SPRINT NEXTEL CORP Form 10-Q May 12, 2008

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

b QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 1-04721

SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Kansas (State or other jurisdiction of

48-0457967 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

6200 Sprint Parkway, Overland Park, Kansas (Address of principal executive offices)

66251 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code:

(800) 829-0965

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes "No b

COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING AT APRIL 30, 2008:

VOTING COMMON STOCK

 Series 1
 2,776,174,052

 Series 2
 74,831,333

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	DADT I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Reference
	PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations	2
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	3
	Consolidated Statement of Shareholders Equity	4
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	5
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	23
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	51
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	51
	PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	52
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	52
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	53
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	53
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	53
Item 5.	Other Information	53
Item 6.	Exhibits	54
Signature		55

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

S PRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	(in millions,	December 31, 2007 audited) except share and are data)	
ASSETS	per si	iare aan	
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,678	\$	2,246
Marketable securities	97		194
Accounts and notes receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$335 and \$392	3,798		4,196
Device and accessory inventory	842		938
Deferred tax assets	181		447
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	731		640
Total current assets	10,327		8,661
Investments	157		165
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$22,789 and \$21,473 Intangible assets	26,434		26,496
Goodwill	1.143		1,144
FCC licenses and other	21,421		21,123
Customer relationships, net	3,556		4,203
Other definite lived intangible assets, net	1,785		1,835
Other assets	640		691
	\$ 65,463	\$	64,318
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 3,264	\$	3,481
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	4,139		3,962
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	1,330		1,661
Total current liabilities	8,733		9,104
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	22,987		20,469
Deferred tax liabilities	8,096		8,689
Other liabilities	3,878		3,848
Total liabilities	43,694		42,110
Commitments and contingencies			
Shareholders equity			
Common shares, voting, par value \$2.00 per share, 6.5 billion shares authorized, 2.951 billion shares issued and 2.849 billion shares outstanding and 2.951 billion shares issued and 2.845 billion shares			
outstanding	5,902		5,902
Paid-in capital	46,752		46,693
Treasury shares, at cost	(2,075)		(2,161)
Accumulated deficit	(28,697)		(28,115)

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(113)	(111)
Total shareholders equity	21,769	22,208
	\$ 65,463	\$ 64,318

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Quarter Ended March 2008 200 (unaudited) (in millions, except per share amoun	
Net operating revenues	\$ 9,334	\$ 10,092
Operating expenses		
Costs of services and products (exclusive of depreciation included below)	4,223	4,390
Selling, general and administrative	3,188	3,259
Severance, exit costs and asset impairments	231	174
Depreciation	1,493	1,355
Amortization	697	913
	9,832	10,091
Operating (loss) income	(498)	1
Other income (expense)	(200)	0.67
Interest expense	(339)	(367)
Interest income and other	22	27
Loss before income taxes	(815)	(339)
Income tax benefit	310	128
Net loss	\$ (505)	\$ (211)
	. (,	
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.07)
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	2,847	2,899

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Quarter Ended March 3 2008 200' (unaudited) (in millions)				
Cash flows from operating activities Net loss	\$ (505)	\$ (211)			
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$ (303)	\$ (211)			
Depreciation and amortization	2,190	2,268			
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	255	197			
Share-based compensation expense	75	73			
Deferred income taxes	(325)	(185)			
Other, net	(33)	(36)			
Changes in assets and liabilities:					
Accounts and notes receivable	143	119			
Inventories and other current assets	(2)	114			
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	200	242			
Non-current assets and liabilities, net	109	(117)			
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,107	2,464			
Cash flows from investing activities					
Capital expenditures	(1,670)	(1,813)			
Expenditures relating to FCC licenses	(274)	(107)			
Proceeds from maturities and sales of marketable securities	86	7			
Cash collateral for securities loan agreements		866			
Other, net	6	25			
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,852)	(1,022)			
Cash flows from financing activities					
Borrowings under credit facility	2,500	750			
Proceeds from issuance of commercial paper	681	2,591			
Maturities of commercial paper	(1,010)	(2,706)			
Purchase, retirements and payments of debt and capital leases	(3)	(608)			
Payments of securities loan agreements		(866)			
Purchase of common shares		(300)			
Dividends paid	0	(72)			
Proceeds from issuance of common shares, net	9	69			
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	2,177	(1,142)			
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	2,432	300			
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	2,246	2,046			
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 4,678	\$ 2,346			

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(Unaudited)

(in millions)

	Commo	on Shares		Treasu	ry Shares		Accumulated Other			
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Shares	Amount	Accumulated C	Comprehensiv Loss	e Total		
Balance, December 31, 2007			\$ 46,693	106	\$ (2,161)	\$ (28,115)(1)		\$ 22,208		
Net loss						(505)		(505)		
Other comprehensive loss, net							(2)	(2)		
Total comprehensive loss								(507)		
Issuance of common shares,				445	100	40 0		26		
net			2	(4)	100	(76)		26		
Share-based compensation expense			74					74		
Other			(17)		(14)	(1)		(32)		
Balance, March 31, 2008	2 951	\$ 5 902	\$ 46,752	102	\$ (2,075)	\$ (28,697)	\$ (113)	V	Intrinsic value of options	248,805

The following table provides information about options outstanding and exercisable options at April 30, 2006:

			Exercisable
		Options	
		Outstanding	Options
Number		492,240	388,965
Weighted average exercise price		\$ 1.91	\$ 2.20
Aggregate intrinsic value		\$ 784,625	\$690,645
Weighted average contractual term		7 years	6.4 years
	10	·	•

Table of Contents

The weighted average remaining life for options outstanding and weighted average exercise price per share for exercisable options at April 30, 2006 were as follows:

	Options Outstanding		Exercisable Options	
		Weighted		Weighted
		Average		Average
		Remaining		Remaining
		Contractual Life		Contractual
				Life
	Shares	(in Years)	Shares	(in Years)
< \$1.00	61,965	4.1 years	61,965	4.1 years
\$1.00 - \$2.00	268,275	7.7 years	165,000	6.8 years
\$2.00 - \$5.00	162,000	7.0 years	162,000	7.0 years
Total	492,240	7.0 years	388,965	6.4 years

There were no stock options granted during the three months ended April 30, 2006. The fair value of options granted during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 was determined at the date of the grant using a Black-Scholes options-pricing model and the following assumptions:

Expected average risk-free interest rate	4.0%
Expected average life (in years)	5
Expected volatility	79.7%
Expected dividend yield	0%

The expected average risk-free rate is based on U.S. treasury yield curve. The expected average life represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding giving consideration to vesting schedules, historical exercise and forfeiture patterns. Expected volatility is based on historical volatilities of Valley Forge s common stock. The expected dividend yield is based on historical information and management s plan. *Restricted Stock Plans*

Under our 2001 Plan, our common stock may be granted at no cost to certain employees and consultants of the Company. Certain plan participants are entitled to cash dividends and voting rights for their respective shares. Restrictions limit the sale or transfer of these shares during a vesting period whereby the restrictions lapse either pro-ratably over a five year vesting period or at the end of the fifth year. Upon issuance of stock under the 2001 Plan, unearned compensation equivalent to the market value at the date of the grant is charged to stockholders—equity and subsequently amortized to expense over the applicable restriction period. During the three months ended April 30, 2006, shares granted were 14,601 shares. Compensation expense related to these shares was \$5,955. As of April 30, 2006, there was approximately \$74,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company s 2001 Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of five years.

Note 6: Supplemental Balance Sheets Information

Inventories

	April 30,	July 31 ,	
	2006	2005	
Raw material and component parts	\$ 5,235,498	\$ 2,398,238	
Work-in-progress	2,542,929	1,295,976	
Finished goods	4,207,639	3,494,422	
	\$11,986,066	\$7,188,636	

Table of Contents 9

11

Table of Contents

Property and equipment

	April 30, 2006	July 31, 2005		
Land	\$ 729,753	\$ 729,753		
Building and improvements	5,278,557	2,568,612		
Machinery and equipment	3,741,409	2,888,687		
Furniture and fixtures	507,660	266,225		
Software	97,993	39,734		
Construction in process	30,836	1,688,355		
	10,386,208	8,181,366		
Less accumulated depreciation	2,212,888	1,698,059		
	\$ 8,173,320	\$6,483,307		

Other intangible assets

Information regarding the Company s other intangible assets is as follows:

	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization April 30, 2006		Net	
Patents	\$ 783,782	\$	176,809	\$	606,973
Proprietary know-how	4,057,115		235,698		3,821,417
Licensing agreements	140,000		36,295		103,705
Trademark	5,923,196				5,923,196
	\$ 10,904,093	\$	448,802	\$	10,455,291
			July 31, 2005	5	
Patents	\$ 588,0	005	\$ 136,449		\$451,556
Acquisition costs	394,452				394,452
	\$ 982,4	157	\$ 136,449		\$ 846,008

Goodwill is a result of the reverse merger transaction as fully described in Note 3 to the unaudited financial statements.

Estimated amortization expense on other intangibles for the remaining three months of fiscal year ending July 31, 2006 and the next four years thereafter is as follows:

Years Ending July 31:	Amount
2006	\$130,608
2007	475,200
2008	472,900
2009	470,100
2010	468,900

Amortization expense for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2006 was \$130,608 and \$312,353, respectively. *Pledged assets; short and long-term debt (excluding revenue bonds payable)*

Short-term debt as of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2005 consisted of the following:

Revolving Credit Facilities: Under a revolving credit facility, the Company may borrow up to \$5.5 million with a graduated interest rate starting at LIBOR plus 2.25 percent and adjusting each quarter based upon the Company s leverage ratio. Borrowings under this facility at April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2005 were \$1.3 million and \$235,000, respectively. Outstanding amounts are collateralized by Synergetics receivables and inventory. This credit facility is scheduled to expire on December 1, 2007. The facility has two financial covenants: a maximum leverage ratio of 3.75 times and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.1 times. As of April 30, 2006, the Company s leverage ratio was 0.63 times and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio was 2.7 times.

12

Table of Contents

In conjunction with the reverse merger described in Note 3, the Company assumed a line of credit with available borrowings of up to \$1.0 million with interest at the bank s prime lending rate. This credit facility expired on March 31, 2006.

Equipment Line of Credit: Under this credit facility, Synergetics may borrow up to \$1.0 million, with interest at the bank s prime lending rate. Outstanding amounts are secured by the purchased equipment. In October 2005, the Company signed a loan agreement consolidating the then outstanding balance on the equipment line, along with three specific notes, under one new bank note in the principal amount of \$1,427,105. The Company will make principal payments of \$39,642 plus interest, on a monthly basis. The effective interest rate for this note is 6.75 percent. Final payment is due on September 30, 2008. The equipment line of credit facility of \$1.0 million was also renewed as of this date and expires on September 30, 2006. Borrowings under this facility at April 30, 2006 were \$590,455.

Long-term debt as of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2005 consisted of the following:

	April 30, 2006	July 31, 2005
Note payable to bank, due in monthly principal installments of \$1,139 plus		
interest at prime rate plus 1% (an effective rate of 8.25% as of April 30, 2006),		
remaining balance due September 2007, collateralized by a second deed of trust	\$ 168,557	\$ 178,806
Note payable, due in monthly installments of \$509 including interest at 4.9%,		
remaining balance due May 2008, collateralized by a vehicle	10,587	15,170
Note payable to bank, due in monthly principal installments of \$39,642 beginning		
November 2005 plus interest at a rate of 6.75% remaining balance due		
September 30, 2008, collateralized by substantially all assets of the Company	1,149,460	
Note payable to the estate of the late Dr. Leonard I. Malis, due in quarterly		
installments of \$159,904 which includes interest at an imputed rate of 6.00%,		
remaining balance of \$3,677,792, including contractual interest payments, due		
December 2011, collateralized by the Malis® trademark	3,091,082	
Note payable to bank, due in monthly installments of \$3,033 plus interest at prime		
rate (an effective rate of 6.25% as of July 31, 2005), remaining balance due		
May 7, 2007, collateralized by substantially all assets of the Company, refinanced		
in October 2005		63,713
Note payable to bank, due in monthly principal installments of \$7,083 plus		
interest at prime rate (an effective rate of 6.25% as of July 31, 2005), remaining		
balance due June 2008, collateralized by machinery and equipment, refinanced in		
October 2005		240,833
Note payable to bank, due in monthly principal installments of \$11,300 plus		
interest at prime rate (an effective rate of 6.25% as of July 31, 2005), remaining		
balance due September 2008, collateralized by machinery and equipment,		
refinanced in October 2005		440,696
Equipment line of credit note payable to bank, available borrowings up to		
\$1.0 million, with interest at the bank s prime lending rate, collateralized by		
applicable equipment financed, due September 30, 2005, refinanced in		
October 2005		588,492
	4,419,686	1,527,710
Less current maturities	959,951	276,771
	·	·
Long-term portion	\$ 3,459,735	\$ 1,250,939

Note 7. Related Party Transactions

Notes receivable, officer-stockholder represents various loans made during and before 2001 to a principal stockholder, director and officer of the Company. The notes bear interest at rates of 4.83 percent to 6.97 percent and are payable in either quarterly installments of \$3,525 or annual installments of \$14,100 until the principal and accrued interest have been repaid. The notes are collateralized by 5,833 shares of the Company s common stock. At April 30, 2006, notes receivable, officer-stockholder totaled \$22,182 and all amounts are current.

Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies

Construction on the addition of the facility located in O Fallon, Missouri was completed during the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Final payments related to the completion of the facility were made during the third quarter. There are no commitments remaining for the addition to the facility.

13

Table of Contents

In conjunction with the reverse merger described in Note 3, the Company became a lessee of a combination sublease and lease that commenced on May 1, 2005 for a term of four and one-half years, for office, assembly and manufacturing space in Upper Merion Township, Pennsylvania, with an initial annual rental of \$75,858, increasing to \$129,437, plus annual operating expenses.

Also in conjunction with the reverse merger described in Note 3, the Company entered into three-year employment agreements with its Chief Executive Officer, its Chief Operating Officer and its Chief Scientific Officer. In the event any such executive officer is terminated without cause, or if such executive officer resigns for good reason, such executive officer shall be entitled to his base salary and health care benefits through the end of his employment agreement.

On October 19, 2005, IRIDEX Corporation (IRIDEX) filed suit in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri against the Company for patent infringement. This suit is captioned IRIDEX Corporation v. Synergetics USA, Inc., Case No. 4:05CV1916CDP. IRIDEX Corporation filed suit against the Company for infringement of the IRIDEX Patent No. 5,085,492 entitled Optical Fiber with Electrical Encoding. IRIDEX alleges that Synergetics Quick Disconnect Laser Probes and adapter infringe its patent. It seeks damages, including treble damages, and injunctive relief. On November 30, 2005, the Company filed its answer in this lawsuit and asked the court to declare that its products do not infringe the IRIDEX patent. In addition, the Company countersued IRIDEX alleging that it engaged in false advertising, commercial disparagement, trade libel, injurious falsehood and unfair competition under the Federal Lanham Act and applicable Missouri common law. The counterclaim also includes a count of defamation. These claims primarily relate to alleged false or misleading statements and publications by IRIDEX and its representatives with respect to the Company s laser adapters and laser probes. Litigation in this matter is ongoing. Management is not able at this time to predict the ultimate effect of this litigation, if any, on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

On January 10, 2006, Synergetics filed a suit in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania against Innovatech and Peregrine for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,984,230, and on April 25, 2006 the Court permitted Synergetics to amend its complaint to add IRIDEX as well. This suit is captioned Synergetics, Inc. v. Peregrine Surgical, Ltd., *et al.*, Case No. 2:06-cv-00107. The suit arises out of the Defendants—sale, use and manufacture of a laser probe that is alleged to infringe Synergetics—patent. Synergetics seeks damages and injunctive relief in this action. Innovatech and Peregrine have asserted by way of affirmative defenses or counterclaims, *inter alia*, that they do not infringe the patent, that the patent in suit is invalid, and that Synergetics engaged in inequitable conduct rendering the patent unenforceable. Innovatech also counterclaims for alleged violations of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125. Moreover, Innovatech has moved to add the Company as a party to the case, and to add a counterclaim for violation of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 and 2. Synergetics does not believe the patent is invalid, or that it engaged in inequitable conduct or conduct that violated the Lanham Act or Sherman Act, and intends to vigorously prosecute this litigation. Management is not able at this time to predict the ultimate effect of this litigation, if any, on the Company—s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Various other claims, incidental to the ordinary course of business, are pending against the Company. In the opinion of management, after consulting with legal counsel, resolution of these matters is not expected to have a material effect on the accompanying financial statements.

The Company is subject to regulatory requirements throughout the world. In the normal course of business, these regulatory agencies may require companies in the medical industry to change their products or operating procedures, which could affect the Company. The Company regularly incurs expenses to comply with these regulations and may be required to incur additional expenses. Management is not able to estimate any additional expenditure outside the normal course of operations which will be incurred by the Company in future periods in order to comply with these regulations.

Note 9. Segment Information

In conjunction with the recent merger, the Company operates primarily in two distinct business segments which are distinguishable by the nature of the types of products sold, as disclosed in Note 2. Certain financial information from continuing operations for these reportable segments is shown in the following table.

	Synergetics	Valley Forge	Consolidated
	Three Months Ended April 30, 2006		
Net sales	\$ 7,810,123	\$ 2,639,393	\$10,449,516
Operating income	940,112	984,293	1,924,405
Identifiable assets	25,149,035	24,062,702	49,211,737
	14		

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended April 29, 2005		
Net sales	\$ 5,750,07	4 \$	\$ 5,750,074
Operating income	710,90	2	710,902
Identifiable assets	16,885,54	0	16,885,540
	Synergetics	Valley Forge	Consolidated
	Nine Mo	nths Ended April 3	30, 2006
Net sales	\$21,864,958	\$ 5,600,126	\$27,465,084
Operating income	2,493,623	1,647,423	4,141,046
Identifiable assets	25,149,035	24,062,702	49,211,737
	Nine Months Ended April 29, 2005		
Net sales	\$16,071,64	\$	\$16,071,643
Operating income	2,059,32	1	2,059,321
Identifiable assets	16,885,54	0	16,885,540
	15		

Table of Contents

Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, provide a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of the Company. The Company and its representatives may from time to time make written or oral statements that are forward-looking, including statements contained in this report and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and in our reports to stockholders. In some cases forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as believe, potential, continue or similar expressions. Because such forward-looking statements include risks and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. For example, uncertainty exists with respect to: the effects of local and national economic, credit and capital market conditions on the economy in general, and on the medical device industry in particular, and the effects of foreign exchange rates and interest rates; the ability to timely and cost-effectively integrate the operations of Synergetics, Inc., now a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, with the historical business of Valley Forge Scientific Corp.; the ability to realize the synergies and other perceived advantages resulting from our recently completed merger; the ability to attract new strategic partners for the distribution of our products and to maintain our relationships with existing distribution strategic partners; the ability to identify new product offering opportunities, which may include partnering with original equipment manufacturers (OEM) and to bring effectively such products to the market and/or maintain our relationships with our existing OEM partners; the ability to attract and retain key personnel; the ability to meet all existing and new U.S. FDA requirements and comparable non-U.S. medical device regulations in jurisdictions in which the Company conducts its business; the ability to successfully execute our business strategies; the extent and timing of market acceptance of new products or product indications; the ability to procure, maintain, enforce and defend our patent and proprietary know how; changes in laws, including increased tax rates, regulations or accounting standards, third-party relations and approvals, and decisions of courts, regulators and governmental bodies; the ability to continue to increase customer loyalty; the ability to recoup costs of capital investments through higher revenues; the effects of environmental and structural building conditions relating to the Company s properties; acts of war and terrorism incidents and the effects of operating and market competition.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, it is not possible to foresee or identify all facts that could have a material effect on the future financial performance of the Company. The forward-looking statements in this report are made on the basis of management s assumptions and analyses, as of the time the statements are made, in light of their experience and perception of historical conditions, expected future developments and other factors believed to be appropriate under the circumstances. Further information concerning important factors that could cause actual events or results to be materially different from the forward-looking statements can be found in the Risk Factors section of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2005.

In addition, certain market data and other statistical information used throughout this report are based on independent industry publications. Although we believe these sources to be reliable, we have not independently verified the information and cannot guarantee the accuracy and completeness of such sources.

Except as otherwise required by the federal securities laws, we disclaim any obligation or undertaking to publicly release any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and the information incorporated by reference in this report to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any statement is based.

Overview

Synergetics USA, Inc. (Synergetics USA or Company) is a Delaware corporation incorporated on June 2, 2005 in connection with the reverse merger of Synergetics, Inc. (Synergetics) and Valley Forge Scientific Corp. (Valley Forge) on September 21, 2005 and the subsequent reincorporation of Valley Forge (the predecessor to Synergetics USA) in Delaware on September 22, 2005. A majority of the Company s operations are conducted by Synergetics, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The reverse merger was accounted for as a purchase business combination with Synergetics deemed the accounting acquirer and Valley Forge s assets acquired and liabilities assumed recorded

at fair value.

The Company designs, manufactures and markets precision engineered microsurgical instruments, capital equipment and devices primarily for use in vitreoretinal surgery and neurosurgical applications. Its products are designed and manufactured to support micro

16

Table of Contents

or minimally invasive surgical procedures. In addition, the Company designs and manufactures disposable and non-disposable supplies and accessories for use with such products.

The Company is currently the exclusive distributor of the Sonopet Omni® (Omn®) ultrasonic aspirator used for tumor removal, bone removal and resection. Until December 1, 2005, our exclusive distribution territory consisted of the United States and Canada. Beginning December 1, 2005, we have exclusive distribution rights, which include Australia and New Zealand, most of Europe with the exception of Spain and Portugal, Latin America and the northern part of South America. During the second quarter of 2006, the manufacturer of the Omni s regulatory auditors recommended that its CE mark (Conformity European which is a means to demonstrate compliance with a series of medical device directives in the European countries) be extended to the Omni®. Therefore, the Company has the ability to market the Omni® and accessories in the European Union and other countries that accept the CE certification. With the regulatory hurdle removed, we received orders for, shipped and billed our first ten international Omni® demonstration units during the third quarter ended April 30, 2006. In addition to our efforts to expand the installed base of Omni® units, we are working to expand our disposables and follow-on product offerings. Working jointly with leading neurosurgeons, we have developed, are in the process of obtaining patents for and are manufacturing proprietary disposable ultrasonic tips and tubing sets for use with the Omni® ultrasonic aspirator. We expect these new offerings will expand and enhance the Omni® product category.

We anticipate that the combination of Synergetics and Valley Forge should strategically position us for future growth of our neurosurgical product line, and we expect that the relative revenue contribution of our neurosurgical products will rise in 2006 as a result of the combination. On October 12, 2005, we exercised our option with respect to the Malis® trademark, a trademark that is widely recognized and respected in the neurosurgery field. On October 17, 2005, we announced our Malis® AdvantageTM, a fourth generation, multifunctional bipolar electrosurgical generator, along with new proprietary single-use, hand-switching instruments, at the 2005 Annual Meeting of the Congress of Neurological Surgeons in Boston, Massachusetts. The new generator represents a significant advancement in technology and performance and may replace other surgical tools in certain applications, such as monopolar electrosurgical systems and lasers. The Malis® AdvantageTM was released for market testing on March 10, 2006. During the third quarter of 2006, the Company received notice from its regulatory auditors that Synergetics, Inc. s CE mark was extended to the electrosurgical generators manufactured by Synergetics USA, Inc. Therefore, the Company has the ability to market its electrosurgical generators and accessories in the European Union and other countries that accept the CE certification.

The Company s business strategy has been, and is expected to continue to be, the development and marketing of new technologies for the ophthalmic surgery and neurosurgery markets. New products, which management defines as products introduced within the prior 24-month period, accounted for approximately 18.4 percent of total sales for the Company for the nine months ended April 30, 2006, approximately \$5.0 million. In addition, approximately \$5.6 million of total net sales for the Company for the nine months ended April 30, 2006 was from the net sales of bipolar electrosurgical generators, pain control generators, BidentTM generators, and disposables sales from the former Valley Forge. For the nine months ended April 29, 2005, new products accounted for approximately 12.0 percent of total net sales for Synergetics, or approximately \$1.9 million. This growth in the percentage of sales associated with new products was primarily in our capital equipment products both in the ophthalmic and neurosurgery markets. Synergetics past revenue growth has been closely aligned with the adoption by surgeons of new technologies introduced by Synergetics. Since August 1, 2005, Synergetics has introduced 21 new products to the ophthalmic and neurosurgery markets. We expect adoption rates for the Company s new products in the future to have a similar effect on its operating performance.

The Company has continued to grow its product offering through both strategic alliances and organic manufacturing. During the quarter, we manufactured and released the first four models of our comprehensive Malis[®] Bipolar forceps line. In addition, we began to sell Cord/Tubing sets directly to the market including versions that fit both first and second generation irrigators and the Malis[®] SolutionTM Irrigator. Recently, we entered into a new, three-year distribution agreement with Quantel Medical to distribute its newest ophthalmic laser, the Vitra[®]. Synergetics will have exclusive distribution rights with respect to the laser for the operating room and non-exclusive distribution rights for the ophthalmic office. We anticipate that the positive effects of these product line expansions

will begin to be reflected in operations in fiscal 2007.

In addition to the approximately \$5.6 million of total net sales from the former Valley Forge, volume and mix improvements contributed to the sales growth for the Company during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 and April 29, 2005. Ophthalmic procedures volume, particularly retina procedures, on a global basis continues to rise at an estimated 5 percent growth rate driven by an aging global population, new technologies, advances in surgical techniques and a growing global market resulting from ongoing improvements in healthcare delivery in third world countries, among other factors. In addition, the demand for high quality products and new technologies, such as the Company s innovative instruments and disposables, to support growth in surgical procedure volume continues to positively impact growth. The Company believes innovative surgical approaches will continue to significantly impact the ophthalmic and neurosurgery market.

17

Table of Contents

During the fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2006, we had net sales of \$10.4 million, which generated \$6.8 million in gross profit, operating income of \$1.9 million and net income of \$1.1 million, or \$0.05 earnings per share. During the nine months ended April 30, 2006, we had net sales of \$27.5 million, which generated \$17.8 million in gross profit, operating income of \$4.1 million and net income of \$2.5 million, or \$0.13 earnings per share. The financial results of Valley Forge and the shares issued in the reverse merger have only been included from the day following the date of the consummation of the merger through the end of the nine months ended April 30, 2006. The Company had \$501,678 in cash and cash equivalents and \$10.8 million in interest-bearing debt and revenue bonds as of April 30, 2006. For the nine months ended April 30, 2006, we used \$1.4 million in cash to fund operations and \$1.1 million in investing activities, partially offset by \$1.2 million provided by financing activities. We anticipate that cash flows from operations, together with available borrowings under our existing credit facilities will be sufficient to meet our working capital, capital expenditure and debt service needs. If investment opportunities arise, the Company believes that its earnings, balance sheet and cash flows will allow it to obtain additional capital, if necessary.

Our Business Strategy

Our goal is to become a global leader in the development, manufacture and marketing of precision engineered microsurgical instruments, capital equipment and devices for use in vitreoretinal surgery and neurosurgical applications and to grow our product lines in other specialty surgical markets. Our recent combination of the businesses of Valley Forge and Synergetics is a significant component of our strategy toward achieving these goals. Our strategy includes:

Introducing new technology that can be easily differentiated from our competition by capitalizing on our combined successes in delivering minimally invasive products that enable concentrated application to a surgical area with decreased impact beyond the specific desired surgical effects, resulting in improved recovery times and shorter hospital stays;

Identifying microsurgical niches that may offer the prospect for substantial growth and higher profit margins that allow us an opportunity to build upon our existing technologies, such as expanding the use of our products in ENT (ear, nose and throat), plastic surgery and other forms of microsurgery;

Accelerating our international (including Canada) growth by continuing to build on our recent successes supported by Valley Forge s long-established relationships and reputation in global markets;

Combining the breadth and depth of knowledge, experience and resources in Valley Forge s and Synergetics existing research and development groups to form a new combined research and development capability aligned to deliver precision engineered instruments based on our own proprietary technologies and innovations;

Branding and marketing a substantial portion of our neurosurgical products with the Malis[®] trademark;

Developing hybrid direct sales/independent sales agent distribution channels to assure that our products and benefits are seen by those making or influencing the purchasing decisions;

Marketing our new state-of-the-art multifunctional bipolar electrosurgical system, known as the Malis[®] AdvantageTM, with our new proprietary single use hand-switching bipolar instruments including enhanced features and functionality to expand the array of procedures they are designed to perform;

Growing our disposables revenue stream by focusing on the development of a full offering of disposable adjuncts, such as instruments, adapters and fiber optics, to our capital equipment offerings and emphasizing disposables designed to eliminate hospital repair costs and minimize patient-to-patient disease transfer;

Expanding the use of our new multifunctional bipolar electrosurgical generator, which will be marketed as the Malis® AdvantageTM, into other surgical markets as its increased power and functionality allows the surgeon to perform functions similar to traditional monopolar systems, without the inherent safety limitations; and

Exploring opportunities for growth through strategic partnering with other companies with complimentary products and technologies to facilitate strategic growth in our defined niche markets.

10

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The business combination of Synergetics and Valley Forge was accounted for as a reverse merger, and as such, the Company is reporting the financial results of Synergetics as the accounting acquiror in the merger, together with the financial results of Valley Forge for the period September 22, 2005 through April 30, 2006. As a result, management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations for the periods set forth below reflects the effect of the combination of Synergetics and Valley Forge, which was consummated on September 21, 2005. Also, in conjunction with the merger, we started to operate in two distinct business segments based on the types of products sold. The Synergetics segment includes our ophthalmic and neurosurgical instruments, other than bipolar electrosurgical generators, and Omni[®] ultrasonic aspirators. The Valley Forge segment is comprised of our bipolar electrosurgical generators.

Three Month Period Ended April 30, 2006 Compared to Three Month Period Ended April 29, 2005 Net Sales

The following table presents net sales by segment (dollars in thousands):

	Quarter Ended April		
			%
	2006	2005	Increase
Synergetics:			
Ophthalmic	\$ 5,959	\$ 4,663	27.8%
Neurosurgery	1,851	1,087	70.3%
Valley Forge	2,640		N/M
	\$ 10,450	\$ 5,750	81.7%

For 2006, this information is for the quarter ended April 30, and for 2005, the quarter ended April 29.

N/M Not meaningful.

Ophthalmic sales growth was led by continued growth in sales of Synergetics—core technology areas of instruments and illumination. When comparing neurosurgery net sales of Synergetics during the third fiscal quarter of 2006 to the third fiscal quarter of 2005, 2006 sales are 70.3 percent greater than 2005 sales, primarily attributable to the sales in the core technology area of power ultrasonic aspirators and related disposables. We expect that sales of products in these core technologies will have a positive impact on net sales for the remainder of fiscal 2006. In addition, we anticipate that the positive effects of the Malis[®] AdvantageTM may begin to be reflected in operations in the fourth fiscal quarter of 2006.

The following table presents national and international net sales (dollars in thousands):

		Quarter Ended April		
		·		%
		2006	2005	Increase
United States	Synergetics	\$ 5,604	\$ 4,224	32.7%
United States	Valley Forge	2,640		N/M
International	Synergetics (including Canada)	2,206	1,526	44.6%

\$ 10,450 \$ 5,750 81.7%

For 2006, this information is for the quarter ended April 30, and for 2005, the quarter ended April 29.

N/M Not meaningful.

International sales growth was primarily attributable to the sales in the core technology areas of illumination and power ultrasonic aspirators and related disposables. The Omni[®] power ultrasonic aspirator received the CE mark during the second quarter thus allowing the Company to begin selling these medical devices internationally. In addition, we anticipate that the positive effects of the Malis[®] AdvantageTM and the addition of its CE mark may begin to be reflected in operations in the fourth fiscal quarter of 2006.

19

Table of Contents

Gross Profit

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales was 65.4 percent in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 compared to 61.4 percent for the same period in fiscal 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales from the third quarter of fiscal 2005 to the third quarter of fiscal 2006 increased approximately four percentage points, primarily due to royalty payments received from Codman during the third quarter of fiscal 2006 which were not required during the third quarter of fiscal 2005.

Operating Expenses

Research and development (R&D) as a percentage of net sales was 4.3 percent and 3.6 percent for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. R&D costs increased to \$444,206 in the third quarter of fiscal 2006 from \$209,510 in the same period in fiscal 2005, reflecting not only an increase in spending on active projects focused on areas of strategic significance, but also approximately \$160,000 in R&D for the former Valley Forge. Synergetics pipeline included approximately 42 active, major projects in various stages of completion as of April 30, 2006. The Company has strategically targeted R&D spending as a percentage of net sales to be consistent with what management believes to be an average range for the industry. The Company expects over the next few years to invest in R&D at a rate ranging from approximately 4.0 percent to 6.0 percent of net sales.

Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) increased by \$1,860,252 to \$4,469,253 during the third quarter of fiscal 2006 as compared to \$2,609,001 during the third quarter of fiscal 2005. However, the percentage of SG&A to net sales decreased from 45.4 percent for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 to 42.8 percent for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 as sales rose more quickly than SG&A expenditures. Selling expenses, which consist of salaries and commissions, the largest component of SG&A, increased approximately \$624,000 to \$2.4 million, or 22.8 percent of net sales, for the third quarter of fiscal 2006, compared to \$1.8 million, or 30.6 percent of net sales, for the third quarter of fiscal 2005. The increase was also impacted by approximately \$860,000 of SG&A for the former Valley Forge. General and administrative headcount increased approximately 40.0 percent from April 29, 2005, which resulted in an increase in other costs of approximately \$210,000 in the third quarter of fiscal 2006, as compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2005. In addition to the internal costs associated with the Company s Sarbanes-Oxley compliance efforts, the Company also recorded approximately \$150,000 in external consulting expense. The Company expects to realize synergies from the Valley Forge/Synergetics merger over the next 15 months, which may be partially offset by ongoing expenses related to the integration of the two companies.

Other Expense

Other expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 increased 120.1 percent to \$191,416 from \$86,952 for the third quarter of fiscal 2005. The increase was due primarily to increased interest expense on the note payable to the estate of Dr. Malis and increased borrowings of the working capital line due to working capital needs during the quarter. In addition, the Company wrote-off approximately \$32,000 associated with the wind-up of Synergetics Laser, LLC. *Operating Income, Income Taxes and Net Income*

Operating income for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 increased 170.7 percent to \$1.9 million from \$710,902 in the comparable 2005 fiscal period. The increase in operating income was primarily the result of approximately \$984,300 in operating income contributed from the Valley Forge merger and other factors discussed above.

Synergetics effective tax rate was 35.3 percent for the third fiscal quarter of 2006 as compared to 37.9 percent for the third fiscal quarter of 2005. The decrease was due primarily to research and experimentation credit expectations for the fiscal year ending July 31, 2006.

Net income increased by \$733,839 to \$1,121,116; or 189.5% from \$387,277 for the third quarter of fiscal 2006, as compared to the same 2005 period. The growth in net income was primarily the result of approximately \$573,100 in net income contributed from the Valley Forge merger. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 decreased to \$0.05 from \$0.11 for the third quarter of fiscal 2005. The decrease in earnings per share was the result of issuing 15,960,648 shares in the merger of Synergetics and Valley Forge. These shares were counted as outstanding for the full 63 business days during the third fiscal quarter of 2006. Basic weighted-average shares outstanding increased from 3,412,996 to 24,090,511.

20

Table of Contents

Nine Month Period Ended April 30, 2006 Compared to Nine Month Period Ended April 29, 2005 Net Sales

The following table presents net sales by segment (dollars in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended April		
	2006	2005	% Increase
Synergetics:			
Ophthalmic	\$ 17,170	\$ 12,946	32.6%
Neurosurgery	4,695	3,126	50.2%
Valley Forge	5,600		N/M
	\$ 27.465	\$ 16.072	70.9%

For 2006, this information is for the nine months ended April 30, and for 2005, the nine months ended April 29. This tabular information also reflects the net sales of Valley Forge for the period September 22, 2005 through April 30, 2006.

N/M Not meaningful.

Ophthalmic sales growth was led by continued growth in sales of Synergetics core technology areas of instruments and illumination. When comparing neurosurgery net sales of Synergetics during the first nine months of fiscal 2006 to the first nine months of fiscal 2005, 2006 sales are 50.2 percent greater than 2005 sales, primarily attributable to the sales in the core technology area of power ultrasonic aspirators and related disposables. We expect that sales of products in these core technologies will have a positive impact on net sales for the remainder of fiscal 2006. In addition, we anticipate that the positive effects of the Malis® AdvantageTM may begin to be reflected in operations in the fourth fiscal quarter of 2006.

The following table presents national and international net sales (dollars in thousands):

Nine Mon	ths Ended	
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{I}}$	pril	
		%
2006	2005	Increase

Edgar Filing: SPRINT NEXTEL CORP - Form 10-Q

United States	Synergetics	\$ 16,019	\$ 12,079	32.6%
United States	Valley Forge	5,600		N/M
International	Synergetics (including Canada)	5,846	3,993	46.4%
		\$ 27,465	\$ 16,072	70.9%

For 2006, this information is for the nine months ended April 30, and for 2005 the nine months ended April 29. This tabular information also reflects the net sales of Valley Forge for the period September 22, 2005 through April 30, 2006.

N/M Not meaningful.

21

Table of Contents

Gross Profit

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales was 64.9 percent in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to 63.3 percent for the same period in fiscal 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales for the first nine months of 2005 compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2006 increased approximately two percentage points, primarily due to royalty payments received from Codman during the first nine months of fiscal 2006 which were not required during the first nine months of fiscal 2005, offset by a change in mix of sales toward OEM capital equipment sales which generate lower margins.

Operating Expenses

R&D as a percentage of net sales was 4.1 percent and 3.6 percent for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. R&D costs increased to \$1,138,353 in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 from \$570,697 in the same period in fiscal 2005, reflecting increased spending on active projects focused on areas of strategic significance. The Company has strategically targeted R&D spending as a percentage of net sales to be consistent with what management believes to be an average range for the industry. The Company expects over the next few years to invest in R&D at a rate ranging from approximately 4.0 percent to 6.0 percent of net sales.

SG&A increased by \$4,986,149 during the first nine months of fiscal 2006 and as a percentage of net sales was 45.6 percent for the first nine months of fiscal 2006, compared to 47.0 percent for the first nine months of fiscal 2005. Selling expenses, which consist of salaries and commissions, the largest component of SG&A, increased approximately \$1.9 million to \$7.1 million, or 25.8 percent of net sales, for the first nine months of fiscal 2006, compared to \$5.2 million, or 32.1 percent of net sales, for the first nine months of fiscal 2005. In addition, general legal fees increased by \$500,000, we recorded compensation expense associated with the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), of \$111,000 and direct selling expenses and advisory director fees increased by \$250,000. The increase was also impacted by approximately \$2.0 million of SG&A for the former Valley Forge. General and administrative headcount increased approximately 28.6 percent from July 31, 2005, which resulted in an increase in other costs of approximately \$75,000 in the first nine months of fiscal 2006, as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2005. In addition to the internal costs associated with the Company s Sarbanes-Oxley compliance efforts, the Company also recorded approximately \$150,000 in external consulting expense. The Company expects to realize synergies from the Valley Forge/Synergetics merger over the next 15 months, which may be partially offset by ongoing expenses related to the integration of the two companies.

Other Expense

Other expense for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 increased 93.8 percent to \$371,628 from \$191,786 for the first nine months of fiscal 2005. The increase was due primarily to increased interest expense on the note payable to the estate of Dr. Malis and increased borrowings of the working capital line due to working capital needs during the first nine months. In addition, the Company wrote-off approximately \$32,000 associated with the wind-up of Synergetics Laser, LLC.

Operating Income, Income Taxes and Net Income

Operating income for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 increased 101.1 percent to \$4.1 million from \$2.1 million in the comparable 2005 fiscal period. The increase in operating income was primarily the result of approximately \$1.6 million in operating income contributed from the Valley Forge merger.

Synergetics effective tax rate was 34.6 percent for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 as compared to 36.7 percent for the first nine months of fiscal 2005. The decrease was due primarily to research and experimentation credit expectations for the fiscal year ending July 31, 2006.

Net income increased to \$2.5 million from \$1.2 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2006, as compared to the same 2005 period. The growth in net income was primarily the result of approximately \$1.0 million in net income contributed from the Valley Forge merger. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 decreased to \$0.13 from \$0.35 for the first nine months of fiscal 2005. The decrease in earnings per share was the result of issuing 15,960,648 shares in the merger of Synergetics and Valley Forge. These shares were counted as outstanding for 163 business days during the first nine months of fiscal 2006. Therefore, basic weighted-average shares outstanding increased from 3,412,973 to 19,469,352.

22

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company had \$501,678 in cash and cash equivalents and total interest-bearing debt and revenue bonds payable of \$10,760,912 as of April 30, 2006.

Working capital, including the management of inventory and accounts receivable, is a key management focus. At April 30, 2006, the Company had 53 days of sales outstanding for the three month period ending April 30, 2006 (annualized) in accounts receivable, favorable to July 31, 2005 by three days. The Company utilized the three month period as it included a full reporting period of sales from the merged companies.

At April 30, 2006, the Company had 105 days sales in inventory on hand, favorable to July 31, 2005 by 16 days. The 105 days sales in inventory on hand at April 30, 2006 is at the midpoint of the Company s anticipated levels of 100 to 110 days sales in inventory. In terms of inventory stated on a cost basis, we have 303 days of inventory on hand at April 30, 2006 as compared to 317 days at July 31, 2005. This decrease is due to the mix of inventory on hand being less capital equipment intensive at the end of the quarter. The Company utilized the three month period as it included a full reporting period of sales from the merged companies. Management believes that meeting the customer expectations regarding delivery times is important to its growth strategy.

Cash flows used in operating activities were \$1,361,624 for the nine months ended April 30, 2006, compared to cash flows used in operating activities of \$300,786 for the comparable fiscal 2005 period. The increase in cash used of \$1,060,838 was attributable to net usage increase applicable to accounts receivable of \$1,130,977 and inventories of \$1,907,194. Accounts receivable changes were due to the increased sales volume. Inventories build-up was to support such sales growth. Accounts payable usage did not increase as much as prior year by \$1,342,800. Such increases were partially offset by cash provided by greater net income of approximately \$1,283,061, usage increase applicable to other accrued expenses of \$1,577,048 and other net working capital and other adjustments components of approximately \$460,024.

Cash flows used by investing activities were \$1,137,232 for the nine months of fiscal 2006, compared to cash used of \$1,287,449 for the comparable fiscal 2005 period. During the nine months ended April 30, 2006, the Company paid \$133,555 in cash for the acquisition of patents, compared to \$134,791 for the comparable 2005 period. Cash additions to property and equipment during the nine months ended April 30, 2006 were \$2,527,538 compared to \$1,152,658 for the nine months of fiscal 2005. Increases in fiscal 2006 were primarily to support the facility expansion at the Company s manufacturing facility in O Fallon, Missouri. Cash acquired through the reverse merger with Valley Forge was approximately \$2.0 million. In addition, the Company paid acquisition costs in connection with such reverse merger of \$494,159 in the nine months ended April 30, 2006 that were not applicable to the nine months ended April 29, 2005. Other net uses of cash in investing activities was \$5,925.

Cash flows provided by financing activities were \$1,183,711 for the nine months ended April 30, 2006, compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$662,046 for the nine months ended April 29, 2005. The increase of \$521,665 was applicable primarily to increased borrowings on the lines-of-credit of \$1,067,005 and net proceeds of long-term debt of \$1,426,952 offset by the down payment and quarterly principal payments of \$381,971 on the note payable to the estate of the late Dr. Leonard I. Malis for the acquisition of the Malis® trademark and regularly scheduled payments of \$186,563 on the revenue bonds payable and \$1,037,567 on the long-term debt. The increase was supplemented by the proceeds from stock option exercises of \$295,855.

The Company had the following committed financing arrangements as of April 30, 2006:

Revolving Credit Facility: Under the Company s revolving credit facility, the Company could borrow up to \$5.5 million at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 2.25 percent and adjusting each quarter based upon our leverage ratio. Borrowings under this facility at April 30, 2006 were \$1,300,042. Outstanding amounts are collateralized by Synergetics receivables and inventory. This credit facility expires on December 1, 2007. The facility has two financial covenants: a maximum leverage ratio of 3.75 times and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.1 times.

Equipment Line of Credit: Under this credit facility, Synergetics may borrow up to \$1.0 million, at an interest rate of the lender s prime lending rate. Outstanding amounts are secured by the equipment purchased under this line. The Company will make principal payments of \$39,642 plus interest, on a monthly basis. The effective interest rate for this note is 6.75 percent. Final payment is due on September 30, 2008. In addition, the equipment line of credit facility of \$1.0 million was also renewed and expires on September 30, 2006. Borrowings under this facility at April 30, 2006

Table of Contents

Management believes that cash flows from operations, together with available borrowing under its existing credit facilities will be sufficient to meet the Company s working capital, capital expenditure and debt service needs. If investment opportunities arise, the Company believes that its earnings, balance sheet and cash flows will allow it to obtain additional capital, if necessary.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company s critical accounting policies which require management s judgment are disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2005. In the first nine months of fiscal 2006, the following significant accounting policies were changed primarily as a result of the reverse merger with Valley Forge:

Principles of consolidation: Through the date of the reverse merger described in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, the condensed consolidated financial statements included the accounts of Synergetics and its wholly owned subsidiaries: Synergetics Development Company, LLC and an 83 percent owned subsidiary, Synergetics Laser, LLC. Thereafter, the condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Synergetics USA, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries: Synergetics, Synergetics IP, Inc. and Synergetics Development Company, LLC. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Property and equipment: Leasehold improvements are being amortized over the related lease term or estimated useful lives, whichever is shorter.

Goodwill and other intangibles: Absent any impairment indicators, goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis. The Company expects to perform its impairment tests during the fourth fiscal quarter. Intangible assets, consisting of patents, licensing agreements and proprietary know-how are amortized to operations under the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives or statutory lives whichever is shorter. These periods range from two to ten years. The life of a trademark is inextricably related to the life of the product bearing the mark or the life of the business entity owning the trademark. The Company intends to use the trademark indefinitely, and therefore its useful life is not limited to any specific product. The trademark constitutes an indefinite-lived intangible that will be used in perpetuity.

Revenue recognition: The Company records revenue from product sales when the revenue is realized and the product is shipped from its facilities. This includes satisfying the following criteria: the arrangement with the customer is evident, usually through the receipt of a purchase order; the sales price is fixed and determinable; delivery has occurred; and collectibility is reasonably ensured. Freight and shipping billed to customers is included in net sales, and the cost of shipping is included in cost of sales.

Service revenue substantially relates to repairs of products and is recognized when the service has been completed. Revenue from license, extended warranty contracts and royalty fees is recorded when earned.

Stock-based compensation: We have a stock plan for employees and consultants allowing for incentive and non-qualified stock options and restricted stock and stock awards which have been granted to certain employees and certain consultants of the Company. In addition, we have a stock option plan for non-employee directors allowing for non-qualified stock options. Options under this plan have been granted to all non-employee directors. As of August 1, 2005, Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS123(R)), became effective for the Company. The Company had previously followed Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation (APB No. 25), and related interpretations in accounting for its employee stock options. Under APB No. 25, no compensation expense was recognized, if the exercise price of the Company s employee stock options equaled or exceeded the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant. Under SFAS 123(R), compensation expense is now recognized. Stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award and is recognized over the directors and employees requisite service period. Compensation expense is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company has elected to use the modified prospective transition method. Under the modified prospective transition method, an entity uses the fair value based accounting method for all director and employee awards granted, modified or settled after the effective date. As of the effective date, compensation costs related to the nonvested portion of awards outstanding as of that date are based on the grant-date fair value of those awards as calculated under the original provisions of SFAS 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation; that is, an entity would not remeasure the grant-date fair value estimate of the unvested portion of awards granted prior to the effective

date of SFAS 123(R). Compensation expense is recognized in net earnings for restricted stock awards.

Segment information: The Company operates in two business segments—the Synergetics segment and the Valley Forge segment. The Synergetics segment includes revenue and operating expenses associated with the sales of ophthalmic and neurosurgical instruments and Omni[®] ultrasonic aspirator. The Valley Forge segment includes revenue and operating expenses associated with the

24

Table of Contents

sales of bipolar electrosurgical generators. The financial results of Valley Forge have been included from September 22, 2005 through April 30, 2006.

Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company s primary market risks include fluctuations in interest rates and exchange rate variability. At April 30, 2006, the Company had a revolving credit facility and an equipment line of credit facility in place. The Company s \$5.5 million revolving credit facility had an outstanding balance of \$1,300,042 at April 30, 2006 and its equipment line of credit facility had an outstanding balance of \$590,455 at April 30, 2006. The equipment line of credit facility bears interest at the bank s prime lending rate. The \$5.5 million revolving credit facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.25 percent adjusting each quarter based upon the Company s leverage ratio. Interest expense from these credit facilities is subject to market risk in the form of fluctuations in interest rates. Assuming the current levels of borrowings at variable rates and a two-percentage-point increase in the average interest rate on these borrowings, it is estimated that our interest expense would have increased by approximately \$38,000. The Company does not perform any interest rate hedging activities related to its credit facilities.

Additionally, the Company has exposure to foreign currency fluctuation through export sales to international accounts. As only approximately 5 percent of our sales revenue is denominated in foreign currencies, we estimate that a change in the relative strength of the U.S. dollar to foreign currencies would not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations. The Company does not conduct any hedging activities related to foreign currency.

Item 4 Controls and Procedures

We have evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of April 30, 2006. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of April 30, 2006, the period covered by this report, because of a material weakness in the Company s internal control over financial reporting described more fully below.

Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A material weakness is a deficiency in an internal control that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. All internal controls systems, no matter how well-designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

In the course of the annual audit of Synergetics fiscal year ended July 31, 2005, management concluded that there was a deficiency in its internal control relating to inventory. This control deficiency, which management determined to be a material weakness, relates to Synergetics accounting system not being properly updated for raw materials purchased throughout the period, resulting in incorrect average prices and an incorrect value of inventory recorded in its accounting records. Because the accounting system is not being updated properly, the perpetual inventory required substantial adjustment at year-end. The Company is in the process of reviewing all of its purchased inventory and a substantial portion of its manufactured products to determine the appropriate cost to be utilized for its valuation of all stages of inventory. The Company has updated its Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) System and created additional monitoring processes around inventory purchases to allow the changes in raw materials prices to be appropriately tracked through the accounting system. Such new procedures and updated ERP system will result in more accurate and reliable interim and year-end financial information with respect to inventories and cost of goods sold and should enable the Company to avoid unexpected significant adjustments related to this process at period-end.

We have begun the process of documenting and testing our internal controls over financial reporting and have identified and expect to continue to identify matters which will require remediation. We have taken steps to improve our internal controls, including our controls over inventory and information technology; however, additional steps may be required to improve our internal controls, and these may be both time consuming and costly. Additionally, there can be no assurance, that we, or our independent registered public accounting firm, may not discover other material weaknesses during the assessment of our internal controls for fiscal year 2006 that will be difficult to

remediate timely, hence affecting the conclusion about the design and/or operating effectiveness of our controls for fiscal year 2006.

25

Table of Contents

The Company made changes in its internal control over financial reporting to incorporate Valley Forge s financial reporting into Synergetics Inc. s financial reporting including a change in the accounting and finance reporting structure during the first nine months of the fiscal year covered by this report. No other changes were made that would materially affect the internal control over financial reporting.

Part II Other Information

Item 1 Legal Proceedings

On February 11, 2004, Synergetics, the Company s wholly owned subsidiary, filed an action against two ex-employees, in which Synergetics alleged that the defendants, among other things, misappropriated trade secrets, intentionally interfered with Synergetics business relationships, and breached confidentiality contracts. Synergetics subsequently amended the complaint to add claims of fraud and breach of fiduciary duty. The suit was brought in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri and was captioned Synergetics, Inc. v. Charles Richard Hurst, Jr. and Michael McGowan, Case No. 4:04-CV-318DDN. On August 10, 2005, Defendants answered and filed counterclaims alleging tortious interference with business relationships and seeking a declaration that Defendants had not misappropriated any confidential information or trade secrets of Synergetics. After the Court transferred Defendants counterclaim for tortious interference to New Jersey (where it was subsequently dismissed by Defendants), trial began on September 12, 2005, and on September 20, 2005 the jury returned a verdict in favor of Synergetics. On December 9, 2005, the Court, consistent with the jury s findings, entered the judgment awarding Synergetics \$1,759,165 in compensatory damages against Defendants, and \$293,194 in punitive damages against Hurst and \$293,194 in punitive damages against McGowan. The Court also granted Synergetics certain injunctive relief against Defendants and awarded costs from the litigation in the amount of \$22,264. On January 9, 2006, Defendants filed a notice of appeal. Proceedings in the appeal are on-going.

On October 21, 2004, Synergetics filed suit in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania against Hurst and McGowan's company, Innovatech Surgical, Inc. (Innovatech), and its manufacturer, Peregrine Surgical, Ltd. (Peregrine) for patent infringement. This suit is captioned Synergetics, Inc. v. Peregrine Surgical, Ltd., and Innovatech Surgical, Inc., Case No. 4:04-CV-4939. The suit against Innovatech and Peregrine arises out of the Defendants sale, use and manufacture of an adapter and connector that are alleged to infringe two of Synergetics patents. Synergetics seeks damages and injunctive relief in this action. In a decision on the parties motions for summary judgment dated April 6, 2006 the Court ruled that defendants do not literally infringe the patent, but that trial should proceed on the issue of whether defendants infringe pursuant to the doctrine of equivalents. Trial on this issue is set to begin on June 26, 2006.

On October 19, 2005, IRIDEX Corporation (IRIDEX) filed suit in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri against the Company for patent infringement. This suit is captioned IRIDEX Corporation v. Synergetics USA, Inc., Case No. 4:05CV1916CDP. IRIDEX Corporation filed suit against the Company for infringement of the IRIDEX Patent No. 5,085,492 entitled Optical Fiber with Electrical Encoding. IRIDEX alleges that Synergetics Quick Disconnect Laser Probes and adapter infringe its patent. It seeks damages, including treble damages, and injunctive relief. On November 30, 2005, the Company filed its answer in this lawsuit and asked the court to declare that its products do not infringe the IRIDEX patent. In addition, the Company countersued IRIDEX alleging that it engaged in false advertising, commercial disparagement, trade libel, injurious falsehood and unfair competition under the Federal Lanham Act and applicable Missouri common law. The counterclaim also includes a count of defamation. These claims primarily relate to alleged false or misleading statements and publications by IRIDEX and its representatives with respect to the Company s laser adapters and laser probes. Litigation in this matter is ongoing.

On January 10, 2006, Synergetics filed a suit in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania against Innovatech and Peregrine for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,984,230, and on April 25, 2006 the Court permitted Synergetics to amend its complaint to add IRIDEX as well. This suit is captioned Synergetics, Inc. v. Peregrine Surgical, Ltd., *et al.*, Case No. 2:06-cv-00107. The suit arises out of the Defendants—sale, use and manufacture of a laser probe that is alleged to infringe Synergetics—patent. Synergetics seeks damages and injunctive relief in this action. Innovatech and Peregrine have asserted by way of affirmative defenses or counterclaims, *inter alia*, that they do not infringe the patent, that the patent in suit is invalid, and that Synergetics engaged in inequitable

conduct rendering the patent unenforceable. Innovatech also counterclaims for alleged violations of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125. Moreover, Innovatech has moved to add the Company as a party to the case, and to add a counterclaim for violation of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 and 2. Synergetics does not believe the patent is invalid, or that it engaged in inequitable conduct or conduct that violated the Lanham Act or Sherman Act, and intends to vigorously prosecute this litigation.

On May 26, 2006, Peregrine filed suit in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania against the Company seeking a declaratory judgment of non-infringement of the Synergetics Patent No. 5,921,998.

26

Table of Contents

In addition, from time to time we may become subject to litigation claims that may greatly exceed our product liability insurance limits. An adverse outcome of such litigation may adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. We record a liability when a loss is known or considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If a loss is not probable, a liability is not recorded. As of April 30, 2006, the Company has no litigation reserve recorded.

Item 1A Risk Factors

The Company s business is subject to certain risks and events that, if they occur, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the trading price of our common stock. For a discussion of these risks, please refer to the Risk Factors section of the Company s Annual Report of Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2005. In connection with its preparation of this quarterly report, management has reviewed and considered these risk factors and has determined that there have been no material changes to the Company s risk factors since the date of filing the Annual Report.

Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3 Defaults upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

Item 5 Other Information

None

Item 6 Exhibits

Exhibit No. Description

- Amended and Restated Synergetics USA, Inc. 2001 Stock Plan

 Form of Employee Restricted Stock Agreement for the Amended and Restated Synergetics USA, Inc. 2001 Stock Plan

 Amended and Restated Synergetics USA, Inc. 2005 Non-employee Directors Stock Option Plan

 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Trademark Acknowledgements

Synergetics, the Synergetics logo, Malis, Omni, Bident and Finest Energy Source for Surgery are our registered trademarks. PHOTON, DualWave, COAG, Advantage, Microserrated, Microfiber, Solution, Tru-Micro, DDMS, Krypotonite, Diamond Black, Bullseye, Spetzler Claw, Spetzler Micro Claw, Spetzler Open Angle Micro Claw, Spetzler Barracuda, Spetzler Pineapple and Bi-Safe product names are our trademarks. All other trademarks or tradenames appearing in the Form 10-Q are the property of their respective owners.

Table of Contents 38

27

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SYNERGETICS USA, INC.

(Registrant)

June 13, 2006 /s/ Gregg D. Scheller

Gregg D. Scheller, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive

Officer)

June 13, 2006 /s/ Pamela G. Boone

Pamela G. Boone, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Principal Accounting

Officer)

28