

TRANSMONTAIGNE INC
Form 10-K/A
February 17, 2004

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A
(Amendment No. 2)

(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period _____ to _____
Commission File Number 001-11763

TRANSMONTAIGNE INC.

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

06-1052062
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Suite 3100, 1670 Broadway
Denver, Colorado 80202
(Address, including zip code, of principal executive offices)

(303) 626-8200
(Telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

**Name of Each Exchange
on Which Registered**

Common Stock; \$.01 par value

American Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange
on Which Registered

NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such report), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes /X/ No //

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. //

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2) Yes /X/ No //

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was \$162,810,570. The aggregate market value was computed by reference to the last sale price (\$5.95 per share) of the Registrant's Common Stock on the American Stock Exchange on August 29, 2003.

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding on August 29, 2003 was 40,675,530.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Amendment No. 2 on Form 10-K/A (the "Amendment") amends TransMontaigne Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2003, filed by TransMontaigne (the "Company," "we" or "us") on September 29, 2003 ("Original Form 10-K"), as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A filed on October 27, 2003. We are filing this Amendment to amend in their entirety the following sections of the Original Form 10-K: "Item 1 Business;" "Item 2 Properties;" "Item 6 Selected Financial Data;" "Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;" "Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk;" "Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data;" and "Item 15 Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K."

For the reader's ease of reference, we also are providing Items 3, 4, 5, 9 and 9A in this Amendment, although these sections have not been modified from the versions that were included in the Original Form 10-K.

In addition, in connection with the filing of this Amendment and pursuant to Rules 12b-15 and 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we are including with this Amendment certain currently dated certifications. Except as described above, no other amendments are being made to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on September 29, 2003, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A filed on October 27, 2003.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item

Part I

1. Business

Item

2. Properties
3. Legal Proceedings
4. Vote of Security Holders

Part II

5. Market for Common Stock
6. Selected Financial Data
7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
- 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures
- 9A. Controls and Procedures

Part III

10. Directors and Executive Officers
11. Executive Compensation
12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management
13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Part IV

15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K
-

2

Part I

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains certain forward-looking statements and information relating to TransMontaigne Inc., including the following:

- i. certain statements, including possible or assumed future results of operations, in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;"
- ii. any statements contained herein or therein regarding the prospects for our business or any of our services;
- iii.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

any statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words "may," "seeks," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "continues," "estimates," "plans," "targets," "predicts," "attempts," "is scheduled," or similar expressions; and

iv.

other statements contained herein or therein regarding matters that are not historical facts.

Our business and results of operations are subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our ability to control or predict. Because of these risks and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements, and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements, which speak only as of the date thereof.

The following risk factors, discussed in more detail under the heading "Risk Factors" in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2003, are important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations and may adversely affect our business and results of operations, include, but are not limited to:

- > volumes of refined petroleum products shipped in our pipelines and throughput or stored in our terminal facilities;
- > the availability of adequate supplies of and demand for petroleum products in the areas in which we operate;
- > the effect of any inability to attract customers for our supply management service business;
- > continued creditworthiness of, and performance by, contract counterparties;
- > the effects of competition;
- > our ability to renew customer contracts;
- > operational hazards;
- > availability and cost of insurance on our assets and operations;
- > the success of our risk management activities;
- > the effect of changes in commodity prices on our liquidity;
- > the impact of any failure of our information technology systems;
- > the impact of petroleum product price fluctuations;
- > the availability of acquisition opportunities;
- > successful integration and future performance of acquired assets;

>

the threat of terrorist attacks or war;

- > the impact of current and future laws and governmental regulations;
- > liability for environmental claims; and
- > the impact of the departure of any key officers.

In addition, other factors such as the following also could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations:

- > general economic, market or business conditions; and
- > force majeure and acts of God.

We do not intend to update these forward-looking statements except as required by law.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Company

TransMontaigne Inc., formed in 1995, is a refined petroleum products distribution and supply company based in Denver, Colorado with operations in the United States, primarily in the Gulf Coast, Midwest and East Coast regions. We provide integrated terminal, transportation, storage, supply, distribution and marketing services to refiners, wholesalers, distributors, marketers, and industrial and commercial end-users of refined petroleum products. Our principal activities consist of (i) terminal, pipeline and tug and barge operations, (ii) supply, distribution and marketing and (iii) supply management services.

We predominantly handle refined petroleum products, with the balance being fertilizer, chemicals and other commercial liquids. The refined petroleum products we handle include gasoline, diesel fuel, heating oil, jet fuel and kerosene. Our recent acquisition of terminals and related tug and barge operations in Florida from El Paso Corporation, expanded our product and service offering to include the sale of bunker fuel, used to power ocean vessels, and No. 6 oil, for powering electricity generating plants, as well as the storage of jet fuel, crude oil and asphalt.

We have assembled an asset infrastructure and developed a shipping history on common carrier pipelines which are focused on the distribution of refined petroleum products from the Gulf Coast region to the Midwest and East Coast regions.

We own and operate terminal infrastructure that handles refined petroleum products and other commercial liquids with transportation connections by pipelines, tankers, barges, rail cars and trucks to our facilities or to third-party facilities. At our terminals, we provide throughput, storage, injection and distribution related services to distributors, marketers, retail gasoline station operators and industrial and commercial end-users of refined petroleum products and other commercial liquids. At June 30, 2003, we owned and operated 55 terminals with an aggregate capacity of approximately 21.0 million barrels.

In our supply, distribution and marketing operations, we purchase refined petroleum products primarily from refineries along the Gulf Coasts of Texas and Louisiana and schedule them for delivery to our terminals, as well as terminals owned by third parties, in the Gulf Coast, Midwest and East Coast regions of the United States. We then sell our products primarily through rack sales, bulk sales, and contract sales to cruise ship operators, commercial and industrial end-users, independent retailers, distributors, marketers, government entities and other wholesalers of refined petroleum products.

4

We also provide supply management services to industrial, commercial and governmental customers that have large ground vehicle fleets. We often combine these services with price management solutions to provide our customers an assured source of fuel at a predictable price. Our ground fleet customers include waste disposal firms, retail consumer products companies, freight and delivery service providers, cable and

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

communication companies, car rental firms, and city and state government agencies.

TransMontaigne Inc. is a holding company that conducts its operations through four primary subsidiaries: TransMontaigne Product Services Inc., which owns the majority of our terminaling and pipeline facilities and conducts the majority of our supply, distribution and marketing operations; Coastal Fuels Marketing, Inc., which owns the Florida marine terminals and conducts supply, distribution and marketing operations principally to marine vessels and power generation plants; Coastal Tug and Barge, Inc., which owns and operates our fleet of tugboats and barges and provides transportation services; and TransMontaigne Transport Inc., which operates our turbo prop aircraft to transport our personnel among locations.

Industry Overview

Product description

Refineries produce refined petroleum products by processing crude oil. Refined petroleum products generally are classified in two groups, "light oils" and "heavy oils." Light oils include gasoline and distillates, such as diesel fuel, heating oil, jet fuel and kerosene. Heavy oils include No. 6 oil and asphalt. The crude oil refining process results in a slate of petroleum products that are all produced simultaneously. When produced at the refinery, refined products of a specific grade, such as unleaded gasoline, are substantially identical in composition from one refinery to the next and are referred to as being "fungible."

Regional production and consumption

The continental United States refined petroleum products market is divided in two distinct regions: the Western United States, which is primarily served by refineries located in the Pacific Coast region; and the Gulf Coast, Midwest and East Coast markets, which are primarily served by refineries located in the Gulf Coast region and imports of refined petroleum products from South America and Europe. Substantially all of TransMontaigne's supply, marketing and distribution operations occur in the Gulf Coast, Midwest and East Coast regions.

The U.S. Department of Energy divides the United States into five geographic regions. These regions are referred to as Petroleum Administration Defense Districts or PADDs. PADD III, which is the Gulf Coast region of the United States, is the largest petroleum refining hub in the U.S. with 55 refineries, responsible for approximately 47% of total U.S. daily refining capacity. The Gulf Coast historically has had an excess supply of refined petroleum products, which are shipped mainly to the East Coast and the Midwest. For the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2002, the Gulf Coast had average refined petroleum production of approximately 7.8 million barrels per day and average refined petroleum product consumption of approximately 3.7 million barrels per day. From 1992 to 2002, the amount of refined petroleum products shipped from the Gulf Coast region increased by approximately 20%, to approximately 4.2 million barrels per day. For the twelve-month period ended June 30, 2003, we purchased and scheduled for transportation out of the Gulf Coast approximately 216,000 barrels per day of refined petroleum products through pipelines and an additional 39,000 barrels per day of refined petroleum products by waterborne vessels.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

PADD II, which is the Midwest region, is the second largest PADD in terms of crude oil throughput capacity. Production of petroleum product by refiners located in the Midwest region historically has been less than the demand for such product within that region, resulting in product being supplied from surrounding regions, primarily from the Gulf Coast via common carrier pipelines including the Explorer, TEPPCO, Seaway, Phillips and Centennial pipelines. Supply also is available via barge transport up the Mississippi River with significant deliveries into local markets along the Ohio River. For the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2002, the Midwest region had average refined petroleum production of approximately 3.4 million barrels per day and average refined petroleum product consumption of approximately 4.6 million barrels per day.

PADD I is the East Coast region, and includes the Southeast, Mid-Atlantic and Northeast regions. Production of petroleum product by refiners located in the East Coast region historically has been less than the demand for such product within that region, resulting in product being supplied from surrounding regions, primarily from the Gulf Coast via the Colonial and Plantation pipelines, via barge

6

and tanker and also imported from foreign producers directly into East Coast ports. For the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2002, the East Coast region had average refined petroleum production of approximately 1.9 million barrels per day and average refined petroleum product consumption of approximately 5.7 million barrels per day.

We believe that our geographically diverse terminal infrastructure and our significant shipping history position us to take advantage of the supply and demand imbalances among the Gulf Coast, Midwest and East Coast regions.

Refining and distribution

Refining. Refineries in the Gulf Coast region, which are owned predominantly by major oil companies, refine crude oil into products that have fungible characteristics, such as sulfur content, octane level, Reid-vapor pressure, and chemical characteristics. The refined products initially are stored at the refineries' own terminal facilities. The refineries owned by major oil companies then schedule for delivery some of their product output to satisfy their own retail delivery obligations, at branded gasoline stations, for example, and sell the remainder of their product output to independent marketing and distribution companies, such as TransMontaigne, for resale. The major refineries typically prefer to sell their excess product to independent marketing and distribution companies rather than to other refineries, which are their primary competitors.

Transportation. For an independent marketing and distribution company to transport product to its terminals, it must schedule its product, at least five to eight days in advance, for shipment on common carrier pipelines. Common carrier pipelines are pipelines with published tariff rates that are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. These pipelines ship product in batches, with each batch consisting of fungible product owned by several different companies. Once in the pipeline, a product may take up to twenty plus days to move from the Gulf Coast to the New York market, with much of the product in the batch being delivered to terminals located along the routes of the common carrier pipelines. A batch of one product, gasoline for example will then be followed by a batch of different product, such as diesel fuel. Because the refineries produce all of the various types of refined products simultaneously, and because the demand for various product types must be met on a continuous basis, product shipments through the common carrier pipelines must be alternated in batches.

7

During periods of high demand for a particular product, companies may seek to schedule more product than the volume of the batch, in which case the common carrier pipelines will allocate volume based on the shipping history of each company seeking to ship in that batch. Companies that consistently ship significant amounts of product on common carrier pipelines are allocated space on these regulated pipelines for future shipments. Companies without significant shipping histories are not guaranteed similar space on the pipelines and have more difficulty shipping their product to various locations around the country when there is high demand for pipeline capacity to those locations. TransMontaigne has a significant shipping history on the Colonial, Plantation, Explorer and TEPPCO pipelines that allows us to ship product through these pipelines during periods of high demand for pipeline capacity.

As a batch of co-mingled product is shipped on a pipeline, each terminal along the way draws the volume of fungible product that is scheduled for that facility as the batch passes in the pipeline. Consequently, each terminal must monitor the type of product in the common carrier pipeline at any time to determine when to draw product scheduled for delivery to that terminal. In addition, both the common carrier pipeline and the terminal monitor the volume of product drawn to ensure that the precise amount scheduled for delivery at that location is actually received.

8

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

With respect to product that is shipped to marine terminals, specific volumes of product are loaded into tankers or barges at the ports connected to major refinery complexes and shipped to a marine terminal.

At both inland and marine terminals, the various refined petroleum products are stored in tanks. While each type of product continues to be fungible, different products must be segregated by tank. For example, because the characteristics of gasoline are required to be changed at least twice per year in many locations to meet government regulations, regular unleaded gasoline produced for winter cannot be stored in a tank together with regular unleaded gasoline produced for summer. Our 55 terminal facilities include over 720 tanks ranging in capacity from 1,000 to 300,000 barrels per tank.

Delivery. Each inland terminal has a tanker truck loading facility referred to as a "rack." Often, commercial and industrial end-users and independent retailers will rely on independent trucking companies to pick up product at the rack and transport it to the end-user or retailer at its location. A truck scheduled to pick up product at a terminal will drive up to a rack. The driver will swipe a magnetic card that identifies the customer purchasing the product, the carrier and the driver as well as the products to be pumped into the truck. Each truck holds an aggregate of approximately 8,000 gallons of various products in different compartments. Our computerized system also electronically reviews the credentials of the carrier, including insurance and certain mandated certifications, the credit of the customer and confirms the customer is within scheduled allocation limits. When all conditions are verified as being current and correct, the system authorizes the delivery of the product to the truck. As product is being loaded into the truck, additives are added into certain products, including all gasoline, to conform to government specifications and individual customer requirements. If a truck is loading gasoline for retail sale by an independent gasoline station, generic additives will be added to the gasoline as it is loaded into the truck. If the gasoline is for delivery to a branded retail gasoline station, the proprietary additive compound of that particular retailer will be added to the gasoline as it is loaded. The type and amount of additive are electronically and mechanically controlled by equipment located at the truck loading rack.

At marine terminals, the product will be stored in tanks and may be delivered to tanker trucks over a rack in the same manner as at an inland terminal. Product also may be delivered to cruise ships and other vessels, known as "bunkering," either at the dock, through a pipeline or truck, or by barge. Cruise ships typically require approximately 8,000 barrels, the equivalent of 42 truckloads, of product

8

per refueling. Bunker fuel is a mixture of diesel fuel and No. 6 oil. Each large vessel essentially requires its own mixture of bunker fuel to match the distinct characteristics of that ship's engines. Because the mixture for each ship requires precision to mix and deliver, cruise ships often prefer to refuel in United States ports with experienced companies.

Our Operations

Terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges

The refined petroleum product distribution system in the United States links refineries to end-users of gasoline and other refined petroleum products through a network of terminals, pipelines, tankers, barges, rail cars and trucks. Terminals play a key role in the delivery of product to wholesalers, retailers and end-users by providing storage, distribution, blending, injection and other ancillary services. The two basic types of terminals are inland terminals, which are supplied by pipelines, rail cars and trucks, and marine terminals, which are supplied by ships and barges.

We own and operate terminal infrastructure of 55 terminals with approximately 21.0 million barrels of aggregate capacity that handles refined petroleum products and other commercial liquids. At our terminals, we provide throughput, storage, injection and other distribution related services to wholesalers, distributors, marketers, retail gasoline station operators and industrial and commercial end-users of refined petroleum products and other commercial liquids. We currently own and operate the following terminal facilities:

- > 31 terminals with approximately 9.2 million barrels of capacity, located at various points along the Plantation and Colonial pipeline corridor, which extends from the Gulf Coast through the Southeast, Mid-Atlantic and Northeast regions;
- > 15 terminals with approximately 3.5 million barrels of capacity, located in the Midwest and upper and lower Mississippi River areas;
- > 8 terminals with approximately 6.0 million barrels of capacity, at various locations in Florida; and

>

1 terminal complex in Brownsville, Texas with approximately 2.2 million barrels of capacity.

Our network of terminals is geographically diverse with our largest terminal, the Brownsville complex, accounting for approximately 10% of our total capacity. Brownsville is uniquely situated in that its size and scope of operations enable it to handle a large majority of liquid products movements in the geographic area between Mexico and south Texas. Fee based revenue generating activities include storage tank rentals, truck scale operations, additive injection, steam generation and handling, direct transfer operations and product blending activities. In Florida, we own and operate nine tugboats and 13 barges and a proprietary pipeline in Port Everglades, which we use to transport our product to cruise ships and other marine vessels for refueling. We also use our tugs and barges to transport third party product from our storage tanks to their facilities and to relocate our product among our Florida terminals when needed to augment our capacity.

We use our tank capacity at our Florida terminals to blend diesel fuel and No. 6 oil into bunker fuel meeting our customers' specifications. In addition, we use our diesel fuel and No. 6 oil hydrant pipelines at Port Everglades to blend these products at dockside for direct delivery into our customers' vessels.

Along the Mississippi River we own and operate a dock facility in Baton Rouge, Louisiana that is interconnected to the Colonial Pipeline. This connection provides the ability to load product originating from the Colonial Pipeline onto barges for distribution up the Mississippi River, as well as

9

serves as an injection point into the Colonial Pipeline for product unloaded from barges transporting it down the Mississippi River.

We own, operate and currently are the sole shipper on an interstate refined petroleum products pipeline operating from Mt. Vernon, Missouri to Rogers, Arkansas known as the Razorback Pipeline, together with associated terminal facilities at Mt. Vernon and Rogers. The Rogers terminal, together with the Mt. Vernon terminal and Razorback Pipeline, allows us flexibility to ship product from the Gulf Coast to this Midwest market via its connection to the Explorer Pipeline. We also own and operate a small intrastate crude oil gathering pipeline system, located in east Texas known as the CETEX Pipeline.

We generate revenues in our terminal, pipeline and tug and barge operations from throughput fees, storage fees, additization fees, transportation fees, ship-assist fees and fees from other ancillary services.

Throughput Revenues. We earn throughput fees for each barrel of refined petroleum product that is distributed at our terminals through our supply and marketing efforts, through exchange agreements, or for third parties. A significant majority of the throughput at our terminals consists of product that we have purchased, marketed, sold and dispensed over the rack at our terminals. The remainder of the throughput volume at our terminals is generated from exchange agreements and throughput arrangements with third parties. Terminal throughput fees are based on the volume of products distributed at the facility's truck loading racks, generally at a standard rate per barrel of product. Unlike common-carrier pipeline services, terminal services are not subject to price (tariff) regulations, allowing the marketplace to determine the prices that are charged for services. With respect to fungible products, we enter into throughput agreements with customers who provide product to our terminal and agree to draw co-mingled product from that terminal at a later date. These customers prefer to take delivery of co-mingled product from us at our terminals and pay a throughput fee with respect to that product rather than leasing storage capacity.

For example, our supply, distribution and marketing business may purchase a specific volume of product in the Gulf Coast and enter into a sale agreement for the product in Washington, D.C. The product may be shipped to our terminals serving that area for delivery to the customer or the delivery obligation may be satisfied from our existing inventory in those terminals. In either event, the delivery of product from our terminal constitutes throughput. Third-party throughput operates in the same manner except that it is a third party that directs the product delivery to our terminals rather than our own supply, distribution and marketing business.

Exchange agreements generally are fixed term agreements that involve our receipt of a specified volume of product at one location in exchange for delivery by us of product at a different location. We enter into exchange agreements with major oil companies to increase throughput at our terminals and establish greater shipping history on the common carrier pipelines. We generally receive a fee based on the volume of the product exchanged. The exchange fee takes into account the terminal throughput fee, the cost of transportation from the receipt location to the delivery location, as well as a fee for "regrading" if we deliver one type of product and receive a different type of product. For example, if a major oil company has a one-year agreement to deliver premium gasoline in Atlanta, but does not have a terminal there, that company may enter into an exchange agreement with us whereby we will provide the product at our truck rack in Atlanta and, in exchange, they will provide us with product, which may be the same or a different grade of gasoline, in the Gulf Coast and pay us a negotiated fee.

Storage Revenues. We lease storage capacity at our terminals to third parties and earn a storage fee based on the volume of the storage capacity leased. Terminal storage fees generally are based on a per

10

barrel of leased capacity per month rate and will vary with the duration of the storage arrangement, the type of product stored and special handling requirements, particularly when certain types of chemicals and other commercial liquids are involved. For example, the entire 2.2 million barrel capacity at our Brownsville terminal facility is leased, or available for lease, to third parties.

Additization Revenues. Additization or injection is the process of injecting refined petroleum products with additives and dyes. Some injected products, such as detergent additives, are standard and are required to comply with governmental regulations, while other injected products are proprietary to certain of our customers. We provide injection services to our customers in connection with the delivery of product at our terminals. These fees are generally based on the volume of product injected and delivered over the rack at our terminals.

Pipeline Revenues. We earn pipeline transportation fees at our Razorback and CETEX pipelines based on the volume of product transported and the distance from the origin point to the delivery point. Tariff rates on the Razorback Pipeline are regulated by FERC. Transportation fees for the CETEX Pipeline are not regulated by FERC and are based on negotiated rates.

Barge and Ship-Assist Revenues. Our barges earn transportation fees from third parties at negotiated rates based on the volume of product that is shipped and the distance to the delivery point. Our barges also provide marine vessel fueling services, referred to as bunkering, at our Port Everglades/Ft. Lauderdale, Cape Canaveral, Port Manatee/Tampa and Fisher Island/Miami terminals. Bunkering fees are based on the volume and type of product sold. Our tugboats also earn fees for providing docking and other ship-assist services to cruise and cargo ships and other vessels in South Florida ports based on a per docking per tug basis.

Other Service Revenues. In addition to providing storage and distribution services at our terminal facilities, we also provide ancillary services including heating and mixing of stored products and product transfer services. Many heavy oil products, such as No. 6 oil, bunker fuel and asphalt require heating to keep them in a liquid state suitable for shipping. For example, heavy oil products may be transported to a terminal in non-insulated tank rail cars and, therefore, must be re-heated before being transferred into terminal storage tanks or into trucks or barges. We provide these heating services to our customers and charge negotiated fees based on the type and volume of product heated. We also earn transfer fees for transferring product between tanks and transportation equipment. For example, we would charge a fee to transfer product from a rail car or a barge to a storage tank at a customer's request. We also recognize revenues upon the sale of product to our supply, distribution, and marketing operation resulting from the excess of product deposited by third parties into our terminals over the amount of product that the customer is contractually permitted to withdraw from those terminals.

Supply, distribution and marketing

We generally purchase our inventory of refined petroleum products at prevailing prices from refiners and producers at production points and common trading locations along the Gulf Coasts of Texas and Louisiana. Once we purchase these products, we schedule them for delivery via pipelines and barges to our terminals, as well as terminals owned by third parties with which we have storage or throughput agreements, in the Midwest and East Coast regions. From these terminal locations, we then sell our products to customers primarily through three types of arrangements: rack sales, bulk sales and contract sales.

Rack Sales. Rack sales are spot sales that do not involve continuing contractual obligations to purchase or deliver product. Rack sales are priced and delivered on a daily basis through truck loading

11

racks or marine fueling equipment. At the end of each day for each of our terminals, we establish the selling price for each product for each of our delivery locations. We announce or "post" to independent local jobbers via facsimile, website, e-mail, and telephone communications the rack sale price of various products for the following morning. Typical rack sale purchasers include commercial and industrial end-users, independent retailers and small, independent marketers, referred to as "jobbers," who resell product to retail gasoline stations or other end-users. Our selling price of a particular product on a particular day is a function of our supply at that delivery location or terminal, our estimate of the costs to replenish the product at that delivery location, our desire to reduce inventory levels at that particular location that day and other factors.

We manage the physical quantity of our inventories of product through rack sales. Our rack sales volume for a particular product is sensitive to changes in price. If our objective is to increase rack sales volume for a particular product of ours at a specific delivery location, then we would

11

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

post the selling price of that product at the low end of the range of competitive prices being offered in the applicable market to induce purchasers in that market to choose to buy our product as opposed to product offered by competitors in that market. This would occur if, for example, we expect that prices for that product will decrease at that location in the near future or if we have significant deliveries scheduled to arrive at that location in the near term.

Bulk Sales. Bulk sales generally involve the sale of products in large quantities in the major cash markets including the Houston Gulf Coast, New York Harbor, Chicago, Illinois and the Tulsa, Oklahoma refining area. We also may make a bulk sale of products while the product is being transported in the common carrier pipelines or by barge or vessel. Finally, we may make a bulk sale to purchasers while our product is in the Gulf Coast prior to the time when this product enters the common carrier pipelines.

Supply disruptions, extreme weather, and other unforeseen factors may cause supply and demand imbalances in major cash markets around the country resulting in price differences, referred to as "basis differentials," between these markets. These price differences often exceed the costs of transporting product between the markets. Bulk sales of products are entered into with major oil companies and independent wholesalers and distributors who purchase product in the market to cover their delivery obligations during such periods of supply and demand imbalance. We capitalize on these variations by monitoring prices in the major cash markets, re-scheduling shipments and making bulk sales of product in the markets that achieve the highest value to us.

For example, a major oil company may become aware that it is going to have a production outage at its refinery in the Gulf Coast region and may determine that the outage will cause several of its terminals in the Northeast to be short of product within a few days. If the major oil company cannot replace the product, it could fail to meet delivery obligations from the affected terminals and, therefore, must turn to the market to supply its needs. In that case, if we had the required type and volume of product available, either located in a terminal or in-transit along a pipeline, we may enter into a bulk sales agreement to sell the product to the major oil company in exchange for cash.

Contract Sales. Contract sales are made pursuant to negotiated contracts, generally ranging from one to six months in duration, that we enter into with cruise ship operators, local market wholesalers, independent gasoline station chains, heating oil suppliers and other customers. Contract sales provide these customers with a specified volume of product during the agreement term. Delivery of product sold under these arrangements generally is at our truck racks or via our marine fueling equipment. At the customer's option, the pricing of the product delivered under a contract sale may be fixed at a stipulated price per gallon, or it may vary based on changes in published indices.

12

For example, we may enter into an agreement with a retail heating oil supplier in the Northeast to provide the supplier with heating oil, for delivery at our truck rack or a rack owned by a third party, during the high demand winter months at a fixed price.

Supply management services

Industrial, commercial and governmental entities with significant ground fleets need to ensure adequate fuel supplies for their fleet vehicles. For many of these companies and governmental entities, the cost of fuel is a significant expenditure and the administration and record keeping involved is burdensome. Some companies also maintain their own proprietary refueling facilities, which requires monitoring fuel levels, scheduling deliveries, controlling inventories and filing excise tax returns. Other companies use retail gasoline stations to refuel their vehicles, resulting in extensive payment handling as well as exposure to price differences among stations and price fluctuations in the market. In addition, companies that enter into their own risk management contracts to mitigate the effects of fuel price volatility are subject to complex accounting for such transactions that can be avoided by entering into sales agreements with third party providers of price management services. In response to these market needs, we developed our supply management services business segment. We provide supply management services to companies and governmental entities that desire to outsource their fuel supply function to focus their efforts on their core competencies and to reduce the price volatility associated with their fuel supplies for budgetary reasons. These services often include price management solutions that provide our customers an assured source of fuel at a predictable price. Our fleet customers include, among others, PepsiCo, Sysco Corporation, FedEx Corporation, Waste Management, Inc., Allied Waste Industries, Waste Connections, Inc., the Indiana Department of Transportation, and the City of Raleigh, North Carolina.

These customers use our proprietary web-based technology, which provides them the ability to budget their fuel costs while outsourcing all or a portion of their procurement, scheduling, routing, excise tax and payment processes. Using electronic metering equipment, we can monitor the amounts of product stored and delivered at our customers' proprietary refueling locations. In addition, through our strategic relationship with Comdata-Comchek MasterCard, we can monitor the volume of fuel purchased by our customers' ground fleet vehicles at retail truck stops and service stations.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

We currently offer three types of supply management services: delivered fuel price management, retail price management and logistical supply management services.

Delivered Fuel Price Management. Delivered fuel price management contracts involve the sales of committed quantities of specific motor fuels delivered to our customer's proprietary fleet refueling locations, at fixed prices for terms up to three years. On a daily basis, for each of our customer's facilities, we procure product, schedule delivery, manage local inventory quantities and summarize each customer's purchases by location and vehicle. Typical customers for delivered fuel price management services have large fleets of vehicles that drive fixed, scheduled routes, making refueling at a proprietary refueling location an attractive choice.

For example, we may enter into a delivered fuel price management contract with a customer that has storage and refueling facilities at its fleet operations centers. We will agree to deliver diesel fuel directly to the customer's proprietary refueling location at a fixed price per gallon. We then monitor the customer's fuel usage and schedule additional fuel deliveries as needed. We will provide the customer with a single invoice for all of the fuel deliveries that includes reconciliation of all bills of lading against deliveries and breaks out accumulated third-party transportation costs. This information is available to the customer on a customized web-based portal.

13

Retail Price Management. Retail price management contracts typically are entered into for a period of up to 18 months with customers that require flexibility in refueling locations, either because they do not have proprietary refueling facilities or because they generally do not operate along fixed routes. Under these arrangements, customers commit to a specific monthly notional quantity of product within one or more metropolitan areas. The customer's drivers will purchase fuel at a retail gasoline station within the metropolitan area and use their Comdata-Comchek MasterCard to pay the retail price at that station. We then settle with our customer the net financial difference between a stipulated retail price index for that metropolitan area and our customer's contract price on a monthly basis. If the contract price is less than the average indexed price, we will pay the customer the net difference. If the contract price exceeds the average indexed price, the customer will pay us the net difference. In either case, the customer will have effectively managed its exposure to fuel costs at the contract price. Through our proprietary web-based software, our customers receive a monthly report of each of these activities. Typical customers for retail price management services include companies that have large fleets that are dispatched to specific service or delivery locations on an as-needed basis.

For example, we may enter into a retail price management contract with a customer for a price per gallon of gasoline equal to a stipulated retail price index plus a negotiated fee. The customer's fleet drivers are able to purchase fuel at almost any retail gasoline station using their Comdata-Comchek MasterCard. At the time of purchase, the driver pays for the gasoline using the company fleet card, and the vehicle number and the amount and price of fuel purchased are recorded. Comdata-Comchek MasterCard sends daily electronic reports to us indicating a summary of the data collected by the credit cards. This information is made available to the customer on our proprietary web-site. We then settle the net difference between the indexed price and the customer's contract price on a monthly basis.

Logistical Supply Management. Under our logistical supply management arrangements, we provide our proprietary web-based refined petroleum product procurement, inventory management, scheduling, routing, excise tax and consolidated billing services to customers on a stand alone basis without any delivery or price management products. These services also are often integrated with our Comdata-Comchek MasterCard relationship, thereby affording our customers complete flexibility to obtain their supply of products at almost any retail gasoline station. These services typically are charged to the customer on a per gallon basis or at negotiated rates. Typical logistical service customers include governments and customers that are seeking to outsource or streamline record keeping functions but are willing to continue to bear price fluctuations. Often, a customer will initially contract for logistical supply management services and later use our delivered fuel price management or retail price management services.

For example, a customer may want the benefits of a single invoice for all fuel purchases and the ability to manage its fuel usage on-line. We provide access to fuel purchase data in real time, providing an automated platform for analysis tailored to each customer. In addition, many customers have diverse logistical requirements, buying fuel in bulk, at retail locations and through mobile refueling services. We can provide integrated management of all supply and logistical requirements for our customers' bulk locations and use our Comdata-Comchek MasterCard relationship to manage the retail and mobile refueling volumes. The company fleet card would capture the fueling transaction data for the bulk, retail and mobile refueling activity facilitating customized reporting on our proprietary web site. Our customers benefit from a single resource for the procurement, pricing and reporting of all fuel data regardless of the logistical requirements.

We have received a revenue ruling from the Internal Revenue Service that allows us to provide state and local government vehicle fleets with a simplified process for managing and obtaining fuel tax exemptions. State and local governments are exempt from paying federal excise taxes on the fuel

14

13

consumed by their vehicle fleets. Normally, fleet vehicles would purchase gasoline at retail gasoline stations, where excise taxes are included in the price of gasoline, and the government agency would file a return to obtain a refund of excise taxes paid. By using our supply management services, these tax-exempt government fleets can purchase fuel at almost any retail location using their Comdata-Comchek MasterCard. Comdata pays the merchant and transfers the balance to our account. We then bill our customer net of federal excise taxes. We file all necessary excise tax returns on behalf of these customers with the applicable taxing authorities and we receive a credit against our other excise tax payment obligations. We believe that this additional service gives us a competitive advantage that will allow us to attract additional government fleet customers.

Recent Acquisitions

Coastal Fuels assets

On February 28, 2003, we acquired the Coastal Fuels assets, including five Florida terminals, with aggregate storage capacity of approximately 4.9 million barrels, and a related tug and barge operation. The purchase price for the transaction was approximately \$156 million, including approximately \$37 million of inventory.

The Coastal Fuels assets primarily provide sales and storage of bunker fuel, No. 6 oil, diesel fuel and gasoline at Cape Canaveral, Port Manatee/Tampa, Port Everglades/Ft. Lauderdale and Fisher Island/Miami, and storage of asphalt at Jacksonville, Florida. In addition, the Coastal Fuels assets facilities provide a variety of third-party lease capacity to the asphalt, jet fuel, power generation and crude oil industries.

With the addition of the Coastal Fuels assets, we have significantly expanded our existing Florida operations at our Port Everglades and Tampa terminals. In addition, the acquisition of the Coastal Fuels assets provide the following benefits:

- > we have established a leading presence in key bunkering locations in various Florida ports, including the Ports of Miami, Port Everglades, Cape Canaveral and Tampa;
- > the ports served are among the top cruise ship ports in the U.S., providing steady year-round demand with greater demand in the winter months;
- > the terminals are located primarily in areas with limited opportunity for new terminal expansion because of zoning, land values and environmental considerations;
- > no refineries exist in Florida and the major Florida markets are served by waterborne vessels due to the absence of major product supply pipelines;
- > Florida is one of the fastest growing states in population, with additional potential demand growth in both the cruise ship bunkering and light oil businesses;
- > the Coastal Fuels assets include the only pipeline hydrant delivery system serving Port Everglades, which allows a more efficient refueling process than barge to ship refueling; and
- > a number of opportunities to increase operational efficiency exist with our current operations in Florida.

Fairfax, Virginia terminal

On January 31, 2003, we acquired a 500,000 barrel terminal in Fairfax, Virginia, which extended our supply, distribution and marketing presence in the Mid-Atlantic market. The Fairfax terminal supplies

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

petroleum products to the Washington D.C. market and receives product off the Colonial Pipeline. The strategic reasons for acquiring the Fairfax terminal included:

- > the attractive geographic location of the terminal:
 - the terminal expands our delivery capabilities into and around Washington, D.C.;
 - the terminal is located in an area with limited opportunity for new terminal expansion because of zoning, land values and environmental considerations; and
 - the Washington, D.C. area is growing and provides future growth opportunities.
- > potential synergies that would result with our existing terminal infrastructure along the Colonial Pipeline.

Norfolk, Virginia terminal

On October 1, 2003, we closed on the purchase of a 900,000 barrel terminal in Norfolk, Virginia, which increased our supply, distribution and marketing presence in the Mid-Atlantic market. The strategic reasons for acquiring the Norfolk terminal included:

- > an opportunity to realize operating synergies by combining these operations with our existing Norfolk, Virginia terminal;
- > the acquired terminal provides us with additional storage in the market; and
- > the terminal has a docking facility that will permit us to receive shipments from and deliver shipments to the water.

Risk management

Our risk management committee, composed of senior executives of TransMontaigne, has established risk management policies to monitor and manage price risks. Our risk management strategy generally is intended to maintain a balanced position of forward sale and forward purchase commitments, discretionary inventories held for immediate sale or exchange and risk management contracts, thereby reducing exposure to commodity price fluctuations. We evaluate our exposure to commodity price risk from an overall portfolio basis that considers the continuous movement of discretionary inventory volumes held for immediate sale or exchange and our obligations to deliver products at fixed prices through our sales contracts and supply management contracts. Our physical inventory position, which includes firm commitments to buy and sell product, is reconciled daily through the use of our inventory monitoring equipment and software and that net position is offset with risk management contracts, principally futures contracts on the NYMEX. Futures contracts are obligations to purchase or sell a specific volume of product at a fixed price at a future date.

We purchase product primarily from refineries along the Gulf Coast in Texas and Louisiana. To the extent that we have physical inventory or purchase commitments without corresponding agreements to sell the product for physical delivery to third parties, we enter into a futures contract on the NYMEX to sell product at a specified future date and, thereby, reduce our exposure to changes in commodity prices. Upon sale of the physical inventory of product to a third party, we enter into a futures contract that offsets all or a portion of the original futures contract and, effectively, cancels our original NYMEX position to the extent of the product sold. If there is correlation in price changes between the forward price curve in the futures market and the value of physical products in the cash market, the net losses on our risk management activities should be offset by the net operating margins we receive when we sell the underlying discretionary inventory. Therefore, in order to effectively manage

commodity price risk, we must predict when we will sell the underlying product. If we fail to accurately predict the timing of those future sales, and the product remains in our inventory longer than the expiration date of the futures contract, we must settle the old futures contract and enter into a new futures contract to sell the product to manage the commodity price risk against the same inventory. We refer to this as "rolling" the risk management contracts. Furthermore, we may be unable to precisely match the underlying product in our futures contracts with the exact type of product in our physical inventory. To the extent that price fluctuations of the product covered by the NYMEX futures contract does not match the price fluctuations of the product in our physical inventory, our exposure may not be mitigated.

We also manage our exposure to commodity price risks in our supply management services business. At the execution of each contract for which we provide price management solutions, we either purchase an appropriate supply of motor fuel or we enter into NYMEX futures contracts in volumes equal to the customer's contractual commitment to purchase product to mitigate our exposure to commodity price fluctuations throughout the contract period. However, with respect to a portion of our contracts, we are unable to precisely match the underlying product in our risk management contract to the exact type of product contemplated by our sales contract, delivered fuel price management contract or retail price management contract. To the extent that the price fluctuations of the product covered in our sales contracts do not match the price fluctuations of the product covered by the NYMEX futures contract that we use, our exposure may not be entirely mitigated.

There are certain risks that we either do not attempt to manage or that cannot be completely managed. For example, we generally do not manage the price risk relating to basis differentials. We attempt to capitalize on basis differentials by transporting product to the delivery location that maximizes the value of the product to us. These basis differentials create opportunities for increased operating margins when we successfully exploit the highest value location for sales of our discretionary inventories of products. However, the margins created from exploiting these market inefficiencies do not occur evenly or predictably from period to period and may cause fluctuations in our results of operations.

Our existing operations require us to maintain base operating inventory volumes of approximately 2.9 million barrels, consisting primarily of product in transit on common carrier pipelines. We also maintain product linefill and tank bottom volumes of approximately 0.9 million barrels in our terminals and pipelines. Our base operating inventory volumes and product linefill and tank bottom volumes are collectively referred to by us as our minimum volumes. We generally do not manage the commodity price risk relating to minimum volumes because these volumes generally are not available for immediate sale or exchange. As a result, any futures contracts used to manage the commodity price risk relating to the minimum volumes would have to be continuously rolled from period to period, which, during unfavorable market conditions, would result in a realized loss on the futures contract without the realization of an offsetting gain in the value of the base operating inventory. Changes in our operation, such as the acquisition of additional terminals, may result in changes to our minimum volumes.

Our risk management policy, however, allows our management team the discretion under certain market conditions to manage the commodity price risk relating to up to 500,000 barrels of our base operating inventory, which would reduce the unmanaged inventory to approximately 3.3 million barrels, or to leave unmanaged up to 500,000 barrels of our discretionary inventory available for immediate sale or exchange, which would increase our unmanaged inventory to approximately 4.3 million barrels. Management is allowed this discretion in order to create the opportunity to capture financial gains, or prevent financial losses, on predictable price movements with respect to up to 500,000 barrels of physical product. We decide whether to manage the commodity price risk

17

relating to a portion of our base operating inventory or to leave a portion of our discretionary inventory available for immediate sale or exchange unmanaged depending on our expectations of future market changes. To the extent that we do not manage the commodity price risk relating to a portion of our inventory and commodity prices move adversely, we could suffer losses on that inventory. If, however, prices move favorably, we would realize a gain on the sale of the inventory that we would not realize if substantially all of our inventory was managed.

All of our futures contracts are traded on the NYMEX and, therefore, require daily settlements for changes in commodity prices. Unfavorable commodity price changes subject us to margin calls that require us to provide cash collateral to the NYMEX in amounts that may be material. For example, we may enter into a futures contract to manage the commodity price risk relating to discretionary inventory held for immediate sale or exchange. If commodity prices rise before the expiration date of the futures contract, it will be "out of the money," which means that we will be obligated to deposit funds to cover a margin call based on the increase in the commodity price. If commodity prices fall before the expiration date of the futures contract, a portion of our margin call deposits with the NYMEX will be returned to us. If there is correlation in pricing and timing between the futures market and the physical products market, the net changes in our margin position should be offset by the net operating margins we receive when we sell the underlying discretionary inventory. We use our credit lines to fund these margin calls, but such funding requirements could exceed our ability to access capital. If we are unable to meet these margin calls with borrowings or cash on hand, we would be forced to sell product to meet the margin calls or to unwind futures contracts. If we are forced to sell product to meet margin calls, we may have to sell at prices or in locations that are not advantageous, and could incur financial losses as a result.

Industry Trends

Petroleum imports and Gulf Coast production

United States crude oil production has declined from 7.2 million barrels per day in 1992 to 5.7 million barrels per day in 2002. Imports of petroleum from the Middle East, South America and elsewhere have increased substantially over this period from 7.9 million barrels per day in 1992 to 11.5 million barrels per day in 2002. Domestic crude oil production may be refined at any of the regional refineries around the United States. However, the imported crude oil generally is shipped by vessel into the Gulf Coast for processing at the large refining complexes. Crude oil production in the Gulf of Mexico, one of the largest sources of domestic production, also is refined primarily in these Gulf Coast refineries. The refined petroleum products then are shipped to other regions of the United States. We believe that this trend will lead to more refined petroleum product shipment from the Gulf Coast to the Midwest and East Coast, requiring additional transportation and storage capacity in the Midwest and East Coast.

New sulfur regulations

In February 2002, the Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, promulgated the Tier 2 Motor Vehicle Emissions Standards Final Rule for all passenger vehicles, establishing standards for sulfur content in gasoline. These regulations mandate that the average sulfur content of gasoline for highway use produced at any refinery not exceed 30 parts per million during any calendar year by January 1, 2006. In addition, in January 2001, the EPA promulgated its on-road diesel regulations, which will require a 97% reduction in the sulfur content of diesel fuel sold for highway use by June 1, 2006. Regulations for off-road diesel equipment also are pending. The stricter regulations will require refining companies to make significant capital expenditures to upgrade their facilities to comply with the new standards. Because of the technical sophistication and the capital outlays that will be required

18

for compliance with such regulations, the large oil companies with major refining operations in the Gulf Coast are expected to be better prepared to meet the new standards than the smaller independent refiners. The large oil companies also may choose to partially refine crude oil in the larger and better-equipped Gulf Coast refineries for the purpose of reducing its sulfur content, and then ship the partially refined product to their smaller and less technically sophisticated inland refineries for final processing. We believe that these trends will lead to more refined petroleum product shipment from the Gulf Coast to the Midwest and East Coast, requiring additional transportation and storage capacity in the Midwest and East Coast.

Consolidation and specialization

In the 1990's, the petroleum industry entered a period of consolidation and specialization.

- > Refiners and marketers began to pursue development of large-scale, cost-efficient operations, thus leading to several refinery acquisitions, alliances and joint ventures. The companies involved in several of the mergers of large oil companies have sold retail and terminal assets in order to rationalize merged operations, and to comply with legal requirements to divest assets in certain geographic markets.
- > Major oil companies also began to re-deploy their resources to focus on their core competencies of exploration and production, refining and retail marketing. Industry participants have sought to sell portions of their proprietary transportation and storage and distribution networks.

This industry trend towards consolidation and specialization has created opportunities to capitalize on storage and distribution services. We expect that acquisition opportunities will continue to be generated as this trend continues.

The growth in Gulf Coast refining capacity has resulted in part from consolidation in the petroleum industry to take advantage of economies of scale from operating larger, concentrated refineries. The growth in refining capacity and increased product flow attributable to the Gulf Coast region has created a need for additional transportation, storage and distribution facilities in the Gulf Coast, Midwest and East Coast regions. The competition among refiners resulting from the consolidation trend, combined with continued environmental pressures, governmental regulations and market conditions, increasingly is resulting in the closing of smaller, independent inland refiners, creating even greater demand for petroleum products refined by the major oil companies in the Gulf Coast region.

Hypermarkets and alternative retail gasoline outlets

The retail distribution of gasoline is experiencing a transformation as consumer consumption patterns are moving away from gasoline distributed at the retail outlets of large oil companies, or "branded gasoline," toward unbranded gasoline from independent retail outlets offering lower prices and convenient locations. For example, many hypermarkets, grocery stores, convenience stores, discount retailers and wholesale outlets have installed gasoline pumps in their parking lots as a way to expand their product and service offerings and to allow their customers the benefit of "one-stop shopping." The increase in popularity of unbranded outlets has created new sales and distribution opportunities for independent petroleum product suppliers.

Competitive Strengths

We believe that we have the following competitive strengths, which allow us to take advantage of the industry trends outlined above:

Significant asset base and shipping history

The Gulf Coast is a large shipper of refined petroleum products to the Midwest and East Coast regions. We have a geographically diverse network of terminals that allows us to take advantage of the differences between supply in the Gulf Coast and demand in the Midwest and East Coast. Our size, both in terms of number of terminals and total storage capacity, compares favorably with any integrated oil company. Regionally, in the Southeast region and in Florida, we have the largest amount of aggregate terminal storage capacity.

This geographic diversity also allows us to quickly sell our product inventory from time to time in one or more locations while maximizing value to us. To purchase products in the Gulf Coast and sell the products in the Midwest and East Coast, it is necessary to have a shipping history on common carrier pipelines and an extensive network of terminals. Our shipping history on the Colonial, Plantation, Explorer and TEPPCO pipelines allows us to ship large volumes of products over these pipelines to our and third-party terminals. This shipping history provides us the benefit of allocated space on these common carrier pipelines during high demand periods, which is an advantage over competitors that do not have as significant a shipping history when pipeline capacity is over-subscribed.

We believe that we will be able to further capitalize on our network of terminals in the Gulf Coast, Midwest and East Coast following implementation of the new sulfur standards promulgated by the EPA. We anticipate that refining companies will be required to make significant capital expenditures to upgrade facilities to comply with such new sulfur regulations. Because of the technical sophistication and the capital outlays that will be required for compliance with such regulations, we expect that the large oil companies with major refining operations in the Gulf Coast will gain a competitive advantage over the smaller independent refiners. We believe that this will lead to more petroleum product shipment from the Gulf Coast to the Midwest and the East Coast, and require additional storage capacity in the Midwest and East Coast, providing additional growth opportunities for us.

Ability to link asset base, product supply and management services

Our supply, distribution and marketing operations and our terminal, pipeline and tug and barge operations each utilize and benefit from each other, creating opportunities to realize additional value in each of our business segments that could not be realized if each business segment were operated independently.

Our supply, distribution and marketing operations generally use our terminal, tug and barge and pipeline infrastructure to market various products and provide specialized supply, logistical and risk management services to our customers. A significant portion of the throughput on our terminal and pipeline infrastructure is driven by our own supply, distribution and marketing business. As a result, we do not rely solely on third parties for our throughput activity.

We own and operate terminals located throughout the regions served by four major petroleum product pipelines on which we have a significant shipping history. In addition, we own and operate a petroleum product pipeline and a fleet tugboats and barges. Also, we own and operate a dock strategically located on the Mississippi River with an interconnection to the Colonial Pipeline. We also have substantial experience in managing complex petroleum product supply and demand arrangements, utilizing equipment and software, that allow us to monitor supplies in all of our facilities on a daily basis.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Because we link our asset base with our supply, distribution and marketing operations, we have the flexibility to market product during adverse market conditions to meet our contractual volume obligations, maintain our common carrier pipeline shipping history and generate throughput revenues.

Our geographically diverse terminal infrastructure allows our supply, distribution and marketing operations to pursue product purchase and sale opportunities across various regions in transactions that maximize value to us. For example, if we have product in the Colonial Pipeline, which serves the Mid-Atlantic and the Northeast, but there is a supply disruption in Chicago, we can take advantage of our Baton Rouge dock facility to redirect the product by drawing it off the Colonial Pipeline and loading it on barges for shipment to the Chicago area to take advantage of the basis differential. We then quickly evaluate whether the redirection of this shipment will result in shortages at any of our other terminals along the Colonial Pipeline and, if so, reduce demand at those terminals by posting a higher rack sales price. In addition, we can purchase additional product in the Gulf Coast region and take advantage of our extensive shipping history to be allocated pipeline capacity to increase subsequent shipments on the Colonial Pipeline to make up any shortfall caused by the original redirection of product to Chicago.

Supply management services

In order to operate more efficiently and to reduce overhead costs, many companies and governmental entities have begun to outsource their fuel supply function. This trend is creating an emerging market for services that allow these customers to focus their efforts on their core competencies and to reduce the price volatility associated with fuel supply for budgetary reasons. We provide a broad scope of services that include fuel supply, monitoring, excise tax administration and price management solutions, allowing our customers to obtain all of the required fuel supply management functions from a single source. We believe that we are the only significant independent fuel supply management services provider in the United States offering this extensive suite of services.

Technology and back-office infrastructure

We have assembled monitoring equipment and software to create an integrated, flexible system that allows us to effectively manage petroleum products throughout our terminal, pipeline and water-borne infrastructure on a real time basis.

All of our terminals are equipped with equipment to monitor product supplies and outflows as well as for any environmentally harmful releases of product, such as leaks or spills. This equipment is interconnected electronically with our central inventory management office and automatically reports supply levels in all of our facilities several times daily. The electronic linkage of our terminals with our product supply function creates an inherent competitive strength by allowing us to make real time decisions on product purchases and sales.

We use a magnetic card system at our terminals that allows us to control product sales deliveries and also allows us to manage our credit risk exposure. Each of our rack customers is given a magnetic card that can be used only at our terminals. Upon arrival at one of our racks, the driver of the truck swipes the magnetic card and inputs a product and volume request. This information is processed through our computerized inventory management system to determine the credentials of the carrier and whether the driver's product and volume request is within the customer's allocation of product for that month. The system also determines if the customer is current in its payments to us. If it is determined that the customer's allocation of product already has been drawn or if the customer is delinquent in paying its invoices to us, then the sale will not be allowed. The magnetic card system at each terminal is interconnected with our inventory management and billing system.

21

We also use a proprietary web-based system in our supply management services business that allows us to provide refined petroleum product procurement, inventory management, scheduling, routing and excise tax and consolidated billing services to our customers. Through our relationship with Comdata-Comchek MasterCard, we provide integrated billing services to our supply management services customers. These customers receive MasterCard credit cards that are distributed to their fleet vehicle operators for use in purchasing gasoline at any retail gasoline station that accepts MasterCard as a method of payment. On a daily basis, we receive information on these accounts electronically from Comdata-Comchek MasterCard into our billing system. This information is posted on our web-based system which can be accessed by our supply management services customers, allowing them to closely monitor fuel usage and costs by vehicle on a real time basis.

The refined petroleum products that arrive at terminals do not have excise taxes included in their price. At the time the products are sold over the rack, however, excise tax must be added to the price and paid by the purchasers of our products. The process of calculating, collecting, paying and reporting the excise taxes imposed by state and federal authorities requires extensive knowledge, expertise and administrative infrastructure. For example, we may make a delivery of gasoline at our rack that is located in one state to a truck that will transport the fuel to a neighboring state. Because taxation rules differ among locations, we must keep track of where the fuel will be ultimately delivered, charge the appropriate excise tax and file excise tax returns in the appropriate jurisdictions. We have developed an infrastructure to administer excise taxes on product that is handled at our terminals.

19

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

We also have substantial experience in managing complex petroleum product supply and demand arrangements. Our back office and technology infrastructure has been established through significant time and capital commitments and gives us an advantage over competitors.

Strong management team

Our executive management team has extensive industry experience and several members of the team have worked together for over 20 years. Several members of executive management were instrumental in building Associated Natural Gas Corporation, a natural gas gathering, processing and marketing company, into a company with an enterprise value of over \$800 million at the time of its 1994 sale to Panhandle Eastern Corporation.

Strategies

The goal of our business strategies is to enhance our position as a leading independent provider of integrated refined petroleum products terminal, storage, supply, distribution and marketing services. Our strategies include:

- > Capitalize on the acquisition of the Coastal Fuels assets in Florida.
 - We intend to take advantage of the steady year-round demand in the ports served.
 - We intend to pursue growth opportunities in both the cruise ship bunkering and light oil businesses.
 - We intend to expand our bunkering service to shipping markets outside of the cruise ship industry.
 - > Capitalize on our infrastructure by linking our significant asset base to our supply, distribution and marketing business.
-

22

We intend to take advantage of our extensive network of terminals, as well as our shipping history on common carrier pipelines, to exploit supply and demand variations and basis differentials among the Gulf Coast, Midwest and East Coast regions.

We intend to use our significant terminal capacity to meet the growing demand for boutique blends of gasoline spurred by recent and anticipated changes in government regulations.

We intend to capitalize on the favorable location of our Baton Rouge docking facility, which allows us to transfer product between the Colonial Pipeline which serves the East Coast, and the Mississippi River, which serves portions of the Midwest. This allows us to redirect product to the Midwest or the East Coast to take advantage of basis differentials.

We intend to capitalize on the favorable location of, and significant capacity at, our Brownsville terminal complex. The Brownsville terminal complex is the primary provider for its area. A pipeline is scheduled for completion in 2003 that will carry product between Mexico and the United States and will terminate at the Brownsville terminal complex.

- > Pursue Attractive Acquisitions.

We intend to acquire additional terminal and storage facilities that will either complement our existing asset base and distribution capabilities, or provide entry into new markets. In light of the recent industry trend large energy companies divesting their distribution and terminal operations, we believe there will continue to be significant acquisition opportunities.

> Actively pursue new sales and distribution opportunities by marketing our services to hypermarkets.

> Expand our supply management services.

We intend to expand our existing supply management team and equipment to enable us to provide supply management services to additional customers with large ground transportation fleets.

We intend to actively market our supply management solution for managing and obtaining excise tax exemptions on fuel purchases to government fleet customers.

> Continue to manage our exposure to commodity price volatility.

Our risk management strategy allows us to continue to have product throughput at our terminals regardless of commodity price volatility, permitting us to buy, market and sell product and services even during adverse commodity market conditions.

Our risk management strategy also allows us to keep our efforts focused on maximizing the value of our physical assets and expanding our supply management services business.

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations covering the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, and which require expenditures for remediation at various operating facilities, as well as expenditures in connection with the construction of new facilities. We believe that our operations and facilities are in material compliance with applicable environmental regulations. Environmental laws and regulations have changed substantially and rapidly over the last 20 years, and we anticipate that there will be continuing changes in the future. The trend in environmental regulation is to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may impact the environment, such as emissions of pollutants, generation and disposal of wastes and use and handling of chemical substances. Increasingly strict

23

environmental restrictions and limitations have resulted in increased operating costs for us and other businesses throughout the United States, and the costs of compliance with environmental laws and regulations may continue to increase. We will attempt to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and to plan accordingly to remain in compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations and to minimize the costs of such compliance. We do not anticipate that we will be required in the near future to expend amounts that are material in relation to our total capital expenditures program to comply with environmental laws and regulations, but inasmuch as such laws and regulations are frequently changed, we are unable to predict the ultimate costs of compliance.

TransMontaigne's operations require environmental permits under various federal, state and local environmental statutes and regulations. The cost involved in obtaining and renewing these permits is not material.

Water

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, as renamed and amended as the Clean Water Act or CWA, imposes strict controls against the discharge of oil and its derivatives into navigable waters. The CWA provides penalties for any discharges of petroleum products in reportable quantities and imposes substantial potential liability for the costs of removing an oil or hazardous substance spill. State laws for the control of water pollution also provide for various civil and criminal penalties and liabilities in the event of a release of petroleum or its derivatives in surface waters or into the groundwater. Spill prevention control and countermeasure requirements of federal laws require appropriate containment berms and similar structures to help prevent the contamination of navigable waters in the event of a petroleum tank spill, rupture or leak. A containment berm is an earthen or cement barrier, impervious to liquids, which surrounds a storage tank holding between 1,000 and

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

500,000 gallons of petroleum products or other hazardous materials and used to prevent spilling and extensive damage to the environment. The berm is a form of secondary containment with the storage tank itself being the primary instrument of containment.

Contamination resulting from spills or releases of refined petroleum products is an inherent risk in the petroleum terminal and pipeline industry. To the extent that groundwater contamination requiring remediation exists around the assets we own as a result of past operations, we believe any such contamination can be controlled or remedied without having a material adverse effect on our financial condition. However, such costs are often unpredictable and are site specific and, therefore, the effect may be material in the aggregate.

The primary federal law for oil spill liability is the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, which addresses three principal areas of oil pollution prevention, containment and cleanup. It applies to vessels, offshore platforms, and onshore facilities, including terminals, pipelines and transfer facilities. In order to handle, store or transport oil, shore facilities are required to file oil spill response plans with the United States Coast Guard, the United States Department of Transportation Office of Pipeline Safety, or OPS, or the EPA. Numerous states have enacted laws similar to OPA. Under OPA and similar state laws, responsible parties for a regulated facility from which oil is discharged may be liable for removal costs and natural resources damages. We believe that we are in material compliance with regulations pursuant to OPA and similar state laws.

The EPA has adopted regulations that require us to obtain permits to discharge certain storm water run-off. Storm water discharge permits also may be required by certain states in which we operate. Such permits may require us to monitor and sample the effluent from our operations. We believe that we are in material compliance with effluent limitations at our facilities.

24

Water permits are required for various types of terminal stormwater discharges. There are no TransMontaigne terminal locations that discharge any type of process wastewater. Such discharges generally fall into two categories: petroleum contact and non-contact. The sources of contact water are the truck loading operations at some of the terminals. Many TransMontaigne terminal locations do not have contact water discharges, and thus no need for discharge permits, by virtue of employment of closed-loop water handling systems. The water generated in these systems is transported offsite and disposed of properly. At locations where contact water is discharged on site, permit conditions dictate control technology requirements, effluent limitations and confirmation sampling. Non-contact stormwater is generated at most terminal locations, primarily from rainfall collection in aboveground storage tank secondary containment enclosures or dikes. Various types of permits regulate these discharges, with most being "General" state-wide industry specific mechanisms. The cost involved in obtaining and renewing these permits is not material.

Air emissions

Our operations are subject to the federal Clean Air Act and comparable state and local statutes. The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 require most industrial operations in the United States to incur capital expenditures to meet the air emission control standards that are developed and implemented by the EPA and state environmental agencies. Pursuant to the Clean Air Act, any of our facilities that emit volatile organic compounds or nitrogen oxides and are located in ozone non-attainment areas face increasingly stringent regulations, including requirements to install various levels of control technology on sources of pollutants. Some of our facilities have been included within the categories of hazardous air pollutant sources. The Clean Air Act regulations are still being implemented by the EPA and state agencies. We believe that we are in material compliance with existing standards and regulations pursuant to the Clean Air Act and similar state and local laws, and we do not anticipate that implementation of additional regulations will have a material adverse effect on us.

Air permits are required for TransMontaigne's terminaling operations that result in the emission of regulated air contaminants. These operations in general include fugitive volatile organic compounds (primarily hydrocarbons) from truck loading activities and tank working losses. The sources of these emissions are strictly regulated through the permitting process. Such regulation includes stringent control technology, extensive permit review and periodic renewal. The cost involved in obtaining and renewing these permits is not material.

CERCLA

Other than Coastal Fuels Marketing Inc. ("CFMI"), neither TransMontaigne nor any of its subsidiaries is a named party in any CERCLA related action. CFMI, which is now a wholly owned subsidiary of TransMontaigne, had been named as a PRP in three State of Florida CERCLA actions which originated from waste disposal by third parties at off-site locations prior to TransMontaigne's acquisition of CFMI from El Paso Corporation in 2003. TransMontaigne has been indemnified by El Paso for any costs TransMontaigne may incur for these issues. Due diligence research at the time of the acquisition of CFMI indicated that El Paso would not be likely to incur any future costs related to these actions; a worst-case analysis estimated El Paso's potential exposure at a total of \$850,000.

All of TransMontaigne's terminal facilities are classified by the USEPA as Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generators and do not generate hazardous waste except on isolated and infrequent cases. At such times, only third party disposal sites which have been audited and approved by TransMontaigne are used.

Tariff Regulations

The Razorback Pipeline, which runs between Mt. Vernon, Missouri and Rogers, Arkansas, is an interstate petroleum products pipeline and is subject to regulation by FERC under the Interstate Commerce Act and the Energy Policy Act of 1992 and rules and orders promulgated under those statutes. FERC regulation requires that interstate oil pipeline rates be posted publicly and that these rates be "just and reasonable" and nondiscriminatory. Rates of interstate oil pipeline companies are currently regulated by FERC primarily through an index methodology, whereby a pipeline is allowed to change its rates based on the change from year to year in the Producer Price Index for finished goods, less 1%. In the alternative, interstate oil pipeline companies may elect to support rate filings by using a cost-of-service methodology, competitive market showings or actual agreements between shippers and the oil pipeline company.

The CETEX Pipeline, our intrastate crude oil pipeline located in east Texas, is subject to regulation by the Texas Railroad Commission. Texas regulations require that intrastate tariffs be filed with the Texas Railroad Commission and allows shippers to challenge such tariffs.

Under current FERC regulations, we are permitted to charge "just and reasonable," non-discriminatory tariffs for the transportation of refined products through the Razorback Pipeline. Given our ability to utilize either posted rates subject to increases tied to the Producer Price Index, to utilize rates tied to cost of service methodology, competitive market showing or actual agreements between shippers and TransMontaigne, we do not believe that these regulations would have any negative material monetary impact on us unless the regulations were substantially modified in such a manner so as to prevent a pipeline transportation company's ability to earn a fair return for the shipment of petroleum products utilizing its transportation system, which we believe to be an unlikely scenario.

Likewise, Texas tariff regulations administered by the Texas Railroad Commission permit transporting pipeline companies to obtain a fair return for utilization of their transportation system, although such tariffs are subject to challenge by shippers should the shipper deem such tariffs to be excessive. Again, unless the Texas Railroad Commission regulations were materially modified so as to prevent a pipeline transportation company from earning a fair and reasonable return for the shipment of crude oil utilizing its transportation system, these regulations would not have a negative material monetary impact on us.

Safety Regulation

We are subject to regulation by the United States Department of Transportation under the Accountable Pipeline and Safety Partnership Act of 1996, sometimes referred to as the Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Act, or HLPESA, and comparable state statutes relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of our pipeline facilities. HLPESA covers petroleum and petroleum products and requires any entity that owns or operates pipeline facilities to comply with such regulations and also to permit access to and copying of records and to make certain reports and provide information as required by the Secretary of Transportation. We believe that we are in material compliance with these HLPESA regulations.

OPS regulations require qualification of pipeline personnel. These regulations require pipeline operators to develop and maintain a written qualification program for individuals performing covered tasks on pipeline facilities. The intent of this regulation is to ensure a qualified work force and to reduce the probability and consequence of incidents caused by human error. The regulation establishes qualification requirements for individuals performing covered tasks, and amends certain training

requirements in existing regulations. We believe that we are in material compliance with these OPS regulations.

We also are subject to OPS regulation for High Consequence Areas, or HCAs, for Category 2 pipeline systems (companies operating less than 500 miles of jurisdictional pipeline). This regulation specifies how to assess, evaluate, repair and validate the integrity of pipeline segments that could impact populated areas, areas unusually sensitive to environmental damage and commercially navigable waterways, in the event of a release. Our assets that are subject to these requirements are: (1) the Pinebelt Pipeline (the pipeline connecting the Collins and Purvis,

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Mississippi complexes); (2) the Razorback Pipeline; (3) the Bellemeade Pipeline (pipeline connecting the Richmond Terminal to the nearby Virginia Power plant); (4) the Birmingham Terminal pipeline connection to Plantation Pipeline; and (5) the Bainbridge Terminal pipeline connection to the nearby SEGCO Power Plant. The regulation requires an integrity management program that utilizes internal pipeline inspection, pressure testing, or other equally effective means to assess the integrity of pipeline segments in HCAs. The program requires periodic review of pipeline segments in HCAs to ensure adequate preventative and mitigative measures exist. Through this program, we evaluated a range of threats to each pipeline segment's integrity by analyzing available information about the pipeline segment and consequences of a failure in a HCA. The regulation requires prompt action to address integrity issues raised by the assessment and analysis. The complete baseline assessment of all segments must be performed by February 17, 2009, with intermediate compliance deadlines prior to that date. We believe that we are in material compliance with the OPS regulation of HCAs.

We are also subject to the requirements of the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act, or OSHA, and comparable state statutes that regulate the protection of the health and safety of workers. In addition, the OSHA hazard communication standard, the EPA community right-to-know regulations under Title III of the federal Superfund Amendment and Reauthorization Act, and comparable state statutes require us to organize and disclose information about the hazardous materials used in our operations. Certain parts of this information must be reported to employees, state and local governmental authorities, and local citizens upon request. We believe that we are in material compliance with OSHA and state requirements, including general industry standards, record keeping requirements and monitoring of occupational exposures.

In general, we expect to increase our expenditures during the next decade to comply with higher industry and regulatory safety standards such as those described above. Although we cannot estimate the magnitude of such expenditures at this time, we do not believe that they will have a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

Other Regulations

We also are subject to the Jones Act and the Merchant Marine Act of 1936 because of our ownership and operation of ocean vessels. Numerous other federal, state and local rules regulate our operations pursuant to which governmental agencies have the ability to suspend, curtail or modify our operations. We believe that we are in material compliance with these regulations.

Operational Hazards and Insurance

Our terminal and pipeline facilities may experience damage as a result of an accident or natural disaster. These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, pollution or environmental damage and suspension of operations. We maintain insurance of various types that we consider adequate to cover our operations and properties.

27

The insurance covers all of our assets in amounts that we consider to be reasonable. The insurance policies are subject to deductibles that we consider reasonable and not excessive. Our insurance does not cover every potential risk associated with operating pipelines, terminals and other facilities including the potential loss of significant revenues. Consistent with insurance coverage generally available to the industry, our insurance policies provide limited coverage for losses or liabilities relating to pollution, with broader coverage for sudden and accidental occurrences. The events of September 11, 2001, and their overall effect on the insurance industry have adversely impacted the availability and cost of coverage. Due to these events, insurers have excluded acts of terrorism and sabotage from our insurance policies. On certain of our key assets, we have purchased a separate insurance policy for acts of terrorism and sabotage.

Competition

We face intense competition in our terminal and pipeline operations as well as in our supply and marketing operations. Our competitors include other terminal and pipeline companies, the major integrated oil companies, their marketing affiliates and independent gatherers, brokers and marketers of widely varying sizes, financial resources and experience. Some of these competitors have capital resources many times greater than ours, and control greater supplies of refined petroleum products.

Employees

We had 654 employees at October 26, 2003. No employees are subject to representation by unions for collective bargaining purposes.

Market and Industry Data

24

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Market and industry data and other statistical information used throughout this report are based on independent industry publications by market research firms or other published independent sources. Some data are also based on our good faith estimates, which are derived from our review of internal surveys, as well as the independent sources. Although we believe these sources are reliable, we have not independently verified the information derived from independent sources.

Comdata®, Comcheck®, MasterCard® and other registered trademarks referred to herein are the property of their respective owners.

28

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The locations and approximate shell capacity of our terminals (all of which are owned by us) as of June 30, 2003 are as follows:

Locations	Approximate Shell Capacity (in barrels)	Locations	Approximate Shell Capacity (in barrels)
Colonial/Plantation Facilities:		Upper River Facilities:	
Albany, GA	131,000	Evansville, IN	239,000
Americus, GA	31,000	Greater Cincinnati, KY	191,000
Athens, GA	77,000	Henderson, KY	273,000
Atlanta, GA	116,000	New Albany, IN	219,000
Bainbridge, GA	99,000	Louisville, KY	138,000
Belton, SC	130,000	Cape Girardeau, MO	140,000
Belton, SC	297,000	East Liverpool, OH	219,000
Birmingham, AL	370,000	Owensboro, KY	152,000
Charlotte, NC	223,000	Paducah, KY Complex	306,000
Charlotte, NC	324,000	Total	1,877,000
Collins, MS	138,000	Lower River Facilities:	
Collins, MS (Pipeline Injection Facility)	1,470,000	Baton Rouge, LA Dock facility	
Doraville, GA	436,000	Arkansas City, AR	773,000
Fairfax, VA	502,000	Greenville, MS Complex	396,000
Greensboro, NC	181,000	Total	1,169,000
Greensboro, NC	484,000	Brownsville Facilities:	
Griffin, GA	51,000	Brownsville, TX Complex	2,257,000
Lookout Mountain, GA	109,000	Total	2,257,000
Macon, GA	100,000	Florida Facilities:	
Meridian, MS	82,000	Pensacola, FL	272,000
Montgomery, AL	59,000	Port Everglades, FL	369,000
Montvale, VA	489,000	Tampa, FL	475,000
Norfolk, VA	380,000	Total	1,116,000
Purvis, MS	870,000	Coastal Fuels Terminals:	
Purvis, MS	135,000	Richmond, VA	459,000
Rensselaer, NY	530,000	Rome, GA	59,000

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Locations	Approximate Shell Capacity (in barrels)	Locations	Approximate Shell Capacity (in barrels)
Selma, NC	507,000	Jacksonville, FL	385,000
Spartanburg, SC	85,000	Cape Canaveral, FL	708,000
Spartanburg, SC	305,000	Port Everglades, FL	1,650,000
Total	9,229,000	Fisher Island, FL	670,000
		Port Manatee/Tampa, FL	1,517,000
Midwest Facilities:		Total	4,930,000
Mount Vernon, MO	215,000		
Rogers, AR	171,000		
Chippewa Falls, WI	126,000		
Total	512,000	TOTAL CAPACITY	21,090,000

29

The names, approximate length in miles and geographical locations of our pipelines as of June 30, 2003 are as follows:

Pipeline Name	Approximate Miles of Pipeline	Geographical Location
---------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------

Razorback	67	Mt. Vernon, Missouri south to Rogers, Arkansas
CETEX	220	East Texas area north of Tyler, Texas

Our executive offices are located at 1670 Broadway, Suite 3100, Denver, CO 80202; telephone number (303) 626-8200 and facsimile number (303) 626-8228. In addition, we have an operations office located at 200 Mansell Court East, Suite 600, Roswell, Georgia 30076; telephone number (770) 518-3500 and facsimile number (770) 518-3567.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We have been named as a defendant in various lawsuits and a party to various other legal proceedings, in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered in whole or in part by insurance. We believe that the outcome of such lawsuits and other proceedings will not individually or in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

ITEM 4. VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matter was submitted to a vote of security holders, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise, during the three months ended June 30, 2003.

30

Part II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK

Our common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "TMG". The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the range of high and low per share sale prices for our common stock as reported on the American Stock Exchange.

	Low	High
July 1, 2001 through September 30, 2001	\$ 4.00	\$ 6.75
October 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001	\$ 4.35	\$ 6.30
January 1, 2002 through March 31, 2002	\$ 5.10	\$ 6.00
April 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002	\$ 4.20	\$ 6.05
July 1, 2002 through September 30, 2002	\$ 4.50	\$ 6.30
October 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002	\$ 3.26	\$ 4.98
January 1, 2003 through March 31, 2003	\$ 3.75	\$ 4.85
April 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003	\$ 4.02	\$ 6.48

On August 29, 2003, the last reported sale price for our common stock on the American Stock Exchange was \$5.95 per share. As of August 29, 2002, there were 496 stockholders of record of our common stock. This number does not include stockholders whose shares are held in trust by other entities. The actual number of stockholders is greater than the number of stockholders of record. Based on the number of annual reports requested by brokers, we estimate that we have approximately 2,100 beneficial owners of our common stock as of August 29, 2003.

On June 28, 2002, we issued 72,890 shares of Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock in a transaction exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933 (see Note 12 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). The offering was made solely to TransMontaigne's existing holders of Series A Preferred Stock, each of whom represented that it was an "accredited investor" as defined by Rule 501 under the Securities Act. The offering was made in accordance with the requirements of Regulation D applicable to an offering of securities under Rule 506.

No dividends were declared or paid on our common stock during the periods reported in the table above. We intend to retain future cash flow for use in our business and have no current intention of paying dividends to our common stockholders in the foreseeable future. Any payment of future dividends to our common stockholders and the amounts thereof will depend upon our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements and other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Directors. Our Working Capital Credit Facility, Senior Subordinated Notes and certificate of designation of our Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred stock contain restrictions on the payment of dividends on our common stock. Under the terms and conditions of our Working Capital Credit Facility, we are precluded from paying a dividend on our common stock without the express consent of the lenders (see Note 11 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). Our 9¹/₈% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2010 restrict the payment of cash dividends on our common stock unless we comply with certain financial covenants relating to restricted payments. Our Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred stock certificate of designation restricts the payment of cash dividends on our common stock unless the holders of our preferred stock have received a cash dividend for their immediately preceding dividend payment date. Additionally, we are precluded from paying dividends on our common stock in excess of \$10 million during any 12-month period without the express consent of holders of two-thirds of the then outstanding shares of preferred stock.

31

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data for each of the years in the five-year period ended June 30, 2003 has been derived from our consolidated financial statements. You should not expect the results for any prior periods to be indicative of the results that may be achieved in future periods. You should read the following information together with our historical consolidated financial statements and related notes and with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included elsewhere in this annual report.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Years Ended
June 30,

2003(4) 2002 2001 2000 1999

(As restated)

(dollars in thousands)

**Statement
of
Operations
Data:**

Supply,
distribution
and
marketing:

Revenues\$ 8,241,001 \$ 6,001,170 \$ 5,182,492 \$ 5,014,752 \$ 2,935,550

Less
costs
of
products
sold
and
other
direct
costs
and
expenses

(8,190,918) (5,932,423) (5,136,174) (4,995,899) (2,914,272)

Net
operating
margin(1)

50,083 68,747 46,318 18,853 21,278

Terminals,
pipelines,
and
tugs
and
barges:

Revenues 82,988 63,386 79,707 78,522 56,374

Direct
operating
costs
and
expenses

(35,196) (27,668) (33,817) (34,268) (24,678)

Net
operating
margin(1)

47,792 35,718 45,890 44,254 31,696

Natural
gas
services:

Revenues 18,249 55,137

Direct
operating
costs
and
expenses

(7,759) (43,167)

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Years Ended
June 30,

Net operating margin(1)			10,490	11,970	
Total net operating margins(\$)	97,875 \$	104,465 \$	92,208 \$	73,597 \$	64,944

32

Total net operating margins(1)	\$	97,875 \$	104,465 \$	92,208 \$	73,597 \$	64,944
Costs and expenses:						
Selling, general and administrative		(40,491)	(35,211)	(34,072)	(41,680)	(17,990)
Depreciation and amortization		(19,371)	(16,556)	(19,510)	(22,344)	(16,775)
Lower of cost or market write-downs on product linefill and tank bottom volumes		(633)	(12,963)	(18,318)		
Corporate relocation and transition		(1,449)	(6,316)			
Impairment of long-lived assets					(50,136)	
Operating income (loss)		35,931	33,419	20,308	(40,563)	30,179
Interest expense, net		(14,419)	(11,837)	(15,215)	(25,121)	(23,575)
Other expense		(4,902)	(7,546)	(9,235)	(5,350)	(3,210)
Gain (loss) on disposition of assets, net			(13)	22,146	13,930	
Earnings (loss) before income taxes		16,610	14,023	18,004	(57,104)	3,394
Income (taxes) benefit		(8,510)	(5,465)	(6,666)	19,167	(1,455)
Earnings (loss) before cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle		8,100	8,558	11,338	(37,937)	1,939
Cumulative effect adjustment, net of tax benefit		(1,297)				
Net earnings (loss)		6,803	8,558	11,338	(37,937)	1,939
Preferred stock dividends, net		(3,984)	(11,351)	(8,963)	(8,506)	(2,274)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$	2,819 \$	(2,793) \$	2,375 \$	(46,443) \$	(335)
Earnings (loss) per common share:						
Basic		.07	(.09)	.08	(1.52)	(.01)
Diluted		.07	(.09)	.08	(1.52)	(.01)

33

Years Ended
June 30,

2003(4) 2002 2001 2000 1999

29

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Years Ended
June 30,

(As restated)

(dollars in thousands)

Other Financial Data:

Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$ 33,323	\$ (101,512)	\$ 51,936	\$ 267,526	\$ (68,861)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	\$ (170,625)	\$ 102,778	\$ (18,969)	\$ 77,902	\$ (467,040)
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	\$ 134,419	\$ 3,811	\$ (61,130)	\$ (305,417)	\$ 522,613
Total debt to total capital	57.0%	39.0%	30.5%	38.4%	57.0%
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(2)	1.8x	1.6x	1.6x		1.1x

June 30,

2003(4) 2002 2001 2000 1999

(dollars in thousands)

Balance Sheet Data:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,969	\$ 30,852	\$ 25,775	\$ 53,938	\$ 13,927
Working capital(3)	\$ 63,946	\$ 168,092	\$ 31,934	\$ 134,807	\$ 356,602
Total assets	\$ 986,069	\$ 735,328	\$ 712,365	\$ 834,572	\$ 1,106,009
Total debt	\$ 379,534	\$ 198,312	\$ 150,000	\$ 206,995	\$ 497,672
Total preferred stock	\$ 79,329	\$ 105,360	\$ 174,825	\$ 170,115	\$ 170,115
Total common stockholders' equity	\$ 210,269	\$ 205,350	\$ 167,550	\$ 161,983	\$ 205,936

- (1) Net operating margins represents revenues, less cost of product sold and other direct operating costs and expenses.
- (2) For purposes of computing the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, "earnings" consists of earnings before income taxes plus fixed charges. "Fixed charges" represent interest incurred (whether expensed or capitalized), amortization of deferred financing costs, and that portion of rental expense on operating leases deemed to be the equivalent of interest. We reported a loss for the year ended June 30, 2000 and the earnings for such period were insufficient to cover fixed charges by approximately \$57.1 million.
- (3) Working capital is defined as current assets less current liabilities.
- (4) The consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of the Coastal Fuels assets from the closing date of the transaction (February 28, 2003).
- (5) The consolidated statement of operations for the year ended June 30, 2003 has been restated (see Note 1(c) of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of the results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

A summary of the significant accounting policies that we have adopted and followed in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is detailed in Note 1 of Notes to the consolidated financial statements. Certain of these accounting policies require the use of estimates. We have identified the following estimates that, in our opinion, are subjective in nature, require the exercise of judgment, and involve complex analysis. These estimates are based on our knowledge and understanding of current conditions and actions we may take in the future. Changes in these estimates will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Subsequent changes in these estimates may have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. At June 30, 2003, our allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately \$1.9 million. Our allowance for doubtful accounts represents the amount of trade receivables that we do not expect to collect. The valuation of our allowance for doubtful accounts is based on our analysis of specific individual customer balances that are past due and, from that analysis, we estimate the amount of the receivable balance that we do not expect to collect. That estimate is based on various factors, including our experience in collecting past due amounts from the customer being evaluated, the customer's current financial condition, the current economic environment and the economic outlook for the future.

Inventories Discretionary Volumes Held for Immediate Sale or Exchange. At June 30, 2003, we held products for sale or exchange in the ordinary course of business with a cost basis of approximately \$130.5 million and a fair value of approximately \$136.4 million. At June 30, 2003, our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange are carried at the lower of cost or market value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. For purposes of evaluating the financial performance of our business segments, we reflect our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange are reflected at market value. The market value of our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange is based on quoted prices, when available. Our refined petroleum products inventories are traded in large fungible bulk markets (Pasadena, TX, New York Harbor, Chicago, IL, Tulsa, OK refining area, and Los Angeles, CA); and in city-specific wholesale markets. Quoted market prices (e.g., NYMEX, Platt's Bulk, and OPIS Wholesale) are readily available for these markets.

However, quoted prices are not available from brokers for all future periods and delivery locations in which we are committed to do business. When quoted prices are not available, the market value of our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange is based on the nearest quoted market price, plus quoted basis differentials to the various bulk market areas, plus the transportation cost to deliver the product from the bulk trading market to the city-specific markets. Near-term basis differentials are quoted and traded in the over-the-counter petroleum markets and are verified by the various cash brokers that facilitate trading. We estimate the basis differentials for certain deferred trading months and city-specific locations because we cannot secure a forward traded basis differential quote from a broker. In those situations, our mark-to-market model estimates the basis differentials based on a rolling historical average, which is updated quarterly. We utilize this valuation methodology for all inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange, along with any

35

valuation of a related exchange imbalance with a trading partner. Currently, it is not practicable for us to estimate the effects on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows from an unfavorable change in basis differentials.

Prepaid Transportation Costs. At June 30, 2003, we have prepaid certain transportation costs of approximately \$3.0 million to interstate pipelines. We have a contractual right to apply the prepaid amounts against future transportation charges on volumes to be shipped in the future. We monitor our actual volumes shipped to determine if the prepaid transportation costs ultimately will be recovered. In order to make that assessment we must estimate our future shipping volumes. If our estimate of our future shipping volumes were to decrease, we may not be able to utilize the prepaid transportation costs.

Derivative Contracts. At June 30, 2003, we are a party to certain derivative contracts that require us to receive and deliver physical quantities of refined petroleum products over a specified term at a specified price. Our derivative contracts are carried at fair value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. At June 30, 2003, our net unrealized losses on derivative contracts were approximately \$1.9 million. The valuation of our derivative contracts is based on quoted prices, when available.

However, quoted prices are not available from brokers for all future periods and delivery locations in which we are committed to do business. When quoted prices are not available, we estimate the values based on a combination of published market prices and estimates based on historical market conditions. For market locations in which we have access to product via our terminals, dedicated pipeline capacity, a throughput agreement or an exchange arrangement, fair value is determined by adding the near month NYMEX futures quote to the appropriate basis differential and the transportation cost to deliver the product from the bulk trading location to the contract's specified delivery location. We estimate the basis differentials for certain deferred trading months and city-specific locations because we cannot secure a forward traded basis differential quote from a broker. In those situations, our mark-to-market model estimates the basis differentials based on a rolling historical average, which is updated quarterly. For our derivative contracts that settle against wholesale and retail pricing indices, we use a rolling historical average difference between the pricing index (e.g., Department of Energy National and OPIS Wholesale indices) and the related

NYMEX futures contract utilized to manage the commodity price risk associated with the commitment. For market locations in which we do not have access to product via our terminals, dedicated pipeline capacity, a throughput agreement or an exchange arrangement, we purchase product on a spot basis from approved vendors to satisfy our contractual obligations. In these contracts, we are exposed to the differential between the bulk trading locations and the city-specific markets, as we do not control the pipeline and terminal capacity to facilitate shipment of the physical product. Our mark-to-market model incorporates this basis differential to each city-specific location. Currently, it is not practicable for us to estimate the effects on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows from an unfavorable change in basis differentials.

Accrued Lease Abandonment. At June 30, 2003, we have an accrued liability of approximately \$3.2 million as our estimate of the future payments we expect to pay, net of sublease payments we expect to receive from subleasing our vacated office space. The valuation of our accrued lease abandonment liability is based on the timing and amount of sublease payments we expect to receive from subleasing our vacated office space. Our estimate of the timing and amount of sublease payments is based on information received from real estate brokers.

Accrued Transportation and Deficiency Agreements. At June 30, 2003, we have an accrued liability of approximately \$2.0 million as our estimate of the future payments we expect to pay for the estimated shortfall in volumes for the remainder of the terms of our transportation and deficiency

36

agreements. The valuation of our accrual for transportation and deficiency agreements is based on our estimate of the future volumes we expect to supply and ship with the counterparties to these agreements. We estimate the future volumes based on our historical volumes supplied and shipped with the counterparties. Our accrued liability would be adjusted if our current projections of future volumes to be supplied and shipped with the counterparties indicated a significant increase or decrease in expected volumes due to changes in the scope and breadth of our supply, distribution, and marketing operations.

Accrued Environmental Obligations. At June 30, 2003, we have an accrued liability of approximately \$5.6 million as our estimate of the undiscounted future payments we expect to pay for environmental costs to remediate existing conditions attributable to past operations. The valuation of our accrued environmental obligations is based on our estimate of the remediation costs to be incurred in the future. We estimate the future remediation costs based on specific site studies using enacted laws and regulations. Estimates of our environmental obligations are subject to change due to a number of factors and judgments involved in the estimation process, including the early stage of investigation at certain sites, the lengthy time frames required to complete remediation, technology changes affecting remediation methods, alternative remediation methods and strategies, and changes in environmental laws and regulations.

SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS DURING THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

On July 31, 2002, we closed on the purchase of a 25,000-barrel terminal in Brownsville, Texas. The terminal provides us with additional storage and rail car handling facilities and operating synergies with our main facility in Brownsville, Texas.

On August 23, 2002, we announced the signing of a long-term terminaling agreement with P.M.I. Trading Limited to provide distribution related services and a related pipeline construction assistance agreement with P.M.I. Services North America, Inc., both affiliates of Petroleos Mexicanos, for the construction of a new 17-mile U.S. products pipeline from the U.S./Mexican border to our terminaling facility located at the port of Brownsville, Texas.

During the three months ended September 30, 2002, we relocated our supply, distribution and marketing operations from Atlanta, Georgia to our then existing office space at 370 17th Street in Denver, Colorado. During October and November 2002, our supply, distribution and marketing operations moved into our new office space at 1670 Broadway in Denver, Colorado. Our executive and administrative operations vacated our office space at 370 17th Street and joined our supply, distribution, and marketing operations at 1670 Broadway during June 2003.

On February 4, 2003, we announced the purchase of a 500,000-barrel terminal in Fairfax, Virginia that supplies products in the Washington, D.C. market, including Dulles Airport. The transaction closed on January 31, 2003.

On February 28, 2003, we closed on the purchase of all of the shares of capital stock of Coastal Fuels Marketing, Inc. and its subsidiary, Coastal Tug and Barge, Inc. from a wholly owned subsidiary of El Paso Merchant Energy Petroleum Company, or EPME-PC, along with the rights to and operations of the southeast marketing division of EPME-PC. The acquisition includes five Florida terminals, with aggregate capacity of approximately 4.9 million barrels, and a related tug and barge operation, collectively the Coastal Fuels assets. The Coastal Fuels assets primarily provide sales and storage of bunker fuel, No. 6 oil, diesel fuel and gasoline at Cape Canaveral, Port Manatee/Tampa, Port Everglades/Ft. Lauderdale and Fisher Island/Miami, and storage of asphalt at Jacksonville, Florida. The purchase price for the acquisition was approximately \$156.0 million, including approximately

\$37.0 million of product inventory. The purchase price included contingent consideration of approximately \$25.0 million due and payable to EPME-PC upon the delivery by EPME-PC of audited financial statements of the Coastal Fuels assets. On April 25, 2003, EPME-PC delivered to us the audited financial statements of the Coastal Fuels assets and EPME-PC was paid \$25.0 million on April 30, 2003.

On February 28, 2003, we executed a Credit Agreement with UBS AG that initially provided for a \$250 million revolving line of credit, or the Working Capital Credit Facility, and a \$200 million senior secured term loan, or the Term Loan. TransMontaigne utilized funds available under the Credit Agreement to consummate the acquisition of the Coastal Fuels assets and repay our former back credit facility.

On May 30, 2003, we consummated the sale of \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 9¹/₈% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2010 ("Old Notes") and received proceeds of \$194.5 million (net of underwriters' discounts of \$5.5 million). We used the net proceeds from the offering of the Old Notes to repay the Term Loan. The indenture governing the Old Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limits our ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends on, redeem or repurchase our common stock, make investments, make certain dispositions of assets, engage in transaction with affiliates, create certain liens, and consolidate, merge, or transfer all or substantially all of our assets. The Old Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by our subsidiaries other than minor subsidiaries that are inactive and have no assets or operations. We are a holding company for our subsidiaries, with no independent assets or operations. Accordingly, we are dependent upon the distribution of the earnings of our subsidiaries, whether in the form of dividends, advances or payments on account of inter-company obligations, to service our debt obligations. There are no restrictions on our ability or any subsidiary guarantor to obtain funds from our subsidiaries.

On June 25, 2003, we amended and restated the Working Capital Credit Facility in connection with the syndication of the facility. All outstanding borrowings under the Working Capital Credit Facility are due and payable on February 28, 2006. The Working Capital Credit Facility contains affirmative and negative covenants (including limitations on indebtedness, limitations on dividends and other distributions, limitations on certain inter-company transactions, limitations on mergers, consolidation and the disposition of assets, limitations on investments and acquisitions and limitations on liens). The Working Capital Credit Facility also contains customary representations and warranties (including those relating to due organization and authorization, compliance with laws, absence of defaults, material agreements and litigation) and events of default (including those relating to monetary defaults, covenant defaults, cross defaults and bankruptcy events). It also contains certain financial covenants that are tested on a quarterly basis including a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 150%, a maximum funded senior debt leverage ratio of 4.5 times the last twelve months' operating results for debt covenant compliance (as defined in the credit agreement), a minimum current ratio of 120% (which excludes borrowings under the Working Capital Credit Facility from the definition of current liabilities) and a minimum consolidated tangible net worth test. In addition, we may not make aggregate expenditures in excess of \$80.0 million with respect to general corporate purposes (including capital expenditures, cash paid for acquisitions, and redemption of the Series A Redeemable Convertible Preferred stock) over the term of the agreement; however, such limit shall be increased by certain cash flow amounts generated after February 28, 2003.

On June 30, 2003, we redeemed the remaining outstanding shares of Series A Convertible Preferred stock and warrants for approximately \$24.4 million in cash.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On May 30, 2003, we sold the Senior Subordinated Notes in a private placement transaction that was exempt from registration under the Federal Securities Act of 1933. We also entered into a registration rights agreement requiring us to make an exchange offer. On July 22, 2003, we filed a registration statement on Form S-4 with the Securities and Exchange Commission to effect the exchange offer. The registration rights agreement also requires us to use our best efforts to cause the registration statement filed with respect to the exchange offer to be declared effective by October 27, 2003 and consummate the exchange offer no later than December 26, 2003. If we do not do so, additional interest payments will be payable on the Senior Subordinated Notes. The exchange offer was not consummated as of December 26, 2003 and, therefore, we are accruing additional interest of 0.5% on the Senior Subordinated Notes until the exchange offer is consummated (resulting in a total coupon rate of 9.625%). As of February 17, 2004, the registration statement on Form S-4 has not been declared effective by the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Under SFAS No. 131, we are required to report measures of profit and loss that are used by our chief operating decision maker (our CEO) in assessing the financial performance of our business segments. Our CEO assesses the financial performance of each of our reportable segments using a financial performance measure, which we refer to as "adjusted net operating margins." There are no differences between the financial performance measure, "adjusted net operating margin," used by our CEO in evaluating the performance of our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges segment and the net operating margins reported for that segment in our accompanying historical financial statements. Our CEO assesses the "adjusted net operating margins" of our supply, distribution, and marketing segment using financial information that is prepared pursuant to the mark-to-market method of accounting. "Adjusted net operating margins" for the supply, distribution and marketing segment differs from net operating margins for that segment as presented in our accompanying historical statement of operations due to the treatment of our inventories discretionary volumes. In determining our "adjusted net operating margins" for our supply, distribution and marketing segment, inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange are reflected at fair value, which matches the treatment of our derivative and risk management contracts. Therefore, the effects of changes in the fair value of our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange are included in "adjusted net operating margins" attributable to our supply, distribution and marketing segment in the period in which the fair value actually changes. "Adjusted net operating margins" also excludes the lower of cost or market write-downs on our inventories base operating volumes from our net operating margins attributable to our supply, distribution and marketing segment.

Because our inventories discretionary volumes are composed of refined petroleum products, which are commodities with established trading markets and readily ascertainable market prices, we believe that the financial performance of our supply, distribution and marketing segment can be appropriately evaluated using the mark-to-market method rather than the lower-of-cost-or-market method of accounting for our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange. As a result of the implementation of EITF 02-03, our inventories discretionary volumes are carried at the lower of cost or market, while our derivative and risk management contracts are carried at fair value. As a result, if commodity prices are increasing during the end of a quarter, we may report significant losses on derivative and risk management contracts and significant deferred gains on discretionary inventory volumes held for immediate sale or exchange at the end of that quarter and report significant gains on our beginning inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange when they are sold in the following quarter.

39

Supply, distribution and marketing adjusted net operating margins

The adjusted net operating margins attributable to our supply, distribution and marketing segment declined to \$55.3 million in 2003 from \$68.7 million in 2002. During the three months ended September 30, 2001, a disruption at a Chicago refinery resulted in significant volatility in basis differentials, which created significant light oil margin opportunities. That type of opportunity did not reoccur during 2003. The adjusted net operating margins from our light oil marketing declined to \$37.1 million in 2003 from \$54.8 million in 2002. The adjusted net operating margins from our supply management services also declined slightly to \$13.0 million in 2003 from \$13.9 million in 2002. The Coastal Fuels assets, which we acquired on February 28, 2003, contributed heavy oil margins of approximately \$6.3 million during the year ended June 30, 2003.

Selected quarterly adjusted net operating margins for the supply, distribution and marketing segment for each of the quarters in the year ended June 30, 2003 are summarized below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended				Year Ended June 30, 2003
	September 30, 2002	December 31, 2002	March 31, 2003	June 30, 2003	
Supply, distribution and marketing:					
Light oil margins	\$ 5,825	\$ 9,545	\$ 8,109	\$ 13,658	\$ 37,137
Heavy oil margins			2,489	3,810	6,299
Supply management services margins	4,382	3,158	3,530	1,947	13,017
Trading margins, net	(2,595)	640	30	786	(1,139)

34

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Three Months Ended

	Three Months Ended									
Adjusted net operating margins	\$	7,612	\$	13,343	\$	14,158	\$	20,201	\$	55,314
Reconciliation to net operating margins:										
Adjusted net operating margins	\$	7,612	\$	13,343	\$	14,158	\$	20,201	\$	55,314
Gains recognized on beginning inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange				12,644		33,490				12,644
Gains deferred on ending inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange				(33,490)				(5,855)		(5,855)
Change in FIFO cost basis of base operating inventory volumes				(1,421)		9,723		(7,887)		415
Lower of cost or market write-downs on base operating inventory volumes						(12,412)		(23)		(12,435)
Net operating margins historical financial statements	\$	7,612	\$	(8,924)	\$	44,959	\$	6,436	\$	50,083

Prior to October 1, 2002, our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange were carried at fair value with changes in fair value included in net operating margins in the period of the change in value. Effective October 1, 2002, we adjusted the carrying amount of inventories discretionary volumes to the lower of cost (FIFO) or market pursuant to the requirements of EITF 02-03. As of October 1, 2002, the fair value of our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange exceeded their cost basis by approximately \$12.6 million. The "Gains recognized on beginning inventories discretionary volumes" represents the net operating margins recognized on the subsequent sale of those inventories to customers during the three months ended December 31, 2002. During the last half of December 2002, we experienced significant increases in commodity prices, which resulted in the fair value of our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange at December 31, 2002 exceeding their cost basis by approximately \$33.5

40

million. That excess was recognized in net operating margins during the three months ended March 31, 2003, which was the period in which those discretionary inventory volumes were sold to customers. During the last half of June 2003, we experienced increases in certain commodity prices at certain locations, which resulted in the fair value of our inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange at June 30, 2003 exceeding their cost basis by approximately \$5.9 million. That excess is expected to be recognized in net operating margins during the three months ended September 30, 2003, which is the period in which those discretionary inventory volumes are expected to be sold to customers.

Prior to October 1, 2002, our base operating inventory volumes were carried at original cost adjusted for impairment write-downs to current market values. Effective October 1, 2002, we adjusted the carrying amount of our base operating inventory to the lower of cost (FIFO) or market pursuant to the requirements of EITF 02-03. For the three months ended December 31, 2002, we reduced the carrying amount of our base operating inventory volumes by approximately \$1.4 million due to lower commodity prices during December 2002 as compared to September 2002. For the three months ended March 31, 2003, we increased the carrying amount of our base operating inventory volumes by approximately \$9.7 million due to higher commodity prices during March 2003 as compared to December 2002. For the three months ended June 30, 2003, we reduced the carrying amount of our base operating inventory volumes by approximately \$7.9 million due to lower commodity prices during June 2003 as compared to March 2003.

During the three months ended March 31, 2003 and June 2003, we recognized impairment losses of approximately \$12.4 million and \$23,000, respectively, due to the application of the lower of cost or market rule on our base operating inventory volumes.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

For the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, our adjusted net operating margins for the supply, distribution and marketing segment are identical to the net operating margins for such segment described under "Results of Operations Historical Financial Statements." Selected quarterly adjusted net operating margins for the supply, distribution and marketing segment for each of the quarters in the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 are summarized below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended				Year Ended June 30, 2002
	September 30, 2001	December 31, 2001	March 31, 2002	June 30, 2002	
Supply, distribution and marketing:					
Light oil margins	\$ 27,155	\$ 11,180	\$ 11,347	\$ 5,121	\$ 54,803
Supply management services margins	(721)	1,484	9,144	3,981	13,888
Trading margins, net	1,165	1,065	(385)	(1,789)	56
Adjusted net operating margins	\$ 27,599	\$ 13,729	\$ 20,106	\$ 7,313	\$ 68,747

	Three Months Ended				Year Ended June 30, 2001
	September 30, 2000	December 31, 2000	March 31, 2001	June 30, 2001	
Supply, distribution and marketing:					
Adjusted net operating margins	\$ 8,310	\$ 10,865	\$ 14,640	\$ 12,503	\$ 46,318

41

Terminals, pipelines, tugs and barges adjusted net operating margins

Our adjusted net operating margins for the terminal, pipelines, tugs and barges segment are identical to the net operating margins for such segment described under "Results of Operations Historical Financial Statements." Selected quarterly adjusted net operating margins for the terminal, pipelines, tugs and barges segment for each of the quarters in the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are summarized below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended				Year Ended June 30, 2003
	September 30, 2002	December 31, 2002	March 31, 2003	June 30, 2003	
Terminals, pipelines and tugs and barges:					
Historical facilities	\$ 10,928	\$ 10,745	\$ 10,874	\$ 9,837	\$ 42,384
Coastal fuels assets			1,676	3,732	5,408
Net operating margins	\$ 10,928	\$ 10,745	\$ 12,550	\$ 13,569	\$ 47,792

	Three Months Ended				Year Ended June 30, 2002
	September 30, 2001	December 31, 2001	March 31, 2002	June 30, 2002	

36

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Three Months Ended

Terminals and pipelines:

Historical facilities	\$	7,669	\$	8,513	\$	9,596	\$	9,268	\$	35,046
Assets disposed		672								672
Net operating margins	\$	8,341	\$	8,513	\$	9,596	\$	9,268	\$	35,718

Three Months Ended

		September 30, 2000	December 31, 2000	March 31, 2001	June 30, 2001	Year Ended June 30, 2001				
Terminals and pipelines:										
Historical facilities	\$	8,794	\$	9,102	\$	8,197	\$	9,389	\$	35,482
Assets disposed		3,558		2,929		2,023		1,898		10,408
Net operating margins	\$	12,352	\$	12,031	\$	10,220	\$	11,287	\$	45,890

42

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS HISTORICAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Selected annual results of operations data are summarized below (in thousands):

	Years ended June 30,		
	2003	2002	2001
Net operating margins(1):			
Supply, distribution and marketing	\$ 50,083	\$ 68,747	\$ 46,318
Terminals and pipelines	47,792	35,718	45,890
Total net operating margins	97,875	104,465	92,208
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(40,491)	(35,211)	(34,072)
Depreciation and amortization	(19,371)	(16,556)	(19,510)
Lower of cost or market write-downs on product linefill and tank bottom volumes	(633)	(12,963)	(18,318)
Corporate relocation and transition	(1,449)	(6,316)	
Operating income	35,931	33,419	20,308
Dividend income from petroleum related investments	374	1,450	3,060
Interest income	286	599	2,555
Interest expense and other financing costs, net	(19,981)	(21,432)	(30,065)
Gain (loss) on disposition of assets, net		(13)	22,146
Earnings before income taxes	16,610	14,023	18,004
Income tax expense	(8,510)	(5,465)	(6,666)

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

	Years ended June 30,		
Earnings before cumulative effect adjustment	8,100	8,558	11,338
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	(1,297)		
Net earnings	6,803	8,558	11,338
Preferred stock dividends, net	(3,984)	(11,351)	(8,963)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 2,819	\$ (2,793)	\$ 2,375

(1) Net operating margins represent net revenues, less direct operating costs and expenses.

Selected quarterly results of operations data for each of the quarters in the three-year period ended June 30, 2003 are summarized below (in thousands):

	Three months ended				Year ended June 30, 2003
	September 30, 2002	December 31, 2002	March 31, 2003	June 30, 2003	
Net operating margins:					
Supply, distribution and marketing	\$ 7,612	\$ (8,924)	\$ 44,959	\$ 6,436	\$ 50,083
Terminals, pipelines and tugs and barges	10,928	10,745	12,550	13,569	47,792
Total net operating margins	18,540	1,821	57,509	20,005	97,875
Selling, general, and administrative	(9,331)	(8,775)	(10,440)	(11,945)	(40,491)
Depreciation and amortization	(4,256)	(4,293)	(4,851)	(5,971)	(19,371)
Lower of cost or market write-downs on product linefill and tank bottom volumes			(633)		(633)
Corporate relocation and transition	(1,084)	(365)			(1,449)
Operating income (loss)	3,869	(11,612)	41,585	2,089	35,931
Other income (expense), net	(3,004)	(2,001)	(5,484)	(8,832)	(19,321)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(329)	5,173	(13,722)	368	(8,510)
Cumulative effect adjustment		(1,297)			(1,297)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 536	\$ (9,737)	\$ 22,379	\$ (6,375)	\$ 6,803

43

	Three months ended				Year ended June 30, 2002
	September 30, 2001	December 31, 2001	March 31, 2002	June 30, 2002	

38

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Three months ended

Three months ended										
Net operating margins:										
Supply, distribution and marketing	\$	27,599	\$	13,729	\$	20,106	\$	7,313	\$	68,747
Terminals and pipelines		8,341		8,513		9,596		9,268		35,718
Total net operating margins		35,940		22,242		29,702		16,581		104,465
Selling, general, and administrative		(8,465)		(8,185)		(8,955)		(9,606)		(35,211)
Depreciation and amortization		(4,282)		(4,024)		(4,143)		(4,107)		(16,556)
Lower of cost or market write-downs on product linefill and tank bottom volumes		(849)		(12,114)						(12,963)
Corporate relocation and transition						(315)		(6,001)		(6,316)
Operating income (loss)		22,344		(2,081)		16,289		(3,133)		33,419
Other expense, net		(6,811)		(2,660)		(2,200)		(7,725)		(19,396)
Income tax (expense) benefit		(5,902)		1,801		(5,354)		3,990		(5,465)
Net earnings (loss)	\$	9,631	\$	(2,940)	\$	8,735	\$	(6,868)	\$	8,558

Three months ended

Three months ended					Year ended					
	September 30, 2000	December 31, 2000	March 31, 2001	June 30, 2001	June 30, 2001					
Net operating margins:										
Supply, distribution and marketing	\$	8,310	\$	10,865	\$	14,640	\$	12,503	\$	46,318
Terminals and pipelines		12,352		12,031		10,220		11,287		45,890
Total net operating margins		20,662		22,896		24,860		23,790		92,208
Selling, general, and administrative		(7,237)		(8,157)		(9,102)		(9,576)		(34,072)
Depreciation and amortization		(4,847)		(4,821)		(4,927)		(4,915)		(19,510)
Lower of cost or market write-downs on product linefill and tank bottom volumes		(4,528)		(2,353)		(1,940)		(9,497)		(18,318)
Operating income (loss)		4,050		7,565		8,891		(198)		20,308
Other income (expense), net		(3,616)		(4,754)		(8,143)		14,209		(2,304)
Income tax expense		(165)		(1,068)		(284)		(5,149)		(6,666)
Net earnings	\$	269	\$	1,743	\$	464	\$	8,862	\$	11,338

DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2003, 2002 AND 2001

We reported net earnings of \$6.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2003, compared to net earnings of \$8.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2002, and net earnings of \$11.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2001. After preferred stock dividends, the net earnings (loss) attributable to common stockholders was \$2.8 million, \$(2.8) million and \$2.4 million for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Basic earnings (loss) per common share for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, was \$0.07, \$(0.09) and \$0.08, respectively, based on 39.1 million, 31.3 million and 30.9 million weighted average common shares outstanding, respectively. Diluted earnings (loss) per share for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, was \$0.07, \$(0.09) and \$0.08, respectively, based upon 39.3 million, 31.3 million and 31.0 million weighted average diluted shares outstanding, respectively.

Terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges

In our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations, we provide distribution related services to wholesalers, distributors, marketers, retail gasoline station operators, cruise-ship operators and industrial and commercial end-users of refined petroleum products and other commercial liquids. The net operating margins from our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations for the year ended June 30, 2003 were \$47.8 million, compared to \$35.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2002 and \$45.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2001. On February 28, 2003, we acquired the Coastal Fuels assets, which include five terminals, a hydrant delivery system, and a tug and barge operation. The results of operations of the Coastal Fuels assets are included from the closing date of the transaction (February 28, 2003). For the year ended June 30, 2003, the Coastal Fuels assets generated revenues of approximately \$12.6 million and net operating margins of approximately \$5.4 million attributable to our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations. The remainder of the increase in net operating margins for 2003 as compared to 2002 is attributable to increased throughput and storage volumes at our terminals.

The decrease of \$10.2 million in net operating margins for 2002 as compared to 2001 was due principally to the sale of our Little Rock facilities on June 30, 2001 and the NORCO system on July 31, 2001. For the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, the disposed operations generated, in the aggregate, revenues of approximately \$1.3 million and \$21.2 million, respectively, and net operating margins of approximately \$0.7 million and \$10.4 million, respectively.

The net operating margins from our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations are as follows (in thousands):

	Years ended June 30,		
	2003	2002	2001
Throughput fees	\$ 30,359	\$ 26,544	\$ 27,945
Storage fees	26,915	18,989	20,723
Additive injection fees, net	7,921	6,611	6,593
Pipeline transportation fees	6,020	6,492	14,808
Tugs and barges	4,335		
Other	7,438	4,750	9,638
	<u>82,988</u>	<u>63,386</u>	<u>79,707</u>
Revenue	82,988	63,386	79,707
Less direct operating costs and expenses	(35,196)	(27,668)	(33,817)
	<u>47,792</u>	<u>35,718</u>	<u>45,890</u>
Net operating margins	\$ 47,792	\$ 35,718	\$ 45,890

45

Throughput Fees. We own and operate a terminal infrastructure that handles products with transportation connections via pipelines, barges, rail cars and trucks. We earn throughput fees for each barrel of product that is distributed at our terminals through our supply and marketing efforts, through exchange agreements, or for third parties. Terminal throughput fees are based on the volume of products distributed at the facility's truck loading racks, generally at a standard rate per barrel of product.

Exchange agreements provide for the exchange of product at one delivery location for product at a different location. We generally receive a terminal throughput fee based on the volume of the product exchanged, in addition to the cost of transportation from the receipt location to the exchange delivery location. For the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, we averaged approximately 47,000, 68,000 and 131,000 barrels per day, respectively, of delivered volumes under exchange agreements.

Terminal throughput fees were approximately \$30.4 million, \$26.5 million and \$27.9 million for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. For the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, we averaged approximately 369,000 barrels, 333,000 barrels and 337,000 barrels per day of throughput volumes at our terminals, including volumes under exchange agreements. The increase of \$3.9 million in throughput fees for 2003 as compared to 2002 was due principally to increases in throughput volumes from our supply, distribution and marketing operations of approximately \$3.4 million and approximately \$0.8 million as a result of our acquisition of the Coastal Fuels assets, offset by decreases in throughput volumes from third parties of approximately \$0.3 million.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

Included in the terminal throughput fees for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$23.2 million, \$19.0 million and \$19.3 million, respectively.

Storage Fees. We lease storage capacity at our terminals to third parties and our supply, distribution and marketing segment. Terminal storage fees generally are based on a per barrel of leased capacity per month rate and will vary with the duration of the storage agreement and the type of product stored.

Terminal storage fees were approximately \$26.9 million, \$19.0 million and \$20.7 million for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The increase of \$7.9 million in storage fees for 2003 as compared to 2002 was due principally to an increase of approximately \$5.9 million from our acquisition of Coastal Fuels assets, approximately \$1.8 million at our Brownsville, Texas facilities and approximately \$0.9 million at our Selma, North Carolina facilities offset by decreases at various other terminals.

Included in the terminal storage fees for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$5.9 million, \$3.5 million and \$4.9 million, respectively.

Additive Injection Fees, Net. We provide injection services in connection with the delivery of product at our terminals. These fees generally are based on the volume of product injected and delivered over the rack at our terminals.

Additive injection fees, net were approximately \$7.9 million, \$6.6 million and \$6.6 million for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The increase of \$1.3 million in additive injection fees, net for 2003 as compared to 2002 was due principally to an increase of approximately \$0.4 million from our acquisition of Coastal Fuels assets and approximately \$0.9 million from increased throughput volumes from our supply, distribution and marketing operations at our Southeast facilities.

Included in additive injection fees, net for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$6.7 million, \$5.2 million and \$4.8 million, respectively.

46

Pipeline Transportation Fees. We own an interstate products pipeline operating from Mt. Vernon, Missouri to Rogers, Arkansas, or the Razorback Pipeline, together with associated terminal facilities at Mt. Vernon and Rogers. Effective June 30, 2002, we acquired for cash consideration of approximately \$7.2 million the remaining 40% interest in the Razorback Pipeline system that we did not previously own. We also own and operate a proprietary pipeline in Port Everglades/Ft. Lauderdale, or the hydrant system, which we use to deliver product to cruise ships and other marine vessels for refueling, and a small intrastate crude oil gathering pipeline system, located in east Texas, or the CETEX pipeline. We earn pipeline transportation fees based on the volume of product transported and the distance from the origin point to the delivery point.

For the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, we earned pipeline transportation fees of approximately \$6.0 million, \$6.5 million and \$14.8 million, respectively. On July 31, 2001, we sold the NORCO system. For the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, the NORCO system generated pipeline transportation fees of approximately \$0.8 million and \$7.9 million.

Included in the pipeline transportation fees for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$6.0 million, \$5.6 million and \$10.0 million, respectively.

Tugs and Barges. In Florida, we own and operate nine tugboats and 13 barges that deliver product to cruise ships and other marine vessels for refueling and to transport third party product from our storage tanks to our customers' facilities. Our tugboats earn fees for providing docking and other ship-assist services to cruise and cargo ships and other marine vessels. Bunkering fees are based on the volume and type of product sold, transportation fees are based on the volume of product that is shipped and the distance to the delivery point, and docking and other ship-assist services are based on a per docking per tugboat basis.

For the year ended June 30, 2003, we earned bunkering fees, transportation fees, and other ship-assist services fees of approximately \$4.3 million. We acquired the tugs and barges operations on February 28, 2003 in connection with our acquisition of the Coastal Fuels assets.

Included in the tugs and barges fees for the year ended June 30, 2003 are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$2.7 million.

Other Revenue. In addition to providing storage and distribution services at our terminal facilities, we also provide ancillary services including heating and mixing of stored products and product transfer services. We also recognize gains from the sale of product to our supply, distribution and marketing operation resulting from the excess of product deposited by third parties into our terminals over the amount of product that the

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-K/A

customer is contractually permitted to withdraw from those terminals. For the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, other revenue from our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations was approximately \$7.4 million, \$4.8 million and \$9.6 million, respectively. The increase of approximately \$2.6 million in other revenue for 2003 as compared to 2002 was due principally to the expansion at our Brownsville, Texas terminal facility. The decrease of approximately \$4.8 million for 2002 as compared to 2001 was due principally to the disposition of the NORCO system on July 31, 2001.

Included in other revenue for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$3.1 million, \$2.7 million and \$4.2 million, respectively.

Direct Operating Costs and Expenses. The direct operating costs and expenses of our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations include the directly related wages and employee benefits, utilities, communications, maintenance and repairs, property taxes, rent, vehicle expenses,

47

environmental compliance costs, materials and supplies. For the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001, the direct operating costs and expenses of the terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges were approximately \$35.2 million, \$27.7 million and \$33.8 million, respectively. The direct operating costs and expenses of our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations are as follows (in thousands):

	Years ended June 30,		
	2003	2002	2001
Wages and employee benefits	\$ 16,266	\$ 12,631	\$ 14,667
Utilities and communication charges	3,616	3,024	5,214
Repairs and maintenance	9,697	7,918	9,717
Office, rentals and property taxes	4,298	4,707	5,700
Vehicles and fuel costs	860	425	690
Environmental compliance costs	3,094	2,023	2,043
Other	1,697	1,839	990
Less reimbursed costs and expenses	(4,332)	(4,899)	(5,204)
Direct operating costs and expenses	\$ 35,196	\$ 27,668	\$ 33,817

The increase of approximately \$7.5 million in direct operating costs and expenses for 2003 as compared to 2002 was due principally to the addition of the Coastal Fuels assets which resulted in approximately \$7.2 million of additional direct operating costs and expenses. The decrease of approximately \$6.1 million in direct operating costs and expenses for 2002 as compared to 2001 was due principally to the disposition of the Little Rock facilities and NORCO system.

Supply, distribution and marketing

The net operating margins from our supply, distribution and marketing operations for the year ended June 30, 2003 were \$50.1 million, compared to \$68.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2002, and \$46.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2001. During the year ended June 30, 2002, we extended for an additional year, a delivered fuel price management contract with a large industrial/commercial end-user. We recognized approximately \$3.0 million in net operating margins associated with this contract extension and we deferred approximately \$1.7 million for the value of the supply logistical management services that we are committed to provide over the term of the supply contract.

The net operating margins from our supply, distribution and marketing operations are as follows (in thousands):

Years ended June 30,

2003

42