CANADIAN IMPERIAL BANK OF COMMERCE /CAN/ Form 424B2 January 03, 2018

> Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(2) Registration No. 333-216286

PRICING SUPPLEMENT No. WF-42 dated December 29, 2017

(To Prospectus Supplement dated March 28, 2017

and Prospectus dated March 28, 2017)

Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce

Senior Global Medium-Term Notes (Structured Notes)

Market Linked Securities Leveraged Upside Participation to a Cap and Fixed Percentage Buffered Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

¢	Linked to the Russell 2000® Index	K .
¢	amount of principal at maturity. Inst greater than, equal to or less than t	securities do not pay interest at a specified rate or repay a fixed tead, the securities provide for a payment at maturity that may be the principal amount of the securities, depending on the performance of its ending level. The payment at maturity will reflect the following
	¢	If the level of the Index increases, you will receive the principal amount plus 200% participation in the upside performance of the Index, subject to a maximum total return at maturity of 10.5% of the principal amount
	¢	If the level of the Index decreases but the decrease is not more than 7.5%, you will be repaid the principal amount
	¢	If the level of the Index decreases by more than 7.5%, you will receive less than the principal amount and have 1-to-1 downside exposure to the decrease in the level of the Index in excess of 7.5%
¢	Investors may lose up to 92.5% of t	the principal amount
¢	you will have no ability to pursue ar	subject to the credit risk of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce and my securities included in the Index for payment; if Canadian Imperial obligations, you could lose all or some of your investment
¢	No periodic interest payments or di	vidends
¢	No exchange listing; designed to be	e held to maturity

The securities have complex features and investing in the securities involves risks not associated with an investment in conventional debt securities. See Risk Factors herein.

The securities are unsecured obligations of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce and all payments on the securities are subject to the credit risk of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce. The securities will not constitute deposits insured by the Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation, the U.S. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency or instrumentality of Canada, the United States or any other jurisdiction.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) nor any state or provincial securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this pricing supplement or the accompanying prospectus supplement and prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

	Principal amount(1)	Underwriting Discount and Commission(2)	Proceeds to Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce
Per Security	\$1,000.00	\$2.40	\$ 997.60
Total	\$6,306,000.00	\$15,134.40	\$6,290,865.60

- (1) Our estimated value of the securities on the pricing date, based on our internal pricing models, is \$991.50 per security. The estimated value is expected to be less than the principal amount of the securities. See The Estimated Value of the Securities in this pricing supplement.
- (2) The agent, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, will receive an underwriting discount of \$2.40 per security. The agent may resell the securities to other securities dealers at the principal amount less a concession not in excess of \$1.40 per security. Such securities dealers may include Wells Fargo Advisors (WFA) (the trade name of the retail brokerage business of Wells Fargo Clearing Services, LLC and Wells Fargo Advisors Financial Network, LLC, each an affiliate of Wells Fargo Securities, LLC). See Use of Proceeds and Hedging and Supplemental Plan of Distribution in this pricing supplement for information regarding how we may hedge our obligations under the securities.

Wells Fargo Securities

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

ABOUT THIS PRICING SUPPLEMENT

You should read this pricing supplement together with the prospectus dated March 28, 2017 and the prospectus supplement dated March 28, 2017, relating to our Senior Global Medium-Term Notes (Structured Notes), of which these securities are a part, for additional information about the securities. Information included in this pricing supplement supersedes information in the prospectus supplement and prospectus to the extent it is different from that information. Certain defined terms used but not defined herein have the meanings set forth in the prospectus supplement and prospectus.

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference in this pricing supplement, the accompanying prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. This pricing supplement may be used only for the purpose for which it has been prepared. No one is authorized to give information other than that contained in this pricing supplement, the accompanying prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, and in the documents referred to in this pricing supplement, the prospectus supplement and the prospectus and which are made available to the public. We have not, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (Wells Fargo Securities) has not, authorized any other person to provide you with different or additional information. If anyone provides you with different or additional information, you should not rely on it.

We are not, and Wells Fargo Securities is not, making an offer to sell the securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should not assume that the information contained in or incorporated by reference in this pricing supplement, the accompanying prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date of the applicable document. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since that date. Neither this pricing supplement, nor the accompanying prospectus supplement, nor the accompanying prospectus constitutes an offer, or an invitation on our behalf or on behalf of Wells Fargo Securities, to subscribe for and purchase any of the securities and may not be used for or in connection with an offer or solicitation by anyone in any jurisdiction in which such an offer or solicitation is not authorized or to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such an offer or solicitation.

References to CIBC, the Issuer, the Bank, we, us and our in this pricing supplement are references to Canadian Imperial Bank of Comme not to any of our subsidiaries, unless we state otherwise or the context otherwise requires.

You may access the prospectus supplement and prospectus on the SEC website www.sec.gov as follows (or if such address has changed, by reviewing our filing for the relevant date on the SEC website):

• Prospectus Supplement dated March 28, 2017 and Prospectus dated March 28, 2017 filed with the SEC on March 28, 2017:

https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1045520/000110465917019619/a17-8647 1424b3.htm

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

INVESTMENT DESCRIPTION

The Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019 (the securities) are senior unsecured debt securities of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce that do not pay interest at a specified rate or repay a fixed amount of principal at maturity. Instead, the securities provide for a payment at maturity that may be greater than, equal to or less than the principal amount of the securities depending on the performance of the Russell 2000® Index (the Index) from its starting level on the pricing date to its ending level on the calculation date. The securities provide:

from its starting level on the pricing date to it	ts ending level on the calculation date. The securities provide:
*	everaged return at maturity if the level of the Index increases from its at the total return at maturity of the securities will not exceed the pal amount;
(ii) repayment of principal if, and by more than 7.5%; and	nd only if, the ending level of the Index is not less than the starting level
(iii) 1-to-1 downside exposure to less than the starting level by more than 7.5%	decreases in the level of the Index if and to the extent the ending level is
	nore than 7.5%, you will receive at maturity less, and up to 92.5% less, than the son the securities are subject to the credit risk of Canadian Imperial Bank

The Index is designed to track the performance of the small capitalization segment of the U.S. equity market.

The Index was developed by Russell Investments and is calculated, maintained and published by Russell Investments. Russell 2000® Index is a trademark of Russell Investments and has been licensed for use by Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce. The securities are not sponsored, endorsed, sold, or promoted by Russell Investments and Russell Investments makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in the securities. See The Russell 2000® Index in this pricing

Edgar Filing: CANADIAN IMPERIAL BANK OF COMMERCE /CAN/ - Form 424B2 supplement for additional information.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

INVESTOR CONSIDERATIONS

We have designed the securities for investors who:
• seek 200% leveraged exposure to any upside performance of the Index if the ending level is greater than the starting level, subject to the maximum total return at maturity of 10.5% of the principal amount;
• desire to limit downside exposure to the Index through the 7.5% buffer;
• understand that if the ending level is less than the starting level by more than 7.5%, they will receive at maturity less, and up to 92.5% less, than the principal amount per security;
• are willing to forgo periodic interest payments on the securities and dividends on securities included in the Index; and
are willing to hold the securities until maturity.
The securities are not designed for, and may not be a suitable investment for, investors who:
• seek a liquid investment or are unable or unwilling to hold the securities to maturity;

are unwilling to accept the risk that the ending level of the Index may decrease by more than 7.5% from the

starting level;

•	seek uncapped exposure to the upside performance of the Index;
•	seek full return at maturity of the principal amount of the securities;
• principa	are unwilling to purchase securities with an estimated value as of the pricing date that is lower than the l amount;
•	seek current income (including income in the form of periodic interest payments);
•	are unwilling to accept the risk of exposure to the small capitalization segment of the U.S. equity market;
• stated m	seek exposure to the Index but are unwilling to accept the risk/return trade-offs inherent in the payment at atturity for the securities;
• Index ge	are unwilling to accept the credit risk of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce to obtain exposure to the enerally, or to the exposure to the Index that the securities provide specifically; or
• compara	prefer the lower risk of fixed income investments with comparable maturities issued by companies with able credit ratings.
	PRS-4

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

TERMS OF THE SECURITIES

The information in this Terms of the Securities section is only a summary and is qualified by the more detailed information set forth in this pricing supplement, the prospectus supplement dated March 28, 2017 and the prospectus dated March 28, 2017, each filed with the SEC. See About This Pricing Supplement in this pricing supplement.

Market Measure:	Russell 2000® Index (Bloomberg ticker symbol RTY)
Pricing Date:	December 29, 2017
Issue Date:	January 5, 2018
Principal Amount:	\$1,000 per security. References in this pricing supplement to a security are to a security with a face amount of \$1,000.
Redemption Amount:	On the stated maturity date, you will be entitled to receive a cash payment per security in U.S. dollars equal to the redemption amount. The redemption amount per security will equal:
	• if the ending level is greater than the starting level: the lesser of:

	(i) \$1,000 plus:
	(ii) the control valve.
	(ii) the capped value;
	• if the ending level is less than or equal to the starting level, but greater than or equal to the threshold level: \$1,000; or
	• if the ending level is less than the threshold level: \$1,000 minus:
	If the ending level is less than the threshold level, you will receive at stated maturity less, and up to 92.5% less, than the principal amount of your securities.
tated Maturity Date:	January 3, 2019. If a market disruption event occurs and is continuing on the calculation date, the stated maturity date will be postponed until the later of (i) January 3, 2019 and (ii) three business days after the ending level is determined. See Additional Terms of the Securities Market Disruption Events in this pricing supplement. The securities are not subject to redemption at the option of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce or repayment at the option of any holder of the securities prior to the stated maturity date.

Market Linked Securities Leveraged Upside Participation

to a Cap and Fixed Percentage Buffered Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

Starting Level:	1535.511, the closing level of the Index on the pricing date. The closing level of the Index on any trading day means the official closing level of the Index as reported by the Index sponsor (as defined below) on such trading day.
Ending Level:	The ending level will be the closing level of the Index on the calculation date.
Capped Value:	The capped value is 110.5% of the principal amount per security (\$1,105 per security). As a result of the capped value, the maximum total return at maturity of the securities is 10.5% of the principal amount.
Threshold Level:	1420.347675, which is equal to 92.5% of the starting level.
Participation Rate:	200%
Calculation Date:	December 28, 2018 or, if such day is not a trading day, the next succeeding trading day. The calculation date is subject to postponement due to the occurrence of a market disruption event. See Additional Terms of the Securities Market Disruption Events in this pricing supplement. A trading day means a day as determined by the calculation agent, on
	Disruption Events in this pricing supplement. A trading day means a day, as determined by the calculation agent, on which (i) the relevant stock exchanges with respect to each security underlying the Index are scheduled to be open for trading for their respective regular trading sessions and (ii) each related futures or options exchange is scheduled to be open for trading for its regular trading session. The relevant stock exchange for any security underlying the Index means the primary exchange or quotation system on which such security is traded, as determined by the calculation agent. The related futures or options exchange for the Index means an exchange or quotation system where trading has a material effect (as determined by the calculation agent) on the overall market for futures or options contracts relating to the Index.

Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce. We may appoint a different calculation agent without your consent and without notifying you.

Calculation Agent:

All determinations made by the calculation agent will be at the sole discretion of it, and, in the absence of manifest error, will be conclusive for all purposes and binding on us and you. All percentages and other amounts resulting from any calculation with respect to the securities will be rounded at the calculation agent s discretion. The calculation agent will have no liability for its determinations.

A Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday or Friday that is neither a legal holiday nor a day on which banking institutions are authorized or obligated by law, regulation or order to close in New York or Toronto.

Business Day:

No Listing:

The securities will not be listed on any securities exchange or quoted on any automated quotation system.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

Agent:

Securities).

Clearance and Settlement:	The Depository Trust Company (DTC)
Material U.S. Tax Consequences:	By purchasing the securities, each holder agrees to treat them as pre-paid cash-settled derivative contracts for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Assuming this treatment is respected, gain or loss recognized on the securities should be treated as short-term capital gain or loss. However, if the Internal Revenue Service were successful in asserting an alternative treatment of the securities, the tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of the securities might be materially and adversely affected. As described below under Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations, the U.S. Treasury Department and the Internal Revenue Service released a notice requesting comments on various issues regarding the U.S. federal income tax treatment of prepaid forward contracts and similar instruments. Any Treasury regulations or other guidance promulgated after consideration of these issues could materially and adversely affect the tax consequences of an investment in the securities, including the character and timing of income or loss and the degree, if any, to which income realized by non-U.S. persons should be subject to withholding tax, possibly with retroactive effect. Both U.S. and non-U.S. persons considering an investment in the securities should review carefully the section of this pricing supplement entitled Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations and consult their tax advisers regarding the U.S. federal tax consequences of an investment in the securities (including possible alternative treatments and the issues presented by the notice), as well as tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or non-U.S. taxing jurisdiction.
Benefit Plan Investor Considerations:	For a discussion of benefit plan investor considerations, please see Certain U.S. Benefit Plan Investor Considerations in the accompanying prospectus. Wells Fargo Securities. The agent may resell the securities to other securities dealers, including securities dealers acting
	as custodians, at the principal amount of the securities less a concession of not in excess of \$1.40 per security. Such securities dealers may include Wells Fargo Advisors (WFA) (the trade name of the retail brokerage business of Wells

Fargo Clearing Services, LLC and Wells Fargo Advisors Financial Network, LLC, each an affiliate of Wells Fargo

Denominations:	\$1,000 and any integral multiple of \$1,000.
CUSIP / ISIN:	13605WHE4 / US13605WHE49

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

DETERMINING PAYMENT AT MATURITY

On the stated maturity date, you will receive a cash payment per security (the redemption amount) calculated as follows:

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

HYPOTHETICAL PAYOUT PROFILE

The following profile is based on a capped value of 110.50% or \$1,105 per security, a participation rate of 200% and a threshold level equal to 92.5% of the starting level. This graph has been prepared for purposes of illustration only. Your actual return will depend on the actual ending level and whether you hold your securities to maturity.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

RISK FACTORS

The securities have complex features and investing in the securities will involve risks not associated with an investment in conventional debt securities or in the Index. You should carefully consider the risk factors set forth below as well as the other information contained in this pricing supplement and the accompanying prospectus supplement and prospectus, including the documents they incorporate by reference. As described in more detail below, the value of the securities may vary considerably before the stated maturity date due to events that are difficult to predict and are beyond our control. You should reach an investment decision only after you have carefully considered with your advisors the suitability of an investment in the securities in light of your particular circumstances.

If The Ending Level Is Less Than The Threshold Level, You Will Receive At Maturity Less, And Up To 92.5% Less, Than The Principal Amount Of Your Securities.

We will not repay you a fixed amount on the securities on the stated maturity date. The redemption amount will depend on the direction of and percentage change in the ending level of the Index relative to the starting level and the other terms of the securities. Because the level of the Index will be subject to market fluctuations, the redemption amount you receive may be more or less, and possibly significantly less, than the principal amount of your securities.

If the ending level is less than the threshold level, the redemption amount that you receive at stated maturity will be reduced by an amount equal to the decline in the level of the Index to the extent it is below the threshold level (expressed as a percentage of the starting level). The threshold level is 92.5% of the starting level. As a result, you may receive less, and up to 92.5% less, than the principal amount per security at maturity even if the level of the Index is greater than or equal to the starting level or the threshold level at certain times during the term of the securities.

Even if the ending level is greater than the starting level, the amount you receive at stated maturity may only be slightly greater than the principal amount, and your yield on the securities may be less than the yield you would earn if you bought a traditional interest-bearing debt security of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce or another issuer with a similar credit rating with the same stated maturity date.

Your Return Will Be Limited By The Capped Value And May Be Lower Than The Return On A Direct Investment In The Index.

The opportunity to participate in the possible increases in the level of the Index through an investment in the securities will be limited because the redemption amount will not exceed the capped value. Furthermore, the effect of the participation rate will be progressively reduced for all ending levels exceeding the ending level at which the capped value is reached.

Your Return On The Securities Could Be Less Than If You Owned Securities Included In The Index.

Your return on the securities will not reflect the return you would realize if you actually owned the securities included in the Index and received the dividends and other payments paid on those securities. This is in part because the redemption amount payable at stated maturity will be determined by reference to the ending level of the Index, which will be calculated by reference to the prices of the securities in the Index without taking into consideration the value of dividends and other payments paid on those securities. In addition, the redemption amount will not be greater than the capped value.

No Periodic Interest Will Be Paid On The Securities.

No periodic interest will be paid on the securities. However, because it is possible that the securities may be classified for U.S. federal income tax purposes as contingent payment debt instruments rather than prepaid forward contracts, you may be required to accrue interest income over the term of your securities. See Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations in this pricing supplement.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

The Securities Are Subject To The Credit Risk Of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce.

The securities are our obligations exclusively and are not, either directly or indirectly, an obligation of any third party. Any amounts payable under the securities are subject to our creditworthiness, and you will have no ability to pursue any securities included in the Index for payment. As a result, our actual and perceived creditworthiness and actual or anticipated decreases in our credit ratings may affect the value of the securities and, in the event we were to default on our obligations, you may not receive any amounts owed to you under the terms of the securities.

The Estimated Value Of The Securities Is Not An Indication Of The Price, If Any, At Which Wells Fargo Securities Or Any Other Person May Be Willing To Buy The Securities From You In The Secondary Market.

The price, if any, at which Wells Fargo Securities or any of its affiliates may purchase the securities in the secondary market will be based on Wells Fargo Securities proprietary pricing models and will fluctuate over the term of the securities as a result of changes in the market and other factors described in the next risk factor. Any such secondary market price for the securities will also be reduced by a bid-offer spread, which may vary depending on the aggregate principal amount of the securities to be purchased in the secondary market transaction, and the expected cost of unwinding any related hedging transactions. Unless the factors described in the next risk factor change significantly in your favor, any such secondary market price for the securities will likely be less than the principal amount.

If Wells Fargo Securities or any of its affiliates makes a secondary market in the securities at any time up to the issue date or during the three-month period following the issue date, the secondary market price offered by Wells Fargo Securities or any of its affiliates will be increased by an amount reflecting a portion of the costs associated with selling, structuring, hedging and issuing the securities that are included in the principal amount. Because this portion of the costs is not fully deducted upon issuance, any secondary market price offered by Wells Fargo Securities or any of its affiliates during this period will be higher than it would be if it were based solely on Wells Fargo Securities proprietary pricing models less the bid-offer spread and hedging unwind costs described above. The amount of this increase in the secondary market price will decline steadily to zero over this three-month period. If you hold the securities through an account at Wells Fargo Securities or one of its affiliates, we expect that this increase will also be reflected in the value indicated for the securities on your brokerage account statement. If you hold your securities through an account at a broker-dealer other than Wells Fargo Securities or any of its affiliates, the value of the securities on your brokerage account statement may be different than if you held your securities at Wells Fargo Securities or any of its affiliates.

The Value Of The Securities Prior To Stated Maturity Will Be Affected By Numerous Factors, Some Of Which Are Related In Complex Ways.

The value of the securities prior to stated maturity will be affected by the level of the Index at that time, interest rates at that time and a number of other factors, some of which are interrelated in complex ways. The effect of any one factor may be offset or magnified by the effect of another

factor. The following factors, among others, are expected to affect the value of the securities. When we refer to the value of your security, we mean the value you could receive for your security if you are able to sell it in the open market before the stated maturity date.

- **Index Performance.** The value of the securities prior to maturity will depend substantially on the level of the Index. The price at which you may be able to sell the securities before stated maturity may be at a discount, which could be substantial, from their principal amount, if the level of the Index at such time is less than, equal to or not sufficiently above its starting level.
- Capped Value. We anticipate that the value of the securities will always be at a discount to the capped value.
- Interest Rates. The value of the securities may be affected by changes in the interest rates in the U.S. markets.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

- **Volatility Of The Index.** Volatility is the term used to describe the size and frequency of market fluctuations. The value of the securities may be affected if the volatility of the Index changes.
- Time Remaining To Maturity. The value of the securities at any given time prior to maturity will likely be different from that which would be expected based on the then-current level of the Index. This difference will most likely reflect a discount due to expectations and uncertainty concerning the level of the Index during the period of time still remaining to the maturity date. In general, as the time remaining to maturity decreases, the value of the securities will approach the amount that could be payable at maturity based on the then-current level of the Index.
- **Dividend Yields On Securities Included In The Index.** The value of the securities may be affected by the dividend yields on securities included in the Index.
- Events Involving Companies Included In The Index. General economic conditions and earnings results of the companies whose stocks are included in the Index and real or anticipated changes in those conditions or results may affect the value of the securities. Additionally, as a result of a merger or acquisition, one or more of the stocks in the Index may be replaced with a surviving or acquiring entity s securities. The surviving or acquiring entity s securities may not have the same characteristics as the stock originally included in the Index.
- Our Credit Ratings, Financial Condition And Results Of Operation. Actual or anticipated changes in our credit ratings, financial condition or results of operation may affect the value of the securities. However, because the return on the securities is dependent upon factors in addition to our ability to pay our obligations under the securities, such as the level of the Index, an improvement in our credit ratings, financial condition or results of operation will not reduce the other investment risks related to the securities.

You should understand that the impact of one of the factors specified above, such as a change in interest rates, may offset some or all of any change in the value of the securities attributable to another factor, such as a change in the level of the Index.

Our Estimated Value Of The Securities Is Lower Than The Principal Amount Of The Securities.

Our estimated value is only an estimate using several factors. The principal amount of the securities exceeds our estimated value because costs associated with selling and structuring the securities, as well as hedging the securities, are included in the principal amount of the securities. See The Estimated Value of the Securities in this pricing supplement.

Our Estimated Value Does Not Represent Future Values Of The Securities And May Differ From Others Estimates.

Our estimated value of the securities was determined by reference to our internal pricing models when the terms of the securities were set. This estimated value was based on market conditions and other relevant factors existing at that time and our assumptions about market parameters, which can include volatility, dividend rates, interest rates and other factors. Different pricing models and assumptions could provide valuations for the securities that are greater than or less than our estimated value. In addition, market conditions and other relevant factors in the future may change, and any assumptions may prove to be incorrect. On future dates, the value of the securities could change significantly based on, among other things, changes in market conditions, our creditworthiness, interest rate movements and other relevant factors, which may impact the price, if any, at which Wells Fargo Securities or any other person would be willing to buy securities from you in secondary market transactions. See

The Estimated Value of the Securities in this pricing supplement.

Our Estimated Value Was Not Determined By Reference To Credit Spreads For Our Conventional Fixed-Rate Debt.

The internal funding rate used in the determination of our estimated value generally represents a discount from the credit spreads for our conventional fixed-rate debt. If we were to have used the interest rate implied by our conventional fixed-rate credit spreads, we would expect the economic terms of the securities to be more favorable to you. Consequently, our use of an internal funding rate had an adverse effect on the terms of the securities and could have an adverse effect on any secondary market prices of the securities. See The Estimated Value of the Securities in this pricing supplement.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

The Securities Will Not Be Listed On Any Securities Exchange And We Do Not Expect A Trading Market For The Securities To Develop.

The securities will not be listed or displayed on any securities exchange or any automated quotation system. Although Wells Fargo Securities and/or its affiliates may purchase the securities from holders, they are not obligated to do so and are not required to make a market for the securities. There can be no assurance that a secondary market will develop. Because we do not expect that any market makers will participate in a secondary market for the securities, the price at which you may be able to sell your securities is likely to depend on the price, if any, at which Wells Fargo Securities and/or its affiliates are willing to buy your securities.

If a secondary market does exist, it may be limited. Accordingly, there may be a limited number of buyers if you decide to sell your securities prior to stated maturity. This may affect the price you receive upon such sale. Consequently, you should be willing to hold the securities to stated maturity.

Historical Levels Of The Index Should Not Be Taken As An Indication Of The Future Performance Of The Index During The Term Of The Securities.

The trading prices of the securities included in the Index will determine the redemption amount payable at maturity to you. As a result, it is impossible to predict whether the ending level of the Index will fall or rise compared to its starting level. Trading prices of the securities included in the Index will be influenced by complex and interrelated political, economic, financial and other factors that can affect the markets in which those securities are traded and the values of those securities themselves. Accordingly, any historical levels of the Index do not provide an indication of the future performance of the Index.

Changes That Affect The Index May Adversely Affect The Value Of The Securities And The Amount You Will Receive At Stated Maturity.

The policies of the Index sponsor concerning the calculation of the Index and the addition, deletion or substitution of securities comprising the Index and the manner in which the Index sponsor takes account of certain changes affecting such securities may affect the level of the Index and, therefore, may affect the value of the securities and the redemption amount payable at maturity. The Index sponsor may discontinue or suspend calculation or dissemination of the Index or materially alter the methodology by which it calculates the Index. Any such actions could adversely affect the value of the securities.

We Cannot Control Actions By Any Of The Unaffiliated Companies Whose Securities Are Included In The Index.

Actions by any company whose securities are included in the Index may have an adverse effect on the price of its security, the ending level and the value of the securities. These companies will not be involved in the offering of the securities and will have no obligations with respect to the securities, including any obligation to take our or your interests into consideration for any reason. These companies will not receive any of the proceeds of the offering of the securities and will not be responsible for, and will not have participated in, the determination of the timing of, prices for, or quantities of, the securities to be issued. These companies will not be involved with the administration, marketing or trading of the securities and will have no obligations with respect to the redemption amount to be paid to you at maturity.

We, Wells Fargo Securities, And Our Respective Affiliates Have No Affiliation With The Index Sponsor And Have Not Independently Verified Its Public Disclosure Of Information.

We, Wells Fargo Securities, and our respective affiliates are not affiliated in any way with the Index sponsor and have no ability to control or predict its actions, including any errors in or discontinuation of disclosure regarding the methods or policies relating to the calculation of the Index. We have derived the information about the Index sponsor and the Index contained herein from publicly available information, without independent verification. You, as an investor in the securities, should make your own investigation into the Index and the Index sponsor. The Index sponsor is not involved in the offering of the securities made hereby in any way and has no obligation to consider your interest as an owner of securities in taking any actions that might affect the value of the securities.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

An Investment In The Securities Is Subject To Risks Associated With Investing In U.S. Stocks With A Small Market Capitalization.

The stocks that constitute the Index are issued by companies with relatively small market capitalization. These companies often have greater stock price volatility, lower trading volume and less liquidity than large capitalization companies. As a result, the Index may be more volatile than that of an equity index that does not track solely small capitalization stocks. Stock prices of small capitalization companies are also generally more vulnerable than those of large capitalization companies to adverse business and economic developments, and the stocks of small capitalization companies may be thinly traded, and be less attractive to many investors if they do not pay dividends. In addition, small capitalization companies are typically less well-established and less stable financially than large capitalization companies and may depend on a small number of key personnel, making them more vulnerable to loss of those individuals. Small capitalization companies tend to have lower revenues, less diverse product lines, smaller shares of their target markets, fewer financial resources and fewer competitive strengths than large capitalization companies. These companies may also be more susceptible to adverse developments related to their products or services.

The Stated Maturity Date May Be Postponed In Certain Circumstances.

The determination of the ending level will be postponed if the originally scheduled calculation date is not a trading day or if the calculation agent determines that a market disruption event has occurred or is continuing on that day. If such a postponement occurs, the stated maturity date will be postponed until the later of (i) three business days after the ending level is determined and (ii) the initial stated maturity date.

We Or One Of Our Affiliates Will Be The Calculation Agent And, As A Result, Potential Conflicts Of Interest Could Arise.

We or one of our affiliates will be the calculation agent for purposes of determining, among other things, the starting level and the ending level, calculating the redemption amount, determining whether adjustments should be made to the ending level, determining whether a market disruption event has occurred and, if publication of the Index is discontinued, selecting a successor or, if no successor is available, determining the closing level. Although the calculation agent will exercise its judgment in good faith when performing its functions, potential conflicts of interest may exist between the calculation agent and you.

Our Economic Interests And Those Of Any Dealer Participating In The Offering Of Securities Will Potentially Be Adverse To Your Interests.

You should be aware of the following ways in which our economic interests and those of any dealer participating in the distribution of the securities, which we refer to as a participating dealer, will potentially be adverse to your interests as an investor in the securities. In engaging in certain of the activities described below, our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates may take actions that may adversely affect the value of and your return on the securities, and in so doing they will have no obligation to consider your interests as an investor in the securities. Our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates may realize a profit from these activities even if investors do not receive a favorable

investment return on the securities.

- Research reports by our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates may be inconsistent with an investment in the securities and may adversely affect the level of the Index. Our affiliates or any dealer participating in the offering of the securities or its affiliates may, at present or in the future, publish research reports on the Index or the companies whose securities are included in the Index. This research will be modified from time to time without notice and may, at present or in the future, express opinions or provide recommendations that are inconsistent with purchasing or holding the securities. Any research reports on the Index or the companies whose securities are included in the Index could adversely affect the level of the Index and, therefore, adversely affect the value of and your return on the securities. You are encouraged to derive information concerning the Index from multiple sources and should not rely on the views expressed by us or our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates. In addition, any research reports on the Index or the companies whose securities are included in the Index published on or prior to the pricing date could result in an increase in the level of the Index on the pricing date, which would adversely affect investors in the securities by increasing the level at which the Index must close on the calculation date in order for investors in the securities to receive a favorable return.
- Business activities of our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates with the companies whose securities are included in the Index may adversely affect the level of the Index. Our affiliates or any participating dealer

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

or its affiliates may, at present or in the future, engage in business with the companies whose securities are included in the Index, including making loans to those companies (including exercising creditors remedies with respect to such loans), making equity investments in those companies or providing investment banking, asset management or other advisory services to those companies. These business activities could adversely affect the level of the Index and, therefore, adversely affect the value of and your return on the securities. In addition, in the course of these business activities, our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates may acquire non-public information about one or more of the companies whose securities are included in the Index. If our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates do acquire such non-public information, we and they are not obligated to disclose such non-public information to you.

- Hedging activities by our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates may adversely affect the level of the Index. We expect to hedge our obligations under the securities through one or more hedge counterparties, which may include our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates. Pursuant to such hedging activities, our hedge counterparty may acquire securities included in the Index or listed or over-the-counter derivative or synthetic instruments related to the Index or such securities. Depending on, among other things, future market conditions, the aggregate amount and the composition of such positions are likely to vary over time. To the extent that our hedge counterparty has a long hedge position in any of the securities included in the Index, or derivative or synthetic instruments related to the Index or such securities, they may liquidate a portion of such holdings at or about the time of the calculation date or at or about the time of a change in the securities included in the Index. These hedging activities could potentially adversely affect the level of the Index and, therefore, adversely affect the value of and your return on the securities.
- Trading activities by our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates may adversely affect the level of the Index. Our affiliates or any participating dealer or its affiliates may engage in trading in the securities included in the Index and other instruments relating to the Index or such securities on a regular basis as part of their general broker-dealer and other businesses. Any of these trading activities could potentially adversely affect the prices of the securities included in the Index and, therefore, adversely affect the value of and your return on the securities.
- A participating dealer or its affiliates may realize hedging profits projected by its proprietary pricing models in addition to any selling concession or any distribution expense fee, creating a further incentive for the participating dealer to sell the securities to you. If any participating dealer or any of its affiliates conducts hedging activities for us in connection with the securities, that participating dealer or its affiliates will expect to realize a projected profit from such hedging activities, and this projected profit will be in addition to any concession or distribution expense fee that the participating dealer receives for the sale of the securities to you. This additional projected profit may create a further incentive for the participating dealer to sell the securities to you.

There is no direct legal authority regarding the proper U.S. federal tax treatment of the securities, and we do not plan to request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service. Consequently, significant aspects of the tax treatment of the securities are uncertain, and the Internal Revenue Service or a court might not agree with the treatment of the securities as pre-paid cash-settled derivative contracts. If the Internal Revenue Service were successful in asserting an alternative treatment of the securities, the tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of the securities might be materially and adversely affected. As described below under Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations, the U.S. Treasury Department and the Internal Revenue Service released a notice requesting comments on various issues regarding the U.S. federal income tax treatment of prepaid forward contracts and similar instruments. Any Treasury regulations or other guidance promulgated after consideration of these issues could materially and adversely affect the tax consequences of an investment in the securities, including the character and timing of income or loss and the degree, if any, to which income realized by non-U.S. persons should be subject to withholding tax, possibly with retroactive effect.

Furthermore, Section 871(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder (Section 871(m)) imposes a withholding tax of up to 30% on dividend equivalents paid to non-U.S. investors in respect of certain financial instruments linked to U.S. equities. An IRS notice provides a general exemption for non-delta-one financial instruments issued prior to 2019. In addition, Section 871(m) does not apply to derivatives that reference qualified indices. Based on these rules, the securities should not be subject to withholding under Section 871(m). However, the IRS could challenge this conclusion.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

Both U.S. and non-U.S. persons considering an investment in the securities should review carefully the section of this pricing supplement entitled. Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations and consult their tax advisers regarding the U.S. federal tax consequences of an investment in the securities (including possible alternative treatments and the issues presented by the notice), as well as tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local or non-U.S. taxing jurisdiction.

There Can Be No Assurance That The Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences Of An Investment In The Securities Will Not Change In The Future.

There can be no assurance that Canadian federal income tax laws, the judicial interpretation thereof, or the administrative policies and assessing practices of the Canada Revenue Agency will not be changed in a manner that adversely affects investors. For a discussion of the Canadian federal income tax consequences of investing in the securities, please read the section entitled Certain Canadian Federal Income Tax Considerations in this pricing supplement as well as the section entitled Material Income Tax Consequences Canadian Taxation in the accompanying prospectus dated March 28, 2017. You should consult your tax advisor with respect to your own particular situation.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

HYPOTHETICAL RETURNS

The following table illustrates, for a capped value of 110.5% or \$1,105.00 per security, a starting level of 1535.511, a participation rate of 200%, a term to maturity of approximately 1 year and a range of hypothetical ending levels of the Index:

- the hypothetical percentage change from the starting level to the hypothetical ending level;
- the hypothetical redemption amount payable at stated maturity per security;
- the hypothetical pre-tax total rate of return; and
- the hypothetical pre-tax annualized rate of return.

Hypothetical Ending Level	Hypothetical Percentage Change from the Starting Level to the Hypothetical Ending Level	Hypothetical Redemption Amount Payable at Stated Maturity per \$1,000 Note	Hypothetical Pre-Tax Total Rate of Return	Hypothetical Pre-Tax Annualized Rate of Return(1)
2687.144	75.00%	\$1,105.00	10.50%	10.30%
2303.267	50.00%	\$1,105.00	10.50%	10.30%
2072.940	35.00%	\$1,105.00	10.50%	10.30%
1996.164	30.00%	\$1,105.00	10.50%	10.30%
1842.613	20.00%	\$1,105.00	10.50%	10.30%
1689.062	10.00%	\$1,105.00	10.50%	10.30%
1612.287	5.00%	\$1,100.00	10.00%	9.82%
1535.511(2)	0.00%	\$1,000.00	0.00%	0.00%
1458.735	-5.00%	\$1,000.00	0.00%	0.00%
1420.348	-7.50%	\$1,000.00	0.00%	0.00%
1381.960	-10.00%	\$975.00	-2.50%	-2.53%
1305.184	-15.00%	\$925.00	-7.50%	-7.69%
1228.409	-20.00%	\$875.00	-12.50%	-12.99%
1151.633	-25.00%	\$825.00	-17.50%	-18.44%
767.756	-50.00%	\$575.00	-42.50%	-48.57%
383.848	-75.00%	\$325.00	-67.50%	-86.33%

0.00	-100.00%	\$75.00	-92.50%	-145.62%

- (1) The annualized rates of return are calculated on a semi-annual bond equivalent basis with compounding.
- (2) The starting level.

The above figures are for purposes of illustration only and may have been rounded for ease of analysis. The actual amount you receive at stated maturity and the resulting pre-tax rates of return will depend on the actual ending level.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

HYPOTHETICAL PAYMENTS AT STATED MATURITY

Set forth below are four examples of payment at stated maturity calculations (rounded to two decimal places), reflecting a capped value of 110.5% or \$1,105 per security, a participation rate of 200%, and a threshold level of 92.5% of the starting level, and assuming hypothetical ending levels as indicated in the examples.

ending levels as indicated in the examples.
Example 1. Redemption amount is greater than the principal amount but less than the capped value:
Starting level: 1535.511
Hypothetical ending level: 1612.287
Since the hypothetical ending level is greater than the starting level, the redemption amount would equal:

On the stated maturity date you would receive \$1,100.00 per security.

Example 2. Redemption amount is equal to the capped value:

Starting level: 1535.511

2aga: 1 milg: 67 ii ii 27 ii ii 27 ii ii 2 27 ii ii 2 27 ii ii 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Hypothetical ending level: 1996.164
The redemption amount would be equal to the capped value since the capped value is less than:
On the stated maturity date you would receive \$1,105.00 per security.
In addition to limiting your return on the securities, the capped value limits the positive effect of the participation rate. If the ending level is greater than the starting level, you will participate in the performance of the Index at a rate of 200% up to a certain point. However, the effect of the participation rate will be progressively reduced for ending levels that are greater than approximately 105.25% of the starting level since you return on the securities for any ending level greater than approximately 105.25% of the starting level will be limited to the capped value.
PRS-18

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

Example 3. Redemption amount is equal to the principal amount:
Starting level: 1535.511
Hypothetical ending level: 1458.735
Threshold level: 1420.347675, which is 92.5% of the starting level
Since the hypothetical ending level is less than the starting level, but not by more than 7.5%, you would not lose any of the principal amount of your securities.
On the stated maturity date you would receive \$1,000.00 per security.
Example 4. Redemption amount is less than the principal amount:
Starting level: 1535.511
Hypothetical ending level: 767.756
Threshold level: 1420.347675, which is 92.5% of the starting level

Since the hypothetical ending level is less than the starting level by more than 7.5%, you would lose a portion of the principal amount of your securities and receive the redemption amount equal to:

On the stated maturity date you would receive \$575.00 per security.

To the extent that the actual ending level differs from the values assumed above, the results indicated above would be different.

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

ADDITIONAL TERMS OF THE SECURITIES

CIBC will issue the securities as part of a series of senior unsecured debt securities entitled Senior Global Medium-Term Notes (Structured Notes), which is more fully described in the accompanying prospectus supplement and prospectus. Information included in this pricing supplement supersedes information in the prospectus supplement and prospectus to the extent that it is different from that information.

Payment of Redemption Amount

In the event that the stated maturity date is not a business day, then the redemption amount will be paid on the next business day.

Market Disruption Events

A market disruption event means any of the following events as determined by the calculation agent in its sole discretion:

- (A) The occurrence or existence of a material suspension of or limitation imposed on trading by the relevant stock exchanges or otherwise relating to securities which then comprise 20% or more of the level of the Index or any successor equity index at any time during the one-hour period that ends at the close of trading on that day, whether by reason of movements in price exceeding limits permitted by those relevant stock exchanges or otherwise.
- (B) The occurrence or existence of a material suspension of or limitation imposed on trading by any related futures or options exchange or otherwise in futures or options contracts relating to the Index or any successor equity index on any related futures or options exchange at any time during the one-hour period that ends at the close of trading on that day, whether by reason of movements in price exceeding limits permitted by the related futures or options exchange or otherwise.
- (C) The occurrence or existence of any event, other than an early closure, that materially disrupts or impairs the ability of market participants in general to effect transactions in, or obtain market values for, securities that then comprise 20% or more of the level of the Index or any successor equity index on their relevant stock exchanges at any

time during the one-hour period that ends at the close of trading on that day.

- (D) The occurrence or existence of any event, other than an early closure, that materially disrupts or impairs the ability of market participants in general to effect transactions in, or obtain market values for, futures or options contracts relating to the Index or any successor equity index on any related futures or options exchange at any time during the one-hour period that ends at the close of trading on that day.
- (E) The closure on any exchange business day of the relevant stock exchanges on which securities that then comprise 20% or more of the level of the Index or any successor equity index are traded or any related futures or options exchange prior to its scheduled closing time unless the earlier closing time is announced by the relevant stock exchange or related futures or options exchange, as applicable, at least one hour prior to the earlier of (1) the actual closing time for the regular trading session on such relevant stock exchange or related futures or options exchange, as applicable, and (2) the submission deadline for orders to be entered into the relevant stock exchange or related futures or options exchange, as applicable, system for execution at such actual closing time on that day.
- (F) The relevant stock exchange for any security underlying the Index or successor equity index or any related futures or options exchange fails to open for trading during its regular trading session.

For purposes of determining whether a market disruption event has occurred:

- (1) the relevant percentage contribution of a security to the level of the Index or any successor equity index will be based on a comparison of (x) the portion of the level of such index attributable to that security and (y) the overall level of the Index or successor equity index, in each case immediately before the occurrence of the market disruption event;
- time of the relevant stock exchanges with respect to the securities underlying the Index or successor equity index on such trading day; provided that, if the actual closing time of the regular trading session of any such relevant stock exchange is earlier than its scheduled closing time on such trading day, then (x) for purposes of clauses (A) and (C) of the definition of market disruption event above, with respect to any security underlying the Index or successor equity index for which such relevant stock exchange is its relevant stock exchange, the close of trading means such actual closing time and (y) for purposes of clauses (B) and (D) of the definition of market disruption event above, with respect to any futures or options contract relating to the Index or successor equity index, the close of trading means the latest actual closing time of the

PRS-20

Market Linked Securities Leveraged Upside Participation

to a Cap and Fixed Percentage Buffered Downside

Principal at Risk Securities Linked to the Russell 2000® Index due January 3, 2019

exchanges;			

regular trading session of any of the relevant stock exchanges, but in no event later than the scheduled closing time of the relevant stock

(3)	the	scheduled closing time	of any relevant stock exchange or related futures or options exchange on any trading
day fo	or the	Index or any successor e	quity index means the scheduled weekday closing time of such relevant stock
excha	inge o	r related futures or option	ns exchange on such trading day, without regard to after hours or any other trading
outsic	le the	regular trading session h	ours; and

(4)	an	exchange business day	means any trading day for the Index or any successor equity index on which each
releva	ant st	ock exchange for the sec	urities underlying the Index or any successor equity index and each related futures
or op	tions	exchange are open for tr	ading during their respective regular trading sessions, notwithstanding any such
releva	ant st	ock exchange or related t	futures or options exchange closing prior to its scheduled closing time.

If a market disruption event occurs or is continuing on the calculation date, then the calculation date will be postponed to the first succeeding trading day on which a market disruption event has not occurred and is not continuing; however, if such first succeeding trading day has not occurredding-right:2px;">

45	
_	
Corporate debt securities 146	
_	
146	
_	
Mortgage-backed securities 28	

28

Asset-backed securities
33

Municipal and provincial notes and bonds
3

3

	December 31, 2014	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
Marketable securities recorded in cash				
and cash equivalents				
Interest bearing money market funds	\$70	\$ 70	\$—	\$ <i>—</i>
Marketable securities included in				
short-term investments, prepaids and				
other assets and other assets				
Mutual funds	341	341	_	_
Preferred shares	280	_	_	280
Time deposits	130	_	130	_
U.S. government obligations	127	_	127	_
U.S. government agencies	34	_	34	_
Corporate debt securities	128	_	128	_
Mortgage-backed securities	23	_	23	_
Asset-backed securities	23	_	23	_
Municipal and provincial notes and bonds	3	_	3	_

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, there were no transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy. Our policy is to recognize transfers in and transfers out as of the end of each quarterly reporting period.

Marketable Securities

Our portfolio of marketable securities consists of various types of money market funds, mutual funds, preferred shares, time deposits, and fixed income securities, including U.S. government obligations, obligations of other U.S. government agencies, corporate debt securities, mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities and municipal and provincial notes and bonds. We invest a portion of our cash balance into short-term interest bearing money market funds that have a maturity of less than ninety days. Consequently, the balances are recorded in cash and cash equivalents. The funds are held with open-ended registered investment companies and the fair value of the funds is classified as Level One as we are able to obtain market available pricing information on an ongoing basis. The fair value of our mutual funds were classified as Level One as they trade with sufficient frequency and volume to enable us to obtain pricing information on an ongoing basis. Time deposits are recorded at par value, which approximates fair value and are included within short-term investments and classified as Level Two. The remaining securities, other than our investment in preferred shares, were classified as Level Two due to the use and weighting of multiple market inputs being considered in the final price of the security. Market inputs include quoted market prices from active markets for identical securities, quoted market prices for identical securities in inactive markets, and quoted market prices in active and inactive markets for similar securities.

The impact to net income from total gains or losses included in net gains and interest income from marketable securities held to fund operating programs due to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date was insignificant for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Hyatt holds redeemable, convertible preferred shares in Playa Hotels and Resorts B.V. ("Playa"). Hyatt has the option to convert its preferred shares into shares of common stock at any time through the later of the second anniversary of the closing of our investment or an initial public offering by Playa. The preferred shares are redeemable at Hyatt's option in August 2021. In the event of an initial public offering or other equity issuance, Hyatt has the option to request that Playa redeem up to \$125 million of preferred shares, plus any unpaid dividends accumulated thereon. As a result, we have classified the preferred investment as an available for sale ("AFS") debt security, which is included in other assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The investment is remeasured quarterly to fair value and the changes are recorded through other comprehensive income (loss).

We estimated the fair value of the Playa preferred shares using an option pricing model. This model requires that we make certain assumptions regarding the expected volatility, term, risk-free interest rate over the expected term, dividend yield and enterprise value. As Playa is not publicly traded, there is no market value for its stock. Therefore, we utilized observable data for a group of comparable peer companies to assist in developing our volatility assumptions. The expected volatility of Playa's stock price was developed using weighted-average measures of implied volatility and historic volatility for its peer group for a period equal to our expected term of the option. The weighted-average risk-free interest rate was based on a zero coupon U.S. Treasury instrument whose term was consistent with the expected term. We anticipate receiving cumulative preferred dividends on our preferred shares; therefore, the expected dividend yield was assumed to be 10% per annum compounding quarterly for two years, increasing to 12% per annum after the second year, with such dividends to be paid-in-kind.

A summary of the significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of our preferred investment in Playa as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, is as follows:

	March 31, 2015		December 31, 2	2014
Expected term	0.50 years		0.75 years	
Risk-free Interest Rate	0.14	%	0.19	%
Volatility	43.0	%	43.9	%
Dividend Yield	10	%	10	%

Our valuation considers a number of objective and subjective factors that we believe market participants would consider, including: Playa's business and results of operations, including related industry trends affecting Playa's operations; Playa's forecasted operating performance and projected future cash flows; liquidation preferences, redemption rights, and other rights and privileges of Playa's preferred stock; and market multiples of comparable peer companies.

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, financial forecasts were used in the computation of the enterprise value using the income approach. The financial forecasts were based on assumed revenue growth rates and operating margin levels. The risks associated with achieving these forecasts were assessed in selecting the

appropriate cost of capital. There is inherent uncertainty in our assumptions, and fluctuations in these assumptions will result in different estimates of fair value. Due to the lack of availability of market data, the preferred shares are classified as Level Three.

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the cost or amortized cost value for our preferred investment in Playa was \$271 million and the fair value of this AFS debt security was as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements at Report		
	Date using Significant Unobservable		
	Inputs (Level 3) - Preferred Shares		
	2015	2014	
Fair value at January 1, recorded in other assets	\$280	\$278	
Gross unrealized gains, recorded in other comprehensive income (loss)	2	_	
Gross unrealized losses, recorded in other comprehensive income (loss)		(2)
Fair value at March 31, recorded in other assets	\$282	\$276	

There were no realized gains or losses on AFS debt securities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Other Financial Instruments

We estimated the fair value of financing receivables using a discounted cash flow analysis based on current market assumptions for similar types of arrangements. Based upon the availability of market data, we have classified our financing receivables as Level Three. The primary sensitivity in these calculations is based on the selection of appropriate interest and discount rates. Fluctuations in these assumptions will result in different estimates of fair value. For further information on financing receivables, see Note 5.

We estimated the fair value of debt, excluding capital leases, which, as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, consisted primarily of \$250 million of 3.875% senior notes due 2016 (the "2016 Notes"), \$196 million of 6.875% senior notes due 2019 (the "2019 Notes"), \$250 million of 5.375% senior notes due 2021 (the "2021 Notes"), and \$350 million of 3.375% senior notes due 2023 (the "2023 Notes" which, together with the 2016 Notes, the 2019 Notes, and the 2021 Notes are collectively referred to as the "Senior Notes"), bonds and other long-term debt. Our Senior Notes and bonds are classified as Level Two due to the use and weighting of multiple market inputs in the final price of the security. Market inputs include quoted market prices from active markets for identical securities, quoted market prices for identical securities in inactive markets, and quoted market prices in active and inactive markets for similar securities. We estimated the fair value of our other long-term debt instruments using a discounted cash flow analysis based on current market inputs for similar types of arrangements. Based upon the availability of market data, we have classified our other long-term debt as Level Three. The primary sensitivity in these calculations is based on the selection of appropriate discount rates. Fluctuations in these assumptions will result in different estimates of fair value.

The carrying amounts and fair values of our other financial instruments are as follows:

	Asset (Liability)	1			
	March 31, 2015 Carrying Value	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
Financing receivables, net (current and long-term)			(Level Oile)		
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$26	\$29	\$ <i>—</i>	\$ —	\$29
Unsecured financing to hotel owners	19	19	_	_	19
Debt, excluding capital lease obligations	(1,362)	(1,479) —	(1,327)	(152)
	Asset (Liability) December 31, 20		0		
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
Financing receivables, net (current and long-term)					
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$26	\$29	\$ <i>—</i>	\$—	\$29
Unsecured financing to hotel owners	15	14	_	_	14
Debt, excluding capital lease obligations	(1,373)	(1,479) —	(1,319)	(160)

5. FINANCING RECEIVABLES

We have divided our financing receivables, which include loans and other financing arrangements, into two portfolio segments based on their initial measurement, risk characteristics and our method for monitoring or assessing credit risk. These portfolio segments correspond directly with our assessed class of receivables and are as follows: Secured Financing to Hotel Owners—These financing receivables are senior secured mortgage loans and are collateralized by underlying hotel properties currently in operation. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, these loans represent financing provided to certain franchisees for the renovation and conversion of certain franchised hotels. These franchisee loans accrue interest at fixed rates ranging between 5.0% and 5.5%. All secured financing to hotel owners financing receivables are scheduled to mature in 2015.

Unsecured Financing to Hotel Owners—These financing receivables are primarily made up of individual unsecured loans and other types of financing arrangements provided to hotel owners. Our other financing receivables have stated maturities and interest rates. However, the expected repayment terms may be dependent on the future cash flows of the hotels and these instruments, therefore, are not considered loans as the repayment dates are not fixed or determinable. Because the other types of financing arrangements are not considered loans, we do not include them in our impaired loans analysis.

The two portfolio segments of financing receivables and their balances at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are as follows:

March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014	
\$39	\$39	
107	102	
146	141	
(101) (100)
(26) (1)
\$19	\$40	
	107 146 (101 (26	\$39 107 146 (101 (26) \$39 141 (102 141 (100 (100 (26)) (1

Allowance for Losses and Impairments

We individually assess all loans in the secured financing to hotel owners portfolio and the unsecured financing to hotel owners portfolio for impairment. In addition to loans, we include other types of financing arrangements in the unsecured financing to hotel owners portfolio which we do not assess individually for impairment. However, we regularly evaluate our reserves for these other types of financing arrangements and record provisions in the financing receivables allowance as necessary. Impairment charges for loans within both portfolios and reserves related to our other financing arrangements are recorded as provisions in the financing receivables allowance. We consider the provisions on all of our portfolio segments to be adequate based on the economic environment and our assessment of the future collectability of the outstanding loans.

The following tables summarize the activity in our financing receivables allowance for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Secured Financing	Unsecured Financing	Total	
Allowance at January 1, 2015	\$13	\$87	\$100	
Provisions		2	2	
Other Adjustments		(1)	(1)
Allowance at March 31, 2015	\$13	\$88	\$101	
	Secured Financing	Unsecured Financing	Total	
Allowance at January 1, 2014	\$13	\$83	\$96	
Provisions		2	2	
Other Adjustments		1	1	
Allowance at March 31, 2014	\$13	\$86	\$99	

We routinely evaluate loans within financing receivables for impairment. To determine whether an impairment has occurred, we evaluate the collectability of both interest and principal. A loan is considered to be impaired when the Company determines that it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due under the contractual terms. We do not record interest income for impaired loans unless cash is received, in which case the payment is recorded to other loss, net in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income. During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, we did not record any impairment charges for loans to hotel owners.

An analysis of our loans included in secured financing to hotel owners and unsecured financing to hotel owners had the following impaired amounts at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, all of which had a related allowance recorded against them:

Impaired Loans March 31, 2015

	Gross Loan Balance (Principal and Interest)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance		Average Recorded Loan Balance
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$39	\$39	\$(13)	\$39
Unsecured financing to hotel owners	51	36	(51)	52
Impaired Loans					
December 31, 2014					
	Gross Loan Balance (Principal and Interest)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance		Average Recorded Loan Balance
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$39	\$39	\$(13)	\$39
Unsecured financing to hotel owners	52	37	(52)	52

Interest income recognized on these impaired loans within other loss, net on our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31.		
	2015	2014	
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$1	\$—	
Unsecured financing to hotel owners			

Credit Monitoring

On an ongoing basis, we monitor the credit quality of our financing receivables based on payment activity. Past-due Receivables—We determine financing receivables to be past due based on the contractual terms of each individual financing receivable agreement.

Non-Performing Receivables—Receivables are determined to be non-performing based upon the following criteria: (1) if interest or principal is more than 90 days past due for secured financing to hotel owners and unsecured financing to hotel owners or (2) if an impairment charge has been recorded for a loan or a provision established for our other financing arrangements. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, no interest income was accrued for secured financing to hotel owners and unsecured financing to hotel owners more than 90 days past due. If a financing receivable is non-performing, we place the financing receivable on non-accrual status. We only recognize interest income when cash is received for financing receivables on non-accrual status. Accrual of interest income is resumed when the receivable becomes contractually current and collection doubts are removed.

The following tables summarize our aged analysis of past-due financing receivables by portfolio segment, the gross balance of financing receivables greater than 90 days past due and the gross balance of financing receivables on non-accrual status as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

Analysis of Financing Receivables March 31, 2015

	Receivables Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Receivables on Non-Accrual Status
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$ —	\$ —	\$39
Unsecured financing to hotel owners*	3	3	87
Total	\$3	\$3	\$126
Analysis of Financing Receivables December 31, 2014			
	Receivables Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Receivables on Non-Accrual Status
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$ —	\$ —	\$39
Unsecured financing to hotel owners*	3	3	87
Total	\$3	\$3	\$126

^{*} Certain of these receivables have been placed on non-accrual status and we have recorded allowances for these receivables based on estimates of future cash flows available for payment of these financing receivables. However, a majority of these payments are not past due.

6. ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

We continually assess strategic acquisitions and dispositions to complement our current business. During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, we did not have any acquisitions.

Dispositions

Hyatt Regency Indianapolis—During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we sold Hyatt Regency Indianapolis for \$69 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party, and entered into a long-term franchise agreement with the owner of the property. The sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of \$8 million, which has been recognized in gains on sales of real estate on our condensed consolidated statements of income during the three months ended March 31, 2015. The operating results and financial position of this hotel prior to the sale remain within our owned and leased hotels segment. As of December 31, 2014, we had classified the assets and liabilities of this property as held for sale on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Hyatt, Hyatt Place, Hyatt House 2014—During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we sold nine select service properties and one full service property for a total of \$311 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party. In connection with the sale, we transferred net cash and cash equivalents of \$3 million, resulting in a net sales price of \$308 million. We recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$61 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The properties will remain Hyatt-branded hotels for a minimum of 25 years under long-term agreements. The gain has been recognized in gains on sales of real estate on our condensed consolidated statements of income during the three months ended March 31, 2014. The operating results and financial position of these hotels prior to the sale remain within our owned and leased hotels segment. See "Like-Kind Exchange Agreements" below, as proceeds from the sales have been used in a like-kind exchange.

As a result of certain of the above-mentioned dispositions, we have agreed to provide indemnifications to third-party purchasers for certain liabilities incurred prior to sale and for breach of certain representations and warranties made during the sales process, such as representations of valid title, authority, and environmental issues that may not be

limited by a contractual monetary amount. These indemnification agreements survive until the applicable statutes of limitation expire, or until the agreed upon contract terms expire.

Like-Kind Exchange Agreements

Periodically, we enter into like-kind exchange agreements upon the disposition of certain hotels. Pursuant to the terms of these agreements, the proceeds from the sales are placed into an escrow account administered by an intermediary. The proceeds are recorded to restricted cash on our condensed consolidated balance sheets and released once they are utilized as part of a like-kind exchange agreement or when a like-kind exchange agreement is not completed within the allowable time period.

In conjunction with the sales of nine select service properties and one full service property during the three months ended March 31, 2014, we entered into like-kind exchange agreements with an intermediary for seven of the select service hotels. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we recorded and released net proceeds of \$232 million from restricted cash as they were utilized as part of the like-kind exchange agreement to acquire the Hyatt Regency Orlando.

In conjunction with the sale of Hyatt Key West during the year ended December 31, 2013, we entered into a like-kind exchange agreement with an intermediary. Pursuant to the like-kind exchange agreement, the \$74 million proceeds from the sale of this hotel were placed into an escrow account administered by an intermediary. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the proceeds were released from restricted cash as they were utilized as part of the like-kind exchange agreement to acquire the Hyatt Regency Orlando.

Assets and Liabilities Held for Sale

During the first quarter of 2015, we committed to a plan to sell one Hyatt House hotel and classified the related assets and liabilities within our owned and leased hotels segment as held for sale at March 31, 2015. Assets held for sale related to this hotel were \$5 million, which primarily relates to property and equipment, net. Liabilities held for sale were insignificant.

7. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

We review the carrying value of our goodwill and indefinite-lived brand intangible asset during our annual impairment test during the fourth quarter or at an interim date if indications of impairment exist by performing either a qualitative or quantitative assessment. When determining fair value, we utilize internally developed discounted future cash flow models, third party appraisals and, if appropriate, current estimated net sales proceeds from pending offers. We then compare the estimated fair value to our carrying value. If the carrying value of our goodwill is in excess of the fair value, we must determine our implied fair value of goodwill to evaluate if any impairment charge is necessary. If the carrying value of our indefinite-lived brand intangible is in excess of the fair value, an impairment charge is recognized in an amount equal to the excess. During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, no impairment charges were recorded related to goodwill or our indefinite-lived brand intangible asset. Goodwill was \$132 million and \$133 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, we classified \$14 million of goodwill related to Hyatt Regency Indianapolis as held for sale on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we sold Hyatt Regency Indianapolis (see Note 6). Definite-lived intangible assets primarily include contract acquisition costs, acquired franchise and management intangibles, lease related intangibles and advanced bookings intangibles. Contract acquisition costs and acquired franchise and management intangibles are generally amortized on a straight-line basis over their contract terms, which range from approximately 5 to 40 years and 20 to 30 years, respectively. Lease related intangibles are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Advanced bookings are generally amortized on a straight-line basis over the period of the advanced bookings. Definite-lived intangibles are tested for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. There were no impairment charges related to definite-lived intangible assets during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

The following is a summary of intangible assets at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

		Weighted-		
	March 31, 2015	Average Useful	December 31, 2	014
		Lives in Years		
Contract acquisition costs	\$347	25	\$355	
Acquired franchise and management intangibles	156	24	156	
Lease related intangibles	137	111	143	
Advanced bookings intangibles	12	5	12	
Brand intangible	7	_	7	
Other	8	11	8	
	667		681	
Accumulated amortization	(135)	(129)
Intangibles, net	\$532		\$552	
Amortization expense relating to intangible assets w	vas as follows:			
		Three Months Ended M	March 31,	
		2015	2014	
Amortization expense		\$8	\$8	

8. LIABILITIES

Other long-term liabilities at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 consist of the following:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Deferred gains on sales of hotel properties	\$381	\$383
Deferred compensation plans	345	341
Hyatt Gold Passport Fund	295	284
Guarantee liabilities (see Note 10)	95	110
Other	298	283
Total	\$1.414	\$1.401

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities includes \$138 million and \$132 million of liabilities held for the Hyatt Gold Passport Fund at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

9. INCOME TAXES

The effective income tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, were 35.3% and 30.1%, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the effect of state and foreign taxes on operations and a benefit of \$2 million for deferred tax adjustments to reflect the impact of regulations issued by the Internal Revenue Service in the quarter.

For the three months ended March 31, 2014, the effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to a \$4 million benefit for the release of a valuation allowance of a foreign subsidiary and a benefit of \$2 million related to a state legislative change enacted in the first quarter of 2014. In addition, a benefit of \$2 million (including interest) was recognized as a result of settling federal and state income tax audits.

Unrecognized tax benefits were \$51 million and \$40 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, of which \$20 million would impact the effective tax rate in each period if recognized.

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into various commitments, guarantees, surety bonds, and letter of credit agreements, which are discussed below:

Commitments—As of March 31, 2015, we are committed, under certain conditions, to lend or invest up to \$239 million, net of any related letters of credit, in various business ventures.

Performance Guarantees—Certain of our contractual agreements with third-party owners require us to guarantee payments to the owners if specified levels of operating profit are not achieved by their hotels. At inception of a performance guarantee, we recognize a guarantee obligation liability for the fair value of our guarantee obligation which we amortize into income using a systematic and rational risk-based approach over the term of the performance guarantee. To the extent we determine an obligation to fund under a guarantee is both probable and estimable, we record an expense for the separate contingent liability.

Our most significant performance guarantee relates to four managed hotels in France that we began managing in the second quarter of 2013 ("the four managed hotels in France"), which has a term of 7 years, with approximately 5 ¼ years remaining, and does not have an annual cap. The remaining maximum exposure related to our performance guarantees at March 31, 2015 was \$415 million, of which €362 million (\$388 million using exchange rates as of March 31, 2015) relates to the four managed hotels in France.

We had total guarantee liabilities of \$112 million and \$111 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, which included \$89 million and \$103 million recorded in other long-term liabilities and \$23 million and \$8 million in accrued expenses and other current liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets, respectively. Our total guarantee liabilities are comprised of the fair value of the guarantee obligation liabilities recorded upon inception, net of amortization and any separate contingent liabilities, net of cash payments. Performance guarantee expense and income from amortization of the guarantee obligation liabilities are recorded in other loss, net on the condensed consolidated statements of income, see Note 16.

The following table details the total performance guarantee liability (inclusive of the initial guarantee obligation liability, net of amortization and the contingent liability, net of cash payments) related to the four managed hotels in France:

	2013	2014	
Beginning balance, January 1	\$106	\$123	
Amortization of initial guarantee obligation liability into income	(2) (2)
Performance guarantee expense	16	15	
Net (payments) receipts during the period	1	(5)
Foreign currency exchange (gain) loss	(13) 1	
Ending balance, March 31	\$108	\$132	

2015

2014

Additionally, we enter into certain management contracts where we have the right, but not an obligation, to make payments to certain hotel owners if their hotels do not achieve specified levels of operating profit. If we choose not to fund the shortfall, the hotel owner has the option to terminate the management contract. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, there were no amounts recorded in accrued expenses and other current liabilities related to these performance test clauses.

Debt Repayment Guarantees—We have entered into various debt repayment guarantees primarily related to our unconsolidated hospitality ventures investments in certain properties. The maximum exposure under these agreements as of March 31, 2015 was \$234 million. As of March 31, 2015, we had a \$6 million liability representing the carrying value of these guarantees recorded within other long-term liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets with an offset to investments. Included within the \$234 million in debt guarantees are the following:

Property Description	Maximum Guarantee	Recorded at	Recorded at
Property Description	Amount	March 31,	December 31,
	Amount	2015	2014
Vacation ownership property	\$79	\$	\$—
Hotel property in Brazil	75	2	2
Hotel property in Hawaii	30	1	1
Hotel property in Minnesota	25	3	3
Hotel property in Colorado	15	_	1
Other	10	_	_
Total Debt Repayment Guarantees	\$234	\$6	\$7

With respect to certain debt repayment guarantees related to unconsolidated hospitality venture properties, the Company has agreements with its respective partners that require each partner to pay a pro-rata portion of the guarantee amount generally based on each partner's ownership percentage. In relation to the vacation ownership property debt repayment guarantee, for which we no longer have an investment in the unconsolidated venture, we have the ability to fully recover from third parties any amounts we may be required to fund. Assuming successful enforcement of these types of agreements with our respective partners and third parties, our maximum exposure under the various debt repayment guarantees as of March 31, 2015 is \$103 million.

Self Insurance—The Company obtains commercial insurance for potential losses for general liability, workers' compensation, automobile liability, employment practices, crime, property and other miscellaneous coverages. A portion of the risk is retained on a self insurance basis primarily through a U.S. based and licensed captive insurance company that is a wholly owned subsidiary of Hyatt and generally insures our deductibles and retentions. Reserve requirements are established based on actuarial projections of ultimate losses. Losses estimated to be paid within twelve months are \$28 million and \$24 million as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, and are classified within accrued expenses and other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets, while losses expected to be payable in later periods are \$66 million and \$63 million as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, and are included in other long-term liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. At March 31, 2015, standby letters of credit amounting to \$7 million had been issued to provide collateral for the estimated claims, which are guaranteed by us. For further discussion, see the "Letters of Credit" section of this footnote. Collective Bargaining Agreements—At March 31, 2015, approximately 24% of our U.S. based employees were covered by various collective bargaining agreements, generally providing for basic pay rates, working hours, other conditions of employment and orderly settlement of labor disputes. Generally, labor relations have been maintained in a normal and satisfactory manner, and we believe that our employee relations are satisfactory.

Surety Bonds—Surety bonds issued on our behalf totaled \$23 million as of March 31, 2015 and primarily relate to workers' compensation, taxes, licenses, and utilities related to our lodging operations.

Letters of Credit—Letters of credit outstanding on our behalf as of March 31, 2015 totaled \$64 million, the majority of which relate to our ongoing operations. Of the \$64 million letters of credit outstanding, \$8 million reduces the available capacity under our revolving credit facility.

Capital Expenditures—As part of our ongoing business operations, significant expenditures are required to complete renovation projects that have been approved.

Other—We act as general partner of various partnerships owning hotel properties subject to mortgage indebtedness. These mortgage agreements generally limit the lender's recourse to security interests in the assets financed and/or other assets of the partnership(s) and/or the general partner(s) thereof.

In conjunction with financing obtained for our unconsolidated hospitality ventures, we may provide standard indemnifications to the lender for loss, liability or damage occurring as a result of our actions or actions of the other hospitality venture owners.

We are subject, from time to time, to various claims and contingencies related to lawsuits, taxes, and environmental matters, as well as commitments under contractual obligations. Many of these claims are covered under current insurance programs, subject to deductibles. We reasonably recognize a liability associated with commitments and contingencies when a loss is probable and reasonably estimable. Although the ultimate liability for these matters cannot be determined at this point, based on information currently available, we do not expect that the ultimate resolution of such claims and litigation will have a material effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

11. EQUITY

Stockholders' Equity and Noncontrolling Interests—The following table details the equity activity for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

chaca march 31, 2013 and 2011, respectively.			Noncontrolling interests	2	
	Stockholders' equity		in consolidated subsidiaries	Total equity	
Balance at January 1, 2015	\$4,627		\$ 4	\$4,631	
Net income	22		_	22	
Other comprehensive loss	(53)	_	(53)
Repurchase of common stock	(187)	_	(187)
Employee stock plan issuance	1		_	1	
Share based payment activity	12		_	12	
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$4,422		\$ 4	\$4,426	
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$4,769		\$ 8	\$4,777	
Net income	56		_	56	
Other comprehensive loss	(2)	_	(2)
Repurchase of common stock	(61)	_	(61)
Employee stock plan issuance	ì		_	ì	
Share based payment activity	4		_	4	
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$4,767		\$ 8	\$4,775	
19					

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss—The following table details the accumulated other comprehensive loss activity for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

	Balance at January 1, 2015	Current period other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification	Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	Balance at March 31, 201	5
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$(155)	\$(55)	\$ —	\$(210)
Unrealized gains on AFS securities	6	2	_	8	
Unrecognized pension cost	(5)	_	_	(5)
Unrealized losses on derivative instruments	(6)			(6)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	\$(160)	\$(53)	\$—	\$(213)
	Balance at January 1, 2014	Current period other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification	Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	Balance at March 31, 201	4
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$(62)	\$1	\$ —	\$(61)
Unrealized gains (losses) on AFS securities	6	(3)		3	
Unrecognized pension cost	(5)			(5)
Unrealized losses on derivative instruments	(7)	_		(7)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	\$(68)	\$(2)	\$ —	\$(70)

Share Repurchase—During 2014 and 2013 our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$700 million and \$400 million, respectively, of the Company's common stock. These repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan, at prices that the Company deems appropriate and subject to market conditions, applicable law and other factors deemed relevant in the Company's sole discretion. The common stock repurchase program does not obligate the Company to repurchase any dollar amount or number of shares of common stock and the program may be suspended or discontinued at any time.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company repurchased 3,192,629 and 1,172,645 shares of common stock, respectively. These shares were repurchased at a weighted-average price of \$58.67 and \$51.71 per share, respectively, for an aggregate purchase price of \$187 million and \$61 million, respectively, excluding related expenses that were insignificant in both periods. Of the \$61 million aggregate purchase price during the three months ended March 31, 2014, \$59 million was settled in cash during the period. The shares repurchased during the three months ended March 31, 2015 represented approximately 2% of the Company's total shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2014. The shares repurchased during the three months ended March 31, 2014 represented less than 1% of the Company's total shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2013. The shares of Class A common stock that were repurchased on the open market were retired and returned to authorized and unissued status while the shares of Class B common stock that were repurchased were retired and the total number of authorized Class B shares was reduced by the number of shares repurchased. As of March 31, 2015, we had \$257 million remaining under the current share repurchase authorization.

12. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

As part of our Long-Term Incentive Plan, we award Stock Appreciation Rights ("SARs"), Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") and Performance Vested Restricted Stock ("PSSs") to certain employees. Compensation expense and unearned compensation figures within this footnote exclude amounts related to employees of our managed hotels as this expense has been and will continue to be reimbursed by our third-party hotel owners and is recorded in other revenues from managed properties and other costs from managed properties on our condensed consolidated statements of income. Compensation expense related to these awards for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 3	
	2015	2014
Stock appreciation rights	\$7	\$2
Restricted stock units	9	5
Performance vested restricted stock	1	1

Stock Appreciation Rights—Each vested SAR gives the holder the right to the difference between the value of one share of our Class A common stock at the exercise date and the value of one share of our Class A common stock at the grant date. Vested SARs can be exercised over their life as determined by the plan. All SARs have a 10-year contractual term and are settled in shares of our Class A common stock and are accounted for as equity instruments. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company granted 461,378 SARs to employees with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$21.36. The fair value of each SAR was estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-valuation model.

Restricted Stock Units—The Company grants both RSUs that may be settled in stock and RSUs that may be settled in cash. Each vested stock-settled RSU will be settled with a single share of our Class A common stock. The value of the stock-settled RSUs is based on the closing stock price of our Class A common stock as of the grant date. We record compensation expense for RSUs over the requisite service period of the individual grantee. In certain situations we also grant cash-settled RSUs which are recorded as a liability instrument. The liability and related expense for cash-settled RSUs is insignificant as of, and for the three months ended, March 31, 2015. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company granted a total of 410,217 RSUs (an insignificant portion of which are cash-settled RSUs) to employees which, with respect to stock-settled RSUs, had a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$56.52.

Performance Vested Restricted Stock—The Company has granted PSSs to certain executive officers. The number of PSSs that will ultimately vest with no further restrictions on transfer depends upon the performance of the Company at the end of the applicable three year performance period relative to the applicable performance target. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company granted to its executive officers a total of 146,902 PSSs, which vest in full if the maximum performance metric is achieved. The PSSs had a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$56.27. The performance period is three years beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2017. The PSSs will vest at the end of the performance period only if the performance threshold is met; there is no interim performance metric. At the end of the performance period, the PSSs that do not vest will be forfeited and will return to treasury stock. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, 159,150 shares were returned to treasury stock, related to the 2012 PSS grant.

Our total unearned compensation for our stock-based compensation programs as of March 31, 2015 was \$4 million for SARs, \$27 million for RSUs and \$7 million for PSSs, which will be recorded to compensation expense over the next four years with respect to SARs and RSUs, with a limited portion of the RSU awards extending to five years, and over the next two years with respect to PSSs.

13. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In addition to those included elsewhere in the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements, related-party transactions entered into by us are summarized as follows:

Leases—Our corporate headquarters have been located at the Hyatt Center in Chicago, Illinois, since 2005. A subsidiary of the Company holds a master lease for a portion of the Hyatt Center and has entered into sublease agreements with

certain related parties. Future sublease income for this space from related parties is \$7 million.

Legal Services—A partner in a law firm that provided services to us throughout the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, is the brother-in-law of our Executive Chairman. We incurred insignificant and \$1 million of legal fees with this firm for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Legal fees, when expensed, are included in selling, general, and administrative expenses. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had insignificant amounts due to the law firm.

Other Services—A member of our board of directors is a partner in a firm whose affiliates previously owned hotels, which were sold during the first quarter of 2015, from which we recorded insignificant and \$1 million of management and franchise fees during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of March 31, 2015 we had no amounts due from these properties. As of December 31, 2014, we had insignificant receivables due from these properties.

Equity Method Investments—We have equity method investments in entities that own properties for which we provide management and/or franchise services and receive fees. We recorded fees of \$5 million and \$7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had receivables due from these properties of \$12 million and \$11 million, respectively. In addition, in some cases we provide loans (see Note 5) or guarantees (see Note 10) to these entities. Our ownership interest in these equity method investments generally varies from 24% to 70%. See Note 3 for further details regarding these investments. Share Repurchase—During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we repurchased 750,000 shares of Class B common stock at a weighted average price of \$59.54 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$45 million. The shares repurchased represented approximately 0.5% of the Company's total shares of common stock outstanding prior to the repurchase. The shares of Class B common stock were repurchased from trusts held for the benefit of certain Pritzker family members in privately-negotiated transactions and were retired, thereby reducing the total number of shares outstanding and reducing the shares of Class B common stock authorized and outstanding by the repurchased share amount.

14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our reportable segments are components of the business which are managed discretely and for which discrete financial information is reviewed regularly by the chief operating decision maker to assess performance and make decisions regarding the allocation of resources. Our chief operating decision maker is the Chief Executive Officer. We define our reportable segments as follows:

Owned and leased hotels—This segment derives its earnings from owned and leased hotel properties located predominantly in the United States but also in certain international locations and for purposes of segment Adjusted EBITDA, includes our pro rata share of the Adjusted EBITDA of our unconsolidated hospitality ventures, based on our ownership percentage of each venture.

Americas management and franchising—This segment derives its earnings primarily from a combination of hotel management and licensing of our portfolio of brands to franchisees located in the United States, Latin America, Canada and the Caribbean. This segment's revenues also include the reimbursement of costs incurred on behalf of managed hotel property owners and franchisees with no added margin. These costs relate primarily to payroll costs at managed properties where the Company is the employer. These revenues and costs are recorded on the lines other revenues from managed properties and other costs from managed properties, respectively. The intersegment revenues relate to management fees that are collected from the Company's owned hotels, which are eliminated in consolidation. ASPAC management and franchising—This segment derives its earnings primarily from a combination of hotel management and licensing of our portfolio of brands to franchisees located in Southeast Asia, as well as China, Australia, South Korea and Japan. This segment's revenues also include the reimbursement of costs incurred on behalf of managed hotel property owners and franchisees with no added margin. These costs relate primarily to reservations, marketing and IT costs. These revenues and costs are recorded on the lines other revenues from managed properties and other costs from managed properties, respectively. The intersegment revenues relate to management fees that are collected from the Company's owned hotels, which are eliminated in consolidation.

EAME/SW Asia management—This segment derives its earnings primarily from hotel management of our portfolio of brands located primarily in Europe, Africa, the Middle East and India, as well as countries along

the Persian Gulf, the Arabian Sea, and Nepal. This segment's revenues also include the reimbursement of costs incurred on behalf of managed hotel property owners with no added margin. These costs relate primarily to reservations, marketing and IT costs. These revenues and costs are recorded on the lines other revenues from managed properties and other costs from managed properties, respectively. The intersegment revenues relate to management fees that are collected from the Company's owned hotels, which are eliminated in consolidation. Our chief operating decision maker evaluates performance based on each segment's revenue and Adjusted EBITDA. We define Adjusted EBITDA as net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation plus our pro-rata share of unconsolidated hospitality ventures Adjusted EBITDA before equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures; gains on sales of real estate; other loss, net; depreciation and amortization; interest expense; and provision for income taxes.

The table below shows summarized consolidated financial information by segment. Included within corporate and other are unallocated corporate expenses, the results of our vacation ownership business prior to the sale in the fourth quarter of 2014, license fees related to Hyatt Residence Club, and the results of our co-branded credit card.

	Three Months Ended March		31,
	2015	2014	
Owned and leased hotels			
Owned and leased hotels revenues	\$509	\$548	
Adjusted EBITDA	124	125	
Depreciation and amortization	71	86	
Americas management and franchising			
Management and franchise fees revenues	88	75	
Other revenues from managed properties	400	379	
Intersegment revenues (a)	19	21	
Adjusted EBITDA	69	56	
Depreciation and amortization	5	5	
ASPAC management and franchising			
Management and franchise fees revenues	21	21	
Other revenues from managed properties	19	16	
Intersegment revenues (a)		1	
Adjusted EBITDA	11	11	
Depreciation and amortization			
EAME/SW Asia management			
Management and franchise fees revenues	16	18	
Other revenues from managed properties	14	12	
Intersegment revenues (a)	3	3	
Adjusted EBITDA	6	11	
Depreciation and amortization	1	2	
Corporate and other			
Revenues	9	30	
Adjusted EBITDA	(41	(31)
Depreciation and amortization	2	2	
Eliminations (a)			
Revenues	(22	(25)
Adjusted EBITDA			
Depreciation and amortization			
TOTAL			
Revenues	\$1,054	\$1,074	
Adjusted EBITDA	169	172	
Depreciation and amortization	79	95	

⁽a) Intersegment revenues are included in the management and franchise fees revenues and eliminated in Eliminations.

The table below shows summarized consolidated balance sheet information by segment: Total Assets

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 20	14
Owned and leased hotels	\$5,703	\$5,682	
Americas management and franchising	1,232	1,165	
ASPAC management and franchising	104	106	
EAME/SW Asia management	194	184	
Corporate and other	3,903	4,030	
Eliminations (a)	(3,227) (3,024)
TOTAL	\$7,909	\$8,143	

(a) Segment assets include intercompany and investments in subsidiaries which are eliminated in Eliminations. The table below provides a reconciliation of our consolidated Adjusted EBITDA to EBITDA and a reconciliation of EBITDA to net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$169	\$172	
Equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures	(6) (7)
Gains on sales of real estate	8	61	
Other loss, net (see Note 16)	(18) (12)
Pro rata share of unconsolidated hospitality ventures Adjusted EBITDA	(23) (20)
EBITDA	130	194	
Depreciation and amortization	(79) (95)
Interest expense	(17) (19)
Provision for income taxes	(12) (24)
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation	\$22	\$56	

15. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share, including a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014	
Numerator:			
Net income	\$22	\$56	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation	\$22	\$56	
Denominator:			
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	147,285,258	155,449,102	
Share-based compensation	1,354,053	1,041,764	
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	148,639,311	156,490,866	
Basic Earnings Per Share:			
Net income	\$0.15	\$0.36	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	_		
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation	\$0.15	\$0.36	
Diluted Earnings Per Share:			
Net income	\$0.15	\$0.36	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation	\$0.15	\$0.36	

The computations of diluted net income per share for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 do not include 2,500 and 135,000 shares of Class A common stock, respectively, assumed to be issued as stock-settled SARs because they are anti-dilutive.

16. OTHER LOSS, NET

The table below provides a reconciliation of the components in other loss, net, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2015	2014			
Performance guarantee expense	\$(16) \$(17)		
Foreign currency losses	(7) —			
Interest income	2	2			
Guarantee liability amortization	2	2			
Other	1	1			
Other loss, net	\$(18) \$(12)		

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. This quarterly report contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include statements about the Company's plans, strategies, financial performance, prospects or future events and involve known and unknown risks that are difficult to predict. As a result, our actual results, performance or achievements may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by the use of words such as "may," "could," "expect," "intend," "plan," "seek," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," "continue," "like variations of these terms and similar expressions, or the negative of these terms or similar expressions. Such forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by us and our management, are inherently uncertain. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to: the factors discussed in our filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K; general economic uncertainty in key global markets and a worsening of global economic conditions or low levels of economic growth; the rate and the pace of economic recovery following economic downturns; levels of spending in business and leisure segments as well as consumer confidence; declines in occupancy and average daily rate; limited visibility with respect to future bookings; loss of key personnel; hostilities, or fear of hostilities, including future terrorist attacks, that affect travel; travel-related accidents; natural or man-made disasters such as earthquakes, tsunamis, tornadoes, hurricanes, floods, oil spills, nuclear incidents and global outbreaks of pandemics or contagious diseases or fear of such outbreaks; our ability to successfully achieve certain levels of operating profits at hotels that have performance guarantees in favor of our third party owners; the impact of hotel renovations; our ability to successfully execute our common stock repurchase program; the seasonal and cyclical nature of the real estate and hospitality businesses; changes in distribution arrangements, such as through Internet travel intermediaries; changes in the tastes and preferences of our customers, including the entry of new competitors in the lodging business; relationships with associates and labor unions and changes in labor laws; financial condition of, and our relationships with, third-party property owners, franchisees and hospitality venture partners; if our third-party owners, franchisees or development partners are unable to access capital necessary to fund current operations or implement our plans for growth; risks associated with potential acquisitions and dispositions and the introduction of new brand concepts; the timing of acquisitions and dispositions; failure to successfully complete proposed transactions (including the failure to satisfy closing conditions or obtain required approvals); unforeseen terminations of our management or franchise agreements; changes in federal, state, local or foreign tax law; increases in interest rates and operating costs; foreign exchange rate fluctuations or currency restructurings; lack of acceptance of new brands or innovation; general volatility of the capital markets and our ability to access such markets; changes in the competitive environment in our industry and the markets where we operate; cyber risks and information technology failures; outcomes of legal proceedings; and violations of regulations or laws related to our franchising business. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements set forth above. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we do not undertake or assume any obligation to update publicly any of these forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, new information or future events, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking statements, except to the extent required by applicable laws. If we update one or more forward-looking statements, no inference should be drawn that we will make additional updates with respect to those or other forward-looking statements.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes, which appear elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Executive Overview

We are a global hospitality company engaged in the development, management, franchising, licensing and ownership of a portfolio of properties, including hotels, resorts and residential and vacation ownership properties around the world. As of March 31, 2015, our worldwide property portfolio consisted of 599 properties (156,875 rooms and units), including:

•

- 248 managed properties (84,630 rooms), all of which we operate under management agreements with third-party property owners;
- 243 franchised properties (39,655 rooms), all of which are owned by third parties that have franchise agreements with us and are operated by third parties;
- 34 owned properties (17,460 rooms) (including 1 consolidated hospitality venture), 1 capital leased property (171 rooms), and 7 operating leased properties (2,411 rooms), all of which we manage;

- 19 managed properties and 10 franchised properties owned or leased by unconsolidated hospitality ventures (7,746 rooms);
- 5 all inclusive resorts (1,881 rooms), all of which are owned and operated by an unconsolidated hospitality venture that has franchise agreements with us;
- 16 vacation ownership properties (1,038 units), all of which are licensed by Interval Leisure Group ("ILG") under the Hyatt Residence Club brand and operated by third-parties; and

16 residential properties (1,883 units), which consist of branded residences and serviced apartments. We manage all of the serviced apartments and those branded residential units that participate in a rental program with an adjacent Hyatt-branded hotel.

We report our consolidated operations in U.S. dollars and manage our business within four reportable segments as described below:

Owned and leased hotels, which consists of our owned and leased full service and select service hotels and, for purposes of segment Adjusted EBITDA, our pro rata share of the Adjusted EBITDA of our unconsolidated hospitality ventures, based on our ownership percentage of each venture;

Americas management and franchising, which consists of our management and franchising of properties located in the United States, Latin America, Canada and the Caribbean;

ASPAC management and franchising, which consists of our management and franchising of properties located in Southeast Asia, as well as China, Australia, South Korea, and Japan; and

• EAME/SW Asia management, which consists of our management of properties located primarily in Europe, Africa, the Middle East, India and Nepal, as well as countries along the Persian Gulf and the Arabian Sea. The results of our unallocated corporate expenses, the results of our vacation ownership business prior to the sale in the fourth quarter of 2014, license fees related to Hyatt Residence Club, and the results of our co-branded credit card are reported within corporate and other. See Note 14 for further discussion of our segment structure. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we announced several transactions that are consistent with our goal to expand our brands. We opened the Park Hyatt Zanzibar, marking the first Hyatt-branded hotel in Zanzibar. Additionally, we opened five select service hotels, including three hotels in the United States, one hotel in India, and one hotel in Mexico.

Additionally, during the three months ended March 31, 2015, we announced the new Hyatt Centric brand, a full service lifestyle brand designed for business and leisure travelers. We opened the first Hyatt Centric hotel in April 2015, and plan to open one additional Hyatt Centric hotel during the second quarter of 2015. We also announced plans for the first Andaz hotels in Canada and Singapore and the first Hyatt-branded hotel in the Republic of Georgia. Our financial performance for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 reflects a decrease in our consolidated revenues of \$20 million, or 2%, compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2014. Owned and leased hotels revenue for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 decreased by \$39 million compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2014, which includes net unfavorable currency impacts of \$13 million. The decrease in owned and leased hotels revenue was driven by our non-comparable hotels, which had revenue decreases of \$57 million, due to dispositions in 2014 and 2015. See "Segment Results" below for a discussion of the non-comparable owned and leased hotels' activity. These decreases were partially offset by increased comparable owned and leased hotels revenues of \$18 million, which included \$11 million in net unfavorable currency impacts. The increase in comparable hotels revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2015 was primarily driven by full service hotels in the United States, which benefited from improved transient average daily rate ("ADR"), improved group ADR and demand as well as increased food and beverage revenues.

Our management and franchise fees for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 increased \$16 million compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2014. Fee increases were primarily due to increased franchise and management fees from new and converted hotels and improved performance at existing hotels in the Americas. Other fee revenues also

increased as a result of amortization of deferred gains from hotels sold subject to long-term management agreements. These increases were partially offset by decreased management fee revenues in the EAME/SW Asia management segment, primarily from properties in Europe and the Middle East driven by impacts from the stronger U.S. dollar.

Our consolidated Adjusted EBITDA for the first quarter of 2015 decreased by \$3 million compared to the first quarter of 2014. The decrease was driven by the corporate and other segment, the EAME/SW Asia management segment, and our owned and leased hotels segment which had Adjusted EBITDA decreases of \$10 million, \$5 million, and \$1 million, respectively. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in Adjusted EBITDA at our Americas management and franchising segment of \$13 million. The ASPAC management and franchising segment Adjusted EBITDA was flat. See "Non-GAAP Measure Reconciliation" below, for an explanation of how we use Adjusted EBITDA, why we present it and material limitations on its usefulness.

Comparable full service Revenue per Available Room ("RevPAR") within our Americas management and franchising segment increased 7.5% (or 8.3% excluding the unfavorable effects of currency) during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The improvement in RevPAR was primarily driven by improved transient ADR and increased group ADR and demand. Group booking activity increased during the quarter, representing the ninth consecutive quarter of year over year increases. Group booking activity and pace continues to reflect strength due to increased demand from corporate and association groups. Comparable select service RevPAR within our Americas management and franchising segment increased 10.1% during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in the prior year, largely driven by increased ADR. Comparable RevPAR in our ASPAC management and franchising segment was flat (or increased 5.8% excluding the unfavorable effects of currency) for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2014

unfavorable effects of currency) for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2014. Excluding the unfavorable currency impacts, the increase in RevPAR was primarily driven by increased occupancy in most areas within the region and increased ADR in Japan and parts of Australia.

Our EAME/SW Asia management segment had comparable full service RevPAR declines of 8.1% (or an increase of 1.4% excluding the unfavorable effects of currency) for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Excluding the unfavorable currency impacts, the increase in RevPAR was driven by improved ADR in Eastern Europe, improved occupancy in India and improved occupancy and ADR in parts of Western Europe.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses, excluding the impact of the rabbi trust, for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 increased \$3 million compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2014. The \$3 million increase in costs includes an \$8 million decrease due to the sale of our vacation ownership business in the fourth quarter of 2014. Excluding both rabbi trust and vacation ownership, selling, general, and administrative costs increased \$11 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The \$11 million increase in costs was driven by an \$11 million increase in payroll and related costs, primarily due to stock based compensation expense, related to stock grants in the quarter for certain individuals, which were expensed in full upon grant, and a \$2 million increase in professional fees, partially offset by a \$1 million decrease in marketing costs.

Other loss, net increased \$6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Included in other loss, net is \$16 million in performance guarantee expense which primarily relates to the four managed hotels in France. See "Segment Results" below for a discussion of the four managed hotels in France.

As of March 31, 2015, we had \$563 million in cash and cash equivalents. At March 31, 2015, we had available credit facilities with banks for various corporate purposes. The amount of undrawn borrowing availability as of March 31, 2015 was approximately \$1.5 billion.

Results of Operations
Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 Compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2014
Consolidated Results

	Three Months Ended March 31,							
(In millions, except percentages)	2015		2014		Better / (Worse)			
REVENUES:								
Total revenues	\$1,054		\$1,074		\$(20)	(2)%
DIRECT AND SELLING, GENERAL, AND								
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES:								
Owned and leased hotels	384		415		31		7	%
Depreciation and amortization	79		95		16		17	%
Other direct costs	5		8		3		38	%
Selling, general, and administrative	94		87		(7)	(8)%
Other costs from managed properties	433		416		(17)	(4)%
Direct and selling, general, and administrative expenses	995		1,021		26		3	%
Net gains and interest income from marketable securities	8		4		4		100	%
held to fund operating programs	O		4		4		100	/0
Equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures	(6)	(7)	1		14	%
Interest expense	(17)	(19)	2		11	%
Gains on sales of real estate	8		61		(53)	(87)%
Other loss, net	(18)	(12)	(6)	(50)%
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	34		80		(46)	(58)%
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	(12)	(24)	12		50	%
NET INCOME	22		56		(34)	(61)%
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO								%
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS							_	70
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO HYATT HOTELS	\$22		\$56		\$(34	`	(61)%
CORPORATION	Ψ ΔΔ		φυσ		φ(34	,	(01)70

Revenues. Consolidated revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2015 decreased \$20 million, or 2%, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included \$16 million in net unfavorable foreign currency impacts, and a \$17 million increase in other revenues from managed properties.

Other revenues from managed properties includes an increase in gains of \$1 million resulting from changes in the underlying assets held for our benefit programs funded through a rabbi trust for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. These gains are offset in other costs from managed properties, thus having no net impact to our earnings. Excluding these amounts, other revenues from managed properties increased \$16 million, or 4%, in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in other revenues from managed properties were due to a higher volume of reimbursements paid to us by our managed properties for increased participation in our Gold Passport program, increased payroll and related benefits expense, increased marketing expense and increased technology costs, which was driven in part by new hotel openings and previously owned hotels that have been sold subject to long-term management agreements. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in reimbursements due to the sale of our vacation ownership business in 2014.

Owned and leased hotels revenues decreased \$39 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Non-comparable owned and leased hotels revenue decreased \$57 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included \$2 million in net unfavorable currency impacts. The decrease in non-comparable owned and leased hotels revenues was driven by dispositions during 2014 and 2015. See "Segment Results" below for a discussion of the non-comparable owned and leased hotels activity. Comparable owned and leased hotels revenue

increased \$18 million during the three month period ended March 31, 2015, compared to the same period in the prior year, which includes net unfavorable foreign currency impacts of \$11 million. The increase was driven by a \$27 million increase at our full service hotels in the United States, partially offset by a \$9 million decrease at our international hotels. The decrease in comparable international hotels was driven by unfavorable net currency impacts of \$11 million, partially offset by improved performance at certain of our full service hotels in Germany. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, revenue growth at our United States comparable full service hotels was driven by improved transient ADR, improved group ADR and demand as well as increased food and beverage revenues. Management and franchise fees increased \$16 million during the three month period ending March 31, 2015, when compared to the same period in the prior year, which includes \$3 million in net unfavorable currency impacts. Included in consolidated management and franchise fees for the three months ended March 31, 2015 were the following:

	Three Months Ended March 31,							
(in millions, except percentages)	2015	2014	Better / (
Base management fees	\$44	\$41	\$3	7	%			
Incentive management fees	30	27	3	11	%			
Franchise fees	21	14	7	50	%			
Other fee revenues	10	7	3	43	%			
Total management and franchise fees	\$105	\$89	\$16	18	%			

The increase in franchise fees was primarily driven by new and converted hotels and improved performance at existing hotels in the Americas. The increase in management fees was largely driven by full service hotels in the United States which benefited from improved transient ADR and improved group ADR and demand. The increase in other fee revenues was driven by the amortization of deferred gains from hotels sold subject to long-term management agreements. These increases were partially offset by decreased management fee revenues in the EAME/SW Asia management segment, primarily from properties in Europe and the Middle East driven by impacts from the stronger U.S. dollar.

Other revenues decreased \$14 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the same period ended March 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily driven by a \$16 million decrease related to the sale of our vacation ownership business during the fourth quarter of 2014, which was partially offset by increased revenues of \$2 million related to our co-branded credit card.

The table below provides a breakdown of revenues by segment for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. For further discussion of segment revenues for the periods presented, please refer to "—Segment Results" below.

	Three Mo				
(in millions, except percentages)	2015	2014	Better /	(Worse)	
Owned and leased hotels	\$509	\$548	\$(39) (7)%
Americas management and franchising	488	454	34	7	%
ASPAC management and franchising	40	37	3	8	%
EAME/SW Asia management	30	30		_	%
Corporate and other	9	30	(21) (70)%
Eliminations	(22) (25) 3	12	%
Consolidated revenues	\$1,054	\$1,074	\$(20) (2)%

Owned and leased hotels expense. Owned and leased hotels expense decreased by \$31 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Non-comparable owned and leased hotels expense decreased \$42 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in the prior year, due to the sale of four full service hotels and 52 select service hotels in 2014 and the sale of one full service hotel in 2015. Comparable owned and leased hotels

expense increased \$11 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily driven by increased rent expense and commissions. Additionally, the increase in expenses recognized with respect to our employee benefit programs funded through a rabbi trust was insignificant for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014.

Depreciation and amortization expense. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$16 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease was driven by non-comparable hotel depreciation expense due primarily to hotels sold during 2014 and 2015, partially offset by acquired or newly opened hotels.

Other direct costs. Other direct costs, which includes costs associated with our vacation ownership business prior to the sale in the fourth quarter of 2014 and our co-branded credit card, decreased \$3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This decrease was primarily due to a \$5 million decrease driven by the sale of our vacation ownership business, partially offset by increased costs of \$2 million related to our co-branded credit card.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Included in selling, general, and administrative expenses is the financial performance of the investment securities held in a rabbi trust to fund certain benefit programs. The financial performance of these investments resulted in an increase in costs of \$4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. These expenses are offset in net gains and interest income from marketable securities held to fund operating programs, thus having no net impact to our earnings.

Excluding the rabbi trust amounts, selling, general, and administrative costs increased \$3 million, or 4%, in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The \$3 million increase in costs includes an \$8 million decrease due to the sale of our vacation ownership business. Excluding both rabbi trust and vacation ownership, selling, general, and administrative costs increased \$11 million, or 14%, in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The \$11 million increase in costs was primarily driven by an \$11 million increase in payroll and related costs, primarily due to stock based compensation expense, related to stock grants in the quarter for certain individuals, which were expensed in full upon grant, and a \$2 million increase in professional fees, partially offset by a \$1 million decrease in marketing costs.

Net gains and interest income from marketable securities held to fund operating programs. Net gains and interest income from marketable securities held to fund operating programs includes securities held to fund our benefit programs funded through a rabbi trust and securities held to fund our Gold Passport program. These securities in total generated net gains of \$8 million and \$4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which were primarily attributable to the marketable securities held to fund our benefit programs funded through a rabbi trust. These changes are driven by the market performance of the underlying securities. The gains and losses on securities held in the rabbi trust are offset by our owned and leased hotels expense for our hotel staff and selling, general, and administrative expenses for our corporate staff and personnel supporting our business segments, having no net impact on our earnings. Of the \$4 million change in the underlying securities in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, \$4 million was offset in selling, general, and administrative expenses and an insignificant amount was offset in owned and leased hotels expense.

Marketable securities held to fund our Gold Passport program and related to our owned and leased hotels were flat in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The gains and losses on securities held to fund our Gold Passport program and related to our owned and leased hotels are offset by corresponding changes to our owned and leased hotels revenue, thus having no net impact on our earnings. Equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures. Equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures were

Equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures. Equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures were \$6 million and \$7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The prior year includes impairments of \$1 million recognized in equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures, while there were no impairments recorded in the current year.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to a

reduction in interest expense of \$3 million on the Hyatt Regency Grand Cypress capital lease, for which we exercised our purchase option during 2014.

Gains on sales of real estate. Gains on sales of real estate were \$8 million and \$61 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we sold Hyatt Regency Indianapolis for \$69 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$8 million, and entered into a long-term franchise agreement with the owner of the property. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we sold nine select service hotels and one full service hotel to an unrelated third party for a combined \$311 million, net of closing costs, and we recorded a pre-tax gain of \$61 million. The properties will remain Hyatt-branded hotels for a minimum of 25 years under long-term agreements. We recognize the gains on sales of real estate on our condensed consolidated statements of income in the period of sale when we have concluded we do not retain significant continuing involvement with the hotel.

Other loss, net. Other loss, net increased \$6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase was primarily due to an increase in foreign currency losses. The table below provides a breakdown of other loss, net, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
(in millions)	2015	2014	Better / (Worse	(:	
Performance guarantee expense	\$(16) \$(17) \$1		
Foreign currency losses	(7) —	(7)	
Interest income	2	2	_		
Guarantee liability amortization	2	2	_		
Other (1)	1	1	_		
Other loss, net	\$(18) \$(12) \$(6)	

(1) Includes gains (losses) on asset retirements for each period presented.

Provision for income taxes. Our effective income tax rate was 35.3% and 30.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the effect of state and foreign taxes on operations and a benefit of \$2 million for deferred tax adjustments to reflect the impact of regulations issued by the Internal Revenue Service in the quarter.

For the three months ended March 31, 2014, the effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to a \$4 million benefit for the release of a valuation allowance of a foreign subsidiary and a benefit of \$2 million related to a state legislative change enacted in the first quarter of 2014. In addition, a benefit of \$2 million (including interest) was recognized as a result of settling federal and state income tax audits. Segment Results

We evaluate segment operating performance using segment revenue and segment Adjusted EBITDA, as described in Note 14. The segment results presented below are presented before intersegment eliminations.

Owned and Leased Hotels. Revenues decreased \$39 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included \$13 million in net unfavorable currency impacts.

Comparable hotel revenues increased \$18 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included \$11 million in net unfavorable currency impacts. The increase during the three months ended March 31, 2015 was primarily driven by a \$27 million increase at our full service hotels in the United States, partially offset by a \$9 million decrease at our international hotels. The decrease in comparable international hotels was driven by unfavorable net currency impacts of \$11 million, partially offset by improved performance at certain of our full service hotels in Germany. For the three months ended March 31, 2015,

revenue growth at our United States comparable full service hotels was driven by improved transient ADR, improved group ADR and demand as well as increased food and beverage revenues.

Comparable RevPAR at our owned and leased hotels in the three months ended March 31, 2015 increased by 3.8% (or 6.5% excluding the net unfavorable currency impacts) compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Excluding the unfavorable currency impacts, these increases were primarily driven by improved ADR.

Non-comparable owned and leased hotels revenues decreased \$57 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included \$2 million in net unfavorable currency impacts. The decrease in non-comparable owned and leased hotels revenues was driven by the following activity, in order of significance:

- •sale of four full service hotels and 52 select service hotels in 2014; and
- •sale of one full service hotel in 2015.

These decreases in revenues were partially offset by the following activity:

one full service hotel opening; one select service hotel opening; and the acquisition of a full service hotel from an unconsolidated hospitality venture in 2014.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we removed one property that was sold during the period from the comparable owned and leased hotels results.

	Three	Months	Ended Ma	arch 31,							
	RevPA	RevPAR			ipancy			ADR			
(Comparable Owned and Leased Hotels)	2015	2014	Better / (Worse)	2015	2014		Change in Occ % pts	/1113	2014	Better (Wors	
Total Owned and Leased Hotels	\$164	\$158	3.8	% 75.2	% 73.7	%	1.5	\$218	\$214	1.7	%
			Thre	ee Montl	hs Ended N	A arc	ch 31,				
(in millions except percentag	es)		2015	5	2014		Bette	r / (Wor	rse)		
Segment Revenues			\$50	9	\$548		\$(39) (7.1)%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA			\$124	4	\$125		\$(1) (0.8)%

Adjusted EBITDA decreased by \$1 million (including \$2 million in net unfavorable currency impacts) in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Adjusted EBITDA at our comparable owned and leased properties increased \$8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014, which included \$1 million net unfavorable currency impacts. The increase was primarily due to improved transient ADR, improved group ADR and demand and increased food and beverage revenues at our hotels in the United States, partially offset by increased rent expense and higher commissions. Adjusted EBITDA at our non-comparable hotels decreased \$12 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014, primarily due to dispositions, and partially offset by openings and acquisitions during 2014 and 2015.

Adjusted EBITDA at our unconsolidated hospitality venture hotels increased \$3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included \$1 million net unfavorable currency impacts. The increase in the three months ended March 31, 2015 was primarily driven by improved performance and hotel openings at two ventures that operate in resort markets. These increases were partially offset by decreases as a result of sales of four hotels by unconsolidated hospitality ventures in 2014 in which we held an equity interest and weaker performance in some international markets.

Americas management and franchising. Americas management and franchising segment revenues increased by \$34 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included insignificant net unfavorable currency impacts. Other revenues from managed properties increased by \$21 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. These increases in other revenues from managed properties were due to a higher volume of reimbursements paid to us by our managed properties for increased participation in our Gold Passport program,

increased payroll and related benefits expense, increased marketing expense and increased technology costs. The increased volume of reimbursements was driven in part by new hotel openings and owned hotels that have been sold subject to long-term management agreements.

Management, franchise and other fees increased by \$13 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Franchise fees increased \$7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily driven by new and converted hotels and improved performance at existing hotels. Management fees increased \$3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in the prior year, largely driven by full service hotels in the United States which benefited from improved transient ADR, improved group ADR and demand and improved food and beverage revenues. Other fee revenues increased by \$3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in the prior year, driven by the amortization of deferred gains from hotels sold subject to long-term management agreements.

Our full service hotels comparable RevPAR improved 7.5% (or 8.3% excluding the unfavorable currency impacts) in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase was primarily due to increased transient ADR and group ADR and demand. Comparable RevPAR at our select service hotels in the three months ended March 31, 2015 increased by 10.1% compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, driven primarily by increased ADR.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we removed one property that left the chain from the comparable Americas full service systemwide hotels and no properties from the comparable Americas select service systemwide hotels.

	Three 1	Three Months Ended March 31,												
	RevPAR			Occup	Occupancy									
(Comparable Systemwide Hotels)	2015	2014	Better (Wors		2015		2014		Chan Occ 9	_	2015	2014	Better / (Worse	
Americas Full Service	\$140	\$130	7.5	%	72.2	%	70.6	%	1.6	%	\$194	\$185	5.3	%
Americas Select Service	94	85	10.1	%	73.5	%	72.5	%	1.0	%	128	117	8.7	%
	Three Months Ended March 31,													
(in millions except percentag	ges)			2015			2014			Bette	er / (Wo	orse)		
Segment Revenues														
Management, Franchise and	Other F	ees		\$88			\$75			\$13		17.3	3	%
Other Revenues from Manag	ged Prop	erties		400			379			21		5.5		%
Total Segment Revenues				\$488			\$454			\$34		7.5		%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA				\$69			\$56			\$13		23.2	2	%

Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$13 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included insignificant net unfavorable currency impacts, due to the aforementioned \$13 million increase in management, franchise and other fees.

ASPAC management and franchising. ASPAC management and franchising segment revenues increased by \$3 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included \$1 million in net unfavorable currency impacts. The increase was driven by a \$3 million increase in other revenues from managed properties in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Management, franchise and other fees were flat in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in the prior year, as a \$2 million increase in management fees in 2015 was offset by a termination fee recognized in the prior year.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, comparable full service RevPAR was flat (or increased 5.8% excluding the unfavorable currency impacts) compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Excluding the aforementioned unfavorable currency impacts, the increase in comparable full service RevPAR during the three

months ended March 31, 2015 was driven by increased occupancy in most areas within the region and increased ADR in Japan and parts of Australia.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we removed two properties from the comparable ASPAC full service systemwide hotels, one property that left the chain and one property as a result of a significant renovation.

Three Months Ended March 31,

	RevPAR			Occupancy					ADR					
(Comparable Systemwide Hotels)	2015	2014	Better (Wors		2015		2014		Change Occ %		2015	2014	Better / (Worse	
ASPAC Full Service	\$147	\$147		%	66.9	%	64.3	%	2.6	%	\$220	\$229	(3.9)%
				Th	ree Mo	nth	s Ended	l Ma	arch 31,					
(in millions except percenta	ages)			201	15		201	4		Bet	ter / (W	orse)		
Segment Revenues														
Management, Franchise an	d Other	Fees		\$2	1		\$21			\$-	_	_		%
Other Revenues from Mana	aged Pro	perties		19			16			3		18	.8	%
Total Segment Revenues				\$40	C		\$37			\$3		8.1		%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	4			\$1	1		\$11			\$—	_			%

Adjusted EBITDA was flat in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included \$1 million net unfavorable currency impacts.

EAME/SW Asia management. EAME/SW Asia management segment revenues were flat in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, including \$2 million in net unfavorable currency impacts. Other revenues from managed properties increased \$2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Management and other fees decreased by \$2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease during the three months ended March 31, 2015 was due to a \$1 million decrease in base fees and a \$1 million decrease in incentive fees, primarily driven by properties in Europe and the Middle East due to impacts from the stronger U.S. dollar.

The four managed hotels in France are subject to an operating performance guarantee. When operating profit at the hotels exceeds a specified operating profit threshold, we recognize incentive fees in our management and franchise revenues. When operating profit is below the operating profit threshold, we record performance guarantee expense. As of March 31, 2015 and 2014, operating profit at the four managed hotels in France was below the operating profit threshold and as a result we have not recorded any incentive fees during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. We instead incurred expenses of \$16 million and \$15 million under the guarantee for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which was recorded in other loss, net on the condensed consolidated statements of income.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, comparable full service RevPAR decreased 8.1% (or increased 1.4% excluding unfavorable currency impacts) compared to the same period in the prior year. Excluding the unfavorable currency impacts, the increase in comparable full service RevPAR during the three months ended March 31, 2015 was driven by improved ADR in Eastern Europe, improved occupancy in India and improved occupancy and ADR in parts of Western Europe.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we did not remove any properties from the comparable EAME/SW Asia full service systemwide hotel results.

Three 1	Months 1	Ended 1	March	31,										
RevPA				Occuj	oano	су				ADR				
2015	2014			2015		2014			_	2015	20	14	Better / (Worse	
\$133	\$145	(8.1)%	64.6	%	63.7	%	0.9	%	\$206	\$2	227	(9.4)%
Three Months Ended March 31,														
ages)			2015			2014			Bette	er / (Wo	orse)		
es			\$16			\$18			\$(2)	(11.	1)%
aged Pro	perties		14			12			2			16.7	•	%
			\$30			\$30			\$			_		%
4			\$6			\$11			\$(5)	(45	5)%
	RevPA 2015 \$133 ages) es aged Proj	RevPAR 2015 2014 \$133 \$145 ages) es aged Properties	RevPAR 2015 2014 Better (Worse 133 \$145 (8.1) ages) es aged Properties	RevPAR 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) \$133 \$145 (8.1)% Three ages) 2015 es \$16 14 \$30	2015 2014 Better / (Worse) 2015 \$133 \$145 (8.1)% 64.6 Three Mont 2015 es \$16 aged Properties \$16 14 \$30	RevPAR Occupand 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) 2015 \$133 \$145 (8.1)% 64.6% Three Months Eages) ages) 2015 es \$16 aged Properties 14 \$30	RevPAR Occupancy 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) 2015 2014 \$133 \$145 (8.1)% 64.6 % 63.7 Three Months Ended 2015 ages) 2015 2014 es \$16 \$18 aged Properties 14 12 \$30 \$30	RevPAR Occupancy 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) 2015 2014 \$133 \$145 (8.1))% 64.6 % 63.7 % Three Months Ended Marges) ages) 2015 2014 es \$16 \$18 aged Properties 14 12 \$30 \$30	RevPAR Occupancy 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) 2015 2014 Chan Occ 9 \$133 \$145 (8.1)% 64.6 % 63.7 % 0.9 Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 ages) \$16 \$18 aged Properties 14 12 \$30 \$30	RevPAR Occupancy 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) 2015 2014 Change in Occ % pts \$133 \$145 (8.1)% 64.6 % 63.7 % 0.9 % Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 Better es \$16 \$18 \$(2) aged Properties 14 12 2 \$30 \$30 \$—	RevPAR Occupancy ADR 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) 2015 2014 Change in Occ % pts 2015 \$133 \$145 (8.1)% 64.6 % 63.7 % 0.9 % \$206 Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 ages) 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) es \$16 \$18 \$(2 aged Properties 14 12 2 \$30 \$30 \$—	RevPAR Occupancy ADR 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) 2015 2014 Change in Occ % pts 2015 20 \$133 \$145 (8.1))% 64.6 % 63.7 % 0.9 % \$206 \$2 Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) es \$16 \$18 \$(2)) aged Properties 14 12 2 2 \$30 \$30 \$— \$—	RevPAR Occupancy ADR 2015 2014 Change in Occ % pts 2015 2014 \$133 \$145 (8.1)% 64.6 % 63.7 % 0.9 % \$206 \$227 Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) es \$16 \$18 \$(2) (11. aged Properties 14 12 2 16.7 \$30 \$30 \$— —	RevPAR Occupancy ADR 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) 2015 2014 Change in Occ % pts 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) \$133 \$145 (8.1))% 64.6 % 63.7 % 0.9 % \$206 \$227 (9.4) Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 Better / (Worse) es \$16 \$18 \$(2)) (11.1) es \$16 \$18 \$(2)) (11.1) es \$30 \$30 \$— —

Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, which included \$1 million net unfavorable currency impacts. The decrease in Adjusted EBITDA during the three months ended March 31, 2015 was driven by the aforementioned \$2 million decrease in management and other fees and a \$3 million increase in selling, general, and administrative costs. The increase in selling, general, and administrative costs was driven by a \$2 million increase in payroll and related costs and a \$1 million increase in bad debt expense compared to bad debt recoveries in the three months ended March 31, 2014. Corporate and other. Corporate and other includes unallocated corporate expenses, the results of our vacation ownership business prior to the sale in the fourth quarter of 2014, license fees related to Hyatt Residence Club, and the results of our co-branded credit card. Corporate and other revenues decreased \$21 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014. The decrease was primarily due to a \$16 million decrease in other revenues and a \$9 million decrease in other revenues from managed properties, both due to the sale of our vacation ownership business. These decreases were partially offset by a \$2 million increase in growth of our co-branded credit card program and \$2 million in license fees recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

	Inree Mont						
(in millions except percentages)	2015	2014	Better / (V	er / (Worse)			
Corporate and other revenues	\$9	\$30	\$(21) (70.0)%		
Corporate and other Adjusted EBITDA	\$(41)	\$(31)	\$(10) (32.3)%		

Th. ... M. ... 1. . E. . 1. 1 M. ... 1. 21

Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$10 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease was driven by the aforementioned \$21 million decrease in corporate and other revenues and a \$1 million increase in selling, general, and administrative costs, partially offset by a \$9 million decrease in other costs from managed properties and a \$3 million decrease in other direct costs. The \$3 million decrease in other direct costs was driven by a \$5 million decrease from the sale of our vacation ownership business, partially offset by a \$2 million increase in costs from our co-branded credit card program. The \$1 million increase in selling, general, and administrative costs during the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the same period in the prior year, was primarily driven by higher payroll and related costs, partially offset by lower marketing and related costs due to the sale of our vacation ownership business.

Eliminations. Eliminations of \$22 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and eliminations of \$25 million and for the three months ended March 31, 2014 primarily represent fees charged by our management and franchising segments to our owned and leased hotels segment for managing their operations.

Non-GAAP Measure Reconciliation

We use the term Adjusted EBITDA throughout this quarterly report. Adjusted EBITDA, as we define it, is a non-GAAP measure. We define consolidated Adjusted EBITDA as net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation plus our pro-rata share of unconsolidated hospitality ventures Adjusted EBITDA using our ownership percentage of each venture, adjusted to exclude the following items:

- equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality
 - ventures;

gains on sales of real estate;

other loss, net;

depreciation and amortization;

interest expense; and

provision for income taxes.

We calculate consolidated Adjusted EBITDA by adding the Adjusted EBITDA of each of our reportable segments to corporate and other Adjusted EBITDA.

Our board of directors and executive management team focus on Adjusted EBITDA as a key performance and compensation measure both on a segment and on a consolidated basis. Adjusted EBITDA assists us in comparing our performance over various reporting periods on a consistent basis because it removes from our operating results the impact of items that do not reflect our core operating performance both on a segment and on a consolidated basis. Our President and Chief Executive Officer, who is our chief operating decision maker, also evaluates the performance of each of our reportable segments and determines how to allocate resources to those segments, in significant part, by assessing the Adjusted EBITDA of each segment. In addition, the compensation committee of our board of directors determines the annual variable compensation for certain members of our management based in part on consolidated Adjusted EBITDA, segment Adjusted EBITDA or some combination of both.

We believe Adjusted EBITDA is useful to investors because it provides investors the same information that we use internally for purposes of assessing our operating performance and making compensation decisions.

Adjusted EBITDA is not a substitute for net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation, net income, cash flows from operating activities or any other measure prescribed by GAAP. There are limitations to using non-GAAP measures such as Adjusted EBITDA. Although we believe that Adjusted EBITDA can make an evaluation of our operating performance more consistent because it removes items that do not reflect our core operations, other companies in our industry may define Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do. As a result, it may be difficult to use Adjusted EBITDA or similarly named non-GAAP measures that other companies may use to compare the performance of those companies to our performance. Because of these limitations, Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of the income generated by our business or discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. Our management compensates for these limitations by reference to our GAAP results and using Adjusted EBITDA supplementally. See our condensed consolidated statements of income and condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this quarterly report.

The following table sets forth Adjusted EBITDA by segment for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

	Three Mo				
(in millions, except percentages)	2015	2014	Better / (Worse)	
Owned and leased hotels	\$124	\$125	\$(1) (0.8)%
Americas management and franchising	69	56	13	23.2	%
ASPAC management and franchising	11	11	_		%
EAME/SW Asia management	6	11	(5) (45.5)%
Corporate and other	(41) (31) (10) (32.3)%
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA	\$169	\$172	\$(3) (1.7)%

The table below provides a reconciliation of our consolidated Adjusted EBITDA to EBITDA and a reconciliation of EBITDA to net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

(in millions)	Three Mo	onths Ended Marc	h 31,
(III IIIIIIIOIIS)	2015	2014	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$169	\$172	
Equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures	(6) (7)
Gains on sales of real estate	8	61	
Other loss, net	(18) (12)
Pro rata share of unconsolidated hospitality ventures Adjusted EBITDA	(23) (20)
EBITDA	130	194	
Depreciation and amortization	(79) (95)
Interest expense	(17) (19)
Provision for income taxes	(12) (24)
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation	\$22	\$56	

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

We finance our business primarily with existing cash, short-term investments and cash generated from our operations. As part of our business strategy, we also recycle capital by using net proceeds from dispositions to support our acquisitions and new investment opportunities. When appropriate, we borrow cash under our revolving credit facility or from other third-party sources, and may also raise funds by issuing debt or equity securities as necessary. We maintain a cash investment policy that emphasizes preservation of capital. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$643 million and \$815 million, respectively. We believe that our cash position, short-term investments and cash from operations, together with borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility and our access to the capital markets, will be adequate to meet all of our funding requirements and capital deployment objectives for the foreseeable future.

We may, from time to time, seek to retire or purchase additional amounts of our outstanding equity and/or debt securities through cash purchases and/or exchanges for other securities, in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan. Such repurchase or exchanges, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we continued to make purchases of our common stock under our approved repurchase program. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we repurchased \$187 million of the Company's common stock. The common stock repurchase program does not obligate the Company to repurchase any dollar amount or number of shares of common stock and the program may be suspended or discontinued at any time. See Note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further details of our existing repurchase plans. As of March 31, 2015, we had \$257 million remaining under the current share repurchase authorization.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we sold Hyatt Regency Indianapolis for a net sales price of \$69 million. We entered into a long-term franchise agreement with the purchaser.

During 2013, we entered into management agreements and a related performance guarantee with a third party that acquired the four managed hotels in France. The performance guarantee does not have an annual cap and the maximum total commitment remaining under the performance guarantee as of March 31, 2015 is €362 million, or \$388 million, using exchange rates as of March 31, 2015. Our current expectation is that payments under the performance guarantee in 2015 will not have a significant impact on our liquidity and capital resources. See Note 10 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further information.

Sources and Uses of Cash

At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$563 million and \$685 million, respectively. Additionally, we had short-term investments in certificates of deposit of \$80 million and \$130 million as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

(in millions)	Three Months I	Ended March 31,
(III IIIIIIIOIIS)	2015	2014
Cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$15	\$49
Investing activities	41	329
Financing activities	(193)	(66)
Effects of changes in exchange rate on cash and cash equivalents	15	(1)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$(122)	\$311

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Cash flows provided by operating activities totaled \$15 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to \$49 million in the same period last year. In 2015, the timing of certain accruals, prepaids and distributions received from unconsolidated hospitality ventures had a negative impact on working capital and operating cash flows. This was partially offset by the positive impact from the timing of income tax payments due to an overpayment of federal income taxes in 2014.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash flows provided by investing activities totaled \$41 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$329 million in the same period last year. Specific activity in each period was as follows:

During the three months ended March 31, 2015:

We sold Hyatt Regency Indianapolis for approximately \$69 million.

Capital expenditures were \$61 million (see "Capital Expenditures" below).

We invested \$12 million in unconsolidated hospitality ventures.

We sold a net total of \$18 million of marketable securities and short-term investments.

We released \$18 million from restricted cash related to the development of a hotel in Brazil.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014:

We sold nine select service properties and one full service property for \$308 million, net of closing costs and cash transferred, of which \$232 million was classified as restricted cash in anticipation of consummation of a like-kind exchange agreement and was released upon the completion of the like-kind exchange.

• We released \$74 million from restricted cash in conjunction with the 2013 sale of Hyatt Key West and the consummation of a like-kind exchange agreement.

Capital expenditures were \$41 million (see "Capital Expenditures" below).

We invested \$14 million in unconsolidated hospitality ventures.

We purchased a net total of \$10 million of marketable securities and short-term investments.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Cash flows used in financing activities totaled \$193 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$66 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company repurchased 3,192,629 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$187 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company repurchased 1,172,645 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$61 million of which \$59 million was settled in cash during the period.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, we did not draw on our revolving credit facility.

The following is a summary of our debt to capital ratios:

(in millions, except percentages)	March 31, 2015		December 31, 2014	
Consolidated debt (1)	\$1,379		\$1,390	
Stockholders' equity	4,422		4,627	
Total capital	5,801		6,017	
Total debt to total capital	23.8	%	23.1	%
Consolidated debt (1)	1,379		1,390	
Less: Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments	643		815	
Net consolidated debt (cash and short-term investments)	\$736		\$575	
Net debt to total capital	12.7	%	9.6	%

Excludes approximately \$655 million and \$638 million of our share of unconsolidated hospitality venture (1) indebtedness as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, substantially all of which is non-recourse to us.

Capital Expenditures

We routinely make capital expenditures to enhance our business. We classify our capital expenditures into maintenance, enhancements to existing properties and investment in new properties.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, we had total capital expenditures of \$61 million and \$41 million, respectively. Maintenance expenditures were \$32 million and \$14 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, with the increase driven by increased technology spending and increased spending at full service properties. Expenditures related to investments in new properties were \$19 million and \$11 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. This increase is driven by construction spending on our development of a hotel in Brazil. Enhancements to existing properties were \$10 million and \$16 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease is primarily driven by decreased renovation activity at owned select service hotels driven by dispositions during 2014. We have been and will continue to be prudent with respect to our capital spending, taking into account our cash flow from operations. Senior Notes

The table below sets forth the outstanding principal balance of our Senior Notes. Interest on the Senior Notes is payable semi-annually.

Description	Principal Amount	
Description	(in millions)	
2016 Notes	\$250	
2019 Notes	196	
2021 Notes	250	
2023 Notes	350	
Total	\$1,046	

We are in compliance with all applicable covenants under the indenture governing our Senior Notes as of March 31, 2015.

Revolving Credit Facility

On January 6, 2014, we entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with a syndicate of lenders that amended and restated our prior revolving credit facility to extend the facility's expiration from September 9, 2016 to January 4, 2019. The revolving credit facility is intended to provide financing for working capital and general corporate purposes, including commercial paper back-up and permitted investments and acquisitions.

There were no borrowings under the revolving credit facility during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. There was no outstanding balance on this credit facility at March 31, 2015, or at December 31, 2014. We do, however, have \$8 million and \$9 million in outstanding undrawn letters of credit that we issued under our revolving credit facility (which reduces the availability thereunder by the corresponding amount) as of March 31,

2015, and December 31, 2014, respectively. As of March 31, 2015, we had available borrowing capacity of \$1.5 billion, net of outstanding undrawn letters of credit.

We are in compliance with all applicable covenants under the revolving credit facility as of March 31, 2015. Letters of Credit

We issue letters of credit either under the revolving credit facility or directly with financial institutions. We had a total of \$64 million and \$65 million in letters of credit outstanding at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. We had letters of credit issued directly with financial institutions of \$56 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014. The letters of credit issued directly with financial institutions had weighted-average fees of 94 basis points at March 31, 2015. The range of maturity on these letters of credit was up to one year as of March 31, 2015. Other Debt Obligations

We are in compliance with all applicable covenants under all other debt instruments as of March 31, 2015. Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and related disclosures. We have discussed those estimates that we believe are critical and require the use of complex judgment in their application in our 2014 Form 10-K. Since the date of our 2014 Form 10-K, there have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies or the methodologies or assumptions we apply under them.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We are exposed to market risk primarily from changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. In certain situations, we seek to reduce earnings and cash flow volatility associated with changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates by entering into financial arrangements to provide a hedge against a portion of the risks associated with such volatility. We continue to have exposure to such risks to the extent they are not hedged. We enter into derivative financial arrangements to the extent they meet the objectives described above, and we do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. At March 31, 2015, we were a party to hedging transactions, including the use of derivative financial instruments, as discussed below.

Interest Rate Risk

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes due to our borrowing activities. Our objective is to manage the risk of interest rate changes on the results of operations, cash flows, and the market value of our debt by creating an appropriate balance between our fixed and floating-rate debt. We have entered into interest rate derivative transactions from time to time, including interest rate swaps, in order to maintain a level of exposure to interest rate variability that the Company deems acceptable. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we held no interest rate swap contracts.

Foreign Currency Exposures and Exchange Rate Instruments

We transact business in various foreign currencies and utilize foreign currency forward contracts to offset our exposure associated with the fluctuations of certain foreign currencies. These foreign currency exposures typically arise from intercompany loans and other intercompany transactions.

The U.S. dollar equivalent of the notional amount of the outstanding forward contracts, the majority of which relate to intercompany loans, with terms of less than one year, is as follows (in U.S. dollars):

(in millions)	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Pound Sterling	\$164	\$171
Canadian Dollar	66	72
Korean Won	37	32
Swiss Franc	13	10
Total notional amount of forward contracts	\$280	\$285

We intend to offset the gains and losses related to our intercompany loans and transactions with gains or losses on our foreign currency forward contracts such that there is a negligible effect on net income. The effects of these derivative instruments within other loss, net on our condensed consolidated financial statements was a gain of \$14 million and a loss of \$2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, and 2014, respectively. We expect to continue this practice relating to our intercompany loans and transactions, and we will continue to manage the risks associated with other transactional and translational foreign currency volatility within our business.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company maintains a set of disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules and forms. In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We are involved in various claims and lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, including proceedings involving tort and other general liability claims, workers' compensation and other employee claims, intellectual property claims and claims related to our management of certain hotel properties. Most occurrences involving liability, claims of negligence and employees are covered by insurance with solvent insurance carriers. We recognize a liability when we believe the loss is probable and reasonably estimable. We currently believe that the ultimate outcome of such lawsuits and proceedings will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

At March 31, 2015, there have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in response to Item 1A. to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's purchases of shares of Class A and Class B common stock during the quarter ended March 31, 2015:

				Maximum Number (or
	Total Number of Shares	Weighted	Total Number of	Approximate Dollar
		Average	Shares Purchased	Value) of Shares that
		Price Paid	as Part of Publicly	May Yet Be
	Purchased (1)	per Share	Announced Plans	Purchased
				under the
				Program
January 1 to January 31, 2015	810,512	\$57.93	810,512	\$397,138,795
February 1 to February 28, 2015	1,628,315	\$58.95	1,628,315	\$301,142,728
March 1 to March 31, 2015	753,802	\$58.85	753,802	\$256,781,175
Total	3,192,629	\$58.67	3,192,629	

On each of May 16, 2014 and December 11, 2014, we announced the approvals of expansions of our share repurchase program pursuant to which we are authorized to purchase up to an additional \$300 million and \$400 million, respectively, of Class A and Class B common stock in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan. The 2014 repurchase programs do not have an expiration date. As of March 31, 2015, the Company had approximately \$257 million remaining under its current share repurchase authorization.

Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities.
None.	
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures.
Not Appl	licable.
Item 5.	Other Information.
None.	
46	

Item 6. Exhibits. Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Hyatt Hotels Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 (File No. 001-34521) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 31, 2013)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Hyatt Hotels Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-34521) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 11, 2014)
+10.1	Employment Letter, dated January 7, 2015, between Hyatt Hotels Corporation and Maryam Banikarim
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

⁺Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Hyatt Hotels Corporation

Date: May 5, 2015 By: /s/ Mark S. Hoplamazian

Mark S. Hoplamazian

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the undersigned, in his capacity as the principal financial officer of the registrant.

Hyatt Hotels Corporation

Date: May 5, 2015 By: /s/ Atish Shah

Atish Shah

Senior Vice President, Interim Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)