

PG&E Corp  
 Form 10-K  
 February 10, 2015

UNITED STATES  
 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
 Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
 For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014  
 Or
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
 For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number	Exact Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter	State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization	IRS Employer Identification Number
1-12609	PG&E CORPORATION	California	94-3234914
1-2348	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY	California	94-0742640

77 Beale Street, P.O. Box 770000  
 San Francisco, California 94177  
 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)  
 (415) 973-1000  
 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

77 Beale Street, P.O. Box 770000  
 San Francisco, California 94177  
 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)  
 (415) 973-7000  
 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
PG&E Corporation: Common Stock, no par value	New York Stock Exchange
Pacific Gas and Electric Company: First Preferred Stock, cumulative, par value \$25 per share: Redeemable: 5% Series A, 5%, 4.80%, 4.50%, 4.36% Nonredeemable: 6%, 5.50%, 5%	NYSE Amex Equities

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act:

PG&E Corporation	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

PG&E Corporation  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Yes  No   
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

PG&E Corporation  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Yes  No   
Yes  No

---

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

PG&E Corporation	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K:

PG&E Corporation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Pacific Gas and Electric Company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). (Check one):

PG&E Corporation	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>
Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

PG&E Corporation	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrants as of June 30, 2014, the last business day of the most recently completed second fiscal quarter:

PG&E Corporation common stock	\$22,602 million
Pacific Gas and Electric Company common stock	Wholly owned by PG&E Corporation

Common Stock outstanding as of January 27, 2015:

PG&E Corporation:	476,399,910
Pacific Gas and Electric Company:	264,374,809 shares (wholly owned by PG&E Corporation)

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the documents listed below have been incorporated by reference into the indicated parts of this report, as specified in the responses to the item numbers involved:

Designated portions of the Joint Proxy Statement      Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14)  
relating to the 2015 Annual Meetings of Shareholders

---

## Contents

	<u>UNITS OF MEASUREMENT</u>	iii
	<u>GLOSSARY</u>	iv
<u>PART I.</u>		1
<u>ITEM 1. BUSINESS</u>		1
	<u>Regulatory Environment</u>	2
	<u>Ratemaking Mechanisms</u>	4
	<u>Natural Gas Utility Operations</u>	12
	<u>Competition</u>	14
	<u>Environmental Regulation</u>	14
<u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u>		19
<u>ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>		28
<u>ITEM 2. PROPERTIES</u>		28
<u>ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>		28
<u>ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>		30
	<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANTS</u>	31
<u>PART II.</u>		33
<u>ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>		33
<u>ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>		34
<u>ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>		35
	<u>OVERVIEW</u>	35
	<u>Results of Operations</u>	38
	<u>Liquidity and Financial Resources</u>	43
	<u>Contractual Commitments</u>	48
	<u>Enforcement and Litigation Matters</u>	49
	<u>Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings</u>	53
	<u>Environmental Matters</u>	55
	<u>Risk Management Activities</u>	55
	<u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>	57
	<u>New Accounting Pronouncements</u>	60
	<u>Cautionary Language Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	61
<u>ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>		63

<u>ITEM 8.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	63
	PG&E Corporation	
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	63
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	64
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	65
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	67
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity</u>	68
	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	69
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	70
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	71
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	73
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u>	74
	<u>NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	
	<u>NOTE 1:</u> <u>Organization and Basis of Presentation</u>	75
	<u>NOTE 2:</u> <u>Significant Accounting Policies</u>	75
	<u>NOTE 3:</u> <u>Regulatory Assets, Liabilities, and Balancing</u>	81
	<u>Accounts</u>	
	<u>NOTE 4:</u> <u>Debt</u>	84
	<u>NOTE 5:</u> <u>Common Stock and Share-based Compensation</u>	87
	<u>NOTE 6:</u> <u>Preferred Stock</u>	90
	<u>NOTE 7:</u> <u>Earnings Per Share</u>	90
	<u>NOTE 8:</u> <u>Income Taxes</u>	91
	<u>NOTE 9:</u> <u>Derivatives</u>	94
	<u>NOTE 10:</u> <u>Fair Value Measurements</u>	97
	<u>NOTE 11:</u> <u>Employee Benefit Plans</u>	104
	<u>NOTE 12:</u> <u>Resolution of Remaining Chapter 11 Disputed</u>	114
	<u>Claims</u>	
	<u>NOTE 13:</u> <u>Related Party Agreements and Transactions</u>	115
	<u>NOTE 14:</u> <u>Contingencies and Commitments</u>	115
	<u>QUARTERLY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)</u>	125
	<u>MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL</u>	126
	<u>REPORTING</u>	
	<u>REPORTS OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	127
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON</u>	129
	<u>ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	
<u>ITEM 9A.</u>	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	129
<u>ITEM 9B.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	129
<u>PART III</u>		130
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	<u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	130
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	130
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related</u>	131
	<u>Stockholder Matters</u>	
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Directors Independence</u>	131
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	131
<u>PART IV</u>		132
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	132

<u>SIGNATURES</u>	142
<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERD PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	144
<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEUDLES</u>	145

UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

1 Kilowatt (kW)	=	One thousand watts
1 Kilowatt-Hour (kWh)	=	One kilowatt continuously for one hour
1 Megawatt (MW)	=	One thousand kilowatts
1 Megawatt-Hour (MWh)	=	One megawatt continuously for one hour
1 Gigawatt (GW)	=	One million kilowatts
1 Gigawatt-Hour (GWh)	=	One gigawatt continuously for one hour
1 Kilovolt (kV)	=	One thousand volts
1 MVA	=	One megavolt ampere
1 Mcf	=	One thousand cubic feet
1 MMcf	=	One million cubic feet
1 Bcf	=	One billion cubic feet
1 MDth	=	One thousand decatherms



## GLOSSARY

The following terms and abbreviations appearing in the text of this report have the meanings indicated below.

2014 Annual Report	PG&E Corporation's and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, including the information incorporated by reference into the report
AB	Assembly Bill
AFUDC	allowance for funds used during construction
ALJ	administrative law judge
ARO	asset retirement obligation
CAISO	California Independent System Operator
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCA	Community Choice Aggregator
Central Coast Board	Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
CRRs	congestion revenue rights
DOE	Department of Energy
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPS	earnings per common share
EV	electric vehicle
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
GAAP	U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
GHG	greenhouse gas
GRC	general rate case
GT&S	gas transmission and storage
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
LTIP	long term incentive plan
MD&A	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
NEIL	Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NTSB	National Transportation Safety Board
ORA	Office of Ratepayer Advocates
PSEP	pipeline safety enhancement plan
QF	Qualifying facility
Regional Board	California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region
REITS	Global real estate investment trust
ROE	return on equity
RPS	renewable portfolio standard
SEC	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
SED	Safety and Enforcement Division of the CPUC, formerly known as the Consumer Protection and Safety Division or the CPSD
TO	transmission owner
TURN	The Utility Reform Network
Utility	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
VIE(s)	variable interest entity(ies)
Water Board	California State Water Resources Control Board



PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

PG&E Corporation, incorporated in California in 1995, is a holding company whose primary operating subsidiary is Pacific Gas and Electric Company, a public utility operating in northern and central California. The Utility was incorporated in California in 1905. PG&E Corporation became the holding company of the Utility and its subsidiaries in 1997. The Utility generates revenues mainly through the sale and delivery of electricity and natural gas to customers. At the state level, the Utility is regulated primarily by the CPUC. At the federal level, the Utility is subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC and the NRC. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's operating revenues, income, and total assets can be found in Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The principal executive offices of PG&E Corporation and the Utility are located at 77 Beale Street, P.O. Box 770000, San Francisco, California 94177. PG&E Corporation's telephone number is (415) 973-1000 and the Utility's telephone number is (415) 973-7000.

At December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation and its subsidiaries had 22,581 employees, including 22,569 employees of the Utility. Of the Utility's regular employees, 13,649 are covered by collective bargaining agreements with the local chapters of three labor unions: the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers ("IBEW"); the Engineers and Scientists of California ("ESC"); and the Service Employees International Union ("SEIU"). The two agreements with IBEW, and the single agreement with ESC, will expire on December 31, 2015. The SEIU collective bargaining agreement will expire on July 31, 2015.

This is a combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for PG&E Corporation and the Utility. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and proxy statements, are available free of charge on both PG&E Corporation's website, [www.pgecorp.com](http://www.pgecorp.com), and the Utility's website, [www.pge.com](http://www.pge.com), as promptly as practicable after they are filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The information contained on these websites is not part of this or any other report that PG&E Corporation and the Utility files with, or furnishes to, the SEC.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements that are necessarily subject to various risks and uncertainties. For a discussion of the significant risks that could affect the outcome of these forward-looking statements and PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's future financial condition and results of operations, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and the section entitled "Cautionary Language Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" in Item 7. MD&A. In particular, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results are expected to be materially affected by the final outcome of the CPUC's pending investigative enforcement proceedings against the Utility. These investigations relate to (1) the Utility's safety recordkeeping for its natural gas transmission system, (2) the Utility's operation of its natural gas transmission pipeline system in or near locations of higher population density, and (3) the Utility's pipeline installation, integrity management, recordkeeping and other operational practices, and other events or courses of conduct, that could have led to or contributed to the pipeline accident that occurred in San Bruno, California on September 9, 2010. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows have been materially affected by the costs the Utility has incurred related to shareholder funded safety work, the ongoing regulatory investigations, and civil lawsuits that commenced following the San Bruno accident. In addition, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be materially affected by the outcome of the federal criminal prosecution of the Utility and the other enforcement matters discussed in "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" in Item 7. MD&A.

## Regulatory Environment

The Utility's business is subject to a complex set of energy, environmental and other laws, regulations, and regulatory proceedings at the federal, state, and local levels. This section and the "Ratemaking Mechanisms" section below summarize some of the more significant laws, regulations, and regulatory proceedings affecting the Utility. See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" and "Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings" in Item 7. MD&A for discussion of specific pending regulatory matters that are expected to materially affect PG&E Corporation and the Utility.

PG&E Corporation is a "public utility holding company" as defined under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005 and is subject to regulatory oversight by the FERC. PG&E Corporation and its subsidiaries are exempt from all requirements of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005 other than the obligation to provide access to their books and records to the FERC and the CPUC for ratemaking purposes.

### The California Public Utilities Commission

The CPUC consists of five members appointed by the Governor of California and confirmed by the California State Senate for staggered six-year terms. The CPUC has jurisdiction over the rates and terms and conditions of service for the Utility's electricity and natural gas distribution operations, electricity generation, and natural gas transmission and storage services. The CPUC also has jurisdiction over the Utility's issuances of securities, dispositions of utility assets and facilities, energy purchases on behalf of the Utility's electricity and natural gas retail customers, rates of return, rates of depreciation, oversight of nuclear decommissioning, and aspects of the siting of facilities used in providing electric and natural gas utility service.

The California Legislature also directs the CPUC to implement state laws and policies, such as the laws relating to increasing renewable energy resources, the development and widespread deployment of distributed generation and self-generation resources, the reduction of GHG emissions, and the development of energy storage technologies and facilities. In addition, the CPUC is responsible for approving funding and administration of state-mandated public purpose programs such as energy efficiency and other customer programs.

The CPUC enforces state laws that set forth safety requirements pertaining to the design, construction, testing, operation, and maintenance of utility electric and gas facilities. The CPUC also has authority to enforce compliance with certain federal regulations related to the safety of natural gas facilities. The CPUC has adopted a gas safety enforcement program and authorized the SED to issue citations and impose fines for violations of certain state and federal regulations that relate to the safety of natural gas facilities and operating practices. (See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" in Item 7. MD&A for information about the presiding officer decisions issued in the three CPUC investigative enforcement proceedings pending against the Utility and SED's enforcement actions taken against the Utility.) In December 2014, the CPUC also adopted an interim electric safety enforcement program that became effective January 1, 2015. (On January 7, 2015, the Utility requested that the CPUC reconsider this decision.) Under both the gas and electric programs, the SED can impose fines up to \$50,000 per violation, per day. The CPUC is expected to review both safety programs during 2015 to determine whether any further changes are needed.

In addition, the CPUC conducts audits and reviews of the Utility's accounting, performance and compliance with regulatory guidelines, as well as investigations into various matters, such as deregulation, competition, and the environment, in order to determine its future policies. The CPUC has imposed various conditions that govern the relationship between the Utility and PG&E Corporation and other affiliates, including financial conditions that require PG&E Corporation's Board of Directors to give first priority to the capital requirements of the Utility, as determined to be necessary and prudent to meet the Utility's obligation to serve or to operate the Utility in a prudent and efficient manner. (For more information, see "Liquidity and Financial Resources" in Item 7. MD&A and Item 1A. Risk Factors.)



## The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the California Independent System Operator

The FERC has jurisdiction over the Utility's electricity transmission revenue requirements and rates, the licensing of substantially all of the Utility's hydroelectric generation facilities, and the interstate sale and transportation of natural gas. The FERC regulates interconnections of transmission systems with other electric systems and generation facilities, tariffs and conditions of service of regional transmission organizations and the terms and rates of wholesale electricity sales. The FERC also is charged with adopting and enforcing mandatory standards governing the reliability of the nation's electricity transmission grid, including standards to protect the nation's bulk power system against potential disruptions from cyber and physical security breaches. The FERC has authority to impose fines of up to \$1 million per day for violation of certain federal statutes and regulations.

The CAISO is the FERC-approved regional transmission organization for the Utility's service territory. The CAISO controls the operation of the transmission system in California and provides open access transmission service on a nondiscriminatory basis. The CAISO also is responsible for planning transmission system additions, ensuring the maintenance of adequate reserves of generation capacity, and ensuring that the reliability of the transmission system is maintained.

## The Nuclear Regulatory Commission

The NRC oversees the licensing, construction, operation and decommissioning of nuclear facilities, including the Utility's two nuclear generating units at Diablo Canyon and the Utility's retired nuclear generating unit at Humboldt Bay. (See "Electric Generation Resources" below.) NRC regulations require extensive monitoring and review of the safety, radiological, seismic, environmental, and security aspects of these facilities. In the event of non-compliance, the NRC has the authority to impose fines or to force a shutdown of a nuclear plant, or both. NRC safety and security requirements have, in the past, necessitated substantial capital expenditures at Diablo Canyon, and substantial capital expenditures could be required in the future.

## Other Regulation

The California Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission, commonly called the CEC, is the state's primary energy policy and planning agency. The CEC is responsible for licensing all thermal power plants over 50 MW within California. The CEC also is responsible for forecasts of future energy needs used by the CPUC in determining the adequacy of the utilities' electricity procurement plans.

The CARB is the state agency charged with setting and monitoring GHG and other emission limits. The CARB also is responsible for adopting and enforcing regulations to implement state law requirements to gradually reduce GHG emissions in California to 1990 levels by 2020. (See "Environmental Regulation — Air Quality and Climate Change" below.)

In addition, the Utility obtains permits, authorizations, and licenses in connection with the construction and operation of the Utility's generation facilities, electricity transmission lines, natural gas transportation pipelines, and gas compressor station facilities. The Utility also periodically obtains permits, authorizations, and licenses in connection with distribution of electricity and natural gas that grant the Utility rights to occupy and/or use public property for the operation of the Utility's business and to conduct certain related operations. The Utility has franchise agreements with approximately 300 cities and counties that permit the Utility to install, operate, and maintain the Utility's electric and natural gas facilities in the public streets and roads. In exchange for the right to use public streets and roads, the Utility pays annual fees to the cities and counties. In most cases, the Utility's franchise agreements are for an indeterminate term, with no expiration date.



## Ratemaking Mechanisms

The Utility's rates for electricity and natural gas utility services are set at levels that are intended to allow the Utility to recover its costs of providing service including a return on invested capital ("cost-of-service ratemaking"). Before setting rates, the CPUC and the FERC conduct various proceedings to determine the annual amount that the Utility will be authorized to collect from its customers ("revenue requirements"). The Utility's revenue requirements consist primarily of a base amount set to enable the Utility to recover its reasonable operating expenses (maintenance, administration and general expenses) and capital costs (depreciation, tax, and financing expenses). In addition, the CPUC authorizes the Utility to collect revenues to recover costs that it is allowed to "pass-through" to customers, including its costs to procure electricity, natural gas and nuclear fuel, to administer public purpose and customer programs, and to decommission its nuclear facilities.

The Utility's rate of return on electric transmission assets is determined in the FERC TO proceedings. The authorized rate of return on all other assets is set in the CPUC's cost of capital proceeding. Other than its electric transmission and certain gas transmission and storage revenues, the Utility's base revenues are "decoupled" from its sales volume. Regulatory balancing accounts, or revenue adjustment mechanisms, ensure that the Utility will fully collect its authorized base revenue requirements. The Utility's earnings primarily depend on its ability to manage its base operating and capital costs (referred to as "Utility Revenues and Costs that Impact Earnings" in Item 7. MD&A) within its authorized base revenue requirements.

To develop retail rates, authorized revenue requirements are allocated among customer classes which are mainly residential, commercial, industrial, and agricultural. Rate changes become effective prospectively on or after the date of CPUC or FERC decisions.

California AB 327, which became effective on January 1, 2014, repealed prior law that restricted the CPUC's ability to change residential electric rates and to reduce the level of rate assistance for certain low-income customers. AB 327 also authorized the CPUC to approve fixed charges to be collected from residential customers. In 2012, the CPUC opened a rulemaking proceeding to examine residential rate design in California that, consistent with AB 327, allows the CPUC to simplify the rate structure to bring marginal rates closer to reflecting the Utility's actual costs. In February 2014, as ordered by the CPUC, the Utility submitted a long-term residential rate reform plan that proposes a fixed customer charge, gradual flattening of the tiered rate structure, and an optional time-of-use rate. The CPUC is expected to issue a final decision by the summer of 2015.

AB 327 also requires the CPUC to develop a new structure for net energy metering by December 31, 2015, that must be implemented no later than July 1, 2017. California's net energy metering program currently allows customers installing renewable distributed generation to receive bill credits for power delivered to the grid at their full retail rate. Increasing levels of self-generation of electricity by customers, coupled with net metering and retail rates that do not reflect the Utility's cost structure, has shifted costs to the remaining customers. AB 327 gives the CPUC new authority to reduce the cost shift associated with renewable distributed generation through residential rate and net energy metering reform. In July 2014, the CPUC began a rulemaking proceeding to develop a successor to the existing net energy metering program to comply with the requirements of AB 327. The CPUC is expected to issue a proposed decision in the fall of 2015.

From time to time, the CPUC may use incentive ratemaking mechanisms that provide the Utility an opportunity to earn additional revenues. For example, the Utility has earned incentives for the successful implementation of energy efficiency programs. (See "Results of Operations" in Item 7. MD&A.)



## Base Revenues

### General Rate Cases

The GRC is the primary proceeding in which the CPUC determines the amount of base revenue requirements that the Utility is authorized to collect from customers to recover the Utility's anticipated costs, including return on rate base, related to its electricity and natural gas distribution and Utility owned electricity generation operations. The CPUC generally conducts a GRC every three years. The CPUC approves the annual revenue requirements for the first year (or "test year") of the GRC period and typically authorizes the Utility to receive annual increases (known as "attrition adjustments") in revenue requirements for the subsequent years of the GRC period. Attrition rate adjustments are generally provided for cost increases related to inflation and increases in invested capital. Parties in the Utility's GRC include the ORA and TURN, who generally represent the overall interests of residential customers, as well as a myriad of other intervenors who represent residential and other customer interests.

On August 14, 2014, the CPUC issued a decision in the Utility's 2014 GRC, authorizing the Utility to collect a total 2014 revenue requirement of approximately \$7.1 billion to recover anticipated costs associated with electric generation, as well as electric and natural gas distribution (See "Results of Operations" in Item 7. MD&A.) The CPUC also authorized attrition increases of \$324 million for 2015 and \$371 million for 2016.

On December 9, 2014, the CPUC issued a decision adopting a risk-based decision-making framework for the CPUC to use in evaluating future major rate cases. The CPUC ordered the utilities to file an application on May 1, 2015, to initiate a new proceeding called the Safety Model Assessment Proceeding in which the CPUC will review the models the utilities use to assess and prioritize risks. After this proceeding concludes, each utility would file an application to initiate the first phase of their next GRC. In this phase, known as the Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase, the CPUC will examine the utility's assessment of its key risks and its proposed programs for mitigating those risks.

### Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Rate Cases

The CPUC determines the Utility's authorized revenue requirements and rates for its natural gas transmission and storage services in the GT&S rate case. In December 2013, the Utility filed its 2015 GT&S rate case application (covering 2015 through 2017) requesting the CPUC approve a total annual revenue requirement of \$1.29 billion for anticipated costs of providing natural gas transmission and storage services beginning on January 1, 2015. See "Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings – 2015 GT&S Rate Case" in Item 7. MD&A for additional information.

### Cost of Capital Proceedings

The CPUC periodically conducts a cost of capital proceeding to authorize the Utility's capital structure and rates of return for its electric generation, electric and natural gas distribution, and natural gas transmission and storage rate base. The CPUC has authorized the Utility's capital structure through 2016, consisting of 52% common equity, 47% long-term debt, and 1% preferred stock. The CPUC also authorized the Utility to earn a 10.40% ROE effective January 1, 2013, compared to the 11.35% previously authorized. The Utility's ROE can be automatically adjusted if the utility bond index changes by certain thresholds on an annual basis. The index changes to date have not exceeded the threshold so the 2015 ROE has remained at 10.40%. The Utility's next cost of capital application is due in April 2016.

### Electricity Transmission Owner Rate Cases

The FERC determines the amount of authorized revenue requirement, including rate of return on electric transmission assets, that the Utility may collect in rates in the TO tariff rate case. The Utility generally files a TO tariff rate case every year. The FERC typically authorizes the Utility to charge new rates based on the requested revenue

requirement, subject to refund, before the FERC has issued a final decision. These FERC-approved rates are included by the CPUC in the Utility's retail electric rates and are collected from retail electric customers. (See "Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings – FERC Transmission Owner Rate Cases" in Item 7. MD&A.) The Utility also recovers revenue requirements for its wholesale electric transmission costs through charges collected under specific contracts with wholesale transmission customers that the Utility entered into before the CAISO began its operations in 1998. These wholesale customers are charged individualized rates based on the terms of their contracts.

## Revenues to Recover Energy Procurement and Other Pass-Through Costs

### Electricity Procurement Costs

California investor-owned electric utilities are responsible for procuring electricity required to meet customer demand, plus applicable reserve margins, that are not satisfied from their own generation facilities and existing electricity contracts. The utilities are responsible for scheduling and bidding electric generation resources, including electricity procured from third parties or the wholesale market, to meet customer demand according to which resources are the least expensive (i.e., using the principles of “least-cost dispatch”). In addition, the utilities are required to obtain CPUC approval of their procurement plans based on long-term demand forecasts. In January 2012, the CPUC approved the Utility’s procurement plan (covering 2012 through 2020).

California law allows electric utilities to recover the costs incurred in compliance with their CPUC-approved electricity procurement plans without further after-the-fact reasonableness review. Costs associated with electricity purchases may be disallowed if they are not in compliance with the CPUC-approved plan or if the utility failed to follow the principles of least-cost dispatch. The Utility recovers its electricity procurement costs annually through the energy resource recovery account (“ERRA”). (See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.) Each year, the CPUC reviews the Utility’s forecasted procurement costs related to power purchase agreements, derivative instruments, GHG costs, and generation fuel expense and approves a forecasted revenue requirement. The CPUC may adjust a utility’s retail electricity rates more frequently if the forecasted aggregate over-collections or under-collections in the ERRA exceed five percent of its prior year electricity procurement revenues. The CPUC performs an annual compliance review of the transactions recorded in the ERRA.

The CPUC has approved various power purchase agreements that the Utility has entered into with third parties in accordance with the Utility’s CPUC-approved procurement plan, the renewable energy mandate, and resource adequacy requirements. See “Electric Utility Operations – Electricity Resources” below as well as Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 for additional information.

### Natural Gas Procurement and Transportation Costs

The Utility sets the natural gas procurement rate for small commercial and residential customers (referred to as “core” customers) monthly, based on the forecasted costs of natural gas, core pipeline capacity and storage costs. The Utility recovers the cost of gas purchased on behalf of core customers as well as the cost of derivative instruments through its retail gas rates subject to limits as set forth in its Core Procurement Incentive Mechanism (“CPIM”). The Utility reflects the difference between actual natural gas purchase costs and forecasted natural gas purchase costs in several natural gas balancing accounts, with under-collections and over-collections taken into account in subsequent monthly rates. This is accomplished through monthly advice letters that are effective upon filing. The Utility recovers the cost of gas used in generation facilities as a cost of electricity that is recovered annually through retail electricity rates.

The CPIM protects the Utility against after-the-fact reasonableness reviews of these gas procurement costs. Under the CPIM, the Utility’s natural gas purchase costs for a fixed 12-month period are compared to an aggregate market-based benchmark based on a weighted average of published monthly and daily natural gas price indices at the points where the Utility typically purchases natural gas. Costs that fall within a tolerance band, which is 99% to 102% of the commodity benchmark, are considered reasonable and are fully recovered in customers’ rates. One-half of the costs above 102% of the benchmark are recoverable in customers’ rates, and the Utility’s customers receive in their rates 80% of any savings resulting from the Utility’s cost of natural gas that is less than 99% of the benchmark. The Utility retains the remaining amount of savings as incentive revenues, subject to a cap equal to 1.5% of total natural gas commodity costs. While this incentive mechanism remains in place, changes in the price of natural gas, consistent with the market-based benchmark, are not expected to materially impact net income.



The Utility incurs transportation costs under various agreements with interstate and Canadian third-party transportation service providers. These providers transport natural gas from the points at which the Utility takes delivery of natural gas (typically in Canada, the U.S. Rocky Mountains, and the southwestern United States) to the points at which the Utility's natural gas transportation system begins. These agreements are governed by FERC-approved tariffs that detail rates, rules, and terms of service for the provision of natural gas transportation services to the Utility on interstate and Canadian pipelines. United States tariffs are approved for each pipeline for service to all of its shippers, including the Utility, by the FERC in a FERC ratemaking review process, and the applicable Canadian tariffs are approved by the Alberta Utilities Commission and the National Energy Board. The transportation costs the Utility incurs under these agreements are recovered through CPUC-approved rates as core natural gas procurement costs or as a cost of electricity.

#### Costs Associated with Public Purpose and Customer Programs

The CPUC authorizes the Utility to recover the costs of various public purpose and other customer programs through the collection of rates from most Utility customers. These programs relate to energy efficiency, demand response, distributed generation, energy research and development, and other matters. Additionally, the CPUC has authorized the Utility to provide a discount rate for low-income customers, known as California Alternate Rates for Energy ("CARE"), which is subsidized by the Utility's other customers.

#### Nuclear Decommissioning Costs

The Utility's nuclear power facilities consist of two units at Diablo Canyon and the retired facility at Humboldt Bay. Nuclear decommissioning requires the safe removal of nuclear facilities from service and the reduction of residual radioactivity to a level that permits termination of the NRC license and release of the property for unrestricted use. The Utility files an application with the CPUC every three years requesting approval of the Utility's estimated decommissioning costs and authorization to recover the estimated costs through rates. Nuclear decommissioning charges collected through rates are held in nuclear decommissioning trusts to be used for the eventual decommissioning of each nuclear unit.

#### Electric Utility Operations

The Utility generates electricity and provides electricity transmission and distribution services throughout its service territory in northern and central California to residential, commercial, industrial, and agricultural customers. The Utility provides "bundled" services (i.e., electricity, transmission and distribution services) to most customers in its service territory. Customers also can obtain electricity from alternative providers such as municipalities or CCAs, as well as from self-generation resources, such as rooftop solar installations.

During 2014, the Utility continued to make improvements to its electric transmission and distribution systems to accommodate the integration of new renewable energy resources, distributed generation resources, and energy storage facilities, and to help create a platform for the development of new Smart Grid technologies. The Utility plans to continue making similar improvements in 2015. In December 2014, the CPUC issued a decision that permits the California investor-owned electric utilities to own EV retail charging equipment in their respective service territories to help meet the state's goal of reducing GHG emissions by promoting cleaner transportation. On February 9, 2015, the Utility filed an application to request that the CPUC approve the Utility's proposal to develop, maintain, and operate an EV-charging infrastructure in its service territory. (For more information about the Utility's application, see "Ratemaking And Other Regulatory Proceedings" in Item 7. MD&A.)

## Electricity Resources

The Utility is required to maintain physical generating capacity adequate to meet its customers' demand for electricity ("load"), including peak demand and planning and operating reserves, deliverable to the locations and at times as may be necessary to provide reliable electric service. The Utility is required to dispatch, or schedule all of the electricity resources within its portfolio in the most cost-effective way.

The following table shows the percentage of the Utility's total deliveries of electricity to customers in 2014 represented by each major electricity resource, and further discussed below.

Total 2014 Actual Electricity Generated and Procured – 74,547 GWh (1):

	Percent of Bundled Retail Sales
<b>Owned Generation Facilities</b>	
Nuclear	20.6%
Small Hydroelectric	0.8%
Large Hydroelectric	6.6%
Fossil fuel-fired	7.4%
Solar	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>35.8%</b>
<b>Qualifying Facilities</b>	
Renewable	3.7%
Non-Renewable	8.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>12.2%</b>
<b>Irrigation Districts and Water Agencies</b>	
Small Hydroelectric	0.1%
Large Hydroelectric	0.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
<b>Other Third-Party Purchase Agreements</b>	
Renewable	22.0%
Large Hydroelectric	0.9%
Non-Renewable	6.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>29.5%</b>
Others, Net (2)	21.6%
<b>Total (3)</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

(1) This amount excludes electricity provided to direct access customers and CCAs who procure their own supplies of electricity.

(2) Mainly comprised of net CAISO open market purchases.

(3) Non-renewable sources, including nuclear, large hydroelectric, and fossil fuel-fired are offset by transmission and distribution related system losses.

**Renewable Energy Resources.** California law requires load-serving entities, such as the Utility, to gradually increase the amount of renewable energy they deliver to their customers to at least 33% of their total annual retail sales. This program, known as the RPS program, became effective in December 2011, established three multi-year compliance periods that have gradually increasing RPS targets: 2011 through 2013, 2014 through 2016, and 2017 through 2020. After 2020, the RPS compliance periods will be annual.

Renewable generation resources, for purposes of the RPS program, include bioenergy such as biogas and biomass, certain hydroelectric facilities (30 MW or less), wind, solar, and geothermal energy. During 2014, 27% of the Utility's

energy deliveries were from renewable energy sources, exceeding the annual RPS target of 23.3%. Approximately 22% of the renewable energy delivered to the Utility's customers was purchased from non-QF third parties. Additional renewable resources were provided by QFs (3.8%), the Utility's small hydroelectric facilities (0.8%), and the Utility's solar facilities (0.5%).

The total 2014 renewable deliveries shown above were comprised of the following:

Type	GWh	Percent of Bundled Retail Sales	
Biopower	3,458	4.6	%
Geothermal	3,867	5.2	%
Wind	5,399	7.2	%
RPS-Eligible Hydroelectric	981	1.3	%
Solar	6,478	8.7	%
Total	20,183	27.0	%

Energy Storage. As required by California law, the CPUC has established initial energy storage procurement targets to be achieved by each load-serving entity, such as the Utility. The Utility has an 80.5 MW of energy storage target to meet its 2014 energy storage plan. In December 2014, the Utility held its first competitive request for two types of proposals: (1) an energy storage agreement with the owner of an energy storage facility that would enable the Utility to offer stored energy into the CAISO market and (2) a purchase and sale agreement under which the counterparty would build a storage facility and transfer the facility to the Utility provided the facility meets certain operational conditions. Offers are due by February 17, 2015. The Utility intends to complete negotiations and execute contracts by October 1, 2015 and to submit the executed contracts for CPUC approval by December 1, 2015.

Owned Generation Facilities. At December 31, 2014, the Utility owned the following generation facilities, all located in California, listed by energy source and further described below:

Generation Type	County Location	Number of Units	Net Operating Capacity (MW)
Nuclear (1):			
Diablo Canyon	San Luis Obispo	2	2,240
Hydroelectric (2):			
Conventional	16 counties in northern and central California	104	2,677
Helms pumped storage	Fresno	3	1,212
Fossil fuel-fired:			
Colusa Generating Station	Colusa	1	657
Gateway Generating Station	Contra Costa	1	580
Humboldt Bay Generating Station	Humboldt	10	163
Fuel Cell:			
CSU East Bay Fuel Cell	Alameda	1	1
SF State Fuel Cell	San Francisco	2	2
Photovoltaic (3):	Various	13	152
Total		137	7,684

(1) The Utility's Diablo Canyon power plant consists of two nuclear power reactor units, Units 1 and 2. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Utility's Diablo Canyon power plant achieved an average overall capacity factor of approximately 87%. The ability of the Utility to produce nuclear generation depends on the availability of nuclear fuel. The Utility has entered into various purchase agreements for nuclear fuel that are intended to ensure long-term fuel supply. (See Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.) The Diablo Canyon power plant refueling outages are typically scheduled every 20 months. The average length of a refueling outage over the last five years has been approximately 49.5 days. The actual refueling schedule and outage duration will depend on the scope of the work required for a particular outage and other factors. The NRC operating licenses for the two operating units at Diablo Canyon include various license conditions related to seismic design and safety. The current licenses expire in 2024 and 2025. In November 2009, the Utility filed an application with the NRC to seek the renewal of the licenses, a process which can take several years. After the March 2011 earthquake in Japan that damaged nuclear facilities, the NRC granted the Utility's request to delay processing its renewal application until certain advanced seismic studies of the fault zones in the region surrounding Diablo Canyon were completed. The seismic studies have been completed and in September 2014, the Utility submitted a report to the NRC and the CPUC's Independent Peer Review Panel that confirmed the seismic safety of the plant. The Independent Review Panel is providing comments on the report and the Utility expects its review to be completed within the next six to eight months. (See also "Environmental Matters" and Item 1A. Risk Factors.)



(2) The Utility's hydroelectric system consists of 107 generating units at 67 powerhouses. All of the Utility's powerhouses are licensed by the FERC (except for three small powerhouses not subject to FERC licensing requirements), with license terms between 30 and 50 years.

(3) The Utility's larger operational photovoltaic facilities include the Five Points solar station (15 MW), the Westside solar station (15 MW), the Stroud solar station (20 MW), the Huron solar station (20 MW), the Cantua solar station (20 MW), the Giffen solar station (10 MW), the Gates solar station (20 MW), the West Gates solar station (10 MW) and the Guernsey solar station (20 MW). All of these facilities are located in Fresno County, except for the Guernsey solar station, which is located in Kings County.

Generation Resources from Third Parties. The Utility has entered into various agreements to purchase power and electric capacity, including agreements for renewable energy resources, in accordance with its CPUC-approved procurement plan. (See "Ratemaking Mechanisms" above.) For more information regarding the Utility's power purchase agreements, see Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.

## Electricity Transmission

At December 31, 2014, the Utility owned approximately 18,100 circuit miles of interconnected transmission lines operating at voltages ranging from 60 kV to 500 kV. The Utility also operated 91 electric transmission substations with a capacity of approximately 63,400 MVA. The Utility's electric transmission system is interconnected with electric power systems in the Western Electricity Coordinating Council, which includes many western states, Alberta and British Columbia, and parts of Mexico.

In November 2013, the Utility, MidAmerican Transmission, LLC, and Citizens Energy Corporation were selected by the CAISO to jointly develop a new 230-kV transmission line to address the growing power demand in Fresno, Madera and Kings counties area. The 70-mile line will connect the Utility-owned and -operated Gates and Gregg substations. The new line will help reduce the number and duration of power outages, improve voltage in the area, support economic development, and bolster efforts to integrate clean, renewable energy onto the grid. The transmission line is expected to commence operations by 2022, and could come online earlier.

Throughout 2014, the Utility upgraded several critical substations and re-conducted a number of transmission lines to improve maintenance and system flexibility, reliability and safety. The Utility expects to undertake various additional transmission projects over the next several years to upgrade and expand the capacity of its transmission system to accommodate system load growth, secure access to renewable generation resources, replace aging or obsolete equipment and improve system reliability. The Utility also has taken steps to improve the physical security of its transmission substations and equipment.

## Electricity Distribution

The Utility's electricity distribution network consists of approximately 141,700 circuit miles of distribution lines (of which approximately 20% are underground and approximately 80% are overhead), 55 transmission switching substations, and 603 distribution substations, with a capacity of approximately 30,200 MVA. The Utility's distribution network interconnects with its transmission system, primarily at switching and distribution substations, where equipment reduces the high-voltage transmission voltages to lower voltages, ranging from 44 kV to 2.4 kV, suitable for distribution to the Utility's customers.

These distribution substations serve as the central hubs for the Utility's electric distribution network. Emanating from each substation are primary and secondary distribution lines connected to local transformers and switching equipment that link distribution lines and provide delivery to end-users. In some cases, the Utility sells electricity from its distribution facilities to entities, such as municipal and other utilities, that resell the electricity. In October 2014, the Utility commenced operations at the first of three new electric distribution control centers. This 24,000-square foot, state-of-the-art facility, located in Fresno, California, will enhance electric reliability and resiliency for the Utility's customers throughout the Central Valley and will utilize current and future Smart Grid technologies. Additional facilities in Rocklin and Concord, California, are expected to be completed in 2015 and 2016, respectively. These control centers form a key part of the Utility's efforts to create a smarter, more resilient grid.

In 2014, the Utility replaced approximately 295,000 feet of underground cable, replaced approximately 975,000 feet of overhead wire, and installed or replaced 20 distribution substation transformer banks to improve reliability and provide capacity to accommodate growing demand. The Utility plans to continue performing work to improve the reliability and safety of its electricity distribution operations in 2015.

## Electricity Operating Statistics

The following table shows certain of the Utility's operating statistics from 2012 to 2014 for electricity sold or delivered, including the classification of revenues by type of service.

	2014	2013	2012
Customers (average for the year)	5,276,025	5,243,216	5,214,170
Deliveries (in GWh) (1)	86,303	86,513	86,113
Revenues (in millions):			
Residential	\$4,784	\$5,091	\$4,953
Commercial	5,141	4,905	4,735
Industrial	1,543	1,388	1,408
Agricultural	1,172	1,021	901
Public street and highway lighting	79	75	79
Other (2)	(172 )	(128 )	(11 )
Subtotal	12,547	12,352	12,065
Regulatory balancing accounts (3)	1,109	137	(51 )
Total operating revenues	\$13,656	\$12,489	\$12,014
Selected Statistics:			
Average annual residential usage (kWh)	6,458	6,752	5,961
Average billed revenues per kWh:			
Residential	\$0.1603	\$0.1643	\$0.1594
Commercial	0.1585	0.1499	0.1449
Industrial	0.0998	0.0928	0.917
Agricultural	0.1516	0.1454	0.1458
Net plant investment per customer	\$6,339	\$6,002	\$4,919

(1) These amounts include electricity provided to direct access customers and CCAs who procure their own supplies of electricity.

(2) This activity is primarily related to a remittance of revenue to the Department of Water Resources ("DWR") (the Utility acts as a billing and collection agent on behalf of the DWR), partially offset by other miscellaneous revenue items.

(3) These amounts represent revenues authorized to be billed. The 2014 activity represents an increase to balancing account receivable primarily related to the adoption of the 2014 GRC.

## Natural Gas Utility Operations

The Utility provides natural gas transportation services to “core” customers (i.e., small commercial and residential customers) and to “non-core” customers (i.e., industrial, large commercial, and natural gas-fired electric generation facilities) that are connected to the Utility’s gas system in its service territory. Core customers can purchase natural gas procurement service (i.e., natural gas supply) from either the Utility or non-utility third-party gas procurement service providers (referred to as core transport agents). When core customers purchase gas supply from a core transport agent, the Utility continues to provide gas delivery, metering and billing services to customers. When the Utility provides both transportation and procurement services, the Utility refers to the combined service as “bundled” natural gas service. Currently, more than 91% of core customers, representing nearly 80% of the annual core market demand, receive bundled natural gas service from the Utility.

The Utility does not provide procurement service to non-core customers, who must purchase their gas supplies from third-party suppliers. The Utility offers backbone gas transmission, gas delivery (local transmission and distribution), and gas storage services as separate and distinct services to its non-core customers. Access to the Utility's backbone gas transmission system is available for all natural gas marketers and shippers, as well as non-core customers. The Utility also delivers gas to off-system customers (i.e., outside of the Utility’s service territory) and to third-party natural gas storage customers.

## Natural Gas Supplies

The Utility can receive natural gas from all the major natural gas basins in western North America, including basins in western Canada, the Rocky Mountains, and the southwestern United States. The Utility also is supplied by natural gas fields in California. The Utility purchases natural gas to serve its core customers directly from producers and marketers in both Canada and the United States. The contract lengths and natural gas sources of the Utility’s portfolio of natural gas purchase contracts have fluctuated generally based on market conditions. During 2014, the Utility purchased approximately 269,590 MMcf of natural gas (net of the sale of excess supply of gas). Substantially all this natural gas was purchased under contracts with a term of one year or less. The Utility’s largest individual supplier represented approximately 17% of the total natural gas volume the Utility purchased during 2014.

## Natural Gas System Assets

The Utility owns and operates an integrated natural gas transmission, storage, and distribution system that includes most of northern and central California. At December 31, 2014, the Utility’s natural gas system consisted of approximately 42,700 miles of distribution pipelines, over 6,400 miles of backbone and local transmission pipelines, and various storage facilities. The Utility owns and operates eight natural gas compressor stations on its backbone transmission system and one small station on its local transmission system that are used to move gas through the Utility’s pipelines. The Utility’s backbone transmission system, composed primarily of Lines 300, 400, and 401, is used to transport gas from the Utility’s interconnection with interstate pipelines, other local distribution companies, and California gas fields to the Utility’s local transmission and distribution systems.

The Utility has firm transportation agreements for delivery of natural gas from western Canada to the United States-Canada border with TransCanada NOVA Gas Transmission, Ltd. and TransCanada Foothills Pipe Lines Ltd., B.C. System. These companies’ pipeline systems connect at the border to the pipeline system owned by Gas Transmission Northwest, LLC, which provides natural gas transportation services to a point of interconnection with the Utility’s natural gas transportation system on the Oregon-California border near Malin, Oregon. The Utility also has firm transportation agreements with Ruby Pipeline, LLC to transport this gas from the U.S Rocky Mountains to the interconnection point with the Utility’s natural gas transportation system in the area of Malin, Oregon, at the California border, and firm transportation agreements with Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC and El Paso Natural Gas Company to transport this natural gas from supply points in the U.S. Southwest to interconnection points with the

Utility's natural gas transportation system in the area of California near Topock, Arizona. The Utility also has a transportation agreement with Kern River Gas Transmission Company to transport gas from the U.S. Rocky Mountains to the interconnection point with the Utility's natural gas system in the area of Daggett, California. For more information regarding the Utility's natural gas transportation agreements, see Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.

The Utility owns and operates three underground natural gas storage fields and has a 25% interest in a fourth storage field, all of which are connected to the Utility's transmission system. The Utility owns and operates compressors and other facilities at these storage fields that are used to inject gas into the fields for storage and later withdrawal. In addition, four independent storage operators are interconnected to the Utility's northern California transmission system.

The Utility also owns and operates a 42,000-square-foot control center in San Ramon, California to monitor and control all aspects of its natural gas system across its service area.

During 2014, the Utility completed its system-wide replacement of 847 miles of cast iron natural gas distribution pipeline with plastic pipe. Additionally, the Utility conducted an annual system-wide review of its transmission pipeline class location designations. As part of its distribution integrity management program, during 2014 the Utility completed inspections of approximately 35,000 sewer laterals.

Since work began on the PSEP and other gas transmission work in 2011, the Utility has validated the maximum allowable operating pressure for all of its transmission pipelines through records verification; accomplished four-year goal of strength testing or records validation of 783 miles of transmission pipeline; replaced 127 miles of transmission pipeline; automated 208 valves; and collected and digitized more than 3.8 million pipeline records.

#### Natural Gas Operating Statistics

The following table shows the Utility's operating statistics from 2012 through 2014 (excluding subsidiaries) for natural gas, including the classification of revenues by type of service.

	2014	2013	2012
Customers (average for the year)	4,394,283	4,378,797	4,353,278
Gas purchased (MMcf)	202,215	240,414	247,792
Average price of natural gas purchased	\$4.09	\$3.29	\$2.45
Bundled gas sales (MMcf):			
Residential	143,514	181,775	185,376
Commercial	42,080	46,668	47,341
Total Bundled Gas Sales	185,594	228,443	232,717
Revenues (in millions):			
Bundled gas sales:			
Residential	\$1,683	\$1,870	\$1,852
Commercial	419	395	383
Other	51	44	66
Bundled gas revenues	2,153	2,309	2,301
Transportation service only revenue	662	555	499
Subtotal	2,815	2,864	2,800
Regulatory balancing accounts	617	240	221
Total operating revenues	\$3,432	\$3,104	\$3,021
Selected Statistics:			
Average annual residential usage (Mcf)	34	44	45
Average billed bundled gas sales revenues per Mcf:			
Residential	\$11.72	\$10.29	\$9.99
Commercial	9.96	8.47	8.09
Net plant investment per customer	\$2,468	\$2,234	\$1,696



## Competition

### Competition in the Electricity Industry

California law allows qualifying non-residential customers of investor-owned electric utilities to purchase electricity from energy service providers other than the regulated utilities, (referred to as “direct access”) up to certain annual and overall GWh limits that have been specified for each utility.

The Utility’s customers may, under certain circumstances, obtain power from a CCA instead of from the Utility. California law permits cities and counties and certain other public agencies to generate and/or purchase electricity for their local residents and businesses after they have registered as CCAs and submitted an Implementation Plan to the CPUC. Under these arrangements, the Utility continues to provide transmission, distribution, metering, and billing services to the customers of the CCAs and remains the electricity provider of last resort for those customers. The law provides that a CCA can procure electricity for all of its residents who do not affirmatively elect to continue to receive electricity from the Utility. The Utility is able to recover from each CCA any costs of implementing the program that are reasonably attributable to the CCA, and to recover from all customers any costs of implementing the program not reasonably attributable to a CCA.

In some circumstances, governmental entities such as cities and irrigation districts, which have authority under the state constitution or state statute to provide retail electric service, seek to acquire the Utility’s distribution facilities, either under a consensual transaction, or via eminent domain.

The Utility is also subject to increased competition due to the increasing viability of distributed generation and energy storage. The levels of self-generation of electricity by customers (primarily solar installations) and the use of customer net energy metering, which allows self-generating customers to receive bill credits at the full retail rate, are increasing.

### Competition in the Natural Gas Industry

The Utility primarily competes with other natural gas pipeline companies for customers transporting natural gas into the southern California market on the basis of transportation rates, access to competitively priced supplies of natural gas, and the quality and reliability of transportation services. The Utility also competes for storage services with other third-party storage providers, primarily in northern California.

## Environmental Regulation

The Utility’s operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and requirements relating to the protection of the environment and the safety and health of the Utility’s personnel and the public. These laws and requirements relate to a broad range of activities, including the remediation of hazardous and radioactive substances; the discharge of pollutants into the air, water, and soil; the reporting and reduction of carbon monoxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and other GHG emissions; the transportation, handling, storage and disposal of spent nuclear fuel; and the environmental impacts of land use, including endangered species and habitat protection. The penalties for violation of these laws and requirements can be severe and may include significant fines, damages, and criminal or civil sanctions. These laws and requirements also may require the Utility, under certain circumstances, to interrupt or curtail operations. (See Item 1A. Risk Factors.) Generally, the Utility has recovered most of the costs of complying with environmental laws and regulations in the Utility’s rates, subject to reasonableness review. Environmental costs associated with the clean-up of most sites that contain hazardous substances are subject to a special ratemaking mechanism described in Note 14: Contingencies—Environmental Remediation Contingencies, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.





## Hazardous Waste Compliance and Remediation

The Utility's facilities are subject to the requirements of the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 as amended (CERCLA). The Utility is also subject to the regulations adopted by the EPA, the federal agency responsible for implementing the federal environmental laws. The Utility also must comply with environmental laws and regulations adopted by the State of California and various state and local agencies. These federal and state laws impose strict liability for the release of a hazardous substance on the (1) owner or operator of the site where the release occurred, (2) on companies that disposed of, or arranged for the disposal of, the hazardous substances, and (3) in some cases, their corporate successors. Under CERCLA these persons (known as "potentially responsible parties") may be jointly and severally liable for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances, paying for the harm caused to natural resources, and paying for the costs of required health studies.

The Utility has a comprehensive program in place to comply with these federal, state, and local laws and regulations. Under federal and California laws, the Utility may be responsible for remediation of hazardous substances even if it did not deposit those substances on the site. The Utility's remediation activities are overseen by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, several California regional water quality control boards, and various other federal, state, and local agencies. The Utility has incurred significant environmental remediation liabilities associated with former manufactured gas plant sites, power plant sites, gas gathering sites, sites where natural gas compressor stations are located, and sites used by the Utility for the storage, recycling, or disposal of potentially hazardous substances. Groundwater at the Utility's Hinkley and Topock natural gas compressor stations contains hexavalent chromium as a result of the Utility's past operating practices. The Utility is responsible for remediating this groundwater contamination and for abating the effects of the contamination on the environment.

For more information about environmental remediation liabilities, see Note 14: Commitments and Contingencies—Environmental Remediation Contingencies, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.

## Air Quality and Climate Change

The Utility's electricity generation plants, natural gas pipeline operations, fleet, and fuel storage tanks are subject to numerous air pollution control laws, including the federal Clean Air Act, as well as state and local statutes. These laws and regulations cover, among other pollutants, those contributing to the formation of ground-level ozone, CO<sub>2</sub>, sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), mono-nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>), particulate matter, and other GHG emissions.

In December 2009, the EPA concluded that GHG emissions contribute to climate change and issued a finding that GHG emissions cause or contribute to air pollution that endangers public health and welfare. In May 2014, the United States released its third National Climate Assessment, which stated that the global climate is changing and that impacts related to climate change are already evident in many sectors and are expected to become increasingly disruptive across the nation throughout this century and beyond.

**Federal Regulation.** At the federal level, the EPA is charged with implementation and enforcement of the Clean Air Act. Although there have been several legislative attempts to address climate change through imposition of nationwide regulatory limits on GHG emissions, comprehensive federal legislation has not yet been enacted. In the absence of federal legislative action, the EPA has used its existing authority under the Clean Air Act to address GHG emissions.

In January 2014, the EPA published draft regulations under section 111(b) of the Clean Air Act to control CO<sub>2</sub>, the most common GHG, from new fossil fuel-fired power plants. While these draft regulations as presently written do not apply to the Utility's power plants, it is possible that the final regulations may affect the design, construction, operation and cost of future fossil fuel-fired power plants. The EPA is expected to issue final regulations in 2015.



In June 2014, the EPA published draft federal regulations under section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act that are designed to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from existing fossil fuel-fired power plants on a national basis by as much as 30% by 2030, compared with 2005 levels. The EPA is expected to issue final regulations in 2015. As proposed, once the EPA has finalized regulations, states have up to two or three years to submit final plans depending on whether they work alone or in partnership with other states, and up to 15 years for full implementation of all emission reduction measures. It is uncertain whether and how these federal regulations will ultimately impact California, since existing state regulation currently requires, among other things, the gradual reduction of state-wide GHG emissions to 1990 levels by 2020. As described below, the Utility expects all costs and revenues associated with the state-wide, comprehensive cap-and-trade program to be passed through to customers.

**State Regulation.** California law requires the gradual reduction of state-wide GHG emissions to 1990 levels by 2020. The CARB has approved various regulations to implement AB 32, including GHG emissions reporting and a state-wide, comprehensive cap-and-trade program that sets gradually declining limits (or “caps”) on the amount of GHGs that may be emitted by major GHG emission sources within different sectors of the economy. The cap-and-trade program’s first compliance period, which began on January 1, 2013, applied to the electricity generation and large industrial sectors. The next compliance period, which began on January 1, 2015, expanded to include the natural gas and transportation sectors, effectively covering all the economy’s major sectors until 2020. The Utility’s compliance obligation as a natural gas supplier applies to the GHG emissions attributable to the combustion of natural gas delivered to the Utility’s customers other than natural gas delivery customers that are separately regulated as covered entities and have their own compliance obligation. During each year of the program, the CARB issues emission allowances (i.e., the rights to emit GHGs) equal to the amount of GHG emissions allowed for that year. Emitters can obtain allowances from the CARB at quarterly auctions or from third parties or exchanges. Emitters may also satisfy a portion of their compliance obligation through the purchase of offset credits; e.g., credits for GHG reductions achieved by third parties (such as landowners, livestock owners, and farmers) that occur outside of the emitters’ facilities through CARB-qualified offset projects such as reforestation or biomass projects. The Utility expects all costs and revenues associated with the GHG cap-and-trade program to be passed through to customers. The California RPS program that requires the utilities to gradually increase the amount of renewable energy delivered to their customers is also expected to help reduce GHG emissions in California.

**Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies.** During 2014, the Utility continued its programs to develop strategies to mitigate the impact of the Utility’s operations (including customer energy usage) on the environment and to plan for the actions that it will need to take to adapt to the likely impacts of climate change on the Utility’s future operations. The Utility regularly reviews the most relevant scientific literature on climate change such as sea level rise, temperature changes, rainfall and runoff patterns, and wildfire risk, to help the Utility identify and evaluate climate change-related risks and develop the necessary adaptation strategies. The Utility also engages with leaders from business, government, academia, and non-profit organizations to share information and plan for the future.

With respect to electric operations, climate scientists project that, sometime in the next several decades, climate change will lead to increased electricity demand due to more extreme, persistent, and frequent hot weather. The Utility believes its strategies to reduce GHG emissions—through energy efficiency and demand response programs, infrastructure improvements, and the use of renewable energy and energy storage—are effective strategies for adapting to the expected increase in demand for electricity. The Utility’s vegetation management activities also reduce the risk of wildfire impacts on electric and gas facilities. Over the long-term, the Utility also faces the risk of higher flooding potential at coastal and low elevation facilities due to sea level rise.

Climate scientists also predict that climate change will result in significant reductions in snowpack in parts of the Sierra Nevada Mountains. This could, in turn, affect the Utility’s hydroelectric generation. To plan for this potential change, the Utility is engaging with state and local stakeholders and also adopting strategies such as maintaining higher winter carryover reservoir storage levels, reducing discretionary reservoir water releases, and developing new modeling tools for forecasting runoff.

With respect to natural gas operations, both safety-related pipeline strength testing and normal pipeline maintenance and operations release the GHG methane into the atmosphere. The Utility has taken steps to reduce the release of methane by implementing techniques including drafting and cross-compression, which reduce the pressure and volume of natural gas within pipelines prior to venting. In addition, the Utility continues to replace a substantial portion of its older cast iron, steel and plastic distribution pipelines and steel gas transmission mains with new pipe, which reduces leakage.

## Emissions Data

PG&E Corporation and the Utility track and report their annual environmental performance results across a broad spectrum of areas. The Utility reports its GHG emissions to the CARB and the EPA on a mandatory basis. On a voluntary basis, The Utility reports a more comprehensive emissions inventory to The Climate Registry, a non-profit organization. The Utility's third-party verified voluntary GHG inventory reported to The Climate Registry for 2013 totaled more than 57 million metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>-e, two-thirds of which came from natural gas use. The following table shows the 2013 GHG emissions data the Utility reported to the CARB under AB 32. PG&E Corporation and the Utility publish additional GHG emissions data in their annual Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability Report.

Source	Amount (metric tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> – equivalent)
Fossil Fuel-Fired Plants (1)	2,382,463
Natural Gas Compressor Stations (2)	325,701
Distribution Fugitive Natural Gas Emissions	213,858
Customer Natural Gas Use (3)	43,506,493

(1) Includes nitrous oxide and methane emissions from the Utility's generating stations.

(2) Includes compressor stations emitting more than 25,000 metric tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>-e annually.

(3) Includes emissions from the combustion of natural gas delivered to all entities on the Utility's distribution system, including entities that have their own compliance obligation under AB 32. The Utility's compliance obligation under AB 32 (discussed above under "State Regulation") applies to the combustion of natural gas delivered to customers other than customers that have their own compliance obligation. Excluding the GHG emissions of entities that have their own compliance obligation, the Utility's GHG emissions for 2013 were approximately 19 million metric tonnes, as calculated by the CARB.

The following table shows the Utility's third-party-verified CO<sub>2</sub> emissions rate associated with the electricity delivered to customers in 2013 as compared to the national and California averages for electric utilities:

	Amount (Pounds of CO <sub>2</sub> per MWh)
U.S. Average (1)	1,232
California's Average (1)	611
Pacific Gas and Electric Company (2)	427

(1) Source: EPA eGRID.

(2) Since the Utility purchases a portion of its electricity from the wholesale market, the Utility is not able to track some of its delivered electricity back to a specific generator. Therefore, there is some unavoidable uncertainty in the Utility's emissions rate.

## Air Emissions Data for Utility-Owned Generation

In addition to GHG emissions data provided above, the table below sets forth information about the air emissions from the Utility's owned generation facilities. The Utility's owned generation (primarily nuclear and hydroelectric facilities) comprised approximately 36.4% of the Utility's delivered electricity in 2013. PG&E Corporation and the Utility also publish air emissions data in their annual Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability Report.

	2013	2012
Total NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions (tons)	153	158
NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions Rate (pounds/MWh)	0.01	0.01
Total SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions (tons)	17	15
SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions Rate (pounds/MWh)	0.0011	0.0009



## Water Quality

On May 19, 2014, the EPA issued final regulations to implement the requirements of the federal Clean Water Act that require cooling water intake structures at electric power plants, such as the nuclear generation facilities at Diablo Canyon, to reflect the best technology available to minimize adverse environmental impacts. The federal regulations provide more flexibility in complying with some of the Clean Water Act's requirements. Various industry and environmental groups have challenged the federal regulations in proceedings pending in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. California's once-through cooling policy discussed below is considered to be at least as stringent as the new federal regulations. Therefore, California's implementation process for the state policy will likely continue without any significant change.

At the state level, the California Water Board has adopted a policy on once-through cooling that generally requires the installation of cooling towers or other significant measures to reduce the impact on marine life from existing power generation facilities in California by at least 85%. The California Water Board has appointed a committee to evaluate the feasibility and cost of using alternative technologies to achieve compliance at Diablo Canyon. The committee's consultant submitted its final report to the California Water Board in September 2014 and the board is expected to issue a final decision regarding Diablo Canyon's compliance with the state policy in 2015. If the California Water Board requires the installation of cooling towers that the Utility believes are not technically or economically feasible, the Utility may be forced to cease operations at Diablo Canyon and may incur a material charge. Even if the Utility is not required to install cooling towers, it could incur significant costs to comply with alternative compliances measures or to make payments to support various environmental mitigation projects. The Utility would seek to recover such costs in rates. The Utility's Diablo Canyon operations must be in compliance with the California Water Board's policy by December 31, 2024.

The final requirements of the federal and state cooling water policies could affect future negotiations between the Central Coast Board and the Utility regarding the status of the 2003 settlement agreement. (See "Diablo Canyon Power Plant" in Item 3. Legal Proceedings below.)

## Nuclear Fuel Disposal

Under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, the DOE and electric utilities with commercial nuclear power plants were authorized to enter into contracts under which the DOE would be required to dispose of the utilities' spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste by January 1998, in exchange for fees paid by the utilities' customers. The DOE has been unable to meet its contractual obligation with the Utility to dispose of nuclear waste from the Utility's two nuclear generating units at Diablo Canyon and the retired nuclear facility at Humboldt Bay. As a result, the Utility constructed interim dry cask storage facilities to store its spent fuel onsite at Diablo Canyon and at Humboldt Bay until such time as the DOE fulfills its contractual obligation to take possession of the spent fuel. The Utility and other nuclear power plant owners sued the DOE to recover the costs that they incurred to construct interim storage facilities for spent nuclear fuel.

In September 2012, the U.S. Department of Justice and the Utility executed a settlement agreement that awarded the Utility \$266 million for spent fuel storage costs incurred through December 31, 2010. The settlement agreement also provided a claims process by which the Utility submits annual requests for reimbursement of its ongoing spent fuel storage costs. In 2013 and 2014, the Utility was awarded an additional \$50 million for costs incurred between 2011 and July 2014. These proceeds are being refunded to customers through rates. The settlement agreement, as amended, does not address costs incurred for spent fuel storage beyond 2016 and such costs could be subject to future litigation. Considerable uncertainty continues to exist regarding when and whether the DOE will meet its contractual obligation to the Utility and other nuclear power plant owners to dispose of spent fuel.





## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results are influenced by many factors that are difficult to predict, involve uncertainties that may materially affect actual results and are often beyond their control. (Also see Cautionary Language Regarding Forward-Looking Statements in Item 7. MD&A.) In addition to other disclosures within this Form 10-K, including MD&A in Item 7 and Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 and other documents filed with the SEC from time to time, the following key risk factors should be considered in evaluating an investment in PG&E Corporation and the Utility. Such factors could affect actual results of operations and cause results to differ substantially from historical results or from results that are currently sought.

### Risks Related to the Outcome of Enforcement Matters, Investigations, and Regulatory Proceedings

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be materially affected by the outcomes of the CPUC investigative enforcement proceedings pending against the Utility, the federal criminal prosecution of the Utility, and the investigations and other potential enforcement matters discussed in Item 7. MD&A.

In September 2014, the CPUC ALJs overseeing the three investigative enforcement proceedings against the Utility issued a decision to impose total penalties of \$1.4 billion on the Utility based on their findings that the Utility committed approximately 3,700 violations of natural gas regulations. The Utility and other parties have appealed these decisions. (See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters – Pending CPUC Investigations" in Item 7. MD&A.) The CPUC could issue a final decision that imposes a materially higher amount of penalties. The impact on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's consolidated financial statements will vary depending on the forms and amounts of penalties imposed.

Further, if the Utility is convicted of the pending federal criminal charges, the Utility could be required to pay a material amount of fines. Based on the superseding indictment's allegations, the maximum alternative fine would be approximately \$1.13 billion. (See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters – Federal Criminal Indictment" in Item 7. MD&A.) The Utility also could incur a material amount of costs to comply with remedial measures that the CPUC or a federal judge may impose on the Utility, such as a requirement that the Utility's natural gas operations be supervised by a third-party monitor.

The CPUC could impose penalties or take other enforcement action with respect to communications that may have violated the CPUC's rules regarding ex parte communications (See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters – Improper CPUC Communications" in Item 7. MD&A.) In response to the Utility's violations of the CPUC's rules regarding ex parte communications relating to the 2015 GT&S rate case, the CPUC issued a decision to disallow up to the entire amount of incremental revenues that would have been collected from ratepayers over the five-month period between March 2015 and August 2015. The exact amount of the revenue disallowance will be determined in the CPUC's final decision in the 2015 GT&S rate case. See "Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings – 2015 Gas Transmission and Storage Rate Case" in Item 7. MD&A. Federal and state law enforcement authorities have begun investigations in connection with these matters and they could take enforcement action in the future. The Utility could be subject to additional penalties or reputational harm if it fails to comply with the restrictions on communications between the Utility and the CPUC imposed by the CPUC in November 2014.

In addition, the Utility could incur material charges, including fines and other penalties, in connection with the new CPUC investigation of the Utility's compliance with natural gas distribution record-keeping practices, the self-reports the Utility has submitted to the CPUC in accordance with the SED's gas safety citation program, the SED's audit findings, the other matters discussed in "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" in Item 7. MD&A, and other self-reports the Utility may file under the gas safety program or under the new electric safety program.

The Utility could be subject to additional regulatory or governmental enforcement action with respect to compliance with federal, state or local laws, regulations or orders that could result in additional fines, penalties or customer refunds, including those regarding renewable energy and resource adequacy requirements; customer billing; customer service; affiliate transactions; vegetation management; design, construction, operating and maintenance practices; safety and inspection practices; and federal electric reliability standards. The amount of such fines, penalties, or customer refunds could have a material effect on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results.

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results depend upon the amount of revenues the Utility is authorized to collect through rates and the Utility's ability to manage its operating expenses so that it is able to earn its authorized rate of return in a timely manner.

As a regulated entity, the Utility's rates are set by the CPUC or the FERC on a prospective basis and are generally designed to allow the Utility to collect sufficient revenues to recover the costs of providing service, including a return on and of its capital investments. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be materially affected if the CPUC or the FERC does not authorize sufficient revenues for the Utility to safely and reliably serve its customers and earn its authorized ROE. The outcome of the Utility's ratemaking proceedings can be affected by many factors, including the level of opposition by intervening parties; general economic conditions and potential rate impacts; increasing levels of regulatory review; changes in the political, regulatory, or legislative environments; and the opinions of the Utility's regulators, consumer and other stakeholder organizations, and customers, about the Utility's ability to provide safe, reliable, and affordable electric and gas services. As the Utility's reputation continues to suffer from the negative media coverage of the ongoing enforcement proceedings, the risk of adverse regulatory outcomes may increase. In addition, the restrictions on communications between the Utility and the CPUC imposed by the CPUC in November 2014 prevent the Utility from fully participating in the regulatory process, which, in turn may affect regulatory outcomes. The Utility's relationship with the CPUC also may be negatively affected depending on what, if any, future action may be taken, or negative media coverage that may be generated, in response to the release of approximately 65,000 emails between the Utility and the CPUC to the CPUC and the City of San Bruno.

In addition to the amount of authorized revenues, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be materially affected if the Utility's actual costs to safely and reliably serve its customers differ from authorized or forecast costs. The Utility may incur additional costs for many reasons including changing market circumstances, unanticipated events (such as storms, accidents, catastrophic or other events affecting the Utility's operations), or compliance with new state laws or policies. Although the Utility may be allowed to recover some or all of the additional costs, there may be a substantial time lag between when the Utility incurs the costs and when the Utility is authorized to collect revenues to recover such costs. Alternatively, the CPUC or the FERC may disallow costs that they determine were not reasonably or prudently incurred by the Utility.

The Utility's ability to recover its costs also may be affected by the economy and the economy's corresponding impact on the Utility's customers. For example, a sustained downturn or sluggishness in the economy could reduce the Utility's sales to industrial and commercial customers or the level of uncollectible bills could increase. Although the Utility generally recovers its costs through rates, regardless of sales volume, rate pressures increase when the costs are borne by a smaller sales base.

Changes in commodity prices also may have an adverse effect on the Utility's ability to timely recover its operating costs and earn its authorized ROE. Although the Utility generally recovers its electricity and natural gas procurement costs from customers as "pass-through" costs, a significant and sustained rise in commodity prices could create overall rate pressures that make it more difficult for the Utility to recover its costs that are not categorized as "pass-through" costs. To relieve some of this upward rate pressure, the CPUC could authorize lower revenues than the Utility requested or disallow full cost recovery. Further, should the deployment of new electricity generation and energy storage technologies spread and become more cost-effective, the Utility's ability to recover its investments and earn its authorized ROE could be adversely affected unless rates are appropriately adjusted. Also, a confluence of technology-related cost declines and sustained federal or state subsidies could make a combination of distributed generation and energy storage a viable, cost-effective alternative to the Utility's bundled electric service, which could further threaten the Utility's ability to recover its generation, transmission, and distribution investments.

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's future financial results could be materially affected by the extent to which its natural gas transmission costs exceed authorized revenues and whether the Utility is able to continue using regulatory accounting for its natural gas transmission business.

The Utility's ability to recover its natural gas transmission and storage costs in 2015, 2016, and 2017 and earn its authorized ROE will be materially affected by the amount of revenues the CPUC ultimately authorizes the Utility to collect in the 2015 GT&S rate case proceeding. (See "Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings" in Item 7. MD&A.) In addition, the Utility plans to perform certain work during 2015 through 2017, including work to complete projects under the PSEP and to identify and remove encroachments from gas transmission pipeline rights-of-way. The Utility has not sought to recover the costs it incurs to perform this work. Actual costs to perform this work could materially exceed forecasts and negatively affect PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's results of operations. The Utility expects that it will continue to incur costs to respond to public opposition to the Utility's work to remove trees and other encroachments. The media attention to the Utility's encroachment work also may negatively affect the Utility's reputation.

If rates in the 2015 GT&S rate case and future rate cases are not set at a level that allows the Utility to recover the cost of providing natural gas transmission service and a reasonable return on its investment in future periods, the Utility may be required to discontinue the application of regulatory accounting to its natural gas transmission business. (See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 as well as "Critical Accounting Policies" in Item 7. MD&A.) If that occurs, the regulatory assets and liabilities that do not qualify for regulatory accounting treatment would be charged against income in the period in which that determination was made and these charges could have a material impact on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results. In addition, if regulatory accounting did not apply, the Utility's future financial results could become more volatile as compared to historical financial results due to the differences in the timing of expense or gain recognition.

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results depend upon the Utility's continuing ability to recover "pass-through" costs, including electricity and natural gas procurement costs, from customers in a timely manner. The CPUC may disallow procurement costs for a variety of reasons. In addition, the Utility's ability to recover these costs could be affected by the loss of Utility customers and decreased new customer growth, if the CPUC fails to adjust the Utility's rates to reflect such events.

The Utility meets customer demand for electricity from a variety of sources, including electricity generated from the Utility's own generation facilities, electricity provided by third parties under power purchase agreements, and purchases on the wholesale electricity market. The Utility must manage these sources using the commercial and CPUC regulatory principles of "least cost dispatch" and prudent administration of power purchase agreements in compliance with its CPUC-approved long-term procurement plan. The CPUC could disallow procurement costs incurred by the Utility if the CPUC determines that the Utility did not comply with these principles or if the Utility did not comply with its procurement plan. Further, the contractual prices for electricity under the Utility's power purchase agreements could become uneconomic in the future for a variety of reasons, including developments in alternative energy technology, increased self-generation by customers, an increase in distributed generation, and lower customer demand due to adverse economic conditions or the loss of the Utility's customers to other generation providers. Despite original CPUC approval of the contracts, the CPUC could disallow contract costs if it determines that the costs are unreasonably above market. The Utility also could incur a CPUC disallowance and/or liability to the counterparties under its contracts to procure electricity from conventional and renewable generation resources if such resources are physically curtailed by the CAISO during periods of over-generation when generation resources scheduled with the CAISO exceed customer load.

The Utility's ability to recover the costs it incurs in the wholesale electricity market may be affected by the whether the wholesale electricity market in California continues to function effectively. Although market mechanisms are designed to limit excessive prices, these market mechanisms could fail, or the related systems and software on which the market mechanisms rely may not perform as intended which could result in excessive market prices. The CPUC could prohibit the Utility from passing through the higher costs of electricity to customers. For example, during the 2000 and 2001 energy crisis, the market mechanism flaws in California's then-newly established wholesale electricity market led to dramatically high market prices for electricity that the Utility was unable to recover through customer rates, ultimately causing the Utility to file a petition for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.

Further, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be affected by the loss of Utility customers and decreased new customer growth that occurs through municipalization of the Utility's facilities, an increase in the number of CCAs who provide electricity to their residents, and an increase in the number of consumers who become direct access customers of alternative generation providers. (See "Competition in the Electric Industry" in Item 1.) As the number of bundled customers (i.e., those primarily residential customers who receive electricity and distribution service from the Utility) declines, the rates for remaining customers could increase as the Utility would have a smaller customer base from which to recover its procurement costs. Although the Utility is permitted to collect non-bypassable charges for generation-related costs incurred on behalf of former customers, as well as charges for distribution, metering, or other services the Utility continues to provide to such customers, the charges may not be

sufficient for the Utility to fully recover the costs to provide these services. In addition, the Utility's ability to collect non-bypassable charges has been, and may continue to be, challenged by certain customer groups. Furthermore, if the former customers return to receiving electricity supply from the Utility, the Utility could incur costs to meet their electricity needs that it may not be able to timely recover through rates or that it may not be able to recover at all.

In addition, increasing levels of self-generation of electricity by customers (primarily solar installations) and the use of customer net energy metering, which allows self-generating customers to receive bill credits for surplus power at the full retail rate, could put upward rate pressure on remaining customers. Also, a confluence of technology-related cost declines and sustained federal or state subsidies could make a combination of distributed generation and energy storage a viable, cost-effective alternative to the Utility's bundled electric service which could further threaten the Utility's ability to recover its generation, transmission, and distribution investments. If the number of the Utility's customers decreases or grows at a slower rate than anticipated, the Utility's level of capital investment would likely decline as well, in turn leading to a slower growth in rate base and earnings. Reduced energy demand or significantly slowed growth in demand due to customer migration to other energy providers, adoption of energy efficient technology, conservation, increasing levels of distributed generation and self-generation, unless substantially offset through regulatory cost allocations, could adversely impact PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results.

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be materially affected if the Utility is unable to recover a material portion of its procurement costs and/or if the CPUC fails to adjust the Utility's rates to reflect the impact of changing loads, the wide deployment of distributed generation, and the development of new electricity generation and energy storage technologies.

#### Risks Related to Liquidity and Capital Requirements

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results will be affected by their ability to continue accessing the capital markets and by the terms of debt and equity financings.

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's ability to access the capital and credit markets and the costs and terms of available financing depend on many factors, including the ultimate outcome of the matters discussed under "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" in Item 7. MD&A., the ultimate amount of costs the Utility incurs but does not recover through rates, and the outcome of pending and future ratemaking proceedings. These outcomes in turn can affect PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's credit ratings and outlook. If PG&E Corporation's or the Utility's credit ratings were downgraded to below investment grade, their ability to access the capital and credit markets would be negatively affected and could result in higher borrowing costs, fewer financing options, including reduced access to the commercial paper market, additional collateral posting requirements, which in turn could affect liquidity and lead to an increased financing need. Other factors can affect the availability and terms of debt and equity financing, including changes in the federal or state regulatory environment affecting energy companies generally or PG&E Corporation and the Utility in particular, the overall health of the energy industry, volatility in electricity or natural gas prices, and general economic and financial market conditions.

The reputations of PG&E Corporation and the Utility continue to suffer from the negative publicity about the CPUC investigations, the criminal investigations, the criminal prosecution, and the other pending enforcement matters. Their negative reputations and continuing uncertainty surrounding the outcomes of these matters may undermine investors' confidence in management's ability to execute its business strategy and restore a constructive regulatory environment. As a result, investors may be less willing to buy shares of PG&E Corporation common stock resulting in a lower stock price. Further, the market price of PG&E Corporation common stock could decline materially after the outcomes are determined. The amount and timing of future share issuances also could affect the stock price. Declines in the stock price would increase the dilutive effect of future stock issuances and make it more difficult or expensive for PG&E Corporation to complete future equity offerings.

If the Utility were unable to access the capital markets, it could be required to decrease or suspend dividends to PG&E Corporation and PG&E Corporation could be required to contribute capital to the Utility to enable the Utility to fulfill its obligation to serve. To maintain PG&E Corporation's dividend level in these circumstances, PG&E Corporation would be further required to access the capital or credit markets. PG&E Corporation may need to decrease or discontinue its common stock dividend if it is unable to access the capital or credit markets on reasonable terms.





PG&E Corporation's ability to meet its debt service and other financial obligations and to pay dividends on its common stock depends on the Utility's earnings and cash flows.

PG&E Corporation is a holding company with no revenue generating operations of its own. The Utility must use its resources to satisfy its own obligations, including its obligation to serve customers, to pay principal and interest on outstanding debt, to pay preferred stock dividends, and meet its obligations to employees and creditors, before it can distribute cash to PG&E Corporation. Under the CPUC's rules applicable to utility holding companies, the Utility's dividend policy must be established by the Utility's Board of Directors as though the Utility were a stand-alone utility company and PG&E Corporation's Board of Directors give "first priority" to the Utility's capital requirements, as determined to be necessary and prudent to meet the Utility's obligation to serve or to operate the Utility in a prudent and efficient manner. The CPUC has interpreted this "first priority" obligation to include the requirement that PG&E Corporation "infuse the Utility with all types of capital necessary for the Utility to fulfill its obligation to serve." In addition, before the Utility can pay common stock dividends to PG&E Corporation, the Utility must maintain its authorized capital structure with an average 52% equity component.

Depending on the outcome of the CPUC investigations, criminal prosecution, and other enforcement matters pending against the Utility, future issuances of PG&E Corporation common stock may materially dilute EPS. (See "Liquidity and Financial Resources" in Item 7. MD&A.) Further, if PG&E Corporation were required to infuse the Utility with significant capital or if the Utility was unable to distribute cash to PG&E Corporation, or both, PG&E Corporation may be unable to pay principal and interest on its outstanding debt, pay its common stock dividend or meet other obligations.

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's ability to pay dividends also could be affected by financial covenants contained in their respective credit agreements that require each company to maintain a ratio of consolidated total debt to consolidated capitalization of at most 65%.

#### Risks Related to Operations and Information Technology

The operations of the Utility's electricity and natural gas generation, transmission, and distribution facilities is inherently dangerous and involves significant risks which, if they materialize, can adversely affect PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results, and the Utility's insurance may not be sufficient to cover losses caused by an operating failure or catastrophic event.

The Utility owns and operates extensive electricity and natural gas facilities, including two nuclear generation units and an extensive hydroelectric generating system. (See "Utility Operations" in Item 1. Business.) The Utility's ability to earn its authorized ROE depends on its ability to efficiently maintain, operate, and protect its facilities, and provide electricity and natural gas services safely and reliably. The Utility undertakes substantial capital investment projects to construct, replace, and improve its electricity and natural gas facilities. In addition, the Utility is obligated to decommission its electricity generation facilities at the end of their useful operating lives. The Utility's ability to safely and reliably operate, maintain, construct and decommission its facilities is subject to numerous risks, many of which are beyond the Utility's control, including those that arise from:

- the breakdown or failure of equipment, electric transmission or distribution lines, or natural gas transmission and distribution pipelines, that can cause explosions, fires, or other catastrophic events (such as the San Bruno accident discussed in Item 7. MD&A.);
- the release of radioactive materials caused by a nuclear accident, seismic activity, natural disaster, or terrorist act;
-

the failure of a large dam or other major hydroelectric facility, or the failure of one or more levees that protect land on which the Utility's assets are built;

- the failure to take expeditious or sufficient action to mitigate operating conditions, facilities, or equipment, that the Utility has identified, or reasonably should have identified, as unsafe, which failure then leads to a catastrophic event (such as a wild land fire or natural gas explosion), and the failure to respond effectively to a catastrophic event;
- severe weather events such as storms, tornadoes, floods, drought, earthquakes, tsunamis, wild land and other fires, pandemics, solar events, electromagnetic events, or other natural disasters;
- operator or other human error;
- construction performed by third parties, such as ground excavation or "dig-ins" that damage the Utility's underground facilities;
- the release of hazardous or toxic substances into the air, water, or soil; and
- attacks by third parties, including cyber-attacks, acts of terrorism, vandalism, or war.

The occurrence of any of these events could interrupt fuel supplies; affect demand for electricity or natural gas; cause unplanned outages or reduce generating output; damage the Utility's assets or operations; damage the assets or operations of third parties on which the Utility relies; damage property owned by customers or others; and cause personal injury or death. As a result, the Utility could incur costs to purchase replacement power, to repair assets and restore service, to compensate third parties, or to pay penalties or implement remedial measures. These costs may not be recoverable through rates or insurance and could have a material impact of PG&E Corporation's financial results and reputation. As an example, see the discussion in Item 7. MD&A. of the Utility's unrecovered pipeline-related costs incurred since the San Bruno accident.

Further, although the Utility often enters into agreements for third-party contractors to perform work, such as patrolling and inspection of facilities or the construction or demolition of facilities, the Utility may retain liability for the quality and completion of the contractor's work and can be subject to penalties or other enforcement action if the contractor violates applicable laws, rules, regulations, or orders. Insurance, equipment warranties, or other contractual indemnification requirements may not be sufficient or effective to provide full or even partial recovery under all circumstances or against all hazards or liabilities to which the Utility may become subject. An uninsured loss could have a material effect on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results. Future insurance coverage may not be available at rates and on terms as favorable as the Utility's current insurance coverage or may not be available at all.

The Utility's operational and information technology systems could fail to function properly or be damaged by third parties (including cyber-attacks and acts of terrorism), severe weather, natural disasters, or other events. Any of these events could disrupt the Utility's operations and cause the Utility to incur unanticipated losses and expense or liability to third parties.

The operation of the Utility's extensive electricity and natural gas systems rely on evolving information and operational technology systems and network infrastructures that are becoming more complex as new technologies and systems are implemented to modernize capabilities to safely and reliably deliver gas and electric services. The Utility's ability to serve its customers requires the continued operation of complex information technology systems and network infrastructure that are interconnected with the systems and infrastructure owned by third parties. The Utility's business is highly dependent on its ability to process and monitor, on a daily basis, a very large number of tasks and transactions, many of which are highly complex. Despite implementation of security measures, all of the Utility's technology systems are vulnerable to disability or failures due to hacking, viruses, acts of war or terrorism and other causes. The failure of the Utility's information and operational systems and networks due to a physical attack, cyber-attack or other cause could significantly disrupt operations; cause harm to the public or employees; result in outages or reduced generating output; damage the Utility's assets or operations or those of third parties; and subject the Utility to claims by customers or third parties, any of which could have a material effect on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results.

The Utility's systems, including its financial information, operational systems, advanced metering, and billing systems, require ongoing maintenance, modification, and updating, which can be costly and increase the risk of errors and malfunction. The Utility often relies on third-party vendors to maintain, modify, and update its systems and these third-party vendors could cease to exist. Any disruptions or deficiencies in existing systems, or disruptions, delays or deficiencies in the modification or implementation of new systems, could result in increased costs, the inability to track or collect revenues, the diversion of management's and employees' attention and resources, and could negatively affect the effectiveness of the Utility's control environment, and/or the Utility's ability to timely file required regulatory reports.

In addition, the Utility's information systems contain confidential information, including information about customers and employees. The theft, damage, or improper disclosure of confidential information can subject the Utility to penalties for violation of applicable privacy laws, subject the Utility to claims from third parties, reduce the value of proprietary information, and harm the Utility's reputation.



The operation and decommissioning of the Utility's nuclear power plants expose it to potentially significant liabilities and the Utility may not be able to fully recover its costs if regulatory requirements change or the plant ceases operations before the licenses expire.

The operation of the Utility's nuclear generation facilities exposes it to potentially significant liabilities from environmental, health and financial risks, such as risks relating to the storage, handling and disposal of spent nuclear fuel, the release of radioactive materials caused by a nuclear accident, seismic activity, natural disaster, or terrorist act. The operation of the nuclear facilities also depends on the availability of adequate fuel supplies. If the Utility incurs losses that are either not covered by insurance or exceed the amount of insurance available, such losses could have a material effect on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results. In addition, the Utility may be required under federal law to pay up to \$255 million of liabilities arising out of each nuclear incident occurring not only at the Utility's Diablo Canyon facility but at any other nuclear power plant in the United States. (See Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

In addition, the Utility continues to face public concern about the safety of nuclear generation and nuclear fuel. Some of these nuclear opposition groups regularly file petitions at the NRC and in other forums challenging the actions of the NRC and urging governmental entities to adopt laws or policies in opposition to nuclear power. Although an action in opposition may ultimately fail, regulatory proceedings may take longer to conclude and be more costly to complete. It is also possible that public pressure could grow and that future changes in legislation, regulations, orders, or their interpretation, could result in the Utility ceasing operations at Diablo Canyon before the licenses expire. In such an instance, the Utility could be required to record a charge for the remaining amount of its unrecovered investment and such charge could have a material effect on PG&E Corporation and the Utility's financial results.

The Utility has incurred, and may continue to incur, substantial costs to comply with NRC regulations and orders. (See "Regulatory Environment" in Item 1. Business.) If the Utility were unable to recover these costs, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be materially affected. The Utility may determine that it cannot comply with the new regulations or orders in a feasible and economic manner and voluntarily cease operations; alternatively, the NRC may order the Utility to cease operations until it can comply with new regulations, orders, or decisions. The Utility may incur a material charge if it ceases operations at Diablo Canyon before the licenses expire.

At the state level, the California Water Board has adopted a policy on once-through cooling that generally requires the installation of cooling towers or other significant measures to reduce the impact on marine life from existing power generation facilities in California by at least 85%. If the California Water Board requires the installation of cooling towers that the Utility believes are not technically or economically feasible, the Utility may be forced to cease operations at Diablo Canyon and may incur a material charge. Even if the Utility is not required to install cooling towers, it could incur significant costs to comply with alternative compliances measures or to make payments to support various environmental mitigation projects.

The Utility also has an obligation to decommission its electricity generation facilities, including its nuclear facilities, as well as gas transmission system assets, at the end of their useful lives. (See Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – Asset Retirement Obligations of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statement in Item 8.) The CPUC authorizes the Utility to recover its estimated costs to decommission its nuclear facilities through nuclear decommissioning charges that are collected from customers and held in nuclear decommissioning trusts to be used for the eventual decommissioning of each nuclear unit. If the Utility's actual decommissioning costs, including the amounts held in the nuclear decommissioning trusts, exceed estimated costs, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be materially affected.

## Risks Related to Environmental Factors

The Utility's operations are subject to extensive environmental laws and changes in or liabilities under these laws could adversely affect PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results.

The Utility's operations are subject to extensive federal, state, and local environmental laws, regulations, orders, relating to air quality, water quality and usage, remediation of hazardous wastes, and the protection and conservation of natural resources and wildlife. The Utility incurs significant capital, operating, and other costs associated with compliance with these environmental statutes, rules, and regulations. The Utility has been, and may be, required to pay for environmental remediation costs at sites where it is identified as a potentially responsible party under federal and state environmental laws. Although the Utility has recorded liabilities for known environmental obligations, these costs can be difficult to estimate due to uncertainties about the extent of contamination, remediation alternatives, the applicable remediation levels, and the financial ability of other potentially responsible parties. (See Note 14 to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 for more information.)

Environmental remediation costs could increase in the future as a result of new legislation, the current trend toward more stringent standards, and stricter and more expansive application of existing environmental regulations. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations, or failure to comply with the terms of licenses or permits issued by environmental or regulatory agencies, could expose the Utility to claims by third parties or the imposition of civil or criminal fines or other sanctions.

The CPUC has authorized the Utility to recover its environmental remediation costs for certain sites through various ratemaking mechanisms. One of these mechanisms allows the Utility rate recovery for 90% of its hazardous substance remediation costs for certain approved sites without a reasonableness review. The CPUC may discontinue or change these ratemaking mechanisms in the future or the Utility may incur environmental costs that exceed amounts the CPUC has authorized the Utility to recover in rates.

Some of the Utility's environmental costs, such as the remediation costs associated with the Hinkley natural gas compressor site, are not recoverable through rates or insurance. (See "Environmental Regulation" in Item 1.) The Utility's costs to remediate groundwater contamination near the Hinkley natural gas compressor site and to abate the effects of the contamination have had, and may continue to have, a material effect on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results. Their financial results also can be materially affected by changes in estimated costs and by the extent to which actual remediation costs differ from recorded liabilities.

The Utility's future operations may be affected by climate change that may have a material impact on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

The Utility has been studying the potential effects of climate change (increased temperatures, reduced precipitation, rising sea levels) on the Utility's operations and is developing contingency plans to adapt to those events and conditions that the Utility believes are most significant. Scientists project that climate change will increase electricity demand due to more extreme, persistent and hot weather. Increasing temperatures and lower levels of precipitation in the Utility's service territory would reduce snowpack in the Sierra Mountains. If the levels of snowpack were reduced, the Utility's hydroelectric generation would decrease and the Utility would need to acquire additional generation from other sources at a greater cost. Should the Utility increase reliance on conventional generation resources to replace hydroelectric generation and to meet increased customer demand, it may become more costly for the Utility to comply with GHG emissions limits. In addition, increasing temperatures and lower levels of precipitation could increase the occurrence of wildfires in the Utility's service territory causing damage to the Utility's facilities or the facilities of third parties on which the Utility relies to provide service. In addition, flooding caused by rising sea levels could damage the Utility's facilities, including hydroelectric assets such as dams and canals, and the electric transmission assets that are located on levees throughout the Utility's service territory. The Utility could incur substantial costs to repair or

replace facilities, restore service, compensate customers and other third parties for damages or injuries. The Utility anticipates that the increased costs would be recovered through rates, but as rate pressures increase, the likelihood of disallowance or non-recovery may increase.

Events or conditions caused by climate change could have a greater impact on the Utility's operations than the Utility's studies suggest and could result in lower revenues or increased expenses, or both. If the CPUC fails to adjust the Utility's rates to reflect the impact of events or conditions caused by climate change, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows could be materially affected.



## Other Risk Factors

The Utility may be required to incur substantial costs in order to obtain or renew licenses and permits needed to operate the Utility's business and the Utility may be subject to fines and penalties for failure to comply or obtain license renewal.

The Utility must comply with the terms of various governmental permits, authorizations, and licenses, including those issued by the FERC for the continued operation of the Utility's hydroelectric generation facilities, and those issued by environmental and other federal, state and local governmental agencies. Many of the Utility's capital investment projects, and some maintenance activities, often require the Utility to obtain land use, construction, environmental, or other governmental permits. These permits, authorizations, and licenses may be difficult to obtain on a timely basis, causing work delays. Further, existing permits and licenses could be revoked or modified by the agencies that granted them if facts develop that differ significantly from the facts assumed when they were issued. In addition, the Utility often seeks periodic renewal of a license or permit, such as a waste discharge permit or a FERC operating license for a hydroelectric generation facility. If a license or permit is not renewed for a particular facility and the Utility is required to cease operations at that facility, the Utility could incur an impairment charge or other costs. Before renewing a permit or license, the issuing agency may impose additional requirements that may increase the Utility's compliance costs. In particular, in connection with a license renewal for one or more of the Utility's hydroelectric generation facilities or assets, the FERC may impose new license conditions that could, among other things, require increased expenditures or result in reduced electricity output and/or capacity at the facility. In addition, local governments may attempt to assert jurisdiction over various utility operations by requiring permits or other approvals that the Utility has not been previously required to obtain.

The Utility may incur penalties and sanctions for failure to comply with the terms and conditions of licenses and permits which could have a material effect on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. If the Utility cannot obtain, renew, or comply with necessary governmental permits, authorizations, licenses, ordinances, or other requirements, or if the Utility cannot recover the increase in associated compliance and other costs in a timely manner, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be materially affected.

Poor investment performance or other factors could require PG&E Corporation and the Utility to make significant unplanned contributions to its pension plan, other postretirement benefits plans, and nuclear decommissioning trusts.

PG&E Corporation and the Utility provide defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefits for eligible employees and retirees. The Utility also maintains three trusts for the purposes of providing funds to decommission its nuclear facilities. The performance of the debt and equity markets affects the value of plan assets and trust assets. A decline in the market value may increase the funding requirements for these plans and trusts. The cost of providing pension and other postretirement benefits is also affected by other factors, including interest rates used to measure the required minimum funding levels, the rate of return on plan assets, employee demographics, discount rates used in determining future benefit obligations, rates of increase in health care costs, future government regulation, and prior contributions to the plans. Similarly, funding requirements for the nuclear decommissioning trusts are affected by the rates of return on trust assets, changes in the laws or regulations regarding nuclear decommissioning or decommissioning funding requirements as well as changes in assumptions or forecasts related to decommissioning dates, technology and the cost of labor, materials and equipment. (See Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.) If the Utility is required to make significant unplanned contributions to fund the pension and postretirement plans or if actual nuclear decommissioning costs exceed the amount of nuclear decommissioning trust funds and the Utility is unable to recover the contributions or additional costs in rates, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial results could be materially affected.

The Utility's success depends on the availability of the services of a qualified workforce and its ability to maintain satisfactory collective bargaining agreements which cover a substantial number of employees. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's results may suffer if the Utility is unable to attract and retain qualified personnel and senior management talent, or if prolonged labor disruptions occur.

The Utility's workforce is aging and many employees are or will become eligible to retire within the next few years. Although the Utility has undertaken efforts to recruit and train new field service personnel, the Utility may be faced with a shortage of experienced and qualified personnel. The majority of the Utility's employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements with three unions. Labor disruptions could occur depending on the outcome of negotiations to renew the terms of these agreements. In addition, some of the remaining non-represented Utility employees could join one of these unions in the future.

PG&E Corporation and the Utility also may face challenges in attracting and retaining senior management talent especially if they are unable to restore the reputational harm generated by the negative publicity stemming from the ongoing enforcement proceedings. Any such occurrences could negatively impact PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition and results of operations.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Utility owns or has obtained the right to occupy and/or use real property comprising the Utility's electricity and natural gas distribution facilities, natural gas gathering facilities and generation facilities, and natural gas and electricity transmission facilities, which are described in Item 1. Business, under "Electric Utility Operations" and "Natural Gas Utility Operations." The Utility occupies or uses real property that it does not own primarily through various leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits, or licenses from private landowners or governmental authorities. In total, the Utility occupies 11.2 million square feet of real property, including 8.8 million square feet owned by the Utility. The Utility's corporate headquarters comprises approximately 1.7 million square feet located in several Utility-owned buildings in San Francisco, California.

PG&E Corporation also leases approximately 42,000 square feet of office space from a third party in San Francisco, California. This lease will expire in 2022.

The Utility currently owns approximately 167,000 acres of land, including approximately 140,000 acres of watershed lands. In 2002 the Utility agreed to permanently preserve six "beneficial public values" on all its watershed lands through conservation easements or equivalent protections, and to make up to 44,000 acres of its watershed lands available for donation to public entities or qualified non-profit conservation organizations. The six "beneficial public values" being preserved through these conservation easements include: natural habitat of fish, wildlife, and plants; open space; outdoor recreation by the general public; sustainable forestry; agricultural uses; and historic values. The Pacific Forest Watershed Lands Stewardship Council oversees the implementation of a land conservation plan that articulates the long-term management objectives for these watershed lands. The Utility's goal is to implement all the transactions needed to implement the land conservation plan by the end of 2017, subject to securing all required regulatory approvals.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In addition to the following proceedings, PG&E Corporation and the Utility are parties to various lawsuits and regulatory proceedings in the ordinary course of their business. For more information regarding material lawsuits and proceedings, see "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" in Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 and in Item 7. MD&A.

### Diablo Canyon Power Plant

The Utility's Diablo Canyon power plant employs a "once-through" cooling water system that is regulated under a Clean Water Act permit issued by the Central Coast Board. This permit allows the Diablo Canyon power plant to discharge the cooling water at a temperature no more than 22 degrees above the temperature of the ambient receiving water, and requires that the beneficial uses of the water be protected. The beneficial uses of water in this region include industrial water supply, marine and wildlife habitat, shellfish harvesting, and preservation of rare and endangered species. In January 2000, the Central Coast Board issued a proposed draft cease and desist order alleging that, although the temperature limit has never been exceeded, the Utility's Diablo Canyon power plant's discharge was not protective of beneficial uses.

In October 2000, the Utility and the Central Coast Board reached a tentative settlement under which the Central Coast Board agreed to find that the Utility's discharge of cooling water from the Diablo Canyon power plant protects beneficial uses and that the intake technology reflects the best technology available, as defined in the federal Clean Water Act. As part of the tentative settlement, the Utility agreed to take measures to preserve certain acreage north of the plant and to fund approximately \$6 million in environmental projects and future environmental monitoring related to coastal resources. On March 21, 2003, the Central Coast Board voted to accept the settlement agreement. On June 17, 2003, the settlement agreement was executed by the Utility, the Central Coast Board and the California Attorney General's Office. A condition to the effectiveness of the settlement agreement is that the Central Coast Board renew Diablo Canyon's permit.

At its July 10, 2003 meeting, the Central Coast Board did not renew the permit and continued the permit renewal hearing indefinitely. Several Central Coast Board members indicated that they no longer supported the settlement agreement, and the Central Coast Board requested a team of independent scientists, as part of a technical working group, to develop additional information on possible mitigation measures for Central Coast Board staff. In January 2005, the Central Coast Board published the scientists' draft report recommending several such mitigation measures. If the Central Coast Board adopts the scientists' recommendations, and if the Utility ultimately is required to implement the projects proposed in the draft report, it could incur costs of up to approximately \$30 million. The Utility would seek to recover these costs through rates charged to customers.

The final requirements of the federal and state cooling water policies (discussed above in Item 1. Business under "Environmental Regulation – Water Quality") could affect future negotiations between the Central Coast Board and the Utility regarding the status of the 2003 settlement agreement. PG&E Corporation and the Utility believe that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material impact on the Utility's financial condition or results of operations.

#### CPUC Investigations Regarding the Utility's Gas Transmission System and the San Bruno Accident

There are three CPUC investigative enforcement proceedings pending against the Utility. These investigations relate to (1) the Utility's safety recordkeeping for its natural gas transmission system, (2) the Utility's operation of its natural gas transmission pipeline system in or near locations of higher population density, and (3) the Utility's pipeline installation, integrity management, recordkeeping and other operational practices, and other events or courses of conduct, that could have led to or contributed to the San Bruno accident.

On September 2, 2014, the assigned CPUC ALJs issued their presiding officer decisions in these investigations in which they determined that the Utility committed approximately 3,700 violations of law, rules and regulations. The ALJs jointly issued a decision calling for total penalties of \$1.4 billion on the Utility to address all violations, allocated as follows: (1) \$950 million fine to be paid to the State General Fund, (2) \$400 million refund to ratepayers of previously authorized revenues, and (3) remedial measures that the ALJs estimate will cost the Utility at least \$50 million. The presiding officer decisions are not the final decisions of the CPUC. Three of the five CPUC Commissioners have requested that the CPUC review the decisions. It is possible that one or more Commissioners will issue an alternate penalty decision for consideration by the CPUC. In addition, in October 2014, the Utility and other parties, including the SED, TURN, the ORA, the City and County of San Francisco, and the City of San Bruno appealed the presiding officer decisions.

In its appeals, the Utility argued that the penalties imposed and the findings and conclusions on which they are based do not meet applicable legal standards, are based on the misapplication of California law and regulations, and are unconstitutional. The Utility has asked the CPUC to order the Utility to pay a significantly reduced penalty that is reasonable and proportionate in light of the nature of the violations and that takes into account the substantial unrecovered amounts the Utility has already spent and forecasts that it will spend on gas system safety. The Utility requested that it be allowed 180 days to raise the funds it may be ordered to pay to the State General Fund rather than

the 40 days specified in the decision. The Utility also argued that the entire penalty should go toward funding investments in the Utility's gas transmission system. TURN, the ORA, and the City and County of San Francisco jointly filed an appeal urging the CPUC to disallow the Utility's recovery of remaining PSEP costs of \$877 million and to require the Utility to pay \$473 million to the State General Fund. These parties also argue that the record in the investigative proceedings would support an even larger penalty than stated in the decision. The City of San Bruno appealed the rejection of its proposals for the appointment of an independent monitor to oversee the Utility's natural gas operations and for the establishment of a pipeline safety trust. It is uncertain when the final outcome of the investigations will be determined.

While the various appeals and requests for review of the presiding officer decisions are unresolved there continues to be significant uncertainty about the ultimate forms and amounts of penalties (including fines) that will be imposed on the Utility. The impact on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's Consolidated Financial Statements will depend on the amounts and forms of penalties that are ultimately adopted by the CPUC. For more information, see discussions "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" in Item 7. MD&A and Note 14: Commitments and Contingencies of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.

#### Federal Criminal Indictment

On July 29, 2014, a federal grand jury in the Northern District of California returned a 28-count superseding criminal indictment against the Utility in federal district court replacing the indictment that had been returned on April 1, 2014. The superseding indictment charges 27 felony counts (increased from 12 counts charged in the original indictment) alleging that the Utility knowingly and willfully violated minimum safety standards under the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act relating to record keeping, pipeline integrity management, and identification of pipeline threats. The superseding indictment also includes one felony count charging that the Utility illegally obstructed the NTSB's investigation into the cause of the San Bruno accident. The maximum statutory fine for each felony count is \$500,000, for total fines of \$14 million. The superseding indictment also alleges an alternative fine under the Alternative Fines Act which states, in part: "If any person derives pecuniary gain from the offense, or if the offense results in pecuniary loss to a person other than the defendant, the defendant may be fined not more than the greater of twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss." Based on the superseding indictment's allegations that the Utility derived gross gains of approximately \$281 million and that the victims suffered losses of approximately \$565 million, the maximum alternate fine would be approximately \$1.13 billion.

The Utility entered a plea of not guilty. The Utility believes that criminal charges and the alternate fine allegations are not merited and that it did not knowingly and willfully violate minimum safety standards under the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act or obstruct the NTSB's investigation, as alleged in the superseding indictment. A status conference is scheduled to be held in court on March 9, 2015. PG&E Corporation and the Utility have not accrued any charges for criminal fines in their consolidated financial statements as such amounts are not considered to be probable.

#### Litigation Related to the San Bruno Accident and Natural Gas Spending

At December 31, 2014, there were also five purported shareholder derivative lawsuits outstanding against PG&E Corporation and the Utility seeking recovery on behalf of PG&E Corporation and the Utility for alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by officers and directors, among other claims. The plaintiffs for three of these lawsuits filed a consolidated complaint with the San Mateo County Superior Court in November 2013, which has been amended to add a fourth shareholder plaintiff and to discuss recent events, including the federal criminal indictment discussed above. In August 2014, the judge lifted the stay on the consolidated complaint for the limited purpose of allowing briefing and hearing on demurrers (state court motions to dismiss). On September 15, 2014, PG&E Corporation, the Utility and the individual defendants asked the court to dismiss the consolidated complaint because the plaintiffs (1) failed to demand that the Boards of Directors pursue claims against the defendant directors and officers and (2) have not adequately pled why such demand should be excused. The court has since clarified that the appropriate board on whom the plaintiffs should have demanded with respect to the claims in the operative complaint is the 2013 PG&E Corporation Board of Directors (and the 2014 Board regarding the allegations first raised in plaintiffs' 2014 amended consolidated complaint). The Court has invited plaintiffs to amend their complaint to accommodate this clarification, and defendants to refile a demurrer on this amended complaint if they so choose. Accordingly, briefing and litigation on this motion is expected to continue through the first quarter of 2015. On September 22, 2014, PG&E Corporation, the Utility, and the individual defendants filed a petition with the California Court of Appeal requesting a new order continuing the stay until resolution of the federal criminal indictment discussed above. A fifth purported shareholder derivative lawsuit that was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California remains stayed.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

30

---

## EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANTS

The following individuals serve as executive officers (1) of PG&E Corporation and/or the Utility, as of February 10, 2015. Except as otherwise noted, all positions have been held at Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Name	Age	Positions Held Over Last Five Years	Time in Position
Anthony F. Earley, Jr.	65	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and President, PG&E Corporation Executive Chairman of the Board, DTE Energy Company Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, DTE Energy Company	September 13, 2011 to present October 1, 2010 to September 12, 2011 August 1998 to September 30, 2010
Christopher P. Johns	54	President Senior Vice President, Financial Services Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, PG&E Corporation	August 1, 2009 to present May 1, 2009 to July 31, 2009 May 1, 2009 to July 31, 2009
Nickolas Stavropoulos	56	Executive Vice President, Gas Operations Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, U.S. Gas Distribution, National Grid	June 13, 2011 to present August 2007 to March 31, 2011
Geisha J. Williams	53	Executive Vice President, Electric Operations Senior Vice President, Energy Delivery	June 1, 2011 to present December 1, 2007 to May 31, 2011
Karen A. Austin	53	Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer President, Consumer Electronics, Sears Holdings	June 1, 2011 to present February 2009 to May 2011
Desmond A. Bell	52	Senior Vice President, Safety and Shared Services Senior Vice President, Shared Services and Chief Procurement Officer	January 1, 2012 to present October 1, 2008 to December 31, 2011
Helen A. Burt	58	Senior Vice President, Corporate Affairs Senior Vice President, Corporate Affairs, PG&E Corporation Senior Vice President and Chief Customer Officer	September 18, 2014 to present September 18, 2014 to present February 27, 2006 to September 17, 2014



Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

Loraine M. Giammona	Senior Vice President and Chief Customer Officer Vice President, Customer Service	September 18, 2014 to present January 23, 2012 to September 17, 2014
	Regional Vice President, Customer Care, Comcast Cable	November 2002 to January 2012
Edward D. Halpin	53 Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Nuclear Officer, South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company	April 2, 2012 to present December 2009 to March 2012
Kent M. Harvey	56 Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, PG&E Corporation Senior Vice President, Financial Services	August 1, 2009 to present August 1, 2009 to present

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

Gregory K. Kiraly	50	Senior Vice President, Electric Distribution Operations Vice President, Electric Distribution Operations Vice President, SmartMeter Operations  Vice President, Electric Maintenance and Construction	September 18, 2012 to present October 1, 2011 to September 17, 2012 August 23, 2010 to September 30, 2011 January 1, 2010 to August 22, 2010
Steven E. Malnight	42	Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Vice President, Customer Energy Solutions Vice President, Integrated Demand Side Management  Vice President, Renewable Energy	September 18, 2014 to present May 15, 2011 to September 17, 2014 July 1, 2010 to May 14, 2011 May 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010
Hyun Park	53	Senior Vice President and General Counsel, PG&E Corporation	November 13, 2006 to present
John R. Simon	50	Senior Vice President, Human Resources Senior Vice President, Human Resources, PG&E Corporation	April 16, 2007 to present April 16, 2007 to present
Jesus Soto, Jr.	47	Senior Vice President, Engineering, Construction and Operations Senior Vice President, Gas Transmission Operations Vice President, Operations Services, El Paso Pipeline Group	September 16, 2013 to present May 29, 2012 to September 15, 2013 May 2007 to May 2012
Fong Wan	53	Senior Vice President, Energy Procurement	October 1, 2008 to present
Dinyar B. Mistry	53	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Controller Vice President and Controller, PG&E Corporation Vice President and Controller  Vice President and Chief Risk and Audit Officer Vice President and Chief Risk and Audit Officer, PG&E Corporation	October 1, 2011 to present March 8, 2010 to present March 8, 2010 to September 30, 2011 September 16, 2009 to March 7, 2010 August 1, 2009 to March 7, 2010

(1) Mr. Earley, Mr. Johns, Ms. Burt, Mr. Harvey, Mr. Park, and Mr. Simon are executive officers of both PG&E Corporation and the Utility. All other listed officers are executive officers of the Utility only.



PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

As of January 27, 2015, there were 61,989 holders of record of PG&E Corporation common stock. PG&E Corporation common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. The high and low sales prices of PG&E Corporation common stock for each quarter of the two most recent fiscal years are set forth on page 125 of this report within "Quarterly Consolidated Financial Data (Unaudited)" in Item 8. Shares of common stock of the Utility are wholly owned by PG&E Corporation. Information about the frequency and amount of dividends on common stock declared by PG&E Corporation and the Utility for the two most recent fiscal years and information about the restrictions upon the payment of dividends on their common stock Utility appears in PG&E Corporation's Consolidated Statements of Equity, the Utility's Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity, and Note 5: Common Stock and Share-Based Compensation—Dividends in Item 8 and in "Liquidity and Financial Resources – Dividends" in Item 7. MD&A.

Sales of Unregistered Equity Securities

PG&E Corporation did not make any equity contributions to the Utility during the quarter ended December 31, 2014. The Utility was in compliance with the 52% common equity component of its capital structure authorized by the CPUC and had adequate capital to fund its capital expenditures. PG&E Corporation did not make any sales of unregistered equity securities during 2014.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the quarter ended December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation did not redeem or repurchase any shares of common stock outstanding. Also, during the quarter ended December 31, 2014, the Utility did not redeem or repurchase any shares of its various series of preferred stock outstanding.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(in millions, except per share amounts)	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
PG&E Corporation					
For the Year					
Operating revenues	\$17,090	\$15,598	\$15,040	\$14,956	\$13,841
Operating income	2,450	1,762	1,693	1,942	2,308
Income from continuing operations	1,450	828	830	858	1,113
Earnings per common share from continuing operations, basic (1)	3.07	1.83	1.92	2.10	2.86
Earnings per common share from continuing operations, diluted	3.06	1.83	1.92	2.10	2.82
Dividends declared per common share (2)	1.82	1.82	1.82	1.82	1.82
At Year-End					
Common stock price per share	\$53.24	\$40.28	\$40.18	\$41.22	\$47.84
Total assets	60,127	55,605	52,449	49,750	46,025
Long-term debt (excluding current portion)	15,050	12,717	12,517	11,766	10,906
Capital lease obligations (excluding current portion) (3)	69	90	113	212	248
Energy recovery bonds (excluding current portion) (4)	-	-	-	-	423
Pacific Gas and Electric Company					
For the Year					
Operating revenues	\$17,088	\$15,593	\$15,035	\$14,951	\$13,840
Operating income	2,452	1,790	1,695	1,944	2,314
Income available for common stock	1,419	852	797	831	1,107
At Year-End					
Total assets	59,865	55,049	51,923	49,242	45,679
Long-term debt (excluding current portion)	14,700	12,717	12,167	11,417	10,557
Capital lease obligations (excluding current portion) (3)	69	90	113	212	248
Energy recovery bonds (excluding current portion) (4)	-	-	-	-	423

(1) See “Summary of Changes in Net Income and Earnings per Share” in Item 7. MD&A.

(2) Information about the frequency and amount of dividends and restrictions on the payment of dividends is set forth in “Liquidity and Financial Resources – Dividends” in MD&A in Item 7 and in PG&E Corporation’s Consolidated Statements of Equity, the Utility’s Consolidated Statements of Shareholders’ Equity, and Note 5 in Item 8.

(3) The capital lease obligations amounts are included in noncurrent liabilities – other in PG&E Corporation’s and the Utility’s Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(4) The energy recovery bonds matured in December 2012.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### OVERVIEW

Pacific Gas and Electric Company generates revenues mainly through the sale and delivery of electricity and natural gas to customers. PG&E Corporation is the parent holding company of the Utility. The authorized revenue requirements set by the CPUC in the GRC and GT&S rate cases and by the FERC in TO rate cases provide the Utility an opportunity to earn its authorized rates of return on its "rate base" – the Utility's net investment in facilities, equipment, and other property used or useful in providing utility service to its customers. Other than its electric transmission and certain GT&S revenues, the Utility's decoupling of base revenues and sales volume eliminates volatility in the revenues earned by the Utility due to fluctuations in customer demand.

The Utility's revenue requirements are set based on forecast costs. Differences between forecast costs and actual costs can occur for numerous reasons, including the volume of new customer connections, the detection and mitigation of emerging safety threats, and the impact of market forces on the cost of labor and materials. Differences in costs can also arise from changes in laws and regulations at both the state and federal level. Generally, differences between actual costs and forecast costs could affect the Utility's ability to earn its authorized return (referred to as "Utility Revenues and Costs that Impacted Earnings" in Results of Operations below). However, for certain operating costs, such as costs associated with pension and other employee benefits, the Utility is authorized to track the difference between actual amounts and forecast amounts and recover or refund the difference through rates (referred to as "Utility Revenues and Costs that did not Impact Earnings" in Results of Operations below). The Utility also collects additional revenue requirements to recover certain costs that the CPUC has authorized the Utility to pass on to customers. Therefore, although these costs can fluctuate, they generally do not impact net income (referred to as "Utility Revenues and Costs that did not Impact Earnings" in Results of Operations below). See "Ratemaking Mechanisms" in Item 1 for further discussion.

There may be some types of costs that the CPUC has determined will not be recoverable through rates or for which the Utility does not seek recovery, such as certain pipeline-related costs and fines associated with the Utility's natural gas transmission system. The CPUC could also disallow recovery of costs that it finds were not prudently or reasonably incurred. The timing and amount of the unrecoverable or disallowed costs can materially impact the Utility's net income, as described more fully below.

This is a combined report of PG&E Corporation and the Utility, and includes separate Consolidated Financial Statements for each of these two entities. This combined MD&A should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8.

## Summary of Changes in Net Income and Earnings per Share

PG&E Corporation's financial results for 2014 reflect an increase in the Utility's revenues as authorized in the CPUC's final decision issued in the Utility's 2014 GRC on August 14, 2014. (See "Results of Operations" below.)

The following table is a summary reconciliation of the key changes, after-tax, in PG&E Corporation's income available for common shareholders and EPS for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the prior year (see "Results of Operations" below for additional information):

(in millions, except per share amounts)	Earnings	EPS (diluted)
Income Available for Common Shareholders - 2013	\$814	\$1.83
Natural gas matters (1)	176	0.43
2014 GRC expense recovery(2)	134	0.29
Tax benefit - repairs method and forecast change (3)	115	0.24
Growth in rate base earnings (4)	101	0.21
Gain on disposition of SolarCity stock	27	0.06
Regulatory matters (5)	20	0.04
Gas transmission revenues	8	0.02
Uneconomic project and lease termination	8	0.02
Increase in shares outstanding (6)	-	(0.15)
Other	33	0.07
Income Available for Common Shareholders - 2014	\$1,436	\$3.06

(1) Represents the decrease in net costs related to natural gas matters during 2014 as compared to 2013. These amounts are not recoverable through rates. See "Results of Operations - Operating and Maintenance" below.

(2) In 2013, the Utility incurred approximately \$200 million of expense and \$1 billion of capital costs above authorized levels. The 2014 GRC decision authorized revenues that support this higher level of spending in 2014 and throughout the GRC period. The amounts in the table represent the after-tax higher authorized revenue recognized during 2014, for the recovery of these expenses and costs.

(3) Represents the favorable impact of recent IRS guidance and forecast changes based on flow-through ratemaking treatment for federal tax deductions resulting from temporary differences attributable to the accelerated recognition of repairs and certain other property-related costs, as reflected in the revenue requirements authorized in the 2014 GRC decision. See "Income Tax Provision" below.

(4) Represents the impact of the increase in rate base as authorized in various rate cases, including the 2014 GRC, during 2014 as compared to 2013.

(5) Includes customer energy efficiency incentive awards.

(6) Represents the impact of a higher number of weighted average shares of common stock outstanding during 2014 as compared to 2013. PG&E Corporation issues shares to fund its equity contributions to the Utility to maintain the Utility's capital structure and fund operations, including unrecovered expenses.

Key Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Financial Condition, and Cash Flows

PG&E Corporation and the Utility believe that their future results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows will be materially affected by the following factors:

- **The Outcome of Pending Investigations and Enforcement Matters.** The assigned CPUC ALJs overseeing the three pending investigations regarding the Utility's gas transmission system and the San Bruno accident have issued decisions to impose total fines and disallowances of \$1.4 billion on the Utility. The Utility and other parties have appealed the decisions and several Commissioners have requested reviews of the decisions. It is uncertain when the final outcome of these investigations will be determined. At December 31, 2014, the Consolidated Balance Sheets included an accrual of \$200 million for the minimum amount of fines deemed probable. There is also a pending federal criminal indictment against the Utility alleging that the Utility knowingly and willfully violated the Pipeline Safety Act and illegally obstructed the NTSB's investigation into the cause of the San Bruno accident. Based on the superseding indictment's allegations, the maximum statutory fine would be \$14 million and the maximum alternative fine would be approximately \$1.13 billion. Federal and state authorities also are conducting investigations in connection with certain communications between the Utility and CPUC personnel. Fines may be imposed, or other regulatory or governmental enforcement action could be taken, with respect to these and other enforcement matters. (See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" below.)
- **The Timing and Outcome of Ratemaking Proceedings.** In the GT&S rate case the Utility has requested that the CPUC authorize revenue requirements for the Utility's gas transmission and storage operations from 2015 through 2017. The Utility has requested an increase in its 2015 revenue requirements of \$555 million over the comparable authorized revenues, as well as increases for 2016 and 2017. In response to Utility's violations of the CPUC's rules regarding ex parte communications relating to the 2015 GT&S rate case, the CPUC issued a decision to disallow some GT&S incremental revenues that may otherwise be authorized in the final decision which is scheduled to be issued in August 2015. The Utility and other parties have filed applications requesting the CPUC to reconsider this decision. It is uncertain whether this decision will be upheld and what amount of revenue requirements will ultimately be authorized in the final GT&S rate case decision. It is also uncertain whether the final outcome of the pending CPUC investigations will affect the outcome of the 2015 GT&S rate case. In addition, the Utility has a TO rate case pending at the FERC. (See "Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings" below.) The outcome of regulatory proceedings can be affected by many factors, including the level of opposition by intervening parties, potential rate impacts, the Utility's reputation, the regulatory and political environments, and other factors discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors.
- **The Ability of the Utility to Control Operating Costs and Capital Expenditures.** PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's future results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows could be materially affected if the Utility's actual costs differ from the amounts authorized in the final 2014 GRC decision and future rate case decisions. During the quarter ended December 31, 2014, the Utility recorded a charge of \$116 million for the increase in the Utility's forecast of PSEP capital expenditures that are expected to exceed authorized amounts. The Utility could incur additional charges in the future if the forecast of PSEP-related capital expenditures increases. The Utility also forecasts that in 2015 it will incur unrecovered pipeline-related expenses ranging from \$100 million to \$150 million, including costs to perform continuing work under the Utility's PSEP and other gas transmission safety work, as well as legal and other expenses. Actual costs could be higher. The final outcome of the pending CPUC investigations and the CPUC enforcement actions with respect to the Utility's violations of the ex parte communication rules also could affect the ultimate amount of unrecovered costs.
- **The Amount and Timing of the Utility's Financing Needs.** PG&E Corporation contributes equity to the Utility as needed to maintain the Utility's CPUC-authorized capital structure. In 2014, PG&E Corporation issued \$802



million of common stock and made equity contributions to the Utility of \$705 million. PG&E Corporation forecasts that it will continue issuing a material amount of equity to support the Utility's capital expenditures and to fund unrecovered pipeline-related costs. PG&E Corporation expects that it will issue additional common stock to fund its equity contributions to enable the Utility to pay fines and compliance costs as may be required by the final outcomes of the CPUC investigations, the criminal proceeding, and the other enforcement matters. These additional issuances could have a material dilutive effect on PG&E Corporation's EPS. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's ability to access the capital markets and the terms and rates of future financings could be affected by the outcome of the matters discussed in "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" below, changes in their respective credit ratings, general economic and market conditions, and other factors.

For more information about the factors and risks that could affect PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's future results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows, or that could cause future results to differ from historical results, see Item 1A. Risk Factors. In addition, this 2014 Annual Report contains forward-looking statements that are necessarily subject to various risks and uncertainties. These statements reflect management's judgment and opinions that are based on current estimates, expectations, and projections about future events and assumptions regarding these events and management's knowledge of facts as of the date of this report. See the section entitled "Cautionary Language Regarding Forward Looking Statements" below for a list of some of the factors that may cause actual results to differ materially. PG&E Corporation and the Utility are not able to predict all the factors that may affect future results. PG&E Corporation and the Utility do not undertake an obligation to update forward-looking statements, whether in response to new information, future events, or otherwise.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion presents PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's operating results for 2014, 2013, and 2012. See "Key Factors Affecting Results of Operations, Financial Condition, and Cash Flows" above for further discussion about factors that could affect future results of operations.

## PG&amp;E Corporation

The consolidated results of operations consist primarily of results related to the Utility, which are discussed in the "Utility" section below. The following table provides a summary of net income (loss) available for common shareholders:

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Consolidated Total	\$1,436	\$814	\$816
PG&E Corporation	17	(38 )	19
Utility	\$1,419	\$852	\$797

PG&E Corporation's net income or loss consists primarily of interest expense on long-term debt, other income or loss from investments, and income taxes. In 2014, PG&E Corporation's operating results increased reflecting \$45 million of realized gains and associated tax benefits recognized in connection with an equity investment in SolarCity. PG&E Corporation's operating results in 2013 reflected an impairment loss of \$28 million on its tax equity fund investments and higher charitable contributions as compared to 2012.

## Utility

The table below shows certain items from the Utility's Consolidated Statements of Income for 2014, 2013 and 2012. The table separately identifies the revenues and costs that impacted earnings from those that did not impact earnings. In general, expenses the Utility is authorized to pass through directly to customers (such as costs to purchase electricity and natural gas, as well as costs to fund public purpose programs) and the corresponding amount of revenues collected to recover those pass-through costs, do not impact earnings. In addition, expenses that have been specifically authorized (such as the payment of pension costs) and the corresponding revenues the Utility is authorized to collect to recover such costs, do not impact earnings.

Revenues that impact earnings are primarily those that have been authorized by the CPUC and the FERC to recover the Utility's costs to own and operate its assets and to provide the Utility an opportunity to earn its authorized rate of return on rate base. Expenses that impact earnings are primarily those that the Utility incurs to own and operate its assets.

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

The Utility's operating results for 2014 reflect the increase in authorized revenues effective January 1, 2014 that was approved by the CPUC in the 2014 GRC decision issued on August 14, 2014. (See "Utility Revenues and Costs that Impacted Earnings" below.)

(in millions)	2014 Revenues and Costs:			2013 Revenues and Costs:			2012 Revenues and Costs:		
	That Impacted Earnings	That Did Not Impact Earnings	Total Utility	That Impacted Earnings	That Did Not Impact Earnings	Total Utility	That Impacted Earnings	That Did Not Impact Earnings	Total Utility
Electric operating revenues	\$ 7,059	\$ 6,597	\$ 13,656	\$ 6,465	\$ 6,024	\$ 12,489	\$ 6,414	\$ 5,600	\$ 12,014
Natural gas operating revenues	2,072	1,360	3,432	1,776	1,328	3,104	1,772	1,249	3,021
Total operating revenues	9,131	7,957	17,088	8,241	7,352	15,593	8,186	6,849	15,035
Cost of electricity	-	5,615	5,615	-	5,016	5,016	-	4,162	4,162
Cost of natural gas	-	954	954	-	968	968	-	861	861
Operating and maintenance	4,247	1,388	5,635	4,374	1,368	5,742	4,563	1,482	6,045
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	2,432	-	2,432	2,077	-	2,077	1,928	344	2,272
Total operating expenses	6,679	7,957	14,636	6,451	7,352	13,803	6,491	6,849	13,340
Operating income	2,452	-	2,452	1,790	-	1,790	1,695	-	1,695
Interest income (1)			8			8			6
Interest expense (1)			(720 )			(690 )			(680 )
Other income, net (1)			77			84			88
Income before income taxes			1,817			1,192			1,109
Income tax provision (1)			384			326			298
Net income			1,433			866			811
Preferred stock dividend requirement (1)			14			14			14
Income Available for Common Stock			\$ 1,419			\$ 852			\$ 797

(1) These items impacted earnings.



## Utility Revenues and Costs that Impacted Earnings

The following discussion presents the Utility's operating results for 2014, 2013 and 2012, focusing on revenues and expenses that impacted earnings for these periods.

### Operating Revenues

The Utility's electric and natural gas operating revenues that impacted earnings increased \$890 million or 11% in 2014 compared to 2013. This amount includes an increase to base revenues of \$460 million as authorized by the CPUC in the 2014 GRC decision. The GRC decision also resulted in higher base revenues of \$150 million in 2014 related primarily to the DOE settlement in 2012 for spent nuclear fuel storage costs. (See "Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings" below.) The total increase in operating revenues also includes approximately \$150 million, consisting of revenues authorized by the CPUC for recovery of nuclear decommissioning costs and certain PSEP-related costs and revenues authorized by the FERC in the TO rate case. The Utility also collected higher gas transmission revenues driven by increased demand for gas-fired generation.

The Utility's electric and natural gas operating revenues increased \$55 million or 1% in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to an increase of \$294 million as authorized in various rate cases, partially offset by a decrease in revenues of \$196 million as a result of the lower ROE authorized by the CPUC in the 2013 Cost of Capital proceeding.

### Operating and Maintenance

The Utility's operating and maintenance expenses that impacted earnings decreased \$127 million or 3% in 2014 compared to 2013 and \$189 million or 4% in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower net costs incurred in connection with natural gas matters shown in the table below. These decreases were offset by higher benefit-related expenses and other operating expenses of \$120 million in 2014 as compared to 2013 and \$53 million in 2013 as compared to 2012. Additionally, the Utility incurred an \$88 million charge in 2012 for an increase in estimated environmental remediation costs associated with the Hinkley natural gas compressor station site, with no comparable charge taken in 2013.

The following table provides a summary of the Utility's costs associated with natural gas matters that are not recoverable through rates:

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012	Cumulative December 31, 2014
Pipeline-related expenses (1)	\$347	\$387	\$477	\$1,757
Disallowed capital expenditures (2)	116	196	353	665
Accrued fines (3)	12	22	17	251
Third-party liability claims (4)	(7 )	110	80	558
Insurance recoveries (5)	(112 )	(70 )	(185 )	(466 )
Contribution to City of San Bruno	-	-	70	70
Total natural gas matters	\$356	\$645	\$812	\$2,835

(1) In 2014, the Utility incurred \$149 million of PSEP-related expenses, \$155 million of other gas safety-related work, and \$43 million of legal and other expenses. From December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2014, the Utility incurred respective expenses of \$885 million, \$502 million, and \$370 million. See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" below.

(2) Amounts represent charges for PSEP capital costs expected to exceed the authorized amount. See "Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan" in Note 14 of the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.

(3) Includes fines related to ex parte communications, the Carmel incident, and other fines. See “Pending CPUC Investigations” below. The Utility has paid \$40 million of fines through December 31, 2014.

(4) Amounts represent third-party liability claims and associated legal costs. The Utility’s liability for third-party claims related to the San Bruno accident was reduced in 2014 to reflect the settlement of all outstanding third-party claims. Since the San Bruno accident, the Utility has made cumulative settlement payments of \$532 million through December 31, 2014.

(5) The Utility has recognized insurance recoveries for third-party claims and associated legal costs. The Utility has been engaged in settlement negotiations with its insurers regarding recovery of its remaining claims and costs.

## Depreciation, Amortization, and Decommissioning

The Utility's depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning expenses increased \$355 million or 17% in 2014 compared to 2013 and \$149 million or 8% in 2013 compared to 2012. In 2014, the increase was primarily due to higher depreciation rates as authorized by the CPUC in the 2014 GRC decision and higher nuclear decommissioning expense reflecting the year-to-date increase as authorized by the CPUC in the nuclear decommissioning triennial proceeding. Additionally, depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning expenses were impacted by an increase in capital additions during 2014 as compared to 2013, and during 2013 as compared to 2012.

## Interest Income, Interest Expense, and Other Income, Net

There were no material changes to interest income, interest expense, and other income, net for the periods presented.

## Income Tax Provision

The Utility's revenue requirements for 2014 through 2016, as authorized in the 2014 GRC decision, reflect flow-through ratemaking for income tax expense benefits attributable to the accelerated recognition of repair costs and certain other property-related costs for federal tax purposes. PG&E Corporation and the Utility's effective tax rates for 2014 are lower as compared to 2013, and are expected to remain lower in 2015 and 2016 due to these temporary differences that are now being flowed through. PG&E Corporation and the Utility's 2014 financial results reflect a reduction in income tax expense associated with these temporary differences. (See Note 8 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

The Tax Increase Prevention Act, signed into law on December 19, 2014, extended 50% bonus federal tax depreciation on qualified property placed into service in 2014. The Utility's earnings were not impacted by the incremental tax depreciation as the flow-through ratemaking discussed above does not apply and the 2014 GRC decision requires the Utility to refund to customers the estimated cost of service benefits associated with this tax law change.

The Utility's income tax provision increased \$58 million or 18% in 2014 as compared to 2013 and \$28 million or 9% in 2013 as compared to 2012. The increase in the tax provision was primarily due to higher pre-tax income, partially offset by certain reductions in tax expense for flow-through treatment as discussed above.

The following table reconciles the income tax expense at the federal statutory rate to the income tax provision:

	2014		2013		2012	
		%		%		%
Federal statutory income tax rate	35.0	%	35.0	%	35.0	%
Increase (decrease) in income tax rate resulting from:						
State income tax (net of federal benefit) (1)	1.6		(2.2)	)	(3.0)	)
Effect of regulatory treatment of fixed asset differences (2)	(14.7)	)	(3.8)	)	(3.9)	)
Tax credits	(0.7)	)	(0.4)	)	(0.6)	)
Benefit of loss carryback	(0.8)	)	(1.0)	)	(0.4)	)
Non deductible penalties	0.3		0.7		0.5	
Other, net	0.4		(0.9)	)	(0.8)	)
Effective tax rate	21.1	%	27.4	%	26.8	%

(1) Includes the effect of state flow-through ratemaking treatment.

(2) Represents effect of federal flow-through ratemaking treatment including those deductions related to repairs and certain other property-related costs discussed above.





## Utility Revenues and Costs that did not Impact Earnings

## Cost of Electricity

The Utility's cost of electricity includes the costs of power purchased from third parties, transmission, fuel used in its own generation facilities, fuel supplied to other facilities under power purchase agreements, and realized gains and losses on price risk management activities. (See Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.) The volume of power purchased by the Utility is driven by customer demand, the availability of the Utility's own generation facilities (including hydroelectric generations), and the cost effectiveness of each source of electricity. Additionally, the cost of electricity is impacted by the higher cost of procuring renewable energy as the Utility increases the amount of its renewable energy deliveries to comply with California law.

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Cost of purchased power	\$5,266	\$4,696	\$3,873
Fuel used in own generation facilities	349	320	289
Total cost of electricity	\$5,615	\$5,016	\$4,162
Average cost of purchased power per kWh	\$0.101	\$0.094	\$0.079
Total purchased power (in millions of kWh)	52,008	49,941	48,933

## Cost of Gas

The Utility's cost of natural gas includes the costs of procurement, storage, transportation of natural gas, and realized gains and losses on price risk management activities. (See Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.) The Utility's cost of natural gas is impacted by the market price of natural gas, changes in the cost of storage and transportation, changes in customer demand, and by costs associated with complying with California's GHG laws.

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Cost of natural gas sold	\$813	\$807	\$676
Transportation cost of natural gas sold	141	161	185
Total cost of natural gas	\$954	\$968	\$861
Average cost per Mcf of natural gas sold	\$4.37	\$3.54	\$2.91
Total natural gas sold (in millions of Mcf) (1)	186	228	232

(1) One thousand cubic feet

## Operating and Maintenance Expenses

The Utility's operating expenses that did not impact earnings include certain costs that the Utility is authorized to recover as incurred such as pension contributions and public purpose programs costs. If the Utility were to spend more than authorized amounts, these expenses could have an impact to earnings. For 2014, 2013 and 2012, no material amounts were incurred above authorized amounts.

## LIQUIDITY AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES

### Overview

The Utility's ability to fund operations, finance capital expenditures, and make distributions to PG&E Corporation depends on the levels of its operating cash flows and access to the capital and credit markets. The CPUC authorizes the Utility's capital structure, the aggregate amount of long-term debt and short-term debt that the Utility may issue, and the revenue requirements the Utility is able to collect related to its debt financing costs. The Utility generally utilizes equity contributions from PG&E Corporation and long-term senior unsecured debt issuances to maintain its CPUC-authorized long-term capital structure consisting of 52% equity and 48% debt and preferred stock (see "Ratemaking Mechanisms" in Item 1). The Utility's future equity needs will continue to be affected by costs that are not recoverable through rates, including costs related to natural gas matters, fines imposed in connection with the pending CPUC investigations and other matters described in "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" below, and certain environmental remediation costs. The Utility relies on short-term debt, including commercial paper, to fund temporary financing needs.

PG&E Corporation's ability to fund operations, make scheduled principal and interest payments, fund equity contributions to the Utility, and pay dividends, primarily depends on the level of cash distributions received from the Utility and PG&E Corporation's access to the capital and credit markets. PG&E Corporation has material stand-alone cash flows related to the issuance of equity and long-term debt, dividend payments, and borrowings and repayments under its revolving credit facility. PG&E Corporation relies on short-term debt, including commercial paper, to fund temporary financing needs.

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's credit ratings may be affected by the ultimate outcome of the pending enforcement and litigation matters. Credit rating downgrades may increase the cost and availability of short-term borrowing, including commercial paper, the costs associated with credit facilities, and long-term debt costs. In addition, the majority of the Utility's derivatives contain collateral posting provisions tied to the Utility's credit rating from each of the major credit rating agencies. If the Utility's credit rating were to fall below investment grade, the Utility would be required to post additional cash immediately to fully collateralize some of its net liability derivative positions. (See Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

PG&E Corporation's equity contributions to the Utility are funded primarily through common stock issuances. During 2014, PG&E Corporation sold 11 million shares of common stock for cash proceeds of \$496 million, net of commissions of \$4 million, under an equity distribution agreement. There were no sales during the fourth quarter of 2014. In addition, during 2014, PG&E Corporation sold 8 million shares of common stock under its 401(k) plan, the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, and share-based compensation plans for total cash proceeds of \$306 million.

The proceeds from these equity issuances were used for general corporate purposes, including the contribution of equity into the Utility. For the year ended December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation made equity contributions to the Utility of \$705 million to ensure that the Utility had adequate capital to maintain the 52% common equity ratio authorized by the CPUC. These equity issuances have been dilutive to PG&E Corporation's EPS to the extent that the proceeds were used by the Utility to restore equity that has been reduced by unrecoverable costs and charges.

PG&E Corporation forecasts that it will issue additional common stock to fund the Utility's equity needs. PG&E Corporation forecasts that it will issue between \$400 million and \$600 million in common stock during 2015, excluding amounts attributable to fines the Utility may be required to pay in connection with final outcomes of the CPUC investigations, the criminal proceeding, and other enforcements matters. Future issuances of common stock by PG&E Corporation to fund equity contributions could continue to have a material dilutive effect on EPS depending upon the ultimate outcomes of the matters described in "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" below and the outcome of

the GT&S rate case as described in “Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings” below.

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

PG&E Corporation and the Utility maintain separate bank accounts and primarily invest their cash in money market funds. In addition to cash and cash equivalents, the Utility holds restricted cash that primarily consists of cash held in escrow pending the resolution of the remaining disputed claims that were filed in the Utility's reorganization proceeding under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. (See Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

## Revolving Credit Facilities and Commercial Paper Programs

At December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation and the Utility had \$300 million and \$2.6 billion available under their respective \$300 million and \$3.0 billion revolving credit facilities. (See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

The revolving credit facilities require that PG&E Corporation and the Utility maintain a ratio of total consolidated debt to total consolidated capitalization of at most 65% as of the end of each fiscal quarter. PG&E Corporation's revolving credit facility agreement also requires that PG&E Corporation own, directly or indirectly, at least 80% of the common stock and at least 70% of the voting capital stock of the Utility. In addition, these revolving credit facilities include usual and customary provisions regarding events of default and covenants limiting liens to those permitted under PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's senior note indentures, mergers, sales of all or substantially all of PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's assets, and other fundamental changes. At December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation and the Utility were in compliance with all covenants under their respective revolving credit facilities.

## 2014 Debt Financings

PG&E Corporation and the Utility issued \$2.3 billion in long-term debt and \$300 million in short-term debt during the year ended December 31, 2014. (See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

## Dividends

The Board of Directors of PG&E Corporation and the Utility have authority to declare dividends on their respective common stock. Dividends are not payable unless and until declared by the applicable Board of Directors. The CPUC requires that the Utility maintain, on average, its authorized capital structure including a 52% equity component and that the PG&E Corporation Board of Directors give first priority to the Utility's capital requirements, as determined to be necessary and prudent to meet the Utility's obligation to serve or to operate the Utility in a prudent and efficient manner. Each Board of Directors retains authority to change the common stock dividend rate at any time, especially if unexpected events occur that would change their view as to the prudent level of cash conservation.

The Board of Directors of PG&E Corporation declared and paid common stock dividends of \$0.455 per share for each of the quarters in 2014, 2013, and 2012, for annual dividends of \$1.82 per share. The Utility's Board of Directors declared and paid common stock dividends in the aggregate amount of \$179 million to PG&E Corporation for each of the quarters in 2014, 2013, and 2012. The Utility's preferred stock is cumulative and any dividends in arrears must be paid before the Utility may pay any common stock dividends.

The following table summarizes PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's dividends paid:

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
PG&E Corporation:			
Common stock dividends paid	\$802	\$782	\$746
Common stock dividends reinvested in Dividend Reinvestment			

and Stock Purchase Plan	21	22	22
Utility:			
Common stock dividends paid	\$716	\$716	\$716
Preferred stock dividends paid	14	14	14

Additionally, in December 2014, the following dividends were declared:

- the Board of Directors of PG&E Corporation declared quarterly common stock dividends of \$0.455 per share, totaling \$217 million, of which approximately \$211 million was paid in January 2015 to shareholders of record on December 31, 2014;
- the Board of Directors of the Utility declared dividends on its outstanding series of preferred stock, payable in February 2015, to shareholders of record on January 30, 2015.

Subject to the outcome of the matters described in “Enforcement and Litigation Matters” below, PG&E Corporation expects that its Board will continue to maintain the current quarterly common stock dividend. (See Item 1A. Risk Factors.)

## PG&amp;E Corporation

PG&E Corporation affiliates previously entered into four tax equity agreements to fund residential and commercial retail solar energy installations with four separate privately held funds that were considered VIEs. On July 2, 2014, PG&E Corporation disposed of its interest in the tax equity agreements for \$87 million and has no remaining commitment to fund these agreements. Sales proceeds, lease payments, investment contributions, benefits, and customer payments received were included in cash flows from operating and investing activities within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows to coincide with the applicable lease structure.

## Utility

## Operating Activities

The Utility's cash flows from operating activities primarily consist of receipts from customers less payments of operating expenses, other than expenses such as depreciation that do not require the use of cash.

The Utility's cash flows from operating activities for 2014, 2013, and 2012 were as follows:

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Net income	\$1,433	\$866	\$811
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	2,432	2,077	2,272
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(100 )	(101 )	(107 )
Deferred income taxes and tax credits, net	731	1,103	684
PSEP disallowed capital expenditures	116	196	353
Other	226	299	236
Effect of changes in operating assets and liabilities:	(1,219 )	(1,024 )	679
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$3,619	\$3,416	\$4,928

During 2014, net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$203 million as compared to 2013. This increase was primarily due to \$500 million in net tax refunds received during 2014 as compared to \$62 million in net tax payments made during 2013, \$160 million in additional GHG auction proceeds during 2014 as compared to 2013, and \$137 million in additional collateral returned to the Utility in 2014 as compared to 2013. The increase was offset by higher purchased power costs of \$599 million (see "Cost of Electricity" within "Results of Operations – Utility Revenues and Costs that did not Impact Earnings" above) and various fluctuations in other cash flows.

During 2013, net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$1.5 billion as compared to 2012 when the Utility collected \$460 million from customers related to the energy recovery bonds which matured at the end of 2012. In addition, in 2013, the amount of cash collateral returned to the Utility by third parties was \$243 million lower than in 2012, the payments the Utility received under the DOE settlement for reimbursement of the Utility's spent nuclear fuel disposal costs was \$221 million lower, net of legal fees, than the Utility received in 2012, and the Utility's tax payments were \$236 million higher than in 2012. The remaining changes in cash flows from operating activities consisted of fluctuations in activities within the normal course of business such as the timing and amount of customer billings and collections.

Future cash flow from operating activities will be affected by various factors, including:

- the timing and outcome of ratemaking proceedings, including the 2015 GT&S rate case;
- the timing and amount of fines or penalties that will be imposed in connection with the pending investigations and other enforcement matters, as well as any costs associated with remedial actions the Utility may be required to implement (see "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" below);
- the timing and amount of pipeline-related costs the Utility incurs, but does not recover, associated with its natural gas system (see "Operating and Maintenance" within "Results of Operations – Utility Revenues and Costs that Impacted Earnings" above);
- the volatility in energy commodity costs and seasonal load;
- the timing and amount of tax payments, tax refunds, net collateral payments, and interest payments; and
- the timing of the resolution of the Chapter 11 disputed claims and the related refunds passed through to customers (see Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8).

#### Investing Activities

The Utility's investing activities primarily consist of construction of new and replacement facilities necessary to deliver safe and reliable electricity and natural gas services to its customers. The amount and timing of the Utility's capital expenditures is affected by many factors such as the approvals of the CPUC, FERC, and other regulatory agencies, the volume of new customer connections, and the occurrence of storms that cause outages or damages to the Utility's infrastructure. The funds in the nuclear decommissioning trusts, along with accumulated earnings, are used exclusively for decommissioning and dismantling the Utility's nuclear generation facilities.

The Utility's cash flows from investing activities for 2014, 2013, and 2012 were as follows:

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Capital expenditures	\$(4,833 )	\$(5,207 )	\$(4,624 )
Decrease in restricted cash	3	29	50
Proceeds from sales and maturities of nuclear decommissioning trust investments	1,336	1,619	1,133
Purchases of nuclear decommissioning trust investments	(1,334 )	(1,604 )	(1,189 )
Other	29	21	16
Net cash used in investing activities	\$(4,799 )	\$(5,142 )	\$(4,614 )





Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$343 million in 2014 compared to 2013 due to a decrease of \$374 million in capital expenditures. This decrease was primarily due to lower PSEP-related capital expenditures and the absence of additional investment in the Utility's photovoltaic program.

Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$528 million in 2013 compared to 2012 due to an increase of \$583 million in capital expenditures, partially offset by net proceeds associated with sales of nuclear decommissioning trust investments in 2013 as compared to net purchases of nuclear decommissioning trust investments in 2012.

Future cash flows used in investing activities primarily depend on the timing and amount of capital expenditures. The Utility forecasts that it will incur \$5.5 billion in capital expenditures in 2015 and between \$5.3 billion and \$5.8 billion in 2016. Most of the Utility's revenue requirements to recover forecasted capital expenditures are authorized in the GRC, TO, and GT&S rate cases.

### Financing Activities

The Utility's financing activities are impacted by the conditions in the capital markets and the maturity date of existing debt instruments. The Utility forecasts that its financing needs will increase as it incurs non-recoverable pipeline-related costs and fines associated with the pending investigations and other enforcement matters.

The Utility's cash flows from financing activities for 2014, 2013, and 2012 were as follows:

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Net issuances (repayments) of commercial paper, net of discount	\$(583 )	\$542	\$(1,021 )
Proceeds from issuance of short-term debt, net of issuance costs	300	-	-
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net of premium, discount, and issuance costs	1,961	1,532	1,137
Short-term debt matured	-	-	(250 )
Repayments of long-term debt	(539 )	(861 )	(50 )
Energy recovery bonds matured	-	-	(423 )
Preferred stock dividends paid	(14 )	(14 )	(14 )
Common stock dividends paid	(716 )	(716 )	(716 )
Equity contribution from PG&E Corporation	705	1,140	885
Other	56	(26 )	28
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$1,170	\$1,597	\$(424 )

In 2014, net cash provided by financing activities decreased by \$427 million compared to the same period in 2013. In 2013, net cash provided by financing activities increased by \$2.0 billion compared to 2012. Cash provided by or used in financing activities is driven by the Utility's financing needs, which depends on the level of cash provided by or used in operating activities and the level of cash provided by or used in investing activities.

## CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS

The following table provides information about PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's contractual commitments at December 31, 2014:

(in millions)	Payment due by period				Total
	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years	
Utility					
Long-term debt (1):	\$714	\$2,304	\$2,870	\$21,779	\$27,667
Purchase obligations (2):					
Power purchase agreements:	3,566	6,839	6,239	33,896	50,540
Natural gas supply, transportation, and storage	544	271	214	648	1,677
Nuclear fuel agreements	138	260	224	429	1,051
Pension and other benefits (3)	388	776	776	388	2,328
Operating leases (2)	44	76	57	183	360
Preferred dividends (4)	14	28	28	-	70
PG&E Corporation					
Long-term debt (1):	8	16	359	-	383
Total Contractual Commitments	\$5,416	\$10,570	\$10,767	\$57,323	\$84,076

(1) Includes interest payments over the terms of the debt. Interest is calculated using the applicable interest rate at December 31, 2014 and outstanding principal for each instrument with the terms ending at each instrument's maturity. (See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

(2) See Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.

(3) See Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. Payments into the pension and other benefits plans are based on annual contribution requirements. As these annual requirements continue indefinitely into the future, the amount shown in the column entitled "more than 5 years" represents only 1 year of contributions for the Utility's pension and other benefit plans.

(4) Based on historical performance, it is assumed for purposes of the table above that dividends are payable within a fixed period of five years.

The contractual commitments table above excludes potential payments associated with unrecognized tax positions. Due to the uncertainty surrounding tax audits, PG&E Corporation and the Utility cannot make reliable estimates of the amounts and periods of future payments to major tax jurisdictions related to unrecognized tax benefits. Matters relating to tax years that remain subject to examination are discussed in Note 8 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

PG&E Corporation and the Utility do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have had, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future material effect on their financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources, other than those discussed in Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (the Utility's commodity purchase agreements) in Item 8.

## ENFORCEMENT AND LITIGATION MATTERS

Since the San Bruno accident occurred on September 9, 2010, PG&E Corporation and the Utility have incurred total cumulative charges of approximately \$2.8 billion related to natural gas matters that are not recoverable through rates. See “Results of Operations” above.

## Pending CPUC Investigations

On September 2, 2014, the assigned CPUC ALJs issued their presiding officer decisions in the three investigative enforcement proceedings pending against the Utility related to the Utility’s natural gas transmission operations and practices and the San Bruno accident. The ALJs determined that the Utility committed approximately 3,700 violations of law, rules and regulations. The ALJs jointly issued a decision calling for total fines and disallowances of \$1.4 billion on the Utility to address all violations, allocated as shown in the table below. The ALJs’ decisions are not the final decisions of the CPUC. Three CPUC Commissioners have requested that the CPUC review the decisions. It is possible that one or more Commissioners will issue an alternate penalty decision for consideration by the CPUC. In addition, the Utility and other parties, including the SED, TURN, the ORA, the City and County of San Francisco, and the City of San Bruno have appealed the presiding officer decisions. (For additional information, see Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.) It is uncertain when the final outcome of the investigations will be determined.

While the various appeals and requests for review of the presiding officer decisions are unresolved there continues to be significant uncertainty about the ultimate forms and amounts of penalties (including fines) that will be imposed on the Utility. At December 31, 2014, the Consolidated Balance Sheets included an accrual of \$200 million in other current liabilities for the minimum amount of fines deemed probable. The impact on PG&E Corporation’s and the Utility’s Consolidated Financial Statements will depend on the amounts and forms of penalties that are ultimately adopted by the CPUC. Fines payable to the State General Fund or refunds of revenues would be charged to net income when it is probable that such fines or refunds will be imposed and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. A disallowance of previously authorized and incurred capital costs would be charged to net income when the disallowance is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Penalties in the form of future disallowed costs would be charged to net income in the period during which the actual costs are incurred. Although PG&E Corporation and the Utility believe it is probable that the CPUC will impose total penalties materially in excess of the \$200 million previously accrued, they are unable to make a better estimate due to the variety of potential combinations of amounts and forms of penalties that could ultimately be imposed on the Utility and uncertainty about the timing of recognition. PG&E Corporation and the Utility believe the final outcome of the investigations will have a material impact on their financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

If the presiding officer joint decision becomes final, the Utility estimates that its total pre-tax unrecovered costs and fines related to natural gas transmission operations would be about \$4.85 billion based on current forecasts, allocated as follows:

Description of Component:	Amounts (in millions)
Fine payable to the State General Fund	\$950
Refund of revenues previously authorized	400
Additional estimated unrecoverable costs (1)	50
Total penalty	1,400
PSEP costs previously disallowed	635
Total penalty and PSEP cost disallowance	2,035
Gas pipeline safety costs incurred or committed (2)	2,800

Less: Credit for PSEP costs previously disallowed	(635 )
Total estimated shareholder impact before non-deductibility of fines	4,200
Estimated impact of non-deductibility of fines for tax purposes (3)	650
Total estimated shareholder impact (pre-tax)	\$4,850

(1) The presiding officer joint decision estimates that the Utility would incur at least \$50 million to implement remedial measures. Actual costs could differ materially based on the scope and timing of work. In addition, the decision requires shareholders to reimburse intervenors for legal and litigation expenses.

(2) Actual and forecast costs for gas pipeline safety work in 2010 and beyond that will not be recovered through rates, including previously disallowed PSEP capital and expense. This amount includes charges of \$665 million, including an additional charge of \$116 million recorded during the three months ended December 31, 2014, for PSEP capital costs that are forecasted to exceed the authorized amounts.

(3) Estimated impact calculated based on the Utility's statutory tax rate.

## Federal Criminal Indictment

On July 29, 2014, a federal grand jury in the Northern District of California returned a 28-count superseding criminal indictment against the Utility in federal district court replacing the indictment that had been returned on April 1, 2014. The superseding indictment charges 27 felony counts (increased from 12 counts charged in the original indictment) alleging that the Utility knowingly and willfully violated minimum safety standards under the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act relating to record keeping, pipeline integrity management, and identification of pipeline threats. The superseding indictment also includes one felony count charging that the Utility illegally obstructed the NTSB's investigation into the cause of the San Bruno accident. The maximum statutory fine for each felony count is \$500,000, for total fines of \$14 million. The superseding indictment also alleges an alternative fine under the Alternative Fines Act which states, in part: "If any person derives pecuniary gain from the offense, or if the offense results in pecuniary loss to a person other than the defendant, the defendant may be fined not more than the greater of twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss." Based on the superseding indictment's allegations that the Utility derived gross gains of approximately \$281 million and that the victims suffered losses of approximately \$565 million, the maximum alternate fine would be approximately \$1.13 billion.

The Utility entered a plea of not guilty. The Utility believes that criminal charges and the alternate fine allegations are not merited and that it did not knowingly and willfully violate minimum safety standards under the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act or obstruct the NTSB's investigation, as alleged in the superseding indictment. A status conference is scheduled to be held in court on March 9, 2015. PG&E Corporation and the Utility have not accrued any charges for criminal fines in their consolidated financial statements as such amounts are not considered to be probable.

## Other Enforcement Matters

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows also may be affected by the outcome of the following matters.

### Improper CPUC Communications

On September 15, 2014, the Utility notified the CPUC and the ALJ overseeing the 2015 GT&S rate case that it believes certain communications between the Utility and CPUC personnel relating to the 2015 GT&S rate case violated the CPUC's rules regarding ex parte communications. Ex parte communications include any communication between a decision maker and an interested person concerning substantive issues in certain identified categories of formal proceedings before the CPUC. (The Utility discovered the communications as part of an internal review of communications between the Utility and the CPUC undertaken after the City of San Bruno filed a motion at the CPUC in late July 2014 alleging that various email communications between the Utility's employees and CPUC personnel violated the ex parte communication rules with respect to the pending CPUC investigative enforcement proceedings against the Utility. The Utility believes that the communications cited by San Bruno in its July 2014 motion are not prohibited ex parte communications. The CPUC has not yet addressed San Bruno's motion and its request that the CPUC penalize the Utility.)

On November 20, 2014, the CPUC issued a decision imposing a fine of \$1.05 million on the Utility and disallowing up to the entire amount of the revenue increase that would have been collected from ratepayers over the five-month period between March 2015 and August 2015. The exact amount of the revenue disallowance will be determined in the CPUC's final decision in the GT&S rate case expected to be issued in August 2015. In addition, the decision prohibits the Utility from engaging in any oral or written ex parte communications, as well as procedural communications, with Commissioners or their advisors in any rate-setting or adjudicatory proceeding and requires the Utility to report communications with senior CPUC staff, in any rate-setting proceeding or adjudicatory proceeding before the CPUC, for one year from the effective date of the decision. With respect to the GT&S rate case, the ban

will be in effect until the resolution of the GT&S rate case or one year from the effective date of the decision, whichever is later. The Utility and other parties have requested that the CPUC reconsider its decision. The ORA, TURN, and the City of San Bruno argue that the applicable law supports the imposition of a fine ranging from \$2.5 million to \$250 million. It is uncertain when the CPUC will address these applications for rehearing. (See “Ratemaking and Other Regulatory Proceedings” below.)

In October and December 2014, the Utility notified the CPUC of additional email communications between the Utility and CPUC personnel regarding various matters (not limited to the GT&S rate case), that the Utility believes may constitute or describe ex parte communications. As of January 30, 2015, the Utility had provided copies of approximately 65,000 email communications between the CPUC and the Utility to the CPUC and the City of San Bruno. It is uncertain whether any of these email communications will be challenged as prohibited ex parte communications or as improper or illegal.

The Utility believes it is probable that CPUC enforcement actions will be taken in connection with these additional ex parte communications but is unable to reasonably estimate the amount or range of future charges that could be incurred given the CPUC's wide discretion and the number of factors that can be considered in determining the final penalties. It is also possible that other parties may request that the CPUC rescind decisions or take other action in open or closed proceedings to address ex parte communications that they may allege occurred regarding substantive issues in those proceedings. For example, TURN and the ORA have filed petitions to request that the CPUC rescind a \$29 million shareholder incentive awarded to the Utility in 2010 for the successful implementation of the Utility's 2006-2008 energy efficiency programs based on their allegation that prohibited ex parte communications tainted the decision. It is uncertain whether the CPUC will grant these petitions or whether parties will request the CPUC to take action in other proceedings. It is also uncertain whether the ex parte communication issues will affect the outcome of other pending legal matters, ratemaking or regulatory proceedings, investigations and enforcement matters.

Finally, the U.S. Attorney's Office in San Francisco and the California Attorney General's office have begun investigations in connection with these communications. The Utility is cooperating with the federal and state investigators. It is uncertain whether any charges will be brought against the Utility.

#### Gas Safety Citation Program

The SED, the division of the CPUC primarily responsible for overseeing the safety of electric and natural gas utility operations in California, conducts periodic audits of the Utility's operating practices and investigates potential violations. In December 2011, the CPUC adopted a gas safety citation program and delegated authority to the SED to issue citations and impose fines on California gas corporations, such as the Utility, for violations of certain state and federal regulations that relate to the safety of natural gas facilities and operating practices. (As required by state law, a similar program for electric safety became effective on an interim basis on January 1, 2015. For more information, see "Regulatory Environment" in Item 1.) The California gas corporations are required to inform the SED of self-identified or self-corrected violations. The SED can consider various factors in determining whether to impose fines and the amount of fines, including the severity of the safety risk associated with each violation, the number and duration of the violations, whether the violation was self-reported, and whether corrective actions were taken.

Since the gas safety program became effective, the Utility has filed approximately 84 self-reports and the SED has imposed fines ranging from \$50,000 to \$16.8 million (including the \$10.85 million fine related to an explosion in Carmel, California that is discussed below) for violations identified through self-reports, SED investigations and audits. The SED recently has stated that it will not conduct further investigations into 65 self-reports the Utility had filed through December 31, 2014. The Utility believes it is probable that the SED will impose fines or take other enforcement action with respect to some of the Utility's remaining self-reports or other self-reports that the Utility has filed since January 1, 2015. The Utility believes it is reasonably possible that the SED will impose fines on the Utility based on allegations of noncompliance that are contained in some of the SED's audits. The Utility is unable to reasonably estimate the amount or range of future charges that could be incurred for fines imposed by the SED given the wide discretion the SED has in determining whether to bring enforcement action and the number of factors that can be considered in determining the amount of fines.





## Carmel Incident

On March 3, 2014, a vacant house in Carmel, California was severely damaged due to a natural gas explosion while the Utility's employees were performing work to upgrade the main natural gas distribution pipeline in the area. There were no injuries or fatalities. The SED conducted an investigation of the incident and alleged that the Utility committed two violations of certain natural gas safety regulations by failing to follow procedures to update records, to provide its welding crew with accurate information, and to take steps to make safe any actual or potential hazard to life or property. On November 20, 2014, the SED issued a citation to the Utility that included a fine of \$10.85 million for these alleged violations. The Utility recorded this amount as an expense for 2014. The Utility has appealed the citation to the CPUC. The SED has requested that the CPUC dismiss the Utility's appeal as untimely. The CPUC has not yet addressed the SED's request. In addition, the Utility was informed that the U.S. Attorney's Office was investigating the Carmel incident. It is uncertain whether any charges will be brought against the Utility.

## CPUC Investigation Regarding Natural Gas Distribution Facilities Record-Keeping

On November 20, 2014, the CPUC issued an order instituting a new investigation into whether the Utility violated applicable laws pertaining to record-keeping practices for its natural gas distribution service and facilities. The order also requires the Utility to show cause why (1) the CPUC should not find that the Utility violated provisions of the California Public Utilities Code, CPUC general orders or decisions, other rules, or requirements, and/or engaged in unreasonable and/or imprudent practices related to these matters, and (2) the CPUC should not impose penalties, and/or any other forms of relief, if any violations are found.

In particular, the order cites the SED's investigative reports alleging that the Utility violated rules regarding safety record-keeping in connection with six natural gas distribution incidents, including the natural gas explosion that occurred in Carmel, California on March 3, 2014. (See "Carmel Incident" above.) On December 22, 2014, as directed by the CPUC, the Utility submitted a report that explained why the Utility believes the SED's investigative findings do not constitute violations of law and also outlined the various programs, measures and actions the Utility has undertaken to continuously improve its distribution record keeping practices.

PG&E Corporation and the Utility believe it is reasonably possible that the CPUC will impose fines on the Utility or take other enforcement action in connection with this matter, but are unable to reasonably estimate the amount or range of future loss contingencies.

## Natural Gas Transmission Pipeline Rights-of-Way

In 2012, the Utility notified the CPUC and the SED that the Utility planned to complete a system-wide survey of its transmission pipelines in an effort to identify encroachments (such as building structures and vegetation overgrowth) on the Utility's pipeline rights-of-way. The Utility also submitted a proposed compliance plan that set forth the scope and timing of remedial work to remove identified encroachments over a multi-year period and to pay penalties if the proposed milestones were not met. In March 2014, the Utility informed the SED that the survey has been completed and that remediation work, including removal of the encroachments, is expected to continue for several years. The SED has not addressed the Utility's proposed compliance plan, and it is reasonably possible that the SED will impose fines on the Utility or take other enforcement action in the future based on the Utility's failure to continuously survey its system and remove encroachments.

## Other Pending Lawsuits and Claims

At December 31, 2014, there were also five purported shareholder derivative lawsuits outstanding against PG&E Corporation and the Utility seeking recovery on behalf of PG&E Corporation and the Utility for alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by officers and directors, among other claims. The plaintiffs for three of these lawsuits filed a

consolidated complaint with the San Mateo County Superior Court in November 2013, which has been amended to add a fourth shareholder plaintiff and to discuss recent events, including the federal criminal indictment discussed above. In August 2014, the judge lifted the stay on the consolidated complaint for the limited purpose of allowing briefing and hearing on demurrers (state court motions to dismiss). On September 15, 2014, PG&E Corporation, the Utility and the individual defendants asked the court to dismiss the consolidated complaint because the plaintiffs (1) failed to demand that the Boards of Directors pursue claims against the defendant directors and officers and (2) have not adequately pled why such demand should be excused. The court has since clarified that the appropriate board on whom the plaintiffs should have demanded with respect to the claims in the operative complaint is the 2013 PG&E Corporation Board of Directors (and the 2014 Board regarding the allegations first raised in plaintiffs' 2014 amended consolidated complaint). The Court has invited plaintiffs to amend their complaint to accommodate this clarification, and defendants to refile a demurrer on this amended complaint if they so choose. Accordingly, briefing and litigation on this motion is expected to continue through the first quarter of 2015. On September 22, 2014, PG&E Corporation, the Utility, and the individual defendants filed a petition with the California Court of Appeal requesting a new order continuing the stay until resolution of the federal criminal indictment discussed above. A fifth purported shareholder derivative lawsuit that was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California remains stayed.

PG&E Corporation and the Utility are uncertain when and how the above lawsuits will be resolved.

## RATEMAKING AND OTHER REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS

The Utility is subject to substantial regulation by the CPUC, the FERC, the NRC and other federal and state regulatory agencies. The resolutions of these and other proceedings may affect PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

### 2015 Gas Transmission and Storage Rate Case

#### Utility's GT&S Request

In its December 2013 GT&S rate case application, the Utility requested that the CPUC authorize a 2015 revenue requirement of \$1.29 billion to recover anticipated costs of providing natural gas transmission and storage services, an increase of \$555 million over currently authorized amounts. The Utility also requested attrition increases of \$61 million in 2016 and \$168 million in 2017 based on its forecasted capital expenditures and the associated growth in rate base, as well as increasing costs of labor, materials, and other expenses. The Utility requested that the CPUC authorize the Utility's forecast of its 2015 weighted average rate base for its gas transmission and storage business of \$3.56 billion, which includes the capital spend above authorized levels for the prior rate case period. The Utility has not requested authorization to recover approximately \$150 million of costs it forecasts it will incur over the three-year period to pressure test pipelines placed into service after 1961 that lack records and perform remedial work associated with the Utility's pipeline corrosion control program. The Utility also has not requested authorization to recover costs it forecasts it will incur during 2015 through 2017 to identify and remove encroachments from its gas transmission pipeline rights-of-way.

The Utility also has proposed changes to the revenue sharing mechanism authorized in the last GT&S rate case (covering 2011-2014) that subjected a portion of the Utility's transportation and storage revenue requirement to market risk. The Utility proposed full balancing account treatment that allows for recovery of the Utility's authorized transportation and storage revenue requirements except for the revenue requirement associated with the Utility's 25% interest in the Gill Ranch storage field.

#### Intervenors' Recommendations

The ORA has recommended a 2015 revenue requirement of \$1,044 million, an increase of \$329 million over authorized amounts, and attrition increases of \$39 million for 2016 and \$61 million for 2017. The ORA also recommended that the GT&S rate case period be expanded to four years with an attrition increase of \$35 million for 2018. The ORA proposed that the CPUC authorize 2015 capital expenditures of \$595 million, compared to the Utility's request of \$779 million. TURN has stated that it intends to make its revenue requirement recommendation in its opening brief to be filed after hearings conclude on February 27, 2015. Nevertheless, TURN has submitted testimony recommending that the Utility not recover costs associated with hydrostatic testing for pipeline segments placed in service between January 1, 1956 and June 30, 1961, as well as certain other work that TURN considers to be remedial. TURN also recommended the disallowance of about \$200 million of capital expenditures incurred over the period 2011 through 2014 and recommended that about \$500 million of these capital expenditures be subject to a reasonableness review and an independent audit. TURN states that the Utility's cost recovery should not begin until the CPUC issues a decision on the independent audit.

#### Procedural Schedule

Hearings began on February 2, 2015 and are scheduled to end on February 27, 2015. The current procedural schedule calls for a final decision to be issued in August 2015. The CPUC has stated that if a final CPUC decision is issued in the three investigative enforcement proceedings pending against the Utility within the schedule of the 2015 GT&S rate case, the schedule and scope of issues to be considered may be further amended to consider the implications of

that decision on the Utility's revenue requirements.

53

---

### Order to Show Cause

On September 15, 2014, the Utility notified the CPUC and the ALJ overseeing the 2015 GT&S rate case that it believes certain communications between the Utility and CPUC personnel relating to the 2015 GT&S rate case violated the CPUC's rules regarding ex parte communications. The CPUC issued an order to show cause why the Utility should not be penalized. On November 20, 2014, the CPUC issued a decision that prohibits the Utility from recovering up to the entire amount of the revenue increase that would have been collected from ratepayers over the five-month period between March 2015 (the date the final decision was originally scheduled to be issued) and August 2015 (the date called for under the revised procedural schedule issued after the Utility's notification of ex parte communications). The decision states that the exact amount of this revenue disallowance will be determined in the CPUC's final decision in the 2015 GT&S rate case. The CPUC also imposed a fine of \$1.05 million on the Utility for the violations. (See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" above regarding additional ex parte communications that were self-reported by the Utility.) The Utility and other parties have filed applications requesting the CPUC to rehear its decision. The ORA, TURN, and the City of San Bruno argue that the applicable law supports the imposition of a fine ranging from \$2.5 million to \$250 million. It is uncertain when the CPUC will address these applications for rehearing.

### Regulatory Accounting

The Utility's continued use of regulatory accounting under GAAP (which enables it to account for the effects of regulation, including recording regulatory assets and liabilities) for gas transmission and storage service depends on its ability to recover its cost of service. The Utility's ability to recover its costs of providing gas transmission and storage service will be affected by the outcome of the 2015 GT&S rate case and future GT&S rate cases. If the Utility were unable to continue using regulatory accounting under GAAP, there would be differences in the timing of expense or gain recognition that could materially affect PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's future financial results.

### Proposal for Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Development

On February 9, 2015, the Utility filed an application requesting the CPUC to approve the Utility's proposal to deploy, own and maintain EV charging infrastructure in its service territory, including EV retail charging stations, to promote and facilitate the deployment of EVs. Under the Utility's proposal, the Utility would develop EV charging infrastructure over an estimated five years to meet approximately 25% of projected EV charging station needs by 2020. The Utility's EV charging infrastructure is expected to be used in future programs designed to aid the integration of increased intermittent renewable energy on the state's electric power grid. The Utility estimates that it would incur capital costs of \$551 million and operating costs of \$103 million over the proposed project timeline. The Utility has requested that the CPUC authorize the Utility to collect an average annual revenue requirement over the project development years of \$81 million to recover these costs. The Utility has requested that the CPUC issue a decision before the end of 2015.

### FERC Transmission Owner Rate Case

The Utility has one TO rate case pending at the FERC. The Utility has requested a 2015 retail electric transmission revenue requirement of \$1,366 million, a \$326 million increase to the currently authorized revenue requirement of \$1,039.6 million (The FERC approved the current revenue requirement on November 7, 2014). The proposed rates will be effective March 1, 2015, subject to refund, pending a final decision by the FERC. The Utility's 2015 cost forecasts reflect the continuing need to replace and modernize aging electric transmission infrastructure, to meet the need for increased capacity in the CAISO controlled grid, and to comply with new rules aimed at ensuring the physical and cyber security of the nation's electric system. The Utility forecasts that it will make investments of \$975 million in 2014 and \$1,125 million in 2015 in various capital projects. The Utility's proposed rate base for 2015 is \$5.12 billion, compared to \$4.57 billion in 2014. The Utility has requested that the FERC approve an 11.26% ROE.

The procedural schedule is currently being held in abeyance while settlement discussions are held.

## ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

The Utility's operations are subject to extensive federal, state, and local laws and permits relating to the protection of the environment and the safety and health of the Utility's personnel and the public. These laws and requirements relate to a broad range of the Utility's activities, including the remediation of hazardous wastes; the reporting and reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> and other GHG emissions; the discharge of pollutants into the air, water, and soil; and the transportation, handling, storage, and disposal of spent nuclear fuel. (See Item 1A. Risk Factors and "Environmental Regulation" in Item 1.)

### Natural Gas Compressor Station Sites

The Utility is legally responsible for remediating groundwater contamination caused by hexavalent chromium used in the past at the Utility's natural gas compressor stations. The Utility is also required to take measures to abate the effects of the contamination on the environment. At December 31, 2014, \$158 million and \$291 million was accrued in the Consolidated Balances Sheets for estimated undiscounted remediation costs associated with the Hinkley site and the Topock site, respectively. Costs associated with the Hinkley site are not recovered through rates. (See Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

## RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

The Utility and PG&E Corporation, mainly through its ownership of the Utility, are exposed to market risk, which is the risk that changes in market conditions will adversely affect net income or cash flows. PG&E Corporation and the Utility face market risk associated with their operations; their financing arrangements; the marketplace for electricity, natural gas, electric transmission, natural gas transportation, and storage; emissions allowances, other goods and services; and other aspects of their businesses. PG&E Corporation and the Utility categorize market risks as "price risk" (see "Ratemaking Mechanisms" in Item 1) and "interest rate risk." The Utility is also exposed to "credit risk," the risk that counterparties fail to perform their contractual obligations.

The Utility actively manages market risk through risk management programs designed to support business objectives, discourage unauthorized risk-taking, reduce commodity cost volatility, and manage cash flows. The Utility uses derivative instruments only for non-trading purposes (i.e., risk mitigation) and not for speculative purposes. The Utility's risk management activities include the use of energy and financial instruments such as forward contracts, futures, swaps, options, and other instruments and agreements, most of which are accounted for as derivative instruments. Some contracts are accounted for as leases.

### Commodity Price Risk

The Utility is exposed to commodity price risk as a result of its electricity and natural gas procurement activities, including the procurement of natural gas and nuclear fuel necessary for electricity generation and natural gas procurement for core customers. As long as the Utility can conclude that it is probable that its reasonably incurred wholesale electricity procurement costs and natural gas costs are recoverable, fluctuations in electricity and natural gas prices will not affect earnings. Such fluctuations, however, may impact cash flows. The Utility's natural gas transportation and storage costs for core customers are also fully recoverable through a ratemaking mechanism.

The Utility's authorized revenue requirement for natural gas transportation and storage service to non-core customers is not balancing account protected. The Utility recovers these costs through fixed reservation charges and volumetric charges from long-term contracts, resulting in price and volumetric risk.

The Utility uses value-at-risk to measure its shareholders' exposure to these risks. The Utility's value-at-risk was approximately \$1 million and \$14 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. During the 12 months ended

December 31, 2014, the Utility's approximate high, low, and average values-at-risk were \$9 million, \$1 million and \$5 million, respectively. During 2013, the value-at-risk amounts were \$14 million, \$9 million and \$12 million, respectively. (See Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 for further discussion of price risk management activities.)



## Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk sensitivity analysis is used to measure interest rate risk by computing estimated changes in cash flows as a result of assumed changes in market interest rates. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, if interest rates changed by 1% for all PG&E Corporation and Utility variable rate long-term debt, short-term debt, and cash investments, the impact on net income over the next 12 months would be \$9 million and \$11 million, respectively, based on net variable rate debt and other interest rate-sensitive instruments outstanding.

## Energy Procurement Credit Risk

The Utility conducts business with counterparties mainly in the energy industry, including the CAISO market, other California investor-owned electric utilities, municipal utilities, energy trading companies, financial institutions, electricity generation companies, and oil and natural gas production companies located in the United States and Canada. If a counterparty fails to perform on its contractual obligation to deliver electricity or gas, then the Utility may find it necessary to procure electricity or gas at current market prices, which may be higher than the contract prices.

The Utility manages credit risk associated with its counterparties by assigning credit limits based on evaluations of their financial conditions, net worth, credit ratings, and other credit criteria as deemed appropriate. Credit limits and credit quality are monitored periodically. The Utility ties many energy contracts to master commodity enabling agreements that may require security (referred to as "Credit Collateral" in the table below). Credit collateral may be in the form of cash or letters of credit. The Utility may accept other forms of performance assurance in the form of corporate guarantees of acceptable credit quality or other eligible securities (as deemed appropriate by the Utility). Credit collateral or performance assurance may be required from counterparties when current net receivables and replacement cost exposure exceed contractually specified limits.

The following table summarizes the Utility's credit risk exposure to its counterparties as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	Gross Credit Exposure Before Credit Collateral		Net Credit Exposure (2)	Number of Wholesale Customers or Counterparties >10%	Net Credit Exposure to Wholesale Customers or Counterparties >10%
	(1)	Collateral			
(in millions)					
December 31, 2014	\$ 88	\$ (18 )	\$ 70	3	29
December 31, 2013	87	\$ (9 )	\$ 78	2	34

(1) Gross credit exposure equals mark-to-market value on physically and financially settled contracts, and net receivables (payables) where netting is contractually allowed. Gross and net credit exposure amounts reported above do not include adjustments for time value or liquidity.

(2) Net credit exposure is the Gross Credit Exposure Before Credit Collateral minus Credit Collateral (cash deposits and letters of credit posted by counterparties and held by the Utility). For purposes of this table, parental guarantees are not included as part of the calculation.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP involves the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the recorded amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The accounting policies described below are considered to be critical accounting policies due, in part, to their complexity and because their application is relevant and material to the financial position and results of operations of PG&E Corporation and the Utility, and because these policies require the use of material judgments and estimates. Actual results may differ substantially from these estimates. These policies and their key characteristics are outlined below.

### Regulatory Accounting

The Utility's rates are primarily set by the CPUC and the FERC and are designed to recover the cost of providing service. The Utility capitalizes and records, as regulatory assets, costs that would otherwise be charged to expense if it is probable that the incurred costs will be recovered in future rates. Regulatory assets are amortized over the future periods that the costs are expected to be recovered. If costs expected to be incurred in the future are currently being recovered through rates, the Utility records those expected future costs as regulatory liabilities. In addition, the Utility records regulatory liabilities when the CPUC or the FERC requires a refund to be made to customers or has required that a gain or other reduction of net allowable costs be given to customers over future periods. At December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation and the Utility reported regulatory assets (including current regulatory balancing accounts receivable) of \$9.0 billion and regulatory liabilities (including current balancing accounts payable) of \$7.6 billion. (See Notes 2 and 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

Determining probability requires significant judgment by management and includes, but is not limited to, consideration of testimony presented in regulatory hearings, proposed regulatory decisions, final regulatory orders, and the strength or status of applications for rehearing or state court appeals. For some of the Utility's regulatory assets, including utility retained generation, the Utility has determined that the costs are recoverable based on specific approval from the CPUC. The Utility also records a regulatory asset when a mechanism is in place to recover current expenditures and historical experience indicates that recovery of incurred costs is probable, such as the regulatory assets for pension benefits; deferred income tax; price risk management; and unamortized loss, net of gain, on reacquired debt. The CPUC has not denied the recovery of any material costs previously recognized by the Utility as regulatory assets for the periods 2012 through 2014. If the Utility determined that it is no longer probable that regulatory assets would be recovered or reflected in future rates, or if the Utility ceased to be subject to rate regulation, the regulatory assets would be charged against income in the period in which that determination was made.

In addition, regulatory accounting standards require recognition of a loss if it becomes probable that capital expenditures will be disallowed for ratemaking purposes and if a reasonable estimate of the amount of the disallowance can be made. Such assessments require significant judgment by management regarding probability of recovery, as described above, and the ultimate cost of construction of capital assets. The Utility records a loss to the extent capital costs are expected to exceed the amount to be recovered. The Utility records a provision based on its best estimate; to the extent there is a high degree of uncertainty in the Utility's forecast, it will record a provision based on the lower end of the range of possible losses. The Utility's capital forecasts involve a series of complex judgments regarding detailed project plans, estimates included in third-party contracts, historical cost experience for similar projects, permitting requirements, environmental compliance standards, and a variety of other factors. The Utility recorded charges of \$116 million, \$196 million, and \$353 million in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively, for PSEP capital costs that are expected to exceed the amount to be recovered. See "Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan" in Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. The additional charge in 2014 primarily reflects costs for Line 109 (that runs through the San Francisco peninsula) mostly related to emergent permitting conditions and requirements, as well as updated estimates for the few remaining PSEP projects. Management will continue to periodically assess its PSEP capital costs and the related CPUC regulatory proceedings, and further charges could be

required in future periods.

57

---

## Loss Contingencies

### Environmental Remediation Liabilities

The Utility is subject to loss contingencies pursuant to federal and California environmental laws and regulations that in the future may require the Utility to pay for environmental remediation at sites where it has been, or may be, a potentially responsible party. Such contingencies may exist for the remediation of hazardous substances at various potential sites, including former manufactured gas plant sites, power plant sites, gas compressor stations, and sites used by the Utility for the storage, recycling, or disposal of potentially hazardous materials, even if the Utility did not deposit those substances on the site.

The Utility generally commences the environmental remediation assessment process upon notification from federal or state agencies, or other parties, of a potential site requiring remedial action. (In some instances, the Utility may initiate action to determine its remediation liability for sites that it no longer owns in cooperation with regulatory agencies. For example, the Utility has begun a program related to certain former manufactured gas plant sites.) Based on such notification, the Utility completes an assessment of the potential site and evaluates whether it is probable that a remediation liability has been incurred. The Utility records an environmental remediation liability when site assessments indicate remediation is probable and it can reasonably estimate the loss or a range of possible losses. Given the complexities of the legal and regulatory environment and the inherent uncertainties involved in the early stages of a remediation project, the process for estimating remediation liabilities is subjective and requires significant judgment. Key factors evaluated in developing cost estimates include the extent and types of hazardous substances at a potential site, the range of technologies that can be used for remediation, the determination of the Utility's liability in proportion to other responsible parties, and the extent to which such costs are recoverable from third parties.

When possible, the Utility estimates costs using site-specific information, but also considers historical experience for costs incurred at similar sites depending on the level of information available. Estimated costs are composed of the direct costs of the remediation effort and the costs of compensation for employees who are expected to devote a significant amount of time directly to the remediation effort. These estimated costs include remedial site investigations, remediation actions, operations and maintenance activities, post remediation monitoring, and the costs of technologies that are expected to be approved to remediate the site. Remediation efforts for a particular site generally extend over a period of several years. During this period, the laws governing the remediation process may change, as well as site conditions, thereby possibly affecting the cost of the remediation effort.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Utility's accruals for undiscounted gross environmental liabilities were \$954 million and \$900 million, respectively. The Utility's undiscounted future costs could increase to as much as \$1.8 billion if the extent of contamination or necessary remediation is greater than anticipated or if the other potentially responsible parties are not financially able to contribute to these costs, and could increase further if the Utility chooses to remediate beyond regulatory requirements. Although the Utility has provided for known environmental obligations that are probable and reasonably estimable, estimated costs may vary significantly from actual costs, and the amount of additional future costs may be material to results of operations in the period in which they are recognized.

### Legal and Regulatory Matters

PG&E Corporation and the Utility are subject to various laws and regulations and, in the normal course of business, PG&E Corporation and the Utility are subject to claims or named as parties in lawsuits. In addition, the Utility can incur penalties for failure to comply with federal, state, or local laws and regulations. PG&E Corporation and the Utility record a provision for a loss when it is both probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. PG&E Corporation and the Utility evaluate the range of reasonably estimated losses and record a provision based on the lower end of the range, unless an amount within the range is a better estimate than any

other amount. These accruals, and the estimates of any additional reasonably possible losses (or reasonably possible losses in excess of the amounts accrued), are reviewed quarterly and are adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, discovery, settlements and payments, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular matter. In assessing the amount of such losses, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's policy is to exclude anticipated legal costs. (See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" and "Legal and Regulatory Contingencies" in Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

## Asset Retirement Obligations

PG&E Corporation and the Utility account for an ARO at fair value in the period during which the legal obligation is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value and its settlement date can be made. At the time of recording an ARO, the associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. The Utility recognizes a regulatory asset or liability for the timing differences between the recognition of expenses and costs recovered through the ratemaking process. (See Notes 2 and 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

To estimate its liability, the Utility uses a discounted cash flow model based upon significant estimates and assumptions about future decommissioning costs, inflation rates, and the estimated date of decommissioning. The estimated future cash flows are discounted using a credit-adjusted risk-free rate that reflects the risk associated with the decommissioning obligation.

At December 31, 2014, the Utility's recorded ARO for the estimated cost of retiring these long-lived assets was \$3.6 billion. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the amount of the recorded ARO for these assets. For example, a premature shutdown of the nuclear facilities at Diablo Canyon would increase the likelihood of an earlier start to decommissioning and cause an increase in the ARO. Additionally, if the inflation adjustment increased 25 basis points, the amount of the ARO would increase by approximately 1.70%. Similarly, an increase in the discount rate by 25 basis points would decrease the amount of the ARO by 1.70%.

## Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

PG&E Corporation and the Utility sponsor a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan for eligible employees as well as contributory postretirement health care and medical plans for eligible retirees and their eligible dependents, and non-contributory postretirement life insurance plans for eligible employees and retirees. Pension and other benefit expense is based on the differences between actuarial assumptions and actual plan results and is deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and amortized into income on a gradual basis. The differences between pension benefit expense recognized in accordance with GAAP and amounts recognized for ratemaking purposes are recorded as regulatory assets or liabilities as amounts are probable of recovery from customers. To the extent the other benefits are in an overfunded position, the Utility records a regulatory liability for a portion of the credit balance in accumulated other comprehensive income. (See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

The pension and other postretirement benefit obligations are calculated using actuarial models as of the December 31 measurement date. The significant actuarial assumptions used in determining pension and other benefit obligations include the discount rate, the average rate of future compensation increases, the health care cost trend rate and the expected return on plan assets. PG&E Corporation and the Utility review these assumptions on an annual basis and adjust them as necessary. While PG&E Corporation and the Utility believe that the assumptions used are appropriate, significant differences in actual experience, plan changes or amendments, or significant changes in assumptions may materially affect the recorded pension and other postretirement benefit obligations and future plan expenses. During 2014, PG&E Corporation and the Utility adopted the Society of Actuaries 2014 Mortality Tables Report (RP-2014) and Mortality Improvement Scale (MP-2014 with modifications), which adjusted the mortality assumptions used for measuring retirement plan obligations. The updated mortality assumptions reflect increasing life expectancies in the United States, resulting in an increase to PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's pension and PBOP plans' projected benefit obligations. Future pension and postretirement expenses are also expected to increase due to the new mortality assumptions.



In establishing health care cost assumptions, PG&E Corporation and the Utility consider recent cost trends and projections from industry experts. This evaluation suggests that current rates of inflation are expected to continue in the near term. In recognition of continued high inflation in health care costs and given the design of PG&E Corporation's plans, the assumed health care cost trend rate for 2014 is 7.5%, gradually decreasing to the ultimate trend rate of 3.5% in 2024 and beyond.

Expected rates of return on plan assets were developed by estimating future stock and bond returns and then applying these returns to the target asset allocations of the employee benefit trusts, resulting in a weighted average rate of return on plan assets. Fixed-income returns were projected based on real maturity and credit spreads added to a long-term inflation rate. Equity returns were projected based on estimates of dividend yield and real earnings growth added to a long-term rate of inflation. For the Utility's defined benefit pension plan, the assumed return of 6.2% compares to a ten-year actual return of 9.3%.

The rate used to discount pension benefits and other benefits was based on a yield curve developed from market data of approximately 715 Aa-grade non-callable bonds at December 31, 2014. This yield curve has discount rates that vary based on the duration of the obligations. The estimated future cash flows for the pension and other postretirement benefit obligations were matched to the corresponding rates on the yield curve to derive a weighted average discount rate.

The following reflects the sensitivity of pension costs and projected benefit obligation to changes in certain actuarial assumptions:

(in millions)	Increase (Decrease) in Assumption	Increase in 2014 Pension Costs	Increase in Projected Benefit Obligation at December 31, 2014
Discount rate	(0.50 ) %	\$ 52	\$ 1,319
Rate of return on plan assets	(0.50 ) %	62	-
Rate of increase in compensation	0.50 %	32	316

The following reflects the sensitivity of other postretirement benefit costs and accumulated benefit obligation to changes in certain actuarial assumptions:

(in millions)	Increase (Decrease) in Assumption	Increase in 2014 Other Postretirement Benefit Costs	Increase in Accumulated Benefit Obligation at December 31, 2014
Health care cost trend rate	0.50 %	\$ 4	\$ 53
Discount rate	(0.50 ) %	3	128
Rate of return on plan assets	(0.50 ) %	9	-

#### NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.



## CAUTIONARY LANGUAGE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements that are necessarily subject to various risks and uncertainties. These statements reflect management's judgment and opinions which are based on current estimates, expectations, and projections about future events and assumptions regarding these events and management's knowledge of facts as of the date of this report. These forward-looking statements relate to, among other matters, estimated costs, including penalties and fines, associated with various investigations and proceedings; forecasts of pipeline-related expenses that the Utility will not recover through rates; forecasts of capital expenditures; estimates and assumptions used in critical accounting policies, including those relating to regulatory assets and liabilities, environmental remediation, litigation, third-party claims, and other liabilities; and the level of future equity or debt issuances. These statements are also identified by words such as "assume," "expect," "intend," "forecast," "plan," "project," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "anticipate," "should," "would," "could," "potential" and similar expressions. PG&E Corporation and the Utility are not able to predict all the factors that may affect future results. Some of the factors that could cause future results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements, or from historical results, include, but are not limited to:

- the final outcomes of the pending CPUC investigations and enforcement matters, the federal criminal prosecution of the Utility, and the other investigations that have been or may be commenced relating to the Utility's compliance with natural gas-related laws and regulations, including the ultimate amount of fines imposed, whether a monitor is appointed to oversee the Utility's natural gas operations, and the ultimate amount of costs related to the Utility's natural gas operations that is disallowed or unrecoverable;
- the timing and outcome of additional regulatory enforcement actions or criminal investigations that may be or have been commenced relating to communications between the Utility and the CPUC that may have violated the CPUC's rules regarding ex parte communications or are alleged to otherwise be improper, and whether such outcomes or investigations negatively affect the final decisions to be issued in the 2015 GT&S rate case, the pending CPUC investigations, or other ratemaking proceedings;
- whether PG&E Corporation and the Utility are able to repair the harm to their reputations caused by negative publicity about the San Bruno accident, the criminal prosecution, the citations issued by the SED against the Utility under the CPUC's gas safety citation program, the state and federal investigations, the CPUC's restrictions on the Utility's communications with the CPUC, and the Utility's ongoing work to remove encroachments from transmission pipeline rights-of-way;
- the restrictions on communications between the Utility and the CPUC that have been imposed by the CPUC that, along with continuing public criticism of the Utility and the CPUC, may make it more difficult for the Utility to sustain or repair a constructive working relationship with the CPUC and achieve balanced regulatory outcomes;
- the timing and outcome of ratemaking proceedings (such as the 2015 GT&S rate case and the TO rate case) and whether the cost and revenue forecasts assumed in such outcomes prove to be accurate;
- the amount and timing of additional common stock and debt issuances by PG&E Corporation, the proceeds of which are contributed as equity to maintain the Utility's authorized capital structure as the Utility incurs charges and costs that it cannot recover through rates, including costs and fines associated with natural gas matters and the pending investigations;
- the outcomes of future investigations or other enforcement proceedings that may be commenced relating to the Utility's compliance with laws, rules, regulations, or orders applicable to its operations, including the construction, expansion or replacement of its electric and gas facilities; inspection and maintenance practices,

customer billing and privacy, and physical and cyber security; and whether the current or potentially worsening state regulatory environment increases the likelihood of unfavorable outcomes;

- the impact of environmental laws, regulations, and orders; the ultimate amount of costs incurred to discharge the Utility's known and unknown remediation obligations; the extent to which the Utility is able to recover environmental costs in rates or from other sources; and the ultimate amount of environmental costs the Utility incurs but does not recover, such as the remediation costs associated with the Utility's natural gas compressor station site located near Hinkley, California;

- the impact of new legislation or NRC regulations, recommendations, policies, decisions, or orders relating to the nuclear industry, including operations, seismic design, security, safety, relicensing, the storage of spent nuclear fuel, decommissioning, cooling water intake, or other issues; and whether the Utility decides to request that the NRC resume processing the Utility's renewal application for the two Diablo Canyon operating licenses, and if so, whether the NRC grants the renewal;
- the impact of droughts or other weather-related conditions or events, climate change, natural disasters, acts of terrorism, war, or vandalism (including cyber-attacks), and other events, that can cause unplanned outages, reduce generating output, disrupt the Utility's service to customers, or damage or disrupt the facilities, operations, or information technology and systems owned by the Utility, its customers, or third parties on which the Utility relies; and subject the Utility to third-party liability for property damage or personal injury, or result in the imposition of civil, criminal, or regulatory penalties on the Utility;
- the impact of environmental laws and regulations aimed at the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> and GHGs, and whether the Utility is able to continue recovering associated compliance costs, such as the cost of emission allowances and offsets under cap-and-trade regulations and the cost of renewable energy procurement;
- the impact that reductions in customer demand for electricity and natural gas have on the Utility's ability to make and recover its investments through rates and earn its authorized ROE;
- the supply and price of electricity, natural gas, and nuclear fuel; the extent to which the Utility can manage and respond to the volatility of energy commodity prices; the ability of the Utility and its counterparties to post or return collateral in connection with price risk management activities; and whether the Utility is able to recover timely its electric generation and energy commodity costs through rates, especially if the integration of renewable generation resources force conventional generation resource providers to curtail production, triggering "take or pay" provisions in the Utility's power purchase agreements;
- whether the Utility's information technology, operating systems and networks, including the advanced metering system infrastructure, customer billing, financial, and other systems, can continue to function accurately while meeting regulatory requirements; whether the Utility is able to protect its operating systems and networks from damage, disruption, or failure caused by cyber-attacks, computer viruses, or other hazards; whether the Utility's security measures are sufficient to protect against unauthorized or inadvertent disclosure of information contained in such systems and networks; and whether the Utility can continue to rely on third-party vendors and contractors that maintain and support some of the Utility's operating systems;
- the extent to which costs incurred in connection with third-party claims or litigation can be recovered through insurance, rates, or from other third parties; including the timing and amount of insurance recoveries related to third party claims arising from the San Bruno accident;
- the ability of PG&E Corporation and the Utility to access capital markets and other sources of debt and equity financing in a timely manner on acceptable terms;
- changes in credit ratings which could result in increased borrowing costs especially if PG&E Corporation or the Utility were to lose its investment grade credit ratings;
- the impact of federal or state laws or regulations, or their interpretation, on energy policy and the regulation of utilities and their holding companies, including how the CPUC interprets and enforces the financial and other conditions imposed on PG&E Corporation when it became the Utility's holding company, and whether the ultimate outcomes of the CPUC's pending investigations, the criminal prosecution, and other enforcement matters affect the Utility's ability to make distributions to PG&E Corporation, and, in turn, PG&E Corporation's

ability to pay dividends;

- the outcome of federal or state tax audits and the impact of any changes in federal or state tax laws, policies, regulations, or their interpretation; and
- the impact of changes in GAAP, standards, rules, or policies, including those related to regulatory accounting, and the impact of changes in their interpretation or application.

For more information about the significant risks that could affect the outcome of these forward-looking statements and PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's future financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows, see Item 1A. Risk Factors above. PG&E Corporation and the Utility do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements, whether in response to new information, future events, or otherwise.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information responding to Item 7A is set forth under the heading “Risk Management Activities,” in MD&A in Item 7 and in Note 9: Derivatives and Note 10: Fair Value Measurements of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

PG&E Corporation  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
 (in millions, except per share amounts)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Operating Revenues			
Electric	\$ 13,658	\$ 12,494	\$ 12,019
Natural gas	3,432	3,104	3,021
Total operating revenues	17,090	15,598	15,040
Operating Expenses			
Cost of electricity	5,615	5,016	4,162
Cost of natural gas	954	968	861
Operating and maintenance	5,638	5,775	6,052
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	2,433	2,077	2,272
Total operating expenses	14,640	13,836	13,347
Operating Income	2,450	1,762	1,693
Interest income	9	9	7
Interest expense	(734 )	(715 )	(703 )
Other income, net	70	40	70
Income Before Income Taxes	1,795	1,096	1,067
Income tax provision	345	268	237
Net Income	1,450	828	830
Preferred stock dividend requirement of subsidiary	14	14	14
Income Available for Common Shareholders	\$ 1,436	\$ 814	\$ 816
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding, Basic	468	444	424
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding, Diluted	470	445	425
Net Earnings Per Common Share, Basic	\$3.07	\$1.83	\$1.92
Net Earnings Per Common Share, Diluted	\$3.06	\$1.83	\$1.92

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

PG&E Corporation  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in millions)	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net Income	\$1,450	\$828	\$830
Other Comprehensive Income			
Pension and other postretirement benefit plans obligations (net of taxes of \$10, \$80, and \$72, at respective dates)	(14 )	113	108
Net change in investments (net of taxes of \$17, \$26, and \$3 at respective dates)	(25 )	38	4
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(39 )	151	112
Comprehensive Income	1,411	979	942
Preferred stock dividend requirement of subsidiary	14	14	14
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Common Shareholders	\$1,397	\$965	\$928

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

PG&E Corporation  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(in millions)

	Balance at December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 151	\$ 296
Restricted cash	298	301
Accounts receivable		
Customers (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$66 and \$80 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively)	960	1,091
Accrued unbilled revenue	776	766
Regulatory balancing accounts	2,266	1,124
Other	377	312
Regulatory assets	444	448
Inventories		
Gas stored underground and fuel oil	172	137
Materials and supplies	304	317
Income taxes receivable	198	574
Other	443	611
Total current assets	6,389	5,977
Property, Plant, and Equipment		
Electric	45,162	42,881
Gas	15,678	14,379
Construction work in progress	2,220	1,834
Other	2	2
Total property, plant, and equipment	63,062	59,096
Accumulated depreciation	(19,121 )	(17,844 )
Net property, plant, and equipment	43,941	41,252
Other Noncurrent Assets		
Regulatory assets	6,322	4,913
Nuclear decommissioning trusts	2,421	2,342
Income taxes receivable	91	85
Other	963	1,036
Total other noncurrent assets	9,797	8,376
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$60,127</b>	<b>\$55,605</b>

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

PG&E Corporation  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(in millions, except share amounts)

	Balance at December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities</b>		
Short-term borrowings	\$633	\$1,174
Long-term debt, classified as current	-	889
<b>Accounts payable</b>		
Trade creditors	1,244	1,293
Regulatory balancing accounts	1,090	1,008
Other	476	471
Disputed claims and customer refunds	434	154
Interest payable	197	892
Other	1,846	1,612
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>5,920</b>	<b>7,493</b>
<b>Noncurrent Liabilities</b>		
Long-term debt	15,050	12,717
Regulatory liabilities	6,290	5,660
Pension and other postretirement benefits	2,561	1,601
Asset retirement obligations	3,575	3,539
Deferred income taxes	8,513	7,823
Other	2,218	2,178
<b>Total noncurrent liabilities</b>	<b>38,207</b>	<b>33,518</b>
<b>Commitments and Contingencies (Note 14)</b>		
<b>Equity</b>		
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>		
Common stock, no par value, authorized 800,000,000 shares; 475,913,404 shares outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 456,670,424 shares outstanding at December 31, 2013	10,421	9,550
Reinvested earnings	5,316	4,742
Accumulated other comprehensive income	11	50
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>15,748</b>	<b>14,342</b>
Noncontrolling Interest - Preferred Stock of Subsidiary	252	252
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>16,000</b>	<b>14,594</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>	<b>\$60,127</b>	<b>\$55,605</b>

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.



PG&E Corporation  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in millions)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</b>			
Net income	\$ 1,450	\$ 828	\$ 830
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	2,433	2,077	2,272
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(100 )	(101 )	(107 )
Deferred income taxes and tax credits, net	690	1,075	648
PSEP disallowed capital expenditures	116	196	353
Other	286	355	290
Effect of changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	13	(152 )	(40 )
Inventories	(22 )	(10 )	(24 )
Accounts payable	(61 )	113	(4 )
Income taxes receivable/payable	376	(363 )	(132 )
Other current assets and liabilities	205	(469 )	262
Regulatory assets, liabilities, and balancing accounts, net	(1,642 )	(202 )	291
Other noncurrent assets and liabilities	(67 )	80	243
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,677	3,427	4,882
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</b>			
Capital expenditures	(4,833 )	(5,207 )	(4,624 )
Decrease in restricted cash	3	29	50
Proceeds from sales and maturities of nuclear decommissioning trust investments	1,336	1,619	1,133
Purchases of nuclear decommissioning trust investments	(1,334 )	(1,604 )	(1,189 )
Other	114	56	104
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,714 )	(5,107 )	(4,526 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities</b>			
Borrowings under revolving credit facilities	-	140	120
Repayments under revolving credit facilities	(260 )	-	-
Net issuances (repayments) of commercial paper, net of discount of \$2, \$2, and \$3 at respective dates	(583 )	542	(1,021 )
Proceeds from issuance of short-term debt, net of issuance costs	300	-	-
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net of premium, discount, and issuance costs of \$17, \$18 and \$13 at respective dates	2,308	1,532	1,137
Short-term debt matured	-	-	(250 )
Repayments of long-term debt	(889 )	(861 )	(50 )
Energy recovery bonds matured	-	-	(423 )
Common stock issued	802	1,045	751
Common stock dividends paid	(828 )	(782 )	(746 )
Other	42	(41 )	14
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	892	1,575	(468 )
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(145 )	(105 )	(112 )
Cash and cash equivalents at January 1	296	401	513

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents at December 31	\$ 151	\$ 296	\$ 401
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information			
Cash received (paid) for:			
Interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$(633 )	\$(623 )	\$(594 )
Income taxes, net	501	(41 )	114
Supplemental disclosures of noncash investing and financing activities			
Common stock dividends declared but not yet paid	\$ 217	\$ 208	\$ 196
Capital expenditures financed through accounts payable	339	322	362
Noncash common stock issuances	21	22	22
Terminated capital leases	71	-	136

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

PG&E Corporation  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY  
(in millions, except share amounts)

	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Reinvested Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Shareholders' Equity	Non controlling Interest - Preferred Stock of Subsidiary	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2011	412,257,082	7,602	4,712	(213 )	12,101	252	12,353
Net income	-	-	830	-	830	-	830
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	112	112	-	112
Common stock issued, net	18,461,211	773	-	-	773	-	773
Stock-based compensation amortization	-	52	-	-	52	-	52
Common stock dividends declared	-	-	(781 )	-	(781 )	-	(781 )
Tax benefit from employee stock plans	-	1	-	-	1	-	1
Preferred stock dividend requirement of subsidiary	-	-	(14 )	-	(14 )	-	(14 )
Balance at December 31, 2012	430,718,293	8,428	4,747	(101 )	13,074	252	13,326
Net income	-	-	828	-	828	-	828
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	151	151	-	151
Common stock issued, net	25,952,131	1,067	-	-	1,067	-	1,067
Stock-based compensation amortization	-	56	-	-	56	-	56
Common stock dividends declared	-	-	(819 )	-	(819 )	-	(819 )
	-	(1 )	-	-	(1 )	-	(1 )

Tax expense from employee stock plans							
Preferred stock dividend requirement of subsidiary	-	-	(14 )	-	(14 )	-	(14 )
Balance at December 31, 2013	456,670,424	\$ 9,550	\$ 4,742	\$ 50	\$ 14,342	\$ 252	\$ 14,594
Net income	-	-	1,450	-	1,450	-	1,450
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	(39 )	(39 )	-	(39 )
Common stock issued, net	19,242,980	823	-	-	823	-	823
Stock-based compensation amortization	-	65	-	-	65	-	65
Common stock dividends declared	-	-	(862 )	-	(862 )	-	(862 )
Tax expense from employee stock plans	-	(17 )	-	-	(17 )	-	(17 )
Preferred stock dividend requirement of subsidiary	-	-	(14 )	-	(14 )	-	(14 )
Balance at December 31, 2014	475,913,404	\$ 10,421	\$ 5,316	\$ 11	\$ 15,748	\$ 252	\$ 16,000

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**  
(in millions)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Operating Revenues			
Electric	\$ 13,656	\$ 12,489	\$ 12,014
Natural gas	3,432	3,104	3,021
Total operating revenues	17,088	15,593	15,035
Operating Expenses			
Cost of electricity	5,615	5,016	4,162
Cost of natural gas	954	968	861
Operating and maintenance	5,635	5,742	6,045
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	2,432	2,077	2,272
Total operating expenses	14,636	13,803	13,340
Operating Income	2,452	1,790	1,695
Interest income	8	8	6
Interest expense	(720 )	(690 )	(680 )
Other income, net	77	84	88
Income Before Income Taxes	1,817	1,192	1,109
Income tax provision	384	326	298
Net Income	1,433	866	811
Preferred stock dividend requirement	14	14	14
Income Available for Common Stock	\$ 1,419	\$ 852	\$ 797

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in millions)	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net Income	\$1,433	\$866	\$811
Other Comprehensive Income			
Pension and other postretirement benefit plans obligations (net of taxes of \$6, \$75, and \$73, at respective dates)	(8 )	106	109
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(8 )	106	109
Comprehensive Income	\$1,425	\$972	\$920

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(in millions)

	Balance at December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$55	\$65
Restricted cash	298	301
Accounts receivable		
Customers (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$66 and \$80 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively)	960	1,091
Accrued unbilled revenue	776	766
Regulatory balancing accounts	2,266	1,124
Other	375	313
Regulatory assets	444	448
Inventories		
Gas stored underground and fuel oil	172	137
Materials and supplies	304	317
Income taxes receivable	168	563
Other	409	523
Total current assets	6,227	5,648
Property, Plant, and Equipment		
Electric	45,162	42,881
Gas	15,678	14,379
Construction work in progress	2,220	1,834
Total property, plant, and equipment	63,060	59,094
Accumulated depreciation	(19,120 )	(17,843 )
Net property, plant, and equipment	43,940	41,251
Other Noncurrent Assets		
Regulatory assets	6,322	4,913
Nuclear decommissioning trusts	2,421	2,342
Income taxes receivable	91	81
Other	864	814
Total other noncurrent assets	9,698	8,150
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$59,865</b>	<b>\$55,049</b>

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(in millions, except share amounts)

	Balance at December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities</b>		
Short-term borrowings	\$633	\$914
Long-term debt, classified as current	-	539
<b>Accounts payable</b>		
Trade creditors	1,243	1,293
Regulatory balancing accounts	1,090	1,008
Other	444	432
Disputed claims and customer refunds	434	154
Interest payable	195	887
Other	1,604	1,382
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>5,643</b>	<b>6,609</b>
<b>Noncurrent Liabilities</b>		
Long-term debt	14,700	12,717
Regulatory liabilities	6,290	5,660
Pension and other postretirement benefits	2,477	1,530
Asset retirement obligations	3,575	3,539
Deferred income taxes	8,773	8,042
Other	2,178	2,111
<b>Total noncurrent liabilities</b>	<b>37,993</b>	<b>33,599</b>
<b>Commitments and Contingencies (Note 14)</b>		
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>		
Preferred stock	258	258
Common stock, \$5 par value, authorized 800,000,000 shares, 264,374,809 shares outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013	1,322	1,322
Additional paid-in capital	6,514	5,821
Reinvested earnings	8,130	7,427
Accumulated other comprehensive income	5	13
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>16,229</b>	<b>14,841</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$59,865</b>	<b>\$55,049</b>

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.



Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(in millions)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</b>			
Net income	\$ 1,433	\$ 866	\$ 811
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning	2,432	2,077	2,272
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(100 )	(101 )	(107 )
Deferred income taxes and tax credits, net	731	1,103	684
PSEP disallowed capital expenditures	116	196	353
Other	226	299	236
Effect of changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	16	(152 )	(40 )
Inventories	(22 )	(10 )	(24 )
Accounts payable	(55 )	99	(26 )
Income taxes receivable/payable	395	(377 )	(50 )
Other current assets and liabilities	155	(404 )	272
Regulatory assets, liabilities, and balancing accounts, net	(1,642 )	(202 )	291
Other noncurrent assets and liabilities	(66 )	22	256
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,619	3,416	4,928
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</b>			
Capital expenditures	(4,833 )	(5,207 )	(4,624 )
Decrease in restricted cash	3	29	50
Proceeds from sales and maturities of nuclear decommissioning trust investments	1,336	1,619	1,133
Purchases of nuclear decommissioning trust investments	(1,334 )	(1,604 )	(1,189 )
Other	29	21	16
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,799 )	(5,142 )	(4,614 )
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities</b>			
Net issuances (repayments) of commercial paper, net of discount of \$2, \$2, and \$3 at respective dates	(583 )	542	(1,021 )
Proceeds from issuance of short-term debt, net of issuance costs	300	-	-
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net of premium, discount, and issuance costs of \$14, \$18, and \$13 at respective dates	1,961	1,532	1,137
Short-term debt matured	-	-	(250 )
Long-term debt matured or repurchased	(539 )	(861 )	(50 )
Energy recovery bonds matured	-	-	(423 )
Preferred stock dividends paid	(14 )	(14 )	(14 )
Common stock dividends paid	(716 )	(716 )	(716 )
Equity contribution from PG&E Corporation	705	1,140	885
Other	56	(26 )	28
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,170	1,597	(424 )
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(10 )	(129 )	(110 )
Cash and cash equivalents at January 1	65	194	304
Cash and cash equivalents at December 31	\$ 55	\$ 65	\$ 194

## Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information

## Cash received (paid) for:

Interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$(618 )	\$(600 )	\$(574 )
Income taxes, net	500	(62 )	174

## Supplemental disclosures of noncash investing and financing activities

Capital expenditures financed through accounts payable	\$339	\$322	\$362
Terminated capital leases	71	-	136

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
(in millions)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Reinvested Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$258	\$1,322	\$3,796	\$7,210	\$ (202 )	\$ 12,384
Net income	-	-	-	811	-	811
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	109	109
Equity contribution	-	-	885	-	-	885
Tax benefit from employee stock plans	-	-	1	-	-	1
Common stock dividend	-	-	-	(716 )	-	(716 )
Preferred stock dividend	-	-	-	(14 )	-	(14 )
Balance at December 31, 2012	258	1,322	4,682	7,291	(93 )	13,460
Net income	-	-	-	866	-	866
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	106	106
Equity contribution	-	-	1,140	-	-	1,140
Tax expense from employee stock plans	-	-	(1 )	-	-	(1 )
Common stock dividend	-	-	-	(716 )	-	(716 )
Preferred stock dividend	-	-	-	(14 )	-	(14 )
Balance at December 31, 2013	258	1,322	5,821	7,427	13	14,841
Net income	-	-	-	1,433	-	1,433
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	(8 )	(8 )
Equity contribution	-	-	705	-	-	705
Tax expense from employee stock plans	-	-	(12 )	-	-	(12 )
Common stock dividend	-	-	-	(716 )	-	(716 )
Preferred stock dividend	-	-	-	(14 )	-	(14 )
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$258	\$1,322	\$6,514	\$8,130	\$ 5	\$ 16,229

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### NOTE 1: ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

PG&E Corporation is a holding company whose primary operating subsidiary is Pacific Gas and Electric Company, a public utility operating in northern and central California. The Utility generates revenues mainly through the sale and delivery of electricity and natural gas to customers. The Utility is primarily regulated by the CPUC and the FERC. In addition, the NRC oversees the licensing, construction, operation, and decommissioning of the Utility's nuclear generation facilities.

This is a combined annual report of PG&E Corporation and the Utility. PG&E Corporation's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of PG&E Corporation, the Utility, and other wholly owned and controlled subsidiaries. The Utility's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Utility and its wholly owned and controlled subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements apply to both PG&E Corporation and the Utility. PG&E Corporation and the Utility operate in one segment.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-K and Regulation S-X promulgated by the SEC. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Some of the more significant estimates and assumptions relate to the Utility's regulatory assets and liabilities, legal and regulatory contingencies, environmental remediation liabilities, AROs, and pension and other postretirement benefit plans obligations. Management believes that its estimates and assumptions reflected in the consolidated financial statements are appropriate and reasonable. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

### NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Regulation and Regulated Operations

As a regulated entity, the Utility collects rates from customers to recover "revenue requirements" that have been authorized by the CPUC or the FERC based on the Utility's costs of service. The Utility's ability to recover a significant portion of its authorized revenue requirements through rates is generally independent, or "decoupled," from the volume of the Utility's electricity and natural gas sales. The Utility records assets and liabilities that result from the regulated ratemaking process that would not be recorded under GAAP for nonregulated entities. The Utility capitalizes and records, as regulatory assets, costs that would otherwise be charged to expense if it is probable that the incurred costs will be recovered in future rates. Regulatory assets are amortized over the future periods in which the costs are recovered. If costs expected to be incurred in the future are currently being recovered through rates, the Utility records those expected future costs as regulatory liabilities. Amounts that are probable of being credited or refunded to customers in the future are also recorded as regulatory liabilities.

The Utility also records a regulatory balancing account asset or liability for differences between customer billings and authorized revenue requirements that are probable of recovery or refund. In addition, the Utility records a regulatory balancing account asset or liability for differences between incurred costs and customer billings or authorized revenue meant to recover those costs, to the extent that these differences are probable of recovery or refund. These differences have no impact on net income. See "Revenue Recognition" below.

Management continues to believe the use of regulatory accounting is applicable and that all regulatory assets and liabilities are recoverable or refundable. To the extent that portions of the Utility's operations cease to be subject to cost of service rate regulation, or recovery is no longer probable as a result of changes in regulation or other reasons,

the related regulatory assets and liabilities are written off.

75

---

## Revenue Recognition

The Utility recognizes revenues when electricity and natural gas services are delivered. The Utility records unbilled revenues for the estimated amount of energy delivered to customers but not yet billed at the end of the period. Unbilled revenues are included in accounts receivable on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Rates charged to customers are based on CPUC and FERC authorized revenue requirements.

The CPUC authorizes most of the Utility's revenues in the Utility's GRC and its GT&S rate cases, which generally occur every three years. In general, the Utility's ability to recover revenue requirements authorized by the CPUC in these rates cases is independent, or "decoupled" from the volume of the Utility's sales of electricity and natural gas services. The Utility recognizes revenues that have been authorized for rate recovery, are objectively determinable and probable of recovery, and are expected to be collected within 24 months. Generally, revenue is recognized ratably over the year.

The CPUC also has authorized the Utility to collect additional revenue requirements to recover costs that the Utility has been authorized to pass on to customers, including costs to purchase electricity and natural gas; and to fund public purpose, demand response, and customer energy efficiency programs. In general, the revenue recognition criteria for pass-through costs billed to customers are met at the time the costs are incurred.

The FERC authorizes the Utility's revenue requirements in periodic (often annual) TO rate cases. The Utility's ability to recover revenue requirements authorized by the FERC is dependent on the volume of the Utility's electricity sales, and revenue is recognized only for amounts billed and unbilled.

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and short-term, highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. Cash equivalents are stated at fair value.

## Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consists primarily of the Utility's cash held in escrow pending the resolution of the remaining disputed claims made by electricity suppliers in the Utility's proceeding under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. (See Note 12 below.)

## Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Receivable

PG&E Corporation and the Utility recognize an allowance for doubtful accounts to record uncollectable customer accounts receivable at estimated net realizable value. The allowance is determined based upon a variety of factors, including historical write-off experience, aging of receivables, current economic conditions, and assessment of customer collectability.

## Inventories

Inventories are carried at weighted-average cost and include natural gas stored underground as well as materials and supplies. Natural gas stored underground represents gas that is recorded to inventory when injected and then expensed as the gas is withdrawn for distribution to customers or to be used as fuel for electric generation. Materials and supplies are recorded to inventory when purchased and then expensed or capitalized to plant, as appropriate, when consumed or installed.

The Utility also purchases GHG emission allowances that are recorded as inventory. They are carried at weighted-average cost and included in current assets – other and other noncurrent assets – other on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The costs of the GHG emissions are expensed and recoverable through rates.

## Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment are reported at the lower of their historical cost less accumulated depreciation or fair value. Historical costs include labor and materials, construction overhead, and AFUDC. (See “AFUDC” below.) The Utility’s total estimated useful lives and balances of its property, plant, and equipment were as follows:

(in millions, except estimated useful lives)	Estimated Useful Lives (years)	Balance at December 31,	
		2014	2013
Electricity generating facilities (1)	10 to 100	\$9,374	\$9,116
Electricity distribution facilities	10 to 55	26,633	25,333
Electricity transmission facilities	10 to 70	9,155	8,429
Natural gas distribution facilities	20 to 60	9,741	9,117
Natural gas transportation and storage facilities	7 to 65	5,937	5,265
Construction work in progress		2,220	1,834
Total property, plant, and equipment		63,060	59,094
Accumulated depreciation		(19,120 )	(17,843 )
Net property, plant, and equipment		\$43,940	\$41,251

(1) Balance includes nuclear fuel inventories. Stored nuclear fuel inventory is stated at weighted-average cost. Nuclear fuel in the reactor is expensed as it is used based on the amount of energy output. (See Note 14 below.)

The Utility depreciates property, plant, and equipment using the composite, or group, method of depreciation, in which a single depreciation rate is applied to the gross investment balance in a particular class of property. This method approximates the straight line method of depreciation over the useful lives of property, plant, and equipment. The Utility’s composite depreciation rates were 3.77% in 2014, 3.51% in 2013, and 3.63% in 2012. The useful lives of the Utility’s property, plant, and equipment are authorized by the CPUC and the FERC, and the depreciation expense is recovered through rates charged to customers. Depreciation expense includes a component for the original cost of assets and a component for estimated cost of future removal, net of any salvage value at retirement. Upon retirement, the original cost of the retired assets, net of salvage value, is charged against accumulated depreciation. The cost of repairs and maintenance, including planned major maintenance activities and minor replacements of property, is charged to operating and maintenance expense as incurred.

## AFUDC

AFUDC represents the estimated costs of debt (i.e., interest) and equity funds used to finance regulated plant additions before they go into service and is capitalized as part of the cost of construction. AFUDC is recoverable from customers through rates over the life of the related property once the property is placed in service. AFUDC related to the cost of debt is recorded as a reduction to interest expense. AFUDC related to the cost of equity is recorded in other income. The Utility recorded AFUDC related to debt and equity, respectively, of \$45 million and \$100 million during 2014, \$47 million and \$101 million during 2013, and \$49 million and \$107 million during 2012.



## Asset Retirement Obligations

Detailed studies of the cost to decommission the Utility's nuclear generation facilities are conducted every three years in conjunction with the Nuclear Decommissioning Cost Triennial Proceeding conducted by the CPUC. The decommissioning cost estimates are based on the plant location and cost characteristics for the Utility's nuclear power plants. Actual decommissioning costs may vary from these estimates as a result of changes in assumptions such as decommissioning dates; regulatory requirements; technology; and costs of labor, materials, and equipment.

The Utility adjusts its nuclear decommissioning obligation to reflect changes in the estimated costs of decommissioning its nuclear power facilities and records this as an adjustment to the ARO liability on its Consolidated Balance Sheets. The total nuclear decommissioning obligation accrued was \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2014 and 2013. The estimated undiscounted nuclear decommissioning cost for the Utility's nuclear power plants was \$3.5 billion at December 31, 2014 and 2013 (or \$6.1 billion in future dollars). These estimates are based on the 2012 decommissioning cost studies, prepared in accordance with CPUC requirements.

The following table summarizes the changes in ARO liability during 2014 and 2013:

(in millions)	2014	2013
ARO liability at beginning of year	\$3,538	\$2,919
Revision in estimated cash flows	(16 )	596
Accretion	163	130
Liabilities settled	(110 )	(107 )
ARO liability at end of year	\$3,575	\$3,538

The Utility has not recorded a liability related to certain ARO's for assets that are expected to operate in perpetuity. As the Utility cannot estimate a settlement date or range of potential settlement dates for these assets, reasonable estimates of fair value cannot be made. As such, ARO liabilities are not recorded for retirement activities associated with substations and certain hydroelectric facilities; removal of lead-based paint in some facilities and certain communications equipment from leased property; and restoration or land to the conditions under certain agreements.

## Disallowance of Plant Costs

PG&E Corporation and the Utility record a charge when it is both probable that costs incurred or projected to be incurred for recently completed plant will not be recoverable through rates charged to customers and the amount of disallowance can be reasonably estimated. The Utility recorded charges of \$116 million, \$196 million and \$353 million in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively, for PSEP capital costs that are expected to exceed the CPUC's authorized levels or that are specifically disallowed. (See "Enforcement and Litigation Matters" in Note 14 below).

## Nuclear Decommissioning Trusts

The Utility's nuclear generation facilities consist of two units at Diablo Canyon and one retired facility at Humboldt Bay. Nuclear decommissioning requires the safe removal of a nuclear generation facility from service and the reduction of residual radioactivity to a level that permits termination of the NRC license and release of the property for unrestricted use. The Utility's nuclear decommissioning costs are recovered from customers through rates and are held in trusts until authorized for release by the CPUC.

The Utility classifies its investments held in the nuclear decommissioning trusts as "available-for-sale." Since the Utility's nuclear decommissioning trust assets are managed by external investment managers, the Utility does not have the ability to sell its investments at its discretion. Therefore, all unrealized losses are considered other-than-temporary impairments. Gains or losses on the nuclear decommissioning trust investments are refundable or recoverable, respectively, from customers through rates. Therefore, trust earnings are deferred and included in the regulatory liability for recoveries in excess of the ARO. There is no impact on the Utility's earnings or accumulated other comprehensive income. The cost of debt and equity securities sold by the trust is determined by specific identification.

## Gains and Losses on Debt Extinguishments

Deferred gains and losses on debt extinguishments are recorded to regulatory assets in current assets and regulatory assets in other noncurrent assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Gains and losses on debt extinguishments associated with regulated operations are deferred and amortized over a period consistent with the recovery of costs through regulated rates. PG&E Corporation and the Utility recorded unamortized loss on debt extinguishments, net of gain, of \$135 million, \$157 million, and \$163 million at December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. The amortization expense related to this loss was \$22 million in 2014 and \$23 million in both 2013 and 2012.

## Variable Interest Entities

A VIE is an entity that does not have sufficient equity at risk to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, or whose equity investors lack any characteristics of a controlling financial interest. An enterprise that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is a primary beneficiary and is required to consolidate the VIE.

Some of the counterparties to the Utility's power purchase agreements are considered VIEs. Each of these VIEs was designed to own a power plant that would generate electricity for sale to the Utility. To determine whether the Utility was the primary beneficiary of any of these VIEs at December 31, 2014, it assessed whether it absorbs any of the VIE's expected losses or receives any portion of the VIE's expected residual returns under the terms of the power purchase agreement, analyzed the variability in the VIE's gross margin, and considered whether it had any decision-making rights associated with the activities that are most significant to the VIE's performance, such as dispatch rights and operating and maintenance activities. The Utility's financial obligation is limited to the amount the Utility pays for delivered electricity and capacity. The Utility did not have any decision-making rights associated with any of the activities that are most significant to the economic performance of any of these VIEs. Since the Utility was not the primary beneficiary of any of these VIEs at December 31, 2014, it did not consolidate any of them.

PG&E Corporation affiliates previously entered into four tax equity agreements to fund residential and commercial retail solar energy installations with four separate privately held funds that were considered VIEs. Since PG&E Corporation was not the primary beneficiary of any of these VIEs, they were not consolidated. On July 2, 2014, PG&E Corporation disposed of its interest in the tax equity agreements and has no remaining commitment to fund these agreements.

Other Accounting Policies

For other accounting policies impacting PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's consolidated financial statements, see "Income Taxes" in Note 8, "Derivatives" in Note 9, "Fair Value Measurements" in Note 10, and "Contingencies" in Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The changes, net of income tax, in PG&E Corporation's accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2014 consisted of the following:

(in millions, net of income tax)	Pension Benefits	Other Benefits	Other Investments	Total
Beginning balance	\$(7 )	15	42	50
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications:				
Change in investments				
(net of taxes of \$0, \$0, and \$4, respectively)	-	-	5	5
Unrecognized net actuarial loss				
(net of taxes of \$404, \$19, and \$0, respectively)	(588 )	(28 )	-	(616 )
Unrecognized prior service cost				
(net of taxes of \$0, \$0, and \$0, respectively)	1	-	-	1
Transfer to regulatory account				
(net of taxes of \$394, \$19, and \$0, respectively)	573	28	-	601
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income:				
Amortization of prior service cost				
(net of taxes of \$8, \$9, and \$0, respectively) (1)	12	14	-	26
Amortization of net actuarial loss				
(net of taxes of \$1, \$1, and \$0, respectively) (1)	1	1	-	2
Transfer to regulatory account				
(net of taxes of \$9, \$10, and \$0, respectively) (1)	(13 )	(15 )	-	(28 )
Realized gain on investments				
(net of taxes of \$0, \$0, and \$20, respectively)	-	-	(30 )	(30 )
Net current period other comprehensive loss				
	(14 )	-	(25 )	(39 )
Ending balance	\$(21 )	15	17	11

(1) These components are included in the computation of net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs. (See Note 11 below for additional details.)

The changes, net of income tax, in PG&E Corporation's accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2013 consisted of the following:

(in millions, net of income tax)	Pension Benefits	Other Benefits	Other Investments	Total
Beginning balance	\$(28 )	(77 )	4	(101 )
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications:				
Change in investments				
(net of taxes of \$0, \$0, and \$26, respectively)	-	-	38	38
Unrecognized net actuarial loss				
(net of taxes of \$804, \$35, and \$0, respectively)	1,169	45	-	1,214
Transfer to regulatory account				
(net of taxes of \$790, \$22, and \$0, respectively)	(1,150 )	31	-	(1,119 )
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income: (1)				
Amortization of prior service cost				
(net of taxes of \$8, \$10, and \$0, respectively)	12	13	-	25
Amortization of net actuarial loss				
(net of taxes of \$45, \$3, and \$0, respectively)	66	3	-	69

Transfer to regulatory account (net of taxes of \$54, \$0, and \$0, respectively)	(76	)	-	-	(76	)
Net current period other comprehensive income	21		92	38	151	
Ending balance	\$(7	)	15	42	50	

(1) These components are included in the computation of net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs. (See Note 11 below for additional details.)

With the exception of other investments, there was no material difference between PG&E Corporation and the Utility for the information disclosed above.

## New Accounting Pronouncements

## Revenue Recognition Standard

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which amends existing revenue recognition guidance. The accounting standards update will be effective on January 1, 2017. PG&E Corporation and the Utility are currently evaluating the impact the guidance will have on their consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

## NOTE 3: REGULATORY ASSETS, LIABILITIES, AND BALANCING ACCOUNTS

## Regulatory Assets

Long-term regulatory assets are comprised of the following:

(in millions)	Balance at December 31,		Recovery Period
	2014	2013	
Pension benefits (1)	\$2,347	\$1,444	N/A (4)
Deferred income taxes (1)	2,390	1,835	47 years
Utility retained generation (2)	456	503	11 years
Environmental compliance costs (1)	717	628	32 years
Price risk management (1)	127	106	10 years
Electromechanical meters (3)	70	135	2 years
Unamortized loss, net of gain, on reacquired debt (1)	113	135	12 years
Other	102	127	Various
Total long-term regulatory assets	\$6,322	\$4,913	

(1) Represents the cumulative differences between amounts recognized for ratemaking purposes and amounts recognized in accordance with GAAP. Pension benefits also includes amounts that otherwise would be recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income/loss in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. (See Note 11 below.)

(2) In connection with the settlement agreement entered into among PG&E Corporation, the Utility, and the CPUC in 2003 to resolve the Utility's proceeding under Chapter 11, the CPUC authorized the Utility to recover \$1.2 billion of costs related to the Utility's retained generation assets. The individual components of these regulatory assets are being amortized over the respective lives of the underlying generation facilities, consistent with the period over which the related revenues are recognized.

(3) Represents the expected future recovery of the net book value of electromechanical meters that were replaced with SmartMeter™ devices.

(4) The Utility expects to continuously recover pension benefits.

In general, the Utility does not earn a return on regulatory assets if the related costs do not accrue interest. Accordingly, the Utility earns a return only on its regulatory assets for retained generation, regulatory assets for electromechanical meters, and regulatory assets for unamortized loss, net of gain, on reacquired debt.

## Regulatory Liabilities

Long-term regulatory liabilities are comprised of the following:

(in millions)	Balance at December 31,	
	2014	2013
Cost of removal obligations (1)	\$4,211	\$3,844
Recoveries in excess of AROs (2)	754	748
Public purpose programs (3)	701	587
Other	624	481
<b>Total long-term regulatory liabilities</b>	<b>\$6,290</b>	<b>\$5,660</b>

(1) Represents the cumulative differences between asset removal costs recorded and amounts collected in rates for expected asset removal costs.

(2) Represents the cumulative differences between ARO expenses and amounts collected in rates primarily for the decommissioning of the Utility's nuclear generation facilities. Decommissioning costs recovered through rates are primarily placed in nuclear decommissioning trusts. This regulatory liability also represents the deferral of realized and unrealized gains and losses on the nuclear decommissioning trust investments. (See Note 10 below.)

(3) Represents amounts received from customers designated for public purpose program costs expected to be incurred beyond the next 12 months, primarily related to energy efficiency programs.

## Regulatory Balancing Accounts

The Utility's recovery of revenue requirements and costs is generally decoupled from the volume of sales. The Utility tracks (1) differences between the Utility's authorized revenue requirement and actual customer billings, and (2) differences between incurred costs and customer billings. To the extent these differences are probable of recovery or refund over the next 12 months, the Utility records a current regulatory balancing account receivable or payable. Regulatory balancing accounts that the Utility expects to collect or refund over a period exceeding 12 months are recorded as other noncurrent assets – regulatory assets or noncurrent liabilities – regulatory liabilities, respectively, in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Utility sells and delivers electricity and natural gas. The Utility also administers public purpose programs, primarily related to customer energy efficiency programs. The balancing accounts associated with these items will fluctuate during the year based on seasonal electric and gas usage and the timing of when costs are incurred and customer revenues are collected.

Current regulatory balancing accounts receivable and payable are comprised of the following:

(in millions)	Receivable	
	Balance at December 31,	
	2014	2013
Electric distribution	\$344	\$102
Utility generation	261	57
Gas distribution	566	70
Energy procurement	608	410
Public purpose programs	109	56
Other	378	429
Total regulatory balancing accounts receivable	\$2,266	\$1,124

(in millions)	Payable	
	Balance at December 31,	
	2014	2013
Energy procurement	\$188	\$298
Public purpose programs	154	171
Other (1)	748	539
Total regulatory balancing accounts payable	\$1,090	\$1,008

(1) At December 31, 2014, Other regulatory balancing accounts payable mostly includes energy supplier settlements. (See Note 12 for additional details.)



## NOTE 4: DEBT

## Long-Term Debt

The following table summarizes PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's long-term debt:

(in millions)	December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>PG&amp;E Corporation</b>		
Senior notes, 5.75%, due 2014	-	350
Senior notes, 2.40%, due 2019	350	-
Less: current portion	-	(350 )
Total senior notes	350	-
Total PG&E Corporation long-term debt	350	-
<b>Utility</b>		
<b>Senior notes:</b>		
4.80% due 2014	-	539
5.625% due 2017	700	700
8.25% due 2018	800	800
3.50% due 2020	800	800
4.25% due 2021	300	300
3.25% due 2021	250	250
2.45% due 2022	400	400
3.25% due 2023	375	375
3.85% due 2023	300	300
3.40% due 2024	350	-
3.75% due 2024	450	-
6.05% due 2034	3,000	3,000
5.80% due 2037	950	950
6.35% due 2038	400	400
6.25% due 2039	550	550
5.40% due 2040	800	800
4.50% due 2041	250	250
4.45% due 2042	400	400
3.75% due 2042	350	350
4.60% due 2043	375	375
5.125% due 2043	500	500
4.75% due 2044	675	-
4.30% due 2045	500	-
Less: current portion	-	(539 )
Unamortized discount, net of premium	(43 )	(51 )
Total senior notes, net of current portion	13,432	11,449
<b>Pollution control bonds:</b>		
Series 1996 C, E, F, 1997 B, variable rates (1), due 2026 (2)	614	614
Series 2004 A-D, 4.75%, due 2023 (3)	345	345
Series 2009 A-D, variable rates (1), due 2016 and 2026 (4)	309	309
Total pollution control bonds	1,268	1,268
Total Utility long-term debt, net of current portion	14,700	12,717
Total consolidated long-term debt, net of current portion	\$ 15,050	\$ 12,717

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

- (1) At December 31, 2014, interest rates on these bonds and the related loans ranged from 0.01% to 0.02%.
- (2) Each series of these bonds is supported by a separate letter of credit. In April 2014, the letters of credit were extended to April 1, 2019. Although the stated maturity date is 2026, each series will remain outstanding only if the Utility extends or replaces the letter of credit related to the series or otherwise obtains consent from the issuer to the continuation of the series without a credit facility
- (3) The Utility has obtained credit support from an insurance company for these bonds.
- (4) Each series of these bonds is supported by a separate direct-pay letter of credit. In June 2014, Series A and B letters of credit were extended to June 5, 2019. Series C and D letters expire on December 3, 2016 to coincide with the maturity of the underlying bonds. Subject to certain requirements, the Utility may choose not to provide a credit facility without issuer consent.

## Pollution Control Bonds

The California Pollution Control Financing Authority and the California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank have issued various series of fixed rate and multi-modal tax-exempt pollution control bonds for the benefit of the Utility. Substantially all of the net proceeds of the pollution control bonds were used to finance or refinance pollution control and sewage and solid waste disposal facilities at the Geysers geothermal power plant or at the Utility's Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant. In 1999, the Utility sold all bond-financed facilities at the non-retired units of the Geysers geothermal power plant to Geysers Power Company, LLC pursuant to purchase and sale agreements stating that Geysers Power Company, LLC will use the bond-financed facilities solely as pollution control facilities for so long as any tax-exempt pollution control bonds issued to finance the Geysers project are outstanding. The Utility has no knowledge that Geysers Power Company, LLC intends to cease using the bond-financed facilities solely as pollution control facilities.

## Short-term Borrowings

The following table summarizes PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's outstanding borrowings under their revolving credit facilities and commercial paper programs at December 31, 2014:

(in millions)	Termination Date	Facility Limit	Letters of Credit Outstanding	Commercial Paper	Facility Availability
PG&E Corporation	April 2019	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300
Utility	April 2019	3,000	84	333	2,583
Total revolving credit facilities		\$ 3,300	\$ 84	\$ 333	\$ 2,883

(1) Includes a \$100 million sublimit for letters of credit and a \$100 million commitment for loans that are made available on a same-day basis and are repayable in full within 7 days.

(2) Includes a \$1.0 billion sublimit for letters of credit and a \$300 million commitment for loans that are made available on a same-day basis and are repayable in full within 7 days.

For 2014, the average outstanding bank borrowings on PG&E Corporation's revolving credit facility was \$27 million and the maximum outstanding balance during the year was \$260 million. In February 2014, PG&E Corporation repaid the full outstanding bank borrowings of \$260 million and initiated borrowing under its commercial paper program established in January 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation's average outstanding commercial paper balance was \$118 million and the maximum outstanding balance during the period was \$260 million. For 2014, the Utility's average outstanding commercial paper balance was \$609 million and the maximum outstanding balance during the year was \$1.4 billion. The Utility did not have any bank borrowings in 2014.

## Revolving Credit Facilities

In April 2014, PG&E Corporation and the Utility amended and restated their revolving credit facilities to extend their termination dates from April 1, 2018 to April 1, 2019. These agreements contain substantially similar terms as the original 2011 credit agreements. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's revolving credit facilities may be used for working capital, the repayment of commercial paper, and other corporate purposes. At PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's request and at the sole discretion of each lender, the facilities may be extended for additional periods. Provided certain conditions are met, PG&E Corporation and the Utility have the right to increase, in one or more requests, given not more frequently than once a year, the aggregate lenders' commitments under the revolving credit facilities by up to \$100 million and \$500 million, respectively, in the aggregate for all such increases.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facilities (other than swingline loans) bear interest based, at PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's election, on (1) a London Interbank Offered Rate plus an applicable margin or (2) the base rate plus an applicable margin. The base rate will equal the higher of the following: the administrative agent's announced base rate, 0.5% above the federal funds rate, or the one-month LIBOR plus an applicable margin. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears, or earlier for loans with shorter interest periods. PG&E Corporation and the Utility also will pay a facility fee on the total commitments of the lenders under the revolving credit facilities. The applicable margins and the facility fees will be based on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's senior unsecured debt ratings issued by Standard & Poor's Rating Services and Moody's Investor Service. Facility fees are payable quarterly in arrears.

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's revolving credit facilities include usual and customary provisions for revolving credit facilities of this type, including those regarding events of default and covenants limiting liens to those permitted under their senior note indentures, mergers, sales of all or substantially all of their assets, and other fundamental changes. In addition, the revolving credit facilities require that PG&E Corporation and the Utility maintain a ratio of total consolidated debt to total consolidated capitalization of at most 65% as of the end of each fiscal quarter. PG&E Corporation's revolving credit facility agreement also requires that PG&E Corporation own, directly or indirectly, at least 80% of the common stock and at least 70% of the voting capital stock of the Utility.

## Commercial Paper Programs

For 2014, the average yield on outstanding PG&E Corporation and Utility commercial paper was 0.24% and 0.23%, respectively.

The borrowings from PG&E Corporation and the Utility's commercial paper programs are used primarily to fund temporary financing needs. Liquidity support for these borrowings is provided by available capacity under their respective revolving credit facilities, as described above. PG&E Corporation and the Utility treat the amount of its outstanding commercial paper as a reduction to the amount available under its revolving credit facilities. The commercial paper may have maturities up to 365 days and ranks equally with PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's other unsubordinated and unsecured indebtedness. Commercial paper notes are sold at an interest rate dictated by the market at the time of issuance.

## Other Short-term Borrowings

In May 2014, the Utility issued \$300 million principal amount of Floating Rate Senior Notes due May 11, 2015.

## Repayment Schedule

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's combined long-term debt principal repayment amounts at December 31, 2014 are reflected in the table below:

(in millions, except interest rates)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter	Total
<b>PG&amp;E Corporation</b>							
Average fixed interest rate	-	-	-	-	2.40 %	-	2.40 %
Fixed rate obligations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 350	\$ -	\$ 350
<b>Utility</b>							
Average fixed interest rate	-	-	5.63 %	8.25 %	-	4.92 %	5.15 %
Fixed rate obligations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 700	\$ 800	\$ -	\$ 12,320	\$ 13,820
Variable interest rate as of December 31, 2014	-	0.01 %	-	-	0.01 %	-	0.01 %
Variable rate obligations (1)	\$ -	\$ 160	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 763	\$ -	\$ 923
<b>Total consolidated debt</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 160</b>	<b>\$ 700</b>	<b>\$ 800</b>	<b>\$ 1,113</b>	<b>\$ 12,320</b>	<b>\$ 15,093</b>

(1) These bonds, due in 2016 and 2026, are backed by separate letters of credit that expire on December 3, 2016, April 1, 2019, or June 5, 2019.

## NOTE 5: COMMON STOCK AND SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

PG&E Corporation had 475,913,404 shares of common stock outstanding at December 31, 2014. PG&E Corporation held all of the Utility's outstanding common stock at December 31, 2014.

In February 2014, PG&E Corporation entered into a new equity distribution agreement providing for the sale of PG&E Corporation common stock having an aggregate gross sales price of up to \$500 million. During 2014, PG&E Corporation sold 11 million shares under the February 2014 equity distribution agreement for cash proceeds of \$496 million, exhausting the capacity under this agreement. This amount is net of commissions paid of \$4 million.

In addition, PG&E Corporation issued common stock under the PG&E Corporation 401(k) plan, the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, and share-based compensation plans. During 2014, 8 million shares were issued for cash proceeds of \$306 million under these plans.



## Dividends

The Board of Directors of PG&E Corporation and the Utility declare dividends quarterly. Under the Utility's Articles of Incorporation, the Utility cannot pay common stock dividends unless all cumulative preferred dividends on the Utility's preferred stock have been paid. For 2014, the Board of Directors of PG&E Corporation declared a quarterly common stock dividend of \$0.455 per share.

Under their respective credit agreements, PG&E Corporation and the Utility are each required to maintain a ratio of consolidated total debt to consolidated capitalization of at most 65%. In addition, the CPUC requires the Utility to maintain a capital structure composed of at least 52% equity on a weighted average over four years. PG&E Corporation and the Utility are in compliance with these restrictions. At December 31, 2014, the Utility had restricted net assets of \$14.6 billion and was limited to \$153 million of additional common stock dividends it could pay to PG&E Corporation at December 31, 2014.

## Long-Term Incentive Plan

The PG&E Corporation LTIP permits various forms of share-based incentive awards, including restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, performance shares, and other share-based awards, to eligible employees of PG&E Corporation and its subsidiaries. Non-employee directors of PG&E Corporation are also eligible to receive certain share-based awards. In May 2014, the 2006 LTIP was terminated and the 2014 LTIP became effective. A maximum of 17 million shares of PG&E Corporation common stock (subject to certain adjustments) has been reserved for issuance under the 2014 LTIP, of which 16,184,126 shares were available for future awards at December 31, 2014.

The following table provides a summary of total share-based compensation expense recognized by PG&E Corporation for share-based incentive awards for 2014, 2013, and 2012:

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Restricted stock units	\$42	\$36	\$31
Performance shares	36	28	26
Total compensation expense (pre-tax)	\$78	\$64	\$57
Total compensation expense (after-tax)	\$47	\$38	\$34

The amount of share-based compensation costs capitalized during 2014, 2013, and 2012 was immaterial. There was no material difference between PG&E Corporation and the Utility for the information disclosed above.

## Restricted Stock Units

Prior to 2014, restricted stock units generally vested over four years in 20% increments on the first business day of March in year one, two, and three, with the remaining 40% vesting on the first business day of March in year four. Restricted stock units granted in 2014 generally vest equally over three years. Vested restricted stock units are settled in shares of PG&E Corporation common stock accompanied by cash payments to settle any dividend equivalents associated with the vested restricted stock units. Compensation expense is generally recognized ratably over the vesting period based on grant-date fair value. The weighted average grant-date fair value for restricted stock units granted during 2014, 2013, and 2012 was \$43.76, \$42.92, and \$42.17, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock units that vested during 2014, 2013, and 2012 was \$34 million, \$30 million, and \$18 million, respectively. The tax benefit from restricted stock units that vested during each period was not material. As of December 31, 2014, \$51 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested restricted stock units was expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average period of 1.77 years.

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activity for 2014:

	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1	2,300,021	\$ 43.16
Granted	1,092,035	\$ 43.76
Vested	(777,883 )	\$ 43.28
Forfeited	(75,816 )	\$ 43.01
Nonvested at December 31	2,538,357	\$ 43.38

## Performance Shares

Performance shares generally will vest three years after the grant date. Upon vesting, performance shares are settled in shares of common stock based on PG&E Corporation's total shareholder return relative to a specified group of industry peer companies over a three-year performance period. Dividend equivalents are paid in cash based on the amount of common stock to which the recipients are entitled.

Compensation expense attributable to performance share is generally recognized ratably over the applicable three-year period based on the grant-date fair value determined using a Monte Carlo simulation valuation model. The weighted average grant-date fair value for performance shares granted during 2014, 2013, and 2012 was \$51.81, \$33.45, and \$41.93 respectively. There was no tax benefit associated with performance shares during each of these periods. As of December 31, 2014, \$34 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested performance shares was expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average period of 1.18 years.

The following table summarizes activity for performance shares in 2014:

	Number of Performance Shares	Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1	1,791,320	\$37.85
Granted	843,185	51.81
Vested	(275,247 )	41.94



Forfeited (1)	(665,319 )	42.34
Nonvested at December 31	1,693,939	\$42.37

(1) Includes performance shares that expired with zero value as performance targets were not met.

## NOTE 6: PREFERRED STOCK

PG&E Corporation has authorized 80 million shares of no par value preferred stock and 5 million shares of \$100 par value preferred stock, which may be issued as redeemable or nonredeemable preferred stock. PG&E Corporation does not have any preferred stock outstanding.

The Utility has authorized 75 million shares of \$25 par value preferred stock and 10 million shares of \$100 par value preferred stock. At December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Utility's preferred stock outstanding included \$145 million of shares with interest rates between 5% and 6% designated as nonredeemable preferred stock and \$113 million of shares with interest rates between 4.36% and 5% that are redeemable between \$25.75 and \$27.25 per share. The Utility's preferred stock outstanding are not subject to mandatory redemption. All outstanding preferred stock has a \$25 par value.

At December 31, 2014, annual dividends on the Utility's nonredeemable preferred stock ranged from \$1.25 to \$1.50 per share. The Utility's redeemable preferred stock is subject to redemption at the Utility's option, in whole or in part, if the Utility pays the specified redemption price plus accumulated and unpaid dividends through the redemption date. At December 31, 2014, annual dividends on redeemable preferred stock ranged from \$1.09 to \$1.25 per share.

Dividends on all Utility preferred stock are cumulative. All shares of preferred stock have voting rights and an equal preference in dividend and liquidation rights. Upon liquidation or dissolution of the Utility, holders of preferred stock would be entitled to the par value of such shares plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends, as specified for the class and series. The Utility paid \$14 million of dividends on preferred stock in each of 2014, 2013, and 2012.

## NOTE 7: EARNINGS PER SHARE

PG&E Corporation's basic EPS is calculated by dividing the income available for common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. PG&E Corporation applies the treasury stock method of reflecting the dilutive effect of outstanding share-based compensation in the calculation of diluted EPS. The following is a reconciliation of PG&E Corporation's income available for common shareholders and weighted average common shares outstanding for calculating diluted EPS for 2014, 2013, and 2012.

(in millions, except per share amounts)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Income available for common shareholders	\$1,436	\$814	\$816
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic	468	444	424
Add incremental shares from assumed conversions:			
Employee share-based compensation	2	1	1
Weighted average common share outstanding, diluted	470	445	425
Total earnings per common share, diluted	\$3.06	\$1.83	\$1.92

For each of the periods presented above, the calculation of outstanding common shares on a diluted basis excluded an insignificant amount of options and securities that were antidilutive.

## NOTE 8: INCOME TAXES

PG&E Corporation and the Utility use the liability method of accounting for income taxes. The income tax provision includes current and deferred income taxes resulting from operations during the year. PG&E Corporation and the Utility estimate current period tax expense in addition to calculating deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities result from temporary tax and accounting timing differences, such as those arising from depreciation expense.

PG&E Corporation and the Utility recognize a tax benefit if it is more likely than not that a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return will be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities based on the merits of the position. The tax benefit recognized in the financial statements is measured based on the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement. As such, the difference between a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return in future periods and the benefit recognized and measured pursuant to this guidance represents an unrecognized tax benefit.

Investment tax credits are deferred and amortized to income over time. PG&E Corporation amortizes its investment tax credits over the projected investment recovery period. The Utility amortizes its investment tax credits over the life of the related property in accordance with regulatory treatment.

PG&E Corporation files a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return that includes the Utility and domestic subsidiaries in which its ownership is 80% or more. PG&E Corporation files a combined state income tax return in California. PG&E Corporation and the Utility are parties to a tax-sharing agreement under which the Utility determines its income tax provision (benefit) on a stand-alone basis.

The significant components of income tax provision (benefit) by taxing jurisdiction were as follows:

(in millions)	PG&E Corporation			Utility		
	Year Ended December 31,					
	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012
<b>Current:</b>						
Federal	\$(84 )	\$(218 )	\$(74 )	\$(84 )	\$(222 )	\$(52 )
State	(41 )	(26 )	33	(29 )	(23 )	41
<b>Deferred:</b>						
Federal	396	552	374	426	604	404
State	78	(35 )	(92 )	75	(28 )	(91 )
Tax credits	(4 )	(5 )	(4 )	(4 )	(5 )	(4 )
<b>Income tax provision</b>	<b>\$345</b>	<b>\$268</b>	<b>\$237</b>	<b>\$384</b>	<b>\$326</b>	<b>\$298</b>

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

The following table describes net deferred income tax liabilities:

(in millions)	PG&E Corporation		Utility	
	Year Ended December 31,			
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Deferred income tax assets:				
Customer advances for construction	\$88	\$90	\$88	\$90
Reserve for damages	137	161	137	161
Environmental reserve	111	152	111	152
Compensation	107	167	36	102
Net operating loss carryforward	1,177	890	946	670
GHG allowances	56	108	56	108
Other	74	135	100	128
Total deferred income tax assets	\$1,750	\$1,703	\$1,474	\$1,411
Deferred income tax liabilities:				
Regulatory balancing accounts	\$512	\$261	\$512	\$261
Property related basis differences	8,683	8,048	8,666	8,038
Income tax regulatory asset (1)	974	748	974	748
Other	88	151	86	86
Total deferred income tax liabilities	\$10,257	\$9,208	\$10,238	\$9,133
Total net deferred income tax liabilities	\$8,507	\$7,505	\$8,764	\$7,722
Classification of net deferred income tax liabilities:				
Included in current liabilities (assets)	\$(6 )	\$(318 )	\$(9 )	\$(320 )
Included in noncurrent liabilities	8,513	7,823	8,773	8,042
Total net deferred income tax liabilities	\$8,507	\$7,505	\$8,764	\$7,722

(1) Represents the deferred income tax component of the cumulative differences between amounts recognized for ratemaking purposes and amounts recognized in accordance with GAAP. (See Note 3 above.)

The following table reconciles income tax expense at the federal statutory rate to the income tax provision:

	PG&E Corporation				Utility							
	Year Ended December 31,											
	2014		2013		2012		2014		2013		2012	
Federal statutory income tax rate	35.0	%	35.0	%	35.0	%	35.0	%	35.0	%	35.0	%
Increase (decrease) in income tax rate resulting from:												
State income tax (net of federal benefit) (1)	1.4		(3.1 )		(3.9 )		1.6		(2.2 )		(3.0 )	
Effect of regulatory treatment of fixed asset differences (2)	(15.0 )		(4.2 )		(4.1 )		(14.7 )		(3.8 )		(3.9 )	
Tax credits	(0.7 )		(0.4 )		(0.6 )		(0.7 )		(0.4 )		(0.6 )	
Benefit of loss carryback	(0.8 )		(1.1 )		(0.7 )		(0.8 )		(1.0 )		(0.4 )	
Non deductible penalties	0.3		0.8		0.6		0.3		0.7		0.5	
Other, net	(0.8 )		(2.2 )		(3.8 )		0.4		(0.9 )		(0.8 )	
Effective tax rate	19.4	%	24.8	%	22.5	%	21.1	%	27.4	%	26.8	%

(1) Includes the effect of state flow-through ratemaking treatment.

(2) Represents effect of federal flow-through ratemaking treatment including those deductions related to repairs and certain other property-related costs discussed below in the “2014 GRC Impact” section.

## Unrecognized tax benefits

The following table reconciles the changes in unrecognized tax benefits:

	PG&E Corporation			Utility		
	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012
(in millions)						
Balance at beginning of year	\$666	\$581	\$506	\$660	\$575	\$503
Additions for tax position taken during a prior year	7	12	32	7	12	26
Reductions for tax position taken during a prior year	(9 )	(6 )	(13 )	(9 )	(6 )	(10 )
Additions for tax position taken during the current year	61	79	67	61	79	67
Settlements	(12 )	-	(11 )	(12 )	-	(11 )
Balance at end of year	\$713	\$666	\$581	\$707	\$660	\$575

The component of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate at December 31, 2014 for PG&E Corporation and the Utility was \$20 million, with the remaining balance representing the potential deferral of taxes to later years.

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's unrecognized tax benefits may change significantly within the next 12 months depending on the IRS guidance that is issued and the resolution of the audits related to the 2011, 2012, and 2013 tax returns (see "2014 GRC impact" below). As of December 31, 2014, it is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by approximately \$330 million within the next 12 months, and most of this decrease would not impact net income.

Interest income, interest expense and penalties associated with income taxes are reflected in income tax expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, these amounts were immaterial.

## 2014 GRC impact

The 2014 GRC decision authorized revenue requirements that reflect flow-through ratemaking for temporary income tax differences attributable to repair costs and certain other property-related costs for federal tax purposes. For these temporary differences, PG&E Corporation and the Utility recognize the deferred tax impact in the current period and record offsetting regulatory assets or liabilities. Therefore, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's effective income tax rates are impacted as these differences arise and reverse. PG&E Corporation and the Utility recognize such differences as regulatory assets or liabilities as it is probable that these amounts will be recovered from or returned to customers in future rates. In addition, recent guidance from the IRS allowed the Utility to deduct more repair costs than previously forecasted in the GRC. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Utility recognized a reduction in income tax expense of \$235 million consistent with a lower revenue requirement in the 2014 GRC and IRS guidance.

#### IRS settlements and years that remain subject to examination

PG&E Corporation participates in the Compliance Assurance Process, a real-time IRS audit intended to expedite resolution of tax matters. The Compliance Assurance Process audit culminates with a letter from the IRS indicating its acceptance of the return.

The IRS is currently reviewing several matters in the 2011, 2012, and 2013 tax returns. The most significant relates to a 2011 accounting method change to adopt guidance issued by the IRS in determining which repair costs are deductible for the electric transmission and distribution businesses. PG&E Corporation and the Utility expect that the IRS will complete the review of the deductible repair costs for the electric transmission and distribution businesses in 2015. The IRS is also expected to issue guidance during 2015 that determines which repair costs are deductible for the natural gas transmission and distribution businesses.

The Tax Increase Prevention Act, signed into law on December 19, 2014, extended 50% bonus federal tax depreciation on qualified property placed into service in 2014.

#### Carryforwards

As of December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation had approximately \$4.1 billion of federal net operating loss carryforwards and \$77 million of tax credit carryforwards, which will expire between 2029 and 2034. In addition, PG&E Corporation had approximately \$219 million of loss carryforwards related to charitable contributions, which will expire between 2015 and 2019. PG&E Corporation had \$123 million of California net operating loss carryforwards which will expire between 2033 and 2034 and \$30 million of California credit carryforwards, some of which will expire in 2024 and others which will carryforward indefinitely. PG&E Corporation believes it is more likely than not the tax benefits associated with the federal net operating loss, charitable contributions, and tax credits can be realized within the carryforward periods, therefore no valuation allowance was recognized as of December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation had approximately \$24 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards related to the tax benefit on employee stock plans that would be recorded in additional paid-in capital when used.

#### NOTE 9: DERIVATIVES

##### Use of Derivative Instruments

The Utility is exposed to commodity price risk as a result of its electricity and natural gas procurement activities. Procurement costs are recovered through customer rates. The Utility uses both derivative and non-derivative contracts to manage volatility in customer rates due to fluctuating commodity prices. Derivatives include forward contracts, swaps, futures, options, and CRRs.

These instruments are not held for speculative purposes and are subject to certain regulatory requirements. Customer rates are designed to recover the Utility's reasonable costs of providing services, including the costs related to price risk management activities.

Price risk management activities that meet the definition of derivatives are recorded at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As long as the current ratemaking mechanism discussed in Note 2, above, remains in place and the Utility's price risk management activities are carried out in accordance with CPUC directives, the Utility expects to recover fully, in rates, all costs related to derivatives. Therefore, all unrealized gains and losses associated with the change in fair value of these derivatives are deferred and recorded within the Utility's regulatory assets and liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Net realized gains or losses on commodity derivatives are recorded in the cost of electricity or the cost of natural gas with corresponding increases or decreases to regulatory balancing accounts for recovery from or refund to customers.

Cash collateral paid or received is offset against the fair value of derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement, where the right of offset and the intention to offset exist. Derivatives are presented in the Utility's Consolidated Balance Sheets on a net basis; see below.

The Utility elects the normal purchase and sale exception for eligible derivatives. Eligible derivatives are those that require physical delivery in quantities that are expected to be used by the Utility over a reasonable period in the normal course of business, and do not contain pricing provisions unrelated to the commodity delivered. The fair value of these items is not reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value, eligible derivatives are accounted for under the accrual method of accounting.

#### Volume of Derivative Activity

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, the volumes of the Utility's outstanding derivatives were as follows:

Underlying Product	Instruments	Contract Volume	
		2014	2013
Natural Gas (1) (MMBtus (2))	Forwards and Swaps	308,130,101	331,840,788
	Options	164,418,002	260,262,916
Electricity (Megawatt-hours)	Forwards and Swaps	5,346,787	8,089,269
	Congestion Revenue Rights (3)	224,124,341	250,922,591

(1) Amounts shown are for the combined positions of the electric fuels and core gas supply portfolios.

(2) Million British Thermal Units.

(3) CRRs are financial instruments that enable the holders to manage variability in congestion costs based on demand when there is insufficient transmission capacity.

#### Presentation of Derivative Instruments in the Financial Statements

At December 31, 2014, the Utility's outstanding derivative balances were as follows:

(in millions)	Commodity Risk			Total Derivative Balance
	Gross Derivative Balance	Netting	Cash	
			Collateral	
Current assets – other	\$73	\$(4)	\$19	\$88
Other noncurrent assets – other	178	(13)	-	165
Current liabilities – other	(78)	4	26	(48)
Noncurrent liabilities – other	(140)	13	9	(118)
Total commodity risk	\$33	\$-	\$54	\$87





At December 31, 2013, the Utility's outstanding derivative balances were as follows:

(in millions)	Gross Derivative Balance	Commodity Risk		Total Derivative Balance
		Netting	Cash Collateral	
Current assets – other	\$42	\$(10 )	\$16	\$48
Other noncurrent assets – other	99	(4 )	-	95
Current liabilities – other	(122 )	10	69	(43 )
Noncurrent liabilities – other	(110 )	4	2	(104 )
Total commodity risk	\$(91 )	\$-	\$87	\$(4 )

Gains and losses recorded on the Utility's derivatives were as follows:

(in millions)	Commodity Risk For the year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Unrealized gain/(loss) - regulatory assets and liabilities (1)	\$124	\$238	\$391
Realized loss - cost of electricity (2)	(83 )	(178 )	(486 )
Realized loss - cost of natural gas (2)	(8 )	(22 )	(38 )
Total commodity risk	\$33	\$38	\$(133 )

(1) Unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk-related derivative instruments are recorded to regulatory assets or liabilities, rather than being recorded to the Consolidated Statements of Income. These amounts exclude the impact of cash collateral postings.

(2) These amounts are fully passed through to customers in rates. Accordingly, net income was not impacted by realized amounts on these instruments.

Cash inflows and outflows associated with derivatives are included in operating cash flows on the Utility's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The majority of the Utility's derivatives contain collateral posting provisions tied to the Utility's credit rating from each of the major credit rating agencies. At December 31, 2014, the Utility's credit rating was investment grade. If the Utility's credit rating were to fall below investment grade, the Utility would be required to post additional cash immediately to fully collateralize some of its net liability derivative positions.

The additional cash collateral that the Utility would be required to post if the credit risk-related contingency features were triggered was as follows:

(in millions)	Balance at December 31,	
	2014	2013
Derivatives in a liability position with credit risk-related contingencies that are not fully collateralized	\$(47 )	\$(79 )
Related derivatives in an asset position	-	4
Collateral posting in the normal course of business related to these derivatives	44	65
Net position of derivative contracts/additional collateral posting requirements (1)	\$(3 )	\$(10 )

(1) This calculation excludes the impact of closed but unpaid positions, as their settlement is not impacted by any of the Utility's credit risk-related contingencies.

NOTE 10: FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

PG&E Corporation and the Utility measure their cash equivalents, trust assets, price risk management instruments, and other investments at fair value. A three-tier fair value hierarchy is established that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methodologies used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 – Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
  - Level 2 – Other inputs that are directly or indirectly observable in the marketplace.
  - Level 3 – Unobservable inputs which are supported by little or no market activities.

The fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for PG&E Corporation and the Utility are summarized below (assets held in rabbi trusts and other investments are held by PG&E Corporation and not the Utility):

(in millions)	Fair Value Measurements At December 31, 2014				Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting (1)	
<b>Assets:</b>					
Money market investments	\$94	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$94
<b>Nuclear decommissioning trusts</b>					
Money market investments	17	-	-	-	17
Global equity securities	1,585	13	-	-	1,598
Fixed-income securities	741	389	-	-	1,130
Total nuclear decommissioning trusts (2)	2,343	402	-	-	2,745
<b>Price risk management instruments (Note 9)</b>					
Electricity	-	17	232	2	251
Gas	1	1	-	-	2
Total price risk management instruments	1	18	232	2	253
<b>Rabbi trusts</b>					
Fixed-income securities	-	42	-	-	42
Life insurance contracts	-	72	-	-	72
Total rabbi trusts	-	114	-	-	114
<b>Long-term disability trust</b>					
Money market investments	7	-	-	-	7
Global equity securities	-	25	-	-	25
Fixed-income securities	-	128	-	-	128
Total long-term disability trust	7	153	-	-	160
Other investments	33	-	-	-	33
Total assets	\$2,478	\$687	\$232	\$2	\$3,399
<b>Liabilities:</b>					
<b>Price risk management instruments (Note 9)</b>					
Electricity	\$47	\$5	\$163	\$(52)	\$163
Gas	-	3	-	-	3
Total liabilities	\$47	\$8	\$163	\$(52)	\$166

(1) Includes the effect of the contractual ability to settle contracts under master netting agreements and margin cash collateral.

(2) Represents amount before deducting \$324 million, primarily related to deferred taxes on appreciation of investment value.

(in millions)	Fair Value Measurements At December 31, 2013				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting (1)	Total
<b>Assets:</b>					
Money market investments	\$226	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$226
<b>Nuclear decommissioning trusts</b>					
Money market investments	38	-	-	-	38
U.S. equity securities	1,046	11	-	-	1,057
Non-U.S. equity securities	457	-	-	-	457
U.S. government and agency securities	760	156	-	-	916
Municipal securities	-	25	-	-	25
Other fixed-income securities	-	162	-	-	162
Total nuclear decommissioning trusts (2)	2,301	354	-	-	2,655
<b>Price risk management instruments (Note 9)</b>					
Electricity	2	27	107	3	139
Gas	-	5	-	(1 )	4
Total price risk management instruments	2	32	107	2	143
<b>Rabbi trusts</b>					
Fixed-income securities	-	39	-	-	39
Life insurance contracts	-	70	-	-	70
Total rabbi trusts	-	109	-	-	109
<b>Long-term disability trust</b>					
Money market investments	9	-	-	-	9
U.S. equity securities	-	14	-	-	14
Non-U.S. equity securities	-	12	-	-	12
Fixed-income securities	-	122	-	-	122
Total long-term disability trust	9	148	-	-	157
Other investments	84	-	-	-	84
Total assets	\$2,622	\$643	\$107	\$2	\$3,374
<b>Liabilities:</b>					
<b>Price risk management instruments (Note 9)</b>					
Electricity	\$19	\$72	\$137	\$(84 )	\$144
Gas	1	3	-	(1 )	3
Total liabilities	\$20	\$75	\$137	\$(85 )	\$147

(1) Includes the effect of the contractual ability to settle contracts under master netting agreements and margin cash collateral.

(2) Represents amount before deducting \$313 million, primarily related to deferred taxes on appreciation of investment value.

## Valuation Techniques

The following describes the valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of the assets and liabilities shown in the tables above. Investments, primarily consisting of equity securities, that are valued using a net asset value per share can be redeemed quarterly with notice not to exceed 90 days. Equity investments valued at net asset value per share utilize investment strategies aimed at matching the performance of indexed funds. Transfers between levels in the fair value hierarchy are recognized as of the end of the reporting period. There were no material transfers between any levels for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

## Trust Assets

Nuclear decommissioning trust assets and other trust assets are composed primarily of equity securities, debt securities, and life insurance policies. In general, investments held in the trusts are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, credit, and market volatility risks.

Global equity securities primarily include investments in common stock that are valued based on quoted prices in active markets and are classified as Level 1. Equity securities also include commingled funds that are composed of equity securities traded publicly on exchanges across multiple industry sectors in the U.S. and other regions of the world. Investments in these funds are classified as Level 2 because price quotes are readily observable and available.

Debt securities are primarily composed of U.S. government and agency securities, municipal securities, and other fixed-income securities, including corporate debt securities. U.S. government and agency securities primarily consist of U.S. Treasury securities that are classified as Level 1 because the fair value is determined by observable market prices in active markets. A market approach is generally used to estimate the fair value of debt securities classified as Level 2 using evaluated pricing data such as broker quotes, for similar securities adjusted for observable differences. Significant inputs used in the valuation model generally include benchmark yield curves and issuer spreads. The external credit ratings, coupon rate, and maturity of each security are considered in the valuation model, as applicable.

## Price Risk Management Instruments

Price risk management instruments include physical and financial derivative contracts, such as power purchase agreements, forwards, swaps, options, and CRRs that are traded either on an exchange or over-the-counter.

Power purchase agreements, forwards, and swaps are valued using a discounted cash flow model. Exchange-traded forwards and swaps that are valued using observable market forward prices for the underlying commodity are classified as Level 1. Over-the-counter forwards and swaps that are identical to exchange-traded forwards and swaps, or are valued using forward prices from broker quotes that are corroborated with market data are classified as Level 2. Exchange-traded options are valued using observable market data and market-corroborated data and are classified as Level 2.

Long-dated power purchase agreements that are valued using significant unobservable data are classified as Level 3. These Level 3 contracts are valued using either estimated basis adjustments from liquid trading points or techniques, including extrapolation from observable prices, when a contract term extends beyond a period for which market data is available. Market and credit risk management utilizes models to derive pricing inputs for the valuation of the Utility's Level 3 instruments using pricing inputs from brokers and historical data.

The Utility holds CRRs to hedge the financial risk of CAISO-imposed congestion charges in the day-ahead market. CRRs are classified as Level 3 and are valued based on CRR auction prices, including historical prices. Limited market data is available in the CAISO auction and between auction dates; therefore, the Utility uses

models to forecast CRR prices for those periods not covered in the auctions.

100

---



## Level 3 Measurements and Sensitivity Analysis

The Utility's market and credit risk management function, which reports to the Chief Risk Officer of the Utility, is responsible for determining the fair value of the Utility's price risk management derivatives. The Utility's finance and risk management functions collaborate to determine the appropriate fair value methodologies and classification for each derivative. Inputs used and the fair value of Level 3 instruments are reviewed period-over-period and compared with market conditions to determine reasonableness.

Significant increases or decreases in any of those inputs would result in a significantly higher or lower fair value, respectively. All reasonable costs related to Level 3 instruments are expected to be recoverable through customer rates; therefore, there is no impact to net income resulting from changes in the fair value of these instruments. (See Note 9 above.)

(in millions)	Fair Value at December 31, 2014		Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (1)
	Assets	Liabilities			
Fair Value Measurement					
Congestion revenue rights	\$ 232	\$ 63	Market approach	CRR auction prices	(15.97) - \$ 8.17
Power purchase agreements	\$ -	\$ 100	Discounted cash flow	Forward prices	16.04 - \$ 56.21

(1) Represents price per megawatt-hour

(in millions)	Fair Value at December 31, 2013		Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (1)
	Assets	Liabilities			
Fair Value Measurement					
Congestion revenue rights	\$ 107	\$ 32	Market approach	CRR auction prices	(6.47) - \$ 12.04
Power purchase agreements	\$ -	\$ 105	Discounted cash flow	Forward prices	23.43 - \$ 51.75

(1) Represents price per megawatt-hour

## Level 3 Reconciliation

The following table presents the reconciliation for Level 3 price risk management instruments for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively:

(in millions)	Price Risk Management Instruments	
	2014	2013
Liability balance as of January 1	\$(30 )	\$(79 )
Realized and unrealized gains:		
Included in regulatory assets and liabilities or balancing accounts (1)	99	49
Asset (liability) balance as of December 31	\$69	\$(30 )

(1) The costs related to price risk management activities are recoverable through customer rates, therefore, balancing account revenue is recorded for amounts settled and purchased and there is no impact to net income. Unrealized gains and losses are deferred in regulatory liabilities and assets.

## Financial Instruments

PG&E Corporation and the Utility use the following methods and assumptions in estimating fair value for financial instruments:

- The fair values of cash, restricted cash, net accounts receivable, short-term borrowings, accounts payable, customer deposits, floating rate senior notes, and the Utility's variable rate pollution control bond loan agreements approximate their carrying values at December 31, 2014 and 2013, as they are short-term in nature or have interest rates that reset daily.
- The fair values of the Utility's fixed-rate senior notes and fixed-rate pollution control bonds and PG&E Corporation's fixed-rate senior notes were based on quoted market prices at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

The carrying amount and fair value of PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's debt instruments were as follows (the table below excludes financial instruments with carrying values that approximate their fair values):

(in millions)	At December 31,			
	2014		2013	
Debt (Note 4)	Carrying Amount	Level 2 Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Level 2 Fair Value
PG&E Corporation	\$350	\$352	\$350	\$354
Utility	13,778	15,851	12,334	13,444

## Available for Sale Investments

The following table provides a summary of available-for-sale investments:

(in millions)	Amortized Cost	Total Unrealized Gains	Total Unrealized Losses	Total Fair Value
As of December 31, 2014				
Nuclear decommissioning trusts				
Money market investments	\$17	\$-	\$-	\$17
Global equity securities	520	1,087	(9 )	1,598
Fixed-income securities	1,059	75	(4 )	1,130
Total nuclear decommissioning trusts (1)	1,596	1,162	(13 )	2,745
Other investments	5	28	-	33
Total	\$1,601	\$1,190	\$(13 )	\$2,778
As of December 31, 2013				
Nuclear decommissioning trusts				
Money market investments	\$38	\$-	\$-	\$38
Equity securities				
U.S.	246	811	-	1,057
Non-U.S.	215	242	-	457
Debt securities				
U.S. government and agency securities	870	51	(5 )	916
Municipal securities	24	2	(1 )	25
Other fixed-income securities	163	1	(2 )	162
Total nuclear decommissioning trusts (1)	1,556	1,107	(8 )	2,655
Other investments	13	71	-	84
Total (1)	\$1,569	\$1,178	\$(8 )	\$2,739

(1) Represents amounts before deducting \$324 million and \$313 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, primarily related to deferred taxes on appreciation of investment value.

The fair value of debt securities by contractual maturity is as follows:

(in millions)	As of December 31, 2014
Less than 1 year	\$17
1–5 years	466
5–10 years	263
More than 10 years	384
Total maturities of debt securities	\$1,130

The following table provides a summary of activity for the debt and equity securities:

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Proceeds from sales and maturities of nuclear decommissioning trust investments	\$1,336	\$1,619	\$1,133
Gross realized gains on sales of securities held as available-for-sale	118	94	19

Gross realized losses on sales of securities held as available-for-sale	(12	)	(13	)	(17	)
---	-----	---	-----	---	-----	---

103

---

## NOTE 11: EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

## Pension Plan and Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions (“PBOP”)

PG&E Corporation and the Utility sponsor a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan for eligible employees hired before December 31, 2012 and a cash balance plan for those eligible employees hired after this date or who made a one-time election to participate (“Pension Plan”). The trusts underlying certain of these plans are qualified trusts under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. If certain conditions are met, PG&E Corporation and the Utility can deduct payments made to the qualified trusts, subject to certain limitations. PG&E Corporation’s and the Utility’s funding policy is to contribute tax-deductible amounts, consistent with applicable regulatory decisions and federal minimum funding requirements. Based upon current assumptions and available information, the Utility’s minimum funding requirements related to its pension plans is zero.

PG&E Corporation and the Utility also sponsor contributory postretirement medical plans for retirees and their eligible dependents, and non-contributory postretirement life insurance plans for eligible employees and retirees. PG&E Corporation and the Utility use a fiscal year-end measurement date for all plans.

## Change in Plan Assets, Benefit Obligations, and Funded Status

The following tables show the reconciliation of changes in plan assets, benefit obligations, and the plans’ aggregate funded status for pension benefits and other benefits for PG&E Corporation during 2014 and 2013:

## Pension Plan

(in millions)	2014	2013
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$12,527	\$12,141
Actual return on plan assets	1,946	673
Company contributions	332	323
Benefits and expenses paid	(589 )	(610 )
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$14,216	\$12,527
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$14,077	\$15,541
Service cost for benefits earned	383	468
Interest cost	695	627
Actuarial (gain) loss	2,131	(1,950 )
Plan amendments	(1 )	-
Transitional costs	-	1
Benefits and expenses paid	(589 )	(610 )
Benefit obligation at end of year (1)	\$16,696	\$14,077
Funded Status:		
Current liability	\$(6 )	\$(6 )
Noncurrent liability	(2,474 )	(1,544 )
Net liability at end of year	\$(2,480 )	\$(1,550 )

(1) PG&E Corporation’s accumulated benefit obligation was \$14.9 billion and \$12.6 billion at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.



## Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions

(in millions)	2014	2013
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$1,892	\$1,758
Actual return on plan assets	241	64
Company contributions	57	145
Plan participant contribution	63	64
Benefits and expenses paid	(161 )	(139 )
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$2,092	\$1,892
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$1,597	\$1,940
Service cost for benefits earned	45	53
Interest cost	76	74
Actuarial (gain) loss	166	(415 )
Benefits paid	(140 )	(123 )
Federal subsidy on benefits paid	4	4
Plan participant contributions	63	64
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$1,811	\$1,597
Funded Status: (1)		
Noncurrent asset	\$368	\$352
Noncurrent liability	(87 )	(57 )
Net asset at end of year	\$281	\$295

(1) At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the postretirement medical plan was in an overfunded position and the postretirement life insurance plan was in an underfunded position.

There was no material difference between PG&E Corporation and the Utility for the information disclosed above.

## Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

Net periodic benefit cost as reflected in PG&E Corporation's Consolidated Statements of Income was as follows:

## Pension Plan

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Service cost	\$383	\$468	\$396
Interest cost	695	627	658
Expected return on plan assets	(807 )	(650 )	(598 )
Amortization of prior service cost	20	20	20
Amortization of net actuarial loss	2	111	123
Net periodic benefit cost	293	576	599
Less: transfer to regulatory account (1)	42	(238 )	(301 )
Total expense recognized	\$335	\$338	\$298

(1) The Utility recorded these amounts to a regulatory account as they are probable of recovery from customers in future rates.

## Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions

(in millions)	2014	2013	2012
Service cost	\$45	\$53	\$49
Interest cost	76	74	83
Expected return on plan assets	(103 )	(79 )	(77 )
Amortization of transition obligation	-	-	24
Amortization of prior service cost	23	23	25
Amortization of net actuarial loss	2	6	6
Net periodic benefit cost	\$43	\$77	\$110

There was no material difference between PG&E Corporation and the Utility for the information disclosed above.



## Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

PG&E Corporation and the Utility record unrecognized prior service costs, unrecognized gains and losses, and unrecognized net transition obligations related to pension and post-retirement benefits other than pension as components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. In addition, regulatory adjustments are recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income and Consolidated Balance Sheets to reflect the difference between expense or income calculated in accordance with GAAP for accounting purposes and expense or income for ratemaking purposes, which is based on authorized plan contributions. For pension benefits, a regulatory asset or liability is recorded for amounts that would otherwise be recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income. For post-retirement benefits other than pension, the Utility generally records a regulatory liability for amounts that would otherwise be recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income. As the Utility is unable to record a regulatory asset for these other benefits, the charge remains in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

The estimated amounts that will be amortized into net periodic benefit costs for PG&E Corporation in 2015 are as follows:

(in millions)	Pension Plan	PBOP Plans
Unrecognized prior service cost	\$ 15	\$ 19
Unrecognized net loss	11	4
Total	\$ 26	\$ 23

There were no material differences between the estimated amounts that will be amortized into net periodic benefit costs for PG&E Corporation and the Utility.

## Valuation Assumptions

In 2014, PG&E Corporation and the Utility adopted the Society of Actuaries 2014 Mortality Tables Report (RP-2014) and Mortality Improvement Scale (MP-2014 with modifications), which updated the mortality assumptions used for measuring retirement plan obligations. This new mortality table and improvement scale extends the assumed life expectancy of plan participants, resulting in an increase in PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's accrued benefit cost as of December 31, 2014. Total pension and postretirement benefit obligation increased \$82 million and \$18 million in 2014, respectively.

The following actuarial assumptions were used in determining the projected benefit obligations and the net periodic benefit costs. The following weighted average year-end assumptions were used in determining the plans' projected benefit obligations and net benefit cost.

	Pension Plan December 31,			PBOP Plans December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012	2014	2013	2012
Discount rate	4.00 %	4.89 %	3.98 %	3.89 - 4.09 %	4.70 - 5.00 %	3.75 - 4.08 %
Rate of future compensation increases	4.00 %	4.00 %	4.00 %	-	-	-
Expected return on plan assets	6.20 %	6.50 %	5.40 %	3.30 - 6.70 %	3.50 - 6.70 %	2.90 - 6.10 %



The assumed health care cost trend rate as of December 31, 2014 was 7.5%, decreasing gradually to an ultimate trend rate in 2024 and beyond of approximately 3.5%. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rate would have the following effects:

(in millions)	One-Percentage-Point Increase	One-Percentage-Point Decrease
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	\$ 107	\$ (108 )
Effect on service and interest cost	8	(8 )

Expected rates of return on plan assets were developed by determining projected stock and bond returns and then applying these returns to the target asset allocations of the employee benefit plan trusts, resulting in a weighted average rate of return on plan assets. Returns on fixed-income debt investments were projected based on real maturity and credit spreads added to a long-term inflation rate. Returns on equity investments were estimated based on estimates of dividend yield and real earnings growth added to a long-term inflation rate. For the pension plan, the assumed return of 6.2% compares to a ten-year actual return of 9.3%. The rate used to discount pension benefits and other benefits was based on a yield curve developed from market data of over approximately 715 Aa-grade non-callable bonds at December 31, 2014. This yield curve has discount rates that vary based on the duration of the obligations. The estimated future cash flows for the pension benefits and other benefit obligations were matched to the corresponding rates on the yield curve to derive a weighted average discount rate.

#### Investment Policies and Strategies

The financial position of PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's funded status is the difference between the fair value of plan assets and projected benefit obligations. Volatility in funded status occurs when asset values change differently from liability values and can result in fluctuations in costs in financial reporting, as well as the amount of minimum contributions required under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's investment policies and strategies are designed to increase the ratio of trust assets to plan liabilities at an acceptable level of funded status volatility.

The trusts asset allocations are meant to manage volatility, reduce costs, and diversify its holdings. Interest rate, credit, and equity risk are the key determinants of PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's funded status volatility. In addition to affecting the trusts's fixed income portfolio market values, interest rate changes also influence liability valuations as discount rates move with current bond yields. To manage volatility, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's trusts hold significant allocations in long maturity fixed-income investments. Although they contribute to funded status volatility, equity investments are held to reduce long-term funding costs due to their higher expected return. Real assets and absolute return investments are held to diversify the trust's holdings in equity and fixed-income investments by exhibiting returns with low correlation to the direction of these markets. Real assets include commodities futures, REITS, global listed infrastructure equities, and private real estate funds. Absolute return investments include hedge fund portfolios.

Target allocations for equity investments have generally declined in favor of longer-maturity fixed-income investments and real assets as a means of dampening future funded status volatility. Derivative instruments such as equity index futures contracts are used to maintain existing equity exposure while adding exposure to fixed-income securities. In addition, derivative instruments such as equity index futures and fixed income futures are used to rebalance the fixed income/equity allocation of the pension's portfolio. Foreign currency exchange contracts are also used to hedge a portion of the currency of the global equity investments.

The target asset allocation percentages for major categories of trust assets for pension and other benefit plans are as follows:

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

	Pension Plan						PBOP Plans					
	2015		2014		2013		2015		2014		2013	
Global equity	25	%	25	%	25	%	31	%	30	%	28	%
Absolute return	5	%	5	%	5	%	3	%	3	%	4	%
Real assets	10	%	10	%	10	%	8	%	8	%	8	%
Fixed income	60	%	60	%	60	%	58	%	59	%	60	%
Total	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%

PG&E Corporation and the Utility apply a risk management framework for managing the risks associated with employee benefit plan trust assets. The guiding principles of this risk management framework are the clear articulation of roles and responsibilities, appropriate delegation of authority, and proper accountability and documentation. Trust investment policies and investment manager guidelines include provisions designed to ensure prudent diversification, manage risk through appropriate use of physical direct asset holdings and derivative securities, and identify permitted and prohibited investments.

## Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present the fair value of plan assets for pension and other benefits plans by major asset category at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

(in millions)	Fair Value Measurements At December 31,							
	2014				2013			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Pension Plan:</b>								
Short-term investments	\$352	\$ 311	\$ -	\$ 663	\$ 70	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 70
Global equity	918	2,311	-	3,229	1,123	2,363	-	3,486
Absolute return	-	-	577	577	-	-	554	554
Real assets	620	-	675	1,295	562	-	544	1,106
Fixed-income	2,068	5,718	638	8,424	1,448	5,104	625	7,177
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,958</b>	<b>\$ 8,340</b>	<b>\$ 1,890</b>	<b>\$ 14,188</b>	<b>\$ 3,203</b>	<b>\$ 7,467</b>	<b>\$ 1,723</b>	<b>\$ 12,393</b>
<b>PBOP Plans:</b>								
Short-term investments	\$ 28	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28	\$ 31	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 31
Global equity	124	549	-	673	127	504	-	631
Absolute return	-	-	55	55	-	-	53	53
Real assets	72	-	49	121	67	-	38	105
Fixed-income	163	1,055	1	1,219	137	936	2	1,075
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 387</b>	<b>\$ 1,604</b>	<b>\$ 105</b>	<b>\$ 2,096</b>	<b>\$ 362</b>	<b>\$ 1,440</b>	<b>\$ 93</b>	<b>\$ 1,895</b>
Total plan assets at fair value				\$ 16,284				\$ 14,288

In addition to the total plan assets disclosed at fair value in the table above, the trusts had other net assets of \$24 million and \$131 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These net assets and net liabilities were comprised primarily of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and deferred taxes.

## Valuation Techniques

The following describes the valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of the assets and liabilities shown in the table above. All investments that are valued using a net asset value per share can be redeemed quarterly with a notice not to exceed 90 days.

## Short-Term Investments

Historically, short-term investments consisted primarily of commingled funds of U.S. government short-term securities that were considered Level 1 assets and valued at the net asset value of \$1 per unit.

In 2014, PG&E began diversifying these short-term investments across government, credit, and asset-backed sectors. These securities are categorized as Level 1 and Level 2 assets.

## Global Equity

The global equity category includes investments in common stock, equity-index futures, and commingled funds comprised of equity securities spread across multiple industries and regions of the world. Equity investments in common stock are actively traded on public exchanges and are therefore considered Level 1 assets. These equity investments are generally valued based on unadjusted prices in active markets for identical securities. Equity-index futures are valued based on unadjusted prices in active markets and are Level 1 assets. Commingled funds are valued using a net asset value per share and are maintained by investment companies for large institutional investors and are not publicly traded. Commingled funds are comprised primarily of underlying equity securities that are publicly traded on exchanges, and price quotes for the assets held by these funds are readily observable and available. Commingled funds are categorized as Level 1 and Level 2 assets.

## Absolute Return

The absolute return category includes portfolios of hedge funds that are valued using a net asset value per share based on a variety of proprietary and non-proprietary valuation methods, including unadjusted prices for publicly-traded securities in active markets. Hedge funds are considered Level 3 assets.

## Real Assets

The real asset category includes portfolios of commodity futures, global REITS, global listed infrastructure equities, and private real estate funds. The commodity futures, global REITS, and global listed infrastructure equities are actively traded on a public exchange and are therefore considered Level 1 assets. Private real estate funds are valued using a net asset value per share derived using appraisals, pricing models, and valuation inputs that are unobservable and are considered Level 3 assets.

## Fixed-Income

The fixed-income category includes U.S. government securities, corporate securities, and other fixed-income securities.

U.S. government fixed-income primarily consists of U.S. Treasury notes and U.S. government bonds that are valued based on quoted market prices or evaluated pricing data for similar securities adjusted for observable differences. These securities are categorized as Level 1 or Level 2 assets.

Corporate fixed-income primarily includes investment grade bonds of U.S. issuers across multiple industries that are valued based on a compilation of primarily observable information or broker quotes in non-active markets. The fair value of corporate bonds is determined using recently executed transactions, market price quotations (where observable), bond spreads or credit default swap spreads obtained from independent external parties such as vendors and brokers adjusted for any basis difference between cash and derivative instruments. These securities are classified as Level 2 assets. Corporate fixed-income also includes commingled funds that are valued using a net asset value per share and are comprised of corporate debt instruments. Commingled funds are considered Level 2 assets. Corporate fixed-income also includes privately placed debt portfolios which are valued using a net asset value per share using pricing models and valuation inputs that are unobservable and are considered Level 3 assets.

Other fixed-income primarily includes pass-through and asset-backed securities. Pass-through securities are valued based on benchmark yields created using observable market inputs and are Level 2 assets. Asset-backed securities are primarily valued based on broker quotes and are considered Level 2 assets. Other fixed-income also includes municipal bonds and Treasury futures. Municipal bonds are valued based on a compilation of primarily observable information or broker quotes in non-active markets and are considered Level 2 assets. Futures are valued based on

unadjusted prices in active markets and are Level 1 assets.

110

---

## Transfers Between Levels

Any transfers between levels in the fair value hierarchy are recognized as of the end of the reporting period. No material transfers between levels occurred in the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

## Level 3 Reconciliation

The following table is a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of instruments for pension and other benefit plans that have been classified as Level 3 for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

(in millions)	Pension Plan			
	Absolute Return	Fixed-Income	Real Assets	Total
For the year ended December 31, 2014				
Balance at beginning of year	\$554	\$625	\$544	\$1,723
Actual return on plan assets:				
Relating to assets still held at the reporting date	23	24	54	101
Relating to assets sold during the period	-	4	-	4
Purchases, issuances, sales, and settlements:				
Purchases	-	1	78	79
Settlements	-	(16)	(1)	(17)
Balance at end of year	\$577	\$638	\$675	\$1,890

(in millions)	Pension Plan			
	Absolute Return	Fixed-Income	Real Assets	Total
For the year ended December 31, 2013				
Balance at beginning of year	\$513	\$611	\$285	\$1,409
Actual return on plan assets:				
Relating to assets still held at the reporting date	37	1	49	87
Relating to assets sold during the period	4	-	(3)	1
Purchases, issuances, sales, and settlements:				
Purchases	-	20	352	372
Settlements	-	(7)	(139)	(146)
Balance at end of year	\$554	\$625	\$544	\$1,723



(in millions)	PBOP Plans			
	Absolute Return	Fixed-Income	Real Assets	Total
For the year ended December 31, 2014				
Balance at beginning of year	\$53	\$2	38	\$93
Actual return on plan assets:				
Relating to assets still held at the reporting date	2	-	4	6
Relating to assets sold during the period	-	-	-	-
Purchases, issuances, sales, and settlements:				
Purchases	-	-	7	7
Settlements	-	(1 )	-	(1 )
Balance at end of year	\$55	\$1	\$49	\$105

(in millions)	PBOP Plans			
	Absolute Return	Fixed-Income	Real Assets	Total
For the year ended December 31, 2013				
Balance at beginning of year	\$49	\$1	28	\$78
Actual return on plan assets:				
Relating to assets still held at the reporting date	4	-	3	7
Relating to assets sold during the period	-	-	-	-
Purchases, issuances, sales, and settlements:				
Purchases	12	1	21	34
Settlements	(12 )	-	(14 )	(26 )
Balance at end of year	\$53	\$2	\$38	\$93

There were no material transfers out of Level 3 in 2014 and 2013.

## Cash Flow Information

## Employer Contributions

PG&E Corporation and the Utility contributed \$332 million to the pension benefit plans and \$57 million to the other benefit plans in 2014. These contributions are consistent with PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's funding policy, which is to contribute amounts that are tax-deductible and consistent with applicable regulatory decisions and federal minimum funding requirements. None of these pension or other benefits were subject to a minimum funding requirement requiring a cash contribution in 2014. The Utility's pension benefits met all the funding requirements under ERISA. PG&E Corporation and the Utility expect to make total contributions of approximately \$327 million and \$61 million to the pension plan and other postretirement benefit plans, respectively, for 2015.

## Benefits Payments and Receipts

As of December 31, 2014, the estimated benefits expected to be paid and the estimated federal subsidies expected to be received in each of the next five fiscal years, and in aggregate for the five fiscal years thereafter, are as follows:

(in millions)	Pension Plan	PBOP Plans	Federal Subsidy
2015	\$653	\$91	\$(7 )
2016	696	96	(8 )
2017	737	102	(8 )
2018	775	109	(9 )
2019	812	115	(10 )
Thereafter in the succeeding five years	4,545	614	(29 )

There were no material differences between the estimated benefits expected to be paid by PG&E Corporation and paid by the Utility for the years presented above. There were also no material differences between the estimated subsidies expected to be received by PG&E Corporation and received by the Utility for the years presented above.

## Retirement Savings Plan

PG&E Corporation sponsors a retirement savings plan, which qualifies as a 401(k) defined contribution benefit plan under the Internal Revenue Code 1986, as amended. This plan permits eligible employees to make pre-tax and after-tax contributions into the plan, and provide for employer contributions to be made to eligible participants. Total expenses recognized for defined contribution benefit plans reflected in PG&E Corporation's Consolidated Statements of Income were \$80 million, \$71 million, and \$67 million in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

There were no material differences between the employer contribution expense for PG&E Corporation and the Utility for the years presented above.

NOTE 12: RESOLUTION OF REMAINING CHAPTER 11 DISPUTED CLAIMS

Various electricity suppliers filed claims in the Utility's proceeding filed under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code seeking payment for energy supplied to the Utility's customers between May 2000 and June 2001. These claims, which the Utility disputes, are being addressed in various FERC and judicial proceedings in which the State of California, the Utility, and other electricity purchasers are seeking refunds from electricity suppliers, including governmental entities, for overcharges incurred in the CAISO and the California Power Exchange wholesale electricity markets during this period.

While the FERC and judicial proceedings are pending, the Utility has pursued, and continues to pursue, settlements with electricity suppliers. The Utility entered into a number of settlement agreements with various electricity suppliers to resolve some of these disputed claims and to resolve the Utility's refund claims against these electricity suppliers. These settlement agreements provide that the amounts payable by the parties are, in some instances, subject to adjustment based on the outcome of the various refund offset and interest issues being considered by the FERC.

Any net refunds, claim offsets, or other credits that the Utility receives from electricity suppliers through resolution of the remaining disputed claims, either through settlement or through the conclusion of the various FERC and judicial proceedings, are refunded to customers through rates in future periods.

Interest accrues on the remaining net disputed claims at the FERC-ordered rate, which is higher than the rate earned by the Utility on the escrow balance. Although the Utility has been collecting the difference between the accrued interest and the earned interest from customers in rates, these collections are not held in escrow. If the amount of accrued interest is greater than the amount of interest ultimately determined to be owed on the remaining net disputed claims, the Utility would refund to customers any excess interest collected. The amount of any interest that the Utility may be required to pay will depend on the final determined amount of the remaining net disputed claims and when such interest is paid.

In July 2014, a settlement agreement between the Utility and an electric supplier became effective, resolving a portion of the Utility's disputed claims. The settlement will result in refunds to customers of \$312 million and will be returned through rates in future periods. The Utility is uncertain when and how the remaining disputed claims will be resolved.

In August 2014, the Utility received a letter from the California Power Exchange clarifying its ultimate intent to offset the Utility's remaining disputed claims principal and interest balances through net settlement. Accordingly, the Utility has presented \$434 million of net Disputed claims and customer refunds on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014, which includes both principal and interest. At December 31, 2013, the Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$154 million of Disputed claims and customer refunds and \$710 million of Interest payable.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Utility held \$291 million, respectively, in escrow, including earned interest, for payment of the remaining net disputed claims liability. These amounts are included within restricted cash on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## NOTE 13: RELATED PARTY AGREEMENTS AND TRANSACTIONS

The Utility and other subsidiaries provide and receive various services to and from their parent, PG&E Corporation, and among themselves. The Utility and PG&E Corporation exchange administrative and professional services in support of operations. Services provided directly to PG&E Corporation by the Utility are priced at the higher of fully loaded cost (i.e., direct cost of good or service and allocation of overhead costs) or fair market value, depending on the nature of the services. Services provided directly to the Utility by PG&E Corporation are generally priced at the lower of fully loaded cost or fair market value, depending on the nature and value of the services. PG&E Corporation also allocates various corporate administrative and general costs to the Utility and other subsidiaries using agreed-upon allocation factors, including the number of employees, operating and maintenance expenses, total assets, and other cost allocation methodologies. Management believes that the methods used to allocate expenses are reasonable and meet the reporting and accounting requirements of its regulatory agencies.

The Utility's significant related party transactions were:

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Utility revenues from:			
Administrative services provided to PG&E Corporation	\$5	\$7	\$7
Utility expenses from:			
Administrative services received from PG&E Corporation	\$54	\$45	\$50
Utility employee benefit due to PG&E Corporation	70	57	51

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Utility had receivables of \$17 million and \$22 million, respectively, from PG&E Corporation included in accounts receivable – other and other noncurrent assets – other on the Utility's Consolidated Balance Sheets, and payables of \$20 million and \$17 million, respectively, to PG&E Corporation included in accounts payable – other on the Utility's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## NOTE 14: CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS

PG&E Corporation and the Utility have significant contingencies arising from their operations, including contingencies related to enforcement and litigation matters and environmental remediation. The Utility also has substantial financial commitments in connection with agreements entered into to support its operating activities. See "Purchase Commitments" below. PG&E Corporation has financial commitments described in "Other Commitments" below.

## Enforcement and Litigation Matters

On September 9, 2010, a natural gas transmission pipeline owned and operated by the Utility ruptured in San Bruno, California. The ensuing explosion and fire resulted in the deaths of eight people, numerous personal injuries, and extensive property damage. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows have been materially affected by the costs the Utility has incurred related to shareholder funded safety work, the ongoing regulatory investigations, and civil lawsuits that commenced following the San Bruno accident.

## CPUC Investigations Regarding the Utility's Gas Transmission System and the San Bruno Accident

There are three CPUC investigative enforcement proceedings pending against the Utility. These investigations relate to (1) the Utility's safety recordkeeping for its natural gas transmission system, (2) the Utility's operation of its natural gas transmission pipeline system in or near locations of higher population density, and (3) the Utility's pipeline installation, integrity management, recordkeeping and other operational practices, and other events or courses of conduct, that could have led to or contributed to the San Bruno accident.

On September 2, 2014, the assigned CPUC ALJs issued their presiding officer decisions in the three investigative enforcement proceedings pending against the Utility related to the Utility's natural gas transmission operations and practices and the San Bruno accident. The ALJs determined that the Utility committed approximately 3,700 violations of law, rules and regulations. The ALJs jointly issued a decision calling for total fines and disallowances of \$1.4 billion on the Utility to address all violations, allocated as follows: (1) \$950 million fine to be paid to the State General Fund, (2) \$400 million refund to ratepayers of previously authorized revenues, and (3) remedial measures that the ALJs estimate will cost the Utility at least \$50 million. The ALJs' decisions are not the final decisions of the CPUC. Three CPUC Commissioners have requested that the CPUC review the decisions. It is possible that one or more Commissioners will issue an alternate penalty decision for consideration by the CPUC. In addition, the Utility and other parties, including the SED, TURN, the ORA, the City and County of San Francisco, and the City of San Bruno have appealed the presiding officer decisions.

In its appeals, the Utility argued that the penalties imposed and the findings and conclusions on which they are based do not meet applicable legal standards, are based on the misapplication of California law and regulations, and are unconstitutional. The Utility has asked the CPUC to order the Utility to pay a significantly reduced penalty that is reasonable and proportionate in light of the nature of the violations and that takes into account the substantial unrecovered amounts the Utility has already spent and forecasts that it will spend on gas system safety. The Utility requested that it be allowed 180 days to raise the funds it may be ordered to pay to the State General Fund rather than the 40 days specified in the decision. The Utility also argued that the entire penalty should go toward funding investments in the Utility's gas transmission system. TURN, the ORA, and the City and County of San Francisco jointly filed an appeal urging the CPUC to disallow the Utility's recovery of remaining PSEP costs of \$877 million and to require the Utility to pay \$473 million to the State General Fund. These parties also argue that the record in the investigative proceedings would support an even larger penalty than stated in the decision. The City of San Bruno appealed the rejection of its proposals for the appointment of an independent monitor to oversee the Utility's natural gas operations and for the establishment of a pipeline safety trust. It is uncertain when the final outcome of the investigations will be determined.

While the various appeals and requests for review of the presiding officer decisions are unresolved there continues to be significant uncertainty about the ultimate forms and amounts of penalties (including fines) that will be imposed on the Utility. At December 31, 2014, the Consolidated Balance Sheets included an accrual of \$200 million in other current liabilities for the minimum amount of fines deemed probable. The impact on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's Consolidated Financial Statements will depend on the amounts and forms of penalties that are ultimately adopted by the CPUC. Fines payable to the State General Fund or refunds of revenues would be charged to net income when it is probable that such fines or refunds will be imposed and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. A disallowance of previously authorized and incurred capital costs would be charged to net income when the disallowance is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Penalties in the form of future disallowed costs would be charged to net income in the period during which the actual costs are incurred. Although PG&E Corporation and the Utility believe it is probable that the CPUC will impose total penalties materially in excess of the \$200 million previously accrued, they are unable to make a better estimate due to the variety of potential combinations of amounts and forms of penalties that could ultimately be imposed on the Utility and uncertainty about the timing of recognition. PG&E Corporation and the Utility believe the final outcome of the investigations will have a material impact on their financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.



## Federal Criminal Indictment

On July 29, 2014, a federal grand jury in the Northern District of California returned a 28-count superseding criminal indictment against the Utility in federal district court replacing the indictment that had been returned on April 1, 2014. The superseding indictment charges 27 felony counts (increased from 12 counts charged in the original indictment) alleging that the Utility knowingly and willfully violated minimum safety standards under the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act relating to record keeping, pipeline integrity management, and identification of pipeline threats. The superseding indictment also includes one felony count charging that the Utility illegally obstructed the NTSB's investigation into the cause of the San Bruno accident. The maximum statutory fine for each felony count is \$500,000, for total fines of \$14 million. The superseding indictment also alleges an alternative fine under the Alternative Fines Act which states, in part: "If any person derives pecuniary gain from the offense, or if the offense results in pecuniary loss to a person other than the defendant, the defendant may be fined not more than the greater of twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss." Based on the superseding indictment's allegations that the Utility derived gross gains of approximately \$281 million and that the victims suffered losses of approximately \$565 million, the maximum alternate fine would be approximately \$1.13 billion.

The Utility entered a plea of not guilty. The Utility believes that criminal charges and the alternate fine allegations are not merited and that it did not knowingly and willfully violate minimum safety standards under the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act or obstruct the NTSB's investigation, as alleged in the superseding indictment. A status conference is scheduled to be held in court on March 9, 2015. PG&E Corporation and the Utility have not accrued any charges for criminal fines in their consolidated financial statements as such amounts are not considered to be probable.

## Other Enforcement Matters

PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows also may be affected by the outcome of the following matters.

### Improper CPUC Communications

On September 15, 2014, the Utility notified the CPUC and the ALJ overseeing the 2015 GT&S rate case that it believes certain communications between the Utility and CPUC personnel relating to the 2015 GT&S rate case violated the CPUC's rules regarding ex parte communications. Ex parte communications include any communication between a decision maker and an interested person concerning substantive issues in certain identified categories of formal proceedings before the CPUC. (The Utility discovered the communications as part of an internal review of communications between the Utility and the CPUC undertaken after the City of San Bruno filed a motion at the CPUC in late July 2014 alleging that various email communications between the Utility's employees and CPUC personnel violated the ex parte communication rules with respect to the pending CPUC investigative enforcement proceedings against the Utility. The Utility believes that the communications cited by San Bruno in its July 2014 motion are not prohibited ex parte communications. The CPUC has not yet addressed San Bruno's motion and its request that the CPUC penalize the Utility.)

On November 20, 2014, the CPUC issued a decision imposing a fine of \$1.05 million on the Utility and disallowing up to the entire amount of the revenue increase that would have been collected from ratepayers over the five-month period between March 2015 and August 2015. The exact amount of the revenue disallowance will be determined in the CPUC's final decision in the GT&S rate case expected to be issued in August 2015. In addition, the decision prohibits the Utility from engaging in any oral or written ex parte communications, as well as procedural communications, with Commissioners or their advisors in any rate-setting proceeding and requires the Utility to report communications with senior CPUC staff, in any rate-setting or adjudicatory proceeding before the CPUC, for one year from the effective date of the decision. With respect to the GT&S rate case, the ban will be in effect until the

resolution of the GT&S rate case or one year from the effective date of the decision, whichever is later. The Utility and other parties have requested that the CPUC reconsider its decision. The ORA, TURN, and the City of San Bruno argue that the applicable law supports the imposition of a fine ranging from \$2.5 million to \$250 million. It is uncertain when the CPUC will address these applications for rehearing.



In October and December 2014, the Utility notified the CPUC of additional email communications between the Utility and CPUC personnel regarding various matters (not limited to the GT&S rate case), that the Utility believes may constitute or describe ex parte communications. As of January 30, 2015, the Utility had provided copies of approximately 65,000 email communications between the CPUC and the Utility to the CPUC and the City of San Bruno. It is uncertain whether any of these email communications will be challenged as prohibited ex parte communications or as improper or illegal.

The Utility believes it is probable that CPUC enforcement actions will be taken in connection with these additional ex parte communications but is unable to reasonably estimate the amount or range of future charges that could be incurred given the CPUC's wide discretion and the number of factors that can be considered in determining the final penalties. It is also possible that other parties may request that the CPUC rescind decisions or take other action in open or closed proceedings to address ex parte communications that they may allege occurred regarding substantive issues in those proceedings. For example, TURN and the ORA have filed petitions to request that the CPUC rescind a \$29 million shareholder incentive awarded to the Utility in 2010 for the successful implementation of the Utility's 2006-2008 energy efficiency programs based on their allegation that prohibited ex parte communications tainted the decision. It is uncertain whether the CPUC will grant these petitions or whether parties will request the CPUC to take action in other proceedings. It is also uncertain whether the ex parte communication issues will affect the outcome of other pending legal matters, ratemaking or regulatory proceedings, investigations and enforcement matters.

Finally, the U.S. Attorney's Office in San Francisco and the California Attorney General's office have begun investigations in connection with these communications. The Utility is cooperating with the federal and state investigators. It is uncertain whether any charges will be brought against the Utility.

#### Gas Safety Citation Program

The SED, the division of the CPUC primarily responsible for overseeing the safety of electric and natural gas utility operations in California, conducts periodic audits of the Utility's operating practices and investigates potential violations. In December 2011 the CPUC adopted a gas safety citation program and delegated authority to the SED to issue citations and impose fines on California gas corporations, such as the Utility, for violations of certain state and federal regulations that relate to the safety of natural gas facilities and operating practices. The California gas corporations are required to inform the SED of self-identified or self-corrected violations. The SED can consider various factors in determining whether to impose fines and the amount of fines, including the severity of the safety risk associated with each violation, the number and duration of the violations, whether the violation was self-reported, and whether corrective actions were taken.

Since the gas safety program became effective, the Utility has filed approximately 84 self-reports and the SED has imposed fines ranging from \$50,000 to \$16.8 million (including the \$10.85 million fine related to an explosion in Carmel, California that is discussed below) for violations identified through self-reports, SED investigations and audits. The SED recently has stated that it will not conduct further investigations into 65 self-reports the Utility had filed through December 31, 2014. The Utility believes it is probable that the SED will impose fines or take other enforcement action with respect to some of the Utility's remaining self-reports or other self-reports that the Utility has filed since January 1, 2015. The Utility believes it is reasonably possible that the SED will impose fines on the Utility based on allegations of noncompliance that are contained in some of the SED's audits. The Utility is unable to reasonably estimate the amount or range of future charges that could be incurred for fines imposed by the SED given the wide discretion the SED has in determining whether to bring enforcement action and the number of factors that can be considered in determining the amount of fines.

#### Carmel Incident

On March 3, 2014, a vacant house in Carmel, California was severely damaged due to a natural gas explosion while the Utility's employees were performing work to upgrade the main natural gas distribution pipeline in the area. There were no injuries or fatalities. The SED conducted an investigation of the incident and alleged that the Utility committed two violations of certain natural gas safety regulations by failing to follow procedures to update records, to provide its welding crew with accurate information, and to take steps to make safe any actual or potential hazard to life or property. On November 20, 2014, the SED issued a citation to the Utility that included a fine of \$10.85 million for these alleged violations. The Utility recorded this amount as an expense for 2014. The Utility has appealed the citation to the CPUC. The SED has requested that the CPUC dismiss the Utility's appeal as untimely. The CPUC has not yet addressed the SED's request. In addition, the Utility was informed that the U.S. Attorney's Office was investigating the Carmel incident. It is uncertain whether any charges will be brought against the Utility.

### CPUC Investigation Regarding Natural Gas Distribution Facilities Record-Keeping

On November 20, 2014, the CPUC issued an order instituting a new investigation into whether the Utility violated applicable laws pertaining to record-keeping practices for its natural gas distribution service and facilities. The order also requires the Utility to show cause why (1) the CPUC should not find that the Utility violated provisions of the California Public Utilities Code, CPUC general orders or decisions, other rules, or requirements, and/or engaged in unreasonable and/or imprudent practices related to these matters, and (2) the CPUC should not impose penalties, and/or any other forms of relief, if any violations are found.

In particular, the order cites the SED's investigative reports alleging that the Utility violated rules regarding safety record-keeping in connection with six natural gas distribution incidents, including the natural gas explosion that occurred in Carmel, California on March 3, 2014. (See "Carmel Incident" above.) On December 22, 2014, as directed by the CPUC, the Utility submitted a report that explained why the Utility believes the SED's investigative findings do not constitute violations of law and also outlined the various programs, measures and actions the Utility has undertaken to continuously improve its distribution record keeping practices.

PG&E Corporation and the Utility believe it is reasonably possible that the CPUC will impose fines on the Utility or take other enforcement action in connection with this matter, but are unable to reasonably estimate the amount or range of future loss contingencies.

### Natural Gas Transmission Pipeline Rights-of-Way

In 2012, the Utility notified the CPUC and the SED that the Utility planned to complete a system-wide survey of its transmission pipelines in an effort to identify encroachments (such as building structures and vegetation overgrowth) on the Utility's pipeline rights-of-way. The Utility also submitted a proposed compliance plan that set forth the scope and timing of remedial work to remove identified encroachments over a multi-year period and to pay penalties if the proposed milestones were not met. In March 2014, the Utility informed the SED that the survey has been completed and that remediation work, including removal of the encroachments, is expected to continue for several years. The SED has not addressed the Utility's proposed compliance plan, and it is reasonably possible that the SED will impose fines on the Utility or take other enforcement action in the future based on the Utility's failure to continuously survey its system and remove encroachments.

### Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan

On November 20, 2014, the CPUC approved the settlement agreement (submitted in July 2014) among the Utility, ORA, and TURN to resolve the Utility's PSEP Update application. The CPUC decision approved total PSEP-related revenue requirements (2012-2014) of \$223 million, subject to refund, that reflect a \$23 million reduction to expense funding, as compared to the amount requested in the Utility's application. (PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's 2014 consolidated financial statements reflect this reduction.) In accordance with the settlement agreement, the CPUC decision did not adopt any reduction to the Utility's request for authorization of total PSEP capital costs of \$766 million. The Utility previously recorded cumulative charges of \$549 million for PSEP-related capital costs that are expected to exceed the authorized amount. During the quarter ended December 31, 2014, the Utility recorded an additional charge for \$116 million for PSEP capital costs that are expected to exceed the authorized amounts, bringing the total cumulative charge to \$665 million. \$209 million is expected to be incurred in 2015 and beyond. At December 31, 2014, approximately \$549 million of PSEP-related capital costs is recorded in property, plant, and equipment on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Utility would be required to record charges in future periods to the extent PSEP-related capital costs are higher than currently expected or if the Utility was required to refund previously authorized PSEP-related capital and expense amounts and/or revenue requirements.



## Other Legal and Regulatory Contingencies

PG&E Corporation and the Utility are subject to various laws and regulations and, in the normal course of business, are named as parties in a number of claims and lawsuits. In addition, penalties may be incurred for failure to comply with federal, state, or local laws and regulations. A provision for a loss contingency is recorded when it is both probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. PG&E Corporation and the Utility evaluate the range of reasonably estimated losses and record a provision based on the lower end of the range, unless an amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount. The assessment of whether a loss is probable or reasonable possibility, and whether the loss or a range of loss is estimable, often involves a series of complex judgments about future events. Loss contingencies are reviewed quarterly and estimates are adjusted to reflect the impact of all known information, such as negotiations, discovery, settlements and payments, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular matter. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's policy is to exclude anticipated legal costs from the provision for loss and expense these costs as incurred.

Accruals for other legal and regulatory contingencies (excluding amounts related to natural gas matters above) totaled \$55 million at December 31, 2014 and \$43 million at December 31, 2013. These amounts are included in other current liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The estimated reasonably possible range of loss for these matters in excess of the recorded accrual is not material. The resolution of these matters is not expected to have a material impact on PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

## Environmental Remediation Contingencies

Given the complexities of the legal and regulatory environment and the inherent uncertainties involved in the early stages of a remediation project, the process for estimating remediation liabilities is subjective and requires significant judgment. The Utility records an environmental remediation liability when the site assessments indicate that remediation is probable and the Utility can reasonably estimate the loss or a range of probable amounts. The Utility records an environmental remediation liability based on the lower end of the range of estimated probable costs, unless an amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount. Amounts recorded are not discounted to their present value. The Utility's environmental remediation liability is primarily included in non-current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and is composed of the following:

(in millions)	Balance at	
	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Topock natural gas compressor station (1)	\$291	\$264
Hinkley natural gas compressor station (1)	158	190
Former manufactured gas plant sites owned by the Utility or third parties	257	184
Utility-owned generation facilities (other than fossil fuel-fired), other facilities, and third-party disposal sites	150	160
Fossil fuel-fired generation facilities and sites	98	102
Total environmental remediation liability	\$954	\$900

(1) See "Natural Gas Compressor Station Sites" below.

At December 31, 2014 the Utility expected to recover \$663 million of its environmental remediation liability through various ratemaking mechanisms authorized by the CPUC. One of these mechanisms allows the Utility rate recovery for 90% of its hazardous substance remediation costs for certain approved sites (including the Topock site) without a reasonableness review. The Utility may incur environmental remediation costs that it does not seek to recover in rates, such as the costs associated with the Hinkley site.



## Natural Gas Compressor Station Sites

The Utility is legally responsible for remediating groundwater contamination caused by hexavalent chromium used in the past at the Utility's natural gas compressor stations. One of these stations is located near Hinkley, California and is referred to below as the "Hinkley site." Another station is located near Needles, California and is referred to below as the "Topock site." The Utility is also required to take measures to abate the effects of the contamination on the environment.

### Hinkley Site

The Utility has been implementing interim remediation measures at the Hinkley site to reduce the mass of the chromium plume and to monitor and control movement of the plume. The Utility's remediation and abatement efforts at the Hinkley site are subject to the regulatory authority of the Regional Board. In 2013, the Regional Board certified a final environmental report evaluating the Utility's proposed remedial methods to contain and remediate the underground plume of hexavalent chromium and the potential environmental impacts. On January 22, 2015, the Regional Board issued a preliminary draft clean-up and abatement order that proposes that the Utility continue and improve its remedial treatment methods evaluated in the environmental report, along with a proposed monitoring and reporting program and proposed deadlines in 2021 and 2026 to meet specified interim clean-up targets. Comments by the Utility and the public are due on March 13, 2015. The Regional Board is tentatively scheduled to consider final adoption of the clean-up and abatement order at its September 2015 meeting.

The Utility's environmental remediation liability at December 31, 2014 reflects the Utility's best estimate of probable future costs associated with its final remediation plan and interim remediation measures. Future costs will depend on many factors, including the levels of hexavalent chromium the Utility is required to use as the standard for remediation, the required time period by which those standards must be met, and the nature and extent of the chromium contamination. As the comment process continues and the final order and permits are issued, the Utility expects to obtain additional information about the total costs associated with implementing the final remedy and performing related activities and the best estimate of future costs may be subject to further changes. Future changes in cost estimates and the assumptions on which they are based may have a material impact on future financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

### Topock Site

The Utility's remediation and abatement efforts at the Topock site are subject to the regulatory authority of the California Department of Toxic Substances Control and the U.S. Department of the Interior. In September 2014, the Utility submitted its 90% remedial design plan to regulatory authorities and expects to submit its final remedial design plan in mid-2015, which would seek approval to begin construction of an in-situ groundwater treatment system that will convert hexavalent chromium into a non-toxic and non-soluble form of chromium. The Utility has implemented interim remediation measures, including a system of extraction wells and a treatment plant designed to prevent movement of the chromium plume toward the Colorado River. The Utility's environmental remediation liability at December 31, 2014 reflects its best estimate of probable future costs associated with its final remediation plan. Future costs will depend on many factors, including the extent of work to be performed to implement the final groundwater remedy and the Utility's required time frame for remediation. Future changes in cost estimates and the assumptions on which they are based may have a material impact on future financial condition and cash flows.

## Reasonably Possible Environmental Contingencies

Although the Utility has provided for known environmental obligations that are probable and reasonably estimable, the Utility's undiscounted future costs could increase to as much as \$1.8 billion (including amounts related to the Hinkley and Topock sites described above) if the extent of contamination or necessary remediation is greater than anticipated or if the other potentially responsible parties are not financially able to contribute to these costs. The Utility may incur actual costs in the future that are materially different than this estimate and such costs could have a material impact on results of operations during the period in which they are recorded.

## Nuclear Insurance

The Utility is a member of NEIL, which is a mutual insurer owned by utilities with nuclear facilities. NEIL provides insurance coverage for property damages and business interruption losses incurred by the Utility if a nuclear event were to occur at the Utility's two nuclear generating units at Diablo Canyon and the retired Humboldt Bay Unit 3. NEIL provides property damage and business interruption coverage of up to \$3.2 billion per nuclear incident and \$2.6 billion per non-nuclear incident for Diablo Canyon. Humboldt Bay Unit 3 has up to \$131 million of coverage for nuclear and non-nuclear property damages. If NEIL losses in any policy year exceed accumulated funds, the Utility could be subject to a retrospective assessment. If NEIL were to exercise this assessment, as of December 31, 2014, the current maximum aggregate annual retrospective premium obligation for the Utility is approximately \$51 million.

NEIL also provides coverage for damages caused by acts of terrorism at nuclear power plants. Certain acts of terrorism may be "certified" by the Secretary of the Treasury. If damages are caused by certified acts of terrorism, NEIL can obtain compensation from the federal government and will provide up to its full policy limit of \$3.2 billion for each insured loss. In contrast, NEIL would treat all non-certified terrorist acts occurring within a 12-month period against one or more commercial nuclear power plants insured by NEIL as one event and the owners of the affected plants would share the \$3.2 billion policy limit amount.

Under the Price-Anderson Act, public liability claims that arise from nuclear incidents that occur at Diablo Canyon, and that occur during the transportation of material to and from Diablo Canyon are limited to \$13.6 billion. The Utility purchased the maximum available public liability insurance of \$375 million for Diablo Canyon. The balance of the \$13.6 billion of liability protection is provided under a loss-sharing program among utilities owning nuclear reactors. The Utility may be assessed up to \$255 million per nuclear incident under this program, with payments in each year limited to a maximum of \$38 million per incident. Both the maximum assessment and the maximum yearly assessment are adjusted for inflation at least every five years. The next scheduled adjustment is due on or before September 10, 2018.

The Price-Anderson Act does not apply to claims that arise from nuclear incidents that occur during shipping of nuclear material from the nuclear fuel enricher to a fuel fabricator or that occur at the fuel fabricator's facility. The Utility has a separate policy that provides coverage for claims arising from some of these incidents up to a maximum of \$375 million per incident. In addition, the Utility has \$53 million of liability insurance for Humboldt Bay Unit 3 and has a \$500 million indemnification from the NRC for public liability arising from nuclear incidents, covering liabilities in excess of the liability insurance.



## Purchase Commitments

The following table shows the undiscounted future expected obligations under power purchase agreements that have been approved by the CPUC and have met specified construction milestones as well as undiscounted future expected payment obligations for natural gas supplies, natural gas transportation, natural gas storage, and nuclear fuel as of December 31, 2014:

(in millions)	Power Purchase Agreements					Total
	Renewable Energy	Qualifying Facility	Other	Natural Gas	Nuclear Fuel	
2015	\$2,145	\$601	\$820	\$544	\$138	\$4,248
2016	2,185	525	766	164	129	3,769
2017	2,187	418	758	107	131	3,601
2018	2,063	382	731	107	115	3,398
2019	2,053	304	706	107	109	3,279
Thereafter	30,289	1,217	2,390	648	429	34,973
Total purchase commitments	\$40,922	\$3,447	\$6,171	\$1,677	\$1,051	\$53,268

## Third-Party Power Purchase Agreements

In the ordinary course of business, the Utility enters into various agreements, including renewable energy agreements, QF agreements, and other power purchase agreements to purchase power and electric capacity. The price of purchased power may be fixed or variable. Variable pricing is generally based on the current market price of either natural gas or electricity at the date of delivery.

**Renewable Energy Power Purchase Agreements** – In order to comply with California’s RPS requirements, the Utility is required to deliver renewable energy to its customers at a gradually increasing rate. The Utility has entered into various agreements to purchase renewable energy to help meet California’s requirement. The Utility’s obligations under a significant portion of these agreements are contingent on the third party’s construction of new generation facilities, which are expected to grow significantly. As of December 31, 2014, renewable energy contracts expire at various dates between 2016 and 2043.

**Qualifying Facility Power Purchase Agreement** – The Utility has entered into agreements to purchase energy and capacity with independent power producers that own generation facilities that meet the definition of a QF under federal law. Several of these agreements are treated as capital leases. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, net capital leases reflected in property, plant, and equipment on the Consolidated Balance Sheets were \$74 million and \$97 million including accumulated amortization of \$128 million and \$176 million. The present value of the future minimum lease payments due under these agreements included \$20 million and \$23 million in Current Liabilities and \$54 million and \$74 million in Noncurrent Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, QF contracts in operation expire at various dates between 2015 and 2028.

**Other Power Purchase Agreements** – The Utility has entered into many power purchase agreements for conventional generation resources, which include tolling agreements and resource adequacy agreements. The Utility’s obligation under a portion of these agreements is contingent on the third parties’ development of new generation facilities to provide capacity and energy products to the Utility. In addition, the Utility has agreements with various irrigation districts and water agencies to purchase hydroelectric power. As of December 31, 2014, other power purchase agreements expire at various dates between 2015 and 2033.

The costs incurred for all power purchases and electric capacity amounted to \$3.6 billion in 2014, \$3.0 billion in 2013, and \$2.3 billion in 2012.



### Natural Gas Supply, Transportation, and Storage Commitments

The Utility purchases natural gas directly from producers and marketers in both Canada and the United States to serve its core customers and to fuel its owned-generation facilities. These purchase agreements expire at various dates between 2015 and 2026. The Utility also contracts for natural gas transportation from the points at which the Utility takes delivery (typically in Canada, the US Rocky Mountain supply area, and the southwestern United States) to the points at which the Utility's natural gas transportation system begins. In addition, the Utility has contracted for natural gas storage services in northern California in order to more reliably meet customers' loads.

Costs incurred for natural gas purchases, natural gas transportation services, and natural gas storage, which include contracts with terms of less than 1 year, amounted to \$1.4 billion in 2014, \$1.6 billion in 2013, and \$1.3 billion in 2012.

### Nuclear Fuel Agreements

The Utility has entered into several purchase agreements for nuclear fuel. These agreements expire at various dates between 2015 and 2025 and are intended to ensure long-term nuclear fuel supply. The Utility relies on a number of international producers of nuclear fuel in order to diversify its sources and provide security of supply. Pricing terms are also diversified, ranging from market-based prices to base prices that are escalated using published indices.

Payments for nuclear fuel amounted to \$105 million in 2014, \$162 million in 2013, and \$118 million in 2012.

### Other Commitments

PG&E Corporation and the Utility have other commitments related to operating leases (primarily office facilities and land), which expire at various dates between 2015 and 2052. At December 31, 2014, the future minimum payments related to these commitments were as follows:

(in millions)	Operating Leases
2015	\$44
2016	43
2017	33
2018	30
2019	27
Thereafter	183
<b>Total minimum lease payments</b>	<b>\$360</b>

Payments for other commitments related to operating leases amounted to \$42 million in 2014, \$40 million in 2013, and \$32 million in 2012. Certain leases on office facilities contain escalation clauses requiring annual increases in rent. The rentals payable under these leases may increase by a fixed amount each year, a percentage of increase over base year, or the consumer price index. Most leases contain extension operations ranging between one and five years.

## QUARTERLY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

(in millions, except per share amounts)	Quarter ended			
	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
2014				
<b>PG&amp;E CORPORATION</b>				
Operating revenues (1)	\$4,308	\$4,939	\$3,952	\$3,891
Operating income	383	1,065	518	484
Income tax provision	35	115	104	91
Net income (2)	135	814	271	230
Income available for common shareholders	131	811	267	227
Comprehensive income	120	796	260	235
Net earnings per common share, basic	0.28	1.72	0.57	0.49
Net earnings per common share, diluted	0.27	1.71	0.57	0.49
Common stock price per share:				
High	54.98	48.07	48.23	44.73
Low	44.38	43.00	42.37	39.60
<b>UTILITY</b>				
Operating revenues (1)	\$4,308	\$4,939	\$3,951	\$3,890
Operating income	383	1,059	525	485
Income tax provision	59	115	110	100
Net income (2)	162	793	250	228
Income available for common stock	158	790	246	225
Comprehensive income	154	793	250	228
2013				
<b>PG&amp;E CORPORATION</b>				
Operating revenues	\$3,975	\$4,175	\$3,776	\$3,672
Operating income	333	291	636	502
Income tax (benefit) provision	25	(24 )	153	114
Net income (2)	90	164	332	242
Income available for common shareholders	86	161	328	239
Comprehensive income	210	165	352	252
Net earnings per common share, basic	0.19	0.36	0.74	0.55
Net earnings per common share, diluted	0.19	0.36	0.74	0.55
Common stock price per share:				
High	42.75	46.37	48.44	44.53
Low	40.07	40.76	43.59	40.47
<b>UTILITY</b>				
Operating revenues	\$3,973	\$4,174	\$3,775	\$3,671
Operating income	360	292	635	503
Income tax (benefit) provision	65	(20 )	160	121
Net income (2)	138	162	329	237
Income available for common stock	134	159	325	234
Comprehensive income	231	166	333	242

(1) In the third quarter 2014, the Utility recorded an increase to base revenues as authorized by the CPUC in the 2014 GRC decision.

(2) The Utility recorded a charge to net income of \$116 million in the fourth quarter of 2014 and \$196 million in the third quarter of 2013 for PSEP capital costs that are forecasted to exceed the authorized amounts. (See Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.)

125

---

## MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of PG&E Corporation and Utility is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of PG&E Corporation and the Utility, (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of PG&E Corporation and the Utility, and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its assessment and those criteria, management has concluded that PG&E Corporation and the Utility maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
San Francisco, California

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of PG&E Corporation and subsidiaries (the “Company”) and of Pacific Gas and Electric Company and subsidiaries (the “Utility”) as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company’s and the Utility’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s and the Utility’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company’s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company and the Utility maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014 of the Company and the Utility and our report dated February 10, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and includes an explanatory paragraph relating to three investigative enforcement proceedings pending with the California

Public Utilities Commission that may result in material amounts of penalties.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

San Francisco, California

February 10, 2015

127

---



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
San Francisco, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of PG&E Corporation and subsidiaries (the “Company”) and of Pacific Gas and Electric Company and subsidiaries (the “Utility”) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the Company’s related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows and the Utility’s related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s and the Utility’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of PG&E Corporation and subsidiaries and of Pacific Gas and Electric Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements, there are three investigative enforcement proceedings pending with the California Public Utilities Commission that may result in material amounts of penalties.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s and the Utility’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 10, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company’s and the Utility’s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

San Francisco, California

February 10, 2015

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Based on an evaluation of PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2014, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's respective principal executive officers and principal financial officers have concluded that such controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by PG&E Corporation and the Utility in reports that the companies file or submit under the 1934 Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms. In addition, PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's respective principal executive officers and principal financial officers have concluded that such controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by PG&E Corporation and the Utility in the reports that PG&E Corporation and the Utility file or submit under the 1934 Act is accumulated and communicated to PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's management, including PG&E Corporation's and the Utility's respective principal executive officers and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, PG&E Corporation's or the Utility's internal control over financial reporting.

Management of PG&E Corporation and the Utility have prepared an annual report on internal control over financial reporting. Management's report, together with the report of the independent registered public accounting firm, appears in Item 8 of this report under the heading "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting" and "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

## PART III

### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information regarding executive officers of PG&E Corporation and the Utility is set forth under “Executive Officers of the Registrants” at the end of Part I of this report. Other information regarding directors is set forth under the heading “Nominees for Directors of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company” in the Joint Proxy Statement relating to the 2015 Annual Meetings of Shareholders, which information is incorporated herein by reference. Information regarding compliance with Section 16 of the Exchange Act is included under the heading “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in the Joint Proxy Statement relating to the 2015 Annual Meetings of Shareholders, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Website Availability of Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance and Other Documents

The following documents are available both on PG&E Corporation’s website [www.pgecorp.com](http://www.pgecorp.com), and the Utility’s website, [www.pge.com](http://www.pge.com): (1) the codes of conduct and ethics adopted by PG&E Corporation and the Utility applicable to their respective directors and employees, including their respective Chief Executive Officers, Chief Financial Officers, Controllers and other executive officers, (2) PG&E Corporation’s and the Utility’s corporate governance guidelines, and (3) key Board Committee charters, including charters for the companies’ Audit Committees and the PG&E Corporation Nominating and Governance Committee and Compensation Committee.

If any amendments are made to, or any waivers are granted with respect to, provisions of the codes of conduct and ethics adopted by PG&E Corporation and the Utility that apply to their respective Chief Executive Officers, Chief Financial Officers, or Controllers, the company whose code is so affected will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on its respective website and any waivers to the code will be disclosed in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed within four business days of the waiver.

#### Procedures for Shareholder Recommendations of Nominees to the Boards of Directors

During 2014, there were no material changes to the procedures described in PG&E Corporation’s and the Utility’s Joint Proxy Statement relating to the 2014 Annual Meetings of Shareholders by which security holders may recommend nominees to PG&E Corporation’s or Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s Boards of Directors.

#### Audit Committees and Audit Committee Financial Expert

Information regarding the Audit Committees of PG&E Corporation and the Utility and the “audit committee financial expert” as defined by the SEC is set forth under the headings “Corporate Governance – Board Committee Duties – Audit Committees” and “Corporate Governance – Committee Membership” in the Joint Proxy Statement relating to the 2015 Annual Meetings of Shareholders, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information responding to Item 11, for each of PG&E Corporation and the Utility, is set forth under the headings “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” “Compensation Committee Report,” “Summary Compensation Table - 2014,” “Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2014,” “Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End - 2014,” “Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2014,” “Pension Benefits – 2014,” “Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation – 2014,” “Potential Payment Upon Resignation, Retirement, Termination, Change in Control, Death, or Disability” and “Compensation of Non-Employee Directors – 2014 Director Compensation” in the Joint Proxy Statement relating to the 2015 Annual Meetings of Shareholders, which information is incorporated herein by reference.



## ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information regarding the beneficial ownership of securities for each of PG&E Corporation and the Utility is set forth under the headings “Share Ownership Information – Security Ownership of Management” and “Share Ownership Information – Principal Shareholders” in the Joint Proxy Statement relating to the 2015 Annual Meetings of Shareholders, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

## Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2014 concerning shares of PG&E Corporation common stock authorized for issuance under PG&E Corporation's existing equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	(a)		(b)		(c)	
	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights		Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights		Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))	
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders	6,303,612	(1)	\$ 34.83	(2)	16,184,126	(3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	-		-		-	
Total equity compensation plans	6,303,612	(1)	\$ 34.83	(2)	16,184,126	(3)

(1) Includes 45,660 phantom stock units, 2,571,530 restricted stock units and 3,659,066 performance shares. The weighted average exercise price reported in column (b) does not take these awards into account. For performance shares, amounts reflected in this table assume payout in shares at 200% of target or, for performance shares granted in 2012, reflects the actual payout percentage of 35%. The actual number of shares issued can range from 0% to 200% of target depending on achievement of total shareholder return objectives. Also, restricted stock units and performance shares are generally settled in net shares. Upon vesting, shares with a value equal to required tax withholding will be withheld and, in lieu of issuing the shares, taxes will be paid on behalf of employees. Shares not issued due to share withholding or performance achievement below maximum will be available again for issuance.

(2) This is the weighted average exercise price for the 26,756 options outstanding as of December 31, 2014.

(3) Represents the total number of shares available for issuance under all of PG&E Corporation's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2014. Stock-based awards granted under these plans include restricted stock units, performance shares and phantom stock units. The 2014 LTIP, which became effective on May 12, 2014, authorizes up to 17 million shares to be issued pursuant to awards granted under the 2014 LTIP, less approximately 2.7 million shares for awards granted under the 2006 LTIP from January 1, 2014 through May 11, 2014. In addition, if any awards outstanding under the 2006 LTIP at December 31, 2013 are cancelled, forfeited or expire without being settled in full, shares of stock allocable to the terminated portion of such awards shall again be available for issuance under the 2014 LTIP.

For more information, see Note 5: Common Stock and Share-Based Compensation of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Item 8.

## ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information responding to Item 13, for each of PG&E Corporation and the Utility, is included under the headings “Related Party Transactions” and “Corporate Governance – Board and Director Independence and Qualifications” and “Corporate Governance – Committee Membership” in the Joint Proxy Statement relating to the 2015 Annual Meetings of Shareholders, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Information responding to Item 14, for each of PG&E Corporation and the Utility, is set forth under the heading “Information Regarding the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company” in the Joint Proxy Statement relating to the 2015 Annual Meetings of Shareholders, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

1. The following consolidated financial statements, supplemental information and report of independent registered public accounting firm are filed as part of this report in Item 8:

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 for each of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 for each of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013 for each of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 for each of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 for PG&E Corporation.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 for Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Quarterly Consolidated Financial Data (Unaudited).

Management's Report on Internal Controls

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Deloitte & Touche LLP).

2. The following financial statement schedules are filed as part of this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Deloitte & Touche LLP).

I—Condensed Financial Information of Parent as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012.

II—Consolidated Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for each of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012.

3. Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of PG&E Corporation effective as of May 29, 2002 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 3.1)
3.2	Certificate of Determination for PG&E Corporation Series A Preferred Stock filed December 22, 2000 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 3.2)
3.3	Bylaws of PG&E Corporation amended as of February 19, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 3.1)
3.4	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Pacific Gas and Electric Company effective as of April 12, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K filed April 12, 2004 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 3)
3.5	Bylaws of Pacific Gas and Electric Company amended as of February 19, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 3.2)
4.1	Indenture, dated as of April 22, 2005, supplementing, amending and restating the Indenture of Mortgage, dated as of March 11, 2004, as supplemented by a First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 23, 2004, and a Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 12, 2004, between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
4.2	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 13, 2007 relating to the Utility's issuance of \$700,000,000 principal amount of 5.80% Senior Notes due March 1, 2037 (incorporated by reference from Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2007 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
4.3	Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of December 4, 2007 relating to the Utility's issuance of \$500,000,000 principal amount of 5.625% Senior Notes due November 30, 2017 (incorporated by reference from Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2007 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
4.4	Third Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 3, 2008 relating to the Utility's issuance of 5.625% Senior Notes due November 30, 2017 and 6.35% Senior Notes due February 15, 2038 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated March 3, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
4.5	Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 21, 2008 relating to the Utility's issuance of \$600,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 8.25% Senior Notes due October 15, 2018 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated October 21, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)



- 4.6 Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 18, 2008 relating to the Utility's issuance of \$400,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 6.25% Senior Notes due December 1, 2013 and \$200 million principal amount of its 8.25% Senior Notes due October 15, 2018 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 18, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.7 Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 6, 2009 relating to the issuance of \$550,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 6.25% Senior Notes due March 1, 2039 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated March 6, 2009 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.8 Eighth Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 18, 2009 relating to the issuance of \$550,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Senior Notes due January 15, 2040 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 18, 2009 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.9 Ninth Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 1, 2010 relating to the issuance of \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Senior Notes due January 15, 2040 and \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Senior Notes due March 1, 2037 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated April 1, 2010 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.10 Tenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of September 15, 2010 relating to the issuance of \$550,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.50% Senior Notes due October 1, 2020 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated September 15, 2010 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.11 Twelfth Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 18, 2010 relating to the issuance of \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.50% Senior Notes due October 1, 2020 and \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 5.40% Senior Notes due January 15, 2040 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 18, 2010 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.12 Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of May 13, 2011, relating to the issuance of \$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 4.25% Senior Notes due May 15, 2021 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated May 13, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.13 Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of September 12, 2011 relating to the issuance of \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.25% Senior Notes due September 15, 2021 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated September 12, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.14 Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of December 1, 2011 relating to the issuance of \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 4.50% Senior Notes due December 15, 2041 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated December 1, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.15 Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 16, 2012 relating to the issuance of \$400,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 4.45% Senior Notes due April 15, 2042 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated April 16, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.16 Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of August 16, 2012 relating to the issuance of \$400,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 2.45% Senior Notes due August 15, 2022 and \$350,000,000 aggregate principal

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.75% Senior Notes due August 15, 2042 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated August 16, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)

- 4.17 Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 14, 2013 relating to the issuance of \$375,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.25% Senior Notes due June 15, 2023 and \$375,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 4.60% Senior Notes due June 15, 2043 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated June 14, 2013 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.18 Twentieth Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 12, 2013 relating to the issuance of \$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.85% Senior Notes due November 15, 2023 and \$500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 5.125% Senior Notes due November 15, 2043 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 12, 2013 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.19 Twenty-First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 21, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$450,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.75% Senior Notes due February 15, 2024 and \$450,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 4.75% Senior Notes due February 15, 2044 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated February 21, 2014 (File No. 12348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.20 Twenty-Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 12, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Floating Rate Senior Notes due May 11, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated May 12, 2014 (File No. 12348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.21 Twenty-Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 18, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.40% Senior Notes due August 15, 2024 and \$225,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 4.75% Senior Notes due February 15, 2044 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated August 18, 2014 (File No. 12348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.22 Twenty-Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 6, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 4.30% Senior Notes due March 15, 2045 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 6, 2014 (File No. 12348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.23 Senior Note Indenture, dated as of February 10, 2014, between PG&E Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form S-3 (File No. 333-193880), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.24 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 27, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount of PG&E Corporation's 2.40% Senior Notes due March 1, 2019 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 8-K dated February 27, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.25 Senior Note Indenture related to PG&E Corporation's 5.75% Senior Notes due April 1, 2014, dated as of March 12, 2009, between PG&E Corporation and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas as Trustee (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 8-K dated March 10, 2009 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.26 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 12, 2009 relating to the issuance of \$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount of PG&E Corporation's 5.75% Senior Notes due April 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 8-K dated March 10, 2009 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 4.2)
- 10.1 Amended and restated credit agreement dated April 1, 2013 among (1) PG&E Corporation as borrower, (2) Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and a

lender, (3) J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, RBS Securities Inc. and Wells Fargo Securities LLC as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, (4) Citibank N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as co-syndication agents and lenders, (5) The Royal Bank of Scotland plc and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as co-documentation agents and lenders, and (6) the following other lenders: Barclays Bank PLC, BNP Paribas, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A., Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., The Bank of New York Mellon, N.A., Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., Royal Bank of Canada, U.S. Bank National Association, Union Bank, N.A., TD Bank, N.A., Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)

Amended and restated credit agreement dated April 1, 2013 among (1) Pacific Gas and Electric Company as borrower, (2) Citibank N.A., as administrative agent and a lender, (3) Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, RBS Securities Inc. and Wells Fargo Securities LLC as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, (4) Bank of America, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as co-syndication agents and lenders, (5) The Royal Bank of Scotland plc and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as co-documentation agents and lenders, and (6) the following other lenders: Barclays Bank PLC, BNP Paribas, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A., Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., The Bank of New York Mellon, N.A., Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., Royal Bank of Canada, U.S. Bank National Association, Union Bank, N.A., TD Bank, N.A., Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.2)

10.2

Settlement Agreement among California Public Utilities Commission, Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation, dated as of December 19, 2003, together with appendices (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K filed December 22, 2003 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 99)

10.3

Transmission Control Agreement among the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and the Participating Transmission Owners, including Pacific Gas and Electric Company, effective as of March 31, 1998, as amended (CAISO, FERC Electric Tariff No. 7) (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.8)

10.4

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.5 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between PG&E Corporation and Anthony F. Earley, Jr. dated August 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.6 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2014 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.7 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2013 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.5)
- 10.8 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2012 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.9 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.10 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.11 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2014 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.5)
- 10.12 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2013 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.6)
- 10.13 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2012 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.14 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.15 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.5)
- 10.16 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Christopher P. Johns and PG&E Corporation dated May 9, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.17 \* Letter regarding Compensation Arrangement between PG&E Corporation and Hyun Park dated October 10, 2006 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.18)
- 10.18 \* Letter regarding Compensation Arrangement between PG&E Corporation and John R. Simon dated March 9, 2007 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.18)



Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.19 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Jesus Soto, Jr. dated April 4, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.20 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Edward D. Halpin dated February 3, 2012 for employment starting April 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.21)
- 10.21 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Karen Austin dated April 29, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.7)
- 10.22 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Nick Stavropoulos dated April 29, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.8)
- 10.23 \* Separation Agreement between PG&E Corporation and Greg Pruett dated August 8, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.24 \* Separation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Thomas Bottorff dated September 17, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.25 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Steven Malnight dated February 22, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.26 \* Amended and Restated Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between C. Lee Cox and PG&E Corporation for 2013 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.6)
- 10.27 \* PG&E Corporation Supplemental Retirement Savings Plan amended effective as of September 19, 2001, and frozen after December 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004) (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.10)
- 10.28 \* PG&E Corporation 2005 Supplemental Retirement Savings Plan, as amended effective September 17, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.29 \* PG&E Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, effective as of January 1, 2005 (as amended to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A regulations effective as of January 1, 2009) (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.24)
- 10.30 \* PG&E Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended and restated effective as of July 22, 1998 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.31 \* Description of Short-Term Incentive Plan for Officers of PG&E Corporation and its subsidiaries, effective January 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609),

Exhibit 10.1)

- 10.32 \* Amendment to PG&E Corporation Short-Term Incentive Programs and Other Bonus Programs, effective January 1, 2009 (amendment to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A regulations) (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.27)



Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.33 \* Amendment to Pacific Gas and Electric Company Short-Term Incentive Programs and Other Bonus Programs, effective January 1, 2009 (amendment to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A regulations) (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.28)
- 10.34 \* PG&E Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended effective as of January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609, Exhibit 10.31)
- 10.35 \* PG&E Corporation Defined Contribution Executive Supplemental Retirement Plan, as amended effective September 17, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.36 \* Pacific Gas and Electric Company Relocation Assistance Program for Officers (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.30)
- 10.37 \* Amendment to the Postretirement Life Insurance Plan of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, effective February 5, 2015
- 10.38 \* Postretirement Life Insurance Plan of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company as amended and restated on February 14, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.7)
- 10.39 \* PG&E Corporation Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan (a component of the PG&E Corporation Long-Term Incentive Program) as amended effective as of July 1, 2004 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.27)
- 10.40 \* Resolution of the PG&E Corporation Board of Directors dated September 16, 2014, adopting director compensation arrangement effective January 1, 2015
- 10.41 \* Resolution of the PG&E Corporation Board of Directors dated September 19, 2012, adopting director compensation arrangement effective January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012) (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.36)
- 10.42 \* Resolution of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company Board of Directors dated September 16, 2014, adopting director compensation arrangement effective January 1, 2015
- 10.43 \* Resolution of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company Board of Directors dated September 19, 2012, adopting director compensation arrangement effective January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012) (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.37)
- 10.44 \* PG&E Corporation 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan effective May 12, 2014 and amended effective January 1, 2015
- 10.45 \* PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended effective January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.40)
- 10.46 \* PG&E Corporation Long-Term Incentive Program (including the PG&E Corporation Stock Option Plan and Performance Unit Plan), as amended May 16, 2001, (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10)



- 10.47 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2014 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.48 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2013 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.49 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2012 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.50 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2011 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.51 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2010 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.52 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2014 grants to directors under the PG&E Corporation 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.53 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2013 grants to directors under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.54 \* Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the PG&E Corporation Long-Term Incentive Program (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K filed January 6, 2005 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 99.1)
- 10.55 \* Form of Performance Share Agreement for 2014 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.56 \* Form of Performance Share Agreement for 2013 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.57 \* Form of Performance Share Agreement for 2012 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.58 \* Form of Performance Share Agreement for 2011 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.59 \* PG&E Corporation 2010 Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines as adopted September 14, 2010, effective January 1, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.60 \* PG&E Corporation Executive Stock Ownership Program Guidelines as amended effective September 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)

- 10.61 \* PG&E Corporation Officer Severance Policy, as amended effective as of May 12, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.62 \* PG&E Corporation 2012 Officer Severance Policy, effective as of March 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.6)
- 10.63 \* PG&E Corporation Officer Severance Policy, as amended effective as of March 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.5)
- 10.64 \* PG&E Corporation Officer Severance Policy, as amended effective as of February 15, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.51)
- 10.65 \* PG&E Corporation Golden Parachute Restriction Policy effective as of February 15, 2006 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.49)
- 10.66 \* Amendment to PG&E Corporation Golden Parachute Restriction Policy dated December 31, 2008 (amendment to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A Regulations) (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.58)
- 10.67 \* PG&E Corporation Director Grantor Trust Agreement dated April 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1998 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.68 \* PG&E Corporation Officer Grantor Trust Agreement dated April 1, 1998, as updated effective January 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.39)
- 10.69 \* PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company Executive Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy effective as of February 17, 2010 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.54)
- 10.70 \* Resolution of the Board of Directors of PG&E Corporation regarding indemnification of officers and directors dated December 18, 1996 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.40)
- 10.71 \* Resolution of the Board of Directors of Pacific Gas and Electric Company regarding indemnification of officers and directors dated July 19, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.41)
- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges for Pacific Gas and Electric Company

12.2	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends for Pacific Gas and Electric Company
12.3	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges for PG&E Corporation
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Deloitte & Touche LLP)
24	Powers of Attorney
31.1	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of PG&E Corporation required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of Pacific Gas and Electric Company required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	** Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of PG&E Corporation required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	** Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of Pacific Gas and Electric Company required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

\* Management contract or compensatory agreement.

\*\* Pursuant to Item 601(b)(32) of SEC Regulation S-K, these exhibits are furnished rather than filed with this report.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PG&amp;E CORPORATION

(Registrant)

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY

(Registrant)

ANTHONY F. EARLEY, JR.

Anthony F. Earley, Jr.

CHRISTOPHER P. JOHNS

Christopher P. Johns

By: Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive  
Officer, and President

By: President

Date: February 10, 2015

Date: February 10, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrants and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
A. Principal Executive Officers		
ANTHONY F. EARLEY, JR. Anthony F. Earley, Jr.	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, and President (PG&E Corporation)	February 10, 2015
CHRISTOPHER P. JOHNS Christopher P. Johns	President (Pacific Gas and Electric Company)	February 10, 2015
B. Principal Financial Officers		
KENT M. HARVEY Kent M. Harvey	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (PG&E Corporation)	February 10, 2015
DINYAR B. MISTRY Dinyar B. Mistry	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Controller (Pacific Gas and Electric Company)	February 10, 2015
C. Principal Accounting Officer		
DINYAR B. MISTRY Dinyar B. Mistry	Vice President and Controller (PG&E Corporation) Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Controller	February 10, 2015

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K  
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company)

142

---

## D. Directors

*LEWIS CHEW Lewis Chew	Director	February 10, 2015
*ANTHONY F. EARLEY, JR. Anthony F. Earley, Jr.	Director	February 10, 2015
*FRED J. FOWLER Fred J. Fowler	Director	February 10, 2015
*MARYELLEN C. HERRINGER Maryellen C. Herring	Director	February 10, 2015
*CHRISTOPHER P. JOHNS Christopher P. Johns	Director (Pacific Gas and Electric Company only)	February 10, 2015
*RICHARD C. KELLY Richard C. Kelly	Director	February 10, 2015
*ROGER H. KIMMEL Roger H. Kimmel	Director	February 10, 2015
*RICHARD A. MESERVE Richard A. Meserve	Director	February 10, 2015
*FORREST E. MILLER Forrest E. Miller	Director	February 10, 2015
*ROSENDO G. PARRA Rosendo G. Parra	Director	February 10, 2015
*BARBARA L. RAMBO Barbara L. Rambo	Director	February 10, 2015
*BARRY LAWSON WILLIAMS	Director	February 10, 2015



Barry Lawson Williams

\*By:HYUN PARK  
HYUN PARK,  
Attorney-in-Fact

143

---

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
San Francisco, California

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of PG&E Corporation and subsidiaries (the “Company”) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company and subsidiaries (the “Utility”) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, and the Company's and the Utility's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, and have issued our reports thereon dated February 10, 2015 (which report on the consolidated financial statements expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph relating to three investigative enforcement proceedings pending with the California Public Utilities Commission that may result in material amounts of penalties); such reports are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedules of the Company and Utility listed in Item 15. These consolidated financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's and the Utility's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

San Francisco, California  
February 10, 2015

PG&E CORPORATION  
 SCHEDULE I — CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF PARENT  
 CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in millions, except per share amounts)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Administrative service revenue	\$51	\$41	\$43
Operating expenses	(53 )	(42 )	(41 )
Interest income	1	1	1
Interest expense	(14 )	(25 )	(22 )
Other expense	(1 )	(57 )	(39 )
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	1,413	848	817
Income before income taxes	1,397	766	759
Income tax benefit	39	48	57
Net income	\$1,436	\$814	\$816
Other Comprehensive Income			
Pension and other postretirement benefit plans obligations (net of taxes of \$10, \$80, and \$72, at respective dates)	\$(14 )	\$113	\$108
Net change in investments (net of taxes of \$17, \$26, and \$3, at respective dates)	(25 )	38	4
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(39 )	151	112
Comprehensive Income	\$1,397	\$965	\$928
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding, Basic	468	444	424
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding, Diluted	470	445	425
Earnings per common share, basic	\$3.07	\$1.83	\$1.92
Earnings per common share, diluted	\$3.06	\$1.83	\$1.92

PG&E CORPORATION  
 SCHEDULE I — CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF PARENT — (Continued)  
 CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

(in millions)	Balance at December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$96	\$231
Advances to affiliates	31	30
Income taxes receivable	29	13
Other	38	86
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>360</b>
<b>Noncurrent Assets</b>		
Equipment	2	2
Accumulated depreciation	(1)	(1)
<b>Net equipment</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Investments in subsidiaries	16,003	14,711
Other investments	117	110
Income taxes receivable	-	5
Deferred income taxes	260	188
<b>Total noncurrent assets</b>	<b>16,381</b>	<b>15,015</b>
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$16,575</b>	<b>\$15,375</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities</b>		
Short-term borrowings	\$-	\$260
Long-term debt classified as current	-	350
Accounts payable – other	67	66
Other	269	230
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>906</b>
<b>Noncurrent Liabilities</b>		
Long-term debt	350	-
Other	141	127
<b>Total noncurrent liabilities</b>	<b>491</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>Common Shareholders' Equity</b>		
Common stock	10,421	9,550
Reinvested earnings	5,316	4,742
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	11	50
<b>Total common shareholders' equity</b>	<b>15,748</b>	<b>14,342</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$16,575</b>	<b>\$15,375</b>

PG&E CORPORATION  
SCHEDULE I – CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF PARENT – (Continued)  
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 1,436	\$ 814	\$ 816
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Stock-based compensation amortization	65	54	51
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(1,413 )	(848 )	(817 )
Deferred income taxes and tax credits, net	(72 )	(10 )	(31 )
Noncurrent income taxes receivable/payable	5	-	(6 )
Current income taxes receivable/payable	(16 )	20	(82 )
Other	43	(20 )	20
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	48	10	(49 )
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:			
Investment in subsidiaries	(978 )	(1,371 )	(1,023 )
Dividends received from subsidiaries (1)	716	716	716
Proceeds from tax equity investments	368	275	228
Other	-	(8 )	-
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	106	(388 )	(79 )
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:			
Borrowings under revolving credit facilities	-	140	120
Repayments under revolving credit facilities	(260 )	-	-
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt, net of discount and issuance costs of \$3 in 2014	347	-	-
Repayments of long-term debt	(350 )	-	-
Common stock issued	802	1,045	751
Common stock dividends paid (2)	(828 )	(782 )	(746 )
Other	-	(1 )	1
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(289 )	402	126
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(135 )	24	(2 )
Cash and cash equivalents at January 1	231	207	209
Cash and cash equivalents at December 31	\$ 96	\$ 231	\$ 207
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information			
Cash received (paid) for:			
Interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ (15 )	\$ (23 )	\$ (20 )
Income taxes, net	1	21	(60 )
Supplemental disclosures of noncash investing and financing activities			
Noncash common stock issuances	21	22	22
Common stock dividends declared but not yet paid	\$ 217	\$ 208	\$ 196

(1) Because of its nature as a holding company, PG&E Corporation classifies dividends received from subsidiaries as an investing cash flow.

(2) In January, April, July, and October of 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively, PG&E Corporation paid quarterly common stock dividends of \$0.455 per share.

## PG&amp;E Corporation

**SCHEDULE II – CONSOLIDATED VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**  
For the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012

(in millions)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions		Deductions (2)	Balance at End of Period
		Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts		
Valuation and qualifying accounts deducted from assets:					
2014:					
Allowance for uncollectible accounts (1)	\$80	\$41	\$-	\$55	\$66
2013:					
Allowance for uncollectible accounts (1)	\$87	\$53	\$-	\$60	\$80
2012:					
Allowance for uncollectible accounts (1)	\$81	\$66	\$-	\$60	\$87

(1) Allowance for uncollectible accounts is deducted from “Accounts receivable – Customers.”

(2) Deductions consist principally of write-offs, net of collections of receivables previously written off.

## Pacific Gas and Electric Company

**SCHEDULE II – CONSOLIDATED VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**  
 For the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012

(in millions)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions		Deductions (2)	Balance at End of Period
		Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts		
Valuation and qualifying accounts deducted from assets:					
2014:					
Allowance for uncollectible accounts (1)	\$80	\$41	\$-	\$55	\$66
2013:					
Allowance for uncollectible accounts (1)	\$87	\$53	\$-	\$60	\$80
2012:					
Allowance for uncollectible accounts (1)	\$81	\$66	\$-	\$60	\$87

(1) Allowance for uncollectible accounts is deducted from “Accounts receivable – Customers.”

(2) Deductions consist principally of write-offs, net of collections of receivables previously written off.



## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of PG&E Corporation effective as of May 29, 2002 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 3.1)
3.2	Certificate of Determination for PG&E Corporation Series A Preferred Stock filed December 22, 2000 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 3.2)
3.3	Bylaws of PG&E Corporation amended as of February 19, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 3.1)
3.4	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Pacific Gas and Electric Company effective as of April 12, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K filed April 12, 2004 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 3)
3.5	Bylaws of Pacific Gas and Electric Company amended as of February 19, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 3.2)
4.1	Indenture, dated as of April 22, 2005, supplementing, amending and restating the Indenture of Mortgage, dated as of March 11, 2004, as supplemented by a First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 23, 2004, and a Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 12, 2004, between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
4.2	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 13, 2007 relating to the Utility's issuance of \$700,000,000 principal amount of 5.80% Senior Notes due March 1, 2037 (incorporated by reference from Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2007 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
4.3	Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of December 4, 2007 relating to the Utility's issuance of \$500,000,000 principal amount of 5.625% Senior Notes due November 30, 2017 (incorporated by reference from Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2007 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
4.4	Third Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 3, 2008 relating to the Utility's issuance of 5.625% Senior Notes due November 30, 2017 and 6.35% Senior Notes due February 15, 2038 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated March 3, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
4.5	Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 21, 2008 relating to the Utility's issuance of \$600,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 8.25% Senior Notes due October 15, 2018 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated October 21, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)

- 4.6 Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 18, 2008 relating to the Utility's issuance of \$400,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 6.25% Senior Notes due December 1, 2013 and \$200 million principal amount of its 8.25% Senior Notes due October 15, 2018 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 18, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.7 Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 6, 2009 relating to the issuance of \$550,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 6.25% Senior Notes due March 1, 2039 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated March 6, 2009 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.8 Eighth Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 18, 2009 relating to the issuance of \$550,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Senior Notes due January 15, 2040 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 18, 2009 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.9 Ninth Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 1, 2010 relating to the issuance of \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Senior Notes due January 15, 2040 and \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Senior Notes due March 1, 2037 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated April 1, 2010 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.10 Tenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of September 15, 2010 relating to the issuance of \$550,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.50% Senior Notes due October 1, 2020 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated September 15, 2010 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.11 Twelfth Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 18, 2010 relating to the issuance of \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.50% Senior Notes due October 1, 2020 and \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 5.40% Senior Notes due January 15, 2040 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 18, 2010 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.12 Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of May 13, 2011, relating to the issuance of \$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 4.25% Senior Notes due May 15, 2021 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated May 13, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.13 Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of September 12, 2011 relating to the issuance of \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.25% Senior Notes due September 15, 2021 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated September 12, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.14 Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of December 1, 2011 relating to the issuance of \$250,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 4.50% Senior Notes due December 15, 2041 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated December 1, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.15 Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 16, 2012 relating to the issuance of \$400,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 4.45% Senior Notes due April 15, 2042 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated April 16, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.16 Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of August 16, 2012 relating to the issuance of \$400,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 2.45% Senior Notes due August 15, 2022 and \$350,000,000 aggregate principal

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.75% Senior Notes due August 15, 2042 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated August 16, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)

- 4.17 Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 14, 2013 relating to the issuance of \$375,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.25% Senior Notes due June 15, 2023 and \$375,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 4.60% Senior Notes due June 15, 2043 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated June 14, 2013 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.18 Twentieth Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 12, 2013 relating to the issuance of \$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.85% Senior Notes due November 15, 2023 and \$500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 5.125% Senior Notes due November 15, 2043 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 12, 2013 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.19 Twenty-First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 21, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$450,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.75% Senior Notes due February 15, 2024 and \$450,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 4.75% Senior Notes due February 15, 2044 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated February 21, 2014 (File No. 12348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.20 Twenty-Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 12, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Floating Rate Senior Notes due May 11, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated May 12, 2014 (File No. 12348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.21 Twenty-Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 18, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 3.40% Senior Notes due August 15, 2024 and \$225,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its 4.75% Senior Notes due February 15, 2044 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated August 18, 2014 (File No. 12348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.22 Twenty-Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 6, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's 4.30% Senior Notes due March 15, 2045 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K dated November 6, 2014 (File No. 12348), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.23 Senior Note Indenture, dated as of February 10, 2014, between PG&E Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form S-3 (File No. 333-193880), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.24 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 27, 2014, relating to the issuance of \$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount of PG&E Corporation's 2.40% Senior Notes due March 1, 2019 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 8-K dated February 27, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.25 Senior Note Indenture related to PG&E Corporation's 5.75% Senior Notes due April 1, 2014, dated as of March 12, 2009, between PG&E Corporation and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas as Trustee (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 8-K dated March 10, 2009 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 4.1)
- 4.26 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 12, 2009 relating to the issuance of \$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount of PG&E Corporation's 5.75% Senior Notes due April 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 8-K dated March 10, 2009 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 4.2)
- 10.1 Amended and restated credit agreement dated April 1, 2013 among (1) PG&E Corporation as borrower, (2) Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and a

lender, (3) J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, RBS Securities Inc. and Wells Fargo Securities LLC as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, (4) Citibank N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as co-syndication agents and lenders, (5) The Royal Bank of Scotland plc and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as co-documentation agents and lenders, and (6) the following other lenders: Barclays Bank PLC, BNP Paribas, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A., Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., The Bank of New York Mellon, N.A., Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., Royal Bank of Canada, U.S. Bank National Association, Union Bank, N.A., TD Bank, N.A., Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)

Amended and restated credit agreement dated April 1, 2013 among (1) Pacific Gas and Electric Company as borrower, (2) Citibank N.A., as administrative agent and a lender, (3) Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, RBS Securities Inc. and Wells Fargo Securities LLC as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, (4) Bank of America, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as co-syndication agents and lenders, (5) The Royal Bank of Scotland plc and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as co-documentation agents and lenders, and (6) the following other lenders: Barclays Bank PLC, BNP Paribas, Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A., Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., The Bank of New York Mellon, N.A., Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., Royal Bank of Canada, U.S. Bank National Association, Union Bank, N.A., TD Bank, N.A., Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.2)

10.2

Settlement Agreement among California Public Utilities Commission, Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation, dated as of December 19, 2003, together with appendices (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K filed December 22, 2003 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 99)

10.3

Transmission Control Agreement among the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and the Participating Transmission Owners, including Pacific Gas and Electric Company, effective as of March 31, 1998, as amended (CAISO, FERC Electric Tariff No. 7) (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.8)

10.4

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.5 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between PG&E Corporation and Anthony F. Earley, Jr. dated August 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.6 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2014 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.7 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2013 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.5)
- 10.8 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2012 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.9 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.10 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.11 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2014 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.5)
- 10.12 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2013 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.6)
- 10.13 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation for 2012 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.14 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.15 \* Performance Share Agreement between Anthony F. Earley, Jr. and PG&E Corporation dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.5)
- 10.16 \* Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between Christopher P. Johns and PG&E Corporation dated May 9, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.17 \* Letter regarding Compensation Arrangement between PG&E Corporation and Hyun Park dated October 10, 2006 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.18)
- 10.18 \* Letter regarding Compensation Arrangement between PG&E Corporation and John R. Simon dated March 9, 2007 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.18)



Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.19 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Jesus Soto, Jr. dated April 4, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.20 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Edward D. Halpin dated February 3, 2012 for employment starting April 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.21)
- 10.21 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Karen Austin dated April 29, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.7)
- 10.22 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Nick Stavropoulos dated April 29, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.8)
- 10.23 \* Separation Agreement between PG&E Corporation and Greg Pruett dated August 8, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.24 \* Separation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Thomas Bottorff dated September 17, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.25 \* Letter regarding Compensation Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Steven Malnight dated February 22, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.26 \* Amended and Restated Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between C. Lee Cox and PG&E Corporation for 2013 grant under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.6)
- 10.27 \* PG&E Corporation Supplemental Retirement Savings Plan amended effective as of September 19, 2001, and frozen after December 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004) (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.10)
- 10.28 \* PG&E Corporation 2005 Supplemental Retirement Savings Plan, as amended effective September 17, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.29 \* PG&E Corporation 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, effective as of January 1, 2005 (as amended to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A regulations effective as of January 1, 2009) (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.24)
- 10.30 \* PG&E Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended and restated effective as of July 22, 1998 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.31 \* Description of Short-Term Incentive Plan for Officers of PG&E Corporation and its subsidiaries, effective January 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609),



Exhibit 10.1)

- 10.32 \* Amendment to PG&E Corporation Short-Term Incentive Programs and Other Bonus Programs, effective January 1, 2009 (amendment to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A regulations) (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.27)

- 10.33 \* Amendment to Pacific Gas and Electric Company Short-Term Incentive Programs and Other Bonus Programs, effective January 1, 2009 (amendment to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A regulations) (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.28)
- 10.34 \* PG&E Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended effective as of January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609, Exhibit 10.31)
- 10.35 \* PG&E Corporation Defined Contribution Executive Supplemental Retirement Plan, as amended effective September 17, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.36 \* Pacific Gas and Electric Company Relocation Assistance Program for Officers (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.30)
- 10.37 \* Amendment to the Postretirement Life Insurance Plan of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, effective February 5, 2015
- 10.38 \* Postretirement Life Insurance Plan of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company as amended and restated on February 14, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.7)
- 10.39 \* PG&E Corporation Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan (a component of the PG&E Corporation Long-Term Incentive Program) as amended effective as of July 1, 2004 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.27)
- 10.40 \* Resolution of the PG&E Corporation Board of Directors dated September 16, 2014, adopting director compensation arrangement effective January 1, 2015
- 10.41 \* Resolution of the PG&E Corporation Board of Directors dated September 19, 2012, adopting director compensation arrangement effective January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012) (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.36)
- 10.42 \* Resolution of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company Board of Directors dated September 16, 2014, adopting director compensation arrangement effective January 1, 2015
- 10.43 \* Resolution of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company Board of Directors dated September 19, 2012, adopting director compensation arrangement effective January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012) (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.37)
- 10.44 \* PG&E Corporation 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan effective May 12, 2014 and amended effective January 1, 2015
- 10.45 \* PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended effective January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.40)
- 10.46 \* PG&E Corporation Long-Term Incentive Program (including the PG&E Corporation Stock Option Plan and Performance Unit Plan), as amended May 16, 2001, (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10)



- 10.47 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2014 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.48 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2013 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.49 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2012 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.50 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2011 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.51 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2010 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.52 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2014 grants to directors under the PG&E Corporation 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.53 \* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2013 grants to directors under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.54 \* Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the PG&E Corporation Long-Term Incentive Program (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 8-K filed January 6, 2005 (File No. 1-12609 and File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 99.1)
- 10.55 \* Form of Performance Share Agreement for 2014 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.56 \* Form of Performance Share Agreement for 2013 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.4)
- 10.57 \* Form of Performance Share Agreement for 2012 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.58 \* Form of Performance Share Agreement for 2011 grants under the PG&E Corporation 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.59 \* PG&E Corporation 2010 Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines as adopted September 14, 2010, effective January 1, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.3)
- 10.60 \* PG&E Corporation Executive Stock Ownership Program Guidelines as amended effective September 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)

- 10.61 \* PG&E Corporation Officer Severance Policy, as amended effective as of May 12, 2014 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.2)
- 10.62 \* PG&E Corporation 2012 Officer Severance Policy, effective as of March 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.6)
- 10.63 \* PG&E Corporation Officer Severance Policy, as amended effective as of March 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.5)
- 10.64 \* PG&E Corporation Officer Severance Policy, as amended effective as of February 15, 2011 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.51)
- 10.65 \* PG&E Corporation Golden Parachute Restriction Policy effective as of February 15, 2006 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.49)
- 10.66 \* Amendment to PG&E Corporation Golden Parachute Restriction Policy dated December 31, 2008 (amendment to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A Regulations) (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.58)
- 10.67 \* PG&E Corporation Director Grantor Trust Agreement dated April 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1998 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.1)
- 10.68 \* PG&E Corporation Officer Grantor Trust Agreement dated April 1, 1998, as updated effective January 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.39)
- 10.69 \* PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company Executive Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy effective as of February 17, 2010 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.54)
- 10.70 \* Resolution of the Board of Directors of PG&E Corporation regarding indemnification of officers and directors dated December 18, 1996 (incorporated by reference to PG&E Corporation's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-12609), Exhibit 10.40)
- 10.71 \* Resolution of the Board of Directors of Pacific Gas and Electric Company regarding indemnification of officers and directors dated July 19, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (File No. 1-2348), Exhibit 10.41)
- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges for Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Edgar Filing: PG&E Corp - Form 10-K

12.2	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends for Pacific Gas and Electric Company
12.3	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges for PG&E Corporation
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Deloitte & Touche LLP)
24	Powers of Attorney
31.1	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of PG&E Corporation required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of Pacific Gas and Electric Company required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	** Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of PG&E Corporation required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	** Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of Pacific Gas and Electric Company required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

\* Management contract or compensatory agreement.

\*\* Pursuant to Item 601(b)(32) of SEC Regulation S-K, these exhibits are furnished rather than filed with this report.

