

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

TETON PETROLEUM CO
Form SB-2/A
January 22, 2004

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 22, 2004

An Exhibit List can be found on page II-3.

Registration No. 333-105942

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 2
FORM SB-2
REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Delaware	1381	84-1482290
(State or other	(Primary Standard	(I.R.S. Employer
Jurisdiction of	Industrial	Identification No.)
Incorporation or	Classification Code	
Organization)	Number)	

1600 Broadway, Suite 2400
Denver, Colorado 80202-4921
Tel 303-542-1878

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices
and principal place of business)

Karl F. Arleth, CEO and President
TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY
1600 Broadway, Suite 2400

Denver, Colorado 80202-4921
Tel 303-542-1878

(Name, address and telephone number of agent for service)

Copies to:
Gregory Sichenzia, Esq.
Darrin M. Ocasio, Esq.
Sichenzia Ross Friedman Ference LLP
1065 Avenue of the Americas, 21st Flr.
New York, New York 10018
(212) 930-9700
(212) 930-9725 (fax)

Approximate date of proposed sale to
the public: From time to time after this
Registration Statement becomes effective.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant
to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the
Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

registration statement for the same offering. _____

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. _____

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. _____

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. _____

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of Securities to be Registered	Amount to be Registered	Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Security(1)	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price	Amount of on Registration Fee
Shares of common stock, \$.001 par value	4,391,498	\$ 4.60	\$ 20,200,890.48	\$1,858.48
Warrants	4,507,479	\$ 6.00	\$ 27,044,874.00	(2)
Shares of common stock, \$.001 par value(3)	4,507,479	\$ 4.60	\$ 20,734,403.40	\$1,907.57
Total				\$3,766.05*

*Previously Paid

(1) Estimated solely for purposes of calculating the registration fee in accordance with Rule 457(c) and Rule 457(g) under the Securities Act of 1933, using the average of the high and low price as reported on The American Stock Exchange on June 5, 2003.

(2) No fee pursuant to Rule 457(g) under the Securities Act of 1933.

(3) Represents shares issuable upon exercise of warrants by the selling stockholders.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Teton Petroleum Company
8,898,977 Shares of Common Stock
and
4,507,479 Warrants

This prospectus is included in the registration statement that was filed by Teton Petroleum Company, with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Selling Stockholders may not sell these securities until the registration statement becomes effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where there offer or sale is not permitted.

This prospectus relates to the resale by the selling stockholder of 8,898,977 shares of our common stock and 4,507,479 warrants. The selling stockholder may sell these securities from time to time in the principal market on which the stock is traded at the prevailing market price or in negotiated transactions.

We will pay the expenses of registering these securities.

Our common stock is registered under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is listed on The American Stock Exchange under the symbol "TPE." The last reported sales price per share of our common stock as reported by The American Stock Exchange on January 16, 2005, was \$5.00. Our warrants do not trade on any public market.

Investing in these securities involves significant risks.

See "Risk Factors" beginning on page _.

The Securities and Exchange Commission and state securities regulators have not approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is January 23, 2004.

3

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary highlights selected information contained in this prospectus. This summary does not contain all the information you should consider before investing in the securities. Before making an investment decision, you should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the "Risk Factors" section, the financial statements and the notes to the financial statements.

Teton Petroleum Company

Teton Petroleum Company, through its consolidated subsidiaries, is engaged in oil and gas exploration, development, and production in Western Siberia, Russia.

In 2001, four wells were drilled and completed on the license area. This brought the total number of producing wells on the license area to 7. At the end of 2001, the field was producing approximately 2,500 barrels of oil per day, 625 barrels of oil per day net to Teton. The construction of a 40-kilometer (25-mile) pipeline was also completed. The pipeline enables us to transport and produce oil on a year-round basis.

In 2002, 6 additional wells were drilled and completed on the license area. This brought the total number of producing wells on the license area to 13.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

During 2003, Teton's Goloil affiliate drilled seven new wells, bringing the total number of wells that are capable of producing to 21 and completing its drilling program for the year. Of the 21 wells, one is awaiting completion, one is awaiting electrification, and two are off-line pending upgrades to the gathering system. Consequently, as of the end of October, there were 17 producing wells. During the month of October, the Goloil license produced an average of 6,313 barrels of oil per day, of which 1,578 was net to Teton. Goloil management expects to complete the above-mentioned pipeline upgrade during January at which time it also expects to commence the operation of its co-generation plant, which has been delayed by permitting issues.

Teton reorganized its structure in 2002. After MOT withdrew from Goltech Petroleum, LLC, Teton became the sole owner of Goltech. Goltech owns 35.295% of the shares of Goloil. Goloil holds the oil and gas license. In this report, "We" or "Teton" may include activities conducted by Teton, Goltech, and/or Goloil.

In 2002, Teton raised net proceeds of \$4,143,643 from the issuance of convertible debt, which was converted into common stock and warrants on September 1, 2002, and \$3,333,460 from the sales of common stock under private placement offerings. Thus, at the end of 2002, Teton had no outstanding debt obligations, exclusive of our proportionate share of notes payable owed to affiliate.

In 2003, Teton raised net proceeds of \$9,845,486 from the issuance of preferred stock. At the end of 2003, Teton had no outstanding debt obligations, exclusive of our proportionate share of notes payable owed to affiliate, Goloil.

The Offering

Securities offered by the selling stockholders

Common stock	Up to 8,898,977 shares
Warrants	Up to 4,507,479 warrants

Securities to be outstanding after the offering

Common stock	Up to 13,091,547 shares
Warrants	Up to 4,507,479 warrants

Use of proceeds.....	We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the common stock. However, we will receive proceeds from the exercise of our warrants by the selling stockholders. These proceeds will be used for general working capital.
The American Stock Exchange	TPE

The above information is based on 8,584,068 shares of common stock outstanding as of January 13, 2004.

RISK FACTORS

This investment has a high degree of risk. Before you invest you should

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below and the other information in this prospectus. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, operating results and financial condition could be harmed and the value of our stock could go down. This means you could lose all or a part of your investment.

Risks Related To Our Business:

We have incurred significant losses. We expect future losses and we may never become profitable.

We have incurred significant losses. Net loss for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 were \$10,973,923 and \$1,657,608, respectively. In addition, we had an accumulated deficit of \$22,022,734 at December 31, 2002. Net loss for the nine months ending September 30, 2003 was \$3,140,679. In addition, we had an accumulated deficit of \$25,163,412 at September 30, 2003. We expect to continue to incur net losses until sales generate sufficient revenues to fund our continuing operations. We may fail to achieve significant revenues from sales or achieve or sustain profitability. There can be no assurance of when, if ever, we will be profitable or be able to maintain profitability.

If we are unable to obtain additional funding our business operations will be harmed.

We believe that our available short-term assets will be insufficient to meet our operating expenses and capital expenditures through the end of fiscal year 2003. We do not know if additional financing will be available when needed, or if it is available, if it will be available on acceptable terms. Insufficient funds may prevent us from implementing our business strategy or may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate certain contracts for the provision of voice interface software.

Our business depends on the level of activity in the oil industry, which is significantly affected by volatile oil prices.

Our business depends on the level of activity in oil exploration, development and production in markets worldwide. Oil prices, market expectations of potential changes in these prices and a variety of political and economic factors significantly affect this level of activity. Oil prices are extremely volatile and are affected by numerous factors, including:

- o worldwide demand for oil and gas;
- o the ability of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries, commonly called "OPEC," to set and maintain production levels and pricing;
- o the level of production in non-OPEC countries;
- o the policies of the various governments regarding exploration and development of their oil and gas reserves;
- o advances in exploration and development technology; and
- o the political environment of oil-producing regions.

Our business involves numerous operating hazards.

Our operations are subject to the usual hazards inherent in drilling for oil, such as blowouts, reservoir damage, loss of production, loss of well control, punchthroughs, craterings or fires. The occurrence of these events could result in the suspension of drilling operations, damage to or destruction of the equipment involved and injury or death to rig personnel. Operations also may be suspended because of machinery breakdowns, abnormal drilling conditions, failure of subcontractors to perform or supply goods or services or personnel shortages. Damage to the environment could also result from our operations, particularly through oil spillage or extensive uncontrolled fires. We may also be subject to

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

damage claims by oil and gas companies.

Although we maintain insurance in the areas in which we operate, pollution and environmental risks generally are not fully insurable. Our insurance policies and contractual rights to indemnity may not adequately cover our losses, and we do not have insurance coverage or rights to indemnity for all risks. If a significant accident or other event occurs and is not fully covered by insurance or contractual indemnity, it could adversely affect our financial position and results of operations.

If oil and natural gas prices decrease, we may be required to take write-downs of the carrying values of our oil and natural gas properties.

Accounting rules require that we review periodically the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties for possible impairment. Based on specific market factors and circumstances at the time of the prospective impairment reviews, and the continuing evaluation of development plans, production data, economics and other factors, we may be required to write down the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties. A write-down constitutes a non-cash charge to earnings. We may incur impairment charges in the future, which could have material adverse effect on our results of operations in the periods taken. Our international operations involve additional risks not associated with domestic operations.

5

We operate in various regions throughout the world that may expose us to political and other uncertainties, including risks of:

- o war and civil disturbances;
- o expropriation of property or equipment;

- o the inability to repatriate income or capital; and

- o changing taxation policies.

International contract drilling operations are subject to various laws and regulations in countries in which we operate, including laws and regulations relating to:

- o the equipping and operation of drilling units;
- o currency conversions and repatriation;
- o oil and gas exploration and development;
- o taxation of offshore earnings and earnings of expatriate personnel; and

- o use of local employees and suppliers by foreign contractors.

Governments in some foreign countries have become increasingly active in regulating and controlling the ownership of concessions and companies holding concessions, the exploration for oil and gas and other aspects of the oil and gas industries in their countries. In addition, government action, including initiatives by OPEC, may continue to cause oil price volatility. In some areas of the world, this governmental activity has adversely affected the amount of exploration and development work done by major oil companies and may continue to do so. In addition, some foreign governments favor or effectively require the awarding of drilling contracts to local contractors or require foreign contractors to employ citizens of, or purchase supplies from, a particular jurisdiction. These practices may adversely affect our ability to compete.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Fluctuations in exchange rates could result in losses to us.

Another risk inherent in our international operations is the possibility of currency exchange losses where revenues are received and expenses are paid in nonconvertible currencies. We may also incur losses as a result of an inability to collect revenues because of a shortage of convertible currency available to the country of operation.

Governmental laws and regulations may add to our costs or limit our drilling activity.

Our operations are affected from time to time in varying degrees by governmental laws and regulations. The drilling industry is dependent on demand for services from the oil exploration industry and, accordingly, is affected by changing tax and other laws relating to the energy business generally. We may be required to make significant capital expenditures to comply with governmental laws and regulations. It is also possible that these laws and regulations may in the future add significantly to our operating costs or may significantly limit drilling activity.

There are risks associated with forward-looking statements made by us and actual results may differ.

Some of the information in this Form SB-2 contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. You can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate" and "continue," or similar words. You should read statements that contain these words carefully because they:

- discuss our future expectations; - contain projections of our future results of operations or of our financial condition; and - state other "forward-looking" information.

We believe it is important to communicate our expectations. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to accurately predict or over which we have no control. The risk factors listed in this section, as well as any cautionary language in this prospectus, provide examples of risks, uncertainties and events that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the expectations we describe in our forward-looking statements. You should be aware that the occurrence of the events described in these risk factors could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

6

Risks Relating To Our Current Financing Agreement:

There are a large number of shares underlying our warrants that may be available for future sale and the sale of these shares may depress the market price of our common stock.

As of January 13, 2004, we had 8,584,068 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, outstanding options and warrants to purchase 7,389,980 shares of common stock, and 733,173 shares of convertible preferred stock. This prospectus relates to the resale of 4,391,498 shares of common stock, 4,507,479 warrants, and 4,507,479 shares of common stock underlying our warrants. All of these shares will be freely traded upon the effective date of this prospectus and may be sold without restriction. The sale of these shares may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Risks Relating To Our Common stock:

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Our directors and executive officers beneficially own approximately 28.19% of our stock; their interests could conflict with yours; significant sales of stock held by them could have a negative effect on our stock price; stockholders may be unable to exercise control.

As of January 13, 2004, our executive officers, directors and affiliated persons beneficially own approximately 28.19% of our common stock. As a result, our executive officers, directors and affiliated persons will have significant influence to:

- o elect or defeat the election of our directors;
- o amend or prevent amendment of our articles of incorporation or bylaws;
- o elect or defeat the election of our directors; amend or prevent amendment of our articles of incorporation or bylaws; effect or prevent a merger, sale of assets or other corporate transaction; and
- o control the outcome of any other matter submitted to the stockholders for vote.

As a result of their ownership and positions, our directors and executive officers collectively are able to significantly influence all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions. In addition, sales of significant amounts of shares held by our directors and executive officers, or the prospect of these sales, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Management's stock ownership may discourage a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us, which in turn could reduce our stock price or prevent our stockholders from realizing a premium over our stock price.

Our common stock is subject to "penny stock" rules.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has adopted Rule 15g-9 which establishes the definition of a "penny stock," for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require: (i) that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and (ii) the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased. In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must (i) obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and (ii) make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks. The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prepared by the Commission relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form, (i) sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and (ii) that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction. Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks

USE OF PROCEEDS

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

This prospectus relates to shares of our common stock that may be offered and sold from time to time by the selling stockholders. There will be no proceeds to our company from the sale of shares of common stock in this offering. We may realize up to \$27,044,874 upon the exercise of warrants by the selling stockholders, which will be used for general corporate purposes.

7

MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Teton's common stock listed on The American Stock Exchange, under the symbol "TPE," on May 6, 2003. Prior to listing on the AMEX, our common stock was quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "TTPT" from November 27, 2001 to April 25, 2003 and then under the symbol "TTPE" from April 28, 2003 to May 5, 2003 as a result of a 1 for 12 reverse stock split.

Prior to that and until our voluntary delisting in January 2002, our common stock was also listed on the Canadian Venture Exchange under the symbol "YTY.U." Beginning November 30, 2001, our common stock is also listed for trading on the Frankfurt Stock Exchange (Germany) under the symbol "TP9."

The following table sets forth, on a per share basis, the range of high and low bid information for the common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board, and after May 5, 2003 on the American Stock Exchange:

OTC Bulletin Board		
2001 Period	High	Low
Fourth quarter	\$.50	\$.17
2002 Period		
First quarter	\$.67	\$.18
Second quarter	\$.65	\$.36
Third quarter	\$.60	\$.27
Fourth quarter	\$.42	\$.21
2003 Period		
First quarter	\$.46	\$.28
Second quarter as of May 5, 2003	\$ 5.00*	\$4.10*

The American Stock Exchange		
Second quarter commencing May 6, 2003	\$ 5.40	\$4.10
Third quarter	\$ 4.58	\$3.71
Fourth quarter	\$ 5.58	\$3.80

* reflects a 12 for 1 reverse stock split effectuated on April 24, 2003.

The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices without retail markup, markdown, or a commission, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

Holder. As of January 13, 2004, there were approximately 195 holders of record of Teton's common stock.

Dividends. Teton has not paid any dividends on its common stock since inception.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Teton does not anticipate declaration or payment of any dividends at any time in the foreseeable future.

8

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

The following discussion and analysis of our plan of operation should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the related notes. This prospectus contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 which are based upon current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties, such as our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Our actual results and the timing of certain events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth under "Risk Factors," "Business" and elsewhere in this prospectus. See "Risk Factors."

Overview

Critical Accounting Policies

We have identified the policies below as critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. The impact and any associated risks related to these policies on our business operations is discussed throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations where such policies affect our reported and expected financial results.

In the ordinary course of business, we have made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of results of operations and financial condition in the preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that the following discussion addresses our most critical accounting policies, which are those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require our most difficult, subjective, and complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Pro-Rata Consolidation.

The financial statements as is customary in the oil and gas industry, reflect a pro-rata consolidation of the Company's interest in ZAO Goloil (a Russian Company) through its wholly owned subsidiary Goltech. Management believes this to be the most meaningful presentation as the Company's only significant asset is its investment in ZAO Goloil.

Teton's agreement with its partners in Goloil is that together they will receive all of their investment back plus interest from the profits of Goloil before a third non-investing shareholder, InvestPetrol, can receive any distributions. Teton is required to provide 50% of the capital expenditure requirements and is entitled to a 50% operating interest until repayment of its investment occurs.

Under the pro-rata consolidation method the Company includes its pro-rata share of the assets (50%), liabilities (50%), revenues (50%) and expenses (50%) of the accounts of Goloil until repayment (payout) of our current and any future loans to Goloil occurs. Under the pro-rata consolidation method we include our share of the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the consolidated accounts of Goltech. The intercompany balances of Goloil, Goltech and Teton do not fully eliminate under the pro-rata consolidation method, and the remaining receivable

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

on Teton's accounts has been included as a component of oil and gas properties, as this balance will only be repaid through net cash flow generated from oil and gas properties.

Recoverability of Oil and Gas Properties

The recoverability of our investment in oil and gas properties is reviewed quarterly and based on the net undiscounted cash flows to be obtained from our share of the production of oil and gas by Goloil using assumptions similar to those in the reserve study prepared by an independent petroleum engineer. The reserve study is subject to inherent limitations and uncertainties and is prepared using economics for the Company's 100% interest in Goltech, LLC, which includes the Company's share of a 35.295% interest in Goloil. If the average cost of oil production sold, the costs to produce and transport the oil for sale or further development capital expenditures change adversely to the Company, such changes could cause a material write down of our investment in such properties or abandonment altogether of our continued efforts to develop and produce those oil and gas reserves. Management believes that the economic conditions will remain favorable to the Company for the oil and gas prices it receives from production and the costs we incur for producing, transporting and continued license development capital expenditures, and we will recover all such investments in our oil and gas properties.

9

Asset Retirement Obligations

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standard No. 143 (SFAS 143) "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations". SFAS 143 requires the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation to be recorded in the period in which it is incurred. Over time this liability is accreted to its expected future value with accretion being recorded as a charge to operations. The majority of the Company's asset retirement obligations relate to the projected costs to plug and abandon oil and gas wells, and closure of access roads on the license area in Russia.

The Company currently cannot make an assessment of the fair value for its proportionate share of asset retirement obligations, and accordingly no liability for the fair value of these costs has been recorded in the accompanying financial statements. Currently the fair value of

these costs is not able to be determined as a final plan of abandonment and closure for these future obligations has not been finalized with the applicable governmental bodies of the Russian government, and therefore the specific actions required to satisfy the obligations under the license are not known with a degree of certainty to enable reasonable estimation, although management believes that any ultimate liability to plug and abandon wells and close access roads will not be material to the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

Oil and Gas Reserves and Supplemental Information.

The information regarding the Company's share of oil and gas reserves, the changes thereto and the resulting net cash flows are all dependent upon assumptions used in preparing the Company's annual reserve study. A qualified independent petroleum engineer in accordance with standards of applicable regulatory agencies and the Securities and Exchange Commission definitions, prepares the Company's reserve study. That reserve study is prepared using economics for the Company's 100% interest in Goltech, LLC, which includes the Company's 35.295% interest in Goloil. Such reserves and resultant net cash flows are subject to the inherent limitations in those estimates that include the cost of oil and gas production, costs related to future capital expenditures, the

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

price per barrel of oil sales, the Company's share of those reserves, the taxing structure of the Russian Federation and other factors. Changes in one or all of these items could cause a material change in the reserve estimates and the net cash flows from the sale of production generated from those reserves. Management believes that the current assumptions used in preparation of the reserve study are reasonable.

Recent Events

During 2003, Teton's Goloil affiliate drilled seven new wells, bringing the total number of wells that are capable of producing to 21 and completing its drilling program for the year. Of the 21 wells, one is awaiting completion, one is awaiting electrification, and two are off-line pending upgrades to the gathering system. Consequently, as of the end of October, there were 17 producing wells. During the month of October, the Goloil license produced an average of 6,313 barrels of oil per day, of which 1,578 was net to Teton. Goloil management expects to complete the above-mentioned pipeline upgrade during January at which time it also expects to commence the operation of its co-generation plant, which has been delayed by permitting issues.

In September, OAO NK RussNeft, a Russian independent oil producer became Teton's partner in Goloil. Russneft succeeds Mediterranean Overseas Trust as operator of the Goloil license but as before, Teton and Russneft jointly develop the capital budget for the license and share other key decision-making. The 50% production payment made by Goloil continues as before, with all production for the payment being sold into the domestic markets allowing about 90% of the remainder to be sold into export markets. Teton and Russneft have held extensive discussions since September and signed, as described below in "Subsequent Events" a Memorandum of Understanding addressing issues including financing, loan repayment obligations, the budget process, dividend policy and board representation.

10

Results of Operations

Below is a brief analysis of the most important components of our revenues, operating costs and net income (loss). Please note that since Teton absorbs its share of the cost of producing the oil paid under the production payment (included in the cost amounts), per barrel costs are effectively doubled.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2003 compared to September 30, 2002

Teton lost \$1,477,534 or \$0.22 per share during the third quarter, as compared to \$2,216,169 or \$0.78 per share in the same period a year ago.

Operating Highlights for the Quarter ended September
30 (in U.S. Dollars, unless otherwise noted)

	2003	2002	Change	%Cha
Sales, Barrels	165,111	137,500	27,611	20.
Average Daily Sales, Barrels	1,814	1,511	303	20.
Average Selling Price, \$/barrel	16.46	16.03	0.43	2.
Revenues	2,718,066	2,204,613	513,453	23.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Costs of Sales and Expenses, excl. DD&A				
Production Costs	618,141	664,241	(46,100)	-6.
Transportation & Marketing	199,446	189,115	10,332	5.
Taxes other than Income taxes	1,486,250	1,172,574	313,677	26.
Export Duties	334,789	295,356	39,434	13.
	2,638,626	2,321,285	317,342	13.
Results from Goloil Operations, before DD&A ...	79,440	(116,672)	196,112	-
Less General & Administrative Expense, Goloil	261,420	149,091	112,329	75.
	(181,980)	(265,763)	83,782	
Depreciation, Depletion & Amortization, Goloil	274,538	68,419	206,119	301.
Operating Income, Goloil	(456,518)	(334,182)	(122,337)	
General & Administrative Expense, Teton	921,761	439,061	482,700	109.
Operating Income, Teton	(1,378,279)	(773,243)	(605,037)	

Costs and Expenses during the Quarter ended September 30
(in U.S. \$ per barrel)

Controllable Costs	2003	2002	Change	%Cha
Production Costs	3.74	4.83	(1.09)	-22.
G&A - Goloil	1.58	1.08	0.50	46.
G&A - Teton	5.58	3.19	2.39	74.
	10.91	9.11	1.80	19.

11

Non-Controllable Costs

Transportation & Marketing	1.21	1.38	(0.17)	-12.
----------------------------	------	------	--------	------

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Taxes other than Income Taxes	9.00	8.53	0.47	5
Export Duties	2.03	2.15	(0.12)	-5
	-----	-----	-----	---
	12.24	12.05	0.19	1

The Company had revenues from oil and gas production of \$2,718,066 for the three months ended September 30, 2003 as compared to \$2,204,613 for the three months ended September 30, 2002, a 23% increase. The change in revenues is related to the increase in sales from 137,500 barrels to 165,111 barrels net to Teton after a 50% production payment, along with an increase in the average price per barrel received from \$16.03 to \$16.46 from 2002 to 2003. The increase in average price per barrel would have been greater had its Goloil affiliate sold its usual quota of export oil in September. Because of transportation bottlenecks in the Russian oil market, prices for crude oil sold domestically are normally much lower than those for export sales.

Oil production costs declined to \$618,141 for the three months ended September 30, 2003 from \$664,241 for the three months ended September 30, 2002, and the average cost per barrel of production, excluding taxes, decreased from \$4.83 to \$3.74. The decline in production costs reflected a \$190,375 decrease in insurance charges, partly offset by increases of \$50,307 in field employee wages, \$38,346 in workover and maintenance expenses, and \$33,978 in natural resource development fees.

Export duties rose from \$295,356 to \$334,789 due to an increase in the export tariff rate from \$3.68 to \$4.69 per exported barrel. The export tariff rate is based on a complex formula that results in progressively high tariff rates as the price of exported crude rises. Transportation and marketing expenses rose modestly year over year, falling slightly on a per barrel basis.

Taxes other than income, which include the Unified Natural Resources & Petroleum Tax (UNPRT), value added tax (VAT), and property and other miscellaneous taxes rose from \$1,172,574 in the quarter ended September 30, 2002 to \$1,486,250 during quarter ended September 30, 2003. The increase reflected both increased sales volumes and, to a lesser extent, an increase in the average tax paid per barrel of Russian taxes other than income increased from \$8.53 per barrel to \$9.00 per barrel.

Teton's pro-rata share of Goloil's general and administrative expense ("G&A") rose year over year, rising from \$149,091 in the quarter ended September 30, 2002 to \$261,420 in the quarter just ended. Factors accounting for the increase included a \$55,000 environmental fee, \$35,329 in increased wages, and \$38,832 for marketing expenses.

General and administrative (G&A) expenses for Teton itself of \$921,761 were incurred for the three months ended September 30, 2003 as compared to \$439,061 for the three months ended September 30, 2002. The increase in G&A is in general a reflection of Teton's increased employment and activity level, since the Company's new management team was put in place early this year. Going forward, Management anticipates a level of G&A consistent with recent quarters in 2003 as the Company executes its plans to build its oil business in Russia. Major factors accounting for the year-over-year increase in G&A included the following: an increase in legal and accounting fees from \$38,247 to \$191,840; an increase in marketing expenses (mainly associated with raising investor awareness and Teton's Series A Convertible Preferred Stock issue, discussed below) from \$73,626 to \$199,982; and an increase in payroll from \$63,667 to

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

\$172,638. Other factors included increases in insurance (mainly the Directors & Officers policy), \$32,880; office expense (including rent); \$47,928, and engineering, \$40,837. Partly offsetting these increases was a decrease in fees paid to financial consultants from \$113,294 to \$37,313.

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2003 was \$55,034 as compared to \$52,675 for the three months ended September 30, 2002. This interest expense is principally from the pro-rata consolidation of Teton's ownership portion of Goloil's loans in which the interest rate declined from 15% to 8%. Financing charges in 2002 of \$1,390,951 arose from the issuance of Convertible Debt and warrants, with in the money conversion features associated with convertible debt in 2002. During the quarter just ended the Company incurred just \$61,659 in financing costs associated with three small promissory notes.

12

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 compared to September 30, 2002

Teton's loss during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 was \$3,140,679 or \$0.46 per share. During the same period last year, the Company net loss was \$7,927,782 or \$0.26 per share.

Operating Highlights for the Nine Months ended
September 30 (in U.S. Dollars, unless otherwise noted)

	2003	2002	
Sales, Barrels	480,688	290,725	1
Average Daily Sales, Barrels	1,767	1,069	
Average Selling Price, \$/barrel	18.94	14.81	
Revenues	9,105,338	4,305,274	4,8
Costs of Sales and Expenses, excl. DD&A			
Production Costs	1,456,857	882,202	5
Transportation & Marketing	801,245	398,389	4
Taxes other than Income taxes	4,163,956	2,249,313	1,9
Export Duties	1,492,999	448,338	1,0
	7,915,057	3,978,242	3,9
Results from Goloil Operations, before DD&A	1,190,281	327,033	8
Less General & Administrative Expense, Goloil	648,725	383,419	2
	541,556	(56,387)	5

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Depreciation, Depletion & Amortization, Goloil	662,769	149,806	5
Operating Income, Goloil	(121,213)	(206,193)	
General & Administrative Expense, Teton	2,675,683	1,950,258	7
Operating Income, Teton	(2,796,896)	(2,156,451)	(6)

Costs and Expenses during the Nine Months ended September 30

(in U.S. \$ per barrel)

	2003	2002
Controllable Costs		
Production Costs	3.03	3.03
G&A - Goloil	1.35	1.32
G&A - Teton	5.57	6.71
	9.95	11.06
Non-Controllable Costs		
Transportation & Marketing	1.67	1.37
Taxes other than Income Taxes	8.66	7.74
Export Duties	3.11	1.54
	13.44	10.65

13

The Company had revenues from oil and gas production of \$9,105,338 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 as compared to \$4,305,274 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, an increase of 111%. The change in revenues is related to the increase in sales from 290,725 barrels to 480,688 barrels, net to Teton after a 50% production payment and an increase in the average price per barrel received from \$14.81 to \$18.94 from 2002 to 2003.

The cost of oil production^ increased to \$1,456,857 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 from \$882,202, for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 due to the Company's increased production. The average cost per barrel of production, excluding taxes, remains unchanged at \$3.03. Export duties rose sharply, from \$448,338 to \$1,492,999 as a consequence of both the increased volumes sold for export and increases in the export tariff rate, which more than doubled from \$2.62 per barrel to \$5.22 per exported barrel, year over year. As discussed above the export tariff increases sharply when export prices exceed \$20 per barrel as they did most of this period.

Transportation and marketing expenses rose from \$398,389 for the nine months

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

ended September 30, 2002 to \$801,245 in the current nine months. The increase was due mainly to the increased sales volume as well as increased transportation rates, which rose on a per barrel basis from \$1.37 per barrel to \$1.67 per barrel, year over year.

Taxes other than income, which include the Unified Natural Resources & Petroleum Tax (UNPRT), value added tax (VAT), and property and other miscellaneous taxes rose from \$2,249,313 in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 to \$4,163,956 during nine months ended September 30, 2003. The increase reflected both increased sales volumes and an increase in the average tax paid per barrel of Russian taxes other than income increased from \$7.74 per barrel to \$8.66 per barrel. This higher average was due principally to an increase in the UNPRT, which is indexed to the world price of Urals Blend crude and applied to all production, regardless of where sold.

Teton's pro-rata share of Goloil's general and administrative expense ("G&A") rose from \$383,419 in the nine months ended September 30, 2002 to \$648,725 in the nine months just ended. The increase was mainly attributable to increases in three areas: marketing expenses which rose by \$80,179, wages which increased by \$65,674, and environmental fees which increased by \$54,063. On a per barrel basis, Goloil G&A increased from \$1.32 to \$1.35.

General and administrative expenses for Teton itself of \$2,675,683 were incurred for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 as compared to \$1,950,258 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. General and administrative Most of the increase was due to the second quarter expenses for the Company's AMEX listing, due diligence activities, and registration application along with the Company's increased staffing and activity level as described above. Specific factors leading to the increase included: an increase in accounting and legal expenses from \$298,403 to \$504,561 (mainly in association with work on Teton's share registration and its offering of convertible preferred shares); marketing expenses rose \$266,630 to \$412,539; payroll rose from \$195,661 to \$485,110; and travel and entertainment rose from \$195,228 to \$349,153.

As discussed in Teton's 10-Q filing for the previous quarter, it is now anticipated Teton's General and Administrative expenses will exceed the \$2,000,000 budget disclosed in our previously filed 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002. The Company currently estimates that its 2003 G&A expense will be \$3,400,000.

Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 was \$178,139 as compared to \$328,938 for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. This interest expense is principally from the pro-rata consolidation of Teton's ownership portion of Goloil's loans, in which the interest rate declined from 15% to 8%, and also included approximately \$100,000 of interest on convertible debentures of Teton that were outstanding in 2002. Financing charges of \$5,444,901 in 2002 arose from the issuance of Convertible Debt and warrants, which were recorded at "fair value" which did not take place in 2003.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company had cash balances of \$1,955,253 at September 30, 2003, and a working capital deficit of \$4,764,864. Net of the pro rata consolidation with Goloil, Teton has a working capital surplus of \$1,188,461. Teton is not liable for Goloil's debts. Cash flow used from operations totaled \$3,041,307, with non-cash adjustments to cash flow from operations for depreciation, depletion and amortization of \$628,458.

The Company used \$4,437,637 in investing activities, all of which was all associated with oil and gas property and equipment expenditures. In particular,

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

the Company financed its half of a new gas-powered electrical generating plant in the amount of \$1,500,000 which will be operational in the first quarter of next year. The plant will provide substantial increases in electricity production at lower cost than the diesel generators that will be replaced. The plant will be fueled by natural gas from our wells, reducing or eliminating the need to "flare" the gas. The Company financed the expenditures with existing cash and sale of Common Stock. The Company continues to expect significant additional investments to be made in the future to drill and develop additional producing wells.

14

The Company had cash provided by financing activities of \$8,347,184, which consisted of \$1,939,610 from stock subscriptions received, \$3,619,444 from the sale of Common Stock, net \$2,159,380 from advances under notes payable from an affiliate, and \$628,750 in proceeds from promissory notes.

The Company anticipates future operations and significant oil and gas property expenditures will be able to be funded through a combination of note payable advances from an affiliate, cash raised from raising debt and equity financing and production of oil and gas reserves.

Although the Company has a \$4,746,307 working capital deficit, \$5,107,805 of that deficit relates to our proportionate share of notes payable from affiliates, which are expected to be extended if current cash flow does not permit repayment. Additionally, as discussed below in Subsequent Events, we successfully raised approximately \$9.8 million through the placement of convertible preferred stock in a transaction that closed on November 11. The Company anticipates its share of capital expenditures through the end of the year will be approximately \$4.4 million, which will be also be funded through the private placement proceeds along with expected cash flow from Goloil's operations.

Other Matters:

The Company is currently exploring possibilities to acquire additional petroleum licenses in Russia. On May 28, the company signed a purchase and sale agreement to acquire the Anderman/Smith Overseas, Inc. interests in the LLC Chernogorskoye located in Western Siberia, near its existing operations. The selling price was not disclosed and the company is pursuing its due diligence for the acquisition. If consummated this acquisition could potentially increase average daily production by approximately 4,000 barrels. The company continues to pursue additional equity and debt financing to fund the acquisition.

Subsequent Events:

On October 7, 2003, Teton announced the signing a binding and broad Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") with OAO NK RussNeft ("RussNeft"), its partner in Goloil. The agreement covers all aspects of the two companies' joint operations in their Goloil operations in Western Siberia and provides a framework for future development of additional oil projects in Russia. The agreement confirms the controlling

documents of the joint stock company Goloil, including, but not limited to, financing, loan repayment obligations, the budget process, dividend policy and board representation.

On October 23, 2003, Teton announced it had completed the placement of approximately \$7.548 million of its series A convertible preferred stock. Teton sold approximately 1.735 million unregistered series A convertible preferred shares at a price of \$4.35 per share. The private placement was priced on July 11, 2003, when Teton's common shares were trading at \$4.30 per share and was

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

approximately 51% oversubscribed. The preferred shares carry an 8% dividend, payable quarterly and are convertible into common stock at a price of \$4.35 per share. If converted within 60 days of the closing, the investors will be entitled to receive (i) dividends payable in common stock for one year; and (ii) 100,000 Class B Warrants for each \$500,000, exercisable at \$6.00 per share. A registration statement, covering the underlying common shares, will be filed within 90 days of the close of the private placement.

On November 10, 2003, Teton announced that it closed an extended second round of its privately placed series A convertible preferred stock. The initial offering of convertible preferred shares, which closed on October 23, 2003, was extended due to continued high investor demand. In the second round Teton raised approximately \$2.3 million and Teton sold approximately 526,000 restricted series A convertible preferred shares at a price of \$4.35 per share. Including the second round the total funds raised in the convertible preferred private placement equal approximately \$9.8 million, a 96% oversubscription. The preferred shares issued in the second round require shareholder approval in order to be converted into common shares.

15

The Company offered an incentive to investors in its 8% Convertible Preferred Share issue to convert their preferred shares into common stock that currently pays no dividend.

The incentive consisted of an offer to exchange for a period of sixty days each share of preferred into a share of common plus one year of common stock dividends, plus 200 two-year warrants exercisable at \$6.00 per share for each \$1,000 invested. In December approximately 95% of the eligible preferred shares were converted into common under these terms and as a consequence the Company currently expects to incur non-cash preferred dividend charges of approximately \$2.8 million during the fourth quarter related to the inducement to convert the preferred stock. The preferred dividend charges may differ from the initial estimate pending final determination of all conversions and the related inducement consideration.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2002 to December 31, 2001.

We had revenues from oil and gas production of \$6,923,320 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 as compared to \$1,625,352 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001. Revenues were increased by a significant increase in production throughout 2002 as new wells came on line. "Net to Teton" production at December 31, 2002 was approximately 1,750 barrels per day. This production increase was partially offset by a reduction in the price per barrel received as explained further below.

Under a production payment agreement entered into to finance the completion of 5 wells in 2001 and 2002, the completion of a pipeline to the Trans Neft pipeline, and the construction of a DNS plant (scheduled for completion in 2003), 50% of the oil produced on the license through June 30, 2007 is paid to the financing entity, which is an affiliate of MOT. The pipeline was completed in June 2001, allowing Goloil to transport oil 12 months a year, rather than the previous 7 or 8 months per year, because of adverse weather conditions.

Effective December 1, 2002, as well as prior to April 1, 2002, all oil transferred under the agreement is counted as Russian domestic sales, therefore the full Goloil export quota can be used for the remaining production. This results currently in approximately 90% of Teton's net production being exported. Between April 1 and December 1, the production payment participated proportionately in export as well as domestic sales.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

The Production changes resulted in net barrels of approximately 450,000 to Teton in 2002 compared to net barrels of approximately 95,000 in 2001. The average price per barrel we received decreased in 2002 to approximately \$15.38 from \$16.43 in 2001. Because the production payment was participating in export sales for a portion of the year, the "net to Teton" sales in 2002 contained a larger portion of Russian domestic sales than in 2001. In addition, Russian domestic prices, particularly in the winter when ports are closed and tanker exports are precluded, were lower than in 2001. Higher export prices partially offset this difference. As a result of signing the MOU on December 1, 2002 and higher current oil prices, the Company was receiving an average price per barrel at year-end of approximately \$22.84. The Company is optimistic that the oil prices received in 2003 will continue to remain higher than in the prior year.

Cost of oil and gas production before Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization increased to \$2,741,303 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 from \$1,068,250 or the twelve months ended December 31, 2001. The increase of \$1,673,053 relates to substantial increases in volume produced to include oil produced under the production payments to MOT made for leasing new wells and the pipeline, offset by improved efficiencies from higher production and lower transportation costs in 2002.

Taxes other than income taxes, which includes VAT, excise, mining and other applicable taxes increased by \$3,042,201 in 2002 to \$3,537,990 from \$495,789 in 2001. The increase is a result of a substantial increase in the mining (extraction) tax, which is indexed to the World price of Oil regardless of where the oil is actually sold. The increase in mining tax totaled approximately \$2,430,000. The remaining increase is due to increased sales resulting in increased VAT in 2002.

The economics of the cost to produce an average barrel of oil, including taxes, decreased in 2002 from approximately \$10.18 to \$9.96. However, as a result of the production payment required with the Company covering all lifting costs and extraction taxes, the cost per barrel net to the Company was approximately \$15.51 per barrel as the Company had fewer barrels net to cover these costs.

16

A breakdown of costs and expenses per bbl. is as follows:

Cost Category	2002	2001
Controllable Costs:		
Lifting Costs	\$ 3.24	\$ 5.50
Goloil General & Administrative	1.46	3.24
Total Controllable Costs	\$ 4.70	\$ 8.74
Non-Controllable Costs:		
Mining & Misc. Taxes	6.40	3.82
Value Added Tax (VAT)	0.80	0.53
Transportation, Duties etc	3.61	3.37
TOTAL Costs	\$ 15.51	\$ 16.46

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Controllable costs, i.e. Lifting Costs and G&A Costs, have declined dramatically on a "per barrel" basis as a result of the higher levels of production and the efficiencies gained from that.

Taxes have risen, however, principally because of a new formula for the Mining or Extraction tax, which is indexed to the World Price of Oil, regardless of where the oil is sold. In December, this resulted in a per barrel mining tax that exceeded the per barrel receipts of sales in the Russian domestic market. The disparity between world prices and prices in the domestic market has been unusually large in 2002.

Currently, because of the renegotiated production payment, Teton's share of Goloil production may be sold 90% in the export market and 10% in the Russian domestic market, under a government agency (TransNeft) allotment, which the agency can change. Domestic oil sells at a lower price, but does not incur transportation costs and other costs and taxes related to export. This resulted in a December average selling price of \$22.84 per barrel. Having the revised Production Payment in force for the full year 2003 will have the effect of raising the average price per barrel received without any increase in per barrel costs.

General and administrative expenses of \$5,333,726 were incurred for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 as compared to \$1,521,970 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$3,811,756 reflects a significant increase in travel, consulting, legal, and accounting expenses in 2002. Increased capital raising required additional "road show" activities, public forum presentations, and increases in the number of individuals participating in these activities. This was reflected in increases in consulting fees, travel costs and legal fees. The addition of a COO, CFO, and a Russian accounting consultant increased consulting fees and travel costs. The restructuring of Goltech and the renegotiation of the production payment and related agreements also increased legal fees and travel costs. Goloil general and administrative expenses increased in 2002 by approximately \$288,000 from 2001 due to the expanded operations. We expect that these expenses will return to a level of under \$2,000,000 annually in 2003.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization was \$451,930 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 as compared to \$45,313 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001. The increase in 2002 represents increased capitalized costs subject to depreciation and depletion, and an increase in amortization arising from changes in estimated recoverable reserves.

The Company also incurred financing costs of \$5,498,106 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 for the amortization of discount related to warrants issued in connection with certain related party notes payable of \$354,000 (non-cash), amortization of the discount on warrants issued with the convertible debentures and in-the-money conversion feature discounts of \$4,558,000 (non-cash) immediately recognized, and \$467,000 of expenses paid related to the 10% premium paid in common stock upon the conversion of the debentures on September 1, 2002. The remainder of these costs were expenses paid related to a debenture purchase agreement with a potential investor that was not consummated. While the stock to which the conversion rights and warrants apply is restricted stock, the valuation with respect to this stock in calculating the discount was "as if" the stock was immediately salable. The effect of this is to make the amount of discount and its related amortization higher than it would otherwise have been. Management believes these costs will not be indicative of future operations and will manage future capital raising programs to minimize or eliminate these costs.

Other income increased for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 by \$42,370, principally from interest on Goloil notes.

Interest expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 was \$385,939 as compared to \$161,019 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001. The increase of \$224,920 reflects cash and non-cash interest costs on the convertible debt outstanding for a portion of the year.

Of our net loss of \$10.97 million, we incurred significant non-cash charges totaling approximately \$6.95 million, including 452,000 for depreciation, depletion and amortization, \$1.1 million in equity compensation charges issued for services provided and \$5.4 million for financing charges associated with our convertible debentures issued. These charges accounted for \$.18 per share of our \$.29 per share loss.

BUSINESS

Structure of Teton.

Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Goltech Petroleum LLC, we own 35.295% of Goloil. Mediterranean Overseas Trust (together with its affiliates, including McGrady, Fenlex, Petromed, and Energosoyuz, ("MOT")) owns 35.295% of Goloil and serves as Manager of Goloil. InvestPetrol, a Russian Joint Stock Company, owns the remainder (29.41%) of Goloil. In September of this year, Goloil and its affiliates, along with InvestPetrol were acquired by OAO NK RussNeft, a Russian independent oil producer. Russneft succeeds Mediterranean Overseas Trust as Manager of Goloil, but at this point continues to operate through MOT. Consequently, our discussions pertaining to Teton's structure and operations of the Goloil License will continue to refer to MOT (and affiliates) as Goloil's Manager and the operator of the Goloil License.

Goloil holds the license to produce oil and gas in Western Siberia. MOT and Teton (via Goltech) are obligated to each fund 50% of the Capital Expenditures of Goloil under their Memorandum of Understanding. Invest Petrol is currently not funding any of this development. Based on the current structuring of Goloil and the development agreements with Teton and MOT, until Goltech and MOT each has been repaid its investments in Goloil, each receives a proportion of the production and revenues from Goloil (after the production payment to MOT) equal to the proportion of its investment to the total investments in Goloil. Since it is expected that this will continue for the foreseeable future, when we describe "net" amounts to Teton, these calculations are based on Teton's right (through its ownership of Goltech) to receive 50% of the production and revenues from Goloil (after the production payment to MOT). The agreements affecting the Goloil license are discussed below under "MOT Agreements."

Goltech Petroleum LLC is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Texas. For tax purposes it is treated as a partnership. We are the sole manager of Goltech and have complete authority to manage its business. Petromed (MOT) withdrew as a member and manager of Goltech in 2002. In connection with its withdrawal, Petromed received a distribution consisting of Goloil shares and return of its original \$1 million contribution.

Goloil is a closed joint stock company organized under the laws of Russia. Russian joint stock companies are corporate entities with limited liability similar to corporations formed under United States laws. Shareholders of Russian joint stock companies generally are not liable for debts and obligations of the company. However, shareholders of a bankrupt joint stock company may be held liable for debts and obligations of the bankrupt company if they have exercised their authority to undertake an action knowing that bankruptcy would be a possible result of their actions. Any transfer of shares by a shareholder to a third party is subject to a right of first refusal by the other shareholders.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Under Russian law, a simple majority of voting shares is sufficient to control adoption of most resolutions. Resolutions concerning amendment of the company charter, reorganizations (including mergers), liquidation, any increase in authorized shares, or certain "large" transactions require the approval of the shareholders holding 75% of the outstanding shares.

A Russian joint stock company has no obligation to pay dividends to the holders of common shares. Any dividends paid to shareholders must be recommended by the board of directors and then approved by a majority vote at the general meeting of shareholders. The Memorandum of Understanding between MOT and Teton (the controlling shareholders) provides that any excess cash will be used to pay back investments on a quarterly basis.

On May 28, the Company signed a purchase and sale agreement to acquire the Anderman/Smith Overseas, Inc. interests in the LLC Chernogorskoye located in Western Siberia, near its existing operations. The selling price was not disclosed and the Company is pursuing its due diligence for the acquisition. If consummated this acquisition could potentially increase average daily production by approximately 4,000 barrels.

Teton History.

Teton was formed by the November 1998 merger of EQ Resources Ltd. and American Tyumen Exploration Company. EQ was incorporated in Ontario, Canada, on November 13, 1962, under the name Mangesite Mines Limited. Its name was changed to EQ Resources Ltd. in August 1989. EQ was domesticated in Delaware immediately prior to the merger. In the merger, EQ, the survivor corporation, was renamed Teton Petroleum Company.

18

At the time of the merger, Teton's holdings consisted of licenses for the exploration of gold in Ghana, licenses for oil and gas in Dagestan, Russia, and the Goloil license. Following the merger, we decided to focus our efforts and resources on development of the Goloil license. We disposed of our interest in the Ghana gold licenses. We also wrote down the value of the Dagestan licenses to zero on our financial statements in 1998, and disposed of our subsidiary Teton Oil, Inc. which held the Dagestan licenses effective May 24, 2001. In our opinion, political instability in the Dagestan region made operations in Dagestan too risky. Due to inactivity most of our Dagestan licenses had terminated prior to our disposition of Teton Oil, Inc.

MOT Agreements.

In June 2000, Teton, Goltech and Fenlex Nominee Services Limited, as sole trustee of the Mediterranean Overseas Trust, a trust organized

under the laws of Malta entered into a Master Agreement. The Master Agreement contemplated the following transactions:

- (a) Purchase of 50% of the interest in Goltech in exchange for \$1,000,000.
- (b) Additional investment by MOT, of up to \$5,600,000, through an oilfield development and leasing arrangement, paid on an as needed basis to cover certain costs related to the pipeline, processing facility, and drilling of five additional wells.
- (c) Payment of leasing fees and repayment of amounts advanced by MOT through a production payment in the form of crude oil.
- (d) Additional work, as agreed to by the parties.

The purchase of 50% of the interests in Goltech was completed in August 2000. See, also " - Structure of Teton."

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

As contemplated in the Master Agreement, Goloil and MOT (through Energosoyuz) entered into an oilfield development agreement and a lease agreement. These agreements provided, among other things, for the drilling and operation of five additional wells on the Goloil license lands and for Energosoyuz to fund up to \$5,600,000 to cover certain costs related to development of a pipeline and processing facility and the drilling of five additional wells.

The wells and facilities constructed by Energosoyuz pursuant to the oilfield development agreement are leased to Goloil for a seven-year production payment. The production payment is equal to 50% of the crude oil produced by the new and existing Goloil wells. The production payment period will be extended if the production payment falls below an average of 80,000 tons (583,200 barrels) of oil per year or if the market price of Ural Oil Blend falls below a weighted average of \$17 per barrel, for oil sold outside of Russia, over the seven year period.

At March 2002, the full \$5,600,000 contemplated in the MOT agreements was invested by MOT. The pipeline and four of the wells were completed in 2001. The fifth well was completed in early 2002. Construction of a processing plant is also in progress and should be completed in 2003.

After the production payment is paid in full, the MOT agreements provide that one of the following shall occur:

1. Energosoyuz will merge into Goltech.
2. 100% of the capital stock of Energosoyuz will be transferred to Goltech.
3. The outstanding capital stock of Energosoyuz will be distributed equally between Teton and MOT or its nominee.
4. Any other action agreed to by the parties resulting in a division of the revenues of Energosoyuz between Teton and MOT or its nominees in proportion to their respective ownership in Goltech.

In late 2002, MOT elected to withdraw from Goltech in exchange for its 50% of the shares in Goloil held by Goltech. This has been accomplished under a Memorandum of Understanding and withdrawal agreement. A new management agreement for Goloil is the process of being completed and finalized consistent with the intent of the MOU. As part of these negotiations, the production payment agreement was clarified to state a fixed term of 7 years from inception (July 1, 2000) and that all oil received under the agreement would be sold as Russian domestic oil, thus allowing about 90% of the remainder to be sold in the export markets currently.

Production and Distribution.

A glossary of certain oil and gas terms used in this report is found at "DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY--Glossary of Geologic Terms."

As of March 25, 2003, the wells on our license area were producing approximately 7,200 barrels per day (1,800 barrels net to Teton). Completion of a 40-kilometer (25-mile) pipeline on June 4, 2001 has enabled oil to be pumped from these wells all year long. Prior to completion of the pipeline, no oil was produced during certain times of the year because of transportation difficulties. At December 31, 2001, seven wells were completed on our license area. At December 31, 2002, 13 wells were completed on our license area.

During 2003, Teton's Goloil affiliate drilled seven new wells, bringing the total number of wells that are capable of producing to 21 and completing its drilling program for the year. Of the 21 wells, one is awaiting completion, one is awaiting electrification, and two are off-line pending upgrades to the

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

gathering system. Consequently, as of the end of October, there were 17 producing wells. During the month of October, the Goloil license produced an average of 6,313 barrels of oil per day, of which 1,578 was net to Teton. Goloil management expects to complete the above-mentioned pipeline upgrade during January at which time it also expects to commence the operation of its co-generation plant, which has been delayed by permitting issues.

Pursuant to the MOT agreements, MOT is entitled to a production payment in kind. See "MOT Agreements." The production payment is projected to be completed in June, 2007, based on revised leases negotiated in late 2002.

Teton previously paid processing and transportation fees to a third party to process and place its oil in the Trans-Siberia pipeline. Construction of a processing facility on the license area was completed earlier this year. Consequently we no longer incur the third-party processing charge.

Teton's share of the oil production is sold in Poland, Germany, Belorussia, Ukraine and Russia. Sales in Poland, Germany, Ukraine and Belorussia are in United States dollars. Oil sold in Russia is in rubles. Pursuant to the terms of the Goloil license and pipeline quotas issued by

Trans-Neft, the government owned pipeline monopoly, up to a maximum of 35% of Goloil's oil production may be sold outside of the CIS and an additional 10% can be sold to other CIS states. Currently, MOT is required to sell the oil it receives as a production payment into the Russian domestic market. Thus, until the production payment is paid in full, we are able to sell 90% of our share of the production outside of Russia. Currently there are no long-term contracts for the sale of our oil. We currently are not dependent on any principal customer.

The chart below sets forth certain production data for the last three fiscal years. Additional oil and gas disclosure can be found in Note 12 of the Financial Statements.

PRODUCTION DATA

Year Ended December 31 =====	2003 (9 mos.) =====	2002 =====	2001 =====	2000 =====
Total Gross Oil Production, barrels =====	1,922,752	1,884,933	425,459	17,000
Total Gross Gas Production, MCF =====	-	-	-	-
Net Oil Production, barrel(1) =====	480,688	471,233	94,879	14,000
Net Gas Production, MCF =====	-	-	-	-
Average Oil Sales Price, \$/Bbl =====	\$18.94	\$15.38	\$16.43	\$15.00
Average Gas Sales Price, S/MCF =====	-	-	-	-
Average Production Cost per Barrel (3) =====	\$13.12(4)	\$9.96(4)	\$11.22	\$10.00

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Gross Productive Wells

Oil	17.0	13.0	7.0
====	=====	=====	=====
Gas	-	-	-
====	=====	=====	=====
Total	17.0	13.0	7.0
=====	=====	=====	=====
Net Productive Wells(2)			
Oil	8.5	6.5	3.5
====	=====	=====	=====
Gas	-	-	-
====	=====	=====	=====
Total	8.5	6.5	3.5
=====	=====	=====	=====

20

(1) Net production and net well count is based on Teton's effective net interest as of the end of each year. Prior to August 2000 and after November, 2002, Teton owned 100% of the interests in Goltech.

(2) Average oil sales prices is a combination of domestic (Russian) and export price. As a result of the MOU signed December 1, 2002 and the increase in end of period oil prices, the current average price received by the company is \$22.84 as of December 31, 2002.

(3) Excludes production payment to MOT.

(4) If the cost of the production payment, which requires Teton to cover all lifting and G&A costs, is included, the cost per barrel net to Teton would be \$15.51 per barrel in 2002 and \$22.39 per barrel in the first nine months of 2003. See also "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Results of Operations."

The following chart sets forth the number of productive wells and dry exploratory and productive wells drilled and completed during the last three fiscal years in the Goloil license area:

21

NET WELLS DRILLED

Year Ended December 31 =====	2003 =====		2002 =====		2001 =====	
	Gross =====	Net (1) =====	Gross =====	Net (1) =====	Gross =====	Net (1) =====
Number of Wells Drilled						
Exploratory (Research)						
Productive	-	-	-	-	1.0	0.5
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Dry	-	-	-	-	-	-
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Total	-	-	-	-	1.0	0.5
=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Development

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Productive =====	7.0 =====	3.5 =====	6.0 =====	3.0 =====	3.0 =====	1.5 =====
Dry	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total =====	7.0 =====	3.5 =====	6.0 =====	3.0 =====	3.0 =====	1.5 =====

(1) Net well count is based on Teton's effective net interest as of the end of each year. Prior to August 2000, Teton owned 100% of the interests in Goltech. Subsequent to August 2000 our interest was reduced to 50%. In November, 2002, it again became 100%.

United States Trade and Development Agency (TDA) Grants.

In October 2001, Teton finished its study of the feasibility of oil exploration in the Novo-Aganskoye, Galinovaya and East Galinovaya license area of Siberia pursuant to an agreement with Varioganneft JSC. The study was funded by a \$250,000 grant from the TDA. In 2001, we received a final payment of \$37,500 from the TDA for the study. Currently, we do not expect to make any investments in the Novo-Aganskoye, Galinovaya and East Galinovaya license area. Thus, we do not expect to incur any obligation to repay the amounts paid by the TDA in connection with this study.

Teton expects to complete its feasibility study of the Eguryak license area pipeline project in 2003. This study is also funded through a \$300,000 grant from the TDA. Teton has received \$255,000 of the grant amount. The balance of the grant funds will be paid upon completion of the study. Teton may be required to repay the TDA the grant amount if Teton makes certain investments in the Eguryak license area prior to December 31, 2005.

Competition.

We compete in a highly competitive industry. We encounter competition in all of our operations, including property acquisition, and equipment and labor required to operate and to develop our properties. Teton competes with other major oil companies, independent oil companies, and individual producers and operators. Many competitors have financial and other resources substantially greater than ours.

Regulations Governing Russian Joint Stock Companies.

Russian joint stock companies are corporate entities with limited liability similar to corporations formed under United States laws. Shareholders of Russian joint stock companies generally are not liable for debts and obligations of the company. However, shareholders of a bankrupt joint stock company may be held liable for debts and obligations of the bankrupt company if they have exercised their authority to undertake an action knowing that bankruptcy would be the result of their actions. In closed joint stock companies, i.e. companies with a limited number of shareholders, such as Goloil, any transfer of shares by a shareholder to a third party is subject to the pre-emptive right of the other shareholders to acquire such shares at the price offered to a third party.

Under Russian law, a simple majority of voting shares is sufficient to control adoption of most resolutions. Resolutions concerning amendment of the company charter, reorganizations (including mergers), liquidation, any increase in authorized shares, or certain "large" transactions require the approval of the shareholders holding 75% of the outstanding shares.

A Russian joint stock company has no obligation to pay dividends to the holders of common shares. Any dividends paid to shareholders must be recommended by the board of directors and then approved by a majority vote at the general meeting

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

of shareholders. Dividends may be paid every quarter of a year. The Memorandum of Understanding between MOT and Teton (the controlling shareholders) provides that any excess cash will be used to pay back investments on a quarterly basis.

22

Environmental Regulation.

The government of the Russian Federations, Ministry of Natural Resources, and other agencies establish special rules, restrictions and standards for enterprises conducting activities affecting the environment. The general principle of Russian environmental law is that any environmental damage must be fully compensated. Under certain circumstances, top officers of the entity causing substantial environmental

damage may be subject to criminal liability.

The law of the Russian Federation on subsoil requires that all users of subsoil ensure safety of works related to the use of subsoil and comply with existing rules and standards of environment protection. Failure to comply with such rules and standards may result in termination or withdrawal of the Goloil license.

Goloil Taxation.

As a Russian resident entity, Goloil is subject to all applicable Russian taxes, many of which currently impose a significant burden on profits. The most significant Russian taxes and duties affecting Goloil include:

(i) 20% value added tax (established pursuant to Chapter 21 of the Tax Code of Russia), applicable only to domestic sale of goods in Russia and the Ukraine. No value added tax is payable on goods exported to the West from Russia;

(ii) 20 to 24% profit tax which includes 6% federal profit tax, 12 to 16% regional profit tax and 2% local tax (in accordance with Chapter 25 of the Tax Code of Russia). Russian law allows the carry forward and use of losses, subject to limitations;

(iii) Income tax on dividends payable to Goloil's shareholders. The tax must be withheld by Goloil from the amount distributed to each shareholder. The current rate of tax on dividends payable to corporate foreign shareholders is 15%. However, dividends payable to Goltech, a United States resident company, are subject to regulations contained in the United States - Russia tax treaty which limits the tax on dividends payable to Goltech to 5% (as long as Goltech holds more than a 10% interest in Goloil);

(iv) Tax on production of minerals applicable to all subsoil users producing minerals, including crude oil. For the period ending on December 31, 2004, the tax is temporarily established at 340 rubles (ca. USD 10.83) per metric ton produced by the taxpayer multiplied by a factor (F) calculated pursuant to the formula:

$$F = (U-8) \times R/252$$

where:

U - means the average market price of Urals blend crude oil (in dollars per barrel) during the relevant tax period;

R - means the average ruble for dollar exchange rate quoted by the Central Bank of Russia for the relevant tax period.

After expiration of the temporary tax rate period, the tax will apply at the rate of 16.5% of the value of the oil produced by the taxpayer;

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

(v) Unified social tax (established pursuant to Chapter 24 of the Tax Code of Russia) at the rate of up to 35.6% of the payroll;

(vi) Transport tax (established pursuant to Chapter 28 of the Tax Code of Russia) payable by owners of motor vehicles at the rate established by regional authorities based on the type and capacity of the vehicle. The maximum amount of tax payable by an owner of a motor car per year is RUR 150 (ca. USD 4.78) per horsepower;

(vii) Oil export duty, currently in the amount of USD 25.9 per ton of crude oil being exported;

(viii) Regional property tax payable annually at 2% of the value of assets of the entity.

The Russian tax system currently is undergoing a major reorganization. New tax laws including those setting forth rules for application of the value-added tax, profit tax, and tax on the production of minerals were enacted within the last four years. The cost of legal and accounting advice to keep up with changes in the Russian tax laws may be significant and penalties for violations, even inadvertent ones, may be steep. If revisions impose confiscatory taxes, our profitability will be adversely affected.

Employees.

Teton currently has eight full time and two part time employee. We also utilize the services of independent contractors on an as-needed basis. Teton also employs three people in its Moscow representative office. Goloil currently employs approximately 100 employees in Western Siberia and Moscow. Goloil also uses independent contractors on as needed basis.

Properties

23

Glossary of Geological Terms.

Barrel: Equal to 42 U.S. gallons.

Basin: A depressed sediment-filled area, roughly circular or elliptical in shape, sometimes very elongated. Regarded as good areas to explore for oil and gas.

Field: A geographic region situated over one or more subsurface oil and gas reservoirs encompassing at least the outermost boundaries of all oil and gas accumulations known to be within those reservoirs vertically projected to the land surface.

License: Formal or legal permission to explore for oil and gas in a specified area.

Productive: Able to produce oil and/or gas.

Proved reserves: Estimated quantities of crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and natural gas liquids that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be commercially recoverable in the future from known reservoirs under existing conditions using established operating procedures and under current governmental regulations.

Proved undeveloped reserves: Economically recoverable reserves estimated to exist in proved reservoirs, which will be recovered from wells, drilled in the future.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Reserves: The estimated value of oil, gas and/or condensate, which is economically recoverable.

Tons: A ton of oil is equal to 7.29 barrels of oil.

Goloil License

The Goloil license encompasses 187 square kilometers (78 square miles) in the south central portion of the west Siberian basin. It is located approximately 10 miles to the north and west of Samotlor, Russia's largest oil field. Three producing fields are located within the license area: Golevaya, Eguryak, and South Eguryak. The Goloil license expires in 2022, and may be extended upon compliance with the specified program of operations and undertaking of additional operations after the end of its term. The Goloil license may be terminated prior to its term if Goloil fails to comply with the requirements of the license. We believe that we are currently in compliance with all material terms of the Goloil license.

Proved Reserves and Present Value Information

Important Note on Reserve Calculations:

- o Reserve calculations require estimation of future net recoverable reserves of oil and gas and the timing and amount of future net revenues to be received therefrom. Such estimates are based on numerous factors, many of which are variable and uncertain. Accordingly, it is common for the actual production and revenues later received to vary materially from earlier estimates. Estimates made from the first few years of production from a property are not likely to be as reliable as later estimates based on longer production history. Hence, reserve estimates and estimates of future net revenues from production may vary from year to year.
- o There can be no assurance that the reserves described herein will ultimately be produced or that the proved undeveloped reserves described herein will be developed within the periods anticipated. Recovery of undeveloped reserves requires significant capital expenditures and successful drilling operation. The cash flows summarized herein should not be construed as representative of the fair market value of the reserves. Actual results are likely to differ greatly from the results estimated.
- o The Company has not filed reserve estimates with any federal agency.

Our estimated proved oil reserves and present value of the estimated future net revenues attributable to such reserves have been updated for this filing with an effective date of January 1, 2004. They are based on a report issued by the independent consulting firm of Gustavson Associates, Inc. ("Gustavson") located in Boulder, Colorado. The report was updated to take into account production data obtained during 2003 on some of our wells, particularly those producing from the Jurassic formation.

As of January 1, 2004, our proved reserves are estimated at 8.05 million barrels, net to Teton, after deducting quantities required to be delivered under the production payment as summarized below:

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Case =====	Net Reserves, million barrels	Before Profits Tax		After Profits Tax	
	After Deduction of Production Payment =====	Total Undiscounted Cash Flow, million US\$ =====	Present Value Discounted @10%, million US\$ =====	Total Undiscounted Cash Flow, million US\$ =====	Pre Disc m =====
Proved Developed Producing =====	1.02	\$5.57	\$5.19	\$4.53	
Proved Developed Non-Producing =====	0.88	\$5.80	\$4.75	\$4.29	
Total Proved Developed =====	1.90	\$11.37	\$9.93	\$8.82	
Proved Undeveloped =====	6.15	\$41.14	\$25.48	\$30.52	
Total Proved =====	8.05	\$52.51	\$35.42	\$39.34	

The Securities and Exchange Commission requires that estimates of reserves, estimates of future net revenues and the present value of estimated future net revenues be based on the assumption that oil and gas prices will remain at current levels (except for gas prices determined by fixed contracts), and that production costs will not escalate in future periods. All such estimates have been adjusted for the anticipated costs of developing proved undeveloped reserves.

The prices used for this analysis were as of year-end 2003. Teton sells its oil into three different markets: Europe, where the price is tied to the Urals Blend benchmark which itself is closely related to the price for Brent Crude; the domestic Russian market, and to non-Russian FSU markets such as the Ukraine and Byelorussia, generally referred to as the "near abroad". Sales in the domestic and near abroad markets are made in batches, when sufficient quantities of produced oil are available to sell and there are no spot prices are published that apply to these markets. The markets are established by individual transactions, for which the buyers and sellers generally hold the prices confidential.

Consequently, Teton used the Urals Blend benchmark with a -\$2.43 basis adjustment for its export sales, while polling Moscow based oil trading firms for year-end prices for the domestic and near-abroad markets and using the lowest price returned in the polls. The prices used were \$25.00/barrel for export, \$18.00 per barrel for the near abroad, and \$15.00/barrel for the domestic market. Sales were allocated to the three markets at 35% European, 10% FSU, and 55% Russia, which is approximately the historic allocation.

The results are net to Teton and include the impact of the production payments due MOT, and financing and debt repayment. Cash flow amounts assume 50% economics net to Teton without payout. Teton's net share is 50% before payout and 35.295% after payout.

The present value of estimated future net revenues as of January 1, 2004, has been adjusted for Russian profits taxes, but not U.S. income taxes. Teton is not currently incurring any repatriation tax liability due to the structuring of

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

capital input as a loan. Management believes that future repatriation tax liabilities will not be incurred if profits from this project are invested in other projects within Russia. If Teton does not incur repatriation tax liability for the life of this project, the undiscounted total before and after tax cash flow, after production payments would be \$52.51 and \$39.34 million or, discounted at 10%, \$35.42 and \$26.16 million, for total proved reserves.

25

Capital expenditures required to achieve the above cash flows are estimated at \$7.88 million net to Teton for development of proved reserves. Based on our reserve analysis, we expect that cash flow from operations will fully cover both operating expenses and capital investment starting in 2005.

Teton's current agreement with MOT requires ^the two companies each fund half of the capital expenditures required for development. In the event we are unable to fund our portion of the capital expenditures and MOT proceeds with the planned development, our share of the oil production will be decreased. The reverse is also true.

As discussed in the Business section of this filing, MOT and its affiliates were sold in September 2003 to OAO NK RussNeft, a Russian independent oil producer. Russneft succeeds Mediterranean Overseas Trust as operator of the Goloil license but as before, Teton and Russneft jointly develop the capital budget for the license and share other key decision-making. The 50% production payment made by Goloil continues in effect and it is Teton's view that the agreements with MOT governing Goloil's operations remain in effect until new agreements, now being negotiated, are in place.

Until cash flow from operations is sufficient to fund operating expenses and capital investment, Teton must raise additional capital or obtain debt financing to fund its portion of capital expenditures or its interest in the oil production will be reduced. There can be no assurance that Teton will be successful in raising such additional funds.

Changes to the Reserve Report from Prior Period

The following table summarizes the changes that took place when the report was updated:

Reserves and Production, millions of barrels, Net to Teton

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2003	2002
	====	====
Proved reserves (bbls), beginning of period	13,264,000	30,603,000
=====	=====	=====
Production	(704,024)	(471,233)
=====	=====	=====
Deduction of minority interest share	(802,097)	-
=====	=====	=====
Revisions of previous estimates	(3,707,835)	(16,867,767)
=====	=====	=====
Proved reserves (bbls), end of period	8,050,044	13,264,000
=====	=====	=====
Proved Developed reserves (bbls), beginning of period	4,567,000	5,493,000
=====	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Proved Developed reserves (bbls), end of period	1,898,368	4,567,000
=====	=====	=====

- (1) The production payment oil and approximate 30% minority interest (after payout) share of the reserves of Goloil have been deducted from all these numbers.
- (2) Proved developed reserves as of 12/31/03 have been reduced by 1,544,474 bbls out of the total 3,123,779 bbls of Teton's share of the production payment. The remaining production payment quantity of 1,579,305 bbls of Teton's share assumes payment from proved undeveloped reserves to be developed in the future.

In the revised reserve report, Teton's proved reserves declined from 13.26 million barrels to 8.05 million barrels of which 704 thousand barrels reflected production during 2003. Of remaining decline, the largest portion, 3.71 million barrels, was due to a revision from the previous estimate. In particular, the performance of several of the Company's Jurassic formation wells led its engineers to reduce the anticipated primary (before waterflood) recovery of reserves and revise their opinion concerning the necessity of waterflooding. While the Company anticipates it will eventually recover most of the reduction in reserves through waterflooding, SEC regulations do not permit the inclusion of such reserves in the proven category in the absence of either a pilot program or formal written commitment by the operator and non-operators in a project to commence the waterflood project. The Company also removed several Jurassic locations from the proved category, either because they were deemed uneconomic for primary production alone, based on the performance of offsetting Jurassic producing wells or in two cases because the operator and Company have not yet formally agreed to drill them. The company expects to restore the reserves from the two wells to the proven category when they are drilled.

26

A second factor for the decline in proven reserves in 2003 was the Company's decision to deduct from its reserve estimate the 802 thousand barrels belonging to certain minority interests in Goloil. These interests are not entitled to any revenues from the project until such time as Teton and MOT have recovered their investment in the Goloil license.

Finally, as previously reported in its Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, the Company recorded a decline in its reserves for the year 2002 of 16.87 million barrels. The majority of the reserve reduction in this period was attributable to revision of the geologic maps of the license area based on new and reprocessed seismic data and interpretations. The new interpretation led to a reduction in the number of anticipated drilling locations and with them, reserves.

Developed And Undeveloped Acreage

The following table sets forth the total gross and net developed acres and total gross and net underdeveloped acres subject to the Goloil License as of December 31, 2003:

Eguryak License Area:
 Total Developed Acres
 Gross 1,049
 Net 525
 Total Proved Undeveloped Acres
 Gross 1,494
 Net 747
 Total Other Undeveloped Acres

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Gross 6,481
Net 3,241

Our offices are located in Denver, Colorado. We lease our offices from an unaffiliated third party. This year we also opened a representative

office in Moscow, also leased from an unaffiliated third party.

Legal Proceedings

Teton currently is not a party to any material legal proceedings.

27

MANAGEMENT

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Directors, executive officers, and significant employees of Teton, their respective ages and positions with Teton are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
----	---	-----
H. Howard Cooper	46	Chairman and Founder
Karl F. Arleth	54	President, CEO, Secretary and Director
Igor Effimoff	57	Executive VP and COO
James J. Woodcock	65	Director
Thomas F. Conroy	64	Director
John Connor	62	Director
John Mahar	49	Chief Financial Officer
Ilia Gurevich	39	Controller

H. HOWARD COOPER, has been our chairman and founder since 1996. Mr. Cooper was our president and CEO from 1996 until May 2003. Mr. Cooper founded American Tyumen in November 1996. He served as a director and president of American Tyumen until the merger with EQ. Since the merger, he has held these same positions with Teton. From 1992 to 1994 Mr. Cooper served with AIG, an insurance group. In 1994, he was a principal with Central Asian Petroleum, an oil and gas company with its primary operations in Kazakhstan, located in Denver, Colorado. Mr. Cooper has a bachelor's degree from the University of Colorado in business and a master's degree from Columbia University, NYC in international affairs.

KARL F. ARLETH, has been our president and CEO since May 2003 and our director since 2002. Mr. Arleth is the Chief Operating Officer and a Board member of S9efton Resources, Inc. Ending in 1999, Mr. Arleth spent 21 years with Amoco and BP-Amoco. In 1998 he chaired the Board of the Azerbaijan International Operating Company (AIOC) for BP-Amoco in Baku, Azerbaijan. Concurrently in 1997-98, he was also President of Amoco Caspian Sea Petroleum Ltd. in Azerbaijan and Director of Strategic Planning for Amoco Corporations Worldwide Exploration and Production Sector in Chicago. From 1992 to 1996 Mr. Arleth was President of Amoco Poland Ltd. in Warsaw, Poland.

IGOR EFFIMOFF. Mr. Effimoff was most recently President of Pennzoil Caspian Corporation, managing the company's interests in the Caspian Region. This included the Azerbaijan International Oil Consortium (AIOC), formed to develop the 4.5 BBO Azeri-Chirag-Guneshli (ACG) Fields. He started his career in 1972 as a geologist with Shell and since 1981 has worked with several US domestic and international oil and gas companies in a senior management capacity

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

THOMAS F. CONROY, has been our chief financial officer since March 2002, secretary since April 2002, and director since 2002. Mr. Conroy is a Certified Public Accountant with an MBA from the University of Chicago. Since 2002, Mr. Conroy has been a principal member of Mann-Conroy-Eisenberg & Assoc. LLC, a life insurance and reinsurance consulting firm. Since 2001, Mr. Conroy has been a managing principal of Strategic Reinsurance Consultants International LLC, a life reinsurance consulting and brokerage firm. Ending in 2001, Mr. Conroy, spent 27 years with ING and its predecessor organizations, serving in various financial positions and leading two of its strategic business units as President. As President of ING Reinsurance, he established their international presence, setting up facilities in The Netherlands, Bermuda, Ireland and Japan. He also served as an Officer and Board Member of Security Life of Denver Insurance Company and its subsidiaries.

JAMES J. WOODCOCK has been a director since 2002. Since 1981, Mr. Woodcock has been the owner and CEO of Hy-Bon Engineering Company, based in Midland, Texas. Hy-Bon is an engineering firm and manufacturer of vapor recovery, gas boosters, and casing pressure reduction systems for the oil industry. Since 1996, Mr. Woodcock has been a board member of Renovar Energy, a private firm located in Midland Texas. From 1997 to 2002, Mr. Woodcock was the chairman of Transrepublic Resources, a private firm located in Midland Texas.

JOHN CONNOR became a director in 2003 and chairs the Board's audit committee. He is the Founder and Portfolio Manager of the Third Millennium Russia Fund, a US based mutual fund specializing in the equities of Russian public companies. A former attorney at Cravath, Swaine & Moore in New York City, he has been a partner in leading law firms in New York, Washington and New Jersey. Mr. Connor is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and the American Law Institute.

JOHN MAHAR. Since 1995, Mr. Mahar has been a Managing Director of Gladstone Capital, LLC, an oil-and-gas financial advisory firm based in New York he

28

co-founded. Prior to forming Gladstone Capital, Mr. Mahar worked in the New York office of Schroder Capital Management International, Inc. where he was responsible for the firm's domestic U.S. investment operations. He started his career at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, where he served as an analyst and foreign exchange trader. He has a B.A. from Union College ('76) and an MBA from the Simon School of Business at the University of Rochester ('78)

ILIA GUREVICH. Mr. Gurevich attended both University of Saratov and University Colorado graduating with Masters in Science and Economy of the Machine Construction Industry and a Masters of Science in Finance respectively. His US-Russia business relations date back to his work at Technoforce Saratov where he was responsible for database of oil fields, budgeting, and financial support for the projects. Most recently, Mr. Gurevich performed security analysis for mid and large-cap publicly traded companies until he became full time Controller of Teton.

All directors serve as directors for a term of one year or until his successor is elected and qualified. All officers hold office until the first meeting of the board of directors after the annual meeting of stockholders next following his election or until his successor is elected and qualified. A director or officer may also resign at any time.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors has a Compensation Committee and an Audit Committee. The Compensation Committee and Audit Committee currently consists of one director

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

James J. Woodcock. Mr Woodcock is an independent director based on Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASD's listing standards. We are currently seeking another independent director to join Mr. Woodcock.

The purpose of the Compensation Committee is to review the Company's compensation of its executives, to make determinations relative thereto and to submit recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect thereto in order to ensure that such officers and directors receive adequate and fair compensation. The Compensation Committee did not meet during the last fiscal year.

During the fiscal year ending 2003, the Audit Committee will be responsible for the general oversight of audit, legal compliance and potential conflict of interest matters, including (a) recommending the engagement and termination of the independent public accountants to audit the financial statements of the Company, (b) overseeing the scope of the external audit services, (c) reviewing adjustments recommended by the independent public accountant and addressing disagreements between the independent public accountants and management, (d) reviewing the adequacy of internal controls and management's handling of identified material inadequacies and reportable conditions in the internal controls over financial reporting and compliance with laws and regulations, and (e) supervising the internal audit function, which may include approving the selection, compensation and termination of internal auditors.

The Audit Committee did not meet during the last fiscal year because it was approved late in the 2002 year by the Board of Directors and was not formally in place to perform its functions. However, the responsibilities of the Audit Committee during 2002 were conducted by the board of directors. Effective as of February 17, 2003, the Board of Directors adopted a charter for the Audit Committee detailing its duties and powers. A copy of the Audit Committee charter is included as Exhibit A to this Form 10KSB.

For the fiscal year ended 2002, the Board of Directors conducted discussions with management and the independent auditor regarding the acceptability and the quality of the accounting principles used in the reports in accordance with Statements on Accounting Standards (SAS) No. 61,. These discussions included the clarity of the disclosures made therein, the underlying estimates and assumptions used in the financial reporting and the reasonableness of the significant judgments and management decisions made in developing the financial statements. In addition, the board of directors discussed with the independent auditor the matters in the written disclosures required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1.

For the fiscal year ended 2002, the Board of Directors have also discussed with management and its independent auditors issues related to the overall scope and objectives of the audits conducted, the internal controls used by the Company, and the selection of the Company's independent auditor. Additional meetings were held with the independent auditor, with financial management present, to discuss the specific results of audit investigations and examinations and the auditor's judgments regarding any and all of the above issues.

Pursuant to the reviews and discussions described above, the Board of Directors recommended that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted its Code of Ethics and Business Conduct for Officers, Directors and Employees that applies to all of the officers, directors and employees of the Company.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation received by Mr. Howard Cooper, the President of Teton, who serves as its chief executive officer for the last three fiscal years:

Summary Compensation Table

Name & Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Other Annual Compen- sation (\$)	Restricted Stock Awards	Options SARs	LTIP Payouts (\$)(1)	Al
H. Howard Cooper, President	2002	160,000	50,000	0	0	4,500,000	0	
	2001	210,000	0	0	0	0	0	
	2000	17,000	0	0	0	1,000,000	0	

1. In consideration of services rendered, Mr. Cooper received 4,500,000 warrants to purchase shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$.27 which was the market price of our common stock on the date of the grant.

Stock Options.

During the year ended December 31, 2002, Mr. Cooper did not have any options.

Employee Pension, Profit Sharing or Other Retirement Plans.

The Company does not have a defined benefit, pension plan, profit sharing, or other retirement plan.

Compensation of Directors.

The Company pays a quarterly fee of \$6,000 to its outside directors and reimburses them for their travel and other related expenses incurred in the exercise of their duties.. In the Company's sole discretion, the Company may issue stock options or warrants to its directors.

Employment Contracts.

Teton and Mr. Cooper entered into a new employment agreement, effective May 1, 2002. The employment agreement is for a three year term. Mr. Cooper's initial salary under the agreement is \$13,333 per month. In the board's discretion, he may receive additional bonus compensation. Mr. Cooper's employment is terminated immediately upon his death or permanent disability. Teton may also terminate Mr. Cooper's employment immediately for cause, as defined in the agreement. Mr. Cooper may terminate his employment immediately for good reason, as defined in the agreement. Additionally, either Teton or Mr. Cooper may terminate Mr. Cooper's employment upon 60 days prior written notice to the other. Upon termination of Mr. Cooper's employment without cause by Teton or for good reason by Mr. Cooper, Mr. Cooper is entitled to severance pay. The severance pay is equal to Mr. Cooper's salary for the preceding 24 months. Such severance may be paid in monthly installments over 24 months from the date of termination. Teton may discontinue the severance payments if Mr. Cooper violates the confidentiality, ^non-competition, or ^non-solicitation provisions of his employment agreement. After the third year, the agreement is automatically renewed from year to year, unless it is terminated as provided above.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Mr. Cooper's new agreement replaced the employment agreement dated effective December 1, 2000 (the "2000 Employment Agreement"). The 2000 Employment Agreement provided for an initial term of two years and an initial salary of \$17,500 per month. The 2000 Employment Agreement also provided that upon the termination of Mr. Cooper without his consent, except for terminations related to a criminal conviction, death, disability, incapacity, bankruptcy, insolvency, gross negligence, gross dereliction of duty, or gross misconduct, that Mr. Cooper was entitled to a lump sum payment equal to three months salary, based on the salary being paid to Mr. Cooper at the date of termination.

30

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In 2001, Mr. Cooper loaned \$137,000 to Teton. Such loan, together with interest at 8.28% per annum was due on February 1, 2002. The due date was subsequent extended to April 15, 2002, and was paid in full in April 2002.

Management believes that the terms of these transactions with its management were at least as favorable to the Company as those terms which the Company could have obtained from unrelated third parties through arms-length negotiations.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following tables sets forth, as of January 13, 2004, the number of and percent of our common stock beneficially owned by (a) all directors and nominees, naming them, (b) our executive officers, (c) our directors and executive officers as a group, without naming them, and (d) persons or groups known by us to own beneficially 5% or more of our common stock:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
H. Howard Cooper 1600 Broadway, Suite 2400 Denver, Colorado 80202-4921	1,214,667 (1)	12.6%
Karl Arleth P.O. Box 23507 0467 Lariat Loop Silverthorne, CO 80498	608,334 (3)	7.3%
James J. Woodcock 2404 Commerce Drive Midland, TX 79702	608,334 (2)	6.7%
John Connor 1600 Broadway, Suite 2400 Denver, Colorado 80202-4921	467,108 (8)	5.3%
Igor Effimoff 13134 Hermitage Lane Houston, TX 77079	92,101 (4)	1.1%
John Mahar 7 West 73rd St. New York, NY 10023	83,334 (5)	1.0%

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Thomas F. Conroy 3825 S. Colorado Blvd. Denver, CO 80110	83,334 (6)	1.0%
--	------------	------

Ilia Gurevich 1804 South Ironton Street Aurora, CO 80012	34,770 (7)	0.4%
--	------------	------

^

All executive officers and Directors as a group (7 persons)	3,193,982	28.19%
--	-----------	--------

(1) Includes (i) 145,857 shares of common stock, (ii) 465,521 shares underlying warrants and (iii) 603,289 shares underlying warrants exercisable at \$3.48 per share.

(2) Includes (i) 100,963 shares of common stock, (ii) 297,223 shares underlying warrants and (iii) 210,148 shares underlying warrants exercisable at \$3.48 per share.

(3) Includes (i) 75,772 shares of common stock, (ii) 197,995 shares underlying warrants and (iii) 410,339 shares underlying warrants exercisable at \$3.48 per share.

(4) Includes (i) 89,815 shares underlying warrants exercisable at \$3.48 per share, (ii) 1,905 shares underlying Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, and (iii) 381 shares underlying Class B Common Stock Purchase Warrants.

(5) Represents 83,334 shares of underlying warrants exercisable at \$3.48 per share.

31

(6) Includes (i) 15,972 shares of common stock, (ii) 38,704 shares underlying warrants and (iii) 28,658 shares underlying warrants exercisable at \$3.48 per share.

(7) Represents 24,456 shares of underlying warrants exercisable at \$3.48 per

(8) Includes (i) 183,554 shares of common stock owned indirectly, (ii) 183,554 shares shares of common stock underlying warrants, which owned indirectly, and (iii) 100,000 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$3.40 per share share.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES BEING REGISTERED

The following description of our capital stock is a summary and is qualified in its entirety by the provisions of our Articles of Incorporation, with amendments, all of which have been filed as exhibits to our registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Our Amended Articles of Incorporation authorize the issuance of 250,000,000 shares of common stock, \$.001 par value per share. Holders of shares of common stock are entitled to one vote for each share on all matters to be voted on by the stockholders. Holders of common stock have cumulative voting rights. Holders of shares of common stock are entitled to share ratably in dividends, if any, as may be declared, from time to time by the Board of Directors in its discretion, from funds legally available therefor. In the event of a liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Company, the holders of shares of common stock are entitled to share pro rata all assets remaining after payment in full of all

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

liabilities. Holders of common stock have no preemptive or other subscription rights, and there are no conversion rights or redemption or sinking fund provisions with respect to such shares. The Board of Directors, from time to time in its sole discretion, has the authority to fix the powers, rights, qualifications, limitations, and restrictions pertaining to the preferred stock.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling stockholder and any of their pledgees, assignees and successors-in-interest may, from time to time, sell any or all of their shares of common stock on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private transactions. These sales may be at fixed or negotiated prices. The selling stockholder may use any one or more of the following methods when selling shares:

- ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits the purchaser;
- block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;
- purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;
- an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange;
- privately-negotiated transactions; -- short sales;
- broker-dealers may agree with the selling stockholder to sell a specified number of such shares at a stipulated price per share; and
- a combination of any such methods of sale.

In the event sales are made to broker-dealers as principals, we would be required to file a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which the prospectus forms a part. In such post-effective amendment, we would be required to disclose the names of any participating broker-dealers and the compensation arrangements relating to such sales. In addition, if any shares of common stock or warrants offered for sale pursuant to this prospectus are transferred, subsequent holders could not use this prospectus until a post-effective amendment is filed, naming such holders.

The selling stockholder may also sell shares under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, if available, rather than under this prospectus.

The selling stockholder may pledge their shares to their brokers under the margin provisions of customer agreements. If a selling stockholder defaults on a margin loan, the broker may, from time to time, offer and sell the pledged shares.

The selling stockholder may also engage in short sales against the box, puts and calls and other transactions in our securities or derivatives of our securities and may sell or deliver shares in connection with these trades. The selling stockholder may pledge their shares of common stock to their brokers under the margin provisions of customer agreements. If a selling stockholder defaults on a margin loan, the broker may, from time to time, offer and sell the pledged shares.

Broker-dealers engaged by the selling stockholder may arrange for other broker-dealers to participate in sales. Broker-dealers may receive commissions or discounts from the selling stockholder (or, if any broker-dealer acts as agent for the purchaser of shares, from the purchaser) in amounts to be negotiated. The selling stockholder do not expect these commissions and discounts to exceed what is customary in the types of transactions involved.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

The selling stockholder shall be deemed to be an "underwriter" within the meaning of the Securities Act in connection with such sales. In such event, any commissions received by such broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the shares purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act.

We are required to pay all fees and expenses incident to the registration of the shares, including fees and disbursements of counsel to the selling stockholder, but excluding brokerage commissions or underwriter discounts. We and the selling stockholder have agreed to indemnify each other against certain losses, claims, damages and liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act.

Penny Stock

The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has adopted Rule 15g-9 which establishes the definition of a "penny stock," for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require: (i) that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and (ii) the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased. In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must (i) obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and (ii) make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks. The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prepared by the Commission relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form, (i) sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and (ii) that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction. Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

33

SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

The table below sets forth information concerning the resale of the shares of common stock by the selling stockholders. We will not receive any proceeds from the resale of the common stock by the selling stockholders. We will receive proceeds from the exercise of the warrants. Assuming all the shares registered below are sold by the selling stockholders, none of the selling stockholders will continue to own any shares of our common stock.

The following table also sets forth the name of each person who is offering the resale of shares of common stock by this prospectus, the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each person, the number of shares of common stock that may be sold in this offering and the number of shares of common stock each person will own after the offering, assuming they sell all of the shares offered.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

COMMON STOCK:

Name and address of Beneficial Owner	Before Offering		After Offerings	
	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percent of Class (%)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percent of Class (%)
Advisory Group	132,464	1.94%	0	0
Alex Campbell	7,047	*	0	0
Alfred John Knapp, Jr. SEP c/o Citizens National Bank Custodian	70,046	1.01%	0	0
Alzarouni, Ali M.S.	14,123	*	0	0
Alzarouni, Ayoub M.A.	21,184	*	0	0
Alzarouni, Hesham M.S.	21,184	*	0	0
Alzarouni, Sami Ismile	14,123	*	0	0
Amarillys Inc.	71,188	1.04%	0	0
AMPM Enterprises	122,580	1.79%	0	0
Andrew Deitz	10,509	*	0	0
Andrew P. Echtermeyer	7,006	*	0	0
Andrew W. Coulton	7,006	*	0	0
Andrew W. Cumming	105,767	1.56%	0	0
Arthur J. Niebauer	1,576	*	0	0
Athens Building Inc.	70,485	1.03%	0	0
Avanti Resources, LTD	4,379	*	0	0
Avv. Carlo Canal	70,860	1.04%	0	0
Balruddery Investments Inc.	105,067	1.54%	0	0
Bank Leumi le-Israel, Zurich	106,083	1.55%	0	0
Barfield Nominees A/C 12239	161,102	2.35%	0	0
Barnaby J. Howard	14,262	*	0	0

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Barry Alter	17,857	*	0	0
Barry Biniaris	28,019	*	0	0
Barry Skolnick	17,512	*	0	0
Bernard Klein Holdings Ltd.	17,832	*	0	0
Braden Resources Corporation	17,736	*	0	0
Brent Alexander Oswald	1,429	*	0	0
Brian Gruson	58,048	*	0	0
Brownstone Resources	71,499	1.05%	0	0
BTR Global Arbitrage Trading Limited	175,111	2.55%	0	0
BTR Global Opportunity Trading Limited	35,023	*	0	0
Builders (Int'l) Limited	71,426	1.05%	0	0
C&J Pension Trust, James J. & Claire Woodcock Trustees*	143,325	2.09%	0	0
C.R. Bailey	7,043	*	0	0
Candace G. Taylor	1,427	*	0	0
Carlo Canal	70,045	1.03%	0	0
Carol Press	4,379	*	0	0
Carole Hall	17,512	*	0	0
Cedric Middleton	3,503	*	0	0
CGT Management	350,222	5.10%	0	0
Charles Cannon Brookes	10,507	*	0	0
Charles Schwab & Co Inc. fbo Susan Crowe Whitcomb, IRA	28,418	*	0	0
Chris Deitz	31,787	*	0	0
Christiaan Marie Rutten	14,266	*	0	0
Christopher Vujnovich	35,024	*	0	0
Conroy & Co.	24,940	*	0	0
Credit Agricole Indosuez (Suisse) SA	178,483	2.62%	0	0
Crystal Oswald IRA	9,627	*	0	0

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Current Capital Corporation	183,997	2.69%	0	0
-----------------------------	---------	-------	---	---

35

Dale H. & Jean F. Dorn
Testamentary Trust FBO

Dale F. Dorn	35,371	*	0	0
Daniel Luskind	1,576	*	0	0
David Curran	10,670	*	0	0
David Reitz	3,503	*	0	0
David T. Annear	714	*	0	0
Dennis H. and Peggy L. Sun	1,426	*	0	0
DL Family Limited Partnership	3,242	*	0	0
Eaton Vance Emerald Emerging Markets Fund	19,263	*	0	0
Edward Ajootian	71,134	1.04%	0	0
Energy Properties Limited, LP	3,242	*	0	0
Enza Vitiello	88,916	1.30%	0	0
Eurofinance, Inc.	431,756	6.17%	0	0
Excelsior Mining Fund	43,726	*	0	0
Family Tree Corporation	17,848	*	0	0
Finanz-Und Unternehmensberatung	26,771	*	0	0
Francis D. Hopkins	2,102	*	0	0
Franco Pivoli	28,502	*	0	0
Garrett R. Bowden	3,503	*	0	0
Gene A. Williams	354	*	0	0
George Hardy	17,830	*	0	0
George W. Clay IV	53,131	*	0	0
Gerhard Jansen	4,167	*	0	0
Gian Carlo Testori	7,006	*	0	0

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Global Undervalued Securities	700,442	9.84%	0	0
Great Eastern Energy & Development Corporation	70,588	1.04%	0	0
Hare & Co.	168,106	2.45%	0	0
Harry Ptasynski and Nola Grace Ptasynski, husband and wife	4,052	*	0	0
Henry D. Haskell	35,521	*	0	0
Henry S. Krauss	1,576	*	0	0
Herbert W. Mahler	7,119	*	0	0
Ilia Gurevich	13,162	*	0	0

36

Ivy L. Fredericks	8,406	*	0	0
James Cleaver	14,189	*	0	0
James J. Woodcock	100,000	1.45%	0	0
James Ladner	28,297	*	0	0
Janine C. Lederman	35,023	*	0	0
Jeffrey R. Shotbolt	17,743	*	0	0
Jim Kirby	22,910	*	0	0
Joel A.B. Clark	4,967	*	0	0
Johathan Banks	17,764	*	0	0
John F. Dorn	35,371	*	0	0
John Hunzinger	3,528	*	0	0
John P. O'Shea	7,880	*	0	0
Joseph Bongiorno	14,183	*	0	0
Judith Douglas	4,379	*	0	0
Kane, Gillian	702	*	0	0
Kelly Butler	7,006	*	0	0
Kelly V. Oswald	7,140	*	0	0
Ken M. Welshimer and Theresa L. Welshimer, husband and wife	7,067	*	0	0

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Laurent Cohen	21,014	*	0	0
Laurus Master Fund, Ltd.	166,667	2.40%	0	0
Lee M. Wheeler	17,512	*	0	0
Leon Ventures Inc.	35,562	*	0	0
Lillian C. Leiva	7,006	*	0	0
Louis A. Oswald III IRA	4,212	*	0	0
Louis A. Oswald Jr.	2,857	*	0	0
Lucia Gison	70,964	1.09%	0	0
Lynda Yablon	4,379	*	0	0
Lyndon R. Wentz	3,563	*	0	0
M&D Land Company	1,426	*	0	0
Malto Investments LLC	35,024	*	0	0
Mark Wehde and Marilee	3,566	*	0	0
Marrs McLean Bowman	35,371	*	0	0
Mary and Keith Axelson, husband and wife	3,531	*	0	0

37

Marrs McLean Bowman	16,667	0.37%	0	0
Mary and Keith Axelson, husband and wife	1,667	0.04%	0	0
Maxim Partners LLC	10,000	0.22%	0	0
Melvin T. and Laurie L. Baker, as tenants by the entirety	303	0.01%	0	0
Michael Hopkins	334	0.01%	0	0
Michael J. Hayward	6,667	0.15%	0	0
Michael R. Block	1,667	0.04%	0	0
Midhill, a Wyoming Partnership, trustee f/b/o Susan E. Heiss IRA	6,055	*	0	0
Mr. Gunnar C. Askeland	3,334	0.07%	0	0

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Mr. James Rosenfield	16,667	0.37%	0	0
Ms. Lee M. Wheeler	8,334	0.18%	0	0
Musgrave Investments, Ltd.	12,485	0.28%	0	0
Nicholas E. Wendland	1,514	0.03%	0	0
North Link Oil and Gas, Ltd.	6,667	0.15%	0	0
Orhan I. Sadik-Khan	16,668	0.36%	0	0
P. Richard Restall	16,667	0.37%	0	0
Palm Beach Overseas Investment Limited	183,114	4.06%	0	0
Patrick O'Meara	33,334	0.74%	0	0
Paul Birmingham	10,002	*	0	0
Paul Wehde	152	0.00%	0	0
Pershing Keen Nominees Limited A/C AGCLT	28,334	1.29%	0	0
Philip R. Kruse	6,667	0.15%	0	0
Pipeline Displays Ltd.	16,667	0.37%	0	0
R.F. Bailey	11,667	0.26%	0	0
Rachael Krutchkoff	6,667	0.15%	0	0
Regency Resources, Inc.	8,334	0.18%	0	0
Richard and Dianne Kane	334	0.01%	0	0
Richard Butler	20,000	0.44%	0	0
Richard Elder	33,334	0.74%	0	0
Richard Lamb	33,334	0.74%	0	0
Robert D. and Julie L. Annear, joint tenants	667	0.01%	0	0
38				
Rockwell Capital Ventures	48,334	1.08%	0	0
Ron Amini	33,334	0.74%	0	0
Ronald Weslosky	757	0.02%	0	0
Russell N. Adkins	8,334	0.18%	0	0
S. Lee Bowden	1,667	0.04%	0	0

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Sagi Genger	8,334	0.18%	0	0
Salomon Smith Barney, Trustee for the IRA of Karl F. Arleth	16,667	0.37%	0	0
Salvatore Somma	6,667	0.15%	0	0
Samuel D. Haskell	10,000	0.22%	0	0
Sapphire Capital Limited	33,334	0.74%	0	0
Sheldon Inwentash	8,334	0.18%	0	0
Shirley L. Shanker	834	0.02%	0	0
Simon Piggott	-	0.00%	0	0
Snapper, Inc.	118,168	2.63%	0	0
Strategic Partners Ltd.	2,000,001	4.44%	0	0
Suncrest Securities Corporation	33,334	0.74%	0	0
The Advisory Group	36,667	0.81%	0	0
Third Millennium Russia Fund	166,667	3.70	0	0
Thomas D. & Sheila K. Lawson	6,667	0.15%	0	0
Timothy John Cawston	8,334	0.18%	0	0
Tradewinds Offshore Fund	8,334	0.18%	0	0
UFG Tradewinds Debt Strategies Fund, LP	25,000	0.55%	0	0
UFG Tradewinds Russia Value Fund, LLC	16,667	0.37%	0	0
Veronica Kristi Prenn	33,334	0.74%	0	0
Veronica Kristi Prenn	33,334	0.74%	0	0
Volga Fixed Income Fund, L.P.	33,334	0.74%	0	0
Vostok Fund LP	83,334	1.85%	0	0
William and Nancy Axelson	1,667	0.04%	0	0
William Flynn	3,027	0.07%	0	0
William Gaskey	4,167	0.09%	0	0

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

39

William J. Ritger	9,000	0.20%	0	0
William Ritger	25,000	0.55%	0	0
Wind River Resources, Inc.	1,514	0.03%	0	0
Wyatt R. Haskell	83,334	1.85%	0	0
Yergin, Daniel H.	25,001	*	0	0

Unless otherwise noted below, each of the above-referenced warrants are exercisable into one shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.00.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the shares of common stock being offered hereby will be passed upon for us by Sichenzia Ross Friedman Ference LLP, New York, New York.

EXPERTS

Our consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2001 and 2002 appearing in this prospectus and registration statement have been audited by Ehrhardt Keefe Steiner & Hottman PC, Inc., independent auditors, as set forth on their report thereon appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, and are included in reliance upon such report given upon the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We have filed a registration statement on Form SB-2 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, relating to the shares of common stock being offered by this prospectus, and reference is made to such registration statement. This prospectus constitutes the prospectus of Teton Petroleum Company, filed as part of the registration statement, and it does not contain all information in the registration statement, as certain portions have been omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") which requires us to file reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such reports, proxy statements and other information may be inspected at public reference facilities of the SEC at Judiciary Plaza, 450 Fifth Street N.W., Washington D.C. 20549; Northwest Atrium Center, 500 West Madison Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, Illinois 60661; and 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90036. Copies of such material can be obtained from the Public Reference Section of the SEC at Judiciary Plaza, 450 Fifth Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 at prescribed rates. Because we file documents electronically with the SEC, you may also obtain this information by visiting the SEC's Internet website at [HTTP://WWW.SEC.GOV](http://www.sec.gov). -----

We furnish our stockholders with annual reports containing audited financial statements.

40

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Teton Petroleum Company

Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2003

Financial Statements.....	F-1
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS.....	F-2
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS.....	F-3 - F-4
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS.....	F-5
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	F-6 - F-10

Audited Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2002

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT.....	F-11
Financial Statements.....	F-12 - F-31
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET.....	F-12
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS.....	F-13
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY.....	F-14
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS.....	F-15
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	F-16 - F-31

F-1

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	Assets	September 30, 2003 (Unaudited)

Current assets		
Cash		\$ 1,955,253
Proportionate share of Goloil accounts receivable		19,121
Proportionate share of Goloil VAT and other accounts receivable		1,857,283
Stock subscriptions receivable		--
Proportionate share of Goloil inventory		979,360
Prepaid expenses and other assets		90,992

Total current assets		4,902,009

Non-current assets		
Oil and gas properties, net (successful efforts)		10,488,152
Fixed assets, net		419,256

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Total non-current assets	10,907,408
<hr/>	
Total assets	\$15,809,417
<hr/>	
Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit	
Current liabilities	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 233,104
Proportionate share of Goloil accounts payable and accrued liabilities (Note 2)	3,745,121
Current portion of proportionate share of notes payable owed to affiliate (Note 2)	5,107,805
Notes payable, net of discount of \$47,907	580,843
<hr/>	
Total current liabilities	9,666,873
<hr/>	
Non-current liabilities	
Proportionate share of notes payable advances owed to affiliate	--
<hr/>	
Total non-current liabilities	--
<hr/>	
Total liabilities	9,666,873
<hr/>	
Commitments and contingencies	
Stockholders' equity	
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 250,000,000 and 100,000,000 shares authorized, 6,807,360 and 6,289,520 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002	6,807
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, 606,335 shares issued and outstanding	606
Additional paid-in capital	30,193,042
Accumulated deficit	(25,163,412)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	1,105,500
<hr/>	
Total stockholders' equity	6,142,545
<hr/>	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$15,809,417
<hr/>	

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

F-2

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

For the Three Months Ended
September 30,

2003

2002

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

	-----	-----
Sales	\$ 2,718,066	\$ 2,204,613
Cost of sales and expenses		
Oil and gas production	618,141	664,241
Transportation and marketing	199,446	189,115
Taxes other than income taxes	1,486,250	1,172,574
Export duties	334,789	295,356
General and administrative - Goloil	261,420	149,091
General and administrative - Teton Petroleum	921,761	439,061
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	274,538	68,419
	-----	-----
Total cost of sales and expenses	4,096,346	2,977,856
	-----	-----
(Loss) income from operations	(1,378,279)	(773,243)
	-----	-----
Other income (expense)		
Other income	(1,522)	700
Financing charges	(61,569)	(1,390,951)
Interest expense	(55,034)	(52,675)
	-----	-----
Total other income (expense)	(118,125)	(1,442,926)
	-----	-----
Net loss before taxes	(1,496,404)	(2,216,169)
	-----	-----
Foreign income tax	18,870	--
Net loss	(1,477,534)	(2,216,169)
	-----	-----
Preferred stock dividend	(18,556)	--
Net loss applicable to common stock	(1,496,090)	(2,216,169)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax		
Effect of exchange rates	(80,590)	20,000
	-----	-----
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(80,590)	20,000
	-----	-----
Comprehensive loss	\$ (1,576,590)	\$ (2,196,169)
	=====	=====
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	6,807,360	2,803,934
	=====	=====
Basic and diluted (loss) income per common share	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.78)
	=====	=====

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
Sales	\$ 9,105,338	\$ 4,305,274
Cost of sales and expenses		
Oil and gas production	1,456,857	882,202
Transportation and marketing	801,245	398,389
Taxes other than income taxes	4,163,956	2,249,313
Export Duties	1,492,999	448,338
General and administrative - Goloil	648,905	383,419
General and administrative - Teton Petroleum	2,675,683	1,950,258
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	662,769	149,806
Total cost of sales and expenses	11,902,415	6,461,725
Loss from operations	(2,797,076)	(2,156,451)
Other income (expense)		
Other income	0	2,508
Financing charges	(61,916)	(5,444,901)
Interest expense	(178,139)	(328,938)
Total other income (expense)	(240,055)	(5,771,331)
Net loss before taxes	(3,037,131)	(7,927,782)
Foreign income tax	(103,548)	--
Net loss	(3,140,679)	(7,927,782)
Preferred stock dividend	(18,556)	--
Net loss applicable to common stock	(3,159,235)	(7,927,782)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax		
Effect of exchange rates	375,000	(112,000)
Other comprehensive (loss) income	375,000	(112,000)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (2,784,235)	\$ (8,039,782)
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	6,614,638	2,500,058
Basic and diluted (loss) income per common share	\$ (0.48)	\$ (3.17)

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

F-4

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For the Nine Months September	
	2003	
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (3,140,679)	\$ (
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash used in operating activities		
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	628,458	
Stock and stock options issued for services and interest	97,901	
Debentures issued for services	--	
Amortization of note payable discount	62,257	
Changes in assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(320,296)	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	454	
Inventory	(476,371)	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	106,968	
	99,371	
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,041,307)	(
Cash flows from investing activities		
Oil and gas properties and equipment expenditures	(4,437,637)	(
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,437,637)	(
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net (repayments) proceeds from advances under notes payable from affiliate	2,159,380	
Proceeds from stock subscriptions	1,939,610	
Proceeds from deposits on convertible debentures	--	
Proceeds from convertible debentures	--	
Proceeds from issuance of stock, net of issue costs of \$208,100 (2003)....	3,619,444	
Proceeds from notes payable	628,750	
Payments on notes payable	--	
Net cash provided by financing activities	8,347,184	
Effect of exchange rates	375,000	
Net (decrease) increase in cash	1,243,240	

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Cash - beginning of year	712,013	

Cash - end of period	\$ 1,955,253	\$
	=====	==

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

F-5

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activity:

During the nine months ended September 30, 2003, the Company had the following transactions:

- o 7,408 shares of stock were issued to a consultant for services valued at \$20,000 provided in 2001 and accrued in accounts payable.
- o 73,422 shares of stock and 66,667 warrants exercisable at \$6.00 were issued to a consultant for services provided in 2002 valued at \$200,000 and accrued in accounts payable.
- o 3,700 warrants issued with debt and valued at \$10,592 were initially recorded as a discount on the note payable. At September 30, 2003, \$5,672 of the discount had been amortized and recorded as financing costs.
- o 87,500 warrants issued with debt and valued at \$69,072 were initially recorded as a discount on the debentures. At September 30, 2003, \$39,254 of the discount had been amortized and recorded as financing costs.
- o 37,500 warrants issued with debt and valued at \$30,500 were initially recorded as a discount on the debentures. At September 30, 2003, \$17,337 of the discount had been amortized and recorded as financing costs
- o Approximately \$1,888,000 of capital expenditures for oil and gas properties was included in accounts payable at September 30, 2003.
- o Dividends of \$18,556 were accrued on preferred stock.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, the Company had the following transactions:

- o In exchange for the extension of principal payments on four notes payable, the Company modified expiration dates of certain warrants previously held by the note holders and issued an additional 10,417 such warrants. The fair value of the modification of the warrants totaled \$46,582 and has been recorded as financing costs.
- o A note payable of \$250,000 was converted into a convertible debenture with 83,333 warrants also being issued under the same terms of the Company's private placement offering of convertible debentures.
- o 1,647,881 of warrants issued with convertible debentures valued at \$811,559 were initially recorded as a discount on the debentures. At September 30, 2002, the full amount of the discount had been amortized and recorded as financing costs.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

- o In-the money conversion features on convertible debt valued at \$3,880,035 were recognized as financing costs (\$3,746,285) and consulting expenses (\$133,750).
- o The Company issued warrants in connection with related party notes payable of \$450,000 and \$50,000. The warrants were valued at \$156,781 and recorded as financing costs.
- o The Company issued \$267,500 of convertible debentures with 89,167 warrants valued at \$14,250 for a total amount of \$281,750. Prepaid consulting services of \$70,437 remained at September 30, 2002.
- o 33,333 warrants were issued to a consultant for services valued at \$84,532. Prepaid consulting of \$80,305 related to future quarters in 2003 and 2004.
- o 20,000 shares of stock were issued to a consultant for services valued at \$10,000.
- o 41,667 warrants issued with a note payable valued at \$150,616 were initially recorded as a discount on the debentures. At September 30,

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

F-6

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

2002, \$100,011 of the discount had been amortized and recorded as financing costs.

- o \$4,661,143 of debentures and accrued interest of \$227,075 were converted into 21,101,929 shares of stock with \$466,771 being paid as a premium at conversion and recorded as financing costs.
- o Approximately \$515,000 of capital expenditures for oil and gas properties was included in accounts payable at September 30, 2002.

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

F-7

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 - Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The September 30, 2003 financial statements are unaudited and reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and operating results for the interim periods. The unaudited financial statements as of September 30, 2003, as is customary in the oil and gas industry, reflect a pro-rata consolidation of the Company's 50% interest in ZAO Goloil, a Russian closed joint-stock company. The unaudited financial statements contained herein should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2002, as reported in the Company's Form 10-KSB filed March 31, 2003. The results of operations for the period ended September 30, 2003 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire fiscal year.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

The conversion of the functional currency of Goloil (a Russian Company) in rubles to the reporting currency of U.S. dollars is based upon the exchange

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

rates in effect. The exchange rates in effect at September 30, 2003 and 2002 were 30.61 and 31.64 rubles to the U.S. dollar, respectively. The average rates in effect during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, were 30.44 and 31.00, and 31.60 and 31.25 rubles to the U.S. dollar, respectively.

Earnings Per Share

At the March 19, 2003 meeting, the Company's shareholders approved a reverse 1 for 12 stock split. All share amounts and earnings per share have been adjusted to reflect the split.

All potential dilutive securities have an antidilutive effect on earnings (loss) per share and accordingly, basic and dilutive weighted average shares are the same.

Note 2 - Proportionate Share of Liabilities

The proportionate share of accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$3,745,121 at September 30, 2003 are obligations of Goloil and not Teton Petroleum nor have they been guaranteed by Teton Petroleum.

The following notes reflect the Company's 50% pro-rata share of notes payable advances made of Goloil owed to an affiliate. These advances are also obligations of Goloil at September 30, 2003 and not Teton Petroleum nor have they been guaranteed by Teton Petroleum.

Pro-rata share of Goloil notes payable owed to an affiliate. The proceeds were used to pay certain operating expenses and capital expenditures of Goloil. These notes provide for interest rates of 8%, with quarterly interest payments, maturing through April 2004. These notes are secured by substantially all Goloil assets. The notes payable will be repaid from cash flow from ZAO Goloil as available, or extended to future periods.

	\$5,107,805

Less current portion	(5,107,805)

	\$ -
	=====

Note 3 - Notes Payable

During the second quarter, the Company received proceeds of \$478,750 from notes payable to stockholders. In connection with the notes, 91,200 warrants valued at \$79,664 were issued. At September 30, 2003, \$44,920 of the discount had been amortized and recorded as financing costs. The Company has recorded the value of these warrants using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the following assumptions: volatility of 73%, a risk-free rate of 3.5%, zero dividend payments, and a life of one year.

In July 2003, the Company received proceeds of \$150,000 from a stockholder. In connection with the notes, 37,500 warrants valued at \$30,506 were issued. At September 30, 2003, \$17,337 of the discount had been amortized and recorded

F-8

as financing costs. The Company valued the warrants using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the following assumptions: volatility of 73%, a risk-free rate of 3.5%, zero dividend payments, and a life of one year.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Note 4 - Stockholder's Equity

In March 2003, the stockholder's approved an increase in the amount of authorized common shares from 100,000,000 to 250,000,000 and also approved 25,000,000 of preferred stock authorized for future issuances.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2003, the Company received \$1,091,900 of proceeds (net of costs of \$98,100) from the issuance of 437,010 shares of common stock plus \$2,527,538 of proceeds (net of costs of \$110,000) from the issuance of 606,335 shares of convertible preferred stock. The Company received \$1,939,610 during the nine months related to outstanding stock subscriptions receivable at December 31, 2002.

The Company issued 1,043,204 warrants during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 in connection with the private placements to investors. The Company also issued 346,165 warrants to entities for their services directly related to raising capital under private placements during the quarter.

F-9

Note 5 - Stock Options

At the annual meeting on March 19, 2003, the Company's shareholders approved an employee stock option plan and authorized 2,083,333 shares of Common Stock for issuance thereunder. Under the plan, incentive and non-qualified options may be granted. During the second quarter of 2003, the Company issued 30,000 non-qualified options to outside advisory board members which has been recorded as compensation expense during the three-months ended June 30, 2003 valued at \$94,701, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: volatility of 100%, a risk-free rate of 4%, zero dividend payments, and a life of ten years. The Company also issued 1,448,037 incentive options to employees, officers and directors valued at \$4,571,026 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model under the same assumptions described above. In the third quarter, additional options valued at \$308,414 were issued to a director under the Company Plan.

The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for stock options issued to employees, officers and directors under the stock option plan. Had compensation cost for the Company's options issued to employees, officers and directors been determined based on the fair value at the grant date for awards consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, the Company's net loss and basic loss per common share would have been changed to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

	For the nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
Net loss - as reported	(\$3,140,679)	\$ 7,927,782
Less previously recorded compensation expense	--	--
Add fair value of employee compensation expense	(4,879,440)	--
Net loss per common share - pro forma	(\$8,020,119)	\$ 7,927,782
Basic loss per common share - as reported	\$ (0.48)	\$ (3.17)

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Basic loss per common share - pro forma	\$ (1.21)	\$ (3.17)
	=====	=====
	For the Three Months Ended September 30,	

	2003	2002

Net loss - as reported	(\$1,477,534)	(\$2,216,169)
Less previously recorded compensation expense	--	--
Add fair value of employee compensation expense	(308,414)	--

Net loss per common share - pro forma	(\$1,785,948)	(\$2,216,169)
	=====	
Basic loss per common share - as reported	(\$____.22)	(\$0.78_)
	=====	
Basic loss per common share - pro forma	(\$____.26)	(\$0.78_)
	=====	

F-10

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Directors and Stockholders
Teton Petroleum Company
Denver, Colorado

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Teton Petroleum Company as of December 31, 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, changes in stockholders' (deficit) equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Teton Petroleum Company as of December 31, 2002, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/Ehrhardt Keefe Steiner & Hottman PC
Ehrhardt Keefe Steiner & Hottman PC

March 28, 2003

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Denver, Colorado

F-11

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

Consolidated Balance Sheet
December 31, 2002

Assets

Current assets	
Cash	\$ 712,013
Proportionate share of accounts receivable	642,525
Proportionate share of accounts receivable (other)	913,583
Stock subscriptions receivable (paid in 2003)	1,939,610
Proportionate share of inventory	502,989
Prepaid expenses and other assets	91,446

Total current assets	4,802,166

Non-current assets	
Oil and gas properties, net (successful efforts)	4,896,308
Fixed assets, net	313,921

Total non-current assets	5,210,229

Total assets	\$ 10,012,395
	=====

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

Current liabilities	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 650,356
Proportionate share of accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,534,344
Current portion of proportionate share of notes payable owed to affiliate	2,441,424

Total current liabilities	4,626,124

Non-current liabilities	
Proportionate share of notes payable advances owed to affiliate	507,001

Total non-current liabilities	507,001

Total liabilities	5,133,125

Commitments and contingencies	
Stockholders' equity	
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 75,474,241 and 28,488,557 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2002 and 2001	75,474
Additional paid-in capital	26,096,030

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Accumulated deficit	(22,022,734)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	730,500

Total stockholders' equity	4,879,270

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 10,012,395
	=====

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-12

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
	-----	-----
Sales	\$ 6,923,320	\$ 1,625,352
Cost of sales and expenses		
Oil and gas production	2,741,303	1,068,250
Taxes other than income taxes	3,537,990	495,789
General and administrative	5,333,726	1,521,970
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	451,930	45,313
	-----	-----
Total cost of sales and expenses	12,064,949	3,131,322
	-----	-----
Loss from operations	(5,141,629)	(1,505,970)
	-----	-----
Other income (expense)		
Other income	51,751	9,381
Interest expense	(385,939)	(161,019)
Financing charges	(5,498,106)	--
	-----	-----
Total other income (expense)	(5,832,294)	(151,638)
	-----	-----
Net loss	(10,973,923)	(1,657,608)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax		
Effect of exchange rates	(140,773)	(84,041)
	=====	=====
Comprehensive loss	\$ (11,114,696)	\$ (1,741,649)
	=====	=====
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	37,262,817	26,927,259

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

	=====	=====
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.06)
	=====	=====

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-13

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity For the Years Ended December 31, 2002 and 2001

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Fo Cur Tr Ad
	Shares	Amount		
Balance - December 31, 2000	24,977,341	\$ 24,977	\$ 8,469,221	\$ 9
Common stock issued for cash	3,466,772	3,467	1,294,806	
Common stock and warrants issued for services	44,444	44	32,581	
Compensation for variable plan warrants	--	--	(30,000)	
Net loss	--	--	--	
Foreign currency translation adjustment	--	--	--	(
Balance - December 31, 2001	28,488,557	28,488	9,766,608	8
Common stock issued for cash	14,684,845	14,685	3,318,775	
Common stock subscriptions paid in 2003	8,544,534	8,545	1,931,065	
Common stock and warrants issued for services	2,654,376	2,654	834,472	
Common stock issued for conversion of convertible debentures	21,101,929	21,102	5,333,887	
Warrants issued and in-the-money conversion feature on convertible debentures	--	--	4,557,845	
Warrants issued with notes payable	--	--	150,016	
Warrants issued in connection with extensions on notes payable	--	--	203,362	
Net loss	--	--	--	

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Foreign currency translation adjustment	--	--	--	(1)
Balance - December 31, 2002	75,474,241	\$ 75,474	\$ 26,096,030	\$ 73
	=====	=====	=====	=====

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-14

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$(10,973,923)	\$ (1,657,608)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities		
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	451,930	45,313
Stock based compensation for variable plan warrants	--	(30,000)
Stock and stock options issued for services and interest	--	32,625
Warrants issued for notes payable extensions	46,582	--
Stock and warrants issued for services	837,126	--
Debentures issued for services	267,500	--
Amortization of debenture and note payable discounts	5,331,412	--
Changes in assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(1,048,608)	(331,225)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(57,446)	(18,063)
Inventory	(313,489)	(134,456)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	290,131	540,854
	5,805,138	105,048
Net cash used in operating activities	(5,168,785)	(1,552,560)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Oil and gas properties and equipment expenditures ...	(3,222,349)	(322,398)
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,222,349)	(322,398)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net proceeds from (payments on) advances owed to affiliates under notes payable	2,178,525	(150,100)
Proceeds from issuance of convertible debentures ...	4,143,643	--
Issuance of common stock	--	1,298,273
Proceeds from notes payable	300,000	637,000
Payments on notes payable	(894,210)	(167,790)
Issuance of common stock	3,333,460	--

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Net cash provided by financing activities	9,061,418	1,617,383
Effect of exchange rates	(140,773)	(31,806)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	529,511	(289,381)
Cash - beginning of year	\$ 182,502	\$ 471,883
Cash - end of year	\$ 712,013	\$ 182,502

F-15

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information

Cash paid for:	Interest
2002	\$ 120,008
2001	\$ 28,123

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activity:

During 2002, the Company had the following transactions:

In exchange for the extension of principal payments on four notes payable, the Company modified expiration dates of certain warrants previously held by the note holders and issued an additional 125,000 such warrants. The fair value of the modification of the warrants totaled \$46,582 and has been recorded as financing costs.

A note payable of \$250,000 was converted into a convertible debenture with 1,000,000 warrants also being issued under the same terms of the Company's private placement offering of convertible debentures.

19,774,572 warrants were issued with convertible debentures valued at \$811,559 were initially recorded as a discount on the debentures. At December 31, 2002, the full amount of the discount had been amortized as financing costs.

In-the-money conversion features on convertible debt valued at \$3,746,285 were recognized as financing costs.

The Company issued 1,724,138 warrants in connection with related party notes payable of \$450,000 and \$50,000. The warrants were valued at \$156,781 and recorded as financing costs.

\$267,500 of convertible debentures with 1,070,000 warrants valued at \$14,250 for a total amount of \$281,750 were issued for consulting services.

500,000 warrants issued with a note payable valued at \$150,016 were initially recorded as a discount on the note payable. At December 31, 2002 the full discount had been amortized and recorded as financing costs.

\$4,661,143 of debentures and accrued interest of \$227,075 were converted into 21,101,929 shares of stock with \$466,771 being paid as a premium at conversion and recorded as financing costs.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

2,654,376 shares of stock were issued to consultants for services valued at \$607,790.

1,600,000 warrants were issued to consultants for services valued at \$215,086.

Approximately \$1,142,000 of capital expenditures for oil and gas properties were included in accounts payable at December 31, 2002.

During the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company received \$1,939,610 of stock subscriptions receivable for 8,544,534 shares of stock. The cash for these subscriptions were paid during the first quarter of 2003.

During 2001, the Company had the following transactions:

44,444 shares of common stock valued at \$16,667 were issued in exchange for consulting services.

100,000 stock warrants valued at \$15,958 were issued in exchange for consulting services.

F-16

The Company assigned a \$1,050,000 note payable to Goloil, which was then repaid from advances received under notes payable owed to affiliate. The Company recorded the net reduction of debt of \$525,000 (\$1,050,000 note payable less 50% share of the \$1,050,000 advances from affiliate) as a reduction to oil and gas properties.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-17

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Teton Petroleum Company (the Company) is an oil and gas exploration and production company whose current focus is on the Russian Federation. Since the Company's operations are solely focused in the Russian Federation it is subject to certain risks not typically associated with companies in North America, including, but not limited to, fluctuations in currency exchange rates, the imposition of exchange control regulations, the possibility of expropriation decree, undeveloped business practices and laws, and less liquid capital markets.

The exploration and development of oil and gas reserves involves significant financial risks. The ability of the Company to meet its obligations and commitments under the terms and conditions of its licensing agreements and carry out its planned exploration activities is dependent upon continued financial support from its stockholders, the ability to develop economically recoverable reserves, and its ability to obtain necessary financing to complete development of the reserves.

Should the Company's licenses be revoked as a result of changes in legislation, title disputes or failure to comply with license agreements, there would be a material write-down of the oil and gas properties. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not reflect any adjustments that may be required due to these uncertainties.

The United States dollar is the principal currency of the Company's business

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

and, accordingly, these consolidated financial statements are expressed in United States dollars.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Teton Petroleum Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Goltech Petroleum, LLC ("Goltech"). All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Previously the Company owned a 50% interest in Goltech which had a 70.59% interest in ZAO Goloil. Accordingly ZAO Goloil was consolidated into Goltech and we reflected our 50% share of Goltech. As of December 31, 2002, the other 50% member of Goltech relinquished their ownership interest in exchange for a 35.295% direct ownership interest in ZAO Goloil. The audited financial statements as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, as is customary in the oil and gas industry, reflect a pro-rata consolidation of the Company's interest in ZAO Goloil (a Russian Company) through its wholly owned subsidiary Goltech. Management believes this to be the most meaningful presentation as the Company's only significant asset is its investment in Goltech Petroleum, LLC. The Company is required to provide 50% of the capital expenditure requirements and is entitled to a 50% operating interest until repayment of its investment occurs. Under the pro-rata consolidation method the Company includes its pro-rata share of the assets (50%), liabilities (50%), revenues (50%) and expenses (50%) of the accounts of Goloil until repayment (payout) of our current and any future loans to Goloil occurs. The intercompany balances of Goltech and Teton do not fully eliminate under the pro-rata consolidation method, and the remaining receivable on Teton's accounts has been included as a component of oil and gas properties, as this balance will only be repaid through net cash flow generated from oil and gas properties.

F-18

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Oil and Gas Properties

The Company uses the successful efforts method of accounting for oil and gas producing activities. Costs to acquire mineral interests in oil and gas properties, to drill and equip exploratory wells that find proved reserves, and to drill and equip development wells are capitalized. Costs to drill exploratory wells that do not find proved reserves, geological and geophysical costs, and costs of carrying and retaining unproved properties are expensed. The Company also evaluates costs capitalized for exploratory wells, and if proved reserves cannot be determined within one year from drilling exploration wells, those costs are written-off and recorded as an expense.

Unproved oil and gas properties that are individually significant are periodically assessed for impairment of value, and a loss is recognized at the time of impairment by providing an impairment allowance. Other unproved properties are amortized based on the Company's experience of successful drilling and average holding period. Capitalized costs of producing oil and gas properties, after considering estimated dismantlement and abandonment costs and estimated salvage values, are depreciated and depleted by the unit-of-production method. Support equipment and other property and equipment are depreciated over

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

their estimated useful lives. Currently the Company holds no unproved properties.

On the sale or retirement of a complete unit of a proved property, the cost and related accumulated depreciation, depletion, and amortization are eliminated from the property accounts, and the resulting gain or loss is recognized. On the retirement or sale of a partial unit of proved property, the cost is charged to accumulated depreciation, depletion, and amortization with a resulting gain or loss recognized in income based on the amount of proceeds.

On the sale of an entire interest in an unproved property for cash or cash equivalent, gain or loss on the sale is recognized, taking into consideration the amount of any recorded impairment if the property had been assessed individually. If a partial interest in an unproved property is sold, the amount received is treated as a reduction of the cost of the interest retained.

All of the Company's oil and gas assets are held in one cost center located in Siberia, Russia. The Russian Federation (RF) has performed substantial exploration efforts on properties on which the Company has received successful tenders for future exploration and development. As a result, those areas accepted under tender by the RF are known to contain proved reserves and the Company's efforts are focused on further development of such reserves.

Capitalized oil and gas property costs are depleted and depreciated using the units of production method based on estimated proved gross oil reserves as determined by an independent engineer. Significant development projects are excluded from the depletion calculation prior to assessment of the existence of proven reserves that are ready for commercial production. The Company did not have any significant development projects which have been excluded from depletion at December 31, 2002.

The net carrying value of the Company's oil and gas properties is limited to an estimated net recoverable amount. The net recoverable amount is based on undiscounted future net revenues and is determined by applying factors based on historical experience and other data such as primary lease terms of properties and average holding periods. If it is determined that the net recoverable value is less than the net carrying value of the oil and gas properties, any impairment is charged to operations.

F-19

Inventories

Inventory includes extracted oil physically in the pipeline prior to delivery for sale and oil held by third parties valued at the cost of development. Inventory also includes various supplies and spare parts and is valued at cost using the weighted average method.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation is provided utilizing the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives for owned assets, ranging from 5 to 27 years.

Feasibility Study TDA Grants

Grants that are received for use on oil and gas properties are recorded as an offset to expenditures incurred under the grants.

One such study was completed in 2001. In the event that the project is implemented and a substantial economic benefit is reaped, funds previously advanced by the TDA may be required to be reimbursed. GNG may be required to

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

reimburse the TDA in the form of a success fee if certain events occur by December 31, 2003, which include: taking an equity position in the project, financing development of the license area, or obtaining external financing for development of the license area.

The Company has also received a \$300,000 grant from the TDA for a feasibility study for field development and pipeline construction. The Company expects completion of the study in 2003 and has received \$255,000 as of December 31, 2002 under the grant. In the event that the project is implemented and a substantial economic benefit is reaped, funds previously advanced by the TDA may be required to be reimbursed. The Company may be required to reimburse the TDA in the form of a success fee if certain events occur based substantially on the results of the study by December 31, 2005, which include: taking an equity position in the project, financing development of the license area or obtaining external financing for development of the license area.

For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 the Company received \$0 and \$37,500 under TDA grants, respectively.

Minority Interest

As the share of minority interest losses exceeds the minority's investment, the Company has recorded 100% of current losses.

Foreign Currency Translation

All assets and liabilities of the Company's subsidiary are translated into U.S. dollars using the prevailing exchange rates as of the balance sheet date. Income and expenses are translated using the weighted average exchange rates for the period. Stockholders' investments are translated at the historical exchange rates prevailing at the time of such investments. Any gains or losses from foreign currency translation are included as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The prevailing exchange rates at December 31, 2002 and 2001 were approximately 1 U.S. dollar to 31.78 and 30.52, Russian rubles, respectively.

Basic Loss Per Share

The Company applies the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 128, "Earnings Per Share" (FAS 128). All dilutive potential common shares have an antidilutive effect on diluted per share amounts and therefore have been excluded in determining net loss per share. The Company's basic and diluted loss per share are equivalent and accordingly only basic loss per share has been presented.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments including cash, accounts receivable, sundry receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and notes payable and convertible debentures approximated fair value as of December 31, 2002 because of the relatively short maturity of these instruments.

F-20

The carrying amounts of notes payable and debt issued approximate fair value as of December 31, 2002 because interest rates on these instruments approximate market interest rates. The Company has no derivative financial instruments.

The Company is exposed to foreign currency risks to the extent that transactions and balances are denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar. This risk could be significant for those transactions and balances denominated in rubles, as the ruble has experienced significant devaluation in the past.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2001 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2002 presentation.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 requires the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation to be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. SFAS No. 143 is effective for years beginning after June 15, 2002. The Company has not yet determined the impact on its consolidated financial statements and is addressing whether it will be able to make a reasonable estimate of the fair value of such costs.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS 144 requires that those long-lived assets be measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less cost to sell, whether reported in continuing operations or in discontinued operations. Therefore, discontinued operations will no longer be measured at net realizable value or include amounts for operating losses that have not yet occurred. SFAS 144 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and, generally, are to be applied prospectively. The Company believes that the adoption of this statement will have no material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." SFAS No. 146 addresses accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (Including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." SFAS No. 146 requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized and measured initially at fair value when the liability is incurred. SFAS No. 146 is effective for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002, with early application encouraged. The Company believes the adoption of this statement will have no material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In November 2002, the FASB published interpretation No. 45 "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others". The Interpretation expands on the accounting guidance of Statements No. 5, 57, and 107 and incorporates without change the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 34, which is being superseded. The Interpretation elaborates on the existing disclosure requirements for most guarantees, including loan guarantees such as standby letters of credit. It also clarifies that at the time a company issues a guarantee, that company must recognize an initial liability for the fair value, or market value, of the obligations it assumes under that guarantee and must disclose that information in its interim and annual financial statements. The initial recognition and initial measurement provisions apply on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, regardless of the guarantor's fiscal year-end. The disclosure requirements in the Interpretation are effective for financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15, 2002. The Company believes the adoption of this statement will have no material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation- Transition and Disclosure." This statement amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation. In addition, SFAS 148 amends the disclosure provision of SFAS 123 to require more prominent disclosure about the effects of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation on reported net income. The effective date for this Statement is for fiscal years ended after December 15, 2002. The Company believes the adoption of this statement will have no material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Note 2 - Investments in Goltech Petroleum, LLC

Effective in August 2000, the Company entered into a transaction agreement selling a 50% equity interest in Goltech in exchange for \$1,000,000 cash and a \$5.6 million investment in the license area for drilling additional wells on the license area, completion of a pipeline and the construction of a processing facility (the oilfield development program). The \$1,000,000 received was also invested in the license area to complete the oilfield development program. The party to the agreement obtained the right to name 50% of the board of managers and became the general manager of Goltech. No gain or loss was recognized on the transaction as the proceeds were immediately reinvested into the field development and pipeline completion project. ZAO Goloil was also required to make a production payment to compensate the other party for its investment in the license area. The production payment requires ZAO Goloil to deliver 50% of the production from existing and future wells through July 2007. The other party is obligated under an agreement to only sell their share of the production in the Russian domestic market. Effective December 31, 2002, the other party withdrew as a member of Goltech and in exchange for relinquishment of 50% of its membership interests in Goltech, it received 35.295% of the ZAO Goloil shares and the return of its \$1,000,000 initial contribution. ZAO Goloil is still obligated under the production payment.

The other membership holder (affiliate) to Goltech Petroleum, LLC (Goltech) had invested approximately \$ 7,000,000 under the oilfield development agreement outside of Goltech and Goloil as of December 31, 2002. These costs are reflected in the accounts of another entity controlled by the affiliate and are not reflected anywhere in the financial statements of the Company. These expenditures were used to drill and complete four additional wells and complete a pipeline on the Company's license area that provides the ability to transport oil directly through this pipeline year-round to other larger pipelines for ultimate sale. The Company has compensated the affiliate in the form of a production payment of approximately 154,000 tons of oil through December 31, 2002. The Company also has the obligation to compensate the affiliate for a minimum of 560,000 tons averaged of oil over a seven-year period for its investments under the oilfield development agreement.

Additionally, the affiliate has net direct loans to Goloil of approximately \$6,000,000, which have been used to help fund capital expenditures for completion of a processing facility and to help fund other related expenses. The Company has reflected a 50% of these loans in its financial statements under the pro-rata consolidation method (Note 6).

Note 3 - Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consist of the following at December 31, 2002:

Building	\$ 31,627
Vehicles	154,015
Computers and equipment	57,572
Well and production equipment	83,644

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Furniture and fixtures	33,617

	360,475
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(46,554)

	\$ 313,921
	=====

F-22

Note 4 - Oil and Gas Properties

Goloil License

The Company holds a license for the Eguryak license area for exploration and production of oil and gas through its investment in Goloil (which is held through its 100% owned subsidiary, Goltech). This license grants Goloil the exclusive right to explore and develop an area in Siberia covering 187 square kilometers and includes the Eguriakhskoe, South Eguriakhskoe and Golevoye oil fields situated in the Nizhnevartovsk Region. The license expires on May 21, 2022, subject to additional extensions as approved by applicable bodies of the Russian Federation. The license may also be canceled by the Company with a 90-day written notice.

The license requires Goloil to drill a minimum of five wells over four years, conduct an additional seismic survey aggregating 30 square kilometers and evaluate geological data from an area covering 187 square kilometers. Goloil was also required to conduct production tests on six wells between 1997 and 2000. In addition to performing its duties under the license, Goloil must give preference to Russian environmental and archeological laws. Currently, the Company has fulfilled its requirements under the license. Management is continuing to pursue completion of future required performance criteria and believes that there will be no adverse effects on the Company's license for failure to comply with the license requirements.

The license requires Goloil to pay all taxes including mining tax, property tax and certain ecological taxes. All geological information obtained at Goloil's expense will be the property of Goloil, while all geological information obtained at the expense of the Russian government may be used by Goloil. Oil and gas produced from the licensed property, subject to certain royalty payments, will be the property of Goloil.

Capital expenditures for continued development of the license area are estimated at approximately \$20 million net to Teton, with 6.5 million budgeted for 2003 as Teton's net share. Teton must raise additional equity or debt financing to fund their portion of these capital expenditures. There can be no assurance that Teton will be able to raise such financing on terms favorable to the Company or at all.

DCD Dagestan

In the second quarter of 2001, the Company divested itself of its subsidiary Teton Oil, Inc., which holds the remaining DCD Dagestan Licenses. The shares of Teton Oil, Inc. were distributed to two of the Company's stockholders and the stockholders also assumed any related obligations associated with the licenses. No gain or loss was recorded on the distribution as the net assets of Teton Oil, Inc. were written down to zero in 1998.

Note 5 - Notes Payable

During 2002, the March 1, 2002 principal payments on two notes payable totaling

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

\$250,000 to stockholders were extended to April 15, 2002. In exchange for this extension, the holders were issued 125,000 stock purchase warrants, with an exercise price of \$0.50 that expire February 2004, which have been valued at \$14,469 using the Black Scholes option pricing model with assumptions of volatility of 100%, risk free rate of 5.5 and no dividend yield. These extensions were recorded in the first quarter of 2002 as financing costs. These notes were fully paid off in 2002.

The Company issued 1,724,138 warrants in connection with related party notes payable of \$450,000 and \$50,000. The warrants were valued at \$156,781 and recorded as financing costs. Additionally, in the first quarter of 2002, the due dates of the two notes payable totaling \$500,000 were extended by the holders to April 15, 2002. As consideration for this extension the Company agreed to modify the expiration dates of certain warrants previously held by the note holders from October 31, 2002 to January 31, 2003. These extensions were valued based upon the incremental fair value of the warrants on the date of modification which totaled approximately \$32,000. The values were calculated using the Black Scholes option-pricing model under the assumptions described in the previous paragraph, and were recorded in the first quarter of 2002, the quarter the modifications occurred.

F-23

During 2002, the Company paid \$200,000 of a \$450,000 note payable outstanding at December 31, 2001. The remaining \$250,000 was converted into a convertible debenture with 1,000,000 warrants also being issued in connection with the Company's private placement offering of convertible debentures.

The Company also paid off a \$50,000 note payable to a stockholder and the \$94,210 note payable to an officer during 2002, which were outstanding at December 31, 2001.

During 2002, the Company received proceeds of \$300,000 on a note payable from a stockholder. In connection with the note, 500,000 warrants valued at \$150,016 were issued and recorded as financing charges. The Company paid off this note in November 2002. The Company has recorded the value of these warrants using the Black Scholes option-pricing model using the following assumptions: volatility of 138%, a risk-free rate of 4.5%, zero dividend payments, and a life of 2 years.

Total expense recorded associated with the above warrant issuances and modifications totaled \$353,379 and have been recorded as financing costs during the year ended December 31, 2002.

Note 6 - Proportionate Share of Liabilities

The proportionate share of accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$1,534,344 at December 31, 2002 are obligations of Goloil and not Teton Petroleum nor have they been guaranteed by Teton Petroleum.

The following notes reflect the Company's 50% pro-rata share of notes payable advances made of Goloil owed to an affiliate. These advances are also obligations of Goloil at December 31, 2002 and not Teton Petroleum nor have they been guaranteed by Teton Petroleum.

Pro-rata share of Goloil notes payable owed to an affiliate. The proceeds were used to pay certain operating expenses and capital expenditures of Goloil. These notes provide for interest rates of 8%, with quarterly interest payments, maturing through February 2004. These notes are secured by substantially all Goloil assets. The notes payable will be repaid from cash flow from ZAO Goloil

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

as available, or extended to future periods	\$2,948,425
Less: current portion	(2,441,424)

	\$ 507,001
	=====

Note 7 - Stockholders' Equity

On January 3, 2001, the Stockholders of the Company approved an increase in the number of authorized shares of common stock from 50,000,000 to 100,000,000.

On March 19, 2003, the stockholders, increased the authorized common shares from 100,000,000 to 250,000,000 and authorized 25,000,000 of preferred stock available for future issuance.

Common Shares Issued for Service

During the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, 2,654,376 and 44,444 common shares were issued for consulting services which have been valued at \$605,136 and \$32,625, respectively.

In connection with a consulting agreement, the Company agreed to issue 88,888 shares of stock during the second quarter of 2002 for services provided in 2001 valued at \$23,200. The Company has accrued a liability for this amount at December 31, 2002.

F-24

Convertible Debentures

During 2002, the Company received proceeds of \$4,163,143 from the private placement of convertible debentures. The debentures had a term of three years from April 1, 2002 and provided for interest at 10% per annum payable annually. The debentures provided that the holder may convert the debenture and accrued interest into shares of common stock (a \$.25 conversion rate).

The debentures also included warrants to purchase common stock and have an exercise price of \$.50 and a term of two years. Each debenture holder received one warrant for each \$.25 of investment made in debentures.

On September 1, 2002, the Company redeemed all debentures outstanding for shares of its common stock. The debentures were redeemed at 110% of their face value by issuing one share of common stock for each \$.25 of redemption value, which also incorporates any accrued interest through September 1, 2002. Financing charges were recorded for the difference between the cumulative 10% contractual interest accrued through September 1, 2002 and the 10% premium paid upon redemption, which totaled \$466,771.

As a result of the warrants issued with the debentures and in-the-money conversion features present at issuance, non-cash financing charges of \$4,714,625 were expensed. While the stock to which the conversion rights and warrants apply is restricted stock, the valuation with respect to this stock in calculating the discount was "as if" the stock was immediately salable. The effect of this is to make the amount of discount and its related amortization higher than it would otherwise have been. Management believes these costs are non-recurring and will manage future capital raising programs to minimize or eliminate these costs.

2002 Private Placement

During 2002, the Company issued 14,684,845 shares of common stock under private placement offerings receiving proceeds of \$3,333,460. In connection with the private placement offerings, the Company also issued a warrant for each \$.25

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

stock investment. The warrants have a term of two years and an exercise price of \$.50.

At December 31, 2002 the Company had \$1,939,610 of subscriptions receivable for 8,544,534 shares of common stock for which the cash was paid in 2003 and has been included in common stock in the accompanying financial statements.

Common Share Purchase Warrants

During 2002, the Company issued 1,600,000 warrants to consultants for services valued at \$215,086. The Company also issued 7,401,480 to employees and directors for services performed.

During 2001, the Company issued 3,466,772 warrants in connection with private placement offerings with an exercise price of \$0.41 and expire between May 15, 2006 and August 15, 2006. Also, the Company issued 100,000 warrants to a third party for consulting services. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.41 and expire September 9, 2006. The warrants were valued at \$15,958 using the Black Scholes option pricing model with assumption of volatility of 100%, risk free rate of 5.5 and no dividend yield.

The following table presents the activity for warrants outstanding:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	-----	-----
Outstanding - December 31, 2000	3,237,613	\$ 0.61
Granted	3,566,772	0.22
Forfeited/canceled	(275,213)	0.17
	-----	-----
Outstanding - December 31, 2001	6,529,172	0.44
Granted	48,824,189	0.46
Forfeited/canceled	(300,000)	0.40
	-----	-----
Outstanding - December 31, 2002	55,053,361	\$ 0.46
	=====	=====

F-25

The following table presents the composition of warrants outstanding and exercisable:

	Shares Outstanding		
	Number	Price*	Life*
Range of Exercise Prices	Number	Price*	Life*
\$0.227 - 0.50	54,553,361	\$ 0.45	1.67
\$0.75 - 1.00	500,000	0.01	0.02
	-----	-----	-----
Total - December 31, 2002	55,053,361	\$ 0.46	1.69
	=====	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

* Price and Life reflect the weighted average exercise price and weighted average remaining contractual life, respectively.

The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for the stock option plans. Had compensation cost for the Company's option plan been determined based on the fair value at the grant date for awards consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Corporation's net loss and basic loss per common share would have been changed to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
Net loss - as reported	\$ (10,973,923)	\$ (1,657,608)
Net loss - pro forma	(11,945,964)	(1,657,608)
Basic loss per common share - as reported	(0.29)	(0.06)
Basic loss per common share - pro forma	(0.32)	(0.06)

The fair value of each warrant grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
Approximate risk free rate	4.50%	-%
Average expected life	2 years	- years
Dividend yield	-%	-%
Volatility	87.20%	-%
Estimated fair value of total options granted.	\$972,041	\$ -

Stock Options

The Company maintains a stock option plan for the issuance of options to directors, officers, employees and consultants to the Company. The Company has reserved 1,950,000 shares for issuance under the plan.

F-26

The following table presents the activity for stock option's outstanding:

Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
--------	--

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

	-----	-----
Outstanding - December 31, 2000	450,000	\$ 0.40
Forfeited/canceled	(450,000)	(0.40)
	-----	-----
Outstanding - December 31, 2001 and 2002	-	-
	=====	=====

Note 8 - Income and Other Taxes

The Company has incurred losses since inception and, as a result of uncertainty surrounding the use of those net operating loss carryforwards, no provision for income taxes has been recorded.

The Company has net operating loss carry forwards for U.S. tax purposes of approximately \$8,950,000, which expire between 2012 and 2022, if unused, and have been fully reserved by a valuation allowance.

Taxes payable are tax liabilities of its Russian subsidiary, Goloil (held through its wholly owned subsidiary Goltech). Tax payments made by Goloil to the Russian government include profits tax, value-added tax ("VAT"), payroll taxes and property taxes.

The Company had no income tax liabilities or expense for the years ended December 31, 2002 or 2001. ZAO Goloil has net operating loss carryforwards which are available to offset future taxable income which will expire in 2012. The foreign income tax carryforwards for Russian tax purposes are limited to a maximum of 30% of taxable income in any year.

Management believes that it will not be subject to future repatriation tax if profits from the project are invested in other projects within Russia.

Note 9 - Commitments and Contingencies

Contingencies

There is currently a high level of political and economic instability and uncertainty in the Russian Federation. As a result of the financial crisis in August 1998, all financial markets were subject to significant downward adjustments. The national currency was severely devalued during the crisis and continued to deteriorate through the end of 1998. The Russian banking system suffered significant liquidity problems and several large Russian banking institutions stopped operations and/or experienced significant losses. The Russian Government defaulted on, and announced a restructuring of, its internal debt due to a lack of funds and is likely to seek forgiveness and/or restructuring of its external debt.

The taxation system in Russia is evolving as the central government transforms itself from a command to a market-oriented economy. There were many new Russian Federation and Republic taxes and royalty laws and related regulations introduced over the last few years. Many of these were not clearly written and their application is subject to the interpretation of the local tax inspectors, Central Bank officials and the Ministry of Finance. Instances of inconsistent interpretation between local, regional and federal tax authorities and between the Central Bank and Ministry of Finance are not unusual. The current regime of penalties and interest related to reported and discovered violations of Russian laws, decrees and related regulations are severe. Penalties include confiscation of the amounts at issue (for tax law violations), as well as fines of up to 40% of the unpaid taxes. Interest is assessable at rates of up to 0.1% per day. As a result, penalties and interest can result in amounts that are multiples of any

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

unreported taxes.

The Company's policy is to accrue contingencies in the accounting period in which a loss is deemed probable and the amount is reasonably determinable. In this regard, because of the uncertainties associated with the Russian tax and legal systems, the ultimate taxes as well as penalties and interest, if any, assessed may be in excess of the amounts paid to date as of December 31, 2002.

F-27

Management believes based upon its best estimates, that the Company has paid or accrued all taxes that are applicable for the current and prior years, and compiled with all essential provisions of laws and regulations of the Russian Federation.

The Company may be subject to loss contingencies pursuant to Russian national and regional environmental claims that may arise for the past operations of the related fields, which it operates. As Russian laws and regulations evolve concerning environmental assessments and cleanups, the Company may incur future costs, the amount of which is currently indeterminable due to such factors as the current state of the Russian regulatory process, the ultimate determination of responsible parties associated with these costs and the Russian government's assessment of respective parties' ability to pay for those costs related to environmental reclamation.

The Company's operations and financial position will continue to be affected by Russian political developments including the application of existing and future legislation, regulations and claims pertaining to production, imports, exports, oil and gas regulations and tax regulations. The likelihood of such occurrences and their effect on the Company could have a significant impact on the Company's current activity and its overall ability to continue operations. Management does not believe that these contingencies, as related to its operations, are any more significant than those of similar enterprises in Russia.

Commitments

The Company has employment agreements with its president and secretary through May 31, 2005 and December 1, 2002, respectively, which provide for certain salaries as specified and other related matters and may be terminated by the written consent of the employees prior to expiration.

Note 10 - Supplemental Oil and Gas Disclosures

The following is a summary of costs incurred in oil and gas producing activities, as drawn from the reserve data from our January 1, 2003 reserve report prepared by the independent engineering firm, Gustavason Associates, Inc.:

Included below is the Company's investment and activity in oil and gas producing activities which includes a proportionate share of ZAO Goloil's oil and gas properties, revenues, and costs.

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
Property acquisition costs	\$ -	\$ -
Development costs	4,150,742	322,398

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Total	\$4,150,742	\$ 322,398
	=====	=====

The following reflects the Company's capitalized costs associated with oil and gas producing activities:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
	-----	-----
Property acquisition costs	\$ 595,558	\$ 595,558
Development costs (1)	4,830,421	679,679
	-----	-----
	5,425,979	1,275,237
Accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and valuation allowances	(529,671)	(106,137)
	-----	-----
Net capitalized costs	\$4,896,308	\$ 1,169,100
	=====	=====

F-28

(1) 2001 development costs reflect a net reduction of \$525,000 to oil and gas properties for the repayment of debt by an affiliate which has been treated as a recovery on investment in the property.

Results of Operations from Oil and Gas Producing Activities

Results of operations from oil and gas producing activities (excluding general and administrative expense, and interest expense) are presented as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
	-----	-----
Oil and gas sales	\$6,923,320	\$ 1,625,352
Production costs	(2,741,303)	(1,068,250)
Taxes other than income taxes	(3,537,990)	(495,789)
Depletion, depreciation and amortization	(451,930)	(45,313)
	-----	-----
Results of operations from oil and gas producing activities	\$ 192,097	\$ 16,000
	=====	=====

Proved oil and gas reserves are the estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Proved development oil and gas reserves are those reserves expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. The reserve data is based on studies prepared by an independent engineer. All proved reserves of oil and gas are located in Russia.

The following table presents estimates of the Company's net proved oil and gas

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

reserves:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001 (1) (2)
Proved reserves (bbls), beginning of period	40,174,000	8,500,000
Production	(471,000)	(95,000)
Extension of reservoir..	2,000,000	8,800,000
Revisions of previous estimates	(28,439,000)	22,969,000
Proved reserves (bbls), end of period	13,264,000	40,174,000
Proved developed reserves (bbls, beginning of period	5,493,000	1,300,000
Proved developed reserves (bbls), end of period	4,567,000	5,493,000

(1) Includes approximately a 30% minority interest share of the reserves in Goloil.

F-29

(2) Proved developed reserves have been reduced by 650,000 bbls out of the total 1,950,000 bbls of Teton's share of the production payment. The remaining production payment quantity of 1,300,000 barrels of Teton's share assumes payment from proved undeveloped properties to be developed in the future.

Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows (Unaudited)

SFAS No. 69 prescribes guidelines for computing a standardized measure of future net cash flows and changes therein relating to estimated proved reserves. The Company has followed these guidelines, which are briefly discussed below.

Future cash inflows and future production and development costs are determined by applying year-end prices and costs to the estimated quantities of oil and gas to be produced. Estimated future income taxes are computed using current statutory income tax rates for those countries where production occurs. The resulting future net cash flows are reduced to present value amounts by applying a 10% annual discount factor.

The assumptions used to compute the standardized measure are those prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and, as such, do not necessarily reflect the Company's expectations for actual revenues to be derived from those reserves nor their present worth. The limitations inherent in the reserve quantity estimation process, as discussed previously, are equally applicable to the standardized measure computations since these estimates are the basis for the valuation process.

The following summary sets forth the Company's future net cash flows relating to proved oil and gas reserves based on the standardized measure prescribed in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 69.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001 (1)
Future cash inflows	\$ 230,581,000	\$ 483,405,000
Future production costs	(151,167,000)	(272,150,000)
Future development costs	(18,556,000)	(45,600,000)
Future income tax expense	(16,365,000)	(57,394,000)
Future net cash flows (undiscounted)	44,493,000	108,261,000
Annual discount of 10% for estimated timing of cash flows	(19,069,000)	(67,899,000)
Standardized measure of future net discounted cash flows	\$ 25,424,000	\$ 40,362,000

(1) Includes approximately a 30% minority interest share of the reserves in Goloil.

F-30

Changes in Standardized Measure (Unaudited)

The following are the principal sources of change in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001 (1)
Standardized measure, beginning of period, December 31, 2001 and 2000	\$ 40,362,000	\$ 41,600,000
Net changes in prices and production costs	189,975,000	(33,421,000)
Future development costs	22,344,000	(109,233,000)
Revisions of previous quantity estimates ..	(274,605,000)	102,592,000
Extension of reservoir	19,867,000	39,707,000
Sale of reserves in place	--	--
Accretion of discount	4,036,000	4,160,000
Changes in income taxes, net	23,445,000	(5,043,000)
Standardized measure, end of period, 2002, 2002 and 2001	\$ 25,424,000	\$ 40,362,000

(1) Includes approximately a 30% minority interest share of the reserves in Goloil.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information different from the information contained in this prospectus. This document may only be used where it is legal to sell the securities. The information in this document may only be accurate on the date of this document.

8,898,977 SHARES
OF OUR
OF COMMON STOCK
AND
4,215,937 WARRANTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page

Prospectus Summary	
Risk Factors	Teton Petroleum Company
Use Of Proceeds	
Market For Common Equity And Related Stockholder Matters	
Management's Discussion And Analysis Or Plan Of Operation	
Business	
Management	
Certain Relationships And Related Transactions	PROSPECTUS
Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management	
Description Of Securities	
Plan Of Distribution	
Selling Stockholders	
Legal Matters	January __, 2004
Experts	
Available Information	
Index To Financial Statements	

PART II

INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

Item 24. Indemnification of Directors and Officers.

The corporation shall, to the fullest extent permitted by Section 145 of the General Corporation Law of the state of Delaware, as the same may be amended and supplemented, indemnify any and all persons whom it shall have the power to indemnify under said section from and against any and all of the expenses, liabilities or other matters referred to in or covered by said section, and the indemnification provided for herein shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights to which those indemnified may be entitled under any By-Law, agreement, vote of the stockholders or disinterested Directors or otherwise, both as to

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

action in his official capacity and as to action in another capacity while holding such office, and shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be a Director, Officer, Employee or Agent and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors and administrators of such person.

The Board of Directors of the Company may also authorize the Company to indemnify employees or agents of the Company, and to advance the reasonable expenses of such persons, to the same extent, following the same determinations and upon the same conditions as are required for the indemnification of and advancement of expenses to directors and officers of the Company. As of the date of this Registration Statement, the Board of Directors has not extended indemnification rights to persons other than directors and officers.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling the Company pursuant to the foregoing provisions, the Company has been informed that in the opinion of the Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") and is therefore unenforceable.

II-1

Item 25. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution.

The following table sets forth an itemization of all estimated expenses, all of which we will pay, in connection with the issuance and distribution of the securities being registered:

Nature of Expense	Amount
SEC Registration fee	\$3,316.00
Accounting fees and expenses	10,000.00*
Legal fees and expenses	20,000.00*
TOTAL	\$33,316.00*
	=====

* Estimated.

Item 26. Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.

RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

Since July 3, 1998, the Company has made sales of its securities to the following persons for the cash or other consideration indicated, which sales were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"):

Name of Shareholder	Date Acquired(1)	Consideration(2)	Number of Shares/Amount(3)
John P. Eagleton	08/01/98	\$ 150,000(4)	\$150,000 (convertible de
Anthony Eagleton	08/01/98	\$ 40,000(5)	\$ 40,000 (convertible de
Teton Oil (USA) Limited	11/12/98	\$ 975,018(6)	2,925,054 (exchange transac

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Anthony Eagleton	06/02/99	\$ 10,000 (7)	22,222
Francis D. Hopkins	06/25/99	\$ 10,000 (8)	22,222
Conroy & Co.	06/25/99	\$ 10,000 (9)	22,222
John C. Hunzinger	06/25/99	\$ 10,000 (10)	22,222
Garry Neuschwanger	06/25/99	\$ 5,000 (11)	11,111
Dan Neuschwanger	06/25/99	\$ 5,000 (12)	11,111
Triumph Resources	03/07/00	\$ 14,000 (services) (13)	70,000
James J. Woodcock	05/05/00	\$ 25,000 (14)	\$25,000
			(convertible de
John Robinson	05/17/00	\$ 40,500 (services) (15)	135,000
Frank Calandra in Trust	05/17/00	\$ 400,000 (16)	1,333,333
Current Capital Corporation	05/24/00	\$ 72,000 (services) (17)	144,000
Bendure Investments Ltd.	07/20/00	\$ 81,730 (services) (18)	272,435
John H. Haskell	07/20/00	\$ 140,865 (services; loan repayment) (19)	469,551
Arden Grover	07/21/00	\$ 40,069 (loan repayment) (20)	133,562
John Dorn	07/21/00	\$ 40,069 (loan repayment) (20)	133,562
William Kennedy	07/21/00	\$ 40,069 (loan repayment) (20)	133,562
Perm Corporation	07/21/00	\$ 31,050 (loan repayment) (20)	103,500
Perm Corporation	07/27/00	\$ 150,000 (loan amendment) (21)	500,000
Haskell Investment Company	07/31/00	\$ 39,665 (services) (22)	136,218
James J. Woodcock	07/31/00	\$ 25,000 (23)	83,333
Hy-Bon Engineering	07/31/00	\$ 10,000 (services) (24)	33,334
Bendure Investments Ltd.	08/03/00	\$ 100,000 (25)	333,333
EuroGas Inc.	08/24/00	\$ 300,000 (loan repayment) (26)	1,000,000
Haskell Investment Company	08/25/00	\$ 100,000 (loan repayment) (27)	333,333
John H. Haskell	08/25/00	\$ 100,000 (28)	333,333

II-2

Name of Shareholder	Date Acquired(1)	Consideration(2)	Number of Shares/Amount (3)
Bendure Investment Ltd.	08/25/00	\$ 200,000 (loan repayment) (29)	666,666
Louis A. Oswald, III	09/12/00	\$ 8,000 (30)	26,600
Margot Eicher	09/12/00	\$ 1,200 (31)	4,000
Michael Francis Hopkins	09/12/00	\$ 1,800 (32)	6,000
Francis D. Hopkins	09/12/00	\$ 6,000 (33)	20,000
Peter Petrouk	10/02/00	\$ 100,000 (34)	333,333
Peter Petrouk	10/02/00	\$ 5,000 (services) (35)	16,667
Phillip Laughlin	10/02/00	\$ 3,000 (36)	10,000
Alex Campbell	10/03/00	\$ 10,000 (37)	33,333
Tom Lawson	10/17/00	\$ 12,500 (38)	41,666
EuroGas, Inc.	10/20/00	\$ 500,000 (39)	\$500,000
			(convertible de
Current Capital Corporation	10/20/00	\$ 120,000 (services) (40)	400,000
Gary Laughlin	10/25/00	\$ 3,000 (41)	10,000
Serge de Pahlen	11/03/00	\$ 300,000 (42)	1,000,000
Louis A. Oswald III	11/03/00	\$ 3,000 (43)	15,000
Patrick R. Laughlin	11/03/00	\$ 3,000 (44)	10,000
Phillip E. Laughlin	11/03/00	\$ 2,000 (45)	6,667
Lynn M. and Mark Baalman	11/03/00	\$ 2,000 (46)	6,667
Jonathan S. Roderick	11/03/00	\$ 5,000 (47)	16,667
Alexei Yermolenko	12/01/00	\$ 3,000 (services) (48)	10,000
Valery Bergulev	12/01/00	\$ 3,000 (services) (48)	10,000
Alexei Labovsky	12/01/00	\$ 3,000 (services) (48)	10,000

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Dennis & Margot Eicher	05/15/01	\$ 2,063 (49)	5,500
Henry D. Haskell	05/15/01	\$ 50,625 (49)	135,000
Francis D. and Mary J. Hopkins	05/15/01	\$ 2,917 (49)	7,778
Thomas D. and Sheila K. Lawson	05/15/01	\$ 15,000 (49)	40,000
Brownstone Resources	05/16/01	\$ 187,000 (50)	499,968
Robert F. Bailey	05/17/01	\$ 37,500 (49)	100,000
Alex B. Campbell	05/18/01	\$ 7,500 (49)	20,000
George W. and Dana D. Clay IV	05/18/01	\$ 10,000 (49)	26,667
George W. and Margaret E. Clay III	05/18/01	\$ 6,000 (49)	16,000
Samuel David Clay	05/18/01	\$ 5,000 (49)	13,333
William A. and Magan S. Flynn	05/18/01	\$ 15,000 (49)	40,000
Cathy Cornell Clay	05/18/01	\$ 1,000 (49)	2,667
Tim and Lindsay Lambert	05/18/01	\$ 5,000 (49)	13,333
Mike Hopkins	05/23/01	\$ 2,063 (49)	5,500
Wyatt Haskell	05/25/01	\$ 50,000 (49)	133,333
James J. Woodcock	05/31/01	\$ 25,000 (49)	66,667
Historic Charleston Apartments	05/31/01	\$ 5,000 (49)	13,333
Gresham Sarl	05/31/01	\$ 16,666 (services) (51)	44,444
Margaret Ann and James Dale McFall	06/02/01	\$ 10,000 (49)	26,666
Keith and Mary Axelson	06/02/01	\$ 5,000 (49)	13,333
Bendure Investments	06/04/01	\$ 40,000 (49)	106,667
William and Nancy Axelson	06/05/01	\$ 5,000 (49)	13,333
C.R. Bailey	06/06/01	\$ 18,750 (49)	50,000
Duke Edwards	06/06/01	\$ 18,750 (49)	50,000
John Hunzinger	06/06/01	\$ 5,000 (49)	13,333
John Haskell	06/15/01	\$ 35,000 (49)	93,333
Louis Oswald, III, IRA	06/20/01	\$ 27,000 (49)	72,000
Conroy & Co.	06/20/01	\$ 5,000 (49)	13,333
Ken Welshimer	06/22/01	\$ 20,000 (49)	53,333
Louis A. Oswald, Jr.	06/22/01	\$ 2,000 (49)	5,333
Richard L. Gelb	06/22/01	\$ 50,000 (49)	133,333
Dale H. and Jean F. Dorn	06/22/01	\$ 50,000 (49)	133,333
McLean Bowman	06/22/01	\$ 50,000 (49)	133,333
Tongue River Royalties	06/23/01	\$ 11,250 (49)	30,000
Salomon Smith Barney, custodian for the IRA of Karl F. Arleth	06/27/01	\$ 100,000 (49)	266,667
Jerry W. Taylor	06/28/01	\$ 5,000 (49)	13,333
Daniel J. Hartmann	06/28/01	\$ 10,000 (49)	26,667
Donald B. Stott	06/28/01	\$ 75,000 (49)	200,000
The de Compiegne Property Company No. 20, Ltd	06/28/01	\$ 50,000 (49)	133,333
Brian B. Dorn	06/28/01	\$ 25,000 (49)	66,667
Bruce E. Gelb	06/28/01	\$ 50,000 (49)	133,333
Robert David & Julie L. Annear	06/28/01	\$ 5,000 (49)	13,333
Lynn A. & Robert T.J. McBride	06/28/01	\$ 1,500 (49)	4,000

II-3

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Adel H. Hindi	07/01/01	\$	13,500 (52)	36,000
Kelly and Stacy Oswald	07/03/01	\$	5,000 (49)	13,333
Louis Oswald, III, IRA	07/03/01	\$	16,125 (49)	43,000
Bendure Investments	07/03/01	\$	60,000 (49)	160,000
John Haskell	07/03/01	\$	35,000 (49)	93,333
John R. Farina	07/03/01	\$	2,000 (49)	5,333
John B. Thomas	07/03/01	\$	2,000 (49)	5,333
Gresham Sarl	07/03/01	\$	50,000 (51)	133,333
Christian Weyer	08/07/01	\$	50,000 (52)	133,333

(1) The date of the transaction is the date reflected by the Company's books and records as the issue date, not necessarily the date on which consideration was received or the contract for the transaction was executed.

(2) Unless otherwise noted, consideration was paid in cash. With respect to consideration other than for cash, the stock was valued based on the issue price of the stock at the date closest to the transaction.

(3) Unless otherwise noted, all references are to shares of common stock of the Company.

(4) Mr. John Eagleton purchased a convertible debenture in the principal amount of \$150,000, which together with interest at the rate of 7% per annum was due August 1, 2001. Such debenture was convertible, at the holder's option, into shares of common stock of the Company at the rate of \$.50 per share. On March 18, 1999, such debenture was converted into 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Mr. Eagleton was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S. In addition, Mr. Eagleton was an accredited investor, within the exemption provided by Section 4(6) of the Act.

(5) Mr. Anthony Eagleton purchased a convertible debenture in the principal amount of \$40,000, which together with interest at the rate of 7% per annum was due June 2, 1999. Such debenture was convertible, at the holder's option, into shares of common stock of the Company at the rate of \$.50 per share. On March 18, 1999, such debenture was converted into 80,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Mr. Eagleton was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S. In addition, Mr. Eagleton was an accredited investor, within the exemption provided by Section 4(6) of the Act.

(6) Effective November 12, 1998, the shareholders of Teton Oil (USA) Limited exchanged all of their shares of Teton Oil (USA) Limited for 25% of the shares of ATCO (the predecessor to the Company). Teton Oil (USA) Limited owned 100% of the stock of Teton Oil, Inc., which held the licenses in DCD Dagestan.

(7) The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Mr. Eagleton was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S. In addition, Mr. Eagleton was an accredited investor, within the exemption provided by Section 4(6) of the Act.

(8) The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, inasmuch as Mr. Hopkins was known to management through prior business transactions, and had experience with and knowledge of the Company as a shareholder, and the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer or sale. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution. The future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement.

(9) The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since the purchaser was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

and sale of the securities was related only to the sale of securities to four other related individuals and to no other offer and sale, and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

II-4

(10)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Hunzinger was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was related only to the sale of securities to four other related individuals and to no other offer and sale, and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(11)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Neuschwanger was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was related only to the sale of securities to four other related individuals and to no other offer and sale, and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(12)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. euschwanger was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was related only to the sale of securities to four other related individuals and to no other offer and sale, and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(13)Triumph Resources was issued shares in connection with services rendered as an advisor in connection with corporate matters. The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Triumph was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was unrelated to any other sale of securities, compensation for the sale was unrelated to the type of property provided for other sales in the same time period, and the future disposition of the securities was restricted.

(14)The principal amount of the debenture, together with interest at 20% per annum, matures on March 1, 2005. The Company, at its option, may redeem the shares. The debenture was redeemable at the option of the holder, in increments of \$1,000 principal amount, at a conversion rate of \$1.60 per share. The conversion rate was subsequently reduced to \$.30 per share and the debenture converted into 83,333 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Woodcock was known to management through prior business transactions and his provision of services to Teton, the offer and sale of the securities was unrelated to any other sale of securities, the security sold was unrelated to the securities sold in the same time period, and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(15)Mr. Robinson was issued 135,000 shares of common stock in connection with services relating to the Company's financing activities related to the investment by Mr. Frank Calandra in Trust. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Mr. Robinson was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S. In addition, Mr. Robinson was an accredited investor,

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

within the exemption provided by Section 4(6) of the Act. Mr. Robinson had been providing consulting services to the Company and knew intimately the Company and its operations.

(16) The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Mr. Calandra was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S. The exemption afforded by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act also applied, inasmuch as the sale was isolated from other sales and made to a discrete individual known to the Company and introduced and investigated by its representative.

(17) Current Capital was issued shares of common stock in connection with consulting services related to investor relations. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Current Capital, an entity related to John Robinson and qualified for the same reasons as Mr. Robinson, was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S.

II-5

(18) Such shares were issued as compensation pursuant to a Consulting Agreement entered into between Bendure Investments, Ltd. and the Company relating to the Company's activities in Russia. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Bendure Investments was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S. Bendure Investments had been providing consulting services to the Company and knew intimately the Company and its operations.

(19) 136,218 shares were issued as compensation pursuant to a Consulting Agreement between Mr. Haskell and the Company with respect to the Company's activities in Russia. 333,333 shares were issued in satisfaction of the Company's obligations under a loan agreement, in the principal amount of \$100,000. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Section 4(2) under the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was restricted. He had been providing consulting services to the Company and knew intimately the Company and its operations.

(20) A total of 504,186 shares of common stock of the Company were issued to Messrs. Dorn, Grover and Kennedy and Perm Corporation in full payment of (a) all interest due Perm Corporation through August 31, 2000, under the promissory note in the principal amount of \$200,000, dated November 12, 1998, (b) all interest due Messrs. Dorn, Grover, and Kennedy through August 31, 2000, under the promissory note in the principal amount of \$300,000, dated April 20, 1999, and (c) all principal and interest due Messrs. Dorn, Grover, and Kennedy under the promissory note in the principal amount of \$76,999.99, dated December 23, 1999. Mr. Kennedy is a director of the Company. All such transactions are subject to the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(6) of the Act, inasmuch as each individual is an accredited investor.

(21) The board of directors authorized the issuance of 500,000 shares of common stock to Perm Corporation in connection with modification of the Perm Note. The individuals in control of Perm Corporation, identified in note 34, all had been involved intimately in the operations of the Company since its inception. As such, the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act is applicable.

(22) 136,218 shares of common stock of the Company were issued as compensation pursuant to a Consulting Agreement with respect to the Company's activities in Russia between Haskell Investment Company and the Company. The Company relied on the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted. Through its principal, the purchaser had been providing consulting services to the Company and knew intimately the Company and its operations.

(23) The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Woodcock was a shareholder of the Company, was known to management through prior

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

business transactions and the prior sale of stock, the offer and sale of the securities was related only to the offer and sale to Mr. Woodcock. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution. The future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement.

(24) Such shares were issued in connection with consulting services relating to the Company's activities in Russia. The principal owner of the purchaser is James J. Woodcock. As such, the Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Woodcock was a shareholder of the Company, was known to management through prior business transactions and the prior sale of stock, the offer and sale of the securities was related only to the offer and sale to his company. The consideration differed from that paid in recent issuances. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution. The future disposition of the securities was restricted.

(25) The purchaser had previously purchased stock from the Company, and the Company relied on the same exemptions as the initial purchase. See note 32.

(26) The Company issued 1,000,000 shares of its common stock as full satisfaction of all of its obligations to Eurogas, Inc. under a Line of Credit Promissory Note dated April 5, 2000, the principal balance of which was \$300,000. The discrete nature of this transaction, consideration paid and circumstances of the issuance satisfied the requirements of Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

II-6

(27) The Company issued 333,333 shares of its common stock in full satisfaction of its Loan Agreement, in the principal amount of \$100,000, with Haskell Investment Company. The same exemptions applicable to earlier issuances to this purchaser applied to this transaction. See notes 33 and 36.

(28) The same exemptions applicable to earlier issuances to this purchaser applied to this transaction. See notes 33, 36 and 41.

(29) The Company issued 666,666 shares of its common stock in full satisfaction of its Loan Agreement, in the principal amount of \$200,000 with Bendure Investments Ltd. The same exemptions applicable to earlier issuances to this purchaser applied to this transaction. See notes 32 and 39.

(30) The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since the purchaser was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was related only to the sale of securities to three other related individuals and to no other offer and sale, and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(31) The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since the purchaser was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was related only to the sale of securities to three other related individuals and to no other offer and sale, and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(32) The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, inasmuch as Mr. Hopkins was known to management through prior business transactions, and had experience with and knowledge of the Company as a shareholder, and the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer or sale. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution. The future disposition of the securities was restricted by

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

agreement.

(33)The same exemptions applicable to earlier issuances to this purchaser applied to this transaction. See note 8.

(34)The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Mr. Petrouk was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S. In addition, Mr. Petrouk was an accredited investor, within the exemption provided by Section 4(6) of the Act.

(35)The Company issued 16,667 shares of its common stock to Mr. Petrouk as compensation for consulting services in connection with the Company's activities in Russia. The same exemption applicable to the earlier issuance to Mr. Petrouk applies to this issuance. See note 48.

(36)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Laughlin was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer and sale of those securities and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement.

(37)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Campbell was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer and sale of those securities and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement.

(38)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Lawson was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer and sale of those securities and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement.

(39)On October 16, 2000, the Company issued a convertible debenture (\$500,000 principal amount) to EuroGas, Inc. The principal sum, together with interest at the rate of 20% per annum was due on October 16, 2001. Eurogas was entitled to convert the principal balance of the debenture and all accrued interest at any time, at a conversion price of \$.30 per share. Unless Eurogas, Inc. consented to payment in cash, the principal balance and all accrued interest was required to be paid in shares of common stock valued at the conversion price. The conversion price was subject to adjustment based upon the occurrence of certain events specified in the debenture. On December 11, 2000, such shares were converted into 1,714,156 shares of common stock. The offer and sale were made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

II-7

(40)Current Capital was issued shares of common stock in connection with consulting services related to investor relations. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Current Capital, an entity related to John Robinson and qualified for the same reasons as Mr. Robinson, was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S.

(41)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Laughlin was known to management through prior business transactions, the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer and sale of those securities and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(42)The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Mr. DePahlen was not a "US person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S. Mr. DePahlen also was an accredited investor under the exemption provided by Section 4(6) of the

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Act.

(43)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Oswald was known to management through prior business transactions, a prior issuance of stock, and his shareholder status, and the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer and sale of those securities and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(44)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Laughlin was known to management through prior business transactions, a prior issuance of stock to his brother,, and the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer and sale of those securities and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

45)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Laughlin was known to management through prior business transactions, a prior issuance of stock, and his shareholder status, and the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer and sale of those securities and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(46)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since the Baalmans were known to management through prior business transactions, and the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer and sale of those securities and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(47)The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, since Mr. Roderick was known to management through prior business transactions, and the offer and sale of the securities was not related to any other offer and sale of those securities and the future disposition of the securities was restricted by agreement. The Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution.

(48)Such shares were issued in connection with consulting services related to the Company's activities in Russia. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Messrs. Yermolenko, Bergulev and Labovsky were not "US persons" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S.

II-8

(49) The Company relied on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and the exemption provided under Regulation D, Rule 504 of the Securities Act. Generally, the Company and the investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution. Additionally, future disposition of the securities was restricted pursuant to legends set forth on the stock certificate. The Company filed a Form D, Notice of Sale of Securities pursuant to Regulation D, Section 4(6) and/or Uniform Limited Offering Exemption with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the offering.

(50) The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Brownstein Resources is not a

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

"US Person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S.

(51) The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Gresham Sarl is not a "US Person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S.

(52) The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided in Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. Adel H. Hindi is not a "US Person" as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Securities Act. Transfer of the shares was also restricted according to the terms of Regulation S.

From March 8 2002 to August 19, 2002, Teton Petroleum Company sold to accredited investors a total of \$4,143,643 principal amount of its subordinated convertible debentures ("Debentures"). Such sales were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"). The Debentures were sold to current shareholders of Teton, officers of Teton, and other persons known to Teton's management through prior business transactions or personal relationships. The Debentures mature three years from the date of issuance. The Debentures bear interest at the rate of 10% per annum payable annually on the anniversary dates of the Debentures. At the election of the holder, interest is payable in cash or shares of Teton's common stock, valued at the conversion price. The Debentures are convertible into shares of common stock of Teton, at any time prior to maturity. The conversion price of the Debentures is 25 cents per share. The conversion price will be adjusted in the event of a stock split or certain other corporate actions described in the Debentures. The Debentures are subject to redemption by Teton at a redemption price equal to 110% of the principal amount, at any time prior to the one-year anniversary of issuance. After the one-year anniversary, Teton may redeem the Debentures without penalty or premium. At Teton's option, the redemption price may be paid in cash or in shares of common stock of Teton, valued at the conversion price. Additionally, purchasers of the Debentures received a stock purchase warrant to purchase four additional shares of common stock of Teton for each \$1.00 of principal amount of Debentures purchased. The exercise price of the warrants is 50 cents per share. The warrants expire two years from the date of issuance. Teton did not use any public solicitation or advertisements in connection with the sales of the Debentures. Teton did not use the services of an underwriter in connection with the sale of the Debentures. In connection with sales made to U.S. Persons as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Act ("US Investors"), Teton relied on exemptions from registration set forth in Section (4) (2) of the Act and Regulation D, Rule 505 of the Act. Teton and each US Investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the acquisition of the Debentures. The agreement included representation concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view toward the distribution. The agreement also disclosed that the securities being acquired had not been registered under the Act. The purchase agreement, Debenture, and warrant provide that future disposition of the securities is restricted except in compliance with the Act and state securities laws. In connection with sales made to non-US Investors, Teton relied on an exemption from registration provided under Regulation S promulgated under the Act. Teton and each non-US Investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase of the securities. The agreement included representation concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view toward the distribution. Transfers of the Debentures and warrants held by non-US Investors are also restricted in accordance with Regulation S.

The offering that began in March 2002 was amended in September 2002 to sell shares of common stock in lieu of debentures. From September 13, 2002 to December 31, 2002, Teton Petroleum Company sold to accredited investors a total of 2,365,343 shares of common stock for a total of \$6,386,990. The purchase price per share was \$2.72 per share. Such amounts include the issuance of a \$250,000 debenture in consideration of the purchaser's cancellation of Teton's \$250,000 promissory note.

The total amount of securities sold in this offering was \$10,530,633. Of that amount \$4,143,643 was sold to US persons, as such term is defined in Rule 902 of the Act and \$6,386,990 was sold to non-US Investors.

The securities were sold to current shareholders of Teton, officers of Teton, other persons known to our management through prior business transactions or personal relationships, and to certain institutional purchasers. We did not use any public solicitation or advertisements in connection with the sale of the securities. Furthermore, we did not use the services of an underwriter in connection with the sale of the securities. In connection with sales of securities made to US Investors, Teton relied on exemptions from registration set forth in Section 4(2) of the Act and Regulation D, Rule 505 of the Act. Teton and each US Investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the acquisition of the securities. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution. The agreement also disclosed that the securities being acquired have not been registered under the Act. The purchase agreement, debenture, stock certificate, and warrant provide that future disposition of the securities is restricted except in compliance with the Act and state securities laws. In connection with sales made to non-US Investors, Teton relied on an exemption from registration provided under Regulation S promulgated under the Act. Teton and each non-US Investor entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the purchase of the securities. The agreement included representations concerning the investor's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view towards distribution. Transfers of the securities held by non-US Investors are also restricted in accordance with Regulation S.

In March 2002, Teton sold a \$200,000 principal amount convertible note to a non-U.S. person (the "Fund"). The principal amount of the note, together with interest at 8% per annum, was due two years from the date of issuance. The note was convertible into shares of Teton common stock, at a conversion price per share equal to the lesser of 25 cents per share or 80% of average of the three lowest closing prices for Teton's common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board or other exchange for the 30 trading days prior to conversion. The conversion price was subject to adjustment upon the happening of certain events specified in the note. The Fund was also issued a stock purchase warrant to purchase an additional 100,000 shares of Teton common stock. The Fund was granted certain demand registration rights with respect to the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the note and exercise of the warrant. On May 1, 2002, the Fund purchased \$500,000 principal amount convertible note, on terms identical to the \$200,000 convertible note. The \$200,000 convertible note was cancelled and applied to the purchase price for the \$500,000 note. The stock purchase warrant for 100,000 shares was also cancelled. In connection with the \$500,000 note, Teton issued a stock purchase warrant to purchase an additional 2,000,000 shares of Teton common stock at 50 cents per share. The warrant expires May 1, 2005. On May 15, 2002, Teton redeemed the \$500,000 note in cash. The redemption amount of \$551,315 included the principal amount, accrued interest, and 10% premium. In connection with such redemption, Teton's obligations under the purchase agreement including the obligation to register the shares issuable upon conversion of the note and exercise of the warrant were terminated. The warrant to purchase 2,000,000 shares was modified to include a cashless exercise provision with respect to 250,000 of the shares and to provide piggy-back registration rights with respect to all shares issuable upon exercise of the warrant. In connection with such transactions Teton paid a total of \$50,000 as a commission to the fund manager. In connection with such transactions, Teton relied on an exemption from registration set forth in Regulation S of the Act. Teton and the Fund entered into a purchase agreement with respect to the acquisition of each note. The agreement included representation concerning the

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Fund's intent to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view toward the distribution. The agreement also disclosed that the securities being acquired had not been registered under the Act. The purchase agreement, note, and warrant provide that future disposition of the securities is restricted except in compliance with the Act and state securities laws.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2002, we also issued 20,000 shares of our common stock to a former consultant. The shares were issued in connection with the consultant's release of any claims against Teton, its president, and Teton Oil (USA) Limited. Teton issued such shares in reliance on the exemption from registration set forth in Section 4(2) of the Act. Teton also issued stop transfer instructions to its transfer agent with respect to such shares to ensure that any transfer of the shares complies with federal and state securities laws.

On January 14, 2003, we issued the following shares of our common stock to the following parties for services rendered:

1. 20,191 shares of common stock and 18,334 warrants exercisable at \$6.00 to Rockwell Capital Ventures in consideration of \$55,000 for assisting in the preparation of road shows in Europe.
2. 406 shares of common stock to Ivy L. Frederick in consideration of \$12,000 for an engagement fee.
3. 380 shares of common stock to John P. O'Shea in consideration of \$11,250 for an engagement fee.

II-10

4. 76 shares of common stock to Henry S. Kraus in consideration of \$2,250 for an engagement fee.
5. 76 shares of common stock to Daniel Luskind in consideration of \$2,250 for an engagement fee.
6. 76 shares of common stock to Arthur Niebaur in consideration of \$2,250 for an engagement fee.

The above-referenced offerings were deemed to be exempt under Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom were accredited investors, business associates of Teton Petroleum Company or executive officers of Teton Petroleum Company, and transfer was restricted by Teton Petroleum Company in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. In addition to representations by the above-referenced persons, we have made independent determinations that all of the above-referenced persons were accredited or sophisticated investors, and that they were capable of analyzing the merits and risks of their investment, and that they understood the speculative nature of their investment.

On January 22, 2003, we issued 44,052 shares of our common stock and 40,000 warrants exercisable at \$6.00 to Current Capital Corporation in consideration of \$120,000 for investor relationship services in Canada. These services included maintaining mailing lists, reviewing press releases, introducing us to investment banks and other providers of capital in Canada, and assisting us in planning and execution of an investor relations program in Canada. The above-referenced offerings were deemed to be exempt under Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom were accredited investors, business associates of Teton Petroleum Company or executive officers of Teton Petroleum Company, and transfer was restricted by Teton Petroleum Company in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. In addition to

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

representations by the above-referenced persons, we have made independent determinations that all of the above-referenced persons were accredited or sophisticated investors, and that they were capable of analyzing the merits and risks of their investment, and that they understood the speculative nature of their investment.

On March 23, 2003, we issued 7,408 shares of our common stock to Karl F. Arleth, our director, in consideration of \$20,000 for services rendered. This offering was deemed to be exempt under Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom were accredited investors, business associates of Teton Petroleum Company or executive officers of Teton Petroleum Company, and transfer was restricted by Teton Petroleum Company in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. In addition to representations by the above-referenced persons, we have made independent determinations that all of the above-referenced persons were accredited or sophisticated investors, and that they were capable of analyzing the merits and risks of their investment, and that they understood the speculative nature of their investment.

On March 27, 2003, we issued 6,898 shares of our common stock and 6,274 warrants exercisable at \$6.00 to Ilia Gurevich in consideration of \$18,790 for consulting services rendered. In addition, we issued 11,013 shares of our common stock and 10,000 warrants exercisable at \$6.00 to Maxim Partners in consideration of \$30,000 in order to be engaged as a placement agent. This offering was deemed to be exempt under Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom were accredited investors, business associates of Teton Petroleum Company or executive officers of Teton Petroleum Company, and transfer was restricted by Teton Petroleum Company in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. In addition to representations by the above-referenced persons, we have made independent determinations that all of the above-referenced persons were accredited or sophisticated investors, and that they were capable of analyzing the merits and risks of their investment, and that they understood the speculative nature of their investment.

On March 28, 2003, we issued 73,422 shares of our common stock and 66,667 warrants exercisable at \$6.00 to Strategic Partners Ltd. in consideration of \$200,000 for investor relations work in Europe. These services included strategic advice for the European market, introductions to various investment banks, development of an investor relation program in Europe, preparation of corporate presentations, and advice on our business plan. This offering was deemed to be exempt under Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom were accredited investors, business associates of Teton Petroleum Company or executive officers of Teton Petroleum Company, and transfer was restricted by Teton Petroleum Company in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. In addition to representations by the above-referenced persons, we have made independent determinations that all of the above-referenced persons were accredited or sophisticated investors, and that they were capable of analyzing the merits and risks of their investment, and that they understood the speculative nature of their investment.

II-11

On April 9, 2003, Teton issued an aggregate of 1,448,037 options to seven officers and directors pursuant to the 2003 Stock Option Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$3.48 per share and expire on April 8, 2013. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 701 and Section 4(2) of the

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Securities Act of 1933. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On April 9, 2003, Teton issued 30,000 options to members of its Advisory Committee in consideration for serving on the Advisory Committee. The options have an exercise price of \$3.48 per share and expire on April 8, 2013. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On April 9, 2003 we issued 291,667 warrants exercisable at \$3.48 to a consultant for its involvement in assisting the Company in raising equity capital. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On June 19, 2003, we issued a \$350,000 six month 10% promissory note to a shareholder along with 87,500 warrants exercisable at \$6.00. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On June 24, 2003, we issued a \$128,750 promissory note to a director along with 3,700 options exercisable at \$3.48. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On July 1, 2003, we issued a \$150,000 six month 10% promissory note to a shareholder along with 37,500 warrants exercisable at \$6.00. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On August 4, 2003, Teton issued 100,000 options to an outside director pursuant to the 2003 Stock Option Plan. The options have an exercise price of \$3.40 per share and expire on August 4, 2013. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 701 and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On August 11, 2003, Teton issued 9,656 shares of series A convertible preferred stock in exchange for investor relation services valued at \$42,000. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On August 25, 2003, Teton issued 22,989 warrants exercisable at \$6.00 per share

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

expiring August 25, 2005. The warrants were issued in connection with a finder's fee. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

II-12

On August 29, 2003 and September 30, 2003, Teton issued 1,250 shares of common stock to a consultant in return for various financial advisory activities valued at \$5,062 and \$4,875, respectively. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On September 5, 2003, Teton issued 2,414 warrants exercisable at \$6.00 per share expiring September 5, 2005. The warrants were issued in connection with a finder's fee. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On October 23, 2003, Teton announced it had completed the placement of approximately \$7.548 million of its series A convertible preferred stock. Teton sold approximately 1.735 million unregistered series A convertible preferred shares at a price of \$4.35 per share. The private placement was priced on July 11, 2003, when Teton's common shares were trading at \$4.30 per share and was approximately 51% oversubscribed. The preferred shares carry an 8% dividend, payable quarterly and are convertible into common stock at a price of \$4.35 per share. If converted within 60 days of the closing, the investors will be entitled to receive (i) dividends payable in common stock for one year; and (ii) 100,000 Class B Warrants for each \$500,000, exercisable at \$6.00 per share. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

On November 10, 2003, Teton announced that it closed an extended second round of its privately placed series A convertible preferred stock. The initial offering of convertible preferred shares, which closed on October 23, 2003, was extended due to continued high investor demand. In the second round Teton raised approximately \$2.3 million and Teton sold approximately 526,000 restricted series A convertible preferred shares at a price of \$4.35 per share. Including the second round the total funds raised in the convertible preferred private placement equal approximately \$9.8 million, a 96% over subscription. The preferred shares issued in the second round require shareholder approval in order to be converted into common shares. This offering and sale was deemed to be exempt under Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to an accredited investor and transfer was restricted in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

Item 27. Exhibits.

The following exhibits are included as part of this Form SB-2. References to "the Company" in this Exhibit List mean Teton Petroleum Company, a Nevada corporation.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	Description
-----	-----
3.1.1	Certificate of Incorporation of EQ Resources Ltd incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1.1 of Teton's Form 10-SB, filed July 3, 2001.
3.1.2	Certificate of Domestication of EQ Resources Ltd incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2. 1.2 of Teton's Form 10-SB, filed July 3, 2001.
3.1.3	Articles of Merger of EQ Resources Ltd. and American-Tyumen Exploration Company incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1.3 of Teton's Form 10-SB, filed July 3, 2001.
3.1.4	Certificate of Amendment of Teton Petroleum Company incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1.4 of Teton's Form 10-SB, filed July 3, 2001.
3.1.5	Certificate of Amendment of Teton Petroleum Company incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1.5 of Teton's Form 10-SB, filed July 3, 2001.
5.1	Consent of Counsel
10.1	Employment Agreement, dated May 1, 2002, between Teton Petroleum Company and H. Howard Cooper (filed herewith).
23.1	Auditor's Consent

II-13

Item 28. Undertakings.

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes to:

(1) File, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement to:

(i) Include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act");

(ii) Reflect in the prospectus any facts or events which, individually or together, represent a fundamental change in the information in the registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of the securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) under the Securities Act if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than a 20% change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the "Calculation of Registration Fee" table in the effective registration statement, and

(iii) Include any additional or changed material information on the plan of distribution.

(2) For determining liability under the Securities Act, treat each post-effective amendment as a new registration statement of the securities offered, and the offering of the securities at that time to be the initial bona

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

fide offering.

(3) File a post-effective amendment to remove from registration any of the securities that remain unsold at the end of the offering.

(4) For purposes of determining any liability under the Securities Act, treat the information omitted from the form of prospectus filed as part of this registration statement in reliance upon Rule 430A and contained in a form of prospectus filed by the registrant pursuant to Rule 424(b)(1) or (4) or 497(h) under the Securities Act as part of this registration statement as of the time it was declared effective.

(5) For determining any liability under the Securities Act, treat each post-effective amendment that contains a form of prospectus as a new registration statement for the securities offered in the registration statement, and that offering of the securities at that time as the initial bona fide offering of those securities.

II-14

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant certifies that it has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets all of the requirements of filing on Form SB-2 and authorizes this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, in the City of Denver, State of Colorado, on January 21, 2004

TETON PETROLEUM COMPANY

By: /s/ Karl Arleth

Karl Arleth, President and CEO

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this registration statement was signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates stated.

Edgar Filing: TETON PETROLEUM CO - Form SB-2/A

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ H. Howard Cooper ----- H. Howard Cooper	Chairman and Founder	January 21, 2004
/s/ Karl Arleth ----- Karl Arleth	President and CEO	January 21, 2004
/s/ Thomas F. Conroy ----- Thomas F. Conroy	Director	January 21, 2004
/s/ James J. Woodcock ----- James J. Woodcock	Director	January 21, 2004
----- John Connor	Director	January 21, 2004
/s/ John Mahar ----- John Mahar	Chief Financial Officer	January 21, 2004