

NYSE Euronext
Form 425
April 27, 2011

NASDAQ OMX / ICE Superior Proposal
to Acquire NYSE Euronext
Key Investor Considerations
April 26, 2011
Filed by The NASDAQ OMX Group, Inc.

(Commission File No. 000-32651)

Pursuant to Rule 425 under the
Securities Act of 1933, as amended
Subject Company:

NYSE Euronext

(Commission File No. 001-33392)

2

Disclaimer

Information set forth in this communication contains forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to, (i) no guarantee of future performance and that actual results could differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking information, (ii) statements about future financial results, growth, trading volumes, tax benefits and achievement of synergy targets, (iii) statements about the integrations of recent acquisitions, and (iv) other statements that are not historical facts. Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to, NASDAQ OMX's and ICE's ability to implement its strategic initiatives.

regulation, interest rate risk, U.S. and global competition, and other factors detailed in each of NASDAQ OMX's and ICE's filings with the SEC, including their annual reports on Form 10-K and quarterly reports on Form 10-Q that are available on NASDAQ OMX's website at [http://nasdaqomx.com](#), and their quarterly reports on Form 10-Q that are available on ICE's website at <http://theice.com>. NASDAQ OMX's and ICE's filings are also available on EDGAR.

The proposed transaction include: NASDAQ OMX, ICE and NYSE Euronext will not enter into any definitive agreement with respect to the proposed transaction; no assurance can be obtained on satisfactory terms and in a timely manner, if at all; the proposed transaction will not be consummated; the anticipated benefits of the proposed transaction may not be realized; the proposed transaction may result in the combination of NYSE Euronext's operations with those of NASDAQ OMX or ICE will be materially delayed or will be more costly or difficult than expected; and the occurrence of any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Subject to future developments, additional documents regarding the transaction may be filed with the SEC. This material is not being filed with the SEC. NASDAQ OMX, ICE and NYSE Euronext would file with the SEC. Such documents, however, are not currently available. INVESTORS ARE ADVISED THAT ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS REGARDING THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION AND ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS NASDAQ OMX, ICE AND NYSE Euronext MAY FILE WITH THE SEC ARE NOT CURRENTLY AVAILABLE, BECAUSE SUCH DOCUMENTS WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. Investors will be able to obtain such documents as they become available, and other relevant documents filed by NYSE Euronext, ICE and/or NASDAQ OMX, without charge, at the time they become available, and when such document becomes available may be obtained, without charge, by directing a request to NASDAQ OMX at One Penn Plaza, 16th Floor, New York, New York 10119, Attention: Director of Corporate Relations, or by directing a request to one of NASDAQ OMX's filings, or ICE, at 2100 RiverEdge Parkway, Suite 500, Atlanta, Georgia, 30328, Attention: Investor Relations. This communication shall not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities, nor shall there be an offer of securities in any jurisdiction where it is unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of any such jurisdiction. No offering of securities shall be made in any jurisdiction unless it is in compliance with the applicable securities laws of that jurisdiction. This communication is made pursuant to the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

In addition to disclosing results determined in accordance with GAAP, NASDAQ OMX and ICE also disclose certain non-GAAP earnings per share, operating expenses, and operating income that make certain adjustments or exclude certain charges and gains. Management of each company believes that this non-GAAP and pro forma non-GAAP information provided in a relevant footnote in this document is useful supplemental information to assess NASDAQ OMX's and ICE's operating performance by making certain adjustments or excluding costs or gains. Management of each company uses this non-GAAP and pro forma non-GAAP information, along with GAAP information, in preparing financial statements. This non-GAAP and pro forma non-GAAP information may not be comparable to non-GAAP information used by other companies. The non-GAAP information was prepared in accordance with GAAP.

NASDAQ OMX, ICE, and their respective directors, executive officers and other employees may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation. You can find information about NASDAQ OMX and NASDAQ OMX's directors and executive officers in NASDAQ OMX's proxy statement for its 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, filed with the SEC on April 15, 2011.

You can find information about ICE and ICE's directors and executive officers in ICE's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on April 1, 2011.

Additional information about the interests of potential participants will be included in the joint prospectus/proxy statement, if a

Forward-Looking

Statements

Important Information About the Proposed Transaction and Where to Find It:

Non-GAAP Information

Participants in the Solicitation:

Website Disclosure

We

intend

to

use

each

of

OU

websites,

www.nasdaqomx.com

and

www.theice.com

as

a

means

for
disclosing
material
non-public
information
and
for
complying
with
SEC
Regulation
FD
and
other
disclosure
obligations.

These
disclosures will be included on our website under Investor Relations
Events and Presentations.

3

Key Stockholders Issues

A Superior Proposal is available to stockholders, reflecting a 14% premium or \$1.4 billion of additional value (as of 4/25/2011)

The
actions
of

the
NYSE
Euronext
Board
hide
behind
Delaware

case
law,
rather
than
align
with
the
interests
of
its

stockholders

Antitrust risks exist in both transactions, though only NASDAQ OMX and ICE included a reverse break-up fee. For the NYSE Euronext / Deutsche Börse (DB) transaction, NYSE Euronext stockholders are still required to vote before antitrust approvals or conditions are determined

HSR
review
of
NASDAQ
OMX
and
ICE
proposal
is
underway
and
NASDAQ
has
received
and
is
responding
to
a

Second Request for information in connection with NASDAQ OMX's filing

The Deutsche Börse agreement allows for discussions and due diligence to occur in the event NYSE Euronext receives a proposal that may possibly be determined to be superior, yet NYSE Euronext refuses to engage with NASDAQ OMX / ICE

The strategy for the lower-value combination with Deutsche Börse has not been articulated or differentiated from the current

strategy,
which
has
underperformed

3-year
EPS
CAGR
of
(8)%
for
NYSE
Euronext
and
(6)%
for
Deutsche
Börse
(1)
The
strategy
of
the
NASDAQ
OMX/ICE
proposal
is
to
create
two
strong
pure
play
exchanges
which
will
be
operated
by
management
teams
who
have
consistently
delivered
industry
leading
results

3-year
EPS
CAGR
of
+10%

for
NASDAQ OMX and +17% for ICE

(1)
NASDAQ OMX and ICE stand ready to meet with the NYSE Euronext Board to discuss the proposal and begin due diligence in an expedient manner with appropriate safeguards to protect competitively sensitive information, and work toward a superior outcome for NYSE Euronext stockholders

Our proposal offers substantially greater short and long-term value. Meeting to discuss

our
superior
proposal
presents
no
downside
risk
and
only
upside
for
stockholders

(1) For FY2007 to FY2010.

4

NASDAQ OMX / ICE Proposal vs. Deutsche Börse Proposal

Comparison of Key Financial Metrics

NASDAQ OMX / ICE

NASDAQ OMX / ICE

Deutsche Börse / NYSE Euronext

Deutsche Börse / NYSE Euronext

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

PRICE PERFORMANCE

(4/25/11)

TRANSACTION

PREMIUM (4/25/11)

TRANSACTION SYNERGIES

\$740mm

\$533mm (recently revised to \$728mm)

DB Offer (%):

DB Offer (\$):

Unaffected NYX Price (2/8/11):

14%

\$5.14 / Share

28%

Unaffected NYX Price (2/8/11):

13%

ICE

NASDAQ

DB

NYSE

07A

10A CAGR:

Revenue

EPS

26%

17%

23%

10%

(1)%

(6)%

(2)%

(8)%

07A

10A CAGR:

Revenue

EPS

ICE

NASDAQ

DB

NYSE

CAGR Since IPO

(1)

Mkt Cap Growth Since 1/1/07

33%

43%

20%

39%

12%

(25)%

(12)%

(43)%

CAGR Since IPO

(1)

Mkt Cap Growth Since 1/1/07

RECENT ACQUISITION

IMPAIRMENT CHARGES

ICE

NASDAQ

DB

NYSE

\$1.2bn

\$1.6bn

2008

2010:

2008

2010:

PRO FORMA NYSE

INVESTMENT PROFILE

(2)

61%

39%

Derivatives (ICE)

Cash Equities (NDAQ)

Derivatives

Cash Equities & Other

37%

63%

PRO FORMA DERIVATIVES

BUSINESS MIX

(2010 VOLUMES)

(3)

BREAKUP FEES

250mm

\$350mm

Breakup Fee

Antitrust Reverse Breakup Fee

Breakup Fee

Antitrust Reverse Breakup Fee

250mm

(1) NASDAQ OMX performance based on 2/11/05 offering price of \$9.00; NYSE Euronext performance based on 5/10/06 offering price of \$10.00

(2) ICE / NASDAQ OMX figures based on equity consideration mix. Deutsche Börse / NYSE Euronext figures based on pro forma consideration mix.

(3) Excludes ICE OTC CDS volumes and Bclear volumes.

5

Retain local management and governance

Respond strategically to evolving market dynamics

×

Entrench current management teams

Comparison of Strategies

TECHNOLOGY

EXCHANGE

CONSOLIDATION

BRANDING

Focus on markets and businesses that leverage management's expertise

Expand geographically into complementary markets with clear product, distribution and customer benefits

Leverage a single, best-in-class technology platform across regions and products to drive efficiencies and lower cost structures

Disciplined approach to acquisitions

Focused on creating shareholder value

Concentrate on integration and delivering synergies to drive additional value

×

Bigger is better

×

Support and operate multiple platforms

×

Increase scale through acquisitions regardless of impact on shareholder value

EXCHANGE MODEL

Pure play exchange model

Efficient, low cost operations with superior technology

Rapid product innovation for customers and markets

×

Conglomerate approach

×

Supermarket exchange model

NASDAQ OMX / ICE vs. Deutsche Börse / NYSE Euronext

GLOBAL FOOTPRINT

NASDAQ NYSE EURONEXT

Retain local brand names and market presence

×

Unknown

PRODUCT / ASSET

CLASS EXPANSION

Deep, end-to-end coverage within respective markets

Promote innovation in new markets and products such as OTC and clearing

Focus on diversity of products and lines of business

×

Concentrated product offering

MANAGEMENT /

GOVERNANCE

PRO FORMA

DERIVATIVES BUSINESS

MIX (2010 VOLUMES)

Balanced exposure to all derivatives product families

×

Focused on interest rates and equity options

NASDAQ OMX / ICE

NASDAQ OMX / ICE

Deutsche Börse / NYSE Euronext

Deutsche Börse / NYSE Euronext

6

A Strategically Superior Offer

NASDAQ OMX / ICE

NASDAQ OMX / ICE

Deutsche Börse / NYSE Euronext

Deutsche Börse / NYSE Euronext

GLOBAL GROWTH

PROSPECTS

MANAGEMENT TRACK

RECORD

MARKET EFFICIENCIES

Creates two entrepreneurial global exchanges that will remain highly nimble to better capitalize on international growth opportunities

Creates a leading global, end-to-end derivatives franchise with a more diverse product set spanning energy, commodities, interest rates, credit and foreign exchange products

Proven ability to successfully integrate businesses

Consistently meet or exceed synergy targets on or ahead of schedule

Top performers in the industry, delivering double-digit revenue and earnings growth in the last three years

Facilitates deeper liquidity pools, greater market stability, better price discovery and greater transparency in the U.S. equity markets

Strengthens European equity markets by creating a new, truly pan-European equity trading platform

Will maintain a competitive European derivatives market run on a highly efficient and proven trading platform

×

Results in a conglomerate with dual headquarters, reducing its ability to quickly respond to evolving market dynamics and business opportunities

×

Results in high concentration in European derivatives with high product concentration in interest rate products

×

Acquisitions have resulted in write downs of over \$2.5 billion combined in the last three years

×

Proven inability to realize stated synergies in prior acquisitions

×

Underperformed the industry, posting negative revenue and earnings growth in the last three years

×

Onerous governance and management structure

×

Continued fragmentation of U.S. equity market

×

Increased execution risks

×

Will create a derivative behemoth with over 90% share

FRANCHISE VALUE

Creates two pure play global exchanges run by separate best-in-class operators in cash equities and derivatives

Greater potential upside given superior growth prospects and significant realizable combined synergies

Offers investors cash and over 60% exposure to the high growth derivatives sector

×

Results in a conglomerate of businesses run by management with failed integration history

×

Limited upside given uncertain long-term prospects and lower probability of synergy realization

×

Offers investors no cash and over 60% exposure to cash equities and other businesses

The NASDAQ OMX/ICE offer is strategically superior to the proposed Deutsche Börse/NYSE Euronext combination and will unlock greater long-term value for NYSE Euronext stockholders and all market participants

7
A Fair and Balanced Merger Agreement
1)
Why
is
March
2012

set
as
the
expiration
on
the
reverse
break-up
fee?

The
March
2012
deadline
is
simply
a
holdover
from
the
date

included in the Deutsche Börse agreement for their own timelines. If NYSE Euronext is concerned about the date, we can negotiate a different date or find another way to address their concern about the antitrust break-up fee. We note that despite significant antitrust concerns acknowledged by NYSE Euronext in the Deutsche Börse transaction, there is no reverse break-up fee in that deal.

2)
What
are
the
financing
conditions?

There
are
no
financing
conditions.

NASDAQ
OMX
and
ICE
are
required
to
show
up
with
the
funds
at
closing
and

because
there
is
no
financing
condition

failing
to
close
when
all
conditions
are
met
is
a

willful
and
material
breach
for
which

NYSE Euronext would have full recourse. A reverse break-up fee for failure to obtain financing would have just capped NASD's potential exposure.

3)

Why
include
a

change
in

Fiduciary

Recommendation?

This
provision
mirrors
that
included
in
the

Deutsche
Börse

proposal
to
which

NYSE Euronext has agreed. This term is forced by Delaware law.

4)

Why
include
a

double
Break-Up
Fee?
This
is
not
an
issue
for
Deutsche
Börse

Deutsche
Börse
has
a
5
business
day
matching
right
and

therefore will never have to pay a double break-up fee if it is prepared to match us. If any other bidder is interested, it is free to
bidder tops the NASDAQ OMX/ICE deal, our consolation prize should not be to receive a single break-up fee that just covers
Börse termination fee and leaves us empty handed on a net basis.

5)
Why
does
NYSE
Euronext
not
have
the
right
to
Specific
Performance?
NYSE
Euronext
has
no
right
to
specific
performance
in
the

Deutsche Börse deal either. We look forward to discussing this with the NYSE Euronext Board and altering the provision as a

6)
What

improvements
did
you
make?

In
addition
to
a
Superior
Offer,
we
added

a
\$350mm
reverse
break-up
fee
and
removed
the

burdensome force the vote

obligation that NYSE Euronext agreed to with Deutsche Börse. Furthermore, we only require approval by holders of a majority of the outstanding NASDAQ OMX and ICE shares, unlike the DB / NYSE transaction which is conditioned by the holders of at least 75% of the outstanding DB shares.

Without the benefit of a dialog with the NYSE Euronext Board, NASDAQ OMX and ICE presented a fair and balanced Merger Agreement based largely on the existing Deutsche Börse agreement. The companies remain open to discussing and addressing any legitimate concerns NYSE has on execution and negotiating a merger agreement that is acceptable to each of our companies

8

DB's Additional Synergies Are Not Credible

NYSE Euronext investors should be highly skeptical that after two years of exploratory merger discussions, including more than six months dedicated to finalizing the transaction, NYSE Euronext has suddenly found a reported \$100 million in additional synergies.

This

increase
appears
not
to
be
a
matter
of
sharpening
a
pencil,
but
an
unexplained
shift
in
strategy

The discovery that initial synergies were understated by one-third comes after receiving a Superior Proposal from NASDAQ OMX and ICE that achieves greater synergies

If there are additional synergies to be found after the merger economics have been agreed, then it has to come at the expense of NYSE Euronext stockholders because there has been no increase in the price they are being offered

NYSE
Euronext
should
describe
these
newly-found
synergies
in
detail
in
order
to
support
the
credibility
of
these

revised estimates, particularly in light of commitments to retain two technology platforms and two headquarters Increasingly it appears that NYSE Euronext is more focused on protecting the transaction than its stockholders NASDAQ OMX and ICE have described in detail our proven and focused long-term strategy from which stockholders would benefit and our companies demonstrated outperformance relative to their proposed strategy of creating a financial supermarket

We look forward to having the same opportunity when the NYSE Euronext Board agrees to due diligence

9

Key Points for NYX Stakeholders to Consider

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

The Reality

The Reality

NASDAQ / ICE

PROPOSAL FACES

UNACCEPTABLE

ANTITRUST RISKS

NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal recognizes the global nature of competition for listings and trading and the dramatic increase in off-exchange trading

A combined NASDAQ OMX / NYSE will create a global listing franchise that can attract issuers from around the world, create deeper and more liquid markets, improve transparency, increase market access and connectivity, enhance effectiveness of regulation and create a better advocate for issuers on regulatory matters

The U.S. listings business is tightly regulated by the SEC Listing location is independent of where a stock actually trades HSR review of NASDAQ OMX and ICE proposal is underway and NASDAQ OMX has received and is responding to a Second Request for information in connection with NASDAQ OMX's filing

The DB proposal will be subject to a lengthy and extensive regulatory and competition review due to combined Euronext / Liffe market position in European derivatives and provides no protection for NYSE Euronext stockholders in the event that DB fails to receive regulatory approval

Unlike the DB proposal, NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal includes a \$350mm reverse breakup fee in the event of a failure to obtain required antitrust or competition approval

The NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal will not survive review by antitrust regulators antitrust issues regarding U.S. listings cannot be overcome

Potential European competition issues with DB proposal will be easily resolved

Distinguishing Between NYSE Euronext's Stated Myths vs. the Reality

REVERSE BREAKUP

FEE IS ILLUSORY

The reverse breakup fee is illusory as the fee would merely offset NYSE Euronext's termination fee paid to DB NASDAQ OMX and ICE will pay the termination fee to DB provided for in the business combination agreement and the reverse breakup fee of \$350mm will be an incremental fee to NYSE Euronext stakeholders if antitrust and competition approvals were not obtained

10

Key Points for NYX Stakeholders to Consider

DB PROPOSAL

DELIVERS GREATER

LONG-TERM

STAKEHOLDER

VALUE

NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal offers far greater long-term value from synergy opportunities

\$740mm of anticipated synergies vs. DB proposal of \$533mm (revised to \$728mm) NYSE Euronext and DB management have been unable to realize stated synergies in past acquisitions NYSE Euronext and DB's poor integration and execution have resulted in meaningful value destruction for shareholders with over \$2.5bn of write-downs since 2008 NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal creates two global exchanges under proven and specialized management teams with strong track records of achieving synergies and integrating acquisitions NASDAQ OMX and ICE have superior track records of creating stakeholder value

both have delivered double-digit earnings growth over the past 3 years while NYSE Euronext's and DB's businesses have declined

Size and being a diversified conglomerate

does not ensure success or an increase in shareholder value

NYSE acquired Euronext for \$10bn - the same value DB is

offering for both NYSE and Euronext Merger-of-equals structure gives stakeholders exposure to the strongest global exchange group The DB proposal delivers greater capital efficiency savings to the market participants

DB and NYSE Euronext have a strong track record of delivering on synergies and efficiently integrating acquisitions

NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal may offer higher short-term value but will result in significantly lower long-term value for stakeholders

Distinguishing Between NYSE Euronext's Stated Myths vs. the Reality

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

The Reality

The Reality

SYNERGIES

EXPECTED IN NYX /

DB COMBINATION

ARE CONSERVATIVE

After sharpening their pencils, NYSE and DB found 100 million in additional synergies. Total synergies could reach approximately 500mm (\$728mm) compared with \$740mm in the NASDAQ OMX/ICE proposal

NYSE and DB have historically failed to achieve targeted synergy levels and implementation timing

DB failed to integrate the ISE technology platform 3 years following the transaction

NYSE failed to realize over \$100mm in promised synergies in the Euronext acquisition

NASDAQ OMX and ICE management teams have proven track records of achieving synergies and integrating acquisitions

Any additional synergies now discovered represent lost value for NYX stockholders with no change in merger economics

11

Key Points for NYX Stakeholders to Consider

Distinguishing Between NYSE Euronext's Stated Myths vs. the Reality

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

The Reality

The Reality

NASDAQ OMX / ICE
PROPOSAL IS
STRATEGICALLY
UNATTRACTIVE AND
LACKING IN
BUSINESS LOGIC

NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal creates two nimble entrepreneurial global exchanges run by two best-in-class operators in cash equities and derivatives that are better positioned to compete globally and adapt to rapidly changing industry dynamics

NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal unlocks greater value and provides stakeholders with exposure to two leading pure play exchanges and over 60% exposure to the high growth derivatives sector, while the DB proposal offers exposure to a single exchange that will likely receive a conglomerate discount

A combined DB / NYSE Euronext will be an inefficient, bureaucratic supermarket exchange model with an entrenched management team

Combination with DB is consistent with NYSE Euronext's long-term strategy

Breaking up NYSE Euronext into its pieces will destroy value and create an unattractive portfolio of businesses Globally diversified exchanges are more competitive and provide superior value for stakeholders

NASDAQ OMX would be highly concentrated in cash equities which is becoming a low-growth, low-margin business

NYSE EURONEXT IS
NOT FOR SALE

The proposed DB transaction is not a sale of the NYSE Euronext but rather a merger-of-equals

The NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal is a takeover that undervalues the pieces of the company

The DB / NYSE transaction is a low-premium takeover (only a 10% premium to unaffected share price at announcement)

DB will control the Board and each Board Committee; DB CEO will be responsible for group strategy and global relationship management; DB President will become Deputy CEO and President of the combined entity; DB CFO will become CFO of the combined entity

If NYSE's different businesses are undervalued as claimed, then NYSE has grossly mismanaged its businesses and has failed to pursue a strategy that increases shareholder value

12
Key Points for NYX Stakeholders to Consider
NASDAQ OMX / ICE
PROPOSAL WILL
RESULT IN
UNACCEPTABLE JOB
LOSSES

The NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal destroys the
invaluable

human

capital

at

NYSE

Euronext

NASDAQ OMX will close the NYSE floor

The majority of synergies in the NASDAQ OMX / ICE

proposal will be realized through job losses in New

York

NASDAQ OMX is committed to preserving the NYSE floor

Consistent with the cultures at NASDAQ OMX and ICE, as well

as in prior transactions, NYSE Euronext employees will be

evaluated based on a pure meritocracy as this serves the best

interests of the combined business and ultimately creates

shareholder value

The majority of synergies will be derived from eliminating

redundant

technologies

and

systems

-

not

employees

DB has stated that job losses will be minimal in Germany

which leaves the U.S. as the primary venue for down-sizing in

order to achieve the announced synergy targets

Distinguishing Between NYSE Euronext's Stated Myths vs. the Reality

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

The Reality

The Reality

U.S. IS NOT AT RISK

OF LOSING ITS

COMPETITIVENESS IN

THE GLOBAL

MARKETS

The U.S. equities market is currently efficient and

successfully competing on a global basis

Developing global capabilities are more important

than building an American stronghold

From 1995 to 2010, listings on U.S. exchanges shrank by 38%

from 8,000 to 5,000 while listings on non-U.S. exchanges grew

by 74% from 23,000 to 40,000

Since 2006, only 9 of the 100 largest IPOs listed in the U.S.

A combined NASDAQ OMX / NYSE will strengthen the U.S.

market while increasing its global competitiveness

A combined NASDAQ OMX / NYSE will ensure that the U.S.

remains a relevant financial center and a focus of U.S. capital

formation

13

Key Points for NYX Stakeholders to Consider

NASDAQ OMX DOES

NOT HAVE ENOUGH

COMMITTED

FINANCING IN THE

EVENT OF A

DOWNGRADE

NASDAQ OMX's post-transaction capital structure will result in a downgrade of its credit rating which will trigger the repayment of the assumed NYSE Euronext debt

NASDAQ OMX will be unable to fund the additional financing requirement

NASDAQ OMX has received fully committed financing from a syndicate of banks including Bank of America, Nordea Bank AB (publ), Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB (publ) and UBS Investment Bank

NASDAQ OMX can obtain the necessary financing in the event of a downgrade since pro forma leverage levels would remain unchanged

NASDAQ OMX is committed to a prudent use of leverage to finance the transaction and is focused on maintaining its investment grade rating

Distinguishing Between NYSE Euronext's Stated Myths vs. the Reality

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

The Reality

The Reality

NASDAQ OMX WILL
BE HIGHLY LEVERED
WITH NO STRATEGIC
FLEXIBILITY

The NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal will burden the new company with high levels of debt

Levered NASDAQ OMX will be strategically limited and unable to compete going forward due to high debt burden

NASDAQ OMX and ICE are committed to a prudent use of leverage

NASDAQ OMX is focused on maintaining its investment-grade credit rating and expects to reach its target leverage ratio of 2.5x within 18 months of closing

NASDAQ OMX has a strong track record of achieving synergies much faster than expected and using excess cash flow to pay down debt ahead of schedule

14

Key Points for NYX Stakeholders to Consider

Distinguishing Between NYSE Euronext's Stated Myths vs. the Reality

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

What NYSE Euronext Will Tell You

The Reality

The Reality

NASDAQ OMX / ICE
PROPOSAL IGNORES
TAX LEAKAGE FROM
BREAKUP OF
BUSINESS

NASDAQ OMX / ICE
PROPOSAL WILL NOT
BE TAX-FREE TO
STAKEHOLDERS

The NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal will result in a
taxable transaction to NYX stakeholders

NASDAQ OMX and ICE expect their proposal can be
structured as a tax-free transaction to NYSE Euronext
stakeholders with respect to the stock consideration to be
issued, subject to due diligence and the co-operation of NYSE
Euronext

The NASDAQ OMX / ICE proposal will result in a
significant taxable event to the pro forma businesses
that will destroy shareholder value

NASDAQ OMX and ICE have studied publicly available
information regarding the NYSE/Euronext combination in 2007
and do not anticipate a significant tax cost associated with the
separation of the European derivatives business in the
proposed transaction, subject to confirmation through due
diligence

Further, the DB/NYSE Euronext Combination Agreement
contemplates a restructuring of the European businesses from
U.S. to European ownership which may face similar tax issues
and thus, may reflect their own view that any tax leakage is not
prohibitive

15

Key Provisions in the DB / NYX Agreement Favor DB

ANTITRUST REVERSE

BREAK FEE

COMPETING BID AND

FAILURE TO PERFORM

FORCE THE VOTE

EXECUTION RISK

The following conditions to DB / NYSE transaction create execution risks that do not impact the proposed NASDAQ OMX/ ICE transaction, and therefore introduce additional deal uncertainty not present in the NASDAQ OMX/ICE transaction:

The DB / NYSE transaction is conditioned on approval, in the form of tendering into an exchange offer, by the holders of at least 75% of the outstanding DB shares. In contrast, the NASDAQ OMX/ICE transaction only requires approval by holders of a majority of the outstanding NASDAQ OMX and ICE shares

The DB / NYSE transaction is conditioned on there not being any circumstances relating to NYSE Euronext that, according to the assessment of an independent expert, has or have resulted in, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a decrease in the consolidated net revenues of NYSE Euronext of at least \$300,000,000 in the 2011 financial year and/or 2012 financial year, to the extent the decrease is recurrent. There is no similar condition in the NASDAQ OMX/ICE proposal

In the event that the DB / NYSE transaction is unable to close as a result of a failure to obtain antitrust or competition approvals, DB can walk away from the transaction without having to compensate NYSE Euronext. In contrast, NASDAQ OMX and ICE will share a \$350 million reverse break-up fee if their transaction does not close as a result of a failure to obtain antitrust or competition approvals

The DB / NYSE agreement does not provide for a termination fee to NYSE Euronext in the event that DB receives an acquisition proposal and then breaches the agreement, thus creating a backdoor way to get out of the transaction without paying a breakup fee. The NASDAQ OMX/ICE proposal does not give NASDAQ OMX or ICE this loophole

The DB / NYSE agreement requires NYSE Euronext to take the DB / NYSE transaction to a stockholder vote even if NYSE receives a superior proposal that it would prefer and even if it changes its recommendation