CNB FINANCIAL CORP/PA Form DEF 14A March 18, 2011

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. __)

Filed	l by the Registrant x	Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "
Chec	ck the appropriate box:	
	Preliminary Proxy Statement	
	Confidential, for Use of the Com	mission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
X	Definitive Proxy Statement	
	Definitive Additional Materials	
	Soliciting Material Pursuant to 167	;240.14a-12

CNB Financial Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Pay	ment o	of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
X	No 1	fee required.
	Fee	computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
	1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
	4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
	5)	Total fee paid:
	Fee	paid previously with preliminary materials.
		ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1)	Amount Previously Paid:
2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
3)	Filing Party:
4)	Date Filed:

P.O. Box 42

Clearfield, PA 16830

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of CNB FINANCIAL CORPORATION will be held at the CNB Bank, One South Second Street, Clearfield, Pennsylvania 16830 on Tuesday, April 19, 2011, at 2:00 P.M. for the following purposes:

- 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS: To elect four Class 1 directors to serve until the Annual Meeting in the year 2014 or until their respective successors are elected and qualified. To elect one Class 3 director to serve until the Annual Meeting in the year 2012 or until a successor is elected and qualified.
- 2. RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS: To ratify the appointment of Crowe Horwath LLP as our independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2011.
- 3. SAY-ON-PAY VOTE: To vote on a non-binding advisory resolution on the compensation program for CNB Financial Corporation s named executive officers, as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of the Proxy Statement (a say-on-pay vote).
- 4. SAY-ON-FREQUENCY VOTE: To vote on a non-binding advisory basis on how frequently shareholders will be provided a say-on-pay vote. Shareholders have the opportunity to request a say-on-pay vote every year, every two years, or every three years, or abstain from voting on the matter completely.
- TRANSACTION OF OTHER BUSINESS: To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors fixed March 7, 2011, as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting. Only shareholders of record at the close of business on that date will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournments thereof.

The Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, the Annual Report Highlights, and the Proxy Statement and form of proxy for the meeting are enclosed.

YOU ARE URGED TO SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON. PLEASE RETURN THE PROXY AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE. YOU MAY WITHDRAW YOUR PROXY AT ANY TIME BEFORE IT IS VOTED BY SO NOTIFYING THE SECRETARY AND VOTE YOUR SHARES IN PERSON.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Richard L. Greslick, Jr. Secretary

Clearfield, Pennsylvania

March 18, 2011

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be held April 19, 2011. This Proxy Statement, along with our Annual Report on Form 10-k for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 and our 2010 Annual report are available free of charge on the Investor Relations section of our website (www.bankcnb.com).

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD

TUESDAY, APRIL 19, 2011

CNB Financial Corporation (the Corporation or the Holding Company) is a Pennsylvania business corporation and a financial holding company registered with the Federal Reserve Board and having its principal offices at CNB Bank, Clearfield, Pennsylvania 16830. The subsidiaries of the Corporation are CNB Bank (the Bank), CNB Securities Corporation, Holiday Financial Services Corporation, County Reinsurance Company and CNB Insurance Agency.

The enclosed proxy is being solicited by the Board of Directors of the Corporation for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held April 19, 2011. The cost of preparing, assembling and mailing the notice of annual meeting, proxy statement and form of proxy is to be borne by the Corporation. In addition to the solicitation of proxies by use of mail, directors, officers or other employees of the Corporation may solicit proxies personally or by telephone and the Corporation may request certain persons holding stock in their names or in the names of their nominees to obtain proxies from and send proxy material to the principals and will reimburse such persons for their expenses in so doing. Directors, officers, or other employees so utilized will not receive special compensation for such efforts. The date on which this Proxy Statement and the accompanying form of proxy was mailed to shareholders was March 18, 2011.

A quorum for the transaction of business at the annual meeting will require the presence, in person or by proxy, of shareholders entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast on a particular matter to be acted upon at the meeting. Abstentions are counted as shares present for determination of a quorum but are not counted as affirmative or negative votes and are not counted in determining the number of votes cast on any matter.

The enclosed proxy is revocable at any time prior to the actual voting of such proxy by the filing of an instrument revoking it, or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, with the Secretary of the Corporation. In the event your proxy is mailed and you attend the meeting, you have the right to revoke your proxy and cast your vote personally. All properly executed proxies delivered to us pursuant to this solicitation will be voted at the meeting in accordance with your instructions, if any. Unless otherwise directed, proxies will be voted FOR the election as directors of the nominees named under the caption Proposal 1. Election of Directors and herein, FOR ratification of the appointment of our independent auditors for the year 2011, FOR approval of the compensation of the named executive officers and FOR the 3 Year alternative noted in Proposal 4. The Board of Directors is not aware of any other matters which will be presented for action at the meeting, but the persons named in the proxies intend to vote or act according to their discretion with respect to any other proposal which may be presented for shareholder action.

Under current New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules, which govern NYSE brokerage members, the proposal to ratify the appointment of independent auditors (Proposal 2) is considered a discretionary item. This means that brokerage firms may vote in their discretion on this matter on behalf of clients who have not furnished voting instructions in accordance with NYSE rules. In contrast, the proposal to elect directors (Proposal 1) and proposals relating to say-on-pay (Proposal 3) and say-on-frequency (Proposal 4) are non-discretionary items. This means brokerage firms that have not received voting instructions from their clients on these matters may not vote on these proposals. These so-called broker non-votes will not be considered in determining the number of votes necessary for approval and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of the vote for these proposals.

The Board of Directors fixed the close of business on March 7, 2011, as the record date (the Record Date) for determining shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting. The only securities of the Corporation entitled to vote at the meeting consist of 12,267,082 shares of common stock outstanding on the Record Date.

In the election of directors, each shareholder or a duly authorized proxy will have the right to vote the number of shares owned for each of the directors to be elected. The nominees with the highest number of votes will be elected. There is no cumulative voting in the election of directors. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by all shareholders entitled to vote on the matter is required for the approval of all other matters and the shareholders will have one vote for each share held.

On the Record Date, all executive officers and directors of the Corporation as a group (16 persons) beneficially owned 888,518 shares, or 7.2% of the total number of shares outstanding (including shares acquirable within 60 days of the Record Date).

PROPOSAL 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Bylaws of the Corporation provide that the Board of Directors shall consist of not less than nine nor more than twenty-four persons. The Board of Directors has acted to fix the number of directors for the ensuing year at eleven and the number of Class 1 directors at four.

The Bylaws further provide that the Board shall be classified into three classes with each class consisting of not less than three nor more than eight directors. One class of directors is to be elected annually for a three-year term. The first four nominees named below are nominated to serve as Class 1 directors to hold office for a three year term expiring at the third succeeding annual meeting (in the year 2014). The remaining nominee named below, Richard B. Seager, is nominated to serve as a Class 3 director to hold office for a term expiring at the annual shareholders meeting in 2012. Mr. Seager was appointed to fill a vacancy on the Corporation s Board of Directors in December 2010 and, under the terms of our Bylaws, he is standing for election to serve out the term to which he was appointed. The persons named in the enclosed proxy will vote FOR the election of the nominees named below unless authority to do so is withheld. Each nominee has consented to be named as a nominee and has agreed to serve if elected. If, for any reason, any of the nominees named below should become unavailable to serve, the enclosed proxy will be voted for the remaining nominees and such other person or persons as the Board of Directors may select among those recommended by the Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee.

Information as to Nominees and Other Directors

The following tables set forth the names of the nominees for election as directors and the current directors of the Corporation. Also set forth in the tables is certain other information with respect to each such person s age at December 31, 2010, the periods during which such person has served as a director of the Corporation and positions currently held with the Corporation.

Following the tables are biographies of each of the nominees and continuing directors which contain information regarding each such person s business experience, director positions held currently or at any time during the last five years, information regarding involvement in certain legal or administrative proceedings, if applicable, and the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that caused the Corporate Governance/
Nominating Committee and the Board of Directors to determine that such person should serve as a director as of the time of filing of this Proxy
Statement. Each director brings a strong and unique background and set of skills to the Board, giving the Board as a whole competence and experience in a wide variety of areas, including corporate governance, board service, executive management, business, finance and marketing.

NOMINEES: Four Class 1 directors for a three year term expiring at the annual shareholders meeting in 2014.

Name William F. Falger	Age at December 31, 2010 63	Business Experience (Past Five Years) Retired, Formerly President and Chief Executive Officer CNB Financial Corporation and CNB	Positions Held at CNB Former President & Chief Executive Officer	Director Since 4/16/96
Jeffrey S. Powell Independent Director	46	Bank President J. J. Powell, Inc. (Petroleum Distributor)	-	12/27/94
James B. Ryan Independent Director	63	Retired, Formerly Vice President of Sales and Marketing Windfall Products, Inc. (Manufacturer)	_	2/9/99
Peter F. Smith	56	Attorney at Law	_	9/12/89

Independent Director

William F. Falger retired in December of 2009 after an extensive career with the Corporation. From January 1993 until his retirement, he held the offices of President and Chief Executive Officer of CNB Bank and he was President and Chief Executive Officer of CNB Financial Corporation from January 2001 until his retirement. Mr. Falger began his career with the Corporation in 1989 when he was hired as Senior Lender of CNB Bank. He is a graduate of Indiana University of Pennsylvania with a Bachelor of Science in Mathematics. Mr. Falger has served and continues to serve on various boards within the community.

Jeffrey S. Powell is a graduate of The Pennsylvania State University where he earned a degree in Business Administration. He is currently the President of J.J. Powell Inc., a petroleum distributor, as well as Snappy s Convenience Stores. Mr. Powell serves as Audit Committee Chairman.

James F. Ryan was a founding partner and served as Vice President of Windfall Products, a powdered metal manufacturing company in St. Marys, Pennsylvania, and retired in 1999. Mr. Ryan has served on various civic boards.

Peter F. Smith obtained his Bachelor of Arts from Williams College in 1976 and later graduated from the Dickinson School of Law in 1981. He joined his late father, William U. Smith, in the general practice of law in Clearfield after graduation. Mr. Smith has continued in the practice, representing a diverse group of businesses and their owners. He concentrates his practice on commercial transactions, real estate, mineral law, estate planning and related litigation. Mr. Smith has served on the Ethics Committee of the Pennsylvania Bar Association since 1994 and has authored numerous written opinions to assist other lawyers with ethical issues, and has been invited to speak as panelist by the Pennsylvania Bar Institute. Mr. Smith has served and continues to serve numerous charitable and public services organizations including the Boy Scouts of America, The Shaw Public Library and the Clearfield County Rails to Trails.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the foregoing nominees.

NOMINEE: One Class 3 director for a one year term expiring at the annual shareholders meeting in 2012.

Name	Age at December 31, 2010	Business Experience (Past Five Years)	Positions Held at CNB	Director Since
Richard B. Seager	53	President and CEO		
		Beacon Light Behavioral Health		
		Systems (Mental Health)		
		CEO and Founder Deerfield		
		Behavioral Health (Mental		
		Health)		

Richard B. Seager has over 25 years of experience in health care administration, consulting, and finance. Mr. Seager is actively involved in small business and community development activities throughout northwestern Pennsylvania, including service on various non-profit boards.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the foregoing nominee.

The following Class 3 directors terms expire at the time of the annual meeting in 2012.

Name	Age at December 31, 2010	Business Experience (Past Five Years)	Positions Held at CNB	Director Since
Joseph B. Bower, Jr.	47	President and Chief Executive Officer	President and Chief Executive Officer	4/19/05
		CNB Financial Corporation and CNB Bank;	CNB Financial Corporation and CNB Bank;	
		see also biography below	see also biography below	
Robert E. Brown Independent Director	69	Vice President		2/15/83
		E.M. Brown, Inc.		
		(Coal Producer)		
Robert W. Montler Independent Director	59	President & CEO		6/28/05
		Lee Industries (Manufacturer)		

Joseph B. Bower, Jr. has been with the Corporation since 1997 and previously served as Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer of CNB Bank as well as Secretary and Treasurer of CNB Financial Corporation. In January of 2010 he was named President and Chief Executive Officer of CNB Bank and CNB Financial Corporation. Mr. Bower worked as a certified public accountant and holds a Bachelor of Arts in Accounting from Lycoming College in Williamsport, Pennsylvania. Mr. Bower has served and continues to serve on various boards within the community.

Robert E. Brown is a graduate of Grove City College, Class of 1964. He is President of E. M. Brown, Inc., which is a ready mix concrete producer that also has a division specializing in AMD Mine Reclamation and water treatment systems. Mr. Brown serves on the Executive Board of the Pennsylvania Coal Association. He is also President of Clearfield Equipment, which operates a Dodge, Chrysler, & Jeep automobile dealership.

Robert W. Montler is President & CEO of Lee Industries. Mr. Montler is also President & CEO of Keystone Process Equipment, which purchases and refurbishes used process equipment for the pharmaceutical, cosmetic, food and chemical markets. In 1997, he started Keystone Process Equipment and also began a real estate development company known as Tipton Realty. Mr. Montler s previous experience includes service in either a board or advisory board capacity for three other financial institutions. He has served and continues to serve on various boards within the community.

The following Class 2 directors terms expire at the time of the annual meeting in 2013.

Name	Age at December 31, 2010	Business Experience (Past Five Years)	Positions Held at CNB	Director Since
Dennis L. Merrey Independent Director	62	Chairman of the Board Retired. Formerly President and CEO, Clearfield Powdered Metals, Inc. Chief Operating Officer (Manufacturer)		6/4/91
Deborah Dick Pontzer Independent Director	51	Economic Development and Workforce Specialist for Congressman Glenn Thompson		6/10/03
Charles H. Reams Independent Director	67	President, CH Reams and Associates (Insurance)		1/9/07

Dennis L. Merrey was President and Chief Executive Officer of a foundry and machine company and Founder, President and Chief Executive Officer of a powdered metal parts producing company. Mr. Merrey has more than 30 years of manufacturing management experience. He has been a member of the Bank s and Holding Company s Board of Directors since 1991 and was elected Chairman of the Board in 2007. He is currently retired from business. He has served on numerous charitable and public service boards and serves currently as Chairman of the Board of Clearfield Hospital.

Deborah Pontzer is an Economic Development and Workforce Specialist for Congressman Glenn Thompson. In that capacity, she works with business, industry, and communities to identify and obtain the resources necessary for continued economic development and growth. Her prior experience is in higher education and public accounting. She is active in her community and currently serves as a Trustee for The Stackpole-Hall Foundation. Ms. Pontzer earned a B.A. from Mount Holyoke College and an M.B.A. from the American Graduate School of International Management.

Charles H. Reams is President of C.H. Reams & Associates, Inc., a company which provides consulting and broker services to organizations that offer fully insured and self-funded benefits. Mr. Reams is a Certified Life Underwriter, Chartered Financial Consultant, Registered Health Underwriter, and Registered Employee Benefits Consultant. In addition to these professional distinctions, Mr. Reams is currently a member of the Hamot Board of Incorporators and Erie Life Underwriters Association, as well as a past President of the Erie Estate Planning Council, and has served on the Board of Erie County Area Agency on Aging.

There are no arrangements or understandings between any director and any other person pursuant to which he or she was selected as a director.

PROPOSAL 2. RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for selecting the Corporation s independent auditors. At its meeting held on March 2, 2011, the Audit Committee appointed Crowe Horwath LLP (Crowe) as the independent auditors for the 2011 fiscal year. Although shareholder approval for this appointment is not required, the Board is submitting the selection of Crowe for ratification to obtain the views of shareholders. If the appointment is not ratified, the Audit Committee will reconsider its selection.

In making the appointment of Crowe as the Corporation s independent auditors for the fiscal year 2011, the Audit Committee considered whether Crowe s provision of services other than audit services is compatible with maintaining independence as our independent auditors and decided that the provision of such services is compatible with maintaining independence.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of independent auditors.

PROPOSAL 3. ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act added Section 14A to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which requires that we provide our shareholders with the opportunity to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

As described in greater detail under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we seek to align the interests of our named executive officers with the interests of our shareholders. Our compensation programs are designed to reward our named executive officers for the achievement of short-term results and long-term growth, while at the same time avoiding the encouragement of unnecessary or excessive risk-taking.

The Corporation is presenting the following proposal, which gives you as a shareholder the opportunity to endorse our compensation program for named executive officers by voting for or against the following resolution (a say-on-pay vote). While the vote on the resolution is advisory in nature and therefore will not bind us to take any particular action, our Board of Directors intends to carefully consider the shareholder vote resulting from the proposal in making future decisions regarding our compensation program.

RESOLVED, that the shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Corporation s named executive officers, as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables, and the related narrative executive compensation disclosures contained in the Proxy Statement.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that shareholders vote FOR the proposal.

PROPOSAL 4. ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF THE VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act added Section 14A to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which requires that we provide our shareholders with the opportunity to vote, on a non-binding advisory basis, for their preference as to how frequently to vote on future advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Shareholders may indicate whether they would prefer that we conduct future advisory votes on executive compensation once every one, two or three years. Shareholders also may abstain from casting a vote on this proposal. Shareholders will not be voting to approve or disapprove the recommendation of the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors values constructive dialogue on executive compensation and other important governance topics with our shareholders. The Board believes an advisory vote every 3 years will provide an effective way to obtain information on shareholder sentiment about our executive compensation program by allowing adequate time for the Corporation to respond to shareholders feedback and engage with shareholders to understand and respond to the vote results.

This vote is advisory in nature and therefore will not bind us to adopt any particular frequency. However, our Board of Directors intends to carefully consider the shareholder vote resulting from the proposal in determining how frequently we will hold say-on-pay votes.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that shareholders vote FOR the 3 Year alternative set out in the proxy card.

OTHER MATTERS

The Board of Directors does not intend to bring any other matters before the annual meeting and does not know of any matter which anyone proposes to present for action at the meeting. However, if any other matters properly come before the meeting, the persons named in the accompanying proxy, or their duly constituted substitutes acting at the meeting, will be authorized to vote or otherwise act thereon in accordance with their judgment.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors held thirteen meetings during 2010. One of the thirteen was a special meeting where the Board conducted director education. Nine directors attended the 2010 annual meeting of shareholders. Each incumbent director attended at least 75 percent of the aggregate of (i) the total number of meetings held by the Board of Directors during the period that the individual served and (ii) the total number of meetings held by all committees of the Board on which the individual served during the period that the individual served.

The Board of Directors of the Corporation and the Board of Directors of the Bank have a number of joint committees that serve both the Corporation and the Bank. These include an Audit Committee, an Executive Compensation/Personnel Committee and a Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee met four times in 2010. The Audit Committee appoints the Corporation s independent accountants, reviews and approves the audit plan and fee estimate of the independent public accountants, appraises the effectiveness of the internal and external audit efforts, evaluates the adequacy and effectiveness of accounting policies and financial and accounting management, approves and evaluates the internal audit function, pre-approves all audit and any non-audit services, and reviews and approves

the annual and quarterly financial statements. The members of the Audit Committee are Jeffrey S. Powell, Chairman, Robert W. Montler, Deborah Dick Pontzer and Charles H. Reams. The Corporation s Board adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee on May 3, 2001. The charter is reviewed annually by the Audit Committee and no changes were made in 2010. The charter is available on the Corporation s website at www.bankcnb.com.

In the opinion of the Corporation s Board, the members of the Audit Committee do not have a relationship with the Corporation or any of its affiliates that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out their responsibilities as directors. None of them is or has for the past three years been an employee of the Corporation or any of its affiliates; no immediate family members of any of them is or has for the past three years been an executive officer of the Corporation or any of its affiliates; and they otherwise meet the standards for independence required by NASDAQ.

The Corporation s Board of Directors has determined that the Audit Committee does not have a financial expert serving on the Committee, as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). While members have an understanding of financial statements as well as the ability to assess the proper application of accounting estimates, accruals and reserves, no member possesses indepth knowledge of generally accepted accounting principles. The Committee has the authority to engage legal counsel or other experts or consultants as it deems appropriate to carry out its responsibilities, at the Corporation s expense.

The Audit Committee must pre-approve all permitted non-audit services performed by the Corporation s external audit firm. The Audit Committee may delegate such authority to a subcommittee, provided that any decisions of the subcommittee are presented to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

The Audit Committee has submitted the following report for inclusion in this Proxy Statement:

The Audit Committee has reviewed the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 and has discussed them with management. The Audit Committee has also discussed with Crowe the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Crowe required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Crowe s communications with the Audit Committee, and has discussed with Crowe their independence. Based on this, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in CNB Financial Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 for filing with the SEC.

Submitted by the Audit Committee:

Jeffrey S. Powell, Chairman Robert W. Montler Deborah Dick Pontzer Charles H. Reams

This report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this Proxy Statement into any filing under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and shall not be deemed filed under that Act.

Executive Compensation/Personnel Committee. The Executive Compensation/Personnel Committee consists of the following independent directors as defined by NASDAQ rules: James B. Ryan, Chairman; Dennis L. Merrey; Robert W. Montler and Jeffrey S. Powell. The Committee met seven times during 2010. See Compensation Determination Process for more information about the Executive Compensation/Personnel Committee.

Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee met four times during 2010. The Committee consists of the following independent directors as defined by NASDAQ rules: Dennis L. Merrey, Chairman; Robert E. Brown; James B. Ryan; and Peter F. Smith. The Committee was established by resolution of the Board of Directors. Its function is to recommend to the Board candidates for nomination for election to the Board of Directors. Any shareholder who wishes to have the Committee consider a candidate should submit the name of the candidate, along with any biographical or other relevant information that the shareholder wishes the Committee to consider and the consent of such candidate evidencing his or her willingness to serve as a director, to the President of the Corporation at the address appearing on the Notice of Annual Meeting no later than November 17, 2011. All recommendations are subject to the process described below.

The Committee has the responsibility of reviewing and evaluating candidates for election or appointment to the Board of Directors. The process utilized by the Committee to recommend a candidate consists of first reviewing formal expressions of interest by interested individuals which have been communicated to the Committee. In addition, the Committee obtains input from shareholders, directors and management regarding other potential candidates who have not indicated an interest in a Board position.

Utilizing the selection criteria set forth below, the Committee selects a candidate and then conducts an interview in order to further evaluate the individual. Subsequent to the interview the Committee meets to determine whether to recommend the candidate to the Board of Directors for election or appointment. The Board of Directors, exclusive of non-independent directors, either accepts or rejects the Committee recommendation.

The Committee utilizes various selection criteria to evaluate a candidate for election or appointment to the Board of Directors including, among others, the following minimum criteria:

- 1. Residency within the market area of CNB Bank.
- 2. Ability and willingness to commit time necessary to fulfill Board and committee duties.
- 3. Strong interest in or familiarity with the financial services industry.
- 4. Successful background in a business or profession representing a business or profession suitable to our business plan within the Bank s market area. Comparing new candidates to the current Board ensures that the Board has a diverse group of individuals through different professions, experience, education or skill.
- 5. Character and reputation.

Under the Bylaws: (i) no shareholder is eligible to serve as a director who does not own at least 1,000 unencumbered shares of the Corporation s common stock; (ii) no incumbent director may be proposed for nomination to the Board of Directors without approval of 25% of the Board; and (iii) no shareholder, not an incumbent director, may be proposed for nomination to the Board of Directors without approval of two-thirds of the Board.

Directors Dennis L. Merrey and Joseph B. Bower, Jr. are ex-officio members of all committees if not otherwise named, except the Audit, Corporate Governance/Nominating and Executive Compensation/Personnel Committees as to Mr. Bower.

The committee has a charter, a copy of which is available on the Corporation s website at www.bankcnb.com.

Communications With Directors

Any shareholder who wishes to communicate with the directors (or with any individual director) should send a letter to the directors as follows: ATTN: Corporate Secretary - Communication to Directors, CNB Financial Corp., PO Box 42, Clearfield, PA 16830-0042. The Corporate Secretary will regularly forward all such correspondence to the directors.

Risk Oversight

Risk identification and management are essential elements for the successful management of the Corporation. In the normal course of business, the Corporation is subject to various types of risk, including interest rate, credit, and liquidity risk. These risks are controlled through policies and procedures established throughout the Corporation, which are monitored and reviewed by the Board in accordance with established Corporation policies.

Interest rate risk is the sensitivity of net interest income and the market value of financial instruments to the direction and frequency of changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk results from various repricing frequencies and the maturity structure of the financial instruments owned by the Corporation. The Corporation uses its asset/liability management policy and systems to control, monitor and manage interest rate risk. Such policies and systems are monitored by the Asset/Liability Committee of the Board, which meets four times per year.

Credit risk represents the possibility that a customer may not perform in accordance with contractual terms. Credit risk results from loans with customers and the purchase of securities. The Corporation manages credit risk by following an established credit policy and through a disciplined evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Also, the investment policy limits the amount of credit risk that may be

taken in the securities portfolio. Such policies and systems are monitored by both the Asset/Liability Committee of the Board and the Loan Committee of the Board, which meets bi-monthly.

Liquidity risk represents the inability to generate or otherwise obtain funds at reasonable rates to satisfy commitments to borrowers and obligations to depositors. The Corporation has established guidelines within its asset liability management policy to manage liquidity risk. These guidelines include contingent funding alternatives. Such guidelines are monitored by the Asset/Liability Committee of the Board.

Board Leadership Structure

The Corporation has elected to have two separate individuals as CEO and Chairman. The Corporation believes that this separation facilitates the independence of the Board and is appropriate for the size and structure of the Corporation.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This discussion and analysis focuses on the Corporation s Executive Officers, who are named in the tables below and who are referred to as our *named executive officers* or *NEOs*. We summarize our philosophy, the strategy and the major details of the Corporation s approach to compensating our NEOs.

Executive Summary

Our compensation strategy has been developed over several years with guidance and oversight of the Executive Compensation Committee along with the input and observations of management as well as that of compensation and benefits consultants.

Our expectation is that our senior management team should drive performance and produce above average returns for shareholders. To achieve that goal, our executive compensation approach is benchmarked, both as to pay and as to performance, and weighted heavily towards results. In return for achieving above average performance, we expect to reward our NEOs with at or above average compensation and benefits.

Provided below are key Corporation performance and executive compensation highlights for 2010:

Corporation Performance Highlights:

Return on Average Assets (ROA) of 0.88% exceeded the 2010 NEO Incentive Plan target, which was a ROA that exceeded the ROA for our peer group under the Bank Holding Company Peer Report (BHCPR) at 0.03%. The BHCPR can be found at the website of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (www.ffeic.gov).

Loan Growth in Outstandings of \$780,882,000 exceeded the 2010 NEO Incentive Plan target established by the Corporate Budget of \$757,768,000

Credit Quality Standards were compared to the credit quality standards of our peer group under the BHCPR as well as established standards based on historical performance of the Corporation including the ratio of non-performing assets to total assets (NPAs/TAs), loan losses, & delinquency

NPAs/TAs of 0.99%, for 2010, compared to BHCPR data of 3.48%

Other Financial Performance measures: Strong performance as compared to BHCPR data specifically related to operating efficiencies, average assets to personnel and reductions to non-interest expenses as compared to corporate historical and budgeted data.

Compensation Highlights

Summarized below are highlights with respect to executive compensation in 2010:

Continued to measure both absolute and relative performance in our annual bonus (helping to ensure a more balanced and risk appropriate program)

Continued to provide a significant portion of executive compensation in incentive-based pay (helping to ensure a pay-for-performance alignment)

Made stock-based grants to select executives to help retain and align the executives with the long-term interests of the Corporation and shareholders

With the assistance of an independent consultant, examined the competitiveness of executive compensation to ensure it meets our objectives of attracting, retaining and motivating executives.

As part of evaluating compensation, the Committee tracks NEO compensation as a percentage of Net Income. Provided below is a six-year trend of NEO compensation (base salaries and bonuses) as a percentage of Net Income:

Executive Salaries and bonuses as a % of Net Income	2010 - 9.1%
	2009 - 13.8%
	2008 - 16.2%
	2007 - 9.9%
	2006 - 9.6%
	2005 - 9.7%

Compensation Determination Process

The Role of the Executive Compensation Committee

The Executive Compensation Committee is composed of four non-employee, independent directors (as defined in the NASDAQ Corporate Governance Rules) selected from the Board of Directors of the Corporation.

The Committee has the overall responsibility for reviewing, establishing, and administering policies which govern NEO compensation programs. It determines the compensation of the NEOs for recommendation to the entire Board. In discharging these responsibilities, the Committee seeks to maintain a position of equity with respect to the balancing of interests of the shareholders with those of the NEOs.

The Executive Compensation Committee does not have a charter. The Executive Compensation Committee meets regularly regarding compensation issues and regularly receives input for its independent compensation consultant, Pearl Meyer & Partners, or PM&P.

The Role of the Compensation Consultant

The Executive Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate a compensation consultant and to approve the consultant s fees and all other terms of the engagement. The Committee has direct access to outside advisors and consultants throughout the year.

Since 2008, the Committee has relied on the services of compensation consulting firm Pearl Meyer & Partners for compensation advice.

The Committee selected and retained the services of Pearl Meyer & Partners (PM&P), an independent outside consulting firm specializing in NEO and board compensation for assistance based on PM&P s expertise. Services include conducting benchmarking studies, establishing compensation guidelines, designing incentive programs, assisting with proxy disclosure, and providing insight on emerging best practices. The consultant reports directly to the Committee and carries out its responsibilities to the Committee. The Committee has reviewed all services provided by Pearl Meyer & Partners in 2010, and has determined that Pearl Meyer & Partners is independent with respect to the SEC standards as well as Corporate policy.

The Role of Management

At the request of the Committee, NEOs of the Corporation or Bank may be present at Committee meetings for discussion purposes. However, they have no involvement in the decisions made by the Committee, nor do they have a vote on any matters brought before the Committee.

The Executive Compensation Committee meets with the Chief Executive Officer to discuss his performance and compensation package, but ultimately decisions regarding his package are made solely based upon the Committee s deliberations, as well as input from the compensation consultant, as requested. The Executive Compensation Committee considers recommendations from the Chief Executive Officer, as well as input from the compensation consultant as requested, to make decisions regarding other NEOs.

Competitive Benchmarking

Although the Committee reviews competitive market data annually, a comprehensive assessment may be undertaken every few years. The frequency of the comprehensive reviews will reflect the competitive landscape as well as our own growth. To assist in making 2010 compensation decisions, PM&P was engaged by the Executive Compensation Committee, in December 2009, to conduct a comprehensive review of its NEO total compensation program. The purpose of the review was to provide an independent and objective analysis of all elements of compensation (individually and in aggregate) relative to market and peer group practices. An assessment of the pay mix and the pay for performance relationship were also presented to the Committee.

The primary data source used in examining the competitive market for the NEOs is information publicly disclosed by a peer group of other publicly traded financial corporations. A peer group was developed by PM&P using objective parameters that reflect banks of similar size, geographic region, and business model (factors that influence NEO compensation in banks). PM&P excluded banks in large metropolitan areas as they are not similar to the Corporation. The peer group was approved by the Executive Compensation Committee. Peer groups are reviewed and updated as appropriate, since the comparable banks may change depending on acquisitions and business focus of the bank or our peer institutions. Overall, the goal is to have 20-24 comparative financial corporations that provide a market perspective for NEO total compensation.

The following 21 financial institutions, listed by asset size from largest to smallest, comprise the peer group used in the 2009 review conducted by PM&P (Overall, the Corporation s assets approximated the 5^{th} percentile of the peer group):

Financial Institutions, Inc.
Canandaigua National Corporation
Alliance Financial Corporation
First National Community Bancorp
First Chester County Corporation
Citizens & Northern Corporation
First of Long Island Corporation
VIST Financial Corporation
Royal Bancshares of Pennsylvania
Orrstown Financial Services
Shore Bancshares

Center Bancorp
ACNB Corporation
Wilber Corporation
Franklin Financial Services
Unity Bancorp
Bridge Bancorp
Chemung Financial

Chemung Financial
First Keystone Corporation
Codorus Valley Bancorp
Penns Wood Bancorp

In addition to the peer group data, the consultant used survey sources to identify general compensation trends. The data reflected banks representing similar asset size and region to the Corporation.

In the fall of 2010, PM&P conducted another comprehensive review of the Corporation s total compensation program. Similar to the analysis conducted in 2009, peer group and survey data were employed. As part of the process, PM&P reviewed the Corporation s peer group for continued appropriateness and recommended the exclusion of three banks: First Keystone Corporation and Penns Wood Bancorp (due to smaller asset size as compared to the Corporation) and First Chester County Corporation (due to recent acquisition). In addition, six financial institutions, of similar size and geographic region were recommended to add including Arrow Financial Corporation, First Citizen s Banc Corp, First United Corporation, LNB Bancorp, Peapack-Gladstone Financial Corporation, and Summit Financial Group. The recommendations were approved by the Executive Compensation Committee and the resulting peer group included 24 financial institutions with a median asset size that approximates the Corporation s asset size.

Competitive Compensation Guidelines

As a result of the 2010 competitive benchmarking study, the consultant provided market competitive guidelines that support the Corporation s total compensation philosophy. The guidelines reflect market competitive base salaries, short term incentive targets (as a percentage of base salary), and long-term incentive targets (as a percentage of base salary).

The 2010 competitive compensation guidelines are summarized below.

	Competitive Compensation Guidelines		
Title	Base Salary	Target Annual Incentives	Target Long-Term Incentive
President & CEO	\$355,000	30%-40%	25%
Principal Financial Officer &Treasurer	\$180,000	25%-30%	15%
EVP & Chief Lending Officer	\$180,000	25%-30%	15%
EVP & Chief Credit Officer	\$165,000	25%-30%	15%
SVP of Operations & Assistant Secretary	\$230,000	25%-30%	20%

Based on the results of the competitive analysis and competitive guidelines shared by PM&P, the Corporation s 2010 base salaries and long-term incentives for its NEOs are generally below competitive guidelines, while target annual incentives are generally in line with the market.

Compensation Philosophy

Compensation Objectives

The primary objectives of the executive compensation program are to:

Produce superior operating results for the Corporation by attracting, retaining, and motivating key executives whose experience, expertise, and ability will assure that our overall corporate objectives are met or exceeded.

Align the financial interest of the NEOs and shareholders through incentive-based compensation; and

Provide a total compensation program that recognizes individual contributions as well as overall business results.

Compensation Elements
Our executive compensation program is designed to be simple, straightforward and fair, and consists of the following elements:
Base Salary;
A 1T ¢
Annual Incentives;
Stock-based compensation;
Nonqualified deferred compensation;
Nonquanned deferred compensation,
Participation in the Corporation s retirement plans; and
Perquisites.
Target Pay and Mix for Compensation Elements
The Executive Compensation Committee reviews both total compensation and each element of compensation when making pay decisions and recommendations to our Board. Although actual compensation can be above or below targets based on individual and Corporation performance retention considerations and executive experience, we generally target within a reasonable range of the 50 th percentile of the market, from an opportunity perspective.
In allocating compensation among these elements, our program is designed to provide a balance of:
Fixed and variable/ performance-based compensation;
Cash and equity compensation;
Short-term (annual) and long-term (multi-year) performance;
Performance of corporate strategic goals, shareholder value, and individual contributions; and
Absolute performance (our own goals) and relative performance (compared to industry). This balanced approach helps to mitigate the influence of any one element of compensation which might be considered to drive excessive risk taking.
Elements of Compensation Details

The Corporation s compensation program consists of six main elements: base salary, annual incentives, stock-based compensation, participation in the Corporation s non-qualified deferred compensation plans, participation in the Corporation s retirement plans, and perquisites. The following

section summarizes the role of each element, how decisions are made and the resulting 2010 decision process as it relates to our NEOs.

Base Salary

The Corporation believes that a competitive salary is essential and that it should produce between 50 percent and 70 percent of a NEOs total compensation, depending upon his or her position, experience in his or her current position as well as the financial services industry, and ability to drive performance.

Our compensation consultant, Pearl Meyer & Partners provides pay range guidelines based on its competitive assessment considering a composite of market data from the custom proxy peer group as well as other data sources of banking compensation information. Our competitive range reflects +/-15% of the market median. The Committee uses this range in making ongoing base salary decisions for each NEO.

In December of each year, the Committee reviews and sets each NEOs base pay to reflect competitive market conditions, individual experience, expertise, and performance relative to attainment of corporate goals and objectives such as earnings per share, return on assets, return on equity and various asset quality measurements. Input from the Chief Executive Officer is considered in setting the salaries of other NEOs while the Committee is solely responsible for determining the Chief Executive Officer is salary.

2010 Decisions

In December 2009, the Executive Compensation Committee reviewed the NEOs salaries considering the market range for the position and the relative salaries of the executive team, as well as competitive market conditions, individual performance and contributions. The following salary adjustments were made for the NEOs in December 2009.

President & Chief Executive Officer Joseph B. Bower, Jr. The Committee approved an adjustment increasing his salary from \$205,500 to \$250,000 (increase of 22%), as a result of his promotion from Executive Vice President & Chief Operating Officer to President & CEO, effective 12/31/09.

Principal Financial Officer & Treasurer Charles R. Guarino The Committee approved a market adjustment increasing his salary from \$102,000 to \$110,000 (increase of 8%).

Executive Vice President & Chief Lending Officer Richard L. Sloppy The Committee approved a market adjustment increasing his salary from \$138,000 to \$145,000 (increase of 5%).

Executive Vice President & Chief Credit Officer Mark D. Breakey The Committee approved a market adjustment increasing his salary from \$135,000 to \$145,000 (increase of 7%).

Senior Vice President of Operations & Assistant Secretary Vincent C. Turiano joined the corporation in November of 2009 with a base salary of \$135,000.

Annual Incentives

The primary objective of our annual incentive program is to motivate and reward key members of management for achieving specific corporate, department, and individual goals that support our strategic plan. Through our 2010 NEO Incentive Plan, we offer a select group of key executives, whose efforts largely determine the achievement of corporate goals and objectives, an annual cash bonus opportunity. For each NEO there are individual and group objectives, which vary among executives according to their areas of responsibility. In general, however, we set a minimum target or targets, expressed as performance in excess of the median for a peer group of similar corporations which must be met before any bonus can be earned. The major component in the plan is Return on Average Assets (ROA). The target established is an ROA that exceeds the peer group at plus 0.10%. We compare the Corporation s performance to that of financial institutions with assets between \$1.0 billion and \$3.0 billion that are not Internal Revenue Code Subchapter S Corporations as contained in the Bank Holding Company Performance Report, prepared by the Federal Reserve Board.

2010 Decisions

The 2010 NEO annual incentives are outlined below in tables and summary, based on weighting, targets and payouts.

President & Chief Executive Officer Joseph B. Bower, Jr. The Committee established an annual incentive award for Mr. Bower, pursuant to which Mr. Bower s annual incentive compensation will be determined based on the Corporation s (1) return on assets and (2) ratio of non-performing assets to total assets, compared to the median of the Corporation s BHCPR peer group. Mr. Bower s maximum annual incentive is 40% of his base salary of \$250,000, or \$100,000.

Joseph B. Bower, Jr. - President & CEO

	Weighting	Target	CNB 2010 Data	Payout
Return On Assets	75%	0.13%	0.88%	\$75,000
Non-performing Assets to Total Assets	25%	3.48%	0.99%	\$25,000
	Total 201	0 Annual	Incentive Payout =	\$100,000

Principal Financial Officer & Treasurer Charles R. Guarino The Committee established an annual incentive award for Mr. Guarino, pursuant to which Mr. Guarino s annual incentive compensation will be determined based on the Corporation s (1) return on assets compared to the median of the Corporation s BHCPR peer group and (2) operating expenses (exclusive of salaries and benefits) relative to a corporate budgeted target of \$15,804,000. Mr. Guarino s maximum annual incentive is 40% of his base salary of \$110,000, or \$44,000.

Charles R. Guarino - Principal Financial Officer & Treasurer

	Weighting	Target	CNB 2010 Data	Payout
Return On Assets	50%	0.13%	0.88%	\$22,000
Operating Expenses (net of Salaries & Benefits)	50%	\$15,804,000	\$15,354,000	\$16,500
	Tota	1 2010 Annual	Incentive Payout =	= \$38,500

Executive Vice President & Chief Lending Officer Richard L. Sloppy The Committee established an annual incentive award for Mr. Sloppy, pursuant to which Mr. Sloppy s annual incentive compensation will be determined based on the Corporation s (1) return on assets compared to the median of the Corporation s BHCPR peer group, (2) loan growth relative to corporate budgeted target of \$757,768,000 and (3) non performing assets to total assets compared to the median of the Corporation s BHCPR peer group.

Mr. Sloppy s annual incentive will decrease if loan losses of the Bank exceed the Bank s budgeted target of \$2,250,000. Mr. Sloppy s maximum annual incentive is 40% of his base salary of \$145,000, or \$58,000.

Richard L. Sloppy - EVP & Chief Lending Officer

			CNB 2010	
	Weighting	Target	Data	Payout
Return On Assets	30%	0.13%	0.88%	\$17,400
Loan Growth, measured in dollars outstanding	37.5%	\$757,768,000	\$780,882,000	\$16,500
Non-performing Assets to Total Assets	20%	3.48%	0.99%	\$11,600
Loan Losses (CNB Bank Only)	12.5%	\$2,250,000	\$3,245,000	(\$16,500)
	Tota	1 2010 Annual I	ncentive Payout	t = \$29,000

Executive Vice President & Chief Credit Officer Mark D. Breakey The Committee established an annual incentive award for Mr. Breakey, pursuant to which Mr. Breakey s annual incentive compensation will be determined based on (1) the Corporation s return on assets compared to the median of the Corporation s BHCPR peer group, (2) the Corporation s ratio of non-performing assets to total assets compared to the median of the Corporation s BHCPR peer group, (3) the Corporation s loan losses not exceeding the Corporation s consolidated budgeted target amount of \$2,800,000, (4) the Bank s loan delinquency not exceeding 1.65%, and (5) the loan delinquency for Holiday Financial Services Corporation not exceeding 3.50%. Mr. Breakey s maximum annual incentive is 40% of his base salary of \$145,000, or \$58,000.

Mark D. Breakey - EVP & Chief Credit Officer

			CNB 2010	
	Weighting	Target	Data	Payout
Return On Assets	30%	0.13%	0.88%	\$17,400
Non-performing Assets to Total Assets	30%	\$757,768,000	\$780,882,000	\$17,400
Loan Losses (Consolidated)	15%	\$2,800,000	\$4,204,000	\$0
Loan Delinquency (CNB Bank)	15%	1.65%	2.24%	\$0
Loan Delinquency (Holiday Financial Services Corp.)	10%	3.50%	2.67%	\$5,800

Total 2010 Annual Incentive Payout = \$40,600

Senior Vice President of Operations & Assistant Secretary Vincent C. Turiano The Committee established an annual incentive award for Mr. Turiano, pursuant to which Mr. Turiano s annual incentive compensation will be determined based on the Corporation s (1) return on assets compared to the median of the Corporation s BHCPR peer group, (2) wealth and asset management revenue meeting or exceeding the Corporation s budgeted target amount of \$1,845,000, and (3) operating expenses (exclusive of salaries and benefits) relative to corporate budgeted target of \$15,804,000. Mr. Turiano s maximum annual incentive is 40% of his base salary of \$135,000, or \$54,000.

Vincent C. Turiano - SVP of Operations & Assistant Secretary

	Weighting	Target	CNB 2010 Data	Pavout
Return On Assets	35%	0.13%	0.88%	\$18,900
Wealth & Asset Management Revenue	35%	\$1,845,000	\$1,829,000	\$0
Operating Expenses (net of Salaries & Benefits)	30%	\$15,804,000	\$15,354,000	\$12,150

Total 2010 Annual Incentive Payout = \$31,050

Stock-Based Compensation

We believe that our NEOs should be invested in the Corporation and share the risks and rewards of all shareholders. The purpose of the Corporation s stock-based compensation program is to align NEOs with the long-term interests of the Corporation and shareholders, encourage stock ownership, and enhance our ability to retain top performers.

During 2010, we have used the award of restricted common stock under the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan, vesting equally in four annual installments, as a means of compensating NEOs while making the full benefit of the award conditional upon remaining with the Corporation for the near future. We believe this strategy establishes a tie to the shareholders as well as provides for the retention of our NEOs.

Decisions regarding the size of stock-based awards are made after careful consideration of corporate and individual performance, market data, retention considerations, impact on dilution, and existing equity holdings of each NEO.

We will not time or select the grant dates of any stock-based awards in coordination with our release of material non-public information, nor will we have any program, plan or practice to do so.

2010 Decisions

The 2010 grants awarded in January are summarized below in our Grants of Plan Based Awards table herein. All shares vest equally over four annual installments.

Named Executive Officer	Restricted Stock Award # of Shares	Restricted Stock Award Value (1)	% of Base Salary
Joseph B. Bower, Jr.	2,000	\$ 29,960	12%
Charles R. Guarino	400	\$ 5,992	5%
Richard L. Sloppy	1,100	\$ 16,478	11%
Mark D. Breakey	1,100	\$ 16,478	11%
Vincent C. Turiano	0	\$ 0	0%

⁽¹⁾ Restricted stock award value computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plans

We believe that deferred compensation plays an important role in retaining NEOs, as well as assisting them provide for retirement. For that reason, we provide:

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) The Corporation adopted the SERP to make up approximately, the difference between the benefit that would have been paid, using the formula in the pension plan and in the savings plan, and the benefit that can be paid under the plans, because of Internal Revenue Service limits imposed on benefits payable or compensation covered under such plans. Participants in this plan are selected by the Executive Compensation Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. Currently, Mssrs. Bower, Breakey, and Sloppy are participants. See Pension Benefits and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan sections herein for additional information on the plan.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan NEOs can participate in the plan, which allows them to defer up to 10% of base compensation and 100% of annual incentive until a date in the future, generally, upon termination of employment. Deferrals are deemed to be invested in Corporation common stock, and the value of a participant s account generally tracks the performance of actual common stock. The Corporation provides no inducement (match or discretionary contribution) for NEOs who participate but believes that this phantom stock arrangement helps align the interest of participants with that of shareholders.

Retirement Plan

The Corporation also maintains a 401(k) Savings Plan and Non-Contributory Pension Plan to help retain employees and provide for their retirement. See Pension Benefits for additional information on the plan.

Perquisites

The Corporation provides select executives with perquisites that the Executive Compensation Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with its overall compensation philosophy.

Specifically, the Corporation provides Mr. Bower the use of a vehicle as well as payment of club dues, both of which are taxable income. The Corporation also provides payment of club dues for Messrs. Guarino, Sloppy, Breakey, and Turiano, which are included in their taxable income.

The Committee believes that these individuals have appropriate business needs for the perquisites to assist them in the performance of their respective job duties.

Employment Contracts

The Committee believes that the Corporation s continued success depends, to a significant degree, on the skills and competence of certain members of our senior management team. The Corporation and the Bank have entered into an executive employment agreement with Mr. Bower and the Bank has entered into executive employment contracts with Messrs. Breakey and Sloppy (collectively, the Employment Contracts). These Employment Contracts are intended to ensure that the Corporation and the Bank will continue to maintain and retain experienced senior management.

The Employment Contract for Mr. Bower provides for an initial three-year term and the Employment Contracts for Messrs. Breakey and Sloppy provide for an initial one-year term. All three Employment Contracts provide that the contract shall automatically renew for successive terms of one year unless either party gives the other party ninety days written notice of his or its intent not to renew the contract prior to the end of the then current term. The initial term of the Employment Contract for Mr. Bower expires on December 31, 2012. The Employment Contracts for Messrs. Breakey and Sloppy have been automatically extended to a December 31, 2011 expiration date.

The Employment Contracts provide for a base salary to be established annually by the Board of Directors and for annual increases, stock, stock options/rights and bonuses as may from time to time be awarded by the Board of Directors. The Employment Contracts also provide certain fringe benefits, including a family country club membership for each of Messrs. Bower, Breakey and Sloppy (the Senior Executives) as well as an automobile for Mr. Bower. Each Employment Contract contains a covenant not to compete against the Corporation and the Bank, their parent, affiliates or subsidiaries during the term of employment and for three years following termination of employment. In addition, each Employment Contract contains a covenant to protect the Corporation s and the Bank s confidential information.

The Employment Contracts also provide for severance payments in the event a Senior Executive is terminated without cause, regardless of whether such termination is in connection with a change of control, or voluntarily terminates employment under certain specific circumstances following a change of control. Following such a termination of employment, Mr. Bower will be entitled to receive a lump sum cash payment equal to 2.99 times his base salary for the year in which his employment ends and Messrs. Breakey and Sloppy will be entitled to receive a lump sum cash payment equal to 2.50 times his base salary for the year in which his employment ends. Additionally, Mr. Bower would be entitled to receive a payment in the amount of 2.99 times the average incentive bonus paid over the preceding three year period. Messrs. Breakey and Sloppy will be entitled to receive a payment in the amount of 2.50 times the average incentive bonus paid over the preceding three year period. The potential payments that would have occurred assuming a change of control event at December 31, 2010 were \$929,093 for Mr. Bower, \$440,059 for Mr. Breakey and \$425,579 for Mr. Sloppy.

Cash and benefits paid to a Senior Executive under the Employment Contracts together with payments under other benefit plans following a change of control may constitute an excess parachute payment under Section 280G of the Code, resulting in the imposition of a 20% excise tax on the recipient and the denial of the deduction for such excess amounts to the Corporation or the Bank. The Employment Contracts do not provide for tax indemnity for any such potential excise taxes that may be due by the Senior Executives.

Impact of Accounting and Tax

The Executive Compensation Committee and Management consider the accounting and tax (individual and corporate) consequences of the compensation plans prior to making changes to the plans.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code may limit our ability to deduct for U.S. federal income tax purposes compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid to our CEO and other NEOs in any one fiscal year. In the Corporation s case, base salary, annual incentives, and time vested restricted shares are not considered performance-based vehicles and would not be a deductible compensation expense.

The Executive Compensation Committee has considered the impact of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ASC Topic 718 (formerly known as FASB Statement 123R) on the Corporation s equity incentives as a key retention tool.

Reducing the Possibility of Excess Risk-Taking

The Executive Compensation Committee has determined that the risks arising from the compensation policies and practices for employees of the Corporation are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Corporation as a whole.

The Executive Compensation Committee noted several design features of the Corporation s cash and stock-based incentive programs that reduce the likelihood of excessive risk-taking:

The program design	provides a balance	ed mix of cash ar	nd equity, annual	and long-term incentives.
The program design	provides a barance	ou iiiia oi casii ai	iu cquity, aiiiiuai	and fong-term intentives.

We set performance goals that we believe are reasonable in light of past performance and market conditions.

We balance absolute performance goals and relative performance goals in our annual bonus program.

Equity grants typically vest over a four-year period to encourage our NEOs to maintain a long-term perspective.

We use restricted stock because restricted stock retains value even in a depressed market and NEOs will be less likely to take unreasonable risks to get, or keep, options in-the-money.

The Executive Compensation Committee has a downward discretion over incentive program payouts.

The annual bonus has a maximum payout level that is 40% target.

For compensation benchmarking purposes, we employ an appropriate peer group derived from a standardized process.

Compensation Committee Report

The Executive Compensation/Personnel Committee met with management to review and discuss the Compensation Discussion and Analysis disclosures in this Proxy Statement. Based on such review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in the Corporation s Form 10-K for the 2010 fiscal year, and the Corporation s Board of Directors has approved that recommendation.

Submitted by the Executive Compensation Committee:

James B. Ryan, Chairman Dennis L. Merrey Robert W. Montler Jeffrey S. Powell

Summary Compensation Table

The following table shows, for the years 2010, 2009 and 2008, the cash compensation paid by the Corporation and its subsidiaries, as well as certain other compensation paid or accrued for those years, to the principal executive officer, the principal financial officer and the next three highest paid executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$) (1)	Restricted Stock Awards (\$) (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (3)	Change in Pension Value \$ Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (4)	All Other Compensation (\$) (5) (6)	Total (\$)
Joseph B. Bower, Jr., President and Chief Executive Officer of CNB Financial Corp. and CNB Bank	2010 2009 2008	250,000 205,500 181,000	29,960 12,160 23,211	100,000 82,200	156,229 164,338 (132,492)	9,432 8,110 5,978	545,621 472,308 77,697
Charles R. Guarino, Principal Financial Officer and Treasurer of CNB Financial Corp. Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of CNB Bank	2010 2009 2008	110,000 102,000 95,000	5,992	38,500 40,800	18,914 30,327 (3,548)	1,037 1,363 993	174,443 174,490 92,445
Richard L. Sloppy, Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer of CNB Bank	2010 2009 2008	145,000 138,000 134,000	16,478 7,600 14,505	29,000 27,600 19,095	93,974 158,345 (50,725)	5,510 3,680 3,004	289,962 335,225 119,879
Mark D. Breakey, Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of CNB Bank	2010 2009 2008	145,000 135,000 129,000	16,478 7,600 14,505	40,600 41,344 11,127	79,431 105,415 (52,657)	4,472 3,764 2,114	285,981 293,123 104,089
Vincent C. Turiano Assistant Secretary of CNB Financial Corp.	2010	135,000		31,050	1,773	3,259	171,082

Senior Vice President of CNB Bank

- (1) The figures shown represent amounts earned for the fiscal year, whether or not actually paid during such year.
- (2) Reflects shares of restricted stock awarded under the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan valued at the dollar amount recognized for financial reporting purposes in accordance with ASC Topic 718. The shares of restricted stock that remain subject to forfeiture entitle the named executive officer to all of the rights of a shareholder generally, including the right to vote the shares and receive any dividends that may be paid thereon. The shares of restricted stock awarded vest equally over a four-year period. The shares continue to be subject to risk of forfeiture, which will lapse upon vesting. Accelerated vesting will occur and restrictions will lapse in the event that certain change in control events or normal retirements occur.
- (3) Amount represents cash payments made under the Corporation s Equity Incentive Plan in the respective year.
- (4) The Change in Pension Value includes the CNB Bank Employee s Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and Trust as described in this Proxy Statement and excludes the employee s contributions. This Plan is in place for all eligible employees and contribution amounts are standard for all participants.
- (5) Figures stated in this column include contributions to the CNB Bank Employee s Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and Trust as well as term life insurance premiums which are reflected in Pension Benefits Table.
- (6) It is the policy of the Corporation to pay dues to certain service and social organizations for the executive officers. The incremental cost of these benefits was minimal and the aggregate amount of such compensation did not exceed \$10,000 for any named executive officer for any of the years shown.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2010

		Non-Equity 1	iture Payouts Undo Incentive Plan Awa			
Name	Grant Date	Threshold	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Stock Awards:	Grant Date
		(\$) (1)			Number of	Fair Value
					Shares of	(\$)(2)
					Stock or Units	
Joseph B. Bower, Jr.	1/12/10	N/A	34,387	100,000	2,000	29,960
Charles R. Guarino	1/12/10	N/A	15,404	44,000	400	5,992
Richard L. Sloppy	1/12/10	N/A	11,893	58,000	1,100	16,478
Mark D. Breakey	1/12/10	N/A	11,893	58,000	1,100	16,478
Vincent C. Turiano		N/A	12,.018	54,000		

⁽¹⁾ There is no threshold or minimum payment under the 2010 NEO Plan.

Stock Incentive Plan

The CNB Financial Corporation 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan) was initially adopted by the Corporation s Board of Directors and subsequently approved by its shareholders at its annual meeting held in 2009. Certain full or part-time employees of the Corporation, any parent, subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or persons who serve the Corporation or an affiliate as a director, are eligible to receive awards under the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan. Awards may be granted under in the form of non-statutory stock options or restricted stock awards. The 2009 Stock Incentive Plan provides that the option exercise price for each share of common stock covered by a stock option will not be less than the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date the option is granted and that the term of the option may not exceed ten years from the grant date. For this purpose, fair market value is determined by reference to the closing price of the common stock on the date of grant or, if the grant date is not a trading day, the trading day immediately preceding the grant date.

Restricted stock awards will become vested pursuant to the terms of the applicable restricted stock award agreement. All awards of restricted stock reflected in the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year-End table reflect a four-year vesting schedule (25% per year).

During 2010, the Executive Compensation Committee approved additional restricted stock grants to certain named executive officers to encourage sustained long-term growth. These awards are reflected above in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2010 table.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year-End

Option Awards

Stock Awards

 $[\]ensuremath{\text{(2)}}\ Fair\ value\ of\ the\ stock\ award\ computed\ in\ accordance\ with\ ASC\ Topic\ 718.$

Name	Number of Shares Underlying Exercisable Options (1)	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)
Joseph B. Bower, Jr.	6,250	9.00	12/11/11	403(3)	5,968
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6,250	13.30	12/10/12	807(4)	11,952
	6,250	17.54	12/9/13	600(5)	8,886
	8,000	16.04	12/14/14	2,000(6)	29,620
Charles R. Guarino				400(6)	5,924
Richard L. Sloppy	2,500	9.00	12/11/11	252(3)	3,732
	3,125	13.30	12/10/12	504(4)	7,464
	5,000	17.54	12/9/13	375(5)	5,554
	5,000	16.04	12/14/14	1,100(6)	16,291
Mark D. Prookay	5,000	9.00	12/11/11	252(3)	3,732
Mark D. Breakey	5,000	13.30	12/11/11	504(4)	3,732 7,464
	5,000	17.54	12/10/12	375(5)	5,554
	5,000	16.04	12/14/14	1,100(6)	16,291
	3,000	10.04	14/14/14	1,100(0)	10,271

Vincent C. Turiano

⁽¹⁾ All stock options granted to the named executive officers were fully exercisable at December 31, 2010.

⁽²⁾ The amount shown represents the number of stock awards that have not vested multiplied by the closing price of the Corporation s common stock on December 31, 2010, which was \$14.81.

⁽³⁾ Stock awards vest 25% on each anniversary of the grant date, which was February 13, 2007.

⁽⁴⁾ Stock awards vest 25% no each anniversary of the grant date, which was February 14, 2008.

⁽⁵⁾ Stock awards vest 25% on each anniversary of the grant date, which was November 10, 2009.

⁽⁶⁾ Stock awards vest 25% on each anniversary of the grant date, which was January 12, 2010.

The following table sets forth information concerning the vesting in 2010 of restricted stock awards granted to the named executive officers, as well as stock options exercised in 2010 by the named executive officers.

	Option	Option Awards		Awards
Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting
	(#)		(#)	
		(\$)		(\$)(1)
Joseph B. Bower, Jr.				
			1,410	21,474
Charles R. Guarino				
Richard L. Sloppy			881	13,417
Mark D. Breakey (2)	3,750	12,450	881	13,417
Vincent C. Turiano				

⁽¹⁾ Value realized calculated by multiplying the number of shares vesting by the closing price of the Corporation s common stock on the applicable vesting dates.

Pension Benefits

Name	Plan Name	Number of		
		Years	Present Value	
		Credited	of	
		Service	Accumulated Benefit (\$)	
Joseph B. Bower, Jr.	CNB Bank Employee s Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and Trust	13	508,943	
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (1)	13	262,219	
Charles R. Guarino	CNB Bank Employee s Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and Trust	6	120,229	
Richard L. Sloppy	CNB Bank Employee s Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and Trust	12	378,583	
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (1)	12	129,811	
Mark D. Breakey	CNB Bank Employee s Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and Trust	19	538,120	
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (1)	19	109,407	
Vincent C. Turiano	CNB Bank Employee s Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and Trust	1	15,273	

⁽¹⁾ The Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan is described below. This plan is offset by tax-free earnings from Bank owned life insurance.

Retirement Plan

The Corporation maintains a 401(k) Savings Plan and Non-Contributory Pension Plan (together, the CNB Bank Employee's Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and Trust and referred to herein as the Plan). The Plan permits eligible employees to make pre-tax contributions up to 70% of salary. Employees 21 years of age or over with a minimum of one year full time service are eligible for matching contributions by the Corporation at 100% of elective contributions not to exceed 3% of plan salary plus 50% of elective contributions that exceed 3% of plan salary but do not exceed 5% of plan salary. A Profit Sharing Non-Contributory Pension Plan is in place for employees 21 years of age or over with a minimum of one year full time service and allows employer contributions of 6% based on current year s compensation plus 5.7% of the

⁽²⁾ Share vesting represents dividends earned and reinvested from restricted stock awards.

compensation in excess of \$106,800, subject to a \$245,000 salary limit. The Corporation s total contributions to the Plan were approximately \$350,000 for the 401(k) Savings Plan and \$641,000 for the Profit Sharing Non-Contributory Pension Plan component.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The Bank has adopted a non-qualified supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) for certain executives of the Bank to compensate those executive participants in the Bank s Pension Plan and Savings Plan whose benefits are limited by Section 415 of the Code (which places a limitation on annual benefits at \$195,000 in

2010) or Section 401(a)(17) of the Code (which places a limitation on compensation at \$245,000). The SERP provides the designated executives with retirement benefits generally equal to the difference between the benefit that would be available under the Pension Plan and Savings Plan but for the limitations imposed by Code Sections 401(a)(17) and 415 and that which is actually funded as a result of the limitations.

Pre-retirement survivor benefits are provided for designated beneficiaries of participants who do not survive until retirement in an amount equal to the lump sum actuarial equivalent of the participant s accrued benefit under the SERP. Pre-retirement benefits are payable in 20 equal annual installments. The SERP is considered an unfunded plan for tax and ERISA purposes. All obligations arising under the SERP are payable from the general assets of the Bank.

The SERP plan also provides for termination and change of control benefits. These payments would be made per the agreements in a lump sum payment to the designated executive. Three executives currently have this benefit. If a termination or change of control would have occurred at December 31, 2010, the following amounts would have been paid: Mr. Bower - change of control \$1,721,154; Mr. Breakey - change of control \$689,696; and Mr. Sloppy - termination \$301,007, change of control \$337,990.

The following table depicts the change in value of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for the named executive officers occurring during 2010.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (1) (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (2) (\$)
Joseph B. Bower, Jr.			
Charles R. Guarino			
Richard L. Sloppy	27,600	(2,890)	69,159
Mark D. Breakey			
Vincent C. Turiano			

⁽¹⁾ The amount reported in executve contributions is not reported as 2010 compensation in the summary compensation table.

(2) The amount reported in the aggregate balance has not previously been reported as compensation in the summary compensation table.

Directors and Executives Deferred Compensation Plan

We have established the Directors and Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. Annually, outside directors can elect to defer up to 100% of their director compensation and executives can defer up to 10% of their base compensation and 100% of all bonuses. All deferred compensation will be a general liability of the Corporation and Bank, respectively. This is a phantom stock plan whereby any appreciation or depreciation in each participant s account value will reflect precisely CNB Financial Corporation common stock performance including cash dividends. Deferred compensation will serve as a funding source for a trust. Investments are expected to closely match the appreciated or depreciated liability. Distributions are received in lump sum or a ten year annuity upon normal retirement, death, or disability. Any variance will be adjusted by an expense or gain to the Corporation or Bank. In addition to the tax advantages to the participants, they are each additionally incented toward the general performance of the Corporation s common stock. Accounting treatment for this plan is subject to the ASC Topic 718.

Termination and Change in Control Benefits

As discussed under Employment Contracts and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, above, the Corporation provides additional benefits to certain named executive officers in the event of retirement or termination of employment in certain circumstances and in the event of a change in control. We have summarized and quantified the estimated payments under the agreements, assuming a termination event occurred on December 31, 2010, below.

Joseph B. Bower, Jr. Richard L. Sloppy Mark D. Breakey

Retirement or Disability			
Restricted Stock Vesting	56,426	33,041	33,041
Death			
Restricted Stock Vesting	56,426	33,041	33,041
Discharge Without Cause - Change in Control			
Lump Sum Cash Payment	929,093	425,579	440,059
Increased SumSERPCash Benefit		171,196	
Discharge Without Cause - Change in Control-Related			
Lump Sum Cash Payment	929,093	425,579	440,059
Increased SERP Benefit	1,458,935	208,179	580,289

Executive Compensation/Personnel Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The members of the Executive Compensation/Personnel Committee are Messrs. Ryan (Chairman), Merrey, Montler and Powell. No person who served as a member of the Committee during 2010 was a current or former officer or employee of the Corporation or any of its subsidiaries or, except as disclosed below, engaged in certain transactions with the Corporation required to be disclosed by regulations of the SEC. Additionally, there were no compensation committee interlocks during 2010, which generally means that no executive officer of the Corporation served as a director or member of the compensation committee of another entity, one of whose executive officers served as a director or member of the Executive Compensation/Personnel Committee of the Corporation.

From time to time, the Bank may make residential, consumer or commercial loans to its directors and executive officers and related persons. These loans are made in the ordinary course of business, are made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons not related to the Corporation or the Bank, and neither involve more than normal risk of collectability nor present other unfavorable features.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Members of the Corporation s Board of Directors who are not employees of the Corporation or a subsidiary of the Corporation are paid a monthly retainer fee of \$633 and also \$300 for attendance at each Board meeting and \$300 for attendance at each committee meeting. The Chairperson is also paid a \$650 monthly retainer fee. Members of the Bank s Board of Directors who are not employees of the Corporation or a subsidiary of the Corporation are paid \$550 for attendance at each Board meeting and \$300 for attendance at each committee meeting. Committee Chairpersons were paid \$400 for each meeting attended. The chairpersons of the Executive Compensation and Audit Committees are paid a quarterly retainer of \$500. All Bank directors are paid a \$633.33 monthly retainer.

Fees are reviewed annually by the Executive Compensation Committee for recommendation to the Board. The Committee reviews relevant peer group data similar to that used in the executive compensation review. The Committee also considers the board member s ownership of our common stock. The Committee believes that the amount of ownership by the Board is important and better aligns the interests of the Board with that of the shareholder base. The Committee believes that an appropriate compensation is critical to attracting, retaining and motivating directors who have the qualities necessary to direct this Corporation and who meet the guidelines referred to on page 7.

Non-Management Director Compensation Table for 2010

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	Total	
	(\$)	(\$) (1)	(\$) (2)	(\$) (3)	(\$)	
Dennis L. Merrey	59,800	9,672	(7,482)	1,188	63,178	
Robert E. Brown	39,300	9,672	(4,355)	2,286	46,903	
William F. Falger	29,883	9,672			39,555	
Michael F. Lezzer	31,583			270	31,853	
Robert W. Montler	34,700	9,672		774	45,146	
William C. Polacek	8,517			180	8,697	
Deborah Dick Pontzer	33,600	9,672		414	43,686	
Jeffrey S. Powell	39,100	9,672	(7,269)	270	41,773	
Charles H. Reams	31,400	9,672		2,286	43,358	
James B. Ryan	37,600	9,672		1,188	48,460	
Peter F. Smith	35,650	9,672		774	46,096	

⁽¹⁾ Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of shares restricted stock awarded under the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan valued at the dollar amount recognized for financial reporting purposes in accordance with the ASC Topic 718.

⁽²⁾ Does not include director contributions to the Directors and Executives Deferred Compensation Plan.

(3) The aggregate amount of such compensation did not exceed \$10,000 for any non-employee director for the year shown.

Code of Ethics

The Board of Directors of the Corporation has approved a Code of Ethics for Officers and Directors. The Code of Ethics can be found at the Bank s website, www.bankcnb.com, under Who We Are - Investor Relations heading. Click on Corporate Governance then go to Code of Conduct .

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding options and shares reserved for future issuance under the Corporation s equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2010.

	Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding	0	verage exercise tanding awards	Number of shares remaining available for future issuance under
Plan Category	or outstanding awards	price of outs	(\$)	equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by			, ,	•
security holders	146,125	\$	14.45	469,741
Equity compensation plans not approved				
by security holders	None		None	None
Total	146,125	\$	14.45	469,741
	CEDEA IN ED AN	CACTIONG		

CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS

Directors and officers of the Corporation and certain business organizations and individuals associated with them have been customers of and have had normal banking transactions with CNB Bank. All such transactions have been in the ordinary course of business, on terms substantially equivalent, including interest rates and collateral, to those which prevailed at the time in comparable transactions with persons not related to the Corporation or the Bank and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

Policies and Procedures Regarding Transactions with Related Persons

Pursuant to the Corporation s Code of Conduct, directors, officers, agents, attorneys and immediate family members of such persons and business entities in which such persons have, directly or indirectly, a majority or controlling interest should at all times be free of any conflicting interests when acting on behalf of the Corporation or any of its affiliates.

The Code of Conduct specifies that loan transactions involving such persons will be governed by regulatory rules and regulations restricting rates and terms, the Corporation s general lending policies and the Corporation s insider loans policy and such loans must be approved by an authorized lending officer other than the person in question.

The Code of Conduct further requires that all potential conflict of interest situations be reported. Any person who wishes to obtain approval of a particular action, or waiver of any requirements of the Code of Conduct in any particular situation, must apply for approval or waiver by disclosing all relevant facts and circumstances to the approving authority. For directors, the Corporation s Board of Directors may grant approval or waivers. For officers, the executive officer responsible for the department to which the officer is assigned may grant approval or waivers and for all others, the Corporation s Chairman or President may grant such approval or waivers. Persons subject to the Code of Conduct and wishing to engage in a purchase, lease or sale of any assets or services from or to the Corporation or any of its affiliates, other than in the ordinary course of business, must disclose the facts to the Corporation s Board of Directors, which shall then determine whether to approve such transaction.

STOCK OWNERSHIP

Stock Owned by Management and Directors

The following table presents information known to us regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 7, 2011 by each of our directors and named executive officers and by all of our directors, named executive officers and other executive officers as a group. All information as to beneficial ownership has been provided to us by the directors, named executive officers and other executive officers, and unless otherwise indicated, each of the directors, named executive officers and other executive officers has sole voting and investment power over all of the shares they beneficially own.

Name Dennis I Marroy	Shares Beneficially Owned (1)	Acquirable Within 60 Days (1)	Shares Percentage of Shares Outstanding (5) *
Dennis L. Merrey	61,619(2)	3,750	
Joseph B. Bower, Jr.	16,482(2)(3) 133,318(4)	26,750	* 1.1%
Robert E. Brown	48,758	5,000	*
William F. Falger	30,442(2)(3)	50,000	*
Robert W. Montler	41,464		*
Deborah Dick Pontzer	5,931	1,250	*
Jeffrey S. Powell	266,995(2)	3,750	2.2%
Charles H. Reams	3,416		*
James B. Ryan	54,910	2,500	*
Richard B. Seager	1,092		*
Peter F. Smith	45,765(2)	5,000	*
Mark D. Breakey	11,586	20,000	*
Charles R. Guarino	1,881		*
Richard L. Sloppy	22,214	15,625	*
Vincent C. Turiano	8,000		*
Directors and Executive Officers as Group (16 persons)	754,893	133,625	7.2%

- (1) Information furnished by directors and executive officers.
- (2) Includes joint ownership with relatives as to which the director or officer has joint voting or investment powers.
- (3) Includes shares through a trust or pension plan agreement.
- (4) Includes shared voting rights for shares indirectly held in trust for the CNB Bank Employee Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and Trust.
- (5) * represents less than 1 %.

Stock Owned by 5% Shareholders

The following table presents information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of February 14, 2011 by each person who is known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding common stock.

Name and Address

	Amount and Nature of	Percentage of
of Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership	Shares Outstanding
Wellington Management	725,359(1)	5.93%

Company, LLP
280 Congress Street
Boston, MA 02110
Endicott Opportunity Partners
III, LP and related persons
360 Madison Avenue,
21st Floor,

New York, NY 10017 759,581(2) 6.21%

- (1) According to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2011, by Wellington Management Company, LLP, Wellington Management Company, LLP, in its capacity as investment adviser, reported that it has shared voting power and shared dispositive power of 725,359 shares which are held of record by its clients.
- (2) According to a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2011, by Endicott Opportunity Partners III, L.P. (EOP), W.R. Endicott III, L.L.C. (WR LLC), Wayne K. Goldstein (Mr. Goldstein), a managing member of WR LLC, and Robert I. Usdan (Mr. Usdan), a managing member of WR LLC, (i) EOP and WR LLC each reported that they had sole voting and sole dispositive power of 759,581 shares and (ii) Mr. Goldstein and Mr. Usdan each reported that they had shared voting power and shared dispositive power of 759,581 shares.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Based upon a review of filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission or written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that all of our Directors and Executive Officers and persons, if any, who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock complied during 2010 with the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

CONCERNING THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Corporation s independent auditor for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 was Crowe Horwath LLP. The Audit Committee has selected Crowe Horwath LLP to be the independent auditor for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. Representatives of Crowe Horwath LLP are expected to be present at the annual meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make such statements as they may desire, including comments on the financial statements of the Corporation.

Audit Fees. The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed to CNB Financial Corporation for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 by the Corporation s independent registered public accounting firm Crowe Horwath LLP.

	Decemb	December 31,	
	2010	2009	
Audit Fees	\$ 212,600	\$ 205,325	
Audit-Related Fees	39,450	33,058	
Tax Fees			
All Other Fees			
	\$ 252,050	\$ 238,383	

Audit fees represent fees for professional services rendered by Crowe Horwath LLP in connection with the audit of the Corporation s consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and reviews of the consolidated financial statements included in the Corporation s quarterly reports on Form 10-Q. Audit-related fees in 2010 represent fees for professional services related to providing the required consent and comfort letters in connection with a securities offering by the Corporation. Audit-related fees in 2009 represent fees for professional services relating to technical accounting advice and assistance received in connection with the Corporation s responses to comment letters received from the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Auditor Independence. The Audit Committee of the Board believes that the non-audit services provided by Crowe Horwath LLP are compatible with maintaining the auditor s independence. None of the time devoted by Crowe Horwath LLP on its engagement to audit the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 is attributable to work performed by persons other than full-time, permanent employees of Crowe Horwath LLP. The Audit Committee is responsible for approving any service provided by Crowe Horwath LLP.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2012 ANNUAL MEETING

The Board of Directors will consider shareholder proposals for the year 2012 annual meeting of shareholders. Any shareholder wishing to make a proposal to be considered for inclusion in the Proxy Statement for that meeting should forward a written copy of such proposal to Joseph B. Bower, Jr., President, CNB Financial Corporation, P.O. Box 42, Clearfield, PA 16830 by certified mail, return receipt requested, no later than November 17, 2011. Any shareholder who intends to propose any other matter to be acted upon at the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders (but not include such proposal in the Proxy Statement) must inform the Corporation no later than February 2, 2012.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Richard L. Greslick, Jr. Secretary

Clearfield, Pennsylvania

March 18, 2011

Z PLEASE MARK VOTES X REVOCABLE PROXY AS IN THIS **EXAMPLE** With-For All hold For Except PROXY FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS APRIL 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS: .. 19, 2011 To elect the Board s nominees named THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF below to serve as Class 1 Directors DIRECTORS until the Annual Meeting in the year 2014. William F. Falger Jeffrey S. Powell James B. Ryan Peter F. Smith Withhold For The undersigned hereby appoint Mr. L. Albert Hubler and Mr. J. To elect the Board s nominees named Carl Ogden and each of them the undersigned s true and lawful below to serve as Class For 3 Director attorneys and proxies (with full power of substitution in each) to until the Annual Meeting in the year 2012. vote all stock of CNB Financial Corporation held of record in the undersigned s name(s) on March 7, 2011 at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held at the office of CNB Richard B. Seager INSTRUCTION: To withhold authority to vote for any individual Financial Corporation/CNB Bank, One South Second Street, nominee, mark For All Except and write that nominee s name in Clearfield, PA 16830 on April 19, 2011 or at any adjournment the space provided below. thereof. Against For Abstain 2. Ratification of appointment of .. independent auditors, Crowe Horwath, LLP for the year ending December 31, 2011. Against For Abstain Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation. Two Three One Abstain Years Years Year Advisory Vote on the Please be sure to date and sign Date Frequency of the Vote on

Sign above

this proxy card in the box below.

X

Executive Compensation.

5. Transact such other business as may properly come before said meeting. IF ANY OTHER BUSINESS IS PRESENTED AT SAID MEETING, THE NAMED PROXIES ARE AUTHORIZED TO

VOTE THEREON AT THEIR DISCRETION.

Detach above card, sign, date and mail in postage paid envelope provided.

j

CNB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

y

Ġ

PLEASE ACT PROMPTLY

PLEASE COMPLETE, DATE, SIGN, AND MAIL THIS PROXY CARD PROMPTLY

IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE.

In the absence of contrary directions, this proxy will be voted FOR all of the named nominees, FOR ratification of the appointment of auditors, FOR the advisory vote on executive compensation and for 3 YEARS on the advisory vote on the frequency of the vote on executive compensation.

Please sign exactly as printed hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee, guardian, etc., give full title as such. If stock is held jointly, each joint owner should sign.

IF YOUR ADDRESS HAS CHANGED, PLEASE CORRECT THE ADDRESS IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW AND RETURN THIS PORTION WITH THE PROXY IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED.