Eaton Vance Tax-Managed Buy-Write Opportunities Fund Form N-CSRS August 22, 2008

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-21735

Eaton Vance Tax-Managed Buy-Write Opportunities Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices)

02109 (Zip code)

Maureen A. Gemma

The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (617) 482-8260

Date of fiscal year December 31 end:

Date of reporting period: June 30, 2008

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Semiannual Report June 30, 2008

EATON VANCE TAX-MANAGED BUY-WRITE OPPORTUNITIES FUND

IMPORTANT NOTICES REGARDING PRIVACY, DELIVERY OF SHAREHOLDER DOCUMENTS, PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS, AND PROXY VOTING

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ("Privacy Policy") with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer's account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker/dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Boston Management and Research, and Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

In addition, our Privacy Policy only applies to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer's account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial adviser/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such adviser's privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures.

For more information about Eaton Vance's Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called "householding" and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders.

Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, otherwise.

If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial adviser.

Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial adviser.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio (if applicable) will file a schedule of its portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds' and Portfolios' Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122. This description is also available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Eaton Vance Tax-Managed Buy-Write Opportunities Fund as of June 30, 2008

INVESTMENT UPDATE

Walter A. Row, CFA

Eaton Vance

Management

Co-Portfolio Manager

Ronald M. Egalka

Rampart Investment

Management

Co-Portfolio Manager

Thomas Seto

Parametric Portfolio

Associates LLC

Co-Portfolio Manager

David Stein, Ph.D.

Parametric Portfolio

Associates LLC

Co-Portfolio Manager

Economic and Market Conditions

- Equity markets remained challenging during the first half of the year, as concerns surrounding ailing credit markets, elevated commodity prices and a slowing global economy failed to abate. The equity markets suffered their worst quarterly loss in more than five years in the first quarter of 2008. The second quarter remained difficult, as investors dealt with ongoing turmoil in the financial and housing markets, creeping inflation and a continuing global economic slowdown. Major indices registered declines in the first half of the year, and the S&P 500 Index a common gauge of U.S. domestic markets lost 11.9% during the period. In this environment, small-cap stocks continued to lead large-cap stocks, and growth stocks outpaced their value counterparts.
- The S&P 500 Index s sector performance varied widely during the period, with commodity-linked energy and materials

sectors faring the best and registering the Index s only positive sector returns. The weakest-performing sectors were financials, telecommunication services and industrials. Index-leading industries during the period included energy equipment and services, gas utilities, road and rail, and metals and mining. In contrast, industries such as thrift and mortgage finance, automobiles, health care providers, and diversified financials were among the period s worst performers. The leading performers in the NASDAQ 100 Index were biotechnology, telecommunications equipment and mining and metals stocks. Selected software and semiconductor stocks in growing niche markets also posted positive returns. The Index s weakest performers included airlines, retailers, media and technology stocks with ties to consumer electronics.

Management Discussion

• The Fund s primary objective is to provide current income and gains, with a secondary objective of capital appreciation. The Fund pursues its investment objectives by investing in a diversified portfolio of common stocks, a segment of which seeks to exceed the performance of the S&P 500 Stock Index(1) and a segment of which seeks to exceed the performance of the NASDAQ 100 Index.(1) Under normal market conditions, the Fund seeks to generate current earnings from option premiums by selling index call options with respect to a substantial portion of the total value of the Fund s total assets. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Fund continued to provide shareholders with attractive quarterly distributions.

Eaton Vance Tax-Managed Buy-Write Opportunities Fund

Total Return Performance 12/31/07 6/30/08

NYSE Symbol		ETV
At Net Asset Value (NAV)		-6.75%
At Market		2.38%
S&P 500 Index(1)		-11.90%
CBOE S&P 500 Buy-Write Index(1)		-5.89%
NASDAQ 100 Index(1)		-11.68%
CBOE NASDAQ 100 Buy-Write Index(1)		-10.00%
Lipper Options Arbitrage/Options Strategies Average (1)		-7.90%
Total Distributions per share	\$	0.950
Distribution Rate(2)	On NAV	11.31%
	On Market	11.61%

See page 3 for more performance information.

⁽¹⁾ It is not possible to invest directly in an Index or a Lipper Classification. The Indices total returns do not reflect commissions or expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Indices. The Lipper total return is the average total return, at net asset value, of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund.

⁽²⁾ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s most recent quarterly distribution per share (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s quarterly distributions may be comprised of ordinary income, net realized capital gains and return of capital.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market share price will differ from its results at NAV. Although share price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at share price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. The Fund has no current intention to utilize leverage, but may do so in the future through borrowings and/or other permitted methods. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

• At net asset value (NAV), the Fund outperformed comparative indices, the S&P 500 Index, the NASDAQ 100 Index and the CBOE NASDAQ 100 Buy-Write Index, while underperforming the CBOE S&P 500 Buy-Write Index for the six months ended June 30, 2008. In a continued volatile market environment, the Fund s market share price like some other closed-end funds traded at a discount to NAV, as investors responded with caution to increasing market volatility. At June 30, 2008, the discount was 2.6%. At June 30, 2008, the Fund maintained a diversified portfolio, with investments in industries throughout the U.S. economy. Roughly 60% of the Fund s investments tracked the S&P 500 Stock Index, with the remaining 40% tracking the NASDAQ 100 Index. Among the Fund s common stock holdings, its largest sector weightings at June 30, 2008 were information technology, health care, energy, consumer discretionary and industrials.

• At June 30, 2008, the Fund had written call options on 99.7% of its equity holdings. The Fund seeks current earnings from option premiums, which may vary with investors expectation of the future volatility of the underlying asset. The first six months of 2008 witnessed continued higher levels of volatility in the equity markets. Surging oil prices and continued softness in the housing sector coupled with concerns about regional banks and an increasing anxiety about a possible recession led to dismal equity performance in the first half of the year. While there were fits and starts in the first four months of the year, implied volatility , or the expectation of future volatility, spiked almost 50% in the last six weeks of the second quarter as the broad market averages, measured by the S&P 500 Index, declined 10%. This resulted in a significant boost to option premiums, which provided a positive benefit to the Fund. Of course, in future periods of strong market growth, this strategy may lessen returns relative to the market.

The views expressed throughout this report are those of the portfolio managers and are current only through the end of the period of the report as stated on the cover. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and the investment adviser disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a fund are based on many factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. Portfolio information provided in the report may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments and may change due to active management.

Eaton Vance Tax-Managed Buy-Write Opportunities Fund as of June 30, 2008

FUND PERFORMANCE

Fund Performance

NYSE Symbol	ETV
Average Annual Total Returns (by share price, New York Stock Exchange)	
Six Months	2.38%
One Year	-6.41
Life of Fund (6/30/05)	5.28
Average Annual Total Returns (at net asset value)	
Six Months	-6.75%
One Year	-3.65
Life of Fund (6/30/05)	6.19

Fund Composition

Top Ten Holdings(1)

By total investments

Apple, Inc.	6.8%
Microsoft Corp.	3.8
Google, Inc., Class A	3.3
QUALCOMM, Inc.	3.1
Cisco Systems, Inc.	2.4
Oracle Corp.	2.4
Gilead Sciences, Inc.	2.3
Research In Motion, Ltd.	2.2
Exxon Mobil Corp.	2.1
General Electric Co.	1.9

⁽¹⁾ Top Ten Holdings represented 30.3% of the Fund s total investments as of 6/30/08. The Top Ten Holdings are presented without the offsetting effect of the Fund s written option positions at 6/30/08. Excludes cash equivalents.

By total investments

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market share price will differ from its results at NAV. Although share price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at share price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. The Fund has no current intention to utilize leverage, but may do so in the future through borrowings and/or other permitted methods. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

⁽²⁾ Reflects the Fund s total investments as of 6/30/08. Sector Weightings are presented without the offsetting effect of the Fund s written option positions at 6/30/08. Excludes cash equivalents.

³

Eaton Vance Tax-Managed Buy-Write Opportunities Fund as of June 30, 2008

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

Common Stocks 100.0%		
Security	Shares	Value
Aerospace & Defense 1.6%		
General Dynamics Corp.	54,228	\$ 4,565,998
Honeywell International, Inc.	137,827	6,929,942
Northrop Grumman Corp.	80,479	5,384,045
		\$ 16,879,985
Air Freight & Logistics 0.8%		
CH Robinson Worldwide, Inc.	60,653	\$ 3,326,211
FedEx Corp.	66,217	5,217,237
		\$ 8,543,448
Auto Components 0.4%		
Johnson Controls, Inc.	163,752	\$ 4,696,407
		\$ 4,696,407
Automobiles 0.1%		
Harley-Davidson, Inc.	32,153	\$ 1,165,868
		\$ 1,165,868
Beverages 1.3%		
Coca-Cola Co. (The)	117,208	\$ 6,092,472
PepsiCo, Inc.	119,822	7,619,481
		\$ 13,711,953
Biotechnology 4.8%		
Amgen, Inc. ⁽¹⁾	194,330	\$ 9,164,603
Biogen Idec, Inc. ⁽¹⁾	87,564	4,893,952
Celgene Corp. ⁽¹⁾	123,649	7,897,462
Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽¹⁾	85,000	605,200
Genzyme Corp. ⁽¹⁾	28,034	2,019,009
Gilead Sciences, Inc. ⁽¹⁾	460,830	24,400,948
Martek Biosciences Corp. ⁽¹⁾	20,000	674,200
Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽¹⁾	50,714	732,310
		\$ 50,387,684
Capital Markets 2.6%		
Ameriprise Financial, Inc.	12,435	\$ 505,731
Bank of New York Mellon Corp. (The)	208,078	7,871,591
Charles Schwab Corp. (The)	46,117	947,243
E*Trade Financial Corp. ⁽¹⁾	164,281	515,842
Federated Investors, Inc., Class B	17,577	605,000
Franklin Resources, Inc.	64,918	5,949,735

Security	Shares	Value
Capital Markets (continued)		
Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.	35,062	\$ 6,132,344
Invesco, Ltd.	52,677	1,263,194
Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.	94,749	3,004,491

T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.	21,876		1,235,338
			\$ 28,030,509
Chemicals 1.3%			
Dow Chemical Co. (The)	137,985		\$ 4,817,056
E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co.	54,281		2,328,112
Monsanto Co.	24,614		3,112,194
Rohm and Haas Co.	68,445		3,178,586
			\$ 13,435,948
Commercial Banks 1.0%			
Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria SA ADR	13,246		\$ 251,277
Comerica, Inc.	9,100		233,233
Fifth Third Bancorp	60,398		614,852
KeyCorp	27,176		298,392
Marshall & Ilsley Corp.	42,370		649,532
Popular, Inc.	68,345		450,394
Synovus Financial Corp.	80,482		702,608
		idth:2.5%;">	249,501

Wachovia Corp.		2	0,000	
Cost of product	61,075		79,495	
Site operating expenses	49,009		52,676	
General and administrative	74,074		72,560	
Rent	85,108		85,825	
Depreciation and amortization	26,044		27,191	
Lease termination costs			3,577	
Total operating expenses	544,811		577,293	
Operating income	33,434		28,257	
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense	(8,923)		(27,316)	
Interest income and other, net	777		2,232	
Income from continuing operations before income				
taxes and equity in				
income of affiliated companies	25,288		3,173	
Income taxes	(9,647)		(1,619)	
Equity in income of affiliated companies, net of	2,679			
income taxes			3,057	
Income from continuing operations	18,320		4,611	
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes				
(Note 2)			3,161	
Net income	\$ 18,320	\$	7,772	
Net income per share:				
Basic:				
Income from continuing operations	0.32		0.09	
Income from discontinued operations			0.06	
Net income per share, basic (1)	\$ 0.32	\$	0.14	
Diluted:				
Income from continuing operations	0.30		0.09	
Income from discontinued operations			0.06	
Net income per share, diluted (1)	\$ 0.30	\$	0.14	

Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding:		
Basic	56,629	54,143
Diluted	67,961	54,184
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04

(1) Total is a recalculation; line items calculated individually may not sum to total due to rounding.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

REGIS CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

(In thousands)

	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income \$	18,320 \$	7,772
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	23,612	24,697
Amortization	2,432	2,494
Equity in income of affiliated companies	(2,679)	(2,880)
Deferred income taxes	(6)	(3,018)
Impairment on discontinued operations		(154)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	(3)	
Stock-based compensation	2,369	2,308
Amortization of debt discount and financing costs	1,582	1,948
Other noncash items affecting earnings	815	(1,030)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities (1):		
Receivables	(267)	14,931
Inventories	(7,343)	(4,152)
Income tax receivable	28,373	8,737
Other current assets	1,748	2,747
Other assets	(1,643)	(32,065)
Accounts payable	4,163	17,144
Accrued expenses	(11,851)	(2,404)
Other noncurrent liabilities	4,562	1,449
Net cash provided by operating activities	64,184	38,524
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(16,007)	(12,646)
Proceeds from sale of assets	15	19
Asset acquisitions, net of cash acquired and certain obligations assumed	(3,861)	(543)
Proceeds from loans and investments	15,000	15,177
Disbursements for loans and investments	(15,000)	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(19,853)	2,007
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Borrowings on revolving credit facilities		337,000
Payments on revolving credit facilities		(342,000)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net of \$5.2 million underwriting discount in 2009		167,325
Repayments of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(3,334)	(301,004)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	3	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of \$7.2 million underwriting discount in 2009	59	156,436
Dividends paid	(2,297)	(2,284)
Other	3	(2,880)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(5,566)	12,593
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	4,199	4,967
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	42,964	58,091
*	y	/

Cash and cash equivalents:		
Beginning of period	151,871	42,538
End of period	\$ 194,835 \$	100,629

(1) Changes in operating assets and liabilities exclude assets acquired and liabilities assumed through acquisitions.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

REGIS CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF UNAUDITED INTERIM CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Regis Corporation (the Company) as of September 30, 2010 and for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to fairly state the consolidated financial position of the Company as of September 30, 2010 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the interim periods. Adjustments consist only of normal recurring items, except for any discussed in the notes below. The results of operations and cash flows for the full year.

The Consolidated Balance Sheet data for June 30, 2010 was derived from audited Consolidated Financial Statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2010 and other documents filed or furnished with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) during the current fiscal year.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company as of September 30, 2010 and for the three month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 included in this Form 10-Q have been reviewed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. Their separate report dated November 9, 2010 appearing herein, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 for their report on the unaudited financial information because that report is not a report or a part of the registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

Stock-Based Employee Compensation:

Stock-based awards are granted under the terms of the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan (2004 Plan) and the 2000 Stock Option Plan (2000 Plan). Additionally, the Company has outstanding stock options under its 1991 Stock Option Plan (1991 Plan), although the Plan terminated in 2001. Under these plans, four types of stock-based compensation awards are granted: stock options, equity-based stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock awards (RSAs) and restricted stock units (RSUs). The stock-based awards, other than the RSUs, expire within ten years from the grant date. The RSUs cliff vest after five years, and payment of the RSUs is deferred until January 31 of the year following vesting. Unvested awards are subject to forfeiture in the event of termination of employment. The Company utilizes an option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of options and SARs at their grant date. Stock options and SARs are granted at not less than fair market value on the date of grant. The Company s primary employee stock-based compensation grant occurs during the fourth fiscal quarter. The Company generally recognizes compensation expense for its stock-based compensation awards on a straight-line basis over a five-year vesting period. Awards granted do not contain acceleration of vesting terms for retirement eligible recipients.

Total compensation cost for stock-based payment arrangements totaled \$2.4 and \$2.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 respectively.

Stock options outstanding, weighted average exercise price and weighted average fair values as of September 30, 2010 were as follows:

Options	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	980	\$ 29.48
Granted		
Exercised	(4)	15.09
Forfeited or expired	(4)	22.90
Outstanding at September 30, 2010	972	\$ 29.56
Exercisable at September 30, 2010	697	\$ 30.93

Outstanding options of 972,321 at September 30, 2010 had an intrinsic value (the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise or grant date price) of \$0.3 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 5.0 years. Exercisable options of 696,971 at September 30, 2010 had an intrinsic value of \$0.3 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.7 years. Of the outstanding and unvested options, 253,408 are expected to vest with a \$26.46 per share weighted average grant price, a weighted average remaining contractual life of 8.2 years and a total intrinsic value of less than \$0.1 million.

All options granted relate to stock option plans that have been approved by the shareholders of the Company.

A rollforward of RSAs, RSUs and SARs outstanding, as well as other relevant terms of the awards, were as follows:

	Nonvested			SARs	Outstand	ing
	Restricted Stock Outstanding Shares/Units (in thousands)		Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares (in thousands)		Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, June 30, 2010	1,146	\$	24.70	1,110	\$	26.24
Granted	7		16.77			
Vested/Exercised	3		19.50			
Forfeited or expired	(18)		21.94	(21)		25.58
Balance, September 30, 2010	1,138	\$	24.68	1,089	\$	26.25

Outstanding and unvested RSAs of 922,881 at September 30, 2010 had an intrinsic value of \$17.7 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 2.1 years. Of the outstanding and unvested awards, 874,321 are expected to vest with a total intrinsic value of \$16.7 million.

Outstanding and unvested RSUs of 215,000 at September 30, 2010 had an intrinsic value of \$4.1 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 1.5 years. All unvested RSUs are expected to vest in fiscal year 2012.

Outstanding SARs of 1,088,550 at September 30, 2010 had a total intrinsic value of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 7.4 years. Exercisable SARs of 459,120 at September 30, 2010 had a total intrinsic value of zero and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 6.2 years. Of the outstanding and unvested rights, 606,186 are expected to vest with a \$22.12 per share weighted average grant price, a weighted average remaining contractual life of 8.2 years and a total intrinsic value of zero.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 total cash received from the exercise of share-based instruments was less than \$0.1 million and zero, respectively.

As of September 30, 2010, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to all unvested stock-based compensation arrangements was \$25.7 million. The related weighted average period over which such cost is expected to be recognized was approximately 3.3 years as of September 30, 2010.

The total intrinsic value of all stock-based compensation that was exercised during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was less than \$0.1 million and zero, respectively.

Table of Contents

Goodwill:

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually or at the time of a triggering event. In evaluating whether goodwill is impaired, the Company compares the carrying value of each reporting unit, including goodwill, to the estimated fair value of the reporting unit. The carrying value of each reporting unit is based on the assets and liabilities associated with the operations of the reporting unit, including allocation of shared or corporate balances among reporting units. Allocations are generally based on the number of salons in each reporting unit as a percent of total company-owned salons.

The Company calculates the estimated fair value of the reporting units based on discounted future cash flows that utilize estimates in annual revenue, gross margins, fixed expense rates, allocated corporate overhead, and long-term growth for determining terminal value. The Company s estimated future cash flows also take into consideration acquisition integration and maturation. Where available and as appropriate, comparative market multiples are used to corroborate the results of the discounted cash flow. The Company considers its various concepts to be reporting units when testing for goodwill impairment because that is where the Company s estimated fair value calculations. The Company periodically engages third-party valuation consultants to assist in evaluation of the Company s estimated fair value calculations. The Company s policy is to perform its annual goodwill impairment test during its third quarter of each fiscal year ending June 30.

In the situations where a reporting unit s carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value, the amount of the impairment loss must be measured. The measurement of impairment is calculated by determining the implied fair value of a reporting unit s goodwill. In calculating the implied fair value of goodwill, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all other assets and liabilities of that unit based on the relative fair values. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amount assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. The goodwill impairment is measured as the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over its implied fair value.

As a result of the Company s annual impairment analysis of goodwill during the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, a \$35.3 million impairment charge was recorded within continuing operations for the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over the implied fair value of goodwill for the Regis salon concept. The estimated fair value of the Promenade salon concept exceeded its respective carrying value by approximately 10.0 percent. The respective fair values of the Company s remaining reporting units exceeded fair value by greater than 20.0 percent. While the Company has determined the estimated fair values of Regis and Promenade to be appropriate based on the historical level of revenue growth, operating income and cash flows, it is reasonably likely that Regis and Promenade may become impaired in future periods. The term reasonably likely refers to an occurrence that is more than remote but less than probable in the judgment of the Company. Because some of the inherent assumptions and estimates used in determining the fair value of the reportable segment are outside the control of management, changes in these underlying assumptions can adversely impact fair value. Potential impairment of a portion or all of the carrying value of the Regis salon concept and Promenade salon concept with certainty.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company s estimated fair value, as determined by the sum of our reporting units fair value, reconciled to within a reasonable range of our market capitalization which included an assumed control premium. The Company concluded there were no triggering events requiring the Company to perform an interim goodwill impairment test between the annual impairment testing and September 30, 2010.

A summary of the Company s goodwill balance as of September 30, 2010 by reporting unit is as follows:

Reporting Unit	As of September 30, 2010			
	(Do	llars in thousands)		
Regis	\$	103,784		
MasterCuts		4,652		
SmartStyle	48,477			
Supercuts		121,702		
Promenade		311,978		
Total North America Salons		590,593		
Hair Restoration Centers		150,372		
Total	\$	740,965		

Recent Accounting Standards Adopted by the Company:

Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance to amend the disclosure requirements related to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The guidance requires new disclosures on the transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 (quoted prices in active market for identical assets or liabilities) and Level 2 (significant other observable inputs) of the fair value measurement hierarchy, including the reasons and the timing of the transfers. Additionally, the guidance requires a roll forward of activities on purchases, sales, issuance, and settlements of the assets and liabilities measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 fair value measurements).

The Company adopted the new disclosure guidance on January 1, 2010, except for the disclosure on the roll forward activities for Level 3 fair value measurements, which will be adopted by the Company on July 1, 2011.

Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements

In October 2009, the FASB issued guidance on the accounting for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The guidance removes the criterion that entities must use objective and reliable evidence of fair value in separately accounting for deliverables and provides entities with a hierarchy of evidence that must be considered when allocating arrangement consideration. The new guidance also requires entities to allocate arrangement consideration to the separate units of accounting based on the deliverables relative selling price. The adoption of the new guidance on July 1, 2010, for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements, did not have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Amendments to Accounting for Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance on the accounting for variable interest entities (VIE). The guidance requires a qualitative approach to identifying a controlling financial interest in a VIE and requires ongoing assessment of whether an entity is a VIE and whether an entity is a primary beneficiary of a VIE. This guidance requires enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise s involvement in a VIE. The adoption of the new guidance on July 1, 2010, for variable interest entities, did not have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Accounting Standards Recently Issued But Not Yet Adopted by the Company:

Disclosure about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses

In July 2010, the FASB issued guidance to amend the disclosure requirements related to the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. The guidance requires disclosures on a disaggregated basis on two defined levels: (1) portfolio segment; and (2) class of financing receivable. The guidance amends existing disclosures to require an entity to provide the following disclosures on a disaggregated basis: rollforward schedule of the allowance for credit losses from the beginning to the end of the reporting period on a portfolio segment basis, the related recorded investment in financing receivables for each disaggregated ending balance, the nonaccrual status of financing receivables by class of financing receivables, and impaired financing receivables by class of financing receivables. Additionally, the guidance requires, among other things, new disclosures on the credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, the aging of past due financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, and the nature and extent of troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the period by class of financing receivables and their effect on the allowance for credit losses. The new and amended disclosures presented as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The new and amended disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The company will adopt the enhanced disclosure requirements in the quarter ending December 31, 2010.

2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:

On February 16, 2009, the Company sold its Trade Secret salon concept (Trade Secret). The Company concluded, after a comprehensive review of strategic and financial options, to divest Trade Secret. The sale of Trade Secret included 655 company-owned salons and 57 franchise salons, all of which had historically been reported within the Company s North America reportable segment. The sale of Trade Secret included CCI. CCI owned and operated PureBeauty and BeautyFirst salons which were acquired by the Company on February 20, 2008.

The Company concluded that Trade Secret qualified as held for sale as of December 31, 2008, under accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived asset guidance, and is presented as discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for all periods presented. The operations and cash flows of Trade Secret have been eliminated from ongoing operations of the Company and there will be no significant continuing involvement in the operations after disposal pursuant to guidance in determining whether to report discontinued operations. The agreement included a provision that the Company would supply product to the buyer of Trade Secret and provide certain administrative services for a transition period. Under this agreement, the Company recognized zero and \$20.0 million of product revenues on the supply of product sold to the purchaser of Trade Secret during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and zero and \$1.9 million of other income related to the administrative services during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The agreement was substantially complete as of September 30, 2009.

Beginning within the second quarter of fiscal year 2010, the Company has an agreement in which the Company provides warehouse services to the purchaser of Trade Secret. Under the warehouse services agreement, the Company recognized \$0.7 million of other income related to warehouse services during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

The following table provides the amounts due to the Company from the purchaser of Trade Secret:

	Classification	Sep	tember 30, 2010 (Dollars in tl	June 30, 2010 ls)	
Carrying Value:					
Warehouse services	Receivables, net	\$	347	\$	359
Note receivable, current	Other current assets		4,026		2,838
Note receivable, current valuation	Other current assets				
allowance			(1,299)		(611)
Note receivable, long-term	Other assets		28,500		29,000
Total note receivable, net		\$	31,574	\$	31,586

During fiscal year 2010, the Company entered into a formal note receivable agreement with the purchaser of Trade Secret. On July 6, 2010, the purchaser of Trade Secret filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy. In connection with the bankruptcy by the purchaser of Trade Secret, the note receivable agreement was amended in October 2010. The note receivable agreement accrues interest at 8.0 percent which is payable quarterly beginning in December 2010. Principal payments of \$0.5 million are due quarterly beginning in December 2011 with the remainder of the principal due in September 2015. Collateral for the receivables under the agreement is assets, including property and equipment, inventory, promissory notes and cash, of the purchaser of Trade Secret that the Company believes fully collateralizes the \$31.6 million net receivable. Should the collateral decline there is a risk the Company may need to record reserves in future quarters.

The Company utilized the consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not Trade Secret was a VIE, and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of Trade Secret. The Company concluded that Trade Secret is a VIE based on the fact that the equity investment at risk in Trade Secret is insufficient. The Company determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of Trade Secret based on its exposure to the expected losses of Trade Secret and as it is not the variable interest holder that is most closely associated with the relationship and the significance of the activities of Trade Secret. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with Trade Secret is the carrying value of the amount due from the purchaser of Trade Secret and the guarantee of less than 30 operating leases. The Company has determined the exposure to the risk of loss on the guarantee of the operating leases to be remote.

The income from discontinued operations is summarized below:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2010 2009			
		(Dollars in tho	usands)	
Income from discontinued operations, before income taxes	\$	S	5 15	i4
Income tax benefit on discontinued operations			3,00)7
Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	\$	S	\$ 3,16	51

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, the Company recorded a \$3.0 million tax benefit in discontinued operations to correct the prior year calculation of the income tax benefit related to the disposition of the Trade Secret salon concept. The Company does not believe the adjustment is material to its results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2009 or its financial position or results of operations of any prior periods.

3. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:

Net Income Per Share:

The Company s basic earnings per share is calculated as net income divided by weighted average common shares outstanding, excluding unvested outstanding restricted stock awards and restricted stock units. The Company s dilutive earnings per share is calculated as net income divided by weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding, which includes shares issuable under the Company s stock option plan and long-term incentive plan, and dilutive securities. Stock-based awards with exercise prices greater than the average market value of the Company s common stock are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share. The Company s diluted earnings per share will also reflect the assumed conversion under the Company s convertible debt if the impact is dilutive, along with the exclusion of interest expense, net of taxes. The impact of the convertible debt is excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share when interest expense per common share obtainable upon conversion is greater than basic earnings per share.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of shares used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	For the Three Mon Ended September 2010 (Shares in thousan	30, 2009
Weighted average shares for basic earnings per share	56,629	54,143
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Dilutive effect of stock-based compensation	174	41
Dilutive effect of convertible debt	11,158	
Weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share	67,961	54,184

The following table sets forth the awards which are excluded from the various earnings per share calculations:

	For the Three M Ended Septembe 2010 (Shares in thouse	er 30, 2009
Basic earnings per share:		
RSAs (1)	923	817
RSUs (1)	215	215
	1,138	1,032
Diluted earnings per share:		
Stock options (2)	900	1,324
SARs (2)	1,089	1,109
RSAs (2)	430	817
Shares issuable upon conversion of debt (3)		9,460
	2,419	12,710

(1) Awards were not vested

(3) Awards were anti-dilutive for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

⁽²⁾ Awards were anti-dilutive

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the net income from continuing operations available to common shareholders and the net income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share under the if-converted method:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				
		2010		2009	
		(Dollars in	thousand	s)	
Net income from continuing operations available to common					
shareholders	\$	18,320	\$		4,611
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Interest on convertible debt, net of taxes		2,013			
Net income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share	\$	20,333	\$		4,611

Additional Paid-In Capital:

The change in additional paid-in capital during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was due to the following:

	(Dollars in thousands)
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$ 332,372
Stock-based compensation	2,369
Exercise of stock options	59
Franchise stock incentive plan	48
Other	2
Balance, September 30, 2010	\$ 334,850

Comprehensive Income:

Components of comprehensive income for the Company include net income, changes in fair market value of financial instruments designated as hedges of interest rate or foreign currency exposure and foreign currency translation charged or credited to the cumulative translation account within shareholders equity. Comprehensive income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,			
		2010		2009
		(Dollars in t	housand	s)
Net income	\$	18,320	\$	7,772
Other comprehensive (loss) income:				
Changes in fair market value of financial instruments designated as cash	h			
flow hedges of interest rate exposure, net of taxes		(64)		2,101
Cumulative foreign currency translation		14,796		13,286
Total comprehensive income	\$	33,052	\$	23,159

4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

On July 1, 2008, the Company adopted fair value measurement guidance for financial assets and liabilities. On July 1, 2009, the Company adopted fair value measurement guidance for nonfinancial assets and liabilities. This guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. This guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy prescribed by this guidance contains three levels as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Table of Contents

Level 2 Other observable inputs available at the measurement date, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, either directly or indirectly, including:

• Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;

- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets;
- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and
- Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by other observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management s estimates of market participant assumptions.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The fair value hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. In instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company s assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability. The following tables sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy, the Company s financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010, according to the valuation techniques the Company used to determine their fair values.

	 Value at ber 30, 2010	Level 1	Fair Value M Using Inputs Leve (Dollars in	Considered a	-	Level 3
ASSETS						
Non-current assets						
Preferred shares	\$ 3,732	\$	\$		\$	3,732
LIABILITIES						
Current liabilities						
Derivative instruments	\$ 48	\$	\$	48	\$	
Non-current liabilities						

Derivative instruments	\$ 874 \$	\$ 874	\$
Equity put option	24,523		24,523

	Fair Value at June 30, 2010	Level 1	 	-	Level 3
ASSETS					
Non-current assets					
Derivative instruments	\$ 274	\$	\$ 274	\$	
Preferred shares	3,502				3,502
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities					
Derivative instruments	\$ 401	\$	\$ 401	\$	
Non-current liabilities					
Derivative instruments	\$ 1,039	\$	\$ 1,039	\$	
Equity put option	22,009				22,009

Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present the changes during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 in our Level 3 financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

	Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value Classified as Preferred Shares Equity Put Option Total					
	(Dollars in thousands)				Total	
Balance at July 1, 2010	\$	3,502	\$	22,009 \$	25,511	
Total realized and unrealized gains including						
translation:						
Included in other comprehensive income		230		2,514	2,744	
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$	3,732	\$	24,523 \$	28,255	

	Changes in Financial Instruments Measured at Level 3 Fair Value Classified as							
	Equity	Put Option		Total				
		(Dollars in thousands)						
Balance at July 1, 2009	\$	24,161	\$	24,161				
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) including								
translation:								
Included in other comprehensive income		1,029		1,029				
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$	25,190	\$	25,190				

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument:

Derivative instruments. The Company s derivative instrument assets and liabilities consist of cash flow hedges represented by interest rate swaps and forward foreign currency contracts. The instruments are classified as Level 2 as the fair value is obtained using observable inputs available for similar liabilities in active markets at the measurement date, as provided by sources independent from the Company. See breakout by type of contract and reconciliation to the balance sheet line item that each contract is classified within Note 7 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Equity put option. The Company s merger of the European franchise salon operations with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group on January 31, 2008 contained an equity put and an equity call. See further discussion within Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The equity put option is valued using binomial lattice models that incorporate assumptions including the business enterprise value at that date and future estimates of volatility and earnings before interest, taxes, and depreciation and amortization multiples.

Preferred Shares. The Company has preferred shares in Yamano Holding Corporation. See further discussion within Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The preferred shares are classified as Level 3 as there are no quoted market prices and minimal market participant data for preferred shares of similar rating. The preferred shares are classified within investment in and loans to affiliates on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The fair value of the preferred shares is based on the financial health of Yamano Holding Corporation

and terms within the preferred share agreement which allow the Company to convert the subscription amount of the preferred shares into equity of MY Style, a wholly owned subsidiary of Yamano Holding Corporation. As of September 30, 2010, the subscription value of the preferred shares of 311,131,284 Yen (\$3.7 million) represents the fair value of the preferred shares.

Financial Instruments. In addition to the financial instruments listed above, the Company s financial instruments also include cash, cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and debt.

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable approximated the carrying values as of September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2010, the estimated fair values and carrying amounts of debt were \$464.7 and \$439.7 million, respectively. The estimated fair value of debt was determined based on internal valuation models, which utilize quoted market prices and interest rates for the same or similar instruments.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis. We measure certain assets, including the Company s equity method investments, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. The fair values of our investments are determined based on valuation techniques using the best information available, and may include quoted market prices, market comparables, and discounted cash flow projections.

There were no assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

5.

GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES:

The table below contains details related to the Company s recorded goodwill as of September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010:

	Salons North America			Hair Restoration International Centers (Dollars in thousands)			Consolidated		
Gross goodwill at June 30,									
2010	\$	700,012	\$	41,661	\$	150,380	\$ 892,053		
Accumulated impairment									
losses (1)		(113,403)		(41,661)			(155,064)		
Net goodwill at June 30, 2010		586,609				150,380	736,989		
Goodwill acquired (2)		2,299					2,299		
Translation rate adjustments		1,685				(8)	1,677		
Gross goodwill at									
September 30, 2010		703,996		41,661		150,372	896,029		
Accumulated impairment									
losses (1)		(113,403)		(41,661)			(155,064)		
Net goodwill at September 30, 2010	\$	590,593	\$		\$	150,372	\$ 740,965		

(1) As a result of the Company s annual impairment testing of goodwill during the three months ended March 31, 2010, a \$35.3 million impairment charge was recorded within continuing operations for the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over the implied fair value of goodwill for the Regis salon concept.

(2) See Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The table below presents other intangible assets as of September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010:

	Cost	September 30, 2010 Accumulated Amortization		Net (Dollars in	Cost lars in thousands)		June 30, 2010 Accumulated Amortization		Net	
Amortized intangible										
assets:										
Brand assets and trade										
names	\$ 79,885	\$	(12,649)	\$ 67,236	\$	79,596	\$	(12,139)	\$	67,457
Customer lists	52,045		(30,014)	22,031		52,045		(28,652)		23,393
Franchise agreements	21,465		(7,897)	13,568		21,245		(7,543)		13,702
Lease intangibles	14,843		(4,577)	10,266		14,674		(4,360)		10,314
Non-compete										
agreements	330		(167)	163		320		(146)		174
Other	5,174		(2,130)	3,044		6,755		(3,725)		3,030
	\$ 173,742	\$	(57,434)	\$ 116,308	\$	174,635	\$	(56,565)	\$	118,070

All intangible assets have been assigned an estimated finite useful life and are amortized over the number of years that approximate their respective useful lives (ranging from one to 40 years). The cost of intangible assets is amortized to earnings in proportion to the amount of economic benefits obtained by the Company in that reporting period. The weighted average amortization periods, in total and by major intangible asset class, are as follows:

	Weighted Av Amortization (In year	Period
	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010
Amortized intangible assets:	2010	50, 2010
Brand assets and trade names	39	39
Customer lists	10	10
Franchise agreements	22	22
Lease intangibles	20	20
Non-compete agreements	5	5
Other	22	18
Total	26	26

Total amortization expense related to the amortizable intangible assets was approximately \$2.4 and \$2.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of September 30, 2010, future estimated amortization expense related to amortizable intangible assets is estimated to be:

Fiscal Year	· · · · ·	lars in sands)
2011 (Remainder: nine-month period)	\$	7,278
2012		9,458
2013		9,148
2014		8,946
2015		5,922

ACQUISITIONS, INVESTMENT IN AND LOANS TO AFFILIATES:

Acquisitions

6.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company made salon acquisitions and the purchase prices have been allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the dates of acquisition. Operations of the acquired companies have been included in the operations of the Company since the date of the respective acquisition.

Based upon purchase price allocations, the components of the aggregate purchase prices of the acquisitions made during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and the allocation of the purchase prices were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,						
Allocation of Purchase Prices	20	010		2009			
		(Dollars in	thousand	s)			
Components of aggregate purchase prices:							
Cash	\$	3,861	\$	543			
	\$	3,861	\$	543			
Allocation of the purchase price:							
Current assets	\$	347	\$	63			
Property and equipment		1,231		322			
Goodwill		2,299		123			
Identifiable intangible assets		285		81			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(301)		(46)			
	\$	3,861	\$	543			

The majority of the purchase price in salon acquisitions is accounted for as residual goodwill rather than identifiable intangible assets. This stems from the value associated with the walk-in customer base of the acquired salons, which is not recorded as an identifiable intangible asset under current accounting guidance, as well as the limited value and customer preference associated with the acquired hair salon brand. Key factors considered by consumers of hair salon services include

Table of Contents

personal relationships with individual stylists, service quality and price point competitiveness. These attributes represent the going concern value of the salon.

Residual goodwill further represents the Company s opportunity to strategically combine the acquired business with the Company s existing structure to serve a greater number of customers through its expansion strategies. In the acquisitions of international salons and hair restoration centers, the residual goodwill primarily represents the growth prospects that are not captured as part of acquired tangible or identified intangible assets. Generally, the goodwill recognized in the North American salon transactions is expected to be fully deductible for tax purposes and the goodwill recognized in the international salon transactions is non-deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill generated in certain acquisitions, such as the acquisition of hair restoration centers, is not deductible for tax purposes due to the acquisition structure of the transaction.

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, certain of the Company s salon acquisitions were from its franchisees. The Company evaluated the effective settlement of the pre-existing franchise contracts and associated rights afforded by those contracts. The Company determined that the effective settlement of the pre-existing franchise contracts at the date of the acquisition did not result in a gain or loss, as the agreements were neither favorable nor unfavorable when compared to similar current market transactions, and no settlement provisions exist in the pre-existing contracts. Therefore, no settlement gain or loss was recognized with respect to the Company s franchise buybacks.

Investment in and loans to affiliates

The table below presents the carrying amount of investments in and loans to affiliates as of September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010:

	Septeml	September 30, 2010		ine 30, 2010
		(Dollars in	thousands)	
Empire Education Group, Inc.	\$	104,078	\$	102,882
Provalliance		84,273		75,481
MY Style		11,770		12,116
Hair Club for Men, Ltd.		5,247		5,307
	\$	205,368	\$	195,786

Empire Education Group, Inc.

On August 1, 2007, the Company contributed its 51 wholly-owned accredited cosmetology schools to Empire Education Group, Inc. (EEG) in exchange for a 49.0 percent equity interest in EEG. In January 2008, the Company s effective ownership interest increased to 55.1 percent related to the buyout of EEG s minority interest shareholder. EEG operates 100 accredited cosmetology schools.

At September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had a \$21.4 million outstanding loan receivable with EEG. The Company has also provided EEG with a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility, against which there were no outstanding borrowings as of September 30, 2010 and 2009. During each of the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded \$0.2 million of interest income related to the loan and revolving credit facility. The Company has also guaranteed a credit facility of EEG. The exposure to loss related to the Company s

involvement with EEG is the carrying value of the investment, the outstanding loan and the guarantee of the credit facility.

The Company utilized consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not its investment in EEG was a VIE, and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company concluded that EEG was not a VIE based on the fact that EEG had sufficient equity at risk. As the substantive voting control relates to the voting rights of the Board of Directors, the Company granted the other shareholder a proxy to vote such number of the Company shares such that the other shareholder would have voting control of 51.0 percent of the common stock of EEG. The Company accounts for EEG as an equity investment under the voting interest model. During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded \$1.2 and \$1.6 million of equity earnings related to its investment in EEG.

-1	
	1

Table of Contents

Provalliance

On January 31, 2008, the Company merged its continental European franchise salon operations with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group in exchange for a 30.0 percent equity interest in the newly formed Provalliance entity (Provalliance). The merger with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group, which are also located in continental Europe, created Europe s largest salon operator with approximately 2,500 company-owned and franchise salons as of September 30, 2010.

The merger agreement contains a right (Equity Put) to require the Company to purchase an additional ownership interest in Provalliance between specified dates in 2010 to 2018. The acquisition price is determined based on a multiple of the earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization of Provalliance for a trailing twelve month period adjusted for certain items as defined in the agreement which is intended to approximate fair value. The initial estimated fair value of the Equity Put as of January 31, 2008, approximately \$24.8 million, has been included as a component of the Company s investment in Provalliance. A corresponding liability for the same amount as the Equity Put was recorded in other noncurrent liabilities. Any changes in the estimated fair value of the Equity Put are recorded in the Company s consolidated statement of operations. There was no change in the fair value of the Equity put during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. Any changes related to foreign currency translation are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. The Company recorded a \$2.5 million and \$1.0 million increase in the Equity Put related to foreign currency translation during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, see further discussion within Note 4 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. If the Equity Put is exercised, and the Company fails to complete the purchase, the parties exercising the Equity Put will be entitled to exercise various remedies against the Company, including the right to purchase the Company s interest in Provalliance for a purchase price determined based on a discounted multiple of the earnings before interest and taxes of Provalliance for a trailing twelve month period. The merger agreement also contains an option (Equity Call) whereby the Company can acquire additional ownership interest in Provalliance between specific dates in 2018 to 2020 at an acquisition price determined consistent with the Equity Put.

The Company utilized the consolidation of variable interest entities guidance to determine whether or not its investment in Provalliance was a VIE, and if so, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company concluded that Provalliance is a VIE based on the fact that the holders of the equity investment at risk, as a group, lack the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity. The Equity Put is based on a formula that may or may not be at market when exercised, therefore, it could provide the Company with the characteristic of a controlling financial interest or could prevent the Franck Provost Salon Group from absorbing its share of expected losses by transferring such obligation to the Company. Under certain circumstances, including a decline in the fair value of Provalliance, the Equity Put could be exercised and the Franck Provost Group could be protected from absorbing the downside of the equity interest. As the Equity Put absorbs a large amount of variability this characteristic results in Provalliance being a VIE.

Regis determined that the Franck Provost Group has met the power criterion due to the Franck Provost Group having the authority to direct the activities that most significantly impact Provalliance s economic performance. The Company concluded based on the considerations above that the primary beneficiary of Provalliance is the Franck Provost Group. The Company has accounted for its interest in Provalliance as an equity method investment. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with Provalliance is the carrying value of the investment and future changes in fair value of the Equity Put that is unable to be quantified as of this date.

		As of and for the Three Months Ended September 30,			
	Classification	2010 (Dollars in t	housand	2009 s)	
	Equity in income of affiliates companies, net				
Equity in income, net of income taxes	of income taxes	\$ 1,379	\$		1,224

Carrying Value:			
	Investment in and loans		
Investment in Provalliance	to affiliates	\$ 84,273	\$ 86,883
	Other noncurrent		
Equity Put Option	liabilities	24,523	25,190

Table of Contents

MY Style

In April 2007, the Company purchased exchangeable notes issued by Yamano Holding Corporation (Exchangeable Note) and a loan obligation of a Yamano Holdings subsidiary, MY Style, formally known as Beauty Plaza Co. Ltd., (MY Style Note) for an aggregate amount of \$11.3 million (1.3 billion Yen as of April 2007). The Exchangeable Note contains an option for the Company to exchange a portion of the Exchangeable Note for shares of common stock of My Style. In connection with the issuance of the Exchangeable Note, the Company paid a premium of approximately \$5.5 million (573,000,000 Yen as of April 2007).

<u>Exchangeable Note</u>. In September 2008, the Company advanced an additional \$3.0 million (300,000,000 Yen as of September 2008) to Yamano Holding Corporation (Yamano). In connection with the 300,000,000 Yen advance, the exchangeable portion of the Exchangeable Note increased from approximately 14.8 percent to 27.1 percent of the 800 outstanding shares of MY Style for 21,700,000 Yen. This exchange feature is akin to a deep-in-the-money option permitting the Company to purchase shares of common stock of MY Style. The option is embedded in the Exchangeable Note and does not meet the criteria for separate accounting under accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities.

On March 28, 2010, the Company entered into an amendment agreement with Yamano in connection with the Exchangeable Note. The amendment revised the redemptions schedule for the 100,000,000 Yen and 211,131,284 Yen payments due September 30, 2013 and 2014, respectively, to March 28, 2010. The amendment was entered into in connection with a preferred share subscription agreement dated March 29, 2010 between the Company and Yamano. Under the preferred share subscription agreement, Yamano issued and the Company purchased one share of Yamano Class A Preferred Stock with a subscription amount of \$1.1 million (100,000,000 Yen) and one share of Yamano Class B Preferred Stock with a subscription amount of \$2.3 million (211,131,284 Yen), collectively the Preferred Shares . The portions of the Exchangeable Note that became due as of March 28, 2010 were contributed in-kind as payment for the Preferred Shares. The Preferred Shares have the same terms and rights, yield a 5.0 percent dividend that accrues if not paid and no voting rights.

The Company determined that the March 2010 modifications were minor and the loan modification should not be treated as an extinguishment. The preferred shares will be accounted for as an available for sale debt security and recorded as part of the Company s investment within the investment in and loans to affiliates line item on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet with any changes in fair value recorded in other comprehensive income.

As of September 30, 2010, the principal amount outstanding under the Exchangeable Note is \$2.4 million (200,000,000 Yen). Principal payments of 100,000,000 Yen are due annually on September 30 through September 30, 2012. The Exchangeable Note accrues interest at 1.845 percent and interest is payable on September 30, 2012 with the final principal payment. The Company recorded less than \$0.1 million in interest income related to the Exchangeable Note during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

<u>MY Style Note</u>. As of September 30, 2010, the principal amount outstanding under the MY Style Note is \$1.9 million (156,492,000 Yen). Principal payments of 52,164,000 Yen along with accrued interest are due annually on May 31 through May 31, 2013. The MY Style Note accrues interest at 3.0 percent. The Company recorded less than \$0.1 million in interest income related to the MY Style Note during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

As of September 30, 2010, \$1.8 and \$11.8 million are recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as current assets and investment in affiliates and loans, respectively, representing the Company s total investment in MY Style. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with MY Style is the carrying value of the premium paid and the outstanding notes.

All foreign currency transaction gains and losses on the Exchangeable Note and MY Style Note are recorded through other income within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The foreign currency transaction (loss) gain recorded through other income was \$(0.6) and \$0.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Hair Club for Men, Ltd.

The Company acquired a 50.0 percent interest in Hair Club for Men, Ltd. through its acquisition of Hair Club in fiscal year 2005. The Company accounts for its investment in Hair Club for Men, Ltd. under the equity method of accounting. Hair Club for Men, Ltd. operates Hair Club centers in Illinois and Wisconsin. During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 the Company recorded income of \$0.1 and \$0.2 million, respectively, and received cash dividends of \$0.2 million in each of the respective periods. The exposure to loss related to the Company s involvement with Hair Club for Men, Ltd. is the carrying value of the investment.

7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

The Company s primary market risk exposures in the normal course of business are changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company has established policies and procedures that govern the management of these exposures through the use of a variety of strategies, including the use of derivative financial instrument contracts. By policy, the Company does not enter into such contracts for the purpose of speculation or trading. Hedging transactions are limited to an underlying exposure. The Company has established an interest rate management policy that manages the interest rate mix of its total debt portfolio and related overall cost of borrowing. The Company s variable rate debt typically represents 35.0 to 45.0 percent of the total debt portfolio. The Company s foreign currency exchange rate risk management policy includes frequently monitoring market data and external factors that may influence exchange rate fluctuations in order to minimize fluctuation in earnings due to changes in exchange rates. The Company enters into arrangements with counterparties that the Company believes are creditworthy. Generally, derivative contract arrangements settle on a net basis. The Company assesses the effectiveness of its hedges on a quarterly basis using the critical terms method in accordance with guidance for accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities.

The Company has primarily utilized derivatives which are designated as either cash flow or fair value hedges and qualify for hedge accounting treatment. For cash flow hedges and fair value hedges, changes in fair value are deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within shareholders equity until the underlying hedged item is recognized in earnings. Any hedge ineffectiveness is recognized immediately in current earnings. To the extent the changes offset, the hedge is effective. Any hedge ineffectiveness the Company has historically experienced has not been material. By policy, the Company designs its derivative instruments to be effective as hedges and aims to minimize fluctuations in earnings due to market risk exposures. If a derivative instrument is terminated prior to its contract date, the Company continues to defer the related gain or loss and recognizes it in current earnings over the remaining life of the related hedged item.

The Company also utilizes freestanding derivative contracts which do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. The Company marks to market such derivatives with the resulting gains and losses recorded within current earnings in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. For purposes of the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, cash flows associated with all derivatives (designated as hedges or freestanding economic hedges) are classified in the same category as the related cash flows subject to the hedging relationship.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company s cash flow hedges include interest rate swaps, forward foreign currency contracts and treasury lock agreements.

The Company uses interest rate swaps to maintain its variable to fixed rate debt ratio in accordance with its established policy. As of September 30, 2010, the Company had \$85.0 million of total variable rate debt outstanding, of which \$40.0 million was swapped to fixed rate debt, resulting in \$45.0 million of variable rate debt. The interest rate swap contracts pay fixed rates of interest and receive variable rates of interest. The contracts and related debt have maturity dates during fiscal year 2012.

The Company repaid variable and fixed rate debt during the three months ended September 30, 2009. Prior to the repayments, the Company had two outstanding interest rate swaps totaling \$50.0 million on \$100.0 million aggregate variable rate debt with maturity dates between fiscal years 2013 and 2015. The interest rate swaps were terminated prior to the maturity dates in conjunction with the repayments of debt and were settled for an aggregate loss of \$5.2 million. The \$5.2 million loss recorded during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 on the termination of the interest

rate swaps was recorded within interest expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The Company also had two outstanding treasury lock agreements with maturity dates between fiscal years 2013 and 2015. The treasury lock agreements were terminated prior to the maturity dates in conjunction with the repayments of debt and were settled for a loss of less than \$0.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and recorded within interest expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The Company uses forward foreign currency contracts to manage foreign currency rate fluctuations associated with certain forecasted intercompany transactions. The Company s primary forward foreign currency contracts hedge approximately \$0.6 million of monthly payments in Canadian dollars for intercompany transactions. The Company s forward foreign currency contracts hedge transactions through fiscal year 2011.

These cash flow hedges were designed and are effective as cash flow hedges. They were recorded at fair value within other noncurrent liabilities or other current assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, with corresponding offsets

primarily recorded in other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax.

Freestanding Derivative Forward Contracts

The Company uses freestanding derivative forward contracts to offset the Company s exposure to the change in fair value of certain foreign currency denominated investments and intercompany assets and liabilities. These derivatives are not designated as hedges and therefore, changes in the fair value of these forward contracts are recognized currently in earnings, thereby offsetting the current earnings effect of the related foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities.

The Company had the following derivative instruments in its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010:

Туре	Classific	Asset Septembe ation 2010	Fair Value er 30, J	une 30, 2010	Classification	Liability Fair Va ptember 30, 2010 (In thousa	•	June 30, 2010
Designated as hedging in	struments	Cash Flow Hedges:	(()	
Interest rate swaps Forward foreign	Other cu	\$	\$		Other noncurrent liabilities Other current	\$ (874)	\$	(1,039)
currency contracts	assets	\$	\$	274	liabilities	\$ (33)	\$	
Freestanding derivative of	contracts	not designated as hed	ging instruments	:				
Forward foreign	Other cu	rrent			Other current			
currency contracts	assets	\$	\$		liabilities	\$ (15)	\$	(401)
Total		\$	\$	274		\$ (922)	\$	(1,440)

The table below sets forth the (gain) or loss on the Company s derivative instruments recorded within accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. The table also sets forth the (gain) or loss on the Company s derivative instruments that has been reclassified from AOCI into current earnings during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 within the following line items in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

	Ć	(Gain)/Loss Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income Three Months Ended September 30,			Acc	umulated (Reclassified from DCI into Income Ided September 3	
Туре	2010			2009	Classification	20)10	2009
		(In th	ousands)				(In thousand	s)
Designated as hedging	instruments	Cash F	low Hedg	ges:				
Interest rate swaps	\$	(97)	\$	(2,682)		\$	\$	
Forward foreign								
currency contracts		161		339	Cost of sales		39	(192)
				242				(388)

Treasury lock		Interest		
contracts		expense		
		(income)		
Total	\$ 64	\$ (2,101)	\$ 39	\$ (580)

As of September 30, 2010 the Company estimates that it will reclassify into earnings during the next twelve months a gain of less than \$0.1 million from the pretax amount recorded in AOCI as the anticipated cash flows occur.

The table below sets forth the (gain) or loss on the Company s derivative instruments for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 recorded within interest income and other, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

	I	Derivative Impact on Inc	ome at Septemb	oer 30,		
Туре	Classification	201	0	2009		
			(In thous			
Freestanding derivative contracts	not designated as	hedging instruments:				
Forward foreign currency	Interest income					
contracts	and other, net	\$	(386)	\$		499
		\$	(386)	\$		499

8. LEASE TERMINATION COSTS:

The Company approved plans in June 2009 and July 2008 to close approximately 80 and 160, respectively, underperforming company-owned salons. As lease settlements were negotiated, the Company found that some lessors were willing to negotiate rent reductions which allowed the Company to keep operating certain salons. As a result, the number of salons closed was less than the amount of salons per the approved plans. For salons that did not receive rent reductions, the Company ceased using the right to use the leased property or negotiated a lease termination agreement with the lessors. Lease termination costs represents either the lease settlement or the net present value of remaining contractual lease payments related to closed salons, reduced by estimated sublease rentals. Lease termination costs from continuing operations are presented as a separate line item in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The plans are substantially complete.

The activity reflected in the accrual for lease termination costs is as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,			
Accrual for Lease Terminations		2010		2009
		(Dollars in	thousand	ls)
Balance at July 1,	\$	1,386	\$	2,760
Provision for lease termination costs:				
Provisions associated with salon closings				3,577
Change in assumptions about lease terminations and sublease income				
Cash payments		(824)		(1,051)
Balance at September 30,	\$	562	\$	5,286

9. LITIGATION:

The Company is a defendant in various lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. Like certain other large retail employers, the Company has been faced with allegations of purported class-wide wage and hour violations. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and the outcome of these matters cannot presently be determined. Although the Company s counsel believes that the Company has valid defenses in these matters, it could in the future incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could have a material adverse effect

on its results of operations in any particular period.

During fiscal year 2010, the Company settled two legal claims regarding certain customer and employee matters for an aggregate charge of \$5.2 million plus a commitment to provide discount coupons. As of September 30, 2010 there was a \$4.3 million remaining liability recorded within accrued expenses related to the settlements.

10. FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS:

The table below contains details related to the Company s debt for the three months ending September 30, 2010 and 2009:

	For the Three Septem		Ended
Total Debt	2010		2009
	(Dollars in '	Thousand	ls)
Balance at June 30,	\$ 440,029	\$	634,307
Net payments on revolving credit facilities			(5,000)
Issuance of convertible debt			172,500
Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(3,334)		(301,004)
Debt discount			(24,696)
Amortized debt discount	1,086		868
Debt associated with capital lease obligations	1,888		1,736
Balance at September 30,	\$ 439,669	\$	478,711

In July 2009, the Company amended the Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, the Term Loan Agreement and the Amended and Restated Private Shelf Agreement. The amendments included increasing the Company's minimum net worth covenant from \$675 million to \$800 million, lowering the fixed charge coverage ratio requirement from 1.5x to 1.3x, amending certain definitions, including EBITDA and Fixed Charges, and limiting the Company's Restricted Payments (as defined in the agreement) to \$20 million if the Company's Leverage Ratio is greater than 2.0x. In addition, the amendments to the Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement reduced the borrowing capacity of the revolving credit facility from \$350.0 million to \$300.0 million and the amendments to the Restated Private Shelf Agreement included the addition of one year after the amendment effective date, a risk based capital fee calculated on the daily average outstanding principal amount equal to an annual rate of 1.0 percent.

In July 2009, the Company issued \$172.5 million aggregate principal amount of 5.0 percent convertible senior notes due July 2014. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Company and interest will be payable semi-annually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year at a rate of 5.0 percent per year. The notes will be convertible subject to certain conditions further described below at an initial conversion rate of 64.6726 shares of the Company s common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (representing an initial conversion price of approximately \$15.46 per share of the Company s common stock).

Holders may convert their notes at their option prior to April 15, 2014 if the Company s stock price meets certain price triggers or upon the occurrence of specified corporate events as defined in the convertible senior note agreement. On or after April 15, 2014, holders may convert each of their notes at their option at any time prior to the maturity date for the notes.

The Company has the choice of net-cash settlement, settlement in its own shares or a combination thereof and concluded the conversion option is indexed to its own stock. As a result, the Company allocated \$24.7 million of the \$172.5 million principal amount of the convertible senior notes to equity, which resulted in a \$24.7 million debt discount. The allocation was based on measuring the fair value of the convertible senior notes using a discounted cash flow analysis. The discount rate was based on an estimated credit rating for the Company. The estimated fair value of the convertible senior notes are expected to be outstanding, which is five years, as additional non-cash interest expense. The combined debt discount amortization and the contractual interest coupon resulted in an effective interest rate on the convertible debt of 8.9 percent.

The following table provides equity and debt information for the convertible senior notes:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,					
Convertible Senior Notes Due 2014		2010		2009		
		(Dollars in T	housan	ls)		
Principal amount on the convertible senior notes	\$	172,500	\$	172,500		
Unamortized debt discount		(19,653)		(23,828)		
Net carrying amount of convertible debt	\$	152,847	\$	148,672		

The following table provides interest rate and interest expense amounts related to the convertible senior notes:

		For the Three Months Ended September 30,						
Convertible Senior Notes Due 2014		20	10		2009			
			(Dollars in t	thousands	5)			
Interest cost related to contractual interest coupon	5.0%	\$	2,156	\$	1,797			
Interest cost related to amortization of the discount			1,086		868			
Total interest cost		\$	3,242	\$	2,665			

In connection with the convertible senior note offering, the Company issued 13,225,000 shares of common stock resulting in net proceeds of \$163.5 million. The proceeds from the convertible senior notes and the common stock issuance were utilized to repay \$267.0 million of private placement senior term notes of varying maturities and \$30.0 million of additional senior term notes under a Private Shelf Agreement. As a result of the repayment of debt during the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company incurred \$12.8 million in make-whole payments and other fees along with \$5.2 million in interest rate swap settlements, as discussed in Note 7 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, totaling \$18.0 million that was recorded as interest expense within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

11. INCOME TAXES:

The determination of the annual effective income tax rate is based upon a number of significant estimates and judgments, including the estimated annual pretax income of the Company in each tax jurisdiction in which it operates and the development of tax planning strategies during the year. In addition, as a global enterprise, the Company s interim tax expense can be impacted by changes in tax rates or laws, the finalization of tax audits or reviews, mix of income or loss by jurisdiction as well as other factors that cannot be predicted with certainty. As such, there can be significant volatility in interim tax provisions.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company s continuing operations recognized tax expense of \$9.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, with corresponding effective tax rates of 38.1 and 51.0 percent. The effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010 decreased primarily due to the comparable prior period including an adjustment to correct deferred income tax balances.

The Company accrues for the effects of open uncertain tax positions and the related potential penalties and interest. There were no material adjustments to our recorded liability for uncertain tax positions during the three months ended September 30, 2010. It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized tax benefit with respect to certain unrecognized tax positions will increase or decrease during the next 12 months; however, the Company does not expect the change to have a significant effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

The Company files tax returns and pays tax primarily in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, and the Netherlands as well as states, cities, and provinces within these jurisdictions. In the United States, fiscal years 2007 and after remain open for federal tax audit. The Company has been notified that the United States federal income tax returns for the years 2007 through 2009 have been selected for audit. For state tax audits, the statute of limitations generally spans three to four years, resulting in a number of states remaining open for tax audits dating back to fiscal year 2006. However, the Company is under audit in a number of states in which the statute of limitations has been extended to fiscal years

2000 and forward. Internationally (including Canada), the statute of limitations for tax audits varies by jurisdiction, but generally ranges from three to five years.

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION:

As of September 30, 2010, the Company owned, franchised, or held ownership interests in approximately 12,750 worldwide locations. The Company s locations consisted of 9,527 North American salons (located in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico), 401 international salons, 95 hair restoration centers and approximately 2,735 locations in which the Company maintains an ownership interest.

The Company operates its North American salon operations through five primary concepts: Regis Salons, MasterCuts, SmartStyle, Supercuts and Promenade salons. The concepts offer similar products and services, concentrate on the mass market consumer marketplace and have consistent distribution channels. All of the company-owned and franchise salons within the North American salon concepts are located in high traffic, retail shopping locations that attract mass market consumers, and the individual salons display similar long-term economic characteristics. The salons share interdependencies

Table of Contents

and a common support base.

The Company operates its international salon operations, primarily in the United Kingdom, through three primary concepts: Regis, Supercuts, and Sassoon salons. Consistent with the North American concepts, the international concepts offer similar products and services, concentrate on the mass market consumer marketplace and have consistent distribution channels. All of the international salon concepts are company-owned and are located in malls, leading department stores, and high-street locations. Individual salons display similar long-term economic characteristics. The salons share interdependencies and a common support base.

The Company s company-owned and franchise hair restoration centers are located in the United States and Canada. The Company s hair restoration centers offer three hair restoration solutions; hair systems, hair transplants and hair therapy, which are targeted at the mass market consumer. Hair restoration centers are located primarily in office and professional buildings within larger metropolitan areas.

Based on the way the Company manages its business, it has reported its North American salons, international salons and hair restoration centers as three separate reportable segments.

Financial information for the Company s reporting segments is shown in the following tables:

Total Assets by Segment	Septer	nber 30, 2010	June 30, 2010		
		(Dollars in t	thousands)		
North American salons	\$	994,707	\$	992,410	
International salons		83,958		74,633	
Hair restoration centers		285,834		284,615	
Unallocated corporate		588,931		567,914	
Consolidated	\$	1,953,430	\$	1,919,572	

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

		~ .			-	Hair	 		
			ons			estoration	 llocated		
	Nort	h America	Int	ernational		Centers	Corporate		nsolidated
					(Dollar	s in thousands)			
Revenues:									
Service	\$	397,321	\$	25,363	\$	16,845	\$	\$	439,529
Product		100,120		9,695		18,790			128,605
Royalties and fees		9,492				619			10,111
		506,933		35,058		36,254			578,245
Operating expenses:									
Cost of service		227,297		12,728		9,476			249,501
Cost of product		49,733		5,245		6,097			61,075
Site operating expenses		46,329		2,190		490			49,009
General and administrative		29,878		2,952		8,579	32,665		74,074
Rent		73,618		8,670		2,264	556		85,108
Depreciation and amortization		17,232		1,087		3,143	4,582		26,044
Total operating expenses		444,087		32,872		30,049	37,803		544,811

Operating income (loss)	62,846	2,186	6,205	(37,803)	33,434
Other income (expense):					
Interest expense				(8,923)	(8,923)
Interest income and other, net				777	777
Income (loss) from continuing operations					
before income taxes and equity in					
income of affiliated companies	\$ 62,846	\$ 2,186	\$ 6,205	\$ (45,949)	\$ 25,288
		25			

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 Hair									
	Salons North America International		Restoration Centers		Unallocated Corporate		Consolidated			
Revenues:					(Doll	ars in thousands)				
Service	\$	405.141	\$	28,193	\$	15.944	\$		\$	449,278
Product	φ	117,150	Ψ	10.606	φ	18,397	Ψ		Ψ	146,153
Royalties and fees		9,487		10,000		632				10,119
		531,778		38,799		34,973				605,550
Operating expenses:						,,				,
Cost of service		232,452		14,557		8,960				255,969
Cost of product		68,632		5,409		5,454				79,495
Site operating expenses		48,750		2,671		1,255				52,676
General and administrative		27,787		2,835		8,421		33,517		72,560
Rent		73,593		9,404		2,282		546		85,825
Depreciation and amortization		17,920		1,500		3,014		4,757		27,191
Lease termination costs		25		3,552						3,577
Total operating expenses		469,159		39,928		29,386		38,820		577,293
Operating income (loss)		62,619		(1,129)		5,587		(38,820)		28,257
Other income (expense):										
Interest expense								(27,316)		(27,316)
Interest income and other, net								2,232		2,232
Income (loss) from continuing operations										
before income taxes and equity in										
income of affiliated companies	\$	62,619	\$	(1,129)	\$	5,587	\$	(63,904)	\$	3,173

REVIEW REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Directors of Regis Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Regis Corporation as of September 30, 2010 and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 and of cash flows for the three month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, of changes in shareholders equity and comprehensive income and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated August 27, 2010, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheet information as of June 30, 2010, is fairly stated, in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 9, 2010

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is designed to provide a reader of our financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of our management on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and certain other factors that may affect our future results. Our MD&A is presented in five sections:

- Management s Overview
- Critical Accounting Policies
- Overview of Results
- Results of Operations
- Liquidity and Capital Resources

MANAGEMENT S OVERVIEW

Regis Corporation (RGS, we, our, or us) owns, franchises or holds ownership interests in beauty salons, hair restoration centers and educational institutions. As of September 30, 2010, we owned, franchised or held ownership interests in approximately 12,750 worldwide locations. Our locations consisted of 9,928 system wide North American and international salons, 95 hair restoration centers and approximately 2,735 locations in which we maintain an ownership interest. Our salon concepts offer generally similar products and services and serve mass market consumers. Our salon operations are organized to be managed based on geographical location. Our North American salon operations include 9,527 salons, including 2,017 franchise salons, operating in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico primarily under the trade names of Regis Salons, MasterCuts, SmartStyle, Supercuts and Cost Cutters. Our international salon operations include 401 company-owned salons, located in the United Kingdom. Our hair restoration centers, operating under the trade name Hair Club for Men and Women, include 95 North American locations, including 33 franchise locations. As of September 30, 2010, we had approximately 56,000 corporate employees worldwide.

On February 16, 2009, we sold our Trade Secret salon concept (Trade Secret). We concluded, after a comprehensive review of strategic and financial options, to divest Trade Secret. The sale of Trade Secret included 655 company-owned salons and 57 franchise salons, all of which had historically been reported within the Company s North America reportable segment. The sale of Trade Secret included sale of Cameron Capital I, Inc. (CCI). CCI owned and operated PureBeauty and BeautyFirst salons which were acquired by us on February 20, 2008.

On January 31, 2008, we merged our continental European franchise salon operations with the Franck Provost Salon Group in exchange for a 30.0 percent equity interest in the newly formed entity, Provalliance. The merger with the operations of the Franck Provost Salon Group, which are also located in continental Europe, created Europe s largest salon operator with approximately 2,500 company-owned and franchise salons as of September 30, 2010.

On August 1, 2007, we contributed our 51 accredited cosmetology schools to Empire Education Group, Inc., creating the largest beauty school operator in North America. As of September 30, 2010, we own a 55.1 percent equity interest in Empire Education Group, Inc. (EEG). Our investment in EEG is accounted for under the equity method. The combined Empire Education Group, Inc. includes 100 accredited cosmetology schools with annual revenues of approximately \$175.0 million.

Our growth strategy consists of two primary, but flexible, components. Through a combination of organic and acquisition growth, we seek to achieve our long-term objective of six to ten percent annual revenue growth. We anticipate that going forward, the mix of organic and acquisition growth will be roughly equal. However, depending on several factors, including the ability of our salon development program to keep pace with the availability of real estate for new construction, hair restoration lead generation, the availability of attractive acquisition candidates and same-store sales trends, this mix will vary from year to year. Due to the current economic conditions we have recently reduced the pace of our new salon development and salon acquisitions. We expect to continue with our historical trend of building and/or acquiring 700 to 1,000 salons each year once the economy normalizes.

Maintaining financial flexibility is a key element in continuing our successful growth. With strong operating cash flow and balance sheet, we are confident that we will be able to financially support our long-term growth objectives.

We are in compliance with all covenants and other requirements of our financing arrangements as of September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Salon Business

The strength of our salon business is in the fundamental similarity and broad appeal of our salon concepts that allow flexibility and multiple salon concept placements in shopping centers and neighborhoods. Each concept generally targets the middle market customer, however, each attracts a different demographic. We believe there are growth opportunities in all of our salon concepts. When commercial opportunities arise, we anticipate testing and developing new salon concepts to complement our existing concepts.

We execute our salon growth strategy by focusing on real estate. Our salon real estate strategy is to add new units in convenient locations with good visibility and customer traffic, as well as appropriate trade demographics. Our various salon and product concepts operate in a wide range of retailing environments, including regional shopping malls, strip centers and Walmart Supercenters. We believe that the availability of real estate will augment our ability to achieve the aforementioned long-term growth objectives. In fiscal year 2011, our outlook for constructed salons is approximately 160 units. In fiscal year 2011, capital expenditures and acquisitions are expected to be approximately \$95.0 and \$25.0 million, respectively.

Organic salon revenue growth is achieved through the combination of new salon construction and salon same-store sales increases. Once the economy normalizes, we expect we will continue with our historical trend of building several hundred company-owned salons. We anticipate our franchisees will open approximately 70 to 100 salons in fiscal year 2011. Older, unprofitable salons will be closed or relocated. Our long-term outlook for our salon business is for annual consolidated low single digit same-store sales increases. Based on current fashion and economic cycles (i.e., longer hairstyles and lengthening of customer visitation patterns), we project our annual fiscal year 2011 consolidated same-store sales to be in the range of negative 1.0 percent to positive 2.0 percent.

Historically, our salon acquisitions have varied in size from as small as one salon to over one thousand salons. The median acquisition size is approximately ten salons. From fiscal year 1994 to September 30, 2010, we acquired 8,049 salons, net of franchise buybacks. Once the economy normalizes, we anticipate adding several hundred company-owned salons each year from acquisitions. Some of these acquisitions may include buying salons from our franchisees.

Hair Restoration Business

In December 2004, we acquired Hair Club for Men and Women. Hair Club for Men and Women is a provider of hair loss solutions with an estimated five percent share of the \$4 billion domestic market. This industry is comprised of numerous locations domestically and is highly fragmented. As a result, we believe there is an opportunity to consolidate this industry through acquisition. Expanding the hair loss business organically and through acquisition would allow us to add incremental revenue which is neither dependent upon, nor dilutive to, our existing salon businesses.

Our organic growth plans for hair restoration include the construction of a modest number of new locations in untapped markets domestically and internationally. However, the success of our hair restoration business is not dependent on the same real estate criteria used for salon expansion. In an effort to provide confidentiality for our customers, hair restoration centers operate primarily in professional or medical office buildings. Further, the hair restoration business is more marketing intensive. As a result, organic growth at our hair restoration centers will be dependent on successfully generating new leads and converting them into hair restoration customers. Our growth expectations for our hair

restoration business are not dependent on referral business from, or cross marketing with, our hair salon business, but these concepts continue to be evaluated closely for additional growth opportunities.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In preparing the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to make various judgments, estimates and assumptions that could have a significant impact on the results reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We base these estimates on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Estimates are considered to be critical if they meet both of the following criteria: (1) the estimate requires assumptions about material matters that are uncertain at the time the accounting estimates are made, and (2) other materially different estimates could have been reasonably made or material changes in the estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Changes in these estimates could have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our significant accounting policies can be found in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part II, Item 8 of the June 30, 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We believe the accounting policies related to the valuation of goodwill, the valuation and estimated useful lives of long-lived assets, investment in and loans to affiliates, purchase price allocations, revenue recognition, self-insurance accruals, stock-based compensation expense, legal contingencies and estimates used in relation to tax liabilities and deferred taxes are most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial condition and results

Table of Contents

of operations. Discussion of each of these policies is contained under Critical Accounting Policies in Part II, Item 7 of our June 30, 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There were no significant changes in or application of our critical accounting policies during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Goodwill:

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually or at the time of a triggering event. In evaluating whether goodwill is impaired, the Company compares the carrying value of each reporting unit, including goodwill, to the estimated fair value of the reporting unit. The carrying value of each reporting unit is based on the assets and liabilities associated with the operations of the reporting unit, including allocation of shared or corporate balances among reporting units. Allocations are generally based on the number of salons in each reporting unit as a percent of total company-owned salons.

The Company calculates the estimated fair value of the reporting units based on discounted future cash flows that utilize estimates in annual revenue, gross margins, fixed expense rates, allocated corporate overhead, and long-term growth for determining terminal value. The Company s estimated future cash flows also take into consideration acquisition integration and maturation. Where available and as appropriate, comparative market multiples are used to corroborate the results of the discounted cash flow. The Company considers its various concepts to be reporting units when testing for goodwill impairment because that is where the Company s estimated fair value calculations. The Company periodically engages third-party valuation consultants to assist in evaluation of the Company s estimated fair value calculations. The Company s policy is to perform its annual goodwill impairment test during its third quarter of each fiscal year ending June 30.

In the situations where a reporting unit s carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value, the amount of the impairment loss must be measured. The measurement of impairment is calculated by determining the implied fair value of a reporting unit s goodwill. In calculating the implied fair value of goodwill, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all other assets and liabilities of that unit based on the relative fair values. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amount assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. The goodwill impairment is measured as the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over its implied fair value.

As a result of the Company s annual impairment analysis of goodwill during the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, a \$35.3 million impairment charge was recorded within continuing operations for the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over the implied fair value of goodwill for the Regis salon concept. The estimated fair value of the Promenade salon concept exceeded its respective carrying value by approximately 10.0 percent. The respective fair values of the Company s remaining reporting units exceeded fair value by greater than 20.0 percent. While the Company has determined the estimated fair values of Regis and Promenade to be appropriate based on the historical level of revenue growth, operating income and cash flows, it is reasonably likely that Regis and Promenade may become impaired in future periods. The term reasonably likely refers to an occurrence that is more than remote but less than probable in the judgment of the Company. Because some of the inherent assumptions and estimates used in determining the fair value of the reportable segment are outside the control of management, changes in these underlying assumptions can adversely impact fair value. Potential impairment of a portion or all of the carrying value of the Regis salon concept and Promenade salon concept goodwill is dependent on many factors and cannot be predicted with certainty.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company s estimated fair value, as determined by the sum of our reporting units fair value, reconciled to within a reasonable range of our market capitalization which included an assumed control premium. The Company concluded there were no triggering events requiring the Company to perform an interim goodwill impairment test between the annual impairment testing and September 30, 2010.

OVERVIEW OF RESULTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

• Revenues decreased 4.5 percent to \$578.2 million and consolidated same-store sales decreased 1.5 percent. The Company continued to experience a decline in customer visitation as a result of the current global economic environment and reduced mall traffic, partially offset by an increase in average ticket price.

• We acquired 26 corporate salon locations, none of which were franchise location buybacks. We built 40 corporate locations and closed, converted or relocated 64 locations. Our franchisees constructed 19 locations and closed, converted or relocated 22 locations. As of September 30, 2010, we had 7,911 company-owned locations, 2,017 franchise locations and 95 hair restoration centers (62 company-owned and 33 franchise locations).

• The effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010 of 38.1 percent decreased from 51.0 percent primarily due to the comparable prior period including an adjustment to correct deferred income tax balances.

Table of Contents

• In August 2010 the Company retained Peter J. Solomon Company, L.P. as its financial advisor and Faegre & Benson LLP and Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz as its legal advisors to explore strategic alternatives to enhance shareholder value. There can be no assurance that the review of strategic alternatives will result in any agreement or transaction.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Consolidated Results of Continuing Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain information derived from our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations, expressed as a percent of revenues. The percentages are computed as a percent of total consolidated revenues, except as noted.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				
Results of Operations as a Percent of Revenues	2010	2009			
Service	76.0%	74.2%			
Product	22.2	24.1			
Royalties and fees	1.8	1.7			
Operating expenses:					
Cost of service (1)	56.8	57.0			
Cost of product (2)	47.5	54.4			
Site operating expenses	8.5	8.7			
General and administrative	12.8	12.0			
Rent	14.7	14.2			
Depreciation and amortization	4.5	4.5			
Lease termination costs		0.6			
Operating income	5.8	4.7			
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity in income of					
affiliated companies	4.4	0.5			
Income from continuing operations	3.2	0.8			
Income from discontinued operations		0.5			
Net income (3)	3.2	1.3			

Computed as a percent of service revenues and excludes depreciation expense. Computed as a percent of product revenues and excludes depreciation expense. Total is a recalculation; line items calculated individually may not sum to total due to rounding.

Consolidated Revenues

Consolidated revenues primarily include revenues of company-owned salons, product and equipment sales to franchisees, hair restoration center revenues, and franchise royalties and fees. As compared to the respective prior period, consolidated revenues decreased 4.5 percent to \$578.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010. The following table details our consolidated revenues by concept. All service revenues, product revenues (which include product and equipment sales to franchisees), and franchise royalties and fees are included within their respective concept detailed in the table below:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,						
	2010		2009				
	(Dollars in	thousands)					
North American salons:							
Regis	\$ 107,504	\$	110,601				
MasterCuts	42,040		41,092				
SmartStyle	132,554		131,274				
Supercuts	79,323		79,070				
Promenade (2)	145,512		169,741				
Total North American salons	506,933		531,778				
International salons	35,058		38,799				
Hair restoration centers	36,254		34,973				
Consolidated revenues	\$ 578,245	\$	605,550				
Percent change from prior year	(4.5)%		(1.3)%				
Salon same-store sales decrease (1)	(1.5)%		(4.5)%				

The percent changes in consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were driven by the following:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,						
Percentage Increase (Decrease) in Revenues	2010	2009					
Acquisitions (previous twelve months)	0.5%	1.4%					
Organic (2)	(4.0)	(0.5)					
Foreign currency	(0.1)	(1.4)					
Closed salons	(0.9)	(0.8)					
	(4.5)%	(1.3)%					

⁽¹⁾ Salon same-store sales increases or decreases are calculated on a daily basis as the total change in sales for company-owned salons which were open on a specific day of the week during the current period and the corresponding prior period. Quarterly salon same-store sales are the sum of the same-store sales computed on a daily basis. Salons relocated within a one mile radius are included in same-store sales as they are considered to have been open in the prior period. International same-store sales are calculated in local currencies so that foreign currency fluctuations do not impact the calculation. Management believes that same-store sales, a component of organic growth, are useful in determining the increase in salon revenues attributable to its organic growth (new salon construction and same-store sales growth) versus growth from acquisitions.

(2) Trade Secret, Inc. was sold by Regis Corporation on February 16, 2009. The agreement included a provision that the Company would supply product to the buyer of Trade Secret and provide certain administrative services for a transition period. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, and 2009, the Company generated revenues of zero and \$20.0 million in product revenues, respectively, which represented zero and 3.3 percent of consolidated revenues, respectively. The agreement was substantially complete as of September 30, 2009.

We acquired 46 salons (including 19 franchise salon buybacks) during the twelve months ended September 30, 2010. The organic decrease was primarily due to consolidated same-store sales decrease of 1.5 percent, partially offset by the construction of 122 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2010. We closed 275 salons (including 69 franchise salons) during the twelve months ended September 30, 2010.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010 the foreign currency impact was driven by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the British Pound and Euro, partially offset by the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior period. The impact of foreign currency was calculated by multiplying current year revenues in local currencies by the change in the foreign currency exchange rate between the current and prior fiscal year.

Table of Contents

We acquired 77 salons (including seven franchise salon buybacks) during the twelve months ended September 30, 2009. The organic decrease was primarily due to consolidated same-store sales decrease of 4.5 percent, partially offset by the construction of 183 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2009. We closed 305 salons (including 56 franchise salons) during the twelve months ended September 30, 2009.

During the three months ended September 30, 2009 the foreign currency impact was driven by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, British Pound, and Euro, as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior period. The impact of foreign currency was calculated by multiplying current year revenues in local currencies by the change in the foreign currency exchange rate between the current and prior fiscal year.

Consolidated revenues are primarily comprised of service and product revenues, as well as franchise royalties and fees. Fluctuations in these three major revenue categories were as follows:

Service Revenues. Service revenues include revenues generated from company-owned salons and service revenues generated by hair restoration centers. Total service revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

		Decrease				
			Over Prior Fiscal	Year		
Periods Ended September 30,	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage		
		(Dollar	s in thousands)			
2010	\$ 439,529	\$	(9,749)	(2.2)%		
2009	449,278		(19,757)	(4.2)		

The decrease in service revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was due to same-store service sales decreasing 2.4 percent. Same-store service sales decreased due to a decline in same-store customer visits, partially offset by price increases and sales mix as the Company continues to increase hair color and waxing services. The decrease in service revenues was also due to the strengthening of the United States dollar against the Euro and British Pound. Partially offsetting the decrease was growth due to acquisitions during the previous twelve months and the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar.

The decrease in service revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was due to same-store service sales decreasing 4.2 percent as many consumers have lengthened their visitation pattern due to the economy. Service revenues decreased due to the strengthening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, Euro, and British Pound. Partially offsetting the decrease was growth due to acquisitions during the previous twelve months and an increase in average ticket.

Product Revenues. Product revenues are primarily sales at company-owned salons, hair restoration centers and sales of product and equipment to franchisees. Total product revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

(Decrease) Increase Over Prior Fiscal Year

Periods Ended September 30,	Revenues	(Dolla	Dollar ars in thousands)	Percentage
2010	\$ 128,605	\$	(17,548)	(12.0)%
2009	146,153		11,970	8.9

The decrease in product revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was due to the completion of the agreement as of September 30, 2009 in which we supplied product to the purchaser of Trade Secret. The three months ended September 30, 2009 included \$20.0 million of product sales while the three months ended September 30, 2010 had no product sales to the purchaser of Trade Secret. The decrease was partially offset by a same-store product sales increase of 1.7 percent.

The growth in product revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was due to product sales of \$20.0 million to the purchaser of Trade Secret, partially offset by same-store product sales decreases of 5.7 percent.

Royalties and Fees. Total franchise revenues, which include royalties and fees, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

		Decrease Over Prior Fiscal Year			
Periods Ended September 30,	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
2010	\$ 10,111	\$	(8)	(0.1)%	
2009	10,119		(192)	(1.9)	

Total franchise locations open at September 30, 2010 were 2,050, including 33 franchise hair restoration centers, as compared to 2,083, including 33 franchise hair restoration centers, at September 30, 2009. We purchased 19 of our franchise salons and zero franchise hair restoration centers during the twelve months ended September 30, 2010. Offsetting a decrease in royalties and fees due to a reduction in franchise locations as of September 30, 2010 compared to September 30, 2009 was the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar.

Total franchise locations open at September 30, 2009 were 2,083, including 33 franchise hair restoration centers, as compared to 2,066, including 33 franchise hair restoration centers, at September 30, 2008. We purchased seven of our franchise salons and zero franchise hair restoration centers during the twelve months ended September 30, 2009.

Gross Margin (Excluding Depreciation and Amortization)

Our cost of revenues primarily includes labor costs related to salon and hair restoration center employees, the cost of product used in providing services and the cost of products sold to customers and franchisees. The resulting gross margin for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

		Margin as % of						
	Gross	Service and (Decrease) Increase Over Prior Fiscal Year						
Periods Ended September 30,	Margin	Product Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)		
		(Dollars in thousands)						
2010	\$ 257,558	45.3%	\$	(2,409)	(0.9)%	160		
2009	259,967	43.7		(10,555)	(3.9)	(110)		

(1) Represents the basis point change in gross margin as a percent of service and product revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

Service Margin (Excluding Depreciation and Amortization). Service margin for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

		Margin as % of				
	Service	Service		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fi	scal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Margin	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		(Do	llars i	n thousands)		
2010	\$ 190,028	43.2%	\$	(3,281)	(1.7)%	20
2009	193,309	43.0		(8,649)	(4.3)	(10)

(1) Represents the basis point change in service margin as a percent of service revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point improvement in service margin as a percent of service revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to operational payroll control and a reduction in the cost of supplies used in service.

The basis point decrease in service margin as a percent of service revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to planned increases in salon health insurance costs, partially offset by operational payroll control.

Product Margin (Excluding Depreciation and Amortization). Product margin for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

		Margin as % of				
	Product	Product		Increase (De	crease) Over Prior F	iscal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Margin	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		(Dol	lars in	thousands)		
2010	\$ 67,530	52.5%	\$	872	1.3%	690
2009	66,658	45.6		(1,906)	(2.8)	(550)

(1) Represents the basis point change in product margin as a percent of product revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

Trade Secret, Inc. was sold by Regis Corporation on February 16, 2009. The agreement included a provision that Regis Corporation would supply product to the purchaser at cost for a transition period. The agreement was substantially completed as of September 30, 2009.

The following tables breakout product revenue, cost of product and product margin as a percent of product revenues between product and product sold to the purchaser of Trade Secret.

	1	For the Periods En	ded Septer	mber 30,
Breakout of Product Revenue		2010		2009
Product	\$	128,605	\$	126,191
Product sold to purchaser of Trade Secret				19,962
Total product revenues	\$	128.605	\$	146.153

	F	For the Periods Ended September 30,						
Breakout of Cost of Product	2	2010		2009				
Cost of product	\$	61,075	\$	59,533				
Cost of product sold to purchaser of Trade Secret				19,962				
Total cost of product	\$	61,075	\$	79,495				

	For the Periods Ended September 30,					
Product Margin as % of Product Revenues	2010	2009				
Margin on product other than sold to purchaser of Trade Secret	52.5%	52.8%				
Margin on product sold to purchaser of Trade Secret						
Total product margin	52.5%	45.6%				
1 0						

The basis point decrease in product margin other than sold to purchaser of Trade Secret as a percent of product revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was due to a planned increase in sales of slightly lower profit margin appliances in our international segment, partially offset by the continued reduction in commissions paid to new employees on retail product sales.

The basis point improvement in product margin other than sold to purchaser of Trade Secret as a percent of product revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was due to several items, including higher gross margins on promotional items as well as a planned reduction in commissions paid to new employees on retail product sales.

Site Operating Expenses

This expense category includes direct costs incurred by our salons and hair restoration centers such as on-site advertising, workers compensation, insurance, utilities and janitorial costs. Site operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

		Site	Expense as % of Consolidated		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fi	iscal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Ol	perating	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
			(De	ollars in	thousands)		
2010	\$	49,009	8.5%	\$	(3,667)	(7.0)%	(20)
2009		52,676	8.7		4,274	8.8	80

(1) Represents the basis point change in site operating expenses as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point improvement in site operating expenses as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to prior year comparable period included \$3.6 million of expense related to two legal claims on customer and employee matters. Offsetting the basis point improvement was negative leverage from negative same-store sales.

The basis point increase in site operating expenses as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to the reclassification from rent for rubbish removal and utilities that we pay our landlords as part of our operating lease agreements. In addition, the Company settled two legal claims related to customer and employee matters totaling \$3.6 million. Partially offsetting the increase were advertising, freight and utility expense reductions.

General and Administrative

General and administrative (G&A) includes costs associated with our field supervision, salon training and promotions, product distribution centers and corporate offices (such as salaries and professional fees), including costs incurred to support franchise and hair restoration center operations. G&A expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

		Expense as % of Consolidated		Increase (De	crease) Over Prior F	iscal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	G&A	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		(Do	llars in	ı thousands)		
2010	\$ 74,074	12.8%	\$	1,514	2.1%	80
2009	72,560	12.0		(5,204)	(6.7)	(70)

(1) Represents the basis point change in G&A as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point increase in G&A costs as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was due to the timing of certain advertising expenditures and negative leverage from the decrease in same-store sales.

The basis point improvement in G&A costs as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was due to the continuation of cost savings initiatives implemented by the Company, primarily related to the prior year reduction of field supervisory staff and travel costs.

Rent

Rent expense, which includes base and percentage rent, common area maintenance, and real estate taxes, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, was as follows:

Increase Over Prior F	Siscal Year
Percentage	Basis Point(1)
(0.8)%	50
(6.9)	(80)
	(0.8)%

(1) Represents the basis point change in rent as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point increase in rent expense as a percent of consolidated revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to negative leverage in this fixed cost category due to negative same-stores sales. Partially offsetting the basis point increase was savings achieved from our recent store closure initiatives.

The basis point improvement in rent expense as a percent of consolidated revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to the reclassification in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009 of rubbish removal and utilities that we pay landlords as part of our operating lease agreements to site operating expense. Partially offsetting the basis point improvement was negative leverage in this fixed cost category due to negative same-store sales.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense (D&A) for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

		Expense as % of Consolidated		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fi	scal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	D&A	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		(Do	llars	in thousands)		
2010	\$ 26,044	4.5%	\$	(1,147)	(4.2)%	
2009	27,191	4.5		(77)	(0.3)	10

⁽¹⁾ Represents the basis point change in D&A as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

D&A as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was consistent with the twelve months ended September 30, 2009 as the negative leverage from the decrease in same-store sales was offset by a decrease in depreciation expense due to a reduction in salon construction.

The basis point increase in D&A as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to negative leverage from the decrease in same-store sales.

Lease Termination Costs

Lease termination costs for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	Lease Termination	Expense as % of Consolidated		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fis	scal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Costs	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
			(Dollars	in thousands)		
2010	\$		% \$	(3,577)	(100.0)%	(60)
2009	3,577	0.6		2,426	210.8	40

(1) Represents the basis point change in lease termination costs as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

As the Company s July 2008 and June 2009 plans to close underperforming company-owned salons were substantially complete as of June 30, 2010, the Company did not incur lease termination costs during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

The fiscal year 2010 lease termination costs are associated with the Company s plan to close underperforming U.K company-owned salons in fiscal year 2010 and underperforming company-owned salons in fiscal year 2009. During the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 we closed four and 20 salons within the North America reportable segment, respectively. During the three months ended September 30, 2009 we closed 11 salons within the international reportable segment. See further discussion within Note 8 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Interest

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

		Expense as % of Consolidated		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fi	scal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Interest	Revenues	ollore	Dollar in thousands)	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		(D	onars	III tilousalius)		
2010	\$ 8,923	1.5%	\$	(18,393)	(67.3)%	(300)
2009	27,316	4.5		17,096	167.3	280

⁽¹⁾ Represents the basis point change in interest expense as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point improvement in interest expense as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was due to the prior year comparable period including \$18.0 million of make-whole payments including \$5.2 million of interest rate settlement and other fees associated with the prepayment of private placement debt.

The basis point increase in interest expense as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was due to \$18.0 million of make-whole payments and other fees associated with the prepayment of private placement debt.

Interest Income and Other, net

Interest income and other, net for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

		Income as% of Consolidated		(Decrease) In	crease Over Prior Fi	scal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Interest	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
		(D	ollars	in thousands)		
2010	\$ 777	0.1%	\$	(1,455)	(65.2)%	(30)
2009	2,232	0.4		497	28.6	10

(1) Represents the basis point change in interest income and other, net as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point decrease in interest income and other, net as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to the Company receiving \$0.7 million for warehousing services from the purchaser of Trade Secret during the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$1.9 million received from the purchaser of Trade Secret for administrative services during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

The basis point improvement in interest income and other, net as a percent of consolidated revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to the Company receiving \$1.9 million for administrative services from the purchaser of Trade Secret, partially offset by a decrease in interest income as a result of a decline in interest rates, compared to the comparable prior period rates.

Income Taxes

Our reported effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

		Basis Point(1) (Decrease)
Periods Ended September 30,	Effective Rate	Increase
2010	38.1%	(1,290)
2009	51.0	1,200

⁽¹⁾ Represents the basis point change in income tax expense as a percent of consolidated revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point decrease in our overall effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was due primarily to the comparable prior period including an adjustment to correct deferred income tax balances.

The basis point increase in our overall effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was due primarily to an adjustment to correct prior year deferred income tax balances. The adjustment increased the Company s first quarter fiscal year 2010 income tax provision by \$0.4 million and increased the effective income tax rate by 11.3 percent. The Company does not believe the adjustment is material to its first quarter of fiscal year 2010 results of operations or its financial position or results of operations of any prior periods.

Equity in Income of Affiliated Companies, Net of Income Taxes

Equity in income of affiliated companies represents the income or loss generated by our equity investment in Empire Education Group, Inc., Provalliance, and other equity method investments, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, was as follows:

		(Decrease) Increase Over Prior					
	Equity in						
Periods Ended September 30,	Income	Dollar		Percentage			
		(Dollars	in thousands)				
2010	\$ 2,679	\$	(378)	(12.4)%			
2009	3,057		2,565	521.3			

The decrease in equity in income of affiliated companies during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was a result of a decrease in EEG s and Hair Club for Med, Ltd s net income over the comparable prior period, partially offset by an increase in Provalliance s net income over the comparable prior period.

Income from Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

	Income from Discontinued Oper		(Dec	(Decrease) Increase Over Prior Fiscal Year		
Periods Ended September 30,	Net of Taxes		Doll ars in thousa		Percentage	
2010	\$	(Dona	s in thousa	(3,161)	(100.0)%	
2009	Ψ	3,161	Ψ	4,761	297.6	

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company corrected the tax basis of its Trade Secret legal entity which resulted in an incremental \$3.0 million tax benefit which was recorded in discontinued operations.

See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Recent accounting pronouncements are discussed in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Effects of Inflation

We compensate some of our salon employees with percentage commissions based on sales they generate, thereby enabling salon payroll expense as a percent of company-owned salon revenues to remain relatively constant. Accordingly, this provides us certain protection against inflationary increases, as payroll expense and related benefits (our major expense components) are variable costs of sales. In addition, we may increase pricing in our salons to offset any significant increases in wages. Therefore, we do not believe inflation has had a significant impact on the results of our operations.

Constant Currency Presentation

The presentation below demonstrates the effect of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations from year to year. To present this information, current period results for entities reporting in currencies other than United States dollars are converted into United States dollars at the average exchange rates in effect during the corresponding period of the prior fiscal year, rather than the actual average exchange rates in effect during the corresponding nurrency impact is equal to current year results in local currencies multiplied by the change in the average foreign currency exchange rate between the current fiscal period and the corresponding period of the prior fiscal year. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact on consolidated revenues due to the strengthening of the United States dollar against the British Pound and Euro, partially offset by the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior period. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact on consolidated revenues due to the exchange rates for the comparable prior period. Buring the three months ended September 30, 2009, foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact on consolidated revenues due to the exchange rates for the comparable prior period. Buring the three months ended September 30, 2009, foreign currency translation had an unfavorable impact on consolidated revenues due to the weakening of the Canadian dollar, British Pound, and Euro against the United States dollar, as compared to the exchange rates for the comparable prior period.

						Impact of	1 Inco	me
		Impact on	Reve	nues		Before Inc	ome T	axes
Impact of Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Fluctuations		eptember 30, 2010		September 30, 2009		eptember 30, 2010		September 30, 2009
				(Dollars in t	housan	ds)		
Canadian dollar	\$	1,868	\$	(2,440)	\$	268	\$	(374)
British pound		(2,075)		(6,135)		(121)		255
Euro		(235)		(126)		83		(40)
Total	\$	(442)	\$	(8,701)	\$	230	\$	(159)

Results of Operations by Segment

Based on our internal management structure, we report three segments: North American salons, international salons and hair restoration centers. Significant results of operations are discussed below with respect to each of these segments.

North American Salons

North American Salon Revenues. Total North American salon revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

			(Decrease) Inc Over Prior Fisc		Same- Store Sales
Periods Ended September 30,	ŀ	Revenues	Dollar (Dollars in thous	Percentage ands)	Decrease
2010	\$	506,933	\$ (24,845)	(4.7)%	(1.7)%
2009		531,778	1,844	0.3	(4.7)

The percentage increases (decreases) during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were due to the following factors:

	For the Three Mon September	
Percentage Increase (Decrease) in Revenues	2010	2009
Acquisitions (previous twelve months)	0.6%	1.6%
Organic	(5.1)	(0.3)
Foreign currency	0.3	(0.5)
Closed salons	(0.5)	(0.5)
	(4.7)%	0.3%

We acquired 46 North American salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2010, including 19 franchise buybacks. The organic decrease was the result of same-store sales decrease of 1.7 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2010 due to a decline in same-store customer visits, partially offset by an increase in average ticket. Contributing to the organic sales decline during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was the completion of an agreement to supply the purchaser of Trade Secret product at cost. The Company generated revenues of \$0.0 and \$20.0 million for product sold to the purchaser of Trade Secret during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The foreign currency impact during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was driven by the weakening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar as compared to the prior period s exchange rate.

We acquired 77 North American salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2009, including seven franchise buybacks. The organic decrease was the result of same-store sales decrease of 4.7 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2009, partially offset by the sales of product to the purchaser of Trade Secret. The foreign currency impact during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was driven by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the Canadian dollar as compared to the prior period s exchange rate.

North American Salon Operating Income. Operating income for the North American salons for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

			Operating				
			Income as % of				
	(Operating	Total		Increase (Dec	rease) Over Prior F	iscal Year
Periods Ended September 30,		Income	Revenues		Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
			(Dol	llars i	n thousands)		
2010	\$	62,846	12.4%	\$	227	0.4%	60
2009		62,619	11.8		(2,189)	(3.4)	(40)

(1) Represents the basis point change in North American salon operating income as a percent of North American salon revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point improvement in North American salon operating income as a percent of North American salon revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to gross margin improvement, the completion of the agreement to supply the purchaser of Trade Secret product at cost due and the three months ended September 30, 2009 included \$3.6 million of expense related to two legal claims on customer and employee matters. Partially offsetting the basis point improvement was negative leverage in fixed cost categories due to negative same-store sales.

The basis point decrease in North American salon operating income as a percent of North American salon revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to the settlement of two legal claims regarding customer and employee matters totaling \$3.6 million, partially offset by the Company s costs saving initiatives.

International Salons

International Salon Revenues. Total international salon revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	Decrease Over Prior Fiscal Yea				l Year	Same- Store Sales		
Periods Ended September 30,	Revenues			Dollar	Percentage	Decrease		
			(Dollars in thousands)					
2010	\$	35,058	\$	(3,741)	(9.6)%	(1.9)%		

2009	38,799	(9,649)	(19.9)	(4.6)
2009	38,799	(9,649)	(19.9)	(4.6

The percentage increases (decreases) during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were due to the following factors:

	For the Three Monti September 30	
Percentage Increase (Decrease) in Revenues	2010	2009
Acquisitions (previous twelve months)	%	%
Organic	3.8	(1.9)
Foreign currency	(6.0)	(12.9)
Closed salons	(7.4)	(5.1)
	(9.6)%	(19.9)%

We did not acquire any international salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in organic was primarily due to the rebranding of certain salons that had previously been operating under a different salon concept, partially offset by the same-store sales decrease of 1.9 percent. The foreign currency impact during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was driven by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the British pound and Euro as compared to the comparable prior period. We closed 33 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2010.

We did not acquire any international salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in organic was primarily due to the same-store sales decrease of 4.6 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The foreign currency

Table of Contents

impact during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was driven by the strengthening of the United States dollar against the British pound and Euro as compared to the comparable prior period. We closed 40 company-owned salons during the twelve months ended September 30, 2009.

International Salon Operating Income (Loss). Operating income (loss) for the international salons for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

			Operating Income (Loss) as % of	I				
	Оре	erating	Total			Increase (Dee	crease) Over Prior F	iscal Year
Periods Ended September 30,	Incon	ne (Loss)	Revenues			Dollar	Percentage	Basis Point(1)
				(Do	llars ii	n thousands)		
2010	\$	2,186	(5.2%	\$	3,315	393.6%	910
2009		(1,129)	(2	2.9)		(2,827)	(166.5)	(640)

(1) Represents the basis point change in international salon operating income as a percent of international salon revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point improvement in international salon operating income as a percent of international salon revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to \$3.6 million of lease termination costs recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2009 associated with the Company s planned closure of up to 80 underperforming U.K. salons.

The basis point decrease in international salon operating income as a percent of international salon revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to the \$3.6 million lease termination costs associated with the Company s planned closure of up to 80 underperforming U.K. salons.

Hair Restoration Centers

Hair Restoration Revenues. Total hair restoration revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

Periods Ended September 30,]	Revenues	Increase (Dec Over Prior Fisc Dollar (Dollars in thous	al Year Percentage	Same- Store Sales Increase (Decrease)
2010	\$	36,254	\$ 1,281	3.7%	1.5%
2009		34,973	(174)	(0.5)	(1.8)

The percentage increases (decreases) during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were due to the following factors:

	For the Three Mon September 3	
Percentage Increase (Decrease) in Revenues	2010	2009
Acquisitions (previous twelve months)	%	0.7%
Organic	2.8	(0.8)
Franchise revenues	0.9	(0.4)
	3.7%	(0.5)%

We did not acquire any hair restoration centers during the twelve months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in organic was primarily due to the same-store sales increase of 1.5 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Growth related to acquisitions relates to acquisitions completed during the three months ended September 30, 2008, as these salons will not be a component of organic growth until the three months ended December 31, 2009. We did not acquire any hair restoration centers through franchise buybacks during the twelve months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in organic was primarily due to the same-store sales decrease of 1.8 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2009. Franchise revenues decreased due to the reduction in franchise centers.

Hair Restoration Operating Income. Operating income for our hair restoration centers for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

Periods Ended September 30,	 perating ncome	Operating Income as % of Total Revenues	Increase (Dec ollar 1 thousands)	crease) Over Prior F Percentage	iscal Year Basis Point(1)
2010	\$ 6.205	17.1%	\$ 618	11.1%	110
2009	5,587	16.0	(331)	(5.6)	(80)

(1) Represents the basis point change in hair restoration operating income as a percent of hair restoration revenues as compared to the corresponding periods of the prior fiscal year.

The basis point increase in hair restoration operating income as a percent of hair restoration revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to a benefit related to a favorable ruling on a state sales tax issue.

The basis point decrease in hair restoration operating income as a percent of hair restoration revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to the decrease in same-store sales.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Overview

We continue to maintain a strong balance sheet to support system growth and financial flexibility. Our debt to capitalization ratio, calculated as total debt as a percentage of total debt and shareholders equity at fiscal quarter end, was as follows:

Periods Ended	Debt to Capitalization	Basis Point Decrease (1)
September 30, 2010	29.6%	(70)
June 30, 2010	30.3	(1,380)

⁽¹⁾ Represents the basis point change in total debt as a percent of total debt and shareholders equity as compared to prior fiscal year end (June 30).

The improvement in the debt to capitalization ratio as of September 30, 2010 compared to June 30, 2010 was primarily due to the impact of net income and foreign currency translation during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

The basis point decrease in the debt to capitalization ratio during the twelve months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily due to the July 2009 common stock offering and decreased debt levels stemming from the repayment of private placement debt during fiscal year 2010. Our principal on-going cash requirements are to finance construction of new stores, remodel certain existing stores, acquire salons and purchase inventory. Customers pay for salon services and merchandise in cash at the time of sale, which reduces our working capital requirements.

Total assets at September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010 were as follows:

	S	eptember 30, 2010	June 30, 2010 (Dollars in th	Pri	crease Over or Period(1)	% Increase Over Prior Period(1)
Total Assets	\$	1,953,430	\$ 1,919,572	\$	33,858	1.8%

(1) Change as compared to prior fiscal year end (June 30).

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, total assets increased as a result of cash flows from operations.

Total shareholders equity at September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010 was as follows:

	Ser	otember 30, 2010	June 30, 2010 (Dollars in th	Prio	crease Over r Period(1)	% Increase Over Prior Period(1)
Shareholders Equity	\$	1,046,526	\$ 1,013,293	\$	33,233	3.3%

(1) Change as compared to prior fiscal year end (June 30).

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, equity increased as a result of net income and foreign currency translation.

Cash Flows

The cash flow presentation below for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 includes continuing and discontinued operations.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$64.2 and \$38.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and was the result of the following:

		Ended		
Operating Cash Flows		2010		2009
		(Dollars in	thousand	
Net income	\$	18,320	\$	7,772
Depreciation and amortization		26,044		27,191
Equity in income of affiliated companies		(2,679)		(2,880)
Deferred income taxes		(6)		(3,018)
Impairment on discontinued operations				(154)
Receivables		(267)		14,931
Inventories		(7,343)		(4,152)
Income tax receivable		28,373		8,737
Other current assets		1,748		2,747
Other assets		(1,643)		(32,065)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(7,688)		14,740
Other noncurrent liabilities		4,562		1,449
Other		4,763		3,226
	\$	64,184	\$	38,524

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, cash provided by operating activities was higher than in the corresponding period of the prior fiscal year primarily related to income tax refunds received.

Table of Contents

Investing Activities

Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities was \$(19.9) and \$2.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and was the result of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		
Investing Cash Flows	2010		2009
	(Dollars in t	thousands)	
Capital expenditures for remodels or other additions	\$ (12,345)	\$	(9,578)
Capital expenditures for the corporate office (including all technology-related			
expenditures)	(2,299)		(762)
Capital expenditures for new salon construction	(1,363)		(2,306)
Proceeds from sale of assets	15		19
Business and salon acquisitions	(3,861)		(543)
Proceeds from loans and investments	15,000		15,177
Disbursements for loans and investments	(15,000)		
	\$ (19,853)	\$	2,007

Cash was used in investing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2010 primarily for capital expenditures and acquisitions. During the three months ended September 30, 2009 cash was provided by investing activities primarily as a result of a net \$15.0 million receipt on the revolving credit facility with EEG, partially offset by capital expenditures.

In fiscal 2011, our outlook for constructed salons is approximately 160 units. Capital expenditures and acquisitions are expected to be approximately \$95.0 and \$25.0 million in fiscal year 2011, respectively.

The company-owned constructed and acquired locations (excluding franchise buybacks) consisted of the following number of locations in each concept:

	For the Three Mo September 3		For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009		
	Constructed	Acquired	Constructed	Acquired	
Regis Salons	4	9	5	2	
MasterCuts	2		6		
SmartStyle	19		38		
Supercuts	5		2		
Promenade	8	17	6		
International	2				
	40	26	57	2	

Financing Activities

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities was \$(5.6) and \$12.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, was the result of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		
Financing Cash Flows		2010	2009
		(Dollars in tho	usands)
Net (payments) borrowings on revolving credit facilities	\$:	\$ (5,000)
Repayments of long-term debt		(3,334)	(301,004)
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt, net of \$5.2 million underwriting discount in			
2009			167,325
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net of \$7.2 million underwriting discount in			
2009		59	156,436
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans		3	
Dividend paid		(2,297)	(2,284)
Other		3	(2,880)
	\$	(5,566)	\$ 12,593

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, cash was used in financing activities due to the repayments on long-term debt and dividends paid. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, cash was provided by financing activities due to the \$167.3 and

Table of Contents

\$156.4 million of proceeds from the issuances of convertible debt and common stock, respectively, partially offset by the repayments on the revolving credit facilities and long-term debt.

Acquisitions

The acquisitions during the three months ended September 30, 2010 consisted of 26 acquired corporate salons. The acquisitions during the three months ended September 30, 2009 consisted of four franchise buybacks and two acquired corporate salons.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

As a part of our salon development program, we continue to negotiate and enter into leases and commitments for the acquisition of equipment and leasehold improvements related to future salon locations, and continue to enter into transactions to acquire established hair care salons and businesses.

Financing

Financing activities are discussed above and derivative activities are discussed in Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk. There were no other significant financing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

We believe that cash generated from operations and amounts available under our existing debt facilities will be sufficient to fund anticipated capital expenditures, acquisitions and required debt repayments for the foreseeable future.

We are in compliance with all covenants and other requirements of our financing arrangements as of September 30, 2010.

Dividends

We paid dividends of \$0.04 per share during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. On October 28, 2010, our Board of Directors declared a \$0.04 per share quarterly dividend payable November 25, 2010 to shareholders of record on November 11, 2010.

SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as information included in, or incorporated by reference from, future filings by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and information contained in written material, press releases and oral statements issued by or on behalf of the Company contains or may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws, including statements concerning anticipated future events and expectations that are not historical facts. The forward-looking statements in this document reflect management s best judgment at the time they are made, but all such statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by the statements herein. Such forward-looking statements are often identified herein by use of words including, but not limited to, may, believe, project, forecast, expect, estimate, anticipate, and plan. the following factors could affect the Company s actual results and cause such results to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements. These factors include the results and impact of the Company s announcement to explore strategic alternatives, competition within the personal hair care industry, which remains strong, both domestically and internationally, price sensitivity; changes in economic conditions and in particular, continued weakness in the U.S. and global economies; changes in consumer tastes and fashion trends; the ability of the Company to implement its planned spending and cost reduction plan and to continue to maintain compliance with financial covenants in its credit agreements; labor and benefit costs; legal claims; risk inherent to international development (including currency fluctuations); the continued ability of the Company and its franchisees to obtain suitable locations and financing for new salon development and to maintain satisfactory relationships with landlords and other licensors with respect to existing locations; governmental initiatives such as minimum wage rates, taxes and possible franchise legislation; the ability of the Company to successfully identify, acquire and integrate salons that support its growth objectives; the ability of the Company to maintain satisfactory relationships with suppliers; the ability of the Company to consummate the planned closure of salons and the related realization of the anticipated costs, benefits and time frame; or other factors not listed above. The ability of the Company to meet its expected revenue growth is dependent on salon acquisitions, new salon construction and same-store sales increases, all of which are affected by many of the aforementioned risks. Additional information concerning potential factors that could affect future financial results is set forth in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2010. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

However, your attention is directed to any further disclosures made in our subsequent annual and periodic reports filed or furnished with the SEC on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and Proxy Statements on Schedule 14A.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The primary market risk exposure of the Company relates to changes in interest rates in connection with its debt, some of which bears interest at variable rates based on LIBOR plus an applicable borrowing margin. Additionally, the Company is exposed to foreign currency translation risk related to its net investments in its foreign subsidiaries and notes receivable with certain affiliated companies and, to a lesser extent, changes in the Canadian dollar exchange rate. The Company has established policies and procedures that govern the management of these exposures through the use of derivative financial instrument contracts. By policy, the Company does not enter into such contracts for the purpose of speculation.

The Company has established an interest rate management policy that attempts to minimize its overall cost of debt, while taking into consideration the earnings implications associated with the volatility of short-term interest rates. As part of this policy, the Company has elected to maintain a combination of variable and fixed rate debt. Considering the effect of interest rate swaps at September 30, 2010 and June 30, 2010, respectively, the Company had the following outstanding debt balances:

	Sep	September 30, 2010		June 30,
				2010
		(Dollars in	thousands	5)
Fixed rate debt	\$	394,669	\$	395,029
Variable rate debt		45,000		45,000
	\$	439,669	\$	440,029

The Company manages its interest rate risk by continually assessing the amount of fixed and variable rate debt. On occasion, the Company uses interest rate swaps to further mitigate the risk associated with changing interest rates and to maintain its desired balances of fixed and floating rate debt. The Company s variable rate debt typically represents 35.0 to 45.0 percent of the total debt portfolio. As of September 30, 2010, the variable rate debt represented approximately 10.0 percent of the total debt portfolio. The Company is currently assessing the amount of fixed and variable rate debt.

For additional information, including a tabular presentation of the Company s debt obligations and derivative financial instruments, refer to Part II, Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in the Company s June 30, 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other than the information included above, there have been no material changes to the Company s market risk and hedging activities during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our Disclosure Committee, consisting of certain members of management, assists in this evaluation. The Disclosure Committee meets on a quarterly basis and more often if necessary.

With the participation of management, the Company s chief executive officer and chief financial officer evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-5(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act) at the conclusion of the period ended September 30, 2010. Based upon this evaluation, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Controls

Based on management s most recent evaluation of the Company s internal control over financial reporting, management determined that there were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s most recent fiscal quarter.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is a defendant in various lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. Like certain other large retail employers, the Company has been faced with allegations of purported class-wide consumer and wage and hour violations. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and the outcome of these matters cannot presently be determined. Although company counsel believes that the Company has valid defenses in these matters, it could in the future incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations in any particular period.

During fiscal year 2010, the Company recorded a \$5.2 million charge related to the settlement of two legal claims regarding certain customer and employee matters. Additionally, the Company has commitment to provide discount coupons. As of September 30, 2010, there was a \$4.3 million remaining liability recorded within accrued expenses related to the settlements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The results and impact of our announcement that our Board authorized the exploration of strategic alternatives to enhance shareholder value are uncertain and cannot be determined.

In August 2010, we announced that our Board authorized the exploration of strategic alternatives to enhance shareholder value, which could result in, among other things, a sale of the Company. There can be no assurance that the review of strategic alternatives will result in any agreement or transaction, or that if an agreement is executed, that a transaction will be consummated. We do not intend to disclose developments with respect to this review (whether or not material) unless and until the Board has approved a specific course of action or terminated the exploration of strategic alternatives. In connection with our exploration of strategic alternatives, we expect to incur expenses associated with identifying and evaluating strategic alternatives. The process of exploring strategic alternatives may be disruptive to our business operations and could make it more difficult to pursue acquisitions and retain personnel. The inability to effectively manage the process and any resulting agreement or transaction could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our business and our industry are affected by cyclical and global economic factors, including the risk of a prolonged recession.

Our financial results are substantially dependent upon overall economic conditions in the United States and in Europe. A prolonged or a deepening recession in the United States, or globally, could further decrease the demand for our products and services substantially below current levels and adversely affect our business. Our industry has historically been vulnerable to significant declines in consumption and product and service pricing during prolonged periods of economic downturn such as at present.

Recessions and other periods of economic dislocation typically result in a lower level of discretionary income for consumers. To the extent discretionary income declines, consumers may be more likely to reduce discretionary spending. This could result in our salon customers lengthening their visitation patterns, foregoing salon treatments or using home treatments as a substitute. It could also result in our hair restoration patients decreasing the amount spent on hair restoration treatments.

The current economic conditions have affected our financial results for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. Our comparable same-store sales results for the three months ended September 30, 2010 declined 1.5 percent compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. We impaired \$35.3 million of goodwill associated with our Regis salon concept during fiscal year 2010. We also impaired \$41.7 million of goodwill associated with our Regis salon concept during fiscal year 2010. We also impaired \$41.7 million of goodwill associated with our salon concepts in the United Kingdom and \$25.7 million of our investment in Provalliance during fiscal year 2009. If the economic downturn continues to result in negative same-store sales and we are unable to offset the impact with operational savings, our financial results may be further affected. We may be required to take additional impairment charges and to impair certain long-lived assets, goodwill and investments, and such impairments could be material to our consolidated balance sheet and results of operations. The concepts that have the highest likelihood of impairment are Regis and Promenade.

Changes in the general economic environment may impact our business and results of operations.

Changes to the United States, Canadian, United Kingdom, Asian and other European economies have an impact on our business. General economic factors that are beyond our control, such as interest rates, recession, inflation, deflation, tax rates and policy, energy costs, unemployment trends, and other matters that influence consumer confidence and spending, may impact our business. In

Table of Contents

particular, visitation patterns to our salons and hair restoration centers can be adversely impacted by increases in unemployment rates and decreases in discretionary income levels.

If we continue to have negative same-store sales our business and results of operations may be affected.

Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to improve sales, as well as both gross margins and operating margins. Comparable same-store sales are affected by average ticket and same-store customer visits. A variety of factors affect same-store customer visits, including fashion trends, competition, current economic conditions, changes in our product assortment, the success of marketing programs and weather conditions. These factors may cause our comparable same-store sales results to differ materially from prior periods and from our expectations. Our comparable same-store sales results for the three months ended September 30, 2010 declined 1.5 percent compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009.

If we are unable to improve our comparable same-store sales on a long-term basis or offset the impact with operational savings, our financial results may be affected. Furthermore, continued declines in same-store sales performance may cause us to be in default of certain covenants in our financing arrangements.

Changes in our key relationships may adversely affect our operating results.

We maintain key relationships with certain companies, including Walmart. Termination or modification of any of these relationships, including Walmart, could significantly reduce our revenues and have a material and adverse impact on our business, our operating results and our ability to grow.

Changes in fashion trends may impact our revenue.

Changes in consumer tastes and fashion trends can have an impact on our financial performance. For example, trends in wearing longer hair may reduce the number of visits to, and therefore, sales at our salons.

Changes in regulatory and statutory laws may result in increased costs to our business.

With approximately 12,750 locations and 56,000 employees worldwide, our financial results can be adversely impacted by regulatory or statutory changes in laws. Due to the number of people we employ, laws that increase minimum wage rates or increase costs to provide employee benefits may result in additional costs to our company. Compliance with new, complex and changing laws may cause our expenses to increase. In addition, any non-compliance with these laws could result in fines, product recalls and enforcement actions or otherwise restrict our ability to market certain products, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. We are also subject to

laws that affect the franchisor-franchisee relationship.

If we are not able to successfully compete in our business segments, our financial results may be affected.

Competition on a market by market basis remains strong. Therefore, our ability to raise prices in certain markets can be adversely impacted by this competition. If we are not able to raise prices, our ability to grow same-store sales and increase our revenue and earnings may be impaired.

If our joint ventures are unsuccessful our financial results may be affected.

We have entered into joint venture arrangements with other companies in the hair salon and beauty school businesses in order to maintain and expand our operations in the United States, Asia and continental Europe. If our joint venture partners are unwilling or unable to devote their financial resources or marketing and operational capabilities to our joint venture businesses, or if any of our joint ventures are terminated, we may not be able to realize anticipated revenues and profits in the countries where our joint ventures operate and our business could be materially adversely affected. If our joint venture arrangements are not successful, we may have a limited ability to terminate or modify these arrangements. If any of our joint ventures are terminated, there can be no assurance that we will be able to attract new joint venture partners to continue the activities of the terminated joint venture or to operate independently in the countries in which the terminated joint venture conducted business.

We are subject to default risk on our accounts and notes receivable.

We have outstanding accounts and notes receivable subject to collectability. If the counterparties are unable to repay the amounts due or if payment becomes unlikely our results of operations would be adversely affected. For example, as of September 30, 2010, \$31.6 million, net, was due to the Company from the purchaser of Trade Secret. On July 6, 2010, the purchaser of Trade Secret filed for

Table of Contents

Chapter 11 bankruptcy. The Company has a security interest in the assets of the purchaser of Trade Secret, that the Company believes fully collateralizes the \$31.6 million. Should the collateral decline there is a risk the Company may need to record reserves in future quarters.

Changes in manufacturers choice of distribution channels may negatively affect our revenues.

The retail products that we sell are licensed to be carried exclusively by professional salons. The products we purchase for sale in our salons are purchased pursuant to purchase orders, as opposed to long-term contracts and generally can be terminated by the producer without much advance notice. Should the various product manufacturers decide to utilize other distribution channels, such as large discount retailers, it could negatively impact the revenue earned from product sales.

Changes to interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates may impact our results from operations.

Changes in interest rates will have an impact on our expected results from operations. Currently, we manage the risk related to fluctuations in interest rates through the use of variable rate debt instruments and other financial instruments.

If we fail to protect the security of personal information about our customers, we could be subject to costly government enforcement actions or private litigation and our reputation could suffer.

The nature of our business involves processing, transmission and storage of personal information about our customers. If we experience a data security breach, we could be exposed to government enforcement actions and private litigation. In addition, our customers could lose confidence in our ability to protect their personal information, which could cause them to stop visiting our salons altogether. Such events could lead to lost future sales and adversely affect our results of operations.

Certain of the terms and provisions of the convertible notes we issued in July 2009 may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results and impose other risks.

In July 2009, we issued \$172.5 million aggregate principal amount of our 5.0 percent convertible senior notes due 2014 in a public offering. Certain terms of the notes we issued may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results or impose other risks, such as the following:

• Holders of notes may convert their notes into shares of our common stock, which may dilute the ownership interest of our shareholders,

• If we elect to settle all or a portion of the conversion obligation exercised by holders of the notes through the payment of cash, it could adversely affect our liquidity,

• Holders of notes may require us to purchase their notes upon certain fundamental changes, and any failure by us to purchase the notes in such event would result in an event of default with respect to the notes,

• The fundamental change provisions contained in the notes may delay or prevent a takeover attempt of the Company that might otherwise be beneficial to our investors,

• Recent changes in the accounting method for convertible debt securities that may be settled in cash require us to include both the current period s amortization of the debt discount and the instrument s coupon interest as interest expense, which will decrease our financial results,

• Our ability to pay principal and interest on the notes depends on our future operating performance and any failure by us to make scheduled payments could allow the note holders to declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable, result in termination of other debt commitments and foreclosure proceedings by other lenders, or force us into bankruptcy or liquidation, and

• The debt obligations represented by the notes may limit our ability to obtain additional financing, require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to pay our debt, limit our ability to adjust rapidly to changing market conditions and increase our vulnerability to downtowns in general economic conditions in our business.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company did not repurchase any of its common stock through its share repurchase program during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Item 4. Reserved

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 15	Letter Re: Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
Exhibit 31.1	Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer of Regis Corporation: Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 31.2	Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer of Regis Corporation: Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 32.1	Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer of Regis Corporation: Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 32.2	Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer of Regis Corporation: Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 101.INS **	XBRL Instance Document
Exhibit 101.SCH **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
Exhibit 101.CAL **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
Exhibit 101.LAB **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
Exhibit 101.PRE **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
Exhibit 101.DEF **	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

 ^(*) Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to the Company s Report on Form 10-Q.
(**) The XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability of that section and shall not be incorporated by reference into any filing or other document pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing or document.

⁵³

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

REGIS CORPORATION

Date: November 9, 2010

By:

/s/ Randy L. Pearce Randy L. Pearce Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

Signing on behalf of the registrant and as principal accounting officer