

BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Form DEF 14A
October 28, 2008

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Bally Technologies, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

**6601 South Bermuda Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119**

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD DECEMBER 10, 2008

To the Stockholders:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Meeting") of Bally Technologies, Inc. (the "Company" or "Bally") will be held at Bally's principal executive offices, 6601 South Bermuda Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, on Wednesday, December 10, 2008, at 11:30 a.m. local time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect two directors for terms of three years each;
2. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2009; and
3. To consider such other matters that may be properly brought before the Meeting.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on October 10, 2008, as the record date for the determination of the stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Meeting or any adjournment(s) or postponement(s) thereof.

We hope that you are able to attend the Meeting, but, in any event, please sign, date and return promptly the enclosed proxy card in the envelope provided so that your shares of Bally common stock may be voted at the Meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Mark Lerner
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Las Vegas, Nevada
October 28, 2008

BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

INTRODUCTION

General

The enclosed proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors of Bally Technologies, Inc. ("we," "us," the "Company," or "Bally") on behalf of the Company in connection with the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company (the "Meeting") to be held at 11:30 a.m., local time, at the Company's principal executive offices, 6601 South Bermuda Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, on Wednesday, December 10, 2008, and any adjournment(s) or postponement(s) thereof. At the Meeting, stockholders will be asked to vote on the following matters:

1. To elect two directors for terms of three years each;
2. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2009; and
3. To consider such other matters that may be properly brought before the Meeting.

This Proxy Statement and accompanying proxy card were first mailed to stockholders on or about October 31, 2008.

The Company will bear the cost of the solicitation of proxies, including the charges and expenses of brokerage firms and others forwarding the solicitation materials to beneficial owners of shares of the Company's common stock. In addition to the use of the mail, directors, officers, employees and certain stockholders of the Company, none of whom will receive additional compensation therefor, may solicit proxies on behalf of the Company personally, by telephone or by facsimile transmission. The Company's principal executive offices are located at 6601 South Bermuda Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, telephone (702) 584-7700.

Proxies

All shares of Company common stock represented by the accompanying proxy card will be voted as specified by you if the proxy card is properly executed and returned. If no contrary instructions are given, such shares will be voted to elect the two director nominees named herein for terms of three years each and to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2009. Stockholders have the power to revoke a proxy at any time before it has been voted by filing with the Corporate Secretary of the Company an instrument revoking such proxy, by submitting a substitute proxy bearing a later date, or by voting in person at the Meeting.

Number of Shares of Common Stock Outstanding and Voting

Only stockholders of record of shares of Company common stock at the close of business on October 10, 2008, the record date for the Meeting fixed by the Board of Directors, are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Meeting. On that date, there were 54,809,077 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Meeting. Each share is entitled to one vote.

Transaction of Business

A majority of the outstanding shares of common stock as of the record date must be present at the Meeting in order to hold the Meeting and conduct business. This is called a "quorum." A stockholder's shares are counted as present at the Meeting if the stockholder is present at the Meeting and votes in person, if a proxy card has been properly submitted by the stockholder or on the stockholder's behalf, or in

certain limited circumstances if the stockholder has voted on the Internet or by telephone. Abstentions and broker non-votes are both counted as present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum.

"Broker non-votes" are shares of common stock held by brokers or nominees over which the broker or nominee lacks discretionary power to vote and for which the broker or nominee has not received specific voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Shares of common stock that reflect "broker non-votes" are treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote for the purposes of determining the presence of a quorum. However, for purposes of determining the outcome of any matter as to which the broker or nominee does not have discretionary authority to vote, those shares will be treated as not present and not entitled to vote with respect to that matter, even though the shares of common stock are considered entitled to vote for the purposes of determining a quorum and may be entitled to vote on other matters.

Treatment of Votes for each Proposal

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast. This means that the two individuals nominated for election to the Board of Directors who receive the most "FOR" votes will be elected. Abstentions are not counted for purposes of election of directors

To be adopted, Proposal 2 must receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock present in person or by proxy at the Meeting and entitled to vote. Abstentions have the effect of negative votes.

Important Notice Regarding Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on December 10, 2008. The proxy statement and annual report to security holders are available at www.ballytech.com/2008proxy.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information as of October 24, 2008, with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock, which constitutes the Company's only outstanding class of voting securities, by (i) each person who, to the Company's knowledge based on a review of filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), beneficially owned more than 5% of the outstanding shares of common stock, (ii) each director and each nominee for director, (iii) the Named Executive Officers (as defined under "Summary Compensation Table"), and (iv) all of the Company's executive officers and directors as a group. Except as indicated, beneficial ownership includes the sole power to vote and to dispose of the securities in question. The mailing address for each of the beneficial owners listed below is c/o Bally Technologies, Inc., 6601 South Bermuda Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, unless noted otherwise.

Beneficial Owner	Amount of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Greater than 5% Beneficial Owners		
Columbia Wanger Asset Management LP(1)	3,150,000	5.75%
Highline Capital Management, LLC(2)	3,118,600	5.69%
Directors		
Jacques André(3)	381,412	*
Robert Guido(4)	69,232	*
David Robbins(5)	622,558	1.13%
Kevin Verner(6)	339,905	*
Named Executive Officers		
Richard Haddrill(7)	1,771,282	3.17%
Robert Caller(8)	123,197	*
Michael Gavin Isaacs(9)	164,859	*
Ramesh Srinivasan(10)	287,999	*
Mark Lerner (11)	32,668	*
Robert Luciano(12)	1,145,095	2.06%
All executive officers and directors as a group(13)	3,858,002	6.58%

*

Less than 1%

(1)

Columbia Wanger Asset Management LP's address is 227 West Monroe Street, Suite 3000, Chicago, Illinois 60606. Information with respect to Columbia Wanger Asset Management LP is based solely on a review of the Schedule 13G/A filed by Columbia Wanger Asset Management LP on January 24, 2008.

(2)

Highline Capital Management, LLC's address is One Rockefeller Plaza, 30th Floor, New York, New York 10020. Information with respect to Highline Capital Management, LLC is based solely on a review of the Schedule 13G filed by Highline Capital Management, LLC on February 13, 2008.

(3)

Includes 36,026 shares of common stock owned and 345,386 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.

(4)

Includes 17,882 shares of common stock owned and 51,350 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.

(5)

Includes 155,316 shares of common stock owned and 467,242 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days. Excludes shares of common stock held by a trust for the benefit of Mr. Robbins's

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

children for which Mr. Robbins does not act as a trustee, and for which shares Mr. Robbins has no voting or investment control or pecuniary interest and therefore disclaims beneficial ownership.

- (6) Includes 26,663 shares of common stock owned and 313,242 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.
- (7) Includes 105,411 shares of common stock owned, 533,537 restricted stock units ("RSUs") that have vested or will vest within 60 days and 1,132,334 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.
- (8) Includes 3,197 shares of common stock owned and 120,000 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.
- (9) Includes 89,859 shares of common stock owned and 75,000 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.
- (10) Includes 52,999 shares of common stock owned and 235,000 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.
- (11) Includes 5,584 shares of common stock owned and 27,084 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.
- (12) Includes 425,095 shares of common stock owned and 720,000 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.
- (13) Includes 515,827 shares of common stock owned, 533,537 RSUs that have vested or will vest within 60 days and 2,808,638 shares of common stock subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days.

Proposal No. 1:**ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

Stockholders will be asked to elect two directors to serve on the Board of Directors at the Meeting. The Company's bylaws provide that the Board of Directors shall consist of no fewer than three nor more than nine directors, with the exact number to be fixed by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors has fixed the number of directors at five, two of whom will be elected at the Meeting. The Company's bylaws divide the Board of Directors into three classes as nearly equal in number as possible, with the three-year terms of office of each class ending in different years.

Kevin Verner and Robert Guido have been nominated to serve as directors for terms of three years, each to serve until his respective successor has been elected and has qualified. Each nominee has indicated his willingness to serve, if elected. No stockholder nominations for director were received in connection with the Meeting.

Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named. If a stockholder signs and returns the accompanying proxy card, the stockholder's shares will be voted for the election of the two nominees recommended by the Board of Directors, unless the stockholder marks the proxy card in such a manner as to withhold authority to vote for one or both nominees. If any nominee for any reason is unable to serve or will not serve, the proxies may be voted for such substitute nominee as the proxy holder may determine. The Company is not aware of any nominee who will be unable to or will not serve as a director.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the two nominees and each other director of the Company whose term of office does not expire at the Meeting. For further information about the members of the Board of Directors, their business experience, and other pertinent information, please see "Directors, Executive Officers and Other Significant Employees" below.

Nominees for Director	Age	Director Since	Term Expires
Kevin Verner	50	2001	2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders
Robert Guido	62	2006	2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Continuing Directors	Age	Director Since	Term Expires
David Robbins	49	1997	2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders
Jacques André	71	1996	2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders
Richard Hadrill	55	2003	2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

The Board of Directors recommends that stockholders vote "FOR" Messrs. Verner and Guido.

Directors, Executive Officers and Other Significant Employees

The following sets forth information about the members of the Board of Directors, certain of the Company's executive officers and significant employees and their business experience, and other pertinent information.

Richard Hadrill. Mr. Hadrill became a director in April 2003 and, effective October 1, 2004, was appointed Chief Executive Officer. Prior to becoming the Company's CEO, Mr. Hadrill most recently served as CEO and as a member of the board of directors of Manhattan Associates, Inc., a global leader in software solutions to the supply chain industry. He continued to serve as Vice Chairman of the board of Manhattan Associates until May 2006. Mr. Hadrill also served as President and CEO and as a member of the board of directors of Powerhouse Technologies, Inc., a technology and gaming company, from September 1996 to June 1999, when Powerhouse was acquired by Anchor Gaming. Mr. Hadrill currently serves on the board of directors of TrueDemand Software, Inc. Mr. Hadrill previously served on the boards of directors of Danka Business Products, a digital imaging systems products provider and services

producer from June 2002 to October 2004, and Outlooksoft, a provider of corporate performance management solutions from May 2003 to June 2005.

David Robbins. Mr. Robbins served as a director of the Company from July 1994 to September 1997 and as Chairman of the Board from February through September 1997 and then rejoined Bally as a director and Chairman of the Board in December 1997. From 1984 to 2004, he practiced corporate, securities and real estate law as an associate and then partner at various law firms. Mr. Robbins was also licensed as a Certified Public Accountant (inactive status) in the state of New York. Since January 1996, Mr. Robbins has co-managed private equity investments in the health care and real estate fields. He serves on the boards or steering committees of Columbia University Medical Center's Science and Technology Council, the McCarton Foundation, the NYU Comprehensive Epilepsy Center and various private companies in which the health care fund that he co-manages has made investments.

Jacques André. Mr. André became a director in August 1996. Mr. André previously served as a Vice President of A.T. Kearney Executive Search, a global management consulting firm, from October 2002 to his retirement in February 2005. From 1975 to 2002, Mr. André was a partner and from 1980 to 2002 he was a member of the board of directors of Ray & Berndtson, Inc., an international executive search firm. From 1997 to 2003, Mr. André served as a member of the board of directors for the Association of Executive Search Consultants.

Robert Guido. Mr. Guido became a director in December 2006. Mr. Guido retired from Ernst & Young ("E&Y") where he was Vice Chair and Chief Executive Officer of E&Y's Assurance and Advisory Practice. In this role, he was responsible for overall business strategy and had significant dealings with both the Securities and Exchange Commission and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board on behalf of the firm. During his 38-year career at E&Y, Mr. Guido also co-chaired the firm's global client steering committee and served as a senior advisory or engagement partner to numerous global companies. Since April 2007, Mr. Guido has served as a member of the board of directors of Commercial Metals Company, a manufacturer, recycler and distributor of steel and metal products globally. Since May 2007, Mr. Guido has also been a member of the Board of Trustees and chairman of the audit committee of Siena College, a liberal arts college near Albany, NY.

Kevin Verner. Mr. Verner became a director in April 2001. From 1997 to 2000, Mr. Verner held various positions with WMS Industries, Inc. ("WMS"), a gaming equipment company, the last of which was Chief Operating Officer. Prior to his employment at WMS, Mr. Verner was Vice President of New Business Development at R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., where he held various marketing and senior management positions for sixteen years. Since 2000, Mr. Verner has been a consultant and provides interim management to early-stage companies, including financial planning, securing seed funding, management recruitment and development of operating budgets, and pro forma financial projections. Mr. Verner is also a CEO advisor for the Chicago-based venture fund Alpha Capital Fund III, and provides consultation on enterprise valuation and due diligence for consumer products investments.

Robert C. Caller. Mr. Caller, age 58, joined Bally in April 2006 as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. From 1983 to 2006, he was a partner at E&Y, most recently working in the Denver office, where he served several publicly held companies as well as several companies involved in the gaming industry. He started his career with E&Y in the Houston office in 1972, and was the managing partner of E&Y's Albuquerque office from 1990 to 1991 before transferring to the Denver office. Mr. Caller is a certified public accountant.

Michael Gavin Isaacs. Mr. Isaacs, age 44, joined Bally in September 2006 as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. From 1999 to 2006, Mr. Isaacs worked for Aristocrat Leisure Limited ("Aristocrat"), a gaming equipment and systems company. During his tenure with Aristocrat, he served in key management positions, including General Manager, Global Marketing and Business Development, and Managing Director, Europe. In March 2003, he was named to the position of Americas President.

Before joining Aristocrat, Mr. Isaacs was a partner with the Australia law firm Phillips Fox. Mr. Isaacs is a trustee of the International Association of Gaming Attorneys.

Ramesh Srinivasan. Mr. Srinivasan, age 48, joined Bally in March 2005 as Executive Vice President of Bally Systems. Mr. Srinivasan is responsible for the Company's worldwide Systems business including sales, product development, implementation services and customer support. From 1998 to 2005, Mr. Srinivasan held several positions including Executive Vice President of Warehouse Management Systems from 2003 to 2005 at Manhattan Associates, Inc., a global leader in software solutions to the supply chain industry.

Mark Lerner. Mr. Lerner, age 59, joined Bally in December 1996 as Assistant General Counsel and was appointed General Counsel in 2000. Mr. Lerner has practiced law since 1980. Over the course of his career, Mr. Lerner has served as a deputy attorney general for the Nevada Gaming Commission and State of Nevada Gaming Control Board, general counsel to Becker Gaming, Inc., a Las Vegas gaming company, and, from 1987 to 1994, an attorney at Jones, Jones, Close & Brown (now Jones Vargas), a Las Vegas commercial and litigation law firm.

Robert Luciano. Mr. Luciano, age 50, joined Bally in March 2004 in connection with the Company's acquisition of Sierra Design Group ("SDG") and became the Company's Chief Technology Officer on October 1, 2004. In December 2007 Mr. Luciano's employment was modified to allow for the devotion of up to 60% of his business time to interests other than the business of Bally. Mr. Luciano founded SDG in 1996 as a research, development and consulting company focusing on the gaming industry. Prior to founding SDG, Mr. Luciano was employed by International Game Technology ("IGT") in the position of Vice President of Advanced Engineering. Prior to joining IGT, Mr. Luciano held several engineering positions with a variety of companies including Soabar, a division of Avery International, and Mobil Oil Corporation.

Neil Davidson. Mr. Davidson, age 36, joined Bally in February 2006 as Vice President of Corporate Accounting and was appointed Chief Accounting Officer in May 2008. From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Davidson was the Vice President of Finance for Multimedia Games, Inc., a gaming equipment and systems company. Mr. Davidson began his career with KPMG in the Houston office, holding several positions during his tenure, the last of which was Audit Manager. Mr. Davidson is a certified public accountant.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, the Board of Directors held sixteen meetings. Each director attended at least 75 percent of the aggregate of all meetings of the Board of Directors and all committee meetings on which such person served during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. It is the Company's practice to hold the annual meeting of stockholders on the same date as a regularly scheduled board meeting to facilitate directors' attendance at the annual meeting of stockholders. All five directors attended the Company's 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Company's corporate governance guidelines require that the non-employee directors hold regularly scheduled executive sessions without management present, as required by Section 303A.03 of the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") Listed Company Manual. The Board of Directors has designated that the Chairman of the Board shall serve as presiding director of executive sessions of non-employee directors, unless the Chairman is a member of management, in which case the non-employee directors will select the presiding director. The Chairman, Mr. Robbins, a non-employee director, currently serves as the presiding director.

Director Independence

As part of its Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board of Directors has adopted Independence Guidelines, which are attached to this Proxy Statement as *Appendix A*. The Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that Messrs. André, Guido, Robbins, and Verner are independent under Section 303A.02 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual and the Company's Independence Guidelines. The Board has also affirmatively determined that no relationships exist between any independent director and the Company that, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, would interfere with the exercise of such director's independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. The NYSE's independence definition also includes a series of objective tests, such as that the director is not an employee of the Company and has not engaged in various types of business dealings involving the Company that would prevent a director from being independent. None of the Company's independent directors has any relationship that violates these tests.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has a standing Audit Committee, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and Compensation Committee.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is comprised of Messrs. Guido (Chair), Robbins, and Verner. The Audit Committee, among other things, reviews and engages an independent accounting firm to audit the Company's financial statements; reviews and determines the policies and procedures of the Company and management in maintaining the Company's books and records and furnishing information necessary to the independent auditors; reviews and determines the adequacy and implementation of the Company's internal controls, including the internal audit function and the adequacy and competency of the relevant personnel; and reviews and determines such other matters relating to the Company's financial affairs and accounts as the Audit Committee may in its discretion deem desirable.

The Audit Committee is governed by a charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The charter is available on the Company's website at www.ballytech.com by following the links to "Investor Relations" and "Governance" or upon written request to the Company, as set forth below under "Additional Information." The Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that Messrs. Guido, Robbins, and Verner are independent under the Company's Independence Guidelines and Section 303A.02 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, and are financially literate, as required by Section 303A.07(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, as such qualification is interpreted by the Company's Board of Directors in its business judgment. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Guido and Robbins are audit committee financial experts, as defined by Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K. The Board of Directors made this determination based on Messrs. Guido's and Robbins's respective qualifications and business experience, as briefly described above under "Election of Directors Directors, Executive Officers and Other Significant Employees." The Audit Committee met ten times during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors is comprised of Messrs. André (Chair), Guido, and Robbins. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, among other things, makes recommendations to the Board of Directors on all matters concerning the selection of candidates as nominees for election as directors; aids in attracting qualified candidates to serve on the Board of Directors; monitors and oversees the functions and operations of the committees of the Board of Directors; and periodically reviews the Company's corporate governance principles, policies, and practices.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is governed by a charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The charter is available on the Company's website at www.ballytech.com by following the links to "Investor Relations" and "Governance" or upon written request to the Company, as set forth

below under "Additional Information." The Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that Messrs. André, Guido, and Robbins are independent under the Company's Independence Guidelines and Section 303A.02 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met five times during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is comprised of Messrs. André, Robbins, and Verner (Chair). The Compensation Committee, among other things, reviews and approves the Company's executive compensation philosophy; approves all executive compensation plans and structures; approves annual and long-term incentive performance metrics, as well as payouts thereunder; approves compensation for the Company's executives, as well as senior management of the Company's subsidiaries; and approves bonus criteria and incentives, including stock options and payouts for employee-directors.

The Compensation Committee is governed by a charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The charter is available on the Company's website at www.ballytech.com by following the links to "Investor Relations" and "Governance" or upon written request to the Company, as set forth below under "Additional Information." The Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that Messrs. André, Robbins, and Verner are independent under the Company's Independence Guidelines and Section 303A.02 of the NYSE's Listed Company Manual. The Compensation Committee met eight times during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

Corporate Governance

The Company monitors developments in the area of corporate governance and routinely reviews its processes and procedures in light of such developments. Accordingly, the Company reviews federal laws affecting corporate governance such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 as well as various rules promulgated by the SEC and the NYSE. The Company believes that it has procedures and practices in place which are designed to enhance and protect the interests of its stockholders.

Corporate Governance Guidelines. In furtherance of this practice, the Board of Directors has approved Corporate Governance Guidelines for the Company. The Corporate Governance Guidelines address, among other things:

composition of the Board of Directors;

separation of the roles of Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer;

how nominees for director are selected;

orientation and continuing education for directors;

meetings of the Board of Directors, including executive sessions of non-employee directors;

policies and principles for selecting a successor to the Chief Executive Officer as well as other members of management;

expectations of directors; and

annual performance evaluation of the Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer.

The full text of the Corporate Governance Guidelines is available by following links to "Investor Relations" and "Governance" on the Company's website www.ballytech.com, or upon written request to the Company, as set forth below under "Additional Information."

Code of Ethics. The Board of Directors has also adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct applicable to all of the Company's employees, including the chief executive, chief financial and principal accounting officers, as well as the Company's directors (the "Code of Ethics"). The Code of Ethics, along

with the Corporate Governance Guidelines, serves as the foundation for the Company's system of corporate governance. Among other things, the Code of Ethics:

provides guidance for maintaining ethical behavior;

requires that directors, officers and employees comply with applicable laws and regulations;

addresses how individuals should handle Company assets and opportunities;

expressly prohibits conflicts of interest; and

provides mechanisms for reporting violations of the Company's policies and procedures.

In the event the Company makes any amendment to, or grants any waiver from, a provision of the Code of Ethics that applies to the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer or principal accounting officer that requires disclosure under applicable SEC rules, the Company intends to disclose such amendment or waiver and the reasons therefor on the Company's website www.ballytech.com. The full text of the Code of Ethics is available by following links to "Investor Relations" and "Governance" on the Company's website www.ballytech.com, or upon written request to the Company, as set forth below under "Additional Information."

Directors' Compensation

The Company's directors who are also employees generally are not separately compensated for their services as directors. Mr. Hadrill, the only director who is also an employee, did not receive separate compensation for his services as a director during fiscal year 2008.

The following table sets forth the compensation earned by the Company's directors in respect of their services as such during fiscal year 2008:

Name	Fiscal Year	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards(1)(2) (\$)	Option Awards(1)(2)(3) (\$)	Total (\$)
Jacques André	2008	65,000	166,635	125,067	356,702
Robert Guido	2008	80,000	166,635	125,067	371,702
David Robbins	2008	250,000	166,635	125,067	541,702
Kevin Verner	2008	77,500	201,257	125,067	403,824

(1) Amounts shown reflect the dollar value recognized, before forfeiture assumptions, by the Company for financial statement reporting purposes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. Assumptions used to determine these values can be found in Note 10, Share-Based Compensation, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

(2) The grant date fair value of the equity awards granted in fiscal 2008 to each of Mr. André, Mr. Guido, Mr. Robbins and Mr. Verner, computed in accordance with SFAS No. 123R, was \$124,960 for stock awards and \$125,067 for option awards.

(3)

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

The number of stock options outstanding for the non-employee directors as of June 30, 2008 were as follows: Mr. André, 345,386; Mr. Guido, 51,350; Mr. Robbins, 467,242; and Mr. Verner, 313,242.

Subject to certain exceptions discussed below under " Other Arrangements," each non-employee director receives \$50,000 per year plus \$5,000 per year for each committee on which such non-employee director serves, except that the Chairman of the Audit Committee receives \$25,000 per year and the

Chairman of each other committee receives \$10,000 per year for committee service. Each non-employee director also receives an annual equity grant comprised of \$125,000 worth of restricted stock which vests over one year, and \$125,000 worth of stock options which vest upon grant. The number of stock options is determined by dividing \$125,000 by the then current Black-Scholes fair value of a share of the Company's common stock at the date of the grant. The equity grants are adjusted pro rata for directors appointed during the preceding calendar year. New non-employee directors receive a grant of 50,000 stock options upon appointment to the Board of Directors, which vest in equal installments over a three-year period. All vested options granted to directors remain exercisable for the full term of the director's appointment or election, whether or not the director continues to serve as a director, unless the director resigns or is removed before the expiration of his or her term, in which event the options expire sixty days after resignation or removal.

Beginning January 1, 2008, each non-employee member of the Office of the Chairman receives \$25,000 per year. Prior to January 1, 2008, the non-employee members of the Office of the Chairman, Messrs. Robbins and Verner, were compensated for such service pursuant to the agreements described below under " Other Arrangements." Also beginning on January 1, 2008, the Chairman of the Board of Directors' compensation was modified to provide for the same fees and equity awards made to the other non-employee directors as well as an additional annual fee of \$85,000. Prior to January 1, 2008, the Chairman of the Board of Directors, Mr. Robbins, was compensated for such service pursuant to the agreement described below under " Other Arrangements." In August 2008, the Board of Directors modified the fee for membership in the Chief Technology Office and the Compliance Committee to \$5,000 per year. To encourage stock ownership, the Board of Directors also approved a plan in December 2007 pursuant to which non-employee directors may elect to receive restricted stock, which vest over one year, in lieu of fees. For calendar year 2008, the average closing stock price during the ten-day trading period prior to the election will be used to determine the number of shares of restricted stock.

Directors are also reimbursed for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred on Company business. The Company may grant both employee and non-employee directors additional cash compensation and options as time commitments, responsibilities and other circumstances may warrant.

Other Arrangements. Effective July 1, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Robbins pursuant to which he agreed to serve as the Chairman of the Board of Directors and as a member of the Office of the Chairman. Pursuant to this agreement, Mr. Robbins received, in lieu of any other fees, total fees of \$325,000 per year for such services. Upon termination of this agreement on December 31, 2007, Mr. Robbins was compensated pursuant to the policies set forth above.

In November 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Verner pursuant to which he agreed to serve as a member of the Office of the Chairman until December 31, 2007. Pursuant to this appointment, Mr. Verner received fees of \$75,000 for such services, payable in restricted stock, with the number of shares determined based on the average closing price for the twenty-day trading period preceding the agreement.

Share Ownership Guidelines. The Board of Directors has adopted a formal share ownership policy and guidelines for non-employee directors. The guidelines specify that each non-employee director shall acquire and hold common stock valued at twice the annual base compensation paid to the director at the time of the director's appointment or election to the Board of Directors. The policy further provides that purchases under the policy be made within three years of the director's appointment, election or adoption date of the policy with the director holding at least that amount of stock during the director's tenure.

Director Nomination Process

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, with the assistance of a third-party search firm, identifies candidates for director nominees. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers a number of factors including the following criteria in identifying, evaluating and recommending

director nominees to the Board, including those candidates nominated by the Company's stockholders: the candidate's personal qualities and characteristics, accomplishments and reputation in the business community; the candidate's current knowledge and contacts in the communities in which the Company does business, in the gaming industry and in other industries relevant to the Company's business; the candidate's ability and willingness to commit adequate time to matters of the Board of Directors and committees; the fit of the candidate's skills and personality with those of other directors and potential directors in building an effective, collegial, and responsive Board of Directors; and the overall diversity of viewpoints, background, experience, and other demographics of the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Company's Bylaws, the Company's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will also consider stockholder nominations of candidates for director, assuming the satisfaction of certain requirements regarding the nomination, as set forth below under "Stockholder Proposals and Nominations for Director Stockholder Nominations for Director."

Communication with the Board of Directors

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate directly with individual directors, the Board of Directors as a group, the presiding director of executive sessions of non-employee directors, or with non-employee directors as a group, by writing to Board of Directors, Bally Technologies, Inc., 6601 South Bermuda Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, or by email to *boardofdirectors@ballytech.com*, indicating to whose attention the communication should be directed. All communications will be received and processed by the Company's legal department. Unless indicated otherwise, communications about accounting, internal controls, and audits will be referred to the Audit Committee and all other communications will be referred to the Chairman of the Board of Directors. You may communicate anonymously if you wish.

Individuals may submit at any time a good faith complaint regarding any questionable accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters concerning the Company without fear of dismissal or retaliation of any kind. Anonymous reports may be made confidentially:

1. By Company employees pursuant to the Company's Values Line hotline; or
2. By all other individuals by either (i) writing to Chair of the Audit Committee, Bally Technologies, Inc., 6601 South Bermuda Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, in an envelope marked confidential; or (ii) sending an email to *boardofdirectors@ballytech.com* with a reference to "confidential accounting related matters" in the subject line.

Proposal No. 2:

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

At the Meeting, a vote will be taken on a proposal by the Board of Directors to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2009. Deloitte & Touche LLP has audited the Company's financial statements beginning with the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001.

A representative of Deloitte & Touche LLP will be present at the Meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if he so desires, and is expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The Board of Directors recommends that stockholders vote "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

Fees paid to the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed by the Company's principal independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates (collectively, the "Deloitte Entities") for services provided during fiscal years 2008 and 2007:

	2008	2007
Audit fees	\$5,127,305	\$4,864,623
Audit-related fees(1)	48,675	45,355
Tax fees	1,356,852(2)	829,026(3)
All other fees		
Total fees	\$6,532,832	\$5,739,004

-
- (1) Consists primarily of fees paid for accounting and auditing consultation services and audits of the Company's employee benefits plans.
- (2) Consists primarily of fees paid for tax compliance, consultation and preparation services.
- (3) Consists primarily of fees paid for tax compliance and preparation services and tax consultation relating to the acquisition or disposition of certain subsidiaries.

The Audit Committee reviews and approves all services to be provided by the Deloitte Entities. The Audit Committee has considered the effect of non-audit services on the independence of the Deloitte Entities, and does not believe that such independence has been impaired or otherwise compromised.

Pre-Approval Policy

Pursuant to the Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures for certain audit and non-audit services, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm cannot be engaged to provide any audit and non-audit services to the Company unless the engagement is pre-approved by the Audit Committee in compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. All services related to the fees set forth in the table above were pre-approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to this pre-approval policy.

Report of the Audit Committee

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

The Audit Committee reviews the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors. Management has the primary responsibility with respect to the financial statements and the reporting process of the Company, and the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is

responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the Company's audited financial statements to generally accepted accounting principles. The Audit Committee hereby reports as follows:

1. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, with the Company's management.
2. The Audit Committee has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, the matters required to be discussed by the statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T, among other things.
3. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Deloitte & Touche LLP required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, *Independence Discussions with Audit Committees*), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3600T, and has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP their independence.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors has approved, that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, for filing with the SEC.

Respectfully submitted,

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Robert Guido, Chairman
David Robbins
Kevin Verner

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Company's Compensation Philosophy & Objectives

The Company's compensation program for executive officers, which has been endorsed by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, is designed to enhance stockholder value by tying a large portion of senior executive compensation to the Company's overall performance, as reflected in the value of the Company's common stock. In furtherance of this objective, the Company provides competitive opportunities with respect to salary, annual incentive/bonus, and grants of long-term incentives upon hire and periodically during the term of employment in comparison to both peer organizations within the gaming and technology industries and similarly sized companies. The Company believes this compensation structure enables the Company to attract and retain key executives critical to the Company's long-term vision and success, and to motivate its executives to enhance long-term stockholder value by focusing on growth, productivity, profitability and operating margins.

Role of the Compensation Committee

General. The Compensation Committee, which is comprised of three independent members of the Company's Board of Directors, as discussed in greater detail under "Board of Directors Director Independence" is responsible for, among other things,

The review and approval of the Company's compensation philosophy;

The approval of all executive compensation plans and structures, including that of the Company's and its subsidiaries' executives and senior management;

The approval of bonus criteria and annual and long-term incentive performance metrics, as well as payouts thereunder; and

The approval of other executive benefit plans, including perquisites and retirement benefits.

The Compensation Committee, in consultation with Pearl Meyer & Partners, the independent executive compensation consultant it has retained, also analyzes the reasonableness of the Company's overall executive compensation package. The Compensation Committee's functions are more fully set forth in its charter which has been approved by the Board of Directors, as discussed under "Board of Directors Committees of the Board of Directors Compensation Committee."

While the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executive officers may attend meetings of the Compensation Committee, the ultimate decisions regarding executive officer compensation are made solely by the Compensation Committee. These decisions are based not only on the Compensation Committee's deliberations, but also from input requested from outside advisors, including its independent compensation consultant, with respect to, among other things, market data analyses. The final decisions relating to the Chief Executive Officer's compensation are made in executive session of the Compensation Committee without the presence of management. Decisions regarding the other executive officers are typically made by the Compensation Committee after considering recommendations from the Chief Executive Officer.

Compensation Consultants. The Compensation Committee historically engages the services of an independent compensation consulting firm to advise it in connection with making executive compensation determinations. The Compensation Committee retained the services of Pearl Meyer & Partners with respect to fiscal years 2008 and year-to-date 2009. The Chairman of the Compensation Committee defines the scope of any consultant's engagement. The responsibilities may include, among other things, advising on issues of executive or Board of Directors compensation, equity compensation structure or preparing compensation disclosure for inclusion in the Company's SEC filings.

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

The Compensation Committee retained Pearl Meyer & Partners directly. However, in fulfilling its responsibilities, Pearl Meyer & Partners may interact with management or the Company's other outside advisors to the extent necessary or appropriate. The Company's management did not retain Pearl Meyer & Partners to perform any consulting or advisory services in fiscal year 2008 and retained Pearl Meyer & Partners for limited consulting and advisory services, totaling less than \$5,000, during fiscal year-to-date 2009.

Compensation Structure

Although the final structure may vary from year to year and officer to officer, the Compensation Committee utilizes three main components for executive officer compensation:

Base Salary fixed pay that takes into account an individual's duties and responsibilities, experience, expertise and individual performance;

Annual Incentive/Bonus, for officers other than the Chief Executive Officer variable cash compensation that takes into account both the Company's and the individual's performance; and

Long-Term Incentives share-based awards including both stock options and restricted stock that reflect the performance of the Company's common stock and align executive officer and stockholder interests.

For fiscal year 2008 and year-to-date 2009, the final level and mix of compensation was based on the Compensation Committee's understanding of the objective data relating to the Company's competitive environment and the Company's performance, as well as the subjective factors outlined below.

Pay Mix. The Compensation Committee believes that the particular elements of compensation identified above produce a well-balanced mix of security-oriented compensation, retention value, and at-risk compensation that provide the executive officers with both short-term and long-term performance incentives. Base pay provides the executive officer with a measure of security as to the minimum level of compensation he or she will receive while the annual and long-term incentive components motivate the executive officer to focus on the business metrics that will produce a high level of Company performance over the long-term. The Compensation Committee believes that this approach not only leads to increases in stockholder value and long-term wealth creation for the executive, but also reduces the risk of losing top executives to competitors.

Further, the Compensation Committee believes the components of executive compensation should be weighted towards at-risk pay. This allocation is consistent with the Compensation Committee's overall philosophy with respect to the Named Executive Officers, as defined under "Executive Compensation Summary Compensation Table," of pay-for-performance. When past equity compensation awards are combined with the contractual salary and targeted bonuses for the term of each Named Executive Officers' employment agreement (or the vesting schedule of the Named Executive Officer's equity award upon hire), the aggregate base salary payable to each Named Executive Officer over such period comprises between 17% and 49% of the aggregate value of each officer's targeted compensation for the period. The individual components of each of the Named Executive Officer's compensation package are described in more detail below under "Individual Named Executive Officer Compensation."

Compensation Levels and Benchmarking. Overall compensation levels for executives are determined based on one or more of the following factors: the individual's duties and responsibilities within the Company, the individual's experience and expertise, the compensation levels for the individual's peers within the Company, compensation levels for similar positions in the industry or in the technology industry more generally, performance of the individual and the Company as a whole, and the levels of compensation necessary to recruit new executives. The Company does not attempt to target a particular pay positioning for executive officers individually or as a group. Rather, the Company assesses each executive on the previously identified factors to determine appropriate levels of compensation. The Company and the Compensation Committee believe that the resulting compensation package is competitive.

The gaming and gaming systems industries are highly competitive, and have a limited pool of executive officer candidates with the desired level of industry experience. The Compensation Committee considers information from a variety of sources when assessing the competitiveness of the Company's current and future compensation levels. These sources include, but are not limited to, management, other members of the Board of Directors, publicly available compensation data regarding executive officers both within and outside the industry, what the Company understands of compensation arrangements of other local companies, and opinions from the Compensation Committee's consultants. The Compensation Committee believes that these sources provide it with an understanding of the competitive marketplace for executive talent among the Company's principal competitors, including: International Game Technology, Aristocrat Leisure, GTECH Holdings, Konami, Progressive Gaming International, Shuffle Master, and WMS Industries. In making compensation decisions for the Named Executive Officers for fiscal years 2008 and year-to-date 2009, the Compensation Committee reviewed the terms and conditions of each Named Executive Officer's employment agreement, each of which provides for a set level of base salary and annual incentive opportunity, as well as long-term incentives and benefits. To the extent not governed by contractual commitments, compensation decisions for the Named Executive Officers were made based on the Compensation Committee's understanding of the market pay for similar positions, internal equity, changes in the price of a share of the Company's common stock to the extent it influenced outstanding equity grants, and the amount of unvested outstanding equity grants.

Individual Named Executive Officer Compensation

Base Salary. The Compensation Committee annually reviews each executive's base salary, and determines whether such individual deserves any increases or decreases thereto. These reviews include considerations of, among other things, factors such as the Company's overall performance, new duties and/or responsibilities assumed by the executive, the overall performance of the executive's area of responsibility, the executive's impact on strategic goals, and the executive's length of service with the Company. However, there is no specific weighting applied to any one factor in setting the level of base salary, and the process ultimately relies on the subjective exercise of the Compensation Committee's judgment.

Each of the Named Executive Officers was hired pursuant to an employment agreement which established each Named Executive Officer's initial salary. Each employment agreement was the result of negotiation between the Company and the Named Executive Officer. Although the Compensation Committee has the ability to modify each executive's salary, none of the Named Executive Officers' salaries were modified in fiscal year 2008 except through amendments to their respective employment agreements. In consideration for Mr. Luciano's reduced time commitment, his salary was reduced upon amendment to his employment agreement, which allows for Mr. Luciano's devotion of up to 60% of his business time to interests other than the business of Bally.

During fiscal year 2009, the Compensation Committee assessed each of the Named Executive Officers' (other than Mr. Hadrill) current salary levels in light of the Compensation Committee's understanding of the competitive marketplace, the Company's performance for fiscal year 2008, each individual's contributions to the Company's performance, and outstanding employment arrangements. The Compensation Committee approved adjustments for several of the Named Executive Officers.

The following is a brief description of each Named Executive Officer's contractual provisions with respect to base salary:

Richard Hadrill. On June 30, 2004, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Hadrill (the "Hadrill Agreement") pursuant to which Mr. Hadrill began serving as Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to the Hadrill Agreement, he received a base salary of \$980,000. On June 21, 2006, Mr. Hadrill's base salary was increased to \$998,000.

Robert Caller. On March 13, 2006, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Caller (the "Caller Agreement") pursuant to which Mr. Caller began serving as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. The Caller Agreement provided for a minimum base salary of \$340,000. On October 1, 2008, Mr. Caller's base salary was increased to \$365,000.

Michael Gavin Isaacs. On June 19, 2006, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Isaacs (the "Isaacs Agreement") pursuant to which Mr. Isaacs began serving as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. The Isaacs' Agreement provided for a minimum base salary of \$340,000. On September 1, 2008, Mr. Isaacs' base salary was increased to \$600,000. Mr. Isaacs' salary was increased due to his and the Company's performance, and in consideration for extending his contract's non-competition provisions

Ramesh Srinivasan. On March 9, 2005, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Srinivasan (the "Srinivasan Agreement") pursuant to which Mr. Srinivasan began serving as Executive Vice President for Bally's Systems Division. The Srinivasan Agreement provided for a minimum base salary of \$250,000 with an increase to \$275,000 on January 1, 2006. On October 1, 2008, Mr. Srinivasan's base salary was increased to \$350,000.

Mark Lerner. On August 15, 2000, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Lerner (the "Lerner Agreement") pursuant to which Mr. Lerner began serving as Senior Vice President of Law and Government Affairs, General Counsel and Secretary. The Lerner Agreement provided for a minimum base salary of \$220,000. On October 1, 2008, Mr. Lerner's base salary was increased to \$270,000.

Robert Luciano. On March 2, 2004, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Luciano (the "Luciano Agreement") pursuant to which Mr. Luciano began serving as Chief Technology Officer. The Luciano Agreement provided for a minimum base salary of \$250,000. Upon amendment to his agreement on December 31, 2007, Mr. Luciano's base salary was decreased to \$150,000 to allow for Mr. Luciano's devotion of up to 60% of his business time to interests other than the business of Bally.

Annual Cash Incentive Programs. The Company believes that an incentive program tied to annual performance is an effective means of motivating and rewarding executives to enhance long-term stockholder value. This structure is accomplished by providing annual cash incentives under the Company's Management Incentive Plan ("MIP"). Each of the Named Executive Officers participates, or is eligible to participate in, the MIP except for Mr. Hadrill and Mr. Luciano. The Company and Mr. Hadrill determined upon Mr. Hadrill's hiring, to more effectively link his compensation to the success of the implementation of intermediate and long-term strategies as well as stockholder returns, that the most desirable compensation program for him would include substantial equity incentive grants up front with multi-year service requirements, and in some cases, performance contingencies. As of fiscal year end 2008, outstanding equity awards have only service-based requirements remaining. For the remaining executives, annual performance bonuses are tied to the Company's overall performance, as well as both the performance of each individual executive and the performance of his or her area of responsibility.

Under the MIP, the Compensation Committee establishes a target incentive opportunity, the range of possible incentive awards, the performance measures used, and the level of performance which will correspond to a particular incentive payment, all of which the Compensation Committee uses to determine an executive's annual cash incentive awards. Target incentive opportunities under the MIP for each of the Named Executive Officers, other than Mr. Hadrill and Mr. Luciano, are 60% of base salary. This award level can vary between a maximum payout of 102% of salary, a threshold payout of 24% of salary, and \$0. For fiscal year 2008, the performance goals which affected potential payouts for the Named Executive Officers were as follows: (i) 70% of each executive's award was tied to the Company's performance, which performance, if achieved at the maximum level, would result in a payout equal to 84% of such executive's base salary; and (ii) 30% of each executive's award was tied to individual goals as determined by the Chief

Executive Officer, which goals, if achieved at the maximum level, would result in a payout equal to 18% of such executive's base salary. Under the MIP, the Company may elect to distribute any award in restricted stock. The Company may modify, amend or eliminate the MIP at any time.

The Compensation Committee sets and approves certain financial or operational objectives for determining the portion of bonuses that are tied to Company performance. Threshold Company performance must be met to receive a payout. For fiscal year 2007, the Company chose adjusted earnings per share, defined as diluted earnings per share ("Diluted EPS") as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles plus the after tax cost of share-based compensation as determined in accordance with SFAS No.123R, *Share-Based Payments*, as the Company performance measure. For fiscal year 2008, the Compensation Committee, after discussions with management, chose to eliminate the adjustment for share-based compensation due to universal adoption among peer organizations and the resulting consistent reporting of share-based compensation. Diluted EPS was therefore chosen as the Company performance measure. The Compensation Committee chose Diluted EPS as the Company performance measure because the Company and the Compensation Committee believe that Diluted EPS is a good indicator of the Company's achievement with respect to its overall business objectives and a significant driver of stock price performance.

The Compensation Committee established threshold Company performance for fiscal year 2008 to be Diluted EPS of \$1.20, a target of Diluted EPS of \$1.42, and a maximum performance goal of Diluted EPS of \$1.68. For Company performance results that are between the threshold and target, or between the target and the maximum, the bonus amount is determined by straight line interpolation.

For fiscal year 2008, the Company earned Diluted EPS of \$1.85, resulting in the maximum payout of 200% of target with respect to the 70% of an executive's bonus tied to Company performance.

The individual performance component of the bonus is based on the Compensation Committee's subjective evaluation of the overall performance of each executive. The Compensation Committee reviews the executive's individual contributions and efforts during the year as well as recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer. The recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer are based on individual goals set by the Chief Executive Officer and the executive. The following briefly outlines the goals established for each of the Named Executive Officers for fiscal year 2008.

Robert Caller. Mr. Caller's individual performance goals, some of which had a subset of goals, included (i) timely financial reporting, (ii) eliminating the material weaknesses identified in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, (iii) implementing improved financial processes and controls to enable faster monthly closes, (iv) restructuring the Company's credit facility to reduce the interest rate, (v) improving business processes within the finance department, (vi) improving the accuracy and timeliness of forecasting and planning, (vii) improving the work/life balance of the finance department, (viii) ensuring the effective roll out of key automated systems that impact the finance department, (ix) leading a cost reduction initiative within the Company, and (x) refining the Company's investor relations function.

Michael Gavin Isaacs. Mr. Isaacs' individual performance goals included (i) exceeding revenue and margin growth objectives related to the games and gaming operations, (ii) improving operational efficiencies, (iii) refining processes, (iv) improving the quality and timeliness of products, and (v) building effective team and working relationships among management.

Ramesh Srinivasan. Mr. Srinivasan's individual performance goals included (i) increasing service and maintenance revenue, (ii) increasing industry acceptance of certain products, (iii) refining management practices, improving teamwork and reducing turnover, (iv) ensuring the execution of key deliverables and the development of new products, (v) improving new contract success rates, and (vi) securing the Company's Systems business future.

Mark Lerner. Mr. Lerner's individual performance goals included (i) continuing the automation of regulatory compliance form filings, (ii) implementing the embedded intellectual property engineer program, (iii) implementing a program for collecting and processing outside legal fees, (iv) continuing to advance to paperless legal and regulatory compliance departments, (v) enhancing the contract administration processes, and (vi) successfully overseeing litigation cases.

With respect to the 30% of an executive's bonus that is tied to individual performance, the Compensation Committee approved, upon the recommendation of the Chief Executive Officer, payouts at 100% of target regarding the individual performance component of cash incentives for all Named Executive Officers except two, reflecting the Chief Executive Officer's overall assessment that the individual goals for each of the Named Executive Officers but two were achieved or exceeded during fiscal year 2008. The Chief Executive Officer determined that one Named Executive Officer's individual component should be paid at 90% of target and another should be paid at 80%, reflecting the substantial progress in achieving the outlined goals while recognizing that some of the goals were not fully implemented in the timeframe anticipated at the beginning of the year.

In light of the substantial achievements of the Company in part reflected by the Company's achievement of Diluted EPS which was 130% greater than targeted under the MIP, the Chief Executive Officer was requested by the Chairman of the Compensation Committee to develop incremental bonus awards to reward key executives and managers for their contribution and to encourage future performance from the selected participants. The Chief Executive Officer developed specific incremental bonus recommendations ("FY 2008 Incremental Bonus"), which were presented to the Compensation Committee. Because the objective of the FY 2008 Incremental Bonus was to reward for past performance and encourage future performance, the Compensation Committee approved the awards for the Named Executive Officers to be distributed 50% in cash and 50% in restricted stock that vested immediately but cannot be sold for one year. The Compensation Committee, while recognizing that Mr. Hadrill had not previously participated in the MIP or prior bonus awards, also approved a special bonus for Mr. Hadrill due to the Company's performance during the year and Mr. Hadrill's contribution to that performance.

Target and actual incentive amounts for each Named Executive Officer for fiscal year 2008 were as follows:

Officer	FY 2008 Target MIP	FY 2008 MIP	FY 2008 Incremental Bonus(1)
Richard Hadrill	n/a	n/a	\$ 250,000
Robert Caller	\$ 204,000	\$ 340,680	\$ 49,995
Michael Gavin Isaacs	\$ 204,000	\$ 346,800	\$ 99,989
Ramesh Srinivasan	\$ 165,000	\$ 280,500	\$ 99,989
Mark Lerner	\$ 147,000	\$ 241,080	\$ 39,968

(1)

Bonuses for all Named Executive Officers, except Mr. Hadrill, were paid 50% in cash and 50% in restricted stock that vested immediately but cannot be sold for one year.

Long-Term Incentives. The Company's long-term incentive program is the component of executive compensation that is most aligned with the long-term interests of stockholders. A significant portion of the Named Executive Officers' target compensation is in the form of long-term incentives. Long-term incentive compensation also enables the Company to motivate leaders and key employees as well as encourage them to provide long-term service to the Company. The Company provides long-term incentives to the Named Executive Officers in the form of stock options and restricted stock or restricted stock units ("RSUs"), which are generally granted under the 2001 Long Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (the "Amended and Restated Plan").

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Stock Options. Generally, each stock option granted under the Amended and Restated Plan entitles its holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at its fair market value on the date of grant. Stock options granted as part of a long-term incentive award generally vest over three to five years. Prior to the Board resolution on October 29, 2007, each granted stock option generally expired after ten years. On October 29, 2007, the Board considered the accounting expense of a ten year exercisable option relative to the accounting expense of a seven year exercisable option. The Board concluded that the value of an option which expired after seven years, as perceived by a recipient, would not be materially different than if the recipient had received an option which expires after ten years. Accordingly, the Board determined that the standard form of an option award will provide for expiration after seven years. Unless provided otherwise by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee at the time of grant, or in certain other circumstances, the terms of stock options are generally as follows:

Unvested stock options vest upon a normal retirement (termination of employment by recipient after he or she attains age 65);

Unvested stock options will vest upon becoming "Permanently Disabled";

Unvested stock options granted prior to the amendment to the Amended and Restated Plan in August 2006 (the "Plan Amendment") will vest upon a change in control in which all of the Company's outstanding stock is exchanged;

Unvested stock options granted after the Plan Amendment will vest upon a change in control if the recipient is terminated without "Cause" or for "Good Reason" within twelve months of the change in control;

Vested stock options remain exercisable for ten days after termination for "Cause";

Vested stock options remain exercisable for two years upon death; and

Vested stock options remain exercisable for 60 days (or three months in the case of incentive stock options) after termination if the individual is terminated for any reason other than for "Cause" or upon death.

Restricted Stock and RSUs. A grant of restricted stock is an award of common stock where the vesting thereof is subject to certain restrictions established by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee. RSUs represent a conditional contractual right to receive one share of the Company's common stock at a specified future date subject to certain restrictions such as a vesting period. For RSUs, the underlying stock is not issued until the time restrictions lapse, at which time the RSU is settled or, if previously elected, deferred. In the event a cash dividend is declared and paid on the Company's common stock, holders of RSUs do not receive dividend equivalents. RSUs do not have voting rights because they are not considered legally issued or outstanding shares of common stock. Unless provided otherwise by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee at the time of grant, or in certain other circumstances, the terms of restricted stock and RSUs are generally as follows:

Immediate vesting and settlement occurs in the event of normal retirement (defined above) or Permanent Disability;

Immediate vesting and settlement occurs in the event of a change in control (for awards granted after the Plan Amendment) if a recipient is subsequently terminated without "Cause" or for "Good Reason" within twelve months of the change in control; and

Forfeiture of the award upon termination of employment or upon death to the extent the award's restrictions have not lapsed.

For purposes of the Amended and Restated Plan:

"Cause" means (i) the grantee being convicted of a felony, (ii) the grantee willfully committing an act of embezzlement or malfeasance which is intended to materially enrich himself or herself at the expense of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or is otherwise intended to materially harm the Company, or (iii) the grantee being rejected for an applicable license or approval by a gaming regulatory authority having jurisdiction over the Company as a result of an explicit finding of lack of suitability solely as a result of the grantee's commission of a crime or an act of embezzlement or malfeasance.

"Good Reason" means unless otherwise provided in a Grantee's employment agreement, (i) a material reduction in the grantee's base salary, or (ii) a material reduction in the grantee's duties and responsibilities.

"Permanently Disabled" means that, as interpreted by the Compensation Committee, (i) the grantee cannot perform the work previously performed, (ii) the grantee cannot adjust to other position requirements due to a medical condition, and (iii) the grantee's disability has lasted or is expected to last for at least one year or result in death.

Fiscal Year 2008. Long-term incentive awards granted to the Named Executive Officers were generally issued in connection with either the executive's initial employment or pursuant to amended employment agreements and are determined based on the Board of Directors' or Compensation Committee's assessment of the amount required to induce employment or continued employment with the Company. Among the factors considered by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee were the Named Executive Officer's compensation at prior employers, the level required to meet the desired mix of pay which emphasizes long-term incentives, market levels of pay and the proportion of equity previously awarded the executive which is vested. After reviewing the outstanding awards for each Named Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee, based on the Committee's understanding of the competitive marketplace, awarded equity awards which the Committee believes appropriately reflects each individual's contribution to the success of the business, provides enhanced retention when combined with outstanding awards, and encourages future performance. The following is a brief description of the grants of stock options and restricted stock made in fiscal year 2008 as well as the Compensation Committee's determination of award vesting.

Mr. Hadrill. Mr. Hadrill was granted 50,000 stock options at a per-share exercise price equal to the market price thereof on February 22, 2008, 16,667 of which will vest on June 30, 2009, 16,667 of which will vest on December 31, 2009 and 16,666 of which will vest on June 30, 2010. Mr. Hadrill was granted 22,416 RSUs having a value equal to \$1.0 million on February 22, 2008, 11,208 of which will vest on June 30, 2009 and 11,208 which will vest on January 1, 2010. The equity awards were granted pursuant to the February 13, 2008 amendment to the Hadrill Agreement.

Mr. Isaacs. Mr. Isaacs was granted 10,000 shares of restricted stock on December 13, 2007, 5,000 of which will vest on December 13, 2010 and 5,000 of which will vest on December 13, 2011. Mr. Isaacs was granted 15,000 stock options at a per-share exercise price equal to the market price thereof on April 14, 2008 which vest in four equal installments of 3,750 shares on each of April 14, 2009, April 14, 2010, April 14, 2011 and April 14, 2012. Mr. Isaacs was granted 10,000 shares of restricted stock on April 14, 2008, 5,000 of which will vest on April 14, 2010, 2,500 of which will vest on April 14, 2011 and 2,500 of which will vest on April 14, 2012.

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Srinivasan. Mr. Srinivasan was granted 5,000 shares of restricted stock on December 13, 2007, 2,500 of which will vest on December 13, 2010 and 2,500 of which will vest on December 13, 2011. Mr. Srinivasan was granted 6,000 shares of restricted stock on April 14, 2008 which will vest on December 31, 2009.

Mr. Lerner. Mr. Lerner was granted 2,000 shares of restricted stock on December 13, 2007 which will vest on December 13, 2010. Mr. Lerner was granted 2,000 shares of restricted stock on April 14, 2008 which will vest on December 31, 2010.

Fiscal Year 2009. On October 17, 2008 the Compensation Committee approved annual awards for certain of the Named Executive Officers in respect of fiscal year 2008 performance. The following is a brief description of such grants of stock options, restricted stock and RSUs as well as the Compensation Committee's determination of award vesting:

Mr. Hadrill. Mr. Hadrill was granted 50,000 stock options at a per-share exercise price equal to the market price thereof on October 17, 2008, which vest in full on December 31, 2010. Mr. Hadrill was also granted 66,745 RSUs having a value equal to \$1.7 million on October 17, 2008, which vest in full on December 31, 2010. The equity awards were granted pursuant to the October 22, 2008 amendment to the Hadrill Agreement.

Mr. Isaacs. Mr. Isaacs was granted 25,000 shares of restricted stock which vest in full on October 17, 2012. Mr. Isaacs was also granted 50,000 stock options at a per-share exercise price equal to the market price thereof on October 17, 2008, 25,000 of which will vest on October 17, 2010, 12,500 of which will vest on October 17, 2011 and 12,500 of which will vest on October 17, 2012.

Mr. Srinivasan. Mr. Srinivasan was granted 20,000 shares of restricted stock which vest in full on December 31, 2010. Mr. Srinivasan was also granted 40,000 stock options at a per-share exercise price equal to the market price thereof on October 17, 2008, 20,000 of which vest on December 31, 2009 and 20,000 of which vest on December 31, 2010.

Mr. Lerner. Mr. Lerner was granted 10,000 stock options at a per-share exercise price equal to the market price thereof on October 17, 2008, 5,000 of which vest on October 17, 2010, 2,500 of which vest on October 17, 2011 and 2,500 of which vest on October 17, 2012.

Other Executive Benefits, including Perquisites and Retirement Benefits. The Named Executive Officers are entitled to the same employee benefits generally available to all full-time employees, subject to certain conditions such as fulfilling any minimum service period. These benefits include, among other things, vacation, health and welfare benefits and participation in the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the Company's 401(k) Savings Plan.

Certain other perquisites are also made available to executives, including the Named Executive Officers. These benefits include reimbursement for the cost, including any taxable amount, of biennial physical exams. In addition, during fiscal year 2008, the Company paid limited business-related spousal travel for Messrs. Hadrill, Caller, Isaacs and Srinivasan and medical transportation costs, medical insurance and a housing allowance for Mr. Luciano.

In designing these elements, the Company seeks to provide an overall level of benefits that are competitive with those offered by similarly situated companies in the markets in which the Company operates based upon its general understanding of industry practice. The Company believes that these particular plans and programs provide a valuable recruiting and retention mechanism for its executives and enable the Company to compete more successfully for qualified executive talent. In addition, the use of such perquisites in lieu of salary increases to compensate for the loss of those benefits avoids the increased costs that would otherwise occur with respect to certain other benefits that are tied to the level of an executive's base salary (such as annual cash incentive compensation). Further details regarding these types

of benefits paid to the Named Executive Officers are set forth in the "All Other Compensation" column and the accompanying footnotes in the "Executive Compensation Summary Compensation Table."

Timing of Equity Grants

Executives receive long-term equity awards pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Plan, which was approved by the Company's stockholders. Awards are also granted outside of the Amended and Restated Plan to the extent those grants are permitted by the rules of the NYSE. The Board of Directors, or as delegated to the Compensation Committee, administers the Amended and Restated Plan and establishes the rules for all awards granted thereunder, including grant guidelines, vesting schedules and other provisions. The Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee reviews these rules periodically and considers, among other things, the interests of the stockholders, market conditions, information provided by independent advisors, performance objectives and recommendations made by the Chief Executive Officer.

The Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee reviews awards for all employees. For annual awards, the Compensation Committee has established a process where the Compensation Committee reviews the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer for executives and other employees, modifies the proposed grants in certain circumstances, and approves the awards effective as of the date of its approval. The annual grants are generally determined following the end of each fiscal year, and in conjunction with the recommendation for annual bonuses. Pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Plan, the Board of Directors has delegated certain limited authority to the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and the Chief Executive Officer to make equity grants in accordance with the rules established by the Board of Directors for, in the case of the Chief Executive Officer, non-direct reports of the Chief Executive Officer, throughout the year. The Chairman of the Compensation Committee has been delegated limited authority to make equity grants to all employees. Pursuant to the policies and procedures outlined above, on October 14, 2008, the Compensation Committee approved annual awards for certain non-direct report employees as proposed by the Chief Executive Officer.

The exercise price of stock option grants are set at 100% of the closing market price of a share of Company common stock on the date the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee approves the grants, or upon the date such approval is made by the Chairman of the Compensation Committee or Chief Executive Officer under the limited delegated authority referenced above, to be reported to the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting. The exercise price of new hire awards and relocation or retention grants is determined as set forth above, except that the exercise price is set on the date of hire or effective date of the underlying agreement providing for such grant rather than the date of the approval thereof.

Employment Agreements and Post-Termination Payments

As previously discussed, the Company maintains employment agreements with each Named Executive Officer. The Compensation Committee determined that the compensation packages provided under these agreements was fair and reasonable on the basis of its assessment of comparable compensation opportunities available to the individuals, including the compensation arrangements of each Named Executive Officer at his prior place of employment.

Payments due Upon Termination and/or a Change in Control. Prior to the effectiveness of the Plan Amendment on August 8, 2006, the Amended and Restated Plan provided for the accelerated vesting of stock options, restricted stock and RSUs in the event of a change in control in which all of the outstanding stock held by the shareholders of the Company is exchanged for any lawful consideration. The Amended and Restated Plan currently provides for the accelerated vesting of equity awards in the event of a change in control and subsequent termination of employment without Cause or for Good Reason within twelve months thereof. In addition, certain employment agreements, as discussed in the section "Executive

Compensation Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control at Fiscal Year-End 2008", as well as certain forms of equity agreements also set forth specific terms with respect to the accelerated vesting of equity awards upon either a change in control or a change in control followed by a termination of employment.

The Compensation Committee believes that for senior executives, including the Named Executive Officers, accelerated vesting of stock options in the event of a change in control is generally appropriate because in some change in control situations, equity of the target company is cancelled making immediate acceleration necessary in order to preserve the value of the award. In addition, as previously discussed, the Company relies primarily on long-term incentive awards to provide the Named Executive Officers with the opportunity to accumulate substantial resources to fund their retirement income, and the Compensation Committee believes that a change in control event is an appropriate liquidation point for awards designed for such purpose. Although the Company continues to believe that single trigger change in control vesting is appropriate under the circumstances described above, the Company adopted the Plan Amendment to provide additional flexibility in circumstances where the Company believes that it is more appropriate to require a termination of employment within one year following a change in control before vesting is accelerated. The Company presumes that such a termination would likely be due to the change in control and not the employee's performance and therefore the award should be earned. For employees not terminated within twelve months of a change in control, the employees would continue to vest in their awards as they contribute to the success of the surviving company. The Company anticipates that such double trigger vesting acceleration will be used mainly for those employees who receive equity awards which are not a substantial component of their annual compensation or for whom it is considered unlikely in many circumstances that a terminating event would occur for those employees upon a change in control.

In addition, certain executives, including each of the Named Executive Officers, receive cash severance in certain circumstances that result in termination of employment. The Compensation Committee believes these provisions are fair and reasonable based on its understanding of market practice among industry competitors noted above and within the broader environment of technology companies and similarly sized businesses.

Calculations of the payments due to the Named Executive Officers upon certain terminations of employment and/or in connection with a change in control are set forth under "Executive Compensation Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control at Fiscal Year-End 2008."

Stock Ownership Guidelines and Hedging Policies

The Company has neither adopted stock ownership guidelines for executive officers nor any policies prohibiting executives from holding Company securities in a margin account or pledging Company securities as collateral for a loan. However, the Company discourages speculation in Company common stock and encourages employees to diversify their stock holdings. In addition, under Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, if the Company is required to restate its financial statements due to material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirements as a result of misconduct, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer may be required to reimburse the Company for (i) any bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation received during the 12 months following the first public issuance of the non-complying document, and (ii) any profits realized from the sale of securities of the Company during that 12-month period.

Impact of Tax and Accounting

As a general matter, the Compensation Committee takes into account the various tax and accounting implications of the compensation vehicles employed by the Company.

When determining amounts of long-term incentive grants to executives and employees, the Compensation Committee examines the accounting cost associated with the grants. Under SFAS

No. 123R, grants of stock options, restricted stock and RSUs result in an accounting charge for the Company equal to the grant date fair value of those securities. For restricted stock and RSUs, the accounting value is generally equal to the fair market value of the underlying shares of common stock on the date of the award. The value, adjusted for possible forfeitures, is then amortized over the requisite service period. With respect to stock options, the Company calculates the grant date fair value based on the Black-Scholes formula with an adjustment for possible forfeitures and amortizes that value as compensation expense over the requisite service period.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") does not permit publicly-traded companies to take income tax deductions for compensation paid to the Chief Executive Officer and certain other executive officers to the extent that compensation exceeds \$1.0 million per officer in any taxable year and does not otherwise qualify as performance-based compensation. The Amended and Restated Plan is structured so that the compensation deemed paid to an executive officer in connection with the exercise of stock options granted under the Amended and Restated Plan should qualify as performance-based compensation not subject to the \$1.0 million limitation. In addition, awards of restricted stock or RSUs made under the Amended and Restated Plan may or may not qualify as performance-based compensation. The restricted stock issued to Messrs. Isaacs, Srinivasan and Lerner during fiscal year 2008 did not qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code and therefore may not be deductible for income tax purposes.

The MIP cash incentive program for fiscal year 2008 is not designed to provide bonus payments that would qualify as performance-based compensation as defined in Section 162(m) of the Code, nor would any guaranteed bonus payments qualify as performance-based compensation. In addition, discretionary bonuses, similar to the FY 2008 Incremental Bonus, do not qualify as performance-based compensation as defined in Section 162(m) of the Code.

The Compensation Committee will continue to consider steps that might be in the Company's best interests to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code. However, in establishing the cash and equity incentive compensation programs for the Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee believes that the potential deductibility of the compensation payable under those programs should be only one of a number of relevant factors taken into consideration, and not the sole or primary factor. The Compensation Committee believes that cash and equity incentive compensation must be maintained at the requisite level to attract and retain the executive officers essential to the Company's financial success, even if all or part of that compensation may not be deductible by reason of the limitations of Section 162(m) of the Code.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on its review and discussion with management, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Submitted by: Kevin L. Verner, Chairman
Jacques André
David Robbins

Members of the Compensation Committee

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth compensation information for the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers as of June 30, 2008, and one additional employee who, had he been serving as an executive officer as of June 30, 2008, would have been one of the three most highly compensated executive officers (collectively, the "Named Executive Officers") in respect of fiscal years 2008 and 2007.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards(1) (\$)	Option Awards(1) (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation(2) (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)	
Richard Hadrill President and Chief Executive Officer	2008	998,000	250,000(6)	1,271,309	1,231,257		(3)	10,808(4)	3,761,374
	2007	998,000		3,134,598	1,537,597		(3)	37,750(5)	5,707,945
Robert Caller Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer	2008	340,000	49,995(6)		513,775	340,680		7,365(7)	1,251,815
	2007	340,000		41,837	511,964	214,200		6,750(8)	1,114,751
Michael Gavin Isaacs Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2008	340,000	99,989(6)	273,851	366,076	346,800		152,105(10)	1,578,821
	2007	269,385	10,000(9)	163,010	294,005	193,723		150,000(11)	1,080,123
Ramesh Srinivasan Executive Vice President, Bally Systems	2008	275,000	99,989(6)	97,108	180,274	280,500		419(12)	933,290
	2007	275,000		75,313	394,969	188,100			933,382
Mark Lerner Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2008	241,923	39,968(6)	25,637	115,574	241,080		6,875(13)	671,057
Robert Luciano Chief Technology Officer	2008	184,769			1,993,467			98,327(15)	2,276,563
	2007	250,000	50,000(14)		1,986,900	171,000			2,457,900

- (1) Amounts shown reflect the dollar value recognized, before forfeiture assumptions, by the Company for financial statement reporting purposes in accordance with SFAS No. 123R, for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. Assumptions used to determine these values can be found in Note 10, Share-Based Compensation, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.
- (2) Represents cash portion of annual incentive award earned for performance during fiscal years 2008 and 2007 under the MIP.
- (3) The Hadrill Agreement does not contemplate participation in the MIP.
- (4) Represents the Company's matching contribution to Mr. Hadrill's 401(k) (\$6,900), spousal travel (\$1,528) and medical costs (\$2,379) for fiscal 2008.
- (5) Represents one club membership fee paid for by the Company (\$29,000), spousal travel (\$2,000) and the Company's matching contribution to Mr. Hadrill's 401(k) (\$6,750) for fiscal 2007.
- (6) Represents FY 2008 Incremental Bonus as described under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis Individual named Executive Officer Compensation Annual Cash Incentive Programs."
- (7) Represents the Company's matching 401K contribution to Mr. Caller's 401(k) (\$6,750) and spousal travel (\$615) for fiscal 2008.
- (8) Represents Company's matching contribution to Mr. Caller's 401(k) for fiscal 2007.
- (9) Represents signing bonus paid pursuant to the Isaacs Agreement upon commencement of Mr. Isaacs' employment.
- (10)

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Represents spousal travel (\$1,282) and medical costs (\$823). In addition, pursuant to the Isaacs Agreement, Mr. Isaacs was entitled to a \$150,000 allowance during fiscal 2008 to assist in transition from expatriate to permanent US-based employee.

- (11) Represents \$150,000 allowance during fiscal 2007 to assist in transition from expatriate to permanent US-based employee.
- (12) Represents spousal travel.
- (13) Represents the Company's matching contribution to Mr. Lerner's 401(k).
- (14) Represents guaranteed bonus per the Luciano Agreement. For additional detail see " Employment Agreements with Named Executive Officers" below.
- (15) Represents medical transportation costs (\$33,311), medical insurance (\$5,019) and housing allowance (\$59,997).

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information concerning awards of stock options, RSUs, restricted stock and incentive opportunities made to each of the Named Executive Officers during fiscal year 2008.

Name	Grant Date	Approval Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units(2)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options(2) (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards(3) (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(4)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
Richard Hadrill	2/22/2008	2/22/2008					50,000	752,050	
	2/22/2008	2/22/2008				22,416		932,506	
Robert Caller	8/29/2007	8/29/2007	81,600	204,000	346,800				
Michael Gavin Isaacs	8/29/2007	8/29/2007	81,600	204,000	346,800				
	12/13/2007	12/13/2007				10,000		435,600	
	4/14/2008	4/14/2008				10,000		326,200	
	4/14/2008	4/14/2008					15,000	203,070	
Ramesh Srinivasan	8/29/2007	8/29/2007	66,000	165,000	280,500				
	12/13/2007	12/13/2007				5,000		217,800	
	4/14/2008	4/14/2008				6,000		195,720	
Mark Lerner	8/29/2007	8/29/2007	58,800	147,000	249,900				
	12/13/2007	12/13/2007				2,000		87,120	
	4/14/2008	4/14/2008				2,000		65,240	
Robert Luciano									

- (1) Represents cash incentive opportunities under the MIP.
- (2) All shares were issued under the Amended and Restated Plan.
- (3) The exercise price reflects the closing price of a share of the Company's common stock on the grant date.
- (4) Represents the fair value of equity granted, determined in accordance with SFAS 123R, adjusted, however, to exclude the effects of estimated forfeitures. Assumptions used to determine these values can be found in Note 10, Share-Based Compensation, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

Employment Agreements with Named Executive Officers

The following contains brief summaries of certain provisions of the employment agreements with each of the Named Executive Officers. Provisions providing for severance and change in control payments are described below under " Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control at Fiscal Year-End 2008."

Hadrill Employment Agreement. On June 30, 2004, the Company entered into the Hadrill Agreement, effective as of October 1, 2004. The Hadrill Agreement provided for an initial salary of \$980,000 per year, a grant of 500,000 stock options, a number of RSUs having a value equal to \$6.5 million and other benefits, and required Mr. Hadrill to purchase \$1.0 million of Company common stock in the open market using his personal funds. The Hadrill Agreement was amended on December 22, 2004 to provide for, among other things, a grant of an additional 300,000 stock options and a number of RSUs having a value equal to \$1.9 million. The Hadrill Agreement was amended for a second time effective as of June 13, 2005, to document the terms and conditions of the acceleration of certain of Mr. Hadrill's stock options, which was approved by the Board of Directors on June 13, 2005 as well as to conform the terms of Mr. Hadrill's RSUs to Section 409A of the Code.

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

On June 21, 2006, the Hadrill Agreement was amended for a third time. This amendment provided that Mr. Hadrill's term of employment was extended from October 1, 2007, to January 1, 2009 and that Mr. Hadrill's salary, beginning July 1, 2006, was increased from \$980,000 to \$998,000. This amendment also provided for a grant of an additional 200,000 stock options and a number of shares of restricted stock having a value equal to \$1.4 million.

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

On February 13, 2008, the Hadrill Agreement was amended for a fourth time. This amendment provided that the Hadrill Agreement will automatically renew for an indefinite number of one year periods thereafter unless either party gives written notice of termination at least ninety days prior to the expiration of the then-current term. This amendment also provided for a grant of an additional 50,000 stock options and a number of RSUs having a value equal to \$1.0 million.

On October 22, 2008, the Hadrill Agreement was amended for a fifth time. This amendment provided that the Mr. Hadrill's annual salary will remain at \$998,000 through December 31, 2010, and thereafter shall be reduced to \$375,000. This amendment also provided for a grant of an additional 50,000 stock options and a number of RSUs having a value equal to \$1.7 million.

The Hadrill Agreement also prohibits Mr. Hadrill from entering into business arrangements with specific competitors for a period of two years, or from engaging in activities that compete with the Company for one year after termination, regardless of the reason for termination. Mr. Hadrill is prohibited from soliciting the Company's customers, employees or consultants for one year after termination, regardless of the reason for termination.

Caller Employment Agreement. On March 13, 2006, the Company entered into the Caller Agreement, effective as of April 1, 2006 through September 30, 2009. The Caller Agreement provided for an initial salary of \$340,000 and participation in the MIP as well as the Company's employee benefit programs. Under the Caller Agreement, for fiscal year 2007, Mr. Caller was guaranteed a minimum performance bonus of \$160,000 under the MIP. For the period from July 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009, Mr. Caller's bonus will be at least \$51,000. Mr. Caller also received a one time signing bonus payment of \$100,000, subject to certain relocation conditions, as well as reimbursement for expenses incurred in connection with trips related to his relocation to Las Vegas. Mr. Caller also received a grant of 175,000 stock options pursuant to the Caller Agreement. On October 1, 2008, the Caller Agreement was amended to, among other things, increase Mr. Caller's salary to \$365,000.

The Caller Agreement prohibits Mr. Caller from engaging in activities that compete with the Company for one year after termination for Cause or upon voluntary termination, and for the duration he receives salary continuation after a termination without Cause. Mr. Caller is prohibited from soliciting the Company's customers, employees or consultants for one year after termination, regardless of the reason for termination.

Isaacs Employment Agreement. On June 19, 2006, the Company entered into the Isaacs Agreement, effective September 1, 2006. The Isaacs Agreement provided for an initial salary of \$340,000 and participation in the MIP as well as the Company's employee benefit programs. Mr. Isaacs also received a one time signing bonus payment of \$10,000 and received an annual allowance of \$150,000 for a period of two years in respect of his transition to becoming a permanent United States-based employee. Mr. Isaacs also received a grant of 150,000 stock options as well as 50,000 shares of restricted stock pursuant to the Isaacs Agreement. On September 1, 2008, the Isaacs Agreement was amended to, among other things, increase Mr. Isaacs' salary to \$600,000.

As amended, the Isaacs Agreement prohibits Mr. Isaacs from engaging in activities that compete with the Company for two years after termination for Cause or upon voluntary termination, and for the duration he receives salary continuation after a termination without Cause. Mr. Isaacs is prohibited from soliciting the Company's customers, employees or consultants for two years after termination, regardless of the reason for termination.

Srinivasan Employment Agreement. On March 9, 2005, the Company entered into the Srinivasan Agreement. The Srinivasan Agreement provided for an initial salary of \$250,000 and participation in the MIP as well as the Company's employee benefit programs. Under the Srinivasan Agreement, Mr. Srinivasan also received a grant of 300,000 stock options and 20,000 shares of restricted stock. On

October 1, 2008, the Srinivasan Agreement was amended to, among other things, increase Mr. Srinivasan's salary to \$350,000.

The Srinivasan Agreement prohibits Mr. Srinivasan from engaging in activities that compete with the Company for one year after termination for Cause or upon voluntary termination, and for the duration he receives salary continuation after a termination without Cause. Mr. Srinivasan is prohibited from soliciting the Company's customers, employees or consultants for one year after termination, regardless of the reason for termination.

Lerner Employment Agreement. On August 15, 2000, the Company entered into the Lerner Agreement. The Lerner Agreement provided for an initial minimum salary of \$220,000 and participation in the MIP as well as the Company's employee benefit programs. On October 1, 2008, the Lerner Agreement was amended to, among other things, increase Mr. Lerner's salary to \$270,000.

Luciano Employment Agreement. On March 2, 2004, the Company entered into the Luciano Agreement. The Luciano Agreement provided for an initial base salary of \$250,000 and participation in the MIP as well as the Company's employee benefit programs. Mr. Luciano was guaranteed a minimum performance bonus of \$50,000 under the MIP. On April 13, 2005, the Company entered into an amendment to the Luciano Agreement providing for, among other things, the grant of 600,000 stock options. On May 16, 2008, the Luciano Agreement was amended to, among other things, reduce Mr. Luciano's annual salary to \$150,000 as of the December 31, 2007 to allow for Mr. Luciano's devotion of up to 60% of his business time to interests other than the business of Bally, and to eliminate Mr. Luciano's participation in the MIP and -the guaranteed minimum performance bonus of \$50,000.

The Luciano Agreement prohibits Mr. Luciano from engaging in activities that compete with the Company for one year after termination for Cause (as defined below under " Post-employment Payments Robert Luciano") or upon voluntary termination. The Luciano Agreement also provides that the Company may elect to extend the non-competition period for an additional 12 months beyond its then current term by agreeing to pay Mr. Luciano an additional \$150,000 and the continued vesting of all stock options if the election occurs prior to the termination of Mr. Luciano without Cause. Mr. Luciano is prohibited from soliciting the Company's customers, employees or consultants for six months after termination, regardless of the reason for termination.

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2008

The following table sets forth the outstanding equity awards held by the Named Executive Officers as of June 30, 2008:

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested(1) (\$)
Richard Haddrill	50,000		\$ 15.55	4/23/2013		
	195,000		24.65	1/8/2014		
	405,000		17.16	6/30/2014		
	95,000		17.16	10/27/2014		
	219,000		13.35	12/22/2014		
		50,000(2)	41.60	2/22/2015		
	35,000		14.77	6/13/2015		
	66,667	133,333(3)	15.07	6/21/2016		
					22,416(4)	\$ 757,661
					92,654(5)	3,131,705
Robert Caller	120,000	55,000(6)	16.99	4/3/2016		
Michael Gavin Isaacs	37,500	112,500(7)	15.73	9/1/2016		
		15,000(8)	32.62	4/14/2015		
					50,000(9)	1,690,000
					10,000(10)	338,000
					10,000(11)	338,000
Ramesh Srinivasan	200,000	35,000(12)	11.30	3/9/2015		
					10,000(13)	338,000
					6,000(14)	202,800
					5,000(15)	169,000
Mark Lerner	16,667		21.53	8/12/2013		
		6,667(16)	15.31	7/29/2015		
		11,250(17)	19.47	10/25/2016		
					2,000(18)	67,600
					2,000(19)	67,600
Robert Luciano	360,000	240,000(20)	11.16	1/18/2015		
	240,000	360,000(21)	14.27	7/1/2015		

(1) Calculated based on the closing price of a share of Company common stock of \$33.80 on June 30, 2008.

(2) Stock options vest and become exercisable as to 16,667 shares on June 30, 2009, 16,667 shares on December 31, 2009 and 16,666 shares on June 30, 2010.

(3) Stock options vest and become exercisable as to 66,667 shares on July 31, 2008 and 66,666 shares on January 1, 2009.

(4)

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Restricted stock vests as to 11,208 shares on June 30, 2009 and 11,208 shares on January 1, 2010.

(5)

Restricted stock vests as to 26,499 shares on July 1, 2008 and 66,155 shares on January 1, 2009.

(6)

Stock options vest and become exercisable as to 55,000 shares on September 30, 2009.

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

- (7) Stock options vest and become exercisable in three equal installments of 37,500 shares on each of September 1, 2008, September 1, 2009 and September 1, 2010.
- (8) Stock options vest and become exercisable in four equal installments of 3,750 shares on each of April 14, 2009, April 14, 2010, April 14, 2011 and April 14, 2012.
- (9) Restricted stock vests as to 25,000 shares on September 1, 2008 and 12,500 shares on each of September 1, 2009 and September 1, 2010.
- (10) Restricted stock vests as to 5,000 shares on April 14, 2010 and 2,500 shares on each of April 14, 2011 and April 14, 2012.
- (11) Restricted stock vests as to 5,000 shares on December 13, 2010 and 5,000 shares on December 13, 2011.
- (12) Stock options vest and become exercisable as to 35,000 shares on September 2, 2008.
- (13) Restricted stock vests in its entirety on September 2, 2008.
- (14) Restricted stock vests in its entirety on December 31, 2009.
- (15) Restricted stock vests as to 2,500 shares on each of December 13, 2010 and December 13, 2011.
- (16) Stock options vest and become exercisable on July 29, 2008.
- (17) Stock options vest and become exercisable in three equal installments of 3,750 shares on each of October 25, 2008, October 25, 2009 and October 25, 2010.
- (18) Restricted stock vests in its entirety on December 13, 2010.
- (19) Restricted stock vests in its entirety on December 31, 2010.
- (20) Stock options vest and become exercisable in three equal installments of 120,000 shares on each of July 1, 2008, July 1, 2009 and April 13, 2010.
- (21) Stock options vest and become exercisable in two equal installments of 120,000 shares on each of January 18, 2009 and January 18, 2010.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2008

The following table sets forth information concerning value realized by each of the Named Executive Officers upon the exercise of stock options and the vesting of stock awards during fiscal year 2008.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized Upon Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

			Vesting (#)	
Richard Hadrill	81,000	2,385,199	125,677(1)	4,658,846
Robert Caller				
Michael Gavin Isaacs				
Ramesh Srinivasan	65,000	1,978,077	3,172(2)	104,676
Mark Lerner	72,919	1,987,667	1,355(2)	44,715
Robert Luciano				

(1) Represents 125,677 RSUs which vested on October 1, 2007. RSUs are mandatorily deferred pursuant to the Hadrill Agreement.

(2) Represents shares of restricted stock issued as a bonus for performance during fiscal year 2007 which vested immediately upon grant on September 12, 2007.

Fiscal Year 2008 Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

The following table sets forth information regarding deferrals by the Named Executive Officers under Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plans during fiscal year 2008.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
Richard Hadrill(1)	\$ 4,658,846(2)		\$ 3,176,550(3)		\$ 20,678,502(4)
Robert Caller					
Michael Gavin Isaacs					
Ramesh Srinivasan					
Mark Lerner					
Robert Luciano					

- (1) Deferrals made pursuant to the Hadrill Agreement, which requires mandatory deferral of RSUs upon vesting. The Company does not maintain any other deferred compensation plans or arrangements for the Named Executive Officers.
- (2) Represents the market value of shares deliverable in settlement of RSUs at their vesting date, where the executive is required to defer settlement to a future date. Such a deferral has the effect of delaying the date at which the Company will deliver shares to the executive in settlement, but does not result in additional compensation expense for financial reporting purposes in connection with the award or enhance the value of the award to the executive except by virtue of the delay in taxation. Contribution amount includes \$541,667 in compensation as reported in the Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2008.
- (3) Represents earnings on deferred shares from the date of vesting, to the extent it occurred in fiscal year 2008, based on the change in stock price between vesting and fiscal year end.
- (4) Represents balance of RSUs required to be deferred. RSUs will be issued on the first date payment is no longer subject to limits of section 162(m) of the Code. Balance amount includes \$541,667 in compensation as reported in the Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2008.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control at Fiscal Year-End 2008

The following tables set forth certain information regarding potential payments and other benefits that would have been provided to each of the Named Executive Officers upon a change in control of the Company and/or upon a termination of the Named Executive Officer's employment on June 30, 2008. For purposes of this section, "CIC" shall mean a change in control of the Company. All other capitalized terms included in quotes are defined following the summary of the respective agreement.

Hadrill Employment Agreement. The Hadrill Agreement, as amended, provides that if Mr. Hadrill's employment is terminated by the Company other than for cause or by Mr. Hadrill for "Good Cause," Mr. Hadrill will receive severance pay in an amount equal to his base salary for a period of one year from the date of termination or until the expiration of the term of the Hadrill Agreement, whichever occurs first. Further, Mr. Hadrill shall be entitled to retain a pro rata portion of equity issued pursuant to the Hadrill Agreement, as amended. The restrictions on the RSUs shall lapse and stock options shall vest as to a pro rata portion through the twelve-month period following the month in which the termination occurs. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for awards issued to Mr. Hadrill on February 28, 2008, if termination occurs prior to January 1, 2009, restrictions on the RSUs shall not lapse and vesting of stock options will not occur.

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Upon a "Change in Control," Mr. Hadrill will receive a lump-sum payment of \$980,000 and will be entitled to retain all of the RSUs, restricted stock and stock options granted pursuant to the Hadrill Agreement, all of which will vest immediately upon the Change in Control. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the awards granted to Mr. Hadrill on February 22, 2008 will be forfeited if a "Change in Control" occurs prior to January 1, 2009. To the extent any excise taxes are imposed under Section 4999 of the Code, Mr. Hadrill will be entitled to additional payments to offset the additional excise taxes and additional income taxes or interest penalties associated with the additional payments. If Mr. Hadrill's employment is terminated due to death or disability, the Company will provide Mr. Hadrill or his estate an amount equal to his base salary for a period of one year from the date of termination. Pursuant to the Amended and Restated Plan, if Mr. Hadrill is Permanently Disabled (as defined in the Company's 401(k) Plan), all awards granted under the Amended and Restated Plan would vest in full.

Post-employment Payments Richard Hadrill

Executive Payments and Benefits upon Termination/ CIC	Involuntary Termination for Cause	Involuntary Termination Without Cause	CIC with or without Termination (Single Trigger)	Termination for Good Reason or Without Cause following a CIC (Double Trigger)	Death	Disability
Severance	\$ 0	\$ 998,000	\$ 980,000	\$ 980,000	\$998,000	\$ 998,000
Long-term Incentive(1)						
Acceleration of Unvested Stock Options	0	1,664,897(2)	2,497,346(3)	2,497,346	0	2,497,346(4)
Acceleration of Unvested RS/RSUs	0	3,131,705(2)	3,131,705(3)	3,889,366	0	3,889,366(4)
280G Tax Gross-up	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A	N/A
Total	\$ 0	\$ 5,794,602	\$ 6,609,051	\$ 7,366,712	\$998,000	\$7,384,712

(1) Equity awards valued at the closing price of a share of Company stock of \$33.80 as of June 30, 2008. Amount represents the intrinsic value of stock options vesting at termination.

(2) The Hadrill Agreement provides that a pro rata portion of the unvested stock options and RSUs granted pursuant to the Hadrill Agreement will vest if Mr. Hadrill is terminated without cause, with the exception of the equity awards issued February 22, 2008 which will pro rata vest only if termination occurs after January 1, 2009.

(3) The Hadrill Agreement provides for the immediate vesting of stock options and restricted stock units granted per the Hadrill Agreement as follows: the shares issued June 21, 2006 will vest upon a CIC and the shares issued February 22, 2008 will vest upon a CIC that occurs after January 1, 2009.

(4) If Permanently Disabled, pursuant to the Hadrill Agreement, Mr. Hadrill would receive severance payments and pursuant to the Amended and Restated Plan, he would receive immediate vesting of all his previously issued equity awards. If Mr. Hadrill is unable to discharge his duties for six or more consecutive months or for non-continuous periods aggregating to twenty-two weeks in any twelve month period as a result of illness or incapacity, Mr. Hadrill would be entitled only to severance.

For purposes of the Hadrill Agreement:

"Change of Control" means (i) the acquisition, directly or indirectly, by any unaffiliated person, entity or group of beneficial ownership of more than 50% of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; (ii) consummation of (1) a reorganization, merger or consolidation of the Company, or (2) a liquidation or dissolution of the Company or (3) a sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the

Edgar Filing: BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Company (whether such assets are held directly or indirectly) to any unaffiliated person, entity or group; or (iii) the individuals who as of the date of the Haddrill Agreement are members of the Board of Directors (together with any directors elected or nominated by a majority of such

individuals) cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the members of the Board of Directors; except that any event or transaction which would be a "Change of Control" under (i) or (ii) (1) of this definition, shall not be a Change of Control if persons who were the equity holders of the Company immediately prior to such event or transaction (other than the acquirer in the case of a reorganization, merger or consolidation), immediately thereafter, beneficially own more than 50% of the combined voting power of the Company's or the reorganized, merged or consolidated company's then outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors.

"Good Cause" means the occurrence of any one or more of the following events without the consent of Mr. Hadrill: (i) the assignment to Mr. Hadrill of any duties materially inconsistent with his duties and position as set forth in the Hadrill Agreement (including, without limitation, status, titles and reporting requirements), or any other action by the Company that results in a material diminution in such duties or position, excluding for this purpose isolated and inadvertent action not taken in bad faith and remedied by the Company promptly after receipt of notice thereof given by Mr. Hadrill; (ii) a reduction by the Company in Mr. Hadrill's base salary or participation in any other compensation plan, program, arrangement or benefit below that Mr. Hadrill is entitled to under the Hadrill Agreement; (iii) the Company's requiring Mr. Hadrill to be based anywhere other than the Las Vegas, Nevada area, except for reasonably required travel on business of the Company; or (iv) any material breach by the Company of any provision of the Hadrill Agreement and such breach continues for a period of thirty (30) days after the Company's receipt of written notice from Mr. Hadrill providing a reasonable description of the material breach claimed by Mr. Hadrill.

Caller Employment Agreement. If Mr. Caller's employment is terminated without "Cause" or if Mr. Caller terminates his employment as a result of a "Diminution of Duties" occurring within one year following a "Change in Control," he shall continue to receive his salary until September 30, 2009 (for a termination prior to September 30, 2008, he would have been entitled to continued salary for a period of one year immediately following such termination). In the event Mr. Caller terminates his employment as a result of a Diminution of Duties occurring within one year following a Change in Control, he shall continue to receive his salary for a period of one year following termination and each unvested installment of stock options granted pursuant to the Caller Agreement will be deemed to have vested on the date of such termination and shall be exercisable for one year from such date. If Mr. Caller's employment is terminated without Cause, any installment that would have vested within one year of the date of termination will vest and, along with any other previously vested stock options, shall be exercisable for one year thereafter. If Mr. Caller's employment is terminated with Cause or if he quits for any reason, he will have ninety days to exercise any stock options that have vested as of the date of such termination. Pursuant to the Amended and Restated Plan, if Mr. Caller is Permanently Disabled (as defined in the Company's 401(k) Plan), all awards granted under the Amended and Restated Plan would vest in full.

Post-employment Payments Robert Caller

Executive Payments and Benefits upon Termination/CIC	Involuntary Termination for Cause	Involuntary Termination Without Cause	CIC with or without Termination (Single Trigger)	Termination for Good Reason or Without Cause or Diminution of Duties following a CIC (Double Trigger)