

BIOMET INC
Form 10-K
August 25, 2010
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended May 31, 2010.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 001-15601

BIOMET, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: BIOMET INC - Form 10-K

Indiana
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

35-1418342
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

56 East Bell Drive, Warsaw, Indiana
(Address of principal executive offices)

46582
(Zip Code)

(574) 267-6639
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of May 31, 2010, there was no established public trading market for any of the common stock of the registrant. As of May 31, 2010, there were 1,000 shares of common stock of the registrant outstanding, 100% of which were owned by LVB Acquisition, Inc.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Part I.</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 5 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 26 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 43 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 44 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 45 |
| Item 4. <u>Reserved</u> | 46 |
| <u>Part II.</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 47 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 48 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 49 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 74 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 76 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 123 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 123 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 124 |
| <u>Part III.</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 125 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 130 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 151 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 153 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 154 |
| <u>Part IV.</u> | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 155 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 156 |

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. federal securities laws. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about our beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements generally preceded by, followed by or that include the words believe, could, expect, forecast, intend, may, anticipate, plan, predict, project, potential, estimate, should, will or similar expressions. These statements include, but are not limited to, statements related to:

the timing and number of planned new product introductions;

the effect of anticipated changes in the size, health and activities of the population or on the demand for our products;

assumptions and estimates regarding the size and growth of certain market categories;

our ability and intent to expand in key international markets;

the timing and anticipated outcome of clinical studies;

assumptions concerning anticipated product developments and emerging technologies;

the future availability of raw materials;

the anticipated adequacy of our capital resources to meet the needs of our business;

our continued investment in new products and technologies;

the ultimate marketability of products currently being developed;

our ability to successfully implement new technologies and transition certain manufacturing operations to China;

our ability to manage working capital and generate adequate cash flows to service outstanding debt;

our ability to sustain sales and earnings growth;

our success in achieving timely approval or clearance of our products with domestic and foreign regulatory entities;

Edgar Filing: BIOMET INC - Form 10-K

our success in implementing our value creation and operational improvement programs;

the stability of certain foreign economic markets;

the impact of anticipated changes in the musculoskeletal industry and our ability to react to and capitalize on those changes;

our ability to successfully implement desired organizational changes;

the impact of our managerial changes; and

our ability to take advantage of technological advancements.

Forward-looking statements reflect our current expectations and are not guarantees of performance. These statements are based on our management's beliefs and assumptions, which in turn are based on currently available information. Important assumptions relating to these forward-looking statements include, among others, assumptions regarding demand for our products, expected pricing levels, raw material costs, the timing and cost of planned capital expenditures, future regulatory reforms affecting the healthcare industry, expected outcomes of pending litigation and regulatory matters, the solvency of our insurers and the ultimate resolution of allocation and coverage issues with those insurers, competitive conditions and general economic conditions. Readers of this annual report are cautioned that reliance on any forward-looking statement involves risks and uncertainties. Although we believe that the assumptions on which the forward-looking statements contained herein are based

Table of Contents

are reasonable, any of those assumptions could prove to be inaccurate given the inherent uncertainties as to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of future events. There can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements contained in this annual report will prove to be accurate. The inclusion of a forward-looking statement in this annual report should not be regarded as a representation by us that our objectives will be achieved. Forward-looking statements also involve risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Many of these factors are beyond our ability to control or predict and could, among other things, cause actual results to differ from those contained in forward-looking statements made in this annual report and presented elsewhere by management from time to time. Such factors, among others, may have a material adverse effect upon our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and may include, but are not limited to, factors discussed under the heading "Risk Factors" and the following:

changes in general economic conditions and interest rates;

changes in the availability of capital and financing sources;

changes in competitive conditions and prices in our markets;

changes to the regulatory environment for our products, including national health care reform;

the effects of incurring a substantial amount of indebtedness under our senior secured credit facilities, our senior notes, senior toggle notes and senior subordinated notes;

the effects upon us of complying with the covenants contained in our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing our senior notes, senior toggle notes and senior subordinated notes;

restrictions that the terms and conditions of our senior secured credit facilities may place on our ability to respond to changes in our business or take certain actions;

changes in the relationship between supply of and demand for our products;

fluctuations in costs of raw materials and labor;

changes in other significant operating expenses;

decreases in sales of our principal product lines;

slow downs or inefficiencies in our product research and development efforts;

increases in expenditures related to increased government regulation of our business;

Edgar Filing: BIOMET INC - Form 10-K

developments adversely affecting our sales activities outside the United States;

decreases in reimbursement levels by our customers, including certain of our foreign government customers that are experiencing fiscal distress;

difficulties in transitioning certain manufacturing operations to China and other locations;

challenges in effectively implementing restructuring and cost saving initiatives;

increases in cost-containment efforts by group purchasing organizations;

loss of our key management and other personnel or inability to attract such management and other personnel;

increases in costs of retaining existing independent sales agents of our products;

unanticipated expenditures related to litigation, including investigations by the U.S. Department of Justice; and

failure to comply with the terms of the Corporate Integrity Agreement.

We caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements that speak only as of the date they were made. We do not undertake any obligation to publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this annual report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. We intend to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 regarding our forward-looking statements, and are including this sentence for the express purpose of enabling us to use the protections of the safe harbor with respect to all forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents**Part I.****Item 1. Business.
General**

Biomet, Inc., an Indiana corporation incorporated in 1977, is one of the largest orthopedic medical device companies in the United States and worldwide with operations in more than 50 locations throughout the world and distribution in approximately 90 countries. Our principal subsidiaries include Biomet Orthopedics, LLC; Biomet Manufacturing Corp.; Biomet Europe BV; EBI, LLC; Biomet 3i, LLC; Biomet International Ltd.; Biomet Microfixation, LLC; Biomet Sports Medicine, LLC; and Biomet Biologics, LLC. Unless the context requires otherwise, the term Biomet, Company, we, our, or us refers to Biomet, Inc. and all of its subsidiaries. We design, manufacture and market a comprehensive range of both surgical and non-surgical products used primarily by orthopedic surgeons and other musculoskeletal medical specialists. For over 30 years, we have applied advanced engineering and manufacturing technology to the development of highly durable joint replacement systems.

Transactions with the Sponsor Group

On December 18, 2006, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with LVB Acquisition, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which was subsequently converted to a corporation, LVB Acquisition, Inc. (Parent), and LVB Acquisition Merger Sub, Inc., an Indiana corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parent, (Purchaser), which agreement was amended and restated as of June 7, 2007 and which we refer to as the Merger Agreement . Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, on June 13, 2007, Purchaser commenced a cash tender offer (the Offer) to purchase all of our outstanding common shares, without par value (the Shares) at a price of \$46.00 per Share (the Offer Price) without interest and less any required withholding taxes. The Offer was made pursuant to Purchaser's offer to purchase dated June 13, 2007 and the related letter of transmittal, each of which was filed with the SEC on June 13, 2007. In connection with the Offer, Purchaser entered into a credit agreement dated as of July 11, 2007 for a \$6,165.0 million senior secured term loan facility, (the Tender Facility), maturing on June 6, 2008, and pursuant to which it borrowed approximately \$4,181.0 million to finance a portion of the Offer and pay related fees and expenses. The Offer expired at midnight, New York City time, on July 11, 2007, with approximately 82% of the outstanding Shares having been tendered to Purchaser. At our special meeting of shareholders held on September 5, 2007, more than 91% of our shareholders voted to approve the proposed merger, and Parent acquired us on September 25, 2007 through a reverse subsidiary merger with Biomet, Inc. being the surviving company (the Merger). Subsequent to the acquisition, we became a subsidiary of Parent, which is controlled by LVB Acquisition Holding, LLC, or Holding, an entity controlled by a consortium of private equity funds affiliated with The Blackstone Group, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., and TPG Capital (each a Sponsor and collectively, the Sponsors), and their co-investors.

The Merger was completed on September 25, 2007 and was financed through:

the proceeds from the initial offering of our 10% Senior Notes due 2017, which we refer to as our original senior cash pay notes, our 10³/8%/11¹/8% Senior Toggle Notes due 2017, which we refer to as our original senior toggle notes, and our 11¹/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2017, which we refer to as our original senior subordinated notes and collectively with our original senior cash pay notes and original senior toggle notes, our original notes ;

initial borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities and our senior unsecured bridge facilities;

equity investments funded by direct and indirect equity investments from certain investment funds associated with or designated by the Sponsors, or the Sponsor Funds, certain investors who have agreed to co-invest with the Sponsor Funds, including investment funds affiliated with certain of the initial purchasers of the original notes, or the Co-Investors, and certain of our executive officers and members of our senior management, or the Management Participants, who rolled over existing equity interests and/or made cash equity contributions; and

cash on hand.

Table of Contents

On October 16, 2007, the borrowings under our senior unsecured cash pay bridge facility, our senior unsecured payment-in-kind (PIK) option bridge facility and our senior subordinated unsecured bridge facility were repaid with the proceeds from the follow-on offering of the equal amounts of the additional original senior cash pay notes, original senior toggle notes and original senior subordinated notes, respectively.

We refer to these transactions, including the Merger and our payment of any fees and expenses related to these transactions, collectively as the Transactions.

In connection with the Transactions, we incurred significant indebtedness and became highly leveraged. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources. In addition, we allocated the purchase price to the fair value of the assets and liabilities of Biomet based on estimated fair value. The purchase accounting adjustments increased the carrying value of our property and equipment, inventory and established intangible assets (such as corporate and product names, core and completed technology, and customer relationships), among other things. Subsequent to the Transactions, interest expense and non-cash depreciation and amortization charges have significantly increased. As a result, our successor financial statements subsequent to the Transactions are not comparable to our predecessor financial statements.

Exchange Offer

On May 21, 2008, we commenced an exchange offer for all of our outstanding original notes for an equal principal amount of our 10% Senior Notes due 2017, which we refer to as our exchange senior cash pay notes, our 10 3/8%/11 1/8% Senior Toggle Notes due 2017, which we refer to as our exchange senior toggle notes, and our 11 1/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2017, which we refer to as our exchange senior subordinated notes, which notes were registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and which we refer to collectively as our exchange notes. On July 1, 2008, we announced the completion of the exchange offer, pursuant to which \$775,000,000 of the \$775,000,000 aggregate principal amount of original senior cash pay notes, \$774,999,500 of the \$775,000,000 aggregate principal amount of original senior toggle notes and \$1,014,999,500 of the \$1,015,000,000 aggregate principal amount of our original senior subordinated notes were tendered and accepted for exchange. We refer to the original senior cash pay notes and the exchange senior cash pay notes as the senior cash pay notes, the original senior toggle notes and the exchange senior toggle notes as the senior toggle notes, the original senior subordinated notes and the exchange senior subordinated notes as the senior subordinated notes and the original notes and the exchange notes collectively as the notes. We also refer to the senior cash pay notes and the senior toggle notes as the senior notes.

Competitive Strengths

We believe we have a number of competitive strengths that will enable us to further enhance our position in the orthopedic medical device market.

Broad Market Leadership. We are the fourth largest player in the U.S. orthopedic reconstructive market and have maintained this position for over a decade. We have a large presence at U.S. hospitals, supplying products to over 60% of hospitals performing joint replacement surgery. In addition, we are the third largest manufacturer and marketer of dental reconstructive devices worldwide and maintain market leadership positions in the electrical stimulation and craniomaxillofacial fields.

Strong Relationships with Surgeon Customers. Based on their satisfaction with our products, we enjoy long-standing relationships with our surgeon customers, many of which commence during the surgeons' residency training programs. Our support of medical education programs provides important training opportunities for orthopedic surgeons early in their careers. Supporting hands-on training provides opportunities for residents, fellows and attending surgeons to experience the clinical benefits of our products. Surgeons have historically exhibited limited willingness to switch manufacturers, as successful patient outcomes are related to the practitioners' familiarity with the procedural characteristics and instrumentation of certain implants.

Table of Contents

Consistently Strong Operating Cash Flow Generation. Our business is characterized by consistently strong operating cash flows due to our robust operating history and moderate capital intensity. We have continually increased revenues and profitability, with fiscal 2010 representing our 32nd consecutive year of year over year net sales growth. Over the last 20 years, from fiscal 1990 through fiscal 2010, we increased net sales at a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 15%. We have sustained growth through multiple macro-economic cycles, demonstrating a stable business profile. In addition, we have historically had modest capital expenditures and working capital requirements, providing for strong operating cash flow conversion.

Experienced and Dedicated Management Team. We have a highly experienced management team at both the corporate and operational level. Our team is led by Jeffrey R. Binder, an 18-year veteran of the orthopedic medical device industry, who was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer in February 2007. Daniel P. Florin was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in June 2007 and brings 19 years of financial officer/controller experience in the medical device industry and five years of public accounting and auditing experience to Biomet. Glen A. Kashuba was appointed Senior Vice President and President of Biomet Trauma and Biomet Spine, or BTBS, in April 2007, having previously served as Worldwide President of Cordis Endovascular, a division of Johnson & Johnson. Gregory W. Sasso, who has been with Biomet for 25 years, was appointed Senior Vice President and President of Biomet Strategic Business Unit (SBU) Operations in June 2007. In February 2008, Jon C. Serbousek was appointed President of Biomet Orthopedics, having spent 8 years with Medtronic and 13 years with DePuy, for a total of 23 years in the medical device industry. Even though each of Messrs. Binder, Florin, Kashuba and Serbousek has been with us for less than four years, the members of our senior management team have an average tenure of 15 years with us and an average tenure of 20 years in the medical device industry. During fiscal 2008, certain members of our management team made a contribution of new equity through cash equity contributions and/or rollover of existing equity interests in the Transactions.

Premier Equity Sponsorship. The Blackstone Group, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. and TPG Capital are among the most well-known and respected financial sponsors in the world. The Sponsors have made investments in over 950 companies. The Sponsors and the Co-Investors contributed approximately \$5,387.5 million of equity in connection with the Transactions, representing 46% of the total funding for the Transactions, as part of one of the largest private equity investments in history. The Sponsors have considerable experience in the healthcare sector with investments in companies such as Accellent Inc., HCA Inc., IASIS Healthcare Corporation, Quintiles Transnational Corp., DJO Inc. (formerly ReAble Therapeutics, Inc.) and Vanguard Health Systems, Inc., among others.

Economic Uncertainties

Our results of operations could be substantially affected not only by global economic conditions, but also by local operating and economic conditions, which can vary substantially by market. Unfavorable conditions can depress sales in a given market and may result in actions that adversely affect our margins, constrain our operating flexibility or result in charges which are unusual or non-recurring. Certain macroeconomic events, such as the current adverse conditions in the global economy, could have a more wide-ranging and prolonged impact on the general business environment, which could also adversely affect us.

We believe the global uncertainty or recessionary environment has impacted the year over year market growth rates of the orthopedic reconstructive device industry from the historical rates in the high single digits to current market growth rates in the mid single digits. Because of this, management has taken, and will continue to take, precautionary measures to be able to manage expenses more conservatively, especially if our revenues were to decrease below those internally forecasted.

Unfavorable conditions in the economy have had an adverse effect on our dental reconstructive business during fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010 as compared to prior fiscal years principally due to the elective nature of dental implant procedures, which are typically not reimbursed by private insurance plans or governmental

Table of Contents

agencies. While we have already undertaken and continue to undertake certain operating initiatives in connection with this business, we anticipate that the growth rate of our worldwide dental business could remain flat during the current global economic environment, compared to reported double digit growth in fiscal 2008. Dental sales decreased 2% worldwide and in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010; however, we believe the dental market has begun to stabilize and showed signs of improvement during the last half of fiscal 2010.

Regulatory and Other Uncertainties

In the United States, healthcare providers that purchase our products (*e.g.*, hospitals, physicians, dentists and other health care providers) generally rely on payments from third-party payors (principally federal Medicare, state Medicaid and private health insurance plans) to cover all or a portion of the cost of our musculoskeletal products. In March 2010, comprehensive health care reform legislation was enacted through the passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act (H.R. 3590) and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (H.R. 4872). Among other initiatives, these laws impose a 2.3% excise tax on domestic sales of medical devices following December 31, 2012, which is estimated to contribute approximately \$27 billion to healthcare reform. Various healthcare reform proposals have also emerged at the state level. Outside of the excise tax, which will impact our results of operations and cash flows following December 31, 2012, we cannot predict with certainty what healthcare initiatives, if any, will be implemented at the state level, or what the ultimate effect of federal health care reform or any future legislation or regulation will have on us. However, an expansion in government's role in the U.S. healthcare industry may lower reimbursements for our products, reduce medical procedure volumes and adversely affect our business, results of operations and cash flows, possibly materially.

Outside of the United States, reimbursement systems vary significantly from country to country. If adequate levels of reimbursement from third-party payors outside of the United States are not obtained, international sales of our products may decline. Many foreign markets, including Canada, and some European and Asian countries, have tightened reimbursement rates. Our ability to continue to sell certain products profitably in these markets may diminish if the government-managed healthcare systems continue to reduce reimbursement rates, which can decrease pricing and procedural volume.

We continue to monitor economic conditions, including the volatility associated with international sovereign economies, and associated impacts on the financial markets and our business, especially in light of the global economic downturn and the European sovereign debt crisis. We believe the credit and economic conditions within Greece, Spain, Italy and Portugal, among other members of the European Union, have deteriorated over the past twelve months. These conditions have resulted in, and may continue to result in, an increase in the average length of time that it takes to collect on our accounts receivable outstanding in these countries.

As of May 31, 2010, our orthopedic net accounts receivable in Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal totaled over \$100.0 million. To date, we have not experienced any significant cash losses with respect to the collection of our accounts receivable related to sales within these countries. However, during fiscal 2010 we did recognize \$9.3 million of expense to adjust our public accounts receivable in Greece to its expected net realizable value based upon the recent proposal by the Greek government to settle certain past due healthcare liabilities with long-term zero coupon bonds. We classified \$38.9 million of our Greece receivables as a long-term asset based on the Greek government proposal.

We have expanded the factoring of our accounts receivable in Spain, Italy and Portugal. Control and risk of those trade receivables are fully transferred and accounted for as a sale. We factored approximately \$39.7 million of receivables under these factoring arrangements during fiscal 2010, which serve to reduce our collection risk in these countries.

Table of Contents

Business Strategy

We intend to enhance our position as a leading orthopedic medical device company by pursuing the following strategic initiatives:

Continue to Develop and Launch New Products and Technologies. In May 2009, we launched our New Product Introduction, or NPI, process worldwide. The NPI process is a global portfolio and project management approach that helps bring visibility and control to all commercial aspects of new product development projects. The process breaks the project down into six stages of work and further divides these stages by formal review gates. We have a single database of all of our development projects that is easily filtered and sorted to generate customized project roadmaps that serve as communication tools providing visibility to all functional teams. The database is designed to prioritize and focus the portfolio and also ensure that the workload is properly resourced and managed across the business. Projects are assessed against pre-determined gate criteria. Functional teams, along with the global portfolio review teams, select and prioritize projects that are expected to help deliver the growth target, meet strategic drivers, can be adequately resourced, provide a balanced portfolio, and meet specific hurdle rates.

Enhance Surgeon Customer Relationships through Product Performance and Innovation. We intend to continue to meet the needs of our surgeon customers and hospital customers by providing clinically superior and innovative products that offer a cost-effective means of treating patients. Our success has been built on responsiveness to the needs of the health care community, the clinical performance of our products and our ongoing commitment to continued product innovation.

Expand Our Global Reach. We intend to continue to increase the geographic presence of each of our business categories. We believe there are considerable opportunities for global expansion as healthcare spending increases in international markets the United States accounted for approximately 57% of the global orthopedic market in 2009, but only approximately 5% of the world's population. We particularly plan to focus on deepening our position in under-penetrated regions where we believe there are attractive opportunities for growth, including Asia and Latin America, by deploying more resources to capture market opportunities, as well as by leveraging our established worldwide manufacturing facilities and salesforce. We believe we can successfully grow our presence in these regions by differentiating ourselves as a provider with a comprehensive portfolio of leading musculoskeletal products.

Focus on Operational Efficiency. We believe we have identified significant opportunities to streamline operations. We believe that the historically decentralized nature of our management and decision-making structure creates opportunities to improve operational efficiency as we centralize operations and increase focus, coordination and accountability throughout the organization. Plans include manufacturing footprint optimization, implementation of Six Sigma and Lean Manufacturing, procurement and offshoring initiatives, as well as reduction in overhead expenses. These changes were initiated during fiscal 2008 and will continue through 2011 and beyond, and we believe these changes will enable us to maximize asset utilization, optimize working capital and increase cash flow, as well as accelerate product development and enhance customer service.

Maximize Operating Cash Flow. We are focused on maximizing our operating cash flow. Over the last 20 years, we have generated significant operating cash flow due to our business growth, strong operating margins and modest capital expenditure and other cash requirements. These business fundamentals will be supplemented by recently implemented initiatives expected to improve working capital, which historically had not been a primary focus area of management. In addition, we believe we will benefit from identified cost savings as we enhance operational efficiencies. We plan to use available cash after capital expenditures primarily to reduce leverage, strengthen our balance sheet and for strategic acquisitions.

Table of Contents

Products

We operate in one business segment, musculoskeletal products, which includes the design, manufacture and marketing of products in four major categories: Reconstructive Products, Fixation Devices, Spinal Products and Other Products. We have three reportable geographic markets: United States, Europe and International.

The following charts set forth our net sales by product category and geographic markets for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2010. For certain financial information concerning our product categories and geographic markets, see Note 12 to our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein.

Reconstructive Products

Orthopedic reconstructive implants are used to replace joints that have deteriorated as a result of disease (principally osteoarthritis) or injury. Reconstructive joint surgery involves the modification of the area surrounding the affected joint and the implantation of one or more manufactured components, and may involve the use of bone cement. Our primary orthopedic reconstructive joints are knees, hips and shoulders, but we produce other joints as well. We also produce the associated instruments required by orthopedic surgeons to implant our reconstructive products, as well as bone cements and cement delivery systems. In addition, dental reconstructive devices and associated instrumentation are used for oral rehabilitation through the replacement of teeth and repair of hard and soft tissues.

Knee Systems. A total knee replacement typically includes a femoral component, a patellar component, a tibial component and an articulating surface. Total knee replacement may occur as an initial joint replacement procedure or as a revision procedure, which may be required to replace, repair or enhance the initial implant. Partial, or unicompartmental, knee replacement is an option when only a portion of the knee requires replacement.

Our newest and most comprehensive total knee system, the Vanguard[®] Complete Knee System, accommodates up to 145 degrees of flexion and offers full interchangeability of the system's components to provide for a precise fit for each patient. The Vanguard[®] Complete Knee System is supported by five instrumentation platforms: Microplasty[®], Premier, Microplasty[®] Elite, Vanguard[®] Tensor and Vanguard[®] Anterior Referencing, accommodating a number of workflows and techniques. During fiscal 2010, we continued the development efforts for the rotating platform version of the Vanguard[®] Complete Knee System.

Also during fiscal 2010, the Signature System, initially designed for use in primary knee procedures, was under development for use in unicompartmental knee applications. The Signature System uses a patient's MRI or CT data to deliver patient-specific positioning guides to the surgeon for improved pre-operative planning and for implementation during the procedure. The Signature System was developed through a partnership with Materialise, a world leader in custom guides for the dental industry, and we believe this technology may be expanded to other orthopedic applications.

The Regenerex[®] Primary Patella was introduced during fiscal 2010. When utilized with our clinically successful Regenerex Tibial Tray, the Regenerex[®] Primary Patella complements our broad cementless product portfolio. This portfolio combines advanced Regenerex[®] porous metal technology, which allows for biologic fixation, with proven tibial tray and patella designs. Additionally, the E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial Bearings continued to receive strong market demand. The E1 technology provides Vitamin E infused highly crosslinked polyethylene, which is designed to offer strength and oxidative stability for improved wear characteristics.

Table of Contents

We continue to be a market leader for products accommodating minimally-invasive knee techniques. The Oxford® Partial Knee, which was introduced in the United States during fiscal 2005, is currently the only free-floating meniscal bearing unicompartmental knee system approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, for use in the United States. Our offering of minimally-invasive partial knee systems also includes the Alpina Unicompartmental Knee (which is not currently available in the United States); the Vanguard M Series Unicompartmental Knee System, a modified version of the Oxford® Partial Knee that incorporates a fixed-bearing tibial component as opposed to a free-floating tibial bearing; and the Repicci II® Knee System that is distributed by our sports medicine subsidiary.

Hip Systems. A total hip replacement involves the replacement of the head and neck of the femur and the acetabulum and may occur as an initial joint replacement procedure, or as a revision procedure, which may be required to replace, repair or enhance the initial implant. A femoral hip prosthesis consists of a femoral head and stem, which can be cast, forged or wrought, depending on the design and material used. Many of the femoral prostheses utilize our proprietary PPS® Porous Plasma Spray coating, which enables cementless fixation.

Acetabular components include a prosthetic replacement of the socket portion, or acetabulum, of the pelvic bone. Because of variations in human anatomy and differing design preferences among surgeons, we manufacture femoral and acetabular prostheses in a variety of sizes and configurations. We offer a broad array of total hip systems, most of which utilize titanium or cobalt chromium alloy femoral components and our patented ArCom®, ArComXL® or E1 polyethylene-lined, metal-on-metal or ceramic-on-ceramic acetabular components.

From our broad product platform of hip stem offerings, the Taperloc® Hip System has become our best-selling component. The Taperloc® Stem is marketed for non-cemented use in patients undergoing primary or revision hip replacement surgery as a result of noninflammatory degenerative joint disease. The Taperloc® femoral component is a collarless, flat, wedge-shaped device that is relatively simple to implant and is particularly well-suited for minimally-invasive procedures. We also offer the Taperloc® Microplasty® Stem that addresses the demand for a minimally-invasive, bone-conserving total hip implant. The shorter length of the Microplasty® Stem, compared to a traditional hip stem, allows for preservation of distal bone, while maintaining proximal femoral bone fixation.

Our comprehensive Microplasty® Minimally Invasive Hip Program includes proprietary products from our broad array of hip implants, as well as a distinctive training program and uniquely-designed instruments for a minimally-invasive approach. Our minimally-invasive hip development efforts have been focused on various surgical approaches, including an anterior supine intermuscular surgical approach.

During the second half of fiscal 2009, we launched the Echo® Bi-Metric® stem which is a cementless press-fit stem for primary total hip procedures. The Echo® Bi-Metric® stem utilizes proven features of the Integral® and Bi-Metric® stems, while integrating new design features to further enhance clinical performance by accommodating a wider range of femoral canals, allowing for increased range of motion, and providing standard and lateralized offset options to restore biomechanics.

In our acetabular portfolio, our M²a-Magnum Articulation System incorporates large diameter metal-on-metal components to more closely resemble the natural anatomy, offering joint mechanic restoration designed to improve range of motion and joint stability. We market ArComXL® polyethylene, which is a highly crosslinked polyethylene bearing material based on our proven ArCom® polyethylene. ArComXL® polyethylene has demonstrated excellent wear characteristics without measurable oxidation after accelerated aging. During fiscal 2007, we received FDA clearance to market acetabular hip liners manufactured from E1 material. Vitamin E is a natural antioxidant and is expected to provide optimal oxidation resistance for the implant bearings used in our total joint replacements.

The ReCap® Total Resurfacing System is a bone-conserving hip product currently marketed outside the United States for patients in the early stages of degenerative joint disease, including osteoarthritis, rheumatoid

Table of Contents

arthritis and avascular necrosis. We commenced a clinical study for the ReCap® Total Resurfacing System in the United States during fiscal 2006 and as of May 31, 2010, patient enrollment had been completed with 272 patients enrolled in the study. The FDA accepted the inclusion of European clinical data to support our U.S. Pre-Market Approval submission, subject to further review of the data after submission. We believe the potential exists to bring this product to the U.S. market during the calendar year 2011.

We introduced the Regenerex® RingLoc®+ Modular Acetabular System during fiscal 2008 and it continued to be a strong growth driver during fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010. The Regenerex® Construct unites the proven clinical history of titanium with an enhanced interconnecting pore structure, resulting in an innovative material that provides for high levels of biologic fixation and provides design flexibility and solutions for difficult primary and revision procedures. The advanced titanium scaffold structure of the Regenerex® Construct is a continuous three-dimensional matrix comprised of industry-standard Ti-6AL-4V. Titanium is a clinically proven material in the orthopedic market, with optimal biological fixation, and the Regenerex® construct is expected to be the material of choice for porous metal constructs.

Extremity Systems. We offer a variety of shoulder systems including the Absolute® Bi-Polar, Bi-Angular®, Bio-Modular®, Comprehensive®, Copeland , Integrated and Mosaic Shoulder Systems, as well as uniquely-designed elbow replacement systems.

The Copeland Humeral Resurfacing Head was developed to minimize bone removal in shoulder procedures and has approximately 20 years of positive clinical results in the United Kingdom. This system was expanded to include the Copeland EAS Extended Articular Surface Humeral Resurfacing Head designed to address rotator cuff arthropathy.

The initial release of the Comprehensive® Primary Shoulder occurred at the end of fiscal 2007 and included the standard and mini length Comprehensive® Primary Stems and the Versa-Dial® Heads, as well as the Hybrid® glenoids. The Comprehensive® Primary System was fully released by the end of fiscal 2008 and continued to receive high levels of market acceptance during fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we introduced the Comprehensive® Reverse Shoulder System which offers excellent intraoperative flexibility. This is our first reverse shoulder introduction that will utilize the Comprehensive® platform stems, providing for cemented or cementless use. This system was designed to eliminate scapular notching by incorporating a more anatomic center of rotation utilizing our Versa-Dial® glenospheres.

Our T.E.S.S. Total Evolutive Shoulder System continued to receive strong market acceptance in Europe during fiscal 2010. The T.E.S.S. System, which is only available outside the United States, is a complete system that can be used in all indications of shoulder arthroplasty.

Dental Reconstructive Devices. Through our subsidiary, Biomet 3i, LLC, or Biomet 3i, we develop, manufacture and market products designed to enhance oral rehabilitation through the replacement of teeth and the repair of hard and soft tissues. These products include dental reconstructive devices and related instrumentation, bone substitute materials, and regenerative products and materials. A dental implant is a small screw, normally constructed of titanium or titanium alloy, which is surgically placed in the bone of the jaw to replace the root of a missing tooth and provide an anchor for an artificial tooth.

Biomet 3i's historical flagship product, the OSSEOTITE® product line, features a patented micro-roughened surface technology, which allows for early/immediate loading and improved bone integration to the surface of the implant compared to machined surfaced implants. In fiscal 2007, Biomet 3i further enhanced implant surface technology with the introduction of the NanoTite Implant. The surface features the application of nanometer scale crystals of calcium phosphate to the existing OSSEOTITE® surface. The NanoTite Implant was initially introduced in Certain® Implant configurations, which is an internal connection system that, through the use of the

Table of Contents

QuickSeat® connection, provides audible and tactile feedback when restorative abutments and ancillary components are seated into the implant. In addition, the $\frac{6}{12}$ point connection design of the Certain® Implant System offers enhanced flexibility in placing the implant when pre-angled abutments are used. In fiscal 2009, Biomet 3i continued to expand the NanoTite Implant line by introducing the NanoTite Certain® Tapered PREVAIL® configuration. This implant is designed to enhance crestal bone preservation as a result of its integration of Platform Switching, a medialized Implant-Abutment-Junction that has been demonstrated to limit the reformation of soft and hard tissue at the bone crest. This is the first tapered geometry implant available from Biomet 3i that includes the platform switching concept.

In the site preparation category of the dental product portfolio, Biomet 3i completed beta evaluations of its Navigator® CT Guidance Instrumentation Kits and commercially launched this product during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. This open architecture instrumentation is designed to interface with the software and surgical guide solutions offered by existing entities in the marketplace. As planning and guide fabrication are based upon computed tomography scans, this can result in accurate implant placement when combined with the depth and rotational control offered by the Biomet 3i instrumentation. As implant placement position can be replicated as planned, this can also provide the opportunity for fabrication of a provisional prosthesis in advance of surgery thereby allowing for a complete implant restoration in one patient visit.

On the regenerative side of the site preparation portfolio, Biomet 3i has bolstered its bone grafting product and service offering. An exclusive agreement was signed with the University of Miami Tissue Bank for domestic representation of its dental allograft materials. The RegenerOss® Allograft Putty became available during the third quarter of fiscal 2008. This material features a demineralized bone matrix in a non-toxic lecithin carrier, which is conveniently offered in a syringe-based delivery system. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, Biomet 3i introduced Endobon Xenograft Granules. This bovine-derived particulate bone grafting material is suitable for use in a wide range of dental related bone defects and offers improved handling characteristics and packaging versus some of the competitive products in this category.

During fiscal 2009, Biomet 3i launched its Encode® Complete patient-specific abutment technology. This enhancement of the baseline Encode® abutment offering allows Biomet 3i to fabricate an abutment and orient implant body analogs into the proper position in a stone master model. This can allow for the complete fabrication of a restoration from one supragingival impression, which is significantly easier than present techniques and a potential opportunity for more general dentists to become involved in implant therapy. The quality of these abutments and the ability to save significant chair time will also be of potential benefit to more experienced restorative dentists. Material choice for Encode® Complete abutment fabrication was expanded in fiscal 2009 to include Zirconia options for the fabrication of aesthetic, all-ceramic restorations.

In July 2010, Biomet 3i announced its offering of comprehensive digital solutions to dental implant professionals worldwide through an innovative collaboration with Renishaw plc, a manufacturer of in-lab dental scanning systems. This new relationship provides laboratories using Renishaw Contact Scanners and *3i incise* CAD software broader access to a wide range of dental milling options including *3i incise* Copings and Frameworks in Zirconia and Cobalt Chrome (only available in Europe) and the ability to scan precision copy milled bar patterns (not available for sale in the United States; there is a 510(k) premarketing notification to the FDA pending for precision copy milled bars scanned on Renishaw systems). Laboratories utilizing the ProceraForte® Scanner (Nobel Biocare Services AG is the owner of the ProceraForte mark) can also benefit from all of these options by using the *3i incise* CAD software.

With *3i incise*, Biomet 3i and Renishaw plc are providing solutions for natural tooth restorations such as copings and frameworks. These options are available through dental laboratories, are patient specific and designed to result in beautifully crafted new smiles.

Other Reconstructive Products and Services. Our PMI® Patient-Matched Implant services group designs, manufactures and delivers patient-specific reconstructive devices to orthopedic specialists. We believe this

Table of Contents

service continues to enhance our reconstructive sales by strengthening our business relationships with orthopedic surgeons and augmenting our reputation as a responsive company committed to excellent product design. In order to assist orthopedic surgeons and their surgical teams in preoperative planning, our PMI[®] group utilizes a three-dimensional, or 3-D, bone reconstruction imaging system. We use computed tomography, or CT, data to produce 3-D reconstructions for the design and manufacture of patient-matched implants. With this imaging and model-making technology, our PMI[®] group is able to assist the physician prior to surgery by creating 3-D models. Within strict deadlines, the model is used by engineers, working closely with the surgeon, to create a PMI[®] design for the actual manufacturing of the implant for each specific patient.

We are involved in the ongoing development of bone cements and delivery systems. We have broadened the range of our internally developed and manufactured bone cement product offerings. Cobalt HV (High Viscosity) Bone Cement, which was introduced in the United States during fiscal 2006, and Cobalt MV (Medium Viscosity) Bone Cement, which was introduced in the United States during fiscal 2010, are particularly well suited for use in minimally-invasive surgery, but may be used in all applicable joint replacement procedures. The excellent handling characteristics and high optical contrast of Cobalt HV Bone Cement and Cobalt MV Bone Cement are well suited to the current trends in orthopedic surgery. The patented SoftPac monomer packaging offers the only alternative to glass vial packaging, which is inherently less safe due to the necessity to break the glass vial to deliver the monomer. We offer our internally developed and manufactured bone cements with and without antibiotics. In Europe, we introduced the OptiPac pre-loaded bone cement and delivery system during fiscal 2008. During fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010, the OptiPac closed vacuum system continued to record excellent sales growth, reinforcing our position as the leader in the European bone cement market.

Autologous Therapy Products and Services. We manufacture and market a line of autologous therapy products through our subsidiary, Biomet Biologics, LLC, or Biomet Biologics, including autologous blood processing disposables, as well as offering bone grafting materials. Our offering is comprised of six core technologies including the GPS[®] III System, the Plasmax[®] Plasma Concentration System, the BioCUE Platelet Concentration System, the Bonus[®] DBM, and the Clotalyst[®] Autologous Serum Collection System.

The GPS[®] III System is a device that collects platelet concentrate from a small volume of the patient's blood using a fast, single spin process. The GPS[®] III System is designed to provide a high percentage of platelet concentrate and we believe that this device has broad potential applications in the reconstructive and spine markets.

Additional products and services for reconstructive indications include bone substitute materials and services related to allograft material. Our allograft services address several market segments, including the orthopedic and dental reconstructive segments, as well as the spinal, craniomaxillofacial and sports medicine segments.

Fixation Devices

Our fixation products include electrical stimulation devices (excluding spine applications), external fixation devices, craniomaxillofacial fixation systems, internal fixation devices and bone substitute materials utilized in fracture fixation applications. Our craniomaxillofacial fixation products are marketed by our subsidiary, Biomet Microfixation, LLC, or Biomet Microfixation. All other fixation products are marketed primarily by Biomet Trauma.

Electrical Stimulation Systems. Bone Growth Stimulation is a method of delivering a low level electrical current or ultrasound to a nonunion fracture site to promote bone growth.

The EBI Bone Healing System[®] is indicated for the treatment of nonunion fractures, failed fusions and congenital pseudarthrosis in the appendicular system. A nonunion is considered to be established when there are no visible progressive signs of healing. The EBI Bone Healing System[®] utilizes Pulsed Electromagnetic Fields (PEMF) for the treatment of fracture non-unions. Treatment is delivered through an anatomically configured treatment coil.

Table of Contents

The OrthoPak® 2 Bone Growth Stimulator is indicated for the treatment of an established nonunion acquired secondary to trauma, excluding vertebrae and all flat bones, where the width of the nonunion defect is less than one-half the width of the bone to be treated. The OrthoPak® 2 Bone Growth Stimulator utilizes capacitive coupling technology, which involves the upregulation of growth factors that modulate bone healing. The device consists of a small, lightweight generator worn outside the body that is connected to wafer-thin electrodes applied over the nonunion site.

We also offer an implantable option when bone growth stimulation is required in conjunction with, or subsequent to, surgical intervention. The Biomet® OsteoGen surgically implanted bone growth stimulator is indicated for the treatment of long bone non-unions. Specifically, the device is only to be used to treat multiple non-unions or a severely comminuted non-union where a single cathode cannot span the entire breadth of the non-union site.

The trauma hardware market can be segmented into two product classifications: External Fixation Devices and Internal Fixation Devices.

External Fixation Devices. External fixation devices are utilized to stabilize fractures when alternative methods of fixation are not suitable due to a variety of clinical indications including treatment of open fractures. We offer a complete line of systems that address the various segments of the trauma and reconstructive external fixation marketplace. The DynaFix® and DynaFix® Vision Systems are innovative, modular external fixation devices intended for use in complex trauma situations involving upper extremities, the pelvis and lower extremities.

A key driver in our external fixation portfolio is the Biomet® Vision FootRing System, which is a comprehensive system designed for the treatment of osteotomies, arthrodesis and fracture fixation indications. This system offers expanded indications for both trauma and reconstructive procedures. The simplified, snap-fit application of all components to the Biomet® Vision FootRing System can be configured into a multitude of constructs ranging from treatment of simple fractures to complex reconstruction. This system is made of radiolucent carbon fiber that is lightweight to provide for increased patient comfort. Biomet Trauma also has a full line of external fixation products for certain reconstructive procedures involving limb lengthening, fusion and deformity correction applications.

Internal Fixation Devices. Our internal fixation devices include products such as nails, plates, screws, pins and wires designed to stabilize traumatic bone injuries. These devices are used by orthopedic surgeons to provide an accurate means of setting and stabilizing fractures and for other reconstructive procedures. They are intended to aid in the healing process and may be removed when healing is complete. Internal fixation devices are not intended to replace normal body structures.

We develop, manufacture and/or distribute innovative products that fit into key segments of the fixation marketplace. Our flagship product used for the treatment of hip fractures is the Biomet® PTN (Peritrochanteric Nail) System that incorporates an innovative single lag screw to minimize soft tissue impingement. In conjunction with the VHS® (a registered trademark of Implant Distribution Network, Ltd.) System, the Biomet® PTN System offers a choice of internal fixation options for the treatment of hip fractures. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, Biomet Trauma released the PTN Lag Screws with OSSEOTITE® surface treatment. The patented OSSEOTITE® surface featured on the threads of the PTN lag screws is produced via a dual-acid etching process that creates a roughened titanium alloy surface. Since its original introduction by Biomet 3i for use in dental implants over a decade ago, the OSSEOTITE® surface has demonstrated a significantly higher Bone-To-Implant-Contact (BIC) than standard titanium machined implants.

Other innovative nailing products include the Biomet® Pediatric Locking Nail (PLN) and the Biomet® WIN Flexible Nail to complement our pediatric product line. The PLN, a customizable locking nail, was designed to provide stable fixation of femur fractures in children. The WIN Nail is manufactured of titanium alloy and is intended to treat a variety of long bone fractures.

Table of Contents

In the area of locked plating designs, the OptiLock® Periarticular Plating System is a unique, pre-contoured plating system designed for fixation of periarticular lower extremity fractures. This system incorporates SphereLock technology that allows the surgeon to utilize locked or unlocked screws in various diameters through any hole in the plate, while incorporating minimally-invasive techniques. The OptiLock® System includes applications for the treatment of proximal tibial, distal femoral and distal tibial/fibular fractures. Often used in conjunction with our Biomet® Vision Pin-to-Bar System for temporizing fixation, the OptiLock® Periarticular Plating System provides surgeons with a comprehensive system to address a variety of simple and complex periarticular fractures.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we introduced two innovative products targeted at the foot and ankle market segment, the Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail and the ForeRunner Mid-Foot Plating System. The Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail System is the only pan-talar ankle nail on the market that has a dual stage locking and compression capability. Through the innovative CoreLock technology, the Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail allows for internal talar compression, followed independently by locking of the calcaneal screws. The Forerunner Mid-Foot Plating System is a low profile, comprehensive system that complements our current product offerings in the foot and ankle market segment. This is a low profile, comprehensive system designed for fixation in the foot. The Forerunner Plating System featuring SphereLock technology offers a wide range of plates with varying lengths between screw holes, as well as multiple screw diameters that provide for unlimited combinations for the unique and complicated structure of the foot. Both of these systems have been quickly embraced by foot and ankle surgeons with positive feedback related to intra-operative efficiencies and clinical experience.

Biomet Trauma initiated a limited release of the OptiLock® Proximal Humeral Plating System during the third quarter of fiscal 2009, with the full release of the system completed during the third quarter of fiscal 2010. The system is intended for fixation of fractures, osteotomies and non-unions of the humerus. Featuring SphereLock technology, this product offers an anatomically contoured, low profile plate with optimized bone screw trajectories that allow for minimal soft tissue impingement. Surgeon feedback continues to be positive with respect to clinical results, implant design and instrumentation.

Craniomaxillofacial Fixation Systems. We manufacture and distribute craniomaxillofacial, neurosurgical, and thoracic titanium and resorbable implants, along with associated surgical instrumentation, which are principally marketed to craniomaxillofacial, neurosurgical, plastic, ear/nose/throat, pediatric and cardiothoracic surgeons through Biomet Microfixation. We offer HTR-PMI Hard Tissue Replacement implants for repair of severe cranial defects and bone substitute materials for use in craniomaxillofacial and neurosurgical applications. Innovative solutions are also offered for oral and maxillofacial surgeons with an off-the-shelf Total Mandibular Joint Replacement System and other new products to diagnose and treat temporomandibular joint syndrome, including in-office scope systems and arthrocentesis procedure products.

Biomet Microfixation markets the LactoSorb® Fixation System of resorbable plates and screws comprised of a co-polymer of poly-L-lactic acid and polyglycolic acid. As a result of its innovative material, the LactoSorb® System is comparable in strength to titanium plating systems at its initial placement and is resorbed within 9 to 15 months after implantation. The LactoSorb® System is especially beneficial in pediatric reconstruction cases by eliminating the need for additional surgery to remove the plates and screws.

Biomet Microfixation introduced Allogenix Plus bone graft material during fiscal 2008. This material combines the lecithin-based Allogenix Demineralized Bone Matrix with Pro Osteon® granules, resulting in an improved bone graft material. When presented with a patient demonstrating a bone defect, such as a fractured bone or bone loss due to removal of a tumor, the treating surgeon may remove a portion of bone from the patient at a second site to use as a graft to induce healing at the site of the defect. By combining a scaffold with an osteoinductive source, the need for a second procedure in order to harvest bone chips for use as a scaffold may be eliminated.

Table of Contents

Bone Substitute Materials. Bone substitute materials offer an alternative to the creation of a graft site, as well as the costs associated with this additional surgical procedure. Depending on the specific use of the bone substitute material, it can have reconstructive, fixation or spinal applications. We also provide the InterGro® line of DBM materials (InterGro® Paste, InterGro® Putty and InterGro® Plus). The InterGro® DBM materials use lecithin as a carrier, which is a natural lipid carrier that is resistant to breakdown by bodily fluids, temperature or aggressive irrigation.

Spinal Products

Our spinal products include electrical stimulation devices for spinal applications, spinal fixation systems and bone substitute materials, as well as allograft services for spinal applications. These products and services are primarily marketed in the United States under the Biomet Spine and Biomet Osteobiologics trade names.

Spine Fusion Stimulation Systems. Spinal fusions are surgical procedures undertaken to establish bony union between adjacent vertebrae. We distribute both non-invasive and implantable electrical stimulation units that surgeons can use as options to provide an appropriate adjunct to surgical intervention in the treatment of spinal fusion applications. We have assembled extensive preclinical research documenting the Mechanism of Action for the technology utilized in our spine fusion stimulation systems.

The SpinalPak® II Spine Fusion Stimulator is a noninvasive bone growth stimulator for use as an adjunct electrical treatment to primary lumbar spinal fusion surgery for one or two levels. The SpinalPak® II Spine Fusion Stimulator utilizes Capacitive Coupling technology which involves the upregulation of factors that modulate bone healing, which may lead to successful fusion incorporation. The device consists of a small, lightweight generator worn outside the body that is connected to wafer-thin electrodes applied over the fusion site. The SpinalPak® II System is patient-friendly and optimizes compliance with the treatment regimen to help fusion success.

The SpF® PLUS-Mini Spine Fusion Stimulator offers the highest current density available in one-third of the size of the original SpF® PLUS Spine Fusion Stimulator. The surgically-implanted SpF® PLUS-Mini Spine Fusion Stimulator consists of a generator that provides a constant direct current to titanium cathodes placed where bone growth is required. The SpF® Stimulator has exhibited a 50% increase in fusion success rates compared to fusions with autograft alone.

Spinal Fixation Systems. We market spinal fixation devices for various spinal fusion applications. In the thoracolumbar market segment, we offer several systems. The Array® Spinal System is available in titanium or stainless steel, provides a single locking setscrew featuring V-Force Thread Vertical Vector Technology designed to enhance the intraoperative ease of use for the surgeon. The Array® Deformity Spine System includes various styles of screws, hooks and rods for scoliosis correction. A more recent product offering is the Polaris® Spinal System, a low profile, top-loading, thoracolumbar system utilizing a patented Helical Flange® (a registered trademark of Roger P. Jackson) closing mechanism. This feature minimizes the potential for cross-threading and seat splay, simplifying the implant closing procedure for the surgeon. The Polaris System is available in titanium or stainless steel in 6.35mm or 5.5mm rod diameters, with various screw, hook and rod options.

We also offer a variety of spacer products for the thoracolumbar market segment. The Solitaire® Anterior Spinal System is a stand-alone device with numerous implantation options for intraoperative flexibility when performing Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusions (ALIF). This system is available with implants manufactured from titanium or PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio, Limited) polymer, an implant option for increased radiographic fusion assessment. We also offer the ESL®, C-Thru® and Ibex® interbody spacers. All three of these spacers feature open designs to permit ample space for bone graft placement. The ESL® System has an elliptical shape, offering optimal surface contact with the vertebral body endplates. The Ibex® System is curved to conform to the anterior shape of the adjacent vertebral body. The ESL® and Ibex® spacers are utilized

Table of Contents

for Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion (PLIF) and/or Transforaminal Interbody Fusion (TLIF). The C-Thru spacer is indicated for Cervical Interbody Fusion. All three interbody spacers are available in PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio, Limited) polymer for increased radiographic fusion assessment.

For cervical fixation applications, the open design of the VueLock® Anterior Cervical Plate System provides surgeons with enhanced visualization of the bone graft both during the actual surgical procedure and postoperatively on x-ray. We also offer the C-TEK® Anterior Cervical Plate, which provides a non-constrained, semi-constrained or a completely rigid construct, depending on the surgeon's preference. Made of titanium, the C-TEK® Plate offers both fixed and variable screws in a wide variety of diameters and lengths, and features a unique locking mechanism to prevent screw back out. In fiscal 2009, we introduced the C-Tek® MaxAn® Anterior Cervical Plate System, which incorporates technology developed by Gary K. Michelson, M.D. This unique design allows for maximum angulation of the screws, permitting the surgeon to utilize a shorter plate, which helps optimize plate placement to potentially prevent impingement of the adjacent healthy disc.

For cervical and upper thoracic procedures, we offer the Altius M-INI Occipito-Cervico-Thoracic Spinal Fixation System, which features top-loading screws and a 3.5mm rod for maximum strength. This system also incorporates Helical Flange® (a registered trademark of Roger P. Jackson) Locking Technology. Occipital fixation is also available with the Altius M-INI System, featuring a low-profile plate that is placed independently from the pre-contoured rod.

Minimally-invasive surgery is of growing interest in the practice of many spine surgeons. In the minimally-invasive surgery market, we offer the Ballista® Percutaneous Pedicle Screw Placement System and the AccuVision® Minimally Invasive Access System. Both systems were launched in the United States during fiscal 2009.

To address the vertebral body compression fracture market, we offer two systems designed for the delivery of materials to weakened bone structures, including the CDV and LP2 Delivery Systems. Through a series of dilating cannulae and various instruments, the systems allow the surgeon to access the anatomy through a percutaneous approach and safely deliver commercially available bone cement under low, controlled pressure. The CDV Delivery System offers the ability to biopsy before delivery.

Bone Substitute and Allograft Materials. Pro Osteon® 200R and Pro Osteon® 500R are bone graft substitutes made from marine coral. Both are a resorbable combination of hydroxyapatite and calcium carbonate that is intended to be replaced with natural bone during the healing process. Pro Osteon® 200R is available as granules, while Pro Osteon® 500R is available in granules and blocks. The Biomet® PlatFORM Demineralized Bone Matrix, or DBM, derived exclusively from human bone, is an osteoconductive, osteoinductive and osteogenic matrix. This material consists of freeze-dried flexible and pliable sheets of demineralized bone matrix putty for use as a bone void filler. The Biomet® PlatFORM DBM can be utilized alone or in combination with autologous bone or other forms of allograft and can be rehydrated with bone marrow aspirate. Since this matrix has no synthetic additives, this eliminates any surgeon concern regarding toxicity of certain carriers currently used in other DBMs. We also have available the InterGro® line of DBM materials (InterGro® Paste, InterGro® Putty and InterGro® Plus). The InterGro® DBM materials use lecithin as a carrier, which is a natural lipid carrier that is resistant to breakdown by bodily fluids, temperature or aggressive irrigation.

Precision Machined Allograft. Many spinal fusion procedures, in both the lumbar and cervical spine, involve spinal fusion. Surgeons often utilize precision machined allograft spacers to fuse the interbody space. We provide services related to the OsteoStim® Cervical Allograft Spacer for anterior cervical interbody fusions, the OsteoStim® ALIF Allograft Spacer for anterior lumbar interbody fusions and the OsteoStim® PLIF Allograft Spacer for posterior lumbar interbody fusions, depending on the surgical approach. All three systems are lordotic in shape, have serrated teeth on the top and bottom for added stability, are offered in various heights and have specific instrumentation to facilitate implantation.

Table of Contents

Motion Preservation Products. In order to address the cervical artificial disc opportunity, we are developing next generation designs utilizing innovative materials and geometries.

Other Products

We also manufacture and distribute numerous other products, including sports medicine products, orthopedic support products (also referred to as softgoods and bracing products), operating room supplies, casting materials, general surgical instruments, wound care products and other surgical products.

Sports Medicine Products. We manufacture and market a line of arthroscopy products through our subsidiary, Biomet Sports Medicine, LLC, or Biomet Sports Medicine. Arthroscopy is a minimally-invasive orthopedic surgical procedure in which an arthroscope is inserted through a small incision to allow the surgeon direct visualization of the joint. This market is comprised of five product categories: power instruments, manual instruments, visualization products, soft tissue anchors, and procedure-specific instruments and implants. Our principal products consist of the EZLoc Femoral Fixation Device, the WasherLoc Tibial Fixation Device, LactoSorb[®] resorbable arthroscopic fixation products, the ALLthread Suture Anchor, the MaxFire Meniscal Repair Device with ZipLoop Technology, the ToggleLoc Femoral Fixation Device with ZipLoop Technology, and the InnerVue Diagnostic Scope system, which utilizes a needle scope to diagnose knee and shoulder conditions in a physician's office.

Orthopedic Support Products. We distribute a line of orthopedic support products under the Biomet Bracing name, including back braces, knee braces and immobilizers, wrist and forearm splints, cervical collars, shoulder immobilizers, slings, abdominal braces, ankle supports and a variety of other orthopedic splints.

Product Development

Our research and development efforts are essentially divided into two categories: innovative new technology and evolutionary developments. Most of the innovative new technology development efforts are focused on biomaterial products, and are managed at the corporate level and take place primarily at our Warsaw, Indiana headquarters. Evolutionary developments are driven primarily by the individual subsidiaries and include product line extensions and improvements.

We continue to aggressively conduct internal research and development efforts to generate new marketable products, technologies and materials. In addition, we believe we are well positioned to take advantage of external acquisition and development opportunities. An important component of our strategy has been the formation of strategic alliances to enhance the development of new musculoskeletal products.

For fiscal 2010, fiscal 2009, the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, and the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, we spent \$106.6 million, \$93.5 million, \$82.2 million, and \$34.0 million, respectively, on research and development. It is expected that ongoing research and development expenses will continue to increase. Our principal research and development efforts relate to primary and revision orthopedic reconstructive devices, spinal fixation products, dental reconstructive devices, sports medicine products, resorbable technology, biomaterial products and autologous therapies.

Patents and Trademarks

We believe that patents and other intellectual property will continue to be of importance in the musculoskeletal industry. Accordingly, we continue to protect technology developed internally and to acquire intellectual property rights associated with technology developed outside the Company. We enforce our intellectual property rights consistent with our strategic business objectives. We do not believe that we have any single patent or license (or series of patents or licenses) that is material to our operations, consolidated revenues, or earnings.

Table of Contents

BIOMET is our principal registered trademark throughout the world, and registrations have been obtained or are in process with respect to various other trademarks associated with our products. Unless otherwise noted in this annual report, all trademarks contained herein are owned by Biomet, Inc. or one of its affiliates and subsidiaries.

Government Regulation

Most aspects of our business are subject to some degree of government regulation in the countries in which our operations are conducted. It has always been our practice to comply with the regulatory requirements governing our products and operations and to conduct our affairs in an ethical manner. This practice is reflected in our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, various other compliance policies and through the responsibility of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors to review our systems of internal control, our process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and our process for monitoring compliance with our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. For some products, and in some areas of the world such as the United States, Canada, Japan and Europe, government regulation is significant and, in general, there appears to be a trend toward more stringent regulation throughout the world, as well as global harmonization of various regulatory requirements. We devote significant time, effort and expense to addressing the extensive government and regulatory requirements applicable to our business. Governmental regulatory actions can result in the recall or seizure of products, suspension or revocation of the authority necessary for the production or sale of a product, and other civil and criminal sanctions. We believe that we are no more or less adversely affected by existing government regulations than are our competitors.

In the United States, the development, testing, marketing and manufacturing of medical devices are regulated under the Medical Device Amendments of 1976 to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990, the FDA Modernization Act of 1997, the Medical Device User Fee and Modernization Act of 2002, the FDA Amendments Act of 2007, and additional regulations promulgated by the FDA and various other federal, state and local agencies. In general, these statutes and regulations require that manufacturers adhere to certain standards designed to ensure the safety and efficacy of medical devices and related medical products.

Most of our new device products require the submission of a Premarket Notification, commonly referred to as a 510(k), to the FDA prior to our marketing the product. This process requires us to demonstrate that the device is at least as safe and effective as, or substantially equivalent to, a legally marketed device before we can receive an order from the FDA finding substantial equivalence and clearing the new device for commercial distribution in the United States. On August 3, 2010, the FDA released a Preliminary Report and Recommendations regarding the 510(k) process, which contemplates that a sub-set of devices eligible for the 510(k) process would require clinical information, manufacturing information or possibly additional evaluation in the post-market setting to support a substantial equivalence determination. If these recommendations are ultimately adopted by the FDA, we will be required to submit additional clinical and manufacturing information with respect to our 510(k) applications in the future, resulting in increased costs and increased delay in introducing products to the market. Other devices we develop and market fall into a class of products for which the FDA has implemented stringent clinical investigation and Premarket Approval, or PMA, requirements. The PMA process requires us to provide clinical and laboratory data that establishes that the new medical device is safe and effective. The FDA will approve the new device for commercial distribution if it determines that the data and information in the PMA relating to design, materials, bench and animal testing and human clinical data constitute valid scientific evidence and that there is reasonable assurance that the device is safe and effective for its intended use.

On July 28, 2010, we received a warning letter from the FDA regarding the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, alleging that we do not have appropriate clearance or approval to market the system in the United States. While we believe that the Company has been legally marketing the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, which is manufactured by Materialise, and intend to continue to offer the Signature System pending further discussions with the FDA regarding the clearance of the system, there can be no assurance that the FDA will agree with our position.

Table of Contents

There are also various federal healthcare laws that apply when we or customers submit claims for items or services that are reimbursed under Medicare, Medicaid or other federally-funded healthcare programs, including among others: (1) the Anti-Kickback Statute which prohibits offers to pay or receive remuneration of any kind for the purpose of inducing or rewarding referrals of items or services reimbursable by a Federal healthcare program; (2) the False Claims Act, which prohibits the submission of false or otherwise improper claims for payment to a federally-funded health care program; and (3) the Stark law, which prohibits physicians from referring Medicare or Medicaid patients to a provider that bills these programs for the provision of certain designated health services if the physician (or a member of the physician's immediate family) has a financial relationship with that provider. There are often similar state false claims, anti-kickback and anti-self referral and insurance laws that apply to state-funded Medicaid and other healthcare programs and private third-party payors. In addition, the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, has been used with some frequency to prosecute companies in the United States. The FCPA prohibits U.S. companies and their officers, directors, employees, shareholders acting on their behalf and agents from offering, promising, authorizing or making payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business abroad or otherwise obtaining favorable treatment and this law requires companies to maintain records which fairly and accurately reflect transactions and to maintain internal accounting controls. In many countries, hospitals and clinics are government-owned and healthcare professionals employed by such hospitals and clinics, with whom we regularly interact, may meet the definition of a foreign official for purposes of the FCPA.

We are also subject to various federal, state and foreign laws that protect the confidentiality of certain patient health information, including patient medical records, and restrict the use and disclosure of patient health information by healthcare providers. In April 2003, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) published patient privacy rules under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and, in April 2005, published security rules for protected health information. The HIPAA privacy and security rules govern the use, disclosure and security of protected health information by Covered Entities, which include, among others, healthcare providers that submit electronic claims and health plans. In 2009, Congress passed the HITECH Act, which modified certain provisions of the HIPAA privacy and security rules for Covered Entities and their Business Associates, which is anyone that performs a service on behalf of a Covered Entity involving the use or disclosure of protected health information and is not a member of the covered entity's workforce. Among other things, the HITECH Act provided that Business Associates will now be subject to the same security requirements as Covered Entities, and that with regard to both the security and privacy rule, Business Associates will be subject to direct enforcement by HHS, including civil and criminal liability, just as Covered Entities are. In the past, HIPAA has generally affected us indirectly.

Biomet is generally not a Covered Entity, except for our noninvasive bone growth stimulation business and our health insurance plans. We only operate as a Business Associate to Covered Entities in a limited number of instances. In those cases, the patient data that we receive and analyze may include protected health information. We are committed to maintaining the security and privacy of patients' health information and believe that we meet the expectations of the HIPAA rules. Some modifications to our systems and policies may be necessary to address requirements for recently enacted state privacy laws, but we believe we have laid the necessary framework for such changes. We believe the ongoing costs and impacts of assuring compliance with the HIPAA privacy and security rules are not material to our business.

We believe that we are well positioned to face the changing international regulatory environment. The International Standards Organization, or the ISO, has an internationally recognized set of standards aimed at ensuring the design and manufacture of quality products. A company that has passed ISO audits and obtained ISO certification applicable to its activity sector is internationally recognized as having quality manufacturing processes. The European Union (EU) legislation requires that medical devices bear a CE mark. The CE mark is a European Union and European Free Trade Association symbol, which indicates that the product adheres to European Medical Device Directives. Compliance with ISO quality systems standards is one of the requirements for placing the CE mark on our products. Each of our principal manufacturing facilities has been certified to ISO 13485:2003. Each of our products sold in Europe bears the CE mark, with the exception of custom-made implants that do not require a CE mark.

Table of Contents

In addition, governmental bodies in the United States and throughout the world have expressed concern about the costs relating to healthcare and, in some cases, have focused attention on the pricing of medical devices. Government regulation regarding pricing of medical devices already exists in some countries and may be expanded in the United States and other countries in the future. We are subject to increasing pricing pressures worldwide as a result of growing regulatory pressures, as well as the expanding predominance of managed care groups and institutional and governmental purchasers. Under Title VI of the Social Security Amendments of 1983, hospitals receive a predetermined amount of Medicare reimbursement for treating a particular patient based upon the patient's type of illness identified with reference to the patient's diagnosis under one or more of several hundred diagnosis-related groups. Other factors affecting a specific hospital's reimbursement rate include the size of the hospital, its teaching status and its geographic location.

While we are unable to predict the extent to which our business may be affected by future regulatory developments, we believe that our substantial experience in dealing with governmental regulatory requirements and restrictions throughout the world, our emphasis on efficient means of distribution and our ongoing development of new and technologically-advanced products should enable us to continue to compete effectively within this increasingly regulated environment.

Sales and Marketing

We have diligently worked to attract and retain qualified, well-trained and motivated sales representatives. The breadth of our product offering and the quality of our salesforces collaborate to create synergies that we believe uniquely position us to continue to efficiently penetrate the musculoskeletal market. In the United States, our products are marketed by a combination of independent commissioned sales agents and direct sales representatives, based on the specific product group being represented. In Europe, our products are promoted by sales representatives employed by subsidiaries, independent third-party distributors, and some independent commissioned sales agents, based primarily on the geographic location. In the rest of the world, we maintain direct selling organizations in ten countries, as well as independent commissioned sales agents and independent third-party distributors in other key markets. In aggregate, our products are marketed by more than 3,000 sales representatives throughout the world.

Seasonality

Elective surgery-related products appear to be influenced to some degree by seasonal factors, as the number of elective procedures declines during the summer months, particularly in European countries, and the winter holiday season.

Customers

Our customers are the hospitals, surgeons, other physicians and healthcare providers who use our products in the course of their practices. Our business is dependent upon the relationships maintained by our distributors and salespersons with these customers, as well as our ability to design and manufacture products that meet the physicians' technical requirements at a competitive price.

Inventory and Trade Accounts Receivable

We have inventory located throughout the world with our customers, our distributors and direct salespersons for their use in marketing our products and in filling customer orders. As of May 31, 2010, inventory of approximately \$246.4 million was located with these distributors, salespersons and customers. We maintain trade accounts receivable balances based on credit terms that are generally consistent with industry and local market practices.

Table of Contents

Distribution

We operate distribution facilities domestically in Warsaw, Indiana; Irvine, California; Palm Beach Gardens, Florida; Parsippany, New Jersey; Jacksonville, Florida; Fair Lawn, New Jersey; and Braintree, Massachusetts, and internationally in Valence, France; Berlin, Germany; Dordrecht, The Netherlands; Valencia, Spain; Sjobo, Sweden; Bridgend, South Wales; Swindon, England; Tokyo, Japan; Seoul, Korea; North Ryde, Australia; Jinhua, China; and Changzou, China. We generally ship our orders via expedited courier service. Our backlog of firm orders is not considered material to understanding our business.

Competition

Our business is highly competitive. Competition within the industry is primarily based on service, clinical results and product design, although price competition is an important factor as healthcare providers continue to be concerned with costs. Major competitors in our four product categories are set forth below by market category.

Reconstructive Products

Our orthopedic reconstructive devices compete with those offered by DePuy, Inc. (a Johnson & Johnson company), Smith & Nephew plc, Stryker Orthopaedics (a division of Stryker Corp.) and Zimmer, Inc. (a subsidiary of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.). Management believes these four companies, together with Biomet, have the predominant share of the global orthopedic reconstructive device market. We believe that our prices for orthopedic reconstructive devices are competitive with those in the industry. We believe that our future success will depend upon, among other things, our service and responsiveness to our distributors and orthopedic specialists, the continued excellent clinical results of our products, and upon our ability to design and market innovative and technologically-advanced products that meet the needs of the marketplace.

Our dental reconstructive devices compete in the areas of dental reconstructive implants and related products. The primary competitors in the dental implant market include Nobel Biocare AB, Straumann AG, Zimmer Dental (a subsidiary of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.) and Astra Tech (part of the AstraZeneca Group).

Fixation Devices

Our electrical stimulation devices primarily compete with those offered by Orthofix, Inc. (a subsidiary of Orthofix International N.V.), DJO Inc. (formerly ReAble Therapeutics, Inc.) and Smith & Nephew plc. Competition in the electrical stimulation market is on the basis of product design, service, price and success rates of various treatment alternatives.

Our external and internal fixation devices compete with other such devices primarily on the basis of price, ease of application and clinical results. The principal competitors in the external fixation market are Smith & Nephew plc, Stryker Trauma (a division of Stryker Corp.), Synthes, Inc. and Orthofix, Inc. (a subsidiary of Orthofix International N.V.). Our internal fixation product lines compete with those of Synthes, Inc., DePuy, Inc. (a Johnson & Johnson company), Zimmer, Inc. (a subsidiary of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.), Smith & Nephew plc and Stryker Trauma (a division of Stryker Corp.).

Spinal Products

Our spinal fixation systems compete with other spinal fixation systems primarily on the basis of breadth of product line, product recognition and price. The principal competitors in this area are Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc. (a subsidiary of Medtronic, Inc.), DePuy Spine (a Johnson & Johnson company), Synthes, Inc., Stryker Spine (a division of Stryker Corp.), Zimmer Spine (a subsidiary of Zimmer Holdings, Inc.) and others.

Table of Contents

Other Products

Our craniomaxillofacial fixation products, specialty surgical instrumentation and neurosurgical cranial flap fixation products compete with those offered by Synthes, Inc., Stryker Leibinger Micro Implants (a division of Stryker Corp.), KLS-Martin, L.P., Osteomed Corp., Aesculap, Inc., Medtronic, Inc. and Codman (a Johnson & Johnson company).

Our sports medicine products compete primarily in the areas of procedure-specific implants and instruments, manual instruments and power instruments. Competitors include Smith & Nephew Endoscopy (a division of Smith & Nephew plc), Stryker Corp., Linvatec Corp. (a subsidiary of CONMED Corporation), Mitek (a division of Ethicon, a Johnson & Johnson company), Arthrocare Corp. and Arthrex, Inc.

Our orthopedic support products consist primarily of back braces, knee braces and immobilizers, wrist and forearm splints, cervical collars, shoulder immobilizers, slings, abdominal braces and ankle supports that compete with those offered by Orthofix, Inc. (a subsidiary of Orthofix International N.V.), DJO Inc. (formerly DJ Orthopedics, Inc.) and Ossur. Competition in the bracing market is on the basis of product design, service and price.

Raw Materials and Supplies

Our suppliers are a critical element of Biomet's supply chain. We have established strategic partnerships with key suppliers. This has enabled us to leverage our buying power, establish vendor managed inventory arrangements, enhance product innovation and reduce our risk. Long term contracts allow us to develop mutually advantageous relationships with our suppliers by providing them with more visibility into our future demand and new product needs. Our Sales, Inventory and Operations Planning (SIOP) process balances our inventory position and supply capacity with our forward looking sales plan via an integrated reconciliation process. On a monthly basis, our SIOP process in each strategic business unit reviews demand, supply, and inventory, and identifies potential future capacity or material gaps so that the proper corrective actions can be put in place.

The raw materials used in the manufacture of our orthopedic reconstructive, trauma, spine, and dental devices are principally nonferrous metallic alloys, stainless steel and polyethylene powder. With a few exceptions, none of our raw material requirements are limited to any material extent by critical supply or single origins. The demand for certain raw materials used by us, such as cobalt-chromium alloy and titanium may vary. The primary buyers of these metallic alloys are in the aerospace industry. If the demands of the aerospace industry should increase dramatically, we could experience complications in obtaining these raw materials. However, based on our current relationship with our suppliers, we do not anticipate a material shortage in the foreseeable future. Further, we believe that our inventory of raw materials is sufficient to meet any short-term supply shortages of metallic alloys. The results of our operations are not materially dependent on raw material costs.

We purchase all components of our electrical stimulators from outside suppliers, approximately 20% of which are the single source of supply for the particular item. In most cases, we believe that all components are replaceable with similar components. In the event of a shortage, there are alternative sources of supply available for all components, but some time would likely elapse before our orders could be filled.

Safety stock levels of critical materials are reviewed on a quarterly basis to ensure these stocks are appropriately set. Factors that determine these stock levels include future usage estimates, lead times, forecast accuracy, commodity pricing trends, worldwide market conditions and risk mitigation. In the case of single sourced materials, stock levels are established taking into account potential disruption to supply and, where practical, back-up supply points are identified for contingency.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

We are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations regulating the discharge of materials into the environment and otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. We do not believe that we will be required to spend any material amounts in order to comply with these laws and regulations or that compliance with such laws and regulations will materially affect our capital expenditures, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

We are also subject to various environmental laws and regulations both within and outside the United States. Similar to other medical device companies, our operations involve the use of substances regulated under environmental laws, primarily manufacturing and sterilization processes. We do not expect that compliance with environmental protection laws will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Employees

As of May 31, 2010, our domestic operations (including Puerto Rico) employed 3,453 persons, of whom 1,886 were engaged in production and 1,567 in research and development, sales, marketing, administrative and clerical efforts. Our international subsidiaries employed 4,016 persons, of whom 2,177 were engaged in production and 1,839 in research and development, sales, marketing, administrative and clerical efforts. None of our principal domestic manufacturing employees are represented by a labor union. The production employees at our Bridgend, South Wales facility are organized. Employees working at the facilities in Berlin and Dieburg, Germany; Valence, France; Swindon, United Kingdom; Sjöbo, Sweden; and Valencia, Spain are represented by Workers' Councils. We believe that our relationship with our employees is satisfactory.

The establishment of our domestic orthopedic reconstructive manufacturing operations in north central Indiana, near other members of the orthopedic industry, provides access to the highly skilled machine operators required for the manufacture of our products. Our European manufacturing locations in South Wales, England, France, Spain, Sweden and Germany also provide good sources for skilled manufacturing labor. Our Puerto Rican operations principally involve the assembly of purchased components into finished products using a skilled labor force. Our manufacturing operations in Jinhua, Zhejiang Province, and Changzhou, Jiangsu Province, China are growing and currently include approximately 800 persons which are included in the numbers above.

Available Information

Our reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, are available free of charge in, or may be accessed through, the Financial Information section of our website at www.biomet.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we file or furnish such material with or to the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. Any materials we file with the SEC are also available to the public at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. In addition, copies of these reports will be made available free of charge, upon written request to our Investor Relations Department at 56 East Bell Drive, Warsaw, IN 46582.

The information on Biomet's website is not included as part of, nor incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

The following factors, among others, could cause our future results to differ from those contained in forward-looking statements made in this report and presented elsewhere by management from time to time. Such factors, among others, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. The risks identified in this section are not exhaustive. We operate in a dynamic and competitive environment. New risk factors affecting us emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors. Further, it is not possible to assess the impact of all risk factors on our business or the extent to which any single factor or combination of factors may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these inherent risks and uncertainties, investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially and adversely affect our business or results of operations in the future. In addition, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The following discussion of our risk factors speaks only as of the date on which they were made and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included herein. Because of these and other factors, past financial performance should not be considered an indication of future performance. Any of the following risks could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Risks Relating to Our Business

Our future profitability depends on the success of our reconstructive products.

Sales of our reconstructive products accounted for approximately 75% of our net sales for the year ended May 31, 2010, 74% of our net sales for the year ended May 31, 2009 and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and 71% of our net sales for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007. We expect sales of reconstructive products to continue to account for a significant portion of our aggregate sales. Any event adversely affecting the sale of reconstructive products may, as a result, adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If we are unable to continue to develop and market new products and technologies in a timely manner or at all, the demand for our products may decrease or our products could become obsolete, and our revenue and profitability may decline.

The market for our products is highly competitive and dominated by a small number of large companies. We are continually engaged in product development, research and improvement efforts. New products and line extensions of existing products represent a significant component of our growth rate. Our ability to continue to grow sales effectively depends on our capacity to keep up with existing or new products and technologies in the musculoskeletal products market. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals to market a medical device, particularly from the FDA and certain foreign governmental authorities, can be costly and time consuming and approvals and clearances might not be granted for future products on a timely basis, if at all. Representatives of the FDA, for example, have recently indicated in public forums that the FDA is in the process of completing a comprehensive internal review of its 510(k) clearance program and that one outcome of such review will be that certain companies applying for 510(k) clearance will be asked to submit more clinical data than they may have otherwise been required to submit in the past. On August 3, 2010, the FDA released a Preliminary Report and Recommendations regarding the 510(k) process, which contemplates that a sub-set of devices eligible for the 510(k) process would require clinical information, manufacturing information or possibly additional evaluation in the post-market setting to support a substantial equivalence determination. If these recommendations are ultimately adopted by the FDA, we will be required to submit additional clinical and manufacturing information with respect to our 510(k) applications in the future, resulting in increased costs and increased delay in introducing products to the market. Delays in receipt of, or failure to obtain, approvals and clearances for future products could result in delayed realization of product revenues or in substantial additional costs which could

Table of Contents

have a material adverse effect on our business or results of operations. In addition, if our competitors' new products and technologies reach the market before our products, they may gain a competitive advantage or render our products obsolete. See Business Competition elsewhere in this annual report for more information about our competitors. The ultimate success of our product development efforts will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to, our ability to create innovative designs and materials, provide innovative surgical techniques, accurately anticipate and meet customers' needs, commercialize new products in a timely manner, and manufacture and deliver products and instrumentation in sufficient volumes on time.

Moreover, research and development efforts may require a substantial investment of time and resources before we are adequately able to determine the commercial viability of a new product, technology, material or other innovation. Even in the event that we are able to successfully develop innovations, they may not produce revenue in excess of the costs of development and may be quickly rendered obsolete as a result of changing customer preferences or the introduction by our competitors of products embodying new technologies or features.

In addition to the impact on our results of operations beginning in our fiscal year ending May 31, 2013 following enactment of the Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act (H.R. 3590), our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be significantly and adversely affected if this legislation ultimately results in lower reimbursements for our products or reduced medical procedure volumes or if certain other types of healthcare reform programs are adopted in our key markets.

In the United States, healthcare providers that purchase our products (e.g., hospitals, physicians, dentists and other health care providers) generally rely on payments from third-party payors (principally federal Medicare, state Medicaid and private health insurance plans) to cover all or a portion of the cost of our musculoskeletal products. These third-party payors may deny reimbursement if they determine that a device used in a procedure was not in accordance with cost-effective treatment methods, as determined by the third-party payor, or was used for an unapproved indication. Third-party payors may also decline to reimburse for experimental procedures and devices. In the event that third-party payors deny coverage or reduce their current levels of reimbursement, we may be unable to sell certain products on a profitable basis, thereby materially adversely impacting our results of operations. Further, third-party payors are continuing to carefully review their coverage policies with respect to existing and new therapies and can, without notice, deny coverage for treatments that may include the use of our products.

In March 2010, the U.S. Congress adopted and President Obama signed into law comprehensive health care reform legislation through the passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act (H.R. 3590) and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (H.R. 4872). Among other initiatives, these bills impose a 2.3% excise tax on domestic sales of medical devices following December 31, 2012, which is estimated to contribute approximately \$27 billion to healthcare reform. Various healthcare reform proposals have also emerged at the state level. Outside of the excise tax, which will impact results of operations following December 31, 2012, we cannot predict with certainty what healthcare initiatives, if any, will be implemented at the state level, or what the ultimate effect of federal health care reform or any future legislation or regulation will have on us. However, an expansion in government's role in the U.S. healthcare industry may lower reimbursements for our products, reduce medical procedure volumes and adversely affect our business and results of operations, possibly materially.

Outside of the United States, reimbursement systems vary significantly from country to country. In the majority of the international markets in which our products are sold, government-managed healthcare systems mandate the reimbursement rates and methods for medical devices and procedures. If adequate levels of reimbursement from third-party payors outside of the United States are not obtained, international sales of our products may decline. Many foreign markets, including Canada, and some European and Asian countries, have tightened reimbursement rates. Our ability to continue to sell certain products profitably in these markets may diminish if the government-managed healthcare systems continue to reduce reimbursement rates.

Table of Contents

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be significantly and negatively affected by substantial government regulations.

Our products are subject to rigorous regulation by the FDA and numerous other federal, state and foreign governmental authorities. Overall, there appears to be a trend toward more stringent regulation throughout the world, and we do not anticipate this trend to dissipate in the near future.

In general, the development, testing, manufacturing and marketing of our products are subject to extensive regulation and review by numerous governmental authorities both in the United States and abroad. The regulatory process requires the expenditure of significant time, effort and expense to bring new products to market. In addition, we are required to implement and maintain stringent reporting, labeling and record keeping procedures. The medical device industry also is subject to a myriad of complex laws and regulations governing Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement and health care fraud and abuse laws, with these laws and regulations being subject to interpretation. In many instances, the industry does not have the benefit of significant regulatory or judicial interpretation of these laws and regulations. In certain public statements, governmental authorities have taken positions on issues for which little official interpretation was previously available. Some of these positions appear to be inconsistent with common practices within the industry but have not previously been challenged.

Various federal and state agencies have become increasingly vigilant in recent years in their investigation of various business practices. Governmental and regulatory actions against us can result in various actions that could adversely impact our operations, including:

the recall or seizure of products;

the suspension or revocation of the authority necessary for the production or sale of a product;

the suspension of shipments from particular manufacturing facilities;

the imposition of fines and penalties;

the delay of our ability to introduce new products into the market;

the exclusion of our products from being reimbursed by federal and state health care programs (such as Medicare, Medicaid, Veterans Administration health programs and Civilian Health and Medical Program Uniformed Service, or CHAMPUS); and

other civil or criminal sanctions against us.

Any of these actions, in combination or alone, or even a public announcement that we are being investigated for possible violations of these laws, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

On July 28, 2010, we received a warning letter from the FDA regarding the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, alleging that we do not have appropriate clearance or approval to market the system in the United States. While we believe that the Company has been legally marketing the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, which is manufactured by Materialise, and intend to continue to offer the Signature System pending further discussions with the FDA regarding the clearance of the system, there can be no assurance that the FDA will agree with our position. If the FDA does not agree with Biomet, it has the discretion to direct a range of corrective actions, including providing us a grace period to obtain an additional 510(k) clearance, suspending certain promotional activities, and suspending shipments of product.

In many of the foreign countries in which we market our products, we are subject to regulations affecting, among other things: clinical efficacy, product standards, packaging requirements, labeling requirements, import/export restrictions, tariff regulations, duties and tax requirements. Many of the regulations applicable to our devices and products in these countries, such as the European Medical Devices Directive, are similar

to those of

Table of Contents

the FDA. In addition, in many countries the national health or social security organizations require our products to be qualified before they can be marketed with the benefit of reimbursement eligibility. Failure to receive or delays in the receipt of relevant foreign qualifications also could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

As both the U.S. and foreign government regulators have become increasingly stringent, we may be subject to more rigorous regulation by governmental authorities in the future. Our products and operations are also often subject to the rules of industrial standards bodies, such as the International Standards Organization. If we fail to adequately address any of these regulations, our business will be harmed.

We, like other companies in the orthopedic industry, are involved in ongoing governmental investigations, the results of which may adversely impact our business and results of operations.

In February 2010, we received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services requesting various documents relating to agreements or arrangements between physicians and our Interpore Cross subsidiary for the period from 1999 through the present and the marketing and sales activities associated with Interpore Cross spinal products. We are currently in the process of evaluating the scope of the subpoena and intend to fully cooperate with the request of the Office of the Inspector General. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this inquiry or its final outcome.

In April 2009, we received an administrative subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts requesting various documents relating primarily to the Medicare reimbursement of and certain business practices related to our EBI subsidiary's non-invasive bone growth stimulators. It is our understanding that competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market received similar subpoenas. We received subsequent subpoenas in connection with the investigation in September 2009 and June 2010 along with several informal requests for information. We are producing responsive documents and are fully cooperating in the investigation. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this investigation or its final outcome.

In April 2009, we became aware of a qui tam complaint alleging violations of the federal and various state False Claims Acts filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, where it is currently pending. Biomet, its parent company LVB Acquisition, Inc., and several of our competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market were named as defendants in this action. The allegations in the complaint are similar in nature to certain categories of requested documents in the above-referenced administrative subpoenas. The U.S. government has not intervened in the action. We are vigorously defending this matter and intend to continue to do so. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this investigation or its final outcome.

On September 25, 2007, we received a letter from the SEC informing us that it is conducting an informal investigation regarding possible violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, in the sale of medical devices in certain foreign countries by companies in the medical devices industry. The FCPA prohibits U.S. companies and their officers, directors, employees, shareholders acting on their behalf and agents from offering, promising, authorizing or making payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business abroad or otherwise obtaining favorable treatment and this law requires companies to maintain records which fairly and accurately reflect transactions and to maintain internal accounting controls. In many countries, hospitals and clinics are government-owned and healthcare professionals employed by such hospitals and clinics, with whom we regularly interact, may meet the definition of a foreign official for purposes of the FCPA. If we are found to have violated the FCPA, we may face sanctions including fines, criminal penalties, disgorgement of profits and suspension or debarment of our ability to contract with government agencies or receive export licenses. On November 9, 2007, we received a letter from the Department of Justice requesting any information provided to the SEC be provided to the Department of Justice on a voluntary basis. We believe we have fully

Table of Contents

cooperated with both requests and have conducted our own review relating to these matters in certain countries in which we and our distributors conduct business. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this inquiry or its final outcome.

From time to time, we have been, and may be in the future, the subject of additional investigations. If, as a result of these investigations described above or any additional investigations, we are found to have violated one or more applicable laws, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected. If some of our existing business practices are challenged as unlawful, we may have to modify those practices, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Compliance with the terms of the Corporate Integrity Agreement requires cooperation by many employees and others and may divert substantial financial and human resources from our other business activities.

On September 27, 2007 we entered into a Deferred Prosecution Agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of New Jersey. The agreement concluded the government's investigation into whether consulting agreements between the largest orthopedic manufacturers and orthopedic surgeons who use joint reconstruction and replacement products may have violated the federal Anti-Kickback Statute. Through the agreement, the U.S. Attorney's Office agreed not to prosecute Biomet, Inc. and our wholly-owned subsidiary Biomet Orthopedics, LLC in connection with this matter, provided that we satisfied our obligations under the agreement for 18 months subsequent to September 27, 2007. The agreement called for the appointment of an independent monitor to review our compliance with the agreement, particularly in relation to our consulting agreements. The independent monitor filed a final report with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the period from September 27, 2007 through March 1, 2009. On March 27, 2009, the Deferred Prosecution Agreement expired and the complaint was dismissed with prejudice.

As part of the resolution of this matter, we entered into a Corporate Integrity Agreement with the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or OIG-HHS. The agreement requires us for 5 years subsequent to September 27, 2007 to continue to adhere to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and certain other provisions, including reporting requirements.

We are committed to continuing to devote sufficient resources to meet our obligations under the Corporate Integrity Agreement. Compliance with this agreement requires substantial cooperation of our employees, distributors and sales agents and the healthcare professionals with whom they interact. These efforts not only involve expense, but also require management and other key employees to focus extensively on these matters.

We could be subject to further governmental investigations or actions by other third parties as a result of our recent settlement with the Department of Justice and OIG-HHS.

As discussed in *Business-Government Regulation*, we are subject to various federal and state laws concerning healthcare fraud and abuse, including false claims laws and anti-kickback laws. Violations of these laws are punishable by criminal and/or civil sanctions, including, in some instances, fines, imprisonment and, within the United States, exclusion from participation in government healthcare programs, including Medicare, Medicaid and Veterans Administration (VA) health programs. These laws are administered by, among others, the U.S. Department of Justice, the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services and state attorneys general. Many of these agencies have increased their enforcement activities with respect to medical device manufacturers in recent years.

As discussed in *Legal Proceedings*, the SEC has commenced an informal investigation into sales by us and other companies of medical devices in foreign countries. In addition, we are in the process of conducting our own review relating to these matters and are also cooperating with the U.S. Department of Justice and at least one state attorney general. We intend to review and take appropriate actions with respect to any such investigations or

Table of Contents

proceedings; however, we cannot assure that the costs of defending or fines imposed in resolving those civil or criminal investigations or proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The current global economic uncertainties may adversely affect our results of operations.

Our results of operations could be substantially affected not only by global economic conditions, but also by local operating and economic conditions, which can vary substantially by market. Unfavorable conditions can depress sales in a given market and may result in actions that adversely affect our margins, constrain our operating flexibility or result in charges which are unusual or non-recurring. Certain macroeconomic events, such as the current adverse conditions in the global economy, could have a more wide-ranging and prolonged impact on the general business environment, which could also adversely affect us.

We have a significant amount of trade receivables with national healthcare systems in many countries. We continue to monitor the collectability of such receivables in view of the current economic state of many foreign countries as payment is dependent upon the financial stability of the economies of those countries. For instance, we believe the credit and economic conditions within Greece, Spain, Italy and Portugal, among other members of the European Union, have deteriorated significantly over the past twelve months. These conditions have resulted in, and may continue to result in, an increase in the average length of time that it takes to collect on our accounts receivable outstanding in these countries. As of May 31, 2010, our orthopedic net accounts receivable in Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal totaled over \$100.0 million. To date, we have not experienced any significant cash losses with respect to the collection of our accounts receivable related to sales within these countries. However, during fiscal 2010 we did recognize \$9.3 million of expense to adjust our public accounts receivable in Greece to its expected net realizable value based upon the recent proposal by the Greek government to settle certain past due healthcare liabilities with long-term zero coupon bonds.

We are subject to cost-containment efforts of group purchasing organizations, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Many customers of our products have joined group purchasing organizations in an effort to contain costs. Group purchasing organizations negotiate pricing arrangements with medical supply manufacturers and distributors, and these negotiated prices are made available to a group purchasing organization's affiliated hospitals and other members. If we are not one of the providers selected by a group purchasing organization, affiliated hospitals and other members may be less likely to purchase our products, and if the group purchasing organization has negotiated a strict compliance contract for another manufacturer's products, we may be precluded from making sales to members of the group purchasing organization for the duration of the contractual arrangement. Our failure to respond to the cost-containment efforts of group purchasing organizations may cause us to lose market share to our competitors and could have a material adverse effect on our sales, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We conduct a significant amount of our sales activity outside of the United States, which subjects us to additional business risks and may adversely affect our results due to increased costs.

During the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, we derived approximately \$1,053.9 million, or 39% of our net sales, \$976.2 million, or 39% of our net sales, \$883.1 million, or 41% of our net sales, and \$92.6 million, or 37% of our net sales, respectively, from sales of our products outside of the United States. We intend to continue to pursue growth opportunities in sales internationally, which could expose us to additional risks associated with international sales and operations. Our international operations are, and will continue to be, subject to a number of risks and potential costs, including:

changes in foreign medical reimbursement policies and programs;

unexpected changes in foreign regulatory requirements;

Table of Contents

differing local product preferences and product requirements;

diminished protection of intellectual property in some countries outside of the United States;

differing payment cycles;

trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements;

difficulty in staffing, training and managing foreign operations;

differing legal regulations and labor relations;

potentially negative consequences from changes in tax laws (including potential taxes payable on earnings of foreign subsidiaries upon repatriation); and

political and economic instability.

In addition, we are subject to risks arising from currency exchange rate fluctuations, which could increase our costs and may adversely affect our results. The U.S. dollar value of our foreign-generated revenues varies with currency exchange rate fluctuations. Measured in local currency, the majority of our foreign-generated revenues were generated in Europe. Significant increases in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Our consolidated net sales were negatively affected by approximately 1% during the year ended May 31, 2010 as a result of the impact of foreign currency translation.

Any of these factors may, individually or as a group, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We conduct manufacturing operations outside of the United States and are in the process of transitioning certain manufacturing operations to China, which will expose us to additional business risks.

In addition to our principal executive offices, we maintain more than 50 other manufacturing facilities, offices and warehouse facilities in various countries, including Canada, Europe, Asia Pacific and Latin America.

We currently conduct operations in Jinhua, Zhejiang Province, China and Changzhou, Jiangsu Province, China. Our future business strategy may involve the operation of other manufacturing facilities in China. As a result of this initiative, we will be exposed to all the risks inherent in operating in an emerging market like China. In recent years the Chinese economy has undergone various developments, including beginning the transition from a more heavily government influenced-planned economy to a more market-oriented economy. Despite this transition, the Chinese government continues to own significant production assets and exercises significant control over economic growth. Our international operations, including our planned expansion in China, may be subject to greater or new political, legal and economic risks than those faced by our operations in the United States, including such risks as those arising from:

unexpected changes in foreign or domestic legal, regulatory or governmental requirements or approvals, such as those related to taxation, lending, import and tariffs, environmental regulations, land use rights, intellectual property and other matters;

unexpected increases in taxes, tariffs and other assessments;

diminished protection of intellectual property;

trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements;

difficulty in staffing, training and managing foreign operations;

differing legal and labor regulations;

political and economic instability; and

operating in a market with a less developed supply chain, transportation and distribution infrastructure.

Table of Contents

Due to these inherent risks, there can be no assurance that we will achieve any anticipated benefits from transitioning manufacturing operations to China and any of these factors may, individually or as a group, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our business and financial performance may be adversely affected by our inability to effectively implement restructuring and cost saving initiatives.

As of the second quarter of fiscal 2008, we commenced plans for a global cost savings program targeting pre-tax savings of \$65.0 million on an annualized basis. The program includes the transition of certain manufacturing operations to China, the restructuring of our domestic and international corporate structure and improvements to operating processes (including manufacturing footprint optimization, implementation of Six Sigma and Lean Manufacturing, procurement and offshoring initiatives, as well as reduction in overhead expenses). Projected costs and savings associated with these initiatives are subject to a variety of risks, including:

contemplated costs to implement these initiatives may exceed estimates;

initiatives we are contemplating may require consultation with various employees, labor representatives or regulators, and such consultations may influence the timing, costs and extent of expected savings;

initiatives will also require close coordination with customers with respect to the transfer of existing business to our other locations, and certain business may not ultimately be retained as a result of the possible transition of certain operations;

the loss of skilled employees in connection with the initiatives; and

projected savings contemplated under this program may fall short of targets.

While we expect to continue to implement these strategies, there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so successfully or that we will realize the projected benefits of these and other restructuring and cost saving initiatives. If we are unable to realize these anticipated cost reductions, our business may be adversely affected. Moreover, our continued implementation of restructuring and cost saving initiatives integration may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If pricing pressures cause us to decrease prices for our goods and services and we are unable to compensate for such reductions through product mix and reductions to our expenses, our results of operation will suffer.

We may experience decreasing prices for our goods and services we offer due to pricing pressure exerted by our customers in response to increased cost containment efforts from managed care organizations and other third-party payors and increased market power of our customers as the medical device industry consolidates. If we are unable to offset such price reductions through product mix or reductions in our expenses, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

Quality problems with our manufacturing processes or our goods and services could significantly and adversely affect both our reputation for producing high-quality products and our results of operations.

Our ability to manufacture and supply high-quality goods and services is critical to the marketing success of our goods and services. If we fail to satisfy our ISO quality standards, our reputation could be significantly harmed, resulting in the loss of customers and market share and significantly and adversely affecting our results of operations.

Inventory maintained in the field may become obsolete due to shortened product life cycles, reduced product demand or changes in market conditions, resulting in inventory write-downs that may adversely affect our results of operations, possibly materially.

Edgar Filing: BIOMET INC - Form 10-K

In our industry, inventory is routinely placed at hospitals to provide the healthcare provider with the appropriate product when needed. Because product usage tends to follow a bell curve, larger and smaller sizes of

Table of Contents

inventory are provided, but infrequently used. In addition, the musculoskeletal market is highly competitive, with new products, raw materials and procedures being introduced continually, which may make those products currently on the market obsolete. We make estimates regarding the future use of these products and provide a provision for excess and obsolete inventory. If actual product life cycles, product demand or market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required, which would affect future operating results.

Our business may be harmed as a result of product liability litigation.

Our involvement in the manufacture and sale of medical devices creates exposure to significant risk of product liability claims, particularly in the United States. In the past, we have received product liability claims relating to our products and anticipate that we will continue to receive claims in the future, some of which could have a material adverse impact on our business. In addition, we could experience a material design or manufacturing failure in our products, a quality system failure, other safety issues or heightened regulatory scrutiny that would warrant a recall of some of our products. Our existing product liability insurance coverage may be inadequate to satisfy liabilities we might incur. Moreover, even if any product liability loss is covered by an insurance policy, these policies have substantial self-insured retentions or deductibles that we remain responsible for. If a product liability claim or series of claims is brought against us for uninsured liabilities or is in excess of our insurance coverage limits, our business could suffer and our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow could be materially adversely impacted.

We may be subject to intellectual property litigation and infringement claims, which could cause us to incur significant expenses or prevent us from selling our products.

The musculoskeletal products industry is highly litigious with respect to the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property rights. In some cases, intellectual property litigation may be used to gain a competitive advantage. We have in the past and may in the future become a party to lawsuits involving patents or other intellectual property. A legal proceeding, regardless of the outcome, could put pressure on our financial resources and divert the time, energy and efforts of our management.

A successful claim of patent or other intellectual property infringement against us could adversely affect our growth and results of operations, in some cases materially. From time to time, we receive notices from third parties of potential infringement and receive claims of potential infringement. We may be unaware of intellectual property rights of others that may cover some of our technology. If someone claims that our products infringed their intellectual property rights, any resulting litigation could be costly and time consuming and would divert the attention of management and key personnel from other business issues.

The complexity of the technology involved and the uncertainty of intellectual property litigation increase these risks. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to enter into costly royalty or license agreements. However, we may be unable to obtain royalty or license agreements on terms acceptable to us or at all. We also may be subject to significant damages or an injunction preventing us from manufacturing, selling or using some of our products in the event of a successful claim of patent or other intellectual property infringement. Any of these adverse consequences could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The conditions of the U.S. and international capital markets may adversely affect our ability to draw on our current revolving credit facilities as well as the value of certain of our investments.

We believe that our cash, other liquid assets and operating cash flow, together with available borrowings and potential access to credit and capital markets, will be sufficient to meet our operating expenses, research and development costs and capital expenditures and service our debt requirements as they become due. However, our ongoing ability to meet our substantial debt service and other obligations will be dependent upon our future

Table of Contents

performance, which will be subject to business, financial and other factors. We will not be able to control many of these factors, such as economic conditions in the markets where we operate and pressure from competitors. We cannot be certain that our cash flow will be sufficient to allow us to pay principal and interest on our debt, support our operations and meet our other obligations. If we do not have enough money, we may be required to refinance all or part of our existing debt, sell assets or borrow more money. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so on terms acceptable to us, if at all. In addition, the terms of existing or future debt agreements may restrict us from pursuing any of these alternatives.

If financial institutions that have extended credit commitments to us are adversely affected by the conditions of the U.S. and international capital markets, they may become unable to fund borrowings under their credit commitments to us, which could have a material and adverse impact on our financial condition and our ability to borrow additional funds, if needed, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, research and development and other corporate purposes.

Loss of our key management and other personnel, or an inability to attract such management and other personnel, could impact our business.

We depend on our senior managers and other key personnel to run our business and on technical experts to develop new products and technologies. The loss of any of these senior managers or other key personnel could adversely affect our operations. Competition for qualified employees is intense, and the loss of qualified employees or an inability to attract, retain and motivate additional highly skilled employees required for the management, operation and expansion of our business could hinder our ability to expand, conduct research and development activities successfully and develop marketable products.

If we fail to retain our existing relationships with our independent sales agents and distributors or establish relationships with different agents and distributors, our results of operations may be negatively impacted.

Our revenues and profitability depend largely on the ability of independent sales agents and distributors to sell our products to customers. Typically, these agents and distributors have developed long-standing relationships with our customers and provide our customers with the necessary training and product support relating to our products. The average tenure of our independent sales agents and distributors within our subsidiary Biomet Orthopedics, LLC, or Biomet Orthopedics, is ten years. If we fail to retain our existing relationships with these agents and distributors or establish relationships with different agents and distributors, our results of operations may be negatively impacted.

A natural or man-made disaster could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We have approximately 16 manufacturing operations located throughout the world. However, a significant portion of our products are produced at and shipped from our facility in Warsaw, Indiana. In the event that this facility is severely damaged or destroyed as a result of a natural or man-made disaster, we would be forced to shift production to our other facilities and/or rely on third-party manufacturers. Our existing business interruption insurance coverage may be inadequate to satisfy liabilities we might incur in such a situation. If a business interruption claim or series of claims is in excess of our insurance coverage limits, or is not otherwise covered in whole or in part by our insurance coverage, our business could suffer and our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow could be materially adversely impacted.

Any expansion or acquisition may prove risky for us.

We may, from time to time, consider and take advantage of selected opportunities to grow by acquiring businesses whose operations or product lines fit well within our existing businesses or whose geographic location or market position would enable us to expand into new markets. Our ability to implement this expansion strategy will, however, depend on whether any suitable businesses are available at suitable valuations, how much money

Table of Contents

we can spend and maintaining our customer base. Any acquisition that we make could be subject to a number of risks, including, failing to discover liabilities of the acquired company for which we may be responsible as a successor owner or operator despite any investigation we may make before the acquisition, our inability to assimilate the operations and personnel of the acquired company, the loss of key personnel in the acquired company and any adverse impact on our financial statements from the amortization of acquired intangible assets or the creation of reserves or write-downs. We may not be able to adequately meet these challenges, and any failure to do so could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, if we incur additional indebtedness to finance these acquisitions, the related risks we face from our already substantial level of indebtedness could intensify.

Risks Related to Our Indebtedness

Our substantial level of indebtedness could materially adversely affect our ability to generate sufficient cash to fulfill our obligations under the notes, our ability to react to changes in our business and our ability to incur additional indebtedness to fund future needs.

We are highly leveraged. As of May 31, 2010, we had total indebtedness of \$5,896.5 million. The following chart shows our level of indebtedness as of May 31, 2010:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | |
|--|-------------------|
| European facilities | \$ 6.3 |
| Senior secured term loan facilities | 3,328.8 |
| Senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility | |
| Senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility | |
| Senior cash pay notes | 771.0 |
| Senior toggle notes | 771.0 |
| Senior subordinated notes | 1,015.0 |
| Premium on debt | 4.4 |
| Total | \$ 5,896.5 |

As of May 31, 2010, we had outstanding approximately \$3,328.8 million in aggregate principal amount of indebtedness under our senior secured credit facilities that would bear interest at a floating rate. We have entered into a series of interest rate swap agreements to fix the interest rates on approximately 77% of the borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Interest Rate Risk. Based on our overall interest rate exposure at May 31, 2010, including variable rate debt, a hypothetical 10% increase or decrease in interest rates applied to the fair value of the financial instruments discussed above as of May 31, 2010, would cause a \$2.6 million increase in or savings in interest expense.

Our substantial level of indebtedness increases the possibility that we may be unable to generate cash sufficient to pay, when due, the principal of, interest on or other amounts due in respect of our indebtedness. Our substantial indebtedness, combined with our other financial obligations and contractual commitments, could have important consequences. For example, it could:

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our indebtedness, including the notes, and any failure to comply with the obligations under any of our debt instruments, including restrictive covenants, could result in an event of default under the indentures governing the notes and the agreements governing such other indebtedness;

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing funds available for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, research and development and other purposes;

Table of Contents

increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions, which could place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have relatively less indebtedness;

increase the risk we assess with our counterparties which could affect the fair value of our derivative instruments related to our debt facilities noted above;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industries in which we operate;

limit our noteholders' rights to receive payments under the notes if secured creditors have not been paid;

limit our ability to borrow additional funds, or to dispose of assets to raise funds, if needed, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, research and development and other corporate purposes; and

prevent us from raising the funds necessary to repurchase all notes tendered to us upon the occurrence of certain changes of control, which would constitute a default under the indentures governing the notes.

Restrictions imposed by the indentures governing the notes, our senior secured credit facilities and our other outstanding indebtedness may limit our ability to operate our business and to finance our future operations or capital needs or to engage in other business activities.

The terms of our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes restrict us and our subsidiaries from engaging in specified types of transactions. These covenants restrict our and our restricted subsidiaries' ability, among other things, to:

incur additional indebtedness;

pay dividends on our capital stock or redeem, repurchase or retire our capital stock or indebtedness;

make investments, loans, advances and acquisitions;

create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us from our restricted subsidiaries;

engage in transactions with our affiliates;

sell assets, including capital stock of our subsidiaries;

consolidate or merge;

create liens; and

Edgar Filing: BIOMET INC - Form 10-K

enter into sale and lease-back transactions.

In addition, although the agreements governing our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes do not require us to comply with any financial ratio maintenance covenants, if less than \$35.0 million (plus 10% of any increased commitments thereunder) were available under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility at any time, we would not be permitted to borrow any additional amounts under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility unless we maintain a certain pro forma ratio of (a) Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA minus Capital Expenditures minus Cash Taxes to (b) Consolidated Fixed Charges (as such terms are defined in our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility). In the event of a default under any of our senior secured credit facilities, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the agreements governing our senior secured credit facilities to be immediately due and payable. If the indebtedness under our senior secured credit facilities or the notes were to be accelerated, our assets may not be sufficient to repay such indebtedness in full. In particular, noteholders will be paid only if we have assets remaining after we pay amounts due on our secured indebtedness, including our senior secured credit facilities.

Table of Contents

We, including our subsidiaries, have the ability to incur substantially more indebtedness, including senior secured indebtedness, and our noteholders' right to receive payments on each series of notes is effectively junior to the right of lenders who have a security interest in our assets to the extent of the value of those assets.

Our obligations under the notes and our guarantors' obligations under their guarantees of the notes are unsecured, but our obligations under our senior secured credit facilities and each guarantor's obligations under its guarantee of our senior secured credit facilities are secured by a security interest in substantially all of our domestic tangible and intangible assets, including the stock of substantially all of our wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries and a portion of the stock of certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries. If we are declared bankrupt or insolvent, or if we default under our senior secured credit facilities, the lenders could declare all of the funds borrowed thereunder, together with accrued interest, immediately due and payable. If we were unable to repay such indebtedness, the lenders could foreclose on the pledged assets to the exclusion of holders of the notes, even if an event of default exists under the indentures governing the notes at such time. Furthermore, if the lenders foreclose and sell the pledged equity interests in any guarantor under the notes, then that guarantor will be released from its guarantee of the notes automatically and immediately upon such sale. In any such event, because the notes are not secured by any of our assets or the equity interests in the guarantors, it is possible that there would be no assets remaining from which noteholders' claims could be satisfied or, if any assets remained, they might be insufficient to satisfy noteholders' claims in full.

Subject to the restrictions in our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes, we, including our subsidiaries, may incur significant additional indebtedness. As of May 31, 2010:

we and the guarantors had approximately \$377.8 million available for borrowing under our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility, which, if borrowed, would be senior secured indebtedness;

we and the guarantors had \$324.9 million available for borrowing under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility, subject to borrowing base limitations, which, if borrowed, would be senior secured indebtedness;

we and the guarantors have the option to incur additional incremental term loans or increase the cash flow revolving credit facility commitments under our senior secured cash flow facilities up to an amount that would cause our Senior Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined in our senior secured cash flow facilities) to be equal to or less than 4.50 to 1.00, which, if borrowed, would be senior secured indebtedness;

we and the guarantors have the option to increase the asset-based revolving credit facility commitments under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility by up to \$100.0 million, which, if borrowed, would be senior secured indebtedness; and

we and the guarantors have \$122.7 million available for borrowing under our non-US facilities.

In addition, under the senior toggle notes, we have the option to elect to pay PIK interest for five years after the closing date for any interest period. In the event we make a PIK interest election in each period in which we are entitled to make such an election, our debt will increase by the amount of such interest.

Although the terms of our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes contain restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of important exceptions, and indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. If we and our restricted subsidiaries incur significant additional indebtedness, the related risks that we face could intensify.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness, including the notes, and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make scheduled payments on or to refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to

Table of Contents

certain financial, business and other factors beyond our control. We may not be able to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness, including the notes.

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to reduce or delay investments and capital expenditures or to sell assets, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness, including the notes. Our ability to restructure or refinance our debt will depend on the condition of the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. Any refinancing of our debt could be at higher interest rates and may require us to comply with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict our business operations. The terms of existing or future debt instruments and the indentures governing the notes may restrict us from adopting some of these alternatives. In addition, any failure to make payments of interest and principal on our outstanding indebtedness on a timely basis would likely result in a reduction of our credit rating, which could harm our ability to incur additional indebtedness. In the absence of such operating results and resources, we could face substantial liquidity problems and might be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations. Our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes restrict our ability to dispose of assets and use the proceeds from the disposition. We may not be able to consummate those dispositions or to obtain the proceeds that we could realize from them and these proceeds may not be adequate to meet any debt service obligations then due. These alternative measures may not be successful and may not permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations.

Repayment of our debt, including the notes, is dependent on cash flow generated by our subsidiaries.

Our subsidiaries own a significant portion of our assets and conduct a significant portion of our operations. Accordingly, repayment of our indebtedness, including the notes, is dependent, to a significant extent, on the generation of cash flow by our subsidiaries and their ability to make such cash available to us, by dividend, debt repayment or otherwise. Unless they are guarantors of the notes, our subsidiaries do not have any obligation to pay amounts due on the notes or to make funds available for that purpose. Our subsidiaries may not be able to, or may not be permitted to, make distributions to enable us to make payments in respect of our indebtedness, including the notes. Each subsidiary is a distinct legal entity and, under certain circumstances, legal and contractual restrictions may limit our ability to obtain cash from our subsidiaries. While the indentures governing the notes limit the ability of our subsidiaries to incur consensual restrictions on their ability to pay dividends or make other intercompany payments to us, these limitations are subject to certain qualifications and exceptions. In the event that we do not receive distributions from our subsidiaries, we may be unable to make required principal and interest payments on our indebtedness, including the notes.

Claims of noteholders will be structurally subordinated to claims of creditors of all our non-U.S. subsidiaries and some of our U.S. subsidiaries because they will not guarantee the notes.

The notes are not guaranteed by any of our non-U.S. subsidiaries or any of our less than wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries. Accordingly, claims of holders of the notes will be structurally subordinated to the claims of creditors of these non-guarantor subsidiaries, including trade creditors. Therefore, all obligations of our non-guarantor subsidiaries will have to be satisfied before any of the assets of such subsidiaries would be available for distribution, upon a liquidation or otherwise, to us or a guarantor of the notes.

For the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, our non-guarantor subsidiaries accounted for \$987.6 million, or 37% of our consolidated net sales, \$915.0 million, or 37% of our consolidated net sales, \$1,060.0 million, or 50% of our consolidated net sales, and \$82.5 million, or 33% of our consolidated net sales, for such periods, respectively. As of May 31, 2010, our non-guarantor subsidiaries accounted for approximately \$3,689.0 million, or 31%, of our consolidated long-term assets. All amounts are presented after giving effect to intercompany eliminations.

Table of Contents

The lenders under our senior secured cash flow facilities will have the discretion to release any guarantors under these facilities in a variety of circumstances, which will cause those guarantors to be released from their guarantees of the notes.

While any obligations under our senior secured cash flow facilities remain outstanding, any guarantee of the notes may be released without action by, or consent of, any holder of the notes or the trustee under the indentures governing the notes, at the discretion of lenders under our senior secured cash flow facilities, if the related guarantor is no longer a guarantor of obligations under our senior secured cash flow facilities or any other indebtedness. The lenders under our senior secured cash flow facilities will have the discretion to release the guarantees under our senior secured cash flow facilities in a variety of circumstances. Noteholders will not have a claim as a creditor against any subsidiary that is no longer a guarantor of the notes, and the indebtedness and other liabilities, including trade payables, whether secured or unsecured, of those subsidiaries will effectively be senior to claims of noteholders.

Our noteholders' right to receive payments on the senior subordinated notes is junior to the rights of the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities and all of our other senior debt (including the senior notes) and any of our future senior indebtedness.

The senior subordinated notes are general unsecured senior subordinated obligations that rank junior in right of payment to all of our existing and future senior indebtedness. As of May 31, 2010, we had:

approximately \$4,873.4 million of senior indebtedness outstanding (including \$1,544.6 million in aggregate principal amount of the senior notes and \$3,328.8 million of borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities);

an additional approximately \$377.8 million of borrowing capacity under our senior secured cash flow revolving credit facility, which, if borrowed, would be senior indebtedness;

an additional \$324.9 million available for borrowing under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility, subject to borrowing base limitations, which, if borrowed, would be senior indebtedness;

the option to incur additional incremental term loans or increase the cash flow revolving credit facility commitments under our senior secured cash flow facilities of up to an amount that would cause our Senior Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined in our senior secured cash flow facilities) to be equal to or less than 4.50 to 1.00, which, if borrowed, would be senior indebtedness;

the option to increase the asset-based revolving credit facility commitments under our senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility by up to \$100.0 million, which, if borrowed would be senior indebtedness; and

an additional \$122.7 million available for borrowing under our non-US facilities, which, if borrowed, would be senior indebtedness. In addition, under the senior toggle notes, we will have the option to elect to pay PIK interest for five years after the closing date for any interest period other than the initial interest period. In the event we make a PIK interest election in this period in which we are entitled to make such an election, our debt will increase by the amount of such interest.

We may not pay principal, premium, if any, interest or other amounts on account of the senior subordinated notes in the event of a payment default or certain other defaults in respect of certain of our senior indebtedness, including the senior notes and borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities, unless the senior indebtedness has been paid in full or the default has been cured or waived. In addition, in the event of certain other defaults with respect to certain of our senior indebtedness, we may not be permitted to pay any amount on account of the senior subordinated notes for a designated period of time.

Table of Contents

Because of the subordination provisions in the senior subordinated notes, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or dissolution, our assets will not be available to pay obligations under the senior subordinated notes until we have made all payments in cash on our senior indebtedness. Sufficient assets may not remain after all these payments have been made to make any payments on the senior subordinated notes, including payments of principal or interest when due.

If we default on our obligations to pay our other indebtedness, we may not be able to make payments on the notes.

Any default under the agreements governing our indebtedness, including a default under our senior secured credit facilities that is not waived by the required lenders, and the remedies sought by the holders of such indebtedness, could prevent us from paying principal, premium, if any, and interest on the notes and substantially decrease the market value of the notes. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow and are otherwise unable to obtain funds necessary to meet required payments of principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness, or if we otherwise fail to comply with the various covenants, including financial and operating covenants in the instruments governing our indebtedness (including covenants in our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes), we could be in default under the terms of the agreements governing such indebtedness, including our senior secured credit facilities and the indentures governing the notes. In the event of such default:

the holders of such indebtedness may be able to cause all of our available cash flow to be used to pay such indebtedness and, in any event, could elect to declare all the funds borrowed thereunder to be due and payable, together with accrued and unpaid interest;

the lenders under our senior secured credit facilities could elect to terminate their commitments thereunder, cease making further loans and institute foreclosure proceedings against our assets;

we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation; and

the subordination provisions in the senior subordinated notes may prevent us from paying any obligation with respect to such notes. If our operating performance declines, we may in the future need to obtain waivers from the required lenders under our senior secured credit facilities to avoid being in default. If we breach our covenants under our senior secured credit facilities and seek a waiver, we may not be able to obtain a waiver from the required lenders. If this occurs, we would be in default under our senior secured credit facilities, the lenders could exercise their rights, as described above, and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

We may not be able to repurchase the notes upon a change of control.

Upon the occurrence of specific kinds of change of control events, we will be required to offer to repurchase all outstanding notes at 101% of their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. The source of funds for any such purchase of the notes will be our available cash or cash generated from our subsidiaries' operations or other sources, including borrowings, sales of assets or sales of equity. We may not be able to repurchase the notes upon a change of control because we may not have sufficient financial resources to purchase all of the notes that are tendered upon a change of control. Further, we will be contractually restricted under the terms of our senior secured credit facilities from repurchasing all of the notes tendered by holders upon a change of control. Accordingly, we may not be able to satisfy our obligations to purchase the notes unless we are able to refinance or obtain waivers under our senior secured credit facilities. Our failure to repurchase the notes upon a change of control would cause a default under the indentures governing the notes and a cross default under our senior secured credit facilities. Our senior secured credit facilities also provide that a change of control will be a default that permits lenders to accelerate the maturity of borrowings thereunder. Any of our future debt agreements may contain similar provisions.

Table of Contents

The trading prices for the notes will be directly affected by many factors, including our credit rating.

Credit rating agencies continually revise their ratings for companies they follow. The condition of the financial and credit markets and prevailing interest rates have fluctuated in the past and are likely to fluctuate in the future. Any such fluctuation may impact the trading price of the notes. In addition, developments in our business and operations could lead to a ratings downgrade which could adversely affect the trading price of the notes, or the trading market for the notes.

Federal and state fraudulent transfer laws may permit a court to void the notes and the guarantees, subordinate claims in respect of the notes and the guarantees and require noteholders to return payments received. If this occurs, noteholders may not receive any payments on the notes.

Federal and state fraudulent transfer and conveyance statutes may apply to the issuance of the notes and the incurrence of any guarantees. Under federal bankruptcy law and comparable provisions of state fraudulent transfer or conveyance laws, which may vary from state to state, the notes or guarantees could be voided as a fraudulent transfer or conveyance if (1) we or any of the guarantors, as applicable, issued the notes or incurred the guarantees with the intent of hindering, delaying or defrauding creditors or (2) we or any of the guarantors, as applicable, received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration in return for either issuing the notes or incurring the guarantees and, in the case of (2) only, one of the following is also true at the time thereof:

we or any of the guarantors, as applicable, were insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of the issuance of the notes or the incurrence of the guarantees;

the issuance of the notes or the incurrence of the guarantees left us or any of the guarantors, as applicable, with an unreasonably small amount of capital to carry on the business;

we or any of the guarantors intended to, or believed that we or such guarantor would, incur debts beyond our or such guarantor's ability to pay such debts as they mature; or

we or any of the guarantors was a defendant in an action for money damages, or had a judgment for money damages docketed against us or such guarantor if, in either case, after final judgment, the judgment is unsatisfied.

A court would likely find that we or a guarantor did not receive reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for the notes or such guarantee if we or such guarantor did not substantially benefit directly or indirectly from the issuance of the notes or the applicable guarantee. As a general matter, value is given for a transfer or an obligation if, in exchange for the transfer or obligation, property is transferred or an antecedent debt is secured or satisfied. A debtor will generally not be considered to have received value in connection with a debt offering if the debtor uses the proceeds of that offering to make a dividend payment or otherwise retire or redeem equity securities issued by the debtor.

We cannot be certain as to the standards a court would use to determine whether or not we or the guarantors were solvent at the relevant time or, regardless of the standard that a court uses, that the issuance of the guarantees would not be further subordinated to our or any of our guarantors' other debt. Generally, however, an entity would be considered insolvent if, at the time it incurred indebtedness:

the sum of its debts, including contingent liabilities, was greater than the fair saleable value of all its assets;

the present fair saleable value of its assets was less than the amount that would be required to pay its probable liability on its existing debts, including contingent liabilities, as they become absolute and mature; or

it could not pay its debts as they become due.

Edgar Filing: BIOMET INC - Form 10-K

If a court were to find that the issuance of the notes or the incurrence of the guarantee was a fraudulent transfer or conveyance, the court could void the payment obligations under the notes or such guarantee or further

Table of Contents

subordinate the notes or such guarantee to presently existing and future indebtedness of ours or of the related guarantor, or require the holders of the notes to repay any amounts received with respect to such guarantee. In the event of a finding that a fraudulent transfer or conveyance occurred, noteholders may not receive any repayment on the notes. Further, the voidance of the notes could result in an event of default with respect to our and our subsidiaries' other debt that could result in acceleration of such debt.

Although each guarantee entered into by a guarantor will contain a provision intended to limit that guarantor's liability to the maximum amount that it could incur without causing the incurrence of obligations under its guarantee to be a fraudulent transfer, this provision may not be effective to protect those guarantees from being voided under fraudulent transfer law, or may reduce that guarantor's obligation to an amount that effectively makes its guarantee worthless.

We are indirectly owned and controlled by the Sponsors, and the Sponsors' interests as equity holders may conflict with the interests of noteholders as creditors.

We are a subsidiary of Parent and the Sponsors have the ability to control our policies and operations. The interests of the Sponsors may not in all cases be aligned with our noteholders' interests. For example, if we encounter financial difficulties or are unable to pay our debts as they mature, the interests of our equity holders might conflict with our noteholders' interests. In addition, our equity holders may have an interest in pursuing acquisitions, divestitures, financings or other transactions that, in their judgment, could enhance their equity investments, even though such transactions might involve risks to holders of the notes. Furthermore, the Sponsors may in the future own businesses that directly or indirectly compete with us. The Sponsors also may pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business, and as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. For information concerning our arrangements with the Sponsors following the Transactions, see Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions.

Our noteholders will be required to pay U.S. federal income tax on the senior toggle notes even if we do not pay cash interest.

None of the interest payments on the senior toggle notes will be qualified stated interest for U.S. federal income tax purposes, even if we never exercise the option to pay PIK interest, because the senior toggle notes provide us with the option to pay cash interest or PIK interest for any interest payment period after the initial interest payment and prior to October 15, 2012. Consequently, the senior toggle notes will be treated as issued with original issue discount for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and U.S. holders will be required to include the original issue discount in gross income on a constant yield to maturity basis, regardless of whether interest is paid currently in cash. See Certain Material United States Federal Income Tax Considerations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Properties.
Our Facilities**

Our principal executive offices are at 56 East Bell Drive, Warsaw, Indiana. In addition, we maintain more than 50 other manufacturing facilities, offices and warehouse facilities in various countries, including Canada and numerous countries within Europe, Asia Pacific and Latin America. We believe that all of our facilities are adequate, well maintained and suitable for the development, manufacture, distribution and marketing of all our products. The following is a list of our principal properties as of May 31, 2010:

| FACILITY | LOCATION | SQUARE FEET | OWNED/LEASED |
|--|--|--------------------|---------------------|
| Corporate headquarters of Biomet, Inc.; manufacturing, storage and research and development facilities of Biomet Manufacturing Corp.; manufacturing & storage facilities of Microfixation, LLC; distribution center and offices of Biomet Orthopedics, LLC; distribution center and offices of Biomet Sports Medicine, LLC; distribution center and offices of Biomet Biologies, LLC and distribution center of EBI, LLC | Warsaw, Indiana | 541,699 | Owned |
| Administrative, manufacturing and distribution facility of EBI, LLC and administrative offices of Electro-Biology, LLC | (1) Parsippany, New Jersey (a) (2) Parsippany, New Jersey | 73,450 213,750 | Owned Owned |
| Administrative, manufacturing and distribution facility of Biomet Microfixation, LLC | Jacksonville, Florida | 82,500 | Owned |
| Office, manufacturing and distribution facility of Biomet 3i, LLC | (1) Palm Beach Gardens, Florida (2) Palm Beach Gardens, Florida (b) | 117,000 69,000 | Owned Owned |
| Manufacturing facility of Biomet Fair Lawn, LLC | Fair Lawn, New Jersey | 40,000 | Owned |
| Office and manufacturing facility of Electro-Biology, LLC | Guaynabo, Puerto Rico | 34,700 | Owned |
| Office, manufacturing and distribution facilities of Interpore Spine Ltd. | (1) Irvine, California (2) Irvine, California | 36,800 2,700 | Leased Leased |
| Office facilities of Biomet Sports Medicine, LLC | Foster City, California | 3,060 | Leased |
| Office, manufacturing and warehouse facility of Biomet France Sarl | Valence, France | 86,100 | Owned |
| Office, manufacturing and warehouse facilities of Biomet Deutschland GmbH | Berlin, Germany | 49,900 | Owned |
| Administrative offices of Biomet Europe B.V. and office and warehouse facility of Biomet Nederland B.V. and Biomet Microfixation Europe B.V. | Dordrecht, The Netherlands | 37,700 | Owned |
| Office and manufacturing facility of Biomet Spain Orthopedics S.L. | Valencia, Spain | 69,600 | Owned |
| Office, manufacturing and warehouse facilities of Biomet Cementing Technologies AB | Sjöbo, Sweden (a) | 24,200 | Owned |
| Manufacturing and administrative facilities of Biomet UK Ltd. | (1) Bridgend, South Wales (2) Swindon, England | 111,956 54,800 | Owned Owned |
| Manufacturing, administrative and warehouse facilities of Zhejiang Biomet | Jinhua, China | 110,000 | Owned |
| Manufacturing, administrative and warehouse facilities of Changzhou Biomet | Changzhou, China | 82,000 | Owned |
| Administrative office facilities for China operations | Shanghai, China | 4,500 | Leased |

(a) Currently held as available for sale.

(b) Includes 23,000 square feet of space in this facility that is leased to other parties.

Table of Contents

Our properties in Warsaw, Indiana; Parsippany, New Jersey and Palm Beach Gardens, Florida have been mortgaged to support our obligations under our senior secured cash flow facility. We believe our headquarters, manufacturing and other facilities are suitable for their respective uses and are, in general, adequate for our present needs. Our properties are subject to various federal, state, foreign and local laws and regulations regulating their operation. We do not believe that compliance with such laws and regulations will materially affect our financial position or results of operations.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

U.S. Department of Justice Consulting Agreement Investigation

On September 27, 2007, we entered into a Deferred Prosecution Agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of New Jersey. The agreement concluded the government's investigation into whether consulting agreements between the largest orthopedic manufacturers and orthopedic surgeons who use joint reconstruction and replacement products may have violated the federal Anti-Kickback Statute.

Through the agreement, the U.S. Attorney's Office agreed not to prosecute Biomet in connection with this matter, provided that we satisfied our obligations under the agreement over the 18 months following the date of the Deferred Prosecution Agreement. The agreement called for the appointment of an independent monitor to review our compliance with the agreement, particularly in relation to its consulting agreements. On March 27, 2009, the Deferred Prosecution Agreement expired and the complaint was dismissed with prejudice.

As part of the resolution of this matter, we also entered into a Corporate Integrity Agreement with the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The agreement requires us for five years subsequent to September 27, 2007 to continue to adhere to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and certain other provisions, including reporting requirements.

U.S. Department of Justice EBI Products Investigations and Other Matters

In February 2010, we received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services requesting various documents relating to agreements or arrangements between physicians and our Interpore Cross subsidiary for the period from 1999 through the present and the marketing and sales activities associated with Interpore Cross spinal products. We are currently in the process of evaluating the scope of the subpoena and intend to fully cooperate with the request of the Office of the Inspector General. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this inquiry or its final outcome.

In April 2009, we received an administrative subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts requesting various documents relating primarily to the Medicare reimbursement of and certain business practices related to our EBI subsidiary's non-invasive bone growth stimulators. We understand competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market received similar subpoenas. We received subsequent subpoenas in connection with the investigation in September 2009 and June 2010 along with several informal requests for information. We are producing responsive documents and are fully cooperating in the investigation.

In April 2009, we became aware of a qui tam complaint alleging violations of the federal and various state False Claims Acts filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, where it is currently pending. Biomet, Parent, and several of our competitors in the non-invasive bone growth stimulation market were named as defendants in this action. The allegations in the complaint are similar in nature to certain categories of requested documents in the above-referenced administrative subpoenas. The U.S. government has not intervened in the action. We are vigorously defending this matter and intend to continue to do so. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this litigation or its final outcome.

Table of Contents***U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Informal Investigation***

On September 25, 2007, we received a letter from the SEC informing us that it is conducting an informal investigation regarding possible violations of the FCPA in the sale of medical devices in certain foreign countries by companies in the medical devices industry. The FCPA prohibits U.S. companies and their officers, directors, employees, shareholders acting on their behalf and agents from offering, promising, authorizing or making payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business abroad or otherwise obtaining favorable treatment and this law requires companies to maintain records which fairly and accurately reflect transactions and to maintain internal accounting controls. In many countries, hospitals and clinics are government-owned and healthcare professionals employed by such hospitals and clinics, with whom we regularly interacts, may meet the definition of a foreign official for purposes of the FCPA. If we are found to have violated the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, we may face sanctions including fines, criminal penalties, disgorgement of profits and suspension or debarment of our ability to contract with government agencies or receive export licenses. On November 9, 2007, we received a letter from the Department of Justice requesting any information provided to the SEC be provided to the Department of Justice on a voluntary basis. We believe we have fully cooperated with both requests and have conducted our own review relating to these matters in certain countries in which we and our distributors conduct business. We can make no assurances as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this litigation or its final outcome.

Other Matters

On December 30, 2009, Heraeus Kulzer GmbH initiated legal proceedings in Germany against Biomet and its subsidiary, Biomet Europe BV, alleging that we and Biomet Europe BV misappropriated Heraeus Kulzer trade secrets when developing our new lines of European bone cements. The lawsuit seeks damages in excess of 30 million and injunctive relief to preclude us from producing our current line of European bone cements. We have filed our response and are awaiting the first hearing on this matter. We can make no assurance as to the time or resources that will be needed to devote to this litigation or its final outcome.

In late 2004 and early 2005, approximately 120 plaintiffs sued Dr. John King in the Circuit Court of Putnam County, West Virginia. Plaintiffs alleged that Dr. King was professionally negligent when he performed surgery on the plaintiffs at Putnam General Hospital in Putnam County, West Virginia between November 2002 and June 2003. On May 4, 2009, EBI entered into a mediation settlement memorandum of understanding with 24 of the 27 plaintiffs who brought suit against us to settle all claims against EBI in the actions brought by those plaintiffs. The releases for the 24 plaintiffs have been finalized and executed and the cash settlement payments paid to date have been funded out of our available cash balances and were paid during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The settlement did not encompass the three remaining lawsuits relating to Dr. King and EBI's Ioni[®] Spine Spacer System in which EBI is a named defendant. On February 12, 2010, EBI reached an agreement in principle with the three remaining plaintiffs to settle all claims against EBI in the actions brought by those plaintiffs and subsequently entered into settlement agreements with each of the plaintiffs. The settlement agreements provide that each of the three plaintiffs fully release EBI as a condition to receipt of the confidential settlement payments, which amounts have been previously accrued by us as part of our reserve for this matter and have been paid out of our available cash balances. The settlement agreements contain no admission of wrongdoing by us or any of our subsidiaries.

There are various other claims, lawsuits, disputes with third parties, investigations and pending actions involving various allegations against us incident to the operation of our business, principally product liability and intellectual property cases. Each of these matters is subject to various uncertainties, and it is possible that some of these matters may be resolved unfavorably to Biomet. We accrue for losses that are deemed to be probable and subject to reasonable estimate. Based on the advice of our counsel in these matters, management believes that the ultimate outcome of these matters and any liabilities in excess of amounts provided will not have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

Item 4. Reserved.

Table of Contents**Part II.****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. Market and other information**

We are a privately-owned company with no established public trading market for our common stock.

On May 6, 2008, we filed a registration statement on Form S-1, which was declared effective on May 21, 2008, with respect to an indeterminate amount of our senior cash pay notes, senior toggle notes, and senior subordinated notes. On May 20, 2009 and September 16, 2009, we filed post-effective amendments to our registration statement on Form S-1, which were declared effective on May 28, 2009 and September 21, 2009, respectively. The prospectus included in the registration statement had been prepared for Goldman, Sachs & Co. and any affiliates of Goldman, Sachs & Co. in connection with offers and sales of the notes related to market-making transactions in the notes effected from time to time, beginning May 21, 2008. We have not and will not receive any proceeds from such sales. Goldman, Sachs & Co. or its affiliates may act as principal or agent in such transactions, including as agent for the counterparty when acting as principal or as agent for both counterparties, and may receive compensation in the form of discounts and commissions, including from both counterparties, when it acts as agents for both. Such sales will be made at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, at price related thereto or at negotiated prices.

Holdings

As of May 31, 2010, there was one holder of our common stock, LVB Acquisition, Inc., and 491 holders of LVB Acquisition, Inc.'s common stock on a fully diluted basis. See Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters for additional information about the ownership of LVB Acquisition, Inc.'s common stock.

Dividends

We are currently restricted in our ability to pay dividends under various covenants of our debt agreements, including our credit facilities and the indentures governing our notes. We do not expect for the foreseeable future to pay dividends on our common stock. Any future determination to pay dividends will depend upon, among other factors, our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, any contractual restrictions and any other considerations our Board of Directors deems relevant.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

As of May 31, 2010

| Plan category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in first column) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 35,286,500 | \$ 10.00 | 2,233,500 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 35,286,500 | \$ 10.00 | 2,233,500 |

Edgar Filing: BIOMET INC - Form 10-K

See Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters for a description of our authorized shares under our management equity plan.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.
Statement of Operations Data**

Fiscal Years Ended 2010 and 2009, Periods July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 and June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, and Fiscal Years Ended 2007 and 2006

| (in millions) | 2010 (Successor) | 2009 (Successor) | July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008 (Successor) (2) | June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 (Predecessor) (2) | 2007 (Predecessor) | 2006 (Predecessor) |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Net sales | \$ 2,698.0 | \$ 2,504.1 | \$ 2,134.5 | \$ 248.8 | \$ 2,107.4 | \$ 2,025.7 |
| Cost of sales | 819.9 | 828.4 | 814.7 | 102.3 | 642.3 | 582.1 |
| Gross profit | 1,878.1 | 1,675.7 | 1,319.8 | 146.5 | 1,465.1 | 1,443.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 1,042.3 | 1,003.6 | 1,097.6 | 194.2 | 881.1 | 750.2 |
| Research and development expense | 106.6 | 93.5 | 82.2 | 34.0 | 85.6 | 74.8 |
| In-process research and development Amortization (1) | 372.6 | 375.8 | 329.3 | 0.5 | 8.8 | 10.2 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets impairment charge | | 551.1 | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) | 356.6 | (348.3) | (668.3) | (82.2) | 489.6 | 608.4 |
| Interest expense | 516.4 | 550.3 | 516.3 | 0.3 | 9.3 | 11.7 |
| Other (income) expense | (18.1) | 21.8 | 9.7 | (0.6) | (21.3) | (14.3) |
| Income (loss) before taxes | (141.7) | (920.4) | (1,194.3) | (81.9) | 501.6 | 611.0 |
| Provision on (benefit from) income taxes | (94.1) | (171.2) | (230.1) | (27.3) | 165.7 | 205.1 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (47.6) | \$ (749.2) | \$ (964.2) | \$ (54.6) | \$ 335.9 | \$ 405.9 |

- (1) Amortization expense was classified within research and development prior to June 1, 2007; therefore the prior years have been reclassified to conform to the presentation for the periods after June 1, 2007.
- (2) The Successor and Predecessor periods together are not comparable to the preceding two years presented above due to a new basis of accounting on July 12, 2007.

Balance Sheet Data At May 31,

| (in millions) | (Successor) | | | (Predecessor) | |
|----------------------|-------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Working capital | \$ 786.5 | \$ 756.9 | \$ 785.2 | \$ 1,105.9 | \$ 816.6 |
| Total assets | 11,969.0 | 12,600.9 | 13,781.8 | 2,457.9 | 2,282.6 |
| Total debt | 5,896.5 | 6,212.7 | 6,300.8 | 81.8 | 276.6 |
| Shareholder s equity | 3,733.5 | 3,840.3 | 4,836.3 | 2,049.2 | 1,720.2 |

Table of Contents**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations includes periods prior to the consummation of the Merger. Accordingly, the following discussion and analysis of such historical periods does not reflect the significant impact that the Merger has had on us, including significantly increased leverage and liquidity requirements. You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with the Selected Financial Data, and our historical audited consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this annual report. The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations contains forward-looking statements, which are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those described in Risk Factors and Forward-Looking Statements of this annual report. Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

Overview

Our net sales increased 8% to \$2,698.0 million for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to \$2,504.1 million for the year ended May 31, 2009 primarily due to mid to high single-digit growth in the U.S. geographic market, as well as strong sales growth in the International geographic market. The effect of foreign currency fluctuations positively impacted reported net sales by \$25.7 million, or 1%. Pricing within the domestic and international markets was slightly negative with both volume and mix being favorable. The following represents key sales growth statistics for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to the year ended May 31, 2009:

Reconstructive product sales increased 9% worldwide and 10% in the U.S.

Knee sales increased 13% worldwide and 11% in the U.S.

Hip sales increased 7% worldwide and 6% in the U.S.

Extremities sales increased 29% worldwide and 44% in the U.S.

Dental sales decreased 2% worldwide and in the U.S.

Spinal product sales increased 6% worldwide and in the U.S.

Our operating income for the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$356.6 million compared to an operating loss of \$348.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. Operating loss for the year ended May 31, 2009 was negatively impacted by the goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment charge of \$551.1 million. This increase is also due to the following: 1) increase in the geographic mix of sales in the U.S. versus outside the U.S. compared to the prior year, as sales prices are typically higher in the U.S., and 2) closely managing discretionary sales, general and administrative expenses, especially at locations experiencing lower sales growth. Partially offsetting this increase was \$9.3 million of expense to adjust our public accounts receivable in Greece to its expected net realizable value based upon the recent proposal by the Greek government to settle a portion of their past due healthcare liabilities with long-term zero coupon bonds.

Our interest expense for the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$516.4 million, as compared to \$550.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, primarily due to lower interest rates on variable rate debt.

Net loss for the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$47.6 million or \$701.6 million less than the same period in the prior year, primarily due to the goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment charge of \$551.1 million recorded during the year ended May 31, 2009.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$321.5 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, as compared to net cash provided of \$243.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, with the current year being significantly impacted by a \$61.0 million litigation settlement payment. Our working capital improvement initiatives have contributed to improved operating cash flows in accounts receivable, inventory and accounts payable of \$4.7 million in the aggregate for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to the prior year.

Table of Contents

Our Business

We design, manufacture and market a comprehensive range of both surgical and non-surgical products used primarily by orthopedic surgeons and other musculoskeletal medical specialists. We operate in one reportable business segment, musculoskeletal products, which includes the design, manufacture and marketing of products in four major product categories: reconstructive products, fixation devices, spinal products and other products. We have three reportable geographic markets: United States, Europe and International.

Reconstructive products, which represented 75% of our net sales for fiscal 2010, 74% of our net sales for fiscal 2009 and for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and 71% of our net sales for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, include knee, hip and extremity joint replacement systems, as well as dental reconstructive implants, bone cements and accessories, cement delivery systems, and autologous therapies.

Fixation devices, which represented 9% of our net sales for fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009, 10% of our net sales for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and 11% of our net sales for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, include internal and external fixation devices, craniomaxillofacial fixation systems, bone substitute materials, and electrical stimulation devices that do not address the spine.

Spinal products, which represented 9% of our net sales for fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009, 8% of our net sales for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and 10% of our net sales for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, include spinal fixation systems for cervical, thoracolumbar, deformity correction and spacer applications, electrical stimulation devices and allograft services for spinal applications, bone substitute materials, and orthobiologics for the spine.

The other product sales category, which represented 7% of our net sales for fiscal 2010, and 8% of our net sales for fiscal 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 to May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007, includes sports medicine products, softgoods and bracing products, casting materials, general surgical instruments, operating room supplies, wound care products and other surgical products.

Depending on the intended application, we report sales of bone substitute materials in the reconstructive product, fixation device or spinal product category.

We have operations in over 50 locations, distribute our products in approximately 90 countries throughout the world and manage our operations through three reportable geographic markets mentioned above. We are the fourth largest player in the U.S. orthopedic reconstructive market and have maintained this position for over ten years. We supply products to over 60% of U.S. hospitals performing joint replacement surgery. In addition, we are the third largest manufacturer and marketer of dental reconstructive devices worldwide and maintain leadership positions in the electrical stimulation and craniomaxillofacial fields. We have a long history of innovation, engineering quality and successful new product launches.

Opportunities and Challenges

Our results of operations could be substantially affected not only by global economic conditions, but also by local operating and economic conditions, which can vary substantially by market. Unfavorable conditions can depress sales in a given market and may result in actions that adversely affect our margins, constrain our operating flexibility or result in charges which are unusual or non-recurring. Certain macroeconomic events, such as the current adverse conditions in the global economy, could have a more wide-ranging and prolonged impact on the general business environment, which could also adversely affect us.

We believe the global uncertainty or recessionary environment has impacted the year over year market growth rates of the orthopedic reconstructive device industry from the historical rates in the high single digits to current market growth rates in the mid single digits. Because of this, management has taken, and will continue to take, precautionary measures to be able to manage expenses more conservatively, especially if our revenues were to decrease below those internally forecasted.

Table of Contents

In the United States, healthcare providers that purchase our products (*e.g.*, hospitals, physicians, dentists and other health care providers) generally rely on payments from third-party payors (principally federal Medicare, state Medicaid and private health insurance plans) to cover all or a portion of the cost of our musculoskeletal products. In March 2010, the U.S. Congress adopted and President Obama signed into law comprehensive health care reform legislation through the passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Health Care Act (H.R. 3590) and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (H.R. 4872). Among other initiatives, these bills impose a 2.3% excise tax on domestic sales of medical devices following December 31, 2012, which is estimated to contribute approximately \$27 billion to healthcare reform. Various healthcare reform proposals have also emerged at the state level. Outside of the excise tax, which will impact results of operations following December 31, 2012, we cannot predict with certainty what healthcare initiatives, if any, will be implemented at the state level, or what the ultimate effect of federal health care reform or any future legislation or regulation will have on us. However, an expansion in government's role in the U.S. healthcare industry may lower reimbursements for our products, reduce medical procedure volumes and adversely affect our business and results of operations, possibly materially.

Outside of the United States, reimbursement systems vary significantly from country to country. If adequate levels of reimbursement from third-party payors outside of the United States are not obtained, international sales of our products may decline. Many foreign markets, including Canada, and some European and Asian countries, have tightened reimbursement rates. Our ability to continue to sell certain products profitably in these markets may diminish if the government-managed healthcare systems continue to reduce reimbursement rates, which can decrease pricing and procedural volume.

European Sovereign Debt Crisis

We continue to monitor economic conditions, including the volatility associated with international sovereign economies, and associated impacts on the financial markets and our business, especially in light of the global economic downturn and European sovereign debt crisis. We believe the credit and economic conditions within Greece, Spain, Italy and Portugal, among other members of the European Union, have deteriorated over the past twelve months. These conditions have resulted in, and may continue to result in, an increase in the average length of time that it takes to collect on our accounts receivable outstanding in these countries.

As of May 31, 2010, our orthopedic net accounts receivable in Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal totaled over \$100.0 million. To date, we have not experienced any significant cash losses with respect to the collection of our accounts receivable related to sales within these countries. However, during fiscal 2010 we did recognize \$9.3 million of expense to adjust our public accounts receivable in Greece to its expected net realizable value based upon the recent proposal by the Greek government to settle certain past due healthcare liabilities with long-term zero coupon bonds. We classified \$38.9 million of our Greece receivables as a long-term asset based on the Greek government proposal.

We have expanded the factoring of our accounts receivable in Spain, Italy and Portugal. Control and risk of those trade receivables are fully transferred and accounted for as a sale. We factored approximately \$39.7 million of receivables under these factoring arrangements during fiscal 2010, which serve to reduce our collection risk.

Seasonality

Our business is somewhat seasonal in nature, as many of our products are used in elective procedures, which typically decline during the summer months, particularly in European countries, and the winter holiday season.

Impact of Inflation

We attempt to minimize the annual effects of inflation through appropriate planning, operating practices, and product pricing. Inflation during fiscal 2010, for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, and for the

Table of Contents

period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, was not material. Although we experienced higher than normal inflationary costs during fiscal 2009, we do not believe the impact was material to the consolidated financial statements.

The Transactions

On December 18, 2006, we entered into the Merger Agreement with Parent and Purchaser. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, on June 13, 2007, Purchaser commenced the Offer to purchase all of our outstanding Shares, without par value, at the Offer Price without interest and less any required withholding taxes. The Offer was made pursuant to Purchaser's offer to purchase dated June 13, 2007 and the related letter of transmittal. The Offer expired on July 11, 2007, with approximately 82% of the outstanding Shares having been tendered to Purchaser. At a special meeting of shareholders held on September 5, 2007, more than 91% of our shareholders voted to approve the Merger, and Parent acquired us on September 25, 2007 through a reverse subsidiary merger with Biomet, Inc. being the surviving company. Subsequent to the acquisition, we became a subsidiary of our Parent, which is controlled by Holding, an entity controlled by the Sponsors and their Co-Investors. Parent's sole asset is 100% of our capital stock. Accordingly, a separate discussion of Parent's financial condition and results of operations is not provided since we are representative of Parent's consolidated operations.

The Offer for Biomet's Shares was completed successfully on July 11, 2007. Although Biomet continues as the same legal entity after the Merger, Parent's cost of acquiring Biomet has been pushed-down to establish a new accounting basis for Biomet. Accordingly, the financial information in the tables and discussion below for the year ended May 31, 2008 is presented separately for the period prior to the completion of the Offer (June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007, the "Predecessor Period") and the period after the completion of the Offer (July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 and the fiscal years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, or the "Successor Period"). The financial information of the Successor Period is not comparable to the Predecessor Period because of the new basis of accounting resulting from the Merger. A comparative discussion of the results of operations for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2009 versus the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 has been provided. Our results of operations for the Predecessor Period and the Successor Period for the period ended May 31, 2008 should not be considered representative of our future results of operations.

In connection with the Transactions, we incurred significant indebtedness and became highly leveraged; see "Liquidity and Capital Resources." In addition, the purchase price paid in connection with the acquisition was allocated to state the acquired assets and liabilities at fair value. We allocated the purchase price to the fair value of the assets and liabilities of Biomet based on estimated fair values utilizing generally accepted valuation methodologies. Both assets and liabilities were valued as of July 11, 2007. As noted in the purchase price allocation, in-process research and development projects were acquired. The most significant projects acquired occurred in the hip, knee and spine divisions. We expect to use these products to leverage and build on those products that have been in the market for a number of years. The purchase accounting adjustments increased the carrying value of our property and equipment, inventory and established intangible assets for the Successor Period (such as corporate and product trade names, core and completed technology and customer relationships), among other things. Subsequent to the Transactions, interest expense and non-cash depreciation and amortization charges have significantly increased. As a result, our financial statements for the Successor Period are not comparable to our financial statements for the Predecessor Period.

The purchase price allocation was based on information currently available to us, and expectations, assumptions and valuation methodologies deemed reasonable by our management. No assurance can be given, however, that the underlying assumptions used to estimate expected technology-based product revenues, development costs or profitability, or the events associated with such technology, will occur as projected. Certain other fair value estimates related to intellectual property and other matters, investments, and inventory and instruments associated with brands we are considering to discontinue were also performed.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

We believe the following developments or trends are important in understanding our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the fiscal years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, and the period from July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008.

Unfavorable conditions in the economy have had an adverse effect on our dental reconstructive business during fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010 as compared to prior fiscal years principally due to the elective nature of dental implant procedures, which are typically not reimbursed by private insurance plans or governmental agencies. While we have already undertaken and continue to undertake certain operating initiatives in connection with this business, we anticipate that the growth rate of our worldwide dental business could remain flat during the current global economic environment, compared to reported double digit growth in fiscal 2008. Dental sales decreased 2% worldwide and in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010; however, we believe the dental market has begun to stabilize and showed signs of improvement during the last half of fiscal 2010.

Our results of operations for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, and the period from July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, are not comparative to our results of operations for the period June 1, 2007 to July 11, 2007 because of the new basis of accounting resulting from the Merger. Both assets and liabilities were fair valued as of July 11, 2007. On July 11, 2007, 82.4% of the step-up was recorded, which included a \$392.8 million in-process research and development charge, and a \$66.2 million and \$132.1 million fair value step-up to property, plant, and equipment and inventory, respectively, and then combined with 17.6% of the Predecessor Company. On September 25, 2007 (the Closing Date), the remaining fair value step-up of 17.6% was recorded. The additional step-up performed included an increase in the IPRD charge of \$86.2 million, an increase of the property, plant, and equipment fair value of \$14.2 million, and an increase in the fair value of inventory of \$28.2 million. Also, the Tender Facility starting on July 12, 2007 was refinanced on the Closing Date into various other credit facilities. See Note 8 within the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a description of those facilities. On July 12, 2007, we eliminated reporting on a one month lag that was in place during the predecessor period at certain foreign subsidiaries. The effect of this change is immaterial to the financial results included below.

For the Year Ended May 31, 2010 Compared to the Year Ended May 31, 2009

| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | Year Ended May 31, 2010 | Percentage of Net Sales | Year Ended May 31, 2009 | Percentage of Net Sales | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Net sales | \$ 2,698.0 | 100% | \$ 2,504.1 | 100% | 8% |
| Cost of sales | 819.9 | 30 | 828.4 | 33 | (1) |
| Gross profit | 1,878.1 | 70 | 1,675.7 | 67 | 12 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 1,042.3 | 39 | 1,003.6 | 40 | 4 |
| Research and development expense | 106.6 | 4 | 93.5 | 4 | 14 |
| Amortization | 372.6 | 14 | 375.8 | 15 | (1) |
| Goodwill & intangible assets impairment charge | | | 551.1 | 22 | (100) |
| Operating income (loss) | 356.6 | 13 | (348.3) | (14) | N/A |
| Interest expense | 516.4 | 19 | 550.3 | 22 | (6) |
| Other (income) expense | (18.1) | (1) | 21.8 | 1 | N/A |
| Other expense, net | 498.3 | 18 | 572.1 | 23 | (13) |
| Loss before income taxes | (141.7) | (5) | (920.4) | (37) | (85) |
| Benefit from income taxes | (94.1) | (3) | (171.2) | (7) | (45) |
| Net loss | \$ (47.6) | (2)% | \$ (749.2) | (30)% | (94)% |

Table of Contents**Sales**

Net sales were \$2,698.0 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, and \$2,504.1 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. The following tables provide net sales by geography and product category:

Geography Sales Summary

| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | Year Ended May 31, 2010 | Percentage of Net Sales | Year Ended May 31, 2009 | Percentage of Net Sales | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| United States | \$ 1,644.1 | 61% | \$ 1,527.9 | 61% | 8% |
| Europe | 728.8 | 27 | 711.7 | 28 | 2 |
| International (1) | 325.1 | 12 | 264.5 | 11 | 23 |
| Total | \$ 2,698.0 | 100% | \$ 2,504.1 | 100% | 8% |

(1) International primarily includes Canada, South America, Mexico and the Pacific Rim.

Product Category Summary

| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | Year Ended May 31, 2010 | Percentage of Net Sales | Year Ended May 31, 2009 | Percentage of Net Sales | Percentage Increase/ (Decrease) |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Reconstructive | \$ 2,024.5 | 75% | \$ 1,851.0 | 74% | 9% |
| Fixation | 237.8 | 9 | 234.1 | 9 | 2 |
| Spinal | 236.2 | 9 | 222.1 | 9 | 6 |
| Other | 199.5 | 7 | 196.9 | 8 | 1 |
| Total | \$ 2,698.0 | 100% | \$ 2,504.1 | 100% | 8% |

Reconstructive

Our worldwide sales of reconstructive products continued to be a significant percentage of total net sales. Net sales of reconstructive products for the year ended May 31, 2010 were \$2,024.5 million, or 75% of net sales, representing a 9% increase compared to net sales of \$1,851.0 million, or 74% of net sales, during the year ended May 31, 2009. The effect of foreign currency fluctuations positively impacted growth on a reported basis of this product category by \$22.7 million, or 1%.

Global knee product sales increased 13% worldwide and increased 11% in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010. There was continued strong market demand for the Vanguard® Complete Knee System and the Vanguard® SSK Revision Knee System, with positive market acceptance of the E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial Bearings, the Signature Personalized Patient Care system, and the Regenerex® Primary Tibial Trays. E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial Bearings provide Vitamin E-infused highly crosslinked polyethylene, which is designed to offer strength and oxidative stability for improved wear characteristics. The Signature Personalized Patient Care system uses a patient's MRI or CT data to deliver patient-specific positioning guides to the surgeon for improved pre-operative planning and for implementation during the procedure. The advanced porous metal technology of Regenerex® Primary Tibial Trays provides rigid fixation to complete the porous primary knee construct. In addition, Europe knee sales were driven by the Vanguard® Complete Knee System, the Biomet® Modular Tray and the Oxford® Partial Knee System.

Global hip product sales increased 7% worldwide, with a 6% sales increase in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010. The primary drivers of the global hip sales growth included the Regenerex® RingLoc®+ Modular Acetabular Systems, E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Bearings, the Biolog delta (a trademark of CeramTec AG) Ceramic Femoral Heads, the conventional and Microplasty® versions of

the

Table of Contents

Taperloc® Hip System as well as the Echo® Hip System. In addition, Europe hip sales were driven by the Bi-Metric® stem and the Exceed ABT Advanced Bearing Technologies Acetabular System.

Global extremity product sales increased 29% worldwide, with a 44% sales increase in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010. The primary drivers of sales growth included the Comprehensive® Primary and Reverse Shoulder Systems, the Comprehensive® Fracture System, the Copeland® Shoulder, the Discover® Elbow System and the Explor® Modular Radial Head. In addition, Europe extremity sales were driven by the anatomical and reverse versions of the T.E.S.S. Shoulder System.

Dental sales decreased 2% worldwide and in the United States during the year ended May 31, 2010. We believe the dental market has begun to stabilize and showed signs of improvement during the last half of fiscal 2010. The OSSEOTITE® product line is our flagship dental reconstructive implant system.

Fixation

Worldwide net sales of fixation products for the year ended May 31, 2010 were \$237.8 million, or 9% of net sales, compared to net sales of \$234.1 million, also 9% of net sales, during the year ended May 31, 2009. The effect of foreign currency fluctuations did not materially impact growth of this product category. Sales of fixation products reflected double digit growth of craniomaxillofacial fixation sales and high single digit growth of internal fixation sales offset by decreased sales of external fixation and electrical stimulation products. During the year ended May 31, 2010, there was continued strong market demand for the TraumaOne® System, which contributed to the sales growth for craniomaxillofacial fixation. Other key craniomaxillofacial fixation products that contributed to sales growth included the TMJ Replacement System and the OnPoint® Diagnostic Scope System. Key internal fixation products included the Phoenix® Ankle Arthrodesis Nail, the Forerunner Plating System and the OptiLock® Proximal Humeral Plates.

Spinal

Worldwide net sales of spinal products for the year ended May 31, 2010 were \$236.2 million, or 9% of net sales, representing a 6% increase compared to net sales of \$222.1 million, also 9% of net sales, for the year ended May 31, 2009 primarily due to increased sales volume of the three major spine implant segments: spacer, thoracolumbar and cervical.

Sales of spacer products increased primarily due to the strength in sales of the Solitaire® Anterior Spine System, which includes the PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio® Biomaterial Solutions) version of the Solitaire® Spine System for Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusions. Sales of thoracolumbar products continue to grow with strong market acceptance of the Polaris® product line, including the Polaris® Deformity System, which features Trivium® Derotation instruments. Sales of cervical products increased primarily due to the strength in sales of the MaxAn® Anterior Cervical Plate System (the MaxAn® Anterior Cervical Plate System incorporates technology developed by Gary K. Michelson, M.D.), which is our newest anterior cervical plate. In addition, products that contributed to spinal sales in Europe included the Synergy® Spinal System and the Array® Spinal System.

Other

Worldwide net sales of other products for the year ended May 31, 2010 were \$199.5 million, or 7% of net sales, compared to net sales of \$196.9 million, or 8% of net sales, during the year ended May 31, 2009. The primary contributors of other product sales during the year ended May 31, 2010 consisted of products from our sports medicine division, which reported double digit sales growth, including the MicroMax® Flex Suture Anchor, the ComposiTCP® Interference Screw, the ZipTight® Fixation Device, the MaxFire® Meniscal Repair Device, and the ToggleLoc® Femoral Fixation Device with ZipLoop® Technology. In addition, Europe sales growth drivers for our sports medicine product category included the Gentle Threads® Interference Screws and the EZLoc® Femoral Fixation Device.

Table of Contents**Gross Profit**

Gross profit for the year ended May 31, 2010 increased to \$1,878.1 million compared to gross profit for the year ended May 31, 2009 of \$1,675.7 million, or 70% and 67% of net sales, respectively. Gross profit for the year ended May 31, 2009 was negatively impacted by \$67.5 million of product-related litigation expenses for settlements and reserves associated with the King litigation (see Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report) and a \$20.5 million product rationalization charge related to our Biomet Trauma & Biomet Spine business. The decrease from the prior year was partially offset by operational restructuring costs related to our operational improvement initiatives, which included abnormal manufacturing variances related to temporary redundant overhead costs within our plant network as we continued to rationalize and move production to our larger operating locations in order to increase manufacturing efficiency. Excluding these items, gross profit as a percentage of net sales improved slightly in the current year compared to the prior year.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expenses were 39% of net sales for the year ended May 31, 2010, compared to 40% of net sales for the year ended May 31, 2009. This decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended May 31, 2010 primarily related to lower costs associated with our operational restructuring and consulting expenses related to operational improvement initiatives and a decrease in our share-based compensation expense compared to the prior year. Selling, general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2010 were negatively impacted by a \$9.3 million bad debt expense charge related to the proposal the Greece government announced on June 15, 2010 to settle their outstanding debts from 2007 through 2009 primarily by issuing long-term zero-coupon bonds.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense during the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$106.6 million and \$93.5 million, respectively, or 4.0% and 3.7% of net sales, respectively. This increase in research and development expenses for the year ended May 31, 2010 primarily related to our ongoing commitment to increase investment in clinical research and regulatory affairs within our business. Expenses during the year ended May 31, 2010 have primarily been related to the following research and development projects: E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial bearings (Reconstructive-Knees), Arcos Modular Revision Hip System (Reconstructive-Hips), Cobalt MV Bone Cement (Reconstructive-Other), OrthoPak[®] and SpinalPak[®] stimulation platform technologies (Fixation-Stimulation), ZipTight Fixation Device and JuggerKnot Soft Suture Anchor Technology (Other-Sports Medicine). In addition, European expenses have primarily been related to additional research and development projects for the Alpina Unicompartmental Lateral upgrades.

Amortization

Amortization expense for the year ended May 31, 2010 was \$372.6 million, 14% of net sales, compared to \$375.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, or 15% of net sales. This decrease is primarily due to the accelerated method for amortizing customer relationship intangibles as the value for those relationships is greater at the beginning of their life.

Goodwill and Intangible Impairment

During fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$551.1 million goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment charge associated with the dental reconstructive business unit. The decline in sales volume during the third quarter of fiscal 2009 created an indication of potential impairment of our long-lived assets; therefore, we performed a preliminary impairment test as of February 28, 2009. Key factors contributing to the impairment charge included disruptions in the credit and equity market, and changes in the dental reconstructive market

Table of Contents

demand relative to our original assumptions at the time of the Merger. We finalized the impairment test during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. We completed our annual impairment tests as of March 31, 2010, in accordance with our standard timing of testing, and no impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets was indicated for fiscal 2010.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$516.4 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, compared to interest expense of \$550.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. The decrease in interest expense was due to the following: 1) a decrease in interest rates and 2) a lower average debt balance of \$6,117.9 million for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to \$6,245.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009.

Other (Income) Expense

Other (income) expense was income of \$18.1 million for the year ended May 31, 2010, compared to an expense of \$21.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009. The increase in other income for the year ended May 31, 2010 primarily related to currency transaction gains of \$10.4 million compared to currency transaction losses of \$7.0 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, as well as \$5.2 million of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on our investments and the write-down of auction-rate securities of \$9.4 million in the prior year. The currency transaction gains and losses related to our foreign operations were primarily due to the change in the exchange rate of the euro compared to the U.S. Dollar on intercompany inventory purchases.

Benefit from Taxes

The effective income tax rate increased to 66.4% for the year ended May 31, 2010 compared to 18.6% for the year ended May 31, 2009. The fiscal 2010 tax rate is higher than the federal statutory tax rate primarily due to the benefit of foreign earnings taxed at rates lower than the U.S. federal rate, an increase in deferred tax benefit relating to changes in state statutory rate estimates, and the generation of additional foreign tax and general business credits. This rate increase is partially offset by the increase in valuation allowance relating to state and foreign net operating loss carryforwards and an increase in gross FIN 48 liabilities. In fiscal 2009, \$495.6 million of the \$551.1 million impairment charge taken on the dental reconstructive business unit was a non-deductible permanent difference, which decreased the effective tax rate. The increase in the tax rate in fiscal 2010 compared to the prior periods is also partially attributable to changes in the Company's mix of profits and losses in certain foreign and domestic jurisdictions in the current year, primarily the loss in the U.S. as a percent of the total loss before income taxes decreasing in fiscal 2010 compared to fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents

For the Year Ended May 31, 2009 Compared to the Period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008

| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | Year Ended May 31, 2009 | Percentage of Net Sales | July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 | Percentage of Net Sales |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Net sales | \$ 2,504.1 | 100% | \$ 2,134.5 | 100% |
| Cost of sales | 828.4 | 33 | 814.7 | 38 |
| Gross profit | 1,675.7 | 67 | 1,319.8 | 62 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 1,003.6 | 40 | 1,097.6 | 51 |
| Research and development expense | 93.5 | 4 | 82.2 | 4 |
| In-process research and development | | | 479.0 | 23 |
| Amortization | 375.8 | 15 | 329.3 | 15 |
| Goodwill & intangible assets impairment charge | 551.1 | 22 | | |
| Operating income (loss) | (348.3) | (14) | (668.3) | (31) |
| Interest expense | 550.3 | 22 | 516.3 | 24 |
| Other (income) expense | 21.8 | 1 | 9.7 | |
| Other expense, net | 572.1 | 23 | 526.0 | 24 |
| Loss before income taxes | (920.4) | (37) | (1,194.3) | (55) |
| Benefit from income taxes | (171.2) | (7) | (230.1) | (11) |
| Net loss | \$ (749.2) | (30)% | \$ (964.2) | (44)% |

Sales

Net sales were \$2,504.1 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, and \$2,134.5 million for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. The following tables provide net sales by geography and product category:

Geography Sales Summary

| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | Year Ended May 31, 2009 | Percentage of Net Sales | July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 | Percentage of Net Sales |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| United States | \$ 1,527.9 | 61% | \$ 1,251.4 | 59% |
| Europe | 711.7 | 28 | 663.7 | 31 |
| International (1) | 264.5 | 11 | 219.4 | 10 |
| Total | \$ 2,504.1 | 100% | \$ 2,134.5 | 100% |

(1) International primarily includes Canada, South America, Mexico and the Pacific Rim.

Product Category Summary

(in millions, except percentages)

Edgar Filing: BIOMET INC - Form 10-K

| | Year Ended May 31, 2009 | Percentage of Net Sales | July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 | Percentage of Net Sales |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Reconstructive | \$ 1,851.0 | 74% | \$ 1,578.6 | 74% |
| Fixation | 234.1 | 9 | 203.2 | 10 |
| Spinal | 222.1 | 9 | 183.1 | 8 |
| Other | 196.9 | 8 | 169.6 | 8 |
| Total | \$ 2,504.1 | 100% | \$ 2,134.5 | 100% |

Table of Contents

Reconstructive

Our worldwide sales of reconstructive products continued to be a significant percentage of total net sales. Principal drivers behind the reconstructive product sales were knees, which grew 9%, year over year, in the second half of fiscal 2009 in the United States as compared to the second half of fiscal 2008. Worldwide demand remained strong for Vanguard® Complete Knee System and good market acceptance of new technologies contributed to knee sales growth, including E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial Bearings, Regenerex® Tibial Trays and Signature Personalized Patient Care system. During fiscal 2009, we continued the launch of the Signature System, which uses a patient's MRI data to deliver patient-specific positioning guides to the surgeon for improved pre-operative planning and for implementation during the procedure. Hip sales were very strong and grew 14%, year over year, in the second half of fiscal 2009 in the United States as compared to the second half of fiscal 2008, primarily due to the conventional and Microplasty® versions of the Taperloc® Hip System, the M²a-Magnum Acetabular System including Tri-Spike Cups, the Regenerex RingLoc® + Modular Acetabular System, E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Acetabular Liners, and the Echo® Bi-Metric® stem. In addition, European reconstructive sales were strong due to the volume growth of the Vanguard® Complete Knee System, Oxford® Partial Knee System, Aura Hip Stem, Taperloc® Hip System, the Exceed ABT Advanced Bearing Technologies Acetabular System, the T.E.S.S. Shoulder System and the Echo® Bi-Metric® stem.

Unfavorable conditions in the economy have had an adverse effect on our dental business during fiscal 2009, as compared to the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 principally due to the elective nature of dental implant procedures, which are typically not reimbursed by private insurance plans or governmental agencies. While we have already undertaken and continue to undertake certain operating initiatives in connection with this business, we anticipate that the growth rate of our worldwide dental business will remain flat or have a low single digit decline during the current global recessionary environment, compared to reported double digit growth in fiscal 2008.

Fixation

Sales of fixation products reflected global strength of the craniomaxillofacial and internal fixation, with decreased sales of electrical stimulation and external fixation products. The TraumaOne System contributed to the strength of craniomaxillofacial fixation, while the Phoenix Nailing System and the Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail received good market acceptance.

Spinal

Sales of spinal products have continued to improve during fiscal 2009 due to the strength in sales of the Polaris product line including the Polaris Deformity System, the Solitaire Anterior Spine System, which includes the PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio Limited) version for Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusions, the C-Thru Small Stature PEEK Spacer, and services related to the OsteoStiff Cervical Allograft Spacer System.

Other

Sales of other products continued to reflect strong global sales of our sports medicine division from sales of the following products: MaxFire Meniscal Repair Device, ComposiTCP Interference Screw, ToggleLoc Femoral Fixation Device with ZipLoop Technology, MicroMax Suture Anchors, and the new MicroMax FLEX Suture Anchors.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased as a percentage of net sales to 67% for the year ended May 31, 2009 compared to 62% for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. Gross margin for the period July 12, 2007 through

Table of Contents

May 31, 2008 was negatively impacted by increased cost of sales in connection with the Merger, including a charge for the inventory step-up of \$160.3 million. The fiscal 2009 gross profit was negatively impacted by \$67.5 million for settlements and reserves associated with the King litigation (see Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report) and a \$20.5 million product rationalization charge related to our Biomet Trauma & Biomet Spine business. This product rationalization charge is part of a 12-18 month program to streamline the supply chain of this business by discontinuing 15% of the products sold by this division. All of these products have been or will be replaced with other product offerings. This management action is expected to move customers to improved technology, provide for small cost savings and help focus the sales force. Excluding these items, gross margin percentage improved in the current year due to the U.S. business growing faster than our business outside the U.S. and cost savings from our operational improvements program more than offsetting the negative margin impact from our dental business decline.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expenses were 40% of net sales for the year ended May 31, 2009 compared to 51% of net sales for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. Selling, general and administrative expenses were negatively impacted during the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 primarily due to (1) \$172.0 million of transaction fees associated with the Merger, (2) \$26.9 million settlement payment with the Department of Justice, and (3) \$22.0 million of additional distributor fee expense associated with renegotiation of distribution agreements. Excluding these items, selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales were comparable.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expenditures for the year ended May 31, 2009 were \$93.5 million or 4% of net sales, which was relatively consistent with the period for July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 of \$82.2 million or 4% of net sales. Expenses for the year ended May 31, 2009 were primarily related to the following research and development projects: T.E.S.S. Long Stem (Reconstructive-Extremities), E1 Antioxidant Infused Technology Tibial bearings (Reconstructive-Knees), OnPoint Scope (Fixation), Forerunner Plating System (Fixation-Internal), Balli®ta Percutaneous Pedicle Screw Placement System (Spine), AccuVision® Minimally Invasive Spinal Exposure System (Spine), PEEK-OPTIMA® (a registered trademark of Invibio Limited) version of the Solitaire Spine System (Spine), Phoenix Ankle Arthrodesis Nail (Fixation-Internal), and Polaris Deformity System (Spine).

In-Process Research & Development (IPRD)

We recorded IPRD charges of \$479.0 million for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 related to the Merger. We recorded IPRD for the portion of the purchase price representing the value of technologies relating to products that have not received FDA approval or clearance and have no alternative use, excluding the value of core and developed technologies. There were no IPRD charges during the year ended May 31, 2009.

Amortization

Amortization expense for the year ended May 31, 2009 of \$375.8 million, remained flat at 15% of net sales, compared to \$329.3 million during the period from July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008.

Goodwill and Intangible Impairment

During fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$551.1 million goodwill and definite and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment charge associated with the dental reconstructive business unit. The decline in sales volume during the third quarter of fiscal 2009 created an indication of potential impairment of our long-lived assets; therefore, we performed a preliminary impairment test as of February 28, 2009. Key factors contributing to the impairment charge included disruptions in the credit and equity market, and changes in the dental reconstructive market demand relative to our original assumptions at the time of the Merger. We finalized the impairment test during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$550.3 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, compared to \$516.3 million for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. For the year ended May 31, 2009, interest expense primarily related to interest charges and financing costs related to the debt financings obtained in connection with the Merger. For the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, interest expense primarily related to interest charges and financing costs on the merger indebtedness when the Tender Facility was replaced with senior secured credit facilities, term loan facilities, and cash flow and asset based loan revolvers. In addition, interest expense was impacted during the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008 for deferred financing costs of \$57.2 million related to the Tender Facility being repaid.

Other (Income) Expense

Other income (expense) was an expense of \$21.8 million for the year ended May 31, 2009, compared to an expense of \$9.7 million during the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. Other income (expense) for fiscal 2009 primarily related to write-downs of investments of \$5.2 million, write-downs of auction-rate securities of \$9.4 million, and currency transaction losses related to our foreign operations of \$7.0 million, primarily due to the strengthening euro compared to the U.S. Dollar. The \$9.7 million for the prior period primarily related to currency transaction losses related to our foreign operations.

Benefit from Taxes

The effective income tax rate decreased to 18.6% for the year ended May 31, 2009 compared to 19.3% for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008. These effective tax rates are lower than statutory tax rates due to amounts deducted for financial reporting purposes that are not deductible for tax purposes. In fiscal 2009, \$495.6 million of the \$551.1 million impairment charge taken on the dental reconstructive business unit was a non-deductible permanent difference, which decreased the effective tax rate. In the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, the following items were not deductible for tax purposes: (1) \$479.0 million IPRD expense related to the Merger, (2) a portion of the \$26.9 million Department of Justice settlement described in Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report and (3) \$74.0 million of Merger-related expenses. The increase in the tax rate in fiscal 2009 compared to the prior period is also partially attributable to changes in our mix of profits and losses in certain foreign and domestic jurisdictions in the current year.

Table of Contents

For the Period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007

Consolidated Statements of Operations

| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 (Predecessor) | Percentage of Net Sales |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Net sales | \$ 248.8 | 100% |
| Cost of sales | 102.3 | 41 |
| Gross profit | 146.5 | 59 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 194.2 | 78 |
| Research and development expense | 34.0 | 14 |
| Amortization | 0.5 | |
| Operating loss | (82.2) | (33) |
| Interest expense, net | 0.3 | |
| Other income | (0.6) | |
| Other income, net | (0.3) | |
| Loss before income taxes | (81.9) | (33) |
| Benefit from income taxes | (27.3) | (11) |
| Net loss | \$ (54.6) | (22)% |

Sales

Net sales were \$248.8 million for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007.

Geography Sales Summary

| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 (Predecessor) | Percentage of Net Sales |
|--|---|------------------------------------|
| United States | \$ 156.2 | 63% |
| Europe | 70.8 | 28 |
| International ⁽¹⁾ | 21.8 | 9 |
| Total | \$ 248.8 | 100% |

(1) International primarily includes Canada, South America, Mexico, and the Pacific Rim.

Product Category Summary

| <i>(in millions, except percentages)</i> | June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 (Predecessor) | Percentage of Net Sales |
|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Reconstructive | \$ 178.1 | 71% |
| Fixation | 27.1 | 11 |
| Spinal | 24.9 | 10 |
| Other | 18.7 | 8 |
| Total | \$ 248.8 | 100% |

Table of Contents

Reconstructive

Worldwide sales of reconstructive products continued to be a significant percentage of total net sales for the period from June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. Principal drivers behind the reconstructive product sales were knees, where worldwide demand remained strong for Biomet's Oxford® Partial Knee System, as well as the Vanguard® Complete Knee System. Hip sales continued to be strong, primarily due to worldwide sales of the M² a-Magnum Large Articulation System and the Taperlo® Hip System, as well as strong growth for the ReCap® Total Resurfacing System in Europe. In addition, sales of dental reconstructive devices were strong, with the launch of the NanoTite Tapered PREVAI® Implant.

Fixation

Sales of fixation and spinal products were lower than expected for the period June 1 to July 11, 2007 due to the underperformance of the BTBS division. We made various changes at the division, including managerial changes, computer system enhancements, among others. We believe the new management team and infrastructure changes at BTBS has allowed us to provide improved focus on the spine and trauma markets and BTBS customers.

Other

Sales of other products include product lines that were sold by the BTBS division and did not meet management expectations during the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. This poor performance was partly offset by sales growth in our sports medicine products.

Gross Profit

Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was 59% for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 compared. Gross margins were negatively impacted by \$28.0 million of costs in June 2007 to settle in-the-money stock options to employees, as part of the Merger.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expense, as a percentage of net sales, was 78% for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. Selling, general and administrative expense for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007 was negatively impacted by: (1) \$61.0 million paid upon the cash-out of outstanding in-the-money stock options of employees, as part of the Merger, (2) \$30.0 million of transaction fees associated with the Merger, (3) \$18.0 million of distributor fee expense associated with renegotiation of distribution agreements and (4) \$2.0 million of additional legal and Merger-related fees.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expenditures were \$34.0 million, or 14% as a percentage of net sales from June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. Research and development expense was negatively impacted by \$23.0 million of additional compensation expense upon the cash-out of outstanding in-the-money stock options of employees, as part of the Merger.

Benefit from Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 33% for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007. The rates are lower than the U.S. statutory rates due to the tax rates in our international locations being lower than in the United States and our plans to have those earnings permanently invested.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources*****Cash Flows***

The following is a summary of the cash flows by activity for the years ended May 31, 2010 and 2009, for the period July 12, 2007 through May 31, 2008, and for the period June 1, 2007 through July 11, 2007.

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Year Ended May 31, 2010 (Successor) | Year Ended May 31, 2009 (Successor) | July 12, 2007 - May 31, 2008 (Successor) | June 1 - July 11, 2007 (Predecessor) |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Net cash from (used in): | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 321.5 | \$ 243.8 | \$ 188.9 | \$ 59.4 |
| Investing activities | (182.0) | (194.9) | | |