

FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC  
Form 10-K  
March 05, 2014

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 001-16577

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Michigan

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

38-3150651

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5151 Corporate Drive, Troy, Michigan

(Address of principal executive offices)

48098-2639

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (248) 312-2000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The estimated aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the closing sale price (\$13.96 per share) as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30,

2013, was approximately \$277.7 million. The registrant does not have any non-voting common equity shares. As of March 3, 2014, 56,221,056 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, were issued and outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement relating to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report on Form 10-K.

---

PART I

- ITEM 1. BUSINESS
- ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS
- ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS
- ITEM 2. PROPERTIES
- ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
- ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

PART II

- ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS
- ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA
- ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
- ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK
- ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
- ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES
- ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES
- ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

PART III

- ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE
- ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
- ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS
- ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE
- ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

PART IV

- ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. Forward-looking statements, by their nature, involve estimates, projections, goals, forecasts, assumptions, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in a forward-looking statement. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, plans, goals, objectives and future financial or other performance. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates" and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. Except to fulfill our obligations under the U.S. securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update any such statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which it is made.

There are a number of important factors that could cause future results to differ materially from historical performance and these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include:

General business and economic conditions, including unemployment rates, movements in interest rates, the slope (1) of the yield curve, any increase in mortgage fraud and other related activity and the further decline of asset values in certain geographic markets, that affect us or our counterparties;

Volatile interest rates, and our ability to effectively hedge against them, which could affect, among other things, (2) (i) the mortgage business, (ii) our ability to originate loans and sell assets at a profit, (iii) prepayment speeds, (iv) our cost of funds and (v) investments in mortgage servicing rights;

(3) The adequacy of our allowance for loan losses and our representation and warranty reserves;

(4) Changes in accounting standards generally applicable to us and our application of such standards, including in the calculation of the fair value of our assets and liabilities;

(5) Our ability to borrow funds, maintain or increase deposits or raise capital on commercially reasonable terms or at all and our ability to achieve or maintain desired capital ratios;

(6) Changes in material factors affecting our loan portfolio, particularly our residential mortgage loans, and the market areas where our business is geographically concentrated or further loan portfolio or geographic concentration;

Changes in, or expansion of, the regulation of financial services companies and government-sponsored housing enterprises, including new legislation, regulations, rulemaking and interpretive guidance, enforcement actions, the (7) imposition of fines and other penalties by our regulators, the impact of existing laws and regulations, new or changed roles or guidelines of government-sponsored entities, changes in regulatory capital ratios, and increases in deposit insurance premiums and special assessments of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation;

Our ability to comply with the terms and conditions of the Supervisory Agreement with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve and the Bank's ability to comply with the Consent Order with the Office of Comptroller of the (8) Currency, and our ability to address matters raised by our regulators, including Matters Requiring Attention and Matters Requiring Immediate Attention, if any;

(9) The Bank's ability to make capital distributions and our ability to pay dividends on our capital stock or interest on our trust preferred securities;

(10) Our ability to attract and retain senior management and other qualified personnel to execute our business strategy, including our entry into new lines of business, our introduction of new products and services and management of

risks relating thereto, and our competing in the mortgage loan originations and servicing and commercial and retail banking lines of business;

- (11) Our ability to satisfy our servicing and subservicing obligations and manage repurchases and indemnity demands by mortgage loan purchasers, guarantors and insurers;

3

---

(12) The outcome and cost of defending current and future legal or regulatory litigation, proceedings or investigations;

(13) Our ability to create and maintain an effective risk management framework and effectively manage risk, including, among other things, market, interest rate, credit and liquidity risk, including risks relating to the cyclicity and seasonality of our mortgage banking business, litigation and regulatory risk, operational risk, counterparty risk and reputational risk;

(14) The control by, and influence of, our majority stockholder;

(15) A failure of, interruption in or cybersecurity attack on our network or computer systems, which could impact our ability to properly collect, process and maintain personal data and system integrity with respect to funds settlement;

(16) Our ability to meet our forecasted earnings such that we are able to realize the benefits of reversing our deferred tax allowance, or the need to increase the valuation allowance in future periods;

(17) Our compliance with the terms and conditions of the agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice and the impact of compliance with that agreement and our ability to accurately estimate the financial impact of that agreement, including the fair value and timing of the future payments; and

(18) The downgrade of the long-term credit rating of the U.S. by one or more ratings agencies could materially affect global and domestic financial markets and economic conditions.

All of the above factors are difficult to predict, contain uncertainties that may materially affect actual results, and may be beyond our control. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for our management to predict all such factors or to assess the effect of each such factor on our business.

Please also refer to Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which is incorporated by reference herein, for further information on these and other factors affecting us.

Although we believe that these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable estimates and assumptions, they are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, contingencies and other factors. Accordingly, we cannot give you any assurance that our expectations will in fact occur or that actual results will not differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or our objectives and plans will be achieved.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Where we say "we," "us," or "our," we usually mean Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. However, in some cases, a reference to "we," "us," or "our" will include our wholly-owned subsidiary Flagstar Bank, FSB (the "Bank").

General

We are a Michigan-based savings and loan holding company founded in 1993. Our business is primarily conducted through our principal subsidiary, the Bank, a federally chartered stock savings bank founded in 1987. At December 31, 2013, our total assets were \$9.4 billion, making us the largest bank headquartered in Michigan and one of the top ten largest savings banks in the United States. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "FBC." We are considered a controlled company for NYSE purposes, because MP Thrift Investments, L.P. ("MP Thrift") held approximately 63.4 percent of our common stock as of December 31, 2013.

As a savings and loan holding company, we are subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve (the "Federal Reserve"). The Bank is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") of the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("U.S. Treasury"). The Bank is also subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund. The Bank is also subject to the rule-making, supervision and examination authority of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB"), which is responsible for enforcing the principal federal consumer protection laws. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Indianapolis.

Our primary business is conducted through our Mortgage Banking segment, in which we originate or purchase residential first mortgage loans throughout the country and sell them into securitization pools, primarily to Fannie Mae, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") and Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae") (collectively, the "Agencies") or as whole loans. Approximately 99.2 percent of our total loan originations during the year ended December 31, 2013 represented mortgage loans that were collateralized by residential first mortgages on single-family residences and were eligible for sale to the Agencies. Our revenue primarily consists of net gain on loan sales, loan fees and charges, net loan administration income, and interest income from residential first mortgage loans held-for-investment and held-for-sale, and second mortgage loans held-for-investment. At December 31, 2013, we originated residential first mortgage loans through our wholesale relationships with approximately 1,100 mortgage brokers and approximately 1,000 correspondents, which were located in all 50 states. At December 31, 2013, we also operated 39 home loan centers located in 19 states, which primarily originate one-to-four family residential first mortgage loans as part of our Mortgage Banking segment. We also originate mortgage loans through referrals from our banking centers, consumer direct call center and our website, [www.flagstar.com](http://www.flagstar.com). The combination of our home lending, broker and correspondent channels gives us broad access to customers across diverse geographies to originate, fulfill, sell and service our residential first mortgage loan products. Our servicing activities primarily include collecting cash for principal, interest and escrow payments from borrowers, assisting homeowners through loss mitigation activities, and accounting for and remitting principal and interest payments to mortgage-backed securities investors and escrow payments to third parties.

Our business also includes the activities conducted through our Community Banking segment, in which our revenue includes net interest income and fee-based income from community banking services. At December 31, 2013, we operated 111 banking centers in Michigan (of which 12 were located in retail stores). Of the 111 banking centers, 68 facilities were owned and 43 facilities were leased. During the first quarter 2014, we relocated one and closed five banking centers to better align the branch structure with the Company's focus on key market areas and to improve banking center efficiencies. Through our banking centers, we gather deposits and offer a line of consumer and

commercial financial products and services to individuals and businesses. We provide deposit and cash management services to governmental units on a relationship basis. We leverage our banking centers to cross-sell loans, deposit products and insurance and investment services to existing customers and to increase our customer base by attracting new customers. At December 31, 2013, we had a total of \$6.1 billion in deposits, including \$4.9 billion in retail deposits, \$0.6 billion in company controlled deposits and \$0.6 billion in government deposits.

At December 31, 2013, we had 3,253 full-time equivalent salaried employees of which 359 were account executives and loan officers.



#### Reversal of Valuation Allowance on Deferred Tax Asset

During the fourth quarter 2013, we reversed 100 percent of the valuation allowance on our federal deferred tax asset ("DTA") and a portion of our state DTA, which had been previously established as of September 30, 2009 and which had increased since that time due to subsequently incurred operating losses. As a result of the DTA reversal, net income was increased due to the recording of a \$416.3 million benefit for income taxes during the year ended December 31, 2013. As of January 1, 2013, this benefit was comprised of a \$355.8 million DTA valuation allowance reversal, or \$6.29 per diluted share, and the current period benefit for income taxes of \$60.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### Settlements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac

During the fourth quarter 2013, we announced that we had entered into and executed separate settlement agreements with each of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to resolve substantially all of the repurchase requests and obligations associated with loans originated between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2008 and sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The Fannie Mae total resolution amount was \$121.5 million, and after paid claim credits and other adjustments, we paid \$93.5 million to Fannie Mae. The Freddie Mac total resolution amount was \$10.8 million, and after paid claim credits and other adjustments, we paid \$8.9 million to Freddie Mac. As a result of these settlements, we released approximately \$24.9 million of previously accrued reserves.

#### Sale of Mortgage Servicing Rights

On December 18, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell \$40.7 billion unpaid principal balance of our MSR portfolio to Matrix Financial Services Corporation ("Matrix"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Two Harbors Investment Corp. Covered under the agreement are certain mortgage loans serviced for both Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, originated primarily after 2010. Simultaneously, we entered into an agreement with Matrix to subservice the residential mortgage loans covered under the agreement to sell. The sales transaction closed on December 18, 2013 and the MSRs were thereafter transferred on that date.

#### Agreement with U.S. Department of Justice

On February 24, 2012, we announced that the Bank had entered into an agreement (the "DOJ Agreement") with the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") relating to certain underwriting practices associated with loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") of the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). The Bank and the DOJ entered into the DOJ Agreement pursuant to which the Bank agreed to comply with all applicable HUD and FHA rules related to its continued participation in the direct endorsement lender program, make an initial payment of \$15.0 million within 30 business days of the effective date of the DOJ Agreement, make payments of approximately \$118.0 million contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events (as further described below) (the "Additional Payments"), and complete a monitoring period by an independent third party chosen by the Bank and approved by HUD. The Additional Payments will occur if and only if each of the following events happen:

we generate positive income for a sustained period, such that part or all of our DTA, which was previously offset by a valuation allowance, is more likely than not to be realized, as evidenced by the reversal of the DTA valuation allowance in accordance with U.S. GAAP;

we are able to include capital derived from the reversal of the DTA valuation allowance in our Tier 1 capital, as limited by the regulatory capital requirements administered by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies; and our obligation to repay the \$266.7 million in preferred stock held by the U.S. Treasury under the TARP Capital Purchase Program has been either extinguished or excluded from Tier 1 capital for purposes of calculating the Tier 1 capital ratio as described in the paragraph below.

Upon the occurrence of each of the events described above, and provided doing so would not violate any banking regulatory requirement or the OCC does not otherwise object, we will begin making Additional Payments provided that (i) each annual payment would be equal to the lesser of \$25.0 million or the portion of the Additional Payments that remains outstanding after deducting prior payments, and (ii) no obligation arises until our call report as filed with the OCC, including any amendments thereto, for the period ending at least six months prior to the making of such Additional Payments, reflects a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio, after excluding any un-extinguished portion of the preferred stock issued in connection with our participation in the TARP Capital Purchase Program, of 11 percent (or higher ratio if required by regulators).

As noted above, as part of the settlement, we agreed to make payments totaling \$118.0 million, contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events, including the reversal of the valuation allowance on the DTA. As a result of the fourth

quarter 2013 reversal of the DTA valuation allowance and our view that the other conditions had been satisfied or would be satisfied in the near future upon the passage of time, we determined that the fair value liability associated with the DOJ settlement had increased by \$61.0 million, in addition to the quarterly management estimates. The total fair value of the DOJ settlement liability was \$93.0 million at December 31, 2013, as compared to \$19.1 million at December 31, 2012. The fair value of the Additional Payments could increase or decrease in the future, depending on certain factors, and therefore, may affect earnings in future quarters. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein, for the key assumptions used in valuing the litigation settlement.

#### Preferred Stock and Warrant

On December 18, 2012, the U.S. Treasury announced its intention to auction, during 2013, the preferred stock of a number of institutions, including us, which the U.S. Treasury had purchased in 2009 under the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program. The auction of our Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (the "Series C Preferred Stock"), closed on March 28, 2013. The U.S. Treasury also auctioned the warrant to purchase up to approximately 645,138 shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Common Stock") at an exercise price of \$62.00 per share (the "Warrant"). That auction closed on June 5, 2013. As a result of the auctions, the Series C Preferred Stock and the Warrant, which previously was acquired under the TARP Capital Purchase Program, are now held by third party investors unaffiliated with the U.S. government.

#### Commercial Loan Sales

In late 2012, we made a strategic decision to exit our New England based commercial loan production offices. In connection with this decision, we entered into two agreements to sell our New England commercial loan portfolios.

Effective December 31, 2012, the Bank entered into a definitive Transaction Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "CIT Agreement") with CIT Bank, the wholly-owned U.S. commercial bank subsidiary of CIT Group Inc. ("CIT"). Under the terms of the CIT Agreement, CIT acquired \$1.3 billion in commercial loan commitments, \$784.3 million of which was outstanding at December 31, 2012 for a purchase price of \$779.2 million. We recognized a gain of \$1.0 million recorded in net gain on sale of assets on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The loans sold consist primarily of asset-based loans, equipment leases and commercial real estate loans. The sale resulted in a reversal of \$12.6 million to the allowance for loan loss associated with such loans and which the reversal was recognized at December 31, 2012.

Effective February 5, 2013, the Bank entered into a definitive Asset and Portfolio Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "Customers Agreement") with Customers Bank ("Customers") located in Wyomissing, Pennsylvania. Under the terms of the Customers Agreement, Customers acquired \$187.6 million in commercial loan commitments, \$150.9 million of which were outstanding at December 31, 2012. The loans sold consist primarily of commercial and industrial loans. The transaction settled on March 28, 2013 for a purchase price of \$148.5 million.

We transferred the loans sold pursuant to both the CIT Agreement and the Customers Agreement from the loans held-for investment portfolio to the loans held-for-sale portfolio at December 31, 2012.

#### Litigation Settlements

In 2009 and 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp., formerly known as Financial Security Assurance Inc. ("Assured") with respect to HELOCs that were sold by the Bank in connection with the two non-agency HELOC securitizations. In 2011, Assured filed a lawsuit related to these repurchase demands. On February 5, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court") issued a

decision in the lawsuit filed by Assured. The Court found in favor of Assured on its claims for breach of contract against the Bank in the amount of \$89.2 million plus contractual interest and attorneys' fees and costs. On April 1, 2013, the Court issued a final judgment against us for a total of \$106.5 million, consisting of \$90.7 million in damages plus \$15.9 million in pre-judgment interest. The Bank filed a notice of appeal later that month. The Court subsequently issued a memorandum order, in which the court reversed the decision regarding attorneys' fees until after the appeal. On June 21, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with Assured (the "Assured Settlement Agreement") to settle the litigation and the Bank's pending appeal. Pursuant to the terms of the Assured Settlement Agreement, Assured's judgment against the Bank has been deemed fully satisfied, the Bank's appeal has been dismissed, and, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank has paid Assured \$105.0 million. In addition, the Bank has assumed responsibility for future payments due by Assured to noteholders in the Flagstar non-agency HELOC securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2005-1") and Flagstar non-agency HELOC securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2006-2"), (collectively the "HELOC securitization trusts"), and will receive future reimbursements for claims paid to which Assured would otherwise have been entitled. As a result, the Bank recorded a \$49.1 million gain during the second quarter 2013, arising

from the reconsolidation of the assets and liabilities of the HELOC securitization trusts at fair value and the reversal of related reserves for pending and threatened litigation. Due to the Assured Settlement Agreement, we reconsolidated the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts assets and liabilities at June 30, 2013. We subsequently became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts, which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a variable interest entity ("VIE").

In May 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from MBIA Insurance Corporation ("MBIA") with respect to closed-end, fixed and adjustable second mortgage loans that were sold by the Bank in connection with its two non-agency second mortgage loan securitizations. On January 11, 2013, MBIA filed a lawsuit against the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging a breach of various loan level representations and warranties and seeking relief for breach of contract, as well as full indemnification and reimbursement of amounts that it has paid and would pay under the respective insurance policies, plus interest and costs. In the litigation, MBIA alleged damages to date of \$165.0 million and unspecified future damages. In March 2013, the Bank filed a motion to dismiss, and MBIA filed a motion for partial summary judgment on the basis of collateral estoppels. On May 2, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with MBIA (the "MBIA Settlement Agreement") to settle the litigation. Pursuant to the terms of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, MBIA dismissed its lawsuit against the Bank and in exchange, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank paid MBIA \$110.0 million. Following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the Flagstar non-agency second mortgage securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2006-1") which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was dissolved and we then transferred the loans associated with the securitization to our loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value, approximately \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans, and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. As a result, we recognized a \$4.9 million loss during the second quarter 2013. In addition, the MBIA Settlement Agreement also noted that MBIA will be required to satisfy all of its obligation under the Flagstar non-agency second mortgage securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2007-1") insurance policy and related FSTAR 2007-1 obligations without further recourse to us.

For further information, see Notes 10 and 28 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

#### Reverse Stock Split

Our board of directors authorized a one-for-ten reverse stock split on September 24, 2012 following the annual meeting of stockholders on that date at which the reverse stock split was approved by our stockholders. Our common stock began trading on a post-split basis on October 11, 2012. Unless noted otherwise, all share-related amounts herein reflect the one-for-ten reverse stock split.

In connection with the reverse stock split, stockholders received one new share of common stock for every ten shares held at the effective time. The reverse stock split reduced the number of outstanding shares of common stock from approximately 558.3 million to 55.8 million. The number of authorized shares of common stock was reduced from 700 million to 70 million. Proportional adjustments were made to our outstanding options, warrants and other securities entitling holders to purchase or receive shares of common stock. In lieu of fractional shares, stockholders received cash payments based on the common stock's closing price on October 9, 2012, adjusted for the reverse stock split. The reverse stock split did not negatively affect any of the rights that accrue to holders of our outstanding options, warrants and other securities entitling holders to purchase or receive shares of common stock, except to adjust the number of shares relating thereto accordingly. For further information on the reverse stock split, see Note 21 and Note 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

#### Consent Order

Effective October 23, 2012, the Bank's board of directors executed a Stipulation and Consent (the "Stipulation"), accepting the issuance of a Consent Order (the "Consent Order") by the OCC. The Consent Order replaces the supervisory agreement entered into between the Bank and the Office of Thrift Supervision (the "OTS") on January 27, 2010, which the OCC terminated simultaneous with issuance of the Consent Order. We are still subject to the Supervisory Agreement with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve (the "Supervisory Agreement"). Under the Consent Order, the Bank is required to adopt or review and revise various plans, policies and procedures related to, among other things, regulatory capital, enterprise risk management and liquidity. Specifically, under the terms of the Consent Order, the Bank's board of directors has agreed to, among other things, which include but are not limited to the following:

Review, revise, and forward to the OCC a written capital plan for the Bank covering at least a three-year period and establishing projections for the Bank's overall risk profile, earnings performance, growth expectations, balance sheet mix, off-balance sheet activities, liability and funding structure, capital and liquidity adequacy, as well as a contingency capital funding process and plan that identifies alternative capital sources should the primary sources not be available;

Adopt and forward to the OCC a comprehensive written liquidity risk management policy that systematically requires the Bank to reduce liquidity risk; and

Develop, adopt, and forward to the OCC a written enterprise risk management program that is designed to ensure that the Bank effectively identifies, monitors, and controls its enterprise-wide risks, including by developing risk limits for each line of business.

Each of the plans, policies and procedures referenced above in the Consent Order, as well as any subsequent amendments or changes thereto, must be submitted to the OCC for a determination that the OCC has no supervisory objection to them. Upon receiving a determination of no supervisory objection from the OCC, the Bank must implement and adhere to the respective plan, policy or procedure. The foregoing summary of the Consent Order does not purport to be a complete description of all of the terms of the Consent Order, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of the Consent Order filed with the SEC as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2012.

We intend to address the banking issues identified by the OCC in the manner required for compliance by the OCC. There can be no assurance that the OCC will not provide substantive comments on the capital plan or other submissions that the Bank makes pursuant to the Consent Order that will have a material impact on us. We believe that the actions taken, or to be taken, to address the banking issues set forth in the Consent Order should, over time, improve our enterprise risk management practices and risk profile. For further information regarding the risks related to the Consent Order, please also refer to Item 1A to Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, herein.

#### Supervisory Agreement

We are subject to the Supervisory Agreement, dated January 27, 2010, which will remain in effect until terminated, modified, or suspended in writing by the Federal Reserve. The failure to comply with the Supervisory Agreement could result in the initiation of further enforcement action by the Federal Reserve, including the imposition of further operating restrictions, and could result in additional enforcement actions against us. We have taken actions which we believe are appropriate to comply with, and intend to maintain compliance with, all of the requirements of the Supervisory Agreement.

Pursuant to the Supervisory Agreement, we submitted a capital plan to the OTS, predecessor in interest to the Federal Reserve. In addition, we agreed to request prior non-objection of the Federal Reserve to pay dividends or other capital distributions; purchase, repurchase or redeem certain securities; and incur, issue, renew, roll over or increase any debt; and enter into certain affiliate transactions. We also agreed to comply with restrictions on the payment of severance and indemnification payments, director and management changes and employment contracts and compensation arrangements. The foregoing summary of the Supervisory Agreement does not purport to be a complete description of all of the terms of the Supervisory Agreement and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of the Supervisory Agreement filed with the SEC as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2010. For further information regarding the risks related to the Supervisory Agreement, please also refer to Item 1A to Part I of this Annual Report, herein.

#### Payment of Dividend and Interest Payments

We are a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank and our non-banking subsidiaries. In 2008, we discontinued the payment of dividends on common stock. On January 27, 2012, we provided notice to the U.S. Treasury exercising our contractual right to defer regularly scheduled quarterly payments of dividends, beginning with the February 2012 payment, on preferred stock issued and outstanding. Beginning after January 30, 2014, the rate will increase to 9.0 percent from the December 31, 2013 rate of 5.0 percent. Under the terms of the preferred stock, we may defer payments of dividends for up to six quarters in total without default or penalty. Since we have exceeded six quarters of interest deferrals, the holders of such preferred stock have the right to elect two directors to our board of directors but have advised us that they currently do not intend to do so. Concurrently, we also exercised our contractual rights to defer interest payments with respect to trust preferred securities. We may not recommence payments on either the preferred stock or trust preferred securities without commencing payments on the other as well. Under the terms of the indentures related to the trust preferred securities, we may defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. These payments will be periodically evaluated and reinstated when appropriate, subject to provisions of the Consent Order and Supervisory Agreement.



In addition, we are generally prohibited from making any dividend payments on stock except pursuant to the prior non-objection of the Federal Reserve as set forth in the Consent Order and Supervisory Agreement. Our principal sources of funds are cash dividends paid by the Bank and other subsidiaries, investment income and borrowings. Federal laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends or other capital distributions that the Bank may pay us. The Bank has an internal policy to remain "well-capitalized" under OCC capital adequacy regulations. The Bank does not currently expect to pay dividends to us and, even if it determined to do so, would not make payments if the Bank was not well-capitalized at the time or if such payment would result in the Bank not being well-capitalized. In addition, the Bank must seek prior approval from the OCC at least 30 days before it may make a dividend payment or other capital distribution to us.

### Business and Strategy

We, as well as the rest of the mortgage industry and most other lenders, were negatively affected in recent years by increased credit losses from the prolonged and unprecedented economic recession. There have been moderate improvements beginning in 2012 and throughout 2013 in a number of macroeconomic factors which impact our business. However, near term concerns remain over unemployment, the U.S. mortgage market, access to credit and liquidity markets, energy costs and global political issues such as sovereign debt defaults. Financial institutions also continue to face heightened levels of scrutiny from regulators regarding capital and liquidity requirements, credit risk and other matters.

We believe that despite the increased scrutiny and heightened capital and liquidity requirements, regulated financial institutions should benefit from reduced competition from unregulated entities that lack the access to and breadth of significant funding sources as well as the capital to meet the financing needs of their customers and the ability to satisfy compliance requirements.

We believe that our management team has the necessary experience to appropriately manage through the credit and operational challenges that are present in today's markets. Our Mortgage Banking and Community Banking segments complement each other and contribute to the establishment of a diversified mix of revenue streams.

We intend to continue to seek ways to maximize the value of our Mortgage Banking segment while effectively managing and mitigating risk, with a critical focus on expense management, improving asset quality, increasing profitability, and preserving capital. We expect to pursue opportunities to build our core deposit base through our existing branch banking structure and to serve the credit and non-credit needs of the business customers in our markets, as we diversify our businesses and risk through executing our business plan and transitioning to a full-service and diversified community banking model.

The segments are based on an internally-aligned segment leadership structure, which is also how the results are monitored and performance assessed. We expect that the combination of our business model and the services that our operating segments provide will result in a competitive advantage that supports revenue and earnings. Our business model emphasizes the delivery of a complete set of mortgage and banking products and services, including originating, acquiring, selling and servicing one-to-four family residential first mortgage loans, which we believe is distinguished by timely processing and customer service.

### Operating Segments

Our business is comprised of three operating segments - Mortgage Banking, Community Banking and Other. Our Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services residential first mortgage loans on one-to-four family residences. Our Community Banking segment currently offers a line of financial products and services to individuals, small and middle market businesses, and mortgage lenders. Our Other segment includes corporate

treasury, tax benefits not assigned to specific operating segments, and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature. Each operating segment supports and complements the operations of the other. For example, funding for the Mortgage Banking segment is primarily provided by deposits obtained through the Community Banking segment. Financial information regarding the three operating segments is set forth in Note 29 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein. A more detailed discussion of the three operating segments is set forth below.

### Mortgage Banking

Our Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services one-to-four family residential first mortgage loans. Throughout 2013, we remained one of the country's leading mortgage loan originators. We utilize three production channels to originate or acquire mortgage loans: home lending (also referred to as "retail"), as well as brokers and correspondents (also collectively referred to as "wholesale"). Each production channel originates mortgage loan products which are underwritten to the same standards. We expect to continue to leverage technology to streamline the mortgage origination

process, thereby bringing service and convenience to brokers and correspondents. Sales support offices are maintained to assist brokers and correspondents nationwide. We also continue to make available to our customers various web-based tools that facilitate the mortgage loan origination process through each of our production channels. Brokers and correspondents are able to register and lock loans, check the status of inventory, deliver documents in electronic format, generate closing documents, and request funds through the Internet.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, 34.3 percent of our residential first mortgage originations were purchase mortgages, as compared to 21.8 percent in the year ended December 31, 2012. Historically, the purchase and refinance mix of our mortgage originations has generally tracked the mix of the overall mortgage industry. This is also the case in each of our production channels.

**Home Lending .** In a home lending transaction, loans are originated through a nationwide network of stand-alone home loan centers, as well as referrals from our Community Banking segment and the national call center. When loans are originated on a retail basis, most aspects of the lending process are completed internally including the origination documentation (inclusive of customer disclosures) as well as the funding of the transactions. At December 31, 2013 we maintained 39 home loan centers. At the same time, our centralized loan processing gains efficiencies and allows lending sales staff to focus on originations.

**Broker.** In a broker transaction, an unaffiliated bank or mortgage brokerage company completes several steps of the loan origination process including the loan paperwork, but the loans are underwritten on a loan-level basis to our underwriting standards and we supply the funding for the loan at closing (also known as "table funding") thereby becoming the lender of record. Currently, we have active broker relationships with approximately 1,100 banks, credit unions and mortgage brokerage companies located in all 50 states.

**Correspondent.** In a correspondent transaction, an unaffiliated bank or mortgage company completes the loan paperwork and also supplies the funding for the loan at closing. After the bank or mortgage company has funded the transaction, we purchase the loan at a market price. We do not acquire loans from correspondents on a bulk basis without prior review. Instead, we perform a full review of each loan, purchasing only those that were originated in accordance with our underwriting guidelines. We have active correspondent relationships with approximately 1,000 companies, including banks, credit unions and mortgage companies located in all 50 states.

As of December 31, 2013, we ranked in the top ten mortgage lenders nationwide based on our residential first mortgage loan originations. The following tables disclose residential first mortgage loan originations by channel, type and mix for each respective period.

|                        | 2013                   |                   |                  |                   |                 |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                        | First<br>Quarter       | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter | Year to<br>Date |
|                        | (Dollars in thousands) |                   |                  |                   |                 |
| Home Lending           | \$697,340              | \$575,016         | \$411,940        | \$296,123         | \$1,980,419     |
| Broker                 | 3,201,371              | 2,974,555         | 1,845,465        | 1,591,372         | 9,612,763       |
| Correspondent          | 8,524,540              | 7,332,558         | 5,478,385        | 4,548,166         | 25,883,649      |
| Total                  | \$12,423,251           | \$10,882,129      | \$7,735,790      | \$6,435,661       | \$37,476,831    |
| Purchase originations  | \$2,339,269            | \$3,146,501       | \$3,682,411      | 3,672,538         | \$12,840,719    |
| Refinance originations | 10,083,982             | 7,735,628         | 4,053,379        | 2,763,123         | 24,636,112      |
| Total                  | \$12,423,251           | \$10,882,129      | \$7,735,790      | \$6,435,661       | \$37,476,831    |
| Conventional           | \$8,591,784            | \$7,681,337       | \$5,247,910      | \$4,130,976       | \$25,652,007    |
| Government             | 2,799,000              | 2,535,378         | 1,930,538        | 1,560,059         | 8,824,975       |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|       |              |              |             |             |              |
|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Jumbo | 1,032,467    | 665,414      | 557,342     | 744,626     | 2,999,849    |
| Total | \$12,423,251 | \$10,882,129 | \$7,735,790 | \$6,435,661 | \$37,476,831 |

11

---

|                        | 2012                   |                   |                  |                   |                 |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                        | First<br>Quarter       | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter | Year to<br>Date |
|                        | (Dollars in thousands) |                   |                  |                   |                 |
| Home Lending           | \$729,369              | \$751,075         | \$961,591        | \$998,804         | \$3,440,839     |
| Broker                 | 2,909,446              | 3,156,949         | 4,117,742        | 4,524,775         | 14,708,912      |
| Correspondent          | 7,530,594              | 8,638,977         | 9,434,287        | 9,833,218         | 35,437,076      |
| Total                  | \$11,169,409           | \$12,547,001      | \$14,513,620     | \$15,356,797      | \$53,586,827    |
| Purchase originations  | \$2,188,508            | \$3,324,501       | \$3,267,788      | 2,915,724         | \$11,696,521    |
| Refinance originations | 8,980,901              | 9,222,500         | 11,245,832       | 12,441,073        | 41,890,306      |
| Total                  | \$11,169,409           | \$12,547,001      | \$14,513,620     | \$15,356,797      | \$53,586,827    |
| Conventional           | \$7,859,960            | \$8,762,268       | \$10,020,863     | \$10,427,131      | \$37,070,222    |
| Government             | 2,611,691              | 3,085,247         | 3,178,563        | 3,363,134         | 12,238,635      |
| Jumbo                  | 697,758                | 699,486           | 1,314,194        | 1,566,532         | 4,277,970       |
| Total                  | \$11,169,409           | \$12,547,001      | \$14,513,620     | \$15,356,797      | \$53,586,827    |
|                        | 2011                   |                   |                  |                   |                 |
|                        | First<br>Quarter       | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter | Year to<br>Date |
|                        | (Dollars in thousands) |                   |                  |                   |                 |
| Home Lending           | \$329,973              | \$354,359         | \$481,057        | \$616,765         | \$1,782,154     |
| Broker                 | 1,341,973              | 1,436,632         | 2,178,801        | 2,931,106         | 7,888,512       |
| Correspondent          | 3,184,364              | 2,851,716         | 4,266,593        | 6,639,229         | 16,941,902      |
| Total                  | \$4,856,310            | \$4,642,707       | \$6,926,451      | \$10,187,100      | \$26,612,568    |
| Purchase originations  | \$1,702,041            | \$2,347,212       | \$2,538,925      | \$2,148,300       | \$8,736,478     |
| Refinance originations | 3,154,269              | 2,295,495         | 4,387,526        | 8,038,800         | 17,876,090      |
| Total                  | \$4,856,310            | \$4,642,707       | \$6,926,451      | \$10,187,100      | \$26,612,568    |
| Conventional           | \$2,965,986            | \$2,726,979       | \$4,431,229      | \$7,180,349       | \$17,304,543    |
| Government             | 1,645,232              | 1,680,766         | 1,759,984        | 2,135,840         | 7,221,822       |
| Jumbo                  | 245,092                | 234,962           | 735,238          | 870,911           | 2,086,203       |
| Total                  | \$4,856,310            | \$4,642,707       | \$6,926,451      | \$10,187,100      | \$26,612,568    |

#### Underwriting

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we primarily originated residential first mortgage loans for sale to the Agencies, each of which has its particular underwriting guidelines.

Residential first mortgage loans are underwritten on a loan-by-loan basis. Generally, residential first mortgage loans in the held-for-investment loan portfolio were initially reviewed by one of our in-house loan underwriters or by a contract underwriter. In all cases, loans must be underwritten to our underwriting standards. We also originate jumbo adjustable-rate mortgage loans held-for-investment and the underwriting criteria is similar to lenders originating for securitization.

Our current criteria for underwriting generally includes, but are not limited to, full documentation of borrower income and other relevant financial information, fully indexed rate consideration for adjustable-rate loans, and for Agency loans, the specific Agency eligible LTV ratios with full appraisals when required. Variances from any of these

standards are permitted only to the extent allowable under the specific investor program requirements. Mortgage loans are collateralized by a first or second mortgage on a one-to-four family residential property.

In general, for loans originated in 2008 and prior, those loans with a balance under \$1,000,000 required a valid Agency automated underwriting system ("AUS") response for approval consideration. Documentation and ratio guidelines were driven by the AUS response. A FICO credit score for the borrower was required and a full appraisal of the underlying property that serve as collateral was obtained. For loans over \$1,000,000 originated in 2008 and prior, traditional manual underwriting documentation and ratio requirements were required as were two years plus year to date income documentation and two months

of bank statements. Income documentation based solely on a borrower's statement was an available underwriting option for each loan category. Even so, in these cases employment of the borrower was verified under the vast majority of loan programs, and income levels were typically checked against third party sources to confirm validity.

We believe our underwriting process, which relies on the electronic submission of data and images and is based on an imaging workflow process, allows for underwriting at a higher level of accuracy and with more timeliness than exists with processes that rely on paper submissions. We also provide our underwriters with integrated quality control tools, such as automated valuation models, multiple fraud detection engines and the ability to electronically submit IRS Form 4506 to ensure underwriters have the information that they need to make informed decisions. The process begins with the submission of an electronic application and an initial determination of eligibility. The application and required documents are then uploaded to our corporate underwriting department and all documents are identified by optical character recognition or our underwriting staff. The underwriter is responsible for checking the data integrity and reviewing credit. The file is then reviewed in accordance with the applicable guidelines established by the Agencies for the particular product. Quality control checks are performed by the underwriting department using the tools outlined above, as necessary, and a decision is then made and communicated to the prospective borrower.

#### Loans held-for-investment

Residential first mortgage loans. At December 31, 2013, most of our held-for-investment residential first mortgage loans had been originated in 2008 or prior years with underwriting criteria that varied by product and with the standards in place at the time of origination. Loans originated after 2008 are loans that generally satisfy specific criteria for sale into securitization pools insured by the Agencies or were repurchased from the Agencies subsequent to such sales. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we originated amortizing jumbo adjustable-rate mortgages (adjustable-rate mortgages with loan balances above the Agencies limits) for our held-for-investment portfolio. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we increased the amount of jumbo mortgage loans held-for-investment originations and further volume growth in originations is planned for 2014.

At December 31, 2013, the largest geographic concentrations of our residential first mortgage loans in our held-for-investment portfolio were in California, Florida and Michigan, and the aggregate unpaid principal balance of which represented 52.5 percent of total unpaid principal balance of such loans.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The following table identifies our held-for-investment mortgages by major category, at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

|  | Unpaid Principal Balance (1) | Average Note Rate | Average Original FICO Score | Average Current FICO Score (2) | Weighted Average Maturity | Average Original LTV Ratio | Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) |   |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| December 31, 2013                      | (Dollars in thousands)       |                   |                             |                                |                           |                            |  |   |
| Residential first mortgage loans       |                              |                   |                             |                                |                           |                            |  |   |
| Amortizing                             | 1,392,778                    | 4.03              | % 707                       | 695                            | 302                       | 75.3                       | % 78.9                                       | % |
| Interest only                          | 1,051,157                    | 3.76              | % 724                       | 733                            | 264                       | 74.6                       | % 83.7                                       | % |
| Option ARMs                            | 37,159                       | 2.94              | % 717                       | 708                            | 297                       | 69.2                       | % 92.0                                       | % |
| Subprime (4)                           | 3,230                        | 8.16              | % 628                       | 643                            | 282                       | 70.2                       | % 92.0                                       | % |
| Total residential first mortgage loans | \$2,484,324                  | 3.90              | % 714                       | 711                            | 286                       | 74.9                       | % 81.2                                       | % |
| December 31, 2012                      |                              |                   |                             |                                |                           |                            |  |   |
| Residential first mortgage loans       |                              |                   |                             |                                |                           |                            |  |   |
| Amortizing                             | 1,662,753                    | 4.15              | % 704                       | 669                            | 311                       | 77.5                       | % 92.7                                       | % |
| Interest only                          | 1,251,658                    | 4.11              | % 724                       | 709                            | 276                       | 74.4                       | % 93.2                                       | % |
| Option ARMs                            | 55,848                       | 3.54              | % 717                       | 684                            | 309                       | 70.4                       | % 108.9                                      | % |
| Subprime (4)                           | 3,755                        | 8.37              | % 616                       | 650                            | 295                       | 73.4                       | % 100.4                                      | % |
| Total residential first mortgage loans | \$2,974,014                  | 4.13              | % 712                       | 686                            | 296                       | 76.1                       | % 93.2                                       | % |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the year ended December 31, 2013.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of September 30, 2013.

(4) Subprime loans are defined in accordance with the FDIC's assessment regulations definitions for subprime loans, which includes loans with FICO scores below 620 or similar characteristics.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the residential first mortgage loans in our held-for-investment portfolio at December 31, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination                          | 2009 and Prior         | 2010     | 2011     | 2012     | 2013      | Total / Weighted Average |
|--|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|--------------------------|
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |          |          |          |           |                          |
| Unpaid principal balance (1)                 | \$2,250,018            | \$22,401 | \$29,951 | \$28,014 | \$153,940 | \$2,484,324              |
| Average note rate                            | 3.92                   | % 4.67   | % 4.48   | % 3.90   | % 3.39    | % 3.90                   |
| Average original FICO score                  | 710                    | 717      | 735      | 736      | 764       | 714                      |
| Average current FICO score (2)               | 706                    | 723      | 744      | 752      | 767       | 711                      |
| Average original LTV ratio                   | 75.4                   | % 79.7   | % 78.8   | % 72.6   | % 66.5    | % 74.9                   |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) | 82.7                   | % 71.4   | % 71.2   | % 63.8   | % 65.2    | % 81.2                   |
|  | 35.0                   | % —      | % 1.0    | % —      | % —       | % 31.0                   |



Underwritten with low or  
stated income  
documentation

- (1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.
- (2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the year ended December 31, 2013.
- (3) The housing price index ("HPI") LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight ("OFHEO") data as of September 30, 2013.

Average original LTV represents the loan balance at origination, as a percentage of the original appraised value of the property. LTVs are refreshed quarterly based on estimates of home prices using the most current FHFA data, and the refreshed LTVs reflect the modest recovery in home prices over the past 18 months.

The following table identifies our held-for-investment mortgages by major category, at December 31, 2013.

| December 31, 2013                      | Unpaid Principal Balance (1) | Average Note Rate | Average Original FICO Score | Average Current FICO Score (2) | Weighted Average Maturity | Average Original LTV Ratio | Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| (Dollars in thousands)                 |                              |                   |                             |                                |                           |                            |  |
| Residential first mortgage loans       |                              |                   |                             |                                |                           |                            |  |
| Amortizing                             |                              |                   |                             |                                |                           |                            |  |
| 3/1 ARM                                | \$125,463                    | 3.30              | % 691                       | 700                            | 254                       | 80.2                       | % 76.8                                       |
| 5/1 ARM                                | 335,424                      | 3.49              | % 720                       | 731                            | 274                       | 73.4                       | % 70.2                                       |
| 7/1 ARM                                | 132,084                      | 3.49              | % 758                       | 764                            | 347                       | 68.3                       | % 66.8                                       |
| Other ARM                              | 53,934                       | 3.16              | % 676                       | 687                            | 246                       | 83.2                       | % 72.9                                       |
| Fixed mortgage loans (4)               | 745,873                      | 4.56              | % 696                       | 666                            | 320                       | 75.9                       | % 85.9                                       |
| Total amortizing                       | 1,392,778                    | 4.03              | % 707                       | 695                            | 302                       | 75.3                       | % 78.9                                       |
| Interest only                          |                              |                   |                             |                                |                           |                            |  |
| 3/1 ARM                                | 172,949                      | 3.44              | % 722                       | 724                            | 259                       | 74.5                       | % 81.8                                       |
| 5/1 ARM                                | 668,717                      | 3.25              | % 723                       | 737                            | 261                       | 75.0                       | % 82.0                                       |
| 7/1 ARM                                | 38,061                       | 5.81              | % 732                       | 732                            | 282                       | 74.5                       | % 93.8                                       |
| Other ARM                              | 42,253                       | 3.25              | % 730                       | 734                            | 275                       | 73.5                       | % 81.3                                       |
| Other interest only                    | 129,177                      | 6.41              | % 728                       | 723                            | 279                       | 73.6                       | % 93.3                                       |
| Total interest only                    | 1,051,157                    | 3.76              | % 724                       | 733                            | 264                       | 74.6                       | % 83.7                                       |
| Option ARMs                            | 37,159                       | 2.94              | % 717                       | 708                            | 297                       | 69.2                       | % 92.0                                       |
| Subprime (5)                           |                              |                   |                             |                                |                           |                            |  |
| 3/1 ARM                                | 48                           | 10.30             | % 685                       | 734                            | 262                       | 95.0                       | % 62.1                                       |
| Other ARM                              | 72                           | 9.75              | % 572                       | 593                            | 270                       | 95.0                       | % 79.3                                       |
| Other subprime                         | 3,110                        | 8.09              | % 629                       | 643                            | 282                       | 69.4                       | % 92.7                                       |
| Total subprime                         | \$3,230                      | 8.16              | % 628                       | 643                            | 282                       | 70.2                       | % 92.0                                       |
| Total residential first mortgage loans | \$2,484,324                  | 3.90              | % 714                       | 711                            | 286                       | 74.9                       | % 81.2                                       |
| Second mortgage loans (6) (7)          | \$169,680                    | 7.07              | % 729                       | 729                            | 116                       | 20.6                       | % 20.9                                       |
| HELOC loans (6) (7)                    | \$289,303                    | 5.53              | % 728                       | 728                            | 50                        | 26.5                       | % 27.0                                       |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the year ended December 31, 2013.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of September 30, 2013.

(4) Includes substantially fixed rate mortgage loans.

(5) Subprime loans are defined in accordance with the FDIC's assessment regulations definitions for subprime loans, which includes loans with FICO scores below 620 or similar characteristics.

(6) Reflects lower LTV only as to second liens because information regarding the first liens is not available.

(7) Includes \$64.7 million and \$155.0 million of second mortgage and HELOC loans, respectively, that are accounted for under the fair value option at December 31, 2013. The combined LTV information is not available for these loans.

The following table sets forth characteristics of those loans in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio as of December 31, 2013 that were originated with less documentation than is now required by the Agencies. Loans as to which underwriting information was accepted from a borrower without validating that particular item of information are referred to as "low doc" or "stated." Substantially all of those loans were underwritten with verification of employment, but with the related job income, personal assets, or both, stated by the borrower without verification of actual amount. The lack of verification of borrower provided information may increase the risk profile of those loans. Loans as to which underwriting information was supported by third party documentation or procedures are referred to as "full doc," and the information therein is referred to as "verified." Also set forth are different types of loans that may have a higher risk of non-collection than other loans.

| December 31, 2013                                      | Low Doc<br>% of Held-for-Investment<br>loans<br>(Dollars in thousands) | % of Residential<br>First Mortgage loans | Unpaid Principal<br>Balance (1) |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Characteristics  |  |  |                                 |
| SISA (stated income, stated asset)                     | 1.85   | % 2.93                                   | % \$74,420                      |
| SIVA (stated income, verified assets)                  | 10.53  | % 16.73                                  | % 424,284                       |
| High LTV (i.e., at or above 95 percent at origination) | 0.18   | % 0.29                                   | % 7,389                         |
| Second lien products (HELOCs, second mortgages)        | 3.41   | % 5.43                                   | % 137,591                       |
| Loan types   |  |  |                                 |
| Option ARM loans (2)                                   | 0.54   | % 0.86                                   | % 21,929                        |
| Interest-only loans (2)                                | 9.43   | % 14.98                                  | % 379,933                       |
| Subprime (2) (3)                                       | 0.05   | % 0.08                                   | % 2,017                         |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) For additional information regarding the original and current FICO scores and LTV ration, please see the table on the preceding page.

(3) Subprime loans are defined in accordance with the FDIC's assessment regulations definitions for subprime loans, which includes loans with FICO scores below 620 or similar characteristics.

Adjustable-rate mortgage loans. Adjustable rate mortgage ("ARM") loans held-for-investment were originated using Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guidelines as a base framework, and the debt-to-income ratio guidelines and documentation typically followed the AUS guidelines. Our underwriting guidelines were designed with the intent to minimize layered risk. The maximum ratios allowable for purposes of both the LTV ratio and the combined loan-to-value ("CLTV") ratio, which includes second mortgages on the same collateral, was 100 percent, but subordinate (or second mortgage) financing was not allowed over a 90 percent LTV ratio. At a 100 percent LTV ratio with private mortgage insurance, the minimum acceptable FICO score, or the "floor," was 700, and at lower LTV ratio levels, the FICO floor was 620. All occupancy and specific-purpose loan types were allowed at lower LTVs. At times ARMs were underwritten at an initial rate, also known as the "start rate," that was lower than the fully indexed rate but only for loans with lower LTV ratios and higher FICO scores. Other ARMs were either underwritten at the note rate if the initial fixed term was two years or greater, or at the note rate plus two percentage points if the initial fixed rate term was six months to one year.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of our ARM loans in our residential first mortgage held-for-investment loan portfolio at December 31, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination                                  | 2009 and Prior | 2010    | 2011     | 2012     | 2013      | Total / Weighted Average |   |
|--|----------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|--------------------------|---|
| (Dollars in thousands)                               |                |         |          |          |           |                          |   |
| Unpaid principal balance (1)                         | \$1,424,808    | \$9,661 | \$15,614 | \$13,462 | \$142,619 | \$1,606,164              |   |
| Average note rate                                    | 3.38           | % 4.29  | % 4.21   | % 3.88   | % 3.34    | % 3.39                   | % |
| Average original FICO score                          | 717            | 730     | 743      | 756      | 766       | 722                      |   |
| Average current FICO score (2)                       | 727            | 739     | 758      | 769      | 768       | 731                      |   |
| Average original LTV ratio                           | 75.4           | % 75.5  | % 74.9   | % 62.4   | % 66.4    | % 74.5                   | % |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3)         | 79.7           | % 69.9  | % 67.3   | % 55.9   | % 65.3    | % 78.0                   | % |
| Underwritten with low or stated income documentation | 35.0           | % —     | % 1.0    | % —      | % —       | % 31.0                   | % |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the year ended December 31, 2013.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of September 30, 2013.

Option ARMs. We previously offered option ARMs, which are adjustable rate mortgage loans that permit a borrower to select one of three monthly payment options when the loan is first originated: (i) a principal and interest payment that would fully repay the loan over its stated term, (ii) an interest-only payment that would require the borrower to pay only the interest due each month but would have a period (usually 10 years) after which the entire amount of the loan would need to be repaid or refinanced, and (iii) a minimum payment amount selected by the borrower and which might include principal and some interest, with the unpaid interest added to the balance of the loan (i.e., a process known as "negative amortization").

Set forth below is a table describing specific characteristics of option ARMs in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio at December 31, 2013, which were originated in 2008 or prior.

| Year of Origination  | 2008 and Prior |   |
|--|----------------|---|
| (Dollars in thousands)   |                |   |
| Unpaid principal balance (1)   | \$37,159       |   |
| Average note rate  | 2.94           | % |
| Average original FICO score  | 717            |   |
| Average current FICO score (2)   | 708            |   |
| Average original LTV ratio   | 69.2           | % |
| Average original CLTV ratio  | 73.9           | % |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3)   | 92.0           | % |
| Underwritten with low or stated income documentation   | \$21,929       |   |
| Total principal balance with any accumulated negative amortization   | \$23,254       |   |
| Percentage of total ARMS with any accumulated negative amortization  | 1.6            | % |
| Amount of net negative amortization (i.e., deferred interest) accumulated as interest income during the year ended December 31, 2013 | \$2,368        |   |

- (1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.
- (2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the year ended December 31, 2013.
- (3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of September 30, 2013.

Set forth below are the accumulated amounts of interest income arising from the net negative amortization portion of loans during the years ended December 31.

|      | Unpaid Principal Balance<br>of<br>Loans in Negative Amortization<br>At Year-End (1)<br>(Dollars in thousands) | Amount of Net Negative<br>Amortization Accumulated as<br>Interest Income During Period |
|------|---|--|
| 2013 | \$23,254  | \$ 2,368   |
| 2012 | \$37,747  | \$ 3,513   |
| 2011 | \$82,536  | \$ 7,847   |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Set forth below are the frequencies at which the interest rate on ARM loans outstanding at December 31, 2013, will reset.

| Reset frequency                | # of Loans<br>(Dollars in thousands) | Balance     | % of the Total |   |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---|
| Monthly                        | 98                                   | \$19,332    | 1.2            | % |
| Semi-annually                  | 2,988                                | 916,705     | 57.0           | % |
| Annually                       | 2,427                                | 351,117     | 21.9           | % |
| No reset — nonperforming loans | 1,079                                | 319,010     | 19.9           | % |
| Total                          | 6,592                                | \$1,606,164 | 100.0          | % |

Set forth below as of December 31, 2013, are the amounts of the ARM loans in our held-for-investment loan portfolio with interest rate reset dates in the periods noted. As noted in the above table, loans may reset more than once over a three-year period and nonperforming loans do not reset while in the nonperforming status. Accordingly, the table below may include the same loans in more than one period.

|                 | 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter<br>(Dollars in thousands) | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter | 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2014            | \$503,580   | \$576,346               | \$576,514               | \$569,927               |
| 2015            | 574,462   | 591,631                 | 597,872                 | 584,983                 |
| 2016            | 588,106   | 600,376                 | 605,169                 | 592,749                 |
| Later years (1) | 684,296   | 610,615                 | 709,105                 | 634,067                 |

(1) Later years reflect one reset period per loan.

Interest-only mortgages. We offer, on a limited basis, adjustable-rate, fixed term loans with 10-year, interest-only options. These loans were originated using Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guidelines as a base framework. We generally applied the debt-to-income ratio guidelines and documentation using the automated underwriting Approve/Reject response requirements of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. During 2013, we began originating interest-only home equity line of credit loans that were secured by first lien mortgages. These loans have a 10-year interest-only draw period followed by a 20-year fixed fully amortizing period.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the interest-only mortgage loans at the dates indicated in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio at December 31, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination                                     | 2009 and<br>Prior | 2010   | 2011 | 2012 | 2013     | Total /<br>Weighted<br>Average |
|---|-------------------|--------|------|------|----------|--------------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands)                                  |                   |        |      |      |          |                                |
| Unpaid principal balance (1)                            | \$ 1,045,555      | \$ 270 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 5,332 | \$ 1,051,157                   |
| Average note rate                                       | 3.77              | % 3.38 | % —  | % —  | % 3.00   | % 3.76 %                       |
| Average original FICO score                             | 724               | 755    | —    | —    | 773      | 724                            |
| Average current FICO score<br>(2)                       | 733               | 751    | —    | —    | 777      | 733                            |
| Average original LTV ratio                              | 74.7              | % 51.4 | % —  | % —  | % 54.0   | % 74.6 %                       |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as<br>recalculated (3)         | 83.9              | % 51.4 | % —  | % —  | % 41.8   | % 83.7 %                       |
| Underwritten with low or<br>stated income documentation | 36.0              | % —    | % —  | % —  | % —      | % 36.0 %                       |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Current FICO scores obtained at various times during the year ended December 31, 2013.

(3) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of September 30, 2013.

Set forth below is a table describing the amortization date and payment shock of current interest-only mortgage loans at the dates indicated in our held-for-investment mortgage portfolio at December 31, 2013.

|   | 2014       | 2015       | 2016      | 2017       | 2018     | Thereafter | Total /<br>Weighted<br>Average |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|--------------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands)                                    |            |            |           |            |          |            |                                |
| Unpaid principal<br>balance (1)                           | \$ 281,278 | \$ 361,037 | \$ 60,558 | \$ 282,158 | \$ 7,911 | \$ 7,265   | \$ 1,000,207                   |
| Weighted average<br>rate                                  | 3.39       | % 3.35     | % 3.46    | % 4.52     | % 4.92   | % 3.07     | % 3.59 %                       |
| Average original<br>monthly payment per<br>loan (dollars) | \$ 1,367   | \$ 1,407   | \$ 1,682  | \$ 2,689   | \$ 2,103 | \$ 294     | \$ 1,613                       |
| Average current<br>monthly payment per<br>loan (dollars)  | \$ 902     | \$ 791     | \$ 924    | \$ 1,972   | \$ 1,589 | \$ 193     | \$ 1,033                       |
| Average amortizing<br>payment per loan<br>(dollars)       | \$ 1,776   | \$ 1,609   | \$ 1,780  | \$ 3,180   | \$ 2,098 | \$ 383     | \$ 1,916                       |
| Loan count  | 906        | 1,284      | 198       | 569        | 23       | 113        | 3,093                          |
| Payment shock<br>(dollars)                                | \$ 874     | \$ 818     | \$ 855    | \$ 1,208   | \$ 509   | \$ 190     | \$ 789                         |
| Payment shock<br>(percent)                                | 96.9       | % 103.4    | % 92.5    | % 61.2     | % 32.1   | % 98.0     | % 76.4 %                       |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Second mortgage loans. The majority of second mortgages we originated were closed in conjunction with the closing of the residential first mortgages originated by us. We generally required the same levels of documentation and ratios

as with our residential first mortgages. For second mortgages closed in conjunction with a residential first mortgage loan that was not being originated by us, our allowable debt-to-income ratios for approval of the second mortgages were capped at 40 percent to 45 percent. In the case of a loan closing in which full documentation was required and the loan was being used to acquire the borrower's primary residence, we allowed a CLTV ratio of up to 100 percent; for similar loans that also contained higher risk elements, we limited the maximum CLTV to 90 percent. FICO floors ranged from 620 to 720, and fixed and adjustable rate loans were available with terms ranging from five to 20 years.



Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the second mortgage loans in our held-for-investment portfolio at December 31, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination                          | Prior to 2009 | 2010   | 2011   | 2012   | 2013    | Total / Weighted Average |
|--|---------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands)                       |               |        |        |        |         |                          |
| Unpaid principal balance (1)                 | \$167,285     | \$662  | \$119  | \$237  | \$1,377 | \$169,680                |
| Average note rate                            | 7.10          | % 6.92 | % 7.06 | % 5.24 | % 4.42  | % 7.07 %                 |
| Average original FICO score                  | 729           | 710    | 692    | 763    | 757     | 729                      |
| Average original LTV ratio (2)               | 20.5          | % 17.1 | % 18.7 | % 21.0 | % 43.8  | % 20.6 %                 |
| (3)  |               |        |        |        |         |                          |
| Average original CLTV ratio                  | 51.1          | % 80.3 | % 73.5 | % 94.8 | % 60.7  | % 51.3 %                 |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (4) | 20.8          | % 14.7 | % 15.6 | % 19.1 | % 43.3  | % 20.9 %                 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

(2) Reflects lower LTV only as to second liens because information regarding the first liens is not available.

(3) Includes \$64.7 million of second mortgage loans at December 31, 2013 that are accounted for under the fair value option. The LTV information is not yet available for these loans.

(4) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of September 30, 2013. The HPI LTV is not available for the loans associated with the MBIA Settlement.

Home Equity Line of Credit loans. HELOC loan originations were re-launched in June 2011 as a banking center originated portfolio product. Current HELOC guidelines and pricing parameters have been established to attract high credit quality loans with long term profitability. The minimum FICO is 680, maximum CLTV is 80 percent, and the maximum debt-to-income ratio is 45 percent. For HELOC loans originated in 2009 and prior, the majority were closed in conjunction with the closing of related first mortgage loans originations. Documentation requirements for HELOC applications were generally the same as those required of borrowers for the first mortgage loans originated by us, and debt-to-income ratios were capped at 50 percent. For HELOCs closed in conjunction with the closing of a first mortgage loan that was not being originated by us, our debt-to-income ratio requirements were capped at 40 percent to 45 percent and the LTV was capped at 80 percent. The qualifying payment varied over time and included terms such as either 0.75 percent of the line amount or the interest only payment due on the full line based on the current rate plus 0.5 percent. HELOCs were available in conjunction with primary residence transactions that required full documentation, and the borrower was allowed a CLTV ratio of up to 100 percent. For similar loans that also contained higher risk elements, we limited the maximum CLTV to 90 percent. FICO floors ranged from 620 to 720. The HELOC terms called for monthly interest only payments with a balloon principal payment due at the end of 10 years. At times, initial teaser rates were offered for the first three months.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the HELOCs in our held-for-investment portfolio at December 31, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination          | 2009 and Prior | 2010 | 2011    | 2012    | 2013     | Total / Weighted Average |
|------------------------------|----------------|------|---------|---------|----------|--------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands)       |                |      |         |         |          |                          |
| Unpaid principal balance (1) | \$260,142      | \$—  | \$1,644 | \$9,117 | \$18,400 | \$289,303                |
| Average note rate (2)        | 5.75           | % —  | % 3.88  | % 3.74  | % 3.48   | % 5.53 %                 |
| Average original FICO score  | 724            | —    | 750     | 763     | 765      | 728                      |
| Average original LTV ratio   | 25.1           | % —  | % 42.2  | % 45.5  | % 36.4   | % 26.5 %                 |
| (3)                          |                |      |         |         |          |                          |
|                              | 26.8           | % —  | % 31.3  | % 33.5  | % 26.9   | % 27.0 %                 |

Housing Price Index LTV, as  
recalculated (4)

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Average note rate reflects the rate that is currently in effect. As these loans adjust on a monthly basis, the average  
(2) note rate could increase, but would not decrease, as currently the minimum rate on virtually all of the loans is in effect.

(3) Includes \$155.0 million of HELOC loans at December 31, 2013 that are accounted for under the fair value option.

The LTV information is not yet available for these loans.

The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of  
(4) September 30, 2013. Reflects lower LTV because these are second liens and information regarding the first lien is not available. The HPI LTV is not available for the loans re consolidated as part of the Assured Settlement Agreement.

## Loan Sales and Securitizations

We sell substantially all of the residential mortgage loans we produce into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by first securitizing the loans into mortgage-backed securities. Our securitizations are with Fannie Mae, Ginnie Mae and to a lesser extent Freddie Mac.

The following table indicates the breakdown of our loan sales/securitizations for the period as indicated.

|                        | For the Years Ended December 31, |                       |                       |   |  |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
|                        | 2013 Principal Sold %            | 2012 Principal Sold % | 2011 Principal Sold % |   |  |
| Agency securitizations | 99.3                             | % 99.0                | % 96.1                | % |  |
| Whole loan sales       | 0.7                              | % 1.0                 | % 3.9                 | % |  |
| Total                  | 100.0                            | % 100.0               | % 100.0               | % |  |

Upon our sale of mortgage loans, we may retain the servicing of the mortgage loans, or sell the servicing rights ("MSRs") to other secondary market investors. In general, we do not sell the servicing rights to mortgage loans that we originate for our own portfolio. When we retain MSRs, we are entitled to receive a servicing fee equal to a specified percentage of the outstanding principal balance of the loans. We may also be entitled to receive additional servicing compensation, such as late payment fees and earn additional income through the use of noninterest bearing escrows.

We previously participated in four private-label securitizations of financial assets involving two HELOC loan transactions and two second mortgage loan transactions. The private-label securitizations (excluding one) have been reconstituted or dissolved as a result of the settlement agreements with MBIA and Assured. We previously acted as the principal underwriter of the beneficial interests that were sold to investors. The financial assets were derecognized when they were transferred to the securitization trusts, which then issued and sold mortgage-backed securities to third party investors. We relinquished control over the loans at the time the financial assets were transferred to the securitization trusts. We have not engaged in any private-label securitization activity except for these four securitizations completed from 2005 to 2007. See Notes 10 and 28 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

As a result of the settlement agreement with Assured, we became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts because we obtained the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts (power to select or remove the servicer) and the obligation to absorb probable losses and receive residual returns (support of the guarantor and holder of residual interests in trusts). Accordingly, as noted above, the assets and liabilities of these securitization trusts have been reconstituted on to our balance sheet and are carried at fair value.

**Loan servicing.** The Mortgage Banking segment also services mortgage loans for others. Servicing residential mortgage loans for third parties generates fee income and represents a significant business activity. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we serviced portfolios of mortgage loans of \$25.7 billion and \$76.8 billion, respectively. We had an average balance of serviced mortgage loans of \$70.3 billion and \$74.2 billion, respectively, which generated gross revenue of \$190.7 million and \$209.6 million, respectively, during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The fair value estimate uses a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows by taking into consideration actual and expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions.

As part of our business model, we periodically sell MSRs, in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying loans, principally for capital management, balance sheet management or interest rate risk purposes. MSRs created in a

lower interest rate environment generally will have a higher market value because the underlying loan is less likely to be prepaid. Conversely, an MSR created in a higher interest rate environment will generally sell at a market price below the original fair value recorded because of the increased likelihood of prepayment of the underlying loans, resulting in a loss. MSRs can be sold on a bulk basis or a flow basis. MSRs sold on a bulk basis are reflected in our financial statements after the completion of loan sales and later sold to a third party as the opportunity arises. MSRs sold on a flow basis are completed when we sell the servicing rights shortly after the servicing rights are acquired pursuant to an existing arrangement, generally with no gain realized on the sale. The majority of our MSR sales were completed on a bulk basis.

On December 18, 2013, we sold \$40.7 billion unpaid principal balance of our MSR portfolio to Matrix, a wholly owned subsidiary of Two Harbors Investment Corp. Covered under the agreement are certain mortgage loans serviced for both Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, originated primarily after 2010. Simultaneously, we entered into an agreement with Matrix to subservice the residential mortgage loans covered under the agreement to sell. As a result, we will receive subservicing income and retain a portion of the ancillary fees to be paid as the servicer of the loans.

Over the past three years, we sold MSRs related to \$101.6 billion of loans serviced for others on a bulk basis, including \$74.9 billion during the year ended December 31, 2013, which includes the \$40.7 billion sold to Matrix. We incurred \$19.2 million of transaction costs on the sale of our MSRs during the year ended December 31, 2013.

The following table presents the unpaid principal balance of residential loans serviced and the number of accounts associated with those loans.

|   | December 31, 2013 |                    | December 31, 2012 |                    |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|   | Amount            | Number of accounts | Amount            | Number of accounts |
| Residential loan servicing                      |                   |                    |                   |                    |
| Serviced for own loan portfolio (1)             | \$4,375,009       | 28,069             | \$6,078,758       | 32,597             |
| Serviced for others                             | 25,743,396        | 131,413            | 76,821,222        | 377,210            |
| Subserviced for other (2)                       | 40,431,867        | 198,256            | —                 | —                  |
| Total residential loans serviced for others (2) | \$70,550,272      | 357,738            | \$82,899,980      | 409,807            |

(1) Includes both loans held-for-investment (residential first mortgage, second mortgage and HELO) and loans held-for-sale (residential first mortgage).

(2) Does not include temporary short-term subservicing performed as a result of some sales of servicing.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the mortgage loans serviced for others at December 31, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination                         | 2009 and Prior         | 2010        | 2011        | 2012        | 2013        | Total / Weighted Average |
|---|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |             |             |             |             |                          |
| Unpaid principal balance (1)                | \$3,726,665            | \$2,163,316 | \$2,568,144 | \$9,806,352 | \$7,478,919 | \$25,743,396             |
| Average unpaid principal balance per loan   | \$144,080              | \$164,223   | \$176,021   | \$218,916   | \$226,661   | \$195,898                |
| Weighted average service fee (basis points) | 0.31                   | % 0.29      | % 0.27      | % 0.28      | % 0.27      | % 0.28                   |
| Weighted average rate                       | 5.19                   | % 4.66      | % 4.24      | % 3.61      | % 4.27      | % 4.18                   |
| Weighted average original maturity (months) | 349                    | 337         | 309         | 327         | 324         | 329                      |
| Weighted average age (months)               | 69                     | 41          | 29          | 18          | 3           | 24                       |
| Average current FICO score (2)              | 692                    | 732         | 745         | 749         | 747         | 739                      |
| Average original LTV ratio                  | 75.8                   | % 75.2      | % 69.0      | % 73.0      | % 75.2      | % 73.8                   |

Housing Price Index

|                      |      |        |        |        |        |        |   |
|----------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|
| LTV, as recalculated | 81.8 | % 72.6 | % 63.0 | % 66.7 | % 74.2 | % 71.2 | % |
|----------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|

(3)

|            |        |        |        |        |        |         |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Loan count | 25,859 | 13,173 | 14,590 | 44,795 | 32,996 | 131,413 |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Average note rate reflects the rate that is currently in effect. As these loans adjust on a monthly basis, the average note rate could increase, but would not decrease, as in the current market, the floor rate on virtually all of the loans is in effect.

(2) The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of September 30, 2013.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Set forth below is a table of the past due trends in mortgage loans serviced for others at December 31, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination          | 2009 and Prior | 2010        | 2011        | 2012        | 2013        | Total        |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| (Dollars in thousands)       |                |             |             |             |             |              |
| 30-59 days past due          | \$251,895      | \$51,085    | \$35,147    | \$80,823    | \$14,843    | \$433,793    |
| 60-89 days past due          | 118,986        | 16,653      | 11,148      | 18,717      | 250         | 165,754      |
| 90 days or greater past due  | 480,993        | 35,526      | 18,449      | 14,197      | 762         | 549,927      |
| Total past due               | 851,874        | 103,264     | 64,744      | 113,737     | 15,855      | 1,149,474    |
| Current                      | 2,874,791      | 2,060,051   | 2,503,400   | 9,692,615   | 7,463,065   | 24,593,922   |
| Unpaid principal balance (1) | \$3,726,665    | \$2,163,315 | \$2,568,144 | \$9,806,352 | \$7,478,920 | \$25,743,396 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the residential mortgage loans subserviced for others at December 31, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination                          | 2009 and Prior | 2010        | 2011        | 2012         | 2013         | Total / Weighted Average |
|--|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands)                       |                |             |             |              |              |                          |
| Unpaid principal balance (1)                 | \$3,986,560    | \$2,596,713 | \$4,083,155 | \$16,524,344 | \$13,241,095 | \$40,431,867             |
| Average unpaid principal balance per loan    | \$126          | \$164       | \$183       | \$230        | \$233        | \$204                    |
| Weighted average service fee (basis points)  | 0.40           | % 0.31      | % 0.27      | % 0.28       | % 0.27       | % 0.29                   |
| Weighted average rate                        | 5.59           | % 4.67      | % 4.21      | % 3.61       | % 3.58       | % 3.92                   |
| Weighted average original maturity (months)  | 335            | 334         | 305         | 322          | 327          | 324                      |
| Weighted average age (months)                | 59             | 41          | 28          | 18           | 8            | 21                       |
| Average current FICO score (2)               | 664            | 734         | 753         | 758          | 753          | 747                      |
| Average original LTV ratio                   | 90.8           | % 82.5      | % 71.8      | % 71.7       | % 74.8       | % 75.3                   |
| Housing Price Index LTV, as recalculated (3) | 91.5           | % 74.7      | % 61.2      | % 62.4       | % 70.3       | % 68.5                   |
| Loan count                                   | 31,606         | 15,822      | 22,344      | 71,699       | 56,785       | 198,256                  |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Average note rate reflects the rate that is currently in effect. As these loans adjust on a monthly basis, the average (2) note rate could increase, but would not decrease, as in the current market, the floor rate on virtually all of the loans is in effect.

(3)

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The HPI LTV is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level OFHEO data as of September 30, 2013.

Set forth below is a table of the past due trends in residential mortgage loans subserviced for others at December 31, 2013, by year of origination.

| Year of Origination          | 2009 and<br>Prior | 2010        | 2011        | 2012         | 2013         | Total        |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| (Dollars in thousands)       |                   |             |             |              |              |              |
| 30-59 days past due          | \$372,331         | \$59,416    | \$48,792    | \$89,309     | \$42,429     | \$612,277    |
| 60-89 days past due          | 181,296           | 27,173      | 18,034      | 18,874       | 7,832        | 253,209      |
| 90 days or greater past due  | 109,011           | 16,549      | 10,037      | 15,765       | 4,197        | 155,559      |
| Total past due               | 662,638           | 103,138     | 76,863      | 123,948      | 54,458       | 1,021,045    |
| Current                      | 3,323,922         | 2,493,574   | 4,006,291   | 16,400,397   | 13,186,638   | 39,410,822   |
| Unpaid principal balance (1) | \$3,986,560       | \$2,596,712 | \$4,083,154 | \$16,524,345 | \$13,241,096 | \$40,431,867 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.



## Representation and warranty reserve

We sell most of the residential first mortgage loans that we originate into the secondary mortgage market. When we sell mortgage loans, we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers, including sponsored securitization trusts and their insurers (primarily Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac), about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. Typically, these representations and warranties are in place for the life of the loan. If a defect in the origination process is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, generally we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan.

We maintain a representation and warranty reserve to account for the probable losses inherent in loans we might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The representation and warranty reserve takes into account both our estimate of probable losses inherent in loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of probable losses inherent in loans sold. In each case, these estimates are based on the most recent data available to us, including data from third parties, regarding demands for loan repurchases, actual loan repurchases, and actual credit losses on repurchased loans, among other factors. Provisions added to the representation and warranty reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded under noninterest income in the income statement as an increase or decrease to representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate. The amount of our representation and warranty reserve equaled \$54.0 million and \$193.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

During the fourth quarter 2013, we entered into agreements with both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to resolve substantially all of the repurchase requests and obligations associated with loans originated between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2008. The settlement with Fannie Mae, reached on November 6, 2013, was for a total resolution amount of \$121.5 million and, after paid claim credits and other adjustments, we paid \$93.5 million. We settled with Freddie Mac on December 30, 2013 for a total resolution amount of \$10.8 million and, after paid claim credits and other adjustments, we paid \$8.9 million. As a result of these settlements, we released approximately \$24.9 million of previously accrued reserves.

## Community Banking

Our Community Banking segment consists primarily of three groups: Branch Banking, Commercial and Business Banking and Warehouse Lending. The groups within the Community Banking segment originate consumer loans, commercial loans and warehouse loans, accept consumer, business and governmental deposits, offer investments and insurance services and offer liquidity management products. The liquidity management products include customized treasury management solutions, equipment leasing, international services and capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products. At December 31, 2013, Branch Banking included 111 banking centers located throughout Michigan. During the first quarter 2014, we relocated one and closed five banking centers to better align the branch structure with the Company's focus on key market areas and to improve banking center efficiencies. Commercial and Business Banking includes relationship and portfolio managers throughout Michigan's major markets. Warehouse Lending offers lines of credit to other mortgage lenders, allowing those lenders to fund the closing of residential first mortgage loans.

Our Community Banking segment intends to achieve our strategic objective of becoming a standalone, profitable line of business through implementation of a number of important initiatives, including strengthening the leadership team, enhancing the sales process, improving operating efficiencies, and developing a streamlined account opening strategy. Branch Banking intends to continue optimizing our network of offices through strategic growth and relocations. Commercial and Business Banking intends to continue our focus on acquiring new customer relationships throughout

Michigan.

Our Community Banking segment's mission is to build strong and lasting relationships with customers, and such relationships are intended to include the delivery of multiple financial products and services. Regardless of whether customers are first introduced to us through a deposit account, mortgage loan, or other product, the Community Banking segment's focus is to strengthen those relationships by meeting multiple additional financial needs. Our Community Banking segment also cross-sells primary products, such as checking accounts, savings accounts, investment products, and consumer loans, to new and existing customers.

Commercial loans held-for-investment. Our Commercial and Business Banking group includes relationship and portfolio managers throughout Michigan's major markets. Our commercial loans held-for-investment totaled \$626.4 million at December 31, 2013 and \$737.2 million at December 31, 2012, and consists of three loan types: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing, each of which is discussed in more detail below. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we originated \$239.5 million in commercial loans, compared to \$727.1 million during the year

24

---

ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in commercial loan originations is primarily due to the strategic decision, made in late 2012, to exit our New England based commercial loan production offices. The following table identifies the commercial loan held-for-investment portfolio by loan type and selected criteria.

## Commercial Loans Held-for-Investment

| December 31, 2013                          | Balance                | Average<br>Note Rate | Loan on<br>Non-accrual<br>Status |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |                      |                                  |
| Commercial real estate loans:              |                        |                      |                                  |
| Fixed rate                                 | \$172,598              | 5.4                  | % \$1,500                        |
| Adjustable rate                            | 237,071                | 3.0                  | % —                              |
| Total commercial real estate loans         | 409,669                |                      | \$1,500                          |
| Net deferred fees and other                | (799)                  | )                    |                                  |
| Total commercial real estate loans         | \$408,870              |                      |                                  |
| Commercial and industrial loans:           |                        |                      |                                  |
| Fixed rate                                 | \$12,782               | 4.3                  | % \$—                            |
| Adjustable rate                            | 195,500                | 2.7                  | % —                              |
| Total commercial and industrial loans      | 208,282                |                      | \$—                              |
| Net deferred fees and other                | (1,095)                | )                    |                                  |
| Total commercial and industrial loans      | \$207,187              |                      |                                  |
| Commercial lease financing loans:          |                        |                      |                                  |
| Fixed rate                                 | \$10,613               | 3.5                  | % \$—                            |
| Net deferred fees and other                | (272)                  | )                    |                                  |
| Total commercial lease financing loans     | \$10,341               |                      |                                  |
| Total commercial loans:                    |                        |                      |                                  |
| Fixed rate                                 | \$195,993              | 5.2                  | % \$1,500                        |
| Adjustable rate                            | 432,571                | 2.9                  | % —                              |
| Total commercial loans held-for-investment | 628,564                |                      | \$1,500                          |
| Net deferred fees and other                | (2,166)                | )                    |                                  |
| Total commercial loans held-for-investment | \$626,398              |                      |                                  |

## Commercial Loans Held-for-Investment

| December 31, 2012                          | Balance                | Average<br>Note Rate | Loan on<br>Non-accrual<br>Status |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |                      |                                  |
| Commercial real estate loans:              |                        |                      |                                  |
| Fixed rate                                 | \$342,296              | 5.5                  | %"\$38,909                       |
| Adjustable rate                            | 299,489                | 4.1                  | %"47,458                         |
| Total commercial real estate loans         | 641,785                |                      | \$86,367                         |
| Net deferred fees and other                | (1,470)                | )                    |                                  |
| Total commercial real estate loans         | \$640,315              |                      |                                  |
| Commercial and industrial loans:           |                        |                      |                                  |
| Fixed rate                                 | \$33,124               | 3.5                  | %"\$—                            |
| Adjustable rate                            | 58,544                 | 2.7                  | %"41                             |
| Total commercial and industrial loans      | 91,668                 |                      | \$41                             |
| Net deferred fees and other                | (1,103)                | )                    |                                  |
| Total commercial and industrial loans      | \$90,565               |                      |                                  |
| Commercial lease financing loans:          |                        |                      |                                  |
| Fixed rate                                 | \$5,634                | 6.2                  | %"\$—                            |
| Net deferred fees and other                | 666                    |                      |                                  |
| Total commercial lease financing loans     | \$6,300                |                      |                                  |
| Total commercial loans:                    |                        |                      |                                  |
| Fixed rate                                 | \$381,054              | 5.2                  | %"\$38,909                       |
| Adjustable rate                            | 358,033                | 3.9                  | %"47,499                         |
| Total commercial loans held-for-investment | 739,087                |                      | \$86,408                         |
| Net deferred fees and other                | (1,907)                | )                    |                                  |
| Total commercial loans held-for-investment | \$737,180              |                      |                                  |

The following table sets forth the unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) of our commercial loan held-for-investment portfolio at December 31, 2013 by year of origination.

| Year of Origination        | 2009 and<br>Prior      | 2010    | 2011     | 2012      | 2013      | Total     |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                            | (Dollars in thousands) |         |          |           |           |           |
| Commercial real estate     | \$194,738              | \$8,658 | \$13,082 | \$70,528  | \$122,663 | \$409,669 |
| Commercial and industrial  | 656                    | 610     | 27,451   | 35,037    | 144,528   | 208,282   |
| Commercial lease financing | —                      | —       | —        | 10,613    | —         | 10,613    |
| Total                      | \$195,394              | \$9,268 | \$40,533 | \$116,178 | \$267,191 | \$628,564 |

The average loan balance in our total commercial held-for-investment loan portfolio was approximately \$0.8 million for the period ending December 31, 2013, with the largest loan being \$39.1 million. There are approximately 72 loans with \$2.5 million of unpaid principal balance and those loans comprised approximately \$383.6 million, or 61.0 percent, of the total commercial held-for-investment loan portfolio in the aggregate.

Commercial real estate loans. Our commercial real estate held-for-investment loan portfolio is comprised of loans that are collateralized by real estate properties intended to be income-producing in the normal course of business.

The following table discloses our total unpaid principal balance of commercial real estate held-for-investment loans that were geographically concentrated at December 31, 2013.

| State      | December 31, 2013      |             |
|------------|------------------------|-------------|
|            | Percent                | Amount (1)  |
|            | (Dollars in thousands) |             |
| Michigan   | 77.7                   | % \$318,099 |
| California | 5.6                    | % 22,754    |
| Florida    | 3.8                    | % 15,638    |
| Indiana    | 2.1                    | % 8,648     |
| Tennessee  | 2.0                    | % 7,975     |
| Other      | 8.8                    | % 36,555    |
| Total      | 100.0                  | % 409,669   |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Commercial and industrial loans. Commercial and industrial held-for-investment loan facilities typically include lines of credit and term loans to small or middle market businesses for use in normal business operations to finance working capital needs, equipment purchases and expansion projects.

The following table discloses our total unpaid principal balance of commercial held-for-investment loans that were geographically concentrated at December 31, 2013.

| State      | December 31, 2013      |             |
|------------|------------------------|-------------|
|            | Percent                | Amount (1)  |
|            | (Dollars in thousands) |             |
| Michigan   | 88.4                   | % \$184,106 |
| California | 5.2                    | % 10,874    |
| Texas      | 3.8                    | % 7,917     |
| Kentucky   | 2.1                    | % 4,471     |
| Other      | 0.5                    | % 914       |
| Total      | 100.0                  | % 208,282   |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Commercial lease financing loans. Our commercial lease financing held-for-investment loan portfolio is comprised of equipment leased to customers in a direct financing lease. The net investment in financing leases includes the aggregate amount of lease payments to be received and the estimated residual values of the equipment, less unearned income. Income from lease financing is recognized over the lives of the leases on an approximate level rate of return on the unrecovered investment. The residual value represents the estimated fair value of the leased asset at the end of the lease term. Unguaranteed residual values of leased assets are reviewed at least annually for impairment. If any declines in residual values are determined to be other-than-temporary they will be recognized in earnings in the period such determinations are made.

Warehouse lending. We also continue to offer warehouse lines of credit to other mortgage lenders. These allow the lender to fund the closing of residential first mortgage loans. Each extension or drawdown on the line is collateralized by the residential first mortgage loan being funded. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we subsequently acquired approximately 80.9 percent of residential first mortgage loans funded through the warehouse lines.

Underlying mortgage loans are predominately originated using Agencies underwriting standards. These lines of credit are, in most cases, personally guaranteed by one or more principal officers of the borrower. The aggregate committed

amount of adjustable rate warehouse lines of credit granted to other mortgage lenders at December 31, 2013 was \$2.1 billion, of which \$0.4 billion was outstanding and bearing an average interest rate of 5.0 percent, compared to \$2.3 billion committed at December 31, 2012, of which \$1.3 billion was outstanding with an average interest rate of 5.4 percent. The levels of outstanding balances of such warehouse lines are generally correlated to the level of our overall production levels because many of our correspondents (from whom we purchase mortgage loans) are also warehouse lending customers. During the year ended December 31, 2013, our warehouse

lines funded 61.3 percent of the loans in our correspondent channel, as compared to 68.6 percent during the year ended December 31, 2012. There were 298 warehouse lines of credit to other mortgage lenders with an average size of \$6.9 million at December 31, 2013, compared to 311 warehouse lines of credit with an average size of \$7.5 million at December 31, 2012. We had no warehouse lines on non-accrual status at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

### Deposits

Through our banking centers, we gather deposits and offer a line of consumer and commercial financial products and services to individuals and businesses. We continue to focus our efforts towards the growth of our core deposits, which includes checking, savings and money market deposit accounts. We believe core deposits represent a more stable funding source and their increase has allowed us to replace maturing brokered CDs and other potentially less stable funding sources. At December 31, 2013, we had a total of \$6.1 billion in deposits, including \$4.9 billion in retail deposits, \$0.6 billion in company controlled deposits and \$0.6 billion in government deposits. See Note 16 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein, for more information regarding deposits.

### Non-bank Subsidiaries

At December 31, 2013, our corporate legal structure consisted of the Bank, including its wholly-owned subsidiaries (which includes the two consolidated VIEs) and wholly-owned non-bank subsidiaries that are either not material or inactive, which we conduct other business through. We also own nine statutory trusts that are not consolidated with our operations. For additional information, see Notes 3, 10 and 30 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

Paperless Office Solutions, Inc. ("POS"), our wholly-owned subsidiary, provides on-line paperless office solutions for mortgage originators. DocVelocity is the flagship product developed by POS to bring web-based paperless mortgage processing to mortgage originators. On February 13, 2013, we announced an agreement to sell the assets and operations of POS to Capsilon Corporation, a provider of cloud-based document sharing, imaging and collaboration solutions for mortgage lenders. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the activity in this subsidiary was immaterial and is currently inactive.

Flagstar Reinsurance Company ("FRC") is our wholly-owned subsidiary, which was formed during 2007 as a successor in interest to another wholly-owned subsidiary, Flagstar Credit Inc., a reinsurance company which was subsequently dissolved in 2007. FRC is a reinsurance company that provided credit enhancement with respect to certain pools of mortgage loans underwritten and originated by us during each calendar year. During 2010, FRC terminated its agreement with the last mortgage insurance company with whom it had a reinsurance agreement. During the year ended December 31, 2013, FRC recorded an \$8.2 million reversal on the valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset. FRC is currently inactive.

### Regulation and Supervision

We are registered as a savings and loan holding company under the Home Owners Loan Act ("HOLA") and are currently subject to Federal Reserve regulation, examination and supervision. The Bank is a federally chartered savings bank and subject to OCC regulation, examination and supervision. In addition, the Bank is subject to regulation by the FDIC and the CFPB, and the Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF"). Accordingly, we and the Bank are subject to an extensive regulatory framework which imposes activity restrictions, minimum capital requirements, lending and deposit restrictions and numerous other requirements primarily intended for the protection of depositors, the federal deposit insurance fund and the banking system as a whole, rather than for the protection of stockholders and creditors. Many of these laws and regulations have

undergone significant changes and, pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), will significantly change in the future. Our non-bank financial subsidiaries are also subject to various federal and state laws and regulations.

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the OTS ceased to exist on July 21, 2011 and its functions were transferred to the OCC and the Federal Reserve. After the transfer, the Federal Reserve became our primary regulator and supervisor, and the OCC became the primary regulator and supervisor of the Bank. In addition, the CFPB assumed responsibility for regulation of the principal federal consumer protection laws. However, the laws and regulations applicable to us did not materially change by virtue of the elimination of the OTS, other than as otherwise modified by the Dodd-Frank Act. HOLA and the regulations issued thereunder generally still apply but are subject to interpretation by the Federal Reserve and the OCC. Many provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act became effective on the transfer date and throughout the remaining months. In addition, the scope and impact of many of the Dodd-Frank Act's provisions will continue to be determined through the rulemaking process. Because there are many provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that have not yet been implemented, we cannot fully predict the ultimate impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on us or the Bank.



In addition to the terms and conditions of the Supervisory Agreement and the Consent Order discussed above, we are generally subject to certain laws and regulations that are summarized below.

#### Holding Company Status, Acquisitions and Activities

We are a savings and loan holding company, as defined by federal banking law, as is our controlling stockholder, MP Thrift. Without prior written approval of the Federal Reserve, neither we, nor MP Thrift: (i) may acquire control of another savings association or holding company thereof, or acquire all or substantially all of the assets thereof; (ii) acquire or retain, with certain exceptions, more than 5 percent of the voting shares of a non-subsidiary savings association, a non-subsidiary holding company, or a non-subsidiary company engaged in activities other than those permitted by the HOLA; or (iii) acquire or retain control of a depository institution that is not federally insured. Similarly, we may not be acquired by a bank holding company, or any company, unless the Federal Reserve approves such transaction. We may not be acquired by an individual unless the Federal Reserve fails to object after receiving notice. In all situations, the public must have an opportunity to comment on any such proposed acquisition and the OCC or the Federal Reserve must complete an application review. In addition, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (the "GLBA") generally restricts any non-financial entity from acquiring us unless such non-financial entity was, or had submitted an application to become, a savings and loan holding company on or before May 4, 1999. Also, because we were a savings and loan holding company prior to May 4, 1999 and control a single savings bank that meets the qualified thrift lender ("QTL") test under HOLA, we may engage in non-financial or commercial activities.

#### Source of Strength

We are required to act as a source of strength to the Bank and to commit managerial assistance and capital to support the Bank. Capital loans by a savings and loan holding company to its subsidiary bank are subordinate in right of payment to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of the Bank. In the event of a savings and loan holding company's bankruptcy, any commitment by the savings and loan holding company to a federal bank regulator to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank should be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and may be entitled to a priority of payment.

#### Standards for Safety and Soundness

Federal law requires each U.S. bank regulatory agency to prescribe certain safety and soundness standards for all insured financial institutions. To that end, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies adopted Interagency Guidelines Establishing Standards for Safety and Soundness. These are used by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies to identify and address problems at insured financial institutions before capital becomes impaired. These standards relate to, among other things, internal controls, information systems and audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, compensation and benefits, earnings, and other operational and managerial standards as the agency deems appropriate. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines. If the appropriate U.S. banking agency determines that an institution fails to meet any standard prescribed by the guidelines, the agency may require the institution to submit to the agency an acceptable plan to achieve compliance with the standard.

#### Regulatory Capital Requirements

In July 2013, U.S. banking regulators approved final Basel III Regulatory Capital rules ("Basel III"). These final rules adopt changes to meet the regulatory capital requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Basel III rules will be effective January 1, 2014 for advanced approaches banking organizations that are not savings and loan holding companies and

January 1, 2015 for all other covered banking organizations. Since the Bank and the Holding Company are not advanced approaches banking organizations, our mandatory compliance date is January 1, 2015. In October 2013, the OCC and Federal Reserve published a final rule consistent with Basel III that replaces their existing risk-based and leverage capital rules.

Savings and loan holding companies, like us, are not currently subject to consolidated capital requirements. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies have established minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements for savings and loan holding companies. Beginning January 1, 2015 savings and loan holding companies will be subject to the same consolidated capital requirements as bank holding companies. As a result, our holding company will be required to maintain Tier 1 capital of at least 6 percent of risk-weighted assets and off-balance sheet items, total capital (the sum of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital) of at least 8 percent of risk-weighted assets and off-balance sheet items, and Tier 1 capital of at least 4 percent of adjusted quarterly average assets. In addition, the final rule implements a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement of at least 4.5 percent of risk-weighted assets.

The Bank must maintain a minimum amount of capital to satisfy the various standard regulatory capital requirements under OCC regulations and federal law. Federal law and regulations establish five levels of capital compliance: well-capitalized, adequately-capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. At December 31, 2013, the Bank was considered "well-capitalized" for regulatory purposes, with regulatory capital ratios of 13.97 percent for Tier 1 capital and 28.11 percent for total risk-based capital. An institution is considered well-capitalized if its ratio of total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets is 10.0 percent or more, its ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets is 6.0 percent or more, its leverage ratio (also referred to as its core capital ratio) is 5.0 percent or more, and is not subject to any written agreement, order, capital directive, or prompt corrective action directive issued by the OCC to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. An institution is considered to be only "adequately-capitalized" if its capital structure satisfies lesser required levels, such as a total risk-based capital ratio of not less than 8.0 percent, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of not less than 4.0 percent, and (unless it is in the most highly-rated category) a leverage ratio of not less than 4.0 percent. Any institution that is not well capitalized or adequately-capitalized is considered undercapitalized. Any institution with a tangible equity ratio of 2.0 percent or less is considered critically undercapitalized.

Various aspects of Basel III will be subject to multi-year transition periods ending December 31, 2018. Basel III generally continues to be subject to interpretation by the U.S. banking regulators. It introduces new minimum capital ratios and buffer requirements, proposes a supplementary leverage ratio, changes the composition of regulatory capital, expands and modifies the calculation of risk-weighted assets for credit and market risk (the Advanced Approach), revises the adequately capitalized minimum requirements under the Prompt Corrective Action framework and introduces a Standardized Approach for the calculation of risk-weighted assets, which will replace the current rules (Basel I - 2013 Rules) effective January 1, 2015. Basel III will materially change our Tier 1, Tier 1 common and Total capital calculations.

#### Qualified Thrift Lender

The Bank is required to meet a Qualified Thrift Lender ("QTL") test to avoid certain restrictions on operations, including the activities restrictions applicable to multiple savings and loan holding companies, restrictions on the ability to branch interstate, and our mandatory registration as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. A savings bank satisfies the QTL test if: (i) on a monthly basis, for at least nine months out of each twelve month period, at least 65 percent of a specified asset base of the savings bank consists of loans to small businesses, credit card loans, educational loans, or certain assets related to domestic residential real estate, including residential mortgage loans and mortgage securities, as well as a portion of residential loans originated and sold within 90 days of origination; or (ii) at least 60 percent of the savings bank's total assets consist of cash, U.S. government or government agency debt or equity securities, fixed assets, or loans secured by deposits, real property used for residential, educational, church, welfare, or health purposes, or real property in certain urban renewal areas. The Bank is currently, and expects to remain, in compliance with QTL standards.

#### FDIC Insurance and Assessment

The FDIC insures the deposits of the Bank and such insurance is backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government through the DIF. The Dodd-Frank Act raised the standard maximum deposit insurance amount to \$250,000 per depositor, per insured financial institution for each account ownership category. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, noninterest bearing transaction accounts had unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2012. As scheduled, this unlimited deposit insurance expired December 31, 2012. Deposits held in noninterest bearing transaction accounts are now aggregated with any interest bearing deposits the owner may hold in the same ownership category and the combined total is insured up to at least \$250,000.

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the minimum reserve ratio designated by the FDIC each year is 1.35 percent of the assessment base, as opposed to 1.15 percent under prior law. The FDIC is required to meet the minimum reserve ratio by September 30, 2020 and is required to offset the effect of the increased reserve ratio for banks with less than \$10 billion. If the Bank reports assets of less than \$10 billion, it must do so for four consecutive quarters before it will be reclassified as a small institution. The Dodd-Frank Act also eliminates requirements under prior law that the FDIC pay dividends to member institutions if the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds, and the FDIC has proposed that in lieu of dividends, it will adopt lower rate schedules when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds. The FDIC has established a higher reserve ratio of 2 percent as a long-term goal beyond what is required by statute.

The FDIC maintains the DIF by assessing each financial institution an insurance premium. Prior to April 2011, the amount of the FDIC assessments paid by an insured depository institution was based on its relative risk of default as measured by our FDIC supervisory rating, and other various measures, such as the level of brokered deposits, unsecured debt and debt issuer ratings, and the amount of deposits.

Effective April 2011, the FDIC defined deposit insurance assessment base for an insured depository institution was changed to such institution's average consolidated total assets during the assessment period, minus average tangible equity. The FDIC adopted a final rule implementing this change to the assessment calculation effective April 1, 2011. The assessment rate schedule for larger institutions, such as the Bank (i.e., financial institutions with at least \$10 billion in assets), differentiates between such large financial institutions by use of a scorecard that combines a financial institution's Capital, Asset Quality, Management, Earnings, Liquidity and Sensitivity ("CAMELS") ratings with certain forward-looking financial information to measure the risk to the DIF. Pursuant to this scorecard method, two scores (a performance score and a loss severity score) are combined and converted to an initial base assessment rate (also referred to as IBAR). The performance score measures a financial institution's financial performance and ability to withstand stress. The loss severity score measures the relative magnitude of potential losses to the FDIC in the event of the financial institution's failure. Total scores are converted pursuant to a predetermined formula into an initial base assessment rate, which is subject to adjustment based upon significant risk factors not captured in the scoreboard. Total assessment rates range from 2.5 basis points to 45 basis points for such large financial institutions. Premiums for the Bank are calculated based upon the average balance of total assets minus average tangible equity as of the close of business for each day during the calendar quarter.

All FDIC-insured financial institutions must pay an annual assessment to provide funds for the payment of interest on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation, a federal corporation chartered under the authority of the Federal Housing Finance Board. The bonds, which are referred to as FICO bonds, were issued to capitalize the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, and the assessments will continue until the bonds mature in 2019.

#### Affiliate Transaction Restrictions

We are subject to the affiliate and insider transaction rules applicable to member banks of the Federal Reserve as well as additional limitations imposed by the OCC. These provisions prohibit or limit a banking institution from extending credit to, or entering into certain transactions with, affiliates, principal stockholders, directors and executive officers of the banking institution and its affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act imposed further restrictions on transactions with affiliates and extension of credit to executive officers, directors and principal stockholders that were effective as of July 21, 2012.

#### Incentive Compensation

In June 2010, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies issued comprehensive final guidance on incentive compensation policies intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of U.S. banks do not undermine the safety and soundness of such banks by encouraging excessive risk-taking. The guidance, which covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of a bank, either individually or as part of a group, is based upon the key principles that a bank's incentive compensation arrangements should (i) provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the bank's ability to effectively identify and manage risks, (ii) be compatible with effective internal controls and risk management, and (iii) be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the bank's board of directors.

The U.S. bank regulatory agencies will review, as part of the regular, risk-focused examination process, the incentive compensation arrangements of U.S. banks that are not "large, complex banking organizations." These reviews will be tailored to each bank based on the scope and complexity of the bank's activities and the prevalence of incentive compensation arrangements. The findings of the supervisory initiatives will be included in reports of examination. Deficiencies will be incorporated into the bank's supervisory ratings, which can affect the bank's ability to make acquisitions and take other actions. Enforcement actions may be taken against a bank if its incentive compensation arrangements, or related risk-management control or governance processes, pose a risk to the bank's safety and soundness and the organization is not taking prompt and effective measures to correct the deficiencies.

## Federal Reserve

Numerous regulations promulgated by the Federal Reserve affect our business operations as well as those of the Bank. These include regulations relating to electronic fund transfers, collection of checks, availability of funds, and reserve requirements.

Federal Reserve regulations require federally chartered savings associations to maintain cash reserves against their transaction accounts (primarily NOW and demand deposit accounts). A reserve of 3 percent is to be maintained against aggregate transaction accounts between \$12.4 million and \$79.5 million (subject to adjustment by the Federal Reserve) plus a reserve of 10 percent (subject to adjustment by the Federal Reserve between 8 percent and 14 percent) against that portion of total transaction accounts in excess of \$79.5 million. The first \$12.4 million of otherwise reservable balances (subject to adjustment by the Federal Reserve) is exempt from the reserve requirements.

Required reserves must be maintained in the form of vault cash, an account at a Federal Reserve bank or a pass-through account as defined by the Federal Reserve. Pursuant to the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, the Federal Reserve banks pay interest on depository institutions' required and excess reserve balances. The interest rate paid on required reserve balances is currently the average target federal funds rate over the reserve maintenance period. The rate on excess balances will be set equal to the lowest target federal funds rate in effect during the reserve maintenance period. FHLB System members are also authorized to borrow from the Federal Reserve "discount window," but Federal Reserve regulations require institutions to exhaust all FHLB sources before borrowing from a Federal Reserve bank.

#### Bank Secrecy Act ("BSA")

The BSA requires all financial institutions, including banks, to, among other things, establish a risk-based system of internal controls reasonably designed to prevent money laundering and the financing of terrorism. Under the BSA, an internal controls program should, at a minimum, include independent testing for compliance, designate an individual responsible for coordinating and monitoring day-to-day compliance and provide training for appropriate personnel. The BSA also includes a variety of recordkeeping and reporting requirements (such as cash and suspicious activity reporting), as well as due diligence/know-your-customer documentation requirements. The Bank has established a global anti-money laundering program in order to comply with the BSA requirements and also is subject to certain requirements under the Consent Order relating to its compliance with the BSA.

#### The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (the "PATRIOT Act")

The PATRIOT Act, which was enacted following the events of September 11, 2001, includes numerous provisions designed to detect and prevent international money laundering and to block terrorist access to the U.S. financial system. The PATRIOT Act mandates that financial services companies implement additional policies and procedures and take heightened measures designed to address any or all of the following: customer identification programs, money laundering, terrorist financing, identifying and reporting suspicious activities and currency transactions, currency crimes and cooperation between financial institutions and law enforcement authorities. Significant penalties and fines, as well as other supervisory orders may be imposed on a financial institution for non-compliance with these requirements. In addition, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies must consider the effectiveness of financial institutions engaging in a merger transaction in combating money laundering activities. The Bank has established policies and procedures intended to fully comply with the PATRIOT Act's provisions, the BSA, as well as other aspects of anti-money laundering legislation.

#### Office of Foreign Assets Control Regulation

The United States has imposed economic sanctions that affect transactions with designated foreign countries, nationals and others. These are typically known as the "OFAC" rules based on their administration by the U.S. Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC"). The OFAC-administered sanctions targeting countries take many different forms. Generally, however, they contain one or more of the following elements: (i) restrictions on trade with or investment in a sanctioned country, including prohibitions against direct or indirect imports from and exports to a sanctioned country and prohibitions on "U.S. persons" engaging in financial transactions relating to making investments in, or providing investment-related advice or assistance to, a sanctioned country; and (ii) a blocking of assets in which the government or specially designated nationals of the sanctioned country have an interest, by prohibiting transfers of property subject to U.S. jurisdiction (including property in the possession or control of U.S. persons). Blocked assets (e.g., property and bank deposits) cannot be paid out, withdrawn, set off or transferred in any manner without a license from OFAC. Failure to comply with these sanctions could have serious legal and

reputational consequences.

#### Consumer Protection Laws and Regulations

The Bank is subject to many federal consumer protection statutes and regulations, the examination and enforcement of which has become more pronounced since the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act and the creation of the CFPB. The CFPB has assumed the responsibility for the development and enforcement of the federal consumer protection statutes and regulations, such as the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Homeowners Protection Act, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act, the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act, the Truth in Lending Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and the Truth in Saving Act. The Dodd-Frank Act gave the CFPB: (i) broad rule-making, supervisory and examination authority in this area over financial institutions, such as the Bank, that have assets of \$10 billion or more, (ii) expanded data collecting powers for fair lending purposes for both small business and mortgage loans and (iii)

32

---



authority to prevent unfair, deceptive and abusive practices. If the Bank reports assets of less than \$10 billion, it must do so for four consecutive quarters before it will be reclassified as a small institution. The consumer complaint function of the OCC also has been transferred to the CFPB. The Dodd-Frank Act also narrows the scope of federal preemption of state laws related to federally chartered financial institutions, including savings banks such as the Bank, which gives broader rights to state attorney generals to enforce certain consumer protection loans.

**CFPB and Regulations Related to Mortgage Origination and Servicing.** In January 2013, the CFPB issued a series of final rules related to mortgage loan origination and mortgage loan servicing. Compliance with these rules will likely increase our overall regulatory compliance costs. We continue to evaluate the rules to determine the level of their long-term impact on our mortgage loan origination and servicing activities.

On January 10, 2013, the CFPB issued a final rule concerning lenders' assessments of consumers' ability to repay home loans. Currently, Regulation Z prohibits creditors from extending higher priced mortgage loans without regard for the consumer's ability to repay. The rule extends application of this requirement to all loans secured by dwellings (except open-end credit plans, timeshares, reverse mortgages and temporary loans) regardless of the terms or pricing. Creditors must, at a minimum, consider eight specified factors while making a reasonable and good faith determination that the consumer has a reasonable ability to repay the loan before entering any consumer credit transaction secured by virtually any dwelling. The factors include information such as the consumer's income, debt obligations, credit history and monthly payments on the loan. Lenders that generate Qualified Mortgage loans will receive specific protections against borrower lawsuits that could result from failing to satisfy the ability-to-repay rule. As defined by the CFPB, Qualified Mortgages are mortgages that must meet the following standards prohibiting or limiting certain high risk products and features: (1) no excessive upfront points and fees - generally points and fees paid by the borrower must not exceed 3 percent of the total amount borrowed; (2) no toxic loan features - prohibited features include interest-only loans, negative-amortization loans, terms beyond 30 years and balloon loans and (3) limit on debt-to-income ratios borrowers' debt-to-income ratios must be no higher than 43 percent. Special rules are temporarily in place that extend the definition of Qualified Mortgages to include loans that are eligible for purchase by the Agencies or to be insured or guaranteed by HUD, VA or the USDA. There are two levels of liability protection for Qualified Mortgages, the Safe Harbor protection and the Rebuttable Presumption protection. Safe Harbor Qualified Mortgages are generally lower priced loans with interest rates closer to the prime rate, issued to borrowers with high credit scores. Borrowers suing lenders under Safe Harbor Qualified Mortgages are faced with overcoming the pre-determined legal conclusion that the lender has satisfied the ability-to-repay rule. Rebuttable Presumption Qualified Mortgages are generally loans at higher prices that are granted to borrowers with lower credit scores. Lenders generating Rebuttable Presumption Qualified Mortgages receive the protection of a presumption that they have legally satisfied the ability-to-repay rule while the borrower can rebut that presumption by proving that the lender did not consider the borrower's living expenses after their mortgage and other debts. The rule became effective January 10, 2014. The special temporary QM rules are in place for Agencies eligible loans until the earlier of the end of the FHFA's conservatorship or January 10, 2021, and for loans eligible to be insured or guaranteed by HUD, VA or the USDA, until the earlier of the date the agency promulgates its own QM rule or January 10, 2021.

Also on January 10, 2013, the CFPB issued its final mortgage escrow account rule relating to the establishment of mandatory escrow accounts on higher-priced mortgage loans. The final rule became effective June 1, 2013. This rule implements changes to earlier regulations, lengthens the time that mandatory escrow accounts must be maintained on higher-priced mortgage loans from one year to five years and exempts certain types of transactions from the escrow requirement. A creditor or servicer may not cancel escrow accounts required under the rule except upon either the termination of the loan or receipt of a consumer's request to cancel the escrow account no earlier than five years after consummation, whichever happens first. The creditor or servicer may not cancel the escrow account unless the unpaid principal balance is less than 80 percent of the secured property's original value and the consumer is not delinquent or in default on the loan at the time of the request.

Additionally, on January 10, 2013, the CFPB issued a final rule to expand the types of mortgage loans that are subject to the protections of the Home Ownership and Equity Protections Act of 1994 ("HOEPA"). Loans that meet HOEPA's high-cost coverage tests are subject to special disclosure requirements and restrictions on loan terms, and borrowers in high-cost mortgages have enhanced remedies for violations of the law. The rule revises and expands the definition of high-cost mortgages and imposes additional restrictions on mortgages that are covered by HOEPA, including a pre-loan counseling requirement. This rule also bans certain features from high-cost mortgages, such as prepayment penalties, loan modification fees, and most fees charged to a borrower who requests a payoff statement. Balloon payments would also be banned, except in special circumstances. The rule became effective January 10, 2014.

On January 17, 2013, the CFPB issued its final rules relating to mortgage servicing. These rules address the following nine major servicing topics: (i) periodic billing statements with timing, form and content requirements; (ii) interest rate adjustment notices for ARM loans that must be provided to consumers prior to payment changes from rate changes; (iii) prompt crediting of payments and timing requirements for payoff statements; (iv) force placed insurance notice, coverage and

cancellation requirements; (v) procedural requirements for error resolution and information requests from consumers; (vi) policy and procedure requirements for servicing functions and document management; (vii) early intervention notice requirements with delinquent borrowers about loss mitigation options; (viii) continuity of contact between servicer personnel and delinquent borrowers throughout the loss mitigation process; and (ix) loss mitigation procedures and restrictions on "dual tracking" of foreclosure alternatives with the foreclosure process. The rule became effective on January 10, 2014.

On January 18, 2013, the CFPB issued final rules related to appraisals for higher-priced mortgage loans and consumer access to appraisals. The rule on appraisals for higher-price mortgages prohibits creditors from making such mortgage loans unless certain conditions are met, including obtaining a written appraisal based on a full interior appraisal. The rule on appraisal access requires creditors to notify consumers within a certain time period of their right to receive a copy of the appraisal and requires creditors to provide copies of the appraisal and other written valuation. The rule became effective January 18, 2014.

On January 20, 2013, the CFPB issued its final loan originator compensation rules which, among other things, created compensation restrictions and qualifications for loan originators. Under the rule, loan originators are prohibited from basing their compensation on "any transaction's terms or conditions" and dual compensation is generally prohibited. This portion of the rule will become effective on January 1, 2014. The rule also mandates certain qualifications for loan originators, such as licensing, and requires loan originator organizations to ensure compliance with the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act, where applicable. Additionally, the rule prohibits: (i) the use of mandatory arbitration clauses in both mortgage and home equity loan agreements; and (ii) the financing of single premiums or fees for credit insurance in connection with a consumer credit transaction secured by a dwelling. These later provisions are effective June 1, 2013. All other provisions of the rule are effective January 10, 2014.

**Predatory lending.** Federal regulations require additional disclosures and consumer protections to borrowers for certain lending practices, including predatory lending. The term "predatory lending," much like the terms "safety and soundness" and "unfair and deceptive practices," is far-reaching and covers a potentially broad range of behavior. As such, it does not lend itself to a concise or a comprehensive definition. Predatory lending typically involves at least one, and perhaps all three, of the following elements:

- Making unaffordable loans based on the assets of the borrower rather than on the borrower's ability to repay an obligation;
- Inducing a borrower to refinance a loan repeatedly in order to charge high points and fees each time the loan is refinanced, also known as loan flipping; and/or
- Engaging in fraud or deception to conceal the true nature of the loan obligation from an unsuspecting or unsophisticated borrower.

In addition, many states also have predatory lending laws that may be applicable to the Bank.

**Gramm-Leach Bliley Act ("GLBA").** The GLBA includes provisions that protect consumers from the unauthorized transfer and use of their non-public personal information by financial institutions. Privacy policies are required by federal banking regulations which limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public personal information about consumers to non-affiliated third parties. Pursuant to those rules, financial institutions must provide:

- Initial notices to customers about their privacy policies, describing the conditions under which they may disclose non-public personal information to non-affiliated third parties and affiliates;
- Annual notices of their privacy policies to current customers; and
- A reasonable method for customers to "opt out" of disclosures to non-affiliated third parties.

These privacy protections affect how consumer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to outside vendors. In addition, states are permitted under the GLBA to have their own privacy laws, which may offer greater protection to consumers than the GLBA. Numerous states in which the Bank does business have enacted such laws.

In addition, the Bank is subject to regulatory guidelines establishing standards for safeguarding customer information. These regulations implement certain provisions of the GLBA. The guidelines describe the U.S. bank regulatory agencies expectations for the creation, implementation and maintenance of an information security program, which would include administrative, technical and physical safeguards appropriate to the size and complexity of the institution and the nature and scope of its activities. The standards set forth in the guidelines are intended to ensure the security and confidentiality of customer records and information, protect against any anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such records and protect against unauthorized access to, or use of, such records or information that could result in substantial harm or inconvenience to any customer.

Fair Credit Reporting Act and the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act ("FACT Act"). The Fair Credit Reporting Act, as amended by the FACT Act, requires financial firms to help deter identity theft, including developing appropriate fraud response programs, and gives consumers more control of their credit data. It also reauthorizes a federal ban on state laws that interfere with corporate credit granting and marketing practices. In connection with the FACT Act, U.S. bank regulatory agencies proposed rules that would prohibit an institution from using certain information about a consumer it received from an affiliate to make a solicitation to the consumer, unless the consumer has been notified and given a chance to opt out of such solicitations. A consumer's election to opt out would be applicable for at least five years.

Equal Credit Opportunity Act ("ECOA"). The ECOA generally prohibits discrimination in any credit transaction, whether for consumer or business purposes, on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, age (except in limited circumstances), receipt of income from public assistance programs, or good faith exercise of any rights under the Consumer Credit Protection Act.

Truth In Lending Act ("TILA"). The TILA is designed to ensure that credit terms are disclosed in a meaningful way so that consumers may compare credit terms more readily and knowledgeably. As a result of the TILA, all creditors must use the same credit terminology to express rates and payments, including the annual percentage rate, the finance charge, the amount financed, the total of payments and the payment schedule, among other things. In addition, the TILA also provides a variety of substantive protections for consumers.

Fair Housing Act ("FH Act"). The FH Act regulates many practices, including making it unlawful for any lender to discriminate in its housing-related lending activities against any person because of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, handicap or familial status. A number of lending practices have been found by the courts to be, or may be considered illegal, under the FH Act, including some that are not specifically mentioned in the FH Act itself.

The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (the "HMDA"). The HMDA grew out of public concern over credit shortages in certain urban neighborhoods and provides public information that will help show whether financial institutions are serving the housing credit needs of the neighborhoods and communities in which they are located. The HMDA also includes a "fair lending" aspect that requires the collection and disclosure of data about applicant and borrower characteristics as a way of identifying possible discriminatory lending patterns and enforcing anti-discrimination statutes. In 2004, the Federal Reserve amended regulations issued under HMDA to require the reporting of certain pricing data with respect to higher-priced mortgage loans. This expanded reporting is being reviewed by U.S. bank regulatory agencies and others from a fair lending perspective.

Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA"). Lenders are required by RESPA to provide borrowers with disclosures regarding the nature and cost of real estate settlements. Also, RESPA prohibits certain abusive practices, such as kickbacks, and places limitations on the amount of escrow accounts. Violations of RESPA may result in civil liability or administrative sanctions.

Enforcement. Enforcement actions under the above laws may include fines, reimbursements and other penalties. Due to heightened regulatory concern related to compliance with the FACT Act, ECOA, TILA, FH Act, HMDA and RESPA generally, the Bank may incur additional compliance costs or be required to expend additional funds for investments in its local community.

#### Community Reinvestment Act

The Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA") requires the U.S. bank regulatory agencies when deciding on a bank's application to expand their branching to evaluate how the bank has helped to meet the credit needs of the communities

it serves, including low to moderate income neighborhoods, while maintaining safe and sound banking practices. The evaluation rates an institution based on its actual performance in meeting community needs. In particular, the current evaluation system focuses on three tests: (i) a lending test, to evaluate the institution's record of making loans in its service areas (ii) an investment test, to evaluate the institution's record of investing in community development projects, affordable housing, and programs benefiting low- or moderate-income individuals and businesses; and (iii) a service test, to evaluate the institution's delivery of services through its branches, ATMs and other offices. The primary banking agency assigns one of four possible ratings to an institution's CRA performance and is required to make public an institution's rating and written evaluation. The four possible ratings of meeting community credit needs are outstanding, satisfactory, needs to improve and substantial non-compliance.

An institution's failure to comply with the provisions of the CRA could, at a minimum, result in regulatory restrictions on its activities, including, but not limited to, engaging in acquisitions and mergers. In 2009, the Bank received a "satisfactory" CRA rating from the OTS (as predecessor to the OCC) and this remains our current rating.

## Regulatory Reform

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. This law made extensive changes to the then existing bank regulatory structure and affected the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies, including us and the Bank. Various federal agencies began to adopt a broad range of rules and regulations and these agencies have been given significant discretion in drafting these rules and regulations. Consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act remains uncertain pending interpretive guidance from the agencies regarding these new rules, the extent of enforcement by regulators, and the financial industry's reaction to these new rules and regulations. The full consequences of Dodd-Frank may not be known for many months or years.

The Dodd-Frank Act contains a number of provisions intended to strengthen bank capital. For example, the bank regulatory agencies are directed to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements that are at least as stringent as those currently in effect. In addition, we will be subject to consolidated capital requirements for the first time and will be required to serve as a source of strength to the Bank.

The Dodd-Frank Act also expands the affiliate transaction rules in Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act to broaden the definition of affiliate and to apply to securities lending, repurchase agreement and derivatives activities that the Bank may have with an affiliate, as well as to strengthen collateral requirements and limit Federal Reserve exemptive authority. Also, the definition of "extension of credit" for transactions with executive officers, directors and principal shareholders is expanded to include credit exposure arising from a derivative transaction, a repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement and a securities lending or borrowing transaction. These expansions became effective one year after the transfer date. These provisions did not have a material effect on us or the Bank.

The Dodd-Frank Act imposes a number of additional requirements on servicers of residential mortgage loans by amending certain existing provisions and adding new sections to TILA and RESPA. The penalties for noncompliance with TILA and RESPA are also significantly increased by the Dodd-Frank Act and could lead to an increase in lawsuits against mortgage servicers. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act generally requires that implementing regulations be issued before many of its provisions relating to these matters become effective. Therefore, several of these provisions will not be effective until early 2014. On January 17, 2013, the CFPB issued final rules amending TILA and RESPA to implement certain mortgage servicing standards set forth by the Dodd-Frank Act and to address other issues identified by the CFPB (discussed above). When fully implemented, the regulations will prevent or limit servicers of residential mortgage loans from taking certain actions that are typically taken today (e.g. the charging of certain fees) and will impose new requirements that are not currently required. The effect of which will be to increase costs and risks or reducing revenues currently generated by mortgage servicing.

The Dodd-Frank Act will require publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called "golden parachute" payments. In addition, the Federal Reserve adopted a rule addressing interchange fees applicable to debit card transactions which lowered fee income generated from this source. The reduced debit card fee income did not have a material impact on the Bank.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the federal financial regulatory agencies to adopt rules that prohibit banks and affiliates from engaging in proprietary trading and investing in and sponsoring certain unregistered investment companies (defined as hedge funds and private equity funds). The final rule, as drafted by a variety of federal financial regulatory agencies, was issued December 10, 2013, with an effective date of April 2014. The statutory provision is commonly called the "Volcker Rule." The final rules are highly complex, and many aspects of their application remain uncertain. We do not currently anticipate that the Volcker Rule will have a meaningful effect on our operations or those of our subsidiaries, as we do not materially engage in the businesses prohibited by the Volcker Rule. We may incur costs if

required to adopt additional policies and systems to ensure compliance with the Volcker Rule, but any such costs are not expected to be material. There are uncertainties with respect to the Volcker Rule, and the industry is still attempting to fully understand its implications. Until more interpretive guidance is available and the cost of the required compliance programs can be estimated, the precise financial impact of the rule on us, our customers, or the financial industry more generally, cannot be accurately determined.

We expect to incur ongoing operational and system costs in order to prepare for compliance with the multitude of new laws and regulations. Furthermore, there may be additional federal or state laws enacted during this period that place additional obligations on servicers of residential loans.



## Capital Planning and Stress Testing Requirements

In October 2012, the OCC published its final rules requiring annual capital-adequacy stress tests for national banks and federal savings associations with consolidated assets of more than \$10 billion, which were proposed in January 2012. If the Bank reports assets of less than \$10 billion, it must do so for four consecutive quarters before it will be reclassified as a small institution. The requirement to perform annual capital-adequacy stress tests became applicable in October 2013 for federal savings associations with consolidated assets between \$10 billion and \$50 billion, such as the Bank. Under the rules, the OCC will provide institutions with economic scenarios, reflecting baseline, adverse and severely adverse conditions. The Bank is required to use the scenarios to calculate, for each quarter-end within a nine-quarter planning horizon, the impact of such scenarios on revenues, losses, loan loss reserves and regulatory capital levels and ratios, taking into account all relevant exposures and activities. On or before March 31 of each year beginning in 2014, The Bank will be required to submit a report of the results of its stress test to the OCC and publish a summary of the results between June 15 and June 30 of each year following the submission to the OCC. The rule also requires each institution to establish and maintain a system of controls, oversight and documentation, including policies and procedures, designed to ensure that the stress testing processes used by the institution are effective in meeting the requirements of the rule.

In June 2011, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies also proposed guidance on stress testing for banking organizations with more than \$10 billion in total consolidated assets, such as the Bank. The proposed guidance provides an overview of how a banking organization should structure its stress testing activities and ensure they fit into overall risk management. The guidance outlines broad principles for a satisfactory stress testing framework and describes the manner in which stress testing should be employed as an integral component of risk management that is applicable at various levels of aggregation within a banking organization, as well as for contributing to capital and liquidity planning.

## Limitation on Capital Distributions

OCC regulations impose limitations upon certain capital distributions by savings associations, such as certain cash dividends, payments to repurchase or otherwise acquire its shares, payments to shareholders of another institution in a cash-out merger and other distributions charged against capital. We do not currently pay dividends on our capital stock. See "Item 1, Business - Payment of Dividends and Interest Payments".

The OCC regulates all capital distributions made by the Bank, directly or indirectly, to the holding company, including dividend payments. A subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company, such as the Bank, must file a notice or seek affirmative approval from the OCC at least 30 days prior to each proposed capital distribution. Whether an application is required is based on a number of factors including whether the institution qualifies for expedited treatment under the OCC rules and regulations or if the total amount of all capital distributions (including each proposed capital distribution) for the applicable calendar year exceeds net income for that year to date plus the retained net income for the preceding two years. In addition, as a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company, the Bank must receive approval from the FRB, and prior written non-objection by the OCC under the consent order, before declaring any dividends.

The Bank may not pay dividends to us if, after paying those dividends, it would fail to meet the required minimum levels under risk-based capital guidelines and the minimum leverage and tangible capital ratio requirements or if the dividend would violate a prohibition contained in any statute, regulation or agreement. Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act ("FDIA") an insured depository institution such as the Bank is prohibited from making capital distributions, including the payment of dividends, if, after making such distribution, the institution would become "undercapitalized" (as such term is used in the FDIA). Payment of dividends by the Bank also may be restricted at any time at the discretion of the OCC if it deems the payment to constitute an unsafe and unsound banking practice.

#### Loans to One Borrower

Under the HOLA, savings associations are generally subject to the national bank limits on loans to one borrower. Generally, savings associations may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of 15 percent of the institution's unimpaired capital and surplus. Additional amounts may be loaned if such loans or extensions of credit are secured by readily-marketable collateral, but in no case may they be in excess of 10 percent of unimpaired capital and surplus.

## Regulatory Enforcement

Both the OCC and the FDIC may take regulatory enforcement actions against any of their regulated institutions, such as the Bank, that do not operate in accordance with applicable regulations, policies and directives. Proceedings may be instituted against any banking institution, or any "institution-affiliated party," such as a director, officer, employee, agent or controlling person, who engages in unsafe and unsound practices, including violations of applicable laws and regulations. The OCC has authority under various circumstances to appoint a receiver or conservator for an insured institution that it regulates, to issue cease and desist orders, to obtain injunctions restraining or prohibiting unsafe or unsound practices, to revalue assets and to require the establishment of reserves. The FDIC has additional authority to terminate insurance of accounts, after notice and hearing, upon a finding that the insured institution is or has engaged in any unsafe or unsound practice that has not been corrected, is operating in an unsafe or unsound condition or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, or order of, or condition imposed by, the FDIC. In addition, the Federal Reserve may take regulatory enforcement actions against us, and the CFPB has the authority to take regulatory enforcement actions against us or the Bank.

## Assessments

The OCC charges assessments to recover the costs of examining savings associations and their affiliates. These assessments are generally based on an institution's total assets, with a surcharge for an institution with a composite rating of 3, 4 or 5 in its most recent safety and soundness examination. Our expense for these assessments totaled \$3.9 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, for the years ending December 31, 2013 and 2012.

## Federal Home Loan Bank System

The primary purpose of the Federal Home Loan Banks ("FHLBs") is to act as a central credit facility and provide loans to their respective members, such as the Bank, in the form of collateralized advances for making housing loans as well as for affordable housing and community development lending. The FHLBs are generally able to make advances to their member institutions at interest rates that are lower than the members could otherwise obtain. The Federal Housing Finance Agency, a government agency, is generally responsible for regulating the FHLB system. The FHLB system consists of 12 regional FHLBs, each being federally chartered, but privately owned, by their respective member institutions. The Bank is currently a member of the FHLB of Indianapolis, and as such, is required to purchase and hold shares of capital stock in that FHLB in an amount as required by that FHLB's capital plan and minimum capital requirements.

## Environmental Regulation

Our business and properties are subject to federal and state laws and regulations governing environmental matters, including the regulation of hazardous substances and wastes. For example, under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended, and similar state laws, owners and operators of contaminated properties may be liable for the costs of cleaning up hazardous substances without regard to whether such persons actually caused the contamination. Such laws may affect us both as an owner or former owner of properties used in or held for our business, and as a secured lender on property that is found to contain hazardous substances or wastes. Our general practice is to obtain an environmental assessment prior to foreclosing on commercial property. We may elect not to foreclose on properties that contain such hazardous substances or wastes, thereby limiting, and in some instances precluding, the liquidation of such properties.

## Competition

We face substantial competition in attracting deposits and making loans. Our most direct competition for deposits has historically come from other savings banks, commercial banks and credit unions in our local market areas. Money market funds and full-service securities brokerage firms also compete with us for deposits and, in recent years, many financial institutions have competed for deposits through the Internet. We compete for deposits by offering high quality and convenient banking services at a large number of convenient locations, including longer banking hours and "sit-down" banking in which a customer is served at a desk rather than in a teller line and offering a broad range of treasury management products. We may also compete by offering competitive interest rates on our deposit products.

From a lending perspective, there are a large number of institutions offering mortgage loans, consumer loans and commercial loans, including many mortgage lenders that operate on a national scale, as well as local savings banks, commercial banks, and other lenders. With respect to those products that we offer, we compete by offering competitive interest rates, fees, and other loan terms banking products and services and by offering efficient and rapid service.

Additional Information

Our executive offices are located at 5151 Corporate Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098, and our telephone number is (248) 312-2000. Our stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol "FBC."

We make our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act available free of charge on our website at [www.flagstar.com](http://www.flagstar.com), under "Investor Relations," as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). These reports are also available without charge on the SEC website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by various factors, many of which are beyond our control. In addition to the factors identified elsewhere in this Report, the most significant risk factors affecting our business include those set forth below. The below description of risk factors is not exhaustive, and readers should not consider the description of such risk factors to be a complete set of all potential risks that could affect us.

### Market, Interest Rate, Credit and Liquidity Risk

Our business has been and may continue to be adversely affected by conditions in the mortgage and real estate markets, global financial markets and macro-economic conditions.

Our business, and the financial services industry generally, have been materially and adversely affected by a significant and prolonged period of negative market and economic conditions. This was initially triggered by declines in the values of subprime mortgages, but spread to virtually all mortgage and real estate asset classes, to leveraged bank loans and to nearly all asset classes. Our business, in particular our Mortgage Banking business, was adversely affected by these issues. Furthermore, continued concerns regarding the recovery of the U.S. and global economies, unemployment, declines in real property values, global political and economic issues, such as political instability and sovereign debt defaults, access to credit and capital markets, high rates of delinquencies and defaults on loans and other factors have contributed to volatility and uncertainty in the mortgage and real estate markets, global financial markets and the U.S. economy. Though market conditions have improved somewhat, there can be no assurance that economic and market conditions will continue to improve or even that the existing improvements will be sustained. As a result, our results of operations could be affected. Moreover, unlike many of our competitors, we are subject to regulatory and other limitations, such as requirements under the Consent Order and the Supervisory Agreement, which could limit our ability to recover from the recession at the same pace as other financial services institutions.

In addition, these negative market and economic conditions led to difficulty in refinancing for some of our commercial and residential mortgage customers and increased the rate of defaults and foreclosures. Furthermore, the decline in asset values in recent years resulted in considerable losses to the Bank and other secured lenders that historically have been able to rely on the underlying collateral value of their loans to minimize or eliminate losses. A significant portion of our loans-held-for-investment portfolio is comprised of loans collateralized by real estate in which we are in the first lien position. Although there have been signs of recovery, there can be no assurance that property values will continue to stabilize or improve, and if they decline again, there can be no assurance that the Bank will not incur credit losses. Deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets may lead to increased loss severities and increases in past due loans and nonperforming assets in our loan portfolios. Additionally, it is often expensive and difficult to pursue collection efforts and foreclosure proceedings due to regulatory and other issues, which could increase our costs or otherwise cause us to incur losses in our mortgage portfolio. Any of these effects could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Any deterioration in the mortgage market may also reduce the number of new mortgages that we originate, increase the costs of servicing mortgages without a corresponding increase in servicing fees or adversely affect our ability to sell mortgage loans originated by us. Any such event could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Furthermore, declining asset values, defaults on mortgages and consumer loans, and the lack of market and investor confidence, as well as other factors, had combined in recent years to increase swap spreads, cause rating agencies to lower credit ratings, and otherwise increase the cost and decrease the availability of liquidity, despite very significant declines in central bank borrowing rates and other government actions. Banks and other lenders suffered significant

losses in recent years and often became reluctant to lend, even on a secured basis, due to the increased risk of default and the impact of declining asset values on the value of collateral.

Volatility of interest rates could lead to increased prepayment rates and lower mortgage origination volume and sales, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

More than 80 percent of our revenues in 2013 and 2012, were realized from our Mortgage Banking segment. The residential real estate mortgage lending business is very sensitive to changes in interest rates, and low interest rates generally increase that business, while high interest rates generally cause that business to decrease. Thus, our performance normally has a strong correlation to interest rate levels. In particular, our profitability depends in substantial part on our net interest margin, which is the difference between the rates we receive on loans made to others and investments and the rates we pay for deposits

and other sources of funds, as well as the volume of mortgage loan originations and sales and the related fees received from our Mortgage Banking segment. Our net interest margin and our volume of mortgage originations and sales will depend on many factors that are partly or entirely outside our control, including competition, federal economic, monetary and fiscal policies, and global and domestic economic conditions generally. Historically, net interest margin and the mortgage origination volumes and sales for the Bank and for other financial institutions have widened and narrowed in response to these and other factors. A significant or prolonged change in prevailing interest rates may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, increasing long-term interest rates may decrease our mortgage loan originations and sales. Generally, the volume of mortgage loan originations is inversely related to the level of long-term interest rates. During periods of low long-term interest rates, a significant number of our customers may elect accelerated prepayments as they seek to refinance their mortgages (i.e., pay off their existing higher rate mortgage loans with new mortgage loans obtained at lower interest rates). Our profitability levels and those of others in the mortgage industry have generally been strongest during periods of low and/or declining interest rates, as we have historically been able to sell the resulting increased volume of loans into the secondary market at a gain.

Certain hedging strategies that we use to manage investment in Mortgage Servicing Rights ("MSRs") and other interest rate risks may be ineffective.

We invest in MSRs to support mortgage strategies and to deploy capital at acceptable returns. We utilize derivatives and other fair value assets as economic hedges to offset changes in fair value of the MSRs resulting from the actual or anticipated changes in prepayments stemming from changing interest rate environments and to otherwise manage interest rate risk. Our main objective in managing interest rate risk is to maximize the benefit and minimize the adverse effect of changes in interest rates on our earnings over an extended period of time. In managing these risks, we look at, among other things, yield curves and hedging strategies. As such, our interest rate risk management strategies may result in significant earnings volatility in the short term because the market value of our assets and related hedges may be significantly impacted either positively or negatively by unanticipated variations in interest rates. In particular, our portfolio of MSRs and our mortgage pipeline are highly sensitive to movements in interest rates, and hedging activities related to the portfolio. Our MSRs could lose a substantial portion of their value as a result of higher than anticipated prepayments due to loan refinancing prompted, in part, by declining interest rates. Conversely, MSRs generally increase in value in a rising interest rate environment to the extent that prepayments are slower than anticipated.

Our hedging strategies to manage these risks relating to our MSRs and interest rate volatility are highly susceptible to prepayment risk, basis risk, market volatility and changes in the shape of the yield curve, among other factors. In addition, when interest rates fluctuate, repricing risks arise from the timing difference in the maturity and/or repricing of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet positions. While such repricing mismatches are fundamental to our business, they can expose us to fluctuations in income and economic value as interest rates vary. Our interest rate risk management strategies do not completely eliminate repricing risk. Although we use models to assess the impact of interest rates on mortgage related revenues, the estimates of revenues produced by these models are dependent on estimates and assumptions of future loan demand, prepayment speeds and other factors which may differ from actual subsequent experience. In addition, our hedging strategies rely on assumptions and projections regarding assets and general market factors, many of which are outside of our control. If one or more of these assumptions and projections proves to be incorrect or our hedging strategies do not adequately mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates or prepayment speeds, we may incur losses that would adversely impact earnings. Hedging strategies also involve transaction and other costs. The failure of our ability to effectively hedge interest rate risks could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.



Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses, and we may be required to materially increase reserves.

There is a risk of default with respect to all of our mortgages and other loans, and our remedies to collect, foreclose or otherwise recover may not fully satisfy the debt owed to us. We maintain an allowance for loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, to provide for probable and inherent losses in our loans held for our investment portfolio. Our allowance for loan losses, however, may not be adequate to cover actual credit losses, and future provisions for credit losses could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects. The allowance for loan losses reflects management's estimate of the probable and inherent losses in our portfolio of held-for-investment loans at the relevant statement of financial condition date. Our allowance for loan losses is based on prior experience as well as an evaluation of the risks in the current portfolio, composition and maintaining our current revenue pace of the portfolio and economic factors. The underwriting and credit monitoring policies and procedures that we have adopted to

address this risk may not prevent unexpected losses that could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects. The determination of an appropriate level of loan loss allowance is an inherently subjective process that requires significant management judgment and is based on numerous assumptions. Changes in economic conditions affecting borrowers and real estate valuations, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans, failure of borrowers and guarantors to perform in accordance with the terms of their loans, and other factors, both within and outside of our control, may require an increase in the allowance for loan losses. Moreover, our regulators, as part of their supervisory function, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. Our regulators may recommend or require us to increase our allowance for loan losses or to recognize further losses, based on their judgment, which may be different from that of our management or other regulators. Any increase in our loan losses could have an adverse effect on our earnings and financial condition.

Changes in the fair value of our securities may reduce our stockholders' equity, net earnings, or results of operations.

The estimated fair value of available-for-sale securities portfolio may increase or decrease depending on market conditions. Our securities portfolio is comprised primarily of fixed rate securities. We increase or decrease stockholders' equity by the amount of the change in the unrealized gain or loss (difference between the estimated fair value and the amortized cost) of available-for-sale securities portfolio, net of the related tax benefit, under the category of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Therefore, a decline in the estimated fair value of this portfolio will result in a decline in reported stockholders' equity, as well as book value per common share and tangible book value per common share. This decrease will occur even though the securities are not sold.

We conduct a periodic review and evaluation of the securities portfolio to determine if the decline in the fair value of any security below its cost basis is other-than-temporary. Factors which are considered in the analysis include, but are not limited to, the severity and duration of the decline in fair value of the security, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, whether the decline appears to be related to issuer conditions or general market or industry conditions, intent and ability to retain the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value and the likelihood of any near-term fair value recovery. Generally these changes in fair value caused by changes in interest rates are viewed as temporary, which is consistent with experience. If we deem such decline to be other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") related to credit losses, the security is written down to a new cost basis and the resulting loss is charged to earnings as a component of noninterest income.

In the past, we have recorded OTTI charges. Our securities portfolio is monitored as part of ongoing OTTI evaluation process. No assurance can be given that we will not recognize OTTI charges related to securities in the future. Any changes in the fair value of our securities and/or any OTTI charges could have an adverse effect on our stockholders' equity, net earnings or results of operations.

Liquidity is essential to our business and our inability to borrow funds, maintain or increase deposits or raise capital on commercially reasonable terms or at all could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings.

We require substantial liquidity to meet our deposit and debt obligations as they come due, fund our operations and for potential unforeseen liabilities or losses, including without limitation those that could be incurred in connection the settlement of litigation, regulatory proceedings or other matters. Our access to liquidity could be impaired by our inability to access the capital markets or unforeseen outflows of deposits. Our access to external sources of financing, including deposits, as well as the cost of that financing, is dependent on various factors including regulatory restrictions. A number of factors could make funding more difficult, more expensive or unavailable on any terms, including, but not limited to, downgrades in our debt ratings, declining financial results and losses, material changes to operating margins, financial leverage on an absolute or relative to peers, changes within the organization, specific events that adversely impact our financial condition or reputation, disruptions in the capital markets, specific events

that adversely impact the financial services industry, counterparty availability, changes affecting assets, the corporate and regulatory structure, balance sheet and capital structure, geographic and business diversification, interest rate fluctuations, market share and competitive position, general economic conditions and the legal, regulatory, accounting and tax environments governing funding transactions. Many of these factors are beyond our control. The material deterioration in any one or a combination of these factors could result in a downgrade of our credit or servicer standing with counterparties or a decline in our reputation within the marketplace and could result in our having a limited ability to borrow funds, maintain or increase deposits (including custodial deposits for our agency servicing portfolio) or to raise capital on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Furthermore, in prior years, we raised capital on terms that were significantly dilutive to our stockholders, and we could be required to do so again in the future. We compete for funding with other banks and similar companies, many of which are substantially larger, and have more capital and other resources than we do. In the

event that these competitors consolidate with other financial institutions, these advantages may increase. Competition from these institutions may increase our cost of funds.

Our ability to make mortgage loans and fund our investments and operations depends largely on our ability to secure funds on terms acceptable to us. Our primary sources of funds to meet our financing needs include loan sales and securitizations; deposits, which include custodial accounts from our servicing portfolio and brokered deposits and public funds; borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank or other federally backed entities; borrowings from investment and commercial banks through repurchase agreements; and capital-raising activities. If we are unable to maintain any of these financing arrangements, are restricted from accessing certain of these funding sources by our regulators, are unable to arrange for new financing on terms acceptable to us or at all, or if we default on any of the covenants imposed upon us by our borrowing facilities, then we may have to reduce the number of loans we are able to originate for sale in the secondary market or for our own investment or take other actions that could have other negative effects on our operations. A significant or prolonged reduction in loan originations that occurs as a result could adversely impact our earnings, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects. There is no guarantee that we will be able to renew or maintain our financing arrangements or deposits or that we will be able to adequately access capital markets when or if a need for additional capital arises.

Our loan portfolio and geographic concentration could increase our potential for significant losses.

More than 80 percent of our revenues in 2013 and 2012, were realized from our Mortgage Banking segment. Our mortgage loan portfolio also is geographically concentrated in certain states, including California, Michigan, Florida, Washington and Arizona. In addition, a significant number of commercial real estate loans held-for-investment are in Michigan. This concentration has made, and will continue to make, our loan portfolio particularly susceptible to downturns in the general economy and the real estate and mortgage markets in the geographic areas where we conduct our business activities. Adverse conditions, including unemployment, inflation, recession, natural disasters, declining property values, municipal bankruptcies and other factors in these markets could cause delinquencies and charge-offs of these loans to increase, likely resulting in a corresponding and disproportionately large decline in revenues and demand for our services and an increase in credit risk and the value of collateral for our loans to decline, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power, and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with our existing loans. Furthermore, the economic, real estate market and other conditions in any one or more of our market areas may recover at a slower pace than any recovery in the U.S. real estate market generally.

Any sustained period of increased payment delinquencies, foreclosures or losses caused by adverse market or economic conditions in our market areas could adversely affect the value of our assets, revenues, results of operations and financial condition. Moreover, there are no assurances that we will benefit from any market growth or favorable economic conditions in our primary market areas when and if they do occur. Any efforts that we may undertake to diversify our loan portfolio and business activities against concentration risks may not be successful.

We depend on our institutional counterparties to provide services that are critical to our business. If one or more of our institutional counterparties defaults on its obligations to us or becomes insolvent, it could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, liquidity, capital position and financial condition.

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of market-making, trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. We face the risk that one or more of our institutional counterparties may fail to fulfill their contractual obligations to us. We believe that our primary exposures to institutional counterparty risk are with third-party providers of credit enhancement on the mortgage assets that we hold in our investment portfolio, including mortgage insurers and financial guarantors, issuers of securities held on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, and derivatives counterparties. Furthermore, a significant deterioration in the credit quality of one or more of our counterparties could lead to concerns about the credit quality of other counterparties in the industry. Counterparty risk

can also adversely affect our ability to acquire, sell or hold MSR's in the future. Adverse mortgage and credit market conditions have adversely affected, and if recent positive trends are not sustained, they could again adversely affect, the liquidity and financial condition of a number of our institutional counterparties, particularly those whose businesses are concentrated in the mortgage industry. One or more of these institutions may default in its obligations to us for a number of reasons, such as changes in financial condition that affect their credit ratings, a reduction in liquidity, operational failures or insolvency. A default by a counterparty with significant obligations to us could result in significant financial losses to us and could have a material adverse effect our ability to conduct our operations, which would adversely affect our earnings, liquidity, capital position and financial condition. In addition, a default by a counterparty may require us to obtain a substitute counterparty which may not exist in this economic climate and which may, as a result, cause us to default on our related financial obligations. In addition, concerns about, or a default or threatened default by one institution could lead to significant market-wide liquidity and credit problems, losses or defaults by other institutions. This

is sometimes referred to as "systemic risk" and may adversely affect financial intermediaries, such as banks with which we interact on a daily basis, and therefore could adversely affect us.

We use assumptions and estimates in determining the fair value of certain of our assets and liabilities, which assumptions and estimates may prove to be incorrect, resulting in significant declines or increases in valuation.

Pursuant to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we are required to use certain assumptions and estimates in preparing our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. A portion of our assets and liabilities are carried on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at fair value, including our MSR's, certain mortgage loans held-for-sale, trading assets, available-for-sale securities, derivatives and the future obligations arising from our settlement with the DOJ. Generally, for assets that are reported at fair value, we use quoted market prices when available. In certain cases, observable market prices and data may not be readily available or their availability may be diminished due to market conditions. In such cases, we use internally developed financial models that utilize observable market data inputs as well as asset specific collateral data and market inputs for interest rates to estimate the fair value of certain of these assets and liabilities. These valuation models rely to some degree on managements' assumptions, estimates and judgment, which are inherently uncertain. We cannot be certain that the models or the underlying assumptions will prove to be predictive and remain so over time, and therefore, actual results may differ from our models and assumptions. Different assumptions could result in significant declines in valuation, which in turn could result in significant declines or increases in the dollar amount of assets or increases in the liabilities we report on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. In addition, sudden illiquidity in markets or declines in prices of certain loans and securities may make it more difficult to value certain balance sheet items, which may lead to the possibility that such valuations will be subject to further change or adjustment. If assumptions or estimates underlying our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition are incorrect, we may experience material losses.

#### Regulatory Risk

Our business is highly regulated and the regulations applicable to us are subject to change.

The banking industry is extensively regulated at the federal and state levels. Insured financial institutions and their holding companies are subject to comprehensive regulation and supervision by financial regulatory authorities covering all aspects of their organization, management and operations. These laws and regulations significantly affect the way that we do business and could restrict the scope of our existing and future businesses, product offerings and operations, restrict our ability to pursue acquisitions and divestitures, reduce the profitability of products and services that we offer and make our products and services more expensive for our customers.

Currently, the Bank is subject to supervision and regulation by the OCC, the FDIC and the CFPB. In addition, the Federal Reserve is responsible for supervising and regulating all savings and loan holding companies that were formerly regulated by the OTS, including us. The Federal Reserve is also authorized to impose capital requirements on savings and loan holding companies and subject such companies to new and potentially heightened examination and reporting requirements. Savings and loan holding companies, including us, are also required to serve as a source of financial strength to their financial institution subsidiaries, which means that the Federal Reserve expects us to be able to infuse capital into the Bank should its capital levels become inadequate. Thus, the Federal Reserve may discourage us from using up any capital-raising capacity so that, in the event we ever need to do so, we can raise funds to infuse capital into the Bank; the Federal Reserve also may insist, in the event that the Bank needs a capital infusion, that our duty to infuse capital takes priority of obligations to creditors. The OCC is the primary regulator of the Bank and its affiliated entities. In addition to its regulatory powers, the OCC has significant enforcement authority that it can use to address banking practices that it believes to be unsafe and unsound, violations of laws, and capital and operational deficiencies. The FDIC also has significant regulatory authority over the Bank and may impose further regulation at its discretion for the protection of the DIF. Such regulation and supervision are intended primarily for the

protection of the DIF and for the Bank's depositors and borrowers, and are not intended to protect the interests of investors in our securities. The CFPB is responsible for enforcement of the principal federal consumer protection laws over institutions that have assets of \$10 billion or more. If the Bank reports assets of less than \$10 billion, it must do so for four consecutive quarters before it will be reclassified as a small institution. Since we believe the Bank's assets will likely return to \$10 billion in the near future, we will continue to operate if we are subject to the CFPB's jurisdiction.

Further, the Bank's business is affected by consumer protection laws and regulation at the state and federal level, including a variety of consumer protection provisions, many of which provide for a private right of action and some of which pose a risk of class action lawsuits. In the current environment, there have been, and will likely be, significant changes to the banking and financial institutions regulatory regime in light of recent government intervention in the financial services industry, and it is not possible to predict the impact of all such changes on our results of operations. Changes to, or in the interpretation

or implementation of, statutes, regulations or policies, heightened regulatory scrutiny, requirements or expectations, implementation of new government programs and plans, and changes to judicial interpretations of statutes or regulations could affect us in substantial and unpredictable ways. For example, our regulators' views as to the adequacy of our capital has evolved since the economic recession. As a result, while we have historically operated at lower Tier 1 capital levels, we are currently operating at a Tier 1 capital ratio of greater than 9 percent and do not currently intend to operate at lower Tier 1 capital levels in the future. Among other things, such changes, as well as the implementation of such changes, could result in unintended consequences and could subject us to additional costs, constrain our resources, limit the types of financial services and products that we may offer, increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, and/or reduce our ability to effectively hedge against risk. See the Regulatory discussion, in Item 1. Business, herein, for further discussion of regulations applicable to us.

The Bank has entered into a Consent Order with the OCC, which requires the Bank to adopt or review and revise various plans, policies and procedures. Non-compliance with the Consent Order may lead to additional corrective actions by the OCC, civil penalties or other adverse actions, which could negatively impact our operations and financial performance.

Effective October 23, 2012, the Bank entered into a Consent Order with the OCC. Under the Consent Order, the Bank is required to adopt or review and revise various plans, policies and procedures related to, among other things, regulatory capital; enterprise risk management and liquidity as well as capital; allowance for loan and lease losses and our representation and warranty reserve; internal audit; internal loan review; concentrations; Bank Secrecy Act risk assessment, program, internal controls, customer due diligence, and independent testing; compliance management; flood insurance; and information technology. See the Consent Order discussion, in Item 1. Business, herein. The Bank has submitted or will submit these plans, policies and procedures to the OCC for a written determination that the OCC has no supervisory objection to them. Upon the Bank's receipt of no supervisory objection from the OCC, the Consent Order requires the Bank to implement and ensure adherence to the plans, policies and procedures. Although management has commenced working to resolve the concerns of the OCC under the Consent Order, the OCC may not agree that it has resolved all of these issues.

While subject to the Consent Order, the Bank's management and Board of Directors will be required to focus a substantial amount of time on complying with its terms, which could adversely affect our financial performance. There is also no guarantee that the Bank will be able to fully comply with the Consent Order. In the event the Bank is in material non-compliance with the terms of the Consent Order, the OCC has the authority to subject the Bank to additional corrective actions. In particular, if the Bank fails to submit a written capital plan within a time period acceptable to the OCC, or fails to implement a written capital plan for which the OCC has provided a written determination of no supervisory objection, then at the sole discretion of the OCC, the Bank may be deemed undercapitalized for purposes of the Consent Order. If the OCC determines that the Bank is undercapitalized for purposes of the Consent Order, it may at its discretion impose certain additional corrective actions on the Bank's operations that are applicable to undercapitalized institutions. These corrective actions could negatively impact the Bank's operations and financial performance. Moreover, in the event the OCC believes that the Bank has failed to comply with the Consent Order, it could initiate further enforcement actions against the Bank, seek an injunction requiring the Bank and its officers and directors to comply with the Consent Order and seek civil money penalties against the Bank and its officers and directors as well as against us. Any failure by the Bank to comply with the terms of the Consent Order or additional actions by the OCC could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the Bank's competitors may not be subject to similar actions, which could limit our ability to compete effectively.

We remain subject to the restrictions and conditions of the Supervisory Agreement. Failure to comply with the Supervisory Agreement could result in further enforcement action against us, which could negatively affect our results of operations and financial condition.



We remain subject to the Supervisory Agreement, which requires that we take certain actions to address issues identified by the OTS. The Supervisory Agreement is enforced by the Federal Reserve as a successor regulator to the OTS. That agreement requires submission of a capital plan, a prohibition on the declaration of dividends, a prohibition against any incursion of debt, a prohibition against affiliate transactions with limited exceptions, a limitation on severance and indemnification payments, prior notification of the Federal Reserve in the case of changes in directors or senior executive officers with such changes being subject to Federal Reserve approval, and a prohibition against entering into compensation or benefit arrangements for directors and senior executive officers without Federal Reserve approval. While we believe that we have taken numerous steps to comply with, and intend to comply in the future with, the requirements of the Supervisory Agreement, failure to comply with the Supervisory Agreement in the time frames provided, or at all, could result in additional enforcement orders or penalties from our regulators, which could include further restrictions on us, assessment of civil money penalties on us, as well as our directors, officers and other affiliated parties and removal of one or more officers and/or directors. Such actions, if initiated, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and liquidity. Moreover, our

competitors may not be subject to similar actions, which could limit our ability to compete effectively. See the Supervisory Agreement discussion, in Item 1. Business, herein.

Financial services reform legislation has resulted in, among other things, numerous restrictions and requirements which could negatively impact our business and increase our costs of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law on July 21, 2010 and has significantly changed the current bank regulatory structure and affected the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. As a result, various federal agencies were required to adopt a broad range of new implementation rules and regulations and are given significant discretion in drafting the implementation rules and regulations. Consequently, it is difficult to predict the ultimate impact of Dodd-Frank Act on us or the Bank, including the extent to which it could increase costs or limit our ability to pursue business opportunities in an efficient manner, or otherwise adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Nor can we predict the impact or substance of other future legislation or regulation. However, it is expected that at a minimum they will increase our operating and compliance costs and potentially our interest expense. In addition, compliance obligations will expose us to additional noncompliance risk and could divert management's focus from our business operations. Moreover, the Dodd-Frank Act did not address reform of the Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (collectively, government sponsored entities or the "GSEs"). While options for the reform of the GSEs have been released, no specific reform proposal has been enacted. The results of any such reform, and its effect on us, are difficult to predict and may result in unintended consequences.

The CFPB may reshape the consumer financial laws through rulemaking and enforcement. Compliance with any such changes may impact our operations.

The CFPB has broad and unique rulemaking authority to administer and carry out the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act with respect to financial institutions that offer covered financial products and services to consumers, including prohibitions against unfair, deceptive or abusive practices in connection with any transaction with a consumer for a consumer financial product or service, or the offering of a consumer financial product or service. The concept of what may be considered to be an "abusive" practice is new under the law. Moreover, the Bank will be supervised and examined by the CFPB for compliance with the CFPB's regulations and policies. While the full scope of the CFPB's rulemaking and regulatory agenda relating to the mortgage industry remains unclear, it has already been active in issuing guidelines, rules and regulations affecting our business, and it has also been active in enforcing consumer financial protection laws against mortgage originators and servicers.

The CFPB and regulations promulgated under the Dodd-Frank Act or by the CFPB could materially and adversely affect the manner in which we conduct our businesses, result in heightened federal regulation and oversight of our business activities, increased costs and potential litigation associated with our business activities and materially limit and restrict the Bank's business, product offerings and services. Furthermore, our failure to comply with the laws, rules or regulations to which we are subject, whether actual or alleged, would expose us to fines, penalties or potential litigation liabilities, including costs, settlements and judgments, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Expanded regulatory oversight over our business could significantly increase our risks and costs associated with complying with current and future regulations, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

As a result of increasing scrutiny and regulation of the banking industry and consumer practices, we may face a greater number or wider scope of investigations, enforcement actions and litigation, thereby increasing our costs associated with responding to or defending such actions. In addition, increased regulatory inquiries and investigations,

as well as any additional legislative or regulatory developments affecting our businesses, and any required changes to our operations resulting from these developments, could result in a loss of revenue, limit the products or services that we offer or increase the costs thereof, impose additional compliance costs, harm our reputation or otherwise adversely affect our businesses. Some of these laws may provide a private right of action that a consumer or class of consumers may seek to pursue to enforce these laws and regulations.

We are highly dependent on the Agencies, and any changes in these entities or their current roles could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our ability to generate revenues through mortgage loan sales depends significantly on programs administered by the Agencies, such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, government agencies, including Ginnie Mae, and others that facilitate the issuance of mortgage-backed securities in the secondary market. These agencies play a critical role in the residential mortgage industry, and we have significant business relationships with many of them. We also derive other material financial benefits

from these relationships, including the assumption of credit risk by these agencies on loans included in such mortgage securities in exchange for our payment of guarantee fees and the ability to avoid certain loan inventory finance costs through streamlined loan funding and sale procedures.

There is uncertainty regarding the future of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, including with respect to how long they will continue to be in existence, the extent of their roles in the market and what forms they will have. Although the U.S. Treasury has committed capital to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac since they were placed into conservatorship, additional funding may not be provided within the required time periods or the actions of the U.S. Treasury may not be adequate for their needs. If such funding is not provided as required, the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA") would be obligated to place Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac into receivership. Further, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac could be placed into receivership at the discretion of the FHFA at any time under certain circumstances. If the actions of the U.S. Treasury are inadequate or pending repurchase requests by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to lenders prove unsuccessful, or if Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are adversely affected by events such as ratings downgrades, foreclosure problems and delays and problems with mortgage insurers, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could continue to suffer losses and could fail to honor their guarantees and other obligations. In addition, the future roles of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could be reduced or eliminated and the nature of the guarantees could be limited relative to historical measurements.

The elimination or modification of the traditional roles of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, any discontinuation of, or significant reduction in, the operation of these agencies, any significant adverse change in the level of activity of these agencies in the primary or secondary mortgage markets or in the underwriting criteria of these agencies could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in the Agencies' guidelines or guarantees could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are required to follow specific guidelines that impact the way that we service and originate agency loans, including guidelines with respect to credit standards for mortgage loans, our staffing levels and other servicing practices, the servicing and ancillary fees that we may charge, our modification standards and procedures and the amount of non-reimbursable advances.

In particular, the FHFA has directed the Agencies to align their guidelines for servicing delinquent mortgages that they own or that back securities which they guarantee, which can result in monetary incentives for servicers that perform well and penalties for those that do not. In addition, the FHFA has directed Fannie Mae to assess compensatory penalties against servicers in connection with the failure to meet specified timelines relating to delinquent loans and foreclosure proceedings, and other breaches of servicing obligations.

We cannot negotiate these terms with the Agencies and they are subject to change at any time. A significant change in these guidelines that has the effect of decreasing the fees we charge or requires us to expend additional resources in providing mortgage services could decrease our revenues or increase our costs, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, changes in the nature or extent of the guarantees provided by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac or the insurance provided by the FHA could also have broad adverse market implications. The fees that we are required to pay to the Agencies for these guarantees have increased significantly over time and any future increases in these fees would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Current or future regulations and programs to limit foreclosures and loan modifications may result in increased costs to service loans which could affect our margins or impair the value of our MSRs.

The housing and the residential mortgage markets have experienced a variety of difficulties and changed economic conditions. In response, federal and state governments, as well as the Agencies, have developed a number of programs and instituted a number of requirements on servicers in an effort to limit foreclosures and, in the case of the Agencies, to minimize losses on loans that they guarantee or own. These additional programs and requirements may increase operating expenses or otherwise change the costs associated with servicing loans for others, which may result in lower margins or impairment in the expected value of our MSRs.

Increases in deposit insurance premiums and special FDIC assessments will adversely affect our earnings.

Since late 2008, the economic environment has caused higher levels of bank failures, which dramatically increased FDIC resolution costs and led to a significant reduction in the DIF. As a result, we were required to pay higher deposit insurance premiums and special assessments that adversely affected our earnings. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act required the FDIC to substantially revise its regulations for determining the amount of an institution's deposit insurance premiums. The Dodd-Frank Act also made changes, among other things, to the minimum designated reserve ratio of the DIF, increasing the minimum from 1.15 percent to 1.35 percent of the estimated amount of total insured deposits, and eliminating the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to financial institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds. The FDIC has established a higher reserve ratio of 2 percent as a long-term goal beyond what is required by statute. Effective April 1, 2011, the FDIC implemented a new assessment rate schedule, which included changing the deposit insurance assessment base to an amount equal to the insured institution's average consolidated total assets during the assessment period minus average tangible equity and requiring the use of a scorecard that combines CAMELS ratings with certain forward looking information. These changes resulted in increases to our FDIC deposit insurance premiums. Moreover, if the FDIC believes we present a higher risk to the DIF than other banks because of significant risks relating to interest rates, loan portfolio and geographic concentration, concentration of high credit risk loans, increased loan losses, regulatory compliance (including under existing agreements with regulators such as the Consent Order and Supervisory Agreement), existing and future litigation and other factors, then we could be subject to higher deposit insurance premiums and special assessments in the future that could adversely affect our earnings. The Bank's deposit insurance premiums and special assessments in the future also may be higher than competing banks may be required to pay.

We are subject to heightened regulatory scrutiny with respect to bank secrecy and anti-money laundering statutes and regulations.

In recent years, regulators have intensified their focus on bank secrecy and anti-money laundering statutes, regulations and compliance requirements, as well as compliance with the rules enforced by OFAC, and we have been required to revise policies and procedures and install new systems in order to comply with regulations, guidelines and examination procedures in this area. More recently, the Bank agreed in the Consent Order to review and revise the Bank's bank secrecy and anti-money laundering risk assessment and written program of policies and procedures adopted in accordance with the Bank Secrecy Act and update the status of the Bank's plan and timeline for the implementation of enhanced bank secrecy and anti-money laundering internal controls. We cannot be certain that the policies, procedures and systems we have in place or may in the future put in place are or will be successful. Therefore, there is no assurance that in every instance we are and will be in full compliance with these requirements or the Consent Order. Banks that are not subject to consent orders have been heavily fined for violations of bank secrecy and anti-money laundering laws, and, thus, irrespective of compliance with the Consent Order, non-compliance with bank secrecy and anti-money laundering laws may result in significant fines.

We may incur fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations, possibly even for inadvertent or unintentional violations.

We maintain systems and procedures designed to ensure that we comply with applicable laws and regulations. However, some legal and regulatory frameworks provide for the imposition of fines or penalties for noncompliance even though the noncompliance was inadvertent or unintentional and even though there was in place at the time systems and procedures designed to ensure compliance. For example, we are subject to regulations issued by OFAC that prohibit financial institutions from participating in the transfer of property belonging to the governments of certain foreign countries and designated nationals of those countries. OFAC may impose penalties for inadvertent or unintentional violations even if reasonable processes are in place to prevent the violations. There may be other negative consequences resulting from a finding of noncompliance, including restrictions on certain activities. Such a

finding may also damage our reputation as described below and could restrict the ability of institutional investment managers to invest in our securities.

The impact of the new Basel III capital standards is uncertain.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee issued its framework for strengthening capital and liquidity requirements (together, "Basel III"). Basel III will impose new minimum capital requirements on banking institutions, as well as a capital conservation buffer and, if applicable, a countercyclical capital buffer that can be used by banks to absorb losses during periods of financial and economic stress. In addition, Basel III limits the inclusion of MSRs and deferred tax assets to 10 percent of Common Equity Tier 1 (as defined in the Basel III final framework, "CET1"), individually, and 15 percent of CET1, in the aggregate. Our MSRs and deferred tax assets currently significantly exceed the limit, and there is no assurance that they will be includable in CET1 in the future. Basel III also proposes minimum liquidity measures.

In August 2012, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies requested comment on three sets of proposed rules that implement the Basel III capital framework. The first of the three rules addressed minimum capital requirements, regulatory capital, and additional capital "buffer" standards to enhance the resilience of banking organizations to withstand periods of financial stress. The second set of rules proposed revisions to the methodologies for calculating risk-weighted assets incorporating aspects of the Basel II standardized approach and established alternative standards of creditworthiness in place of credit ratings. The final proposal included proposed changes to the U.S. bank regulatory agencies current advanced approaches risk-based capital rule.

In July 2013, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies adopted an interim final rule ("the rule") that revises the risk-based and leverage capital requirements for banking organizations. The rule consolidates three separate notices of proposed rulemaking published in the Federal Register in August 2012, with selected changes. The rule implements a revised definition of regulatory capital, a new common equity tier 1 minimum capital requirement, and a higher minimum tier 1 capital requirement and requires new deductions from capital for investments in unconsolidated financial institutions, mortgage servicing assets and deferred tax assets that exceed specified thresholds. The rule incorporates these new requirements into the U.S. bank regulatory agencies prompt corrective action framework. In addition, the rule establishes limits on a banking organization's capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a specified amount of common equity tier 1 capital in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements. Further, the rule amends the methodologies for determining risk-weighted assets for all banking organizations. The rule also adopts changes to the U.S. bank regulatory agencies regulatory capital requirements that meet the requirements of section 171 and section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. The rule codifies the U.S. bank regulatory agencies regulatory capital rules, which have previously resided in various appendices to their respective regulations, into a harmonized integrated regulatory framework. In addition, the OCC is amending the market risk capital rule (market risk rule) to apply to Federal savings associations, and the Federal Reserve is amending the advanced approaches and market risk rules to apply to top-tier savings and loan holding companies domiciled in the United States, except for certain savings and loan holding companies that are substantially engaged in insurance underwriting or commercial activities. The mandatory compliance date was January 1, 2014 for advanced approaches banking organizations that are not savings and loan holding companies and January 1, 2015 for all other covered banking organizations, with transitional provisions applicable to capital adjustments and deductions through December 31, 2017. Once fully phased in, the Basel III capital rules will significantly reduce the allowable amount of the fair value of MSRs and deferred tax assets included in Tier 1 capital.

The effect of these requirements will be to require all banks and their holding companies, including us, to hold greater amounts of common equity capital than previously required.

We are a holding company and therefore dependent on the Bank for funding of obligations and dividends.

As a holding company without significant assets other than the capital stock of the Bank, our ability to service our debt or preferred stock obligations, including interest payments on debentures underlying the trust preferred securities, and dividend payments on the preferred stock we issued to the U.S. Treasury, is dependent upon available cash on hand and the receipt of dividends from the Bank on such capital stock. The declaration and payment of dividends by the Bank on all classes of its capital stock is subject to the discretion of the Bank's board of directors and to applicable regulatory and legal limitations, including the prior written non-objection of the OCC as a result of the Consent Order. If the Bank does not make dividend payments to us, we may not be able to service our debt or preferred stock obligations, which could have a material adverse effect of our financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, under the Supervisory Agreement, the Federal Reserve has the authority, and under certain circumstances the duty, to prohibit or to limit our payment of dividends.



We may not be able to resume making future payments of dividends on our capital stock and interest on trust preferred securities.

We have not paid dividends on any of our stock in 2013 and 2012 and dividends on preferred stock were last paid in 2011. In addition, our ability to make dividend payments in the future is subject to the limitations set forth in the Supervisory Agreement, which provides that we must receive the prior written non-objection of the Federal Reserve in order to pay dividends, and to the receipt of dividends from the Bank, which are restricted by the Consent Order. In early 2012, we provided notice to the U.S. Treasury exercising our contractual right to defer our regularly scheduled quarterly payments of dividends, beginning with the February 2012 payment, on preferred stock issued and outstanding. We also exercised our contractual right to defer interest payments with respect to our trust preferred securities. Under the terms of the related indentures, we may defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. As a result of such deferrals, we are prohibited from making dividend payments on our capital stock, because the terms of the preferred stock and the trust preferred securities prohibit dividend payments and repurchases or redemptions of certain equity securities until all accrued and unpaid dividends

and interest are paid, subject to limited exceptions. Also, under Michigan law, we are prohibited from paying dividends on our capital stock if, after giving effect to the dividend, (i) we would not be able to pay our debts as they become due in the usual course of business or (ii) our total assets would be less than the sum of our total liabilities plus the preferential rights upon dissolution of stockholders with preferential rights on dissolution which are superior to those receiving the dividend. There can be no assurances that we will be able to resume making these dividend and interest payments in the future, and our inability to do so after a number of quarters may cause us to default on those obligations.

#### Operational Risk

We recently restructured our executive team, and our new management team's ability to execute our business strategy may not prove successful.

We restructured our executive team in 2012 and 2013, and many members of our executive team are serving in new capacities, including in the position of chief executive officer. Moreover, several of our directors were elected to the board of directors relatively recently. We expect to experience additional changes in our senior management as we seek to fill certain key officer positions in various areas of our operations. These are significant changes implemented over a relatively short period of time. Some of our executive team members are in new positions or come from different companies and backgrounds, so it may take time for our new executive team to develop a coordinated management style. New executive teams also are generally more likely to experience turnover and may take more time to develop effective teamwork. Our restructured executive team has devoted substantial efforts to significantly change our business strategy and operational activities, yet there is no assurance that these efforts will prove successful or that the executive team will be able to successfully execute upon our business strategy and operational activities.

Our challenges in attracting and retaining members of senior management and other qualified employees in the future could affect our ability to operate effectively.

We depend on the services of our senior management and other qualified employees to carry out our business and investment strategies. We may experience challenges in attracting and retaining key members of senior management and other qualified employees due in part to our ongoing regulatory compliance issues, long-term performance issues and our geographic location away from other regions that have clusters of financial institutions. As we continue to refine and reshape our business model and execute our business plan, it is critical that we retain our senior management team and recruit qualified individuals to succeed existing key personnel that leave our employ. In addition, in order to grow and diversify our business, we will need to continue to attract and retain qualified banking and other personnel. Furthermore, we depend on senior management and other key employees to meet our regulatory compliance requirements under applicable laws regulations and our obligations under the Consent Order and Supervisory Agreement.

Competition for such personnel is intense in our geographic markets and the businesses in which we engage. In addition, we are required to receive regulatory approval prior to entering into compensation arrangements with certain executives and subject certain regulatory limitations on payments upon termination to any employee. The effect could be to limit our ability to attract and retain senior management in the future, because our competitors may not be subject to such approval requirements and limitations. If we are unable to attract and retain talented people, our business could suffer. The loss of the services of any senior management personnel, and, in particular, the loss for any reason, including death or disability of our chairman, our chief executive officer or other members of the executive team, or the inability to recruit and retain senior management and other qualified employees in the future, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be subject to additional risks as we enter new lines of business or introduce new products and services.

From time to time, we may implement new lines of business or offer new products and services within existing lines of business. For example, the Bank recently sold a substantial portion of its MSR's to a third party but will continue to act as the servicer on all of the mortgage loans underlying such MSR's and thereby retain the right to receive certain fees relating to such servicing activities but not certain liabilities associated with the MSR's. While management believes this model will be accretive to our business and help us successfully execute our business strategy, there are uncertainties associated with it. In addition, we continue to evaluate the expansion of our commercial and retail lending businesses. There are substantial risks and uncertainties associated with these and any other efforts to enter into new lines of business or introduce new products and services, particularly in instances where the markets are not fully developed. In developing and marketing new lines of business and/or new products and services we may invest significant time and resources. Initial timetables for the introduction and development of new lines of business and/or new products or services may not be achieved and price and profitability targets

50

---

may not prove feasible. We may not be able to attract and retain talented employees to help develop and implement new lines of business or a new product or service. External factors, such as compliance with regulations, competitive alternatives, and shifting market preferences, may also impact the successful implementation of a new line of business or a new product or service. Furthermore, any new line of business and/or new product or service could have a significant impact on the effectiveness of our system of internal controls. Failure to successfully manage these risks in the development and implementation of new lines of business or new products or services could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may be terminated as a servicer or subservicer or incur costs, liabilities, fines and other sanctions if we fail to satisfy our servicing obligations, including our obligations with respect to mortgage loan foreclosure actions.

We act as servicer and subservicer for mortgage loans owned by third parties. In such capacities for those loans, we have certain contractual obligations, including foreclosing on defaulted mortgage loans or, to the extent applicable, considering alternatives to foreclosure such as loan modifications or short sales. If we commit a material breach of our obligations as servicer, we may be subject to termination if the breach is not cured within a specified period of time following notice, causing us to lose servicing income.

For certain investors and/or certain transactions, we may be contractually obligated to repurchase a mortgage loan or reimburse the investor for credit losses incurred on the loan as a remedy for servicing errors with respect to the loan. If we have increased repurchase obligations because of claims that we did not satisfy our obligations as a servicer, or increased loss severity on such repurchases, we may have a significant reduction to net servicing income within our mortgage banking noninterest income. We may incur significant costs if we are required to, or if we elect to, re-execute or re-file documents or take other action in our capacity as a servicer in connection with pending or completed foreclosures. We may incur litigation costs if the validity of a foreclosure action is challenged by a borrower. If a court were to overturn a foreclosure because of errors or deficiencies in the foreclosure process, we may have liability to the borrower and/or to any title insurer of the property sold in foreclosure if the required process was not followed. These costs and liabilities may not be legally or otherwise reimbursable to us. In addition, if certain documents required for a foreclosure action are missing or defective, we could be obligated to cure the defect or repurchase the loan. We also may incur liability to securitization investors relating to delays or deficiencies in our processing of mortgage assignments or other documents necessary to comply with state law governing foreclosures. The fair value of our MSRMs may be negatively affected to the extent our servicing costs increase because of higher foreclosure costs. We may be subject to fines and other sanctions imposed by Federal or state regulators as a result of actual or perceived deficiencies in our foreclosure practices or in the foreclosure practices of other mortgage loan servicers. Any of these actions may harm our reputation or negatively affect our home lending or servicing business.

We may be required to repurchase mortgage loans or indemnify buyers against losses in some circumstances, which could harm liquidity, results of operations and financial condition.

When mortgage loans are sold, whether as whole loans or pursuant to a securitization required to make customary representations and warranties to purchasers, guarantors and insurers, including the Agencies, about the mortgage loans, and the manner in which they were originated. We have made, and will continue to make, such representations and warranties in connection with the sale and securitization of loans. Whole loan sale agreements require repurchase or substitute mortgage loans, or indemnify buyers against losses, in the event we breach these representations or warranties. In addition, we may be required to repurchase mortgage loans as a result of early payment default of the borrower on a mortgage loan. We also are subject to litigation relating to these representations and warranties and the costs of such litigation may be significant. With respect to loans that are originated through our broker or correspondent channels, the remedies available against the originating broker or correspondent, if any, may not be as broad as the remedies available to a purchasers, guarantors and insurers of mortgage loans against us. In addition, we also face further risk that the originating broker or correspondent, if any, may not have financial capacity to perform

remedies that otherwise may be available. Therefore, if a purchaser, guarantor or insurer enforces its remedies against us, we may not be able to recover losses from the originating broker or correspondent. If repurchase and indemnity demands increase and such demands are valid claims, the liquidity, results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Our mortgage banking business depends, in part, upon third party mortgage originators who do not originate mortgages for us exclusively and over whom we have less control.

Our Mortgage Banking segment depends, in part, upon the use of third party mortgage originators who are not our employees. These third parties originate mortgages and provide services to many different banks and other entities. Accordingly, they may have relationships with or loyalties to such banks and other parties that are different from those they

have with or to us. Failure to maintain good relations with such third party mortgage originators could have a negative impact on our business. Moreover, we must rely on the third party mortgage originators in making and documenting the mortgage loans. While we perform investigations on the mortgage companies with whom we do business and review the loan files and loan documents we purchase to attempt to detect any irregularities or legal noncompliance, we have less control over these originators than employees of the Bank. Our ability to control the third party mortgage originators could have an adverse impact on our business. In addition, these arrangements with third party mortgage originators and the fees payable by us to such third parties could be subject to additional regulatory scrutiny and restrictions in the future.

Our representation and warranty reserve for losses could be insufficient.

We currently maintain a representation and warranty reserve, which is a liability on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, to reflect our best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred on loans that we have sold or securitized into the secondary market, including to the securitized trusts in our private-label securitizations and must subsequently repurchase or with respect to which we must indemnify the purchasers and insurers because of violations of customary representations and warranties. Our representation and warranty reserve takes into account both our estimate of probable losses inherent in loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of probable losses inherent in loans sold based upon a number of factors. In addition, the OCC, as part of its supervisory function, periodically reviews our representation and warranty reserve. The OCC may require us to increase our representation and warranty reserve or to recognize further losses, based on its judgment, which may be different from that of our management. The results of such reviews could have an effect on the Bank's reserves. In each case, these estimates are based on our most recent data regarding loan repurchases, and actual credit losses on repurchased loans and rely on managements' assumptions, estimates and judgment, which are inherently uncertain. We also make increases or decreases to the representation and warranty reserve based on current loan sales which reduces our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded as an increase or decrease in our representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate. Both the assumptions and estimates used could be inaccurate, resulting in a level of reserve that is less than actual losses. If additional reserves are required, it could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our Mortgage Banking segment profitability could be significantly reduced if we are not able to originate and resell a high volume of mortgage loans.

Our loan portfolio is significantly concentrated in residential mortgage loans. Mortgage originations, especially refinancing activity, decline in rising interest rate environments. While we have been experiencing historically low interest rates, the low interest rate environment likely will not continue indefinitely. When interest rates increase, there can be no assurance that our mortgage production will continue at current levels. Because we sell a substantial portion of the mortgage loans we originate, the profitability of our Mortgage Banking segment depends in large part upon our ability to aggregate a high volume of loans and sell them in the secondary market at a gain. Thus, in addition to our dependence on the interest rate environment, we are dependent upon (i) the existence of an active secondary market and (ii) our ability to profitably sell loans or securities into that market. If our level of mortgage production declines, the profitability will depend upon our ability to reduce our costs commensurate with the reduction of revenue from our mortgage operations.

Our ability to originate and sell mortgage loans readily is dependent upon the availability of an active secondary market for single-family mortgage loans, which in turn depends in part upon the continuation of programs currently offered by the Agencies and other institutional and non-institutional investors. These entities account for a substantial portion of the secondary market in residential mortgage loans. Because the largest participants in the secondary market are government-sponsored enterprises whose activities are governed by federal law, any future changes in laws that significantly affect the activity of the Agencies could, in turn, adversely affect our operations. In September 2008,

the Agencies were placed into conservatorship by the U.S. government. Although to date, the conservatorship has not had a significant or adverse effect on our operations; it is currently unclear whether further changes would significantly and adversely affect our operations. The government and others have provided options to reform the Agencies, but the results of any such reform, and their impact on us, are difficult to predict. To date, no reform proposal has been enacted. In addition, our ability to sell mortgage loans readily is dependent upon our ability to remain eligible for the programs offered by the Agencies and other institutional and non-institutional investors. Our ability to remain eligible to originate and securitize government insured loans may also depend on having an acceptable peer-relative delinquency ratio for FHA loans and maintaining a delinquency rate with respect to Ginnie Mae pools that are below Ginnie Mae guidelines. In the case of Ginnie Mae pools, the Bank has repurchased past due loans to maintain compliance with the minimum required delinquency ratios. Although these loans are typically insured as to principal by FHA, such repurchases increase our liquidity needs, and there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient liquidity to continue to purchase such loans out of the Ginnie Mae pools. In addition, due to our unilateral ability to repurchase such loans

out of the Ginnie Mae pools, we are required to account for them on our balance sheet whether or not we choose to repurchase them, which could adversely affect our capital ratios.

Any significant impairment of our eligibility with any of the Agencies could materially and adversely affect our operations. Further, the criteria for loans to be accepted under such programs may be changed from time-to-time by the sponsoring entity which could result in a lower volume of corresponding loan originations. The profitability of participating in specific programs may vary depending on a number of factors, including our administrative costs of originating and purchasing qualifying loans and our costs of meeting such criteria.

We may incur additional costs and expenses relating to foreclosure procedures.

Officials in 50 states and the District of Columbia concluded a joint investigation of foreclosure practices across the industry and proposed significant changes in servicing practices related to foreclosures and substantial penalties, and, in the first quarter of 2012, DOJ announced that the federal government and attorneys general of 49 states (the state of Oklahoma reached a separate agreement) reached a \$25 billion settlement agreement with five of the largest servicers to address mortgage loan servicing and foreclosure abuses. We were not a party to this settlement, but we reached a separate settlement with DOJ on related matters. Although we are continuing to review available information to ascertain the potential impact of the settlement agreement on servicing and foreclosure practices, there are a number of structural differences between our business model and the resulting practices and those of the larger servicers that have been publicized in the media. For example, we do not engage in the practice of bulk purchases of loans from other servicers or investors, nor have we engaged in any acquisitions that typically result in multiple servicing locations and integration issues from both a processing and personnel standpoint. As a result, we are not required to service seasoned loans following a transfer and all of the servicing functions are performed in one location and on one core operating system. In addition, we sell servicing rights with some regularity and the sale of servicing rights has allowed for a more reasonable volume of loans that our staff has to manage. Despite these structural differences, we expect to incur additional costs and expenses in connection with foreclosure procedures. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will not incur additional costs and expenses as a result of legislative, administrative or regulatory investigations or actions relating to foreclosure procedures.

We operate in a highly competitive industry, and our inability to compete successfully could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We operate in a highly competitive industry that could become even more competitive as a result of economic, legislative, regulatory and technological changes. With respect to mortgage loan origination, we face competition in such areas as mortgage loan offerings, rates, fees and customer service. With respect to mortgage servicing, we face competition in areas such as fees, performance in reducing delinquencies and entering into successful modifications. Competition in servicing mortgage loans and in originating or acquiring newly originated mortgage loans primarily comes from large commercial banks and savings institutions and other independent mortgage servicers and originators. Many of these institutions have significantly greater resources and access to capital than we do, which gives them the benefit of a lower cost of funds. In addition, technological advances and heightened e-commerce activities have increased consumers' accessibility to products and services. This has intensified competition among banks and non-banks, as applicable, in offering mortgage loans and commercial and retail banking services. If we are unable to compete successfully in our industry, it could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on the accuracy and completeness of information about customers and counterparties, and any inaccurate or misleading information could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.



In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions, we may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports, and other financial information. We may also rely on representations and warranties of those customers, counterparties or other third parties, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports, or other financial information could cause us to enter into unfavorable transactions, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to environmental liability risk associated with lending activities.

A significant portion of our loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, we may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, we may be liable for remediation costs, as well

as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require us to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit our ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase our exposure to environmental liability. Although we have policies and procedures to perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our financial results fluctuate as a result of the cyclical nature of our business and seasonality, which may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and make it difficult to predict our future performance.

Our mortgage origination business is subject to the cyclical and seasonal trends of the real estate market. Cyclicity in our industry could lead to periods of strong growth in the mortgage and real estate markets following by periods of sharp declines and losses in such markets. One of the primary influences on our mortgage banking business is the aggregate demand for mortgage loans in our market areas, which is affected by prevailing interest rates. If we are unable to respond to the cyclicity of our industry by timely and appropriately adjusting our operations, headcount and overhead, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

In addition, seasonal trends have historically reflected the general patterns of residential and commercial real estate sales, which typically peak in the spring and summer seasons. Although in recent periods the broader cyclical trends in the mortgage and real estate markets have disrupted the customary historical seasonal trends, such seasonal trends could resume in the future, which could cause our quarterly operating results to fluctuate and make it difficult to predict our future operating performance.

We may be exposed to other operational, legal and reputational risks.

We are exposed to many types of operational risk, including reputational risk, legal and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by employees, disputes with employees and contractors, customers or outsiders, litigation, unauthorized transactions by employees, breaches of internal control systems and information systems and compliance requirements, business continuation, disaster recovery, or operational errors. Negative public opinion can result from our actual or alleged conduct in activities, such as lending practices, data security, corporate governance and foreclosure practices, or our involvement in government programs and may damage our reputation. Additionally, actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to any of the above may also damage our reputation. This negative public opinion can adversely affect our ability to attract and keep customers and can expose us to litigation and regulatory action which, in turn, could increase the size and number of litigation claims and damages asserted or subject us to enforcement actions, fines and penalties and cause us to incur related costs and expenses. For example, current public opinion regarding defects in the foreclosure practices of financial institutions may lead to an increased risk of consumer litigation, uncertainty of title, a depressed market for nonperforming assets and indemnification risk from our counterparties, including the Agencies. We are further exposed to the risk that our third party service providers may be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations (or will be subject to the same risk of fraud or operational errors as we are). These disruptions may interfere with service to our customers and result in the bank suffering reputational damage in addition to financial losses and/or liability.

While we recently reversed the valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets, we may not be able to realize these assets in the future and they may be subject to additional valuation allowances, which could adversely affect our operating results.

During 2009, we established a valuation allowance to reflect the reduced likelihood that we would realize the benefits of our deferred tax assets. Management assesses the valuation allowance recorded against deferred tax assets at each reporting period. The determination of whether a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is appropriate is subject to considerable judgment and requires an evaluation of all positive and negative evidence. As indicated by applicable accounting standards, it is inherently difficult to conclude a valuation allowance is not required when there is significant objective and verifiable negative evidence, such as cumulative losses in recent years. We utilize a rolling three years of actual and current year anticipated results as the primary measure of cumulative losses. The evaluation of deferred tax assets requires judgment in assessing the likely future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns and future profitability. Our accounting for deferred taxes represents our best estimate of those future events. Changes in our current estimates, due to unanticipated events or otherwise, could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Based on the weight of all the positive and negative evidence at December 31, 2013, management concluded that it was more likely than not that the net deferred tax assets will be realized based upon future taxable income and therefore,

reversed 100 percent of the valuation allowance on our federal deferred tax asset and a portion of our state deferred tax asset at December 31, 2013.

At December 31, 2013, approximately \$321.0 million of our deferred tax assets was disallowed when calculating regulatory capital. Applicable banking regulations permit us to include these deferred tax assets, up to a maximum amount, when calculating our regulatory capital to the extent these assets will be realized based on future projected earnings within one year of the report date.

The valuation allowance could fluctuate in future periods based on the assessment of the positive and negative evidence. Management's conclusion at December 31, 2013 that it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset will be realized is based upon management's estimate of future taxable income. Management's estimate of future taxable income is based on internal projections which consider historical performance, various internal estimates and assumptions, as well as certain external data, all of which management believes to be reasonable although inherently subject to significant judgment. Factual results may differ significantly from the current estimates of future taxable income, even if caused by adverse macro-economic conditions, and if so, the valuation allowance may need to be increased for some or all of our deferred tax asset. Such an increase to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. For a further discussion of the deferred tax asset, see Note 25 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

#### General Risk Factors

Our framework for managing risks may not be effective in mitigating risk and loss to us.

We have experienced significant issues relating to risk management, and our regulators, including the OCC, continue to focus on our risk management practices and deficiencies. We have recently faced issues with respect to continuity in our risk management practices following the departure of our chief risk officer in 2013. We have established processes and procedures intended to identify, measure, monitor, report and analyze the types of risk to which we are subject, including liquidity risk, credit risk, market risk, interest rate risk, operational risk, legal and compliance risk, and reputational risk, among others. Although we have made, and continue to make, material changes to our risk management framework, in part due to guidance provided by our regulators and consultants, there are inherent limitations to our risk management strategies as there may exist, or develop in the future and risks that we have not appropriately anticipated or identified. Furthermore, as our business changes or grows in the future, our risk management framework may not keep pace with such changes and developments, and we may not be able to appropriately identify, monitor or manage new risks associated with our changing business. If our risk management framework proves ineffective, we could suffer unexpected losses which could have a materially adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Our network and computer systems on which we depend could fail, experience an interruption, or experience a cybersecurity attack which could adversely affect our business, financial conditional and results of operations.

Our businesses are dependent on our ability to process, record and monitor a large number of complex transactions. If our financial, accounting, or other data processing systems fail, experience an interruption or breach in security or have other significant shortcomings, we could be materially adversely affected. Our computer systems could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. Because we conduct part of our business over the Internet and outsource several critical functions to third parties, our operations depend on our ability, as well as that of third-party service providers, to protect computer systems and network infrastructure against damage from fire, power loss, telecommunications failure, physical break-ins or similar catastrophic events. Any damage or failure that causes interruptions in operations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, a significant risk related to online financial transactions is the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks. Our Internet banking system relies on encryption and authentication technology to provide the security and authentication necessary to effect secure transmission of confidential information. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments could result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms our third-party service providers use to protect customer transaction data. If any such compromise of security were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if another provider of commercial services through the Internet were to suffer damage from physical break-in, security breach or other disruptive problems caused by the Internet or other users, the use and continued public acceptance of the Internet for commercial transactions, including Internet banking, could suffer. This type of event could deter our potential customers or

cause customers to leave us and thereby materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

To date we have not experienced any material incidents relating to cyber-security or other forms of information security breaches, although there can be no assurance that we will not suffer such losses in the future given the rapidly expanding and evolving cybersecurity threats that exists today. This is especially true because techniques used tend to change frequently or would not be recognized until launched, and attacks can originate from a wide array of sources, including unrelated third parties. These risks may increase in the future given our increased emphasis on Internet based products and services, including mobile banking and mobile payments. As cybersecurity threats continue to evolve, we may be required to expend additional resources to continue to modify or refine our protective measures against these threats, and we may be unable to anticipate or implement effective preventative measures against security breaches. There are no assurances that our security measures or efforts to upgrade and maintain our computer and network systems and processes will be adequate and any failures, interruptions or security breaches could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The collection, processing, storage, use and disclosure of personal data could give rise to liabilities as a result of governmental regulation, conflicting legal requirements or differing views of personal privacy rights.

In the processing of consumer transactions, our businesses receive, transmit and store a large volume of personally identifiable information and other user data. The collection, sharing, use, disclosure and protection of this information are governed by the privacy and data security policies maintained by us and our businesses. Moreover, there are federal, state and international laws regarding privacy and the storing, sharing, use, disclosure and protection of personally identifiable information and user data. Specifically, personally identifiable information is increasingly subject to legislation and regulations in numerous jurisdictions around the world, the intent of which is to protect the privacy of personal information that is collected, processed and transmitted in or from the governing jurisdiction. We could be adversely affected if legislation or regulations are expanded to require changes in business practices or privacy policies, or if governing jurisdictions interpret or implement their legislation or regulations in ways that negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our businesses may also become exposed to potential liabilities as a result of differing views on the privacy of consumer and other user data collected by these businesses. Our failure, and/or the failure by the various third-party vendors and service providers with whom we do business, to comply with applicable privacy policies or federal, state or similar international laws and regulations or any compromise of security that results in the unauthorized release of personally identifiable information or other user data could damage the reputation of these businesses, discourage potential users from our products and services and/or result in fines and/or proceedings by governmental agencies and/or consumers, one or all of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Lack of system integrity or credit quality related to funds settlement could adversely affect our results of operations.

We settle funds on behalf of financial institutions, other businesses and consumers and receive funds from clients, card issuers, payment networks and consumers on a daily basis for a variety of transaction types. Transactions facilitated by us include wire transfers, debit card, credit card and electronic bill payment transactions. These payment activities rely upon the technology infrastructure that facilitates the verification of activity with counterparties and the facilitation of the payment. If the continuity of operations or integrity of our processing were compromised, it could result in a financial loss to us due to a failure in payment facilitation. In addition, we may issue credit to consumers, financial institutions or other businesses as part of the funds settlement. A default on this credit by a counterparty could adversely affect our results of operations.

We are a controlled company that is exempt from certain NYSE corporate governance requirements.

Our common stock is currently listed on the NYSE. The NYSE generally requires a majority of directors to be independent and requires audit, compensation and nominating committees to be composed solely of independent directors. However, under the applicable NYSE rules, if another company owns more than 50 percent of the voting power of a listed company, that company is considered a "controlled company" and exempt from rules relating to independence of the board of directors and the compensation and nominating committees. We are a controlled company because MP Thrift beneficially owns more than 50 percent of our outstanding voting stock. A majority of the directors on the compensation and nominating committees are affiliated with MP Thrift. MP Thrift has the right, if exercised, to designate a majority of the directors on the board of directors. Accordingly, our stockholders do not have, and may never have, the same protections afforded to stockholders of other companies subject to all of the corporate governance requirements of the NYSE. If we become unable to

continue to be deemed a controlled company, we would be required to meet these independence requirements and, if we are not able to do so, our common stock could be delisted from the NYSE.

Our controlling stockholder has significant influence over us, including control over decisions that require the approval of stockholders, whether or not such decisions are in the best interests of other stockholders.

MP Thrift beneficially owns a substantial majority of our outstanding common stock and as a result, has control over our decisions to enter into any corporate transaction and also the ability to prevent any transaction that requires the approval of our board of directors or the stockholders regardless of whether or not other members of our board of directors or stockholders believe that any such transactions are in their own best interests. So long as MP Thrift continues to hold a majority of our outstanding common stock, it will have the ability to control the vote in any election of directors and other matters being voted on, and continue to exert significant influence over us. Furthermore, MP Thrift may have interests that could diverge from the interests of other stockholders.

We could, as a result of a stock offering or future trading activity in our common or preferred stock, experience an "ownership change" for tax purposes that could cause us to permanently lose a portion of U.S. federal deferred tax assets.

Our net deferred tax asset includes both federal and state operating losses. During the fourth quarter 2013, we reversed 100 percent of the valuation allowance on the federal DTA and a portion of the state DTA, which had been previously established as of September 30, 2009. Our ability to use our deferred tax assets to offset future taxable income will be significantly limited if we experience an "ownership change" as defined for U.S. federal income tax purposes. MP Thrift, our controlling stockholder held approximately 63.4 percent of common stock as of December 31, 2013. As a result of MP Thrift's ownership, issuances or sales of common stock or other securities in the future or certain other direct or indirect changes in ownership, could result in an "ownership change" under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). Section 382 of the Code imposes restrictions on the use of a corporation's net operating losses, certain recognized built-in losses, and other carryovers after an "ownership change" occurs. An "ownership change" is generally a greater than 50 percentage point increase by certain "five percent shareholders" during the testing period, which is generally the three year-period ending on the transaction date. Upon an "ownership change," a corporation generally is subject to an annual limitation on its prechange losses and certain recognized built-in losses equal to the value of the corporation's market capitalization immediately before the "ownership change" multiplied by the long-term tax-exempt rate (subject to certain adjustments). The annual limitation is increased each year to the extent that there is an unused limitation in a prior year. Since U.S. federal net operating losses generally may be carried forward for up to 20 years, the annual limitation also effectively provides a cap on the cumulative amount of prechange losses and certain recognized built-in losses that may be utilized. Prechange losses and certain recognized built-in losses in excess of the cap are effectively lost.

The relevant calculations under Section 382 of the Code are technical and highly complex. Any stock offering, combined with other ownership changes, could cause us to experience an "ownership change." If an "ownership change" were to occur, we believe it could cause us to permanently lose the ability to realize a portion of our deferred tax asset, resulting in reduction to total shareholders' equity.

Even if there is an "ownership change," and part or all of our deferred tax assets would be limited, our obligations under the terms of the DOJ Agreement would not be relieved. Moreover, if we or the Bank are party to a business transaction so large that it causes the deferred tax asset to be completely eliminated, then 12 months following the transaction we, or our successor, are required to begin making the Additional Payments required under the DOJ Agreement, for more information see Item 1. Business.

Changes in accounting standards may impact how we report our financial condition and results of operations.



Our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. From time to time the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") changes the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of our financial statements. These changes are beyond our control, can be difficult to predict and can materially impact how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, we may from time to time experience weaknesses or deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that can affect our recording and reporting of financial information. In some cases we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in a restatement of prior period financial statements.

We are subject to a number of legal or regulatory proceedings which can be complicated and slow moving, thus making them difficult to predict.

At any given time, we are defending ourselves against a number of legal and regulatory proceedings. Proceedings or actions brought against us may result in judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions, business improvement orders or other results adverse to us, which could materially and negatively affect our businesses. If such claims and other matters are not resolved in a manner favorable to us, they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of us and our products and services, as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. In addition, some of the laws and regulations to which we are subject may provide a private right of action that a consumer or class of consumers may pursue to enforce these laws and regulations. We also have been, and may continue to be in the future, subject to stockholder derivative actions, which could seek significant damages or other relief. Any financial liability or reputational damage could have a material adverse effect on our business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, claims asserted against us can be highly complicated and slow to develop, thus making the outcome of such proceedings difficult to predict or estimate early in the process. As a participant in the financial services industry, it is likely that we will continue to experience a high level of litigation and regulatory scrutiny and investigations relating to our business and operations. The results of these legal and regulatory proceedings could lead to significant monetary damages or penalties, restrictions on the way in which we conduct our business, or reputational harm.

Although we establish accruals for legal proceedings when information related to the loss contingencies represented by those matters indicates both that a loss is probable and that the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, we do not have accruals for all legal proceedings where we face a risk of loss. In addition, due to the inherent subjectivity of the assessments and unpredictability of the outcome of legal proceedings, amounts accrued may not represent the ultimate loss to us from the legal proceedings in question. Thus, our ultimate losses may be higher, and possibly significantly so, than the amounts accrued for legal loss contingencies.

For a further discussion of the unpredictability of legal proceedings and description of certain of our pending legal proceedings, see Note 28 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

#### Other Risk Factors

The above description of risk factors is not exhaustive. Other risk factors are described elsewhere herein as well as in other reports and documents that we file with or furnish to the SEC. Other factors that could also cause results to differ from our expectations may not be described in any such report or document. Each of these factors could by itself, or together with one or more other factors, adversely affect our business, results of operations and/or financial condition.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

At December 31, 2013, we operated through the headquarters and an annex center in Troy, Michigan, a regional office in Jackson, Michigan, 111 banking centers in Michigan and 39 home loan centers in 19 states. We also maintain nine wholesale lending offices. Our banking centers consist of 72 free-standing office buildings, 12 in-store banking centers and 27 centers in buildings in which there are other tenants, typically strip malls and similar retail centers.

We own the buildings and land for 72 of our offices, own the building, but lease the land for one office, and lease the remaining 86 offices. The offices that we lease have lease expiration dates ranging from 2014 to 2026.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company is party to legal proceedings incident to its business. See Note 28 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein, which is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock trades on the NYSE under the trading symbol FBC. At December 31, 2013, there were 56,138,074 shares of our common stock outstanding held by approximately 19,571 stockholders of record. The following table shows the high and low sale prices for our common stock during each calendar quarter during 2013 and 2012.

| Quarter Ending     | Highest Sale Price | Lowest Sale Price |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| December 31, 2013  | \$19.62            | \$14.25           |
| September 30, 2013 | 16.96              | 13.75             |
| June 30, 2013      | 14.94              | 12.41             |
| March 31, 2013     | 20.25              | 13.03             |
| December 31, 2012  | \$19.42            | \$10.40           |
| September 30, 2012 | 12.00              | 8.00              |
| June 30, 2012      | 9.70               | 6.90              |
| March 31, 2012     | 10.40              | 5.70              |

## Dividends

We have not paid dividends on our common stock since the fourth quarter of 2007. The amount and nature of any dividends declared on our common stock in the future will be determined by our board of directors in their sole discretion. Our board of directors has suspended any future dividend on our common stock until the capital markets normalize and residential real estate shows additional signs of improvement. We are generally prohibited from making any dividend payments on stock except pursuant to the prior non-objection of the Federal Reserve as set forth in the Supervisory Agreement. In addition, we are prohibited from paying dividends on our common stock so long as we have deferred and unpaid dividends on our preferred stock issues and deferred and unpaid interest on our trust preferred securities.

In addition, our principal sources of funds are cash dividends paid by the Bank and other subsidiaries, investment income and borrowings. Federal laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends or other capital distributions that the Bank may pay us. The Bank has an internal practice to remain "well-capitalized" under OCC capital adequacy regulations as discussed above. The Bank does not currently expect to pay dividends to us and, even if it determined to do so, would not make payments if the Bank was not well-capitalized at the time or if such payment would result in the Bank not being well-capitalized. In addition, the Bank must seek prior approval from the OCC at least 30 days before it may make a dividend payment or other capital distribution to us.

For information regarding restrictions on our payment of dividends, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Capital Resources and Liquidity.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to securities to be issued under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2013.

| Plan Category  | Number of Securities to Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans |
|--|---|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1) | 82,937  | \$ 104.26   | 987,920  |

Consists of our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2006 Plan”), which provides for the granting of stock options, incentive stock options, cash-settled stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units, performance shares and performance units and other awards. The 2006 Plan consolidated, merged, amended and restated all other prior plans. Awards still outstanding under any of the prior plans will continue to be governed by their respective terms. (1) Under the 2006 Plan, the exercise price of any option granted must be at least equal to the fair value of our common stock on the date of grant. Non-qualified stock options granted to directors expire five years from the date of grant. Grants other than non-qualified stock options have term limits set by the board of directors in the applicable agreement. All securities remaining for future issuance represent option and stock awards available for award under the 2006 Plan.

Sale of Unregistered Securities

We made no unregistered sales of our equity securities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We made no purchases of equity securities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Performance Graph

CUMULATIVE TOTAL STOCKHOLDER RETURN  
 COMPARED WITH PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED INDICES  
 DECEMBER 31, 2008 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2013

|                   | Nasdaq Financial | Nasdaq Bank | S&P Small Cap<br>600 | Russell 2000 | Flagstar Bancorp |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| December 31, 2008 | 100              | 100         | 100                  | 100          | 100              |
| December 31, 2009 | 101              | 81          | 124                  | 125          | 85               |
| December 31, 2010 | 134              | 91          | 155                  | 157          | 23               |
| December 31, 2011 | 99               | 80          | 154                  | 148          | 7                |
| December 31, 2012 | 112              | 92          | 177                  | 170          | 27               |
| December 31, 2013 | 155              | 128         | 248                  | 233          | 28               |

62

---

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

|  | For the Years Ended December 31,                      |             |              |               |               |
|--|---|-------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
|  | 2013  | 2012        | 2011         | 2010          | 2009          |
|  | (In thousands, except per share data and percentages) |             |              |               |               |
| Summary of Consolidated Statements of Operations   |   |             |              |               |               |
| Interest income  | \$ 330,687  | \$ 480,970  | \$ 465,409   | \$ 532,781    | \$ 696,865    |
| Interest expense   | 144,036   | 183,739     | 220,036      | 322,118       | 477,798       |
| Net interest income  | 186,651   | 297,231     | 245,373      | 210,663       | 219,067       |
| Provision for loan losses  | (70,142)  | ) (276,047) | ) (176,931)  | ) (426,353)   | ) (504,370)   |
| Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses   | 116,509   | 21,184      | 68,442       | (215,690)     | ) (285,303)   |
| Noninterest income   | 652,343   | 1,021,242   | 385,516      | 453,680       | 523,286       |
| Noninterest expense  | 918,115   | 989,695     | 634,680      | 610,699       | 679,653       |
| (Loss) income before federal income taxes provision  | (149,263)   | ) 52,731    | (180,722)    | ) (372,709)   | ) (441,670)   |
| (Benefit) provision for federal income taxes   | (416,250)   | ) (15,645)  | ) 1,056      | 2,104         | 55,008        |
| Net income (loss)  | 266,987   | 68,376      | (181,778)    | ) (374,813)   | ) (496,678)   |
| Preferred stock dividends/accretion  | (5,784)   | ) (5,658)   | ) (17,165)   | ) (18,748)    | ) (17,124)    |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stock   | \$ 261,203  | \$ 62,718   | \$ (198,943) | ) \$(393,561) | ) \$(513,802) |
| Income (loss) per share:   |   |             |              |               |               |
| Basic (1)  | \$ 4.40   | \$ 0.88     | \$ (3.62)    | ) \$(24.36)   | ) \$(161.75)  |
| Diluted (1)  | \$ 4.37   | \$ 0.87     | \$ (3.62)    | ) \$(24.36)   | ) \$(161.75)  |
| (1) Restated for one-for-ten stock split announced September 27, 2012 and began trading on October 11, 2012. |   |             |              |               |               |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|   | For the Years Ended December 31,                      |              |              |              |              |    |
|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----|
|   | 2013  | 2012         | 2011         | 2010         | 2009         |    |
|   | (In thousands, except per share data and percentages) |              |              |              |              |    |
| Mortgage loans originated (1)                 | \$37,481,877  | \$53,586,856 | \$26,612,800 | \$26,560,810 | \$32,330,658 |    |
| Other loans originated                        | \$300,823   | \$754,155    | \$700,969    | \$40,420     | \$44,443     |    |
| Mortgage loans sold and securitized           | \$39,074,649  | \$53,094,326 | \$27,451,362 | \$26,506,672 | \$32,326,643 |    |
| Interest rate spread-bank only (2)            | 1.53  | % 1.98       | % 1.86       | % 1.45       | % 1.55       | %  |
| Net interest margin-bank only (3)             | 1.78  | % 2.31       | % 2.13       | % 1.75       | % 1.68       | %  |
| Interest rate spread-consolidated (2)         | 1.50  | % 1.96       | % 1.85       | % 1.43       | % 1.51       | %  |
| Net interest margin-consolidated (3)          | 1.72  | % 2.26       | % 2.07       | % 1.67       | % 1.58       | %  |
| Average common shares outstanding (4)         | 56,063  | 55,762       | 55,434       | 16,157       | 3,177        |    |
| Average fully diluted shares outstanding (4)  | 56,518  | 56,194       | 55,434       | 16,157       | 3,177        |    |
| Average interest earning assets               | \$10,881,618  | \$13,104,401 | \$11,803,670 | \$12,522,639 | \$13,799,361 |    |
| Average interest paying liabilities           | \$9,337,936   | \$10,786,252 | \$10,539,369 | \$11,437,410 | \$13,542,712 |    |
| Average stockholders' equity                  | \$1,238,550   | \$1,192,281  | \$1,185,731  | \$1,074,571  | \$817,248    |    |
| Return on average assets                      | 2.08  | % 0.43       | % (1.49      | )% (2.81     | )% (3.24     | )% |
| Return on average equity                      | 21.09   | % 5.26       | % (16.78     | )% (36.63    | )% (62.87    | )% |
| Efficiency ratio                              | 109.4   | % 75.1       | % 100.6      | % 91.9       | % 91.6       | %  |
| Efficiency ratio (credit-adjusted) (5)        | 99.0  | % 57.0       | % 64.8       | % 61.9       | % 70.4       | %  |
| Equity/assets ratio (average for the period)  | 9.87  | % 8.10       | % 8.88       | % 7.66       | % 5.15       | %  |
| Net charge-offs to average LHFI               | 4.00  | % 4.43       | % 2.14       | % 9.34       | % 4.20       | %  |
| Net charge-offs to average LHFI, adjusted (6) | 2.45  | % 4.43       | % 2.14       | % 4.82       | % 4.20       | %  |

(1) Includes residential first mortgage and second mortgage loans.

(2) Interest rate spread is the difference between the annualized average yield earned on average interest-earning assets for the period and the annualized average rate of interest paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for the period.

(3) Net interest margin is the annualized effect of the net interest income divided by that period's average interest-earning assets.

(4) Restated for one-for-ten reverse stock splits effective on October 10, 2012 and May 27, 2010.

(5) Based on efficiency ratios as calculated, less representation and warranty reserve change in estimate and asset resolution expense, see Non-GAAP reconciliation.

(6) Excludes charge-offs of \$65.1 million and \$327.3 million related to the sale of nonperforming loans and TDRs, during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2010, respectively.





Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|  | December 31,  |              |              |              |              |   |
|--|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---|
|  | 2013  | 2012         | 2011         | 2010         | 2009         |   |
|  | (In thousands, except per share data and percentages) |              |              |              |              |   |
| <b>Summary of Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition</b>     |   |              |              |              |              |   |
| Total assets   | \$9,407,301   | \$14,082,012 | \$13,637,473 | \$13,643,504 | \$14,013,331 |   |
| Loans receivable, net  | \$6,602,864   | \$10,914,163 | \$10,420,739 | \$10,291,435 | \$9,964,908  |   |
| Mortgage servicing rights  | \$284,678   | \$710,791    | \$510,475    | \$580,299    | \$652,374    |   |
| Total deposits   | \$6,140,326   | \$8,294,295  | \$7,689,988  | \$7,998,099  | \$8,778,469  |   |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances                                      | \$988,000   | \$3,180,000  | \$3,953,000  | \$3,725,083  | \$3,900,000  |   |
| Long-term debt   | \$353,248   | \$247,435    | \$248,585    | \$248,610    | \$300,182    |   |
| Stockholders' equity (1)   | \$1,425,874   | \$1,159,362  | \$1,079,716  | \$1,259,663  | \$596,724    |   |
| Book value per common share (2)                                      | \$20.66   | \$16.12      | \$14.80      | \$18.30      | \$75.30      |   |
| Number of common shares outstanding (2)                              | 56,138  | 55,863       | 55,578       | 55,331       | 4,688        |   |
| Mortgage loans serviced for others                                   | \$25,743,396  | \$76,821,222 | \$63,770,676 | \$56,040,063 | \$56,521,902 |   |
| Mortgage loans subserviced for others                                | \$40,431,865  | \$—          | \$—          | \$—          | \$—          |   |
| Weighted average service fee (basis points)                          | 28.7  | 29.2         | 30.8         | 30.8         | 32.1         |   |
| Capitalized value of mortgage servicing rights                       | 1.11  | % 0.93       | % 0.80       | % 1.04       | % 1.15       | % |
| Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital (3)                      | 22.6  | % 54.9       | % 42.0       | % 44.5       | % 75.3       | % |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming LHFI (4) (5)     | 145.9   | % 76.3       | % 65.1       | % 86.1       | % 48.9       | % |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to LHFI (4) (5)                   | 5.42  | % 5.61       | % 4.52       | % 4.35       | % 6.79       | % |
| Ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets (4)                    | 1.95  | % 3.70       | % 4.43       | % 4.35       | % 9.24       | % |
| Equity-to-assets ratio   | 15.16   | % 8.23       | % 7.92       | % 9.23       | % 4.26       | % |
| Tier 1 capital ratio (to adjusted total assets) (6)                  | 13.97   | % 9.26       | % 8.95       | % 9.61       | % 6.19       | % |
| Total risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets) (6)         | 28.11   | % 17.18      | % 16.64      | % 18.55      | % 11.68      | % |
| Number of banking centers  | 111   | 111          | 111          | 162          | 165          |   |
| Number of loan origination centers                                   | 39  | 31           | 27           | 27           | 32           |   |
| Number of employees (excluding loan officers and account executives) | 2,894   | 3,328        | 2,839        | 3,001        | 3,075        |   |
| Number of loans officers and account executives (1)                  | 359   | 334          | 297          | 278          | 336          |   |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Includes preferred stock totaling \$266.2 million, \$260.4 million, \$254.7 million, \$249.2 million and \$243.8 million at December 31, 2013 through 2009, respectively.

(2) Restated for one-for-ten reverse stock splits effective on October 10, 2012 and May 27, 2010.

(3) See Non-GAAP reconciliation.

(4) Bank only and does not include nonperforming loans held-for-sale.

(5) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option

(6) Based on adjusted total assets for purposes of tangible capital and core capital, and risk-weighted assets for purposes of risk-based capital and total risk-based capital. These ratios are applicable to the Bank only.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <u>Summary of Operation</u>                                  | 68  |
| <u>Results of Operations</u>                                 | 68  |
| <u>Net Interest Income</u>                                   | 68  |
| <u>Rate/Volume Analysis</u>                                  | 71  |
| <u>Provision for Loan Losses</u>                             | 71  |
| <u>Noninterest Income</u>                                    | 72  |
| <u>Noninterest Expense</u>                                   | 77  |
| <u>Provision (Benefit) for Federal Income Taxes</u>          | 79  |
| <u>Operating Segments</u>                                    | 82  |
| <u>Mortgage Banking</u>                                      | 83  |
| <u>Community Banking</u>                                     | 84  |
| <u>Other</u>   | 85  |
| <u>Analysis of Items on Statement of Financial Condition</u> | 85  |
| <u>Assets</u>  | 86  |
| <u>Interest-Earning Deposits</u>                             | 86  |
| <u>Securities Classified as Trading</u>                      | 86  |
| <u>Securities Classified as Available-For-Sale</u>           | 86  |
| <u>Loans Held-For-Sale</u>                                   | 86  |
| <u>Loans Repurchased with Government Guarantees</u>          | 87  |
| <u>Loans Held-For-Investment</u>                             | 87  |
| <u>Quality of Earning Assets</u>                             | 89  |
| <u>Troubled Debt Restructurings</u>                          | 91  |
| <u>Allowance For Loan Losses</u>                             | 94  |
| <u>Allowance For Unfunded Lending Commitments</u>            | 99  |
| <u>Mortgage Servicing Rights</u>                             | 100 |
| <u>Reposessed Assets</u>                                     | 101 |
| <u>Federal Home Loan Bank Stock</u>                          | 101 |
| <u>Premises and Equipment</u>                                | 101 |
| <u>Derivatives</u>   | 102 |
| <u>Liabilities</u>   | 102 |
| <u>Deposits</u>  | 103 |
| <u>Federal Home Loan Bank Advances</u>                       | 104 |
| <u>Long-Term Debt</u>  | 105 |
| <u>Representation and Warranty Reserve</u>                   | 105 |
| <u>Other Liabilities</u>                                     | 107 |
| <u>Contractual Obligations and Commitments</u>               | 108 |
| <u>Capital Resources and Liquidity</u>                       | 108 |
| <u>Impact of Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>              | 111 |
| <u>Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices</u>               | 111 |
| <u>Accounting and Reporting Developments</u>                 | 112 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>           | <u>112</u> |
| <u>Variable Interest Entities</u>             | <u>112</u> |
| <u>Fair Value Measurements</u>                | <u>113</u> |
| <u>Allowance for Loan Losses</u>              | <u>115</u> |
| <u>Representation and Warranty Reserve</u>    | <u>117</u> |
| <u>Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measurements</u> | <u>119</u> |

## Summary of Operations

Our net income applicable to common stock for year ended December 31, 2013 was \$261.2 million (\$4.37 per diluted share), compared to \$62.7 million (\$0.87 per diluted share) for the year ended December 31, 2012 and a loss of \$198.9 million (loss of \$3.62 per diluted share) for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was due to the following factors:

• Tax benefit of \$416.3 million, primarily due to the full reversal of the federal deferred tax asset valuation allowance and a partial reversal of the state DTA valuation allowance;

• Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate decreased \$220.2 million to \$36.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to the benefit associated with the previously announced settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, representation and warranty model enhancements implemented in the first quarter of 2012, lower reserve levels based on process demands and lower charge-offs;

• Provision for loan losses decreased by \$205.9 million from the year ended December 31, 2013, to \$70.1 million, primarily due to allowance for loan loss model enhancements implemented in the first quarter of 2012, lower loss rates, and the release of reserves resulting from the sales of commercial and nonperforming residential first mortgage loans; and

• Legal and professional expense decreased \$156.5 million to \$144.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to lower legal expense associated with litigation settlements.

These increases in net income were partially offset by the following factors:

• Net gain on loan sales decreased \$588.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, to \$402.2 million, primarily due to lower residential first mortgage rate lock commitments and a lower base gain on sale margin;

• Loss on extinguishment of debt increased \$162.3 million to \$177.6 million resulting from the prepayment of \$2.9 billion in long-term fixed-rate Federal Home Loan Bank advances; and

• Net interest margin decreased to 1.72 percent, as compared to 2.26 percent for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the sale of commercial and nonperforming residential first mortgage loans, lower average balance on warehouse loans, and lower average balance on mortgage loans held-for-sale. This resulted in a net interest income decrease of \$110.6 million to \$186.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income is primarily the dollar value of the average yield we earn on the average balances of our interest-earning assets, less the dollar value of the average cost of funds we incur on the average balances of our interest-bearing liabilities. Interest income recorded on loans is reduced by the amortization net premiums and net deferred loan origination costs.

Net interest income decreased to \$186.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$297.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$245.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease for year ended December 31, 2013, is primarily due to a \$2.2 billion decrease in the average balance of interest earning assets, partially offset by lower average balances of certificate of deposits. The increase for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, is primarily due to a decrease in overall cost of funds to 1.70 percent as of year ended December 31, 2012 from 2.09 percent as of year ended December 31, 2011. Net interest income represented 22.2 percent of our total revenue during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 22.5 percent for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 38.9 percent for the year ended December 31, 2011. The average yield on loans repurchased with government guarantees has increased slightly, while all other assets have continued to decline, due to the increase in the ten-year Treasury-bill which is the index used to pay debenture interest on Federal Housing Administration claims.

Interest income decreased \$150.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$330.7 million, compared to \$480.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and decreased \$134.7 million from \$465.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in interest income was primarily driven by a lower average balance of loans held-for-investment due to commercial and nonperforming residential first mortgage loan sales, and portfolio run off. Also impacting interest income was lower average balances in the mortgage loans available-for-sale and warehouse loans held-for-investment portfolios, primarily due to a decrease in mortgage loan originations during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The average yield on interest-earning assets decreased 62 basis points, to 3.03 percent for the year ended December 31, 2013 from 3.65 percent for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Interest expense decreased \$39.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$144.0 million, compared to \$183.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and decreased \$76.0 million from \$220.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$1.4 billion during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to a \$663.5 million decrease in average deposits from the run-off of higher cost certificates of deposit and wholesale certificates of deposits. The decrease in average interest-bearing liabilities was also due to a \$783.7 million decrease in the average balance of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, as a result of the decrease in need of additional funding from the decrease in new loan originations from 2013 to 2012. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 17 basis points to 1.53 percent for the year ended December 31, 2013 from 1.70 percent for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2.09 percent during the year ended December 31, 2011. Our interest rate spread was 1.50 percent for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 1.96 percent for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 1.85 percent during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Our consolidated net interest margin for the year ended December 31, 2013 was 1.72 percent, as compared to 2.26 percent for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2.07 percent for the year ended December 31, 2011. The Bank recorded a net interest margin of 1.78 percent for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 2.31 percent for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2.13 percent for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The following tables present on a consolidated basis interest income from average earning assets, expressed in dollars and yields, and interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed in dollars and rates. Interest income

recorded on our loans is adjusted by the amortization of net premiums, net deferred loan origination costs and the amount of negative amortization (i.e., capitalized interest) arising from our option ARM loans. Interest income from earning assets was reduced by \$2.1 million, \$3.8 million and \$1.0 million of amortization of net premiums and net deferred loan origination costs during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Non-accruing loans were included in the average loans outstanding. The amount of net negative amortization included in our interest income during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was zero, \$0.2 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.



Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|  | For the Years Ended December 31,<br>2013 |                  |                           | 2012                |                  |                           | 2011                |                  |                           |  |
|--|--|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--|
|  | Average<br>Balance                       | Interest         | Average<br>Yield/<br>Rate | Average<br>Balance  | Interest         | Average<br>Yield/<br>Rate | Average<br>Balance  | Interest         | Average<br>Yield/<br>Rate |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands)                   |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |  |
| <b>Interest-Earning Assets</b>                         |  |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |  |
| Loans held-for-sale                                    | \$2,498,893                              | \$88,666         | 3.55 %                    | \$3,078,690         | \$115,425        | 3.75 %                    | \$1,928,339         | \$83,025         | 4.31 %                    |  |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees           | 1,476,801                                | 48,131           | 3.26 %                    | 2,018,079           | 64,887           | 3.22 %                    | 1,784,927           | 56,916           | 3.19 %                    |  |
| <b>Loans held-for-investment</b>                       |  |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |  |
| Consumer loans (1)                                     | 3,669,373                                | 149,694          | 4.07 %                    | 4,737,553           | 205,040          | 4.33 %                    | 4,830,127           | 221,006          | 4.58 %                    |  |
| Commercial loans (1)                                   | 658,804                                  | 26,986           | 4.04 %                    | 1,782,507           | 70,789           | 3.91 %                    | 1,373,566           | 66,075           | 4.74 %                    |  |
| Loans held-for-investment                              | 4,328,177                                | 176,680          | 4.07 %                    | 6,520,060           | 275,829          | 4.21 %                    | 6,203,693           | 287,081          | 4.61 %                    |  |
| Securities classified as available-for-sale or trading | 474,205                                  | 11,912           | 2.51 %                    | 573,445             | 22,609           | 3.94 %                    | 752,871             | 35,602           | 4.73 %                    |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits and other                    | 2,103,542                                | 5,298            | 0.25 %                    | 914,127             | 2,220            | 0.24 %                    | 1,133,840           | 2,785            | 0.25 %                    |  |
| <b>Total interest-earning assets</b>                   | <b>10,881,618</b>                        | <b>\$330,687</b> | <b>3.03 %</b>             | <b>13,104,401</b>   | <b>\$480,970</b> | <b>3.66 %</b>             | <b>11,803,670</b>   | <b>\$465,409</b> | <b>3.94 %</b>             |  |
| Other assets   | 1,673,298                                |                  |                           | 1,622,369           |                  |                           | 1,544,924           |                  |                           |  |
| <b>Total assets</b>                                    | <b>\$12,554,916</b>                      |                  |                           | <b>\$14,726,770</b> |                  |                           | <b>\$13,348,594</b> |                  |                           |  |
| <b>Interest-Bearing Liabilities</b>                    |  |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |  |
| <b>Deposits</b>  |  |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |                     |                  |                           |  |
| Demand deposits  | \$397,094                                | \$769            | 0.19 %                    | \$363,247           | \$950            | 0.26 %                    | \$397,988           | \$1,319          | 0.33 %                    |  |
| Savings deposits                                       | 2,668,571                                | 16,924           | 0.63 %                    | 1,775,449           | 12,828           | 0.72 %                    | 1,236,105           | 9,952            | 0.81 %                    |  |
| Money market deposits                                  | 334,945                                  | 824              | 0.25 %                    | 463,490             | 2,232            | 0.48 %                    | 561,943             | 3,905            | 0.69 %                    |  |
| Certificate of deposits                                | 2,054,834                                | 18,249           | 0.89 %                    | 3,170,103           | 38,308           | 1.21 %                    | 3,001,586           | 52,433           | 1.75 %                    |  |
| <b>Total retail deposits</b>                           | <b>5,455,444</b>                         | <b>36,766</b>    | <b>0.67 %</b>             | <b>5,772,289</b>    | <b>54,318</b>    | <b>0.94 %</b>             | <b>5,197,622</b>    | <b>67,609</b>    | <b>1.30 %</b>             |  |
| Demand deposits  | 96,112                                   | 409              | 0.43 %                    | 96,000              | 459              | 0.48 %                    | 77,702              | 417              | 0.54 %                    |  |
| Savings deposits                                       | 203,191                                  | 707              | 0.35 %                    | 280,313             | 1,539            | 0.55 %                    | 414,394             | 2,647            | 0.64 %                    |  |
| Certificate of deposits                                | 360,406                                  | 1,489            | 0.41 %                    | 393,731             | 2,534            | 0.64 %                    | 296,830             | 1,841            | 0.62 %                    |  |
| <b>Total government deposits</b>                       | <b>659,709</b>                           | <b>2,605</b>     | <b>0.39 %</b>             | <b>770,044</b>      | <b>4,532</b>     | <b>0.59 %</b>             | <b>788,926</b>      | <b>4,905</b>     | <b>0.62 %</b>             |  |
| Wholesale deposits                                     | 60,711                                   | 3,021            | 4.98 %                    | 296,997             | 11,293           | 3.80 %                    | 674,856             | 23,032           | 3.41 %                    |  |
| <b>Total deposits</b>                                  | <b>6,175,864</b>                         | <b>42,392</b>    | <b>0.69 %</b>             | <b>6,839,330</b>    | <b>70,143</b>    | <b>1.03 %</b>             | <b>6,661,404</b>    | <b>95,546</b>    | <b>1.43 %</b>             |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances                        | 2,914,637                                | 95,024           | 3.22 %                    | 3,698,362           | 106,625          | 2.88 %                    | 3,620,368           | 117,963          | 3.26 %                    |  |
| Other  | 247,435                                  | 6,620            | 2.68 %                    | 248,561             | 6,971            | 2.80 %                    | 248,597             | 6,527            | 2.63 %                    |  |
| <b>Total interest-bearing liabilities</b>              | <b>9,337,936</b>                         | <b>144,036</b>   | <b>1.53 %</b>             | <b>10,786,253</b>   | <b>183,739</b>   | <b>1.70 %</b>             | <b>10,530,369</b>   | <b>220,036</b>   | <b>2.09 %</b>             |  |
| Other liabilities (2)                                  | 1,978,430                                |                  |                           | 2,748,236           |                  |                           | 1,632,494           |                  |                           |  |
| Stockholders' equity                                   | 1,238,550                                |                  |                           | 1,192,281           |                  |                           | 1,185,731           |                  |                           |  |
| <b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>      | <b>\$12,554,916</b>                      |                  |                           | <b>\$14,726,770</b> |                  |                           | <b>\$13,348,594</b> |                  |                           |  |
| <b>Net interest-earning assets</b>                     | <b>\$1,543,682</b>                       |                  |                           | <b>\$2,318,148</b>  |                  |                           | <b>\$1,273,301</b>  |                  |                           |  |
| <b>Net interest income</b>                             |  | <b>\$186,651</b> |                           |                     | <b>\$297,231</b> |                           |                     | <b>\$245,373</b> |                           |  |
| Interest rate spread (3)                               |  |                  | 1.50 %                    |                     |                  | 1.96 %                    |                     |                  | 1.85 %                    |  |
| Net interest margin (4)                                |  |                  | 1.72 %                    |                     |                  | 2.26 %                    |                     |                  | 2.07 %                    |  |

|  |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Ratio of average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities | 116.5% | 121.5% | 112.1% |
|--|--------|--------|--------|

- Consumer loans include: residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other (1) consumer loans. Commercial loans include: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and commercial lease financing loans.
- (2) Includes company controlled deposits that arise due to the servicing of loans for others, which do not bear interest.
- (3) Interest rate spread is the difference between rates of interest earned on interest-earning assets and rates of interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

## Rate/Volume Analysis

The following tables present the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for the components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that are presented in the preceding table. The table below distinguishes between the changes related to average outstanding balances (changes in volume while holding the initial rate constant) and the changes related to average interest rates (changes in average rates while holding the initial balance constant). Changes attributable to both a change in volume and a change in rates were included as changes in rate.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31,<br>2013 Versus 2012 Increase |              |              | 2012 Versus 2011 Increase |            |             |
|--|---|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------|
|  | (Decrease) Due to   |              |              | (Decrease) Due to         |            |             |
|  | Rate  | Volume       | Total        | Rate                      | Volume     | Total       |
| (Dollars in thousands)                       |   |              |              |                           |            |             |
| <b>Interest-Earning Assets</b>               |   |              |              |                           |            |             |
| Loans held-for-sale                          | \$(5,021  | ) \$(21,738  | ) \$(26,759  | ) \$(17,128               | ) \$49,528 | \$32,400    |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees | 648   | (17,404      | ) (16,756    | ) 537                     | 7,434      | 7,971       |
| <b>Loans held-for-investment</b>             |   |              |              |                           |            |             |
| Consumer loans (1)                           | (9,326  | ) (46,020    | ) (55,346    | ) (11,730                 | ) (4,236   | ) (15,966   |
| Commercial loans (2)                         | 91  | (43,894      | ) (43,803    | ) (14,688                 | ) 19,402   | 4,714       |
| Total loans held-for-investment              | (9,235  | ) (89,914    | ) (99,149    | ) (26,418                 | ) 15,166   | (11,252     |
| <b>Securities</b>                            |   |              |              |                           |            |             |
| available-for-sale or trading                | (6,784  | ) (3,913     | ) (10,697    | ) (4,508                  | ) (8,485   | ) (12,993   |
| Interest-earning deposits and other          | 194   | 2,884        | 3,078        | (27                       | ) (538     | ) (565      |
| Total interest-earning assets                | \$(20,198   | ) \$(130,085 | ) \$(150,283 | ) \$(47,544               | ) \$63,105 | \$15,561    |
| <b>Interest-Bearing Liabilities</b>          |   |              |              |                           |            |             |
| Demand deposits                              | \$(269  | ) \$88       | \$(181       | ) \$(254                  | ) \$(115   | ) \$(369    |
| Savings deposits                             | (2,357  | ) 6,453      | 4,096        | (1,467                    | ) 4,343    | 2,876       |
| Money market deposits                        | (789  | ) (619       | ) (1,408     | ) (989                    | ) (684     | ) (1,673    |
| Certificate of deposits                      | (6,582  | ) (13,477    | ) (20,059    | ) (17,069                 | ) 2,944    | (14,125     |
| Total retail deposits                        | (9,997  | ) (7,555     | ) (17,552    | ) (19,779                 | ) 6,488    | (13,291     |
| Demand deposits                              | (51   | ) 1          | (50          | ) (56                     | ) 98       | 42          |
| Savings deposits                             | (408  | ) (424       | ) (832       | ) (251                    | ) (857     | ) (1,108    |
| Certificate of deposits                      | (831  | ) (214       | ) (1,045     | ) 92                      | 601        | 693         |
| Total government deposits                    | (1,290  | ) (637       | ) (1,927     | ) (215                    | ) (158     | ) (373      |
| Wholesale deposits                           | 713   | (8,985       | ) (8,272     | ) 1,157                   | (12,896    | ) (11,739   |
| Total deposits                               | (10,574   | ) (17,177    | ) (27,751    | ) (18,837                 | ) (6,566   | ) (25,403   |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances              | 10,624  | (22,225      | ) (11,601    | ) (13,879                 | ) 2,541    | (11,338     |
| Other  | (320  | ) (31        | ) (351       | ) 445                     | (1         | ) 444       |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities           | \$(270  | ) \$(39,433  | ) \$(39,703  | ) \$(32,271               | ) \$(4,026 | ) \$(36,297 |
|  | \$(19,928   | ) \$(90,652  | ) \$(110,580 | ) \$(15,273               | ) \$67,131 | \$51,858    |

Change in net interest  
income

- (1) Consumer loans include residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other consumer loans.
- (2) Commercial loans include: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

71

---

### Provision for Loan Losses

The provision reflects our estimate to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level to cover probable losses inherent in the portfolio for each of the respective periods.

The provision for loan losses decreased for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the refinements to existing loss models adopted during the first quarter 2012. The decrease also reflects a release of reserves associated with the second and third quarter 2013 troubled debt restructure ("TDR") and nonperforming residential first mortgage loan sales, overall lower net charge-offs, and continued refinements of the allowance for loan losses methodology throughout 2013.

Net charge-offs for year ended December 31, 2013 totaled \$168.1 million, compared to \$289.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the write-down of specific valuation allowances as a result of the refinements to existing loss models adopted during the first quarter 2012 and overall lower net charge-offs during the year ended December 31, 2013 due to improvement in credit quality.

As a percentage of the average loans held-for-investment, net charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased to 4.00 percent from 4.43 percent for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2.14 percent for the year ended December 31, 2011. The allowance for loan losses decreased at December 31, 2013, as compared to December 31, 2012 primarily due to lower net charge-offs along with ongoing refinements of the allowance for loan loss methodology to more accurately reflect the risk inherent in the portfolio.

The provision for loan losses increased for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to approximately \$73.3 million related to the refinements to existing loss models adopted during the first quarter 2012. The increase also reflected heightened loan modification activity in the consumer loan portfolio, due to the implementation of the OCC guidance on junior liens and bankruptcies. These increases were partially offset by a net decrease in the commercial provision for loan losses during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to substantial run-off of the legacy commercial real estate loan portfolio.

See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for loan losses.

### Noninterest Income

The following table sets forth the components of our noninterest income.

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |            |           |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011      |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |           |
| Loan fees and charges                                       | \$103,501                        | \$142,908  | \$77,843  |
| Deposit fees and charges                                    | 20,942                           | 20,370     | 29,629    |
| Loan administration   | 115,872                          | 100,007    | 94,604    |
| Net gain (loss) on trading securities                       | 65                               | (2,011)    | ) 21,088  |
| Net gain on loan sales                                      | 402,193                          | 990,898    | 300,789   |
| Net transaction costs on sales of mortgage servicing rights | (19,228)                         | ) (12,319) | ) (7,903) |
| Net gain on securities available-for-sale                   | 1,023                            | 2,636      | —         |
| Net gain on sale of assets                                  | 2,172                            | —          | 22,676    |
| Total other-than-temporary (impairment) gain (loss)         | (8,789)                          | ) 2,810    | (30,456)  |
|   | —                                | (5,002)    | ) 6,417   |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

(Loss) gain recognized in other comprehensive income before taxes

|  |           |             |            |   |
|--|-----------|-------------|------------|---|
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings             | (8,789    | ) (2,192    | ) (24,039  | ) |
| Representation and warranty reserve — change in estimate | (36,116   | ) (256,289  | ) (150,055 | ) |
| Other noninterest income                                 | 70,708    | 37,234      | 20,884     |   |
| Total noninterest income                                 | \$652,343 | \$1,021,242 | \$385,516  |   |

72

---

Total noninterest income decreased \$368.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 from the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease during the year ended December 31, 2013, was primarily due to a decrease in net gain on loan sales, partially offset by a decrease in representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate. Factors affecting the comparability of the primary components of noninterest income are discussed in the following paragraphs.

**Loan fees and charges.** Our Mortgage Banking and Community Banking segments both earn loan origination fees and collect other charges in connection with originating residential first mortgages, commercial loans and other consumer loans. For the year ended December 31, 2013 loan fees and charges decreased to \$103.5 million, as compared to \$142.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$77.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in loan fees and charges during the year ended December 31, 2013, is primarily due to a decrease in consumer loan originations to \$37.5 billion, as compared to \$53.6 billion during the year ended December 31, 2012 and to \$26.6 billion during the year ended December 31, 2011. Commercial loan origination fees are capitalized and added as an adjustment to the basis of the individual loans originated. These fees are accreted into income as an adjustment to the loan yield over the life of the loan or when the loan is sold. We account for substantially all residential first mortgage originations as held-for-sale using the fair value method and no longer apply deferral of non-refundable fees and costs to those loans.

**Deposit fees and charges.** Our Community Banking segment collects deposit fees and other charges such as fees for non-sufficient funds checks, cashier check fees, ATM fees, overdraft protection and other account fees for services we provide to our banking customers. Our total number of customer checking accounts increased 2.6 percent from approximately 108,436 at December 31, 2012 to 111,230 as of December 31, 2013.

**Loan administration.** When our Mortgage Banking segment sells mortgage loans in the secondary market, it usually retains the right to continue to service these loans and earn a servicing fee, also referred to herein as loan administration income. Our mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") are accounted for utilizing the fair value method with changes in fair value recorded as a component of loan administration income.

The following table summarizes net loan administration income.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |            |            |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011       |
|  | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |            |
| Income on residential first mortgage servicing   |                                  |            |            |
| Servicing fees, ancillary income and charges (1) | \$ 189,003                       | \$ 209,615 | \$ 170,096 |
| Subservicing fees, ancillary income and charges  | 1,306                            | —          | —          |
| Fair value adjustments                           | (4,664                           | ) (195,821 | ) (235,820 |
| (Loss) gain on hedging activity                  | (70,160                          | ) 86,213   | 160,328    |
| Total net loan administration income             | \$ 115,485                       | \$ 100,007 | \$ 94,604  |

(1)Includes the servicing fees, ancillary income and charges on other consumer mortgage servicing.

The increase in loan administration income during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was primarily due to a lower pace of decline in the fair value adjustments to our MSRs, partially offset by losses incurred in our MSR hedging activity and a decline in activity due to a decrease in mortgage loan originations. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we sold servicing rights on a bulk basis associated with underlying mortgage loans totaling \$74.9 billion and \$1.8 billion on a flow basis, as compared to \$17.4 billion on a bulk basis during the year ended December 31, 2012. The total unpaid principal balance of loans serviced for others at December 31, 2013 was \$25.7 billion, as compared to \$76.8 billion at December 31, 2012 and \$63.8 billion at December 31, 2011, which decreased primarily due to the sale of the MSR portfolio completed in the fourth quarter 2013.

On December 18, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell \$40.7 billion unpaid principal balance of our MSR portfolio to Matrix Financial Services Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Two Harbors Investment Corp. Covered under the agreement are certain mortgage loans serviced for both Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, originated primarily after 2010. Simultaneously, we entered into an agreement with Matrix to subservice the residential mortgage loans covered under the agreement to sell. See Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

Gain (loss) on trading securities. Securities classified as trading are comprised of U.S. Treasury bonds and U.S. government sponsored agency securities. U.S. Treasury bonds held in trading are distinguished from available-for-sale based



upon the intent of management to use them as an economic hedge against changes in the valuation of the MSR portfolio. However, these do not qualify as an economic hedge as defined in current accounting guidance for derivatives and hedges.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a loss of \$0.1 million on U.S. Treasury bonds, of which \$0.1 million was related to an unrealized loss on U.S. Treasury bonds and \$0.2 million was related to a realized gain on the sale of U.S. Treasury bonds during the year ended December 31, 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded a loss of \$2.0 million on U.S. Treasury bonds, of which \$21.5 million was related to an unrealized loss on U.S. Treasury bonds and \$19.5 million was related to a realized gain on the sale of U.S. Treasury bonds during the year ended December 31, 2012. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded a gain of \$21.1 million all of which was related to an unrealized gain on U.S. Treasury bonds held at December 31, 2011. The increase in the gain (loss) on trading securities was due to an increase in the unrealized market valuation of U.S. Treasury bonds during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Net gain on loan sales. Our Mortgage Banking segment records the transaction fee income it generates from the origination of residential first mortgage loans. The amount of net gain on loan sales recognized is a function of the volume of mortgage loans originated for sale and the fair value of these loans, net of related selling expenses. Net gain on loan sales is increased or decreased by any mark to market pricing adjustments on loan commitments and forward sales commitments, increases to the representation and warranty reserve related to loans sold during the period, and related administrative expenses. The volatility in the gain on sale spread is attributable to market pricing, which changes with demand and the general level of interest rates. Historically, pricing competition on mortgage loans is lower in periods of low or decreasing interest rates, due to higher consumer demand usually evidenced by higher loan origination levels, resulting in higher spreads on origination. Conversely, pricing competition increases when interest rates rise, which generally reduces consumer demand, thus decreasing spreads on origination and compressing gain on sale. Increases or decreases in competition may also arise as competitors enter and/or leave the loan origination market.

The following table provides information on our net gain on loan sales reported in our consolidated financial statements and loans sold within the period.

|   | 2013                   |                |               |                |              | Year to Date |
|---|------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
|   | First Quarter          | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |              |              |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                |               |                |              |              |
| Net gain on loan sales  | \$137,540              | \$144,791      | \$75,073      | \$44,790       | \$402,193    |              |
| Mortgage rate lock commitments (gross)                                  | 12,142,000             | 12,353,000     | 8,340,000     | 6,481,782      | 39,316,782   |              |
| Loans sold and securitized  | 12,822,879             | 11,123,821     | 8,344,737     | 6,783,212      | 39,074,649   |              |
| Net margin on loan sales  | 1.07                   | % 1.30         | % 0.90        | % 0.66         | % 1.03       | %            |
| Mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted)                       | \$9,848,417            | \$9,837,573    | \$6,605,432   | \$5,298,728    | \$31,590,150 |              |
| (1) Net margin on mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted) (1) | 1.40                   | % 1.47         | % 1.14        | % 0.85         | % 1.27       | %            |

(1) Fallout adjusted are mortgage rate lock commitments which are adjusted by a percentage of mortgage loans in the pipeline that are not expected to close based on previous historical experience and the level of interest rates.



|   | 2012                   |                   |                  |                   |              |   |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|---|
|   | First<br>Quarter       | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter | Year to Date |   |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                   |                  |                   |              |   |
| Net gain on loan sales  | \$204,853              | \$212,666         | \$334,426        | \$238,953         | \$990,898    |   |
| Mortgage rate lock commitments (gross)                              | 14,867,000             | 17,534,000        | 18,089,000       | 16,242,000        | 66,732,000   |   |
| Loans sold and securitized  | 10,829,798             | 12,777,311        | 13,876,627       | 15,610,590        | 53,094,326   |   |
| Net margin on loan sales  | 1.89                   | % 1.66            | % 2.42           | % 1.53            | % 1.87       | % |
| Mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted) (1)               | \$10,725,618           | \$13,346,568      | \$13,972,922     | \$12,587,980      | \$50,633,088 |   |
| Net margin on mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted) (1) | 1.91                   | % 1.59            | % 2.39           | % 1.90            | % 1.96       | % |

(1) Fallout adjusted are mortgage rate lock commitments which are adjusted by a percentage of mortgage loans in the pipeline that are not expected to close based on previous historical experience and the level of interest rates.

|   | 2011                   |                   |                  |                   |              |   |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|---|
|   | First<br>Quarter       | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter | Year to Date |   |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                   |                  |                   |              |   |
| Net gain on loan sales  | \$50,185               | \$39,827          | \$103,858        | \$106,919         | \$300,789    |   |
| Mortgage rate lock commitments (gross)                              | 6,441,000              | 5,515,000         | 13,095,000       | 11,230,000        | 36,281,000   |   |
| Loans sold and securitized  | 5,829,508              | 4,362,518         | 6,782,795        | 10,476,543        | 27,451,362   |   |
| Net margin on loan sales  | 0.86                   | % 0.91            | % 1.53           | % 1.02            | % 1.10       | % |
| Mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted) (1)               | \$4,576,047            | \$4,972,501       | \$9,781,418      | \$8,141,820       | \$27,471,786 |   |
| Net margin on mortgage rate lock commitments (fallout adjusted) (1) | 1.10                   | % 0.80            | % 1.06           | % 1.31            | % 1.09       | % |

(1) Fallout adjusted are mortgage rate lock commitments which are adjusted by a percentage of mortgage loans in the pipeline that are not expected to close based on previous historical experience and the level of interest rates.

Net gain on loan sales decreased for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 and increased from the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to a lower volume of mortgage rate lock commitments and a lower gain on sale margin, reflecting lower base production margin, as well as higher hedging costs, loan level pricing adjustments and the impact from guarantee fee changes from the Agencies. Loan sales decreased to \$39.1 billion in loans during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$53.1 billion sold in the year ended December 31, 2012 and increased from \$27.5 billion sold during the year ended December 31, 2011. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the mortgage rate lock commitments decreased to \$39.3 billion, compared to \$66.7 billion in the year ended December 31, 2012 and increased from \$36.3 billion during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The net gain on loan sale includes changes in amounts related to derivatives, lower of cost or market adjustments on loans transferred to held-for-investment and provisions to representation and warranty reserve. Changes in amounts related to loan commitments and forward sales commitments amounted to a loss of \$42.0 million for the year ended

December 31, 2013, as compared to a gain of \$44.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and a loss of \$22.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The provision for representation and warranty reserve included in net gain on loan sales reflects our initial estimate of losses on probable mortgage repurchases arising from current loan sales and amounted to \$17.6 million, \$24.4 million and \$9.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net transaction costs on sales of mortgage servicing rights. As part of our business model, our Mortgage Banking segment occasionally sells MSR in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying loans. Although recently in response to evolving regulatory views and capital requirements associated with MSRs, we have begun to sell large portfolios of our MSRs. We carry our MSRs at fair value. Our income or loss on changes in the valuation of MSRs is recorded through our loan

administration income. The gain or loss recognized is the transaction costs and the reserves on the sales completed during the period or adjustments to transaction costs or reserves from prior sales.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded costs on sales of MSRs of \$19.2 million, compared to \$12.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we sold servicing rights on a bulk basis associated with \$74.9 billion of underlying mortgage loans (including the \$40.7 billion sold to Matrix with subservicing retained) and \$0.3 billion on a servicing released basis (i.e., sold together with the sale of the underlying loans). During the year ended December 31, 2012, we sold servicing rights on a bulk basis associated with underlying mortgage loans totaling \$17.4 billion and on a servicing released basis totaling \$0.5 billion. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold servicing rights related to \$9.2 billion of loans serviced for others on a bulk basis and \$1.0 billion on a servicing released basis. We had \$1.8 billion of sales on a flow basis during the years ended December 31, 2013 and no sales on a flow basis during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net impairment loss recognized through earnings. We recognize OTTI related to credit losses through operations with any remainder recognized through other comprehensive income (loss) and a cumulative adjustment increasing retained earnings and other comprehensive income (loss) by the non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment. See Stockholder's Equity in Note 21 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized \$8.8 million of additional OTTI on the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was subsequently dissolved at June 30, 2013 as a result of the MBIA settlement agreement. The second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization were transferred into loans held-for-investment portfolio at June 30, 2013 also a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement and we recognized a tax benefit of \$6.1 million during the second quarter 2013 representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with the previously unrealized losses on the mortgage securitization recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). At December 31, 2013, the Company had no OTTI.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized \$2.2 million of OTTI on non-agency CMOs and the mortgage securitization, which were recognized on securities that had losses prior to December 31, 2012, primarily due to forecasted credit losses. At December 31, 2012, we had total OTTI of \$2.8 million on one mortgage securitization, with existing OTTI in the available-for-sale portfolio, of which \$5.0 million net gain was recognized in other comprehensive income (loss).

During the year ended December 31, 2011, there were \$24.0 million of credit losses recognized with respect to the non-agency CMOs, as the result of forecasted continued depreciation in home values which serve as collateral for these securities. At December 31, 2011, the cumulative amount of OTTI expense incurred due to credit losses on the CMOs totaled \$59.4 million. All OTTI due to credit losses were recognized as expense in current operations.

Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate. We maintain a representation and warranty reserve to account for the probable losses inherent in loans we might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The representation and warranty reserve takes into account both our estimate of probable losses inherent in loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments due to our change in estimate of probable losses from probable repurchase obligations related to loans sold in prior periods.

Estimating the balance of the representation and warranty reserve involves using assumptions regarding future repurchase request volumes, probable loss severity on these requests and claims appeal success rates. The assumptions used to estimate the representation and warranty reserve contain a level of uncertainty and risk that could have a material impact on the reserve balance if they differ from actual results. For instance, to illustrate the sensitivity of the reserve to adverse changes, if the expected levels of demands in the model assumptions increased or decreased by 20.0

percent at December 31, 2013, the result would be a \$6.0 million increase or decrease in the representation and warranty reserve balance. If our loss severity rate increased or decreased by 20.0 percent at December 31, 2013, the result would be a \$9.0 million increase or decrease in the representation and warranty reserve balance. In order to estimate the sensitivity of the representation and warranty reserve to a particular factor, the factors were varied within the model while keeping the other variables constant. For example, when estimating the impact to the representation and warranty reserve due to a change in expected levels of demands, the level of expected demands for each vintage within the model varied by the same percentage, holding other factors constant.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded an expense of \$36.1 million in our representation and warranty reserve due to our change in estimate of probable losses from probable repurchase obligations related to loans sold in prior periods, as compared to \$256.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$150.1 million recorded in the year

ended December 31, 2011. The decrease from the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to lower level of charge-offs and settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac during the fourth quarter 2013 which lowered our estimate of probable losses in the future.

During the fourth quarter 2013, we entered into settlement agreements with both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to resolve substantially all of the repurchase requests and obligations associated with loans originated between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2008. The settlement with Fannie Mae, reached on November 6, 2013, was for a total resolution amount of \$121.5 million and, after paid claim credits and other adjustments, we paid \$93.5 million. We settled with Freddie Mac on December 30, 2013 for a total resolution amount of \$10.8 million and, after paid claim credits and other adjustments, we paid \$8.9 million. As a result of these settlements, we released approximately \$24.9 million of previously accrued reserves.

Other noninterest income. Other noninterest income includes certain miscellaneous fees, including dividends received on Federal Home Loan Bank stock and our fair value adjustment relating to the loans held-for-investment carried under the fair value option.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, other noninterest income increased to \$70.7 million, compared to \$37.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$20.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, is primarily due to a \$36.8 million net fair value adjustment during the second quarter 2013 related to the Assured and MBIA settlement agreements. See Notes 4 and 28 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data herein, for further information on the fair value adjustment relating to the litigation settlements.

#### Noninterest Expense

The following table sets forth the components of our noninterest expense.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |           |           |   |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|
|  | 2013                             | 2012      | 2011      |   |
|  | (Dollars in thousands)           |           |           |   |
| Compensation and benefits                  | \$279,268                        | \$270,859 | \$224,708 |   |
| Commissions                                | 54,407                           | 75,345    | 39,348    |   |
| Occupancy and equipment                    | 80,042                           | 73,674    | 70,117    |   |
| Asset resolution                           | 52,033                           | 91,349    | 128,313   |   |
| Federal insurance premiums                 | 34,873                           | 49,273    | 41,581    |   |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt             | 177,556                          | 15,246    | —         |   |
| Loan processing expense                    | 52,223                           | 56,070    | 30,293    |   |
| Legal and professional expense             | 144,054                          | 300,523   | 65,534    |   |
| Other noninterest expense                  | 43,659                           | 57,356    | 34,786    |   |
| Total noninterest expense                  | \$918,115                        | \$989,695 | \$634,680 |   |
| Efficiency ratio (1) (2)                   | 109.4                            | % 75.1    | % 100.6   | % |
| Efficiency ratio (credit-adjusted) (2) (3) | 99.0                             | % 57.0    | % 64.8    | % |

(1) Total operating and administrative expenses divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.

Ratios include \$177.6 million and \$61.0 million related to the prepayment of FHLB advances and the additional (2) accrual for the DOJ litigation, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2013, excluding this expense the efficiency ratio would have been 81.0 percent for the year ended December 31, 2013.

(3) Based on efficiency ratios as calculated, less representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate and asset resolution expense, see "Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

The 7.2 percent decrease in noninterest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to decreases in legal and professional fees, asset resolution expense, commissions, federal insurance premium expense. The decrease in noninterest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was partially offset by an increase in loss on extinguishment of debt.

Compensation and benefits. The \$8.4 million increase in compensation and benefits expense for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 is primarily due to having an higher number of non-commissioned salaried employees during the first three quarters of the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the same



time period for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase is offset in part by decreases in incentive pay related to underwriting, production and a decrease in overtime compensation resulting from a decline in mortgage activity and an overall reduction in headcount and contract employees at December 31, 2013. This is consistent with our ongoing efforts to optimize our cost structure and manage expenses more in line with our current business model and operating requirements. Our full-time equivalent non-commissioned salaried employees decreased overall by 434 from December 31, 2012 to a total of 2,894 at December 31, 2013.

Commissions. Commission expense, which is a variable cost associated with residential first mortgage loan originations, totaled \$54.4 million, equal to 14 basis points, of total residential first mortgage loan originations during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$75.3 million, equal to 14 basis points, of total residential first mortgage loan originations in the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$39.3 million, equal to 15 basis points, in the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in commissions during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to the decrease in residential first mortgage loan originations for the year ended December 31, 2013. Residential first mortgage loan originations decreased to \$37.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$54.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012 and increased from \$27.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Asset resolution. Asset resolution expenses consist of costs associated with foreclosed properties (including the foreclosure claims in process with respect to government insured loans for which we file claims with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development) and other disposition and carrying costs, loss provisions, and gains and losses on the sale of real estate owned properties that we have obtained through foreclosure or other proceedings.

For the year ended December 31, 2013 asset resolution expenses decreased to \$52.0 million, as compared to \$91.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$128.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to the gain on sale of real estate owned, which resulted in an expense reduction of \$11.3 million from residential real estate owned and \$14.6 million from commercial real estate owned. There was also a \$27.7 million reduction in interest expense related to repurchases for government insured and non-government insured loans along with a \$15.7 million reduction in agency compensatory fees during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Federal insurance premiums. Our FDIC insurance expense decreased for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The decrease during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to a lower assessment rate. Our assessment rate reflected improvement in risk assessment values related to balance sheet liquidity and lower underperforming assets, and a decrease in our average total assets used in the calculation of our assessment base. The increase in FDIC insurance expense for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the year ending December 31, 2012, was largely due to the higher average reported deposits in the calculation of our assessment base and the higher average of net consolidated total assets.

Loss on extinguishment of debt. The \$177.6 million loss on extinguishment of debt for the year ended December 31, 2013 is related to the prepayment of \$2.9 billion of certain long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances. The \$15.2 million loss on extinguishment of debt for year ended December 31, 2012, is related to the early retirement of \$500.0 million of Federal Home Loan Bank advances.

Loan processing expense. Loan processing expense decreased to \$52.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$56.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$30.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2011. This reflects decreases in residential first mortgage loan origination volume, contract underwriting expenses and costs related to the transfer of loans due to servicing sales, partially offset by an increase in contracted default servicing costs. During the year ended December 31, 2013, total mortgage loan originations were

\$37.5 billion, as compared to \$53.6 billion during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$26.6 billion during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Legal and professional expense. Legal and professional expense decreased to \$144.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to a \$236.6 million decrease in legal settlement reserve for pending and threatened litigation, related to the Assured and MBIA litigations, partially offset by a \$73.0 million increase related to the fair value liability arising from the DOJ litigation. The increase in the fair value liability related to the DOJ litigation was triggered by various business and economic events including, the reversal of the valuation allowance on the DTA and other items affecting the timing of the expected cash flows. This resulted in an increase of the fair value liability associated with its DOJ Settlement by an additional \$64.5 million in the fourth quarter 2013. At December 31, 2013, the total fair value of the liability was \$93.0 million.

Other noninterest expense. Other noninterest expenses decreased during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Included in other noninterest expense is advertising expense, which decreased for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$ 8.9 million, as compared to \$11.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in advertising expense during the year ended December 31, 2012, reflected the promotion of expanding our market presence in Michigan and our banking promotion as a Michigan headquartered bank.

#### Efficiency Ratio

The efficiency ratio generally measures how effective the company is operating, measured by dividing noninterest expense by total revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income). Given the significant amount of credit-related costs that flow through our noninterest expense and noninterest income, we present our efficiency ratio on a credit adjusted basis as well. Our efficiency ratio increased to 109.4 percent during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 75.1 percent during the year ended December 31, 2012 and 100.6 percent during the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in our efficiency ratio for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to year ended December 31, 2012 was driven primarily by a decline in noninterest income and net interest income, resulting from a decrease in mortgage banking activity, the loss on extinguishment of debt as a result of the prepayment of certain long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances and the increase in the fair value liability associated with the DOJ Settlement.

#### Provision (Benefit) for Federal Income Taxes

For the year ended December 31, 2013, our effective tax rate was a benefit of 278.9 percent, as compared to a benefit of 29.7 percent and a provision of 0.6 percent for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the change in our valuation allowance for net deferred taxes, as well as the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with previously unrealized losses on securities recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) had the most significant impacts on the difference between our statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 35 percent and our effective tax rate.

At December 31, 2013, our deferred tax assets were primarily attributable to U.S. net operating loss carryforwards. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a valuation allowance release of \$355.8 million (\$341.9 million of federal deferred tax asset) on the basis of management's reassessment of the amount of its deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized.

The following table below provides the balance of our deferred tax asset valuation allowance and the associated activity.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |           |           |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012      | 2011      |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance   | (Dollars in thousands)           |           |           |
| Balance, beginning of year   | \$379,149                        | \$418,393 | \$362,786 |
| Charged to costs and expenses - net operating losses and other temporary differences | (348,177                         | ) (19,364 | ) 55,607  |
| Charged to other accounts - other comprehensive income tax benefit                   | (6,108                           | ) (19,880 | ) —       |
| Balance, end of year   | \$24,864                         | \$379,149 | \$418,393 |

We regularly evaluate the need for deferred tax asset valuation allowances based on a more likely than not standard as defined by the generally accepted accounting principles. The ability to realize deferred tax assets depends on the ability to generate sufficient taxable income within the carryback or carryforward periods provided for in the tax law

for each applicable tax jurisdiction. We consider the following possible sources of taxable income when assessing the realization of deferred tax assets:

- future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences;
- future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;
- taxable income in prior carryback years; and
- tax planning strategies.

The assessment regarding whether a valuation allowance is required or should be adjusted also considers all available positive and negative evidence factors, including but not limited to:

- nature, frequency, and severity of recent losses;
- duration of statutory carryforward periods;
- historical experience with tax attributes expiring unused; and
- near- and medium-term financial outlook.

As indicated by applicable accounting standards, it is inherently difficult to conclude a valuation allowance is not required when there is significant objective and verifiable negative evidence, such as cumulative losses in recent years. We utilize a rolling three years of actual and current year anticipated results as the primary measure of cumulative losses.

The evaluation of deferred tax assets requires judgment in assessing the likely future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns and future profitability. Our accounting for deferred taxes represents our best estimate of those future events. Changes in our current estimates, due to unanticipated events or otherwise, could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Over the past year, culminating in the fourth quarter 2013, we have taken significant actions to transform our business and reduce uncertainty. These actions included the following:

- (1) the retirement of higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances;
- (2) the related loss on extinguishment of debt as a result of the prepayment;
- (3) the payment of litigation settlement costs incurred in connection with Assured and MBIA litigation settlements;
- (4) the sale of mortgage servicing rights while retaining the subservicing; and
- (5) the settlements reached with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

When evaluating whether we have overcome the significant negative evidence attributable to actual cumulative losses in recent years, we adjusted those losses for items that we believed are not indicative of our ability to generate taxable income in future years. We reflect adjusted cumulative income after applying those items that are not indicative of our ability to generate taxable income in future years. We consider this objectively verifiable evidence that our earnings model is capable of generating future taxable income sufficient to utilize substantially all of the net operating loss carryforwards as of December 31, 2013. We believe that this evidence is sufficient to overcome the unadjusted cumulative losses in recent years.

Other positive evidence considered in connection with our decision to release our federal valuation allowance include our historic ability to utilize deferred tax assets before they expire, as well as our detailed forecasts projecting the complete realization of all federal deferred tax assets before expiration under our most conservative and stressed earnings scenarios. In order to realize the deferred tax assets, we need to generate approximately \$1.1 billion of pre-tax income over the next 20 years. We believe that it is more likely than not that this level of pre-tax income will be achievable even under stressed scenarios.

We also considered actions taken during the year ended December 31, 2013, which create more certainty regarding our future taxable income including settlements reached with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, MBIA and Assured litigation settlements, prepayment of higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances and the sale of mortgage servicing rights while retaining the subservicing. Other positive evidence considered in connection with our decision to release the federal valuation allowance include our forecasts of taxable earnings projecting a complete realization of all federal deferred tax assets before they expire, including under stressed forecast scenarios. The unprecedented mortgage market conditions have been managed by us to minimize the impact should similar volatility

recur in the future through cost containment, employee reductions, etc. which give further support to the reliability of forecasted taxable earnings.

Upon considering all of the available positive and negative evidence, and the extent to which that evidence was objectively verifiable, we determined that the positive evidence outweighed the negative evidence and the deferred tax assets are more-likely-than-not realizable, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013. As a result, the valuation allowance has been reversed in the amount of \$355.8 million, or \$6.29 per diluted share, during the year ended December 31, 2013.

We had a total state deferred tax asset before valuation allowance of \$34.0 million and total state net operating loss carryforwards of \$589.2 million at December 31, 2013. In connection with our ongoing assessment of deferred taxes, we analyzed each state net operating loss separately and determined the amount of such net operating losses, which are expected to expire unused and recorded a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax asset for state net operating losses to the amount

which is more-likely-than-not to be realized. At December 31, 2013, the state deferred tax assets, which will more-likely-than-not-be realized, was \$9.2 million and have maintained a valuation allowance of \$24.8 million.

We will continue to regularly assess the realizability of our deferred tax assets. Changes in earnings performance and future earnings projections, among other factors, may cause us to adjust our valuation allowance, which will impact our income tax expense in the period we determine that these factors have changed.

During the second quarter 2013, as a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-2 mortgage securitization, recorded as an available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and we transferred the second mortgage loans in that trust to our loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value. We also recorded \$6.1 million of tax benefit to recognize the residual tax effect associated with previously unrealized losses on this security.

See Note 25 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

81

---

## OPERATING SEGMENTS

### Overview

For detail on each segment's objectives, strategies, and priorities, please read this section in conjunction with Item 1: Business section and with Note 29 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein, and other sections for a full understanding of our consolidated financial performance.

Our three operating segments are organized in a structure that is a combination of the business model and the services that provide a competitive advantage, which supports our revenue and earnings. The business model emphasizes the delivery of a complete set of mortgage and banking products and services, and is distinguished by local delivery, customer service and product pricing. We have three major operating segments: Mortgage Banking, Community Banking and Other. The Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services mortgage loans. The origination and acquisition of mortgage loans is the majority of the lending activity. Mortgage loans are originated through home loan centers, national call centers, the Internet, unaffiliated banks and mortgage brokerage companies. The net interest income and the gains from sales associated with these loans are recognized in the Mortgage Banking segment. Also, the Mortgage Banking segment services mortgage loans for others and sells MSRs into the secondary market. The Community Banking segment also originates loans and collects deposits from consumer and business customers through the Commercial, Business, Government and Branch Banking groups. Products offered through these groups include checking accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts, certificates of deposit, investment and insurance services, consumer loans and commercial loans. Other financial services available to consumer and commercial customers include lines of credit, revolving credit, customized treasury management solutions, equipment leasing, inventory and accounts receivable lending and capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products. The Other segment includes corporate treasury, income and expense impact of equity and cash, the effect of eliminations of transactions between segments, tax benefits not assigned to specific operating segments, the impact of interest rate risk management, the impact of balance sheet funding activities, charges or credits of unusual or infrequent nature that are not reflective of the normal operations of the operating segments and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature. Each operating segment supports and complements the operations of the other, with funding for the Mortgage Banking segment primarily provided by deposits obtained through Community Banking and with the Community Banking segment providing warehouse lines of credit to mortgage originators, most of which sell loans to the Mortgage Banking segment.

The operating segment results are generated utilizing our management reporting system, which assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each of the operating segments. The process is designed around our organizational and management structure and, accordingly, the results derived may not be directly comparable with similar information published by other financial institutions. Revenue is recorded in the operating segment responsible for the related product or service.

The management accounting process that develops the operating segment reporting utilizes various estimates and allocation methodologies to measure the performance of the operating segments. Expenses are allocated to operating segments using a two-phase approach. The first phase consists of measuring and assigning costs to activities within each operating area to create a driver-based cost. These driver-based costs are then allocated, with the resulting amount allocated to operating segments that own the related products. The second phase consists of the allocation of overhead costs to all three operating segments from the Other segment.

The net income (loss) by operating segment is presented in the following table.

| Year Ended December 31, |      |      |
|-------------------------|------|------|
| 2013                    | 2012 | 2011 |
| (Dollars in thousands)  |      |      |



Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|                         |           |           |             |   |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---|
| Mortgage Banking        | \$131,296 | \$183,627 | \$(31,335)  | ) |
| Community Banking       | (44,976)  | ) (47,333 | ) (100,869  | ) |
| Other                   | 180,667   | (67,918   | ) (49,574   | ) |
| Total net income (loss) | \$266,987 | \$68,376  | \$(181,778) | ) |

82

---

The selected average balances by operating segment are presented in the following table.

|                                   | Year Ended December 31, |             |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                   | 2013                    | 2012        | 2011        |
|                                   | (Dollars in thousands)  |             |             |
| Average loans held-for-sale       |                         |             |             |
| Mortgage Banking                  | \$2,334,157             | \$3,076,155 | \$1,928,339 |
| Community Banking                 | 164,736                 | 2,535       | —           |
| Average loans held-for-investment |                         |             |             |
| Mortgage Banking                  | \$3,046,123             | \$3,560,560 | \$4,158,032 |
| Community Banking                 | 1,195,993               | 2,951,143   | 2,031,748   |
| Other                             | 86,061                  | 8,357       | 13,913      |
| Average total assets              |                         |             |             |
| Mortgage Banking                  | \$7,882,592             | \$9,616,825 | \$8,953,593 |
| Community Banking                 | 1,602,612               | 3,076,297   | 2,194,841   |
| Other                             | 3,069,712               | 2,033,648   | 2,200,160   |
| Average interest-bearing deposits |                         |             |             |
| Community Banking                 | \$6,168,679             | \$6,606,247 | \$6,109,708 |
| Other                             | 7,185                   | 233,083     | 551,696     |

#### Mortgage Banking

Our Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services one-to-four family residential first mortgage loans. The Mortgage Banking segment also services and subservices mortgage loans on a fee basis for others and sells MSR's into the secondary market. Funding for our Mortgage Banking segment is provided primarily by deposits and borrowings obtained by our Community Banking segment.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |             |             |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012        | 2011        |
|  | (Dollars in thousands)           |             |             |
| Net interest income                                      | \$158,232                        | \$195,312   | \$125,821   |
| Provision for loan losses                                | (50,894)                         | (236,039)   | (114,610)   |
| Net gain on loan sales                                   | 401,736                          | 990,175     | 300,268     |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | (36,116)                         | (256,289)   | (150,055)   |
| Other noninterest income                                 | 199,004                          | 225,835     | 186,708     |
| Asset resolution   | (55,701)                         | (84,363)    | (113,857)   |
| Other noninterest expense                                | (484,965)                        | (651,004)   | (265,610)   |
| Net income (loss)  | \$131,296                        | \$183,627   | \$(31,335)  |
| Average balances   |                                  |             |             |
| Total loans held-for-sale                                | \$2,334,157                      | \$3,076,155 | \$1,928,339 |
| Total loans held-for-investment                          | 3,046,123                        | 3,560,560   | 4,158,032   |
| Total assets   | 7,882,592                        | 9,616,825   | 8,953,593   |

The Mortgage Banking segment net income decreased \$52.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 and increased compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. This decrease during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to a decrease in net gain on loan sales from lower residential first mortgage originations, partially offset by a decrease in noninterest expense, representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate and provision for loan losses. The decreases in net gain on loan sales was primarily due to lower residential first mortgage rate lock commitments and a lower base gain on sale margin during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in the representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate during the

year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to lower level of charge-offs and settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The decrease in the provision for loan losses during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31,

2012, was primarily due to continued run-off of the portfolio, model enhancements and the release of reserves resulting from the sale of TDR and nonperforming loans.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, other noninterest income decreased to \$199.0 million, as compared to \$225.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and to \$186.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to lower fee income from lower residential first mortgage originations.

Net loan fees and charges, included in other noninterest income, decreased to \$96.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$131.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and to \$70.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to lower residential first mortgage originations.

Also included in other noninterest income is net servicing revenue, which is the combination of net loan administration income (including the off-balance sheet hedges of MSR's) and the gain (loss) on trading securities (i.e., the on-balance sheet hedges of MSR's), increased to \$115.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$97.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and to \$116.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to a lower pace of decline in fair value adjustments to our MSR's, partially offset by a decrease in hedge performance gain.

Other noninterest expense decreased to \$485.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$651.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and to \$265.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to the litigation settlements with Assured and MBIA, partially offset by the increase in the fair value liability associated with the DOJ Settlement.

#### Community Banking

Our Community Banking segment's two strategic responsibilities are providing a stable funding source for the Mortgage Banking segment and operating as a standalone, profitable line of business. The groups within the Community Banking segment originate consumer loans, commercial loans and warehouse loans, gather consumer, business and governmental deposits, offer investment and insurance services and offer liquidity management products. The liquidity management products include customized treasury management solutions, equipment and technology leasing, international services, capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products, foreign exchange hedging, and trading of securities.

|                                 | Year Ended December 31, |              |              |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                 | 2013                    | 2012         | 2011         |
|                                 | (Dollars in thousands)  |              |              |
| Net interest income             | \$ 108,391              | \$ 153,197   | \$ 125,368   |
| Provision for loan losses       | (19,248 )               | (40,008 )    | (62,321 )    |
| Noninterest income              | 38,709                  | 43,580       | 42,601       |
| Noninterest expense             | (172,828 )              | (204,102 )   | (206,517 )   |
| Net loss                        | \$(44,976 )             | \$(47,333 )  | \$(100,869 ) |
| Average balances                |                         |              |              |
| Total loans held-for-investment | \$ 1,195,993            | \$ 2,951,143 | \$ 2,031,748 |
| Total assets                    | 1,602,612               | 3,076,297    | 2,194,841    |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 6,168,679               | 6,606,247    | 6,109,708    |

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Community Banking segment reported a decrease in net loss, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The decrease in net loss during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, was primarily due to the \$20.8 million decrease in provision for loan losses, partially offset by a decrease in net interest income as a result of lower average commercial and warehouse loans due to a decrease in loan originations and the sale of commercial loans during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Noninterest income decreased for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, reflecting lower loan fees as a result of the decrease in mortgage loan originations associated with warehouse loans.

Noninterest expense decreased for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, reflecting a decrease in allocated corporate expenses.

Other

The Other segment includes the treasury, income and expense impact of equity and cash; the effect of eliminations of transactions between segments; tax benefits not assigned to specific operating segments; the funding revenue associated with stockholders' equity; the impact of interest rate risk management; the impact of balance sheet funding activities and changes of an unusual or infrequent nature that are not reflective of the normal operations of the operating segments and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature.

|                                      | For the Years Ended December 31, |              |              |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                      | 2013                             | 2012         | 2011         |
|                                      | (Dollars in thousands)           |              |              |
| Net interest expense                 | \$ (79,972 )                     | \$ (51,278 ) | \$ (5,816 )  |
| Noninterest income                   | 49,010                           | 17,941       | 5,994        |
| Noninterest expense                  | (204,621 )                       | (50,226 )    | (48,696 )    |
| Income (loss) before taxes           | (235,583 )                       | (83,563 )    | (48,518 )    |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes | 416,250                          | 15,645       | (1,056 )     |
| Net income (loss)                    | \$ 180,667                       | \$ (67,918 ) | \$ (49,574 ) |
| Average balances                     |                                  |              |              |
| Total assets                         | \$ 3,069,712                     | \$ 2,033,648 | \$ 2,200,160 |

Net interest income includes the impact of administering our investment securities portfolios and the net impact of derivatives used to hedge interest rate sensitivity. Noninterest income includes insurance income, miscellaneous fee income not allocated to other operating segments, such as bank owned life insurance income and any Treasury related items and trading asset gains or losses.

Noninterest expense includes certain corporate administrative and other miscellaneous expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2013, Other segment net income increased by \$248.6 million, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The increased net income was primarily due to the \$355.8 million reversal of the valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset, partially offset by a \$177.6 million loss on extinguishment of debt (included in noninterest expense) from the prepayment of \$2.9 billion in long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances during the year ended December 31, 2013.

## Analysis of Items on Statement of Financial Condition

## Assets

**Interest-earning deposits.** Interest-earning deposits, on which we earn a minimal interest rate, decreased \$690.1 million at December 31, 2013, compared to December 31, 2012, primarily the result of us utilizing the excess cash received from the Northeast-based commercial loans sales, the nonperforming and TDR loan sales and held-for-sale residential first mortgage loan sales, for the prepayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances.

**Trading securities.** At December 31, 2013 we held no trading securities and at December 31, 2012 there were \$170.1 million in trading securities. The decrease was due to the sale of \$170.1 million of U.S. Treasury bonds during the year ended December 31, 2013. The U.S. Treasury bonds were originally purchased to meet collateral pledging requirements. During the fourth quarter 2013, due to the increase in our cash and due from bank balances, reduced need for collateral, as well as, unattractive yields relative to alternatives the U.S. Treasury bonds were sold. See Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

**Investment securities available-for-sale.** Investment securities available-for-sale comprised of U.S. government sponsored agencies, mortgage securitization and municipal obligations, increased from \$184.4 million at December 31, 2012, to \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily due to the purchase of \$1.1 billion in U.S. government sponsored agencies and municipal obligations during the year ended December 31, 2013. The investment securities available-for-sale were purchased as part of our strategy to redeploy a portion of our cash into higher yielding, yet very liquid, investment alternatives. See Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

**Loans held-for-sale.** Essentially all of our mortgage loans produced are sold into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by securitizing the loans into securities. At December 31, 2013, we held loans held-for-sale of \$1.5 billion, which was a decrease of \$2.4 billion from \$3.9 billion held at December 31, 2012. The decrease in the balance of loans held-for-sale was primarily due to a decrease in mortgage loan originations, driven by an increase in interest rates and the first quarter 2013 loan sales related to the agreement to sell the Northeast commercial loans. For further information on loans held-for-sale, see Note 6 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

The following table sets forth the loans in our held-for-sale portfolio, by loan type, as of the December 31, for the past five years.

|   | December 31,           |             |             |             |             |
|---|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | 2013                   | 2012        | 2011        | 2010        | 2009        |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |             |             |             |             |
| Consumer loans                                    |                        |             |             |             |             |
| Residential first mortgage                        | \$1,480,418            | \$3,012,039 | \$1,800,885 | \$2,585,200 | \$1,970,104 |
| Commercial loans                                  |                        |             |             |             |             |
| Commercial real estate                            | —                      | 280,399     | —           | —           | —           |
| Commercial and industrial                         | —                      | 488,361     | —           | —           | —           |
| Commercial lease financing                        | —                      | 158,921     | —           | —           | —           |
| Total commercial loans                            | —                      | 927,681     | —           | —           | —           |
| Total consumer and commercial loans held-for-sale | \$1,480,418            | \$3,939,720 | \$1,800,885 | \$2,585,200 | \$1,970,104 |





The following table sets forth the activity in our portfolio of loans held-for-sale during the past five years.

#### LOANS HELD-FOR-SALE ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |               |               |               |               |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012          | 2011          | 2010          | 2009          |
|  | (Dollars in thousands)           |               |               |               |               |
| Balance, beginning of year                               | \$3,939,720                      | \$1,800,885   | \$2,585,200   | \$1,970,104   | \$1,484,680   |
| Loans originated, net                                    | 38,024,042                       | 56,140,093    | 28,217,645    | 29,130,634    | 33,546,834    |
| Loans sold servicing retained, net                       | (39,835,638 )                    | (54,602,099 ) | (27,334,530 ) | (25,585,190 ) | (30,844,798 ) |
| Loans sold servicing released, net                       | (1,510,026 )                     | (541,929 )    | (986,833 )    | (1,760,635 )  | (1,543,216 )  |
| Loan amortization/prepayments                            | 113,295                          | (15,691 )     | (751,568 )    | (1,578,909 )  | (760,925 )    |
| Loans transferred from (to) various loan portfolios, net | 749,025                          | 1,158,461     | 70,971        | 409,196       | 87,529        |
| Balance, end of year                                     | \$1,480,418                      | \$3,939,720   | \$1,800,885   | \$2,585,200   | \$1,970,104   |

Loans repurchased with government guarantees. Pursuant to Ginnie Mae servicing guidelines, we have the unilateral option to repurchase certain delinquent loans securitized in Ginnie Mae pools, if the loans meet defined criteria. As a result of this unilateral option, once the delinquency criteria have been met and regardless of whether the repurchase option has been exercised, we must treat the loans as having been repurchased and recognize the loans on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein, and also recognize a corresponding deemed liability for a similar amount. If the loans are actually repurchased, we eliminate the corresponding liability. At December 31, 2013, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.3 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees. The loans which we have not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$20.8 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale. At December 31, 2012, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.8 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees, and those loans which we had not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$72.4 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale. The balance of this portfolio has continued to decrease during the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease was primarily due to reductions in repurchases, normal pay-downs, re-sales and accelerated dispositions.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company participated in a HUD-coordinated market auction of loans repurchased with government guarantees, which resulted in the conveyance in an accelerated fashion of \$263.4 million of unpaid principal balance of loans to HUD. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we participated in a HUD-coordinated market auction of loans repurchased with government guarantees, which resulted in the conveyance in an accelerated fashion of \$306.1 million of unpaid principal balance of loans at par value to HUD. As a result, we recognized a reduction in otherwise expected curtailments of debenture interest income previously provided for, resulting in a benefit of \$7.8 million that was applied against asset resolution expense during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Substantially all of these remaining loans continue to be insured or guaranteed by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") and management believes that the reimbursement process is proceeding appropriately. These repurchased loans earn interest at a statutory rate, which varies for each loan, but is based on the 10-year U.S. Treasury note rate at the time the loan becomes greater than 60 days delinquent. This interest is recorded as interest income and the related claims settlement expenses are recorded in asset resolution expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein. For further information on loans repurchased with government guarantees, see Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

Loans held-for-investment. Our largest category of earning assets consists of loans held-for-investment. Loans held-for-investment consist of residential first mortgage loans that are not held for resale (usually shorter duration and adjustable rate loans and second mortgages), warehouse loans to other mortgage lenders, HELOC, other consumer loans, commercial real estate loans, commercial and industrial loans and commercial lease financing loans. Loans held-for-investment decreased from \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2012, to \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2013, primarily due to decreases in warehouse, residential first mortgage and commercial real estate loan portfolio. Warehouse loans decreased to \$423.5 million at December 31, 2013 from \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2012, primarily due to the decrease in mortgage loan originations. Residential first mortgage loans decreased \$500.3 million to \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2013, primarily due to the decrease in mortgage loan originations and the sale of nonperforming and TDR loans. Commercial real estate loans decreased to \$408.9 million at December 31, 2013 from \$640.3 million at December 31, 2012, primarily due to the sale of the Northeast commercial loans and

payoffs and charge-offs. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in commercial and industrial loans to \$207.2 million at December 31, 2013 from \$90.6 million at December 31, 2012.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we sold \$277.9 million unpaid principal balance of residential first jumbo adjustable-rate mortgage loans, which resulted in a \$1.4 million gain. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we also sold \$508.4 million unpaid principal balance of nonperforming and TDR loans, which resulted in a \$1.4 million loss.

Loans held-for-investment includes \$238.3 million and \$20.2 million of loans value under the fair value option at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. At June 30, 2013, we recorded \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans and \$170.5 million of HELOC loans at fair value as a result of the settlement agreements with MBIA and Assured, respectively.

For information relating to the loans held-for-investment and concentration of credit of our loans held-for-investment, see Notes 8 and 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statement and Supplementary Data, herein.

The following table sets forth a breakdown of our loans held-for-investment portfolio at December 31, 2013.

#### LOANS HELD-FOR-INVESTMENT, BY RATE TYPE

|   | Fixed<br>Rate<br>(Dollars in thousands) | Adjustable<br>Rate | Total       |
|---|---|--------------------|-------------|
| Consumer loans  |   |                    |             |
| Residential first mortgage                              | \$892,482                               | \$1,616,486        | \$2,508,968 |
| Second mortgage   | 162,711                                 | 6,814              | 169,525     |
| Warehouse lending                                       | —                                       | 423,517            | 423,517     |
| HELOC   | —                                       | 289,880            | 289,880     |
| Other   | 37,442                                  | 26                 | 37,468      |
| Total consumer loans                                    | 1,092,635                               | 2,336,723          | 3,429,358   |
| Commercial loans  |   |                    |             |
| Commercial real estate                                  | 172,179                                 | 236,691            | 408,870     |
| Commercial and industrial                               | 11,788                                  | 195,399            | 207,187     |
| Commercial lease financing                              | 10,341                                  | —                  | 10,341      |
| Total commercial loans                                  | 194,308                                 | 432,090            | 626,398     |
| Total consumer and commercial loans held-for-investment | \$1,286,943                             | \$2,768,813        | \$4,055,756 |

The two tables below provide a comparison of the breakdown of loans held-for-investment and the detail for the activity in our loans held-for-investment portfolio for each of the past five years.

## LOANS HELD-FOR-INVESTMENT

|   | At December 31,        |             |             |             |             |
|---|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | 2013                   | 2012        | 2011        | 2010        | 2009        |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |             |             |             |             |
| Consumer loans  |                        |             |             |             |             |
| Residential first mortgage                              | \$2,508,968            | \$3,009,251 | \$3,749,821 | \$3,792,712 | \$5,007,636 |
| Second mortgage   | 169,525                | 114,885     | 138,912     | 174,789     | 221,626     |
| Warehouse lending                                       | 423,517                | 1,347,727   | 1,173,898   | 720,770     | 448,567     |
| HELOC   | 289,880                | 179,447     | 221,986     | 271,326     | 318,463     |
| Other   | 37,468                 | 49,611      | 67,613      | 86,710      | 105,379     |
| Total consumer loans                                    | 3,429,358              | 4,700,921   | 5,352,230   | 5,046,307   | 6,101,671   |
| Commercial loans  |                        |             |             |             |             |
| Commercial real estate                                  | 408,870                | 640,315     | 1,242,969   | 1,250,301   | 1,600,271   |
| Commercial and industrial                               | 207,187                | 90,565      | 328,879     | 8,875       | 12,366      |
| Commercial lease financing                              | 10,341                 | 6,300       | 114,509     | —           | —           |
| Total commercial loans                                  | 626,398                | 737,180     | 1,686,357   | 1,259,176   | 1,612,637   |
| Total consumer and commercial loans held-for-investment | 4,055,756              | 5,438,101   | 7,038,587   | 6,305,483   | 7,714,308   |
| Allowance for loan losses                               | (207,000 )             | (305,000 )  | (318,000 )  | (274,000 )  | (524,000 )  |
| Total loans held-for-investment, net                    | \$3,848,756            | \$5,133,101 | \$6,720,587 | \$6,031,483 | \$7,190,308 |

## LOANS HELD-FOR-INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |              |             |             |              |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012         | 2011        | 2010        | 2009         |
|  | (Dollars in thousands)           |              |             |             |              |
| Balance, beginning of year                         | \$5,438,101                      | \$7,038,587  | \$6,305,483 | \$7,714,308 | \$9,082,121  |
| Loans originated (1)                               | 868,288                          | 901,121      | 1,017,330   | 168,995     | 190,298      |
| Change in lines of credit                          | 379,526                          | 139,021      | 107,912     | (159,329 )  | 312,895      |
| Loans transferred from loans held-for-sale         | 82,714                           | 61,770       | 16,733      | 90,746      | 52,061       |
| Loans transferred to loans held-for-sale (2)(3)(4) | (831,739 )                       | (1,220,231 ) | (136,149 )  | (740,155 )  | (139,590 )   |
| Loan amortization / prepayments                    | (1,705,719 )                     | (1,112,900 ) | (61,203 )   | (212,046 )  | (1,141,385 ) |
| Loans transferred to repossessed assets            | (175,415 )                       | (369,267 )   | (211,519 )  | (557,036 )  | (642,092 )   |
| Balance, end of year                               | \$4,055,756                      | \$5,438,101  | \$7,038,587 | \$6,305,483 | \$7,714,308  |

During the year ended December 31, 2013, there were \$170.5 million of HELOC loans and \$73.3 million of (1) second mortgage loans that were reconsolidated at fair value as a result of the settlement agreements with Assured and MBIA.

(2) During the year ended December 31, 2010, loans transferred from various portfolios include \$578.2 million transferred to loans held-for-sale as part of the sale of nonperforming residential first mortgage loans in the year.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, loans transferred from held-for-investment to held-for-sale include (3) \$927.7 million of commercial loans related to the agreements to sell a substantial portion of Northeast-based commercial loans.

(4) During the year ended December 31, 2013, loans transferred from held-for-investment to held-for-sale include \$508.4 million unpaid principal balance of residential first mortgage nonperforming and TDR loans that were sold

and \$277.9 million unpaid principal balance of residential first jumbo adjustable-rate mortgage loans.

## Quality of Earning Assets

Management considers a number of qualitative and quantitative factors in assessing the level of its collectively evaluated reserves and individually evaluated reserves. See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" in this discussion. As illustrated in the tables following, trends in certain credit quality characteristics such as nonperforming loans and delinquency statistics have recently stabilized or even begun to show signs of improvement. This is predominantly a result of the run off of the legacy portfolios combined with the addition of new commercial loans with strong credit characteristics.

The following table sets forth certain information about our nonperforming assets as of the end of each of the last five years.

## NONPERFORMING LOANS AND ASSETS

|   | At December 31,        |           |           |           |             |   |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---|
|   | 2013                   | 2012      | 2011      | 2010      | 2009        |   |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |           |           |           |             |   |
| Nonperforming loans held-for-investment (1)                                       | \$98,976               | \$254,582 | \$291,782 | \$200,111 | \$800,920   |   |
| Nonperforming TDRs  | 25,808                 | 60,516    | 66,567    | 77,858    | 164,881     |   |
| Nonperforming TDRs at inception but performing for less than six months           | 20,901                 | 84,728    | 130,018   | 40,447    | 105,835     |   |
| Total nonperforming loans held-for-investment                                     | 145,685                | 399,826   | 488,367   | 318,416   | 1,071,636   |   |
| Repurchased nonperforming assets, net (1)   | —                      | —         | —         | 28,472    | 45,697      |   |
| Real estate and other repossessed assets, net                                     | 36,636                 | 120,732   | 114,715   | 151,085   | 176,968     |   |
| Nonperforming assets, net   | 182,321                | 520,558   | 603,082   | 497,973   | 1,294,301   |   |
| Nonperforming loans held-for-sale   | 771                    | 1,835     | 4,573     | 94,889    | —           |   |
| Total nonperforming assets including loans held-for-sale                          | \$183,092              | \$522,393 | \$607,655 | \$592,862 | \$1,294,301 |   |
| Ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets                                     | 1.95                   | % 3.70    | % 4.43    | % 4.35    | % 9.24      | % |
| Ratio of nonperforming loans held for investment to loans held-for-investment     | 3.59                   | % 7.35    | % 6.94    | % 5.05    | % 13.89     | % |
| Ratio of allowance to nonperforming loans held-for-investment (1)                 | 145.9                  | % 76.3    | % 65.1    | % 86.1    | % 48.9      | % |
| Ratio of allowance to loans held-for-investment (1)                               | 5.42                   | % 5.61    | % 4.52    | % 4.35    | % 6.79      | % |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans held-for-investment (1)(2)(3)           | 4.00                   | % 4.43    | % 2.14    | % 9.34    | % 4.20      | % |
| Ratio of nonperforming assets to loans held-for-investment and repossessed assets | 4.46                   | % 9.36    | % 8.43    | % 7.71    | % 16.40     | % |

(1) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

(2) At December 31, 2010, net charge-off to average loans held-for-investment ratio was 4.82 percent, excluding the loss recorded on the nonperforming loan sale.

(3) Includes charge-offs of \$65.1 million related to the sale of nonperforming loans and TDRs, during the year ended December 31, 2013, excluding the charge-offs related to these sales, the net net charge-off to average loans

held-for-investment ratio was 2.45 percent.

The following table sets forth the activity for unpaid principal balance (net of write downs), which does not include premiums or discounts, of nonperforming commercial loans, primarily commercial real estate and commercial and industrial loans.

|                                | For the Years Ended December 31, |            |            |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
|                                | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011       |
|                                | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |            |
| Beginning balance              | \$ 139,128                       | \$ 145,006 | \$ 253,934 |
| Additions                      | 120,655                          | 266,309    | 115,384    |
| Returned to performing         | —                                | (12,081)   | (28,931)   |
| Principal payments             | (96,992)                         | (75,765)   | (25,891)   |
| Sales                          | (101,951)                        | (63,404)   | (103,975)  |
| Charge-offs, net of recoveries | (39,075)                         | (108,585)  | (55,740)   |
| Valuation write-downs          | (8,825)                          | (12,352)   | (9,775)    |
| Ending balance                 | \$ 12,940                        | \$ 139,128 | \$ 145,006 |

#### Past due loans held-for-investment

Loans are considered to be past due when any payment of principal or interest is 30 days past due. While it is the goal of management to work out a satisfactory repayment schedule or modification with a past due borrower, we will undertake foreclosure proceedings if the delinquency is not satisfactorily resolved. Our practices regarding past due loans are designed to both assist borrowers in meeting their contractual obligations and minimize losses incurred by the Bank. We customarily mail several notices of past due payments to the borrower within 30 days after the due date and late charges are assessed in accordance with certain parameters. Our collection department makes telephone or personal contact with borrowers after loans are 30 days past due. In certain cases, we recommend that the borrower seek credit-counseling assistance and may grant forbearance if it is determined that the borrower is likely to correct a past due loan within a reasonable period of time. We cease the accrual of interest on loans that we classify as "nonperforming" once they become 90 days past due or earlier when concerns exist as to the ultimate collection of principal or interest. Such interest is recognized as income only when it is actually collected.

At December 31, 2013, we had \$207.4 million of loans held-for-investment that were determined to be past due loans. Of those past due loans, \$145.7 million of loans were nonperforming held-for-investment. At December 31, 2012, we had \$499.1 million of loans held-for-investment that were determined to be past due loans. Of those past due loans, \$399.8 million of loans were nonperforming held-for-investment. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we sold \$508.4 million of unpaid principal balance of nonperforming and TDR loans. The decrease from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 was primarily due to the second and third quarter 2013 nonperforming and TDR loan sales.

Consumer loans. As of December 31, 2013, nonperforming consumer loans totaled \$144.2 million, a decrease from \$313.4 million at December 31, 2012, primarily due to the second and third quarter 2013 nonperforming and TDR loan sales. Net charge-offs in consumer loans totaled \$129.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$193.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due the first quarter 2012 refinements relating to the charge-off of all specific valuation allowances.

Commercial loans. As of December 31, 2013, nonperforming commercial loans totaled \$1.5 million, a decrease from \$86.4 million at December 31, 2012, primarily driven by charge-offs, net run-off and transfers to repossessed assets. Nonperforming commercial loans as a percentage of total commercial loans, decreased to 0.2 percent in December 31, 2013 from 11.7 percent at December 31, 2012, primarily due to continued work-outs. Net charge-offs in commercial loans totaled \$39.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, which was a decrease from \$95.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to significant decline in balances from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 in nonperforming commercial legacy portfolio.



Troubled debt restructurings (held-for-investment)

Troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") are modified loans in which a concession not otherwise available is provided to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. Our ongoing loan modification efforts to assist homeowners and other borrowers

91

---

continued to increase our overall balance of TDRs. Nonperforming TDRs were 32.1 percent and 36.3 percent of total nonperforming loans at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

TDRs can be classified as either performing or nonperforming. Nonperforming TDRs are included in non-accrual loans and performing TDRs are excluded from non-accrual loans because it is probable that all contractual principal and interest due under the restructured terms will be collected. Within consumer nonperforming loans, residential first mortgage TDRs were 31.7 percent of residential first mortgage nonperforming loans at December 31, 2013, compared to 45.9 percent at December 31, 2012. The level of modifications that were determined to be TDRs in these portfolios is expected to result in elevated nonperforming loan levels for longer periods, because TDRs remain in nonperforming status until a borrower has made at least six consecutive months of payments under the modified terms, or ultimate resolution occurs. TDRs primarily reflect our loss mitigation efforts to proactively work with borrowers having difficulty making their payments. Although many of the TDRs continue to be performing, we have increased our allowance on TDRs, which also increased the allowance for loan losses.

|                      | TDRs                   |               |           |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
|                      | Performing             | Nonperforming | Total     |
|                      | (Dollars in thousands) |               |           |
| December 31, 2013    |                        |               |           |
| Consumer loans (1)   | \$382,529              | \$46,709      | \$429,238 |
| Commercial loans (2) | 456                    | —             | 456       |
| Total TDRs           | \$382,985              | \$46,709      | \$429,694 |
| December 31, 2012    |                        |               |           |
| Consumer loans (1)   | \$588,475              | \$143,188     | \$731,663 |
| Commercial loans (2) | 1,287                  | 2,056         | 3,343     |
| Total TDRs           | \$589,762              | \$145,244     | \$735,006 |

Consumer loans include: residential first mortgage, second mortgage, warehouse lending, HELOC and other (1)consumer loans. The allowance for loan losses on consumer TDR loans totaled \$82.3 million and \$159.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Commercial loans include: commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and commercial lease financing (2)loans. The allowance for loan losses on commercial TDR loans was zero and \$0.3 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table sets forth the activity during each of the years presented with respect to performing TDRs and nonperforming TDRs.

|                                 | TDRs                             |            |            |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
|                                 | For the Years Ended December 31, |            |            |
|                                 | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011       |
|                                 | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |            |
| Performing                      |                                  |            |            |
| Beginning balance               | \$589,762                        | \$517,175  | \$605,099  |
| Additions                       | 57,245                           | 115,924    | 115,685    |
| Transfer to nonperforming TDR   | (40,342                          | ) (111,230 | ) (56,212  |
| Transfer from nonperforming TDR | 43,419                           | 117,688    | 8,622      |
| Principal repayments            | (258,475                         | ) (23,463  | ) (2,403   |
| Reductions (1)                  | (8,624                           | ) (26,332  | ) (153,616 |
| Ending balance                  | \$382,985                        | \$589,762  | \$517,175  |
| Nonperforming                   |                                  |            |            |
| Beginning balance               | \$145,244                        | \$196,585  | \$124,535  |
| Additions                       | 48,018                           | 83,685     | 65,279     |
| Transfer to nonperforming TDR   | 40,342                           | 111,230    | 56,212     |
| Transfer from nonperforming TDR | (43,419                          | ) (117,688 | ) (8,622   |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|                      |          |           |           |   |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Principal repayments | (134,924 | ) (85,065 | ) (3,176  | ) |
| Reductions (1)       | (8,552   | ) (43,503 | ) (37,643 | ) |
| Ending balance       | \$46,709 | \$145,244 | \$196,585 |   |

(1) Includes loans paid in full or otherwise settled, sold or charged off.

The following table sets forth information regarding past due loans at the dates listed. At December 31, 2013, 91.6 percent of all past due loans were loans in which we had a first lien position on residential real estate, compared to 77.3 percent at December 31, 2012.

## PAST DUE LOANS HELD-FOR-INVESTMENT

| Days Past Due                       | December 31,           |           |           |           |             |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
|                                     | 2013                   | 2012      | 2011      | 2010      | 2009        |
|                                     | (Dollars in thousands) |           |           |           |             |
| 30 – 59 days                        |                        |           |           |           |             |
| Consumer loans                      |                        |           |           |           |             |
| Residential first mortgage (1)      | \$36,526               | \$62,445  | \$74,934  | \$96,768  | \$105,442   |
| Second mortgage (1)                 | 1,997                  | 1,171     | 1,887     | 3,587     | 4,386       |
| HELOC (1)                           | 2,197                  | 2,484     | 5,342     | 3,735     | 4,486       |
| Other                               | 293                    | 587       | 1,507     | 939       | 1,137       |
| Commercial loans                    |                        |           |           |           |             |
| Commercial real estate (1)          | —                      | 6,979     | 7,453     | 28,245    | 27,807      |
| Commercial and industrial           | —                      | —         | 11        | 175       | 242         |
| Total 30 – 59 days past due         | 41,013                 | 73,666    | 91,134    | 133,449   | 143,500     |
| 60 – 89 days                        |                        |           |           |           |             |
| Consumer loans                      |                        |           |           |           |             |
| Residential first mortgage (1)      | 19,096                 | 16,693    | 37,493    | 40,821    | 72,375      |
| Second mortgage (1)                 | 271                    | 727       | 1,527     | 1,968     | 4,164       |
| HELOC (1)                           | 1,238                  | 910       | 2,111     | 3,783     | 3,807       |
| Other                               | 127                    | 248       | 471       | 335       | 461         |
| Commercial loans                    |                        |           |           |           |             |
| Commercial real estate (1)          | —                      | 6,990     | 12,323    | 6,783     | 6,818       |
| Commercial and industrial           | —                      | —         | 62        | 55        | —           |
| Total 60 – 89 days past due         | 20,732                 | 25,568    | 53,987    | 53,745    | 87,625      |
| Greater than 90 days                |                        |           |           |           |             |
| Consumer loans                      |                        |           |           |           |             |
| Residential first mortgage (1)      | 134,340                | 306,486   | 372,514   | 122,924   | 663,654     |
| Second mortgage (1)                 | 2,820                  | 3,724     | 6,236     | 7,480     | 8,300       |
| Warehouse lending (1)               | —                      | —         | 28        | —         | —           |
| HELOC (1)                           | 6,826                  | 3,025     | 7,973     | 6,713     | 7,652       |
| Other                               | 199                    | 183       | 611       | 822       | 1,126       |
| Commercial loans                    |                        |           |           |           |             |
| Commercial real estate (1)          | 1,500                  | 86,367    | 99,335    | 175,559   | 385,687     |
| Commercial and industrial           | —                      | 41        | 1,670     | 4,918     | 4,666       |
| Total greater than 90 days past due | 145,685                | 399,826   | 488,367   | 318,416   | 1,071,085   |
| Total past due loans                | \$207,430              | \$499,060 | \$633,488 | \$505,610 | \$1,302,210 |

(1) Includes loans that are secured by real estate.

The following table sets forth information regarding nonperforming loans (i.e., greater than 90 days past due loans) as to which we have ceased accruing interest.

NON-ACCRUAL LOANS HELD-FOR-INVESTMENT

|                                      | December 31, 2013               |                          | As a % of<br>Loan<br>Specified<br>Portfolio | As a % of                |   |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
|                                      | Investment<br>Loan<br>Portfolio | Non-<br>Accrual<br>Loans |   | Non-<br>Accrual<br>Loans |   |
|                                      | (Dollars in thousands)          |                          |   |                          |   |
| Consumer loans                       |                                 |                          |   |                          |   |
| Residential first mortgage           | \$2,508,968                     | \$134,340                | 5.4   | % 92.2                   | % |
| Second mortgage                      | 169,525                         | 2,820                    | 1.7   | % 1.9                    | % |
| Warehouse lending                    | 423,517                         | —                        | —   | % —                      | % |
| HELOC                                | 289,880                         | 6,826                    | 2.4   | % 4.7                    | % |
| Other consumer                       | 37,468                          | 199                      | 0.5   | % 0.1                    | % |
| Total consumer loans                 | 3,429,358                       | 144,185                  | 4.2   | % 99.0                   | % |
| Commercial loans                     |                                 |                          |   |                          |   |
| Commercial real estate               | 408,870                         | 1,500                    | 0.4   | % 1.0                    | % |
| Commercial and industrial            | 207,187                         | —                        | —   | % —                      | % |
| Commercial lease financing           | 10,341                          | —                        | —   | % —                      | % |
| Total commercial loans               | 626,398                         | 1,500                    | 0.2   | % 1.0                    | % |
| Total loans                          | \$4,055,756                     | \$145,685                | 3.6   | % 100.0                  | % |
| Less allowance for loan losses       | (207,000 )                      |                          |   |                          |   |
| Total loans held-for-investment, net | \$3,848,756                     |                          |   |                          |   |

The following table sets forth the nonperforming (i.e., greater than 90 days past due loans) residential first mortgage loans by year of origination (i.e., vintage) and the total amount of unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) loans outstanding at December 31, 2013.

RESIDENTIAL FIRST MORTGAGE LOANS

| Vintage                                | December 31, 2013      |                   | Unpaid Principal<br>Balance (1) |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
|  | Performing Loans       | Non-Accrual Loans |                                 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |                   |                                 |
| Pre-2005                               | \$665,341              | \$19,663          | \$685,004                       |
| 2005                                   | 498,494                | 12,955            | 511,449                         |
| 2006                                   | 199,080                | 12,490            | 211,570                         |
| 2007                                   | 640,800                | 45,224            | 686,024                         |
| 2008                                   | 79,921                 | 29,059            | 108,980                         |
| 2009                                   | 39,733                 | 7,258             | 46,991                          |
| 2010                                   | 19,168                 | 3,233             | 22,401                          |
| 2011                                   | 26,608                 | 3,343             | 29,951                          |
| 2012                                   | 27,774                 | 240               | 28,014                          |
| 2013                                   | 153,065                | 875               | 153,940                         |
| Total loans                            | \$2,349,984            | \$134,340         | \$2,484,324                     |
| Net deferred fees and other            |                        |                   | 24,644                          |
| Total residential first mortgage loans |                        |                   | \$2,508,968                     |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include net deferred fees, premiums or discounts and other.



## Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable losses that are inherent in our loans held-for-investment portfolio but which have not yet been realized as of the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statement and Supplementary Data, herein. The consumer loan portfolio includes residential first mortgages, second mortgages, construction, warehouse lending and consumer loans. The commercial loan portfolio includes commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial lease financing loans.

We recognize these losses when (a) available information indicates that it is probable that a loss has occurred and (b) the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. We believe that the accounting estimates related to the allowance for loan losses are critical because they require us to make subjective and complex judgments about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. As a result, subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowance for loan losses. Our methodology for assessing the adequacy of the allowance involves a significant amount of judgment based on various factors such as general economic and business conditions, credit quality and collateral value trends, loan concentrations, recent trends in our loss experience, new product initiatives and other variables. Although management believes its process for estimating the allowance for loan losses adequately considers all of the factors that could potentially result in loan losses, the process also includes subjective elements and may be susceptible to significant change, including refinements necessary to respond to regulatory expectations. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provision for loan losses could be required that could adversely affect operations or financial position in future periods.

As part of our ongoing risk assessment process, which remains focused on the impacts of the current economic environment and the related borrower repayment behavior on our credit performance, management continues to back test and validate the results of quantitative and qualitative modeling of the risk in loans held-for-investment portfolio in efforts to utilize the best quality information available. Such is consistent with the expectations of the Bank's primary regulator and a continuing evaluation of the performance within the mortgage industry.

The allowance for loan losses includes specific allocations for impaired loans, non-specific allocations for losses inherent on non-impaired loans utilizing our loss history by specific product, or if the product is not sufficiently seasoned, peer loss data. The loss history is normally a one to five year rolling average updated periodically as new data becomes available. In addition to the loss history, we also include a qualitative adjustment that considers economic risks, industry and geographic concentrations and other factors not adequately captured in our loss methodology. Our procedure is to recognize losses through charge-offs when there is a high likelihood of loss after considering the borrower's financial condition, underlying collateral and guarantees, and the finalization of collection activities.

Accounting standards require an allowance to be established when it is probable all amounts due will not be collected pursuant to the contractual terms of the loan and the recorded investment in the loan exceeds its fair value. Fair value is measured using either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the observable market price of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, reduced by estimated disposal costs.

Nonperforming commercial and commercial real estate loans are considered to be impaired and typically have an allowance allocated based on the underlying collateral's appraised value, less management's estimates of costs to sell. In estimating the fair value of collateral, we utilize outside fee-based appraisers to evaluate various factors such as occupancy and rental rates in our real estate markets and the level of obsolescence that may exist on assets acquired from commercial business loans. Appraisals are updated at least annually but may be obtained more frequently if changes to the property or market conditions warrant.

Impaired residential loans include loan modifications considered to be TDRs and certain nonperforming loans that have been charged down to collateral value. Fair value of nonperforming residential mortgage loans, including redefaulted TDRs and certain other severely past due loans, is based on the underlying collateral's value obtained through appraisals or broker's price opinions, updated at least semi-annually, less management's estimates of cost to sell. The allowance allocated to TDRs performing under the terms of their modification is typically based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, either on a loan level or pooled basis, as these loans are not considered to be collateral dependent.

Once a commercial loan (greater than \$250,000) that is secured principally by real estate is risk rated special mention or more negative, an updated appraisal is ordered. (Commercial loans less than \$250,000 that are secured principally by real



estate follow the same process, but a collateral evaluation is obtained instead of an appraisal.) The valuation received is reviewed by our Commercial Appraisal and Risk Management Group ("CARM") for reasonableness. CARM has the authority to adjust the valuation if deemed warranted or request a new or revised valuation if needed. CARM has the responsibility for establishing and maintaining valuation guidelines and practices to ensure compliance with the Bank's policies and applicable regulations. As part of its responsibilities, CARM reviews the qualifications of appraisers and establishes, reevaluates, and monitors a list of approved real estate appraisers. As long as a loan continues to be risk rated special mention or more negative, the Bank requires, at a minimum, that an updated appraisal be obtained on the underlying collateral at least annually. Based on the specific facts and circumstances of each loan, an appraisal may be obtained more frequently if warranted.

To determine the amount of impairment to record on an impaired commercial loan that is deemed collateral dependent, the Bank uses the "as is" market value from the appraisal as a starting point. Appraisals that are less than or equal to one year old are discounted 10 percent to determine the adjusted appraised value or net realizable value of the collateral. This discount reflects the passage of time and includes estimated costs to sell the underlying collateral. Appraisals that are greater than one year old are discounted by 15 percent. Additionally, impaired commercial loans are reviewed at a minimum of a quarterly basis to ensure the appropriateness of the calculated impairment that has been recorded. Periodically, these discounts and adjusted appraised values are validated by back-testing against the actual proceeds received from the sale of collateral.

Additionally, throughout the life of the loan, the credit risk management area performs portfolio reviews to validate the risk ratings provided by the loan officers. Also, the Bank's independent internal loan review department reviews loans and validates the risk ratings, with more active oversight and monitoring for higher risk and high dollar relationships and loan balances. Based upon the results of such oversight and monitoring, updated appraisals may be ordered.

For consumer loans secured by residential real estate (which are not government insured nor designated as troubled debt restructurings), we request a BPO when the loan is 150 days delinquent. Once the BPO is obtained, it is reviewed for reasonableness. We discount the BPO by 10 percent to estimate the selling costs of the property. Such estimates of the fair value less estimated selling costs (i.e., to determine net realizable value) are used to determine the applicable charge-off against the allowance for loan losses. Additionally, once the property is vacant and in marketable condition we order an appraisal and at least one BPO. If the property does not sell within 12 months from the date it was moved to repossessed assets, we obtain an appraisal if the unpaid principal balance is \$200,000 or greater. A full appraisal will be completed if property is not occupied. If the property is occupied a drive-by BPO is completed. If the unpaid principal balance is less than \$200,000, an evaluation will be completed.

For consumer TDRs secured by residential real estate, we request a BPO when the loan is 60 days delinquent. When a consumer TDR is 90 days delinquent, it is deemed to have re-defaulted and becomes collateral-dependent and the net realizable value is determined using the same methodology discussed above. This value is used to determine the applicable specific reserve to include in the allowance for loan losses. When a re-defaulted TDR is 180 days delinquent, the specific reserve is charged-off against the allowance for loan losses.

For those loans not individually evaluated for impairment, management sub-divides the commercial and consumer loans into homogeneous portfolios.

The commercial loan portfolio is segmented into commercial "legacy" loans (loans originated prior to January 1, 2011 and commercial "new" loans (loans originated on or after January 1, 2011) and by product type. Due to changes in our strategy, and changes in underwriting and origination practices and controls related to that strategy, management determined the segmentation better reflects the dynamics in the two portfolios. The loss rates attributed to the "legacy" portfolio are based on historical losses of this segment. Due to the brief period of time that loans in the "new" portfolio

have been outstanding, and thus the absence of a sufficient loss history for that portfolio, we use publicly available historical loss data as a proxy for estimating an allowance for loan losses on the "new" portfolio. We separately identify a population of commercial banks with similar size balance sheets (and loan portfolios) to serve as our peer group. We use this peer group's publicly available historical loss data as a proxy for loss rates used to determine the allowance for loan losses on our "new" commercial portfolio.

We segment the population of consumer loans by product type and for the residential mortgage portfolio, further segment by LTV and FICO for purposes of determining an appropriate allowance for loan loss. Management performs a thorough analysis of its largest product type, residential mortgage loans, and its risk segmentation in connection with its model's ability to predict losses inherent in the portfolio. This is consistent with a shift in the mortgage market as to the relevance of various indicators. The portion of the allowance allocated to other consumer and residential mortgage loans is determined by applying projected loss ratios to various segments of the loan portfolio. Projected loss ratios are qualitatively adjusted for certain past due statistics, loss severity trends, economic and regulatory considerations, etc.

Management uses a qualitative factor matrix to capture potential losses which may not be reflected in the historical loss rate model. The qualitative factors are based on the following: changes in lending policies and procedures, changes in economic and business conditions, changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio, changes in lending management, changes in credit quality statistics, changes in the quality of the loan review system, changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans, changes in concentrations of credit, and other external factor changes. These factors are used to supplement actual loss experience and allow us to better estimate the loss within the loan portfolios based upon market and other indicators. Qualitative factors are analyzed and assigned a factor which is used to adjust the historical loss rate for each product segment. Adjusted historical loss rates are then used in the calculation of the allowance for loan losses.

As the process for determining the adequacy of the allowance requires subjective and complex judgment by management about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain, subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowance for loan losses. In estimating the amount of credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio we made various assumptions. For example, when assessing the condition of the overall economic environment assumptions are made regarding current economic trends and their impact on the loan portfolio. If the anticipated recovery is not as strong or timely as management's expectations, it may affect the estimate of the allowance for loan losses. For impaired loans that are collateral dependent, the estimated fair value of the collateral may deviate significantly from the net proceeds received when the collateral is sold.

Determination of the probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio, which is not necessarily captured by the allocation methodology discussed above, involves the exercise of judgment. In addition, the OCC, as part of its supervisory function, periodically reviews our allowance for loan losses. The OCC may require us to change our allowance for loan losses or to recognize further losses, based on judgment, which may be different from that of our management. The results of such reviews could have a material effect on the Bank's loan classifications and allowances.

The allowance for loan losses was \$207.0 million and \$305.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses was driven primarily by the charge-off of reserves related to nonperforming and TDR loans sold during the second and third quarter 2013 and decreased reserves from normal loan run-off.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans increased to 145.9 percent at December 31, 2013 from 76.3 percent at December 31, 2012, which was primarily due to nonperforming and TDR loan sales during the second and third quarter 2013 and normal loan run-off in the commercial nonperforming portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans held-for-investment decreased to 5.42 percent as of December 31, 2013 from 5.61 percent as of December 31, 2012, primarily due to continued run-off of the portfolio, including the second and third quarter 2013 nonperforming and TDR loan sales and an overall improvement in the credit quality of the loan portfolio during 2013 (delinquency improvement, reduction in nonperforming loans, lower charge-off levels, improvements in FICO and LTV in the retail portfolio, further reductions in the older vintages in the commercial and retail portfolios).

The allowance for loan losses is considered adequate based upon management's assessment of relevant factors, including the types and amounts of nonperforming loans, historical and current loss experience on such types of loans, and the current economic environment.



The following tables set forth certain information regarding the allocation of our allowance for loan losses to each loan category.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

|   | December 31, 2013                                |                      | Allowance Amount | Percentage of Total Allowance |
|---|--|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
|   | Investment Loan Portfolio (Dollars in thousands) | Percent of Portfolio |                  |                               |
| Consumer loans                          |  |                      |                  |                               |
| Residential first mortgage              | \$2,490,343                                      | 65.3                 | % \$161,142      | 77.7 %                        |
| Second mortgage                         | 104,840  | 2.7                  | % 12,141         | 5.9 %                         |
| Warehouse lending                       | 423,517  | 11.1                 | % 1,392          | 0.7 %                         |
| HELOC                                   | 134,868  | 3.5                  | % 7,893          | 3.8 %                         |
| Other                                   | 37,468   | 1.0                  | % 2,412          | 1.2 %                         |
| Total consumer loans                    | 3,191,036  | 83.6                 | % 184,980        | 89.3 %                        |
| Commercial loans                        |  |                      |                  |                               |
| Commercial real estate                  | 408,870  | 10.7                 | % 18,540         | 9.0 %                         |
| Commercial and industrial               | 207,187  | 5.4                  | % 3,332          | 1.6 %                         |
| Commercial lease financing              | 10,341   | 0.3                  | % 148            | 0.1 %                         |
| Total commercial loans                  | 626,398  | 16.4                 | % 22,020         | 10.7 %                        |
| Total consumer and commercial loans (1) | \$3,817,434                                      | 100.0                | % \$207,000      | 100.0 %                       |

(1) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

The following tables set forth certain information regarding our allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2013 and the allocation of the allowance for loan losses over the past five years.

ALLOCATION OF THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

|                            | At December 31, 2013 |                          | 2012             |                          | 2011             |                          | 2010             |                          | 2009             |                          |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
|                            | Allowance Amount     | Allowance to Total Loans | Allowance Amount | Allowance to Total Loans | Allowance Amount | Allowance to Total Loans | Allowance Amount | Allowance to Total Loans | Allowance Amount | Allowance to Total Loans |
| (Dollars in thousands)     |                      |                          |                  |                          |                  |                          |                  |                          |                  |                          |
| Consumer loans             |                      |                          |                  |                          |                  |                          |                  |                          |                  |                          |
| Residential first mortgage | \$161,142            | 6.5 %                    | \$219,230        | 7.3 %                    | \$179,218        | 4.8 %                    | \$122,437        | 3.2 %                    | \$277,321        | 5.5 %                    |
| Second mortgage            | 12,141               | 11.6 %                   | 20,201           | 17.6 %                   | 16,666           | 12.0 %                   | 25,187           | 14.4 %                   | 40,887           | 18.4 %                   |
| Warehouse lending          | 1,392                | 0.3 %                    | 899              | 0.1 %                    | 1,250            | 0.1 %                    | 4,171            | 0.6 %                    | 3,766            | 0.8 %                    |
| HELOC                      | 7,893                | 5.9 %                    | 18,348           | 10.2 %                   | 14,845           | 6.7 %                    | 21,369           | 7.9 %                    | 37,054           | 11.6 %                   |
| Other                      | 2,412                | 6.4 %                    | 2,040            | 4.1 %                    | 2,434            | 3.6 %                    | 3,450            | 4.0 %                    | 3,998            | 3.8 %                    |
| Total consumer loans       | 184,980              | 5.8 %                    | 260,718          | 5.5 %                    | 214,413          | 4.0 %                    | 176,614          | 3.5 %                    | 363,026          | 5.9 %                    |
| Commercial loans           |                      |                          |                  |                          |                  |                          |                  |                          |                  |                          |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|   |           |     |   |           |     |   |           |     |   |           |      |   |           |      |   |
|---|-----------|-----|---|-----------|-----|---|-----------|-----|---|-----------|------|---|-----------|------|---|
| Commercial real estate                  | 18,540    | 4.5 | % | 41,310    | 6.5 | % | 96,984    | 7.8 | % | 95,844    | 7.7  | % | 157,998   | 9.9  | % |
| Commercial and industrial               | 3,332     | 1.6 | % | 2,878     | 3.2 | % | 5,425     | 1.6 | % | 1,542     | 17.4 | % | 2,976     | 24.1 | % |
| Commercial lease financing              | 148       | 1.4 | % | 94        | 1.5 | % | 1,178     | 1.0 | % | —         | —    | % | —         | —    | % |
| Total commercial loans                  | 22,020    | 3.5 | % | 44,282    | 6.0 | % | 103,587   | 6.1 | % | 97,386    | 7.7  | % | 160,974   | 10.0 | % |
| Total consumer and commercial loans (1) | \$207,000 | 5.4 | % | \$305,000 | 5.6 | % | \$318,000 | 4.5 | % | \$274,000 | 4.3  | % | \$524,000 | 6.8  | % |

(1) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

## ACTIVITY IN THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

|                                   | For the Years Ended December 31, |            |            |            |            |   |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---|
|                                   | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011       | 2010       | 2009       |   |
|                                   | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |            |            |            |   |
| Beginning balance                 | \$305,000                        | \$318,000  | \$274,000  | \$524,000  | \$376,000  |   |
| Provision for loan losses (1)     | 70,142                           | 276,047    | 176,931    | 426,353    | 504,370    |   |
| Charge-offs                       |                                  |            |            |            |            |   |
| Consumer loans                    |                                  |            |            |            |            |   |
| Residential first mortgage (1)(2) | (133,326 )                       | (175,803 ) | (41,559 )  | (474,195 ) | (130,179 ) |   |
| Second mortgage                   | (6,252 )                         | (18,753 )  | (19,217 )  | (27,846 )  | (42,696 )  |   |
| Warehouse lending                 | (45 )                            | —          | (1,122 )   | (2,154 )   | (1,123 )   |   |
| HELOC                             | (5,473 )                         | (17,159 )  | (16,980 )  | (21,495 )  | (35,807 )  |   |
| Other consumer                    | (3,622 )                         | (4,423 )   | (4,729 )   | (5,583 )   | (7,422 )   |   |
| Total consumer loans              | (148,718 )                       | (216,138 ) | (83,607 )  | (531,273 ) | (217,227 ) |   |
| Commercial loans                  |                                  |            |            |            |            |   |
| Commercial real estate            | (47,982 )                        | (105,285 ) | (57,626 )  | (153,020 ) | (146,822 ) |   |
| Commercial and industrial         | (350 )                           | (4,627 )   | (644 )     | (1,181 )   | (727 )     |   |
| Commercial lease financing        | (1,299 )                         | (1,191 )   | —          | —          | —          |   |
| Total commercial loans            | (49,631 )                        | (111,103 ) | (58,270 )  | (154,201 ) | (147,549 ) |   |
| Total charge offs                 | (198,349 )                       | (327,241 ) | (141,877 ) | (685,474 ) | (364,776 ) |   |
| Recoveries                        |                                  |            |            |            |            |   |
| Consumer loans                    |                                  |            |            |            |            |   |
| Residential first mortgage        | 15,329                           | 18,561     | 1,656      | 2,513      | 2,837      |   |
| Second mortgage                   | 1,178                            | 1,912      | 1,642      | 1,806      | 889        |   |
| Warehouse lending                 | —                                | —          | 5          | 516        | 12         |   |
| HELOC                             | 1,020                            | 461        | 1,510      | 1,531      | 822        |   |
| Other consumer                    | 2,079                            | 1,786      | 1,603      | 1,615      | 1,260      |   |
| Total consumer loans              | 19,606                           | 22,720     | 6,416      | 7,981      | 5,820      |   |
| Commercial loans                  |                                  |            |            |            |            |   |
| Commercial real estate            | 10,162                           | 15,397     | 2,408      | 1,123      | 2,586      |   |
| Commercial and industrial         | 151                              | 77         | 122        | 17         | —          |   |
| Commercial lease financing        | 288                              | —          | —          | —          | —          |   |
| Total commercial loans            | 10,601                           | 15,474     | 2,530      | 1,140      | 2,586      |   |
| Total recoveries                  | 30,207                           | 38,194     | 8,946      | 9,121      | 8,406      |   |
| Charge-offs, net of recoveries    | (168,142 )                       | (289,047 ) | (132,931 ) | (676,353 ) | (356,370 ) |   |
| Ending balance                    | \$207,000                        | \$305,000  | \$318,000  | \$274,000  | \$524,000  |   |
| Net charge-off ratio (1)(2)(3)    | 4.00                             | % 4.43     | % 2.14     | % 9.34     | % 4.20     | % |

December 31, 2010 includes the provision for loan losses and charge-offs related to the sale of nonperforming (1) loans held-for-sale of \$176.5 million and \$327.3, respectively. Excluding the sale of nonperforming loans held-for-sale the net charge-off ratio would have been 4.82 percent at December 31, 2010.

Includes charge-offs of \$65.1 million related to the sale of nonperforming loans and TDRs during the year ended (2) December 31, 2013. Excluding the sale of nonperforming and TDR loans, the net charge-off ratio would have been 2.45 percent for the year ended December 31, 2013.

(3) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

## Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments

The liability for credit losses inherent in lending-related commitments, such as letters of credit and unfunded loan commitments, is included in other liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. We establish the amount of this reserve by considering both historical trends and current market conditions quarterly, or more often if deemed necessary. At December 31, 2013, we had \$67.1 million in HELOC trust commitments and \$8.0 million in standby and commercial letters of credit.

The following table shows the activity in the allowance for unfunded commitments during the indicated periods.

## ACTIVITY WITHIN THE RESERVE FOR UNFUNDED COMMITMENTS

|                                  | For the Years Ended December 31, |         |         |         |          |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
|                                  | 2013                             | 2012    | 2011    | 2010    | 2009     |
|                                  | (Dollars in thousands)           |         |         |         |          |
| Reserve for Unfunded Commitments |                                  |         |         |         |          |
| Balance, beginning of period     | \$45                             | \$8,200 | \$3,750 | \$4,500 | \$20,000 |
| Provision charged to operations  | 6                                | (953    | ) 4,450 | (750    | ) 7,894  |
| Charge-offs                      | —                                | (7,202  | ) —     | —       | (23,394  |
| Recoveries                       | —                                | —       | —       | —       | —        |
| Balance, end of period           | \$51                             | \$45    | \$8,200 | \$3,750 | \$4,500  |

Mortgage servicing rights. At December 31, 2013 MSR at fair value decreased \$426.1 million, compared to December 31, 2012, primarily due to the fourth quarter 2013 sale of MSRs (discussed below). During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, we recorded additions to our MSRs of \$401.7 million and \$535.9 million, respectively. Also, during the year ended December 31, 2013, we reduced the amount of MSRs by \$834.5 million related to bulk servicing sales and \$99.3 million related to loans that paid off during the period. The fair value of MSRs increased by \$106.0 million resulting from the recognition of expected cash flows and market driven changes, primarily as a result of increases in mortgage loan rates that led to an expected decrease in prepayment speeds. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we reduced the amount of MSRs by \$139.7 million as a result of bulk servicing sales, \$151.4 million due to loans that paid off during the period, and a decrease of \$44.4 million in the fair value of MSRs resulting from the realization of expected cash flows, as well as market driven changes, primarily decreases in mortgage loan rates, that caused us to assume a higher level of prepayment speeds. Once fully phased in, the Basel III capital rules will significantly reduce the allowable amount of the fair value of MSRs included in Tier 1 capital. We reduced our MSR concentration during the fourth quarter 2013 (discussed below) which should result in a decrease of the exclusion to our allowable capital levels under Basel III. See Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein. Our ratio of MSRs to Tier 1 capital is 22.6 percent at December 31, 2013, as compared to 54.9 percent at December 31, 2012. See "Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

On December 18, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell \$40.7 billion unpaid principal balance of our MSR portfolio to Matrix Financial Services Corporation ("Matrix"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Two Harbors Investment Corp. Covered under the agreement are certain mortgage loans serviced for both Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, originated primarily after 2010. Simultaneously, we entered into an agreement with Matrix to subservice the residential mortgage loans covered under the agreement to sell. As a result, we will receive subservicing income and return a portion of the ancillary fees to be paid as the servicer of the loans.

The principal balance of the loans underlying our total MSRs was \$25.7 billion at December 31, 2013, compared to \$76.8 billion at December 31, 2012, with the decrease primarily attributable to our bulk servicing sales of \$76.8 billion in underlying loans, which include the sale to Matrix, and by loan origination activity decreasing for the year



ended December 31, 2013.

The recorded amount of the MSR portfolio at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as a percentage of the unpaid principal balance of the loans we are servicing was 1.1 percent and 0.9 percent, respectively. When our Mortgage Banking segment sells mortgage loans in the secondary market, it usually retains the right to continue to service the mortgage loans for a fee. The weighted average service fee on loans serviced for others is currently 28.7 basis points of the loan principal balance outstanding. The amount of MSRs initially recorded is based on the fair value of the MSRs determined on the date when the underlying loan is sold. Our determination of fair value, and thus the amount we record (i.e., the capitalization amount) is based on internal valuations and available market pricing. Estimates of fair value reflect the anticipated prepayment speeds (also

100

---

known as the constant prepayment rate ("CPR"), product type (i.e., conventional, government, balloon), fixed or adjustable rate of interest, interest rate, term (i.e., 15 or 30 years), servicing costs per loan, discounted yield rate and estimate of ancillary income such as late fees and prepayment fees.

The most important assumptions used in the MSR valuation model are anticipated prepayment speeds. The factors used for these assumptions are selected based on market interest rates and other market assumptions. Their reasonableness is confirmed through surveys conducted with independent third parties.

An internal valuation model is used to estimate the fair value of the MSR asset on an ongoing basis. In addition, third party valuations are obtained quarterly to support the reasonableness of the fair value estimate generated by the internal model.

At December 31, 2013, the fair value of the MSR was based upon the following weighted-average assumptions: (1) a discount rate of 10.2 percent; (2) an anticipated loan prepayment rate of 11.9 percent CPR; and (3) servicing costs per conventional loan of \$67, \$88 for each government loan and \$85 for each adjustable-rate loan, respectively. At December 31, 2012, the fair value of the MSR was based upon the following weighted-average assumptions: (1) a discount rate of 7.0 percent; (2) an anticipated loan prepayment rate of 17.3 percent CPR; and (3) servicing costs per conventional loan of \$67, \$88 for each government loan and \$85 for each adjustable-rate loan, respectively.

The following table sets forth activity in loans serviced for others during the past five years.

#### LOANS SERVICED FOR OTHERS

|                               | For the Years Ended December 31, |               |               |               |               |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                               | 2013                             | 2012          | 2011          | 2010          | 2009          |
|                               | (Dollars in thousands)           |               |               |               |               |
| Balance, beginning of year    | \$76,821,222                     | \$63,770,676  | \$56,040,063  | \$56,521,902  | \$55,870,207  |
| Loans serviced additions      | 35,827,484                       | 53,094,326    | 27,437,433    | 26,325,610    | 31,680,715    |
| Loan amortization/prepayments | (9,895,791 )                     | (22,096,691 ) | (9,488,100 )  | (11,673,592 ) | (14,391,961 ) |
| Servicing sales (1)           | (77,009,519 )                    | (17,947,089 ) | (10,218,720 ) | (15,133,857 ) | (16,637,059 ) |
| Balance, end of year          | \$25,743,396                     | \$76,821,222  | \$63,770,676  | \$56,040,063  | \$56,521,902  |

(1) Includes the sale of \$40.7 billion to Matrix, which we now subservice.

Reposessed assets. Real property we acquire as a result of the foreclosure process is classified as real estate owned until it is sold. It is transferred from the loans held-for-investment portfolio at the lower of cost or market value, less disposal costs. Management decides whether to rehabilitate the property or sell it "as is" and whether to list the property with a broker. The \$84.1 million decrease in reposessed assets from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 was primarily due to a \$61.3 million reduction in reposessed asset additions and a \$28.8 million increase in reposessed asset disposals.

The following schedule provides the activity for reposessed assets during each of the past five years.

|                   | For the years ended December 31, |            |            |            |            |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                   | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011       | 2010       | 2009       |
|                   | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |            |            |            |
| Beginning balance | \$120,732                        | \$114,715  | \$151,085  | \$176,968  | \$109,297  |
| Additions         | 63,609                           | 124,879    | 88,755     | 204,926    | 208,674    |
| Disposals         | (147,705 )                       | (118,862 ) | (125,125 ) | (230,809 ) | (141,003 ) |
| Ending balance    | \$36,636                         | \$120,732  | \$114,715  | \$151,085  | \$176,968  |

Federal Home Loan Bank stock. At December 31, 2013, holdings of Federal Home Loan Bank stock decreased to \$209.7 million from \$301.7 million at December 31, 2012, due to the Federal Home Loan Bank's request to repurchase excess stock of \$92.0 million. Once purchased, Federal Home Loan Bank shares must be held for five years before they can be redeemed. As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank, we are required to hold shares of Federal Home Loan Bank stock in an amount equal to at least 1.0 percent of aggregate unpaid principal balance of our mortgage loans, home purchase contracts and similar obligations at the beginning of each year, or 5.0 percent of our Federal Home Loan Bank advances, whichever is greater.

Premises and equipment. Premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation increased \$12.3 million from \$219.1 million at December 31, 2012 to \$231.4 million at December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily due to technology implementation and building improvements.

Derivatives. We write and purchase interest rate swaps to accommodate the needs of customers requesting such services. Customer-initiated activity represented 100 percent of total interest rate swap contracts at December 31, 2013 and 2012. Customer-initiated trading derivatives are used primarily to focus on providing derivative products to customers that enables them to manage interest rate risk exposure. Market risk from unfavorable movements in interest rates is generally economically hedged by concurrently entering into offsetting derivative contracts resulting in no net exposure to us, outside of counterparty performance. The offsetting derivative contracts generally have nearly identical notional values, terms and indices. See Note 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data herein.

The following table sets forth the net activity in the customer-initiated derivatives at notional value.

#### CUSTOMER-INITIATED DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

##### Interest Rate Contracts (Notional Amount)

|                          | For the years ended December 31, |           |          |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|
|                          | 2013                             | 2012      | 2011     |
|                          | (Dollars in thousands)           |           |          |
| Beginning balance        | \$202,492                        | \$64,720  | \$—      |
| Additions                | 98,220                           | 142,087   | 64,720   |
| Maturities/amortizations | (8,547)                          | ) (4,315  | ) —      |
| Terminations             | (87,270                          | ) —       | —        |
| Ending balance           | \$204,895                        | \$202,492 | \$64,720 |

Other assets. Other assets decreased \$206.9 million from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013, primarily due to a reduction in the receivable related to loan servicing sales, a decrease in agency loan advances and in accrued interest receivable.

Accrued interest receivable, which is included in other assets, decreased \$43.8 million from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 from \$92.0 million to \$48.2 million, respectively. This was primarily due to our interest-earning assets declining by \$4.3 billion to \$7.9 billion at December 31, 2013, as compared to \$12.2 billion at December 31, 2012, primarily due to lower balances of loans available-for-sale and warehouse loans due to the decrease in mortgage originations during the year ended December 31, 2013, and the sales of commercial and troubled debt restructured loans. We typically collect interest in the month following the month in which it is earned.

## Liabilities

Deposits. Our deposits consist of four primary categories: retail deposits, government deposits, wholesale deposits and company controlled deposits. Total deposits decreased \$2.2 billion, or 26.0 percent at December 31, 2013, compared to December 31, 2012, primarily due to decreases in certificates of deposits and government deposits.

Our retail deposits decreased \$1.4 billion, or 22.3 percent at December 31, 2013, compared to December 31, 2012, primarily due to a decrease in certificate of deposits, offset by an increase in core deposits.

We have continued to increase our core deposit accounts and improve our mix of deposits. The overall need for deposit funding declined in the second half of 2013, consistent with the slow-down in mortgage originations. This has allowed us to run-off higher costing deposits, as we continue to have success in bringing in core checking, savings and money market accounts.

We call on local governmental agencies, and other public units, as an additional source for deposit funding. These deposit accounts include \$314.8 million of certificates of deposit with maturities typically less than one year and \$287.6 million in checking and savings accounts at December 31, 2013.

We generate deposits from our retail banking network and no longer purchase wholesale deposits. Wholesale deposits continued to run-off during the year ended December 31, 2013 and decreased by \$90.6 million from December 31, 2012.

Company controlled deposits arise due to our servicing of loans for others and represent the portion of the investor custodial accounts on deposit with the Bank. These deposits do not currently bear interest.

We participate in the Certificates of Deposit Account Registry Service ("CDARS") program, through which certain customer certificates of deposit ("CD") are exchanged for CDs of similar amounts from other participating banks. This gives customers the potential to receive FDIC insurance up to \$50.0 million. At December 31, 2013, we had \$335.9 million of total CDs enrolled in the CDARS program, with \$349.1 million originating from public entities and \$7.1 million originating from retail customers. In exchange, we received reciprocal CDs from other participating banks totaling \$57.5 million from public entities and \$278.3 million from retail customers at December 31, 2013. We reduced our reliance on CDARS deposits at December 31, 2013, with total CDARS balances declining \$812.0 million from December 31, 2012.

The composition of our deposits was as follows at the date indicated.

|                                    | December 31,<br>2013   |            |                  | 2012          |            |                  |   |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|---------------|------------|------------------|---|
|                                    | (Dollars in thousands) |            |                  |               |            |                  |   |
|                                    | Balance                | Yield/Rate | % of<br>Deposits | Balance       | Yield/Rate | % of<br>Deposits |   |
| Retail deposits                    |                        |            |                  |               |            |                  |   |
| Demand accounts                    | \$763,554              | 0.08       | % 12.4           | % \$681,983   | 0.16       | % 8.2            | % |
| Savings accounts                   | 2,869,279              | 0.46       | % 46.7           | % 2,108,170   | 0.72       | % 25.4           | % |
| Money market demand<br>accounts    | 287,104                | 0.18       | % 4.7            | % 401,853     | 0.41       | % 4.8            | % |
| Certificates of deposit (1)        | 1,026,129              | 0.72       | % 16.7           | % 3,175,481   | 0.93       | % 38.3           | % |
| Total retail deposits              | 4,946,066              | 0.44       | % 80.6           | % 6,367,487   | 0.74       | % 76.7           | % |
| Government deposits                |                        |            |                  |               |            |                  |   |
| Demand accounts                    | 104,466                | 0.26       | % 1.7            | % 98,890      | 0.38       | % 1.2            | % |
| Savings accounts                   | 183,128                | 0.27       | % 3.0            | % 263,841     | 0.53       | % 3.2            | % |
| Certificate of deposit             | 314,804                | 0.38       | % 5.1            | % 456,347     | 0.57       | % 5.5            | % |
| Total government deposits (2)      | 602,398                | 0.33       | % 9.8            | % 819,078     | 0.53       | % 9.9            | % |
| Wholesale deposits                 | 8,717                  | 3.43       | % 0.1            | % 99,338      | 4.41       | % 1.2            | % |
| Company controlled deposits<br>(3) | 583,145                | —          | % 9.5            | % 1,008,392   | —          | % 12.2           | % |
| Total deposits (4)                 | \$6,140,326            | 0.39       | % 100.0          | % \$8,294,295 | 0.68       | % 100.0          | % |

(1) The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 was approximately \$0.8 billion and \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(2) Government deposits include funds from municipalities and schools.

(3) These accounts represent a portion of the investor custodial accounts and escrows controlled by us in connection with loans serviced for others and that have been placed on deposit with the Bank.

(4) The aggregate amount of deposits with a balance over \$250,000 was approximately \$1.7 billion and \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table indicates the scheduled maturities of our certificates of deposit with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 by acquisition channel as of December 31, 2013.

|                       | Retail<br>Deposits<br>(Dollars in thousands) | Government<br>Deposits | Total     |
|-----------------------|--|------------------------|-----------|
| Twelve months or less | \$447,061                                    | \$304,805              | \$751,866 |
| One to two years      | 36,947                                       | 3,449                  | 40,396    |
| Two to three years    | 23,744                                       | —                      | 23,744    |
| Three to four years   | 4,014  | —                      | 4,014     |
| Four to five years    | 5,523  | —                      | 5,523     |
| Thereafter            | 2,312  | —                      | 2,312     |
| Total                 | \$519,601                                    | \$308,254              | \$827,855 |

Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Federal Home Loan Bank advances decreased \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2013 from December 31, 2012, primarily due to prepayment of \$2.9 billion in higher cost advances during the year ended December 31, 2013, which resulted in \$177.6 million recorded in loss on extinguishment of debt on the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. We rely upon advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank as a source of funding for the origination or purchase of loans for sale in the secondary market and for providing duration specific short-term and medium-term financing. The outstanding balance of Federal Home Loan Bank advances fluctuates from time to time

depending on our current inventory of mortgage loans held-for-sale and the availability of lower cost funding sources such as repurchase agreements. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we had an increase in funds available from other sources, including proceeds from the sale of mortgage servicing rights, commercial loans, and residential first mortgage nonperforming and TDR loans, which reduced the need for the short-term borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we prepaid \$0.5 billion in higher cost advances, which resulted in a \$15.2 million loss on extinguishment of debt as a result of the prepayment. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we restructured \$1.0 billion in Federal Home Loan Bank advances. The effect in the overall Federal Home Loan Bank advance portfolio was an increase in the average remaining term to 4.3 years at December 31, 2011 and a decrease in the weighted average interest rate to 3.1 percent.

|                                      | For the Years Ended December 31, |             |             |  |   |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|---|
|                                      | 2013                             | 2012        | 2011        |  |   |
|                                      | (Dollars in thousands)           |             |             |  |   |
| Maximum outstanding at any month end | \$2,907,598                      | \$3,770,000 | \$3,953,000 |  |   |
| Average balance                      | 2,914,637                        | 3,698,362   | 3,620,368   |  |   |
| Average remaining borrowing capacity | 735,391                          | 1,040,677   | 728,394     |  |   |
| Average interest rate                | 3.22                             | % 2.88      | % 3.26      |  | % |

See Note 17 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein for additional information of Federal Home Loan Bank advances.

Long-term debt. As part of our overall capital strategy, we previously raised capital through the issuance of trust-preferred securities by our special purpose financing entities formed for the offerings. The outstanding trust preferred securities mature 30 years from issuance, are callable by us after five years and pay interest quarterly. Under these trust preferred arrangements, we have the right to defer interest payments to the trust preferred security holders for up to five years.

On January 27, 2012, we provided notice to holders of the trust preferred securities exercising the contractual right to defer regularly scheduled quarterly payments of interest, beginning with the February 2012 payment, with respect to trust preferred securities. Under the terms of the related indentures, we may defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. These payments will be periodically evaluated and reinstated when appropriate, subject to provisions of the Consent Order and Supervisory Agreement.

As of June 30, 2013, following the Assured Settlement Agreement, we reconsolidated the debt associated with the HELOC securitizations, held in a trust or variable interest entity ("VIE"), at fair value. We were determined to be the primary beneficiary of VIEs associated with HELOC securitizations which are consolidated in the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. Assets held in a trust can only be used to settle obligations or repay outstanding debt, of this trust. The total fair value of the VIE long-term debt is \$105.8 million as of December 31, 2013.

Representation and warranty reserve. We sell most of the residential first mortgage loans that we originate into the secondary mortgage market. When we sell mortgage loans, we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers, including sponsored securitization trusts and their insurers (primarily Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac), about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. Typically, these representations and warranties are in place for the life of the loan. If a defect in the origination process is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, generally we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan.

We maintain a representation and warranty reserve to account for the probable losses inherent in loans we might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The representation and warranty reserve takes into account both our estimate of probable losses inherent in loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of probable losses inherent in loans sold. In each case, these estimates are based on the most recent data available to us, including data from third parties, regarding



demands for loan repurchases, actual loan repurchases, and actual credit losses on repurchased loans, among other factors. Provisions added to the representation and warranty reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded under noninterest income in the income statement as an increase or decrease to representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate.

During the fourth quarter 2013, we entered into settlement agreements with both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to resolve substantially all of the repurchase requests and obligations associated with loans originated between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2008. The settlement with Fannie Mae, reached on November 6, 2013, was for a total resolution amount of \$121.5 million and, after paid claim credits and other adjustments, we paid \$93.5 million. We settled with Freddie Mac on

December 30, 2013 for a total resolution amount of \$10.8 million and, after paid claim credits and other adjustments, we paid \$8.9 million. As a result of these settlements, we released approximately \$24.9 million of previously accrued reserves.

During the third quarter of 2013, we made enhancements to the assumptions of the repurchase and makewhole putback mix based on recent behavior of the Agencies. In addition, we made enhancements to the loss severity rate assumptions for post 2008 vintages by taking into account HPI data as published by the FHFA to update LTVs along with leveraging data from the most recent 12 month of repossessed asset sales. The enhancements resulted in a net decrease in the representation and warranty reserve.

#### REPRESENTATION AND WARRANTY RESERVE

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |            |            |           |           |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011       | 2010      | 2009      |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |            |           |           |
| Beginning balance                           | \$193,000                        | \$120,000  | \$79,400   | \$66,000  | \$42,500  |
| Provision for new loan sales                | 17,606                           | 24,410     | 8,993      | 35,200    | 26,470    |
| Provision adjustment for previous estimates | 36,116                           | 256,289    | 150,055    | 61,523    | 75,627    |
| Charge-offs, net of recoveries              | (192,722 )                       | (207,699 ) | (118,448 ) | (83,323 ) | (78,597 ) |
| Ending balance                              | \$54,000                         | \$193,000  | \$120,000  | \$79,400  | \$66,000  |

The following table sets forth the underlying principal amount of nonperforming loans (excluding government insured loans) we have repurchased or indemnified during the past five years, organized by the year of sale or securitization.

#### REPURCHASED ASSETS

| Year   | Total Loan Sales and Securitizations | Total Nonperforming Repurchased Loans (excluding Government Insured Loans) | % of Sales |   |
|--------|--------------------------------------|--|------------|---|
|        |                                      | (Dollars in thousands)   |            |   |
| 2009   | \$32,326,643                         | \$14,038   | 0.04       | % |
| 2010   | 26,506,672                           | 6,519  | 0.02       | % |
| 2011   | 27,437,433                           | 677  | —          | % |
| 2012   | 53,094,326                           | 419  | —          | % |
| 2013   | 39,074,649                           | —  | —          | % |
| Totals | \$178,439,723                        | \$21,653   | 0.01       | % |

A significant factor in the estimate of probable losses is the activity of the Agencies, including the number of loan files they review or intend to review, the number of subsequent repurchase demands made by the Agencies and the percentage of those repurchase demands that actually result in a repurchase by the Bank. The majority of our loan sales have been to Agencies, which are a significant source of our current repurchase demands. These demands were primarily concentrated in the pre-2009 origination years. The recent settlement agreements with the Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac related to loans sold prior to 2009 lowers our loss estimates going forward.

The following table summarizes the amount of annual Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac audit file review requests by number of accounts. Such requests precede the repurchase demands that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac may make thereafter.

For the Year Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|             | 2013   | 2012   | 2011   |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fannie Mae  | 9,510  | 8,578  | 10,090 |
| Freddie Mac | 3,876  | 5,963  | 2,757  |
| Total       | 13,386 | 14,541 | 12,847 |

106

---

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we had \$444.9 million in Fannie Mae new repurchase demands and \$200.1 million in Freddie Mac new repurchase demands. The following table summarizes the amount of yearly new repurchase demands we have received by loan origination year.

|                    | For the Year Ended December 31, |             |           |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
|                    | 2013                            | 2012        | 2011      |
|                    | (Dollars in thousands)          |             |           |
| 2008 and prior (1) | \$570,597                       | \$865,670   | \$700,530 |
| 2009-2013          | 74,471                          | 182,749     | 89,771    |
| Total              | \$645,068                       | \$1,048,419 | \$790,301 |
| Number of accounts | 3,478                           | 5,255       | 3,876     |

(1) Includes a significant portion of the repurchase requests and obligations associated with loans with the settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

The following table summarizes the aggregate amount of pending repurchase demands at the end of each year noted.

|                                    | December 31,           |           |           |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                    | 2013                   | 2012      | 2011      |
|                                    | (Dollars in thousands) |           |           |
| Period end balance                 | \$97,170               | \$224,182 | \$343,295 |
| Percent non-agency (approximately) | 2.6                    | % 0.3     | % 1.9     |

The following table summarizes the trends over the last two years with respect to key model attributes and assumptions for estimating the representation and warranty reserve.

|  | December 31, 2013      | December 31, 2012 |   |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|---|
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |                   |   |
| UPB of loans sold (1) (2)                                  | \$244,100,000          | \$215,000,000     |   |
| Loan file review as percentage of unpaid principal balance | 8.2                    | % 12.5            | % |
| Repurchase demand rate (3)                                 | 14.5                   | % 14.4            | % |
| Actual repurchase rate (4)                                 | 35.5                   | % 38.6            | % |
| Loss severity rate (5)                                     | 12.3                   | % 35.0            | % |

(1) Includes servicing sold with recourse.

(2) Includes a significant portion of the repurchase requests and obligations associated with loans with the settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

(3) The percent of loan file reviews that is expected to result in a repurchase demand.

(4) Weighted average of the appeals loss rate.

(5) Average loss severity rate expected to be experienced on actual repurchases made (post appeal loss).

See Note 19 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

Other liabilities. Other liabilities primarily consist of a reserve for possible contingent liabilities, undisbursed payments, escrow accounts, forward agency and derivative liability and the Ginnie Mae liability resulting from the recognition of our unilateral right to repurchase certain mortgage loans currently included in Ginnie Mae securities. Other liabilities decreased at December 31, 2013 from December 31, 2012, primarily due to an \$278.4 million decrease in undisbursed payments on loans serviced for others liability from \$365.2 million at December 31, 2012 to \$86.8 million at December 31, 2013. These amounts represent payments received from borrowers for interest, principal and related loan charges which have not been remitted to investors. Escrow accounts totaled \$39.9 million and \$39.8 million at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Escrow accounts are maintained on behalf of mortgage customers and include funds collected for real estate taxes, homeowners insurance and other

insured product liabilities. The Ginnie Mae liability totaled \$20.8 million and \$72.4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These amounts are for certain loans sold to Ginnie Mae, as to which we have not yet repurchased, but have the unilateral right to do so. With respect to such loans sold to Ginnie Mae, a corresponding asset was included in loans held-for-sale. For further information on our loans held-for-sale, see Note 6 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

Other liabilities also included an accrual for possible contingent liabilities. As of December 31, 2013, our total accrual for contingent liabilities was \$95.2 million, which decreased from December 31, 2012, primarily due to the litigation settlements with MBIA and Assured. At December 31, 2013, the accrual for possible contingent liabilities includes the \$93.0 million fair value liability associated with the DOJ Settlement, which increased as compared to \$19.1 million at December 31, 2012. See Note 28 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

#### Contractual Obligations and Commitments

We have various financial obligations, including contractual obligations and commitments, which require future cash payments. Refer to Notes 3, 16, 17 and 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein. The following table presents the aggregate annual maturities of contractual obligations (based on final maturity dates) at December 31, 2013.

|                                    | Less than<br>1 Year    | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | More than<br>5 Years | Total       |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|
|                                    | (Dollars in thousands) |           |           |                      |             |
| Deposits without stated maturities | \$4,208,111            | \$—       | \$—       | \$—                  | \$4,208,111 |
| Certificates of deposits           | 1,150,954              | 162,715   | 28,575    | 6,825                | 1,349,069   |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances    | 988,000                | —         | —         | —                    | 988,000     |
| Trust preferred securities         | —                      | —         | —         | 247,435              | 247,435     |
| Consolidated VIEs                  | —                      | —         | 55,172    | 50,641               | 105,813     |
| Operating leases                   | 7,082                  | 9,696     | 3,736     | 930                  | 21,444      |
| Other debt                         | —                      | —         | —         | 93,000               | 93,000      |
| Total                              | \$6,354,147            | \$172,411 | \$87,483  | \$398,831            | \$7,012,872 |

#### Capital Resources and Liquidity

Our principal uses of funds include loan originations and operating expenses. At December 31, 2013, we had outstanding rate-lock commitments to lend \$2.3 billion in mortgage loans, compared to \$6.6 billion at December 31, 2012. These commitments may expire without being drawn upon and therefore, do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Total commercial and consumer unused collateralized lines of credit totaled \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2012.

Capital. We had net income available to common shareholders of \$261.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. We did not pay any cash dividends on our common stock during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. On February 19, 2008, our board of directors suspended future dividends payable on our common stock. Under the capital distribution regulations, a savings bank that is a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company must either notify or seek approval from the OCC of an association capital distribution at least 30 days prior to the declaration of a dividend or the approval by our board of directors of the proposed capital distribution. The 30-day period allows the OCC to determine whether or not the distribution would not be advisable. Because we are under the Consent Order, we currently must seek approval from the OCC prior to making a capital distribution from the Bank. In addition, under the Supervisory Agreement, the Company agreed to request prior non-objection of the Federal Reserve to pay dividends or other capital distributions.

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.



At December 31, 2013, the Bank was considered "well-capitalized" for regulatory purposes. The following table shows the regulatory capital ratios as of the dates indicated. These ratios are applicable to the Bank only.

|  | December 31, 2013 |       | December 31, 2012 |       |   |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|---|
|  | Amount            | Ratio | Amount            | Ratio |   |
| Tier 1 leverage (to adjusted tangible assets)      | \$ 1,257,608      | 13.97 | % \$ 1,295,841    | 9.26  | % |
| Total adjusted tangible asset base (1)             | \$ 9,004,904      |       | \$ 13,999,636     |       |   |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)           | \$ 1,257,608      | 26.82 | % \$ 1,295,841    | 15.90 | % |
| Total risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets) | 1,317,964         | 28.11 | % 1,400,126       | 17.18 | % |
| Risk weighted asset base (1)                       | \$ 4,688,545      |       | \$ 8,148,771      |       |   |

(1) Based on adjusted total assets for purposes of core capital and risk-weighted assets for purposes of total risk-based capital.

Liquidity. Liquidity measures the ability to meet current and future cash flow needs as they become due. The liquidity of a financial institution reflects its ability to meet loan requests, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits and to take advantage of interest rates and market opportunities. The ability of a financial institution to meet current financial obligations is a function of the balance sheet structure, the ability to liquidate assets, and the access to various sources of funds.

We primarily originate agency eligible loans and therefore the majority of new residential first mortgage loan originations are readily convertible to cash, either by selling them as part of our monthly agency sales, private party whole loan sales, or by pledging them to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis and borrowing against them. We use the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis as our primary source for funding our residential mortgage business due to its flexibility in terms of being able to borrow or repay borrowings as daily cash needs require. We have been successful in increasing the amount of assets that qualify as eligible collateral at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis and continue to review such opportunities on an on-going basis. Adding eligible collateral pools gives us added capacity and flexibility to manage our funding requirements.

The amount we can borrow, or the value we receive for the assets pledged to our liquidity providers, varies based on the amount and type of pledged collateral as well as the perceived market value of the assets and the "haircut" off the market value of the assets. That value is sensitive to the pricing and policies of our liquidity providers and can change with little or no notice.

In addition to operating expenses at a particular level of mortgage originations, our cash flows are fairly predictable and relate primarily to the funding cash outflows of residential first mortgages and the securitization and sales cash inflows of those residential first mortgages. Our mortgage warehouse funding line of business also generates cash flows as funds are extended to correspondent relationships to close new loans. Those loans are repaid when the correspondent sells the loan. Other material cash flows relate to growing our commercial lines of business and the loans we service for others and consist primarily of principal, interest, taxes and insurance. Those monies come in over the course of the month and are paid out based on predetermined schedules. Those flows are largely a function of the size of the servicing book and the volume of refinancing activity of the loans serviced. In general, monies received in one month are paid during the following month with the exception of taxes and insurance monies that are held until such are due.

As governed and defined by our internal liquidity policy, we maintain adequate excess liquidity levels appropriate to cover both unanticipated operational and regulatory requirements. In addition to this standby liquidity, we also maintain targeted minimum levels of unused borrowing capacity as an additional cushion against unexpected liquidity needs. Each business day, we forecast 90 days of daily cash needs. This allows us to determine our projected near



term daily cash fluctuations and also to plan and adjust, if necessary, future activities. As a result, we would be able to make adjustments to operations as required to meet the liquidity needs of our business, including adjusting deposit rates to increase deposits, planning for additional Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings, accelerating sales of loans held-for-sale (Agencies and or private), selling loans held-for-investment or securities, borrowing through the use of repurchase agreements, reducing originations, making changes to warehouse funding facilities, or borrowing from the discount window.

Our liquidity position is continuously monitored and adjustments are made to the balance between sources and uses of funds as deemed appropriate. Management is not aware of any events that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, capital resources or operations.

Deposits. The following table sets forth information relating to our total deposit flows for each of the years indicated.

|                                 | For the Years Ended December 31, |             |             |             |             |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                 | 2013                             | 2012        | 2011        | 2010        | 2009        |
|                                 | (Dollars in thousands)           |             |             |             |             |
| Beginning deposits              | \$8,294,295                      | \$7,689,988 | \$7,998,099 | \$8,778,469 | \$7,841,005 |
| Interest credited               | 42,392                           | 70,143      | 95,546      | 154,692     | 241,507     |
| Net deposit increase (decrease) | (2,196,361 )                     | 534,164     | (403,657 )  | (935,062 )  | 695,957     |
| Total deposits, end of the year | \$6,140,326                      | \$8,294,295 | \$7,689,988 | \$7,998,099 | \$8,778,469 |

We continue to focus our efforts towards the growth of our core deposits, which includes checking, savings and money market deposit accounts. We believe core deposits represent a more stable funding source and their increase has allowed us to replace maturing brokered CDs and other potentially less stable funding sources.

Borrowings. The Federal Home Loan Bank provides loans, also referred to as advances, on a fully collateralized basis, to savings banks and other member financial institutions. We are currently authorized through a resolution of our board of directors to apply for advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank using approved loan types as collateral. At December 31, 2013, we had an authorized line of credit of \$7.0 billion that could be utilized to the extent we provide sufficient collateral. At December 31, 2013, we had available collateral sufficient to access \$2.8 billion of the line and as to which we had \$1.0 billion of advances outstanding.

We have arrangements with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago to borrow as appropriate from its discount window. The discount window is a borrowing facility that is intended to be used only for short-term liquidity needs arising from special or unusual circumstances. The amount we are allowed to borrow is based on the lendable value of the collateral that we provide. To collateralize the line, we pledge commercial and industrial loans that are eligible based on Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago guidelines. At December 31, 2013, we had pledged commercial and industrial loans amounting to \$38.7 million with a lendable value of \$25.5 million. At December 31, 2012, we had pledged commercial and industrial loans amounting to \$122.1 million with a lendable value of \$77.9 million. The decrease in the available loan collateral was due to the commercial loan sales during the year ended December 31, 2013. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had no borrowings outstanding against this line of credit.

Loan Sales. Our Mortgage Banking segment sells a significant portion of the mortgage loans it originates. Sales of loans totaled \$39.1 billion, or 104.3 percent of originations during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$53.1 billion, or 100.9 percent of originations during the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in the dollar volume of sales during the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily due to the decrease in origination volumes, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2013, we had outstanding commitments to sell \$1.8 billion of mortgage loans. Generally, these commitments are funded within 120 days.

Loan Principal Payments. We also invest in loans that we hold for our own portfolio, the principal payments on which provide another source of funds for us. Such payments totaled \$1.6 billion and \$1.1 billion during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following tables set forth, at December 31, 2013, the expected repayment of our loans held-for-investment, both as fixed rate and adjustable rate loans.

#### LOAN PRINCIPAL REPAYMENT SCHEDULE

##### FIXED RATE LOANS

|                            | December 31, 2013      |                      |                       |                       |                        |                         |                  | Totals (1)  |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|
|                            | Within<br>1 Year       | 1 Year to<br>2 Years | 2 Years to<br>3 Years | 3 Years to<br>5 Years | 5 Years to<br>10 Years | 10 Years to<br>15 Years | Over<br>15 Years |             |
|                            | (Dollars in thousands) |                      |                       |                       |                        |                         |                  |             |
| Residential first mortgage | \$16,209               | \$15,909             | \$15,616              | \$30,655              | \$73,810               | \$66,998                | \$658,963        | \$878,160   |
| Second mortgage            | 6,446                  | \$6,191              | \$5,946               | \$11,422              | \$26,295               | \$106,593               | \$—              | 162,893     |
| Other consumer             | 2,214                  | 2,074                | 1,942                 | 3,638                 | 7,941                  | 17,106                  | —                | 34,915      |
| Commercial real estate     | 15,219                 | 13,878               | 12,654                | 23,076                | 47,516                 | 60,255                  | —                | 172,598     |
| Commercial and industrial  | 1,499                  | 1,324                | 1,169                 | 2,063                 | 6,727                  | —                       | —                | 12,782      |
| Commercial lease financing | 1,278                  | 1,124                | 989                   | 1,739                 | 5,483                  | —                       | —                | 10,613      |
| Total loans                | \$42,865               | \$40,500             | \$38,316              | \$72,593              | \$167,772              | \$250,952               | \$658,963        | \$1,271,961 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

#### LOAN PRINCIPAL REPAYMENT SCHEDULE

##### ADJUSTABLE RATE LOANS

|                            | December 31, 2013      |                      |                       |                       |                        |                         |                  | Totals (1)  |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|
|                            | Within<br>1 Year       | 1 Year to<br>2 Years | 2 Years to<br>3 Years | 3 Years to<br>5 Years | 5 Years to<br>10 Years | 10 Years to<br>15 Years | Over<br>15 Years |             |
|                            | (Dollars in thousands) |                      |                       |                       |                        |                         |                  |             |
| Residential first mortgage | \$29,646               | \$29,099             | \$28,562              | \$56,069              | \$134,999              | \$122,540               | \$1,205,249      | \$1,606,164 |
| Second mortgage            | 269                    | 258                  | 248                   | 476                   | 1,095                  | 878                     | 3,563            | 6,787       |
| Warehouse lending          | 423,517                | —                    | —                     | —                     | —                      | —                       | —                | 423,517     |
| HELOC                      | 19,110                 | 17,848               | 16,669                | 31,135                | 67,555                 | 136,986                 | —                | 289,303     |
| Other consumer             | 19                     | 7                    | —                     | —                     | —                      | —                       | —                | 26          |
| Commercial real estate     | 33,108                 | 28,484               | 24,506                | 42,168                | 108,806                | —                       | —                | 237,072     |
| Commercial and industrial  | 46,910                 | 35,654               | 27,099                | 85,837                | —                      | —                       | —                | 195,500     |
| Total loans                | \$552,579              | \$111,350            | \$97,084              | \$215,685             | \$312,455              | \$260,404               | \$1,208,812      | \$2,758,369 |

(1) Unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, does not include premiums or discounts.

Escrow Funds. As a servicer of mortgage loans, we hold funds in escrow for investors, various insurance entities, or for the government taxing authorities. At December 31, 2013, there were \$39.9 million held in these escrows, compared to \$39.8 million at December 31, 2012.

#### Impact of Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

U.S. GAAP requires us to separately report, rather than include in our Consolidated Financial Statements, the separate financial statements of our wholly-owned subsidiaries Flagstar Statutory Trust II, Flagstar Statutory Trust III, Flagstar Statutory Trust IV, Flagstar Statutory Trust V, Flagstar Statutory Trust VI, Flagstar Statutory Trust VII, Flagstar Statutory Trust VIII, Flagstar Statutory Trust IX, and Flagstar Statutory Trust X. We did this by reporting our investment in these entities in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition in the category entitled other assets.

### Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto presented herein have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which requires the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of our operations. Unlike most industrial companies, nearly all of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on our performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the prices of goods and services.

### Accounting and Reporting Developments

See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein for details of recently issued accounting pronouncements and their expected impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Critical Accounting Policies

Our Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and reflect general practices within our industry. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates or judgments that affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. These estimates are based on information available to management as of the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements. Accordingly, as this information changes, future financial statements could reflect different estimates or judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Management has reviewed and approved these critical accounting policies and has discussed these policies with the audit committee of the board of directors. The most significant accounting policies followed are presented in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

Management views critical accounting policies to be those that are highly dependent on subjective or complex judgments, estimates or assumptions, and where changes in those estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements. Management currently views its variable interest entities, fair value measurements, which include the valuation of MSRs, the valuation of financial instruments and derivatives, valuation of deferred tax assets, the valuation of the DOJ litigation settlement, the determination of the allowance for loan losses, pending and threatened litigation accruals and the determination of the representation and warranty reserve to be critical accounting policies.

### Variable Interest Entities

Following the Assured Settlement and the corresponding reconsolidation of trusts assets and liabilities, we elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs related to the HELOC securitizations. This option is generally elected for newly consolidated VIEs for which predominantly all of our interests, prior to consolidation, are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded to earnings. Accordingly, such an election allows us to continue fair value accounting through earnings for those interests and eliminate income statement mismatch otherwise caused by differences in the measurement basis of the consolidated VIEs assets and liabilities.

Consolidated VIEs at December 31, 2013 consisted of the HELOC securitization trusts formed in 2005 and 2006. We have determined the trusts are VIEs and have concluded that we are the primary beneficiary of these trusts because we have the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and

have either the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The change in the consolidated VIE was a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement. A VIE is an entity that lacks equity investors or whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. The entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is referred to as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. On a quarterly basis, we will reassesses whether we have a controlling financial interest in and are the primary beneficiary of a VIE. The quarterly reassessment process considers whether we have acquired or divested the power to direct the activities of the VIE through changes in governing documents or other circumstances.

The reassessment also considers whether we have acquired or disposed of a financial interest that could be significant to the VIE, or whether an interest in the VIE has become significant or is no longer significant. The consolidation status of the VIEs with which we are involved may change as a result of such reassessments. Changes in consolidation status are applied

112

---

prospectively, with assets and liabilities of a newly consolidated VIE initially recorded at fair value. A gain or loss may be recognized upon deconsolidation of a VIE depending on the carrying amounts of deconsolidated assets and liabilities compared to the fair value of retained interests and ongoing contractual arrangements.

#### Fair Value Measurements

A portion of our assets and liabilities are carried at fair value on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, with changes in fair value recorded either through earnings or other comprehensive income (loss) in accordance with applicable accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is based on quoted market prices in an active market, or if market prices are not available, is estimated using models employing techniques such as matrix pricing or discounting expected cash flows. The significant assumptions used in the models, which include assumptions for interest rates, discount rates, prepayments and credit losses, are independently verified against observable market data where possible. Where observable market data is not available, the estimate of fair value becomes more subjective and involves a high degree of judgment. In this circumstance, fair value is estimated based on management's judgment regarding the value that market participants would assign to the asset or liability. Therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there are inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, which could significantly affect the results of current or future values.

**Valuation of Investment Securities.** Our securities are classified as trading and available-for-sale. Trading securities are comprised of U.S. Treasury bonds and are considered part of our liquidity portfolio and hedging strategy and are traded in an active and open market with readily determinable prices.

Investment securities available-for-sale include U.S. government sponsored agencies and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations and municipal obligations. U.S. government sponsored agencies are traded in an active and open market with readily determinable prices. The fair value of municipal obligations are estimated using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows. The non-agency CMOs were valued based on pricing provided by external pricing services. Previously, the markets were illiquid and fair values were based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we sold the remaining securities in non-agency collateralized mortgage obligation securities that were related to the investments arising out of strategies to fully utilize available balance sheet leverage capacity. We determined the fair value of the mortgage securitization trust using a discounted estimated net future cash flow model. Following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1, which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was dissolved and we then transferred the loans associated with the securitization to our loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust.

**Valuation of Mortgage Servicing Rights.** When our Mortgage Banking segment sells mortgage loans in the secondary market, it usually retains the right to continue to service these loans and earn a servicing fee. At the time the loan is sold on a servicing retained basis, we record the MSR as an asset at its fair value. Determining the fair value of MSRs involves a calculation of the present value of a set of market driven and MSR specific cash flows. MSRs do not trade in an active market with readily observable market prices. However, the market price of MSRs is generally a function of demand and interest rates. When mortgage interest rates decline, mortgage loan prepayments usually increase to the extent customers refinance their loans. If this happens, the income stream from a MSR portfolio will decline and the fair value of the portfolio will decline. Similarly, when mortgage interest rates increase, mortgage loan prepayments

tend to decrease and therefore the value of the MSR tends to increase. Accordingly, we must make assumptions about future interest rates and other market conditions in order to estimate the current fair value of our MSR portfolio. In certain circumstances, based on the probability of the completion of a sale of MSRs pursuant to a bona-fide purchase offer, we consider the bid price of that offer and identifiable transaction costs in comparison to the calculated fair value and may adjust the estimate of fair value to reflect the terms of the pending transaction. See Notes 3, 4 and 14 of the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein, for additional information on MSRs. On an ongoing basis, we compare our fair value estimates based on both unobservable inputs and market inputs, where available, to report the various assumptions. On a quarterly basis, the value of our MSR portfolio is reviewed by an outside valuation expert.



From time to time, we sell some of these MSR to unaffiliated purchasers in transactions that are separate from the sale of the underlying loans. At the time of the sale, we record a gain or loss based on the selling price of the MSR less our carrying value and associated transaction costs.

**Valuation of Derivative Instruments.** We utilize certain derivative instruments in the ordinary course of our business to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates. These derivative instruments include forward loan sale commitments, U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures and options and interest rate swaps. We also issue interest rate lock commitments to borrowers in connection with single family mortgage loan originations. We recognize all derivative instruments on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at fair value in either "other assets" or "other liabilities". The valuation of derivative instruments is considered critical because many are valued using discounted cash flow modeling techniques in the absence of market value quotes. Therefore, we must make estimates regarding the amount and timing of future cash flows, which are susceptible to significant change in future periods based on changes in interest rates. Our interest rate assumptions are based on current yield curves, forward yield curves and various other factors. Internally generated valuations are compared to third party data where available to validate the accuracy of our valuation models.

**Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets.** In 2009, we established a full valuation allowance against our deferred taxes as, at that time, we determined the deferred taxes were not more-likely-than-not to be realized. We continue to review the carrying amount of our deferred tax assets at each reporting period to assess the realizability of the deferred taxes based upon all of the available positive and negative evidence available at that time.

On January 30, 2009, we incurred a change in control within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. As a result, an annual limitation is placed on the use of our net operating loss carry forwards that existed at the time of the change in control and resulted in an annual limitation of approximately \$17.4 million on the amount of our net operating loss carry forward that may be used. At December 31, 2013, \$174.1 million of our total net operating loss carry forwards of \$882.9 million is subject to this limitation.

At December 31, 2013, our deferred tax assets were primarily attributable to U.S. net operating loss carryforwards. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a valuation allowance release of \$355.8 million on the basis of management's reassessment of the amount of its deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized.

We regularly evaluate the need for deferred tax asset valuation allowances based on a more likely than not standard as defined by generally accepted accounting principles. The ability to realize deferred tax assets depends on the ability to generate sufficient taxable income within the carryback or carryforward periods provided for in the tax law for each applicable tax jurisdiction. We consider the following possible sources of taxable income when assessing the realization of deferred tax assets:

- future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences;
- future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;
- taxable income in prior carryback years; and
- tax planning strategies.

The assessment regarding whether a valuation allowance is required or should be adjusted also considers all available positive and negative evidence factors, including but not limited to:

- nature, frequency, and severity of recent losses;
- duration of statutory carryforward periods;
- historical experience with tax attributes expiring unused; and
- near- and medium-term financial outlook.

As indicated by applicable accounting standards, it is inherently difficult to conclude a valuation allowance is not required when there is significant objective and verifiable negative evidence, such as cumulative losses in recent years. We utilize a rolling three years of actual and current year anticipated results as the primary measure of cumulative losses.

The evaluation of deferred tax assets requires judgment in assessing the likely future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns and future profitability. Our accounting for deferred taxes represents our best estimate of those future events. Changes in our current estimates, due to unanticipated events or otherwise, could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Upon considering all of the available positive and negative evidence, and the extent to which that evidence was objectively verifiable, we determined that the positive evidence outweighed the negative evidence and the deferred tax assets are more-likely-than-not realizable, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013. As a result, the valuation allowance has been reversed in the amount of \$355.8 million, or \$6.29 per diluted share, during the year ended December 31, 2013. A partial valuation allowance will remain against state deferred tax assets due to loss carryover limitations.

**Valuation of DOJ Litigation Settlement.** We elected the fair value option to account for the liability representing the obligation to make Additional Payments under the DOJ Agreement. The executed settlement agreement with the DOJ establishes a legally enforceable contract with a stipulated payment plan that meets the definition of a financial liability.

We value our contractual obligation to pay utilizing a discounted cash flow model that incorporates our current estimate of the most likely timing and amount of the cash flows necessary to satisfy the obligation. These cash flow estimates are reflective of our detailed financial and operating projections for the next three years, as well as more general earnings and capital assumptions for subsequent periods. At December 31, 2013, we discounted the expected cash flows using a 9.9 percent discount rate that is inclusive of the risk free rate based on the expected duration of the liability and an adjustment for nonperformance risk that represents our own credit risk. The recorded liability, at fair value, represents the present value of these estimated cash flows and is included in "other liabilities" on the Consolidated Financial Statements. We will estimate the fair value of this liability at each measurement date and record any changes in that estimate, as well as the effect of the accretion of the face amount of the liability, during the period in which these changes occur. See Note 4 of the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein, for additional information on the valuation of the litigation settlement.

**Level 3 Financial Instruments.** Level 3 valuations are based upon financial models using primarily unobservable inputs. These unobservable inputs reflect estimates of assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The unobservable inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include our financial data such as internally developed pricing models and discounted cash flow methodologies, as well as instruments for which the fair value determination requires significant management judgment. Fair value measurement and disclosure guidance differentiates between those assets and liabilities required to be carried at fair value at every reporting period ("recurring") and those assets and liabilities that are only required to be adjusted to fair value under certain circumstances ("non-recurring").

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, Level 3 assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis totaled \$514.7 million and \$895.2 million, or 5.5 percent and 6.4 percent of total assets, respectively, and consisted primarily of loans held-for-investment, MSR's and mortgage rate lock commitments. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were \$198.8 million and \$19.1 million Level 3 liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, respectively, which primarily consisted of long-term debt and DOJ litigation discussed above.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, Level 3 assets recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis were \$106.4 million and \$341.6 million, respectively, and no Level 3 liabilities were recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis. The Level 3 assets recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis were 1.1 percent and 2.4 percent of total assets at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and consisted of residential first mortgage and commercial real estate impaired loans held-for-investment and repossessed assets.

Refer to Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein for a further discussion of fair value measurements.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable losses that are inherent in our loans held-for-investment portfolio but which have not yet been realized as of the date of our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. We recognize these losses when (a) available information indicates that it is probable that a loss has occurred and (b) the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. We believe that the accounting estimates related to the allowance for loan losses are critical because they require us to make subjective and complex judgments about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. As a result, subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowance for loan losses. Our methodology for assessing the adequacy of the allowance involves a significant amount of judgment based on various factors such as general economic and business conditions, credit quality and collateral value trends, loan concentrations, recent trends in our loss experience, new product initiatives and other variables. Although management believes its process for estimating the allowance for loan losses adequately considers all of the factors

that could potentially result in loan losses, the process also includes subjective elements and may be susceptible to significant change, including refinements necessary to respond to regulatory expectations. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provision for loan losses could be required that could adversely affect operations or financial position in future periods.

As part of our ongoing risk assessment process, which remains focused on the impacts of the current economic environment and the related borrower repayment behavior on our credit performance, management continues to back test and validate the results of quantitative and qualitative modeling of the risk in loans held-for-investment portfolio in efforts to utilize the best quality information available. Such is consistent with the expectations of the Bank's primary regulator and a continuing evaluation of the performance dynamics within the mortgage industry.

Accounting standards require an allowance to be established when it is probable all amounts due will not be collected pursuant to the contractual terms of the loan and the recorded investment in the loan exceeds its fair value. Fair value is measured using either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the observable market price of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, reduced by estimated disposal costs.

Nonperforming commercial and commercial real estate loans are considered to be impaired and typically have an allowance allocated based on the underlying collateral's appraised value, less management's estimates of costs to sell. In estimating the fair value of collateral, we utilize outside fee-based appraisers to evaluate various factors such as occupancy and rental rates in our real estate markets and the level of obsolescence that may exist on assets acquired from commercial business loans. Appraisals are updated at least annually but may be obtained more frequently if changes to the property or market conditions warrant.

Impaired residential loans include loan modifications considered to be TDRs and certain nonperforming loans that have been charged down to collateral value. Fair value of nonperforming residential mortgage loans, including redefaulted TDRs and certain other severely past due loans, is based on the underlying collateral's value obtained through appraisals or broker's price opinions, updated at least semi-annually, less management's estimates of cost to sell. The allowance allocated to TDRs performing under the terms of their modification is typically based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, either on a loan level or pooled basis, as these loans are not considered to be collateral dependent.

For those loans not individually evaluated for impairment, management has sub-divided the commercial and consumer loans into homogeneous portfolios.

We utilized segmentation and qualitative factors in the historical factors used to calculate the allowance related to the consumer portfolio. Management utilizes a LTV segmentation, rather than product and delinquency segmentation, which is more appropriate for consumer residential loan characteristic in determining the related allowance for loan losses.

Management uses a qualitative factor matrix related to each loan class in the consumer portfolio, which includes the following factors: changes in lending policies and procedures, changes in economic and business conditions, changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio, changes in lending management, changes in credit quality statistics, changes in the quality of the loan review system, changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans, changes in concentrations of credit, and other external factor changes. These factors are used to reflect changes in the collectability of the portfolio not captured by the historical loss rates. As such, the qualitative factors supplement actual loss experience and allow us to better estimate the loss within the loan portfolios based upon market and other indicators. Qualitative factors are analyzed to determine a quantitative impact of each factor which adjusts the historical loss rate. Adjusted historical loss rates are then used in the calculation of the allowance for loan losses. The

historical look back period for loss rates lag a quarter.

The commercial loan portfolio is segmented into commercial "legacy" loans (loans originated prior to January 1, 2011) and commercial "new" loans (loans originated on or after January 1, 2011) and retain the segmentation by product type. Due to the changes in our strategy and to changes in underwriting and origination practices and controls related to that strategy, management determined the segmentation better reflected the dynamics in the two portfolios. The loss rates attributed to the "legacy" portfolio are based on historical losses of this segment. Due to the brief period of time that loans in the "new" portfolio were outstanding, and thus the absence of a sufficient loss history for that portfolio, we had used loss data from a third party data aggregation firm (adjusting for our qualitative factors) as a proxy for estimating an allowance for loan losses on the "new" portfolio. We separately identify a population of commercial banks with similar size balance sheets (and loan portfolios) to serve as our peer group. We use this peer group's publicly available historical loss data (adjusted for our qualitative factors) as a

new proxy for loss rates used to determine the allowance for loan losses on our "new" commercial portfolio.

Potential losses that may not be reflected in our model assumptions are captured through the qualitative factors. Management reviews these models on an ongoing basis and updates them as appropriate to reflect then-current industry conditions, heightened access to enhanced loss data uses and refinements based upon continuous back testing of the allowance for loan losses model.

Due to the emphasis on loss mitigation activities relating to TDRs, management practice is to capture the necessary data to perform the impairment analysis on a portfolio level. As such, for a significant percentage of "new" TDRs, management performs impairment calculation on a portfolio basis. Management expects to continue to refine this process for operational efficiency purposes that will allow for periodic review and updates of impairment data of all TDRs grouped by similar risk characteristics.

#### Representation and Warranty Reserve

We sell most of the residential mortgage loans that we originate into the secondary mortgage market. When we sell mortgage loans we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. Typically these representations and warranties are in place for the life of the loan. If a defect in the origination process is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan. We maintain a representation and warranty reserve to account for the expected credit losses related to loans we may be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The representation and warranty reserve takes into account both our estimate of probable losses inherent in loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of probable losses inherent in loans sold. In each case, these estimates are based on our most recent data regarding loan repurchases and indemnity payments and actual credit losses on repurchased loans, recovery history, among other factors. Increases to the representation and warranty reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded as an increase or decrease in our representation and warranty reserve — change in estimate.

Reserve levels are a function of probable losses based on actual pending and expected claims and repurchase requests, historical experience and loan volume. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions could be required that could adversely affect operations or financial position in future periods.

During most of 2012 and the first three quarters of 2013, we saw an increase in demand request activity from mortgage investors. As a result of the increased demand request activity and communications with mortgage investors, we reviewed as part of the quarterly review of accounting estimates the representation and warranty reserve methodology to more effectively incorporate the most recent observable data and trends. This is consistent with the improved risk segmentation and qualitative analysis and modeling performed for other similar reserve estimates, and consistent with expectations of the Bank's primary regulator and the continuing evaluation of the performance dynamics within the mortgage industry. We enhanced our first quarter 2012 methodology and the related model refines previous estimates by segmenting the sold portfolio by vintage years and investor to assign assumptions specific to each segment. Key assumptions in the model include investor audits, demand requests, appeal loss rates, loss severity, and recoveries.

We routinely obtain information from the Agencies regarding the historical trends of demand requests, and occasionally obtain information on anticipated future loan reviews and potential repurchase demand projections. We believe this information provides helpful but limited insight in anticipating the Agencies' behavior, thus helping to

better estimate future repurchase requests and validate representation and warranty assumptions. Estimating the balance of the representation and warranty reserve involves using assumptions regarding future repurchase request volumes, probable loss severity on these requests and claims appeal success rates. Notwithstanding the information obtained from the Agencies, the assumptions used to estimate the representation and warranty reserve contain a level of uncertainty and risk that could have a material impact on the representation and warranty reserve balance if they differ from actual results. To assess the sensitivity of the representation and warranty reserve model to adverse changes, management periodically runs a sensitivity analysis using its reserve model by assuming hypothetical increases in the level of repurchase volume.

Our representation and warranty reserve is highly dependent on subjective and complex judgments and assumptions. We continue to refine our estimation process and adjust our assumptions. Our assumptions are affected by factors both internal and external in nature. Internal factors include, among other things, level of loan sales, as well as to whom the loans are sold,



improvements to technology in the underwriting process, expectation of credit loss on repurchased loans, expectation of loss from indemnification made to loan purchasers, the expectation of the mix between repurchased loans and indemnifications, our success rate at appealing repurchase demands and our ability to recover any losses from third parties. External factors that may affect our estimate includes, among other things, the overall economic condition in the housing market, the economic condition of borrowers, the political environment at investor agencies and the overall U.S. and world economy. Many of the factors, including regulatory expectations, are beyond our control and may lead to judgments that are susceptible to change.

#### Accrual for Pending and Threatened Litigation

We and certain subsidiaries are subject to various pending or threatened legal proceedings arising out of the normal course of business or operations. On at least a quarterly basis, we assess the liabilities and loss contingencies in connection with pending or threatened legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. We establish reserves for legal claims and regulatory matters when we believe it is probable that a loss may be incurred and that the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. Once established, litigation accruals are adjusted from time to time, as appropriate, in light of additional information. Resolution of legal claims are inherently dependent on the specific facts and circumstances of each specific case, and therefore the actual costs of resolving these claims may be substantially higher or lower than the amounts accrued. Refer to Note 28 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein for a further discussion of legal proceedings, contingencies and commitment.

## Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In addition to results presented in accordance with GAAP, this report includes non-GAAP financial measures such as pre-tax pre-credit-cost income, the efficiency ratio and the ratio of total nonperforming assets to Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets) and general reserves. We believe these non-GAAP financial measures provide additional information that is useful to investors in helping to understand the underlying performance and trends of our unique business model. Such measures also help investors to facilitate performance comparisons and benchmarks with other bank and thrift peers in our industry.

Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, which are not required to be uniformly applied and are not audited. Readers should be aware of these limitations and should be cautious with respect to the use of such measures. To mitigate these limitations, we have practices in place to ensure that these measures are calculated using the appropriate GAAP or regulatory components in their entirety and to ensure that our performance is properly reflected to facilitate consistent period-to-period comparisons. Although we believe the non-GAAP financial measures disclosed in this report enhance investors' understanding of our business and performance, these non-GAAP measures should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for those financial measures prepared in accordance with GAAP.

**Pre-tax pre-credit-cost income.** Pre-tax pre-credit-cost income, as defined by our management, represents net income before taxes, and excludes credit related expenses (defined by management as provision for loan losses, asset resolution expense, other than temporary impairment, representation and warranty reserve provision and the write down of residual and transferors' interest). While these items represent an integral part of our banking operations, in each case, the excluded items are items that management believes are particularly impacted or increased due to economic stress or significant changes in the credit cycle and are therefore likely to make it more difficult to understand our underlying performance trends and our ability to generate income from our Community Banking and Mortgage Banking segments. Net interest income, noninterest income and noninterest expense are all calculated in accordance with GAAP and are presented in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, in Item 1. Financial Statements herein. Net income is adjusted only for the specific items listed above in the calculation of pre-tax pre-credit-cost income, and these adjustments represent the excluded items in their entirety for each period presented to better facilitate period to period comparisons.

Viewed together with our GAAP results, management believes pre-tax pre-credit cost income provides investors and stakeholders with a functional measurement to evaluate and better understand trends in our period to period ability to generate income and capital to offset credit related expenses, in each case exclusive of the effects of past and current economic stress and the credit cycle. As recent results for the banking industry demonstrate, provisions for loan losses, increases in representation and warranty reserve, asset impairments and mark-downs and expenses related to the resolution and disposition of assets can vary significantly from period to period, making a measure that helps isolate the impact of those credit related expenses on profitability integral to helping investors understand the business model. The "Asset Resolution," "Quality of Earning Assets," and "Representation and Warranty Reserve" sections of this report isolate the different credit quality challenges and issues and the impact of the associated credit related expenses on our income statement.

Like all non-GAAP measurements, pre-tax pre-credit-cost income usefulness is inherently limited. Because our calculation of pre-tax pre-credit-cost income may differ from the calculation of similar measures used by other bank and thrift holding companies, pre-tax pre-credit-cost income should be used to determine and evaluate period to period trends in our performance, rather than in comparison to other similar non-GAAP measurements utilized by other companies. In addition, investors should keep in mind that income tax expense (benefit), the provision for loan losses, and the other items excluded from income and expenses in the pre-tax pre-credit cost income calculation are recurring and integral expenses to our operations, and that these expenses will still accrue under GAAP, thereby reducing

GAAP earnings and, ultimately, stockholders' equity.

Efficiency ratio and efficiency ratio (credit-adjusted). The efficiency ratio, which generally measures the productivity of a bank, is calculated as noninterest expense divided by total operating income. Total operating income includes net interest income and total noninterest income. Management utilizes the efficiency ratio to monitor its own productivity and believes the ratio provides investors with a meaningful tool to monitor period to period productivity trends.

Under the efficiency ratio (credit adjusted), noninterest expense (GAAP) is presented excluding asset resolution expense to arrive at adjusted noninterest expense (non-GAAP), which is the numerator for the efficiency ratio. Noninterest income (GAAP) is presented excluding representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate to arrive at adjusted noninterest income (non-GAAP), which is included in the denominator for the efficiency ratio. As the provision for loan losses is already excluded by the ratio's own definition, we believe that the exclusion of asset resolution expense and representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate provides investors with a more complete picture of our productivity and ability to

generate operating income. The efficiency ratio (credit adjusted) provides investors with a meaningful base for period to period comparisons, which management believes will assist investors in analyzing our operating results and predicting future performance. These non-GAAP financial measures are also utilized internally by management to assess the performance of our own business.

Our calculations of the efficiency ratio may differ from the calculation of similar measures used by other bank and thrift holding companies, and should be used to determine and evaluate period to period trends in our performance, rather than in comparison to other similar non-GAAP measurements utilized by other companies. In addition, investors should keep in mind that the items excluded from income and expenses in the efficiency ratio (credit adjusted) are recurring and integral expenses to our operations, and that these expenses will still accrue under similar GAAP measures.

Nonperforming assets / Tier 1 + Allowance for Loan Losses. The ratio of nonperforming assets to Tier 1 and allowance for loan losses divides the total level of nonperforming assets held for investment by Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets), as defined by bank regulations, plus allowance for loan losses. We believe these measurements are meaningful measures of capital adequacy used by investors, regulators, management and others to evaluate the adequacy of capital in comparison to other companies within the industry.

Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital ratio. The ratio of mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital divides the total mortgage servicing rights by Tier 1 capital, as defined by bank regulations. We believe these measurements are meaningful measures of capital adequacy, especially in relation to the level of our mortgage servicing rights. This ratio allows our investors, regulators, management and other parties to measure the adequacy and quality of our mortgage servicing rights and capital, in comparison to other companies within our industry.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The following table displays the calculation for the past five years of these non-GAAP measures.

| Non-GAAP Reconciliation  |              |             |              |              |              |   |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---|
| For the Years Ended December 31,   |              |             |              |              |              |   |
|  | 2013         | 2012        | 2011         | 2010         | 2009         |   |
| (Dollars in thousands)   |              |             |              |              |              |   |
| Pre-tax, pre-credit-cost income  |              |             |              |              |              |   |
| (Loss) income before tax provision   | \$(149,263 ) | \$52,731    | \$(180,722 ) | \$(372,709 ) | \$(411,670 ) |   |
| Add back   |              |             |              |              |              |   |
| Provision for loan losses  | 70,142       | 276,047     | 176,931      | 426,353      | 504,370      |   |
| Asset resolution   | 52,033       | 91,349      | 128,313      | 161,326      | 104,118      |   |
| Other than temporary impairment on available-for-sale investments  | 8,789        | 2,192       | 24,039       | 4,991        | 20,747       |   |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate   | 36,116       | 256,289     | 150,055      | 61,523       | 75,627       |   |
| Write down of transferor interest  | 174          | 2,552       | 5,673        | 7,847        | 82,867       |   |
| Reserve increase for reinsurance   | —            | —           | —            | 432          | 24,846       |   |
| Total credit-related-costs   | 167,254      | 628,429     | 485,011      | 662,472      | 812,575      |   |
| Pre-tax, pre-credit-cost income  | \$17,991     | \$681,160   | \$304,289    | \$289,763    | \$400,905    |   |
| Efficiency ratio (credit-adjusted)   |              |             |              |              |              |   |
| Net interest income (a)  | \$186,651    | \$297,231   | \$245,373    | \$210,663    | \$219,067    |   |
| Noninterest income (b)   | 652,343      | 1,021,242   | 385,516      | 453,680      | 523,286      |   |
| Representation and warranty reserve-change in estimate (c)   | 36,116       | 256,289     | 150,055      | 61,523       | 75,627       |   |
| Adjusted income  | \$875,110    | \$1,574,762 | \$780,944    | \$725,866    | \$817,980    |   |
| Noninterest expense (d)  | 918,115      | 989,695     | 634,680      | 610,699      | 679,653      |   |
| Asset resolution expense (e)   | (52,033 )    | (91,349 )   | (128,313 )   | (161,322 )   | (104,118 )   |   |
| Adjusted noninterest expense   | \$866,082    | \$898,346   | \$506,367    | \$449,377    | \$575,535    |   |
| Efficiency ratio (d/(a+b)) (1)   | 109.4        | % 75.1      | % 100.6      | % 91.9       | % 91.6       | % |
| Efficiency ratio (credit-adjusted ((d-e)/(a+b+c)) (1)  | 99.0         | % 57.0      | % 64.8       | % 61.9       | % 70.4       | % |
| December 31,   |              |             |              |              |              |   |
| 2013                      2012                      2011                      2010                      2009 |              |             |              |              |              |   |
| (Dollars in thousands)   |              |             |              |              |              |   |
| Nonperforming assets / Tier 1 capital + allowance for loan losses  |              |             |              |              |              |   |
| Nonperforming assets   | \$182,321    | \$520,557   | \$603,082    | \$497,973    | \$1,294,301  |   |
| Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets) (2)  | 1,257,608    | 1,295,841   | 1,215,220    | 1,306,104    | 866,384      |   |
| Allowance for loan losses  | 207,000      | 305,000     | 318,000      | 274,000      | 524,000      |   |
| Tier 1 capital + allowance for loan losses   | \$1,464,608  | \$1,600,841 | \$1,533,220  | \$1,580,104  | \$1,390,384  |   |
| Nonperforming assets / Tier 1 capital + allowance for loan losses  | 12.4         | % 32.5      | % 39.3       | % 31.5       | % 93.1       | % |
| Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital ratio  |              |             |              |              |              |   |
| Mortgage servicing rights  | \$284,678    | \$710,791   | \$510,475    | \$580,299    | \$652,374    |   |
|  | 1,257,608    | 1,295,841   | 1,215,220    | 1,306,104    | 866,384      |   |

Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets) (2)

|   |      |        |        |        |        |   |
|---|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|
| Mortgage servicing rights to Tier 1 capital ratio | 22.6 | % 54.9 | % 42.0 | % 44.4 | % 75.3 | % |
|---|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|

Ratios include \$177.6 million and \$61.0 million related to the prepayment of FHLB advances and the additional (1) accrual for the DOJ litigation, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2013, excluding these expenses the efficiency ratio would have been 81.0 percent for the year ended December 31, 2013.

(2) Represents Tier 1 capital for the Bank.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk of reduced earnings and or declines in the net market value of the balance sheet due to changes in interest rates, currency exchange rates, or equity prices. We do not have any material foreign currency exchange risk or equity price risk. The primary market risk is interest rate risk and results from timing differences in the repricing of our assets and liabilities, changes in the relationships between rate indices, and the potential exercise of explicit or embedded options.

Interest rate risk is managed by the asset liability committee ("ALCO"), which is composed of several of our executive officers and other members of management, in accordance with policies approved by our board of directors. The ALCO formulates strategies based on appropriate levels of interest rate risk. In determining the appropriate level of interest rate risk, the ALCO considers the impact projected interest rate scenarios have on earnings and capital, liquidity, business strategies, and other factors. The ALCO meets monthly or as deemed necessary to review, among other things, the sensitivity of assets and liabilities to interest rate changes, the book and fair values of assets and liabilities, unrealized gains and losses, purchase and sale activity, loans held-for-sale and commitments to originate loans, and the maturities of investments, borrowings and time deposits.

Financial instruments used to manage interest rate risk include financial derivative products such as interest rate swaps and forward sales commitments. Further discussion of the use of and the accounting for derivative instruments is included in Notes 4 and 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein. All of our derivatives are accounted for at fair market value. All mortgage loan production originated for sale is accounted for on a fair value basis.

To effectively measure and manage interest rate risk, sensitivity analysis is used to determine the impact on earnings and the net market value of the balance sheet across various interest rate scenarios, balance sheet trends, and strategies. From these simulations, interest rate risk is quantified and appropriate strategies are developed and implemented. Additionally, duration and net interest income sensitivity measures are utilized when they provide added value to the overall interest rate risk management process. The overall interest rate risk position and strategies are reviewed by executive management and the board of directors on an ongoing basis. Business is traditionally managed to reduce overall exposure to changes in interest rates. However, management has the latitude to increase interest rate sensitivity position within certain limits if, in management's judgment, the increase will enhance profitability.

Net interest income simulation analysis provides estimated net interest income of the current balance sheet across alternative interest rate scenarios. The net interest income analysis measures the sensitivity of interest sensitive earnings over a twelve month time horizon. The analysis holds the current balance sheet values constant and does not take into account management intervention. The net interest income simulation demonstrates the level of interest rate risk inherent in the existing balance sheet.

The following table is a summary of the changes in our net interest income that are projected to result from hypothetical changes in market interest rates. The interest rate scenarios presented in the table include interest rates as of December 31, 2013 and adjusted by instantaneous parallel rate changes plus or minus 200 basis points. The amounts were not significant to the net interest income simulation analysis at December 31, 2012.

December 31, 2013

| Scenario<br>(Dollars in thousands) | Net interest Income | \$ Change  | % Change |    |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|----------|----|
| 200                                | \$286,048           | \$35,058   | 14.0     | %  |
| Constant                           | \$250,990           | \$—        | —        | %  |
| (200)                              | \$211,613           | \$(39,377) | (16.0)   | )% |

In the net interest income simulation, our balance sheet exhibits slight asset sensitivity. When interest rates rise our interest income increases, conversely when interest rates fall our interest income decreased. The net interest income simulation measures the interest rate risk of the balance sheet over a short period over time, typically twelve months. An additional analysis is completed that measures the interest rate risk over an extended period of time. The Economic Value of Equity ("EVE") analysis provides a fair value of the balance sheet in alternative interest rate scenarios. The EVE analysis does not take into account management intervention and assumes the new rate environment is constant and the change is instantaneous.

The following table is a summary of the changes in our EVE that are projected to result from hypothetical changes in market interest rates. EVE is the market value of assets, less the market value of liabilities, adjusted for the market value of

122

---



off balance sheet instruments. The interest rate scenarios presented in the table include interest rates at December 31, 2013 and 2012 and as adjusted by instantaneous parallel rate changes upward to 300 basis points and downward to 100 basis points. The scenarios are not comparable due to differences in the interest rate environments, including the absolute level of rates and the shape of the yield curve. Each rate scenario reflects unique prepayment, repricing, and reinvestment assumptions. Management derives these assumptions by considering published market prepayment expectations, the repricing characteristics of individual instruments or groups of similar instruments, our historical experience, and our asset and liability management strategy. Further, this analysis assumes that certain instruments would not be affected by the changes in interest rates or would be partially affected due to the characteristics of the instruments.

This analysis is based on our interest rate exposure at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and does not contemplate any actions that we might undertake in response to changes in market interest rates, which could impact EVE. Further, as this framework evaluates risks to the current statement of financial condition only, changes to the volumes and pricing of new business opportunities that can be expected in the different interest rate outcomes are not incorporated in this analytical framework. For instance, analysis of our history suggests that declining interest rate levels are associated with higher loan production volumes at higher levels of profitability. While this "natural business hedge" historically offset most, if not all, of the identified risks associated with declining interest rate scenarios, these factors fall outside of the EVE framework. Further, there can be no assurance that this natural business hedge would positively affect the economic value of equity in the same manner and to the same extent as in the past.

There are limitations inherent in any methodology used to estimate the exposure to changes in market interest rates. It is not possible to fully model the market risk in instruments with leverage, option, or prepayment risks. Also, we are affected by basis risk, which is the difference in repricing characteristics of similar term rate indices. As such, this analysis is not intended to be a precise forecast of the effect a change in market interest rates would have on us.

If EVE increases in any interest rate scenario, that would indicate an increasing direction for the margin in that hypothetical rate scenario. A perfectly matched balance sheet would possess no change in the EVE, no matter what the rate scenario. The following table presents the EVE in the stated interest rate scenarios.

| December 31, 2013     |         |      |            |          | December 31, 2012 |         |      |            |          |
|-----------------------|---------|------|------------|----------|-------------------|---------|------|------------|----------|
| Scenario              | NPV     | NPV% | \$ Change  | % Change | Scenario          | NPV     | NPV% | \$ Change  | % Change |
| (Dollars in millions) |         |      |            |          |                   |         |      |            |          |
| 300                   | \$1,131 | 13.4 | % \$(261 ) | (18.8 )% | 300               | \$1,006 | 7.5  | % \$(223 ) | (18.1 )% |
| 200                   | \$1,233 | 14.3 | % \$(159 ) | (11.4 )% | 200               | \$1,139 | 8.4  | % \$(91 )  | (7.4 )%  |
| 100                   | \$1,326 | 15.0 | % \$(66 )  | (4.8 )%  | 100               | \$1,228 | 8.9  | % \$(2 )   | — %      |
| Current               | \$1,392 | 15.4 | % \$—      | — %      | Current           | \$1,230 | 8.8  | % \$—      | — %      |
| (100)                 | \$1,417 | 15.4 | % \$24     | 1.8 %    | (100)             | \$1,150 | 8.3  | % \$(80 )  | (6.5 )%  |

Our balance sheet exhibits sensitivity in a rising interest rate scenario as the EVE decreases. The decrease in EVE is the result of the amount of liabilities that would be expected to reprice in the near term exceeding the amount of assets that could similarly reprice over the same time period because such assets may have longer maturities or repricing terms.

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

## Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <u>Management's Report</u>   | <u>125</u> |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>   | <u>126</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2013 and 2012</u>                             | <u>127</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011</u>                 | <u>128</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>130</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>        | <u>130</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>                  | <u>132</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>  | <u>134</u> |
| <u>Note 1 - Nature of Business</u>   | <u>134</u> |
| <u>Note 2 - Business Developments</u>  | <u>134</u> |
| <u>Note 3 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>   | <u>136</u> |
| <u>Note 4 - Fair Value Accounting</u>  | <u>150</u> |
| <u>Note 5 - Investment Securities</u>  | <u>163</u> |
| <u>Note 6 - Loans Held-for-Sale</u>  | <u>166</u> |
| <u>Note 7 - Loans Repurchased with Government Guarantees</u>   | <u>167</u> |
| <u>Note 8 - Loans Held-for-Investment</u>  | <u>168</u> |
| <u>Note 9 - Concentrations of Credit</u>   | <u>177</u> |
| <u>Note 10 - Private-label Securitization Activity</u>   | <u>179</u> |
| <u>Note 11 - Repossessed Assets</u>  | <u>182</u> |
| <u>Note 12 - Federal Home Loan Bank Stock</u>  | <u>182</u> |
| <u>Note 13 - Premises and Equipment</u>  | <u>182</u> |
| <u>Note 14 - Mortgage Servicing Rights</u>   | <u>183</u> |
| <u>Note 15 - Derivative Financial Instruments</u>  | <u>185</u> |
| <u>Note 16 - Deposit Accounts</u>  | <u>189</u> |
| <u>Note 17 - Federal Home Loan Bank Advances</u>   | <u>189</u> |
| <u>Note 18 - Long-Term Debt</u>  | <u>191</u> |
| <u>Note 19 - Representation and Warranty Reserve</u>   | <u>192</u> |
| <u>Note 20 - Warrant Liabilities</u>   | <u>192</u> |
| <u>Note 21 - Stockholder's Equity</u>  | <u>193</u> |
| <u>Note 22 - Earnings (Loss) Per Share</u>   | <u>195</u> |
| <u>Note 23 - Stock-Based Compensation</u>  | <u>196</u> |
| <u>Note 24 - Employee Benefit Plans</u>  | <u>198</u> |
| <u>Note 25 - Income Taxes</u>  | <u>198</u> |
| <u>Note 26 - Related Party Transactions</u>  | <u>203</u> |
| <u>Note 27 - Regulatory Matters</u>  | <u>203</u> |
| <u>Note 28 - Legal Proceedings, Contingencies and Commitments</u>  | <u>205</u> |
| <u>Note 29 - Segment Information</u>   | <u>209</u> |
| <u>Note 30 - Holding Company Only Financial Statements</u>   | <u>211</u> |
| <u>Note 31 - Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)</u>  | <u>214</u> |

March 5, 2014

## Management's Report

Flagstar Bancorp's management is responsible for the integrity and objectivity of the information contained in this document. Management is responsible for the consistency of reporting this information and for ensuring that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America are used.

In discharging this responsibility, management maintains a comprehensive system of internal controls and supports an extensive program of internal audits, has made organizational arrangements providing appropriate divisions of responsibility and has established communication programs aimed at assuring that its policies, procedures and principles of business conduct are understood and practiced by its employees.

The Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related Statements of Operations, Comprehensive Income (Loss), Stockholders' Equity and Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 included in this document have been audited by Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. All audits were conducted using standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and the independent registered public accounting firms' reports and consents are included herein.

The Board of Directors' responsibility for these Consolidated Financial Statements is pursued mainly through its Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is composed entirely of directors who are not officers or employees of Flagstar Bancorp, Inc., and meets periodically with the internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, both with and without management present, to assure that their respective responsibilities are being fulfilled. The internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm have full access to the Audit Committee to discuss auditing and financial reporting matters.

/s/ Alessandro DiNello  
Alessandro DiNello  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Paul D. Borja  
Paul D. Borja  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  
Board of Directors and Stockholders

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Flagstar Bancorp Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013,

based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP  
Southfield, Michigan  
March 5, 2014

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
 Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition  
 (In thousands, except share data)

|  | December 31, |              |
|--|--------------|--------------|
|  | 2013         | 2012         |
| Assets   |              |              |
| Cash and cash equivalents  |              |              |
| Cash and cash items (includes \$1,129 and \$0 of consolidated VIEs, respectively) (1)  | \$55,913     | \$38,070     |
| Interest-earning deposits  | 224,592      | 914,723      |
| Total cash and cash equivalents  | 280,505      | 952,793      |
| Trading securities   | —            | 170,086      |
| Investment securities available-for-sale   | 1,045,548    | 184,445      |
| Loans held-for-sale includes (\$1,140,507 and \$2,865,696 measured at fair value, respectively)  | 1,480,418    | 3,939,720    |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees   | 1,273,690    | 1,841,342    |
| Loans held-for-investment, net   |              |              |
| Loans held-for-investment (\$238,322 and \$20,219 measured at fair value which includes \$155,012 and \$0 of consolidated VIEs, respectively) (1)(2) | 4,055,756    | 5,438,101    |
| Less: allowance for loan losses  | (207,000 )   | (305,000 )   |
| Total loans held-for-investment, net   | 3,848,756    | 5,133,101    |
| Mortgage servicing rights  | 284,678      | 710,791      |
| Repossessed assets, net  | 36,636       | 120,732      |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock   | 209,737      | 301,737      |
| Premises and equipment, net  | 231,350      | 219,059      |
| Net deferred tax asset   | 414,681      | —            |
| Other assets   | 301,302      | 508,206      |
| Total assets   | \$9,407,301  | \$14,082,012 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity   |              |              |
| Deposits   |              |              |
| Noninterest bearing  | \$930,060    | \$1,309,649  |
| Interest bearing   | 5,210,266    | 6,984,646    |
| Total deposits   | 6,140,326    | 8,294,295    |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances  | 988,000      | 3,180,000    |
| Long-term debt (includes \$105,813 and \$0 of consolidated VIEs at fair value, respectively) (1)(2)  | 353,248      | 247,435      |
| Representation and warranty reserve  | 54,000       | 193,000      |
| Other liabilities (\$93,000 and \$19,100 measured at fair value and \$136 and \$0 of consolidated VIEs, respectively) (1)(2)                         | 445,853      | 1,007,920    |
| Total liabilities  | 7,981,427    | 12,922,650   |
| Stockholders' Equity   |              |              |
| Preferred stock \$0.01 par value, liquidation value \$1,000 per share, 25,000,000 shares authorized; 266,657 issued and outstanding                  | 266,174      | 260,390      |
| Common stock \$0.01 par value, 70,000,000 shares authorized; 56,138,074 and 55,863,053 shares issued and outstanding, respectively                   | 561          | 559          |
| Additional paid in capital   | 1,479,265    | 1,476,569    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss   | (4,831 )     | (1,658 )     |
| Accumulated deficit  | (315,295 )   | (576,498 )   |
| Total stockholders' equity   | 1,425,874    | 1,159,362    |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity   | \$9,407,301  | \$14,082,012 |

- (1) Amounts represent the assets and liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities ("VIEs").
- (2) Amounts represent the assets and liabilities for which the Company has elected the fair value option.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
 Consolidated Statements of Operations - continued  
 (In thousands, except per share data)

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |             |             |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012        | 2011        |
| Interest Income   |                                  |             |             |
| Loans   | \$313,477                        | \$456,141   | \$427,022   |
| Investment securities available-for-sale or trading               | 11,912                           | 22,609      | 35,602      |
| Interest-earning deposits and other                               | 5,298                            | 2,220       | 2,785       |
| Total interest income   | 330,687                          | 480,970     | 465,409     |
| Interest Expense  |                                  |             |             |
| Deposits  | 42,392                           | 70,143      | 95,546      |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances                                   | 95,024                           | 106,625     | 117,963     |
| Other   | 6,620                            | 6,971       | 6,527       |
| Total interest expense  | 144,036                          | 183,739     | 220,036     |
| Net interest income   | 186,651                          | 297,231     | 245,373     |
| Provision for loan losses   | 70,142                           | 276,047     | 176,931     |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses               | \$116,509                        | \$21,184    | \$68,442    |
| Noninterest Income  |                                  |             |             |
| Loan fees and charges   | \$103,501                        | \$142,908   | \$77,843    |
| Deposit fees and charges  | 20,942                           | 20,370      | 29,629      |
| Loan administration   | 115,872                          | 100,007     | 94,604      |
| Gain (loss) on trading securities                                 | 65                               | (2,011)     | ) 21,088    |
| Net gain on loan sales  | 402,193                          | 990,898     | 300,789     |
| Net transaction costs on sales of mortgage servicing rights       | (19,228)                         | ) (12,319)  | ) (7,903)   |
| Net gain on investment securities available-for-sale              | 1,023                            | 2,636       | —           |
| Net gain on sale of assets  | 2,172                            | —           | 22,676      |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment (loss) gain                 | (8,789)                          | ) 2,810     | (30,456)    |
| (Loss) gain recognized in other comprehensive income before taxes | —                                | (5,002)     | ) 6,417     |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings                      | (8,789)                          | ) (2,192)   | ) (24,039)  |
| Representation and warranty reserve — change in estimate          | (36,116)                         | ) (256,289) | ) (150,055) |
| Other noninterest income  | 70,708                           | 37,234      | 20,884      |
| Total noninterest income  | \$652,343                        | \$1,021,242 | \$385,516   |
| Noninterest Expense   |                                  |             |             |
| Compensation and benefits   | \$279,268                        | \$270,859   | \$224,708   |
| Commissions   | 54,407                           | 75,345      | 39,348      |
| Occupancy and equipment   | 80,042                           | 73,674      | 70,117      |
| Asset resolution  | 52,033                           | 91,349      | 128,313     |
| Federal insurance premiums  | 34,873                           | 49,273      | 41,581      |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt                                    | 177,556                          | 15,246      | —           |
| Loan processing expense   | 52,223                           | 56,070      | 30,293      |
| Legal and professional expense                                    | 144,054                          | 300,523     | 65,534      |
| Other noninterest expense   | 43,659                           | 57,356      | 34,786      |
| Total noninterest expense   | \$918,115                        | \$989,695   | \$634,680   |



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(In thousands, except per share data)

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |             |             |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012        | 2011        |
| (Loss) income before income taxes            | \$ (149,263                      | ) \$ 52,731 | \$ (180,722 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes         | (416,250                         | ) (15,645   | ) 1,056     |
| Net Income (Loss)                            | 266,987                          | 68,376      | (181,778    |
| Preferred stock dividend/accretion (1)       | (5,784                           | ) (5,658    | ) (17,165   |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common stock | \$ 261,203                       | \$ 62,718   | \$ (198,943 |
| Income (loss) per share                      |                                  |             |             |
| Basic (2)                                    | \$ 4.40                          | \$ 0.88     | \$ (3.62    |
| Diluted (2)                                  | \$ 4.37                          | \$ 0.87     | \$ (3.62    |
| Weighted average shares outstanding          |                                  |             |             |
| Basic (2)                                    | 56,063,282                       | 55,762,196  | 55,434,296  |
| Diluted (2)                                  | 56,518,181                       | 56,193,515  | 55,434,296  |

The preferred stock dividend/accretion for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, represents (1) only the accretion. On January 27, 2012, the Company elected to defer payment of dividends and interest on the preferred stock.

(2) Restated for a one-for-ten stock split announced September 27, 2012 and began trading on October 11, 2012.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)  
(In thousands)

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |           |              |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012      | 2011         |
| Net income (loss)   | \$266,987                        | \$68,376  | \$(181,778 ) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax   |                                  |           |              |
| Investment securities available-for-sale  |                                  |           |              |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available-for-sale   | 2,061                            | 26,485    | (15,693 )    |
| Reclassification of loss on sale of investment securities available-for-sale  | (1,023 )                         | (2,636 )  | —            |
| Subsequent decreases in the fair value of investment securities available-for-sale previously written down as impaired                            | (2,681 )                         | —         | —            |
| Additions for the amount related to the credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized                    | 8,789                            | 2,192     | 24,039       |
| Total investment securities available-for-sale, before tax  | 7,146                            | 26,041    | 8,346        |
| Other comprehensive income, deferred tax benefit  |                                  |           |              |
| Deferred tax benefit related to other comprehensive income resulting from unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available-for-sale | (4,211 )                         | —         | —            |
| Deferred tax benefit related to other comprehensive income resulting from the dissolution and sales of investments securities available-for-sale  | (6,108 )                         | (19,880 ) | —            |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax   | (3,173 )                         | 6,161     | 8,346        |
| Comprehensive income (loss)   | \$263,814                        | \$74,537  | \$(173,432 ) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity  
(In thousands)

|                                  | Preferred<br>Stock | Common<br>Stock | Additional<br>Paid in<br>Capital | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income (Loss) | Retained<br>Earnings<br>(Accumulated<br>Deficit) | Total<br>Stockholders'<br>Equity |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010     | \$249,196          | \$553           | \$1,466,353                      | \$ (16,165 )   | \$ (440,274 )                                    | \$1,259,663                      |
| Net loss                         | —                  | —               | —                                | —  | (181,778 )                                       | (181,778 )                       |
| Total other comprehensive income | —                  | —               | —                                | 8,346  | —  | 8,346                            |
| Restricted stock issued (1)      | —                  | 1               | (1 )                             | —  | —  | —                                |
| Dividends on preferred stock     | —                  | —               | —                                | —  | (11,628 )  | (11,628 )                        |
| Accretion of preferred stock     | 5,536              | —               | —                                | —  | (5,536 )   | —                                |
| Stock-based compensation (1)     | —                  | 2               | 5,111                            | —  | —  | 5,113                            |
| Balance at December 31, 2011     | \$254,732          | \$556           | \$1,471,463                      | \$ (7,819 )  | \$ (639,216 )                                    | \$1,079,716                      |
| Net income                       | —                  | —               | —                                | —  | 68,376   | 68,376                           |
| Total other comprehensive income | —                  | —               | —                                | 6,161  | —  | 6,161                            |
| Restricted stock issued (1)      | —                  | 1               | (1 )                             | —  | —  | —                                |
| Accretion of preferred stock     | 5,658              | —               | —                                | —  | (5,658 )   | —                                |
| Stock-based compensation (1)     | —                  | 2               | 5,107                            | —  | —  | 5,109                            |
| Balance at December 31, 2012     | \$260,390          | \$559           | \$1,476,569                      | \$ (1,658 )  | \$ (576,498 )                                    | \$1,159,362                      |
| Net income                       | —                  | —               | —                                | —  | 266,987  | 266,987                          |
| Total other comprehensive income | —                  | —               | —                                | (3,173 )   | —  | (3,173 )                         |
| Restricted stock issued          | —                  | 1               | (1 )                             | —  | —  | —                                |
| Accretion of preferred stock     | 5,784              | —               | —                                | —  | (5,784 )   | —                                |
| Stock-based compensation         | —                  | 1               | 2,697                            | —  | —  | 2,698                            |
| Balance at December 31, 2013     | \$266,174          | \$561           | \$1,479,265                      | \$ (4,831 )  | \$ (315,295 )                                    | \$1,425,874                      |

(1) Restated for a one-for-ten stock split announced September 27, 2012 and began trading on October 11, 2012.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(In thousands)

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |              |              |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012         | 2011         |
| Operating Activities  |                                  |              |              |
| Net income (loss)   | \$266,987                        | \$68,376     | \$(181,778)  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:        |                                  |              |              |
| Provision for loan losses   | 70,142                           | 276,047      | 176,931      |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 23,229                           | 20,206       | 15,879       |
| Loss on fair value of mortgage servicing rights   | 4,664                            | 195,821      | 235,820      |
| Stock-based compensation expense  | 2,698                            | 5,109        | 5,113        |
| Net gain on sale of Indiana and Georgia retail bank franchises                              | —                                | —            | (21,379)     |
| Net gain on the sale of assets  | (26,998)                         | (11,142)     | (6,000)      |
| Net gain on loan sales  | (402,193)                        | (990,898)    | (300,789)    |
| Net transaction costs on sales of mortgage servicing rights                                 | 19,228                           | 12,319       | 7,903        |
| Net gain on investment securities   | (1,088)                          | (625)        | (21,088)     |
| Other than temporary impairment losses on investment securities available-for-sale          | 8,789                            | 2,192        | 24,039       |
| Net (gain) loss on transferors' interest  | (45,534)                         | 2,552        | 5,673        |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held-for-sale  | 39,055,510                       | 56,925,075   | 28,115,255   |
| Origination and repurchase of mortgage loans held-for-sale, net of principal repayments     | (39,208,909)                     | (57,426,828) | (27,169,191) |
| Net change in:  |                                  |              |              |
| Decrease (increase) in repurchased loans with government guarantees, net of claims received | 567,652                          | 57,925       | (224,515)    |
| Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable  | 43,833                           | 13,208       | (21,307)     |
| Purchase of trading securities  | —                                | (170,000)    | (131,746)    |
| Proceeds from sales of trading securities   | 170,154                          | 311,220      | —            |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets   | (303,560)                        | 38,322       | (75,813)     |
| (Decrease) increase in payable for mortgage repurchase option                               | (51,615)                         | (44,850)     | 5,190        |
| Net charge-offs in representation and warranty reserve                                      | (192,722)                        | (207,699)    | (118,448)    |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate                                    | 36,116                           | 256,289      | 150,055      |
| (Decrease) increase in other liabilities  | (232,321)                        | 277,566      | 121,865      |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities   | \$(195,938)                      | \$(389,815)  | \$591,669    |
| Investing Activities  |                                  |              |              |
| Proceeds received from the sale of investment securities available-for-sale                 | \$3,285,735                      | \$233,902    | \$—          |
| Repayment of investment securities available-for-sale                                       | 65,405                           | 88,645       | 118,643      |
| Purchase of investment securities available-for-sale  | (1,057,389)                      | (20,000)     | (140,901)    |
| Net change from sales of loans held-for-investment  | (749,025)                        | (1,158,461)  | (70,971)     |
| Principal repayments net of origination of loans held-for-investment                        | 1,507,854                        | 1,228,948    | (988,200)    |
| Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock  | 92,000                           | —            | 35,453       |
| Proceeds from the disposition of repossessed assets   | 117,310                          | 85,362       | 119,633      |
| Acquisitions of premises and equipment, net of proceeds                                     | (35,979)                         | (34,673)     | 13,439       |
| Proceeds from the sale of mortgage servicing rights   | 936,064                          | 128,119      | 80,392       |
|   | —                                | —            | (651,649)    |

Net proceeds from sale of Indiana and Georgia retail bank  
franchises

|   |             |           |                |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | \$4,161,975 | \$551,842 | \$(1,484,161 ) |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------------|

132

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(In thousands)

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |             |           |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012        | 2011      |
| <b>Financing Activities</b>   |                                  |             |           |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposit accounts   | \$(2,153,969                     | ) \$604,307 | \$364,065 |
| Net (decrease) increase in Federal Home Loan Bank advances                                    | (2,192,000                       | ) (773,000  | ) 227,917 |
| Payment on long-term debt   | (14,167                          | ) (1,150    | ) (25     |
| Net (disbursement) receipt of payments of loans serviced for others                           | (278,382                         | ) 216,108   | 81,913    |
| Net receipt of escrow payments  | 193                              | 13,443      | 7,774     |
| Dividends paid to preferred stockholders  | —                                | —           | (11,628   |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities   | \$(4,638,325                     | ) \$59,708  | \$670,016 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents  | (672,288                         | ) 221,735   | (222,476  |
| Beginning cash and cash equivalents   | 952,793                          | 731,058     | 953,534   |
| Ending cash and cash equivalents  | \$280,505                        | \$952,793   | \$731,058 |
| <b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information</b>                                       |                                  |             |           |
| Loans held-for-investment transferred to repossessed assets                                   | \$175,415                        | \$369,267   | \$211,519 |
| Interest paid on deposits and other borrowings  | \$142,818                        | \$179,043   | \$224,278 |
| Income taxes paid   | \$8,915                          | \$2,930     | \$931     |
| Reclassification of loans originated for investment to loans held-for-sale                    | \$831,739                        | \$1,220,231 | \$87,704  |
| Reclassification of mortgage loans originated held-for-sale then to loans held-for-investment | \$64,289                         | \$61,770    | \$16,733  |
| Mortgage servicing rights resulting from sale or securitization of loans                      | \$541,039                        | \$535,875   | \$254,824 |
| Recharacterization of investment securities available-for-sale to loans held-for-investment   | \$73,283                         | \$—         | \$—       |
| Reconsolidation of HELOC securitization trusts assets to variable interest entities (VIEs)    | \$170,507                        | \$—         | \$—       |
| Reconsolidation of HELOC securitization trusts long-term debt to VIEs                         | \$119,980                        | \$—         | \$—       |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 — Nature of Business

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. ("Flagstar" or the "Company"), the holding company for Flagstar Bank, FSB (the "Bank") is a Michigan-based savings and loan holding company founded in 1993. The Company's business is primarily conducted through its principal subsidiary, the Bank, a federally chartered stock savings bank founded in 1987. At December 31, 2013, the Company's total assets were \$9.4 billion. The Company has the largest bank headquartered in Michigan and one of the top ten largest savings banks in the United States.

The primary business of the Company is conducted through the Mortgage Banking segment, in which the Company originates or purchases residential first mortgage loans throughout the country and sells them into securitization pools, primarily to Fannie Mae, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") and Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae") (collectively, the "Agencies") or as whole loans and generally retains the right to service the mortgage loans that it sells. These mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") are sold by the Company in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying mortgages. The Company has, from time to time, retained certain loan originations in the held-for-investment portfolio. Mortgage loans are originated through home loan centers, national call centers, the Internet, unaffiliated banks and mortgage brokerage companies. As of December 31, 2013, the Company operated 39 home loan centers in 19 states.

The Company also offers a range of products and services to consumers and businesses through the Community Banking segment. As of December 31, 2013, the Company operated 111 bank branches in Michigan. The Company offers consumer products including deposit accounts, commercial loans and personal loans, including auto and boat loans. The Company offers treasury management services. Commercial products offered include deposit and sweep accounts, telephone banking, term loans and lines of credit, lease financing, government banking products and treasury management services including remote deposit and merchant services.

The Bank is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") of the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("U.S. Treasury"). The Bank is also subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB"). The Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund. The Company is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve ("Federal Reserve"). The Bank is also a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Indianapolis.

Note 2 — Recent Developments and Significant Transactions

Reversal of Valuation Allowance on DTA

During the fourth quarter 2013, the Company reversed 100 percent of the valuation allowance on its federal net deferred tax asset ("DTA") and a portion of its state DTA, which had been previously established as of September 30, 2009. As a result during the year ended December 31, 2013, net income was increased by \$355.8 million, or \$6.29 per diluted share, reflected as a tax benefit on the income statement.

DOJ Settlement Liability

In February 2012, the Bank entered into the DOJ settlement. As part of the settlement, the Bank agreed to make payments totaling \$118.0 million, contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events, including the reversal of the valuation allowance on the DTA. As a result of the fourth quarter 2013 reversal of the DTA valuation allowance

and the consideration of other criteria precedent to the payment of the remaining amount of the liability, the Company had an additional increase in the fair value liability associated with its DOJ Settlement. The total fair value of the DOJ settlement liability was increased to \$93.0 million at December 31, 2013, as compared to \$19.1 million at December 31, 2012. See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for details on the DOJ litigation settlement.

#### Settlements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac

During the fourth quarter 2013, the Company announced that the Bank had entered into settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") to resolve substantially all of the repurchase requests and obligations associated with loans originated and subsequently sold to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2008. The Fannie Mae total resolution amount was \$121.5 million, and after paid claim credits and other adjustments, the Company paid \$93.5 million to Fannie Mae. The Freddie Mac total resolution amount was



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

\$10.8 million, and after paid claim credits and other adjustments, the Company paid \$8.9 million to Freddie Mac. As a result of these settlements, the Company released approximately \$24.9 million of previously recognized accruals.

#### Prepayment of Federal Home Loan Advances

The Company prepaid \$2.9 billion in higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances during the fourth quarter 2013, which resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$177.6 million.

#### Organizational Restructuring

On January 16, 2014, the Company completed an organizational restructuring to reduce expenses in light of the current operating environment and consistent with its previously communicated strategy of optimizing its cost structure across all business lines. As part of this restructuring initiative, the Company has reduced full-time equivalents by approximately 350 during the first quarter 2014, which did not impact the full year 2013 financial results. Including the restructuring completed in the first quarter 2014, the Company has reduced staffing levels across the organization by approximately 600 full-time equivalents from its September 30, 2013 level.

#### Sale of Mortgage Servicing Rights

On December 18, 2013, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell \$40.7 billion unpaid principal balance of its MSR portfolio to Matrix Financial Services Corporation ("Matrix"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Two Harbors Investment Corp. Covered under the agreement are certain mortgage loans serviced for both Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, originated primarily after 2010. Simultaneously, the Company entered into an agreement with Matrix to subservice the residential mortgage loans sold to Matrix. As a result, the Company will receive subservicing income and retain a portion of the ancillary fees to be paid as the servicer of the loans.

#### Preferred Stock and Warrant

On December 18, 2012, the U.S. Treasury announced its intention to auction, during 2013, the preferred stock of a number of institutions, including the Company, that the U.S. Treasury purchased in 2009 under the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") Capital Purchase Program. The auction of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (the "Series C Preferred Stock"), closed on March 28, 2013. The U.S. Treasury also auctioned the warrant to purchase up to approximately 645,138 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Common Stock") at an exercise price of \$62.00 per share (the "TARP Warrant"). That auction closed on June 5, 2013. As a result of the auctions, the Series C Preferred Stock and the TARP Warrant are now held by third party investors unaffiliated with the U.S. government.

#### Commercial Loan Sales

Effective February 5, 2013, the Bank entered into a definitive Asset and Portfolio Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "Customers Agreement") with Customers Bank ("Customers") located in Wyomissing, Pennsylvania. Under the terms of the Customers Agreement, Customers acquired \$187.6 million in commercial loan commitments, \$150.9 million of which were outstanding at December 31, 2012. The loans sold consist primarily of commercial and industrial loans. The transaction settled on March 28, 2013 for a purchase price of \$148.5 million.

Effective December 31, 2012, the Bank entered into a definitive Transaction Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "CIT Agreement") with CIT Bank, the wholly-owned U.S. commercial bank subsidiary of CIT Group Inc. ("CIT"). Under the terms of the CIT Agreement, CIT acquired \$1.3 billion in commercial loan commitments, \$784.3 million of which

was outstanding at December 31, 2012 for a purchase price of \$779.2 million. The Company recognized a gain of \$1.0 million recorded in "net gain on sale of assets" on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The loans sold consist primarily of asset-based loans, equipment leases and commercial real estate loans. The sale resulted in a reversal of \$12.6 million to the allowance for loan loss associated with such loans and which the reversal was recognized at December 31, 2012.

#### Litigation Settlements

In 2009 and 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from Assured with respect to HELOCs that were sold by the Bank in connection with the two non-agency HELOC securitizations. On February 5, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court") issued a decision in the lawsuit filed by Assured. The Court found in favor of Assured on its claims for breach of contract against the Bank in the amount of \$89.2 million plus contractual interest and

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

attorneys' fees and costs. On April 1, 2013, the Court issued a final judgment against the Company for a total of \$106.5 million, consisting of \$90.7 million in damages plus \$15.9 million in pre-judgment interest. The Bank filed a notice of appeal later that month. The Court subsequently issued a memorandum order, in which the court reserved the decision regarding attorneys' fees until after the appeal. On June 21, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with Assured (the "Assured Settlement Agreement") to settle the litigation and the Bank's pending appeal. Pursuant to the terms of the Assured Settlement Agreement, Assured's judgment against the Bank has been deemed fully satisfied, the Bank's appeal has been dismissed, and, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank has paid Assured \$105.0 million. In addition, the Bank has assumed responsibility for future payments due by Assured to noteholders in the Flagstar non-agency HELOC securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2005-1") and Flagstar non-agency HELOC securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2006-2"), (collectively the "HELOC securitization trusts"), and will receive future reimbursements for claims paid to which Assured would otherwise have been entitled. As a result, the Bank recorded a \$49.1 million gain during the second quarter 2013, arising from the reconsolidation of the assets and liabilities of the HELOC securitization trusts at fair value and the reversal of related reserves for pending and threatened litigation. Due to the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts assets and liabilities at June 30, 2013. The Company subsequently became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts, which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE.

In May 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from MBIA Insurance Corporation ("MBIA") with respect to closed-end, fixed and adjustable second mortgage loans that were sold by the Bank in connection with its two non-agency second mortgage loan securitizations. On January 11, 2013, MBIA filed a lawsuit against the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging a breach of various loan level representations and warranties and seeking relief for breach of contract, as well as full indemnification and reimbursement of amounts that it has paid and will pay under the respective insurance policies, plus interest and costs. In the litigation, MBIA alleged damages of \$165.0 million and unspecified future damages. In March 2013, the Bank filed a motion to dismiss, and MBIA filed a motion for partial summary judgment on the basis of collateral estoppel. On May 2, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with MBIA (the "MBIA Settlement Agreement") to settle the litigation. Pursuant to the terms of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, MBIA dismissed its lawsuit against the Bank and in exchange, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank paid MBIA \$110.0 million. Following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the Flagstar non-agency second mortgage securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2006-1") which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and the Company then transferred the loans associated with the securitization to its loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value, approximately \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans, and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. As a result, the Company recognized a \$4.9 million loss during the second quarter 2013. In addition, the MBIA Settlement Agreement also noted that MBIA will be required to satisfy all of its obligation under the Flagstar non-agency second mortgage securitization trust (the "FSTAR 2007-1") insurance policy and related FSTAR 2007-1 obligations without further recourse to the Company.

### Note 3 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The following significant accounting policies of the Company, which are applied in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements, conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. GAAP.

#### Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. The Company

has evaluated the Consolidated Financial Statements for subsequent events through the filing of this Form 10-K.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company has made significant estimates in a variety of areas, including but not limited to, valuation of certain financial instruments: inclusive of the DOJ litigation settlement, other litigation accruals, the allowance for loan losses, the representation and warranty reserve, loans held-for-investment and held-for-sale and MSR. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

### Variable Interest Entities

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which the Company has determined to have a controlling financial interest. The Company consolidates a VIE if it has: (i) a variable interest in the entity; (ii) the power to direct activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and (iii) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE (i.e., the Company is considered to be the primary beneficiary).

A VIE is an entity that lacks equity investors or whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. The entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is referred to as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. On a quarterly basis, the Company will reassess whether it has a controlling financial interest in and is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. The quarterly reassessment process considers whether the Company has acquired or divested the power to direct the activities of the VIE through changes in governing documents or other circumstances.

The reassessment also considers whether the Company has acquired or disposed of a financial interest that could be significant to the VIE, or whether an interest in the VIE has become significant or is no longer significant. The consolidation status of the VIEs with which the Company is involved may change as a result of such reassessments. Changes in consolidation status are applied prospectively, with assets and liabilities of a newly consolidated VIE initially recorded at fair value. A gain or loss may be recognized upon deconsolidation of a VIE depending on the carrying amounts of deconsolidated assets and liabilities compared to the fair value of retained interests and ongoing contractual arrangements. The Company primarily uses VIEs for its securitization activities, in which the Company transfers whole loans or debt securities into a trust or other vehicle such that the assets are legally isolated from the creditors of the Company. Assets held in a trust can only be used to settle obligations of the trust. The creditors of these trusts typically have no recourse to the Company except in accordance with the Company's obligations under standard representations and warranties. When the Company is the servicer of whole loans held in a securitization trust, including home equity loans, the Company has the power to direct the most significant activities of the trust. The Company does not have the power to direct the most significant activities of a residential mortgage agency trust unless the Company holds substantially all of the issued securities and has the unilateral right to liquidate the trust. The Company consolidates a whole-loan securitization trust if it has the power to direct the most significant activities and also holds securities issued by the trust or has other contractual arrangements, other than standard representations and warranties, which could potentially be significant to the trust.

As a result of the settlement agreement with Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp., formerly known as Financial Security Assurance Inc. ("Assured"), the Company reconsolidated the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts assets and liabilities at June 30, 2013. The Company became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts because the Company obtained the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts (power to select or remove the servicer) and the obligation to absorb probable losses and receive residual returns (support of the guarantor and holder of residual interests in trusts), which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for information on VIEs.

### Determination of Fair Value

Fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed discounted cash flow models that use primarily market-based or independently-sourced market parameters, including interest rate yield curves and option volatilities. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments include

amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality, creditworthiness, liquidity and unobservable parameters that are applied consistently over time.

The methods described above may produce a fair value estimate that may not be indicative or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Company believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in different estimates of fair values of the same financial instruments at the reporting date.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") or other standard setting bodies that are adopted by the Company as of the specified effective date. Unless otherwise

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

discussed, the impact of recently issued standards that are not yet effective will not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements or the Notes thereto or results of operations upon adoption.

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-04, "Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date." The guidance requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date, as the sum of (a) the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and (b) any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. The guidance also requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. This guidance is effective retrospectively, for annual and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of the guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements or the Notes thereto.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, "Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists." The guidance requires an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. This guidance is effective prospectively, for annual and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of the guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements or the Notes thereto.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-04, "Receivables—Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Topic 310-40): Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure." The guidance amends the guidance in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 310-40, "Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors," in efforts to reduce diversity in practice through clarifying when an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs. Essentially, the guidance addresses when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan so that the loan should be derecognized and the real estate property recognized in the financial statements. This guidance is effective prospectively, for annual and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of the guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements or the Notes thereto.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash on hand, cash items in the process of collection, and amounts due from correspondent banks and the Federal Reserve Bank are included in cash and cash equivalents. Short-term investments that have a maturity at the date of acquisition of three months or less and are readily convertible to cash are considered cash equivalents.

The Company has pledged cash to collateralize the relationship with VISA and the servicing related exposures with Fannie Mae. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company pledged \$2.3 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, of cash. The cash pledged was restricted and is included in interest-earning deposits on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Securities

Investments in debt securities and certain equity securities are accounted for under ASC Topic 320, "Investments — Debt and Equity Securities" as either available-for-sale or trading.

Securities in the trading category are recorded at fair value in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, with unrealized and realized gains or losses included as a component of "gain on trading securities" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had no trading securities.

The Company measures available-for-sale securities at fair value in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, included in "other comprehensive income (loss)" in shareholders' equity. The Company recognizes realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities when securities are sold. The cost of



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Any gains or losses realized upon the sale of a security are reported in "net gain on securities available-for-sale" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company evaluates available-for-sale securities for OTTI on a quarterly basis. An OTTI is considered to have occurred when the fair value of a debt security is below its amortized cost and the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery when a credit loss exists. At December 31, 2013, the Company had no OTTI on the available-for-sale investment securities held.

Any security for which there has been an OTTI is written down to its estimated fair value through a charge to earnings for the amount representing the credit loss on the security and a charge recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) related to all other factors. Realized securities gains and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary representing credit losses are included in "net impairment losses recognized in earnings" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Investment transactions are recorded on the trade date rather than on the settlement date, which may be later. Interest earned on securities, including the amortization of premiums and the accretion of discounts using the effective interest method over the period of maturity, is included in interest income. For a discussion of valuation of securities, see Note 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

#### Loans Held-for-Sale

When the Company holds loans that it intends to sell, the Company classifies the loans as held-for-sale. Loans originated for sale prior to January 1, 2009 are accounted for at the lower of cost or fair value. For loans originated after January 1, 2009 that the Company intends to sell, the Company has elected the fair value option. Because these loans are recorded at their fair value, deferral of loan origination fees and direct origination costs associated with these loans is no longer permitted. The Company estimates the fair value of mortgage loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. Otherwise, the fair value of loans is estimated using discounted cash flows based upon management's best estimate of market interest rates for loans with similar collateral.

Gains or losses recognized upon the sale of loans are determined using the specific identification method. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had an insignificant amount of loans that had been originated prior to the fair value election and accounted for at lower of cost or fair value.

#### Loans Held-for-Investment

The Company classifies loans that it has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity as held-for-investment. Held-for-investment loans are reported at their outstanding principal balance adjusted for any deferred and unamortized cost basis adjustments, including purchase premiums, discounts and other cost basis adjustments. The Company recognizes interest income on held-for-investment loans using the interest method, including the amortization of any deferred cost basis adjustments, unless the Company believes that the ultimate collection of contractual principal or interest payments in full is not reasonably assured.

When loans originally designated as held-for-sale or loans originally designated as held-for-investment are reclassified, cash flows associated with the loans will be classified in the Consolidated Cash Flow Statements as operating or investing, as appropriate, in accordance with the initial classification of the loans rather than their current classification. The Company elected to carry its mortgage loans held-for-sale at fair value via the fair value option. As a result, any subsequent transfers of loans held-for-sale to loans held-for-investment is carried at fair value with any changes in fair value reported in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As of June 30, 2013, the HELOC securitizations have been reconsolidated such that the HELOC loans associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 securitization trusts have been recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statement as loans held-for-investment, as a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement. These loans are recorded at fair value via the fair value option using the present value of expected cash flows discounted at market rates typical of assets with similar risk profiles. Interest income on the loans is accrued on the principal outstanding primarily using the interest method. The change in fair value relating to the loans is recorded in other noninterest income. Accordingly, such an election allows the Company to continue fair value accounting through earnings for those interests and eliminate income statement mismatch otherwise caused by differences in the measurement basis of the consolidated VIEs assets and liabilities.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Also, included in loans held-for-investment are the second mortgage loans associated with the previous FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. The loans are valued using a discounted estimated net future cash flow model and recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statement as loans held-for-investment at fair value via the fair value option. Interest income on the loans is accrued on the principal outstanding utilize the interest method. The change in fair value relating to the loans is recorded in other noninterest income. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

#### Loan Modifications (Troubled Debt Restructurings)

The Company may modify certain loans in both consumer and commercial loan portfolio segments to retain customers or to maximize collection of the loan balance. The Company has maintained several programs designed to assist borrowers by extending payment dates or reducing the borrower's contractual payments. All loan modifications are made on a case-by-case basis. The Company's standards relating to loan modifications consider, among other factors, minimum verified income requirements, cash flow analysis, and collateral valuations. Each potential loan modification is reviewed individually and the terms of the loan are modified to meet a borrower's specific circumstances at a point in time. All loan modifications, including those classified as TDRs, are reviewed and approved. These loans are classified as TDRs and are included in non-accrual loans if the loan was nonperforming prior to the restructuring. TDRs result in those instances in which a borrower demonstrates financial difficulty and for which a concession has been granted, which includes reductions of interest rate, extensions of amortization period, principal and/or interest forgiveness and other actions intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral. These loans will continue on non-accrual status until the borrower has established a willingness and ability to make the restructured payments for at least six months, after which they will begin to accrue interest.

Consumer loan modifications. The Company modifies loans under company-developed programs based upon the Company's commitment to help eligible homeowners and borrowers avoid foreclosure, where appropriate. The Company's modification programs typically reduce the interest rate and/or extend the term. Substantially all modifications are classified as TDRs and have a term greater than six months. The company-developed modification programs involve a contractual change to original loan terms.

For consumer loan programs (e.g., residential first mortgages, second mortgages, warehouse, HELOC, and other consumer), the Company enters into a modification when the borrower has indicated a hardship, including illness or death in the family, or a loss of employment. Other modifications occur when it is confirmed that the borrower does not possess the income necessary to continue making loan payments at the current amount, but the Company's expectation is that payments at lower amounts can be made. The primary concession given to consumer loan borrowers includes a reduced interest rate and/or an extension of the amortization period or maturity date. Consumer loans identified as TDRs involve borrowers unable to refinance their mortgages through the Company's normal mortgage origination channels or through other independent sources. Most, but not all, of the loans may be past due.

Impaired residential first mortgage loans include loan modifications considered to be TDRs and certain nonperforming loans that have been charged down to collateral value. Fair value of nonperforming residential first mortgage loans, including redefaulted TDRs and certain other severely past due loans, is based on the underlying collateral's value obtained through appraisals or broker's price opinions, updated at least semi-annually, less management's estimates of cost to sell. The allowance allocated to TDRs performing under the terms of their modification is typically based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate as these loans are not considered to be collateral dependent.

Once such a loan has been modified and designated as a TDR, it is assessed for impairment. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance specific to impaired loans, consumer TDRs are measured primarily based on the net present value of the estimated cash flows discounted at a loan's original effective interest rate. Alternatively, consumer TDRs that are considered to be dependent solely on the collateral for repayment (i.e. re-defaulted under modified terms) are measured based on the estimated fair value of the collateral net of costs to sell. If the carrying value of a TDR exceeds the value of the discounted cash flows or discounted collateral value, an allowance for loan losses is established for the shortfall. Once a loan is deemed to be a TDR, the loan continues to be classified as a TDR until contractually repaid or charged-off. Nonperforming TDRs are those that are greater than 90 days past due or loans recently modified and have not performed for six consecutive months.

The Company identifies certain loans within the consumer portfolio that meet the definition of collateral dependent as defined by regulatory guidance as the borrowers have not reaffirmed their debt discharged in a Chapter 7 bankruptcy filing. The bankruptcy court's discharge of the borrower's debt is considered a concession when the discharged debt is not reaffirmed, and as such, the loans are classified as TDRs, placed on nonperforming status, and written down to collateral value, less anticipated selling costs. Such loans are treated as non-accrual loans for the remaining term regardless of payment status.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Commercial loan modifications. Modifications of terms for commercial loans are based on individual facts and circumstances. Commercial loan modifications may involve a reduction of the interest rate and/or an extension of the term of the loan. The Company also engages in other loss mitigation activities with troubled borrowers, which include repayment plans, forbearance arrangements, and the capitalization only of past due amounts. Repayment plans and forbearance arrangements are informal agreements with the borrower that do not result in the legal modification of the loan. For all of these activities, the Company considers the deferral or capitalization of three or fewer missed payments to represent only an insignificant delay, and thus not a TDR. If the Company defers or capitalizes more than three missed payments, the delay is no longer considered insignificant, and the restructuring is accounted for as a TDR.

The Company measures impairment of a loan restructured as a TDR individually based on the excess of the recorded investment in the loan over the fair value of the underlying property, adjusted for the estimated costs to sell the property, for loans that are collateral dependent. For those that are not collateral dependent, the impairment is measured based on the excess of the recorded investment in the loan over the present value of the expected future cash inflows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. Costs incurred to complete a TDR are expensed as incurred. However, when foreclosure is probable on an individually impaired loan, the Company measures impairment based on the difference between a recorded investment in the loan and the fair value of the underlying property, adjusted for the estimated costs to sell the property and estimated insurance or other proceeds the Company expects to receive.

The allowance for loan losses related to TDRs is primarily driven by updated risk ratings assigned to commercial loans and borrower past due history in both the commercial and consumer loan portfolios. As such, the provision for loan losses is impacted primarily by changes in borrower payment performance rather than the TDR classification. TDRs can be classified as either performing or nonperforming loans. Nonperforming TDRs are included in non-accrual loans, while performing TDRs are excluded from non-accrual loans because it is probable that all contractual principal and interest due under the restructured terms will be collected.

In instances where the Company substantiates that collection of outstanding balances in full is probable, the note is considered for return to performing status upon the borrower sustaining sufficient cash flows for a six months month period of time. This six months month period could extend before or after the restructure date. If a charge-off was taken as part of the restructuring, any interest or principal payments received on that note are applied to first reduce the Bank's outstanding book balance and then to recoveries of charged-off principal, unpaid interest, and/or fees and expenses.

#### Impaired Loans

Loans are considered impaired if it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Generally, for any loan deemed impaired the loan is evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for impairment. The Company performs an individual evaluation to determine the necessity of a specific reserve in accordance with the provisions of accounting guidance within ASC Topic 310, "Receivables." An allowance to be established as a component of the allowance for loan losses when it is probable that all amounts due will not be collected pursuant to the contractual terms of the loan and the recorded investment in the loan exceeds its fair value. Fair value is measured using either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the observable market price of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, reduced by estimated disposal costs. If the fair value less the costs to sell are less than the carrying value of the loan, an impairment is recorded, otherwise no allowance is recored. In estimating the fair value of collateral, outside fee-based appraisers are typically utilized to evaluate various factors such as occupancy and

rental rates in the Company's real estate markets and the level of obsolescence that may exist on assets representing collateral for commercial business loans. For residential mortgages, broker price opinions (BPOs) less management's estimates of cost to sell are used to estimate the fair value of the collateral. Performing troubled debt restructured loans are evaluated at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The calculated valuation allowance is included in the allowance for loan losses in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the majority of impaired loans were evaluated based on discounted cash flows and the remainder were based on the fair value of the underlying collateral.

Determining the loans fair value requires significant judgment and utilization of estimates, and the eventual outcome may differ significantly from those estimates. When a loan in any class within the consumer and commercial loan portfolios has been determined to be impaired, the amount of the impairment is measured using the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or, as a practical expedient, the observable market price of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. When the present value of expected future cash flows is used, the effective interest rate is the original contractual interest rate of the loan adjusted for any premium or discount. When the

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

contractual interest rate is variable, the effective interest rate of the loan changes over time. A reserve is established as a component of the allowance for loan losses when a loan has been determined to be impaired. Subsequent to the initial measurement of impairment, if there is a significant change to the impaired loan's expected future cash flows, or if actual cash flows are significantly different from the cash flows previously estimated, the Company recalculates the impairment and appropriately adjusts the reserve. Similarly, if the Company measures impairment based on the observable market price of an impaired loan or the fair value of the collateral of an impaired collateral dependent loan, the Company will adjust the reserve if there is a significant change in either of those bases. Those impaired loans not requiring a reserve represent loans for which expected discounted cash flows or the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs exceeded the recorded investments in such loans. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the majority of impaired loans were evaluated based on discounted cash flows rather than based on the fair value of the underlying collateral.

When a loan within any class is impaired, the accrual of interest income is discontinued unless the receipt of principal and interest is no longer in doubt. Cash receipts received on nonperforming impaired loans within any class are applied entirely against principal until the loan has been collected in full, after which time any additional cash receipts are recognized as interest income. Cash receipts received on accruing impaired loans within any class are applied in the same manner as accruing loans that are not considered impaired.

For impaired loans that are collateral dependent, the estimated fair value of the collateral may deviate significantly from the net proceeds received when the collateral is sold.

#### Past Due Loans

For all classes within the consumer and commercial loan portfolio, loans are placed on non-accrual status when any portion of principal or interest is 90 days past due (or nonperforming), or earlier when we become aware of information indicating that collection of principal and interest is in doubt. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, the accrued interest revenue is reversed. Loans return to accrual status when principal and interest become current and are anticipated to be fully collectible.

For all classes within the consumer and commercial loan portfolio, interest income on impaired loans is first applied against the principal balance unless the receipt of principal and interest as they become contractually due is not in doubt, such as in a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR"). TDRs of impaired loans that continue to perform under the restructured terms will continue on non-accrual status until the borrower has established a willingness and ability to make the restructured payment for at least six months, after which they will begin to accrue interest.

In January 2012, regulatory guidance was published addressing specific risks and required actions associated with junior-lien loans. As a result of this guidance, any junior-lien loan associated with a nonperforming first-lien loan is also placed on nonperforming status regardless of the performance of the junior lien loan.

Nonperforming commercial loans are considered to be impaired. An allowance is established if the underlying collateral's appraised value, less management's estimates of costs to sell are less than the carrying value of the loan. In estimating the fair value of collateral, the Company utilizes outside fee-based appraisers to evaluate various factors such as occupancy and rental rates in the real estate markets and the level of obsolescence that may exist on assets acquired from commercial business loans. Appraisals are updated at least annually but may be obtained more frequently if changes to the property or market conditions.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

The consumer portfolio segment includes residential first mortgages, second mortgages, warehouse lending, HELOC and other consumer loans. The commercial portfolio segment includes commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and commercial lease financing loans. The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable losses in the Company's loans held-for-investment portfolio, excluding loans carried under the fair value option, as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. The allowance provides for probable losses that have been identified with specific customer relationships, individually evaluated and for probable losses believed to be inherent in the loan portfolio but that have not been specifically identified, collectively evaluated. The Company establishes an estimate for the allowance for loan losses at a level that management determines to be appropriate. Management utilizes the FICO score and loan-to-value ("LTV") segmentations in determining the related allowance for loan losses.



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Consumer loans. For consumer loans that have not been identified for evaluation for impairment, the allowance for loan losses is determined based on a collective basis utilizing forecasted losses that represent management's best estimate of inherent loss. Loans are pooled by loan types with similar risk characteristics. As appropriate, to achieve greater accuracy, the Company may further stratify selected portfolios by sub-product, origination channel, vintage, loss type, geographic location and other predictive characteristics. Models designed for each pool are utilized to develop the loss estimates. The assumptions utilized for these pools include; historic past due and default, loss severity, home price trends, unemployment trends, and other key economic variables that may influence the frequency and severity of losses in the pool. We utilize a historical loss model for each pool.

The Company also utilizes a process and framework surrounding the qualitative factors to align the factors with regulatory guidance and changes in the mortgage environment. Management implements a qualitative factor matrix related to each loan class in the consumer portfolio, which includes the following factors: changes in lending policies and procedures, changes in economic and business conditions, changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio, changes in lending management, changes in credit quality statistics, changes in the quality of the loan review system, changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans, changes in concentrations of credit, and other external factor changes. These factors are used to reflect changes in the collectability of the portfolio not captured by the historical loss rates. As such, the qualitative factors supplement actual loss experience to estimate the loss within the loan portfolios based upon market and other indicators. Qualitative factors are analyzed to determine a quantitative impact of each factor which adjusts the historical loss rate. Adjusted historical loss rates are then used in the calculation of the allowance for loan losses. To allow the Company the appropriate amount of time to analyze portfolio statistics and allow for the appropriate validation of the reasonableness of the new qualitative factors, management uses a historical look back period for loss rates which lag a quarter.

Loans secured by real estate are charged-off to the estimated fair value of the collateral when a loss is confirmed. All other consumer loans are charged-off at 120 days past due. A loan may be charged-off if a loss confirming event has occurred. Loss confirming events include, but are not limited to, bankruptcy (unsecured), continued delinquency, foreclosure or receipt of an asset valuation indicating a collateral deficiency and the asset is the sole source of repayment.

Commercial loans. Commercial loans are assessed for estimated losses by grouping the portfolio into two segments based on underwriting and origination characteristics: legacy and new. For both segments, management observes historical losses over a relevant period. These loss estimates are adjusted as appropriate based on additional analysis of long-term average loss experience compared to previously forecasted losses, external loss data or other risks identified from current economic conditions and credit quality trends.

The commercial loan portfolio is segmented into commercial "legacy" loans (loans originated prior to January 1, 2011) and commercial "new" loans (loans originated on or after January 1, 2011) while still retaining the segmentation by product type. The loss rates attributed to the "legacy" portfolio are based on historical losses of this segment. Due to the brief period of time that loans in the "new" portfolio are outstanding, and thus the absence of a sufficient loss history for that portfolio, the Company had used loss data from a third party data aggregation firm (adjusting for the qualitative factors) as a proxy for estimating an allowance for loan losses on the "new" portfolio. The Company separately identifies a population of commercial banks with similar size balance sheets (and loan portfolios) to serve as the Company's peer group. The Company utilizes this peer group's publicly available historical loss data (adjusted for the qualitative factors) as a proxy for loss rates used to determine the allowance for loan losses on the "new" commercial portfolio.

Commercial loans are either charged-off or written down to net realizable value if a loss confirming event has occurred. Loss confirming events include, but are not limited to, bankruptcy (unsecured), continued delinquency,

foreclosure, or receipt of an asset valuation indicating a collateral deficiency and that asset is the sole source of repayment.

Management uses a strategic focus that improves loss mitigation processes so that the Company can continue the rate of loan modifications and other loss mitigation activities. Due to the emphasis on loss mitigation activities, management utilizes practices relating to TDRs to capture the necessary data to perform the impairment analysis on a loan level basis.

Potential losses that may not be reflected in our model assumptions are captured through the qualitative factor adjustments discussed above. Management reviews these models on an ongoing basis and updates them as appropriate to reflect then-current industry conditions, heightened access to enhanced loss data and based upon continuous back testing of the allowance for loan losses model.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

### Loan Sales and Securitizations

The Company's recognition of gain or loss on the sale or securitization of loans is accounted for in accordance with accounting guidance within ASC Topic 860, "Transfers and Servicing." This accounting guidance requires that a transfer of financial assets in which it surrenders control over the assets be accounted for as a sale to the extent that consideration other than beneficial interests in the transferred assets is received in exchange. The carrying value of the assets sold is allocated between the assets sold and the retained interests, other than the mortgage servicing rights, based on their relative fair values. Retained mortgage servicing rights are recorded at fair value.

In assessing whether control has been surrendered, the Company considers whether the transferee would be a consolidated affiliate, the existence and extent of any continuing involvement in the transferred financial assets and the impact of all arrangements or agreements made contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the transfer, even if they were not entered into at the time of transfer. Control is generally considered to have been surrendered when (i) the transferred assets have been legally isolated from the Company and the consolidated affiliates, even in bankruptcy or other receivership, (ii) the transferee (or, if the transferee is an entity whose sole purpose is to engage in securitization or asset-backed financing that is constrained from pledging or exchanging the assets it receives, each third-party holder of its beneficial interests) has the right to pledge or exchange the assets (or beneficial interests) it received without any constraints that provide more than a trivial benefit, and (iii) neither the Company nor the consolidated affiliates and agents have (a) both the right and obligation under any agreement to repurchase or redeem the transferred assets before their maturity, (b) the unilateral ability to cause the holder to return specific financial assets that also provides a more-than-trivial benefit (other than through a cleanup call) and (c) an agreement that permits the transferee to require the Company to repurchase the transferred assets at a price so favorable that it is probable that it will require the Company to repurchase them.

If the sale criteria are met, the transferred financial assets are removed from the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and a gain or loss on sale is recognized. For certain transfers, such as in connection with complex transactions or where the Company has continuing involvement such as servicing responsibilities, generally a legal opinion is obtained as to whether the transfer results in a "true sale" by law.

The Bank is not eligible to become a debtor under the bankruptcy code. Instead, the insolvency of the Bank is generally governed by the relevant provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and the FDIC's regulations. However, the "true sale" legal analysis with respect to the Bank is similar to the "true sale" analysis that would be done if the Bank were subject to the bankruptcy code.

The securitization process involves the sale of loans to a wholly-owned bankruptcy remote special purpose entity which then sells the loans to a separate, transaction-specific trust in exchange for considerations generated by the sale of the securities issued by the securitization trust. The securitization trust issues and sells debt securities to third party investors that are secured by payments on the loans. The Bank has no obligation to provide credit support to either the third party investors or the securitization trust. Neither the third party investors nor the securitization trust generally have recourse to the Bank's assets or the Bank and to repurchase these securities other than through enforcement of the standard representations and warranties. The Bank does make certain representations and warranties concerning the loans, such as lien status, and if it is found to have breached a representation and warranty, it may be required to repurchase the loan from the securitization trust.

### Reposessed Assets

Reposessed assets include one-to-four family residential property, commercial property and one-to-four family homes under construction that were acquired through foreclosure or acceptance of a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure.

Repossessed assets are initially recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell. Losses arising from the initial acquisition of such properties are charged against the allowance for loan losses at the time of transfer. Subsequent valuation adjustments to reflect fair value, as well as gains and losses on disposal of these properties, are charged to "asset resolution" within noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as incurred.

#### Loans Repurchased with Government Guarantees

The Company sells a majority of the mortgage loans it produces on a whole loan basis or by securitizing the loans into mortgage-backed securities. When the Company sells or securitizes mortgage loans, it makes customary representations and warranties to the purchasers about various characteristics of each loan such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. When a loan that the Company has sold or securitized fails to perform according to its contractual terms, the purchaser will typically review the loan file to determine

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

whether defects in the origination process occurred and if such defects constitute a violation of the Company's representations and warranties. If there are no such defects, the Company has no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan. If a defect is identified, the Company may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. Loans that are repurchased and that are performing according to their terms are included within the Company's loans held-for-investment portfolio. Repurchased assets are loans that the Company has reacquired because of representation and warranties issues related to loan sales or securitizations and that are nonperforming at the time of repurchase. To the extent the Company later forecloses on the loan, the underlying property is transferred to repossessed assets for disposal. The estimated fair value of the repurchased assets is included within "other assets" in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

#### Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Bank owns stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. No market quotes exists for the stock. The stock is redeemable at par and is carried at cost. The investment is required to permit the Bank to obtain membership in and to borrow from the Federal Home Loan Bank.

#### Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Land is carried at historical cost. Depreciation is calculated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed in the period they are incurred, unless they are covered by a maintenance contract, which is expensed equally over the stated term of the contract. Repairs and maintenance costs are included as part of occupancy and equipment expenses.

#### Mortgage Servicing Rights

Accounting guidance codified within ASC Topic 860, "Transfers and Services," requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract. It requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value and permits an entity to choose either an amortization or fair value measurement method for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities for subsequent valuations. The Company purchases and originates mortgage loans for sale to the secondary market and sells the loans on either a servicing-retained or servicing-released basis. MSR's are recognized as assets at the time a loan is sold on a servicing-retained basis.

The Company accounts for its residential class of MSR's at fair value. The Company uses an option-adjusted spread valuation approach to determine the fair value of MSR's. This approach consists of projecting servicing cash flows under multiple interest rate scenarios and discounting these cash flows using risk-adjusted discount rates. The key assumptions used in the valuation of MSR's include mortgage prepayment speeds and discount rates. Management obtains third-party valuations of the MSR portfolio on a quarterly basis from independent valuation specialists to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by its internal valuation model. Changes in these underlying assumptions could cause the fair value of MSR's to change significantly in the future. In certain circumstances, based on the probability of the completion of a sale of MSR's pursuant to a bona-fide purchase offer, the Company considers the bid price of that offer and identifiable transaction costs in comparison to the calculated fair value and may adjust the estimate of fair value to reflect the terms of the pending transaction.

The Company periodically sells a certain portion of its MSR's. At the time of the sale, the Company records a gain or loss on such sale based on the selling price of the MSR's less the carrying value and transaction costs. The MSR's are

sold in separate transactions from the sale of the underlying loans.

Servicing fee income, which is included on the Consolidated Statements of Operations as loan administration income, is recorded for fees earned, net of third party subservicing costs, for servicing loans. The fees are based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal; or a fixed amount per loan and are recorded as income when earned. Late fees and ancillary fees are also included on the Consolidated Statements of Operations as loan administration income.

The Company periodically sells a certain portion of its MSRs, which qualify as a sale transaction. A transfer of servicing rights related to loans previously sold qualifies as a sale at the date on which title passes, if substantially all risks and rewards of ownership have irrevocably passed to the transferee and any protection provisions retained by the transferor are minor and can be reasonably estimated. In addition, if a sale is recognized and only minor protection provisions exist, a

145

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

liability should be accrued for the estimated obligation associated with those provisions. As MSR assets are not considered financial assets for accounting purposes, the accounting model used to determine if the transfer of an MSR asset qualifies as a sale is based on a risks and rewards approach. Upon completion of a sale, including those sales completed during 2013, 2012 and 2011, we accounted for the transactions as sales and derecognized the mortgage servicing rights from the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company sold certain mortgage loans serviced for both Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae and simultaneously entered into an agreement to subservice the residential mortgage loans sold.

#### Financial Instruments and Derivatives

In seeking to protect its financial assets and liabilities from the effects of changes in market interest rates, the Company has devised and implemented an asset/liability management strategy that seeks, on an economic basis, to mitigate significant fluctuations in the financial position and results of operations. The Company generally hedges its pipeline of loans held-for-sale with forward commitments to sell Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac securities. Further, the Company occasionally enters into swap agreements to hedge the cash flows on certain liabilities. The Company does not elect to apply or does not qualify for hedge accounting and therefore accounts for the derivatives as economic undesignated derivatives.

The Company recognizes all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition at their fair value on a trade date basis. The Company reports derivatives in a gain position in "other assets" and derivatives in a loss position in "other liabilities" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. Changes in the fair value of the derivatives and realized gains and losses are recognized immediately in noninterest income.

The Company also enters into various derivative agreements with customers desiring protection from possible adverse future fluctuations in interest rates. As an intermediary, the Company generally maintains a portfolio of matched offsetting derivative agreements. In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance, the Company takes into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow all derivative contracts held to settle with a single counterparty on a net basis, and to offset the net derivative position with the related collateral when recognizing derivative assets and liabilities.

On January 1, 2013, the Company adopted the update to FASB ASC Topic 210, "Balance Sheet: Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities," and applied the provisions retrospectively. Under the amended guidance, an entity is required to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. The guidance applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815, "Derivatives and Hedging," including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The adoption of the guidance did not have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

Additional information regarding the accounting for derivatives is provided in Note 16 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

#### Trust Preferred Securities

As of December 31, 2013, the Company sponsored nine trusts, of which 100 percent of the common equity was owned by the Company. Each of the trusts has issued trust preferred securities to third party investors and loaned the proceeds to the Company in the form of junior subordinated notes, which are included in long-term debt in the Consolidated Financial Statements of Condition. The notes held by each trust are the sole assets of that trust.

Distributions on the trust preferred securities of each trust are payable quarterly at a rate equal to the interest being earned by the trust on the notes held by these trusts.

The trust preferred securities are subject to mandatory redemption upon repayment of the notes. The Company has entered into agreements which, taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee the trust preferred securities subject to the terms of each of the guarantees. The securities are not subject to a sinking fund requirement and one trust was convertible into Common Stock. Under the terms of the related indentures, the Company may defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. In January 2012, the Company exercised its contractual rights to defer its interest payments with respect to trust preferred securities. These payments will be periodically evaluated and reinstated when appropriate, subject to provisions of the Consent Order and Supervisory Agreement.



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The trusts are variable interest entities ("VIEs") under U.S. GAAP. The Company's investment in the common stock of these trusts is included in "other assets" in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. The capital raised through the sale of the junior subordinated notes is part of the trust preferred securities.

#### Income Taxes

Deferred taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates that will apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company is subject to the income tax laws of the U.S., its states and municipalities. These tax laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and the relevant governmental taxing authorities. The Company adopted accounting guidance related to uncertainty in income taxes. The guidance prescribes a comprehensive model for how companies should recognize, measure, present, and disclose in their financial statements uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. Under the guidance, tax positions shall initially be recognized in the financial statements when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions shall initially and subsequently be measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the tax authority assuming full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. The guidance also revises disclosure requirements to include an annual tabular roll forward of unrecognized tax benefits. In establishing a provision for income tax expense, the Company must make judgments and interpretations about the application of these inherently complex tax laws within the framework of existing U.S. GAAP. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in "other taxes" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company is required to establish a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets ("DTA") and record a charge to income or shareholders' equity if the Company determines, based on available evidence at the time the determination is made, that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In evaluating the need for a valuation allowance, the Company estimates future taxable income based on management approved business plans, future capital requirements and ongoing tax planning strategies. This evaluation process involves significant management judgment about assumptions that are subject to change from period to period. The recognition of deferred tax assets requires management to make significant judgments about future earnings, the periods in which items will impact taxable income, future corporate tax rates, and the application of inherently complex tax laws. The use of different estimates can result in changes in the amounts of deferred tax items recognized, which can result in equity and earnings volatility because such changes are reported in current period earnings. On September 30, 2009, the Company established a valuation allowance equal to 100 percent of its net deferred tax asset and maintained such an allowance through September 30, 2013.

At December 31, 2013, the deferred tax assets were primarily the result of U.S. net operating loss carryforwards. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recorded a valuation allowance release of \$355.8 million on the basis of management's reassessment of the amount of its deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized.

The Company regularly evaluates the need for deferred tax asset valuation allowances based on a more likely than not standard as defined by generally accepted accounting principles. The ability to realize deferred tax assets depends on the ability to generate sufficient taxable income within the carryback or carryforward periods provided for in the tax law for each applicable tax jurisdiction. The Company considers the following possible sources of taxable income

when assessing the realization of deferred tax assets:

- future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences;
- future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;
- taxable income in prior carryback years; and
- tax planning strategies.

The assessment regarding whether a valuation allowance is required or should be adjusted also considers all available positive and negative evidence factors, including but not limited to:

- nature, frequency and severity of recent losses;
- duration of statutory carryforward periods;
- historical experience with tax attributes expiring unused; and

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

near- and medium-term financial outlook.

As indicated by applicable accounting standards, it is inherently difficult to conclude a valuation allowance is not required when there is significant objective and verifiable negative evidence, such as cumulative losses in recent years. The Company utilizes a rolling three years of actual and current year anticipated results as the primary measure of cumulative losses.

The evaluation of deferred tax assets requires judgment in assessing the likely future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the financial statements or tax returns and future profitability. The Company's accounting for deferred taxes represents management's best estimate of those future events. Changes in the current estimates, due to unanticipated events or otherwise, could have a material effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Over the past year, culminating in the fourth quarter 2013, the Company has taken significant actions to transform its business and reduce uncertainty. These actions included the following:

- (1) the retirement of higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances;
- (2) the related loss on extinguishment of debt as a result of the prepayment of the higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances;
- (3) the payment of litigation settlement costs incurred in connection with Assured and MBIA litigation settlements;
- (4) the sale of mortgage servicing rights while retaining the subservicing; and
- (5) the settlements reached with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

When evaluating whether the Company has overcome the significant negative evidence attributable to actual cumulative losses in recent years, the Company adjusted those losses for items that the Company believes are not indicative of its ability to generate taxable income in future years. The Company reflects adjusted cumulative income after applying those items that are not indicative of its ability to generate taxable income in future years. The Company considers this objectively verifiable evidence that its current earnings model is capable of generating future taxable income sufficient to utilize substantially all of the net operating loss carryforwards as of December 31, 2013. The Company believes that this evidence is sufficient to overcome the unadjusted cumulative losses in recent years.

Other positive evidence considered in connection with the Company's decision to release its federal deferred tax asset valuation allowance include the historic ability to utilize deferred tax assets before they expire, as well as its detailed forecasts projecting the complete realization of all federal deferred tax assets before expiration under the most conservative and stressed earnings scenarios. In order to realize the deferred tax assets, the Company needs to generate approximately \$1.1 billion of pre-tax income over the next 20 years. The Company believes that it is more likely than not that this level of pre-tax income will be achievable even under stressed scenarios.

The Company also considered actions taken during the year ended December 31, 2013, which create more certainty regarding its future taxable income including settlements reached with Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, MBIA and Assured litigation settlements, prepayment of higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances and the sale of mortgage servicing rights while retaining the subservicing. The Company has a history of utilizing 100 percent of deferred tax assets before they expire. Forecasts of taxable earnings project a complete realization of all federal deferred tax assets before they expire, including under stressed forecast scenarios. The unprecedented mortgage market conditions have been managed by the Company to minimize the impact should similar volatility recur in the future through cost containment, employee reductions, etc. which give further support to the reliability of forecasted taxable earnings.

Upon considering all of the available positive and negative evidence, and the extent to which that evidence was objectively verifiable, the Company determined that the positive evidence outweighed the negative evidence and the deferred tax assets are more likely than not realizable, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013. As a result, the valuation allowance has been reversed in the amount of \$355.8 million, or \$6.29 per diluted share, during the year ended December 31, 2013 that benefited income tax expense. A partial valuation allowance will remain against state deferred tax assets due to loss carryover limitations.

#### Representation and Warranty Reserve

The Company sells or securitizes most of the residential first mortgage loans that it originates into the secondary mortgage market. When the Company sells mortgage loans, it makes customary representations and warranties to the

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

purchasers about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. Typically, these representations and warranties are in place for the life of the loan. If a defect in the origination process is identified, the Company may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, the Company has no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan. The Company maintains a representation and warranty reserve to account for the probable losses inherent in loans it might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments it may have to make to purchasers). The representation and warranty reserve takes into account both the estimate of probable losses inherent in loans sold during the current accounting period as well as adjustments to the Company's previous estimates of probable losses inherent in loans sold. In each case, these estimates are based on the Company's most recent data regarding loan repurchases and indemnifications, and actual credit losses on repurchased and indemnified loans, among other factors. Increases to the representation and warranty reserve for current loan sales reduce the Company's net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to the Company's previous estimates are recorded as an increase or decrease to representation and warranty reserve — change in estimate in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

#### DOJ Litigation Settlement

In February 2012, the Company announced that the Bank had entered into the DOJ Agreement for \$133.0 million relating to certain underwriting practices associated with loans insured by FHA. Pursuant to the DOJ Agreement, the Bank agreed to:

- Comply with all applicable HUD and FHA rules related to the continued participation in the direct endorsement lender program;
- Make an initial payment of \$15.0 million within 30 business days of the effective date of the DOJ Agreement (which was paid on April 3, 2012);
- Make the Additional Payments of approximately \$118.0 million, the payment of which is contingent only upon the occurrence of certain future events; and
- Complete a monitoring period by an independent third party chosen by the Bank and approved by HUD.

Subject to the Bank's full compliance with the terms of the DOJ Agreement, the government agreed to:

- Immediately release the Bank and all of its current or former officers, directors, employees, affiliates and assigns from any civil or administrative claim it has or may have under various federal laws, the common law or equitable theories of fraud or mistake of fact in connection with the mortgage loans the Bank endorsed for FHA insurance during the period January 1, 2002 to the date of the DOJ Agreement (the "Covered Period");
- Not refuse to pay any insurance claim or seek indemnification or other relief in connection with the mortgage loans the Bank endorsed for FHA insurance during the Covered Period but for which no claims have yet been paid on the basis of the conduct alleged in the complaint or referenced in the DOJ Agreement; and
- Not seek indemnification or other relief in connection with the mortgage loans the Bank endorsed for FHA insurance during the Covered Period and for which HUD has paid insurance claims on the basis of the conduct alleged in the complaint or referenced in the DOJ Agreement.

As of December 31, 2013, the Bank has accrued \$93.0 million, which represents the fair value of the Additional Payments. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for further information on the fair value of the DOJ litigation settlement. Other than as set forth above, the DOJ Agreement does not have any effect on FHA insured loans in the portfolio, including loans classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees as discussed in Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein. The Company believes that such loans retain FHA insurance, and the Bank continues to process such loans for insurance claims in the normal course

and receive payments thereon from the FHA. Based on the experience subsequent to the Bank's agreement with the DOJ, the Company believes such claims are not subject to denial or dispute other than in the normal course of insurance claim processing.

#### Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed in the period they are incurred and are included as part of "general and administrative" expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Advertising expenses totaled \$8.9 million, \$11.9 million, and \$7.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

### Stock-Based Compensation

The Company utilizes accounting guidance within ASC Topic 718, "Compensation-Stock Compensation," to account for its stock-based compensation. This accounting guidance requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized as expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations based on their fair values. The amount of compensation is measured at the fair value of the options when granted and this cost is expensed over the requisite service period, which is normally the vesting period of the options.

### Guarantees

The Company makes guarantees in the normal course of business in connection with certain issuances of standby letters of credit, among other transactions. The Company accounts for these guarantees in accordance with accounting guidance within ASC Topic 460, "Guarantees" and ASC Topic 450, "Contingencies." ASC Topic 460 generally requires the use of fair value for the initial measurement of guarantees, but does not prescribe a subsequent measurement method. At each reporting date the Company evaluates the recognition of a loss contingency under ASC Topic 450. The loss contingency is measured as the probable and reasonably estimable amount, if any, that exceeds the value of the remaining guarantee.

### Note 4 — Fair Value Measurements

The Company utilizes fair value measurements to record certain assets and liabilities at fair value and to determine fair value disclosures. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability through an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The determination of fair values of financial instruments often requires the use of estimates. In cases where quoted market values in an active market are not available, the Company uses present value techniques and other valuation methods to estimate the fair values of its financial instruments. These valuation models rely on market-based parameters when available, such as interest rate yield curves, credit spreads or unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs may be based on management's judgment, assumptions and estimates related to credit quality, the Company's future earnings, interest rates and other relevant inputs. These valuation methods require considerable judgment and the resulting estimates of fair value can be significantly affected by the assumptions made and methods used.

### Valuation Hierarchy

U.S. GAAP establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements that is based on the transparency of the inputs used in the valuation process. The three levels of the hierarchy, highest ranking to lowest, are as follows.

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets in which the Company can participate as of the measurement date;

Level 2 - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, and other inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument; and

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that reflect the Company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing and asset or liability.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input within the valuation hierarchy that is significant to the overall fair value measurement. Transfers between levels of the fair

value hierarchy are recognized at the end of the reporting period.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used by the Company for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Assets

Trading Securities. These securities are comprised of U.S. Treasury bonds. The U.S. Treasury bonds trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices and are therefore classified within the Level 1 valuation hierarchy.



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Investment securities available-for-sale. These securities are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agencies, non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs"), mortgage securitization and municipal obligations.

The Company measures fair value using prices obtained from pricing services. A review is performed on the security prices received from the pricing services, which includes discussion and analysis of the inputs used by the pricing services to value our securities. Where possible, fair values are generated using market inputs including quoted prices (the closing price in an exchange markets), bid prices (the price at which a buyer stands ready to purchase) and other market information. For fixed income securities that are not actively traded, the pricing services use alternative methods to determine fair value for the securities, including; quotes for similar fixed-income securities, matrix pricing, discounted cash flow using benchmark curves or other factors to determine fair value. U.S. government sponsored agencies are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy and all other debt securities are classified as Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

The quoted market prices are not available for municipal obligations and the fair values are estimated using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows and those securities are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. The Company determined the fair value of the mortgage securitization, FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust, using a discounted estimated net future cash flow model and therefore classified it within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy as the model utilizes significant inputs which are unobservable. As of June 30, 2013, following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and the Company then transferred the loans associated with the securitization to its loan held-for-investment portfolio at fair value and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust.

Loans held-for-sale. The Company generally estimates the fair value of mortgage loans held-for-sale based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. Where quoted market prices were available, such market prices were utilized as estimates for fair values. Otherwise, the fair value of loans was computed by discounting cash flows using observable inputs inclusive of interest rates, prepayment speeds and loss assumptions for similar collateral. These measurements are classified as Level 2.

Loans held-for-investment. Loans held-for-investment are generally recorded at amortized cost. The Company does not record these loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan becomes impaired when it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once a loan is identified as impaired, the fair value of the impaired loan is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, market value of similar debt, or discounted cash flows. The fair value of the underlying collateral is determined, where possible, using market prices derived from appraisals or broker price opinions which are considered to be Level 3. Fair value may also be measured using the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The Company records the impaired loans as a non-recurring Level 3 valuation.

Loans held-for-investment on a recurring basis are loans that were previously recorded as loans held-for-sale but subsequently transferred to the held-for-investment category. As the Company selected the fair value option for the held-for-sale loans, they continue to be reported at fair value and measured consistent with the Level 2 methodology for loans held-for-sale.

As of June 30, 2013, the HELOC securitizations have been reconsolidated such that the HELOC loans associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 securitization trusts have been recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statement as loans held-for-investment at fair value, as a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement. These loans are recorded at fair value via fair value option using the present value of expected cash flows discounted at market rates

typical of assets with similar risk profiles. The Company records these loans as a recurring Level 3 valuation. Included in loans held-for-investment prior to June 30, 2013 was transferors' interest on the HELOC securitization trusts. The Company determined the fair value of transferors' interest based on the claims due to the note insurer and continuing credit losses on the loans underlying the securitizations, which were considered to be Level 3.

Also, included in loans held-for-investment are the second mortgage loans associated with the previous FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. The loans are recorded at fair value using a discounted estimated net future cash flow model and therefore classified within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy as the model utilizes significant inputs which are unobservable. As of June 30, 2013, following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and the Company then transferred the second mortgage loans associated with the mortgage securitization to its loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value via fair value option and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. The Company records these loans as a recurring Level 3 valuation. See Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for additional information.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Reposessed assets. Loans on which the underlying collateral has been reposessed are adjusted to fair value less costs to sell upon transfer to reposessed assets. Subsequently, reposessed assets are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less anticipated marketing and selling costs. Fair value is generally based upon third-party appraisals or internal fair value estimates based on reposessed asset experience and considered a Level 3 classification.

MSRs. The current market for MSRs is not sufficiently liquid to provide participants with quoted market prices. Therefore, the Company uses an option-adjusted spread valuation approach to determine the fair value of MSRs. This approach consists of projecting servicing cash flows under multiple interest rate scenarios and discounting these cash flows using risk-adjusted discount rates. The key assumptions used in the valuation of MSRs include mortgage prepayment speeds and discount rates. Management obtains third-party valuations of the MSR portfolio on a quarterly basis from independent valuation experts to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by its internal valuation model. In certain circumstances, based on the probability of the completion of a sale of MSRs pursuant to a bona-fide purchase offer, the Company considers the bid price of that offer and identifiable transaction costs in comparison to the calculated fair value and may adjust the estimate of fair value to reflect the terms of the pending transaction. Due to the nature of the valuation inputs, MSRs are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. See Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for the key assumptions used in the MSR valuation process.

Derivative financial instruments. Certain classes of derivative contracts are listed on an exchange and are actively traded, and they are therefore classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. These include U.S. Treasury futures and U.S. Treasury options. The Company's forward loan sale commitments and interest rate swaps are valued based on quoted prices for similar assets in an active market with inputs that are observable and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Rate lock commitments are valued using internal models with significant unobservable market parameters and therefore are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The Company assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and determined that the credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. The derivatives are reported in either other assets or other liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

#### Liabilities

Warrants. Warrant liabilities are valued using a binomial lattice model and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Significant observable inputs include expected volatility, a risk free rate and an expected life. Warrant liabilities are reported in "other liabilities" on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Long-term debt. As of June 30, 2013, following the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the debt associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts at fair value. The fair value of the debt is estimated using quantitative models which incorporate observable and, in some instances, unobservable inputs including security prices, interest rate yield curves, option volatility, currency, commodity or equity rates and correlations between these inputs. The Company also considers the impact of its own credit spreads in determining the discount rate used to value these liabilities. The credit spread is determined by reference to observable spreads in the secondary bond markets, which are considered to be Level 3. The Company records this debt as a recurring Level 3 valuation.

Litigation settlement. On February 24, 2012, the Company announced that the Bank had entered into an agreement (the "DOJ Agreement") with the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") relating to certain underwriting practices associated with loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") of the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). The Bank and the DOJ entered into the DOJ Agreement pursuant to which the Bank

agreed to comply with all applicable HUD and FHA rules related to the continued participation in the direct endorsement lender program, make an initial payment of \$15.0 million within 30 business days of the effective date of the DOJ Agreement, make payments of approximately \$118.0 million contingent upon the occurrence of certain future events (the "Additional Payments"), and complete a monitoring period by an independent third party chosen by the Bank and approved by HUD. The Company made the initial payment of \$15.0 million on April 3, 2012.

The Company has elected the fair value option to account for the liability representing the obligation to make Additional Payments under the DOJ Agreement. As of December 31, 2013, the Bank has accrued \$93.0 million, which represents the fair value of the Additional Payments. The signed DOJ Agreement establishes a legally enforceable contract with a stipulated payment plan that meets the definition of a financial liability.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the cash flows are discounted using a 9.9 percent and 14.9 percent, respectively, discount rate that is inclusive of the risk free rate based on the expected duration of the liability and an adjustment for nonperformance risk that represents the Company's credit risk. The model assumes that at December 31, 2013, the Company

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

will have met substantially all of the stipulations required for the commencement of payments to the DOJ. The decrease in the discounted cash flow rate is primarily due to the adjustments for nonperformance risk that represents the Company's credit risk.

The liability is classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy given the projections of earnings and growth rate assumptions are unobservable inputs. The litigation settlement is included in other liabilities on the Consolidated Financial Statements and changes in the fair value of the litigation settlement will be recorded each quarter in other noninterest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 28 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for further information on the DOJ litigation settlement.

#### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present the financial instruments carried at fair value as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, by caption on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and by the valuation hierarchy (as described above).

| December 31, 2013                        | Level 1<br>(Dollars in thousands) | Level 2      | Level 3       | Total Fair Value |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|
| Investment securities available-for-sale |                                   |              |               |                  |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies       | \$1,028,248                       | \$—          | \$—           | \$1,028,248      |
| Municipal obligations                    | —                                 | 17,300       | —             | 17,300           |
| Loans held-for-sale                      |                                   |              |               |                  |
| Residential first mortgage loans         | —                                 | 1,140,507    | —             | 1,140,507        |
| Loans held-for-investment                |                                   |              |               |                  |
| Residential first mortgage loans         | —                                 | 18,625       | —             | 18,625           |
| Second mortgage loans                    | —                                 | —            | 64,685        | 64,685           |
| HELOC loans                              | —                                 | —            | 155,012       | 155,012          |
| Mortgage servicing rights                | —                                 | —            | 284,678       | 284,678          |
| Derivative assets                        |                                   |              |               |                  |
| U.S. Treasury futures                    | 1,221                             | —            | —             | 1,221            |
| Forward agency and loan sales            | —                                 | 19,847       | —             | 19,847           |
| Rate lock commitments                    | —                                 | —            | 10,329        | 10,329           |
| Interest rate swaps                      | —                                 | 1,797        | —             | 1,797            |
| Total derivative assets                  | 1,221                             | 21,644       | 10,329        | 33,194           |
| Total assets at fair value               | \$1,029,469                       | \$1,198,076  | \$514,704     | \$2,742,249      |
| Derivative liabilities                   |                                   |              |               |                  |
| Agency forwards                          | \$(1,665)                         | ) \$—        | \$—           | \$(1,665)        |
| Interest rate swaps                      | —                                 | (1,797)      | ) —           | (1,797)          |
| Total derivative liabilities             | (1,665)                           | ) (1,797)    | ) —           | (3,462)          |
| Warrant liabilities                      | —                                 | (10,802)     | ) —           | (10,802)         |
| Long-term debt                           | —                                 | —            | (105,813)     | (105,813)        |
| Litigation settlement                    | —                                 | —            | (93,000)      | (93,000)         |
| Total liabilities at fair value          | \$(1,665)                         | ) \$(12,599) | ) \$(198,813) | ) \$(213,077)    |

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

| December 31, 2012                        | Level 1<br>(Dollars in thousands) | Level 2     | Level 3    | Total Fair Value |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------------|
| Trading Securities                       |                                   |             |            |                  |
| U.S. Treasury bonds                      | \$170,086                         | \$—         | \$—        | \$170,086        |
| Investment securities available-for-sale |                                   |             |            |                  |
| Mortgage securitization                  | —                                 | —           | 91,117     | 91,117           |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies       | 79,717                            | —           | —          | 79,717           |
| Municipal obligations                    | —                                 | 13,611      | —          | 13,611           |
| Loans held-for-sale                      |                                   |             |            |                  |
| Residential first mortgage loans         | —                                 | 2,865,696   | —          | 2,865,696        |
| Loans held-for-investment                |                                   |             |            |                  |
| Residential first mortgage loans         | —                                 | 20,219      | —          | 20,219           |
| Transferors' interest                    | —                                 | —           | 7,103      | 7,103            |
| Mortgage servicing rights                | —                                 | —           | 710,791    | 710,791          |
| Derivative assets                        |                                   |             |            |                  |
| U.S. Treasury futures                    | 2,203                             | —           | —          | 2,203            |
| Agency forwards                          | 3,618                             | —           | —          | 3,618            |
| Rate lock commitments                    | —                                 | —           | 86,200     | 86,200           |
| Interest rate swaps                      | —                                 | 5,813       | —          | 5,813            |
| Total derivative assets                  | 5,821                             | 5,813       | 86,200     | 97,834           |
| Total assets at fair value               | \$255,624                         | \$2,905,339 | \$895,211  | \$4,056,174      |
| Derivative liabilities                   |                                   |             |            |                  |
| Forward agency and loan sales            | \$—                               | \$(14,021)  | \$—        | \$(14,021)       |
| Interest rate swaps                      | —                                 | (5,813)     | —          | (5,813)          |
| Total derivative liabilities             | —                                 | (19,834)    | —          | (19,834)         |
| Warrant liabilities                      | —                                 | (11,346)    | —          | (11,346)         |
| Litigation settlement                    | —                                 | —           | (19,100)   | (19,100)         |
| Total liabilities at fair value          | \$—                               | \$(31,180)  | \$(19,100) | \$(50,280)       |

A determination to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy is based upon the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 inputs, observable inputs (that is, inputs that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). Also, the Company manages the risk associated with the observable components of Level 3 financial instruments using securities and derivative positions that are classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy; these Level 1 and Level 2 risk management instruments are not included the Level 3 rollforward table below, and therefore the gains and losses in the tables do not reflect the effect of the Company's risk management activities related to such Level 3 instruments. The Company had no transfers of assets or liabilities recorded at fair value between fair value Levels during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest rate swap derivatives were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2 during the fourth quarter 2011 because the derivatives are not actively being traded on a listed exchange. The interest rate swap derivatives are valued based on quoted prices for similar assets in an active market with inputs that are observable and are now classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

Non-agency CMOs were transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 during the first quarter 2012 due to increased market liquidity and an increase in the number of available pricing models. The non-agency CMOs were valued based on pricing provided by external pricing services. During the third quarter 2012, the Company sold the remaining non-agency CMOs and seasoned agency securities that were transferred to a Level 2.

The Company reclassified the December 31, 2011 nonrecurring hierarchy disclosures for impaired loans and repossessed assets from Level 2 to Level 3 to reflect that the appraised values, broker price opinions or internal estimates

154

---

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

contain unobservable inputs. The impact of the transfer did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto and was limited to disclosure.

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs

The tables below include a roll forward of the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition amounts for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (including the change in fair value) for financial instruments classified by the Company within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

| Year Ended<br>December 31, 2013                 | Balance at<br>Beginning<br>of Year | Recorded<br>in Earnings<br>Total<br>Unrealized<br>Gains/<br>(Losses) | Total<br>Realized<br>Gains/<br>(Losses) | Recorded<br>in OCI<br>Total<br>Unrealized<br>Gains/<br>(Losses) | Purchases   | Sales         | Settlements | Balance at<br>End of<br>Year | Changes In<br>Unrealized<br>Held at<br>End of<br>Year (3) |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------|---|
| (Dollars in thousands)                          |                                    |  |   |   |             |               |             |                              |   |
| Assets  |                                    |  |   |   |             |               |             |                              |   |
| Investment securities available-for-sale (1)    |                                    |  |   |   |             |               |             |                              |   |
| Mortgage securitization                         | \$91,117                           | \$—  | \$(8,789)                               | )\$871  | \$—         | \$(73,327)    | )(9,872)    | )\$—                         | \$—   |
| Loans held-for-investment                       |                                    |  |   |   |             |               |             |                              |   |
| Second mortgage loans                           | —                                  | 817  | (6,362)                                 | )—  | 80,543      | —             | (10,313)    | )64,685                      | 14,277  |
| HELOC loans                                     | —                                  | (7,769)  | )10,816                                 | —   | 170,727     | —             | (18,762)    | )155,012                     | 15,073  |
| Transferors' interest                           | 7,103                              | (174)  | )45,708                                 | —   | —           | (52,637)      | )—          | —                            | —   |
| Mortgage servicing rights                       | 710,791                            | 105,971  | —                                       | —   | 541,039     | (973,803)     | )(99,320)   | )284,678                     | 18,828  |
| Derivative financial instruments                |                                    |  |   |   |             |               |             |                              |   |
| Rate lock commitments                           | 86,200                             | —  | (149,585)                               | )—  | 376,749     | (241,264)     | )(61,771)   | )10,329                      | (17,534)  |
| Totals  | \$895,211                          | \$98,845   | \$(108,212)                             | )\$871  | \$1,169,058 | \$(1,341,031) | )(200,038)  | )\$514,704                   | \$30,644  |
| Liabilities                                     |                                    |  |   |   |             |               |             |                              |   |
| Long-term debt                                  | \$—                                | \$—  | \$(6,168)                               | )\$—  | \$(119,980) | \$—           | \$20,335    | \$(105,813)                  | \$—   |
| Litigation settlement                           | (19,100)                           | )—   | (73,900)                                | )—  | —           | —             | —           | (93,000)                     | )—  |
| Totals  | \$(19,100)                         | )\$—   | \$(80,068)                              | )\$—  | \$(119,980) | )\$—          | \$20,335    | \$(198,813)                  | \$—   |
| Year Ended December 31, 2012                    |                                    |  |   |   |             |               |             |                              |   |
| Assets  |                                    |  |   |   |             |               |             |                              |   |
| Investment securities available-for-sale (1)(2) |                                    |  |   |   |             |               |             |                              |   |
| Non-agency CMOs                                 | \$254,928                          | \$(2,192)  | )\$330                                  | \$17,160  | \$—         | \$(249,246)   | )(20,980)   | )\$—                         | \$—   |



Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|  |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Mortgage securitization                  | 110,328     | —           | —         | 2,768    | —           | (21,979)      | )—          | 91,117     | 2,768       |
| Loans held-for-investment                |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| Transferees' interest                    | 9,594       | 61          | (2,552)   | )—       | —           | —             | —           | 7,103      | —           |
| Mortgage servicing rights                | 510,475     | (195,821)   | )—        | —        | 535,875     | (139,738)     | )—          | 710,791    | 10,900      |
| Derivative financial instruments         |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| Rate lock commitments                    | 70,965      | —           | 530,431   | —        | 920,512     | (1,092,117)   | (343,591)   | 86,200     | 84,031      |
| Totals                                   | \$956,290   | \$(197,952) | \$528,209 | \$19,928 | \$1,456,387 | \$(1,503,080) | \$(364,571) | \$895,211  | \$97,699    |
| Liabilities                              |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| Litigation settlement                    | \$(18,300)  | )\$—        | \$(800)   | )\$—     | \$—         | \$—           | \$—         | \$(19,100) | )\$—        |
| Year Ended                               |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| December 31, 2011                        |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| Assets                                   |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| Investment securities available-for-sale |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| (1)(2)                                   |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| Non-agency CMOs                          | \$330,781   | \$(24,038)  | )\$—      | \$11,280 | \$—         | \$(63,095)    | )\$—        | \$254,928  | \$11,280    |
| Mortgage securitization                  | 136,707     | —           | —         | 87       | —           | (26,466)      | )—          | 110,328    | 87          |
| Loans held-for-investment                |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| Transferees' interest                    | 17,439      | (2,172)     | (5,673)   | )—       | —           | —             | —           | 9,594      | —           |
| Mortgage servicing rights                | 580,299     | (169,498)   | )—        | —        | 254,818     | (87,265)      | (67,879)    | 510,475    | (122,966)   |
| Derivative financial instruments         |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| Rate lock commitments                    | 14,396      | 53,669      | 177,926   | —        | 318,230     | (308,768)     | (184,488)   | 70,965     | 292         |
| Totals                                   | \$1,079,622 | \$(142,039) | \$172,253 | \$11,367 | \$573,048   | \$(485,594)   | \$(252,367) | \$956,290  | \$(111,307) |
| Liabilities                              |             |             |           |          |             |               |             |            |             |
| Litigation settlement                    | \$—         | \$—         | \$—       | \$—      | \$—         | \$—           | \$(18,300)  | \$(18,300) | )\$—        |

(1) Realized gains (losses), including unrealized losses deemed other-than-temporary and related to credit issues, are reported in noninterest income.

U.S. government agency investment securities available-for-sale are valued predominantly using quoted broker/dealer prices with adjustments to reflect for any assumptions a willing market participant would include in its valuation. Non-agency CMOs classified as available-for-sale are valued using internal valuation models and pricing information from third parties.

(3) Changes in the unrealized gains (losses) related to financial instruments held at the end of the period.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following tables present the quantitative information about recurring Level 3 fair value financial instruments and the fair value measurements as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

|                             | Fair Value             | Valuation Technique   | Unobservable Input   | Range (Weighted Average)   |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| December 31, 2013           | (Dollars in thousands) |                       |  |  |
| <b>Assets</b>               |                        |                       |  |  |
| Second mortgage loans       | \$64,685               | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate<br>Prepay rate - 12 month historical average<br>CDR rate - 12 month historical average | 7.1% - 10.7% (8.9%)<br>10.5% - 15.7% (13.1%)<br>2.2% - 3.2% (2.7%)                                 |
| FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC loans    | \$78,009               | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate<br>Prepay rate - 3 month historical average<br>Cumulative loss rate<br>Loss severity   | 5.6% - 8.4% (7.0%)<br>12.8% - 19.2% (16.0%)<br>11.6% - 17.4% (14.5%)<br>80.0% - 120.0%<br>(100.0%) |
| FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC loans    | \$77,003               | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate<br>Prepay rate - 3 month historical average<br>Cumulative loss rate<br>Loss severity   | 7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%)<br>9.6% - 14.4% (12.0%)<br>39.9% - 59.8% (49.9%)<br>80.0% - 120.0%<br>(100.0%) |
| Mortgage servicing rights   | \$284,678              | Discounted cash flows | Origination adjusted spread<br>Constant prepayment rate<br>Weighted average cost to service per loan | 5.9% - 8.9% (7.7%)<br>9.7% - 14.0% (11.9%)<br>59.1% - 88.6% (73.8%)                                |
| Rate lock commitments       | \$10,329               | Consensus pricing     | Origination pull-through rate  | 65.9% - 98.8% (82.3%)  |
| <b>Liabilities</b>          |                        |                       |  |  |
| FSTAR 2005-1 Long-term debt | \$(55,172)             | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate<br>Prepay rate - 3 month historical average<br>Cumulative loss rate<br>Loss severity   | 5.6% - 8.4% (7.0%)<br>12.8% - 19.2% (16.0%)<br>11.6% - 17.4% (14.5%)<br>80.0% - 120.0%<br>(100.0%) |
| FSTAR 2006-2 Long-term debt | \$(50,641)             | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate<br>Prepay rate - 3 month historical average<br>Cumulative loss rate<br>Loss severity   | 7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%)<br>9.6% - 14.4% (12.0%)<br>39.9% - 59.9% (49.9%)<br>80.0% - 120.0%<br>(100.0%) |
| Litigation settlement       | \$(93,000)             | Discounted cash flows | Asset growth rate<br>MSR growth rate<br>Return on assets (ROA) improvement<br>Peer group ROA         | 4.4% - 6.6% (5.5%)<br>0.9% - 1.4% (1.2%)<br>0.02% - 0.04% (0.03%)<br>0.5% - 0.8% (0.7%)            |



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

|                                      | Fair Value             | Valuation Technique   | Unobservable Input  | Range (Weighted Average)   |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| December 31, 2012                    | (Dollars in thousands) |                       |   |  |
| <b>Assets</b>                        |                        |                       |   |  |
| FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization | \$91,117               | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate<br>Prepay rate - 12 month historical average<br>CDR rate - 12 month historical average<br>Loss severity | 7.2% - 10.8% (9.0%)<br>7.6% - 11.3% (9.4%)<br>5.3% - 8.0% (6.7%)<br>80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%)    |
| FSTAR 2005-1 transferors' interest   | \$7,103                | Discounted cash flows | Discount rate<br>Prepay rate - 3 month historical average<br>Cumulative loss rate<br>Loss severity                    | 4.6% - 6.9% (5.7%)<br>9.6% - 14.4% (12.0%)<br>11.4% - 17.2% (14.3%)<br>80.0% - 120.0% (100.0%) |
| Mortgage servicing rights            | \$710,791              | Discounted cash flows | Option adjusted spread<br>Constant prepayment rate<br>Weighted average cost to service per loan                       | 4.9% - 7.4% (6.1%)<br>14.0% - 20.3% (17.3%)<br>58.6% - 87.9% (73.3%)                           |
| Rate lock commitments                | \$86,200               | Consensus pricing     | Origination pull-through rate   | 62.8% - 94.2% (78.5%)  |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                   |                        |                       |   |  |
| Litigation settlement                | \$(19,100)             | Discounted cash flows | Asset growth rate<br>MSR growth rate<br>Return on assets (ROA) improvement<br>Peer group ROA (2015-2017)              | 4.4% - 6.6% (5.5%)<br>0.9% - 1.4% (1.2%)<br>0.02% - 0.04% (0.03%)<br>0.5% - 0.8% (0.7%)        |

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust are discount rates, prepayment rates and default rates. Significant increase (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and default rates in isolation result in a higher fair value; however, generally a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust are discount rates, prepayment rates and default rates. While loss severity (in the event of default) is an unobservable input, the sensitivity of the fair value to this input is zero because of the insurer coverage on the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and default rates in isolation result in a higher fair value; however, generally a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the two HELOC securitizations (FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2) are discount rates, prepayment rates, loss rates and loss severity. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases (decreases) in prepay rates in isolation would result in a higher (lower) fair value measurement while

increases (decreases) in loss rates in isolation would result in a lower (higher) fair value. Significant increases (decreases) in the loss severity rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable inputs previously used in the fair value measurement of the transferors' interest are discount rates, prepayment rates, loss rates and loss severity. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and loss rates in isolation result in a lower fair value; however, generally a change in the assumption used for the loss rate is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change. Significant increases (decreases) in the loss severity rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the MSR's are option adjusted spreads, prepayment rates and cost to service. Significant increases (decreases) in all the assumptions in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the rate lock commitments is the pull through rate. The pull through rate is a statistical analysis of the Company's actual rate lock fallout history to determine the sensitivity of the residential mortgage loan pipeline compared to interest rate changes and other deterministic values. New

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

market prices are applied based on updated loan characteristics and new fall out ratios (i.e., the inverse of the pull through rate) are applied accordingly. Significant increases (decreases) in the pull through rate in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption utilized for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption utilized for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in assumption utilized for prepayment rates.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the long-term debt are discount rates, prepayment rates, loss rates and loss severity. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Increases in both prepay rates and loss rates in isolation result in a lower fair value; however, generally a change in the assumption used for the loss rate is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates, which would offset a portion of the fair value change. Significant increases (decreases) in the loss severity rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the DOJ litigation settlement are future balance sheet and growth rate assumptions for overall asset growth, MSR growth, peer group return on assets, and return on assets improvement. The current assumptions are based on management's approved, strategic performance targets beyond the current strategic modeling horizon (2014). The Bank's target asset growth rate post 2014 is based off of growth in the balance sheet. Significant increases (decreases) in the bank's growth rate in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the bank's MSR growth rate in isolation would result in a marginally lower (higher) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the peer group's return on assets improvement in isolation would result in a marginally higher (lower) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the bank's return on assets improvement in isolation would result in a marginally higher (lower) fair value measurement.

The Company also has assets that under certain conditions are subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These assets are measured at the lower of cost or market and had a fair value below cost at the end of the period as summarized below.

#### Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

|  | Level 3<br>(Dollars in<br>thousands) |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| December 31, 2013                      |                                      |
| Impaired loans held-for-investment (1) |                                      |
| Residential first mortgage loans       | \$68,252                             |
| Commercial real estate loans           | 1,500                                |
| Reposessed assets (2)                  | 36,636                               |
| Totals                                 | \$106,388                            |
| December 31, 2012                      |                                      |
| Impaired loans held-for-investment (1) |                                      |
| Residential first mortgage loans       | \$147,036                            |
| Commercial real estate loans           | 73,810                               |
| Reposessed assets (2)                  | 120,732                              |
| Totals                                 | \$341,578                            |

The Company recorded \$155.0 million, \$208.1 million and \$121.8 million in fair value losses on impaired loans (1)(included in provision for loan losses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations) during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company recorded \$9.7 million, \$11.4 million and \$20.4 million in losses related to write-downs of repossessed assets based on the estimated fair value of the specific assets, and recognized net losses of \$25.9 million, \$11.2 million and \$4.7 million on sales of repossessed assets during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following tables present the quantitative information about non-recurring Level 3 fair value financial instruments and the fair value measurements as of December 31, 2013.

|                                    | Fair Value             | Valuation Technique(s)   | Unobservable Input     | Range (Weighted Average) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| December 31, 2013                  | (Dollars in thousands) |                          |                        |                          |
| Impaired loans held-for-investment |                        |                          |                        |                          |
| Residential first mortgage loans   | \$68,252               | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (44.9%)        |
| Commercial real estate loans       | \$1,500                | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (39.6%)        |
| Repossessed assets                 | \$36,636               | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (45.3%)        |
|                                    | Fair Value             | Valuation Technique(s)   | Unobservable Input     | Range (Weighted Average) |
| December 31, 2012                  | (Dollars in thousands) |                          |                        |                          |
| Impaired loans held-for-investment |                        |                          |                        |                          |
| Residential first mortgage loans   | \$147,036              | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (46.6%)        |
| Commercial real estate loans       | \$73,810               | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (41.6%)        |
| Repossessed assets                 | \$120,732              | Fair value of collateral | Loss severity discount | 0% - 100% (44.0%)        |

The Company has certain impaired residential first mortgage and commercial real estate loans that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Such amounts are generally based on the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the loan. Appraisals or other third party price opinions are generally obtained to support the fair value of the collateral and incorporate measures such as recent sales prices for comparable properties. In cases where the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the collateral less cost to sell, an impairment charge is recognized.

Repossessed assets are measured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for loan losses based upon the fair value of the repossessed asset. The fair value of repossessed assets, upon initial recognition, are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria. The significant unobservable inputs used in the Level 3 fair value measurements of the Company's impaired loans and repossessed assets included in the table above primarily relate to internal valuations or analysis.



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The accounting guidance for financial instruments requires disclosures of the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate their fair values. Certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments are excluded from the scope of this guidance. Accordingly, the fair value disclosures required by this guidance are only indicative of the value of individual financial instruments as of the dates indicated and should not be considered an indication of the fair value of the Company.

The following table presents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of financial instruments that are carried either at fair value or cost.

|   | December 31, 2013      |              |            |              |            |
|---|------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|
|   | Carrying Value         | Total        | Level 1    | Level 2      | Level 3    |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |              |            |              |            |
| <b>Financial Instruments</b>                      |                        |              |            |              |            |
| <b>Assets</b>                                     |                        |              |            |              |            |
| Cash and cash equivalents                         | \$280,505              | \$280,505    | \$280,505  | \$—          | \$—        |
| Investment securities available-for-sale          | 1,045,548              | 1,045,548    | 1,028,248  | 17,300       | —          |
| Loans held-for-sale                               | 1,480,418              | 1,469,820    | —          | 1,469,820    | —          |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees      | 1,273,690              | 1,212,799    | —          | 1,212,799    | —          |
| Loans held-for-investment, net                    | 3,848,756              | 3,653,292    | —          | 18,625       | 3,634,667  |
| Reposessed assets                                 | 36,636                 | 36,636       | —          | —            | 36,636     |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock                      | 209,737                | 209,737      | 209,737    | —            | —          |
| Mortgage servicing rights                         | 284,678                | 284,678      | —          | —            | 284,678    |
| Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps | 1,797                  | 1,797        | —          | 1,797        | —          |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                                |                        |              |            |              |            |
| <b>Retail deposits</b>                            |                        |              |            |              |            |
| Demand deposits and savings accounts              | (3,919,937 )           | (3,778,890 ) | —          | (3,778,890 ) | —          |
| Certificates of deposit                           | (1,026,129 )           | (1,034,599 ) | —          | (1,034,599 ) | —          |
| Government deposits                               | (602,398 )             | (596,778 )   | —          | (596,778 )   | —          |
| Wholesale deposit                                 | (8,717 )               | (8,716 )     | —          | (8,716 )     | —          |
| Company controlled deposits                       | (583,145 )             | (577,662 )   | —          | (577,662 )   | —          |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances                   | (988,000 )             | (988,102 )   | (988,102 ) | —            | —          |
| Long-term debt                                    | (353,248 )             | (202,887 )   | —          | (97,074 )    | (105,813 ) |
| Warrant liabilities                               | (10,802 )              | (10,802 )    | —          | (10,802 )    | —          |
| Litigation settlement                             | (93,000 )              | (93,000 )    | —          | —            | (93,000 )  |
| Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps | (1,797 )               | (1,797 )     | —          | (1,797 )     | —          |
| <b>Derivative Financial Instruments</b>           |                        |              |            |              |            |
| Forward agency and loan sales                     | 19,847                 | 19,847       | —          | 19,847       | —          |
| Rate lock commitments                             | 10,329                 | 10,329       | —          | —            | 10,329     |
| U.S. Treasury and agency futures/forwards         | (444 )                 | (444 )       | (444 )     | —            | —          |



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

|   | December 31, 2012      |                      |              |              |           |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
|   | Carrying Value         | Estimated Fair Value |              |              |           |
|   | Total                  | Level 1              | Level 2      | Level 3      |           |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                      |              |              |           |
| <b>Financial Instruments</b>                      |                        |                      |              |              |           |
| <b>Assets</b>                                     |                        |                      |              |              |           |
| Cash and cash equivalents                         | \$952,793              | \$952,793            | \$952,793    | \$—          | \$—       |
| Trading securities                                | 170,086                | 170,086              | 170,086      | —            | —         |
| Investment securities available-for-sale          | 184,445                | 184,445              | 79,717       | 13,611       | 91,117    |
| Loans held-for-sale                               | 3,939,720              | 3,945,133            | —            | 3,945,133    | —         |
| Loans repurchased with government guarantees      | 1,841,342              | 1,704,317            | —            | 1,704,317    | —         |
| Loans held-for-investment                         | 5,133,101              | 5,119,704            | —            | 20,219       | 5,099,485 |
| Repossessed assets                                | 120,732                | 120,732              | —            | —            | 120,732   |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock                      | 301,737                | 301,737              | 301,737      | —            | —         |
| Mortgage servicing rights                         | 710,791                | 710,791              | —            | —            | 710,791   |
| Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps | 5,813                  | 5,813                | —            | 5,813        | —         |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                                |                        |                      |              |              |           |
| <b>Retail deposits</b>                            |                        |                      |              |              |           |
| Demand deposits and savings accounts              | (3,192,006 )           | (3,121,643 )         | —            | (3,121,643 ) | —         |
| Certificates of deposit                           | (3,175,481 )           | (3,199,242 )         | —            | (3,199,242 ) | —         |
| Government deposits                               | (819,078 )             | (816,258 )           | —            | (816,258 )   | —         |
| Wholesale deposit                                 | (99,338 )              | (101,729 )           | —            | (101,729 )   | —         |
| Company controlled deposits                       | (1,008,392 )           | (1,005,780 )         | —            | (1,005,780 ) | —         |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances                   | (3,180,000 )           | (3,422,567 )         | (3,422,567 ) | —            | —         |
| Long-term debt                                    | (247,435 )             | (78,220 )            | —            | (78,220 )    | —         |
| Warrant liabilities                               | (11,346 )              | (11,346 )            | —            | (11,346 )    | —         |
| Litigation settlement                             | (19,100 )              | (19,100 )            | —            | —            | (19,100 ) |
| Customer initiated derivative interest rate swaps | (5,813 )               | (5,813 )             | —            | (5,813 )     | —         |
| <b>Derivative Financial Instruments</b>           |                        |                      |              |              |           |
| Forward agency and loan sales                     | (14,021 )              | (14,021 )            | —            | (14,021 )    | —         |
| Rate lock commitments                             | 86,200                 | 86,200               | —            | —            | 86,200    |
| U.S. Treasury and agency futures/forwards         | 5,821                  | 5,821                | 5,821        | —            | —         |

The methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair value of financial instruments that were not previously disclosed.

Cash and cash equivalents. Due to their short-term nature, the carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Loans repurchased with government guarantees. The fair value of loans is estimated by using internally developed discounted cash flow models using market interest rate inputs as well as management's best estimate of spreads for similar collateral.

Loans held-for-investment. The fair value of loans is estimated by using internally developed discounted cash flow models using market interest rate inputs as well as management's best estimate of spreads for similar collateral.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock. No secondary market exists for Federal Home Loan Bank stock. The stock is bought and sold at par by the Federal Home Loan Bank. Management believes that the recorded value is the fair value.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Deposit accounts. The fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts approximates the carrying amount. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for certificates of deposit with similar remaining maturities.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of the existing debt.

Long-term debt. The fair value of the long-term debt is estimated based on a discounted cash flow model that incorporates the Company's current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

#### Fair Value Option

The Company elected to measure at fair value certain financial assets and financial liabilities. The Company elected fair value option for the following items to mitigate a divergence between accounting losses and economic exposure.

The Company elected the fair value option for held-for-sale loans, originated post 2009, and the litigation settlement liability to better reflect the management of these financial instruments on a fair value basis. Loan held-for-investment include loans that were originated as loans held-for-sale and later transferred to loans held-for-investment at fair value. Interest income on loans held-for-sale is accrued on the principal outstanding using the interest method. Interest expense on the litigation settlement will be included in the overall change in fair value of the liability each quarter. Direct loan origination cost and fees on loans held-for-sale are recognized in income at origination.

As of June 30, 2013, following the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the Company dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust and transferred the second mortgage loans, underlying the collapsed FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization which were carried at fair value in available-for-sale investment securities. The change in fair value relating to the loans is recorded in other noninterest income.

As of June 30, 2013, following the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities of reconsolidated VIEs related to the HELOC securitization trusts. This option is generally elected for newly consolidated VIEs for which predominantly all of the Company's interests, prior to consolidation, are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded to earnings. The change in fair value relating to the assets and liabilities of these transactions is recorded in other noninterest income. Accordingly, such an election allows the Company to continue fair value accounting through earnings for those interests and eliminate income statement mismatch otherwise caused by differences in the measurement basis of the consolidated VIEs assets and liabilities.

The Company elected the fair value option to account for the liability representing the obligation to make Additional Payments under the DOJ Agreement. The signed DOJ Agreement establishes a legally enforceable contract with a stipulated payment plan that meets the definition of a financial liability. The Company made the fair value election as of December 31, 2011, the date the Company first recognized the financial instrument in its financial statements.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following table reflects the change in fair value included in earnings (and the amount recorded in) for the assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

|                                | For the Years Ended December 31, |           |           |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                                | 2013                             | 2012      | 2011      |
|                                | (Dollars in thousands)           |           |           |
| <b>Assets</b>                  |                                  |           |           |
| Loans held-for-sale            |                                  |           |           |
| Net gain on loan sales         | \$200,639                        | \$784,760 | \$356,278 |
| Loans held-for-investment      |                                  |           |           |
| Interest income on loans       | (779                             | ) (637    | ) 685     |
| Other noninterest income       | 29,175                           | —         | —         |
| <b>Liabilities</b>             |                                  |           |           |
| Long-term debt                 |                                  |           |           |
| Other noninterest income       | \$5,117                          | \$—       | \$—       |
| Litigation settlement          |                                  |           |           |
| Legal and professional expense | (73,900                          | ) (930    | ) (18,300 |

The following table reflects the difference between the aggregate fair value and aggregate remaining contractual principal balance outstanding as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 for assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

|                               | December 31, 2013        |             | December 31, 2012               |                          | December 31, 2011 |                                 |                          |             |                                 |  |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--|
|                               | (Dollars in thousands)   |             |                                 |                          |                   |                                 |                          |             |                                 |  |
|                               | Unpaid Principal Balance | Fair Value  | Fair Value Over/(Under) Balance | Unpaid Principal Balance | Fair Value        | Fair Value Over/(Under) Balance | Unpaid Principal Balance | Fair Value  | Fair Value Over/(Under) Balance |  |
| <b>Assets</b>                 |                          |             |                                 |                          |                   |                                 |                          |             |                                 |  |
| <b>Nonaccrual loans</b>       |                          |             |                                 |                          |                   |                                 |                          |             |                                 |  |
| Loans held-for-sale           | \$—                      | \$—         | \$—                             | \$222                    | \$240             | \$18                            | \$281                    | \$291       | \$10                            |  |
| Loans held-for-investment     | 10,764                   | 4,014       | (6,750                          | )2,021                   | 2,064             | 43                              | 2,989                    | 2,963       | (26                             |  |
| Total loans                   | \$10,764                 | \$4,014     | (6,750                          | )\$2,243                 | \$2,304           | \$61                            | \$3,270                  | \$3,254     | \$(16                           |  |
| <b>Other performing loans</b> |                          |             |                                 |                          |                   |                                 |                          |             |                                 |  |
| Loans held-for-sale           | \$1,109,517              | \$1,140,507 | \$30,990                        | \$2,734,756              | \$2,865,456       | \$130,700                       | \$1,570,302              | \$1,629,327 | \$59,025                        |  |
| Loans held-for-investment     | 257,665                  | 234,308     | (23,357                         | )17,589                  | 18,155            | 566                             | 18,699                   | 19,688      | 989                             |  |
| Total loans                   | \$1,367,182              | \$1,374,815 | \$7,633                         | \$2,752,345              | \$2,883,611       | \$131,266                       | \$1,589,001              | \$1,649,015 | \$60,014                        |  |
| <b>Total loans</b>            |                          |             |                                 |                          |                   |                                 |                          |             |                                 |  |
| Loans held-for-sale           | \$1,109,517              | \$1,140,507 | \$30,990                        | \$2,734,978              | \$2,865,696       | \$130,718                       | \$1,570,583              | \$1,629,618 | \$59,035                        |  |
| Loans held-for-investment     | 268,429                  | 238,322     | (30,107                         | )19,610                  | 20,219            | 609                             | 21,688                   | 22,651      | 963                             |  |
| Total loans                   | \$1,377,946              | \$1,378,829 | \$883                           | \$2,754,588              | \$2,885,915       | \$131,327                       | \$1,592,271              | \$1,652,269 | \$59,998                        |  |
| <b>Liabilities</b>            |                          |             |                                 |                          |                   |                                 |                          |             |                                 |  |
| Long-term debt                | \$(116,504               | )\$(105,813 | )\$10,691                       | \$—                      | \$—               | \$—                             | \$—                      | \$—         | \$—                             |  |
| Litigation settlement         | N/A (1)                  | (93,000     | )N/A (1)                        | N/A (1)                  | (19,100           | )N/A (1)                        | N/A (1)                  | (18,300     | )N/A (1)                        |  |

Remaining principal outstanding is not applicable to the litigation settlement because it does not obligate the (1)Company to return a stated amount of principal at maturity, but instead return an amount based upon performance on the underlying terms in the Agreement.



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Note 5 — Investment Securities

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, investment securities were comprised of the following.

|                                     | Amortized<br>Cost      | Gross<br>Unrealized<br>Gains | Gross<br>Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair Value    |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
|                                     | (Dollars in thousands) |                              |                               |               |
| December 31, 2013                   |                        |                              |                               |               |
| Available-for-sale securities       |                        |                              |                               |               |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies  | \$1,037,289            | \$1,546                      | \$(10,587)                    | ) \$1,028,248 |
| Municipal obligations               | 17,300                 | —                            | —                             | ) 17,300      |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$1,054,589            | \$1,546                      | \$(10,587)                    | ) \$1,045,548 |
| December 31, 2012                   |                        |                              |                               |               |
| Trading securities                  |                        |                              |                               |               |
| U.S. Treasury bonds                 | \$169,991              | \$95                         | \$—                           | ) \$170,086   |
| Available-for-sale securities       |                        |                              |                               |               |
| Mortgage securitization             | \$101,272              | \$—                          | \$(10,155)                    | ) \$91,117    |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies  | 77,328                 | 2,389                        | —                             | ) 79,717      |
| Municipal obligations               | 13,611                 | —                            | —                             | ) 13,611      |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$192,211              | \$2,389                      | \$(10,155)                    | ) \$184,445   |

Trading

Securities classified as trading are comprised of U.S. Treasury bonds and are distinguished from available-for-sale based upon the intent of the Company to use them as an economic offset against changes in the valuation of the MSR portfolio; however, these securities do not qualify as an accounting hedge.

For U.S. Treasury bonds held, the Company recorded an unrealized loss of \$0.1 million, an unrealized loss of \$21.5 million and an unrealized gain of \$21.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company had \$170.0 million of U.S. Treasury bonds sales during the year ended December 31, 2013, which resulted in a \$0.2 million realized gain. The Company sold \$290.0 million of U. S. Treasury bonds, which resulted in a realized gain of \$19.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and had no sales of U.S. Treasury bond during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The Company had no purchases of trading securities during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$170.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$131.7 million of purchases of trading securities during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The Company has pledged certain U.S. Treasury bonds trading securities to collateralize servicing related exposures with Fannie Mae. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had no pledged trading securities and \$55.9 million of trading securities, respectively.

Available-for-sale

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had \$1.0 billion and \$184.4 million, respectively, in investment securities available-for-sale which were comprised of U.S. government sponsored agencies, mortgage securitization



and municipal obligations. Securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive loss to the extent they are temporary in nature. Credit related declines in the securities are classified as other-than-temporary impairments ("OTTI") and are reported as a separate component of non-interest income within the Consolidated Statement of Operations. OTTI is considered to have occurred if (1) if the Company intends to sell the security; (2) if it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of expected cash flows are not sufficient to recover all contractually required principal and interest payments. As of June 30, 2013, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust was dissolved and the Company

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

transferred the second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization into its loans held-for investment portfolio.

The Company purchased \$1.1 billion of U.S. government sponsored agencies and \$20.0 million of municipal obligations during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to no purchases of U.S. government sponsored agencies and \$20.0 million of municipal obligations during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$149.8 million of U.S. government sponsored agencies purchases and no purchases of municipal obligations during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The Company has pledged available-for-sale securities, primarily U.S. government sponsored agencies, to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Fannie Mae. At December 31, 2013, the Company pledged \$7.8 million of available-for-sale securities, compared to none at December 31, 2012.

The following table summarizes by duration the unrealized loss positions on securities classified as available-for-sale.

| Type of Security                      | Unrealized Loss Position with Duration<br>12 Months and Over |                         |                    | Unrealized Loss Position with Duration<br>Under 12 Months |                         |                    |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------|
|                                       | Fair<br>Value  | Number of<br>Securities | Unrealized<br>Loss | Fair<br>Value   | Number of<br>Securities | Unrealized<br>Loss |
| (Dollars in thousands)                |  |                         |                    |   |                         |                    |
| December 31, 2013                     |  |                         |                    |   |                         |                    |
| U.S. government<br>sponsored agencies | \$—  | —                       | \$—                | \$825,308   | 63                      | \$(10,587 )        |
| December 31, 2012                     |  |                         |                    |   |                         |                    |
| Mortgage securitization               | \$91,117   | 1                       | \$(10,155 )        | \$—   | —                       | \$—                |
| December 31, 2011                     |  |                         |                    |   |                         |                    |
| Non-agency CMOs                       | \$208,515  | 9                       | \$(21,123 )        | \$46,413  | 2                       | \$(1,971 )         |
| Mortgage securitization               | 110,328  | 1                       | (12,923 )          | —   | —                       | —                  |

The credit losses in the portfolio reflect the economic conditions present in the United States over the course of the last several years and the forecasted effect of changes in such conditions, including changes in the forecast level of home prices. The continued decline in the delinquency rates of the mortgages in the underlying securitization suggest a stabilization of expected future defaults and reflect the recent improvements in the housing market.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized \$8.8 million of additional OTTI on the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was subsequently dissolved at June 30, 2013 as a result of the MBIA settlement agreement. Accordingly, the second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization were transferred into loans held-for-investment at June 30, 2013. Also a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the Company recognized a tax benefit of \$6.1 million during the second quarter 2013 representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with the previously unrealized losses on the mortgage securitization recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). At December 31, 2013, the Company had no OTTI.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recognized \$2.2 million of OTTI on non-agency CMOs and the mortgage securitization, which were recognized on seven securities that had losses prior to December 31, 2012, primarily due to forecasted credit losses. At December 31, 2012, the Company had total OTTI of \$2.8 million on one mortgage securitization, with existing OTTI in the available-for-sale portfolio, of which \$5.0 million net gain was recognized in other comprehensive income (loss).

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recognized \$24.0 million of OTTI on non-agency CMOs and the mortgage securitization, which were recognized on 11 securities that had losses prior to December 31, 2011, primarily due to forecasted credit losses. At December 31, 2011, the Company had total OTTI of \$59.4 million on 11 non-agency CMOs and the mortgage securitization, with existing OTTI in the available-for-sale portfolio, of which \$6.4 million net gain was recognized in other comprehensive income (loss).

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following table shows the activity for OTTI credit loss.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |              |              |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012         | 2011         |
|  | (Dollars in thousands)           |              |              |
| Beginning balance of amount related to credit losses   | \$ (2,793                        | ) \$ (59,376 | ) \$ (40,045 |
| Reductions for increases in cash flows expected to be collected that are recognized over the remaining life    | 389                              | 6,680        | 4,708        |
| Reductions for investment securities sold during the period (realized)   | 11,193                           | 52,095       | —            |
| Additions for the amount related to the credit loss for which an OTTI impairment was not previously recognized | (8,789                           | ) (2,192     | ) (24,039    |
| Ending balance of amount related to credit losses  | \$—                              | \$ (2,793    | ) \$ (59,376 |

Gains (losses) on the sale of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale that are recently created with underlying mortgage products originated by the Bank are reported within net gain on loan sale. Securities in this category have typically remained in the portfolio less than 90 days before sale. During the year ended December 31, 2013, sales of agency securities with underlying mortgage products recently originated by the Bank were \$287.0 million, resulting in \$0.7 million of net loss on loan sale compared with no sales during the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Gains (losses) on the sales for available-for-sale securities are reported in net gain on securities available-for-sale in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the year ended December 31, 2013, there were \$38.6 million sales of U.S. government sponsored agencies, which resulted in a gain of \$1.0 million. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company sold \$253.7 million of non-agency CMOs and U.S. government sponsored agencies resulting in a gain of \$2.6 million, compared to no sales of non-agency CMOs and U.S. government sponsored agencies during the year ended December 31, 2011. The gain on the sale of non-agency CMOs and seasoned agency securities completed during the year ended December 31, 2012 resulted in the Company also recognizing \$19.9 million of tax benefits representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with unrealized losses on this portfolio previously recorded in other comprehensive income.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities, excluding trading securities, at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are presented below by contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations.

|  | Investment Securities Available-for-Sale |                      |
|--|--|----------------------|
|  | Amortized Cost                           | Estimated Fair Value |
| December 31, 2013                      | (Dollars in thousands)                   |                      |
| Due in one year or less                | \$2,985                                  | \$2,985              |
| Due after one year through five years  | 14,315                                   | 14,315               |
| Due after five years through ten years | 68,965                                   | 69,212               |
| Due after ten years                    | 968,324                                  | 959,036              |
| Total                                  | \$1,054,589                              | \$1,045,548          |
|  | Investment Securities Available-for-Sale |                      |
|  | Amortized Cost                           | Estimated Fair Value |
| December 31, 2012                      | (Dollars in thousands)                   |                      |
| Due in one year or less                | \$—                                      | \$—                  |
| Due after one year through five years  | 13,611                                   | 13,611               |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|  |           |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Due after five years through ten years | —         | —         |
| Due after ten years                    | 178,600   | 170,834   |
| Total                                  | \$192,211 | \$184,445 |

166

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

## Note 6 — Loans Held-for-Sale

The loans held-for-sale are summarized as follows.

|                            | December 31, 2013      | December 31, 2012 |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
|                            | (Dollars in thousands) |                   |
| Consumer loans             |                        |                   |
| Residential first mortgage | \$1,480,418            | \$3,012,039       |
| Commercial loans           |                        |                   |
| Commercial real estate     | —                      | 280,399           |
| Commercial and industrial  | —                      | 488,361           |
| Commercial lease financing | —                      | 158,921           |
| Total commercial loans     | —                      | 927,681           |
| Total loans held-for-sale  | \$1,480,418            | \$3,939,720       |

The decrease in the balance of loans held-for-sale was primarily due to a decrease in mortgage loan originations, driven by an increase in interest rates and the first quarter 2013 loan sales related to the agreement to sell the Northeast commercial loans.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$1.1 billion and \$2.9 billion of loans held-for-sale were recorded at fair value, respectively, under the fair value option. Such loans are reported at fair value with any adjustments in fair value recorded through the current period earnings. The Company estimates the fair value of mortgage loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans for which quoted market prices were available. The fair values of loans were estimated by discounting estimated cash flows using management's best estimate of market interest rates for similar collateral.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$340.0 million and \$1.1 billion, respectively, of loans held-for-sale were recorded at lower of cost or market ("LOCOM") based on a decision to sell the loans. The LOCOM loans were transferred into the held-for-sale portfolio from the held-for-investment portfolio. At the time of the transfer, any amount by which cost exceeded fair value was recorded as a valuation allowance.

The following table sets forth the activity related to residential first mortgage loans held-for-sale.

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |               |               |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012          | 2011          |
| Balance at beginning of period                    | \$3,939,720                      | \$1,800,885   | \$2,585,200   |
| Net loan originations                             | 38,024,042                       | 56,140,093    | 28,217,645    |
| Net loans sold, servicing retained                | (39,835,638 )                    | (54,602,099 ) | (27,334,530 ) |
| Net loans sold, servicing released                | (1,510,026 )                     | (541,929 )    | (986,833 )    |
| Loan amortization and prepayments                 | 113,295                          | (15,691 )     | (751,568 )    |
| Loans transferred from (to) other loan portfolios | 749,025                          | 1,158,461     | 70,971        |
| Balance at end of period                          | \$1,480,418                      | \$3,939,720   | \$1,800,885   |

The Company has pledged certain loans held-for-sale to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company pledged \$1.2 billion and \$2.4 billion, respectively, of loans held-for-sale.

## Note 7 — Loans Repurchased with Government Guarantees

Pursuant to Ginnie Mae servicing guidelines, the Company has the unilateral right to repurchase certain delinquent loans (loans past due 90 days or more) securitized in Ginnie Mae pools, if the loans meet defined delinquent loan criteria. As a result of this unilateral right, once the delinquency criteria have been met, and regardless of whether the repurchase option has been exercised, the Company must treat the loans as having been repurchased and recognize the loans as loans held-for-sale on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and also recognize a corresponding liability for a similar amount recorded in other liabilities on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. If the loans are actually repurchased, the Company

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

transfers the loans to loans repurchased with government guarantees and eliminates the corresponding liability. At December 31, 2013, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.3 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees, and those loans which the Company had not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$20.8 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale. At December 31, 2012, the amount of such loans actually repurchased totaled \$1.8 billion and were classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees, and those loans which the Company had not yet repurchased but had the unilateral right to repurchase totaled \$72.4 million and were classified as loans held-for-sale.

Substantially all of these loans continue to be insured or guaranteed by the FHA, and the Company's management believes that the reimbursement process is proceeding appropriately. These repurchased loans earn interest at a statutory rate, which varies and is based upon the 10-year U.S. Treasury note rate at the time the underlying loan becomes delinquent.

The Company has pledged certain loans repurchased with government guarantees to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company pledged \$0.8 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively, of loans repurchased with government guarantees.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company participated in HUD-coordinated market auctions of loans repurchased with government guarantees, which resulted in the conveyance in an accelerated fashion of \$263.4 million of unpaid principal balance of loans to HUD.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company participated in a HUD-coordinated market auction, which resulted in the conveyance in an accelerated fashion of \$306.1 million unpaid principal balance of loans to HUD within prescribed time frames. As a result, the Company recognized a reduction in otherwise expected curtailments of debenture interest income previously provided for, resulting in a benefit of \$7.8 million that was applied against asset resolution expense during the year ended December 31, 2012.

#### Note 8 — Loans Held-for-Investment

Loans held-for-investment are summarized as follows.

|   | December 31, 2013<br>(Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2012 |
|---|---|-------------------|
| Consumer loans  |   |                   |
| Residential first mortgage                              | \$2,508,968                                 | \$3,009,251       |
| Second mortgage   | 169,525                                     | 114,885           |
| Warehouse lending                                       | 423,517                                     | 1,347,727         |
| HELOC   | 289,880                                     | 179,447           |
| Other   | 37,468                                      | 49,611            |
| Total consumer loans                                    | 3,429,358                                   | 4,700,921         |
| Commercial loans  |   |                   |
| Commercial real estate                                  | 408,870                                     | 640,315           |
| Commercial and industrial                               | 207,187                                     | 90,565            |
| Commercial lease financing                              | 10,341                                      | 6,300             |
| Total commercial loans                                  | 626,398                                     | 737,180           |
| Total consumer and commercial loans held-for-investment | 4,055,756                                   | 5,438,101         |
| Less allowance for loan losses                          | (207,000)                                   | (305,000)         |
| Loans held-for-investment, net                          | \$3,848,756                                 | \$5,133,101       |



For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the loans held-for-investment include \$238.3 million and \$20.2 million of loans accounted for under the fair value option. During the second quarter 2013, the Company settled separate litigations with each MBIA and Assured, which resulted in the Company reconsolidating \$170.5 million of HELOC loans associated with the HELOC securitization trusts and transferring \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans associated with the collapse of the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization at June 30, 2013.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company sold \$277.9 million of unpaid principal balance of residential first jumbo adjustable-rate mortgage loans, which resulted in a \$1.4 million gain on sale recorded in net gain on sale of assets on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company also sold \$508.4 million unpaid principal balance of nonperforming and TDR loans, which resulted in a \$1.4 million loss recorded in net gain on sale of assets on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company transferred \$64.3 million, \$61.8 million and \$16.7 million, respectively, of loans held-for-sale to loans held-for-investment. The loans transferred were carried at fair value, and will continue to be reported at fair value while classified as held-for-investment.

The Company has pledged certain loans held-for-investment to collateralize lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company pledged \$2.5 billion and \$3.3 billion, respectively, of loans held-for-investment.

The Company's commercial leasing activities consisted primarily of equipment leases. Generally, lessees are responsible for all maintenance, taxes, and insurance on leased properties. The following table lists the components of the net investment in financing leases.

|   | December 31, 2013<br>(Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2012 |
|---|---|-------------------|
| Total minimum lease payments to be received   | \$10,613                                    | \$5,634           |
| Estimated residual values of lease properties | 503   | 913               |
| Unearned income                               | (755  | ) (346            |
| Net deferred fees and other                   | (20   | ) 99              |
| Net investment in commercial financing leases | \$10,341                                    | \$6,300           |

The following outlines the Company's minimum lease payment receivable for direct financing leases for the five succeeding years and thereafter.

|            | December 31, 2013<br>(Dollars in thousands) |
|------------|---|
| 2014       | \$959                                       |
| 2015       | 959   |
| 2016       | 959   |
| 2017       | 959   |
| 2018       | 959   |
| Thereafter | 5,818                                       |
| Total      | \$10,613                                    |
|            | December 31, 2012<br>(Dollars in thousands) |
| 2013       | \$2,241                                     |
| 2014       | 2,587                                       |
| 2015       | 373   |
| 2016       | 366   |
| 2017       | 67  |
| Thereafter | —   |
| Total      | \$5,634                                     |



Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The allowance for loan losses by class of loan is summarized in the following tables.

|                           | Residential<br>First<br>Mortgage | Second<br>Mortgage | Warehouse<br>Lending | HELOC     | Other<br>Consumer | Commercial<br>Real<br>Estate | Commercial<br>and<br>Industrial | Commercial<br>Lease<br>Financing | Total      |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
|                           | (Dollars in thousands)           |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| Year Ended                |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| December 31, 2013         |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| Beginning balance         |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| allowance for loan losses | \$ 219,230                       | \$ 20,201          | \$ 899               | \$ 18,348 | \$ 2,040          | \$ 41,310                    | \$ 2,878                        | \$ 94                            | \$ 305,000 |
| Charge-offs (1)           | (133,326 )                       | (6,252 )           | (45 )                | (5,473 )  | (3,622 )          | (47,982 )                    | (350 )                          | (1,299 )                         | (198,349 ) |
| Recoveries                | 15,329                           | 1,178              | —                    | 1,020     | 2,079             | 10,162                       | 151                             | 288                              | 30,207     |
| Provision                 | 59,909                           | (2,986 )           | 538                  | (6,002 )  | 1,915             | 15,050                       | 653                             | 1,065                            | 70,142     |
| Ending balance            |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| allowance for loan losses | \$ 161,142                       | \$ 12,141          | \$ 1,392             | \$ 7,893  | \$ 2,412          | \$ 18,540                    | \$ 3,332                        | \$ 148                           | \$ 207,000 |
| Year Ended                |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| December 31, 2012         |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| Beginning balance         |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| allowance for loan losses | \$ 179,218                       | \$ 16,666          | \$ 1,250             | \$ 14,845 | \$ 2,434          | \$ 96,984                    | \$ 5,425                        | \$ 1,178                         | \$ 318,000 |
| Charge-offs               | (175,803 )                       | (18,753 )          | —                    | (17,159 ) | (4,423 )          | (105,285 )                   | (4,627 )                        | (1,191 )                         | (327,241 ) |
| Recoveries                | 18,561                           | 1,912              | —                    | 461       | 1,786             | 15,397                       | 77                              | —                                | 38,194     |
| Provision                 | 197,254                          | 20,376             | (351 )               | 20,201    | 2,243             | 34,214                       | 2,003                           | 107                              | 276,047    |
| Ending balance            |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| allowance for loan losses | \$ 219,230                       | \$ 20,201          | \$ 899               | \$ 18,348 | \$ 2,040          | \$ 41,310                    | \$ 2,878                        | \$ 94                            | \$ 305,000 |
| Year Ended                |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| December 31, 2011         |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| Beginning balance         |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| allowance for loan losses | \$ 119,400                       | \$ 25,186          | \$ 4,171             | \$ 24,819 | \$ 5,445          | \$ 93,437                    | \$ 1,542                        | \$ —                             | \$ 274,000 |
| Charge-offs               | (41,560 )                        | (19,216 )          | (1,122 )             | (16,980 ) | (4,729 )          | (57,626 )                    | (644 )                          | —                                | (141,877 ) |
| Recoveries                | 1,656                            | 1,642              | 5                    | 1,510     | 1,603             | 2,408                        | 122                             | —                                | 8,946      |
| Provision                 | 99,722                           | 9,054              | (1,804 )             | 5,496     | 115               | 58,765                       | 4,405                           | 1,178                            | 176,931    |
| Ending balance            |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| allowance for loan losses | \$ 179,218                       | \$ 16,666          | \$ 1,250             | \$ 14,845 | \$ 2,434          | \$ 96,984                    | \$ 5,425                        | \$ 1,178                         | \$ 318,000 |
| December 31, 2013         |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| Loans held-for-investment |                                  |                    |                      |           |                   |                              |                                 |                                  |            |
| Individually evaluated    | \$ 419,703                       | \$ 24,356          | \$ —                 | \$ 406    | \$ —              | \$ 1,956                     | \$ —                            | \$ —                             | \$ 446,421 |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|                                 |             |           |             |           |          |           |           |          |             |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Collectively evaluated (2)      | 2,070,640   | 80,484    | 423,517     | 134,462   | 37,468   | 406,914   | 207,187   | 10,341   | 3,371,013   |
| Total loans                     | \$2,490,343 | \$104,840 | \$423,517   | \$134,868 | \$37,468 | \$408,870 | \$207,187 | \$10,341 | \$3,817,434 |
| Allowance for loan losses       |             |           |             |           |          |           |           |          |             |
| Individually evaluated          | \$81,765    | \$4,566   | \$—         | \$405     | \$—      | \$—       | \$—       | \$—      | \$86,736    |
| Collectively evaluated (2)      | 79,377      | 7,575     | 1,392       | 7,488     | 2,412    | 18,540    | 3,332     | 148      | 120,264     |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$161,142   | \$12,141  | \$1,392     | \$7,893   | \$2,412  | \$18,540  | \$3,332   | \$148    | \$207,000   |
| December 31, 2012               |             |           |             |           |          |           |           |          |             |
| Loans held-for-investment       |             |           |             |           |          |           |           |          |             |
| Individually evaluated          | \$805,787   | \$16,949  | \$—         | \$734     | \$—      | \$95,322  | \$41      | \$—      | \$918,833   |
| Collectively evaluated (2)      | 2,203,464   | 97,936    | 1,347,727   | 178,713   | 49,611   | 544,993   | 90,524    | 6,300    | 4,519,268   |
| Total loans                     | \$3,009,251 | \$114,885 | \$1,347,727 | \$179,447 | \$49,611 | \$640,315 | \$90,565  | \$6,300  | \$5,438,101 |
| Allowance for loan losses       |             |           |             |           |          |           |           |          |             |
| Individually evaluated          | \$150,545   | \$7,028   | \$—         | \$3,074   | \$—      | \$2,538   | \$10      | \$—      | \$163,195   |
| Collectively evaluated (2)      | 68,685      | 13,173    | 899         | 15,274    | 2,040    | 38,772    | 2,868     | 94       | 141,805     |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$219,230   | \$20,201  | \$899       | \$18,348  | \$2,040  | \$41,310  | \$2,878   | \$94     | \$305,000   |

(1) Includes charge-offs of \$65.1 million related to the sale of residential first mortgage nonperforming and TDR loans during the year ended December 31, 2013.

(2) Excludes loans carried under the fair value option.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

A specific allowance is established on a loan when it is probable all amounts due will not be collected pursuant to the contractual terms of the loan and the recorded investment in the loan exceeds its fair value. Fair value is measured using either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, reduced by estimated disposal costs.

The Company's procedure is to recognize losses through charge-offs when amounts are deemed uncollectible after considering the borrower's financial condition, underlying collateral and guarantees, and the finalization of collection activities. Upon recognition of the loss the corresponding reserve will be released and the loan re-evaluated for any additional reserves.

Nonperforming commercial loans are considered to be impaired and typically have an allowance allocated based on the underlying collateral's appraised value, less management's estimates of costs to sell. In estimating the fair value of collateral, the Company utilizes outside fee-based appraisers to evaluate various factors such as occupancy and rental rates in its real estate markets and the level of obsolescence that may exist on assets acquired from commercial business loans. Appraisals are updated at least annually but may be obtained more frequently if changes to the property or market conditions warrant.

Impaired residential first mortgage loans include loan modifications considered to be troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") and certain nonperforming loans that have been charged down to collateral value. Fair value of nonperforming residential first mortgage loans, including re-defaulted TDRs and certain other severely past due loans, is based on the underlying collateral's value obtained through appraisals or broker's price opinions, updated at least semi-annually, less management's estimates of cost to sell. The allowance allocated to TDRs performing under the terms of their modification is typically based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate as these loans are not considered to be collateral dependent.

A general allowance for losses inherent on non-impaired loans is calculated using the Company's loss history by specific product, or if the product is not sufficiently seasoned, per loss data. The loss history is normally a one to five year rolling average updated periodically as new data becomes available. In addition to the loss history, the Company will also include a qualitative adjustment that considers economic risks, industry and geographic concentrations and other factors not adequately captured in the Company's loss methodology.

For those loans not individually evaluated for impairment, management has sub-divided the commercial and consumer loans into homogeneous portfolios.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

|                            | 30-59 Days<br>Past Due | 60-89 Days<br>Past Due | 90 Days or<br>Greater Past<br>Due | Total<br>Past Due | Current     | Total<br>Investment<br>Loans |
|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands)     |                        |                        |                                   |                   |             |                              |
| December 31, 2013          |                        |                        |                                   |                   |             |                              |
| Consumer loans             |                        |                        |                                   |                   |             |                              |
| Residential first mortgage | \$36,526               | \$19,096               | \$134,340                         | \$189,962         | \$2,319,006 | \$2,508,968                  |
| Second mortgage            | 1,997                  | 271                    | 2,820                             | 5,088             | 164,437     | 169,525                      |
| Warehouse lending          | —                      | —                      | —                                 | —                 | 423,517     | 423,517                      |
| HELOC                      | 2,197                  | 1,238                  | 6,826                             | 10,261            | 279,619     | 289,880                      |
| Other                      | 293                    | 127                    | 199                               | 619               | 36,849      | 37,468                       |
| Total consumer loans       | 41,013                 | 20,732                 | 144,185                           | 205,930           | 3,223,428   | 3,429,358                    |
| Commercial loans           |                        |                        |                                   |                   |             |                              |
| Commercial real estate     | —                      | —                      | 1,500                             | 1,500             | 407,370     | 408,870                      |
| Commercial and industrial  | —                      | —                      | —                                 | —                 | 207,187     | 207,187                      |
| Commercial lease financing | —                      | —                      | —                                 | —                 | 10,341      | 10,341                       |
| Total commercial loans     | —                      | —                      | 1,500                             | 1,500             | 624,898     | 626,398                      |
| Total loans (1)            | \$41,013               | \$20,732               | \$145,685                         | \$207,430         | \$3,848,326 | \$4,055,756                  |
| December 31, 2012          |                        |                        |                                   |                   |             |                              |
| Consumer loans             |                        |                        |                                   |                   |             |                              |
| Residential first mortgage | \$62,445               | \$16,693               | \$306,486                         | \$385,624         | \$2,623,627 | \$3,009,251                  |
| Second mortgage            | 1,171                  | 727                    | 3,724                             | 5,622             | 109,263     | 114,885                      |
| Warehouse lending          | —                      | —                      | —                                 | —                 | 1,347,727   | 1,347,727                    |
| HELOC                      | 2,484                  | 910                    | 3,025                             | 6,419             | 173,028     | 179,447                      |
| Other                      | 587                    | 248                    | 183                               | 1,018             | 48,593      | 49,611                       |
| Total consumer loans       | 66,687                 | 18,578                 | 313,418                           | 398,683           | 4,302,238   | 4,700,921                    |
| Commercial loans           |                        |                        |                                   |                   |             |                              |
| Commercial real estate     | 6,979                  | 6,990                  | 86,367                            | 100,336           | 539,979     | 640,315                      |
| Commercial and industrial  | —                      | —                      | 41                                | 41                | 90,524      | 90,565                       |
| Commercial lease financing | —                      | —                      | —                                 | —                 | 6,300       | 6,300                        |
| Total commercial loans     | 6,979                  | 6,990                  | 86,408                            | 100,377           | 636,803     | 737,180                      |
| Total loans (1)            | \$73,666               | \$25,568               | \$399,826                         | \$499,060         | \$4,939,041 | \$5,438,101                  |

(1) Includes \$4.0 million and \$1.1 million of loans 90 days or greater past due accounted for under the fair value option at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Loans on which interest accruals have been discontinued totaled approximately \$146.5 million, \$401.7 million and \$482.7 million at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Interest income is recognized on impaired loans using a cost recovery method unless amounts contractually due are not in doubt. Interest that would have been accrued on impaired loans totaled approximately \$22.5 million, \$35.4 million and \$38.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had no loans 90 days or greater past due and still accruing interest.

#### Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Company may modify certain loans in both consumer and commercial loan portfolios to retain customers or to maximize collection of the outstanding loan balance. The Company has maintained several programs designed to

assist borrowers by extending payment dates or reducing the borrower's contractual payments. All loan modifications are made on a case-by-case basis. The Company's standards relating to loan modifications consider, among other factors, minimum verified income requirements, cash flow analysis, and collateral valuations. All loan modifications, including those classified as TDRs, are reviewed and approved. Loan modification programs for borrowers have resulted in a significant increase in restructured loans. TDRs result in those instances in which a borrower demonstrates financial difficulty and for which a concession has been granted, which includes reductions of interest rate, extensions of amortization period, principal and/or interest forgiveness and

172

---



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

other actions intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral. These loans are classified as TDRs and are included in non-accrual loans if the loan was nonperforming prior to the restructuring. These loans will continue on non-accrual status until the borrower has established a willingness and ability to make the restructured payments for at least six months, after which they will begin to accrue interest.

The following table provides a summary of TDRs by type and performing status.

|                            | TDRs                   |               | Total     |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
|                            | Performing             | Nonperforming |           |
| December 31, 2013          | (Dollars in thousands) |               |           |
| Consumer loans (1)         |                        |               |           |
| Residential first mortgage | \$332,285              | \$42,633      | \$374,918 |
| Second mortgage            | 30,352                 | 1,631         | 31,983    |
| HELOC                      | 19,892                 | 2,445         | 22,337    |
| Total consumer loans       | 382,529                | 46,709        | 429,238   |
| Commercial loans (2)       |                        |               |           |
| Commercial real estate     | 456                    | —             | 456       |
| Total TDRs (3)             | \$382,985              | \$46,709      | \$429,694 |
| December 31, 2012          |                        |               |           |
| Consumer loans (1)         |                        |               |           |
| Residential first mortgage | \$573,941              | \$140,773     | \$714,714 |
| Second mortgage            | 14,534                 | 2,415         | 16,949    |
| Total consumer loans       | 588,475                | 143,188       | 731,663   |
| Commercial loans (2)       |                        |               |           |
| Commercial real estate     | 1,287                  | 2,056         | 3,343     |
| Total TDRs (3)             | \$589,762              | \$145,244     | \$735,006 |

(1) The allowance for loan losses on consumer TDR loans totaled \$82.3 million and \$159.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(2) The allowance for loan losses on commercial TDR loans totaled zero and \$0.3 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(3) Includes \$8.9 million and \$1.7 million of TDR loans accounted for under the fair value option at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

TDRs returned to performing, or accrual, status totaled \$43.4 million, \$117.7 million and \$127.8 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and are excluded from nonperforming loans. TDRs that have demonstrated a period of at least six months of consecutive performance under the modified terms, are returned to performing (i.e., accrual) status and are excluded from nonperforming loans. Although these TDRs have been returned to performing status, they will still continue to be classified as impaired until they are repaid in full, or foreclosed and sold, and included as such in the tables within "repossessed assets." Although many of the TDRs continue to be performing, the full collection of principal and interest on some TDRs may not occur. The resulting potential incremental losses are measured through impairment analysis on all TDRs and have been factored into our allowance for loan losses. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, remaining commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in a commercial or consumer TDR were immaterial.

Some loan modifications classified as TDRs may not ultimately result in the full collection of principal and interest, as modified, but may give rise to potential incremental losses. Such losses are factored into the Company's allowance for loan losses estimate. Management evaluates loans for impairment both collectively and individually depending on the risk characteristics underlying the loan and the availability of data. The Company measures impairment using the

discounted cash flow method for performing TDRs and measure impairment based on collateral values for re-defaulted TDRs.

173

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following tables presents the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 number of accounts, pre-modification unpaid principal balance (net of write downs), and post-modification unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) that were new modified TDRs during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. In addition, the table presents the number of accounts and unpaid principal balance (net of write downs) of loans that have subsequently defaulted during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 that had been modified in a TDR during the 12 months preceding each period. All TDR classes within consumer and commercial loan portfolios are considered subsequently defaulted when greater than 90 days past due.

| New TDRs   |                       |  |   | Increase<br>(Decrease) in<br>Allowance at<br>Modification    |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|
|  | Number of<br>Accounts | Pre-Modification Unpaid<br>Principal Balance | Post-Modification Unpaid<br>Principal Balance (1) |  |
| (Dollars in thousands)                                     |                       |  |   |  |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013                               |                       |  |   |  |
| Residential first mortgages                                | 322                   | \$ 85,440                                    | \$ 75,730   | \$2,614  |
| Second mortgages (2)                                       | 571                   | 21,920                                       | 19,558  | 517  |
| HELOC (2) (3)  | 313                   | 27,425                                       | 23,066  | (10 )  |
| Commercial real estate                                     | 5                     | 2,938  | 2,938   | —  |
| Total TDR loans  | 1,211                 | \$ 137,723                                   | \$ 121,292  | \$3,121  |
| Year Ended December 31, 2012                               |                       |  |   |  |
| Residential first mortgages                                | 884                   | \$ 287,865                                   | \$ 267,364  | \$29,357   |
| Second mortgages   | 301                   | 15,287                                       | 9,312   | (435 )   |
| HELOC  | 69                    | 2,515  | —   | (178 )   |
| Total TDR loans  | 1,254                 | \$ 305,667                                   | \$ 276,676  | \$28,744   |
| Year Ended December 31, 2011                               |                       |  |   |  |
| Residential first mortgages                                | 455                   | \$ 168,849                                   | \$ 171,649  | \$(5,021 )   |
| Second mortgages   | 27                    | 1,999  | 2,012   | —  |
| Other consumer   | 1                     | 2  | 2   | —  |
| Commercial real estate                                     | 6                     | 12,025                                       | 7,298   | (1,011 )   |
| Total TDR loans  | 489                   | \$ 182,875                                   | \$ 180,961  | \$(6,032 )   |
| TDRs that subsequently defaulted in previous 12 months (4) |                       |  |   |  |
|  | Number of<br>Accounts | Unpaid Principal Balance                     |   | Increase (Decrease)<br>in Allowance at<br>Subsequent Default |
| (Dollars in thousands)                                     |                       |  |   |  |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013                               |                       |  |   |  |
| Residential first mortgages                                | 26                    | \$ 6,401                                     |   | \$1,141  |
| Second mortgages   | 41                    | 991  |   | 531  |
| Commercial real estate                                     | 33                    | 397  |   | —  |
| Total TDR loans  | 100                   | \$ 7,789                                     |   | \$1,672  |
| Year Ended December 31, 2012                               |                       |  |   |  |
| Residential first mortgages                                | 72                    | \$ 20,523                                    |   | \$4,451  |
| Second mortgages   | 19                    | 1,094  |   | 441  |
| Total TDR loans  | 91                    | \$ 21,617                                    |   | \$4,892  |
| Year Ended December 31, 2011                               |                       |  |   |  |
| Residential first mortgages                                | 35                    | \$ 10,796                                    |   | \$1,854  |
| Second mortgages   | 2                     | 233  |   | —  |

|                 |    |           |         |
|-----------------|----|-----------|---------|
| Total TDR loans | 37 | \$ 11,029 | \$1,854 |
|-----------------|----|-----------|---------|

(1) Post-modification balances include past due amounts that are capitalized at modification date.

New TDRs during the year ended December 31, 2013, include 463 loans for a total of \$30.8 million of post modification unpaid principal balance second mortgage and HELOC loans carried at fair value that were reconsolidated as a result of the litigation settlements with MBIA and Assured.

(3) HELOC post-modification unpaid principal balance reflects write downs.

(4) Subsequent default is defined as a payment re-defaulted within 12 months of the restructuring date.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following table presents impaired loans with no related allowance and with an allowance recorded.

|                                    | December 31, 2013   |                          |                   | December 31, 2012   |                          |                   |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
|                                    | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance |
| (Dollars in thousands)             |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| With no related allowance recorded |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| Consumer loans                     |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| Residential first mortgage         | \$78,421            | \$ 130,520               | \$—               | \$231,750           | \$ 360,575               | \$—               |
| Second mortgage                    | 1                   | 3,592                    | —                 | 1,170               | 4,545                    | —                 |
| HELOC                              | 1                   | 1,544                    | —                 | —                   | 2,506                    | —                 |
| Commercial loans                   |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| Commercial real estate             | 1,956               | 6,427                    | —                 | 79,782              | 109,483                  | —                 |
|                                    | \$80,379            | \$ 142,083               | \$—               | \$312,702           | \$ 477,109               | \$—               |
| With an allowance recorded         |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| Consumer loans                     |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| Residential first mortgage         | \$341,283           | \$ 345,293               | \$81,764          | \$574,037           | \$ 573,610               | \$150,545         |
| Second mortgage                    | 24,355              | 24,355                   | 4,566             | 15,779              | 15,779                   | 7,028             |
| HELOC                              | 405                 | 405                      | 405               | 734                 | 734                      | 3,074             |
| Commercial loans                   |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| Commercial real estate             | —                   | —                        | —                 | 15,540              | 22,917                   | 2,538             |
| Commercial and industrial          | —                   | —                        | —                 | 41                  | 97                       | 10                |
|                                    | \$366,043           | \$ 370,053               | \$86,735          | \$606,131           | \$ 613,137               | \$163,195         |
| Total                              |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| Consumer loans                     |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| Residential first mortgage         | \$419,704           | \$ 475,813               | \$81,764          | \$805,787           | \$ 934,185               | \$150,545         |
| Second mortgage                    | 24,356              | 27,947                   | 4,566             | 16,949              | 20,324                   | 7,028             |
| HELOC                              | 406                 | 1,949                    | 405               | 734                 | 3,240                    | 3,074             |
| Commercial loans                   |                     |                          |                   |                     |                          |                   |
| Commercial real estate             | 1,956               | 6,427                    | —                 | 95,322              | 132,400                  | 2,538             |
| Commercial and industrial          | —                   | —                        | —                 | 41                  | 97                       | 10                |
| Total impaired loans               | \$446,422           | \$ 512,136               | \$86,735          | \$918,833           | \$ 1,090,246             | \$163,195         |

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following table presents average total impaired loans and the interest income recognized on impaired loans.

|                            | For the Years Ended December 31,  |                                  |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                  |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                            | 2013                              |                                  | 2012                              |                                  | 2011                              |                                  |
|                            | Average<br>Recorded<br>Investment | Interest<br>Income<br>Recognized | Average<br>Recorded<br>Investment | Interest<br>Income<br>Recognized | Average<br>Recorded<br>Investment | Interest<br>Income<br>Recognized |
|                            | (Dollars in thousands)            |                                  |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                  |
| Consumer loans             |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                  |
| Residential first mortgage | \$602,355                         | \$16,828                         | \$761,213                         | \$12,833                         | \$625,104                         | \$17,068                         |
| Second mortgage            | 21,248                            | 1,201                            | 15,609                            | 155                              | 13,521                            | 508                              |
| Warehouse lending          | 14                                | —                                | —                                 | —                                | 235                               | —                                |
| HELOC                      | 700                               | 41                               | 522                               | —                                | 365                               | 12                               |
| Commercial loans           |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                  |
| Commercial real estate     | 46,132                            | 654                              | 142,454                           | 2,345                            | 198,872                           | 5,843                            |
| Commercial and industrial  | 99                                | —                                | 99                                | 5                                | 2,155                             | 87                               |
| Commercial lease financing | 2,411                             | —                                | —                                 | —                                | —                                 | —                                |
| Total impaired loans       | \$672,959                         | \$18,724                         | \$919,897                         | \$15,338                         | \$840,252                         | \$23,518                         |

The Company utilizes an internal risk rating system which is applied to all commercial and commercial real estate credits. Management conducts periodic examinations which serve as an independent verification of the accuracy of the ratings assigned. Loan grades are based on different factors within the borrowing relationship: entity sales, debt service coverage, debt/total net worth, liquidity, balance sheet and income statement trends, management experience, business stability, financing structure of the deal and financial reporting requirements. The underlying collateral is also rated based on the specific type of collateral and corresponding LTV. The combination of the borrower and collateral risk ratings result in the final rating for the borrowing relationship. Descriptions of the Company's internal risk ratings as they relate to credit quality follow the ratings used by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies as listed below.

**Pass.** Pass assets are not impaired nor do they have any known deficiencies that could impact the quality of the asset.

**Watch.** Watch assets are defined as pass rated assets that exhibit elevated risk characteristics or other factors that deserve management's close attention and increased monitoring. However, the asset does not exhibit a potential or well defined weakness that would warrant a downgrade to criticized or adverse classification.

**Special mention.** Assets identified as special mention possess credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving management's close attention. Special mention assets have a potential weakness or pose an unwarranted financial risk that, if not corrected, could weaken the assets and increase risk in the future. Special mention assets are criticized, but do not expose an institution to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.

**Substandard.** Assets identified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. For HELOC loans and other consumer loans, the Company evaluates credit quality based on the aging and status of payment activity and includes all nonperforming loans.

**Doubtful.** Assets identified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of a loss on a doubtful asset is high.

However, due to important and reasonably specific pending factors, which may work to strengthen (or weaken) the asset, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status can be determined.

Loss. An asset classified loss is considered uncollectible and of such little value that the continuance as bankable asset is not warranted. This classification does not mean that an asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but, rather that it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless asset even though partial recovery may be affected in the future.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

| Commercial Credit Exposure | December 31, 2013      |                     |                            | Total Commercial |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
|                            | Commercial Estate      | Real and Industrial | Commercial Lease Financing |                  |
|                            | (Dollars in thousands) |                     |                            |                  |
| Grade                      |                        |                     |                            |                  |
| Pass                       | \$296,983              | \$192,013           | \$10,341                   | \$499,337        |
| Watch                      | 26,041                 | 5,534               | —                          | 31,575           |
| Special Mention            | 3,802                  | 9,097               | —                          | 12,899           |
| Substandard                | 82,044                 | 543                 | —                          | 82,587           |
| Total loans                | \$408,870              | \$207,187           | \$10,341                   | \$626,398        |

| Consumer Credit Exposure | December 31, 2013          |                 |           |           |                | Total       |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
|                          | Residential First Mortgage | Second Mortgage | Warehouse | HELOC     | Other Consumer |             |
|                          | (Dollars in thousands)     |                 |           |           |                |             |
| Grade                    |                            |                 |           |           |                |             |
| Pass                     | \$2,031,536                | \$136,224       | \$243,017 | \$262,138 | \$37,142       | \$2,710,057 |
| Watch                    | 343,092                    | 30,482          | 157,500   | 20,916    | 127            | 552,117     |
| Special mention          | —                          | —               | 23,000    | —         | —              | 23,000      |
| Substandard              | 134,340                    | 2,819           | —         | 6,826     | 199            | 144,184     |
| Total loans              | \$2,508,968                | \$169,525       | \$423,517 | \$289,880 | \$37,468       | \$3,429,358 |

| Commercial Credit Exposure | December 31, 2012      |                     |                            | Total Commercial |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
|                            | Commercial Estate      | Real and Industrial | Commercial Lease Financing |                  |
|                            | (Dollars in thousands) |                     |                            |                  |
| Grade                      |                        |                     |                            |                  |
| Pass                       | \$277,037              | \$82,184            | \$6,300                    | \$365,521        |
| Watch                      | 181,722                | 695                 | —                          | 182,417          |
| Special mention            | 49,215                 | 947                 | —                          | 50,162           |
| Substandard                | 132,341                | 6,739               | —                          | 139,080          |
| Total loans                | \$640,315              | \$90,565            | \$6,300                    | \$737,180        |

| Consumer Credit Exposure | December 31, 2012          |                 |             |           |                | Total       |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
|                          | Residential First Mortgage | Second Mortgage | Warehouse   | HELOC     | Other Consumer |             |
|                          | (Dollars in thousands)     |                 |             |           |                |             |
| Grade                    |                            |                 |             |           |                |             |
| Pass                     | \$2,118,961                | \$95,969        | \$1,081,579 | \$175,512 | \$49,180       | \$3,521,201 |
| Watch                    | 583,804                    | 15,192          | —           | 910       | 248            | 600,154     |
| Special mention          | —                          | —               | 266,148     | —         | —              | 266,148     |
| Substandard              | 306,486                    | 3,724           | —           | 3,025     | 183            | 313,418     |
| Total loans              | \$3,009,251                | \$114,885       | \$1,347,727 | \$179,447 | \$49,611       | \$4,700,921 |



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

## Note 9 — Concentrations of Credit

Properties collateralizing residential first mortgage loans held-for-investment were geographically disbursed throughout the United States (measured by unpaid principal balance and expressed as a percent of the total).

| State                | December 31, |         |   |
|----------------------|--------------|---------|---|
|                      | 2013         | 2012    |   |
| California           | 27.9         | % 30.7  | % |
| Florida              | 14.3         | % 13.9  | % |
| Michigan             | 10.3         | % 9.9   | % |
| Washington           | 4.6          | % 4.6   | % |
| Arizona              | 4.1          | % 4.2   | % |
| All other states (1) | 38.8         | % 36.7  | % |
| Total                | 100.0        | % 100.0 | % |

(1) No other state contains more than 3.0 percent of the total.

A portion of the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio at December 31, 2013, 77.7 percent, is collateralized by properties located in Michigan. At December 31, 2012, the Company's commercial real estate portfolio in Michigan was 66.5 percent of the total portfolio.

Additionally, the following loan products' contractual terms may give rise to a concentration of credit risk and increase the Company's exposure to risk of non-payment or realization:

- (a) Hybrid or ARM loans that are subject to future payment increases;
- (b) Option ARM loans that permit negative amortization; and
- (c) Loans under (a) or (b) above with LTV ratios above 80 percent;

The following table details the unpaid principal balance, net of write downs, of these loans at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

|                           | Held-for-Investment Loans |                   |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
|                           | December 31, 2013         | December 31, 2012 |
|                           | (Dollars in thousands)    |                   |
| Amortizing hybrid ARMs    |                           |                   |
| 3/1 ARM                   | \$ 125,463                | \$ 118,026        |
| 5/1 ARM                   | 335,424                   | 408,593           |
| 7/1 ARM                   | 132,084                   | 20,532            |
| Interest only hybrid ARMs |                           |                   |
| 3/1 ARM                   | 172,949                   | 170,198           |
| 5/1 ARM                   | 668,717                   | 797,347           |
| 7/1 ARM                   | 38,061                    | 54,417            |
| Option ARMs               | 37,159                    | 55,848            |
| All other ARMs            | 96,307                    | 109,827           |
| Total                     | \$ 1,606,164              | \$ 1,734,788      |

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Of the loans listed above, the following have original LTV ratios exceeding 80 percent.

|   | Principal Outstanding  |                      |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|
|   | December 31,<br>2013   | December 31,<br>2012 |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                      |
| Loans with original LTV ratios above 80 percent |                        |                      |
| > 80% <= 90%                                    | \$95,041               | \$114,736            |
| > 90% <= 100%                                   | 84,756                 | 97,160               |
| >100%   | 1,512                  | 5,343                |
| Total   | \$181,309              | \$217,239            |

#### Note 10 — Private-label Securitization Activity

The Company previously participated in four private-label securitizations of financial assets involving two HELOC loan transactions and two second mortgage loan transactions. Three of the four private-label securitizations have been reconsolidated or dissolved as a result of the settlement agreements with MBIA and Assured. See Note 28 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for further information on the settlement agreements.

In December 2005, the Company completed the \$600.0 million FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust. As a result of this securitization, the Company recorded assets of \$26.1 million in residual interests. The offered securities in the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization were insured by Assured. Due to the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization's assets and liabilities, of approximately \$85.2 million of HELOC loans and \$62.1 million of long-term debt at June 30, 2013. As a result, the Company recognized \$16.6 million of a net fair value adjustment during the second quarter of 2013 and the Company eliminated the residual interests. The Company subsequently became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization, which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. The Company elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC securitization trust. At December 31, 2013, the Company has a fair value of HELOC loans of \$78.0 million and long-term debt of \$55.2 million recorded as a VIE associated with the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization trust.

In December 2006, the Company completed the \$302.2 million non-agency HELOC securitization, i.e., the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust. As a result of this securitization, the Company recorded assets of \$11.2 million in residual interests. The offered securities in the 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust were insured by Assured. Due to the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trust assets and liabilities, of approximately \$85.3 million of HELOC loans and \$57.9 million of long-term debt at June 30, 2013. As a result, the Company recognized \$27.5 million of a net fair value adjustment during second quarter 2013 and eliminated the residual interests. The Company subsequently became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization, which is reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. The Company elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities associated with the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization. At December 31, 2013, the Company has a fair value of HELOC loans of \$77.0 million and long-term debt of \$50.6 million recorded as a VIE associated with the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization trust.

In April 2006, the Company completed a \$400.0 million securitization transaction involving fixed second mortgage loans that the Company held at the time in its investment portfolio. The offered securities in the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust were insured by MBIA. Due to the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the mortgage securitization trust was collapsed and the Company transferred the loans associated with the mortgage securitization trust, approximately \$73.3 million of second mortgage loans to its loans held-for-investment portfolio at June 30, 2013. As a result, the Company recognized a \$4.9 million loss during the second quarter 2013. The Company elected

the fair value option for the assets associated with the mortgage securitization. At December 31, 2013, the Company recorded a fair value of \$64.7 million of second mortgage loans associated with the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust.

In March 2007, the Company completed a \$620.9 million non-agency securitization transaction involving closed-ended, fixed and adjustable rate second mortgage loans and recorded \$22.6 million in residual interests and servicing assets. The financial assets were derecognized by the Company upon transfer to the securitization trusts, which then issued and sold mortgage-backed securities to third party investors. The Company relinquished control over the loans at the time the financial assets were transferred to the securitization trusts and the Company recognized a gain on the sale of the transferred assets. In

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

June 2007, the Company completed a secondary closing for \$98.2 million and recorded an additional \$4.2 million in residual interests. The offered securities in the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust were insured by MBIA. In accordance with the MBIA Settlement Agreement, MBIA will be required to satisfy all of its obligation under the FSTAR 2007-1 insurance policy and related FSTAR 2007-1 obligations without further recourse to the Company. The Company previously acted as the principal underwriter of the beneficial interests that were sold to investors. The financial assets were derecognized when they were transferred to the securitization trusts, which then issued and sold mortgage-backed securities to third party investors. The Company relinquished control over the loans at the time the financial assets were transferred to the securitization trusts. The Company has not engaged in any private-label securitization activity except for these four securitizations completed from 2005 to 2007. As a result of the settlement agreement with Assured, the Company became the primary beneficiary of the FSTAR 2005-1 and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC securitization trusts because the Company obtained the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts (power to select or remove the servicer) and the obligation to absorb probable losses and receive residual returns (support of the guarantor and holder of residual interests in trusts).

#### Consolidated VIEs

Consolidated VIEs at December 31, 2013 consisted of the HELOC securitization trusts formed in 2005 and 2006. The Company has determined the trusts are VIEs and has concluded that the Company is the primary beneficiary of these trusts because it has the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and has either the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The change in the consolidated VIE was a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement as of June 30, 2013. Under the terms of the Assured Settlement Agreement, Assured terminated its pending lawsuit against the Company and will not pursue any related claims at any time in the future. In exchange, the Company paid Assured \$105.0 million and assumed responsibility for future claims associated with the two HELOC securitization trusts, including the right to receive from Assured all future reimbursements for claims paid to which Assured would have been entitled. Upon effecting the settlement, the Company reversed the transferor's interest, as this interest would represent an equity interest in the trust which would be reversed upon consolidation of the trusts. As a result, the Company recognized \$49.1 million of income, arising from its deemed receipt of the net fair value of the assets in the two HELOC securitization trusts and the reversal of its related reserves for pending and threatened litigation during the second quarter 2013.

The beneficial owners of the trusts can look only to the assets of the securitization trusts for satisfaction of the debt issued by the securitization trusts and have no recourse against the assets of the Company.

The following table provides a summary of the classifications of consolidated VIE assets and liabilities included in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

|  | 2005-1                 | 2006-2    | Total      |
|--|------------------------|-----------|------------|
| December 31, 2013                          | (Dollars in thousands) |           |            |
| HELOC Securitizations                      |                        |           |            |
| Assets                                     |                        |           |            |
| Cash and cash items                        | \$ 1,129               | \$—       | \$ 1,129   |
| Loans held-for-investment                  | 78,009                 | 77,003    | 155,012    |
| Other assets (accrued interest receivable) | —                      | —         | —          |
| Liabilities                                |                        |           |            |
| Long-term debt                             | \$ 55,172              | \$ 50,641 | \$ 105,813 |
| Other liabilities                          | 136                    | —         | 136        |

The economic performance of the VIEs is most significantly impacted by the performance of the underlying loans. The principal risks to which the entities were exposed include credit risk and interest rate risk. Credit risk was managed through credit enhancement in the form of reserve accounts, over collateralization, excess interest on the loans, the subordination of certain classes of asset-backed securities to other classes, and in the case of the home equity transaction, an insurance policy with a third party guaranteeing payment of accrued and unpaid interest and principal on the securities. Interest rate risk was managed by interest rate swaps between the VIEs and third parties.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Unconsolidated VIEs

The following table provides a summary of the unconsolidated VIE (the FSTAR 2007-1 mortgage securitization trust) with which the Company has a significant continuing involvement, but is not the primary beneficiary. The following table sets forth certain characteristics of each of the fixed rate second mortgage securitization at its inception and the current characteristics as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013.

|  | 2007-1 at<br>Inception | 2007-1<br>Current Levels |   |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |                          |   |
| Fixed Rate Second Mortgage Securitization    |                        |                          |   |
| Number of loans                              | 12,416                 | 4,221                    |   |
| Aggregate principal balance                  | \$622,100              | \$169,577                |   |
| Average principal balance                    | \$50                   | \$40                     |   |
| Weighted average fully indexed interest rate | 8.22                   | % 7.09                   | % |
| Weighted average original term               | 194 months             | 194 months               |   |
| Weighted average remaining term              | 185 months             | 106 months               |   |
| Weighted average original credit score       | 726                    | 712                      |   |

Transferor's Interests

Under the terms of the HELOC securitizations, the trusts purchased, and were initially obligated to pay, for any subsequent additional draws on the lines of credit transferred to the trusts. Upon entering a rapid amortization period, the Company became obligated to fund the purchase of those additional balances as they arise in exchange for a beneficial interest in the trust, which is known as the transferors' interest. The Company must continue to fund the required purchase of additional draws by the trust as long as the securitization remains active. As a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company has reconsolidated the assets and liabilities associated with the HELOC securitization trusts and therefore, the related interests have been reversed as of June 30, 2013. See Note 28 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for further information on the Assured Settlement Agreement.

**FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization.** At December 31, 2013 and 2012, outstanding claims due to the note insurer were zero and \$16.8 million, respectively, and based on the Company's internal model, the Company believed that because of the claims due to the note insurer and continuing credit losses on the loans underlying the securitization, the fair value/carrying amount of the transferor's interest was zero and \$7.1 million, respectively. The Company previously recorded a liability to reflect the expected liability arising from losses on future draws associated with this securitization. As a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the assets and liabilities associated with the HELOC securitization trust and reversed the transferors' interests as of June 30, 2013.

**FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization.** At December 31, 2013 and 2012, outstanding claims due to the note insurer were zero and \$88.7 million, respectively, and based on the Company's internal model, the Company believed that because of the claims due to the note insurer and continuing credit losses on the loans underlying the securitization, there was no carrying amount of the transferor's interest. The Company recorded a liability of \$7.6 million to reflect the expected liability arising from losses on future draws associated with this securitization. As a result of the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company reconsolidated the assets and liabilities associated with the HELOC securitization trust and reversed the transferors' interests as of June 30, 2013.

Unfunded Commitments

The table below identifies separately for each HELOC securitization trust: (i) the notional amount of the total unfunded commitment under the Company's contractual arrangements, (ii) unfunded commitments that have been frozen or suspended because the borrowers do not currently meet the contractual requirements under their HELOC with the Company, and (iii) the amount currently fundable because the underlying borrowers' lines of credit are still active. As of December 31, 2013, the Company no longer has any unfunded commitments related to the HELOC securitization trusts.

181

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

|   | FSTAR 2005-1           | FSTAR 2006-2 | Total    |
|---|------------------------|--------------|----------|
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |              |          |
| December 31, 2012                           |                        |              |          |
| Notional amount of unfunded commitments (1) | \$30,767               | \$27,447     | \$58,214 |
| Frozen or suspended unfunded commitments    | \$27,825               | \$26,958     | \$54,783 |
| Unfunded commitments still active           | \$2,942                | \$489        | \$3,431  |

The Company's total potential funding obligation is dependent on both (a) borrower behavior (for example, the amount of additional draws requested) and (b) the contractual draw period (remaining term) available to the (1) borrowers. Because borrowers can make principal payments and restore the amounts available for draws and then borrow additional amounts as long as their lines of credit remain active, the funding obligation has no specific limitation and it is not possible to define the maximum funding obligation.

#### Credit Risk on Securitization

With respect to the issuance of private-label securitizations, the Company retains certain limited credit exposure in that it retains non-investment grade residual securities in addition to customary representations and warranties, which is no longer a credit risk as of June 30, 2013. Following the Assured Settlement Agreement, the Company now has credit exposure associated with nonperforming loans in securitization trusts on HELOCs. The value of the Company's transferors' interests previously reflected the Company's credit loss assumptions as applied to the underlying collateral pool and as of June 30, 2013 the transferors' interests has been reversed. The assets and liabilities of the HELOC securitization trusts are reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a VIE. The servicing related to second mortgage and HELOC loans underlying the private-label securitizations are currently serviced by a third party servicer.

The retained assets with credit exposure, which includes transferors' interests that were included as unreimbursed HELOC draws which are included in loans held-for-investment were zero and \$7.1 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### Note 11 — Repossessed Assets

Repossessed assets include the following.

|                               | December 31,           |           |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
|                               | 2013                   | 2012      |
|                               | (Dollars in thousands) |           |
| One-to-four family properties | \$24,038               | \$67,863  |
| Commercial properties         | 12,598                 | 52,869    |
| Total repossessed assets      | \$36,636               | \$120,732 |

#### Note 12 — Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Company's investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock decreased to \$209.7 million at December 31, 2013 compared to \$301.7 million at December 31, 2012, due to the Federal Home Loan Bank's request to repurchase excess stock of \$92.0 million. As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Company is required to hold shares of Federal Home Loan Bank stock in an amount equal to at least 1.0 percent of the aggregate unpaid principal balance of its mortgage loans, home purchase contracts and similar obligations at the beginning of each year or 5 percent of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, whichever is greater. During the year ended December 31, 2013 the Company had \$92.0 million in redemptions of Federal Home Loan Bank stock, compared to no redemptions and \$35.5 million in redemptions of Federal Home Loan Bank stock during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.



Dividends received on the stock equaled \$10.6 million, \$9.4 million and \$8.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These dividends were recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as other fees and charges, net.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

## Note 13 — Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment balances and estimated useful lives are as follows.

|                                   | Estimated<br>Useful Lives | December 31,<br>2013   |   | 2012      |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---|-----------|
|                                   |                           | (Dollars in thousands) |   |           |
| Land                              | —                         | \$66,253               |   | \$66,206  |
| Office buildings                  | 31.5 years                | 138,157                |   | 128,692   |
| Computer hardware and software    | 3 — 5 years               | 156,104                |   | 159,908   |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 5 — 7 years               | 66,090                 |   | 67,029    |
| Automobiles                       | 3 years                   | 228                    |   | 230       |
| Total                             |                           | 426,832                |   | 422,065   |
| Less accumulated depreciation     |                           | (195,482               | ) | (203,006  |
| Premises and equipment, net       |                           | \$231,350              |   | \$219,059 |

Depreciation expense amounted to approximately \$22.6 million, \$19.2 million and \$15.2 million, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company conducts a portion of its business from leased facilities. Such leases are considered to be operating leases based on their lease terms. Lease rental expense totaled approximately \$8.0 million, \$6.8 million and \$6.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following outlines the Company's minimum contractual lease obligations.

|            | December 31, 2013<br>(Dollars in thousands) |
|------------|---|
| 2014       | \$7,082                                     |
| 2015       | 5,713                                       |
| 2016       | 3,983                                       |
| 2017       | 2,827                                       |
| 2018       | 909   |
| Thereafter | 930   |
| Total      | \$21,444                                    |
|            | December 31, 2012<br>(Dollars in thousands) |
| 2013       | \$7,023                                     |
| 2014       | 6,512                                       |
| 2015       | 5,259                                       |
| 2016       | 3,534                                       |
| 2017       | 2,305                                       |
| Thereafter | 1,809                                       |
| Total      | \$26,442                                    |

## Note 14 — Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company recognizes MSR assets, at fair value, related to residential first mortgage loans sold when it retains the obligation to service these loans. MSRs are subject to changes in value from, among other things, changes in interest rates, prepayments of the underlying loans and changes in credit quality of the underlying portfolio. The Company subsequently measures its servicing assets for residential first MSRs, at fair value, as elected, each reporting date with

any changes in fair value recorded in earnings in the period in which the changes occur. As such, the Company currently hedges certain risks of fair value changes of MSR's using derivative instruments that are intended to change in value inversely to part or all of the changes in the components underlying the fair value of MSR's.

183

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The Company invests in MSR's to support mortgage strategies and to deploy capital at acceptable returns. The Company also deploys derivatives and other fair value assets as economic hedges to offset changes in fair value of the MSR's resulting from the actual or anticipated changes in prepayments stemming from changing interest rate environments. The Company's portfolio of MSR's is highly sensitive to movements in interest rates, and hedging activities related to the portfolio. The primary risk associated with MSR's is they will lose a substantial portion of value as a result of higher than anticipated prepayments due to loan refinancing prompted, in part, by declining interest rates. Conversely, these assets generally increase in value in a rising interest rate environment to the extent that prepayments are slower than anticipated. There is also a risk of valuation decline due to higher than expected increases in default rates, but the Company does not believe such risk can be sufficiently quantified to effectively hedge. See Note 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for additional information regarding the instruments utilized to hedge the risks of MSR's.

During the fourth quarter 2013, the Company sold a substantial portion of its MSR's portfolio to Matrix Financial Services Corporation ("Matrix"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Two Harbors Investment Corp. Covered under the agreement are certain mortgage loans serviced for both Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, originated primarily after 2010. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has sold \$40.7 billion of unpaid principal balance of residential MSR's related to this agreement, which resulted in \$11.3 million in transaction costs on sales of MSR's. Simultaneously, the Company entered into a subservicing agreement with Matrix related to these residential mortgage loans serviced for others.

The following table presents the unpaid principal balance of residential loans serviced for other and the number of accounts associated with those loans.

|   | December 31, 2013 |                    | December 31, 2012 |                    |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|   | Amount            | Number of accounts | Amount            | Number of accounts |
| Residential mortgage servicing                  |                   |                    |                   |                    |
| Serviced for others                             | \$25,743,396      | 131,413            | \$76,821,222      | 377,210            |
| Subserviced for others (1)                      | 40,431,867        | 198,256            | —                 | —                  |
| Total residential loans serviced for others (1) | \$66,175,263      | 329,669            | \$76,821,222      | 377,210            |

(1) Does not include temporary short-term subservicing performed as a result of some sales of servicing.

Changes in the carrying value of residential first mortgage MSR's, accounted for at fair value, were as follows.

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |              |              |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012         | 2011         |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)           |              |              |
| Balance at beginning of period  | \$710,791                        | \$510,475    | \$580,299    |
| Additions from loans sold with servicing retained                                       | 401,735                          | 535,875      | 254,824      |
| Reductions from bulk sales (1)  | (834,499)                        | (139,738)    | (88,828)     |
| Changes in fair value due to (2)  |                                  |              |              |
| Decrease in MSR value (3)   | (99,320)                         | (151,470)    | (67,881)     |
| All other changes in valuation inputs or assumptions (4)                                | 105,971                          | (44,351)     | (167,939)    |
| Fair value of MSR's at end of period  | \$284,678                        | \$710,791    | \$510,475    |
| Unpaid principal balance of residential mortgage loans serviced for others (period end) | \$25,743,396                     | \$76,821,222 | \$63,770,676 |

(1) Includes bulk sales related to underlying serviced loans totaling \$74.9 billion, \$17.4 billion and \$9.2 billion, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

(2)

Changes in fair value are included within loan administration income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(3) Represents decrease in MSR value associated with loans that paid-off during the period.

(4) Represents estimated MSR value change resulting primarily from market-driven changes in interest rates.

The fair value of residential MSRs is estimated using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions. The Company periodically obtains third-party valuations of its residential MSRs to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by the valuation model. In certain circumstances, based on the probability of the completion of a sale of MSRs pursuant to a bona-fide purchase offer, the

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Company considers the bid price of that offer and identifiable transaction costs in comparison to the calculated fair value and may adjust the estimate of fair value to reflect the terms of the pending transaction.

The key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value of those MSRs capitalized during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were as follows.

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |        |        |   |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---|
|   | 2013                             | 2012   | 2011   |   |
| Weighted-average life (in years)                  | 6.1                              | 6.1    | 5.9    |   |
| Weighted-average constant prepayment rate ("CPR") | 13.8                             | % 14.8 | % 18.7 | % |
| Weighted-average discount rate                    | 8.5                              | % 7.1  | % 7.6  | % |

The key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value of MSRs at year end were as follows.

|                                  | December 31, |        |        |   |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|---|
|                                  | 2013         | 2012   | 2011   |   |
| Weighted-average life (in years) | 7.3          | 5.3    | 4.5    |   |
| Weighted-average (CPR)           | 11.9         | % 17.3 | % 21.6 | % |
| Weighted-average discount rate   | 10.2         | % 7.0  | % 7.2  | % |

Contractual servicing fees. Contractual servicing and subservicing fees, including late fees and ancillary income, for each type of loan serviced are presented below. Contractual servicing and subservicing fees are included within loan administration income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Subservicing fee income is recorded for fees earned, net of third party subservicing costs, for loans subserviced.

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |           |           |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012      | 2011      |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)           |           |           |
| Residential first mortgage loans serviced for others    | \$189,003                        | \$208,864 | \$169,884 |
| Residential first mortgage loans subserviced for others | 1,306                            | —         | —         |
| Other consumer loans serviced for others                | 387                              | 751       | 211       |
| Total   | \$190,696                        | \$209,615 | \$170,095 |

#### Note 15 — Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at fair value. Derivative instruments are contracts between two or more parties that have a notional amount and an underlying variable, require a small or no net investment, and allow for the net settlement of positions. A derivative's notional amount serves as the basis for the payment provision of the contract, and takes the form of units, such as shares or dollars. A derivative's underlying variable is a specified interest rate, security price, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, index, or other variable. The interaction between the notional amount and the underlying variable determines the number of units to be exchanged between the parties and influences the fair value of the derivative contract. Generally, these instruments help the Company manage exposure to interest rate risk, mitigate the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio, hedge against changes in foreign currency exchange rates, and meet client financing and hedging needs. The following derivative financial instruments were identified and recorded at fair value as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

- Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and other forward loan sale contracts;
- Rate lock commitments;
- Interest rate swap; and
- U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures and options.

Derivative assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet, after taking into account the effects of legally enforceable bilateral collateral and master netting agreements. Gross positive fair values are netted with gross negative fair values by counterparty pursuant to a valid master netting agreement. In addition, payables and receivables in respect of collateral received from or paid to a given counterparty are considered in this netting. These agreements allow the Company to

185

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

settle all derivative contracts held with a single counterparty on a net basis in a single currency, and to offset net derivative positions with related collateral, where applicable.

**Counterparty credit risk.** The Bank is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to its various derivative financial instruments. The Company manages this risk by selecting only well-established, financially strong counterparties, spreading the credit risk among such counterparties, and by placing contractual limits on the amount of unsecured credit risk from any single counterparty.

Collateral agreements require the counterparty to post, on a daily basis, collateral (typically cash or investment securities) equal to the Company's net derivative receivable. For highly-rated counterparties, the agreements may include minimum dollar posting thresholds, but allow for the Company to call for immediate, full collateral coverage when credit-rating thresholds are triggered by counterparties. The Company's collateral agreements contain provisions that require collateralization of the Company's net liability derivative positions. Required collateral coverage is based on certain net liability thresholds. Under circumstances which constitute default under the agreements, the counterparties to the derivatives could request immediate full collateral coverage for derivatives in net liability positions. The Company's collateral agreements in which the collateral is restricted include provisions requiring unilateral funding of coverage for derivatives in net liability positions, as well as minimum collateral positions.

#### Derivatives Not Designated in Hedge Relationships

The Company originates loans and extends credit, both of which expose the Company to interest rate risk. The Company actively manages the overall loan portfolio and the associated interest rate risk in a manner consistent with asset quality objectives. This objective is accomplished primarily through the use of an investment-grade diversified dealer-traded basket of swaps. These transactions may generate fee income, and diversify and reduce overall portfolio interest rate risk volatility. Although the Company utilizes swaps for risk management purposes, they are not treated as or do not qualify as hedging instruments.

The Company hedges the risk of overall changes in fair value of loans held-for-sale and rate lock commitments generally by selling forward contracts on securities of the Agencies. The forward contracts used to economically hedge the loan commitments are accounted for as non-designated hedges and naturally offset rate lock commitment mark-to-market gains and losses recognized as a component of gain on loan sale. The Company recognized a pre-tax loss of \$42.0 million, a pre-tax gain of \$44.2 million and a pre-tax loss of \$22.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively, on hedging activity relating to loan commitments and loans held-for-sale. Additionally, the Company hedges the risk of overall changes in fair value of MSR's through the use of various derivatives including purchases of forward contracts on securities of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the purchase/sale of U.S. Treasury futures contracts and the purchase/sale of euro dollar future contracts. These derivatives are recognized as a component of loan administration. The Company recognized a loss of \$70.2 million, a gain of \$86.2 million and a gain of \$160.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, on MSR fair value hedging activities.

The Company uses a combination of derivatives (U.S. Treasury futures, euro dollar futures, swap futures, and "to be announced" forwards) and certain trading securities to hedge the MSR's. For accounting purposes, these hedges represent economic hedges of the MSR asset with both the hedges and the MSR asset carried at fair value on the balance sheet. Certain derivative strategies that the Company uses to manage its investment in MSR's may not fully offset changes in the fair value of such asset due to changes in interest rates and market liquidity.

The Company writes and purchases interest rate swaps to accommodate the needs of customers requesting such services. Customer-initiated trading derivatives are used primarily to provide derivative products to customers



enabling them to manage interest rate risk exposure. Customer-initiated trading derivatives are tailored to meet the needs of the counterparties involved and, therefore, contain a greater degree of credit risk and liquidity risk than exchange-traded contracts, which have standardized terms and readily available price information. The Company mitigates most of the inherent market risk of customer-initiated interest rate swap contracts by entering into offsetting derivative contracts with other counterparties. The offsetting derivative contracts have nearly identical notional values, terms and indices. These limits are established annually and reviewed quarterly. The Company's interest rate swap agreements are structured such that variable payments are primarily based on LIBOR (one-month, three-month or six-month) or prime. Fee income on customer-initiated trading derivatives are earned from entering into various transactions at the request of the customer, primarily interest rate swap contracts. Changes in fair value are recognized in "other noninterest income" on the Consolidated Statements of Income. There were no significant net gains (losses) recognized in income on customer-initiated derivative instruments for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The Company had the following derivative financial instruments.

|                                       | December 31, 2013      |               | Expiration<br>Dates |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
|                                       | Notional<br>Amount     | Fair<br>Value |                     |
|                                       | (Dollars in thousands) |               |                     |
| Assets (1)                            |                        |               |                     |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures | \$4,300,100            | \$ 1,221      | 2014                |
| Rate lock commitments                 | 1,857,775              | 10,329        | 2014                |
| Forward agency and loan sales         | 2,819,896              | 19,847        | 2014                |
| Interest rate swaps                   | 102,448                | 1,797         | Various             |
| Total derivative assets               | \$9,080,219            | \$33,194      |                     |
| Liabilities (2)                       |                        |               |                     |
| Mortgage backed securities forwards   | \$95,000               | \$ 1,665      | 2014                |
| Interest rate swaps                   | 102,448                | 1,797         | Various             |
| Total derivative liabilities          | \$ 197,448             | \$3,462       |                     |
|                                       |                        |               |                     |
|                                       | December 31, 2012      |               |                     |
|                                       | Notional               | Fair          | Expiration          |
|                                       | Amount                 | Value         | Dates               |
|                                       | (Dollars in thousands) |               |                     |
| Assets (1)                            |                        |               |                     |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures | \$11,778,600           | \$2,203       | 2013                |
| Mortgage backed securities forwards   | 1,275,000              | 3,619         | 2013                |
| Rate lock commitments                 | 5,149,891              | 86,200        | 2013                |
| Interest rate swaps                   | 101,246                | 5,954         | Various             |
| Total derivative assets               | \$18,304,737           | \$97,976      |                     |
| Liabilities (2)                       |                        |               |                     |
| Forward agency and loan sales         | \$7,385,430            | \$ 14,021     | 2013                |
| Interest rate swaps                   | 101,246                | 5,954         | Various             |
| Total derivative liabilities          | \$7,486,676            | \$ 19,975     |                     |

(1) Asset derivatives are included in other assets on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

(2) Liability derivatives are included in other liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following tables present the derivatives subject to a master netting arrangement, including the cash pledged as collateral.

| Derivatives Not Designated in Hedge Relationships | December 31, 2013 |   |   | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position |                 |            |
|---|-------------------|---|---|---|-----------------|------------|
|   | Gross Amount      | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amount Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | Financial Instruments   | Cash Collateral | Net Amount |
| (Dollars in thousands)                            |                   |   |   |   |                 |            |
| Assets  |                   |   |   |   |                 |            |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures             | \$7,074           | \$1,701   | \$5,373   | \$—   | \$4,152         | \$1,221    |
| Rate lock commitments                             | 14,510            | 4,181   | 10,329  | —   | —               | 10,329     |
| Forward agency and loan sales                     | 20,326            | 479   | 19,847  | —   | —               | 19,847     |
| Interest rate swaps                               | 3,045             | —   | 3,045   | —   | 1,248           | 1,797      |
| Total derivative assets                           | \$44,955          | \$6,361   | \$38,594  | \$—   | \$5,400         | \$33,194   |
| Liabilities                                       |                   |   |   |   |                 |            |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures             | \$1,701           | \$1,701   | \$—   | \$—   | \$—             | \$—        |
| Mortgage backed securities forwards               | 13,837            | —   | 13,837  | —   | (12,172 )       | 1,665      |
| Rate lock commitments                             | 4,181             | 4,181   | —   | —   | —               | —          |
| Forward agency and loan sales                     | 479               | 479   | —   | —   | —               | —          |
| Interest rate swaps                               | 1,797             | —   | 1,797   | —   | —               | 1,797      |
| Total derivative liabilities                      | \$21,995          | \$6,361   | \$15,634  | \$—   | \$(12,172 )     | \$3,462    |
| December 31, 2012                                 |                   |   |   |   |                 |            |
| Derivatives Not Designated in Hedge Relationships |                   |   |   | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position |                 |            |
|   | Gross Amount      | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amount Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | Financial Instruments   | Cash Collateral | Net Amount |
| (Dollars in thousands)                            |                   |   |   |   |                 |            |
| Assets  |                   |   |   |   |                 |            |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures             | \$36,801          | \$5,076   | \$31,725  | \$15,006  | \$14,516        | \$2,203    |
| Mortgage backed securities forwards               | 42,194            | —   | 42,194  | (4 )  | 38,579          | 3,619      |
| Rate lock commitments                             | 86,286            | 86  | 86,200  | —   | —               | 86,200     |
| Forward agency and loan sales                     | 3,401             | 3,401   | —   | —   | —               | —          |
| Interest rate swaps                               | 14,164            | —   | 14,164  | —   | 8,210           | 5,954      |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

|                                       |            |          |            |           |           |           |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total derivative assets               | \$ 182,846 | \$ 8,563 | \$ 174,283 | \$ 15,002 | \$ 61,305 | \$ 97,976 |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                    |            |          |            |           |           |           |
| U.S. Treasury and euro dollar futures | \$ 5,076   | \$ 5,076 | \$—        | \$—       | \$—       | \$—       |
| Rate lock commitments                 | 86         | 86       | —          | —         | —         | —         |
| Forward agency and loan sales         | 17,422     | 3,401    | 14,021     | —         | —         | 14,021    |
| Interest rate swaps                   | 5,954      | —        | 5,954      | —         | —         | 5,954     |
| Total derivative liabilities          | \$ 28,538  | \$ 8,563 | \$ 19,975  | \$—       | \$—       | \$ 19,975 |

The Company pledged a total of \$6.8 million and \$76.3 million of investment securities and cash collateral to counterparties at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for derivative activities. The Company pledged \$6.8 million and

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

\$61.3 million in cash collateral to counterparties at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and zero and \$15.0 million in U.S. Treasury bonds at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The cash pledged was restricted and is included in other assets on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. The total securities pledged is included in assets on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

## Note 16 — Deposit Accounts

The deposit accounts are as follows.

|                              | December 31,           |             |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
|                              | 2013                   | 2012        |
|                              | (Dollars in thousands) |             |
| Retail deposits              |                        |             |
| Demand accounts              | \$763,554              | \$681,983   |
| Savings accounts             | 2,869,279              | 2,108,170   |
| Money market demand accounts | 287,104                | 401,853     |
| Certificates of deposit      | 1,026,129              | 3,175,481   |
| Total retail deposits        | 4,946,066              | 6,367,487   |
| Government deposits          |                        |             |
| Demand account               | 104,466                | 98,890      |
| Savings account              | 183,128                | 263,841     |
| Certificate of deposit       | 314,804                | 456,347     |
| Total government deposits    | 602,398                | 819,078     |
| Wholesale deposits           | 8,717                  | 99,338      |
| Company controlled deposits  | 583,145                | 1,008,392   |
| Total deposits               | \$6,140,326            | \$8,294,295 |

Noninterest bearing deposits included in above balances at December 31, 2013 and 2012, were approximately \$0.9 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively.

The following table indicates the scheduled maturities for certificates of deposit with a minimum denomination of \$100,000.

|                                  | December 31,           |             |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
|                                  | 2013                   | 2012        |
|                                  | (Dollars in thousands) |             |
| Three months or less             | \$341,989              | \$834,390   |
| Over three months to six months  | 186,746                | 431,792     |
| Over six months to twelve months | 223,131                | 927,797     |
| One to two years                 | 40,396                 | 103,437     |
| Thereafter                       | 35,593                 | 42,227      |
| Total                            | \$827,855              | \$2,339,643 |

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Note 17 — Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

The portfolio of Federal Home Loan Bank advances includes floating rate short-term daily adjustable advances and long-term fixed rate advances. The following is a breakdown of the advances outstanding.

|   | December 31,<br>2013   |                             | 2012          |                             | 2011          |                             |   |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---|
|   | Amount                 | Weighted<br>Average<br>Rate | Amount        | Weighted<br>Average<br>Rate | Amount        | Weighted<br>Average<br>Rate |   |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                             |               |                             |               |                             |   |
| Short-term floating rate<br>daily adjustable advances | \$216,000              | 0.50                        | % \$280,000   | 0.50                        | % \$553,000   | 0.40                        | % |
| Fixed rate putable<br>advances                        | 772,000                | 0.30                        | % —           | —                           | % —           | —                           | % |
| Long-term fixed rate term<br>advances                 | —                      | —                           | % 2,900,000   | 3.30                        | % 3,400,000   | 3.10                        | % |
| Total   | \$988,000              | 0.34                        | % \$3,180,000 | 3.05                        | % \$3,953,000 | 2.72                        | % |

The Company prepaid \$2.9 billion in higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances during the fourth quarter 2013, which resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$177.6 million.

The Company prepaid \$500.0 million in higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances during the third quarter 2012, which resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$15.2 million.

The Company restructured \$1.0 billion in Federal Home Loan Bank advances during the third quarter 2011. The effect in the overall Federal Home Loan Bank advance portfolio was an increase in the average remaining term to 4.3 years at December 31, 2011 from 3.6 years and a decrease in the weighted average interest rate to 3.1 percent from 3.5 percent.

|                                      | For the Years Ended December 31, |             |             |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                      | 2013                             | 2012        | 2011        |
|                                      | (Dollars in thousands)           |             |             |
| Maximum outstanding at any month end | \$2,907,598                      | \$3,770,000 | \$3,953,000 |
| Average outstanding balance          | 2,914,637                        | 3,698,362   | 3,620,368   |
| Average remaining borrowing capacity | 735,391                          | 1,040,677   | 728,394     |
| Weighted-average interest rate       | 3.22                             | % 2.88      | % 3.26      |

The following outlines the Company's Federal Home Loan Bank advance final maturity dates as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

|      | For the Years Ended December 31, |           |
|------|----------------------------------|-----------|
|      | 2013                             | 2012      |
|      | (Dollars in thousands)           |           |
| 2013 | \$—                              | \$280,000 |
| 2014 | 988,000                          | 250,000   |
| 2015 | —                                | 750,000   |
| 2016 | —                                | 1,650,000 |
| 2017 | —                                | 250,000   |
| 2018 | —                                | —         |

|       |           |             |
|-------|-----------|-------------|
| Total | \$988,000 | \$3,180,000 |
|-------|-----------|-------------|

At December 31, 2013, the Company had the authority and approval from the Federal Home Loan Bank to utilize a line of credit of up to \$7.0 billion and the Company may access that line to the extent that collateral is provided. At December 31, 2013, the Company had \$1.0 billion of advances outstanding and an additional \$1.7 billion of collateralized

190

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

borrowing capacity available at the Federal Home Loan Bank. The advances are collateralized by non-delinquent single-family residential first mortgage loans, loans repurchased with government guarantees, certain other loans and investment securities.

## Note 18 — Long-Term Debt

The Company sponsored nine trust subsidiaries, including the consolidated VIEs, which issued trust preferred securities to third party investors and loaned the proceeds to the Company in the form of junior subordinated notes included in long-term debt. The following table presents the outstanding balance on each junior subordinated note and related interest rates of the long-term debt as of the dates indicated.

|   | December 31,<br>2013   |      | 2012 |           |      |   |
|---|------------------------|------|------|-----------|------|---|
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |      |      |           |      |   |
| Junior Subordinated Notes               |                        |      |      |           |      |   |
| Floating 3 Month LIBOR                  |                        |      |      |           |      |   |
| Plus 3.25% (1), matures 2032            | \$25,774               | 3.50 | %    | \$25,774  | 3.56 | % |
| Plus 3.25% (1), matures 2033            | 25,774                 | 3.49 | %    | 25,774    | 3.59 | % |
| Plus 3.25% (1), matures 2033            | 25,780                 | 3.50 | %    | 25,780    | 3.56 | % |
| Plus 2.00% (1), matures 2035            | 25,774                 | 2.24 | %    | 25,774    | 2.34 | % |
| Plus 2.00% (1), matures 2035            | 25,774                 | 2.24 | %    | 25,774    | 2.34 | % |
| Plus 1.75% (1), matures 2035            | 51,547                 | 2.00 | %    | 51,547    | 2.06 | % |
| Plus 1.50% (1), matures 2035            | 25,774                 | 1.74 | %    | 25,774    | 1.84 | % |
| Plus 1.45% (1), matures 2037            | 25,774                 | 1.69 | %    | 25,774    | 1.76 | % |
| Plus 2.50% (1), matures 2037            | 15,464                 | 2.74 | %    | 15,464    | 2.81 | % |
| Subtotal                                | \$247,435              |      |      | \$247,435 |      |   |
| Notes associated with consolidated VIEs |                        |      |      |           |      |   |
| HELOC securitizations                   |                        |      |      |           |      |   |
| Plus 0.23% (2), matures 2018            | \$55,172               |      |      | \$—       |      |   |
| Plus 0.16% (3), matures 2019            | 50,641                 |      |      | —         |      |   |
| Total long-term debt                    | \$353,248              |      |      | \$247,435 |      |   |

(1) The securities are currently callable by the Company.

(2) The Notes will accrue interest at a rate equal to the least of (i) one-month LIBOR plus 0.23 percent (ii) the net weighted average coupon, and (iii) 0.16 percent.

The interest rate for the notes may adjust monthly and will be subject to (i) a cap based on the weighted average of (3) the loan rates on the mortgage loans, minus the rates at which certain fees and expenses of the issuing entity are calculated and minus any required spread and adjusted for actual days and (ii) a fixed cap of 0.16 percent.

Interest on all junior subordinated notes related to trust preferred securities is payable quarterly. At December 31, 2013 and 2012 the three-month LIBOR interest rate was 0.25 percent and 0.31 percent, respectively. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the one-month LIBOR interest rate was 0.17 percent and 0.21 percent, respectively.

## Trust Preferred Securities

The trust preferred securities outstanding mature 30 years from issuance and are callable by the Company. Interest on all junior subordinated notes related to trust preferred securities is payable quarterly. Under the terms of the related indentures, the Company may defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters without default or penalty. In January 2012, the Company exercised its contractual rights to defer its interest payments with respect to trust preferred securities. The payments are periodically evaluated and will be reinstated when appropriate, subject to the



provisions of the Company's Supervisory Agreement and Consent Order.

Notes Associated with Consolidated VIEs

As previously discussed in Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, the Company determined it was the primary beneficiary of VIEs associated with HELOC securitizations and such VIEs are therefore consolidated in the Consolidated Financial Statements. As of June 30, 2013, following the Assured Settlement Agreement, the

191

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Company reconsolidated the assets and liabilities associated with the HELOC securitization trusts, the proceeds of which were used by the trust to repay outstanding debt.

The final legal maturities of the long-term debt associated with the VIEs are June 2018 and June 2019, respectively, however these debt agreements have contractual provisions that allow for the debt to be paid off based on the cash flows of the collateral. As of December 31, 2013, the Company's cash flow analysis indicated that the notes are estimated to be paid off by July 2015 for FSTAR 2005-1 (0.23 percent) and June 2016 for FSTAR 2006-2 (0.16 percent). The estimated maturity dates may change going forward as the inputs used (prepayments, defaults, etc.) for the cash flow analysis will likely change. The debt pays interest based on a spread over the 30-day LIBOR interest rate.

#### Note 19 — Representation and Warranty Reserve

The following table shows the activity in the representation and warranty reserve.

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |            |            |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011       |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |            |
| Balance, beginning of period,                                       | \$ 193,000                       | \$ 120,000 | \$ 79,400  |
| Provision   |                                  |            |            |
| Charged to gain on sale for current loan sales                      | 17,606                           | 24,410     | 8,993      |
| Charged to representation and warranty reserve — change in estimate | 36,116                           | 256,289    | 150,055    |
| Total   | 53,722                           | 280,699    | 159,048    |
| Charge-offs, net  | (192,722                         | ) (207,699 | ) (118,448 |
| Balance, end of period  | \$ 54,000                        | \$ 193,000 | \$ 120,000 |

The liability for representation and warranty reserve reflects management's best estimate of probable losses with respect to the Bank's representation and warranty on the mortgage loans it originates and sells into the secondary market. At the time a loan is sold, an estimate of the fair value of such loss associated with the mortgage loans is recorded in representation and warranty reserve in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and charged against the net gain on loan sales in the Consolidated Statement of Operations at the time of the sale. The Company recognizes changes afterwards in the liability when additional relevant information becomes available. Changes in the estimate are recorded in representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Charge-offs are recorded in representation and warranty reserve on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

The Company routinely obtains information from the Agencies regarding the historical trends of demand requests, and occasionally obtains information on anticipated future loan reviews and potential repurchase demand projections. The Company believes this information provides helpful but limited insight in anticipating Agency behavior, thus helping to better estimate future repurchase requests and validate representation and warranty assumptions. Estimating the balance of the representation and warranty reserve involves using assumptions regarding future repurchase request volumes, probable loss severity on these requests and claims appeal success rates. To assess the sensitivity of the representation and warranty reserve model to adverse changes, management periodically runs a sensitivity analysis using its reserve model by assuming hypothetical increases in the level of repurchase volume.

Reserve levels are a function of probable losses based on actual pending and expected claims and repurchase requests, historical experience and loan volume. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions could be required that could adversely affect operations or financial position in future periods.

During the fourth quarter 2013, the Bank entered into settlement agreements with both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to resolve substantially all of the repurchase requests and obligations associated with loans originated between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2008. The settlement with Fannie Mae, reached on November 6, 2013, was for a total resolution amount of \$121.5 million and, after paid claim credits and other adjustments, the Company paid \$93.5 million. The Bank settled with Freddie Mac on December 30, 2013 for a total resolution amount of \$10.8 million and, after paid claim credits and other adjustments, the Company paid \$8.9 million.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Note 20 — Warrant Liabilities

May Investors

In full satisfaction of the Company's obligations under anti-dilution provisions applicable to certain investors (the "May Investors") in the Company's May 2008 private placement capital raise, the Company granted warrants (the "May Investor Warrants") to the May Investors on January 30, 2009 for the purchase of 142,598 of Common Stock at \$62.00 per share. The holders of such warrants are entitled to acquire shares of Common Stock for a period of ten years. During 2009, May Investors exercised May Investor Warrants to purchase 31,484 shares of Common Stock. As a result of the Company's registered offering on March 31, 2010, of 5.8 million shares of Common Stock at a price per share of \$50.00, the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock issuable to the May Investors under the May Investor Warrants was increased by 26,667 and the exercise price was decreased to \$50.00 pursuant to the antidilution provisions of the May Investors Warrants. As a result of the Company's registered offering on November 2, 2010 of 11.6 million shares of Common Stock at a price per share of \$10.00, the number of shares of Common Stock issuable to the May Investors under the May Investor Warrants was increased by 551,126 and the exercise price was decreased to \$10.00 pursuant to the antidilution provisions of the May Investors Warrants. For the year ended December 31, 2013, no shares of Common Stock were issued upon exercise of May Investor Warrants, and at December 31, 2013, the May Investors held warrants to purchase 688,907 shares at an exercise price of \$10.00.

Management believes the May Investor Warrants do not meet the definition of a contract that is indexed to the Company's own stock under U.S. GAAP. Therefore, the May Investor Warrants are classified as liabilities rather than as an equity instrument and are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through operations.

On January 30, 2009, in conjunction with the capital investments, the Company recorded the May Investor Warrants at their fair value of \$6.1 million. From the issuance of the May Investor Warrants on January 30, 2009 through December 31, 2013, the Company marked these warrants to market which resulted in an increase in the liability during this time of \$4.7 million. This increase was recorded in warrant expense and included in noninterest expense.

At December 31, 2013, the Company's liabilities to the holders of May Investors Warrants amounted to \$10.8 million. Warrant liabilities are valued using a binomial lattice model and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Significant observable inputs include expected volatility, a risk free rate and an expected life. Warrant liabilities are reported in "other liabilities" on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Other Warrants

On January 30, 2009, the Company sold to the U.S. Treasury 266,657 shares of Series C fixed rate cumulative non-convertible perpetual preferred stock ("Series C Preferred Stock") and a warrant to purchase up to approximately 0.7 million shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$62.00 per share (the "Warrant") for \$266.7 million. The issuance and the sale of the Series C Preferred Stock and Warrant were exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Series C Preferred Stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital and pays cumulative dividends quarterly at a rate of 5 percent per annum for the first five years, and 9 percent per annum thereafter. The Warrant became exercisable upon receipt of stockholder approval on May 26, 2009 and has a 10 year term.

On December 18, 2012, the U.S. Treasury announced its intention to auction the Series C Preferred Stock issued and outstanding under the TARP Capital Purchase Program during 2013. On March 15, 2013, the U.S. Treasury announced that it had priced auctions for the preferred stock of several institutions, including the Company, which it had purchased in early 2009 through the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The auction closed on March 28, 2013. The U.S. Treasury also auctioned the Warrant, which closed on June 5, 2013, to purchase up to approximately

645,138 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$62.00 per share. The Series C Preferred Stock and Warrants are now held by unrelated third party investors and are no longer held by the U.S. government under the TARP Capital Purchase Program.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

#### Note 21 — Stockholders' Equity

On September 24, 2012, the Company's stockholders approved an amendment to the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of common stock with the exact exchange ratio and timing of the reverse stock split to be determined at the discretion of the Company's board of directors. The board of directors approved a one-for-ten reverse stock split which began trading on a post-split-basis October 11, 2012. In lieu of fractional shares, stockholders received cash payments based on the common stock's closing price on October 9, 2012 of \$11.70 per share, which reflects the reverse stock split. The common stock par value remained at \$0.01 per share. All common stock and related per share amounts in these Consolidated Financial Statements and notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements are reflected on an after-reverse-split basis for all periods presented.

#### Preferred Stock

Preferred stock with a par value of \$0.01 and a liquidation value of \$1,000 and additional paid in capital attributable to preferred shares at December 31, 2013 is summarized as follows.

|                          | Rate (1)               | Earliest<br>Redemption<br>Date | Shares<br>Outstanding | Preferred<br>Shares | Additional<br>Paid in<br>Capital |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
|                          | (Dollars in thousands) |                                |                       |                     |                                  |
| Series C Preferred Stock | 5                      | % January 31, 2012             | 266,657               | \$3                 | \$266,171                        |

(1) Beginning after January 30, 2014, the rate will be 9.0 percent.

See Note 20 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for further information regarding the Series C Preferred Stock and Warrants.

#### Deferral of Dividend Payments

On January 27, 2012, the Company provided notice to the U.S. Treasury exercising the contractual right to defer regularly scheduled quarterly payments of dividends, beginning with the February 2012 payment, on preferred stock issued and outstanding in connection with participation in the TARP Capital Purchase Program, at the time. Under the terms of the preferred stock, the Company may defer payments of dividends for up to six quarters in total without default or penalty. Concurrently, the Company also exercised contractual rights to defer interest payments with respect to trust preferred securities. For information about the deferral of dividends, refer to Note 2 and Note 18 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

## Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following table sets forth the components in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for each type of available-for-sale security.

|   | Pre-tax Amount         | Income Tax<br>(Expense) Benefit | After-Tax Amount |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |                                 |                  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)                     |                        |                                 |                  |
| December 31, 2013   |                        |                                 |                  |
| Net unrealized (loss) gain on securities available-for-sale,      |                        |                                 |                  |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies                                | \$ (9,042              | ) \$ 4,211                      | \$ (4,831        |
| Total net unrealized (loss) gain on securities available-for-sale | \$ (9,042              | ) \$ 4,211                      | \$ (4,831        |
| December 31, 2012   |                        |                                 |                  |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on securities available-for-sale,      |                        |                                 |                  |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies                                | \$ 2,389               | \$ —                            | \$ 2,389         |
| FSTAR 2006-1 securitization trust                                 | (10,155                | ) 6,108                         | (4,047           |
| Total net unrealized gain (loss) on securities available-for-sale | \$ (7,766              | ) \$ 6,108                      | \$ (1,658        |
| December 31, 2011   |                        |                                 |                  |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on securities available-for-sale,      |                        |                                 |                  |
| Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations                    | \$ (23,095             | ) \$ 20,608                     | \$ (2,487        |
| U.S. government sponsored agencies                                | 2,211                  | (728                            | ) 1,483          |
| FSTAR 2006-1 securitization trust                                 | (12,923                | ) 6,108                         | (6,815           |
| Total net unrealized gain (loss) on securities available-for-sale | \$ (33,807             | ) \$ 25,988                     | \$ (7,819        |

As of June 30, 2013, following the MBIA settlement, the Company collapsed the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust and transferred the loans associated with the mortgage securitization to its loans held-for-investment portfolio. The Company also recognized a tax benefit of \$6.1 million during the second quarter 2013, representing the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with the previously unrealized losses on the mortgage securitization recorded in other comprehensive income (loss).

## Note 22 — Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing earnings (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue Common Stock were exercised and converted into Common Stock or resulted in the issuance of Common Stock that could then share in the earnings (loss) of the Company. All previously stated references to the number of share outstanding, per share amounts, and stock option data of the Common Stock have been restated to give retroactive effect to the reverse stock split that occurred on October 11, 2012.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share of Common Stock.

|   | For the Years Ended December 31,      |           |             |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
|   | 2013                                  | 2012      | 2011        |
|   | (In thousands, except per share data) |           |             |
| Net income (loss)                             | \$266,987                             | \$68,376  | \$(181,778) |
| Less: preferred stock dividend/accretion      | (5,784)                               | ) (5,658  | ) (17,165   |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations  | 261,203                               | 62,718    | (198,943)   |
| Deferred cumulative preferred stock dividends | (14,366                               | ) (13,670 | ) —         |
| Net income (loss) applicable to Common Stock  | \$246,837                             | \$49,048  | \$(198,943) |
| Weighted Average Shares                       |                                       |           |             |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding    | 56,063                                | 55,762    | 55,434      |
| Effect of dilutive securities                 |                                       |           |             |
| Warrants                                      | 237                                   | 7         | —           |
| Stock-based awards                            | 218                                   | 425       | —           |
| Weighted average diluted common shares        | 56,518                                | 56,194    | 55,434      |
| Earnings (loss) per common share              |                                       |           |             |
| Net income (loss) applicable to Common Stock  | \$4.40                                | \$0.88    | \$(3.62)    |
| Effect of dilutive securities                 |                                       |           |             |
| Warrants                                      | (0.02                                 | ) —       | —           |
| Stock-based awards                            | (0.01                                 | ) (0.01   | ) —         |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share             | \$4.37                                | \$0.87    | \$(3.62)    |

Due to the loss attributable to common stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2011, the diluted loss per share calculation excludes all Common Stock equivalents, including 1,334,045 shares pertaining to warrants and 250,914 shares pertaining to stock-based awards. The inclusion of these securities would be anti-dilutive.

#### Note 23 — Stock-Based Compensation

In 1997, the Company's Board of Directors adopted resolutions to implement various stock option and purchase plans and incentive compensation plans in conjunction with the public offering of Common Stock. On May 26, 2006, the Company's stockholders approved the Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan"). The 2006 Plan consolidates, amends and restates the Company's 1997 Employees and Directors Stock Option Plan, its 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, and its 1997 Incentive Compensation Plan (each, a "Prior Plan"). Awards still outstanding under any of the Prior Plans will continue to be governed by their respective terms. Under the 2006 Plan, key employees, officers, directors and others expected to provide significant services to the Company and its affiliates are eligible to receive awards. Awards that may be granted under the 2006 Plan include stock options, incentive stock options, cash-settled stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units, performance shares and performance units and other awards.

Under the 2006 Plan, the exercise price of any award granted must be at least equal to the fair market value of Common Stock on the date of grant. Non-qualified stock options granted to directors expire 5 years from the date of grant. Grants other than non-qualified stock options have term limits set by the board of directors in the applicable agreement. Stock appreciation rights expire 7 years from the date of grant unless otherwise provided by the compensation committee of the board of directors.

During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, compensation expense recognized related to the 2006 Plan totaled \$4.8 million, \$6.9 million and \$6.7 million, respectively. The Board of Directors approved a one-for-ten reverse stock split, which began trading on a post-split basis on October 11, 2012. All Common Stock and related per



share amounts discussed below are reflected on an after-reverse-split basis for all periods presented.

196

---

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Stock Option Plan

The following tables summarize the activity that occurred in the years ended December 31.

|  | Number of Shares |           |           |
|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2013             | 2012      | 2011      |
| Options outstanding, beginning of year           | 93,628           | 111,273   | 126,935   |
| Options canceled, forfeited and expired          | (10,691          | ) (17,645 | ) (15,662 |
| Options outstanding, end of year                 | 82,937           | 93,628    | 111,273   |
| Options vested and expected to vest, end of year | 82,937           | 93,628    | 111,273   |
| Options exercisable, end of year                 | 43,281           | 34,061    | 26,118    |

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, was zero. Additionally, there was no aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercised at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

|  | Weighted Average Exercise Price |          |          |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|----------|
|  | 2013                            | 2012     | 2011     |
| Options outstanding, beginning of year           | \$143.41                        | \$181.00 | \$171.10 |
| Options canceled, forfeited and expired          | 447.22                          | 386.45   | 100.70   |
| Options outstanding, end of year                 | \$104.26                        | \$143.41 | \$181.00 |
| Options vested and expected to vest, end of year | \$104.26                        | \$143.41 | \$181.00 |
| Options exercisable, end of year                 | \$126.49                        | \$173.32 | \$510.50 |

The following information pertains to the stock options issued pursuant to the Prior Plans, but not exercised at December 31, 2013.

| Range of Grant Price    | Options Outstanding                                |   | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Options Exercisable                     |                                 |
|-------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
|                         | Number of Options Outstanding at December 31, 2013 | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) |                                 | Number Exercisable at December 31, 2013 | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$80.00                 | 81,953   | 6.04  | \$80.00                         | 42,297                                  | \$80.00                         |
| \$1,523.00 - \$2,471.50 | 984  | 0.65  | \$2,124.74                      | 984                                     | \$2,124.74                      |
|                         | 82,937   |   |                                 | 43,281                                  |                                 |

| Range of Grant Price    | Options Vested and Expected to Vest                |   | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|-------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|
|                         | Number of Options Outstanding at December 31, 2013 | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) |                                 |
| \$80.00                 | 81,953   | 6.04  | \$80.00                         |
| \$1,523.00 - \$2,471.50 | 984  | 0.65  | \$2,124.74                      |
|                         | 82,937   |   |                                 |

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, options available for future grants were 213,678 and 202,987, respectively.



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

### Restricted Stock Units

The Company issues restricted stock units to officers, directors and key employees in connection with year-end compensation. Restricted stock generally will vest in 1/3 increments on each annual anniversary of the date of grant beginning with the first anniversary. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued under the 2006 Plan as the result of any grants were 961,913 shares and 1,159,562 shares, respectively. The Company incurred expenses of approximately \$1.4 million, \$2.9 million and \$2.2 million with respect to restricted stock units during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012 restricted stock units had a market value of \$5.6 million and \$8.3 million, respectively.

|                                 | Shares   | Weighted —<br>Average Grant-Date<br>Fair Value per Share |
|---------------------------------|----------|--|
| Restricted Stock                |          |  |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2010 | 193,943  | \$ 31.90   |
| Granted                         | 99,429   | 14.90  |
| Vested                          | (27,439  | ) 62.90  |
| Canceled and forfeited          | (38,485  | ) 24.30  |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2011 | 227,448  | \$ 22.00   |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2011 | 227,448  | \$ 22.00   |
| Granted                         | 329,025  | 9.79   |
| Vested                          | (109,588 | ) 24.98  |
| Canceled and forfeited          | (21,674  | ) 22.28  |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2012 | 425,211  | \$ 12.70   |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2012 | 425,211  | \$ 12.70   |
| Granted                         | 113,760  | 15.06  |
| Vested                          | (190,949 | ) 17.08  |
| Canceled and forfeited          | (60,096  | ) 11.14  |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2013 | 287,926  | \$ 12.01   |

### Incentive Compensation Plans

The Incentive Compensation Plans are administered by the compensation committee of the Company's board of directors. Each year, the compensation committee decides which employees of the Company will be eligible to participate in the plans. The Company had an expense of \$24.4 million, \$31.1 million and \$22.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for incentive plans.

### Note 24 — Employee Benefit Plans

The Company maintains a 401(k) plan for its employees. Under the plan, eligible employees may contribute up to 60 percent of their annual compensation, subject to a maximum amount proscribed by law. The maximum annual contribution was \$17,500, \$17,000 and \$16,500, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Participants who are age 50 or older at the end of the calendar year, were also able to make additional contributions of up to \$5,500 for 2013, 2012 and 2011. On January 1, 2011, the Company established a non-discretionary matching contribution in an amount equal to 50 percent of deferral contribution subject to a maximum of 3 percent in eligible compensation deferred. The Company's contributions vest at a rate such that an employee is fully vested after five years of service. The Company's contributions to the plan for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 were approximately \$2.4 million, \$2.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. The Company may also make discretionary contributions to the plan; however, none have been made.



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

## Note 25 — Income Taxes

Components of the (benefit) provision for federal income taxes from operations consist of the following.

|   | For the Years Ended December 31, |            |         |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|---------|
|   | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011    |
|   | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |         |
| Current                                     |                                  |            |         |
| Federal                                     | \$226                            | \$4,235    | \$1,056 |
| State                                       | 102                              | —          | —       |
| Total current income tax (benefit) expense  | \$328                            | \$4,235    | \$1,056 |
| Deferred                                    |                                  |            |         |
| Federal                                     | \$(407,611)                      | \$(19,880) | \$—     |
| State                                       | (8,967)                          | —          | —       |
| Total deferred income tax (benefit) expense | (416,578)                        | (19,880)   | —       |
| Total Income Tax (Benefit) Expense          | \$(416,250)                      | \$(15,645) | \$1,056 |

The Company's effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal tax rate. The following is a summary of such differences.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |            |            |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012       | 2011       |
|  | (Dollars in thousands)           |            |            |
| (Benefit) provision at statutory federal income tax rate (35%) | \$(52,242)                       | \$18,456   | \$(63,253) |
| Increases (decreases) resulting from                           |                                  |            |            |
| Change in valuation allowance, federal and state               | (355,769)                        | (19,224)   | 52,999     |
| Residual tax effect associated with other comprehensive income | (6,108)                          | (19,880)   | —          |
| State income tax benefit, net of federal income tax effect     | (2,647)                          | —          | —          |
| Warrant (income) expense                                       | (190)                            | 3,127      | (2,411)    |
| Non-deductible compensation                                    | 383                              | 1,144      | 1,267      |
| Litigation settlement  | —                                | 293        | 11,655     |
| Other  | 323                              | 439        | 799        |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes                           | \$(416,250)                      | \$(15,645) | \$1,056    |

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the effective tax rate was a benefit of 278.9 percent, compared to a benefit of 29.7 percent and a provision of 0.6 percent for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the change in the valuation allowance for net deferred taxes, as well as the recognition of the residual tax effect associated with previously unrealized losses on securities recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) had the most significant impacts on the difference between our statutory U.S. federal income tax rate of 35 percent and our effective tax rate.

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined on the basis of the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets to the extent that the Company believes these assets are more likely than not to be realized. In making such a determination, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected future taxable income,

tax-planning strategies and results of recent operations. If the Company determines that it would be able to realize the deferred tax assets in the future in excess of its net recorded amount, the Company would make an adjustment to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance, which would reduce the provision for income taxes.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The Company records uncertain tax positions in accordance with ASC 740 on the basis of a two-step process whereby (1) the Company determine whether it is more likely than not that the tax positions will be sustained on the basis of the technical merits of the position and (2) for those tax positions that meet the more likely than not recognition threshold, the Company recognizes the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement with the related tax authority.

During the second quarter 2013, as a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, recorded as an available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and the second mortgage loans in that trust were transferred to loans held-for-investment at fair value. In conjunction with this, the Company recorded \$6.1 million of tax benefit to recognize the residual tax effect associated with previously unrealized losses on this security. The Company previously sold the remaining non-agency CMOs and seasoned agency securities during the year ended December 31, 2012. As a result of the sale of these securities, the Company also recognized a tax benefit representing the recognition of the residual tax effect of \$19.9 million associated with previously unrealized losses on these securities recorded in other comprehensive income (loss).

Temporary differences and carry forwards that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are comprised of the following.

|   | December 31,           |           |
|---|------------------------|-----------|
|   | 2013                   | 2012      |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |           |
| Deferred tax assets   |                        |           |
| Tax loss carry forwards   | \$337,472              | \$278,161 |
| Allowance for loan losses   | 127,739                | 230,925   |
| Representation and warranty reserves  | 19,962                 | 71,363    |
| Legal accruals for pending and threatened litigation                            | —                      | 84,557    |
| Non-accrual interest revenue  | 11,571                 | 18,788    |
| Alternative Minimum Tax credit carry forwards (indefinite carry forward period) | 10,880                 | 10,880    |
| Litigation settlement   | 34,378                 | —         |
| Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits  | 4,644                  | 4,466     |
| Other   | 8,090                  | 16,166    |
| Total   | 554,736                | 715,306   |
| Valuation allowance   | (24,864)               | (379,149) |
| Total (net)   | 529,872                | 336,157   |
| Deferred tax liabilities  |                        |           |
| Mortgage loan servicing rights  | (91,752)               | (245,601) |
| Loan securitizations  | —                      | (49,357)  |
| Mark-to-market adjustments  | (13,770)               | (31,130)  |
| Commercial lease financing  | (2,772)                | (3,280)   |
| State and local taxes   | (4,774)                | (2,477)   |
| Other   | (2,123)                | (4,312)   |
| Total   | (115,191)              | (336,157) |
| Net deferred tax asset  | \$414,681              | \$—       |

(1) The December 31, 2012 amounts have been adjusted to include the state deferred tax asset, which is consistent with the December 31, 2013 presentation.

During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had a total net operating loss carry forward of \$882.9 million and \$712.6 million, respectively. These carry forwards, if unused, expire in calendar years 2028



through 2033. As a result of a change in control occurring on January 30, 2009, Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code places an annual limitation on the use of the Company's net operating loss carry forwards that existed at that time. At December 31, 2013, \$174.1 million of the total net operating loss carry forwards of \$882.9 million is subject to an annual use limitation of approximately \$17.4 million and will expire in calendar years 2028 through 2029.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The Company has not provided deferred income taxes for the Bank's pre-1988 tax bad debt reserve of approximately \$4.0 million because it is not anticipated that this temporary difference will reverse in the foreseeable future. Such reserves would only be taken into taxable income if the Bank, or a successor institution, liquidates, redeems shares, pays dividends in excess of earnings and profits, or ceases to qualify as a bank for tax purposes.

At December 31, 2013, the deferred tax assets were primarily the result of U.S. net operating loss carryforwards. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recorded a valuation allowance release of \$355.8 million on the basis of management's reassessment of the amount of its deferred tax assets that are more likely than not to be realized.

The Company regularly evaluates the need for deferred tax asset valuation allowances based on a more likely than not standard as defined by generally accepted accounting principles. The ability to realize deferred tax assets depends on the ability to generate sufficient taxable income within the carryback or carryforward periods provided for in the tax law for each applicable tax jurisdiction. The Company considers the following possible sources of taxable income when assessing the realization of deferred tax assets:

- future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences;
- future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;
- taxable income in prior carryback years; and
- tax planning strategies.

The assessment regarding whether a valuation allowance is required or should be adjusted also considers all available positive and negative evidence factors, including but not limited to:

- nature, frequency and severity of recent losses;
- duration of statutory carryforward periods;
- historical experience with tax attributes expiring unused; and
- near- and medium-term financial outlook.

As indicated by applicable accounting standards, it is inherently difficult to conclude a valuation allowance is not required when there is significant objective and verifiable negative evidence, such as cumulative losses in recent years. The Company utilizes a rolling three years of actual and current year anticipated results as the primary measure of cumulative losses.

The evaluation of deferred tax assets requires judgment in assessing the likely future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the financial statements or tax returns and future profitability. The Company's accounting for deferred taxes represents management's best estimate of those future events. Changes in the current estimates, due to unanticipated events or otherwise, could have a material effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Over the past year, culminating in the fourth quarter 2013, the Company has taken significant actions to transform its business and reduce uncertainty. These actions included the following:

- (1) the retirement of higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances;
- (2) the related loss on extinguishment of debt as a result of the prepayment of the higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances;
- (3) the payment of litigation settlement costs incurred in connection with Assured and MBIA litigation settlements;
- (4) the sale of mortgage servicing rights while retaining the subservicing; and

(5) the settlements reached with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

When evaluating whether the Company has overcome the significant negative evidence attributable to actual cumulative losses in recent years, the Company adjusted those losses for items that the Company believes are not indicative of its ability to generate taxable income in future years. The Company reflects adjusted cumulative income after applying those items that are not indicative of its ability to generate taxable income in future years. The Company considers this objectively verifiable evidence that its current earnings model is capable of generating future taxable income sufficient to utilize substantially all of the net operating loss carryforwards as of December 31, 2013. The Company believes that this evidence is sufficient to overcome the unadjusted cumulative losses in recent years.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Other positive evidence considered in connection with the Company's decision to release its federal deferred tax asset valuation allowance include the historic ability to utilize deferred tax assets before they expire, as well as its detailed forecasts projecting the complete realization of all federal deferred tax assets before expiration under the most conservative and stressed earnings scenarios. In order to realize the deferred tax assets, the Company needs to generate approximately \$1.1 billion of pre-tax income over the next 20 years. The Company believes that it is more likely than not that this level of pre-tax income will be achievable even under stressed scenarios.

The Company also considered actions taken during the year ended December 31, 2013, which create more certainty regarding its future taxable income including settlements reached with Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, MBIA and Assured litigation settlements, prepayment of higher cost long-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances and the sale of mortgage servicing rights while retaining the subservicing. The Company has a history of utilizing 100 percent of deferred tax assets before they expire. Forecasts of taxable earnings project a complete realization of all federal deferred tax assets before they expire, including under stressed forecast scenarios. The unprecedented mortgage market conditions have been managed by the Company to minimize the impact should similar volatility recur in the future through cost containment, employee reductions, etc. which give further support to the reliability of forecasted taxable earnings.

Upon considering all of the available positive and negative evidence, and the extent to which that evidence was objectively verifiable, the Company determined that the positive evidence outweighed the negative evidence and the deferred tax assets are more likely than not realizable, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013. As a result, the valuation allowance has been reversed in the amount of \$355.8 million, or \$6.29 per diluted share, during the year ended December 31, 2013 that benefited income tax expense.

The Company had a total state deferred tax asset before valuation allowance of \$34.0 million million and total state net operating loss carryforwards of \$589.2 million at December 31, 2013. In connection with its ongoing assessment of deferred taxes, the Company analyzed each state net operating loss separately and determined the amount of such net operating loss, which is expected to expire unused and recorded a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax asset for state net operating losses to the amount which is more likely than not to be realized. At December 31, 2013, the state deferred tax assets which we will more likely than not be realized was \$9.2 million and have maintained a valuation allowance of \$24.8 million due to loss carryover limitations.

The Company will continue to regularly assess the realizability of its deferred tax assets. Changes in earnings performance and future earnings projections, among other factors, may cause the Company to adjust its valuation allowance, which will impact the Company's income tax expense in the period it determines that these factors have changes.

The Company's income tax returns are subject to review and examination by federal, state and local government authorities. On an ongoing basis, numerous federal, state and local examinations are in progress and cover multiple tax years. At December 31, 2013, the Internal Revenue Service had completed an examination of the Company through the taxable year ended December 31, 2009. The years open to examination by state and local government authorities vary by jurisdiction.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

|                       | December 31,           |         |         |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|
|                       | 2013                   | 2012    | 2011    |
|                       | (Dollars in thousands) |         |         |
| Balance at January 1, | \$1,735                | \$1,642 | \$1,550 |

|   |         |         |         |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Additions based on income tax positions related to current year | 399     | —       | —       |
| Additions for income tax positions of prior years               | 93      | 93      | 92      |
| Balance at December 31,   | \$2,227 | \$1,735 | \$1,642 |

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized interest expense of approximately \$93,000, \$93,000 and \$92,000 respectively, and no penalty expense for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. The total accrual for interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions on the balance sheet is not material for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. At December 31, 2013, approximately \$1.8 million of the above tax positions are expected to reverse during the next 12 months, all of which relates to state tax controversies expected to be settled on resolution of a state tax audit.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Note 26 — Related Party Transactions

The Company has and expects to have in the future, transactions with certain of the Company's directors and principal officers. Such transactions are made in the ordinary course of business and included extensions of credit and professional services. With respect to the extensions of credit, all are made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the same time for comparable transactions with other customers and do not, in management's opinion, involve more than normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features. At December 31, 2013, there were no loans attributable to directors and principal officers and the unused lines of credit totaled approximately \$13,000. At December 31, 2012, the balance of the loans attributable to directors and principal officers totaled \$483,800, with the unused lines of credit totaling \$27,900. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, no directors or executive officers were affiliated with any correspondents or brokers.

Note 27 — Regulatory Matters

Regulatory Capital

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Consolidated Financial Statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures that have been established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum capital amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below). The Bank's primary regulatory agency, the OCC, requires that the Bank maintain minimum ratios of tangible capital (as defined in the regulations) of 1.5 percent, Tier 1 capital to adjusted tangible assets and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.0 percent, and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets of 8.0 percent. The Bank is also subject to prompt corrective action capital requirement regulations set forth by the FDIC. The FDIC requires the Bank to maintain minimum ratios of Tier 1 capital to adjusted tangible assets of 5.0 percent, Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 6.0 percent and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets of 10.0 percent.

To be categorized as "well capitalized," the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table below, as of the date of filing of its quarterly report with the OCC. The Bank is considered "well capitalized" at both December 31, 2013 and 2012. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following table shows the regulatory capital ratios as of the dates indicated. These ratios are applicable to the Bank only.

|  | Actual      |       | For Capital Adequacy Purposes |       | Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions |       |   |
|--|-------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--|-------|---|
|  | Amount      | Ratio | Amount                        | Ratio | Amount   | Ratio |   |
| (Dollars in thousands)                       |             |       |                               |       |  |       |   |
| December 31, 2013                            |             |       |                               |       |  |       |   |
| Tangible capital (to tangible assets)        | \$1,257,608 | 13.97 | % N/A                         | N/A   | N/A  | N/A   |   |
| Tier 1 capital (to adjusted tangible assets) | 1,257,608   | 13.97 | % 360,196                     | 4.0   | % 450,245  | 5.0   | % |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)     | 1,257,608   | 26.82 | % 187,542                     | 4.0   | % 281,313  | 6.0   | % |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets)      | 1,317,964   | 28.11 | % 375,084                     | 8.0   | % 468,855  | 10.0  | % |
| December 31, 2012                            |             |       |                               |       |  |       |   |
| Tangible capital (to tangible assets)        | \$1,295,841 | 9.26  | % N/A                         | N/A   | N/A  | N/A   |   |
| Tier 1 capital (to adjusted tangible assets) | 1,295,841   | 9.26  | % 559,985                     | 4.0   | % 699,982  | 5.0   | % |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)     | 1,295,841   | 15.90 | % 325,951                     | 4.0   | % 488,926  | 6.0   | % |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets)      | 1,400,126   | 17.18 | % 651,902                     | 8.0   | % 814,877  | 10.0  | % |

N/A - Not applicable.

### Consent Order

Effective October 23, 2012, the Bank's board of directors executed a Stipulation and Consent (the "Stipulation"), accepting the issuance of a Consent Order (the "Consent Order") by the OCC. The Consent Order replaces the supervisory agreement entered into between the Bank and the Office of Thrift Supervision (the "OTS") on January 27, 2010, which the OCC terminated simultaneous with issuance of the Consent Order. The Company is still subject to the Supervisory Agreement with the Federal Reserve (discussed below).

Under the Consent Order, the Bank is required to adopt or review and revise various plans, policies and procedures related to, among other things, regulatory capital, enterprise risk management and liquidity. Specifically, under the terms of the Consent Order, the Bank's board of directors has agreed to, among other things, which include but not limited to the following:

Review, revise, and forward to the OCC a written capital plan for the Bank covering at least a three-year period and establishing projections for the Bank's overall risk profile, earnings performance, growth expectations, balance sheet mix, off-balance sheet activities, liability and funding structure, capital and liquidity adequacy, as well as a contingency capital funding process and plan that identifies alternative capital sources should the primary sources not be available;

Adopt and forward to the OCC a comprehensive written liquidity risk management policy that systematically requires the Bank to reduce liquidity risk; and

Develop, adopt, and forward to the OCC a written enterprise risk management program that is designed to ensure that the Bank effectively identifies, monitors, and controls its enterprise-wide risks, including by developing risk limits for each line of business.

Each of the plans, policies and procedures referenced above in the Consent Order, as well as any subsequent amendments or changes thereto, must be submitted to the OCC for a determination that the OCC has no supervisory objection to them. Upon receiving a determination of no supervisory objection from the OCC, the Bank must implement and adhere to the respective plan, policy or procedure. The foregoing summary of the Consent Order does not purport to be a complete description of all of the terms of the Consent Order, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of the Consent Order filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2012.

The Bank intends to address the banking issues identified by the OCC in the manner required for compliance by the OCC. There can be no assurance that the OCC will not provide substantive comments on the capital plan or other submissions that the Bank makes pursuant to the Consent Order that will have a material impact on the Company. The Company believes that the actions taken, or to be taken, to address the banking issues set forth in the Consent Order should, over time, improve its enterprise risk management practices and risk profile.



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

### Supervisory Agreement

The Company is subject to the Supervisory Agreement, which will remain in effect until terminated, modified, or suspended in writing by the Federal Reserve. The failure to comply with the Supervisory Agreement could result in the initiation of further enforcement action by the Federal Reserve, including the imposition of further operating restrictions, and could result in additional enforcement actions against the Company. The Company has taken actions which it believes are appropriate to comply with, and intends to maintain compliance with, all of the requirements of the Supervisory Agreement.

Pursuant to the Supervisory Agreement, the Company submitted a capital plan to the OTS, predecessor in interest to the Federal Reserve. In addition, the Company agreed to request prior non-objection of the Federal Reserve to pay dividends or other capital distributions; purchase, repurchase or redeem certain securities; incur, issue, renew, roll over or increase any debt and enter into certain affiliate transactions; and comply with restrictions on the payment of severance and indemnification payments, director and management changes and employment contracts and compensation arrangements. A complete description of all of the terms of the Supervisory Agreement and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of the Supervisory Agreement filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2010.

### Regulatory Developments

In July 2013, U.S. banking regulators approved final Basel III Regulatory Capital rules ("Basel III"). The Basel III rules will be effective January 1, 2014 for advanced approaches banking organizations that are not savings and loan holding companies and January 1, 2015 for all other covered banking organizations. Various aspects of Basel III will be subject to multi-year transition periods ending December 31, 2018. Basel III generally continues to be subject to interpretation by the U.S. banking regulators. Basel III will materially change our Leverage, Tier 1 and Total capital calculations. In addition, the final rule implements a new regulatory component, Common Equity Tier 1 capital. It introduces new minimum capital ratios and buffer requirements, proposes a supplementary leverage ratio, changes the composition of regulatory capital, expands and modifies the calculation of risk-weighted assets for credit and market risk (the Advanced Approach), revises the adequately capitalized minimum requirements under the Prompt Corrective Action framework and introduces a Standardized Approach for the calculation of risk-weighted assets, which will replace the current rules (Basel I - 2013 Rules) effective January 1, 2015. Under Basel III, we will calculate regulatory capital ratios and risk-weighted assets under the Standardized Approach. This approach will be used to assess capital adequacy under the Prompt Corrective Action framework. The Prompt Corrective Action framework establishes categories of capitalization, including "well capitalized," based on regulatory ratio requirements. In October 2013, the OCC and Federal Reserve published a final rule that replaces their existing risk-based and leverage capital rules. The final rule is consistent with the interim final rule.

### Note 28 — Legal Proceedings, Contingencies and Commitments

#### Legal Proceedings

The Company and certain subsidiaries are subject to various pending or threatened legal proceedings arising out of the normal course of business or operations. Although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, the Company, together with its subsidiaries, believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims presently asserted against the Company, including the matters described below. With respect to such legal proceedings, the Company intends to continue to defend itself vigorously, litigating or settling cases according to management's judgment as to the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

On at least a quarterly basis, the Company assesses the liabilities and loss contingencies in connection with pending or threatened legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. The Company establishes accruals for legal claims and regulatory matters when the Company believes it is probable that a loss may be incurred and that the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. Once established, litigation accruals are adjusted from time to time, as appropriate, in light of additional information.

Resolution of legal claims are inherently dependent on the specific facts and circumstances of each specific case, and therefore the actual costs of resolving these claims may be substantially higher or lower than the amounts accrued. Based on current knowledge, and after consultation with legal counsel, management believes that current accruals are adequate and the amount of any incremental liability that may otherwise arise is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

consolidated financial condition or results of operations. Certain legal claims considered by the Company in its analysis of the sufficiency of its related accruals include the following.

#### DOJ litigation settlement

In February 2012, the Company announced that the Bank had entered into the DOJ Agreement for \$133.0 million relating to certain underwriting practices associated with loans insured by FHA. Pursuant to the DOJ Agreement, the Bank agreed to:

- Comply with all applicable HUD and FHA rules related to the continued participation in the direct endorsement lender program;
- Make an initial payment of \$15.0 million within 30 business days of the effective date of the DOJ Agreement (which was paid on April 3, 2012);
- Make the Additional Payments of approximately \$118.0 million contingent only upon the occurrence of certain future events (as further described below); and
- Complete a monitoring period by an independent third party chosen by the Bank and approved by HUD.

Subject to the Bank's full compliance with the terms of the DOJ Agreement, the DOJ, HUD, and FHA, agreed to:

- Immediately release the Bank and all of the current or former officers, directors, employees, affiliates and assigns from any civil or administrative claim it has or may have under various federal laws, the common law or equitable theories of fraud or mistake of fact in connection with the mortgage loans the Bank endorsed for FHA insurance during the period January 1, 2002 to the date of the DOJ Agreement (the "Covered Period");
- Not refuse to pay any insurance claim or seek indemnification or other relief in connection with the mortgage loans the Bank endorsed for FHA insurance during the Covered Period but for which no claims have yet been paid on the basis of the conduct alleged in the complaint or referenced in the DOJ Agreement; and
- Not seek indemnification or other relief in connection with the mortgage loans the Bank endorsed for FHA insurance during the Covered Period and for which HUD has paid insurance claims on the basis of the conduct alleged in the complaint or referenced in the DOJ Agreement.

The Company elected the fair value option to account for the liability representing the obligation to make Additional Payments under the DOJ Agreement. As of December 31, 2013, the Bank has accrued \$93.0 million, which represents the fair value of the Additional Payments. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein, for further information on the fair value of the DOJ litigation settlement. Other than as set forth above, the DOJ Agreement does not have any effect on FHA insured loans in the Company's portfolio, including loans classified as loans repurchased with government guarantees as discussed in Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein. The Company believes that such loans retain FHA insurance, and the Company continues to process such loans for insurance claims in the normal course and to receive payments thereon from the FHA. Based on the experience subsequent to the Bank's agreement with the DOJ, the Company believes that such claims are not subject to denial or dispute other than in the normal course of processing insurance claims.

#### Mortgage-Related Litigation, Regulatory and Other Matters

##### Regulatory Matters

From time to time, governmental agencies conduct investigations or examinations of various mortgage related practices of the Bank. Ongoing investigations relate to whether the Bank has properly complied with laws or regulations relating to mortgage origination or servicing practices and to whether its practices with regard to servicing residential first mortgage loans are adequate. The Bank is cooperating with such agencies and providing information as requested. In addition, the Bank has routinely been named in civil actions throughout the country by borrowers and

former borrowers relating to the origination, purchase, sale and servicing of mortgage loans.

**Repurchase Demands and Indemnification Claims**

In the normal course of its operations, the Bank receives repurchase and indemnification demands from counterparties involved with the purchase of residential first mortgages for alleged breaches of representations and warranties. The Bank establishes a representation and warranty reserve in connection with the estimated potential liability for such potential demands.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

In 2009 and 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from Assured with respect to HELOCs that were sold by the Bank in connection with the HELOC securitizations. Assured is the note insurer of the HELOC securitizations. In April 2011, Assured filed a lawsuit against the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging a breach of various loan level representations and warranties and seeking relief for breach of contract, as well as full indemnification and reimbursement of amounts that it had paid under the insurance policies, plus interest and costs. Assured sought \$111.0 million in damages. In March 2012, the Court dismissed Assured's claims for indemnification and reimbursement, but allowed the case to proceed on the breach of contract claims related to the Bank's repurchase obligations. The Court issued a memorandum opinion in September 2012, supporting and explaining the Court's March decision. In February 2013, following a bench trial, the Court issued a decision in favor of Assured and awarded it \$89.2 million, plus contractual interest and attorneys' fees and costs. On April 1, 2013, the Court issued a final judgment against the Company for a total of \$106.5 million, consisting of \$90.7 million in damages plus \$15.9 million in pre-judgment interest. The Bank filed a notice of appeal later that month. The Court subsequently issued a memorandum order, in which the Court reversed the decision regarding the amount of attorneys' fees to which Assured is entitled until after the appeals process concludes. On June 21, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with Assured to settle this lawsuit and the Bank's pending appeal. Pursuant to the terms of the Assured Settlement Agreement, Assured's judgment against the Bank has been deemed fully satisfied, the Bank's appeal has been dismissed, and, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank has paid Assured \$105.0 million during the second quarter 2013. In addition, the Bank has assumed responsibility for future payments due by Assured to noteholders in the HELOC securitization trust, and will receive future reimbursements for claims paid to which Assured would otherwise have been entitled. As a result, the Bank recorded a \$49.1 million gain during the second quarter 2013, arising from the reconsolidation of the net fair value of the assets and liabilities in the HELOC securitization trusts on the Consolidated Financial Statements and the reversal of related reserves for pending and threatened litigation.

Also in May 2010, the Bank received repurchase demands from MBIA with respect to closed-end, fixed and adjustable second mortgage loans that were sold by the Bank in connection with its non-agency second mortgage loan securitizations. MBIA is the note insurer of each of the two second mortgage loan securitizations. On January 11, 2013, MBIA filed a complaint against the Bank in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging a breach of various loan level representations and warranties and seeking relief for breach of contract, as well as full indemnification and reimbursement of amounts that it has paid and will pay under the respective insurance policies, plus interest and costs. MBIA alleged damages to date of \$165.0 million and unspecified future damages. In March 2013, the Bank filed a motion to dismiss, and MBIA filed a motion for partial summary judgment on the basis of collateral estoppel. On May 2, 2013, the Bank entered into an agreement with MBIA to settle the lawsuit. Pursuant to the terms of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, MBIA has dismissed the lawsuit against the Bank and in exchange, among other consideration and transaction provisions, the Bank has paid MBIA \$110.0 million. As a result of the MBIA Settlement Agreement, the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization, which was recorded as available-for-sale investment securities, was collapsed and the Company then transferred the loans associated with the securitization to its loans held-for-investment portfolio at fair value and dissolved the FSTAR 2006-1 mortgage securitization trust. As a result, the Company recognized a \$4.9 million loss during the second quarter 2013.

#### Other Matters

In May 2012, the Bank and its subsidiary, Flagstar Reinsurance Company, were named as defendants in a putative class action lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, alleging a violation of Section 2607 of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA"). Section 2607(a) of RESPA generally prohibits anyone from "accept[ing] any fee, kickback or thing of value pursuant to any agreement or understanding, oral or otherwise, that business related incident to or part of a real estate settlement service involving a federally related mortgage loan shall be referred to any person." Section 2607(b) of RESPA also prohibits anyone from "accept[ing]

any portion, split, or percentage of any charge made or received for the rendering of a real estate settlement service in connection with a federally related mortgage loan other than for services actually performed." The lawsuit specifically alleges that the Bank and Flagstar Reinsurance Company violated Section 2607 of RESPA through a captive reinsurance arrangement involving (i) allegedly illegal payments to Flagstar Reinsurance Company for the referral of private mortgage insurance business from the Bank to private mortgage insurers to Flagstar Reinsurance Company and (ii) Flagstar Reinsurance Company's purported receipt of an unlawful split of private mortgage insurance premiums. On January 13, 2014, the Bank and Flagstar Reinsurance filed a motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint based upon the statute of limitations and equitable tolling.

On August 15, 2013, shareholder Kenneth Taylor filed a derivative action in the Circuit Court of Oakland County, Michigan against several current and former members of the Company's Board of Directors and executive officers, including Joseph Campanelli, Michael Tierney, Paul Borja, Todd McGowan, Daniel Landers, Matthew Kerin, Walter Carter, Gregory Eng, Jay Hansen, David Matlin, James Oviden, Mark Patterson, Michael Shonka, and David Treadwell. The lawsuit requests unspecified monetary damages and purports to seek to remedy defendants' alleged breaches of fiduciary duties and unjust

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

enrichment from 2011 to present, focusing on the events leading up to the Company's February 24, 2012 settlement with the U.S. Department of Justice, as well as the settlement itself. Defendants' Answer or responsive pleading is currently due on November 27, 2013. On October 23, 2013, Joel Rosenfeld filed a second derivative action in the same court alleging similar claims against the same defendants based on the February 24, 2012 settlement, as well as Flagstar's prior litigation with Assured Guaranty. The Court consolidated the matters and appointed Rosenfeld as lead plaintiff and Rosenfeld's counsel and lead plaintiffs' counsel. The plaintiffs then filed a consolidated complaint. The litigation has been stayed until March 21, 2014, to allow the parties to facilitate.

#### Litigation Accruals and Other Possible Contingent Liabilities

When establishing an accrual for contingent liabilities, the Company determines a range of potential losses for each matter that is probable to result in a loss and where the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company then records the amount it considers to be the best estimate within the range. As of December 31, 2013, the Company's total accrual for contingent liabilities was \$95.2 million, which includes the accruals for the DOJ Agreement and pending cases. There may be further losses that could arise, the occurrence of which is not probable (but is reasonably possible), or the amount of which is not reasonably estimable; in either case, such losses are not included in the accrual for contingent liabilities. It is possible that the ultimate resolution of those matters, or one or more other unexpected future developments, could result in a loss or losses that, individually or in the aggregate, may be material to the Company's results of operations, or cash flows, for the relevant period(s).

#### Contingencies and Commitments

A summary of the contractual amount of significant commitments is as follows.

|   | December 31,           |             |
|---|------------------------|-------------|
|   | 2013                   | 2012        |
|   | (Dollars in thousands) |             |
| Commitments to extend credit                    |                        |             |
| Mortgage loans (interest-rate lock commitments) | \$1,857,775            | \$5,149,891 |
| HELOC trust commitments                         | 67,060                 | 53,276      |
| Other consumer commitments                      | 7,430                  | 7,042       |
| Standby and commercial letters of credit        | 7,982                  | 66,005      |
| Other commercial commitments                    | 296,713                | 414,479     |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend. Since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements. The Company sold \$63.0 million of Northeast-based commercial letters of credit, during the first quarter 2013, related to the sale of commercial loans associated with the CIT Agreement.

The Company enters into forward contracts for the future delivery or purchase of agency and loan sale contracts. These contracts are considered to be derivative instruments under U.S. GAAP. Changes to the fair value of these forward loan sales as a result of changes in interest rates are recorded on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as an other asset. Further discussion on derivative instruments is included in Note 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

The Company has unfunded commitments under its contractual arrangement with the HELOC securitization trusts to fund future advances on the underlying HELOC. Refer to further discussion of this issue as presented in Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party, while commercial letters of credit are issued specifically to facilitate commerce and typically result in the commitment being drawn on when the underlying transaction is consummated between the customer and the third party.

For information regarding the representation and warranty reserve, see Note 19 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Note 29 — Segment Information

The Company's operations are conducted through three operating segments: Mortgage Banking, Community Banking and Other, which includes the remaining reported activities. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise that engage in business activity from which revenues are earned and expenses incurred for which discrete financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by executive management in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The operating segments have been determined based on the products and services offered and reflect the manner in which financial information is currently evaluated by management. Each segment operates under the same banking charter, but is reported on a segmented basis for this report. Each of the operating segments is complementary to each other and because of the interrelationships of the segments, the information presented is not indicative of how the segments would perform if they operated as independent entities. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

The segments are based on an internally-aligned segment leadership structure, which is how the results are monitored and performance assessed. The three operating segments are organized in a combination of the business model and the services provide a competitive advantage that supports revenue and earnings. The business model emphasizes the delivery of a complete set of mortgage and banking products and services, and is distinguished by local delivery, customer service and product pricing.

Revenues are comprised of net interest income (before the provision for loan losses) and noninterest income. Noninterest expenses are fully allocated to each operating segment. Allocation methodologies are subject to periodic adjustment as the internal management accounting system is revised and the business or product lines within the segments change. Also, because the development and application of these methodologies is a dynamic process, the financial results presented may be periodically revised.

The Mortgage Banking segment originates, acquires, sells and services one-to-four family residential first mortgage loans. The origination and acquisition of mortgage loans comprises the majority of the lending activity. Mortgage loans are originated through home loan centers, national call centers, the Internet and unaffiliated banks and mortgage banking and brokerage companies, where the net interest income and the gains from sales associated with these loans are recognized in the Mortgage Banking segment. Also, the Mortgage Banking segment service mortgage loans for others and sells MSRs into the secondary market.

The Community Banking segment originates loans, provides deposits and fee based services to consumer, business and mortgage lending customers through its Branch Banking, Business and Commercial Banking, Government Banking, and Warehouse Lending groups. Products offered through these teams include checking accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts, certificates of deposit, investment and insurance services consumer loans, commercial loans and warehouse lines of credit. Other financial services available to consumer and commercial customers include lines of credit, revolving credit, customized treasury management solutions, equipment leasing, inventory and accounts receivable lending and capital markets services such as interest rate risk protection products.

The Other segment includes the funding revenue associated with stockholders' equity, the impact of interest rate risk management, the impact of balance sheet funding activities, changes or credits of an unusual or infrequent nature that are not reflective of the normal operations of the operating segments and miscellaneous other expenses of a corporate nature. In addition, the Other segment includes revenue and expenses related to treasury and corporate assets, liabilities and equity not directly assigned or allocated to the Community Banking or Mortgage Banking operating segments.



Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following tables present financial information by business segment for the periods indicated.

|  | Year Ended December 31, 2013 |                      |               |              |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|
|  | Mortgage<br>Banking          | Community<br>Banking | Other         | Total        |
| Summary of Operations                                    | (Dollars in thousands)       |                      |               |              |
| Net interest income (loss)                               | \$ 158,232                   | \$ 108,391           | \$ (79,972)   | ) \$ 186,651 |
| Net gain on loan sales                                   | 401,736                      | 457                  | —             | 402,193      |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | (36,116)                     | ) —                  | —             | (36,116)     |
| Other noninterest income                                 | 199,004                      | 38,252               | 49,010        | 286,266      |
| Total net interest income (loss) and noninterest income  | 722,856                      | 147,100              | (30,962)      | ) 838,994    |
| Provision for loan losses                                | (50,894)                     | ) (19,248)           | ) —           | (70,142)     |
| Asset resolution   | (55,701)                     | ) 3,660              | 8             | (52,033)     |
| Depreciation and amortization expense                    | (7,129)                      | ) (4,036)            | ) (12,064)    | ) (23,229)   |
| Other noninterest expense                                | (477,836)                    | ) (172,452)          | ) (192,565)   | ) (842,853)  |
| Total noninterest expense                                | (540,666)                    | ) (172,828)          | ) (204,621)   | ) (918,115)  |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes                | 131,296                      | (44,976)             | ) (235,583)   | ) (149,263)  |
| Benefit for federal income taxes                         | —                            | —                    | 416,250       | 416,250      |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ 131,296                   | \$ (44,976)          | ) \$ 180,667  | \$ 266,987   |
| Average balances   |                              |                      |               |              |
| Loans held-for-sale                                      | \$ 2,334,157                 | \$ 164,736           | \$ —          | \$ 2,498,893 |
| Loans held-for-investment                                | 3,046,123                    | 1,195,993            | 86,061        | 4,328,177    |
| Total assets   | 7,882,592                    | 1,602,612            | 3,069,712     | 12,554,916   |
| Interest-bearing deposits                                | —                            | 6,168,679            | 7,185         | 6,175,864    |
|  | Year Ended December 31, 2012 |                      |               |              |
|  | Mortgage<br>Banking          | Community<br>Banking | Other         | Total        |
| Summary of Operations                                    | (Dollars in thousands)       |                      |               |              |
| Net interest income (loss)                               | \$ 195,312                   | \$ 153,197           | \$ (51,278)   | ) \$ 297,231 |
| Net gain on loan sales                                   | 990,175                      | 723                  | —             | 990,898      |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | (256,289)                    | ) —                  | —             | (256,289)    |
| Other noninterest income                                 | 225,835                      | 42,857               | 17,941        | 286,633      |
| Total net interest income (loss) and noninterest income  | 1,155,033                    | 196,777              | (33,337)      | ) 1,318,473  |
| Provision for loan losses                                | (236,039)                    | ) (40,008)           | ) —           | (276,047)    |
| Asset resolution   | (84,363)                     | ) (6,955)            | ) (31)        | (91,349)     |
| Depreciation and amortization expense                    | (6,258)                      | ) (3,759)            | ) (10,189)    | ) (20,206)   |
| Other noninterest expense                                | (644,746)                    | ) (193,388)          | ) (40,006)    | ) (878,140)  |
| Total noninterest expense                                | (735,367)                    | ) (204,102)          | ) (50,226)    | ) (989,695)  |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes                | 183,627                      | (47,333)             | ) (83,563)    | ) 52,731     |
| Provision for federal income taxes                       | —                            | —                    | 15,645        | 15,645       |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ 183,627                   | \$ (47,333)          | ) \$ (67,918) | ) \$ 68,376  |
| Average balances   |                              |                      |               |              |
| Loans held-for-sale                                      | \$ 3,076,155                 | \$ 2,535             | \$ —          | \$ 3,078,690 |
| Loans held-for-investment                                | 3,560,560                    | 2,951,143            | 8,357         | 6,520,060    |
| Total assets   | 9,616,825                    | 3,076,297            | 2,033,648     | 14,726,770   |
| Interest-bearing deposits                                | —                            | 6,606,247            | 233,083       | 6,839,330    |



Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

|  | Year Ended December 31, 2011 |                      |               | Total          |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|
|  | Mortgage<br>Banking          | Community<br>Banking | Other         |                |
| Summary of Operations                                    | (Dollars in thousands)       |                      |               |                |
| Net interest income (loss)                               | \$ 125,821                   | \$ 125,368           | \$ (5,816)    | ) \$ 245,373   |
| Net gain on loan sales                                   | 300,268                      | 521                  | —             | 300,789        |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate | (150,055)                    | ) —                  | —             | (150,055)      |
| Other noninterest income                                 | 186,708                      | 42,080               | 5,994         | 234,782        |
| Total net interest income (loss) and noninterest income  | 462,742                      | 167,969              | 178           | 630,889        |
| Provision for loan losses                                | (114,610)                    | ) (62,321)           | ) —           | (176,931)      |
| Asset resolution   | (113,857)                    | ) (14,229)           | ) (227)       | (128,313)      |
| Depreciation and amortization expense                    | (1,458)                      | ) (6,251)            | ) (8,170)     | (15,879)       |
| Other noninterest expense                                | (264,152)                    | ) (186,037)          | ) (40,299)    | (490,488)      |
| Total noninterest expense                                | (379,467)                    | ) (206,517)          | ) (48,696)    | (634,680)      |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes                | (31,335)                     | ) (100,869)          | ) (48,518)    | (180,722)      |
| Provision for federal income taxes                       | —                            | —                    | (1,056)       | (1,056)        |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ (31,335)                  | ) \$ (100,869)       | ) \$ (49,574) | ) \$ (181,778) |
| Average balances   |                              |                      |               |                |
| Loans held-for-sale                                      | \$ 1,928,339                 | \$ —                 | \$ —          | \$ 1,928,339   |
| Loans held-for-investment                                | 4,158,032                    | 2,031,748            | 13,913        | 6,203,693      |
| Total assets   | 8,953,593                    | 2,194,841            | 2,200,160     | 13,348,594     |
| Interest-bearing deposits                                | —                            | 6,109,708            | 551,696       | 6,661,404      |

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Note 30 — Holding Company Only Financial Statements

The following are unconsolidated financial statements for the Company. These condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Condensed Unconsolidated Statements of Financial Condition  
(Dollars in thousands)

|  | December 31, |              |
|--|--------------|--------------|
|  | 2013         | 2012         |
| Assets                                     |              |              |
| Cash and cash equivalents                  | \$49,628     | \$56,552     |
| Investment in subsidiaries (1)             | 1,618,207    | 1,374,201    |
| Other assets                               | 40,618       | 5,005        |
| Total assets                               | \$ 1,708,453 | \$ 1,435,758 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity       |              |              |
| Liabilities                                |              |              |
| Long term debt                             | \$247,435    | \$247,435    |
| Total interest paying liabilities          | 247,435      | 247,435      |
| Other liabilities                          | 35,144       | 28,961       |
| Total liabilities                          | 282,579      | 276,396      |
| Stockholders' Equity                       |              |              |
| Preferred Stock                            | 266,174      | 260,390      |
| Common stock                               | 561          | 559          |
| Additional paid in capital                 | 1,479,265    | 1,476,569    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss       | (4,831       | ) (1,658     |
| Accumulated deficit                        | (315,295     | ) (576,498   |
| Total stockholders' equity                 | 1,425,874    | 1,159,362    |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,708,453 | \$ 1,435,758 |

(1) Includes unconsolidated trusts.

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Condensed Unconsolidated Statements of Operations  
(Dollars in thousands)

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |           |            |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012 (1)  | 2011 (1)   |
| Income   |                                  |           |            |
| Interest   | \$277                            | \$482     | \$247      |
| Total  | 277                              | 482       | 247        |
| Expenses   |                                  |           |            |
| Interest   | 6,620                            | 6,894     | 6,446      |
| General and administrative                                       | 9,108                            | 20,619    | 4,097      |
| Total  | 15,728                           | 27,513    | 10,543     |
| Income (loss) earnings before undistributed loss of subsidiaries | (15,452                          | ) (27,031 | ) (10,296  |
| Equity in undistributed income (loss) of subsidiaries            | 246,723                          | 95,390    | (171,482   |
| Income (loss) before federal income taxes                        | 231,271                          | 68,359    | (181,778   |
| Benefit for federal income taxes                                 | 35,716                           | 17        | —          |
| Net income (loss)  | 266,987                          | 68,376    | (181,778   |
| Preferred stock dividends/accretion                              | (5,784                           | ) (5,658  | ) (17,165  |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common stock                     | 261,203                          | 62,718    | (198,943   |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) (2)                            | (3,173                           | ) 6,161   | 8,346      |
| Comprehensive income (loss)                                      | \$258,030                        | \$68,879  | \$(190,597 |

(1) Certain amounts within the financial statements have been restated to conform to current presentation

(2) See Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for other comprehensive income (loss) detail.





Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.  
Condensed Unconsolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(Dollars in thousands)

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |           |             |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
|  | 2013                             | 2012      | 2011        |
| Net income (loss)  | \$266,987                        | \$68,376  | \$(181,778) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities |                                  |           |             |
| Equity in (income) losses of subsidiaries                                      | (246,723)                        | ) (95,390 | ) 171,482   |
| Stock-based compensation   | 2,698                            | 5,109     | 5,113       |
| Change in other assets   | (35,613)                         | ) (2,590  | ) (2,344)   |
| Provision for deferred tax benefit   | 5                                | 2,567     | 18          |
| Change in other liabilities  | 6,178                            | 18,538    | (4,512)     |
| Net cash used in operating activities  | (6,468)                          | ) (3,390  | ) (12,021)  |
| Investing Activities   |                                  |           |             |
| Net change in investment in subsidiaries                                       | (456)                            | ) (5,145  | ) (73,113)  |
| Net cash provided (used) in investment activities                              | (456)                            | ) (5,145  | ) (73,113)  |
| Financing Activities   |                                  |           |             |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock  | —                                | —         | (11,628)    |
| Net cash provided financing activities   | —                                | —         | (11,628)    |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents                                      | (6,924)                          | ) (8,535  | ) (96,762)  |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year                                   | 56,552                           | 65,087    | 161,849     |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year   | \$49,628                         | \$56,552  | \$65,087    |

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

## Note 31 — Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table represents summarized data for each of the quarters in 2013, 2012 and 2011 certain per share results have been adjusted to conform to the current presentation. During the fourth quarter 2013, the Company had a full reversal of the federal DTA valuation allowance and a partial reversal of the state DTA valuation allowance, loss on extinguishment of debt of from the prepayment of long-term fixed-rate Federal Home Loan Bank advances, an incremental non-interest expense related to the estimated fair value liability associated DOJ litigation and a benefit associated with the settlement agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. For more information on the significant items that occurred during the fourth quarter 2013, see Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

|  | 2013  |                   |                  |                   |
|--|---|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|  | First<br>Quarter                              | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter |
|  | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |                   |                  |                   |
| Interest income  | \$94,990                                      | \$85,058          | \$78,807         | \$71,833          |
| Interest expense   | 39,321  | 37,962            | 36,122           | 30,630            |
| Net interest income  | 55,669  | 47,096            | 42,685           | 41,203            |
| Provision for loan losses                                  | 20,415  | 31,563            | 4,053            | 14,112            |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses        | 35,254  | 15,533            | 38,632           | 27,091            |
| Loan administration income                                 | 20,356  | 36,157            | 30,434           | 28,924            |
| Net gain on loan sales                                     | 137,540                                       | 144,791           | 75,073           | 44,790            |
| Net transaction cost on sales of mortgage servicing rights | (4,219)                                       | (4,264)           | (1,763)          | (8,981)           |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate   | (17,395)                                      | (28,940)          | (5,205)          | 15,424            |
| Other noninterest income                                   | 48,661  | 72,215            | 35,757           | 32,989            |
| Noninterest expense  | (196,590)                                     | (174,397)         | (158,436)        | (388,693)         |
| Income before federal income tax provision                 | 23,607  | 61,095            | 14,492           | (248,456)         |
| (Benefit) provision for federal income taxes               | —   | (6,108)           | 220              | (410,362)         |
| Net income   | 23,607  | 67,203            | 14,272           | 161,906           |
| Preferred stock dividends/accretion                        | (1,438)                                       | (1,449)           | (1,449)          | (1,449)           |
| Net income applicable to common stock                      | \$22,169                                      | \$65,754          | \$12,823         | \$160,457         |
| Basic income per share                                     | \$0.33  | \$1.11            | \$0.16           | \$2.79            |
| Diluted income per share                                   | \$0.33  | \$1.10            | \$0.16           | \$2.77            |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

|   | 2012  |                   |                  |                   |
|---|---|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|   | First<br>Quarter                              | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter |
|   | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |                   |                  |                   |
| Interest income   | \$122,891                                     | \$122,923         | \$119,742        | \$115,415         |
| Interest expense  | 48,158  | 47,445            | 46,663           | 41,474            |
| Net interest income   | 74,733  | 75,478            | 73,079           | 73,941            |
| Provision for loan losses                                     | 114,673                                       | 58,428            | 52,595           | 50,351            |
| Net interest (expense) income after provision for loan losses | (39,940)                                      | ) 17,050          | 20,484           | 23,590            |
| Loan administration income                                    | 38,885  | 25,012            | 11,099           | 25,010            |
| Net gain on loan sales  | 204,853                                       | 212,666           | 334,427          | 238,953           |
| Net transaction cost on sales of mortgage servicing rights    | (2,317)                                       | ) (983)           | ) (1,332)        | ) (7,687)         |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate      | (60,538)                                      | ) (46,028)        | ) (124,492)      | ) (25,231)        |
| Other noninterest income                                      | 40,494  | 49,667            | 54,035           | 54,750            |
| Noninterest expense   | (188,746)                                     | ) (169,497)       | ) (233,491)      | ) (397,962)       |
| (Loss) income before federal income tax provision             | (7,309)                                       | ) 87,887          | 60,730           | (88,577)          |
| Provision (benefit) for federal income taxes                  | —   | 500               | (20,380)         | ) 4,235           |
| Net (loss) income   | (7,309)                                       | ) 87,387          | 81,110           | (92,812)          |
| Preferred stock dividends/accretion                           | (1,407)                                       | ) (1,417)         | ) (1,417)        | ) (1,417)         |
| Net (loss) income available to common stockholders            | \$(8,716)                                     | ) \$85,970        | \$79,693         | \$(94,229)        |
| Basic (loss) income per share                                 | \$(0.22)                                      | ) \$1.48          | \$1.37           | \$(1.75)          |
| Diluted (loss) income per share                               | \$(0.22)                                      | ) \$1.47          | \$1.36           | \$(1.75)          |
|   | 2011  |                   |                  |                   |
|   | First<br>Quarter                              | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter |
|   | (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |                   |                  |                   |
| Interest income   | \$111,180                                     | \$108,061         | \$120,025        | \$126,145         |
| Interest expense  | 58,607  | 56,737            | 54,411           | 50,282            |
| Net interest income   | 52,573  | 51,324            | 65,614           | 75,863            |
| Provision for loan losses                                     | 28,309  | 48,384            | 36,690           | 63,548            |
| Net interest expense after provision for loan losses          | 24,264  | 2,940             | 28,924           | 12,315            |
| Loan administration income (loss)                             | 39,336  | 30,450            | (3,478)          | ) 28,295          |
| Net gain on loan sales  | 50,184  | 39,827            | 103,858          | 106,919           |
| Net transaction cost on sales of mortgage servicing rights    | (112)   | ) (2,381)         | ) (2,587)        | ) (2,823)         |
| Representation and warranty reserve - change in estimate      | (20,427)                                      | ) (21,364)        | ) (38,985)       | ) (69,279)        |
| Other noninterest income                                      | 27,285  | 11,546            | 53,743           | 55,509            |
| Noninterest expense   | (147,230)                                     | ) (130,922)       | ) (150,691)      | ) (205,837)       |
| Loss before federal income tax provision                      | (26,700)                                      | ) (69,904)        | ) (9,216)        | ) (74,901)        |
| Provision for federal income taxes                            | 264   | 264               | 264              | 264               |
| Net loss  | (26,964)                                      | ) (70,168)        | ) (9,480)        | ) (75,165)        |
| Preferred stock dividends/accretion                           | (4,710)                                       | ) (4,720)         | ) (4,719)        | ) (3,016)         |
| Net loss available to common stockholders                     | \$(31,674)                                    | ) \$(74,888)      | ) \$(14,199)     | ) \$(78,181)      |
| Basic loss per share  | \$(0.57)                                      | ) \$(1.35)        | ) \$(0.26)       | ) \$(1.41)        |
| Diluted loss per share  | \$(0.57)                                      | ) \$(1.35)        | ) \$(0.26)       | ) \$(1.41)        |



ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended (the Exchange Act), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is: (a) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms; and (b) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, we recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and implemented, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and that our management's duties require it to make its best judgment regarding the design of our disclosure controls and procedures.

As of December 31, 2013, our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2013.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management, under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that:

- (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with existing policies or procedures may deteriorate.

With the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, our management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the

framework and criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Based on this assessment, as of December 31, 2013 and based on the specific criteria, we assert that we have maintained effective internal control over financial reporting, involving the preparation and reporting of our Consolidated Financial Statements presented in uniformity with U.S. GAAP.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, has been audited by Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

217

---

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, such internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

218

---

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Except as set forth below, the information required by this Item 10 will be contained in our 2013 Proxy Statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders ("2013 Proxy Statement") to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of our 2013 fiscal year. In particular, the information required by this Item 10 will be contained in the 2013 Proxy Statement under the headings "Election of Directors," "Executive Officers," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," and "Corporate Governance," and is hereby incorporated by reference.

Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, our Corporate Governance Guidelines and charters for our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nominating Corporate Governance Committee and copies are available at [www.flagstar.com](http://www.flagstar.com) or upon written request for stockholders to Flagstar Bancorp, Inc., Attn: Paul Borja, CFO, 5151 Corporate Drive, Troy, MI 48098.

None of the information currently posted, or posted in the future, on our website is incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item 11 will be contained in our 2013 Proxy Statement under the headings "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Executive Compensation," "Election of Directors - Compensation Committee," "Corporate Governance - Independence" and "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation," and is hereby incorporated by reference, provided that the Compensation Committee Report shall be deemed to be furnished and not filed.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item 12 will be contained in our 2013 Proxy Statement under the headings "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners," "Security Ownership of Management" and "Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans," and is hereby incorporated by reference. Reference is also made to the information appearing in "Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters - Equity Compensation Plan Information" under Item 5 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item 13 will be contained in our 2013 Proxy Statement under the headings "Certain Transactions and Business Relationships" and "Corporate Governance - Independence," and is hereby incorporated by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item 14 will be contained in our 2013 Proxy Statement under the heading "Audit Committee Report - Fees of Independent Registered Public Accountants" and is hereby incorporated by reference.





PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) and (2) — Financial Statements and Schedules

The information required by these sections of Item 15 are set forth in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

(3) — Exhibits

The following documents are filed as a part of, or incorporated by reference into, this report:

| Exhibit No. | Description  |
|-------------|--|
| 3.1*        | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated October 30, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 3.2*        | Certificate of Designation of Mandatory Convertible Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of May 20, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).                                     |
| 3.3*        | Certificate of Designation of Convertible Participating Voting Preferred Stock, Series B of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 3.4*        | Certificate of Designation of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 3.6*        | Certificate of Designations for the Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to the Company's Form 8-A, filed with the Commission on October 28, 2010).  |
| 3.7*        | Sixth Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.1*+      | Employment Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2007, between the Company, Flagstar Bank, FSB, and Paul D. Borja as amended effective December 31, 2008 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated as of March 13, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference). |
| 10.2*+      | Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. 1997 Employees and Directors Stock Option Plan as amended (previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement (No. 333-125513), dated June 3, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.3*+      | Flagstar Bank 401(k) Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement (No. 333-77501), dated April 30, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.4*+      | Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8 K, dated May 18, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.5*       | Form of Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2008, between the Company and the purchasers named therein (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of May 16, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).   |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

10.6\* Form of First Amendment to Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2008, between the Company and the purchasers named therein (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of December 17, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.7\* Form of Warrant (previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of December 17, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).

220

---

| Exhibit No. | Description  |
|-------------|--|
| 10.8*       | Investment Agreement, dated as of December 17, 2008, between the Company and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of December 19, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.9*       | Form of Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2009, between the Company and certain management investors (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.10*      | Closing Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2009, between the Company and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.11*      | Letter Agreement, including the Securities Purchase Agreement-Standard Terms incorporated therein, dated as of January 30, 2009, between the Company and the United States Department of the Treasury (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.12*      | Warrant to purchase up to 64,513,790 shares of the Company's common stock (previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.13*+     | Form of Waiver, executed on January 30, 2009, by each of Thomas J. Hammond, Mark T. Hammond, Paul D. Borja, Kirstin A. Hammond, Robert O. Rondeau, and Matthew I. Roslin (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.14*+     | Form of Agreement Relating to Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.'s Participation in the Department of the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program, executed on January 30, 2009 by Thomas J. Hammond, Mark T. Hammond, Paul D. Borja, Kirstin A. Hammond, Robert O. Rondeau, and Matthew I. Roslin (previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference). |
| 10.15*      | Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 17, 2009, between the Company and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 19, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.16*      | Second Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 27, 2009, between the Company and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 27, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.17*      | Capital Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2009, by and between the Company, Flagstar Statutory Trust XI, a Delaware statutory trust and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of July 1, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.18*+     | Form of Stock Award Agreement to be entered into by certain executive officers of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 28, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.19*+     | Employment Agreement, entered into December 4, 2009, by and between the Company and Matthew A. Kerin (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of December 8, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.20*      |  |

Supervisory Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2010, by and between the Company and the Federal Reserve (as successor to the OTS) (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of January 28, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.21\*

Stipulation and Order of Settlement and Dismissal, dated February 24, 2012, by and among the Company, the Bank and the United States of America (previously filed as Exhibit 10.29 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated as of March 20, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.22\*+

Form of TARP Restricted Stock Award Agreement to be entered into by and between the Company and certain executive officers of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 10.33 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of May 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.23\*+

Employment Agreement, dated as of October 1, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Michael J. Tierney (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 3, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

| Exhibit No. | Description   |
|-------------|---|
| 10.24*      | Stipulation to the Issuance of a Consent Order, effective as of October 23, 2012, by and between the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and Flagstar Bank, FSB (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 24, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference). |
| 10.25*      | Consent Order, dated October 23, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 24, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.26*      | Transaction Purchase and Sale Agreement, effective as of December 31, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and CIT Bank (previously filed as Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 5, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.27*+     | Consulting Agreement, dated as of December 21, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and John Lewis (previously filed as Exhibit 10.35 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated as of March 5, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.28*+     | Retention Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and Steven P. Issa (previously filed as Exhibit 10.38 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.29 *+    | Offer Letter, dated February 3, 2011, executed by Joseph P. Campanelli and accepted by Daniel Landerd (previously filed as Exhibit 10.39 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.30*+     | Retention Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and Daniel Landers (previously filed as Exhibit 10.40 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.31*+     | Retention Agreement, dated as of February 21, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and Salvatore A. Rinaldi (previously filed as Exhibit 10.41 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.32*+     | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated May 16, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Michael J. Tierney (previously filed as Exhibit 10.42 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.33*+     | Employment Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Alessandro P. DiNello (previously filed as Exhibit 10.43 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.34*+     | Employment Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Lee M. Smith (previously filed as Exhibit 10.44 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.35*+     | Letter Agreement, dated January 24, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and Steven J. Issa (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 9, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 11          | Statement regarding computation of per share earnings incorporated by reference to Note 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.   |
| 12          |   |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Statement of Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends.

14\* Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (previously filed as Exhibit 14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 16, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference)

18\* Letter re Change in Accounting Principles (previously filed as Exhibit 18 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of May 18, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).

21 List of Subsidiaries of the Company.

23 Consent of Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP

222

---

| Exhibit No. | Description   |
|-------------|---|
| 31.1        | Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer  |
| 31.2        | Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer  |
| 32.1        | Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer  |
| 32.2        | Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer  |
| 99.1        | Certification of Principal Executive Officer of the Company (Section 111(b)(4) of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, as amended).  |
| 99.2        | Certification of Principal Financial Officer of the Company (Section 111(b)(4) of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, as amended).  |
| 101         | Financial statements from Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2012, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. |

\* Incorporated herein by reference

+ Constitutes a management contract or compensation plan or arrangement

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. will furnish to any stockholder a copy of any of the exhibits listed above upon written request and upon payment of a specified reasonable fee, which fee shall be equal to the Company's reasonable expenses in furnishing the exhibit to the stockholder. Requests for exhibits and information regarding the applicable fee should be directed to "Michael C. Flynn, General Counsel" at the address of the principal executive offices set forth on the cover of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(b) — Exhibits. See Item 15(a)(3) above.

(c) — Financial Statement Schedules. See Item 15(a)(2) above.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 5, 2014.

FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC.

By: /s/ Alessandro DiNello  
Alessandro DiNello  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 5, 2014.

|     | SIGNATURE                                    | TITLE   |
|-----|--|---|
| By: | /S/ ALESSANDRO DINELLO<br>Alessandro DiNello | President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)                               |
| By: | /S/ PAUL D. BORJA<br>Paul D. Borja           | Executive Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) |
| By: | /S/ JOHN D. LEWIS<br>John D. Lewis           | Chairman  |
| By: | /S/ DAVID J. MATLIN<br>David J. Matlin       | Director  |
| By: | /S/ PETER SCHOELS<br>Peter Schoels           | Director  |
| By: | /S/ MICHAEL J. SHONKA<br>Michael J. Shonka   | Director  |
| By: | /S/ DAVID L. TREADWELL<br>David L. Treadwell | Director  |
| By: | /S/ WALTER N. CARTER<br>Walter N. Carter     | Director  |
| By: | /S/ JAY J. HANSEN<br>Jay J. Hansen           | Director  |
| By: | /S/ JAMES A. OVENDEN<br>James A. Ovenden     | Director  |

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description  |
|-------------|--|
| 3.1*        | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated October 30, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 3.2*        | Certificate of Designation of Mandatory Convertible Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of May 20, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).                                     |
| 3.3*        | Certificate of Designation of Convertible Participating Voting Preferred Stock, Series B of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 3.4*        | Certificate of Designation of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 3.6*        | Certificate of Designations for the Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to the Company's Form 8-A, filed with the Commission on October 28, 2010).  |
| 3.6*        | Sixth Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.1*+      | Employment Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2007, between the Company, Flagstar Bank, FSB, and Paul D. Borja as amended effective December 31, 2008 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated as of March 13, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference). |
| 10.2*+      | Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. 1997 Employees and Directors Stock Option Plan as amended (previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement (No. 333-125513), dated June 3, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.3*+      | Flagstar Bank 401(k) Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement (No. 333-77501), dated April 30, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.4*+      | Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8 K, dated May 18, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.5*       | Form of Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2008, between the Company and the purchasers named therein (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of May 16, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.6*       | Form of First Amendment to Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2008, between the Company and the purchasers named therein (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of December 17, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).                  |
| 10.7*       | Form of Warrant (previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of December 17, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.8*       | Investment Agreement, dated as of December 17, 2008, between the Company and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of December 19, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.9*       | Form of Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2009, between the Company and certain management investors (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the   |

Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.10\*

Closing Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2009, between the Company and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.11\*

Letter Agreement, including the Securities Purchase Agreement-Standard Terms incorporated therein, dated as of January 30, 2009, between the Company and the United States Department of the Treasury (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).

225

---

| Exhibit No. | Description  |
|-------------|--|
| 10.12*      | Warrant to purchase up to 64,513,790 shares of the Company's common stock (previously filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.13*+     | Form of Waiver, executed on January 30, 2009, by each of Thomas J. Hammond, Mark T. Hammond, Paul D. Borja, Kirstin A. Hammond, Robert O. Rondeau, and Matthew I. Roslin (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.14*+     | Form of Agreement Relating to Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.'s Participation in the Department of the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program, executed on January 30, 2009 by Thomas J. Hammond, Mark T. Hammond, Paul D. Borja, Kirstin A. Hammond, Robert O. Rondeau, and Matthew I. Roslin (previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 2, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference). |
| 10.15*      | Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 17, 2009, between the Company and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 19, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.16*      | Second Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 27, 2009, between the Company and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of February 27, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.17*      | Capital Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2009, by and between the Company, Flagstar Statutory Trust XI, a Delaware statutory trust and MP Thrift Investments L.P. (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of July 1, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.18*+     | Form of Stock Award Agreement to be entered into by certain executive officers of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 28, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.19*+     | Employment Agreement, entered into December 4, 2009, by and between the Company and Matthew A. Kerin (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of December 8, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.20*      | Supervisory Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2010, by and between the Company and the Federal Reserve (as successor to the OTS) (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of January 28, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.21*      | Stipulation and Order of Settlement and Dismissal, dated February 24, 2012, by and among the Company, the Bank and the United States of America (previously filed as Exhibit 10.29 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated as of March 20, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.22*+     | Form of TARP Restricted Stock Award Agreement to be entered into by and between the Company and certain executive officers of the Company (previously filed as Exhibit 10.33 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of May 10, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 10.23*+     | Employment Agreement, dated as of October 1, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Michael J. Tierney (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 3, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).   |
| 10.24*      | Stipulation to the Issuance of a Consent Order, effective as of October 23, 2012, by and between the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and Flagstar Bank, FSB  |

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

(previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 24, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.25\*

Consent Order, dated October 23, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 24, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.26\*

Transaction Purchase and Sale Agreement, effective as of December 31, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and CIT Bank (previously filed as Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 5, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).

10.27\*+

Consulting Agreement, dated as of December 21, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and John Lewis (previously filed as Exhibit 10.35 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated as of March 5, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).

| Exhibit No. | Description  |
|-------------|--|
| 10.28*+     | Retention Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and Steven P. Issa (previously filed as Exhibit 10.38 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).                   |
| 10.29 *+    | Offer Letter, dated February 3, 2011, executed by Joseph P. Campanelli and accepted by Daniel Landerd (previously filed as Exhibit 10.39 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).                      |
| 10.30*+     | Retention Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and Daniel Landers (previously filed as Exhibit 10.40 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).                   |
| 10.31*+     | Retention Agreement, dated as of February 21, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and Salvatore A. Rinaldi (previously filed as Exhibit 10.41 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).             |
| 10.32*+     | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated May 16, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc and Michael J. Tierney (previously filed as Exhibit 10.42 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference). |
| 10.33*+     | Employment Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Alessandro P. DiNello (previously filed as Exhibit 10.43 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).            |
| 10.34*+     | Employment Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2013, by and between Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and Lee M. Smith (previously filed as Exhibit 10.44 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated as of April 30, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).                     |
| 10.35*+     | Letter Agreement, dated January 24, 2012, by and between Flagstar Bank, FSB and Steven J. Issa (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of October 9, 2013, and incorporated herein by reference).                                |
| 11          | Statement regarding computation of per share earnings incorporated by reference to Note 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.  |
| 12          | Statement of Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends.   |
| 14*         | Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (previously filed as Exhibit 14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 16, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference)  |
| 18*         | Letter re Change in Accounting Principles (previously filed as Exhibit 18 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated as of May 18, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).  |
| 21          | List of Subsidiaries of the Company.   |
| 23          | Consent of Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP   |
| 31.1        | Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer   |
| 31.2        | Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer   |
| 32.1        | Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer   |
| 32.2        | Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer   |
| 99.1        | Certification of Principal Executive Officer of the Company (Section 111(b)(4) of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, as amended).   |
| 99.2        | Certification of Principal Financial Officer of the Company (Section 111(b)(4) of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, as amended).   |

101

Financial statements from Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2012, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

- \* Incorporated herein by reference
- + Constitutes a management contract or compensation plan or arrangement

227