operations, our most comparable GAAP measure, to Property EBITDA. The reconciliation takes into account the impact of (i) depreciation and amortization (ii) corporate expense and (iii) amortization of intangible assets, as well as other items that we do not include in our calculation of Property EBITDA. In evaluating Property EBITDA, you should be aware that in the future we may incur expenses that are the same or similar to some of the adjustments in this presentation. Our presentation of Property EBITDA should not be construed as an inference that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or unexpected items.

Property EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure commonly used in our industry and should not be construed as an alternative to net Income/(loss) from operations as an indicator of operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow provided by operating activities as a measure of liquidity (as determined in accordance with GAAP). Property EBITDA, as calculated in this prospectus, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies within our industry. We have included Property EBITDA because our management uses Property EBITDA to measure performance and allocate resources, and we believe that Property EBITDA provides investors with additional information consistent with that used by our management.

| | | decessor | | | | Successor | | | | |
|---|-----------|---|--|------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| (In millions) | tl Jan | nuary 1, 2008 nrough nuary 27, 2008 | January 28, 2008 through December 31, 2008 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | ar Ended ember 31, 2010 | nber 31, September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
| Income(loss) from operations | \$ | (36.8) | \$ (4,237.5) | \$ (607.8) | \$ | 532.3 | \$ | 401.2 | \$ | 663.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization Project opening costs Write-downs, reserves, and recoveries Impairment of intangible assets | | 63.5 0.7 4.7 | 626.9 28.9 16.2 5,489.6 | 683.9 3.6 107.9 1,638.0 | | 735.5 2.1 147.6 193.0 | | 548.1 4.0 136.3 144.0 | | 532.2 4.2 82.9 |
| Income/(loss) on interests in non-consolidated affiliates Corporate expense Acquisition and integration costs | | (0.5) 8.5 125.6 | 2.1 131.8 24.0 | 2.2 150.7 0.3 | | 1.5 140.9 13.6 | | 2.1 103.8 8.3 | | 4.2 115.1 3.6 |
| Amortization of intangible assets Property EBITDA | \$ | 5.5 | 162.9 \$ 2,244.9 | \$ 174.8 2,153.6 | \$ | 1,927.3 | \$ | 1,469.5 | \$ | 1,523.8 |

Property EBITDA has important limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. For example, Property EBITDA:

does not reflect the significant interest expenses, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt;

does not reflect any cash requirements for the assets being depreciated and amortized that may have to be replaced in the future;

excludes tax payments that represent a reduction in cash available to us;

does not reflect our corporate expenses not specifically related to our properties, including, without limitation, management fees that may be paid to our sponsors;

does not reflect our capital expenditures, future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments; and

does not reflect other amounts such as project opening costs and other items, acquisition and integration costs, and other types of costs that are excluded from management s performance measurement of its properties.

- (3) The ratio of total debt, net of cash and cash equivalents to EBITDA for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 has been calculated using EBITDA on a last twelve months basis as shown in footnote (4) below.
- (4) LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma is calculated in accordance with the indentures governing CEOC s existing notes and the credit agreement governing CEOC s senior secured credit facilities. LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma is net income (loss) adjusted for certain non-cash and other items that are included in net income (loss). We present LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma as a supplemental measure of our performance and believe that LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma provides investors with additional information and allows a better understanding of the results of operational activities separate from the financial impact of decisions made for the long-term benefit of our company. Our ability to engage in activities such as incurring additional indebtedness, making investments and paying dividends is tied to a fixed charge coverage ratio, a senior secured leverage ratio and a consolidated leverage ratio under the senior secured credit facilities based on LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma for CEOC and its consolidated restricted subsidiaries. In addition, CEOC is required to maintain a senior secured leverage ratio under its credit agreement. Accordingly, we believe it is useful to provide the calculation of LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma for purposes of determining our ability to engage in these activities. We also present LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma to provide investors with additional information regarding the pro forma impact of properties that are anticipated to be acquired or disposed and of yet-to-be realized savings from our cost savings initiatives. We are in compliance with all the covenants under our various debt agreements.

13

Table of Contents

LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma is a non-GAAP financial measure and should not be construed as an alternative to Income/(loss) from operations as an indicator of operating performance. LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma is not comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. We have included LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma because we believe it provides management and investors with additional information to measure our performance and liquidity, consistent with the information also used by our management and certain of our lenders to measure our performance and liquidity.

LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma has important limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. For example, LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma:

does not reflect the significant interest expenses, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debt;

does not reflect any cash requirements for the assets being depreciated and amortized that may have to be replaced in the future;

excludes tax payments that represent a reduction in cash available to us;

does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

does not reflect our capital expenditures, future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments; and

does not reflect management fees that may be paid to the Sponsors.

LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma includes further adjustments for pro forma adjustments for yet-to-be realized cost savings. No assurance can be given that such cost savings will occur. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business We may not realize any or all of our projected cost savings, which would have the effect of reducing our LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma, which would have a negative effect on our results of operations and negatively impact our covenant calculation and could have a negative effect on our stock price.

LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma includes the results of our CMBS properties, Planet Hollywood and certain other subsidiary entities, which results would be excluded for purposes of calculating last twelve months adjusted EBITDA for CEOC under our debt agreements, as the entities owning those properties are neither obligors nor guarantors under our debt agreements. As a result, LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma for Caesars is higher than the same measure for CEOC.

Adjustments similar to the ones reflected in the calculation of LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma have been recorded in earlier periods, and similar types of adjustments can reasonably be expected to be recorded in future periods. Our presentation of LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma should not be construed as in inference that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or non-recurring items.

Using only the non-GAAP earnings measure would have material limitations because its calculation is based on the subjective determination of management regarding the nature and classification of events and circumstances that investors may find material. Management compensates for these limitations by using both GAAP and non-GAAP earnings measures reflected above to understand and analyze the results of the business. We believe investors find the non-GAAP information helpful in understanding the ongoing performance of operations separate from items that may have a disproportionate positive or negative impact on our financial results in any particular period.

14

Set forth below is a reconciliation of Income/(loss) from operations, our most comparable GAAP measure, to LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | N | accessor Fwelve Months Ended ember 31, 2009 | ľ | uccessor Fwelve Months Ended ember 31, 2010 | | Successor Twelve Months Ended ptember 30, 2010 | | Successor Twelve Months Ended ptember 30, 2011 |
|---|----|--|----|--|----|---|----|---|
| Income/(loss) from operations | \$ | (607.8) | \$ | 532.3 | \$ | 551.9 | \$ | 795.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization | Ψ | 872.0 | Ψ | 907.8 | Ψ | 891.6 | Ψ | 888.3 |
| Gains on early extinguishments of debt | | 4,965.5 | | 115.6 | | 735.0 | | 114.8 |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to non-controlling interest | | (18.8) | | (7.8) | | (7.8) | | 1.6 |
| Other income | | (0.3) | | 7.7 | | 4.0 | | 4.2 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | (***) | | | | 0.3 | | |
| EBITDA | | 5,210.6 | | 1,555.6 | | 2,175.0 | | 1,803.9 |
| Project opening costs, abandoned projects and development | | | | | | | | |
| costs(a) | | 3.5 | | 31.2 | | 31.8 | | 35.3 |
| Acquisition and integration costs ^(b) | | 0.3 | | 13.6 | | 8.3 | | 8.9 |
| Gains on early extinguishments of debt(c) | | (4,965.5) | | (115.6) | | (735.0) | | (114.8) |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to non-controlling interests, net of | | | | | | | | |
| (distributions) ^(d) | | (1.5) | | (2.3) | | (2.4) | | (12.6) |
| Impairment of intangible assets, including goodwill(e) | | 1,638.0 | | 193.0 | | 156.3 | | 49.0 |
| Non-cash expense for stock compensation benefits ^(f) | | 16.3 | | 18.1 | | 20.3 | | 19.2 |
| Expected recoveries from insurance claims for flood losses ^(g) | | | | | | | | 14.0 |
| Other items ^(h) | | 169.0 | | 177.6 | | 195.6 | | 114.6 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | | 2,070.7 | | 1,871.2 | | 1,849.9 | | 1,917.5 |
| Pro forma adjustment for acquired, new or disposed properties(i) | | 17.0 | | 15.7 | | 14.9 | | |
| Pro forma adjustment for yet-to-be realized cost savings ^(j) | | 208.8 | | 207.5 | | 85.6 | | 202.5 |
| LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma | \$ | 2,296.5 | \$ | 2,094.4 | \$ | 1,950.4 | \$ | 2,120.0 |

See page 17 for footnotes.

Reconciliation of Income/(loss) from operations to LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma (continued):

| | N: N 4 | <i>m</i> . 1 | 3 4 | Successor | 36 0 | T. | |
|--|----------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------|----|-----------------------------|
| | Nine Months Ended | | Months ded | Ni | ne Months Ended | | lve Months Ended |
| (\$ in millions) | September 30, 2010 | | er 31, 2010 | Sente | mber 30, 2011 | | ber 30, 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Income/(loss) from operations | \$ 401.2 | \$ | 532.3 | \$ | 663.9 | \$ | 795.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 678.4 | | 907.8 | | 658.9 | · | 888.3 |
| Gains on early extinguishments of debt | 48.7 | | 115.6 | | 47.9 | | 114.8 |
| Net Income/(loss) attributable to | | | | | | | |
| non-controlling interest | (5.1) | | (7.8) | | 4.3 | | 1.6 |
| Other income | 4.3 | | 7.7 | | 0.8 | | 4.2 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of | | | | | | | |
| tax | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | 1,127.5 | | 1,555.6 | | 1,375.8 | | 1,803.9 |
| Project opening costs, abandoned projects | | | | | | | |
| and development costs(a) | 31.1 | | 31.2 | | 35.2 | | 35.3 |
| Acquisition and integration costs ^(b) | 8.3 | | 13.6 | | 3.6 | | 8.9 |
| Gains on early extinguishments of debt(c) | (48.7) | | (115.6) | | (47.9) | | (114.8) |
| Loss attributable to non-controlling | | | | | | | |
| interests, net of (distributions)(d) | (0.7) | | (2.3) | | (11.0) | | (12.6) |
| Impairment of intangible assets, including | | | | | | | |
| goodwill ^(e) | 144.0 | | 193.0 | | | | 49.0 |
| Non-cash expense for stock compensation | | | | | | | |
| benefits ^(f) | 16.5 | | 18.1 | | 17.6 | | 19.2 |
| Expected recoveries from insurance claims | | | | | | | |
| for flood losses(g) | | | | | 14.0 | | 14.0 |
| Other items ^(h) | 153.3 | | 177.6 | | 90.3 | | 114.6 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 1,431.3 | \$ | 1,871.2 | \$ | 1,477.6 | | 1,917.5 |
| • | | | | | | | |
| Pro forma adjustment for yet-to-be realized | | | | | | | |
| cost savings ^(j) | | | | | | | 202.5 |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma | | | | | | \$ | 2,120.0 |
| ETWI Aujusticu EDITDA TTO Politik | | | | | | φ | 4,140.0 |

See page 17 for footnotes.

⁽¹⁾ LTM calculated as nine months ended September 30, 2011, plus the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, less the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Reconciliation of Income/(loss) from operations to LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma (continued):

| | | | 9 | Successor | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| | Nine Months | Twe | lve Months | Nin | e Months | Twel | ve Months |
| | Ended | | Ended |] | Ended |] | Ended |
| (\$ in millions) | September 30, 2009 | Decen | ıber 31, 2009 | Septem | ber 30, 2010 | Septeml | per 30, 2010 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Income/(loss) from operations | \$ (758.5) | \$ | (607.8) | \$ | 401.2 | \$ | 551.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 658.8 | | 872.0 | | 678.4 | | 891.6 |
| Gains on early extinguishments of debt | 4,279.2 | | 4,965.5 | | 48.7 | | 735.0 |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to | | | | | | | |
| non-controlling interest | (16.1) | | (18.8) | | (5.1) | | (7.8) |
| Other income | | | (0.3) | | 4.3 | | 4.0 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of | | | | | | | |
| tax | (0.3) | | | | | | 0.3 |
| | | | | | | | |
| EBITDA | 4,163.1 | | 5,210.6 | | 1,127.5 | | 2,175.0 |
| Project opening costs, abandoned projects | | | | | | | |
| and development costs(a) | 2.8 | | 3.5 | | 31.1 | | 31.8 |
| Acquisition and integration costs ^(b) | 0.3 | | 0.3 | | 8.3 | | 8.3 |
| Gains on early extinguishments of debt(c) | (4,279.2) | | (4,965.5) | | (48.7) | | (735.0) |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to | | | | | | | |
| non-controlling interests, net of | | | | | | | |
| (distributions) ^(d) | 0.2 | | (1.5) | | (0.7) | | (2.4) |
| Impairment of intangible assets, including | | | | | | | |
| goodwill ^(e) | 1,625.7 | | 1,638.0 | | 144.0 | | 156.3 |
| Non-cash expense for stock compensation | | | | | | | |
| benefits ^(f) | 12.5 | | 16.3 | | 16.5 | | 20.3 |
| Other items ^(h) | 126.7 | | 169.0 | | 153.3 | | 195.6 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 1,652.1 | \$ | 2,070.7 | \$ | 1,431.3 | | 1,849.9 |
| • | | | | | | | |
| Pro forma adjustment for acquired, new | | | | | | | |
| or disposed properties ⁽ⁱ⁾ | | | | | | | 14.9 |
| 1 1 1 | | | | | | | 14.9 |
| Pro forma adjustment for yet-to-be realized | | | | | | | 85.6 |
| cost savings ^(j) | | | | | | | 83.0 |
| | | | | | | | |
| LTM Adjusted EBITDA | | | | | | \$ | 1,950.4 |

⁽¹⁾ LTM calculated as the nine months ended September 30, 2010, plus the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, less the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

(d)

⁽a) Amounts represent pre-opening costs incurred in connection with new property openings and expansion projects at existing properties, as well as any non-cash write-offs of abandoned development projects.

⁽b) Amounts include certain one-time costs associated with the 2010 acquisition of Planet Hollywood and with development activities in the Ohio and Pennsylvania markets, which are infrequently occurring costs associated with acquisition initiatives.

⁽c) Amounts represent the difference between the fair value of consideration paid and the book value, net of deferred financing costs, of debt retired through debt extinguishment transactions, which are capital structure-related, rather than operational-type costs.

Amounts represent minority owners share of income/(loss) from our majority-owned consolidated subsidiaries, net of cash distributions to minority owners, which is a non-cash item as it excludes any cash distributions.

- (e) Amounts represent non-cash charges to impair intangible assets primarily resulting from changes in the business outlook in light of the economic downturns in prior periods.
- (f) Amounts represent non-cash stock-based compensation expense related to stock options granted to employees of the Company.
- (g) Amounts represent the expected cash payments to be received from our insurance carriers to compensate us for lost profits during the floods that occurred in 2011.
- (h) Amounts represent add-backs and deductions from EBITDA, whether permitted and/or required under the indentures governing CEOC s existing notes and the credit agreement governing CEOC s senior secured credit facilities, included in arriving at LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma but not separately identified. Such add-backs and deductions include litigation awards and settlements, severance and relocation costs, permit remediation costs, gains and losses from disposals of assets, costs incurred in connection with implementing our efficiency and cost-saving programs, our insurance policy deductibles incurred as a result of catastrophic events such as floods and hurricanes, and non-cash equity in earnings of non-consolidated affiliates (net of distributions).

17

Table of Contents

- (i) Amounts represent the estimated annualized impact of operating results related to newly completed construction projects, combined with the estimated annualized EBITDA impact associated with properties acquired or disposed of during the period.
- (i) Amounts represent adjustments to reflect the impact of annualized run-rate cost savings and anticipated future cost savings to be realized from our announced Project Renewal and other profitability improvement programs.

18

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risk factors set forth below, as well as the other information contained in this prospectus. Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. In such a case, you may lose all or a part of your original investment.

Risks Related to Our Indebtedness

Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry and prevent us from making debt service payments.

We are a highly leveraged company. As of September 30, 2011, we had \$22,513.6 million face value of outstanding indebtedness. Assuming constant outstanding balances and interest rates, our debt service obligation for the next twelve months is \$1,693.9 million, which includes required interest payments of \$1,684.4 million. These amounts do not include up to \$1,140.0 million of notes that are held by HBC, all of which are deemed outstanding by CEOC but not by Caesars.

Our substantial indebtedness could:

limit our ability to borrow money for our working capital, capital expenditures, development projects, debt service requirements, strategic initiatives or other purposes;

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our indebtedness, and any failure to comply with the obligations of any of our debt instruments, including restrictive covenants and borrowing conditions, could result in an event of default under the agreements governing our indebtedness;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to the payment of interest and the repayment of our indebtedness thereby reducing funds available to us for other purposes;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our operations or business;

make us more highly leveraged than some of our competitors, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage;

make us more vulnerable to downturns in our business or the economy;

restrict us from making strategic acquisitions, developing new gaming facilities, introducing new technologies or exploiting business opportunities;

affect our ability to renew gaming and other licenses;

limit, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in our indebtedness, among other things, our ability to borrow additional funds or dispose of assets; and

expose us to the risk of increased interest rates as certain of our borrowings are at a variable rate of interest.

Despite our substantial indebtedness, we may still be able to incur significantly more debt. This could intensify the risks described above.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial indebtedness at any time, and from time to time, including in the near future. Although the terms of the agreements governing our indebtedness contain restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of important qualifications and exceptions, and the indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial.

For example, as of September 30, 2011, we had \$1,080.2 million available for additional borrowing under our senior secured revolving credit facility after giving effect to \$126.6 million in outstanding letters of credit

19

thereunder, all of which would be secured. Our senior secured credit facilities allow for one or more future issuances of additional secured notes or loans, which may include, in each case, indebtedness secured on a pari passu basis with the obligations under the senior secured credit facilities and our first lien notes. In addition, Caesars has no restrictions on its ability to incur debt. This indebtedness could be used for a variety of purposes, including financing capital expenditures, refinancing or repurchasing our outstanding indebtedness, including existing unsecured indebtedness, or for general corporate purposes. We have raised and expect to continue to raise debt, including secured debt, to directly or indirectly refinance our outstanding unsecured debt on an opportunistic basis, as well as to finance development and expansion opportunities.

Our debt agreements contain restrictions that limit our flexibility in operating our business.

Our senior secured credit facilities, the CMBS mortgage loan and/or related mezzanine loans the (CMBS Loans), the indentures governing most of our existing notes, the senior secured loan related to the development of Octavius Tower at Caesars Palace Las Vegas and Project Linq, the senior secured loan of PHW Las Vegas, LLC and the senior secured loan of Chester Downs contain, and any future indebtedness of ours would likely contain, a number of covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us, including restrictions on our and our subsidiaries ability to, among other things:

| incur additional debt or issue certain preferred shares; |
|--|
| pay dividends on or make distributions in respect of our common stock or make other restricted payments; |
| make certain investments; |
| sell certain assets; |
| create liens on certain assets; |
| consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; |
| enter into certain transactions with our affiliates; and |
| designate our subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries. |

As a result of these covenants, we are limited in the manner in which we conduct our business, and we may be unable to engage in favorable business activities or finance future operations or capital needs.

We have pledged and will pledge a significant portion of our assets as collateral under our senior secured credit facilities, our CMBS Loans, our first lien notes, our second lien notes, the senior secured loan of PHW Las Vegas, LLC, or PHW Las Vegas, the senior secured loan related to the development of the Octavius Tower at Caesars Palace Las Vegas, the Octavius Tower or Project Octavius, and a retail, dining and entertainment corridor located between the Imperial Palace Hotel and Casino and the Flamingo Las Vegas on the Las Vegas strip, or Project Linq, or the senior secured loan of Chester Downs. If any of these lenders accelerate the repayment of borrowings, there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient assets to repay our indebtedness.

Under our senior secured credit facilities, we are required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios. Our ability to meet those financial ratios can be affected by events beyond our control, and there can be no assurance that we will meet those ratios. A failure to comply with the covenants contained in our senior secured credit facilities or our other indebtedness could result in an event of default under the facilities or the existing agreements, which, if not cured or waived, could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In the event of any default under our senior secured credit facilities or our other indebtedness, the lenders thereunder:

will not be required to lend any additional amounts to us;

could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, to be due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit; or

require us to apply all of our available cash to repay these borrowings.

20

Table of Contents

Such actions by the lenders could cause cross defaults under our other indebtedness. If we were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities, our CMBS Loans and our first and second lien notes could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness.

If the indebtedness under our first and second lien notes, senior secured credit facilities, CMBS Loans or our other indebtedness were to be accelerated, there can be no assurance that our assets would be sufficient to repay such indebtedness in full.

We may be unable to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness, and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness that may not be successful. If we are unable to satisfy or refinance our debt obligations as they come due, we cannot assure you that your investment in our company will retain any value.

Our ability to satisfy our debt obligations will depend upon, among other things:

our future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, many of which are beyond our control;

our future ability to borrow under our senior secured credit facilities, the availability of which depends on, among other things, our complying with the covenants in our senior secured credit facilities; and

our ability to refinance our debt, which depends on the condition of the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. We may be unable to generate sufficient cash flow from operations, or unable to draw under our senior secured credit facilities or otherwise, in an amount sufficient to fund our liquidity needs.

Our ability to restructure or refinance our debt will depend on the condition of the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. As of September 30, 2011, approximately \$11.1 billion face value of our indebtedness, including the CMBS Financing (assuming the extension options with respect to such debt are exercised), will mature in 2015, representing approximately 49% of our total debt (at face value) as of September 30, 2011. For a discussion of our debt maturities, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Guarantees of Third-Party Debt and Other Obligations and Commitments Contractual Obligations. We do not expect that our cash flow from operations will be sufficient to repay this indebtedness, and we will have to seek a refinancing. We cannot predict at this time whether we will be able to secure any such refinancing, even if market conditions and our financial condition improve between now and then. The market for CMBS financings has substantially decreased since we raised the CMBS financing and it is uncertain whether we will be able to refinance the entire outstanding principal amount of our indebtedness that will be due in 2015, including the CMBS Financing. Even if refinancing alternatives were available to us, we may not find them suitable or at comparable interest rates to the indebtedness being refinanced. In addition, the terms of existing or future debt agreements may restrict us from securing a refinancing on terms that are available to us at that time. In the absence of such operating results and resources, we would face substantial liquidity problems and would likely be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations. We may not be able to consummate those dispositions for fair market value or at all. Furthermore, any proceeds that we could realize from any such dispositions may not be adequate to meet our debt service obligations then due. We could also be required to reorganize our Company in its entirety. Neither the Sponsors nor any of their respective affiliates has any continuing obligation to provide us with debt or equity financing. Even if we are able to refinance our debt, any refinancing could be at higher interest rates and may require us to comply with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict our business operations. For example, the interest rates on our first and second lien notes are substantially higher than the interest rates under our senior secured credit facility. If we are unable to service our debt obligations generally, and if we are unable to refinance our debt obligations that mature in 2015 or thereafter, we cannot assure you that our company will continue in its current state or that your investment in our company will retain any value.

21

Risks Related to Our Business

If we are unable to effectively compete against our competitors, our profits will decline.

The gaming industry is highly competitive and our competitors vary considerably in size, quality of facilities, number of operations, brand identities, marketing and growth strategies, financial strength and capabilities, level of amenities, management talent and geographic diversity. For example, our properties in Las Vegas compete with hotel-casinos located on and near the Las Vegas strip, such as the Wynn Las Vegas Resort, the Venetian, and the Mandalay Bay Resort & Casino. Our properties in Las Vegas also compete with casino destinations throughout the world, as well as resort facilities and vacation destinations elsewhere in the United States and around the world. We also compete with other non-gaming resorts and vacation areas, and with various other entertainment businesses. Our competitors in each market that we participate may have substantially greater financial, marketing and other resources than we do, and there can be no assurance that they will not in the future engage in aggressive pricing action to compete with us. Many of our competitors are subsidiaries or divisions of large public companies and may have greater financial and other resources than we have. Although we believe we are currently able to compete effectively in each of the various markets in which we participate, we cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to do so or that we will be capable of maintaining or further increasing our current market share. Our failure to compete successfully in our various markets could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

In recent years, many casino operators have been reinvesting in existing markets to attract new customers or to gain market share, thereby increasing competition in those markets. As companies have completed new expansion projects, supply has typically grown at a faster pace than demand in some markets, including Las Vegas, our largest market, and competition has increased significantly. For example, CityCenter, a large development of resorts and residences, opened in December 2009 in Las Vegas. The expansion of existing casino entertainment properties, the increase in the number of properties and the aggressive marketing strategies of many of our competitors have increased competition in many markets in which we operate, and this intense competition is expected to continue. These competitive pressures have and are expected to continue to adversely affect our financial performance in certain markets, including Atlantic City.

In particular, our business may be adversely impacted by the additional gaming and room capacity in Nevada, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, Mississippi, Missouri, Maryland, Michigan, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Illinois, Ohio, Louisiana, Ontario, South Africa, Uruguay, United Kingdom, Egypt and/or other projects not yet announced which may be competitive in the other markets where we operate or intend to operate. Several states, such as Kentucky, Texas and Massachusetts, and Indian tribes are also considering enabling the development and operation of casinos or casino-like operations in their jurisdictions. In addition, our operations located in New Jersey and Nevada may be adversely impacted by the expansion of Indian gaming in New York and California, respectively.

The recent downturn in economies around the world, the volatility and disruption of the capital and credit markets and adverse changes in the global financial markets could negatively impact our financial performance and our ability to access financing.

The severe economic downturn over the past few years and adverse conditions in the local, regional, national and global markets have negatively affected our operations, and may continue to negatively affect our operations in the future. During periods of economic contraction such as recently experienced, our revenues may decrease while some of our costs remain fixed or even increase, resulting in decreased earnings. Gaming and other leisure activities we offer represent discretionary expenditures and participation in such activities may decline during economic downturns, during which consumers generally earn less disposable income. For example, key determinants of our revenues and operating performance include hotel ADR, number of gaming trips and average spend per trip by our customers. Our average system-wide ADR was \$111 in 2007, compared to \$91 during the last twelve months ended September 30, 2011. Given that 2007 was the peak year for our financial performance and the gaming industry in the United States in general, we may not attain those financial levels in the near term, or at all. If we fail to increase ADR or any other similar metric in the near term, our revenues may not increase and, as a result, we may not be

Table of Contents

able to pay down our existing debt, fund our operations, fund planned capital expenditures or achieve expected growth rates, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Even an uncertain economic outlook may adversely affect consumer spending in our gaming operations and related facilities, as consumers spend less in anticipation of a potential economic downturn. Furthermore, other uncertainties, including national and global economic conditions, terrorist attacks or other global events, could adversely affect consumer spending and adversely affect our operations.

We are subject to extensive governmental regulation and taxation policies, the enforcement of which could adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to extensive gaming regulations and political and regulatory uncertainty. Regulatory authorities in the jurisdictions where we operate have broad powers with respect to the licensing of casino operations and may revoke, suspend, condition or limit our gaming or other licenses, impose substantial fines and take other actions, any one of which could adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, revenues and income from operations were negatively impacted during July 2006 in Atlantic City by a three-day government-imposed casino shutdown. Furthermore, in many jurisdictions where we operate, licenses are granted for limited durations and require renewal from time to time. For example, in Iowa, our ability to continue our gaming operations is subject to a referendum every eight years or at any time upon petition of the voters in the county in which we operate; the most recent referendum which approved our ability to continue to operate our casinos occurred in November 2010. There can be no assurance that continued gaming activity will be approved in any referendum in the future. If we do not obtain the requisite approval in any future referendum, we will not be able to operate our gaming operations in Iowa, which would negatively impact our future performance.

From time to time, individual jurisdictions have also considered legislation or referendums, such as bans on smoking in casinos and other entertainment and dining facilities, which could adversely impact our operations. For example, the City Council of Atlantic City passed an ordinance in 2007 requiring that we segregate at least 75% of the casino gaming floor as a nonsmoking area, leaving no more than 25% of the casino gaming floor as a smoking area. Illinois also passed the Smoke Free Illinois Act which became effective January 1, 2008, and bans smoking in nearly all public places, including bars, restaurants, work places, schools and casinos. The Act also bans smoking within 15 feet of any entrance, window or air intake area of these public places. These smoking bans have adversely affected revenues and operating results at our properties. The likelihood or outcome of similar legislation in other jurisdictions and referendums in the future cannot be predicted, though any smoking ban would be expected to negatively impact our financial performance.

The casino entertainment industry represents a significant source of tax revenues to the various jurisdictions in which casinos operate. From time to time, various state and federal legislators and officials have proposed changes in tax laws, or in the administration of such laws, including increases in tax rates, which would affect the industry. If adopted, such changes could adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The acquisition, development and construction of new hotels, casinos and gaming and non-gaming venues and the expansion of existing ones could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations due to various factors including delays, cost overruns and other uncertainties.

We intend to develop, construct and open or acquire new hotels, casinos and other gaming venues, as well as develop and manage non-gaming venues, in response to opportunities that may arise. Future development projects and acquisitions may require significant capital commitments, the incurrence of additional debt, guarantees of third-party debt, the incurrence of contingent liabilities and an increase in amortization expense related to intangible assets, which could have an adverse effect upon our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The development and construction of new hotels, casinos and gaming venues and the expansion of existing ones, such as our recent expansion at Caesars Palace in Las Vegas, as well as the development and construction

23

of non-gaming venues such as Project Linq in Las Vegas and Caesars Palace Longmu Bay, are susceptible to various risks and uncertainties, such as:

the existence of acceptable market conditions and demand for the completed project;

general construction risks, including cost overruns, change orders and plan or specification modification, shortages of equipment, materials or skilled labor, labor disputes, unforeseen environmental, engineering or geological problems, work stoppages, fire and other natural disasters, construction scheduling problems and weather interferences;

changes and concessions required by governmental or regulatory authorities;

the ability to finance the projects, especially in light of our substantial indebtedness;

delays in obtaining, or inability to obtain, all licenses, permits and authorizations required to complete and/or operate the project; and

disruption of our existing operations and facilities.

Moreover, our development and expansion projects are sometimes jointly pursued with third parties or by licensing our brands to third parties. These joint development, expansion projects or license agreements are subject to risks, in addition to those disclosed above, as they are dependent on our ability to reach and maintain agreements with third parties. For example, we made a bid with Rock Gaming LLC and other local investors for a video lottery terminal facility in Baltimore, Maryland and we can give no assurances that the bid will be awarded to us, that we will reach definitive agreements with the other parties that comprise the bid, or that the development project will be undertaken.

Our failure to complete any new development or expansion project, or consummate any joint development, expansion projects or projects where we license our brands, as planned, on schedule, within budget or in a manner that generates anticipated profits, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Acts of terrorism and war, natural disasters and severe weather may negatively impact our future profits.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of war or hostility have created many economic and political uncertainties. For example, a substantial number of our customers for our properties in Las Vegas use air travel. On September 11, 2001, acts of terrorism occurred in New York City, Pennsylvania and Washington, D.C. As a result of these terrorist acts, domestic and international travel was severely disrupted, which resulted in a decrease in customer visits to our properties in Las Vegas. We cannot predict the extent to which disruptions in air or other forms of travel as a result of any further terrorist act, security alerts or war, uprisings, or hostilities in places such as Iraq and Afghanistan, other countries throughout the world will continue to directly or indirectly impact our business and operating results. For example, our operations in Cairo, Egypt were negatively affected from the uprising there in January 2011. As a consequence of the threat of terrorist attacks and other acts of war or hostility in the future, premiums for a variety of insurance products have increased, and some types of insurance are no longer available. Given current conditions in the global insurance markets, we are substantially underinsured for losses and interruptions caused by terrorist acts and acts of war. If any such event were to affect our properties, we would likely be adversely impacted.

In addition, natural and man-made disasters such as major fires, floods, hurricanes, earthquakes and oil spills could also adversely impact our business and operating results. For example, four of our properties were closed for an extended period of time due to the damage sustained from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in August and September 2005, respectively. Such events could lead to the loss of use of one or more of our properties for an extended period of time and disrupt our ability to attract customers to certain of our gaming facilities. If any such event were to affect our properties, we would likely be adversely impacted. Seven of our properties were closed during the first half of 2011 due to flooding and severe weather conditions. Additionally, in August 2011, our casinos in Atlantic City were closed during a busy summer weekend due to Hurricane Irene.

24

Table of Contents

In most cases, we have insurance that covers portions of any losses from a natural disaster, but it is subject to deductibles and maximum payouts in many cases. Although we may be covered by insurance from a natural disaster, the timing of our receipt of insurance proceeds, if any, is out of our control. In some cases, however, we will receive no proceeds from insurance, such as our August 2011 closing in Atlantic City.

Additionally, a natural disaster affecting one or more of our properties may affect the level and cost of insurance coverage we may be able to obtain in the future, which may adversely affect our financial position.

As our operations depend in part on our customers ability to travel, severe or inclement weather can also have a negative impact on our results of operations.

Our business is particularly sensitive to energy prices and a rise in energy prices could harm our operating results.

We are a large consumer of electricity and other energy and, therefore, higher energy prices may have an adverse effect on our results of operations. Accordingly, increases in energy costs may have a negative impact on our operating results. Additionally, higher electricity and gasoline prices which affect our customers may result in reduced visitation to our resorts and a reduction in our revenues.

Our obligation to fund multi-employer pension plans to which we contribute may have an adverse impact on us.

We contribute to and participate in various multi-employer pension plans for employees represented by certain unions. We are required to make contributions to these plans in amounts established under collective bargaining agreements. We do not administer these plans and, generally, are not represented on the boards of trustees of these plans. The Pension Protection Act enacted in 2006, or the PPA, requires under-funded pension plans to improve their funding ratios. Based on the information available to us, we believe that some of the multi-employer plans to which we contribute are either critical or endangered as those terms are defined in the PPA. We cannot determine at this time the amount of additional funding, if any, we may be required to make to these plans. However, plan assessments could have an adverse impact on our results of operations or cash flows for a given period. Furthermore, under current law, upon the termination of a multi-employer pension plan, or in the event of a withdrawal by us, which we consider from time to time, or a mass withdrawal or insolvency of contributing employers, we would be required to make payments to the plan for our proportionate share of the plan s unfunded vested liabilities. Any termination of a multi-employer plan, or mass withdrawal or insolvency of contributing employers, could require us to contribute an amount under a plan of rehabilitation or surcharge assessment that would have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Work stoppages and other labor problems could negatively impact our future profits.

Some of our employees are represented by labor unions. A lengthy strike or other work stoppage at one of our casino properties or construction projects could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations. From time to time, we have also experienced attempts to unionize certain of our non union employees. While these efforts have achieved only limited success to date, we cannot provide any assurance that we will not experience additional and more successful union activity in the future. There has been a trend towards unionization for employees in Atlantic City and Las Vegas. The impact of this union activity is undetermined and could negatively impact our profits.

We extend credit to a large portion of our customers and we may not be able to collect gaming receivables from our credit players.

We conduct our gaming activities on a credit and cash basis at many of our properties. Any such credit we extend is unsecured. Table games players typically are extended more credit than slot players, and high-stakes players typically are extended more credit than patrons who tend to wager lower amounts. High-end gaming is more volatile than other forms of gaming, and variances in win-loss results attributable to high-end gaming may

have a significant positive or negative impact on cash flow and earnings in a particular quarter. We extend credit to those customers whose level of play and financial resources warrant, in the opinion of management, an extension of credit. These large receivables could have a significant impact on our results of operations if deemed uncollectible. While gaming debts evidenced by a credit instrument, including what is commonly referred to as a marker, and judgments on gaming debts are enforceable under the current laws of the jurisdictions in which we allow play on a credit basis and judgments in such jurisdictions on gaming debts are enforceable in all states under the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the U.S. Constitution, other jurisdictions may determine that enforcement of gaming debts is against public policy. Although courts of some foreign nations will enforce gaming debts directly and the assets in the U.S. of foreign debtors may be reached to satisfy a judgment, judgments on gaming debts from U.S. courts are not binding on the courts of many foreign nations.

We may be required to pay our future tax obligation on our deferred cancellation of debt income.

Under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, or the ARRA, we received temporary federal tax relief under the Delayed Recognition of Cancellation of Debt Income, or CODI, rules. The ARRA contains a provision that allows for a deferral for tax purposes of CODI for debt reacquired in 2009 and 2010, followed by recognition of CODI ratably from 2014 through 2018. In connection with the debt that we reacquired in 2009 and 2010, we have deferred related CODI of \$3.6 billion for tax purposes (net of Original Issue Discount (OID) interest expense, some of which must also be deferred to 2014 through 2018 under the ARRA). We are required to include one-fifth of the deferred CODI, net of deferred and regularly scheduled OID, in taxable income each year from 2014 through 2018. To the extent that our federal taxable income exceeds our available federal net operating loss carry forwards in those years, we will have a cash tax obligation. Our tax obligations related to CODI could be substantial and could materially and adversely affect our cash flows as a result of tax payments. For more information on the debt that we reacquired in 2009 and 2010, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Liquidity and Capital Resources Capital Resources.

We may not realize all of the anticipated benefits of current or potential future acquisitions.

Our ability to realize the anticipated benefits of acquisitions will depend, in part, on our ability to integrate the businesses of such acquired company with our businesses. The combination of two independent companies is a complex, costly and time consuming process. This process may disrupt the business of either or both of the companies, and may not result in the full benefits expected. The difficulties of combining the operations of the companies, including our acquisitions of Planet Hollywood in Las Vegas and Thistledown Racetrack in Cleveland, Ohio, include, among others:

| coordinating marketing functions; |
|---|
| undisclosed liabilities; |
| unanticipated issues in integrating information, communications and other systems; |
| unanticipated incompatibility of purchasing, logistics, marketing and administration methods; |
| retaining key employees; |
| consolidating corporate and administrative infrastructures; |
| the diversion of management s attention from ongoing business concerns; and |
| coordinating geographically separate organizations. |

We may be unable to realize in whole or in part the benefits anticipated for any current or future acquisitions.

We may not realize any or all of our projected cost savings, which would have the effect of reducing our LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma, which would have a negative effect on our results of operations and negatively impact our covenant calculation and could have a negative effect on our stock price.

Beginning in the third quarter of 2008, we initiated a company-wide cost savings plan in an effort to align our expenses with current revenue levels. In addition, we embarked on Project Renewal in the fourth quarter of

26

Table of Contents

2010 to identify the optimum way of structuring our business given our breadth and scale of product offerings. While these efforts have allowed us to realize substantial savings since we initiated our cost savings plan, our continued reduction efforts may fail to achieve similar or continued savings. Although we believe, as of September 30, 2011, there were \$202.5 million of estimated cost savings yet-to-be realized from these initiatives, we may not realize some or all of these projected savings without impairing our revenues. Our cost savings plans are intended to increase our effectiveness and efficiency in our operations without impairing our revenues and margins. Our cost savings plan is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties that may change at any time, and, therefore, our actual savings may differ materially from what we anticipate. For example, cutting advertising or marketing expenses may have an unintended negative affect on our revenues. In addition, our expected savings from procurement of goods may be affected by unexpected increases in the cost of raw materials. Furthermore, because we use our projected yet-to-be realized cost savings as a pro forma adjustment to calculate our LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma provided in the Summary Historical Consolidated Financial Data of Caesars Entertainment Corporation, our actual LTM Adjusted EBITDA Pro Forma would be reduced to the extent of the cost savings we do not achieve.

Use of the Caesars brand name, or any of our other brands, by entities other than us could damage the brands and our operations and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our Caesars brand remains the most recognized casino brand in the world and our operations benefit from the global recognition and reputation generated by our brands. Through Caesars Global Life, we are actively pursuing non-gaming management, branding, and development opportunities in Asia and other parts of the world where our brands and reputation are already well-recognized assets. In September 2011, we announced a management and branding agreement for a development, whose equity will be provided by a third party, that will be called Caesars Palace Longmu Bay. In addition, we will continue to expand our World Series of Poker tournaments to international jurisdictions where we believe there is a likelihood of legalization of online gaming, in order to grow the brand s awareness. In connection with such opportunities, we intend to grant third parties licenses to use our brands. Our business and results of operations may be adversely affected by the management or the enforcement of the Caesars and the World Series of Poker brand names, or any of our other brands, by third parties outside of our exclusive control.

Any failure to protect our trademarks could have a negative impact on the value of our brand names and adversely affect our business.

The development of intellectual property is part of our overall business strategy, and we regard our intellectual property to be an important element of our success. For example, we own and operate the World Series of Poker tournaments, and we license trademarks for a variety of products and businesses related to this brand. While our business as a whole is not substantially dependent on any one trademark or combination of several of our trademarks or other intellectual property, we seek to establish and maintain our proprietary rights in our business operations and technology through the use of patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secret laws. We file applications for and obtain patents, copyrights and trademarks in the United States and in foreign countries where we believe filing for such protection is appropriate. We also seek to maintain our trade secrets and confidential information by nondisclosure policies and through the use of appropriate confidentiality agreements. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, parties may infringe our trademarks and use information that we regard as proprietary and our rights may be invalidated or unenforceable. The laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights to as great an extent as do the laws of the United States. Monitoring the unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult. Litigation may be necessary to enforce our intellectual property rights or to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. Litigation of this type could result in substantial costs and diversion of resource. We cannot assure you that all of the steps we have taken to protect our trademarks in the United States and foreign countries will be adequate to prevent imitation of our trademarks by others. The unauthorized use or reproduction of our trademarks could diminish the value of our brand and its market acceptance, competitive advantages or goodwill, which could adversely affect our business.

27

The risks associated with our international operations could reduce our profits.

Some of our properties are located outside the United States, and our 2006 acquisition of London Clubs has increased the percentage of our revenue derived from operations outside the United States. In addition, as we are pursuing opportunities to further expand into international markets through gaming opportunities and Caesars Global Life, we also expect that the percentage of our revenues derived from operations outside the United States will increase in the future. International operations are subject to inherent risks including:

| Ī | political and economic instability; |
|---|---|
| V | variation in local economies; |
| C | currency fluctuation; |
| ٤ | greater difficulty in accounts receivable collection; |
| t | trade barriers; and |
| | burden of complying with a variety of international laws. e, the political instability in Egypt due to the uprisings in January 2011 has negatively affected our properties there. |

Any violation of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act or other similar laws and regulations could have a negative impact on us.

We are subject to risks associated with doing business outside of the United States, which exposes us to complex foreign and U.S. regulations inherent in doing business cross-border and in each of the countries in which it transacts business. We are subject to regulations imposed by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or the FCPA, and other anti-corruption laws that generally prohibit U.S. companies and their intermediaries from offering, promising, authorizing or making improper payments to foreign government officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Violations of the FCPA and other anti-corruption laws may result in severe criminal and civil sanctions as well as other penalties and the SEC and U.S. Department of Justice have increased their enforcement activities with respect to the FCPA. Internal control policies and procedures and employee training and compliance programs that we have implemented to deter prohibited practices may not be effective in prohibiting our employees, contractors or agents from violating or circumventing our policies and the law. If our employees or agents fail to comply with applicable laws or Company policies governing our international operations, we may face investigations, prosecutions and other legal proceedings and actions which could result in civil penalties, administrative remedies and criminal sanctions. Any determination that we have violated the FCPA could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. Compliance with international and U.S. laws and regulations that apply to our international operations increases our cost of doing business in foreign jurisdictions. We also deal with significant amounts of cash in our operations and are subject to various reporting and anti-money laundering regulations. Any violation of anti-money laundering laws or regulations by any of our resorts could have a negative effect on our results of operations.

The loss of the services of key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business.

The leadership of our chief executive officer, Mr. Loveman, and other executive officers has been a critical element of our success. The death or disability of Mr. Loveman or other extended or permanent loss of his services, or any negative market or industry perception with respect to him or arising from his loss, could have a material adverse effect on our business. Our other executive officers and other members of senior management have substantial experience and expertise in our business and have made significant contributions to our growth and success. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of these individuals could also adversely affect us. We are not protected by key man or similar life insurance covering members of our senior management. We have employment agreements with our executive officers, but these agreements do not guarantee that any given executive will remain with us.

Table of Contents

If we are unable to attract, retain and motivate employees, we may not be able to compete effectively and will not be able to expand our business.

Our success and ability to grow are dependent, in part, on our ability to hire, retain and motivate sufficient numbers of talented people, with the increasingly diverse skills needed to serve clients and expand our business, in many locations around the world. Competition for highly qualified, specialized technical and managerial, and particularly consulting personnel, is intense. Recruiting, training, retention and benefit costs place significant demands on our resources. Additionally, our substantial indebtedness and the downturn in the gaming sector the past few years has made recruiting executives to our business more difficult. The inability to attract qualified employees in sufficient numbers to meet particular demands or the loss of a significant number of our employees could have an adverse effect on us.

We are or may become involved in legal proceedings that, if adversely adjudicated or settled, could impact our financial condition.

From time to time, we are defendants in various lawsuits or other legal proceedings relating to matters incidental to our business. The nature of our business subjects us to the risk of lawsuits filed by customers, past and present employees, competitors, business partners, Indian tribes and others in the ordinary course of business. As with all legal proceedings, no assurance can be provided as to the outcome of these matters and in general, legal proceedings can be expensive and time consuming. For example, we may have potential liability arising from a class action lawsuit against Hilton Hotels Corporation relating to employee benefit obligations. We may not be successful in the defense or prosecution of these lawsuits, which could result in settlements or damages that could significantly impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to this Offering

An active trading market for our common stock may not develop.

Prior to this offering, there has not been a public market for our common stock. In conjunction with this offering, only a small percentage of our stock is expected to be listed on a public market. We cannot predict the extent to which investor interest in us will lead to the development of an active trading market or how liquid that market might become. If an active trading market does not develop, you may have difficulty selling any of our common stock that you buy. The offering price for our common stock may not be indicative of prices that will prevail in the open market following this offering. Consequently, you may not be able to sell our common stock at prices equal to or greater than the price you paid in this offering.

Future sales or the possibility of future sales of a substantial amount of our common stock may depress the price of shares of our common stock

Future sales or the availability for sale of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market could adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital through future sales of equity securities.

After giving effect to this offering as if all were to occur on the date hereof, there would be shares of our new common stock outstanding (or shares if the underwriters option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full), all of which will be the same class of voting common stock. All of the outstanding shares of our common stock will be eligible for resale under Rule 144 or Rule 701 of the Securities Act, subject to volume limitations, applicable holding period requirements and existing lockup arrangements. The Sponsors have the ability to cause us to register the resale of its shares, and our management members who hold shares will have the ability to include their shares in such registration.

We may issue shares of common stock or other securities from time to time as consideration for future acquisitions and investments or for any other reason that our board of directors, or Board, deems advisable. If any such acquisition or investment is significant, the number of shares of our common stock, or the number or aggregate principal amount, as the case may be, of other securities that we may issue may in turn be substantial.

Table of Contents 46

29

Table of Contents

We may also grant registration rights covering those shares of common stock or other securities in connection with any such acquisitions and investments. Upon consummation of this offering, options to purchase shares of common stock will be outstanding under our Management Equity Incentive Plan, assuming no changes to the plan, and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock will be outstanding.

We cannot predict the size of future issuances of our common stock or other securities or the effect, if any, that future issuances and sales of our common stock or other securities, including future sales by the Sponsors, will have on the market price of our common stock. Sales of substantial amounts of common stock (including shares of common stock issued in connection with an acquisition), or the perception that such sales could occur, may adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock.

The price and trading volume of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Even if an active trading market develops upon completion of this offering and listing of our common stock, the market price of our common stock may be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations. In addition, the trading volume of our common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. Volatility in the market price of our common stock may prevent you from being able to sell your shares at or above the price you paid for your shares of common stock. The market price for our common stock could fluctuate significantly for various reasons, including:

our operating and financial performance and prospects;

our quarterly or annual earnings or those of other companies in our industry;

conditions that impact demand for our products and services;

the public s reaction to our press releases, other public announcements and filings with the SEC;

changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by securities analysts who track our common stock;

market and industry perception of our success, or lack thereof, in pursuing our growth strategy;

strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings;

changes in government and environmental regulation, including gaming taxes;

changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;

arrival and departure of key personnel;

changes in our capital structure;

sales of common stock by us or members of our management team; and

changes in general market, economic and political conditions in the United States and global economies or financial markets, including those resulting from natural disasters, terrorist attacks, acts of war and responses to such events.

In addition, in recent years, the stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant impact on the market price of securities issued by many companies, including companies in the gaming, lodging, hospitality and entertainment industries. The changes frequently appear to occur without regard to the operating performance of the affected companies. Hence, the price of our common stock could fluctuate based upon factors that have little or nothing to do with us, and these fluctuations could materially reduce our share price.

Apollo and TPG control us, and their interests may conflict with or differ from your interests as a stockholder.

After giving effect to this offering, Hamlet Holdings, the members of which are comprised of an equal number of individuals affiliated with each of the Sponsors, will beneficially own in excess of % of our

30

Table of Contents

common stock pursuant to an irrevocable proxy providing Hamlet Holdings with sole voting and sole dispositive power over those shares. The members of Hamlet Holdings have the power to elect all of our directors. Hamlet Holdings has the ability to vote on any transaction that requires the approval of our Board or our stockholders, including the approval of significant corporate transactions such as mergers and the sale of substantially all of our assets.

The interests of the members of Hamlet Holdings could conflict with or differ from the interests of holders of our common stock. The Sponsors are in the business of making or advising on investments in companies it holds, and may from time to time in the future acquire interests in or provide advice to businesses that directly or indirectly compete with certain portions of our business or are suppliers or customers of ours. One or both of the Sponsors may also pursue acquisitions that may be complementary to our business, and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us.

The concentration of ownership held by the Sponsors and their co-investors could delay, defer or prevent a change of control of us or impede a merger, takeover or other business combination which another stockholder may otherwise view favorably. In addition, a sale of a substantial number of shares of stock in the future by funds affiliated with the Sponsors or their co-investors could cause our stock price to decline.

So long as affiliates of the Sponsors continue to own a significant amount of the outstanding shares of our common stock, they will continue to be able to strongly influence or effectively control our decisions.

In addition, we have an executive committee that serves at the discretion of our Board and is authorized to take such actions as it reasonably determines appropriate. Currently, the executive committee may act by a majority of its members, provided that at least one member designated by Apollo Members (as defined under Certain Relationship and Related Party Transactions Hamlet Holdings Operating Agreement) and one member designated by TPG Members (as defined under Certain Relationship and Related Party Transactions Hamlet Holdings Operating Agreement) must approve any action of the executive committee. See Management Executive Committee for a further discussion.

Our stockholders are subject to extensive governmental regulation and if a stockholder is found unsuitable by the gaming authority, that stockholder would not be able to beneficially own our common stock directly or indirectly.

In many jurisdictions, gaming laws can require any of our stockholders to file an application, be investigated, and qualify or have his, her or its suitability determined by gaming authorities. Gaming authorities have very broad discretion in determining whether an applicant should be deemed suitable. Subject to certain administrative proceeding requirements, the gaming regulators have the authority to deny any application or limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend any license, registration, finding of suitability or approval, or fine any person licensed, registered or found suitable or approved, for any cause deemed reasonable by the gaming authorities. For additional information on the criteria used in making determinations regarding suitability, see Gaming Regulatory Overview.

For example, under Nevada gaming laws, each person who acquires, directly or indirectly, beneficial ownership of any voting security, or beneficial or record ownership of any non-voting security or any debt security, in a public corporation which is registered with the Nevada Gaming Commission, or the Gaming Commission, may be required to be found suitable if the Gaming Commission has reason to believe that his or her acquisition of that ownership, or his or her continued ownership in general, would be inconsistent with the declared public policy of Nevada, in the sole discretion of the Gaming Commission. Any person required by the Gaming Commission to be found suitable shall apply for a finding of suitability within 30 days after the Gaming s Commission s request that he or she should do so and, together with his or her application for suitability, deposit with the Nevada Gaming Control Board, or the Control Board, a sum of money which, in the sole discretion of the Control Board, will be adequate to pay the anticipated costs and charges incurred in the investigation and processing of that application for suitability, and deposit such additional sums as are required by the Control Board to pay final costs and charges.

31

Table of Contents

Furthermore, any person required by a gaming authority to be found suitable, who is found unsuitable by the gaming authority, may not hold directly or indirectly the beneficial ownership of any voting security or the beneficial or record ownership of any nonvoting security or any debt security of any public corporation which is registered with the gaming authority beyond the time prescribed by the gaming authority. A violation of the foregoing may constitute a criminal offense. A finding of unsuitability by a particular gaming authority impacts that person s ability to associate or affiliate with gaming licensees in that particular jurisdiction and could impact the person s ability to associate or affiliate with gaming licensees in other jurisdictions.

Many jurisdictions also require any person who acquires beneficial ownership of more than a certain percentage of voting securities of a gaming company and, in some jurisdictions, non-voting securities, typically 5%, to report the acquisition to gaming authorities, and gaming authorities may require such holders to apply for qualification or a finding of suitability, subject to limited exceptions for institutional investors that hold a company s voting securities for investment purposes only.

Some jurisdictions may also limit the number of gaming licenses in which a person may hold ownership or controlling interest. In Indiana, for example, a person may not have an ownership interest in more than two Indiana riverboat owner s licenses.

You will suffer an immediate and substantial dilution in the net tangible book value of the common stock you purchase after giving effect to this offering.

The assumed initial offering price in this offering is substantially higher than the net tangible book value per share of the outstanding common stock immediately after the offering. Accordingly, based on an assumed initial public offering price of \$ per share, the midpoint of the estimated offering price range of \$ and \$, purchasers of common stock in this offering will experience immediate and substantial dilution of approximately \$ per share in net tangible book value of the common stock after giving effect to this offering. See Dilution, including the discussion of the effects on dilution from a change in the price of this offering.

Because we have not paid dividends since the Acquisition and do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future, you should not expect to receive dividends on shares of our common stock.

We have no present plans to pay cash dividends to our stockholders and, for the foreseeable future, intend to retain all of our earnings for use in our business. The declaration of any future dividends by us is within the discretion of our Board and will be dependent on our earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, as well as any other factors deemed relevant by our Board.

We will be a controlled company within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange or Nasdaq rules and, as a result, will qualify for, and intend to rely on, exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements.

Upon the closing of this offering, Hamlet Holdings will continue to control a majority of our voting common stock. As a result, we will be a controlled company within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange or Nasdaq corporate governance standards. Under the New York Stock Exchange or Nasdaq rules, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power is held by an individual, group or another company is a controlled company and may elect not to comply with certain New York Stock Exchange or Nasdaq corporate governance requirements, including:

the requirement that a majority of the Board consists of independent directors;

the requirement that we have a nominating/corporate governance committee that is composed entirely of independent directors;

32

Table of Contents

the requirement that we have a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors; and

the requirement for an annual performance evaluation of the nominating/corporate governance and compensation committees. Following this offering, we intend to utilize these exemptions. As a result, we will not have a majority of independent directors nor will our nominating/corporate governance and compensation committees consist entirely of independent directors and we will not be required to have an annual performance evaluation of the nominating/corporate governance and compensation committees. See Management. Accordingly, you will not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the New York Stock Exchange or Nasdaq corporate governance requirements.

Although we already file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 13 of the Exchange Act of 1934, becoming a company with publicly traded common stock will increase our expenses and administrative burden.

As a company with publicly traded common stock, we will incur legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a company without a publicly traded equity security. In addition, our administrative staff will be required to perform additional tasks. For example, in anticipation of becoming a company with publicly traded common stock, we will need to create or revise the roles and duties of our Board committees and retain a transfer agent. Once our common stock is publicly traded, we will also be required to hold an annual meeting for our stockholders, which will require us to expend resources to prepare, print and mail a proxy statement relating to the annual meeting.

In addition, changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related regulations implemented by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or Dodd-Frank, which amended Sarbanes-Oxley, among other federal laws, are creating uncertainty for public companies, increasing legal and financial compliance costs and making some activities more time consuming. Dodd-Frank, signed into law on July 21, 2010, effects comprehensive changes to the regulation of financial services in the United States and will subject us to additional federal regulation. We cannot predict with any certainty the requirements of the regulations ultimately adopted or how Dodd-Frank and such regulations will impact the cost of compliance for a company with publicly traded common stock. We are currently evaluating and monitoring developments with respect to Dodd-Frank and other new and proposed rules and cannot predict or estimate the amount of the additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs. These laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices. We intend to invest resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management s time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. If our efforts to comply with new laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to practice, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us and our business may be harmed. We also expect that being a company with publicly traded common stock and these new rules and regulations will make it more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain coverage. These factors could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified members of our Board, particularly to serve on our audit committee, and qualified executive officers.

33

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS CONCERNING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws, which involve risks and uncertainties. You can identify forward looking statements because they contain words such as believes, project, might, expects, may, will, should, approximately, intends, plans, estimates, or anticipates or similar expressions that concern our strategy, plans or intentions. All statements we make relating to our estimated and projected earnings, margins, costs, expenditures, cash flows, growth rates and financial results are forward looking statements. In addition, we, through our senior management, from time to time make forward looking public statements concerning our expected future operations and performance and other developments. These forward looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may change at any time, and, therefore, our actual results may differ materially from those that we expected. We derive many of our forward looking statements from our operating budgets and forecasts, which are based upon many detailed assumptions. While we believe that our assumptions are reasonable, we caution that it is very difficult to predict the impact of known factors, and it is impossible for us to anticipate all factors that could affect our actual results.

We disclose important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations under Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus, including, without limitation, in conjunction with the forward looking statements included in this prospectus. All subsequent written and oral forward looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements. Some of the factors that we believe could materially affect our results include:

the impact of our substantial indebtedness;

the impact, if any, of unfunded pension benefits under multi-employer pension plans;

the effects of local and national economic, credit and capital market conditions on the economy in general, and on the gaming industry in particular;

construction factors, including delays, increased costs of labor and materials, availability of labor and materials, zoning issues, environmental restrictions, soil and water conditions, weather and other hazards, site access matters and building permit issues;

the effects of environmental and structural building conditions relating to our properties;

our ability to timely and cost-effectively integrate companies that we acquire into our operations;

our ability to realize the expense reductions from our cost savings programs;

access to available and reasonable financing on a timely basis;

changes in laws, including increased tax rates, smoking bans, regulations or accounting standards, third-party relations and approvals, and decisions, disciplines and fines of courts, regulators and governmental bodies;

litigation outcomes and judicial and governmental body actions, including gaming legislative action, referenda, regulatory disciplinary actions and fines and taxation;

the ability of our customer-tracking, customer loyalty and yield-management programs to continue to increase customer loyalty and same-store or hotel sales;

our ability to recoup costs of capital investments through higher revenues;
acts of war or terrorist incidents, severe weather conditions, uprisings or natural disasters;
access to insurance on reasonable terms for our assets;

34

abnormal gaming holds (gaming hold is the amount of money that is retained by the casino from wagers by customers);

Table of Contents

the potential difficulties in employee retention and recruitment as a result of our substantial indebtedness, the ongoing downturn in the gaming industry, or any other factor;

the effects of competition, including locations of competitors and operating and market competition; and

the other factors set forth under Risk Factors.

We caution you that the foregoing list of important factors may not contain all of the material factors that are important to you. In addition, in light of these risks and uncertainties, the matters referred to in the forward looking statements contained in this prospectus, which speak only as of the date of this prospectus, may not in fact occur. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward looking statement as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as otherwise required by law.

35

MARKET AND INDUSTRY DATA AND FORECASTS

Information regarding market share, market position and industry data pertaining to our business contained in this prospectus consists of our estimates based on data and reports compiled by industry sources and professional organizations, including National Indian Gaming Commission, Casino City s North American Gaming Almanac, 2010 AGA Survey of Casino Entertainment, Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority, Smith Travel Research, Nevada State Gaming Control Board Nevada Gaming Abstract, South Jersey Transportation Authority, New Jersey Casino Control Commission, H2 Gaming Capital, Macau Gaming Inspection and Coordination Bureau, European Casino Association, the public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission of MGM Resorts International, Las Vegas Sands Corp., Wynn Resorts, Limited, Ameristar Casinos, Inc., Penn National Gaming, Inc. and Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc. and on our management s knowledge of our business and markets.

Although we believe that the third-party sources are reliable, we have not independently verified the accuracy or completeness of the market industry data provided by third parties or by industry or general publications. Similarly, while we believe our internal estimates with respect to our industry are reliable, our estimates have not been verified by any independent sources. While we are not aware of any misstatements regarding any industry data presented in this prospectus, our estimates, in particular as they relate to market share and our general expectations, involve risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, including those discussed under Risk Factors.

36

Table of Contents

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that the net proceeds from this offering without exercise of the option to purchase additional shares will be approximately \$ million after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and expenses, assuming the shares are offered at \$ per share, which represents the midpoint of the estimated offering price range set forth on the front cover of this prospectus. If the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full, the net proceeds to us will be approximately \$ million.

We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes, including development projects and maintenance capital expenditures.

37

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our capitalization as of September 30, 2011:

on an actual basis;

on an as adjusted basis after giving effect to this offering at an assumed offering price that is the midpoint of the estimated offering price range of \$\\$ and \$\\$ and after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

You should read this table in conjunction with Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Description of Indebtedness and our financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

| | As of September 30, 2011 As adjusted for | | | | |
|--|---|----|--------------------|--|--|
| | Actual | | is offering | | |
| (In millions) | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,150.7 | \$ | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Debt: | | | | | |
| Revolving credit facility ⁽²⁾ | \$ | \$ | | | |
| Term loan ⁽³⁾ | 7,184.8 | | 7,184.8 | | |
| First lien notes | 2,053.4 | | 2,053.4 | | |
| CMBS Financing | 5,025.7 | | 5,025.7 | | |
| Second lien notes ⁽⁴⁾ | 3,008.0 | | 3,008.0 | | |
| PHW Las Vegas senior secured loan | 427.5 | | 427.5 | | |
| Linq/Octavius senior secured loan | 445.7 | | 445.7 | | |
| Chester senior secured loan | 224.2 | | 224.2 | | |
| Subsidiary guaranteed unsecured senior debt ⁽⁵⁾ | 487.2 | | 487.2 | | |
| Unsecured senior notes ⁽⁶⁾ | 685.0 | | 685.0 | | |
| Other ⁽⁷⁾ | 79.1 | | 79.1 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total long-term debt, including current portion | \$ 19,620.6 | \$ | 19,620.6 | | |
| Equity | 1,205.9 | Ψ | -> ,0 - 0.0 | | |
| 1 0 | ,, | | | | |
| Total capitalization | \$ 20,826.5 | \$ | | | |

- (1) Excludes restricted cash of \$544.0 million.
- (2) Upon the closing of the Acquisition, CEOC entered into the senior secured credit facilities, which included a \$2,000.0 million revolving credit facility that was reduced to \$1,206.8 million due to debt retirements and the conversion of a portion of the revolving credit facility to an extended term loan subsequent to the closing of the Acquisition. At September 30, 2011, \$1,080.2 million of borrowing capacity was available under our revolving credit facility, with an additional \$126.6 million committed to back letters of credit. Caesars guarantees this facility, and all of the material wholly owned domestic subsidiaries of CEOC, other than Planet Hollywood, Caesars Octavius and Caesars Linq, have pledged their assets to secure this facility.
- (3) Upon the closing of the Acquisition, CEOC entered into a seven-year \$7,250.0 million term loan facility, all of which was drawn at the closing of the Acquisition. The outstanding borrowings under the term loan have been increased by an incremental term loan drawn in October 2009 and \$423.3 million of revolver commitments converted to extended term loans. The outstanding borrowings have been reduced by payments made subsequent to the Acquisition. Caesars guarantees this facility, and all of the material wholly owned domestic

subsidiaries of CEOC, other than Planet Hollywood, Caesars Octavius and Caesars Linq, have pledged their assets to secure this facility.

(4) Consists of the book values of \$750.0 million face value of 12.75% Second-Priority Notes due 2018, book values of \$214.8 million face value of 10.0% Second-Priority Notes due 2015, book values of \$847.6 million face value of 10.0% Second-Priority Notes due 2018 issued in connection with the exchange offers that were consummated on December 24, 2008, and book values of \$3,705.5 million face value of 10.0%

38

Table of Contents

- Second-Priority Notes due 2018 issued in connection with the exchange offers that were consummated on April 15, 2009. Such amounts are inclusive of amounts paid in fees in connection with such exchange offers. The aggregate face value of such notes is \$5,517.9 million.
- (5) Consists of \$478.6 million of 10.75% Senior Notes due 2016 and \$8.6 million of 10.75%/11.5% Senior PIK Toggle Notes due 2018. All of this indebtedness is guaranteed on a joint and several basis by Caesars and all of the material wholly owned domestic subsidiaries of CEOC, other than Planet Hollywood, Caesars Octavius and Caesars Linq, that have pledged their assets to secure the senior secured credit facilities.
- (6) The Actual unsecured senior notes consist of the book values of the following notes: \$125.2 million face value of 5.375% Senior Notes due 2013, \$364.5 million face value of 5.625% Senior Notes due 2015, \$153.7 million face value of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2017, \$248.7 million face value of 6.5% Senior Notes due 2016, \$0.6 million face value of 7% Senior Notes due 2013 and \$0.2 million face value of Floating Rate Contingent Convertible Senior Notes due 2024, all of which are obligations of CEOC and guaranteed by Caesars. The aggregate face value of such notes is \$892.9 million. As a result of a private placement and open market purchases, HBC holds \$427.3 million face value of the outstanding 5.625% Senior Notes due 2015, \$385.1 million face value of the outstanding 5.75% Senior Notes due 2017, \$324.5 million face value of the outstanding 6.5% Senior Notes due 2016 and \$3.1 million face value of the Senior PIK Toggle Notes due 2018. The amounts of the notes held by HBC are eliminated upon consolidation of Caesars.
- (7) Consists of the book values of \$65.7 million of principal obligations to fund Clark County, Nevada, Special Improvement District bonds and \$13.4 million of miscellaneous other indebtedness.

39

DILUTION

Dilution is the amount by which the offering price paid by the purchasers of the common stock to be sold in this offering exceeds the net tangible book value per share of common stock after this offering. Net tangible book value per share is determined at any date by subtracting our total liabilities from the total book value of our tangible assets and dividing the difference by the number of shares of common stock deemed to be outstanding at that date.

Our net tangible book value (deficit) as of September 30, 2011 was \$(6,934.4) million, or \$(96.61) per share. After giving effect to the receipt and our intended use of approximately \$\\$ million of estimated net proceeds from our sale of shares of common stock in this offering at an assumed offering price of \$\\$ per share, which represents the midpoint of the estimated offering price range set forth on the front cover of this prospectus, our pro forma net tangible book value (deficit) as of September 30, 2011 is approximately \$\\$ million, or \$\\$ per share. This represents an immediate increase in pro forma net tangible book value of \$\\$ per share to existing stockholders and an immediate dilution of \$\\$ per share to new investors purchasing shares of common stock in this offering. The following table illustrates this substantial and immediate per share dilution to new investors:

| | Per Share |
|---|-----------|
| Assumed initial public offering price per share | \$ |
| Net tangible book value (deficit) before this offering | \$ |
| Increase per share attributable to investors in this offering | |
| | |
| Pro forma net tangible book value (deficit) after this offering | \$ |
| | |
| Dilution per share to new investors | \$ |
| Britation per share to new investors | Ψ |

A \$ increase (decrease) in the assumed initial public offering price of \$ per share (the midpoint of the estimate offering price range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus) would increase (decrease) our pro forma as adjusted net tangible book value by \$ million, the as adjusted pro forma net tangible book value per share after this offering by \$ per share and the dilution per share to new investors in this offering by \$, assuming the number of shares offered by us, as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus remains the same and after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated expenses payable by us.

The following table summarizes on an as adjusted basis as of September 30, 2011, giving effect to:

the total number of shares of common stock purchased from us;

the total consideration paid to us, assuming an initial public offering price of \$ per share (before deducting the estimated underwriting discount and commissions and offering expenses payable by us in connection with this offering); and

the average price per share paid by existing shareholders and by new investors purchasing shares in this offering.

| | Shares Pi Number | urchased Percent | Total Cons (in mil Amount | Average Price Per Share | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----|
| Existing stockholders Investors in the offering | | % | \$ | | \$ |
| Total | | % | \$ | | \$ |

40

Table of Contents

A \$ increase (decrease) in the assumed initial public offering price of \$ per share (the midpoint of the estimate offering price range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus) would increase (decrease) total consideration paid by existing stockholders, total consideration paid by new investors and the average price per share by \$, \$ million and \$, respectively, assuming the number of shares offered by us, as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus remains the same, and without deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated expenses payable by us.

The above tables and calculations do not give effect to:

shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options as of September 30, 2011, at a weighted-average exercise price of \$ per share, or shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of options we anticipate issuing prior to the consummation of this offering;

shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants as of September 30, 2011, at a weighted-average exercise price of \$ per share; and

shares of our common stock issuable in this offering to the underwriters pursuant to an option to purchase additional shares.

To the extent any of these options or warrants are exercised or shares of our common stock currently reserved for future issuance are issued, there will be further dilution to new investors.

41

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents our selected historical consolidated financial data as of and for the periods presented. The selected historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2009 and 2010 and for the periods from January 1, 2008 through January 27, 2008 (Predecessor) and from January 28, 2008 through December 31, 2008 and the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 (Successor) have been derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected historical consolidated financial and other data for the periods for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, and as of December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus. The selected historical financial information as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, are derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary historical consolidated financial information as of September 30, 2010 has been derived from our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements not included in this prospectus. Except as otherwise described herein, our interim unaudited financial statements have been prepared on a basis consistent with our annual audited financial statements and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation of such data.

You should read this data in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our financial statements and the related notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus.

42

Caesars Entertainment Corporation

Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data

| | | Predecessor | r Jan. | Ion 28 | | Successor | Nine N | Tontha |
|--|--------------------|-------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Year | Year Ended | | Jan. 28, 2008 | Year 1 | Ended | Nine M End | ded |
| (To a 202) | | 1ber 31, | through | through | | ber 31, | Septem | |
| (In millions, except per share data) Revenues | 2006 | 2007 | Jan. 27, 2008 | Dec. 31, 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Casino | \$ 7,868.6 | \$ 8,831.0 | \$ 614.6 | \$ 7,476.9 | \$ 7,124.3 | \$ 6,917.9 | \$ 5,251.3 | \$ 5,029.5 |
| | | 1,698.8 | | | | | | |
| Food and beverage | 1,577.7 1,240.7 | 1,353.6 | 118.4 | 1,530.2 1,174.5 | 1,479.3 1,068.9 | 1,510.6 1,132.3 | 1,157.8 858.5 | 1,165.0 917.2 |
| Rooms Management fees | 89.1 | 81.5 | 96.4 5.0 | 59.1 | | 39.1 | 31.2 | 27.7 |
| Management fees Other | 611.0 | 695.9 | | 624.8 | 56.6 592.4 | 576.3 | 439.9 | 473.4 |
| Less: casino promotional allowances | (1,713.2) | | | (1,498.6) | (1,414.1) | (1,357.6) | (1,041.1) | (950.7) |
| | (), , | () | , | () | () | ()===== | () = | (*****) |
| Net revenues | 9,673.9 | 10,825.2 | 760.1 | 9,366.9 | 8,907.4 | 8,818.6 | 6,697.6 | 6,662.1 |
| Operating Expenses | | | | | | | | |
| Direct | | | | | 2000 | 0.010 | | |
| Casino | 3,902.6 | 4,595.2 | 340.6 | 4,102.8 | 3,925.5 | 3,948.9 | 2,982.9 | 2,827.9 |
| Food and beverage | 697.6 | 716.5 | 50.5 | 639.5 | 596.0 | 621.3 | 469.7 | 500.3 |
| Rooms | 256.6 | 266.3 | 19.6 | 236.7 | 213.5 | 259.4 | 195.5 | 217.1 |
| Property general and administrative and other | 2,206.8 | 2,421.7 | 178.2 | 2,143.0 | 2,018.8 | 2,061.7 | 1,580.0 | 1,593.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 667.9 | 817.2 | 63.5 | 626.9 | 683.9 | 735.5 | 548.1 | 532.2 |
| Project opening costs | 20.9 | 25.5 | 0.7 | 28.9 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| Write-downs, reserves and recoveries | 62.6 | (59.9) |) 4.7 | 16.2 | 107.9 | 147.6 | 136.3 | 82.9 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | 20.7 | 169.6 | | 5,489.6 | 1,638.0 | 193.0 | 144.0 | |
| (Income)/loss in non-consolidated affiliates | (3.6) | (3.9) | (0.5) | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 4.2 |
| Corporate expense | 177.5 | 138.1 | 8.5 | 131.8 | 150.7 | 140.9 | 103.8 | 115.1 |
| Acquisition and integration costs | 37.0 | 13.4 | 125.6 | 24.0 | 0.3 | 13.6 | 8.3 | 3.6 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 70.7 | 73.5 | 5.5 | 162.9 | 174.8 | 160.8 | 121.7 | 117.7 |
| Total operating expenses | 8,117.3 | 9,173.2 | 796.9 | 13,604.4 | 9,515.2 | 8,286.3 | 6,296.4 | 5,998.2 |
| Income/(loss) from operations | 1,556.6 | 1,652.0 | (36.8) | (4,237.5) | (607.8) | 532.3 | 401.2 | 663.9 |
| Interest expense, net of interest capitalized | (670.5) | | | (2,074.9) | (1,892.5) | (1,981.6) | (1,471.9) | (1,448.3) |
| (Losses)/gains on early extinguishments of debt | (62.0) | | ` ' | 742.1 | 4,965.5 | 115.6 | 48.7 | 47.9 |
| Other income, including interest income | 10.7 | 43.3 | 1.1 | 35.2 | 33.0 | 41.7 | 28.2 | 16.7 |
| L | | | | | | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before | 0240 | 902 5 | (125.4) | (5 525 1) | 2 400 2 | (1.202.0) | (002.0) | (710.9) |
| income taxes | 834.8 | 892.5 | (125.4) | (5,535.1) | 2,498.2 | (1,292.0) | (993.8) | (719.8) |
| (Provision) benefit for income taxes | (295.6) | (350.1) |) 26.0 | 360.4 | (1,651.8) | 468.7 | 364.5 | 248.5 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | 539.2 | 542.4 | (99.4) | (5,174.7) | 846.4 | (823.3) | (629.3) | (471.3) |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 11.9 | 92.2 | 0.1 | 90.4 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Net income/(loss) | 551.1 | 634.6 | (99.3) | (5,084.3) | 846.4 | (823.3) | (629.3) | (471.3) |
| Less: net (income)/loss attributable to | (1.5.0) | (15.0) | | (12.6) | (10.0) | (7 .0) | (5.1) | 4.2 |
| non-controlling interests | (15.3) | (15.2) | (1.6) | (12.0) | (18.8) | (7.8) | (5.1) | 4.3 |
| Net income/(loss) attributable to Caesars | | | | | | | | |
| Entertainment Corporation Preferred stock dividends | 535.8 | 619.4 | (100.9) | (5,096.3) (297.8) | 827.6 (354.8) | (831.1) | (634.4) | (467.0) |
| Teleford stock dividends | | | | (2)1.0) | (334.0) | | | |
| | \$ 535.8 | \$ 619.4 | \$ (100.9) | \$ (5,394.1) | \$ 472.8 | \$ (831.1) | \$ (634.4) | \$ (467.0) |

Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders

| Earnings per share basic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------|----|-------|----|--------|----|----------|----|-------|----|---------|----|---------|----|--------|
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ | 2.85 | \$ | 2.83 | \$ | (0.54) | \$ | (134.59) | \$ | 11.62 | \$ | (14.58) | \$ | (11.70) | \$ | (6.50) |
| Discontinued operations, net | | 0.06 | | 0.50 | | | | 2.22 | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ | 2.91 | \$ | 3.33 | \$ | (0.54) | ¢ | (132.37) | \$ | 11.62 | \$ | (14.58) | \$ | (11.70) | \$ | (6.50) |
| Net illeome/(loss) | Φ | 2.71 | φ | 3.33 | Φ | (0.54) | φ | (132.37) | Φ | 11.02 | φ | (14.50) | φ | (11.70) | φ | (0.50) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Earnings per share diluted | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ | 2.79 | \$ | 2.77 | \$ | (0.54) | \$ | (134.59) | \$ | 6.88 | \$ | (14.58) | \$ | (11.70) | \$ | (6.50) |
| Discontinued operations, net | | 0.06 | | 0.48 | | | | 2.22 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ | 2.85 | \$ | 3.25 | \$ | (0.54) | \$ | (132.37) | \$ | 6.88 | \$ | (14.58) | \$ | (11.70) | \$ | (6.50) |
| rectineome/(1055) | Ψ | 2.05 | Ψ | 3.23 | Ψ | (0.51) | Ψ | (132.37) | Ψ | 0.00 | Ψ | (11.50) | Ψ | (11.70) | Ψ | (0.50) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ | 1.53 | \$ | 1.60 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding | | 184.0 | | 186.3 | | 188.1 | | 40.8 | | 40.7 | | 57.0 | | 54.2 | | 71.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D'' - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diluted weighted-average common shares | | 100.0 | | 100 6 | | 100.1 | | 40.0 | | 120.2 | | 57.0 | | 540 | | 71.0 |
| outstanding | | 188.0 | | 190.6 | | 188.1 | | 40.8 | | 120.2 | | 57.0 | | 54.2 | | 71.8 |

Table of Contents

| | Successor | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|----------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| (In millions, except per share data) | Year l Decem 2006 | Ended ber 31, 2007 | Jan. 1, 2008 through Jan. 27, 2008 | Jan. 28, 2008 through Dec. 31, 2008 | Year l Decem 2009 | | En | Months ded nber 30, 2011 |
| Balance Sheet Data (at period end) | 2000 | 2007 | Jan. 27, 2000 | Dec. 31, 2000 | 2007 | 2010 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 799.6 | \$ 710.0 | | \$ 650.5 | \$ 918.1 | \$ 987.0 | \$ 1,323.7 | \$ 1,150.7 |
| Working capital | (610.2) | (126.1 |) | (536.4) | (6.6) | 207.7 | 121.7 | 235.8 |
| Total assets | 22,284.9 | 23,357.7 | | 31,048.6 | 28,979.2 | 28,587.7 | 29,287.9 | 28,866.1 |
| Total debt | 12,089.9 | 12,440.4 | | 23,208.9 | 18,943.1 | 18,841.1 | 19,717.1 | 19,620.6 |
| Total stockholders equity/(deficit) | 6,123.5 | 6,679.1 | | (1,360.8) | (867.0) | 1,672.6 | 1,062.6 | 1,205.9 |
| Other Financial Data | | | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures, net of change in construction payables | \$ 2,500.1 | \$ 1,376.7 | \$ 125.6 | \$ 1,181.4 | \$ 464.5 | \$ 160.7 | \$ 124.6 | \$ 164.9 |

DIVIDEND POLICY

We intend to retain all future earnings, if any, for use in the operation of its business and to fund future growth. We do not anticipate paying any dividends for the foreseeable future. The decision whether to pay dividends will be made by our Board in light of conditions then existing, including factors such as our results of operations, financial condition and requirements, business conditions and covenants under any applicable contractual arrangements, including our indebtedness.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

We are the world s most diversified casino-entertainment provider and the most geographically diverse U.S. casino-entertainment company. As of September 30, 2011, we owned, operated or managed, through various subsidiaries, 52 casinos in 12 U.S. states and seven countries. The vast majority of these casinos operate in the United States and England. Our casino entertainment facilities operate primarily under the Caesars, Harrah s, and Horseshoe brand names in the United States, and include land-based casinos, casino clubs, riverboat or dockside casinos, casinos on Indian reservations, and casinos combined with a greyhound racing facility, a thoroughbred racetrack and a harness racetrack. We are focused on building customer loyalty through a unique combination of customer service, excellent products, unsurpassed distribution, operational excellence and technology leadership and on exploiting the value of our major hotel/casino brands and Total Rewards, our industry leading loyalty program. We believe that the customer-relationship marketing and business-intelligence capabilities fueled by Total Rewards are constantly bringing us closer to our customers so we better understand their preferences, and from that understanding, we are able to improve the entertainment experiences that we offer accordingly.

On January 28, 2008, we were acquired by entities affiliated with Apollo and TPG in an all-cash transaction, which we refer to as the Acquisition valued at \$30.7 billion. Holders of Caesars stock received \$90.00 in cash for each outstanding share of common stock. As a result of the Acquisition, the issued and outstanding shares of Caesars non-voting common stock and the non-voting preferred stock of Caesars were owned by entities affiliated with Apollo and TPG and certain co-investors and members of management, and the then issued and outstanding shares of voting common stock of Caesars were owned by Hamlet Holdings, which is owned by certain individuals affiliated with Apollo and TPG. During 2010, our shares of non-voting common stock and non-voting preferred stock were converted to a recently issued class of voting common stock, and our existing voting stock was canceled, as more fully described in note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Regional Aggregation

Our executive officers review operating results, assess performance and make decisions related to the allocation of resources on a property-by-property basis. We, therefore, believe that each property is an operating segment and that it is appropriate to aggregate and present our operations as one reportable segment. In order to provide more meaningful information than would be possible on either a consolidated basis or an individual property basis, our casino properties (as of September 30, 2011, or as otherwise noted below) have been grouped into regions as follows to facilitate discussion of our operating results:

Las Vegas

Caesars Palace
Bally s Las Vegas
Flamingo Las Vegas^(a)
Harrah s Las Vegas
Paris Las Vegas
Rio
Imperial Palace

Bill s Gamblin Hall & Saloon

Planet Hollywood Resort & Casino^(b) Hotspot Oasis Atlantic City

Harrah s Atlantic City Showboat Atlantic City Bally s Atlantic City Caesars Atlantic City Harrah s Chester Louisiana/Mississippi

Harrah s New Orleans Harrah s Louisiana Downs Horseshoe Bossier City Grand Biloxi Harrah s Tunica Horseshoe Tunica Tunica Roadhouse Hotel & Casino Iowa/Missouri

Harrah s St. Louis Harrah s North Kansas City Harrah s Council Bluffs Horseshoe Council Bluffs/Bluffs Run

46

Illinois/Indiana Other Nevada Managed/International/Other Horseshoe Southern Indiana Harrah s Reno Harrah s Ak-Chift) Harrah s Joliet Harrah s Lake Tahoe Harrah s Cheroke® Harrah s Metropolis Harveys Lake Tahoe Harrah s Rincoff) Horseshoe Hammond Harrah s Laughlin Conrad Punta del Este(c) Caesars Windsor(f) London Clubs International(g)

- (a) Includes O Shea s Casino, which is adjacent to this property.
- (b) Acquired February, 2010.
- (c) We have an approximately 95% ownership interest in and manage this property.
- (d) We have an 80% ownership interest in and manage this property.
- (e) Managed.
- (f) We have a 50% interest in Windsor Casino Limited, which operates this property. The province of Ontario owns the complex.
- (g) We own, operate or manage ten casino clubs in the United Kingdom and two in Egypt. We have a 70% ownership interest in and manage one casino club in South Africa.

Consolidated Operating Results

In accordance with GAAP, we have separated our historical financial results for the periods subsequent to the Acquisition, or the Successor periods, and the period prior to the Acquisition, or the Predecessor period. However, we have also combined results for the Successor and Predecessor periods for 2008 in the presentations below because we believe that it enables a meaningful presentation and comparison of results. As a result of the application of purchase accounting as of the Acquisition date, financial information for the Successor periods and the Predecessor period are presented on different bases and, therefore, are not comparable. We have reclassified certain amounts for prior periods to conform to our 2011 presentation.

Subsequent to the filing of our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter and nine-months ended September 30, 2011, the Company identified certain deferred tax liabilities related to transaction costs incurred in connection with the Acquisition, which had been incorrectly recorded in 2008, and not properly adjusted upon the 2009 receipt of the final transaction cost reports. The net impact of correcting for this error is to reduce our deferred tax liabilities by approximately \$72 million, reduce goodwill by approximately \$11 million, and recognize the difference of approximately \$61 million as a reduction to income tax expense. Although we believe the approximately \$61 million reduction to income tax expense is correct, this figure will not be finalized until the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There are no cash impacts or impacts to EBITDA as a result of this correction.

We have evaluated the quantitative and qualitative materiality of this adjustment in the context of our projected financial results for the fourth quarter and full-year 2011, and for the full-years 2008, 2009 and 2010, and believe that the correction will not be material to any of those periods. As a result, we anticipate recording this adjustment during the fourth quarter 2011, which will be reported within our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. While we believe it is unlikely, should our actual financial results differ significantly from our current estimates of the fourth quarter and full-year 2011, we may need to reconsider our plan to record the adjustment during the fourth quarter 2011, including evaluating whether to restate our financial statements for 2008 and 2009.

| | Nine Montl Septemb | Percentage Increase/ | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| (\$ in millions) | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) |
| Casino revenues | \$ 5,029.5 | \$ 5,251.3 | (4.2)% |
| Net revenues | 6,662.1 | 6,697.6 | (0.5)% |
| Income from operations | 663.9 | 401.2 | 65.5% |
| Net (loss)/income attributable to Caesars | (467.0) | (634.4) | (26.4)% |
| Operating margin | 10.0% | 6.0% | 4.0 pts |

Table of Contents

| | Successor | Jan. 28, 2008 | Predecessor Jan. 1, 2008 | Combined | Percen Increase/(D | 8 |
|------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 2010 | 2009 | Dec. 31, 2008 | Jan. 27, 2008 | 2008 | 10 vs. 09 | 09 vs. 08 |
| \$ 6,917.9 | \$ 7,124.3 | \$ 7,476.9 | \$ 614.6 | \$ 8,091.5 | (2.9)% | (12.0)% |
| 8,818.6 | 8,907.4 | 9,366.9 | 760.1 | 10,127.0 | (1.0)% | (12.0)% |
| 532.3 | (607.8) | (4,237.5) | (36.8) | (4,274.3) | N/M | 85.8% |
| | | | | | | |
| (831.1) | 827.6 | (5,096.3) | (100.9) | (5,197.2) | N/M | N/M |
| 6.0% | (6.8)% | (45.2)% | (4.8)% | (42.2)% | 12.8 pts | 35.4 pts |
| | \$ 6,917.9 8,818.6 532.3 (831.1) | 2010 2009 \$ 6,917.9 \$ 7,124.3 8,818.6 8,907.4 532.3 (607.8) (831.1) 827.6 | Jan. 28, 2008 through Dec. 31, 2008 \$6,917.9 \$7,124.3 \$7,476.9 8,818.6 8,907.4 9,366.9 532.3 (607.8) (4,237.5) (831.1) 827.6 (5,096.3) | Jan. 28, 2008 Jan. 1, 2008 through through Jan. 27, 2008 \$6,917.9 \$7,124.3 \$7,476.9 \$614.6 8,818.6 8,907.4 9,366.9 760.1 532.3 (607.8) (4,237.5) (36.8) (831.1) 827.6 (5,096.3) (100.9) | Jan. 28, 2008 through Jan. 1, 2008 through through Jan. 27, 2008 Combined 2009 \$6,917.9 \$7,124.3 \$7,476.9 \$614.6 \$8,091.5 \$8,818.6 8,907.4 9,366.9 760.1 10,127.0 532.3 (607.8) (4,237.5) (36.8) (4,274.3) (831.1) 827.6 (5,096.3) (100.9) (5,197.2) | Jan. 28, 2008 through Jan. 1, 2008 through Percent Increase/(D 2010 2009 Dec. 31, 2008 Jan. 27, 2008 2008 10 vs. 09 \$6,917.9 \$7,124.3 \$7,476.9 \$614.6 \$8,091.5 (2.9)% 8,818.6 8,907.4 9,366.9 760.1 10,127.0 (1.0)% 532.3 (607.8) (4,237.5) (36.8) (4,274.3) N/M (831.1) 827.6 (5,096.3) (100.9) (5,197.2) N/M |

The Company measures its performance in part through tracking of trips by rated customers, which means a customer whose gaming activity is tracked through our Total Rewards system, or trips, and spend per rated customer trip, or spend per trip. A trip is created by a Total Rewards card holder engaging in one or more of the following activities while at one of our properties: (1) hotel stay, (2) gaming activity or (3) a comp redemption, which means the receipt of a complimentary item given out by our casinos. In markets where we have multiple properties, customers often make trip generating activities at more than one property in a day. In these instances, we consider the market as a whole and do not create multiple trips. Customer spend means the cumulative rated theoretical spend (which is the amount of money expected to be retained by the casino based upon the mathematics underlying the particular game as a fraction of the amount of money wagered by the customer) across all game types for a specific customer. On a consolidated basis, trips for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased 7.3%, while spend per trip increased 4.0% from the year-ago period. The trip decline was the result of temporary closures in the Atlantic City region due to Hurricane Irene, new competition and reduced access to one of our properties in the Illinois/Indiana region during the third-quarter 2011, temporary closures of seven of our properties in the Illinois/Indiana and Louisiana/Mississippi regions during the first half of 2011 due to flooding and severe weather conditions, and the impact of marketing programs on trip frequency of certain customer segments in all regions. Cash average daily room rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased to \$92 from \$87 for the nine months e