MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC /CA/ Form 10-K/A September 28, 2005

> UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10K/A

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004

Commission file number - 33-23617

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

95-4622822 ------(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Suite 707, 11661 San Vicente Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90049

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (310) 208-5589

Securities Registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered None n/a

Securities Registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered None n/a

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No [].

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this

1

Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act) Yes [] No [X]

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2004 was \$173,198,740 based on the average of the bid and asked prices of \$3.40 as reported by the Over The Counter Electronic Bulletin Board.

As of March 21 2005, there were 87,660,090 shares of common stock, \$.001 par value issued and outstanding.

As of March 21, 2005, there were 600,000 shares of Class B Common Stock, \$.001 par value issued and outstanding.

1

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

List hereunder the following documents incorporated by reference and the part of the Form 10-K (e.g., Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (c) under the Securities Act of 1933. The listed documents should be clearly described for identification purposes (e.g., annual report to security holders for fiscal year ended December 24, 1980).

There is no annual report, proxy statement, or prospectus to incorporate by reference.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere herein. Statements in this Form 10-K that reflect projections or expectations of future financial or economic performance of the Company, and statements of the Company's plans and objectives for future operations, including those contained in "Business," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk," or relating to the Company's outlook for fiscal year 2005, overall volume and pricing trends or strategies and their anticipated results, are "forward-looking" statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "approximates," "believes," "estimates," "intends," and "hopes" and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. No assurance can be given that actual results or events will not differ materially from those projected, estimated, assumed or

anticipated in any such forward-looking statements. Important factors that could result in such differences, in addition to the other factors noted with such forward-looking statements, include (but are not limited to): general economic conditions in the Company's market, including inflation, recession, interest rates and other economic factors; casualty to or other disruption of the Company's facilities and equipment; and other factors that generally affect the transportation and infrastructure industries.

PART I

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

We are engaged in research and development of metal fatigue detection, measurement, and monitoring technologies. As such, we are developing several monitoring devices for metal fatigue detection and measurement. We are a development stage company doing business as Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation.

Our efforts are dedicated to developing devices and systems that indicate the true fatigue status of a metal component. We have developed two products, with a third product now in the last stages of its development. The first is a small, extremely simple device that continuously integrates the effect of fatigue

loading in a structural member, called a Fatigue Fuse. The second is an instrument that detects very small cracks in metals, The Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor. It has demonstrated that it can detect cracks, in the laboratory, as small as 10 microns (0.0004 inches), which is smaller than any other practical crack detection technology, as acknowledged by the United States Air Force and confirmed by Rockwell Scientific Corporation. We hold the patents on the Fatigue Fuse and license the technology on the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor from the University of Pennsylvania.

Another product currently under development is the Company's "Matech NDT Probe(TM)" ("Videoscope"), which provides visual access and simultaneously, certain non-destructive test sensors to remote locations. It comprises a video detecting element and light source together with a working channel, through which certain non-destructive test sensors such as ultrasound and/or eddy current devices can be passed, to inspect visually or manually inaccessible regions of structures such as the interior of jet turbine engines.

The detecting element provides very clear video resolution; images are displayed on a monitor, and can be recorded. The Videoscope is derived from similar devices in wide use in medicine. Its uniqueness is its small diameter and its capability for applying multiple sensors. Developed to inspect internal components of fully assembled jet turbine engines using the existing inspection holes in assembled engine outer surfaces, it can be used to access remote areas of bridges and other structures to monitor fatigue and other cracks, permitting good visual access to otherwise inaccessible areas.

We were formed as a Delaware corporation on March 4, 1997. It is the successor to the business of Material Technology, Inc., a Delaware corporation, also doing business as Tensiodyne Scientific, Inc. Material Technology, Inc. was the successor to the business of Tensiodyne Corporation that began developing the Fatigue Fuse in 1983. Our two predecessors, Tensiodyne Corporation and Material Technology, Inc. were engaged in developing and testing the Fatigue Fuse and, beginning in 1993, developing the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor.

On January 1, 2003 the Company formed Integrated Metal Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("IMT"). The Company owns 51% of the outstanding shares of IMT and the remaining 49% of the outstanding shares are owned by Austin Tech, LLC, a Texas limited liability company. The original purpose of the joint venture of utilizing each joint venturer's technologies was abandoned in 2004. At the present time there is no activity in IMT, IMT has no assets or liabilities and the Company does not anticipate nor reasonably foresee any business activity in IMT in the near future.

As of December 31, 2004, our investments in our subsidiary companies represented less than 10% of our total assets. We have controlling interests in each of our subsidiary companies and members of our management also serve as officers and directors of each subsidiary. The following is a list of our subsidiary companies as of December 31, 2004, with a brief description of their business:

3

Matech International, Inc.

On January 22, 2003 the Company formed Matech International, Inc. ("International"), a Nevada corporation. International was formed as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company to advertise, market and sell the Company's videoscope technology which is presently utilized in the inspection of stress and crack points in turbine engines on the wings of airplanes. The Company granted International an exclusive, royalty free license to use the Company's technologies in the countries of Mexico, Brazil, United States of America, Lebanon, Saudi Arabia, Argentina, United Arab Emirates, Jordan, Qatar, Kuwait, Egypt, Canada, Norway, Sweden, Finland, Denmark and Iceland. The license expires on January 1, 2005.

The license is further restricted to only those applications in which the technology can be used in combination with, simultaneously or as an integral part of certain technologies developed or provided by Austin Tech, LLC, a Texas limited liability company. Additionally, the license further restricts use of the technology in only the following markets: a) bridges, b) tunnels, c) tank farms, and d) railroads.

At the present time there is no activity in International and the Company does not anticipate nor reasonably foresee any business activity in International in the near future.

Matech Aerospace, Inc.

On March 13, 2003 the Company formed Matech Aerospace, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("Aerospace") with a capital contribution of \$5,000. Aerospace was formed as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company to advertise, market and sell all manufacturing and marketing rights to the Company's products and technologies in all commercial markets within the United States. The Company granted Aerospace an exclusive license to advertise, market and sell all manufacturing and marketing rights to the Company's Videoscope in all commercial markets within the United States in exchange for a seven percent (7%) royalty on all gross sales generated by Aerospace.

THE COMPANY'S TECHNOLOGIES

THE FATIGUE FUSE

The Fatigue Fuse is designed to be affixed to a structure to give warnings as pre-selected portions of the fatigue life have been used up (i.e., how far to failure the structure has progressed). It warns against a condition of widespread generalized cracking due to fatigue.

The Fatigue Fuse is a thin piece of metal similar to the material being monitored. It consists of a series of parallel metal strips connected to a common base, much as fingers are attached to a hand. Each "finger" has a different geometric pattern, called "notches," defining its boundaries. Each finger incorporates an application-specific notch near the base. By applying the

laws of physics to determine the geometric contour of each notch, the fatigue life of each finger is finite and predictable. When the fatigue life of a finger (Fuse) is reached, the Fuse breaks.

By implementing different geometry for each finger in the array, different increments of fatigue life are observable. Typically, notches will be designed to facilitate observing increments of fatigue life of 10% to 20%. By mechanically attaching or bonding these devices to different areas of the structural member of concern, the Fuse undergoes the same fatigue history (strain cycles) as the structural member. Therefore, breakage of a Fuse indicates that an increment of fatigue life has been reached for the structural member. The notch and the size and shape of the notch concentrate energy on each finger. The Fuse is intimately attached to the structural member of interest. Therefore, the Fuse experiences the same strain and wear history as the member. Methods are available for remote indication of Fuse fracturing.

We believe that the Fatigue Fuse is of value in monitoring aircraft, ships, bridges, conveyor systems, mining equipment, cranes, etc. No special training is needed to qualify individuals to report any broken segments of the Fatigue Fuse to the appropriate engineering authority for necessary action. The success of the device is contingent upon our successful marketing of the Fatigue Fuse, and no assurance can be given that we will be able to overcome the obstacles relating to introducing a new product to the market. To implement our ability to produce and market the Fatigue Fuse, we need substantial additional capital and no assurance can be given that this needed capital will be available.

In a new structure, we generally assume there is no fatigue and can thus design the Fatigue Fuse for 100% of its life potential. But in an existing structure, one that has experienced loading and wear, we must determine the fatigue status of that structural member so we can design the Fatigue Fuse to monitor the remaining fatigue life potential.

THE ELECTROCHEMICAL FATIGUE SENSOR ("EFS")

The EFS is a device that employs the principle of electrochemical/mechanical interaction to find cracks. It is an instrument that detects very small cracks and has the potential to determine crack growth rates. The Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor has demonstrated in the laboratory that it can detect cracks as small as 10 microns (0.0004 inches), which is smaller than any other practical technology, as acknowledged by the United States Air Force and Rockwell Scientific Corporation. We believe that nothing comparable to this instrument currently exists in materials technology.

The EFS functions by treating the location of interest (the target) associated with the structural member as an electrode of an electrochemical cell. By imposing a constant voltage-equivalent circuit as the control mechanism for the electrochemical reaction at the target surface, current flows as a function of stress action. The EFS is always a dynamic process; therefore stress action is required, e.g. to measure a bridge structural member it is necessary that cyclic loads be imposed, as normal traffic on the bridge would do. The results are a specific set of current waveforms and amplitudes that characterize and indicate fatigue damage i.e., fatigue cracks.

MATECH NDT PROBE(TM) (VIDEOSCOPE)

Critical stress points are very often located in difficult-to-get-at places. Therefore, it has become desirable to miniaturize the process and develop a means for delivery of test sensors to inaccessible areas. The Videoscope comprises a video detecting element and light source together with a working channel through which certain non-destructive test sensors such as ultrasound and/or eddy current devices can be passed, to inspect visually or manually

inaccessible regions of structures. The device as presently implemented has a maximum diameter of 12 mm (0.472 inches) and length of 1.5m (60 inches). Contained within this diameter is a working channel of 2.8 mm (0.11 inches) diameter, through which proprietary eddy current or ultrasonic sensors may be passed and used to examine areas of interest.

The Videoscope's uniqueness is its small diameter and its capability for applying multiple non-destructive test sensors. Developed to inspect internal components of fully assembled jet turbine engines using the existing inspection holes in assembled engine outer surfaces, it can be used to access remote areas of bridges and other structures to monitor fatigue and other cracks, permitting good visual access to otherwise inaccessible areas.

DEVELOPMENT OF OUR TECHNOLOGIES

Currently, the Company's primary focus is on the development and commercialization of the EFS and Videoscope. Due to the Company's limited resources, efforts in the development and testing of the Fatigue Fuse have been delayed.

Status of the Fatigue Fuse

The development and application sequence for the Fatigue Fuse and EFS is (a) basic research, (b) exploratory development, (c) advanced development, (d) prototype evaluation, (e) application demonstration, and (f) commercial sales and service. The Fatique Fuse came first. The inventor, Professor Maurice Brull, conducted the basic research at the University of Pennsylvania. We conducted the advanced development, including variations of the adhesive bonding process, and fabricating a laboratory-grade remote recorder for finger separation events that constitute proper functioning of the Fatigue Fuse. The next step, prototype evaluation, encompasses empirical tailoring of Fatigue Fuse parameters to fit the actual spectrum loading expected in specific applications, and needs to be done. The tests associated with further development of the Fatigue Fuse include full-scale structural tests with attached Fatigue Fuses. A prototype of the Fatigue Fuse has been designed, fabricated, and successfully demonstrated. The next tasks will be to prepare an analysis for more efficient selection of Fatigue Fuse parameters and to conduct a comprehensive test program to prove the ability of the Fatigue Fuse to accurately indicate fatigue damage when subjected to realistically large variations in measuring stresses and strains in fatiguing metal. The final tasks prior to marketing will be an even larger group of demonstration tests.

The Fatigue Fuse is at its final stages of testing and development. To begin marketing the Fatigue Fuse, it is the Company's belief that it will take from six to 12 months and cost approximately \$600,000, including technical and beta

testing and final development. If testing, development, and marketing are successful, we estimate we should begin receiving revenue from the sale of the

Fatigue Fuse within a year of completing development of the Fatigue Fuse. However, we cannot estimate the amount of revenue that may be realized from sales of the Fuse, if any.

To date, certain organizations have included our Fatigue Fuse in test programs. We have already completed the tests for welded steel civil bridge members conducted at the University of Rhode Island. In 1996, Westland Helicopter, a British firm, tested the Fatigue Fuse on Helicopters. That test was successful with the legs of the Fatigue Fuses failing in sequence as predicted.

The Fatigue Fuse has been at this stage for the past several years as the Company has not had the necessary financial resources to finalize its development and commence marketing. At the present time the Company has elected to defer future development of the Fatigue Fuse and apply its resources to pursue the EFS technology.

Status of the EFS

The existence of very small cracks can be determined by EFS, and in this regard it appears superior in resolution to other current non-destructive testing techniques. It has succeeded in regularly detecting cracks as small as 40 microns in a titanium alloy, in a laboratory environment, as verified by a scanning electronic microscope, and has proven to be capable of detecting cracks down to 10 microns, as acknowledged by the Materials Laboratory at Wright Patterson Air Force on a titanium alloy and confirmed by evaluations at Rockwell Scientific Corporation on bridge grade steel. This is much smaller than the capability of any other practical non-destructive testing method for structural components. There is also a vast body of testing supporting successful use of this technology with selected aluminum alloys. Within the past 12 months, the Company has successfully evaluated EFS on 6 highway bridges. These are considered Beta Tests verifying the procedure in the real world. We are now preparing to begin the marketing of the EFS for bridges. In October 2003 we were awarded a \$215,000 contract from Northrop/Grumman Corporation to apply EFS in an experimental program to evaluate long term sensing of fatigue damage in military vehicles and aircraft on which we invoiced approximately \$28,000 in 2003 and \$46,000 in 2004.

COMMERCIAL MARKETS FOR OUR PRODUCTS AND TECHNOLOGIES

No commercial application of our products has been arranged to date, but we believe it can be applied to certain markets. Our technology is applicable to many market sectors such as bridges and aerospace as well as ships, cranes, power plants, nuclear facilities, chemical plants, mining equipment, piping systems, and heavy iron.

Application Of Our Technologies For Bridges

Our EFS and fatigue fuse products primarily address the detection of fatigue in structures such as bridges. In the United States alone there are more than 610,000 bridges of which over 260,000 are rated by the Federal Highway Administration as requiring major repair, rehabilitation, or replacement. Our EFS and Fatigue Fuse products can be effectively used as fatigue detection devices for all metal bridges located within the United States. Our detection devices also address maintenance problems associated with bridge structures.

Although there are normal business imperatives, the bridge market is essentially macro-economically and government policy driven. In our opinion, only technology can provide the solution. The need for increased spending accelerates significantly each year as infrastructure ages. The Federal government has recently mandated bridge repair and detection through the passage of the Intermodal Surface Transportation and Efficiency Act in 1991 and again in the \$200 billion, 1998 Transportation Equity Act. We do not currently have contracts in place to install our fatigue detection products on bridge structures within the United States.

OUR PATENT PROTECTIONS

We are the assignee of four patents originally issued to Tensiodyne Corporation. The first was issued on May 27, 1986, and expired on May 27, 2003. It is titled "Device for Monitoring Fatigue Life" and bears United States Patent Office Number 4,590,804. The second patent, titled "Metal Fatigue Detector" was issued on August 24, 1993 and expires on August 24, 2010, United States Patent Number 5,237,875. The third patent, titled "Device for Monitoring the Fatigue Life of a Structural Member and a Method of Making Same," was issued on June 14, 1994 and expires on June 14, 2011, United States Patent Number 5,319,982. In addition, we own a fourth patent, titled "Device for Monitoring the Fatigue Life of a Structural Member and a Method of Making Same," which was issued June 20, 1995, United States Patent Number 5,425,274, and expires June 20, 2012. Effective as of December 31, 2003, the Company was assigned all rights under the patent application relating to the Videoscope.

OUR PATENTS ARE ENCUMBERED

The patents described in the preceding section are pledged as collateral to secure the repayment of loans extended to us or indebtedness that we currently owe. On August 30, 1986, we entered into a funding agreement with the Advanced Technology Center, whereby ATC paid \$45,000 to us for the purchase of a royalty of 3% of future gross sales and 6% of sublicensing revenue. The royalty is limited to the \$45,000 plus an 11% annual rate of return. At December 31, 2004, the future royalty commitment was limited to \$310,657. The payment of future royalties is secured by equipment we use in the development of technology as specified in the funding agreement, however, no lien against our equipment or our patents in favor of ATC vests until we generate royalties from product sales.

On May 4, 1987, we entered into a funding agreement with ATC whereby ATC provided \$63,775 to us for the purchase of a royalty of 3% of future gross sales and 6% of sublicensing revenue. The agreement was amended August 28, 1987, and as amended, the royalty cannot exceed the lesser of (1) the amount of the advance plus a 26% annual rate of return or, (2) total royalties earned for a term of 17 years. As with our first agreement with ATC, no lien or encumbrance against our assets, including our patents, vests in favor of ATC until we generate royalties from product sales. If we were to default on these payments to ATC, our obligations relating to these agreements then become secured by our patents, products and accounts receivable. At December 31, 2004, the total

future royalty commitments, including the accumulated 26% annual rate of return, were limited to approximately \$4,875,012.

On May 27, 1994, we borrowed \$25,000 from Sherman Baker, one of our shareholders. We gave Mr. Baker a promissory note due May 31, 2002 and we pledged our patents as collateral to secure the repayment of this note. As of the date of this prospectus, there is a first priority security interest in our patents as collateral for the repayment of the amounts we owe to Mr. Baker. As additional consideration for this loan, we granted to Mr. Baker, a 1% royalty interest in the Fatigue Fuse and a 0.5% royalty interest in the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor. We are in default of the repayment terms of the note held by Mr. Baker, and at December 31, 2004, we owe Mr. Baker approximately \$52,000 in principal and accrued interest. Mr. Baker has not taken any action to foreclose his interest in the collateral and we are in discussions with Mr. Baker, with the expectation that we will cure any default in the note he holds and avoid any foreclosure of his security interest held in our patents. We believe, that although we have not yet cured our defaults on the loans to Mr. Baker, our current communications with him suggest that Mr. Baker does not have the present intention of foreclosing on the patents as collateral or the pursuit of legal action against us to collect the balance due under our note.

DISTRIBUTION OF OUR PRODUCTS

Subject to available financing, we intend to exhibit the Fatigue Fuse and the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor at various aerospace trade shows and intend to also market our products directly to end users, including aircraft manufacturing and aircraft maintenance companies, crane manufactures and operators, certain state regulatory agencies charged with overseeing bridge maintenance, companies engaged in manufacturing and maintaining large ships and tankers, and the military. Although we intend to undertake marketing, dependent on the availability of funds, within and without the United States, no assurance can be given that any such marketing activities will be implemented.

COMPETITION

Other technologies exist which measure and indicate fatigue damage. Single cracks larger than a minimum size can be found by nondestructive inspection methods such as dye penetrate, radiography, eddy current, acoustic emission, and ultrasonics. Tracking of load and strain history, to subsequently estimate fatigue damage by computer processing, is possible with recording instruments such as strain gauges and counting accelerometers. These methods have been used for 40 years and also offer the advantage of having been accepted in the market, whereas our products remain largely unproven. Companies marketing these alternate technologies include Magnaflux Corporation, Kraut-Kermer-Branson, Dunegan-Endevco, and Micro Measurements. These companies have more substantial assets, greater experience, and more resources than ours, including, but not limited to, established distribution channels and an established customer base. The familiarity and loyalty to these technologies may be difficult to dislodge. Because we are still in the development stage, we are unable to predict whether our technologies will be successfully developed and commercially attractive in potential markets.

9

EMPLOYEES

The Company has four employees, Robert M. Bernstein, President and Chief Executive Officer, a Secretary, and two part time engineers. In addition, the Company retains consultants for specialized work.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company leases an office at 11661 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 707, Los Angeles, California, 90049. The space consists of 830 square feet and will be adequate for the Company's current and foreseeable needs. The total rent is payable at \$2,348 per month on a month-to-month basis. Either party may cancel the lease on 30 days notice.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

NONE

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The Company's common stock is traded on the Over-the-Counter Electronic Bulletin Board maintained by the NASD ("Bulletin Board"). Its symbol is MTNA.

From January 2003 through December 31, 2004 Matech's common stock was quoted between a low bid of \$.003 per share and a high bid of \$2.70 per share on the Bulletin Board. Such over-the-counter quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown, or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions. The major reason for the severe difference between the low and high bid prices during the year was the Company's 1,000:1 reverse stock split which came into effect on September 23, 2003. The following chart shows the high and low bid prices per share per calendar quarter from January 2003 to December 2004.

		High Bid Price	Low Bid Price				
First Quarter	2003	\$.024 *	\$.006 *				
Second Quarter	2003	\$.016 *	\$.008 *				
Third Quarter	2003	\$1.90 **	\$.003 **				

10

Fourth Quarter	2003	\$2.70 **	\$1.80 **
First Quarter	2004	\$3.15 **	\$2.70 **
Second Quarter	2004	\$3.55 **	\$3.15 **
Third Quarter	2004	\$3.45 **	\$3.02 **
Fourth Quarter	2004	\$3.05 **	\$1.75 **

* Price prior to September 23, 2003 1000:1 reverse stock split. ** Price after September 23, 2003 1000:1 reverse stock split.

On March 21, 2005, there were 1,319 holders of record of the Company's common stock and one holder of its Class B common stock. Our Class B common stock is not quoted on the Bulletin Board.

No dividends on any of the Company's shares were declared or paid during the years 2004, 2003 or 2002, nor are any dividends contemplated in the foreseeable future.

At various times during the years 2003 and 2004, the Company issued common stock to various persons which issuances we believe to be exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 or under Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, and comparable state law exemptions. Each and every such person that received shares of our common stock had a pre-existing relationship with Matech and has been associated with the Company in some way, is sophisticated in investment and financial matters, and is familiar with the Company, its business, and its financial position.

COMMON STOCK ISSUANCES

The number of shares issued by the Company as discussed below have been restated to reflect the Company's September 23, 2003, 1,000:1 reverse stock split as if the stock split took place at the beginning of each period presented.

2004

On January 7, 2004, the Company issued 25,000 Class A common shares to the Company's executive secretary. The shares are subject to a three-year lock up agreement.

On February 11, 2004, the Company issued 250,000 Class A common shares of its common stock through the conversion of 250,000 shares of Class D Preferred

stock.

On February 12, 2004, the Company issued 500,000 Class A common shares to a consultant for services rendered in connection with Matech Aerospace and for the overseeing the design, utilization, and marketing of the Videoscope. The shares are subject to a three-year lock up agreement.

On February 12, 2004, the Company issued 50,000 Class A common shares to a consultant for services rendered in connection with Matech Aerospace and the design and utilization of the Videoscope. The shares are subject to a three-year lock up agreement.

On February 12, 2004, the Company issued 25,000 Class A common shares to a consultant for services rendered in connection with accounting assistance. The shares are subject to a three-year lock up agreement.

On March 8, 2004, the Company issued 200,000 Class A common shares of its common stock through the conversion of 200,000 shares of Class D Preferred stock.

On March 16, 2004, the Company issued 25,000 shares of its Class A common stock to a consultant for services rendered in connection with the development of the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor for use on bridges. The shares are subject to a three-year lock up agreement.

On March 26, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 25,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

On April 1, 2004, certain shareholders exercised 3,300 warrants to purchase 6,200 shares of the Company's Class A common stock for \$4,550.

On April 23, 2004, the Company cancelled 250,000 shares of its Class D Preferred in exchange for issuing 250,000 shares of its common stock.

On May 12, 2004, the Company issued 25,000 shares of its common stock to a note holder as additional consideration for its delay in paying off the principal balance owed. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

On May 13, 2004, the Company cancelled 250,000 shares of its Class D Preferred in exchange for issuing 250,000 shares of its common stock.

On May 25, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 10,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

On June 8, 2004, the Company issued 1,900 shares of its Class A common stock for \$3,600.

On June 1, 2004, the Company cancelled 2,700 shares of its Class C Preferred in exchange for issuing 2,700 shares of its common stock

On June 16, 2004, the Company purchased 260 shares of its Class A common stock from S. Beck for \$974, which were subsequently cancelled.

On June 18, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 120,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

12

On June 25, 2004, the Company issued 11,875 shares of its common stock for \$8,906.

On June 30, 2004, the Company issued an attorney 50,000 shares of its Class A common stock as payment on past due invoices. The shares issued are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued of the indebtedness cancelled totaling \$39,467.

On June 30, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 3,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered.

On July 16, 2004, the Company issued 1,047,000 of its Class A common stock for \$123,500.

On July 27, 2004, the Company issued 1,000,000 of its Class A common stock to Mr. William Berks, the Company's Vice-President, for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

On July 27, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 300 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered.

On August 9, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 1,800 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered.

On August 16, 2004, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its Class A common in connection with its Regulation S offering.

On August 16, 2004, the Company issued three consultants a total of 599,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

On August 24, 2004, the Company issued to two consultants a total of 5,600 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered.

On September 2, 2004, the Company issued 7,500 of its Class A common stock to Mr. William Berks, the Company's vice-president, for services rendered.

On September 13, 2004, the Company issued 14,760 shares of its Class A common stock for \$14,500.

On September 14, 2004, the Company purchased 1,066 shares of its common stock

for \$3,194. These shares were subsequently cancelled.

On September 28, 2004, the Company issued its outside accountant 1,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered.

On October 1, 2004, the Company issued 8,666,666 shares of its common stock in exchange for 7,158,590 shares of Langley Park Investments PLC.

On October 1, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 36,923 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

On October 1, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 1,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered

On October 6, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 200,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

On October 13, 2004, the Company cancelled 2,570,000 shares of its Class D Preferred in exchange for issuing 2,570,000 shares of its Class A common stock.

On October 14, 2004, the Company issued 130,000 shares of its Class A common stock for \$10,000.

On October 15, 2004, the Company issued Joel Freedman, a Director and Corporate officer, 2,260,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a two-year lockup agreement.

On October 15, 2004, the Company issued John Goodman, a Director and Corporate officer, 1,500,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

On October 25, 2004, the Company issued 100,000 shares of its common stock to its outside accountant for services rendered. These shares are subject to a two-year lockup agreement.

On November 22, 2004, the Company issued its administrative assistant 25,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement.

On November 29, 2004, the Company issued an additional 24,800 shares of its Class A common stock to certain shareholders in connection with its Regulation S Offering for no additional consideration than what these shareholders previously paid for the original shares issued.

On December 17, 2004, the Company issued to two of its advisory board members a total of 175,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a two-year lockup agreement.

2003

On January 6, 2003, the Company issued 500 shares of its Class A common stock for financial consulting services including searching on behalf of the Company for additional equity capital.

On January 8, 2003, the Company issued 3,000 shares of its Class A common stock for legal services in connection with its aborted SB-2 registration statement.

On January 24, 2003, the Company issued 313 shares of its Class A common stock for consulting services in connection with Company public relations.

On February 4, 2003, the Company issued 787 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On February 12, 2003, the Company issued 2,550 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered in connection with its Regulation S offering.

On March 4, 2003, the Company issued 1,500 shares of its Class A common stock for legal services in connection with its aborted SB-2 registration statement.

On March 10, 2003, the Company issued 500 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On March 11, 2003, the Company issued 260 shares of its Class A common stock to Mr. Stephen Beck pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of his settlement agreement.

On March 11, 2003, the Company issued 1,500 shares of its Class A common stock for legal services in connection with its aborted SB-2 registration statement.

On March 11, 2003, the Company issued 300 shares of its Class A common stock for financial consulting services in connection with seeking potential funding for the Company.

On March 26, 2003, the Company issued 250 shares of its Class A common stock for consulting services in connection with the Company's research and development efforts.

On March 28, 2003, the Company issued 8,261 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On April 11, 2003, the Company issued 4,242 shares if its Class A common stock to the University of Pennsylvania pursuant to the anti-dilution provision in its license agreement.

On April 15, 2003, the Company issued 250 shares of its Class A common stock for marketing services relating to the EFS.

On April 15, 2003, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its Class A common stock each to Messrs. Goodman and Berks for consulting services in connection with the Company's research and development efforts.

On April 21, 2003, the Company issued 500 shares of its Class A common stock to one of its advisory board members for services rendered in connection with proposed marketing of the Videoscope in overseas markets.

On April 21, 2003, the Company issued 171 shares of its Class A common stock for consulting services rendered in connection with its research and development efforts.

On April 21, 2003, the Company issued 1,180 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered in connection with its Regulation S offering.

On April 29, 2003, the Company issued 3,000 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On May 8, 2003, the Company issued 250 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On May 20, 2003, the Company issued 150 shares of its Class A common stock for advising the Company as to potential sources of government research and development contracts and/or grants in regards to Company's technologies.

On May 27, 2003, the Company issued 2,000 shares of its Class A common stock for consulting services relating to research and development on the EFS.

On May 30, 2003, the Company issued 500 shares of its Class A common stock to an advisory member for consulting services in connection with seeking potential bridge projects.

On June 10, 2003, the Company issued 1,650 shares of its Class A common stock for legal services in connection with general corporate matters.

On June 12, 2003, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its Class A common stock to an attorney firm for amounts due them.

On June 20, 2003, the Company issued 2,000 shares of its Class A common stock to Mr. William Berks for consulting services in connection with the Company's research and development efforts.

On July 11, 2003, the Company issued 500 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On July 31, 2003, the Company issued 1,250 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On August 18, 2003, the Company issued 31 shares of its Class A common stock and 12,500 shares of Matech Aerospace common stock through its for Regulation S offering.

On August 18, 2003, the Company issued 625 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On August 20, 2003, the Company issued 500 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On August 27, 2003, the Company issued 2,257 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered in connection with its Regulation S offering.

On September 4, 2003, the Company issued 100 shares of its Class A common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On September 16, 2003, the Company issued 62 shares of its Class A common stock and 25,000 shares of Matech Aerospace common stock through its for Regulation S offering.

On September 22, 2003, the Company issued 492 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered in connection with its Regulation S offering.

On September 23, 2003, the Company issued 22,000,000 shares of Class A common stock in consideration for the assumption of the obligation due by the Company to two attorneys in the amount of \$1,583,128.

On September 23, 2003, the Company issued its president 32,000,000 shares of its Class A Common Stock and 300,000 shares of Class B Common Stock for past services rendered pursuant to an Accord, Satisfaction and Mutual Release in which Mr. Bernstein released all claims he had against the Company that arose prior to September 24, 2003, including past services rendered in excess of compensation paid.

On September 23, 2003, the Company issued 5,000,000 shares of its Class A common stock to its president in consideration for a promissory note totaling \$50,000.

On September 23, 2003, the Company issued 7,000,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered in connection with its Regulation S offering.

On September 26, 2003, the Company issued 16,000 shares of its Class A common stock and 6,250 shares of Matech Aerospace common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On September 26, 2003, the Company issued 2,000,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered in connection with seeking funding for the Company.

On September 29, 2003, the Company issued 5,760,000 shares of its Class A common stock for services rendered pursuant to a consulting agreement.

On November 12, 2003, the Company issued 30 shares of its Class A common stock and 12,000 shares of Matech Aerospace common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On December 11, 2003, the Company issued 80 shares of its Class A common stock and 32,000 shares of Matech Aerospace common stock through its Regulation S offering.

On December 17, 2003, the Company issued 3,750 shares of its Class A common

stock for services rendered in connection with the development of the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor.

On September 24, 2003, the Company adopted the 2003 Stock Option, SAR and Stock Bonus Consultant Plan and reserved 10,000,000 shares of its common stock for distribution under the plan. Eligible Plan participants include independent consultants. The option price per share is determined by Committee and will be no less than 85% of the fair market value of a share of common stock at date of grant. Options granted under the plan are not exercisable within 6 months from date of grant and expire five years from date of grant. The plan terminates on September 24, 2006. During 2003, there were no options issued under the plan.

In February 2002, the Company adopted the 2002 Stock Issuance/Stock Plan and reserved 20,000,000 shares of its common stock for grant under the plan. Eligible plan participants include employees, advisors, consultants, and officers who provide services to the Company. The option price is 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock at either the date of grant or such other day as the Board may determine. During 2002, the Company granted options to acquire 13,475,000 shares of the Company's common stock that expired in 2003. There were no options granted under the 2002 Plan in 2004 or 2003. The 2002 Plan expires upon the earlier of all reserved shares being awarded or December 31, 2007.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial data for the Company is derived from the Company's financial statements. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and the notes to the financial statements that are attached hereto.

		Fiscal Year Ended December 31,							
	2000		2001			2002		2003	
Net Sales	\$		\$		\$		\$		
Income from Research Development Contract	\$	635,868	\$	1,579,823	\$	461,323	\$	41,549	
Loss from Continuing Operations	Ş	(1,199,695)	Ş	(3,548,559)	\$	(3,852,296)	\$	(1,885,728)	

Continuing Operations Per Common Share	\$	(63.48)	Ş	(105.49)	Ş	(61.08)	\$	(.09)
Basic Weighted Average – Common Shares Outstanding		18,900		33,640		63,074		20,042,583
Total Assets	\$	108,776	\$	516,282	\$	372,620	\$	198,276
Total Liabilities	\$	870 , 586	\$	819,236	\$	2,466,936	\$	1,590,637
Minority Interest in Consolidated Subsidiary	Ş		\$		\$		\$	825
						1	.8	
Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)	\$	(710,459)	\$	(680,414)	\$	(2,094,316)	\$	(1,393,186)
Dividends	\$		\$		\$		\$	

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of results of operations, capital resources, and liquidity pertains to the activities of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004, 2003 AND 2002

In 2004 we continued our contract with Northrop Grumann and generated revenues of \$46,932 during the year. In addition, the Company entered into a research contract with URS Corporation and generated revenues of \$100,000 from this contract in 2004. In 2003, we entered into a research contract with Northrop Grumann in connection with the application of the Company's Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor in detecting metal fatigue stress on military vehicles. Revenue generated on this contract in 2003 amounted to \$28,004. Also during 2003, the Company invoiced and received its final payments under its contracts with the United States Air Force totaling \$13,545. From these two contracts the Company generated total revenue from research contracts in 2003 amounting to \$41,549. In 2002, we generated \$461,323 from our contracts with the United States Air Force.

In 2004, interest income totaled \$12,497 of which \$37 was earned from investments. Of the remaining \$12,460, \$8,460 was accrued on loans due from the Company's president and \$4,000 was accrued on stock subscriptions also due from the Company's president. In addition, the Company recognized a gain from the relief of indebtedness on obligations due from the Company totaling \$45,150 on

which the respective creditors were barred by statute to collect.

In 2003, interest income totaled \$41,641 of which \$2,203 was earned from investments. Of the remaining \$39,438, \$7,831 was accrued on loans due from the Company's president, and \$31,607 was accrued on stock subscriptions due from the Company's president, secretary and third party.

In 2002, interest income totaled \$52,782 of which \$729 was earned from investments and the remaining \$52,053 was accrued on loans due the Company from its president, and from stock subscriptions due from the president, a director, and third party.

In 2003, subscription receivables and related accrued interest amounting \$770,033 due from the Company's officers were cancelled in exchange for the officers returning the associated 5,006 shares of common stock back to the Company, which were subsequently cancelled. The \$35,000 subscription receivable

due the Company from a third party was cancelled in exchange for services rendered by the party.

COSTS AND EXPENSES

Research and development costs were \$7,605,747, \$229,317, and \$665,435, for 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively. Of the \$7,605,747 incurred in 2004, \$7,174,203 was paid through the issuance of 3,422,075 shares of the Company's common stock, of which 2,507,500 shares were issued to Messrs. Goodman and Berks, officers of the Company who are responsible for project development. The shares issued to Messrs. Goodman and Berks are subject to lockup agreements and valued at \$5,164,000, the market value of the shares on date of issuance discounted for the restriction on sale due to the lockup agreement. Of the R&D costs incurred in 2003 and 2002, \$15,000 and \$400,201, related to subcontractor costs associated with the research contracts. General and administrative costs were \$8,010,423, \$1,532,025, and \$3,581,706, for 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively.

In 2004, we charged \$196,000 to operations as compensation to our President (actual cash compensation paid Mr. Bernstein in 2004 totaled \$316,000 which included the payment of prior years' accrued compensation - see item 11. Executive Compensation). Legal and professional fees in 2004 totaled \$398,492, of which \$210,000 was paid through the issuance of 100,000 shares of our common stock to our outside accountant. The 100,000 shares issued to him are subject to a 3year lock up agreement and were valued at \$210,000. Consulting fees for 2004 amounted to \$7,149,240 of which \$6,842,477 was paid through the issuance of 3,159,923 shares of common stock. Of the \$6,842,477, \$4,969,740, was paid to Joel Freedman, a Board Member and Company's Secretary through the issuance of 2,260,000 shares subject to a 2 year lock-up agreement. Other expenses in 2004 included telephone of \$20,295, travel of \$49,456, rent of \$28,171, and office expense of \$35,608.

In 2003, cash compensation paid to our president, Mr. Bernstein, totaled \$71,000. We also accrued an additional \$66,963 in additional compensation

pursuant to Mr. Bernstein's employment agreement. In addition, the Company issued Mr. Bernstein 32,000,000 shares of its common stock for past services valued at \$320,000 and charged him with additional compensation of \$19,617 as consideration for the release of the remaining 1,962 shares of common stock held in escrow when it was cancelled (See Item 11. Executive Compensation). Legal fees in 2003 amounted to \$271,186 of which \$111,500 was paid through the issuance of 7,650 shares of our common stock. Other expenses in 2003 included consulting services of \$498,871 of which \$317,836 was paid through the issuance of 7,768,434 shares of our common stock, public and shareholder relations costs of \$22,427, office expense of \$29,757, office salaries of \$44,002, telephone expense of \$13,410, travel expenses of \$23,529, accounting and auditing fees of \$51,906, and rent of \$28,176.

In 2002, cash compensation paid to our president, Mr. Bernstein, totaled \$110,018. We also accrued an additional \$9,982 in additional compensation. In addition, the Company issued Mr. Bernstein 13,000 shares of its common stock for past services valued at \$260,000. Legal fees in 2002 amounted to \$1,922,861 of which \$1,599,200 relates to the settlement of the Beck matter. Of the \$1,599,200, \$1,481,895 is evidenced by a promissory note, \$112,193 was paid through the issuance of 2,028 shares of our common stock and \$5,112 paid in

cash. We also incurred \$314,729 in the filing of our registration statement on SB-2 of which \$297,500 was paid through the issuance of 7,750 shares and \$17,229 was paid in cash. Other expenses in 2002 included consulting services of \$940,160 of which \$662,098 was paid through the issuance of 10,881 shares of our common stock, office salaries of \$36,968, telephone expense of \$23,284, travel expenses of \$57,797, accounting and auditing fees of \$71,317, and rent of \$28,176.

Interest charged to operations for 2004, 2003, and 2002, amounted to \$605,980, \$206,776 and \$118,460, respectively. Of the \$605,980 incurred in 2004, \$122,827 was accrued on the note due the University of Pennsylvania, \$93,119 was accrued on the actual outstanding principal balance of the convertible debenture, \$326,161 pertains to the amortized portion of the discount attributed to the conversion feature of the debenture and \$59,500 was paid to the holder of a past due note as additional consideration. The \$59,500 was paid through the issuance of 25,000 shares of the Company's common stock that are subject to a three-year lockup agreement. Of the \$206,776 incurred in 2003, \$139,272 was accrued on the note due to the University of Pennsylvania and \$63,964 was accrued on the note due for legal fees on the Beck matter. Of the \$118,460 incurred in 2002, \$76,078 was accrued on the note due to the University of Pennsylvania and \$37,271 was accrued on the note due for legal fees on the Beck matter.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

In 2004, we raised \$212,025 through the issuance of 1,210,835 shares of our common stock, received \$785,000 in advances from our convertible debenture, received \$1,205,612 through the sale of 2,579,295 shares of Langley Park Investments, PLC, which we held as an investment and through the redemption of mutual fund shares, and received \$97,450 on a payment against the loan due us from our president. Of the \$2,300,087 received in 2004, \$1,321,389 was used in

operations, \$676 was used to purchase computer equipment, \$900,006 was invested in marketable securities, \$7,000 was advanced to our president, \$13,713 was used as costs in our private offerings and \$4,167 was used to repurchase 1,325 shares of our common stock for cancellation.

In 2003, we raised \$191,645 net of offering costs through the issuance of 34,030 shares of our common stock through Regulation S offerings, 4,074 shares of our preferred stock and 87,750 shares of common stock in our subsidiary, Matech Aerospace, Inc. We also received \$13,545 during 2003 from our contracts with the Air Force, \$2,203 in interest income, \$340,000 from advances on our Class A Senior Convertible Debenture, and \$10,000 on a loan from a third party. We used \$737,079 in our operations and paid \$24,432 for the purchase of 1,296 shares of our common stock from various shareholders for cancellation.

In 2002, we raised \$892,261 net of offering costs through the issuance of 28,046,766 shares of our common stock through a Regulation S offering, and 143,250 shares of our preferred stock. We also received \$175,646 during 2002 from our subcontracts with the Air Force. Of the \$1,067,907 we received, we used \$927,439 in our operations, we advanced \$33,547 to our president and paid \$29,608 for equipment.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company's liquid assets totaled \$1,089,790 consisting of cash on hand and resources readily convertible to cash, that consists of a mutual fund and Langley shares which can be immediately sold. The Company has entered into a Senior Class A Convertible debenture for a total

amount of \$1,500,000 of which \$1,125,000 was advanced to the Company in 2003 and 2004. Management does not expect to receive the remaining \$375,000. The Company's only source of continuing revenue for 2005 comes from its research contract with Northrop Grumann, which expires in September 2005. At the Company's current level of operating overhead, the funds derived from the contract and current liquid assets should allow the Company to continue operating through the remaining nine months of 2005. Although the Company hopes to have revenue from the utilization of its products in late 2005 or early 2006, and will continue in its attempt to raise capital, no assurance can be made that funds will be raised or sales will develop in order to finance future periods' operations. The Company's independent auditors' issued a going concern opinion on its report relating to the Company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004.

SIGNIFICANT CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

1) The Company is in breach of its research sponsorship agreement with the University of Pennsylvania. Under this 1997 agreement, the Company was to pay to the University on or before December 16, 2001, \$200,000 plus accrued interest assessed a rate of 18% per annum. Further under this agreement, the Company's President agreed to limit his compensation from the Company to \$150,000 per year until the loan and accrued interest is fully paid. The Company is currently in breach of both of these provisions. Since October 2003, the Company has been in negotiations with the University to settle the Company's obligation

under this agreement.

- 2) In addition to modifying its research agreement with the University of Pennsylvania, the Company also modified its licensing agreement with the University. Under the modified licensing agreement, the Company agreed to increase the University's royalty to 7% on Company sales of related products, and the issuance of additional shares of the Company's Class A common stock equaling 5% of the total shares outstanding.
- 3) In July 2002, the Company settled its pending lawsuit with Mr. Beck. Under the terms of the settlement, Mr. Beck received 1,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The shares to be issued are subject to anti-dilution provisions for a period of five years. The Company valued the shares issued to Mr. Beck at \$40,000, the quoted price of the shares on date of issuance was charged to operations, accordingly. During 2002 and 2003, the Company issued Mr. Beck an additional 657 shares of common stock pursuant to the anti-dilutive provision of the settlement agreement.

Mr. Beck has recently contacted the Company concerning an alleged breach of the above settlement. The Company believes that it has a counter claim against Mr. Beck for a breach of a consulting agreement. Currently negotiations regarding these matters are ongoing.

4) In October 2003, the Company entered into a contract to provide research services to Northrop Grumman in connection with the application of the Company's Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor to detect stress on military vehicles. The Contract expires in September 2005 and has an approved budget of \$215,281. This gross amount includes out-of pocket expenses relating to third party engineering and other related costs.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations are based upon its consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of

assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. In consultation with its Board of Directors, the Company has identified three accounting policies that it believes are key to an understanding of its financial statements. These are important accounting policies that require management's most difficult, subjective judgments.

The first critical accounting policy relates to revenue recognition. Income from the Company's research is recognized at the time services are rendered and billed for.

The second critical accounting policy relates to research and development expense. Costs incurred in the development of the Company's Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor and Videoscope are expensed as incurred.

The third critical accounting policy relates to the valuation of non-monetary consideration issued for services rendered. The Company values all services rendered in exchange for its common stock at the quoted price of the shares issued at date of issuance or at the fair value of the services rendered, whichever is more readily determinable. In certain issuances, the Company may discount the value assigned to issued shares for illiquidity and restrictions on resale. All other services provided in exchange for other non-monetary consideration are valued at either the fair value of the services received or the fair value of the consideration relinquished, whichever is more readily determinable.

The fourth critical accounting policy is the Company's accounting for the beneficial conversion feature ("BCF") of its convertible debenture. The Company accounts for its BCF pursuant to EITF 98-5 and EITF 00-27, whereas the beneficial conversion feature is calculated at its intrinsic value at the commitment date (that is, the difference between the conversion price and the fair value of the common stock into which the debt is convertible, multiplied by the number of shares into which the debt is convertible). A portion of the proceeds from issuance of the convertible debt, equal to the intrinsic value, is then allocated to additional paid-in capital. The Company amortizes the debt discount to interest expense over the term of the debenture.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not Applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference are audited financial statements of the Registrant for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002, prepared in accordance with Regulation S-X (17 CFR Sec.210)

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANT ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL
DISCLOSURE

The Company had no disagreements with its accountants; however in 2004, the Company made the following changes:

1) Gumbiner, Savett, Finkel, Fingleson & Rose, Inc., Certified Public

Accountants (hereinafter "Gumbiner") was dismissed by the Company as its principal independent accountant, effective June 3, 2004. Gumbiner's report on the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003, contained a modification as to the uncertainity of the Company continuing as a going concern.

- 2) The Company engaged Farber & Hass, LLP as the principal accountant to audit the Company's financial statements effective as of June 3, 2004. Farber & Hass, LLP (hereinafter "Farber") was dismissed by the Company as its principal independent accountant, effective January 20, 2005. Farber did not issue a report in either of the last two years, as they were engaged only to perform reviews of the Company's interim financial statements for each of the three quarters in the period ended September 30, 2004. The decision to change accountants was recommended and approved by the Board of Directors. There were no disagreements with Farber on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure from the time of their appointment as the Company's certifying accountant through January 20, 2005.
- 3) The Company has engaged Corbin & Company, LLP (hereinafter "Corbin") as the principal accountants to audit the Company's financial statements effective as of January 21, 2005. The Company, during its most recent fiscal year and any subsequent interim period to the date hereof, did not have discussions nor has it consulted with Corbin regarding the following: (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed or the type of audit opinion to be rendered on the Company's financial statements, and neither a written report was provided to the Company nor oral advice was provided that Corbin concluded was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (ii) any matters that were the subject of a "disagreement", as that term is defined in Item 304(a) (1) (iv) of Regulation S-B and the related instructions to Item 304 of Regulation S-B, or a reportable event.

ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures.

As of December 31, 2004, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective. No significant changes were made in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to December 31, 2004.

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the CEO concluded that as of December 31, 2004 our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in timely alerting them to the material information relating to the Company (or the Company's consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company's periodic filings with the SEC, subject to the various limitations on effectiveness set forth below under the heading, "LIMITATIONS ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INTERNAL CONTROLS," such that the information relating to the Company, required to be disclosed in SEC reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including our CEO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting. There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2004 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

LIMITATIONS ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INTERNAL CONTROLS

The Company's management, including the CEO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will necessarily prevent all fraud and material error. An internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of the control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the internal control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, and/or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Item 9B. Other information

None

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The name, age, office, and principal occupation of the executive officers and

directors of Matech and certain information relating to their business experience are set forth below:

NAME	AGE	POSITION
Robert M. Bernstein	70	President/Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer, Chairman of the Board
Joel R. Freedman	44	Secretary/Director
Dr. John Goodman	70	Chief Engineer/Director
William I. Berks	74	Vice President/Director

The Term of the directors and officers of Matech is until the next annual meeting or until their successors are elected.

ROBERT M. BERNSTEIN, PRESIDENT/CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER/CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD.

Robert M. Bernstein is 70 years of age. He received a Bachelor of Science degree from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania in 1956. From August 1959 until his certification expired in August 1972, he was a Certified Public Accountant licensed in Pennsylvania. From 1961 to 1981, he was a consultant specializing in mergers, acquisitions, and financing. From 1981 to 1986, Mr. Bernstein was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Blue Jay Enterprises, Inc. of Philadelphia, PA, an oil and gas exploration company. In December 1985, he formed a research and development partnership for Tensiodyne, funding approximately \$750,000 for research on the Fatigue Fuse. In October 1988 he became Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Financial Officer, and CEO of Matech 1 and retained these positions with the Company after the spin off from Matech 1 on July 31, 1997.

JOEL R. FREEDMAN, SECRETARY/DIRECTOR.

Joel R. Freedman is 44 years of age. From October 1989 until the present, Mr. Freedmen holds the position of Secretary and a Director of the company. Mr. Freedman attends board meetings and provides advice to the Company as needed. From 1983 through 1999, he was president of Genesis Advisors, Inc., an investment advisory firm in Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania. From January 1, 2000 through December 2002, he was a Senior Vice President of PMG Capital Corp., a securities brokerage and investment advisory firm in West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania. From December 2002 to present, he is a senior vice-president of Wachovia Securities LLC, a securities brokerage and investment advisory firm in Conshohocken, Pennsylvania. His duties there are a full-time commitment.

Accordingly, he does not take part in Matech's daily activities. He is not a

director of any other company.

DR. JOHN W. GOODMAN, CHIEF ENGINEER/DIRECTOR.

Dr. John W. Goodman is 70 years of age. He is retired from TRW Space and Electronics and was formerly Chairman of the Aerospace Division of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers. He holds a Doctorate of Philosophy in Materials Science that was awarded with distinction by the University of California at Los Angeles in 1970. In 1957, he received a Masters of Science degree in Engineering Mechanics from Penn State University and in 1955 he received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from Rutgers University. From 1972 to 1987, Dr. Goodman was with the U. S. Air Force as lead Structural Engineer for the B-1 aircraft; Chief of the Fracture and Durability Branch, and Materials Group Leader, Structures Department, Aeronautical Systems Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. From 1987 to December 1993, he was on the Senior Staff, Materials Engineering Department of TRW Space and Electronics. He has been Chief Engineer for Development of Matech's products since May 1993. Over the last four years he has consulted part time for the Company.

DR. WILLIAM BERKS, VICE-PRESIDENT/DIRECTOR

William Berks- Vice-President/Director, age 74. He managed the previous Matech contracts for the development of EFS at the University of Pennsylvania, Southwest Research Institute, and Optim, Inc. Mr. Berks has a B. Aero. E and MS in Applied Mechanics from Polytechnic Institute of New York and MS in Industrial Eng., Stevens Institute of Technology. With Matech since 1997 he has over 30 years' experience in spacecraft mechanical systems engineering. He retired from TRW in November 1992 where he was employed for 26 years in a variety of management positions: Manager of the Mechanical Design Laboratory, the engineering design skill center for the design and development of spacecraft mechanical systems, which had as many as 350 individuals: Manager of the Advanced Systems Design Department, which was responsible for mechanical systems design for all spacecraft project: Assistant Project Manager for Mechanical Subsystems for a major spacecraft program, which included preparation of plans, specifications and drawings, supervision of two major subcontracts, and responsibility for flight hardware fabrication and testing. He holds six patents.

ADVISORY BOARD

Since 1987, the Company and its predecessors have had an Advisory Board consisting of very senior experienced businessmen and technologists, most of whom are nationally prominent. These individuals consult with the Company on an as needed basis. Members of the Advisory Board serve at will. The Advisory Board advises Matech's management on technical, financial, and business matters and may in the future be additionally compensated for these services. A brief biographical description of the members of the advisory board is as follows:

ROBERT F. CUSHMAN, ESQ. Mr. Cushman is the permanent chairman of the Andrews

Conference Group Construction Super Conference, and is the organizing chairman of the Forbes Magazine Conferences on Worldwide Infrastructure Partnerships, Rebuilding America's Infrastructure Conference, Alternative Dispute Resolution, the Forbes/ Council of the Americas Latin American Marketing Conference and the Forbes Environmental Super Conference.

In 2004, we issued Mr. Cushman 200,000 shares of our common stock subject to a three-year lockup agreement. The shares were valued at \$425,600.

In 2003, the Company cancelled a promissory note due from Mr. Cushman for \$35,000 issued to the Company in 1999 in exchange for the issuance of 100 shares of the Company's common stock. The note was cancelled in exchange for services rendered to the Company by Mr. Cushman.

Also in 2003, the Company issued Mr. Cushman 250 shares of its common stock for services rendered in connection with the marketing services relating to the EFS. The shares were valued at \$2,500.

CAMPBELL LAIRD. Campbell Laird, age 64, received his Ph.D. in 1963 from the University of Cambridge. His Ph.D. thesis title was "Studies of High Strain Fatigue." He is presently Professor and graduate group Chairman in the Department of Materials, Science & Engineering at the University of Pennsylvania. His research has focused on the strength, structure, and fatigue of materials, in which areas he published in excess of 250 papers. He is co-inventor of the EFS.

In 2004, we issued Dr. Laird 100,000 shares of our common stock subject to a two-year lockup agreement and valued at \$180,000. Also in 2004 we issued Dr. Laird 260,000 shares subject to a three-year lockup agreement which were valued at \$582,400.

During 2002, we issued Dr. Laird 235 shares of our common stock that were valued at 322,894 for services rendered in connection with the development of our EFS.

SAMUEL I. SCHWARTZ. Samuel I. Schwartz, age 50, is presently President of Sam Schwartz Co., consulting engineers, primarily in the bridge industry. Mr. Schwartz received his BS in Physics from Brooklyn College in 1969, and his Masters in Civil Engineering from the University of Pennsylvania in 1970. From February 1986 to March 1990, was the Chief Engineer/First Deputy Commissioner, New York City Department of Transportation and from April 1990 to the present acted as a director of the Infrastructure Institute at the Cooper Union College, New York City, New York. From April 1990 to 1994 he was a Senior Vice President of Hayden Wegman Consulting Engineers, and is a columnist for the New York Daily News.

During 2002, we issued Mr. Schwartz 1,000 shares of our common stock which were valued at 30,000 for consulting services rendered in connection with our technology for bridges

NICK SIMIONESCU. Mr. Simionescu joined HNTB in 1974, one of the largest consulting engineering companies in the world, and is currently Vice President,

Director of Business Development in the New York City Office. He has over 37 years of management, construction, design, inspection and detailing experience. Mr. Simionescu is very familiar with the New York City infrastructure. For nearly 28 years he has been working in New York City, primarily on projects with the New York City Department of Transportation and New York State Department of Transportation Regions 10 and 11. His projects have included management of the inspections of the Williamsburg, Brooklyn, Triborough, Manhattan, and Queensboro bridges. Additionally, he has been the Project Manager of Bridge Inspection for many other arterial and local bridges throughout New York. Mr. Simionescu's responsibilities with HNTB have involved a variety of National and International projects. He has been the Senior Structural Designer and Manager of bridges in South Carolina (800 Ft. span), Rhode Island (366 ft. span), Malaysia (740 ft.), and Florida (1300 ft.).

During 2003, the Company issued Mr. Simionescu 500 shares of its common stock for services in connection with seeking potential bridge projects. The shares were valued at \$5,000.

During 2002, we issued Mr.Simionescu 250 shares of our common stock which were valued at \$67,500 for consulting services rendered in connection with our technology for bridges

HENRYKA MANES. Ms. Manes is the Founder and President of H. Manes & Associates, a consulting firm that enables environmental and high technology companies to export their products worldwide. She has a wide-range of experience with projects in more than 20 countries in Asia, Africa, Eastern Europe and South America. Prior to founding HMA, Ms. Manes was Director of Operations for the American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee's International Development Program and has worked with the World Bank, United States Agency for International Development, and the United Nations Development Program. Ms. Manes received her B.A. from Macalester College in St. Paul, MN, and did her graduate work at the University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN.

During 2003, we issued Ms. Manes 500 shares of our common stock, which were valued at \$5,000 for consulting services rendered in connection with the development of foreign markets for our products, when developed for commercial application.

During 2002, we issued Ms. Manes 500 shares of our common stock which were valued at \$17,500 for consulting services rendered in connection with the development of foreign markets for our products, when developed for commercial application.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

The Company is unaware of any other late filings or any other failures to file any Form 3, 4, or 5 for the calendar year ended December 31, 2004.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

							===== (+∩	===== her					
Name and								nual		Re	estricted		
Principal							Cor	mpen-		St	tock		Options
Position	Year	Sa	lary (\$)		Bonus	(\$)	sat	tion	(\$)	A	wards (\$)		(SARs (
Robert M. Bernstein													
CEO	2002	Ċ	120,000		¢		\$			Ş	200	(1)	_
CEO	2002	Ŷ	120,000		Ş		Ŷ		_	ې \$			
	2003	Ś	138,000		Ś		Ś	19.	617(3)		320,000		_
	2003		192,000 (Ŷ		Ť	± ,		۲		(- /	_
	2001	Ŧ	1927000 (57									
John W. Goodman Director and													
Engineer	2002	\$	17,945		\$		\$			Ś	40,000	(6)	_
Engineer	2002	Ş			\$ \$		Ś			ŝ	10,000	(0)	_
	2003	Ş	,		Ş		Ş			Ś	2,760,000	(8)	
	2001	Ŧ	33,233		Ŷ		4			Ŧ	2,700,000	(0,	
William Berks													
Vice-President													
of Government	2002	\$	70,301		\$		\$			\$	40,000	(6)	-
Projects and	2003	\$	71,374		\$		\$			\$	40,000 30,000	(9)	-
Director	2004	\$	79 , 500		\$		\$				2,404,000		_
Joel Freedman													
Secretary and													
Director	2004	\$			\$		\$			\$	4,972,000	(11)	-

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AS OF DECEMBER 31,

```
2003
```

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

Plan category	Number of securities To be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining for available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)

32

Equity Compensation plans approved			
by shareholders	n/a	n/a	n/a
Equity Compensation plans not approved by shareholders	30,800,000	n/a	30,800,000

31

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

List a non-affiliated person or "group" as that term is used in section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act that owns more than five percent of any class of the Company's voting securities.

		Shares		
Name of	Non-Affiliate	Held	Shares	
Palisades Capita	l, LLC and affilates	20,285,000 (2)	23.14%	
Langley Park Inv	estments, PLC	8,666,666	9.89%	
Security Ownersh	ip of Management			
CLASS OF STOCK	NAME AND ADDRESS OF BENEFICIAL OWNER		NATURE OF OWNERSHIP	
Common Stock	Robert M. Bernstein, CEO Suite 707 11661 San Vicente Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90049	21,994,35	50 Shares	25.09%
	Joel R. Freedman, Director 1 Bala Plaza Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004	2,120,76	58 Shares	2.42%
	John Goodman, Director Suite 707 11661 San Vicente Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90049	2,430,000) Shares	2.77%
	William Berks, Vice Presid	ent		

	Government Projects Suite 707 11661 San Vicente Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90049	1,507,500	Shares	1.72%
	Directors and executive officers as a group (4 persons)	28,052,618	Shares	32.00%
Class B Common Stock	Robert M. Bernstein Suite 707 11661 San Vicente Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90049	600,000	Shares	100.00%(1)

32

(1) Each of Mr. Bernstein's Class B Common Shares has 2,000 votes per share on any matter on which the common stockholders vote. Accordingly, the Class B common stock held by Mr. Bernstein equal 1.2 billion shares of voting control. These votes give Mr. Bernstein voting control of the Company.

(2) Following the Reverse Split the Company also entered into a Class A Senior Secured Convertible Debenture (the "Debenture") with Palisades Capital, LLC or its registered assigns ("Palisades"), pursuant to which Palisades has agreed to loan to the Company up to \$1,500,000, which is \$1,150,000 has been received through 2004. Under the Debenture Palisades has the option, after March 30, 2004, to convert the principal amount of all moneys loaned under the Debenture, together with accrued interest, into common stock of the Company at the lesser of (i) 50% of the averaged ten closing prices for the Company's common stock for the ten (10) trading days immediately preceding the Conversion Date or (ii) \$0.10 (the lesser of the two being referred to as the "Conversion Price"). In the event Palisades loans the full \$1,500,000 face amount of the Debenture to the Company and subsequently elects to exercise its right to convert the Debenture into Company common stock at a time when the Conversion Price is less than four cents per share Palisades would receive at least fifty million (50,000,000) shares of common stock resulting in a change in control of the common stock of the Company, however, Mr. Bernstein would still retain voting control as a result of his holding of one hundred percent (100%) of the Class B common stock.

In addition to the shares issued to Mr. Bernstein under the Release as described above, following the Reverse Split, the Company also liquidated approximately \$1,500,000 of its currently outstanding debt. In full payment and settlement of such debt, the Company issued 20,000,000 shares of common stock and warrants (the "Warrants") to acquire an additional 30,000,000 shares of common stock for \$0.10 per share to seven investors who were the holders of such debt (the "Debt Holders"). Palisades required, as a condition to its agreement to enter in to the Debenture described above, that the Company first enter into the settlement with the Debt Holders and thereby reduce the amount of debt on the Company's balance sheet by approximately \$1,500,000. The Warrants contain a provision limiting the exercise of the warrants to a number of shares that do not exceed an amount that would cause the holder of each such Warrant to beneficially own

4.99% of the outstanding common stock of the Company, and, in addition, the Warrants vest only in proportion to the amount ultimately funded under the Debenture as a percentage of the \$1,500,000 face value.

Finally, Mr. Bernstein entered into a voting agreement and irrevocable proxy, which provides that until September 23, 2006, if an Event of Default, as defined in the Debenture in favor of Palisades continues for a period of not less than 30 days, all Class B Common Stock which Mr. Bernstein owns of record, or becomes the owner of record in the future will be voted in accordance with the directions of Mr. Monty Freedman, an associate of Palisades, or his designated successor. This loss of voting rights would affect a change in the voting control of the Company.

On May 25, 2000, the Company issued its President 4,650 shares its common stock in exchange for \$4,650 and a \$1,855,350 non-recourse promissory note bearing interest at an annual rate of 8%. On the same day, the Company issued 350,000 shares its common stock to a Director Joel Freedman, in exchange for \$350 and a \$139,650 non-recourse promissory note bearing interest at an annual rate of 8%. In June 2001, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the reduction in the amount owed by the President and a Director on these non-recourse promissory notes to \$460,350 and \$34,650, respectively. In 2003, the 5,000 shares were returned to the Company in exchange for the cancellation of the non-recourse promissory note and related accrued interest totaling \$755,093. The returned shares were subsequently cancelled by the Company.

On October 27, 2000, the Company issued 4,184 shares to its President for future compensation pursuant to a Stock Escrow/Grant Agreement. Under the terms of the agreement, the President was required to hold these shares in escrow. While in escrow, the President could not vote the shares but had full rights as to cash and non-cash dividends, stock splits or other change in shares. Upon the exercise by certain holders of Company options or warrants or upon the need by the Company, in the sole discretion of the Board, to issue common stock to certain individuals or entities, the number of shares required for issuance to these holders was returned from escrow by the President thereby reducing the number of shares he held. The shares held in escrow were non-transferable and will be granted to the Company's President only upon the exercise or expiration of all of the options and warrants, the direction of the Board, in its sole discretion, or the mutual agreement by the President and the Board of Directors to terminate the agreement. Due to the restrictions imposed on these shares, the Company valued these shares at par and charged the \$4,183 to operations in 2000. The escrow terminated in 2003, and the President became immediately vested in the remaining 1,962 shares held in escrow. In consideration for full vesting in these shares, the President recognized additional compensation of \$19,617 in 2003.

On February 28, 2002, the Company issued its Executive Assistant 25 shares of its common stock for services rendered.

On March 20, 2002, the Company issued 25 shares of its common stock to the Company's executive assistant.

On August 5, 2002, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 1,000 shares of its common stock each to Mr. John Goodman and Mr. William Berks for services rendered to the Company.

On October 7, 2002, the Company issued its executive assistant 50 shares of its common stock.

On December 6, 2002, the Company issued 200 shares of its Class B common stock to its president in consideration for the relinquishment of his interest in the Company's patents.

On December 18, 2002, the Company issued 13,000 shares of its common stock to its president in consideration for past services. The shares were issued under a 1997 Board resolution in which Mr. Bernstein's compensation was increased to \$150,000 a year with \$120,000 being paid presently with the remaining \$30,000 a year being paid only when the Company was financially able to make such payments. As the Company's financial position has not improved, Mr. Bernstein agreed to take the accrued compensation in stock. The 13 million shares issued have been valued at \$260,000. The sale and transferability of the shares are restricted for a three-year period in which Mr. Bernstein must remain working for the Company. If he terminates his employment during this three-year period, then the 13 million shares will be returned to the Company.

On April 15, 2003 the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock each to Mr. John Goodman and Mr. William Berks for services rendered to the Company.

On June 20, 2003, the Company issued 2,000 shares of its common stock to Mr. William Berks for services rendered to the Company.

On September 23, 2003, the Company issued 32,000,000 shares of its Class A common stock and 300,000 shares of Class B common stock to its president pursuant to an Accord, Satisfaction and Mutual Release in which Mr. Bernstein released all claims he had against the Company that arose prior to September 24, 2003, including past services rendered in excess of compensation paid. The shares are subject to a three-year lock up agreement and value assigned to these shares was discounted for illiquidity and restrictions on resale at \$320,000. The Class A Common Shares were issued pursuant to a three-year lock up agreement.

Also on September 23, 2003, the Company issued 5,000,000 shares of its Class A common stock to its president in consideration for a promissory note totaling \$50,000 that is assessed interest at an annual rate of 6%. The note matures on September 26, 2006, when the \$50,000 and accrued interest becomes s fully due. The shares were issued pursuant to a three-year lock up agreement and the value assigned to the shares and related note was discounted for illiquidity and

restrictions on resale.

During 2004, the Company paid its president \$196,000 of the accrued compensation the Company owed him. Mr. Bernstein paid down the loan balance he owed the Company by \$91,450. The remaining balance due from him at December 31, 2004 was \$1,950. Interest credited to operations on this loan for 2004 amounted to \$8,460.

The balance on the stock subscription due from the Company's president at December 31, 2004 totaled \$55,096. Interest credited to operations on this receivable for 2004 amounted to \$4,000.

During 2004, the Company issued 1,500,000 shares of its common stock to Mr. Goodman, a member of the board and Company employee that were valued at \$2,760,000. The shares are subject to a two-year lockup agreement.

During 2004, the Company issued 2,260,000 shares of its common stock to Mr. Freedman a member of the Board and Company Secretary, that were valued at \$4,972,000. The shares are subject to a two-year lockup agreement.

During 2004, the Company issued 1,000,000 shares of its common stock to Mr. Berks, Vice-President and Director, that were valued at \$2,380,000. The shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement. In addition, the Company in 2004 issued Mr. Berks 7,500 shares of its common stock that were valued at \$24,000.

Item 14.	Principal	Accountant	Fees	and	Services

	Audit Fees	Audit Related Fees	Tax Related Fees	All Other Fees
2003	\$ 42,567	\$	\$ 740	\$
2004	\$ 42,740	\$	\$	\$

S-1 Registration

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS

a. Exhibits.

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
3(i)	Certificate of Incorporation of Material Technologies, Inc.	Previously Filed in connection with

		Statement that became effective on July 31, 1997.
	Certificate of Amendment, February 16, 2000	1
	Certificate of Amendment, July 12, 2000	3
	Certificate of Amendment, July 31, 2000	4
	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, September 12, 2003	5
3(ii)	Bylaws of Material Technologies, Inc.	Previously filed with July 31, 1997 S-1
4.1	Class A Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designations	Previously filed with July 31, 1997 S-1
4.2	Class B Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate of Designations	Previously filed with July 31, 1997 S-1
4.3	Material Technologies, Inc. Stock Escrow/ Grant	6
10.1	License Agreement Between Tensiodyne Corporation and the Trustees of the	Previously filed with July 31, 1997 S-1
	University of Pennsylvania	5-1
10.2	Sponsored Research Agreement between Tensiodyne Corporation and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania	Previously filed with July 31, 1997 S-1

10.3	Amendment 1 to License Agreement Between Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania	Previously filed with July 31, 1997 S-1
10.4	Repayment Agreement Between Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation and the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania	Previously filed with July 31, 1997 S-1
10.5	Teaming Agreement Between Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation and Southwest Research Institute	Previously filed with July 31, 1997 S-1
10.6	Letter Agreement between Tensiodyne Scientific Corporation, Robert M. Bernstein,	Previously filed with July 31, 1997

S-1

and Stephen Forrest Beck and Handwritten modification.

- 10.7 Agreement Between Tensiodyne Corporation Previously filed and Tensiodyne 1985-1 R&D Partnership is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.3 of Material Technology, Inc.'s S-1 Registration Statement, File No. 33-83526, which became effective on January 19, 1996.
- 10.8 Amendment to Agreement Between Material Previously filed Technology, Inc. and Tensiodyne 1985-1 R&D Partnership is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.6 of Material Technology, Inc.'s S-1 Registration Statement, File No. 33-83526 which became effective on January 19, 1996.
- 10.9 Agreement Between Advanced Technology Center Previously filed of Southeastern Pennsylvania and Material Technology, Inc. is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.4 of Material Technology, Inc.'s S-1 Registration Statement, File No. 33-83526 which became effective on January 19, 1996.
- 10.10 Addendum to Agreement Between Advanced Previously filed Technology Center of Southeastern Pennsylvania and Material Technology, Inc. is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.5 of Material Technology, Inc.'s S-1 Registration Statement, File No. 33-83526.
- 10.11 Class A senior preferred convertible debenture between Materials Technologies, Inc. and Palisades Capital, LLC

37

- 10.12 Voting agreements and irrevocable proxy between Robert M. Bernstein, Monty Freedman, Material Technologies Inc. and Palisades Capital, LLC.
- c. Financial Statements attached.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the Requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. MATERIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.

By: /s/ Robert M. Bernstein Robert M. Bernstein, President

Date: September 27, 2005

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchanges Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Robert M. Bernstein

Robert M. Bernstein, President, Director, Chief Executive Officer, and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer, and Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: September 27, 2005

By: /s/ Joel Freedman

Joel Freedman, Secretary and Director

Date: September 27, 2005

By: /s/ John Goodman

John Goodman, Director

Date: September 27, 2005

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY) CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 with REPORTS OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRMS

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

 REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders Material Technologies, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Material Technologies, Inc. (a development stage company) (the "Company") as of December 31, 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the cumulative data from October 21, 1983 (inception) to December 31, 2003 in the consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss and cash flows, which were audited by other auditors whose reports dated March 7, 2003 and March 16, 2004, which expressed unqualified opinions (the March 16, 2004 report was modified related to the uncertainty of the Company's ability to continue as a going concern), have been furnished to us. Our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the cumulative period from October 21, 1983 (inception) to December 31, 2003, is based solely on the reports of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of the other auditors, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Material Technologies, Inc. (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2004, and the results of

its operations, and its cash flows for the year then ended and for the cumulative period from October 21, 1983 (inception) to December 31, 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has incurred recurring losses and has yet to be successful in establishing profitable operations. These factors, among others, raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding those matters are also described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

CORBIN & COMPANY, LLP

Irvine, California March 18, 2005

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors Material Technologies, Inc. Los Angeles, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Material Technologies, Inc., (a development stage company) (the "Company") as of December 31, 2003 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' (deficit), and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the cumulative data from October 21, 1983 (inception) to December 31, 2002 in the statements of operations and cash flows, which were audited by another auditor whose report, dated March 7, 2003, which expressed an unqualified opinion, has been furnished to us. Our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the cumulative period from October 21, 1983 (inception) to December 31, 2002, is based solely on the report of the other

auditor.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of the other auditor, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Material Technologies, Inc. (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2003, and the results of its operations, and its cash flows for the year then ended and for the cumulative period from October 21, 1983 (inception) to December 31, 2003 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and has a net capital deficiency, which raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding those matters are also described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

GUMBINER SAVETT INC. SANTA MONICA, CA March 16, 2004

F-2

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

Board of Directors Material Technologies, Inc.

Los Angeles, California

I have audited the statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for Material Technologies, Inc. (a development stage company) (the "Company") for the year ended December 31, 2002 and for the period from the Company's inception (October 21, 1983) through December 31, 2002. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audits.

I conducted my audits in accordance with the Standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audits provide a reasonable basis for my opinion.

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and cash flows of Material Technologies, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2002 and for the period from the Company's inception (October 21, 1983) through December 31, 2002 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Jonathon P. Reuben CPA

Jonathon P. Reuben, Certified Public Accountant Torrance, California March 7, 2003

MATER (A DEVE

CONSC

ASSETS	 De 2004
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Investments in marketable securities held for trading Receivable due on research contract Receivable from officer Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 100,80 988,99 15,89 1,95
Total current assets	1,107,63
Investments in marketable securities available for sale Property and equipment, net Intangible assets, net Deposit	 1,034,38 14,83 7,88 2,34

\$ 2,167,08

Continued... MATER (A DEVE CONSOLIDATED BALA _____ De _____ LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) 2004 _____ Current liabilities: \$ 319,48 Accounts payable 760,83 Research and development sponsorship payable 6,77 Accrued expenses Accrued officer compensation 18,44 Notes payable 86,89 _____ Total current liabilities 1,192,42 Convertible debentures, net of discount of \$798,839 and \$0, respectively 424,61 _____ Total liabilities 1,617,03 _____ Minority interest in consolidated subsidiary 82 _____ Commitments and contingencies Stockholders' equity (deficit): Class A preferred stock - \$.001 par value; liquidation preference of \$720 per share; 350,000 shares authorized; 337 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2004 and 2003 Class B preferred stock - \$.001 par value; liquidation preference of \$10,000 per share; 15 shares authorized; 0 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2004 and 2003

Class C preferred stock - \$.001 par value; liquidation preference of

<pre>\$0.001 per share; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 1,517 and 4,217 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively Class D preferred stock - \$.001 par value; liquidation preference of \$0.001 per share; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 1,920,000 and</pre>	
5,440,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2004	1 00
and 2003, respectively	1,92
Class A common stock - \$.001 par value; 1,699,400,000 shares authorized;	
107,517,617 and 66,489,818 shares issued, 86,684,774 and 66,488,975	
shares outstanding at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively	86,68
Class B common stock - \$.001 par value; 600,000 shares authorized, issued	
and outstanding at December 31, 2004 and 2003	60
Additional paid-in capital	41,717,21
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(40,034,48
Notes receivable - common stock	(55,09
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,167,61
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	549 , 22

\$ 2,167,08

See reports of independent registered p and accompanying notes to consolidate

CONSOL

	For The	e Years	Ended Decemb	er 31,
	 2004		2003	
Revenues: Research and development Other	\$ 146,932	\$ 	41,549	\$
Total revenues	146,932		41,549	

Costs and expenses:			ļ
Research and development		229,317	ł
General and administrative	8,010,423	1,532,025	
Total costs and expenses	15,616,170	1,761,342	
Loss from operations	(15,469,238)	(1,719,793)	
Other income (expense):			
Other-than-temporary write-down of marketable			I
securities available for sale	(4,284,760)	—	ļ
Realized loss on sale of marketable securities Unrealized loss on decrease in market value of	(3,668,850)	-	ļ
Unrealized loss on decrease in market value of securities held for trading	(1,523,310)	_	ļ
Interest expense	(1,523,310) (605,980)	(206,776)	ļ
Interest expense Interest income	(805,980) 12,497		ŀ
Gain (loss) on settlement of indebtedness	45,150	- TT, UTT	ŀ
Loss on abandonment of interest in joint	10,100		
venture	_	_	
Veneure			
Other expense, net	(10,025,253)	(165,135)	
· ·		· ·	
Loss before provision for income taxes	(25,494,491)	(1,884,928)	
	(,, · ,	(-,,,,	
Provision for income taxes	(800)	(800)	
Net loss	\$ (25,495,291)	\$ (1,885,728)	\$
Per share data:			
Basic and diluted net loss per share		\$ (0.09)	\$ =====
Weighted average Class A common shares			
outstanding (basic and diluted)	72,472,662	20,042,583	
	=======================================		

See reports of independent reg

and accompanying notes to co

MATE (A DEV

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT

		For The	e Yea	rs Ended Decem	ber 3	1,
		2004		2003		2002
Net loss	\$	(25,495,291)	\$	(1,885,728)	\$	(3,852,2
Other comprehensive loss: Decrease in market value of securities available for sale		(1,167,616)		_		
Net comprehensive loss	\$ ===	(26,662,907)	\$ ===	(1,885,728)	\$ ===	(3,852,2

See reports of independent registered and accompanying notes to consolidat

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and the Period from October 21, 1983 (Inception)

	Class Common S		Clas: Common S		Ρ
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Sha
<pre>Initial issuance of common stock October 21, 1983 Adjustment to give effect to recapitalization on December 15, 1986 - cancellation of shares</pre>	2 (2)	\$ –	-	\$ – –	
Balance, October 21, 1983 Shares issued by Tensiodyne Corporation in connection with pooling of interests Net loss	- 42 -	- - -	-	- - -	
Balance, December 31, 1983 Capital contribution Shares issued for cash Offering costs Net loss	42 - 5 - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	
Balance, December 31, 1984 Capital contribution Sale of 12,166 warrants at \$1.50 per warrant	47 	- -	- -	- -	

Cancellation of shares Net loss	(9) _	- -	-	- -	
Balance, December 31, 1985 Net loss	38 _	- -	- -		
Balance, December 31, 1986 Issuance of common stock upon	38	_	_	_	
exercise of warrants	-	-	-	-	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	
Balance, December 31, 1987	38	_	_	_	
Balance, December 31, 1987 Shares issued for cash	38 3				
		- - -	- - -	- - -	
Shares issued for cash	3	- - -	- - -	- - -	
Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation	3	- - -	- - -	- - -	
Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation	3	- - - 	- - - - -	- - - 	
Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Net loss	3 3 -	- - - 	- - - - - -	- - - 	
Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Net loss Balance, December 31, 1988	3 3 44	- - - 	- - - - 	- - - - - - -	
Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Net loss Balance, December 31, 1988 Shares issued for cash	3 3 44 4	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	

	Clas: Preferred	s B d Stock	Class Preferred	P	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	 Sha
<pre>Initial issuance of common stock October 21, 1983 Adjustment to give effect to recapitalization on December 15, 1986 - cancellation of shares</pre>	-	\$ – –	-	\$ –	
Balance, October 21, 1983 Shares issued by Tensiodyne Corporation in connection with pooling of interests	-	-	-	-	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	

Balance, December 31, 1983 Capital contribution Shares issued for cash Offering costs Net loss	- - - -		- - - -	- - - -	
Balance, December 31, 1984 Capital contribution Sale of 12,166 warrants at \$1.50	-				
per warrant Cancellation of shares Net loss	-	-	-	-	
Net loss					
Balance, December 31, 1985 Net loss	- -	- -	- -		
Balance, December 31, 1986 Issuance of common stock upon exercise of warrants Net loss	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
Balance, December 31, 1987 Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Net loss	- - -	- - -	- - - -	- - -	
Balance, December 31, 1988 Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Net loss	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	

	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage		Notes Receivable - Common Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss		Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)	
Initial issuance of common stock October 21, 1983 Adjustment to give effect to	Ş	_	Ş	_	Ş	_	\$	2 , 50

recapitalization on December 15, 1986 – cancellation of shares		_	_	(
Balance, October 21, 1983 Shares issued by Tensiodyne Corporation in connection with	-	-	-	2,49
pooling of interests	_	_	_	4,34
Net loss	(4,317)	-	-	(4,31
Balance, December 31, 1983	(4,317)	_	_	2,52
Capital contribution	_	_	_	21,75
Shares issued for cash	_	_	-	10,70
Offering costs	-	_	_	(2,84
Net loss	(21,797)	_	_	(21,79
	(0.0.114)			10.00
Balance, December 31, 1984	(26,114)	-	-	10,33
Capital contribution Sale of 12,166 warrants at \$1.50			-	200,55
per warrant	-	-	-	18,25
Cancellation of shares Net loss	(252,070)	_		(252 , 07
Balance, December 31, 1985	(278,184)	_	_	(22,93
Net loss	(10,365)	_	_	(10,36
NEL 1055				(±0,30
Balance, December 31, 1986 Issuance of common stock upon	(288,549)	-	-	(33,30
exercise of warrants	-	-	-	27,08
Net loss	(45,389)	_	_	(45,38
Balance, December 31, 1987	(333,938)	_	_	(51,60
Shares issued for cash	(333, 330, -	_	_	101,75
Shares issued as compensation	_	_	_	70,60
Net loss	(142,335)	_	-	(142,33
Balance, December 31, 1988	(476,273)	-	-	(21,59
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	2,00
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	18,00
Net loss	(31,945)	_	-	(31,94

Continued ...

F

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) - CONTINUED

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and the Period from October 21, 1983 (Inception)

	Class A Common Stock		Class Common St		P	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	 Sha 	
Balance, December 31, 1989	84	_	_	_		
Shares issued for cash	2	_	_	_		
Shares issued as compensation Net income	6 _			- -		
Balance, December 31, 1990	92	_	_	_		
Shares issued for cash	1	-	-	-		
Shares issued as compensation	4	-	-	-		
Conversion of warrants	-	-	-	-		
Conversion of stock	(6)	-	60,000	60		
Net loss	_		_			
Balance, December 31, 1991	91	_	60,000	60		
Shares issued for cash	20	-	-	-		
Shares issued as compensation	5	-	-	-		
Conversion of warrants	6	-	-	-		
Sale of Class B stock	-	-	60,000	60		
Issuance of stock to	_					
unconsolidated subsidiary	5	-	-	-		
Conversion of stock Cancellation of shares	6 (7)	_	(60,000)	(60)		
Net loss	(7)	_	_	_		
Balance, December 31, 1992	126	-	60,000	60		
Shares issued for cash						
Shares issued for license	13					
agreement Shares issued as compensation	67	_	_	_		
Warrant conversion	56	_	_	_		
Cancellation of shares	(32)	_	_	_		
Net loss		-	-	-		
Balance, December 31, 1993	230		60,000	60		
Adjustment to give effect to recapitalization on February 1,		_	80,000	00		
1994	31	_	-	_		
Shares issued for cash	1,486	2	-	-		
Shares issued as compensation	223	-	-	-		
Issuance of shares for the modification of agreements	34					
Net loss	34 _	_	_	_		
1100 1000						

Balance, December 31, 1994 Issuance of shares for the	2,004	2	60,000	60	
modification of agreement	153	-	-	-	
Net loss	-	-	-	_	

con't

	Class Preferred		Class Preferred	-	P	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Sha	
Balance, December 31, 1989 Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Net income	- - - -	- - -	- - - -	- - - -		
Balance, December 31, 1990 Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Conversion of warrants Conversion of stock Net loss	- - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -		
Balance, December 31, 1991 Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Conversion of warrants Sale of Class B stock Issuance of stock to unconsolidated subsidiary Conversion of stock Cancellation of shares Net loss	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - -	- - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - -		
Balance, December 31, 1992 Shares issued for cash Shares issued for license agreement Shares issued as compensation Warrant conversion	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -		

Edgar Filing: MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC	C /CA/ - Form 10-K/A
---	----------------------

Cancellation of shares	-	-	-	-	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	
Balance, December 31, 1993	-	-	-	-	
Adjustment to give effect to					
recapitalization on February 1,					
1994	-	-	-	_	
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	-	
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	-	
Issuance of shares for the					
modification of agreements	-	_	-	-	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	
Balance, December 31, 1994	-	_	-	-	
Issuance of shares for the					
modification of agreement	-	-	-	-	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	

	During the Development Stage	Receivable - Common Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
Balance, December 31, 1989 Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Net income	(508,218) 133,894	- - - -	- - - -	(33,53 59,25 32,40 133,89
Balance, December 31, 1990 Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Conversion of warrants Conversion of stock Net loss	(374,324) - - - (346,316)	- - - - -	- - - - -	192,00 273,68 64,88 5 (346,31
Balance, December 31, 1991 Shares issued for cash Shares issued as compensation Conversion of warrants Sale of Class B stock	(720,640) _ _ _ _	- - - -	- - - -	184,31 16,00 15,52 15,00 15,00

Issuance of stock to unconsolidated subsidiary	_	_	_	71,66
Conversion of stock	_	-	-	(5
Cancellation of shares	-	_	-	
Net loss	(154,986)	_	_	(154,98
Balance, December 31, 1992 Shares issued for cash Shares issued for license	(875 , 626)	_	-	162,46
agreement	-	-	-	6,25
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	13,91
Warrant conversion	-	-	-	304,99
Cancellation of shares	-	-	-	(7,56
Net loss	(929,900)	-	-	(929,90
Balance, December 31, 1993 Adjustment to give effect to	(1,805,526)	_	_	(449,84
recapitalization on February 1,				
1994	-	-	-	385,42
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	24,78
Shares issued as compensation Issuance of shares for the	-	_	-	22
modification of agreements	-	-	-	
Net loss	(377,063)	-	_	(377,06
Balance, December 31, 1994	(2,182,589)	_	_	(416,47
Issuance of shares for the				1.5
modification of agreement Net loss	(197,546)		_	15 (197,54

Continued \ldots

F

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) - CONTINUED

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and the Period from October 21, 1983 (Inception)

Clas	ss A	Clas		
Common	Stock	Common	Stock	Р
Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Sha

----- ----- ------

Balance, December 31, 1995	2,157	2	60,000	60	
Shares issued as compensation	165	_		_	
Shares issued for cash	70	_	_	_	
Issuance of shares for the					
modification of agreements	250	_	_	_	
Cancellation of shares held in	200				
treasury	(62)	_	_	_	
Net loss	(02)	_	_	_	
Net Loss					
Balance, December 31, 1996	2,580	2	60,000	60	
Shares issued for cash	100	_	-	_	
Conversion of indebtedness	800	1	_	_	
Class A common stock issued in					
cancellation of \$372,000					
accrued wages due officer	1,500	2	_	_	
Shares issued as compensation	247	_	_	_	
Adjustment to give effect to					
recapitalization on March 9,					
1997	560	1	_	_	
Net loss	_	_	_	_	
	_				
Balance, December 31, 1997	5,787	6	60,000	60	
Shares issued in cancellation of					
indebtedness	2,430	2	-	-	
Conversion of options	500	1	-	-	
Shares issued as compensation	1,122	1	-	_	
Shares issued in cancellation of					
redeemable preferred stock	50	-	-	_	
Shares returned to treasury and					
cancelled	(560)	(1)	_	_	
Modification of royalty agreement	733	1	-	_	
Issuance of warrants to officer	-	-	-	_	
Net loss	-	_	-	_	
D D D D D D D D D D	10 000	1.0		<u> </u>	
Balance, December 31, 1998	10,062	10	60,000	60	
Shares issued in cancellation of	0 1 T E	0			
indebtedness	2,175	2	-	_	
Shares issued as compensation	1,255	1	-	-	
Shares issued in modification of		_			
licensing agreement	672	1	-	_	I
Shares issued for cash	433	-	-	-	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	

		Class B Preferred Stock		s C I Stock	P	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Sha	
Balance, December 31, 1995	_	-	-	-		
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	-		
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	-		
Issuance of shares for the						
modification of agreements	-	-	-	_		
Cancellation of shares held in						
treasury Net loss	_	_	_	_		
Net IOSS	_		_			
Balance, December 31, 1996	_	_	_	_		
Shares issued for cash	_	_	-	_		
Conversion of indebtedness	-	_	-	_		
Class A common stock issued in						
cancellation of \$372,000						
accrued wages due officer	-	-	-	-		
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	-		
Adjustment to give effect to						
recapitalization on March 9,						
1997	-	-	-	-		
Net loss	-	-	-	_		
Balance, December 31, 1997	_	_	_	_		
Shares issued in cancellation of						
indebtedness	_	_	_	_		
Conversion of options	_	_	-	_		
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	_	_		
Shares issued in cancellation of						
redeemable preferred stock	-	-	-	-		
Shares returned to treasury and						
cancelled	-	-	-	-		
Modification of royalty agreement	-	-	-			
Issuance of warrants to officer	-	-	-	-		
Net loss	-	-	-	-		
Palance December 21 1000						
Balance, December 31, 1998 Shares issued in cancellation of	_	_	_	_		
indebtedness	_	_	_	_		
Shares issued as compensation	_	_	_	_		
Shares issued in modification of						
licensing agreement	_	_	-	-		
Shares issued for cash	_	_	_	_		
Net loss	-	_	-	-		

	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Notes Receivable - Common Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
Balance, December 31, 1995	(2,380,135)	-	-	(613 , 86
Shares issued as compensation Shares issued for cash Issuance of shares for the	-			16,46 174,04
modification of agreements Cancellation of shares held in treasury	-	_	-	(154,60
Net loss	(450,734)	-	-	(450,73
Balance, December 31, 1996 Shares issued for cash	(2,830,869)	-	-	(1,028,69 100,00
Conversion of indebtedness Class A common stock issued in cancellation of \$372,000	_			166,00
accrued wages due officer Shares issued as compensation Adjustment to give effect to recapitalization on March 9, 1997	-	-	-	372,00 2,47
Net loss	(133,578)	-	-	(133 , 57
Balance, December 31, 1997 Shares issued in cancellation of	(2,964,447)	-	-	(521,80
indebtedness	-	-	-	170,00
Conversion of options Shares issued as compensation Shares issued in cancellation of	_		-	125,00 112,16
redeemable preferred stock Shares returned to treasury and	-	-	-	150,00
cancelled	-	-	-	7
Modification of royalty agreement Issuance of warrants to officer	-	-	-	7,33 27,56
Net loss	(549,187)	_	-	(549,18
Balance, December 31, 1998 Shares issued in cancellation of	(3,513,634)	_	-	(478 , 93
indebtedness	-	-	-	166,66
Shares issued as compensation Shares issued in modification of	_	_	_	95,09
licensing agreement Shares issued for cash	-	-	_	173 , 54
Net loss	(539,283)	-	-	(539,28

Continued ...

F-

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) - CONTINUED

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and the Period from October 21, 1983 (Inception)

	Class A Common Stock		Class B Common Stock		Р	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Sha 	
Balance, December 31, 1999 Shares issued as compensation -	14,597	14	60,000	60		
as restated Shares issued to investors pursuant to settlement	700	1	_	-		
agreement Shares issued for cash and non-	65	1	_	_		
recourse promissory note	5,000	5	-	_		
Shares issued for cash	400	-	-	-		
Shares issued in cancellation of						
indebtedness	100	-	-	-		
Shares issued as compensation						
pursuant to escrow agreement	4,184	4	-	-		
Shares returned from escrow	(400)	-	-	-		
Common shares converted into						
Class B common shares	(40)	-	40,000	40		
Preferred shares converted into						
common shares	12	-	-	-		
Net loss	-	-	-	-		
Palance December 21 2000	24 619	2.5	100 000	100		
Balance, December 31, 2000	24,618 6,185	25	100,000	TOO		
Shares issued as compensation Shares issued for cash	6,185 4,932	6 5	-	-		
Shares issued in connection with	4,932	5	_	_		
private offering	698					
Shares issued to officer	6,000	- 6	-	-		
Net loss	0,000	Ø	-	-		
NEC 1022	-					

Balance, December 31, 2001	42,433	42	100,000	100
Shares issued as compensation	21,835	22	-	-
Issuance of shares to University				
of Pennsylvania	1,096	1	-	-
Shares issued in settlement of				
lawsuit	1,397	1	-	-
Shares issued for cash	28,048	28	-	-
Offering costs	-	-	-	-
Shares issued in cancellation of				
president's interest in patents	-	-	200,000	200
Cancellation of shares	(1,322)	(1)	-	-
Shares issued to Company's				
president as past compensation	13,000	13	-	-
Shares issued in connection with				
private offering	2,741	3	-	-
Net loss	-	-	-	-

	Class B Preferred Stock		Class Preferred		Р
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Sha
Balance, December 31, 1999	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued as compensation -					
as restated	-	_	-	-	
Shares issued to investors					
pursuant to settlement					
agreement	-	-	-	-	
Shares issued for cash and non-					
recourse promissory note	-	-	-	-	
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	-	
Shares issued in cancellation of					
indebtedness	-	-	-	-	
Shares issued as compensation					
pursuant to escrow agreement	-	-	-	-	
Shares returned from escrow	-	-	-	-	
Common shares converted into					
Class B common shares	-	-	-	-	
Preferred shares converted into					
common shares	-	-	-	-	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	

Delener December 21 2000					
Balance, December 31, 2000	-	-	-	-	/
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	-	/
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	-	/
Shares issued in connection with private offering	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued to officer					/
	-	-	-	-	/
Net loss	-	-	-	-	/
Balance, December 31, 2001	_	_	_	_	I
Shares issued as compensation	_	_	_	-	/
Issuance of shares to University					/
of Pennsylvania	_	_	-	-	/
Shares issued in settlement of					/
lawsuit	_	_	-	-	I
Shares issued for cash	-	_	143	-	1
Offering costs	-	_		-	1
Shares issued in cancellation of					I
president's interest in patents	_	_	_	_	
Cancellation of shares	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued to Company's					
president as past compensation	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued in connection with					
	_	_	_	_	
private offering	-	—	-	=	
Net loss	_	_	_	-	

con't

	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Notes Receivable - Common Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Stockholders
Balance, December 31, 1999	(4,052,917)	_	_	(582,90
Shares issued as compensation - as restated	(1,002,017)	_	_	824,51
Shares issued to investors pursuant to settlement				021/01
agreement	-	_	-	
Shares issued for cash and non-				
recourse promissory note	-	-	-	1,995,00
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	281,69
Shares issued in cancellation of indebtedness	-	-	-	100,00
Shares issued as compensation				
pursuant to escrow agreement	-	-	-	4,18

Shares returned from escrow Common shares converted into	-	-	-	
Class B common shares	-	_	-	
Preferred shares converted into				
common shares	_	_	_	
Net loss	(1,199,695)	-	_	(1,199,69
Balance, December 31, 2000	(5,252,612)	-	-	1,422,79
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	804,33
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	286,56
Shares issued in connection with				
private offering	-			
Shares issued to officer	-	-	-	1,128,00
Net loss	(3,548,559)	-	-	(3,548,55
Balance, December 31, 2001	(8,801,171)	_	_	93,13
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	1,185,63
Issuance of shares to University				
of Pennsylvania	-	-	-	
Shares issued in settlement of				
lawsuit	-	-	-	40,00
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	1,153,73
Offering costs	-	-	-	(200,41
Shares issued in cancellation of				
president's interest in patents	-	-	-	20
Cancellation of shares	-	-	-	
Shares issued to Company's				
president as past compensation	-	-	-	260,00
Shares issued in connection with				
private offering	-	-	-	
Net loss	(3,852,296)	-	-	(3,852,29

Continued ...

F-

Ρ

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY) CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) - CONTINUED For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and the Period from October 21, 1983 (Inception)

Class A Class B Common Stock Common Stock

	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Sha
Balance, December 31, 2002	109,228	109	300,000	300	
Shares issued as compensation	7,780,333	7,780	_	_	
Issuance of shares to University	4 0 4 0	4			
of Pennsylvania Shares purchased for cancellation	4,242 (1,296)	4(1)	_	_	
Shares issued in settlement of		(1)			
lawsuit Shares issued for cash	260 34,030	- 33	_	_	
Offering costs	54,050	-	_	_	
Shares issued in cancellation of					
legal fee note payable Shares issued to Company's	22,000,000	22,000	-	-	
president for past compensation Shares issued to Company's	32,000,000	32,000	_	_	
president in consideration of note payable Officer's compensation relating	5,000,000	5,000	-	-	
to cancellation of October 27, 2000 escrow agreement	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued in cancellation of indebtedness due on legal fees Shares returned to treasury by Company officers in consider-	1,000	1	_	_	
ation for the cancellation of notes due the Company by them on past stock purchases	(5,001)	(5)	_	_	
Exchange of Class A common		. ,			
stock for Class B common stock Exchange of Class A common	(300)	_	300,000	300	
stock for Class D preferred stock Shares issued in connection with	(7,440,000)	(7,440)	_	_	
private offering	7,006,479	7,007	-	-	
Accrued interest on officer loan	-	-	-	-	
Capital contribution by subsidiary	-	-	-	_	
Net loss	_		-	_	
Balance, December 31, 2003	66,488,975	66,488	600,000	600	
Shares issued for cash Shares issued for settlement of legal and accounting fees	1,207,535	1,208		_	
payable	75,000	75	_	_	
Exercise of warrants Conversion of Class C preferred	3,300	3	_	_	
shares to Class A common shares	2,700	3	-	_	

	Class B Preferred Stock		Class C Preferred Stock		Р
-	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	 Sha
Deler as December 21 2002			1 / 2		
Balance, December 31, 2002	-	_	143	_	
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	-	
Issuance of shares to University					
of Pennsylvania	-	-	-	-	
Shares purchased for cancellation Shares issued in settlement of	-	-	-	-	
lawsuit	-	-	4 074		
Shares issued for cash	-	-	4,074	4	
Offering costs	-	-	-	-	
Shares issued in cancellation of					
legal fee note payable	—	—	-	-	
Shares issued to Company's	_	_	_	_	
president for past compensation	—	—	-	-	
Shares issued to Company's					
president in consideration of					
note payable	-	-	-	-	
Officer's compensation relating to cancellation of October 27,					
2000 escrow agreement	_	_	_	_	
Shares issued in cancellation of	—	—	-	-	
	_	_	_	_	
indebtedness due on legal fees	_	—	_	_	
Shares returned to treasury by					
Company officers in consider- ation for the cancellation of					
notes due the Company by them	_	_	_	_	
on past stock purchases Exchange of Class A common	_	—	_	_	
stock for Class B common stock	_	_	_	_	
	_	—	_	_	
Exchange of Class A common	_	_	_	_	5,4
stock for Class D preferred stock Shares issued in connection with	_	—	_	_	J, 7
private offering	_	_	_	_	
Accrued interest on officer loan	_	_	_	_	
	_	_	_	_	
Capital contribution by subsidiary	-	_	-	_	
Net loss					
Balance, December 31, 2003	_	_	4,217	4	5,4
Shares issued for cash	_	_	7,21/	г _	J, 1
Shares issued for settlement of					
legal and accounting fees	_	_	_	_	
payable Exercise of warrants	-	_	-	_	
Conversion of Class C preferred	_	_	_	-	
shares to Class A common shares	_	_	(2,700)	(3)	
Shales to class A Common Shales	-	-	(2,700)	(3)	

	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Notes Receivable - Common Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
Balance, December 31, 2002	(12,653,467)	-	_	(1,320,00
Shares issued as compensation	-	-	-	484,33
Issuance of shares to University				
of Pennsylvania	-	-	-	
Shares purchased for cancellation	-	-	-	(24,43
Shares issued in settlement of				
lawsuit	-	-	-	
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	235 , 19
Offering costs	-	-	-	(81,97
Shares issued in cancellation of				
legal fee note payable	-	-	-	1,583,12
Shares issued to Company's				
president for past compensation	-	-	-	320,00
Shares issued to Company's				
president in consideration of				
note payable	-	(50,000)	-	
Officer's compensation relating				
to cancellation of October 27, 2000 escrow agreement				10 61
Shares issued in cancellation of	_	_	-	19,61
indebtedness due on legal fees	_	_	_	10,00
Shares returned to treasury by				10,00
Company officers in consider-				
ation for the cancellation of				
notes due the Company by them				
on past stock purchases	-	-	-	(769,82
Exchange of Class A common				
stock for Class B common stock	-	-	-	
Exchange of Class A common				
stock for Class D preferred stock	-	-	-	
Shares issued in connection with				
private offering	-	-	-	
Accrued interest on officer loan	-	(1,096)	-	(1,09
Capital contribution by subsidiary	-	-	-	37,59
Net loss	(1,885,728)	-	-	(1,885,72
Balance, December 31, 2003	(14,539,195)	(51,096)	-	(1,393,18
Shares issued for cash	-	-	-	207,47
Shares issued for settlement of				
legal and accounting fees				
payable	-	-	-	64,46
Exercise of warrants	-	-	-	4,55
Conversion of Class C preferred				
shares to Class A common shares	-	-	-	

Continued ...

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) - CONTINUED

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and the Period from October 21, 1983 (Inception)

	Class A Common Stock		Class B Common Stock		Р	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Sha	
Conversion of Class D preferred						
shares to Class A common shares	3,520,000	3,520	-	-		
Shares issued as compensation						
to consultants	6,721,923	6,722	-	-		
Shares issued in exchange for						
shares of Langley Investments,						
PLC	8,666,666	8,667	-	-		
Beneficial conversion feature						
of convertible debentures	-	_	-	-		
Repurchase of common stock	(1,325)	(1)	-	-		
Offering costs	-	-	-	-		
Interest income on notes						
receivable – common stock Decrease in market value of	-	-	_	-		
securities available for sale	_	_	_	_		
Net loss	_	_	_			
100 1000						
Balance, December 31, 2004	86,684,774	\$ 86,685	600,000	\$ 600		

F-

	Class B Preferred Stock		Class C Preferred Stock		Р
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Sha
Conversion of Class D preferred					
shares to Class A common shares	-	-	-	-	(3,5
Shares issued as compensation					
to consultants	-	-	-	-	
Shares issued in exchange for					
shares of Langley Investments,					
PLC	-	-	-	-	
Beneficial conversion feature					
of convertible debentures	-	_	-	-	
Repurchase of common stock	-	-	-	-	
Offering costs	-	-	-	-	
Interest income on notes					
receivable – common stock	-	-	-	-	
Decrease in market value of					
securities available for sale	-	-	-	-	
Net loss	-	_	-	-	
Balance, December 31, 2004	-	\$ -	1,517	\$ 1	1,9

con't

During the Development	Receivable - Common	Other Comprehensive	Stockholders Equity
-	-	-	
_	_	_	14,252,19
-	_	-	12,973,51
_	_	_	1,125,00
_	_	_	(4,16
_	-	_	(13,71
-	(4,000)	-	(4,00
_	_	(1,167,616)	(1,167,61
(25,495,291)	-		(25, 495, 29
\$ (40,034,486)	\$ (55,096)	\$ (1,167,616)	\$
	Accumulated During the Development Stage 	Accumulated Notes During the Development Stage Stock 	Accumulated Notes Accumulated Other Comprehensive Stage Stock Loss

See reports of independent registered public accounting fir and accompanying notes to consolidated financial statemen $$\rm Fellows$

CONS

	For The Years Ended December 3			
	2004	2003		
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net loss	\$ (25,495,291)	\$ (1,885,728) \$		
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash				
used in operating activities:				
Issuance of common stock for services	14,252,195	858,953		
Other-than-temporary write-down of marketable				
securities available for sale	4,284,760	-		
Realized loss on sale of marketable securities	3,668,850	-		
Unrealized loss on marketable securities	1,523,310	-		
Legal fees incurred for note payable	_	-		
Accrued interest expense added to principal	216,713	199,977		
Amortization of discount on convertible				
debentures	326,161	_		
Accrued interest income added to principal	(12,460)			
(Gain) loss on settlement of indebtedness	(45,150)			
Depreciation and amortization	8,580	9,139		
Other non-cash adjustments	—	_		
Decrease (increase) in receivable due on				
research contract	12,109	(28,004)		
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and				
other current assets	5,690	(3,078)		
Increase in deposits	—	_		
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and				
accrued expenses	(66,856)	166,848		
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,321,389)	(721,331)		
Cash flows from investing activities:	1 005 (10			
Proceeds from sale of securities	1,205,612	-		
Purchase of securities	(900,006)	-		
Payment received on officer loans	97,450	-		
Funds advanced to officers	(7,000)	-		
Purchase of property and equipment	(676)	-		
Investment in joint ventures	_	—		

Proceeds from foreclosure	-	-	
Proceeds from sale of equipment	-	_	
Payment for license agreement	-	_	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	395,380	_	

Continued \dots

CONSOLIDATED STAT

	For The Ye	ars Ended December 3
	2004	2003
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from sale of common stock	212,025	209,115
Proceeds from convertible debentures and other		
notes payable	785,000	350,000
Proceeds from sale of preferred stock	-	64,505
Costs incurred in offerings	(13,713)	(81,975)
Capital contributions	_	-
Repurchase of common stock for		
cancellation	(4,167)	(24,432)
Payment on proposed reorganization	-	-
Net cash flows provided by financing activities	979,145	517,213
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	53,136	(204,118)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	47,664	251,782

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	100,800	\$ 47,664	\$
	=====		 	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				
Interest paid during the period	\$	2,750	\$ 2,750	\$
Income taxes paid during the period	\$	800	\$ 800	=== \$

See report of independe accompanying notes to

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization

Material Technologies, Inc. (the "Company") was organized on October 21, 1983, under the laws of the state of Delaware.

The Company is in the development stage, as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 7, "Accounting and Reporting by Development Stage Enterprises," with its principal activity being research and development in the area of metal fatigue technology with the intent of future commercial application. The Company has not paid any dividends, and dividends that may be paid in the future will depend on the financial requirements of the Company and other relevant factors.

On January 22, 2003, the Company formed Matech International, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("International"). International was formed as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company to advertise, market and sell the Company's videoscope

technology which is presently utilized in the inspection of stress and crack points in turbine engines on the wings of airplanes. At the present time there is no activity in International and the Company does not anticipate nor reasonably foresee any business activity in International in the near future.

On March 13, 2003 the Company formed Matech Aerospace, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("Aerospace"). Aerospace was formed as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company to advertise, market and sell all manufacturing and marketing rights to the Company's products and technologies in all commercial markets within the United States. During 2003, Aerospace sold shares of its common stock to investors. As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company holds a 99% interest in Aerospace.

On September 23, 2003, the Company's Board of Directors affected a 1,000 for 1 reverse stock split of its Class A common stock and all classes of its preferred stock. The financial statements presented herein have been restated to reflect the reverse stock split as if it had occurred at the beginning of each period presented. Unless otherwise noted, common stock refers to Class A common stock.

Basis of Presentation

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared using the accrual method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the settlement of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company has sustained operating losses since its inception (October 21, 1983). In addition, the Company has used substantial amounts of working capital in its operations. Further, at December 31, 2004, current liabilities exceed current assets by approximately \$85,000 and the deficit accumulated during the development stage amounted to approximately \$40,000,000.

```
_____
```

F - 17

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION, continued

In view of these matters, realization of a major portion of the assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet is dependent upon the Company's ability to meet its financing requirements and the success of its future operations. The Company has entered into a \$215,000 contract to provide research services over a two-year period, of which approximately \$140,000 is still available to be collected. During 2004, the Company netted approximately \$1,200,000 from sale of

marketable securities and subsequent to December 31, 2004, the Company sold its remaining trading securities for proceeds of \$285,516. Management believes that these sources of funds and current liquid assets will allow the Company to continue as a going concern through the end of 2005. Management of the Company will need to raise additional debt and/or equity capital to finance future activities beyond 2005. However, no assurances can be made that current or anticipated future sources of funds will enable the Company to finance future periods' operations. These financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets or liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts and transactions of Material Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. The minority owners' interests in a subsidiary have been reflected as minority interest in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates include the fair value of marketable securities and the recoverability of long-lived assets. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, the Company considers cash equivalents to include highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

F - 18

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

Marketable Securities

Marketable securities purchased with the intent of selling them in the near term are classified as trading securities. Trading securities are initially recorded at cost and are adjusted to their fair value, with the change in fair value during the period included in earnings as unrealized gains or losses. Realized gains or losses on dispositions are based upon the net proceeds and the adjusted book value of the securities sold, using the specific identification method, and are recorded as realized gains or losses in the income statement. Securities that are not classified as trading securities are classified as available-for-sale securities. Available-for-sale securities are initially recorded at cost and adjusted to their fair value. Any change in fair value during the period is excluded from earnings and recorded, net of tax, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any decline in value of available-for-sale securities below cost that is considered to be "other than temporary" is recorded as a reduction of the cost basis of the security and is included in the statement of operations as a write down of the market value. During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company recognized an "other than temporary" loss on its available for sale investments totaling \$4,284,760.

Receivable Due on Research Contract

Accounts receivable are reported at the customers' outstanding balances less any allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company does not accrue interest on overdue accounts receivable.

The allowance for doubtful accounts is charged to income in amounts sufficient to maintain the allowance for uncollectible accounts at a level management believes is adequate to cover any probable losses. Management determines the adequacy of the allowance based on historical write-off percentages and information collected from individual customers. As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, management believes all accounts receivable are collectible. Accordingly, no allowance for doubtful accounts is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Major renewals and improvements are charged to the asset accounts while replacements, maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the lives of the respective assets are expensed. At the time property and equipment are retired or otherwise disposed of, the asset and related accumulated depreciation accounts are relieved of the applicable amounts. Gains or losses from retirements or sales are credited or charged to income.

The Company depreciates its property and equipment using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Machinery	5	years
Computer equipment	3-5	years
Office equipment	5	years

F-19

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

Long-Lived Assets

The Company accounts for its long-lived assets in accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS No. 144 requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the historical cost carrying value of an asset may no longer be appropriate. The Company assesses recoverability of the carrying value of an asset by estimating the future net cash flows expected to result from the asset, including eventual disposition. If the future net cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, an impairment loss is recorded equal to the difference between the asset's carrying value and fair value or disposable value. As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company does not believe there has been any impairment of its long-lived assets.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of patents, license agreements and website design costs and are recorded at cost. Patents and license agreements are amortized over 17 years and website design costs are amortized over 5 years. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," the carrying values of intangible assets are evaluated for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the historical cost carrying value may no longer be appropriate. As of December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company does not believe there has been any impairment of its intangible assets.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the provisions of SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Under SFAS No. 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax benefits or consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is provided for significant deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized through future operations.

Convertible Debentures

The Company's convertible debentures are recorded net of deferred financing costs. The Company records deferred financing costs in proportion to principal advances and amortizes them to interest expense over the life of the debentures on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method.

F-20

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

Beneficial Conversion Feature

The convertible feature of the Debenture (see Note 9) provides for a rate of conversion that is below market value. This feature is normally characterized as a beneficial conversion feature ("BCF"), which is recorded by the Company pursuant to the Emerging Issues Task Forces ("EITF") Issue No. 98-5 ("EITF 98-05"), "Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingency Adjustable Conversion Ratio," and EITF Issue No. 00-27, "Application of EITF Issue No. 98-5 to Certain Convertible Instruments."

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable, receivable from officer, accounts payable, accrued expenses, notes payable and convertible debentures. Pursuant to SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments," the Company is required to estimate the fair value of all financial instruments at the balance sheet date. The Company cannot determine the estimated fair value of receivable from officer as the transaction originated with a related party and instruments similar to the convertible debentures could not be found. Other than these items, the Company considers the carrying values of its financial instruments in the financial statements to approximate their fair values.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin

("SAB") No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements," as revised by SAB No. 104. As such, the Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, title transfer has occurred, the price is fixed or readily determinable and collectibility is probable. Sales are recorded net of sales discounts.

Substantially all of the Company's revenue is derived from the Company's contracts relating to the further development of the Electrochemical Fatigue Sensor ("EFS"). Revenue on the contracts is recognized at the time services are rendered. The Company bills monthly for services pursuant to these contracts at which time revenue is recognized for the period that the respective invoice relates. In October 2003, the Company entered into a contract to provide research services to a third party in connection with the application of the Company's EFS to detect stress on military vehicles. The contract expires in September 2005 and has an approved budget of \$215,281. As of December 31, 2004, \$74,936 has been billed on this contract. This gross amount includes out-of pocket expenses relating to third party engineering and other related costs.

All other revenue is reported in the period that the income is earned.

F-21

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

In the past, the Company has received research and development funding from various agencies of the U.S. government. U.S. government contracts are subject to government audits. Such audits could lead to inquiries from the government regarding the allowability of costs under U.S. government regulations and potential adjustments of contract revenues. To date, the Company has not been involved in any such audits.

Research and Development

The Company expenses research and development costs as incurred.

Net Loss per Share

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share" ("EPS"). SFAS No. 128 provides for the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic EPS includes no dilution and is computed by dividing income or loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common

shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution of securities that could share in the earnings or losses of the entity. For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, basic and diluted loss per share are the same. Since the calculation of diluted per share amounts would result in an anti-dilutive calculation that is not permitted and therefore not included. If such shares were included in diluted EPS, they would have resulted in weighted-average common shares of 144,246,012, 103,824,713 and 13,538,217 in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Such amounts include shares potentially issuable pursuant to shares held in escrow (see Note 12), convertible debentures (see Note 9), and outstanding options and warrants (see Note 14) and convertible preferred stock.

Issuance of Stock for Non-Cash Consideration

All issuances of the Company's stock for non-cash consideration have been assigned a per share amount equaling either the market value of the shares issued or the value of consideration received, whichever is more readily determinable. The majority of the non-cash consideration received pertains to services rendered by consultants and others and has been valued at the market value of the shares on the dates issued. In certain instances, the Company has discounted the values assigned to the issued shares for illiquidity and/or restrictions on resale (see Note 12).

F-22

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" and SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation--Transition and Disclosure--An amendment to SFAS No. 123." These standards define a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. In accordance with SFAS Nos. 123 and 148, the cost of

stock-based employee compensation is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized over the vesting period. The value of the stock-based award is determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, whereby compensation cost is the excess of the fair value of the award as determined by the pricing model at the grant date or other measurement date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. The resulting amount is charged to expense on the straight-line basis over the period in which the Company expects to receive the benefit, which is generally the vesting period. During the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, the Company recognized no compensation expense under SFAS No. 123 for options issued to employees.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company maintains its cash balances at financial institutions that are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") up to \$100,000. From time-to-time, the Company's cash balances exceed the amount insured by the FDIC. Management believes the risk of loss of cash balances in excess of the insured limit to be low.

The Company's 2004 and 2003 revenues were generated from two customers and its 2002 revenues were generated from one customer.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in December 31, 2003 and 2002 financial statements have been reclassified to conform with the December 31, 2004 presentation. Such reclassification had no effect on net loss as previously reported.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. ("FIN") 46R, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities." This statement requires that the assets, liabilities and results of the activities of variable interest entities be consolidated into the financial statements of the company that has a controlling financial interest. It also provides the framework for determining whether an entity should be consolidated based on voting interest or significant financial support provided to it. In general, for all entities that were previously considered special purpose entities, FIN 46R should be applied in periods ending after December 15, 2003. Otherwise, FIN 46R is applicable to all public entities for periods ending after March 15, 2004. The adoption of FIN 46R did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

F-23

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

82

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, continued

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, "Exchanges of Non-Monetary Assets, an amendment of APB Opinion 29, Accounting for Non-Monetary Transactions." The amendments made by SFAS No. 153 are based on the principle that exchanges of non-monetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. Further, the amendments eliminate the narrow exception for non-monetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replace it with a broader exception for exchanges of non-monetary assets that do not have "commercial substance." The provisions in SFAS No. 153 are effective for non-monetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Early application is permitted and companies must apply the standard prospectively. The Company adopted this statement on January 1, 2005. The adoption of the statement should not cause a significant change in the current manner in which the Company accounts for its exchanges of non-monetary assets.

The FASB has issued SFAS No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment." The new rule requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. This statement precludes the recognition of compensation expense under APB Opinion No. 25's intrinsic value method. Public entities will be required to apply Statement 123R in the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. Since the Company has been accounting for its share-based compensation under SFAS No. 123, management believes SFAS No. 123R should not have a significant impact on the way it accounts for its stock-based compensation.

NOTE 3 - INVESTMENTS

Non-Marketable Securities

The Company owns 65,750 shares of Class A Common Stock of Tensiodyne Corporation. At December 31, 2004 and 2003, there was no market for these shares and the Company valued its interest at \$0.

On January 1, 2003 the Company formed Integrated Metal Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("IMT"). The Company owns 51% of the outstanding shares of IMT and the remaining 49% of the outstanding shares are owned by Austin Tech, LLC, a Texas limited liability company. At the present time there is no activity in IMT, IMT has no assets or liabilities and the Company does not anticipate nor reasonably foresee any business activity in IMT in the near future. Accordingly, the Company has recorded its interest in IMT at \$0.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 3 - INVESTMENTS, continued

Marketable Securities

On October 1, 2004, the Company consummated a Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") with Langley Park Investments, PLC ("Langley"), a corporation organized under the laws of England and Wales. The Langley shares are traded on the London Stock Exchange ("LSE"). Pursuant to the Agreement, the Company issued 8,666,666 shares of its common stock in exchange for 7,158,590 shares of Langley common stock. The number of Langley shares issued was based on the Company's shares having a value of \$1.50 per share and the Langley shares having a value of one British Pound Sterling and the conversion rate of the British Pound Sterling to the U.S. Dollar in effect as of the close of business on the day preceding the closing date. The Company initially recorded the Langley shares at \$12,973,513. This amount was determined by multiplying the number of Langley shares issued by the market value of the Langley shares of one British Pound Sterling and the applicable exchange rate. The Agreement further provides that of the Langley shares purchased, one half of the shares (3,579,295) are immediately saleable and the remaining half, to which the Company has legal title, will be held in an escrow account for a period of two years. If, at the end of the two-year period, the shares of the Company do not have a market price of at least \$1.50 per share, the Company will be required to sell back some or all of the Langley shares held in escrow, based on a formula as defined in the Agreement. However, if at the end of the two-year period, the market value of the Company's common stock exceeds \$1.50 per share, the Langley shares will be released from escrow. At December 31, 2004, the Company's common stock closing price was in excess of \$1.50 per share. For financial reporting purposes, the Company considers the 1,000,000 remaining saleable shares as shares held for trading and the 3,579,295 shares owned by the Company but held in escrow as shares available for sale.

During 2004, the Company sold 2,579,295 of its Langley trading shares for net proceeds of \$1,005,606 and recognized a loss on these sales of \$3,668,850, which was charged to operations. The Company has also determined that \$4,284,760 of the decline in the value of available for sale investments is other than temporary and therefore, included the decline in operations as a write-down.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company's investment in an open-end mutual fund approximated its cost of \$700,000. The Company considers its investment in this account as being held for trading.

Investments in marketable securities as of December 31, 2004 are as follows:

Trading securities: Cost

\$ 2,512,300

Unrealized loss				(1,523,32	10)
Fair value			\$	988,99	 90
				F-	-25
				OLOGIES, IN TAGE COMPAN	
NOTES	5 ТО СО	NSOLIDATED FI	INANCI	AL STATEMEN	NTS
For The Years E	Inded D	ecember 31, 2	2004,	2003 and 20	002
Available-for-sale securities: Adjusted cost Unrealized loss Fair value			 Ş	2,201,99 (1,167,63 	16)
NOTE 4 - RECEIVABLE DUE ON RESEARCH CONTRAC	СТ				
Accounts receivable consist of amounts du development contracts and consist of the fo				research a	anc
		2004		2003	
Accounts receivable Less allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 	15,895 		28,00	-
	\$ =====	15,895	\$ ===	28,00	04
NOTE 5 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT					
The following is a summary of property and	equipm	ent at Decemb	oer 31	:	

	2004		2003
Office and computer equipment	\$	24,818	\$ 24,142

Manufacturing equipment		129,675		129,675
		154,493		153,817
Less accumulated depreciation		(139,655)		(133,191)
	\$ =====	14,838	\$ ====	20,626

Depreciation charged to operations was \$6,464, \$7,023 and \$4,667 for 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The Company's equipment has been pledged as collateral on the agreement with Advanced Technology Center (see Note 11).

F-26

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 6 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of the following at December 31:

	Period of Amortization	on 2004			2003
Patent costs License agreement (see Note 7) Website	17 years 17 years 5 years	\$	28,494 6,250 5,200	\$	28,494 6,250 5,200
			39,944		39,944
Less accumulated amortization			(32,056)		(29,940)
		\$ =====	7,888	\$ =====	10,004

Amortization charged to operations for 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$2,116, \$2,116 and \$3,080, respectively.

Estimated amortization expense for the next five years is as follows:

2005	\$ 2,116
2006	1,856
2007	1,076
2008	1,076
2009	1,076

NOTE 7 - LICENSE AGREEMENT

The Company has entered into a license agreement with the University of Pennsylvania ("the University") for the development and marketing of EFS. EFS is designed to measure electrochemically the state of fatigue damage in a metal structural member. The Company is in the final stage of developing EFS.

Under the terms of the agreement, the Company issued to the University 13 shares of its common stock and a 5% royalty on sales of the product. The Company valued the license agreement at \$6,250. The license terminates upon the expiration of the underlying patents, unless sooner terminated as provided in the agreement. The Company is amortizing the license over 17 years.

In addition to the license agreement, the Company also agreed to sponsor the development of EFS. Under the sponsorship agreement, the Company agreed to reimburse the University development costs totaling approximately \$200,000, to be paid in 18 monthly installments of \$11,112. Under the agreement, the Company reimbursed the University \$10,000 in 1996 for the cost it incurred in the procurement and maintenance of its patents on EFS.

F-27

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 7 - LICENSE AGREEMENT, continued

The Company and the University agreed to modify the terms of the license and sponsorship agreements and related obligation. The modification of the license agreement increased the University's royalty to 7% of the sale of related products and provided for the issuance of additional shares of the Company's common stock to equal 5% of the outstanding stock of the Company as of the effective date of the modification, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. The modification of the sponsorship agreement included paying the University 30% of

any amounts raised by the Company in excess of \$150,000 (excluding amounts received on government grants or contracts) up to the amount owing to the University.

The parties agreed that the balance owed on the sponsorship agreement was \$200,000 and commencing June 30, 1997, the balance will accrue compound interest at a rate of 1.5% per month (19.6% effective annual rate) until maturity on December 16, 2001, when the loan balance and accrued interest became fully due and payable. In addition, the Company's president agreed to limit his compensation from the Company to \$150,000 per year until the loan and accrued interest was fully paid. The obligation is currently in default. The Company continues to accrue interest under the terms of the agreement. Interest charged to operations for 2004, 2003 and 2002 relating to this obligation was \$122,828, \$139,272 and \$76,078, respectively. The balance of the obligation (including accrued interest) at December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$760,831 and \$638,003, respectively, and is reflected in Research and Development Sponsorship Payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Pursuant to the anti-dilution provision of the sponsorship agreement, the Company was required to issue an additional 5,338 shares to the University through December 31, 2003. The Company has made no additional issuance of shares in 2004. The Company is currently in negotiations with the University regarding the default and other related matters.

NOTE 8 - NOTES PAYABLE

On May 27, 1994, the Company borrowed \$25,000 from a shareholder. The loan is evidenced by a promissory note bearing interest at major bank prime rate. The note is secured by the Company's patents and matured on May 31, 2002. The loan has not been paid and is now in default. As additional consideration for the loan, the Company granted to the shareholder a 1% royalty interest in the Fatigue Fuse and a 0.5% royalty interest in EFS (see Note 11). The balance due on this loan as of December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$51,892 and \$50,438, respectively. Interest charged to operations for 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$1,623, \$1,622 and \$1,622, respectively. At the end of 2003, it was discovered that the Company had over accrued interest on the loan by \$10,213, which was credited against interest in that year.

F-28

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 8 - NOTES PAYABLE, continued

In October 1996, the Company borrowed \$25,000 from an unrelated third party. The loan bears interest at an annual rate of 11% and matured on October 15, 2000. The Company issued warrants to the lender for the purchase of 25 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share. The loan balance as of December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$25,000 and \$25,688, respectively. Interest charged to operations on this loan was \$2,750 in 2004, 2003 and 2002. The Company did not pay any principal amounts due on this note when it matured on October 15, 2000 and the note is in default. In 2004, the Company issued the note holder 25,000 shares of its common stock as additional compensation for the failure to payoff the indebtedness. The shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at \$59,500 and charged to interest expense (see Note 12).

On April 28, 2003, the Company borrowed \$10,000 from an unrelated third party. The loan is unsecured, non-interest bearing and due on demand.

NOTE 9 - CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES

On September 23, 2003, the Company entered into a Class A Senior Secured Convertible Debenture (the "Debenture") with Palisades Capital, LLC or its registered assigns ("Palisades"), pursuant to which Palisades has agreed to loan the Company up to \$1,500,000. Under the Debenture, Palisades has the option, after March 30, 2004, to convert the principal amount of all monies loaned under the Debenture, together with accrued interest, into common stock of the Company at the lesser of (i) 50% of the average ten closing prices for the Company's common stock for the ten (10) trading days immediately preceding the conversion date or (ii) \$0.10 (the lesser of the two being referred to as the "Conversion Price"). In the event Palisades loans the full \$1,500,000 face amount of the debenture to the Company and subsequently elects to exercise its right to convert the debenture into the Company's common stock at a time when the conversion price is less than four cents per share, Palisades would receive at least fifty million (50,000,000) shares of common stock, resulting in a change in control of the Company. However, Mr. Bernstein, the Company's president, would still retain voting control as a result of his holding one hundred percent (100%) of the Class B common stock.

Since the Debenture allows Palisades to convert the outstanding principal amount into shares of the Company's common stock at a discount to fair value, the Company has recorded a BCF in the amount of \$1,125,000 during 2004. The amount was recorded as a debt discount and is being amortized as interest expense over the life of the Debenture. Total interest expense related to the amortization of the discount was \$326,161 and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

The Company's president entered into a voting agreement and irrevocable proxy, which provides that as of September 23, 2006, if an event of default (as defined in the Debenture) in favor of Palisades continues for a period of not less than 30 days, all Class B common stock which Mr. Bernstein owns of record, or becomes the owner of record in the future will be voted in accordance with the direction of Mr. Monty Freedman (affiliated with Palisades), or his designated successor. This loss of Mr. Bernstein's voting rights would affect a change in the voting control of the Company.

F-29

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 9 - CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES, continued

The Debenture bears interest at an annual rate of 10%, is secured by substantially all assets of the Company and matures on December 31, 2006, when all principal and accrued interest becomes payable. Advances to the Company totaled \$785,000 and \$340,000 during 2004 and 2003, respectively. The balance of the Debenture, including accrued interest, at December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$424,612 and \$345,333 (net of unamortized discount of \$798,839 and \$0), respectively. Interest expense on the Debenture, excluding amortization of the discount, was \$93,119 and \$5,333 during 2004 and 2003, respectively.

NOTE 10 - INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes consists of the following as of December 31:

		2004		2003		2002
Current: Federal State	Ş	_ 800	Ş	_ 800	Ş	
		800		800		
Deferred: Federal State		7,944,332 2,253,784		580,174 166,628		1,197 340
Less change in valuation allowance		(10,198,116)		(746,802)		(1,538
	\$ =====	800	\$ ====	800	 \$ ===	

The components of the net deferred tax assets as of December 31 are as follows:

2004 2003

Deferred tax asset Less valuation allowance	\$ 15,948,822 (15,948,822)	\$	5,750,706 (5,750,706)
	\$ -	\$ ====	

Deferred income taxes are provided for the tax effects of temporary differences in the reporting of income for financial statement and income tax reporting purposes and arise principally from net operating loss carryforwards and unrealized and "other than temporary" losses on marketable securities.

F-30

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 10 - INCOME TAXES, continued

The Company's effective tax rate differs from the federal and state statutory rates due to the valuation allowance recorded for the deferred tax asset due to unused net operating loss carryforwards. An allowance has been provided for by the Company which reduced the tax benefits accrued by the Company for its net operating losses to zero, as it cannot be determined when, or if, the tax benefits derived from these operating losses will materialize.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company has available net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$34,000,000 for federal and state purposes which expire in various years through 2024 and 2018 for federal and California purposes, respectively. The Company's use of its net operating losses may be restricted in future years due to the limitations pursuant to IRC Section 382 on changes in ownership.

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Royalties

On December 24, 1985, to provide funding for research and development of the Fatigue Fuse, the Company entered into various agreements with the Tensiodyne 1985-I R & D Partnership (the "Partnership.") These agreements were amended on October 9, 1989, and under the revised terms, obligated the Company to pay the Partnership a royalty of 10% of future gross sales. The Company's obligation to the Partnership is limited to the capital contributed to it by its partners of

approximately \$912,500 plus accrued interest.

On August 30, 1986, the Company entered into a funding agreement with the Advanced Technology Center ("ATC"), whereby ATC paid \$45,000 to the Company for the purchase of a royalty of 3% of future gross sales and 6% of sublicense revenue. The royalty is limited to the \$45,000 plus an 11% annual rate of return. At December 31, 2004, the future royalty commitment is approximately \$310,000. The payment of future royalties is secured by equipment used by the Company in the development of technology as specified in the funding agreement.

On May 4, 1987, the Company entered into another funding agreement with ATC, whereby ATC provided \$63,775 to the Company for the purchase of a royalty of 3% of future gross sales and 6% of sublicense revenues. The agreement was amended August 28, 1987, and as amended, the royalty cannot exceed the lesser of (1) the amount of the advance plus a 26% annual rate of return or, (2) total royalties earned for a term of 17 years. At December 31, 2004, the total future royalty commitments, including the accumulated 26% annual rate of return, were approximately \$4,875,000. If the Company defaults on the agreement, then the obligation relating to this agreement becomes secured by the Company's patents, products, and accounts receivable that are related to the technology developed with the funding.

F-31

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES, continued

In 1994, the Company issued to Variety Investments, Ltd. of Vancouver, Canada ("Variety") a 22.5% royalty interest on the Fatigue Fuse in consideration for the cash advances made to the Company by Variety. In December 1996, in exchange for the Company issuing 250 shares of its common stock to Variety, Variety reduced its royalty interest to 20%. In 1998, in exchange for the Company issuing 733 shares of its common stock to Variety, Variety reduced its royalty interest to 5%.

As discussed in Note 8, the Company granted a 1% royalty interest in the Company's Fatigue Fuse and a 0.5% royalty interest in EFS to a shareholder as partial consideration on a \$25,000 loan made by the shareholder to the Company.

A summary of royalty interests that the Company has granted and are outstanding as of December 31, 2004 follows:

	Fatigue Fuse	EFS
Tensiodyne 1985-1 R&D Partnership	10.00% *	-
Advanced Technology Center:		
Future gross sales	6.00% *	-
Sublicensing fees	12.00% **	-
Variety Investments, Ltd.	5.00%	-
University of Pennsylvania (see Note 7)		
Net sales of licensed products	_	7.00%
Net sales of services	_	2.50%
Shareholder	1.00%	0.50%

* Royalties limited to specific rates of return as discussed above.

** The Company granted 12% royalties on sales from sublicense. These royalties are also limited to specific rates of return as discussed above.

Through December 31, 2004, the Company owes no royalties under any agreements as sales of the products have not yet begun.

Operating Leases

The Company leases its existing office on a month-to-month basis. Rental expense charged to operations for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$28,171, \$28,176 and \$28,176, which consisted solely of minimum rental payments.

F-32

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES, continued

Straight Documentary Credit

On October 10, 2001, the Company entered into an arrangement to obtain a Straight Documentary Credit for \$12,500,000. Under the terms of the commitment, the Company issued 100,000 shares of its common stock as collateral. The Company reflected the 100,000 shares as held in reserve. In 2003, the Company abandoned its efforts to find financing using the Straight Documentary Credit and the 100,000 shares were returned to the Company and subsequently cancelled.

Litigation

In July 2002, the Company settled its pending lawsuit related to a contract dispute with Mr. Stephen Beck. Under the terms of the settlement, Mr. Beck received 1,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The shares to be issued are subject to anti-dilution provisions for a period of five years. The Company valued the shares issued to Mr. Beck at \$40,000, the quoted price of the shares on date of issuance and charged the cost to operations. During 2002 and 2003, the Company issued Mr. Beck an additional 657 shares of common stock pursuant to the anti-dilutive provision of the settlement agreement. No additional shares were issued in 2004.

Mr. Beck has recently contacted the Company concerning an alleged breach of the above settlement. The Company believes that it has a counter claim against Mr. Beck for a breach of a consulting agreement. Currently negotiations regarding these matters are ongoing.

In addition, pursuant to an agreement with the attorneys who represented the Company in this matter, a contingent fee of \$1,481,895 became due upon the settlement of the case. The balance of this obligation at September 23, 2003 (including accrued interest) was \$1,583,128, and was assumed by seven investors in 2003 for 22,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock (see Note 12) and warrants to purchase an additional 30,000,000 shares at \$0.10 (see Note 14). Interest charged to operations on this indebtedness was \$63,962 and \$37,271 in 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Stock Purchase Agreement

In August 2004, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with Seaside Investments, PLC ("Seaside"). Once the agreement is closed, the Company will issue and sell 10,332,000 shares of its common stock to Seaside for a purchase price of \$0.55 per share. The purchase price for the shares will be the issuance to the Company of 4,920,000 shares of Seaside, net of a 9.1% finder's fee. The agreement provides that 1,623,600 shares will be held in an escrow account and the balance of 3,296,400 shares will be saleable on the LSE. The shares of the Company will be restricted stock for a period of one year from the date of issuance. If the shares of the Company do not have a market price of at least \$0.55 per share at the end of the restricted period, the Company will be required to return some or all of the Seaside shares based on a formula as defined in the agreement.

```
_____
```

F-33

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES, continued

The agreement is subject to a number of material conditions precedent before the obligation of any of the parties under the agreement matures. As of December 31, 2004, none of the conditions precedent were satisfied. As a result, the shares in escrow are reflected as issued but not outstanding at December 31, 2004 (see Note 12).

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Class A Common Stock

The holders of the Company's Class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share of common stock held.

Class B Common Stock

The holders of the Company's Class B common stock are not entitled to dividends, nor are they entitled to participate in any proceeds in the event of a liquidation of the Company. However, the holders are entitled to 2,000 votes for each share of Class B common stock held.

Class A Preferred Stock

The holders of the Class A convertible preferred stock have a liquidation preference of \$720 per share. Such amounts shall be paid on all outstanding Class A preferred shares before any payment shall be made or any assets distributed to the holders of the common stock or any other stock of any other series or class ranking junior to the shares as to dividends or assets.

These shares are convertible to shares of the Company's Class A common stock at a conversion price of \$0.72 ("initial conversion price") per share of Class A preferred stock that will be adjusted depending upon the occurrence of certain events. The holders of these preferred shares shall have the right to vote and cast that number of votes which the holder would have been entitled to cast had such holder converted the shares immediately prior to the record date for such vote. The holders of these shares shall participate in all dividends declared and paid with respect to the common stock to the same extent had such holder converted the shares immediately prior to the record date for such

Class B Preferred Stock

The Company has designated 15 shares of Class B preferred stock, of which no shares have been issued. Holders of class B preferred shares are entitled to a liquidation preference of \$10,000 per share. Such amounts shall be paid on all outstanding Class B preferred shares before any payment shall be made or any assets distributed to the holders of common stock or of any other stock of any series or class junior to the shares as to dividends or assets, but junior to Class A preferred shareholders. Holders of Class B preferred shares are not entitled to any liquidation distributions in excess of \$10,000 per share.

F-34

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, continued

The shares are redeemable by the holder or the Company at \$10,000 per share. The holders of these shares shall have the right to vote at one vote per Class B preferred share and shall participate in all common stock dividends declared and paid according to a formula as defined in the series designation.

Class C Preferred Stock

The Class C preferred stock was offered as a unit. Each unit consisted of one share of the Company's Class C preferred stock, one Class A warrant and one Class B warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of the Company's Class A common stock. Class A warrants expired one year after issuance and entitled the warrant holder the right to purchase one share of the Company's Class A common stock at a price set forth in the respective warrant, which was dependent on the date on which the unit was purchased. Exercise prices for the Class A common stock warrants ranged from \$0.05 per share to the greater of \$0.35 or 1.5 times the average bid price. Class B warrants expire two years after issuance and entitle the warrant holder the right to purchase one share of the Company's Class A common stock at a price set forth in the respective warrants. Which is dependent on the date on which the unit was purchase to purchase one share of the Company's Class B common stock at a price set forth in the respective warrant, which is dependent on the date on which the unit was purchased. Exercise prices for the Class B common stock warrants range from \$0.10 per share to the greater of \$0.35 per share or 1.5 times the average bid price.

Each shareholder of Class C preferred stock is entitled to receive a cumulative dividend of 8% per annum for a period of two years. Dividends do not accrue or are payable except out of Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA"). At December 31, 2004, no dividends are payable to Class C preferred shareholders. Holders of the Class C preferred stock are junior to holders of the Company's Class A and B preferred stock, but hold a higher position than common and Class D preferred shareholders in terms of liquidation rights. Holders of Class C preferred stock have no voting rights. Holders of class C preferred stock have the right to convert their shares to Class A common stock on a one-to-one basis.

The Company requires an approval of at least two-thirds of the holders of Class C preferred shareholders to alter or change their rights or privileges by way of a reverse stock split, reclassification, merger, consolidation or otherwise, so as to adversely affect the manner by which the shares of Class C preferred stock are converted into common shares.

During 2004, 2,700 shares of Class C preferred stock were converted into 2,700 shares of Class A common stock.

F-35

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, continued

Class D Preferred Stock

On December 29, 2003, certain shareholders exchanged 7,440,000 shares of common stock for 5,440,000 shares of Class D preferred stock. Holders of Class D preferred stock have a \$0.001 liquidation preference, no voting rights and are junior to holders of all classes of preferred stock but senior to common shareholders in terms of liquidation rights. Class D preferred stockholders are entitled to dividends as declared by the Company's Board of Directors, which have not been declared as of December 31, 2004. Each share of Class D preferred stock is convertible at the holder's option into one share of the Company's Class A common stock.

During 2004, 3,520,000 shares of Class D preferred stock were converted into 3,520,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

Issued and Outstanding Common Shares

From time to time, the Company issues its Class A common shares and holds the shares in escrow on behalf of another party until consummation of certain transactions. The following is a reconciliation of shares issued and outstanding as of December 31:

2004 2003

Issued shares

107,517,617 66,489,818

Less shares held in escrow: Shares held for Seaside stock purchase agreement		
(see Note 11)	(9,840,000)	-
Shares held as finders' fee for Seaside stock		
purchase agreement (see Note 11)	(492,000)	-
Shares held as consideration for contemplated		
debt financing	(6,300,000)	-
Shares held as collateral for contemplated debt		
financing	(4,200,000)	-
Other	(843)	(843)
	(20,832,843)	(843)
Outstanding shares	86,684,774	66,488,975
	==============	

F-36

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, continued

Class A Common Stock Issuances Involving Non-cash Consideration

2002

On January 11, 2002, the Company issued to a consultant 20 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$3,200. On January 30, 2002, the Company issued to a consultant 15 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$2,850. On February 13, 2002, the Company issued 4 shares of its common stock for clerical services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$760. On February 14, 2002, the Company issued to a consultant 400 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of

issuance amounting to \$72,000. On March 4, 2002, the Company issued its executive assistant 25 shares of its common stock. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$6,750. Also on March 4, 2002, the Company issued to six individuals a total of 650 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$175,500. On March 15, 2002, the Company issued 150 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$37,500. On March 18, 2002, the Company issued 150 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$22,500. On March 19, 2002, the Company issued 125 shares of its common stock for legal services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$20,000. On April 2, 2002, the Company issued to two members of its advisory board a total of 470 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$65,789. On April 2, 2002, the Company issued its executive assistant 25 shares of its common stock. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$3,500. On April 4, 2002, the Company issued 120 shares of its common stock for legal services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$16,800. On April 4, 2002, the Company issued 4 shares its common stock for clerical services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$560. On April 10, 2002, the Company issued 42 shares of its common stock for legal services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$5,473. On April 12, 2002, the Company issued 105 shares of its common stock for legal services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$14,000. On April 25, 2002, the Company issued 550 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$49,500. On May 10, 2002, the Company issued 215 shares of its common stock for legal services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$32,250. On May 10, 2002, the Company issued 115 shares of its common stock for legal services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$17,250. On May 21, 2002, the Company issued 400 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$36,000.

F-37

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, continued

On May 22, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock for legal

services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$90,000. On June 5, 2002, the Company issued 150 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$9,000. On June 5, 2002, the Company issued 50 shares of its common stock for legal services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$3,000. On June 6, 2002, the Company issued 50 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$3,000. On July 3, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock for legal services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$50,000. On July 3, 2002, the Company issued 250 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$12,500. On July 8, 2002, the Company issued 200 shares of its common stock for legal services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$8,000. On July 8, 2002, the Company issued 200 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$8,000. On July 26, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock to Stephen Beck in settlement of the lawsuit he filed against the Company for alleged compensation due him. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$40,000. On August 5, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock to an employee for services rendered in connection with the development of the fatigue fuse. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$40,000. On August 5, 2002, the Company issued 1,230 shares of its common stock for legal services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$49,200. On August 14, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock for legal services. These shares were valued at their guoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$40,000. On August 29, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock for legal services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$30,000. On September 5, 2002, the Company issued 300 shares of its common stock for consulting services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$6,000. On September 5, 2002, the Company issued 75 shares of its common stock for legal services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$1,500. On September 10, 2002, the Company issued 2,000 shares of its common stock for consulting services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$60,000. On September 11, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock for legal services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$20,000. On September 12, 2002, the Company issued 2,500 shares of its common stock for legal services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$50,000. On October 7, 2002, the Company issued 2,500 shares of its common stock for consulting services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$75,000. On October 9, 2002, the Company issued to its executive assistant 50 shares of its common stock. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$1,500. On October 29, 2002, the Company issued 250 shares of its common stock for consulting services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price

F-38

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, continued

at date of issuance amounting to \$5,000. On December 6, 2002, the Company issued 650 shares of its common stock for consulting services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$19,500. On December 6, 2002, the Company issued 250 shares of its common stock for legal services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$7,500. On December 16, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock to a member of the Company's advisory board. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$30,000. On December 17, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock for legal services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of sounce amounting to \$30,000. On December 17, 2002, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock for legal services. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$30,000. On December 18, 2002, the Company issued 13,000 shares of its common stock to its president for past compensation due him. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$260,000.

The value assigned to shares issued for services were charged to operations. Shares issued for legal services included services rendered in connection with the Beck matter as discussed and preparation of the Company's registration statement. During 2002, the Company issued 2,042 shares of its common stock as additional consideration in connection with the Company's Regulation S offering. In addition, the Company issued 200,000 shares of the Company's Class B common stock to it president in consideration for the relinquishment of his total interest in the Company's patents. The value assigned to those cancelled shares was \$48,250 and was credited against operations. The Company also issued 1,397 shares of its Class A common stock to Mr. Stephen Beck pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of the settlement agreement. During 2002, the Company cancelled 1,322 shares originally issued to Mr. Bernstein pursuant to the Stock Escrow/Grant Agreement.

2003

On January 6, 2003, the Company issued 500 shares of its common stock for consulting services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$10,000. On January 8, 2003, the Company issued 3,000 shares of its common stock for legal services in connection with its aborted S-B registration statement. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$60,000. On January 24, 2003, the Company issued 313 shares of its common stock for consulting services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$6,250. On March 4, 2003, the Company issued 1,500 shares of its common stock for legal services in connection with its aborted S-B registration statement. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$15,000. On March 11, 2003, the Company issued 1,500 shares of its common stock for legal services in connection with its aborted S-B registration statement. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$30,000. On March 11, 2003, the Company issued 300 shares of its common stock for consulting services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$6,000. On March 26, 2003, the Company issued 250 shares of its common stock for consulting services in connection with the

Company's research and development efforts. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$5,000. On April 15, 2003, the Company issued 250 shares of its common stock for consulting services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to

```
_____
```

F-39

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, continued

\$2,500. On April 15, 2003, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock each to Messrs. Goodman and Berks for consulting services in connection with the Company's research and development efforts. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$20,000. On April 21, 2003, the Company issued 500 shares of its common stock to one of its advisory board members for services rendered. The shares were valued their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$5,000. On April 21, 2003, the Company issued 171 shares of its common stock for consulting services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$1,711. On May 20, 2003, the Company issued 150 shares of its common stock for consulting services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$1,500. On May 27, 2003, the Company issued 2,000 shares of its common stock for consulting services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$20,000. On May 30, 2003, the Company issued 500 shares of its common stock for consulting services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$5,000. On June 10, 2003, the Company issued 1,650 shares for legal services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$16,500. On June 12, 2003, the Company issued 1,000 shares to an attorney firm as settlement for all amounts due. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$10,000. On June 20, 2003, the Company issued 2,000 shares of its common stock to Mr. William Berks for consulting services in connection with the Company's research and development efforts. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$20,000. On September 23, 2003, the Company issued to its president 32,000,000 shares of its common stock pursuant to an Accord, Satisfaction and Mutual Release in which Mr. Bernstein released all claims against the Company that arose prior to September 24, 2003, including past services rendered in excess of compensation paid. The shares are subject to a three-year lock up agreement and were valued at \$320,000, per the terms of the agreement. In addition, the Company issued its president 5,000,000 shares of common stock in exchange for a \$50,000 promissory note. The shares are subject to a three-year lock up agreement and were valued in accordance with the price determined in the Accord, Satisfaction and Mutual Release. On September 26, 2003, the Company issued 2,000,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. The shares were discounted for illiquidity and restrictions on resale, subject to a

three-year lock up agreement, and were valued at the amount invoiced for the services rendered amounting to \$10,500. On September 23, 2003, the Company issued 22,000,000 shares of common stock in consideration for the assumption of the obligation due by the Company to two attorneys in the amount of \$1,583,128. On September 29, 2003, the Company issued 5,760,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. The shares are subject to a three-year lock-up agreement and were valued at the amount invoiced for the services rendered of \$240,000. On December 17, 2003, the Company issued 3,750 shares of its common stock for services rendered. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$9,375.

F - 40

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, continued

Also during 2003, the Company issued 7,006,479 shares in connection with its Regulation S offering. The shares were discounted for illiquidity and restrictions on resale and were valued at \$131,695, which was netted against the proceeds received from the related offerings. Also during the year, the Company issued 260 shares of its common stock to Mr. Beck and 4,242 shares to the University of Pennsylvania. On December 29, 2003, certain shareholders cancelled 7,440,000 shares of common stock in exchange for receiving 5,440,000 shares of Class D preferred stock.

The value assigned to shares issued for services were charged to operations. Additional shares issued to the University of Pennsylvania were issued pursuant to a non-dilution provision of the agreement between the Company and the University and were valued at par and charged against paid-in capital. Shares issued relating to the Regulation S offering were charged against the related proceeds received.

2004

On January 7, 2004, the Company issued its administrative assistant 25,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$48,125. On February 11, 2004, the Company

cancelled 250,000 shares of its Class D preferred stock in exchange for issuing 250,000 shares of its common stock. On February 12, 2004, the Company issued to two consultants a total of 550,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$1,135,750. On February 12, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 25,000 shares of its common stock as payment on past due invoices. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at the amount of indebtedness cancelled of \$25,000. On March 8, 2004, the Company cancelled 200,000 shares of its Class D preferred stock in exchange for 200,000 shares of its common stock. On March 16, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 25,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$53,550. On March 26, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 25,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$55,125. On April 23, 2004, the Company cancelled 250,000 shares of its Class D preferred stock in exchange for 250,000 shares of its common stock. On May 12, 2004, the Company issued 25,000 shares of its common stock to a note holder as additional consideration for its delay in paying off the principal balance owed (see Note 8). These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$59,500. On May 13, 2004, the Company cancelled 250,000 shares of its Class D preferred stock in exchange for 250,000 shares of its common stock. On May 25, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 10,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$24,150. On June 1, 2004, the Company cancelled 2,700 shares of its Class C preferred stock in exchange for 2,700 shares of its common stock. On June 18, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 120,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and

F - 41

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, continued

were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$285,600. On June 30, 2004, the Company issued an attorney 50,000 shares of its common stock as payment on past due invoices. The shares issued are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at the indebtedness cancelled of \$39,467. On June 30, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 3,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$10,200. On July 27, 2004, the

Company issued 1,000,000 of its common stock to Mr. William Berks, the Company's vice-president, for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$2,380,000. On July 27, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 300 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$1,020. On August 9, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 1,800 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$6,120. On August 16, 2004, the Company issued 1,000 shares of its common stock in connection with its Regulation S offering. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$3,400. On August 16, 2004, the Company issued three consultants a total of 599,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$1,341,760. On August 24, 2004, the Company issued to two consultants a total of 5,600 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$18,200. On September 2, 2004, the Company issued 7,500 shares of its common stock to Mr. William Berks, the Company's vice-president, for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$24,000. On September 28, 2004, the Company issued its outside accountant 1,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$3,020. On October 1, 2004, the Company issued 8,666,666 shares of its common stock in exchange for 7,158,590 shares of Langley Park Investments, PLC. The shares issued were valued at the market price of the shares received of \$12,973,513. On October 1, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 36,923 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$78,572. On October 1, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 1,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$2,128. On October 6, 2004, the Company issued to a consultant 200,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$425,600. On October 13, 2004, the Company cancelled 2,570,000 shares of its Class D preferred stock for 2,570,000 shares of its common stock. On October 15, 2004, the Company issued Joel Freedman, a director and corporate officer, 2,260,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a two-year lockup agreement and were valued at 80% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$4,972,000. On October 15, 2004, the Company issued John Goodman, a director and corporate officer, 1,500,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a two-year lockup agreement and were valued at 80% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$2,760,000. On October 25, 2004, the Company

F-42

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, continued

issued 100,000 shares of its common stock to its outside accountant for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$210,000. On November 22, 2004, the Company issued its administrative assistant 25,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a three-year lockup agreement and were valued at 70% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$39,375. On November 29, 2004, the Company issued an additional 24,800 shares of its common stock to certain shareholders in connection with its Regulation S Offering at no additional consideration than what these shareholders previously paid for the original shares issued. On December 17, 2004, the Company issued to two consultants a total of 175,000 shares of its common stock for services rendered. These shares are subject to a two-year lockup agreement and were valued at 80% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$315,000.

The value assigned to shares issued for services were charged to operations.

NOTE 13 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During 1993, Mr. Bernstein, the Company's president, exercised warrants to purchase six shares of the Company's common stock. Pursuant to the resolution on April 12, 1993, adjusting the per share amount from \$10.00 to \$2.50, Mr. Bernstein paid \$60 and executed a five-year, non-interest bearing note to the Company for \$14,940. The note is non-recourse and secured only by the shares purchased. The promissory note was extended to 2003. In December 2003, Mr. Bernstein returned the six shares to the Company in exchange for the cancellation of the note.

On May 25, 2000, the Company issued its president 4,650 shares its common stock in exchange for \$4,650 and a \$1,855,350 non-recourse promissory note bearing interest at an annual rate of 8%. On the same day, the Company issued 350 shares its common stock to its secretary in exchange for \$350 and a \$139,650 non-recourse promissory note bearing interest at an annual rate of 8%. Both notes mature on May 25, 2005, when the principal and accrued interest becomes fully due and payable. At the date of issuance, the Company valued the shares at \$0.40 per share.

In June 2001, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the reduction in the amount owed by the president and a director on non-recourse promissory notes referred to above to \$460,350 and \$34,650, respectively. The reduction was due to the substantial reduction in the market value of the Company's stock. The \$1,500,000 reduction was charged to general and administrative expense as compensation to the president. In December 2003, the president and secretary returned the 5,000 shares of common stock to the Company in exchange for the cancellation of the notes and accrued interest due totaling \$755,093.

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 13 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS, continued

On October 27, 2000, the Company issued 4,184 shares to its president for future compensation pursuant to a Stock Escrow/Grant Agreement. Under the terms of the agreement, the president is required to hold these shares in escrow. While in escrow, the president cannot vote the shares but has full rights as to cash and non-cash dividends, stock splits or other change in shares. Any additional shares issued to the president by reason of the ownership of the 4,184 shares will also be escrowed under the same terms of the agreement.

Upon the exercise by certain holders of Company options or warrants or upon the need by the Company, in the sole discretion of the Board, to issue common stock to certain individuals or entities, the number of shares required for issuance to these holders will be returned from escrow by the president thereby reducing the number of shares he holds. The shares held in escrow are non-transferable and will be granted to the Company's president only upon the exercise or expiration of all of the options and warrants, the direction of the Board, in its sole discretion, or the mutual agreement by the president and the Board of Directors to terminate the agreement. The Company valued these shares at par. Upon the actual grant of the remaining shares to the president, the shares issued will be valued at their market value when issued and charged to operations as compensation. As of August 31, 2003, 2,222 of these shares were issued to an unrelated third party at which time the Company's Board of Directors eliminated the escrow that granted full rights and interest to the Company's president of the remaining 1,962 shares. The Company treated the vesting of the shares as additional compensation to Mr. Bernstein and valued the shares released from escrow at the market value of the shares on the date the escrow terminated of \$19,617.

During 2002, the Company issued 200,000 shares of its Class B common stock in exchange for the Company's president relinquishing all of the interest that he had in the Company's patents.

On December 18, 2002, the Company issued 13,000 shares of its common stock to its president for past compensation due him. These shares were valued their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$260,000. During 2002, the Company cancelled 1,322 shares of originally issued to Mr. Bernstein pursuant to the Stock Escrow/Grant Agreement.

During 2002, the Company made advances to its president amounting to \$34,826. The outstanding amount due from the president as of December 31, 2002 is \$76,109. The amount of interest credited to operations in 2002 was \$6,682.

During 2003, the Company issued 32,000,000 shares of its common stock and 300,000 shares of Class B common stock to its president for past services rendered and full release of all claims against the Company. The common shares

issued are subject to a three-year lock up agreement and the value assigned to the shares issued were discounted for illiquidity and restrictions on resale at date of issuance amounting to \$320,000, per the Accord, Satisfaction and Mutual Release.

F-44

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 13 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS, continued

During 2003, the Company issued 5,000,000 shares of its common stock in consideration for a promissory note. The value assigned to shares and the related promissory note was discounted for illiquidity and restrictions on resale amounting to \$50,000. The note bears interest at an annual rate of 6% and matures on September 26, 2006, when the \$50,000 plus accrued interest becomes fully due. The balance of the note as of December 31, 2003 amounted to \$51,096. Interest of \$1,096 was credited to operations during 2003.

The outstanding amount due from the president as of December 31, 2003 was \$83,940. The amount of interest credited to operations for 2003 totaled \$7,831.

During 2004, the Company paid its president \$196,000 of the accrued compensation the Company owed him. Mr. Bernstein paid down the loan balance he owed the Company by \$97,450. The remaining balance due from him at December 31, 2004 was \$1,950. Interest credited to operations on this loan for 2004 amounted to \$8,460.

The balance on the stock subscription due from the Company's president at December 31, 2004 totaled \$55,096. Interest credited to operations on this receivable for 2004 amounted to \$4,000.

During 2004, the Company issued 4,767,500 shares of its common stock to three directors as compensation for services. The Company valued the shares at the quoted market price at date of issuance less discounts due to limitations on the transferability of the shares. The aggregate value was \$10,136,000.

NOTE 14 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

Stock Options

The Company has three stock option plans: The 1998 Stock Plan ("the 1998 Plan"), the 2002 Stock Issuance/Stock Plan ("the 2002 Plan") and the 2003 Stock Option, SAR and Stock Bonus Consultant Plan ("the 2003 Plan").

In September 1998, the Company adopted the 1998 Plan and reserved 800,000 shares of its common stock for grant under the plan. Eligible participants include employees, advisors, consultants, and officers who provide services to the Company. The option price is 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock at either the date of grant or such other day as the as the Board may determine. During 2004, 2003 and 2002, there were no options granted under the 1998 Plan. The 1998 Plan expires upon the earlier of all reserved shares being granted or September 10, 2008.

F-45

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 14 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS, continued

In February 2002, the Company adopted the 2002 Plan and reserved 20,000,000 shares of its common stock for grant under the plan. Eligible plan participants include employees, advisors, consultants, and officers who provide services to the Company. The option price is 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock at either the date of grant or such other day as the Board may determine. During 2002, the Company granted options to acquire 13,475,000 shares of the Company's common stock that expired in 2003. There were no options granted under the 2002 Plan in 2004 or 2003. The 2002 Plan expires upon the earlier of all reserved shares being awarded or December 31, 2007.

In September 2003, the Company adopted the 2003 Plan and reserved and 10,000,000 shares of its common stock for grant. Eligible plan participants include independent consultants. The option price shall be no less than 85% of the fair market value of a share of common stock at date of grant. During 2004 and 2003, there were no options granted under the 2003 Plan. The 2003 Plan expires upon the earlier of all reserved shares being granted or September 23, 2006.

The Company also has agreements with two consultants whereby the Company will grant options to purchase shares of its common stock upon the Company increasing its annual revenue by \$5 million in any fiscal year over its revenues in 2002. The collective number of shares to be issued will give the two consultants a fifteen percent interest in the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock. No grants have been made pursuant to these agreements as the Company has not achieved the required revenues. The agreements expire in March 2008.

F-46

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 14 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS, continued

The following is a summary of the various plans' activities:

	1998 Sto	ck Plan	2002 Stc	ck Plan	2003
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares
Outstanding January 1, 2002	275,000	\$ 0.60	-	\$ -	
Granted Exercised	_	_	13,475,000	0.06	
Forfeited Cancelled	(275,000)	0.60	-	-	

Outstanding December 31, 2002 Granted Exercised Forfeited Cancelled	- - - -	- - - -	13,475,000 (13,475,000) 	0.06 - - (0.06) -	
Outstanding December 31, 2003 Granted Exercised Forfeited Cancelled	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -	
Outstanding December 31, 2004	_	\$ – =========	_	\$ – =========	

In determining the fair value of the options granted during the respective years, the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model was used with the following assumptions determined:

	2004	2003	2002
Risk free interest rate	n/a	n/a	4%
Expected life	n/a	n/a	1.5 years
Expected volatility	n/a	n/a	34%

Stock Warrants

As a condition to enter into the Debenture (see Note 9), Palisades required the Company to settle its legal obligation of \$1,583,128 to two attorneys. In 2003, the Company issued 22,000,000 shares of common stock and warrants to acquire up to 30,000,000 shares of common stock for \$0.10 per share to seven investors in settlement of the \$1,583,128 obligation (see Note 11). The warrants contain a provision limiting the exercise of the warrants to a number of shares that do not exceed an amount that would cause the holder of each such warrant to beneficially own 4.99% of the outstanding common stock of the Company. In addition, the warrants are granted only in proportion to the amount ultimately funded under the Debenture as a percentage of the \$1,500,000 face value and expire December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2004, 22,500,000 of these warrants have been granted and are exercisable.

F-47

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 $\,$

NOTE 14 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS, continued

A schedule of warrants outstanding as of December 31 is as follows:

	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	-
Outstanding January 1, 2002 Granted Exercised Expired	- - - -	\$ - - - -	
Outstanding, December 31, 2002 Granted Exercised Expired	 22,532,075 (12,850) (9,200)	(0.50)	
Outstanding, December 31, 2003 Granted Exercised Cancelled	22,510,025 _ (3,300) _	0.10 _ (0.50) _	7 years
Outstanding, December 31, 2004	22,506,725	\$ 0.10	6 years

NOTE 15 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 11, 2005, the Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock to a consultant as compensation for services. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$1,125,000.

On January 31, 2005, the Company issued 400,000 shares of its common stock to two consultants as compensation for services, subject to a thirty-month lock-up. The shares were valued at 75% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$495,000.

On February 17, 2005, the Company issued 750 shares to an individual as reimbursement for fraudulent shares he purchased from an unrelated third party. The shares were valued at their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$1,388.

On March 3, 2005, the Company issued 2,000 shares upon exercise of a warrant at 0.50 per share.

On March 10, 2005, the Company issued 75,000 shares to a consultant as compensation for services, subject to a two-year lockup. The shares were valued at 80% of their quoted market price at date of issuance amounting to \$90,000.

F-48

MATERIAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Years Ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002

NOTE 15 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS, continued

On March 10, 2005, the Company sold its remaining saleable 1,000,000 shares of Langley common stock for proceeds of \$285,516.

F-49