

OBJET LTD
Form F-4/A
August 06, 2012

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)
[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)
[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)
[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2012

Registration No. 333-182025

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 3

to

FORM F-4

REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

OBJET LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

State of Israel
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

3577
(Primary Standard Industrial
Classification Code Number)

Not applicable
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

Objet Ltd.
2 Holtzman Street
Science Park, P.O. Box 2496
Rehovot 76124, Israel
+972-8-931-4314

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Frank Marangell
Objet Geometries Inc.
5 Fortune Drive
Billerica, Massachusetts 01821
(877) 489-9449

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies of all correspondence to:

J. David Chertok, Adv.
David S. Glatt, Adv.
Jonathan M. Nathan, Adv.
Meitar Liguornik Geva &
Leshem Brandwein
16 Abba Hillel Silver Rd.

Timothy Moore, Esq.
Marc Recht, Esq.
Cooley LLP
3175 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130
Tel: (650) 843-5000

Eric Honick, Esq.
McLaughlin & Stern, LLP
260 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
Tel: (212) 448-1100

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Ramat Gan 52506, Israel
Tel: +972-3-610-3100

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale of the securities to the public: **As soon as practicable after the effective date of this registration statement and all other conditions to the proposed merger described herein have been satisfied or waived.**

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If applicable, place an X in the box to designate the appropriate rule provision relied upon in conducting this transaction:

Exchange Act Rule 13e-4(i) (Cross-Border Issuer Tender Offer)

Exchange Act Rule 14d-1(d) (Cross-Border Third-Party Tender Offer)

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of Securities to be Registered	Amount to be Registered	Proposed Maximum Offering Price per Unit	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price	Amount of Registration Fee
Ordinary Shares, par value NIS 0.01 per share	23,108,829(1)	N/A	\$1,015,633,034.55(2)	\$116,391.55(3)

- (1) Represents the estimated maximum number of ordinary shares of Objet issuable in connection with the merger of Stratasys, Inc. with an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet, which is comprised of (i) the sum of (a) 21,328,191 shares of Stratasys common stock outstanding on June 4, 2012, and (b) 1,280,638 and 500,000 shares of Stratasys common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding options and warrants, respectively, multiplied by (ii) the stock exchange ratio of 1.
- (2) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rules 457(c) and (f) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, based upon the product of (1) \$43.95, the average of the high and low sale prices of Stratasys common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on June 4, 2012 and (2) 23,108,829, the estimated maximum number of shares of Stratasys common stock to be exchanged pursuant to the proposed merger, as determined in note (1) above.
- (3) Of the total fee, \$107,796.55 was paid concurrently with the original filing of this registration statement, on June 8, 2012. Pursuant to Rule 457(p) under the Securities Act, the registration fee is offset by the \$8,595 registration fee previously paid by the registrant, Objet Ltd., in connection with the registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-180283) filed by the registrant on March 22, 2012 and subsequently withdrawn.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment that specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

The information in this proxy statement/prospectus is not complete and may be changed. Objet Ltd. may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, of which this proxy statement/prospectus is a part, is effective. This proxy statement/prospectus is neither an offer to sell these securities, nor a solicitation of an offer to buy these securities, in any state or jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

PRELIMINARY COPY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION DATED AUGUST 6, 2012

PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

**SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
A MERGER IS PROPOSED YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT**

Dear Stratasys Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend a special meeting of the stockholders of Stratasys, Inc., or Stratasys, to be held on [], 2012, beginning at [], local time, at [], Minnesota [].

At the special meeting, you will be asked to consider and vote upon a proposal to adopt an Agreement and Plan of Merger, or the merger agreement, dated as of April 13, 2012, which provides for the merger of Stratasys with Objet Ltd., or Objet. If the merger agreement is adopted, and the other conditions to the merger described in the merger agreement are satisfied or waived, Oaktree Merger Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet, or Merger Sub, will merge with and into Stratasys, with Stratasys continuing as the surviving corporation in the merger. Upon completion of the merger, Objet will issue to Stratasys stockholders one Objet ordinary share for each share of Stratasys common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, and thereafter, Stratasys' current stockholders will hold 55% of the ordinary shares of Objet, on a fully diluted basis (using the treasury stock method). Following the merger, Objet will change its name to Stratasys Ltd. and its ordinary shares are expected to be listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SSYS." **Objet is an "emerging growth company" under the U.S. federal securities laws and will be subject to reduced public company reporting requirements.**

The board of directors of Stratasys has unanimously adopted and approved the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated thereby, and determined that the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated thereby are in the best interests of Stratasys and its stockholders. Accordingly, the Stratasys board recommends that Stratasys' stockholders vote "FOR" adoption of the merger agreement. In reaching its determination, Stratasys' board of directors considered a number of factors, including the opinion of Stratasys' financial advisor, which is attached as *Annex F* to the accompanying proxy statement/prospectus and which Stratasys' stockholders are urged to read in its entirety.

The board of directors of Objet has similarly approved the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated thereby, and is separately recommending, and seeking, approval thereof by Objet's shareholders pursuant to the requirements of Israeli law.

Stratasys is soliciting proxies for use at the special meeting of its stockholders to consider and vote upon the proposal to adopt the merger agreement and the other proposals described in the attached proxy statement/prospectus. It provides you with detailed information about the special meeting, the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger. A copy of the merger agreement is attached as *Annex A* to the accompanying proxy statement/prospectus. You are encouraged to read the proxy statement/prospectus (including the information incorporated by reference therein), the merger agreement and the other annexes carefully and in their entirety. **For a discussion of significant matters that should be considered before voting at the special meeting or submitting your proxy, see the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 17 of the accompanying proxy statement/prospectus.**

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares you own. The merger cannot be consummated unless the merger agreement is adopted by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock issued and outstanding at the close of business on Thursday, August 2, 2012, the record date for the purpose of determining the stockholders who are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting.

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting in person, please complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it in the envelope provided as soon as possible or submit a proxy through the Internet or by telephone as described on the enclosed proxy card. This will not prevent you from voting your shares in person if you subsequently choose to attend the special meeting and vote thereat. If you fail to vote your shares or submit your proxy, it will have the same effect as a vote against adoption of the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger. If your shares are held in "street name" by your broker, you should instruct your broker to vote your shares, following the procedures provided by your broker.

If you have any questions or need assistance voting your shares, please call MacKenzie Partners, Inc., Stratasys' proxy solicitor, toll-free at (800) 322-2885 (banks and brokers call collect at (212) 929-5500).

On behalf of the board of directors of each of Stratasys and Objet, we thank you for your cooperation and your continued support, and we look forward to beginning this exciting new, joint chapter in our companies' respective histories.

Sincerely,

S. Scott Crump
Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
Stratasys, Inc.

Elchanan Jaglom
Chairman of the Board
Objet Ltd.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of the securities to be issued in connection with the merger, or determined if this proxy statement/prospectus is accurate or adequate. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

This proxy statement/prospectus is dated [], 2012, and is first being mailed to Stratasys stockholders on or about that date.

Table of Contents

**THIS PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS INCORPORATES
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

The accompanying proxy statement/prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about Stratasys from other documents that are not included in or delivered with the proxy statement/prospectus. This proxy statement/prospectus also refers to information about Objet from documents that Objet has filed with or furnished to the SEC, but that have not been included in or delivered with this proxy statement/prospectus. This information is available to you without charge upon your request. You can obtain copies of documents deemed a part of this proxy statement/prospectus (excluding all exhibits unless the exhibit has been specifically incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus), without charge, by requesting them in writing or by telephone from the appropriate company at the following addresses:

Stratasys, Inc.
7665 Commerce Way
Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344
Attention: Shane Glenn, Director of Investor Relations
Tel: (952) 937-3000

Objet Ltd.
2 Holtzman Street
Science Park, P.O. Box 2496
Rehovot 76124, Israel
Attention: Ilan Levin, President and Vice Chairman
Tel: +972-8-931-4314

To obtain timely delivery of the documents in advance of the special meeting of stockholders, you must request the information no later than [], 2012 (which is five business days prior to the date of the special meeting).

For more information, see "Where You Can Find More Information" and "Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference" beginning on page 241.

ABOUT THIS PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

This proxy statement/prospectus, which forms a part of a registration statement on Form F-4 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, by Objet, constitutes a prospectus of Objet under Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, with respect to the ordinary shares of Objet to be issued to Stratasys stockholders in connection with the merger. This document also constitutes a proxy statement of Stratasys under Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and the rules thereunder, and a notice of meeting with respect to the special meeting of Stratasys stockholders to consider and vote upon the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

STRATASYS, INC.

7665 Commerce Way
Eden Prairie, MN 55344
(952) 937-3000

**Notice of Special Meeting of Stockholders
of Stratasys, Inc.**

To Be Held on [], 2012

To the Stockholders of Stratasys, Inc.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of stockholders of Stratasys, Inc., a Delaware corporation, will be held on [], 2012, beginning at [], local time, at [], MN [], for the following purposes:

1. To consider and vote upon a proposal to adopt the Agreement and Plan of Merger, or the merger agreement, dated as of April 13, 2012, by and among Stratasys, Objet Ltd., an Israeli corporation, Seurat Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation and an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet, or Holdco, and Oaktree Merger Inc., a Delaware corporation and a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco, or Merger Sub, as it may be further amended from time to time;
2. To consider and vote upon, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, a proposal to approve certain compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers related to the merger, as described in this proxy statement/prospectus;
3. To consider and vote upon a proposal to approve one or more adjournments of the special meeting to a later date or time, if necessary or appropriate, to permit solicitation of additional proxies in the event there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting, or at any adjournment or postponement of that meeting, to adopt the merger agreement (this proposal is hereinafter referred to as the "adjournment proposal"); and
4. To transact any other business that may properly come before the special meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Stratasys common stock is required to adopt the merger agreement (Proposal 1). Approval of Proposals 2 and 3 requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock represented either in person or by proxy at the special meeting and entitled to vote. A form of proxy and a proxy statement/prospectus containing more detailed information with respect to matters to be considered at the special meeting accompany this notice and should be read in their entirety before you vote.

Only holders of record of Stratasys' common stock as of the close of business on Thursday, August 2, 2012, the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting. Holders of shares of Stratasys' common stock vote on a basis of one vote per share. At the close of business on the record date, Stratasys had 21,336,451 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. A complete list of stockholders entitled to vote at the special meeting will be available for inspection at the meeting and for at least ten days prior to the meeting, during ordinary business hours, at Stratasys' principal executive offices located at 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, MN, and at the meeting location during

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Table of Contents

the meeting. You should contact the Secretary of Stratasys at Stratasys' principal executive offices if you wish to review the list of stockholders.

Even if you plan to attend the special meeting in person, Stratasys requests that you please complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it in the envelope provided as soon as possible or submit a proxy through the Internet or by telephone as described on the enclosed proxy card.

Stratasys' board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" Proposals 1, 2 and 3 above.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Robert F. Gallagher
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary
Eden Prairie, Minnesota
[], 2012

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS</u>	
<u>ABOUT THE MERGER AND THE</u>	
<u>SPECIAL MEETING</u>	1
<u>SUMMARY</u>	11
<u>Information about the Companies</u>	11
<u>The Merger</u>	12
<u>Interests of Certain Persons in the</u>	
<u>Merger</u>	16
<u>Certain Material U.S. Federal Income</u>	
<u>Tax Considerations</u>	16
<u>Comparison of Rights of Stockholders</u>	
<u>of Stratasys and Shareholders of Objet</u>	16
<u>Risk Factors</u>	16
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	17
<u>Risks Related to the Merger</u>	17
<u>Risks Related to the Combined</u>	
<u>Company Following the Merger</u>	20
<u>Risks Related to Objet's Business and</u>	
<u>Stratasys' Business Prior to Completion</u>	
<u>of the Merger</u>	34
<u>Risks Related to Objet</u>	34
<u>Risks Related to Objet's Operations in</u>	
<u>Israel</u>	42
<u>Risks Related to Stratasys</u>	45
<u>CAUTIONARY STATEMENT</u>	
<u>REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING</u>	
<u>STATEMENTS</u>	51
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS</u>	
<u>CONCERNING THE SPECIAL</u>	
<u>MEETING OF STRATASYS</u>	
<u>STOCKHOLDERS</u>	53
<u>PROPOSAL ONE THE MERGER</u>	59
<u>General</u>	59
<u>Background of the Merger</u>	59
<u>Stratasys' Reasons for the Merger</u>	68
<u>Recommendation of the Stratasys Board</u>	
<u>of Directors</u>	73
<u>Opinion of Piper Jaffray & Co.</u>	73
<u>Interests of Certain Persons in the</u>	
<u>Merger</u>	88
<u>Stratasys Options and Warrants</u>	92
<u>Board of Directors of the Combined</u>	
<u>Company Following the Merger</u>	92
<u>Material United States Federal Income</u>	
<u>Tax Consequences of the Merger and</u>	
<u>the Holding and Disposing of Objet</u>	
<u>Ordinary Shares Received in the Merger</u>	93
<u>Material Israeli Tax Considerations</u>	97
<u>Anticipated Accounting Treatment</u>	100
<u>No Appraisal Rights</u>	100
<u>Resale of Objet Ordinary Shares</u>	100
<u>Stock Exchange Listing of Objet</u>	
<u>Ordinary Shares</u>	100

<u>Delisting and Deregistration of Stratasys Common Stock</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>Combined Company Status as a Foreign Private Issuer under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934</u>	<u>101</u>
<u>Combined Company Status as an Emerging Growth Company under U.S. Federal Securities Laws and Related Implications</u>	<u>101</u>
<u>Litigation Related to the Merger</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>THE AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Structure; Merger Consideration</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Effective Time; Closing</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>No Issuance of Fractional Shares</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>Procedures for Surrendering Stock Certificates and Book-Entry Shares</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>Termination of Exchange Fund</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Stratasys Options and Warrants</u>	<u>105</u>

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Representations and Warranties</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Conduct of Business Pending the Merger</u>	<u>108</u>
<u>Obligation of Stratasys' Board of Directors to Recommend the Merger Agreement and Call a Stockholders Meeting</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>No Solicitation by Stratasys</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>Changes in the Stratasys Board of Directors' Recommendation</u>	<u>112</u>
<u>Proxy Statement and Registration Statement</u>	<u>114</u>
<u>Approvals</u>	<u>114</u>
<u>Employee Matters</u>	<u>115</u>
<u>Insurance and Indemnification</u>	<u>115</u>
<u>Governance</u>	<u>115</u>
<u>Conditions to the Closing of the Merger</u>	<u>116</u>
<u>Termination of the Merger Agreement</u>	<u>119</u>
<u>Termination Fees and Expenses</u>	<u>120</u>
<u>Governing Law</u>	<u>122</u>
<u>Third Party Beneficiaries</u>	<u>122</u>
<u>Enforcement</u>	<u>122</u>
<u>AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO IN CONNECTION WITH THE MERGER AGREEMENT</u>	<u>123</u>
<u>Stratasys Voting Agreements</u>	<u>123</u>
<u>Objet Voting Agreements</u>	<u>124</u>
<u>Registration Rights and Lock-Up Agreement</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>Standstill Agreements</u>	<u>128</u>
<u>PROPOSAL TWO CERTAIN COMPENSATORY ARRANGEMENTS WITH NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	<u>130</u>
<u>Recommendation of the Stratasys Board of Directors</u>	<u>130</u>
<u>PROPOSAL THREE ADJOURNMENT OF THE SPECIAL MEETING</u>	<u>131</u>
<u>Approval of Adjournment of the Special Meeting of Stratasys Stockholders</u>	<u>131</u>
<u>Recommendation of the Stratasys Board of Directors</u>	<u>131</u>
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANIES</u>	<u>132</u>
<u>Stratasys, Inc.</u>	<u>132</u>
<u>Objet Ltd.</u>	<u>132</u>
<u>Oaktree Merger Inc. and Seurat Holdings Inc.</u>	<u>132</u>
<u>OBJET'S BUSINESS</u>	<u>133</u>
<u>Corporate Structure</u>	<u>133</u>
<u>Company Overview</u>	<u>133</u>
<u>Industry Overview</u>	<u>134</u>
<u>The Objet Solution</u>	<u>137</u>
<u>Competitive Strengths</u>	<u>139</u>
<u>Strategy</u>	<u>140</u>
<u>Objet's 3D Printing Systems</u>	<u>140</u>
<u>Objet's Resin Consumables</u>	<u>143</u>
<u>Objet's Integrated Software</u>	<u>144</u>
<u>Services and Warranty</u>	<u>144</u>

<u>Marketing, Sales and Distribution</u>	<u>145</u>
<u>Customers</u>	<u>146</u>
<u>Manufacturing and Suppliers</u>	<u>146</u>
<u>Research and Development</u>	<u>148</u>
<u>Intellectual Property</u>	<u>148</u>
<u>Competition</u>	<u>149</u>
<u>Seasonality</u>	<u>150</u>

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Environmental Matters</u>	<u>150</u>
<u>Global Operations</u>	<u>150</u>
<u>Property and Infrastructure</u>	<u>151</u>
<u>Employees</u>	<u>151</u>
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>152</u>
<u>Objet Executive Compensation</u>	<u>154</u>
<u>STRATASYS' BUSINESS</u>	<u>160</u>
<u>Material Changes</u>	<u>160</u>
<u>MARKET PRICE AND</u>	
<u>DIVIDEND INFORMATION</u>	<u>161</u>
<u>Stratasys</u>	<u>161</u>
<u>Objet</u>	<u>161</u>
<u>SELECTED HISTORICAL</u>	
<u>FINANCIAL DATA OF</u>	
<u>STRATASYS</u>	<u>162</u>
<u>SELECTED HISTORICAL</u>	
<u>FINANCIAL DATA OF OBJET</u>	<u>162</u>
<u>OBJET MANAGEMENT'S</u>	
<u>DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	
<u>OF FINANCIAL CONDITION</u>	
<u>AND RESULTS OF</u>	
<u>OPERATIONS</u>	<u>165</u>
<u>Overview</u>	<u>165</u>
<u>Key Measures of Objet's</u>	
<u>Performance</u>	<u>166</u>
<u>Results of Operations</u>	<u>170</u>
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	<u>184</u>
<u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	<u>188</u>
<u>Critical Accounting Estimates</u>	<u>188</u>
<u>Recently Issued Accounting</u>	
<u>Principles Not Yet Adopted</u>	<u>193</u>
<u>QUANTITATIVE AND</u>	
<u>QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES</u>	
<u>ABOUT THE MARKET RISK OF</u>	
<u>OBJET</u>	<u>194</u>
<u>UNAUDITED PRO FORMA</u>	
<u>CONDENSED COMBINED</u>	
<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	<u>195</u>
<u>Introduction</u>	
<u>Pro Forma Combined Information</u>	
<u>NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO</u>	
<u>FORMA CONDENSED</u>	
<u>COMBINED FINANCIAL</u>	
<u>STATEMENTS</u>	<u>200</u>
<u>COMPARATIVE HISTORICAL</u>	
<u>AND UNAUDITED PRO FORMA</u>	
<u>PER SHARE DATA</u>	<u>211</u>
<u>MANAGEMENT FOLLOWING</u>	
<u>THE MERGER</u>	<u>212</u>
<u>RELATED PARTY</u>	
<u>TRANSACTIONS OF</u>	
<u>DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE</u>	
<u>OFFICERS OF THE COMBINED</u>	
<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>215</u>
<u>DESCRIPTION OF COMBINED</u>	
<u>COMPANY ORDINARY SHARES</u>	<u>216</u>
<u>General</u>	<u>216</u>
<u>Description of Ordinary Shares</u>	<u>216</u>

<u>Listing, Register Number and Purpose</u>	<u>216</u>
<u>Dividend and Liquidation Rights</u>	<u>217</u>
<u>Shareholder Meetings</u>	<u>217</u>
<u>Voting Rights</u>	<u>218</u>
<u>Modification of Class Rights</u>	<u>220</u>
<u>Transfer Agent and Registrar</u>	<u>220</u>
<u>Registration Rights</u>	<u>220</u>
<u>Anti-Takeover Provisions</u>	<u>221</u>
<u>COMPARISON OF RIGHTS OF OBJET SHAREHOLDERS AND STRATASYS STOCKHOLDERS</u>	<u>221</u>
<u>Summary of Material Differences Between the Rights of Stratasys Stockholders and Objet/Combined Company Shareholders</u>	<u>222</u>
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT OF OBJET</u>	<u>234</u>

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Current Beneficial Ownership</u>	<u>234</u>
<u>Beneficial Ownership Following the Merger</u>	<u>237</u>
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	<u>240</u>
<u>EXPERTS</u>	<u>240</u>
<u>FUTURE STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	<u>240</u>
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	<u>241</u>
<u>INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BY REFERENCE</u>	<u>241</u>
<u>INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	<u>F-1</u>
<u>ANNEXES</u>	
<u>Annex A</u>	<u>A-1</u>
<u>Annex B</u>	<u>B-1</u>
<u>Annex C</u>	<u>C-1</u>
<u>Annex D</u>	<u>D-1</u>
<u>Annex E</u>	<u>E-1</u>
<u>Annex F</u>	<u>F-1</u>
<u>Annex G</u>	<u>G-1</u>

Table of Contents

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER AND
THE SPECIAL MEETING**

In the following questions and answers, we highlight selected information from this proxy statement/prospectus, but we have not included all of the information that may be important to you regarding the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. To better understand the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, and for a complete description of their legal terms, you should carefully read this entire proxy statement/prospectus, including the annexes, as well as the documents that we have incorporated by reference in this document. See "Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference" and "Where You Can Find More Information" beginning on page 241.

All references in this proxy statement/prospectus to "Stratasys" refer to Stratasys, Inc., a Delaware corporation; all references to "Objet" refer to Objet Ltd., a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Israel; all references to "Holdco" refer to Seurat Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation and an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet; all references to "Merger Sub" refer to Oaktree Merger Inc., a Delaware corporation and a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco; all references to the "merger agreement" refer to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 13, 2012, by and among Stratasys, Objet, Holdco and Merger Sub, as it may be amended from time to time; all references to the "merger" refer to the merger contemplated by the merger agreement; and all references to the "combined company" refer to Objet after completion of the merger and the change of its name to Stratasys Ltd. Throughout this proxy statement/prospectus, we refer to Objet's ordinary shares, par value New Israeli Shekel 0.01 per share, that are outstanding prior to consummation of the merger or that will be issued in connection with the merger as Objet ordinary shares or Objet shares; we refer to ordinary shares of Objet after consummation of the merger and the change of Objet's name to Stratasys Ltd. as the combined company's ordinary shares; and we refer to Stratasys common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, as Stratasys common stock or Stratasys shares. Unless otherwise noted, all references to "dollars" or "\$" refer to U.S. dollars, all references to "NIS" refer to New Israeli Shekels, and all references to "Euros" or "€" refer to Euros. Unless otherwise indicated, U.S. dollar translations of NIS amounts presented in this proxy statement/prospectus are translated using the rate of NIS 3.72 to US\$1.00, the exchange rate reported by the Bank of Israel on March 30, 2012 (the last business day of the first fiscal quarter of 2012). Unless otherwise indicated, U.S. dollar translations of Euro amounts presented in this prospectus are translated using the rate of €0.75 to US\$1.00, the exchange rate reported at www.xe.com on March 31, 2012. Unless otherwise noted, the information in this proxy statement/prospectus relating to Objet ordinary shares does not give effect to a reverse split of the outstanding Objet ordinary shares that will occur immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, or the Objet reverse stock split. References in this proxy statement/prospectus to the "reverse split ratio" refer to a 1-for-8.736 ratio as set forth in the merger agreement, which reverse split ratio is subject to adjustment in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement.

Q:
What is the proposed transaction?

A:
Stratasys, Objet, Holdco and Merger Sub have entered into the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 13, 2012 and attached as Annex A, pursuant to which Objet will merge with Stratasys by merging Merger Sub with and into Stratasys, with Stratasys surviving the merger as an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet.

Q:
Why am I receiving this proxy statement/prospectus?

A:
This document is both a proxy statement of Stratasys and a prospectus of Objet. It is a proxy statement of Stratasys because the Stratasys board of directors is soliciting proxies from Stratasys stockholders to vote on the adoption of the merger agreement as well as the other matters set forth in the notice of the special meeting and described in this proxy statement/prospectus at a special meeting of its stockholders, referred to as the special meeting, or at any adjournment or postponement of the special meeting. It is a prospectus because Objet will issue its ordinary shares

Table of Contents

to the holders of Stratasys common stock in the merger. This proxy statement/prospectus contains important information about the merger agreement, the merger and the special meeting. You should read this proxy statement/ prospectus carefully.

Your vote is very important. Stratasys encourages you to submit your proxy as soon as possible. The enclosed proxy card allows you to vote your Stratasys shares without attending the special meeting. If you are a registered stockholder, you may submit your proxy by telephone, via the Internet or by completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card by mail. For more specific information on how to submit your proxy, please see the questions and answers below.

Q: What are the proposals on which I am being asked to vote?

A: At the special meeting, Stratasys stockholders will be asked

to adopt the merger agreement;

to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, certain compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers in connection with the merger;

to approve one or more adjournments of the special meeting to a later date or time, if necessary or appropriate, to permit solicitation of additional proxies in the event there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting, or at any adjournment or postponement of that meeting, to adopt the merger agreement; and

any other business properly before the special meeting.

For additional information regarding the matters before the special meeting, please see the section entitled "Questions and Answers Concerning the Special Meeting of Stratasys Stockholders" on page 53 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: What consideration will Stratasys stockholders receive in the merger?

A: At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding share of Stratasys common stock will be cancelled and will be converted into the right to receive one Objet ordinary share. The one-for-one conversion ratio, referred to herein as the exchange ratio, is fixed. The exchange ratio will not fluctuate up or down based on the market price of a share of Stratasys common stock prior to the merger. Following the merger, Stratasys common stock will be delisted from the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The Objet ordinary shares to be issued to the Stratasys stockholders will be issued under a registration statement filed with the SEC and are expected to be listed and traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SSYS," the same trading symbol currently used for Stratasys common stock.

For more information regarding the consideration to be received by Stratasys stockholders in connection with the completion of the merger, please see the section entitled "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Structure; Merger Consideration" beginning on page 103 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: How will Stratasys stock options and warrants be treated in the merger?

A: Each outstanding option or warrant to purchase shares of Stratasys common stock will be converted into an option or warrant (as applicable) that will entitle its holder to acquire an equal number of the combined company's ordinary shares upon exercise, at a per-share exercise price that is equal to the original exercise price per share of Stratasys common stock under the option or warrant immediately before the effective time and on substantially the same terms and conditions as were applicable to the original Stratasys option or warrant (as applicable).

Table of Contents

Q: How much stock will the current shareholders of Objet and stockholders of Stratasys own in the combined company?

A: As of the closing of the merger, it is expected that current Objet shareholders will own 45% and current Stratasys stockholders will own 55% of the combined company's ordinary shares, on a fully diluted basis (using the treasury stock method).

Q: What is required to consummate the merger?

A: To consummate the merger, Stratasys stockholders must adopt the merger agreement, which requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock issued and outstanding on the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to vote at the special meeting. In addition to obtaining Stratasys stockholder approval, each of the other closing conditions set forth in the merger agreement must be satisfied or waived. For a more complete description of the closing conditions under the merger agreement, please see the section entitled "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Conditions to the Closing of the Merger" beginning on page 116 of this proxy statement/prospectus

Q: What are the income tax consequences of the merger to Stratasys stockholders?

A: A U.S. stockholder of Stratasys generally should recognize gain, but not loss, on the receipt of Objet ordinary shares in exchange for shares of Stratasys common stock pursuant to the merger. The amount of gain recognized should equal the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the Objet ordinary shares received in the merger over the U.S. stockholder's adjusted tax basis in the shares of Stratasys common stock surrendered. (See "Proposal One The Merger Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger and the Holding and Disposing of Objet Ordinary Shares Received in the Merger" beginning on page 93.) You should consult your own tax advisor to determine the particular tax consequences to you of the merger.

Q: Why is Stratasys proposing that it merge with Objet?

A: Stratasys' board of directors considered a number of factors in approving the merger agreement. Among them, the board of directors considered the relative financial conditions, results of operations and prospects for growth of Stratasys and Objet, and each of Stratasys' and Objet's respective strengths. The Stratasys board of directors considered that the merger will aid in the expansion and diversification of the portfolio of three dimensional, or 3D, printing, and direct digital manufacturing solutions offered by Stratasys and will achieve synergies with Objet. See "Proposal One The Merger Stratasys' Reasons for the Merger" on page 68.

Q: How will the combined company be managed? Will either company have control of the combined company's board of directors?

A: Following the merger, Stratasys will become an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of the combined company, subject to the management of the combined company's board of directors, and Stratasys common stock will no longer be publicly traded. Upon the closing of the merger, the combined company's board of directors will be composed of a total of nine members, consisting of four members appointed by Stratasys' current board of directors, four members appointed by Objet's current board of directors, and one director who will be appointed by the board of directors of Stratasys, subject to approval by Objet's board of directors prior to the merger. The fifth Stratasys appointee and one of the Objet appointees will not be affiliated with either Stratasys or Objet and will serve as external, independent directors in accordance with the Israeli Companies Law, 1999, or the Israeli Companies Law. All directors will serve for an initial term of two years, and thereafter, directors will be elected annually by the combined company's shareholders.

Table of Contents

Additionally, S. Scott Crump, currently Chairman of the board of directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of Stratasys, will be appointed as Chairman of the board of directors of the combined company, while David Reis, who currently serves as Objet's Chief Executive Officer, will serve as Chief Executive Officer of the combined company. An executive committee of the board of directors, or the executive committee, will oversee the integration of the companies and assist in the implementation of the business strategy of the combined company, subject to board approval for matters outside of the ordinary course of business. The representation of the merging companies on the executive committee will be equal. Mr. Crump and John McEleney, a current Stratasys director, will be joined by Elan Jaglom, Objet's current Chairman of the Board, who will serve as chairman of the executive committee, and by Eyal Desheh. Mr. Reis, as Chief Executive Officer of the combined company, will serve in an observer capacity on the executive committee.

Q: Do persons involved in the merger have interests that may conflict with mine as a Stratasys stockholder?

A: Yes. When considering the recommendations of Stratasys' board of directors, you should be aware that certain Stratasys directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or are in addition to, yours. These interests include the intended employment of each of S. Scott Crump and Thomas W. Stenoien by the combined company and/or its subsidiaries after the merger, the prospective election of four current Stratasys directors to the combined company's board of directors and appointment of Mr. Crump and John McEleney to the combined company's executive committee. Each of those persons will receive compensation for his or her services to the combined company. Each former officer and director of Stratasys will also be covered by liability insurance and indemnification benefits from the combined company after the merger. In addition, all unvested options held by Stratasys directors and officers, along with unvested options held by all other Stratasys option holders (other than options granted after execution of the merger agreement), will become fully vested as a result of the consummation of the merger, which will enable them to purchase all of the underlying combined company's ordinary shares immediately after the merger.

Q: When do Objet and Stratasys expect the merger to be completed?

A: Objet and Stratasys are working to complete the merger as quickly as practicable and anticipate that it will be completed during the third quarter of 2012. However, the merger is subject to approval by Stratasys stockholders and various other conditions, and it is possible that factors outside the control of both companies could result in the merger being completed at a later time, or not at all. Unless Objet and Stratasys otherwise agree, the merger will be completed as soon as practicable and no later than five business days after all of the closing conditions in the merger agreement have been satisfied (or, to the extent legally permissible, waived) in accordance with their terms.

Q: When and where is the special meeting?

A: Stratasys' special meeting of stockholders will take place on [], 2012, beginning at [], local time, at [], MN []. Directions to the special meeting may be found [] or by contacting Investor Relations at Stratasys, Inc., 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344, Attn: Shane Glenn Director Investor Relations. Stratasys' website and the information contained therein are not incorporated into this proxy statement/prospectus.

Table of Contents

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the special meeting?

A: All holders of Stratasys common stock who held shares at the close of business on Thursday, August 2, 2012, which is the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting, or the record date, are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting. If the special meeting is postponed or adjourned, the Stratasys board of directors may fix a new record date for any such postponed or adjourned meeting. If a bank, broker or other nominee holds your shares, then you are not the holder of record and you must ask your bank, broker or other nominee how you can vote in person at the special meeting.

Q: How many votes do I have?

A: On each matter to be voted upon, you have one vote for each share of Stratasys common stock that you own of record as of the close of business on Thursday, August 2, 2012, the record date for the special meeting.

Q: What stockholder votes are required?

A: The vote requirements to approve the proposals are as follows:

Proposal 1: The proposal to adopt the merger agreement must receive a "FOR" vote from the holders of at least a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock issued and outstanding on the record date for the special meeting.

Proposal 2: The proposal to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, certain compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers in connection with the merger must receive a "FOR" vote from at least a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock represented either in person or by proxy at the special meeting and entitled to vote.

Proposal 3: The proposal to approve the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the merger agreement must receive a "FOR" vote from at least a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock represented either in person or by proxy at the special meeting and entitled to vote (the chairman of the meeting also has independent authority to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary).

Even if you plan to attend the special meeting, we urge you either to complete, sign, date and return promptly the enclosed proxy card or submit your proxy by telephone or Internet to assure your shares of Stratasys common stock are represented and voted at the special meeting.

Q: If my Stratasys shares are held in "street name" by my broker, bank or other nominee, will my broker, bank or other nominee automatically vote my shares for me?

A: No. Unless you provide specific instructions to your broker, bank or other nominee on how to vote, he, she or it cannot vote your shares. You should follow the directions provided by your broker, bank or other nominee regarding how to instruct your broker, bank or other nominee to vote your Stratasys shares. Unless you follow the instructions, your Stratasys shares will not be voted and will have the same effect as if you voted against the adoption of the merger agreement. This is referred to as a "broker non-vote."

Q: How are votes counted?

A: Votes will be counted by the inspector of election appointed for the special meeting, which will separately count "FOR," "AGAINST," "ABSTAIN" and broker non-votes. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as shares present for the purpose of determining the presence of a

Table of Contents

quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. Abstentions will be counted towards the tabulation of shares present in person or represented by proxy and will have the same effect as votes "AGAINST" each of the proposals. Although broker non-votes will be treated as shares present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum, broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote with respect to a particular proposal. Thus, broker, non-votes will not affect the outcome of the vote on Proposals 2 and 3. A broker non-vote will, however, have the same effect as an "AGAINST" vote on Proposal 1.

If you sign and return your proxy card and do not indicate how you want to vote, your proxy will be voted:

"FOR" adoption of the merger agreement (Proposal 1);

"FOR" approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers in connection with the merger (Proposal 2); and

"FOR" approval of the adjournment of the special meeting (if necessary or appropriate) to solicit additional proxies (Proposal 3).

If any other matters are properly brought before the special meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on those matters in accordance with their best judgment.

Q: How does the Stratasys board of directors recommend that I vote on the proposals?

A: The board of directors of Stratasys unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" the adoption of the merger agreement, "FOR" the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers in connection with the merger, and "FOR" the approval of the adjournment (if necessary or appropriate) of the special meeting to solicit additional proxies in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement.

Q: Why am I being asked to cast an advisory (non-binding) vote to approve certain compensation that Stratasys' named executive officers may receive in connection with the merger?

A: The Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, in accordance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, adopted rules that require Stratasys to seek an advisory (non-binding) vote with respect to certain payments that Stratasys' named executive officers may receive in connection with the merger.

Q: What will happen if Stratasys stockholders do not approve the merger-related compensation at the special meeting?

A: Approval of the merger-related compensation that Stratasys' named executive officers may receive in connection with the merger is not a condition to completion of the merger. The vote with respect to the merger-related compensation is an advisory vote and will not be binding on Stratasys regardless of whether the merger agreement is adopted. The merger-related compensation, which consists of the accelerated vesting of options upon consummation of the merger (other than options granted after execution of the merger agreement), is a standard term of Stratasys' option agreements with its employees (including its named executive officers) under its incentive stock option and long-term performance and incentive plans. Therefore, regardless of whether stockholders approve the merger-related compensation, if the merger agreement is adopted by the stockholders and the merger completed, Stratasys' named executive officers will still be entitled to the accelerated vesting of their Stratasys options to the same extent, in accordance with the terms

Table of Contents

of existing option agreements that Stratasys has entered into with them. For a more complete discussion of the compensation that Stratasys' named executive officers may receive in connection with the merger, see "Proposal Two Certain Compensatory Arrangements with Named Executive Officers" beginning on page 130.

Q: Are there any other matters to be addressed at the special meeting of stockholders?

A: Stratasys is not aware of any other business to be acted upon at the special meeting. If, however, other matters are properly brought before the special meeting, your proxies will have discretion to vote or act on those matters according to their best judgment, and they intend to vote the shares as the Stratasys board of directors may recommend.

Q: Why is my vote important?

A: If you do not return your proxy card, submit your proxy by telephone or through the Internet or vote in person at the special meeting, it will be more difficult for Stratasys to obtain the necessary quorum to hold its special meeting and the stockholder approval necessary to adopt the merger agreement. Without the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Stratasys common stock, the merger cannot be completed.

Q: What happens if I sell my shares after the record date but before the special meeting?

A: The record date for the special meeting is earlier than the date of the special meeting and the date that the merger is expected to be completed. If you transfer your Stratasys shares after the record date but before the date of the special meeting, you will retain your right to vote at the special meeting (so long as such shares remain outstanding on the date of the special meeting), but you will not have the right to receive the merger consideration to be received by Stratasys stockholders in connection with the merger. In order to receive the merger consideration, you must hold your Stratasys shares through completion of the merger.

Q: What do I do if I receive more than one proxy statement/prospectus or set of voting instructions?

A: If you hold Stratasys shares directly as a record holder and also in "street name" or otherwise through your broker, bank or other nominee, you may receive more than one proxy statement/prospectus or set of voting instructions relating to the special meeting. These should each be voted or returned separately in order to ensure that all of your Stratasys shares are voted.

Q: Can I change my proxy instructions?

A: Yes. If you are a holder of record of Stratasys shares as of the record date, you can change your proxy instructions after you have submitted your proxy card, or submitted your proxy by telephone or through the Internet, by:

submitting a new proxy with a later date, either by using the telephone or Internet voting procedures described herein or by completing, signing, dating and returning a new proxy card by mail to Stratasys;

attending the special meeting and voting in person; simply attending the special meeting will not, by itself, revoke your proxy; or

sending written notice of revocation to Stratasys' Secretary at 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344 before the vote is taken at the special meeting.

Your most recent proxy card or telephone or Internet proxy is the one that is counted.

Table of Contents

For more detailed procedures on revoking a proxy, see the description under "Questions and Answers Concerning the Special Meeting of Stratasys Stockholders" beginning on page 53 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

If you own your shares through a broker, bank or other nominee, you must follow the directions that you receive from your broker, bank or other nominee in order to change or revoke your voting instructions.

Q: Do the directors and officers of Stratasys intend to vote for the merger?

A: Yes. As of the record date, Stratasys' executive officers and directors, together with the stockholders with which certain of Stratasys' directors are affiliated or associated, had the right to vote approximately 910,300 shares of Stratasys common stock, representing approximately 4.27% of the Stratasys common stock then outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting. Stratasys expects that its executive officers and directors, together with those affiliated or associated stockholders, will vote "FOR" each of the proposals described herein.

In addition, Stratasys' directors and the spouses of certain Stratasys directors, who hold shares of Stratasys common stock, entered into voting agreements with Stratasys and Objet pursuant to which these stockholders agreed, among other things, to vote their shares of Stratasys common stock in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement, and in favor of any proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date if there are not sufficient votes in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement. These stockholders also granted Objet irrevocable proxies under which Messrs. David Reis and Ilan Levin, on behalf of Objet, may vote their shares of Stratasys common stock in favor of, among other things, the adoption of the merger agreement, and any proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date if there are not sufficient votes in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement. Approximately 909,400 shares of Stratasys common stock, which represents approximately 4.26% of the outstanding shares of Stratasys common stock as of the record date, are subject to these voting agreements and irrevocable proxies. For more information regarding the voting agreements, see the section entitled "Agreements Entered Into in Connection with the Merger Agreement Stratasys Voting Agreements" on page 123 of this proxy statement/prospectus. The form of Stratasys voting agreement is also attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex B*.

Q: What will happen in the merger?

A: If Stratasys stockholders adopt the merger agreement and all other conditions to the merger have been satisfied (or, to the extent legally permissible, waived), Merger Sub will merge with and into Stratasys, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the merger agreement. Upon the completion of the merger, the separate corporate existence of Merger Sub will cease and Stratasys will continue as the surviving corporation in the merger, succeed to and assume all the rights and obligations of Merger Sub and be an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of the combined company. Thereafter, current Stratasys stockholders will become shareholders of the combined company upon delivery of their Stratasys common stock to Stratasys' exchange agent. See "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Procedures for Surrendering Stock Certificates and Book-Entry Shares" on page 104 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Do I have appraisal rights?

A: Under Delaware law, the holders of Stratasys common stock will not be entitled to exercise any appraisal rights in connection with the merger. For additional information, please see the section entitled "Proposal One The Merger No Appraisal Rights" on page 100 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Table of Contents

Q: Will my rights as a Stratasys stockholder change as a result of the merger?

A: Yes. You will become a shareholder of the combined company as a result of the merger and will have rights after the completion of the merger that are governed by Israeli law and the articles of association of Stratasys Ltd., as the combined company. For further information regarding your rights as a shareholder of the combined company following the merger, please see the section entitled "Comparison of Rights of Objet Shareholders and Stratasys Stockholders" beginning on page 221 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Will Stratasys stockholders be able to trade Objet ordinary shares that they receive pursuant to the merger?

A: Yes. The Objet ordinary shares issued pursuant to the merger will be registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and are expected to be listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SSYS", thereby replacing the listing of Stratasys' common stock under that symbol. All Objet ordinary shares that each Stratasys stockholder receives in the merger will be freely transferable unless a stockholder is an affiliate of Stratasys prior to the merger or an affiliate of Objet following the merger for purposes of U.S. federal securities laws. If you are an affiliate, you will be required to comply with the applicable restrictions of Rule 144 under the Securities Act in order to trade the Objet ordinary shares that you receive in the merger. For more information on Stratasys affiliates' ability to trade Objet ordinary shares received in the merger, see "Proposal One The Merger Resale of Objet Ordinary Shares" on page 100.

Q: What will happen to my stock certificates and where should I send my stock certificates?

A: At the effective time of the merger, your Stratasys stock certificate(s) will convert into the right to receive Objet ordinary shares and you will no longer be a stockholder of Stratasys. You will receive written instructions and a letter of transmittal. You will use these documents to exchange your shares of Stratasys common stock for Objet ordinary shares. Each person who submits the necessary documentation is entitled to receive the merger consideration described in the merger agreement. For more information, see "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Procedures for Surrendering Stock Certificates and Book-Entry Shares" on page 104.

Q: Should I send in my Stratasys stock certificates now?

A: No. You should not send in your stock certificates at this time. Stratasys stockholders who hold their shares in certificated form will need to exchange their Stratasys stock certificates for the Objet ordinary shares as provided for in the merger agreement upon completion of the merger. Objet will send Stratasys stockholders written instructions for exchanging Stratasys stock certificates at that time. Stratasys stockholders who hold their shares in book-entry form will also receive written instructions for exchanging their shares after the transaction is completed.

Q: What will happen to my Stratasys options and warrants in the merger?

A: Each outstanding option or warrant to purchase shares of Stratasys common stock will be converted into an option or warrant, as applicable, that will entitle its holder to acquire an equal number of Objet ordinary shares, at an exercise price per Objet ordinary share that is equal to the original exercise price per Stratasys share under the option or warrant immediately before the effective time, and on substantially the same terms and conditions, as under the original Stratasys option or warrant. For more information on the conversion of Stratasys options and warrants, please see "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Stratasys Options and Warrants" beginning on page 105.

Table of Contents

Q: Are there risks associated with the merger?

A: Yes. You should read the section entitled "Risk Factors Risks Related to the Merger" beginning on page 17.

Q: What do I need to do now?

A: After you have carefully read this entire proxy statement/prospectus, please submit your proxy. You may do this by completing, signing, dating and mailing the enclosed proxy card, as explained in this proxy statement/prospectus or by submitting your proxy by telephone or through the Internet, as explained in the voting instructions attached to the enclosed proxy card. This will enable your shares to be represented and voted at the special meeting. If you submit a valid proxy and do not indicate how you want to vote, Stratasys will count your proxy as a vote in favor of the proposals described in this proxy statement/prospectus submitted at the special meeting.

The Stratasys board of directors unanimously recommends that Stratasys stockholders vote "FOR" the adoption of the merger agreement, "FOR" the approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers in connection with the merger, and "FOR" the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit solicitation of additional proxies in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement.

Q: Where can I find more information about Objet and Stratasys?

A: More information about Objet and Stratasys is available from the various sources described under "Where You Can Find More Information" on page 241.

Q: Who is soliciting this proxy?

A: The Stratasys board of directors is soliciting proxies for the special meeting. Objet and Stratasys will each bear half of the costs of printing and mailing this proxy statement/prospectus to Stratasys stockholders. All other costs incurred by Stratasys in connection with the solicitation of proxies from its stockholders on behalf of its board of directors will be borne by Stratasys alone. In addition to solicitation by mail, Stratasys directors and officers may solicit proxies from stockholders in person or by telephone, e-mail, facsimile or other means of communication. Stratasys directors and officers will not receive any additional compensation for their services, but Stratasys will reimburse them for their out-of-pocket expenses.

Stratasys has retained MacKenzie Partners, Inc., a professional proxy solicitation firm, to assist in the solicitation of proxies for the special meeting for a fee of approximately \$30,000, plus a nominal fee per stockholder contact, reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses and indemnification against certain claims, liabilities, losses, damages and expenses. In addition, Stratasys may reimburse brokers, banks and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries representing beneficial owners of shares for their expenses in forwarding soliciting materials to beneficial owners of Stratasys' common stock and in obtaining voting instructions from those owners.

For additional information regarding the solicitation of proxies for the Stratasys special meeting, please see the section entitled "Questions and Answers Concerning the Special Meeting of Stratasys Stockholders" beginning on page 53 of this proxy statement/prospectus

Q: Who should I contact if I have additional questions?

A: Stratasys stockholders with any questions about the merger should contact MacKenzie Partners, Inc., Stratasys' proxy solicitor, toll-free at (800) 322-2885 (banks and brokers call collect at (212) 929-5500). If your brokerage firm, bank, trust or other nominee holds your shares in "street name," you should also call your brokerage firm, bank, trust or other nominee for additional information.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information from this proxy statement/prospectus. It may not contain all of the information that is important to you. You should carefully read the entire proxy statement/prospectus and the other documents referred to in this proxy statement/prospectus, including the annexes and documents incorporated by reference, to fully understand the merger agreement, the merger and the other matters being considered at the special meeting. For additional information, see "Where You Can Find More Information" beginning on page 241. Each item in this summary refers to the page of this proxy statement/prospectus on which that subject is discussed in more detail.

Information about the Companies

Stratasys, Inc.

Stratasys, Inc. was incorporated in the State of Delaware in 1989 and is a worldwide leading manufacturer of three-dimensional, or 3D, printers and 3D production systems for office-based rapid prototyping, or RP, and direct digital manufacturing, or DDM, applications. Stratasys' 3D printers and 3D production systems provide 3D computer-aided design, or CAD, users a fast, office-friendly, and low-cost alternative for building functional 3D parts. Stratasys develops, manufactures and sells a broad product line of 3D printers and 3D production systems (and related proprietary consumable materials) that create physical parts from CAD designs.

Stratasys' common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SSYS". The principal executive offices of Stratasys are located at 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota, and Stratasys' phone number at those offices is (952) 937-3000.

Objet Ltd.

Objet was incorporated in the State of Israel in 1998 and is a global provider of 3D printing solutions, offering a range of 3D printing systems, resin consumables and services. Objet's printers use Objet's proprietary inkjet-based technology, resin consumables and integrated software to create 3D models directly from computer data such as 3D CAD files. Objet's printers build 3D objects by depositing multiple layers of resin one on top of another.

Objet's ordinary shares are not currently quoted or listed on any securities exchange or otherwise publicly traded. Objet will be applying to have its ordinary shares listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SSYS" upon the effectiveness of the merger (thereby replacing the listing of Stratasys' common stock under that symbol). Concurrently with the listing, Objet will change its name to "Stratasys Ltd."

The principal executive offices of Objet are located at 2 Holtzman Street, Science Park, P.O. Box 2496, Rehovot 76124, Israel, and Objet's telephone number at those offices is +972-8-931-4314.

Oaktree Merger Inc. and Seurat Holdings Inc.

Merger Sub is a newly formed Delaware corporation, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet and a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco, itself a newly formed Delaware corporation and indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet that is also party to the merger agreement. Each of Merger Sub and Holdco was formed solely for the purpose of effecting the proposed merger with Stratasys and has not carried on any activities other than in connection with the proposed merger. The address and telephone number for each of Merger Sub's and Holdco's principal executive offices are the same as those for Objet.

Table of Contents

The Merger (page 59)

The Agreement and Plan of Merger

Under the terms of the merger agreement, Merger Sub will merge with and into Stratasys, with Stratasys continuing as the surviving corporation. The merger agreement is appended to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex A* and is incorporated into this proxy statement/prospectus by reference. We encourage you to read the entire merger agreement carefully, as it is the legal document that governs the merger.

General

As a result of the merger, the separate corporate existence of Merger Sub will cease, Stratasys will continue as the surviving corporation of the merger and become an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet, and Objet will be renamed "Stratasys Ltd.," subject to the approval of such name change by the Israeli Companies Registrar.

Holders of Stratasys common stock, as of the effective time of the merger, will have the right to receive one Objet ordinary share for each share of Stratasys common stock held (after giving effect to the Objet reverse stock split).

Each outstanding option or warrant to purchase shares of Stratasys common stock will be converted into an option or warrant, as applicable, that will entitle its holder to acquire an equal number of Objet ordinary shares, at an exercise price per Objet ordinary share that is equal to the original exercise price per Stratasys share under the option or warrant immediately before the effective time, and on substantially the same terms and conditions as were applicable to the original Stratasys option or warrant.

As a result of the issuance of Objet shares, options and warrants to Stratasys' current security holders, upon the consummation of the merger, Stratasys stockholders and Objet shareholders immediately prior to the effective time will hold 55% and 45%, respectively, of the ordinary shares of the combined company, on a fully diluted basis (using the treasury stock method).

Upon the closing of the merger, shares of Stratasys common stock will cease trading and Objet ordinary shares are expected to commence trading in their place on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under Stratasys' existing trading symbol, "SSYS".

Reasons for the Merger

The Stratasys board of directors has determined that the merger and the terms of the merger agreement are in the best interests of Stratasys and its stockholders and has adopted the merger agreement. For a description of the factors on which the Stratasys board of directors based its determination, see "Proposal One The Merger Stratasys' Reasons for the Merger" beginning on page 68.

Opinion of Stratasys' Financial Advisor

Stratasys retained Piper Jaffray & Co. to act as its financial advisor in connection with the merger and to render to the Stratasys board an opinion as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio provided for in the merger agreement to the holders of Stratasys common stock. At the meeting of the Stratasys board on April 13, 2012, Piper Jaffray rendered its opinion to the Stratasys board to the effect that, as of that date, and based upon and subject to the various assumptions and limitations set forth in its opinion, the exchange ratio provided for in the merger agreement was fair, from a financial point of view, to the holders of Stratasys common stock.

Table of Contents

Piper Jaffray's opinion sets forth, among other things, the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the scope of the review undertaken by Piper Jaffray in rendering its opinion. Piper Jaffray's opinion was directed to the Stratasys board and addresses only the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of the date of the opinion, of the exchange ratio to the holders of Stratasys common stock. It does not address any other aspects of the merger and does not constitute a recommendation as to how any holder of Stratasys common stock should vote on the merger or any matter related thereto.

The full text of the written opinion of Piper Jaffray is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex F*. Stratasys encourages its stockholders to read Piper Jaffray's opinion carefully and in its entirety.

Stratasys' Special Meeting of Stockholders

Stratasys' special meeting of stockholders will be held on [], 2012 at Stratasys' headquarters, 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota. At the special meeting, Stratasys stockholders will consider and vote upon a proposal to adopt the merger agreement and the other proposals described in the notice for the meeting included with this proxy statement/prospectus. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on Thursday, August 2, 2012, the record date, will be entitled to vote at the special meeting.

Quorum and Vote Required at the Special Meeting

A majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock issued and outstanding as of the record date must be represented at the special meeting, in person or by proxy, in order to constitute a quorum. The proposal for the adoption of the merger agreement will be approved if holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Stratasys common stock as of the record date for the special meeting vote in favor of the proposal.

Shares Beneficially Owned as of the Record Date

Shares Owned by Directors and Officers of Stratasys and Their Affiliates. Based on 21,336,451 shares, the number of shares of Stratasys common stock issued and outstanding as of August 2, 2012, the directors and officers of Stratasys and their affiliates, as a group, beneficially own (excluding shares issuable upon exercise of options) approximately 910,300 shares of Stratasys common stock, or approximately 4.27% of the outstanding shares of Stratasys common stock entitled to be voted at the special meeting.

Shares Owned by Objet. Objet does not own any shares of Stratasys common stock. However, in connection with the merger agreement, Objet obtained irrevocable proxies from all Stratasys directors and certain of their spouses, which Objet may use to vote in favor of the merger and against any proposal made in opposition to, or in competition with, the consummation of the merger. As a result of the proxies, Objet may be deemed to beneficially own 909,400 shares of Stratasys common stock or 4.26% of the outstanding shares of Stratasys common stock as of August 2, 2012.

Stratasys Options and Warrants

Each outstanding option or warrant to purchase shares of Stratasys common stock will be converted into an option or warrant, as applicable, that will entitle its holder to acquire an equal number of Objet ordinary shares, at an exercise price per Objet ordinary share that is equal to the original exercise price per Stratasys share under the option or warrant immediately before the effective time, and on substantially the same terms and conditions as were applicable to the original Stratasys option or warrant. For more information on the exchange of the Stratasys options and warrants, see

Table of Contents

"The Agreement and Plan of Merger Stratasys Options and Warrants" beginning on page 105 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

No Appraisal Rights

Under Section 262 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, Stratasys stockholders will not have appraisal rights in connection with the merger. For a more detailed discussion of appraisal rights under Delaware law, please see the section entitled "Proposal One The Merger No Appraisal Rights" beginning on page 100 of this proxy statement/ prospectus.

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information

For a discussion of the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information, see "Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements" beginning on page 195.

Accounting Treatment

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP, the merger will be reported as the acquisition of Objet by Stratasys using the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations. Accordingly, the financial statements of Stratasys will serve as those of the predecessor for the combined company. For a more detailed discussion of the accounting treatment of the merger, please see the section entitled "Proposal One The Merger Anticipated Accounting Treatment" beginning on page 100.

Regulatory Approvals

Stratasys and Objet intend to make all required filings under the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, in connection with the merger, as well as filings required with the NASDAQ Stock Market in order to obtain its approval for the listing of Objet's ordinary shares and in order to notify it concerning the delisting of Stratasys' common stock. The companies have also made the required filings, and received early termination of the waiting period, under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, or the HSR Act, and have made competition filings in Brazil, Portugal, Israel, Spain and Taiwan.

Stratasys has provided notice of the merger to the U.S. Department of State Office of Defense Trade Controls Compliance, or the DTCC, with which Stratasys is registered as a manufacturer/exporter of parts and components that are subject to the International Traffic in Arms Regulations, or ITAR. Under ITAR, a DTCC-registered company must provide the DTCC with 60 day notification prior to a transfer of control to a foreign person. Because Objet is a foreign company and is staffed by non-U.S. persons, Objet will need a license from the DTCC before accessing Stratasys' ITAR-controlled technology.

Stratasys and Objet have also made a voluntary filing with the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States in accordance with Section 721 of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and regulations thereunder. Under that act, the U.S. President has the power to block or require the modification of transactions in which a foreign entity acquires control of a U.S. business, where the President determines the transaction may have adverse consequences for the national security of the United States. No appeal would be available from such a presidential determination.

Stratasys and Objet are not aware of any other material regulatory filings or approvals required prior to completing the merger as described in this proxy statement/prospectus.

Table of Contents

Conditions to the Completion of the Merger

Objet's and Stratasys' obligations to complete the merger depend on a number of conditions being met. These include:

adoption of the merger agreement by the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Stratasys common stock;

the waiting period applicable to the merger under any legal requirement having expired or terminated, and the absence of any voluntary agreement between Objet or Stratasys and any governmental body under which Objet or Stratasys has agreed not to consummate the merger for any period of time;

all authorizations or consents required under any applicable antitrust or competition law or other legal requirement having been obtained and remaining in full force and effect;

the absence of any law or order prohibiting the merger;

the effectiveness of the Form F-4 registration statement for the Objet ordinary shares to be issued in the merger and the approval for listing of Objet's ordinary shares, including those shares being issued pursuant to the merger, on the NASDAQ Global Select Market;

subject to certain limitations and exceptions, the accuracy of each company's representations and warranties and its performance in all material respects of its covenants as provided in the merger agreement; and

the absence of any material adverse effect with respect to the business and affairs of either Stratasys (in the case of Objet) or Objet (in the case of Stratasys).

Where permitted by applicable law, either of Objet or Stratasys could choose to waive any or all conditions to its respective obligations to complete the merger even when the condition or conditions have not been satisfied. Objet and Stratasys cannot be certain when, or if, the conditions to the merger will be satisfied or waived, or that the merger will be completed. See "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Conditions to the Closing of the Merger" on page 116.

Termination and Termination Fees

The merger agreement may be terminated, either before or after Stratasys stockholders adopt the merger agreement, under certain circumstances described in "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Termination Fees and Expenses" beginning on page 120. The merger agreement provides that under certain circumstances, Stratasys or Objet may terminate the merger agreement without liability. If either party terminates the merger agreement under certain other circumstances, including a termination by Objet due to a change of recommendation by the Stratasys board of directors or a breach of certain covenants, the other party will be obligated to pay a termination fee. The termination fee payable by Stratasys to Objet is \$25 million, and the termination fee payable by Objet to Stratasys is \$31 million. If the Stratasys board of directors changes its recommendation for a reason other than receipt of a superior acquisition proposal and Objet terminates the merger agreement, Stratasys will be required to pay Objet a termination fee of \$48 million. For more information, see "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Termination Fees and Expenses" on page 120.

Resale of Objet Ordinary Shares

All Objet ordinary shares that each Stratasys stockholder receives in the merger are expected to be listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, and be freely transferable unless a stockholder is an affiliate of Stratasys immediately prior to the merger or an affiliate of Objet

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

following the merger for purposes of the U.S. federal securities laws. For more information, see "Proposal One The Merger Resale of Objet Ordinary Shares" on page 100.

Table of Contents

If the merger is completed, Stratasys common stock will no longer be traded or listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Exchange Agent

Objet will retain Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company as the exchange agent in connection with the merger.

Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger (page 88)

You should be aware that a number of directors and executive officers of Stratasys have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, yours. Upon the closing of the merger, four members of the current Stratasys board of directors, S. Scott Crump, Edward J. Fierko, John J. McEleney and Clifford H. Schwieter, will be appointed to the combined company's board of directors, and Mr. Crump and Mr. McEleney will be appointed to the combined company's executive committee. Furthermore, S. Scott Crump and Thomas W. Stenoien will continue to be employed by the combined company and/or its subsidiaries following the merger. All former Stratasys directors and officers will receive liability insurance and indemnification benefits from the combined company following the merger regardless of their continuing association with the combined company. Furthermore, the unvested options held by Stratasys directors and officers (other than options granted after execution of the merger agreement) will become fully vested upon the consummation of the merger, as will all options held by all other Stratasys option holders. This will enable those directors, officers and other option holders to immediately exercise their options following the closing and acquire underlying Objet ordinary shares.

In addition, Objet's current executive officers and directors (as well as all other grantees under Objet's Amended and Restated 2004 Omnibus Stock Option and Restricted Stock Incentive Plan) will benefit as a result of the consummation of the merger, as their options to purchase Objet ordinary shares will become exercisable (to the extent already vested) under the terms of that plan.

Certain Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations (page 93)

A U.S. stockholder of Stratasys generally should recognize gain, but not loss, on the receipt of Objet ordinary shares in exchange for shares of Stratasys common stock pursuant to the merger. The amount of gain recognized should equal the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the Objet ordinary shares received in the merger over the U.S. stockholder's adjusted tax basis in the shares of Stratasys common stock-surrendered (see "Proposal One The Merger Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger and the Holding and Disposing of Objet Ordinary Shares Received in the Merger" beginning on page 93). **Stockholders of Stratasys should consult their own tax advisors to determine the particular tax consequences to them of the merger, including the application and effect of U.S. federal, state, local, foreign and other tax laws.**

Comparison of Rights of Stockholders of Stratasys and Shareholders of Objet (page 221)

If the merger is successfully completed, holders of Stratasys common stock will become holders of Objet ordinary shares, and their rights as shareholders will be governed by Objet's organizational documents. There are also differences between the laws governing Stratasys, a Delaware corporation, and Objet, an Israeli company. Please see "Comparison of Rights of Objet Shareholders and Stratasys Stockholders" on page 221 for more information.

Risk Factors (page 17)

For a discussion of risks relating to an investment decision regarding the merger, see "Risk Factors" beginning on page 17.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

If the merger is completed, Objet and Stratasys will operate in a market environment that is difficult to predict and that involves significant risks, many of which will be beyond their control. In addition to information regarding Stratasys contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this proxy statement/prospectus, you should carefully consider the risks described below before voting your shares. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to Objet and Stratasys or that they do not currently believe are important to an investor, if they materialize, also may adversely affect the merger. A discussion of additional risks and uncertainties regarding Stratasys can be found in the information that is incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus and referred to in the section entitled "Where You Can Find More Information" on page 241 of this proxy statement/prospectus. If any of the events, contingencies, circumstances or conditions described in the following risks actually occur, Objet's and Stratasys' respective businesses, financial condition or results of operations could be seriously harmed. If that happens, the trading price of Objet ordinary shares or, if the merger is not consummated, Stratasys common stock could decline, and you may lose part or all of the value of any Objet ordinary shares or, if the merger is not consummated, shares of Stratasys common stock that you hold.

Risks related to the merger

The merger is subject to closing conditions that could result in a delay in the completion of the merger or in the merger not being consummated, either of which could negatively impact Stratasys' stock price or its or Objet's future business and operations.

Completion of the merger is conditioned upon Objet and Stratasys satisfying closing conditions, including adoption of the merger agreement by Stratasys stockholders, the expiration or termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act and receipt of all necessary regulatory approvals, all as set forth in the merger agreement. Please see the section entitled "Proposal One The Merger The Agreement and Plan of Merger Conditions to the Closing of the Merger" beginning on page 116 of this proxy statement/prospectus for a discussion of the conditions to the completion of the merger. While the companies have received early termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act, the additional required conditions to closing may not be satisfied in a timely manner, if at all, and such conditions may not be waived, even if permitted under applicable law, in which case the merger may not be consummated. Failure to consummate the merger could negatively impact Stratasys' stock price or Stratasys' or Objet's future business, operations and financial condition. Any delay in the consummation of the merger or any uncertainty about the consummation of the merger may adversely affect the future business, growth, revenue and results of operations of either or both of the companies. In addition, if in connection with any regulatory approvals required to consummate the merger, Stratasys and Objet agree to any material requirements, limitations, costs or restrictions in order to obtain such approvals, these requirements, limitations, costs or restrictions could adversely affect the anticipated benefits of the merger. This could result in a failure to consummate these transactions or could have a material adverse effect on the combined company's business and results of operations.

Uncertainty regarding the merger and the effects of the merger could cause each company's distributors, licensors, collaborators, suppliers or other strategic partners to delay or defer decisions, which could increase costs of the ongoing business for Objet and/or Stratasys.

Objet's and Stratasys' strategy for developing and commercializing many of their additional, potential products or enhancing their currently marketed products includes maintaining and entering into agreements with distributors, licensors, collaborators, suppliers and other strategic partners. These partners, in response to the announcement of the merger, may delay or defer decisions regarding their business relationships with each company, which could increase costs for the business of each company and delay, interrupt or terminate the collaborative research, development and commercialization of certain potential products or enhancement of existing marketed products, regardless of whether the

Table of Contents

merger is ultimately completed. Under certain circumstances, these partners may also attempt to negotiate changes in existing business relationships or terminate their agreements with each company. Any such delay, interruption, renegotiation or termination of Objet's or Stratasys' relationship with any of these partners could materially harm their businesses and financial condition following the merger, and frustrate any commercialization efforts for additional product candidates or enhancement of existing marketed products.

Customer uncertainty related to the merger could harm the combined company following the merger.

Objet's or Stratasys' customers and distributors may, in response to the announcement of the pending merger, delay or defer purchasing decisions. Any delay or deferral in purchasing decisions by Objet's or Stratasys' customers or distributors could adversely affect the business or operating results of the combined company following the merger.

Failure to complete the merger could negatively impact the market price of Stratasys common stock and the future business and financial results of Objet or Stratasys.

If the merger is not completed for any reason, the ongoing businesses of Objet and Stratasys may be adversely affected and may be subject to a number of risks, each of which, in the case of Stratasys, may have a negative impact on the market price of its common stock. The risks associated with a failure to complete the merger include, but are not limited to:

Stratasys may be required, under some circumstances, to pay to Objet a termination fee of either \$25 million or \$48 million, and reimburse Objet for its expenses in an amount of up to \$2 million. For additional information, please see the section entitled "Proposal One The Merger The Agreement and Plan of Merger Expenses and Termination Fees" beginning on page 120 of this proxy statement/prospectus;

the diversion of management's attention, a reduction in capital spending and acquisitions, a suspension of planned hiring and other adverse consequences of the affirmative and negative covenants in the merger agreement that restrict the companies' business operations;

failure to pursue other beneficial opportunities as a result of the focus of management of each of the companies on the merger, without realizing any of the anticipated benefits of the merger;

the market price of Stratasys common stock may decline to the extent that the current market price reflects a market assumption that the merger will be completed;

Objet and Stratasys may experience negative reactions to the termination of the merger from licensors, collaborators, suppliers, customers or other strategic partners; and

Objet's and Stratasys' costs incurred related to the merger, such as legal and accounting fees, must be paid even if the merger is not completed.

Further, if the merger agreement is terminated and Stratasys' board of directors were to seek another merger or business combination, Stratasys stockholders cannot be certain that Stratasys will be able to find a party willing to agree to a relative valuation that is equivalent to or more attractive than the valuation to which Objet has agreed for the merger.

Table of Contents

The merger agreement limits Stratasys' ability to pursue alternatives to the merger and may discourage other companies from trying to acquire Stratasys.

The merger agreement contains "no shop" provisions that, subject to limited exceptions, preclude Stratasys, whether directly or indirectly through its subsidiaries, officers, directors, agents or other representatives, from:

soliciting, initiating, inducing, facilitating, or knowingly encouraging any acquisition proposal that may be expected to lead to an offer of greater value to Stratasys stockholders or any inquiry or proposal that may reasonably be expected to lead to such a proposal;

taking any action to make the provisions of any anti-takeover statute, or any similar restrictive provision in Stratasys' certificate of incorporation or by-laws, inapplicable to any transactions contemplated by a competing proposal involving the acquisition of Stratasys, or making any third party exempt from triggering the anti-takeover effects of Stratasys' stockholder rights plan;

entering into, participating in, maintaining or continuing any communications or negotiations regarding, or delivering or making available to any third party any non-public information with respect to, or taking any other action regarding, any inquiry or offer that constitutes, or could reasonably be expected to lead to, a competing proposal;

agreeing to or recommending (or publicly proposing or announcing any intention or desire to agree to, accept, approve, endorse or recommend) any competing proposal; and

entering into any letter of intent or any other contract, agreement, commitment or other written arrangement contemplating or otherwise relating to any competing proposal.

Stratasys may, nevertheless, engage in discussions with, and provide information to, certain third parties making unsolicited offers to acquire Stratasys in compliance with the provisions of the merger agreement if, among other conditions, after consultation with its financial advisor and outside legal counsel, the Stratasys board of directors determines in good faith that the competing proposal constitutes, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a superior offer, and if failure to pursue the competing proposal would reasonably be expected to constitute a breach of the board's fiduciary duties to Stratasys' stockholders under applicable law. Stratasys has agreed to pay Objet a termination fee of \$25 million in specified circumstances relating to the solicitation or recommendation of an acquisition proposal, including (i) if the Stratasys board of directors withdraws its recommendation to Stratasys stockholders to adopt and approve the merger agreement or if it modifies its recommendation in a manner adverse to Objet, and the merger agreement is not approved by Stratasys' stockholders, and/or (ii) if Stratasys violates the procedures related to solicitation or receipt of a competing proposal. These provisions could discourage other companies from trying to acquire Stratasys even though those other companies might be willing to offer greater value to Stratasys stockholders.

Stratasys may waive one or more of the conditions to the merger without re-soliciting stockholder approval.

Stratasys may determine to waive, in whole or in part, one or more of the conditions to its obligation to complete the merger, to the extent permitted by applicable law. Stratasys will evaluate the materiality of any such waiver and its effect on Stratasys stockholders in light of the facts and circumstances at the time to determine whether any amendment of this proxy statement/prospectus and re-solicitation of proxies is required pursuant to applicable law or the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market. In some cases, if the Stratasys board of directors were to determine that such a waiver is warranted but that such waiver or its effect on Stratasys' stockholders does not rise to the level of materiality that would require re-solicitation of proxies pursuant to applicable law or the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Stratasys would complete the merger without seeking further stockholder approval.

Table of Contents

Stratasys' executive officers and directors have interests different from your interests that may influence them to support and approve the merger.

In considering the recommendation of the Stratasys board of directors to adopt the merger agreement, Stratasys stockholders should recognize that Stratasys' executive officers and directors have interests that differ from those of Stratasys stockholders. In particular, four current Stratasys directors will become directors, and certain current Stratasys executive officers will become executive officers, of the combined company after the merger and, as such, will be entitled to receive compensation for their services. In addition, all of the stock options held by Stratasys directors and executive officers will become immediately exercisable upon the closing of the merger, and Objet has agreed to assume existing indemnification and liability insurance obligations of Stratasys after the merger. These reasons are described in the section entitled "Proposal One The Merger Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger" beginning on page 88 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Stratasys' board of directors was aware of and considered these interests, among other matters, in approving the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated thereby, and in making its recommendation that Stratasys' stockholders vote to adopt the merger agreement.

During the pendency of the merger, Stratasys may not be able to enter into certain business arrangements with other parties because of restrictions in the merger agreement.

Covenants in the merger agreement impede the ability of Stratasys to make certain acquisitions or complete other transactions that are not, among other things, in the ordinary course of business pending completion of the merger. As a result, if the merger is not completed, Stratasys may be at a disadvantage relative to its competitors. Please see the section entitled "Proposal One The Merger The Agreement and Plan of Merger Conduct of Business Pending the Merger" beginning on page 108 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

Risks related to the combined company following the merger

Any of the following risk factors could cause the combined company's actual results to differ materially from anticipated results. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones that the combined company faces. Most of the risks that Objet and Stratasys currently face as individual companies, as described below under "Risks Related to Objet's Business and Stratasys' Business Prior to Completion of the Merger," including the "Risks related to Objet's operations in Israel," will continue to apply to the combined company, and should therefore be considered even assuming that the merger is consummated.

The value of Objet ordinary shares that Stratasys stockholders will receive in connection with the merger will fluctuate.

The precise value of the merger consideration to be received by Stratasys stockholders at the effective time of the merger cannot be determined at the present time. Under the terms of the merger agreement and after giving effect to the Objet reverse stock split, Objet will issue one Objet ordinary share for each share of Stratasys common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. Thus, Stratasys stockholders will receive a fixed number of ordinary shares of Objet regardless of the actual market price of Stratasys' common stock as of the effective time of the merger and regardless of the prospective market price of Objet's ordinary shares upon the consummation of the merger.

The price of Objet's ordinary shares at the closing of the merger, when the shares are expected to be listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, may differ from its estimated value on the date on which the merger agreement was executed and upon which the exchange ratio in the merger was premised. Stock prices in the marketplace reflect a variety of factors beyond the control of Objet, Stratasys or the combined company, including general economic and market conditions.

Table of Contents

Stratasys stockholders may need to wait to receive shares of the combined company following the merger, which could cause them losses if the combined company's share price declines.

There will likely be a period of time between completion of the merger and the time at which former Stratasys stockholders actually receive stock certificates evidencing the Objet ordinary shares. Until stock certificates are received, some former Stratasys stockholders may not be able to sell their Objet ordinary shares in the open market and, therefore, may not be able to avoid losses from any decrease in the trading price of Objet ordinary shares during that period.

The market price for the combined company's ordinary shares may be affected by factors different from those affecting Stratasys shares.

Upon completion of the merger, holders of Stratasys common stock will become holders of Objet ordinary shares. Objet's business differs in many respects from that of Stratasys, and accordingly the results of operations of the combined company following the merger may be affected by factors different from those currently affecting their separate results of operations. For a discussion of the businesses of Stratasys and Objet and of other factors to consider in connection with those businesses, you should carefully review this proxy statement/prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference herein and referred to under "Where You Can Find More Information" on page 241 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

If Stratasys stockholders or existing Objet shareholders sell a substantial number of the combined company's ordinary shares, the market price of the combined company's ordinary shares could decline.

The issuance of the Objet ordinary shares to Stratasys' stockholders in the merger will be registered with the SEC. As a result, those shares will be immediately available for resale in the public market, except for ordinary shares of Objet that are issued to Stratasys' former stockholders who were affiliates of Stratasys up until the consummation of the merger or who will become affiliates of Objet upon completion of the merger. If Stratasys' former stockholders sell their Objet ordinary shares immediately after the merger, or if existing holders of Objet ordinary shares sell significant amounts of Objet ordinary shares immediately after the merger, the market price of the combined company's ordinary shares could decline. These sales may also make it more difficult for the combined company to sell equity securities in the future at a time and price that the combined company deems appropriate to raise funds through equity offerings.

The market price of the combined company's ordinary shares may decline following the merger.

The market price of the combined company's ordinary shares may decline following the merger for a number of reasons, including if:

the combined company does not achieve the perceived benefits of the merger as rapidly or to the extent anticipated by financial or industry analysts;

the effect of the merger on the combined company's business and prospects is not consistent with the expectations of financial or industry analysts; or

investors react negatively to the effect of the merger on the combined company's business and prospects.

The operating results and financial condition of the combined company may fluctuate.

The operating results and financial condition of the combined company following the merger may fluctuate from quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year and are likely to continue to vary due to a number of factors, many of which will not be within the combined company's control. If the combined company's operating results do not meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors, the market

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Table of Contents

price of the combined company's ordinary shares will likely decline. Fluctuations in the combined company's operating results and financial condition may be due to a number of factors, including those listed below and those identified throughout this "Risk Factors" section:

the degree of market acceptance of the combined company's products;

the mix of products that the combined company sells during any period;

long sales cycles;

changes in the amount that that the combined company spends to develop, acquire or license new products, consumables, technologies or businesses;

changes in the amounts that the combined company spends to promote its products and services;

changes in the cost of satisfying the company's warranty obligations and its servicing its installed base of systems;

delays between the company's expenditures to develop and market new or enhanced systems and consumables and the generation of sales from those products;

development of new competitive systems by others;

changes in accounting rules and tax laws;

the geographic distribution of the combined company's sales;

the combined company's responses to price competition;

general economic and industry conditions that affect end-user demand and end-user levels of product design and manufacturing, including the adverse effects of the current economic crisis affecting Europe;

changes in interest rates that affect returns on the company's cash balances and short-term investments;

failure of a development partner to continue supporting certain product development efforts it is funding; and

the level of research and development activities by the combined company.

Due to all of the foregoing factors, and the other risks discussed in this prospectus/proxy statement, you should not rely on quarter-to-quarter comparisons of the combined company's operating results as an indicator of its future performance.

The market price of the combined company's ordinary shares following the merger may be subject to fluctuation, regardless of the combined company's operating results and financial condition. As a result, the combined company's shareholders could incur substantial losses.

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

The stock market in general, and the market price of Stratasys' common stock in particular, have been, subject to substantial fluctuation. During 2011, Stratasys' common stock traded at prices ranging between \$18.00 and \$55.43. It is likely that the price of the combined company's ordinary shares will also be subject to substantial fluctuation regardless of the combined company's operating results or financial condition. The market price of the combined company's ordinary shares on the NASDAQ Global Select Market may fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, including:

variations in the combined company's and its competitors' results of operations and financial condition;

market acceptance of the combined company's products;

Table of Contents

the mix of products that the combined company sells, and related services that it provides, during any period;

changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by securities analysts, if the combined company's ordinary shares are covered by analysts;

development of new competitive systems and services by others;

announcements of technological innovations or new products by the combined company;

delays between the combined company's expenditures to develop and market new or enhanced systems and consumables and the generation of sales from those products;

developments concerning intellectual property rights;

changes in the amount that the combined company spends to develop, acquire or license new products, technologies or businesses;

changes in the combined company's expenditures to promote its products and services;

changes in the cost of satisfying the combined company's warranty obligations and servicing its installed base of systems;

success or failure of research and development projects of the combined company or its competitors;

the general tendency towards volatility in the market prices of shares of technology companies; and

general market conditions and other factors, including factors unrelated to the combined company's operating performance.

These factors and any corresponding price fluctuations may materially and adversely affect the market price of the combined company's ordinary shares and result in substantial losses being incurred by the combined company's shareholders.

Market prices for securities of technology companies historically have been very volatile. The market for these securities has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations for reasons unrelated to the operating performance of any one company. In the past, following periods of market volatility, public company shareholders have often instituted securities class action litigation. Such securities litigation could result in substantial costs and divert the resources and attention of the combined company's management from its business.

The rights of holders of Stratasys common stock will change as a result of the merger.

Upon completion of the merger, holders of Stratasys common stock will become holders of Objet ordinary shares. As a result, the rights of stockholders of Stratasys who become shareholders of the combined company will be governed by the combined company's articles of association, which provide for different rights than Stratasys' amended and restated certification of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws. Further, Objet is organized under the laws of the State of Israel, and Stratasys is organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. The rights conferred to shareholders of companies organized under the laws of each of these jurisdictions differ in important ways. In particular, a shareholder of an Israeli company has a duty to act in good faith towards the company and other shareholders, and to refrain from abusing its power in the company, including, among other things, in voting at the general meeting of shareholders on matters such as amendments to a company's articles of association, increases in a company's authorized share capital, mergers and acquisitions and interested party transactions

requiring shareholder approval. In addition, a shareholder who is aware that it possesses the power to determine the outcome of a shareholder vote or to appoint or prevent

Table of Contents

the appointment of a director or executive officer in the company has a duty of fairness toward the company. Provisions of Israeli law and the combined company's articles of association may furthermore delay, prevent or otherwise impede a merger with, or an acquisition of, the combined company, which could prevent a change of control, even when the terms of such a transaction are favorable to the combined company and its shareholders. For more information, Stratasys' stockholders should review the section of this proxy statement/prospectus entitled "Comparison of Rights of Objet Shareholders and Stratasys Stockholders" beginning on page 221 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

If Objet and Stratasys are not successful in integrating their businesses, the benefits of the merger will not be fully realized and the market price of Objet's ordinary shares may be negatively affected.

The merger involves the coordination and the establishment of contractual arrangements and agreements between two companies (and among the companies and their respective subsidiaries) that have previously operated independently with principal offices in two distinct locations and geographically diverse organizations. Immediately following the merger, the combined company will have approximately 960 employees in a total of 17 facilities around the world. Objet and Stratasys entered into the merger agreement with the expectation that the merger will result in benefits arising out of the integration of the companies' operation. Due to legal restrictions, however, Objet and Stratasys have conducted only limited planning regarding their integration. The difficulties of coordinating the operations of the businesses include, among others:

coordinating geographically separate organizations, including two sets of corporate headquarters on two different continents;

addressing inconsistencies between the two companies in standards, controls, procedures and policies, any of which could adversely affect either company's ability to maintain relationships with licensors, collaborators, partners, suppliers, distributors, customers and employees;

consolidating the merging companies' financial reporting system;

management of a substantially larger organization, with an increased number of employees over large geographic distances;
and

coordinating sales, distribution and marketing functions, including integration and management of the two companies' sales channels.

As a result of these and other factors, Objet and Stratasys may not successfully integrate their businesses in a timely manner, or at all. Furthermore, the companies may not realize the benefits and synergies of the merger to the extent, or in the timeframe, anticipated. It is also possible that such integration and coordination arrangements could lead to the loss of key employees, diversion of the attention of each company's management, or the disruption or interruption of, or the loss of momentum in, each company's ongoing business. Any of these possible outcomes could affect the combined company's ability to maintain its research and development, supply, distribution, marketing, customer and other relationships, any of which could adversely affect its business and financial results following the merger. The occurrence of such negative results could adversely affect the market price of Objet's ordinary shares following the merger.

Table of Contents

The combined company's operations, particularly in integrating Objet's and Stratasys' existing businesses, could suffer if the combined company is unable to attract and retain key management or other key employees.

The combined company's success depends upon the continued service and performance of its senior management and other key personnel, especially during the integration phase of the two businesses. The senior executive teams at the companies are critical to the management of the combined company's business and operations, as well as to the development of its strategy, especially during the initial period following the merger. In particular, the combined company expects to rely upon S. Scott Crump, who will serve as Chairman of the Board, Elchanan Jaglom, who will serve as chairman of the executive committee, and David Reis, who will serve as Chief Executive Officer. The loss of the services of any of these individuals could delay or prevent the successful implementation of the combined company's initial growth strategy, or its initial commercialization of new applications for 3D printers, 3D production systems or other products, or could otherwise adversely affect the combined company's ability to manage itself effectively and carry out its business plan following the merger. Members of the combined company's senior management team may resign at any time. High demand exists for senior management and other key personnel in the additive fabrication industry, and there can be no assurance that the combined company will be able to retain such personnel.

The growth and success of the combined company, especially in the initial stages following the merger, will also depend on its ability to attract and retain additional highly qualified scientific, technical, sales, managerial and finance personnel. Current and prospective personnel of Objet and Stratasys might experience uncertainty about their future roles with the combined company following completion of the merger, which might adversely affect the combined company's ability to retain them. Each of Objet and Stratasys experiences intense competition for qualified personnel. While the combined company intends to provide competitive compensation packages to attract and retain key personnel, some of their competitors for these employees have greater resources and more experience, making it difficult for the combined company to compete successfully for key personnel. If the combined company cannot attract and retain sufficiently qualified technical employees for its research and development and manufacturing operations, it may be unable to achieve the synergies expected from the merger or to develop and commercialize new products or new applications for existing products.

In the event that the merger is completed, the combined company will incur significant additional expenses in connection with the merger.

In the event that the merger is completed, the combined company is expected to incur significant additional expenses, including those relating to coordinating personnel, travel, information technology systems, accounting systems, vendors and strategic partners of each company, as well as expenses relating to the implementation of consistent standards, policies, and procedures. Further, in the event the merger is completed, the combined company may be subject to possibly material write downs in assets and charges to earnings, as described in the immediately following risk factor.

If goodwill or other intangible assets that the combined company records in connection with the merger become impaired, the combined company could have to take significant charges against earnings.

In connection with the accounting for the merger, it is expected that the combined company will record a significant amount of goodwill (\$374.4 million, when calculating goodwill based on the purchase price deemed to be paid by Stratasys as the accounting acquirer in the merger, when utilizing the closing price of Stratasys' common stock on June 4, 2012) and other intangible assets (\$330.5 million, reflecting the preliminary estimated fair value of Objet's intangibles, consisting primarily of its developed technology, customer relationships, in-process research and development, and trade name). Under U.S. GAAP, the combined company must assess, at least annually and potentially more frequently, whether the value of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets has been

Table of Contents

impaired. Amortizing intangible assets will be assessed for impairment in the event of an impairment indicator. Any reduction or impairment of the value of goodwill or other intangible assets will result in a charge against earnings, which could materially adversely affect the combined company's results of operations and shareholders' equity in future periods.

If the market for the combined company's products and services does not grow as expected, the combined company's revenues may stagnate or decline.

The marketplace for prototype development and the broader marketplace for direct digital manufacturing, which are areas that each of Objet and Stratasys targets, are dominated by conventional methods that do not involve 3D printing technology. If the market does not broadly accept 3D printing as an alternative for prototype development and additive fabrication, or if it adopts 3D printing based on a technology other than inkjet technology (Objet's technology) or Fused Deposition Modeling, or FDM (Stratasys' technology), the combined company may not be able to increase or sustain the aggregate level of sales of products and related materials and services that the two companies enjoy presently, and its results of operations would be adversely affected as a result.

If the combined company's product mix shifts too far into lower margin products, the combined company's profitability could be reduced.

Sales of certain existing products of each of Objet and Stratasys have higher margins than others. In the case of Objet, its high-end 3D printers and its resin consumables yield a greater gross margin than its entry-level 3D printers. Objet started shipping entry-level 3D printers in 2009, and it anticipates that its entry-level 3D printers will continue to grow as a percentage of the number of printers that the combined company will sell following the merger. For Stratasys, its 3D production systems provide a higher gross margin than its 3D printers. Stratasys attempts to increase profitability by balancing the mix of products that it sells, while still targeting an increase in sales of its higher margin products. If the combined company's product mix shifts too far into lower margin products, and the combined company is not able to sufficiently reduce the engineering, production and other costs associated with those products, its profitability could be reduced.

Global economic, political and social conditions have, in the recent past, adversely impacted Stratasys' and Objet's sales, and may once again affect the combined company following the merger.

The uncertain direction and relative strength of the global economy, difficulties in the financial services sector and credit markets, continuing geopolitical uncertainties and other macroeconomic factors all affect spending behavior of potential end-users of Stratasys' and Objet's products and services. The prospects for economic growth in the United States and other countries remain uncertain, and may cause end-users to further delay or reduce technology purchases. In particular, a substantial portion of Stratasys' and Objet's sales are made to customers in countries in Europe, which is experiencing a significant economic crisis. These and other macroeconomic factors had an adverse impact on the sales of Stratasys' and Objet's products and services in late 2008, 2009 and, to a lesser degree, in 2010, leading to reduced sales of Stratasys' and Objet's 3D printers, Stratasys' 3D production systems, consumables, and other products, reduced revenues from sales in 2009 relative to 2008 and longer sales cycles. While the companies saw an improvement in revenues from sales of their systems and consumables in 2010 and 2011, there can be no assurance that such improvement is sustainable particularly if global economic conditions remain volatile for a prolonged period or if European economies experience further disruptions. The global financial crisis affecting the banking system and financial markets has resulted in a tightening of credit markets, lower levels of liquidity in many financial markets, and extreme volatility in fixed income, credit, currency and equity markets. These conditions may make it more difficult for the combined company's end-users to obtain financing.

Table of Contents

The combined company faces risks that may arise from financial difficulties experienced by its end-users, suppliers and distributors, which may be exacerbated by continued weakness in the global economy, including:

distributors and end-users may be unable to obtain credit financing to finance purchases of 3D printers, 3D production systems, consumables or other products;

suppliers may be unable to obtain credit financing to finance purchases of sub-assemblies used to build components of products or purchases of raw materials to produce consumables;

end-users or distributors may face financial difficulties or may become insolvent, which could lead to the combined company's inability to obtain payment for its products;

key suppliers of raw materials, finished products or components used in the combined company's products and consumables may face financial difficulties or may become insolvent, which could lead to disruption in the supply of systems, consumables or spare parts to the combined company's end-users; and

reduced end-user demand for products and reduced manufacturing activity levels.

Objet's and Stratasys' existing and planned international operations currently expose them and will continue to expose them to additional market and operational risks, and failure to manage these risks may adversely affect the combined company's business and operating results.

Both Stratasys and Objet derive a substantial percentage of their sales from international markets. Objet manufactures its products in Israel, but has sales offices in the United States, Germany, Japan, China and Hong Kong. While the United States currently constitutes the most significant market for Objet's products and services, it nevertheless derived 52%, 52% and 54% of its revenues in the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, from sales of products and services outside of the United States and Israel. Similarly, Stratasys manufactures its products in Minnesota, but has sales offices in Germany, Japan, Hong Kong and India. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, it derived 47.2%, 48.6%, and 43.9% of its revenues from sales outside of the United States.

Accordingly, the combined company faces significant operational risks from doing business internationally, including:

fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates;

potentially longer sales and payment cycles;

potentially greater difficulties in collecting accounts receivable;

potentially adverse tax consequences;

reduced protection of intellectual property rights in certain countries, particularly in Asia and South America;

difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;

laws and business practices favoring local competition;

costs and difficulties of customizing products for foreign countries;

compliance with a wide variety of complex foreign laws, treaties and regulations;

tariffs, trade barriers and other regulatory or contractual limitations on their ability to sell or develop their products in certain foreign markets; and

being subject to the laws, regulations and the court systems of many jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

The combined company's failure to manage the market and operational risks associated with its international operations effectively could limit the future growth of its business and adversely affect its operating results.

If equity research analysts do not publish research or reports about the combined company's business or if they issue unfavorable commentary or downgrade the combined company's ordinary shares, the price of the ordinary shares could decline.

Stratasys and Objet expect the trading market for the combined company's ordinary shares to rely in part on the research and reports that equity research analysts publish about the combined company and its business. The combined company will not have control over these analysts and it will not have commitments from them to write research reports about it. The price of the combined company's ordinary shares could decline if one or more equity research analysts downgrades the ordinary shares or if those analysts issue other unfavorable commentary or cease publishing reports about the combined company or its business.

The initial board of directors of the combined company will serve for an initial term of two years, and during that period shareholders will be able to remove any director, elect directors or otherwise change the composition of the board of directors only under very limited circumstances.

Under the amended and restated articles of association of Objet, or the amended articles, which will govern the rights of the combined company's shareholders, the board of directors will be separated into two classes from the effective time of the merger until the second anniversary thereof. Such two-year period is referred to as the initial term. Four class A directors, including one external director, will be appointed by the current Objet board, and four class B directors will be appointed by the current Stratasys board. A ninth director, who will also be a class B director and an external director, will be appointed by the current Stratasys board, subject to the approval of the current Objet board. All class A directors and class B directors will serve as directors during the entire initial two-year term, except for external directors who will serve for terms of three years. Accordingly, during the initial term, the combined company will not hold an annual general meeting of shareholders for the purpose of electing directors.

During the initial term, a director may be removed only either for cause by the unanimous vote of the other directors of his or her class, or under certain other limited circumstances under the Israeli Companies Law. The provision of the amended articles establishing the classified board of directors during the initial term can be amended only by the unanimous vote of the directors and the approval of 75% of the voting power of the combined company. Furthermore, the provision of the amended articles regarding removal of directors may be amended only upon the approval of 75% of the voting power of the combined company. Accordingly, it is unlikely that holders of the combined company's ordinary shares will be able to remove any directors, elect any directors or otherwise change the composition of the combined company's board of directors during the initial term, even if such holders hold a majority of the voting power of the combined company.

Current significant shareholders of Objet may own a significant percentage of the combined company, which may enable them to exert a degree of control in a manner that conflicts with the interests of other shareholders.

Current significant holders of Objet ordinary shares may have interests that are different than or adverse to the other shareholders of the combined company. After the merger, Objet's largest shareholders (including shareholders affiliated with them, after eliminating overlapping beneficial ownership among multiple shareholders who beneficially own the same shares) will hold 13.10%, 8.08% and 7.40% of the combined company's issued and outstanding ordinary shares (based on beneficial ownership calculated as of July 30, 2012). Based on their share ownership and the simple majority vote

Table of Contents

of shares present in person or by proxy that is sufficient for the approval of most actions at any shareholder meeting, those shareholders may be able to exercise a certain degree of control over certain matters requiring shareholder approval. Those matters include the election of directors (following the expiration of the initial two year term of the initial directors following the merger), amendment of the combined company's articles of association and approval of significant corporate transactions, subject to rules requiring the approval of a special majority among non-interested shareholders in certain situations. This control could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control of the combined company or changes in management and will make the approval of certain transactions difficult without the support of those significant shareholders, including transactions in which a non-significant shareholder might otherwise receive a premium for its shares over the then-current market price.

As part of its growth strategy, the combined company may acquire or make investments in other businesses, patents, technologies, products or services, and its failure to do so successfully may adversely affect its competitive position, liquidity, financial results, stock price or shareholder value.

Stratasys has made, and, following the merger, the combined company may make, acquisitions or investments to expand its suite of products and services. The combined company's growth could be hampered if it is unable to identify suitable acquisitions and investments or agree on the terms of any such acquisition or investment.

The combined company may not be able to consummate any such transaction if it lacks sufficient resources to finance the transaction on its own and cannot obtain financing at a reasonable cost. In order to complete an acquisition, the combined company may have to use cash, issue new equity securities with dilutive effects on existing shareholders, take on new debt, assume contingent liabilities or amortize assets or expenses in a manner that might have a material adverse effect on its balance sheet, results of operations or liquidity. The combined company will be required to record certain acquisition-related costs and other items as current period expenses, which would have the effect of reducing its reported earnings in the period in which an acquisition is consummated. The combined company will also be required to record post-closing goodwill or other long-lived asset impairment charges in the period in which they occur, which could result in a significant charge to its earnings in that period. These potential negative effects of an acquisition transaction could prevent the combined company from realizing the benefits of such a transaction.

Even if an acquisition or investment is successfully financed and consummated, the integration of a new business or technology into the combined company's business may result in unforeseen difficulties and expenditures, including:

difficulty transitioning customers and other business relationships to the combined company;

problems unifying management following a transaction;

the loss of key employees from the combined company's existing or acquired businesses;

competition from other companies seeking to expand sales and market share during the integration period;

diversion of management's attention to the assimilation of the technology and personnel of acquired businesses or new product or service lines; and

difficulties in coordinating geographically disparate organizations and corporate cultures and integrating management personnel with different business backgrounds.

If it is not able to successfully finance and consummate acquisitions and investments, or to successfully integrate them and thereby realize their intended benefits, the combined company's

Table of Contents

competitive position, revenues, revenue growth, results of operations and liquidity could be adversely affected, which could, in turn, adversely affect its share price and shareholder value.

Raising additional capital by issuing securities may cause dilution to the combined company's shareholders.

The combined company may need or desire to raise substantial capital in the future. Its future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including, among others:

its degree of success in capturing a larger portion of the overall 3D printing, direct digital manufacturing, and the broader additive fabrication market;

the costs of establishing or acquiring sales, marketing and distribution capabilities for its products;

the costs of preparing, filing and prosecuting patent applications, maintaining and enforcing its issued patents and defending intellectual property-related claims;

the extent to which it acquires or invests in businesses, products or technologies and other strategic relationships; and

the costs of financing unanticipated working capital requirements and responding to competitive pressures.

If the combined company raises funds by issuing equity or convertible debt securities, it will reduce the percentage ownership of its then-existing shareholders, and the holders of such securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those possessed by its then-existing shareholders.

Neither Stratasys nor Objet has ever paid cash dividends on its share capital, and the combined company does not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Therefore, if the combined company's share price does not appreciate following the merger, the combined company's shareholders may not recognize a return, and could potentially suffer a loss, on their investment in the combined company's ordinary shares.

The combined company intends to retain all available funds and any future earnings to fund the development and growth of its business. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, of the combined company's ordinary shares will be investors' sole source of a return on their investment for the foreseeable future.

Even if the combined company decides to pay dividends on its ordinary shares, it may be restricted from doing so or payment of such dividends may have adverse consequences for it.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, dividends may only be paid out of the combined company's profits and other surplus funds (as defined in the Israeli Companies Law) as of the end of the most recent year or as accrued over a period of the most recent two years, whichever amount is greater, provided that there is no reasonable concern that payment of a dividend will prevent it from satisfying its existing and foreseeable obligations as they become due. In the event that the combined company does not meet the profit and surplus funds criteria, it is able to seek the approval of an Israeli court in order to distribute a dividend. The court may approve the combined company's request if it is convinced that there is no reasonable concern that the payment of a dividend will prevent it from satisfying its existing and foreseeable obligations as they become due. In general, the payment of dividends may be subject to Israeli withholding taxes. In addition, because the combined company receives certain benefits under the Israeli law relating to Approved Enterprises the combined company's payment of dividends (out of tax-exempt income) may subject it to certain Israeli taxes to which it would not otherwise be subject. See "Risks related to Objet Risks related to Objet's operations in Israel The government tax benefits that Objet currently receives require it to meet

Table of Contents

several conditions and may be terminated or reduced in the future, which would increase Objet's costs."

The combined company will initially be a foreign private issuer under the rules and regulations of the SEC and will therefore be exempt from a number of rules under the Exchange Act and will be permitted to file less information with the SEC than a domestic U.S. reporting company.

As a foreign private issuer under the Exchange Act initially following the merger, the combined company will be exempt from certain rules under the Exchange Act, including the proxy rules, which impose certain disclosure and procedural requirements for proxy solicitations. Moreover, the combined company will not be required to file periodic reports and financial statements with the SEC as frequently or as promptly as domestic U.S. companies with securities registered under the Exchange Act; and will not be required to comply with Regulation FD, which imposes certain restrictions on the selective disclosure of material information. In addition, the combined company's officers, directors and principal shareholders will be exempt from the reporting and "short-swing" profit recovery provisions of Section 16 of the Exchange Act and the rules under the Exchange Act with respect to their purchases and sales of the combined company's ordinary shares. Accordingly, after the merger, if you continue to hold the combined company's ordinary shares, you may receive less information about the combined company than you currently receive about Stratasys, and be afforded less protection under the U.S. federal securities laws than you are currently afforded.

As a foreign private issuer, the combined company will also be permitted, and intends, to follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of those otherwise required under the Listing Rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market for domestic U.S. issuers. For instance, the combined company intends to follow home country practice in Israel with regard to, among other things, composition of its board of directors (whereby a majority of the members of its board of directors will not need to be "independent directors," as is generally required for domestic U.S. issuers), director nomination procedure and approval of compensation of officers. In addition, the combined company may follow its home country law instead of the Listing Rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market that require that it obtain shareholder approval for certain dilutive events, such as the establishment or amendment of certain equity based compensation plans, an issuance that will result in a change of control of the company, certain transactions other than a public offering involving issuances of a 20% or greater interest in the company, and certain acquisitions of the stock or assets of another company. Following the combined company's home country governance practices as opposed to the requirements that would otherwise apply to a United States company listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market may provide you with less protection than you currently have as a stockholder of Stratasys.

The combined company's status as a foreign private issuer is subject to an annual review and test, and will be tested again as of June 28, 2013 (the last business day of the combined company's second fiscal quarter of 2013). If the combined company loses its status as a foreign private issuer, it will no longer be exempt from such rules. Among other things, beginning on January 1, 2014, the combined company would then be required to file periodic reports and financial statements as if it were a company incorporated in the U.S. The costs incurred in fulfilling these additional regulatory requirements could be substantial.

If, after the merger, the combined company is unable to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, as they apply to a foreign private issuer that is listed on a United States exchange for the first time, or if the combined company's internal controls over financial reporting are not effective, the reliability of the combined company's financial statements may be questioned and the combined company's share price may suffer.

After the completion of the merger, the combined company will be subject to the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, or Section 404, which requires a company that is subject to the

Table of Contents

reporting requirements of the U.S. securities laws to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of its and its subsidiaries' internal controls over financial reporting. To comply with this statute, the company will be required to document and test its internal control procedures, and its management will be required to assess and issue a report concerning its internal controls over financial reporting. In addition, to the extent that the combined company loses its status as an "emerging growth company" under the U.S. federal securities laws (as described in the following risk factor), as is expected to occur as of the end of the 2013 fiscal year, its independent registered public accounting firm will be required to issue an opinion on management's assessment of those matters pursuant to Section 404. These matters will first be tested (and this report will first be required to be issued, assuming loss of status as an "emerging growth company" as of the end of the 2013 fiscal year) in connection with the filing of the combined company's second annual report on Form 20-F after the merger, for the year ending December 31, 2013.

The combined company will need to prepare for compliance with Section 404 by strengthening, assessing and testing its system of internal controls to provide the basis for its management's report. However, the continuous process of strengthening the combined company's internal controls and complying with Section 404 is complicated and time-consuming. Furthermore, as the combined company's business continues to grow internationally, its internal controls will become more complex and will require significantly more resources and attention to ensure that its internal controls remain effective overall. Over the course of testing the combined company's internal controls, its management may identify material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, which may not be remedied in a timely manner to meet the deadline imposed by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. If the combined company's management cannot favorably assess the effectiveness of its internal controls over financial reporting, or if following the loss of the combined company's status as an "emerging growth company," its independent registered public accounting firm identifies material weaknesses in its internal controls, investor confidence in the combined company's financial results may weaken, and its share price may suffer.

With respect to the combined company's compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, Stratasys' management's evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting for 2011 did not include the internal controls related to the acquisition of Solidscape, Inc., which occurred on May 3, 2011. Total assets and net sales related to this acquisition represented 21.4% and 5.3%, respectively, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts of Stratasys as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011. Thus, there is no assurance that Stratasys or its independent registered accounting firm will not identify a material weakness in its internal controls or the internal controls of Solidscape. A material weakness in Stratasys' internal controls over financial reporting would require the combined company's management and, if it is not then an "emerging growth company," its independent registered public accounting firm to evaluate its internal controls as ineffective. If its internal controls over financial reporting are not considered adequate, the combined company may experience a loss of public confidence, which could have an adverse effect on the combined company's business and share price.

The combined company will initially be an "emerging growth company" and it cannot be certain whether the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies will make its ordinary shares less attractive to investors.

Objet is and, consequently, following the merger the combined company will initially be, an "emerging growth company," as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act, and it intends to take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not "emerging growth companies" including, but not limited to, not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The combined company cannot predict whether investors will find its ordinary shares less attractive because it will rely on these exemptions. If some investors find the

Table of Contents

combined company's ordinary shares less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for the ordinary shares and the price of the shares may be more volatile.

In addition, Section 107 of the JOBS Act also provides that an "emerging growth company" can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act and Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act for complying with new or revised accounting standards. In other words, an "emerging growth company" can delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. However, Objet is choosing to "opt out" of such extended transition period and, as a result, it and, following the merger, the combined company, will comply with new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for non-emerging growth companies. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that Objet's decision to opt out of the extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards is irrevocable.

See "Proposal One The Merger Combined Company Status as an Emerging Growth Company under U.S. Federal Securities Laws and Related Implications" below in this proxy statement/prospectus for more information.

The combined company may be a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes in 2012 or in any subsequent year. PFIC status may have negative tax consequences for U.S. taxpayers that are holders of the combined company's ordinary shares.

The combined company will be a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes in any taxable year in which either (i) at least 75% of its gross income is "passive income" or (ii) on average at least 50% of its assets produce, or are held for the production of, passive income. Based on the nature of the combined company's prospective business, the projected composition of its income and the projected composition and estimated fair market values of its assets, the combined company does not expect to be a PFIC in 2012 or a subsequent year. The combined company's status as a PFIC, however, is an annual determination that is made after the close of each taxable year and is dependent, among other things, on the results of its operations and the composition and value of its assets. Therefore, there can be no assurance that the combined company will not be a PFIC for 2012 or a subsequent year.

If the combined company is a PFIC in 2012, or any subsequent year, and a U.S. shareholder does not make a "mark-to-market" election, the shareholder will be subject to tax under the "excess distribution" regime. Under that regime, gain recognized on the sale or other disposition of the combined company's ordinary shares will be taxed at ordinary income tax rates and an interest charge will be added to the tax. Certain distributions will be taxed similarly (see "Proposal One The Merger Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger and the Holding and Disposing of Objet Ordinary Shares Received in the Merger" for a further discussion of the PFIC rules and the potential mark-to-market election that U.S. shareholders may be able to make).

The combined company will incur increased costs as a result of operating as a public company, and those members of its management who were not previously associated with Stratasys may be required to devote substantial time to compliance initiatives that are new to them, including Section 404 and other provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

As a public company whose shares will be listed in the United States, the combined company will incur accounting, legal and other expenses that Objet did not incur as a private company, particularly after the combined company no longer qualifies as an "emerging growth company." The combined company will incur costs associated with its public company reporting requirements. The combined company is also anticipated to incur costs associated with corporate governance requirements, including requirements under Section 404 and other provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, as well as rules implemented by the SEC and the NASDAQ Global Select Market, and provisions of Israeli corporate

Table of Contents

law applicable to public companies. While current Stratasys management members and directors who will hold similar positions at the combined company are familiar with these SEC and NASDAQ based requirements, and Stratasys has incurred related costs historically, Objet and its management and directors have not. Furthermore, not all of either existing company's management members and directors are familiar with the provisions of Israeli corporate law applicable to public companies. These rules and regulations will increase the Objet-related legal and financial compliance costs of the combined company, including for matters such as investor relations, stock exchange listing fees and shareholder reporting, thereby adversely impacting the combined company's margins.

Risks Related to Objet's Business and Stratasys' Business Prior to Completion of the Merger

Any of the following risk factors could cause Objet's or Stratasys' actual results to differ materially from historical results or anticipated results. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones that Objet or Stratasys faces, but represent the risks that each company believes are material. There may be additional risks that Objet or Stratasys currently considers not to be material or of which it is not currently aware, and any of those additional risks could cause Objet's or Stratasys' actual results to differ materially from historical results or anticipated results.

Risks related to Objet

Risks related to Objet's business

Objet may not be able to introduce new 3D printers and resin consumables acceptable to the market or to improve the technology and resin consumables used in Objet's current systems in response to changing technology and end-user needs.

Objet derives most of its revenues from the sale of 3D printers and related resin consumables (both modeling and support materials) for prototype development and customized manufacturing and general 3D printing applications. The 3D printing market is subject to innovation and technological change. A variety of technologies compete against one another for market share, which is, in part, driven by technological advances and end-user requirements and preferences, as well as the emergence of new standards and practices. Objet's ability to compete in the 3D printing market depends, in large part, on its success in enhancing and developing new 3D printers, its success in enhancing and adding to its PolyJet jetting technology and PolyJet Matrix technology, and its success in developing new resin consumables. Objet believes that to remain competitive it must continuously enhance and expand the functionality and features of its products and technologies. However, there is a risk that Objet may not be able to:

enhance its existing products and technologies;

continue to leverage advances in 2D inkjet head technologies;

develop new products and technologies that address the increasingly sophisticated and varied needs of prospective end-users, particularly with respect to the physical properties of resin consumables;

respond to technological advances and emerging industry standards and practices on a cost-effective and timely basis;

develop products that are cost-effective or that otherwise gain market acceptance;

recruit and retain key employees; or

adequately protect its intellectual property as it develops new products and technologies.

Table of Contents

If Objet's product mix shifts too far into lower margin products, Objet's profitability could be impaired.

Sales of Objet's high-end 3D printers and its resin consumables yield a greater gross margin than Objet's entry-level 3D printers. Objet started shipping entry-level 3D printers in 2009, and it anticipates that they will continue to grow as a percentage of the number of printers that it sells. If Objet's product mix shifts too far into lower margin products, and Objet is not able to sufficiently reduce the engineering and production costs associated with its entry-level 3D printers, Objet's profitability could be impaired.

Objet's business model is predicated on building an end-user base that will generate a recurring stream of revenues through the sale of its resin consumables. If that recurring stream of revenues does not develop as expected, or if Objet's business model changes as the industry evolves, Objet's operating results may be adversely affected.

Objet's business model is dependent on Objet's ability to maintain and increase sales of its proprietary resin consumables as they generate recurring revenues. Existing and future end-users of high-end 3D printers may not purchase resin consumables at the same rate at which end-users currently purchase those resin consumables, and as Objet expands its sales of entry-level 3D printers, end-users of entry-level printers may purchase a lower volume of resin consumables. If Objet's current and future end-users purchase a lower volume of resin consumables, Objet's recurring revenue stream would be reduced, and Objet's operating results would be adversely affected.

Objet's revenues and operating results may fluctuate.

Objet's revenues and operating results may fluctuate from quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year and are likely to continue to vary due to a number of factors, many of which are not within its control. A significant portion of Objet's orders are typically received during the last month of a quarter. Objet's printers typically are shipped shortly after orders are received. Thus, revenues and operating results for any future period are not predictable with any significant degree of certainty. Objet also typically experiences weaker demand for its printers in the first and third quarters. For these reasons, comparing Objet's operating results on a period-to-period basis may not be meaningful. You should not rely on Objet's past results as an indication of Objet's future performance.

Fluctuations in Objet's operating results and financial condition may occur due to a number of factors, including, but not limited to, those listed below and those identified throughout the "Risks Related to Objet" section:

the degree of market acceptance of Objet's products;

the mix of products that Objet sells during any period;

Objet's long sales cycle;

generally weaker demand for printers in the first and third quarters;

development of competitive systems by others;

Objet's response to price competition;

delays between Objet's expenditures to develop and market new or enhanced systems and resin consumables and the generation of sales from those products;

changes in the amount Objet spends to promote its products and services;

the geographic distribution of Objet's sales;

changes in the cost of satisfying Objet's warranty obligations and servicing its installed base of products;

Table of Contents

Objet's level of research and development activities and their associated costs and rates of success;

general economic and industry conditions that affect end-user demand and end-user levels of product design and manufacturing, including the adverse effects of the current economic crisis affecting Europe;

changes in accounting rules and tax laws; and

changes in interest rates that affect returns on Objet's cash balances and short-term investments.

Due to the foregoing factors, you should not rely on quarter-to-quarter or year-to-year comparisons of Objet's operating results as an indicator of its future performance.

The market in which Objet participates is competitive. Objet's failure to compete successfully could cause its revenues and demand for its products to decline.

Objet competes for end-users with a wide variety of producers of equipment that create models, prototypes, other 3D objects and end-use parts as well as producers of materials and services for this equipment. Other than Stratasys (and its subsidiary Solidscape and, through October 31, 2012, its distributor Hewlett-Packard Company, or HP), Objet's principal competition currently consists of other manufacturers of systems for prototype development and customized manufacturing processes, including 3D Systems Corporation, CMET, EOS Optronics GmbH, Z Corporation (which was acquired by 3D Systems Corporation), EnvisionTEC GmbH, and Solid Model Ltd. (the successor to the business of Solido Ltd.). In addition, there is a risk that consolidation among companies in the 3D printing industry could accelerate, whether in the form of acquisitions by, or strategic partnerships or marketing partnerships with, companies that may have significantly greater resources than Objet has, even if the merger occurs.

Some of Objet's current and potential competitors have larger installed bases of users, longer operating histories and more extensive name recognition than Objet (even after considering the benefits that Objet will reap from Stratasys' strengths in those areas). In addition, many of these competitors have significantly greater financial, marketing, manufacturing, distribution and other resources than Objet. Current and future competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in end-user demands and to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products than Objet. Objet's current and potential competitors may develop and market new technologies that render Objet's existing or future products obsolete, unmarketable or less competitive. In addition, if these competitors develop products with similar or superior functionality to Objet's products at prices comparable to or lower than Objet's, Objet may need to decrease the prices of its products in order to remain competitive. Objet cannot assure you that, even if the merger is consummated, it will be able to maintain or enhance its current competitive position or continue to compete successfully against current and future sources of competition.

Declines in product prices may adversely affect Objet's financial results.

Objet's business is subject to price competition. Such price competition may adversely affect Objet's ability to maintain profitability, especially during periods of decreased demand. If Objet is not able to offset price reductions resulting from these pressures by improved operating efficiencies and reduced expenditures, including as a result of the merger, those pricing reductions would adversely affect its operating results.

Table of Contents

If other manufacturers were to successfully develop and market resin consumables for use in Objet's 3D printers, Objet's revenues and profits would likely be adversely affected.

Objet's proprietary resin consumables are specifically designed for use with its 3D printers. However, Objet may become subject to competition from chemical companies or other producers of resins and other materials who may develop materials that are compatible with Objet's 3D printers in order to sell them to owners of Objet 3D printers in place of Objet's proprietary materials. To the extent that Objet's end-users purchase resin consumables from third parties, Objet could experience reduced sales of its resin consumables and could be forced to reduce prices for its proprietary consumable materials, either of which would impair its overall revenues and profitability.

If Objet's relationships with suppliers, especially with single source suppliers of components, were to terminate or its manufacturing arrangements were to be disrupted, its business could be interrupted.

Objet purchases from third-party suppliers components and sub-assemblies for its 3D printers and raw materials that are used in its resin consumables.

While there are several potential suppliers of the components and sub-assemblies for Objet's 3D printers and raw materials for its resin consumables, Objet currently chooses to use only one or a limited number of suppliers for several of these components and materials, including the printer heads for its 3D printers, for which it relies exclusively on a sole supplier, Ricoh Printing Systems America, Inc., or Ricoh. Under the terms of Objet's agreement with Ricoh, Objet purchases printer heads and associated electronic components, and receives a non-transferable, non-exclusive right to assemble, use and sell these purchased products under Ricoh's patent rights and trade secrets. See "Information About the Companies Objet's Business Manufacturing and Suppliers Ricoh Agreement" for further discussion of this agreement.

Objet's reliance on a single or limited number of vendors involves a number of risks, including:

potential shortages of some key components;

product performance shortfalls, if traceable to particular product components, since the supplier of the faulty component cannot readily be replaced;

potential insolvency of these vendors; and

reduced control over delivery schedules, manufacturing capabilities, quality and costs.

Objet requires any new supplier to become "qualified" pursuant to its internal procedures. The qualification process involves evaluations of varying durations, which may cause production delays if Objet were required to qualify a new supplier unexpectedly. Objet generally assembles its systems based on its internal forecasts and the availability of raw materials, assemblies, components and finished goods that are supplied to it by third parties, which are subject to various lead times. In addition, at any time, certain suppliers may decide to discontinue production of an assembly, component or raw material that Objet uses. Any unanticipated change in the availability of Objet's supplies, or unanticipated supply limitations, could cause delays in, or loss of, sales, increase production or related costs and consequently reduce margins, and damage Objet's reputation. Due to the risk of a discontinuation of the supply of Objet's printer heads and other key components of its products, Objet maintains excess inventory of printer heads and other components. However, if Objet's forecasts exceed actual orders, it may hold large inventories of slow-moving or unusable parts or resin consumables, which could result in inventory write offs or write downs and have an adverse effect on its cash flow, profitability and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Discontinuation of operations at Objet's manufacturing sites could prevent it from timely filling customer orders.

All assembly and testing of Objet's proprietary printing systems takes place at its Rehovot, Israel facility and all production of Objet's resin consumables takes place at its Kiryat Gat, Israel facility. Because of Objet's reliance on those production facilities, a disruption at either of those facilities could cripple Objet's ability to supply its 3D printers or consumable materials to the marketplace in a timely manner, adversely affecting its ability to generate revenues and potentially damaging its reputation.

A loss of, or reduction in revenues from, a significant number of Objet's independent sales agents or distributors would impair Objet's ability to sell its products and services and could reduce its revenues and adversely impact its operating results.

The majority of Objet's product sales are made through its network of independent sales agents and distributors. Objet relies heavily on these sales agents and distributors to sell its products to end-users in their respective geographic regions. These sales agents and distributors are not precluded from selling Objet's competitors' products in addition to Objet's. In addition, they may not be effective in selling Objet's products or servicing its end-users. Further, if a significant number of these sales agents and distributors were to terminate their relationship with Objet or otherwise fail or refuse to sell Objet's products, Objet may not be able to find replacements that are as qualified or as successful. If these independent sales agents and distributors do not perform as anticipated or if Objet is unable to find qualified and successful replacements, its sales will suffer, which would have a material adverse effect on its revenues and operating results. Additionally, a default by one or more independent distributors that have a significant receivables balance could have an adverse financial impact on Objet.

Objet may be subject to product liability claims, which could result in material expenses, diversion of management time and attention and damage to its reputation.

Products as complex as Objet's 3D printers may contain undetected defects or errors when first introduced or as enhancements are released that, despite testing, are not discovered until after a product has been used. This could result in delayed market acceptance of Objet's products, claims from distributors, end-users or others, increased end-user service and support costs and warranty claims, damage to Objet's reputation and business, or significant costs to correct the defect or error. Objet may from time to time become subject to warranty or product liability claims that could lead to significant expenses as Objet needs to compensate affected end-users for costs incurred related to product quality issues.

The sale and support of Objet's products entail the risk of product liability claims. Objet intends to expand sales of its products for use in medical and dental applications, which carry a heightened risk of product liability claims. In addition, certain hazardous chemicals used in the manufacture of Objet's products may expose it to a heightened risk of product liability claims. Specifically, those hazardous chemicals fall within three different categories (with several of the chemicals falling within multiple categories) irritants, harmful chemicals and chemicals dangerous for the environment.

Any product liability claim brought against Objet, regardless of its merit, could result in material expense, diversion of management time and attention and damage to Objet's reputation, and could cause Objet to fail to retain existing end-users or to attract new end-users. Although Objet maintains product liability insurance, such insurance is subject to significant deductibles and there is no guarantee that such insurance will be available or adequate to protect against all such claims, or Objet may elect to self-insure with respect to certain matters. Costs or payments made in connection with warranty and product liability claims and product recalls could materially affect Objet's financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Under applicable employment laws, Objet may not be able to enforce covenants not to compete and therefore may be unable to prevent its competitors from benefiting from the expertise of some of its former employees.

Objet generally enters into non-competition agreements with its employees. These agreements prohibit Objet's employees, if they cease working for Objet, from competing directly with it or working for its competitors or clients for a limited period. Objet may be unable to enforce these agreements under the laws of the jurisdictions in which its employees work and it may be difficult for Objet to restrict its competitors from benefiting from the expertise that its former employees or consultants developed while working for Objet. For example, Israeli courts have required employers seeking to enforce non-compete undertakings of a former employee to demonstrate that the competitive activities of the former employee will harm one of a limited number of material interests of the employer that have been recognized by the courts, such as the secrecy of a company's confidential commercial information or the protection of its intellectual property. If Objet cannot demonstrate that such interests will be harmed, it may be unable to prevent its competitors from benefiting from the expertise of its former employees or consultants and its ability to remain competitive may be diminished.

Objet is subject to extensive environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Objet's operations use chemicals and produce waste materials. Objet is subject to extensive environmental, health and safety laws and regulations in multiple jurisdictions governing, among other things, the use, storage, registration, handling and disposal of chemicals and waste materials, the presence of specified substances in electrical products, chemicals, air, water and ground contamination, air emissions and the cleanup of contaminated sites, including any contamination that results from spills due to Objet's failure to properly dispose of chemicals and waste materials. Under these laws and regulations, Objet could also be subject to liability for improper disposal of chemicals and waste materials resulting from the use of Objet's 3D printers and accompanying materials by end-users. These laws and regulations could potentially require the expenditure of significant amounts for compliance and/or remediation. If Objet fails to comply with such laws or regulations, it may be subject to fines and other civil, administrative or criminal sanctions, including the revocation of permits and licenses necessary to continue its business activities. In addition, Objet may be required to pay damages or civil judgments in respect of third-party claims, including those relating to personal injury (including exposure to hazardous substances that Objet uses, stores, handles, transports, manufactures or disposes of), property damage or contribution claims. Some environmental laws allow for strict, joint and several liability for remediation costs, regardless of comparative fault. Objet may be identified as a potentially responsible party under such laws. Such developments could have a material adverse effect on Objet's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Objet is subject to environmental laws due to the import and export of its products, which could subject it to compliance costs and/or potential liability in the event of non-compliance.

The export of Objet's products internationally from its production facilities in Israel subjects it to environmental laws and regulations concerning the import and export of chemicals and hazardous substances such as the United States Toxic Substances Control Act, or TSCA, and the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemical Substances, or REACH. These laws and regulations require the testing and registration of some chemicals that Objet ships along with, or that form a part of, Objet's 3D printers and other products. If Objet fails to comply with these or similar laws and regulations, it may be required to make significant expenditures to reformulate the chemicals that it uses in its products and materials or incur costs to register such chemicals to gain and/or regain compliance. Additionally, Objet could be subject to significant fines or other civil and criminal penalties should it not achieve such compliance.

Table of Contents

Objet is currently subject to a number of lawsuits. These and any future lawsuits to which it becomes subject may have a material adverse impact on its capitalization, business and results of operations.

Objet is currently party to three actions by former employees seeking the issuance of options. The first action relates to an alleged breach of certain undertakings made by it to a former employee. This employee is seeking an option to acquire 1.75% of Objet's outstanding shares, as well as monetary damages. The Israeli court hearing the case issued a verdict in Objet's favor in May 2011, but the former employee appealed the decision to the national labor court, where the appeal is scheduled to be heard in November 2012. The second action relates to a demand by a former employee, based on an alleged undertaking Objet had made, that Objet issue him an option that would allow him to maintain an equity interest of 1.45% in Objet and reimburse salary reductions he had suffered. This plaintiff has further demanded compensation on account of alleged wrongful termination. This action is currently ongoing and is being litigated in an Israeli labor court. The third action involves a claim by a former employee that he was promised 30,000 options to purchase Objet's ordinary shares under his employment agreement with Objet, entered into in the year 2000. This plaintiff is also seeking monetary damages to compensate for salary reductions during the period of his employment with Objet. This claim was filed in April 2011 in an Israeli labor court. Objet submitted its statement of defense with respect thereto in June 2011, and Objet and the plaintiff are scheduled to submit affidavits. No date has been set for cross-examinations.

Objet further received, in March 2012, letters from two minority shareholders and former directors, who hold (directly or indirectly) Objet ordinary shares, demanding that Objet amend its capitalization table, as it appeared in Objet's registration statement on Form F-1, in light of alleged acts of fraud, unauthorized issuances of securities and dilution of minority shareholders who did not participate in certain financing rounds of Objet during the years 2002-2003. In addition, one of these minority shareholders also claimed that Objet was effectively engaged in backdating, alleging that issuances of shares for cash investments in Objet that were made in 2006 and 2007 were actually made at a later date. These allegations have been repeated in subsequent letters and discussions between each of them and Objet. To date, no legal proceedings have been initiated by these minority shareholders with respect to the allegations that they raised. The basis for these allegations is described under "Objet's Business Legal Proceedings" elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus.

Objet can provide no assurance as to the outcome of these or any future matters or actions, and any such matters or actions may result in judgments against it for significant damages and/or the issuance of options to acquire shares of Objet's capital stock, the exercise of which would result in dilution to Objet's shareholders. Resolution of these matters can be prolonged and costly, and the ultimate results or judgments are uncertain due to the inherent uncertainty in litigation and other proceedings. Moreover, Objet's potential liabilities are subject to change over time due to new developments, changes in settlement strategy or the impact of evidentiary requirements. Regardless of the outcome, litigation has resulted in the past, and may result in the future, in significant legal expenses and require significant attention and resources of management. As a result, current and any future litigation could result in losses, damages and expenses that have a material adverse effect on Objet's business.

Risks related to Objet's intellectual property

If Objet is unable to obtain patent protection for its products or otherwise protect its intellectual property rights, its business could suffer.

Objet relies on a combination of patent and trademark laws in the United States and other countries, trade secret protection, confidentiality agreements and other contractual arrangements with its employees, end-users and others to maintain its competitive position. In particular, its success depends, in part, on its ability, and the ability of its licensors, to obtain patent protection for its and

Table of Contents

their products, technologies and inventions, maintain the confidentiality of its and their trade secrets and know-how, operate without infringing upon the proprietary rights of others and prevent others from infringing upon its and their proprietary rights.

Objet tries to protect its proprietary position by, among other things, filing United States, European, Israeli and other patent applications related to its proprietary products, technologies, inventions, processes and improvements that may be important to the continuing development of its product offerings. As of May 1, 2012, Objet's owned patent portfolio consisted of 64 granted patents and 65 pending patent applications (including foreign counterparts of both issued patents and pending patent applications) in addition to patents licensed from third parties. Objet has been granted patents in the United States, China, France, Germany, Italy, the UK, Spain, Austria, Belgium, Switzerland, Ireland and Hong Kong, and have pending patent applications in the United States, China, the European Union, Hong Kong and Japan, along with a U.S. provisional patent application and international applications pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

Despite Objet's efforts to protect its proprietary rights, it is possible that competitors or other unauthorized third parties may obtain, copy, use or disclose its technologies, inventions, processes or improvements. Objet cannot assure you that any of its existing or future patents or other intellectual property rights will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or will otherwise provide Objet with meaningful protection. Objet's pending patent applications may not be granted, and Objet may not be able to obtain foreign patents or pending applications corresponding to its U.S. patents. The laws of certain countries, such as China, where one of Objet's wholly-owned subsidiaries is located, do not provide the same level of patent protection as in the United States, so even if Objet asserts its patents or obtains additional patents in China or elsewhere outside of the United States, effective enforcement of such patents may not be available. If Objet's patents do not adequately protect Objet's technology, its competitors may be able to offer similar 3D printers or resin consumables. As described further below, Objet is subject to a cross-license agreement with a competitor, 3D Systems Corporation, pursuant to which that competitor practices certain of Objet's patents in its own products in a manner that is similar to and/or competitive with Objet's products. Objet's competitors may also be able to develop similar technology independently or design around Objet's patents, and Objet may not be able to detect the unauthorized use of its proprietary technology or take appropriate steps to prevent such use. Any of the foregoing events would lead to increased competition and lower revenues or gross margins, which could adversely affect Objet's operating results.

In addition, Objet's products and technology, including the technology Objet licenses from others, may infringe the intellectual property rights of third parties. Patent applications in the United States and most other countries are confidential for a period of time until they are published, and the publication of discoveries in scientific or patent literature typically lags actual discoveries by several months or more. As a result, the nature of claims contained in unpublished patent filings around the world is unknown to Objet, and it cannot be certain that it was the first to conceive inventions covered by its patents or patent applications or that it was the first to file patent applications covering such inventions. Furthermore, it is not possible to know in which countries patent holders may choose to extend their filings under the Patent Cooperation Treaty or other mechanisms. Any infringement by Objet or its licensors of the intellectual property rights of third parties may have a material adverse effect on Objet's business, financial condition and results of operations.

If Objet is unable to protect the confidentiality of its trade secrets or know-how, such proprietary information may be used by others to compete against it, in particular in developing resin consumables that could be used with its printing systems in place of Objet's proprietary resin consumables.

Objet has devoted substantial resources to the development of its technology, trade secrets, know-how and other unregistered proprietary rights. While Objet enters into confidentiality and invention assignment agreements intended to protect such rights, such agreements can be difficult and

Table of Contents

costly to enforce or may not provide adequate remedies if violated. Such agreements may be breached and confidential information may be willfully or unintentionally disclosed, or Objet's competitors or other parties may learn of the information in some other way. The disclosure to, or independent development by, a competitor of any of Objet's trade secrets, know-how or other technology not protected by a patent could materially reduce or eliminate any competitive advantage that Objet may have over such competitor.

This concern could manifest itself in particular with respect to Objet's proprietary resin consumables that are used with its 3D printers. Portions of Objet's proprietary resin consumables may not be afforded patent protection. Chemical companies or other producers of resins and other materials may be able to develop resin consumables that are compatible to a large extent with Objet's 3D printers, whether independently or in contravention of Objet's trade secret rights and related proprietary and contractual rights. If such resin consumables are made available to owners of Objet's 3D printers and are purchased in place of Objet's proprietary resin consumables, Objet's revenues and profitability would be reduced and Objet could be forced to reduce prices for its proprietary resin consumables.

Objet may incur substantial costs enforcing or acquiring intellectual property rights and defending against third-party claims as a result of litigation or other proceedings.

In connection with the enforcement of its intellectual property rights, the acquisition of third-party intellectual property rights or disputes related to the validity or alleged infringement of third-party intellectual property rights, including patent rights, Objet has been and may in the future be subject or party to claims, negotiations or complex, protracted litigation. Intellectual property disputes and litigation, regardless of merit, can be costly and disruptive to Objet's business operations by diverting attention and energies of management and key technical personnel, and by increasing Objet's costs of doing business. Objet may not prevail in any such dispute or litigation, and an adverse decision in any legal action involving intellectual property rights, including any such action commenced by Objet, could limit the scope of Objet's intellectual property rights and the value of the related technology. For example, in 2005 in settlement of prior patent litigation, Objet entered into a cross-licensing arrangement with 3D Systems Corporation, under which each party licensed certain patents of the other party, and Objet incurred royalty payment obligations (which have been paid in full based on Objet's net sales of printing equipment covered by the patents that it in-licensed).

Third-party claims of intellectual property infringement successfully asserted against Objet may require it to redesign infringing technology or enter into costly settlement or license agreements on terms that are unfavorable to it, prevent it from manufacturing or licensing certain of its products, subject it to injunctions restricting its sale of products and use of infringing technology, cause severe disruptions to its operations or the markets in which it competes, impose costly damage awards or require indemnification of its distributors and end-users. In addition, as a consequence of such claims, Objet may incur significant costs in acquiring the necessary third-party intellectual property rights for use in its products or developing non-infringing substitute technology. Any of the foregoing developments could seriously harm Objet's business.

Risks related to Objet's operations in Israel

Objet's headquarters, manufacturing and other significant operations are located in Israel and, therefore, Objet's results may be adversely affected by political, economic and military instability in Israel.

Objet's headquarters, manufacturing and research and development facilities and some of Objet's suppliers are located in central and southern Israel. In addition, Objet's key employees, officers and directors are residents of Israel. Accordingly, political, economic and military conditions in Israel may directly affect Objet's business. Since the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, a number of

Table of Contents

armed conflicts have taken place between Israel and its neighboring countries. Any hostilities involving Israel or the interruption or curtailment of trade between Israel and its trading partners could adversely affect Objet's operations and results of operations. During the winter of 2008-2009, Israel was engaged in an armed conflict with a militia group and political party that controls the Gaza Strip, and during the summer of 2006, Israel was engaged in an armed conflict with Hezbollah, a Lebanese Islamist Shiite militia group and political party. These conflicts involved missile strikes against civilian targets in various parts of Israel, including areas where some of Objet's manufacturing facilities are located, and negatively affected business conditions in Israel. Any armed conflicts, terrorist activities or political instability in the region could adversely affect business conditions and could harm Objet's results of operations and could make it more difficult for it to raise capital. Parties with whom Objet does business have sometimes declined to travel to Israel during periods of heightened unrest or tension, forcing it to make alternative arrangements when necessary in order to meet Objet's business partners face to face. In addition, the political and security situation in Israel may result in parties with whom Objet has agreements involving performance in Israel claiming that they are not obligated to perform their commitments under those agreements pursuant to force majeure provisions in such agreements.

Objet's commercial insurance does not cover losses that may occur as a result of an event associated with the security situation in the Middle East. Although the Israeli government is currently committed to covering the reinstatement value of direct damages that are caused by terrorist attacks or acts of war, Objet cannot assure you that this government coverage will be maintained, or if maintained, will be sufficient to compensate Objet fully for damages incurred. Any losses or damages incurred by Objet could have a material adverse effect on its business. Any armed conflicts or political instability in the region would likely negatively affect business conditions generally and could harm Objet's results of operations.

Objet's operations may be disrupted as a result of the obligation of management or key personnel to perform military service.

Many of Objet's male employees in Israel, including members of Objet's senior management, are obligated to perform one month, and in some cases longer periods, of annual military reserve duty until they reach the age of 45 (or older, for citizens who hold certain positions in the Israeli armed forces reserves), and, in the event of a military conflict, may be called to active duty. In response to increases in terrorist activity, there have been periods of significant call-ups of military reservists, and some of Objet's employees have been called up in connection with armed conflicts. It is possible that there will be similar large-scale military reserve duty call-ups in the future. Objet's operations could be disrupted by the absence of a significant number of Objet's employees or of one or more of Objet's key employees. Such disruption could materially adversely affect Objet's business and operations.

Exchange rate fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and the New Israeli Shekel, the Euro and other non-U.S. currencies may negatively affect Objet's earnings.

Although most of Objet's revenues and a portion of its expenses are denominated in U.S. dollars, substantially all of Objet's manufacturing, research and development expenses, as well as a portion of its cost of revenues, selling and marketing, and general and administrative expenses, are incurred in New Israeli Shekels. As a result, Objet is exposed to the risks that the New Israeli Shekel may appreciate relative to the U.S. dollar, or, if the New Israeli Shekel instead devalues relative to the U.S. dollar, that the inflation rate in Israel may exceed such rate of devaluation of the New Israeli Shekel, or that the timing of such devaluation may lag behind inflation in Israel. In any such event, the U.S. dollar cost of Objet's operations in Israel would increase and Objet's U.S. dollar-denominated results of operations would be adversely affected. Objet cannot predict any future trends in the rate of inflation in Israel or the rate of devaluation (if any) of the New Israeli Shekel against the U.S. dollar. The Israeli rate of inflation amounted to 3.9%, 2.7% and 2.2% for the years ended December 31, 2009,

Table of Contents

2010 and 2011, respectively. If the U.S. dollar cost of Objet's operations in Israel increases, Objet's dollar-measured results of operations will be adversely affected. Objet's operations also could be adversely affected if it is unable to effectively hedge against currency fluctuations in the future. The appreciation (devaluation) of the New Israeli Shekel in relation to the U.S. dollar amounted to 0.7%, 6.0% and (7.7)% for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Although most of Objet's revenues and a portion of its expenses are denominated in U.S. dollars, it does have substantial revenues and expenses that are denominated in other currencies (besides the New Israeli Shekel), particularly the Euro. Therefore, Objet's operating results and cash flows are also subject to fluctuations due to changes in the relative values of the U.S. dollar and these foreign currencies. These fluctuations could negatively affect Objet's operating results and could cause its revenues and net income or loss to vary from quarter to quarter. Furthermore, to the extent that Objet increases its revenues in regions such as Asia Pacific, where its sales are denominated in U.S. dollars, a strengthening of the dollar versus other currencies could make its products less competitive in those foreign markets and collection of receivables more difficult.

Objet recently began engaging in currency hedging activities. These measures, however, may not adequately protect it from material adverse effects due to the impact of inflation in Israel or from fluctuations in the relative values of the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies in which Objet transacts business, and may result in a financial loss, such as Objet experienced in 2011. For further information, please see "Objet management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations" elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus.

The government tax benefits that Objet currently receives require it to meet several conditions and may be terminated or reduced in the future, which would increase Objet's costs.

Some of Objet's operations in Israel, referred to as "Approved Enterprises" and "Benefited Enterprises," carry certain tax benefits under the Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 5719-1959, or the Investment Law. Based on an evaluation of the relevant factors under the Investment Law, including the level of foreign (that is, non-Israeli) investment in the company, Objet has determined that its effective tax rate to be paid with respect to all Israeli operations under these benefits programs is 8 - 9%, based on the current balance of activity between Objet's Rehovot, Israel and Kiryat Gat, Israel facilities and the available level of benefits under the law. If Objet does not meet the requirements for maintaining these benefits, they may be reduced or cancelled and the relevant operations would be subject to Israeli corporate tax at the standard rate, which is currently set at 25% for 2012 and onwards. In addition to being subject to the standard corporate tax rate, Objet could be required to refund any tax benefits that it has already received, plus interest and penalties thereon. Even if Objet continues to meet the relevant requirements, the tax benefits that its current "Approved Enterprise" and "Benefited Enterprise" receive may not be continued in the future at their current levels or at all. If these tax benefits were reduced or eliminated, the amount of taxes that Objet pays would likely increase, as all of its operations would consequently be subject to corporate tax at the standard rate, which could adversely affect its results of operations. Additionally, if Objet increases its activities outside of Israel, for example, via acquisitions, its increased activities may not be eligible for inclusion in Israeli tax benefit programs.

In the past, Objet received Israeli government grants for certain of its research and development activities. The terms of those grants may require Objet to satisfy specified conditions in order to manufacture products and transfer technologies outside of Israel. Objet may be required to pay penalties in addition to repayment of the grants.

Objet's research and development efforts were financed in part, in the past, through grants that Objet received from Israel's Office of the Chief Scientist of the Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor, or OCS. Through 2006, Objet received approximately \$1.5 million, which it repaid in its entirety

Table of Contents

(including interest thereon) by the end of 2007. Notwithstanding the full repayment of these OCS grants, Objet nevertheless must continue to comply with the requirements of the Israeli Law for the Encouragement of Industrial Research and Development, 1984, and related regulations, or the Research Law, with respect to those past grants. When a company develops know-how, technology or products using OCS grants, the terms of these grants and the Research Law restrict the transfer of such know-how, and the transfer of manufacturing or manufacturing rights of such products, technologies or know-how outside of Israel, without the prior approval of the OCS. Therefore, if aspects of Objet's technologies are deemed to have been developed with OCS funding, the discretionary approval of an OCS committee would be required for any transfer to third parties outside of Israel of know how or manufacturing or manufacturing rights related to those aspects of such technologies. Objet may not receive those approvals. Furthermore, the OCS may impose certain conditions on any arrangement under which it permits Objet to transfer technology or development out of Israel.

The transfer of OCS-supported technology or know-how outside of Israel may involve the payment of significant amounts, depending upon the value of the transferred technology or know-how, the amount of OCS support, the time of completion of the OCS-supported research project and other factors. These restrictions and requirements for payment may impair Objet's ability to sell its technology assets outside of Israel or to outsource or transfer development or manufacturing activities with respect to any product or technology outside of Israel. Furthermore, the consideration available to Objet's shareholders in a transaction involving the transfer outside of Israel of technology or know-how developed with OCS funding (such as a merger or similar transaction) may be reduced by any amounts that Objet is required to pay to the OCS.

Risks related to Stratasys

Risks related to Stratasys' business and financial condition

Stratasys may not be able to introduce new high-performance systems, 3D printing systems and materials acceptable to the market or to improve the technology and software used in its current systems.

Stratasys' ability to compete for high-performance and 3D printing customers depends, in large part, on its success in enhancing its existing product lines and in developing new products. Even if Stratasys successfully enhances existing systems or creates new systems, it is likely that new systems and technologies that it develops will eventually supplant its existing systems or that its competitors will create systems that will replace its systems. The rapid prototyping, or RP industry, in which Stratasys operates is subject to rapid and substantial innovation and technological change. Stratasys may be unsuccessful at enhancing existing systems or developing new systems or materials on a timely basis, and any of Stratasys' products may be rendered obsolete or uneconomical by Stratasys' or others' technological advances.

If 3D printing customers do not continue to accept Stratasys' systems, or if Stratasys' Fortus high-performance systems do not meet the needs for direct digital manufacturing, or DDM, applications, Stratasys' revenues may stagnate or decline.

Stratasys derives a substantial portion of its sales from the sale of 3D printers and Fortus 3D production systems. If the demand for 3D printers or high-performance systems declines or if competitors introduce products that compete successfully against Stratasys' products, Stratasys may not be able to sustain the sales of those products. If that happens, Stratasys' revenues may not increase and could decline.

Table of Contents

If Stratasys is unable to maintain revenues and gross margins from sales of its existing products, its profitability will be adversely affected.

Stratasys' current strategy is to attempt to manage the prices of its high-performance systems and 3D printers to expand the market adoption and increase sales. In conjunction with that strategy, Stratasys is constantly seeking to reduce its direct manufacturing costs as well. Stratasys' engineering and selling, general and administrative expenses, however, generally do not vary substantially in relation to its sales. Accordingly, if Stratasys' strategy is successful and it increases its revenues while maintaining its gross margins, its operating profits generally will increase faster as a percentage of revenues than the percentage increase in revenues. Conversely, if Stratasys' revenues or gross margins decline, Stratasys' operating profits generally will decline faster than the decline in revenues or gross margins. Therefore, declines in Stratasys' revenues may lead to disproportionate reductions in Stratasys' operating profits.

If Stratasys' present single or limited source suppliers become unavailable or inadequate, Stratasys' customer relationships, results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Stratasys maintains an inventory for most of its necessary supplies, which facilitates the assembly of its systems and the manufacture of its consumables. While most components for Stratasys' systems and materials and compounds for its consumables are available from multiple suppliers, certain of those items are only available from single or limited sources. Should any of Stratasys' present single or limited source suppliers become unavailable or inadequate, Stratasys would be required to spend a significant amount of time and expense to develop alternate sources of supply. That would also require Stratasys to re-qualify any product supplied by one or more new vendors. Accordingly, the loss of a supplier with vendor-specific components, materials or compounds could result in a delay in the manufacture and delivery of Stratasys' systems or consumables. In addition, if Stratasys were unable to find a suitable supplier for a particular component, material or compound, it could be required to modify its existing products to accommodate substitute components, material or compounds. As a result, the loss of a single or limited source supplier and resulting delays in delivery could adversely affect Stratasys' relationship with its customers and Stratasys' results of operations and financial condition.

If other manufacturers were to successfully develop and market consumables for use in Stratasys' systems, Stratasys' revenues and profits could be adversely affected.

Stratasys presently sells substantially all of the consumables that its customers use in its systems. However, even though Stratasys attempts to protect against replication of its consumables through patents and trade secrets and provides that its warranties are valid only if customers use consumables that Stratasys certifies, it is possible that other manufacturers could increase their development of consumables that could be used successfully in Stratasys' systems. If Stratasys' customers were to purchase consumables from other manufacturers, Stratasys would lose some of its sales and could be forced to reduce prices, which would impair its overall revenue and profitability.

If Stratasys fails to grow its RedEye paid parts service as anticipated, its net sales and profitability will be adversely affected.

Stratasys is attempting to grow its RedEye paid parts service substantially. To this end, it has made significant infrastructure, technological and sales and marketing investments. These investments include a dedicated facility, increased staffing, use of a substantial number of its Fortus 3D Production Systems exclusively for Paid Parts, and the development and launch of its RedEye on Demand service, which enables customers to obtain quotes for and order parts over the Internet. If Stratasys' RedEye paid parts service does not generate the level of sales required to support Stratasys' investment, Stratasys'

Table of Contents

net sales and profitability will be adversely affected. Stratasys' competitors' consolidation efforts in the service bureau industry may also adversely affect RedEye's efforts to grow.

If any of Stratasys' manufacturing facilities is disrupted, sales of Stratasys' products will be disrupted, and Stratasys could incur unforeseen costs.

Stratasys performs the final assembly of its 3D printers and high-performance systems and manufactures its filament at its facilities in Eden Prairie, Minnesota. Stratasys' Solidscape subsidiary manufactures its 3D printers at a single facility in Merrimack, New Hampshire. If the operations of any of these facilities are disrupted, Stratasys would be unable to fulfill customer orders for the period of the disruption. Stratasys would not be able to recognize revenue on orders that it could not ship, and it might need to modify its standard sales terms to secure the commitment of new customers during the period of the disruption and perhaps longer. Depending on the cause of the disruption, Stratasys could incur significant costs to remedy the disruption and resume product shipments. Such a disruption could have a material adverse effect on Stratasys' revenue, results of operations and earnings.

Stratasys owns most of its manufacturing and office facilities, which may limit its ability to move its operations. If Stratasys were to move some or all of its operations, it could incur unforeseen charges.

Stratasys owns four buildings in Eden Prairie, Minnesota, which it uses to conduct most of its manufacturing and assembly operations. Ownership of these buildings may adversely affect Stratasys' ability to move some or all of its operations to other locations that may be more favorable. If Stratasys were to move any of its operations to other locations, it may have difficulty selling or leasing the property that it vacates. This could result in an impairment charge, which could have a material adverse effect on Stratasys' results of operations in one or more periods.

The loss of a significant number of Stratasys' resellers would impair its ability to sell and service its products and could result in a reduction of sales and net income.

Stratasys sells all of its products through resellers. Stratasys relies heavily on these resellers to sell its products to end users in their respective geographic regions and relies exclusively on resellers to service Stratasys' products outside of the United States. If a significant number of those resellers were to terminate their relationship with Stratasys or otherwise fail or refuse to sell or service Stratasys' products, Stratasys may not be able to find replacements that are as qualified or as successful in selling or servicing its products. If Stratasys is unable to find qualified and successful replacements, its sales will suffer, which would have a material adverse effect on its net income.

If Stratasys' goodwill becomes impaired, it may be required to record a significant charge to earnings.

As of December 31, 2011, the book value of Stratasys' goodwill was approximately \$25.4 million, most of which was recorded as a result of Stratasys' acquisition of Solidscape. Accounting rules require Stratasys to take a charge against its earnings to the extent that goodwill is impaired. Accordingly, market conditions or other factors related to Stratasys' performance could result in a material impairment of Stratasys' goodwill and attendant charge against Stratasys' earnings, which could have a material adverse effect on Stratasys' results of operations.

If Stratasys' intangible assets become impaired, it may be required to record a significant charge to earnings.

As of December 31, 2011, the net book value of Stratasys' other intangible assets was approximately \$25.3 million. Accounting rules require Stratasys to take a charge against its earnings to the extent that any of these intangible assets were to be impaired. Accordingly, invalidation of Stratasys' patents, trademarks or other intellectual property or the impairment of other intangible assets

Table of Contents

due to litigation, obsolescence, competitive factors or other reasons could result in a material charge against Stratasys' earnings and have a material adverse effect on Stratasys' results of operations.

If Stratasys' investments become impaired, Stratasys may be required to record a significant charge to earnings.

Stratasys' investments include one tax-free auction rate security and municipal government bonds and commercial bonds. Given the current volatility in interest rates and the potential impact of higher interest rates on the issuers of these securities, a significant increase in interest rates could impair the ability of one or more issuers to pay interest on, or principal of, these obligations. Defaults by these issuers or their insurers could cause an impairment of the value of Stratasys' investments, resulting in a charge against Stratasys' earnings. Any such charge could have a material adverse effect on Stratasys' results of operations.

Estimating Stratasys' income tax rate is complex and subject to uncertainty.

The computation of income tax expense (benefit) is complex because it is based on the laws of numerous taxing jurisdictions and requires significant judgment on the application of complicated rules governing accounting for tax provisions under U.S. GAAP. Income tax expense (benefit) for interim quarters is based on a forecast of Stratasys' global tax rate for the year, which includes forward looking financial projections. Such financial projections are based on numerous assumptions, including the expectations of profit and loss by jurisdiction. It is difficult to accurately forecast various items that make up the projections, and such items may be treated as discrete accounting. Examples of items that could cause variability in Stratasys' income tax rate include Stratasys' mix of income by jurisdiction, tax deductions for stock option expense, the application of transfer pricing rules, and tax audits. Future events, such as changes in Stratasys' business and the tax law in the jurisdictions where Stratasys does business, could also affect Stratasys' rate. For these reasons, Stratasys' global tax rate may be materially different than its estimates.

If Stratasys does not generate sufficient future taxable income, it may be required to recognize deferred tax asset valuation allowances.

The value of Stratasys' deferred tax assets depends, in part, on Stratasys' ability to use them to offset taxable income in future years. If Stratasys is unable to generate sufficient future taxable income in the U.S. and certain other jurisdictions, or if there are significant changes in tax laws or the tax rates or the period within which the underlying temporary differences become taxable or deductible, Stratasys could be required to record valuation allowances against its deferred tax assets. Such allowances would result in an increase in Stratasys' effective tax rate and have a negative impact on Stratasys' operating results. If Stratasys' estimated future taxable income is increased, the valuation allowances for deferred tax assets may be reduced. These changes may also contribute to the volatility of Stratasys' financial results.

Failure to comply with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act or other applicable anti-corruption legislation could result in fines, criminal penalties and an adverse effect on Stratasys' business.

Stratasys operates in a number of countries throughout the world, including countries known to have a reputation for corruption. It is committed to doing business in accordance with applicable anti-corruption laws. Stratasys is subject, however, to the risk that its affiliated entities or its and its affiliates' respective officers, directors, employees and agents may take action determined to be in violation of such anti-corruption laws, including the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 and the U.K. Bribery Act of 2010, as well as trade sanctions administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control and the U.S. Department of Commerce. Any such violation could result in substantial fines, sanctions, civil and/or criminal penalties, curtailment of operations in certain jurisdictions, and might

Table of Contents

adversely affect Stratasys' results of operations. In addition, actual or alleged violations could damage Stratasys' reputation and ability to do business.

Default in payment by one or more resellers that have large account receivable balances could adversely impact Stratasys' results of operations and financial condition.

From time to time, Stratasys' accounts receivable balances have been concentrated with certain resellers. Default by one or more of these resellers or customers could result in a significant charge against Stratasys' current reported earnings. Stratasys has reviewed its policies that govern credit and collections, and will continue to monitor them in light of current payment status and economic conditions. However, there can be no assurance that Stratasys' efforts to identify potential credit risks will be successful. Stratasys' inability to timely identify resellers that are credit risks could result in defaults at a time when such resellers have high accounts receivable balances with it. Such a default would result in a significant charge against Stratasys' earnings and adversely affect Stratasys' results of operations and financial condition.

Risks related to Stratasys' intellectual property

Stratasys' failure to expand its intellectual property portfolio could adversely affect the growth of its business and its results of operations.

Expansion of Stratasys' intellectual property portfolio is one of the available methods of growing Stratasys' revenues and profits. This involves a complex and costly set of activities with uncertain outcomes. Stratasys' ability to obtain patents and other intellectual property can be adversely affected by insufficient inventiveness of Stratasys' employees, by changes in intellectual property laws, treaties, and regulations, and by judicial and administrative interpretations of those laws, treaties and regulations. Stratasys' ability to expand its intellectual property portfolio could also be adversely affected by the lack of valuable intellectual property for sale or license at affordable prices. There is no assurance that Stratasys will be able to obtain valuable intellectual property in the jurisdictions where it and its competitors operate or that it will be able to use or license that intellectual property.

Stratasys may not be able to adequately protect or enforce its intellectual property rights, which could impair its competitive position.

Stratasys' success and future revenue growth will depend, in part, on Stratasys' ability to protect its intellectual property. Stratasys relies primarily on patents, trademarks and trade secrets, as well as non-disclosure agreements and other methods, to protect its proprietary technologies and processes globally. Despite Stratasys' efforts to protect its proprietary technologies and processes, it is possible that competitors or other unauthorized third parties may obtain, copy, use or disclose its technologies and processes. Stratasys cannot assure you that any of its existing or future patents will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. As such, any rights granted under these patents may not provide Stratasys with meaningful protection. Stratasys may not be able to obtain foreign patents or pending applications corresponding to its U.S. patent applications. Even if foreign patents are granted, effective enforcement in foreign countries may not be available. If Stratasys' patents and other intellectual property do not adequately protect its technology, Stratasys' competitors may be able to offer products similar to Stratasys'. Stratasys' competitors may also be able to develop similar technology independently or design around Stratasys' patents. Any of the foregoing events would lead to increased competition and lower revenue or gross margins, which would adversely affect Stratasys' net income.

Table of Contents

Stratasys may be subject to alleged infringement claims.

Although Stratasys performs extensive patent and trademark searches, it may be subject to intellectual property infringement claims from individuals, vendors and other companies who have acquired or developed patents in the fields of 3D printing or consumable production for purposes of developing competing products or for the sole purpose of asserting claims against it. Any claims that Stratasys' products or processes infringe the intellectual property rights of others, regardless of the merit or resolution of such claims, could cause it to incur significant costs in responding to, defending and resolving such claims, and may prohibit or otherwise impair Stratasys' ability to commercialize new or existing products. If Stratasys is unable to effectively defend its processes, its market share, sales and profitability could be adversely impacted.

As Stratasys' patents expire, additional competitors using its technology could enter the market, which could require it to reduce its prices and result in a reduction of its market share. Competitors' introduction of lower quality products using Stratasys' technology could also negatively affect the reputation and image of Stratasys' products in the marketplace.

The initial patents for Stratasys' technology began expiring in 2011. Upon expiration of those patents, Stratasys' competitors may introduce products using the same technology as Stratasys that have lower prices than those for Stratasys' products. To compete, Stratasys may need to reduce its prices, which would adversely affect its revenues, margins and profitability. Additionally, the expiration of Stratasys' patents could reduce barriers to entry into additive fabrication systems, which could result in the reduction of Stratasys' sales and earnings potential. If competitors using FDM technology were to introduce products of inferior quality, Stratasys' potential customers may view Stratasys' products negatively, which would have an adverse effect on Stratasys' image and reputation and on its ability to compete with systems using other additive fabrication technologies.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This proxy statement/prospectus contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical facts contained in this proxy statement/prospectus, including statements regarding Objet's, Stratasys' or the combined company's future financial position, business strategy and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "believe," "may," "estimate," "continue," "anticipate," "intend," "should," "plan," "expect," "predict," "potential," or the negative of these terms or other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, Stratasys' or Objet's expectations concerning the outlook for their or the combined company's business, productivity, plans and goals for future operational improvements and capital investments, operational performance, future market conditions or economic performance and developments in the capital and credit markets and expected future financial performance, as well as any information concerning possible or assumed future results of operations of the combined company as set forth in the sections of this proxy statement/prospectus titled "Proposal One The Merger Stratasys' Reasons for the Merger," "Proposal One The Merger Objet's Reasons for the Merger," and "Proposal One The Merger Opinion of Stratasys' Financial Advisor." Forward-looking statements also include statements regarding the expected benefits of the proposed merger between Stratasys and Objet.

Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, and actual results or events may differ materially from those projected or implied in those statements. Important factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

whether the merger will be completed;

whether the expected financial condition, results of operations, earnings outlook and prospects of Objet, Stratasys and the combined company will be achieved;

whether the expected benefits and synergies of the merger will be fully realized and within the expected time frame;

whether the merger between Stratasys and Objet will complement each company's existing portfolio of 3D printing and other additive fabrication solutions and create a single company with a greater market share than the mere sum of each individual company's existing market share; and

the other matters described in the section titled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 17.

In addition, the merger between Stratasys and Objet is subject to the satisfaction of the conditions to the completion of the merger set forth in the merger agreement and the absence of events that could give rise to the termination of the merger agreement, the possibility that the merger does not close, and risks that the proposed merger disrupts current plans and operations and business relationships, or poses difficulties in attracting or retaining employees for either or both of Objet and Stratasys.

Objet and Stratasys caution you against placing undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect current beliefs and are based on information currently available as of the date a forward-looking statement is made. Forward-looking statements set forth herein speak only as of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus. Neither Objet nor Stratasys undertakes any obligation to revise forward-looking statements to reflect future events, changes in circumstances, or changes in beliefs. In the event that any forward-looking statement is updated, no inference should be made that Objet or Stratasys will make additional updates with respect to that statement, related matters, or any other forward-looking statements. Any corrections or revisions and other important assumptions and factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements, including

Table of Contents

discussions of significant risk factors, may appear, up to the consummation of the merger, in Stratasys' public filings with the SEC or, upon and following the consummation of the merger, in Objet's public filings with the SEC, which are or will be (as appropriate) accessible at www.sec.gov, and which you are advised to consult. For additional information, please see the section titled "Where You Can Find More Information" and "Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference" beginning on page 241.

Table of Contents

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS CONCERNING THE
SPECIAL MEETING OF STRATASYS STOCKHOLDERS**

How do I attend the Stratasys special meeting?

You are invited to attend the special meeting to vote on the proposals described in this proxy statement/prospectus. The special meeting will be held on [], 2012, at [] local time at [], Minnesota []. Directions to the special meeting may be found [] or by contacting Investor Relations at Stratasys, Inc., 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344, Attn: Shane Glenn Director Investor Relations. Stratasys' website and the information contained therein are not incorporated into this proxy statement/prospectus. Information on how to vote in person at the special meeting is discussed below. However, you do not need to attend the special meeting to vote your shares.

Who can vote at the Stratasys special meeting?

Only Stratasys stockholders of record at the close of business on Thursday, August 2, 2012 will be entitled to vote at the special meeting. On this record date, there were 21,336,451 shares of Stratasys common stock issued and outstanding.

Stockholders of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If on Thursday, August 2, 2012 your shares were registered directly in your name with the Stratasys' transfer agent, Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company, then you are a stockholder of record. As a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at the special meeting or submit your proxy to have your shares voted at the special meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting, Stratasys urges you to submit your proxy over the telephone or on the Internet as instructed below, or fill out and return a proxy card.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of a Broker, Bank or Other Nominee

If on Thursday, August 2, 2012 your shares were held not in your name, but rather in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" and this proxy statement/prospectus is being sent to you by that organization. The organization holding your account is considered to be the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the special meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or other agent regarding how to vote the shares in your account by following the instructions that the broker, bank or other nominee provides you along with this proxy statement/prospectus. You are also invited to attend the special meeting. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the special meeting unless you request and obtain a valid proxy from your broker or other agent.

What am I voting on?

There are three matters scheduled for a vote at the special meeting:

Proposal to adopt the merger agreement (Proposal 1);

Proposal to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, certain compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers in connection with the merger (Proposal 2); and

Proposal to approve the adjournment of the special meeting (if necessary or appropriate) to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the Stratasys special

Table of Contents

meeting, or at any adjournment or postponement of that meeting, to adopt the merger agreement (Proposal 3).

What are the voting recommendations of the Stratasys board of directors?

The Stratasys board of directors recommends that you vote your shares:

"FOR" adoption of the merger agreement (Proposal 1);

"FOR" approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of certain compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers in connection with the merger (Proposal 2); and

"FOR" adjournment of the special meeting (if necessary or appropriate) to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the Stratasys special meeting, or at any adjournment or postponement of that meeting, to adopt the merger agreement (Proposal 3).

What if another matter is properly brought before the special meeting?

The Stratasys board of directors knows of no other matters that will be presented for consideration at the special meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the special meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on those matters in accordance with their best judgment.

How do I vote?

For each of the proposals, you may vote "FOR" or "AGAINST", or you may abstain from voting.

Stockholders of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at the special meeting, you may vote by proxy using the enclosed proxy card, or you may submit your proxy over the telephone or on the Internet as instructed below. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting, Stratasys urges you to submit your proxy to ensure your vote is counted. You may still attend the special meeting and vote in person even if you have already submitted your proxy.

To vote in person, come to the special meeting and we will give you a ballot when you arrive.

To submit a proxy card, simply complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it promptly in the envelope provided. If you return your signed proxy card to Stratasys before the special meeting, the proxy holders will vote your shares as you direct.

To submit your proxy by telephone, dial toll-free 1-800-690-6903 within the United States, U.S. territories and Canada using a touch-tone phone and follow the recorded instructions. You will be asked to provide the company number and control number from the enclosed proxy card. Your proxy must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on [] 2012 to be counted.

To submit your proxy through the Internet, go to www.proxyvote.com to complete an electronic proxy card. You will be asked to provide the company number and control number from the enclosed proxy card. Your vote must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on [] 2012 to be counted.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of Broker, Bank or Other Nominee

If you are a beneficial owner of shares registered in the name of your broker, bank, or other nominee, you should have received a proxy statement/prospectus along with voting instructions from that organization rather than from Stratasys. Simply follow the voting instructions provided by your

Table of Contents

broker, bank, or other nominee to ensure that your vote is counted. Alternatively, you may submit your proxy by telephone or over the Internet as instructed by your broker or bank. To vote in person at the special meeting, you must obtain a valid proxy from your broker, bank, or other nominee. Follow the voting instructions provided by your broker, bank, or other nominee and included with this proxy statement/prospectus, or contact your broker, bank or other agent to request a proxy form.

Stratasys provides Internet proxy voting to allow you to submit your proxy online, with procedures designed to ensure the authenticity and correctness of your proxy instructions. However, please be aware that you must bear any costs associated with your Internet access, such as usage charges from Internet access providers and telephone companies.

How many votes do I have?

On each matter to be voted upon, you have one vote for each share of Stratasys common stock you own as of Thursday, August 2, 2012.

What if I return a proxy card or otherwise vote but do not make specific choices?

Stockholder of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If you are a stockholder of record and you indicate when submitting your proxy on the Internet or by telephone that you wish to vote as recommended by the Stratasys board of directors, which recommendations are summarized under "*What are the voting recommendations of the Stratasys board of directors?*" above, or if you sign and return a proxy card without giving specific voting instructions, then the proxy holders will vote your shares in the manner recommended by the Stratasys board of directors on all matters presented in this proxy statement/prospectus and as the proxy holders may determine in their discretion with respect to any other matters properly presented for a vote at the special meeting.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of a Broker, Bank or Other Nominee

If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" and you do not provide the organization that holds your shares with specific instructions, under the rules of various national and regional securities exchanges, the organization that holds your shares may generally vote on routine matters but cannot vote on non-routine matters. If the organization that holds your shares does not receive instructions from you on how to vote your shares on a non-routine matter, the organization that holds your shares will inform the inspector of elections for the special meeting that it does not have the authority to vote on this matter with respect to your shares. This is generally referred to as a "broker non-vote." When Stratasys' inspector of elections tabulates the votes for any particular matter, broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present, but will not be counted toward the vote total for any proposal. Stratasys expects that each of the proposals presented at the special meeting will be considered non-routine matters, so Stratasys encourages you to provide voting instructions to the organization that holds your shares to ensure that your vote is counted on all three proposals.

Who is paying for this proxy solicitation?

Stratasys will pay for the entire cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to this proxy statement/prospectus, Stratasys' directors and employees may also solicit proxies in person, by telephone, or by other means of communication. Directors and employees will not be paid any additional compensation for soliciting proxies. Stratasys may also reimburse brokerage firms, banks and other agents for the cost of forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners.

Table of Contents

What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy statement/prospectus?

If you receive more than one proxy statement/prospectus, your shares may be registered in more than one name or are registered in different accounts. Please follow the voting instructions included with each proxy statement/prospectus to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

Can I change my vote after submitting my proxy?

Yes. You can revoke your proxy at any time before the final vote at the special meeting. If you are the record holder of your shares, you may revoke your proxy in any one of the following ways:

You may submit another properly completed proxy card with a later date.

You may grant a subsequent proxy by telephone or through the Internet.

You may send a timely written notice that you are revoking your proxy to the Stratasys' Secretary at 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344.

You may attend the special meeting and vote in person. Simply attending the special meeting will not, by itself, revoke your proxy.

Your most recent proxy card or telephone or Internet proxy is the one that is counted.

If your shares are held by your broker or bank as a nominee or agent, you should follow the instructions provided by your broker or bank.

How are votes counted?

Votes will be counted by the inspector of election appointed for the special meeting, who will separately count "FOR", "AGAINST," "ABSTAIN" and broker non-votes. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as shares present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting. Abstentions will be counted towards the tabulation of shares present in person or represented by proxy and will have the same effect as votes "Against" each of the proposals. Although broker non-votes will be treated as shares present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum, broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote with respect to a particular proposal. Thus, a broker non-vote will not affect the outcome of the vote on Proposals 2 and 3. A broker non-vote will, however, have the same effect as an "AGAINST" vote on Proposal 1.

How many votes are needed to approve each proposal?

Proposal 1: The proposal to adopt the merger agreement must receive a "FOR" vote from the holders of at least a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock issued and outstanding on the record date for the special meeting.

Proposal 2: The proposal to approve, on an advisory basis, certain compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers relating to the merger contemplated by the merger agreement must receive a "FOR" vote from at least a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock represented either in person or by proxy at the special meeting and entitled to vote.

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Proposal 3: The proposal to approve the adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the merger agreement must receive a "FOR" vote from at least a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock represented either in person or by proxy at the special meeting and entitled to vote.

Table of Contents

How many shares will Stratasys' executive officers and directors be entitled to vote at the special meeting? Do you expect them to vote in favor of the proposals?

As of the record date, Stratasys' executive officers and directors, together with the stockholders with which certain of Stratasys' directors are affiliated or associated, had the right to vote approximately 910,300 shares of Stratasys common stock, representing approximately 4.28% of the Stratasys common stock then outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting. Stratasys expects that its executive officers and directors, together with those affiliated or associated stockholders, will vote "FOR" each of the proposals described above.

In addition, Stratasys' directors and the spouses of certain Stratasys directors, who hold shares of Stratasys common stock, entered into voting agreements with Stratasys and Objet pursuant to which these stockholders agreed, among other things, to vote their shares of Stratasys common stock in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement, and in favor of any proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date if there are not sufficient votes in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement. These stockholders also granted Objet irrevocable proxies under which Messrs. David Reis and Ilan Levin, on behalf of Objet, may vote their shares of Stratasys common stock in favor of, among other things, the adoption of the merger agreement, and any proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date if there are not sufficient votes in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement. Approximately 909,400 shares of Stratasys common stock, which represents approximately 4.28% of the outstanding shares of Stratasys common stock as of the record date, are subject to these voting agreements and irrevocable proxies. For more information regarding the voting agreements, see the section entitled "Agreements Entered Into in Connection with the Merger Agreement Stratasys Voting Agreements" on page 123 of this proxy statement/prospectus. The form of Stratasys voting agreement is also attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex B*.

What is the quorum requirement?

A quorum of stockholders is necessary to hold a valid meeting. A quorum will be present if stockholders holding at least a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote are present at the special meeting in person or represented by proxy. On the record date, there were 21,336,451 shares outstanding and entitled to vote.

Your shares will be counted towards the quorum only if you submit a valid proxy (or one is submitted on your behalf by your broker, bank or other nominee) or if you vote in person at the special meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as shares present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. If there is no quorum, the chairperson of the special meeting or a majority of shares present at the special meeting in person or represented by proxy may adjourn the special meeting to another date.

Should I send in my stock certificate with my proxy card?

No. As described on page 104 of this proxy statement/prospectus, Stratasys stockholders will be sent materials for exchanging shares of Stratasys common stock shortly after the completion of the merger.

How can I find out the results of the voting at the special meeting?

Stratasys expects to make a public announcement of the preliminary voting results as soon as practicable following the special meeting. Final voting results are expected to be published in a current report on Form 8-K filed by Stratasys with the SEC on or before the fourth business day following the special meeting. If final voting results are not available to Stratasys in time to file a Form 8-K within four business days following the special meeting, Stratasys intends to file a Form 8-K to publish

Table of Contents

preliminary results and, within four business days after the final results are known to Stratasys, file an additional Form 8-K to publish the final results.

Will Stratasys hold an annual meeting in 2012? If so, when are stockholder proposals due for that meeting?

If the merger is completed, Stratasys will become an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet and will not have any public stockholders. As a result, there will be no public participation in any future meeting of Stratasys stockholders. However, if the merger is not completed or if Stratasys is otherwise required to do so under applicable law, Stratasys will hold an annual meeting of stockholders in 2012.

In the event that Stratasys holds an annual meeting of stockholders in 2012, stockholders may submit proposals on matters appropriate for stockholder action at meetings of its stockholders in accordance with Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Exchange Act. For such proposals to be included in Stratasys' proxy materials relating to its 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, if held, all applicable requirements of Rule 14a-8 must be satisfied and, pursuant to Rule 14a-8, such proposals must be received by Stratasys a reasonable time prior to the time Stratasys begins to print and mail its proxy materials. Such proposals should be delivered to Stratasys, Inc., Attn: Secretary, 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344.

Pursuant to Stratasys' bylaws, if you wish to bring a proposal before the stockholders or nominate a director at the Stratasys 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, if held, but you are not requesting that your proposal or nomination be included in the proxy materials for the meeting, you must notify Stratasys' Secretary, in writing, not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to the Stratasys 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th day prior to the Stratasys 2012 annual meeting of stockholders or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement of the date of the Stratasys 2012 annual meeting of stockholders is first made.

Stratasys also advises you to review its bylaws, which contain additional requirements about advance notice of stockholder proposals and director nominations. Among other things, a stockholder's notice to Stratasys' Secretary must set forth the information required by Stratasys' bylaws with respect to each matter the stockholder proposes to bring before the Stratasys 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, if held. The chairperson of the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders may determine, if the facts warrant, that a matter has not been properly brought before the meeting and, therefore, may not be considered at the meeting. In addition, the proxy solicited by the Stratasys board of directors for the Stratasys 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, if held, will confer discretionary voting authority with respect to (i) any proposal presented by a stockholder at that meeting for which Stratasys has not been provided with timely notice and (ii) any proposal made in accordance with Stratasys' bylaws, if the 2012 proxy statement briefly describes the matter and how management's proxy holders intend to vote on it, if the stockholder does not comply with the requirements of Rule 14a-4(c)(2) promulgated under the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL ONE THE MERGER

The following is a discussion of the proposed merger and the merger agreement. This is a summary only and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. This summary is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex A* and is incorporated by reference herein. Stratasys stockholders are urged to read this entire proxy statement/prospectus carefully, including the merger agreement, for a more complete understanding of the merger.

General

Each of the Objet and Stratasys boards of directors has unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger. At the effective time of the merger, Merger Sub will be merged with and into Stratasys, with Stratasys being the surviving corporation. Upon consummation of the merger, the separate corporate existence of Merger Sub will cease, and Stratasys will continue to exist as a Delaware corporation and will then be a wholly-owned, indirect subsidiary of Objet. Stratasys stockholders will be entitled to receive one Objet ordinary share for each share of Stratasys common stock that they own, upon the terms and subject to adjustment as provided in the merger agreement, as described under "*The Agreement and Plan of Merger Structure; Merger Consideration*," beginning on page 103 of this proxy statement/prospectus. Upon consummation of the merger, Objet will be owned 55% and 45% by existing Stratasys stockholders and Objet shareholders, respectively, on a fully diluted basis (using the treasury stock method), and Objet will be renamed "Stratasys Ltd." (subject to the approval of Israel's Companies Registrar).

Background of the Merger

Stratasys is a worldwide leading manufacturer of three-dimensional, or 3D, printers and 3D production systems for office-based rapid prototyping, or RP, and direct digital manufacturing, or DDM, applications. Stratasys develops, manufactures and sells a broad product line of 3D printers and 3D production systems (and related proprietary consumable materials) that create physical parts from computer assisted design, or CAD, files. Objet is a global provider of 3D printing solutions, offering a broad range of 3D printing systems, resin consumables and services. Objet's printers use Objet's proprietary inkjet-based technology, resin consumables and integrated software to create 3D models directly from computer data such as 3D CAD files. Objet's printers build 3D objects by depositing multiple layers of resin one on top of another, with UV light curing and hardening each layer.

Each of Objet's and Stratasys' board of directors reviews its company's strategic plan and reviews with the management of its company potential strategic alternatives and ways to enhance its company's performance and prospects. As Stratasys and Objet have each been in the additive fabrication field for more than a decade, they and their management teams are familiar with each other and their respective products and services, and their respective boards of directors have recognized that there could be potential synergies from the companies collaborating or combining.

S. Scott Crump, Stratasys' Chairman and CEO, and Elchanan Jaglom, Objet's Chairman, have known each other since 2001 and have had discussions from that time about how the two companies might collaborate. During 2002, they had preliminary discussions about combining the companies, but none of those discussions went beyond the initial stage. These discussions did, however, lead to Objet engaging Stratasys in August 2003 as the exclusive distributor of Objet's PolyJet 3D printers and consumables in the United States. Stratasys acted as Objet's exclusive distributor in the United States until the middle of 2007, when Objet began distributing its systems and consumables directly through its wholly owned U.S. subsidiary.

Table of Contents

After termination of the distribution agreement, Mr. Crump and Mr. Jaglom maintained periodic contact with each other, meeting at trade shows and other industry events. On August 26, 2011, Mr. Jaglom contacted Mr. Crump to determine whether Mr. Crump would be coming to Europe on business and, if so, whether he could meet with Mr. Jaglom. At that time, Mr. Jaglom viewed Stratasys as a potential merger candidate because of several key synergies, including the fact that the combined company could offer a wider array of complementary products than either company could on a stand-alone basis. Mr. Crump responded that he would not be attending the 2011 Euromold trade show in Europe, but that if Mr. Jaglom planned to be in the United States, Mr. Crump would be willing to meet with him in Minnesota or elsewhere in the United States. On October 2, 2011, Mr. Jaglom responded that he would be in the United States beginning October 4 and would like to meet with Mr. Crump.

On October 6, 2011, Mr. Jaglom met Mr. Crump in Minneapolis, Minnesota for initial discussions regarding the possibility of a merger between Objet and Stratasys. The participants in this meeting discussed the businesses of the respective companies and their views of the 3D printing industry, as well as the strategic benefits of a potential business combination. Mr. Jaglom identified the fundamental elements for a potential transaction from Objet's perspective: the corporate governance of the combined company, including board composition, with an equal number of directors to be nominated by each company, the creation and composition of an executive committee, having David Reis, Objet's chief executive officer and a member of its board of directors, serve as chief executive officer of the combined company, having Mr. Crump serve as its chairman and Mr. Jaglom as chairman of the executive committee; and the relative ownership of the shareholders of each company in the combined company, which would be determined with the assistance of financial advisers after the parties had agreed to the other elements of the proposed transaction. After the initial meeting between Mr. Jaglom and Mr. Crump, Robert F. Gallagher, the executive vice president and chief financial officer of Stratasys, joined the discussion and the previously discussed elements of the transaction were presented by Mr. Jaglom and Mr. Crump to Mr. Gallagher. At the conclusion of the meeting, the participants expressed continued interest in considering a potential transaction between the two companies.

On October 19, 2012, Mr. Crump presented an overview of the proposed merger to the Stratasys board of directors at a regularly scheduled meeting held on Long Island, New York. The board instructed Mr. Crump to continue to explore the possibilities of such a merger.

Messrs. Crump, Gallagher and Jaglom met again on October 20, 2011 in New York City for further discussions regarding the strategic benefits that could be achieved through a business combination and the potential terms and structure of such a combination. Mr. Crump and Mr. Jaglom followed up on this meeting with phone calls on October 24, 26 and 27, 2011.

In response to Stratasys' request for a copy of Objet's registration statement on Form F-1, which had been prepared and submitted to the SEC on a confidential basis in the spring of 2011, in connection with Objet's proposed initial public offering, the companies executed a mutual confidentiality agreement on October 31, 2011.

On October 31 and November 1, 2011, Messrs. Reis and Ilan Levin, the president and vice chairman of Objet, met with Messrs. Crump and Gallagher and Shane Glenn, director of investor relations for Stratasys, in Minneapolis, Minnesota, to discuss the potential structure and terms of a business combination between the two companies. At these meetings, the participants made presentations regarding the business, financial performance and organizational structure of each of their companies. The Objet representatives also provided Stratasys with the then-current version of Objet's registration statement on Form F-1.

Prior to these meetings, Objet informed its outside counsel, Meitar Liquornik Geva & Leshem Brandwein, or Meitar, of its intent to pursue the negotiation of a preliminary agreement concerning a potential transaction, and on November 3, 2011, Mr. Jaglom sent to Mr. Crump a draft outline of

Table of Contents

proposed terms of a merger in the form of a non-binding, indicative proposal to Stratasys. It proposed that the transaction be structured as a reverse triangular merger with Stratasys as the ultimate parent company issuing its shares to Objet shareholders. The proposal also outlined the elements of post-merger corporate governance indicated above and stated that the parties would engage investment bankers to help establish a framework for determining the relative valuation of the two companies.

On November 4, 2011, the Stratasys board of directors held a special telephonic meeting to review the merger terms proposed by Objet and the general framework for the combined company's corporate governance. Thereafter, on November 4, 2011, Mr. Crump sent a revised draft of the proposal to Messrs. Jaglom, Reis and Levin. This draft contained different corporate governance terms and required that Objet commit to suspend its initial public offering for a minimum of one month after the execution of a letter of intent.

On November 6, 2011, Mr. Jaglom sent Mr. Crump an email in which he indicated that Objet could not proceed with discussions on the basis of Stratasys' proposal, primarily due to its contemplated corporate governance and management terms, and discussions were terminated.

After receipt of Mr. Jaglom's November 6 email, Messrs. Crump and Gallagher attempted intermittently to contact Mr. Jaglom, Mr. Reis and Mr. Levin in an effort to arrange a call or meeting. On November 29, 2011, Mr. Crump sent Mr. Jaglom a revised version of Mr. Crump's November 4 proposal, with certain changes to the corporate governance and management structure for a combined company. Mr. Jaglom and Mr. Crump held a telephone conversation later that day.

Concurrently, Objet updated and then, on November 23, 2011, submitted to the SEC, on a confidential basis, an amended version of its registration statement on Form F-1, in anticipation of possibly beginning a roadshow shortly thereafter. On November 29, 2011, Objet decided, after consultation with the underwriters of its proposed initial public offering, to delay the offering to the first quarter of 2012, due to conditions in the market for initial public offerings.

On November 30, 2011, Mr. Jaglom sent Mr. Crump an email suggesting that senior management of the companies, together with their legal advisors, meet in New York City in early December to negotiate and finalize a letter of intent.

Also on November 30, 2011, Stratasys contacted Piper Jaffray & Co., or Piper Jaffray, regarding the potential transaction with Objet, and on December 2, 2011 representatives of Piper Jaffray met in Eden Prairie, Minnesota, with Stratasys management to discuss the transaction. Thereafter, Mr. Crump contacted members of the Stratasys board of directors individually to apprise them of the discussions with Piper Jaffray and to indicate his desire to engage Piper Jaffray as Stratasys' financial advisor if Stratasys and Objet could reach agreement on the terms of a letter of intent.

In parallel with these developments, members of the Objet board of directors and senior management held discussions with Meitar and Cooley LLP, Objet's U.S. counsel, regarding potential tax synergies and alternative structures for the contemplated transaction. On December 4, 2011, Mr. Jaglom spoke with Mr. Crump by telephone and Mr. Jaglom presented a proposed structure under which Objet would be the ultimate parent company in a reverse triangular merger. The purpose of such a structure would be to enable the combined company to achieve additional tax benefits, primarily, the ability to take advantage of Objet's lower corporate tax rates under Israeli law generally, relative to U.S. corporate tax rates, and specifically to preserve Objet's significantly reduced corporate tax rates and other tax benefits under Israel's Investment Law, which are described elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus under "Objet's Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Key Measures of Objet's Performance Corporate Taxes." Under this structure, Stratasys would become a subsidiary of Objet and Objet would issue shares as consideration in the merger to Stratasys stockholders, which shares Objet would register with the SEC and list for trading on NASDAQ under the name Stratasys Ltd. and the symbol "SSYS." Over the next few days, the

Table of Contents

parties exchanged emails and held conference calls regarding Objet's proposed structure and the corporate law and tax issues and business and other risks it presented.

On December 8 and 9, 2011, Messrs. Reis and Levin, together with Meitar, met in New York City with Messrs. Crump, Gallagher and Glenn, together with McLaughlin & Stern LLP, or McLaughlin, Stratasys' outside counsel. The meeting focused on the terms and structure of a potential transaction and certain other terms of a non-binding letter of intent. At the meeting, Mr. Crump provided the Objet representatives with a draft letter of intent that followed the structure of Mr. Crump's November 30 proposal. This structure included, among other things, the acquisition by Stratasys of all of Objet's shares in exchange for Stratasys shares in a reverse triangular merger; a board of directors consisting of an equal number of members appointed by Stratasys and Objet, and one member unaffiliated with either company to be agreed upon by the two companies; an executive committee composed of two members appointed by each of the companies to address post-closing integration issues, as well as provide ongoing guidance with respect to the combined company's business strategy; the appointment of Mr. Crump as the chairman, Mr. Reis as the chief executive officer of the combined company and Mr. Jaglom as chairman of the executive committee. During the discussions, the representatives of the companies discussed the merits of various structures for the transaction and determined that they needed additional information with respect to the tax and accounting consequences of the structures being considered. The proposed letter of intent went through three additional drafts over the course of the two days of discussions. The last draft provided that one company would acquire the other company in a stock only transaction, but did not indicate which company would be the acquiring company in the transaction; however, the last draft also retained the corporate governance and management structure of the transaction as initially proposed. The proposed letter of intent also included binding provisions with respect to, among other things, access to information, confidentiality, nondisclosure of the discussions, and non-solicitation of employees.

On December 10, 2011, Stratasys executed a letter agreement with Piper Jaffray to engage Piper Jaffray as Stratasys' financial advisor, subject to approval of and ratification by the Stratasys board of directors.

On December 16, 2011, the Stratasys board of directors held a regular telephonic meeting to discuss the proposed 2012 Stratasys corporate budget. At that meeting, Mr. Crump advised the board of the status of negotiations regarding the proposed transaction, including the terms of the proposed letter of intent, and informed the board of his desire to engage Piper Jaffray as Stratasys' financial advisor in accordance with the terms of the engagement letter signed on December 10. After discussing several possible alternative financial advisors, and receiving a report of management regarding discussions between Stratasys and these parties, the Stratasys board of directors approved and ratified Stratasys' engagement of Piper Jaffray to act as financial advisor to the Stratasys board of directors. The Stratasys board selected Piper Jaffray based upon, among other factors, its experience in transactions similar to the proposed transaction, its reputation in the investment community and its familiarity with Stratasys and its business.

Subsequent to these meetings, representatives of Objet and Stratasys held additional conference calls and meetings with their respective outside counsels, Meitar and McLaughlin, to discuss the structure of the transaction. Stratasys contacted KPMG to assist in assessing the tax issues surrounding Objet's suggested structure. In addition, there was a series of telephonic calls involving Meitar and KPMG as part of an exploration of the suggested structure and its tax consequences and benefits.

On December 23, 2011, Mr. Crump sent Mr. Jaglom a revised letter of intent, signed by Mr. Crump on behalf of Stratasys, reflecting the results of the discussions between the parties and their advisors during the preceding two weeks. The revised letter of intent provided that the transaction would be in the form of a merger in which Objet would issue its shares to the Stratasys stockholders and Objet would be the ultimate parent company of the combined company and that the companies

Table of Contents

would determine the exchange ratio based upon the advice of their respective financial advisors and negotiations between the parties. The provisions relating to corporate governance and management remained the same as those set forth in prior drafts, except that the parties provided that if independent, external directors were required to be appointed under Israeli law, each company would nominate one such external director.

On December 28, 2011, Objet contacted J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, or J.P. Morgan, one of the co-managing underwriters of its proposed initial public offering, to engage J.P. Morgan as Objet's financial advisor on Objet's proposed merger with Stratasys.

Also on December 28, 2011, Mr. Levin responded to Mr. Crump's revised draft and suggested further discussions regarding the terms among the principals and their advisers.

Through the end of December 2011 and continuing into January 2012, the companies exchanged documents and other information, and each company performed business and financial due diligence on the other company and its respective subsidiaries. The parties and their representatives conducted mutual due diligence calls on January 11 and 13, 2012.

On January 11 and 12, 2012, the Stratasys board of directors held a regular meeting in Eden Prairie, Minnesota, for the purpose of discussing and approving the company's 2012 business plan and corporate budget. At that meeting Messrs. Crump and Gallagher presented a status update on the proposed merger.

On January 18, 19 and 25, 2012, Piper Jaffray and J.P. Morgan held telephonic meetings to discuss relative ownership in the combined company.

On January 28, 2012, Messrs. Reis, Levin, Crump, Gallagher and Glenn, together with representatives of their respective companies' financial advisors, met in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The meeting focused on, among other things, financial projections of the two companies, potential relative ownership in the combined company, optimal business strategy and next steps for the transaction, and included a presentation by each of the financial advisors. As a result of these discussions, a range of potential ownership for Stratasys stockholders holding between 50% to 60%, and Objet shareholders holding between 50% to 40%, of the capital stock of the post-transaction entity was established as a framework by the principals of Stratasys and Objet.

On January 31 and February 1, 2012, Messrs. Jaglom and Crump met in Paris, France, to discuss the relative merits of the merger and potential relative ownership of the combined company. A representative of J.P. Morgan participated in the meeting on February 1. At the meeting, Mr. Jaglom gave Mr. Crump a copy of a letter of intent dated February 1, 2012, signed by Mr. Jaglom on behalf of Objet, which was the same as the letter of intent sent by Mr. Crump on December 23, 2011, except for the dates.

On February 2 and 3, 2012, the Stratasys board of directors held a regular meeting in Orlando, Florida, at which, among other things, management of Stratasys updated the board regarding the status of the letter of intent and the discussions regarding the structure of the merger, management and corporate governance and the relative valuations of the two companies. On February 2, 2012 representatives of Piper Jaffray presented to Stratasys' Board of Directors regarding its preliminary view of relative valuation.

On February 9, 2012, Mr. Crump informed Mr. Jaglom that, based on discussions with and at the direction of the Stratasys board, Stratasys believed that the difference in its view and Objet's view of the relative values of the two companies was too wide to continue merger discussions. Mr. Crump further stated that he and Stratasys would focus its resources and energies on the company's ongoing business challenges and opportunities. Mr. Jaglom agreed that each company would go its separate ways.

Table of Contents

Notwithstanding the impasse between their principals, on February 13, 2012, representatives of the companies' financial advisors held a telephonic meeting to discuss potential relative ownership in the combined entity and the strategic merits of a merger. On February 15, 2012, representatives of the companies' financial advisers met in person to discuss potential relative ownership in the combined entity.

During the remainder of February 2012, the parties, together with their financial advisers, analyzed and discussed potential relative ownership in the combined entity and resulting exchange ratios derived from such analyses. On February 21, 2012, Piper Jaffray sent J.P. Morgan a draft term sheet outlining key transaction terms.

On March 4 and 5, 2012, Messrs. Jaglom and Reis, on behalf of Objet, and Mr. Crump, on behalf of Stratasys, together with their respective companies' financial advisers, met again in Paris, France, to try to conclude a letter of intent, including the terms of post-merger corporate governance and the relative ownership of the companies for purposes of determining the exchange ratio.

In addition to actual, formal meetings of the Objet board of directors, the Objet board remained effectively updated throughout the above-described process of negotiation of the prospective merger, as the primary personnel involved in those negotiations on behalf of Objet Messrs. Jaglom, Reis and Levin constituted three out of the four members of the Objet board.

On March 5, 2012, Objet and Stratasys executed a non-binding confidential term sheet outlining the terms of a transaction pursuant to which Objet would acquire all outstanding shares of Stratasys common stock in exchange for Objet ordinary shares according to an exchange ratio that would result in Stratasys stockholders holding 55%, and Objet's shareholders holding 45%, of the capital stock of Objet, as the ultimate parent company in the merger, on a fully diluted basis (using the treasury stock method). The combined company would be named "Stratasys Ltd." and its ordinary shares would be traded on NASDAQ under the symbol "SSYS." The transaction was to be subject to satisfaction by each company with its continued due diligence investigation of the other company and to the negotiation of a definitive merger agreement and certain related ancillary documentation.

On March 8, 2012, Stratasys and Objet held a telephonic meeting, together with representatives of their respective financial advisors and legal counsel to discuss the due diligence process.

On March 9, 2012, Messrs. Crump, Gallagher and Glenn sent the Stratasys board of directors a detailed summary outlining the benefits of the merger to Stratasys stockholders together with a copy of the executed confidential term sheet.

On March 12 and 13, 2012, representatives of Objet and Stratasys, together with their respective accounting, financial and legal advisers, met in New York City, to commence detailed due diligence, discussions regarding the definitive merger agreement, related legal issues and post-closing integration processes.

On March 13, 2012, the Stratasys board of directors held a special meeting in New York City for the purpose of evaluating the terms of the proposed merger with Objet and determining whether Stratasys should proceed with the due diligence process based on the terms set forth in the March 5, 2012, confidential term sheet. At the meeting, Mr. Crump presented his evaluation of the general state of the additive fabrication industry and Stratasys' position within the industry. In addition, Messrs. Gallagher and Glenn presented management's evaluation of Objet based on the preliminary due diligence review that the company had conducted to date and the benefits and risks of proceeding with a transaction with Objet. Representatives of Piper Jaffray presented their preliminary determination of the relative valuation of the companies, and McLaughlin outlined the legal structure of the transaction along with the fiduciary duties of the board in connection with the proposed transaction. The board discussed the relative merits and risks of the proposed merger and asked management, the financial advisors and legal counsel numerous questions regarding the transaction.

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Table of Contents

The board then directed management to continue with due diligence and to proceed to negotiate a definitive merger agreement with the assistance of legal counsel.

During the week of March 12, 2012, Stratasys retained Richards, Layton & Finger, LLP, or Richards Layton, as its special Delaware counsel to advise the board on matters of Delaware law, and Latham & Watkins, LLP, or Latham, as its special antitrust counsel to assist the company with its filing under the HSR Act and to advise management with respect to antitrust compliance during the due diligence process.

Subsequent to the March 12 and 13 meetings, both companies provided electronic data rooms to enable each other to conduct documentary due diligence.

On March 17, 2011 Cooley sent the initial draft of the merger agreement to Stratasys and its legal advisers.

Between March 18 and 21, 2012, members of Stratasys' senior management met in Tel Aviv, Israel, with their counterparts from Objet to conduct due diligence on Objet. Between March 22 and 25, 2012, members of Objet's senior management met in Minneapolis, Minnesota, with their counterparts from Stratasys to conduct due diligence on Stratasys.

On March 19, 2012, Cooley sent to McLaughlin a draft outline of the terms of a common stock purchase rights plan for the Stratasys board to consider adopting in connection with the transaction. On March 20, 2012, Meitar sent to McLaughlin a draft of post-merger articles of association for Objet that included a number of the corporate governance elements contained in the confidential term sheet, as well as a draft of other ancillary documents to be entered into in connection with the merger agreement.

On March 21, 2012, the board of directors of Objet held a meeting at which resolutions were adopted with respect to Objet's proposed initial public offering and at which the status of the merger negotiations and due diligence efforts were also discussed. Representatives of Meitar participated in the meeting via telephone.

On March 23, 2012, Objet filed its amended registration statement on Form F-1 electronically on the SEC's EDGAR website.

On March 25, 2012, McLaughlin sent a revised draft merger agreement to Objet and its legal advisers. In connection with its revisions to the merger agreement, McLaughlin indicated that it had made significant changes to the "deal protection measures" included in Objet's initial draft, which had not been discussed between the parties prior to signing the confidential term sheet and had not been presented to the Stratasys board at its March 13, 2012 meeting. These provisions included

an option for Objet to purchase 19.9% of Stratasys common stock exercisable if a third party rather than Objet were to acquire Stratasys;

the requirement that Stratasys adopt a stockholders' rights plan;

prohibitions against Stratasys engaging in discussions or negotiations with third parties regarding a proposal to acquire Stratasys and against entering into an agreement with respect to such an acquisition, even if it may constitute a superior proposal;

prohibitions against the Stratasys board of directors withdrawing or modifying its recommendation to the stockholders to adopt the merger agreement or failing to reaffirm its recommendation of the merger or to state that the merger is in the best interests of the Stratasys stockholders within ten days after a request thereof by Objet; and

a prohibition against disclosure to the Stratasys stockholders of the receipt of an acquisition proposal unless Stratasys had satisfied certain conditions.

Table of Contents

McLaughlin's revised draft either deleted the foregoing provisions or modified them to provide that they be consistent with the satisfaction of the fiduciary duties of the Stratasys board of directors. In addition, among other things, the revised draft reduced the amount of the proposed break-up fee payable by Stratasys in the event of the termination of the merger agreement due to the acceptance of a superior offer, capped the expenses that Stratasys would reimburse in the event of the termination of the merger agreement in certain circumstances, and deleted from the definition of a "material adverse effect" the exception for geopolitical conditions, the outbreak of hostilities, acts of war and similar occurrences.

On March 29, 2012, the board of directors of Objet held a meeting to discuss the status of the merger negotiations and due diligence efforts. Representatives of Meitar participated in the meeting.

On March 30 and April 1, 2012, McLaughlin sent initial comments to the draft articles of association and other transaction documents to Objet and its legal advisers.

On March 31, 2012, the Stratasys board of directors held a special telephonic meeting to review the status of Stratasys' due diligence of Objet and to discuss key aspects of the merger agreement and related documents. Management outlined the status of due diligence for the board and answered questions from directors regarding, among other things, patent protection, financial condition, and manufacturing facilities. McLaughlin provided an update of the status of negotiations regarding the merger agreement and noted that one outstanding issue was the term for the initial board of directors. Although the confidential term sheet provided that the term of the initial board of the combined company was to be two years, drafts of the merger agreement and the articles of association of the combined company provided that the term would expire at the 2014 annual general meeting of shareholders of the combined company, which would result in an initial term of less than two years. Richards Layton then outlined for the board the open issues regarding the deal protection measures that Objet had included in the draft of the merger agreement. These included the amount of the break-up fee in the event of a change in the board's recommendation due to a superior offer or in the event of an unforeseen intervening event. The board expressed concern that the merger agreement not preclude its ability to evaluate other opportunities that might be presented after the announcement of the merger and to change its recommendation in the event of the receipt and acceptance of a superior offer. In addition, management reported that Objet had withdrawn its request for the 19.9% option. Piper Jaffray also outlined for the board the basic terms of stockholders' rights plans and the benefits and risks of adopting such a plan. In particular, Piper Jaffray noted that companies adopt such plans to force potential acquirers to negotiate the terms of an acquisition with the company's board rather than making a tender offer at a potentially lower price and on other terms less favorable to the company's stockholders. Richards Layton also outlined for the board the way in which stockholders' rights plans worked from a legal perspective as well as the considerations that Delaware courts use to evaluate such plans. After discussion, the board directed management to continue to pursue the possibility of adopting such a stockholders' rights plan.

Between March 25 and April 5, 2012, Objet and Stratasys progressed with due diligence and the drafting and negotiation of transaction documents through multiple exchanges of documents, emails and conference calls.

On April 1, 2012, counsel for Stratasys and Objet conducted a conference call to discuss each company's position with respect to the protective rights provisions of the proposed merger agreement. On April 5, 2012, counsel for Stratasys and Objet conducted a conference call to discuss the open issues on the merger agreement, including the proposed deal protection measures, the termination of the merger agreement due to a material adverse effect, and the terms of the combined company's articles of association.

On April 5, 2012, Messrs. Levin and Gallagher discussed a number of issues in the transaction documents that were still unresolved, including issues relating to the initial term of the board of

Table of Contents

directors of the combined company; the initial term of the chief executive officer of the combined company; requisite quorum for shareholder meeting and requisite percentage of voting power required for shareholder action; the proposed stockholders' rights plan; provisions of the merger agreement relating to the ability of one of the parties to terminate the agreement in the event of a superior offer or material adverse change; and the proposed terms of a lockup of certain Objet shareholders.

On April 6, 2012, the Stratasys board of directors held a special meeting in New York City for the purpose of reviewing the status of the company's due diligence of Objet, addressing the integration of the two companies, reviewing the status of the negotiations regarding the definitive merger agreement and the status of the HSR filing, reviewing the possibility of adopting a stockholders' rights plan, and discussing various alternative measures to retain Stratasys and Objet employees after announcement of the proposed merger. Richards Layton outlined the status of the negotiations with respect to the deal protection measures, the board's fiduciary duties applicable to keeping stockholders informed of alternative offers and to evaluate offers that might lead to a superior offer to acquire the company, and the circumstances under which the board would be entitled to change its recommendation, accept a superior offer and terminate the merger agreement. Piper Jaffray and Richards Layton also discussed the reasons for and terms of a proposed stockholders' rights plan and answered questions from the board regarding the operation and consequences of such a plan. After discussion, the board directed management and counsel to resolve favorably to Stratasys certain key issues regarding, among other things, termination due to a material adverse effect, the breach of the merger agreement due to inadvertent solicitation of an acquisition proposal, the end date for the merger, the threshold at which the rights issuable under the rights plan would be triggered, the amount of the break-up fees in the event of a termination of the merger agreement due to a superior offer or an intervening event and the initial term of the directors of the combined company. Richards Layton also outlined for the board certain proposed amendments to the Stratasys bylaws that would, among other things, provide for more orderly and flexible regulation of Stratasys stockholders' meetings.

Between April 5 and April 12, 2012, each of Objet and Stratasys continued conducting extensive business, financial and legal due diligence on each other and continued negotiating transaction documents through multiple exchanges of documents, emails and conference calls.

On April 12, 2012, the Stratasys board of directors held a special meeting in New York City to discuss the status of and negotiations regarding the definitive agreements and the adoption of amendments to the Stratasys bylaws and the stockholders' rights plan. Management, McLaughlin and Richards Layton reviewed with the board the terms of the proposed merger agreement and the proposed stockholders' rights plan, which had been provided to the directors prior to the meeting, and responded to questions from the board members regarding such terms. In particular, the board questioned whether the issues that it had highlighted at the previous meeting had been resolved as the board had requested. Management and counsel addressed each of the issues and explained how they had been resolved, in each case in a manner satisfactory to the board. Management and McLaughlin also reviewed with the Stratasys directors, the results of the due diligence completed regarding Objet and its subsidiaries. No action was taken pending delivery of the fairness opinion, which was to be delivered at a meeting scheduled to be held the following day. Richards Layton then outlined each of the proposed amendments to the Stratasys bylaws, and Piper Jaffray and Richards Layton outlined the final terms of the proposed stockholders' rights plan. After discussion, the board determined that adoption of the stockholders' rights plan was in the best interests of Stratasys stockholders, because it would encourage third parties interested in making an offer to acquire the company to negotiate the terms of such offer with the board and would discourage third parties from disrupting the market for the company's common stock pending completion of the merger. Piper Jaffray then presented its preliminary analysis of the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio set forth in the proposed merger agreement. Piper Jaffray then responded to questions from the board regarding its preliminary analysis.

Table of Contents

On April 13, 2012, the Stratasys board of directors held a special telephonic meeting after the close of the NASDAQ Stock Market to discuss the terms of the proposed transaction as set forth in the definitive agreements and reviewed the matters proposed to be approved by the Stratasys board of directors in connection with the transaction. Management updated the Stratasys directors regarding any changes in the transaction since the meeting held the previous day. Piper Jaffray then reviewed with Stratasys' board of directors its financial analysis of the proposed exchange ratio and rendered its opinion to the Stratasys board of directors that the exchange ratio provided for in the merger agreement was fair, from a financial point of view, to the holders of Stratasys common stock. The full text of the written opinion of Piper Jaffray is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex F*. Piper Jaffray also responded to questions from the Stratasys directors regarding its analysis and fairness opinion. The Stratasys board of directors then engaged in additional deliberations regarding the merger, the proposed terms of the merger agreement and the various presentations of its legal and financial advisors, taking into consideration the factors described below under "Stratasys' Reasons for the Merger." Following discussion, Stratasys' board of directors unanimously adopted resolutions declaring that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby were advisable to and in the best interests of Stratasys and its stockholders and recommending that Stratasys stockholders adopt the merger agreement, adopted the merger agreement and approved the transactions contemplated thereby, and approved the proposed amendments to the Stratasys bylaws and the stockholders' rights plan, and authorized Stratasys to enter into the merger agreement and the stockholders' rights plan, subject to any changes deemed appropriate by its executive officers.

On April 13, 2012, the Objet board of directors held a meeting to consider the terms of the proposed transaction. The Objet board reviewed certain financial terms of the merger. Meitar summarized the material terms of the draft merger agreement, including the structure of the transaction, deal protections, circumstances under which the merger agreement can be terminated and termination fees and due diligence efforts conducted. The board furthermore considered additional factors relating to the merger. Following discussion, the Objet board of directors unanimously approved the proposed merger and authorized Objet to enter into the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

On April 13, 2012, the merger agreement, the Objet voting agreements and the lockup and the standstill agreements were executed, and on April 16, 2012, Objet and Stratasys issued a joint press release announcing the transaction and Scott Crump, representing Stratasys, and David Reis, representing Objet, held a conference call to discuss the transaction in greater detail and to answer questions from investors and analysts.

Stratasys' Reasons for the Merger

In determining whether the merger and the merger agreement were in the best interests of Stratasys and its stockholders and in deciding whether to approve and recommend the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby to the stockholders of Stratasys, the Stratasys board of directors considered the following factors, among others, (in addition to any other relevant factors of which the directors were aware):

the belief that the combination of Stratasys' and Objet's businesses would create more value for Stratasys stockholders in the long-term than Stratasys could create as a stand-alone business given the expected sales, product and cost synergies and benefits of enhanced scale of the combined company and given the challenges presented by a volatile economy;

Table of Contents

the combination would result in a company with a more extensive product and technology portfolio, which will offer customers solutions for a broader range of applications within a wide range of industry verticals, including:

complementary products and materials that bring together Objet's strength in design verification and visualization with Stratasys' leadership in functional testing and direct digital manufacturing;

Stratasys' advanced FDM technology, ideal for functional prototypes and applications requiring high levels of durability and Stratasys' Solidscape technology, used to make complex wax patterns for the investment casting process of finished parts; and

Objet's PolyJet technology, which provides high resolution printing suited for rapid prototyping and applications that require high feature detail and a finer surface finish.

the fact that Stratasys' and Objet's product lines are generally complementary and do not significantly overlap;

the combined company also expects to be generating substantial annual net cost synergies of approximately \$7-8 million beginning 18 months following the merger, primarily resulting from several cost avoidance measures including the better allocation of current and future resources, the reduction in future recruitment costs, and the reduction in shared general and administrative expenses and corporate overhead, as the combined company continues to grow;

the combined company expects to achieve annual tax savings of approximately \$3-4 million beginning 18 months following closing based on the Israeli tax advantages described in the following bullet point;

having Objet as the ultimate parent company following completion of the merger will enable the combined company to take advantage of the more favorable Israeli corporate tax rates, relative to U.S. corporate tax rates, applicable to Objet, as well as the significantly reduced corporate tax rates and other tax benefits afforded to Objet under Israel's Investment Law (as described elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus under "Objet's Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Key Measures of Objet's Performance Corporate Taxes");

the transaction is expected to be accretive to Stratasys' projected non-GAAP earnings per share as a stand-alone company within the first 12 months after closing and thereby increase Stratasys' value;

the combined marketing organization and amount of spending is expected to allow the combined company a broader reach by being able to focus on specific vertical markets, such as aerospace, automotive, medical, dental and jewelry;

the combined sales and marketing organization of the combined company is expected to include more than 260 resellers and selling agent entities around the world, which in turn should enable both companies to increase revenue;

the broader sales and marketing network of the combined company will allow customers to streamline purchasing processes and will provide new access to solutions that address their needs and should enable the combined company to increase sales to existing customers;

the merger is expected to result in greater distribution reach and enhanced opportunities for cross-selling into the combined company's installed base, which the board believes will result in increased sales than either party could achieve as a

stand-alone company;

Table of Contents

the merger should yield enhancements of each company's capabilities and scale, including an experienced research and development team that should be well-positioned to be a leader in innovative product design and manufacturing;

together, Stratasys and Objet will have more capabilities to develop new consumables and improved systems than either Stratasys or Objet could alone;

the combined company's competitive position is expected to be improved by a scaled organization with a more comprehensive reach.

the combination of S. Scott Crump, co-founder, current chief executive officer and chairman of the board of Stratasys, together with Elchanan Jaglom, the current chairman of the board of Objet, will jointly provide vision and leadership for the combined company based upon their extensive involvement in and knowledge of the industry;

David Reis, the current Objet chief executive officer, will assume that capacity for the combined company, bringing proven executive leadership skills that will be critical in guiding the company toward future growth;

the businesses, operating results and financial condition of Objet, on both a historical and prospective basis, and the quality, breadth and experience of Objet's senior management;

the fact that the combined company would initially retain certain members of the Stratasys senior management team, who possess the extensive industry knowledge and experience necessary to manage and operate the combined company;

the fact that the board of directors of the combined company would be composed initially of four current directors of Stratasys, four of the current directors of Objet, and one independent director designated by the Stratasys board members, which was viewed as a positive factor due to the known and proven qualifications of the members of the Stratasys board of directors;

the fact that the exchange ratio is fixed and will not fluctuate based upon changes in the stock prices of Stratasys prior to the completion of the merger, which protects the Stratasys stockholders from any materially negative trends in the price of Stratasys common stock and any materially positive trends in Objet's business which could reasonably be thought to increase its enterprise value;

the use of Objet common stock as the sole consideration in the merger, which will provide the opportunity for Stratasys' stockholders to participate as shareholders in the potential appreciation in the stock of the combined company, in light of the perceived strategic benefits of the proposed merger;

the fact that the combined company would be well capitalized, with more than \$130 million in unrestricted cash and no outstanding long-term debt, which would be available for future investments by the combined company in its business or for future strategic transactions;

the fact that each of the directors and certain executive officers and key shareholders of Objet agreed to vote their shares of Objet common stock in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement and against any alternative acquisition proposal in sufficient numbers such that the merger is guaranteed to receive Objet shareholder approval, which the Stratasys board of directors viewed as sending a strong message to the market that the Objet board of directors, senior management team and shareholder base was highly supportive of the merger;

the opinion of Stratasys' financial advisor, Piper Jaffray, that as of April 13, 2012 and based upon the assumptions and limitations set forth in its written opinion, the exchange ratio provided for in the merger agreement was fair, from a financial point of view, to the Stratasys stockholders;

Table of Contents

Stratasys stockholders would own approximately 55% of the combined company and would be able to participate in, and benefit from, the future growth potential of the combined company;

Stratasys' due diligence investigation of Objet did not uncover any materially adverse information with respect to Objet's business, financial condition or prospects;

Stratasys' ability under the merger agreement to conduct its business in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

the absence of appraisal rights under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware to holders of Stratasys common stock;

whether the termination date under the merger agreement allows for sufficient time to complete the merger;

the fact that the merger agreement allows the Stratasys board of directors, subject to the payment of the termination fee, to change or withdraw its recommendation to the Stratasys stockholders that they vote in favor of the merger in the event that Stratasys receives a superior offer from a third party or in response to certain material developments or changes in circumstances, if the Stratasys board of directors determines that failing to do so would reasonably be expected to result in a breach of the Stratasys board of directors' fiduciary duties to the Stratasys stockholders;

Stratasys' ability, under certain conditions, to provide information to and negotiate with a third party if such party were to make an acquisition proposal that did not result from a breach by Stratasys of its non-solicitation obligations under the merger agreement if the Stratasys board determines in good faith (after consultation with outside counsel) that taking such action would be required by the Stratasys board's fiduciary duties;

the ability of the Stratasys board of directors, under certain circumstances, to make a change of recommendation and/or terminate the merger agreement in response to a bona fide unsolicited acquisition proposal if the Stratasys board determines in good faith (after consultation with its outside counsel) that in light of such proposal, taking such action is required by the Stratasys board's fiduciary duties, and if necessary, subject to the payment of a termination fee of \$25 million to Objet; and

Objet's agreement to pay Stratasys a termination fee of \$31 million in certain circumstances, including if: (i) Stratasys terminates the merger due to the merger not being completed by September 30, 2012 as a result of the failure of Objet to fulfill its obligations under the merger agreement related to the Objet shareholder meeting for approval of the merger, the Form F-4 registration statement of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part or the NASDAQ listing application for Objet's ordinary shares; or (ii) Stratasys terminates the merger agreement due to a final, non-appealable law, judgment, injunction, order or decree that renders the merger illegal or prohibits the consummation of the merger, and the failure of Objet to comply with its obligations to cooperate in obtaining all necessary consents and approvals with respect to the merger and in assisting in the defense or settlement of any litigation against Stratasys concerning the merger materially contributes to the law, judgment, injunction, order or decree that renders the merger illegal.

For more information concerning Objet's and Stratasys' respective historical financial results, please see the sections of this proxy statement/prospectus entitled "Objet's Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Where You Can Find More Information" and "Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference."

Table of Contents

Risks and Potentially Negative Factors

The Stratasys board of directors also identified and considered a number of uncertainties, risks and other potentially negative factors in its consideration of the merger and merger agreement, including but not limited to the following:

the risk that the combined company will not achieve its forecasted results at all, or within the timeframe expected;

general challenges in successfully integrating two companies that may have significantly different corporate cultures, which is magnified by the challenges that accompany cross-border integration;

the degree of volatility in the recent trading prices of technology sector companies' stock, and the risk that the market value of the number of Objet ordinary shares issuable per share of Stratasys common stock upon the closing of the merger and the listing of Objet's ordinary shares on the NASDAQ Global Select Market could be lower than the closing price of Stratasys common stock per share as of the time of signing the merger agreement;

the possibility that the merger might not be consummated, as a result of the failure to obtain required regulatory clearances to consummate the merger or the failure to obtain the requisite vote of Stratasys stockholders, and the potential adverse effects of the failure to consummate the merger on Stratasys' business, revenues, financial condition, operating results, employees and overall competitive positioning and prospects;

the possibility that if regulatory clearance is not initially obtained for the merger, Stratasys may need to agree to the imposition by regulatory authorities of a remedy that may have adverse consequences for Stratasys' business, since Stratasys has committed under the merger agreement to agreeing to any non-material fixes required as a result of regulatory review;

the risk that as a result of the announcement of the merger, Stratasys' existing business relationships could be significantly disrupted and Stratasys might have increased difficulty entering into new business arrangements after such announcement;

the risk that certain provisions of the merger agreement may have the effect of discouraging proposals for alternative acquisition transactions involving Stratasys, including the restriction on Stratasys' ability to solicit proposals for alternative transactions and the requirement that Stratasys pay a termination fee of \$25 million or \$48 million to Objet in certain circumstances following the termination of the merger agreement;

the fact that, by virtue of the exchange ratio (which is fixed), it is anticipated that the Stratasys stockholders will hold only 55% of the combined entity after completion of the merger;

the requirement that Stratasys' board of directors call and hold a stockholders meeting to adopt the merger agreement even under circumstances where the Stratasys board of directors has changed or withdrawn its recommendation in favor of such adoption;

the risk that as a result of the announcement or the completion of the merger, key employees of Stratasys might terminate their employment with Stratasys and the risk that the transaction might divert management's attention from the day-to-day operation of Stratasys' business during the pendency of the merger;

following completion of the merger, Stratasys will no longer exist as an independent public company and its stockholders would be able to participate in any future earnings growth of Stratasys solely through their ownership of the combined

company's ordinary shares;

Stratasys' business could be harmed as a result of uncertainties about the effect of the proposed merger on its employees and customers, which could impair its ability to attract, retain and

Table of Contents

motivate key personnel until the merger is completed and for a period of time thereafter, and could cause customers, suppliers and others that deal with Stratasys to seek to change existing business relationships with Stratasys;

the costs involved in connection with entering into and completing the merger and the time and effort of management required to complete the merger and related disruptions to the operation of Stratasys' business;

the fact that receipt of the merger consideration generally will be taxable to Stratasys stockholders for U.S. federal income tax purposes;

the stockholder rights plan implemented by Stratasys, as a condition to the merger agreement, may reduce the likelihood that other potential acquirers would propose an alternative transaction that might be more advantageous to Stratasys' stockholders;

the potential impacts of the restrictions under the merger agreement on Stratasys' ability to take certain actions during the period prior to the closing of the merger (which may delay or prevent Stratasys from undertaking advantageous business opportunities that may arise pending completion of the merger);

the fact that certain of Stratasys' directors and officers may have interests in the merger as individuals that are in addition to or different from the interests of Stratasys' stockholders, as further described in the section entitled "Proposal One The Merger Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger" beginning on page 88 of this proxy statement/prospectus; and

various other risks associated with the merger and the businesses of Stratasys, Objet, and the combined company, some of which are described in this proxy statement/prospectus under the section entitled "*Risk Factors*."

The Stratasys board of directors weighed these positive and negative factors, realizing that future results are uncertain, including any future results considered or expected that are described among the above factors. In addition, many of the non-financial factors considered were highly subjective. As a result, in view of the number and variety of factors that it considered, the Stratasys board of directors did not consider it practicable and did not attempt to quantify or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors it considered. Rather, the Stratasys board made its determination based on the totality of the information it considered. Individually, each director may have given greater or lesser weight to a particular factor or consideration.

Recommendation of the Stratasys Board of Directors

After careful consideration and based on the foregoing analysis, at a meeting of the Stratasys board of directors held on April 13, 2012, the Stratasys board of directors unanimously determined that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby are fair to, advisable and in the best interests of Stratasys' stockholders and unanimously approved and adopted the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. **The Stratasys board of directors unanimously recommends that the Stratasys stockholders vote "FOR" the adoption of the merger agreement.**

Opinion of Piper Jaffray & Co.

Stratasys retained Piper Jaffray to act as its financial advisor in connection with the merger to render an opinion to the board of directors of Stratasys as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio provided for in the merger agreement to the holders of the common stock of Stratasys. Piper Jaffray is an internationally recognized investment banking firm which is regularly engaged in the valuation of businesses and securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, negotiated underwritings, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements and valuations for corporate and other purposes. Stratasys selected Piper Jaffray on the basis of Piper

Table of Contents

Jaffray's experience in transactions similar to the merger, its reputation in the investment community and its familiarity with Stratasys and its business.

On April 13, 2012, at a meeting of Stratasys' board of directors held to evaluate the merger, Piper Jaffray delivered to Stratasys' board of directors an oral opinion, which was confirmed by delivery of a written opinion dated April 13, 2012, to the effect that, as of the date of the opinion, and based upon and subject to the various assumptions and limitations described in its opinion, the exchange ratio was fair, from a financial point of view, to the holders of the common stock of Stratasys.

The full text of Piper Jaffray's written opinion to Stratasys' board of directors, which describes, among other things, the assumptions made, procedures followed, factors considered and limitations on the review undertaken, is attached as *Annex F* to this proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. The following summary of Piper Jaffray's opinion is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the opinion. Piper Jaffray delivered its opinion to Stratasys' board of directors for the benefit and use of Stratasys' board of directors (in its capacity as such) in connection with and for purposes of its evaluation of the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio to the holders of the common stock of Stratasys. Piper Jaffray's opinion does not address any other aspect of the merger and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder as to how to vote or act in connection with the proposed merger.

In connection with rendering its opinion, Piper Jaffray:

reviewed and analyzed the financial terms of a draft of the merger agreement dated April 13, 2012;

reviewed and analyzed certain financial and other data with respect to Stratasys and Objet which was publicly available;

reviewed and analyzed certain information, including financial forecasts, relating to the business, earnings, cash flow, assets, liabilities and prospects of Stratasys and Objet that were (1) publicly available, (2) furnished to Piper Jaffray by Stratasys and Objet, respectively (see the discussion of "Stratasys Forecasts" and "Objet Forecasts" under " Financial Analyses" below), including the analyses and forecasts of synergies expected by management of Stratasys and Objet to result from the merger and (3) generated by Piper Jaffray and reviewed and commented on by management of Stratasys (see the discussion of "Extended Stratasys Projections" and "Extended Objet Projections" under " Financial Analyses" below);

conducted discussions with members of senior management and representatives of Stratasys and Objet concerning the matters described in the second and third bullets above, as well as their respective businesses and prospects before and after giving effect to the merger and the synergies expected therefrom;

reviewed the current and historical reported prices and trading activity of the common stock of Stratasys;

compared the financial performance of Stratasys and Objet with that of another publicly traded company that Piper Jaffray deemed relevant;

reviewed the potential pro forma financial impact of the merger on Stratasys' estimated non-GAAP earnings per share (excluding stock-based compensation expense, amortization of acquired intangibles and transaction fees and expenses);

reviewed the financial terms, to the extent publicly available, of certain business combination transactions that Piper Jaffray deemed relevant; and

conducted such other analyses, examinations and inquiries and considered such other financial, economic and market criteria as Piper Jaffray deemed necessary in arriving at its opinion.

Table of Contents

Piper Jaffray relied upon and assumed, without assuming liability or responsibility for independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of all information that was publicly available or was furnished, or otherwise made available, to Piper Jaffray or discussed with or reviewed by Piper Jaffray. Piper Jaffray further relied upon the assurances of the management of Stratasys and Objet that the financial information provided had been prepared on a reasonable basis in accordance with industry practice, and that they were not aware of any information or facts that would make any information provided to Piper Jaffray incomplete or misleading. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, for the purpose of its opinion, Piper Jaffray assumed that with respect to financial forecasts, estimates and other forward-looking information (including the synergies expected from the merger) reviewed by Piper Jaffray, that such information had been reasonably prepared based on assumptions reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the management of Stratasys and Objet as to the expected future results of operations and financial condition of Stratasys and Objet, respectively, to which such financial forecasts, estimates and other forward-looking information (including the expected synergies) relate. Piper Jaffray expressed no opinion as to any such financial forecasts, estimates or forward-looking information (including the synergies) or the assumptions on which they were based. Piper Jaffray further assumed that the merger will not qualify as a tax-free reorganization for United States federal income tax purposes. Piper Jaffray relied, with Stratasys' consent, on advice of the outside counsel and the independent accountants to Stratasys and Objet, and on the assumptions of the management of Stratasys and Objet, as to all accounting, legal, tax and financial reporting matters with respect to Stratasys, Objet and the merger agreement.

In arriving at its opinion, Piper Jaffray assumed that the executed merger agreement would be in all material respects identical to the draft reviewed by Piper Jaffray on April 13, 2012. Piper Jaffray relied upon and assumed, without independent verification, that (i) the representations and warranties of all parties to the merger agreement and all other related documents and instruments that are referred to therein are true and correct, (ii) each party to such agreements will fully and timely perform all of the covenants and agreements required to be performed by such party, (iii) the merger will be consummated pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement without amendments thereto and (iv) all conditions to the consummation of the merger will be satisfied without waiver by any party of any conditions or obligations thereunder. Additionally, Piper Jaffray assumed that all the necessary regulatory approvals and consents required for the merger will be obtained in a manner that will not adversely affect Stratasys, Objet or the contemplated benefits of the merger.

In arriving at its opinion, Piper Jaffray did not perform any appraisals or valuations of any specific assets or liabilities (fixed, contingent or other) of Stratasys or Objet, and were not furnished or provided with any such appraisals or valuations, nor did Piper Jaffray evaluate the solvency of Stratasys or Objet under any state, federal or foreign law relating to bankruptcy, insolvency or similar matters. The analyses performed by Piper Jaffray in connection with its opinion were going concern analyses. Piper Jaffray expressed no opinion regarding the liquidation value of Stratasys, Objet or any other entity. Piper Jaffray also assumed that neither Stratasys nor Objet was party to any material pending transaction, including without limitation any financing, recapitalization, acquisition or merger, divestiture or spin-off, other than the merger.

Piper Jaffray's opinion was necessarily based upon the information available to it and facts and circumstances as they existed and are subject to evaluation on the date of the opinion; events occurring after the date of the opinion could materially affect the assumptions used in preparing the opinion. Piper Jaffray did not express any opinion as to the price at which shares of Stratasys' common stock may trade following announcement of the merger or as to the price at which shares of Stratasys' common stock or the combined company's ordinary shares may trade at any future time. Piper Jaffray did not undertake to reaffirm or revise its opinion or otherwise comment upon any events occurring after the date of the opinion and does not have any obligation to update, revise or reaffirm its opinion.

Table of Contents

Piper Jaffray was not requested to, and did not, (i) solicit any expressions of interest from any other parties with respect to any business combination with Stratasy's or any other alternative transaction or (ii) advise Stratasy's board of directors or any other party with respect to alternatives to the merger.

Piper Jaffray's opinion was provided to the board of directors of Stratasy's in connection with its consideration of the merger and was not intended to be and did not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder of Stratasy's as to how such stockholder should act or vote with respect to the merger or any other matter. Piper Jaffray's opinion was approved for issuance by the Piper Jaffray Opinion Committee.

Piper Jaffray's opinion addressed solely the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio to the holders of the common stock of Stratasy's and did not address any other terms or agreement relating to the merger or any other terms of the agreement. Piper Jaffray was not requested to opine as to, and its opinion did not address, the basic business decision to proceed with or effect the merger, the merits of the merger relative to any alternative transaction or business strategy that may have been available to Stratasy's or any other terms or conditions contemplated by the merger agreement or the fairness of the merger to any other class of securities, creditor or other constituency of Stratasy's. Furthermore, Piper Jaffray expressed no opinion with respect to the amount or nature of compensation to any officer, director or employee of any party to the merger, or any class of such persons, relative to the exchange ratio to be used to calculate what is received by holders of the common stock of Stratasy's in the merger or with respect to the fairness of any such compensation.

The following represents a brief summary of the material financial analyses prepared by Piper Jaffray in connection with its opinion. **The financial analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. In order to fully understand the financial analyses performed by Piper Jaffray, the tables must be read together with the text of each summary. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses performed by Piper Jaffray. Considering the data set forth in the tables below without considering the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the financial analyses performed by Piper Jaffray.**

Financial Analyses

Selected Publicly Traded Companies Analysis. Piper Jaffray performed a selected publicly traded companies analysis of each of Objet and Stratasy's in which Piper Jaffray reviewed financial information for Objet and publicly available financial and stock market information for Stratasy's and 3D Systems Corporation, a publicly traded company, also in the 3D printing and rapid prototyping industry.

In performing a selected publicly traded companies analysis of Objet and Stratasy's, Piper Jaffray reviewed, among other things, enterprise values of the selected publicly traded companies, calculated as equity values based on closing stock prices on April 12, 2012, plus debt, less cash and cash equivalents, as a multiple of 2012 and 2013 estimated revenue; earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, and adjusted to exclude stock-based compensation charges, which is referred to as "Adjusted EBITDA;" and net operating income after taxes, adjusted to exclude the after-tax impact of stock-based compensation charges and amortization of acquired intangibles, which is referred to as "Adjusted NOPAT." Piper Jaffray also reviewed equity values based on closing stock prices on April 12, 2012 as a multiple of 2012 and 2013 estimated net income, adjusted to exclude the after-tax impact of stock-based compensation charges and amortization of acquired intangibles, which is referred to as "Adjusted Net Income."

Table of Contents

The ranges of the selected publicly traded companies' trading multiples are as follows:

Enterprise Value as a Multiple of:	Multiples Range
2012E Revenue	4.0x - 4.0x
2013E Revenue	3.5x - 3.5x
2012E Adjusted EBITDA	13.1x - 17.1x
2013E Adjusted EBITDA	11.9x - 13.1x
2012E Adjusted NOPAT	22.2x - 29.0x
2013E Adjusted NOPAT	20.3x - 22.5x

Equity Value as a Multiple of:	Multiples Range
2012E Adjusted Net Income	22.6x - 29.7x
2013E Adjusted Net Income	18.7x - 24.9x

Objet. Piper Jaffray applied a range of selected multiples of 2012 and 2013 revenue, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted NOPAT and Adjusted Net Income derived from the selected publicly traded companies to corresponding data of Objet. Estimated financial data of the selected publicly traded companies were based on publicly available filings and publicly available research analysts' estimates. Estimated financial data of Objet were based on the financial forecasts relating to Objet for the years 2012 and 2013 prepared by the management of Objet, which are referred to as the "Objet Forecasts."

This analysis indicated the following implied per share price reference ranges for Objet:

Implied Reference Ranges for Objet

Metric	Implied Enterprise Value Reference Ranges (in millions)	Implied per Share Price Reference Ranges after Deducting Net Debt
2012E Revenue	\$643 - \$650	\$39.05 - \$39.44
2013E Revenue	\$685 - \$691	\$41.36 - \$41.72
2012E Adjusted EBITDA	\$414 - \$537	\$26.31 - \$33.14
2013E Adjusted EBITDA	\$499 - \$550	\$31.07 - \$33.87
2012E Adjusted NOPAT	\$557 - \$728	\$34.29 - \$43.74
2013E Adjusted NOPAT	\$716 - \$795	\$43.07 - \$47.49
2012E Adjusted Net Income		\$32.00 - \$41.99
2013E Adjusted Net Income		\$37.22 - \$49.47

Stratasys. Piper Jaffray applied a range of selected multiples of 2012 and 2013 revenue, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted NOPAT and Adjusted Net Income derived from the selected publicly traded companies to corresponding data of Stratasys. Estimated financial data of the selected publicly traded companies were based on publicly available filings and publicly available research analysts' estimates. Estimated financial data of Stratasys were based on the financial forecasts relating to Stratasys for the years 2012 and 2013 prepared by the management of Stratasys, which are referred to as the "Stratasys Forecasts."

Table of Contents

This analysis indicated the following implied per share price reference ranges for Stratasys:

Implied Reference Ranges for Stratasys

Metric	Implied Enterprise Value Reference Ranges (in millions)	Implied per Share Price Reference Ranges after Deducting Net Debt
2012E Revenue	\$771 - \$779	\$37.99 - \$38.36
2013E Revenue	\$807 - \$815	\$39.56 - \$39.91
2012E Adjusted EBITDA	\$722 - \$937	\$35.84 - \$45.26
2013E Adjusted EBITDA	\$784 - \$863	\$38.56 - \$42.03
2012E Adjusted NOPAT	\$648 - \$846	\$32.61 - \$41.31
2013E Adjusted NOPAT	\$724 - \$804	\$35.91 - \$39.45
2012E Adjusted Net Income		\$30.75 - \$40.01
2013E Adjusted Net Income		\$31.33 - \$41.28

Based on the per share price reference ranges implied for Objet and Stratasys by the analysis described above, Piper Jaffray calculated the following implied exchange ratio reference ranges, as compared to the exchange ratio provided for in the merger of 1.00x. Piper Jaffray calculated the top end of the implied exchange ratio reference ranges by dividing the top end of the Stratasys implied per share price reference ranges by the bottom end of the Objet implied per share price reference ranges, and calculated the bottom end of the implied exchange ratio reference ranges by dividing the bottom end of the Stratasys implied per share price reference ranges by the top end of the Objet implied per share price reference ranges.

Implied Exchange Ratio Reference Ranges

Metric	Implied Exchange Ratio Reference Ranges
2012E Revenue	0.96x - 0.98x
2013E Revenue	0.95x - 0.96x
2012E Adjusted EBITDA	1.08x - 1.72x
2013E Adjusted EBITDA	1.14x - 1.35x
2012E Adjusted NOPAT	0.75x - 1.20x
2013E Adjusted NOPAT	0.76x - 0.92x
2012E Adjusted Net Income	0.73x - 1.25x
2013E Adjusted Net Income	0.63x - 1.11x

With the exception of Stratasys as one of the selected publicly traded companies used in the analysis of Stratasys, no company used in these analyses is identical or directly comparable to Stratasys or Objet. Accordingly, an evaluation of the results of these analyses is not entirely mathematical. Rather, these analyses involve complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics and other factors that could affect the public trading or other values of the companies to which Stratasys and Objet were compared.

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. Piper Jaffray performed a discounted cash flow analysis of each of Objet and Stratasys to calculate the estimated present value of the standalone unlevered, after-tax free cash flows that Objet and Stratasys could each generate during fiscal years ending December 31, 2012 through 2016. Solely for the purpose of the discounted cash flow analysis, Piper Jaffray developed extended projections for Objet for the years 2014 through 2016 based on trends and relationships observed in the Objet Forecasts (the "Extended Objet Projections"), and the Extended Objet Projections were reviewed and commented on by Stratasys management. In addition, solely for the

Table of Contents

purpose of performing a discounted cash flow analysis, Piper Jaffray developed extended projections for Stratasys for the years 2014 through 2016 based on trends and relationships observed in the Stratasys Forecasts (the "Extended Stratasys Projections"), and the Extended Stratasys Projections were reviewed and commented on by Stratasys management. In performing this analysis, Piper Jaffray used the Objet Forecasts and Stratasys Forecasts for the years 2012 and 2013 and the Extended Objet Projections and Extended Stratasys Projections for the years 2014 through 2016 for Objet and Stratasys, respectively.

Objet. In its discounted cash flow analysis of Objet, Piper Jaffray calculated terminal values for Objet by applying terminal multiples of Adjusted NOPAT, ranging from 16.0x to 18.0x, to Objet's 2016 estimated Adjusted NOPAT. The selected range of multiples was determined by analyzing current Adjusted NOPAT trading multiples and the effect that lower expected growth rates in the future years would have on those multiples. Unlevered free cash flows used in the analysis for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016 and the terminal period were \$26 million, \$33 million, \$45 million, \$59 million, \$73 million and \$73 million, respectively. The cash flows and terminal values were then discounted to present value as of March 31, 2012 using discount rates ranging from 15.0% to 16.0%, which range was derived by using a weighted average cost of capital calculation using the capital asset pricing model based on the selected publicly traded companies, 3D Systems and Stratasys. This analysis indicated the following implied enterprise value reference range and, after deducting net debt, the implied per share price reference range for Objet:

Implied Reference Ranges for Objet

Implied Enterprise Value Reference Range (in millions)	\$728 - \$831
Implied per Share Price Reference Range After Deducting Net Debt	\$44.08 - \$49.77

Stratasys. In its discounted cash flow analysis of Stratasys, Piper Jaffray calculated terminal values for Stratasys by applying terminal multiples of Adjusted NOPAT, ranging from 16.0x to 18.0x, to Stratasys' 2016 estimated Adjusted NOPAT. The selected range of multiples was determined by analyzing current Adjusted NOPAT trading multiples and the effect that lower expected growth rates in the future years would have on those multiples. Unlevered free cash flows used in the analysis for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016 and the terminal period were \$15 million, \$20 million, \$27 million, \$36 million, \$47 million and \$58 million, respectively. The cash flows and terminal values were then discounted to present value as of March 31, 2012 using discount rates ranging from 13.5% to 14.5%, which range was derived by using a weighted average cost of capital calculation using the capital asset pricing model. This analysis indicated the following implied enterprise value reference range and, after deducting net debt, the implied per share price reference range for Stratasys:

Implied Reference Ranges for Stratasys

Implied Enterprise Value Reference Range (in millions)	\$629 - \$723
Implied per Share Price Reference Range After Deducting Net Debt	\$31.95 - \$36.08

Based on the per share price reference ranges implied for Objet and Stratasys by the discounted cash flow analysis described above, Piper Jaffray calculated the following implied exchange ratio reference range, as compared to the exchange ratio provided for in the merger of 1.00x. Piper Jaffray calculated the top end of the implied exchange ratio reference range by dividing the top end of the Stratasys implied per share price reference range by the bottom end of the Objet implied per share price reference range, and calculated the bottom end of the implied exchange ratio reference range by dividing the bottom end of the Stratasys implied per share price reference range by the top end of the Objet implied per share price reference range.

Table of Contents**Implied Exchange Ratio Reference Range**

0.64x - 0.82x

Selected Precedent Transactions Analysis. Piper Jaffray performed a selected precedent transactions analysis of each of Objet and Stratasys in which Piper Jaffray reviewed, to the extent publicly available, financial information relating to merger and acquisitions transactions involving target companies in the 3D printing or additive manufacturing industries which offer products and services that are similar to the products and services offered by Objet and/or Stratasys. Piper Jaffray excluded transactions with enterprise values of \$100 million and less to ensure that the target was of sufficient size and scale to make the transaction multiples meaningful. The 3D printing and additive manufacturing industries are sectors in which mergers and acquisitions activity has been sparse to date, primarily consisting of small acquisitions. Accordingly, Piper Jaffray considered the following selected transaction as the only relevant precedent transaction:

Announcement Date	Acquirer	Target
November 2011	3D Systems Corporation	Z Corp and Vidar

Piper Jaffray reviewed the transaction value in the selected transaction, calculated as the enterprise value implied for the target company based on the consideration payable in the selected transaction, as a multiple of the target company's last 12 months, which is referred to as "LTM," Revenue and Adjusted EBITDA. Financial data of the selected transaction was based on publicly available information.

The multiples from the above-noted precedent transaction are as follows:

Enterprise Value as a Multiple of:	Multiple
LTM Revenue	2.4x
LTM Adjusted EBITDA	19.6x

Objet. In its selected precedent transactions analysis of Objet, Piper Jaffray reviewed the multiples of LTM Revenue and Adjusted EBITDA derived from the selected precedent transaction and applied these multiples to Objet's LTM Revenue and Adjusted EBITDA. Financial data of Objet were based on financial information prepared by Objet management. This analysis indicated the following implied enterprise value reference point and, after deducting net debt, the implied per share price reference point for Objet:

Implied References for Objet

Metric	Implied Enterprise Value References (in millions)	Implied per Share Price References after Deducting Net Debt
LTM Revenue	\$ 286	\$ 19.23
LTM Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 393	\$ 25.18

Stratasys. In its selected precedent transactions analysis of Stratasys, Piper Jaffray reviewed the multiples of LTM Revenue and Adjusted EBITDA derived from the selected precedent transaction and applied these multiples to Stratasys' LTM Revenue and Adjusted EBITDA. Financial data of Stratasys were based on financial information prepared by Stratasys' management. This analysis indicated the

Table of Contents

following implied enterprise value reference point and, after deducting net debt, the implied per share price reference point for Stratasys:

Implied Reference Ranges for Stratasys

Metric	Implied Enterprise Value References (in millions)	Implied per Share Price References after Deducting Net Debt
LTM Revenue	\$ 379	\$ 20.70
LTM Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 857	\$ 41.76

Based on the per share price references implied for Objet and Stratasys by the analysis described above, Piper Jaffray calculated the following implied exchange ratio reference range, as compared to the exchange ratio provided for in the merger of 1.00x. Piper Jaffray calculated the top end of the implied exchange ratio reference range by dividing the Stratasys implied per share price reference based on LTM Adjusted EBITDA by the Objet implied per share price reference based on LTM Adjusted EBITDA, and calculated the bottom end of the implied exchange ratio reference range by dividing the Stratasys implied per share price reference based on LTM Revenue by the Objet implied per share price reference based on LTM Revenue.

Implied Exchange Ratio Reference Range

1.08x - 1.66x

No company, business or transaction used in these analyses is identical or directly comparable to Objet, Stratasys or the merger. Accordingly, an evaluation of the results of these analyses is not entirely mathematical. Rather, these analyses involve complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics and other factors that could affect the acquisition or other values of the companies, business segments or transactions to which Objet, Stratasys and the merger were compared.

Contribution Analysis

Piper Jaffray reviewed the relative financial contributions of Stratasys and Objet to the future financial performance of the combined company on a pro forma basis. Piper Jaffray reviewed 2011 actual and 2012 and 2013 projected revenue, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted NOPAT and Adjusted Net Income, of the combined company on a pro forma basis. Financial data for Stratasys and Objet were based on financial information provided by the respective companies' managements.

Table of Contents

Based on the implied relative equity value contributions, Piper Jaffray calculated the following implied exchange ratios:

	Implied Exchange Ratio
2011 Revenue	1.07x
2012E Revenue	0.97x
2013E Revenue	0.96x
2011 Adjusted EBITDA	1.67x
2012E Adjusted EBITDA	1.37x
2013E Adjusted EBITDA	1.24x
2011 Adjusted NOPAT	1.14x
2012E Adjusted NOPAT	0.95x
2013E Adjusted NOPAT	0.83x
2011 Adjusted Net Income	1.18x
2012E Adjusted Net Income	0.96x
2013E Adjusted Net Income	0.84x

Pro Forma Accretion/Dilution Analysis

Piper Jaffray reviewed the potential pro forma financial effect of the merger on Stratasys' 2012 and 2013 estimated Non-GAAP earnings per share, which is referred to as Non-GAAP EPS, on a pro forma basis both with and without giving effect to the potential cost synergies assumed by the managements of Objet and Stratasys to result from the merger. Non-GAAP EPS is calculated as GAAP EPS excluding the after-tax per share impact of stock-based compensation charges, amortization of acquired intangibles and transaction fees and expenses. Estimated financial data of Stratasys were based on the Stratasys Forecasts and estimated financial data of Objet were based on the Objet Forecasts. This analysis indicated that the merger would be accretive to Stratasys' estimated Non-GAAP EPS for 2012 and 2013, both with and without giving effect to the expected synergies. The analysis was performed on a pro forma basis for the entire 2012 and 2013 projected years. Excluding any synergies, this analysis indicated the following levels of accretion to Stratasys' estimated Non-GAAP EPS for 2012 and 2013:

	2012E	2013E
Standalone Non-GAAP EPS	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.66
Pro Forma Non-GAAP EPS	1.39	1.82
Accretion \$	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.17
Accretion %	2.1%	10.0%

The actual results achieved by the combined company may vary from projected results and the variations may be material.

Including \$7.0 million of annual cost synergies, which represents the low end of the range of the announced expected annual cost synergies of \$7.0 million to \$8.0 million, this analysis indicated the following levels of accretion to Stratasys' estimated Non-GAAP EPS for 2012 and 2013. For the purpose of this analysis, no revenue or tax synergies were assumed.

	2012E	2013E
Standalone Non-GAAP EPS	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.66
Pro Forma Non-GAAP EPS	1.52	1.96
Accretion \$	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.30
Accretion %	11.7%	18.2%

Table of Contents

The actual results achieved by the combined company may vary from projected results and the variations may be material.

Miscellaneous

As noted above, the discussion set forth above is a summary of the material financial analyses prepared by Piper Jaffray in connection with its opinion and is not a comprehensive description of all analyses undertaken by Piper Jaffray in connection with its opinion. The preparation of a financial opinion is a complex analytical process involving various determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances and, therefore, a financial opinion is not readily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. Piper Jaffray believes that its analyses summarized above must be considered as a whole. Piper Jaffray further believes that selecting portions of its analyses and the factors considered or focusing on information presented in tabular format, without considering all analyses and factors or the narrative description of the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the processes underlying Piper Jaffray's analyses and opinion. The fact that any specific analysis has been referred to in the summary above is not meant to indicate that such analysis was given greater weight than any other analysis referred to in the summary.

In performing its analyses, Piper Jaffray considered industry performance, general business and economic conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of Stratasys and Objet. The estimates of the future performance of Stratasys and Objet in or underlying Piper Jaffray's analyses are not necessarily indicative of actual values or actual future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than those estimates or those suggested by Piper Jaffray's analyses. These analyses were prepared solely as part of Piper Jaffray's analysis of the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio to the holders of the common stock of Stratasys and were provided to Stratasys' board of directors in connection with the delivery of Piper Jaffray's opinion. The analyses do not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which a company might actually be sold or the prices at which any securities have traded or may trade at any time in the future. Accordingly, the estimates used in, and the ranges of valuations resulting from, any particular analysis described above are inherently subject to substantial uncertainty and should not be taken to be Piper Jaffray's view of the actual values of Stratasys or Objet.

The type and amount of consideration payable in the merger was determined through negotiations between Stratasys and Objet, and was approved by Stratasys' board of directors. The decision to enter into the merger agreement was solely that of Stratasys' board of directors. As described above, Piper Jaffray's opinion and analyses were only some of many factors considered by Stratasys' board of directors in its evaluation of the proposed merger and should not be viewed as determinative of the views of Stratasys' board of directors or management with respect to the merger or the exchange ratio.

Stratasys agreed to pay Piper Jaffray for its services in connection with the merger an aggregate fee of \$2.25 million, \$1.0 million of which was payable upon the rendering of its opinion and \$1.25 million of which is contingent upon the completion of the merger. Stratasys also agreed to reimburse Piper Jaffray for its reasonable expenses incurred in connection with Piper Jaffray's engagement and to indemnify Piper Jaffray, any controlling person of Piper Jaffray and each of their respective directors, officers, employees, agents and affiliates against specified liabilities, including liabilities under the federal securities laws.

Piper Jaffray and its affiliates comprise a full service securities firm engaged in securities, commodities and derivatives trading, foreign exchange and other brokerage activities, as well as providing investment banking, asset and investment management, financing and financial advisory services and other commercial services and products to a wide range of companies, governments and individuals. In the ordinary course of their businesses, Piper Jaffray and its affiliates may provide

Table of Contents

investment banking and financial advisory services to Stratasys, Objet and the combined company, and/or any of their affiliates; however, in the past two years, Piper Jaffray did not provide any such services to Stratasys, Objet or any of their affiliates other than the services described herein. In addition, in the ordinary courses of their businesses, Piper Jaffray and its affiliates may actively trade securities of Stratasys Inc. or, in the future, the combined company for their own account or the account of their customers and, accordingly, may at any time hold a long or short position in such securities.

In addition to being retained by Stratasys to provide the fairness opinion and advisory services described above, Piper Jaffray was to serve as an underwriter for the prospective initial public offering of Objet's ordinary shares, with respect to which Objet filed a registration statement on Form F-1 with the SEC on March 22, 2012, but which was not completed due to Objet's decision to instead pursue the merger with Stratasys. Piper Jaffray and its affiliates may also, in the future, provide investment banking and other financial services to the combined company and receive compensation for the rendering of such services.

Projected Financial Information*Certain Projected Financial Information for Stratasys*

Stratasys typically does not make forecasts or projections for extended periods due to the unpredictability of the underlying assumptions and estimates. Stratasys does not as a matter of course make public long-term forecasts as to future performance or other prospective financial information beyond the current fiscal year. However, as part of the due diligence process, Stratasys' management prepared and provided to Objet, as well as to Piper Jaffray non-public, projected financial results as a stand-alone company for its 2012 and 2013 fiscal years. In connection with the evaluation of the fairness of the exchange ratio, Piper Jaffray used certain of the financial projections prepared by Stratasys, as well as projections for 2014 through 2016 extrapolated therefrom after review and comment by Stratasys management. These forecasts were also considered by the board of directors of Objet for purposes of evaluating the merger. Piper Jaffray and the board of directors of Stratasys also considered non-public, financial forecasts prepared by Objet management, including Objet's projected financial results for its 2012 and 2013 fiscal years for purposes of, in the case of the board of directors of Stratasys, evaluating the merger, and in the case of Piper Jaffray, evaluating the fairness of the exchange ratio. See " Certain Projected Financial Information for Objet" below for more information about the forecasts prepared by Objet.

The information set forth below is included solely to give stockholders access to the financial projections that were made available to Stratasys and Piper Jaffray and is not included in this proxy statement/prospectus in order to influence any stockholder of Stratasys to make any investment decision with respect to the merger or any other purpose.

The following table presents a summary of Stratasys' forecasts:

	2012E	2013E
	(in millions)	
Net Revenues	\$ 193	\$ 232
Adjusted EBITDA(1)	55	66
Adjusted Net Income(1)	30	37

Table of Contents

The following table presents a summary of the Extended Stratasys Projections, generated by Piper Jaffray for purposes of its financial analyses and reviewed and commented on by management of Stratasys:

	2014E	2015E	2016E
	(in millions)		
Net Revenues	\$ 278	\$ 334	\$ 400
Adjusted EBITDA(1)	79	96	117
Adjusted Net Income(1)	45	56	69

(1)

Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income are non-GAAP financial measures. Stratasys defines Adjusted EBITDA as earnings before interest and taxes, adjusted to exclude depreciation and amortization expense, stock-based compensation charges and transaction fees and expenses. Stratasys defines Adjusted Net Income as net income, adjusted to exclude the after-tax impact of stock-based compensation charges, amortization of acquired intangibles and certain expenses identified by Stratasys to be non-recurring in nature.

Certain Projected Financial Information for Objet

While Objet typically does not make forecasts or projections for extended periods due to the unpredictability of the underlying assumptions and estimates, as part of the due diligence process, Objet management prepared and provided to Stratasys, as well as to Piper Jaffray non-public, projected financial results as a stand-alone company for its 2012 through 2013 fiscal years. In connection with the evaluation of the fairness of the exchange ratio, Piper Jaffray used certain of the financial projections prepared by Objet, as well as projections for 2014 through 2016 extrapolated therefrom after review and comment by Stratasys management. These forecasts were also considered by the board of directors of Stratasys for purposes of evaluating the merger.

The information set forth below is included solely to give stockholders access to the financial projections that were made available to Stratasys and Piper Jaffray and is not included in this proxy statement/prospectus in order to influence any stockholder of Stratasys to make any investment decision with respect to the merger or any other purpose.

The following table presents a summary of Objet forecasts:

	2012E	2013E
	(in millions)	
Net Revenues	\$ 161	\$ 197
Adjusted EBITDA(1)	31	42
Adjusted Net Income(1)	25	36

Table of Contents

The following table presents a summary of the Extended Objet Projections, generated by Piper Jaffray for purposes of its financial analyses and reviewed and commented on by management of Stratasys:

	2014E	2015E	2016E
	(in millions)		
Net Revenues	\$ 239	\$ 288	\$ 346
Adjusted EBITDA(1)	55	72	88
Adjusted Net Income(1)	47	62	76

(1)

Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Net Income are non-GAAP financial measures. Objet defines Adjusted EBITDA as earnings before interest and taxes, adjusted to exclude depreciation and amortization expense, stock-based compensation charges and transaction fees and expenses. Objet defines Adjusted Net Income as net income, adjusted to exclude the after-tax impact of stock-based compensation charges, amortization of acquired intangibles and transaction fees and expenses.

Important Information about the Projected Financial Information

The inclusion of the financial projections should not be regarded as an indication that Stratasys, Objet or Piper Jaffray or anyone who received the projections then considered, or now considers, the projections to be material information of Stratasys or Objet or a reliable prediction of future events, and this information should not be relied upon as such.

In preparing the projected financial information for Stratasys included in this proxy statement/prospectus, Stratasys' management made the following material assumptions:

Introduction of Stratasys' Fortus 250mc (Stratasys' entry-level DDM product) in July 2011 will enable year-over-year growth in revenues for Stratasys' high-end, Fortus line of products in 2012 due in part to a full year of sales of that product;

An increasing installed base, projected pricing and consumer usage patterns will drive growth in revenues from consumables;

Stratasys' RedEye parts service business, as led by a new general manager retained in 2011, will continue to increase its revenue growth rate, due in part to strategic partnerships that have been, and will continue to be, established;

Implementation of initiatives by Stratasys related to sales channel development, new product introduction, consumables and Stratasys' Redeye parts service business will enable Stratasys to sustain a substantial growth rate in overall revenues in 2012 and 2013;

Stratasys will be able to generate increased margins from its 3D printer sales, especially via its Mojo product, which will be sold through a large number of selling agents (including in North America);

An increased installed base will drive growth of high-margin consumable sales;

A decline in overall operating expenses as a percentage of sales due to (i) increased customer reimbursement of research and development, (ii) more operating leverage for marketing expenses and (iii) absence of commission or bonus acceleration in respect of sales; and

Income tax rates for Strasys that are consistent with historical norms.

Table of Contents

In preparing the projected financial information for Objet included in this proxy statement/prospectus, Objet's management made the following material assumptions:

Increased revenues from equipment based on Objet's product offering, including further penetration by its entry-level Desktop series 3D printers, the continued growth of its larger capacity Eden and Connex 3D printers, the introduction of new features across all of its printers and the introduction of new printing platforms;

Increased revenues from consumables based on a larger installed base and continued consumer usage patterns of its installed printers; and

A decline in operating expenses as a percentage of sales due to (i) research and development expenses growing at a slower pace than growth in total revenues and (ii) increased operating leverage for sales and marketing and general and administrative expenses.

While the financial forecasts set forth under " Projected Financial Information" above, which are collectively referred to as the Forecasts, of each company as a stand-alone company were prepared in good faith, no assurance can be made regarding future events. The estimates and assumptions underlying the Forecasts involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive, regulatory and financial market conditions and future business decisions that may not be realized and that are inherently subject to significant business, economic, competitive and regulatory uncertainties and contingencies, including, among others, risks and uncertainties described under "Risk Factors" and "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" beginning on pages 17 and 51, respectively, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the control of Stratasy's and/or Objet and will be beyond the control of the combined company. None of the Forecasts assumes the combination of Stratasy's and Objet or take into account any estimated synergies or dissynergies from the combination.

There can be no assurance that the underlying assumptions will prove to be accurate or that the projected results will be realized, and actual results may differ, and may differ materially, from those reflected in the Forecasts, whether or not the merger is completed. The Forecasts therefore cannot be considered necessarily predictive of actual future operating results, and this information should not be relied on as such.

The Forecasts were prepared solely for internal use by Stratasy's and Objet and not with a view toward public disclosure or with a view toward complying with the guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for preparation and presentation of prospective financial data, published guidelines of the SEC regarding forward-looking statements or GAAP. In the view of Stratasy's management, the Forecasts related to Stratasy's were prepared on a reasonable basis based on the best information available to Stratasy's management at the time of their preparation. In the view of Objet management, the Forecasts related to Objet were prepared on a reasonable basis based on the best information available to Objet at the time of their preparation. The Forecasts, however, are not fact and should not be relied upon as being necessarily indicative of actual future results, and readers of this proxy statement/prospectus are cautioned not to place undue reliance on this information.

All of the Forecasts were prepared by or in conjunction with and are the responsibility of Stratasy's and Objet, respectively, as indicated. Neither Grant Thornton LLP (Stratasy's' independent registered public accounting firm) nor Kesselman & Kesselman, a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (Objet's independent registered public accounting firm) has examined, compiled or otherwise performed any procedures with respect to the prospective financial information contained in the Forecasts and, accordingly, neither Grant Thornton nor Kesselman & Kesselman has expressed any opinion or given any other form of assurance with respect thereto and they assume no responsibility for the prospective financial information. The Kesselman & Kesselman report included in

Table of Contents

this proxy statement/prospectus relates to Objet's historical financial information. It does not extend to the prospective financial information and should not be read to do so.

By including in this proxy statement/prospectus a summary of certain Stratasys and Objet financial forecasts, none of Stratasys, Objet, or any of their representatives has made or makes any representation to any stockholder regarding the ultimate performance of Stratasys or Objet compared to the information contained in the Forecasts. The Forecasts related to Stratasys were prepared during the periods described above and have not been updated to reflect any changes since April 13, 2012 or the actual results of operations of Stratasys, as set forth under "Selected Historical Financial Data of Stratasys" beginning on page 162. The Forecasts related to Objet were prepared during the periods described above and have not been updated to reflect any changes since April 13, 2012 or the actual results of operations of Objet, as set forth under "Selected Historical Financial Data of Objet" beginning on page 162. None of Stratasys, Objet or, after completion of the merger, the combined company undertakes any obligation, except as required by law, to update or otherwise revise the Forecasts to reflect circumstances existing since their preparation or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, even in the event that any or all of the underlying assumptions prove to be in error, or to reflect changes in general economic or industry conditions.

The summary of the Forecasts is not included in this proxy statement/prospectus in order to induce any stockholder to vote in favor of the merger proposal or any of the other proposals to be voted on at the Stratasys special meeting.

Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger

Interests of Stratasys Executive Officers and Directors

In considering the recommendation of the Stratasys board of directors that you, as a Stratasys stockholder, vote to adopt the merger agreement, you should be aware that some of Stratasys' executive officers and directors may have interests in the transaction that may be different from, or in addition to, your interests as a Stratasys stockholder. The Stratasys board of directors was aware of these interests and took these interests into account in adopting the merger agreement and the merger and submitting it to the stockholders for adoption.

Employment Following the Merger

It is intended that S. Scott Crump will be appointed Chairman of the combined company's board of directors and Thomas W. Stenoien will be appointed as Chief Operating Officer of Stratasys, Inc. (the combined company's wholly-owned subsidiary) after the merger, and it is expected that the following four members of Stratasys' current board of directors will be elected to the combined company's board of directors following the merger: S. Scott Crump, Edward J. Fierko, John J. McEleney and Clifford H. Schwieter. In addition, Mr. Crump and Mr. McEleney are expected to be appointed to the executive committee of the combined company's board of directors following the merger.

Merger Consideration

The table below shows, for each of Stratasys' directors and executive officers who beneficially own Stratasys stock, the number of shares of stock held by such person and the number of Objet ordinary shares to be issued to such person as consideration in the merger. The number of shares of Stratasys common stock shown as beneficially owned includes all shares underlying options (other than recently-granted options, as described below), whether currently exercisable or not, as all unvested options held

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Table of Contents

by Stratasys directors and executive officers (other than such recently granted options) will automatically vest upon consummation of the merger.

Name	Number of Shares of Stratasys Common Stock Beneficially Owned(1)	Percent of Stratasys Class(1)	Number of Objet Ordinary Shares to be Received in Merger(2)
S. Scott Crump, CEO, President and Chairman of the Board	376,440(3)	1.76%	376,440
Thomas W. Stenoien, Chief Operating Officer	55,200(4)	*	55,200
Robert F. Gallagher, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary	82,000(5)	*	82,000
Ralph E. Crump, Director	508,360(6)	2.37%	508,360
Edward J. Fierko, Director	119,200(7)	*	119,200
John J. McEleney, Director	55,500(8)	*	55,500
Clifford H. Schwieter, Director	65,600(9)	*	65,600
Gregory L. Wilson, Director	146,700(10)	*	146,700

(1) Based on 21,336,451 shares issued and outstanding as of July 30, 2012.

(2) Based on the exchange ratio in the merger of one Objet ordinary share, and an option to purchase one Objet ordinary share, to be issued for each share and each option to purchase one share, respectively, of Stratasys common stock held by the director or executive officer.

(3) Includes 31,600 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 44,400 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger. Does not include 18,000 shares underlying recently granted options that are not presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days and that do not vest upon closing of the merger. Also includes 169,703 shares owned of record by Mr. Crump's wife. Mr. Crump disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares owned by his wife. In addition, Mr. Crump disclaims beneficial ownership of 216,180 shares owned of record, 31,600 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 44,400 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger held by Ralph E. Crump, Mr. S. Scott Crump's father, and 216,180 shares owned of record by Mr. Crump's mother.

(4) Represents 16,800 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 41,200 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger. Does not include 16,000 shares underlying recently granted options that are not presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days and that do not vest upon closing of the merger.

Table of Contents

- (5) Includes 34,800 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 47,200 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger.
- (6) Includes 31,600 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 44,400 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger. Does not include 18,000 shares underlying recently granted options that are not presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days and that do not vest upon closing of the merger. Also includes 216,180 shares owned of record by Mr. Crump's wife. Mr. Crump disclaims beneficial ownership of all shares owned by his wife. In addition, Mr. Crump disclaims beneficial ownership of 130,737 shares owned of record, 31,600 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 44,400 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger held by S. Scott Crump, and 169,703 shares owned of record by Mr. Crump's daughter-in-law.
- (7) Includes 14,800 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 44,400 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger. Does not include 18,000 shares underlying recently granted options that are not presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days and that do not vest upon closing of the merger.
- (8) Represents 11,100 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 44,400 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger. Does not include 18,000 shares underlying recently granted options that are not presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days and that do not vest upon closing of the merger.
- (9) Includes 3,200 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 44,400 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger. Does not include 18,000 shares underlying recently granted options that are not presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days and that do not vest upon closing of the merger.
- (10) Includes 3,200 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after July 30, 2012, and 44,400 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that will become exercisable upon closing of the merger. Does not include 18,000 shares underlying recently granted options that are not presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days and that do not vest upon closing of the merger.
- * Less than 1%.

Merger Related Compensation.

All options awarded by Stratasys before April 13, 2012, the date of the merger agreement, provide that upon a "change in control," as defined in the option agreements, such options become immediately exercisable, regardless of their original vesting provisions. The merger is a change in control of Stratasys under the terms of the option agreements. Accordingly, all options granted before April 13, 2012, and held by each Stratasys "named executive officer" will become immediately exercisable at the effective time of the merger, provided that such named executive officer is employed by Stratasys at that time. After the effective time of the merger, a named executive officer will be able to exercise any or all of the vested options until the options expire or terminate in accordance with their terms.

Table of Contents

The following table and the related footnotes present information about the compensation that the 2011 named executive officers of Stratasys would be deemed to receive upon the vesting of their unvested options in connection with the merger, assuming it had occurred on July 30, 2012, the latest practicable date prior to the filing of this proxy statement/prospectus. The compensation shown in the table below is subject to a nonbinding advisory vote of the stockholders of Stratasys at the special meeting, as described in this proxy statement/prospectus under "Proposal Two Certain Compensatory Arrangements with Named Executive Officers."

Golden Parachute Compensation

Name(1)	Equity \$(2)	Total (\$)
S. Scott Crump <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	\$ 1,054,536	\$ 1,054,536
Robert F. Gallagher <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	\$ 1,144,616	\$ 1,144,616
Thomas W. Stenoien <i>Chief Operating Officer</i>	\$ 982,616	\$ 982,616

(1) Under applicable SEC rules, the Stratasys named executive officers for this purpose include the individuals who served as Stratasys' principal executive officer and principal financial officer during 2011 as well as Stratasys' three other most highly compensated executive officers during 2011.

(2) The amounts set forth under the column captioned "Equity" consist of the value of the accelerated vesting of unvested options held by each named executive officer. The acceleration of the options is deemed to be "single-trigger" because it will occur upon the completion of the merger and is not conditioned upon a resignation or other termination of service. The value of the options is calculated in accordance with SEC rules as the difference between (x) the value of Stratasys common stock based on the average closing price of Stratasys shares as reported on NASDAQ for the first five business days following public announcement of the merger, which was \$45.26, and (y) the exercise price of each of the unvested options subject to accelerated vesting. The actual value on the vesting date of the options subject to accelerated vesting will depend on the value of Stratasys common stock on that date. The vesting of options with exercise prices greater than \$45.26 will also be accelerated, but there is no value associated with such vesting acceleration in this table.

Indemnification and Insurance

Objet has agreed that, from the effective time of the merger until the sixth anniversary of such effective time, the combined company will cause the officers and directors of Stratasys to be covered with respect to acts or omissions occurring prior to the effective time of the merger, (x) by the policy of directors' and officers' liability insurance maintained by Objet as of the date of the merger agreement, or (y) by another policy, with policy limits, terms and conditions at least as favorable as those of the existing Stratasys policy. Additionally, Objet has agreed that the surviving corporation of the merger will honor all rights to indemnification, advancement of expenses and exculpation existing in favor of a director or officer of Stratasys and its subsidiaries under Stratasys' certificate of incorporation and bylaws as in effect as of the date of the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

Interests of Objet Executive Officers and Directors

Objet's executive officers and directors will also benefit as a result of the consummation of the merger, as options to purchase Objet ordinary shares held by them (as well as all other options to purchase ordinary shares granted under Objet's Amended and Restated 2004 Omnibus Stock Option and Restricted Stock Incentive Plan, or the 2004 Plan) will become exercisable to the extent already vested as a result of the consummation of the merger. That is based on a provision of the 2004 Plan that conditions the exercisability of any option to purchase Objet ordinary shares granted under the 2004 Plan (even if it has already vested) upon the closing of an initial public offering, or a merger or other similar transaction involving Objet. The vesting schedule of options to purchase Objet ordinary shares will not, however, be accelerated or otherwise affected by the consummation of the merger. For more information concerning this provision under the 2004 Plan, please see "Objet's Business - Objet Executive Compensation - Share Incentive Plans" elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus.

Stratasys Options and Warrants

At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding option to purchase one share of Stratasys common stock will be converted into an option that will entitle its holder to acquire one Objet ordinary share at an exercise price per Objet ordinary share that is equal to the original exercise price per Stratasys share, and in accordance with the remaining terms of the original Stratasys option. At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding warrant to purchase one share of Stratasys common stock will be deemed to be exchanged for a substitute warrant that will entitle its holder to acquire, in lieu of one share of Stratasys common stock, one Objet ordinary share at an exercise price per Objet ordinary share that is equal to the original exercise price per Stratasys share, and in accordance with the remaining terms of the original Stratasys warrant. As noted above, all outstanding options will be fully exercisable automatically as a result of the consummation of the merger under the terms of option awards, other than options granted after execution of the merger agreement. Accordingly, holders of those options (other than the aforementioned recently-granted options) will be entitled to exercise them and acquire underlying Objet ordinary shares immediately following the effective time of the merger.

Board of Directors of the Combined Company Following the Merger

Upon completion of the merger, the combined company's board of directors is expected to consist of nine members. The current Stratasys board of directors will have the right to nominate four members of the board, who are expected to be Messrs. S. Scott Crump, Edward J. Fierko, John J. McEleney and Clifford H. Schwieter. The current Objet board of directors will also have the right to nominate four members of the board, one of whom will be an independent, external director under the Israeli Companies Law. These members are expected to be Messrs. Elchanan Jaglom, Ilan Levin and Eyal Desheh and Ms. Adina Shorr. Eyal Desheh qualifies as, and will serve as, an external director under the Israeli Companies Law. The final member of the Objet board will be an independent, external director not affiliated with either Stratasys or Objet, who will be nominated by the current Stratasys board of directors, subject to the approval of Objet's current board of directors. Victor Leventhal has been nominated by Stratasys and approved by Objet to serve in that capacity. The remaining (if any) current directors of Objet will resign as of the effective time of the merger. Information about directors of the combined company can be found under the heading "Management Following the Merger" and in the documents listed under the heading "Where You Can Find More Information."

Table of Contents

Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger and the Holding and Disposing of Objet Ordinary Shares Received in the Merger

The following is a summary of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to U.S. holders (as defined below) of Stratasys common stock. This discussion is based upon the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, the Treasury Regulations issued under the Code and published rulings and procedures of the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, judicial authorities, published positions of the IRS, and other applicable authorities, all as currently in effect and all of which are subject to change or differing interpretations (possibly with retroactive effect). This discussion is limited to U.S. holders who hold their shares of Stratasys common stock as capital assets for U.S. federal income tax purposes within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code (generally, assets held for investment). This discussion does not address the tax consequences applicable to Stratasys stockholders who are not U.S. holders, nor does it address all of the tax consequences that may be relevant to any particular U.S. holder or U.S. holders who are subject to special treatment under U.S. federal income tax laws, including, without limitation:

financial institutions;

insurance companies;

partnerships and other pass-through entities;

tax-exempt organizations;

certain former U.S. citizens or long-term residents;

regulated investment companies;

real estate investment trusts;

dealers in securities or currencies;

U.S. persons whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar;

traders in securities that elect to use a mark-to-market method of accounting;

persons that hold shares of Stratasys common stock (or after the merger, Objet ordinary shares) as part of a straddle, hedge, constructive sale or conversion transaction;

persons who are subject to the alternative minimum tax provisions of the Code; and

persons who acquired their shares of Stratasys common stock (or after the merger, Objet ordinary shares) through the exercise of an employee stock option or otherwise as compensation.

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

If a partnership or other entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds shares of Stratasys common stock (or after the merger, Objet ordinary shares), the U.S. federal income tax treatment of a partner in the partnership generally will depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Partnerships holding shares of Stratasys common stock (or after the merger, Objet ordinary shares) and partners in such partnerships should consult their own tax advisors about the U.S. federal income tax consequences to them of the merger and the holding and disposition of Objet ordinary shares received in the merger.

This discussion does not address the tax consequences of the merger under state, local or foreign tax laws. This discussion also does not address the tax consequences of any transaction other than the merger.

Stratasys stockholders should consult with their own tax advisors as to the tax consequences of the merger and the holding and disposition of Objet ordinary shares based on their particular circumstances, including the applicability and effect of U.S. federal (including the alternative minimum

Table of Contents

tax), state, local or non-U.S. and other tax laws and of changes in those laws and applicable reporting requirements.

For purposes of this section, the term "U.S. holder" means a beneficial owner of shares of Stratasys common stock (or after the merger, Objet ordinary shares) who or that for U.S. federal income tax purposes is (1) an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States, (2) a corporation, or other entity classified as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any of its political subdivisions, (3) an estate that is subject to U.S. federal income tax on its income regardless of its source, or (4) a trust (i) the substantial decisions of which are controlled by one or more U.S. persons and which is subject to the primary supervision of a U.S. court, or (ii) that has validly elected under applicable Treasury Regulations to be treated as a U.S. person for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Tax Consequences of the Merger to Stratasys and Objet

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger to Stratasys and Objet

Neither Stratasys nor Objet will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on any aspect of the merger.

Tax Consequences of the Merger to U.S. Holders

The merger should qualify as a "reorganization" within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. Nevertheless, Section 367(a) of the Code and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder will require each U.S. holder who exchanges shares of Stratasys common stock for Objet ordinary shares pursuant to the merger to recognize gain, but not loss, on the exchange. If a U.S. holder exchanges shares of Stratasys common stock on which gains are realized and other shares of Stratasys common stock on which losses are realized, the U.S. holder may not net the losses against the gains to determine the amount of gain recognized.

The amount of gain recognized by a U.S. holder will equal the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the Objet ordinary shares received in the merger over the U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis in the shares of Stratasys common stock surrendered. The gain recognized will be a capital gain and will be a long-term capital gain if the shares of Stratasys common stock are held for more than one year at the time of the merger. Net long-term capital gains, (that is, generally, long-term capital gains in excess of capital losses) recognized by non-corporate U.S. holders will be taxed at a maximum preferential rate of 15%. Net capital gains recognized by a non-corporate U.S. holder who held Stratasys common stock for one year or less at the time of the exchange will be taxed at ordinary income tax rates. Capital gain recognized by a corporate U.S. holder will be subject to tax at the ordinary income tax rates applicable to corporations.

A U.S. holder will have an adjusted tax basis in the Objet ordinary shares equal to the adjusted tax basis of the shares of Stratasys common stock exchanged in the merger increased by the amount of gain, if any, recognized by the U.S. holder.

The holding period for the Objet ordinary shares received by a U.S. holder should include the holding period of the shares of Stratasys common stock surrendered in the merger.

U.S. Tax Considerations Related to Holding and Disposing of Objet Ordinary Shares Received in the Merger

Distributions on Objet Ordinary Shares

Objet is not expected to make distributions with respect to its stock in the current year, nor are there any current plans to make distributions in future years. If and when distributions are made, then subject to the discussion below under "Passive Foreign Investment Company Considerations," a U.S. holder generally will be required to include in gross income as a dividend the amount of any

Table of Contents

distribution paid on Objet ordinary shares, including the amount of any Israeli tax withheld, to the extent the distribution is paid out of Objet's current or accumulated earnings and profits as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles. Amounts not treated as dividends by reason of a distribution exceeding current and accumulated earnings and profits will be treated as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of the U.S. holder's tax basis in the Objet ordinary shares and will reduce (but not below zero) such tax basis in Objet ordinary shares. Any remaining excess will be treated as gain from a sale of Objet ordinary shares (see discussion below under Disposition of Objet Ordinary Shares). Absent a change in current law, dividends received by U.S. holders after 2012 will be taxed at ordinary income tax rates. Dividends paid by Objet will not qualify for the dividends-received deduction applicable in some cases to U.S. corporations. The amount of a distribution paid in New Israeli Shekel, including any Israeli tax withheld, is translated into dollars at the spot rate of exchange on the date the distribution was received for the purpose of determining the amount, if any, included in the U.S. Holder's gross income. Any gain or loss resulting from currency exchange fluctuations during the period from the date the dividend is includible in the gross income of the U.S. holder to the date that the payment is converted into U.S. dollars generally will be ordinary income or loss.

Foreign Tax Credit

Subject to certain conditions and limitations, Israeli tax withheld from distributions paid on Objet ordinary shares may be deducted by a U.S. holder to determine taxable income or claimed as a credit against the U.S. holder's U.S. federal income tax liability. The limitations on claiming a credit are significant and extremely complex. Each U.S. holder should consult with its own tax advisor to determine whether and to what extent that U.S. holder would be entitled to claim a credit for Israeli tax withheld from distributions on Objet ordinary shares.

Disposition of Objet Ordinary Shares

Subject to the discussion below under " Passive Foreign Investment Company Considerations," a U.S. holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss upon the sale or other disposition of Objet ordinary shares equal to the difference between the amount realized and the holder's adjusted tax basis in the ordinary shares. The gain or loss recognized will be treated as long-term capital gain if, at the time of the sale or disposition, such ordinary shares were held for more than one year. Net long-term capital gains recognized by a non-corporate U.S. holder are currently taxed at a maximum rate of 15%. The maximum tax rate on net long-term capital gains is scheduled to increase to 20% for gains recognized after 2012. The deductibility of capital losses by a U.S. holder is subject to limitations.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Considerations

Special U.S. federal income tax rules apply to U.S. holders owning shares of an entity treated as a passive foreign investment company, or a PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes. A non-U.S. corporation will be a PFIC for any taxable year in which, after applying look-through rules, 75% or more of its gross income consists of specified types of passive income, or 50% or more of the average value of its assets consists of passive assets. Passive assets consist of assets that generate, or are held for the production of, passive income. Cash, even if held as working capital, is a passive asset. Passive income includes dividends, interest, rents, royalties, certain gains and amounts derived by reason of the temporary investment of funds. Generally, if a non-U.S. corporation is a PFIC at any point in time when a U.S. holder owns stock of the corporation, the corporation will always be considered a PFIC with respect to that U.S. holder, notwithstanding that the corporation never satisfies the PFIC income test or PFIC asset test in a subsequent year.

Classification of a non-U.S. corporation as a PFIC may have significant adverse tax consequences to U.S. holders. Generally, a U.S. holder who disposes of shares of PFIC stock is taxed under the "excess distribution" regime. Under that regime, gain realized on the disposition is allocated ratably on

Table of Contents

a daily basis to each day of the U.S. holder's holding period for the shares. Gain allocated to any period preceding the first year in the holding period when the corporation was a PFIC and gain allocated to the year of disposition will be treated as gain arising in the year of disposition and taxed at ordinary U.S. federal income tax rates in effect for that year. Gain allocated to each of the other years will be taxed at the highest applicable ordinary income tax rate in effect for each of those years. An interest charge, determined at the rate generally applicable to underpayments of tax for each of these years, is added to the tax calculated for each of these years and to the tax calculated for the year in which the sale of the shares occurs to arrive at the U.S. holder's tax on the gain. Distributions on shares of PFIC stock that exceed 125% of the average annual distributions paid on those shares in the three preceding years (or, if shorter, the portion of the U.S. holder's holding period before the taxable year) are taxed similarly.

Objet believes that it will not be a PFIC for the taxable year ending on December 31, 2012, nor will it become a PFIC as a result of the merger or any other transaction contemplated by the merger agreement. However, the tests for determining PFIC status are subject to a number of uncertainties. In addition, these tests are applied annually, and it is difficult to accurately project future income and asset values relevant to this determination. Because Objet holds, and the Objet is expected to continue to hold following the merger, a substantial amount of cash or cash equivalents, and because the calculation of the value of its assets may be based in part on the value of Objet's ordinary shares, which may fluctuate after the merger and may fluctuate considerably given that market prices of technology companies historically often have been volatile, there can be no assurance that the Objet will not be a PFIC in any taxable year. **U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisers about the PFIC rules and their potential applicability to Objet, currently and in the future.**

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Generally, an individual U.S. holder will be required to report on IRS Form 8938, the ownership of Objet ordinary shares if the value of the shares held exceeds specific thresholds during or at the end of a taxable year. Significant penalties may be assessed on an individual who fails to comply with this reporting requirement.

Information reporting requirements will also apply to distributions on Objet ordinary shares or proceeds on the disposition of Objet ordinary shares paid within the U.S. (and, in certain cases, outside the U.S.) to U.S. holders other than certain exempt recipients, such as corporations. Furthermore, backup withholding may apply to such amounts unless the U.S. holder provides proof of an applicable exemption or furnishes its taxpayer identification number, and otherwise complies with all applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules.

Payments to Non-U.S. Holders of distributions on, or proceeds from the disposition of, Objet ordinary shares are generally exempt from information reporting and backup withholding. However, a Non-U.S. Holder may be required to establish that exemption by providing certification of Non-U.S. status on an appropriate IRS Form W-8.

Any amounts withheld from payments under the backup withholding rules are not additional tax and will be allowed as a credit against the stockholder's U.S. federal income tax liability, provided the required information is timely furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

The discussion above is a general summary. It does not cover all U.S. federal income tax matters that may be of importance to a U.S. holder. Each U.S. holder is urged to consult its own tax advisor about the U.S. federal income tax consequences to it of the merger and the holding and disposition of Objet ordinary shares in light of such U.S. holder's own circumstances.

Table of Contents

Material Israeli Tax Considerations

The following summarizes the main Israeli tax considerations for shareholders of the combined company holding Objet ordinary shares subsequent to the merger. This summary is based on the current provisions of tax law. To the extent that the discussion is based on new tax legislation that has not been subject to judicial or administrative interpretation, there can be no assurance that the views expressed in the discussion will be accepted by the appropriate tax authorities or the courts.

The summary does not address all of the tax consequences that may be relevant to all holders of Objet ordinary shares in light of each holder's particular circumstances and specific tax treatment. For example, the summary below does not address the tax treatment of residents of Israel and traders in securities who are subject to specific tax regimes. As individual circumstances may differ, holders of Objet ordinary shares should consult their own tax adviser as to the Israeli or other tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of ordinary shares. The following is not intended, and should not be construed, as legal or professional tax advice and is not exhaustive of all possible tax considerations. Each individual should consult his or her own tax or legal adviser.

Based solely upon and subject to the foregoing, the discussion in the preceding two paragraphs and the following discussion constitute the opinion of Meitar Liquornik Geva Leshem Brandwein LLP, counsel to Objet, to the extent that it states a legal conclusion relating to matters of Israeli tax law, subject to the qualifications, assumptions and limitations set forth in Objet's registration statement on Form F-4, of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus is a part.

Tax Consequences Regarding Disposition of Objet Ordinary Shares

Israeli law generally imposes a capital gain tax on the sale of any capital assets by residents of Israel, as defined for Israeli tax purposes, and on the sale of assets located in Israel, including shares of Israeli companies, by both residents and non-residents of Israel, unless a specific exemption is available or unless a tax treaty between Israel and the shareholder's country of residence provides otherwise. The Israeli Income Tax Ordinance, 1961, distinguishes between "real capital gain" and "inflationary surplus". The inflationary surplus is a portion of the total capital gain which is equivalent to the increase of the relevant asset's purchase price which is attributable to the increase in the Israeli consumer price index or, in certain circumstances, a foreign currency exchange rate, between the date of purchase and the date of sale. The real capital gain is the excess of the total capital gain over the inflationary surplus.

Israeli Resident Shareholders

Israeli Resident Individuals. Beginning as of January 1, 2006, the tax rate applicable to real capital gain derived by Israeli individuals from the sale of shares which had been purchased on or after January 1, 2003, whether or not listed on a stock exchange, is 20%. However, if such a shareholder is considered a substantial shareholder (that is, a person who holds, directly or indirectly, alone or together with another, 10% or more of any of the company's "means of control" (including, among other things, the right to receive profits of the company, voting rights, the right to receive the company's liquidation proceeds and the right to appoint a director)) at the time of sale or at any time during the preceding 12-month period, such gain will be taxed at the rate of 25%. Individual shareholders dealing in securities in Israel are taxed at the tax rates applicable to business income (up to 45% in 2011, and up to 48% in 2012).

Notwithstanding the foregoing, pursuant to a 2011 amendment to Israeli tax law, the capital gain tax rate applicable to individuals was raised from 20% to 25% from 2012 and onwards (or from 25% to 30% if the selling individual shareholder is a substantial shareholder at any time during the 12-month period preceding the sale). With respect to assets (but not shares that are listed on a stock exchange) purchased on or after January 1, 2003, the portion of the gain generated from the date of acquisition

Table of Contents

until December 31, 2011 will be subject to the previous capital gains tax rates (20% or 25%) and the portion of the gain generated from January 1, 2012 until the date of sale will be subject to the new tax rates (25% or 30%).

Israeli Resident Corporations. Under present Israeli tax legislation, the tax rate applicable to real capital gain derived by Israeli resident corporations from the sale of shares of an Israeli company is the general corporate tax rate, which has been set at 25% from 2012 and onwards.

Non-Israeli Resident Shareholders

Israeli capital gain tax is imposed on the disposal of capital assets by a non-Israeli resident if such assets are either (i) located in Israel; (ii) shares or rights to shares in an Israeli resident company; or (iii) represent, directly or indirectly, rights to assets located in Israel, unless, in each case, a tax treaty between Israel and the seller's country of residence provides otherwise. As mentioned above, real capital gain derived by a company is generally subject to tax at the corporate tax rate (25% as of 2012 and onward) or, if derived by an individual, and if generated from an asset purchased on or after January 1, 2003, at the rate of 20% (25% as of 2012), or 25% (30% as of 2012), if such individual shareholder is considered a substantial shareholder at any time during the 12-month period preceding such sale. Individual and corporate shareholders dealing in securities in Israel are taxed at the tax rates applicable to business income (at the corporate tax rate for a corporation, and at a marginal tax rate of up to 48% for an individual in 2012).

Notwithstanding the foregoing, shareholders who are non-Israeli residents (individuals and corporations) are generally exempt from Israeli capital gain tax on any gains derived from the sale, exchange or disposition of shares publicly traded on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange or on a recognized stock exchange outside of Israel, such as the NASDAQ Global Select Market, provided, among other things, that (i) such gains are not generated through a permanent establishment that the non-Israeli resident maintains in Israel, (ii) the shares were purchased after being listed on a recognized stock exchange, and (iii) with respect to shares listed on a recognized stock exchange outside of Israel, such shareholders are not subject to the Israeli Income Tax Law (Inflationary Adjustments) 5745-1985, or the Inflationary Adjustments Law. However, non-Israeli corporations will not be entitled to the foregoing exemptions if an Israeli resident (a) has a controlling interest of 25% or more in such non-Israeli corporation, or (b) is the beneficiary of or is entitled to 25% or more of the revenues or profits of such non-Israeli corporation, whether directly or indirectly. Such exemption is not applicable to a person whose gains from selling or otherwise disposing of the shares are deemed to be business income.

In addition, a sale of securities may be exempt from Israeli capital gain tax under the provisions of an applicable tax treaty. For example, under the U.S.-Israel Tax Treaty, which is referred to as the U.S-Israel Treaty, the sale, exchange or disposition of shares of an Israeli company by a shareholder who is a U.S. resident (for purposes of the U.S.-Israel Treaty) holding the shares as a capital asset is exempt from Israeli capital gains tax unless either (i) the shareholder holds, directly or indirectly, shares representing 10% or more of the voting rights during any part of the 12-month period preceding such sale, exchange or disposition; (ii) the shareholder, if an individual, has been present in Israel for a period or periods of 183 days or more in the aggregate during the applicable taxable year; or (iii) the capital gains arising from such sale are attributable to a permanent establishment of the shareholder which is maintained in Israel. In any such case, the sale, exchange or disposition of such shares would be subject to Israeli tax, to the extent applicable; however, under the U.S.-Israel Treaty, a U.S. resident would be permitted to claim a credit for the Israeli tax against the U.S. federal income tax imposed with respect to the sale, exchange or disposition, subject to the limitations in U.S. laws applicable to foreign tax credits. The U.S-Israel Treaty does not provide such credit against any U.S. state or local taxes.

Table of Contents

Payors of consideration for traded securities, like Objet ordinary shares, including the purchaser, the Israeli stockbroker effectuating the transaction, or the financial institution through which the sold securities are held, are required, subject to any of the foregoing exemptions and the demonstration of a shareholder regarding his, her or its foreign residency, to withhold tax upon the sale of publicly traded securities from the consideration or from the real capital gain derived from such sale, as applicable, at the rate of 25%.

Taxes Applicable to Dividends

Israeli Resident Shareholders

Israeli Resident Individuals. Israeli residents who are individuals are generally subject to Israeli income tax for dividends paid on Objet ordinary shares (other than bonus shares or share dividends) at 25%, or 30% if the recipient of such dividend is a substantial shareholder at the time of distribution or at any time during the preceding 12-month period. However, under the Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investment, 1959, or the Investment Law, any distribution of dividends from taxable income accrued during the period of benefit of an Approved Enterprise, Benefited Enterprise or Preferred Enterprise is subject to withholding tax at the rate of 15%, if the dividend is distributed during the tax benefit period under the Investment Law or within 12 years after that period. An average rate will be set in case the dividend is distributed from mixed types of income (regular and Approved/ Benefited/ Preferred Enterprise income).

Israeli Resident Corporations. Israeli resident corporations are generally exempt from Israeli corporate tax for dividends paid on Objet ordinary shares.

Non-Israeli Resident Shareholders

Non-Israeli residents (whether individuals or corporations) are generally subject to Israeli withholding tax on the receipt of dividends paid for publicly traded shares, like Objet ordinary shares, at the rate of 20% (25% as of 2012, so long as the shares are registered with a nominee company) or 15% if the dividend is distributed from income attributed to Objet's Approved Enterprises or Benefited Enterprises, unless a reduced rate is provided under an applicable tax treaty. For example, under the U.S-Israel Treaty, the maximum rate of tax withheld in Israel on dividends paid to a holder of Objet ordinary shares who is a U.S. resident (for purposes of the U.S.-Israel Treaty) is 25%. However, generally, the maximum rate of withholding tax on dividends that are paid to a U.S. corporation holding at least 10% or more of Objet's outstanding voting capital from the start of the tax year preceding the distribution of the dividend through (and including) the distribution of the dividend, is 12.5%, provided that no more than 25% of Objet's gross income for such preceding year consists of certain types of dividends and interest. Notwithstanding the foregoing, dividends distributed from income attributed to an Approved Enterprise, a Benefited Enterprise or a Preferred Enterprise are subject to a withholding tax rate of 15% for such a U.S. corporation shareholder, provided that the condition related to Objet's gross income for the previous year (as set forth in the previous sentence) is met. If the dividend is attributable partly to income derived from an Approved Enterprise, a Benefited Enterprise or a Preferred Enterprise, and partly to other sources of income, the withholding rate will be a blended rate reflecting the relative portions of the two types of income. U.S. residents who are subject to Israeli withholding tax on a dividend may be entitled to a credit or deduction for U.S. federal income tax purposes in the amount of the taxes withheld, subject to detailed rules contained in United States tax legislation.

A non-Israeli resident who receives dividends from which tax was withheld is generally exempt from the obligation to file tax returns in Israel with respect to such income, provided that (i) such income was not generated from business conducted in Israel by the taxpayer, and (ii) the taxpayer has no other taxable sources of income in Israel with respect to which a tax return is required to be filed.

Table of Contents

Payers of dividends on Objet ordinary shares, including the Israeli stockbroker effectuating the transaction, or the financial institution through which the securities are held, are required, subject to any of the foregoing exemptions and the demonstration of a shareholder regarding his, her or its foreign residency, to withhold tax upon the distribution of a dividend at the rate of 25%, so long as the shares are registered with a nominee company (for corporations and individuals).

Anticipated Accounting Treatment

Although from a legal perspective Objet is the acquirer in the merger, as it will survive as the combined company and as the SEC-reporting registrant following the merger, Stratasys is the deemed acquiror in the merger from an accounting perspective, and it will account for the merger using the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations. Under this method of accounting, Stratasys will record the Objet acquisition based on the fair value of the Stratasys common stock deemed to be paid to Objet's shareholders. The fair value of that common stock will be determined by reference to the market value of Stratasys' common stock (based on the trading price of Stratasys' common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market at the time at which the merger is consummated). Stratasys will allocate the purchase price deemed paid to the identifiable Objet assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values at the date of the completion of the merger. Any excess of the value of consideration paid over the aggregate fair value of those net assets will be recorded as goodwill.

No Appraisal Rights

Under Section 262 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, the holders of Stratasys common stock will not have appraisal rights in connection with the merger.

Resale of Objet Ordinary Shares

The Objet ordinary shares to be issued in connection with the merger will be freely transferable under the Securities Act except for shares issued to any stockholder who may be deemed for purposes of Rule 144 under the Securities Act an "affiliate" of Stratasys immediately prior to the effective time of the merger or an "affiliate" of Objet following the merger. Persons who may be deemed to be affiliates include individuals or entities that control, are controlled by, or are under common control with, Stratasys or Objet (as appropriate) and may include the executive officers, directors and significant shareholders of Stratasys or Objet (as appropriate).

Stock Exchange Listing of Objet Ordinary Shares

Objet will use its reasonable best efforts to cause, prior to the effective time of the merger, the Objet ordinary shares (including Objet ordinary shares issuable pursuant to the merger agreement) to be approved for listing on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SSYS", subject, in the case of Objet ordinary shares being issued pursuant to the merger, to official notice of issuance. Approval of such listing on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (subject, in the case of the shares being issued pursuant to the merger, to official notice of issuance) is a condition to each party's obligation to complete the merger.

Delisting and Deregistration of Stratasys Common Stock

If the merger is completed, shares of Stratasys common stock will be delisted from the NASDAQ Global Select Market and will be deregistered under the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

Combined Company Status as a Foreign Private Issuer under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Objet expects to remain a "foreign private issuer" (under SEC rules) through fiscal 2012 and 2013. Consequently, upon consummation of the merger, the combined company will be subject to the reporting requirements under the Exchange Act applicable to foreign private issuers. The combined company will be required to file its annual report on Form 20-F for the year ending December 31, 2012 with the SEC by April 30, 2013. In addition, the combined company will furnish reports on Form 6-K to the SEC regarding certain information required to be publicly disclosed by the combined company in Israel or that is distributed or required to be distributed by the combined company to its shareholders.

Based on its foreign private issuer status, the combined company will not be required to file periodic reports and financial statements with the SEC as frequently or as promptly as a U.S. company whose securities are registered under the Exchange Act. The combined company will also not be required to comply with Regulation FD, which addresses certain restrictions on the selective disclosure of material information. In addition, among other matters, the combined company officers, directors and principal shareholders will be exempt from the reporting and "short-swing" profit recovery provisions of Section 16 of the Exchange Act and the rules under the Exchange Act with respect to their purchases and sales of the combined company's ordinary shares.

Given the substantial number of ordinary shares that Objet will issue in the merger to Stratasys stockholders who are U.S. residents and the prospective, increased U.S.-oriented profile of the combined company's officers and directors, assets and business administration, it is possible that the combined company will lose its status as a foreign private issuer after the merger, potentially as soon as January 1, 2014. If that happens the combined company will no longer be exempt from such rules and, among other things, will be required to file quarterly reports on Form 10-Q containing interim financial statements as if it were a company incorporated in the United States, as well as annual reports on Form 10-K. The combined company's qualification for foreign private issuer status will be tested again as of June 28, 2013 (the final business day of the second fiscal quarter in 2013) to determine whether the combined company will instead be subject to the reporting requirements applicable to U.S. companies registered under the Exchange Act beginning at the start of 2014. If it no longer meets the definition of a "foreign private issuer" as of that test date, the combined company will begin to be required to file a quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending March 31, 2014, and will be required to continue to file quarterly reports with the SEC thereafter.

Despite its initial exemption due to its foreign private issuer status, Objet, and following the consummation of the merger, the combined company, nevertheless expects to issue interim quarterly financial information publicly and to furnish it to the SEC on Form 6-K.

Combined Company Status as an Emerging Growth Company under U.S. Federal Securities Laws and Related Implications

As a company with less than \$1.0 billion in revenue during its last fiscal year, Objet, and, consequently, following the merger, the combined company, qualifies as an "emerging growth company" as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act. An emerging growth company may take advantage of specified leniencies in reporting and other burdens that are otherwise applicable generally to public companies. These leniencies include:

an allowance to provide only two years of audited financial statements and only two years of related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations disclosure (also referred to as "Operating and Financial Review and Prospects" disclosure under the SEC's Form 20-F, for a foreign private issuer such as the combined company); and

Table of Contents

an exemption from the auditor attestation requirement in the assessment of the combined company's internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The combined company may take advantage of these leniencies for up to five years or such earlier time that it is no longer an emerging growth company. The combined company would cease to be an emerging growth company as of the end of a year if it has achieved more than \$1.0 billion in revenue for that year, if the market value of its ordinary shares held by non-affiliates exceeded \$700 million as of the end of the second fiscal quarter of that year, or if it has issued more than \$1.0 billion of non-convertible debt over the three-year period that concludes with that year. The combined company may choose to take advantage of some but not all of the leniencies under the JOBS Act. Objet has not taken advantage of any of the reduced reporting burdens in this proxy statement/prospectus, although it (and, following the merger, the combined company) may choose to do so in future filings, and if it does, the information that it provides to shareholders may be different than what they might receive from other public companies in which they hold equity.

The JOBS Act permits an "emerging growth company" like the combined company to take advantage of an extended transition period to comply with new or revised accounting standards applicable to public companies. Objet is choosing to "opt out" of this leniency and, as a result, Objet and, following the merger, the combined company will comply with new or revised accounting standards as required when those standards are adopted. This decision to opt out of the extended transition period is irrevocable.

Litigation Related to the Merger

On June 29, 2012, a purported class action complaint was filed in the District Court, Fourth Judicial District, Hennepin County, Minnesota, naming Stratasys, the members of its board of directors, Holdco and Merger Sub as defendants. On July 2, 2012, another purported class action complaint was filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, naming the same persons as well as Objet as defendants. A third purported class action was filed on July 17, 2012, also in the District Court, Fourth Judicial District, Hennepin County, Minnesota, naming the same parties (except for Objet) as defendants. The complaints generally allege that, in connection with approving the merger, the Stratasys directors breached their fiduciary duties owed to Stratasys stockholders and that Stratasys, Objet, Holdco and Merger Sub knowingly aided and abetted the Stratasys directors' breaches of their fiduciary duties. The complaints seek, among other things, certification of the case as a class action, an injunction against the consummation of the transaction, a judgment against the defendants for damages, and an award of fees, expenses and costs to plaintiffs and their attorneys.

Stratasys and Objet believe that these lawsuits are without merit and intend to defend against them vigorously.

Table of Contents

THE AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER

The following summary describes certain material provisions of the merger agreement. This summary does not purport to be complete and may not contain all of the information about the merger agreement that is important to you. This summary is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, the merger agreement, which is annexed to this proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A and is incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. You are urged to read the merger agreement carefully and in its entirety, as it is the legal document governing the merger.

The merger agreement summary below is included in this proxy statement/prospectus only to provide you with information regarding the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, and not to provide any other factual information regarding Objet, Stratasys or their respective businesses. Accordingly, the representations and warranties and other provisions of the merger agreement should not be read alone, but instead should be read only in conjunction with the information provided elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus and in the documents incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus.

The representations, warranties and covenants contained in the merger agreement and described in this proxy statement/prospectus were made only for purposes of the merger agreement and as of specific dates and may be subject to more recent developments. These representations, warranties and covenants were made solely for the benefit of the parties to the merger agreement and may be subject to limitations agreed upon by the contracting parties, including being qualified by reference to certain information Stratasys filed with the SEC prior to the date of the merger agreement, as well as by confidential disclosure letters that Objet prepared and delivered to Stratasys in connection with the execution of the merger agreement, for the purposes of allocating risk between parties to the merger agreement instead of establishing these matters as facts, and may apply standards of materiality in a way that is different from what may be viewed as material by you or by other investors. Accordingly, these representations and warranties alone may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time. The representations and warranties contained in the merger agreement do not survive the effective time of the merger. For the foregoing reasons, stockholders of Stratasys should not rely on the representations, warranties and covenants contained in the merger agreement as characterizations of the actual state of facts or condition of Objet, Merger Sub or Stratasys or any of their respective subsidiaries or affiliates. Information concerning the subject matter of the representations, warranties and covenants may change after the date of the merger agreement, which subsequent information may or may not be fully reflected in public disclosures by Objet and Stratasys. Stockholders of Stratasys should rely only upon the information presented in this proxy statement/prospectus and information filed with the SEC and incorporated herein by reference in evaluating the merger and determining their vote at the special meeting.

Structure; Merger Consideration

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the merger agreement, Merger Sub, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet, will merge with and into Stratasys, with Stratasys continuing as the surviving corporation and as an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet. At the effective time and as a result of the merger, each outstanding share of Stratasys common stock will be converted into the right to receive the merger consideration, consisting of one duly issued and fully paid ordinary share of Objet. This ratio, consisting of one Objet ordinary share per share of Stratasys common stock, is referred to hereinafter as the exchange ratio. Upon completion of the merger, each share of Stratasys common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time will be cancelled. By virtue of the merger, each share of Merger Sub common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time will be canceled and converted into one fully paid and nonassessable share of common stock of Stratasys, as the surviving corporation of the merger, which shares will be held by Holdco.

Table of Contents

Effective Time; Closing

Unless another date and time are agreed upon by Objet and Stratasys, the closing of the merger will occur as soon as practicable following, and, in any event, within five business days after, satisfaction or, to the extent permitted under applicable law, waiver, of the conditions to completion of the merger (other than those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied at the closing, but subject to the satisfaction or waiver of such conditions at the time of closing) described under "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Conditions to the Closing of the Merger." As soon as practicable on the closing date, Objet, Merger Sub and Stratasys will cause a certificate of merger to be filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware. The merger will become effective at the time of such filing, or at such later time as may be specified in such certificate of merger.

No Issuance of Fractional Shares

No fractional Objet ordinary shares will be issued to any holder of Stratasys common stock in connection with the merger. All fractional Objet ordinary shares that a holder of Stratasys common stock would otherwise be entitled to receive as a result of the merger will be aggregated and, if a fractional share results from that aggregation, the holder will receive cash in an amount equal to that fraction multiplied by the closing price of Stratasys common stock on the last trading day before the merger become effective. Because the exchange ratio in the merger is one Objet ordinary share for each share of Stratasys common stock held by a Stratasys stockholder, the companies do not expect that any fractional Objet shares would otherwise be issuable, or any cash in lieu thereof payable, as a result of the merger.

Adjustments

Prior to the closing of the merger, Objet's shareholders will approve a conversion of all outstanding Objet preferred shares into ordinary shares and a reverse split of Objet's ordinary shares that will each be effective immediately prior to the merger. After giving effect to the Objet reverse stock split and the issuance of Objet ordinary shares to Stratasys stockholders in the merger, holders of Stratasys common stock and holders of Objet ordinary shares will hold 55% and 45% of the combined company's ordinary shares, respectively, on a fully diluted basis. The calculation of the ordinary shares to be held by Stratasys stockholders and Objet shareholders will give effect to the exercise of all outstanding options as determined on the treasury stock method under applicable GAAP accounting rules. As of the date of the merger agreement, the reverse split ratio used to determine the number of ordinary shares to be held by Objet shareholders after the merger was 1 for 8.736. The merger agreement provides that the reverse split ratio will be automatically increased or decreased to the extent necessary such that, upon the issuance of Objet ordinary shares pursuant to the merger, the stockholders of Stratasys and the shareholders of Objet will hold 55% and 45%, respectively, of the combined company's ordinary shares on a fully diluted basis (using the treasury stock method).

Procedures for Surrendering Stock Certificates and Book-Entry Shares

Objet has appointed Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company as exchange agent to handle the exchange of shares of Stratasys common stock (whether in certificated or book-entry form) for Objet ordinary shares and the payment of cash in lieu of any fractional Objet ordinary shares that would otherwise be issuable. At or prior to the effective time of the merger, Objet will deposit, or cause to be deposited, with the exchange agent, the merger consideration, consisting of (i) the ordinary shares of Objet issuable in respect of shares of Stratasys common stock, and (ii) cash sufficient to make payments in lieu of fractional shares as described above..

As promptly as practicable following the effective time of the merger, the exchange agent will send a letter of transmittal and related instructions to each person who is a record holder of Stratasys

Table of Contents

common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, for use in the surrender of Stratasys stock certificates or book-entry shares to the exchange agent and the receipt of Objet stock certificates and book-entry shares in exchange therefor.

Following the effective time of the merger, each certificate or book-entry that previously represented shares of Stratasys common stock will only represent the right to receive (i) Objet ordinary shares into which the shares of Stratasys common stock have been converted, as well as (ii) a check in the amount of any cash payment in lieu of fractional shares. In addition, following the closing of the merger, there will be no further registration of transfers of the shares of Stratasys common stock. No dividends or distributions declared in respect of Objet ordinary shares will be paid to a holder of shares of Stratasys common stock until that holder's shares of Stratasys common stock are surrendered.

Objet ordinary shares issued in exchange for shares of Stratasys common stock will, at Objet's option, be in book-entry form unless a physical certificate is requested by a holder or is required by law.

Termination of Exchange Fund

One year after the effective time of the merger, Objet may require the exchange agent to deliver to Objet all Objet ordinary shares and cash remaining in the exchange fund. Thereafter, Stratasys stockholders must look only to Objet for payment of the merger consideration with respect to their shares of Stratasys common stock. In addition, under the merger agreement, any amounts remaining unclaimed by holders of shares of Stratasys common stock five years after the effective time of the merger (or such earlier date, immediately prior to the time when the amounts would otherwise escheat to or become property of any governmental authority) will become, to the extent permitted by applicable law, the property of Objet, free and clear of any claim or interest of any person previously entitled thereto.

Stratasys Options and Warrants

At the effective time of the merger and pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, each outstanding option or warrant to purchase shares of Stratasys common stock will be converted automatically into an option or warrant (as appropriate) to purchase Objet ordinary shares, and:

the number of Objet ordinary shares subject to the option or warrant, as the case may be, will be equal to the number of shares of Stratasys common stock remaining subject (as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger) to the option or warrant; and

the exercise price per Objet ordinary share under the new option or warrant, as appropriate, will be equal to the exercise price per share of Stratasys common stock under the original option or warrant.

Objet has agreed to file as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time of the merger a registration statement on Form S-8 or a post-effective amendment to the registration statement on Form F-4 of which this prospectus/proxy statement forms a part in order to register the Objet ordinary shares to be issuable upon exercise of the new options to be issued to current holders of Stratasys options, to the extent that such filing is necessary to enable a sufficient number of Objet ordinary shares to be issuable upon exercise of those new options (and upon exercise of existing Objet options issued prior to the merger). Objet is required to use its reasonable commercial efforts to maintain the effectiveness of either such registration statement for as long as those new options (and existing Objet options) remain outstanding and such registration continues to be required for the issuance of the underlying shares.

Table of Contents

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains representations and warranties made by Stratasys and Objet relating to, among other topics, the following:

corporate organization;

authority to enter into and perform the merger agreement and enforceability of the merger agreement;

absence of conflicts of the merger agreement with organizational documents, material agreements and instruments or applicable law;

required governmental filings or consents in connection with the merger;

each company's subsidiaries;

due issuance of shares to be issued in the merger (with respect to Objet only)

compliance with applicable law, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (with respect to Stratasys only) and Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and applicable court orders;

no conflict with, or default or violation under organizational documents or material agreements;

receipt of necessary authorizations;

capitalization;

filings with the SEC;

financial statements;

internal controls over financial reporting;

disclosure controls and procedures (with respect to Stratasys only);

absence of any liabilities not disclosed in the merger agreement and required to be set forth on a balance sheet prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, other than those (1) reflected or recorded on Stratasys' or Objet's (as appropriate) balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 (or as described in the notes to Stratasys' or Objet's financial statements for the year then ended), (2) incurred in connection with the transactions arising out of the merger agreement or (3) incurred in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice since December 31, 2011, which would not be prohibited by the merger agreement, and that have not had, and would not reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

effect on Stratasys or Objet, as appropriate;

absence of certain off-balance sheet transactions;

accuracy of information supplied for SEC filings and for Objet's NASDAQ listing application;

benefit plans, ERISA and employment law compliance;

labor matters;

absence of certain changes or events from December 31, 2011 to the date of the merger agreement;

litigation;

compliance with applicable laws;

tax matters;

Table of Contents

benefit plans;

environmental matters;

material contracts;

intellectual property matters;

government grants, incentives and subsidies;

broker fees due in connection with the merger;

completeness of due diligence materials supplied;

receipt of the opinion of a financial advisor (for Stratasys only); and

the required majority for shareholder/stockholder vote on the merger, and receipt of proxies in favor thereof.

The representations and warranties in the merger agreement do not survive the closing of the merger.

Many of the representations and warranties of Objet and Stratasys are qualified by disclosure schedules and as to "materiality" or "material adverse effect." In addition, there are separate stand-alone conditions to the completion of the merger that require the absence of any material adverse change.

For purposes of the merger agreement, "material adverse effect" means, with respect to any entity, any fact, circumstance, effect, change, event or development that is or would reasonably be expected to be materially adverse to the business, properties, condition (financial or otherwise) or results of operations of such entity and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, excluding any fact, circumstance, effect, change, event or development to the extent that it results from or arises out of: (i) changes or conditions generally affecting the industries in which such entity and any of its subsidiaries operate; (ii) general economic or regulatory, legislative or political conditions or securities, credit, financial or other capital markets conditions, in each case in the United States or any foreign jurisdiction; (iii) any failure, in and of itself, by such entity to meet any internal or published projections, forecasts, estimates or predictions in respect of revenues, earnings or other financial or operating metrics for any period (it being understood that the facts or occurrences giving rise to or contributing to such failure may be deemed to constitute, or be taken into account in determining whether there has been or will be, a material adverse effect); (iv) the public announcement or pendency of the merger or any of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the impact thereof on the relationships, contractual or otherwise, of such entity or any of its subsidiaries with employees, labor unions, customers, suppliers or partners; (v) any change, in and of itself, in the market price or trading volume of such entity's securities (it being understood that the facts or occurrences giving rise to or contributing to such change may be deemed to constitute, or be taken into account in determining whether there has been or will be, a material adverse effect); (vi) any change in applicable law, regulation or GAAP (or authoritative interpretation thereof); (vii) geopolitical conditions, the outbreak or escalation of hostilities, any acts of war, sabotage or terrorism, or any escalation or worsening of any such acts of war, sabotage or terrorism threatened or underway as of the date of the merger agreement, *provided, however*, that this clause (vii) does not apply if the specified circumstances require more than 25% of Objet's Israeli employees to be called into active duty in such a manner that such Israeli employees are not able to attend work for at least 10 consecutive business days; (viii) any hurricane, tornado, flood, earthquake or other natural disaster; (ix) labor conditions in the United States or any foreign jurisdiction; (x) any action, suit or other legal proceeding arising from or relating to the merger or the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement; and (xi) any action required to be taken pursuant to the merger agreement or at the request or with the consent of the other party,

Table of Contents

to the extent, in each of clauses (i), (ii), (vi), (vii), (viii) and (ix), that such event, change, effect, development, condition or occurrence does not affect such entity and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, in a materially disproportionate manner relative to other participants in the business, industries and geographic region or territory in which such entity and its subsidiaries operate.

Conduct of Business Pending the Merger

Each of Objet and Stratasys has undertaken covenants in the merger agreement that affect the conduct of its business between the date of the merger agreement and the closing of the merger. Until the closing of the merger, each of Objet and Stratasys will remain an independent company.

In general, except as contemplated or permitted by the merger agreement or required by applicable law, or with the other party's written consent, each of Objet and Stratasys and each of their respective subsidiaries are required to, among other things:

operate its business in the ordinary course, consistent with past practice;

preserve intact its business organization and advantageous business relationships and keep available the services of its current officers and employees and maintain its relationships with customers, suppliers, licensors, licensees and distributors;

cooperate in the preparation and filing, with the SEC, of the registration statement on Form F-4 of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part, and in taking such further steps as are necessary to enable it to become effective;

cooperate in the preparation and filing, with NASDAQ, of a listing application with respect to Objet's ordinary shares and in delisting filings with NASDAQ and the SEC with respect to Stratasys' common stock;

call, give notice of, convene and hold the shareholder or stockholder (as appropriate) meeting at which the required approvals for the merger and merger agreement and the related transactions will be sought;

provide access to its properties, books, contracts, commitments, personnel and records and provide information concerning its business, properties and personnel as the other party may reasonably request;

promptly advise the other party orally and in writing of any change or event that would have a material adverse effect with respect to it, or that would cause any of the conditions to the other party's obligations set forth in the merger agreement to be incapable of being satisfied, or that would materially delay or impede its ability to consummate the merger;

use reasonable best efforts to obtain all waivers, consents and approvals from other parties to contracts or agreements or from applicable governmental or regulatory bodies required to be obtained by it or its subsidiaries to consummate the merger, and expiration or termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act (this waiting period was terminated in early June 2012);

provide to the other party the opportunity to participate in the defense or settlement of any litigation against it and/or its directors relating to the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, and not to settle such litigation without the prior written consent of the other party, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed;

consult with the other party before issuing, and provide the other party with the opportunity to review and comment upon, any press release or other public statements with respect to the merger and related transactions;

Table of Contents

not alter its authorized capital, or issue (other than upon exercise of presently outstanding options, warrants or convertible securities) or reach any agreement or understanding with any other party to issue, deliver, sell, grant, pledge or otherwise encumber any securities of it or its subsidiaries without the prior written consent of the other party;

not amend its organizational documents or amend the organizational documents of any subsidiary (except to the extent required to complete the merger);

not merge or consolidate with, or acquire in any transaction any equity interest in or business of, or enter into any joint venture, or a strategic licensing, alliance, co-promotion or similar agreement (except in the ordinary course of business) or acquire any properties or assets (other than purchases of supplies and inventory in the ordinary course of business) in an amount exceeding \$10 million;

not sell, lease (as lessor), license, mortgage, sell and leaseback or otherwise encumber (other than certain permitted liens), or otherwise dispose of any properties or assets (other than sales of products or services in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice) having a fair market value in excess of \$10 million;

not incur or refinance any indebtedness (other than vis-à-vis a subsidiary or among subsidiaries);

not make, or agree or commit to make any capital expenditures in 2012 which, in the aggregate, are in excess of \$10 million;

not enter into or amend any contract (except as contemplated by the merger agreement), if it would impair its ability to perform its obligations under the merger agreement in a material respect or prevent or materially delay the consummation of the merger;

not waive, release, assign, settle or compromise any proceedings, in amounts exceeding either (i) the amounts specifically reserved with respect thereto on its balance sheet or (ii) \$2 million in the aggregate;

not abandon, encumber, convey title (in whole or in part), exclusively license or grant any right or other licenses to material intellectual property rights owned or exclusively licensed, other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, or enter into licenses or agreements that impose material restrictions upon it with respect to intellectual property rights owned by any third party;

not (i) amend or modify any material contract, if it would have an adverse effect that is material to it and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole or (ii) enter into any contract that would be a material contract if it had been entered into prior to entry into the merger agreement;

not enter into any new line of business outside of its existing business;

not grant or announce any incentive awards or increase in compensation, severance or termination pay, except in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice or to the extent required under a benefit plan or by law;

not hire any new employee or officer, except in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice with respect to an employee or officer with an annual base salary and incentive compensation opportunity not exceeding \$200,000;

not establish, amend or terminate in any material respect any collective bargaining agreement or benefit, or take any action to accelerate any rights or benefits or pay or agree to pay any pension, retirement allowance, termination or severance pay, bonus or other employee benefit, or make any material determinations not in the ordinary course of business consistent with prior practice, under any collective bargaining agreement or benefit plan;

Table of Contents

not accelerate the vesting of any share-based compensation or other long-term incentive compensation under any benefit plans, except as required under applicable law or the terms of any benefit plan, or as contemplated under the merger agreement;

not declare or pay any dividends (with certain limited exceptions), or split, combine, or reclassify any of its capital stock, or issue any other securities in respect of, in lieu of or in substitution for its capital stock, or repurchase any capital stock or any warrants, calls, options or other rights to acquire any such capital stock (with certain limited exceptions);

not make any changes to existing accounting or material business practices except as required by GAAP;

not make, change or revoke any material tax election, file any material amended tax return, or settle or compromise any material tax liability or refund, in each case, if such action could have an adverse effect that, individually or in the aggregate, is material to it or any of its subsidiaries; and

cooperate and assist the other party in such other ways to the extent practicable to implement the merger on the terms set forth in the merger agreement.

Obligation of Stratasys' Board of Directors to Recommend the Merger Agreement and Call a Stockholders Meeting

The Stratasys board of directors has agreed, unless the merger agreement is terminated, to call a meeting of its stockholders for the purpose of obtaining the requisite vote of Stratasys stockholders necessary to adopt the merger agreement. As discussed under "Proposal One The Merger Recommendation of the Stratasys Board of Directors," the Stratasys board of directors has unanimously recommended that Stratasys stockholders vote "FOR" the adoption of the merger agreement. The Stratasys board of directors may, however, withdraw, qualify or modify its recommendation in a manner adverse to Objet or recommend a Stratasys superior offer (as defined below) under certain specified circumstances as discussed below under "The Agreement and Plan of Merger No Solicitation by Stratasys" and "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Changes in Stratasys' Board of Directors' Recommendation."

No Solicitation by Stratasys

Under the terms of the merger agreement, Stratasys has agreed that it will not, and will cause its affiliates not to, and will not authorize or permit its representatives to, directly or indirectly:

solicit, initiate, induce, facilitate, or knowingly encourage any Stratasys acquisition proposal (as described below) or any inquiry or proposal that may reasonably be expected to lead to a Stratasys acquisition proposal;

take any action to make the provisions of any takeover statute (including any transaction under, or a third party becoming an "interested stockholder" under, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law), or any restrictive provision of any applicable anti-takeover provision in Stratasys' certificate of incorporation or by-laws, inapplicable to any transactions contemplated by a Stratasys acquisition proposal;

make any third party acquirer exempt from the definition of an acquiring person in the Stratasys stockholder rights plan or redeem or waive any provision in the Stratasys stockholder rights plan;

enter into, participate in, maintain or continue any communications or negotiations regarding, or make available any non-public information with respect to, or take any other action regarding, any actual or potential Stratasys acquisition proposal;

Table of Contents

agree to, accept, approve, endorse or recommend (or publicly propose or announce any intention or desire to agree to, accept, approve, endorse or recommend) any Stratasys acquisition proposal; or

enter into any letter of intent or any other contract, agreement, commitment or other written arrangement contemplating or otherwise relating to any Stratasys acquisition proposal.

At the time that Stratasys entered into the merger agreement, it was not engaged in any discussions or negotiations with other parties regarding an acquisition. Nevertheless, the merger agreement provides that upon execution of the merger agreement, Stratasys and its affiliates and their respective representatives will immediately cease and cause to be terminated all existing discussions or negotiations conducted with respect to any Stratasys acquisition proposal, or any inquiry or proposal that may reasonably be expected to lead to a Stratasys acquisition proposal.

Despite the foregoing restrictions, Stratasys is permitted, prior to receipt of stockholder approval for the merger, to furnish information regarding Stratasys to, or enter into discussions and negotiations with, any person or entity if:

Stratasys receives from such person or entity a bona fide written Stratasys acquisition proposal that, after consultation with a financial advisor of nationally recognized reputation and outside legal counsel, the Stratasys board determines in good faith is, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a Stratasys superior offer (as described below);

Stratasys is not in breach of any of its non-solicitation obligations under the merger agreement;

the Stratasys board of directors determines in good faith, after having consulted with its outside legal counsel, that failure to take such action would reasonably be expected to constitute a breach of its fiduciary duties to Stratasys stockholders under applicable law;

at least four business days prior to furnishing any information to, or entering into discussions with, such person or entity, Stratasys gives Objet written notice of the identity of such person or entity and of Stratasys' intention to furnish information to, or enter into discussions with, such person or entity, and Stratasys obtains from such person or entity an executed confidentiality agreement containing provisions at least as favorable to Stratasys as the provisions of the confidentiality agreement that Stratasys had entered into with Objet; and

concurrently with furnishing any information to such person or entity, Stratasys provides a list to Objet of such information and, to the extent such information has not been previously furnished by Stratasys to Objet, it concurrently furnishes such information to Objet.

Stratasys must furthermore notify Objet orally and in writing within 24 hours after receiving (or 48 hours, if it is received on a non-business day) any of the following:

Stratasys acquisition proposal;

any inquiry, expression of interest, proposal, communication or offer that constitutes, or would reasonably be expected to lead to, a Stratasys acquisition proposal;

any other communication or notice that any person or entity is considering making a Stratasys acquisition proposal; or

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

any request for nonpublic information relating to Stratasys or any of its subsidiaries or for access to any of the properties, books or records of Stratasys or any of its subsidiaries, as applicable, by any person or entity.

Any such notification by Stratasys to Objet is required to describe the material terms and conditions of the Stratasys acquisition proposal, inquiry, communication or request (or any changes to those terms) and the identity of the person making any such Stratasys acquisition proposal, inquiry,

Table of Contents

communication or request, and, subject to confidentiality obligations, be accompanied by a copy of any such written Stratasys acquisition proposal. Stratasys must furthermore:

keep Objet informed in all material respects and on a reasonably current basis of the status and details (including any material change to the terms and conditions thereof) of any Stratasys acquisition proposal, inquiry, communication or request;

provide to Objet as soon as practicable after receipt or delivery thereof (but in no event more than 24 hours) copies of all material correspondence and other written material exchanged between Stratasys and any person or entity that has made a Stratasys acquisition proposal, inquiry, communication or request which describes any of the terms or conditions of such Stratasys acquisition proposal; and

provide Objet with 48 hours prior notice (or such lesser prior notice as is provided to the attendees) of any meeting of the applicable governing body of Stratasys at which the Stratasys acquisition proposal, inquiry, communication or request is expected to be discussed.

A "Stratasys acquisition proposal" is any proposal or offer (whether or not in writing), with respect to any (i) merger, consolidation, share exchange, other business combination or similar transaction involving Stratasys or any Stratasys subsidiary; (ii) sale, lease, contribution or other disposition, directly or indirectly (including by way of partnership, joint venture, sale of capital stock of or other equity interests in a subsidiary or otherwise) of any business or assets of Stratasys or any Stratasys subsidiary representing 15% or more of the consolidated revenues, net income or assets of Stratasys and any Stratasys subsidiary, taken as a whole; (iii) issuance, sale or other disposition, directly or indirectly, to any entity (or the stockholders of any entity) or group, of securities (or options, rights or warrants to purchase, or securities convertible into or exchangeable for, such securities) representing 15% or more of the voting power of Stratasys or any Stratasys subsidiary; (iv) transaction in which any person, entity (or the stockholders of any entity) or group (as such term is defined under Section 13(d) of the Securities Act) shall acquire, directly or indirectly, beneficial ownership, or the right to acquire beneficial ownership, of 15% or more of the outstanding Stratasys common stock or the capital stock of any Stratasys subsidiary; or (v) any combination of the foregoing (in each case, other than the merger with Objet).

A "Stratasys superior offer" is a bona fide written offer made by a third party to acquire by merger or otherwise all of the outstanding stock of Stratasys, or all or substantially all of its assets (except that any such transaction that results in the stockholders of Stratasys immediately prior to such transaction owning more than 50% of the resulting entity shall not constitute a Stratasys superior offer), that is determined by the Stratasys board, in its good faith judgment, after consulting with a financial advisor of nationally recognized reputation and outside legal counsel, and after taking into account all legal, regulatory, financial and other aspects of the proposal and the identity of the person or entity making the proposal, to be (a) more favorable from a financial point of view to Stratasys' stockholders than the merger with Objet determined on a basis of long-term value (taking into account the likelihood and anticipated timing of consummation and after giving effect to all adjustments which may be offered by Objet and the payment of a termination fee to Objet and any break-up fees and expense reimbursement provisions of the offer) and (b) reasonably likely to be consummated (if accepted) on a timely basis in accordance with its terms.

Changes in the Stratasys Board of Directors' Recommendation

On April 13, 2012, the Stratasys board of directors adopted a resolution recommending that the Stratasys stockholders vote to adopt the merger agreement and approve the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Under the merger agreement, subject to certain exceptions, Stratasys has agreed that it will not, directly or indirectly, withhold, withdraw, qualify, modify or amend

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Table of Contents

in a manner adverse to Objet, the foregoing recommendation. Stratasys has further agreed that neither its board of directors nor any committee thereof shall do any of the following:

fail to reaffirm Stratasys' board's recommendation, or fail to publicly state that the merger and the merger agreement are in the best interest of Stratasys' stockholders, within five business days after Objet requests;

fail to publicly announce, within ten business days after commencement of a tender offer or exchange offer for the securities of Stratasys or after any change in the consideration being offered in such an offer, that the Stratasys board recommends rejection of such tender or exchange offer;

fail to issue, within ten business days after a Stratasys acquisition proposal is publicly announced, a press release announcing its opposition to such Stratasys acquisition proposal;

recommend, adopt or approve a Stratasys acquisition proposal; or

make any disclosure that has the intent or direct effect of causing Stratasys' stockholders not to vote to approve the merger agreement or merger.

Notwithstanding the foregoing restrictions, Stratasys' board of directors may, prior to the adoption of the merger agreement by Stratasys stockholders, change its recommendation if Stratasys has not breached its non-solicitation restrictions under the merger agreement relating to a Stratasys acquisition proposal and each of the following conditions is met:

after the date of the merger agreement, an unsolicited, bona fide, written Stratasys acquisition proposal is made to Stratasys and is not withdrawn;

the Stratasys board determines in its good faith judgment, after consulting with a financial advisor of nationally recognized reputation and outside legal counsel, that such Stratasys acquisition proposal constitutes a Stratasys superior offer;

the Stratasys board does not effect a change in its recommendation for adoption of the merger agreement at any time within four business days after Objet receives written notice from Stratasys confirming that the Stratasys board has determined that the Stratasys acquisition proposal is a Stratasys superior offer;

during that four-business-day period, if requested by Objet, Stratasys engages in good faith negotiations with Objet to amend the merger agreement in such a manner that the Stratasys acquisition proposal no longer constitutes a Stratasys superior offer;

at the end of that four-business-day period, such Stratasys acquisition proposal has not been withdrawn and continues to constitute a Stratasys superior offer (after taking into account any changes to the merger agreement proposed by Objet as a result of the negotiations required by the prior bullet point or otherwise); and

at the end of that four-business-day period, the Stratasys board determines in good faith, after having consulted with its outside legal counsel, that, in light of such Stratasys superior offer, a failure to change its recommendation would constitute a breach of its fiduciary duties to Stratasys stockholders.

In the event of any material revisions to a Stratasys acquisition proposal, Stratasys is required to deliver a new written notice to Objet and to again provide a four-business-day period and comply with the related, above-described requirements.

The Stratasys board of directors may furthermore change its recommendation for approval of the merger with Objet prior to receipt of stockholder approval if a material development or material

Table of Contents

change in circumstances (other than a Stratasys acquisition proposal or a Stratasys superior offer) occurs or arises after the parties entered into the merger agreement:

that was neither known nor reasonably foreseeable to Stratasys as of the time of entry into the merger agreement; and

that the Stratasys board determines in good faith, after having consulted with its outside legal counsel, that, in light of such intervening event, the failure by the board to change its recommendation would constitute a breach of its fiduciary duties to Stratasys' stockholders.

If such an intervening event arises, at least four business days prior to any meeting at which the Stratasys board considers whether such intervening event requires it to change its recommendation, Stratasys' board must (i) provide Objet with a written notice specifying the date and time of such meeting and the reasons for holding such meeting, and (ii) if requested by Objet, engage in good faith negotiations during such four business day period with the goal of amending the merger agreement in a manner that obviates the need for the change in recommendation as a result of the intervening event.

Despite any change in its board's recommendation, Stratasys is required under the merger agreement to ensure that such change in recommendation:

does not affect the validity of the board's original approval of the merger agreement; and

does not cause any state (including Delaware) corporate takeover statute or other similar statute to be applicable to Stratasys or any of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Any change of recommendation also does not exempt Stratasys' board from its requirement to submit the merger agreement, merger and related transactions to its stockholders for adoption and to hold the special stockholder meeting at which the merger proposal will be considered.

Proxy Statement and Registration Statement

This document is part of a registration statement that has been filed with the SEC and constitutes a prospectus of Objet and a proxy statement of Stratasys. Objet and Stratasys will use their reasonable best efforts to cause the registration statement of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part to become effective under the Securities Act as promptly as practicable after such filing, and to keep such registration statement effective as long as is necessary to consummate the merger. Stratasys will use its reasonable best efforts to mail the proxy statement to its stockholders as promptly as practicable after the registration statement has been declared effective, and, except to the extent that Stratasys' board of directors is permitted to change its recommendation (see "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Changes in Stratasys' Board of Directors' Recommendation" above), the proxy statement will contain the recommendation of Stratasys' board of directors that Stratasys stockholders vote in favor of adoption of the merger agreement.

Approvals

Objet and Stratasys have agreed to use their reasonable best efforts to obtain, as soon as practicable, any required approvals for the listing of the Objet ordinary shares on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and all other regulatory and shareholder or stockholder (as appropriate) approvals, and to make any required notice filings, including, not later than 15 business days after the parties' entry into the merger agreement, the required notice filing pursuant to the HSR Act relating to the merger, and a prompt response to any second request under the HSR Act. In early June 2012, the U.S. Federal Trade Commission and U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division granted early termination of the waiting period required under the HSR Act, thereby fulfilling the closing condition requiring termination or expiration of that waiting period.

Table of Contents

Employee Matters

The merger agreement provides that except with respect to Messrs. S. Scott Crump, of Stratasys, and David Reis, of Objet, who will provide services in their roles as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, respectively, of Objet following the merger, neither Stratasys nor Objet, nor either such party's subsidiaries, shall be required to continue the employment, or shall be required to change the terms and conditions of the employment, of any specific person following the merger. Furthermore, Stratasys, Objet, and their respective subsidiaries, may amend, modify and terminate any plans, programs, policies, arrangements, agreements or understandings with respect to their employees.

Each of Stratasys and Objet has also agreed that until the effective time of the merger, it will not and will cause its subsidiaries not to, directly or indirectly, solicit for hire any director or vice president level, or more senior, employee of the other party or its subsidiaries; provided, however, that neither party is prohibited from (i) hiring any individual who has not been employed by the other party during the preceding six months or (ii) making any general public solicitation not designed to circumvent those prohibitions or hiring any individual who responds to such general public solicitation.

Insurance and Indemnification

The merger agreement provides that, from the effective time of the merger until the sixth anniversary of the effective time, Objet will cover the directors and officers of Stratasys and its subsidiaries with respect to acts or omissions occurring prior to the effective time of the merger under the existing directors' and officers' liability insurance policy maintained by Objet as of the date of the merger agreement or under a comparable policy with limits, terms and conditions at least as favorable as the limits, terms and conditions in the existing policy of Stratasys. The directors and officers of Objet and its subsidiaries shall likewise be covered under the combined company's directors' and officers' liability insurance policy for a period of six years.

Additionally, following the merger, Stratasys, as the surviving corporation of the merger with Merger Sub will honor all rights to indemnification and exculpation existing in favor of a director or officer of Stratasys or its subsidiaries under Stratasys' certificate of incorporation and bylaws (or under a subsidiary's comparable organizational documents), and under and any indemnification or other similar agreements, that are in effect on the date of the merger agreement.

Governance

Objet and Stratasys have agreed that, upon the closing of the merger, the combined company's board of directors will consist of nine members, four of whom will be designated as class A directors and five of whom will be designated as class B directors. The current Stratasys board of directors will have the right to nominate four class B directors, who are expected to be Messrs. S. Scott Crump, Edward J. Fierko, John J. McEleney and Clifford H. Schwieter. The current Objet board of directors will have the right to nominate four class A directors, one of whom will be an independent, external director under the Israeli Companies Law. The persons to be nominated by the Objet board are expected to be Messrs. Elchanan Jaglom, Ilan Levin and Eyal Desheh and Ms. Adina Shorr. Eyal Desheh qualifies as, and will serve as, an independent external director under the Israeli Companies Law. The final class B director will be an independent, external director not affiliated with either Stratasys or Objet, who will be nominated by the current Stratasys board of directors, subject to the approval of Objet's current board of directors. Victor Leventhal has been nominated by Stratasys, and approved by Objet, to serve as the ninth director and qualifies as an independent, external director under the Israeli Companies Law. The remaining (if any) current directors of Objet will resign as of the effective time of the merger.

Table of Contents

All class A directors and class B directors will serve for an initial term commencing on the effective time of the merger and ending on the second anniversary of the effective time, except for external directors who will serve for a term of three years. Unless the 2014 annual general meeting of the combined company's shareholders is scheduled to be held after such second anniversary, the combined company will hold a special general meeting within 60 days after such second anniversary for the purpose of electing directors. Board nominees at such meeting must be selected by the vote of a majority of the combined company's board of directors as well as the vote of two class A directors and two class B directors. See "Description of Objet Ordinary Shares" and "Comparison of Rights of Objet Shareholders and Stratasys Stockholders" for a further discussion of the corporate governance provisions of the combined company's amended articles.

S. Scott Crump will serve as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the combined company following the merger, while David Reis, Objet's current Chief Executive Officer, will continue in that role for the combined company. Each of the directors (including Mr. Crump as Chairman of the Board of Directors) will serve for an initial term commencing at the effective time of the merger and ending not earlier than two years after the effective time, although external directors will be elected in accordance with the provisions of the Israeli Companies Law (which prescribes the election of external directors every three years by a special majority of shareholders).

Objet and Stratasys have also agreed that, upon the closing of the merger, the Objet board of directors will appoint an executive committee consisting of four board members, two of whom will be nominated by each of the current Stratasys board and the current Objet board, respectively. S. Scott Crump and John J. McEleney are expected to serve as Stratasys' nominees. Elchanan Jaglom, Objet's current Chairman of the Board, who will serve as chairman of the executive committee, and Eyal Desheh, are expected to serve as Objet's nominees on the committee. In addition, David Reis will serve as an observer to the committee in his capacity as Chief Executive Officer of the combined company following the merger. For the initial two years following the effective time of the merger, if there is a vacancy of an Objet-nominated director or external director seat on the executive committee, Elchanan Jaglom, as chairman of the executive committee, will fill the vacancy with the consent of S. Scott Crump, in his role as Chairman of the Board of Directors. If, during the same initial two-year period, there is a vacancy of a Stratasys-nominated director seat on the executive committee, Mr. Crump, as Chairman of the Board of Directors, will be entitled to fill that seat, with the consent of Mr. Jaglom, as chairman of the executive committee. The executive committee will be charged with implementing Objet's business strategy and the post-merger integration of the two companies, subject to approval by the combined company's board of directors for any actions that are taken outside of the ordinary course of business.

Conditions to the Closing of the Merger

The obligations of Objet and Stratasys to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction of the below conditions, all of which, to the extent permitted by applicable law, may be waived by mutual consent of both parties. Objet and Stratasys need not seek shareholder or stockholder approval, respectively, for any such waiver unless required under applicable law or the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market.

adoption of the merger agreement by the requisite affirmative votes of the stockholders of Stratasys in accordance with applicable Delaware law and Stratasys' organizational documents;

approval by the shareholders of Objet, in accordance with applicable Israeli law and Objet's articles of association, of the following actions or items:

conversion of all outstanding Objet preferred shares into ordinary shares;

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Table of Contents

an increase of the authorized share capital of Objet (to the extent required to issue the shares to be issued to Stratasy's stockholders in the merger);

a determination that the securities issued in connection with the merger agreement shall not be deemed "New Securities" for the purposes of the preemptive rights under Objet's existing articles of association;

the Objet reverse stock split;

changing Objet's name to Stratasy's Ltd. or to any other similar name that the Israeli Registrar of Companies may approve;

adoption of public company articles of association in the form set forth as an exhibit to the merger agreement;

an amendment of Objet's memorandum of association, as contemplated by the merger agreement;

the ratification of Objet's 2012 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan;

approval of a registration rights and lockup agreement to which Objet shareholders (including current Objet executive officers and directors) holding at least 90% of the voting power of Objet prior to the effectiveness of the merger will be party with the combined company;

appointment of the persons designated pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement as directors of Objet, including their classification to separate classes, as of the effective time, and approval of their remuneration;

approval of the indemnification agreements to be entered into by Objet with each person who will serve on the board of directors of the combined company and each person who will serve as an executive officer of the combined company, or the combined company directors and officers;

approval of the directors and officers insurance policy to be procured by Objet for the benefit of the combined company directors and officers; and

any action necessary to authorize the issuance of Objet shares in the merger to the directors and officers of Stratasy's for the purposes of exempting such issuance from the application of Section 16 of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder;

authorization for listing on the NASDAQ Global Select Market of the Objet ordinary shares (subject to official notice of issuance);

the expiration or termination of any waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the merger under the HSR Act (this condition was satisfied upon the termination of the waiting period in early June 2012);

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

declaration by the SEC of effectiveness under the Securities Act of the registration statement of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part and no stop order issued by the SEC suspending the effectiveness of the registration statement and no proceeding for that purpose shall have been initiated by the SEC;

if applicable, all state securities or "blue sky" authorizations necessary for the issuance of the merger consideration shall have been received by Objet;

the entry by Objet and its shareholders (including current executive officers and directors) holding at least 90% of the voting power of Objet prior to the effectiveness of the merger into a

Table of Contents

registration rights and lock-up agreement that they will be effective upon the effectiveness of the merger;

receipt of all other required consents and approvals to the merger, including under any foreign antitrust, competition, investment, trade regulation or similar laws or from any governmental entity (except for those that, if not obtained, would not reasonably be expected to (i) have a material adverse effect on Objet or Stratasys after the effective time of the merger or (ii) might reasonably subject Objet, Stratasys or Merger Sub, or any of their affiliates or officers or directors, to the risk of criminal liability); and

no provision of any applicable law shall be in effect, and no judgment, injunction, order or decree shall have been entered into, that makes the merger illegal or otherwise restrains, enjoins or otherwise prohibits the consummation of the merger, or the transactions contemplated under the merger agreement, except where the violation of such law, judgment, injunction, order or decree would not have a material adverse effect on Objet or Stratasys.

Objet's obligation to close the merger is also conditioned on the satisfaction or waiver of the below conditions, all of which, to the extent permitted by applicable law, may be waived by Objet. Objet need not obtain shareholder approval of any such waiver unless required under applicable law or the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market.

the representations and warranties of Stratasys in the merger agreement shall be true and correct (without giving effect to any limitation as to materiality or material adverse effect set forth in such representations and warranties), except where the failure of such representations and warranties to be true and correct, individually or in the aggregate, will have not had, and would not reasonably be expected to have, a material adverse effect on Stratasys, except for representations and warranties of Stratasys concerning organization, standing and power, and broker fees and expenses, which shall be true and correct in all material respects, in each case as of the closing date of the merger (except if expressly addressing an earlier date), and Stratasys shall have provided to Objet a certificate of an executive officer of Stratasys certifying the same as of the closing date;

Stratasys shall have complied with and duly performed in all material respects all of its material obligations and covenants in the merger agreement and provided to Objet a certificate of an executive officer certifying the same as of the closing date; and

there shall have been no fact, circumstance, effect, change, event or development that shall have occurred that shall have had, or would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on Stratasys.

Stratasys' obligation to close the merger is also conditioned on the satisfaction or waiver of the below conditions, all of which, to the extent permitted by applicable law, may be waived by Stratasys. Stratasys need not obtain stockholder approval of any such waiver unless required under applicable law or the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market.

the representations and warranties of Objet in the merger agreement shall be true and correct (without giving effect to any limitation as to materiality or material adverse effect set forth in such representations and warranties), except where the failure of such representations and warranties to be true and correct, individually or in the aggregate, will have not had, and would not reasonably be expected to have, a material adverse effect on Objet, except for representations and warranties of Objet concerning organization, standing and power, and labor matters, which shall be true and correct in all material respects, in each case as of the closing date of the merger (except if expressly addressing an earlier date), and Objet shall have provided to Stratasys a certificate of an executive officer of Objet certifying the same as of the closing date;

Table of Contents

each of Objet and Merger Sub shall have complied with and duly performed in all material respects all of its material obligations and covenants in the merger agreement and provided to Stratasys a certificate of an executive officer certifying the same as of the closing date;

there shall have been no fact, circumstance, effect, change, event or development that shall have occurred that shall have had, or would reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on Objet; and

all outstanding preferred shares of Objet shall have been converted into ordinary shares.

Objet and Stratasys cannot provide assurance as to when or if all of the conditions to the merger can or will be satisfied (or, where legally permissible, waived) by the appropriate party, or that the merger will be completed. If Stratasys waives any conditions to the merger, it will evaluate the materiality of such waiver and its effect on Stratasys stockholders in light of the facts and circumstances at the time to determine whether any amendment of this proxy statement/prospectus and re-solicitation of proxies is required pursuant to applicable law or the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market. Stratasys intends to re-solicit stockholder approval if its board of directors determines that a waiver of any condition to the merger requires such approval. See "Risk Factors." As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, Objet and Stratasys have no reason to believe that any of these conditions will not be satisfied.

Termination of the Merger Agreement

The merger agreement may be terminated and the transactions contemplated thereby abandoned at any time prior to the effective time of the merger under the following circumstances:

- (a) by the mutual written consent of Stratasys and Objet;
- (b) upon notice by either party to the other:
 - (1) if the merger has not been completed by September 30, 2012, which is referred to as the end date, which will be extended automatically to December 31, 2012 if all closing conditions have been satisfied (or are capable of being satisfied on the prospective closing date) other than (i) the expiration or termination of the waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the merger under the HSR Act (this waiting period terminated in early June 2012, thereby eliminating the possibility that the end date will be extended for this reason) or (ii) the receipt of any approvals under any foreign antitrust, competition, investment, trade regulation or similar laws or from any governmental entity (other than under the HSR Act); provided that the failure of the merger to be completed by the end date is not the result of a breach of the merger agreement by, or the failure of any representation or warranty of, the terminating party;
 - (2) if any final, non-appealable law, judgment, injunction, order or decree renders the merger illegal or otherwise restrains, enjoins or prohibits the consummation of the merger, or the transactions contemplated under the merger agreement, provided that the terminating party shall have complied in all material respects with its obligations to cooperate to obtain all necessary consents and approvals with respect to the merger and to assist in the defense or settlement of any litigation against Stratasys concerning the merger;
 - (3) if the Stratasys stockholder approval for the merger is not obtained at the special meeting of Stratasys' stockholders called for that purpose (unless the meeting has been adjourned, in which case the agreement may only be terminated if Stratasys stockholder approval is not obtained at the final adjournment of the meeting); or
 - (4) if any condition precedent to the terminating party's obligation to consummate the merger (other than the conditions related to receipt of required consents and approvals,

Table of Contents

including the expiration or termination of the waiting period under the HSR Act, and absence of judgments, injunction, orders or decrees rendering the merger illegal) becomes incapable of satisfaction prior to the end date provided that the terminating party's breach of the merger agreement did not cause the condition to be unsatisfied.

(c)

by Stratasys, if (1) Objet or Merger Sub has breached any representation or warranty or failed to perform any covenant or agreement set forth in the merger agreement that would cause the non-fulfillment of either of the first two conditions precedent to the obligation of Stratasys to close the merger (as set forth above) and, if reasonably capable of being cured, such breach or failure has not been cured prior to the earlier of (i) 30 days after Objet's receipt of written notice of such breach or failure from Stratasys or (ii) the end date (provided, in each case, that Stratasys has not failed to fulfill any of its obligations and has not breached any of its representations or warranties under the merger agreement in a manner that would cause the non-fulfillment of either of the first two conditions to Objet's obligation to close the merger (as set forth above)); or (2) Objet breaches its obligation to (i) call and hold a shareholder meeting to solicit Objet shareholder approval or (ii) recommend approval of the merger to its shareholders;

(d)

by Objet, if:

(1)

Stratasys has breached any representation or warranty or failed to perform any covenant or agreement set forth in the merger agreement that would cause the non-fulfillment of either of the first two conditions precedent to the obligation of Objet to close the merger (as set forth above) and, if reasonably capable of being cured, such breach or failure has not been cured prior to the earlier of (i) 30 days after Stratasys' receipt of written notice of such breach or failure from Objet or (ii) the end date (provided, in each case, that Objet has not failed to fulfill any of its obligations and has not breached any of its representations or warranties under the merger agreement in a manner that would cause the non-fulfillment of either of the first two conditions to Stratasys' obligation to close the merger (as set forth above));

(2)

Stratasys breaches (i) its obligations related to calling its stockholders meeting for adoption of the merger or recommending to its stockholders that they adopt the merger, or (ii) any of the prohibitions related to solicitation of any Stratasys acquisition proposal; or

(3)

Stratasys' board of directors changes its recommendation to its stockholders to no longer support the merger.

Except as described below under " Termination Fees and Expenses," if the merger agreement is validly terminated, the merger agreement will become void (other than certain provisions of the merger agreement specified to survive its termination), without any liability on the part of any party, except in the case of an intentional breach of the merger agreement or any statement, act, or failure to act by a party that constitutes a material misrepresentation by such party or results in a material breach by such party of any representation, covenant or agreement set forth in the merger agreement.

Termination Fees and Expenses

Stratasys Termination Fee. Stratasys will be obligated to pay Objet a termination fee of \$25 million and to reimburse Objet for its expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement and the merger, in an amount up to \$2 million, if:

Objet terminates the merger agreement based on point (b)(1) under " Termination of the Merger Agreement" above, and there has been a Stratasys acquisition proposal;

Table of Contents

Objet terminates the merger agreement based on point (b)(2) above, and the failure of Stratasys to comply with its obligations to cooperate in obtaining all necessary consents and approvals with respect to the merger and in assisting in the defense or settlement of any litigation against Stratasys concerning the merger materially contributed to the law, judgment, injunction, order or decree that restrains or prohibits the merger;

Objet or Stratasys terminates the merger agreement based on point (b)(4) above, there has been no change in recommendation by Stratasys' board of directors and prior to the six-month anniversary of such termination Stratasys enters into a definitive agreement regarding or consummates a Stratasys acquisition proposal; except that all references to 15% contained in the definition of "Stratasys acquisition proposal" (which appears under " No Solicitation by Stratasys" above) shall be deemed to be references to 50%;

Objet terminates the merger agreement based on point (d)(3) above after Stratasys has received a Stratasys superior offer (as defined under " No Solicitation by Stratasys" above);

Objet terminates the merger agreement based on point (d)(2) above; or

Stratasys terminates the merger agreement based on point (b)(3) above after Stratasys' board of directors changes its recommendation to its stockholders to no longer support the merger.

Increased Stratasys Termination Fee. Stratasys will be obligated to pay Objet a termination fee of \$48 million and to reimburse Objet for its expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement and the merger, in an amount up to \$2 million, if Objet terminates the merger agreement based on point (d)(3) under " Termination of the Merger Agreement" above after Stratasys' change in recommendation was not made in compliance with the required procedures related to receipt of a Stratasys superior offer (as described under " Changes in Stratasys' Board of Directors' Recommendation" above).

Objet Termination Fee. Objet will be obligated to pay Stratasys a termination fee of \$31 million and Stratasys' expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement and the merger, in an amount up to \$2 million, if:

Stratasys terminates the merger agreement based on point (b)(1) under " Termination of the Merger Agreement" above, and the merger shall have not been consummated by the end date as a result of the failure of Objet to fulfill its obligations under the merger agreement related to the Objet shareholder meeting for approval of the merger, the Form F-4 registration statement of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part or the NASDAQ listing application for Objet's ordinary shares; or

Stratasys terminates the merger agreement based on point (b)(2) above, and the failure of Objet to comply with its obligations to cooperate in obtaining all necessary consents and approvals with respect to the merger and in assisting in the defense or settlement of any litigation against Stratasys concerning the merger materially contributed to the law, judgment, injunction, order or decree that restrains or prohibits the merger.

Expenses. If the merger agreement is terminated by Objet due to the failure of the mutual condition precedent requiring approval of the merger by the requisite affirmative vote of Stratasys' stockholders in accordance with applicable Delaware law and Stratasys' organizational documents (that is, due to the occurrence of the event described in point (b)(4) under " Termination of the Merger Agreement" above), but Stratasys does not enter into a definitive agreement regarding and does not consummate a Stratasys acquisition proposal (after substituting 50% for all references to 15% contained in the definition of "Stratasys acquisition proposal") prior to the six- month anniversary of such termination, and Stratasys has not breached (i) its obligations related to calling its stockholder meeting for approval of the merger or recommending to its stockholders that they approve the merger,

Table of Contents

or (ii) any of the prohibitions related to solicitation of any Stratasys acquisition proposal, then Stratasys will be required to pay to Objet all of its out-of-pocket fees and expenses, up to a maximum of \$2 million, that were incurred in connection with the merger agreement and the merger.

The merger agreement provides that in general each of Objet and Stratasys will pay its own costs and expenses in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Nevertheless, Objet and Stratasys have agreed that they will each pay 50% of (i) any fees and expenses (excluding each party's internal costs and fees and expenses of attorneys, accountants and financial and other advisors) incurred in respect of the printing, filing and mailing of the registration statement on Form F-4 of which this prospectus/proxy statement forms a part and the Stratasys proxy statement contained therein, and (ii) any and all filing fees due in connection with the filings required by or under the HSR Act or any other antitrust, competition, investment, trade regulation or similar law. Neither Objet nor Stratasys will be obligated to pay filing fees for any filings required to be made by Stratasys stockholders who will be receiving Objet ordinary shares pursuant to the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Governing Law

The merger agreement is governed by the laws of the State of Delaware.

Third Party Beneficiaries

The merger agreement is not intended to confer upon any person other than Objet, Merger Sub and Stratasys benefits or remedies, other than the rights to insurance and indemnification of certain Stratasys and Objet directors and officers, as described above.

Enforcement

Objet, Merger Sub and Stratasys have agreed that irreparable damage would occur in the event that any of the provisions of the merger agreement are not performed in accordance with their specific terms; accordingly, each of the parties is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent breaches of the merger agreement and to specifically enforce its terms in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware in Wilmington, Delaware or, if exclusive jurisdiction of a matter is vested in the federal courts, in any U.S. federal court located in the State of Delaware.

Table of Contents

AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO IN CONNECTION WITH THE MERGER AGREEMENT

Stratasys Voting Agreements

In order to demonstrate their commitment to the approval of the merger agreement, the merger and all related transactions that are described in Proposal One, and as is contemplated under the merger agreement, each of Stratasys' directors and certain of their spouses who hold shares of Stratasys common stock have entered into voting agreements with Objet that will remain in effect until the earlier of the effective time of the merger or the termination of the merger agreement. The individuals party to the voting agreements with Objet are S. Scott Crump, Lisa H. Crump, Ralph E. Crump, Marjorie L. Crump, Edward J. Fierko, Clifford H. Schwieter, and Gregory L. Wilson. An aggregate of 909,400 shares of Stratasys common stock, which represent approximately 4.27% of the Stratasys shares outstanding as of the record date, are subject to the voting agreements entered into by these individuals.

The following is a summary of the terms of those voting agreements only and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. A copy of the form of voting agreement is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex B*.

Under each voting agreement, the Stratasys director or spouse party thereto has agreed to vote, and has granted Messrs. David Reis and Ilan Levin, on behalf of Objet, an irrevocable proxy to vote, at any Stratasys stockholder meeting until the earlier of the termination of the merger agreement or the effective time of the merger, all of such director's or spouse's shares of Stratasys common stock, together with any other shares of Stratasys common stock underlying options, warrants or convertible securities, and any additional shares of Stratasys common stock acquired by such director or spouse after the date of the voting agreement (which are collectively referred to in this description of the voting agreement as Stratasys shares) as follows:

in favor of the merger, the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated therein;

against any proposal, amendment, matter or agreement that would in any manner impede, frustrate, prevent or nullify the merger, the merger agreement, any of the transactions contemplated under the merger agreement, or the voting agreement;

against any alternative proposal for the acquisition of Stratasys (or any substantially similar transaction); and

in favor of any action necessary, advisable and prudent in the name and on behalf of Stratasys towards the implementation of the merger agreement, the merger and all related transactions that are described in Proposal One and all other actions required, advisable, prudent and/or resulting from the merger.

Each Stratasys director and spouse party to a voting agreement has represented that he or she has not subjected, and has agreed to not subject, for so long as the agreement is in effect, any of his, her or its Stratasys shares to any voting trust or other agreement, arrangement or restriction with respect to the voting of the Stratasys shares (other than the voting agreement being entered into with Objet).

Subject to a limited exception for the exercise of Stratasys options and warrants, each Stratasys director and spouse party to a voting agreement has agreed, unless permitted by Objet, not to sell, pledge, encumber, grant options with respect to, transfer or otherwise dispose of Stratasys shares or to enter into any agreement related to any of the foregoing transfers or dispositions, for so long as the voting agreement is in effect.

Subject to certain exceptions described in the voting agreements, each Stratasys director and spouse party to an agreement has made representations and warranties to Objet regarding, among other things, such director's or spouse's (i) power and authority to enter into the voting agreement and

Table of Contents

deliver the proxy, (ii) unencumbered beneficial ownership of the Stratasys shares subject to the voting agreement, and (iii) full voting power and full power of disposition with respect to his or her shares.

Objet Voting Agreements

As a condition and inducement to Stratasys' willingness to enter into the merger agreement, owners of Objet ordinary shares and/or preferred shares who in the aggregate hold a sufficient number of Objet ordinary shares and preferred shares required for approval of the merger by Objet's shareholders have entered into a voting agreement with Stratasys that will remain in effect until the earlier of the effective time of the merger or the termination of the merger agreement. The Objet shareholders party to the voting agreements with Stratasys are Greenhill Highways LLC, AGM Holdings BV, Cordan Investments LLC, David Reis, Depot Holdings LLC, Hancock LLC, Iron Investments Limited, Mahogany Investment Corporation, Merto Holdings LLC, Michael Jaglom, Nalan Holdings LLC, Samson Capital LLC, Shano Holdings LLC, Sonic Technology Holdings LLC and Tyres Tech LLC. An aggregate of 124,780,507 shares of Objet, which together represent approximately 92.96% of the aggregate voting power of Objet shares outstanding as of the current time, are subject to the voting agreements entered into by these individuals.

The following is a summary of the terms of those voting agreements only and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. A copy of the form of voting agreement is attached to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex C* and is incorporated by reference herein.

Under each voting agreement, the Objet shareholder party thereto has agreed to vote, and has granted to Messrs. S. Scott Crump and Robert Gallagher, on behalf of Stratasys, an irrevocable proxy to vote, at any Objet shareholder meeting until the earlier of the termination of the merger agreement or the effective time of the merger, all of such shareholder's Objet ordinary shares and preferred shares, together with any other shares underlying options, warrants or convertible securities, and any additional shares of Objet acquired by such shareholder after the date of the voting agreement, as follows:

in favor of the merger, the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated therein;

in favor of any amendment to Objet's current articles of association recommended by Objet's board of directors;

against any proposal, amendment, matter or agreement that would in any manner impede, frustrate, prevent or nullify the merger, the merger agreement, any of the transactions contemplated under the merger agreement, or the voting agreement;

in favor of the conversion of all outstanding preferred shares of Objet into ordinary shares prior to the consummation of the merger, in accordance with Objet's current articles of association;

in favor of an increase in the authorized share capital of Objet and the determination that the securities issued in connection with the merger agreement will not be subject to preemptive rights or anti-dilution rights under Objet's current articles of association;

in favor of (i) a reverse stock split of all authorized, issued and outstanding shares of Objet at a ratio as shall be presented at the Objet shareholder meeting prior to the merger, and (ii) the reinstatement of the par value per share (including all authorized, issued and outstanding shares of Objet) at a par value as shall be presented at the meeting and the decrease in the authorized capital of Objet and the consequent increase in the share premium to be recorded on Objet's accounts;

in favor of changing Objet's name to Stratasys Ltd. (and to the Hebrew equivalent) or to any other similar name that the Israeli Registrar of Companies may approve, which name change will enter into effect at the effective time of the merger;

Table of Contents

in favor of adoption of public company articles of association, as set forth in the merger agreement and as of the effective time of the merger;

in favor of an amendment of Objet's current memorandum of association, as set forth in the merger agreement and as of the effective time of the merger;

in favor of the ratification of Objet's 2012 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan;

in favor of the approval of a registration rights and lockup agreement that will be entered into by Objet, its current executive officers and directors and certain of its shareholders, under which those officers, directors and shareholders will be granted certain demand and piggyback registration rights upon the effectiveness of the merger and will be subject to a restriction on sale or other disposition of Objet shares in the open-market (with certain limited exceptions) for the six months following the effective time of the merger (the terms of this agreement are summarized under " Registration Rights and Lock-Up Agreement" below, and a copy of the agreement is appended to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex D*);

in favor of the appointment of the persons so designated pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement as directors of Objet, including their classification to separate classes, as of the effective time of the merger, and approval of their compensation;

in favor of the indemnification agreements to be entered into by Objet with each person who will serve on its board of directors and each person who will serve as an executive officer upon the effectiveness of the merger;

in favor of the approval of the directors and officers insurance policy to be procured by Objet for the benefit of its directors and executive officers who will be serving as such upon the effectiveness of the merger;

against any alternative proposal for the acquisition of Objet (or any substantially similar transaction); and

in favor of any action necessary, advisable and prudent in the name and on behalf of Objet towards the implementation of the merger, and all other actions required, advisable, prudent and/or resulting from the merger.

Each Objet shareholder party to a voting agreement has represented that he, she or it has not subjected, and has agreed to not subject, for so long as the agreement is in effect, any of his/her/its Objet shares to any voting trust or other agreement, arrangement or restriction with respect to the voting of the shares (other than the voting agreement being entered into with Stratasy).

Subject to a limited exception for a transfer to another Objet shareholder whose shares are also subject to a voting agreement with Stratasy, each shareholder party to a voting agreement has agreed, unless permitted by Stratasy, not to sell, pledge, encumber, grant options with respect to, transfer or otherwise dispose of the Objet shares beneficially owned by such shareholder or to enter into any agreement related to any of the foregoing transfers or dispositions, for so long as the voting agreement is in effect.

Subject to certain exceptions described in the voting agreements, each Objet shareholder party to an agreement has made representations and warranties to Stratasy regarding, among other things, such shareholder's (i) power and authority to enter into the voting agreement and deliver the proxy, (ii) unencumbered beneficial ownership of the Objet shares subject to the voting agreement, and (iii) full voting power and full power of disposition with respect to his, her or its Objet shares.

Table of Contents

Registration Rights and Lock-Up Agreement

As a condition to the parties' obligations to consummate the merger, shareholders (including current executive officers and directors) of Objet holding at least 90% of the voting power of Objet prior to the effectiveness of the merger will be granted certain demand and piggyback registration rights, and will agree to a lock-up period, with respect to their Objet ordinary shares following the merger, pursuant to a registration rights and lock-up agreement that they will enter into with Objet upon the effectiveness of the merger. The following is a summary of the terms of the registration rights and lock-up agreement only and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. A copy of the form of registration rights and lock-up agreement is appended to this proxy statement/prospectus as *Annex D* and is incorporated by reference herein.

Lock-Up

The current executive officers, directors and shareholders of Objet party to the agreement will agree that for the period commencing at the effective time of the merger and expiring on the six month anniversary of the merger, they will not sell, pledge, encumber, grant options with respect to, transfer or otherwise dispose of, in an open-market transaction (that is, excluding a privately negotiated or arranged transaction or transfer, provided that the transferee agrees to be subject to the same transfer restrictions), the Objet shares beneficially owned by them or enter into any agreement related to any of the foregoing transfers or dispositions. The foregoing restrictions do not apply to dispositions of Objet ordinary shares that are acquired in open market transactions after the completion of the merger. The six month period may be extended for an additional 18 day period under certain circumstances involving the release of earnings by Objet close to, or immediately following, the six month restricted period.

The lock-up restrictions will not apply to the sale by any shareholder of up to 7.5% of the shares held by him, her or it as of the effective time of the merger if such shares are sold at a price that equals or exceeds \$41.30 per Objet ordinary share (subject to adjustment for a change in capitalization of Stratasys such as a stock split, stock dividend, reverse stock split, or a change in the exchange ratio in the merger, at or prior to the effective time of the merger that impacts the price of Stratasys' common stock).

Demand Registration Rights

Subject to the limitations described below, at any time commencing on the six month anniversary of the effective time of the merger, Objet is required, at the request of the holders of thirty-five percent (35%) of then-outstanding registrable securities (defined as Objet ordinary shares that are held or issuable upon exercise of options held as of immediately following the effectiveness of the merger by Objet shareholders party to the agreement, and any Objet ordinary shares issued or distributed by way of a dividend, stock split or other distribution in respect of, or by way of any rights offering or similar offering made in respect of, the shares held as of the date of the agreement, if such ordinary shares would, in the hands of an Objet shareholder, not be freely transferable in accordance with the intended method of disposition under Rule 144 under the Securities Act in one transaction), to register for resale, and to list for trading on any securities exchange on which Objet's ordinary shares are then traded, any registrable securities that shareholders party to the agreement seek to include in a registration. Shares to be included in a registration demand must have a minimum value (based on the closing price of the shares on the last trading day prior to the request or, in the case no closing price is available, at the anticipated price offered to the public and the intended method of distribution) of \$10 million. In lieu of an ordinary registration demand, Objet shareholders party to the agreement may also make a demand for a "shelf" registration of their ordinary shares on Form F-3 under the Securities Act (or any successor form that allows Objet to incorporate substantial information by reference to other documents that it files with the SEC), which we refer to as Form F-3, beginning with the one

Table of Contents

year anniversary of the effectiveness of the Form F-4 registration statement of which this proxy statement/prospectus forms a part (at which time Objet is likely to be eligible to use Form F-3).

In addition, upon its becoming a "well-known seasoned issuer" (as defined in the rules under the Securities Act), Objet will be required, as promptly as practicable, to register, under an automatic shelf registration statement, the sale of all registrable securities subject to the agreement, in accordance with the other terms of the agreement.

Objet's obligation to effect a registration in response to a registration demand is subject to the following qualifications and limitations:

Objet is only required to effect two demand registrations generally (whether or not such demands involve a "shelf" registration on Form F-3) and only one demand registration in any 12-month period;

Objet need not effect a "shelf" registration if Form F-3 is not available for a delayed or continuous offering by the selling shareholders;

Objet need not effect a registration if it provides notice to the requesting shareholders that its board of directors has determined, in its reasonable good faith judgment, that such registration (i) may interfere with a pending material financing, acquisition, disposition, or corporate reorganization involving Objet or any of its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, (ii) would require premature disclosure of such pending transaction, or (iii) would be otherwise seriously detrimental to Objet and its shareholders, as determined by a majority of the members of Objet's board of directors, in which case Objet will have the right to delay the filing of the subject registration statement. Objet may not impose such a delay, however, if, during the consecutive 12 months preceding a new delay period, the aggregate number of days during which registration requests were delayed exceeded 45 days minus the number of days during which sales were required to be suspended by shareholders under a demand registration statement that had already become effective due to Objet's required update of the information contained therein via a post-effective amendment or prospectus supplement; and

Objet need not effect a registration with respect to Objet ordinary shares once they are no longer deemed "registrable securities" under the agreement, that is, when any of the following conditions is met with respect to those shares: (i) a registration statement with respect to the sale of the shares shall have become effective under the Securities Act and the shares shall have been disposed of in accordance with the registration statement, (ii) the shares shall have been sold pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act and are no longer deemed "restricted securities" under U.S. securities laws, (iii) together with all other remaining registrable securities held by a particular shareholder, the shares may all be sold without limitation of volume (or without being limited by applicable volume limitations) pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act, or (iv) the shares cease to be outstanding.

Piggyback registration rights

All of Objet's shareholders to be party to the agreement will also have the right to request that Objet include their registrable securities in any registration statement that it files for a public offering, except for registrations of shares on the SEC's Form S-8 or Form F-4 (or Form S-4, if Objet does not then qualify as a foreign private issuer), or the registration of shares solely with respect to a dividend reinvestment plan or employee benefit plan.

Underwriting

If the public offering that Objet is effecting (whether pursuant to a demand registration request or in the case of a piggyback registration) is underwritten, the right of any shareholder to include shares

Table of Contents

in the registration related thereto is conditioned upon the shareholder's participation in the underwriting and the inclusion of the shareholder's registrable securities in the underwriting.

Cutbacks

The managing underwriters in any underwritten demand or piggyback registration may limit the number of shares offered for marketing reasons. In the case of a demand registration, the managing underwriter will first exclude any shares that other shareholders or Objet intended to include in the underwriting (after receipt of approval of such inclusion by holders of a majority of the registrable securities being registered), and will thereafter exclude shares to be registered by the shareholders who are party to the registration rights agreement on a pro rata basis, based on their overall percentage of ownership in Objet. In the case of a piggyback registration, the managing underwriter will exclude shares to be registered on behalf of Objet's other shareholders who are exercising piggyback registration rights on a pro-rata basis with registrable securities of shareholders who are party to the agreement.

Expenses

Objet will agree to pay all expenses incurred in carrying out the above registrations, including the reasonable fees of one counsel chosen by the selling shareholders who will be party to the agreement. However, each shareholder participating in a registration or sale is responsible for its pro rata portion of the customary and standard discounts or commissions payable to any underwriter, as well as transfer taxes owed in connection with sale of its shares, and any individual shareholder or group of shareholders that retains separate advisors is entirely responsible for the fees and expenses related thereto.

Standstill Agreements

Certain current shareholders of Objet who in the aggregate hold in excess of 90% of the voting power of Objet prior to the merger have entered into standstill agreements with Objet and Stratasys concurrently with the execution of the merger agreement. Under each such standstill agreement, each of these shareholders has agreed that for the duration of the standstill period (as described below), he, she or it will not acquire, by purchase or otherwise, any ordinary shares or other equity securities of Objet, or any rights or options to acquire ownership, that would raise the number of Objet ordinary shares beneficially owned by him, her or it (including ordinary shares underlying options held by the shareholder whether or not the options are exercisable within 60 days of the date of entry into the standstill agreement) above 120% of the number of ordinary shares beneficially owned by him, her or it as of the effective time of the merger (after giving effect to both (i) the reverse stock split with respect to Objet's ordinary shares immediately prior to the effective time and (ii) the merger).

As defined in the agreement, "standstill period" means the period commencing upon the entry into the standstill agreement and ending on the earliest of: (i) the termination of the standstill period by mutual written consent of Objet, Stratasys and the shareholder party to the agreement; (ii) the termination of the merger agreement in accordance with its terms; (iii) the second anniversary of the effective time of the merger; (iv) the date on which a non-affiliate of Objet (as of immediately following the effective time, after giving effect to the merger) commences or publicly proposes (via a filing with the SEC), either alone or in concert with others, a standstill termination event (as described below) with respect to Objet; or (v) approval by Objet's shareholders of a decision by Objet's board of directors after the effective time of the merger to terminate the standstill period without any additional corporate approvals. Prior to the effective time of the merger, the standstill period may only be terminated pursuant to clause (i) above.

Table of Contents

As provided in the agreement, a standstill termination event means the occurrence of any of the following: (i) the initiation of a tender offer, or the making of any filing with the SEC concerning a prospective tender offer subject to any of Regulations 14D or 14E, or Rule 13e-3, under the Exchange Act; (ii) the entry by Objet into a letter of intent, term sheet or other proposal (even if non-binding), or a definitive agreement, with respect to a merger or sale of all or substantially all of Objet's ordinary shares or assets; (iii) the filing with the SEC of a proxy statement with respect to a proxy contest or any similar transaction that may alter the composition of Objet's board of directors; or (iv) the purchase or acquisition of voting or investment power with respect to more than five percent (5%) of Objet's outstanding ordinary shares by any shareholder(s) who have an intent to, or whose acquisition has the effect of, exercising control in Objet, which is required to be reported on the SEC Schedule 13D.

The standstill agreement provides an exception to the prohibition of surpassing the ownership limit to the extent that an increase in the percentage of the outstanding Objet ordinary shares owned by a shareholder above that threshold is the result of a (i) stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization or similar event, (ii) decrease in the number of outstanding Objet ordinary shares that is not due to an action taken by the shareholder or (iii) option grant to the shareholder that is approved by Objet's board of directors or a committee thereof in the manner required for approval of related party transactions under the Israeli Companies Law. In each case in which the threshold is passed pursuant to one of the foregoing exceptions, however, the shareholder party to the agreement may not acquire additional Objet ordinary shares thereafter.

A copy of the form of standstill agreement is appended to this proxy statement/prospectus as Annex E and is incorporated by reference herein.

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL TWO CERTAIN COMPENSATORY ARRANGEMENTS
WITH NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The option agreements that Stratasys has entered into with all of its employees and directors (other than option agreements for options granted after execution of the merger agreement) provide that all unvested options will immediately vest upon a "change in control," as defined in the agreements. The merger will be a change in control for purposes of the option agreements, and, as a result, all of the unvested options (except for the above-referenced options that were granted after entry into the merger agreement) held by Stratasys employees, including its named executive officers, S. Scott Crump, Robert F. Gallagher and Thomas W. Stenoien, will vest at the effective time of the merger. SEC rules consider in-the-money option awards for which vesting would be accelerated, such as the Stratasys options, to be "golden parachute" compensation. The terms of the foregoing options granted to Stratasys' named executive officers are described in this proxy statement/prospectus under "Proposal One The Merger Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger Interests of Stratasys Executive Officers and Directors Merger Related Compensation" beginning on page 90.

As required by Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K and Section 14A(b) of the Exchange Act, Stratasys is providing its stockholders with the opportunity to cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on these compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers that will become effective upon completion of the merger.

Stratasys believes that the acceleration of vesting of options held by its named executive officers, as well as its other key employees, upon completion of the merger is reasonable and demonstrates that its stock option program was designed appropriately and structured to ensure the retention of talented executives and other key employees until completion of a transaction that results in a change in control. Stratasys' named executive officers do not have any other agreements that provide for payments or other benefits that would be considered to be "golden parachute" compensation under SEC rules. Therefore, this vote is solely with respect to the approval of the accelerated vesting of options held by Stratasys' named executive officers upon completion of the merger. In addition, this vote is separate and independent from the vote of Stratasys stockholders on the merger agreement and the adjournment proposal. In accordance with SEC requirements, Stratasys' board of directors asks that Stratasys stockholders vote on the adoption of the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the compensatory arrangements with the named executive officers of Stratasys that are disclosed in the table and narrative discussion that are set forth in this proxy statement/prospectus under "Proposal One The Merger Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger Interests of Stratasys Executive Officers and Directors Merger Related Compensation" is hereby approved."

This vote is advisory, and therefore, it will not be binding on Stratasys, nor will it overrule any prior decision or require the board of directors of Stratasys (or any committee thereof) to take any action. The proposal will be approved if a majority of the shares of Stratasys common stock represented either in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting vote "FOR" the proposal.

Recommendation of the Stratasys Board of Directors

The Stratasys board of directors unanimously recommends that the Stratasys stockholders vote "FOR" the approval of the compensatory arrangements between Stratasys and its named executive officers in connection with the merger.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL THREE ADJOURNMENT OF THE SPECIAL MEETING

Approval of Adjournment of the Special Meeting of Stratasys Stockholders

If Stratasys fails to receive from its stockholders a sufficient number of votes to adopt the merger agreement, Stratasys may propose to adjourn the special meeting, whether or not a quorum is present, until a later time for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies to adopt the merger agreement. Stratasys currently does not intend to propose adjournment at its special meeting if there are sufficient votes to adopt the merger agreement. If the proposal to adjourn the special meeting for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies is submitted to Stratasys stockholders for approval, such approval requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the special meeting by the holders of shares of Stratasys common stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote thereon.

Recommendation of the Stratasys Board of Directors

The Stratasys board of directors unanimously recommends that the Stratasys stockholders vote "FOR" the proposal to adjourn the special meeting one or more times to a later date or time, if necessary or appropriate, to permit solicitation of additional proxies in the event there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting, or at any adjournment of the special meeting, to adopt the merger agreement.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANIES

Stratasys, Inc.

Stratasys, Inc. was incorporated in the State of Delaware in 1989 and is a worldwide leading manufacturer of 3D printers and 3D production systems for office-based rapid prototyping, or RP, and direct digital manufacturing, or DDM, applications. Stratasys' 3D printers and 3D production systems provide 3D CAD users a fast, office-friendly, and low-cost alternative for building functional 3D parts. Stratasys develops, manufactures and sells a broad product line of 3D printers and 3D production systems (and related proprietary consumable materials) that create physical parts from CAD designs.

Stratasys' common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SSYS". The principal executive offices of Stratasys are located at 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota, and Stratasys' phone number at those offices is (952) 937-3000.

Objet Ltd.

Objet was incorporated as a limited liability company in the State of Israel on March 3, 1998 and is a global provider of three-dimensional, or 3D, printing solutions, offering a broad range of 3D printing systems, resin consumables and services. Objet's printers use Objet's proprietary inkjet-based technology, resin consumables and integrated software to create 3D models directly from computer data such as 3D computer-aided design, or CAD, files. Objet's printers build 3D objects by depositing multiple layers of resin one on top of another.

As of the current time, Objet's ordinary shares are not quoted or listed on any securities exchange or otherwise publicly traded. Objet will be applying to have its ordinary shares listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SSYS" upon the effectiveness of the merger (thereby replacing the listing of Stratasys' common stock under that symbol).

The principal executive offices of Objet are located at 2 Holtzman Street, Science Park, P.O. Box 2496, Rehovot 76124, Israel, and Objet's telephone number at those offices is +972-8-931-4314.

Oaktree Merger Inc. and Seurat Holdings Inc.

Merger Sub is a newly formed Delaware corporation, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet and a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco, itself a newly formed Delaware corporation and wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Objet that is also party to the merger agreement. Each of Merger Sub and Holdco was formed solely for the purpose of effecting the proposed merger with Stratasys and has not carried on any activities other than in connection with the proposed merger. The address and telephone number for each of Merger Sub's and Holdco's principal executive offices are the same as those for Objet.

Table of Contents**OBJET'S BUSINESS****Corporate Structure**

Objet was incorporated as a limited liability company in the State of Israel on March 3, 1998. Its corporate structure includes Objet Ltd., its Israeli parent company, and its four active wholly-owned subsidiary entities: Objet Geometries Inc. (d/b/a Objet Inc.), a Delaware corporation, which carries out Objet's North American operations; Objet AP Limited, a Hong Kong limited company, and Objet Shanghai Ltd., a Chinese company, which together carry out most of Objet's operations in the Asia Pacific region; and Objet GMBH, a German limited liability company that carries out Objet's European operations. In addition, Objet Ltd. owns 51% of a Japanese company, Objet Japan Co., Ltd., which was established as a joint venture together with the 3D printer division of Fasotec Co. Ltd., Objet's longstanding distributor in the Asia Pacific region. In connection with the merger, Objet has also formed the following additional subsidiaries: Stratasys International Ltd., or the Israeli subsidiary, an Israeli limited liability company that is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet Ltd.; Seurat Holdings Inc., or Holdco, a Delaware corporation and a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of the Israeli subsidiary, and Oaktree Merger Inc., or Merger Sub, a Delaware corporation and a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdco.

Company Overview

Objet is a global provider of 3D printing solutions, offering a broad range of 3D printing systems, resin consumables and services. Its printers use its proprietary PolyJet inkjet-based technology, resin consumables and integrated software to create 3D models directly from computer data such as 3D CAD files. Objet's printers build 3D objects by depositing multiple layers of resin one on top of another, with UV light curing and hardening each layer. Objet enhances the ability of designers, engineers and manufacturers to visualize, verify and communicate product designs, thereby improving the design process and reducing time-to-market. Objet's easy-to-use, high-speed 3D printers create high-resolution, smooth surface finish models that have the look, feel and functionality of the final designed product. Objet offers the only 3D printing systems that deposit two materials simultaneously, enabling the printing of models with a broad range of physical attributes. As of March 31, 2012, Objet had sold 3,671 3D printing systems, of which 293 were sold in the first quarter of 2012 and 929 were sold in 2011. Objet's installed base of 3D printers provides the basis for recurring revenues from the sale of resin consumables and services.

3D printing is transforming prototype development and customized manufacturing processes, and is displacing traditional methodologies such as metal extrusion, computer-controlled machining and manual modeling techniques. 3D printing significantly improves the design process, reduces the time required for product development and facilitates creativity, while keeping the entire design process in-house. According to the 2010 report of Wohlers Associates, Inc., or the Wohlers Report, the 3D printer market grew at a 20% compound annual growth rate, or CAGR, from 2004 to 2009. Objet believes that the proliferation of 3D content will continue to drive growth in 3D printing. An indicator of the total addressable market is the number of licensed 3D design software seats, such as 3D CAD seats, although multiple CAD seat licenses will often utilize only one printing system. According to "The Worldwide CAD Market Report 2010," or the CAD Report, by Jon Peddie Research, there was an installed base of over five million 3D CAD seats at the end of 2009. With only 26,797 3D printing systems installed worldwide at the end of 2009, according to the Wohlers Report, Objet believes that the 3D printing industry is significantly under-penetrated and has considerable room for growth. Additionally, users are increasingly upgrading their CAD software from 2D to 3D, as illustrated by the increasing share of 3D CAD installed seats from 30% in 2007 to 41% of the 14 million total CAD installed seats at the end of 2009, according to the CAD Report. While the number of 3D CAD seats is an indicator of the total addressable market, the growth of 3D printing extends beyond the number

Table of Contents

of 3D CAD users, as users can increasingly print 3D content files without the need for specialized 3D CAD design software.

Objet is a pioneer in 3D inkjet printing technology, which it believes is differentiated from competing technologies primarily in its ability to be scaled and to deliver high-resolution and multi-material printing. Objet combines its proprietary hardware platform, integrated software and resin consumables with widely-deployed inkjet printer heads to develop leading 3D printing systems. Objet is able to improve its 3D printing systems through ongoing industry advancements in 2D inkjet head technology. This technology also allows Objet to offer a spectrum of 3D printers of varying features, capacities and price points, and to migrate the advanced features of its high-end products to its entry-level products with greater efficiency.

Objet's products are used in a broad array of applications, including concept modeling and fit and form prototyping focus groups and sales presentations, ergonomic studies, prototype production, short-run tooling and customized small series manufacturing. Objet offers a wide variety of office-friendly, resin consumables, including rigid and flexible (rubber-like) materials and bio-compatible materials for medical applications. Objet is the only provider of 3D printers that offer the simultaneous jetting of two materials, enabling its end-users to print models with a variety of model features, such as objects with both rigid and flexible parts in a single build. Additionally, Objet's technology enables real-time mixing of resins during the printing process to create new composite materials in order to achieve a variety of new material properties.

Objet's 3D printing systems are deployed at over 3,050 companies in a wide range of industries. Objet's systems are used by a number of Fortune 100 companies. Objet provides products and services to its global customer base through its offices in Israel, the United States, Germany, Japan, China and Hong Kong, as well as through its worldwide network of over 100 distributors and sales agents.

Headquartered in Israel, Objet was founded in 1998 and sold its first 3D printing systems in 2002. Objet's revenues and net income were \$121.1 million and \$14.7 million, respectively, in 2011, marking Objet's seventh consecutive profitable year. During the first quarter of 2012, Objet's revenues and net income were \$38.1 million and \$3.4 million, reflecting growth of 44.8% and 4.7% over the corresponding amounts for the first quarter of 2011. The foregoing net income amount for the first quarter of 2012 reflects a reduction of \$3.1 million for exceptional expenses incurred in connection with Objet's previously contemplated initial public offering and its prospective merger with Stratasy. If such exceptional, non-recurring expenses had been excluded, Objet's net income would have increased even further, period-over-period, by that amount.

Industry overview

3D printing offers significant advantages over traditional methods of prototype development and customized manufacturing, and is displacing traditional methodologies such as metal extrusion, computer-controlled machining and manual modeling techniques. 3D printing brings prototyping closer to the designer earlier in the design process and can significantly reduce product development cost and time. Over the past five years, the 3D printing industry has experienced significant growth, with a 20% CAGR of 3D printer installations from 2004 to 2009, according to the Wohlers Report, and Objet believes that the industry is poised for further growth.

The challenges of prototype development

Historically, prototype development and customized manufacturing have been performed by traditional methods using metal extrusion, computer-controlled machining and manual modeling techniques, in which blocks of material are carved or milled into specific objects. Traditional methodologies have numerous limitations. These methodologies often require specialist technicians, and can be time- and labor-intensive. The time intensity of traditional modeling can leave little room for

Table of Contents

design error or subsequent redesign without meaningfully impacting a product's time-to-market and development cost. As a result, prototypes are generally created only at selected milestones late in the design process, which prevents designers from truly visualizing and verifying the design of an object in the preliminary design stage. The inability to iterate a model rapidly hinders collaboration among the design team and reduces the ability to optimize a design, as time-to-market and optimization become necessary trade-offs in the design process. In addition, traditional methodologies typically rely on outsourced fabrication and service bureaus to develop models, risking the loss of confidentiality of their design plans.

The 3D printing solution

3D printing addresses the inherent limitations of traditional modeling technologies through its combination of functionality, quality, ease-of-use, speed and cost. 3D printing can be significantly more efficient and effective than traditional model-making techniques for use across the design process, from concept modeling and design review and validation, to fit and function prototyping, pattern making and tooling. Introducing 3D modeling earlier in the design process to evaluate fit, form and function can result in faster time-to-market and lower product development costs. In addition, for customized manufacturing, 3D printers eliminate the need for complex manufacturing set-ups and reduce the cost and lead-time associated with conventional tooling.

The 3D printing market

According to the Wohlers Report, the 3D printer market grew at a 20% CAGR from 2004 to 2009. Objet believes that the proliferation of 3D content will continue to drive the growth in the 3D printing market. An indicator of the total addressable market is the number of licensed 3D design software seats, such as 3D CAD seats, although multiple CAD seat licenses will often utilize only one printing system. According to the CAD Report, there was an installed base of over five million 3D CAD seats at the end of 2009. With only 26,797 3D printing systems installed worldwide at the end of 2009, according to the Wohlers Report, Objet believes that the 3D printing industry is significantly under-penetrated and has considerable room for growth. Additionally, users are increasingly upgrading their CAD software from 2D to 3D, as illustrated by the increasing share of 3D CAD installed seats from 30% in 2007 to 41% of the 14 million total CAD installed seats at the end of 2009, according to the CAD Report. While the number of 3D CAD seats is an indicator of the addressable market, the growth of 3D printing extends beyond the number of 3D CAD users, as users can print 3D content files without the need for specialized 3D CAD design software, just as users of 2D printers can print standard document files, such as PDF files, without the need for word processing software. The following chart reflects the growth in the installed base of 3D printers from 2004 to 2009:

Installed Base Cumulative Units Shipped

Source: Wohlers Report

Table of Contents

The first commercial 3D printers were introduced in the early 1990s, and, since the early 2000s, 3D printing technology has evolved significantly in terms of pricing, variety and quality of materials, accuracy, ability to create complex objects, ease-of-use and suitability for office environments. 3D printing is already replacing traditional prototype development methodologies across various industries such as automotive, aerospace and defense, electronics, medical, footwear, toys, educational institutions, government and entertainment, underscoring its potential suitability for an even broader range of industries. Additionally, 3D printing has created new applications for model-making in certain new markets, such as the education market, where institutions are increasingly incorporating 3D printing into their engineering and design course programs, and the dental and orthodontic markets, where 3D printed models are being used as replacements for traditional stone models, implants and surgical guides and for crowns and bridges for casting.

Objet expects that the adoption of 3D printing will continue to increase over the next several years as a result of the proliferation of 3D content and 3D authoring tools (3D CAD and other simplified 3D authoring tools), as well as increased availability of 3D scanners. Objet believes that increased market adoption in 3D printing will be facilitated by continued improvements in 3D printing technology, including:

Print quality: Further advancements in resolution, accuracy and surface quality, improved material properties and increased availability of color and transparent print materials.

Affordability: Entry-level systems at lower price points with high quality printing capabilities, albeit with smaller tray sizes and cartridge capacities, as well as lower duty cycles.

Systems and software improvements: Improvements in ease of use, plug and play-type installation, support material improvement and higher print speed.

3D printing technologies

There are a number of available 3D printing technologies, including inkjet, digital light projection, powder binding, fused deposition modeling, stereolithography and selective laser sintering. The technologies differ on the basis of accuracy, surface quality, variety and properties of consumables, capacity, speed, color variety, transparency, the ability to print multiple materials and others.

Inkjet-based technology

According to Wohlers Associates, inkjet-based technology has several characteristics that offer competitive benefits, including the following:

Flexible rubber and plastic-like materials: Inkjet-based technology is currently unique in its ability to print parts made of soft, flexible, rubber-like materials in an office environment. Such materials enable a unique user experience that simulates many end products and is specifically useful in simulating gaskets, seals, hinges, shoe soles, tires and many other soft-touch applications.

Multi-material printing: Inkjet-based technology is currently the only technology that allows the application of two materials jetted simultaneously. This opens up new applications for 3D printing, which are appealing for consumer products. For example, consumer device parts such as keypad buttons in mobile phones and other electronics products require a particular tactile feel for the consumer. Using multi-material printing, prototypes of such parts can be printed with two different materials, including a softer material that is used for keypads.

Composite materials: Composite materials allow parts to more closely simulate the actual material of the final end product. Inkjet is currently the only technology that enables on-demand mixing of two separate materials into a new material whose properties are distinct from either

Table of Contents

one of the individual materials. For example, a user can control the flexibility of a product, such as a shoe sole, with a specific user defined composite material mix of hard and soft materials.

Transparency: Inkjet-based technology is currently unique in its ability to offer transparent material printing in an office environment system. Transparency is a desired feature in the design and engineering industry, and is applicable in automotive, consumer products and consumer electronics. The main applications are lighting components, tubing and piping, package optimization and fluid analysis. Furthermore, the combination of transparency with inkjet technology's multi-material ability creates an opportunity for new applications of 3D printing. One example of the use of transparency is for the creation of educational models for medicine, where a model of a skull can be created with a transparent material with individual opaque parts within the skull, such as teeth, nerves, and blood vessels.

Accuracy: Inkjet-based technology offers highly accurate printing by depositing photopolymer in layers as thin as 16 microns and with 600 dots per inch, or dpi, in the horizontal plane. This allows inkjet-based printers to create accurate parts with a smooth surface finish. Accuracy is a critical attribute for many industrial applications.

The Objet solution

Objet's 3D printing solution uses Objet's proprietary PolyJet inkjet-based technology, resin consumables and integrated software to create 3D models directly from computer data such as 3D CAD files. Objet's printers build 3D objects by depositing multiple layers of resin one on top of another. Objet is a pioneer in 3D inkjet printing technology, which it believes is primarily differentiated from other competing technologies in its ability to scale and deliver high-resolution and multi-material printing. Objet's easy-to-use, high-speed 3D printers create high-resolution, smooth surface finish models that have the look, feel and functionality of the final designed product. Objet offers the only 3D printing systems that deposit two materials simultaneously, enabling the printing of models with a broad range of physical attributes.

Objet offers its customers a broad range of 3D printer systems, including its advanced Connex family, its mid-range Eden family, and its lower capacity, entry-level Desktop family. While all of Objet's products offer Objet's customers high-quality printing capabilities with high resolution and accuracy, Objet's customers typically base their selection of a particular system primarily on tray size, cartridge capacity, duty cycle, print speed, features and price. Currently, Objet is the only company to offer multi-materials capability via its Connex family of printers. Objet offers a wide variety of office-friendly resin consumables, including rigid and flexible (rubber-like) materials and bio-compatible materials for medical applications.

Objet believes that its proprietary technology enables it to offer solutions that are differentiated by a combination of the following attributes:

Printing quality, including high resolution and accuracy: High-resolution jetting, with 600 × 600 dpi and with layers as thin as 16 microns, enabling the creation of smooth, accurate models that exhibit fine details and thin walls that mimic the computerized image upon which they are based. The resolution and accuracy of the jetting is consistent across all three of Objet's printer families.

Multiple materials: Objet's PolyJet Matrix technology, featured in Objet's Connex family of printers, is the only available technology to jet two materials simultaneously. This provides users with two key benefits:

Dual-material build: Objet's PolyJet Matrix technology enables users, in a single build process, to print parts and assemblies made of two materials that each retain their distinct

Table of Contents

mechanical and physical properties. For example, users can print objects with both rigid and flexible portions in a single build.

Composite materials: Objet's PolyJet Matrix technology, aided by Objet's integrated software, enables on-demand mixing of a wide variety of resins to create a wide range of pre-defined Digital Materials, which are composite materials with modified physical or mechanical properties that result from the combination of two materials.

High-speed 3D printing: The high-speed capability of Objet's 3D printers is enabled by Objet's differentiated technology, including the ability to print along the full array of print heads, to simultaneously print multiple items and cure the object while printing, thus significantly reducing the need for post-printing curing time. Objet's printers have a typical print speed of 8.9 cubic inches/hour.

Suitability for office environments: Objet's technology allows Objet to deliver high print quality from an easy-to-use, office suitable printer. Objet's systems utilize conveniently packaged resin cartridges, which are easy to load and unload, and allow simple replacement of jetting heads. Objet's systems print a wax-like support material wherever resin is not printed, in order to support the remaining model layers as they are printed. This support material is specially designed to be easily removed by hand under a stream of water at the end of the printing process.

Objet's solutions allow Objet's end-users to print 3D models that enhance their ability to visualize, verify and communicate product designs, thereby improving the design process and reducing time-to-market. Objet's systems create visual aids for concept modeling and functional prototyping to test fit, form and function, permitting rapid evaluation of product designs. Using presentation models developed with Objet's systems, designers can typically conduct design reviews and identify potential design flaws and improvements before incurring significant costs due to re-tooling and re-work, allowing them to optimize a design rapidly and cost-effectively. Objet's systems also aid in the communication of ideas otherwise communicated in abstract or 2D media. For example, a model produced with Objet's systems may be used as a sales tool, as a model or part display or simply for use in conducting a focus group, or for collaboration in the product design and manufacturing cycles at multiple locations more quickly, enabling visualization, touch and feel, which can be critical to the product evaluation or sales process.

In addition to contributing to the design process, Objet's systems may also be used as part of the manufacturing process for smaller-volume manufacturing of customized products. Objet's technology can eliminate the need for expensive tooling or molding, helping to quickly and efficiently create an end-use product.

Objet's solutions are employed by a variety of organizations across multiple industries, including:

Apparel: Burton Snowboards

Automotive: Volkswagen

Consumer goods: Black & Decker and Philips

Medical: Boston Scientific and Fresenius Medical Care North America

Toys: Hasbro

Sporting goods: Adidas Group and Zebco

Table of Contents**Competitive strengths**

Objet believes that the following are its key competitive strengths:

Proprietary inkjet-based technology platform. Objet believes that its 3D inkjet printing technology, which benefits from ongoing industry advancements in 2D inkjet head technology, is differentiated from competing technologies primarily in its ability to be scaled and to deliver high-resolution and multi-material printing. Objet combines its proprietary hardware platform, integrated software and resin consumables with widely-deployed inkjet printer heads to develop leading 3D printing systems. This technology also allows Objet to offer a spectrum of 3D printers of varying features, capacities and price points, and to migrate the advanced features of its high-end products to its entry-level products with greater efficiency.

Differentiated product offering with superior model quality. Objet's 3D printing systems are differentiated through a combination of superior printing qualities, print speed, variety of materials, the ability to print multiple materials simultaneously and suitability for office environments. Objet's systems jet ultra-thin layers (down to a thickness of 16 microns) that enable significant accuracy, high resolution (600 × 600 dpi) and smooth finish to Objet's models. Objet offers a wide variety of office-friendly resin consumables, including rigid and flexible (rubber-like) materials and bio-compatible materials for medical applications. Objet offers the only printing system that utilizes the simultaneous jetting of two materials to enable its end-users to print models with a variety of model features such as objects with both rigid and flexible parts in a single build.

Multidisciplinary technological expertise. Objet's 3D printing solutions integrate innovations in a wide range of scientific disciplines, such as physics, chemistry and mechanical and electrical engineering, as well as software development. Objet makes significant investments in developing and integrating technologies into its hardware platform, software and resin consumables. Objet believes it has a strong base of technology know-how. Objet's patent portfolio consists of 64 granted patents and 65 pending patent applications as of May 1, 2012, encompassing granted patents in the United States, China, France, Germany, Italy, the UK, Spain, Austria, Belgium, Switzerland, Ireland and Hong Kong, and pending patent applications in the United States, China, the European Union, Hong Kong and Japan, along with a U.S. provisional patent application and international applications pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty. As of March 31, 2012, Objet's R&D and engineering teams consisted of 103 employees out of a total of 451 employees. Objet has a culture of innovation and expects to continue to enhance its solutions to further drive market adoption of 3D printing.

Large and growing installed base. Objet's differentiated offering has led to a large and growing installed base. As of March 31, 2012, Objet had sold 3,671 systems, including 929 systems sold in 2011 and 293 systems sold during the first quarter of 2012. Objet derives recurring revenues through sales of proprietary resin consumables and services to this installed base.

Diverse, global blue chip customer base. Objet's end-users include over 3,050 companies across a wide range of industries and applications. Objet's clients include: apparel companies such as Burton Snowboards; automotive industry participants such as Volkswagen; consumer goods companies such as Black & Decker and Philips; toy companies such as Hasbro; medical equipment companies such as Boston Scientific and Fresenius Medical Care North America; and sporting goods companies such as the Adidas Group and Zebco.

Table of Contents

Strategy

The key elements of Objet's strategy for growth include the following:

Expanding customer base and further penetrating existing customers. Objet intends to increase market awareness of it by adding distribution channels and increasing its marketing efforts in order to drive sales to new customers. Objet currently has over 100 distributors and sales agents worldwide, and is expanding that distribution network by adding distributors and sales agents with specific geographical presence or specialist industry coverage. Objet is expanding its marketing efforts by participating in trade shows, advertising in trade journals and on the Internet, and engaging in public relations initiatives. Additionally, Objet is focused on increasing the penetration within its current customer base. Objet expects to generate revenues from sales to existing customers as they experience the benefits of its products and further adopt 3D printing. In 2011, approximately 20.3% of the printers Objet shipped were to existing customers. Objet maintains strong relations with its current customers through direct and indirect marketing efforts and through its service organization.

Driving further adoption through lower capacity entry-level systems. Objet expects to broaden its installed base through increased adoption of its recently introduced Desktop family of lower capacity entry-level systems, which are offered at lower price points. Objet's Desktop 3D printers are expected to penetrate a broad and largely untapped addressable market, targeting small design teams within large organizations, small and medium-sized businesses and individual designers. Objet's scalable technology allows it to provide the same high resolution and accuracy of its high-end printers, but with a smaller feature set. Objet expects to incorporate certain additional features of its Connex family of printers into this family of products over time. Objet believes this will further accelerate market adoption of its products.

Broadening Objet's printing systems' capabilities through the development of new materials. Objet believes that by developing new materials for its 3D printing systems, it will be able to increase both the size of, and its market share in, the 3D printing market. Objet is broadening the range of its resin consumable offerings in order to enable the creation of models with an even greater variety of physical properties, thereby expanding potential user applications. Objet's materials science innovations, coupled with its PolyJet technology, enable the creation of transparent models and models with more than one color. Objet is also developing materials with a broader range of physical properties, including materials with higher temperature resistance, greater strength and flexibility. The ability to print models that closely resemble "real world" products enables functional testing and even small-batch rapid manufacturing. Objet believes that continued expansion of its resin consumable offerings will further differentiate its product offering and broaden adoption of, and uses for, 3D printing.

Maintaining and extending technology lead. Objet combines its proprietary hardware, integrated software and resin consumables with commercially available and widely-deployed inkjet printer heads to develop differentiated, leading 3D printing systems. As of May 1, 2012, Objet had 64 granted patents and 65 pending patent applications (including foreign counterparts of both granted patents and pending patent applications). Objet seeks to extend its technology capabilities by continuing to invest in its R&D efforts, which focus on enhancing its inkjet-based technologies that underlie all of its printing systems and developing resin consumables that offer an even broader array of physical and aesthetic properties, thereby broadening user applications.

Objet's 3D printing systems

Objet's products consist of its 3D printing system families and its proprietary resin consumables used with those systems. Objet's families of 3D printing systems are designed to enable engineers and designers to quickly, cost-effectively, efficiently and accurately create high-resolution, fine-detailed

Table of Contents

models. Objet's core groups of 3D printing systems integrate its proprietary PolyJet and PolyJet Matrix technologies and present a broad range of price and performance options for consumers, depending on their desired application as well as the nature and size of the prototypes or end-products they seek to produce. The performance of Objet's different systems varies in terms of capabilities related to: print speed, resin cartridge capacity, maximum model size, or tray size, duty cycle, or the number of objects that a printer can produce over a given period of time without requiring maintenance, and materials. Objet currently offers ten systems within three families of high-definition printing systems: Connex, Eden and Desktop.

Objet's printing systems integrate Objet's software, and are supported by services that Objet provides to its customers, both directly and through its distribution partners. The printers' build trays are designed to allow one model or multiple smaller parts to be built simultaneously, saving time and cost. Models are fully cured by UV light on the build tray of the printer during one seamless printing process.

Objet's three families of printers are described below:

The Connex family of 3D printers is Objet's most advanced printer line with the highest capacity, offering the broadest set of features, including the ability to deposit two materials simultaneously while printing.

The Eden family of 3D printers is comprised of mid-to-high capacity, moderately priced systems for use in high-duty 3D printing applications that do not require multi-material printing.

The Desktop line is Objet's lower capacity, entry-level and most affordable set of systems.

Connex family of 3D printers

Objet's Connex family of 3D printers are offered with large tray sizes, large cartridge capacity and high duty cycles, and produce a wide variety of objects at high speeds with high accuracy, smooth surfaces, complex shapes and stand-out text, using a range of material. Additionally, Objet's Connex printers enable simultaneous jetting of multiple model materials in a single build, opening up a variety of applications.

By placing different materials in the Connex system, which are sprayed from different nozzles, users can either print parts and assemblies made of two materials with different mechanical and physical properties or create composite materials whose mechanical properties reflect the combination of two materials. If rigid and flexible qualities are required in a single model, the materials spray separately onto various parts of the model, enabling users to, for example, in a single build process print objects with distinct visual effects such as transparency to differentiate areas of interest, or create operational hinges, gaskets or hoses. If a composite material is required for a model, the materials spray simultaneously in varying amounts, thereby facilitating the combination of two materials during the printing process to yield one unique, new composite material, with distinct mechanical or physical properties, allowing the creation of objects such as shoe soles or tires. This ability to form multiple material products very early in the product design process reduces costs and minimizes risks associated with creating costly and complex molds at a later stage. The users of Connex products are able to change the material mix based on pre-set specifications in Objet's integrated software, allowing them to vary the material properties of the resulting composite material on-demand after the initial design process is complete.

Eden family of 3D printers

Objet's Eden family of 3D printers is comprised of office-friendly systems suitable for a wide variety of 3D printing applications. Eden printers are similar to the Connex family of printers, and are

Table of Contents

offered in a variety of models with small to large cartridge capacities, varying print speeds and differing model resolutions, but without the ability to deposit two materials simultaneously.

Desktop family of 3D printers

Objet's Desktop family is Objet's lower capacity, entry-level family of 3D printing systems. The printers in this family provide the same general print quality as the Eden family printing systems while differing from the Eden systems in tray size, cartridge capacity, duty cycle and print speed, with a more limited set of materials that can be jetted. The desktop 3D printers are sufficiently small to fit on a desk or a stand that Objet offers with the printer. These printers package the ability to produce high-quality, finely detailed 3D printed models into smaller, more affordable systems.

Each of Objet's printer families can be easily connected to information technology networks, and can therefore operate as network printers, allowing multiple designers in multiple office locations to communicate designs and models by sending computer files across a network to be printed in 3D.

External dimensions WxDxH (m)	0.9x0.7x1.2 to 1.4x1.1x1.1	0.9x0.7x1.2 to 1.3x1.0x1.2	0.8x0.6x0.6
Max. printing speed (cm ³ /hr)	270 - 370	150 - 370	90 and 110
Resin cartridge capacity (kg)	14.4	4.0 - 14.4	4.0
Tray size (mm)	260x260x200 to 500x400x200	260x260x200 to 500x400x200	240x200x150 and 300x200x150
Resolution (dpi)	600x600x1600	600x300x1600 to 600x600x1600	600x600x900
Multi-material capability	Yes	No	No
U.S. list prices*	\$160,000 to \$240,000	\$59,900 to \$175,000	\$19,900 to \$42,900

*

Prices are subject to change

Table of Contents

Objet's resin consumables

Objet's resin consumables, which consist of Objet's FullCure family of proprietary acrylic-based photopolymer materials, are designed for use with Objet's 3D printing systems and enable users of Objet's printers to create highly accurate, finely detailed 3D models and parts for a wide range of prototype development and customized manufacturing applications. The wide variety of resins within the FullCure family is characterized by transparent, colored, or opaque properties and flexible, rigid or other physical properties. The FullCure support materials that are used together with FullCure model materials enable the 3D printing of models with a wide array of complex geometries. Objet's FullCure materials are produced in-house and are specially designed for Objet's printing systems. The sale of these materials provides Objet with a recurring revenue stream from users of its 3D printers.

Objet has invested significant research and development efforts in optimizing its FullCure materials for use with inkjet technology. These efforts are reflected in the properties of these materials, which enable them to be packaged, stored, combined and readily cured upon printing. The key features and benefits of 3D modeling with Objet's FullCure materials, include:

Broad variety: Broad choice of materials allows the user to choose materials for a wide range of applications, including rigid and flexible (rubber-like) materials and bio-compatible materials for medical applications.

Ready-to-use models: Materials used to create models can be handled right off the tray, with no post-curing processing required (other than water jetting to easily remove wax-like FullCure support materials).

Table of Contents

Varying flexibility: Flexible models, with high elasticity and elongation qualities, may be produced from certain of Objet's materials.

Office-friendly: Materials that are packaged in cartridges for safe handling and are suitable for use in office environments.

Post-printing processing: Materials can also, in most cases, be machined, drilled, chrome-plated or painted.

All FullCure 3D printing materials are delivered in sealed, 1.0 or 3.6 kilogram cartridges, helping to ensure environmentally safe use. The 3D printer cartridges may be easily removed and disposed.

Objet's integrated software

Objet's integrated software, consisting of Objet Studio and Job Manager, is designed to make the process of creating high-quality, highly detailed and accurate models more efficient and serves as an important tool for allowing multiple users connected to the same network to use Objet's systems.

Objet Studio supports commonly used 3D file formats, such as STL and SLC. The software offers simple "click & build" preparation and print tray editing, and provides easy, accurate job timing estimation and full job control, including queue management. The software also features wizards that facilitate and speed system maintenance and advanced features that allow users to make adjustments to 3D printing properties in real time. For example, Objet's software enables users of Objet's Connex line of 3D printers to change the material mix in the printing process, allowing users to modify quickly the properties of the model being printed.

The Job Manager enables users to work in parallel and send jobs from any network computer to the server. Jobs enter the queue either according to the parameters configured by the system administrator, or in chronological order. The queue is therefore easily managed, as each user has access to his or her jobs and the administrator can set and adjust parameters and access permissions. In configurations of multiple printing systems on the network, each user automatically receives the parameters of the selected system, such as tray size, loaded materials, and queue status, helping ensure easy, error-free tray setup.

Services and warranty

Objet provides support to its customers directly and through its distributors, ensuring that support and parts may be readily obtained worldwide. Objet's support network consists of the following:

More than 160 trained, Objet-certified engineers providing on-site installation, training and support.

Direct support engineers through Objet in more than six countries worldwide.

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Indirect support engineers through certified partners in more than 31 countries.

Phone and direct on-site support in four languages.

Service logistics in key regional centers.

Table of Contents

Training facilities and resources in regional centers.

Computerized management system and knowledge distribution platform to ensure high-quality support for Objet's customers.

Support, tools and up-to-date information to Objet's direct customer and distribution channels from Objet's product support engineering team.

Objet's goal is to ensure maximum uptime and productivity for its 3D printing systems. In order to do so, Objet regularly updates the technical documentation related to its systems, offer comprehensive training courses for operators and promote proactive knowledge sharing designed to help users maximize the value of their equipment and expand the applications for which they employ Objet's 3D printing systems.

Basic warranty

Objet's 3D printer systems are sold with on-site maintenance support that covers a warranty period ranging from 90 days to one year from installation. Usually, the warranty period extends for one year, but there are certain geographical regions in which Objet's printers are sold in which end-users may choose to be covered by a 90-day warranty instead at a reduced cost. Receipt of maintenance and repair services after the warranty period is subject to the terms of Objet's extended support programs, to the extent purchased by the end-user, as described below.

Extended support programs

Recognizing that Objet's end-users have varying support needs, Objet offers a range of support programs that enable its end-users to continue to receive maintenance services beyond the initial warranty period. These support programs contain various degrees of the support services described above and are priced accordingly.

Marketing, sales and distribution

Marketing

Objet's marketing strategy is focused on increasing awareness of its brand in order to generate sales and increase its customer loyalty. Objet initiates marketing programs and campaigns to drive lead generation throughout the regions in which it and its distributors and agents operate. Objet customizes its sales pipeline-building activities and programs to industry specific requirements. This infrastructure allows it to measure and analyze the success of various marketing tactics. Based on Objet's analysis, Objet creates and updates its product roadmaps and individual marketing plans to help optimize distribution while helping ensure a smooth process of release, ramp-up and sales.

Objet uses a variety of inbound and outbound marketing methods to reach potential customers. Inbound methods include a variety of online marketing strategies comprised of search marketing (for example, Search Engine Optimization and Pay Per Click advertising), social media, blogs, syndication, webinars and white papers. Outbound channels include more traditional marketing methods such as print advertisements, direct mail and e-mail, tradeshows, newsletters and referrals. In addition, Objet has developed domestic and international on-site demonstration capabilities in its regional offices in the U.S., Germany, Hong Kong and China.

Sales distribution structure and reseller network

Objet sells, distributes and provides follow-up support services with respect to its 3D printing systems and resin consumables through a worldwide sales and marketing infrastructure, for which it generally utilizes three methods for distribution and support: (i) sales to independent distributors who

Table of Contents

purchase and resell its products and through whom follow-up support services are provided to end-users; (ii) sales of its printing systems that are arranged by a network of independent sales agents worldwide, pursuant to which it sells directly to end-users, pays commissions to such agents, and directly handles the sale of printing resin consumables and provision of follow-up support services; and (iii) direct sales of products to end-users without the involvement of any intermediaries, for which all aspects of its sales and follow-up services are handled exclusively by it. In certain instances, the same individual or company can serve as an independent distributor with respect to certain of Objet's products while acting as a sales agent for other products. Objet's independent distributors and sales agents are overseen by regional managers and operate on a non-exclusive basis, although Objet believes that most do not sell competing 3D printers.

Almost all of the independent distributor and sales agent locations that distribute Objet's products have Objet's 3D printing systems available for tradeshows, product demonstrations, and other promotional activities. Additionally, many of them also enjoy a long-term presence and offer third-party 3D CAD software packages in their respective territories, enabling them to cross-sell Objet's systems to those customers.

Customers

Objet's systems are installed at a number of the Fortune 100 companies. Generally, neither any single customer or group of affiliated customers (that is, distributors, in the case of the indirect distribution of Objet's products, and end-users, in the case of commission-based and direct distribution of Objet's products), nor any individual sales agent or group of affiliated sales agents (in the case of commission-based distribution of Objet's products), accounted for more than 6% of Objet's sales in 2011, 2010 or 2009. As of March 31, 2012, Objet's 3D printing systems had been deployed at over 3,050 companies in a wide range of industries.

Manufacturing and suppliers

Manufacturing

Objet's manufacturing process consists of (i) assembling systems using both off-the-shelf and customized components manufactured specifically for it and (ii) mixing raw materials to produce Objet's resin consumables and bottling and packaging those consumables. Objet's core competencies include printing systems assembly, systems integration, software installation and resin manufacturing, all of which are done internally at Objet's facilities. Objet currently operates on a build-to-forecast basis and obtains all parts used in the manufacturing process from either distributors of standard electrical or mechanical parts or custom fabricators of Objet's proprietary designs. Objet's manufacturers and suppliers are periodically assessed by it based on their on-time performance and quality.

Objet purchases major component parts for its 3D printing systems from various suppliers and subcontractors, and assembles them in its Israeli facilities. Objet's production floor has been organized using demand-flow techniques in order to achieve efficiency, quality and balance of its production lines. With respect to resin consumables, Objet purchases raw materials from various suppliers for mixing, bottling and packaging in its Kiryat Gat, Israel facilities. Objet has two bottling lines in that facility: one automated and one semiautomatic.

Computer-based Material Requirements Planning, or MRP, is used for reordering to better ensure on-time delivery of parts and raw materials. Operators and assemblers are trained on assembly and test procedures including Assembly Requirement Documents, which originate in engineering. In Objet's manufacturing processes, Objet employs a Quality Management System, or QMS, that meets international quality standards including ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 13485:2003, which relates to medical devices. Objet also outsources the manufacture of main subassemblies up to fully assembled systems ready for integration.

Table of Contents

Objet's system assembly process includes semi-automated functional tests of key subassemblies. Key functional characteristics are verified through these tests, and the results are stored in a statistical database. The Kiryat Gat, Israel facility also houses a laboratory for the inspection of incoming raw materials as well as products.

With respect to the manufacture of Objet's 3D printing systems, at the completion of assembly, Objet performs power up and final quality tests to help ensure the quality of its products before shipment to customers. The final quality tests must be run error-free before the system can be cleared for shipment. Objet maintains a history log of all products that shows revision level configuration and a complete history during the manufacturing and test process. All identified issues on the system during the manufacturing process are logged, tracked and used to make continuous production process improvements. The commonality of designs among Objet's different products eases the transition to manufacturing new designs.

Inventory and suppliers

Objet maintains an inventory of parts to facilitate the timely assembly of products required by its production plan. While most components are available from multiple suppliers, certain components used in Objet's systems and resin consumables are only available from single or limited sources. In particular, the printer heads for Objet's 3D printing systems are supplied by a sole supplier, Ricoh. Objet considers Objet's single and limited-source suppliers (including the supplier of Objet's printer heads) to be reliable, but the loss of one of these suppliers could result in the delay of the manufacture and delivery of Objet's products. In order to hedge against the risk of a discontinuation of the supply of Objet's printer heads, Objet maintains a reasonable supply of excess inventory of printer heads.

Ricoh Agreement

Objet purchases the printer heads for its 3D printing systems from Ricoh pursuant to an OEM Purchase and License Agreement with Ricoh, effective as of May 5, 2011, or the Ricoh Agreement. The current Ricoh Agreement replaced Objet's original agreement with Ricoh that had been entered into in June 2000 and amended on various occasions subsequently, and which expired upon the effectiveness of the new Ricoh Agreement.

Under the Ricoh Agreement, Objet places orders for print heads and associated electronic components, or the Ricoh Products. Together with provision of these items, Ricoh provides Objet with a non-transferable, non-exclusive right to assemble, use and sell the Ricoh Products under Ricoh's patent rights and trade secrets.

Pricing under the Ricoh Agreement depends on the quantity of Ricoh Products that Objet purchases during any given month, and to the extent that Objet commits to a certain annual minimum prior to an upcoming year (beginning with 2011), Objet receives a set, discounted price for all Ricoh Products ordered during that upcoming year.

The Ricoh Agreement runs for an initial term of five years and automatically renews for additional one year periods thereafter unless either party provides the other six months' advance written notice of termination prior to the end of the then-current term. The Ricoh Agreement may be cancelled by either party if (i) the other party substantially breaches any material provision of the agreement and has not cured such breach within 30 days of receipt of written notice thereof, or (ii) upon the occurrence of certain bankruptcy events, and may furthermore be cancelled by Ricoh if Objet fails to cure a breach of an undisputed payment obligation within thirty (30) days of the breach.

At any time during the term of the Ricoh Agreement, Ricoh may discontinue the manufacture and supply of a print head model, so long as it provides Objet with at least eighteen (18) months' prior written notice of such discontinuance and honors all of Objet's purchase orders for the subject print

Table of Contents

head model within the notice period. During the period of five years from the earlier of either the termination of the Ricoh Agreement or the date of discontinuance of the manufacture of Ricoh Products (that is, following the 18-month notice period described in the previous sentence), Objet is entitled to purchase additional Ricoh Products for the sole purpose of providing replacements for the installed base of Ricoh Products, including one final purchase order that Objet may place in the final year of such five-year period and that must be filled by Ricoh within twelve months of when it is placed.

The Ricoh Agreement may not be assigned by either party without the other party's prior written consent, which may not be unreasonably withheld.

Research and development

Objet maintains an ongoing program of research and development, or R&D, to develop new systems and materials and to enhance its existing product lines, as well as to improve and expand the capabilities of its systems and related software and materials. This includes significant technology platform developments for Objet's PolyJet and PolyJet Matrix technologies, Objet's 3D printing systems, including Objet's integrated software, and Objet's FullCure family of proprietary acrylic-based photopolymer materials. Objet's research aims to develop improved and more affordable products. Objet's R&D department is divided into groups based on scientific disciplines and product lines.

Objet invests a significant amount of its resources in R&D as it believes that superior technology is key to maintaining a leading market position. Objet's R&D expenses were \$9.3 million, \$12.0 million, \$14.6 million and \$3.8 million in 2009, 2010, 2011, and the first quarter of 2012, respectively, representing 13.8%, 13.6%, 12.0% and 10.1% of revenues, respectively.

Intellectual property

Objet considers its proprietary technology to be important to the development, manufacture, and sale of its products and seeks to protect such technology through a combination of patents, trade secrets, confidentiality agreements and other contractual arrangements with its employees, consultants, customers and others. As of May 1, 2012, Objet had 64 granted patents and 65 pending patent applications in the aggregate, encompassing granted patents in the United States, China, France, Germany, Italy, the UK, Spain, Austria, Belgium, Switzerland, Ireland and Hong Kong and pending patent applications in the United States, China, the European Union, Hong Kong and Japan, along with a U.S. provisional patent application and international applications pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty. The principal granted patents relate to Objet's PolyJet and PolyJet Matrix technologies, its 3D printing processes and its resin consumables, with expiration dates ranging from 2012 to 2030.

Objet is also a party to various licenses that allow it to practice and improve its technology under a broad range of patents, patent applications and other intellectual property, including a cross-license agreement with 3D Systems Corporation under which each party licensed certain patents of the other party.

In addition, Objet owns the registered trademarks OBJET and FULLCURE and makes use of a number of additional unregistered trademarks, including POLYJET. However, Objet does not believe that any of its trademarks are material to its competitive position.

Objet believes that, while its patents provide it with a competitive advantage, its success depends primarily on its marketing, business development, applications know-how and ongoing research and development efforts. Accordingly, Objet believes that the expiration of any of its patents or patent licenses, or the failure of any of its patent applications to result in issued patents, would not be

Table of Contents

material to its business or financial position. In any event, there can be no assurance that Objet's patents or other intellectual property rights will afford it a meaningful competitive advantage.

Competition

Objet's principal competitors consist of other developers of 3D printing systems. A variety of technologies compete with Objet's PolyJet and PolyJet Matrix technologies, including:

Digital Light Projection

Fused Deposition Modeling (assuming the consummation of the merger, this technology, which currently belongs to Stratasys, will belong to the combined company, not to a competitor of Objet);

Power Binding;

Selective Laser Sintering; and

Stereolithography.

The companies that developed and utilize these technologies include Stratasys (and HP based on its agreement with Stratasys to distribute an HP-branded 3D printing system through October 31, 2012), 3D Systems Corporation, CMET, EnvisionTEC GmbH, EOS Optronics GmbH, Solid Model Ltd. (the successor to the business of Solido Ltd.), Solidscape, Inc. (which was acquired by Stratasys), Z Corporation (which was acquired by 3D Systems Corporation) and others.

These technologies, which compete for market share in the 3D printing industry, possess various competitive advantages and disadvantages relative to one another within the key categories upon which competition centers including resolution, accuracy, surface quality, variety and properties of consumables, capacity, speed, color, transparency, the ability to print multiple materials and others. Due to these multiple categories, end-users usually make purchasing decisions as to which technology to choose based on the characteristics that they value most. This decision is often industry specific. The competitive environment that has developed is therefore intense and dynamic, as market players often position their technologies to capture various vertical markets simultaneously.

Objet is positioned to compete in its industry mainly on the following bases, which it views as competitive strengths:

quality of printed objects measured by, among other things, resolution, accuracy and surface quality;

material properties of printed objects, such as heat resistance, toughness, brittleness, elongation-to-break and flexibility;

multi-material printing;

reliability of printing systems;

speed of printing; and

customer service.

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Objet's PolyJet and PolyJet Matrix technologies allow it to offer a spectrum of 3D printers of varying features, capacities and price points. Objet believes that this enables it to compete with the other 3D printing technologies for a wide range of customers with a variety of applications and goals for their 3D printing.

Table of Contents

Objet also competes with companies that use traditional prototype development and customized manufacturing technologies, and expects future competition to arise from the development of new technologies or techniques and from new entrants.

Seasonality

Historically, Objet's results of operations with respect to sales of 3D printing systems have been subject to seasonal factors, while sales of resin consumables have not been as strongly affected. Stronger demand for Objet's 3D printers has occurred in Objet's fourth quarter primarily due to Objet's customers' capital expenditure budget cycles. Objet's first and third quarters have historically been its weakest quarters for 3D printer sales.

Objet furthermore experiences seasonality within individual fiscal quarters, as a substantial percentage of its 3D printer sales often occur within the last month of each fiscal quarter. This trend has the potential to expose Objet's quarterly or annual operating results to the risk of unexpected, decreased revenues in the case of its inability to build systems, consummate sales and recognize the accompanying revenues prior to the end of a given quarter.

Environmental matters

Objet is subject to various environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those governing air emissions, water and wastewater discharges, noise emissions, the use, management and disposal of hazardous waste, the import, export and registration of chemicals, and the cleanup of contaminated sites. Based on information currently available to it, Objet does not expect environmental costs and contingencies to have a material adverse effect on it. The operation of Objet's facilities, however, entails risks in these areas. Significant expenditures could be required in the future to comply with environmental or health and safety laws, regulations or requirements.

In Israel, where Objet assembles its 3D printing systems and manufactures its resin consumables, businesses storing or using certain hazardous materials, including materials necessary for Objet's manufacturing process, are required, pursuant to the Israeli Dangerous Substances Law 5753-1993, to obtain a toxin permit from the Ministry of Environmental Protection. Objet's current toxin permit will remain in effect until November 2013. Furthermore, the business licenses Objet holds for its facilities in Israel are subject to the receipt of permits from local health and planning and zoning authorities as well as clearance from the Ministry of Environmental Protection, which have been obtained.

In the European marketplace, electrical and electronic equipment is required to comply with the Directive on Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment, which aims to prevent waste by encouraging reuse and recycling, and the Directive on Restriction of Use of Certain Hazardous Substances, which restricts the use of six hazardous substances in electrical and electronic products. Objet's products and certain components of such products "put on the market" in the EU (whether or not manufactured in the EU) are subject to these directives. Additionally, Objet is required to comply with certain laws, regulations and directives, including TSCA in the United States and REACH in the EU, governing chemicals. These and similar laws and regulations require the testing and registration of certain chemicals Objet uses and ships.

Global operations

Objet has offices in Israel, the United States, Germany, China, Hong Kong and Japan, and organizes its operations by geographic region, focusing upon the following key regions: North America; Europe; Asia Pacific; and select emerging markets, which Objet refers to as Rest of World. Objet's products are distributed in each of these regions, as well as in other parts of the world. Objet's customers are dispersed geographically, and it is not reliant on any single country or region for most of its product sales and services revenues. A breakdown of Objet's consolidated revenues by geographic

Table of Contents

markets and by categories of operations (that is, products and services) for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 and for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2012 is provided in the section titled "Objet Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations."

In maintaining global operations, Objet's business is exposed to risks inherent in such operations, including currency fluctuations, market conditions, and inflation in the primary location in which its operating expenditures are incurred. Information on currency exchange risk, market risk, and inflationary risk appears elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus, in the "Risk factors" section and in "Objet Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About the Market Risk of Objet Foreign currency exchange risk."

Property and infrastructure

Objet is headquartered in Rehovot, Israel. Objet leases its Rehovot facilities pursuant to a lease agreement with a term of five years that expires on December 31, 2016. The facilities consist of approximately 69,589 square feet of space, and lease payments, inclusive of management fees, are approximately \$1.3 million annually. These facilities house Objet's administrative headquarters and Objet's research and development facilities. Objet also owns additional facilities in Kiryat Gat, Israel, which it utilizes for its resin factory and laboratories.

As of December 31, 2011, Objet leased office space (except with respect to its Kiryat Gat, Israel, location, where it owns the property) as specified in the table below. The aggregate annual lease payments for Objet's facilities during 2011 were approximately \$2.2 million.

Location	Approximate square feet
Kiryat Gat, Israel	72,118
Rehovot, Israel	69,589
Billerica, Massachusetts	15,000
Rheinmünster, Germany	8,571
Hong Kong	5,165
Shanghai, China	3,227
Tokyo, Japan	862

Employees

The total number of Objet's full-time equivalent employees, and the distribution of its employees (i) geographically and (ii) within the divisions of the company, in each case as of December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 are set forth in the following two tables, respectively:

Region	Number of full-time equivalent employees by region as of December 31,		
	2009	2010	2011
Israel	210	244.5	284
United States	53	54	63
Europe	30	42	46
Asia Pacific	17	26	32
Rest of World	5	7.5	13
Total	315	374	438

Table of Contents

Division	Number of full-time equivalent employees by function as of December 31,		
	2009	2010	2011
Operations and support	64	77	99
Research and development	78	90	96
Customer service	68	77	89
Sales and marketing	60	72	89
General and administrative	45	58	65
Total	315	374	438

While none of Objet's employees is party to any collective bargaining agreements, certain provisions of the collective bargaining agreements between the Histadrut (General Federation of Labor in Israel) and the Coordination Bureau of Economic Organizations (including the Industrialists' Associations) are applicable to Objet's employees in Israel by order of the Israel Ministry of Labor. These provisions primarily concern the length of the workday, minimum daily wages for professional workers, pension fund benefits for all employees, insurance for work-related accidents, procedures for dismissing employees, determination of severance pay and other conditions of employment. Objet generally provides its employees with benefits and working conditions beyond the required minimums.

Objet has never experienced any employment-related work stoppages and believes that its relationships with its employees are good.

Legal proceedings

In May 2004, a former employee sued Objet and one of its directors demanding that it issue him an option to purchase 1.75% of Objet's outstanding shares and compensate him in an amount equal to NIS 315.0 thousand (\$85 thousand). The cause of action was an alleged breach of certain undertakings made by Objet to the former employee. Additionally, he claimed that Objet failed to pay his salary and certain social benefits with respect to a certain period of time. Objet filed a statement of defense in which it denied any wrongdoing in this action. In May 2011, the court ruled in Objet's favor, denying all of the former employee's claims. The former employee appealed the decision to the national labor court, where the appeal is scheduled to be heard in November 2012.

In December 2008, another employee, whose employment with Objet has since been terminated, filed a claim against Objet demanding that, based on an alleged undertaking it had made, Objet issue him an option that would allow him to maintain an equity interest of 1.45% in Objet, as well as reimburse salary reductions he had suffered in an aggregate sum of NIS 552.2 thousand (\$149 thousand). In July 2009, Objet filed its statement of defense, rejecting the claims raised by the former employee. Together with the former employee, Objet initiated mediation of the dispute, but did not reach any settlement. The former employee later amended his initial pleading to seek an additional NIS 441 thousand (\$119 thousand) on account of alleged wrongful termination by Objet. The action is currently ongoing and is being litigated in an Israeli labor court.

In April 2011, another former employee filed a claim against Objet demanding that Objet issue him an option to purchase 30,000 of its ordinary shares and demanding that Objet compensate him in the amount of NIS 813.0 thousand (\$219 thousand). Objet submitted its statement of defense to this claim on June 15, 2011, and Objet and the plaintiff are scheduled to submit affidavits. Evidentiary hearings have been scheduled for March and May 2013.

In October 2007, a former supplier of the company brought an action against Objet and the former directors of its European subsidiary (one of whom, Ilan Levin, is one of Objet's current directors) in a Brussels commercial court, claiming damages of €566.0 thousand (\$755 thousand), plus

Table of Contents

interest and related legal and litigation costs. On April 26, 2010, the court ordered Objet and its subsidiary's former directors jointly and severally liable for the full amount claimed. Objet along with its subsidiary's former directors filed an appeal against the judgment in May 2010, with respect to which the final judgment is not expected to be handed down before the end of 2012. In keeping with required procedures related to the litigation, in July 2011, Objet deposited the full amount of the original judgment in favor of the former supplier, plus interest and litigation costs (€690 thousand, or \$920 thousand, in total) into a blocked, state-owned account in Objet's name, to be held pending the outcome of its appeal.

In January 2012, a former supplier sent Objet letters in which it demanded, among other things, compensation for its alleged investment in building a market for Objet's products, an investment which the former supplier claimed was in an amount of between \$2.5 and \$5 million. The demand letters were received following Objet's termination, for cause, of a distributorship agreement with the former supplier. In February 2012, Objet initiated legal proceedings against the former supplier in a Tel Aviv district court, seeking compensation of approximately \$2 million for damages to its reputation and for lost profits. On April 15, 2012, Objet and the former supplier signed a mediation / arbitration agreement with the intent to either amicably resolve the dispute or to arbitrate it in Israel. Following an initial mediation meeting that took place in mid July 2012, Objet and the former supplier have been asked to update the mediator regarding certain matters before an additional mediation meeting is scheduled.

In March 2012, Objet received letters from two minority shareholders and former directors, who hold (directly or indirectly) Objet ordinary shares, demanding that Objet amend its capitalization table, as it appeared in Objet's registration statement on Form F-1, in light of alleged acts of fraud, unauthorized issuances of securities and oppression and dilution of minority shareholders who did not participate in certain financing rounds of Objet. These allegations have been repeated in subsequent letters and discussions between each of them and Objet.

The letters received by Objet, and subsequent communications from each of the two minority shareholders to Objet, allege that a series of investments in Objet during the years 2002 - 2003 were effected at a price per share that was below fair market value, thereby illegally diluting those shareholders that did not participate in the investment, including the two minority shareholders and former directors. The allegation was already voiced and recorded by one of these two minority shareholders and his counsel at a shareholders meeting in 2003 that was attended by both of the minority shareholders.

The letters also allege that a portion of the amount invested in those transactions was actually invested by an investor who was already a shareholder of Objet, and that the interest of that shareholder in these transactions was not properly disclosed to the minority shareholders at the time.

Objet believes that these allegations are entirely baseless. It believes that the transactions in question were conducted in accordance with applicable law. Objet has also informed counsel to the two minority shareholders that it possesses evidence disproving the allegation regarding the alleged insider participation in the transactions. Objet further believes that the allegations are subject to a seven-year statute of limitations and laches.

One of the letters also claimed that Objet effectively engaged in backdating in that shares that Objet reported as issued in 2006 and 2007 were actually issued at a subsequent date as late as 2009. Objet believes that this allegation, as well, is without foundation.

Objet does not believe that the allegations made by the two minority shareholders and former directors will have a material adverse effect on its operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents**Objet Executive Compensation***Employment and Consulting Agreements**Employment agreement with David Reis*

Under the employment agreement, dated September 15, 2008, that Objet entered into with its Chief Executive Officer, David Reis, Mr. Reis is entitled to a gross monthly salary of NIS 115,000 (approximately \$30,104). Mr. Reis is also entitled to an annual performance bonus subject to the discretion of Objet's board of directors, based on Objet's achievement of specific goals set by the board. Besides base salary and bonus, Mr. Reis receives under the agreement other benefits that are provided for by Israeli law or that are customary for senior executives in Israel, including reimbursement for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with his services, and the right to use (and all related fixed and variable costs in respect of) a leased car and cellular telephone. Mr. Reis is furthermore entitled to company contributions equivalent to 5%, 8.33%, 2.5%, and 7.5% of his gross monthly salary towards certain pension, severance, disability and tax-advantaged savings funds (known as a manager's insurance policy, severance compensation fund, disability insurance, and a study fund, respectively) (Mr. Reis also contributes 5% and 2.5% of his gross monthly salary towards the manager's insurance policy and study fund, respectively). The employment engagement is terminable by either party upon six months' prior written notice, and contains customary provisions regarding noncompetition, confidentiality of information and assignment of inventions. As required under Israeli law, the terms of Mr. Reis' engagement with Objet have been approved by Objet's board of directors and shareholders.

On April 11, 2010 and March 21, 2012, Objet's board of directors approved the payment to Mr. Reis of bonuses for his services as Objet's Chief Executive Officer and as a director, during the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2011, respectively, equal to \$100,000 and \$100,000, respectively.

Mr. Reis has furthermore been granted an aggregate of 5,144,513 options to purchase Objet shares, of which (i) 2,500,000 are options to purchase ordinary shares (2,343,750 of which have vested or will vest within 60 days of May 30, 2012), at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share, which expire on December 31, 2017 and (ii) 2,644,513 are options to purchase preferred shares (all of which are vested), at an exercise price of \$0.254 per share, which also expire on December 31, 2017. All of the shares underlying the 2,500,000 options to purchase ordinary shares described in (i) above are subject to an agreement with Objet under which they may only be disposed of (subject to minor exceptions involving the payment of taxes) in an orderly fashion, on a pro-rata basis over the course of a five-year period commencing in 2012. These restrictions governing the disposition of such shares supplement, and do not replace, any additional applicable restrictions under Objet's share option and incentive plans.

Employment agreement with Ilan Levin

Pursuant to an employment agreement, dated June 27, 2011, Ilan Levin is employed as Objet's President. He also serves as Vice Chairman of Objet's board of directors, to which position (along with President) he was appointed by Objet's board of directors in February 2011. Under the agreement, Mr. Levin receives a gross monthly salary of NIS 27,000 (approximately \$7,068) and other benefits that are provided for by Israeli law or that are customary for senior executives in Israel, including reimbursement for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with his services, and the right to use (and all related fixed and variable costs in respect of) a leased car. The foregoing salary is in addition to and independent of the \$19,400 per month plus Israeli value added tax, or VAT, that Mr. Levin is entitled to for continued service as a member of the board.

Table of Contents

Under the employment agreement, Mr. Levin is furthermore entitled to company contributions equivalent to 5%, 8.33%, 2.5%, and 7.5% of his gross monthly salary towards certain pension, severance, disability and tax-advantaged savings funds (known as a manager's insurance policy, severance compensation fund, disability insurance, and a study fund, respectively) (Mr. Levin also contributes 5% and 2.5% of his gross monthly salary towards the manager's insurance policy and study fund, respectively). The employment engagement is terminable by either party upon three months' prior written notice, and contains customary provisions regarding noncompetition, confidentiality of information and assignment of inventions. As required under Israeli law, the terms of Mr. Levin's engagement with Objet were approved by Objet's board of directors and shareholders.

In connection with Objet's board of directors' appointment of Mr. Levin as Objet's President and Vice Chairman of the board of directors, Mr. Levin was granted 1,000,000 options to purchase ordinary shares at an exercise price of \$0.90 per share, 375,000 of which have vested or will vest within 60 days of May 30, 2012 and the balance of which will vest over a four year period of continued service by him to Objet beginning with the date of his appointment as President and Vice Chairman. These options expire on December 31, 2017. All of the 1,000,000 ordinary shares underlying the foregoing options are subject to an agreement between Mr. Levin and Objet under which they may only be disposed of (subject to minor exceptions involving the payment of taxes) in an orderly fashion, on a pro rata basis over the course of a five year period commencing in 2012. These restrictions governing the disposition of these shares supplement, and do not replace, any additional applicable restrictions under Objet's share option and incentive plans. Mr. Levin has also holds 2,644,513 options to purchase Objet preferred shares (all of which are vested), at an exercise price of \$0.254 per share, which expire on December 31, 2017.

In his prior consulting role for Objet, Mr. Levin received monthly fees of: \$22,500, plus VAT, from the start of 2011 until entry into his employment agreement with Objet; \$15,000, plus VAT, during the 2010 fiscal year; and \$10,000, plus VAT, during the 2009 fiscal year. During 2010, Objet's board of directors and shareholders approved the additional payment to Mr. Levin of a \$100,000 bonus for his services during the previous year.

Consulting arrangement with an entity affiliated with Elchanan Jaglom

An entity affiliated with Elchanan Jaglom, Objet's Chairman of the Board, has provided consulting and director services to Objet pursuant to an oral arrangement that was approved by Objet's board of directors and shareholders. The monthly amount payable to that entity under this arrangement was \$35,000, plus VAT, during each of the 2011, 2010 and 2009 fiscal years, and has been \$35,000, plus VAT, during the 2012 fiscal year thus far. The consulting arrangement, which is not recorded in a written agreement, has no set term and may be terminated by either party at will upon written notice. The arrangement is expected to continue following the merger, notwithstanding Mr. Jaglom's new position as Chairman of the Executive Committee of the combined company.

Employment agreements with other executive officers

Objet has entered into written employment agreements with each of its other executive officers. These agreements provide for notice periods of varying duration for termination of the agreement by Objet or by the relevant executive officer, during which time the executive officer will continue to receive base salary and benefits (except accrual of vacation days). These agreements furthermore contain customary provisions regarding noncompetition, confidentiality of information and assignment of inventions. However, the enforceability of the noncompetition provisions may be limited under Israeli law. Please see "Risk factors Risks relating to Objet's business Under current applicable employment laws, Objet may not be able to enforce covenants not to compete and therefore may be unable to prevent its competitors from benefiting from the expertise of some of its former employees" for a further description of the enforceability of non-competition clauses.

Table of Contents

Indemnification agreements

Objet's amended and restated articles of association permit Objet to exculpate, indemnify and insure each of its directors and office holders to the fullest extent permitted by the Israeli Companies Law. Prior to the consummation of the merger, Objet intends to enter into indemnification agreements with each of its directors and other office holders who will serve upon the effectiveness of the merger, undertaking to indemnify them to the fullest extent permitted by Israeli law, including with respect to liabilities resulting from the merger to the extent that these liabilities are not covered by insurance, and will furthermore assume existing indemnification and exculpation commitments to Stratasys' directors and executive officers. Objet has also obtained Directors & Officers insurance for each of its officers and directors, as well as for Stratasys' directors and executive officers. For further information, see "Proposal One The Merger Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger Indemnification and Insurance."

Termination Benefits

Other than to the extent described above under "Employment and Consulting Agreements", Objet does not have written service contracts with any of its executive officers or directors providing for benefits upon termination of service.

Aggregate Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors

The aggregate amounts of salaries, benefits and bonuses, and consulting and director fees, but excluding any option grants, that were payable to Objet's executive officers and directors and to their respective affiliates in respect of employment, consulting and directorship agreements and arrangements (which includes other amounts described above in this "Objet Executive Compensation" section of this proxy statement/prospectus) were \$1,718,188, \$1,616,960 and \$1,863,502 for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively, and the amount payable in respect of the 2012 year, through June 30, 2012, has been \$792,617. The foregoing amount payable for the 2011 year included amounts paid to Objet's former Chief Financial Officer in his capacity as such until November 2011 and amounts paid to Objet's current Chief Operations Officer and Chief Financial Officer after he joined Objet in November 2011.

Share Incentive Plans

Objet maintains one share option and incentive plan Objet's Amended and Restated 2004 Omnibus Stock Option and Restricted Stock Incentive Plan, or the 2004 Plan and its shareholders will be requested to approve, prior to the consummation of the merger, an additional share option and incentive plan that will go into effect upon the effectiveness of the merger. As of June 30, 2012, after giving effect to the conversion of Objet's outstanding preferred shares into ordinary shares immediately prior to the effectiveness of the merger, a total of 29,700,000 shares were reserved for issuance under the 2004 Plan, of which options to purchase 29,125,852 ordinary shares would have been issued and outstanding under that plan. Of such outstanding options, options to purchase 20,983,133 ordinary shares would have vested as of that date, with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.465 per share. Despite having vested, only 7,933,539 of such options (which represent options to purchase preferred shares prior to the conversion of those shares) would have been exercisable as of such date, as exercisability of all options to purchase ordinary shares that Objet has granted is conditioned upon the closing of an initial public offering, or a merger or other similar transaction involving Objet, as described below.

Table of Contents

Amended and Restated 2004 Omnibus Stock Option and Restricted Stock Incentive Plan

Objet's 2004 Plan, which was adopted by Objet's board of directors on August 15, 2004 and amended and restated by the board of directors on July 9, 2007 and again on May 30, 2011, provides for the grant of options, restricted shares or other share-based awards to Objet's and its subsidiaries' respective directors, employees, officers, office holders, subcontractors and consultants. Awards under the 2004 Plan may be granted until August 15, 2014, a period of ten years from the date on which the 2004 Plan was originally adopted by the board of directors.

Objet's 2004 Plan is administered by Objet's board of directors, which shall determine, subject to Israeli law, the grantees of awards and various terms of the grant. The 2004 Plan provides for granting options in compliance with Section 102 of the Israeli Income Tax Ordinance, 1961, or the Ordinance.

Options granted under the 2004 Plan to Israeli employees have been granted under the capital gains track of Section 102 of the Ordinance. In order to comply with the terms of the capital gains track, all options that have been granted under the 2004 Plan (grants were not made until the 2006 fiscal year) pursuant and subject to the provisions of Section 102 of the Ordinance, as well as the shares issued upon exercise of these options and other shares received subsequently following any realization of rights with respect to such options, such as a result of a share dividend or share split, are granted to a trustee for the benefit of the relevant employee, director or officer and are held by the trustee for at least two years after the date of grant.

Unless otherwise provided by Objet's board of directors, options granted under the 2004 Plan vest over the course of a four-year period that commences on the date of grant such that 25% vest after one year and an additional 6.25% vest at the end of each subsequent three-month period over the course of the following 36 months. Unless a shorter term is set by Objet's board with respect to a specific award, options, other than certain incentive share options, expire 10 years from the grant date. Incentive share options granted to a person holding more than 10% of Objet's voting power expire within five years from the date of the grant. In actuality, all options that have been granted to date under the 2004 Plan expire on December 31, 2017. Notwithstanding the vesting schedule provided for under a particular grant, the exercisability of each option granted under the 2004 Plan requires, as a condition precedent (except for options to purchase 7,933,539 preferred shares that were granted prior to the 2007 amendment of the 2004 Plan that added such condition), the consummation of either (i) Objet's initial public offering, including the listing of Objet's shares on any recognized stock exchange or market or over-the-counter or computerized securities trading system, or (ii) a merger of Objet that effects a change of control, or sale of all or substantially all of Objet's assets or outstanding shares, which is referred to in this proxy statement/prospectus as a merger or sale of Objet, in each case while the option is otherwise vested and exercisable. Objet's board of directors, pursuant to its authority under the 2004 Plan, has interpreted the foregoing condition as being met upon the consummation of the merger with Stratasys. Therefore, all options that have vested, or that will vest under the 2004 Plan, will become exercisable following the consummation of the merger (subject to actual vesting under the terms of the particular grant instrument).

If Objet terminates a grantee's employment or service for cause, all of the grantee's vested and unvested options expire on the date of termination. If a grantee's employment or service terminates due to death or disability, or retirement, the grantee's vested options may be exercised by him or her, or by his or her estate (as the case may be), for one year, or three months, respectively, following the death or disability, or retirement, provided that the initial public offering or sale of Objet has already occurred. If a grantee's service or other relationship to Objet terminates for any other reason, the grantee may exercise his or her vested options upon the earliest to occur of (x) the 30th day following Objet's initial public offering or sale consummated within 12 months after the date of such termination, (y) the closing of a merger or sale of Objet consummated within 12 months after the date of such termination, and (z) the 90th day after the date of such termination, if at the time of such termination,

Table of Contents

Objet has already consummated its initial public offering or sale (or such different period as Objet's board shall prescribe). The 12-month period described in the previous sentence during which an option may be exercised following termination of employment has sometimes been extended by Objet's board to up to 24 months, in total, based on the duration of the grantee's employment or service to Objet. In addition to the shares reserved under the 2004 Plan, any options granted under the 2004 Plan that are terminated or forfeited for any reason without having been exercised, return to the pool under the plan and enlarge the reserved shares under the plan. Assuming that it is approved by Objet's shareholders, upon the effectiveness of Objet's 2012 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2012 Plan, upon completion of the merger, any options granted under the 2004 Plan that will terminate or be forfeited for any reason without having been exercised, will return to the pool under the 2012 Plan and enlarge the reserved shares thereunder.

In the event of a merger or consolidation of Objet, or sale of all or substantially all of Objet's shares or assets, then without the consent of the option holder, the board may but is not required to (i) use its best efforts to cause that any outstanding award shall be assumed or an equivalent award shall be substituted by such successor corporation or (ii) in case the successor corporation refuses to assume or substitute the award (a) provide the grantee with the option to exercise the award as to all or part of the shares or (b) cancel the option against payment to the grantee in an amount equal to the fair market value of such shares as reflected under the terms of such merger or sale minus the exercise price per share for each such share. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the board may upon such event amend or terminate the terms of any award, including conferring the right to purchase any other security or asset that the board shall deem, in good faith, appropriate.

2012 Omnibus Stock Option and Restricted Stock Incentive Plan

Objet's 2012 Plan, which will become effective at the effective time of the merger, assuming shareholder approval prior to the merger, will provide for the grant of options, restricted shares, restricted share units and other share-based awards to the combined company's and its subsidiaries' respective directors, employees, officers, consultants, advisors and to any other person whose services are considered valuable to the combined company or any of its affiliates. Following the approval of the 2012 Plan by the Israeli tax authorities, the combined company will only grant options or other equity incentive awards under the 2012 Plan, although previously-granted options and awards will continue to be governed by the 2004 Plan. Under the 2012 Plan, there will be ordinary shares reserved for issuance, none of which will have been granted prior to the effectiveness of the merger. The initial reserved pool under the 2012 Plan is 2,000,000 shares, which will be automatically increased annually on January 1 by a number of ordinary shares equal to the lower of (i) 500,000 shares, subject to adjustment due to certain changes as provided under the 2012 Plan, and (ii) a number of shares determined by the combined company's board of directors, if so determined prior to the January 1 on which the increase will occur.

The 2012 Plan will be administered by the combined company's board of directors or by a committee designated by the board, which shall determine, subject to Israeli law, the grantees of awards and the terms of the grant, including, exercise prices, vesting schedules, acceleration of vesting and the other matters necessary in the administration of the 2012 Plan. The 2012 Plan will enable the combined company to issue awards under various tax regimes including, without limitation, pursuant to Sections 102 and 3(9) of the Ordinance and Section 422 of the Code.

Section 102 of the Ordinance allows employees, directors and officers, who are not controlling shareholders and are considered Israeli residents, to receive favorable tax treatment for compensation in the form of shares or options. The combined company's Israeli non-employee service providers and controlling shareholders may only be granted options under Section 3(9) of the Ordinance, which does not provide for similar tax benefits. Section 102 of the Ordinance includes two alternatives for tax treatment involving the issuance of options or shares to a trustee for the benefit of the grantees and

Table of Contents

also includes an additional alternative for the issuance of options or shares directly to the grantee. Section 102(b)(2) of the Ordinance, the most favorable tax treatment for grantees, permits the issuance to a trustee under the "capital gains track." However, under this track the combined company will not be allowed to deduct an expense with respect to the issuance of the options or shares. Options granted under the 2012 Plan to U.S. residents may qualify as "incentive stock options" within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code. The exercise price for "incentive stock options" must not be less than the fair market value on the date on which an option is granted, or 110% of the fair market value if the option holder holds more than 10% of the combined company's share capital.

Under the 2012 Plan, the combined company is expected to grant options to its employees, directors and officers who are not controlling shareholders and are considered Israeli residents, under the capital gains track. In order to comply with the terms of the capital gains track, all options granted under this Plan pursuant and subject to the provisions of Section 102 of the Ordinance, as well as the ordinary shares to be issued upon exercise of these options and other shares received subsequently following any realization of rights with respect to such options, such as share dividends and share splits, must be granted to a trustee for the benefit of the relevant employee, director or officer and should be held by the trustee for at least two years after the date of the grant.

Awards under the 2012 Plan may be granted until a period of ten years from the date on which the 2012 Plan is adopted by Objet's board of directors, which is expected to occur in mid-2012, prior to the consummation of the merger.

Options granted under the 2012 Plan will generally vest over four years commencing on the date of grant such that 25% vest after one year and an additional 6.25% vest at the end of each subsequent three-month period thereafter for 36 months. Options, other than certain incentive share options, that are not exercised within ten years from the grant date expire, unless otherwise determined by the board or its designated committee, as applicable. Incentive share options granted to a person holding more than 10% of the combined company's voting power will expire within five years from the date of the grant. In case of termination for reasons of disability or death, or retirement, the grantee or his legal successor may exercise options that have vested prior to termination within a period of one year from the date of disability or death, or within three months following retirement. If the combined company terminates a grantee's employment or service for cause, all of the grantee's vested and unvested options will expire on the date of termination. If a grantee's employment or service is terminated for any other reason, the grantee may exercise his or her vested options within 90 days of the date of termination. Any expired or unvested options return to the pool for reissuance.

In the event of a merger or consolidation of the combined company, or a sale of all, or substantially all, of its shares or assets or other transaction having a similar effect, then without the consent of the option holder, the board or its designated committee, as applicable, may but is not required to (i) cause any outstanding award to be assumed or an equivalent award to be substituted by such successor corporation or (ii) in case the successor corporation refuses to assume or substitute the award (a) provide the grantee with the option to exercise the award as to all or part of the shares or (b) cancel the options against payment in cash in an amount determined by the board or the committee as fair in the circumstances. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the board or its designated committee may upon such event amend or terminate the terms of any award, including conferring the right to purchase any other security or asset that the board shall deem, in good faith, appropriate.

Table of Contents

STRATASYS' BUSINESS

Material Changes

Since Stratasys filed its most recent annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, or the 2011 Form 10-K, with the SEC on March 12, 2012, as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto, filed by Stratasys with the SEC on April 27, 2012, there have been no material changes in its business, financial condition or results of operations that have not been described in Stratasys' quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, which was filed with the SEC on May 9, 2012, or in any of its current reports on Form 8-K that have been filed with the SEC. For a description of Stratasys' business and all related disclosures, please see each of the 2011 Form 10-K, and the foregoing quarterly report on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, which are incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus, as described below under "Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference."

On July 31, 2012, Stratasys entered into an agreement with Hewlett-Packard Company, or HP, to terminate the Master Original Equipment Manufacturer Agreement, or the OEM Agreement, between the two companies effective December 31, 2012. Under the terms of the OEM Agreement, signed in January 2010, Stratasys has developed and manufactured for HP an exclusive line of 3D printers based on Stratasys' patented Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM®) technology. Later that year, HP began a phased rollout of the 3D printers, which are now being sold exclusively by HP in eight European countries. Stratasys terminated the OEM Agreement because Stratasys no longer believed that it could achieve the financial benefits originally anticipated by entering into the OEM Agreement. Under the termination agreement, HP's exclusivity ends on October 31, 2012, and Stratasys will begin selling equivalent Stratasys products in the current HP territory after that date. The termination agreement also provides for continuity of consumables and service for end user customers that have purchased systems sold by HP under the OEM Agreement. Additional information with respect to the termination of the OEM Agreement is set forth in Stratasys' current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 1, 2012.

Table of Contents**MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION****Stratasys**

Stratasys common stock currently trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the trading symbol "SSYS." The following table sets forth the high and low closing sale prices of Stratasys' common stock for the fiscal quarters (and partial fiscal quarter) indicated below, as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Fiscal Period:	Price Range	
	High	Low
Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010:		
January 1, 2010 - March 31, 2010	\$ 30.11	\$ 17.35
April 1, 2010 - June 30, 2010	27.40	21.79
July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010	27.84	20.81
October 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010	34.87	26.48
Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2011		
January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011	\$ 49.59	\$ 32.50
April 1, 2011 - June 30, 2011	55.43	30.21
July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011	39.34	18.54
October 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011	31.84	18.00
Fiscal Year Ending December 31, 2012		
January 1, 2012 - March 31, 2012	\$ 40.87	\$ 30.37
April 1, 2012 - June 30, 2012	\$ 54.49	\$ 33.69
July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012	\$ 62.26	\$ 47.39

On April 13, 2012, the last full trading day immediately preceding the public announcement date of the merger, and on [], 2012, the most recent practicable date prior to the mailing of this proxy statement/prospectus, the last reported sales prices of Stratasys common stock, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market, were \$35.98 and \$[] per share, respectively. You are encouraged to obtain current trading prices for Stratasys common stock in considering whether to vote to approve the merger.

As of August 2, 2012, there were 73 stockholders of record of Stratasys common stock who held an aggregate of 21,336,451 shares of Stratasys common stock. Stratasys has not declared or paid cash dividends on its common stock and does not expect to pay such dividends for the foreseeable future.

Objet

Objet's ordinary shares are not listed for trading on any securities exchange, and Objet has not previously filed reports with the SEC. Upon completion of the merger, it is anticipated that Objet's ordinary shares will be listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and Objet will be an SEC reporting company. Objet has not declared or paid cash dividends on its ordinary shares and does not expect to pay such dividends for the foreseeable future, including following the completion of the merger. As of July 30, 2012, there were 12 U.S. persons that are holders of record of Objet ordinary shares who held, in the aggregate, 108,262,769 Objet ordinary shares, constituting 80.66% of Objet's outstanding ordinary shares (including, for purposes thereof, ordinary shares issuable upon conversion of an equivalent number of preferred shares, which conversion will occur automatically at the effective time of the merger). Such number of outstanding Objet ordinary shares does not give effect to the Objet reverse stock split, which will be effected immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

Table of Contents

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF STRATASYS

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to Stratasys' annual report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 12, 2012, and Stratasys' quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, filed with the SEC on May 9, 2012.

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA OF OBJET

The following table sets forth Objet's selected consolidated financial data, which is derived from its consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The selected consolidated financial statement data for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, and as of December 31, 2010 and 2011, is derived from Objet's audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus. The selected consolidated financial statement data for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, and as of December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, is derived from audited consolidated financial statements not included in this proxy statement/prospectus.

The selected consolidated financial data for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2012, and as of March 31, 2012, is derived from Objet's unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes, which are included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus. Such financial statements include, in Objet's opinion, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the unaudited periods. You should not rely on these interim results as being indicative of results that Objet may expect for the full year or any other interim period following the merger.

The information set forth below is not necessarily indicative of the results of future operations of Objet following the merger, and you should read the selected historical consolidated financial and other data together with Objet's audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto, and Objet's unaudited consolidated financial statements, and the section entitled "Objet Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" appearing elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus. The number of shares and per share data appearing in the table below do

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Table of Contents

not reflect the Objet reverse stock split, which will be effected immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:	Year Ended December 31,					Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2012	2011
(in thousands, except per share data)							
Revenues							
Products	\$ 105,759	\$ 76,556	\$ 56,993	\$ 71,530	\$ 61,910	\$ 33,697	\$ 23,097
Services	15,337	11,322	10,537	9,908	5,106	4,378	3,189
Total revenues	121,096	87,878	67,530	81,438	67,016	38,075	26,286
Cost of revenues:							
Cost of products	34,008	23,734	19,835	19,963	20,840	9,911	7,354
Cost of services	12,946	10,039	9,286	9,436	4,297	4,004	2,936
Gross profit	74,142	54,105	38,409	52,039	41,879	24,160	15,996
Operating expenses							
Research and development	14,569	11,980	9,297	11,867	6,043	3,849	3,470
Sales and marketing	28,366	19,979	12,791	13,198	9,305	8,922	5,605
General and administrative	13,696	10,009	7,988	8,114	3,326	4,191	3,156
Merger and IPO related expenses						3,058	
Operating profit(1)	17,511	12,137	8,333	18,860	23,205	4,140	3,765
Finance income (expense), net	(1,228)	(365)	232	(4,007)	2,782	(101)	(61)
Income before income taxes(1)	16,283	11,772	8,565	14,853	25,987	4,039	3,704
Tax on income (tax benefit)	1,589	1,411	960	(436)	42	645	463
Net income(1)	14,694	10,361	7,605	15,289	25,945	3,394	3,241
Earnings per share attributable to ordinary shareholders							
Basic and Diluted(2)	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.00
Weighted average number of ordinary shares (in thousands)(2)							
Basic and Diluted	3,237	3,237	3,237	3,337	3,670	3,237	3,237

(1) For the three-month period ended March 31, 2012, if merger and IPO related expenses (nonrecurring expenses recorded in connection with Objet's previously contemplated initial public offering and its prospective merger with Stratasys) had been excluded, operating profit would have been \$7,198, income before income taxes would have been \$7,097 and net income would have been \$6,452, which in each case reflects an increase of \$3,058, constituting the amount of these merger and IPO related expenses. If the merger and IPO related expense amount had been excluded, the increase in net income by that \$3,058 amount, divided by the 134,229,290 weighted average number of ordinary shares and preferred shares outstanding during the first quarter of 2012, would yield an increase in earnings per share attributable to ordinary shareholders of \$0.02 (from \$0.03 to \$0.05). The foregoing amounts, which exclude merger and IPO related expenses, are non-GAAP financial measures. Objet's management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures are useful information for investors and prospective shareholders of the combined company in gauging the Objet-related results of operations of the combined company on an ongoing basis once the merger is complete, when these exceptional expenses will no longer recur. The presentation of these non-GAAP measures is not meant to be considered in isolation or as an alternative to any measure of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

(2)

Objet's convertible preferred shares, which represent the majority of the voting power in Objet, are entitled to a dividend preference in the amount of their original purchase price. Accordingly, an amount is allocated to the participation of preferred shares in the earnings of each year. As a result of the deemed participation of the preferred shares in Objet's earnings, earnings per share attributable to Objet's ordinary shareholders in each of 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 were \$0.00. As of the conclusion of 2011, Objet's retained earnings exceeded the preferred shares' liquidation preference, and, accordingly, a portion of Objet's earnings for such year and all of Objet's earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were attributed to Objet's ordinary shares. See Notes 1d, 1x and 8 to the notes to Objet's consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus, for additional information.

Consolidated Balance Sheets Data:	2011	As of December 31,				2007	As of March 31, 2012
		2010	2009	2008	(in thousands)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 42,954	\$ 45,366	\$ 24,294	\$ 25,102	\$ 40,789	\$ 31,703	
Total assets	124,538	101,210	83,575	80,102	65,154	128,787	
Current liabilities	34,239	26,170	18,639	22,581	20,529	34,457	
Long-term liabilities	4,436	3,557	3,558	2,925	2,172	4,669	
Convertible preferred shares(1)	38,231	38,231	38,231	39,731	42,299	38,231	
Total equity(1)	47,632	33,252	23,147	14,867	154	51,430	

(1)

Objet's convertible preferred shares, which represent the majority of the voting power in Objet, are entitled to a dividend preference in the amount of their original purchase price. Accordingly, the preferred shares are presented as temporary equity at the mezzanine level of the balance sheet. Immediately prior to the consummation of the merger, all of Objet's issued and outstanding preferred shares will convert into ordinary shares, eliminating this temporary equity. See Notes 1d, 1x and 8 to the notes to Objet's consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus, for additional information.

Table of Contents

OBJET MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion of Objet's financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with Objet's financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect Objet's plans, estimates and beliefs. Objet's actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus, particularly those in the "Risk Factors."

Overview

Objet is a global provider of 3D printing solutions, offering a broad range of 3D printing systems, resin consumables and services. Objet's printers use Objet's proprietary PolyJet inkjet-based technology, resin consumables and integrated software to create 3D models directly from computer data such as 3D CAD files. Objet's printers build 3D objects by depositing multiple layers of resin one on top of another. Objet enhances the ability of designers, engineers and manufacturers to visualize, verify and communicate product designs, thereby improving the design process and reducing time-to-market. Objet's easy-to-use, high-speed 3D printers create high-resolution, smooth surface finish models that have the look, feel and functionality of the final designed product.

Objet generates revenues via the sale of its 3D printing systems and resin consumables and by providing support services. The objective of Objet's business model is to increase Objet's installed base of 3D printing systems and grow Objet's market share, as well as to generate recurring sales of resin consumables used in Objet's printing systems and related services. Objet's installed base of 3D printers provides the basis for recurring revenue from the purchase of resin consumables by users of Objet's printers. Objet intends to pursue its business model by, among other things:

expanding its customer base and further penetrating existing customers by increasing its marketing efforts and adding distribution channels;

driving further market adoption of its lower capacity, entry-level systems, which are offered at lower price points, in order to broaden its installed base significantly; and

developing resin consumables that offer an even wider array of physical and aesthetic properties, thereby broadening user applications.

Objet also intends to extend its technology capabilities by continuing to invest in its R&D efforts, which focus on enhancing its inkjet-based technologies and developing new resin consumables.

Objet initiated sales of its 3D printing systems and resin consumables in 2002 and revenues have grown on a year-to-year basis from approximately \$40.3 million in 2006 to approximately \$121.1 million in 2011, other than in 2009, in which Objet's revenues fell by 17.1% primarily due to the effects of the global recession. Objet has been profitable since 2005, and recorded net income of \$7.6 million, \$10.4 million, \$14.7 million and \$3.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011, and the quarter ended March 31, 2012, respectively. The foregoing net income amount for the first quarter of 2012 reflects a reduction of \$3.1 million for exceptional expenses incurred in connection with Objet's previously contemplated initial public offering and its prospective merger with Stratasys. If such exceptional, non-recurring expenses had been excluded, Objet's net income would have increased even further, period-over-period, by that amount. In 2009, 2010, 2011 and the first quarter of 2012, Objet derived almost all of its revenues from three regions, with North America accounting for 41.9%, 41.9%, 43.4% and 47.5% of its revenues, respectively; Europe accounting for 37.2%, 34.0%, 33.2% and 30.2% of its revenues, respectively; and Asia Pacific for 17.2%, 18.4%, 19.3% and 18.9% of its revenues, respectively. No single customer or end-user was responsible for more than 10% of Objet's revenues in any of 2009, 2010, 2011 or the first quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

Key measures of Objet's performance

Revenues

Objet's consolidated revenues consist primarily of revenues from sales of its products, which include both its 3D printing systems and related proprietary resin consumables, and, secondarily, from the provision of services and parts to its distributors and end-users. Objet principally effects sales and delivers services through the following channels: (i) sales to independent distributors who purchase and resell Objet's products and who provide support services for Objet's printing systems; and (ii) sales of Objet's printing systems that are marketed by independent sales agents, pursuant to which Objet sells directly to end-users, pays commissions to such agents, and directly handles the sale of resin consumables and provision of support services. There is overlap among the channels as some independent sales agents for Objet's higher end products also serve as independent distributors of Objet's entry level products.

Product revenues

Product revenues are influenced by a number of factors, including, among other things, (i) the adoption rate of Objet's products, (ii) end-user product design and manufacturing activity, (iii) the capital expenditure budgets of end-users and potential end-users and (iv) the mix of products sold, all of which may be significantly influenced by macroeconomic factors. Purchases of Objet's 3D printing systems, especially Objet's higher-end, higher-priced systems, typically involve long sales cycles.

According to the 2010 report of Wohlers Associates, Inc., or the Wohlers Report, much of the anticipated growth in the 3D printing industry in the next several years, in terms of both units sold and revenues, is expected to occur at the entry-level of the market. Objet's growth strategy involves, in part, broadening Objet's installed base through increased adoption of its lower capacity entry-level systems, which Objet introduced in 2009.

Product revenues also depend upon the volume of resin consumables that Objet sells. Sales of Objet's resin consumables are linked to the number of Objet's 3D printing systems that are installed and active worldwide. Sales of resin consumables are also driven by 3D printing system usage, which is generally a function of the size of the particular system and the habits and budget of the particular end-user. Larger machines generally utilize larger amounts of resin consumables due to their greater capacity and the higher levels of design and manufacturing activity by, and operating budget of, a typical end-user who utilizes a larger machine.

Services revenues

Services revenues derive from (i) installation, training, maintenance and warranty, (ii) service contracts and (iii) spare parts. System sales prices include the value of the warranty. In addition, in connection with direct sales, Objet generally charges separately for installation and training. Additional services revenues are generated from services contracts entered into directly with end-users subsequent to the expiration of the initial warranty period. With regard to sales made through independent distributors, the distributor charges the end-user separately for installation and training. Objet sells spare parts directly to end-users and to distributors.

Costs of revenues

Objet's costs of revenues consist of costs of products and costs of services. Costs of products consist primarily of components and subassemblies purchased for manufacture of Objet's 3D printing systems, and raw materials, such as acrylic-based resins, for manufacture of Objet's resin consumables, as well as royalties paid with respect to sales of these resin consumables. Costs of products also include manufacturing and manufacturing-related labor costs and indirect production costs. Objet's costs of

Table of Contents

services consist primarily of costs of Objet's service personnel, which include engineers dedicated to on-site installation, training and support, travel costs of these engineers, as well as the cost of spare parts. Objet's costs of revenues historically have not included expenses for share-based compensation for grants of options to purchase ordinary shares, as explained below under " Share-based compensation." However, Objet will begin incurring expenses for such share-based compensation following the consummation of the merger.

Gross profit

Objet's gross profit and gross margin for Objet's products are influenced by a number of factors. Most important of these is the mix of Objet's products sold. Specifically, the gross margins on Objet's high-end 3D printers and on Objet's resin consumables are typically higher than the gross margins on Objet's entry-level desktop printers. Accordingly, an increase in the percentage sales of Objet's entry-level 3D printers could cause Objet's profit margins to decrease. However, Objet also intends to focus on developing new features in order to introduce new high-end systems as a means of generating sales of high-end systems. Furthermore, Objet believes that as its worldwide installed base of 3D printing systems increases, subsequent sales of its proprietary resin consumables will also increase. Objet will also seek to reduce its costs of revenues by continued research and development directed towards improving its ability to use less costly components and towards achieving increased engineering efficiencies in the production of entry-level systems.

Gross margins are also impacted by the mix of revenues generated from sales to independent distributors as opposed to direct sales that are facilitated by sales agents. As part of Objet's strategy to broaden its market share in the United States, in 2010 Objet switched from a direct sales model to a model in which sales are made through both indirect and direct channels: indirect sales through independent distributors and direct sales through independent sales agents to whom Objet pays commissions on sales. Ultimately, Objet's expansion strategy is to work with independent distributors who Objet believes will have a better ability to increase revenues in their respective markets than Objet could if Objet distributed its products directly (with or without the assistance of independent sales agents).

Service gross margins are predominantly influenced by the ratio of service engineers to Objet's installed base in a given geographic area, as that ratio impacts travel costs and efficiency of Objet's service engineers. Service gross margins are also impacted by the reliability of Objet's 3D printers, especially in periods following new product releases.

Operating expenses

Objet's operating expenses consist of three components: research and development expenses, selling and marketing expenses and general and administrative expenses. Objet's operating expenses historically have not included expenses for share-based compensation. However, Objet expects to begin incurring expenses for share-based compensation following the consummation of the merger.

Research and development expenses

Objet's research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries, bonuses and related personnel expenses; costs of research aimed at developing new printing systems and materials and enhancing Objet's existing product lines, included the related software and materials; laboratory supplies; and costs for facilities (including a portion of the rent expense for Objet's facilities in Rehovot, Israel, where Objet conducts research) and equipment. Objet charges all research and development expenses to operations as they are incurred, other than expenses for certain equipment that Objet capitalizes.

Table of Contents

Selling and marketing expenses

Objet's selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, bonuses and other benefits for Objet's marketing, sales and other sales-support employees; commissions; advertising and promotions expenses; and costs for facilities.

Commissions consist of sales-based commissions to independent sales agents. Commission rates vary, depending on the geographic location of the agent and on the achievement of certain performance targets. As explained above, Objet's revenues are derived from direct and indirect sales channels. The mix of revenues among these channels influences Objet's selling and marketing expenses as sales made to independent distributors do not incur sales commissions. Objet's advertising and promotion expenses consist primarily of media advertising costs, trade and consumer marketing expenses and public relations expenses. Objet intends to invest in increasing its worldwide installed base, and therefore expects selling and marketing expenses in general, and advertising and promotion expenses in particular, to increase in absolute terms.

General and administrative expenses

Objet's general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries, bonuses and other employee benefits for Objet's managerial and administrative personnel, including executive officers, finance, bookkeeping, information technology and human resources. Other significant general and administrative costs include facilities costs (including a portion of the rent expense for Objet's facilities in Rehovot, Israel and in other countries where administrative personnel are located), professional service fees for accounting and legal services and reserves for specific legal proceedings referred to elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus. As Objet's sales grow, Objet expects its administrative expenses to increase in absolute terms. Objet also expects an increase in its administrative expenses as a result of the additional costs of its becoming a public company in the United States, which would result from the merger.

Merger and IPO related expenses

Merger and IPO related expenses, which began to be recorded in the first quarter of 2012, consist primarily of legal, accounting and travel expenses incurred in connection with the previously contemplated initial public offering, or IPO, of Objet's ordinary shares and Objet's prospective merger with Stratasys. Objet expects that the amount of merger and IPO related expenses will decrease during the second quarter of 2012 due to the absence of expenses incurred in connection with the IPO process, which was discontinued when Objet decided to pursue the prospective merger on an exclusive basis. Following such expected decrease, Objet expects an increase in merger and IPO related expenses during the third quarter of 2012, assuming the consummation of the merger during that quarter, as investment banking fees related to the merger would then become due.

Share-based compensation

Objet's Amended and Restated 2004 Omnibus Stock Option and Restricted Stock Incentive Plan, or the 2004 plan, provides for the grant of options, restricted shares or other share-based awards to Objet's directors, officers, employees and consultants. As of June 30, 2012, options to purchase a total of 29,700,000 shares were reserved for grant under this plan, of which options to purchase 21,192,313 ordinary shares and 7,933,539 preferred shares were granted and outstanding. Of such outstanding options, options to purchase 13,049,594 ordinary shares and 7,933,539 preferred shares, respectively, had vested as of that date, with weighted average exercise prices equal to \$0.594 and \$0.254, respectively, per share. Despite having vested, none of those options to purchase ordinary shares are exercisable, as exercisability of the options to purchase ordinary shares is conditioned upon the closing of Objet's initial public offering, the sale of all or substantially all of Objet's assets or

Table of Contents

outstanding shares, or a merger or other similar transaction involving Objet. All of the options to purchase preferred shares, on the other hand, have vested and are already exercisable, and all related compensation expense was recognized prior to the fiscal periods covered by Objet's financial statements appearing in this proxy statement/prospectus.

The value of the options that Objet has granted is calculated under a fair value method of accounting, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Objet has treated the awards as "variable performance awards" and given that the performance condition for exercise of the options to purchase ordinary shares (Objet's initial public offering, the sale of all or substantially all of Objet's assets or shares, or a merger or other similar transaction involving Objet) was outside of Objet's control, Objet concluded that the performance condition was not probable. As a result, no share-based compensation expense has been recognized with respect to options to purchase ordinary shares prior to December 31, 2011. Had Objet consummated an initial public offering as of March 31, 2012, it would have recognized a one-time compensation expense of \$13.3 million in respect of its options to purchase ordinary shares. As a result of the consummation of the merger, however, this one-time compensation expense will not be recognized, as these options will instead be subject to reverse acquisition accounting, under which these options will be fair valued, and a portion of the purchase price in the merger will be allocated to services rendered prior to the merger in respect of the options. Future service to be rendered after the merger in respect of these options will be included in future stock-based compensation expense of the combined company. Please see Note 4(c) to the "Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements" included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus for more information.

Finance income (expense), net

Finance income (expense), net, consists primarily of (i) foreign currency exchange related income and expenses, (ii) interest earned on Objet's cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and (iii) realized gains and losses on sales of, as well as premium or discount amortization with respect to, Objet's short-term debt securities in which Objet invests some of its cash reserves. See "Currency exchange rates" below for a discussion of Objet's historical forward purchases of NIS, and of Objet's current and planned currency hedging activity, which began to result in financial income or loss starting with Objet's 2011 financial statements.

Currency exchange rates

Due to Objet's international operations, currency exchange rates impact Objet's financial performance. For example, in the quarter ended March 31, 2012, approximately 70% of Objet's sales were denominated in U.S. dollars and approximately 30% were denominated in Euros. In terms of costs of revenues, approximately 46% of Objet's purchases of raw materials and product components in the quarter ended March 31, 2012 were denominated in either NIS or NIS prices that are linked to U.S. dollars. Similarly, a majority of Objet's labor costs are also denominated in NIS, due to Objet's operations in Israel.

While Objet has not hedged against these risks formally in the past, in 2010 it did convert U.S. dollars to NIS a few months in advance of anticipated large NIS expense payments (such as payroll expenses) to hedge against the potential devaluation of the U.S. dollar relative to the NIS prior to the dates for such payments. More recently, Objet has begun to engage in transactions (such as entry into forward contracts) designed to limit its exposure to the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the NIS and the weakening of the Euro against the U.S. dollar. These transactions may relate in part to specific operating transactions and to that extent are included in the operations-related categories of Objet's consolidated statements of income (commencing in Objet's 2011 financial statements). Otherwise, they are accounted for in finance income (expense). In the third quarter of 2011, Objet experienced a financial loss due to its entry into currency hedging transactions. In an effort to avoid future such financial losses, Objet has adopted a hedging accounting policy, as described under "Finance income (expenses), net" below.

Table of Contents

Corporate taxes

The standard corporate tax rate in Israel for the 2011 tax year was 24%. The Israeli corporate tax rate for the 2010 tax year was 25% and for the 2009 tax year was 26%. As of January 1, 2012, previously scheduled reductions to the corporate tax rate have been cancelled, and the rate has been set at 25% for 2012 and onwards. Objet's non-Israeli subsidiaries are taxed according to the tax laws in their respective countries of organization.

Objet has received various tax benefits under the Israeli Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 5719-1959, which is referred to herein as the Investment Law. Based on an evaluation of the relevant factors under the Investment Law, including the level of foreign (that is, non-Israeli) investment in it, Objet has determined that its effective tax rate to be paid with respect to all Israeli operations under these benefits programs is 8.0 - 9.0%, based on the current balance of activity between Objet's Rehovot and Kiryat Gat facilities and the available level of benefits under the law. The programs under which Objet receives these benefits are scheduled to expire in 2014, 2016 and 2019.

Under the Investment Law and other Israeli legislation, Objet is entitled to certain additional tax benefits, including accelerated depreciation and amortization rates for tax purposes on certain assets, deduction of public offering expenses in three equal annual installments and amortization of other intangible property rights for tax purposes.

Because Objet operates in a number of countries, its income is subject to taxation in differing jurisdictions with a range of tax rates. Objet estimates its effective tax rate for the coming years based on its planned future financial results in existing and new markets and the key factors setting its tax liability, in particular Objet's transfer pricing policy. Accordingly, Objet estimates that assuming consummation of the merger, the combined company's effective tax rate will range between 7.1% and 11.1% of its income before income tax during the years 2012 through 2020.

Inventory

Objet currently operates on a build-to-forecast basis and obtains all raw materials and parts used in the manufacturing process either from distributors of electrical or mechanical parts, or from custom fabricators of Objet's proprietary designs. Objet typically maintains several months of finished goods, including printing systems and resin consumables, in order to meet unanticipated levels of product orders or supply delays or shortages. Likewise, Objet maintains excess finished products (printing systems and resin consumables) in four regional product warehouses (in Rehovot, Israel; Kiryat Gat, Israel; Boston, United States; and Frankfurt, Germany) in order to ensure that it has an adequate level of products readily available for sale to distributors and end-users in key global locations. Objet also maintains excess stock of components used in the manufacture of its printing systems and resin consumables that it obtains from single- or limited-source suppliers.

Results of operations

The following table sets forth certain consolidated statement of income data as a percentage of total revenues for the periods indicated. All items are included in or derived from Objet's consolidated

Table of Contents

statements of operations. The period-to-period comparison of financial results is not necessarily indicative of future results, especially if the merger is consummated.

	Year ended December 31,			Quarter ended March 31,		2012 (non-GAAP)*
	2009	2010	2011	2011	2012	
Total revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total cost of revenues	43.1%	38.4%	38.8%	39.1%	36.5%	36.5%
Gross profit	56.9%	61.6%	61.2%	60.9%	63.5%	63.5%
Research & development expenses	13.8%	13.6%	12.0%	13.2%	10.1%	10.1%
Selling and marketing expenses	18.9%	22.7%	23.4%	21.3%	23.4%	23.4%
General and administrative expenses	11.8%	11.4%	11.3%	12.0%	11.0%	11.0%
Merger and IPO related expenses					8.0%	
Total operating expenses	44.5%	47.8%	46.8%	46.5%	52.6%	44.5%
Operating income	12.3%	13.8%	14.5%	14.3%	10.8%	18.9%
Finance income (expense), net	0.3%	(0.4)%	(1.0)%	(0.2)%	0.3%	0.3%
Income before income taxes	12.7%	13.4%	13.4%	14.1%	10.6%	18.6%
Tax expense	1.4%	1.6%	1.3%	1.8%	1.7%	1.7%
Net income	11.3%	11.8%	12.1%	12.3%	8.9%	16.9%

*

The non-GAAP data for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012 presents Objet's results for such period after excluding the nonrecurring, merger and IPO related expenses that Objet recorded during such period. If such merger and IPO related expenses are excluded, the amount of Objet's total operating expenses is reduced, and the amounts of Objet's operating income, income before income taxes and net income are increased, by \$3.1 million, constituting the amount of these merger and IPO related expenses, and the percentage of total revenue constituted by each such category of statement of income data increases or decreases, as applicable, by 8.1% the percentage of the \$38.1 million total revenues represented by that \$3.1 million merger and IPO related expense amount. The foregoing amounts, which exclude merger and IPO related expenses, are non-GAAP financial measures. Objet's management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures are useful information for investors and prospective shareholders of the combined company in gauging the Objet-related results of operations of the combined company on an ongoing basis once the merger is complete, when these exceptional expenses will no longer recur. The presentation of these non-GAAP measures is not meant to be considered in isolation or as an alternative to any measure of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents**Three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2012****Revenues**

Revenues from Objet's products and services for the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively, as well as the percentage change between such quarters, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	First quarter 2011	Quarter-over- quarter change	First quarter 2012
Products	\$ 23,097	45.9%	\$ 33,697
Services	3,189	37.3%	4,378
Total revenues	\$ 26,286	44.8%	\$ 38,075

Total revenues increased by \$11.8 million, or 44.8%, in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the corresponding period in 2011. This growth in total revenues was primarily attributable to substantial increases in sales of both products and services revenues. An explanation of the underlying causes for this change in the amounts of revenues is provided below.

Product revenues

The increase in product revenues in the first quarter of 2012 relative to the corresponding quarter of 2011 reflected strong sales across the spectrum of Objet's 3D printing systems and resin consumables. Revenues derived from Objet's products increased by \$10.6 million, or 45.9%, in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the corresponding quarter of 2011. The number of 3D printing systems sold in the first quarter of 2012 increased by 51.8% to 293 units as compared to 193 units sold in the first quarter of 2011. Sales of Objet's resin consumables also contributed to improved products sales in the first quarter of 2012, rising to \$14.4 million from \$10.3 million in the corresponding period in 2011, amounting to an increase of 39.8%. Objet believes that the increased sales of its 3D printing systems reflected the continued maturation and growth of its distribution channels, especially in the United States, as well as increased market penetration of the entry level desktop systems that it introduced in 2009. The increase in revenues was also attributable to the cumulatively larger installed base of end-users in the first quarter of 2012, which is the main driver of demand for resin consumables.

Services revenues

Services revenues increased by 37.3% to \$4.4 million in the first quarter of 2012, rising from \$3.2 million in the first quarter of 2011. This increase was due to increased sales of 3D printing systems, which generated increased service revenues from the service component of system prices, renewals of service contracts by a larger installed base and increased sales of spare parts.

Table of Contents**Revenues by geographical region**

The dollar amount, and percentage share, of Objet's revenues attributable to each of the geographical regions in which Objet conducts its operations were as follows for the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively:

(\$ in thousands)	First quarter 2011		First quarter 2012	
	Revenues	Percentage	Revenues	Percentage
Geographical region				
North America	\$ 11,422	43.4%	\$ 18,067	47.5%
Europe	9,099	34.6%	11,500	30.2%
Asia Pacific	4,595	17.5%	7,203	18.9%
Rest of World	1,170	4.5%	1,305	3.4%
Total	\$ 26,286	100%	\$ 38,075	100%

Changes in revenues in the geographic regions shown on the table above were, on the whole, driven by the causes for changes in Objet's aggregate products and services revenues discussed above. The increase in the percentage of Objet's overall revenues constituted by Objet's North American revenues in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the corresponding quarter of 2011 reflected the increased growth rate of Objet's business in North America relative to all other regions. The increase in absolute revenues in the countries comprising what Objet refers to as Rest of World from the first quarter of 2011 to the corresponding quarter of 2012 reflected primarily the results of Objet's focus on introducing its 3D printing systems to those markets.

Gross profit

The amount of Objet's gross profit attributable to Objet's products sales and services activities in the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively, as well as the percentage change between those periods, are set forth below:

(\$ in thousands)	First quarter 2011	Quarter-over-quarter change	First quarter 2012
Gross profit attributable to:			
Products	\$ 15,743	51.1%	\$ 23,786
Services	253	47.8%	374
Total gross profit	\$ 15,996	51.0%	\$ 24,160

Objet's gross profit from products sales and services activities, expressed as a percentage of the revenues derived from such products sales and services activities during the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively, is provided in the below table:

Gross profit as a percentage of revenues from:	First quarter	
	2011	2012
Products	68.2%	70.6%
Services	7.9%	8.5%
Total gross profit	60.9%	63.5%

Gross profit from product sales increased by \$8.0 million, or 51.1%, to \$23.8 million in the first quarter of 2012, as compared to \$15.7 million in the corresponding period of 2011. This period-over-period increase was primarily attributable to the absolute increase in products sales

Table of Contents

revenues in the first quarter of 2012 compared to the corresponding quarter of 2011, which reflected improved sales of Objet's printing systems and resin consumables. The slight increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues from products sales in the first quarter of 2012 reflected the mix of products sales revenues in that period relative to the corresponding period in 2011, as sales of higher-margin printers, as well as high-margin resin consumables, constituted a slightly higher percentage of Objet's products sales revenues in the first quarter of 2012. Objet does not anticipate significant changes in the mix of its products sales revenues or, in turn, in gross profit as a percentage of those revenues, during the remainder of 2012.

Gross profit from services increased by approximately \$121,000, or 47.8%, in the first quarter of 2012 relative to the corresponding period of 2011. These increases in service gross margins were primarily attributable to corresponding increases in Objet's installed base, which outpaced hiring of service engineers and therefore resulted in lower ratios of service engineers to Objet's installed base in a given geographic region and therefore increased efficiency of service engineer utilization. In the first quarter of 2012, the gross profit from services, as a percentage of services revenues, also rose as a result of this increased efficiency, increasing by 6.2% relative to the corresponding quarter of 2011.

Operating expenses

The amount of each type of operating expense for the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively, as well as the percentage change between such quarterly periods, and the percentage of Objet's total revenues constituted by Objet's total operating expenses in each such quarterly period, is set forth below:

(\$ in thousands)	First quarter 2011	Quarter-over- quarter change	First quarter 2012
Research and development	\$ 3,470	10.9%	\$ 3,849
Selling and marketing	5,605	59.2%	8,922
General and administrative	3,156	32.8%	4,191
Merger and IPO related expenses			3,058
Total operating expenses	\$ 12,231	63.7%	\$ 20,020
Percentage of total revenues	46.5%		52.6%

Research and development expenses increased by 10.9% in the first quarter of 2012 compared to the corresponding period in 2011, as Objet added research and development engineering personnel in order to expedite and deepen its new product development efforts as part of its growth strategy. As a percentage of total revenues, however, Objet's research and development expenses decreased from 13.2% in the first quarter of 2011 to 10.1% in the first quarter of 2012, reflecting increased revenues.

Selling and marketing expenses increased by 59.2% in the first quarter of 2012 compared to the corresponding quarter of 2011. This significant quarter-over-quarter increase was primarily due to increased marketing activity undertaken as part of Objet's growth strategy, as well as changes that Objet has been making in its sales and marketing operations in the United States. Those changes involve Objet's transition towards product distribution via both direct channels involving independent sales agents and indirect channels involving independent distributors, as described above under " Key measures of Objet's performance Gross profit," which has resulted in increased sales commissions paid to those independent sales agents. Prior to 2010, substantially all of Objet's products sales in the United States were made directly to end-users by Objet's in-house direct sales staff. As a percentage of total revenues, Objet's sales and marketing expenses rose from 21.3% in the first quarter of 2011 to 23.4% in the first quarter of 2012, reflecting Objet's strategic goal of increasing its presence and the market awareness of it by intensifying its marketing efforts and adding distribution channels. While

Table of Contents

Objet intends to continue to invest in its selling and marketing efforts, it does not expect the rate of spending to increase materially.

General and administrative expenses increased by 32.8% in the first quarter of 2012 compared to the corresponding period in 2011, largely reflecting the increase in headcount generated by increased activity across all areas of Objet's business, and the expansion of Objet's distribution infrastructure in Europe and Asia. Despite the absolute increase in general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of Objet's total revenues in those periods, such expenses fell slightly, from 12.0% in the first quarter of 2011 to 11.0% in the corresponding quarter of 2012, reflecting Objet's increased revenues as well as its efforts to manage its expansion efficiently.

Merger and IPO related expenses first began to be recognized by Objet in the first quarter of 2012, upon Objet's transition from an IPO-only process to a dual-track process that included both the possible IPO and Objet's prospective merger with Stratasy, which required the recognition of expenses related to both prospective transactions in Objet's statements of operations and comprehensive income. If merger and IPO related expenses are disregarded, the total operating expenses for the first quarter of 2012 were \$17.0 million, constituting 44.5% of total revenues and reflecting a 38.7% quarter-over-quarter increase relative to the first quarter of 2011. The foregoing exclusion of merger and IPO related expenses represents a non-GAAP financial measure. Objet's management believes that this non-GAAP financial measure is useful information for investors and prospective shareholders of the combined company in gauging the Objet-related results of operations of the combined company on an ongoing basis once the merger is complete, when these exceptional merger and IPO related expenses will no longer recur. The presentation of this non-GAAP measure is not meant to be considered in isolation or as an alternative to any measure of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Operating income

Operating income and operating income as a percentage of total revenues for the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively, as well as the percentage change in operating income between such quarters, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	First quarter 2011	Quarter-over- quarter change	First quarter 2012
Operating income	\$ 3,765	10.0%	\$ 4,140
Percentage of total revenues	14.3%		10.9%

Operating income increased by 10.0% in the first quarter of 2012 relative to the corresponding quarter of 2011, primarily due to the leveraging of Objet's fixed costs to increase product revenues, as discussed above. As a percentage of total revenues, the level of Objet's operating income decreased by 3.5%, while Objet's operating expenses increased by 6.1%, in the first quarter of 2012, in each case relative to the first quarter of 2011. The increase in operating expenses during the first quarter of 2012 was primarily due to the merger and IPO related expenses that were first recognized during the first quarter of 2012, which increased operating expenses and reduced operating profitability.

If the \$3.1 million of merger and IPO related expenses are excluded, the increase in Objet's operating income would have instead been 91.2%, while as a percentage of total revenues, the level of Objet's operating income would have risen by 4.6%, while Objet's operating expenses would have decreased by 2.0%, in the first quarter of 2012, in each case relative to the first quarter of 2011, reflecting Objet's ability to leverage its fixed costs to grow product revenues at a faster pace than operating expenses. The foregoing amounts, which exclude merger and IPO related expenses, are non-GAAP financial measures. Objet's management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures are useful information for investors and prospective shareholders of the combined company in gauging

Table of Contents

the Objet-related results of operations of the combined company on an ongoing basis once the merger is complete, when these exceptional expenses will no longer recur. The presentation of these non-GAAP measures is not meant to be considered in isolation or as an alternative to any measure of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Finance expense, net

The amount of Objet's finance expense, net, in the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively, and the percentage of Objet's total revenues for those respective periods constituted by such amounts, as well as the percentage change in such amounts between such periods, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	First quarter 2011	Quarter-over- quarter change	First quarter 2012
Finance expense, net	\$ 61	65.6%	\$ 101
Percentage of total revenues	0.2%		0.3%

The net finance expense recorded by Objet in the first quarter of each of 2011 and 2012 primarily reflected the results of Objet's hedging activities against foreign currency fluctuations, which yielded net losses and net gains in those fiscal quarters. These foreign currency exchange losses and gains were caused by changes in the dollar in relation to other currencies (primarily the NIS).

As described above under "Currency exchange rates," Objet started to engage in economic hedging in 2011 in order to help protect against fluctuation in foreign exchange rates. Instruments that Objet uses to manage currency exchange risks may include foreign currency forward and swap contracts. These instruments are used selectively to manage risks, but there can be no assurance that Objet will be fully protected against material foreign currency fluctuations. Objet does not use these instruments for speculative or trading purposes. As noted above, in the first quarters of 2011 of 2012, changes in the exchange rate of the dollar in relation to other currencies (primarily the NIS) resulted in foreign currency exchange losses. In the fourth quarter of 2011, Objet adopted a hedging accounting policy under which some or all of the gains or losses from hedging transactions are offset against, or added to, Objet's expenses for which hedging is carried out, rather than being recorded as finance income or expense, which has the impact of reducing the absolute amount of finance income or expense that is recorded. In addition, under this policy, gains or losses from hedging transactions are apportioned over the periods in which the related operating expenses are recorded, thereby reducing the likelihood that gains or losses from hedging transactions related to operating expenses of subsequent quarters are concentrated in a single fiscal quarter.

Tax expense

Tax expense, both as a stand-alone number and as a percentage of income before income taxes, for the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively, as well as the percentage change in the amount of tax expense between such periods, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	First quarter 2011	Quarter-over- quarter change	First quarter 2012
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 463	39.3%	\$ 645
As a percent of income before income taxes	12.5%		16.0%

Table of Contents**Net income**

Net income and net income as a percentage of total revenues for the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively, as well as the percentage change in net income between such periods, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	First quarter 2011	Quarter-over- quarter change	First quarter 2012
Net income	\$ 3,241	4.7%	\$ 3,394
Percentage of total revenues	12.3%		8.9%

As a result of \$3.1 million of nonrecurring merger and IPO related expenses that were recorded during the first quarter of 2012 in connection with Objet's previously contemplated initial public offering and its prospective merger with Stratasys, net income rose by 4.7% relative to the corresponding quarter of 2011, instead of by 99.1% (which would have been the percentage increase in net income had such merger and IPO related expenses not been recorded). As a percentage of total revenues, Objet's net income decreased by 3.4% (from 12.3 to 8.9%), also reflecting the effect of the merger and IPO related expenses. If such merger and IPO related expenses are excluded, Objet's net income rose as a percentage of total revenues between those quarters (from 12.3% to 16.9%), as a substantial increase in total revenues was accompanied relatively smaller increases in cost of revenues and operating expenses.

The following table sets forth Objet's net income on a non-GAAP basis (that is, excluding merger and IPO related expenses), both as an absolute number and as a percentage of total revenues for the first quarter of 2011 and 2012, respectively, as well as the percentage change in such non-GAAP net income between such periods:

(\$ in thousands)	First quarter 2011 (non- GAAP)	Quarter-over- quarter change	First quarter 2012 (non- GAAP)
Net income excluding merger and IPO related expenses	\$ 3,241	99.1%	\$ 6,452
Percentage of total revenues	12.3%		16.9%

The foregoing amounts, which exclude merger and IPO related expenses, are non-GAAP financial measures. Objet's management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures are useful information for investors and prospective shareholders of the combined company in gauging the Objet-related results of operations of the combined company on an ongoing basis once the merger is complete, when these exceptional expenses will no longer recur. Objet anticipates that there will be remaining merger and IPO related expenses incurred during the second quarter of 2012 and upon the consummation of the merger in respect of the merger. The presentation of these non-GAAP measures is not meant to be considered in isolation or as an alternative to any measure of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP. Please see " Key measures of Objet's performance Operating expenses Merger and IPO related expenses" above for more information.

Table of Contents**Years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011****Revenues**

Revenues from Objet's products and services for the last three years, as well as the percentage change, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	2009	Year-over-year change	2010	Year-over-year change	2011
Products	\$ 56,993	34.3%	\$ 76,556	38.1%	\$ 105,759
Services	10,537	7.4%	11,322	35.5%	15,337
Total revenues	\$ 67,530	30.1%	\$ 87,878	37.8%	\$ 121,096

Total revenues increased by \$33.2 million, or 37.8%, in 2011 as compared to 2010, after having increased by \$20.3 million, or 30.1%, in 2010 as compared to 2009. The growth in total revenues in 2011 was primarily attributable to substantial increases in both sales of products and services revenues, while the increase in total revenues in 2010 was primarily attributable to a substantial increase in sales of products, and secondarily to a small increase in services revenues. An explanation of the underlying causes for the changes in the amounts of the revenues in 2011 and 2010 is provided below.

Product revenues

The increase in product revenues in each of 2011 and 2010 relative to the previous year reflected strong sales across the spectrum of Objet's 3D printing systems and resin consumables. Revenues derived from Objet's products increased by \$29.2 million, or 38.1%, in 2011 as compared to 2010, and by \$19.6 million, or 34.3%, in 2010 as compared to 2009. The number of 3D printing systems sold in 2011 increased by 63% to 929 units as compared to 569 units sold in 2010, which itself reflected a 56.3% increase in comparison to the 364 units sold in 2009. Sales of Objet's resin consumables also contributed to improved products sales in 2011 and 2010, rising to \$47.9 million in 2011 from \$35.0 million in 2010, after having risen from \$27.1 million in 2009, amounting to increases of 36.7% and 29.1% for 2011 and 2010, respectively. Objet believes that the increased sales of its 3D printing systems in 2011 reflected the continued maturation and growth of its distribution channels, especially in the United States, as well as increased market penetration of the entry level desktop systems that it introduced in 2009. For 2010, Objet attributed increased sales across the spectrum of its 3D printing systems to the implementation of its strategy to capture market share and the effects of a stronger worldwide economy that expanded the market for 3D printers generally. The increase in revenues was also attributable to the cumulatively larger installed base of end-users in each of 2011 and 2010 relative to the previous year, which is the main driver of demand for resin consumables. The overall improved economic environment also contributed to increased sales of resin consumables, as it spurred increased usage of Objet's installed machines in each of 2011 and 2010 relative to 2009, with end-user product design and manufacturing levels and capital expenditure budgets rising following their contraction in 2009 due to the global recession.

Services revenues

Services revenues increased by 35.5% to \$15.3 million in 2011, after having increased by 7.4% to \$11.3 million in 2010 from \$10.5 million in 2009. These increases (and, in particular, the more substantial increase in 2011) were due to increased sales of 3D printing systems, which generated increased service revenues from the service component of system prices, renewals of service contracts by a larger installed base and increased sales of spare parts. Because service contracts are generally entered into by end users upon the lapse of an initial 12-month warranty period following installation, the significant increase in 3D printing systems sales that began in 2010 began to translate into a more

Table of Contents

significant rise in services revenues from those contracts in 2011 (whereas the slow-down in systems sales in 2009 slightly slowed the growth rate of services revenues in 2010).

Revenues by geographical region

The dollar amount, and percentage share, of Objet's revenues attributable to each of the geographical regions in which Objet conducts its operations were as follows for the last three fiscal years:

(\$ in thousands)	2009		2010		2011	
	Revenues	Percentage	Revenues	Percentage	Revenues	Percentage
Geographical region						
North America	\$ 28,291	41.9%	\$ 36,859	41.9%	\$ 52,558	43.4%
Europe	25,103	37.2%	29,846	34.0%	40,173	33.2%
Asia Pacific	11,636	17.2%	16,149	18.4%	23,354	19.3%
Rest of World	2,500	3.7%	5,024	5.7%	5,011	4.1%
Total	\$ 67,530	100%	\$ 87,878	100%	\$ 121,096	100%

Changes in revenues in the geographic regions shown on the table above were, on the whole, driven by the causes for changes in Objet's aggregate products and services revenues discussed above. The increase in the percentage of Objet's overall revenues constituted by Objet's North American revenues in 2011 as compared to 2010 and 2009 reflected the increased growth rate of Objet's business in North America relative to all other regions. The declines in the percentage of Objet's overall revenues constituted by Objet's European revenues both from 2009 to 2010, and again from 2010 to 2011, were partially caused by a weakening of the Euro relative to the U.S. dollar, which reduced the dollar value of Objet's Euro-denominated product sales. In addition, the impact of the global recession in 2009 was more acutely felt in Europe, and therefore had a greater impact on the level of revenues in Europe in 2009 than it did in other geographic regions. While European revenues have increased since that time, rising in both 2010 and 2011, the relatively tenuous macroeconomic environment in Europe has caused those increases to lag behind Objet's revenue increases in other regions, thereby causing further decreases in the percentage of Objet's overall revenues from Europe in 2010 and 2011. The increase in absolute revenues in the countries comprising what Objet refers to as Rest of World from 2009 to 2010 and from 2010 to 2011 reflected primarily the results of Objet's focus on introducing its 3D printing systems to those markets.

Gross profit

The amount of Objet's gross profit attributable to Objet's products sales and services activities in 2009, 2010 and 2011, and the year-over-year percentage increase or decrease in such gross profit amounts over the course of that three-year period, are set forth below:

(\$ in thousands)	2009	Year-over-year change	2010	Year-over-year change	2011
Gross profit attributable to:					
Products	\$ 37,158	42.2%	\$ 52,822	35.8%	\$ 71,751
Services	1,251	2.6%	1,283	86.4%	2,391
Total gross profit	\$ 38,409	40.9%	\$ 54,105	37.0%	\$ 74,142

Table of Contents

Objet's gross profit from products sales and services activities, expressed as a percentage of the revenues derived from such products sales and services activities, is provided in the below table:

	2009	2010	2011
Gross profit as a percentage of revenues from:			
Products	65.2%	69.0%	67.8%
Services	11.9%	11.3%	15.6%
Total gross profit	56.9%	61.6%	61.2%

Gross profit from product sales increased by \$18.9 million, or 35.8%, to \$71.8 million in 2011, after having increased by \$15.7 million, or 42.2%, to \$52.8 million in 2010 as compared with \$37.2 million in 2009. These year-over-year increases were primarily attributable to the absolute increase in products sales revenues in 2011 and 2010, which in each case reflected improved sales of Objet's printing systems and resin consumables relative to the prior year, which in the case of 2010, was the recession-affected 2009 year. Gross profit slightly decreased as a percentage of revenues from products sales in 2011, after having increased in 2010. Those results reflected the mix of products sales revenues in those years relative to the respective prior years, as sales of higher-margin printers, as well as high-margin resin consumables, constituted a slightly lower percentage of Objet's products sales revenues in 2011 compared to 2010, after having accounted for a higher percentage of Objet's revenues in 2010 compared to 2009. This slight decrease in percentage of products sales revenues constituted by higher-margin printers in 2011 was due, in part, to growth in sales of Objet's lower-margin Desktop family of printers. Objet does not anticipate significant changes in the mix of its products sales revenues or, in turn, in gross profit as a percentage of those revenues, in 2012.

Gross profit from services increased significantly by approximately \$1.1 million, or 86.4%, in 2011 relative to 2010, after having increased slightly by approximately \$32 thousand, or 2.6%, in 2010 relative to 2009. These increases in services gross margins were primarily attributable to corresponding increases in Objet's installed base, which outpaced hiring of service engineers and therefore resulted in lower ratios of service engineers to Objet's installed base in a given geographic region and therefore increased efficiency of service engineer utilization. In 2011, the gross profit from services, as a percentage of services revenues, also rose as a result of this increased efficiency, increasing by 4.3% relative to 2010 after having decreased by 0.6% in 2010 compared to 2009.

Operating expenses

The amount of each type of operating expenses for 2011, 2010 and 2009, and the percentage change in such amounts year-over-year, as well as the percentage of Objet's total revenues constituted by Objet's total operating expenses in each such year, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	2009	Year-over-year change	2010	Year-over-year change	2011
Research and development	\$ 9,297	28.9%	\$ 11,980	21.6%	\$ 14,569
Selling and marketing	12,791	56.2%	19,979	42.0%	28,366
General and administrative	7,988	25.3%	10,009	36.8%	13,696
Total operating expenses	\$ 30,076	39.5%	\$ 41,968	34.9%	\$ 56,631
Percentage of total revenues	44.5%		47.8%		46.8%

Research and development expenses increased by 21.6% in 2011 compared to 2010, and by 28.9% in 2010 compared to 2009, as Objet added research and development engineering personnel in each such year in order to expedite and deepen its new product development efforts as part of its growth strategy. In 2011, as well as in 2010, various markets began or continued to rebound from the global recession of 2009, during which Objet's research and development expenses had decreased due, in part,

Table of Contents

to the freeze in research and development hiring that Objet had instituted. As a percentage of total revenues, however, Objet's research and development expenses remained relatively constant over this three-year period, constituting 12.0%, 13.6% and 13.8% of Objet's total revenues in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, reflecting Objet's approach to prioritizing research and development activities. In the case of 2011, however, the growth of Objet's revenues caused a slight decrease in the percentage of revenues spent on research and development.

Selling and marketing expenses increased by 42.0% and 56.2% in 2011 and 2010, respectively, in comparison to the respective prior years. These year-over-year increases were primarily due to increased marketing activity undertaken as part of Objet's growth strategy, as well as changes that Objet made in its sales and marketing operations in the United States in 2010. Those changes involved Objet's transition towards product distribution via both direct channels involving independent sales agents and indirect channels involving independent distributors, as described above under " Key measures of Objet's performance Gross profit," which resulted in increased sales commissions paid to those independent sales agents. Prior to 2010, substantially all of Objet's products sales in the United States were made directly to end-users by Objet's in-house direct sales staff. As a percentage of total revenues, Objet's sales and marketing expenses rose during this three-year period, from 18.9% in 2009 to 22.7% in 2010 to 23.4% in 2011, reflecting Objet's strategic goal of increasing its presence and its market awareness by intensifying its marketing efforts and adding distribution channels. While Objet intends to continue to invest in its selling and marketing efforts, it does not expect the rate of spending to increase materially.

General and administrative expenses increased by 36.8% and 25.3% in 2011 and 2010, respectively, relative to the previous year, largely reflecting the increase in headcount generated by increased activity across all areas of Objet's business in each of those years compared to the previous year. These year-over-year increases were furthermore due to the expansion of Objet's distribution infrastructure in Europe and Asia, which Objet began in 2010 and completed in 2011, and, in the case of 2010, to the implementation of Objet's management information systems. Despite the absolute increase in general and administrative expenses in each of 2011 and 2010, as a percentage of Objet's total revenues in those years, such expenses fell slightly, from 11.8% in 2009 to 11.4% in 2010 to 11.3% in 2011, reflecting Objet's increased revenues as well as its efforts to manage its expansion efficiently.

Operating income

Operating income and operating income as a percentage of total revenues in 2011, 2010 and 2009, as well as the percentage change in operating income from year to year, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	2009	Year-over- year change	2010	Year-over- year change	2011
Operating income	\$ 8,333	45.6%	\$ 12,137	44.3%	\$ 17,511
Percentage of total revenues	12.3%		13.8%		14.5%

Operating income increased by 44.3% in 2011 relative to 2010, and by 45.6% in 2010 relative to 2009, in each case primarily due to the leveraging of Objet's fixed costs to increase product revenues, as discussed above. As a percentage of total revenues, the level of Objet's operating income rose by 0.7% and 1.5% in 2011 and 2010, respectively, while Objet's operating expenses decreased by 1.0% in 2011, after having risen by 3.3% in 2010, in each case relative to the previous year, reflecting the implementation of Objet's growth strategies, particularly with respect to selling and marketing activities. In 2011, these results also reflected Objet's ability to leverage its fixed costs to grow product revenues at a faster pace than operating expenses.

Table of Contents**Finance income (expenses), net**

The amount of Objet's finance income (expense), net, in each of 2011, 2010 and 2009, and the percentage of Objet's total revenues for those respective years constituted by such amounts, as well as the percentage change in such amounts from year to year, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	2009	Year-over-year change	2010	Year-over-year change	2011
Finance income (expense), net	\$ 232	(257.3)%	\$ (365)	(236.4)%	\$ (1,228)
Percentage of total revenues	0.3%		(0.4)%		(1.0)%

The increase in finance expense from 2010 to 2011 primarily reflected Objet's hedging against foreign currency fluctuations in 2011, as changes in the dollar in relation to other currencies (primarily the NIS) resulted in foreign currency exchange losses, primarily in the third quarter.

The increase in finance expense (which also reflected a decrease in finance income) from 2009 to 2010 primarily reflected foreign currency exchange losses (as opposed to gains in 2009), as partially offset by interest income, income from investments and forward contract income.

As described above under " Currency exchange rates," Objet started to engage in economic hedging in 2011 in order to help protect against fluctuation in foreign exchange rates. Instruments that Objet uses to manage currency exchange risks may include foreign currency forward and swap contracts. These instruments will be used selectively to manage risks, but there can be no assurance that Objet will be fully protected against material foreign currency fluctuations. Objet does not use these instruments for speculative or trading purposes. As noted above, in 2011, changes in the exchange rate of the dollar in relation to other currencies (primarily the NIS) resulted in foreign currency exchange losses. However, in the fourth quarter of 2011, Objet adopted a hedging accounting policy under which some or all of the gains or losses from hedging transactions will be offset against, or will be added to, Objet's expenses for which hedging is carried out, rather than being recorded as finance income or expense. In addition, under this policy, gains or losses from hedging transactions will be apportioned over the periods in which the related operating expenses are recorded, thereby reducing the likelihood that gains or losses from hedging transactions related to operating expenses of subsequent quarters would be concentrated in a single fiscal quarter, as was the case with the financial loss that Objet incurred in the first three quarters of 2011.

Tax expense

Tax expense, both as a stand-alone number and as a percentage of income before income taxes, for 2011, 2010 and 2009, as well as the percentage change in the amount of tax expense on a year-over-year basis were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	2009	Year-over-year change	2010	Year-over-year change	2011
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 960	47.0%	\$ 1,411	12.6%	\$ 1,589
As a percent of income before income taxes	11.2%		12%		9.8%

Net income

Net income and net income as a percentage of total revenues for 2011, 2010 and 2009, as well as the percentage change in net income year-over-year, were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	2009	Year-over-year change	2010	Year-over-year change	2011
Net income	\$ 7,605	36.2%	\$ 10,361	41.8%	\$ 14,694
Percentage of total revenues	11.3%		11.8%		12.1%

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Table of Contents

For the reasons cited previously, Objet's net income rose by \$4.3 million, or 41.8%, from 2010 to 2011, after having risen by \$2.8 million, or 36.2%, from 2009 to 2010. As a percentage of total revenues, Objet's net income remained fairly consistent in 2009, 2010 and 2011, rising slightly over that three-year period (from 11.3% to 11.8% to 12.1%), as a substantial increase in total revenues in each of 2011 and 2010 relative to the prior year was accompanied by a relatively commensurate increase in costs of revenues and operating expenses and by increased spending due to implementation of Objet's growth strategies.

Quarterly results of operations data

The following table sets forth Objet's unaudited quarterly consolidated statements of operations data for each of the twelve quarters ended March 31, 2012. Objet has prepared the quarterly data in a manner that is consistent with its audited consolidated financial statement data included in this proxy statement/prospectus. In the opinion of management, the financial information reflects all necessary adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of this data. This information should be read in conjunction with Objet's audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus. The results of historical periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for a full year or any future period, especially if the merger is consummated. The below data does not reflect share-based compensation charge for employee stock option grants under Financial Accounting Standard, or FAS, 123(R).

	2009				2010				2011				2012
	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31	March 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31	March 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31	March 31	March 31
(in thousands US\$, except per share data)													
Three months ended													
Net revenues:													
Products	13,276	13,052	15,894	16,538	18,569	18,998	22,451	23,097	24,479	27,873	30,310	33,697	
Services	2,637	2,800	2,655	2,406	2,655	3,041	3,220	3,189	3,669	4,070	4,409	4,378	
Total revenues	15,913	15,852	18,549	18,944	21,224	22,039	25,671	26,286	28,148	31,943	34,719	38,075	
Cost of revenues:													
Products	4,926	4,627	5,357	5,187	5,976	5,923	6,648	7,354	7,929	9,221	9,504	9,911	
Services	2,267	2,351	2,437	2,180	2,393	2,600	2,866	2,936	2,995	3,713	3,302	4,004	
Total cost of revenues	7,193	6,978	7,794	7,367	8,369	8,523	9,514	10,290	10,924	12,934	12,806	13,915	
Gross profit	8,720	8,874	10,755	11,577	12,855	13,516	16,157	15,996	17,224	19,009	21,913	24,160	
Operating expenses:													
Research and development expenses, net	2,109	2,180	2,659	2,839	2,805	3,012	3,324	3,470	3,734	3,675	3,690	3,849	
Selling and marketing expenses	2,841	3,024	4,074	3,823	4,700	5,103	6,353	5,605	6,661	7,317	8,783	8,922	
General and administrative expenses	1,798	2,040	2,493	2,405	2,299	2,390	2,915	3,156	3,064	3,499	3,977	4,191	
Merger and IPO related expenses													3,058
Total operating expenses	6,748	7,244	9,226	9,067	9,804	10,505	12,592	12,231	13,459	14,491	16,450	20,020*	
Operating profit	1,972	1,630	1,529	2,510	3,051	3,011	3,565	3,765	3,765	4,518	5,463	4,140*	
Financial expenses													
(income), net	(831)	(889)	389	856	577	(1,053)	(15)	61	(659)	1,930	(104)	(101)	
Income tax	185	231	308	281	324	493	313	463	326	270	530	645	
Net income	2,618	2,288	832	1,373	2,150	3,571	3,267	3,241	4,098	2,318	5,037	3,394*	
Earnings per share attributable to ordinary shares													
	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.03	

*

If merger and IPO related expenses had been excluded for the first quarter of 2012, total operating expenses would have been \$16,962, operating profit would have been \$7,198 and net income would have been \$6,452, which in the case of operating expenses would reflect a decrease, and in the case of operating profit and net income, an increase, of \$3,058, constituting the amount of these merger and IPO related expenses. If the merger and IPO related expense amount had been excluded, the increase in net income by that \$3,058 amount, divided by the 134,229,290 weighted average number of ordinary shares and preferred shares outstanding during the first quarter of 2012, would yield an increase in earnings per share attributable to ordinary shares of \$0.02 (from \$0.03 to \$0.05). The foregoing amounts, which exclude merger and IPO related expenses, are non-GAAP financial measures. Objet's management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures are useful information for investors and prospective shareholders of the combined company in gauging the Objet-related results of operations of the combined company on an ongoing basis once the merger is complete, when these exceptional expenses will no longer recur. The presentation of these non-GAAP measures is not meant to be considered in isolation or as an alternative to any measure of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and capital resources**

A summary of Objet's statement of cash flows for the three years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2012 is as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Three months ended				
	2009	2010	2011	2011	2012
Net income	\$ 7,605	\$ 10,361	\$ 14,694	\$ 3,241	\$ 3,394
Depreciation and amortization	1,485	2,019	2,579	577	732
Net amortization of discount related to marketable securities	(153)	(211)	(206)	(64)	(9)
Loss (gain) on sale of marketable debt securities	667	(138)			
Deferred income taxes-net	449	385	(25)	(22)	(17)
Other adjustments required to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities	(3,540)	1,471	(10,528)	491	3,164
Net cash provided by operating activities	6,513	13,887	6,514	4,223	7,264
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(5,787)	7,349	9,015	(961)	(18,563)
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,534)				
Translation differences on cash balance of consolidated subsidiaries operating independently		(164)	89	128	48
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(808)	21,072	(2,142)	3,390	(11,251)
Balance of cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year/period	25,102	24,294	45,366	45,366	42,954
Balance of cash and cash equivalents at end of year/period	24,294	45,366	42,954	48,756	31,703

Objet's cash and cash equivalents balance decreased by \$11.3 million during the first quarter of 2012, from \$43.0 million at December 31, 2011 to \$31.7 million at March 31, 2012. This decrease of cash primarily resulted from the \$18.5 million of cash used in investing activities, which primarily reflected cash that was invested in short-term deposits. The decrease of cash was offset, in part, by \$7.3 million of net cash provided by Objet's operating activities, primarily reflecting \$3.4 million of net income, which was due to strong products sales offset in part by \$3.1 million of expenses related to Objet's prospective initial public offering and merger with Stratasys. These amounts were further adjusted for non-cash line items, as described further below.

Objet's cash and cash equivalents balance decreased by \$2.4 million as of the end of 2011, from \$45.4 million at December 31, 2010 to \$43.0 million at December 31, 2011. This decrease of cash primarily reflected the \$10.5 million of cash spent to increase Objet's inventories, the increase of \$5.7 million in Objet's other accounts receivable, and the \$9.0 million of cash that Objet used in investing activities, including the \$14.5 million of cash used for an increase in short-term deposits and \$4.2 million of cash used for the purchase of property, plant and equipment. These amounts of cash used were offset, in part, by the \$6.5 million of cash, net, provided by Objet's operations, attributable to Objet's improved revenues from product sales, and its \$14.7 million of net income, and by the \$10.0 million of cash provided by proceeds from its sale of marketable securities. There was no cash used in or provided by financing activities in 2011. Objet's cash and cash equivalents balance increased by \$21.1 million in 2010, from \$24.3 million at December 31, 2009, to \$45.4 million at December 31, 2010. The cash increase was primarily due to the \$13.9 million of cash flows from operations, reflecting Objet's improved revenues from products sales and net income in 2010, along with \$7.3 million of cash provided by investing activities, in particular \$11.3 million of proceeds from sale of marketable

Table of Contents

securities, as partially offset by \$2.8 million spent in purchase of property, plant and equipment, (for various items, including building improvements and management information system upgrades). There was no cash used in or provided by financing activities in 2010.

In 2011 Objet used, and in the near- and mid-term future, it expects to continue to use, its cash primarily to implement its growth strategies, as well as for working capital. Objet believes that its primary sources of liquidity during the upcoming twelve-month period will be its cash flows from operations and its current cash balances. Objet does not maintain any bank debt or other debt financing and does not anticipate incurring any debt in the immediate future. Objet believes that its cash flows from operations and existing cash resources will be sufficient to fund its projected cash requirements through at least the end of the six months ending June 30, 2013. However, to the extent that even after consummation of the merger, Objet pursues further potential acquisitions or strategic alliances, it may require, or it may consider obtaining, debt or other financing to fund such transactions or to fund its ongoing operations. There is no assurance that such financing will be available on favorable terms or available at all. Other than the merger, Objet has no current undertakings, commitments or agreements to consummate any such acquisitions or strategic alliances.

Cash flows from operating activities

The net cash provided by Objet's operating activities in the first quarters of 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$7.3 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, principally derived from \$3.4 million and \$3.2 million of net income, respectively and from the following adjustments in those respective quarters for the following non-cash line items: upwards adjustments of \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million for depreciation and amortization; upwards adjustments of \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million for increase in liability for employees upon retirement; downwards adjustments of \$0.1 million and \$25 thousand for gains on amounts funded in respect of employee rights upon retirement; downwards adjustments of \$17 thousand and \$22 thousand for deferred income taxes, net; and upwards adjustments of \$3.0 million and \$0.4 million for changes in operating assets and liabilities.

The net cash provided by Objet's operating activities during 2011 amounted to approximately \$6.5 million, principally derived from \$14.7 million of net income and from the following adjustments for the following non-cash line items: an upwards adjustment of \$2.6 million for depreciation and amortization; an upwards adjustment of \$524 thousand for increase in liability for employee rights upon retirement; an upwards adjustment of \$130 thousand for losses on amounts funded in respect of employee rights upon retirement; a downward adjustment of \$25 thousand for deferred income taxes, and a net downward adjustment of \$11.6 million for changes in operating assets and liabilities, which included downward adjustments of \$10.5 million for an increase in Objet's inventories and \$5.7 million for an increase in Objet's other (non-trade) accounts receivable, as partially offset by upwards adjustments of \$4.9 million for an increase in other current liabilities and \$2.8 million for an increase in deferred revenues.

The total net cash provided by Objet's operating activities during 2010 and 2009 amounted to approximately \$20.4 million, principally derived from \$18.0 million of net income, plus upwards adjustments for non-cash expenses of \$3.5 million for depreciation and amortization, \$0.5 million for loss on sale of marketable debt securities, \$1.5 million for increase in liability for employee rights upon retirement, \$0.8 million for deferred income taxes, net, as adjusted downwards for \$0.2 million for gains on amounts funded in respect of employee rights upon retirement, \$0.4 million for net amortization of discount related to marketable securities, and a net amount of \$3.7 million, in the aggregate, for changes in operating assets and liabilities.

Objet's net accounts receivable balance increased by \$1.1 million during the first quarter of 2012, as compared to a decrease of \$1.4 million in its net accounts receivable balance during the corresponding quarter of 2011. Objet experienced increases in its balances of net accounts receivable of

Table of Contents

\$7.1 million in 2011, \$2.5 million in 2010 and \$3.4 million in 2009. Objet's payment terms are generally 60 days. Therefore, changes in accounts receivable generally reflect activity in the final 60 days of a given fiscal quarter and during the final quarter of a fiscal year. The net increase in accounts receivable during the first quarter of 2012 reflected an increase in trade receivables that accompanied the increase in Objet's sales during the quarter, including towards the end of the quarter. The net decrease in accounts receivable during the first quarter of 2011 reflected the timing of sales during that quarter, during which activity was more balanced across the quarter, which enabled the collection of amounts due to Objet prior to quarter-end. The net increases in accounts receivable balances in each of 2011, 2010 and 2009 reflected the increased activity in the fourth quarter of that year in comparison with the previous year. Objet performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and believes that adequate allowances have been established for any collectability issues in its accounts receivable balance.

During the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, Objet's inventory balances decreased by \$2.0 million and rose by \$3.4 million, respectively, to closing inventory levels of \$24.0 million and \$19.0 million as of March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in inventory levels during the first quarter of 2012 was primarily due to Objet's desire to level off its inventory levels to be commensurate with anticipated sales levels after an extensive period of continued growth in inventory, while the increase in inventory levels during the first quarter of 2011 was primarily due to Objet's continuing growth of its inventory to support increased sales of parts and printing systems. Objet's inventory balances were \$26.0 million, \$15.6 million and \$11.2 million as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increases in inventory of \$10.5 million and \$4.2 million during 2011 and 2010, respectively, were primarily due to continued implementation of Objet's strategy to grow its inventory to support increased sales of parts and printing systems. During those two years, Objet accumulated inventory of its resin consumables outside of Israel and increased its inventory of parts and finished assemblies of its printing systems to facilitate rapid execution of potential sales that are in excess of forecasted levels.

A decrease in Objet's accounts payable used \$200 thousand of cash in the first quarter of 2012, while during the first quarter of 2011, an increase in accounts payable provided \$1.0 million of cash. Decreases in Objet's accounts payable reflected the use of \$80 thousand and \$1.6 million of cash in 2011 and 2009, respectively, while a decrease in such accounts provided \$3.0 million of cash in 2010. For each of such quarterly and annual periods, the increase or decrease, as appropriate, was related to the timing of payments for inventory purchases.

A decrease in Objet's deferred revenues of \$200 thousand required a downwards adjustment to net income in calculating the amount of cash provided by Objet's operating activities during the first quarter of 2012, as compared to a \$250 thousand increase in deferred revenues, which necessitated an upwards adjustment in computing cash provided by operating activities, during the first quarter of 2011. An increase in deferred revenues accounted for \$2.8 million of cash provided by Objet's operating activities in 2011, which followed upon an increase in deferred revenues that accounted for \$1.7 million of cash provided by Objet's operating activities in 2010 and a decrease in such deferred revenues that used \$2.8 million of cash in 2009. The increases in Objet's deferred revenues balance in the first quarter of 2011, and in all of 2011 and 2010, primarily reflected strong product sales in that quarter and those years, which caused a corresponding rise in revenue amounts not immediately recognizable upon such sales. The decrease in the deferred revenues balance in the first quarter of 2012 was due to a non-material decrease in the balance of sales that were at the stage where revenue recognition was required to be deferred, and, in 2009, the decrease was due to weaker product sales.

Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities

Objet's investing activities used \$18.6 million and \$1.0 million of cash during the first quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively. Cash used in investing activities during the first quarter of 2012 primarily reflected an increase, by \$17.5 million, in Objet's short-term deposits, and \$1.0 million of cash used for

Table of Contents

purchase of property, plant and equipment. In the first quarter of 2011, the \$1.0 million of cash used in investing activities primarily reflected \$0.9 million of cash used for purchase of property, plant and equipment. Objet's investing activities used \$9.0 million of cash in 2011, after having provided \$7.3 million of cash in 2010 and used \$5.8 million of cash in 2009. Cash used in investing activities during 2011 primarily reflected an increase of \$14.5 million in Objet's short term deposits, as offset in part by \$10.0 million of cash that was provided by the sale or maturity of marketable securities. In 2010, the sale of marketable debt securities provided \$11.3 million of cash, while Objet did not invest in marketable debt securities at all. In 2009, the purchase of marketable debt securities, net of proceeds from sales of marketable securities, used cash of \$4.9 million.

At each of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Objet's investments consisted entirely of \$1.0 million of marketable, available-for-sale securities, including dollar denominated corporate bonds rated between A and AA issued by major financial institutions with terms ranging from one to two years.

Additional principal usages of Objet's cash in investing activities in 2011, 2010 and 2009 included \$4.2 million, \$2.8 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, for purchase of property, plant and equipment. Over the three-year period ended December 31, 2011, principal usages of Objet's cash in investing activities included upgrades to its computers and electronic equipment systems and acquisition and development of property and plant. Specifically, in September 2009, Objet launched a new management information system. Furthermore, in 2009 Objet completed the building of its new materials production plant and warehouses facilities in Kiryat Gat, Israel; this project included investments in manufacturing and engineering development equipment and tooling and leasehold improvements in the facilities.

Cash flows used in financing activities

In the first quarter of each of 2012 and 2011, as well as in each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, no cash was used in or provided by financing activities. In 2009, net cash used in financing amounted to \$1.5 million, nearly all of which reflected a capital write-off for the repurchase (and subsequent cancellation) of 2,368,546 preferred shares from Objet's shareholders.

Contractual obligations

Objet's total current assets amounted to \$114.3 million and \$110.5 million at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, most of which consisted of cash and cash equivalents, short-term deposits (primarily as of March 31, 2012), inventories and accounts receivable. Total current liabilities as of those dates amounted to \$34.5 million and \$34.2 million, respectively, and Objet had no debt as of either such date. Objet estimates that it will spend between approximately \$5.5 million and \$6.5 million during 2012 for property and equipment. Objet also estimates that as of March 31, 2012, it had approximately \$10.2 million of purchase commitments for inventory. In addition to purchase commitments for inventory, Objet had future commitments for leased facilities. Objet intends to finance its purchase commitments from existing cash or from cash flows from operations. Objet's future contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2011 (which changed only in the ordinary course of business during the quarter ended March 31, 2012) are summarized in the following table:

(in thousands)	Total	Less than 1 year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years	More than 5 years
Operating lease obligations	\$ 7,720	\$ 1,720	\$ 3,187	\$ 2,813	\$
Purchase obligations	10,721	10,721			
Other long-term liabilities reflected on Objet's balance sheets:	4,436	519	1,038	1,038	1,841
Total	\$ 22,877	\$ 12,960	\$ 4,225	\$ 3,851	\$ 1,841

Table of Contents

In addition to the above disclosed contractual obligations, Objet has made reserves for tax contingencies and legal contingencies, respectively, at December 31, 2011. Based on the uncertainties associated with these items, Objet is unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of potential settlements, if any, with taxing authorities or with counter-parties to such litigations. Objet also has royalty obligations on sales of resin consumables. As of December 31, 2011, accrued and unpaid royalty obligations amounted to approximately \$0.7 million. As Objet's royalty obligations depend on future sales, Objet cannot calculate them currently.

Inflation

Objet believes that inflation has not had a material effect on its operations or on its financial condition during the three most recent fiscal years.

Off-balance sheet arrangements

Since Objet's inception, except for standard operating leases, Objet has not engaged in any off-balance sheet arrangements, such as the use of unconsolidated subsidiaries, structured finance, special purpose entities or variable interest entities.

Critical accounting estimates

Objet has prepared its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. GAAP. This has required Objet to make estimates based on its judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported. Note 1 of the notes to Objet's consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus describes the significant accounting policies and principles that are used to prepare Objet's consolidated financial statements.

Objet has identified several critical accounting estimates that required it to use assumptions about matters that were uncertain at the time of its estimates. Had it used different assumptions, the amounts it recorded could have been significantly different. Additionally, if Objet had used different assumptions or different conditions existed, its financial condition or results of operations could have been materially different. The critical accounting estimates that were affected by the estimates, assumptions, and judgments used in the preparation of Objet's consolidated financial statements are discussed below.

Revenue recognition

Objet recognizes revenues primarily from the sale of its products, which include 3D printing systems and resin consumables, and, secondarily, from the provision of related support services. Objet recognizes revenues from product sales when (i) persuasive evidence of a final agreement exists, (ii) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, (iii) the selling price is fixed or determinable, and (iv) collectability is reasonably assured. Revenues from sales of systems to end-users are recognized after installation is complete, when a certificate of installation is received by the end-user, since Objet views installation with end-users to be essential to the functionality of system and a critical part of performance of the delivery obligation. Revenues from sales to independent distributors are generally recognized upon shipment (according to the shipping terms agreed with each distributor). Objet's independent distributors are responsible for the installation of a system with an end-user. Objet generally does not provide for a right of return in its sales contracts. From time to time, upon release of a new system, or a beta system, to early adopters, Objet provides for a right of return on these beta systems for a limited period of time. Revenues for these systems are recognized only when such right of return has elapsed and the client has entered into a binding purchase arrangement.

Objet's systems include embedded software. The software is considered incidental to the system as a whole and accordingly "software" accounting standards are not applicable because the software is not a significant focus of the marketing effort and is not sold separately. The software only works with the

Table of Contents

system and the system only works with software. Objet does not provide post-contract customer support specific to the software. Objet enables its end-users to freely download software updates. Objet's research and development efforts to develop the software are not significant in comparison with total system research and development and production costs.

For each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2010, pursuant to the previous accounting guidance concerning revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables, for a sales arrangement with multiple elements, such as 3D printing systems, software license, materials, installation and services, Objet allocated revenues to the different elements in the arrangement under the "residual method" based on Vendor Specific Objective Evidence of fair value for the undelivered element (the services, which is similar to service contracts sold separately). Under the residual method, at the outset of the arrangement, Objet defers revenues for the fair value of its undelivered element and recognizes revenues for the remainder of the arrangement fee attributable to the elements initially delivered, when the basic criteria have been met. Warranty revenues are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the warranty agreement.

Beginning January 1, 2011, Objet adopted Accounting Standard Update, or ASU, No. 2009-13, "Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements" (ASU 2009-13), for new and materially modified transactions originating after January 1, 2011. The new standard changes the requirements for establishing separate units of accounting in a multiple element arrangement and requires the allocation of arrangement consideration to each deliverable to be based on the relative selling price.

For 2011 and future periods, pursuant to the guidance of ASU 2009-13, when a sales arrangement contains multiple elements, such as 3D printing systems, including license, consumables, installation and services, Objet allocates revenue to each element based on a selling price hierarchy. The selling price for a deliverable is based on its vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE, if available, third party evidence, or TPE, if VSOE is not available, or best estimate of the selling price, or ESP, if neither VSOE nor TPE is available.

Objet establishes VSOE of selling price using the price charged for a deliverable when sold separately. The best estimate of selling price is established considering internal factors such as margin objectives and pricing. The adoption of these new standards did not have a material impact on Objet's revenues or financial statements.

Objet recognizes service revenues from sales of maintenance contracts. Service revenues from maintenance contracts are recognized ratably over the term of the contract, typically one year.

Shipping and handling costs billed to customers for system sales and sales of materials are included in product revenues in the consolidated statements of operations.

Objet assesses collectability as part of the revenue recognition process. This assessment includes a number of factors such as an evaluation of the creditworthiness of the customer, past payment history, and current economic conditions. If it is determined that collectability cannot be reasonably assured, Objet will decline shipment, request a down payment, or defer recognition of revenues until ultimate collectability is reasonably assured.

Objet's products are typically covered by a 12-month warranty from the date of sale. A small number of Objet's products are covered by a three-month warranty from the date of sale to a certain distributor. For these products, a liability is recorded for future warranty costs in the same period in which related revenues are recognized. The liability is based on anticipated parts and labor costs, utilizing historical experience. Objet periodically assesses the adequacy of the warranty reserves based on changes in those factors and records any necessary adjustments if actual experience indicates that adjustments are necessary. Future claims experience could be materially different from prior results because of the introduction of new, more complex products, a change in Objet's warranty policy in response to industry trends, competition or other external forces, or manufacturing changes that could

Table of Contents

impact product quality. In the event that Objet determines that its current or future product repair and replacement costs exceed estimates, an adjustment to these reserves would be charged to earnings in the period such a determination is made. Objet's accrued product warranty for each of the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was immaterial.

Share-based compensation

As part of Objet's compensation strategy, Objet from time-to-time grants options to its employees and consultants to purchase shares of its capital stock. As options to purchase ordinary shares that Objet previously granted are not exercisable prior to the closing of the merger, no share-based compensation was recognized with respect to those options as of December 31, 2011. All options to purchase preferred shares that Objet has granted became exercisable immediately upon grant, and all related share-based compensation expense was recognized, prior to the fiscal periods covered by Objet's financial statements appearing in this proxy statement/prospectus. Objet calculates the fair value of share-based option awards on the date of grant based on the fair value of the award and recognizes compensation costs upon probable attainment of specified performance conditions and over a service period. Objet uses the Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the grant date fair value. In estimating this fair value, there are certain assumptions that Objet uses, including the expected life of the options and the price volatility of the underlying shares. The expected option term is calculated in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation. The interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Each of the factors used in making an estimate requires Objet to use judgment and make estimates in determining the percentages and time periods used for the calculation. If Objet were to use different percentages or time periods, the fair value of share-based option awards could be materially different.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

While Objet evaluates the collectability of a sale as part of its revenue recognition process, it must also make judgments regarding the ultimate realization of its accounts receivable. A considerable amount of judgment is required in assessing the realization of these receivables, including the aging of the receivables and the creditworthiness of each customer. Objet may not be able to accurately and timely predict changes to a customer's financial condition. If a customer's financial condition should suddenly deteriorate, calling into question Objet's ability to collect the receivable, Objet's estimates of the realization of its receivables could be adversely affected. Objet might then have to record additional allowances for doubtful accounts, which could have an adverse effect on its results of operations in the period affected.

Objet's allowance for doubtful accounts is adjusted periodically based on evaluation of specific customer accounts, for which Objet specifically reserves. In Objet's evaluation, it uses a variety of factors, such as past payment history, its understanding of the financial condition of the customer, and economic conditions. Objet also evaluates its overall concentration risk, which assesses the total amount owed by each customer, regardless of its current status. The allowance charged as an expense related to Objet's trade accounts receivables was \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$0.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012.

Inventories

Objet's inventories are recorded at the lower of cost or market. Cost of raw materials and resin consumables is determined on a "moving average" basis. Cost of finished products and products in process is determined as follows: the raw materials component is determined mainly on a "moving average" basis, and the labor and overhead component is determined on the basis of actual manufacturing costs. Objet periodically assesses its inventory for obsolescence and potential excess by

Table of Contents

reducing the difference between its cost and the estimated market value of the inventory based on assumptions about future demand and historical sales patterns. Objet's inventories consist of materials and products that are subject to technological obsolescence and competitive market conditions. If market conditions or future demand are less favorable than Objet's current expectations, additional inventory write downs or reserves may be required, which could have an adverse effect on Objet's reported results in the period the adjustments are made. Additionally, engineering or field change orders (or ECO and FCO, respectively) introduced by Objet's engineering group could suddenly create extensive obsolete and/or excess inventory. Although Objet's engineering group considers the estimated effect that an ECO or FCO would have on Objet's inventories, a mandated ECO or FCO could have an immediate adverse affect on Objet's reported financial condition if it requires the use of different materials in either new production or in Objet's inventory used for providing services.

Income taxes

Objet complies with ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, which requires an asset and liability approach to financial reporting of income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed for differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future, based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce the deferred income tax assets if, based upon the weight of available evidence, it is "more likely than not" that a portion of such deferred assets will not be realized.

Deferred tax liabilities and assets are classified as current or non-current based on the classification of the related asset or liability for financial reporting, or according to the expected reversal dates of the specific temporary differences where appropriate.

Objet has not provided for deferred tax liability with respect to the following items:

- (i) Taxes that would apply in the event of disposal of investments in Objet's subsidiaries, as it is generally Objet's intention to hold these investments, not to dispose of them.
- (ii) Amounts of tax-exempt income generated from Objet's current Approved Enterprises under the Investment Law, as Objet intends to permanently reinvest these and does not intend to distribute dividends from such income.
- (iii) Dividends distributable from the income of foreign companies in Objet's group of companies, as Objet does not expect these companies to regularly distribute dividends in the foreseeable future. If these dividends were to be paid, Objet would have to pay additional taxes at a rate of up to 25% on the distribution, and the amount would be recorded as an income tax expense in the period during which the dividend is declared.

Tax contingencies

In accordance with ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, Objet takes a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions (tax contingencies). The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount which is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Objet reevaluates these tax positions periodically and makes adjustments as required.

Table of Contents***Other contingencies***

Certain conditions may exist as of the date the financial statements are issued, which may result in a loss but which will only be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. Objet's management assesses such contingent liabilities, and such assessment inherently involves an exercise of judgment. In assessing loss contingencies related to legal proceedings that are pending against or unasserted claims that may result in such proceedings, Objet's management evaluates the perceived merits of any legal proceedings or unasserted claims as well as the perceived merits of the amount of relief sought or expected to be sought.

If the assessment of a contingency indicates that it is probable that a material loss has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be estimated, then the estimated liability would be recorded as accrued expenses in financial statements. If the assessment indicates that a potential material loss contingency is not probable but is reasonably possible, or is probable but cannot be estimated, then the nature of the contingent liability, together with an estimate of the range of possible loss if determinable and material would be disclosed.

Loss contingencies considered to be remote by management are generally not disclosed unless they involve guarantees, in which case the guarantee would be disclosed.

Impairment of property, plant and equipment

Objet adheres to ASC 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*, and annually assesses the recoverability of the carrying amounts assets at year-end. An impairment loss would be recognized if expected undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the asset. This loss would be determined by calculating the difference by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value. Based on Objet's assessment as of December 31, 2011, no long-lived assets were determined to be impaired.

Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are presented in Objet's financial statements at cost as of the date of acquisition. Depreciation and amortization is calculated based on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the depreciable assets, or in the case of leasehold improvements, the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset. Improvements are capitalized while repairs and maintenance are charged to Objet's operations as they are incurred.

Annual rates of depreciation are as follows for the following categories of assets:

	%
Computers and electronic equipment	20 - 33 (mainly 33%)
Office furniture and equipment	6 - 15 (mainly 15%)
Machinery and equipment	10 - 33 (mainly 33%)
Buildings	4
Land	

Equipment produced by Objet and used for research and development purposes is depreciated on a straight-line basis over a period of three years.

Marketable securities

At December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2012, Objet's investments consisted entirely of \$1.0 million of marketable, available-for-sale securities, including dollar denominated corporate bonds rated between A and AA issued by major financial institutions with terms ranging from one to two years.

Table of Contents

Debt securities that Objet considers selling prior to maturity are classified as "available-for-sale." These securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of comprehensive income (loss) in changes of equity. Unrealized losses that are considered to be other-than-temporary are charged to income as an impairment charge. Realized gains and losses on sales of securities, as well as premium or discount amortization, are included in the consolidated statement of income as financial income or expenses. Objet does not hold these securities for trading purposes. These securities are classified as short-term investments.

Recently issued accounting principles not yet adopted

The JOBS Act permits an "emerging growth company" such as Objet (and, following the merger, the combined company) to take advantage of an extended transition period to comply with new or revised accounting standards applicable to public companies. Objet is choosing to "opt out" of this leniency and, as a result, Objet and, following the merger, the combined company will comply with new or revised accounting standards as required when they are adopted. This decision to opt out of the extended transition period under the JOBS Act is irrevocable.

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standard Board, or FASB, issued ASU No. 2011-05, which amended the comprehensive income presentation guidance. The amendment requires entities to report components of comprehensive income in either a continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Objet is currently evaluating the potential impact of ASU No. 2011-05 on its financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04 for Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820). The amendment clarifies the existing guidance and adds new disclosure requirements. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Objet is currently evaluating the potential impact of ASU No. 2011-04 on its financial statements.

Table of Contents

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT THE MARKET RISK OF OBJET

Market risk is the risk of loss related to changes in market prices, including interest rates and foreign exchange rates, of financial instruments that may adversely impact Objet's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Foreign currency exchange risk

Due to Objet's international operations, currency exchange rates impact Objet's financial performance. In 2011 approximately 67% of Objet's sales were denominated in U.S. dollars and approximately 33% of Objet's sales were denominated in Euros. In terms of costs of revenues, a significant percentage of Objet's purchases of raw materials and product components (approximately 46% in 2011) are denominated in either NIS or in NIS prices that are linked to U.S. dollars. Similarly, a majority all of Objet's labor costs are also denominated in NIS, due to Objet's operations in Israel.

While Objet has not hedged against these risks formally in the past, in 2010 Objet did convert U.S. dollars to NIS a few months in advance of anticipated large NIS expense payments (such as payroll expenses) to hedge against the potential devaluation of the U.S. dollar relative to the NIS prior to the dates for such payments. In 2011, Objet began to engage in transactions (such as entry into forward contracts) designed to limit its exposure to the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the NIS and the weakening of the Euro against the U.S. dollar. These transactions may relate in part to specific operating transactions and to that extent are included in the operations-related categories of Objet's consolidated statements of income (commencing in Objet's 2011 financial statements). Otherwise, they are accounted for in finance income (expense). In the third quarter of 2011, Objet experienced a financial loss due to its entry into currency hedging transactions. In order to reduce the impact of future currency fluctuations, Objet has adopted a new hedging accounting policy, under which it intends to hedge in connection with specific operating transactions, as described above under "Finance income (expenses), net." Assuming that such policy complies with the relevant accounting requirements, some or all of the gains or losses from hedging transactions will be apportioned over the periods in which the related operating expenses are recorded, thereby reducing the impact of such gains or losses upon a single fiscal quarter.

The net effect of these risks stemming from currency exchange rate fluctuations on Objet's operating results can be quantified as follows:

- (i) An increase of 10% in the value of the NIS relative to the dollar in the year ended December 31, 2011 would have resulted in a net decrease in the dollar reporting value of Objet's operating income of \$4.4 million, due to the adverse impact to Objet's operating margins that Objet would experience as a result of such an increase. On the other hand, a 10% decrease in value of the NIS relative to the dollar in the year ended December 31, 2011 would have caused a net increase in the dollar reporting value of Objet's operating income of \$4.4 million for 2011, due to the favorable effect on Objet's operating margins that would result from such devaluation of the NIS.
- (ii) An increase of 10% in the value of the Euro relative to the U.S. dollar in 2011 would have resulted in an increase in the U.S. dollar reporting value of Objet's operating income of \$2.5 million for that year, due to the increase in dollar value of products and services revenues earned in Euros, while a decrease of 10% in the value of the Euro relative to the U.S. dollar in 2011 would have resulted in a decrease in the U.S. dollar reporting value of Objet's operating income of \$2.5 million for that year, reflecting the decreased dollar value of products and services revenues earned in Euros.

Objet will continue to monitor exposure to currency fluctuations. Instruments that may be used to hedge future risks may include foreign currency forward and swap contracts. These instruments may be used to selectively manage risks, but there can be no assurance that Objet will be fully protected against material foreign currency fluctuations.

Table of Contents

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2012 combine the historical consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income of Stratasys and Objet, giving effect to the merger as if it had been consummated on January 1, 2011, the beginning of the earliest period presented. The following Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet combines the historical consolidated balance sheets of Stratasys and Objet, giving effect to the merger as if it had been consummated on March 31, 2012. Subject to shareholder and regulatory approvals, the merger is expected to close in the third quarter of 2012.

Stratasys and Objet have entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of April 13, 2012, which we refer to as the merger agreement, pursuant to which an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet will merge with and into Stratasys. Upon completion of the merger, Objet will issue to Stratasys' stockholders one Objet ordinary share for each share of Stratasys common stock outstanding. Objet will be the parent of Stratasys and Objet will change its name to Stratasys Ltd. Immediately after the consummation of the merger, Stratasys stockholders, in the aggregate, will own approximately 55% of the combined company's ordinary shares on a fully diluted basis (using the treasury stock method). As Stratasys will be the "accounting acquirer", the pro forma combined financial information reflects Stratasys acquiring Objet even though Objet will issue its ordinary shares to holders of Stratasys common stock. Accordingly, the merger will be accounted for as a reverse acquisition and Stratasys will allocate the purchase price consideration to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed from Objet, with the excess purchase price recorded as goodwill. In accordance with reverse acquisition accounting, the consolidated financial statements of Stratasys will be the predecessor and will reflect the merger with Objet beginning the day of the merger.

The pro forma combined financial information has been prepared in accordance with SEC Regulation S-X Article 11. The pro forma combined financial information is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the combined operating results or financial position that would have occurred if the merger had been consummated on the dates and in accordance with the assumptions described herein, nor is it necessarily indicative of future results of operations or financial position of the combined company.

As of the date of this proxy statement/prospectus, Stratasys has not completed the detailed valuation studies necessary to determine the fair values of the Objet assets and liabilities, nor has it identified all adjustments necessary to conform Objet's accounting policies to Stratasys' accounting policies. The purchase price consideration deemed to be given by Stratasys to complete the merger will be determined based on the trading price of Stratasys' common stock at the time the merger is consummated. A preliminary purchase price has been determined in these unaudited pro forma financial statements solely for the purpose of providing the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements presented below. Stratasys has allocated the purchase price based on the preliminary estimated fair value of Objet's assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on discussions with Objet's management, preliminary valuation studies, due diligence and information presented in public filings. Accordingly, the unaudited pro forma purchase price allocation and related adjustments are preliminary and are subject to further adjustments as additional information becomes available and as additional valuations and analyses are completed. Subsequent to the merger, Stratasys will undertake to complete final valuations of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from Objet. Accordingly, there may be increases or decreases in the fair value of Objet's assets and liabilities reflected in the pro forma balance sheet that may also impact the statements of operations. There can be no assurance that such final fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from the acquisition of Objet will not result in material changes.

Table of Contents

These Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements have been developed from and should be read in conjunction with (i) the unaudited interim consolidated financial statement of Stratasys contained in its quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012, incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus, and the unaudited interim consolidated financial statement of Objet for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012 included in this proxy statement/prospectus and (ii) the audited consolidated financial statements of Stratasys contained in its annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, incorporated by reference in this proxy statement/prospectus, and the audited consolidated financial statements of Objet for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 included in this proxy statement/prospectus.

Table of Contents**Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income****Year Ended December 31, 2011**

(in thousands, except per share data)	Stratasys	Objet	Pro Forma Adjustments	Pro Forma Combined
Net sales				
Products	\$ 127,476	\$ 105,759	\$	\$ 233,235
Services	28,418	15,337		43,755
	155,894	121,096		276,990
Cost of sales				
Products	61,545	34,008	27,467 (b)	
			674 (c)	
			1,901 (d)	125,595
Services	11,945	12,946	651 (c)	25,542
	73,490	46,954	30,693	151,137
Gross profit	82,404	74,142	(30,693)	125,853
Operating expenses				
Research and development	14,360	14,569	1,854 (c)	30,783
Selling, general and administrative	39,038	42,062	7,821 (b)	
			9,283 (c)	
			(1,901) (d)	96,303
	53,398	56,631	17,057	127,086
Operating income (loss)	29,006	17,511	(47,750)	(1,233)
Other income (expense)	2,346	(1,228)		1,118
Income (loss) before income taxes	31,352	16,283	(47,750)	(115)
Income taxes	10,726	1,589	(3,458) (f)	8,857
Net income (loss)	\$ 20,626	\$ 14,694	\$ (44,292)	\$ (8,972)
Net income (loss) per common share*				
Basic	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.95(a)		\$ (0.25)
Diluted	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.95(a)		\$ (0.25)
Weighted average common shares outstanding*				
Basic	21,133	15,429(a)	(g)	36,562
Diluted	21,653	15,429(a)	(g)	36,562
Comprehensive Income				
Net income (loss)	\$ 20,626	\$ 14,694	\$ (44,292)	\$ (8,972)
Other comprehensive income	46	191		237
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 20,672	\$ 14,885	\$ (44,292)	\$ (8,735)

*

Objet's net income per common share and weighted average common shares outstanding reflect the conversion of the preferred stock and a 1 for 8.700 reverse split; see note 4(a)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Unaudited Pro Forma
Condensed Combined Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income****Three Months Ended March 31, 2012**

(in thousands, except per share data)	Stratasys	Objet	Pro Forma Adjustments	Pro Forma Combined
Net sales				
Products	\$ 37,546	\$ 33,697	\$	\$ 71,243
Services	7,418	4,378		11,796
	44,964	38,075		83,039
Cost of sales				
Products	17,810	9,911	6,867 (b)	
			143 (c)	
			479 (d)	35,210
Services	4,199	4,004	150 (c)	8,353
	22,009	13,915	7,639	43,563
Gross profit	22,955	24,160	(7,639)	39,476
Operating expenses				
Research and development	4,352	3,849	429 (c)	8,630
Selling, general and administrative	10,394	13,113	1,955 (b)	
			1,648 (c)	
			(479) (d)	26,631
Merger and IPO related expenses	981	3,058	(1,671) (e)	2,368
	15,727	20,020	1,882	37,629
Operating income (loss)	7,228	4,140	(9,521)	1,847
Other income (expense)	296	(101)		195
Income (loss) before income taxes	7,524	4,039	(9,521)	2,042
Income taxes	3,002	645	(803) (f)	2,844
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,522	\$ 3,394	\$ (8,718)	\$ (802)
Net income (loss) per common share*				
Basic	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.22(a)		\$ (0.02)
Diluted	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.22(a)		\$ (0.02)
Weighted average common shares outstanding*				
Basic	21,266	15,429(a)	(g)	36,695
Diluted	21,802	15,429(a)	(g)	36,695
Comprehensive Income				
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,522	\$ 3,394	\$ (8,718)	\$ (802)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	112	(213)		(101)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 4,634	\$ 3,181	\$ (8,718)	\$ (903)

*

Objet's net income per common share and weighted average common shares outstanding reflect the conversion of the preferred stock and a 1 for 8.700 reverse split; see note 4(a)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Unaudited Pro Forma
Condensed Combined Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet**

As of March 31, 2012

(in thousands)	Stratasys	Objet	Pro Forma Adjustments	Pro Forma Combined
ASSETS				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 24,428	\$ 31,703	\$	\$ 56,131
Short-term investments	17,622	32,804		50,426
Accounts receivable, net	28,149	23,684		51,833
Inventories	21,620	23,976	12,400 (h)	57,996
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	6,464	2,042		8,506
Deferred income taxes	2,972	94		3,066
Total current assets	101,255	114,303	12,400	227,958
Property and equipment, net	41,495	11,952		53,447
Other assets				
Goodwill	25,418		374,441 (i)	399,859
Other intangible assets, net	25,021		330,500 (j)	355,521
Long-term investments	29,904			29,904
Other non-current assets	5,646	2,532		8,178
Total other assets	85,989	2,532	704,941	793,462
Total assets	\$ 228,739	\$ 128,787	\$ 717,341	\$ 1,074,867
LIABILITIES, CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND EQUITY				
Current liabilities				
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	\$ 19,405	\$ 26,270	\$ 14,902 (k)	\$ 60,577
Deferred tax liabilities			3,460 (l)	
			(1,908) (n)	1,552
Unearned revenues	10,486	8,187	(1,200) (m)	17,473
Total current liabilities	29,891	34,457	15,254	79,602
Non-current liabilities				
Deferred tax liabilities long-term	6,760		31,793 (l)	38,553
Unearned revenues long-term	2,656			2,656
Other non-current liabilities		4,669		4,669
Total liabilities	39,307	39,126	47,047	125,480
Commitments and contingencies				
Convertible preferred stock*				
			(a)	
Stockholders' equity*				
Common stock	270	40(a)	(215) (n)	95
Additional paid-in capital	119,619	38,943(a)	734,181 (n)	
			(39,004) (n)	
			5,157 (n)	858,896
Retained earnings	108,534	50,465(a)	(50,465) (n)	
			(3,249) (n)	
			(14,902) (n)	90,383
Accumulated other comprehensive income	13	213(a)	(213) (n)	13
Treasury stock at cost	(39,004)		39,004 (n)	
Total stockholders' equity	189,432	89,661	670,294	949,387

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Total liabilities, convertible preferred stock and equity	\$ 228,739	\$ 128,787	\$ 717,341	\$ 1,074,867
--	------------	------------	------------	--------------

*
Objet's shareholders' equity reflects the conversion of the preferred stock and a 1 for 8.700 reverse split; see note 4(a)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Unaudited Pro Forma
Condensed Combined Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Description of the Transaction

Stratasys and Objet have entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of April 13, 2012, which we refer to as the merger agreement, pursuant to which an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Objet will merge with and into Stratasys. Upon completion of the merger, Objet will issue to Stratasys stockholders one Objet ordinary share for each share of Stratasys common stock outstanding. Objet will be the parent of Stratasys and Objet will change its name to Stratasys Ltd. Immediately prior to the closing of the merger, the Objet shareholders will approve a conversion of all outstanding Objet preferred shares into ordinary shares and a reverse split of Objet's ordinary shares such that after the merger holders of Stratasys common stock will hold 55% of the combined company's ordinary shares and holders of Objet ordinary shares will hold 45% of the combined company's ordinary shares, on a fully diluted basis. The calculation of the ordinary shares to be held by the Stratasys stockholders and the Objet shareholders will give effect to the exercise of all outstanding in-the-money options of each entity as determined on the treasury stock basis of accounting. At the date of the merger agreement, the reverse stock split ratio used to determine the number of ordinary shares to be held by Objet shareholders after the merger was 1 for 8.736. The merger agreement provides that the reverse stock split ratio will be automatically increased or decreased to the extent necessary such that, upon the issuance of Objet ordinary shares pursuant to the merger, the stockholders of Stratasys and the shareholders of Objet will hold 55% and 45%, respectively, of the combined company's ordinary shares on a fully diluted basis (using the treasury stock method). In these Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements, the reverse stock split ratio has been adjusted to 1:8.700 based on the merger agreement and using the market price of Stratasys common stock, which is used to calculate the purchase price consideration, as of June 4, 2012.

At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding option or warrant to purchase one share of Stratasys common stock will be converted into an option or warrant (as applicable) that will enable its holder to acquire one Objet ordinary share at an exercise price per Objet ordinary share equal to the original exercise price per Stratasys share, and in accordance with the remaining terms of the Stratasys option or warrant. All outstanding Stratasys options granted prior to the date of the merger agreement will be fully exercisable automatically as a result of the consummation of the merger under the terms of the options awards.

Note 2. Basis of Pro Forma Presentation

The Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2012 give effect to the merger as if it had been completed on January 1, 2011. The Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2012 gives effect to the merger as if it had been completed on March 31, 2012.

The Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Stratasys and Objet that are either included in or incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. Based on Stratasys' preliminary review of Objet's and Stratasys' summary of significant accounting policies and preliminary discussions with management teams of Stratasys and Objet, the nature and amount of any adjustments to the historical financial statements of Objet to conform its accounting policies to those of Stratasys are not expected to be material. As described in Note 4(d), Objet shipping and handling costs related to sales have been reclassified from selling, general and administrative expense to cost of sales to be consistent with Stratasys' classification. Upon completion of the merger, further review of Objet's accounting policies may result in additional revisions to Objet's policies and classifications to conform to those of Stratasys.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2. Basis of Pro Forma Presentation (Continued)

Assumptions and estimates underlying the unaudited pro forma adjustments are described in these notes, which should be read in conjunction with the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements. Since the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements have been prepared based upon preliminary estimates, the final amounts recorded as of the time the merger is completed may differ materially from the information presented.

The merger is reflected in the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements as an acquisition of Objet by Stratasys in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 805, "Business Combinations," using the acquisition method of accounting with Stratasys as the accounting acquirer. Since Objet is the "legal acquirer", the merger will be accounted for as a reverse acquisition. Under these accounting standards, Stratasys' total estimated purchase price is calculated as described in Note 3, and the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed of Objet are measured and recorded at their estimated fair values. For the purpose of measuring the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, Stratasys estimated the fair values as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. The fair value measurements utilize estimates based on key assumptions of the merger, including historical and current market data. The unaudited pro forma adjustments included herein are preliminary and will be adjusted as additional information becomes available and as additional analyses are performed. The final purchase price allocation will be determined subsequent to the merger and the final amounts of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the acquisition of Objet may differ materially from the values recorded in the pro forma financial statements.

Estimated transaction costs have been excluded from the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as they reflect charges directly related to the merger which do not have an ongoing impact. However, the anticipated transaction costs are reflected in the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet as an increase to accounts payable and other current liabilities and a decrease to retained earnings. In addition, the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements do not include one-time costs directly attributable to the transaction, employee retention costs or professional fees incurred or to be incurred by Stratasys or Objet pursuant to provisions contained in the merger agreement, as those costs are not considered part of the purchase price.

Stratasys and Objet expect to incur significant costs associated with integrating the operations of Stratasys and Objet after the merger is completed. The Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements do not reflect the costs of any integration activities or benefits that may result from realization of future cost savings from operating efficiencies or revenue synergies expected to result from the merger.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 3. Estimate of Consideration Expected to be Transferred**

The following is a preliminary estimate of the merger consideration to be transferred to effect the merger:

(in thousands, except share data)	Total
Deemed (for accounting purposes only) issuance of Stratasys common stock to Objet shareholders	\$ 676,716
Deemed (for accounting purposes only) conversion of Objet equity awards	96,233
Total consideration	\$ 772,949

Based on Stratasys' closing share price of \$43.86 as of June 4, 2012, the merger consideration under reverse acquisition accounting would be approximately \$772.9 million, consisting of \$676.7 million for the deemed (for accounting purposes only) issuance of 15.4 million shares of Stratasys common stock, and \$96.2 million for the fair value of Objet equity awards deemed (for accounting purposes only) to be converted into Stratasys stock awards. The converted stock options represent the fair value of such options attributable to service prior to the merger date using the current Stratasys stock price as an input to the Black Scholes valuation model to determine the fair value of the options.

The estimated value of the merger consideration reflected in these Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements does not purport to represent the actual value of the merger consideration that will be deemed to be received by Objet's stockholders when the merger is consummated. The fair value of equity securities issued as part of the merger consideration will be measured on the closing date of the merger at the then-current market price of Stratasys common stock. This requirement will likely result in a per share equity component different from the \$43.86 assumed in these Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements and that difference may be material. For example, an increase or decrease by 10% in the Stratasys common stock price on the closing date of the merger from the common stock price assumed in these Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements would increase or decrease the value of the merger consideration by approximately \$77.3 million, which would be reflected in these Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements as an increase or decrease to goodwill, offset with a decrease or increase to stockholders' equity.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 3. Estimate of Consideration Expected to be Transferred (Continued)**

The allocation of the preliminary purchase price to the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed includes unaudited pro forma adjustments to reflect the fair values of Objet's assets and liabilities. The allocation of the preliminary purchase price is as follows:

(in thousands)	
Current assets	\$ 126,703
Property and equipment	11,952
Goodwill	374,441
Other intangible assets	330,500
Other assets	2,532
Total assets	846,128
Current liabilities	(36,717)
Deferred tax liabilities long term	(31,793)
Other non-current liabilities	(4,669)
Total liabilities	(73,179)
Estimated purchase price	\$ 772,949

Note 4. Adjustments to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements

The unaudited adjustments included in the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements are as follows:

Adjustments to Historical Amounts in Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements

(a) *Objet earnings per share and equity.* The tables below reconcile the Objet column in the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2012, and Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2012 to the historical financial statements of Objet for the same periods included elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus.

Prior to the closing of the merger, Objet's shareholders will approve (i) a conversion of all outstanding Objet preferred shares into ordinary shares on a one-to-one basis and (ii) a 1-for-8.700 (subject to adjustment as described in Note 1) reverse stock split of Objet's ordinary shares, each to be effective immediately prior to the merger.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4. Adjustments to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements (Continued)****Objet earnings per share**

The table below sets forth the computation of Objet's basic and diluted net income per share attributable to its ordinary shares, as adjusted for the events mentioned above:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Year ended December 31, 2011	Three months ended March 31, 2012
Numerator:		
Net income used in computing basic net income per share	*\$ 213	**\$ 82
Participation of convertible preferred shares	14,481	3,312
Numerator for basic and diluted pro forma net income per ordinary share, as adjusted	14,694	3,394
Denominator:		
Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income per share	*3,237	**3,237
Adjustments to reflect the effect of convertible preferred shares	130,993	130,993
Adjustments to reflect reverse stock split 1-for-8.700	(118,801)	(118,801)
Denominator for basic and diluted calculation, weighted average shares, as adjusted	15,429	15,429
Net income per share:		
Basic and diluted net income per share, as adjusted	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.22

* According to the consolidated financial statements of Objet for the year ended December 31, 2011.

** According to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Objet for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4. Adjustments to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements (Continued)****Objet equity**

The table below sets forth the computation of Objet's total equity, as adjusted for the events mentioned above:

(in thousands, except per share data)	As of March 31, 2012*	Adjustments	As of March 31, 2012, as adjusted
CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED SHARES:			
Preferred shares of NIS 0.01 par value:			
Authorized 400,000 shares at March 31, 2012; issued and outstanding 130,993 and 0, as adjusted, at March 31, 2012, respectively	\$ 38,231	\$ (38,231)	\$
EQUITY			
Ordinary shares of NIS 0.01 par value:			
Authorized 100,000 shares at March 31, 2012; issued and outstanding 3,237 and 15,429, as adjusted, at March 31, 2012, respectively	8	32	40
Additional paid-in capital	744	38,199	38,943
Retained earnings	50,465		50,465
Accumulated other comprehensive income	213		213
Total equity	\$ 51,430	\$ 38,231	\$ 89,661

*

According to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Objet for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4. Adjustments to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements (Continued)***Adjustments to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income*

(b) *Amortization.* The adjustment to amortization expense recorded in cost of sales, or COS, and selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expenses is a result of the fair market value adjustments to assets acquired. The estimated fair value of amortizable intangible assets of \$330.5 million is expected to be amortized on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives that will generally range from 6 to 11 years, subject to the completion of the purchase price allocation. The weighted-average useful life of amortizable intangibles is approximately 9.3 years. The amortization expense adjustment is based on the adjusted useful lives of the acquired Objet assets. The purchase price allocation to identifiable intangible assets and the impact on amortization is as follows:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2012			Pro Forma	Pro Forma Adjustments to Amortization Expense	
	Stratasys	Objet	Pro Forma Adjustments	Useful Lives (years)	Year Ended December 31, 2011	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012
Patents	10,448		10,448	N/A	N/A	N/A
Developed technology	2,750	247,200	249,950	9	27,467	6,867
Trade name	1,240	10,000	11,240	6	1,666	416
Customer relationships	5,100	67,700	72,800	11	6,155	1,539
In process research & development		5,600	5,600	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other	5,483		5,483	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	25,021	330,500	355,521		35,288	8,822

The amortization of developed technology is included in COS expenses. The amortization of trade name and customer relationships is included in SG&A expenses. The provisional measurements of fair value reflected are subject to change. Such changes could be significant to the fair value and to the related amortization. For example, a 10% change in the purchase price allocation to developed technology, trade name or customer relationships would result in a \$2.7 million, \$0.2 million or \$0.6 million, respectively, change in annual amortization.

Fair market value adjustments and changes to estimated useful lives for Objet's property, plant and equipment are not expected to be significant and accordingly, no adjustments have been made to Objet's recorded amount of property, plant and equipment or depreciation.

(c) *Stock-based compensation expense.* Objet stock options vest over a four-year period and are only exercisable upon the consummation of a liquidity event (as defined in the option plan). Objet treated the stock options as performance-based awards and, given that the consummation of a liquidity event was outside of the control of Objet, concluded that such performance condition was not probable. As a result, no stock-based compensation expense had been recognized on outstanding Objet stock options. Under reverse acquisition accounting, Objet stock options are deemed (for accounting purposes only) to be replaced by Stratasys options. The fair value of these replacement options is determined by using the current Stratasys stock price as an input to the Black Scholes valuation model. The total estimated fair value of these options of \$124.7 million is allocated \$96.2 million to services rendered prior to the merger date based upon vesting and included as part of the purchase price and

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4. Adjustments to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements (Continued)**

\$28.4 million to services to be rendered after the merger date, and will be included in future stock-based compensation expense. Of the \$28.4 million, it is estimated that \$12.5 million will be expensed in the first year after the merger and \$2.4 million will be expensed in the first quarter of the second year. Accordingly, pro forma adjustments for stock-based compensation expense have been included as follows:

(in thousands)	Year ended December 31, 2011	Three months ended March 31, 2012
Cost of sales Products	\$ 674	\$ 143
Cost of sales Services	651	150
Research and development	1,854	429
Selling, general and administrative	9,283	1,648
	\$ 12,462	\$ 2,370

Under the terms of the option awards, all outstanding Stratasys stock options, with the exception of the May 18, 2012 grant of options to purchase 339,750 shares of Stratasys common stock, will be fully exercisable automatically upon the consummation of the merger. Stratasys will recognize a one-time expense on the date of the completion of the merger. Had the merger closed on March 31, 2012, the stock-based compensation expense would have been approximately \$5.2 million. Such amount is not reflected in the accompanying Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as it is considered a nonrecurring charge that will be included in the statement of operations within twelve months following the transaction. The impact of the one-time expense is included in the accompanying Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet.

(d) *Reclassification of shipping and handling costs.* Objet shipping and handling costs related to sales of \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 and \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 have been reclassified from SG&A expenses to COS expenses in the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income to be consistent with Stratasys' classification.

(e) *Elimination of transaction costs.* Total Stratasys transaction costs related to the merger have been estimated to be \$4.5 million, of which \$1.0 million have been recorded as an expense in SG&A within the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Total Objet costs related to the merger have been estimated to be \$12.1 million, of which \$0.7 million have been recorded as an expense in SG&A within the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The portion of the costs that were expensed, totaling \$1.7 million for both companies, have been removed from SG&A expenses with a pro forma adjustment for the three months ended March 31, 2012 as these costs relate directly to the transaction and do not have an ongoing impact. No costs related to this transaction were expensed within the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31, 2011 for either Stratasys or Objet. The impact of the estimated transaction costs of \$14.9 million (estimated total of \$16.6 million less the amounts previously expensed of \$1.7 million) is included in the accompanying Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4. Adjustments to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements (Continued)**

Initial public offering, or IPO, expense of \$2.4 million represents costs incurred in connection with Objet's previously contemplated IPO. This nonrecurring expense has not been eliminated from the accompanying Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income because it was not directly related to the merger.

(f) *Income tax expense.* Objet's 2011 and first quarter 2012 tax rates of 9.8% and 9.1%, respectively, have been applied to the unaudited pro forma adjustments related to expenses (with the exception of non-tax deductible stock-based compensation expense and transaction costs) for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2012.

(g) *Shares outstanding.* The unaudited pro forma weighted average number of basic shares outstanding is calculated for each period presented by adding Objet's weighted average number of basic shares outstanding (after taking into effect the 1:8.700 reverse stock split) for that period and the number of Objet shares that would have been issued to Stratasys stockholders as a result of the merger. The unaudited pro forma weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding is calculated by adding Objet's weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding for that period and the number of Objet shares that would have been issued pursuant to the merger as well as 0.9 million shares related to the assumption by Objet of Stratasys stock options and warrants. Due to the pro forma combined net loss for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2012, diluted common shares of 2.9 million and 3.2 million, respectively, were excluded from diluted weighted average common shares outstanding as they would have been anti-dilutive.

(h) *Inventories.* Represents the unaudited pro forma adjustment to reflect the increase in the preliminary fair value of Objet's inventories balance at March 31, 2012 at current market prices of approximately \$36.4 million, a step-up of \$12.4 million from the carrying value. After the merger closing date, the step-up will increase cost of sales over approximately six months as the inventory is sold. The amortization is not included in the accompanying pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income as it is considered a nonrecurring charge that will be included in the statement of operations within twelve months following the transaction. The provisional measurements of fair value reflected are subject to the change. Such changes could be significant to the fair value and to the related amortization.

(i) *Goodwill.* Reflects the preliminary estimate of the excess of the purchase price paid over the fair value of Objet's identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed and is not amortized. The estimated purchase price of the transaction, based on the closing price of Stratasys' common stock on June 4, 2012, and the excess purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired are calculated as follows (in thousands):

Preliminary purchase price	\$ 772,949
Less: fair value of net assets acquired	(398,508)
Pro forma goodwill adjustment	\$ 374,441

(j) *Other intangible assets.* Represents the unaudited pro forma adjustment to reflect the preliminary estimated fair value of Objet's intangibles of approximately \$330.5 million. The intangibles consist of developed technology of \$247.2 million, trade name of \$10.0 million, customer relationships of \$67.7 million and in process research and development of \$5.6 million. The provisional

Table of Contents**NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4. Adjustments to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements (Continued)**

measurements of fair value reflected are subject to change. Such changes could be significant to the fair value and to the related amortization. See Note 4(b) for further information on intangible assets.

(k) *Accounts payable and other current liabilities.* The adjustment amount represents an increase to accounts payable and other current liabilities of \$14.9 million due to estimated transaction fees in addition to the \$1.5 million of transaction fees accrued at March 31, 2012 (\$0.2 million had been paid as of March 31, 2012).

(l) *Deferred income taxes.* Deferred taxes arising from the estimated fair value adjustments for acquired inventory, intangibles other than goodwill, and deferred revenue are based on Objet's expected tax rates in the years the deferred taxes are expected to reverse. The expected tax rates range from 7.1% to 11.1%. Objet will perform a more detailed analysis of expected future tax rates. Such tax rates and the related deferred income taxes and goodwill amounts may differ significantly from the amounts presented in the pro forma financial statements.

(m) *Deferred revenue.* Represents the unaudited pro forma adjustment to reflect the decrease in the preliminary fair value of Objet's deferred revenue balance at March 31, 2012, based on the cost of fulfillment plus a normal profit margin, to approximately \$7.0 million, a reduction of \$1.2 million from the carrying value. After the merger closing date, the adjustment will be amortized as a reduction in revenue over approximately twelve months as the products are delivered and services performed. The impact is not included in the accompanying pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income as it is considered a nonrecurring charge that will be included in the statement of operations within twelve months following the transaction. The provisional measurements of fair value reflected are subject to change. Such changes could be significant to the fair value and to the related amortization.

(n) *Stockholders' equity.* Under reverse acquisition accounting, the amount of common stock reflects the equity structure of the legal acquirer (the par value and the number of shares issued by Objet). The Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet reflects conversion of all outstanding Objet preferred shares into Objet ordinary shares, the elimination of Stratasys' historical common stock and the recognition of approximately 36.7 million shares of Objet common stock that will be issued and outstanding upon completion of the merger (\$95 thousand of common stock at NIS 0.01 par value, which equates to approximately U.S. \$0.003 per share). Amounts in additional paid-in capital represent that of Stratasys adjusted to reflect the additional fair value of Objet related to Stratasys shares deemed (for accounting purposes) issued, less the par value of the shares of Objet outstanding after the combination and includes \$96.2 million to reflect the portion of the purchase price related to the total estimated fair value of Objet stock options outstanding as of March 31, 2012, excluding the value associated with employee services yet to be rendered.

Stratasys treasury stock of \$39.0 million as of March 31, 2012 has been eliminated with an offset to additional paid-in capital.

Stratasys will recognize a one-time stock-based compensation expense of \$5.2 million in connection with certain stock options automatically becoming fully exercisable upon completion of the merger. Retained earnings have been reduced by \$3.3 million, with an increase of deferred tax assets of \$1.9 million and additional paid-in capital of \$5.2 million. The \$5.2 million of stock-based compensation expense has been excluded from the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income because it does not have an ongoing impact.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 4. Adjustments to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements (Continued)

Retained earnings represents those of Stratasys as the accounting acquirer and were reduced by \$14.9 million for estimated transaction costs, which is net of previously expensed transaction fees of \$1.7 million. These estimated transaction costs have been excluded from the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income as they reflect charges directly related to the merger that do not have an ongoing impact.

Table of Contents**COMPARATIVE HISTORICAL AND UNAUDITED PRO FORMA PER SHARE DATA**

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, certain historical per share data of Stratasys and Objet, unaudited pro forma combined per share information giving effect to the merger of Stratasys and Objet as if the merger had been effective for the periods presented, and pro forma Stratasys equivalent per share data, which is calculated by multiplying the pro forma combined amounts by the exchange ratio of 1.0. As described in note (1) below, all per share information with respect to Objet ordinary shares presented below gives effect to conversion of Objet preferred shares into Objet ordinary shares on a one-to-one basis and to the 1-for-8.700 reverse stock split (the ratio calculated based on the June 4, 2012 Stratasys share price of \$43.86 and which is subject to adjustment in accordance with the merger agreement) that will be effected by Objet immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

The data has been derived from and should be read in conjunction with the selected historical consolidated financial information and the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information and the accompanying notes contained elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus, the separate historical consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes of Objet appearing elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus and the separate historical consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes of Stratasys incorporated by reference into this proxy statement/prospectus. For additional information, please see the sections entitled "Where You Can Find More Information" and "Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference" beginning on page 241 of this proxy statement/prospectus.

The unaudited pro forma per share data is presented for informational purposes only and is not intended to represent or be indicative of the combined consolidated results of operations or financial condition that would have been reported had the merger been completed as of the date presented and should not be taken as representative of future results of operations or financial condition of the combined company following the merger.

	Stratasys Historical	Objet Historical(1)	Pro Forma Combined	Pro Forma Stratasys Equivalent
Per share information for the three months ended March 31, 2012:				
Basic net income (loss)	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.22	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.02)
Diluted net income (loss)	0.21	0.22	(0.02)	(0.02)
Book value	8.90	5.81	25.87	25.87
Cash dividends				

	Stratasys Historical	Objet Historical(1)	Pro Forma Combined	Pro Forma Stratasys Equivalent
Per share information for the year ended December 31, 2011:				
Basic net income (loss)	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.95	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.25)
Diluted net income (loss)	0.95	0.95	(0.25)	(0.25)
Book value	8.63	5.57	25.72	25.72
Cash dividends				

- (1) Prior to the closing of the merger, Objet's shareholders will approve (i) the conversion of all outstanding Objet preferred shares into ordinary shares on a one-to-one basis and (ii) a 1-for-8.700 (subject to adjustment) reverse stock split of Objet's ordinary shares, each to be effective immediately prior to the merger. The Objet historical amounts have been adjusted for the effects of these events. Please see the section entitled "Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Statements" beginning on page 195 of this proxy statement/prospectus for a reconciliation of the Objet historical amounts to the adjusted amounts shown above.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT FOLLOWING THE MERGER**

The following table provides information about those persons who are expected to serve as directors and executive officers of the combined company following completion of the merger. The address for each of Messrs. Jaglom, Reis, Levin, Desheh and Simha, and for Ms. Shorr, is c/o Objet Ltd., 2 Holtzman Street, Science Park, P.O. Box 2496, Rehovot 76124, Israel, while the address for each of Messrs. Crump, Fierko, McEleney, Schwieter and Leventhal is c/o Stratasys, Inc., 7665 Commerce Way, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344.

Name	Age	Position(s) to be Held in Combined Company Following Merger
S. Scott Crump	59	Chairman of the Board
Elchanan Jaglom	70	Chairman of the Executive Committee
David Reis	50	Chief Executive Officer
Edward J. Fierko	71	Director
Ilan Levin	46	Director
John J. McEleney	49	Director
Clifford H. Schwieter	64	Director
Adina Shorr	51	Director
Eyal Desheh	59	External Director*
Victor Leventhal	68	External Director*
Erez Simha	49	Chief Financial Officer

*

Election of each External Director is subject to the ratification of Objet's shareholders following the merger.

S. Scott Crump, who is expected to serve as Chairman of the Board of the combined company following the merger, has served as Stratasys' Chief Executive Officer, President, Treasurer and a director since Stratasys' inception in 1988 and as Chief Financial Officer of Stratasys from February 1990 to May 1997. Mr. Crump is, with Lisa H. Crump, his wife, a co-founder of Stratasys, and he is the inventor of Stratasys' FDM® technology. During the period from 1982 to 1988, Mr. Crump was a co-founder and Vice President of Sales of IDEA, Inc., which later changed its name to SI Technologies, Inc., a leading manufacturer of force, load and pressure transducers. Mr. Crump continued to be a director and shareholder of that company until its sale to Vishay Intertechnologies, Inc. (NYSE: VSH) in April 2005. Mr. Crump, a registered professional engineer, is the son of Ralph E. Crump, a director of Stratasys. Scott Crump is one of the founders of Stratasys and as the inventor of its FDM technology, he is intimately familiar with Stratasys' products and its business. He holds a B.S. in mechanical engineering from Washington State University.

Elchanan Jaglom has served as Chairman of Objet's board of directors since 2001 and is expected to serve as Chairman of the Executive Committee of the combined company following the merger. Mr. Jaglom is also the Chairman of Diamond Capital Management Ltd., the investment manager of the Diamond Group of investment funds. In parallel to his involvement with these entities, Mr. Jaglom has been involved in private equity and venture capital investment since the early 1980s, focusing primarily on early-stage technology companies. He is currently a member of the Board of Trustees of the Tel Aviv Museum of Art and the Ben Gurion University of the Negev. He holds a bachelor's degree in economics and statistics from the Hebrew University in Jerusalem and an M.B.A from New York University.

David Reis was appointed Chief Executive Officer of Objet in March 2009 after serving as a director since 2003, and is expected to remain in those capacities for the combined company following the merger. Previously, he served as Chief Executive Officer and President of NUR Macroprinters Ltd., or NUR, a wide format printer manufacturer that was acquired by HP, from February 2006 to March 2008. Prior to joining NUR, Mr. Reis served as the Chief Executive Officer and President of ImageID, an automatic identification and data capture solution provider, and of Scitex Vision, a developer and

Table of Contents

manufacturer of wide-format printers. Mr. Reis holds a B.A. in Economics and Management from the Technion/Israel Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from the University of Denver.

Edward J. Fierko has been a director of Stratasys since February 2002 and is expected to serve as a director of the combined company following the merger. Since May 2003, Mr. Fierko has been President of EJV Associates, a consulting firm. From March 2003 to May 2003, Mr. Fierko was Vice President of GE Osmonics, Inc., a manufacturer of reverse osmosis water filtration devices. From November 1999 through February 2003, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Osmonics, and from November 1998 to September 1999 he served as Executive Vice President of Osmonics. From September 1987 to August 1998, Mr. Fierko was President and CEO of Ecowater International, a holding company with operating companies in the water, waste and special process treatment industry. Prior to that, Mr. Fierko held several management positions over a 23-year career at General Electric Company. He holds a B.S. in Accounting from La Salle University.

Ilan Levin, who is expected to serve as a director of the combined company following the merger, was appointed President and Vice Chairman of the Objet board in February 2011 after serving as a director of Objet since 2000. He has been involved in venture capital and private equity investment activity since 1997, acting as a member of the board of directors and as an advisor for a wide variety of technology-related companies, as well as a director for Vision Sigma Ltd. and IPC Oil and Gas Holdings, Ltd. From 2003 through 2009 he served as Chief Executive Officer of CellGuide Ltd. He holds a B.A.Sc. from the University of Toronto and an LL.B. from Tel Aviv University.

John J. McEleney, who is expected to serve as a director of the combined company following the merger, has been a director of Stratasys since 2007. He is the Chief Executive Officer of Cloud Switch, which was recently acquired by Verizon. He served as a director of SolidWorks Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Dassault Systemes S.A. (Nasdaq: DASTY), from June 2000 to May 2008, and also served as its Chief Executive Officer from 2001 until June 2007. Mr. McEleney joined SolidWorks in 1996, serving in several capacities, including Chief Operating Officer and Vice President, Americas Sales. Prior to joining SolidWorks, Mr. McEleney held several key management positions at CAD software pioneer Computervision and at defense contractor Raytheon. Mr. McEleney also serves as a director of Newforma, a privately held software company. He holds a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Rochester, an M.S. in Manufacturing Engineering from Boston University and an M.B.A. from Northeastern University.

Clifford H. Schwieter has been a director of Stratasys since 1994 and is expected to serve as a director of the combined company following the merger. Since 2009, Mr. Schwieter has been the President and a Managing Director of C.H. Schwieter and Associates, LLC, a management and financial consulting firm; he also served in that capacity from 1994 to 2002. From 2002 to 2009, Mr. Schwieter was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Concise Logic, Inc., a software development company focused on semiconductor design tools. From July 1992 to March 1994, he served as President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Centric Engineering Systems, Inc., which was engaged in the development of mechanical design and analysis software for computing systems ranging from workstations to mainframes and massively parallel networked computing environments. Mr. Schwieter was Vice President and General Manager of the Electronic Imaging Systems Division of the DuPont Company from 1986 to 1991. From 1971 to 1986, Mr. Schwieter was with the General Electric Company, where he served as Vice President of GE's Calma Company from 1985 to 1986 and was responsible for that subsidiary's worldwide business in the mechanical design and factory automation arena. He was President and Representative Director of GE Industrial Automation, Ltd., a joint venture between GE and C. Itoh & Company located in Tokyo, from 1982 to 1985. He holds a B.S. in Industrial Management from the University of Cincinnati.

Adina Shorr, who is expected to serve as a director of the combined company following the merger, was elected to Objet's board of directors on May 13, 2012. Ms. Shorr previously served as Objet's Chief Executive Officer for a six year period ending in March 2009. Ms. Shorr currently serves

Table of Contents

as Chief Executive Officer of CellGuide, a fabless semiconductor and design services company that focuses on location and navigation solutions, which she joined in October 2009. She holds an M.B.A. and a B.A. both with honors from Michigan State University.

Eyal Desheh, who is expected to serve as an external director of the combined company following the merger, has served as Chief Financial Officer of Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., a global pharmaceutical and drug company, since July 2008. From 2000 until 2008, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., a developer of security solutions to protect transactions and communications over the Internet. Mr. Desheh holds a B.A. in Economics and an M.B.A. in Finance, both from the Hebrew University in Jerusalem.

Victor Leventhal, who is expected to serve as an external director of the combined company following the merger, has served as a consultant to SolidWorks Corporation, a 3D CAD software company, since 2006. From 2001 to 2006, he was a Group Executive for Dassault Systemes S.A. (NASDAQ: DASTY), the parent company of SolidWorks, where he served on the Global Management Committee. From 1995 to 2001, Mr. Leventhal was the Chief Operating Officer of SolidWorks, where he was responsible for growing the business from its inception. From 1990 to 1995, Mr. Leventhal was the Chief Executive Officer of CAD Solutions, LLC, a leading reseller of 2D and 3D CAD products, which he helped grow from a \$5 million company to a \$32 million company. From 1985 to 1990, he held numerous executive positions, including serving as the Executive Vice President of Computerland, the largest computer retailer at the time, where he was responsible for franchise development, major account sales, marketing, training, purchasing and vendor relations. Prior to that time, he held various administrative, operations, marketing and financial positions at IBM for 18 years. He is currently serving on the Advisory Board for SalesKatz, a company providing information to sales people using proprietary search engine technology. He has also served on the boards of directors of Solido, a 3D printing company, Graphisoft, an architectural software company, and 3D Express, a startup company in the rapid prototyping industry. Mr. Leventhal received a B.B.A. from the University of Texas.

Erez Simha, who joined Objet in November 2011 as its Chief Operations Officer and Chief Financial Officer, is expected to serve as Chief Financial Officer of the combined company following the merger. Previously, he served as Corporate Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Orbotech Ltd., a developer of automated optical inspection systems and imaging solutions, from July 2009 to March 2011, prior to which he had served in several other capacities at Orbotech and its affiliates, including as Corporate Vice President for Finance from September 2008 to June 2009, Vice President of Finance and Operations at Orbotech Pacific Ltd. from April 2007 to August 2008 and Vice President of Finance, Operations and Customer Support at Orbotech S.A. from May 2004 to March 2007. Prior to joining Orbotech, Mr. Simha served as Chief Financial Officer of Wiseband Communications Ltd., a developer of digital multi carrier power amplifiers for the wireless communications industry, from 2000 to 2004; as the general manager of a private company engaged in the import and distribution of professional and technical equipment for the building and metal industries, from 1994 to 2000; and as the controller of Mishkan Hapoalim Mortgage Bank, from 1990 to 1994. Mr. Simha is a certified public accountant and holds a B.A. in economics and accounting and an M.B.A. from Tel Aviv University.

Table of Contents

**RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF
THE COMBINED COMPANY**

Except as described below or elsewhere in this proxy statement/prospectus, since January 1, 2009, Objet has had no related party transaction or loan, nor does Objet have any presently proposed related party transaction other than the merger, involving any person who will serve as a director or an executive officer of Objet following the completion of the merger.

Interests of Current Stratasys Executives in the Merger

It is anticipated that Messrs. S. Scott Crump, Edward J. Fierko, John J. McEleney and Clifford H. Schwieter who currently serve on the Stratasys board of directors, will be appointed to the combined company's board of directors upon the closing of the merger. These prospective directors' interests in the merger are further described in the section entitled "Proposal One The Merger Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger" beginning on page 88 of this proxy statement/prospectus, which description is incorporated by reference herein.

Indemnification Agreements

Objet's amended and restated articles of association that will be effective at the effective time of the merger permit Objet to exculpate, indemnify and insure each of its directors and office holders to the fullest extent permitted by the Israeli Companies Law. Effective upon the effective time of the merger, Objet intends to enter into indemnification agreements with each of its directors and other office holders who will serve in such roles following the merger, undertaking to indemnify them to the fullest extent permitted by Israeli law, including with respect to liabilities resulting from the merger to the extent that these liabilities are not covered by insurance. Objet will also have in place Directors & Officers insurance for each of its executive officers and directors upon the effectiveness of the merger. For further information, please see "The Agreement and Plan of Merger Insurance and Indemnification."

Registration Rights

Upon the effectiveness of the merger, each of the current executive officers and directors of Objet holding Objet ordinary shares will be entitled to certain registration rights with respect to their Objet ordinary shares. Please see "Agreements Entered Into in Connection with the Merger Agreement Registration Rights and Lock-Up Agreement" for a description of those registration rights.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF COMBINED COMPANY ORDINARY SHARES

A summary of the material provisions governing the combined company's share capital immediately following the completion of the merger is described below. This summary is not complete and should be read together with the combined company's amended and restated articles of association, or the amended articles, a copy of which is appended to this proxy statement/prospectus as Annex G.

General

This section summarizes the material rights of combined company shareholders under Israeli law, and the material provisions of the combined company's amended articles that will become effective upon the effectiveness of the merger.

Description of Ordinary Shares

Upon consummation of the merger, the combined company's authorized share capital will consist of 60 million ordinary shares, par value NIS 0.01 per share. That authorized share capital (i) will reflect the automatic conversion of all existing preferred shares and options to purchase preferred shares into ordinary shares and options to purchase ordinary shares, respectively, on a one-for-one basis, in accordance with Objet's prior articles of association, and (ii) assumes a 1-for-8.736 reverse split ratio (which is subject to adjustment in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement) and an accompanying reduction in Objet's authorized share capital from NIS 5,000,000 to NIS 600,000 and a corresponding reduction in the nominal value per share from NIS 0.0833 to NIS 0.01, each of which will be effective at the effective time of the merger.

The combined company may from time to time, by approval of a majority of its shareholders, increase its authorized share capital. The combined company's fully paid ordinary shares are and will be (as appropriate) issued in registered form and will be freely transferable under the combined company's amended articles. Under the Israeli Companies Law, the combined company will be required to maintain a major shareholder register listing for shareholders holding 5% or more of its outstanding ordinary shares.

The combined company's amended articles and the laws of the State of Israel do not restrict the ownership or voting of ordinary shares by non-residents of Israel, except with respect to individuals and entities that are residents of countries in a state of war with Israel, and except with respect to entities which are controlled by residents of countries in a state of war with Israel.

After taking into account the above-described Objet reverse stock split at the reverse split ratio of 1-for-8.736 set forth in the merger agreement, which is subject to adjustment, and automatic conversion of all outstanding preferred shares into ordinary shares, as of the effective time of the merger (but immediately prior to the consummation of the merger), 15,365,074 Objet ordinary shares are expected to be outstanding.

Following the issuance to Stratasys' stockholders, pursuant to the merger, of one ordinary share for each share of Stratasys common stock currently outstanding (as of July 30, 2012), immediately after the completion of the merger, an aggregate of 36,701,525 combined company ordinary shares are expected to be issued and outstanding.

Listing, Register Number and Purpose

Upon the consummation of the merger, the combined company's ordinary shares are expected to be listed and traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under Stratasys' existing trading symbol, "SSYS."

Table of Contents

Objet's registration number at the Israeli Registrar of Companies is (and, following the merger, the combined company's number is expected to remain) 51-260769-8. The combined company's purpose under its memorandum of association will include every lawful purpose.

Dividend and Liquidation Rights

Holders of the combined company's ordinary shares are to be entitled to their proportionate share of any cash dividend, share dividend or dividend in kind declared with respect to the combined company's ordinary shares. The combined company may declare dividends out of profits legally available for distribution. Under the Israeli Companies Law, a company may distribute a dividend only if the distribution does not create a reasonably foreseeable risk that the company will be unable to meet its existing and anticipated obligations as they become due. A company may only distribute a dividend out of the company's profits, as defined under the Israeli Companies Law.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, the declaration of a dividend does not require the approval of the shareholders of a company unless the company's articles of association provide otherwise. The combined company's amended articles provide that the combined company's board of directors may declare and distribute dividends without the approval of the shareholders.

In the event of liquidation, holders of the combined company's ordinary shares will have the right to share ratably in any assets remaining after payment of liabilities, in proportion to the paid-up par value of their respective holdings. These rights may be affected by the grant of preferential liquidation or dividend rights to the holders of a class of shares that may be authorized in the future.

Shareholder Meetings

Holders of ordinary shares will have one vote for each ordinary share held on all matters submitted to a vote of shareholders. This right may be changed if shares with special voting rights are authorized in the future.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, an annual general meeting of the combined company's shareholders should be held once every calendar year, but no later than 15 months from the date of the previous annual general meeting.

All meetings other than the annual general meeting of shareholders are referred to as extraordinary general meetings. The combined company's board of directors may call extraordinary general meetings whenever it sees fit, at such time and place, within or outside of Israel, as it may determine. In addition, the Israeli Companies Law and the combined company's amended articles provide that the combined company's board of directors will be required to convene an extraordinary general meeting upon the written request of (i) any two of the combined company's directors or one-quarter of its board of directors or (ii) one or more shareholders holding, in the aggregate, either (a) 5% of the combined company's outstanding issued shares and 1% of the combined company's outstanding voting power or (b) 5% of the combined company's outstanding voting power. The chairman of the board of directors will preside at each of the combined company's general meetings. The chairman of the board of directors will not be entitled to vote at a general meeting in his capacity as chairman.

Subject to the provisions of the Israeli Companies Law and the regulations promulgated thereunder, shareholders that will be entitled to participate and vote at general meetings are the shareholders of record on a date to be decided by the combined company's board of directors, which may be between four and 40 days prior to the date of the meeting. Furthermore, the Israeli Companies Law and the amended articles require that resolutions regarding the following matters must be passed at a general meeting of the combined company's shareholders:

amendments to the amended articles;

Table of Contents

appointment or termination of the combined company's auditors;

appointment of directors and appointment and dismissal of external directors;

approval of acts and transactions involving related parties, as defined by the Israeli Companies Law or pursuant to the combined company's amended articles;

director compensation;

increases or reductions of the combined company's authorized share capital;

a merger; and

the exercise of the combined company's board of directors' powers by a general meeting, if the board of directors is unable to exercise its powers and the exercise of any of its powers is required for the combined company's proper management.

The Israeli Companies Law and the amended articles require that a notice of any annual general meeting or extraordinary general meeting be provided to shareholders at least 21 days prior to the meeting, and if the agenda of the meeting includes the appointment or removal of directors, the approval of transactions with office holders or interested or related parties, or an approval of a merger, notice must be provided at least 35 days prior to the meeting.

Under the Israeli Companies Law and the amended articles, shareholders will not be permitted to take action via written consent in lieu of a meeting.

Voting Rights

Quorum requirements

Pursuant to the combined company's amended articles, holders of ordinary shares will have one vote for each share held on all matters submitted to a vote before the shareholders at a general meeting. The quorum required for a general meeting will consist of at least two shareholders present in person, by proxy or written ballot who hold or represent between them at least a majority of the total outstanding voting rights. A meeting adjourned for lack of a quorum will generally be adjourned to the same day in the following week at the same time and place or to a later time/date if so specified in the summons or notice of the meeting. At the reconvened meeting, any two or more shareholders present in person or by proxy shall constitute a lawful quorum.

Vote requirements

The combined company's amended articles provide that all resolutions of the combined company's shareholders will require the approval of a majority of the voting power present and voting at a general meeting, unless otherwise required by the Israeli Companies Law or by the amended articles. Under the Israeli Companies Law, each of (i) the approval of an extraordinary transaction with a controlling shareholder and (ii) the terms of employment or other engagement of the controlling shareholder of the company or such controlling shareholder's relative (even if not extraordinary) require, in addition to approval by the audit committee and the board of directors, approval by a special majority of the shareholders that fulfills one of the following requirements:

a disinterested majority; or

the votes of shareholders who have no personal interest in the transaction and who are present and voting, in person, by proxy or by voting deed at the meeting, and who vote against the transaction may not represent more than two percent (2%) of the voting rights of the company.

Under the combined company's amended articles, if the share capital is divided into classes, the alteration of the rights, privileges, preferences or obligations of any class of share capital will require

Edgar Filing: OBJET LTD - Form F-4/A

Table of Contents

approval by a simple majority of the class so affected (or such other percentage of the relevant class that may be set forth in the governing documents relevant to such class), in addition to the ordinary majority vote of all classes of shares voting together as a single class at a general meeting, as required under the Israeli Companies Law.

Further exceptions to the simple majority vote requirement are a resolution for the voluntary winding up, or an approval of a scheme of arrangement or reorganization, of the company pursuant to Section 350 of the Israeli Companies Law, which requires the approval of holders of 75% of the voting rights represented at the meeting, in person, by proxy or by voting deed and voting on the resolution.

Under the amended articles, the approval of 75% of the voting power of the combined company is required for the amendment, suspension or replacement of any of the following provisions of the amended articles for the period beginning on the effective time of the merger and ending on the second anniversary of the effective time, or the initial term:

any of the definitions in the amended articles (Article 1);

the appointment of class A directors and class B directors to serve during the initial term (Article 74);

during the initial term, removal of class A directors for cause only by a unanimous vote of the other class A directors and removal of class B directors for cause only by a unanimous vote of the other class B directors (Article 77.1.8 (proviso));

during the initial term, the appointment by the class A directors to fill any vacancy of a class A director and the appointment by the class B directors to fill any vacancy of a class B director, subject to the requirements of the Israeli Companies Law with respect to external directors (Article 77.2.1);

the appointment of S. Scott Crump as the Chairman of the Board of the combined company and the procedure for filling a vacancy in the office of chairman (Article 79);

the limitation on the power of the shareholders to remove a director from office during the initial term (Article 80);

the appointment of David Reis to serve as the Chief Executive Officer of the combined company during the initial term and the requirement that board of directors of the combined company may only select another person to serve as the chief executive officer by a majority vote that shall include the vote of at least two class A directors and two class B directors (Article 81);

the establishment and composition of the executive committee of the board of directors during the initial term, the procedure for filling vacancies on the executive committee, and the duties and authority of the executive committee (Article 92);

the right of the board of directors to delegate authority to the chief executive officer to appoint other officers and employees, to set their compensation, subject to the requirements of the Israeli Companies Law, and to remove such persons from their positions, subject to the restrictions on the removal of class A directors and class B directors (Article 99); and

the requirement for a 75% super majority vote to take any of the foregoing actions (Article 138).

Israeli law provides that a shareholder of a public company may vote in a meeting and in a class meeting by means of a voting deed in which the shareholder indicates how he or she votes on resolutions relating to the following matters:

appointment or removal of directors;

Table of Contents

approval of transactions with office holders or interested or related parties;

approval of a merger or any other matter in respect of which there is a provision in the articles of association providing that decisions of the general meeting may also be passed by voting deed;

approval of an arrangement or reorganization of the company pursuant to Section 350 of the Israeli Companies Law; and

other matters which may be prescribed by Israel's Minister of Justice.

The provision allowing the vote by voting deed does not apply if, to the best knowledge of the company at the time of calling the general shareholders meeting, a controlling shareholder will hold on the record date for such shareholders meeting, voting power sufficient to determine the outcome of the vote.

The Israeli Companies Law provides that a shareholder, in exercising his or her rights and performing his or her obligations toward the company and its other shareholders, must act in good faith and in a customary manner, and avoid abusing his or her power. This is required when voting at general meetings on matters such as changes to the articles of association, increasing the company's registered capital, mergers and approval of related party transactions.

Access to Corporate Records

Under the Israeli Companies Law and the combined company's amended articles, shareholders are provided access to the following corporate records: minutes of the combined company's general meetings; the combined company's shareholders register and principal shareholders register, articles of association and financial statements; and any document that the combined company is required by law to file publicly with the Israeli Companies Registrar or the Israel Securities Authority. In addition, shareholders may request to be provided with any document related to an action or transaction requiring shareholder approval under the related party transaction provisions of the Israeli Companies Law. The combined company may deny this request if it believes it has not been submitted in good faith or if such denial is necessary to protect the combined company's interest or protect a trade secret or patent.

Modification of Class Rights

The rights attached to any class of share, such as voting, liquidation and dividend rights, may be amended by adoption of a resolution by the holders of a majority of the shares of that class present at a separate class meeting, or otherwise in accordance with the rights attached to such class of shares, as set forth in the combined company's amended articles.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

Upon the listing of the combined company's ordinary shares for trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (at the effective time of the merger), the combined company's transfer agent and registrar in the United States will be Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company.

Registration Rights

For a discussion of the registration rights that Objet will be granting to certain of its existing significant shareholders as well as its current executive officers and directors, which will apply to the registration of shares of the combined company following the merger, please see "Agreements Entered into in Connection with the Merger Agreement Registration Rights and Lock-Up Agreement."

Table of Contents

Anti-Takeover Provisions

Under the Israeli Companies Law, the combined company will be allowed to create and issue shares having rights different from those attached to its ordinary shares, including shares providing certain preferred rights, distributions or other matters and shares having preemptive rights. As of the effective time of the merger, no preferred shares will be authorized under the combined company's amended articles. In the future, if the combined company does authorize, create and issue a specific class of preferred shares, such class of shares, depending on the specific rights that may be attached to it, may have the ability to frustrate or prevent a takeover or otherwise prevent the combined company's shareholders from realizing a potential premium over the market value of their ordinary shares. The authorization and designation of a class of preferred shares will require an amendment to the combined company's amended articles, which requires the prior approval of the holders of a majority of the voting power attaching to the combined company's issued and outstanding shares at a general meeting. The convening of the meeting, the shareholders entitled to participate and the majority vote required to be obtained at such a meeting will be subject to the requirements set forth in the Israeli Companies Law as described above under " Voting rights."

For more information, please see the section entitled "Comparison of Rights of Objet Shareholders and Stratasys Stockholders Business Combinations; Interested Shareholder Transactions; Anti-Takeover Effects" below.

**COMPARISON OF RIGHTS OF OBJET SHAREHOLDERS
AND STRATASYS STOCKHOLDERS**

The following is a summary comparison of material differences between the rights of a Stratasys stockholder and an Objet (or, after the merger, combined company) shareholder arising from the differences between the laws of the State of Delaware and of the State of Israel and the governing documents of the respective companies. Stratasys is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. Objet is (and, after the merger, the combined company will be) incorporated under the laws of the State of Israel. If the merger is completed, Stratasys stockholders' shares of Stratasys common stock will be cancelled and they will be entitled to receive Objet ordinary shares upon consummation of the merger, which are expected to trade (as shares of the combined company) on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

The following summary does not purport to be a complete statement of the rights of holders of Stratasys common stock under the applicable provisions of Delaware law, Stratasys' restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws, or the rights of holders of Objet (or, after the merger, combined company) ordinary shares under the applicable provisions of the Israeli Companies Law and Objet's (or, after the merger, the combined company's) amended articles, which are referred to below as the amended articles, or a complete description of the specific provisions referred to in this summary. This summary contains a list of the material differences but is not meant to be relied upon as an exhaustive list or a detailed description of the provisions discussed and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the laws of Delaware, the U.S. and Israel, Stratasys' restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws, and Objet's (after the merger, the combined company's) amended articles.

Copies of the governing corporate documents of Stratasys and Objet (and, after the merger, the combined company) are available, without charge, to any person, including any beneficial owner to whom this document is delivered, by following the instructions under "Where You Can Find More Information."

Table of Contents

Summary of Material Differences Between the Rights of Stratasys Stockholders and Objet/Combined Company Shareholders

	Stratasys Stockholder Rights	Objet/Combined Company Shareholder Rights
Number of Directors	<p>Under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, or the DGCL, a corporation's board of directors must consist of at least one member with the number fixed by, or in the manner provided in, the certificate of incorporation or the bylaws of the corporation.</p> <p>Under Stratasys' amended and restated by-laws, the number of directors is fixed by, or in the manner provided by, the board of directors from time to time, but in any event must be at least three. The current Stratasys board of directors consists of six directors, as was fixed by the board.</p>	<p>Under the Israeli Companies Law, a public company must have at least two statutory external directors. Under the amended articles, the number of members of the board of directors shall be between seven and eleven (including external directors under the Israeli Companies Law, or the external directors), as to be determined by the combined company's board of directors. Upon consummation of the merger, the combined company's board of directors will consist of nine directors (including two external directors).</p>
Election of Directors	<p>Directors are elected at an annual meeting of stockholders at which a quorum is present by a plurality vote.</p>	<p>Under the amended articles, directors are elected at an annual general meeting of shareholders by a majority of the participating votes cast by holders of shares present or represented by proxy. The external directors are elected by a qualified majority at a general meeting of shareholders. The votes cast in favor of the election of the external directors must include at least a majority of the votes cast by non-controlling shareholders (not including abstentions), or, in the alternative, the votes cast against the election of the external directors by non-controlling shareholders may not exceed 2% of the company's total voting power.</p>

Table of Contents

	Stratasys Stockholder Rights	Objet/Combined Company Shareholder Rights
Term and Classes of Directors	Stratasys' restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated by-laws provide for the election of all members of the board of directors at each annual meeting of stockholders. Directors so elected serve until their successors are duly elected and qualified or until the earlier of their resignation or removal.	The amended articles provide that from the effective time of the merger until the second anniversary of the effective time, the board will consist of (i) four class A directors, including one external director, appointed by Objet's current board of directors; (ii) four class B directors appointed by the current Stratasys board of directors; and (iii) one class B director, appointed by the current Stratasys board of directors and approved by the current Objet board of directors. The period between the effective time of the merger and the second anniversary of the effective time is referred to in the amended articles as the initial term. Unless the 2014 annual general meeting of shareholders is held after expiration of the initial term, the combined company will hold a special general meeting within 60 days after expiration of the initial term for the purpose of electing directors. The board of directors of the combined company, acting by a majority vote that must include the vote of two class A directors and two class B directors, will nominate between seven and 11 persons to stand for election at such meeting. Thereafter, directors of the combined company will be elected each year at the annual general meeting of shareholders. In keeping with the requirements of the Israeli Companies Law, external directors will be elected by the combined company's shareholders within the first three months following the merger for an initial three year term, which may be extended for two additional terms of three years each under certain circumstances. External directors of a NASDAQ Global Select Market-listed Israeli company, which the combined company is expected to be following the merger, may be reelected for additional periods of up to three years each (beyond the first three three-year terms), subject to the fulfillment of certain conditions, including the disclosure of the reasons of the audit committee and board of directors for the proposed reelection for the additional period to the general meeting of shareholders prior to the shareholder vote on the proposed reelection.
Removal of Directors	Under Stratasys' amended and restated by-laws, any director, or the entire board of directors, may be removed with or without cause by the holders of a majority of shares entitled to vote at an election of directors. A director may also resign by providing notice to the corporation.	Directors, other than the external directors, may be removed from office only upon: (a) resignation; (b) the vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Objet (except that during the initial term, a director nominated by either Stratasys' or Objet's current board may be removed only for cause by the unanimous vote of all other directors nominated by Stratasys or Objet, respectively); (c) conviction of certain offenses; (d) court order; or (e) bankruptcy. External directors may only be removed in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Israeli Companies Law.

Table of Contents

Vacancies on the Board

Stratasys Stockholder Rights

Under Stratasys' amended and restated by-laws, vacancies on the board of directors and newly created directorships resulting from an increase in the authorized number of directors elected by all of the stockholders having the right to vote as a single class may be filled by a majority of the directors then in office, though less than a quorum, or by the sole remaining director, or, if there are no directors in office, by the stockholders at a special meeting called for the purpose. In addition, under the DGCL, if, at the time of the filling of any vacancy or newly created directorship, the directors in office constitute less than a majority of the whole board of directors (as constituted immediately before any such increase), the Delaware Court of Chancery may, upon application of any stockholder or stockholders holding at least 10% of the total number of outstanding shares entitled to vote for such directors, summarily order an election to fill any vacancy or newly created directorship, or replace the directors chosen by the directors then in office.

**Objet/Combined Company
Shareholder Rights**

If any vacancies occur on the combined company's board of directors, the remaining directors then in office may continue to act for the remainder of the term of the vacated director so long as their number does not fall below the seven director minimum prescribed by the amended articles. If the number of directors falls below that minimum, the board of directors must either appoint additional directors or call a shareholder meeting for the election of a